# Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

## 2005-11

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2005-11-01 through 2005-11-30

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 3,206

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

## 1. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 00:21:59 UTC
From: Big Ten-Four <tenfour@thatsabigtenfour.net>
Message-ID: <dk6cd2$5h5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:HXx9f.7006$ZP1.3010@dukeread11...
> Lloyd wrote:
>
>
>>> This was not the case in 1993 (took over 3 years to get a particular
>>> bootlegger off the air and there are still bad feeling about it on some
>>> of the more "loose canon" networks that spring up here).
>>>
>>>
>>
>>
>> BULLSHIT!
>
> care to back up that "statement" OM?
>

BULLSHIT!

## 2. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 00:30:02 UTC
From: "James F. Mayer" <jfma@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <eGy9f.2701$2y.796@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122566
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:CbOdnSU7m7_xB_veRVn-ig@comcast.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
>
>> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>> news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>
>>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
>>>>>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
>>>>>>>two meters.
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Then who is causing the problem??
>>>>>
>>>>>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
>>
>> implies.
>>
>>>>Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its
>>
>> instantly
>>
>>>>CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When does
>>
>> a
>>
>>>>CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur
>>
```

>> bands
>>
>>>>instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
>>>>Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
>>>
>>>Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they don't
>>>have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks
>>>illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom
>>>likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they
>>>are illegal because of a lack of a license.
>>
>>
>> Ok lets take the discussion the other way. Is a CBer someone who uses a
>> CB
>> with a licence or is a CBer someone who just uses a CB and doesnt give a
>> damn about a licence? If he has NOT got a licence.. in your style of
>> words... how can he be classed a CB operator??? see my point??
>> The people screwing around on Amateur frequencies may also mess about on
>> CB
>> but if they arent licenced on CB they arent CB'ers.. they are just
>> abusers... same as if Amateur users arent licenced they arent Amateurs.
>> They
>> are also just abusers..
>> Regards,
>> Graham
>>
>>
>
> Graham, If I recall correctly, CBers in the USA are not FCC Licensed.
>
> Amateur Radio operators are FCC Licensed.
>

I know that they used to be and I have one (KOH0767) and a ham license
(KE4MVU). I'm legal on both. I also have a general radiotelephone operator
license.

## 3. Did You Know

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 00:35:35 UTC
From: Chuckie <chuckie@cschumermoron.net>
Message-ID: <dk6d6h$8pn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122567

"Senator Chuck Schumer is Joan Rivers in wingtips"

---Michael Savage
The Savage Nation

## 4. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 00:42:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130805723.968267.112310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122568

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 2:31 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 5:50 am
> >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> > On 28 Oct 2005 18:55:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
> >> >> Len uses multiple names in his posts. I'm not sure who he means by
> >> >> "Jimmie-James". Is it me, or Jim Weir (who posts as "RSTEngineering")
> >> >> or somebody else?
> >
> >> >Then you must be dim-witted.
> >
> >> Nah...he's only in a truss over trying to misdirect the subject
> >> thread on all about how we should all be FORMAL and RESPECTFUL
> >> to the mighty macho morsemen extras.
> >
> >> Maybe I'll get a tuxedo and wear one when posting to these
> >> mighty macho motivated morsemen? Now if they would only
> >> specify white-tie or black-tie? :-)
> >
> >You could do like the news anchors; just wear the upper half.
>
> Hmmm...good idea. However, KNBC and KTLA out here aren't
> bottomless in the studio. Well, KTLA might be...in the
> morning news show they act like "Laugh In Looks At the News."
> [less Judy Carne and Goldie Jean Hawn]
>
> Ever notice that James P. Miccolis never concerned himself
> at all about Dudly the Imposter calling me "Lennie" for
> over a year in here? :-)
>
> Jimmie thought he could get another to the dirty work he
> craved. :-)

Jim is completely oblivious to everything except his own peeves.

> >> >> If he means me, *why* can't he just call me Jim, or N2EY?
> >
> >> >All this confusion, then you go ahead and answer af if it were you he
> >> >was referring to. That is the lie that Mark refers to.

> >
> >> Further reinforcement of the misdirection onto Jimmie's demand
> >> for FORMALITY and RESPECTFULNESS...when it comes to HIM. :-)
> >
> >Whole nother set of rules for Jim.
>
> PCTA Double Standard...alive and well in rrap.

MMMM.

> >> Poor Jimmie. I dropped that "age requirement for amateur licensees"
> >> six years ago and he just can't LET GO of it. He MUST keep on
> >> arguing and arguing and arguing and arguing it over and over and
> >> over and over again...perhaps hoping that I will "give in" or
> >> acknowledge his Lordship's Superior Intellect or whatever. :-)
> >
> >He just wants me to bring up him saying that "A Morse Code Exam would
> >be a barrier to Morse Code Use."
>
> He hasn't done it YET. How long has it been?

He's embarassed that he ever utered such words. Might get him tossed
out of FISTS.

> >> >> I'm just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio
> >> >> license.
> >
> >> >Good for you. Len is in favor of an age requirement.
> >
> >> What I "favor" is NOT allowed in here according to James P.
> >> Miccolis, renowned amateur historian and mighty macho motivated
> >> morseman extra. :-)
> >
> >He is self-appointed.
>
> But...he might have an "official certificate" (suitable for framing)
> saying he IS one or both of those! :-)

I think I still have some blank Air Force certificates of thanks.

> >You gotta remember that these guys are almost always behind the times.
>
> They'd probably be behind the Wall Street Journal...if they could
> afford a copy. :-)

Having to build a tube transmitter probably saved him a bunch.

> >> Now, if Jimmie wants to fire up his "state of the art" 1990s
> >> vacuum tube Southgate Type 7 and beep to young boys with CW, let
> >> him. It keeps him "happy" when he doesn't have to reveal a
> >> thing about his REAL identity...on-off keying morse code cannot
> >> reveal a single clue to gender, age, emotion, or anything else
> >> while voice can tell much. Jimmie can, effectively, HIDE behind

> >> his on-off key. Jimmie can be the "X-man superhero," a "masked
> >> avenger" (like Captain Code) who keeps alive the old, Old, OLD
> >> modes forever and ever. [long live 1844! :-) ]
> >
> >But in all that anonymity, Jim know the sex, age, race, religion,
> >sexual preference, and political party of all those anonymous signals.
> >
> >He's said so! Hi, hi!!!
>
> He's a heckuva guy! :-)
>
> Too bad he is turning into Dudly the Imposter, Jr.
>
> Everyone is scrupulously honest in morse mode...they never ever
> swear, are always civil towards one another, and never is heard
> a discouraging word while the skies are not cloudy all day...
>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Home, home on the RADAR Range...

## 5. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 00:52:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130806357.629292.98090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122569

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>RST Engineering wrote:
> >>
> >>>Now, lay YOURS out on the table and see who takes a knife to it.
> >>>
> >>>Jim
> >
> > OK, from one Jim to another:
> >
> > Novice 1967 (age 13)
> > Technician 1968
> > Advanced 1968
> > Extra 1970 (because of mandatory 2 year wait)
> >
> > 2nd Class Commercial Radiotelephone 1972
> >
> > BSEE 1976 University of Pennsylvania
> > MSEE 1992 Drexel University
> >
> > Coinventer US patent #5,358,202
> >
> > I could go on...
> >
> >>>>Now I have some questions as to your credentials. Len
> >>>>Anderson is a
> >>>>sidewalk superintendent to amateur radio.
> >
> >
> >>That's pretty impressive, Jim. You've been involved in quite a > number of
> >>things in and out of amateur radio. Even if I was
> >>an "Internationally
> >>acknowledged expert in the subject of hidden antennas", I don't > think I
> >>could bring myself to so describe myself.
> >>
> >>Aside from your not including attributions, not signing your
> >>post and
> >>the top posting, you even manage to present some of your ideas > here in a
> >> reasonable manner.
> >>
> >>My own credentials have been "on the table" for nearly a decade > here in
> >>r.r.a.p. One of those taking a knife to them on a regular

> >>basis is
> >>Leonard H. Anderson. He has routinely insulted my employment,
> >>experience in radio (amateur, commercial and government), my
> >>authorship
> >>of amateur radio articles and even my German surname.
> >
> >
> > Don't forget military service...
>
> That slipped my mind. Len has taken numerous shots at my Air Force
> service in Vietnam, though he doesn't seem to know what it is that I did
> there.

It's not what you did there. What you didn't do in Vietnam appears to
have defined your time there. You were a frustrated amateur in Vietnam
which caused you to under go a career change.

> He keeps alluding to MARS duty and I did spend time operating a
> MARS circuit from Tan Son Nhut, but only in an off duty capacity.

That must be the MARS duty that he refers to. Or maybe not.

> >>I can't claim
> >>that I'm special in that regard though. Len does
> >>similar things to
> >>anyone who disagrees with him.
> >
> > Also anyone who points out a mistake he makes or
> > proves him wrong about anything.
>
> ...or, come to think of it, anyone who even questions his methods or
> motivation.

I think Len's a little too hard on you guys. I'm sure that your cuts
and jabs are given with the best of intentions.

> > Here's another fun quote from Len, made two years ago today:
> >
> > Len wrote:
> >
> > "Shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel. Learn to READ English.
>
> Ah yes. That was directed at me, wasn't it? I was never in the Marine
> Corps.

Can you prove that? ;^)

> > As of 1 PM EST on the 27th of October the ARRL website news page had
> > NOTHING about the California wildfires. NOTHING.
> >
> > Go "munch" someone else's shoes, preferrably some CROW as dessert."
> >
> > - Leonard H. Anderson

> >
> > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/64514cd48c14fbb1
> >
> > Then there's his classic "sphincters post", but you get the general
> > idea.
>
> Len's description of what it was like to be under artillery fire--even
> though he was never actually under artillery fire.

I thought that he was quoting W0EX or GrayJL or Xray or all three when
he said that.

> That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number
> of things in amateur radio. Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough
> to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len
> is something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around
> after the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.
>
> Dave K8MN

But, but, but what if the Coslo BBS is up and running? Hi, hi!

## 6. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 01:12:13 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <C9WdnZnwNOsvXPveRVn-tw@comcast.com>
Source record: 122570

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130723385.645004.258240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1130707556.333359.98390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > When the scout earns a merit badge,
>> >> > it does not put him on the same level of
>> >> > authority and responsibility as the scout
>> >> > masters or leaders.
>> >
>> > That is not the intent of the merit badge. But that is not what I'm
>> > driving at. Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
>> >
>> > That merit
>> >> > badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.
>> >>
>> >> Indeed.
>> >
>> > Has it ever?
>> >
>> > Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
>>
>> And you are missing my point that the Scouts is not an organization that
>> has
>> the young participate on an equal footing. You are the one who keeps
>> mentioning the Merit Badge Counselor, not I.
>
> Keeps mentioning? It is the crux of my comment. That you know nothing
> beyond Scoutmasters and other leaders is not my fault.
>
>> It has no bearing on whether
>> or not the young scouts have equality with the adults.
>>
>> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
> As long as you insist that the Merit Badge Counselor lords his or her
> knowledge and age over the child, then you must be correct. Go in
> peace.
>

And if you choose to believe that the scouts and the adult leaders are interacting as equals, then go right ahead even though that is not the way they are set up.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 7. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 01:17:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <va2dnbzQm_5sX_veRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 122571

"Iitoi" <Iitoi.1xsc46@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:Iitoi.1xsc46@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> kb9rqz@amsat.org Wrote:
>> his point has its place. I know from my ownexperences since my voice
>> sounds female and some get rather irate finding out I am male
>
> That seems exactly counter to his "point". His point (as interpreted
> by N8UZE) is that females and children are subject to discrimination
> and should anonomize themselves by avoiding voice operation.
>

Just to clarify, I have never personally experienced such discrimination on the air and have no reason to avoid voice. I have known one youth who was discriminated against though. She was working CW and doing it better than the person with whom she was having a QSO. When that person learned her age, they terminated the QSO.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 8. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 01:36:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130809012.188450.13480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122572

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> gather
>
> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>
> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
>
> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> lying on Stevie part
>
> in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
> Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
> seemed most important to the person I was speaking to
>
> Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
> witness tampering

I don't think so.

Steve has been warned away from visiting my home, and that's good
enough for me at this time.

His anti-everything comments are public record. Ditto his paranoid
"liar behind every tree" fantasy. Google provides.

Being the prolific poster that he is, he's probably made hundreds if
not thousands of posts from his employers computers. If they don't
already know what kind of idiot they have on "thier" hands by now they
never will.

bb

## 9. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 01:38:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <54hdm15t10d2lktl4j9sou3ucfukh04p1j@4ax.com>
Source record: 122573

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 15:53:29 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
<0t0dm1h16kak8bk0gffv38v3bd8ftv0jvj@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 11:57:59 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:53:10 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
>><fapcm1dlqu1o61mc9r5tkcj9anl0hj7cjp@4ax.com>:
>>
>>>Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>>>and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>>>gather
>>>
>>>Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
>>>mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
>>>this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>>
>>
>>Don't ask me to take any shred of responsibility for your actions.
>>Dudly's posts are a matter of public record and nobody needs my
>>address in order to read them. And you should rethink your intention
>>of getting him booted from his job -- although he's a nitwit and a
>>pathological liar, he might just be a damn fine LPN.
>
>not asking you to take a shred of responibility

Good, because I won't.

>The paper work is already underway like I said I could use you
>privding an addres in case they want to question you as a WITNESS or
>you refusal

I thought I was clear about this: I am no more a "witness" than anyone
else who can read the archives, which I hope would include the nursing
board members. Regardless, I don't think you will be reading too many
new posts from Dudly for at least a few months -- that is, unless he's
really, really dumb.

>The action is at my doing

Yes it is. Let's keep it that way.

>I don't think he can be trusted to treat his pateints properly with
>the hate filled lies he spews
>
>all I am asking is to privde thee address or flat out refuse I was

>aked to do by the Nursing board I honestly don't care which choice you
>take

If the nursing board feels my input is important, they can contact me
directly through my email address.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 10. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 01:43:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130809393.696979.264460@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122574

an_old_friend wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 30 Oct 2005 14:50:21 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 20:15:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> > >
> > > >> cut
> > > >> > > >And the Prince of Morseness exits. Sayonara. Good luck on the BBS.
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > think we can find anyone with any money and the lack of sense to bet
> > > >> > > they will in fact leave
> > > >> >
> > > >> > Is there any reason not to take them at their word?
> > > >>
> > > >> General principal
> > > >
> > > >I wonder when Cos will get that BBS up and running? Not soon enough.
> > >
> > > I wonder if we will notice that he has
> >
> > hmm maybe not we have not seen Dan since this was promised nor much of
> > Mike OTOH we still may never know when it is done (if it gets done)

It may have already gone the way of the balloon project.

If you guys could offer him a hand in writing the code and making it
happen...

## 11. Re: Whiny cunt Lloyd Davies has his period

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 02:20:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130811636.535961.98810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinycunt_lloyddavies whined:
> On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 16:09:22 -0600, racist cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> > I guess the closest I have ever been to West By God Virginia was when I
> > was at Fort Jackson,South Carolina.That is the furthest East I have ever
> > been before unless Vietnam is in the far East.In that case,I have been
> > far more East than y'all have.When I was moving my old Jeep over to my
> > back yard a little while ago,That pretty 30 year old brunette single
> > woman next door to me asked me if I wanted to sit with her tonight.I
> > told her I am not much good at sitting.About ten minutes later when I
> > was working on my Jeep,she said,what are you doing? I told her I was
> > playing,you ought to try it sometime.She said,I play all the time.I told
> > her my playing days are over.
> > cuhulin
>
> I met a 30 year old shemale <poor Lardass, Glendale doesn't have a mall...Davies loses and fucks up again>

But even that rejected the freak and genetic defect Lloyd Austin
Davies.

Davies was recently busted again for DUI and has previous criminal
records for child pornography..

## 12. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 02:22:56 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130811776.227115.146650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122576

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 31 Oct 2005 11:11:29 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> >> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> >> gather
> cut
> >
> >> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> >> lying on Stevie part
> >
> >Too bad it has nothing to do with his employment, Marky. You lose.
>
> not according to the Nurseing Board

What's a "Nurseing", you illiterate pervert and deviant? Don't you mean
"Nursing?" Are you sure you didn't huff too many spray paint cans or
are you naturally brain damaged?

> cut

Run away, Marky.

## 13. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 02:23:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130811828.761381.267180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122577

Not intelligent Lloyd wrote:
> <kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
> news:552dm1lbg42spcdch1g4lhigju984nng2u@4ax.com...
> Iitoi <Iitoi.1xsc46@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org Wrote:
> > > his point has its place. I know from my ownexperences since my voice
> > > sounds female and some get rather irate finding out I am male
> >
> > That seems exactly counter to his "point". His point (as interpreted
> > by N8UZE) is that females and children are subject to discrimination
> > and should anonomize themselves by avoiding voice operation.
> >
> > Your point seems to be that you are accepted on the air when you are
> > presumed to be female, but discriminated against when it is discovered
> > your gender is male.
> >
> > The Man in the Maze
> > QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
> >
> I dot mind becuse I do waht girls do in teh bed
> anway.
>
> ..
>
> What? You menstruate?

You sure do, Davies.

## 14. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 03:17:47 UTC
From: AMEN <Nomail@msn.com>
Message-ID: <v7B9f.290954$084.158963@attbi_s22>
Source record: 122578
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

> Anyone who thinks the boy broadcaster Baxter is good for ham radio is in
> need of a brain check.

AMEN!

## 15. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 04:12:51 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xt9g5@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xt9g5@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122583

kb9rqz@amsat.org Wrote:
>
>
> not accepted but rather shall we say sought after a few of the guys
> have proposed Marriage to me
>
>

Is this fool real?

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

## 16. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 05:53:32 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <dj0em1lthrgca3k3dk052fdnosoi2medcn@4ax.com>
Source record: 122579

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 17:38:40 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 15:53:29 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
><0t0dm1h16kak8bk0gffv38v3bd8ftv0jvj@4ax.com>:
>
>>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 11:57:59 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
cut
>
>>The paper work is already underway like I said I could use you
>>privding an addres in case they want to question you as a WITNESS or
>>you refusal
>
>
>I thought I was clear about this: I am no more a "witness" than anyone
>else who can read the archives, which I hope would include the nursing
>board members. Regardless, I don't think you will be reading too many
>new posts from Dudly for at least a few months -- that is, unless he's
>really, really dumb.

really? I expect we will be aearing from in the next few days.

I hope in that You are right and turn out to be wrong but of course we
shall see

cut
>
>If the nursing board feels my input is important, they can contact me
>directly through my email address.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 17. Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 07:16:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130829394.468970.176650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122580

Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) - Find messages by this author

Date: 29 Jan 2003 15:45:31 GMT
Local: Wed, Jan 29 2003 10:45 am
Subject: Re: Lennie, You're OUTTED, Now Get Over It
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

>Subject: Re: Lennie, You're OUTTED, Now Get Over It
>From: brianbu...@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 1/28/03 11:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0301282156.22316...@posting.google.com>
>> Not at all. I would like to see Lennie silenced becasue he has lied
>> repeatedly and makes outrageous and unsupported claims against Amateur
>Radio as
>> a whole.

>You have lied repeatedly.

Then you are free to post the quotes where I lied, Brian. As a
matter of
fact, I DARE you to do it.

>Len's opinion of the cult of amateur radio isn't far off the mark.

It's so far off the mark as to be laughable. That you think it
isn't
makes me rethink the "concilliatory" language I've been trying to take
with
you.

My bust.

>I've given a long list of the people that you incessantly badger
>whenever they might come on to the group.

Your list, as I responded to earlier, was in error.

>>That argument is over with, Brian. There are other IMMEDIATE issues
>that
>> need to be and should be addressed, but they aren't. I ahve introduced and
>> reintorduced them, but they fall on deaf ears, so it shall be...I am not
>> another "Vipul" who will damn all Amateur Radio because it won't meet MY
>needs.

>Do you believe that the FCC, through a body of rules titled "Part 97"
>governs amateur radio?

Where in the blue blazes did you get a questuion like that in
response to
the quoted paragraph, Brian?

The FCC's jurisdiction over Amateur Radio has NEVER been in
contest. This
is some dodge that your buddy like to try and trun from time to time.

No one in this forum has ever "denied", "disclaimed", alluded to"
or
otherwise attempted to suggest that the FCC is NOT the governing agency
for
civil radio in the United States.

I DARE you to provide ONE quote where ANYONE in this forum has
stated
otherwise.

>We ought to be able to eliminate the code exam for free American
>amateurs.

There are Amateurs imprisoned over Morse Code? I know Flippo and
Burton
are in jail for QRMing and unlicensed operation, but WHO is in jail
over Code?

>I disagree, but does there need to be organized support to maintain an
>unnecessary and arbitrary requirement?

Please cite for me some known law that is enforced WITHOUT
"organized
support", Brain.

I can't think of a single law that gets enacted and enforced
WITHOUT
"organized support", and I certainly can't think of any law that ever
got
revoked or deleted without "organized support". The Bill of Rights
bears
witness to same, espeicially the 18th and 21st amendments.

>Our government may take years to eliminate the code exam.

Brian...copy this post, including headers, and save it.

I am willing to wager $100.00 US Dollars (one hundred), that the
Federal
Communications Commission shall, either on thier own volition or acting
on a
duly submitted petition, within 180 days of the ratification of any
changes to
ITU S25.5, delete Morse Code testing as a requirement for ANY class of

Amateur
Radio license in the United States.

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
breaking to KB9RQZ text

looks like Stevie Owes you 100 Dollars US

has he paid up?
backk to stevie
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

>Unless they
>allow the VEC to misinterpret Part 97 and just stop testing.

How can they "misinterpret" Part 97?

What part of Part 97 as it presently exists lends itself to
"misinterpret(ation)" such that VE's could even remotely consider NOT
complying
with examinations for Element 1?

>> >Your zeal only exposes you for what you are.

>> Intolerant of the lying and deceitful?

>M-o-v-e On!

You condone liars and the deceitful?

>>Sorry for what? Lennie insists on some "lodge hall" mentality,

>Yup.

He's wrong.

> insists
>> that Amateur Radio does NOT provide a public service,

>So, he's wrong.

That's what I've been TRYING to tell you!

>and has made countless
>> assertions that are untrue and proven false with ease.

>So do you.

Quotes, please...

>> So he has proved....what?

>He's said that we're full of OF's that cling to the past. If you
>can't see that, then you're part of the problem.

Let's see...."clings to the past"...

Hmmmm....I certainly AM active on CW on HF...But Wait! There's
More!

EME...(building a station)...airborne telemetery for DASH
surveillence,
mentoring, ATV...

Whew...Could go on, but why...??? I've helped dozens of NEW hams
get on
the air, almost all of which have been Post 1991 and post
"Restructuring"
licensees.

No one in any club I belong to (three) even remotely supports
"clinging to
the past". Nothing I read in ANY periodical suggests that Amateur
Radio
"cling to the past" other than the usual historical interest expressed
by ANY
group in it's development. Every major periodical is ripe with new
technology
and techniques articles.

Nothing, Brian...

Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
of lies
on my part.

We'll wait right here.

Steve, K4YZ

## 18. found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 07:22:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130829762.254079.318150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122581

K4YZ May 3 1999, 2:00 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
Date: 1999/05/03
Subject: Re: Smarting-Up
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

Mark,

>>Indeed ecil does admt to that so do I. What we ask is why
certain skils
are being places out of proportion to their value? and
Why Morse in particaluar retains its place today.<<

It is in place to keep YOUO off the HF bands, Mark, didn't you
know that?

In 1916, HPM was given a Tarot Card reading by an all-knowing
oracle and
she forsaw that you would one day create hate and discontent for your
fellow
licensee's by your actions in this NG and on the air. Furthermore, he
was
shown that you would be responsible for the disruption of major
disaster
communications because of your ineptness and arrogance.

Therefore, he initiated a cospiratorial effort to ensure the
perpetuation
of Morse Code so that you and others of your ilk would be thwarted from

becoming a threat to the airwaves.

>>It is simply another of lies to claim we don't admit to that.
Indeed
Iam shocked by you admiting to that, since most ProCoder on and on
about how
easy Code is for everybody<<

I know people with incapacities worse than the dyslexia you claim
that
have mastered Morse Code, so what is YOUR problem? And I know that of
those
for whom neurological deficits sufficient to cause them to really be

able to
copy code used a Neurologist, *NOT* and Allergist to document thier
ailments!

So you are either lazy, a liar, a fool, or a combination of the
three.
Please don't tell us, Mark...it's SUCH a challenge to find out for
ourselves!!!

## 19. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 07:52:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130831556.793851.153840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122582

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 30 Oct 2005 14:50:21 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 20:15:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >> > >
> > > > >> cut
> > > > >> > > >And the Prince of Morseness exits. Sayonara. Good luck on the BBS.
> > > > >> > >
> > > > >> > > think we can find anyone with any money and the lack of sense to bet
> > > > >> > > they will in fact leave
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > Is there any reason not to take them at their word?
> > > > >>
> > > > >> General principal
> > > > >
> > > > >I wonder when Cos will get that BBS up and running? Not soon enough.
> > > >
> > > > I wonder if we will notice that he has
> > >
> > > hmm maybe not we have not seen Dan since this was promised nor much of
> > > Mike OTOH we still may never know when it is done (if it gets done)
> >
> > It may have already gone the way of the balloon project.

Balloon project
>
> If you guys could offer him a hand in writing the code and making it
> happen...

Doe you guys need a ahnd in seting thing up for your departure I'll be
glad to help

## 20. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 09:27:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <n4dem1hnvpeb62oaudpdtu2qgl145vqe31@4ax.com>
Source record: 122584

On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 04:12:51 +0000, Iitoi
<Iitoi.1xt9g5@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org Wrote:
>>
>>
>> not accepted but rather shall we say sought after a few of the guys
>> have proposed Marriage to me
>>
>>
>
>Is this fool real?

interesting that you ask after also start a thread titled

Mark bough a new wris****ch
>
>The Man in the Maze
>QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 21. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 11:47:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130845642.384497.271050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122585

an old friend wrote:
> Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
>
> Date: 29 Jan 2003 15:45:31 GMT
> Local: Wed, Jan 29 2003 10:45 am
> Subject: Re: Lennie, You're OUTTED, Now Get Over It
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> | Report Abuse
>
>
>
> >Subject: Re: Lennie, You're OUTTED, Now Get Over It
> >From: brianbu...@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 1/28/03 11:56 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0301282156.22316...@posting.google.com>
> >> Not at all. I would like to see Lennie silenced becasue he has lied
> >> repeatedly and makes outrageous and unsupported claims against Amateur
> >Radio as
> >> a whole.
>
> >You have lied repeatedly.
>
>
>
> Then you are free to post the quotes where I lied, Brian. As a
> matter of
> fact, I DARE you to do it.
>
>
> >Len's opinion of the cult of amateur radio isn't far off the mark.
>
>
> It's so far off the mark as to be laughable. That you think it
> isn't
> makes me rethink the "concilliatory" language I've been trying to take
> with
> you.
>
> My bust.
>
>

>
> >I've given a long list of the people that you incessantly badger
> >whenever they might come on to the group.
>
>
> Your list, as I responded to earlier, was in error.
>
>
> >>That argument is over with, Brian. There are other IMMEDIATE issues
> >that
> >> need to be and should be addressed, but they aren't. I ahve introduced and
> >> reintorduced them, but they fall on deaf ears, so it shall be...I am not
> >> another "Vipul" who will damn all Amateur Radio because it won't meet MY
> >needs.
>
> >Do you believe that the FCC, through a body of rules titled "Part 97"
> >governs amateur radio?
>
>
>
> Where in the blue blazes did you get a questuion like that in
> response to
> the quoted paragraph, Brian?
>
> The FCC's jurisdiction over Amateur Radio has NEVER been in
> contest. This
> is some dodge that your buddy like to try and trun from time to time.
>
>
> No one in this forum has ever "denied", "disclaimed", alluded to"
> or
> otherwise attempted to suggest that the FCC is NOT the governing agency
> for
> civil radio in the United States.
>
>
> I DARE you to provide ONE quote where ANYONE in this forum has
> stated
> otherwise.
>
>
>
> >We ought to be able to eliminate the code exam for free American
> >amateurs.
>
>
> There are Amateurs imprisoned over Morse Code? I know Flippo and
> Burton
> are in jail for QRMing and unlicensed operation, but WHO is in jail
> over Code?

>
>
> >I disagree, but does there need to be organized support to maintain an
> >unnecessary and arbitrary requirement?
>
>
> Please cite for me some known law that is enforced WITHOUT
> "organized
> support", Brain.
>
> I can't think of a single law that gets enacted and enforced
> WITHOUT
> "organized support", and I certainly can't think of any law that ever
> got
> revoked or deleted without "organized support". The Bill of Rights
> bears
> witness to same, espeicially the 18th and 21st amendments.
>
>
>
> >Our government may take years to eliminate the code exam.
>
>
> Brian...copy this post, including headers, and save it.
>
> I am willing to wager $100.00 US Dollars (one hundred), that the
> Federal
> Communications Commission shall, either on thier own volition or acting
> on a
> duly submitted petition, within 180 days of the ratification of any
> changes to
> ITU S25.5, delete Morse Code testing as a requirement for ANY class of
> Amateur
> Radio license in the United States.
>
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> breaking to KB9RQZ text
>
> looks like Stevie Owes you 100 Dollars US
>
> has he paid up?
> backk to stevie
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
>
>
> >Unless they
> >allow the VEC to misinterpret Part 97 and just stop testing.
>

>
> How can they "misinterpret" Part 97?
>
> What part of Part 97 as it presently exists lends itself to
> "misinterpret(ation)" such that VE's could even remotely consider NOT
> complying
> with examinations for Element 1?
>
>
>
> >> >Your zeal only exposes you for what you are.
>
> >> Intolerant of the lying and deceitful?
>
>
> >M-o-v-e On!
>
>
>
> You condone liars and the deceitful?
>
>
> >>Sorry for what? Lennie insists on some "lodge hall" mentality,
>
> >Yup.
>
>
>
> He's wrong.
>
>
> > insists
> >> that Amateur Radio does NOT provide a public service,
>
> >So, he's wrong.
>
>
>
> That's what I've been TRYING to tell you!
>
>
> >and has made countless
> >> assertions that are untrue and proven false with ease.
>
> >So do you.
>
>
>
> Quotes, please...
>

>
> >> So he has proved....what?
>
> >He's said that we're full of OF's that cling to the past. If you
> >can't see that, then you're part of the problem.
>
>
>
> Let's see...."clings to the past"...
>
> Hmmmm....I certainly AM active on CW on HF...But Wait! There's
> More!
>
>
> EME...(building a station)...airborne telemetery for DASH
> surveillence,
> mentoring, ATV...
>
>
> Whew...Could go on, but why...??? I've helped dozens of NEW hams
> get on
> the air, almost all of which have been Post 1991 and post
> "Restructuring"
> licensees.
>
>
> No one in any club I belong to (three) even remotely supports
> "clinging to
> the past". Nothing I read in ANY periodical suggests that Amateur
> Radio
> "cling to the past" other than the usual historical interest expressed
> by ANY
> group in it's development. Every major periodical is ripe with new
> technology
> and techniques articles.
>
>
> Nothing, Brian...
>
>
> Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
> of lies
> on my part.
>
>
> We'll wait right here.
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ
---

Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you may send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb

## 22. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 11:50:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130845805.411490.42180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122586

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130723385.645004.258240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1130707556.333359.98390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> > When the scout earns a merit badge,
> >> >> > it does not put him on the same level of
> >> >> > authority and responsibility as the scout
> >> >> > masters or leaders.
> >> >
> >> > That is not the intent of the merit badge. But that is not what I'm
> >> > driving at. Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
> >> >
> >> > That merit
> >> >> > badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.
> >> >>
> >> >> Indeed.
> >> >
> >> > Has it ever?
> >> >
> >> > Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
> >>
> >> And you are missing my point that the Scouts is not an organization that
> >> has
> >> the young participate on an equal footing. You are the one who keeps
> >> mentioning the Merit Badge Counselor, not I.
> >
> > Keeps mentioning? It is the crux of my comment. That you know nothing
> > beyond Scoutmasters and other leaders is not my fault.
> >
> >> It has no bearing on whether
> >> or not the young scouts have equality with the adults.
> >>
> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > As long as you insist that the Merit Badge Counselor lords his or her
> > knowledge and age over the child, then you must be correct. Go in
> > peace.

> >
>
> And if you choose to believe that the scouts and the adult leaders are
> interacting as equals, then go right ahead even though that is not the way
> they are set up.

I don't beleive that, never have, see above. You refuse to become
knowledgeable about another facet of scouting; the merit badge
counselor.

## 23. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 11:56:40 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ebGdndCWG_vOxvreRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 122589

```
<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130845805.411490.42180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1130723385.645004.258240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1130707556.333359.98390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > When the scout earns a merit badge,
>> >> >> > it does not put him on the same level of
>> >> >> > authority and responsibility as the scout
>> >> >> > masters or leaders.
>> >> >
>> >> > That is not the intent of the merit badge. But that is not what I'm
>> >> > driving at. Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge
>> >> > Counselor.
>> >> >
>> >> > That merit
>> >> >> > badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Indeed.
>> >> >
>> >> > Has it ever?
>> >> >
>> >> > Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
>> >>
>> >> And you are missing my point that the Scouts is not an organization
>> >> that
>> >> has
>> >> the young participate on an equal footing. You are the one who keeps
>> >> mentioning the Merit Badge Counselor, not I.
>> >
>> > Keeps mentioning? It is the crux of my comment. That you know nothing
>> > beyond Scoutmasters and other leaders is not my fault.
>> >
>> >> It has no bearing on whether
>> >> or not the young scouts have equality with the adults.
>> >>
```

>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>> >
>> > As long as you insist that the Merit Badge Counselor lords his or her
>> > knowledge and age over the child, then you must be correct. Go in
>> > peace.
>> >
>>
>> And if you choose to believe that the scouts and the adult leaders are
>> interacting as equals, then go right ahead even though that is not the
>> way
>> they are set up.
>
> I don't beleive that, never have, see above. You refuse to become
> knowledgeable about another facet of scouting; the merit badge
> counselor.
>

I have always been talking about the interaction of equals. You keep trying to switch it to the merit badge counselor. I choose not to fall for the bait and switch. Since I've stated my point several times, I will not continue this discourse as I've no interest in your bait and switch tactics.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 24. Re: a track record for Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 12:00:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130846426.524559.247480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122587

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:38:12 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
> > wrote:
>
> SNIP
>
> > > >If you could only make some sense just once it might help. Did you get
> > > >this stupid all by yourself or did you have help?
>
> > > why are you defddning the Piece of ####
>
> "Why" "defending"
>
> He's not "defending" anyone. He said, and quite accurately, "If
> you could only make some sense just once it might help."
>
> > hopw can you say that these are the work of an honest man
>
> "How"
>
> Markie, YOU have NO BUSINESS suggesting the words of any other
> person are suspect based upon honesty. Not until you "come to Jesus"
> about your ADMITTED and bragged-over propensity for lying, at least.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Jim and Mike have a problem with the concept of "Coming to Jesus."

## 25. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 12:02:37 UTC
From: Amos Keag <A.K01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <qYOdnQ_aeOxex_reRVn-1A@comcast.com>
Source record: 122588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
Big Ten-Four wrote:
> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:HXx9f.7006$ZP1.3010@dukeread11...
>
>>Lloyd wrote:
>>
>>
>>
>>>>This was not the case in 1993 (took over 3 years to get a particular
>>>>bootlegger off the air and there are still bad feeling about it on some
>>>>of the more "loose canon" networks that spring up here).
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>BULLSHIT!
>>
>>care to back up that "statement" OM?
>>
>
>
>
>
> BULLSHIT!
>

Typical CB response, isn't it?
```

## 26. Re: a track record for Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 12:26:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130847996.101468.147900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122590

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:38:12 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > SNIP
> > >
> > > >If you could only make some sense just once it might help. Did you get
> > > >this stupid all by yourself or did you have help?
> > >
> > > why are you defddning the Piece of ####
> > >
> > "Why" "defending"
> > >
> > He's not "defending" anyone. He said, and quite accurately, "If
> > you could only make some sense just once it might help."
> > >
> > > hopw can you say that these are the work of an honest man
> > >
> > "How"
> > >
> > Markie, YOU have NO BUSINESS suggesting the words of any other
> > person are suspect based upon honesty. Not until you "come to Jesus"
> > about your ADMITTED and bragged-over propensity for lying, at least.
> > >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Jim and Mike have a problem with the concept of "Coming to Jesus."

Masturbation is that hard for them?

## 27. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 12:28:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130848133.212379.261560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122591

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > On 30 Oct 2005 14:50:21 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > >> > > On 25 Oct 2005 20:15:07 -0700, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >> > >
> > > > >> cut
> > > > >> > > >And the Prince of Morseness exits. Sayonara. Good luck on the BBS.
> > > > >> > >
> > > > >> > > think we can find anyone with any money and the lack of sense to bet
> > > > >> > > they will in fact leave
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> > Is there any reason not to take them at their word?
> > > > >>
> > > > >> General principal
> > > > >
> > > > >I wonder when Cos will get that BBS up and running? Not soon enough.
> > > >
> > > > I wonder if we will notice that he has
> > >
> > > hmm maybe not we have not seen Dan since this was promised nor much of
> > > Mike OTOH we still may never know when it is done (if it gets done)
> >
> > It may have already gone the way of the balloon project.
>
> Balloon project

Recycling those used condoms after you lick them, Marky?

> >
> > If you guys could offer him a hand in writing the code and making it
> > happen...
>
> Doe you guys need a ahnd in seting thing up for your departure I'll be
> glad to help

Yeah, slit your wrists, Marky. Do the world a favor.

## 28. Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 12:29:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130848173.854634.67230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122592

Poor Marky, grasping at straws.

## 29. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 13:26:32 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <26rem15m5laa787enq13q0mbhb1food42f@4ax.com>
Source record: 122593

On 1 Nov 2005 03:47:22 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options
>>
cut

>> Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
>> of lies
>> on my part.
>>
>>
>> We'll wait right here.
>>
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>----------------------------------------
>
>Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you may
>send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
>you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
>"ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
guess he hasnpt paid up

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 30. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 13:30:45 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <2arem196kt59uncfjhct18o8mopalcqpan@4ax.com>
Source record: 122594

```
On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 06:56:40 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1130845805.411490.42180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1130723385.645004.258240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>> >> news:1130707556.333359.98390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >> >
>>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> >> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> > When the scout earns a merit badge,
>>> >> >> > it does not put him on the same level of
>>> >> >> > authority and responsibility as the scout
>>> >> >> > masters or leaders.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > That is not the intent of the merit badge. But that is not what I'm
>>> >> > driving at. Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge
>>> >> > Counselor.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > That merit
>>> >> >> > badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> Indeed.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Has it ever?
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
>>> >>
>>> >> And you are missing my point that the Scouts is not an organization
>>> >> that
>>> >> has
>>> >> the young participate on an equal footing. You are the one who keeps
>>> >> mentioning the Merit Badge Counselor, not I.
>>> >
>>> > Keeps mentioning? It is the crux of my comment. That you know nothing
>>> > beyond Scoutmasters and other leaders is not my fault.
```

>>> >
>>> >> It has no bearing on whether
>>> >> or not the young scouts have equality with the adults.
>>> >>
>>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>>> >
>>> > As long as you insist that the Merit Badge Counselor lords his or her
>>> > knowledge and age over the child, then you must be correct. Go in
>>> > peace.
>>> >
>>>
>>> And if you choose to believe that the scouts and the adult leaders are
>>> interacting as equals, then go right ahead even though that is not the
>>> way
>>> they are set up.
>>
>> I don't beleive that, never have, see above. You refuse to become
>> knowledgeable about another facet of scouting; the merit badge
>> counselor.
>>
>
>I have always been talking about the interaction of equals. You keep trying
>to switch it to the merit badge counselor.

Noramly i would make some cuts up there but in this case I will not

Leaving them alone makes the post complee in prooving Dee Flint is
lying in the assertion Brain is trying to switch the subject

> I choose not to fall for the
>bait and switch. Since I've stated my point several times, I will not
>continue this discourse....

standard response form the elitist liars around here first lying about
discoursing in the first place then

>....... as I've no interest in your bait and switch tactics.

then refuse to discuss at all

SOP
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 31. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 13:43:14 UTC
From: Cup 'O Jo ten-four <bobisue@cupojobobby.net>
Message-ID: <dk7rba$7ga$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:qYOdnQ_aeOxex_reRVn-1A@comcast.com...
>
>
> Big Ten-Four wrote:
>> "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
>> news:HXx9f.7006$ZP1.3010@dukeread11...
>>
>>>Lloyd wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>This was not the case in 1993 (took over 3 years to get a particular
>>>>>bootlegger off the air and there are still bad feeling about it on some
>>>>>of the more "loose canon" networks that spring up here).
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>BULLSHIT!
>>>
>>>care to back up that "statement" OM?
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> BULLSHIT!
>>
>
> Typical CB response, isn't it?
>

No, typical of a New Hamshire lefttist liberal democrat.
```

## 32. Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 15:58:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130860699.428917.47340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122596

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options

> > Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
> > of lies
> > on my part.
> >
> >
> > We'll wait right here.

> Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you may
> send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
> you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
> "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb

Sorry, Brain...Several issues.

First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...

Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never "accepted the wager. You ignored it.

Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that fact surprises anyone.

Steve, K4YZ

## 33. Re: Markie Utters Yet Another Childish Taunt...40 Years Old And Still Playing In The Sandbox...Sheeesh.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 16:01:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130860906.509501.275690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122597

```
kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 31 Oct 2005 07:26:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 30 Oct 2005 00:22:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 29 Oct 2005 00:40:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> >> On 28 Oct 2005 04:24:03 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >Tennessee State Board of Nursing
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> ROBESON , STEVEN JAMES
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> that is you right
> >> >> >
> >> >> > I suppose you had a reason for posting that information here.
> >> >>
> >> >> to give you a chance to confrim or deny that it was you
> >> >> >
> >> >> > So...If I post your actual address and social security number,
> >> >> >will you be as equally tolerant?
> >> >>
> >> >> you have posted my address before for no reason at all
> >> >
> >> > Uh huh....
> >> >
> >> > But you didn't answer my question...Will you be as equally tolerant
> >> >when I publish your actual address and social security number?
> >>
> >> already answered that question wehn I posted it
> >>
> >> to give you the chnce to verify you were right license holder
> >>
> >> you have already published my address
> >>
> >> you can dish it out but you can't take it Bully
> >
> > Take what?
>
> you are whining about your address being published

What whining?
```

> > Your assinine deceit and tale tales?
>
> another lie another hack

Yes, you've lied again and yes, you're a hack.

But I see that the therapy is moving you forward...at least you admitted it...good!

Steve, K4YZ

## 34. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 16:35:25 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xu6s6@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xu6s6@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122612

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com Wrote:
>
> Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you
> may
> send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
> you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
> "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb

Having seen his "style" here the past several weeks, my wager is that
Steve's prepared numerous escape routes with wiggle room to easily
welch on this one.

The Man In The Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

## 35. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 17:18:39 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk881g$ipj$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:qYOdnQ_aeOxex_reRVn-1A@comcast.com...
>
>
> Big Ten-Four wrote:
> > "N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> > news:HXx9f.7006$ZP1.3010@dukeread11...
> >
> >>Lloyd wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>>>This was not the case in 1993 (took over 3 years to get a particular
> >>>>bootlegger off the air and there are still bad feeling about it on
some
> >>>>of the more "loose canon" networks that spring up here).
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>BULLSHIT!
> >>
> >>care to back up that "statement" OM?
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > BULLSHIT!
> >
>
> Typical CB response, isn't it?
>

Corse it isnt... its a typical TROLL responce.... just like yours....
```

## 36. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 17:29:43 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk88m7$src$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:CbOdnSU7m7_xB_veRVn-ig@comcast.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
>
> > "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> > news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
> >
> >>The Magnum wrote:
> >>
> >>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>The Magnum wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
> >>>>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
> >>>>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
> >>>>>>two meters.
> >>>>>
> >>>>>Then who is causing the problem??
> >>>>
> >>>>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
> >
> > implies.
> >
> >>>Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its
> >
> > instantly
> >
> >>>CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When
does
> >
> > a
> >
> >>>CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur
```

> >
> > bands
> >
> >>>instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
> >>>Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
> >>
> >>Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they don't
> >>have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks
> >>illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom
> >>likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they
> >>are illegal because of a lack of a license.
> >
> >
> > Ok lets take the discussion the other way. Is a CBer someone who uses a
CB
> > with a licence or is a CBer someone who just uses a CB and doesnt give a
> > damn about a licence? If he has NOT got a licence.. in your style of
> > words... how can he be classed a CB operator??? see my point??
> > The people screwing around on Amateur frequencies may also mess about on
CB
> > but if they arent licenced on CB they arent CB'ers.. they are just
> > abusers... same as if Amateur users arent licenced they arent Amateurs.
They
> > are also just abusers..
> > Regards,
> > Graham
> >
> >
>
> Graham, If I recall correctly, CBers in the USA are not FCC Licensed.
>
> Amateur Radio operators are FCC Licensed.
>

Not sure how it works in your country but in the UK, CB users have to be licenced as do Amateurs... I know in the past you used to have to have an FCC licence for CB as i remember the application forms quite clearly being in the Boxes that they used to come in. That was back in the late 70's though.
My original point was that just because some dum dum decides to mess about on the Amateur bands the majority of the Ham fraternity immediately call them CB'ers.. basically as an insult. Ie.. anyone messing about and causing trouble must be a CBer. They arent prepaired to accept its either idiot Hams or their children messing about on their radios, or just someone who bought/nicked a radio and hasnt the brains to use it properly.

The majority of CB users are perfectly well behaved individuals as are most Amateurs but you know yourself in all walks of life there are the sort you could quite happily do without..

73's
Graham

## 37. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 17:35:27 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <cd22f$4367a742$3f129bde$27261@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 122600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Graham,
The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was dropped
back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was responsible for
dropping the licensing requirement.
Ace - WH2T

"James F. Mayer" <jfma@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:eGy9f.2701$2y.796@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:CbOdnSU7m7_xB_veRVn-ig@comcast.com...
>> The Magnum wrote:
>>
>>> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>>> news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
>>>
>>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>>>>news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
>>>>>>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
>>>>>>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
>>>>>>>>two meters.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>Then who is causing the problem??
>>>>>>
>>>>>>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
>>>
>>> implies.
>>>
>>>>>Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its

>>>
>>> instantly
>>>
>>>>>CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When
>>>>>does
>>>
>>> a
>>>
>>>>>CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur
>>>
>>> bands
>>>
>>>>>instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
>>>>>Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
>>>>
>>>>Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they don't
>>>>have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks
>>>>illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom
>>>>likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they
>>>>are illegal because of a lack of a license.
>>>
>>>
>>> Ok lets take the discussion the other way. Is a CBer someone who uses a
>>> CB
>>> with a licence or is a CBer someone who just uses a CB and doesnt give a
>>> damn about a licence? If he has NOT got a licence.. in your style of
>>> words... how can he be classed a CB operator??? see my point??
>>> The people screwing around on Amateur frequencies may also mess about on
>>> CB
>>> but if they arent licenced on CB they arent CB'ers.. they are just
>>> abusers... same as if Amateur users arent licenced they arent Amateurs.
>>> They
>>> are also just abusers..
>>> Regards,
>>> Graham
>>>
>>>
>>
>> Graham, If I recall correctly, CBers in the USA are not FCC Licensed.
>>
>> Amateur Radio operators are FCC Licensed.
>>
>
> I know that they used to be and I have one (KOH0767) and a ham license
> (KE4MVU). I'm legal on both. I also have a general radiotelephone
> operator license.
>
>
>

## 38. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 17:40:12 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk899t$3f2$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

> They cannot be 'Amateur users' if they are not licensed, they would just
> be unlicensed users. One *has to be licensed* to be an Amateur Radio
> Operator.

In the UK you Legally require a licence... no test is involved. Its
basically just a tax on the airwaves. But if thats the case, you say
unlicenced Amateurs arent Amateurs, they are just unlicenced users...
likewise anyone operating unlicenced on the CB isnt a CB'er. He, also, is an
Unlicenced User... I realise its a little different in the US.

> Now if an Amateur operates illegally outside the Amateur bands, is he
> operating as an Amateur, or just as another illegal user? The FCC can
> and most likely will revolk the hams Amateur license if caught doing so.
> Example, some hams have been caught operating just below 10 meters on
> the so-called 'freeband' frequencies (there are no 'freeband'
> frequencies as those frequencies are allocated), and have had their
> Amateur licenses revolked.

Seems a bit unfair really. The thing that put me off going for my ticket was
learning morse. I honestly cant see the point in it nowadays even though i
accept it had its uses and can still "get through" when other methods fail
but to be honest... as its only a hobby now.. why bother (use the phone)
Obviously theres an advantage using it in war of course but technology has
progressed.
The thing that put me off M3 was the fact i couldnt legally use 27MHz. That
and the fact i would be scoffed at in here probably more than being a CB'er
;o)

73's
Graham

## 39. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 17:43:20 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk89fp$3j1$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Big Ten-Four" <tenfour@thatsabigtenfour.net> wrote in message
news:dk64h9$3l6$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:kcWdnR13o77HDfveRVn-uw@gbronline.com...
> > The Magnum wrote:
> >> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> >> news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
> >>
> >>>The Magnum wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>>>news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>The Magnum wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
> >>>>>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
> >>>>>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
> >>>>>>>two meters.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>>Then who is causing the problem??
> >>>>>
> >>>>>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
> >>
> >> implies.
> >>
> >>>>Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its
> >>
> >> instantly
> >>
> >>>>CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When
does
> >>
> >> a
```

> >>
> >>>>CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur
> >>
> >> bands
> >>
> >>>>instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
> >>>>Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
> >>>
> >>>Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they
don't
> >>>have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks
> >>>illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom
> >>>likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they
> >>>are illegal because of a lack of a license.
> >>
> >>
> >> Ok lets take the discussion the other way. Is a CBer someone who uses a
> >> CB
> >> with a licence or is a CBer someone who just uses a CB and doesnt give
a
> >> damn about a licence? If he has NOT got a licence.. in your style of
> >> words... how can he be classed a CB operator??? see my point??
> >
> > Depends, here in the US there is no license requirement to operate a cb,
> > that was dropped some years ago, so anyone who purchases a cb and
operates
> > it on the citizens band is a cber. If in your country a license is
> > required to operate on the citizen's band, then it is just like amateur
> > radio, if someone operates without a license then they are just an
> > unlicensed illegal radio operator.
> >
> >> The people screwing around on Amateur frequencies may also mess about
on
> >> CB
> >
> > And that is often the case. Those that choose to operate illegally on
the
> > ham bands or other frequencies often start out on cb where disregard for
> > any rules is the norm, purchasing radios that operate outside the cb
> > frequencies where the attitude is "I bought and paid for this here radio
> > and I can operate where I damn well please".
> >
> >> but if they arent licenced on CB they arent CB'ers.. they are just
> >> abusers
> >
> > Yep, if a license if required for cb.
> >
> >> ... same as if Amateur users arent licenced they arent Amateurs. They
> >> are also just abusers..
> >> Regards,

> >
> > They cannot be 'Amateur users' if they are not licensed, they would just
> > be unlicensed users. One *has to be licensed* to be an Amateur Radio
> > Operator.
> >
> > Now if an Amateur operates illegally outside the Amateur bands, is he
> > operating as an Amateur, or just as another illegal user? The FCC can
and
> > most likely will revolk the hams Amateur license if caught doing so.
> > Example, some hams have been caught operating just below 10 meters on
the
> > so-called 'freeband' frequencies (there are no 'freeband' frequencies as
> > those frequencies are allocated), and have had their Amateur licenses
> > revolked.
> >
>
>
> Thats a BIG TEN-FOUR good buddy. Keep the pedal to the
> metal and operate the flat side down on 27680, where the trucker
> buddies are friendly!

Most truckers in the UK arent that friendly. Theres a number of times ive
been nearly run off the road in my car because they see the CB antenna and
think... aaaah a skateboard user.... Dont know about the 27680 reference but
in the UK they hang about the 27.78125MHz slot eating bacon sarnies as they
ratchet.....

## 40. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 17:45:07 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk89j3$jv1$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Spudman" <tator@spuds.com> wrote in message
news:93irl1ldh63ajjrhr0r90mfphfisgc6cot@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 21:17:31 -0000, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> >news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
> >> The Magnum wrote:
> >> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> >>The Magnum wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
> >> >>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
> >> >>>
> >> >>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
> >> >>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
> >> >>>>two meters.
> >> >>>
> >> >>>Then who is causing the problem??
> >> >>
> >> >>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
> >implies.
> >> > Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its
> >instantly
> >> > CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When
does
> >a
> >> > CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur
> >bands
> >> > instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an Illegal
> >> > Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
> >>
> >> Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they
don't
> >> have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The folks
> >> illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of whom
> >> likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies where they
```

> >> are illegal because of a lack of a license.
> >
> >Ok lets take the discussion the other way. Is a CBer someone who uses a
CB
> >with a licence or is a CBer someone who just uses a CB and doesnt give a
> >damn about a licence? If he has NOT got a licence.. in your style of
> >words... how can he be classed a CB operator??? see my point??
>
> if he operating a cb, he is a cb'er. no license needed here.
>
> >The people screwing around on Amateur frequencies may also mess about on
CB
> >but if they arent licenced on CB they arent CB'ers.. they are just
> >abusers...
>
> no,just a typical drug using cb'er

Really sensible comment there... must be rough where you live... no one i
know on the CB here is on drugs.

> >same as if Amateur users arent licenced they arent Amateurs. They
> >are also just abusers..
> >Regards,
> >Graham
> >

## 41. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 17:45:54 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk89ki$jvn$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Spudman" <tator@spuds.com> wrote in message
news:dqhrl1lnqqc9gcq2j0gc7o01oqeqbdfo0g@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 20:03:01 -0000, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Spudman" <tator@spuds.com> wrote in message
> >news:n9dol1pv55ecomigju4lc1mpht474ikts8@4ax.com...
> >> On 28 Oct 2005 13:24:10 -0700, "jdobb2001" <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >landlines, cells,power down but CB still works. Got to CH 19 and got
> >> >some good local info on open gas stations with fuel before the average
> >> >joes and I was able to fill my tank and gas jugs. Switch to my other
> >> >channel and I make contact with family who also dont have phone.
> >> >
> >> >My base is on and open. CH 19 is active, and a local neighborhood
> >> >channel has opened up for local chitchat, info etc.
> >> >
> >> >So much for Ham radio being the disaster recovery solution. I wonder
> >> >how well those repeaters are doing lol.
> >>
> >> the only thing cb is good for is finding hookers, gay diesel dummies
> >> and drug dealers.
> >
> >I see you use it often then..... ha ha flipping ha...
> >
>
> ha ha, how does that hook feel in your mouth ? ha ha flipping ha...

You have issues... have you tried councilling?
```

## 42. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 17:47:43 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk89nv$pvn$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:cd22f$4367a742$3f129bde$27261@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> Graham,
> The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was dropped
> back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was responsible for
> dropping the licensing requirement.
> Ace - WH2T

Thanks for that.... Jimmy Carter... lol... the only American President i
really remember from the late 70's was Ronald Regan. I guess im not that old
after all ;o)
Regards,
Graham

## 43. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 18:15:12 UTC
From: Earl Needham <munged@3lefties.com>
Message-ID: <11mfc58j1l2tj2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dk89nv$pvn$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
> news:cd22f$4367a742$3f129bde$27261@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> > Graham,
> > The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was
dropped
> > back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was responsible
for
> > dropping the licensing requirement.
> > Ace - WH2T
>
> Thanks for that.... Jimmy Carter... lol... the only American President i
> really remember from the late 70's was Ronald Regan. I guess im not that
old
> after all ;o)

Hi hi!!!

Reagan was President of the United States from 1981-1989. I guess you
could call that the late '70's! LOL...

Earl

--
Earl Needham
Clovis, New Mexico USA

## 44. "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM) and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 18:21:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130869269.491790.57880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122607

nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:

Huge snip.

No "mocking" of PAGANISM.

And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!

You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!

Sheesh!

Steve, K4YZ

## 45. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie in sane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 18:26:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130869563.480221.83120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122608

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 31 Oct 2005 06:44:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> > > ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
> >> > >
> >> > > Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
> >> > > Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
> >> > > thought folks might enjoy the laugh
> >> > >
> >> > > From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
> >> > > Date: 1998/09/28
> >> > > Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
> >> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> >> > > | Report Abuse
> >> >
> >> > Yikes!
> >> >
> >> > > Well,
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > > I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
> >> > > forward,
> >> > > no-holds barred personal I ever read!
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > > SR
> >> >
> >> > Thought Steve was married and all that?
> >>
> >> so did I as I said I was looking the google archives searching the best
> >> evidence to use against him and that post just jumped out at me
> >
> > Sorry to break your hearts, guys, but the hacking of that account
> >was why it was dropped.
>
> of course you get to use that excuse

No "excuse", Markie...Fact...

> But I just thought it was funny and thought the folks here would a
> laugh

What laugh? At you? Then you succeeded!

> > Markie...if that's the BEST you can do to use something "against"
> >me, then you're even LAMER than I gave you credit for.
>
> it is all you use against me to make some of your charges

You ARE lame, Markie...In spades!

> > However, re-reading your post, I have to ask...
> >
> > WHERE in that post did ANYone using my old screen name actually
> >"participate" in the post other than to ask that question, as stupid as
> >it was?
> >
> > Do you REALLY think that that's some sort of "evidence"...?!?!
>
> you think a post from a hacked account is evidence against others

> > Youse guys are grabbing at some really, REALLY thin straws!
>
> I was pointing out something funny
>
> you are going all paranoid about it

There's no "paranoia" to it, Markie! Theres's YOU trying to find
ANYthing you can to get the heat off of YOUR stupidity...Ain't workin',
Buckaroo!

Steve, K4YZ

## 46. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 18:29:59 UTC
From: Cup 'O Jo ten-four <bobisue@cupojobobby.net>
Message-ID: <dk8c4t$bh0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

A Tax on The *airwaves* !!!!!!!!!!!?????????????

Geeze, dont give the U.S. Democrats any ideas for a new
tax!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dk899t$3f2$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > They cannot be 'Amateur users' if they are not licensed, they would just
>> be unlicensed users. One *has to be licensed* to be an Amateur Radio
>> Operator.
>
> In the UK you Legally require a licence... no test is involved. Its
> basically just a tax on the airwaves. But if thats the case, you say
> unlicenced Amateurs arent Amateurs, they are just unlicenced users...
> likewise anyone operating unlicenced on the CB isnt a CB'er. He, also, is
> an
> Unlicenced User... I realise its a little different in the US.
>
>> Now if an Amateur operates illegally outside the Amateur bands, is he
>> operating as an Amateur, or just as another illegal user? The FCC can
>> and most likely will revolk the hams Amateur license if caught doing so.
>> Example, some hams have been caught operating just below 10 meters on
>> the so-called 'freeband' frequencies (there are no 'freeband'
>> frequencies as those frequencies are allocated), and have had their
>> Amateur licenses revolked.
>
> Seems a bit unfair really. The thing that put me off going for my ticket
> was
> learning morse. I honestly cant see the point in it nowadays even though i
> accept it had its uses and can still "get through" when other methods fail
> but to be honest... as its only a hobby now.. why bother (use the phone)
> Obviously theres an advantage using it in war of course but technology has
> progressed.
> The thing that put me off M3 was the fact i couldnt legally use 27MHz.
> That
> and the fact i would be scoffed at in here probably more than being a
> CB'er
> ;o)
>
> 73's
> Graham
>
>

## 47. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 18:40:57 UTC
From: WhoIsIt <WhoIsIt@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <3spr5pFp38t0U1@individual.net>
Source record: 122610
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

James F. Mayer wrote:
> I know that they used to be and I have one (KOH0767) and a ham license
> (KE4MVU). I'm legal on both. I also have a general radiotelephone operator
> license.

You actually still have your CB license? I doubt it has any validity any
more. OTOH I still remember my CB license # and it would be cool to get
an official copy of it...

## 48. Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 19:42:10 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xu6s7@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xu6s7@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122613

Hiram Maxwell?

Who's that?

Man In The Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

## 49. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:03:41 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <6ZednTRyfcEfVPreRVn-tw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:

>
> The majority of CB users are perfectly well behaved individuals

You obviously haven't listened to cb in the USA. The cbers here have made 11 meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum as well as the other frequencies they operate illegally on.

## 50. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:31:41 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk8ms1$eb5$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

> > Thanks for that.... Jimmy Carter... lol... the only American President i
> > really remember from the late 70's was Ronald Regan. I guess im not that
> old
> > after all ;o)
>
> Hi hi!!!
>
> Reagan was President of the United States from 1981-1989. I guess you
> could call that the late '70's! LOL...
>
> Earl
>
> --
> Earl Needham
> Clovis, New Mexico USA
>

Exceedingly late 70's :o). I remember the banter between him and Maggie Thatcher. She was Prime minister in 79 which is where the confusion sprung from. I was more into girls, CB and music than politics so a lot of that went over my head... thank god.....

## 51. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:31:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130880702.986429.41710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: Frank Gilliland on Mon 31 Oct 2005 08:26

>On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>> <w[illegible]nut@NOSPAM□house.net> wrote:

>>> I am not calling you a liar Frank but it would be nice to back this up
>>
>> He can't.
>
>Sure I can.
>
>Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
>only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
>years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
>freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
>their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
>active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
>-doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
>you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.

More nails in the Dudly coffin are his abject failure to
even once NAME a single piece of aviation electronics, either
by proper nomenclature or familiar name after all those years
of "avionics service." That's unheard of in a field where
shop-talk is common. The best that Dudly could do is name
some "MARS equipment" (of decades ago) in messages, something
which could have been cribbed from one of the many ham websites.

One of the most telling of Dudly's blunders in replies is his
failure to note what "Vinson" was (an older standard of
electrnic cryptography) when you asked about his "service
under 'Colonel' Vinson" at 'Gordon.' :-)

Way early, Dudly tried to cover up this lack of familiarity
in both communications and/or aviation electronics military
equipment by saying "it was secret and [he] couldn't reveal
secrets." The electronics industry trade publications have
long published equipment names, contracts, manufacturers,
and general information...long before Dudly was allegedly in
the USMC. Those publications still do. All public info.

>But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
>year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than
>four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps. Also, in the late
>'80s, (before moving to Arkansas, home state of your second wife and
>your state of residence when you allegedly "retired" from the Corps in
>1992), you worked in California as an ER tech at "Hoag Memorial
>Hospital Presbyterian in Newport Beach, CA", which would be virtually
>impossible if you were also on active duty as an avionics tech.

It must be the "New Math" he was taught in the 1960s. :-)

>The ONLY way you could have been educated, trained and gained
>experience as an EMT while in the Corps is if you were a reservist.

...or did a LOT of web-browsing of OTHERS' experiences.

>All this information is publically available from what -you- have
>written on Usenet. Of course, you can always use that "my account was
>hacked" excuse, but that doesn't explain why you claimed to be in the
>Air Force Auxilliary, a retired Marine Gunny, and signed your posts
>with "Semper Paratus" (Coast Guard motto). Nor does it explain your
>interest in pirate radio, star gazing, or your hunt for ET. Clearly
>you are one very confused individual, Dudly. And as more than one
>person has previously noted, you are clearly a paramilitary-wannabe
>and a hero-wannabe.

Dudly "hunted for ET?" I missed that one. From the looks
of his postings, I think ET found HIM! :-)

BTW, Dudly did change his "signings" to "Semper Fi" later.
[that might have happened after "JAG" started on TV]

>There's plenty more information that is publically available about
>you, but I think I made my case -- using -YOUR OWN WORDS-!!!

Dudly isn't bothered by mere "details" like that...:-)

>BTW, what happened to your first marraige? Were you lying when you
>took those vows before God?

Very early on Dudly bitched about "paying all that alimony"
[to his first wife] in here. <shrug>

>> He also dodges following up on all of the references I have
>>posted.
>
>See above.

Dudly is living his own imaginary life in the Internet.

Either that or his rationalizing has it that "some hacker"
got his screen names and passwords and is "posing" as him.

>> That's because in doing so he'd have to eat crow...A LOT of
>>crow.

So much that crows could possibly become an endangered species.

>>> Although for Stevie to be Carrer Resvist? National gaurd would make a
>>> lot of his #### make more sense now you bring it up but do you have
>>> more than that
>>
>> He has NOTHING, Mark...No "evidence", no facts, no justification,
>>nothing.
>>
>> Just stabs in the dark.
>
>Wrong. I have your own words and some basic math skills. That's all I
>need to prove that you are a liar.

Thousands of postings later and Dudly DENIES ever doing anything
wrong. Dudly still has to provide some verifiable references
to any of his claims about his "military career."

Dudly WAS once "commissioned" in the Tennessee STATE Guard (NOT
affiliated with the National Guard). For a short time his
scowling visage was on one of their "brigade" unit photo pages
as a "First Lieutenant" and "communications officer." The
photo had him in cammies (the northern type, very macho). A
Tennessee STATE Guard brigade is the size of an Army company
and they hold "meetings" once a month, ostensibly to "refresh"
their knowledge of military policing (main task in the state).
The Tennessee State Guard has since revised its web pages.
Dudly's scowling visage is no longer there. [tsk, tsk]

At present, Dudly has a "commission" (?) as a "Captain" in
the Tennessee Civil Air Patrol. No photo of him there although
the state CAP Commander (a female) has hers on display as well
as some others. Dudly's QRZ.COM biography page shows him
scowling into the camera while wearing a flight suit...very
macho and 'uniformish.' Note: Biographic details such as
photos and personal details beyond that supplied by FCC
database information is submitted to QRZ by the individuals
involved...there is no claim that QRZ people actually check up
on such submissions.

Dudly dotes on uniforms, it seems. He never smiles in those
photos. [does he need a dentist?]

He once said he was a Purchasing Agent for a medium-sized
electronics company and implied he "knew a great deal about
electronics engineering" as a result of 'working with' the
engineers there. PAs are form-fillers and telephone call
makers and catalog page thumbers. Their "engineering
knowledge" is quite limited and NOT "on the bench" doing a
thing. Dudly knows dink about electronics engineering.
Dudly "worked there" less than a half year according to
posts in here, either taking that job or quitting "due to
[emotional upset over] the death of his daughter."

We are all required to accept his claims as "true" since the PCTAs all frown on challenging anything of anyone criticizing someone suffering a personal loss. No one else but Dudly can possibly have such traumatic episodes in their life and thus nobody else deserves any slack.

Dudly's excuse is that everyone else is a "liar" so only he "tells the truth" just by mere mention in a post here. Real facts are irrelevant. Arithmetic is irrelevant. Someone is always "hacking his accounts" and "posting as him" if he is challenged. He's nuts.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 52. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:33:54 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk8n06$4pl$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:6ZednTRyfcEfVPreRVn-tw@gbronline.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
>
> >
> > The majority of CB users are perfectly well behaved individuals
>
> You obviously haven't listened to cb in the USA. The cbers here have
> made 11 meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum as well as the other
> frequencies they operate illegally on.

I can only go on what i hear in the UK and fortunately it isnt as bad as
that. We still have our moments with a few thickies but normally its down to
mouthy teenagers who have no mates to hang with ....

## 53. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:36:57 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dk8n5t$k65$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Cup 'O Jo ten-four" <bobisue@cupojobobby.net> wrote in message
news:dk8c4t$bh0$0@pita.alt.net...
> A Tax on The *airwaves* !!!!!!!!!!!?????????????
>
> Geeze, dont give the U.S. Democrats any ideas for a new
> tax!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

LOL.... sorry bud.... your taxed when you earn it, taxed when you save it...
taxed when you spend it... taxed when someone dies and leaves you a chunk of
it..... mr taxman seems to have his hand firmly in the UK persons pocket on
a very regular basis. Im sure the US is similar.... dont you just love them
:o)
Gotta pay for those immigrants and asylum seekers somehow....

## 54. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:38:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130881132.340444.182710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122618

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 4:52 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> >>RST Engineering wrote:
>
>> >>>Now, lay YOURS out on the table and see who takes a knife to it.
>
>> >>>Jim
>
>> > OK, from one Jim to another:
>
>> > Novice 1967 (age 13)
>> > Technician 1968
>> > Advanced 1968
>> > Extra 1970 (because of mandatory 2 year wait)
>
>> > 2nd Class Commercial Radiotelephone 1972
>
>> > BSEE 1976 University of Pennsylvania
>> > MSEE 1992 Drexel University
>
>> > Coinventer US patent #5,358,202
>
>> > I could go on...

But not much farther. Present employer unnamed. The patent is
described only as involving "vehicular technology" according
to one of Miccolis' old Comments on one of the 18 Petitions.
My sole-invention patent of 1974 is on RADIO. <shrug>

>> That slipped my mind. Len has taken numerous shots at my Air Force
>> service in Vietnam, though he doesn't seem to know what it is that I did
>> there.
>
>It's not what you did there. What you didn't do in Vietnam appears to
>have defined your time there. You were a frustrated amateur in Vietnam
>which caused you to under go a career change.

What DID Heil DO in Vietnam? He's never been anymore specific
than Dudly the Imposter (of the "seven hostile actions").

>> He keeps alluding to MARS duty and I did spend time operating a
>> MARS circuit from Tan Son Nhut, but only in an off duty capacity.

>
>That must be the MARS duty that he refers to. Or maybe not.

Damifino. Heil just hasn't been specifc about it. Can't
"take shots" at something invisible. :-)

Poor Davie has forgotten my quoting from the Army Center for
Military History which mentions the good morale service that
Army MARS did in Vietnam.

>I think Len's a little too hard on you guys. I'm sure that your cuts
>and jabs are given with the best of intentions.

I'm sure Jack the Ripper thought the same... :-)

I'm also sure Ted Bundy thought all his female victims "were
asking for it."

>> Len's description of what it was like to be under artillery fire--even
>> though he was never actually under artillery fire.

I was once but it was from U.S. Army artillery. :-)

Just the same, the 8235th Army Unit (that I was in) never
allowed any Tokyo territory to fall into communist hands! :-)

At the same time, that same battalion of signalmen were
moving message "traffic" at the rate of 220 thousand a month
over the Army Command and Administrative Network (later
integrated into the DCS or Defense Communications System),
a worldwide network. All with TTY. Not a single morse code
link in that system since 1948.

>I thought that he was quoting W0EX or GrayJL or Xray or all three when
>he said that.

Irrelevant. If Davie says I did bad, then I did bad. He is
da Judge! "Heah come da judge...heah come da judge!"

>> That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number
>> of things in amateur radio. Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough
>> to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len
>> is something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around
>> after the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.

James Weir runs RST Engineering. It is located in Grass Valley,
CA, in Nevada County (California's "gold country"). He ran for
Governor of California, had his picture in the L.A. Times as one
of many candidates. [a former Austrian citizen won the election]

I sent Jim Weir one of my computer programs (LCie4, synthesis and
analysis of passive-component inductor-capacitor filters) and he
stated that this (freeware) program has been used by his students
(successfully) in Grass Valley. We had some brief e-mail exchages
that resulted in my modifying the older LCie program to fit the

DOS 7 in newer Windows. LCie was written in MS FORTRAN 5.1 but on an earlier operating system and that (now unsupported by MS) FORTRAN did not have the compiler links to fit DOS 7. LCie4 runs only under DOS, doesn't have the flash, dash, or pizazz of color Windows but is nonetheless accurate and proven. It is freeware to anyone requesting it...something I mentioned in rec.radio.amateur.homebrew some time ago.

RST Engineering makes some neat electronics for general aviation aircraft. RST has a nice website if anyone cares to look. One of the neat things they do is what I would term "SURFACE MOUNT VHF antennas" for aircraft fabric surfaces. :-) Neat! They don't stick out in the airstream and thus have minimal drag. [international civil aviation band is 108 to 137 MHz]

>But, but, but what if the Coslo BBS is up and running? Hi, hi!

Then the Coslonaut will - once again - be "at the edge of space!"

By the way, outer space is only a half hour's drive away... provided your car can go straight UP. :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 55. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:40:46 UTC
From: Cup 'O Jo ten-four <bobisue@cupojobobby.net>
Message-ID: <dk8nak$psl$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:6ZednTRyfcEfVPreRVn-tw@gbronline.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
>
>>
>> The majority of CB users are perfectly well behaved individuals
>
> You obviously haven't listened to cb in the USA. The cbers here have made
> 11 meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum as well as the other
> frequencies they operate illegally on.

There are no illegal CB freqs.

## 56. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:43:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130881413.507267.306610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122620

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 4:42 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 2:31 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 5:50 am
>> >> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >> > On 28 Oct 2005 18:55:32 -0700, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Ever notice that James P. Miccolis never concerned himself
>> at all about Dudly the Imposter calling me "Lennie" for
>> over a year in here? :-)
>
>> Jimmie thought he could get another to the dirty work he
>> craved. :-)
>
>Jim is completely oblivious to everything except his own peeves.

That's IMPORTANT to him. Personally, I think he wants Dave
Sumner's job of writing editorials in QST for the ARRL.

He ought to do a historical article on how he pioneered
the NTS as a teen-age ham in those ancient days of the
1970s.

>> >> >> If he means me, *why* can't he just call me Jim, or N2EY?
>
>> >> >All this confusion, then you go ahead and answer af if it were you he
>> >> >was referring to. That is the lie that Mark refers to.
>
>> >> Further reinforcement of the misdirection onto Jimmie's demand
>> >> for FORMALITY and RESPECTFULNESS...when it comes to HIM. :-)
>
>> >Whole nother set of rules for Jim.
>
>> PCTA Double Standard...alive and well in rrap.
>
>MMMM.

The only "standard" that is allowed is unflagging allegiance
to the Order of the Church of St. Hiram.

>> >> Poor Jimmie. I dropped that "age requirement for amateur licensees"
>> >> six years ago and he just can't LET GO of it. He MUST keep on

>> >> arguing and arguing and arguing and arguing it over and over and
>> >> over and over again...perhaps hoping that I will "give in" or
>> >> acknowledge his Lordship's Superior Intellect or whatever. :-)
>
>> >He just wants me to bring up him saying that "A Morse Code Exam would
>> >be a barrier to Morse Code Use."
>
>> He hasn't done it YET. How long has it been?
>
>He's embarassed that he ever utered such words. Might get him tossed
>out of FISTS.

It's sort of like Dee Flint - experienced scoutmaster - wanting
to "explain" scouting to all...and especially to adult leaders.

By ignoring critique from those who actually know, they can
claim "message victory" and that they are really "right." :-)

>> >> >> I'm just opposed to a minimum age requirement for an amateur radio
>> >> >> license.
>
>> >> >Good for you. Len is in favor of an age requirement.
>
>> >> What I "favor" is NOT allowed in here according to James P.
>> >> Miccolis, renowned amateur historian and mighty macho motivated
>> >> morseman extra. :-)
>
>> >He is self-appointed.
>
>> But...he might have an "official certificate" (suitable for framing)
>> saying he IS one or both of those! :-)
>
>I think I still have some blank Air Force certificates of thanks.

You could send a few to all those "military supporters" out
there. The first one ought to go to "Captain" Stevie Wonder
of the TN CAP for "outstanding service." [he stood out on the
flightline]

The second one ought to go to long-time supporter and athlete
cup holder Jimmie for all that extraordinary traffic direction
on the NTS and his historical knowledge of the military.

>> >You gotta remember that these guys are almost always behind the times.
>
>> They'd probably be behind the Wall Street Journal...if they could
>> afford a copy. :-)
>
>Having to build a tube transmitter probably saved him a bunch.

He made up for it in all the praise he got from all his neighbors
who came in to admire his extraordinary work and praise his

expertise in pioneering vacuum tube technology in the 1990s.

>> Everyone is scrupulously honest in morse mode...they never ever
>> swear, are always civil towards one another, and never is heard
>> a discouraging word while the skies are not cloudy all day...
>
>Home, home on the RADAR Range...

Well, after (ugh) reading through 3,599 filings on the FCC ECFS
under WT Docket 05-235 (up to 31 October 2005), I'm convinced
that way too many radio amateurs are still stuck to the glorious
past of a half century ago in radio communications. Their bliss
over the efficacy of morsemanship shines on under skies unclouded
by progress in technology...which had already begun before they
got their first amateur license. They BELIEVE deep in their
little hearts that morsemanship is THE essential ingredient in
becoming an extra-super-special radio "expert," "well-rounded"
and a "leader" in amateurism. It's a Belief so deep, so basic,
that they are convinced that ALL morsemen are "experts" on
everything and those who don't Believe as they do are heretics
who know nothing about everything.

Must be wonderful to exist in such deep delusions of grandeur,
very satisfying, off in a wonderland of their own fantasies
of self-importance and Greatness. <shrug>

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 57. Re: Radio is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:47:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051101164718.359$Rt@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,cz.zamestnani.hledam,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> "Gerry Lintonice" <miami_cure@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
> news:1130839017.128433.180260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Yes, radios are sending gay-waves to male members, turning the men into
> > faggots who will buttfuck other men to infect them with more gayness.
> >
> > Very very GAY GAY GAY !!!
>
> I see you've been exposed to the rays for some time now....
>
Heh, fairy-gerry tries to blame it on RF. Is there no limit to the madness?
```

## 58. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:48:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130881733.049531.223890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122622

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 5:36 pm

>kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>> gather
>
>> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
>> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
>> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>
>> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
>> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
>> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up

That should be BRIAN as in Brian Burke, but Mark keeps on
with Dudly the Imposter's deliberate misspelling... :-(

My USPS address has been on dozens of bylines in Ham Radio
magazine and in dozens of ECFS filings at the FCC. It is
no secret. I don't need either pseudonyms nor fantasy
pasts to express myself, here or anyplace else.

>I don't think so.
>
>Steve has been warned away from visiting my home, and that's good
>enough for me at this time.
>
>His anti-everything comments are public record. Ditto his paranoid
>"liar behind every tree" fantasy. Google provides.

Thousands of his postings are intact on Google.

Dudly doesn't realize those are evidence of HIS fantasies.

>Being the prolific poster that he is, he's probably made hundreds if
>not thousands of posts from his employers computers. If they don't
>already know what kind of idiot they have on "thier" hands by now they
>never will.

There's two takes on that. One, this newsgroup doesn't involve
the medical profession anywhere. As such, it doesn't apply to
any state medical licensing board. Two, such a medical board
may not concern themselves with anyone's background.

There have been a few cases of deranged nurses who have
killed patients, one last year in the east. The one most

familiar to me is Elfren Saldivar, the convicted "mercy killer" at a Glendale, CA, hospital, an anesthiology-specialist nurse there; he had injected several elderly patients with some kind of fatal dose "to ease their suffering." That Glendale hospital had NOT done a good background check on Saldivar and could not see that he had done similar things at another hospital.

Mark is on his own. Back a few years, some anony-mousie tried to intimidate me publicly, saying he would be "in my neighborhood" at a certain period of time and wanted to "discuss things" with me in person. I printed up a large collection of that individual's postings, showing their full Internet routing, had a talk with the Foothill Division of the LAPD (who got that packet). My wife and I proceded to go up north to Washington to visit (scheduled long before that message exchange happened) while this individual was in the general area. The LAPD has had a "stalking" detail for a few years now after several incidents (and one fatality) of stalkers attacking their victims. While we were gone, this individual had tried telephoning me but got only our answering machine. Telephone company security office was informed and they apparently checked that out, both from the Caller ID info I had and the tape of the recorded message. The "stalker" had called from an unlisted number in the southern part of Greater Los Angeles, the best info I could get legally from the telephone company...never got close to where my residence was. I don't know what the LAPD did...they did some investigation but one can't get details on such if no overt crime had been committed.

The pseudo-macho types who try intimidation on computers only fool themselves. They don't understand that their "victims" can assemble a great deal of information on intimidators before anything goes down. Such would be excellent evidence of intent if they were nuts enough to try any physical violence of any kind. Worse (for them) is that it gives their target advance warning which could result in a very rude surprise for them when they do try something.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 59. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:53:53 UTC
From: Cup 'O Jo ten-four <bobisue@cupojobobby.net>
Message-ID: <dk8o38$svo$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:E4KdneVX-OtFf_renZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> Cup 'O Jo ten-four wrote:
>> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>> news:6ZednTRyfcEfVPreRVn-tw@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>The majority of CB users are perfectly well behaved individuals
>>>
>>>You obviously haven't listened to cb in the USA. The cbers here have made
>>>11 meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum as well as the other
>>>frequencies they operate illegally on.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> There are no illegal CB freqs.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> You really missed the point, I never made any reference to 'illegal cb
> frequencis', I said *other* ////////SNIPPED/////////

PLONK

FLUSHED

gone!

## 60. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 21:54:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051101165449.375$Nb@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> "Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > Typical CB response, isn't it?
> >
>
> Corse it isnt... its a typical TROLL responce.... just like yours....
>
Four groups with one hook.

## 61. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:02:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130880897.448724.58020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122626

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 3:00 pm

>an_old_friend wrote:

>> ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
>
>> Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
>> Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
>> thought folks might enjoy the laugh
>
>> From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
>> Date: 1998/09/28
>> Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy

>Yikes!
>
>> Well,
>
>> I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
>> forward, no-holds barred personal I ever read!
>
>Thought Steve was married and all that?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Dudly would NEVER post anything like that! :-)

Someone obviously "hacked his account" on AOL and "posed as
him!" :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 62. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:11:50 UTC
From: Spudman <tator@spuds.com>
Message-ID: <sb3ul1dchnd03o65stvvu5608bqgqahccu@4ax.com>
Source record: 122627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 17:45:54 -0000, "The Magnum"
<magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>
>"Spudman" <tator@spuds.com> wrote in message
>news:dqhrl1lnqqc9gcq2j0gc7o01oqeqbdfo0g@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 20:03:01 -0000, "The Magnum"
>> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >"Spudman" <tator@spuds.com> wrote in message
>> >news:n9dol1pv55ecomigju4lc1mpht474ikts8@4ax.com...
>> >> On 28 Oct 2005 13:24:10 -0700, "jdobb2001" <jdobbs2001@yahoo.com>
>> >> wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >landlines, cells,power down but CB still works. Got to CH 19 and got
>> >> >some good local info on open gas stations with fuel before the average
>> >> >joes and I was able to fill my tank and gas jugs. Switch to my other
>> >> >channel and I make contact with family who also dont have phone.
>> >> >
>> >> >My base is on and open. CH 19 is active, and a local neighborhood
>> >> >channel has opened up for local chitchat, info etc.
>> >> >
>> >> >So much for Ham radio being the disaster recovery solution. I wonder
>> >> >how well those repeaters are doing lol.
>> >>
>> >> the only thing cb is good for is finding hookers, gay diesel dummies
>> >> and drug dealers.
>> >
>> >I see you use it often then..... ha ha flipping ha...
>> >
>>
>> ha ha, how does that hook feel in your mouth ? ha ha flipping ha...
>
>You have issues... have you tried councilling?
>

just setting that hook a little deeper! ha ha flipping ha...
```

## 63. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:17:38 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xung6@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xung6@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122663

K4YZ Wrote:
>
>
> First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for.....
>
> Secondly, if Y O U ........
>
> Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" ..........
>
>

Did I call that one right, or what!!!!!!!!

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

## 64. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:20:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051101172001.883$P8@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> "Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:CbOdnSU7m7_xB_veRVn-ig@comcast.com...
> > The Magnum wrote:
> >
> > > "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> > > news:dv2dnXLtUYqo7PveRVn-ow@gbronline.com...
> > >
> > >>The Magnum wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >>>news:1130726770.134202.260550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>>The Magnum wrote:
> > >>>>
> > >>>>
> > >>>>>"Lloyd" <sx@lloyd523sx.net> wrote in message
> > >>>>>news:dk34ro$hi1$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>>Uhhh, reread the post. I am not talking about hams.
> > >>>>>>In certain large U.S. cities, hams are not the problem on
> > >>>>>>two meters.
> > >>>>>
> > >>>>>Then who is causing the problem??
> > >>>>
> > >>>>I assume he claiming that Nonhams are using the band ilegally
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>Surely that cant just be dropped at the CB'ers door like the hint
> > >
> > > implies.
> > >
> > >Just because some unsavories are using the band incorrectly its
> > >
> > > instantly
> > >
> > >CB'ers to blame?. In fairness its illegal Amateurs not CB'ers. When
> does
> > >
> > > a
> > >
```

> > >>>CB'er no longer get called a CB'er..... when he goes on the amateur
> > >
> > > bands
> > >
> > >>>instead... hes not a CBer then..... hes as said previous.. an
> > >>>Illegal Amateur ie. Unlicenced Amateur using the amateur bands.
> > >>
> > >>Not sure there is such a thing as an 'unlicensed' amateur. If they
> > >>don't have a license, they aren't an amateur radio operator. The
> > >>folks illegally using the band are unlicensed illegal users, some of
> > >>whom likely also operate on the cb band or even other frequencies
> > >>where they are illegal because of a lack of a license.
> > >
> > >
> > > Ok lets take the discussion the other way. Is a CBer someone who uses
> > > a
> CB
> > > with a licence or is a CBer someone who just uses a CB and doesnt
> > > give a damn about a licence? If he has NOT got a licence.. in your
> > > style of words... how can he be classed a CB operator??? see my
> > > point?? The people screwing around on Amateur frequencies may also
> > > mess about on
> CB
> > > but if they arent licenced on CB they arent CB'ers.. they are just
> > > abusers... same as if Amateur users arent licenced they arent
> > > Amateurs.
> They
> > > are also just abusers..
> > > Regards,
> > > Graham
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Graham, If I recall correctly, CBers in the USA are not FCC Licensed.
> >
> > Amateur Radio operators are FCC Licensed.
> >
>
> Not sure how it works in your country but in the UK, CB users have to be
> licenced as do Amateurs... I know in the past you used to have to have
> an FCC licence for CB as i remember the application forms quite clearly
> being in the Boxes that they used to come in. That was back in the late
> 70's though.
> My original point was that just because some dum dum decides to mess
> about on the Amateur bands the majority of the Ham fraternity immediately
> call them CB'ers.. basically as an insult. Ie.. anyone messing about and
> causing trouble must be a CBer. They arent prepaired to accept its either
> idiot Hams or their children messing about on their radios, or just
> someone who bought/nicked a radio and hasnt the brains to use it
> properly.

>
> The majority of CB users are perfectly well behaved individuals as are
> most Amateurs but you know yourself in all walks of life there are the
> sort you could quite happily do without..
>
> 73's
> Graham
>
Very well stated, Graham. There used to be a license requirement for CB here in the US, but not anymore. (for a long time now)

I got my first CB license around 1972 or so..my dad had to sign for it. He thought I was nutz! (he still does) Had to search for someone to talk to ground wave back then..

It's not the majority of radio operators that are so quick to throw CBer's under the bus. In fact, many radio operators started with CB. A few high horse blowhards think 11 meters is only good for gutter snipes, (gutter snipes can be entertaining too).

It's a fun band, and invaluable on a highway trip.

/off soapbox

## 65. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:22:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051101172252.964$CV@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
> Graham,
> The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was dropped
> back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was responsible
> for dropping the licensing requirement.
> Ace - WH2T
>
Dang, a Democrat for less government? -shocking- (j/k, no political
cross-posted flame wars please) :)

## 66. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:26:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051101172636.763$TX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Earl Needham" <munged@3lefties.com> wrote:
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:dk89nv$pvn$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >
> > "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
> > news:cd22f$4367a742$3f129bde$27261@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> > > Graham,
> > > The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was
> dropped
> > > back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was
> > > responsible
> for
> > > dropping the licensing requirement.
> > > Ace - WH2T
> >
> > Thanks for that.... Jimmy Carter... lol... the only American President
> > i really remember from the late 70's was Ronald Regan. I guess im not
> > that
> old
> > after all ;o)
>
> Hi hi!!!
>
> Reagan was President of the United States from 1981-1989. I guess
> you could call that the late '70's! LOL...
>
> Earl
>
Too much 70's purple haze runnin' round the brain. hehe (i think he's a
Brit so maybe he gets a free pass on that one?)

## 67. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:28:31 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <iZR9f.5027$IB.106@dukeread11>
Source record: 122631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Amos Keag wrote:

>>
>>
>> BULLSHIT!
>>
>
> Typical CB response, isn't it?

yep. guess they can't be bothered to respond with an "intelligent" response,
huh?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

## 68. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:38:09 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051101173809.352$Mh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> The Magnum wrote:
>
> >
> > The majority of CB users are perfectly well behaved individuals
>
> You obviously haven't listened to cb in the USA.
>
You claimed you've never used CB, JJ. Yet you post with what looks like a
CB handle, and claim to be -all- knowing about CB. Strange that.

Are you a CBer, or not?

## 69. Pinging Markie's Attorney...How Do You Expect To Get Paid...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:38:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130884692.613046.310140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122633

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> gather

"Move against..."

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>
> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
>
> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> lying on Stevie part

And they will let you know that they have NO scope of authority
over this discussion group!

> in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
> Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
> seemed most important to the person I was speaking to
>
> Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
> witness tampering

Uh huh...rrrriiiiiiiggggghhhhhttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttt

What you WANT is to be able to thrash me unhindered.

Good luck!

Steve, K4YZ

## 70. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:38:37 UTC
From: Spudman <tator@spuds.com>
Message-ID: <pu4ul11an7d011b83jupjlqhqd3t01nlfu@4ax.com>
Source record: 122634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On 01 Nov 2005 22:26:36 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Earl Needham" <munged@3lefties.com> wrote:
>> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:dk89nv$pvn$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
>> >
>> > "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
>> > news:cd22f$4367a742$3f129bde$27261@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>> > > Graham,
>> > > The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was
>> dropped
>> > > back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was
>> > > responsible
>> for
>> > > dropping the licensing requirement.
>> > > Ace - WH2T
>> >
>> > Thanks for that.... Jimmy Carter... lol... the only American President
>> > i really remember from the late 70's was Ronald Regan. I guess im not
>> > that
>> old
>> > after all ;o)
>>
>> Hi hi!!!
>>
>> Reagan was President of the United States from 1981-1989. I guess
>> you could call that the late '70's! LOL...
>>
>> Earl
>>
>Too much 70's purple haze runnin' round the brain. hehe (i think he's a
>Brit so maybe he gets a free pass on that one?)

seems like the brits are always 10 years behind

## 71. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:39:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051101173956.039$9g@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:6ZednTRyfcEfVPreRVn-tw@gbronline.com...
> > The Magnum wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > The majority of CB users are perfectly well behaved individuals
> >
> > You obviously haven't listened to cb in the USA. The cbers here have
> > made 11 meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum as well as the other
> > frequencies they operate illegally on.
>
> I can only go on what i hear in the UK and fortunately it isnt as bad as
> that. We still have our moments with a few thickies but normally its down
> to mouthy teenagers who have no mates to hang with ....
>
Cmd Buzzard is a closet CBer. Ask what his ham call is.
```

## 72. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:40:19 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <17pfm11ome6gqge18mj4rs843fhn1f3u0t@4ax.com>
Source record: 122636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 1 Nov 2005 13:31:43 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1130880702.986429.41710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>From: Frank Gilliland on Mon 31 Oct 2005 08:26
>
>>On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>> <w?nut@NOSPAM?house.net> wrote:
>
>>>> I am not calling you a liar Frank but it would be nice to back this up
>>>
>>> He can't.
>>
>>Sure I can.
>>
>>Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
>>only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
>>years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
>>freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
>>their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
>>active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
>>-doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
>>you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.
>
> More nails in the Dudly coffin are his abject failure to
> even once NAME a single piece of aviation electronics, either
> by proper nomenclature or familiar name after all those years
> of "avionics service." That's unheard of in a field where
> shop-talk is common. The best that Dudly could do is name
> some "MARS equipment" (of decades ago) in messages, something
> which could have been cribbed from one of the many ham websites.

He mentions nothing because he basically did nothing. Reservists do
very little in their MOS and therefore retain very little regarding
the same.

> One of the most telling of Dudly's blunders in replies is his
> failure to note what "Vinson" was (an older standard of
> electrnic cryptography) when you asked about his "service
> under 'Colonel' Vinson" at 'Gordon.' :-)

Yeah, it's hard to resist bragging about that one.

> Way early, Dudly tried to cover up this lack of familiarity
> in both communications and/or aviation electronics military
> equipment by saying "it was secret and [he] couldn't reveal
> secrets." The electronics industry trade publications have
> long published equipment names, contracts, manufacturers,
> and general information...long before Dudly was allegedly in
> the USMC. Those publications still do. All public info.

Case in point: the M16-A1 was manufactured by several different companies including General Motors and (are you ready for this?) Mattel. The venerable PRC-77 was the brainchild of the engineers at Motorola..... they must have been on drugs to design a radio with a dual switching IF system.... but hey, it worked. It just cost a whole lot more than a simpler design. And the VINSON crypto system has been documented many times on the net long before I ever mentioned it.

>>But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
>>year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than
>>four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps. Also, in the late
>>'80s, (before moving to Arkansas, home state of your second wife and
>>your state of residence when you allegedly "retired" from the Corps in
>>1992), you worked in California as an ER tech at "Hoag Memorial
>>Hospital Presbyterian in Newport Beach, CA", which would be virtually
>>impossible if you were also on active duty as an avionics tech.
>
> It must be the "New Math" he was taught in the 1960s. :-)

Given: a = b
Multiply both sides by [a]: a^2 = ab
Subract [b^2] from both sides: a^2 - b^2 = ab - b^2
Factor: (a + b)(a - b) = b(a - b)
Divide (a - b) from both sides: (a + b) = b
Since a = b, substitute: (a + a) = a
Therefore: 2a = a
Divide both sides by [a]: 2 = 1

>>The ONLY way you could have been educated, trained and gained
>>experience as an EMT while in the Corps is if you were a reservist.
>
> ...or did a LOT of web-browsing of OTHERS' experiences.

Most likely both.

>>All this information is publically available from what -you- have
>>written on Usenet. Of course, you can always use that "my account was
>>hacked" excuse, but that doesn't explain why you claimed to be in the
>>Air Force Auxilliary, a retired Marine Gunny, and signed your posts
>>with "Semper Paratus" (Coast Guard motto). Nor does it explain your
>>interest in pirate radio, star gazing, or your hunt for ET. Clearly
>>you are one very confused individual, Dudly. And as more than one
>>person has previously noted, you are clearly a paramilitary-wannabe
>>and a hero-wannabe.
>

> Dudly "hunted for ET?" I missed that one. From the looks
> of his postings, I think ET found HIM! :-)

http://tinyurl.com/8apbr

> BTW, Dudly did change his "signings" to "Semper Fi" later.
> [that might have happened after "JAG" started on TV]
>
>>There's plenty more information that is publically available about
>>you, but I think I made my case -- using -YOUR OWN WORDS-!!!
>
> Dudly isn't bothered by mere "details" like that...:-)
>
>>BTW, what happened to your first marraige? Were you lying when you
>>took those vows before God?
>
> Very early on Dudly bitched about "paying all that alimony"
> [to his first wife] in here. <shrug>
>
>>> He also dodges following up on all of the references I have
>>>posted.
>>
>>See above.
>
> Dudly is living his own imaginary life in the Internet.

His "hero-wannabe" dysfunction isn't limited to the internet.....

He seeks praise as a hero in just about everything he does. He looks for it in the military .....the Marine Corps Reserve, the Air Force Auxilliary, CAP, TN State Guard..... he even made passive assertions that he was a Coast Guard vet. He discovered that the medical field is full of hero recognition so he became an LPN and works in emergency rooms. He got his ham license so he can work RACES. When he discovered the internet he extended his praise-panhandling to the newsgroups and the web, and even started his own yahoo group for hero-nurses.

But that hero-rush doesn't last very long so he seeks the opportunity to be the hero -beyond- the established emergency services. He drives around off-duty with a medical bag, a scanner and emergency lights, hoping to run across someone in distress that he can save. One step further and he'll be -staging- emergency situations just so he can be the hero (assuming he hasn't done so already).

Dudly is a very disturbed individual.

> Either that or his rationalizing has it that "some hacker"
> got his screen names and passwords and is "posing" as him.

Doubtful. I haven't seen a header from any of his posts that wasn't consistent with the rest.

<snip>
> Dudly's excuse is that everyone else is a "liar" so only
> he "tells the truth" just by mere mention in a post here.
> Real facts are irrelevant. Arithmetic is irrelevant.
> Someone is always "hacking his accounts" and "posting as
> him" if he is challenged. He's nuts.

He's challenged.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 73. Re: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM) and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:42:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130884976.075118.9150@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122637

Iitoi wrote:
> Hiram Maxwell?
>
> Who's that?

That's Markie trying to impress us.

Didn't work. Just made us laugh...Again...

Steve, K4YZ

## 74. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:45:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051101174517.067$1e@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122638

```
N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
> Amos Keag wrote:
>
> >>
> >>
> >> BULLSHIT!
> >>
> >
> > Typical CB response, isn't it?
>
> yep. guess they can't be bothered to respond with an "intelligent"
> response, huh?
>
Never use a CB, Eric?
```

## 75. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:47:33 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051101174733.443$tg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
Spudman <tator@spuds.com> wrote:
> On 01 Nov 2005 22:26:36 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >"Earl Needham" <munged@3lefties.com> wrote:
> >> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> >> news:dk89nv$pvn$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >> >
> >> > "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:cd22f$4367a742$3f129bde$27261@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> >> > > Graham,
> >> > > The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was
> >> dropped
> >> > > back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was
> >> > > responsible
> >> for
> >> > > dropping the licensing requirement.
> >> > > Ace - WH2T
> >> >
> >> > Thanks for that.... Jimmy Carter... lol... the only American
> >> > President i really remember from the late 70's was Ronald Regan. I
> >> > guess im not that
> >> old
> >> > after all ;o)
> >>
> >> Hi hi!!!
> >>
> >> Reagan was President of the United States from 1981-1989. I guess
> >> you could call that the late '70's! LOL...
> >>
> >> Earl
> >>
> >Too much 70's purple haze runnin' round the brain. hehe (i think he's a
> >Brit so maybe he gets a free pass on that one?)
>
> seems like the brits are always 10 years behind
>
Nah, they are one of few friends we have left man.
```

## 76. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:50:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130885407.075937.320220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

Huge snip...More LennieLying in full force...

> At present, Dudly has a "commission" (?) as a "Captain" in
> the Tennessee Civil Air Patrol. No photo of him there although
> the state CAP Commander (a female) has hers on display as well
> as some others. Dudly's QRZ.COM biography page shows him
> scowling into the camera while wearing a flight suit...very
> macho and 'uniformish.' Note: Biographic details such as
> photos and personal details beyond that supplied by FCC
> database information is submitted to QRZ by the individuals
> involved...there is no claim that QRZ people actually check up
> on such submissions.

Uhhh....Lennie...What's with you and "facts"...?!?!

The current Tennessee Wing Commander is very much a male.

To the best of my knowledge, there's never been a female Wing
Commander in Tennessee Wing. Several other wings are commanded by
women.

There are no female Group commanders within Tennessee Wing.

Although there are female squadron commanders, none of them are in
Group 2...I am a part of Group 2 staff. And I'm not a Captain, Lennie.
Haven't been for some time.

> Dudly's excuse is that everyone else is a "liar" so only
> he "tells the truth" just by mere mention in a post here.
> Real facts are irrelevant. Arithmetic is irrelevant.
> Someone is always "hacking his accounts" and "posting as
> him" if he is challenged. He's nuts.

Yes, Lennie...You ARE a liar.

The above few lines proof of same since you expressed all of the
above as "fact" while almost none of it is factual.

As ususal.

Steve, K4YZ

## 77. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:51:45 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <E4KdneVX-OtFf_renZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
Cup 'O Jo ten-four wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:6ZednTRyfcEfVPreRVn-tw@gbronline.com...
>
>>The Magnum wrote:
>>
>>
>>>The majority of CB users are perfectly well behaved individuals
>>
>>You obviously haven't listened to cb in the USA. The cbers here have made
>>11 meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum as well as the other
>>frequencies they operate illegally on.
>
>
>
>
> There are no illegal CB freqs.
>
>
>
>

You really missed the point, I never made any reference to 'illegal cb
frequencis', I said *other* frequencies (meaning frequencies other than
the cb frequencies) they operate on *illegally*. Try to keep up.
```

## 78. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 22:57:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130885835.028171.258930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122641

Iitoi wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com Wrote:
> >
> > Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you
> > may
> > send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
> > you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
> > "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
>
> Having seen his "style" here the past several weeks, my wager is that
> Steve's prepared numerous escape routes with wiggle room to easily
> welch on this one.

Perhaps YOU would care to substantiate the "wager" that they
allege, meeting even some small smidgen of validity?

Care to show where the wager was accepted and agreeded upon?

Steve, K4YZ

## 79. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 23:21:38 UTC
From: "James F. Mayer" <jfma@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <6MS9f.3079$2y.1079@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122642
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"WhoIsIt" <WhoIsIt@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:3spr5pFp38t0U1@individual.net...
>
>
> James F. Mayer wrote:
>> I know that they used to be and I have one (KOH0767) and a ham
>> license (KE4MVU). I'm legal on both. I also have a general
>> radiotelephone operator license.
>
> You actually still have your CB license?

Sure do.

I doubt it has any validity any
> more.

More sentimental value than anything else.

OTOH I still remember my CB license # and it would be cool to get
> an official copy of it...

## 80. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 23:25:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130887536.868388.316460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122643

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of October 31, 2005:

Novice - 27,410 (4.1%) [decrease of 21,919]
Technician - 272,682 (41.1%) [increase of 67,288]
Technician Plus - 45,612 (6.9%) [decrease of 83,258]
General - 135,711 (20.4%) [increase of 23,034]
Advanced - 74,900 (11.3%) [decrease of 24,882]
Extra - 107,216 (16.2%) [increase of 28,466]

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,294 (48.0%) [decrease of 15,960]

Total all classes - 663,531 (decrease of 11,261)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued.

Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
since the Technician class includes a significant
number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 81. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 23:32:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130887920.091685.10120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122644

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
>
> As of 31 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments

Not just Comments, Len. You counted Reply Comments and other filings,
including the NPRM itself, right?

> on Test Element 1
> Elimination/Retention tabulation:
>
> ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> -------------- ---------------
> Grand Total 3599 1617
>
> Indeterminate (note 1) 232 119
>
> Value for Percentages 2367 1498
>
> Against NPRM (note 2) 1100 [32.67%] 541 [36.11%]
> For NPRM (note 3) 1679 [49.87%] 643 [42.92%]

WHOA!

You mean that the majority did *NOT* support the NPRM?

The *MAJORITY* of filings do *NOT* support complete elimination
of the Morse Code test?

Even by *YOUR* counting methods?

Sunuvagun!

The majority wants at least some Morse Code testing, Len - like it or
not!

## 82. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-01 23:56:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130889362.340191.218670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122645

Who CHECKS YOUR WORK?

From which website did you obtain this "figures?"

How do you KNOW that all those in the grace period
have NOT renewed?

Without CHECKING those "figures" they would not
be ACCURATE. [very UNprofessional!]

WHY is it "informative" that you must continue to do
the "Speroni Stats" maneuver to "lump" the Technician
classes together?

Tsk, tsk, tsk...quite a "track record of mistakes there..."

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 83. Halloween Time at WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 00:09:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130890155.784818.200720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122646

31 October 2005 was the last offical day of Comments. In
the 440 filings of that date (as of 7 PM on 1 Nov 05) is
this funny little gem:

==========

From "Sen. Barry M. Goldwater, R AZ"
"6250 N. Hogahn Dr."
"Scottsdale, AZ 85253-3921"

"If the FCC does away with the Telegraphy requirement
for Amateur Radio, I will ROLL OVER in my grave!"

"KEEP THE CODE!"

==========

:-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 84. Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 00:12:29 UTC
From: Sir Chadwick of Ousinge <Bchedricke@runbissh.com>
Message-ID: <8bcb2$43680593$d1cc7a97$7592@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 122648

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1130829762.254079.318150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

(much ham radio Bs circumsized at the base of the root)

I think all you hams pissing on each other
in cyberspace need to make one of these:

---> http://www.homemade-sex-toys.com/halloween/index.html

Call CQ on 40 while riding this - Ho!!-Ho!!

## 85. Re: Radio is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 00:17:45 UTC
From: Sir Chadwick of Ousinge <Bchedricke@runbissh.com>
Message-ID: <e6f19$43680595$d1cc7a97$7592@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 122649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Gerry Lintonice" <miami_cure@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:1130839017.128433.180260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Yes, radios are sending gay-waves to male members, turning the men into
> faggots who will buttfuck other men to infect them with more gayness.
>
> Very very GAY GAY GAY !!!
>

You need to go here: http://www.homemade-sex-toys.com/

## 86. Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 00:18:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130890694.158697.170530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122647

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130768302.683869.320990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> >> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
> >
> >> >Let's talk about standards, Dudly....(SNIP)
> >
> >> what you going on about?
> >
> > For once you've gotten something VERY right, MARK.
> >
> >> >And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further....(HUGE SNIP)
> >
> >> >You have never disclosed your reservist status
> >>
> >> reservist? Stevie boy is reservist?
> >
> > Nope. Except, as I clearly noted, my 4 months as "Delayed Entry"
> >prior to going active in September 1974.
> >
> > It was a wild stab in the dark by the disgraced, court martialed
> >liar, Mark.
> >
> >> I am not calling you a liar Frank but it would be nice to back this up
> >
> > He can't.
>
> Sure I can.

No...You can make silly allegations (hence your nick-name of
"Silliland") that are just pie-in-the-sky guesses.

"Proof" would be some document that actually showed my reserve
status above and beyond the Delayed Entry period...

The problem for YOU is that nothing of the sort exists.

> Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
> only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
> years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
> freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
> their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between

> active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
> -doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
> you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.

Uhhhh...Looking for that reg wherein US Marines are not allowed to keep personal pursuits while on active duty...

And you make reference as to what my "DOD" (properly DoD) record states per my MOS's, but you and I BOTH know that that same record shows nothing but active duty service (Delayed Entry notwithstanding...)

> But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
> year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than
> four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps.

Uh huh. Six, actually.

Thee are a LOT of Marines who are EMT's...Both as Crash-Rescue personnel on MCAS's and as "off duty" pursuits.

My first EMT card was in Pennsylvania in February 1986. PA EMT-MAST 014402. Followed up by EMT-1A in Orange County CA in June of 1986. I also held National Registry (took that test at the hospital at MCB Camp Pendleton) It has/had nothing to do with my MOS...Just what I was interested in doing.

BTW...I received a CG's Certificate of Commendation in 1988 for life-saving from CG Third MAW, then another one from the CG First MAW in 1990 for a second event...

That EMT training came in handy!

> Also, in the late
> '80s, (before moving to Arkansas, home state of your second wife and
> your state of residence when you allegedly "retired" from the Corps in
> 1992), you worked in California as an ER tech at "Hoag Memorial
> Hospital Presbyterian in Newport Beach, CA", which would be virtually
> impossible if you were also on active duty as an avionics tech.

Uh....No...Why would having a part time job less than 10 miles from the base where I was stationed at (and 1.5 miles from where I lived...) be a problem?

Are all those Marines who work part-time jobs in Jacksonville, Oceanside, San Diego and other USMC-base associated cities doing something illegal?

Is working part time as an ER tech any different than working at Taco Bell, singing in a church choir or participating in the Big Brothers/Sisters programs...?!?!

> The ONLY way you could have been educated, trained and gained
> experience as an EMT while in the Corps is if you were a reservist.

How is that? Did I violate some MCO in my 18 years by leaving the barracks and participating in non-USMC pursuits?

"Leatherneck" used to publish ton's of "human interest" stories on Marines who did exactly those things....

Is it YOUR contention that all of those Marines were NOT "real Marines" because they did something that wasn't associated with their official duties?

> All this information is publically available from what -you- have
> written on Usenet. Of course, you can always use that "my account was
> hacked" excuse, but that doesn't explain why you claimed to be in the
> Air Force Auxilliary, a retired Marine Gunny, and signed your posts
> with "Semper Paratus" (Coast Guard motto).

Uh...Actually I use "Paratus et Vigilans", a personal motto which means "Prepared and Vigilant".

Did I miss some law that says once someone uses a latin word in THEIR motto, no one else can use it...?!?!

> Nor does it explain your
> interest in pirate radio, star gazing, or your hunt for ET. Clearly
> you are one very confused individual, Dudly. And as more than one
> person has previously noted, you are clearly a paramilitary-wannabe
> and a hero-wannabe.

I see you harbor the same "hate anyone who does more than I do" sentiments that Lennie and Brain do...

Of course you managed to screw up the one paid "uniformed" job you held, so I guess no one should expect you to be involved in any kind of "uniformed" volunteer work, huh...?!?!

> There's plenty more information that is publically available about
> you, but I think I made my case -- using -YOUR OWN WORDS-!!!

So far, you've not "proven" a single thing.

Unless you can show me where NO OTHER MARINE >>EVER<< worked a part time job, went to school off duty, followed "personal" pursuits that were not part-and-parcel of thier USMC duties...?!?!

Geeze, Frankie...That was a looooooooooooooooooooooong stretch, want' it...?!?!

> BTW, what happened to your first marraige? Were you lying when you
> took those vows before God?

"marriage"

I didn't tell you?

> > He also dodges following up on all of the references I have
> >posted.

>
> See above.

I see you trying to stretch some things real far, none of it
causing even "collateral damage".

> > That's because in doing so he'd have to eat crow...A LOT of
> >crow.
> >
> >> Although for Stevie to be Carrer Resvist? National gaurd would make a
> >> lot of his #### make more sense now you bring it up but do you have
> >> more than that
> >
> > He has NOTHING, Mark...No "evidence", no facts, no justification,
> >nothing.
> >
>
> Wrong. I have your own words and some basic math skills.

Then it seems you also have some comprehension issues and need a
calculator.

> That's all I
> need to prove that you are a liar.

Uhhhhh....How's that?

So far all your "math" has proven is that while I was on active
duty I ALSO had non-USMC pursuits. What part of MCO's were therein
violated? Other than during Typhoon Condition 1A on Okinawa, I never
spent one minute on Barracks Restriction, and even then THAT was
"island wide".

Frankie...You should try out for the part of the guy in the comic
book "Fantastic Four" that can stretch like Silly-Putty, because you
sure earned the opportunity here today!

Lastly...The Marine Corps, as do all the services, heavily recruit
and covet their various Reserve assets...The USMC in particular
requires the same degree of qualifications of their Reservists as they
do their active duty components.

This is not something I made up, but a matter of public record and
USMC policy.

I should know...I helped train Reservists at various times.

So...that having been said, even if I HAD been a Reservist, I would
have had to meet the same standards as the Active Duty forces...

And considering those same Reserve (and National Guard) forces are
carrying the same load as their active duty counterparts in Iraq right
now, your "suggestion" that I may have been a Reservist as a negativism
is ludicrous and assinine.

Steve, K4YZ

## 87. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 00:35:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130891715.307479.257650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122650

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
> >> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> >> Did you think someone ELSE bothered to read ALL
> >> the filings on WT Docket 05-235 and compile a day-by-day
> >> tabulation of them?

> >I don't think that. I know it's true. I've seen the compilation
> >done by someone else and it's more informative than yours.
>
> WHO else, Jimmie?

A licensed radio amateur with experience in the field. Somebody
whose methods of analyzing the comments may be much more
accurate than yours.

> You are begining to sound like Dudly the Imposter in here,
> always NON-specific but alleging you "know" someone else.

The information is available to all who will look for it. Easier to
access than ECFS. You've claimed years and years of
experience in "computer-modem communications", yet you
can't find it? I am surprised.

> >You've never been a radio amateur but you tell us How Amateur
> >Radio Should Be. Which is your right of free speech.
>
> MISTAKE. ERROR.

It's not your right of free speech?

> I'm telling the Federal Communications
> Commission (a U.S. federal government agency) what I think
> the regulations on GETTING INTO amateur radio "should be."
> [i.e., my desires]

How does your posting a 'scorecard' *here* tell the FCC anything,
Len?

Your posts *here* are full of your ideas about How Amateur Radio
Should Be. Your comments to FCC are full of your ideas about
How Amateur Radio Should Be. By definition.

> Tsk. I could NOT "tell you anything" about amateur radio or
> anything else if it was against what you wrote in here.

Posting is telling everyone who reads your words. They don't
have to agree with what you tell them, but you're telling them
just the same.

So you've already told me and others a lot about amateur
radio - and a lot of other things. The truth of what you've told
is a different issue...

> You
> would spend days, weeks, even years arguing over a "discussion"
> as you did on "age requirements" LONG AFTER I dropped it. :-)

If you had really "dropped it", there would be no discussion....

You've spent most of a decade on usenet arguing against a simple
test for a license in a radio service with which you have no
involvement. That's your right, of course, but it is kind of odd
behavior. You must be very invested *emotionally*...

> >The thing you seem to have trouble with is when others use their
> >right of free speech.

> Tsk, tsk, I'm USED to heckling, catcalls, booing from the
> peanut gallery in computer-modem communications. Been doing
> that since early December, 1984.

You've been doing heckling, catcalls, and booing from the
peanut gallery in computer-modem communications since 1984, eh?
After all, you said you've been doing it since early December 1984...

One would think that with all that experience you could find the
'scorecard' of which I wrote.

> YOU are one of the hecklers.

How? Show us your definition of "heckler" and how it applies.

The definition I've always seen describes a "heckler" as
someone from the audience who calls out to an onstage
performer with derogatory remarks and insults.

I don't do that. If anyone in rrap is the master of
derogatory remarks, it's you, Len.

But more important is the fact that you're not an
onstage performer here. This is a completely different
sort of venue, where opposing opinions (like mine)
aren't "heckling".

> >Who is "Jimmie-James", Len? An imaginary friend of yours?
>
> James P. Micollis, the one whose legal address given to the FCC
> is at 136 Morningside Circle, Wayne, PA 19087.

No one by that name lives at this address. I live there.

> Are YOU this same "James P. Miccolis?"

What do you think? Perhaps you could check your spelling...

> >> ALL of them. Even those filings
> >> which aren't about amateur radio! :-)
> > >
> > >Finally! An answer to a straightforward question! Thank you.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk. That ANSWER was clearly given in the Notes on each
> > "score card" posting since the first one.

No, it wasn't.

> You did not seem to
> understand it.

The notes are not clear.

> >> Tsk, tsk...I'm NOT "making claims."
> > >
> > >Yes, you are, Len. You're claiming your 'scorecard' is accurate
> > >but you won't answer questions about how it is prepared.
> >
> > 1. The "answers" were ALREADY POSTED in each of the "score cards"
> > I put up via Google. Well before you "asked" for the first
> > one.

Those "answers" aren't clear.
>
> 2. You've gotten answers to your (petulant, whiny, accusatory)
> "questions" in here...but you sure as hell don't LIKE them!
>
> >That may be changing, which is a good thing.
>
> Who appoint you "judge" of what is "a good thing" or a "bad thing?"

Same person who made *you* the judge.
>
> 3. The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S. amateur
> community has been CHANGING all along...AWAY from the old,
> Old, OLD standards and practices.

Really? How do you know?

Compare the percentages of commenters supporting Morse Code testing
in 1998-1999 with those of the current NPRM. How much difference
is there *really*?

And even *your* 'scorecard' shows the majority support at least some
code
testing, and oppose its complete elimination (as proposed in the NPRM).

How about that? Your desires are in the *minority*, Len!

You claim: "The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S. amateur
community has been CHANGING all along..." but when it comes to the
actual
comments even your flawed counting method shows the majority does *not*
support the NPRM.

> That seems to piss you off
> greatly and makes you petulant, whiny, and accusatory. Tsk.

I'm not the one yelling and calling people names, Len. You are.

> >Here's another solution, Len: If you don't want commentary on
> >things you post, don't post them.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. You are telling me to SHUT UP! [but are attempting
> to disguise it with uncivil "civil phrases"]

Not at all. I'm simply offering a solution to your problem. You can
post
all you want - but that means you'll have to put up with opposing
commentary. Deal with it.

> >You seem to want everyone to just accept what you write here
> >without question, even though you don't behave that way
> >towards others. Doesn't work that way.
>
> ERROR! MISTAKE!! Sorry, dearie, but it DOES work that way.

What you want is different from the way things actually work, Len.

You may *want* everyone to just accept what you write here, but
they may not.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Did God appoint YOU as "judge" of "what works"
> and "doesn't work" in here? [He didn't tell me that this
> morning] Do you have a Certificate of Licensure (suitable for
> framing) from a Divine Authority granting you "judgement"
> capability on who posts what? I don't think so...

I'm just telling you how it is, Len.

> >> That seems to unduly upset you. Tsk. If it bothers you so
> >> much, just stop reading this thread! [easy solution to your
> >> apparent problem]
> >
> >You are the one getting upset, Len. Not me.
>
> "Upset?" No.

Yes. You're all worked up - it comes through clearly in your mistakes,
name calling, shouting, insults, uncivil behavior, etc.

> >> YOU are NOT in the FCC. YOU are NOT on the ARRL BoD.
> >
> >Neither are you, Len.
>
> That doesn't explain why YOU constantly pretend to be "judge"
> and try to make nasty to others who state opinions contrary to
> what YOU find "objectionable."

"make nasty"? How? Looks to me like you consider any disagreement
with your views to be "making nasty".

> There are NO RULES in "score card" postings other than what
> the poster places in public view. I have done that. Since
> the first "score card" posting.

Your "rules" don't explain a lot of things, Len.

> The Notes given with each
> "card" are clear and comprehensive.

No, they're not.

> "Asking for clarification" of yours is nothing more than adult-
> language puerile petulant HECKLING done for malicious intent
> of your own.

How is asking for clarification "heckling"?

> >Nobody checks your work.
>
> *I* check my work.

OK then - nobody *else* checks your work.

> YOU don't like it, go do your OWN scoring.

Is that a command?

> >> >Do they show up as a count of 1 or 5 on the tally of "for"
> >> >filings?
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk...you understand very poorly.
> >
> >Incorrect. I understand very well. You explain very poorly.
>
> Poor baby. Always trying to make yourself the "superior."

I don't have to "try", Len ;-)
>
> You don't get the answers you WANT, so you bitch and whine
> and get all snarly about "poor explanations!"
>
> Sorry, but the Nun of the Above can't elevate herself to

> Mother Superior.

Do they show up as a count of 1 or 5 on the tally of "for" filings?

> >> I've already said I count ALL the filings.
> >
> >In other words, your own comments and reply comments are counted as 5
> >filings *for* the NPRM, not 1. Also means you're counting the multiple
> >filings of others, including one person who was "for" the NPRM and
> >filed no less than 17 filings.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...my Notes said that DUPLICATES would be counted
> as "Indeterminate" category and not used for the Percentage
> figures. Clearly.

Not "clearly", because you don't define what a "duplicate" really is.
If the
same person files comments that are not identical, do you count them as
duplicates? Or are they separate comments and counted as such?

Your tally indicates that you don't count nonidentical filings by the
same
person as duplicates.

> The duplicate filer For the NPRM gives his name as Vincent
> Garcell.

17 filings!

> Another one Against the NPRM, with 13 total filings,
> is Dwayne Sparks.

How about Leonard H. Anderson, who has 6 filings? Do they show
up as a count of 1 or 6 on the tally of "for" filings? I think you
count them as 6 in the "for" column because they're not identical
and hence not duplicates.

> The ECFS totals ALL of the filings in one Docket on any Search.

You can select the type of filings, though. You could just look at
Comments rather than all filings.

> It doesn't discriminate against filings which aren't even about
> amateur radio! Perhaps you ought to bitch and whine to the FCC
> and Tell Them What To Do!
>
> >An alternative compilation that I have seen indicates multiple filings
> >by the same person. Last time I looked, multiple filings by those "for"
> >the NPRM exceeded multiple filings by those "against" it by at least 32
> >comments. That's about 1%.
>
> Hey, Dudly II, WHOSE "alternative compilation?"

Who is "Dudly II", Len?

> WHOSE. Where
> is this alleged "alternative compilation" to be found?

On the website of the person who compiled it. Can't you find it?

> Why am I supposed to follow the "rules" of this "alternate
> compilation?"

You don't have to, Len. But your scorecard would be more accurate
if you did.

> >So your counting method overstates the support for the NPRM by at least
> >that percentage.
>
> Well then, go BITCH to the FCC and NTIA (who govern part of the
> U.S. Internet) that I am being terribly "dishonest" and have me
> thrown off the 'net or something! :-)

Why? You're the source of the inaccuracy, so I'm telling you. Can't you
deal with an opposing opinion? Can't you accept change and go with a
better method?

> >You post your results to four significant figures, yet if your counting
> >method is as described above, it's inaccurate by at least 1% from that
> >one source of error.
>
> >You make a lot of noise about amateur radio but you've never been a
> >radio amateur. And from all appearances you're never going to get an
> >amateur radio license.

> >> As you've pointed out (more than once), the "score card" is
> >> MINE, isn't it? :-) If so, then I make up the rules,
> >> don't I? :-) You don't like the results? Don't read the
> >> "score card."
> >
> >What you're really saying is that you cannot tolerate
> >opposing opinions, questions, or facts that contradict your
> >assertions.
>
> WRONG.

Then why do you carry on so about a few questions and observations?
Why all the name calling and diversion rather than answering some
questions?

> In the first few weeks of posting the "score card," the
> only REAL questions appearing in the threads were those of
> accessing the ECFS and how to file. By others. And answered
> by another besides myself.

So?

> After the "score card" format change due to LATE notice in the
> Federal Register, suddenly YOU appear with all the allegations
> of inhonesty, "questions" on procedure, etc., etc., etc. :-)

Is there a time limit on newsgroup responses?

> YOUR whole purpose with those "questions" seems to be with your
> on-going dissatisfaction with ANYTHING I post in here.

Not "anything", Len. Just some of your inaccuracies and mistakes.

Can't you take an opposing opinion?

> There is the question of "motivation" for your harrassment.

Dear me, now an opposing opinion and some facts are
"harrassment"?

> Why are you so OBSESSED with trying to toss me off?

I'm not trying to "toss you off" anything, Len. I'm just trying
to discuss the accuracy of your "scorecard". But you
seem to have enormous trouble dealing with opposing
opinions.

> >> The results of the NPRM and its final Report and Order will
> >> NOT AFFECT YOU, will it?
> >
> >It may. Changes in the rules of the amateur radio service may
> >have a profound effect on me, because I'm an active licensed
> >radio amateur.
>
> Then seek MENTAL counseling.

Why?

> There is NOTHING to deny present-
> day privileges to ALREADY-LICENSED U.S. radio amateurs in
> NPRM 05-143. There are NO statements of ALREADY-LICENSED
> amateurs having to do a single test or examination in order to
> continue their existing privileges.

That's true, but it's not the point.

> YOUR only problem is MENTAL.

Really?

> You should learn to accept
> change, not try to maintain old, trite, tired Beliefs of
> long ago.

Why should I accept changes that are unnecessary?
Why should I accept changes that are detrimental to the
Amateur Radio Service?
Why should something be discarded just because it's old?

> >There's very little chance that changes in the rules of the amateur
> >radio service will have *any* effect on you, because
> >you're not a licensed radio amateur, and it doesn't appear

> >that you'll ever be one.
>
> The morse code test WILL AFFECT new licensees.

And existing ones. But it almost certainly won't affect you, Len.
You're not an existing licensee nor are you likely to be a new one.

> You have your "affects" totally BACKWARD.

Not at all.
>
> On top of that, you've tried more snide uncivil "civility" in
> my "motivation" towards getting any amateur radio license.

How? It's quite obvious you don't want such a license and will
probably never get one.

> NO ONE is required to toady up to some already-licensed U.S.
> radio amateur and HAVE to state "motivation." NOBODY.

You don't have to state your "motivation". But it does seem odd that
you won't state why you're so obsessed with a license test for a
license you don't seem to want, and which has no effect on you at all.

> >FCC can also decide to change license privileges, subbands, etc., all
> >of which can have a profound affect on those who actually operate in
> >the licensed service.
>
> NPRM 05-143 is solely about the MORSE CODE TEST, Jimmie.

FCC isn't limited to the terms of an NPRM. Look at what happened in
1999. They proposed four license classes but the R&O reduced it to
three.

> The TEST. The TEST does NOT affect those ALREADY LICENSED.

Yes, it does. If a change affects the amateur radio service itself, it
affects
those already licensed.

> The TEST affects those GETTING INTO U.S. amateur radio.

But not only them.

And since you're clearly not one of them, why do you care so much?

> The ONLY "profound effect" [sic, not 'affect'] on those
> ALREADY IN amateur radio is MENTAL.

Incorrect.

> Each ALREADY LICENSED
> amateur will have to work that out by themselves. The FCC
> is NOT chartered as a mental correction aid agency.
>

> Poor baby. All confused mentally and refusing to admit it!

Not me, Len.

> >Some days back, you posted a description of your experiences with
> >cb radio back in the late 1950s and early 1960s. You told us how
> >you installed a manufactured cb transceiver and antenna in your car and
> >used it. You told us the performance was *excellent* - for about four
> >years. Then it wasn't so excellent anymore.

Here's that description again:

"One of the first signs of that outside amateur radio was the
USA's creation of Class C and D CB in 1958. NO test of
any kind, just a Restricted Radiotelephone license form needed
for anyone to use the 22 channels (23rd shared with radio control).
Excellent in large urban areas before the offshore products appeared
about four years later and the trucking industry started buying them."

> >Where did the excellence go, Len?
>
> It went to the Chevrolet auto dealer in Canoga Park, CA, as a
> trade-in.

Your cb radio was traded in at a car dealership?

> It was a 1953 Austin-Healey sports car with an
> all-aluminum body (excellent ground plane).

Terrible for magmounts, though.

> That "Healey"
> got me an introduction to my first wife. She persuaded me to
> buy the replacement 1961 Impala Convertible. She was diagnosed
> with cancer soon after and died after a year.

I am sorry for your loss.

> While the Johnson
> Viking "Messenger" CB performed well in the Impala, I rather
> lost interest in both personal radio use and that car after
> that. Or do you "see" such an attitude, being the compleat
> morseman you are?

Here's what I "saw", Len:

"One of the first signs of that outside amateur radio was the
USA's creation of Class C and D CB in 1958. NO test of
any kind, just a Restricted Radiotelephone license form needed
for anyone to use the 22 channels (23rd shared with radio control).
Excellent in large urban areas before the offshore products appeared
about four years later and the trucking industry started buying them."

That's from your post on the subject, a few days ago. No mention of a
trade-in or your first wife. The excellence you wrote about was cb.

And the fact remains that cb quickly went downhill in the late 1960s
and early 1970s - about the same time frame as what you describe.

You can read your original post here:

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/msg/f3f43eaaa5adf3ed?dmode=source&
hl=en

> There, aren't you HAPPY over having a newsgroup "opponent" go
> through difficulties?

No. Not at all.

> Maybe you want an ice-cold emotionless
> set of numbers of performance of the Viking Messenger now (I
> still have that old radio)?

Why?

> It still meets manufacturers'
> (and FCC) specifications.

But I don't think you use it.

Your '53 Healey was a classic sports car. Maybe not the fastest or most
powerful thing on four wheels but definitely a classic - and classy
too. Fun in the tradition of a Triumph or MG.

I am somewhat surprised that you still have the Johnson Viking
Messenger, though. The thing has *vacuum tubes*, right? (At least the
early Messengers did).

Of course the Johnson Viking Messenger is interesting from an
historical POV because it was such a departure for the company. Instead
of a big desk-crushing VFO transmitter, they built a compact
lightweight transceiver of pretty good quality. And it still works!

So I guess it's not so surprising that for more than 40 years you've
held onto one of the smallest Johnsons ever produced.

> >Do you see how the same could happen to amateur radio?
>
> NOT at all.

How can you can guarantee that what happened to cb cannot happen to
amateur radio?

> 1. The 11 m amateur band of 1958 was taken away from amateurs
> in the USA then, 47 years ago. It (and some other services)
> were allocated to use part of it.

That's right. FCC made a big mistake doing that - one they're still
trying to deal with.

> 2. NPRM 05-235 is about the MORSE CODE TEST for a United States
> radio amateur license examination. That has NOTHING to do
> with "CB."

Not directly. But there is a definite connection.
>
> 3. You try to connect (1) and (2) and there is NO possible
> connection.

Of course there's a possible connection. If the loss of the Morse Code
test causes
amateur radio to become more like cb, it will have a profound effect on
*existing*
amateur radio operators. If the amateur bands become like the cb
channels, existing amateurs will be affected.

Maybe you want the amateur bands to become like the cb channels..

> >I'm not saying that *will* happen, just that it *could* happen.

> >Existing licensees can be profoundly affected by rules changes.
>
> Only MENTALLY.

Nope. The effects can be much more. Do you want 40 meters to
sound like the 40 cb channels?

> Their "radio world" would come to an end if
> morse code testing stopped!

Not mine. I'll go right on enjoying Morse Code and Amateur Radio as
long as possible.

> >Since your are not a radio amateur and not likely to become one
> >regardless of rules changes, the NPRM results don't really affect you.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. I'm "not likely to become one regardless of
> rules changes?!?"

That's right. It's very unlikely that you'll ever become a licensed
radio amateur. Your behavior proves it.

So the only way the elimination of the Morse Code test will
affect you is mentally and emotionally.

> Now you pretend to "know" the future as well as "motivation!"

I'm saying it's very unlikely, that's all.

> NPRM 05-235 is about MORSE CODE TESTING, Jimmie, NOT just
> about ME. The point about eliminating the morse code test,
> other than making the regulations better,

Who made you the judge about what is "better", Len?

> is to give ALL
> those interested in amateur radio the OPPORTUNITY to get into
> it without that old, outdated, arbitrary manual test for
> morsemanship.

All those interested have had the opportunity to "get into" amateur radio without a Morse Code test since February 14, 1991.

But you haven't taken advantage of that opportunity, Len. Nor of the opportunity that has existed since 1990 to get full privileges with only a 5 wpm code test.

> There will be NO effect on operating privileges of already-
> licensed amateurs due to elimination of the morse code test.
> NONE>

Can you guarantee that?

And it's not just operating privileges that are effects of such a change.

Here's an analogy:

You've told us of your house on Lanark Street - how much you paid for it, how much it's worth now, the nearby gated community, etc.

I've never been to your house but I've been in the area. It's a safe bet that your area is mostly single-family houses built after WW2, with little or no commercial development. Also a safe bet that while there may not be many CC&Rs, the zoning probably prevents much diversity of development on your street. Little boxes on the hillside (actually at the foot of the hill...)

Now suppose someone bought some properties near you - say next door or across the street. And suppose they sought to tear down the existing houses and build new ones that would change things on Lanark Street. Suppose they wanted to put up multifamily townhomes, some as rentals and some as condos. Some retail space too. Of course that would probably take a zoning change.

Would you support and accept that sort of change, Len? After all, it would give a lot more people the opportunity to get into your neighborhood without the old, outdated, arbitrary necessity of a huge down payment and massive mortgage. It would be an end to the old arbitrary requirements of single-family houses, etc. It would not directly affect *your* house - you're already there, established, etc. The new rules would not touch your house. You wouldn't give up anything except your mental image of the neighborhood.

Would you oppose or support that change?

Or suppose a licensed radio amateur moved in next door and wanted to put up a few 70 foot towers with big beams (like K8MN's). Would that be OK with you?

> >Judging by how often you call people by other than their legal names,
> >it's clear you have a lot of difficulty in that area.
>
> Poor baby. "It's clear" you have some terrible, gnawing
> dispute with anything I write in here. :-)

>
> >> >Y'know, Len, you seem to miss the point on a lot of things.
>
> No. All the readers in here have gotten YOUR "point."
>
> If they don't agree with you, you blabber out lines and
> lines and lines of misdirections, personal allegations,
> dredge up old, old arguments to attempt re-arguing them
> again.

Your postings here exceed mine in number and length, Len.
You're describing yourself, not me.

You're really emotionally invested in rrap....

> >> NPRM 05-143 is NOT about U.S. amateur radio license "age
> >> requirements."

> >Neither was the previous restructuring NPRM. Yet you included
> >recommendations in your reply comments recommending such a limit.

> I made that SUGGESTION on page 14 of my 14-page Reply to
> Comments of Deignan, shown in the ECFS as of 13 January
> 1999.

Recommendation. And that NPRM wasn't about age requirements
at all. Plus Reply Comments are about replying to someone else's
comments, *not* about bringing up new issues for consideration
long after the comment period was over.

In fact, your *only* filing on that NPRM was those reply comments,
wasn't it? And despite your years of experience in computer-modem
communications, you couldn't manage to submit via ECFS back
then, even though thousands of us figured it out.

> That was over six years ago.

So what? You repeatedly bring up stuff that's much older and even
less relevant.

> I have NOT pursued
> that since except for the accusations leveled at me in
> here.

What "accusations", Len? The facts were presented and you confirmed
them. You even accused ARRL and some VEs of fraud and dishonest
over the licensing of some young amateurs.

You weren't at the VE session, don't know any of the people involved,
and yet you accused others of fraud.

And you claimed that because, in *your* opinion, 6-year-olds could not
understand the material on the test, no one under the age of 14 should
be allowed to hold an amateur radio license.

That's a fur piece from "dropping it".

> The "Restructuring" Report and Order came out in
> late December, 1999, as FCC 99-412.

So?

> I have NOT pressed
> that point with the FCC since...except YOU have to drag it
> out and drag it out and drag it out until that poor dead
> horse has been hammered into a stain on this old highway.

"old highway"? The "information superhighway"?

Truth is, you've repeatedly defended your position on the issue.
You didn't "drop it".

Now you *could* come out and say it was a bad idea and that
there should be no age requirements for an amateur radio license.

But I doubt you'll do that.
>
> "Sweetums,"

Who is "Sweetums", Len?

> you want to rehash OLD stuff?

Why not? You do it all the time.

> You DO? Okay,
> I'll try to hound you about NEVER serving your country in
> the military

You do that already. I've never claimed any military service.

> every time you make some pontifical remark
> showing your "military expertise."

That would be never, Len. Because I don't claim "expertise" at
anything.

I do know a few things, though, and it really seems to tick you
off when one of your mistakes is pointed out. Like the in-service
dates of Soviet Bear bombers....

> >But you have "a problem" with 13 year olds getting amateur radio
> >licenses.
>
> No.

Then you're saying there should not be any age requirement for an
amateur radio license.

> I have a "problem" with mental 13-year-olds in here
> posing as adults

It's not all about you, Len.

> and who ask all sorts of inane "questions"
> (that were already answered a priori) and make all kinds of
> accusations...all of which may be summed up as HECKLING.

Not by any reasonable definition of heckling.

> >I'm not the one recommending an age requirement for a US amateur radio
> >license. You are.
>
> I DID. I dropped it after 13 January 1999.

Yet you kept on defending it.

> That was OVER SIX YEARS ago.

Your defense of it is a lot more recent.
>
> You are STILL OFFENDED over that!

Not me. I just think it's a really bad idea.

> So...maybe you LIKE talking to 13-year-olds on the radio using
> radiotelegraphy that makes all age clues meaningless?

Why, yes! I enjoy using Morse Code to communicate with hams of
all ages. I've worked 10 year olds on Morse Code and 90+
year olds too. I've Elmered hams of all ages, too.

What matters to me is the person on the other end of the QSO, what
they have to say, and how much fun the whole process is. The other
ham's age is of vanishing importance to me.

> Does that satisfy some internal desires of yours?

Working other hams is a lot of fun for me. This weekend is the CW SS.
I intend to work a lot of other hams using Morse Code. For fun.

> "I'm just asking some questions."

Yep. Is that wrong?

> >That's right. Your postings are fair game for comment and
> >question by others. They are not somehow sacred and unimpeachable. They
> >are not immune to question and/or debate.
>
> Wow! You've FINALLY gotten the picture, Judge! :-)

> Tsk, tsk. You forgot to add in the part where, if YOU ask
> someone something they MUST answer or you will make tens
> of thousands of words in messaging if you don't get the
> answers you WANT! :-)

That's what *you* do, Len.

> >> Poor Jimmie? Double-degreed "engineer" and you can't MAKE
> >> ENOUGH to spend over $100 on a rig? :-)
> >
> >It really *is* all about money to you, Len.
>
> Oh, oh, the commie sympathizer comes out of his closet in PA?

"Commie sympathizer"? That's almost funny! You really are clueless
if you'd call me that.
>
> Well, that's a sure indication YOU don't have as much money
> as YOU want. :-)

Who does? Do you?

I have enough. That's what's important. I'm also very wealthy in
things that cannot be bought.

> Yup...and it shows you HATE professionals for some reason.

Not me. I am one!

> Odd, because you claim to BE a professional in electronics.

Where?

I am a professional in electrical engineering. There's a lot more
to EE than "electronics".

> Us readers don't know WHERE since you won't mention your
> employer...not here, not to the FCC.

Why should I? Would it make any difference? Or would it simply
be something else for you to insult and denigrate?

Perhaps it's time to repost your classic "sphincters post", where you
denigrated and insulted the military service experiences of a US Coast
Guard radio operator.

> I'm "all about money?"

I didn't say that.

I wrote:

"It really *is* all about money to you, Len."

And apparently, it is. Your behavior confirms it.

> Not really. Got some. Spent some.
> Dropped $1100 last week at CompUSA-GoodGuys for a 27" HD
> LCD flat panel TV this week and it works just dandy.

See? There you go. Gotta mention what you bought and how much it
cost.

You sure seem to be one of those people who consider "net worth" and
"personal worth" to be synonymous....

> [it
> doesn't do morse code so I guess you wouldn't be interested
> in it]

Doesn't make the programs any better, though. Mostly JUNK on TV...
>
> I haven't made a single cent posting in here or filing with
> the FCC (negative cash flow there). Someone pay YOU to post
> in here? Someone pay you NOT to file with the FCC?

> >> I want the FCC to make NPRM 05-143 into a Report and Order...without
> >> changes to the basic precepts in the NPRM.
> >
> >Why? Those changes won't affect you.
>
> They MAY.

Very unlikely.

> They WILL affect all those who desire to enter HF
> amateur radio without taking a code test.

So? You're not one of them.
>
> Other than mentally, emotionally, how will the removal of the
> morse code test affect YOU?

If it damages the amateur radio service, it affects me.

> It won't remove any of your
> operating privileges, won't make you re-test.

I'm not afraid of any retest, Len. You
seem to be afraid of any test, though. Or any
question.

## 88. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 00:45:16 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <vZT9f.6781$IB.1651@dukeread11>
Source record: 122651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Cup 'O Jo ten-four wrote:

>>
>> You really missed the point, I never made any reference to 'illegal cb
>> frequencis', I said *other* ////////SNIPPED/////////
>
>
> PLONK
>
>
>
> FLUSHED
>
>
>
> gone!
Don't like hearing the truth huh?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

## 89. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 00:46:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130892383.320399.315960@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122652

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Who CHECKS YOUR WORK?

I do, Len.

Same as you check your work. Except that my "work"
is right out there to be checked.

> From which website did you obtain this "figures?"

One that's accessible to anyone willing to look for it.

> How do you KNOW that all those in the grace period
> have NOT renewed?

By definition, a license in the grace period has not been\
renewed. If it were, it would be counted as a current
license.

The source of these figures downloads the FCC database
every day, and compiles the totals of licenses that are
neither expired nor in the grace period. By comparing these
numbers with those at hamdata.com for the same date, the
approximate number of licenses in the grace period may be
computed.
>
> Without CHECKING those "figures" they would not
> be ACCURATE. [very UNprofessional!]

They're at least as accurate as any numbers you post here,
Len. Probably much more accurate because there's no
interpretation needed.

> WHY is it "informative" that you must continue to do
> the "Speroni Stats" maneuver to "lump" the Technician
> classes together?

Because FCC has been renewing all Tech Pluses as Technician for
more than 66 months now. An uninformed person (such as you, Len ;-) )
might misinterpret the big growth in the number of
Technicians unless it were pointed out that the shrinkage in
Tech Pluses is even greater.

The number of Tech Pluses and Techs are listed separately, too.

Note that the total number of Techs/Tech pluses has dropped by almost
16000 since May of 2000, and the percentage has dropped too.

Like it or not....

> Tsk, tsk, tsk...quite a "track record of mistakes there..."

Where? The umbers are what they say they are.

## 90. Fred's Barn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 00:57:37 UTC
From: Back Door <democrat@moronslefties.net>
Message-ID: <dk92rl$cba$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

has anyone ever done business with Fred's, a.k.a.
Fred's CB Barn?

## 91. Re: Halloween Time at WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 01:05:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130893512.624155.266750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122654

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> 31 October 2005 was the last offical day of Comments. In
> the 440 filings of that date (as of 7 PM on 1 Nov 05) is
> this funny little gem:
>
> ==========
>
> From "Sen. Barry M. Goldwater, R AZ"
> "6250 N. Hogahn Dr."
> "Scottsdale, AZ 85253-3921"
>
> "If the FCC does away with the Telegraphy requirement
> for Amateur Radio, I will ROLL OVER in my grave!"
>
> "KEEP THE CODE!"
>
> ==========
>
> :-)
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Looks like Jim finally sent in a comment. ;^)

## 92. Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 01:07:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130893633.427551.51360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122655

Iitoi wrote:
> Hiram Maxwell?
>
> Who's that?
>
> Man In The Maze
> QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

He invented the Maxwell machine gun. Sold them to Russia in the early
part of the last century.

## 93. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 01:11:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130893902.219935.307590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122656

Iitoi wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com Wrote:
> >
> > Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you
> > may
> > send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
> > you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
> > "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
>
> Having seen his "style" here the past several weeks, my wager is that
> Steve's prepared numerous escape routes with wiggle room to easily
> welch on this one.
>
> The Man In The Maze
> QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

He is not to be trusted with even small amounts of truth.

## 94. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 01:40:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130895637.972335.134710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> http://tinyurl.com/8apbr

Hmmm? "A lifelong interest..."

That's enough to get the boys in the hood all nasty with you.

## 95. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 02:02:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130896497.156513.104270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122658

Oh just like when you got those emails from me, when in fact they were not from but a hack job and you had me kick off of Consolidated. You even admitted on here that those letters weren't from me....right steve you fucking lying piece of shit I hope you rot in hell you fucking bastard.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

## 96. Opinionated?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 02:05:30 UTC
From: Charles Brabham <n5pvl@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <G9V9f.8795$7h7.3867@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 122659

HamPoll is here in order to give amateurs a chance to speak for themselves, and in time to provide reasonably accurate and significant polling data on a variety of issues related to the amateur radio service.

You are welcome to browse the polling data we have, but we especially encourage US amateurs visiting here to register with thier callsign and vote in the HamPoll Polling Station.

Whether you come to browse, to discuss or submit new polls, or to tender your vote, be sure to visit regularly as new polls are included from time to time, and the poll data changes as more hams participate day to day.

Stop by at: http://www.hampoll.com

## 97. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 02:07:12 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051101210712.166$Nh@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
> > http://tinyurl.com/8apbr
>
> Hmmm? "A lifelong interest..."
>
> That's enough to get the boys in the hood all nasty with you.
>
The boyz in the hood?

<insert favorite two live crew toon here> ha

## 98. Re: Radio is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 02:21:45 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1130898105.563590.111170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

SCoO - You Sir are NOT a Sir [.] ~ RHF

.

.

. .

## 99. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 02:27:26 UTC
From: Nim Buster <nimbuster@no.place>
Message-ID: <8wb7017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On 1 Nov 2005 17:31:57 -0800, NewsGuy <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com> wrote:
> Sad to say, the intrepid Admin of the notorious NIMBusters message
> board [http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/] has announced it will be closed
> as of Friday, October 4, 2005.

Hey, Dude. It's already November.

> Roger Wiseman has begun holding shiva and will not fuck sheep for 40
> days after the 4th as tribute.
>
> Now, where the hell will LLoyd Davies take his incessant whining?

NIM Busters will continue, but the location of the new board will be a closely held secret. According to the admin of the current board, Lloyd hasn't posted since last April. The posts allegedly by him are all by imposters.

The admin has not succeeded in dumping Wiseman in spite of eight months of trying, so the regulars will move to a new, secret board where Wiseman will not have access. The new board has added levels of security which will prevent uninvited posts from people like Wiseman, even if he does find its new location.

How do I know this? Simple: The new board has been in beta testing for the last eight weeks. That's why the NIM Busters board known to the public is receiving a much smaller number of posts than in the past. The regulars have gradually been invited to the new board and are posting there instead.

If you want access, install PGP on your computer, generate a key, and have your key signed by three other NIM Busters regulars. After that, you MAY be allowed to post to the new board.

Sorry, Woger. Your time is up.

## 100. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 03:58:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vPW9f.4705$yX2.1133@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122664

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

<snip>
> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> lying on Stevie part
>
> in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
> Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
> seemed most important to the person I was speaking to
>
> Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
> witness tampering

You have to be out of your tree, Colonel.

Dave K8MN

## 101. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 04:01:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0SW9f.4706$yX2.3337@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122665

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 11:57:59 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>
>
>>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:53:10 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
>><fapcm1dlqu1o61mc9r5tkcj9anl0hj7cjp@4ax.com>:
>>
>>
>>>Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>>>and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>>>gather
>>>
>>>Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
>>>mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
>>>this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>>
>>
>>Don't ask me to take any shred of responsibility for your actions.
>>Dudly's posts are a matter of public record and nobody needs my
>>address in order to read them. And you should rethink your intention
>>of getting him booted from his job -- although he's a nitwit and a
>>pathological liar, he might just be a damn fine LPN.
>
>
> not asking you to take a shred of responibility
>
> The paper work is already underway like I said I could use you
> privding an addres in case they want to question you as a WITNESS or
> you refusal
>
> The action is at my doing
>
> I don't think he can be trusted to treat his pateints properly with
> the hate filled lies he spews
>
> all I am asking is to privde thee address or flat out refuse I was
> aked to do by the Nursing board I honestly don't care which choice you
> take
>

It is starting to sound as if you can't take "no" for an answer.

If you like, Mark, you may provide them my name and e-mail address.
I'll be happy to tell them what I know of Steve and what I know of you. :-)

Dave K8MN

## 102. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 04:19:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <17X9f.403$uD5.148@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122666

Iitoi wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org Wrote:
>
>>
>>not accepted but rather shall we say sought after a few of the guys
>>have proposed Marriage to me
>>
>>
>
>
> Is this fool real?

...as the term is understood by him, yes.

Dave K8MN

# 103. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 04:36:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d2agm1du1ppkv7dhsmns9n46ji3h1r3anl@4ax.com>
Source record: 122667

```
On 1 Nov 2005 16:18:14 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130890694.158697.170530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1130768302.683869.320990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>> >> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >
>> >> >Let's talk about standards, Dudly....(SNIP)
>> >
>> >> what you going on about?
>> >
>> > For once you've gotten something VERY right, MARK.
>> >
>> >> >And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further....(HUGE SNIP)
>> >
>> >> >You have never disclosed your reservist status
>> >>
>> >> reservist? Stevie boy is reservist?
>> >
>> > Nope. Except, as I clearly noted, my 4 months as "Delayed Entry"
>> >prior to going active in September 1974.
>> >
>> > It was a wild stab in the dark by the disgraced, court martialed
>> >liar, Mark.
>> >
>> >> I am not calling you a liar Frank but it would be nice to back this up
>> >
>> > He can't.
>>
>> Sure I can.
>
> No...You can make silly allegations (hence your nick-name of
>"Silliland") that are just pie-in-the-sky guesses.
>
> "Proof" would be some document that actually showed my reserve
>status above and beyond the Delayed Entry period...
>
> The problem for YOU is that nothing of the sort exists.
```

Sure it does -- your own words.

>> Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
>> only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
>> years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
>> freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
>> their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
>> active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
>> -doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
>> you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.
>
> Uhhhh...Looking for that reg wherein US Marines are not allowed to
>keep personal pursuits while on active duty...

If you were on active duty then you would know that moonlighting is
-strongly- discouraged. In some units it's even prohibited by the
commanding officer because the responsibilities of an outside job
usually conflict with the responsibilities of the unit. But you didn't
know that because you were never active duty; i.e, more proof from
your own ignorant words.

> And you make reference as to what my "DOD" (properly DoD) record
>states per my MOS's, but you and I BOTH know that that same record
>shows nothing but active duty service (Delayed Entry
>notwithstanding...)

Maybe you don't know -- your DOD (or DoD if you like) record doesn't
specify. I mentioned that before: ".....but it doesn't distinguish
between active or reserve." The reason is because military members
frequently change from active to active reserve, to inactive reserve,
to retired (in your dreams), etc, etc. The record states your total
time, not how it was served. And BTW, your time in the delayed
enlistment program isn't considered active -or- reserve (active -or-
inactive). Read your contract if you don't believe me.

>> But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
>> year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than
>> four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps.
>
> Uh huh. Six, actually.
>
> Thee are a LOT of Marines who are EMT's...Both as Crash-Rescue
>personnel on MCAS's and as "off duty" pursuits.
>
> My first EMT card was in Pennsylvania in February 1986. PA
>EMT-MAST 014402.

Where you were stationed at Camp .....?

> Followed up by EMT-1A in Orange County CA in June of
>1986. I also held National Registry (took that test at the hospital at
>MCB Camp Pendleton) It has/had nothing to do with my MOS...Just what I
>was interested in doing.

Uh-huh.

> BTW...I received a CG's Certificate of Commendation in 1988 for
>life-saving from CG Third MAW, then another one from the CG First MAW
>in 1990 for a second event...
>
> That EMT training came in handy!

Dudly the hero!!!

>> Also, in the late
>> '80s, (before moving to Arkansas, home state of your second wife and
>> your state of residence when you allegedly "retired" from the Corps in
>> 1992), you worked in California as an ER tech at "Hoag Memorial
>> Hospital Presbyterian in Newport Beach, CA", which would be virtually
>> impossible if you were also on active duty as an avionics tech.
>
> Uh....No...Why would having a part time job less than 10 miles from
>the base where I was stationed at (and 1.5 miles from where I lived...)
>be a problem?

See above, re: moonlighting.

> Are all those Marines who work part-time jobs in Jacksonville,
>Oceanside, San Diego and other USMC-base associated cities doing
>something illegal?

Some were.

> Is working part time as an ER tech any different than working at
>Taco Bell, singing in a church choir or participating in the Big
>Brothers/Sisters programs...?!?!

Yes, by the amount of responsiblity required of the job. Nobody cares
if you don't show up to work at Taco Bell or miss choir practice. But
an emergency room tech missing a shift can result in real problems.
Especially when that tech is in the military and suseptible to the
possibility that liberty could be cancelled, the base locked down, or
any of the other things that sometimes happen, without warning, that
would prevent you from even giving notice of your impending absence.
As a Marine your primary obligation is to the Corps, and I don't think
-any- hospital with an emergency room would hire a part-time EMT who
is much more likely to miss a shift than a dedicated EMT whose primary
obligation is to the hospital and patients. They might let you in as a
candy-striper.....

>> The ONLY way you could have been educated, trained and gained
>> experience as an EMT while in the Corps is if you were a reservist.
>
> How is that? Did I violate some MCO in my 18 years by leaving the
>barracks and participating in non-USMC pursuits?

Not pursuits, Dudly -- obligations.

> "Leatherneck" used to publish ton's of "human interest" stories on
>Marines who did exactly those things....

Name one.

> Is it YOUR contention that all of those Marines were NOT "real
>Marines" because they did something that wasn't associated with their
>official duties?

I said nothing of the sort. You're spinning so hard now you are going
to fall down and puke.

>> All this information is publically available from what -you- have
>> written on Usenet. Of course, you can always use that "my account was
>> hacked" excuse, but that doesn't explain why you claimed to be in the
>> Air Force Auxilliary, a retired Marine Gunny, and signed your posts
>> with "Semper Paratus" (Coast Guard motto).
>
> Uh...Actually I use "Paratus et Vigilans", a personal motto which
>means "Prepared and Vigilant".

You have used "Semper Paratus" in many posts. For example:

==========
.....[nursing is] the one "technical occupation" that I have enjoyed
(as both EMT and LPN) where more than one off my "projects" has
returned to where I work and shook my hand and said "Thank you for
helping to save my life."

Some occupation, huh?!

Semper Paratus

Steve Robeson, LPN
Chattanooga, TN
==========

You even explained why you used it:

"If having great respect for an organization (the United States Coast
Guard, in this case) that goes so far out of it's way on a daily basis
to save human lives makes me a "paramilitary wannabe", guilty as
charged."

And when taken in context with the preceding post it also makes you a
praise-seeking hero wannabe.

> Did I miss some law that says once someone uses a latin word in
>THEIR motto, no one else can use it...?!?!

Did I say it was illegal?

>> Nor does it explain your
>> interest in pirate radio, star gazing, or your hunt for ET. Clearly
>> you are one very confused individual, Dudly. And as more than one
>> person has previously noted, you are clearly a paramilitary-wannabe

>> and a hero-wannabe.
>
> I see you harbor the same "hate anyone who does more than I do"
>sentiments that Lennie and Brain do...

Keep dreaming, Dudly. I couldn't care less how bad you have screwed up
your life -- it's what you claim to have done but haven't that hobbles
-your- horse.

> Of course you managed to screw up the one paid "uniformed" job you
>held, so I guess no one should expect you to be involved in any kind of
>"uniformed" volunteer work, huh...?!?!

If the shower-shoe fits.

>> There's plenty more information that is publically available about
>> you, but I think I made my case -- using -YOUR OWN WORDS-!!!
>
> So far, you've not "proven" a single thing.

And you have? Ok, Dudly. Whatever you say.

> Unless you can show me where NO OTHER MARINE >>EVER<< worked a part
>time job, went to school off duty, followed "personal" pursuits that
>were not part-and-parcel of thier USMC duties...?!?!

So the fact that two-headed snakes have existed is proof that you have
one? Go soak your head. I'm talking probability here, Dudly. So far
your claims are so IM-probable that you defending them only makes the
argument against you even stronger.

> Geeze, Frankie...That was a looooooooooooooooooooooong stretch,
>want' it...?!?!

Taking a vacation from your "career" as spelling cop?

>> BTW, what happened to your first marraige? Were you lying when you
>> took those vows before God?
>
> "marriage"

Oh, I see..... it's a do-as-I-say-not-as-I-do thing with you, huh?

> I didn't tell you?

Nope. So what -are- your justifications for why you failed at your
marrIAge?

>> > He also dodges following up on all of the references I have
>> >posted.
>>
>> See above.
>
> I see you trying to stretch some things real far, none of it
>causing even "collateral damage".

Take a poll, Dudly.... who here is your friend?

>> > That's because in doing so he'd have to eat crow...A LOT of
>> >crow.
>> >
>> >> Although for Stevie to be Carrer Resvist? National gaurd would make a
>> >> lot of his #### make more sense now you bring it up but do you have
>> >> more than that
>> >
>> > He has NOTHING, Mark...No "evidence", no facts, no justification,
>> >nothing.
>> >
>>
>> Wrong. I have your own words and some basic math skills.
>
> Then it seems you also have some comprehension issues and need a
>calculator.

Once again, you're the expert.

>> That's all I
>> need to prove that you are a liar.
>
> Uhhhhh....How's that?
>
> So far all your "math" has proven is that while I was on active
>duty I ALSO had non-USMC pursuits.

Pottery, polishing rocks, building hot-rods..... those are "pursuits",
Dudly. You claim to have successfully had two concurrent careers, both
with very demanding and very conflicting obligations. Big difference.

> What part of MCO's were therein
>violated? Other than during Typhoon Condition 1A on Okinawa, I never
>spent one minute on Barracks Restriction, and even then THAT was
>"island wide".

That doesn't make sense -- the minute you didn't spend on barracks
restriction was island wide? Think -before- you type, you fruitcake.

Anyway, you clearly don't understand the difference between liberty
and restriction, something that is known by any active duty Marine
(and probably known by active duty members of the other services as
well). Like I said, Dudly -- the more you talk, the deeper you dig
your hole.

> Frankie...You should try out for the part of the guy in the comic
>book "Fantastic Four" that can stretch like Silly-Putty, because you
>sure earned the opportunity here today!

Grow up, "Major".

> Lastly...The Marine Corps, as do all the services, heavily recruit
>and covet their various Reserve assets...The USMC in particular

>requires the same degree of qualifications of their Reservists as they
>do their active duty components.

Yes they do. Many reservists are former active duty Marines. Many
active duty Marines are former reservists. Blah, blah, blah. What's
your point?

> This is not something I made up, but a matter of public record and
>USMC policy.

Where did I say anything different?

> I should know...I helped train Reservists at various times.

Gee, another claim about your military career. I wonder if I should
give that one as much weight as I give the rest of your claims....

> So...that having been said, even if I HAD been a Reservist, I would
>have had to meet the same standards as the Active Duty forces...

That's right. The only difference is that you, as a reservist, didn't
have the same experiences as an active duty Marine. And active duty
Marines didn't have the same experiences a you, a reservist. Too bad
you couldn't be proud of that fact instead of trying to pass yourself
off as something you never were -- an active duty Marine.

> And considering those same Reserve (and National Guard) forces are
>carrying the same load as their active duty counterparts in Iraq right
>now, your "suggestion" that I may have been a Reservist as a negativism
>is ludicrous and assinine.

I have nothing against reservists. I -do- take issue with reservists
who claim to be, or claim to have been, active duty when they weren't.
It's not the same and you know it. Because if you didn't know it then
you wouldn't have tried to hide the fact that you spent your entire
Marine "career" as a reservist.

What's wrong with being proud of who you are instead of trying to be
someone you're not? It works for me.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 104. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 04:38:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8pX9f.4604$RI1.787@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122668

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 4:52 pm
>
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>>>>RST Engineering wrote:
>>
>>>>>>Now, lay YOURS out on the table and see who takes a knife to it.

>>>That slipped my mind. Len has taken numerous shots at my Air Force
>>>service in Vietnam, though he doesn't seem to know what it is that I did
>>>there.
>>
>>It's not what you did there. What you didn't do in Vietnam appears to
>>have defined your time there. You were a frustrated amateur in Vietnam
>>which caused you to under go a career change.
>
>
> What DID Heil DO in Vietnam? He's never been anymore specific
> than Dudly the Imposter (of the "seven hostile actions").

I see the sentence with my name in it. I see the sentence which follows
it. That is typical of your behavior. It is precisely the kind of
thing I wrote about above. There is a very accurate profile of your
likely actions toward those who disagree with you. Would you like to
see it?

>
>>>He keeps alluding to MARS duty and I did spend time operating a
>>>MARS circuit from Tan Son Nhut, but only in an off duty capacity.
>>
>>That must be the MARS duty that he refers to. Or maybe not.
>
>
> Damifino. Heil just hasn't been specifc about it. Can't
> "take shots" at something invisible. :-)

Then again, you have already done so on a number of occasions. :-) :-)

> Poor Davie has forgotten my quoting from the Army Center for
> Military History which mentions the good morale service that

> Army MARS did in Vietnam.

I've forgotten, have I? What're you, Madame Cleo?
I was never assigned to a MARS unit anywhere, anytime in the military.

>
>
>>I think Len's a little too hard on you guys. I'm sure that your cuts
>>and jabs are given with the best of intentions.
>
>
> I'm sure Jack the Ripper thought the same... :-)
>
> I'm also sure Ted Bundy thought all his female victims "were
> asking for it."

I'm pretty certain that Len Anderson thinks he's somehow involved in
amateur radio too.

>
>>>Len's description of what it was like to be under artillery fire--even
>>>though he was never actually under artillery fire.
>
>
> I was once but it was from U.S. Army artillery. :-)

Perhaps they'd heard of you. :-)

> Just the same, the 8235th Army Unit (that I was in) never
> allowed any Tokyo territory to fall into communist hands! :-)

> At the same time, that same battalion of signalmen were
> moving message "traffic" at the rate of 220 thousand a month
> over the Army Command and Administrative Network (later
> integrated into the DCS or Defense Communications System),
> a worldwide network. All with TTY. Not a single morse code
> link in that system since 1948.

It took an entire battalion of signalmen to do that, Len? All this
time, I was under the impression that you did it all by yourself.

>
>>I thought that he was quoting W0EX or GrayJL or Xray or all three when
>>he said that.
>
>
> Irrelevant. If Davie says I did bad, then I did bad. He is
> da Judge! "Heah come da judge...heah come da judge!"
>
>
>
>>>That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number
>>>of things in amateur radio. Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough

>>>to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len
>>>is something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around
>>>after the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.
>
>
> James Weir runs RST Engineering. It is located in Grass Valley,
> CA, in Nevada County (California's "gold country").

Fine. I don't want to deflate his ego but when I saw a post from "RST
Engineering" signed "Jim", it meant nothing to me. I never heard of it
or him.

> He ran for
> Governor of California, had his picture in the L.A. Times as one
> of many candidates. [a former Austrian citizen won the election]

No doubt Jim's charm, as evidence here, was a factor.

> I sent Jim Weir one of my computer programs (LCie4, synthesis and
> analysis of passive-component inductor-capacitor filters) and he
> stated that this (freeware) program has been used by his students
> (successfully) in Grass Valley. We had some brief e-mail exchages
> that resulted in my modifying the older LCie program to fit the
> DOS 7 in newer Windows. LCie was written in MS FORTRAN 5.1 but on
> an earlier operating system and that (now unsupported by MS)
> FORTRAN did not have the compiler links to fit DOS 7. LCie4 runs
> only under DOS, doesn't have the flash, dash, or pizazz of color
> Windows but is nonetheless accurate and proven. It is freeware
> to anyone requesting it...something I mentioned in rec.radio.
> amateur.homebrew some time ago.

That's nice.

> RST Engineering makes some neat electronics for general aviation
> aircraft. RST has a nice website if anyone cares to look.

I looked at the web site. It isn't particularly nice. If one orders
online, the payment information isn't even sent securely.

> One
> of the neat things they do is what I would term "SURFACE MOUNT
> VHF antennas" for aircraft fabric surfaces. :-) Neat! They
> don't stick out in the airstream and thus have minimal drag.
> [international civil aviation band is 108 to 137 MHz]

I've not noted many fabric surfaces on the aircraft I've seen in recent
decades. Thanks for providing the information on the aircraft band.
Perhaps nobody here knew where the band could be found. :-)

Dave K8MN

## 105. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 04:43:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GtX9f.4608$RI1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122669

K4YZ wrote:
> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>
>>On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>><1130768302.683869.320990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>
>>>>On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>>>><wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Let's talk about standards, Dudly....(SNIP)
>>>
>>>what you going on about?
>>>
>>> For once you've gotten something VERY right, MARK.
>>>
>>>
>>>>And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further....(HUGE SNIP)
>>>
>>>>You have never disclosed your reservist status
>>>>
>>>reservist? Stevie boy is reservist?
>>>
>>> Nope. Except, as I clearly noted, my 4 months as "Delayed Entry"
>>>prior to going active in September 1974.
>>>
>>> It was a wild stab in the dark by the disgraced, court martialed
>>>liar, Mark.
>>>
>>>
>>>I am not calling you a liar Frank but it would be nice to back this up
>>>
>>> He can't.
>>
>>Sure I can.
>
>
> No...You can make silly allegations (hence your nick-name of
> "Silliland") that are just pie-in-the-sky guesses.
>
> "Proof" would be some document that actually showed my reserve
> status above and beyond the Delayed Entry period...

>
> The problem for YOU is that nothing of the sort exists.
>
>
>>Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
>>only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
>>years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
>>freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
>>their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
>>active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
>>-doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
>>you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.
>
>
> Uhhhh...Looking for that reg wherein US Marines are not allowed to
> keep personal pursuits while on active duty...
>
> And you make reference as to what my "DOD" (properly DoD) record
> states per my MOS's, but you and I BOTH know that that same record
> shows nothing but active duty service (Delayed Entry
> notwithstanding...)
>
>
>>But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
>>year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than
>>four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps.
>
>
> Uh huh. Six, actually.
>
> Thee are a LOT of Marines who are EMT's...Both as Crash-Rescue
> personnel on MCAS's and as "off duty" pursuits.
>
> My first EMT card was in Pennsylvania in February 1986. PA
> EMT-MAST 014402. Followed up by EMT-1A in Orange County CA in June of
> 1986. I also held National Registry (took that test at the hospital at
> MCB Camp Pendleton) It has/had nothing to do with my MOS...Just what I
> was interested in doing.
>
> BTW...I received a CG's Certificate of Commendation in 1988 for
> life-saving from CG Third MAW, then another one from the CG First MAW
> in 1990 for a second event...
>
> That EMT training came in handy!
>
>
>>Also, in the late
>>'80s, (before moving to Arkansas, home state of your second wife and
>>your state of residence when you allegedly "retired" from the Corps in
>>1992), you worked in California as an ER tech at "Hoag Memorial

>>Hospital Presbyterian in Newport Beach, CA", which would be virtually
>>impossible if you were also on active duty as an avionics tech.
>
>
> Uh....No...Why would having a part time job less than 10 miles from
> the base where I was stationed at (and 1.5 miles from where I lived...)
> be a problem?
>
> Are all those Marines who work part-time jobs in Jacksonville,
> Oceanside, San Diego and other USMC-base associated cities doing
> something illegal?
>
> Is working part time as an ER tech any different than working at
> Taco Bell, singing in a church choir or participating in the Big
> Brothers/Sisters programs...?!?!
>
>
>>The ONLY way you could have been educated, trained and gained
>>experience as an EMT while in the Corps is if you were a reservist.
>
>
> How is that? Did I violate some MCO in my 18 years by leaving the
> barracks and participating in non-USMC pursuits?
>
> "Leatherneck" used to publish ton's of "human interest" stories on
> Marines who did exactly those things....
>
> Is it YOUR contention that all of those Marines were NOT "real
> Marines" because they did something that wasn't associated with their
> official duties?
>
>
>>All this information is publically available from what -you- have
>>written on Usenet. Of course, you can always use that "my account was
>>hacked" excuse, but that doesn't explain why you claimed to be in the
>>Air Force Auxilliary, a retired Marine Gunny, and signed your posts
>>with "Semper Paratus" (Coast Guard motto).
>
>
> Uh...Actually I use "Paratus et Vigilans", a personal motto which
> means "Prepared and Vigilant".
>
> Did I miss some law that says once someone uses a latin word in
> THEIR motto, no one else can use it...?!?!
>
>
>>Nor does it explain your
>>interest in pirate radio, star gazing, or your hunt for ET. Clearly
>>you are one very confused individual, Dudly. And as more than one
>>person has previously noted, you are clearly a paramilitary-wannabe

>>and a hero-wannabe.
>
>
> I see you harbor the same "hate anyone who does more than I do"
> sentiments that Lennie and Brain do...
>
> Of course you managed to screw up the one paid "uniformed" job you
> held, so I guess no one should expect you to be involved in any kind of
> "uniformed" volunteer work, huh...?!?!
>
>
>>There's plenty more information that is publically available about
>>you, but I think I made my case -- using -YOUR OWN WORDS-!!!
>
>
> So far, you've not "proven" a single thing.
>
> Unless you can show me where NO OTHER MARINE >>EVER<< worked a part
> time job, went to school off duty, followed "personal" pursuits that
> were not part-and-parcel of thier USMC duties...?!?!
>
> Geeze, Frankie...That was a looooooooooooooooooooooong stretch,
> want' it...?!?!
>
>
>>BTW, what happened to your first marraige? Were you lying when you
>>took those vows before God?
>
>
> "marriage"
>
> I didn't tell you?
>
>
>>> He also dodges following up on all of the references I have
>>>posted.
>>
>>See above.
>
>
> I see you trying to stretch some things real far, none of it
> causing even "collateral damage".
>
>
>>>That's because in doing so he'd have to eat crow...A LOT of
>>>crow.
>>>
>>>
>>>>Although for Stevie to be Carrer Resvist? National gaurd would make a
>>>>lot of his #### make more sense now you bring it up but do you have

>>>>more than that
>>>
>>> He has NOTHING, Mark...No "evidence", no facts, no justification,
>>>nothing.
>>>
>>
>>Wrong. I have your own words and some basic math skills.
>
>
> Then it seems you also have some comprehension issues and need a
> calculator.
>
>
>>That's all I
>>need to prove that you are a liar.
>
>
> Uhhhhh....How's that?
>
> So far all your "math" has proven is that while I was on active
> duty I ALSO had non-USMC pursuits. What part of MCO's were therein
> violated? Other than during Typhoon Condition 1A on Okinawa, I never
> spent one minute on Barracks Restriction, and even then THAT was
> "island wide".
>
> Frankie...You should try out for the part of the guy in the comic
> book "Fantastic Four" that can stretch like Silly-Putty, because you
> sure earned the opportunity here today!
>
> Lastly...The Marine Corps, as do all the services, heavily recruit
> and covet their various Reserve assets...The USMC in particular
> requires the same degree of qualifications of their Reservists as they
> do their active duty components.
>
> This is not something I made up, but a matter of public record and
> USMC policy.
>
> I should know...I helped train Reservists at various times.
>
> So...that having been said, even if I HAD been a Reservist, I would
> have had to meet the same standards as the Active Duty forces...
>
> And considering those same Reserve (and National Guard) forces are
> carrying the same load as their active duty counterparts in Iraq right
> now, your "suggestion" that I may have been a Reservist as a negativism
> is ludicrous and assinine.

I'd imagine that Frank and Leonard are feeling rather stupid about now.

Dave K8MN

## 106. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 04:51:20 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4ahgm1pibscfl78nhr892jkcttq7jpi59s@4ax.com>
Source record: 122670

On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

<snip>
>
>I'd imagine

No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 107. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 05:17:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122671

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> <snip>
>
>>I'd imagine
>
>
>
> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.

It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.

I can't yet figure your motivation but you've admitted being a screw up in the military. It looks as if you're out to smear the service of others for whatever reason. Most of us who served managed to get through a hitch without an Article 15, much less a court martial.

Dave K8MN

## 108. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 06:18:49 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <9SY9f.8981$IB.1515@dukeread11>
Source record: 122672

Steveo wrote:

> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>> Amos Keag wrote:
>>
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> BULLSHIT!
>> >>
>> >
>> > Typical CB response, isn't it?
>>
>> yep. guess they can't be bothered to respond with an "intelligent"
>> response, huh?
>>
> Never use a CB, Eric?
not in 10 years.....
I signed off the 11 metere band on Sept 19, 1995, sold the radio and never
looked back.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

## 109. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 06:30:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130913010.602833.161430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122673

Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:

As of 31 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
Elimination/Retention tabulation:

[corrected version of previous posting for 31 October due to
81 additional entries made by FCC on 1 November]

| ALL to Date | Since FR Notice |
|---|---|
| Grand Total 3680 | 1698 |
| Indeterminate (note 1) 240 | 127 |
| Value for Percentages 3440 | 1571 |
| Against NPRM (note 2) 1133 [32.94%] | 574 [36.54%] |
| For NPRM (note 3) 1697 [49.33%] | 661 [42.08%] |
| Test Extra Only (note 4) 610 [17.73%] | 336 [21.39%] |

Tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 9 PM EDT 1 Nov 05.

Notes:

Notice of NPRM 05-143 appeared in Federal Register for 31 August
and established official end of Comments as 31 October 2005 and
official end of Replies to Comments as 14 November 2005. The left
column indicates totals for ALL dates. Right column indicates
all totals beginning 31 August 2005 to day of this scorecard.
It is unknown whether or not the FCC will consider Comments entered
prior to 31 August 2005, hence the two column format used here.
Fixed-font spacing used throughout.

1. Includes duplicate postings from same individual, "joke"
or "test" entries which do not have a valid address, or
polemicizing a personal pet peeve which has nothing to
do with the NPRM, individuals not understanding the
scope and purpose of the NPRM, two foreign resident
submissions, and six who were commenting on another
matter having nothing to do with amateur radio regulations.

2. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly AGAINST
the NPRM and against dropping any code testing.

3. Includes only those who are whole-heartedly FOR the
NPRM and the abolition of the morse code test. NPRM itself
(first docket document on 15 July) is counted as a "for."

4. These are "in-betweeners" who wish to retain the code test for the "highest" class (Extra) but will accept eliminating the code test for other classes.

Percentages are calculated from Grand Totals less Indeterminates.

This date is the last official day for Comments. There may be additional entries made for 31 October by the FCC at a later date. Official end of Replies to Comments is 14 November.

The future of U.S. amateur radio is being made, like it or not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 110. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 06:33:13 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130913193.142536.32870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: Frank Gilliland on Nov 1, 2:40 pm

>On 1 Nov 2005 13:31:43 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>>From: Frank Gilliland on Mon 31 Oct 2005 08:26
>>>On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>>>kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>>>>> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland

>>>Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
>>>only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
>>>years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
>>>freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
>>>their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
>>>active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
>>>-doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
>>>you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.
>
>> More nails in the Dudly coffin are his abject failure to
>> even once NAME a single piece of aviation electronics, either
>> by proper nomenclature or familiar name after all those years
>> of "avionics service." That's unheard of in a field where
>> shop-talk is common. The best that Dudly could do is name
>> some "MARS equipment" (of decades ago) in messages, something
>> which could have been cribbed from one of the many ham websites.
>
>He mentions nothing because he basically did nothing. Reservists do
>very little in their MOS and therefore retain very little regarding
>the same.

Sigh...I'm familiar with reservists both as a soldier and
as a civilian. The NBC news "fleecing of America" comes
to mind on several reservist "training" sites. :-(

>> One of the most telling of Dudly's blunders in replies is his
>> failure to note what "Vinson" was (an older standard of
>> electrnic cryptography) when you asked about his "service
>> under 'Colonel' Vinson" at 'Gordon.' :-)
>
>Yeah, it's hard to resist bragging about that one.

Classic faux pas of his. :-)

>> Way early, Dudly tried to cover up this lack of familiarity
>> in both communications and/or aviation electronics military

>> equipment by saying "it was secret and [he] couldn't reveal
>> secrets." The electronics industry trade publications have
>> long published equipment names, contracts, manufacturers,
>> and general information...long before Dudly was allegedly in
>> the USMC. Those publications still do. All public info.
>
>Case in point: the M16-A1 was manufactured by several different
>companies including General Motors and (are you ready for this?)
>Mattel. The venerable PRC-77 was the brainchild of the engineers at
>Motorola..... they must have been on drugs to design a radio with a
>dual switching IF system.... but hey, it worked. It just cost a whole
>lot more than a simpler design. And the VINSON crypto system has been
>documented many times on the net long before I ever mentioned it.

Quite true on Vinson. Outdated by now, of course. All of
the SINCGARS-compatible radios have a different system
that is muy robost. Those started becoming operational in
1989. Right now Harris Corporation is doing their Falcon
III handheld multi-band radio which is SINCGARS compatible.

I got a chance to see the (now rather aged) PRC-104 HF manpack
under development at Hughes Ground Division. There's a few
areas in that package which aren't what they could be but that
little critter works just fine in the field. One of the first
to use wideband frequency synthesis of the carrier in military
portable environments.

There's a legend on the 'net that Helena Rubenstein (?) got
into "making" the venerable R-390 receiver (developed by
Collins Radio for the DoD) as a later second-source. They
apparently wanted to diversify many years ago. They got one
look at the complete set of production plans and said "no
way!" To save legal fees, they managed to get the minimum
of a 100 built units from elsewhere, made up some nameplates,
stuck those on the back of the receiver. Don't know if that
is true, but it makes a great story! :-)

>>>But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
>>>year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than
>>>four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps. Also, in the late
>>>'80s, (before moving to Arkansas, home state of your second wife and
>>>your state of residence when you allegedly "retired" from the Corps in
>>>1992), you worked in California as an ER tech at "Hoag Memorial
>>>Hospital Presbyterian in Newport Beach, CA", which would be virtually
>>>impossible if you were also on active duty as an avionics tech.
>
>> It must be the "New Math" he was taught in the 1960s. :-)
>
>Given: a = b
>Multiply both sides by [a]: a^2 = ab
>Subract [b^2] from both sides: a^2 - b^2 = ab - b^2

>Factor: (a + b)(a - b) = b(a - b)
>Divide (a - b) from both sides: (a + b) = b
>Since a = b, substitute: (a + a) = a
>Therefore: 2a = a
>Divide both sides by [a]: 2 = 1

Heeeehehehehehehehehehehehehe. :-)

>>>The ONLY way you could have been educated, trained and gained
>>>experience as an EMT while in the Corps is if you were a reservist.
>
>> ...or did a LOT of web-browsing of OTHERS' experiences.
>
>Most likely both.

...or made up as he went along... ):-(

>>>All this information is publically available from what -you- have
>>>written on Usenet. Of course, you can always use that "my account was
>>>hacked" excuse, but that doesn't explain why you claimed to be in the
>>>Air Force Auxilliary, a retired Marine Gunny, and signed your posts
>>>with "Semper Paratus" (Coast Guard motto). Nor does it explain your
>>>interest in pirate radio, star gazing, or your hunt for ET. Clearly
>>>you are one very confused individual, Dudly. And as more than one
>>>person has previously noted, you are clearly a paramilitary-wannabe
>>>and a hero-wannabe.
>
>> Dudly "hunted for ET?" I missed that one. From the looks
>> of his postings, I think ET found HIM! :-)
>
>http://tinyurl.com/8apbr

:-)

>> Dudly is living his own imaginary life in the Internet.
>
>His "hero-wannabe" dysfunction isn't limited to the internet.....
>
>He seeks praise as a hero in just about everything he does. He looks
>for it in the military .....the Marine Corps Reserve, the Air Force
>Auxilliary, CAP, TN State Guard..... he even made passive assertions
>that he was a Coast Guard vet. He discovered that the medical field is
>full of hero recognition so he became an LPN and works in emergency
>rooms. He got his ham license so he can work RACES. When he discovered
>the internet he extended his praise-panhandling to the newsgroups and
>the web, and even started his own yahoo group for hero-nurses.

...and then he swings just the opposite in attacking all those
who challenge him in anything by going overboard on personal
insults. For example, according to Dud, I'm supposed to be
"dishonoring" the real heroes by remembering the 23 members of
my signal battalion who died during the Korean War...and also

"dishonoring" all other vets for joining in on Memorial Day
rememberances every year. Geez, I fly the American flag on
my house each national holiday...Dud probably will say I
"dishonor the country" by doing so! :-(

>But that hero-rush doesn't last very long so he seeks the opportunity
>to be the hero -beyond- the established emergency services. He drives
>around off-duty with a medical bag, a scanner and emergency lights,
>hoping to run across someone in distress that he can save. One step
>further and he'll be -staging- emergency situations just so he can be
>the hero (assuming he hasn't done so already).

Oy veh!

>Dudly is a very disturbed individual.

In reading of the latest suicide-killer William Freund, I
learned of the existance Asperger's Syndrome, related to
autism and not being able to function well socially.
Maybe Dud has that. Newspaper reports say it is seldom
detected in ordinary medical exams by ordinary MDs.

I keep thinking of Elfren Saldivar, dubbed "the Angel of
Death" during his trial in Glendale, CA. NURSE Saldivar
offed a couple dozen patients, not only in Glendale but in
two other hospitals. The Glendale hospital had NOT done
any checking of Saldivar's performance at those other
hospitals!

>> Either that or his rationalizing has it that "some hacker"
>> got his screen names and passwords and is "posing" as him.
>
>Doubtful. I haven't seen a header from any of his posts that wasn't
>consistent with the rest.

Ah, but that is Dud's EXCUSE! :-) Little details like
header info is meaningless to him, so therefore it is
of "no value." :-)

>> Dudly's excuse is that everyone else is a "liar" so only
>> he "tells the truth" just by mere mention in a post here.
>> Real facts are irrelevant. Arithmetic is irrelevant.
>> Someone is always "hacking his accounts" and "posting as
>> him" if he is challenged. He's nuts.
>
>He's challenged.

Mentally and emotionally. He's nuts.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 111. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 06:53:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130914427.674064.285970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122675

```
Frankie of Silliland, RRAP's Newest Source Of Comic Relief wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 16:18:14 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130890694.158697.170530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1130768302.683869.320990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> >> >> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> >Let's talk about standards, Dudly....(SNIP)
> >> >
> >> >> what you going on about?
> >> >
> >> > For once you've gotten something VERY right, MARK.
> >> >
> >> >> >And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further....(HUGE SNIP)
> >> >
> >> >> >You have never disclosed your reservist status
> >> >>
> >> >> reservist? Stevie boy is reservist?
> >> >
> >> > Nope. Except, as I clearly noted, my 4 months as "Delayed Entry"
> >> >prior to going active in September 1974.
> >> >
> >> > It was a wild stab in the dark by the disgraced, court martialed
> >> >liar, Mark.
> >> >
> >> >> I am not calling you a liar Frank but it would be nice to back this up
> >> >
> >> > He can't.
> >>
> >> Sure I can.
> >
> > No...You can make silly allegations (hence your nick-name of
> >"Silliland") that are just pie-in-the-sky guesses.
> >
> > "Proof" would be some document that actually showed my reserve
> >status above and beyond the Delayed Entry period...
> >
> > The problem for YOU is that nothing of the sort exists.
>
> Sure it does -- your own words.
```

And substantiation of my assertion that you're a liar follows:

> >> Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
> >> only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
> >> years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
> >> freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
> >> their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
> >> active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
> >> -doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
> >> you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.
> >
> > Uhhhh...Looking for that reg wherein US Marines are not allowed to
> >keep personal pursuits while on active duty...
>
> If you were on active duty then you would know that moonlighting is
> -strongly- discouraged...(SNIP)

Frankie, the question I asked over and over in the original post
was WHAT MCO WAS VIOLATED...?!?!

> In some units it's even prohibited by the
> commanding officer because the responsibilities of an outside job
> usually conflict with the responsibilities of the unit. But you didn't
> know that because you were never active duty; i.e, more proof from
> your own ignorant words.

Of course I knew it.

And I had the Commanding Officer's approval chit for off duty
employment as was required by Marine Aircraft Group 16 policy at the
time.

> > And you make reference as to what my "DOD" (properly DoD) record
> >states per my MOS's, but you and I BOTH know that that same record
> >shows nothing but active duty service (Delayed Entry
> >notwithstanding...)
>
> Maybe you don't know -- your DOD (or DoD if you like) record doesn't
> specify. I mentioned that before: ".....but it doesn't distinguish
> between active or reserve." The reason is because military members
> frequently change from active to active reserve, to inactive reserve,
> to retired (in your dreams), etc, etc. The record states your total
> time, not how it was served. And BTW, your time in the delayed
> enlistment program isn't considered active -or- reserve (active -or-
> inactive). Read your contract if you don't believe me.

Sorry, SilliOne...Delayed entry WAS considered "reserve" time.

> >> But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
> >> year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than
> >> four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps.
> >
> > Uh huh. Six, actually.
> >

> > Thee are a LOT of Marines who are EMT's...Both as Crash-Rescue
> >personnel on MCAS's and as "off duty" pursuits.
> >
> > My first EMT card was in Pennsylvania in February 1986. PA
> >EMT-MAST 014402.
>
> Where you were stationed at Camp .....?

There was no "Camp ....." in Pennsylvania, Frankie.

> > Followed up by EMT-1A in Orange County CA in June of
> >1986. I also held National Registry (took that test at the hospital at
> >MCB Camp Pendleton) It has/had nothing to do with my MOS...Just what I
> >was interested in doing.
>
> Uh-huh.

Yep.

> > BTW...I received a CG's Certificate of Commendation in 1988 for
> >life-saving from CG Third MAW, then another one from the CG First MAW
> >in 1990 for a second event...
> >
> > That EMT training came in handy!
>
> Dudly the hero!!!

Nope. Just did the right thing at the right time.

> >> Also, in the late
> >> '80s, (before moving to Arkansas, home state of your second wife and
> >> your state of residence when you allegedly "retired" from the Corps in
> >> 1992), you worked in California as an ER tech at "Hoag Memorial
> >> Hospital Presbyterian in Newport Beach, CA", which would be virtually
> >> impossible if you were also on active duty as an avionics tech.
> >
> > Uh....No...Why would having a part time job less than 10 miles from
> >the base where I was stationed at (and 1.5 miles from where I lived...)
> >be a problem?

> See above, re: moonlighting.

And AGAIN you waffle on the question at hand...

You make some suggestive comments yet don't answer the questions.

Why?

PLEASE CITE just ONE Marine Corps order (from 1986 through 1992)
that allegedly "proves" I was unable/unauthorized to work part time as
an EMT...JUST ONE.

> > Are all those Marines who work part-time jobs in Jacksonville,
> >Oceanside, San Diego and other USMC-base associated cities doing

> >something illegal?
>
> Some were.

And HOW MANY of those were EMT's...?!?!

I'd love to see the MP's dragging off-duty Marines from firetrucks
and ambulances.

> > Is working part time as an ER tech any different than working at
> >Taco Bell, singing in a church choir or participating in the Big
> >Brothers/Sisters programs...?!?!
>
> Yes, by the amount of responsiblity required of the job. Nobody cares
> if you don't show up to work at Taco Bell or miss choir practice. But
> an emergency room tech missing a shift can result in real problems.

No kidding, eh...?!?!

So...you figure I can make it through EMS school but don't know how
to pick up the phone and say "Something's come up at the base and I
can't make it in..."...?!?!

> Especially when that tech is in the military and suseptible to the
> possibility that liberty could be cancelled, the base locked down, or
> any of the other things that sometimes happen, without warning, that
> would prevent you from even giving notice of your impending absence.

> As a Marine your primary obligation is to the Corps...(SNIP)

Obviously a point you didn't have any respect for until AFTER
those two courts martials, eh...?!?!

> (UNSNIP)...and I don't think
> -any- hospital with an emergency room would hire a part-time EMT who
> is much more likely to miss a shift than a dedicated EMT whose primary
> obligation is to the hospital and patients. They might let you in as a
> candy-striper.....

Then once again you've allowed us a moment to break into laughter
at your ignorance and arrogance~!

> >> The ONLY way you could have been educated, trained and gained
> >> experience as an EMT while in the Corps is if you were a reservist.
> >
> > How is that? Did I violate some MCO in my 18 years by leaving the
> >barracks and participating in non-USMC pursuits?
>
> Not pursuits, Dudly -- obligations.

You have it turned around again, AS USUAL, Cowardly One.

> > "Leatherneck" used to publish ton's of "human interest" stories on
> >Marines who did exactly those things....

>
> Name one.

Name them yourself...I don't have back copies of Leatherneck anymore...However anyone who cares to do some research will see you for what you are....

> > Is it YOUR contention that all of those Marines were NOT "real
> >Marines" because they did something that wasn't associated with their
> >official duties?
>
> I said nothing of the sort. You're spinning so hard now you are going
> to fall down and puke.

No...

That's what you've implied...You've suggested that in 18 years (actually 14 at the time I obtained my initial EMT certification) that I SHOULDN'T have had any time or opportunity to be an EMT, and that in doing so, somehow "proved" that I was never on Active Duty...As if 140 hours of night classes over a four month period kept me from my offical duties..

> >> All this information is publically available from what -you- have
> >> written on Usenet. Of course, you can always use that "my account was
> >> hacked" excuse, but that doesn't explain why you claimed to be in the
> >> Air Force Auxilliary, a retired Marine Gunny, and signed your posts
> >> with "Semper Paratus" (Coast Guard motto).
> >
> > Uh...Actually I use "Paratus et Vigilans", a personal motto which
> >means "Prepared and Vigilant".
>
> You have used "Semper Paratus" in many posts. For example:
>
> ===========
> .....[nursing is] the one "technical occupation" that I have enjoyed
> (as both EMT and LPN) where more than one off my "projects" has
> returned to where I work and shook my hand and said "Thank you for
> helping to save my life."
>
> Some occupation, huh?!
>
>
> Semper Paratus
>
> Steve Robeson, LPN
> Chattanooga, TN
> ============
>
> You even explained why you used it:
>
> "If having great respect for an organization (the United States Coast

> Guard, in this case) that goes so far out of it's way on a daily basis
> to save human lives makes me a "paramilitary wannabe", guilty as
> charged."
>
> And when taken in context with the preceding post it also makes you a
> praise-seeking hero wannabe.

So...I make some positive inferences to the Coast Guard, and
that's "wrong"...

Yet we have you bragging about allegedly being in Beirut and
having been twice court martialed, and that's OK...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

> > Did I miss some law that says once someone uses a latin word in
> >THEIR motto, no one else can use it...?!?!
>
> Did I say it was illegal?

You obviously have a problem with it...

> >> Nor does it explain your
> >> interest in pirate radio, star gazing, or your hunt for ET. Clearly
> >> you are one very confused individual, Dudly. And as more than one
> >> person has previously noted, you are clearly a paramilitary-wannabe
> >> and a hero-wannabe.
> > >
> > > I see you harbor the same "hate anyone who does more than I do"
> > >sentiments that Lennie and Brain do...
> >
> > Keep dreaming, Dudly. I couldn't care less how bad you have screwed up
> > your life -- it's what you claim to have done but haven't that hobbles
> > -your- horse.

I'm not the one with two court martials over his head, Frankie.

And as for the rest, I can see how a busted-back-to-boot-camp PFC
would have a "problem" with a Marine who DID make it to Gunnery
Sergeant and did so without so much as a single Article 15....

> > Of course you managed to screw up the one paid "uniformed" job you
> > >held, so I guess no one should expect you to be involved in any kind of
> > >"uniformed" volunteer work, huh...?!?!
> >
> > If the shower-shoe fits.

And I think we have the correct shoe on you, Frankie...

> >> There's plenty more information that is publically available about
> >> you, but I think I made my case -- using -YOUR OWN WORDS-!!!
> > >

> > So far, you've not "proven" a single thing.
>
> And you have? Ok, Dudly. Whatever you say.

I've proven you to be a liar.

What more could I ask for...?!?!

> > Unless you can show me where NO OTHER MARINE >>EVER<< worked a part
> >time job, went to school off duty, followed "personal" pursuits that
> >were not part-and-parcel of thier USMC duties...?!?!
>
> So the fact that two-headed snakes have existed is proof that you have
> one? Go soak your head. I'm talking probability here, Dudly. So far
> your claims are so IM-probable that you defending them only makes the
> argument against you even stronger.

No...We HAVE "proven" my cowardly assertions since you won't
"straight-up" answer the question.

Where, Frankie, has NO OTHER MARINE >>EVER<< worked a part time
job, went to school off duty, followed "personal" pursuits that were
not part-and-parcel of their USMC duties...?!?!

EVER?

"Go soak your head" is the BEST you can come up with, Frankie?

> > Geeze, Frankie...That was a looooooooooooooooooooooong stretch,
>
> Taking a vacation from your "career" as spelling cop?

You took one from being a Marine. Twice.

Your point?

> >> BTW, what happened to your first marraige? Were you lying when you
> >> took those vows before God?
> >
> > "marriage"
>
> Oh, I see..... it's a do-as-I-say-not-as-I-do thing with you, huh?
>
> > I didn't tell you?
>
> Nope. So what -are- your justifications for why you failed at your
> marrIAge?

Why? Is it pertinent to Amateur Radio? Is it pertinent to my
USMC career?

Or just another toe-hold you are desperate for since you've been
unable to establish one anywhere else...?!?!

> >> > He also dodges following up on all of the references I have
> >> >posted.
> >>
> >> See above.
> >
> > I see you trying to stretch some things real far, none of it
> >causing even "collateral damage".
>
> Take a poll, Dudly.... who here is your friend?

And who is YOURS?

Or Lennie's? Or Brain or Markie's?

I think you've pretty much reached the "desperate for a stinging
insult" phase...

> >> > That's because in doing so he'd have to eat crow...A LOT of
> >> >crow.
> >> >
> >> >> Although for Stevie to be Carrer Resvist? National gaurd would make a
> >> >> lot of his #### make more sense now you bring it up but do you have
> >> >> more than that
> >> >
> >> > He has NOTHING, Mark...No "evidence", no facts, no justification,
> >> >nothing.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Wrong. I have your own words and some basic math skills.
> >
> > Then it seems you also have some comprehension issues and need a
> >calculator.
>
> Once again, you're the expert.

Nope. But I am better at math and English comprehension...witness
the following:

> >> That's all I
> >> need to prove that you are a liar.
> >
> > Uhhhhh....How's that?
> >
> > So far all your "math" has proven is that while I was on active
> >duty I ALSO had non-USMC pursuits.
>
> Pottery, polishing rocks, building hot-rods..... those are "pursuits",
> Dudly. You claim to have successfully had two concurrent careers, both
> with very demanding and very conflicting obligations. Big difference.

I did?

W H E R E did I say I had "TWO CONCURRENT CAREERS", Frankie...?!?!

Mind you, we're quoting YOU now ! ! !

I had a PART TIME JOB...No retirement plan...No 401K...

> > What part of MCO's were therein
> >violated? Other than during Typhoon Condition 1A on Okinawa, I never
> >spent one minute on Barracks Restriction, and even then THAT was
> >"island wide".
>
> That doesn't make sense -- the minute you didn't spend on barracks
> restriction was island wide? Think -before- you type, you fruitcake.

I did...very thoughtfully...The ONLY "barracks restriction" I was
ever "on" was during island wide restrictions for Typhoon Condition 1A.

> Anyway, you clearly don't understand the difference between liberty
> and restriction, something that is known by any active duty Marine
> (and probably known by active duty members of the other services as
> well).

Sure I do. The POINT having been made that I never stood so much
as one minute of any form of punishment in 18 years inn the Marines...

Can YOU say the same thing...?!?!

> Like I said, Dudly -- the more you talk, the deeper you dig
> your hole.

How's that?

So far, you're the one in "negative numbers", Frankie...

> > Frankie...You should try out for the part of the guy in the comic
> >book "Fantastic Four" that can stretch like Silly-Putty, because you
> >sure earned the opportunity here today!
>
> Grow up, "Major".

I'm doing just fine.

But YOU are the one making strange assertions unsubstantiated by
facts, Frankie.

> > Lastly...The Marine Corps, as do all the services, heavily recruit
> >and covet their various Reserve assets...The USMC in particular
> >requires the same degree of qualifications of their Reservists as they
> >do their active duty components.
>
> Yes they do. Many reservists are former active duty Marines. Many
> active duty Marines are former reservists. Blah, blah, blah. What's
> your point?

The POINT is that YOU seem to express duty as a Reservist as a
negativism.

> > This is not something I made up, but a matter of public record and
> >USMC policy.
>
> Where did I say anything different?

At every suggestion that I may have "only" been a reservist and at
the point wherein you made inferences that duty as a Reservist was
somehow less-than-adequate.

> > I should know...I helped train Reservists at various times.
>
> Gee, another claim about your military career. I wonder if I should
> give that one as much weight as I give the rest of your claims....

It doesn't matter what "weight" you give it, Frankie...

You were incompetent as a Marine when you were on active duty and
you're incompetent to sit in judgement of anyone who was in the
Marines.

> > So...that having been said, even if I HAD been a Reservist, I would
> >have had to meet the same standards as the Active Duty forces...
>
> That's right. The only difference is that you, as a reservist...(SNIP)

Frank Gilliland has uttered yet another intentional lie.

> (UNSNIP)...didn't have the same experiences as an active duty Marine. And active
> duty Marines didn't have the same experiences a you, a reservist...(SNIP)

Frank Gilliland has uttered yet another intentional lie.

> Too bad you couldn't be proud of that fact instead of trying to pass yourself
> off as something you never were -- an active duty Marine.

Three in a row.

> > And considering those same Reserve (and National Guard) forces are
> >carrying the same load as their active duty counterparts in Iraq right
> >now, your "suggestion" that I may have been a Reservist as a negativism
> >is ludicrous and assinine.
>
> I have nothing against reservists...(SNIP)

Your words in this forum demonstrate otherwise.

(That's four)

> (UNSNIP)...I -do- take issue with reservists
> who claim to be, or claim to have been, active duty when they weren't.

Well that leaves out anyone in THIS forum.

> It's not the same and you know it. Because if you didn't know it then
> you wouldn't have tried to hide the fact that you spent your entire
> Marine "career" as a reservist.

Five

> What's wrong with being proud of who you are instead of trying to be
> someone you're not? It works for me.

Being less that you can be worked for you. Two court martials
prove it.

So...Frankie...Have any REAL proof of your "having been an EMT
proves you were a Reservist" claim, or do we just get treated to
another Lenniesque blustery diatribe?

Steve, K4YZ

## 112. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 06:59:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130914779.640899.166890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122676

Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 11:57:59 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> > <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:53:10 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote in
> >><fapcm1dlqu1o61mc9r5tkcj9anl0hj7cjp@4ax.com>:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> >>>and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> >>>gather
> >>>
> >>>Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> >>>mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> >>>this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
> >>
> >>
> >>Don't ask me to take any shred of responsibility for your actions.
> >>Dudly's posts are a matter of public record and nobody needs my
> >>address in order to read them. And you should rethink your intention
> >>of getting him booted from his job -- although he's a nitwit and a
> >>pathological liar, he might just be a damn fine LPN.
> >
> >
> > not asking you to take a shred of responibility
> >
> > The paper work is already underway like I said I could use you
> > privding an addres in case they want to question you as a WITNESS or
> > you refusal
> >
> > The action is at my doing
> >
> > I don't think he can be trusted to treat his pateints properly with
> > the hate filled lies he spews
> >
> > all I am asking is to privde thee address or flat out refuse I was
> > aked to do by the Nursing board I honestly don't care which choice you
> > take
> >
>
> It is starting to sound as if you can't take "no" for an answer.
>

> If you like, Mark, you may provide them my name and e-mail address.
> I'll be happy to tell them what I know of Steve and what I know of you. :-)

I think just a few of his more colorful postings will set most of
the Board members on thier ear laughing, Dave.

I've already had numerous professional, proactive relationships
with several members of the Board including presentations before the
Board in public session on scope-of-practice issues.

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 113. I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 07:19:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130915963.558152.157110@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122677

Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal pond scum (Commander Bitchwore, Stebie, and a few other morons) are still running the same shit.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz
Saturday's 4pm -5pm CST

## 114. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 08:44:27 UTC
From: NewsGuy <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130921067.194580.317170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Yeah sorry I meant NOV. 4th. My bad.

## 115. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 09:29:20 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <fk1hm1pgpuav2k81p99espmapn663jscub@4ax.com>
Source record: 122679
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 31 Oct 2005 17:22:50 GMT, "whinyslit_woger"
<whinyslit_woger@no.ballz> wrote:

>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 04:49:19 -0600, racist scum cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
>> Dudette,if you don't speak in the English language,,, You go take a
>> Flying Leap off the highest building you can find,sans Parachute!
>> Estonia,eh? Well,I don't have anything agains't Estonia,but You speak
>> the English language around here or You go find a translator
>> somewhere.Meanwhile,Shove It!
>> cuhulin
>
>Listen you racist asshole, just because Estonians are black

Lemme guess -- the index in your geograpgy book says":

Estonia
see Ethiopia

>you don't
>get to kick them around the news groups. Maybe she has relatives who
>live near your backward racist state of Mississippi, and they will pay
>you a little visit to kick you around like a soccer ball.
>
>Racist Mississippi scum. Don't ever come to West Virginia.

## 116. Re: A Quote from Len

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 11:08:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130929731.265983.251890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122680

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 06:56:40 -0500, "Dee Flint"
> <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:
>
> >
> ><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:1130845805.411490.42180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>
> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>> news:1130723385.645004.258240@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>> >
> >>> > Dee Flint wrote:
> >>> >> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >>> >> news:1130707556.333359.98390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>> >> >> Dee Flint wrote:
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >> > When the scout earns a merit badge,
> >>> >> >> > it does not put him on the same level of
> >>> >> >> > authority and responsibility as the scout
> >>> >> >> > masters or leaders.
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> > That is not the intent of the merit badge. But that is not what I'm
> >>> >> > driving at. Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge
> >>> >> > Counselor.
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> > That merit
> >>> >> >> > badge does not make him the "equal" of the adults.
> >>> >> >>
> >>> >> >> Indeed.
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> > Has it ever?
> >>> >> >
> >>> >> > Again you miss the concept of the Merit Badge Counselor.
> >>> >>
> >>> >> And you are missing my point that the Scouts is not an organization
> >>> >> that
> >>> >> has
> >>> >> the young participate on an equal footing. You are the one who keeps
> >>> >> mentioning the Merit Badge Counselor, not I.
> >>> >
> >>> > Keeps mentioning? It is the crux of my comment. That you know nothing

> >>> > beyond Scoutmasters and other leaders is not my fault.
> >>> >
> >>> >> It has no bearing on whether
> >>> >> or not the young scouts have equality with the adults.
> >>> >>
> >>> >> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
> >>> >
> >>> > As long as you insist that the Merit Badge Counselor lords his or her
> >>> > knowledge and age over the child, then you must be correct. Go in
> >>> > peace.
> >>> >
> >>>
> >>> And if you choose to believe that the scouts and the adult leaders are
> >>> interacting as equals, then go right ahead even though that is not the
> >>> way
> >>> they are set up.
> >>
> >> I don't beleive that, never have, see above. You refuse to become
> >> knowledgeable about another facet of scouting; the merit badge
> >> counselor.
> >>
> >
> >I have always been talking about the interaction of equals. You keep trying
> >to switch it to the merit badge counselor.
>
> Noramly i would make some cuts up there but in this case I will not
>
> Leaving them alone makes the post complee in prooving Dee Flint is
> lying in the assertion Brain is trying to switch the subject
>
> > I choose not to fall for the
> >bait and switch. Since I've stated my point several times, I will not
> >continue this discourse....
>
> standard response form the elitist liars around here first lying about
> discoursing in the first place then
>
> >....... as I've no interest in your bait and switch tactics.
>
> then refuse to discuss at all
>
> SOP

She fears learning something that might shake her faith in Hiramology.

## 117. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 11:16:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130930204.348643.7980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122681

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 4:52 pm
> >
> >>Dave Heil wrote:

> >>>That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number
> >>>of things in amateur radio. Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough
> >>>to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len
> >>>is something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around
> >>>after the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.
> >
> >
> > James Weir runs RST Engineering. It is located in Grass Valley,
> > CA, in Nevada County (California's "gold country").
>
> Fine. I don't want to deflate his ego but when I saw a post from "RST
> Engineering" signed "Jim", it meant nothing to me. I never heard of it
> or him.

I've seen him on various r.r.a... groups for years.

## 118. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 12:26:21 UTC
From: 81014J <Spikey@schqcbham.org>
Message-ID: <dkab7c$o2s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"N7ZZT - Eric Oyen" <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:9SY9f.8981$IB.1515@dukeread11...
> Steveo wrote:
>
>> N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:
>>> Amos Keag wrote:
>>>
>>> >>
>>> >>
>>> >> BULLSHIT!
>>> >>
>>> >
>>> > Typical CB response, isn't it?
>>>
>>> yep. guess they can't be bothered to respond with an "intelligent"
>>> response, huh?
>>>
>> Never use a CB, Eric?
> not in 10 years.....
> I signed off the 11 metere band on Sept 19, 1995, sold the radio and never
> looked back.
>

Surrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrre.
All you No-Code techs use the same line, just the date changes.
ROTFLMAO!
```

## 119. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 13:35:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130938503.068892.296370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Lardass aka Nim Buster wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 17:31:57 -0800, NewsGuy <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com> wrote:
> > Sad to say, the intrepid Admin of the notorious NIMBusters message
> > board [http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/] has announced it will be closed
> > as of Friday, October 4, 2005.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!! Roger wins again!

> Hey, Dude. It's already November.
>
> > Roger Wiseman

Lloyd Davies has been posting under several different names and posting
she male pics. He's queer like that.

> > Now, where the hell will LLoyd Davies take his incessant whining?
>
> NIM Busters will continue,<bullshit>

Lardass has always posted there. He has no other life.

## 120. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 13:35:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130938527.032415.137260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What to go, Fuck up Davies!

## 121. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 13:37:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130938624.509531.46320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122685

N9OGL wrote:
> Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio

Studio?? BWHAHAHAHAHA All you have is a fucking cassette recorder,
Toad.

> for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal
> pond scum (Commander Bitchwore, Stebie, and a few other morons) are
> still running the same shit.

Toad's been in jail for prostitution again.

## 122. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 13:40:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130938839.182839.160140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinycunt_Lloyd davies wrote:
> On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 08:44:11 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> > Interestingly,the 37 year old Bell South woman next door to me is also
> > an electronics engineer at Bell South here in Jackson.She sure does cuss
> > sometimes.The 30 year old brunette woman whom lives over there too,I
> > haven't heard her say any cuss words yet.She likes potted plants and
> > plants and flowers to grow in their yard and those little garden thingys
> > some women like to buy and put in flower gardens,little gnomes and
> > things like that.The other woman doesn't seem to care so much about
> > things like that.
> > cuhulin
>
> Bell South posts <Lardass' homosexual fantasy and projection flushed>

BWHAHAHAHA.Lardass, Bell South isn't the phone company in WV, stupid.
You fucked up again. However you use bellsouth as a isp, don't you?

## 123. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 13:42:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130938938.933215.291690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass isn't very up on Geography (or anything else, for that
matter.). He tried to pass off an Alabama ARES website for one in West
Virginia.

## 124. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 13:46:25 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130939184.974373.311100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122688

Toad, you are on this ISP:

12.156.123.39

12.0.0.0 - 12.255.255.255
AT&T WorldNet Services
AT&T
200 S. LAUREL AVE.
MIDDLETOWN, NJ
US

IP Customer Care
jeanbaptiste@att.com
+1-888-613-6330

12.156.120.0 - 12.156.127.255
COMPUTER TECHNIQUES ACC BUSINES
1100 SPORTSMAN DR
TAYLORVILLE, IL
US

Vocks, Adam
ADAM@ctitech.com
+1-217-824-6398

## 125. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 13:48:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130939327.935179.321440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

way to go!

## 126. Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 13:55:32 UTC
From: 81014J <Spikey@schqcbham.org>
Message-ID: <dkage5$fv1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Is it more common to find a ham or a CBer living in a
mobile home park?

## 127. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 14:00:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130940003.661124.16640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122691

N9OGL wrote:
> Oh just like when you got those emails from me, when in fact they were
> not from but a hack job and you had me kick off of Consolidated.

Even though the e mails may have been bogus, your other stuff here
WASN'T...You STILL got what you deserved.

> You
> even admitted on here that those letters weren't from me....right steve
> you @@@@ing lying piece of @@@@ I hope you rot in hell you @@@@ing
> ####ard.

Spoken like the collegeman you aren't, Toiddie.

Steve, K4YZ

## 128. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 14:07:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130940435.924223.281050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122692

K4YZ wrote:

> That's what you've implied...You've suggested that in 18 years
> (actually 14 at the time I obtained my initial EMT certification)...(SNIP)

Excuse me...that was "12".....

Steve, K4YZ

## 129. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 14:27:15 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <8781a017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122693

On 2 Nov 2005 06:00:03 -0800, K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> Spoken like the collegeman you aren't, Toiddie.

"College man" is two words, my uneducated sniper.

## 130. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 14:28:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amtas.com
Message-ID: <l6jhm1d7u22psee9t9c0avhik6bnef3692@4ax.com>
Source record: 122694

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Oh just like when you got those emails from me, when in fact they were
> not from but a hack job and you had me kick off of Consolidated. You
> even admitted on here that those letters weren't from me....right steve
> you fucking lying piece of shit I hope you rot in hell you fucking
> bastard.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
>
Quit your fucking cussing god damnit.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 131. Four RRAP'ers Quoted in November 2005 "CQ" Magazine IRT WT05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 14:41:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130942460.265555.13670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122695

Four "regulars" of RRAP were quoted in CQ magazine IRT WT05-235.
Bill Sohl K2UNK, Bert Craig WA2SI and John Kasupski KC2HMZ, and myself.

The article starts on page 52 under the heading "Thousands Express
Their Views On Morse Code Testing".

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 132. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 14:44:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130942645.910924.121720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122696

kb9rqz@amtas.com wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Oh just like when you got those emails from me, when in fact they were
> > not from but a hack job and you had me kick off of Consolidated. You
> > even admitted on here that those letters weren't from me....right steve
> > you ####ing lying piece of #### I hope you rot in hell you ####ing
> > ####ard.
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> > 14.321.00 MHz
> >
> Quit your ####ing cussing god ######.

Nice shot, Markie...You told him...

And got both profanity and blasphemy in one line...How does that
figure in to your arguments about respecting other's religions...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 133. Re: Radio is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 15:05:45 UTC
From: Beerbelly <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <uclhm1pilh7ig8v5itirqrn33dk28pmf01@4ax.com>
Source record: 122697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 19:17:45 -0500, "Sir Chadwick of Ousinge"
<Bchedricke@runbissh.com> wrote:

>
>"Gerry Lintonice" <miami_cure@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
>news:1130839017.128433.180260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Yes, radios are sending gay-waves to male members, turning the men into
>> faggots who will buttfuck other men to infect them with more gayness.
>>
>> Very very GAY GAY GAY !!!
>>
>
>You need to go here: http://www.homemade-sex-toys.com/

mII is slightly gayer!

## 134. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 15:11:52 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <08701833.245F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 2 Nov 2005 05:40:39 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> Woger wrote:
> > On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 08:44:11 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> > > Interestingly,the 37 year old Bell South woman next door to me is also
> > > an electronics engineer at Bell South here in Jackson.She sure does cuss
> > > sometimes.The 30 year old brunette woman whom lives over there too,I
> > > haven't heard her say any cuss words yet.She likes potted plants and
> > > plants and flowers to grow in their yard and those little garden thingys
> > > some women like to buy and put in flower gardens,little gnomes and
> > > things like that.The other woman doesn't seem to care so much about
> > > things like that.
> > > cuhulin
> >
> > Bell South posts <Lardass' homosexual fantasy and projection flushed>
>
> BWHAHAHAHA.Lardass, Bell South isn't the phone company in WV, stupid.
> You fucked up again. However you use bellsouth as a isp, don't you?

BWAHAHAHAHA Lloyd! Bell South can advertise anywhere they damn well
please, especially if they can't get enough linemen who stand up when
they pee. So they come here to Glendale and advertise in the place
where the most shemales will see it, the Shipwreck Lounge. I said
that they move south after they get a job, but you missed it. Looks
like you fucked up again!

I find some really hot dates in the Shipwreck Lounge myself. I moved
to Glen Haven Avenue because a lot of shemales live on that street.
woger

## 135. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 15:38:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130945937.588481.279970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122699

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio
>
> Studio?? BWHAHAHAHAHA All you have is a fucking cassette recorder,
> Toad.
>
I wouldn't bet on it dickhead, I've spend $5,000 on audio equipment.

> > for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal
> > pond scum (Commander Bitchwore, Stebie, and a few other morons) are
> > still running the same shit.
>
> Toad's been in jail for prostitution again.

Oh, what's the matter, Wiseman not giving you daily butt plugging?

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

## 136. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 15:40:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amtas.com
Message-ID: <ocnhm15i1p0v622pgm1i4oa0si1ksj74nl@4ax.com>
Source record: 122702

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my
> > > studio
> >
> > Studio?? BWHAHAHAHAHA All you have is a fucking cassette recorder,
> > Toad.
> >
> I wouldn't bet on it dickhead, I've spend $5,000 on audio equipment.
>
> > > for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the
> > > normal pond scum (Commander Bitchwore, Stebie, and a few other
> > > morons) are still running the same shit.
> >
> > Toad's been in jail for prostitution again.
>
> Oh, what's the matter, Wiseman not giving you daily butt plugging?
>
Tahts waht I want.

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 137. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 15:40:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130946031.952268.54080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122700

and your point moron?

## 138. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 15:43:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130946220.294602.199440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122701

> Even though the e mails may have been bogus, your other stuff here
>WASN'T...You STILL got what you deserved.

and one of these days stebie, you'll get what you deserve

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

## 139. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 15:44:51 UTC
From: Unk <roamer@k7no.com>
Message-ID: <4inhm1lm341ibclo0iou8eb5rpl92ke75g@4ax.com>
Source record: 122703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 12:35:27 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
wrote:

>Graham,
> The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was dropped
>back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was responsible for
>dropping the licensing requirement.
> Ace - WH2T
>

I doubt that President Carter had much to do with dropping (dumbing
down) licensing requirements. In fact it is unlikely Carter even knew
what ham radio was unless they could help him with 'killer rabbits'!

He may have been pres at the time but the FCC has a history of
operating on their own.

unk

## 140. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 15:50:25 UTC
From: Unk <roamer@k7no.com>
Message-ID: <5vnhm1pai8l968ln9q5taju76qld5h06v9@4ax.com>
Source record: 122704

On Tue, 01 Nov 2005 17:45:16 -0700, N7ZZT - Eric Oyen
<n7zzt@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>Cup 'O Jo ten-four wrote:
>
>
>>>
>>> You really missed the point, I never made any reference to 'illegal cb
>>> frequencis', I said *other* ////////SNIPPED/////////
>>
>>
>> PLONK
>>
>>
>>
>> FLUSHED
>>
>>
>>
>> gone!
>Don't like hearing the truth huh?

They are playing with symantics I guess, Eric. It is like the
"Illegal" aliens. Play word games and try to obfuscate the true
issues.

Unk

## 141. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 15:54:15 UTC
From: NewsGuy <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130946855.591799.285510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The Admin has personally confirmed via e-mail that LLoyd has not posted
on NIMBusters since March. Woger has been chasing a ghost eight months.

Way to go, fuckup!

A TimeLORD always wins in the end!

## 142. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:09:33 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xw1g7@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xw1g7@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122867

N9OGL Wrote:
> Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my
> studio.....
>
> 14.321.00 MHz
> Saturday's 4pm -5pm CST

Your spent $5,000.00 on audio equipment for an HF SSB 1-hour-per-week
information bulletin? You built a "studio" for this? Down here we
just tape Pasternaks newsline and transmit via the reverse autopatch.
Saved our $5,000.00 for processed agave juice.

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

## 143. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:13:06 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <8D287017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 2 Nov 2005 08:52:16 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> The Country of Estonia is in Northwest Europe.I didn't have to look it
> up first before I am posting this,,, I have always known since I was a
> kid a million years ago where Estonia is.
> cuhulin

There are practically no shemales in Estonia which is why I'm glad I
live in Glendale, WV.
woger

# 144. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:19:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <p0mhm1pcf1sqeh3ubgdm9hum5uboeedjvc@4ax.com>
Source record: 122708

On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>
>>>I'd imagine
>>
>>
>>
>> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
>
>It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
>and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.

Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!

>I can't yet figure your motivation

I don't know why -- I've clearly stated my "motivation" several times
in plain English.

> but you've admitted being a screw up
>in the military. It looks as if you're out to smear the service of
>others for whatever reason. Most of us who served managed to get
>through a hitch without an Article 15, much less a court martial.

One more time, Dave: I wasn't exactly the 'model Marine', but I did my
service and I did it well (despite Dudly's imagined version of the
facts as recorded in my SRB). I am no less proud of my service than
any other Marine. And like any other Marine, I find it reprehensible
when someone like Dudly makes false claims about his "career", fudging
the truth in order to get a little more praise.

So you never got caught doing anything wrong. Hooray for you.
Something to be proud of, I suppose. However, I have a slightly
different value system. I don't rest my laurels on never having done
anything wrong (which I don't think anyone can honesty claim).
Instead, I list my accomplishments by the challenges I have faced and
overcome, whether they were caused by my own actions or by
circumstances beyond my control. And believe me, I have done both.

Dudly said it himself: It's easy to get through the military without so much as an Article 15 -- just follow orders. Now that's fine for a reservist, where the orders given aren't much of a challenge. It's easy to blindly follow orders. But that isn't always the case on active duty. Maybe you forgot Abu Graib, or that incident where the soldiers refused to make an unnecessary supply run without sufficient armor..... or even the Iran-Contra scandal. When the orders are wrong or illegal, as happens from time to time, it's -not- easy to do the -right- thing and challenge authority. I consider that to be one of my accomplishments.

I also consider it an accomplishment to have fulfilled my military obligation after two courts-martial and the constant denigration from others that resulted from them, a tactic that Dudly is trying to use. What Dudly doesn't realize is that he can say nothing that I haven't heard before and overcome. I proved them wrong and I'm proud of that fact. So when Dudly (and now yourself) try to use the same demeaning tactics I can only laugh.

Dave, I have no beef with you. I didn't feel it necessary to give you -any- sort of explanation but I did anyway. If it wasn't enough, or if you can't understand it, tough shit. But do you really feel the need to defend Dudly -- a retired Marine Gunny, a CAP Major, a TN State Guardsman, and a veteran of seven hostile actions -- against me, a shitbird PFC? If you do then you are only hurting Dudly's case, not helping it. If you are going to stick up for Dudly, fine. As far as I'm concerned, you two love-birds can row your boat out into the wash together and do whatever it is that makes you two happy.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 145. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:23:14 UTC
From: ken <kw@kenwallinksorg.net>
Message-ID: <dkap34$ml9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Unk" <roamer@k7no.com> wrote in message
news:4inhm1lm341ibclo0iou8eb5rpl92ke75g@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 12:35:27 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Graham,
>> The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was dropped
>>back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was responsible for
>>dropping the licensing requirement.
>> Ace - WH2T
>>
>
>
> I doubt that President Carter had much to do with dropping (dumbing
> down) licensing requirements. In fact it is unlikely Carter even knew
> what ham radio was unless they could help him with 'killer rabbits'!
>
> He may have been pres at the time but the FCC has a history of
> operating on their own.
>

AND the FCC also has a long history of corruption. They have had a
culture of corruption long before Nancy Pelosi thought she coined the
term.

## 146. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:25:05 UTC
From: ken <kw@kenwallinksorg.net>
Message-ID: <dkap6n$n98$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"NewsGuy" <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1130946855.591799.285510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> The Admin has personally confirmed via e-mail that LLoyd has not posted
> on NIMBusters since March. Woger has been chasing a ghost eight months.
>
>
> Way to go, fuckup!
>
> A TimeLORD always wins in the end!
>

Lloyd has been a district manager for Papa John's for over a year now and
has very little free time.

## 147. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:25:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8M5af.4841$RI1.3239@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122711

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 4:52 pm
>>>
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>>>>That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number
>>>>>of things in amateur radio. Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough
>>>>>to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len
>>>>>is something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around
>>>>>after the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.
>>>
>>>
>>> James Weir runs RST Engineering. It is located in Grass Valley,
>>> CA, in Nevada County (California's "gold country").
>>
>>Fine. I don't want to deflate his ego but when I saw a post from "RST
>>Engineering" signed "Jim", it meant nothing to me. I never heard of it
>>or him.
>
>
> I've seen him on various r.r.a... groups for years.

That's you. I was writing about me. If the guy wants to play "maybe
you don't know who I am", I'm game. I didn't know who he was. Now that
I know, I don't much care. I don't have an airplane and don't live in
California.

Dave K8MN

# 148. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:32:41 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <0gqhm1pe00i4ga8ipgv11c9jmltbsms7pb@4ax.com>
Source record: 122714

On 2 Nov 2005 06:07:15 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130940435.924223.281050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>K4YZ wrote:
>
>> That's what you've implied...You've suggested that in 18 years
>> (actually 14 at the time I obtained my initial EMT certification)...(SNIP)
>
> Excuse me...that was "12".....
>
>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

You were saying something about needing a calculator....?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 149. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:37:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <pnqhm11tf237scecq0mnfkjr4u79n796uq@4ax.com>
Source record: 122715

On 2 Nov 2005 06:44:05 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amtas.com wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > Oh just like when you got those emails from me, when in fact they were
>> > not from but a hack job and you had me kick off of Consolidated. You
>> > even admitted on here that those letters weren't from me....right steve
>> > you ####ing lying piece of #### I hope you rot in hell you ####ing
>> > ####ard.
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>> > THE N9OGL SHOW
>> > 14.321.00 MHz
>> >
>> Quit your ####ing cussing god ######.
>
> Nice shot, Markie...You told him...
>
> And got both profanity and blasphemy in one line...How does that
>figure in to your arguments about respecting other's religions...?!?!

Stevie that you (or your supportedrsforge a post from from me and then
attack me for it is un important
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 150. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:38:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <trqhm19hv076611ag5g8i76ojla3sb5rn0@4ax.com>
Source record: 122716

On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 22:17:38 +0000, Iitoi
<Iitoi.1xung6@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>K4YZ Wrote:
>>
>>
>> First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for.....
>>
>> Secondly, if Y O U ........
>>
>> Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" ...........
>>
>>
>
>Did I call that one right, or what!!!!!!!!

stevie is always full of wiggle room and lies

>
>
>The Man in the Maze
>QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 151. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:42:03 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <hvqhm1h8erarejio3cc643v6d1if4drtvf@4ax.com>
Source record: 122717

On 1 Nov 2005 07:58:19 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options
>
>> > Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
>> > of lies
>> > on my part.
>> >
>> >
>> > We'll wait right here.
>
>> Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you may
>> send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
>> you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
>> "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
>
> Sorry, Brain...Several issues.
>
> First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to
>take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had
>Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have
>gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...

welching again
>
> Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my
>Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never
>"accepted the wager. You ignored it.

now you admit to adultry (in the christain not legal sense Stevie
being a married man
>
> Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you
>to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further
>demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that
>fact surprises anyone.

you are the felon for solicting the bet accros State lines Stevie
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 152. Re: Pinging Markie's Attorney...How Do You Expect To Get Paid...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:46:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <15rhm1172ms6sui509kl6dn03if2e6cg1c@4ax.com>
Source record: 122718

On 1 Nov 2005 14:38:12 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

```
>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>> gather
>
> "Move against..."
>
>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>! ! ! ! ! !
>
>> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
>> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
>> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>>
>> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
>> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
>> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
>>
>> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
>> lying on Stevie part
>
> And they will let you know that they have NO scope of authority
>over this discussion group!

gee that is not what they said they agreed with YOU that some of your
lies my rise to the level that they could/would act against you, they
also said a fine was more likely in the even they did take action
>
>> in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
>> Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
>> seemed most important to the person I was speaking to
>>
>> Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
>> witness tampering
>
> Uh huh...rrrriiiiiiiiggggghhhhhttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttt
>
> What you WANT is to be able to thrash me unhindered.

It is trashing you to ask folks for they adddresses? to provide the
nursing Board with the material they requested
```

>
> Good luck!
>
>Steve, K4YZ
BTW you failed to stay even on your topic here

nothing for any attorney of mine you lying POS

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 153. Use REAL Words, Markie! Get A WEBSTERS Discitonary! Use It!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:46:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130949986.960229.216770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122719

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 06:44:05 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amtas.com wrote:
> >> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> > Oh just like when you got those emails from me, when in fact they were
> >> > not from but a hack job and you had me kick off of Consolidated. You
> >> > even admitted on here that those letters weren't from me....right steve
> >> > you ####ing lying piece of #### I hope you rot in hell you ####ing
> >> > ####ard.
> >> >
> >> > Todd N9OGL
> >> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> >> > 14.321.00 MHz
> >> >
> >> Quit your ####ing cussing god ######.
> >>
> > Nice shot, Markie...You told him...
> >
> > And got both profanity and blasphemy in one line...How does that
> >figure in to your arguments about respecting other's religions...?!?!
>
> Stevie that you (or your supportedrsforge a post from from me and then
> attack me for it is un important

"supportedrsforge"...?!?!

What in the world was THAT...?!?!

Were you saying something about forgers? Supported by whom?

If you were refering to YOU, HOW CAN ANYONE TELL...?!?! You have
half a dozen screen names you DO use...How is anyone other than you
supposed to keep track of what really is you and what isn't...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 154. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:48:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130950119.731362.133880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122720

Iitoi wrote:
> K4YZ Wrote:
> >
> >
> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for.....
> >
> > Secondly, if Y O U ........
> >
> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" ..........
> >
> >
>
> Did I call that one right, or what!!!!!!!!

Call what?

I'm supposed to drop a C-Note in the mail for a wager that was
never accepted or agreed upon...?!?!

Uh huh...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight....

Steve, K4YZ

## 155. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie in sane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:51:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fcrhm19c806gje9a22bjg550dtd4kl682f@4ax.com>
Source record: 122721

```
On 1 Nov 2005 10:26:03 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 31 Oct 2005 06:44:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
>> >> > > Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
>> >> > > thought folks might enjoy the laugh
>> >> > >
>> >> > > From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
>> >> > > Date: 1998/09/28
>> >> > > Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
>> >> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
>> >> > > | Report Abuse
>> >> >
>> >> > Yikes!
>> >> >
>> >> > > Well,
>> >> > >
>> >> > >
>> >> > > I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
>> >> > > forward,
>> >> > > no-holds barred personal I ever read!
>> >> > >
>> >> > >
>> >> > > SR
>> >> >
>> >> > Thought Steve was married and all that?
>> >>
>> >> so did I as I said I was looking the google archives searching the best
>> >> evidence to use against him and that post just jumped out at me
>> >
>> > Sorry to break your hearts, guys, but the hacking of that account
>> >was why it was dropped.
>>
>> of course you get to use that excuse
>
> No "excuse", Markie...Fact...

so you say
```

but in going the Google archive I found a lie from in whcih you claim
abortion is Illgeal that is LIE

you lie so much not many people believe you
>
>> But I just thought it was funny and thought the folks here would a
>> laugh
>
> What laugh?
Brain and Len seem to enjoy it and they were laughing at you

> At you? Then you succeeded!
>
>> > Markie...if that's the BEST you can do to use something "against"
>> >me, then you're even LAMER than I gave you credit for.
>>
>> it is all you use against me to make some of your charges
>
> You ARE lame, Markie...In spades!

more empty talk
>
>> > However, re-reading your post, I have to ask...
>> >
>> > WHERE in that post did ANYone using my old screen name actually
>> >"participate" in the post other than to ask that question, as stupid as
>> >it was?
>> >
>> > Do you REALLY think that that's some sort of "evidence"...?!?!
>>
>> you think a post from a hacked account is evidence against others
>
>> > Youse guys are grabbing at some really, REALLY thin straws!
>>
>> I was pointing out something funny
>>
>> you are going all paranoid about it
>
> There's no "paranoia" to it, Markie! Theres's YOU trying to find
>ANYthing you can to get the heat off of YOUR stupidity...Ain't workin',
>Buckaroo!

I was and still am combing though the morroas of your lies for stuff
to send to the Nursing Board about you lying

the personal post I founf and thought the folks here would enjoy it

I know nothing will stop you trashathon against me , the truth len
Brain Todd and frank and anybody else that offends you

>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 156. Re: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM) and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:53:00 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6lrhm1h0qgl0hnf2o0pr28g0o2k177pg5h@4ax.com>
Source record: 122722

On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:
>
> Huge snip.
>
> No "mocking" of PAGANISM.

so what
>
> And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
>"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!
>
> You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
>you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!

by showing something you lack, respect for the disabled

I know it IS beyond you

a point I may try to also make with the nursing Board as things go
forward care to provide more material?
>
> Sheesh!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 157. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 16:55:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <5rrhm1druccp6ejim1446dp90fgr2f8gn9@4ax.com>
Source record: 122723

On 2 Nov 2005 08:48:39 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Iitoi wrote:
>> K4YZ Wrote:
>> >
>> >
>> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for.....
>> >
>> > Secondly, if Y O U .....
>> >
>> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" .....
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Did I call that one right, or what!!!!!!!!
>
> Call what?
>
> I'm supposed to drop a C-Note in the mail for a wager that was
>never accepted or agreed upon...?!?!

it was accepted

Silence is assent that is one the priciples of English Common Law
>
> Uh huh...Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight....
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 158. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:00:03 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <mtrhm156lp2v28vbgt5kugkostv5hhkpp2@4ax.com>
Source record: 122724

On Tue, 01 Nov 2005 20:36:22 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On 1 Nov 2005 16:18:14 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
><1130890694.158697.170530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>
>>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>>> On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>> <1130768302.683869.320990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>> >> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
cut He can't.
>>>
>>> Sure I can.
>>
>> No...You can make silly allegations (hence your nick-name of
>>"Silliland") that are just pie-in-the-sky guesses.
>>
>> "Proof" would be some document that actually showed my reserve
>>status above and beyond the Delayed Entry period...
>>
>> The problem for YOU is that nothing of the sort exists.
>
>
>Sure it does -- your own words.
>
>
>>> Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
>>> only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
>>> years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
>>> freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
>>> their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
>>> active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
>>> -doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
>>> you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.
>>
>> Uhhhh...Looking for that reg wherein US Marines are not allowed to
>>keep personal pursuits while on active duty...
>
>
>If you were on active duty then you would know that moonlighting is
>-strongly- discouraged.

so? a lot of things are strongly discouraged, some ilgeal some not
some against the regs and some not

I know it is legal to "moonlight" in the army it take a slain forest
of paperwork to do but so does almost evrything in the army
> In some units it's even prohibited by the
>commanding officer because the responsibilities of an outside job
>usually conflict with the responsibilities of the unit. But you didn't
>know that because you were never active duty; i.e, more proof from
>your own ignorant words.
>
>
>> And you make reference as to what my "DOD" (properly DoD) record
>>states per my MOS's, but you and I BOTH know that that same record
>>shows nothing but active duty service (Delayed Entry
>>notwithstanding...)
>
>
>Maybe you don't know -- your DOD (or DoD if you like) record doesn't
>specify. I mentioned that before: ".....but it doesn't distinguish
>between active or reserve." The reason is because military members
>frequently change from active to active reserve, to inactive reserve,
>to retired (in your dreams), etc, etc. The record states your total
>time, not how it was served.

breaking out for coment
> And BTW, your time in the delayed
>enlistment program isn't considered active -or- reserve (active -or-
>inactive). Read your contract if you don't believe me.

Frank dudly does not bother with facts you know that
>
>
>>> But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
>>> year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than
>>> four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps.
>>
>> Uh huh. Six, actually.
>>
>> Thee are a LOT of Marines who are EMT's...Both as Crash-Rescue
>>personnel on MCAS's and as "off duty" pursuits.
>>
>> My first EMT card was in Pennsylvania in February 1986. PA
>>EMT-MAST 014402.
>
cuting to move on to rest of Stevie shit

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 159. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:02:53 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <r5shm19kjmsirq47gc1istgbi34orm3u7l@4ax.com>
Source record: 122726

On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 08:19:35 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:

>On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>>> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>>>
>>> <snip>
>>>
>>>>I'd imagine
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
>>
>>It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
>>and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
>
>
>Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!
>
>
>>I can't yet figure your motivation
>
>
>I don't know why -- I've clearly stated my "motivation" several times
>in plain English.
don't you know Dave and Stevei are qualified to read minds and they
have determined by reading from your id that you have another
motivation

the powers of the MMM are covered in the sercret protocols of the
Elders of Morsemen
>
>
>> but you've admitted being a screw up
>>in the military. It looks as if you're out to smear the service of
>>others for whatever reason. Most of us who served managed to get
>>through a hitch without an Article 15, much less a court martial.
>

>
>One more time, Dave: I wasn't exactly the 'model Marine', but I did my
>service and I did it well (despite Dudly's imagined version of the
>facts as recorded in my SRB). I am no less proud of my service than
>any other Marine. And like any other Marine, I find it reprehensible
>when someone like Dudly makes false claims about his "career", fudging
>the truth in order to get a little more praise.
>
>So you never got caught doing anything wrong. Hooray for you.
>Something to be proud of, I suppose. However, I have a slightly
>different value system. I don't rest my laurels on never having done
>anything wrong (which I don't think anyone can honesty claim).
>Instead, I list my accomplishments by the challenges I have faced and
>overcome, whether they were caused by my own actions or by
>circumstances beyond my control. And believe me, I have done both.
>
>Dudly said it himself: It's easy to get through the military without
>so much as an Article 15 -- just follow orders. Now that's fine for a
>reservist, where the orders given aren't much of a challenge. It's
>easy to blindly follow orders. But that isn't always the case on
>active duty. Maybe you forgot Abu Graib, or that incident where the
>soldiers refused to make an unnecessary supply run without sufficient
>armor..... or even the Iran-Contra scandal. When the orders are wrong
>or illegal, as happens from time to time, it's -not- easy to do the
>-right- thing and challenge authority. I consider that to be one of my
>accomplishments.
>
>I also consider it an accomplishment to have fulfilled my military
>obligation after two courts-martial and the constant denigration from
>others that resulted from them, a tactic that Dudly is trying to use.
>What Dudly doesn't realize is that he can say nothing that I haven't
>heard before and overcome. I proved them wrong and I'm proud of that
>fact. So when Dudly (and now yourself) try to use the same demeaning
>tactics I can only laugh.
>
>Dave, I have no beef with you. I didn't feel it necessary to give you
>-any- sort of explanation but I did anyway. If it wasn't enough, or if
>you can't understand it, tough shit. But do you really feel the need
>to defend Dudly -- a retired Marine Gunny, a CAP Major, a TN State
>Guardsman, and a veteran of seven hostile actions -- against me, a
>shitbird PFC? If you do then you are only hurting Dudly's case, not
>helping it. If you are going to stick up for Dudly, fine. As far as
>I'm concerned, you two love-birds can row your boat out into the wash
>together and do whatever it is that makes you two happy.
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
>----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 160. Re: Pinging Markie's Attorney...How Do You Expect To Get Paid...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:03:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130951000.671220.109150@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122725

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 14:38:12 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> >> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> >> gather
> >>
> > "Move against..."
> >
> >BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> >> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> >> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> >> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
> >>
> >> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
> >> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
> >> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
> >>
> >> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> >> lying on Stevie part
> >>
> > And they will let you know that they have NO scope of authority
> >over this discussion group!
>
> gee that is not what they said they agreed with YOU that some of your
> lies my rise to the level that they could/would act against you, they
> also said a fine was more likely in the even they did take action

Markie, let's understand ONE thing here so your feelings won't get
hurt later, nor will you be able to hide behind your usual "victim"
role...

First of all, all other things notwithstanding, I serious doubt
you're THAT stupid as to try an involve a Board of Nursing in an
Amatuer Radio discussion group.

That having been said, however, I will also temper it with you've
fooled me with your stupid stunts before.

You seem to think that YOU are the ONLY person with any legal
avenues open to you.

Ten seconds after I get ANY kind of letter from the TN State Board
of Nursing, I WILL be discussing a civil case against you with my

attorney and I WILL do my best to LEGALLY deprive you of every last dollar and real property that I can recover from such a case. And if I never see a single penny but have your credit reports showing the judgements as "unpaid", then I will still consider that a win.

So...You can continue this fantasy if you choose, as you have the right to do so, but make absolutely NO ERROR in assessing my determination to make sure you pay heavily for it.

> >> in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
> >> Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
> >> seemed most important to the person I was speaking to
> >>
> >> Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
> >> witness tampering
> >
> > Uh huh...rrrriiiiiiiiggggghhhhhttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttt
> >
> > What you WANT is to be able to thrash me unhindered.
>
> It is trashing you to ask folks for they adddresses? to provide the
> nursing Board with the material they requested

We were discussing your attempts to censor any resposnes, Markie.

And I suggest you re-read the above paragraph...And also discuss this with your "wife", since it will also impact her welfare.
> >
> > Good luck!
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
> BTW you failed to stay even on your topic here
>
> nothing for any attorney of mine you lying POS

Markie, Markie, Markie...

More profanity...or "suggestion" of it...

The POINT of the "subject" is to make you realize that YOU are not immune from the legal process yourself.

This is NOT going to be some "deep pockets" contingency fee eligible event that you are going to embroil yourself in...No lawyer is going to take this on "just for fun". Especially when they get a load of what I have to show them...

So...Up to you, Sport...You can keep this masquarade up only so long and keep fooling yourself about your fantasized outcomes......After that, it's going to cost you REAL money...

Steve, K4YZ

## 161. Re: Use REAL Words, Markie! Get A WEBSTERS Discitonary! Use It!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:03:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6bshm1lm082cg972k7kma5ot7e3cfusb6g@4ax.com>
Source record: 122727

```
On 2 Nov 2005 08:46:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by contastnly making new
thread
>
>kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 2 Nov 2005 06:44:05 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amtas.com wrote:
>> >> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> >> > Oh just like when you got those emails from me, when in fact they were
>> >> > not from but a hack job and you had me kick off of Consolidated. You
>> >> > even admitted on here that those letters weren't from me....right steve
>> >> > you ####ing lying piece of #### I hope you rot in hell you ####ing
>> >> > ####ard.
>> >> >
>> >> > Todd N9OGL
>> >> > THE N9OGL SHOW
>> >> > 14.321.00 MHz
>> >> >
>> >> Quit your ####ing cussing god ######.
>> >
>> > Nice shot, Markie...You told him...
>> >
>> > And got both profanity and blasphemy in one line...How does that
>> >figure in to your arguments about respecting other's religions...?!?!
>>
>> Stevie that you (or your supportedrsforge a post from from me and then
>> attack me for it is un important
>
> "supportedrsforge"...?!?!
>
> What in the world was THAT...?!?!
>
> Were you saying something about forgers? Supported by whom?
>
> If you were refering to YOU, HOW CAN ANYONE TELL...?!?! You have
>half a dozen screen names you DO use...How is anyone other than you
>supposed to keep track of what really is you and what isn't...?!?!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
```

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 162. Re: Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:09:28 UTC
From: Who Dat? <"Who Dat"@ncat.com>
Message-ID: <imshm15psfs0tmd535vqkpvs4snaeitu6i@4ax.com>
Source record: 122728
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 2 Nov 2005 08:55:32 -0500, "81014J" <Spikey@schqcbham.org>
wrote:

>Is it more common to find a ham or a CBer living in a
>mobile home park?
>
>
Neither, however missing teeth is a pre-requesite.

## 163. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:15:45 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dkas86$n05$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051101165449.375$Nb@newsreader.com...
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> > "Amos Keag" <A.K01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> > > Typical CB response, isn't it?
> > >
> >
> > Corse it isnt... its a typical TROLL responce.... just like yours....
> >
> Four groups with one hook.

And this is something to be proud of??

# 164. Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:18:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130951917.088148.104710@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122730

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 08:46:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by contastnly making new
> thread

What attack?

YOU'RE the one with this "board of nursing" charade to cover your
ego for not getting what you want.

And If I want to create 1000 new threads today, I'll do it...Just
as YOU could if you wanted to.

> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 2 Nov 2005 06:44:05 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@amtas.com wrote:
> >> >> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> >> > Oh just like when you got those emails from me, when in fact they were
> >> >> > not from but a hack job and you had me kick off of Consolidated. You
> >> >> > even admitted on here that those letters weren't from me....right steve
> >> >> > you ####ing lying piece of #### I hope you rot in hell you ####ing
> >> >> > ####ard.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Todd N9OGL
> >> >> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> >> >> > 14.321.00 MHz
> >> >> >
> >> >> Quit your ####ing cussing god ######.
> >> >
> >> > Nice shot, Markie...You told him...
> >> >
> >> > And got both profanity and blasphemy in one line...How does that
> >> >figure in to your arguments about respecting other's religions...?!?!
> >>
> >> Stevie that you (or your supportedrsforge a post from from me and then
> >> attack me for it is un important
> >
> > "supportedrsforge"...?!?!
> >
> > What in the world was THAT...?!?!
> >
> > Were you saying something about forgers? Supported by whom?
> >

> > If you were refering to YOU, HOW CAN ANYONE TELL...?!?! You have
> >half a dozen screen names you DO use...How is anyone other than you
> >supposed to keep track of what really is you and what isn't...?!?!

Now that you've made your deamnds, care to answer the question?

Steve, K4YZ

## 165. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:20:49 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <z7udnRks9ddZe_XenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122707

N9OGL wrote:
> Well I'm back,

Like who gives a crap.

## 166. Stevie trying witness tampering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:22:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <hqshm1d89e0kqlar0caff5kq1jqs557mkc@4ax.com>
Source record: 122731

On 2 Nov 2005 09:03:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 1 Nov 2005 14:38:12 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>> >> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>> >> gather
>> >
>> > "Move against..."
>> >
>> >BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> >! ! ! ! ! !
>> >
>> >> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
>> >> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
>> >> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>> >>
>> >> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
>> >> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
>> >> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
>> >>
>> >> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
>> >> lying on Stevie part
>> >
>> > And they will let you know that they have NO scope of authority
>> >over this discussion group!
>>
>> gee that is not what they said they agreed with YOU that some of your
>> lies my rise to the level that they could/would act against you, they
>> also said a fine was more likely in the even they did take action
>
> Markie, let's understand ONE thing here so your feelings won't get
>hurt later, nor will you be able to hide behind your usual "victim"
>role...
>
> First of all, all other things notwithstanding, I serious doubt
>you're THAT stupid as to try an involve a Board of Nursing in an
>Amatuer Radio discussion group.

You tried to involve the the State of Michigan in such a dispute

and with less cause of action
>

> That having been said, however, I will also temper it with you've
>fooled me with your stupid stunts before.
>
> You seem to think that YOU are the ONLY person with any legal
>avenues open to you.

more lies on your part stevie

The fact YOU seem to think YOU are the only with rights to complain to
the proper authorities
>
> Ten seconds after I get ANY kind of letter from the TN State Board
>of Nursing, I WILL be discussing a civil case against you with my
>attorney ...
I am all but certain you are lying about the time line but discuss all
you like

more questionable sentence structure Herr spelling cop
>and I WILL do my best to LEGALLY deprive you of every last
>dollar and real property that I can recover from such a case.

as you can try Stevie If needed I can also counter attack you

> And if I
>never see a single penny but have your credit reports showing the
>judgements as "unpaid", then I will still consider that a win.
>
> So...You can continue this fantasy if you choose, as you have the
>right to do so, but make absolutely NO ERROR in assessing my
>determination to make sure you pay heavily for it.

you are the one that has erred in assuimg you could tell danergous
lies to attack me, you have also erred in believing your efforts at
bulling me over the years would reuslt in actions you find disrable

I am reporting that I think you are dishonest which is a plain fact. I
discussed YOUR statement the lying could get you get your licensed
pulled, they agreed and asked to send me the from to put the matter
before them

>
>> >> in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
>> >> Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
>> >> seemed most important to the person I was speaking to
>> >>
>> >> Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
>> >> witness tampering
>> >
>> > Uh huh...rrrriiiiiiiiggggghhhhhttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttt
>> >
>> > What you WANT is to be able to thrash me unhindered.
>>
>> It is trashing you to ask folks for they adddresses? to provide the

>> nursing Board with the material they requested
>
> We were discussing your attempts to censor any resposnes, Markie.

another lie Stevie

No consorship was tried merely point out that your efforts may have
consequences

you were in no way stoped from posting

>
> And I suggest you re-read the above paragraph...And also discuss
>this with your "wife", since it will also impact her welfare.

from the wife

"Stevie get a live"

"if you want to avoid trouble you might try keeping your trap shut"

end of wifes reply
>> >
>> > Good luck!
>> >
>> >Steve, K4YZ
>> BTW you failed to stay even on your topic here
>>
>> nothing for any attorney of mine you lying POS
>
> Markie, Markie, Markie...
>
> More profanity...or "suggestion" of it...
>
> The POINT of the "subject" is to make you realize that YOU are not
>immune from the legal process yourself.
>
> This is NOT going to be some "deep pockets" contingency fee
>eligible event that you are going to embroil yourself in...No lawyer is
>going to take this on "just for fun". Especially when they get a load
>of what I have to show them...
>
> So...Up to you, Sport...You can keep this masquarade up only so
>long and keep fooling yourself about your fantasized
>outcomes......After that, it's going to cost you REAL money...
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 167. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:28:17 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <z7udnRgs9dcYdfXenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
Cup 'O Jo ten-four wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
> news:E4KdneVX-OtFf_renZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@gbronline.com...
>
>>Cup 'O Jo ten-four wrote:
>>
>>>"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>>>news:6ZednTRyfcEfVPreRVn-tw@gbronline.com...
>>>
>>>
>>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The majority of CB users are perfectly well behaved individuals
>>>>
>>>>You obviously haven't listened to cb in the USA. The cbers here have made
>>>>11 meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum as well as the other
>>>>frequencies they operate illegally on.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>There are no illegal CB freqs.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>You really missed the point, I never made any reference to 'illegal cb
>>frequencis', I said *other* ////////SNIPPED/////////
>
>
>
> PLONK
>
>
>
> FLUSHED

Horrors!! I've been plonked and flushed by a cber. How lucky can one get?
>
>
```

>
> gone!

Wonderful!!

## 168. stevie starts new attack and does what else but lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:29:13 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <clthm19g5dhsiipqpfh0objpl8qu42i7n2@4ax.com>
Source record: 122732

On 2 Nov 2005 09:18:37 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 2 Nov 2005 08:46:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by contastnly making new
>> thread
>
> What attack?

never mentined attack was refering to you efforts to hide the post and
slip it past me by starting a another thread
but you lie again in your title

>Re: Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!

>
> YOU'RE the one with this "board of nursing" charade to cover your
>ego for not getting what you want.

another lie Stevie

>
> And If I want to create 1000 new threads today, I'll do it...Just
>as YOU could if you wanted to.

never said you could not

I asked you to stop being a lying coawrd, for your own good, not mine
>

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 169. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:32:29 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <z7udnRos9dccdPXenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

ken wrote:
> "NewsGuy" <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1130946855.591799.285510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>The Admin has personally confirmed via e-mail that LLoyd has not posted
>>on NIMBusters since March. Woger has been chasing a ghost eight months.
>>
>>
>>Way to go, fuckup!
>>
>>A TimeLORD always wins in the end!
>>
>
>
>
> Lloyd has been a district manager for Papa John's for over a year now and
> has very little free time.
>
>
>
>

And roger is still cleaning out porta-a-potties.

## 170. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:40:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1S6af.605$uD5.136@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122733

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
>
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>>>in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>>>
>>><snip>
>>>
>>>>I'd imagine
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
>>
>>It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
>>and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
>
>
>
> Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!

There isn't any "Dudly" and I didn't attempt any rescue. I made
comments. Steve provided an explanation for all of your sinister
imaginings.

>
>>I can't yet figure your motivation
>
>
>
> I don't know why -- I've clearly stated my "motivation" several times
> in plain English.

I know of no statement of motivation issued by you.

>
>>but you've admitted being a screw up
>>in the military. It looks as if you're out to smear the service of
>>others for whatever reason. Most of us who served managed to get
>>through a hitch without an Article 15, much less a court martial.

>
>
>
> One more time, Dave: I wasn't exactly the 'model Marine', but I did my
> service and I did it well (despite Dudly's imagined version of the
> facts as recorded in my SRB).

Two courts martial show me that "I wasn't exactly the model Marine" may
not be an appropriate phrase.

> I am no less proud of my service than
> any other Marine.

There are oodles of folks who are proud of records which others would
find to be less than stellar.

> And like any other Marine, I find it reprehensible
> when someone like Dudly makes false claims about his "career", fudging
> the truth in order to get a little more praise.

So far, we have you guessing and making accusations. There is no "Dudly".

> So you never got caught doing anything wrong. Hooray for you.
> Something to be proud of, I suppose.

Is that how your value system works? You think that I never got caught
doing something wrong? The fact is, I never did anything which could
nail me with Article 15 punishment, much less get me court martialed.

> However, I have a slightly
> different value system. I don't rest my laurels on never having done
> anything wrong (which I don't think anyone can honesty claim).

I didn't write that I've never done anything wrong. I wrote that I'd
not done anything which could result in Article 15 punishment or a court
martial.

> Instead, I list my accomplishments by the challenges I have faced and
> overcome, whether they were caused by my own actions or by
> circumstances beyond my control. And believe me, I have done both.

We're different. I try not to find myself in situations where I have to
overcome something caused by me.

> Dudly said it himself: It's easy to get through the military without
> so much as an Article 15 -- just follow orders. Now that's fine for a
> reservist, where the orders given aren't much of a challenge. It's
> easy to blindly follow orders. But that isn't always the case on
> active duty.

I was never a reservist and I didn't blindly follow orders. There isn't
any "Dudly". Can you find it in yourself to use the man's name?

> Maybe you forgot Abu Graib, or that incident where the
> soldiers refused to make an unnecessary supply run without sufficient

> armor..... or even the Iran-Contra scandal. When the orders are wrong
> or illegal, as happens from time to time, it's -not- easy to do the
> -right- thing and challenge authority. I consider that to be one of my
> accomplishments.

So both of your courts martial are cases in which you were only trying
to do the right thing?

> I also consider it an accomplishment to have fulfilled my military
> obligation after two courts-martial and the constant denigration from
> others that resulted from them, a tactic that Dudly is trying to use.

There isn't any "Dudly". That is your attempt at denigrating someone
who wasn't court martialed. His name is Steve.

> What Dudly doesn't realize is that he can say nothing that I haven't
> heard before and overcome. I proved them wrong and I'm proud of that
> fact.

Both of your courts martial were overturned?

> So when Dudly (and now yourself) try to use the same demeaning
> tactics I can only laugh.

You blew in here touting the wonders of CB radio in an amateur radio
newsgroup, started a game of "I'll bet he never...." in regards to Steve
and you don't expect to be demeaned? I guess you'll have to get used to it.

> Dave, I have no beef with you. I didn't feel it necessary to give you
> -any- sort of explanation but I did anyway. If it wasn't enough, or if
> you can't understand it, tough shit. But do you really feel the need
> to defend Dudly -- a retired Marine Gunny, a CAP Major, a TN State
> Guardsman, and a veteran of seven hostile actions -- against me, a
> shitbird PFC?

You should regroup, rethink your motives and gather some facts if you
think Steve, not "Dudly" has manufactured anything about his record. If
you can provide proof and feel the need to do so, post it. Otherwise
your rants have no meaning, especially here.

> If you do then you are only hurting Dudly's case, not
> helping it.

Well, there's one man's view.

> If you are going to stick up for Dudly, fine. As far as
> I'm concerned, you two love-birds can row your boat out into the wash
> together and do whatever it is that makes you two happy.

I was Air Force. I don't row. My dad was Navy though--a Normandy
Invasion vet. He always told me that the reason there were two sailors
on each landing craft was that one piloted the craft and the other was
to chase the Marines down the ramp and onto the beach.

My experience with Marines has been with those on MSG duty. When the Gunny would come to the Comm center for message traffic, I'd provide it and even read it to him if necessary.

Dave K8MN

# 171. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:42:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130953348.360097.123740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122734

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >>
> >>>I'd imagine
> >>
> >> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
> >
> >It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
> >and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.

> Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!

So...Guess that "nobody here likes you" thing was WRONG, eh,
Frankie?

And as for the temperature of the water, I'd say your feet are a
few degrees closer to boiling than mine.....

> >I can't yet figure your motivation
>
> I don't know why -- I've clearly stated my "motivation" several times
> in plain English.

No, you've not.

> > but you've admitted being a screw up
> >in the military. It looks as if you're out to smear the service of
> >others for whatever reason. Most of us who served managed to get
> >through a hitch without an Article 15, much less a court martial.
>
> One more time, Dave: I wasn't exactly the 'model Marine', but I did my
> service and I did it well (despite Dudly's imagined version of the
> facts as recorded in my SRB).

No, you did NOT "do it well", save perhaps in YOUR imagination.

Marines with TWO courts martials in one tour are NOT "good"
Marines.

> I am no less proud of my service than any other Marine.

You should be...you were incompetent as a Marine...Competency as a
Marine does not limit itself to how skilled or knowledgeable you may
have been in your MOS.

And HAD you been a "good Marine", you'd know.

> And like any other Marine, I find it reprehensible
> when someone like Dudly makes false claims about his "career", fudging
> the truth in order to get a little more praise.

What fudging?

> So you never got caught doing anything wrong. Hooray for you.
> Something to be proud of, I suppose. However, I have a slightly
> different value system. I don't rest my laurels on never having done
> anything wrong (which I don't think anyone can honesty claim).
> Instead, I list my accomplishments by the challenges I have faced and
> overcome, whether they were caused by my own actions or by
> circumstances beyond my control. And believe me, I have done both.

What you MEAN is that you were completely incapable of operating
within the "value system" of what it was to be a Marine, but now you
try some boohoo about how more challenging it was for you.

> Dudly said it himself: It's easy to get through the military without
> so much as an Article 15 -- just follow orders.

I questioned orders on numerous occasions. But that's part of that
"value system"...Knowing how to work within the system.

You were stupid.

Twice.

> Now that's fine for a
> reservist, where the orders given aren't much of a challenge.

And again an out-and-out misrepresentation of the character of
service of the Reserve Forces of the United States...

What part of what the Reserves are doing today is any less
challenging than what the active duty guys are doing?

Indeed...they have it harder...Taken from homes and 'real jobs' to
fight in the desert.

> It's easy to blindly follow orders.

And it's even easier to make up silly stories like yours.

> But that isn't always the case on
> active duty. Maybe you forgot Abu Graib, or that incident where the
> soldiers refused to make an unnecessary supply run without sufficient
> armor..... or even the Iran-Contra scandal. When the orders are wrong
> or illegal, as happens from time to time, it's -not- easy to do the
> -right- thing and challenge authority. I consider that to be one of my

> accomplishments.
>
> I also consider it an accomplishment to have fulfilled my military
> obligation after two courts-martial and the constant denigration from
> others that resulted from them, a tactic that Dudly is trying to use.
> What Dudly doesn't realize is that he can say nothing that I haven't
> heard before and overcome. I proved them wrong and I'm proud of that
> fact. So when Dudly (and now yourself) try to use the same demeaning
> tactics I can only laugh.

All you've done is make a laughing stock out of your "arguments"
here, Frankie...

You were incompetent as a Marine. You are where incompetent
Marines SHOULD be...in civilian life with two courts martials to live
with.

> Dave, I have no beef with you. I didn't feel it necessary to give you
> -any- sort of explanation but I did anyway. If it wasn't enough, or if
> you can't understand it, tough ####.

Frankie trying to soft-soap Dave since just last night he was
telling "everyone" that "no one" here was "on my side".

That having now been proven false, Frankie tries his own version of
"spin".

> But do you really feel the need
> to defend Dudly -- a retired Marine Gunny, a CAP Major, a TN State
> Guardsman, and a veteran of seven hostile actions -- against me, a
> ####bird PFC?

A liar's a liar, Frankie...You're a very determined one, but a liar
none the less.

> If you do then you are only hurting Dudly's case, not helping it.

Ahhhhhhh...Just like President Nixon's "Silent Majority" trick,
Frankie...?!?!

> If you are going to stick up for Dudly, fine. As far as
> I'm concerned, you two love-birds can row your boat out into the wash
> together and do whatever it is that makes you two happy.

So here we have it, folks...

Frankie "comes over" from the CB NG for no other purpose than to
take up for Markie and Company against a former Marine since he knows
just enough of "the lingo" to sound impressive. It's OK for "them" to
go 5-to-1 on me.

He is, however, a twice disgraced loser who didn't have the
dignity or strength of character to get through ONE tour of duty
without being court martialed TWICE.

He is "all about" teaming up with Lennie, Markie, etc, but let someone say something in MY support and they are "defending" me, as if words of support for me were verbal herpes.

I wonder what it is about Dave saying something that makes Frankie worry about the possible odds now being 5:2 instead of 5:1...?!?!

More substantiation of my claim that he's a coward.

Steve, K4YZ

## 172. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:42:59 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dkatr9$qje$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051101174733.443$tg@newsreader.com...
> Spudman <tator@spuds.com> wrote:
> > On 01 Nov 2005 22:26:36 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >"Earl Needham" <munged@3lefties.com> wrote:
> > >> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> > >> news:dk89nv$pvn$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > >> >
> > >> > "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
> > >> > news:cd22f$4367a742$3f129bde$27261@DIALUPUSA.NET...
> > >> > > Graham,
> > >> > > The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was
> > >> dropped
> > >> > > back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was
> > >> > > responsible
> > >> for
> > >> > > dropping the licensing requirement.
> > >> > > Ace - WH2T
> > >> >
> > >> > Thanks for that.... Jimmy Carter... lol... the only American
> > >> > President i really remember from the late 70's was Ronald Regan. I
> > >> > guess im not that
> > >> old
> > >> > after all ;o)
> > >>
> > >> Hi hi!!!
> > >>
> > >> Reagan was President of the United States from 1981-1989. I
guess
> > >> you could call that the late '70's! LOL...
> > >>
> > >> Earl
> > >>
> > >>Too much 70's purple haze runnin' round the brain. hehe (i think he's a
> > >>Brit so maybe he gets a free pass on that one?)
> >
> > seems like the brits are always 10 years behind
> >
> Nah, they are one of few friends we have left man.

Indeed, when Georgie boy said lets go guys we were there with you... getting
friendly fired at.... lol. The thing is not being American i wouldnt really
```

follow your presidents with as much interest as you guys which is fair enough as you wouldnt follow the prime ministers of the UK with any real interest.
There was an interesting piece in the paper today about Americans being the most un-observant country of all to do with happenings outside the US because there was a survey to ask people in different Malls who a certain picture was of. It was Camilla Parker Bowles but hardly anyone knew her... which doesnt suprise me as who would be interested in her???? now if you were to say Diana Spencer most people would know.

I knew Regan was President in the 80's but i didnt know when he came to power. As it didnt really affect me i never took much interest.

## 173. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:46:23 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dkau1l$ob9$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Unk" <roamer@k7no.com> wrote in message
news:4inhm1lm341ibclo0iou8eb5rpl92ke75g@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 12:35:27 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Graham,
> > The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was dropped
> >back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was responsible
for
> >dropping the licensing requirement.
> > Ace - WH2T
> >
>
>
> I doubt that President Carter had much to do with dropping (dumbing
> down) licensing requirements. In fact it is unlikely Carter even knew
> what ham radio was unless they could help him with 'killer rabbits'!
>
> He may have been pres at the time but the FCC has a history of
> operating on their own.

This is how the fight for Legal CB in the UK went. It want until nearing the end that Margaret Thatcher told William Whitelaw to do something about it as it was becoming a bit of a hot potatoe. With all the things going on around the world and in the UK i doubt if CB was even an issue in her mind.

# 174. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:50:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <8ouhm1p3mri1ev5pf320di8e6gbmqbslmd@4ax.com>
Source record: 122737

On 2 Nov 2005 09:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> >> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>> >>
>> >> <snip>
>> >>
>> >>>I'd imagine
>> >>
>> >> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
>> >
>> >It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
>> >and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
>
>> Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!
>
> So...Guess that "nobody here likes you" thing was WRONG, eh,
>Frankie?

nobdoy does that was just Dave
>
> And as for the temperature of the water, I'd say your feet are a
>few degrees closer to boiling than mine.....

really?
>
>> >I can't yet figure your motivation
>>
>> I don't know why -- I've clearly stated my "motivation" several times
>> in plain English.
>
> No, you've not.

that si the problem you can't read plain english

or distored english or any other kind

>
>> > but you've admitted being a screw up
>> >in the military. It looks as if you're out to smear the service of

>> >others for whatever reason. Most of us who served managed to get
>> >through a hitch without an Article 15, much less a court martial.
>>
>> One more time, Dave: I wasn't exactly the 'model Marine', but I did my
>> service and I did it well (despite Dudly's imagined version of the
>> facts as recorded in my SRB).
>
> No, you did NOT "do it well", save perhaps in YOUR imagination.

wether he did or did not is something YOU don't know Stevie
>
> Marines with TWO courts martials in one tour are NOT "good"
>Marines.

and who appointed you judge?

I thought you were an LPN

>
>> I am no less proud of my service than any other Marine.
>
> You should be.
back you Stvie trying to tell everybody how to live and that if they
ever slipped up they were forever worthless

Boring Stevie but exactly the sort of shit we all expect from you

>..you were incompetent as a Marine...Competency as a
>Marine does not limit itself to how skilled or knowledgeable you may
>have been in your MOS.
>
> And HAD you been a "good Marine", you'd know.

sounds like he does KNOW

>
>> And like any other Marine, I find it reprehensible
>> when someone like Dudly makes false claims about his "career", fudging
>> the truth in order to get a little more praise.
>
> What fudging?

yours

>
>> So you never got caught doing anything wrong. Hooray for you.
>> Something to be proud of, I suppose. However, I have a slightly
>> different value system. I don't rest my laurels on never having done
>> anything wrong (which I don't think anyone can honesty claim).
>> Instead, I list my accomplishments by the challenges I have faced and
>> overcome, whether they were caused by my own actions or by
>> circumstances beyond my control. And believe me, I have done both.
>

> What you MEAN is that you were completely incapable of operating
>within the "value system" of what it was to be a Marine, but now you
>try some boohoo about how more challenging it was for you.

more lies Stevie

he does mean he was unable to live witjhing your value system but that
thank the goods is requred of no one
>
>> Dudly said it himself: It's easy to get through the military without
>> so much as an Article 15 -- just follow orders.
>
> I questioned orders on numerous occasions. But that's part of that
>"value system"...Knowing how to work within the system.
>
> You were stupid.
>
>
read enough of Stevie shit flushing the rest

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 175. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:51:03 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dkauad$rdf$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 122738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
//snip//
> >> >> the only thing cb is good for is finding hookers, gay diesel dummies
> >> >> and drug dealers.
> >> >
> >> >I see you use it often then..... ha ha flipping ha...
> >> >
> >>
> >> ha ha, how does that hook feel in your mouth ? ha ha flipping ha...
> >
> >You have issues... have you tried councilling?
> >
>
> just setting that hook a little deeper! ha ha flipping ha...

Enjoy your baiting.... im glad it gives you some pleasure.
```

# 176. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 17:52:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130953949.299452.169610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122739

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Tue, 01 Nov 2005 20:36:22 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
> >On 1 Nov 2005 16:18:14 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> ><1130890694.158697.170530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >>> On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >>> <1130768302.683869.320990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> >>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >>> >> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> cut He can't.
> >>>
> >>> Sure I can.
> >>
> >> No...You can make silly allegations (hence your nick-name of
> >>"Silliland") that are just pie-in-the-sky guesses.
> >>
> >> "Proof" would be some document that actually showed my reserve
> >>status above and beyond the Delayed Entry period...
> >>
> >> The problem for YOU is that nothing of the sort exists.
> >
> >Sure it does -- your own words.
> >
> >>> Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
> >>> only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
> >>> years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
> >>> freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
> >>> their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
> >>> active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
> >>> -doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
> >>> you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.
> >>
> >> Uhhhh...Looking for that reg wherein US Marines are not allowed to
> >>keep personal pursuits while on active duty...
> >
> >If you were on active duty then you would know that moonlighting is
> >-strongly- discouraged.
>
> so? a lot of things are strongly discouraged, some ilgeal some not
> some against the regs and some not

This is but one of Frankie's sillier suggestions...that having a part time job/pursuit is somehow "evidence" of having NOT done the things I claim...

> I know it is legal to "moonlight" in the army it take a slain forest
> of paperwork to do but so does almost evrything in the army

Not so in the Marine Corps. I had to sign a statement that stated my USMC duties must never be compromised, and I was given a very short list of "no no's" for part time work...Law enforcement, any "job" which was in conravention to any local, state or federal law, and partisan politics wherein my status as a member of the Armed Forces may lend the appearence of that branch having any preference for any political party or candidate.

Took all of a day to walk the chit (A Naval term for a "permission slip...Not an abbreviation for excrement) through. No big deal.

> > In some units it's even prohibited by the
> >commanding officer because the responsibilities of an outside job
> >usually conflict with the responsibilities of the unit. But you didn't
> >know that because you were never active duty; i.e, more proof from
> >your own ignorant words.
> >
> >> And you make reference as to what my "DOD" (properly DoD) record
> >>states per my MOS's, but you and I BOTH know that that same record
> >>shows nothing but active duty service (Delayed Entry
> >>notwithstanding...)
> >
> >Maybe you don't know -- your DOD (or DoD if you like) record doesn't
> >specify. I mentioned that before: ".....but it doesn't distinguish
> >between active or reserve." The reason is because military members
> >frequently change from active to active reserve, to inactive reserve,
> >to retired (in your dreams), etc, etc. The record states your total
> >time, not how it was served.
>
> breaking out for coment

> > And BTW, your time in the delayed
> >enlistment program isn't considered active -or- reserve (active -or-
> >inactive). Read your contract if you don't believe me.
>
> Frank dudly does not bother with facts you know that

Your buddy Frankie is the one short on facts here, Markie...

For two days now he's been insinuating that having a part time job is "evidence" that I was not on active duty and was "nothing more" than a reservist.

How assinine.

> >>> But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
> >>> year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than

> >>> four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps.
> >>
> >> Uh huh. Six, actually.
> >>
> >> Thee are a LOT of Marines who are EMT's...Both as Crash-Rescue
> >>personnel on MCAS's and as "off duty" pursuits.
> >>
> >> My first EMT card was in Pennsylvania in February 1986. PA
> >>EMT-MAST 014402.
> >
> cuting to move on to rest of Stevie ####

"cutting"

Ahhhhhhhh...So providing even MORE references is #### now, eh,
Markie???

Seems you don't LIKE facts, now do you...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 177. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 18:13:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130955234.252712.35640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122740

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 09:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >> in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >> >> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >> >>
> >> >> <snip>
> >> >>
> >> >>>I'd imagine
> >> >>
> >> >> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
> >> >
> >> >It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
> >> >and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
> >>
> >> Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!
> >
> > So...Guess that "nobody here likes you" thing was WRONG, eh,
> >Frankie?
>
> nobdoy does that was just Dave

"nobody"

"just Dave".

So now YOU are calling Dave a "nobody"..?!?!

THAT'S FREAKIN' HILLARIOUS!

Please, Markie...provide us with some references to various
Amateur Radio related discussions in Amateur Radio related journals
that highlight you, Frankie, Brain, or any of the rest of your
"circle"?

On the OTHER hand, I can find quite a few articles in various
publications over the last 10 years that mention Dave Heil in nothing
but glowing terms....

> > And as for the temperature of the water, I'd say your feet are a
> >few degrees closer to boiling than mine.....
>

> really?

Really.

> >> >I can't yet figure your motivation
> >>
> >> I don't know why -- I've clearly stated my "motivation" several times
> >> in plain English.
> >
> > No, you've not.
>
> that si the problem you can't read plain english

"is" "English".

> or distored english or any other kind

"distorted" "English"

Sheeeeeeeeesh.

> >> > but you've admitted being a screw up
> >> >in the military. It looks as if you're out to smear the service of
> >> >others for whatever reason. Most of us who served managed to get
> >> >through a hitch without an Article 15, much less a court martial.
> >>
> >> One more time, Dave: I wasn't exactly the 'model Marine', but I did my
> >> service and I did it well (despite Dudly's imagined version of the
> >> facts as recorded in my SRB).
> >
> > No, you did NOT "do it well", save perhaps in YOUR imagination.
>
> wether he did or did not is something YOU don't know Stevie

Sure it is!

We Frankie's ADMISSIONS that he was COURT MARTIALED not once, but
TWICE!

That's the same as YOU getting hauled into General Sessions Court,
Markie...And Frankie's probably lucky that the Armed Forces' practice
is to just let idiots like him go at the end of their enlsitment rather
than putting them in the brig...It's far chaper to do it taht way...And
he now has two FEDERAL convictions on his record.

> > Marines with TWO courts martials in one tour are NOT "good"
> >Marines.
>
> and who appointed you judge?

Four Commanding Offiers that effected my promotions to Private
First Class through Sergeant, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps on
my promotions to Staff Sergeant and Gunnery Sergeant.

> I thought you were an LPN

I am.

> >> I am no less proud of my service than any other Marine.
> >
> > You should be.
>
> back you Stvie trying to tell everybody how to live and that if they
> ever slipped up they were forever worthless

Where did I say Frankie was "forever worthless"...?!?!

I said he has a lot of room where it comes to him being "proud" of
what he did in the Marines...

> Boring Stevie but exactly the sort of #### we all expect from you

Coming from YOU, "Colonel", that's a compliment.

> >..you were incompetent as a Marine...Competency as a
> >Marine does not limit itself to how skilled or knowledgeable you may
> >have been in your MOS.
> >
> > And HAD you been a "good Marine", you'd know.
>
> sounds like he does KNOW

No, it does not.

His courts martials prove otherwise.

> >> And like any other Marine, I find it reprehensible
> >> when someone like Dudly makes false claims about his "career", fudging
> >> the truth in order to get a little more praise.
> >
> > What fudging?
>
> yours

I ask again, WHAT fudging?

So far Frankie is the fudging king...

Actually, it's more like he's a fudging idiot.

> >> So you never got caught doing anything wrong. Hooray for you.
> >> Something to be proud of, I suppose. However, I have a slightly
> >> different value system. I don't rest my laurels on never having done
> >> anything wrong (which I don't think anyone can honesty claim).
> >> Instead, I list my accomplishments by the challenges I have faced and
> >> overcome, whether they were caused by my own actions or by
> >> circumstances beyond my control. And believe me, I have done both.
> >
> > What you MEAN is that you were completely incapable of operating
> >within the "value system" of what it was to be a Marine, but now you

> >try some boohoo about how more challenging it was for you.
>
> more lies Stevie

Nope.

> he does mean he was unable to live witjhing your value system but that
> thank the goods is requred of no one

"within"

Markie, BY HIS OWN ADMISSION he was court martialed. A court
martial is the epitome of proof that FRANK GILLILAND was the one with
the "value systems" deficiencies.

> >> Dudly said it himself: It's easy to get through the military without
> >> so much as an Article 15 -- just follow orders.
> >
> > I questioned orders on numerous occasions. But that's part of that
> >"value system"...Knowing how to work within the system.
> >
> > You were stupid.
>
> read enough of Stevie #### flushing the rest

Sorry you don't like the facts, Markie. Tough.

Steve, K4YZ

## 178. Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 18:21:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130955710.232984.100860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122741

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 09:18:37 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 2 Nov 2005 08:46:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >> stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by contastnly making new
> >> thread
> >
> > What attack?
>
> never mentined attack

"mentioned"

Sure you did!

"stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by contastnly making
new thread"

> was refering to you efforts to hide the post and
> slip it past me by starting a another thread
> but you lie again in your title

No I didn't.

You uttered a command!

You stated "stop trying to hide...."

Nowhere in there did you say "please stop" or otherwise give any
option. You made a demand.

> >Re: Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!

> > YOU'RE the one with this "board of nursing" charade to cover your
> >ego for not getting what you want.
>
> another lie Stevie

Nope.

Was that yet another "forger" that copied my Nursing license data
and then initiated the "pinging" thread, also "suggesting" I don't
respond lest I be determiend to be "witness tampering"...?!?!

> > And If I want to create 1000 new threads today, I'll do it...Just
> >as YOU could if you wanted to.
>
> never said you could not

Sure you did! "stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by
contastnly making new thread"

> I asked you to stop being a lying coawrd, for your own good, not mine

I am neither, as you are about to find out in court.

You dropped this bomb on "Mrs" Markie yet? What does "she" think
about you having to spend household money on lawyers for this? Which
bills won't get paid, groceries bought or medicines obtained because of
this?

And Markie...it IS going to cost you!

Steve, K4YZ

## 179. Re: Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 18:24:54 UTC
From: GHB <ghb_2000@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Wv7af.3377$2y.1017@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

What's wrong with living in a mobile home park or missing teenth?
"Who Dat?" <Who Dat@ncat.com> wrote in message
news:imshm15psfs0tmd535vqkpvs4snaeitu6i@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 2 Nov 2005 08:55:32 -0500, "81014J" <Spikey@schqcbham.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Is it more common to find a ham or a CBer living in a
> >mobile home park?
> >
> >
> Neither, however missing teeth is a pre-requesite.

# 180. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 18:28:28 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <n11im1dpll33mp14b9i94dfpmdtbadvqme@4ax.com>
Source record: 122743

On 2 Nov 2005 09:52:29 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Tue, 01 Nov 2005 20:36:22 -0800, Frank Gilliland
>> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >On 1 Nov 2005 16:18:14 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> ><1130890694.158697.170530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>> >>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >>> On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >>> <1130768302.683869.320990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>> >>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >>> >> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>> cut He can't.
>> >>>
>> >>> Sure I can.
>> >>
>> >> No...You can make silly allegations (hence your nick-name of
>> >>"Silliland") that are just pie-in-the-sky guesses.
>> >>
>> >> "Proof" would be some document that actually showed my reserve
>> >>status above and beyond the Delayed Entry period...
>> >>
>> >> The problem for YOU is that nothing of the sort exists.
>> >
>> >Sure it does -- your own words.
>> >
>> >>> Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
>> >>> only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
>> >>> years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
>> >>> freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
>> >>> their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
>> >>> active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
>> >>> -doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
>> >>> you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.
>> >>
>> >> Uhhhh...Looking for that reg wherein US Marines are not allowed to
>> >>keep personal pursuits while on active duty...
>> >
>> >If you were on active duty then you would know that moonlighting is
>> >-strongly- discouraged.
>>
>> so? a lot of things are strongly discouraged, some ilgeal some not

>> some against the regs and some not
>
> This is but one of Frankie's sillier suggestions...that having a
>part time job/pursuit is somehow "evidence" of having NOT done the
>things I claim...

more evasion Stevie you are ducking the issue as usual

if you were presueing something on the side say so, don't go about how
moonlighting is discouraged

deal with the issue

but then you can't deal with issues it seems

>
>> I know it is legal to "moonlight" in the army it take a slain forest
>> of paperwork to do but so does almost evrything in the army
>
> Not so in the Marine Corps. I had to sign a statement that stated
>my USMC duties must never be compromised, and I was given a very short
>list of "no no's" for part time work...Law enforcement, any "job" which
>was in conravention to any local, state or federal law, and partisan
>politics wherein my status as a member of the Armed Forces may lend the
>appearence of that branch having any preference for any political party
>or candidate.

admitng that Franks is correct in his base charge

BTW so much for strongly discouraged

>
> Took all of a day to walk the chit (A Naval term for a "permission
>slip...Not an abbreviation for excrement) through. No big deal.
>
>> > In some units it's even prohibited by the
>> >commanding officer because the responsibilities of an outside job
>> >usually conflict with the responsibilities of the unit. But you didn't
>> >know that because you were never active duty; i.e, more proof from
>> >your own ignorant words.
>> >
>> >> And you make reference as to what my "DOD" (properly DoD) record
>> >>states per my MOS's, but you and I BOTH know that that same record
>> >>shows nothing but active duty service (Delayed Entry
>> >>notwithstanding...)
>> >
>> >Maybe you don't know -- your DOD (or DoD if you like) record doesn't
>> >specify. I mentioned that before: ".....but it doesn't distinguish
>> >between active or reserve." The reason is because military members
>> >frequently change from active to active reserve, to inactive reserve,
>> >to retired (in your dreams), etc, etc. The record states your total
>> >time, not how it was served.
>>

>> breaking out for coment
>
>> > And BTW, your time in the delayed
>> >enlistment program isn't considered active -or- reserve (active -or-
>> >inactive). Read your contract if you don't believe me.
>>
>> Frank dudly does not bother with facts you know that
>
> Your buddy Frankie is the one short on facts here, Markie...

nope
>
> For two days now he's been insinuating that having a part time job
>is "evidence" that I was not on active duty and was "nothing more" than
>a reservist.

it is eveidence, not convincing in and off itself I agree but it is
eveidence when combined with your erros in equipment and such it
starts to add up
>
> How assinine.
>
>> >>> But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
>> >>> year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than
>> >>> four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps.
>> >>
>> >> Uh huh. Six, actually.
>> >>
>> >> Thee are a LOT of Marines who are EMT's...Both as Crash-Rescue
>> >>personnel on MCAS's and as "off duty" pursuits.
>> >>
>> >> My first EMT card was in Pennsylvania in February 1986. PA
>> >>EMT-MAST 014402.
>> >
>> cuting to move on to rest of Stevie ####
>
> "cutting"
>
> Ahhhhhhhh...So providing even MORE references is #### now, eh,
>Markie???

no but all you were proiving to that point was shit so it is
reasonable to assume the rest was shit
>
> Seems you don't LIKE facts, now do you...?!?!

wrong again

I just wish you proivde som
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 181. Re: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM) and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 18:29:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130956185.856501.317690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122744

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:
> >
> > Huge snip.
> >
> > No "mocking" of PAGANISM.
>
> so what

"so what" indeed! Thank-you for acknowledging that no mocking of
Paganism took place. I'll save this post for future reference.

> > And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
> >"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!
> >
> > You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
> >you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!
>
> by showing something you lack, respect for the disabled

How is YOUR lack of attention to detail somehow attended to some
"lack of respect for the disabled"...?!?!

> I know it IS beyond you

No, it's not.

However I will have copies of your posts wherein you try to get
various 'waivers' for the Morse Code test from an ALLERGIST...

Your only "disability" is being lazy and stupid.

> a point I may try to also make with the nursing Board as things go
> forward care to provide more material?

Like I said, Markie...you go right ahead...And if I may suggest,
start adjusting your domestic budget right now to accomodate those
legal fees. Most lawyers start around $50 to $75 and HOUR for their
services after the initial consultation, and it goesnowhere but up from
there.

Steve, K4YZ

## 182. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 18:33:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <na1im19trcqc2lt2gnft52irh2sgmgjpvm@4ax.com>
Source record: 122745

On 2 Nov 2005 10:13:54 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 2 Nov 2005 09:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> >> in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> >> >> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> <snip>
>> >> >>
>> >> >>>I'd imagine
>> >> >>
>> >> >> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
>> >> >
>> >> >It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
>> >> >and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
>> >
>> >> Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!
>> >
>> > So...Guess that "nobody here likes you" thing was WRONG, eh,
>> >Frankie?
>>
>> nobdoy does that was just Dave
>
> "nobody"
>
> "just Dave".
>
> So now YOU are calling Dave a "nobody"..?!?!

yes I am
>
> THAT'S FREAKIN' HILLARIOUS!

glad you enjoyed it

>
> Please, Markie...provide us with some references to various
>Amateur Radio related discussions in Amateur Radio related journals
>that highlight you, Frankie, Brain, or any of the rest of your

>"circle"?

no thanks

but Dave is a nobody as are you

anybody that is such lying hypocrite as you 2 ceratinly qualifies as
nobody in my book
>
> On the OTHER hand, I can find quite a few articles in various
>publications over the last 10 years that mention Dave Heil in nothing
>but glowing terms....

so?

he advocates poor ham practice by his own words

>
>> > And as for the temperature of the water, I'd say your feet are a
>> >few degrees closer to boiling than mine.....
>>
>> really?
>
> Really.
>
>> >> >I can't yet figure your motivation
>> >>
>> >> I don't know why -- I've clearly stated my "motivation" several times
>> >> in plain English.
>> >
>> > No, you've not.
>>
>> that si the problem you can't read plain english
>
> "is" "English".
>
>> or distored english or any other kind
>
> "distorted" "English"
>
> Sheeeeeeeeesh.
>
>> >> > but you've admitted being a screw up
>> >> >in the military. It looks as if you're out to smear the service of
>> >> >others for whatever reason. Most of us who served managed to get
>> >> >through a hitch without an Article 15, much less a court martial.
>> >>
>> >> One more time, Dave: I wasn't exactly the 'model Marine', but I did my
>> >> service and I did it well (despite Dudly's imagined version of the
>> >> facts as recorded in my SRB).
>> >
>> > No, you did NOT "do it well", save perhaps in YOUR imagination.

>>
>> wether he did or did not is something YOU don't know Stevie
>
> Sure it is!

nope
>
> We Frankie's ADMISSIONS that he was COURT MARTIALED not once, but
>TWICE!
>

so what?

> That's the same as YOU getting hauled into General Sessions Court,
>Markie...And Frankie's probably lucky that the Armed Forces' practice
>is to just let idiots like him go at the end of their enlsitment rather
>than putting them in the brig...It's far chaper to do it taht way...And
>he now has two FEDERAL convictions on his record.

so?

>
>> > Marines with TWO courts martials in one tour are NOT "good"
>> >Marines.
>>
>> and who appointed you judge?
>
> Four Commanding Offiers that effected my promotions to Private
>First Class through Sergeant, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps on
>my promotions to Staff Sergeant and Gunnery Sergeant.

another lie

they did not appoint you judge

>
>> I thought you were an LPN
>
> I am.

for now at least

>
>> >> I am no less proud of my service than any other Marine.
>> >
>> > You should be.
>>
>> back you Stvie trying to tell everybody how to live and that if they
>> ever slipped up they were forever worthless
>
> Where did I say Frankie was "forever worthless"...?!?!

plenty of times

>
> I said he has a lot of room where it comes to him being "proud" of
>what he did in the Marines...
>
>> Boring Stevie but exactly the sort of #### we all expect from you
>
> Coming from YOU, "Colonel", that's a compliment.
>
>> >..you were incompetent as a Marine...Competency as a
>> >Marine does not limit itself to how skilled or knowledgeable you may
>> >have been in your MOS.
>> >
>> > And HAD you been a "good Marine", you'd know.
>>
>> sounds like he does KNOW
>
> No, it does not.
>
> His courts martials prove otherwise.
bullshit
>
>> >> And like any other Marine, I find it reprehensible
>> >> when someone like Dudly makes false claims about his "career", fudging
>> >> the truth in order to get a little more praise.
>> >
>> > What fudging?
>>
>> yours
>
> I ask again, WHAT fudging?

yours the whole fabric of your stech of vague claims with no back up

they make it possible to reasonable doubt you even went to boot camp
exceeding shit tolerance flcuhing the rest

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 183. more lies from

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 18:37:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <mj1im19b6a34rop6rd2qsm1rgsp4fd316u@4ax.com>
Source record: 122746

On 2 Nov 2005 10:21:50 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 2 Nov 2005 09:18:37 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 2 Nov 2005 08:46:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >> stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by contastnly making new
>> >> thread
>> >
>> > What attack?
>>
>> never mentined attack
>
> "mentioned"
>
> Sure you did!
did I sory my mistake
>
> "stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by contastnly making
>new thread"
>
>> was refering to you efforts to hide the post and
>> slip it past me by starting a another thread
>> but you lie again in your title
>
> No I didn't.

yes you did
>
> You uttered a command!
>
> You stated "stop trying to hide...."

but i never said "or else"
>
> Nowhere in there did you say "please stop" or otherwise give any
>option. You made a demand.
>
>> >Re: Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!
>
>> > YOU'RE the one with this "board of nursing" charade to cover your
>> >ego for not getting what you want.

>>
>> another lie Stevie
>
> Nope.
>
> Was that yet another "forger" that copied my Nursing license data
>and then initiated the "pinging" thread, also "suggesting" I don't
>respond lest I be determiend to be "witness tampering"...?!?!

nope IO was giving you the chance to verfy I had the right refference
before I called them on this past onday

no charade
>
>> > And If I want to create 1000 new threads today, I'll do it...Just
>> >as YOU could if you wanted to.
>>
>> never said you could not
>
> Sure you did! "stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by
>contastnly making new thread"

no ever you could not
>
>> I asked you to stop being a lying coawrd, for your own good, not mine
>
> I am neither, as you are about to find out in court.

you are a lying coward

>
> You dropped this bomb on "Mrs" Markie yet? What does "she" think
>about you having to spend household money on lawyers for this? Which
>bills won't get paid, groceries bought or medicines obtained because of
>this?

I read her most of the best part of your posts

she thinks it alas may be needed as a part of the fight for socail
justice in the world

but no bill will go a begging for it

we might need to restrict your luxuries for it

>
> And Markie...it IS going to cost you!

more threats

stop lying the nursing is not going to like that either
>Re: Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 184. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 18:44:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130957079.117265.61440@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122747

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 09:52:29 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On Tue, 01 Nov 2005 20:36:22 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> >> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:
> >> >On 1 Nov 2005 16:18:14 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> ><1130890694.158697.170530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >> >>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >>> On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> >>> <1130768302.683869.320990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> >> >>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >>> >> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
> >> cut He can't.
> >> >>>
> >> >>> Sure I can.
> >> >>
> >> >> No...You can make silly allegations (hence your nick-name of
> >> >>"Silliland") that are just pie-in-the-sky guesses.
> >> >>
> >> >> "Proof" would be some document that actually showed my reserve
> >> >>status above and beyond the Delayed Entry period...
> >> >>
> >> >> The problem for YOU is that nothing of the sort exists.
> >> >
> >> >Sure it does -- your own words.
> >> >
> >> >>> Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
> >> >>> only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
> >> >>> years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
> >> >>> freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
> >> >>> their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
> >> >>> active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
> >> >>> -doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
> >> >>> you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.
> >> >>
> >> >> Uhhhh...Looking for that reg wherein US Marines are not allowed to
> >> >>keep personal pursuits while on active duty...
> >> >
> >> >If you were on active duty then you would know that moonlighting is
> >> >-strongly- discouraged.
> >>
> >> so? a lot of things are strongly discouraged, some ilgeal some not
> >> some against the regs and some not

> >
> > This is but one of Frankie's sillier suggestions...that having a
> >part time job/pursuit is somehow "evidence" of having NOT done the
> >things I claim...
>
> more evasion Stevie you are ducking the issue as usual

There's no "issue" here, twitless.

Frankie claimed my part time job was "evidence" that I was not an
active duty Marine.

That was ludicrous on the face of it...However HIS refusal to cite
even one MCO of the period that said "you can't be an EMT while you're
in the Marine Corps" pretty well proves MY assertion that he's full of
your "bovine excrement"...

> if you were presueing something on the side say so, don't go about how
> moonlighting is discouraged

(1) I already stated, YEARS AGO, that I worked part time as an EMT
in an ER...

(2) >>I<< am not the one going on about "moonlighting" being
"discouraged"...That's in your's and Frankie's ballpark! I already
stated that I complied with Group Orders for off duty employment.

> deal with the issue

I did.

> but then you can't deal with issues it seems

Sure I did.

> >> I know it is legal to "moonlight" in the army it take a slain forest
> >> of paperwork to do but so does almost evrything in the army

Right there you admit that moonlighting is acceptable, then I went
on to say....:
> > Not so in the Marine Corps. I had to sign a statement that stated
> >my USMC duties must never be compromised, and I was given a very short
> >list of "no no's" for part time work...Law enforcement, any "job" which
> >was in conravention to any local, state or federal law, and partisan
> >politics wherein my status as a member of the Armed Forces may lend the
> >appearence of that branch having any preference for any political party
> >or candidate.

Issue "dealt with".

> admitng that Franks is correct in his base charge

"admitting" "Frank"

No, I did nothing of the sort.

Frankie claimed that by having "admitted" to being an EMT I was
somehow "proving" that I was "only" a reservist. Nothing of the sort
is even remotely factual.

> BTW so much for strongly discouraged

It was NOT "strongly discouraged" when I was on active duty, except,
as previously noted, where it conflicted with official duties.

I already addressed that.

> > Took all of a day to walk the chit (A Naval term for a "permission
> >slip...Not an abbreviation for excrement) through. No big deal.
> >
> >> > In some units it's even prohibited by the
> >> >commanding officer because the responsibilities of an outside job
> >> >usually conflict with the responsibilities of the unit. But you didn't
> >> >know that because you were never active duty; i.e, more proof from
> >> >your own ignorant words.
> >> >
> >> >> And you make reference as to what my "DOD" (properly DoD) record
> >> >>states per my MOS's, but you and I BOTH know that that same record
> >> >>shows nothing but active duty service (Delayed Entry
> >> >>notwithstanding...)
> >> >
> >> >Maybe you don't know -- your DOD (or DoD if you like) record doesn't
> >> >specify. I mentioned that before: ".....but it doesn't distinguish
> >> >between active or reserve." The reason is because military members
> >> >frequently change from active to active reserve, to inactive reserve,
> >> >to retired (in your dreams), etc, etc. The record states your total
> >> >time, not how it was served.
> >>
> >> breaking out for coment
> >
> >> > And BTW, your time in the delayed
> >> >enlistment program isn't considered active -or- reserve (active -or-
> >> >inactive). Read your contract if you don't believe me.
> >>
> >> Frank dudly does not bother with facts you know that
> >
> > Your buddy Frankie is the one short on facts here, Markie...
>
> nope

Yep.

Like his "facts" about how my working part time as an ER tech
"proved" that I was "only" a reservist.

> > For two days now he's been insinuating that having a part time job
> >is "evidence" that I was not on active duty and was "nothing more" than
> >a reservist.
>

> it is eveidence, not convincing in and off itself I agree but it is
> eveidence when combined with your erros in equipment and such it
> starts to add up

"evidence" "of" "evidence [again]" "errors"

No, it's nothing of the kind.

And what "equipment" errors?

You and Toiddie are the only one in this forum with "equipment
errors".

> > How assinine.
> >
> >> >>> But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
> >> >>> year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than
> >> >>> four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps.
> >> >>
> >> >> Uh huh. Six, actually.
> >> >>
> >> >> Thee are a LOT of Marines who are EMT's...Both as Crash-Rescue
> >> >>personnel on MCAS's and as "off duty" pursuits.
> >> >>
> >> >> My first EMT card was in Pennsylvania in February 1986. PA
> >> >>EMT-MAST 014402.
> >> >
> >> cuting to move on to rest of Stevie ####
> >
> > "cutting"
> >
> > Ahhhhhhhh...So providing even MORE references is #### now, eh,
> >Markie???
>
> no but all you were proiving to that point was #### so it is
> reasonable to assume the rest was ####

"proving"

It was nothing of the kind.

And you broke your own "don't assume" rule...And it bit you.

> > Seems you don't LIKE facts, now do you...?!?!
>
> wrong again
>
> I just wish you proivde som

"provide" "some"

I have.

And as I have said before...Just because you can't/won't acccept
them does not negate them as such.

Steve, K4YZ

## 185. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 18:56:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ru2im11bbo7vlbr6mmru9p3o2dnuvts0kt@4ax.com>
Source record: 122748

On 2 Nov 2005 05:37:04 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio
>
>Studio?? BWHAHAHAHAHA All you have is a fucking cassette recorder,
>Toad.
>
>> for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal
>> pond scum (Commander Bitchwore, Stebie, and a few other morons) are
>> still running the same shit.
>
>Toad's been in jail for prostitution again.

you are pathetic Mr Slit

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 186. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 18:58:07 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <c13im11q1mqjkkltkhju2q4q7p3i08kmbq@4ax.com>
Source record: 122749

On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 09:20:49 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
wrote:

>N9OGL wrote:
>> Well I'm back,
>
>Like who gives a crap.

obviously you do as you showed by comenting

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 187. Re: Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 19:01:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <383im15hgiql8mqncfiqbjt0clijs34hda@4ax.com>
Source record: 122850
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 2 Nov 2005 08:55:32 -0500, "81014J" <Spikey@schqcbham.org>
wrote:

>Is it more common to find a ham or a CBer living in a
>mobile home park?

who cares trol
>
>

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 188. mildly interesting factoid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 19:14:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130958884.780934.200050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122851

in re suing in going throught eh Google archives it appears that Stevie talks more about sureing than the rest of the gruop, combined

## 189. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 19:15:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9f8af.643$uD5.483@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122852

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 09:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>>
>>>On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>>>in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>>>
>>>>Frankie of Silliland wrote:

>>>I don't know why -- I've clearly stated my "motivation" several times
>>>in plain English.
>>
>> No, you've not.
>
>
> that si the problem you can't read plain english
>
> or distored english or any other kind

Classic, Mark, simply classic.

You make it far too easy.

Dave K8MN

## 190. Re: Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 19:18:11 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amtas.com
Message-ID: <n54im11qv8uc3pln9hqf3r5hthcbvad9hs@4ax.com>
Source record: 122853

"81014J" <Spikey@schqcbham.org> wrote:
> Is it more common to find a ham or a CBer living in a
> mobile home park?
>
i give head in a shed

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 191. Re: Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 19:21:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amtas.com
Message-ID: <pb4im1pnghjs3vhobohjkikn993briq6a7@4ax.com>
Source record: 122854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Wed, 2 Nov 2005 08:55:32 -0500, "81014J" <Spikey@schqcbham.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Is it more common to find a ham or a CBer living in a
> >mobile home park?
>
> who cares trol

>

obviously you do as you showed by comenting

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 192. Cen Illinois pagan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 19:34:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amtas.com
Message-ID: <nv4im15e733c3s9ok55j8infcm42t22hav@4ax.com>
Source record: 122856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.personals.bi

```
i live near Springfield IL, and 33 white
unmarried, Bisexual, switch
(tend to be a a bit more sub than Dom) who has
experenced both sub and
Dom with other Males, but has only been Dom with
women, and would really
like to try Femdom. Employed but not flush enough
to make a ProDom my
first choice. i will not deal with solid waste
but i think every thing
thing else is possible (i hedge because as soon as
i think I have heard
it all someone seems to come up with something
new) Things i
diffinently like are body worship, hot wax,
bondage, spanking. i have
done and enoyed with people really into them
watersports, lite
bloodlicking (i knew a girl into Vampires ok?)
these are things i likely
to enjoy if they trun someone on, but fall flat if
the other party(s)
aren't real into them, in that vein i will
consider and may well get
into something i if it really turns you on.

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

## 193. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 19:37:45 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <45154$43691569$4e105e6$6576@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 122855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Unk" <roamer@k7no.com> wrote in message
news:4inhm1lm341ibclo0iou8eb5rpl92ke75g@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 12:35:27 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
> wrote:
>
>>Graham,
>> The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was dropped
>>back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was responsible for
>>dropping the licensing requirement.
>> Ace - WH2T
>>
>
>
> I doubt that President Carter had much to do with dropping (dumbing
> down) licensing requirements. In fact it is unlikely Carter even knew
> what ham radio was unless they could help him with 'killer rabbits'!
>
> He may have been pres at the time but the FCC has a history of
> operating on their own.
>
> unk

Betty Ford sent out "breakers" from the White House during the 1970s
under the CB handle "First Mama".
Former President Jimmy Carter's wife Rosalynn wanted to talk on CB radio in
the later half of the 1970's but she didn't have a CB radio license.
President Carter quickly got the FCC to change the law so that anyone could
instantly use as their CB callsign - K , their first and last initials and
their zipcode. Shortly thereafter the CB licensing requirement was dropped
altogether in the U.S.
CB frequencies and any other nearby frequencies quickly proceeded to go to
hell in a handbasket.
Ace - WH2T

## 194. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 19:41:13 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <350cf$43691636$4e105e6$6589@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 122857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
news:z7udnRgs9dcYdfXenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> Cup 'O Jo ten-four wrote:
>> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>> news:E4KdneVX-OtFf_renZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>Cup 'O Jo ten-four wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.ten> wrote in message
>>>>news:6ZednTRyfcEfVPreRVn-tw@gbronline.com...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>The Magnum wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>The majority of CB users are perfectly well behaved individuals
>>>>>
>>>>>You obviously haven't listened to cb in the USA. The cbers here have
>>>>>made 11 meters the sewer pit of the radio spectrum as well as the other
>>>>>frequencies they operate illegally on.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>There are no illegal CB freqs.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>You really missed the point, I never made any reference to 'illegal cb
>>>frequencis', I said *other* ////////SNIPPED/////////
>>
>>
>>
>> PLONK
>>
>>
>>
>> FLUSHED
>
> Horrors!! I've been plonked and flushed by a cber. How lucky can one get?
```

>>
>>
>>
>> gone!
>
> Wonderful!!

I wish all the CBers would plonk and flush all NGs containing
rec.radio.amateur
That would be wonderful.

## 195. Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 19:50:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130961036.926746.55160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122858

Hey Markie...

Twice today you've tried to "chastise" me for allegedly changing
thread subjects to avoid things, yet this is the second time YOU have
changed the name of THIS thread...

That Lenniesque "Do What I Say..." thing, huh?

Can't even uphold your OWN rhetoric!

Sheeeeeesh!

Steve, K4YZ

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 10:21:50 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 2 Nov 2005 09:18:37 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 2 Nov 2005 08:46:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by contastnly making new
> >> >> thread
> >> >
> >> > What attack?
> >>
> >> never mentined attack
> >
> > "mentioned"
> >
> > Sure you did!

> did I sory my mistake

HOLY CHEST PAIN BATMAN!

You spelled it wrong, but I bet that was because it hurt to say it!

> > "stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by contastnly making
> >new thread"
> >
> >> was refering to you efforts to hide the post and
> >> slip it past me by starting a another thread
> >> but you lie again in your title
> >
> > No I didn't.

>
> yes you did

Gee, Markie...this thread alone is the SEVENTH today in which YOU
have changed the subject line of the thread!

> > You uttered a command!
> >
> > You stated "stop trying to hide...."
>
> but i never said "or else"

You made a demand.

What else could follow? Careful in answering, Markie!...You and
Frankie have BOTH been guilty of making unfounded extrapolations of
things said in just the last 24 hours!

> > Nowhere in there did you say "please stop" or otherwise give any
> >option. You made a demand.
> >
> >> >Re: Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!
> >
> >> > YOU'RE the one with this "board of nursing" charade to cover your
> >> >ego for not getting what you want.
> >>
> >> another lie Stevie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Was that yet another "forger" that copied my Nursing license data
> >and then initiated the "pinging" thread, also "suggesting" I don't
> >respond lest I be determined to be "witness tampering"...?!?!
>
> nope IO was giving you the chance to verfy I had the right refference
> before I called them on this past onday

"I" "reference" "Monday"

Sure it was! You tried to SUPPRESS my FREE SPEECH !

> no charade

Sure it was!

> >> > And If I want to create 1000 new threads today, I'll do it...Just
> >> >as YOU could if you wanted to.
> >>
> >> never said you could not
> >
> > Sure you did! "stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by
> >contastnly making new thread"
>

> no ever you could not

Sure you did! And you ADMITTED to it in the very first line of
this response!

> >> I asked you to stop being a lying coawrd, for your own good, not mine
> >
> > I am neither, as you are about to find out in court.
>
> you are a lying coward

Nope.

And I hope you're ready to ante up and find out!

> > You dropped this bomb on "Mrs" Markie yet? What does "she" think
> >about you having to spend household money on lawyers for this? Which
> >bills won't get paid, groceries bought or medicines obtained because of
> >this?
>
> I read her most of the best part of your posts

Better explain the part to her about any charges YOU make I get to
respond to with charges of my own...And I will do so in civil court.

> she thinks it alas may be needed as a part of the fight for socail
> justice in the world

"social"

Well good for her. It's good to have some character values.

But those character values don't digest very well, and I doubt
they buy a whole lot of heating oil or keep the elements off of your
heads.

> but no bill will go a begging for it

They will eventually. And at rates starting at $50/hour and going
up, how long will it be before you're looking to refinance the house to
pay for it?

And BTW, Markie...When I WIN the house, YOU still have the mortgage
to pay!

> we might need to restrict your luxuries for it

It won't be MY luxuries that get restricted. I already have a
lawyer on retainer.

> > And Markie...it IS going to cost you!
>
> more threats

Nope.

Promises. You try to compromise my professional license and I
will make it hard for you to eat and stay warm.

> stop lying the nursing is not going to like that either

There's no "lying" here.

I've very clearly stated that I will use every last LEGAL means at
my disposal in dealing with you, Markie...And that means EXPENSIVE for
YOU.

Steve, K4YZ

## 196. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 19:55:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130961350.290494.162180@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122859

N9OpenGangrenousLesion wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio
> >
> > Studio?? BWHAHAHAHAHA All you have is a ####ing cassette recorder,
> > Toad.
> >
> I wouldn't bet on it ####head, I've spend $5,000 on audio equipment.

Guess it's out of the question that you'll stop sponging off of
Mommy and Daddie any time soon then, eh?

> > > for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal
> > > pond scum (Commander ##########, Stebie, and a few other morons) are
> > > still running the same ####.

No, but now that you're "baaaccckkkk", the same old #### will
resume.

> > Toad's been in jail for prostitution again.

Or is his brother finally saying "NO" and find someone else to do
his dirty work?

Steve, K4YZ

## 197. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 20:00:38 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1130961638.464042.85080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
WSW - Woger + Glendale WV + SheMales ? ? ?
"I" "B" "T" "M" = 'ibtn' = it boddles the mind ~ RHF
.
.
. .
.
```

## 198. Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 20:14:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amtas.com
Message-ID: <bd7im11lrda1pvev5ubgcji02ut44r90bc@4ax.com>
Source record: 122861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

Hi there
I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago, have
largely learned
to live with it by now (I am 34 yo and have a BA)
Having gotten back to
dealing Ham Radio, I am back to dealing with Morse
to at least recieve
a bit of and to docment today, my dyslexia, which
would allow both
various changes in the Testing requirements. I
need refences to to
help point my doctor ( an allergist) toward a test
or three that would
support the fact that I still have dyslyexia today
(FCC dysbility
requierments don't seem to realize that some
things really don't get
much better with time) Idealy one that will lend
some support to the
idea that I still have it, but are not too
expensive to for him to
order given to me

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 199. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 20:29:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130963350.500142.322100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122862

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 10:13:54 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 2 Nov 2005 09:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >> >> in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >> >> >> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> <snip>
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >>>I'd imagine
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
> >> >> >and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
> >> >
> >> >> Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!
> >> >
> >> > So...Guess that "nobody here likes you" thing was WRONG, eh,
> >> >Frankie?
> >>
> >> nobdoy does that was just Dave
> >
> > "nobody"
> >
> > "just Dave".
> >
> > So now YOU are calling Dave a "nobody"..?!?!
>
> yes I am

That's DOUBLE freakin hillarious!
> >
> > THAT'S FREAKIN' HILLARIOUS!
>
> glad you enjoyed it

On, no, Markie...we're laughing AT you, not WITH you!

> > Please, Markie...provide us with some references to various
> >Amateur Radio related discussions in Amateur Radio related journals
> >that highlight you, Frankie, Brain, or any of the rest of your
> >"circle"?
>
> no thanks

Uhhhh..."no thanks" was the wrong answer.

"Not able" was.

> but Dave is a nobody as are you

Whelp...There you have it...Frankie AND Markie opting for the
"stinging personal insult" in the face of no other options to persue!

> anybody that is such lying hypocrite as you 2 ceratinly qualifies as
> nobody in my book

The problem for YOU is that you've proved NEITHER of those
assertions!

> > On the OTHER hand, I can find quite a few articles in various
> >publications over the last 10 years that mention Dave Heil in nothing
> >but glowing terms....
>
> so?
>
> he advocates poor ham practice by his own words

No he doesn't.

> >> > And as for the temperature of the water, I'd say your feet are a
> >> >few degrees closer to boiling than mine.....
> >>
> >> really?
> >
> > Really.
> >
> >> >> >I can't yet figure your motivation
> >> >>
> >> >> I don't know why -- I've clearly stated my "motivation" several times
> >> >> in plain English.
> >> >
> >> > No, you've not.
> >>
> >> that si the problem you can't read plain english
> >
> > "is" "English".
> >
> >> or distored english or any other kind
> >
> > "distorted" "English"
> >

> > Sheeeeeeeeesh.
> >
> >> >> > but you've admitted being a screw up
> >> >> >in the military. It looks as if you're out to smear the service of
> >> >> >others for whatever reason. Most of us who served managed to get
> >> >> >through a hitch without an Article 15, much less a court martial.
> >> >>
> >> >> One more time, Dave: I wasn't exactly the 'model Marine', but I did my
> >> >> service and I did it well (despite Dudly's imagined version of the
> >> >> facts as recorded in my SRB).
> >> >
> >> > No, you did NOT "do it well", save perhaps in YOUR imagination.
> >>
> >> wether he did or did not is something YOU don't know Stevie
> >
> > Sure it is!
>
> nope

Sure it is!

To state otherwise is for YOU to call Frankie a liar!

> > We Frankie's ADMISSIONS that he was COURT MARTIALED not once, but
> >TWICE!
> >
>
> so what?

As I said...for you to state otherwise is to call FRANKIE a liar!

> > That's the same as YOU getting hauled into General Sessions Court,
> >Markie...And Frankie's probably lucky that the Armed Forces' practice
> >is to just let idiots like him go at the end of their enlsitment rather
> >than putting them in the brig...It's far chaper to do it taht way...And
> >he now has two FEDERAL convictions on his record.
>
> so?

I see criminal records are your favorite kind.

> >> > Marines with TWO courts martials in one tour are NOT "good"
> >> >Marines.
> >>
> >> and who appointed you judge?
> >
> > Four Commanding Offiers that effected my promotions to Private
> >First Class through Sergeant, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps on
> >my promotions to Staff Sergeant and Gunnery Sergeant.
>
> another lie
>

> they did not appoint you judge

Sure they did.

Get Frankie to quote the Marine Corps Warrant of Promotion for
you.

> >> I thought you were an LPN
> >
> > I am.
>
> for now at least

At least until I finish this program and transition to RN.

> >> >> I am no less proud of my service than any other Marine.
> >> >
> >> > You should be.
> >>
> >> back you Stvie trying to tell everybody how to live and that if they
> >> ever slipped up they were forever worthless
> >
> > Where did I say Frankie was "forever worthless"...?!?!
>
> plenty of times

An absolute lie, Markie.

Site the post, with headers, Markie, and prove me wrong.....

> > I said he has a lot of room where it comes to him being "proud" of
> >what he did in the Marines...
> >
> >> Boring Stevie but exactly the sort of ##### we all expect from you
> >
> > Coming from YOU, "Colonel", that's a compliment.
> >
> >> >..you were incompetent as a Marine...Competency as a
> >> >Marine does not limit itself to how skilled or knowledgeable you may
> >> >have been in your MOS.
> >> >
> >> > And HAD you been a "good Marine", you'd know.
> >>
> >> sounds like he does KNOW
> >
> > No, it does not.
> >
> > His courts martials prove otherwise.
>
> bull#####

Nope...Not at all...

His court martials were keynote in his less-than-honorable
discharge. He claims it was "upgraded"...but an "upgraded" discharge
STILL means he was DISHONORABLY DIScharged.

> >> >> And like any other Marine, I find it reprehensible
> >> >> when someone like Dudly makes false claims about his "career", fudging
> >> >> the truth in order to get a little more praise.
> >> >
> >> > What fudging?
> >>
> >> yours
> >
> > I ask again, WHAT fudging?
>
> yours the whole fabric of your stech of vague claims with no back up

What is "stech"...?!?!

> they make it possible to reasonable doubt you even went to boot camp
> exceeding #### tolerance flcuhing the rest

Sorry, Markie...I've already posted the platoon I went to bootr
camp in and when...A simple matter to look at the "graduation" pictures
and see my name and picture.

Again...You claim "fudging", but when pushed to prove it, you just
claim it's bull#### and you "fluch" the rest...

That's an admission that you have no facts.

Steve, K4YZ

## 200. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 20:31:50 UTC
From: ken <kw@kenwallinksorg.net>
Message-ID: <dkb7l7$po4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:45154$43691569$4e105e6$6576@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "Unk" <roamer@k7no.com> wrote in message
> news:4inhm1lm341ibclo0iou8eb5rpl92ke75g@4ax.com...
>> On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 12:35:27 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>>>Graham,
>>> The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was dropped
>>>back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was responsible
>>>for
>>>dropping the licensing requirement.
>>> Ace - WH2T
>>>
>>
>>
>> I doubt that President Carter had much to do with dropping (dumbing
>> down) licensing requirements. In fact it is unlikely Carter even knew
>> what ham radio was unless they could help him with 'killer rabbits'!
>>
>> He may have been pres at the time but the FCC has a history of
>> operating on their own.
>>
>> unk
>
> Betty Ford sent out "breakers" from the White House during the 1970s
> under the CB handle "First Mama".
> Former President Jimmy Carter's wife Rosalynn wanted to talk on CB radio
> in the later half of the 1970's but she didn't have a CB radio license.
> President Carter quickly got the FCC to change the law so that anyone
> could instantly use as their CB callsign - K , their first and last
> initials and their zipcode. Shortly thereafter the CB licensing
> requirement was dropped altogether in the U.S.
> CB frequencies and any other nearby frequencies quickly proceeded to go to
> hell in a handbasket.
> Ace - WH2T

Care to comment on the conduct and character of ham radio
operators on 75 meters, after 10 pm ????????????
And while your are at it, fill us in on approximately how many
turf wars, on the average, are currently raging on 75 meters?
And dont wimp out and tell us this happens only occasionally,

cause we know better.

## 201. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 20:35:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130963745.533314.97270@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122864

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OpenGangrenousLesion wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio
> > > >
> > > Studio?? BWHAHAHAHAHA All you have is a ####ing cassette recorder,
> > > Toad.
> > >
> > I wouldn't bet on it ####head, I've spend $5,000 on audio equipment.
>
> Guess it's out of the question that you'll stop sponging off of
> Mommy and Daddie any time soon then, eh?
>

First off I don't "sponge" off of mommy and daddy as you claim. So
until Stebie you've got proof of that i suggest you shut your pie hole.

> > > > for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal
> > > > pond scum (Commander ##########, Stebie, and a few other morons) are
> > > > still running the same ####.
>
> No, but now that you're "baaaccckkkk", the same old #### will
> resume.

Well stebie since I've been gone you and your little Nazi buddies on
here seemed to be doing a good job out me. On a side note I've got a
piece of equipment I'm going to be working on tonight for my station
and once I'm done working on it I'm going to run a special N9OGL SHOW
TONIGHT!!!!

>
> > > Toad's been in jail for prostitution again.
>
> Or is his brother finally saying "NO" and find someone else to do
> his dirty work?

OH! what's the matter steve, if you are having problems perhaps you
should drive over to Wiseman's House he looking for a boyfriend, i'm
sure he can give you all the love you need.

TODD N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 202. Markie Trying Daydreaming and Fantasizing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 20:37:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130963838.796570.29590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122865

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 09:03:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 1 Nov 2005 14:38:12 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> >> >> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> >> >> gather
> >> >
> >> > "Move against..."
> >> >
> >> >BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHYAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >> >! ! ! ! ! !
> >> >
> >> >> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> >> >> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> >> >> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
> >> >>
> >> >> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
> >> >> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
> >> >> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
> >> >>
> >> >> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> >> >> lying on Stevie part
> >> >
> >> > And they will let you know that they have NO scope of authority
> >> >over this discussion group!
> >>
> >> gee that is not what they said they agreed with YOU that some of your
> >> lies my rise to the level that they could/would act against you, they
> >> also said a fine was more likely in the even they did take action
> >
> > Markie, let's understand ONE thing here so your feelings won't get
> >hurt later, nor will you be able to hide behind your usual "victim"
> >role...
> >
> > First of all, all other things notwithstanding, I serious doubt
> >you're THAT stupid as to try an involve a Board of Nursing in an
> >Amatuer Radio discussion group.
>
> You tried to involve the the State of Michigan in such a dispute
>

> and with less cause of action

Nope. With PLENTY of cause.

Eight years of it, actually....

> > That having been said, however, I will also temper it with you've
> >fooled me with your stupid stunts before.
> >
> > You seem to think that YOU are the ONLY person with any legal
> >avenues open to you.
>
> more lies on your part stevie

Nope.

> The fact YOU seem to think YOU are the only with rights to complain to
> the proper authorities

Mine wasn't a "complaint".

> > Ten seconds after I get ANY kind of letter from the TN State Board
> >of Nursing, I WILL be discussing a civil case against you with my
> >attorney ...
>
> I am all but certain you are lying about the time line but discuss all
> you like

More random words in search of order, Markie.

> more questionable sentence structure Herr spelling cop

Nope...Quite forward and correct.

> >and I WILL do my best to LEGALLY deprive you of every last
> >dollar and real property that I can recover from such a case.
>
> as you can try Stevie If needed I can also counter attack you

There's nothing to attack, but be my guest.

> > And if I
> >never see a single penny but have your credit reports showing the
> >judgements as "unpaid", then I will still consider that a win.
> >
> > So...You can continue this fantasy if you choose, as you have the
> >right to do so, but make absolutely NO ERROR in assessing my
> >determination to make sure you pay heavily for it.
>
> you are the one that has erred in assuimg you could tell danergous
> lies to attack me, you have also erred in believing your efforts at
> bulling me over the years would reuslt in actions you find disrable

"assuming" "dangerous" "desirable".

And more paranoia on your part, Markie.

> I am reporting that I think you are dishonest which is a plain fact. I
> discussed YOUR statement the lying could get you get your licensed
> pulled, they agreed and asked to send me the from to put the matter
> before them

Uhn huh...suuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuure they did.

> >> >> in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
> >> >> Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
> >> >> seemed most important to the person I was speaking to
> >> >>
> >> >> Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
> >> >> witness tampering
> >> >
> >> > Uh huh...rrrriiiiiiiggggghhhhhttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttttt
> >> >
> >> > What you WANT is to be able to thrash me unhindered.
> >>
> >> It is trashing you to ask folks for they adddresses? to provide the
> >> nursing Board with the material they requested
> >
> > We were discussing your attempts to censor any resposnes, Markie.
>
> another lie Stevie
>
> No consorship was tried merely point out that your efforts may have
> consequences

No...YOUR actions have consequences. Serious ones.

> you were in no way stoped from posting

Of course not...But that doesn't change the fact that YOU tried to
cause otherwise!

> > And I suggest you re-read the above paragraph...And also discuss
> >this with your "wife", since it will also impact her welfare.
>
> from the wife
>
> "Stevie get a live"

Geeze...She's just as functionally illiterate as YOU!

> "if you want to avoid trouble you might try keeping your trap shut"
>
> end of wifes reply

Ask wife if she cherishes the idea of eating Ramen Noodles for the
next ten years!

Suggest you re-read the following vis-a-vis lawyers' expenses.

> >> > Good luck!
> >> >
> >> >Steve, K4YZ
> >> BTW you failed to stay even on your topic here
> >>
> >> nothing for any attorney of mine you lying POS
> >
> > Markie, Markie, Markie...
> >
> > More profanity...or "suggestion" of it...
> >
> > The POINT of the "subject" is to make you realize that YOU are not
> >immune from the legal process yourself.
> >
> > This is NOT going to be some "deep pockets" contingency fee
> >eligible event that you are going to embroil yourself in...No lawyer is
> >going to take this on "just for fun". Especially when they get a load
> >of what I have to show them...
> >
> > So...Up to you, Sport...You can keep this masquarade up only so
> >long and keep fooling yourself about your fantasized
> >outcomes......After that, it's going to cost you REAL money...
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ

Steve, K4YZ

## 203. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 20:47:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130964438.315109.298580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122866

N9OGL wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OpenGangrenousLesion wrote:
> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > > Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio
> > > > >
> > > > Studio?? BWHAHAHAHAHA All you have is a ####ing cassette recorder,
> > > > Toad.
> > > > >
> > > I wouldn't bet on it ####head, I've spend $5,000 on audio equipment.
> > >
> > Guess it's out of the question that you'll stop sponging off of
> > Mommy and Daddie any time soon then, eh?
> >
>
> First off I don't "sponge" off of mommy and daddy as you claim.

Sure you do. YOU can call it what you want, if it makes you sleep
better at night.

> So until Stebie you've got proof of that i suggest you shut your pie hole.

You're almost 40, unmarried, unemployed and living at home.

I call that sponging.

> > > > > for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal
> > > > > pond scum (Commander ##########, Stebie, and a few other morons) are
> > > > > still running the same ####.
> > >
> > No, but now that you're "baaaccckkkk", the same old #### will
> > resume.
>
> Well stebie since I've been gone you and your little Nazi buddies on
> here seemed to be doing a good job out me. On a side note I've got a
> piece of equipment I'm going to be working on tonight for my station
> and once I'm done working on it I'm going to run a special N9OGL SHOW
> TONIGHT!!!!

And it will still be QRM.

> > > > Toad's been in jail for prostitution again.

No, no, NO! He's BEEN a prostitute IN jail.....

> > Or is his brother finally saying "NO" and find someone else to do
> > his dirty work?
>
> OH! what's the matter steve, if you are having problems perhaps you
> should drive over to Wiseman's House he looking for a boyfriend, i'm
> sure he can give you all the love you need.

I'm not the one with the problem...It's you overworking your family
in order to sustain YOUR lifestyle.

Steve, K4YZ

# 204. Re: Four RRAP'ers Quoted in November 2005 "CQ" Magazine IRT WT05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 21:49:13 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Cvaaf.7877$ex4.4004@fe08.lga>
Source record: 122868

Hi Steve,

Must've missed it. What month's edition? I only have October's handy and
it's not there.

--
Vy 73 de Bert
WA2SI
FISTS #9384/CC #1736
QRP ARCI #11782
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1130942460.265555.13670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Four "regulars" of RRAP were quoted in CQ magazine IRT WT05-235.
> Bill Sohl K2UNK, Bert Craig WA2SI and John Kasupski KC2HMZ, and myself.
>
>
> The article starts on page 52 under the heading "Thousands Express
> Their Views On Morse Code Testing".
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

# 205. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 22:18:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130969900.581047.3040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122869

From: Frank Gilliland on Wed 2 Nov 2005 08:19

>On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

>>> <snip>
>>>
>>>>I'd imagine
>>>
>>> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
>>
>>It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
>>and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
>
>Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!

It's the on-going Pro-Code-Test-Advocate Morsemen alliance
working hard with the PCTA Double Standard. :-)

Davie KNOWS things. He worked in the Department of State!
He served IN a country at WAR! [busy doing MARS at Tan
Son Nhut]

>>I can't yet figure your motivation
>
>I don't know why -- I've clearly stated my "motivation" several times
>in plain English.

Irrelevant, Frank. Davie DEMANDS MOTIVATION (when he runs out
of valid/coherent replies).

Davie DEMANDED that I "should" have gotten an amateur radio
license BEFORE EVER getting into professional radio. Implied
that it was the proper thing to do...no doubt showing
MOTIVATION to HIM. Tsk, the U.S. Army didn't know that...

>> but you've admitted being a screw up
>>in the military. It looks as if you're out to smear the service of
>>others for whatever reason. Most of us who served managed to get
>>through a hitch without an Article 15, much less a court martial.
>
>One more time, Dave: I wasn't exactly the 'model Marine', but I did my
>service and I did it well (despite Dudly's imagined version of the
>facts as recorded in my SRB). I am no less proud of my service than

>any other Marine. And like any other Marine, I find it reprehensible
>when someone like Dudly makes false claims about his "career", fudging
>the truth in order to get a little more praise.

Frank, I've NO problems with your pride and espirit d'corps
about the USMC. It shows. It also shows you have no qualms
about stating what you did without embellishments,
embroidery, or exaggeration. You are telling it like it is.
Davie and Dudly sin by omission, leaving out the details of
their exploits.

Davie was HIGHLY CRITICAL of MY service in the Army, of NOT
being "at war" or even IN a country at war. When I voluntarily
enlisted on 13 Mar 52, there was a very hot war going on in
Korea. The Army didn't send me there directly, stationed me
in Tokyo beginning in early February, 1953, a sort-of cease
fire then in Korea. The formal Truce period began in July,
1953. [that "Truce" period has NOT ended...technically, a
state of war still exists with North Korea 52 years later]

Why is Davie so critical? Tsk, a long time ago in here I
mentioned being assigned to work at the (then) 3rd largest
Army radio station in ACAN (the worldwide Army Command and
Administrative Network...later called "StarCom" and then
Defense Communications System). HF radio. HF was the old
mainstay of long-distance message traffic in the military.
Three dozen transmitters on HF with power outputs of 1 KW
to 40 KW, operating 24/7. [Dave said "you never worked
24/7" but we did...all over the Pacific] Thing was that
this 3rd largest station was far bigger than ANY State
Department foreign station of any time in State's existance
and even helped carry State message traffic on some of the
circuits. Davie said "you don't know anything about what
State Department does!" but I did...as did everyone who
was INTO the ACAN and DID carry some of their message load]

Davie got on a toot, adjusted his truss, and couldn't stop
condemning me in here ever since. Doesn't matter what the
subject is, Davie is so damn critical of being "opposed" in
anything he says that he does the "revenge" bit all the time
now. He is a MORSEMAN and, according to him, NO radio
amateur shows "motivation" unless they love, honor, and
obey morsemanship.

>So you never got caught doing anything wrong. Hooray for you.
>Something to be proud of, I suppose. However, I have a slightly
>different value system. I don't rest my laurels on never having done
>anything wrong (which I don't think anyone can honesty claim).
>Instead, I list my accomplishments by the challenges I have faced and
>overcome, whether they were caused by my own actions or by
>circumstances beyond my control. And believe me, I have done both.

That's the way it is in the military. One seldom gets a "choice" on what to do. Follow orders, etc.

Davie worked for the Department of State. "Following orders," then Secretary of State Colin Powell (under President Bush the 2nd] told the world that "Saddam Hussein has Weapons of Mass Destruction" thereby "justifying a war" in Iraq. [Powell had been Chief of Staff of the military under President Bush the 1st] Over 2000 service personnel killed since, absolutely NO "weapons of mass destruction" have been found in Iraq. Has the Department of State taken back Powell's public statement? No. Has Davie ever taken back a single personal insult thrown at others (many have been thrown)? No.

"Lessons learned?" Maybe.

>Dudly said it himself: It's easy to get through the military without
>so much as an Article 15 -- just follow orders. Now that's fine for a
>reservist, where the orders given aren't much of a challenge. It's
>easy to blindly follow orders. But that isn't always the case on
>active duty. Maybe you forgot Abu Graib, or that incident where the
>soldiers refused to make an unnecessary supply run without sufficient
>armor..... or even the Iran-Contra scandal. When the orders are wrong
>or illegal, as happens from time to time, it's -not- easy to do the
>-right- thing and challenge authority. I consider that to be one of my
>accomplishments.

Good on you, Frank.

Davie won't let up on you, though. You see, he was IN a country at war (busy killing commies with his MARS code key?) and knows ALL about following orders. [the Vietnam War ended 30 years ago] We all have to follow DAVIE's "orders." Especially the one on MOTIVATION.

The best Dudly the Imposter has come up with is "he was in 'seven hostile actions'!" Dud has NEVER said the WHEN or WHERE of those infamous "seven hostile actions." We must all honor and praise Dud for his valor and heroism or forever be recipients of emotional exaggerated personal insults.

>I also consider it an accomplishment to have fulfilled my military
>obligation after two courts-martial and the constant denigration from
>others that resulted from them, a tactic that Dudly is trying to use.
>What Dudly doesn't realize is that he can say nothing that I haven't
>heard before and overcome. I proved them wrong and I'm proud of that
>fact. So when Dudly (and now yourself) try to use the same demeaning
>tactics I can only laugh.

Dudly doesn't understand that because his mindset works in some strange personal fantasy via his computer. He lives by exaggerated emotional phrases (most praising himself) and trying to do a snow job (badly) on others about his "accomplishments."

Davie is probably just looking for a word fight with his
perceived "enemies." He is of a control-freak kind and does
NOT like ANYONE telling him "no" or what to do. He MUST "win"
these word fights and be "in the right."

>Dave, I have no beef with you. I didn't feel it necessary to give you
>-any- sort of explanation but I did anyway. If it wasn't enough, or if
>you can't understand it, tough shit. But do you really feel the need
>to defend Dudly -- a retired Marine Gunny, a CAP Major, a TN State
>Guardsman, and a veteran of seven hostile actions -- against me, a
>shitbird PFC? If you do then you are only hurting Dudly's case, not
>helping it. If you are going to stick up for Dudly, fine. As far as
>I'm concerned, you two love-birds can row your boat out into the wash
>together and do whatever it is that makes you two happy.

I suggest they both go see the premiere of the new film "Jarhead"
(as a couple). They can both get some emotional espirit d'corps.
Dudly can use the film's fiction for better embroidery of his
"hostile actions." Davie can get the emotional thrill of doing
battle "in-country" that ended over three decades ago. That
will get them all fired up to throw more hissy fits against other
veterans of the U.S. military who don't agree with their personal
opinions.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 206. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 22:32:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130970765.165605.67940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122870

From: Dave Heil on Nov 1, 8:38 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 4:52 pm
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>RST Engineering wrote:

>>>>>>Now, lay YOURS out on the table and see who takes a knife to it.
>>>>
>>>>That slipped my mind. Len has taken numerous shots at my Air Force
>>>>service in Vietnam, though he doesn't seem to know what it is that I did
>>>>there.
>
>>>It's not what you did there. What you didn't do in Vietnam appears to
>>>have defined your time there. You were a frustrated amateur in Vietnam
>>>which caused you to under go a career change.
>
>> What DID Heil DO in Vietnam? He's never been anymore specific
>> than Dudly the Imposter (of the "seven hostile actions").
>
>I see the sentence with my name in it. I see the sentence which follows
>it. That is typical of your behavior.

Heil did NOT answer the question. Heil did NOT supply any
details of what he did with a MARS thing in Tan Son Nhut.

Tsk, that too is "typical" of Heil's remarks in here. :-(

>> Damifino. Heil just hasn't been specifc about it. Can't
>> "take shots" at something invisible. :-)
>
>Then again, you have already done so on a number of occasions. :-) :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Heil have a guilty conscience?

>> Poor Davie has forgotten my quoting from the Army Center for
>> Military History which mentions the good morale service that
>> Army MARS did in Vietnam.
>
>I've forgotten, have I? What're you, Madame Cleo?
>I was never assigned to a MARS unit anywhere, anytime in the military.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Maybe Bob Hope never did a USO show at Tan
Son Nhut? :-)

>I'm pretty certain that Len Anderson thinks he's somehow involved in
>amateur radio too.

Pity the FCC then...they regulate and enforce ALL civil radio
in the United States, including amateur radio. Absolutely
none of the staff nor commissioners are required to be granted
any amateur radio license in order to regulate and enforce.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...I'm just trying to eliminate the morse code test
from United States amateur radio regulations. According to the
United States Constitution (First Amendment), all citizens have
the RIGHT to free speech in the USA.

>> Just the same, the 8235th Army Unit (that I was in) never
>> allowed any Tokyo territory to fall into communist hands! :-)
>> At the same time, that same battalion of signalmen were
>> moving message "traffic" at the rate of 220 thousand a month
>> over the Army Command and Administrative Network (later
>> integrated into the DCS or Defense Communications System),
>> a worldwide network. All with TTY. Not a single morse code
>> link in that system since 1948.
>
>It took an entire battalion of signalmen to do that, Len? All this
>time, I was under the impression that you did it all by yourself.

Tsk, tsk. Davie can't "impress" people as he wishes.

I've never said what you think I did. I explained it several
times...but your mind can't grasp that, can it?

I explained that station ADA was operating "24/7" meaning (in
colloquial use) around-the-clock, every day of the week. You
said that "was never done." It was. It was done on a FAR
LARGER scale than any MARS facility anywhere. ADA did carry
MARS TTY traffic on a second-priority basis when the primary
circuit wasn't busy.

I explained the RESPONSIBILITY of team leaders in keeping the
transmitters up and operating, one part of the entire operation,
but you insist on word-twisting to suit your savage beast
within that wants to fight. All you wish to do is denigrate
anyone who did MORE in REAL HF radio than you did. Tsk, tsk.

>> James Weir runs RST Engineering. It is located in Grass Valley,
>> CA, in Nevada County (California's "gold country").
>
>Fine. I don't want to deflate his ego but when I saw a post from "RST
>Engineering" signed "Jim", it meant nothing to me. I never heard of it
>or him.

Tsk, tsk. Jim Weir has posted in here for several years.

That YOU didn't notice that is not my concern.

YOU seem to "notice" only those posts where you can engage
in word fights with your "opponents." Down, big warrior.

>> He ran for
>> Governor of California, had his picture in the L.A. Times as one
>> of many candidates. [a former Austrian citizen won the election]
>
>No doubt Jim's charm, as evidence here, was a factor.

Davie said, sarcasm dripping down his chin like alien slime...

>> I sent Jim Weir one of my computer programs (LCie4, synthesis and
>> analysis of passive-component inductor-capacitor filters) and he
>> stated that this (freeware) program has been used by his students
>> (successfully) in Grass Valley. We had some brief e-mail exchages
>> that resulted in my modifying the older LCie program to fit the
>> DOS 7 in newer Windows. LCie was written in MS FORTRAN 5.1 but on
>> an earlier operating system and that (now unsupported by MS)
>> FORTRAN did not have the compiler links to fit DOS 7. LCie4 runs
>> only under DOS, doesn't have the flash, dash, or pizazz of color
>> Windows but is nonetheless accurate and proven. It is freeware
>> to anyone requesting it...something I mentioned in rec.radio.
>> amateur.homebrew some time ago.
>
>That's nice.

Damn straight. LCie4 IS a very nice program for quickly and
accurately synthesizing (designing) and analyzing a passive L-C
filter of lowpass, highpass, bandpass, or bandstop configuration.
The user can optionally change component values, modify Q of
inductors or capacitors, do printouts of schematics or analysis
results (or store them in a file), analyze input and output
impedances in lieu of normal decibel insertion loss, phase change,
or group delay. It's been proven in real hardware results that
came out exactly as predicted.

>> RST Engineering makes some neat electronics for general aviation
>> aircraft. RST has a nice website if anyone cares to look.
>
>I looked at the web site. It isn't particularly nice. If one orders
>online, the payment information isn't even sent securely.

You aren't involved in general aviation. You have NO business
with general aviation let alone private flying. Why are YOU
being critical of something you aren't even close to being
involved in?

Why are YOU being critical of someone else's website to ME?

You don't even live in California. You have NO business with
any California elections of any kind. Why do you give a shit
of any elections of governors in California or state politics?

>> One
>> of the neat things they do is what I would term "SURFACE MOUNT
>> VHF antennas" for aircraft fabric surfaces. :-) Neat! They
>> don't stick out in the airstream and thus have minimal drag.
>> [international civil aviation band is 108 to 137 MHz]
>
>I've not noted many fabric surfaces on the aircraft I've seen in recent
>decades.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. There are tens of thousands of general aviation aircraft having "fabric" covering in United States registry alone. That YOU "haven't seen them" doesn't mean they exist. In a half hour's drive from my residence, I can go to two major airports and one air park that have well over a thousand such "fabric" covered aircraft.

You said nothing about "surface mounting," indicating you are unable to perceive any humor (as in "SMD" now the common method of electronics hardware construction) or the fact that MOST of a general aviation aircraft structure UNDER the skin is largely empty. Any antenna can thus be mounted on the surface of a non-conductive skin and be largely unaffected on characteristics in that position. Rather basic EM theory involved there, but meaningless to those who will not bother to think about basic radio theory.

>Thanks for providing the information on the aircraft band.
>Perhaps nobody here knew where the band could be found.

YOU have NO BUSINESS with general aviation concerns, are NOT INVOLVED. It is no surprise that those who are NOT INVOLVED would be ignorant of technical details. Amateur radio is NOT INVOLVED with the international civil aviation band in VHF or the specific frequencies for that in the HF spectrum.

If you have any more questions, don't be afraid to show your ignorance. You haven't yet.

With warmest, best regards,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 207. Re: Four RRAP'ers Quoted in November 2005 "CQ" Magazine IRT WT05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 22:38:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1130971098.178975.92670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122871

Bert Craig wrote:
> Hi Steve,
>
> Must've missed it. What month's edition? I only have October's handy and
> it's not there.

Hey Bert...This months (Nov 2005). You're in the bottom right
collumn.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 208. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 22:49:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <v7gim11jdgvg59gi2ist2e1uidia6tt6rc@4ax.com>
Source record: 122872

On 2 Nov 2005 12:29:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 2 Nov 2005 10:13:54 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 2 Nov 2005 09:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> >> >> in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>> >> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> >> >> >> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> <snip>
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >>>I'd imagine
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
>> >> >> >and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!
>> >> >
>> >> > So...Guess that "nobody here likes you" thing was WRONG, eh,
>> >> >Frankie?
>> >>
>> >> nobdoy does that was just Dave
>> >
>> > "nobody"
>> >
>> > "just Dave".
>> >
>> > So now YOU are calling Dave a "nobody"..?!?!
>>
>> yes I am
>
> That's DOUBLE freakin hillarious!

glad you enjoyed it
>> >
>> > THAT'S FREAKIN' HILLARIOUS!

>>
>> glad you enjoyed it
>
> On, no, Markie...we're laughing AT you, not WITH you!

either way gald you enjoyed it

>
>> > Please, Markie...provide us with some references to various
>> >Amateur Radio related discussions in Amateur Radio related journals
>> >that highlight you, Frankie, Brain, or any of the rest of your
>> >"circle"?
>>
>> no thanks
>
> Uhhhh..."no thanks" was the wrong answer.

bullshit it was the right answer

>
> "Not able" was.
>
>> but Dave is a nobody as are you
>
> Whelp...There you have it...Frankie AND Markie opting for the
>"stinging personal insult" in the face of no other options to persue!

more lying by Stevie he must be very afarid these days with the way he
goes on and on and on about things
>
>> anybody that is such lying hypocrite as you 2 ceratinly qualifies as
>> nobody in my book
>
> The problem for YOU is that you've proved NEITHER of those
>assertions!

proved em both, to any one with any objectivity

but that does let you out I know

nor do I have to prove em to form the opinion

it is also no problem ME at all
>
>> > On the OTHER hand, I can find quite a few articles in various
>> >publications over the last 10 years that mention Dave Heil in nothing
>> >but glowing terms....
>>
>> so?
>>
>> he advocates poor ham practice by his own words
>
> No he doesn't.

sure does we had that arguement before all you did was keeping
claiming he did not over and over again

not a spread of proof on your part of course

pruning shear out again
>> >> > No, you did NOT "do it well", save perhaps in YOUR imagination.
>> >>
>> >> wether he did or did not is something YOU don't know Stevie
>> >
>> > Sure it is!
>>
>> nope
>
> Sure it is!
>
> To state otherwise is for YOU to call Frankie a liar!

not a tall
ctuing stevie repating himself
>> > That's the same as YOU getting hauled into General Sessions Court,
>> >Markie...And Frankie's probably lucky that the Armed Forces' practice
>> >is to just let idiots like him go at the end of their enlsitment rather
>> >than putting them in the brig...It's far chaper to do it taht way...And
>> >he now has two FEDERAL convictions on his record.
>>
>> so?
>
> I see criminal records are your favorite kind.

another Stevie lie

it is true I don't see having a criminal record as the end of the
world or as something akin to treason

>
>> >> > Marines with TWO courts martials in one tour are NOT "good"
>> >> >Marines.
>> >>
>> >> and who appointed you judge?
>> >
>> > Four Commanding Offiers that effected my promotions to Private
>> >First Class through Sergeant, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps on
>> >my promotions to Staff Sergeant and Gunnery Sergeant.
>>
>> another lie
>>
>> they did not appoint you judge
>
> Sure they did.

prove it
stevie lie stevie get cut off and find his typing was wasted

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 209. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 22:51:40 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <jmgim1tbb8tfl91toic1qpgfck8ft4feuh@4ax.com>
Source record: 122873

On 2 Nov 2005 11:55:50 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OpenGangrenousLesion wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > N9OGL wrote:
>> > > Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio
>> >
>> > Studio?? BWHAHAHAHAHA All you have is a #####ing cassette recorder,
>> > Toad.
>> >
>> I wouldn't bet on it #####head, I've spend $5,000 on audio equipment.
>
> Guess it's out of the question that you'll stop sponging off of
>Mommy and Daddie any time soon then, eh?

more libel stevie that post is one for the Nursing Board I think
>
>> > > for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal
>> > > pond scum (Commander ##########, Stebie, and a few other morons) are
>> > > still running the same ####.
>
> No, but now that you're "baaaccckkkk", the same old #### will
>resume.
>
>> > Toad's been in jail for prostitution again.
>
> Or is his brother finally saying "NO" and find someone else to do
>his dirty work?

more for the board
>
>Steve, K4YZ

---
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 210. stevie conintues his lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 22:53:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fpgim1hlvo9u01lnoipt82sabhiimakjt1@4ax.com>
Source record: 122874

On 2 Nov 2005 11:50:36 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>Hey Markie...
>
> Twice today you've tried to "chastise" me for allegedly changing
>thread subjects to avoid things, yet this is the second time YOU have
>changed the name of THIS thread...

becuase you are kying in the title
>and cuting all after your lie

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 211. more lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 22:54:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4sgim11egvv1ke3a8e0mghmfr9r1bk61ar@4ax.com>
Source record: 122875

On 2 Nov 2005 12:37:18 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 2 Nov 2005 09:03:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
cut
>>
>> You tried to involve the the State of Michigan in such a dispute
>>
>> and with less cause of action
>
> Nope. With PLENTY of cause.

no casue what so ever
flsuhing the rest of the trash

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 212. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:01:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1130972468.660719.37820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122878

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Well, after (ugh) reading through 3,599
> filings on the FCC ECFS
> under WT Docket 05-235 (up to 31 October 2005),
> I'm convinced
> that way too many radio amateurs are still
> stuck to the glorious
> past of a half century ago in radio communications.

Why, Len? Because the *majority* disagree with you?

> Their bliss
> over the efficacy of morsemanship shines
> on under skies unclouded
> by progress in technology...
> which had already begun before they
> got their first amateur license.

You haven't got *your* first amateur radio license, Len.

> They BELIEVE deep in their
> little hearts that morsemanship is THE essential
> ingredient in
> becoming an extra-super-special radio "expert,"
> "well-rounded"
> and a "leader" in amateurism.

And what do *you* believe deep in your little heart, Len?

> It's a Belief so deep, so basic,
> that they are convinced that ALL morsemen
> are "experts" on
> everything and those who don't Believe
> as they do are heretics
> who know nothing about everything.

Sounds like sour grapes on your part.

The actual count of individuals commenting showed that
once duplicates and nonresponsive filings were removed,
55% of those commenting support at least a Morse Code
test for Extra. Only 45% support the NPRM, with its
complete removal of Morse Code testing.

A few days ago, you wrote:

"3. The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S.
amateur community has been CHANGING all along...AWAY from
the old, Old, OLD standards and practices." - Len Anderson

But the filings on WT 05-235 show that the majority (55%!) of
those who commented want at least some code testing. That
majority is almost identical to those supporting more than
one code test speed back in 1998.

Another quote from what you wrote a few days ago:

"That seems to piss you off greatly and makes you petulant, whiny, and
accusatory. Tsk." - Len Anderson

A perfect lead-in to the following:

> Must be wonderful to exist in such deep
> delusions of grandeur,
> very satisfying, off in a wonderland of
> their own fantasies
> of self-importance and Greatness. <shrug>

It's interesting that you will go on and on and on
about the motivations of people you've never met,
but you won't tell us *your* motivations for
changing the regulations of the Amateur Radio Service.
Which is a radio service you are not involved in.

## 213. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:01:31 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130972491.206787.66850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122879

N9OGL wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio
> >
> > Studio?? BWHAHAHAHAHA All you have is a fucking cassette recorder,
> > Toad.
> >
> I wouldn't bet on it dickhead, I've spend $5,000 on audio equipment.

You don't have $5,000, Toadie, you whined and asked mommy and daddy for
the cassette recorder.

>
>
> > > for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal
> > > pond scum (Commander Bitchwore, Stebie, and a few other morons) are
> > > still running the same shit.
> >
> > Toad's been in jail for prostitution again.
>
> Oh, what's the matter, Wiseman not giving you daily butt plugging?

Sounds like you are jealous, Toad. You only buttfuck the neighbor's dog.

## 214. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:02:11 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130972530.809921.42680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122880

I'm sure it is, Marky. But Wiseman doesn't want your AIDS.

## 215. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:03:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130972590.024077.207470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122881

Not half as pathetic as you are, Ms. Moron, er, Morgan.

## 216. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:07:49 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <"Curtains Boy"@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dkbgo4$3gs$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1130938503.068892.296370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Lardass aka Nim Buster wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 17:31:57 -0800, NewsGuy <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com> wrote:
> > Sad to say, the intrepid Admin of the notorious NIMBusters message
> > board [http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/] has announced it will be closed
> > as of Friday, October 4, 2005.

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!! Roger wins again!

> Hey, Dude. It's already November.
>
> > Roger Wiseman

Lloyd Davies has been posting under several different names and posting
she male pics. He's queer like that.

> > Now, where the hell will LLoyd Davies take his incessant whining?
>
> NIM Busters will continue,<bullshit>

Lardass has always posted there. He has no other life.
>

And you do, Wogie?

Oh, wait. Stalking Lloyd IS your life.

## 217. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:07:51 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <kehim112oras6kepcp9p2g79knkm7butdd@4ax.com>
Source record: 122883

On 2 Nov 2005 15:01:08 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> Well, after (ugh) reading through 3,599
>> filings on the FCC ECFS
>> under WT Docket 05-235 (up to 31 October 2005),
>> I'm convinced
>> that way too many radio amateurs are still
>> stuck to the glorious
>> past of a half century ago in radio communications.
>
>Why, Len? Because the *majority* disagree with you?

what majority?

>
>> Their bliss
>> over the efficacy of morsemanship shines
>> on under skies unclouded
>> by progress in technology...
>> which had already begun before they
>> got their first amateur license.
>
>You haven't got *your* first amateur radio license, Len.

so what you keep beating that dead horse it smells pretty bad by now

>
>> They BELIEVE deep in their
>> little hearts that morsemanship is THE essential
>> ingredient in
>> becoming an extra-super-special radio "expert,"
>> "well-rounded"
>> and a "leader" in amateurism.
>
>And what do *you* believe deep in your little heart, Len?
>
>> It's a Belief so deep, so basic,
>> that they are convinced that ALL morsemen
>> are "experts" on
>> everything and those who don't Believe
>> as they do are heretics
>> who know nothing about everything.
>
>Sounds like sour grapes on your part.

>
>The actual count of individuals commenting showed that
>once duplicates and nonresponsive filings were removed,
>55% of those commenting support at least a Morse Code
>test for Extra. Only 45% support the NPRM, with its
>complete removal of Morse Code testing.

nice to see the ARRL can whip it some of it memebrs into a lather

and of course your logica is Flawed in suggesting that all Ham favor
coded extra oppose Code free general making the No code position
clearly the purality

and indeed the coded extra support just shows the foolish ness of the
Procode side

if there is any need for code testing at HF it applies to the general
as well as the Extras

so the Procode side merely shows itself as being for restriction whad
enough flushing the restN

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 218. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:08:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130972900.640056.135860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinycunt Lloyd Davies wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 05:40:39 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > Lisping cocksucker Davies wrote:
> > > On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 08:44:11 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> > > > Interestingly,the 37 year old Bell South woman next door to me is also
> > > > an electronics engineer at Bell South here in Jackson.She sure does cuss
> > > > sometimes.The 30 year old brunette woman whom lives over there too,I
> > > > haven't heard her say any cuss words yet.She likes potted plants and
> > > > plants and flowers to grow in their yard and those little garden thingys
> > > > some women like to buy and put in flower gardens,little gnomes and
> > > > things like that.The other woman doesn't seem to care so much about
> > > > things like that.
> > > > cuhulin
> > >
> > > Bell South posts <Lardass' homosexual fantasy and projection flushed>
> >
> > BWHAHAHAHA.Lardass, Bell South isn't the phone company in WV, stupid.
> > You fucked up again. However you use bellsouth as a isp, don't you?
>
> BWAHAHAHAHA Lloyd! <Lardass' 6 year old little girl whining>

Poor Lardass, fucks up again! Bell South is YOUR isp, Fatass, they
certainly don't advertize in West Virginia. Looks like you fucked up as
uaual, 32 year old virgin because you are queer.

## 219. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:08:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <smhim1lv6rnr9n5an7mn3kvdr5kgpms55m@4ax.com>
Source record: 122885

On 2 Nov 2005 15:02:11 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>I'm sure it is, Marky. But Wiseman doesn't want your AIDS.

making false claims about HIV status is crime

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 220. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:09:32 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130972972.337688.118250@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinyslit woger wrote:
> On Wed, 2 Nov 2005 08:52:16 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> > The Country of Estonia is in Northwest Europe.I didn't have to look it
> > up first before I am posting this,,, I have always known since I was a
> > kid a million years ago where Estonia is.
> > cuhulin
>
> There are practically no shemales in Estonia which is why I'm glad I
> live in Glendale, WV.

You don't Lardass, you live in a section 8 public assistance HUD
apartment that is as filthy as can be.
710 S. Clinton St. #203 Athens, AL to be exact.

## 221. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:11:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130973099.422018.307820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

RHF wrote:
> WSW - Woger + Glendale WV + SheMales ? ? ?
> "I" "B" "T" "M" = 'ibtn' = it boddles the mind ~ RHF

Little Lardass Lloydie likes shemales and has been posting pictures of them (on NimBusters), since he can't get a real female.

http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/lloyd_jams_finger_up_his_ass.jpg

## 222. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:12:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130973138.989837.247530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122888

It's not false since you have AIDS, Marky.

## 223. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:13:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130973210.727814.110670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

NewsGay wrote:
> The Admin has

The admin is a queer like you, Davies, or is you. That's why your
asskickings get hidden away.

http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/lloyd_jams_finger_up_his_ass.jpg

## 224. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:14:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130973290.912298.164060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

ken (another one of Lardass' sockpuppets)wrote:

> Lloyd has been

Lloyd has been and will always be a pizza delvery BOY flunky.

## 225. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:15:35 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <54iim1pu0f3bjkci4tj1cjes46n5a27hve@4ax.com>
Source record: 122891

On 2 Nov 2005 15:03:10 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>Not half as pathetic as you are, Ms. Moron, er, Morgan.

now you can't tell boy from girl again poor ittle boy

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 226. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:16:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130973365.599144.123300@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Cmdr Butt Kiddie Conholer wrote:
>
> And roger is still cleaning out porta-a-potties.

And Buzzy still pretends he has a "pilot's license"....

## 227. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:17:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130973470.483486.272310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Not Intelligent Lloyd wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1130938503.068892.296370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Lardass aka Nim Buster wrote:
> > On 1 Nov 2005 17:31:57 -0800, NewsGuy <NewsGuy2005@gmail.com> wrote:
> > > Sad to say, the intrepid Admin of the notorious NIMBusters message
> > > board [http://the-forum.us/nimbusters/] has announced it will be closed
> > > as of Friday, October 4, 2005.
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!! Roger wins again!
>
>
> > Hey, Dude. It's already November.
> >
> > > Roger Wiseman
>
> Lloyd Davies has been posting under several different names and posting
> she male pics. He's queer like that.
>
> > > Now, where the hell will LLoyd Davies take his incessant whining?
> >
> > NIM Busters will continue,<bullshit>
>
> Lardass has always posted there. He has no other life.
> >
>
> And <IKYABWAI>

BWHAHAHAHA Poor Lardass, sees Roger everywhere.
Busted, you sheep fucking, goo-gobbling kiddie porn making pervert.

## 228. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:18:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130973513.079106.115850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122894

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 15:03:10 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >Not half as pathetic as you are, Ms. Moron, er, Morgan.
>
> now you can't tell boy from girl again poor ittle boy

You are a whiny little cunt, Marky.

## 229. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:21:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130973666.743697.154100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122895

whinycunt Lloyd Davies wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 06:00:03 -0800, K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > Spoken like the collegeman you aren't, Toiddie.
>
> "College man" is two words, my uneducated sniper.

Like you would know, Lardass, you couldn't even do college coursework!
That's why you only have a "certificate," GED earning Porky Davies.

## 230. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:23:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130973816.273430.184290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122896

whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 2 Nov 2005 15:03:10 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >Not half as pathetic as you are, Ms. Moron, er, Morgan.
> >
> > now you can't tell boy from girl again poor ittle boy
>
> You are a whiny little cunt, Marky.

still being stupid I see

## 231. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:27:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1130974063.029891.156620@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122897

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloy...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 2 Nov 2005 15:03:10 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >Not half as pathetic as you are, Ms. Moron, er, Morgan.
> > >
> > > now you can't tell boy from girl again poor ittle boy
> >
> > You are a whiny little cunt, Marky.
>
> still being stupid I see

Glad you admit you are being stupid, Marky.

## 232. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:36:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130974613.058048.98860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122898

> And it will still be QRM.

Says you...

## 233. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:39:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130974774.666444.259170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122899

Who says I don't have a job?? before you and your little slut stebie
open your fucking mouths do some research asshole

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

## 234. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:41:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <nkjim11tnmfsua25i3elkh2fqhchrj4egv@4ax.com>
Source record: 122750

On 2 Nov 2005 12:47:18 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > N9OpenGangrenousLesion wrote:
>> > > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > > > N9OGL wrote:
>> > > > > Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio
>> > > > >
>> > > > Studio?? BWHAHAHAHAHA All you have is a ####ing cassette recorder,
>> > > > Toad.
>> > > > >
>> > > I wouldn't bet on it ####head, I've spend $5,000 on audio equipment.
>> >
>> > Guess it's out of the question that you'll stop sponging off of
>> > Mommy and Daddie any time soon then, eh?
>> >
>>
>> First off I don't "sponge" off of mommy and daddy as you claim.
>
> Sure you do. YOU can call it what you want, if it makes you sleep
>better at night.
>
>> So until Stebie you've got proof of that i suggest you shut your pie hole.
>
> You're almost 40, unmarried, unemployed and living at home.
>
> I call that sponging.
>
>> > > > > for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal
>> > > > > pond scum (Commander ##########, Stebie, and a few other morons) are
>> > > > > still running the same ####.
>> >
>> > No, but now that you're "baaaccckkkk", the same old #### will
>> > resume.
>>
>> Well stebie since I've been gone you and your little Nazi buddies on
>> here seemed to be doing a good job out me. On a side note I've got a
>> piece of equipment I'm going to be working on tonight for my station
>> and once I'm done working on it I'm going to run a special N9OGL SHOW
>> TONIGHT!!!!
>
> And it will still be QRM.

>
>> > > > Toad's been in jail for prostitution again.
>
> No, no, NO! He's BEEN a prostitute IN jail.....

more of your lies stevie

another post for the broad

>
>> > Or is his brother finally saying "NO" and find someone else to do
>> > his dirty work?
>>
>> OH! what's the matter steve, if you are having problems perhaps you
>> should drive over to Wiseman's House he looking for a boyfriend, i'm
>> sure he can give you all the love you need.
>
> I'm not the one with the problem...It's you overworking your family
>in order to sustain YOUR lifestyle.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 235. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:42:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130974948.952012.57570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122751

>You don't have $5,000, Toadie, you whined and asked mommy and daddy for
>the cassette recorder.

I wouldn't bet on it. I suggest you, Stebie, and Commander Bitchwhore,
go back to enjoying eachother company like the morons you really are.

## 236. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:45:01 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130975101.480681.254070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122752

N9OGL wrote:
> >You don't have $5,000, Toadie, you whined and asked mommy and daddy for
> >the cassette recorder.
>
> I wouldn't bet on it.

I would. But you'd have to mooch off of mommy and daddy to ante up the money, bitch boy.

>I suggest you, Stebie, and Commander Bitchwhore,
> go back to enjoying eachother company like the morons you really are.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Go back and retake your G.E.D., Toad. Your English and communication skills show why you are such a failure in life.

## 237. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:46:35 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130975195.707141.305970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122753

N9OGL wrote:
> Who says I don't have a job?? before you and your little slut stebie
> open your fucking mouths do some research asshole

You don't have a real job, Toad, you are too stupid to get one and would rather mooch off of mommy and daddy. Now, go to your queer boyfriend's house and give him that blow job like you like to do, bitch.

## 238. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:51:09 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1130975469.620761.96720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122754

Sounds like you are looking for a boyfriend, you cocksucking queer!

## 239. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:58:12 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <0qOdnT3lbfh13vTenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

ken wrote:
>
> Care to comment on the conduct and character of ham radio
> operators on 75 meters, after 10 pm ????????????

Care to comment on the conduct and character of the cb band anytime, day
or night, on any channel?

## 240. past K1MAN doing the same stuff as Current K4YZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:58:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <mdkim1912bkk71s4jkb73sl54hp3e8us9t@4ax.com>
Source record: 122755

been going stevie shit (not pleasennt reading) looking for stuff to
forward to Borad of nursing I notice Stevie has sent a lot of time
accsuing others of felonies, didn't K1MAN get started in his campaigns
of making himself disliked by many ham with some kind of felony
avidaad

it struck me that prehaps the same sort of response might work on
Stevie who is often acusing others of comiting felonies

---------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 241. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-02 23:59:31 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
Message-ID: <0qOdnTzlbfiq2fTenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 122877

N9OGL wrote:

> First off I don't "sponge" off of mommy and daddy as you claim.

Yes you do, you are such a child mentally you can't get out and get a
job and live on your own.

## 242. another blast from the past Stevie telling someone not to engage in a slander campiagn based on someone gramtical erros

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 00:00:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <knkim1hp3qd691eaplr9hptoaqp3mteh1i@4ax.com>
Source record: 122756

Steve Robeson Dec 25 1999, 3:00 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
Date: 1999/12/25
Subject: Re: "A High-tech hobby"
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

>Subject: Re: "A High-tech hobby"
>From: kny...@buffnet.net (John D. Kasupski)
>Date: Fri, 24 December 1999 09:18 AM EST
>But I see that the educational standards around Canton, Ohio are really

slipping these days, eh, Bruce? You can't even SPELL "loser" let alone
determine what that constitutes. Can't find the key to insert an
apostrophe
where that particular punctuation belongs, either, I see.<

John, John, John......YOU are the last one who needs to start a
slander
campaign based on someone's grammatical errors.

Shall we start a compendium of John's errors?

Or shall we just save the bandwidth and you not do such silly
things
again?

Steve

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 243. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 00:21:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130977282.165236.297610@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122757

K4YZ wrote:
> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options
> >
> > > Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
> > > of lies
> > > on my part.
> > >
> > >
> > > We'll wait right here.
> >
> > Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you may
> > send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
> > you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
> > "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
> >
> Sorry, Brain...Several issues.

Predicted.

> First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to
> take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had
> Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have
> gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...
>
> Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my
> Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never
> "accepted the wager. You ignored it.

Why do you always acuse people other than yourself of being dishonest,
jerk?

> Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you
> to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further
> demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that
> fact surprises anyone.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Sorry, Steve...Several issues.

First of all, >>>YOU<<< had made the wager across state lines. I did
the legal and honorable thing; I didn't accept it.

Secondly, I did not accept the wager nor ask you to mail it to me. I actually forbid you to mail anything to me.

Lastly, what I did ask is that you donate your wager to the 10th District QSL Buro since you have denigrated all 10th District amateurs ("ZEROES") and the corpse of W0EX in an effort to get a laugh. That is the least you can do for 10-Landers. Donations are allowed to cross state lines, aren't they?

Again, further demonstration that you would not only wiggle your way out as predicted, but you make accusations as you do do. Not that that fact surprises anyone.

Best of Luck. bb

## 244. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 00:22:58 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <rKcaf.11068$IB.1875@dukeread11>
Source record: 122758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc

81014J wrote:
>>> Never use a CB, Eric?
>> not in 10 years.....
>> I signed off the 11 metere band on Sept 19, 1995, sold the radio and
>> never looked back.
>>
>
>
>
> Surrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrre.
> All you No-Code techs use the same line, just the date changes.
> ROTFLMAO!

spoken like someone with no evidence and no case. its called "put up or shut
up" pal.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

## 245. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 00:26:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130977568.866658.252640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122760

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 4:52 pm
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>>That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number
> >>>>>of things in amateur radio. Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough
> >>>>>to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len
> >>>>>is something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around
> >>>>>after the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> James Weir runs RST Engineering. It is located in Grass Valley,
> >>> CA, in Nevada County (California's "gold country").
> >>
> >>Fine. I don't want to deflate his ego but when I saw a post from "RST
> >>Engineering" signed "Jim", it meant nothing to me. I never heard of it
> >>or him.
> >
> >
> > I've seen him on various r.r.a... groups for years.
>
> That's you. I was writing about me. If the guy wants to play "maybe
> you don't know who I am", I'm game. I didn't know who he was. Now that
> I know, I don't much care. I don't have an airplane and don't live in
> California.
>
> Dave K8MN

You're welcome to let not knowing people define your presence on rrap.

Best of Luck.

## 246. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 00:26:15 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <xNcaf.11069$IB.3536@dukeread11>
Source record: 122759

Unk wrote:

>>Don't like hearing the truth huh?
>
>
> They are playing with symantics I guess, Eric. It is like the
> "Illegal" aliens. Play word games and try to obfuscate the true
> issues.
>
> Unk

yep. see a lot of that going on: government, news media, etc.... :(

why can't folks just stick to real facts and at least some semblance of the truth? guess they have to lie a lot to make things sound better, huh?

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence has its limits.

## 247. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 00:37:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jZcaf.4957$yX2.2616@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122761

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 4:52 pm
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>>>>That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number
>>>>>>>of things in amateur radio. Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough
>>>>>>>to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len
>>>>>>>is something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around
>>>>>>>after the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>> James Weir runs RST Engineering. It is located in Grass Valley,
>>>>> CA, in Nevada County (California's "gold country").
>>>>
>>>>Fine. I don't want to deflate his ego but when I saw a post from "RST
>>>>Engineering" signed "Jim", it meant nothing to me. I never heard of it
>>>>or him.
>>>
>>>
>>>I've seen him on various r.r.a... groups for years.
>>
>>That's you. I was writing about me. If the guy wants to play "maybe
>>you don't know who I am", I'm game. I didn't know who he was. Now that
>>I know, I don't much care. I don't have an airplane and don't live in
>>California.

> You're welcome to let not knowing people define your presence on rrap.
>
> Best of Luck.

I'm free to reject the implication that I'm supposed to know who "Jim" "RST-Engineering" is. As Leonard H. Anderon pointed out, I have no business in civil aviation and no business in California politics. I like it that way.

Dave K8MN

## 248. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 00:38:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130978330.848608.309130@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122762

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Well, after (ugh) reading through 3,599
> > filings on the FCC ECFS
> > under WT Docket 05-235 (up to 31 October 2005),
> > I'm convinced
> > that way too many radio amateurs are still
> > stuck to the glorious
> > past of a half century ago in radio communications.
>
> Why, Len? Because the *majority* disagree with you?

Steve agreed with removing the Morse Code Exam. I guess that makes him
"progressive."

> > Their bliss
> > over the efficacy of morsemanship shines
> > on under skies unclouded
> > by progress in technology...
> > which had already begun before they
> > got their first amateur license.
>
> You haven't got *your* first amateur radio license, Len.

That would make Len "unbiased."

> > They BELIEVE deep in their
> > little hearts that morsemanship is THE essential
> > ingredient in
> > becoming an extra-super-special radio "expert,"
> > "well-rounded"
> > and a "leader" in amateurism.
>
> And what do *you* believe deep in your little heart, Len?

That there is a God?

> > It's a Belief so deep, so basic,
> > that they are convinced that ALL morsemen
> > are "experts" on
> > everything and those who don't Believe
> > as they do are heretics
> > who know nothing about everything.
>
> Sounds like sour grapes on your part.

Sounds like several of the amateurs that remain on this news group.

> The actual count of individuals commenting showed that
> once duplicates and nonresponsive filings were removed,
> 55% of those commenting support at least a Morse Code
> test for Extra. Only 45% support the NPRM, with its
> complete removal of Morse Code testing.

Where did your numbers come from?

> A few days ago, you wrote:
>
> "3. The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S.
> amateur community has been CHANGING all along...AWAY from
> the old, Old, OLD standards and practices." - Len Anderson
>
> But the filings on WT 05-235 show that the majority (55%!) of
> those who commented want at least some code testing. That
> majority is almost identical to those supporting more than
> one code test speed back in 1998.

Show your work.

> Another quote from what you wrote a few days ago:
>
> "That seems to piss you off greatly and makes you petulant, whiny, and
> accusatory. Tsk." - Len Anderson
>
> A perfect lead-in to the following:
>
> > Must be wonderful to exist in such deep
> > delusions of grandeur,
> > very satisfying, off in a wonderland of
> > their own fantasies
> > of self-importance and Greatness. <shrug>
>
> It's interesting that you will go on and on and on
> about the motivations of people you've never met,
> but you won't tell us *your* motivations for
> changing the regulations of the Amateur Radio Service.
> Which is a radio service you are not involved in.

Lots of people deciding the outcome of the NPRM are not involved "in"
amateur radio.

# 249. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 00:43:57 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <nmmim19va5uej5t5es2c2hsio23amolk7g@4ax.com>
Source record: 122763

On 2 Nov 2005 14:18:20 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1130969900.581047.3040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> I suggest they both go see the premiere of the new film "Jarhead"
> (as a couple). They can both get some emotional espirit d'corps.
> Dudly can use the film's fiction for better embroidery of his
> "hostile actions." Davie can get the emotional thrill of doing
> battle "in-country" that ended over three decades ago. That
> will get them all fired up to throw more hissy fits against other
> veterans of the U.S. military who don't agree with their personal
> opinions.

Well, while Davie and Dudly and all their their sock puppets are busy
reminiscing about their Hollywood-forged military careers, I'm taking
a few days to winterize the homestead. We are long overdue for a hard
winter and I want to be ready. It's that "Semper Paratus" thing.....

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 250. blast from the past Stevie says that did time or whatever should be left alone but attacks Frank bfor being courtmartailed in the past HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 00:51:09 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <nlnim1damedmnb66v4nam6btqsa0pdsuem@4ax.com>
Source record: 122764

Steve Robeson K4YZ Dec 14 2001, 8:34 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
Date: 14 Dec 2001 13:34:29 GMT
Local: Fri, Dec 14 2001 8:34 am
Subject: It's Time To Demand A Re-Vamp of the FCC
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

After careful consideration of several events lately, I think
it's time to
start approaching our representatives and demand that a "kinder,
gentler" FCC
be replaced by the autocratic nonsense that proliferates today.

The FCC of today is a joke. In this authors opinion, it has a
long way to
go to pull itself out of the shoulder-shrugging, responsibility
shirking,
hand-washing, pass-the-buck attitude of the 70's and 80's.

First of all, the below cited item is one of the "could have said
yes but
said no "because we can"" instances where the FCC is just a bit too
impressed
with itself to cooperate with the users of the spectrum.

QUOTE: (from ARNewsline)

THE 2002 WINTER OLYMPICS: FCC SAYS NO TO SPECIAL CALL SIGN

An idea for a special events call sign to celebrate the 2002 Winter
Olympics
has drawn a negative response from the FCC. Tom Schaefer, NY4I, of
Sandy,
Utah, had requested that the agency allow amateurs Utah hams to
substitute the
number 2002 or 02 for the 7 in their callsign. This, for the time
period
preceding and up to though the 2002 Winter Olympics in Salt Lake City.
But
after mulling it over for a few months the Schaefer says the agency
responded
with a definite no:

UNQUOTE

Never mind that even third-world nations do that very thing
(allow
temproary changes in calls for Olympic events, or that it would have
not
required anything more than a blanket STA for the period
requested...Heck
no...Why allow Amateurs to celebrate one of the truly (or at least as
close as
it will ever get) de-politicised international events that we can take
pride
in? ESPECIALLY in the wake of recent events... ! ! !

Example: "We, the FCC, hereby allow any licensed Amateur Radio
Operator
living within the State of Utah to substitute the figures "2002" for
the number
"7" in thier callsign for the period of (dates of the event) in
recognition of
the Olympics. Operators residing in Utah with a numeral other than
"7" may
substitute thier numeral with the original numeral plus "02", ie K4YZ
would be
K402YZ. Only stations with a permanent mailing address in Utah may
participate. Have a nice day".

See how easy that was? Took some GS-5 stenographer 2 minutes to
type up
and another 30 minutes to get posted to the Federal Register or other
authorized media.

Second is my aversion to the FCC being allowed to exert it's
Victorian
opinions on who they consider to be of "good character" to be a
"Commission
Licensee"...I speak of persons who may have commited a crime in the
past, NOT
related to radio, and are now prohibited or are sanctioned in thier
quest for a
license, regardless of which radio service it may be in.

I was always of the opinion and understanding that once time was
served,
one's "debt to society" was paid and they were reassimilated into that
society.
We are obviously NOT that society if we continue to punish people
AFTER their
time is served.

Next is my concern on the Agency's obvious lack of concern on
maintaining
a balance between the needs of ALL citizens versus corporations which

would
seek to make a profit from the finite resources of the electromagnetic
spectrum. Again, this author does not believe that the FCC always
acts in the
best interests of the citizenry, but rather manufacturers and other
corporate
entities who can talk the smoother line.

Evidence the FRS and MURS services, the 220-222mHz debacle, and
the recent
attempt to liberalize Part 15 radiation limits. NONE of these acts
were
mandated by the needs of industry to meet an ongoing consumer
need...they were
done to provide the opportunity to CREATE a market for thier wares.

THAT is wrong.

Next, I would like to know how the FCC comes up with these
horrific fines.
While I will be the first to demand that violators be punished and
sanctioned,
even those acts should be within reason and by the order of a court
AFTER due
process of law...NOT because the FCC says "Because I Said So"....NAL's
are
un-Constitutional, in my non-law-school opinion.

Lastly, I object in no uncertain terms to the passing of
responsibility of
radiocommunication regulatory enforcement to agencies OTHER than the
FCC or
other appropriately trained federal agency. (ie: local police or
sheriff's
agencies) Yes, local police should have the authority to intervene in
cases
where a CLEAR AND PRESENT DANGER exists...to me, this means some jerk
jamming
local emergency services, navigation aids or law enforcement
communications, or
being able to take action against persons who are using radio to
commit
felonies or actively harass other citizens. This would be no more
different
than a cop arresting someone who just buzzed the Mayor's house. The
FAA
regulates avaition, but no one would dare suggest that a threat to
safety was
inappropriate for local law enforcement to take action on.

As much as I would like to see the "freeband" mess cleaned up,
the passing

of laws that allows local law enforcement to do this is wrong. As pointed out
by others, it is just one more obligation that already over-burdened officers
must now familiarize themselves with, and leaves the door open for misunderstanding and confusion with law abiding users of the radio spectrum.

There are other matters that I see occuring with the FCC that give me
cause, both as a licensee and as a citizen, to be concerned for the welfare of
spectrum management within the United States. It's time to clean house.

73

Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 251. Re: blast from the past Stevie says that did time or whatever should be left alone but attacks Frank bfor being courtmartailed in the past HYPOCRITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 00:54:26 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <uqnim1tfot1mioo98el8jnrnid2ifdmelj@4ax.com>
Source record: 122765

On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 19:51:09 -0500, kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
related Blast Stevie

Stevie question wether everyone in prision is guilty of something and
yet Franks court martial are proof he was an unworthy marine

Steve Robeson LPN May 7 2001, 4:55 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson LPN) - Find messages by this author
Date: 07 May 2001 09:55:18 GMT
Local: Mon, May 7 2001 4:55 am
Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

>Subject: Re: The Crucifixion of Timothy McVeigh
>From: "Rob St.Denis" tstdenis3...@home.com
>Date: 5/7/01 4:17 AM Central Daylight Time
>He is already caught ... if he kills other inmates who cares ...
>surprisingly con's aren't the greatest people to begin with anyways

And of course EVERY person convicted of a crime is worthy of
being
murdered in prison...?!?!

EVERY person in prison is, without a doubt, 100% guilty...?!?!

Try again, Rob.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 252. Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 00:56:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130979398.452792.293210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122766

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 5:36 pm
>
> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> >> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> >> gather
> > >
> >> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> >> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> >> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
> > >
> >> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
> >> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
> >> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
>
> That should be BRIAN as in Brian Burke, but Mark keeps on
> with Dudly the Imposter's deliberate misspelling... :-(

Doesn't bother me. I've cashed government checks made out to "Brain."

> My USPS address has been on dozens of bylines in Ham Radio
> magazine and in dozens of ECFS filings at the FCC. It is
> no secret. I don't need either pseudonyms nor fantasy
> pasts to express myself, here or anyplace else.
>
>
> >I don't think so.
> >
> >Steve has been warned away from visiting my home, and that's good
> >enough for me at this time.
> >
> >His anti-everything comments are public record. Ditto his paranoid
> >"liar behind every tree" fantasy. Google provides.
>
> Thousands of his postings are intact on Google.
>
> Dudly doesn't realize those are evidence of HIS fantasies.

I think his fantasies are catching up.

> >Being the prolific poster that he is, he's probably made hundreds if
> >not thousands of posts from his employers computers. If they don't
> >already know what kind of idiot they have on "thier" hands by now they
> >never will.

>
> There's two takes on that. One, this newsgroup doesn't involve
> the medical profession anywhere. As such, it doesn't apply to
> any state medical licensing board. Two, such a medical board
> may not concern themselves with anyone's background.

Possible. But Steve did attempt to use his professional credentials to
put people on "Persons of Interest" lists in other states, states where
he isn't licensed. Recall the "Dialing..." post?

> There have been a few cases of deranged nurses who have
> killed patients, one last year in the east. The one most
> familiar to me is Elfren Saldivar, the convicted "mercy killer"
> at a Glendale, CA, hospital, an anesthiology-specialist nurse
> there; he had injected several elderly patients with some kind
> of fatal dose "to ease their suffering." That Glendale hospital
> had NOT done a good background check on Saldivar and could not
> see that he had done similar things at another hospital.

Sounds like there is a lot of slop in the medical community. No
vetting of "thier" professionals.

> Mark is on his own. Back a few years, some anony-mousie tried to
> intimidate me publicly, saying he would be "in my neighborhood"
> at a certain period of time and wanted to "discuss things" with
> me in person. I printed up a large collection of that individual's
> postings, showing their full Internet routing, had a talk with the
> Foothill Division of the LAPD (who got that packet). My wife and
> I proceded to go up north to Washington to visit (scheduled long
> before that message exchange happened) while this individual was
> in the general area. The LAPD has had a "stalking" detail for a
> few years now after several incidents (and one fatality) of
> stalkers attacking their victims. While we were gone, this
> individual had tried telephoning me but got only our answering
> machine. Telephone company security office was informed and they
> apparently checked that out, both from the Caller ID info I had
> and the tape of the recorded message. The "stalker" had called
> from an unlisted number in the southern part of Greater Los
> Angeles, the best info I could get legally from the telephone
> company...never got close to where my residence was. I don't
> know what the LAPD did...they did some investigation but one
> can't get details on such if no overt crime had been committed.

I'll send your secret admirer some Wayne Green editorials to the LAPD
jailhouse, once he's caught.

> The pseudo-macho types who try intimidation on computers only
> fool themselves. They don't understand that their "victims"
> can assemble a great deal of information on intimidators before
> anything goes down. Such would be excellent evidence of intent
> if they were nuts enough to try any physical violence of any
> kind. Worse (for them) is that it gives their target advance

> warning which could result in a very rude surprise for them
> when they do try something.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Stand your ground.

## 253. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 01:02:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130979733.504177.3400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122767

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 30, 3:00 pm
>
> >an_old_friend wrote:
>
>
> >> ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
> >
> >> Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
> >> Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
> >> thought folks might enjoy the laugh
> >
> >> From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
> >> Date: 1998/09/28
> >> Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
>
>
> >Yikes!
> >
> >> Well,
> >
> >> I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
> >> forward, no-holds barred personal I ever read!
> >
> >Thought Steve was married and all that?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Dudly would NEVER post anything like that! :-)
>
> Someone obviously "hacked his account" on AOL and "posed as
> him!" :-)
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Like >>>LIARS<<<, those darned hackers are >>>EVERYWHERE!<<<

## 254. Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 01:07:36 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <tgoim11fklanrns8u45sn5hir4kjd83df2@4ax.com>
Source record: 122768

On 1 Nov 2005 13:48:53 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 5:36 pm
>
>>kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>>> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>>> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>>> gather
>>
>>> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
>>> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
>>> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>>
>>> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
>>> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
>>> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
>
> That should be BRIAN as in Brian Burke, but Mark keeps on
> with Dudly the Imposter's deliberate misspelling... :-(

no I just blow it on my own from time to time
>
> My USPS address has been on dozens of bylines in Ham Radio
> magazine and in dozens of ECFS filings at the FCC. It is
> no secret. I don't need either pseudonyms nor fantasy
> pasts to express myself, here or anyplace else.
>
>
>>I don't think so.
>>
>>Steve has been warned away from visiting my home, and that's good
>>enough for me at this time.
>>
>>His anti-everything comments are public record. Ditto his paranoid
>>"liar behind every tree" fantasy. Google provides.
>
> Thousands of his postings are intact on Google.

more than 8000 BTW
>
> Dudly doesn't realize those are evidence of HIS fantasies.
>
>
>>Being the prolific poster that he is, he's probably made hundreds if
>>not thousands of posts from his employers computers. If they don't

>>already know what kind of idiot they have on "thier" hands by now they
>>never will.
>
> There's two takes on that. One, this newsgroup doesn't involve
> the medical profession anywhere. As such, it doesn't apply to
> any state medical licensing board. Two, such a medical board
> may not concern themselves with anyone's background.

wellStevie has said they will pull someone license for lying outside
medical areas, the State of tenneesee agrees while adding that some
type of fine is more likely
>
> There have been a few cases of deranged nurses who have
> killed patients, one last year in the east. The one most
> familiar to me is Elfren Saldivar, the convicted "mercy killer"
> at a Glendale, CA, hospital, an anesthiology-specialist nurse
> there; he had injected several elderly patients with some kind
> of fatal dose "to ease their suffering." That Glendale hospital
> had NOT done a good background check on Saldivar and could not
> see that he had done similar things at another hospital.
>
> Mark is on his own.
Of course I am which is fine

> Back a few years, some anony-mousie tried to
> intimidate me publicly, saying he would be "in my neighborhood"
> at a certain period of time and wanted to "discuss things" with
> me in person. I printed up a large collection of that individual's
> postings, showing their full Internet routing, had a talk with the
> Foothill Division of the LAPD (who got that packet). My wife and
> I proceded to go up north to Washington to visit (scheduled long
> before that message exchange happened) while this individual was
> in the general area. The LAPD has had a "stalking" detail for a
> few years now after several incidents (and one fatality) of
> stalkers attacking their victims. While we were gone, this
> individual had tried telephoning me but got only our answering
> machine. Telephone company security office was informed and they
> apparently checked that out, both from the Caller ID info I had
> and the tape of the recorded message. The "stalker" had called
> from an unlisted number in the southern part of Greater Los
> Angeles, the best info I could get legally from the telephone
> company...never got close to where my residence was. I don't
> know what the LAPD did...they did some investigation but one
> can't get details on such if no overt crime had been committed.
>
> The pseudo-macho types who try intimidation on computers only
> fool themselves. They don't understand that their "victims"
> can assemble a great deal of information on intimidators before
> anything goes down. Such would be excellent evidence of intent
> if they were nuts enough to try any physical violence of any
> kind. Worse (for them) is that it gives their target advance

> warning which could result in a very rude surprise for them
> when they do try something.

indeed
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 255. Re: Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 01:11:51 UTC
From: Chad Wahls <cwahls@uiuc.edu>
Message-ID: <1130980311.091626.325020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hey... Good ground plane :)

## 256. Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 01:19:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130980774.193141.15210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122770

Steve, you really, really hate it when others behave as you do. Don't sweat it, though. Few have the complete lack of conscience that it takes to keep it up for very long.

---

K4YZ wrote:

> YOU'RE the one with this "board of nursing" charade...
---

Charade?

You just might be making the "Nurses of Interest" list. I wouldn't want to be in your white loafers.

# 257. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 01:21:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <tmoim1hhhhc3fibleataudno7jm4jc310t@4ax.com>
Source record: 122771

On 2 Nov 2005 16:56:38 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 5:36 pm
>>
>> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>> >> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>> >> gather
>> >
>> >> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
>> >> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
>> >> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>> >
>> >> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
>> >> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
>> >> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
>>
>> That should be BRIAN as in Brian Burke, but Mark keeps on
>> with Dudly the Imposter's deliberate misspelling... :-(
>
>Doesn't bother me. I've cashed government checks made out to "Brain."

sorry bout no offense was intenended and my check do get cashed
noramly no matter badly I misspellsomething

>
>> My USPS address has been on dozens of bylines in Ham Radio
>> magazine and in dozens of ECFS filings at the FCC. It is
>> no secret. I don't need either pseudonyms nor fantasy
>> pasts to express myself, here or anyplace else.
>>
>>
>> >I don't think so.
>> >
>> >Steve has been warned away from visiting my home, and that's good
>> >enough for me at this time.
>> >
>> >His anti-everything comments are public record. Ditto his paranoid
>> >"liar behind every tree" fantasy. Google provides.
>>
>> Thousands of his postings are intact on Google.
>>
>> Dudly doesn't realize those are evidence of HIS fantasies.

>
>I think his fantasies are catching up.

I hope so

he has simply pushed enough buttons thatI am going to try and make em
chacth up to him

>
>> >Being the prolific poster that he is, he's probably made hundreds if
>> >not thousands of posts from his employers computers. If they don't
>> >already know what kind of idiot they have on "thier" hands by now they
>> >never will.
>>
>> There's two takes on that. One, this newsgroup doesn't involve
>> the medical profession anywhere. As such, it doesn't apply to
>> any state medical licensing board. Two, such a medical board
>> may not concern themselves with anyone's background.
>
>Possible. But Steve did attempt to use his professional credentials to
>put people on "Persons of Interest" lists in other states, states where
>he isn't licensed. Recall the "Dialing..." post?

thanks for the refference usefull stuff in that search anything else
you want to share threads with a one word thems to make em easier to
search out of Google please emntion them
>
>> There have been a few cases of deranged nurses who have
>> killed patients, one last year in the east. The one most
>> familiar to me is Elfren Saldivar, the convicted "mercy killer"
>> at a Glendale, CA, hospital, an anesthiology-specialist nurse
>> there; he had injected several elderly patients with some kind
>> of fatal dose "to ease their suffering." That Glendale hospital
>> had NOT done a good background check on Saldivar and could not
>> see that he had done similar things at another hospital.
>
>Sounds like there is a lot of slop in the medical community. No
>vetting of "thier" professionals.

yep a lot fo that

you run till you get caught

>
>> Mark is on his own. Back a few years, some anony-mousie tried to
>> intimidate me publicly, saying he would be "in my neighborhood"
>> at a certain period of time and wanted to "discuss things" with
>> me in person. I printed up a large collection of that individual's
>> postings, showing their full Internet routing, had a talk with the
>> Foothill Division of the LAPD (who got that packet). My wife and
>> I proceded to go up north to Washington to visit (scheduled long
>> before that message exchange happened) while this individual was

>> in the general area. The LAPD has had a "stalking" detail for a
>> few years now after several incidents (and one fatality) of
>> stalkers attacking their victims. While we were gone, this
>> individual had tried telephoning me but got only our answering
>> machine. Telephone company security office was informed and they
>> apparently checked that out, both from the Caller ID info I had
>> and the tape of the recorded message. The "stalker" had called
>> from an unlisted number in the southern part of Greater Los
>> Angeles, the best info I could get legally from the telephone
>> company...never got close to where my residence was. I don't
>> know what the LAPD did...they did some investigation but one
>> can't get details on such if no overt crime had been committed.
>
>I'll send your secret admirer some Wayne Green editorials to the LAPD
>jailhouse, once he's caught.
>
>> The pseudo-macho types who try intimidation on computers only
>> fool themselves. They don't understand that their "victims"
>> can assemble a great deal of information on intimidators before
>> anything goes down. Such would be excellent evidence of intent
>> if they were nuts enough to try any physical violence of any
>> kind. Worse (for them) is that it gives their target advance
>> warning which could result in a very rude surprise for them
>> when they do try something.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Stand your ground.

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 258. Re: I'M BAAACCCKKKK!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 01:21:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1130980908.692193.84370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122772

N9OGL wrote:
> Well I'm back, I've been busy these last few days rebuilding my studio
> for the new and improved N9OGL SHOW. But I'm back and I see the normal
> pond scum (Commander Bitchwore, Stebie, and a few other morons) are
> still running the same shit.
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
> Saturday's 4pm -5pm CST

Todd, is this really what you want out of ham radio?

## 259. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 01:53:29 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <449087017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 2 Nov 2005 19:15:26 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> One time I heard George Noory on www.coasttocoastam.com say he
> was in the U.S.Navy for four years.Another time he said he was in the
> U.S.Navy for nine years.If he ever did see a ufo or space alien for
> real,it would scare the daylights out of him,Art Bell too.
> cuhulin

When I was first on my own I lived in Florida and I met a 37 year old blonde who hung out in the neighborhood bar and she took a liking to me. A little while later I found out that she not only hung out there but that she was hung if you get my drift. Then before anything could happen that shemale moved to Glendale, WV and I missed her and worried a lot about was I gay? So I went to WV and found her at the Shipwreck Lounge on Ohio street by the river.

I felt a lot better after she proved to me that I was a lesbian because lesbians like naked girls.
woger

## 260. Re: Tracy Fort is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 01:59:39 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <faeaf.74135$y_1.33365@edtnps89>
Source record: 122774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Beerbelly wrote:

> mII is slightly gayer!

Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

The drunk has so far posted:

==========
==========
I'm not drunk. I haven't finished my first 12 pak yet.
I'll get there and when I do it's back to the cemetary.

She gives great cancerous BJ's.....thanks Canucky boy!

How far north did the Katrina effect? I saw someone's mom floatin down the mighty Mississippi!

Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

hahahaha....I'm still laughin' at your sorry ass family! This is funny stuff!

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

um...umm....good!

I love it....did she do it for you too?

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky

substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and
she began to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all
the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

The most fun I ever had!

Sporting a new moniker (GraveDigger) just for you and mommy...

He knows the inside of his mom's boney butt! hahahaha

hahahaha.....when you got thru lickin I was stickin.....

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology
to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more
about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and
hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of
the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit
money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact.

It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone
caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics
Anonymous to him. They may be able to help him restore his self respect
and dignity so he stops posting this drunken garbage on the internet.

## 261. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 02:08:55 UTC
From: Card XII <drodgers@frontiers.net>
Message-ID: <Xieaf.11836$cg.9730@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 122775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

<kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
news:bd7im11lrda1pvev5ubgcji02ut44r90bc@4ax.com...
> Hi there
> I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago, have
> largely learned
> to live with it by now (I am 34 yo and have a BA)
> Having gotten back to
> dealing Ham Radio, I am back to dealing with Morse
> to at least recieve
> a bit of and to docment today, my dyslexia, which
> would allow both
> various changes in the Testing requirements. I
> need refences to to
> help point my doctor ( an allergist) toward a test
> or three that would
> support the fact that I still have dyslyexia today
> (FCC dysbility
> requierments don't seem to realize that some
> things really don't get
> much better with time) Idealy one that will lend
> some support to the
> idea that I still have it, but are not too
> expensive to for him to
> order given to me

There are no tests your allergist could use.

If you want the question answered, you need to go see a licensed
psychologist or licensed school psychologist. "licensed" is key.

Not awfully expensive, and should get you good answers.

Card XII
Hammer of Thor, May 2005
Master of the detrevnI Buckyball

## 262. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 02:21:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <htsim154t4ls3423somkq02jif9ofa7gmu@4ax.com>
Source record: 122776

On 2 Nov 2005 17:19:34 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1130980774.193141.15210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Steve, you really, really hate it when others behave as you do. Don't
>sweat it, though. Few have the complete lack of conscience that it
>takes to keep it up for very long.

OUCH!!! Remind me never to piss -you- off!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 263. amusing listening to rebradcast of an arrl message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 02:43:11 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <e7uim1p4pb9eqim7rbr1sep3emknnijs36@4ax.com>
Source record: 122777

been listen ing to President Hanney (sP?)

tlaking about the same stuff as my coment s the NPRM

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 264. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 02:44:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1130985849.144028.286510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

Card XII wrote:
> <kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
> news:bd7im11lrda1pvev5ubgcji02ut44r90bc@4ax.com...
> > Hi there
> > I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago, have
> > largely learned
> > to live with it by now (I am 34 yo and have a BA)
> > Having gotten back to
> > dealing Ham Radio, I am back to dealing with Morse
> > to at least recieve
> > a bit of and to docment today, my dyslexia, which
> > would allow both
> > various changes in the Testing requirements. I
> > need refences to to
> > help point my doctor ( an allergist) toward a test
> > or three that would
> > support the fact that I still have dyslyexia today
> > (FCC dysbility
> > requierments don't seem to realize that some
> > things really don't get
> > much better with time) Idealy one that will lend
> > some support to the
> > idea that I still have it, but are not too
> > expensive to for him to
> > order given to me
>
> There are no tests your allergist could use.
>
> If you want the question answered, you need to go see a licensed
> psychologist or licensed school psychologist. "licensed" is key.
>
> Not awfully expensive, and should get you good answers.

someon is choosing to repost some old posts of mine
>
>
> Card XII
> Hammer of Thor, May 2005
> Master of the detrevnI Buckyball

## 265. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 04:19:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zdgaf.871$uD5.104@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122779

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> I am somewhat surprised that you still have the Johnson Viking
> Messenger, though. The thing has *vacuum tubes*, right? (At least the
> early Messengers did).
>
> Of course the Johnson Viking Messenger is interesting from an
> historical POV because it was such a departure for the company. Instead
> of a big desk-crushing VFO transmitter, they built a compact
> lightweight transceiver of pretty good quality. And it still works!
>
> So I guess it's not so surprising that for more than 40 years you've
> held onto one of the smallest Johnsons ever produced.

If a tiny Johnson is what you have, a tiny Johnson is what you use. You have to work with what you've got. I'm sure that Len's Johnson has been gathering dust for some years now though.

Dave K8MN

## 266. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 04:24:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lhgaf.872$uD5.653@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122780

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> Well, while Davie and Dudly and all their their sock puppets are busy
> reminiscing about their Hollywood-forged military careers, I'm taking
> a few days to winterize the homestead. We are long overdue for a hard
> winter and I want to be ready. It's that "Semper Paratus" thing.....

I'm not Davie and there is no Dudly. I've spoke very little about my
military service. I didn't stay for a career. Perhaps you'd like to do
a little guessing and see if I made the whole thing up, Frank. Maybe
after you've winterized your home.

Dave K8MN

## 267. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 06:23:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130998984.168392.112530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122782

From: N2EY@AOL.COM <Marathon_Poster@AOL.COM> on Tues 1 Nov 2005 16:35

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
>> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
>> >> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:

>> >> Did you think someone ELSE bothered to read ALL
>> >> the filings on WT Docket 05-235 and compile a day-by-day
>> >> tabulation of them?
>
>> >I don't think that. I know it's true. I've seen the compilation
>> >done by someone else and it's more informative than yours.
>>
>> WHO else, Jimmie?
>
>A licensed radio amateur with experience in the field. Somebody
>whose methods of analyzing the comments may be much more
>accurate than yours.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...another Dudly type posting...you "know someone"
who is "better" yet won't reveal this expert? :-)

What's to "analyze?" It's called READING, Jimmie. Even
children can do that! :-)

Let's see...up to the end of 31 October there were 3,680
filings of which 240 were of the "indeterminate" kind. That's
about 6.5 percent (only two digits used, can't use any
"illusions of accuracy" with you, can we?). The other three
categories were pretty well UN-ambiguous on deciding either
YES to the NPRM, NO to the NPRM, or "let's keep it for Extra."

You need "experts in analysis" to divine the "true meaning"
of those filings? I guess so...anything to try your damndest
to fudge, alter the percentages in favor of pro-code. Tsk.

By the way, I don't know if the FCC uses "percentages" or not.
I used them to get a clearer picture of the total of opinions.
You dislike that. Obviously, since the comment period start
showed that MORE were in favor of the NPRM than against.

>> You are begining to sound like Dudly the Imposter in here,
>> always NON-specific but alleging you "know" someone else.
>
>The information is available to all who will look for it.

It really KILLS you to yield the website doesn't it? :-)

>Easier to access than ECFS. You've claimed years and years of
>experience in "computer-modem communications", yet you
>can't find it? I am surprised.

I'm not surprised that you take your attitude, Jimmie.

I started this "computer-modem" think in early December,
1984. The Internet didn't go public until 1991. Have you
seen any registry of domain names recently? No? You think
it is "easy" to find something specific even with a search
engine? You must. Or you are so damn petulant about this
that you have to sit in here and heckle, heckle, heckle
about minutae just to satisfy your own wounded whatever...

>> I'm telling the Federal Communications
>> Commission (a U.S. federal government agency) what I think
>> the regulations on GETTING INTO amateur radio "should be."
>> [i.e., my desires]
>
>How does your posting a 'scorecard' *here* tell the FCC anything,
>Len?

As an attachment to THREE filings on WT Docket 05-235, Jimmie.

Lord knows few can tell YOU anything you don't want to hear!

>Your posts *here* are full of your ideas about How Amateur Radio
>Should Be.

No. Most of my postings in this newsgroup are in response
to the petulant little "I know what is best for ham radio"
control-freaks, most of whom seem to be mighty macho
morsemen.

>Your comments to FCC are full of your ideas about
>How Amateur Radio Should Be.

MOST of my filings to the FCC have been about MORSE CODE
TESTING, Jimmie. The code test is essentially about
GETTING INTO amateur radio HF privileges. Long ago I
started communications on HF without a single requirement
to know or test for morse code and did it LEGALLY. You've
never fully explained why the artificiality of testing
for morsemanship is so damn necessary for amateur
operations (you think you have but you are only parroting
your conditioned thinking imposed by long-ago morsemen).

>By definition.

YOUR "definition." You do NOT tell me about "definitions."

>> Tsk. I could NOT "tell you anything" about amateur radio or
>> anything else if it was against what you wrote in here.
>
>Posting is telling everyone who reads your words. They don't
>have to agree with what you tell them, but you're telling them
>just the same.

Poor BABY! Just who the hell do you think YOUR postings
are then? Messages from God Almighty? :-)

>So you've already told me and others a lot about amateur
>radio - and a lot of other things. The truth of what you've told
>is a different issue...

Awwwww....you heard things YOU DIDN'T WANT TO HEAR! Boo-hoo.

>> You
>> would spend days, weeks, even years arguing over a "discussion"
>> as you did on "age requirements" LONG AFTER I dropped it. :-)
>
>If you had really "dropped it", there would be no discussion....

Tsk, tsk. You come along every once in a while and BRING
IT TO THE SURFACE AGAIN (in rather sulpherous phrasing) and
*I* am the one "bringing it up?"

BWAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>You've spent most of a decade on usenet arguing against a simple
>test for a license in a radio service with which you have no
>involvement. That's your right, of course, but it is kind of odd
>behavior. You must be very invested *emotionally*...

Good grief...you aren't going into the MOTIVATIONAL aspects
are you? Like Davie Heil does when he tries to find some
kind of "tool" to rationalize his snarling?

>You've been doing heckling, catcalls, and booing from the
>peanut gallery in computer-modem communications since 1984, eh?

No, sweetums, I said I am used to receiving heckling,
catcalls, and booing from the peanut gallery. I still am.
Here you are doing just that.

I was a Sysop on one BBS, a co-sysop on two others, and
a regular public board moderator on Lynzie's Motherboard
BBS (a social BBS headquartered in North Hollywood, CA).
Lynzie Flynn Zimmerman organized monthly get-togethers
of all the members at the 94th Aerosquadron Restaurant
next to Van Nuys airport in the San Fernando Valley.
Fun thing to do, get together socially with all the folks
one "knew" only by their messages.

>One would think that with all that experience you could find the
>'scorecard' of which I wrote.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you still want to play games, ey? :-)

Why don't you just reveal this "website" and be done with
it? If it is "so much better" than what I've done, then
others will obviously see so! There you go, automatic
triumph for your nasty little petulance! :-)

>> YOU are one of the hecklers.
>
>How? Show us your definition of "heckler" and how it applies.

See all your "messages" in THIS thread! :-)

>The definition I've always seen describes a "heckler" as
>someone from the audience who calls out to an onstage
>performer with derogatory remarks and insults.

"All the world's a stage"...and Jimmie is the only one in
the "audience!" :-)

Gosh, Jimmie, I could provide a "definition" of Asshole
and little would change... :-)

>I don't do that. If anyone in rrap is the master of
>derogatory remarks, it's you, Len.

Jimmie is NEVER wrong because Jimmie is PRO-CODE in extremis.

>But more important is the fact that you're not an
>onstage performer here. This is a completely different
>sort of venue, where opposing opinions (like mine)
>aren't "heckling".

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Your old-style vaudeville "hook" isn't
working, Jimmie.

Wanna see my AFTRA card? :-)

>> Tsk, tsk. That ANSWER was clearly given in the Notes on each
>> "score card" posting since the first one.
>
>No, it wasn't.

Yes, it was.

>> You did not seem to understand it.
>
>The notes are not clear.

Yes, they are. You have an aversion to ANYONE against code testing
and can't read straight on any NCTA's postings. Not my problem.

>> 1. The "answers" were ALREADY POSTED in each of the "score cards"
>> I put up via Google. Well before you "asked" for the first

>> one.
>
>Those "answers" aren't clear.

Then SHOW us "clarity." So far, all you've done is bitch and
whine and mewl about in a remarkable display of petulant,
peurile juvenility of displeasure with certain folks.

>> Who appoint you "judge" of what is "a good thing" or a "bad thing?"
>
>Same person who made *you* the judge.

Nobody made ME any "judge," Jimmie.

>> 3. The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S. amateur
>> community has been CHANGING all along...AWAY from the old,
>> Old, OLD standards and practices.
>
>Really? How do you know?

I OBSERVE it. It's apparant to anyone with an open mind.

You seem to be of a CLOSED mind when it comes to code testing.

BWAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>Compare the percentages of commenters supporting Morse Code testing
>in 1998-1999 with those of the current NPRM. How much difference
>is there *really*?

Roughly, 1400 more filings. In three months of WT Docket 05-235
there have been 3,680 filings. In the eleven months of WT
Docket 98-143 filings there were only about 2,200.

>I'm not the one yelling and calling people names, Len. You are.

Poor BABY! "Yelling?" :-) I turned up the speaker volume
full and didn't hear any "yelling."

Tsk, tsk, tsk, you are all UPSET about behavior in newsgroups?
You don't complain about the rather obvious name-calling done
by others. Just me. :-) Offhand, I'd say you are too
selective in your fault-finding.

>Not at all. I'm simply offering a solution to your problem. You can post
>all you want - but that means you'll have to put up with opposing
>commentary. Deal with it.

I have. :-) Poor baby...it works both ways, doesn't it?

You want to do "word fights" like an Internet variation of
the "Animal House" food fights? Okay. ["fraternalism!"]

>What you want is different from the way things actually work, Len.
>
>You may *want* everyone to just accept what you write here, but
>they may not.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. All I was doing was a tally of filings on
WT Docket 05-235. You hopped in and made this a Cause
Celebre' (with oak leaf clusters) of "mistakes"! :-)

>I'm just telling you how it is, Len.

No you ain't. You're "telling it" like what YOU WANT.

What you WANT is to have you "superior" to no-coders.

>> >You are the one getting upset, Len. Not me.
>>
>> "Upset?" No.
>
>Yes. You're all worked up - it comes through clearly in your mistakes,
>name calling, shouting, insults, uncivil behavior, etc.

BWAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Sweetums, YOU've been upset over ANY no-coder's messages
as long as I've seen your posts in here!

>> >> YOU are NOT in the FCC. YOU are NOT on the ARRL BoD.
>> >
>> >Neither are you, Len.
>>
>> That doesn't explain why YOU constantly pretend to be "judge"
>> and try to make nasty to others who state opinions contrary to
>> what YOU find "objectionable."
>
>"make nasty"? How? Looks to me like you consider any disagreement
>with your views to be "making nasty".

Sweetums, you are getting LESS "superior" every time you deny
YOU ever did anything wrong. :-)

>> There are NO RULES in "score card" postings other than what
>> the poster places in public view. I have done that. Since
>> the first "score card" posting.
>
>Your "rules" don't explain a lot of things, Len.

Poor baby. Offhand, I'd say you didn't like anyone doing
tally sheets of "umbers" like you do with those cribbed
"umbers" from another website every month. :-)

>> The Notes given with each
>> "card" are clear and comprehensive.
>

>No, they're not.

What is this, another schoolyard "nyah-nyah" thing you like? :-)

>> "Asking for clarification" of yours is nothing more than adult-
>> language puerile petulant HECKLING done for malicious intent
>> of your own.
>
>How is asking for clarification "heckling"?

...when it's obvious that others opinions in the "amateur
community" aren't skewed the way YOU WANT them to be! :-)

>> >Nobody checks your work.
>>
>> *I* check my work.
>
>OK then - nobody *else* checks your work.

UNTRUE. Someone else DOES! I would reveal the name to you except
you love to keep such things a pseudo-secret.

BWAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> YOU don't like it, go do your OWN scoring.
>
>Is that a command?

Whatever. Poor baby, you really ARE so SENSITIVE about criticsm!

>> Poor baby. Always trying to make yourself the "superior."
>
>I don't have to "try", Len ;-)

Tsk. Nun of the Above elevated herself to Mother Superior?

>> You don't get the answers you WANT, so you bitch and whine
>> and get all snarly about "poor explanations!"
>>
>> Sorry, but the Nun of the Above can't elevate herself to
>> Mother Superior.
>
>Do they show up as a count of 1 or 5 on the tally of "for" filings?

I didn't see any Nuns voting in WT Docket 05-235. Correct
me if I'm wrong on that.

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...my Notes said that DUPLICATES would be counted
>> as "Indeterminate" category and not used for the Percentage
>> figures. Clearly.
>
>Not "clearly", because you don't define what a "duplicate" really is.
>If the

>same person files comments that are not identical, do you count them as
>duplicates? Or are they separate comments and counted as such?

Yes and no. Take your choice.

>Your tally indicates that you don't count nonidentical filings by the
>same person as duplicates.

How do you know? Did you check my work by READING ALL?

>> The duplicate filer For the NPRM gives his name as Vincent
>> Garcell.
>
>17 filings!
>
>> Another one Against the NPRM, with 13 total filings,
>> is Dwayne Sparks.

Sparks is pro-code-test. You make no remark about him.

Well, given your attitude towards code testing, you can't
give HIM any black marks, can you? :-)

>How about Leonard H. Anderson, who has 6 filings? Do they show
>up as a count of 1 or 6 on the tally of "for" filings? I think you
>count them as 6 in the "for" column because they're not identical
>and hence not duplicates.

The ONLY way you will know FOR SURE is to do your OWN tally,
Jimmie. You obviously don't believe a thing I write about
my own tally.

>> The ECFS totals ALL of the filings in one Docket on any Search.
>
>You can select the type of filings, though. You could just look at
>Comments rather than all filings.

Why are you telling me what to do?

Are you wearing your "superior" hat now and, as usual, trying
to boss around others?

>> WHOSE. Where
>> is this alleged "alternative compilation" to be found?
>
>On the website of the person who compiled it. Can't you find it?

Tsk, tsk, tsk, more of this "nyah-nyah" behavior. Childish.

>> Why am I supposed to follow the "rules" of this "alternate
>> compilation?"
>
>You don't have to, Len. But your scorecard would be more accurate
>if you did.

Why would it be "more accurate" Jimmie?

There, that gives you yet-another area to bitch and whine and
go "nyah-nyah" about. :-)

>> Well then, go BITCH to the FCC and NTIA (who govern part of the
>> U.S. Internet) that I am being terribly "dishonest" and have me
>> thrown off the 'net or something! :-)
>
>Why? You're the source of the inaccuracy, so I'm telling you.

WRONG. I'm accurate.

>Can't you deal with an opposing opinion?

I can and have. Right now I'm dealing with a whiny little kid's
message about "secret compilations" and "inaccuracies"!

>Can't you accept change and go with a better method?

I didn't know there was an OBLIGATION to follow your orders!

>> >What you're really saying is that you cannot tolerate
>> >opposing opinions, questions, or facts that contradict your
>> >assertions.
>>
>> WRONG.
>
>Then why do you carry on so about a few questions and observations?
>Why all the name calling and diversion rather than answering some
>questions?

Wow, you really ARE reverting to a nasty little kid, aincha? :-)

>Is there a time limit on newsgroup responses?

Evidently YOU have none! :-)

>> YOUR whole purpose with those "questions" seems to be with your
>> on-going dissatisfaction with ANYTHING I post in here.
>
>Not "anything", Len. Just some of your inaccuracies and mistakes.

ANYTHING, Jimmie. You are obsessed by my posting ANYTHING.

>Can't you take an opposing opinion?

I can, have, and dealing with it right now. :-)

>> There is the question of "motivation" for your harrassment.
>
>Dear me, now an opposing opinion and some facts are "harrassment"?

BWAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> Why are you so OBSESSED with trying to toss me off?
>
>I'm not trying to "toss you off" anything, Len. I'm just trying
>to discuss the accuracy of your "scorecard". But you
>seem to have enormous trouble dealing with opposing opinions.

BWAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> >> The results of the NPRM and its final Report and Order will
>> >> NOT AFFECT YOU, will it?
>> >
>> >It may. Changes in the rules of the amateur radio service may
>> >have a profound effect on me, because I'm an active licensed
>> >radio amateur.
>>
>> Then seek MENTAL counseling.
>
>Why?

There are NO "profound" effects on your operating privileges
from NPRM 05-143. NONE. The only thing left is your EMOTIONAL
state. The FCC can't help you there, the ARRL can't help you
there. A psychologist or psychiatrist would be of possible help
for your emotional state.

>> There is NOTHING to deny present-
>> day privileges to ALREADY-LICENSED U.S. radio amateurs in
>> NPRM 05-143. There are NO statements of ALREADY-LICENSED
>> amateurs having to do a single test or examination in order to
>> continue their existing privileges.
>
>That's true, but it's not the point.

YES, it IS the point. YOUR operating privileges won't be altered
by 05-143, only YOUR PERCEPTION. There is NO "profound" change
going on except in your mind.

>> YOUR only problem is MENTAL.
>
>Really?

Yes. I already explained that.

>> You should learn to accept
>> change, not try to maintain old, trite, tired Beliefs of
>> long ago.
>
>Why should I accept changes that are unnecessary?

You've already said I must. :-)

>Why should I accept changes that are detrimental to the
>Amateur Radio Service?

Prove that such changes are "detrimental." :-)

>Why should something be discarded just because it's old?

<sigh>...one can only imagine the state of your clothing... :-)

No wonder you aren't attached...

>> >There's very little chance that changes in the rules of the amateur
>> >radio service will have *any* effect on you, because
>> >you're not a licensed radio amateur, and it doesn't appear
>> >that you'll ever be one.
>>
>> The morse code test WILL AFFECT new licensees.
>
>And existing ones.

What "existing ones?" Upgraders? You can't upgrade, Jimmie.

>But it almost certainly won't affect you, Len.

How do you know that? Show your work.

>You're not an existing licensee nor are you likely to be a new one.

I was a "licensee" in 1956.

>> You have your "affects" totally BACKWARD.
>
>Not at all.

Yes, you have.

>> On top of that, you've tried more snide uncivil "civility" in
>> my "motivation" towards getting any amateur radio license.
>
>How? It's quite obvious you don't want such a license and will
>probably never get one.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. There you go again. Not nice, Jimmie.

>> NO ONE is required to toady up to some already-licensed U.S.
>> radio amateur and HAVE to state "motivation." NOBODY.
>
>You don't have to state your "motivation".

Yes, I do.

>But it does seem odd that
>you won't state why you're so obsessed with a license test for a
>license you don't seem to want, and which has no effect on you at all.

I'm sure it is "odd" to you, Jimmie. You are finding fault
with everything I say or do, making long, long, long, long,
long, long posts arguing minutae. :-)

>> >FCC can also decide to change license privileges, subbands, etc., all
>> >of which can have a profound affect on those who actually operate in
>> >the licensed service.
>>
>> NPRM 05-143 is solely about the MORSE CODE TEST, Jimmie.
>
>FCC isn't limited to the terms of an NPRM. Look at what happened in
>1999. They proposed four license classes but the R&O reduced it to
>three.

Jimmie, TRY to keep up with the times. "Restructuring" R&O
came out in late December NINETEEN NINETY-NINE. That was THEN.
This is NOW. Now is the Fall of the year 2005.

>> The TEST. The TEST does NOT affect those ALREADY LICENSED.
>
>Yes, it does. If a change affects the amateur radio service itself, it
>affects those already licensed.

Only those "upgrading."

Gosh...imagine that, the possibility of a NO-CODE-TEST EXTRA!

It would be the END OF THE CODER'S WORLD AS THEY KNOW IT!

Need some help doing a "Kevorkian?" :-)

>> The TEST affects those GETTING INTO U.S. amateur radio.
>
>But not only them.
>
>And since you're clearly not one of them, why do you care so much?

Since YOU are "clearly not one of" the military, government,
or the aerospace business or even "of" radio other than
amateurism, why did you post so many "caring" messages on
THOSE subjects? Hmmm?

>> The ONLY "profound effect" [sic, not 'affect'] on those
>> ALREADY IN amateur radio is MENTAL.
>
>Incorrect.

VERY CORRECT!

>> Each ALREADY LICENSED
>> amateur will have to work that out by themselves. The FCC
>> is NOT chartered as a mental correction aid agency.
>>
>> Poor baby. All confused mentally and refusing to admit it!
>
>Not me, Len.

Your DENIAL, Jimmie. Plain. Out in the open.

>> >Some days back, you posted a description of your experiences with
>> >cb radio back in the late 1950s and early 1960s. You told us how
>> >you installed a manufactured cb transceiver and antenna in your car and
>> >used it. You told us the performance was *excellent* - for about four
>> >years. Then it wasn't so excellent anymore.
>
>Here's that description again:
>
>"One of the first signs of that outside amateur radio was the
>USA's creation of Class C and D CB in 1958. NO test of
>any kind, just a Restricted Radiotelephone license form needed
>for anyone to use the 22 channels (23rd shared with radio control).
>Excellent in large urban areas before the offshore products appeared
>about four years later and the trucking industry started buying them."
>
>> >Where did the excellence go, Len?
>>
>> It went to the Chevrolet auto dealer in Canoga Park, CA, as a
>> trade-in.
>
>Your cb radio was traded in at a car dealership?

Only the antenna and its lead-in. Went with the car. Dealer
liked that. I kept the Johnson Viking Messenger.

>> It was a 1953 Austin-Healey sports car with an
>> all-aluminum body (excellent ground plane).
>
>Terrible for magmounts, though.

I never said anything about "magmounts," Jimmie. "Magmounts" were
scarce in those days.

Maybe you'd like a nice image of that Austin-Healey? I have one.
Clearly shows the CB "shorty" (base-loaded) antenna.

>> That "Healey"
>> got me an introduction to my first wife. She persuaded me to
>> buy the replacement 1961 Impala Convertible. She was diagnosed
>> with cancer soon after and died after a year.
>
>I am sorry for your loss.

I can't believe that. YOU really know what it's like? Other
than reading about it in books?

After a long period of bachelorhood, I got re-acquainted with my
high-school sweetheart, also unattached. We were married and
are living together happily now. If you are going to ARGUE that
point, I can direct you to some of our old classmates who saw
and talked with us at our high school class reunion in 2001.

>That's from your post on the subject, a few days ago. No mention of a
>trade-in or your first wife. The excellence you wrote about was cb.

Jimmie, go get laid or something. You are going wayyyy too far
in criticsm. There are a LOT of things "I didn't mention" that
happened back then. Why do you want to hear them? You argue
and complain that "I tell too much [personal] information" yet
now you want to argue minutae about them?

>And the fact remains that cb quickly went downhill in the late 1960s
>and early 1970s - about the same time frame as what you describe.

No. You are describing LATER things than what happened here.

>> There, aren't you HAPPY over having a newsgroup "opponent" go
>> through difficulties?
>
>No. Not at all.

NO? That's odd. To see your postings in here, I am nothing but
trouble and affect you greatly.

>> Maybe you want an ice-cold emotionless
>> set of numbers of performance of the Viking Messenger now (I
>> still have that old radio)?
>
>Why?

Why NOT? You love to ARGUE minutae in posts. It would be a ripe
area for more harrassment, "questions," et al.

>> It still meets manufacturers' (and FCC) specifications.
>
>But I don't think you use it.

Why do I have to USE it?

>Your '53 Healey was a classic sports car. Maybe not the fastest or most
>powerful thing on four wheels but definitely a classic - and classy
>too. Fun in the tradition of a Triumph or MG.

Why do you care? You were NOT in the sports car culture of
southern California in the 50s and 60s. It doesn't concern
you. Your only "road race" was on foot. Probably never
heard of Watkins Glen either.

>I am somewhat surprised that you still have the Johnson Viking
>Messenger, though. The thing has *vacuum tubes*, right? (At least the
>early Messengers did).

So? I'm NOT ALLOWED to keep things?

>Of course the Johnson Viking Messenger is interesting from an
>historical POV because it was such a departure for the company. Instead
>of a big desk-crushing VFO transmitter, they built a compact
>lightweight transceiver of pretty good quality. And it still works!

How do you KNOW "it still works?" Aren't you going to CHALLENGE
my measurements of it? I'm "surprised" you haven't made

negative of that.

>So I guess it's not so surprising that for more than 40 years you've
>held onto one of the smallest Johnsons ever produced.

Davie Heil wants to talk about other "johnsons" (meaning
penises). Does that turn you ON? Go talk with Davie about
"small johnsons!" :-)

>> >Do you see how the same could happen to amateur radio?
>>
>> NOT at all.
>
>How can you can guarantee that what happened to cb cannot happen to
>amateur radio?

I didn't "GUARANTEE" anything, Jimmie. The future happens when
it happens.

>> 1. The 11 m amateur band of 1958 was taken away from amateurs
>> in the USA then, 47 years ago. It (and some other services)
>> were allocated to use part of it.
>
>That's right. FCC made a big mistake doing that - one they're still
>trying to deal with.

Why was that a "mistake?" Amateurs weren't using their former
11 meter band enough. The FCC is NOT "dealing with" CB now.

Why do you care? YOU were NOT INVOLVED with radio of any kind
in 1958. YOU were NOT AWARE of the FCC in 1958. YOU were NOT
AWARE of much of anything in 1958.

>> 2. NPRM 05-235 is about the MORSE CODE TEST for a United States
>> radio amateur license examination. That has NOTHING to do
>> with "CB."
>
>Not directly. But there is a definite connection.

Merde. You are busy, busy, busy with SUPPOSITIONS and your own
imagining, not to mention living in a PAST when you were NOT
INVOLVED in any radio.

>> 3. You try to connect (1) and (2) and there is NO possible
>> connection.
>
>Of course there's a possible connection. If the loss of the Morse Code
>test causes
>amateur radio to become more like cb, it will have a profound effect on
>*existing*
>amateur radio operators. If the amateur bands become like the cb
>channels, existing amateurs will be affected.

Waaaa...waaaa...The Sky Is Falling! YOUR "amateur world" will
cease to exist then, right? The "world as you know it" will
disappear!

>Maybe you want the amateur bands to become like the cb channels..

Maybe you want me to disappear, too, right? :-)

>> >Existing licensees can be profoundly affected by rules changes.
>>
>> Only MENTALLY.
>
>Nope. The effects can be much more. Do you want 40 meters to
>sound like the 40 cb channels?

How can you ask that? Are you forgetting I'm "not involved
in amateur radio," therefore I have "no business telling
amateur radio what to do?" I guess you have.

I'm "not allowed" to have any opinions on amateur radio,
according to yours and many other's messages in here.

>That's right. It's very unlikely that you'll ever become a licensed
>radio amateur. Your behavior proves it.

Waaa...waaaa..."Len opposes my godlike opinions in here so
he will NEVER become a radio amateur!"

BWAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>So the only way the elimination of the Morse Code test will
>affect you is mentally and emotionally.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. I have to be MOTIVATED for anything, right?

Gosh, Jimmie, I FAILED to get a ham license BEFORE I got into
professional radio-electronics! [Davie's RULE on motivation]
How about that? A FAILURE from the get-go, huh? :-)

>> Now you pretend to "know" the future as well as "motivation!"
>
>I'm saying it's very unlikely, that's all.

Make up your damn mind...first you say ABSOLUTES, now you
gear-switch to VAGUE CONDITIONALS.

>> NPRM 05-235 is about MORSE CODE TESTING, Jimmie, NOT just
>> about ME. The point about eliminating the morse code test,
>> other than making the regulations better,
>
>Who made you the judge about what is "better", Len?

Almighty God gave us humans a brain, Jimmie. WE humans
don't all think alike and God for sure didn't make YOU
supreme judge.

>> is to give ALL
>> those interested in amateur radio the OPPORTUNITY to get into
>> it without that old, outdated, arbitrary manual test for
>> morsemanship.
>
>All those interested have had the opportunity to "get into" amateur
>radio without a Morse Code test since February 14, 1991.

Halloween is over, Jimmie, remove the Marie Antoinette costume.

That's SUCH A TIRED CLICHE' you coders use. I was on HF first
in 1953 (before you were even conceived), legally, and with
more RF power output than amateurs were ever granted here.

Sweetums, I'm very tired of YOUR ASSUMED "superiority" and
your terribly CONDESCENDING "let them eat cake if they have
no bread" to peasants.

>But you haven't taken advantage of that opportunity, Len. Nor of the
>opportunity that has existed since 1990 to get full privileges with
>only a 5 wpm code test.

So? I had a FIRST CLASS Radiotelephone (Commercial) license.
I took the OPPORTUNITY to get one in one test session at an
FCC office in 1956...and then USED that in my aerospace
career in California. All you got was a 2nd Class. Tsk.
Not much "superiority" THERE, was it?

Well, heck and darn, you will put me down as a "failure"
because I had to go get the Commercial license FIRST, right?

BWAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> There will be NO effect on operating privileges of already-
>> licensed amateurs due to elimination of the morse code test.
>> NONE>
>
>Can you guarantee that?

No, I cannot "GUARANTEE" that. My Good Housekeeping Seal of
Approval wore out. :-)

Will existing Amateur Extras lose their license and/or
privileges? I doubt it. Same with Generals. Technicians
WILL have a chance to enjoy more of their hobby with
increased frequency privileges. Why are you so against
that? Why do you want to hold Techs in their present
Ghetto? Ah, you ENJOY being "elite" and looking down on
"inferiors!" :-)

>And it's not just operating privileges that are effects of such a
>change.
>
>Here's an analogy:

Oh, oh, the segue into the NON-APPLICABLE Miccolis "analogies!"

>You've told us of your house on Lanark Street - how much you paid for
>it, how much it's worth now, the nearby gated community, etc.

How can you BELIEVE that? You don't believe what I write!

>I've never been to your house but I've been in the area.

You KNOW everything, why am I not surprised? :-)

>It's a safe bet that your area is mostly single-family houses built
>after WW2, with little or no commercial development.

You could FIND OUT for sure by accessing the LA CITY website.
You are an experienced computer user, yes? You shoud be
able to instantly FIND OUT EXACTLY.

World War II ended in 1945, Jimmie. "My street" was developed
beginning in 1960.

> Also a safe bet that while there
>may not be many CC&Rs, the zoning probably prevents much diversity of
>development on your street.

You COULD find out for sure instead of IMAGINING. But, what
the heck, YOU never were to any zoning or developing meeting
in the Van Nuys "valley government" meetings, were you? I was.

> Little boxes on the hillside (actually at the foot of the hill...)

WRONG. "Little boxes" of 1400 to 2400 square feet on third-
acre land parcels, each one worth in excess of a half million
now. The difference in elevation between the "bottom" of the
hill and the "top" is about 450 feet, the "top" of the local
hill is 50 feet above that.

If you are trying to be "accurate" you've failed miserably.
I have topographic maps of my area. Do you?

>Now suppose someone bought some properties near you - say next door or
>across the street. And suppose they sought to tear down the existing
>houses and build new ones that would change things on Lanark Street.
>Suppose they wanted to put up multifamily townhomes, some as rentals
>and some as condos. Some retail space too. Of course that would
>probably take a zoning change.

Sweetums, this area has ALREADY HAD A ZONING CHANGE. Worse
for you, I was the speaker for our neighborhood back a decade
plus ago. Good speech but it didn't matter...the issue had
already been decided in favor of changing the "R" (Residential)
to "R-1" (Residential with limited multi-family). One in our
neighborhood affected found that out after the fact. The
developer requesting the change (for "senior citizen
apartments") ran out of money and couldn't start. We (us
neighbors) were at the meetings with the second developer

who did the walled community (single-family houses).

Do NOT tell ME what the zoning changes are in MY neighborhood
are, Jimmie. YOU are NOT INVOLVED here. If you need information,
just ask, don't make up stories.

>Would you support and accept that sort of change, Len?

I accepted it, as did my neighbors. We did NOT "support" it.

The neighbor who found out about the under-the-table zoning
change now works for our Councilwoman and has been at
neighborhood meetings with the government as organizer.

>After all, it
>would give a lot more people the opportunity to get into your
>neighborhood without the old, outdated, arbitrary necessity of a huge
>down payment and massive mortgage. It would be an end to the old
>arbitrary requirements of single-family houses, etc. It would not
>directly affect *your* house - you're already there, established, etc.
>The new rules would not touch your house. You wouldn't
>give up anything except your mental image of the neighborhood.

All of us at 840 feet MSL or lower (my back yard is 820 feet)
lost ALL the view we had. New homes were two-story.

>Would you oppose or support that change?

I already described what happened, dumb bass. Inject yourself
with your own hypotheses and "questions." They didn't apply to
me.

>Or suppose a licensed radio amateur moved in next door and wanted to
>put up a few 70 foot towers with big beams (like K8MN's). Would that be
>OK with you?

Yes. The FAA would get on their case before me. You forget where
your "analogies" are located. Look up BUR on a "sectional."

There's ALREADY an amateur two blocks up, WITH beams. Just not
at 70 foot height. Lower.

[you WILL reply "how much lower, Len?" as if that were somehow
'important! :-) ]

>Your postings here exceed mine in number and length, Len.
>You're describing yourself, not me.

Gosh, better STOP, right? Jimmie NO LIKE my postings!

>You're really emotionally invested in rrap....

Tsk, bad "investment." Isn't earning me any "interest."

All on "account" of some dumb bass making analogues that
don't apply and trying to keep amateur radio frozen in

the standards and practices of the 1930s.

>In fact, your *only* filing on that NPRM was those reply comments,
>wasn't it? And despite your years of experience in computer-modem
>communications, you couldn't manage to submit via ECFS back
>then, even though thousands of us figured it out.

Whoooo-eeee...you are REALLY in a snit about 1998 issues!

>> That was over six years ago.
>
>So what? You repeatedly bring up stuff that's much older and even
>less relevant.

Sweetums, it wasn't ME who brought up (or brings up) those
old, old WT Docket 98-143 comments. Tsk, tsk, my Scorecard
is on Docket 05-235. 2005, not 1998.

>> I have NOT pursued
>> that since except for the accusations leveled at me in
>> here.
>
>What "accusations", Len? The facts were presented and you confirmed
>them. You even accused ARRL and some VEs of fraud and dishonest
>over the licensing of some young amateurs.

My "score card" postings don't mention that, Jimmie.

If you can't get your analogies in order, PLEASE try to
refrain from dredging up the PAST. It won't help whatever
you think your case IS.

>You weren't at the VE session, don't know any of the people involved,
>and yet you accused others of fraud.

Tsk, tsk. My OPINIONS about those six-year-old licensees
remain the SAME, sweetums. DEAL WITH IT. :-)

>And you claimed that because, in *your* opinion, 6-year-olds could not
>understand the material on the test, no one under the age of 14 should
>be allowed to hold an amateur radio license.

Who is doing the "dredging up," Jimmie? :-)

>Truth is, you've repeatedly defended your position on the issue.
>You didn't "drop it".

Wow...poor baby, STILL arguing and re-arguing the PAST!

>Now you *could* come out and say it was a bad idea and that
>there should be no age requirements for an amateur radio license.

Awwww....you want me to say "sowwy?" :-)

Jimmie, DROP this old argument. It has NO BEARING on NPRM 05-143.

>> You DO? Okay,
>> I'll try to hound you about NEVER serving your country in
>> the military
>
>You do that already. I've never claimed any military service.

Then why did you claim all that "knowledge" about being IN the
military, Jimmie?

>> every time you make some pontifical remark
>> showing your "military expertise."
>
>That would be never, Len. Because I don't claim "expertise" at
>anything.

Ho. Ho. Ho. YES, you do! :-)

>I do know a few things, though, and it really seems to tick you
>off when one of your mistakes is pointed out. Like the in-service
>dates of Soviet Bear bombers....

Jimmie, Jimmie, Jimmie, I acknowledged that I DID confuse
the existance of that type of Soviet aircraft at the time
involved. EXACT AIRCRAFT DETAILS of the entire Cold War
wasn't a career specialty of mine in aerospace.

You want to microscope-focus on minutae in order to show a
poster is "mistaken" and therefore of no value whatsoever
in these non-discussions. :-)

DID the USSR have bombers capable of reaching from Kamchatka
to the Kanto Plain on Honshu in Japan in the mid-1950s? Yes,
they DID. Both the USA and USAF had anti-aircraft measures
for such events. Did the USSR have an air-deployable nuclear
weapon in that same time? Yes, it did. Was I stationed in
Tokyo in that time? Yes, I was. Was I involved in contingency
plans and drilling for same at that time? Yes, I was. I'll
not argue the TYPE of Soviet aircraft the USSR MIGHT have used
in the mid-1950s to deliver any nukes. None were dropped.

But, WHAT DOES IT MATTER on the type of Soviet aircraft
involved then? YOU were NOT INVOLVED. YOU were NEVER IN
THE MILITARY!

>> >But you have "a problem" with 13 year olds getting amateur radio
>> >licenses.
>>
>> No.
>
>Then you're saying there should not be any age requirement for an
>amateur radio license.

Tsk, tsk. I'm NOT dragging up 6 1/2 year old arguements
in here. :-)

>> I have a "problem" with mental 13-year-olds in here
>> posing as adults
>
>It's not all about you, Len.

Ha. Ha. Ha. More "civil discourse" there, Jimmie? :-)

>> and who ask all sorts of inane "questions"
>> (that were already answered a priori) and make all kinds of
>> accusations...all of which may be summed up as HECKLING.
>
>Not by any reasonable definition of heckling.

Jimmie never do wrong! No sir, NOT Jimmie. Jimmie dredge
up old stuff to re-argue, re-hash, try to vainly win.

>> >I'm not the one recommending an age requirement for a US amateur radio
>> >license. You are.
>>
>> I DID. I dropped it after 13 January 1999.
>
>Yet you kept on defending it.
>
>> That was OVER SIX YEARS ago.
>
>Your defense of it is a lot more recent.
>>
>> You are STILL OFFENDED over that!
>
>Not me. I just think it's a really bad idea.

Waaa...waaaa...Jimmie keeps on. Must have fresh Energizers...

>> So...maybe you LIKE talking to 13-year-olds on the radio using
>> radiotelegraphy that makes all age clues meaningless?
>
>Why, yes! I enjoy using Morse Code to communicate with hams of
>all ages. I've worked 10 year olds on Morse Code and 90+
>year olds too. I've Elmered hams of all ages, too.

Is THAT what it's called now?!?

>What matters to me is the person on the other end of the QSO, what
>they have to say, and how much fun the whole process is. The other
>ham's age is of vanishing importance to me.

Tsk, tsk...you sound like Captain Code.

You like talking to little boys, Jimmie?

>> Does that satisfy some internal desires of yours?
>
>Working other hams is a lot of fun for me. This weekend is the CW SS.
>I intend to work a lot of other hams using Morse Code. For fun.

Enjoy. Keep advancing the state of the amateur art.

Keep the electronics trades knowledgeable about your progress.

>> "I'm just asking some questions."
>
>Yep. Is that wrong?

I'm just giving you answers. Is that wrong? :-)

>> >That's right. Your postings are fair game for comment and
>> >question by others. They are not somehow sacred and unimpeachable. They
>> >are not immune to question and/or debate.
>>
>> Wow! You've FINALLY gotten the picture, Judge! :-)
>
>> Tsk, tsk. You forgot to add in the part where, if YOU ask
>> someone something they MUST answer or you will make tens
>> of thousands of words in messaging if you don't get the
>> answers you WANT! :-)
>
>That's what *you* do, Len.

In this thread I was just posting a near-daily tally of the
filings and their content on WT Docket 05-235. YOU turned it
into minor-league Spanish Inquisition stuff with your
"questions" and old, old arguments from the past on very
different subjects.

>> >> Poor Jimmie? Double-degreed "engineer" and you can't MAKE
>> >> ENOUGH to spend over $100 on a rig? :-)
>> >
>> >It really *is* all about money to you, Len.
>>
>> Oh, oh, the commie sympathizer comes out of his closet in PA?
>
>"Commie sympathizer"? That's almost funny! You really are clueless
>if you'd call me that.

I was just asking a question... :-)

See that funny hook-like punctuation mark? That's called a
"question mark." That denotes a Question.

>> Well, that's a sure indication YOU don't have as much money
>> as YOU want. :-)
>
>Who does? Do you?

Yes. :-)

>I have enough.

How much is "enough?" Your answers aren't clear.

> That's what's important. I'm also very wealthy in
>things that cannot be bought.

Tsk. Better get to the market. Your "milk of human kindness"
turned sour some time ago...

>> Yup...and it shows you HATE professionals for some reason.
>
>Not me. I am one!

Prove it.

>> Odd, because you claim to BE a professional in electronics.
>
>Where?

That's what *I* was asking, dumb bass. :-)

>I am a professional in electrical engineering. There's a lot more
>to EE than "electronics".

Where do you DO this "professional" stuff, Jimmie?

>> Us readers don't know WHERE since you won't mention your
>> employer...not here, not to the FCC.
>
>Why should I? Would it make any difference? Or would it simply
>be something else for you to insult and denigrate?

Now, now, you should be PROUD of what you do. If you were really
PROUD, then you wouldn't mind revealing your employer.

Now if you had something to HIDE from your employer, that would
be different. Also be hypocritical.

>Perhaps it's time to repost your classic "sphincters post", where you
>denigrated and insulted the military service experiences of a US Coast
>Guard radio operator.

Perhaps you need a tranquilizer instead? You are getting all
wrought
up about subjects that don't have anything to do with Docket 05-235.

Jeffie-poo is probably too busy "lecturing" at the "university" to
come in here and grapple with the no-code bottom feeders... :-)

USCG has STOPPED monitoring the 500 KHz frequency, Jimmie. Really.

>> I'm "all about money?"
>
>I didn't say that.
>
>I wrote:
>
>"It really *is* all about money to you, Len."

Why do you say that?

>And apparently, it is. Your behavior confirms it.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, you argue minutae over sentence structure? Would
it be better if I wrote it in morse code?

Tsk, tsk. I'm not getting paid to write all this stuff, Jimmie.
:-)

>> Not really. Got some. Spent some.
>> Dropped $1100 last week at CompUSA-GoodGuys for a 27" HD
>> LCD flat panel TV this week and it works just dandy.
>
>See? There you go. Gotta mention what you bought and how much it
>cost.

No, I don't "gotta." My wife and I have been enjoying the
pictures and programs on that TV. We have digital cable
service, Jimmie, over 150 channels of a great variety of
programs.

Tsk, tsk, I guess if one is "anti-code" then one can't mention
(evil?) money? :-)

LCD and plasma panel HD-ready TVs might be starting to come
down in price. DTV is the FUTURE, Jimmie. FCC said so.
Telegraphy is the PAST. ARRL don't want to admit that, but
it IS.

>You sure seem to be one of those people who consider "net worth" and
>"personal worth" to be synonymous....

Nothing "synonymous" there, Jimmie. We planned for it, got it.

It ain't braggin'.

Remember "It ain't braggin' if ya done it"? :-)

>> [it
>> doesn't do morse code so I guess you wouldn't be interested
>> in it]
>
>Doesn't make the programs any better, though. Mostly JUNK on TV...

Oooooooo! A glimpse into the Dark Side of the netherworld's
TV critics! Are you a counterpart of Ebert or Roeper? :-)

Wow! Remember who once said "I've just GOT to get cable!" :-)

>> >> I want the FCC to make NPRM 05-143 into a Report and Order...without
>> >> changes to the basic precepts in the NPRM.
>> >
>> >Why? Those changes won't affect you.
>>
>> They MAY.

>
>Very unlikely.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...can you "GUARANTEE" that? :-)

>> They WILL affect all those who desire to enter HF
>> amateur radio without taking a code test.
>
>So? You're not one of them.

Neither are most of the staff and all of the Commissioners at the
FCC! They MAKE the U.S. amateur radio regulations, Jimmie.

>> Other than mentally, emotionally, how will the removal of the
>> morse code test affect YOU?
>
>If it damages the amateur radio service, it affects me.

"Damages?" Tsk, tsk. Who made YOU "judge" of what is "damaged?"

Don't you have any other hobby besides radiotelegraphy? And
making some of the longest "I'm only asking 'question'" posts
in the history of newsgrouping... :-)

>> It won't remove any of your
>> operating privileges, won't make you re-test.
>
>I'm not afraid of any retest, Len.

Big, brave mighty macho morsemen are NEVER "afraid," Jimmie.

Was your "courage" challenged? I don't think so. Of course,
you NEVER served in the military of your country, took NO
risks that might possibly hurt you. We should "respect" you
more for that, right? Took LOTS of "courage" to stay OUT.

>You seem to be afraid of any test, though. Or any question.

Taken - and passed all - REAL Tests, Jimmie. No fear.

Even this long one. I've ANSWERED all your questions, haven't I?

"All you were doing was asking questions!"

All I did was answer those "questions," and simply ask a few
of my own. You haven't answered all of my previous questions
and I doubt you will answer any from this reply. Tsk, tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 268. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 06:29:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1130999387.858364.101090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122783

From: Frank Gilliland on Nov 2, 4:43 pm

>On 2 Nov 2005 14:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
><1130969900.581047.3...@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
><snip>
>
>> I suggest they both go see the premiere of the new film "Jarhead"
>> (as a couple). They can both get some emotional espirit d'corps.
>> Dudly can use the film's fiction for better embroidery of his
>> "hostile actions." Davie can get the emotional thrill of doing
>> battle "in-country" that ended over three decades ago. That
>> will get them all fired up to throw more hissy fits against other
>> veterans of the U.S. military who don't agree with their personal
>> opinions.
>
>Well, while Davie and Dudly and all their their sock puppets are busy
>reminiscing about their Hollywood-forged military careers, I'm taking
>a few days to winterize the homestead. We are long overdue for a hard
>winter and I want to be ready. It's that "Semper Paratus" thing.....

Gotcha, Frank. Have fun on the homestead work.

Davie Heil don't wanna talk about his military daze. He
wants to talk about "little johnsons." Tsk, tsk, and I
thought he didn't get turned on by THAT. Takes all kinds!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 269. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 07:03:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131001433.304693.309410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122784

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 12:29:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 2 Nov 2005 10:13:54 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 2 Nov 2005 09:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >> >> >> in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >> >> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >> >> >> >> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> <snip>
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >>>I'd imagine
> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
> >> >> >> >and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > So...Guess that "nobody here likes you" thing was WRONG, eh,
> >> >> >Frankie?
> >> >>
> >> >> nobdoy does that was just Dave
> >> >
> >> > "nobody"
> >> >
> >> > "just Dave".
> >> >
> >> > So now YOU are calling Dave a "nobody"..?!?!
> >>
> >> yes I am
> >
> > That's DOUBLE freakin hillarious!
>
> glad you enjoyed it

Who said I "enjoyed" it...?!?!

I said it was "hillarious".

> >> > THAT'S FREAKIN' HILLARIOUS!
> >>
> >> glad you enjoyed it
> >
> > On, no, Markie...we're laughing AT you, not WITH you!
>
> either way gald you enjoyed it

"glad"

I didn't say I enjoyed it...I said it was hillarious.

> >> > Please, Markie...provide us with some references to various
> >> >Amateur Radio related discussions in Amateur Radio related journals
> >> >that highlight you, Frankie, Brain, or any of the rest of your
> >> >"circle"?
> >>
> >> no thanks
> >
> > Uhhhh..."no thanks" was the wrong answer.
>
> bull@@@@ it was the right answer

No, it was a direct "evasion".

YOU claim that Dave Heil is a "nobody", yet I can find numerous
references to him in all of the major Amateur Radio journals over tha
last 10-12 years...I find NO SUCH references to you or any of the rest
of your "circle".

> > "Not able" was.
> >
> >> but Dave is a nobody as are you
> >
> > Whelp...There you have it...Frankie AND Markie opting for the
> >"stinging personal insult" in the face of no other options to persue!
>
> more lying by Stevie...(SNIP)

Nope. You called Dave a "nobody" when I can readily find HIS name
in numerous references in Amateur Radio related publications. And at
least I've been quoted twice in "CQ" magazine, had several letters
published in CQ and QST, and have my name in such references as "DXCC"
and the contest scores over the years.

I find NO such references to KB9RQZ in any of them.

> he must be very afarid these days with the way he
> goes on and on and on about things

I see you're back to delusional episodes, Markie.

> >> anybody that is such lying hypocrite as you 2 ceratinly qualifies as
> >> nobody in my book
> >
> > The problem for YOU is that you've proved NEITHER of those
> >assertions!
>
> proved em both, to any one with any objectivity

No, you've not. Not by a long stretch.

> but that does let you out I know
>
> nor do I have to prove em to form the opinion
>
> it is also no problem ME at all

More random words in search of order, Markie.

Try E N G L I S H......

> >> > On the OTHER hand, I can find quite a few articles in various
> >> >publications over the last 10 years that mention Dave Heil in nothing
> >> >but glowing terms....
> >>
> >> so?
> >>
> >> he advocates poor ham practice by his own words
> >
> > No he doesn't.
>
> sure does we had that arguement before all you did was keeping
> claiming he did not over and over again

Because HE didn't.

> not a spread of proof on your part of course

"...a spread of proof..."

Must be an Upper Penninsula thing....

> pruning shear out again

> >> >> > No, you did NOT "do it well", save perhaps in YOUR imagination.
> >> >>
> >> >> wether he did or did not is something YOU don't know Stevie
> >> >
> >> > Sure it is!
> >>
> >> nope
> >
> > Sure it is!
> >
> > To state otherwise is for YOU to call Frankie a liar!
>

> not a tall

"not a tall"...?!?!

So..Frankie's only...what? 5'3"...?!?!

> ctuing stevie repating himself

"cutting" "repeating"

More of your censorship and issues you do not want to address, is
what yoiu mean.

> >> > That's the same as YOU getting hauled into General Sessions Court,
> >> >Markie...And Frankie's probably lucky that the Armed Forces' practice
> >> >is to just let idiots like him go at the end of their enlsitment rather
> >> >than putting them in the brig...It's far chaper to do it taht way...And
> >> >he now has two FEDERAL convictions on his record.
> >>
> >> so?
> >
> > I see criminal records are your favorite kind.
>
> another Stevie lie

Nope.

You think Frankie's court martials are "nothing". They FEDERAL
convictions, regardless of whether he was "found guilty" or "pled
guilty".

> it is true I don't see having a criminal record as the end of the
> world or as something akin to treason

No...I won't go as far as calling Frankie's conduct treason, nor
the end of the world...However >>HE<< tries to make issue of MY
military service when to call HIS service "less than adequate" would be
giving him one huge benefit of the doubt.

> >> >> > Marines with TWO courts martials in one tour are NOT "good"
> >> >> >Marines.
> >> >>
> >> >> and who appointed you judge?
> >> >
> >> > Four Commanding Offiers that effected my promotions to Private
> >> >First Class through Sergeant, and the Commandant of the Marine Corps on
> >> >my promotions to Staff Sergeant and Gunnery Sergeant.
> >>
> >> another lie
> >>
> >> they did not appoint you judge
> >
> > Sure they did.
>

> prove it

Six documents signed by four commanding officers and two
Commandants addressed to me that start off with the words 'To All Those
Who Shall See These Presents Greetings'.....

Those certificates of appointment (better known in the Corps as
"promotion warrants") gave me the authority to make those decisons.
That's why the Marine Corps has the widest Enlisted-to-Officer ratio of
all the services.

> stevie lie stevie get cut off and find his typing was wasted

No, it wasn't wasted.

Your feeble attempts to act as censor substantiated their efforts
as valid, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

## 270. "Scorecard" On Markie's Rallying Call To Arms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 07:41:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131003678.143971.114450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122785

Markie starts off with...:

QUOTE:

Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
gather

Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual

Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up

to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
lying on Stevie part

in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
seemed most important to the person I was speaking to

Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
witness tampering

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

UNQUOTE:

Let's see how they are rallying to Markie's effort

QUOTE FROM FRANK GILLILAND

Frank Gilliland
Oct 31, 1:57 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: Frank Gilliland <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> - Find messages by
this author
Date: Mon, 31 Oct 2005 11:57:59 -0800
Local: Mon, Oct 31 2005 1:57 pm
Subject: Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:53:10 -0500, kb9...@amsat.org wrote in
<fapcm1dlqu1o61mc9r5tkcj9anl0hj7...@4ax.com>:

>Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>gather

>Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
>mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
>this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual

Don't ask me to take any shred of responsibility for your actions.
Dudly's posts are a matter of public record and nobody needs my
address in order to read them. And you should rethink your intention
of getting him booted from his job -- although he's a nitwit and a
pathological liar, he might just be a damn fine LPN.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

UNQUOTE

There's one "NO".

QUOTE FROM BRIAN BURKE

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com
Oct 31, 7:36 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com - Find messages by this author
Date: 31 Oct 2005 17:36:52 -0800
Local: Mon, Oct 31 2005 7:36 pm
Subject: Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> gather

> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual

> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up

> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> lying on Stevie part

> in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
> Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
> seemed most important to the person I was speaking to

> Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
> witness tampering

I don't think so.

Steve has been warned away from visiting my home, and that's good
enough for me at this time.

His anti-everything comments are public record. Ditto his paranoid
"liar behind every tree" fantasy. Google provides.

Being the prolific poster that he is, he's probably made hundreds if
not thousands of posts from his employers computers. If they don't
already know what kind of idiot they have on "thier" hands by now they
never will.

UNQUOTE

There's TWO "no's"

QUOTE AGAIN FROM FRANK

Frank Gilliland
Oct 31, 7:38 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> - Find messages by
this author
Date: Mon, 31 Oct 2005 17:38:40 -0800
Local: Mon, 31 Oct 2005 7:38 pm
Subject: Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 15:53:29 -0500, kb9...@amsat.org wrote in
<0t0dm1h16kak8bk0gffv38v3bd8ftv0...@4ax.com>:

>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 11:57:59 -0800, Frank Gilliland
><wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote:

>>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:53:10 -0500, kb9...@amsat.org wrote in
>><fapcm1dlqu1o61mc9r5tkcj9anl0hj7...@4ax.com>:

>>>Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>>>and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>>>gather

>>>Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
>>>mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide

>>>this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual

>>Don't ask me to take any shred of responsibility for your actions.
>>Dudly's posts are a matter of public record and nobody needs my
>>address in order to read them. And you should rethink your intention
>>of getting him booted from his job -- although he's a nitwit and a
>>pathological liar, he might just be a damn fine LPN.

>not asking you to take a shred of responibility

Good, because I won't.

>The paper work is already underway like I said I could use you
>privding an addres in case they want to question you as a WITNESS or
>you refusal

I thought I was clear about this: I am no more a "witness" than anyone
else who can read the archives, which I hope would include the nursing
board members. Regardless, I don't think you will be reading too many
new posts from Dudly for at least a few months -- that is, unless he's
really, really dumb.

>The action is at my doing

Yes it is. Let's keep it that way.

>I don't think he can be trusted to treat his pateints properly with
>the hate filled lies he spews

>all I am asking is to privde thee address or flat out refuse I was
>aked to do by the Nursing board I honestly don't care which choice you
>take

If the nursing board feels my input is important, they can contact me
directly through my email address.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
=----

UNQUOTE

There's a reaffirmation of the FIRST "no", and pretty darned clear
to me.

QUOTE FROM LENNIE ANDERSON

LenAnder...@ieee.org
Nov 1, 3:48 pm show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: LenAnder...@ieee.org - Find messages by this author

Date: 1 Nov 2005 13:48:53 -0800
Local: Tues, Nov 1 2005 3:48 pm
Subject: Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 5:36 pm

>kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
>> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
>> gather

BIG SNIP DUE TO WINDY PONTIFICATIONS, BUT HERE'S THE "MONEY SHOT"

Mark is on his own.

UNQUOTE

There's three "NO'S" and one rather adamant reaffrimation of a NO.

We have yet to hear from Todd.

So... 75% of Markie's support base have told him no, and told him so publically.

Let's see if Don Quixotie still wants to tilt at those windmills.

Steve, K4YZ

## 271. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 09:55:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131011750.846033.170400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

an_old_friend wrote:
> Card XII wrote:
> > <kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
> > news:bd7im11lrda1pvev5ubgcji02ut44r90bc@4ax.com...
> > > Hi there
> > > I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago, have
> > > largely learned
> > > to live with it by now (I am 34 yo and have a BA)
> > > Having gotten back to
> > > dealing Ham Radio, I am back to dealing with Morse
> > > to at least recieve
> > > a bit of and to docment today, my dyslexia, which
> > > would allow both
> > > various changes in the Testing requirements. I
> > > need refences to to
> > > help point my doctor ( an allergist) toward a test
> > > or three that would
> > > support the fact that I still have dyslyexia today
> > > (FCC dysbility
> > > requierments don't seem to realize that some
> > > things really don't get
> > > much better with time) Idealy one that will lend
> > > some support to the
> > > idea that I still have it, but are not too
> > > expensive to for him to
> > > order given to me
> >
> > There are no tests your allergist could use.
> >
> > If you want the question answered, you need to go see a licensed
> > psychologist or licensed school psychologist. "licensed" is key.
> >
> > Not awfully expensive, and should get you good answers.
>
> someon is choosing to repost some old posts of mine

Yes, they are.

And I might add that CARD XII's suggestion of a "psychologist" for
your problems was al ill-informed as your attempt to use an
"allergist".

Had you taken my advice years ago and followed-up with a
NEUROLOGIST you might have already been an Extra or General.

Steve, K4YZ

## 272. "Re: Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 09:58:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131011893.108227.33940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122787

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 17:19:34 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1130980774.193141.15210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Steve, you really, really hate it when others behave as you do. Don't
> >sweat it, though. Few have the complete lack of conscience that it
> >takes to keep it up for very long.
>
> OUCH!!! Remind me never to piss -you- off!

Especially since Brain is in the same category that he tried to
put me in, Frankie....

Steve, K4YZ

## 273. "Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 10:01:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131012075.546488.188540@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122788

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 12:37:18 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 2 Nov 2005 09:03:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> cut
> >>
> >> You tried to involve the the State of Michigan in such a dispute
> >>
> >> and with less cause of action
> >
> > Nope. With PLENTY of cause.
>
> no casue what so ever

"cause"

Wrong.

> flsuhing the rest of the trash

You're commiting suicide in the commode?

Steve, K4YZ

## 274. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 10:02:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131010097.224500.195530@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

an_old_friend wrote:
> Card XII wrote:
> > <kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
> > news:bd7im11lrda1pvev5ubgcji02ut44r90bc@4ax.com...
> > > Hi there
> > > I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago...(SNIP)

We've seen it before...It was funny then, it's funny now, but worn
out...

> > There are no tests your allergist could use.
> >
> > If you want the question answered, you need to go see a licensed
> > psychologist or licensed school psychologist. "licensed" is key.
> >
> > Not awfully expensive, and should get you good answers.
>
> someon is choosing to repost some old posts of mine

Yes, they are...And CARD XII's suggestion of a "psychologist" was
as ill-informed as YOUR initial discussions about your
"allergist"...(Although you COULD use one, but not for getting a "Morse
Code waiver...)

Perhaps if you'd followed up with a NEUROLOGIST as I had suggested
years ago, you'd have your General or Extra by now...

Steve, K4YZ

## 275. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 10:06:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131005344.769693.171890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

an_old_friend wrote:
> Card XII wrote:
> > <kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
> > news:bd7im11lrda1pvev5ubgcji02ut44r90bc@4ax.com...
> > > Hi there
> > > I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago, have
> > > largely learned
> > > to live with it by now (I am 34 yo and have a BA)
> > > Having gotten back to
> > > dealing Ham Radio, I am back to dealing with Morse
> > > to at least recieve
> > > a bit of and to docment today, my dyslexia, which
> > > would allow both
> > > various changes in the Testing requirements. I
> > > need refences to to
> > > help point my doctor ( an allergist) toward a test
> > > or three that would
> > > support the fact that I still have dyslyexia today
> > > (FCC dysbility
> > > requierments don't seem to realize that some
> > > things really don't get
> > > much better with time) Idealy one that will lend
> > > some support to the
> > > idea that I still have it, but are not too
> > > expensive to for him to
> > > order given to me
> >
> > There are no tests your allergist could use.
> >
> > If you want the question answered, you need to go see a licensed
> > psychologist or licensed school psychologist. "licensed" is key.
> >
> > Not awfully expensive, and should get you good answers.
>
> someon is choosing to repost some old posts of mine

It doesn't matter...

Your "allergist's" opinion wouldn't have held water, and "CARD
XII's" suggestions of a psychologist was equally incorrect.

Now...Had your followed up with a NEUROLOGIST as I had suggested
years ago, you might have your General or Extra today.....

Steve, K4YZ

## 276. Re: blast from the past...(etc etc) WHERE'S the Hipocracy, Markie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 10:09:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131012560.883992.306410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122791

I'm not quote sure from the illiterate jibberish in Markie's
subject line, but I am sure he was refering to this...

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Steve Robeson K4YZ Dec 14 2001, 8:34 am show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
> Date: 14 Dec 2001 13:34:29 GMT
> Local: Fri, Dec 14 2001 8:34 am
> Subject: It's Time To Demand A Re-Vamp of the FCC
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> | Report Abuse

SNIP to pertinent quote

> I was always of the opinion and understanding that once time was
> served,
> one's "debt to society" was paid and they were reassimilated into that
> society.
> We are obviously NOT that society if we continue to punish people
> AFTER their
> time is served.

UNQUOTE

Two points, Markie...First of all the post you cite is about the
FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ignoring it's prohibition of subjecting a citizen to
double jeopardy...Not "discussion points" in a USENET forum.

Secondly, Frankie's courts martials are part-and-parcel of these
discussions because >>HE<< brought them into it...Had he not made them
public, I wouldn't have had a clue...

So..Where's the hipocracy? He brought it up, not me.

Steve, K4YZ

## 277. Re: past K1MAN doing the same stuff as Current K4YZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 10:15:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131012930.854533.92700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122792

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> been going stevie #### (not pleasennt reading)...(SNIP)

Obviously it is for you, since you're creating one new thread after another quoting old posts, Markie.

> looking for stuff to
> forward to Borad of nursing I notice Stevie has sent a lot of time
> accsuing others of felonies, didn't K1MAN get started in his campaigns
> of making himself disliked by many ham with some kind of felony
> avidaad

"Board" "Nursing"

And what the heck was "avidaad" supposed to be?

No...K1MAN got himself "disliked" because he's an idiot.

> it struck me that prehaps the same sort of response might work on
> Stevie who is often acusing others of comiting felonies

"accusing" "commiting"

What "response"...?!?!

And K1MAN's "accusations" were in the form of look-alike "subpoenas" that he mailed out, ergo violating federal law by misusing the mail service.

Steve, K4YZ

## 278. Re: Tracy Fort is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 10:34:54 UTC
From: Beerbelly <xxx@xxx.xxx>
Message-ID: <gtpjm15pf6j0q8dg900g0ngk0l18el01s8@4ax.com>
Source record: 122793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

hahahahaha......Can't you come up with your own material?

On Thu, 03 Nov 2005 01:59:39 GMT, m II <None@owl.nest.org> wrote:

>Beerbelly wrote:
>
>
>> mII is slightly gayer!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer
>victim, who happened to be my mother:
>
>The drunk has so far posted:
>
>
>
>==========
>==========
>I'm not drunk. I haven't finished my first 12 pak yet.
>I'll get there and when I do it's back to the cemetary.
>
>She gives great cancerous BJ's.....thanks Canucky boy!
>
>How far north did the Katrina effect? I saw someone's mom floatin down
>the mighty Mississippi!
>
>Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before
>she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't
>complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those
>foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.
>
>love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones
>
>rattllin' bones!
>
>squeek...squeek...
>
>Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder
>is she stunk that bad in life?

>
>hahahaha....I'm still laughin' at your sorry ass family! This is funny
>stuff!
>
>She is very fresh but not that fresh!
>
>hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....
>
>um...umm....good!
>
>I love it....did she do it for you too?
>
>Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not
>toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I
>will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the
>hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the
>plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky
>substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
>please feel free to include this post in your sig file!
>
>You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!
>
>Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
>Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
>I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and
>she began to come alive!
>I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all
>the day.
>
>Pork and bones....
>
>DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!
>
>The most fun I ever had!
>
>Sporting a new moniker (GraveDigger) just for you and mommy...
>
>He knows the inside of his mom's boney butt! hahahaha
>
>hahahaha.....when you got thru lickin I was stickin.....
>
>M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
>250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
>Robins ANG,
>GA. 31098-2009
>
>beerbarrel@cox.net
>
>http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD
>

>==========
>==========
>
>This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology
>to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more
>about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and
>hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of
>the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit
>money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact.
>
>It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone
>caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics
>Anonymous to him. They may be able to help him restore his self respect
>and dignity so he stops posting this drunken garbage on the internet.
>

## 279. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie in sane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 10:36:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131014213.296642.277700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122794

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 10:26:03 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 31 Oct 2005 06:44:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >> > > ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
> >> >> > > Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
> >> >> > > thought folks might enjoy the laugh
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
> >> >> > > Date: 1998/09/28
> >> >> > > Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
> >> >> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> >> >> > > | Report Abuse
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Yikes!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Well,
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
> >> >> > > forward,
> >> >> > > no-holds barred personal I ever read!
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > > SR
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Thought Steve was married and all that?
> >> >>
> >> >> so did I as I said I was looking the google archives searching the best
> >> >> evidence to use against him and that post just jumped out at me
> >> >
> >> > Sorry to break your hearts, guys, but the hacking of that account
> >> >was why it was dropped.
> >>
> >> of course you get to use that excuse
> >
> > No "excuse", Markie...Fact...
>
> so you say

>
> but in going the Google archive I found a lie from in whcih you claim
> abortion is Illgeal that is LIE
>
> you lie so much not many people believe you

Uhhh..yeeeeah....Right.

> >> But I just thought it was funny and thought the folks here would a
> >> laugh
> >
> > What laugh?
>
> Brain and Len seem to enjoy it and they were laughing at you

Brain and Lennie spend a LOT of time laughing at themselves,
Markie.

> > At you? Then you succeeded!
> >
> >> > Markie...if that's the BEST you can do to use something "against"
> >> >me, then you're even LAMER than I gave you credit for.
> >>
> >> it is all you use against me to make some of your charges
> >
> > You ARE lame, Markie...In spades!
>
> more empty talk

Nope.

Facts.

> >> > However, re-reading your post, I have to ask...
> >> >
> >> > WHERE in that post did ANYone using my old screen name actually
> >> >"participate" in the post other than to ask that question, as stupid as
> >> >it was?
> >> >
> >> > Do you REALLY think that that's some sort of "evidence"...?!?!
> >>
> >> you think a post from a hacked account is evidence against others
> >
> >> > Youse guys are grabbing at some really, REALLY thin straws!
> >>
> >> I was pointing out something funny
> >>
> >> you are going all paranoid about it
> >
> > There's no "paranoia" to it, Markie! Theres's YOU trying to find
> >ANYthing you can to get the heat off of YOUR stupidity...Ain't workin',
> >Buckaroo!

>
> I was and still am combing though the morroas of your lies for stuff
> to send to the Nursing Board about you lying

"morroas"...?!?!? I'm not een going to fathom a guess on that
one...

> the personal post I founf and thought the folks here would enjoy it

Why? What was funny or humorous about it?

Where was a "relationship" or other extramarital activity suggested
or solicited by me?

> I know nothing will stop you trashathon against me , the truth len
> Brain Todd and frank and anybody else that offends you

Yet more randomly collected words in search of order, Markie...Try
again...

Steve, K4YZ

## 280. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 10:40:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131014425.688375.167930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122795

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > I am somewhat surprised that you still have the Johnson Viking
> > Messenger, though. The thing has *vacuum tubes*, right? (At least the
> > early Messengers did).
> >
> > Of course the Johnson Viking Messenger is interesting from an
> > historical POV because it was such a departure for the company. Instead
> > of a big desk-crushing VFO transmitter, they built a compact
> > lightweight transceiver of pretty good quality. And it still works!
> >
> > So I guess it's not so surprising that for more than 40 years you've
> > held onto one of the smallest Johnsons ever produced.
>
> If a tiny Johnson is what you have, a tiny Johnson is what you use. You
> have to work with what you've got. I'm sure that Len's Johnson has been
> gathering dust for some years now though.

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Dave wins the "Made Me Snort My Coffee Backwards Because I Was
Laughing So Hard" award!

kaaaaaaaaaaa-BAM!

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 281. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 10:54:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131015255.098995.310810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122796

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
>
> As of 31 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
> Elimination/Retention tabulation:
>
> [corrected version of previous posting for 31 October due to
> 81 additional entries made by FCC on 1 November]
>
> ALL to Date Since FR Notice
> -------------- ---------------
> Grand Total 3680 1698
>
> Indeterminate (note 1) 240 127
>
> Value for Percentages 3440 1571
>
> Against NPRM (note 2) 1133 [32.94%] 574 [36.54%]
> For NPRM (note 3) 1697 [49.33%] 661 [42.08%]
> Test Extra Only (note 4) 610 [17.73% 336 [21.39%]
>
> Tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 9 PM EDT 1 Nov 05.

Snip

Let's make the math easier:

> Against NPRM (note 2) 1133 [32.94%] 574 [36.54%]
> Test Extra Only (note 4) 610 [17.73%] 336 [21.39%]

--------
------------
50.67%
57.93%

> For NPRM (note 3) 1697 [49.33%] 661 [42.08%]

> The future of U.S. amateur radio is being made, like it or not.

If the FCC were mandated to accept the simple majority of
comments, either way you look at it, Morse Code testing (in the United
Staes) in one form or another would be staying for some time to come.

Steve, K4YZ

## 282. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:05:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131015920.726354.67700@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122797

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options
> >
> > > > Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
> > > > of lies
> > > > on my part.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > We'll wait right here.
> >
> > > Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you may
> > > send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
> > > you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
> > > "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
> >
> > Sorry, Brain...Several issues.
>
> Predicted.

I see.

> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to
> > take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had
> > Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have
> > gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...
> >
> > Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my
> > Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never
> > "accepted the wager. You ignored it.
>
> Why do you always acuse people other than yourself of being dishonest,
> jerk?

So far I've not seen otherwise.

> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you
> > to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further
> > demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that
> > fact surprises anyone.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>

> Sorry, Steve...Several issues.
>
> First of all, >>>YOU<<< had made the wager across state lines. I did
> the legal and honorable thing; I didn't accept it.

No...You ignored the possibility that I was right. You've made
several other wagering challenges yourself in the past, so feel free to
dismount the "high horse" any time you're ready.

> Secondly, I did not accept the wager nor ask you to mail it to me. I
> actually forbid you to mail anything to me.

Uh huh.

> Lastly, what I did ask is that you donate your wager to the 10th
> District QSL Buro since you have denigrated all 10th District amateurs
> ("ZEROES") and the corpse of W0EX in an effort to get a laugh.

I stand corrected on your "donation to the zero land" buro, however
your suggestion that I somehow defiled ANYone's corpse is assinine and
disgusting...

But then, considering the source.....

> That is the least you can do for 10-Landers. Donations are allowed to cross
> state lines, aren't they?

Yes, they are.

> Again, further demonstration that you would not only wiggle your way
> out as predicted, but you make accusations as you do do. Not that that
> fact surprises anyone.

So far the only accusation here is that I have "welched" on a bet,
an act that could not not have occured since the wager was never
accepted.

As for "wiggling out" of anything, I think you already set a
several-years-long track record of having no tolerance or use for
"rules" of any kind, and that would include "accepting" a publically
offered wager IN public...Not trying to make an issue of it as somehow
not "kept" years after you diss'ed it.

Steve, K4YZ

## 283. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:13:18 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131016398.394503.243510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122798

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 17:19:34 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1130980774.193141.15210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > >
> > >Steve, you really, really hate it when others behave as you do. Don't
> > >sweat it, though. Few have the complete lack of conscience that it
> > >takes to keep it up for very long.
> >
> >
> > OUCH!!! Remind me never to piss -you- off!

Not me. I tried acting as Steve does; starting a new accusatory thread
every five minutes and calling him "liar" and worse.

I got stomach aches and couldn't sleep at night, so I'm back to being
normal. But somehow he keeps it up.

## 284. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:13:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <D_mdnatBdr3xafTenZ2dnUVZ_tqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 122801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

```
"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1131011750.846033.170400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Card XII wrote:
>> > <kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
>> > news:bd7im11lrda1pvev5ubgcji02ut44r90bc@4ax.com...
>> > > Hi there
>> > > I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago, have
>> > > largely learned
>> > > to live with it by now (I am 34 yo and have a BA)
>> > > Having gotten back to
>> > > dealing Ham Radio, I am back to dealing with Morse
>> > > to at least recieve
>> > > a bit of and to docment today, my dyslexia, which
>> > > would allow both
>> > > various changes in the Testing requirements. I
>> > > need refences to to
>> > > help point my doctor ( an allergist) toward a test
>> > > or three that would
>> > > support the fact that I still have dyslyexia today
>> > > (FCC dysbility
>> > > requierments don't seem to realize that some
>> > > things really don't get
>> > > much better with time) Idealy one that will lend
>> > > some support to the
>> > > idea that I still have it, but are not too
>> > > expensive to for him to
>> > > order given to me
>> >
>> > There are no tests your allergist could use.
>> >
>> > If you want the question answered, you need to go see a licensed
>> > psychologist or licensed school psychologist. "licensed" is key.
>> >
>> > Not awfully expensive, and should get you good answers.
>>
>> someon is choosing to repost some old posts of mine
>
> Yes, they are.
>
> And I might add that CARD XII's suggestion of a "psychologist" for
> your problems was al ill-informed as your attempt to use an
```

> "allergist".
>
> Had you taken my advice years ago and followed-up with a
> NEUROLOGIST you might have already been an Extra or General.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

That would not be correct. There have been no waivers allowed for the basic 5wpm. The only waivers that existed were for the 13wpm & 20wpm. Or do you mean that the neurologist could have advised him on training and testing approaches to learn and pass the 5wpm?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 285. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:16:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131016588.201116.195610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122799

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > Well, after (ugh) reading through 3,599
> > > filings on the FCC ECFS
> > > under WT Docket 05-235 (up to 31 October 2005),
> > > I'm convinced
> > > that way too many radio amateurs are still
> > > stuck to the glorious
> > > past of a half century ago in radio communications.
> >
> > Why, Len? Because the *majority* disagree with you?
>
> Steve agreed with removing the Morse Code Exam. I guess that makes him
> "progressive."

That's not what I said.

I said I am in favor of allowing some access to HF without the
Morse exam.

I also said I favor retaining the Morse exam for the Extra Class
license and for limiting access to those frequencies where Morse is
most used where the licensee has not demonstrated at least some
proficiency in Morse Code.

> > > Their bliss
> > > over the efficacy of morsemanship shines
> > > on under skies unclouded
> > > by progress in technology...
> > > which had already begun before they
> > > got their first amateur license.
> >
> > You haven't got *your* first amateur radio license, Len.
>
> That would make Len "unbiased."

No, it would not.

It VERY heavily predisposes Lennie to a specific view.

> > > They BELIEVE deep in their
> > > little hearts that morsemanship is THE essential
> > > ingredient in
> > > becoming an extra-super-special radio "expert,"

> > > "well-rounded"
> > > and a "leader" in amateurism.
> >
> > And what do *you* believe deep in your little heart, Len?
>
> That there is a God

Is there? Really?

> > > It's a Belief so deep, so basic,
> > > that they are convinced that ALL morsemen
> > > are "experts" on
> > > everything and those who don't Believe
> > > as they do are heretics
> > > who know nothing about everything.
> >
> > Sounds like sour grapes on your part.
>
> Sounds like several of the amateurs that remain on this news group.

Such as?

Seems everyone here, myself included, have expressed many different
ideas on a great many issues.

> > The actual count of individuals commenting showed that
> > once duplicates and nonresponsive filings were removed,
> > 55% of those commenting support at least a Morse Code
> > test for Extra. Only 45% support the NPRM, with its
> > complete removal of Morse Code testing.
>
> Where did your numbers come from?

The FCC via Lennie's previous posts.

Why?

> > A few days ago, you wrote:
> >
> > "3. The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S.
> > amateur community has been CHANGING all along...AWAY from
> > the old, Old, OLD standards and practices." - Len Anderson
> >
> > But the filings on WT 05-235 show that the majority (55%!) of
> > those who commented want at least some code testing. That
> > majority is almost identical to those supporting more than
> > one code test speed back in 1998.
>
> Show your work.

It's LENNIE'S Work, Brain...The number are fluid, of course, but
as of his last compilation, those numbers still come pretty close.

> > Another quote from what you wrote a few days ago:
> >
> > "That seems to piss you off greatly and makes you petulant, whiny, and
> > accusatory. Tsk." - Len Anderson
> >
> > A perfect lead-in to the following:
> >
> > > Must be wonderful to exist in such deep
> > > delusions of grandeur,
> > > very satisfying, off in a wonderland of
> > > their own fantasies
> > > of self-importance and Greatness. <shrug>
> >
> > It's interesting that you will go on and on and on
> > about the motivations of people you've never met,
> > but you won't tell us *your* motivations for
> > changing the regulations of the Amateur Radio Service.
> > Which is a radio service you are not involved in.
>
> Lots of people deciding the outcome of the NPRM are not involved "in"
> amateur radio.

No...A FEW people are.

Steve, K4YZ

# 286. Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:23:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131017000.109881.104690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122800

snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > On 2 Nov 2005 17:19:34 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > <1130980774.193141.15210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > >
> > > >Steve, you really, really hate it when others behave as you do. Don't
> > > >sweat it, though. Few have the complete lack of conscience that it
> > > >takes to keep it up for very long.
> > >
> > >
> > > OUCH!!! Remind me never to piss -you- off!
> >
> Not me. I tried acting as Steve does...(SNIP)

You tried telling the truth once?

Where?

> (UNSNIP)...starting a new accusatory thread
> every five minutes and calling him "liar" and worse.

Yes...you TRIED calling me worse.

Didn't work.

> I got stomach aches and couldn't sleep at night, so I'm back to being
> normal.

You mean "normal for you"...which includes a lot of dishonesty and
deceit of your own making.

> But somehow he keeps it up.

"...keep(ing) it up" is not (pardon the pun) hard....At least not
with the minimal challenges you provide, Brain...

Steve, K4YZ

## 287. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:30:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131017403.504914.147730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122802

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 07:58:19 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to
> >take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had
> >Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have
> >gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...
>
> welching again

Nope. Not now, not "again".

> > Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my
> >Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never
> >"accepted the wager. You ignored it.
>
> now you admit to adultry (in the christain not legal sense Stevie
> being a married man

WHAT...?!?!

Sheesh! Talk about a stretch!

> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you
> >to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further
> >demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that
> >fact surprises anyone.
>
> you are the felon for solicting the bet accros State lines Stevie

"soliciting" "accross"

Nope. It's a misdemeanor at best, and since it was not accepted,
no wager existed, Markie...

Steve, K4YZ

## 288. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:34:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131017691.149385.142020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122803

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 08:48:39 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >Iitoi wrote:
> >> K4YZ Wrote:
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for.....
> >> >
> >> > Secondly, if Y O U ........
> >> >
> >> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" ...........
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> Did I call that one right, or what!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Call what?
> >
> > I'm supposed to drop a C-Note in the mail for a wager that was
> >never accepted or agreed upon...?!?!
>
> it was accepted

No, it was not.

> Silence is assent that is one the priciples of English Common Law

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

No, Markie, "silence" is NOT "assent" under ANY law in the United
States, English or otherwise!

A wager is a contract, and a contract requires both the advice and
CONSENT of BOTH parites to be binding...

Steve, K4YZ

## 289. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:46:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131018365.152775.25150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122804

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1131011750.846033.170400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >> Card XII wrote:
> >> > <kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:bd7im11lrda1pvev5ubgcji02ut44r90bc@4ax.com...
> >> > > Hi there
> >> > > I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago, have
> >> > > largely learned
> >> > > to live with it by now (I am 34 yo and have a BA)
> >> > > Having gotten back to
> >> > > dealing Ham Radio, I am back to dealing with Morse
> >> > > to at least recieve
> >> > > a bit of and to docment today, my dyslexia, which
> >> > > would allow both
> >> > > various changes in the Testing requirements. I
> >> > > need refences to to
> >> > > help point my doctor ( an allergist) toward a test
> >> > > or three that would
> >> > > support the fact that I still have dyslyexia today
> >> > > (FCC dysbility
> >> > > requierments don't seem to realize that some
> >> > > things really don't get
> >> > > much better with time) Idealy one that will lend
> >> > > some support to the
> >> > > idea that I still have it, but are not too
> >> > > expensive to for him to
> >> > > order given to me
> >> >
> >> > There are no tests your allergist could use.
> >> >
> >> > If you want the question answered, you need to go see a licensed
> >> > psychologist or licensed school psychologist. "licensed" is key.
> >> >
> >> > Not awfully expensive, and should get you good answers.
> >>
> >> someon is choosing to repost some old posts of mine
> >
> > Yes, they are.
> >
> > And I might add that CARD XII's suggestion of a "psychologist" for
> > your problems was al ill-informed as your attempt to use an

> > "allergist".
> >
> > Had you taken my advice years ago and followed-up with a
> > NEUROLOGIST you might have already been an Extra or General.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> That would not be correct.

That would "not be correct" under the current rules, Dee. However
the re-posting from Markie was from several years ago. In that context
it was originally made, it was...

> There have been no waivers allowed for the basic
> 5wpm. The only waivers that existed were for the 13wpm & 20wpm. Or do you
> mean that the neurologist could have advised him on training and testing
> approaches to learn and pass the 5wpm?

No, I meant that he could have gotten past all of that over 5
years ago had he done what was suggested. Markie was correct in his
statement that someone reposted one of his old posts.

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 290. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:52:56 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TpqdnYWObKAOYvTenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 122811

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1131018365.152775.25150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1131011750.846033.170400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> Card XII wrote:
>> >> > <kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
>> >> > news:bd7im11lrda1pvev5ubgcji02ut44r90bc@4ax.com...
>> >> > > Hi there
>> >> > > I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago, have
>> >> > > largely learned
>> >> > > to live with it by now (I am 34 yo and have a BA)
>> >> > > Having gotten back to
>> >> > > dealing Ham Radio, I am back to dealing with Morse
>> >> > > to at least recieve
>> >> > > a bit of and to docment today, my dyslexia, which
>> >> > > would allow both
>> >> > > various changes in the Testing requirements. I
>> >> > > need refences to to
>> >> > > help point my doctor ( an allergist) toward a test
>> >> > > or three that would
>> >> > > support the fact that I still have dyslyexia today
>> >> > > (FCC dysbility
>> >> > > requierments don't seem to realize that some
>> >> > > things really don't get
>> >> > > much better with time) Idealy one that will lend
>> >> > > some support to the
>> >> > > idea that I still have it, but are not too
>> >> > > expensive to for him to
>> >> > > order given to me
>> >> >
>> >> > There are no tests your allergist could use.
>> >> >
>> >> > If you want the question answered, you need to go see a licensed
>> >> > psychologist or licensed school psychologist. "licensed" is key.
>> >> >
>> >> > Not awfully expensive, and should get you good answers.
>> >>
>> >> someon is choosing to repost some old posts of mine
>> >
>> > Yes, they are.

>> >
>> > And I might add that CARD XII's suggestion of a "psychologist" for
>> > your problems was al ill-informed as your attempt to use an
>> > "allergist".
>> >
>> > Had you taken my advice years ago and followed-up with a
>> > NEUROLOGIST you might have already been an Extra or General.
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>>
>> That would not be correct.
>
> That would "not be correct" under the current rules, Dee. However
> the re-posting from Markie was from several years ago. In that context
> it was originally made, it was...
>
>> There have been no waivers allowed for the basic
>> 5wpm. The only waivers that existed were for the 13wpm & 20wpm. Or do
>> you
>> mean that the neurologist could have advised him on training and testing
>> approaches to learn and pass the 5wpm?
>
> No, I meant that he could have gotten past all of that over 5
> years ago had he done what was suggested. Markie was correct in his
> statement that someone reposted one of his old posts.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I'm still confused. You seem to be saying that he could have gotten a waiver for the 5wpm if he had done it years ago. That is not true. There has never been a waiver for the lowest speed. He still would have had to pass the 5wpm level to get any waiver.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 291. Re: Testing for Dyslexia...An Apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:53:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131018804.086368.224280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

I tried to post to Google this morning and kept getting a "Server Error" message...I "assumed" that my previous posts had not been posted, but judging by the several posts of similar wording, I was wrong...

Sorry for the multiple similar posts....

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 292. Re: Radio is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:53:35 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <8701783998ED3.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 2 Nov 2005 22:58:21 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> I am not a peeping tom.I was over there earlier this evening while the
> 37 year old blonde woman's cousin (Adrian) was helping her install a new
> stainless steel sink and new faucets in their kitchen.I did mention
> about somebody said don't use a phone line for a transmitting
> antenna.All she did was shrug her shoulders.And I was over there again

When women can do plumbing and remodeling that is a sign that they may
be shemales. It isn't definite but watch them carefully for signs.
If you go back to peeping, look for something like this when you look
in the bathroom window. It is a photo of a Glendale woman I dated last
year.
woger

http://www.shemaleyard.com/trannies/1000/pic12.jpg

# 293. Re: "Re: Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 11:57:52 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5cujm11jhrl0jr0kh2og7svlknhmhc75u3@4ax.com>
Source record: 122807

On 3 Nov 2005 01:58:13 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1131011893.108227.33940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 2 Nov 2005 17:19:34 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> <1130980774.193141.15210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >Steve, you really, really hate it when others behave as you do. Don't
>> >sweat it, though. Few have the complete lack of conscience that it
>> >takes to keep it up for very long.
>>
>> OUCH!!! Remind me never to piss -you- off!
>
> Especially since Brain is in the same category that he tried to
>put me in, Frankie....
>
>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

That one flew right past you, Dudly. At least now we know where you
find all that extra time to spend on newsgroup posting when you are an
EMT, LPN, CAP, TNSG, father, husband, etc, etc..... you sacrificed
rational thinking. A real time-waster, huh?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 294. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 12:01:00 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <TpqdnYSObKAJYvTenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 122812

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1131016588.201116.195610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

[snip]

>> Steve agreed with removing the Morse Code Exam. I guess that makes him
>> "progressive."
>
> That's not what I said.
>
> I said I am in favor of allowing some access to HF without the
> Morse exam.
>
> I also said I favor retaining the Morse exam for the Extra Class
> license and for limiting access to those frequencies where Morse is
> most used where the licensee has not demonstrated at least some
> proficiency in Morse Code.
>

I would disagree with this approach. Here's why.

Let me start by saying that I believe all amateurs should have a basic ability in Morse code as it is one of the basics of amateur radio. There are two ways to get people to learn it. One, which is what we have now, is to tie the most desired privilege (HF voice) to the subject that they least desire to study. However there is a second way. Allow the novices and techs CW privileges only on the General HF bands without any further testing. They can have HF voice, digital, etc at any time they then pass the whatever the test will be in the future for General. This gives them a taste of HF. I would happily work them as slow as they want to go in order to encourage the use of CW.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 295. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 12:01:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131019297.367269.210500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122808

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM <N2EY@AOL.COM> on Tues 1 Nov 2005 16:35
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
> >> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
> >> >> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>
> >> >> Did you think someone ELSE bothered to read ALL
> >> >> the filings on WT Docket 05-235 and compile a day-by-day
> >> >> tabulation of them?
> >
> >> >I don't think that. I know it's true. I've seen the compilation
> >> >done by someone else and it's more informative than yours.
> >>
> >> WHO else, Jimmie?
> >
> >A licensed radio amateur with experience in the field. Somebody
> >whose methods of analyzing the comments may be much more
> >accurate than yours.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...another Dudly type posting...you "know someone"
> who is "better" yet won't reveal this expert? :-)

I have seen that person's results and how those results were obtained.
They're more accurate than your results.

I don't apply the title "expert" to myself or the person who compiled
the scorecard.
Nor do I apply the title "expert" to *you*, Len.

> What's to "analyze?" It's called READING, Jimmie. Even
> children can do that! :-)

Obviously you're not too good at it, Len ;-)
>
> Let's see...up to the end of 31 October there were 3,680
> filings of which 240 were of the "indeterminate" kind.

According to *you*. How do we know you analyzed them correctly?

> That's
> about 6.5 percent (only two digits used, can't use any
> "illusions of accuracy" with you, can we?). The other three
> categories were pretty well UN-ambiguous on deciding either
> YES to the NPRM, NO to the NPRM, or "let's keep it for Extra."

That's not the whole story, Len.
>
> You need "experts in analysis" to divine the "true meaning"
> of those filings? I guess so...anything to try your damndest
> to fudge, alter the percentages in favor of pro-code. Tsk.

Not at all, Len. If there's any "fudging" going on, it's *yours*.

It's interesting to note that you accuse others of "fudging" without
even knowing who they are or what methods they used...but *you*
are incapable of any error....

Also interesting how you claim to know others' motivations but won't
reveal
your own.

Now about those "indeterminates"....

If a person makes multiple filings that are not identical, you count
them
as separate comments, not duplicates, right? Someone who files both
comments and reply comments gets multiple 'votes' in your count even
though
they are only one person repeating themselves...right?

In your 'scorecard' you alternate the terms 'comments' and 'filings',
but in fact you
count comments, reply comments, the NPRM itself, and almost anything
else as
if it were a 'comment'...right?
>
> By the way, I don't know if the FCC uses "percentages" or not.
> I used them to get a clearer picture of the total of opinions.

And even your flawed methods show that the *majority* do NOT
support the NPRM. The majority do NOT want all Morse Code
testing to end.

> You dislike that.

Oh no, not at all. The percentages tell the story very well.

> Obviously, since the comment period start
> showed that MORE were in favor of the NPRM than against.

There you go, claiming to know others' motivations but not revealing
your own.
>
> >> You are begining to sound like Dudly the Imposter in here,

> >> always NON-specific but alleging you "know" someone else.
> >
> >The information is available to all who will look for it.
>
> It really KILLS you to yield the website doesn't it? :-)

Not at all. I know where it is. So do several other rrappers.

Can't you find it, Len?

Besides, what good would it do to tell you where it is? You'd
simply attack the person who did the compilation, make fun
of them, behave according to your classic profile.

> >Easier to access than ECFS. You've claimed years and years of
> >experience in "computer-modem communications", yet you
> >can't find it? I am surprised.
>
> I'm not surprised that you take your attitude, Jimmie.

> I started this "computer-modem" think in early December,
> 1984.

There ya go, living in the past, Len.

> The Internet didn't go public until 1991. Have you
> seen any registry of domain names recently? No? You think
> it is "easy" to find something specific even with a search
> engine?

Yes. I found it in a matter of seconds. But a search engine isn't
necessary.

> You must. Or you are so damn petulant about this
> that you have to sit in here and heckle, heckle, heckle
> about minutae just to satisfy your own wounded whatever...

Not me, Len. You're the one who acts that way.

The majority want at least code testing for Extra. That's the fact -
like it or not...

Now here's the big issue, and proof of your inaccuracy:

You claim to have read and understood ALL the filings on 05-235.
You've made a big deal about that, repeated it over and over like a
mantra, and demanded that others do the same before they dare to
even ask you a question.

But if you'd actually read all those filings, you'd know where to find
the
information I refer to. The information that shows 55% of commenters
who address the Morse Code test issue want at least some Morse Code
testing retained. And the information that only 45% of commenters who
address the Morse Code test issue want complete elimination of the

Morse Code test.

Since you can't find that website, it's clear you *didn't* read all the
filings
on WT 05-235, or at least didn't understand them.

And that's a fact. Like it or not......

## 296. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 12:03:35 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <1vujm1t40d0i1vdfu3sjp9ursjscatn32n@4ax.com>
Source record: 122809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 1 Nov 2005 13:31:43 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1130880702.986429.41710@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>........Dudly knows dink about electronics engineering.
> Dudly "worked there" less than a half year according to
> posts in here, either taking that job or quitting "due to
> [emotional upset over] the death of his daughter."
>
> We are all required to accept his claims as "true" since
> the PCTAs all frown on challenging anything of anyone
> criticizing someone suffering a personal loss. No one
> else but Dudly can possibly have such traumatic episodes
> in their life and thus nobody else deserves any slack.

Munchausen by proxy comes to mind.....

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 297. Re: Tracy Fort is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 12:10:14 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1131019814.708702.239410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

BB - "M II" is trying to be the Cana-Duh-ian Rodney Dangerfield

Hey - "M II" Can't Get No Respect - No Respect At All !

Cause after all 'm ii' is simply a Cana-Duh-ian !

oh cana-duh ! - the joke is on 'u' ~ RHF
.
.
. .
.

## 298. Marky C. Moron, er Morgan admits stalking Steve Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 12:57:32 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131022652.647667.90530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122813

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> been going stevie shit (not pleasennt reading) looking for stuff to
> forward to Borad of nursing

Marky admits to stalking Steve!!!!!!!!!!!!!

## 299. Re: another blast from the past Stevie telling someone not to engage in a slander campiagn based on someone gramtical erros

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 13:02:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131022958.354337.109790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122814

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Steve Robeson Dec 25 1999, 3:00 am hide options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> Date: 1999/12/25
> Subject: Re: "A High-tech hobby"
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> | Report Abuse
>
>
>
> >Subject: Re: "A High-tech hobby"
> >From: kny...@buffnet.net (John D. Kasupski)
> >Date: Fri, 24 December 1999 09:18 AM EST
> >But I see that the educational standards around Canton, Ohio are really
>
>
> slipping these days, eh, Bruce? You can't even SPELL "loser" let alone
> determine what that constitutes. Can't find the key to insert an
> apostrophe
> where that particular punctuation belongs, either, I see.<
>
> John, John, John......YOU are the last one who needs to start a
> slander
> campaign based on someone's grammatical errors.
>
>
> Shall we start a compendium of John's errors?
>
>
> Or shall we just save the bandwidth and you not do such silly
> things
> again?
>
>
> Steve

Poor Marky, I don't think Robeson's interested in your oh so obvious
public "come hithers", FAGGOT.

## 300. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 13:07:58 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131023278.811207.311950@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinycunt Lloyd Davies whined:
> On Wed, 2 Nov 2005 19:15:26 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> > One time I heard George Noory on www.coasttocoastam.com say he
> > was in the U.S.Navy for four years.Another time he said he was in the
> > U.S.Navy for nine years.If he ever did see a ufo or space alien for
> > real,it would scare the daylights out of him,Art Bell too.
> > cuhulin
>
> When I was first on my own <Poor Lardass, he lived and mooched off of with his drunk daddy Ray Davies, Sr. at the duplex at 1601 8th Ave , Belle Plaine, IA until he got kicked out!)

You moved to Alabama and live in a HUD public assistance low income apartment, fatass. You are stuck there because you are a flunky pizza delivery BOY, you genetic defect.

http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/mangina_lloyd.jpg

## 301. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 13:09:02 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131023342.661465.114770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Like you have character, Halstead.

## 302. Re: "Scorecard" On Markie's Rallying Call To Arms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 13:10:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131023429.084170.321130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122817

QUOTE

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

UNQUOTE

## 303. Re: Testing for Dyslexia...An Apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 14:21:53 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <S0paf.15358$IB.8903@dukeread11>
Source record: 122818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

K4YZ wrote:

> I tried to post to Google this morning and kept getting a "Server
> Error" message...I "assumed" that my previous posts had not been
> posted, but judging by the several posts of similar wording, I was
> wrong...
>
> Sorry for the multiple similar posts....
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Don't worry about it. Google was re-aligning their server farm overnight.

--
DE N7ZZT
Eric Oyen
Phoenix, Arizona
e-mail: n7zzt(at)hotmail(dot)com
the difference between intelligence and stupidity is that intelligence
has its limits.

# 304. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 15:05:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%Gpaf.940$uD5.601@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122819

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Nov 2, 4:43 pm
>
>
>>On 2 Nov 2005 14:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>><1130969900.581047.3...@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>
>><snip>
>>
>>> I suggest they both go see the premiere of the new film "Jarhead"
>>> (as a couple). They can both get some emotional espirit d'corps.
>>> Dudly can use the film's fiction for better embroidery of his
>>> "hostile actions." Davie can get the emotional thrill of doing
>>> battle "in-country" that ended over three decades ago. That
>>> will get them all fired up to throw more hissy fits against other
>>> veterans of the U.S. military who don't agree with their personal
>>> opinions.
>>
>>Well, while Davie and Dudly and all their their sock puppets are busy
>>reminiscing about their Hollywood-forged military careers, I'm taking
>>a few days to winterize the homestead. We are long overdue for a hard
>>winter and I want to be ready. It's that "Semper Paratus" thing.....
>
>
> Gotcha, Frank. Have fun on the homestead work.
>
> Davie Heil don't wanna talk about his military daze. He
> wants to talk about "little johnsons." Tsk, tsk, and I
> thought he didn't get turned on by THAT. Takes all kinds!

I've own a Valiant and have had it for decades. I've had a big Johnson
for decades. If you want to sing the praises of your small Johnson, be
my guest.

Dave K8MN

## 305. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 15:24:43 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <%Ypaf.3713$2y.2542@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122820

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1131015255.098995.310810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> Scorecard in the NCTA v. PCTA Amateur Opinions on NPRM 05-143:
>> As of 31 Oct 05, WT Docket 05-235 Comments on Test Element 1
>> Elimination/Retention tabulation:
>> [corrected version of previous posting for 31 October due to
>> 81 additional entries made by FCC on 1 November]
>>
>> ALL to Date Since FR Notice
>>
>> Grand Total 3680 1698
>> Indeterminate (note 1) 240 127
>> Value for Percentages 3440 1571
>>
>> Against NPRM (note 2) 1133 [32.94%] 574 [36.54%]
>> For NPRM (note 3) 1697 [49.33%] 661 [42.08%]
>> Test Extra Only (note 4) 610 [17.73% 336 [21.39%]
>>
>> Tabulation in agreement with FCC ECFS as of 9 PM EDT 1 Nov 05.

> (Snip)
>
> Let's make the math easier:
>
>> Against NPRM (note 2) 1133 [32.94%] 574 [36.54%]
>> Test Extra Only (note 4) 610 [17.73%] 336 [21.39%]
> --------
> ------------
> 50.67%
> 57.93%
>
>> For NPRM (note 3) 1697 [49.33%] 661 [42.08%]

> If the FCC were mandated to accept the simple majority of
> comments, either way you look at it, Morse Code testing (in the United
> Staes) in one form or another would be staying for some time to come.
> Steve, K4YZ

IF the FCC were so mandated, but we all know they are
not bound by any "voting" analogy. What also is obvious
to those that have been around long enough is that
the current "score" is a dramatic shift from opinions
within the amateur community as compared to prior

efforts to "score" support (98-143) or back when the
first efforts to bring a nocode license began.

Playing your number realignment, you must admit
then that 68% of commentors DO support ending
code for General. THAT is dramatic in comparison
to past opinion analysis.

Consider too that
IF the FCC retained any level of code testing for
Extra then the FCC would/will have to reintroduce
waivers as the international treaty no longer provides
absolute minimal code requirements for any level.
That's a path that FCC just won't go down.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 306. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 15:59:01 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <24d52$436a337c$4fc47e2$13878@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 122821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"ken" <kw@kenwallinksorg.net> wrote in message
news:dkb7l7$po4$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
> news:45154$43691569$4e105e6$6576@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>>
>> "Unk" <roamer@k7no.com> wrote in message
>> news:4inhm1lm341ibclo0iou8eb5rpl92ke75g@4ax.com...
>>> On Tue, 1 Nov 2005 12:35:27 -0500, "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
>>> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Graham,
>>>> The licensing requirement for CB radio operators in the USA was
>>>> dropped
>>>>back in the 1970's when Jimmy Carter was president, he was responsible
>>>>for
>>>>dropping the licensing requirement.
>>>> Ace - WH2T
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> I doubt that President Carter had much to do with dropping (dumbing
>>> down) licensing requirements. In fact it is unlikely Carter even knew
>>> what ham radio was unless they could help him with 'killer rabbits'!
>>>
>>> He may have been pres at the time but the FCC has a history of
>>> operating on their own.
>>>
>>> unk
>>
>> Betty Ford sent out "breakers" from the White House during the 1970s
>> under the CB handle "First Mama".
>> Former President Jimmy Carter's wife Rosalynn wanted to talk on CB radio
>> in the later half of the 1970's but she didn't have a CB radio license.
>> President Carter quickly got the FCC to change the law so that anyone
>> could instantly use as their CB callsign - K , their first and last
>> initials and their zipcode. Shortly thereafter the CB licensing
>> requirement was dropped altogether in the U.S.
>> CB frequencies and any other nearby frequencies quickly proceeded to go
>> to hell in a handbasket.
>> Ace - WH2T
>
>
```

> Care to comment on the conduct and character of ham radio
> operators on 75 meters, after 10 pm ????????????
> And while your are at it, fill us in on approximately how many
> turf wars, on the average, are currently raging on 75 meters?
> And dont wimp out and tell us this happens only occasionally,
> cause we know better.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Ken,
What can I say, Shit Happens.
Ace - WH2T

## 307. Question on AutoPatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 16:09:47 UTC
From: Matt <matth@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <dkdcoa0151a@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122823

When using an autopatch you are basically allowing someone who is unlicensed onto the repeater. What responsiblity does the user of the radio serve? And is there anything keeping an autopatch from allowing someone to call INTO it and reach someone on amateur radio (aside from configuration at the repeater site?).

Now, what if someone unlicesed uses an autopatch to call a licensed ham who IDs?

I'm just curious here as I'm new to the hobby.

## 308. Re: Question on AutoPatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 16:19:58 UTC
From: Matt <matth@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <dkddbd02tim@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 122824

Matt wrote:
> When using an autopatch you are basically allowing someone who is
> unlicensed onto the repeater. What responsiblity does the user of the
> radio serve? And is there anything keeping an autopatch from allowing
> someone to call INTO it and reach someone on amateur radio (aside from
> configuration at the repeater site?).
>
> Now, what if someone unlicesed uses an autopatch to call a licensed ham
> who IDs?
>
> I'm just curious here as I'm new to the hobby.

For that matter.. what happens if someone puts me on hold and music
starts spewing out of the repeater?

## 309. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 16:21:51 UTC
From: RST Engineering <jim@rstengineering.com>
Message-ID: <11mke9o7sfo5q7e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 122822

And in agreement with what you wrote, IF the FCC had meant this to be a popularity contest, then it would have been called a VOTE.

IF the FCC had meant to gather information and rationale regarding the requirement for or against Morse Code testing then it would have been called a COMMENT.

Care to look and see what the FCC called it?

Jim

>
>> If the FCC were mandated to accept the simple majority of
>> comments, either way you look at it, Morse Code testing (in the United
>> Staes) in one form or another would be staying for some time to come.
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> IF the FCC were so mandated, but we all know they are
> not bound by any "voting" analogy. What also is obvious
> to those that have been around long enough is that
> the current "score" is a dramatic shift from opinions
> within the amateur community as compared to prior
> efforts to "score" support (98-143) or back when the
> first efforts to bring a nocode license began.

# 310. Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 17:15:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cBraf.983$uD5.891@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122825

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
>
>>Hiram Maxwell?
>>
>>Who's that?
>>
>>Man In The Maze
>>QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>>
>>
>>--
>>Iitoi
>
>
> He invented the Maxwell machine gun. Sold them to Russia in the early
> part of the last century.

Really? I didn't know that.

Did you know that Hiram Percy Maxim's uncle invented the *Maxim machine gun*? Hiram Percy invented the automobile muffler and a gun silencer among other things.

Dave K8MN

## 311. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 18:04:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Tisaf.5276$RI1.3161@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122826

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 1, 8:38 pm
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 4:52 pm
>>>
>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>
>>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>RST Engineering wrote:
>
>
>
>>>>>>>Now, lay YOURS out on the table and see who takes a knife to it.
>>>>>
>>>>>That slipped my mind. Len has taken numerous shots at my Air Force
>>>>>service in Vietnam, though he doesn't seem to know what it is that I did
>>>>>there.
>>
>>>>It's not what you did there. What you didn't do in Vietnam appears to
>>>>have defined your time there. You were a frustrated amateur in Vietnam
>>>>which caused you to under go a career change.
>>
>>> What DID Heil DO in Vietnam? He's never been anymore specific
>>> than Dudly the Imposter (of the "seven hostile actions").
>>
>>I see the sentence with my name in it. I see the sentence which follows
>>it. That is typical of your behavior.
>
>
> Heil did NOT answer the question.

No, I didn't, did I? You routinely ignore questions asked of you.

> Heil did NOT supply any
> details of what he did with a MARS thing in Tan Son Nhut.

I knew of no MARS thing. I've stated here that I spent time operating a
MARS station in my off duty time.

> Tsk, that too is "typical" of Heil's remarks in here. :-(

Tsk. If you'd like to find out more about USAF MARS operation in
Vietnam, feel free to search a few web sites, do a cut and paste job and
post a lengthy treatise on the subject as if it were your own work.
That'd be typical of your remarks in here. :-(

>
>>> Damifino. Heil just hasn't been specifc about it. Can't
>>> "take shots" at something invisible. :-)
>>
>>Then again, you have already done so on a number of occasions. :-) :-)
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Heil have a guilty conscience?

Why would I have a guilty conscience over what you do?

>
>>> Poor Davie has forgotten my quoting from the Army Center for
>>> Military History which mentions the good morale service that
>>> Army MARS did in Vietnam.
>>
>>I've forgotten, have I? What're you, Madame Cleo?
>>I was never assigned to a MARS unit anywhere, anytime in the military.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Maybe Bob Hope never did a USO show at Tan
> Son Nhut? :-)

Bob Hope? Did he operate a MARS station in his spare time?

>
>
>>I'm pretty certain that Len Anderson thinks he's somehow involved in
>>amateur radio too.
>
>
> Pity the FCC then...they regulate and enforce ALL civil radio
> in the United States, including amateur radio. Absolutely
> none of the staff nor commissioners are required to be granted
> any amateur radio license in order to regulate and enforce.

You keep confusing yourself with those paid to regulate amateur radio
and with those who participate in amateur radio. You're neither.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I'm just trying to eliminate the morse code test
> from United States amateur radio regulations.

You surely are. I saw all six of your submissions to the FCC on 05-235.
For what possible purpose have you fixated on morse code testing in
something in which you have no stake?

> According to the
> United States Constitution (First Amendment), all citizens have
> the RIGHT to free speech in the USA.

A RIGHT isn't the same as an OBLIGATION. You spoke six time more than I
on 05-235. Are you supposed to be more entitled to speak?

>
>
>>> Just the same, the 8235th Army Unit (that I was in) never
>>> allowed any Tokyo territory to fall into communist hands! :-)
>>> At the same time, that same battalion of signalmen were
>>> moving message "traffic" at the rate of 220 thousand a month
>>> over the Army Command and Administrative Network (later
>>> integrated into the DCS or Defense Communications System),
>>> a worldwide network. All with TTY. Not a single morse code
>>> link in that system since 1948.
>>
>>It took an entire battalion of signalmen to do that, Len? All this
>>time, I was under the impression that you did it all by yourself.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk. Davie can't "impress" people as he wishes.

Tskity tasket. You created the impression.

> I've never said what you think I did. I explained it several
> times...but your mind can't grasp that, can it?
>
> I explained that station ADA was operating "24/7" meaning (in
> colloquial use) around-the-clock, every day of the week. You
> said that "was never done." It was.

A Google search will review that you've been less than truthful. You
maintained that *you*, Leonard H. Anderson, had worked 24/7. You
didn't. Nobody can work 24/7. Would you like to see your words again,
in the interests of clarity?

> It was done on a FAR
> LARGER scale than any MARS facility anywhere. ADA did carry
> MARS TTY traffic on a second-priority basis when the primary
> circuit wasn't busy.

Why are you suddenly fixated on MARS traffic?

> I explained the RESPONSIBILITY of team leaders in keeping the
> transmitters up and operating, one part of the entire operation,
> but you insist on word-twisting to suit your savage beast
> within that wants to fight.

Were you a team leader, Len? Are you still claiming that you worked 24
hours per day, seven days per week in any single week at any time, anywhere?

> All you wish to do is denigrate
> anyone who did MORE in REAL HF radio than you did. Tsk, tsk.

Do you believe that you have more experience in HF radio operation than
I? Tsk, tsk and a couple of poor baby's thrown in.

>>> James Weir runs RST Engineering. It is located in Grass Valley,
>>> CA, in Nevada County (California's "gold country").
>>
>>Fine. I don't want to deflate his ego but when I saw a post from "RST
>>Engineering" signed "Jim", it meant nothing to me. I never heard of it
>>or him.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk. Jim Weir has posted in here for several years.

Funny, I don't remember seeing a post from "RST Engineering" until quite
recently.

> That YOU didn't notice that is not my concern.

No, it isn't. So tell me, why are you concerning yourself with it?

> YOU seem to "notice" only those posts where you can engage
> in word fights with your "opponents." Down, big warrior.

If what you've stated is correct, then how'd I get into exchange with
somebody named Jim Weir, whom you've said I didn't notice?

>
>>> He ran for
>>> Governor of California, had his picture in the L.A. Times as one
>>> of many candidates. [a former Austrian citizen won the election]
>>
>>No doubt Jim's charm, as evidence here, was a factor.
>
>
> Davie said, sarcasm dripping down his chin like alien slime...

Davie? Well, Lennie, my comment was meant to be sarcastic. I don't
know anything about alien slime though. That's quite an active
imagination you have.

>
>>> I sent Jim Weir one of my computer programs (LCie4, synthesis and
>>> analysis of passive-component inductor-capacitor filters) and he
>>> stated that this (freeware) program has been used by his students
>>> (successfully) in Grass Valley. We had some brief e-mail exchages
>>> that resulted in my modifying the older LCie program to fit the
>>> DOS 7 in newer Windows. LCie was written in MS FORTRAN 5.1 but on
>>> an earlier operating system and that (now unsupported by MS)
>>> FORTRAN did not have the compiler links to fit DOS 7. LCie4 runs
>>> only under DOS, doesn't have the flash, dash, or pizazz of color
>>> Windows but is nonetheless accurate and proven. It is freeware

>>> to anyone requesting it...something I mentioned in rec.radio.
>>> amateur.homebrew some time ago.
>>
>>That's nice.
>
>
> Damn straight.

I don't know if it is straight. I said, "that's nice".

> LCie4 IS a very nice program for quickly and
> accurately synthesizing (designing) and analyzing a passive L-C
> filter of lowpass, highpass, bandpass, or bandstop configuration.
> The user can optionally change component values, modify Q of
> inductors or capacitors, do printouts of schematics or analysis
> results (or store them in a file), analyze input and output
> impedances in lieu of normal decibel insertion loss, phase change,
> or group delay. It's been proven in real hardware results that
> came out exactly as predicted.

I see--and anytime anyone tells you, "that's nice", you have to describe
it in further detail?

>>> RST Engineering makes some neat electronics for general aviation
>>> aircraft. RST has a nice website if anyone cares to look.
>>
>>I looked at the web site. It isn't particularly nice. If one orders
>>online, the payment information isn't even sent securely.
>
>
> You aren't involved in general aviation. You have NO business
> with general aviation let alone private flying. Why are YOU
> being critical of something you aren't even close to being
> involved in?

You just told us that the firm has a nice web site if any cared to look
at it? Was your comment insincere? At you suggestion, I went and
looked at it. I gave you my opinion. The site isn't slick or glitzy
and it doesn't offer secure ordering. All in all, it looks rather
homemade.

> Why are YOU being critical of someone else's website to ME?

Why did you suggest that we go look at it if you weren't prepared for
comments?

> You don't even live in California. You have NO business with
> any California elections of any kind. Why do you give a shit
> of any elections of governors in California or state politics?

You're right. I don't live in California and don't have any business in
its state elections. You're the one who brought the subject up. Why
are you posting the stuff in r.r.a.p. ?

>
>>> One
>>> of the neat things they do is what I would term "SURFACE MOUNT
>>> VHF antennas" for aircraft fabric surfaces. :-) Neat! They
>>> don't stick out in the airstream and thus have minimal drag.
>>> [international civil aviation band is 108 to 137 MHz]
>>
>>I've not noted many fabric surfaces on the aircraft I've seen in recent
>>decades.
>
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. There are tens of thousands of general aviation
> aircraft having "fabric" covering in United States registry
> alone.

The low end stuff, huh? That's ancient technology, Len.

> That YOU "haven't seen them" doesn't mean they exist.

Right. It's sort of like the details of my service in Vietnam. Just
because you haven't seen it, doesn't mean that it doesn't exist.

> In a half hour's drive from my residence, I can go to two major
> airports and one air park that have well over a thousand such
> "fabric" covered aircraft.

Well, I'll be darned. I don't have to put up with all that noise.

> You said nothing about "surface mounting," indicating you are
> unable to perceive any humor (as in "SMD" now the common method
> of electronics hardware construction) or the fact that MOST of
> a general aviation aircraft structure UNDER the skin is largely
> empty.

That's real rib-tickling stuff, Len. If the engineering stuff starts to
peter out, you might consider a career as a retired (from regular hours)
standup comic. That surface mount stuff should slay an audience.

> Any antenna can thus be mounted on the surface of a
> non-conductive skin and be largely unaffected on characteristics
> in that position.

Really? Let me write all this stuff down for future reference. Does
that mean I could enclose an antenna in fibreglas or PVC? Could I mount
such an antenna in an attic? What'll they think of next?

> Rather basic EM theory involved there, but
> meaningless to those who will not bother to think about basic
> radio theory.

I can see that those who don't bother to think about basic radio theory
might have problems grasping the concept.

>
>>Thanks for providing the information on the aircraft band.

>>Perhaps nobody here knew where the band could be found.
>
>
> YOU have NO BUSINESS with general aviation concerns, are NOT
> INVOLVED.

Does this mean I'll have to sell my scanner?

> It is no surprise that those who are NOT INVOLVED
> would be ignorant of technical details.

A spread of frequencies is a technical detail?

> Amateur radio is NOT
> INVOLVED with the international civil aviation band in VHF or
> the specific frequencies for that in the HF spectrum.

By George, I think you may have a point. Why are you writing about it
here then?

> If you have any more questions, don't be afraid to show your
> ignorance. You haven't yet.

I realize that I haven't shown it yet. Thanks for the kudos.

Keep plugging along with that copy of "Now Your Talking", old timer.

Dave K8MN

## 312. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 18:15:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <atsaf.5278$RI1.5213@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122827

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 15:01:08 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Well, after (ugh) reading through 3,599
>>> filings on the FCC ECFS
>>> under WT Docket 05-235 (up to 31 October 2005),
>>> I'm convinced
>>> that way too many radio amateurs are still
>>> stuck to the glorious
>>> past of a half century ago in radio communications.
>>
>>Why, Len? Because the *majority* disagree with you?
>
>
> what majority?

Where've you been? Did you miss the news about the hurricanes and
Scooter Libby's indictment too?

>>> Their bliss
>>> over the efficacy of morsemanship shines
>>> on under skies unclouded
>>> by progress in technology...
>>> which had already begun before they
>>> got their first amateur license.
>>
>>You haven't got *your* first amateur radio license, Len.
>
>
> so what you keep beating that dead horse it smells pretty bad by now

Yep, Len's carcass is beginning to stink up the joint after that "Extra
right out of the box" comment.

>
>>> They BELIEVE deep in their
>>> little hearts that morsemanship is THE essential
>>> ingredient in
>>> becoming an extra-super-special radio "expert,"
>>> "well-rounded"
>>> and a "leader" in amateurism.

>>
>>And what do *you* believe deep in your little heart, Len?
>>
>>
>>> It's a Belief so deep, so basic,
>>> that they are convinced that ALL morsemen
>>> are "experts" on
>>> everything and those who don't Believe
>>> as they do are heretics
>>> who know nothing about everything.
>>
>>Sounds like sour grapes on your part.
>>
>>The actual count of individuals commenting showed that
>>once duplicates and nonresponsive filings were removed,
>>55% of those commenting support at least a Morse Code
>>test for Extra. Only 45% support the NPRM, with its
>>complete removal of Morse Code testing.
>
>
> nice to see the ARRL can whip it some of it memebrs into a lather

Is it your opinion that the ARRL told its membership what view to take
in commenting on the 05-235 issue?

> and of course your logica is Flawed in suggesting that all Ham favor
> coded extra oppose Code free general making the No code position
> clearly the purality

What is clear is that you comprehend what Jim wrote.

> and indeed the coded extra support just shows the foolish ness of the
> Procode side

I know it'll be a stretch, but do you think you could tell us what
thought processes took place in your formulation of such a view?

> if there is any need for code testing at HF it applies to the general
> as well as the Extras

Good idea, Mark.

> so the Procode side merely shows itself as being for restriction whad
> enough flushing the restN

Let's see if I have this correct: The procode testing side is for
restriction whad enough flushing the rest? Can that be what you meant
to convey?

Dave K8MN

## 313. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 18:46:44 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9mmkm1la5ku1v5pvgr73scap164kbtkcr2@4ax.com>
Source record: 122828

On 3 Nov 2005 03:34:51 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 2 Nov 2005 08:48:39 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Iitoi wrote:
>> >> K4YZ Wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for.....
>> >> >
>> >> > Secondly, if Y O U ........
>> >> >
>> >> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" ...........
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Did I call that one right, or what!!!!!!!!
>> >
>> > Call what?
>> >
>> > I'm supposed to drop a C-Note in the mail for a wager that was
>> >never accepted or agreed upon...?!?!
>>
>> it was accepted
>
> No, it was not.
>
>> Silence is assent that is one the priciples of English Common Law
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
>
> No, Markie, "silence" is NOT "assent" under ANY law in the United
>States, English or otherwise!

bullshit

you know very little about the law

makes it likely your assertion about felonies is about as acurate
>
> A wager is a contract, and a contract requires both the advice and

>CONSENT of BOTH parites to be binding...
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 314. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 18:48:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <gpmkm1t62qec2qvmmkm0pvt9rr2rolfm39@4ax.com>
Source record: 122829

On 3 Nov 2005 03:30:03 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 1 Nov 2005 07:58:19 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to
>> >take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had
>> >Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have
>> >gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...
>>
>> welching again
>
> Nope. Not now, not "again".

yep you have welched on several
>
>> > Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my
>> >Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never
>> >"accepted the wager. You ignored it.
>>
>> now you admit to adultry (in the christain not legal sense Stevie
>> being a married man
>
> WHAT...?!?!

that is adultery according your christain faith
>
> Sheesh! Talk about a stretch!

tlak to your minister or preast
>
>> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you
>> >to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further
>> >demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that
>> >fact surprises anyone.
>>
>> you are the felon for solicting the bet accros State lines Stevie
>
> "soliciting" "accross"
>
> Nope. It's a misdemeanor at best, and since it was not accepted,
>no wager existed, Markie...

then you were lying in saying it was felony I'll go back and look at
your post to see if your betting should incuded

>
>Steve, K4YZ

---------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 315. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 18:52:45 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <dtmkm1pvohodujskeav4trh8h2g72ogceo@4ax.com>
Source record: 122830

On 3 Nov 2005 03:05:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
>> > > > Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options
>> >
>> > > > Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
>> > > > of lies
>> > > > on my part.
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > > We'll wait right here.
>> >
>> > > Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you may
>> > > send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
>> > > you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
>> > > "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
>> >
>> > Sorry, Brain...Several issues.
>>
>> Predicted.
>
> I see.

indeed it was predicted andyou followed along
>
>> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to
>> > take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had
>> > Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have
>> > gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...
>> >
>> > Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my
>> > Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never
>> > "accepted the wager. You ignored it.
>>
>> Why do you always acuse people other than yourself of being dishonest,
>> jerk?
>
> So far I've not seen otherwise.

then you agree it seem syou do accuse people of being dishoinest
everone but your self

>
>> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you
>> > to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further
>> > demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that
>> > fact surprises anyone.
>> >
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> Sorry, Steve...Several issues.
>>
>> First of all, >>>YOU<<< had made the wager across state lines. I did
>> the legal and honorable thing; I didn't accept it.
>
> No...You ignored the possibility that I was right. You've made
>several other wagering challenges yourself in the past, so feel free to
>dismount the "high horse" any time you're ready.

nothing illegal or dishonorable in not accepting something
>
>> Secondly, I did not accept the wager nor ask you to mail it to me. I
>> actually forbid you to mail anything to me.
>
> Uh huh.
>
>> Lastly, what I did ask is that you donate your wager to the 10th
>> District QSL Buro since you have denigrated all 10th District amateurs
>> ("ZEROES") and the corpse of W0EX in an effort to get a laugh.
>
> I stand corrected on your "donation to the zero land" buro, however
>your suggestion that I somehow defiled ANYone's corpse is assinine and
>disgusting...

it is disgusting that the way you defile men in order to make your
sick points
>
> But then, considering the source.....
>
>> That is the least you can do for 10-Landers. Donations are allowed to cross
>> state lines, aren't they?
>
> Yes, they are.
>
>> Again, further demonstration that you would not only wiggle your way
>> out as predicted, but you make accusations as you do do. Not that that
>> fact surprises anyone.
>
> So far the only accusation here is that I have "welched" on a bet,
>an act that could not not have occured since the wager was never
>accepted.
>
> As for "wiggling out" of anything, I think you already set a
>several-years-long track record of having no tolerance or use for

>"rules" of any kind, and that would include "accepting" a publically
>offered wager IN public...Not trying to make an issue of it as somehow
>not "kept" years after you diss'ed it.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 316. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie in sane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 18:56:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <c4nkm1t5q5brphkiomvr7ehk54vup1mbc7@4ax.com>
Source record: 122831

On 3 Nov 2005 02:36:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 1 Nov 2005 10:26:03 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 31 Oct 2005 06:44:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >> > > ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
>> >> >> > > Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
>> >> >> > > thought folks might enjoy the laugh
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
>> >> >> > > Date: 1998/09/28
>> >> >> > > Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
>> >> >> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
>> >> >> > > | Report Abuse
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Yikes!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Well,
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
>> >> >> > > forward,
>> >> >> > > no-holds barred personal I ever read!
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > > SR
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > Thought Steve was married and all that?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> so did I as I said I was looking the google archives searching the best
>> >> >> evidence to use against him and that post just jumped out at me
>> >> >
>> >> > Sorry to break your hearts, guys, but the hacking of that account
>> >> >was why it was dropped.
>> >>
>> >> of course you get to use that excuse
>> >

>> > No "excuse", Markie...Fact...
>>
>> so you say
>>
>> but in going the Google archive I found a lie from in whcih you claim
>> abortion is Illgeal that is LIE
>>
>> you lie so much not many people believe you
>
> Uhhh..yeeeeah....Right.

yea right you lie

some recently uncovered gems

"Abortion is ilegal"

wether or not abortion should be ilegal is another matter but for the
presenet tabortion is legal you an LPN saying otherwise on a medical
matter is likely of interest to the nursing board

as Brain said Google provides
>
>> >> But I just thought it was funny and thought the folks here would a
>> >> laugh
>> >
>> > What laugh?
>>
>> Brain and Len seem to enjoy it and they were laughing at you
>
> Brain and Lennie spend a LOT of time laughing at themselves,
>Markie.

so at theat momonet they were laughing at you

>
>> > At you? Then you succeeded!
>> >
>> >> > Markie...if that's the BEST you can do to use something "against"
>> >> >me, then you're even LAMER than I gave you credit for.
>> >>
>> >> it is all you use against me to make some of your charges
>> >
>> > You ARE lame, Markie...In spades!
>>
>> more empty talk
>
> Nope.

yes empty talk

>
> Facts.

Opinion presented as a fact

therefore you lied again

and flush the rest

---

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 317. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 18:58:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ubnkm19rbt6hjbga574c1o9gbmtl13kht7@4ax.com>
Source record: 122832

```
On 2 Nov 2005 23:03:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 2 Nov 2005 12:29:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 2 Nov 2005 10:13:54 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >> On 2 Nov 2005 09:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> >> >> >> in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>> >> >> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> >> >> >> >> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> <snip>
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >>>I'd imagine
>> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
>> >> >> >> >and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > So...Guess that "nobody here likes you" thing was WRONG, eh,
>> >> >> >Frankie?
>> >> >>
>> >> >> nobdoy does that was just Dave
>> >> >
>> >> > "nobody"
>> >> >
>> >> > "just Dave".
>> >> >
>> >> > So now YOU are calling Dave a "nobody"..?!?!
>> >>
>> >> yes I am
>> >
>> > That's DOUBLE freakin hillarious!
>>
>> glad you enjoyed it
```

>
> Who said I "enjoyed" it...?!?!

you did
>
> I said it was "hillarious".

yes you did

>
>> >> > THAT'S FREAKIN' HILLARIOUS!
>> >>
>> >> glad you enjoyed it
>> >
>> > On, no, Markie...we're laughing AT you, not WITH you!
>>
>> either way gald you enjoyed it
>
> "glad"
>
> I didn't say I enjoyed it...I said it was hillarious.

then you lied

and Flush

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 318. Re: Marky C. Moron, er Morgan admits stalking Steve Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 19:01:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131044517.824303.283050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122833

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > been going stevie shit (not pleasennt reading) looking for stuff to
> > forward to Borad of nursing
>
> Marky admits to stalking Steve!!!!!!!!!!!!!

You're right! You're abso-freakin-lutley right!

Thanks, Whiny...Guess I can add THIS to what >>I<< will take to
the "Borad"....

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 319. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 19:09:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <2tnkm1pns5glcbp2bvolu2t3kbq16ph651@4ax.com>
Source record: 122834

```
On Thu, 3 Nov 2005 06:52:56 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
>"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:1131018365.152775.25150@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Dee Flint wrote:
>>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1131011750.846033.170400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>>> >> Card XII wrote:
>>> >> > <kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
>>> >> > news:bd7im11lrda1pvev5ubgcji02ut44r90bc@4ax.com...
>>> >> > > Hi there
>>> >> > > I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago, have
>>> >> > > largely learned
>>> >> > > to live with it by now (I am 34 yo and have a BA)
>>> >> > > Having gotten back to
>>> >> > > dealing Ham Radio, I am back to dealing with Morse
>>> >> > > to at least recieve
>>> >> > > a bit of and to docment today, my dyslexia, which
>>> >> > > would allow both
>>> >> > > various changes in the Testing requirements. I
>>> >> > > need refences to to
>>> >> > > help point my doctor ( an allergist) toward a test
>>> >> > > or three that would
>>> >> > > support the fact that I still have dyslyexia today
>>> >> > > (FCC dysbility
>>> >> > > requierments don't seem to realize that some
>>> >> > > things really don't get
>>> >> > > much better with time) Idealy one that will lend
>>> >> > > some support to the
>>> >> > > idea that I still have it, but are not too
>>> >> > > expensive to for him to
>>> >> > > order given to me
>>> >> >
>>> >> > There are no tests your allergist could use.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > If you want the question answered, you need to go see a licensed
>>> >> > psychologist or licensed school psychologist. "licensed" is key.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Not awfully expensive, and should get you good answers.
```

>>> >>
>>> >> someon is choosing to repost some old posts of mine
>>> >
>>> > Yes, they are.
>>> >
>>> > And I might add that CARD XII's suggestion of a "psychologist" for
>>> > your problems was al ill-informed as your attempt to use an
>>> > "allergist".
>>> >
>>> > Had you taken my advice years ago and followed-up with a
>>> > NEUROLOGIST you might have already been an Extra or General.
>>> >
>>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>> >
>>>
>>> That would not be correct.
>>
>> That would "not be correct" under the current rules, Dee. However
>> the re-posting from Markie was from several years ago. In that context
>> it was originally made, it was...
>>
>>> There have been no waivers allowed for the basic
>>> 5wpm. The only waivers that existed were for the 13wpm & 20wpm. Or do
>>> you
>>> mean that the neurologist could have advised him on training and testing
>>> approaches to learn and pass the 5wpm?
>>
>> No, I meant that he could have gotten past all of that over 5
>> years ago had he done what was suggested. Markie was correct in his
>> statement that someone reposted one of his old posts.
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>
>I'm still confused. You seem to be saying that he could have gotten a
>waiver for the 5wpm if he had done it years ago. That is not true. There
>has never been a waiver for the lowest speed. He still would have had to
>pass the 5wpm level to get any waiver.
>

well you got that right

you are confused and then you go babbling on about whatyou don't
bother to understand

i could tried getting some pretty extreme accomodation which no one is
willing to DISCUSS without proof, then waiver

and then you ignore Stevie and continue to babble when in strange
brust of fairness he points out the repost has been without context

you have said others should bother to understand before they post \

take your advice
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 320. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 19:11:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <d3okm1dqm9fam5m26b73cobbf4a6gqrb9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 122835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

On 3 Nov 2005 02:02:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Card XII wrote:
>> > <kb9rqz@amtas.com> wrote in message
>> > news:bd7im11lrda1pvev5ubgcji02ut44r90bc@4ax.com...
>> > > Hi there
>> > > I was diagnosed dyslexic, many years ago...(SNIP)
>
> We've seen it before...It was funny then, it's funny now, but worn
>out...
>
>> > There are no tests your allergist could use.
>> >
>> > If you want the question answered, you need to go see a licensed
>> > psychologist or licensed school psychologist. "licensed" is key.
>> >
>> > Not awfully expensive, and should get you good answers.
>>
>> someon is choosing to repost some old posts of mine
>
> Yes, they are...And CARD XII's suggestion of a "psychologist" was
>as ill-informed as YOUR initial discussions about your
>"allergist"...(Although you COULD use one, but not for getting a "Morse
>Code waiver...)
>
> Perhaps if you'd followed up with a NEUROLOGIST as I had suggested
>years ago, you'd have your General or Extra by now...

nope wrong again

Neurolgist don't treat Dyslexia

more bad medicine form I hope the soon to be ex nurse. but you were
fairer than normal so maybe I'll give you a break and not forward this
effort to give BAD medical advice
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 321. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 20:43:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131050597.901141.75000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >an_old_friend wrote:

> > Yes, they are...And CARD XII's suggestion of a "psychologist" was
> >as ill-informed as YOUR initial discussions about your
> >"allergist"...(Although you COULD use one, but not for getting a "Morse
> >Code waiver...)
> >
> > Perhaps if you'd followed up with a NEUROLOGIST as I had suggested
> >years ago, you'd have your General or Extra by now...
>
> nope wrong again
>
> Neurolgist don't treat Dyslexia

Wake up, Blockhead.

We were talking about your alleged inability to "hear" Morse
Code....It had NOTHING to due with your alleged dyslexia.

> more bad medicine form I hope the soon to be ex nurse....(SNIP)

Hmmmmmmmmm....What to do with my free time...Travel, maybe...?!?!

> but you were
> fairer than normal so maybe I'll give you a break and not forward this
> effort to give BAD medical advice

It wasn't "medical advice"..."Medical advice" is preparing and
implementing a care plan with PRESCRIBED diagnostics, thereapies,
treatment or medicines. Even suggesting a dose of Tylenol can, under
certain review, be considered "medical advice" although Tylenol is an
OTC medication.

I suggested you see a neurologist. You chose not to do so.
That's a very clearly authorized NURSING function.

Steve, K4YZ

# 322. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 20:47:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131050865.603333.90390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122837

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 2 Nov 2005 23:03:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 2 Nov 2005 12:29:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 2 Nov 2005 10:13:54 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> >> On 2 Nov 2005 09:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >> >> >> >> in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >> >> >> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> >> >> >> >> >> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> <snip>
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >>>I'd imagine
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
> >> >> >> >> >and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > So...Guess that "nobody here likes you" thing was WRONG, eh,
> >> >> >> >Frankie?
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> nobdoy does that was just Dave
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "nobody"
> >> >> >
> >> >> > "just Dave".
> >> >> >
> >> >> > So now YOU are calling Dave a "nobody"..?!?!
> >> >>
> >> >> yes I am
> >> >
> >> > That's DOUBLE freakin hillarious!
> >>
> >> glad you enjoyed it
> >

> > Who said I "enjoyed" it...?!?!
>
> you did

I requoted EVERTYHING you did, Markie.

NO WHERE in there did I say I "enjoyed" it.

> > I said it was "hillarious".
>
> yes you did

And NO WHERE did I say I 'enjoyed it'. Please show us where I
said that?

> >> >> > THAT'S FREAKIN' HILLARIOUS!
> >> >>
> >> >> glad you enjoyed it
> >> >
> >> > On, no, Markie...we're laughing AT you, not WITH you!
> >>
> >> either way gald you enjoyed it
> >
> > "glad"
> >
> > I didn't say I enjoyed it...I said it was hillarious.
>
> then you lied

Nope.

But you ahve...Again...You even quoted all of the text from which
YOU allege I said something that I clearly did not...

> and Flush

Lame try, Markie...

Steve. K4YZ

## 323. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 21:47:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131054476.600055.305720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122838

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 3 Nov 2005 03:05:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:

> >> First of all, >>>YOU<<< had made the wager across state lines. I did
> >> the legal and honorable thing; I didn't accept it.
> >
> > No...You ignored the possibility that I was right. You've made
> >several other wagering challenges yourself in the past, so feel free to
> >dismount the "high horse" any time you're ready.
>
> nothing illegal or dishonorable in not accepting something

No, there's not anything 'illegal or dishonorable' in NOT accepting
something...As a matter of fact, sometimes it takes more courage to say
"no thanks" than it does to say "yes" or "OK".

Howvever, THAT having been said, it >>IS<< "dishonorable" to come
back AFTER THE FACT and allege that something was "welched upon" when
it wasn't accepted in the first place.

Had Brain said "You're on", I would have shipped him his money.
He didn't. Oh well.

> >> Secondly, I did not accept the wager nor ask you to mail it to me. I
> >> actually forbid you to mail anything to me.
> >
> > Uh huh.
> >
> >> Lastly, what I did ask is that you donate your wager to the 10th
> >> District QSL Buro since you have denigrated all 10th District amateurs
> >> ("ZEROES") and the corpse of W0EX in an effort to get a laugh.
> >
> > I stand corrected on your "donation to the zero land" buro, however
> >your suggestion that I somehow defiled ANYone's corpse is assinine and
> >disgusting...
>
> it is disgusting that the way you defile men in order to make your
> sick points

No one was ever "defiled".

I pointed out that Dick Carrol's old callsign was coming available.
I also "wished him well" wherever he is...

Y'all did the rest.

Steve, K4YZ

## 324. Re: Question on AutoPatch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 22:00:45 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <ULvaf.16229$i%.9423@fed1read07>
Source record: 122839

"Matt" <matth@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:dkddbd02tim@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Matt wrote:
>> When using an autopatch you are basically allowing someone who is
>> unlicensed onto the repeater.
Yes

What responsiblity does the user of the
>> radio serve?
The licensed ham is the control operator -- fully responsible

And is there anything keeping an autopatch from allowing
>> someone to call INTO it and reach someone on amateur radio (aside from
>> configuration at the repeater site?).

Yes they must be a licensed ham operator just to transmit. And must have the
autopatch codes which are only given to members
>>
>> Now, what if someone unlicesed uses an autopatch to call a licensed ham
>> who IDs?
Illegal operation

>> I'm just curious here as I'm new to the hobby.
>
> For that matter.. what happens if someone puts me on hold and music starts
> spewing out of the repeater?

The licensed Ham - the control operator must immediately shut down the patch
and give their Amateur radio call sign

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

## 325. Re: Marky C. Moron, er Morgan admits stalking Steve Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 22:47:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131058053.227547.181340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122840

K4YZ wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > been going stevie shit (not pleasennt reading) looking for stuff to
> > > forward to Borad of nursing
> >
> > Marky admits to stalking Steve!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> You're right! You're abso-freakin-lutley right!
>
> Thanks, Whiny...Guess I can add THIS to what >>I<< will take to
> the "Borad"....

The "Borad" at the very least. I bet Michigan has anti-stalking laws.
The ones Marky is in violation of by his own admission. The
repercussions won't be "pleasennt" for him, either.

## 326. "Re: Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 22:51:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131058269.265076.215280@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122841

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 3 Nov 2005 01:58:13 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1131011893.108227.33940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 2 Nov 2005 17:19:34 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> >> <1130980774.193141.15210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >Steve, you really, really hate it when others behave as you do. Don't
> >> >sweat it, though. Few have the complete lack of conscience that it
> >> >takes to keep it up for very long.
> >>
> >> OUCH!!! Remind me never to piss -you- off!
> >
> > Especially since Brain is in the same category that he tried to
> >put me in, Frankie....
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> That one flew right past you, Dudly. At least now we know where you
> find all that extra time to spend on newsgroup posting when you are an
> EMT, LPN, CAP, TNSG, father, husband, etc, etc..... you sacrificed
> rational thinking. A real time-waster, huh?

Oh, I "got it" just fine, Frankie...Wasn't much to comment on.

And too bad YOU can't be all those things too, eh? A little slow
in the "juggling" department, are you, FoS.....?!?!

(There's the one that got past YOU all these weeks, Frankie!)

Steve, K4YZ

# 327. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 22:55:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131058557.081797.222640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122842

From: Bill Sohl on Nov 3, 7:24 am

>"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in message
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> If the FCC were mandated to accept the simple majority of
>> comments, either way you look at it, Morse Code testing (in the United
>> Staes) in one form or another would be staying for some time to come.
>
>IF the FCC were so mandated, but we all know they are
>not bound by any "voting" analogy. What also is obvious
>to those that have been around long enough is that
>the current "score" is a dramatic shift from opinions
>within the amateur community as compared to prior
>efforts to "score" support (98-143) or back when the
>first efforts to bring a nocode license began.

"Polls?" "Support?" About the ONLY thing available
there is ARRL writings, hardly an unbiased source of
"poll" information. Let's go through some history -

I started this "score card" posting at the beginning of
August as part of my own desire to get some "visibility"
into opinions as filed with the FCC. It isn't "official"
except the tallying has been done in an honest manner with
the best accuracy...such accuracy continuously verified
with the ECFS search engine totals for specified dates.

As of 1 PM EDT on 3 November 2005, there been 3,687 filings
in WT Docket 05-235 between the 2005 dates of 15 July to and
including 31 October. I've read ALL of those filings. I
have copies of ALL of those filings. Each and every filing
is available to anyone who has Internet access to the FCC.

In 1990 there was NO Internet for the public (it went public
in 1991). The Comments and Replies on 90-53 were only
visible by either subscribing to a copy service of the FCC or
going to their DC reading room in person. Just the same, the
final Report and Order DID create the no-code-test Technician
class license in 1991 and the numbers in that class have not
ceased growing in 14 years. Did the ARRL have some kind of
"poll" on those back then? I don't recall any from them or
from any other source. The MOVEMENT towards no-code-test
had begun years before.

In 1998 there was Internet access to the public and the FCC had gone on-line with the beginning of their ECFS. They had not fully organized clerical tasks and an examination of WT Docket 98-143 will show several Petitions mixed in with those for the "Restructuring" NPRM. That Docket was extended more than once, finally getting an official end on 15 Jan 99. The only "poll" on those filings was done by Leroy (Larry) Klose II. A masterful and complex task involving several different subjects of the NPRM, it is still in the ECFS as submitted, can be found by looking just past 15 January 1999. The total comment time was about 11 months...from the first appearance date of first 98-143 comments to the official close of comments on 15 Jan 99. The number of filings in that 11-month period were only about 2200. Did the ARRL supply any "official poll" on 98-143? I don't recall any or even if they mentioned Larry Klose' fine tabulation. Roughly 400 filings have been submitted in the YEARS after the close of comments on 98-143, most past the final R&O 99-412 which essentially voided any need for "more comments." Anyone with Internet access can see all of those filings.

WT Docket 05-235 (about NPRM 05-143) has had 3,687 filings in about 15 weeks, an average of over 240 per week. Has there been any "official poll" from the ARRL about 05-235? I haven't seen any. I haven't seen any from anyone else which is one reason for my creation of this "score card."

Supposedly there IS another "poll" or "score card" done elsewhere. A URL or other website information source has not been revealed publicly in here. Those who are interested in someone else's "score card" can go there... or they can go in and READ ALL of them.

The only "polling" information I've seen on the code test issue has been in the editorials of ARRL publications, hardly an unbiased source about morse code use. The ARRL has conditioned the thinking of generations of amateurs into the belief that morse code skill was the very epitome of amateur radio. On the other hand, the ARRL membership has NEVER been greater than a quarter of all licensed U.S. radio amateurs; as of July this year their publicly-stated membership was only 20 percent. The ARRL claims to "represent all amateurs" yet they will only allow MEMBERS to vote on anything. They represent NONE of those desiring to GET INTO amateur radio. The ARRL is simply PRO-code-test and their ONLY Comment on 05-235 so far (filed 31 October, last official day) is largely a STALLING tactic to attempt HOLDING any final R&O until the far future...i.e., they IMPEDE progress.

I am ceasing public posting of any "score card" on WT Docket 05-235. The official end of the Comment period was reached on 31 October...but the official end of the Replies to Comments period isn't until 14 November. I will forward private e-mail tallies to those I select. You are getting one, Bill.

I have received too many false charges of "inaccuracy" and general "dishonesty" about my "score card" to make it useless continuing this tally in public. NONE of those crybaby finger-pointers have provided any "improvements" to tallying opinions nor of providing "greater accuracy." They have NOT proved a single charge of "inaccuracy" nor of "dishonesty." They DO continue to obscure this important issue in U.S. amateur radio regulations with long, long, long postings alleged to be "just questions" or "clarifications." They are neither. They are HECKLING. Malicious heckling probably done in hopes that I will not have any time left but to post replies to their "just questions."

Not to worry on time. I can MAKE time for continuing observation of NPRM 05-143 progress. I stand my ground on what I've posted in public so far. Those who charge "dishonesty" or "inaccuracy" had damn well PROVE their point with EXACT locations. Negative criticsm does nothing but further the "Animal House" behavior that has polluted this venue called a news-group for the last year.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 328. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 22:59:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131058783.107405.248100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122843

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 2, 4:38 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> > Well, after (ugh) reading through 3,599
>> > filings on the FCC ECFS
>> > under WT Docket 05-235 (up to 31 October 2005),
>> > I'm convinced
>> > that way too many radio amateurs are still
>> > stuck to the glorious
>> > past of a half century ago in radio communications.
>
>> Why, Len? Because the *majority* disagree with you?
>
>Steve agreed with removing the Morse Code Exam. I guess that makes him
>"progressive."

Robeson is still nuts, but maybe "progressively" nuts.

Jimmie is a whining little crybaby who loves to engage in
word "food fights" about minutae. In any other venue this
would be HECKLING.

Both are growing ALIKE in behavior. Scary thought, ey?

Jimmie thinks he knows ALL about long-time-ago radio. Thing is,
he did NOT work in radio a half century ago. I did. But,
Jimmie has READ a LOT about long-time-ago radio and must think
he "knows" about it...just like he "knows" all about military
life and how it feels to be geographically close to unfriendlies
during the Cold War.

There have now been 3,687 filings on WT Docket 05-235 at the FCC.
That is, very roughly, only 1% of all U.S. licensees including
or excluding those in their grace period. Statistically, that
small number would be rather marginal for any REAL determination
of either minority or majority.

However, from the TEXT of those who are against the NPRM, it is
rather obvious that the MAJORITY of THOSE are still stuck in
the morse myths and standards-practices in amateur radio of
the 1930s. Some of those believe they are engaging in some
kind of "service to their nation" by their ham radio hobby.

[the FCC uses the word "service" as a regulatory term, denoting
a type and kind of radio being regulated...such as Citizens

Band Radio SERVICE]

>> You haven't got *your* first amateur radio license, Len.
>
>That would make Len "unbiased."

Irrelevant to Jimmie. Jimmie NEVER operated any HF
transmitter in the 1950s. Jimmie NEVER got any FCC
license in that time. I did both. [First 'Phone in
1956 at an FCC Field Office in Chicago, one sitting,
no retries and passed]

Us readers do NOT know for sure whether or not Jimmie EVER
worked in ANY radio service OTHER than amateur radio. He
won't say in public. Jimmie hasn't admitted that all radio
works by the SAME laws of physics. Since that is established
fact, the distinction between 'amateur' and any other kind or
type of radio is solely an adminstrative differentiation by
a radio regulating agency. Jimmie wants to make amateur radio
technology/operation somehow SPAY-SHUL and "more advanced"
than any commercial or military radio. The Church Lady is
in fine form...

<secular discussion omitted as not pertinent to "score cards">

>> > It's a Belief so deep, so basic,
>> > that they are convinced that ALL morsemen
>> > are "experts" on
>> > everything and those who don't Believe
>> > as they do are heretics
>> > who know nothing about everything.
>
>> Sounds like sour grapes on your part.
>
>Sounds like several of the amateurs that remain on this news group.

Sounds like ALL the pro-morse persons in here with the
exception of Hans Brakob.

>> The actual count of individuals commenting showed that
>> once duplicates and nonresponsive filings were removed,
>> 55% of those commenting support at least a Morse Code
>> test for Extra. Only 45% support the NPRM, with its
>> complete removal of Morse Code testing.
>
>Where did your numbers come from?

Probably from that "Secret Source" of his that he will NOT name.

Robeson still hasn't produced his "secret source" on the ex-
NADC person who supposedly did a "performance review" of my
assignment there for RCA Corporation in 1971. [NO such
"review" was ever done on contractor-visitor personnel]

Jimmie KNOWS things mo' bettah than anyone. Sigh.

>> But the filings on WT 05-235 show that the majority (55%!) of
>> those who commented want at least some code testing. That
>> majority is almost identical to those supporting more than
>> one code test speed back in 1998.
>
>Show your work.

He will NOT. All must "show their work" to HIM if HE
requests it.

Jimmie's numbers are "accurate" by definition...of Jimmie.
None may question that. [if they do, he goes into long,
long, long, lonnnnng posts 'challenging' minutae in
everything the challenger has said]

>> It's interesting that you will go on and on and on
>> about the motivations of people you've never met,
>> but you won't tell us *your* motivations for
>> changing the regulations of the Amateur Radio Service.
>> Which is a radio service you are not involved in.
>
>Lots of people deciding the outcome of the NPRM are not involved "in"
>amateur radio.

Irrelevant to Jimmie or any other PCTA in here. They haven't
looked at the FCC budget figures showing how many work there.

Jimmie is still stuck in "fraternal" concepts of rule. He
apparently doesn't understand that a single federal agency
regulates ALL of U.S. civil radio (i.e., non-government,
non-military). The Laws of Congress (Communications Act of
1934, Telecommunications Act of 1996) have NOT required
ANYONE in the FCC to hold amateur radio license grants.

Jimmie and the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society (ARS) think
they are a "law" unto themselves. Bless the ARRL for
continuing reinforcement of that conditioned thinking.
Want to GET INTO amateur radio? Follow the 'rule' of the
Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society and test for beeping.
No beep, no get into HF ham bands. Gosh, and they did NOT
tell the Army about that a half century ago!

The fraternal order doesn't want non-beepers dirtying up
their private sandbox. Their sand is elite. But their
sand is also sometimes used for kitty litter.

Beep, beep

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 329. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 23:07:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131059267.730207.93030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: Frank Gilliland on Thurs 3 Nov 2005 04:03

>On 1 Nov 2005 13:31:43 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in

><snip>
>>........Dudly knows dink about electronics engineering.
>> Dudly "worked there" less than a half year according to
>> posts in here, either taking that job or quitting "due to
>> [emotional upset over] the death of his daughter."
>>
>> We are all required to accept his claims as "true" since
>> the PCTAs all frown on challenging anything of anyone
>> criticizing someone suffering a personal loss. No one
>> else but Dudly can possibly have such traumatic episodes
>> in their life and thus nobody else deserves any slack.
>
>Munchausen by proxy comes to mind.....

Heh heh heh heh.

Frank, this newsgroup is filled with those who have NO
idea of who or what the Baron is... :-)

However, I don't doubt that Dudly COULD be a genetic
descendent of ol' Munchie.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 330. Re: "Scorecard" On Markie's Rallying Call To Arms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 23:40:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131061208.903372.53310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122845

K4YZ wrote:
> Markie starts off with...:
>
> QUOTE:
>
> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> gather
>
> Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>
> Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
> reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
> for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
>
> to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> lying on Stevie part
>
> in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
> Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
> seemed most important to the person I was speaking to
>
> Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
> witness tampering
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
> UNQUOTE:
>
> Let's see how they are rallying to Markie's effort
>
> QUOTE FROM FRANK GILLILAND
>
> Frank Gilliland
> Oct 31, 1:57 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> - Find messages by
> this author

> Date: Mon, 31 Oct 2005 11:57:59 -0800
> Local: Mon, Oct 31 2005 1:57 pm
> Subject: Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
> On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:53:10 -0500, kb9...@amsat.org wrote in
> <fapcm1dlqu1o61mc9r5tkcj9anl0hj7...@4ax.com>:
>
> >Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> >and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> >gather
>
> >Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> >mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> >this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>
> Don't ask me to take any shred of responsibility for your actions.
> Dudly's posts are a matter of public record and nobody needs my
> address in order to read them. And you should rethink your intention
> of getting him booted from his job -- although he's a nitwit and a
> pathological liar, he might just be a damn fine LPN.
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> There's one "NO".
>
> QUOTE FROM BRIAN BURKE
>
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com
> Oct 31, 7:36 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com - Find messages by this author
> Date: 31 Oct 2005 17:36:52 -0800
> Local: Mon, Oct 31 2005 7:36 pm
> Subject: Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> > and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can

> > gather
>
> > Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> > mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> > this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>
> > Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
> > reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
> > for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
>
> > to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> > lying on Stevie part
>
> > in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
> > Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
> > seemed most important to the person I was speaking to
>
> > Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
> > witness tampering
>
> I don't think so.
>
> Steve has been warned away from visiting my home, and that's good
> enough for me at this time.
>
> His anti-everything comments are public record. Ditto his paranoid
> "liar behind every tree" fantasy. Google provides.
>
> Being the prolific poster that he is, he's probably made hundreds if
> not thousands of posts from his employers computers. If they don't
> already know what kind of idiot they have on "thier" hands by now they
> never will.
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> There's TWO "no's"
>
> QUOTE AGAIN FROM FRANK
>
> Frank Gilliland
> Oct 31, 7:38 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: Frank Gilliland <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> - Find messages by
> this author
> Date: Mon, 31 Oct 2005 17:38:40 -0800
> Local: Mon, Oct 31 2005 7:38 pm
> Subject: Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse

>
> On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 15:53:29 -0500, kb9...@amsat.org wrote in
> <0t0dm1h16kak8bk0gffv38v3bd8ftv0...@4ax.com>:
>
> >On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 11:57:59 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> ><wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote:
>
> >>On Mon, 31 Oct 2005 13:53:10 -0500, kb9...@amsat.org wrote in
> >><fapcm1dlqu1o61mc9r5tkcj9anl0hj7...@4ax.com>:
>
> >>>Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> >>>and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> >>>gather
>
> >>>Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> >>>mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> >>>this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
>
> >>Don't ask me to take any shred of responsibility for your actions.
> >>Dudly's posts are a matter of public record and nobody needs my
> >>address in order to read them. And you should rethink your intention
> >>of getting him booted from his job -- although he's a nitwit and a
> >>pathological liar, he might just be a damn fine LPN.
>
> >not asking you to take a shred of responibility
>
> Good, because I won't.
>
> >The paper work is already underway like I said I could use you
> >privding an addres in case they want to question you as a WITNESS or
> >you refusal
>
> I thought I was clear about this: I am no more a "witness" than anyone
> else who can read the archives, which I hope would include the nursing
> board members. Regardless, I don't think you will be reading too many
> new posts from Dudly for at least a few months -- that is, unless he's
> really, really dumb.
>
> >The action is at my doing
>
> Yes it is. Let's keep it that way.
>
> >I don't think he can be trusted to treat his pateints properly with
> >the hate filled lies he spews
>
> >all I am asking is to privde thee address or flat out refuse I was
> >aked to do by the Nursing board I honestly don't care which choice you
> >take
>
> If the nursing board feels my input is important, they can contact me

> directly through my email address.
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet
> News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption
> =----
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> There's a reaffirmation of the FIRST "no", and pretty darned clear
> to me.
>
> QUOTE FROM LENNIE ANDERSON
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org
> Nov 1, 3:48 pm show options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: LenAnder...@ieee.org - Find messages by this author
> Date: 1 Nov 2005 13:48:53 -0800
> Local: Tues, Nov 1 2005 3:48 pm
> Subject: Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank
> Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> original | Report Abuse
>
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 5:36 pm
>
> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> >> and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> >> gather
>
> BIG SNIP DUE TO WINDY PONTIFICATIONS, BUT HERE'S THE "MONEY SHOT"
>
> Mark is on his own.
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> There's three "NO'S" and one rather adamant reaffrimation of a NO.
>
> We have yet to hear from Todd.
>
> So... 75% of Markie's support base have told him no, and told him
> so publically.
>
> Let's see if Don Quixotie still wants to tilt at those windmills.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I told Mark that I would not provide my address.

My address is available via the FCC licensing database as you well know. You know because you have posted snippets of it in an attempt to intimidate me.

bb

## 331. Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 23:49:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131061787.771805.86100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122846

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 2 Nov 2005 08:46:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by contastnly making new
> > thread
>
> What attack?
>
> YOU'RE the one with this "board of nursing" charade to cover your
> ego for not getting what you want.

Charade?

If you used your position as a Nurse to make phone calls to Mark's
community....

Some key words and phrases:

"Persons of Interest"

"Dialing"

# 332. Re: Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 23:54:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131062050.468554.94690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122847

K4YZ wrote:
> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > > On 2 Nov 2005 17:19:34 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > > <1130980774.193141.15210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >Steve, you really, really hate it when others behave as you do. Don't
> > > > >sweat it, though. Few have the complete lack of conscience that it
> > > > >takes to keep it up for very long.
> > >
> > >
> > > > OUCH!!! Remind me never to piss -you- off!
> >
> > Not me. I tried acting as Steve does...(SNIP)
>
> You tried telling the truth once?
>
> Where?

I documented something like 27 of your lies in what? 10 days?

> > (UNSNIP)...starting a new accusatory thread
> > every five minutes and calling him "liar" and worse.
>
> Yes...you TRIED calling me worse.
>
> Didn't work.

Actually, it did.

> > I got stomach aches and couldn't sleep at night, so I'm back to being
> > normal.
>
> You mean "normal for you"...

Yes.

> which includes a lot of dishonesty and
> deceit of your own making.

No. Acting like you - a jerk.

> > But somehow he keeps it up.
>

> "...keep(ing) it up" is not (pardon the pun) hard....At least not
> with the minimal challenges you provide, Brain...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, always the victor in usenet. Predictable and wrong.

## 333. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-03 23:57:32 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131062252.371151.202060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >
> > > http://tinyurl.com/8apbr
> >
> > Hmmm? "A lifelong interest..."
> >
> > That's enough to get the boys in the hood all nasty with you.
> >
> The boyz in the hood?
>
> <insert favorite two live crew toon here> ha

You see, Len has had a lifelong interest in radio. But in rrap, if
you've had a lifelong interest in radio and don't have a ham license,
it gets these guys all upset.

## 334. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 00:00:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131062403.308457.169810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122849

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 4:52 pm
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>>>>That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number
> >>>>>>>of things in amateur radio. Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough
> >>>>>>>to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len
> >>>>>>>is something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around
> >>>>>>>after the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>> James Weir runs RST Engineering. It is located in Grass Valley,
> >>>>>> CA, in Nevada County (California's "gold country").
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>Fine. I don't want to deflate his ego but when I saw a post from "RST
> >>>>>>>>Engineering" signed "Jim", it meant nothing to me. I never heard of it
> >>>>>>>>or him.
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>I've seen him on various r.r.a... groups for years.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>That's you. I was writing about me. If the guy wants to play "maybe
> >>>>you don't know who I am", I'm game. I didn't know who he was. Now that
> >>>>I know, I don't much care. I don't have an airplane and don't live in
> >>>>California.
> >>>
> >>> You're welcome to let not knowing people define your presence on rrap.
> >>>
> >>> Best of Luck.

>
> I'm free to reject the implication that I'm supposed to know who "Jim"
> "RST-Engineering" is. As Leonard H. Anderon pointed out, I have no
> business in civil aviation and no business in California politics. I
> like it that way.
>
> Dave K8MN

Yet I was supposed to know who a guy was who posted in here a couple of
times.

You'se guys sure do hang on to that PCTA double-standard.

## 335. Re: Another Len Quote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 00:03:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lzxaf.1242$uD5.1093@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122900

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>>>From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Oct 31, 4:52 pm
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>That brings us back to RST Jim. It is apparent that he's done a number
>>>>>>>>>of things in amateur radio. Perhaps he hasn't been around long enough
>>>>>>>>>to see who and what Len Anderson is. Maybe his agenda in defending Len
>>>>>>>>>is something entirely different. Perhaps he'll explain. I'll be around
>>>>>>>>>after the CQ WW SSB DX 'test.
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>> James Weir runs RST Engineering. It is located in Grass Valley,
>>>>>>> CA, in Nevada County (California's "gold country").
>>>>>>
>>>>>>Fine. I don't want to deflate his ego but when I saw a post from "RST
>>>>>>Engineering" signed "Jim", it meant nothing to me. I never heard of it
>>>>>>or him.
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>I've seen him on various r.r.a... groups for years.
>>>>
```

>>>>That's you. I was writing about me. If the guy wants to play "maybe
>>>>you don't know who I am", I'm game. I didn't know who he was. Now that
>>>>I know, I don't much care. I don't have an airplane and don't live in
>>>>California.
>>
>>>You're welcome to let not knowing people define your presence on rrap.
>>>
>>>Best of Luck.
>>
>>I'm free to reject the implication that I'm supposed to know who "Jim"
>>"RST-Engineering" is. As Leonard H. Anderon pointed out, I have no
>>business in civil aviation and no business in California politics. I
>>like it that way.
>>
>>Dave K8MN
>
>
> Yet I was supposed to know who a guy was who posted in here a couple of
> times.
>
> You'se guys sure do hang on to that PCTA double-standard.

I have no idea who or what you've written about. I'm not going to play
guessing games with you.

Dave K8MN

## 336. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 00:15:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131063343.022505.223250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122901

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > Well, after (ugh) reading through 3,599
> > > > filings on the FCC ECFS
> > > > under WT Docket 05-235 (up to 31 October 2005),
> > > > I'm convinced
> > > > that way too many radio amateurs are still
> > > > stuck to the glorious
> > > > past of a half century ago in radio communications.
> > >
> > > Why, Len? Because the *majority* disagree with you?
> >
> > Steve agreed with removing the Morse Code Exam. I guess that makes him
> > "progressive."
>
> That's not what I said.

It's what CQ magazine said that you said.

> I said I am in favor of allowing some access to HF without the
> Morse exam.

You did use a couple of double negatives to disallow non code-tested
hams access to narrowband operations.

> I also said I favor retaining the Morse exam for the Extra Class
> license and for limiting access to those frequencies where Morse is
> most used where the licensee has not demonstrated at least some
> proficiency in Morse Code.

CQ didn't indicate that.

> > > > Their bliss
> > > > over the efficacy of morsemanship shines
> > > > on under skies unclouded
> > > > by progress in technology...
> > > > which had already begun before they
> > > > got their first amateur license.
> > >
> > > You haven't got *your* first amateur radio license, Len.
> >
> > That would make Len "unbiased."
>

> No, it would not.
>
> It VERY heavily predisposes Lennie to a specific view.

He has no vested interest in Amateur Radio.

> > > > They BELIEVE deep in their
> > > > little hearts that morsemanship is THE essential
> > > > ingredient in
> > > > becoming an extra-super-special radio "expert,"
> > > > "well-rounded"
> > > > and a "leader" in amateurism.
> > > >
> > > And what do *you* believe deep in your little heart, Len?
> > >
> > That there is a God
> >
> Is there? Really?

Is there?

> > > > It's a Belief so deep, so basic,
> > > > that they are convinced that ALL morsemen
> > > > are "experts" on
> > > > everything and those who don't Believe
> > > > as they do are heretics
> > > > who know nothing about everything.
> > > >
> > > Sounds like sour grapes on your part.
> > >
> > Sounds like several of the amateurs that remain on this news group.
> >
> Such as?
>
> Seems everyone here, myself included, have expressed many different
> ideas on a great many issues.

Your ideas are usually summed up with, "putz, liar, deceit, coward,
dialing..."

> > > The actual count of individuals commenting showed that
> > > once duplicates and nonresponsive filings were removed,
> > > 55% of those commenting support at least a Morse Code
> > > test for Extra. Only 45% support the NPRM, with its
> > > complete removal of Morse Code testing.
> > >
> > Where did your numbers come from?
> >
> The FCC via Lennie's previous posts.
>
> Why?

Did Jim check Len's work?

> > > A few days ago, you wrote:
> > >
> > > "3. The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S.
> > > amateur community has been CHANGING all along...AWAY from
> > > the old, Old, OLD standards and practices." - Len Anderson
> > >
> > > But the filings on WT 05-235 show that the majority (55%!) of
> > > those who commented want at least some code testing. That
> > > majority is almost identical to those supporting more than
> > > one code test speed back in 1998.
> >
> > Show your work.
>
> It's LENNIE'S Work, Brain...The number are fluid, of course, but
> as of his last compilation, those numbers still come pretty close.

So Jim just takes Len's work and posts it as his own, without even
checking the validity of it?

> > > Another quote from what you wrote a few days ago:
> > >
> > > "That seems to piss you off greatly and makes you petulant, whiny, and
> > > accusatory. Tsk." - Len Anderson
> > >
> > > A perfect lead-in to the following:
> > >
> > > > Must be wonderful to exist in such deep
> > > > delusions of grandeur,
> > > > very satisfying, off in a wonderland of
> > > > their own fantasies
> > > > of self-importance and Greatness. <shrug>
> > >
> > > It's interesting that you will go on and on and on
> > > about the motivations of people you've never met,
> > > but you won't tell us *your* motivations for
> > > changing the regulations of the Amateur Radio Service.
> > > Which is a radio service you are not involved in.
> >
> > Lots of people deciding the outcome of the NPRM are not involved "in"
> > amateur radio.
>
> No...A FEW people are.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

No license required to have an opinion wrt amateur radio. No license
required to enforce amateur radio rules. No license required to
restructure the ARS. No license required to drop the morse code exam.

And that's the way it is.

## 337. Free Ride, was Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 00:28:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131064114.209748.209990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122902

Dee Flint wrote:
>
> I would disagree with this approach. Here's why.
>
> Let me start by saying that I believe all amateurs should have a basic
> ability in Morse code as it is one of the basics of amateur radio.

Why is it that you only want volunteers to take up the burden of learning morse code on "thier" free time and equip morse code radio stations at their own expense?

Why wouldn't you want paid, professional radio operators and radio users to know Morse Code? Especially paid emergency communicators and emergency responders.

If the government needed or wanted morse code radio operators, they should create a GS5-7-9-11 series and get them.

But the PCTA are more than willing to donate other people's free time and money in order to provide the government with something it doesn't want and doesn't want to pay for, just so you'll have someone to QSL with on the low end of the bands.

Thanks a lot

## 338. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 00:46:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131065202.141555.39920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122903

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 2, 4:38 pm
>
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> > Well, after (ugh) reading through 3,599
> >> > filings on the FCC ECFS
> >> > under WT Docket 05-235 (up to 31 October 2005),
> >> > I'm convinced
> >> > that way too many radio amateurs are still
> >> > stuck to the glorious
> >> > past of a half century ago in radio communications.
> >
> >> Why, Len? Because the *majority* disagree with you?
> >
> >Steve agreed with removing the Morse Code Exam. I guess that makes him
> >"progressive."
>
> Robeson is still nuts, but maybe "progressively" nuts.
>
> Jimmie is a whining little crybaby who loves to engage in
> word "food fights" about minutae. In any other venue this
> would be HECKLING.

Yep.

> Both are growing ALIKE in behavior. Scary thought, ey?

"Dialing..."

> Jimmie thinks he knows ALL about long-time-ago radio. Thing is,
> he did NOT work in radio a half century ago. I did. But,
> Jimmie has READ a LOT about long-time-ago radio

In the amateur radio literature from one amateur radio publication
house.

and must think
> he "knows" about it...just like he "knows" all about military
> life and how it feels to be geographically close to unfriendlies
> during the Cold War.

Did he get his "Cold War" certificate?

> There have now been 3,687 filings on WT Docket 05-235 at the FCC.
> That is, very roughly, only 1% of all U.S. licensees including
> or excluding those in their grace period. Statistically, that
> small number would be rather marginal for any REAL determination
> of either minority or majority.
>
> However, from the TEXT of those who are against the NPRM, it is
> rather obvious that the MAJORITY of THOSE are still stuck in
> the morse myths and standards-practices in amateur radio of
> the 1930s. Some of those believe they are engaging in some
> kind of "service to their nation" by their ham radio hobby.

See my reply to Dee about communicators needing morse code.

> [the FCC uses the word "service" as a regulatory term, denoting
> a type and kind of radio being regulated...such as Citizens
> Band Radio SERVICE]

Correct.

> >> You haven't got *your* first amateur radio license, Len.
> >
> >That would make Len "unbiased."
>
> Irrelevant to Jimmie. Jimmie NEVER operated any HF
> transmitter in the 1950s. Jimmie NEVER got any FCC
> license in that time. I did both. [First 'Phone in
> 1956 at an FCC Field Office in Chicago, one sitting,
> no retries and passed]

But, but, but...

> Us readers do NOT know for sure whether or not Jimmie EVER
> worked in ANY radio service OTHER than amateur radio. He
> won't say in public. Jimmie hasn't admitted that all radio
> works by the SAME laws of physics. Since that is established
> fact, the distinction between 'amateur' and any other kind or
> type of radio is solely an adminstrative differentiation by
> a radio regulating agency. Jimmie wants to make amateur radio
> technology/operation somehow SPAY-SHUL and "more advanced"
> than any commercial or military radio. The Church Lady is
> in fine form...

The government doesn't want morse code in "thier" radio services.

> <secular discussion omitted as not pertinent to "score cards">

Fair enough.

> >> > It's a Belief so deep, so basic,
> >> > that they are convinced that ALL morsemen
> >> > are "experts" on
> >> > everything and those who don't Believe
> >> > as they do are heretics

> >> > who know nothing about everything.
> >
> >> Sounds like sour grapes on your part.
> >
> >Sounds like several of the amateurs that remain on this news group.
>
> Sounds like ALL the pro-morse persons in here with the
> exception of Hans Brakob.

Hans has long advocated eliminating the morse code tests and limiting
license classes to two; Unlimited and Learner Permit.

> >> The actual count of individuals commenting showed that
> >> once duplicates and nonresponsive filings were removed,
> >> 55% of those commenting support at least a Morse Code
> >> test for Extra. Only 45% support the NPRM, with its
> >> complete removal of Morse Code testing.
> >
> >Where did your numbers come from?
>
> Probably from that "Secret Source" of his that he will NOT name.
>
> Robeson still hasn't produced his "secret source" on the ex-
> NADC person who supposedly did a "performance review" of my
> assignment there for RCA Corporation in 1971. [NO such
> "review" was ever done on contractor-visitor personnel]
>
> Jimmie KNOWS things mo' bettah than anyone. Sigh.

He is always the victor in any discussion, um, errr, I meant argument.

> >> But the filings on WT 05-235 show that the majority (55%!) of
> >> those who commented want at least some code testing. That
> >> majority is almost identical to those supporting more than
> >> one code test speed back in 1998.
> >
> >Show your work.
>
> He will NOT. All must "show their work" to HIM if HE
> requests it.
>
> Jimmie's numbers are "accurate" by definition...of Jimmie.

Except his "moon distance" numbers. In all fairness, he might have
been referring to any one of Jupiter's moons.

> None may question that. [if they do, he goes into long,
> long, long, lonnnnng posts 'challenging' minutae in
> everything the challenger has said]

The Steve shows up and everything is lies, Lies, LIES!

> >> It's interesting that you will go on and on and on
> >> about the motivations of people you've never met,
> >> but you won't tell us *your* motivations for
> >> changing the regulations of the Amateur Radio Service.
> >> Which is a radio service you are not involved in.
> >
> >Lots of people deciding the outcome of the NPRM are not involved "in"
> >amateur radio.
>
> Irrelevant to Jimmie or any other PCTA in here. They haven't
> looked at the FCC budget figures showing how many work there.
>
> Jimmie is still stuck in "fraternal" concepts of rule. He
> apparently doesn't understand that a single federal agency
> regulates ALL of U.S. civil radio (i.e., non-government,
> non-military). The Laws of Congress (Communications Act of
> 1934, Telecommunications Act of 1996) have NOT required
> ANYONE in the FCC to hold amateur radio license grants.

And the ones who do should have "thier" licenses held in abeyence
during their term in government just so there is no conflict of
interest.

> Jimmie and the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society (ARS) think
> they are a "law" unto themselves. Bless the ARRL for
> continuing reinforcement of that conditioned thinking.

I'll have to look up that new guy who came on here several years ago
singing the praises of the Morse Code that he was -going- to learn. He
was the darling of the group, all PCTA fawning all over him. I looked
up his call about a year ago - still a no code. Wonder what "rank" he
hold today?

> Want to GET INTO amateur radio? Follow the 'rule' of the
> Archaic Radiotelegraphy Society and test for beeping.
> No beep, no get into HF ham bands. Gosh, and they did NOT
> tell the Army about that a half century ago!

Something for nothing.

> The fraternal order doesn't want non-beepers dirtying up
> their private sandbox. Their sand is elite. But their
> sand is also sometimes used for kitty litter.
>
> Beep, beep
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

bb

## 339. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 00:59:25 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Nnyaf.4567$m81.4509@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122904

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:TpqdnYSObKAJYvTenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
(SNIP)
> Let me start by saying that I believe all amateurs should have a basic
> ability in Morse code as it is one of the basics of amateur radio.

That is only your opinion. At one time morse was truly one of the
basics of amateur radio but that is simply untrue (IMHO) today.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 340. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 01:09:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131066584.613907.172030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122905

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 3 Nov 2005 03:05:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> >> > > > Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options
> >> >
> >> > > > Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
> >> > > > of lies
> >> > > > on my part.
> >> > > >
> >> > > > We'll wait right here.
> >> >
> >> > Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you may
> >> > send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
> >> > you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
> >> > "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
> >> >
> >> Sorry, Brain...Several issues.
> >>
> >> Predicted.
> >
> > I see.
>
> indeed it was predicted andyou followed along

He must follow the Robeson profile.

> >> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to
> >> > take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had
> >> > Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have
> >> > gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...
> >> >
> >> > Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my
> >> > Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never
> >> > "accepted the wager. You ignored it.
> >>
> >> Why do you always acuse people other than yourself of being dishonest,
> >> jerk?
> >
> > So far I've not seen otherwise.
>
> then you agree it seem syou do accuse people of being dishoinest
> everone but your self

Steve is pure. Everyone else is a liar.

> >> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you
> >> > to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further
> >> > demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that
> >> > fact surprises anyone.
> >> >
> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> Sorry, Steve...Several issues.
> >>
> >> First of all, >>>YOU<<< had made the wager across state lines. I did
> >> the legal and honorable thing; I didn't accept it.
> >
> > No...You ignored the possibility that I was right. You've made
> >several other wagering challenges yourself in the past, so feel free to
> >dismount the "high horse" any time you're ready.
>
> nothing illegal or dishonorable in not accepting something

I almost always ignore the possibility that Steve is "right." I almost
always ignore the possiblitiy that Steve is telling a "truth." The
possiblitiies are so remote...

> >> Secondly, I did not accept the wager nor ask you to mail it to me. I
> >> actually forbid you to mail anything to me.
> >
> > Uh huh.

That's right. Google provides.

> >> Lastly, what I did ask is that you donate your wager to the 10th
> >> District QSL Buro since you have denigrated all 10th District amateurs
> >> ("ZEROES") and the corpse of W0EX in an effort to get a laugh.
> >
> > I stand corrected on your "donation to the zero land" buro,

Indeed you do.

however
> >your suggestion that I somehow defiled ANYone's corpse is assinine and
> >disgusting...
>
> it is disgusting that the way you defile men in order to make your
> sick points

Google has his exact post.

> > But then, considering the source.....
> >
> >> That is the least you can do for 10-Landers. Donations are allowed to cross
> >> state lines, aren't they?
> >
> > Yes, they are.

> >
> >> Again, further demonstration that you would not only wiggle your way
> >> out as predicted, but you make accusations as you do do. Not that that
> >> fact surprises anyone.
> >
> > So far the only accusation here is that I have "welched" on a bet,
> >an act that could not not have occured since the wager was never
> >accepted.
> >
> > As for "wiggling out" of anything, I think you already set a
> >several-years-long track record of having no tolerance or use for
> >"rules" of any kind,

Obviously you lie.

> >and that would include "accepting" a publically
> >offered wager IN public...

I'll accept nothing from you short of an apology for your years of
badgering and lying.

> >Not trying to make an issue of it as somehow
> >not "kept" years after you diss'ed it.
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ

You're the one making an issue of it in here, Tennessee Nurse Steven J
Robeson, LPN.

"Every obnoxious act is a cry for help." Zig Ziglar

Get help

# 341. Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism and Hiram Maxwell my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 01:18:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131067128.678877.54970@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122906

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Iitoi wrote:
> >
> >>Hiram Maxwell?
> >>
> >>Who's that?
> >>
> >>Man In The Maze
> >>QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
> >>
> >>
> >>--
> >>Iitoi
> >
> >
> > He invented the Maxwell machine gun. Sold them to Russia in the early
> > part of the last century.
>
> Really? I didn't know that.

No, not really. I guess I forgot the smiley.

> Did you know that Hiram Percy Maxim's uncle invented the *Maxim machine
> gun*?

Yup.

> Hiram Percy invented the automobile muffler and a gun silencer
> among other things.
>
> Dave K8MN

Yup. Then the GCA 68 uninvented it. I guess most folks preferred
loud, annoying gunfire.

## 342. The Real Estate Analogy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 01:34:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131068090.230299.88720@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122907

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM <N2EY@AOL.COM> on Tues 1 Nov 2005 16:35
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
> >> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
> >> >> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> >How can you can guarantee that what happened to cb cannot happen to
> >amateur radio?
>
> I didn't "GUARANTEE" anything, Jimmie. The future happens when
> it happens.

You've said over and over that removing the Morse Code test would have
*no*
effect on existing licensees. Now you say you can't guarantee there
would be
no effect..

> >> 1. The 11 m amateur band of 1958 was taken away from amateurs
> >> in the USA then, 47 years ago. It (and some other services)
> >> were allocated to use part of it.
> >
> >That's right. FCC made a big mistake doing that - one they're still
> >trying to deal with.
>
> Why was that a "mistake?"

Because 27 MHz was/is not a good choice for short-range land-mobile
radio communications like cb.

Because the FCC did not have the resources to enforce its own
rules, and the cb folks did not have the discipline to adhere to
those rules.

> Amateurs weren't using their former 11 meter band enough.

How do you know? You weren't a radio amateur then and
you aren't one now.

My reading of the history says that hams got 11 meters just
after WW2 as a sort of compensation for the near-total loss of

160 (a very popular band before WW2) to LORAN. By 1958,
hams had 15 meters and more access to 160. So FCC
reassigned 11 meters.

The fact that FCC could reassign 11 meters without a
treaty change was a big part of the decision, too.

> The FCC is NOT "dealing with" CB now.

Sure they are. Where do you think freebanders come from?
Or the illegal makers and sellers of 11 meter amplifiers? Or
the intruders into the 10 and 12 meter ham bands?

> Why do you care?

Because I don't want what happened to cb to happen to
amateur radio. It seems to me that *you* would like for
amateur radio to become just like cb.

> YOU were NOT INVOLVED with radio of any kind
> in 1958.

Yes, I was.

> YOU were NOT AWARE of the FCC in 1958.

Yes, I was.

> YOU were NOT AWARE of much of anything in 1958.

In 1958 I was four years old. I was aware of lots of things
at that age. A lot more than you'd give a four-year-old
credit for.

> >> 2. NPRM 05-235 is about the MORSE CODE TEST for a United States
> >> radio amateur license examination. That has NOTHING to do
> >> with "CB."

> >Not directly. But there is a definite connection.

> Merde.

That's what I don't want ham radio to become, Len.

> You are busy, busy, busy with SUPPOSITIONS and your own
> imagining, not to mention living in a PAST when you were NOT
> INVOLVED in any radio.

You're busy, busy, busy with trying to change a radio service you are
NOT
INVOLVED in..

> >> 3. You try to connect (1) and (2) and there is NO possible
> >> connection.

> >Of course there's a possible connection. If the loss of the Morse Code
> >test causes amateur radio to become more like cb, it will have a
> >profound effect on *existing*
> >amateur radio operators. If the amateur bands become like the cb
> >channels, existing amateurs will be affected.
>
> Waaaa...waaaa...The Sky Is Falling!

No, it isn't.

> YOUR "amateur world" will
> cease to exist then, right? The "world as you know it" will
> disappear!

Not exactly. But my enjoyment of amateur radio would be affected, or
even destroyed. Which means I, an existing amateur, would be
affected.

> >Maybe you want the amateur bands to become like the cb channels..

>Maybe you want me to disappear, too, right? :-)

Obviously *you* want *me* to disappear.

> >> >Existing licensees can be profoundly affected by rules changes.

> >> Only MENTALLY.

> >Nope. The effects can be much more. Do you want 40 meters to
> >sound like the 40 cb channels?

> How can you ask that?

I just type the words, Len. Why not just answer the question?

I think you want amateur radio to become more and more like
cb.

> Are you forgetting I'm "not involved
> in amateur radio," therefore I have "no business telling
> amateur radio what to do?"

Where did I say that? You can say whatever you want. The
truth and validity of what you say is another matter.

> I guess you have.

> I'm "not allowed" to have any opinions on amateur radio,
> according to yours and many other's messages in here.

I've never said you can't have and express opinions, Len.

> >> NPRM 05-235 is about MORSE CODE TESTING, Jimmie, NOT just
> >> about ME. The point about eliminating the morse code test,
> >> other than making the regulations better,

> >Who made you the judge about what is "better", Len?

> Almighty God gave us humans a brain, Jimmie.

How do you know it wasn't evolution?

> WE humans
> don't all think alike and God for sure didn't make YOU
> supreme judge.

I know - and nobody made *you* the supreme judge either, despite the
fact you think and act like you are.

> >> is to give ALL
> >> those interested in amateur radio the OPPORTUNITY to get into
> >> it without that old, outdated, arbitrary manual test for
> >> morsemanship.

> >All those interested have had the opportunity to "get into" amateur
> >radio without a Morse Code test since February 14, 1991.

> That's SUCH A TIRED CLICHE' you coders use.

Not a cliche. The truth. You have chosen not to avail yourself of that
opportunity.

> I was on HF first
> in 1953 (before you were even conceived), legally, and with
> more RF power output than amateurs were ever granted here.

No, *you* weren't. You were allowed to make certain adjustments
to transmitters bought and paid for by others, as part of a large
team (a whole battalion!) of people.

But *you* as an individual weren't "on HF" then.

> Sweetums, I'm very tired of YOUR ASSUMED "superiority" and
> your terribly CONDESCENDING "let them eat cake if they have
> no bread" to peasants.

What "superiority"? What peasants?

> >But you haven't taken advantage of that opportunity, Len. Nor of the
> >opportunity that has existed since 1990 to get full privileges with
> >only a 5 wpm code test.

> So? I had a FIRST CLASS Radiotelephone (Commercial) license.

Which does not allow you to operate an Amateur Radio station.

> I took the OPPORTUNITY to get one in one test session at an
> FCC office in 1956...and then USED that in my aerospace
> career in California. All you got was a 2nd Class. Tsk.
> Not much "superiority" THERE, was it?

There was a time when a First Class 'Phone or even a Second Class
was a near-guarantee of a decent job in "radio". That time is gone. I
knew it was on the way out even in the 1970s - that's why I went for
degrees in Electrical Engineering.

> >> There will be NO effect on operating privileges of already-
> >> licensed amateurs due to elimination of the morse code test.
> >> NONE>

> >Can you guarantee that?

> No, I cannot "GUARANTEE" that.

So your claim is meaningless.

> Will existing Amateur Extras lose their license and/or
> privileges? I doubt it. Same with Generals.

Your doubt isn't a guarantee.

> Technicians
> WILL have a chance to enjoy more of their hobby with
> increased frequency privileges.

No, they won't! You are mistaken, Len.

Obviously you haven't read the entire NPRM - or maybe you
don't understand it. Typical.

If FCC enacts the NPRM as written, *no* class of license
will gain *any* operating privileges. Technicians will still
be VHF/UHF only, unless they have passed a Morse Code
test. No HF for Techs who haven't passed a Morse Code test
under the NPRM.

The NPRM specifically *denies* giving any existing licensees
any more privileges. They specifically point out, again and
again, that if the Morse Code test is removed, existing
Technicians need only pass the General written exam to
get lots of HF privileges.

Those Techs who *have* passed a Morse Code test will retain
their HF privileges - consisting of Morse Code on parts of 80,
40, 15 and 10 meters, plus SSB voice on part of 10 meters.

If Element 1 is removed, the only way for a non-code-tested
Tech to get *any* HF/MF privileges is for that non-code-tested
Tech to pass the General written.

FCC also repeatedly points out their commitment to an
incentive licensing system of three license class levels.

That's the way the NPRM is written.

> Why are you so against that?

I'm against any reductions in Morse Code or written
testing. I think Morse Code testing is a good thing
for the Amateur Radio Service.

In fact, I think the dropping of the Morse Code
test for Technician, back in 1991, was a big mistake.

Just my opinion.

> Why do you want to hold Techs in their present
> Ghetto?

What "ghetto"? VHF/UHF?

> Ah, you ENJOY being "elite" and looking down on
> "inferiors!" :-)

I don't look down on anyone, Len.

> >And it's not just operating privileges that are effects of such a
> >change.

> >Here's an analogy:

> Oh, oh, the segue into the NON-APPLICABLE Miccolis "analogies!"

It's very applicable. That's why you don't like it.
>
> >You've told us of your house on Lanark Street - how much you paid for
> >it, how much it's worth now, the nearby gated community, etc.

> How can you BELIEVE that? You don't believe what I write!

> >I've never been to your house but I've been in the area.

> You KNOW everything, why am I not surprised? :-)

> >It's a safe bet that your area is mostly single-family houses built
> >after WW2, with little or no commercial development.

> You could FIND OUT for sure by accessing the LA CITY website.
> You are an experienced computer user, yes? You shoud be
> able to instantly FIND OUT EXACTLY.

Why should I bother? I describe the big picture - you'll fill in the
details.

> World War II ended in 1945, Jimmie. "My street" was developed
> beginning in 1960.

Which is post-WW2. I was right!

> > Also a safe bet that while there
> >may not be many CC&Rs, the zoning probably prevents much diversity of
> >development on your street.

> You COULD find out for sure instead of IMAGINING. But, what
> the heck, YOU never were to any zoning or developing meeting
> in the Van Nuys "valley government" meetings, were you? I was.

I was right about the zoning, too.

> > Little boxes on the hillside (actually at the foot of the hill...)

> WRONG.

Yep, they're actually on the hillside! I was right again.

> "Little boxes" of 1400 to 2400 square feet on third-
> acre land parcels, each one worth in excess of a half million
> now.

2400 sf isn't a big house, Len. They're little boxes on the hillside,
all right.

And a half-million is a pretty lowpriced house in suburban LA,
isn't it?

Do you think the owner of a half-million-dollar house is better than
the owner of a quarter-million-dollar house?

> The difference in elevation between the "bottom" of the
> hill and the "top" is about 450 feet, the "top" of the local
> hill is 50 feet above that.

"Little boxes, on the hillside, little boxes made of ticky-tacky..."

> If you are trying to be "accurate" you've failed miserably.

Actually it looks like I'm dead-on accurate except for the
boxes being on the hillside rather than at the bottom.

"There's a pink one, and a blue one, and a yellow one, and a purple
one"

> I have topographic maps of my area. Do you?

Yes, I have topographic maps of my area.

"And they're all made out of ticky-tacky and they all look just the
same"

> >Now suppose someone bought some properties near you - say next door or
> >across the street. And suppose they sought to tear down the existing
> >houses and build new ones that would change things on Lanark Street.
> >Suppose they wanted to put up multifamily townhomes, some as rentals
> >and some as condos. Some retail space too. Of course that would
> >probably take a zoning change.

> Sweetums, this area has ALREADY HAD A ZONING CHANGE.

So my hypothetical has already happened! Sunuvagun!

> Worse
> for you, I was the speaker for our neighborhood back a decade
> plus ago.

Why is it worse for me? Did you win the debate?

> Good speech but it didn't matter...the issue had
> already been decided in favor of changing the "R" (Residential)
> to "R-1" (Residential with limited multi-family).

If it was a good speech, it would have mattered. But you *LOST*.

> One in our
> neighborhood affected found that out after the fact. The
> developer requesting the change (for "senior citizen
> apartments") ran out of money and couldn't start. We (us
> neighbors) were at the meetings with the second developer
> who did the walled community (single-family houses).

And you *opposed* the changes, right? You *opposed* what others,
including your local elected Government officials, thought was
best...right?

And you *LOST*...

> Do NOT tell ME what the zoning changes are in MY neighborhood
> are, Jimmie.

Is that an order? Who made you Supreme Newsgroup Ruler?

> YOU are NOT INVOLVED here.

Just like *you* are not involved in amateur radio.....

> If you need information, just ask, don't make up stories.

I was being hypothetical, Len. You seem to have trouble dealing in
abstractions.

> >Would you support and accept that sort of change, Len?

> I accepted it, as did my neighbors. We did NOT "support" it.

You opposed it, then, and only accepted it because you LOST
the debate.

> The neighbor who found out about the under-the-table zoning
> change now works for our Councilwoman and has been at
> neighborhood meetings with the government as organizer.

That's nice. But you folks still failed to stop the change.

> >After all, it
> >would give a lot more people the opportunity to get into your
> >neighborhood without the old, outdated, arbitrary necessity of a huge
> >down payment and massive mortgage. It would be an end to the old
> >arbitrary requirements of single-family houses, etc. It would not
> >directly affect *your* house - you're already there, established, etc.
> >The new rules would not touch your house. You wouldn't
> >give up anything except your mental image of the neighborhood.

> All of us at 840 feet MSL or lower (my back yard is 820 feet)
> lost ALL the view we had. New homes were two-story.

"ALL" the view? You can't see the sky?

Was it 'the end of the world as you knew it'?

Why were you so against that change? Ah, you ENJOYED being
"elite" and looking down (literally!) on your neighbors! ;-)

> >Would you oppose or support that change?

> I already described what happened, dumb bass. Inject yourself
> with your own hypotheses and "questions." They didn't apply to
> me.

They applied almost exactly.

Let's recap....

More than 40 years ago, you bought the house on Lanark Street.
Classic little ticky-tacky box on the hillside, lots of nice things
about the
house and the area. Zoning (which is The Government) protected
you from certain changes.

Then someone wanted to change the zoning, because their vision
of the area and its future were different from your own. You
opposed the change even though it would have no direct effect
on your house. But The Government prevailed, the zoning
changed, and the new *two story* houses were built. And the
value of your property continued to rise.

Was it The Government's role to always keep things As They Were
When You Bought Your House? To guarantee your "view" regardless
of the wishes and needs of those "downhill" fro you?

Seems like you thought it was.

> >Or suppose a licensed radio amateur moved in next door and wanted to
> >put up a few 70 foot towers with big beams (like K8MN's). Would that be
> >OK with you?

> Yes. The FAA would get on their case before me.

Ah - you expect a different branch of The Government to protect you
from
that.

> You forget where
> your "analogies" are located. Look up BUR on a "sectional."

> There's ALREADY an amateur two blocks up, WITH beams. Just not
> at 70 foot height. Lower.

2 blocks away isn't next door or across the street.

> [you WILL reply "how much lower, Len?" as if that were somehow
> 'important! :-) ]

> >Your postings here exceed mine in number and length, Len.
> >You're describing yourself, not me.

> Gosh, better STOP, right? Jimmie NO LIKE my postings!

> >You're really emotionally invested in rrap....

> Tsk, bad "investment." Isn't earning me any "interest."

> All on "account" of some dumb bass making analogues that
> don't apply and trying to keep amateur radio frozen in
> the standards and practices of the 1930s.

You wanted to keep your neighborhood frozen in
the standards and practices of the 1960s, Len.

The analogy is near-perfect. You reacted exactly as predicted.
You opposed a change that would only affect you *mentally* and/or
*emotionally*, and expected The Government to place *your*
desires above those of the other property owners.

At least in the case of the zoning, you were in the affected
community. In the case of amateur radio, you're the outsider
trying to get those who are in the community to accept the
changes *you* want, and The Government to make you
happy........

## 343. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 01:34:58 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xycs9@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xycs9@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122909

LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
>
> Why don't you just reveal this "website" and be done with it? If it is
> "so much better" than what I've done, then others will obviously see so!
>

One other analysis that I've seen is by a Northern Mariana Islands callsign http://ah0a.org/FCC/05-235/ --- it may be the site that N2EY refers to.

If it is, N2EY's blustering is a puzzlement because the results at that site are strikingly similar to your tabulation, with 45% fully in favor of the NRPM (drop the test) and 55% in favor of keeping some level of CW testing.

He classifies the valid responses on the same basis as you ("For NRPM", "Keep the current test", and "Extra Only"). Then he has three categories of "Others" which aren't included in his tally (Dupes and other junk).

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

## 344. Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 01:40:28 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <9OWdnYwmbf4QIvfenZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 122908

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:Nnyaf.4567$m81.4509@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
> news:TpqdnYSObKAJYvTenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com...
> (SNIP)
>> Let me start by saying that I believe all amateurs should have a basic
>> ability in Morse code as it is one of the basics of amateur radio.
>
> That is only your opinion. At one time morse was truly one of the
> basics of amateur radio but that is simply untrue (IMHO) today.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

I have no problem with that. In each case, we have both identified that as
being our personal opinions.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 345. Re: Tracy Fort is the most homosexual thing on Earth !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 03:31:49 UTC
From: m II <None@owl.nest.org>
Message-ID: <FCAaf.102482$ir4.52@edtnps90>
Source record: 122910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Beerbelly wrote:

> hahahahaha......Can't you come up with your own material?

Tracy Fort's necrophilia and a deceased seventy two year old cancer victim, who happened to be my mother:

The drunkard Tracy Fort has so far posted:

==========
==========
I'm not drunk. I haven't finished my first 12 pak yet.
I'll get there and when I do it's back to the cemetary.

She gives great cancerous BJ's.....thanks Canucky boy!

How far north did the Katrina effect? I saw someone's mom floatin down the mighty Mississippi!

Dug her ass right up! She was too damn ugly to have sex with before she died. The old bag o bones fell apart in my hands! She didn't complain about much either other than the lousy sex she got from those foursomes with you, Mike and her husband.

love dem bones....dem bones....dem bones

rattllin' bones!

squeek...squeek...

Skeleton rides 50 cents a pop! If you can stand the smell....I wonder is she stunk that bad in life?

hahahaha....I'm still laughin' at your sorry ass family! This is funny stuff!

She is very fresh but not that fresh!

hehe...I love dem bony women....rotten to the core.....

um...umm....good!

I love it....did she do it for you too?

Please accept my apology for abusing her. I'm sorry that I did not toss her back into the black depths that she came from. Next time,I will be sure to take care of that. I promise that I will refill the hole with the dirt that came out too. In addition, I will re sod the plot at no additional charge. Let me also apologize for the milky

substance in her left eye socket, that was an unavoidable accident.
please feel free to include this post in your sig file!

You might as weel keep it up there...I love the ole boney bitch!

Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones! I loved playing dem Bones!
Dem Bones! Dem Bones! Dem Bones!
I loved playing dem Bones! I ran my fingers uo and down dem bones and
she began to come alive!
I played dem bones like they never been played and she smiled for me all
the day.

Pork and bones....

DON'T HURT CANADA! I'll miss those nights with the ole bony lady!

The most fun I ever had!

Sporting a new moniker (GraveDigger) just for you and mommy...

He knows the inside of his mom's boney butt! hahahaha

hahahahaha......Can't you come up with your own material?

hahahaha.....when you got thru lickin I was stickin.....

M/Sgt. Tracy Fort 116 AMX
250 Martin Luther King Blvd.
Robins ANG,
GA. 31098-2009

beerbarrel@cox.net

http://www.qrz.com/detail/KE4ODD

==========
==========

This .sig file will continue until Mister Fort posts a sincere apology
to all cancer victims and their families. His refusal to do so says more
about his attitude and upbringing than any of his thoughtless and
hurtful postings in rec.radio.shortwave. He seems to be very proud of
the fact that he can buy expensive radios using the refunded deposit
money from his empty beer containers and has frequently posted the fact.

It appears he is well on his way to purchasing his next radio. Anyone
caring about his well-being should mention the good work of Alcoholics
Anonymous to him. They may be able to help him restore his self respect
and dignity so he stops posting this drunken garbage on the internet.

# 346. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 04:04:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131077048.778424.275930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122911

Iitoi wrote:

> the results at that
> site are strikingly similar to your tabulation, with 45% fully in favor
> of the NRPM (drop the test) and 55% in favor of keeping some level of
> CW testing.

"Strikingly similar"?

Len Anderson claims the tally is 49.33% for and 50.67% against the NPRM
(either partly or completely). AH0A claims 45% for and 55% against.
That's a pretty big difference, particularly when Len posts his results
to four significant figures and claims to be
"accurate".

Whose count is correct?

Len claims to have read and understood all the "filings" - yet he could
not find the
AH0A count, which is clearly mentioned in AH0A's filing.

So - did Len *really* read and understand ALL the filings?
>
> He classifies the valid responses on the same basis as you ("For NRPM",
> "Keep the current test", and "Extra Only"). Then he has three
> categories of "Others" which aren't included in his tally (Dupes and
> other junk).

Yet the results are different - by a considerable percentage.

Why?

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 347. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 06:49:56 UTC
From: Whiny_cuntWoger <Anon@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dkf06k$d8o$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

BWHAHAHAHA Poor Roger, sees Lloyd everywhere.
Busted, you sheep fucking, goo-gobbling kiddie porn making pervert.

Now Woger even thinks Lloyd is a sys admin at Nim Busters.

Poor Woger. Poor, poor, delusional Woger.

# 348. Re: Marky C. Moron, er Morgan admits stalking Steve Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 08:42:45 UTC
From: Whiny_cuntWoger <Anon@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dkf6q6$91j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122913

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131022652.647667.90530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> been going stevie shit (not pleasennt reading) looking for stuff to
> forward to Borad of nursing

Marky admits to stalking Steve!!!!!!!!!!!!!

While you deny, deny, and deny stalking Lloyd.

## 349. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 08:43:39 UTC
From: Whiny_cuntWoger <Anon@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dkf6rp$999$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131023342.661465.114770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Like you have character, Halstead.
>

Et Tu?

## 350. Re: "Scorecard" On Markie's Rallying Call To Arms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 08:45:18 UTC
From: Whiny_cuntWoger <Anon@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dkf6ur$9ov$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122915

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131023429.084170.321130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
QUOTE

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!

UNQUOTE

--
Just because YOU don't know how anonymous remailers work, doesn't mean
everybody else does, imbecile."

--
"The funny thing is, you don't know your fat ass from a whole in
the ground, shit-for-brains."

(and the beat goes on...)

## 351. Thank You, David

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 08:48:52 UTC
From: Whiny_cuntWoger <Anon@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dkf75h$agc$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122916

David: Thank you for being yourself.
You have a penchant for not wasting words.
You are concise and to the point.

Would that others follow suit.

# 352. CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 09:17:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131095876.689686.245290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122917

```
eceived: by 10.11.13.12 with SMTP id 12mr244686cwm;
Mon, 01 Aug 2005 23:27:55 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from 205.188.117.68 by g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com with
HTTP;
Tue, 02 Aug 2005 06:27:55 +0000 (UTC)
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
To: "Amateur Radio Experimentation"
<Amateur-Radio-Experimentation@googlegroups.com>
Subject: Re: Welcome to Amateur Radio Experimentation
Date: Mon, 01 Aug 2005 23:27:55 -0700
Message-ID: <1122964075.737243.94590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
In-Reply-To: <1122353966.282770.173870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
References: <1122274498.835456.49200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1122353966.282770.173870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"

Bathrooman wrote:
> toddaug...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Welcome to Amateur Radio Experimentation, this group is for the
> > discussion of experimenting in the Amateur Radio band and under Part
> > 15. enjoy
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
>
>
> Great. Now what are we supposed to be experimenting with. How about
> contesting while tripping on LSD?

He's not already? People write and talk like him while SOBER?

73

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc
Subject: Re: new Amateur radio group on Google
Date: 25 Jul 2005 07:20:49 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <1122301249.110783.62270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1122276893.621382.201960@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
```

<X2BQVNFT38558.6710185185@anonymous.poster>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1122301255 20124 127.0.0.1 (25 Jul 2005
14:20:55 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 25 Jul 2005 14:20:55 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <X2BQVNFT38558.6710185185@anonymous.poster>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

anonym...@remailer.hastio.org wrote:
> In article
> <1122276893.621382.201...@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> "N9OGL" <toddaug...@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > STEBIE, I'm 37 years old I don't need my parent's permission
> to stay
> > up, secondly I live in a very big house, and I very seldom see
> my
> > parents.
>
> They don't get down to the basement much, with you living there,
> right, Toadie?

More likely he's got them tied up in the basement and uses their
house and savings for whatever ungodly purposes he can dream up...

Maybe to finance that Liquid Oxygen-cooled storage capacitor idea
of his...

I wonder if mumsie and popsie knows he got booted from the only
local ISP in Taylorville for acting like an idiot on the Internet?

I wonder if they know he had their pictures on his webpage which
was linked to other webpages of his that were rife with profanities,
insults and assinine behaviour...?!?!

I wonder if he's still flying that illegal ultralight? He'll
probably "park" it in some neighbor's tree someday, becoming the
laughing stock of South Central Illinois and getting his name brought
to the attention of the local FSDO.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy

Subject: Todd's Hero Backed Into Corner, Act's Like Caged Animal He
Is...K1MAN's Radio Days Numbered
Date: 22 Jun 2005 04:34:44 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 165
Message-ID: <1119440084.755496.85680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119440090 7557 127.0.0.1 (22 Jun 2005
11:34:50 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 22 Jun 2005 11:34:50 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED050616 -

WHERE IS THE OTHER SIDE OF THE STORY?

You read what the FCC says about K1MAN. You read about what other
hams say about K1MAN. Where do you read about what K1MAN says?
ARRL? No! QST? No! CQ? No! World Radio! No! K1TP? No!
QRZ? No! What is wrong with this picture, you ignorant hypocrites?

I'd say "nothing". What obligation do those other entities have
to promulgate your BS and sad story?

You got yourself into this corner all by yourself.

The ignorant one is the idiot facing his third NAL just before his
license is due to be renewed!

No wonder the Arabs hate our guts so much.

For whatever reason persons of Arabic nationalities may dislike
the United States, I'm willing to be that NONE of them have ANYthing to
do with Amateur Radio.

No wonder Amateur Radio is going down the tubes, led by ARRL.

It's not going down ANY tubes led by the ARRL.

It's going "down the tubes" coutesy of lawbreaking,
hate-mongering, selfish punks like you, N9OGL, AB8MQ, etc etc etc...

I love both America and Amateur Radio, however.

No you don't.

If you did, you wouldn't break the laws of the first and
practicies of the second, then try to rub everyone's nose in it.

> K1MAN will be on for many many years to come...(SNIP)

I forecast no longer than your next renewl date plus the period of
time your application will be under review/prosecution.

>...(UNSNIP) and the true scum of our precious hobby and
service will be thoroughly exposed in the upcoming court proceedings.

"We" already know where the scum is. He's a selfish, self-centered
egotistical idiot living in Maine, with an ugly step-cousin in
Illinois.

K1MAN has been on the air with IARN information bulletins since 1987,
and the FCC is as powerless to stop them as K1MAN is powerless to stamp
out amateur radio ignorance.

Like I said....Your next renewal plus the period of time your case
is being litigated...Then...QRT.

Fear not fellow Radio Amateurs. You have the constitutional right to be
stupid!

That's really the way to generate sympathy and support for your
cause.

Only in America!

Only in America would a law-breaker like you be tolerated for so
long. Your "day" is past due. It's time to get the garbage off the
front step.

Join the American Amateur Radio Association today!

Why? It's not going to be around much longer either.

See www.K1MAN.com

Finally ponied-up the bucks for another Yahoo domain, I see.

K1MAN SAYS:

FCC ISSUES A $21, 000 NOTICE OF APPARENT LIABILITY AGAINST K1MAN

In a "NAL" released 7 June 2005, the FCC has opened a pandora's box,
according to Glenn Baxter...(SNIP)

What a self-glorifying putz.

Bet he's got family in Southern California.

Manager of IARN, the International Amateur Radio Network, and
AARA, the American Amateur Radio Association. Mr. Baxter was
recognized by the State of Maine legislature on March 13, 1991 and
named as a Vermont Academy Distinguished Alumnus on October 1, 1993 for
international amateur radio communications work with his IARN.

Jim Jones and Ted Bundy won civic service awards too.

Mr. Baxter says that this whole charade is being driven by petty ham jealousy and the blatent incompetence of ARRL in failing over the years to come even close to the work of IARN in emergency communications work.

BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

Yeah...that's why the fed's are pouring hundreds-of-thousands of bucks into ARRL-sponsored and operated Emergency Communications programs!

Baxter welcomes these court actions since he has been trying to "face off" with the Commission for over 15 years. "Many heads are finally going to roll," acording to Baxter.

Nope.

Just one.

K1MAN's response is printed below together with the full text of the NAL.

In the matter of
Glenn A. Baxter, P.E.
Registered Professional Engineer

.....and UNregistered Court Jester...

Licensee of Amateur Radio Station K1MAN

File. No. EB-04-BS-111
NAL/Acct. No. 200532260001
FRN 0013164975

Reply dated 12 June 2005

Respondent Glenn A. Baxter, K1MAN, denies any apparent liability for forfeiture in the amount of $21,000 or any other violations of FCC rules, state law, or federal law...(SNIP TO...)

Respondent hereby requests the Commission to supply all documentation regarding the alleged apparent liability and criminal violations for respondent to use in preparation for the again demanded trial like hearing before the full Commission and for the other court actions.

It's apparent that Glennda is going to be representing hisself.
Had a real attorney been requeting this information, there wouldn't have been any grandstanding or "opening remarks" in his request.

Respondent will be calling witnesses, introducing affivavits, and further demonstrating many ACTUAL criminal violations of Sections 333 and 501 of the 1934 Communications Act by numerous persons complained about by your respondent and others in Felony Complaint Affidavits

filed with the U.S. Attorney including the two FCC officials mentioned
above.

Oh no! Glennda is going to waive his "felony complaint
affidavits" in front of a REAL judge ! !

BBBBBBBBBBBBBBBBWWWWWWWWWWWWWWHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Maybe this is where Glennda leads the court to believe he's off
his rocker in an attempt to avoid prosecution of the complaints!

Whatta schmuck!

Steve, K4YZ

WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to
WED..?!?!

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and
N9OGL to WED..?!?!
Date: 23 Jun 2005 05:01:52 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 36
Message-ID: <1119528112.138439.59150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119528117 26024 127.0.0.1 (23 Jun 2005
12:01:57 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 23 Jun 2005 12:01:57 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "an_old_friend" >konst...@hotmail.com< - Find messages by this
author
Date: 22 Jun 2005 02:48:44 -0700
Local: Wed,Jun 22 2005 5:48 am
Subject: Re: The Pool
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

sorryJim but failing to back up an opinion doesn't mean they can't, may mean they simply choose not to bother

Yessireesir! Markie's back!

The President of the IIII....Illiterate Illinformed Idiot from Illinois.

Not THAT idiot...the ORIGINAL!

Failing to "back up an opinion", Markie, means that either the author was wrong, didn't have the facts, shot their mouth off just to be heard or doesn't have the faith of conviction in his/her own beliefs to stand behind it.

MY OPINION is that you need to move back to Illinois and marry Todd Daugherty, N9OGL. Maybe Lennie would move back (he's originally from IL) and officiate for you? You two are cut from the same cloth...Hmmmmmmmm...maybe a bit TOO close...Forget what I said...He's probably in your bloodline and that would be incest.

On the otherhand...you might LIKE that kind of thing....Lord knows...you've certainly expressed more bizarre interests than incest before.

Steve, K4YZ
ath:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: A Favor Just For Todd, N9OGL
Date: 21 Jun 2005 03:43:59 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 50
Message-ID: <1119350639.223880.89810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119350644 25829 127.0.0.1 (21 Jun 2005 10:44:04 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2005 10:44:04 +0000 (UTC)
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

Well, Todd...You've only posted one item on RRAP since May and already it's begun.

However, here's the benefit of the doubt: Here's a copy of the e-mail I got along with headers. I am e-mailing it to you also.

Now you can take it to your ISP and let THEM deal with it.

Steve, K4YZ

ONE OF THE FAKE LETTERS STEBIE SENT TO MY ISP
QUOTE:

Return-Path: <todd...@consolidated.net>
Received: from rly-xb02.mx.aol.com (rly-xb02.mail.aol.com
[172.20.64.48]) by air-xb02.mail.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILINXB21-8f42b7edf9376; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 06:38:51 -0400
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-xb02.mx.aol.com (v106.2) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINXB23-8f42b7edf9376; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 06:37:45 -0400
Received: from bla74.prod.google.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id CBC5C1FD57F
for <k...@aol.com>; Tue, 21 Jun 2005 03:38:25 -0700 (PDT)
Message-ID: <1958968.1119350265337.JavaMail.root@bla74.prod.google.com>
From: Todd <todd...@consolidated.net>
To: k...@aol.com
Subject: Molin: Stressed.
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2005 03:38:25 -0700 (PDT)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:406544803:11327976
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0

Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:

YOU ***ING BITCH. THIS TIME YOU DON'T KNOW MY ISP AND SO YOU CAN'T
BITCH TO ANYBODY BUT GOOGLES. WHAT GOES A ROUND COMES A ROUND.
REMAMBER THAT.

Blog: Molin
Post: Stressed.
Link: http://molin.blogspot.com/2005/06/stressed.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

UNQUOTE

STEVE "I LOVE BEING A FUCKING ASSHOLE" ROBBIESON K4YZ CLAIMING I'M
USING HIS SHITTY ASS CALL ON MY BLOG....REALLY WHY THE FUCK WOULD I
WANT TO BE THAT ASSHOLE???

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on Todd's

irrational behaviour
Date: 5 Jun 2005 04:34:42 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 41
Message-ID: <1117971282.042872.15120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <ec98e11d804924ced3552c426af05b2f@mixmaster.it>
<wuOdneAB_ukVxT_fRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1117971288 31986 127.0.0.1 (5 Jun 2005
11:34:48 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 5 Jun 2005 11:34:48 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <wuOdneAB_ukVxT_fRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

KC8GXW wrote:
> George Orwell wrote:
>
> > If anyone ever harbored the illusion that Robeson had any
> > honor, they should know that Todd has been kicked off his
> > ISP because of lies that Robeson emailed to them.
> >
> > Just remember, low-life Robeson: What goes around comes around.
> >
> > What a piece of work you are.
> >
>
> And you know this because? Toad bragged that his ISP told him to not
> come on the news groups but he refused to respect the ISP's authority.
> If Steve snitched toad out, then that is wrong, but you just saying it
> doesn't make it true!

I didn't "snitch toad out" for his newsgroup activities other than
his threats and profanities which I received via e-mail. Not all of
the stupid letters I received were via his blog. The headers tell the
tale and THAT'S what got him into trouble.

As for the suggestion that Todd was not responsible for the
threatening letters, I look at it this way...Even if he didn't send
some of them, he encouraged and incited others via his blog to act
irresponsibly.

I asked him repeatedly to stop using my name and callsign on his
blog, and he just repsonded with more threats and profanities.

Also, I am obviously NOT the only person who's been busting Todd's chops with his ISP.

He played with fire...He got burnt...Oh well...

73

Steve, K4YZ

STEVE "I'M A FUCKING ASSHOLE" ROBBIESON GIVING ME DAMANDS THAT I COULD FULL FILL

Path: g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Robeson gets Todd kicked from his ISP based on Todd's irrational behaviour
Date: 21 Jun 2005 03:16:04 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 243
Message-ID: <1119348964.691868.115100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
References: <ec98e11d804924ced3552c426af05b2f@mixmaster.it>
<wuOdneAB_ukVxT_fRVn-vw@centurytel.net>
<1117971282.042872.15120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1119326429.716671.129790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1119348970 24020 127.0.0.1 (21 Jun 2005 10:16:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 21 Jun 2005 10:16:10 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1119326429.716671.129790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

QUOTE:

First off steve, those letters WERE going through my blog and some one was posting my email on it. the headers even state it. As I stated before those were fake.

UNQUOTE

And like >>I<< told >>YOU<< those "letters" were allowed to happen because YOU wouldn't exercise some control over your blog. "Freedom of speech", you kept harping.

Whelp, Todd, there are RESPONSIBILITIES that come with our freedoms, and making sure that others don't take advantage of them is one of

them.

QUOTE

I don't control the people who vist my site, and my view is that ehat
you did was wrong, if you didn't like what I said on here then you
should of complained to googles, because as you know that's who I am
using.

UNQUOTE

Oh, but I >>DID<< talk to Google, Todd...THEIR response was that it was
YOUR resposibility as "site owner" to do smething about it...Just like
I told you it was.

QUOTE

what you and your little buddies on here did was restrict my
free speech.

UNQUOTE

No...what I did was exercise MY rights to file a complaint.

EVERY TIME you posted a new post calling me a MF or AH or other such
profane adjective, I had the legal RIGHT to file a complaint, and
that's exactly what I did.

You got to have your "say", and it was all obscene and offensive. I
had MY say and it was "I'm not going to take this".

What happened "happened" because I was right and had "my ducks in a
row".

QUOTE

Everyone has the right to free speech, but you don't have
the right to be heard, right?

UNQUOTE

You have every right to be heard...You do NOT have the right to
publically insult, demean or otherwise overtly offend people after they
tell you that they are offended by your behaviour.

QUOTE

however the federal courts had ruled in
the past that it's only is true provided that it doesn't completely
restrict the person conducting the speech. There were three alteratives

that you could of done, the first simply don't look at my post.

UNQUOTE

Not looking at your post in a public forum is like trying to avoid the smell of a dead skunk, Todd...It's right there in front of you.

QUOTE

Second is complain to googles because like I
said you know that is the service I am using.

UNQUOTE

Did it. They said you were responsibile.

QUOTE

and finally complain to
the ISP. only after the two has been used up should you and your
friends done the complaining on the Internet.

UNQUOTE

Did that too. I told you I would. You persisted in your behaviour. Your ISP even told you to stop but you persisted. You were told "six ways to Sunday" that something would happen but you ignored me.

Bad idea.

QUOTE

My blog is my thought
hence, the address n9oglvoice. if you don't like what I have to say
there quit coming since I was kicked off you and commander cornhole has

visited my site repeatly.

UNQUOTE

Your last post was May 27th, Todd...I didn't post anything there until your comments day before yesterday.

QUOTE

As you've stated this is an open forum, and
you are not the moderator nor due you own this newsgroup. AS for the
email's, emails can be faked, of course a newbie like yourself wouldn't

understand it.

UNQUOTE

Sure they can be faked. And they were being "faked" via YOUR site. I asked you to control it, you said no. The results were "predictable".

And I'm not a "newbie", Todd...That's why I was able to push the right buttons and get the desired results.

QUOTE

the first thing that tip me off they were fake was the
fact that in the IP there was a question mark, and secondly although it

is a "consolidated communication telephone" IP address it was not mine,

but, again someone who has no real skill in figuring that out would
believe it to be real.

UNQUOTE

Then here's the step YOU should have taken since you seem to be in a
mood to tell folks the steps to take:

(1) You should have IMMEDIATELY gone to your blog and compared IP's
and canned the author.

(2) You should have IMMEDIATELY called YOUR ISP and notified them

(3) You should have IMMEDIATELY posted, both on your blog and RRAP,
those IP's along with yours to show the true origins of the letters.

Instead you let it happen...To me, letting something deceitful happen
when you have the means to stop it is as if YOU were the originator.

QUOTE:

> I asked him repeatedly to stop using my name and callsign on his
> blog, and he just repsonded with more threats and profanities.

I have NEVER used you callsign on my blog, anyone can sign in as
whatever they want. Nor have I threaten you, as for profanities on here

or on my blog like I said above you could of simply ignored it....if
you want clean talk I know some religious sites that would love to have

you.....

UNQUOTE

First of all, you've already removed a bunch of posts when you
re-worked your site. Yes, You did use my callsign...Repeatedly.

And secondly, one does not have to use profantities in every third word
to effectlively express themselves.

See what all the profanity got YOU?

I didn't use a bit of it.

So how do you explain THAT...?!?!

QUOTE

you see your forgetting this is the internet, I know sites
where they say things ten times worse then what I've said.....if you
want a clean communication go back to radio....radio and the internet
as I've stated before are two different forms of communication and
different sets of rules.

UNQUOTE

Well, Todd...I don't go to those "other sites" for those very reasons...I don't care to be associated with people like that.

Secondly, had YOU not introduced all of that foulness to RRAP, I wouldn't have been complaining about THAT...I wouldn't have known about this "blog" had YOU not brought it to the attention of RRAP, either.

QUOTE:

> Also, I am obviously NOT the only person who's been busting Todd's
> chops with his ISP.

and it will be funny when they have to answer to the FCC since they are

not only an internet service provider but they are also the phone company who controls the broadband in this town.

UNQUOTE

BBWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! !

Todd, I spent an hour on the phone with an aide in the office of a certain Midwestern Congressional representitive, and it was enlightening.

I gave them YOUR blog, your e-mail address, and the links to RRAP.

They're on to you!

QUOTE

> He played with fire...He got burnt...Oh well...

there is an old saying steve, what goes around comes around.....your day will come.

UNQUOTE

Yes, it will, Todd, just as will your's...but mine won't come at the hands of a foulmouthed impotent toad who thinks adulthood is about screaming profanities and threatening "I'LL SUE! I'LL SUE!" at every opporutnity.

QUOTE

THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

UNQUOTE

There are no "shows" on Amateur Radio, Todd...that's broadcasting.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: The Death of Amateur Radio
Date: 7 Mar 2005 08:54:21 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 35
Message-ID: <1110214461.563933.227680@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
References: <420f2a51$1_1@127.0.0.1>
<1110069816.137921.213160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110209704.665589.41150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<422C8353.23C4D462@earthlink.net>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.12.116.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110214466 10506 127.0.0.1 (7 Mar 2005
16:54:26 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 7 Mar 2005 16:54:26 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <422C8353.23C4D462@earthlink.net>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=64.12.116.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > Maybe I should foward this to a few people and see what they think
> > about your discriminating comment. Groups like the Handi-Hams,
better
> > yet that will the topic of my next program, THE TRUTH ABOUT HAM
RADIO:A
> > RADIO SERVICE WHO'S OPERATORS HATE PEOPLE WITH HANDICAPS AND DON'T
WANT
> > THEM USING THE RADIO SPECTRUM.

I don't think Handi-Hams includes those who are potty-mouthed,
snivelling twits who trash airwaves and USENET alike.

> I assume that most of this will fall under the "opinion" portion of
your
> show, Todd.
>
> I have a few good topics for your future broadcasts/informational
> bulletins: "Fast typing makes for incoherent sentences", "My high IQ
> leaves me unable to communicate with lesser beings" or "How I beat
the
> FCC at its own game".
>

> Good luck. Drop us a line and tell us how it went.

Maybe we'll get lucky, Dave, and someone else will "drop a line"
around his neck.

What a twit.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: Information Bulletins (was Re: The Death of Amateur Radio)
Date: 7 Mar 2005 01:02:12 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 13
Message-ID: <1110186132.541167.27770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
References: <420f2a51$1_1@127.0.0.1>
<1110069816.137921.213160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110080555.894958.287650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<9_qdndx9H_poDLffRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
<1110090988.500736.26430@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<422AA88E.D39352B0@earthlink.net>
<1110096373.892870.133960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<6-qdnTHOoInFYLffRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
<1110122869.030889.226320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110174848.995005.321700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<y9GdnWyGo--gbLbfRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
<1110184561.431706.18520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 12.129.88.30
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110186136 4153 127.0.0.1 (7 Mar 2005
09:02:16 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Mon, 7 Mar 2005 09:02:16 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1110184561.431706.18520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=12.129.88.30;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> First off you ****head, you've never heard my broadcast and therefor
> can't justify that statement. secondly that's your ****ing opinion,
and
> in my view you and your little **** buddies on here are a small
> minority in the amateur radio service. ONE MORE ****ING THING, MY
> PROGRAMS DEAL WITH AMATEUR RADIO ISSUES SO **** YOU!!!!

There's five good reasons to put 10-penny nails in your coax.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For
Human?
Date: 9 Mar 2005 23:29:01 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 45
Message-ID: <1110439741.250468.30470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
References: <1110291968.831474.21620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<JfidnUlYSvaxQ7DfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
<1110306329.306432.258790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110308191.718790.251850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110328392.150500.53110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110332720.491224.123030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110345663.195882.268020@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110356228.460053.178650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110386172.332093.120100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110410171.035575.191520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110423019.118665.132380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<SMudndq8mvTVIbLfRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
<1110426907.959266.291380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<PKSdnVMRoK1rerLfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
<1110436258.179392.304050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110439745 1617 127.0.0.1 (10 Mar 2005
07:29:05 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 Mar 2005 07:29:05 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1110436258.179392.304050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> Cmd Buzz Corey wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> > > WELL YOU ****ING COMMIE, YOU'VE NEVER HEAR MY INFORMATION
BULLETINS
> SO
> > > YOU CAN NOT JUSTIFY SAYING THEY HAVE NO DIRECT INTEREST TO THE
> AMATEUR

> > > SERVICE. PERHAPS SOMEONE SHOULD MONITOR YOU ****ING QSO PERHAPS
> WHAT
> > > THE **** YOU TALK ABOUT HAS "NO INTEREST TO THE AMATEUR RADIO
> SERVICE"
> > > WHY DON'T YOU GO TO SOME ****ING COUNTRY WHERE FREE SPEECH ISN'T
> > > ALLOWED PERHAPS THEN YOU WILL SHUT YOUR ****ING PIE HOLE. YOU
> ****ING
> > > COMMIE *******.
> > >
> > > TODD N9OGL
> > > FREE SPEECH SUPPORTER

Actually, you are a "free speech abuser". Judging by the number
of times you used the "F" word in the previous exchange, I'd say you're
angry becasue you're NOT "F"ing as often as you'd like.

Judging by th pic on QRZ, I'd have to say I know why.

> > Grow up toddyboy.

> ...And eat **** and die you commie bastard...go suppress someone
elses
> free speech on the PUBLIC AIRWAVES.

Unless he it jamming you with a carrier, he's not suppressed
anything.

Infact, he's used the very same medium and "rights" as you to
express HIS opinions. YOU are the one suggesting some kind of
"suppression".

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For
Human?
Date: 10 Mar 2005 13:06:54 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 30
Message-ID: <1110488814.697090.221550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
References: <1110291968.831474.21620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<JfidnUlYSvaxQ7DfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
<1110306329.306432.258790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110308191.718790.251850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110328392.150500.53110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110332720.491224.123030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110345663.195882.268020@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110356228.460053.178650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>

<1110386172.332093.120100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110410171.035575.191520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110423019.118665.132380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<SMudndq8mvTVIbLfRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
<1110426907.959266.291380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<PKSdnVMRoK1rerLfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
<1110436258.179392.304050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<N7GdnV4fb-Si5K3fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
<1110485767.031858.240810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110488818 31253 127.0.0.1 (10 Mar 2005
21:06:58 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 Mar 2005 21:06:58 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1110485767.031858.240810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

Bathrooman wrote:
> Toddy said:
> >...go suppress someone elses
> > free speech on the PUBLIC AIRWAVES.
>
> Here is yet another example of your ignorance. Amateur radio bands
are
> not PUBLIC AIRWAVES. Amateur radio is a licensed service for the
> exclusive use of licensed amateur radio operators. The PUBLIC
AIRWAVES
> are the standard AM, FM, and TV broadcast bands, to name three. I'm
> not making this up, this is how PUBLIC AIRWAVES are defined within
the
> meaning of the law.

Hear hear!

>Go back and study up for the novice test.

...and wait for the new one to come out!

> N9 Out Go the Legalities

N9 Obnoxious Gay Loudmouth

73

Steve, K4YZ

STEVE "I FUCKED MY MAMA" ROBBERSON CALLING ME A LIAR AND CLAIMING I'M VIOLATING FEDERAL LAW

Path: g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For Human?
Date: 15 Mar 2005 08:29:01 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 29
Message-ID: <1110904141.826980.230230@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
References: <1110426907.959266.291380@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<PKSdnVMRoK1rerLfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
<1110436258.179392.304050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<N7GdnV4fb-Si5K3fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
<1110485767.031858.240810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1110520259.035214.3790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110524602.067600.132190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110525436.605122.155600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110725171.489124.215440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110742062.712107.213770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1110743130.289536.153730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110893248.819591.178590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1110897810.513962.74570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110900228.559318.215730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1110902724.990962.193360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110903731.456603.82060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110904146 29144 127.0.0.1 (15 Mar 2005 16:29:06 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Tue, 15 Mar 2005 16:29:06 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1110903731.456603.82060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> LOOK YOU LITTLE ####, I'VE INVENTED MORE ##### IN MY ####ING LIFE TIME
> THEN YOU EVER EVER WILL!!! I WILL NOT GIVE A ####ING ###HOLE, WHO GETS
> ON SOME QUEER ### NEWSGROUP THE PLEASURE OF ANY OF MY INVENTIONS.
> SO GO PLAY WITH YOUR LITTLE BUTT BUDDIES BATHROOMAN AND JULIO DEJESUS.

I don't know either of those fellows.

And you can just go ahead and admit that the only #### you've
"invented" went the way of the porcelain throne, Todd. You've not said
a single thing to make me believe that there's a word of truth to it.

I DO believe you to be a liar. And until some sort of documentary
evidence or third-party corroboration of your claims of having
"invented" anything, I say you ARE a liar.

> TODD N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW

If it's a "show" you're broadcasting on 20 meters, you're
violating the law.

It really is THAT simple.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For
Human?
Date: 15 Mar 2005 23:33:19 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 57
Message-ID: <1110958399.462058.143180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
References: <1110436258.179392.304050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<N7GdnV4fb-Si5K3fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
<1110485767.031858.240810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1110520259.035214.3790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110524602.067600.132190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110525436.605122.155600@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110725171.489124.215440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110742062.712107.213770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1110743130.289536.153730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110893248.819591.178590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1110897810.513962.74570@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110900228.559318.215730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1110902724.990962.193360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110903731.456603.82060@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110904141.826980.230230@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110916720.882703.325650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 205.188.117.11
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110958403 1692 127.0.0.1 (16 Mar 2005
07:33:23 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Wed, 16 Mar 2005 07:33:23 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1110916720.882703.325650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2

Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=205.188.117.11;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

Bathrooman wrote:
> Read some of the other crap this boy has written in the last 5-6
years
> on other newsgroups. He wanted some hams to show him how to make a
> transmitter out of a microwave oven. We all know that it can be done
> but why the hell would anyone with half a brain want to bother?? And
> then to solicit other hams on a newsgroup to show you how?? WTF??

Maybe he wanted to be able to carry on a conversation while warming
his meals?

Or was it to keep the space aliens from reading his thoughts?

> He supposedly started this group "Langley Park Telecommunications,"
> dedicated to broadcasting and supporting the arts. Then, he was
> arguing about copyrights, while farting around with his low power UHF
> TV and FM pirate stations. He was transmitting **** off of VHS tapes
> fer Chrissakes and his crummy signal went fer a whopping two blocks.

How could ANYone discuss "the arts" with a creep who holds all his
sentences together with the "f" word...???

> He advertised for a pilot to show him how to finish an
ultralight...(SNIP)

Guess reading the instructions was out, eh...?!?!

> (UNSNIP)...and show him how to fly. WTF??

That's scary! An idiot like him allowed to operate an aircraft,
even if it is just an ultralight. And I know 14 year olds who hang
glide and fly ultralights. Guess Toddie needed some adult supervision?

> He advertised for a pro bono lawyer to defend him against Wal Mart
when he got fired.

My eldest is a "CSM" for Wal-Mart in Florida, and she says you
have to be a rip-roaring idiot or a thief to not be able to hold a
Wal-Mart job.

I wonder which one Toddie was...????

> And, all this time I
> don't think he ever had sex with a woman.

Thank God for small favors...All we need are more Toddies running
around. Can you imagine what idiots this idiot would parent?

> The guy is crazy.

Understatement!

73

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For
Human?
Date: 9 Mar 2005 23:23:09 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 53
Message-ID: <1110439389.244395.216650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
References: <1110096373.892870.133960@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<6-qdnTHOoInFYLffRVn-uQ@comcast.com>
<1110122869.030889.226320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110174848.995005.321700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1110291968.831474.21620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<JfidnUlYSvaxQ7DfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
<1110306329.306432.258790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110308191.718790.251850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110328392.150500.53110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110332720.491224.123030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110345663.195882.268020@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110356228.460053.178650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110386172.332093.120100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110410171.035575.191520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110423019.118665.132380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110439393 5087 127.0.0.1 (10 Mar 2005
07:23:13 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 Mar 2005 07:23:13 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1110423019.118665.132380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> I know Dam well what it says, but I think you and all your butt
buddies
> on here don't.

What's really funny is someone who's trying to be expressive with
profanity that can't even spell the profanities correctly!

> The difference between a Information Bulletin and a Broadcast is (and
> even a little smart ass like bathwhoreman and his little bitch julie
on
> here could understand).

Ahhhhhhh....You must have gone to the Leonard H. Anderson School
of Kill the Messenger USEGROUP Posting.

No facts, so you dig on in to the folks delivering you the bad
news....

> A Information bulletin is a message directed only to the amateur
> operators consisting solely of subject matter of direct interest to
the
> Amateur service.

The point is, Toddie, is that you have nothing of interest to
offer.

Your personal opinion OF your opinion notwithstanding.

> A Broadcast is A Transmissions intended for reception by the general
> public, either direct or relayed.

The Amateur Radio community has no interest in what you ahve to
say...why would the "general public" care eitehr?

> There's the difference, A information bulletin is directed to the
> amateur radio community, even if it's opinionated as long as it
> directed to the amateur radio service it's a "information bulletin"
and
> is legal. if the program is directed to the general public then it's
a
> broadcast.

You're broadcasting.

NO ONE wants to hear you or your warp minded opinions. On the air
OR here.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

Path:
g2news1.google.com!postnews.google.com!o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com!not-for-mail
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Subject: Re: How Stupid Can N9OGL REALLY Get And Still Be Mistaken For
Human?
Date: 10 Mar 2005 12:09:06 -0800
Organization: http://groups.google.com
Lines: 38
Message-ID: <1110485345.973685.61830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
References: <1110122869.030889.226320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>

<1110174848.995005.321700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1110291968.831474.21620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<JfidnUlYSvaxQ7DfRVn-uA@gbronline.com>
<1110306329.306432.258790@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110308191.718790.251850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110328392.150500.53110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110332720.491224.123030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110345663.195882.268020@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110356228.460053.178650@l41g2000cwc.googlegroups.com>
<1110386172.332093.120100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
<1110410171.035575.191520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110423019.118665.132380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
<1110439389.244395.216650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
<1110481206.535556.222170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 152.163.100.138
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1110485350 26743 127.0.0.1 (10 Mar 2005
20:09:10 GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 10 Mar 2005 20:09:10 +0000 (UTC)
In-Reply-To: <1110481206.535556.222170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
Injection-Info: o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com;
posting-host=152.163.100.138;
posting-account=qNx0vw0AAAA-UHNzUIDJOorYN5_VptjO

N9OGL wrote:
> First off you've never heard my information bulletin, which that's
what
> is a information bulletin not a broadcast I suggest you look at the
> definitions in part 97.

Who told you I've never heard your "information bulletin"...???

> A since you haven't heard my bulletin you can
> not justify saying that they aren't of interest.

WHAT "interest"...?!?! Of what great importance do the
meanderings of a megalomaniac like you have being broadcast on 20
meters?

> Like I said, you and
> your buddies on here are a SMALL MINORITY of the amateur radio
> community. you know I DON'T think W1AW has anything of importance so
> perhaps I force to have them removed from the band, HELL, I don't see
> any of the shit you or your girlfriends spew out here or on the publc
> airwaves of any "value" maybe we should remove you uninteresting
> opinion off the spectrum.

You couldn't force flatulance through Fruit-of-the-Loom, Todd.

> Bottom line I'm going to continue what i'm
> doing and there isn't anything you can do about it
> hehehehehehehehehehehehehehe

Oh yes there is.

"Hehehehehehehehehehehehehehe"

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

STEVE BOTTOM LINE YOUR A FUCKING ASSHOLE THAT NO ONE LIKES, MY OPINION TO YOU IS CRAWL BACK UNDER THAT SLIMMY ROCK YOU CAME FROM WITH ALL THE OTHER PIECES OF POND SCUM ON HERE!!!

TODD N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW ~ VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
SATURDAY'S @4PM CST ON 14.321.00 MHz.

## 353. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 09:40:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131097259.069211.67490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122918

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1131015255.098995.310810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > If the FCC were mandated to accept the simple majority of
> > comments, either way you look at it, Morse Code testing (in the United
> > Staes) in one form or another would be staying for some time to come.
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> IF the FCC were so mandated, but we all know they are
> not bound by any "voting" analogy.

Exactly! FCC need only consider the comments, not act on
them.

>What also is obvious
> to those that have been around long enough is that
> the current "score" is a dramatic shift from opinions
> within the amateur community as compared to prior
> efforts to "score" support (98-143) or back when the
> first efforts to bring a nocode license began.

I disagree, Bill. Or rather, I'd say it's not that clear.

Back in 1998, NCI supported the concept of "5 wpm
now, complete elimination when the treaty changes".
That position got about 45% support (check Carl's
post of around that time when he reported KC8EPO's
tally of comments).

Now the NPRM proposes "complete elimination now
that the treaty has changed" but the support is
still about 45% of commenters.

So the support for total code test elimination isn't much different
than it was 7 years ago.

> Playing your number realignment, you must admit
> then that 68% of commentors DO support ending
> code for General.

Yep. Exactly as proposed by ARRL.

>THAT is dramatic in comparison
> to past opinion analysis.

We don't really know that, do we?
There was never a serious proposal
before that suggested "code test for
Extra only" that I know of.

> Consider too that
> IF the FCC retained any level of code testing for
> Extra then the FCC would/will have to reintroduce
> waivers as the international treaty no longer provides
> absolute minimal code requirements for any level.

Why would FCC "have to" do waivers? IIRC there's no
mention of waivers in the NPRM. The treaty's been
changed for almost 2-1/2 years but no waivers.

> That's a path that FCC just won't go down.
>
Probably not.

One simple solution is the "Canadian compromise": Keep
code testing but change how it is scored. One method is
to change the requirement for Element 3 (General written)
to the following:

Element 3 can be passed by getting an ~85% grade on the
35 written questions *or* a ~75% grade and a passing mark
on the code test.

That way there's no "lowering of standards" yet the Morse Code
test is not a mandatory pass-fail standalone test any more.

73 de Jim, N2EY

which reminds me - time to update The Pool...

## 354. The Pool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 09:49:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131097775.997732.119490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122919

Here's an update on the "when will FCC drop Element 1?" pool.
This means the effective date of the FCC action that completely
eliminates Morse Code testing for any class of amateur radio
license in the USA.

Note how almost everyone's date, including mine, has already
passed:

WA2SI: September 13, 2003 (first prediction)
KF6TPT: September 29, 2003
KC8EPO: December 31, 2003
K2UNK: January 1, 2004 (first prediction)
K2ASP: March 15, 2004
AA2QA: April 1, 2004
N2EY: April 15, 2004
N3KIP: May 1, 2004 (first prediction)
KC8PMX: July 1, 2004
WA2ISE: August 1, 2004
K3LT: September 15, 2004
WK3C: December 30, 2004
N4PGW: May 22, 2005
N8UZE: July 1, 2005 (first prediction)
N3KIP: December 31, 2005 (second prediction)
N8UZE: January 31, 2006 (second prediction)
N2EY: March 1, 2006 (second prediction)
KB9RQZ: April 1, 2006
K2UNK: July 1, 2006 (second prediction)
AB2RC: July 1, 2007
KB3EIA: July 5, 2007
W5TIT: June 1, 2008
WA2SI: Complete elimination not within the foreseeable future (second
prediction)

Anybody already on the list want to add a prediction? If so, the
"rolling rule" (thanks Dee, N8UZE) applies:

If your prediction on this list is a year or more in the past, you can
add a new one.

At this point everyone before and including WK3C can add a new
prediction.

All predictions stay on the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 355. Lloyd Davies says: Thank You, David for letting me blow you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 12:52:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131108770.388782.130040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122920

Whiny_cuntLloyd wrote:
> David: Thank you for being yourself.
> You have a penchant for not wasting words.
> You are concise and to the point.
>
> Would that others follow suit.

Why don't you kill yourself?

You are a 32 year old obese retarded virgin.

You are a "pizza delivery boy."

You are obsessed with me.

You are so goddamn dumb, you think everyone is me.

Do it Porky, kill yourself.

## 356. Re: "Scorecard" On Markie's Rallying Call To Arms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 12:53:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131108828.101242.76410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122921

Whiny_cuntLloyd Davies wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131023429.084170.321130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> QUOTE
>
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
>
> UNQUOTE
>

Why don't you kill yourself?

You are a 32 year old obese retarded virgin.

You are a "pizza delivery boy."

You are obsessed with me.

You are so goddamn dumb, you think everyone is Roger Wiseman.

Do it Porky, kill yourself.

## 357. Re: CB saves the day during Wilma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 12:54:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131108876.556352.148230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Whiny_cuntLloyd Davies wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131023342.661465.114770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Like you have character, Halstead.
> >
>
> Et

Plagairizing again, fatass?

# 358. Re: Marky C. Moron, er Morgan admits stalking Steve Robeson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 12:55:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131108936.445362.139010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122923

Whiny_cuntLloyd Davies whined:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131022652.647667.90530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > been going stevie shit (not pleasennt reading) looking for stuff to
> > forward to Borad of nursing
>
> Marky admits to stalking Steve!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
> While I deny, deny, and deny stalking Roger.

You sure so, Davies.

## 359. Re: NIMBusters is DYING!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 12:57:25 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131109045.375212.198910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Whiny_cuntLloyd Davies whined:

><IKYABWAI>

BWHAHAHAHA Poor Lloyd, sees Roger everywhere.
Busted, you sheep fucking, goo-gobbling kiddie porn making pervert.

Now Lloyd even thinks Roger is behind every post at Nim Busters.

Poor Lloydie. Poor, poor, delusional Lloydie Davies.

# 360. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 12:58:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131109121.679385.252020@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122925

Toad, he isn't going to let you suck his dick, FAGGOT!

# 361. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 13:33:33 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <NqJaf.5298$AS6.5283@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122926

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1131077048.778424.275930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Iitoi wrote:
>
>> the results at that
>> site are strikingly similar to your tabulation, with 45% fully in favor
>> of the NRPM (drop the test) and 55% in favor of keeping some level of
>> CW testing.
>
> "Strikingly similar"?
>
> Len Anderson claims the tally is 49.33% for and 50.67% against the NPRM
> (either partly or completely). AH0A claims 45% for and 55% against.
> That's a pretty big difference, particularly when Len posts his results
> to four significant figures and claims to be
> "accurate".
>
> Whose count is correct?

It really makes no difference because the reality is that about 66%
favor ending code for General. Given an absolute majority
opinion ro end code... at least for General, then we can be
sure the FCC isn't going to retain any code at all because to
do so once again means a reinstatement of code medica
waivers.

Does anyone think otherwise?

> Len claims to have read and understood all the "filings" - yet he could
> not find the
> AH0A count, which is clearly mentioned in AH0A's filing.
>
> So - did Len *really* read and understand ALL the filings?
>>
>> He classifies the valid responses on the same basis as you ("For NRPM",
>> "Keep the current test", and "Extra Only"). Then he has three
>> categories of "Others" which aren't included in his tally (Dupes and
>> other junk).
>
> Yet the results are different - by a considerable percentage.
>
> Why?

Bottom line again is "who cares?" In the scheme of
things the results as tabulated by etiher Len or Joe are a

solid base for the end of code testing. The "let's keep it just for Extra" group isn't even united on what speed it should be. Most will accept (IMHO) retention of 5wpm for Extra, but some have called for a return to the days of yesteryear and would like 13 or 20wpm.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 362. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 13:46:08 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ACJaf.5301$AS6.3031@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122927

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1131097259.069211.67490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1131015255.098995.310810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > If the FCC were mandated to accept the simple majority of
>> > comments, either way you look at it, Morse Code testing (in the United
>> > Staes) in one form or another would be staying for some time to come.
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> IF the FCC were so mandated, but we all know they are
>> not bound by any "voting" analogy.
>
> Exactly! FCC need only consider the comments, not act on
> them.
>
>>What also is obvious
>> to those that have been around long enough is that
>> the current "score" is a dramatic shift from opinions
>> within the amateur community as compared to prior
>> efforts to "score" support (98-143) or back when the
>> first efforts to bring a nocode license began.
>
> I disagree, Bill. Or rather, I'd say it's not that clear.
>
> Back in 1998, NCI supported the concept of "5 wpm
> now, complete elimination when the treaty changes".
> That position got about 45% support (check Carl's
> post of around that time when he reported KC8EPO's
> tally of comments).
>
> Now the NPRM proposes "complete elimination now
> that the treaty has changed" but the support is
> still about 45% of commenters.
>
> So the support for total code test elimination isn't much different
> than it was 7 years ago.

The trend is and has been towards ending code. Nothing
has changed to alter that general opinion.

>> Playing your number realignment, you must admit
>> then that 68% of commentors DO support ending
>> code for General.

>
> Yep. Exactly as proposed by ARRL.
>
>>THAT is dramatic in comparison
>> to past opinion analysis.
>
> We don't really know that, do we?
> There was never a serious proposal
> before that suggested "code test for
> Extra only" that I know of.

Fair enough.

>> Consider too that
>> IF the FCC retained any level of code testing for
>> Extra then the FCC would/will have to reintroduce
>> waivers as the international treaty no longer provides
>> absolute minimal code requirements for any level.
>
> Why would FCC "have to" do waivers? IIRC there's no
> mention of waivers in the NPRM. The treaty's been
> changed for almost 2-1/2 years but no waivers.

IF the code test isn't dropped totally, the president for
waivers in the absence of any treaty requirement will
rule. Inactivity in response to the treatty change by the FCC
can certainly be chalked up to digestion of the host
of petitions filed after WRC and the ultimate
issuance of NPRM 98-235 which is now pending.

IF (let's be hypothetical) the FCC did retain code for
Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
surely be raised by someone who need only point
to the use of waivers previously and no court in
this country would rule against such a clain.

>> That's a path that FCC just won't go down.
>>
> Probably not.

PLEASE explain on what legal or rational basis the
FCC could argue to avoid a waiver policy if code
was only retained for Extra.

> One simple solution is the "Canadian compromise": Keep
> code testing but change how it is scored. One method is
> to change the requirement for Element 3 (General written)
> to the following:
>
> Element 3 can be passed by getting an ~85% grade on the
> 35 written questions *or* a ~75% grade and a passing mark
> on the code test.

>
> That way there's no "lowering of standards" yet the Morse Code
> test is not a mandatory pass-fail standalone test any more.

I personally don't believe the Canadian compromise
would pass ADA requirements.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 363. The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 15:00:39 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1131116439.255278.104600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122928
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B

licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

# 364. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 15:54:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131119669.394949.164220@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122929

I don't qant him to, but hey perhaps you want him to suck your, you fucking little troll

## 365. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 18:10:09 UTC
From: Krusty <nospam@please.com>
Message-ID: <5uNaf.1870$8R6.408@newsfe1-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 122930
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1131116439.255278.104600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> What is Ham Radio?
<snip>

Ahh, I can almost smelll the meths from here....looks like he chose another
fine vintage from Wilko's finest....

## 366. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 18:54:40 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9jbnm1tne70t07vanlm9gdcm0i5hgq732d@4ax.com>
Source record: 122931
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

On 4 Nov 2005 07:00:39 -0800, "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
wrote in <1131116439.255278.104600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>What is Ham Radio?

Do you mean the Amateur Radio Service?

>Ham Radio

Amateur radio.....

> is a technical pursuit for those who
>are interested in the science of radio wave
>propagation and who are also interested in the
>way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
>tradition of providing a source of engineers who
>are born naturals.

It also includes an interest in communication, that being the primary
purpose for -using- a radio.

>Ham Radio

Amateur radio.....

> awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
>fascination with all things technical and gives
>an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
>knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
>
>This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
>with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
>gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

.....Amateur Radio.

>Radio Hams

Radio Amateurs.....

> are in a unique privileged position in that
>they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
>else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
>the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
>users have to purchase ready-made gear.

Wrong. As a BE I have designed, constructed and operated two broadcast
transmitters. Anyone can build and operate equipment for any purpose

provided the equipment meets government criteria and has been
certificated (or otherwise authorized), and the operator has the
proper license or authorization.

And under those rules, Part 15 (as well as the UK equivalent) gives
hobbyists the opportunity to build and operate their own equipment
-without- a license at much lower power. That's not necessarily a bad
thing; those who have challenged the limitations of the 1750m band
have made significant technical advancements that have spilled over
into the field of Amateur radio. Part 15 also allows broadcasting with
very low power; broadcasting is prohibited for Amateurs except in very
special circumstances.

> Manufacturers
>are not licensed to operate their gear.

Wrong. They -MUST- hold a license or proper authorization to operate
their equipment for the simple reason that it must be tested.

> Radio Hams

Radio Amateurs.....

>are qualified to design, build and then
>operate their own pieces of equipment.

Wrong. An Amateur license does not QUALIFY them to design or build
anything. ANYONE can design and build their own equipment. Amateurs
are AUTHORIZED to OPERATE their own equipment, and then ONLY if the
equipment meets certain technical standards as set forth under the
rules regulating Amateur radio.

> They do this
>with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
>equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
>to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

Yet it is a privilege that is not exclusive to Amateur radio.

>The excitement that drives a Radio Ham

..... a Radio Amateur.....

> starts with
>relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
>his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells.

YIKES!!! A Wimshurst machine is an RFI nightmare -- definitely -NOT-
on the list of preferred devices for use in Amateur radio.

> Small pieces
>of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
>generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
>the latter that communication with like-minded technically
>motivated people takes off. The scope for technical

>development grows with the years
>and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
>of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
>be learnt and applied.

Gee, where's Gunny Dudly the Spelling Cop?

>The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams

.....Radio Amateurs.....

> to compete
>with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
>the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
>competitions and fox-hunts.
>
>-----OOOOO----
>
>However, beware! A Ham Radio licence

.....Amateur Radio "license".....

> is such a
>desirable thing to have that there are large
>numbers of people who wish to be thought of
>as Radio Hams

.....Radio Amateurs.....

> when, in fact, they are nothing
>of the kind! Usually such people are a
>variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
>radios off the shelf and send them back to be
>repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
>and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
>their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
>they are free with rather silly personal insults;
>they have not satisfied any technical qualification
>and their licences prevent the use of
>self-designed-and-built equipment.

Notwithstanding the negative connotations, you described CB radio very
well. The Citizen's Band is -intended- for people who want to buy
their radios off the shelf -- not caring about how they work or any
other technical aspects beyond basic functionality. It's a plug-n-play
radio service. And no license is required (in the US).

>These CB types engage in the competitive activities
>with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
>in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>
>No _REAL_ Radio Hams

.....Radio Amateurs.....

> are deceived by such people!

Neither are any "_REAL_" CBers.

>-----ooooo-----
>
>One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
>from the _REAL_ Radio Ham

.....Radio Amateur.....

> is to solicit their view of the
>difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio.

.....Amateur Radio.

> A Radio Ham

A Radio Amateur.....

> will
>perceive Ham Radio

.....Amateur Radio....

> to be a technical pursuit and will
>perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
>no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
>GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham

.....Radio Amateur.....

>could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
>such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
>telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio

.....Amateur Radio.....

> as a separate
>technical pursuit.
>
>A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
>a Ham Radio licence

.....an Amateur Radio license.....

> and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
>sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
>tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
>Ham

.....Radio Amateur.....

> when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
>a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
>issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
>M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

....."gangrenous degeneration"? And you think -CBers- have an attitude
problem?

>-----ooooo-----
>
>One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
>Radio Hams

.....Radio Amateurs.....

> but who are in reality nothing more than an
>apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
>licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
>the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
>bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
>intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.
>
>6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
>enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
>for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
>the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams

.....Radio Amateurs.....

> by any stretch
>of the imagination!
>
>Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
>or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
>degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

BTW, there -is- a FAQ for Amateur radio: it's called "The Radio
Amateur's Handbook".

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Uncensored-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 367. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 19:39:21 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131133161.383512.286630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122932

N9OGL wrote:
> I don't qant him to,

Looks like you do, Toad, you got all excited to even type "want"
correctly, faggot.

> but hey perhaps you want him to suck your, you
> fucking little troll

Try posting in English, you little cockgobbling four eyed mommy and
daddy's basement roach.

# 368. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 19:50:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131133833.354837.270680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122933

fuck you, you fucking little troll

# 369. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 20:16:15 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131135375.324108.81510@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122934

N9OGL wrote:
> fuck you, you fucking little troll

I'm not a queer like you and I don't want your AIDS!

## 370. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 20:55:08 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122935

Bill Sohl Wrote:
>
>
> IF (let's be hypothetical) the FCC did retain code for
> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
> surely be raised by someone who need only point
> to the use of waivers previously and no court in
> this country would rule against such a clain.
>

The "waivers previously" were only for the 13/20 WPM tests, and required the applicant to have passed a 5 WPM test. TTBOMK there has never been any waiver of 5 WPM, thus no precedent in support of such a claim.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

# 371. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 21:09:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131138558.182384.220230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122936

From: Iitoi on Fri 4 Nov 2005 01:34

>LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:

>> Why don't you just reveal this "website" and be done with it? If it is
>> "so much better" than what I've done, then others will obviously see so!
>>
>One other analysis that I've seen is by a Northern Mariana Islands
>callsign http://ah0a.org/FCC/05-235/ --- it may be the site that N2EY
>refers to.

That certainly seems to be the one. Nice and colorful, looks great
in any GUI display. :-)

Joe Speroni (AH0A) has to be taken for what he is: An absolute
morseman who values morse code skill over everything else in
United States amateur radio. Speroni is also a Petitioner to the
FCC who has yet to have his Petitions granted. <shrug> :-)

I put Speroni into a category of one who has a LOT of emotional
baggage tied up in morse code and code testing.

>If it is, N2EY's blustering is a puzzlement because the results at that
>site are strikingly similar to your tabulation, with 45% fully in favor
>of the NRPM (drop the test) and 55% in favor of keeping some level of
>CW testing.

No "puzzlement" to me. :-) Jimmie has been consistently
against on just about everything I've ever written in here.
Since I am NOT in favor of morse code testing (as are several
others), he loves to "get on my (and their's) case" to show
"how mistaken" I (and they) am.

Jimmie ("N2EY," which any day might become his legal name) has
now resorted to attacking my choice of residence (the one I
chose in May of 1963) and attempts ridicule of that location
by partial lyrics of an old pop song ("little houses made of
ticky-tacky"). :-) TS. I'll turn my collar around and get
out my punch for his card. [he's probably never heard of the
"TS Card" that was common in the military]

Speroni's "Analysis" is probably no more "flawed" than mine.
The difference is in his categorization and the fact that he
has NOT posted it in here. I began posting results almost
daily from the beginning of August; Speroni has ONE display
and MUST be taken as "accurate" (since he is an unabashed
morse code advocate). Speroni hasn't been subjected to the

heckling I've received in here on a regular basis since September. :-)

Speroni counts REPLIES to Comments as "duplicates" in order to arrive at a code-favored percentage in his "results." We could extend that to questionable categorization of husband-wife teams filing separately...but that effort isn't worth the time wasted for "accuracy." There's also some obvious (if one checks the posted address) of family members filing separately. Lots and lots of variations of "inaccuracy" charges possible!

Note also the subtle biasing of the icon indicators on Speroni's "analysis" sheet. All those against code testing have the red slant bar across them. All those for code testing are green or blue with no ("do not do") red slant bars. Those for-code icons are also clear, nothing to show that they are the exact OPPOSITE of the NPRM. :-)

My "percentage" was shown strictly as an INDICATOR of the opinion. What the FCC uses for decisions is up to them. My "score card" isn't "official." Neither is Speroni's.

If anyone bothered to look at my continuing series of "score card" postings, they would have seen a most decided "FOR" position on the NPRM early in the comment period (better than 2:1). By the official notice date in the Federal Register, VERY late in my opinion, the pro-code-test comments had increased but not yet achieved "supremacy" over the no-code-test comments OR the "code test for extra" folks.

Here's an important fact AND a big unknown about the comments: According to the statements in both the NPRM and Federal Register, the comment period begins ONLY on the FR notice date. WE citizens don't know if the FCC will consider all those comments made BEFORE the notice date! If the FCC doesn't, then the number of CONSIDERED filings drop to nearly half and the "percentages" get skewed. Speroni did NOT mention that in his "analysis." I did, from day one of the FR notice. The Federal Register notices are legally binding on federal law, regulations, and rules.

>He classifies the valid responses on the same basis as you ("For NRPM",
>"Keep the current test", and "Extra Only"). Then he has three
>categories of "Others" which aren't included in his tally (Dupes and
>other junk).

Speroni has NOT "classified" or even included 10 filings that showed up under 31 Oct 05, all done by LAW STUDENTS. As of 2 PM EDT on 4 Nov 05, those "moot court" exercise papers number 17 (3 are unidentified as to individual and

were copied and filed as one filing by the FCC). The total number of filings up to the official end of Comments period are now 3,697, not the lower number Speroni shows for today.

All this doesn't matter in RRAP as far as Miccolis is concerned. Anyone favoring code testing is "accurate" to him and anyone not favoring code testing is "inaccurate!"

Quod erat demonstrandum (for years in here). :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[a fan of author Tony Hillerman novels]

# 372. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 21:13:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131138829.532850.110990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs 3 Nov 2005 15:57

>Steveo wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >
>> > > http://tinyurl.com/8apbr
>> >
>> > Hmmm? "A lifelong interest..."
>> >
>> > That's enough to get the boys in the hood all nasty with you.
>> >
>> The boyz in the hood?
>>
>> <insert favorite two live crew toon here> ha
>
>You see, Len has had a lifelong interest in radio. But in rrap, if
>you've had a lifelong interest in radio and don't have a ham license,
>it gets these guys all upset.

Plus, we MUST be "accurate!" [don't forget that]

In the spirit of sustaining "accuracy," I have NOT had a
"LIFELONG interest in radio." I had a moderate interest
in it (all of electronics really) until my Army
assignment posted me to a major Army radio communications
facility in early February 1953. I was then 20, rather
out of "teen-age" years. Bingo, BIG TIME INTEREST from
then on! So big I was on the road to changing my career
goals when I got out of the Army in 1956. See:

http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/
My3Years.pdf

Made a "big mistake" (according to a mighty macho
motivated morseman in here) in getting a professional
radio license in '56, not an amateur one. Tsk, tsk.
Worse yet, I got a JOB in radio that year to do REAL
WORK with that license! [horrors, how un-ham-like!]

Never used morse code, never had to in the Army. Never
used morse code, or had to, in my career since...which
included "working" a station ON the moon. [real DX]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 373. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 21:18:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131139103.321010.305730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122938

I think your bitch roger wiseman is calling you!

you fucking sissy boy

# 374. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 21:22:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131139323.885675.139580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122939

or is it steve k4yz?? because you seem to like to defend her honor.
anyone who denfend steve's is either a moron or hard up for steve.

## 375. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 21:39:32 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <51lnm1pddpiph0ei9081g8ouhajt17721u@4ax.com>
Source record: 122940
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

Polymouth wrote:

>>Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
>>they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
>>else has this privilege.

[ ] In the UK, no repeat no licence of any kind is necessary to specify, design, construct, modify, repair, own, or (under some circumstances) test an Amateur transmitter.

[ ] A pass in a current examination for a UK Amateur Licence qualifies the successful candidate for the issue of a UK Licence. Holders of the appropriate levels of licence are permitted to operate transmitting equipment that is not subject to a formal approvals procedure, and to carry out technical investigations. A qualification for a Licence, or the Licence itself, is not, repeat not, a qualification to specify, design, construct, modify, repair, or own transmitting equipment.

from
Aero Spike

# 376. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 23:19:45 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <l0Saf.4240$2y.2440@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122941

"Iitoi" <Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> Bill Sohl Wrote:
>>
>>
>> IF (let's be hypothetical) the FCC did retain code for
>> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
>> surely be raised by someone who need only point
>> to the use of waivers previously and no court in
>> this country would rule against such a clain.
>>
>
> The "waivers previously" were only for the 13/20 WPM tests, and
> required the applicant to have passed a 5 WPM test. TTBOMK there has
> never been any waiver of 5 WPM, thus no precedent in support of such a
> claim.

The ONLY reason waivers were not extended down to 5wpm
in the past was because the FCC believed the USA could not
waive the minimal code test because of the treaty. Now
that there is no treaty requirement, the logic that lead
to waivers of 13/20 wpm can and would be extended to
a 5wpm test IF such test remains. The president stands
based on the general application of waivers for non-treaty
established code speeds.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 377. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 23:40:10 UTC
From: W2DNE <info@ultradne.com>
Message-ID: <dkgrgq$mss$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 122942

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:l0Saf.4240$2y.2440@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "Iitoi" <Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
> news:Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com...
>>
>> Bill Sohl Wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>> IF (let's be hypothetical) the FCC did retain code for
>>> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
>>> surely be raised by someone who need only point
>>> to the use of waivers previously and no court in
>>> this country would rule against such a clain.
>>>
>>
>> The "waivers previously" were only for the 13/20 WPM tests, and
>> required the applicant to have passed a 5 WPM test. TTBOMK there has
>> never been any waiver of 5 WPM, thus no precedent in support of such a
>> claim.
>
> The ONLY reason waivers were not extended down to 5wpm
> in the past was because the FCC believed the USA could not
> waive the minimal code test because of the treaty. Now
> that there is no treaty requirement, the logic that lead
> to waivers of 13/20 wpm can and would be extended to
> a 5wpm test IF such test remains. The president stands
> based on the general application of waivers for non-treaty
> established code speeds.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

Last I checked the president stands in the white house. Also last I checked
there was no precedent for a waiver of 5 word-per-min.

In any case, I'd agree that invoking ADA might work --- to require possibility
of test waivers for disabled, but not to disallow testing outright.

# 378. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-04 23:58:05 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131148684.958023.92830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122943

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1131077048.778424.275930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Iitoi wrote:
> >
> >> the results at that
> >> site are strikingly similar to your tabulation, with 45% fully in favor
> >> of the NRPM (drop the test) and 55% in favor of keeping some level of
> >> CW testing.

> > "Strikingly similar"?
> >
> > Len Anderson claims the tally is 49.33% for and 50.67% against the NPRM
> > (either partly or completely). AH0A claims 45% for and 55% against.
> > That's a pretty big difference, particularly when Len posts his results
> > to four significant figures and claims to be
> > "accurate".
> >
> > Whose count is correct?
>
> It really makes no difference because the reality is that about 66%
> favor ending code for General.

I say it depends on what the question is. If you're talking about the
General, there's a clear majority to eliminate the code test for that
license. But if you're talking about the Extra, there's a clear
majority to keep the code test for *that* license.

If you're talking about complete code test elimination, which the NPRM
proposes, there's a clear majority *against* that.

> Given an absolute majority
> opinion ro end code... at least for General, then we can be
> sure the FCC isn't going to retain any code at all because to
> do so once again means a reinstatement of code medica
> waivers.

I disagree!

The medical waivers came about because of the request of a
now-dead King to a president who left office 13 years ago,
ADA had nothing to do with it IIRC.

> Does anyone think otherwise?

Yes - me.

If medical waivers were a consideration, FCC could have
immediately reinstituted them in July 2003, claiming that
the only reason they didn't exist before was the treaty. But
they didn't.
>
> > Len claims to have read and understood all the "filings" - yet he could
> > not find the AH0A count, which is clearly mentioned in AH0A's filing.
> >
> > So - did Len *really* read and understand ALL the filings?

Obviously not!
>
> >> He classifies the valid responses on the same basis as you ("For NRPM",
> >> "Keep the current test", and "Extra Only"). Then he has three
> >> categories of "Others" which aren't included in his tally (Dupes and
> >> other junk).

> > Yet the results are different - by a considerable percentage.

> > Why?

> Bottom line again is "who cares?"

Obviously Len does - just look at how he carries on about it.....

> In the scheme of
> things the results as tabulated by etiher Len or Joe are a
> solid base for the end of code testing.

For General, yes. For Extra, the opposite is true.

But as we both know, FCC is under no mandate to
follow the majority opinion.

> The "let's keep it just
> for Extra" group isn't even united on what speed it should
> be. Most will accept (IMHO) retention of 5wpm for
> Extra, but some have called for a return to the days
> of yesteryear and would like 13 or 20wpm.

So we compromise on 15 wpm.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 379. In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 00:03:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131148991.624716.204750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122944

This weekend is the ARRL November Sweepstakes (SS) on CW. I plan to be on the air, making as many points as possible. SS is perhaps my favorite contest, because it requires a real exchange (4 pieces of info besides the callsign, and the signal report is not part of the exchange.) I also like it because even a modest station can get a big score.

The fall and winter also bring out a lot of anti-contest and anti-DX complaints. Some of them may be justified - not every contester or DXer is 100% courteous 100% of the time.

But a lot of the technical progress in ham radio, particularly on HF/MF, has come about because of contesters and DXers.

Compare a typical midrange HF ham transceiver of today with one from the '60s or '70s and consider how many features and performance improvements came about because DXers and contesters pushed for them, developed them, and paid for them. Better filters, better dynamic range, multiple VFOs, memories, I/O ports, no-tune-up operation, separate rx antenna inputs, and much much more can all be traced at least in part to the needs and wants of contesters and DXers.

Want to compare numbers? Check out how differently the receivers of old and new ham rigs perform:

http://www.sherweng.com/table.html

Is there a computer in your shack? One of the first widespread uses of computers in hamshacks was to keep contest logs. Memory keyer? Developed for contesting.

There are lots more examples but you get the idea.

Of course a lot of these features first appear in the top-of-the-line rigs that most of

us cannot afford. But then they work their way down to the lower-priced stuff as the
technology matures and the development costs are paid off.

Whether you like contests and DXing or not, they do contribute to the ARS.

(cue sound of soapbox being put away)

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 380. Re: In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 00:46:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131151596.922460.30940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122945

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> This weekend is the ARRL November Sweepstakes (SS) on CW. I plan to be
> on the air,
> making as many points as possible. SS is perhaps my favorite contest,
> because it
> requires a real exchange (4 pieces of info besides the callsign, and
> the signal report
> is not part of the exchange.)

RS(T) is a standard "piece" of info. A QSO would not be complete
without it, IN MY OPINION. If there are any ARRL card checkers out
there, is a card without a signal report valid?

> I also like it because even a modest
> station can get a
> big score.

Regardless of contest, a modest station only competes with itself.

> The fall and winter also bring out a lot of anti-contest and anti-DX
> complaints. Some
> of them may be justified - not every contester or DXer is 100%
> courteous 100% of the
> time.

Just worry about your own station like Heil recommends.

> But a lot of the technical progress in ham radio, particularly on
> HF/MF, has come about
> because of contesters and DXers.

You don't say.

> Compare a typical midrange HF ham transceiver of today with one from
> the '60s or '70s
> and consider how many features and performance improvements came about
> because
> DXers and contesters pushed for them, developed them, and paid for
> them. Better
> filters, better dynamic range, multiple VFOs, memories, I/O ports,
> no-tune-up operation,
> separate rx antenna inputs, and much much more can all be traced at
> least in part to
> the needs and wants of contesters and DXers.

Are you going to use your tube transmitter?

> Want to compare numbers? Check out how differently the receivers of old
> and new
> ham rigs perform:
>
> http://www.sherweng.com/table.html
>
> Is there a computer in your shack? One of the first widespread uses of
> computers
> in hamshacks was to keep contest logs. Memory keyer? Developed for
> contesting.
>
> There are lots more examples but you get the idea.

Yes.

> Of course a lot of these features first appear in the top-of-the-line
> rigs that most of
> us cannot afford. But then they work their way down to the lower-priced
> stuff as the
> technology matures and the development costs are paid off.
>
> Whether you like contests and DXing or not, they do contribute to the
> ARS.
>
> (cue sound of soapbox being put away)
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Thank you, Jim. You've almost caught up with what John Dorr was editorilizing 15 years ago.

# 381. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 00:57:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131152228.356291.65060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122946

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1131077048.778424.275930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Iitoi wrote:
> >
> >> the results at that
> >> site are strikingly similar to your tabulation, with 45% fully in favor
> >> of the NRPM (drop the test) and 55% in favor of keeping some level of
> >> CW testing.
> >
> > "Strikingly similar"?
> >
> > Len Anderson claims the tally is 49.33% for and 50.67% against the NPRM
> > (either partly or completely). AH0A claims 45% for and 55% against.
> > That's a pretty big difference, particularly when Len posts his results
> > to four significant figures and claims to be
> > "accurate".
> >
> > Whose count is correct?
>
> It really makes no difference because the reality is that about 66%
> favor ending code for General. Given an absolute majority
> opinion ro end code... at least for General, then we can be
> sure the FCC isn't going to retain any code at all because to
> do so once again means a reinstatement of code medica
> waivers.
>
> Does anyone think otherwise?

I'll wager $1 across state lines that Jim Miccolis/N2EY does.

> > Len claims to have read and understood all the "filings" - yet he could
> > not find the
> > AH0A count, which is clearly mentioned in AH0A's filing.
> >
> > So - did Len *really* read and understand ALL the filings?
> >>
> >> He classifies the valid responses on the same basis as you ("For NRPM",
> >> "Keep the current test", and "Extra Only"). Then he has three
> >> categories of "Others" which aren't included in his tally (Dupes and
> >> other junk).
> >
> > Yet the results are different - by a considerable percentage.
> >
> > Why?

>
> Bottom line again is "who cares?" In the scheme of
> things the results as tabulated by etiher Len or Joe are a
> solid base for the end of code testing. The "let's keep it just
> for Extra" group isn't even united on what speed it should
> be. Most will accept (IMHO) retention of 5wpm for
> Extra, but some have called for a return to the days
> of yesteryear and would like 13 or 20wpm.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

It matters not. What matteres is the Jim Miccolis/N2EY has a platform to attempt to discredit Len under any and every circumstance. Another $1 says thats the truth.

## 382. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 01:02:57 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131152577.661440.320080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122947

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1131077048.778424.275930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > Iitoi wrote:
> > >
> > >> the results at that
> > >> site are strikingly similar to your tabulation, with 45% fully in favor
> > >> of the NRPM (drop the test) and 55% in favor of keeping some level of
> > >> CW testing.
>
> > > "Strikingly similar"?
> > >
> > > Len Anderson claims the tally is 49.33% for and 50.67% against the NPRM
> > > (either partly or completely). AH0A claims 45% for and 55% against.
> > > That's a pretty big difference, particularly when Len posts his results
> > > to four significant figures and claims to be
> > > "accurate".
> > >
> > > Whose count is correct?
> >
> > It really makes no difference because the reality is that about 66%
> > favor ending code for General.
>
> I say it depends on what the question is.

Indeed it does but this is the very, very first time you've mentioned
it. All you've talked about up to this point is how some anonymous
tabulations are perfect and Len's is inaccurate, inaccurate,
inaccurate! Hi, hi!!! I think you have an axe to grind.

If you're talking about the
> General, there's a clear majority to eliminate the code test for that
> license.

You don't say?

> But if you're talking about the Extra, there's a clear
> majority to keep the code test for *that* license.

Really?

> If you're talking about complete code test elimination, which the NPRM
> proposes, there's a clear majority *against* that.

Says who?

# 383. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 01:09:31 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131152971.618629.96000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122948

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1131097259.069211.67490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1131015255.098995.310810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> >> > If the FCC were mandated to accept the simple majority of
> >> > comments, either way you look at it, Morse Code testing (in the United
> >> > Staes) in one form or another would be staying for some time to come.
> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> IF the FCC were so mandated, but we all know they are
> >> not bound by any "voting" analogy.
> >
> > Exactly! FCC need only consider the comments, not act on
> > them.
> >
> >>What also is obvious
> >> to those that have been around long enough is that
> >> the current "score" is a dramatic shift from opinions
> >> within the amateur community as compared to prior
> >> efforts to "score" support (98-143) or back when the
> >> first efforts to bring a nocode license began.
> >
> > I disagree, Bill. Or rather, I'd say it's not that clear.
> >
> > Back in 1998, NCI supported the concept of "5 wpm
> > now, complete elimination when the treaty changes".
> > That position got about 45% support (check Carl's
> > post of around that time when he reported KC8EPO's
> > tally of comments).
> >
> > Now the NPRM proposes "complete elimination now
> > that the treaty has changed" but the support is
> > still about 45% of commenters.
> >
> > So the support for total code test elimination isn't much different
> > than it was 7 years ago.
>
> The trend is and has been towards ending code.

Ending code or code *testing*?

> Nothing has changed to alter that general opinion.

Doesn't mean it's a good thing.

> >> Playing your number realignment, you must admit
> >> then that 68% of commentors DO support ending
> >> code for General.

> > Yep. Exactly as proposed by ARRL.

> >>THAT is dramatic in comparison
> >> to past opinion analysis.

> > We don't really know that, do we?
> > There was never a serious proposal
> > before that suggested "code test for
> > Extra only" that I know of.

> Fair enough.

Agreed.

> >> Consider too that
> >> IF the FCC retained any level of code testing for
> >> Extra then the FCC would/will have to reintroduce
> >> waivers as the international treaty no longer provides
> >> absolute minimal code requirements for any level.

> > Why would FCC "have to" do waivers? IIRC there's no
> > mention of waivers in the NPRM. The treaty's been
> > changed for almost 2-1/2 years but no waivers.

> IF the code test isn't dropped totally, the president for
> waivers in the absence of any treaty requirement will
> rule.

How do we know that? If that precedent really existed,
why didn't FCC institute waivers as soon as the
treaty requirement ended? They wouldn't need an NPRM -
they could cite the 1990-2000 procedures and just change
the words for "5 wpm".

> Inactivity in response to the treatty change by the FCC
> can certainly be chalked up to digestion of the host
> of petitions filed after WRC and the ultimate
> issuance of NPRM 98-235 which is now pending.

Maybe - but if there really was a precedent, FCC could
cite it, but they haven't.

> IF (let's be hypothetical)

Sure!

> the FCC did retain code for
> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
> surely be raised by someone who need only point
> to the use of waivers previously and no court in

> this country would rule against such a clain.

IANAL, but was ADA ever successfully cited before
against an FCC decision? An amateur license isn't
a right - only access to the tests for one is.

Waivers of any kind are a real quagmire because they
say the waivered test isn't really necessary for the
license. What's to prevent someone for demanding a
waiver of the Extra *written* test?

> >> That's a path that FCC just won't go down.

> > Probably not.

> PLEASE explain on what legal or rational basis the
> FCC could argue to avoid a waiver policy if code
> was only retained for Extra.

Several:

First off, I don't think ADA has ever been successfully
cited against FCC regulations

Second, an amateur radio license isn't a commercial
thing, so it's not like someone is denied a job or similar.

Third, Extra does not give any more power, modes,
bands or other operating privileges *except* a little
more frequency spectrum. There's also the vanity
call selection and the ability to VE other Extras, but
that's about it.

Fourth and most important, there's no absolute right
to an amateur radio license. It's a privilege - only
access to the tests is a right.

As an analogy, consider the national parks. There
are places where access to the park by motor
vehicle is not allowed. Does ADA require that
all parts of all parks be accessible by motor vehicle
because some people can't walk to them?

> > One simple solution is the "Canadian compromise": Keep
> > code testing but change how it is scored. One method is
> > to change the requirement for Element 3 (General written)
> > to the following:

> > Element 3 can be passed by getting an ~85% grade on the
> > 35 written questions *or* a ~75% grade and a passing mark
> > on the code test.

> > That way there's no "lowering of standards" yet the Morse Code
> > test is not a mandatory pass-fail standalone test any more.

> I personally don't believe the Canadian compromise
> would pass ADA requirements.

I do. In fact I think it would solve a lot of problems.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 384. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 01:14:26 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <87014367833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 17:37:17 -0500, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> Well I'm fairly certain no one will ever appoint you as a dx Ace, CanaBoob.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

I have been in Michigan several times looking for enlightenment. I
finally found it in Sleder's Family Tavern in Traverse City after
following up on a tip I received from Shipwreck Lounge patrons in
Glendale, West Virginia. They may be outcasts, but shemales are the
salt of the earth just like cuhulin says.
woger

# 385. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 01:16:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131153407.577727.119700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs 3 Nov 2005 15:57
> >Steveo wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > http://tinyurl.com/8apbr
> >> >
> >> > Hmmm? "A lifelong interest..."
> >> >
> >> > That's enough to get the boys in the hood all nasty with you.
> >> >
> >> The boyz in the hood?
> >>
> >> <insert favorite two live crew toon here> ha
> >
> >You see, Len has had a lifelong interest in radio. But in rrap, if
> >you've had a lifelong interest in radio and don't have a ham license,
> >it gets these guys all upset.
>
> Plus, we MUST be "accurate!" [don't forget that]
>
> In the spirit of sustaining "accuracy," I have NOT had a
> "LIFELONG interest in radio." I had a moderate interest
> in it (all of electronics really) until my Army
> assignment posted me to a major Army radio communications
> facility in early February 1953. I was then 20, rather
> out of "teen-age" years.

Ooops! My bad. This is the part where Tennessee Nurse Steven J
Robeson, LPN, jumps in and calls me a lying, deceitful coward.

> Bingo, BIG TIME INTEREST from
> then on! So big I was on the road to changing my career
> goals when I got out of the Army in 1956. See:

> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/
> My3Years.pdf

Ah! I knew it was something like that.

> Made a "big mistake" (according to a mighty macho
> motivated morseman in here) in getting a professional

> radio license in '56, not an amateur one. Tsk, tsk.
> Worse yet, I got a JOB in radio that year to do REAL
> WORK with that license! [horrors, how un-ham-like!]

Len, how could you?

> Never used morse code, never had to in the Army. Never
> used morse code, or had to, in my career since...which
> included "working" a station ON the moon. [real DX]
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

No morese code?

## 386. Re: In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 03:02:36 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rcOdnQJgzNW0ufHenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 122952

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131151596.922460.30940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> This weekend is the ARRL November Sweepstakes (SS) on CW. I plan to be
>> on the air,
>> making as many points as possible. SS is perhaps my favorite contest,
>> because it
>> requires a real exchange (4 pieces of info besides the callsign, and
>> the signal report
>> is not part of the exchange.)
>
> RS(T) is a standard "piece" of info. A QSO would not be complete
> without it, IN MY OPINION. If there are any ARRL card checkers out
> there, is a card without a signal report valid?
>

Just check the ARRL website. All that is required are the band, mode, call
signs and date. No RST is required.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 387. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 03:11:20 UTC
From: Devry Graduate Spell Good <Anon@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dkh7pb$uvl$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122951
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

(It is Sledders, just for the record.) They tossed Woger outta there because when he stood on a chair to "smooch the moose" he tried to French it.
Woger didn't much like the place anyway. That darned wood floor left slivers in his knees every time he'd crawl under someone's booth to smooch something else.
Darn. He didn't even get a t-shirt, either. I guess it is back to The Shipwreck Lounge for him!

"whinyslit woger" <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz> wrote in message news:87014367833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 17:37:17 -0500, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> Well I'm fairly certain no one will ever appoint you as a dx Ace, CanaBoob.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

I have been in Michigan several times looking for enlightenment. I finally found it in Sleder's Family Tavern in Traverse City after following up on a tip I received from Shipwreck Lounge patrons in Glendale, West Virginia. They may be outcasts, but shemales are the salt of the earth just like cuhulin says.
woger

## 388. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 03:38:26 UTC
From: Devry Graduate Spell Good <Anon@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dkh9bf$5c9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I stand corrected. It is, indeed, Sleders. (I checked my t-shirt) My apology to the management.

"Devry Graduate Spell Good" <Anon@anon.up> wrote in message news:dkh7pb$uvl$0@pita.alt.net...
(It is Sledders, just for the record.) They tossed Woger outta there because when he stood on a chair to "smooch the moose" he tried to French it.
Woger didn't much like the place anyway. That darned wood floor left slivers in his knees every time he'd crawl under someone's booth to smooch something else.
Darn. He didn't even get a t-shirt, either. I guess it is back to The Shipwreck Lounge for him!

"whinyslit woger" <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz> wrote in message news:87014367833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 17:37:17 -0500, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
>
> Well I'm fairly certain no one will ever appoint you as a dx Ace, CanaBoob.
>
> dxAce
> Michigan
> USA

I have been in Michigan several times looking for enlightenment. I finally found it in Sleder's Family Tavern in Traverse City after following up on a tip I received from Shipwreck Lounge patrons in Glendale, West Virginia. They may be outcasts, but shemales are the salt of the earth just like cuhulin says.
woger

## 389. Re: In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 04:41:10 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1y0o4c@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1y0o4c@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 122957

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com Wrote:
>
>
> RS(T) is a standard "piece" of info. A QSO would not be complete
> without it, IN MY OPINION.
>
>

Do you send signal reports during repeater QSO's? If not, when will
you go back and complete those QSO's?

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com Wrote:
>
>
> If there are any ARRL card checkers out
> there, is a card without a signal report valid?
>
>

A card for DXCC credit must contain the callsign (of both stations),
the location (DXCC entity) of the QSL-sending station, the date/time of
the QSO, the frequency or band, and the mode. RST is not a required
element for the QSO to be valid for DXCC.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

## 390. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 05:50:32 UTC
From: Tanya <Snippet@uppaUP.com>
Message-ID: <dkhh35$3pj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 122954

My, oh my. Lennie's Rely's runneth over yet again.

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1131138558.182384.220230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
From: Iitoi on Fri 4 Nov 2005 01:34

>LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:

>> Why don't you just reveal this "website" and be done with it? If it is
>> "so much better" than what I've done, then others will obviously see so!
>>
>One other analysis that I've seen is by a Northern Mariana Islands
>callsign http://ah0a.org/FCC/05-235/ --- it may be the site that N2EY
>refers to.

That certainly seems to be the one. Nice and colorful, looks great
in any GUI display. :-)

Joe Speroni (AH0A) has to be taken for what he is: An absolute
morseman who values morse code skill over everything else in
United States amateur radio. Speroni is also a Petitioner to the
FCC who has yet to have his Petitions granted. <shrug> :-)

I put Speroni into a category of one who has a LOT of emotional
baggage tied up in morse code and code testing.

>If it is, N2EY's blustering is a puzzlement because the results at that
>site are strikingly similar to your tabulation, with 45% fully in favor
>of the NRPM (drop the test) and 55% in favor of keeping some level of
>CW testing.

No "puzzlement" to me. :-) Jimmie has been consistently
against on just about everything I've ever written in here.
Since I am NOT in favor of morse code testing (as are several
others), he loves to "get on my (and their's) case" to show
"how mistaken" I (and they) am.

Jimmie ("N2EY," which any day might become his legal name) has
now resorted to attacking my choice of residence (the one I
chose in May of 1963) and attempts ridicule of that location
by partial lyrics of an old pop song ("little houses made of
ticky-tacky"). :-) TS. I'll turn my collar around and get
out my punch for his card. [he's probably never heard of the
"TS Card" that was common in the military]

Speroni's "Analysis" is probably no more "flawed" than mine.
The difference is in his categorization and the fact that he

has NOT posted it in here. I began posting results almost daily from the beginning of August; Speroni has ONE display and MUST be taken as "accurate" (since he is an unabashed morse code advocate). Speroni hasn't been subjected to the heckling I've received in here on a regular basis since September. :-)

Speroni counts REPLIES to Comments as "duplicates" in order to arrive at a code-favored percentage in his "results." We could extend that to questionable categorization of husband-wife teams filing separately...but that effort isn't worth the time wasted for "accuracy." There's also some obvious (if one checks the posted address) of family members filing separately. Lots and lots of variations of "inaccuracy" charges possible!

Note also the subtle biasing of the icon indicators on Speroni's "analysis" sheet. All those against code testing have the red slant bar across them. All those for code testing are green or blue with no ("do not do") red slant bars. Those for-code icons are also clear, nothing to show that they are the exact OPPOSITE of the NPRM. :-)

My "percentage" was shown strictly as an INDICATOR of the opinion. What the FCC uses for decisions is up to them. My "score card" isn't "official." Neither is Speroni's.

If anyone bothered to look at my continuing series of "score card" postings, they would have seen a most decided "FOR" position on the NPRM early in the comment period (better than 2:1). By the official notice date in the Federal Register, VERY late in my opinion, the pro-code-test comments had increased but not yet achieved "supremacy" over the no-code-test comments OR the "code test for extra" folks.

Here's an important fact AND a big unknown about the comments: According to the statements in both the NPRM and Federal Register, the comment period begins ONLY on the FR notice date. WE citizens don't know if the FCC will consider all those comments made BEFORE the notice date! If the FCC doesn't, then the number of CONSIDERED filings drop to nearly half and the "percentages" get skewed. Speroni did NOT mention that in his "analysis." I did, from day one of the FR notice. The Federal Register notices are legally binding on federal law, regulations, and rules.

>He classifies the valid responses on the same basis as you ("For NRPM",
>"Keep the current test", and "Extra Only"). Then he has three
>categories of "Others" which aren't included in his tally (Dupes and
>other junk).

Speroni has NOT "classified" or even included 10 filings
that showed up under 31 Oct 05, all done by LAW STUDENTS.
As of 2 PM EDT on 4 Nov 05, those "moot court" exercise
papers number 17 (3 are unidentified as to individual and
were copied and filed as one filing by the FCC). The total
number of filings up to the official end of Comments period
are now 3,697, not the lower number Speroni shows for today.

All this doesn't matter in RRAP as far as Miccolis is
concerned. Anyone favoring code testing is "accurate" to
him and anyone not favoring code testing is "inaccurate!"

Quod erat demonstrandum (for years in here). :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

[a fan of author Tony Hillerman novels]

## 391. Re: In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 06:02:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gWXaf.77$Id6.39@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122955

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>>This weekend is the ARRL November Sweepstakes (SS) on CW. I plan to be
>>on the air,
>>making as many points as possible. SS is perhaps my favorite contest,
>>because it
>>requires a real exchange (4 pieces of info besides the callsign, and
>>the signal report
>>is not part of the exchange.)
>
>
> RS(T) is a standard "piece" of info. A QSO would not be complete
> without it, IN MY OPINION. If there are any ARRL card checkers out
> there, is a card without a signal report valid?

A QSL card without a signal report is most assuredly valid for awards.

>> I also like it because even a modest
>>station can get a
>>big score.
>
>
> Regardless of contest, a modest station only competes with itself.

How do you figure that? There are categories set up for low power
stations and for QRP stations.

>
>>The fall and winter also bring out a lot of anti-contest and anti-DX
>>complaints. Some
>>of them may be justified - not every contester or DXer is 100%
>>courteous 100% of the
>>time.
>
>
> Just worry about your own station like Heil recommends.

I wrote only about operating one's station in accordance with the
regulations.

>
>>But a lot of the technical progress in ham radio, particularly on
>>HF/MF, has come about
>>because of contesters and DXers.
>

>
> You don't say.

He said.

>>Compare a typical midrange HF ham transceiver of today with one from
>>the '60s or '70s
>>and consider how many features and performance improvements came about
>>because
>>DXers and contesters pushed for them, developed them, and paid for
>>them. Better
>>filters, better dynamic range, multiple VFOs, memories, I/O ports,
>>no-tune-up operation,
>>separate rx antenna inputs, and much much more can all be traced at
>>least in part to
>>the needs and wants of contesters and DXers.
>
>
> Are you going to use your tube transmitter?

Do you plan to operate at all?

>>Want to compare numbers? Check out how differently the receivers of old
>>and new
>>ham rigs perform:
>>
>>http://www.sherweng.com/table.html
>>
>>Is there a computer in your shack? One of the first widespread uses of
>>computers
>>in hamshacks was to keep contest logs. Memory keyer? Developed for
>>contesting.
>>
>>There are lots more examples but you get the idea.
>
>
> Yes.

Good for you.

>
>>Of course a lot of these features first appear in the top-of-the-line
>>rigs that most of
>>us cannot afford. But then they work their way down to the lower-priced
>>stuff as the
>>technology matures and the development costs are paid off.
>>
>>Whether you like contests and DXing or not, they do contribute to the
>>ARS.
>>
>>(cue sound of soapbox being put away)
>>

>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
> Thank you, Jim. You've almost caught up with what John Dorr was
> editorilizing 15 years ago.

Does that mean we'll be hearing from you in the CW SS?

Dave K8MN

## 392. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 08:51:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131180661.895910.284570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122956

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 3 Nov 2005 03:05:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> K4YZ wrote:
> > >> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > >> > > > Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options
> > >> >
> > >> > > > Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
> > >> > > > of lies
> > >> > > > on my part.
> > >> > > >
> > >> > > > We'll wait right here.
> > >> >
> > >> > > Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you may
> > >> > > send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
> > >> > > you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
> > >> > > "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
> > >> >
> > >> > Sorry, Brain...Several issues.
> > >>
> > >> Predicted.
> > >
> > > I see.
> >
> > indeed it was predicted andyou followed along
>
> He must follow the Robeson profile.

Nice try.

> > >> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to
> > >> > take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had
> > >> > Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have
> > >> > gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...
> > >> >
> > >> > Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my
> > >> > Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never
> > >> > "accepted the wager. You ignored it.
> > >>
> > >> Why do you always acuse people other than yourself of being dishonest,
> > >> jerk?
> > >
> > > So far I've not seen otherwise.

> >
> > then you agree it seem syou do accuse people of being dishoinest
> > everone but your self
>
> Steve is pure.

Nope. Not by a long shot.

> Everyone else is a liar.

Nope. Just those who don't tell the truth.

> > >> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you
> > >> > to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further
> > >> > demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that
> > >> > fact surprises anyone.
> > >> >
> > >> > Steve, K4YZ
> > >>
> > >> Sorry, Steve...Several issues.
> > >>
> > >> First of all, >>>YOU<<< had made the wager across state lines. I did
> > >> the legal and honorable thing; I didn't accept it.
> > >
> > > No...You ignored the possibility that I was right. You've made
> > >several other wagering challenges yourself in the past, so feel free to
> > >dismount the "high horse" any time you're ready.
> >
> > nothing illegal or dishonorable in not accepting something
>
> I almost always ignore the possibility that Steve is "right."

Mostly because in our exchanges I've come up "right" far more
often than you.

> I almost always ignore the possiblitiy that Steve is telling a "truth."

Then you're lying to yourself. And if you can't be honest with
yourself, who ARE you going to be honest with?

> The possiblitiies are so remote...

That you'd ever be honest with yourself? I wouldn't say
that...For example I am sure your tax returns are accurate.

> > >> Secondly, I did not accept the wager nor ask you to mail it to me. I
> > >> actually forbid you to mail anything to me.
> > >
> > > Uh huh.
>
> That's right. Google provides.

Yep. Big man..."I forbid"...

I don't give a rat's kazoo what you "forbid", Brain...

> > >> Lastly, what I did ask is that you donate your wager to the 10th
> > >> District QSL Buro since you have denigrated all 10th District amateurs
> > >> ("ZEROES") and the corpse of W0EX in an effort to get a laugh.
> > >
> > > I stand corrected on your "donation to the zero land" buro,
> >
> Indeed you do.
>
> however
> > >your suggestion that I somehow defiled ANYone's corpse is assinine and
> > >disgusting...
> >
> > it is disgusting that the way you defile men in order to make your
> > sick points
>
> Google has his exact post.

It sure does. And no where in it do I "defile" any deceased
person.

But then YOU don't have the intestinal fortitude to acknowledge it.

> > > But then, considering the source.....
> > >
> > >> That is the least you can do for 10-Landers. Donations are allowed to cross
> > >> state lines, aren't they?
> > >
> > > Yes, they are.
> > >
> > >> Again, further demonstration that you would not only wiggle your way
> > >> out as predicted, but you make accusations as you do do. Not that that
> > >> fact surprises anyone.
> > >
> > > So far the only accusation here is that I have "welched" on a bet,
> > >an act that could not not have occured since the wager was never
> > >accepted.
> > >
> > > As for "wiggling out" of anything, I think you already set a
> > >several-years-long track record of having no tolerance or use for
> > >"rules" of any kind,
> >
> Obviously you lie.

Obviously you WISH I was lying.

> > >and that would include "accepting" a publically
> > >offered wager IN public...
> >
> I'll accept nothing from you short of an apology for your years of
> badgering and lying.

After you, big boy...after you!

Of course if I "apologize" for "badgering and lying" to you I WOULD
then be lying.

> > >Not trying to make an issue of it as somehow
> > >not "kept" years after you diss'ed it.
> > >
> > >Steve, K4YZ
>
> You're the one making an issue of it in here, Tennessee Nurse Steven J
> Robeson, LPN.

No, I'm not.

Your team mate, Sir Markie of Paganopolis did. He was the one who
started the thread about you being "paid up" on some non-existant
wager. Talk to him and get your attriburtions right, Brain.

> "Every obnoxious act is a cry for help."

You should know. Your record is a long one.

> Get help

Talking to yourself is an obvious symptom. Take your own advice.

Steve, K4YZ

## 393. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 10:59:18 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <870178F87833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 4 Nov 2005 20:02:16 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> I don't doubt there are some shemales here in Jackson,(with a metro area
> population of about half a million,go figure) but honestly,I don't know
> any of them and I don't ever want to know any of them either.I will
> leave them for you.
> cuhulin

The 37 year old telephone linewoman next door to you is probably a
shemale. Have you peeped in her windows yet to see? That's what I do
when a girl moves into one of the houses on my street of Glen Haven
Avenue in Glendale, and that's how I know who they are. Shemales are
really, really sexy. I post lots of photos of them on NIM Busters.
woger

## 394. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie in sane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 11:08:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131188922.651659.130570@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122959

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 3 Nov 2005 02:36:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> "Abortion is ilegal"
>
> wether or not abortion should be ilegal is another matter but for the
> presenet tabortion is legal you an LPN saying otherwise on a medical
> matter is likely of interest to the nursing board

Where are you pulling THIS gem from?

And regardless of the legality of abortions, the BoN could care
less unless you can prove that I have been CONDUCTING them...

Try again, Markie.

And while you're doing that, I am going to try and find what
grammar schools you went to and sue them for fraud...For taking the tax
monies they were given for your English education and squandering them.

Steve, K4YZ

## 395. Re: "Scorecard" On Markie's Rallying Call To Arms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 11:15:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131189353.029840.59800@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122960

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Markie starts off with...:

Snip to the "Brain" Parts:

> > QUOTE FROM BRIAN BURKE
> >
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com
> > Oct 31, 7:36 pm show options
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com - Find messages by this author
> > Date: 31 Oct 2005 17:36:52 -0800
> > Local: Mon, Oct 31 2005 7:36 pm
> > Subject: Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank
> > Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> > original | Report Abuse
> >
> > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > > Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> > > and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> > > gather
> >
> > > Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> > > mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> > > this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
> >
> > > Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
> > > reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
> > > for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
> >
> > > to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> > > lying on Stevie part
> >
> > > in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
> > > Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
> > > seemed most important to the person I was speaking to
> >
> > > Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
> > > witness tampering
> >
> > I don't think so.
> >
> > Steve has been warned away from visiting my home, and that's good

> > enough for me at this time.
> >
> > His anti-everything comments are public record. Ditto his paranoid
> > "liar behind every tree" fantasy. Google provides.
> >
> > Being the prolific poster that he is, he's probably made hundreds if
> > not thousands of posts from his employers computers. If they don't
> > already know what kind of idiot they have on "thier" hands by now they
> > never will.
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > There's TWO "no's"
>
> I told Mark that I would not provide my address.

OH?

Where did you say that?

At the very end of his rallying call, you said "I don't think so".

You then when into some rant about "warning away" and "prolific
poster".

> My address is available via the FCC licensing database as you well
> know. You know because you have posted snippets of it in an attempt to
> intimidate me.

I believe this is what your "mentor" calls "backpedalling".

And "anti-everything"...?!?!

More deceit.

Steve, K4YZ

## 396. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 13:10:29 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9b2bf.5188$m81.3047@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122961

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1131148684.958023.92830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1131077048.778424.275930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Iitoi wrote:
>> >
>> >> the results at that
>> >> site are strikingly similar to your tabulation, with 45% fully in
>> >> favor
>> >> of the NRPM (drop the test) and 55% in favor of keeping some level of
>> >> CW testing.
>> >
>> > "Strikingly similar"?
>> >
>> > Len Anderson claims the tally is 49.33% for and 50.67% against the NPRM
>> > (either partly or completely). AH0A claims 45% for and 55% against.
>> > That's a pretty big difference, particularly when Len posts his results
>> > to four significant figures and claims to be
>> > "accurate".
>> >
>> > Whose count is correct?
>>
>> It really makes no difference because the reality is that about 66%
>> favor ending code for General.
>
> I say it depends on what the question is. If you're talking about the
> General, there's a clear majority to eliminate the code test for that
> license. But if you're talking about the Extra, there's a clear
> majority to keep the code test for *that* license.
>
> If you're talking about complete code test elimination, which the NPRM
> proposes, there's a clear majority *against* that.
>
>> Given an absolute majority
>> opinion ro end code... at least for General, then we can be
>> sure the FCC isn't going to retain any code at all because to
>> do so once again means a reinstatement of code medica
>> waivers.
>
> I disagree!
>
> The medical waivers came about because of the request of a
> now-dead King to a president who left office 13 years ago,

> ADA had nothing to do with it IIRC.

But the ADA wil surely be a part of it IF FCC retains
any code testing going forward.

>> Does anyone think otherwise?
>
> Yes - me.
>
> If medical waivers were a consideration, FCC could have
> immediately reinstituted them in July 2003, claiming that
> the only reason they didn't exist before was the treaty. But
> they didn't.

They could have dropped code immediately too but that
didn't happen either. The wheels of government change turn ever
so slowly.

>> > Len claims to have read and understood all the "filings" - yet he could
>> > not find the AH0A count, which is clearly mentioned in AH0A's filing.
>> >
>> > So - did Len *really* read and understand ALL the filings?
>
> Obviously not!
>>
>> >> He classifies the valid responses on the same basis as you ("For
>> >> NRPM",
>> >> "Keep the current test", and "Extra Only"). Then he has three
>> >> categories of "Others" which aren't included in his tally (Dupes and
>> >> other junk).
>
>> > Yet the results are different - by a considerable percentage.
>
>> > Why?
>
>> Bottom line again is "who cares?"
>
> Obviously Len does - just look at how he carries on about it.....

Well I surely don't care.

>> In the scheme of
>> things the results as tabulated by etiher Len or Joe are a
>> solid base for the end of code testing.
>
> For General, yes. For Extra, the opposite is true.

For extra the opposite is just barely a majority...I wouldn't
hang any hopes on that meaning anything to the FCC.

> But as we both know, FCC is under no mandate to
> follow the majority opinion.

Exactly!

>> The "let's keep it just
>> for Extra" group isn't even united on what speed it should
>> be. Most will accept (IMHO) retention of 5wpm for
>> Extra, but some have called for a return to the days
>> of yesteryear and would like 13 or 20wpm.
>
> So we compromise on 15 wpm.

ROTFLMAO

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 397. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 13:17:02 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ih2bf.5190$m81.4029@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 122962

```
----- Original Message -----
From: "W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Sent: Friday, November 04, 2005 6:40 PM
Subject: Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:l0Saf.4240$2y.2440@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Iitoi" <Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
>> news:Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com...
>>>
>>> Bill Sohl Wrote:
>>>>
>>>> IF (let's be hypothetical) the FCC did retain code for
>>>> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
>>>> surely be raised by someone who need only point
>>>> to the use of waivers previously and no court in
>>>> this country would rule against such a clain.
>>>
>>> The "waivers previously" were only for the 13/20 WPM tests, and
>>> required the applicant to have passed a 5 WPM test. TTBOMK there has
>>> never been any waiver of 5 WPM, thus no precedent in support of such a
>>> claim.
>>
>> The ONLY reason waivers were not extended down to 5wpm
>> in the past was because the FCC believed the USA could not
>> waive the minimal code test because of the treaty. Now
>> that there is no treaty requirement, the logic that lead
>> to waivers of 13/20 wpm can and would be extended to
>> a 5wpm test IF such test remains. The precident stands
>> based on the general application of waivers for non-treaty
>> established code speeds.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>>
>
> Last I checked the president stands in the white house.

I'll never claim to be a great speller.

> Also last I checked there was no precedent for a waiver of 5 word-per-min.
```

The precident is for waivers of code testing of non-treaty
required code knowledge. It matters not if that was 20, 13
or now 5wpm. Conceptually the precident is there.

> In any case, I'd agree that invoking ADA might work --- to require
> possibility of test waivers for disabled, but not to disallow testing
> outright.

The waivers in the past were only for disabled with a medical
certification statement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 398. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 13:47:07 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131198427.057386.111540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122963

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1131148684.958023.92830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1131077048.778424.275930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Iitoi wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> the results at that
> >> >> site are strikingly similar to your tabulation, with 45% fully in
> >> >> favor
> >> >> of the NRPM (drop the test) and 55% in favor of keeping some level of
> >> >> CW testing.
> >> >
> >> > "Strikingly similar"?
> >> >
> >> > Len Anderson claims the tally is 49.33% for and 50.67% against the NPRM
> >> > (either partly or completely). AH0A claims 45% for and 55% against.
> >> > That's a pretty big difference, particularly when Len posts his results
> >> > to four significant figures and claims to be
> >> > "accurate".
> >> >
> >> > Whose count is correct?
> >>
> >> It really makes no difference because the reality is that about 66%
> >> favor ending code for General.
> >
> > I say it depends on what the question is. If you're talking about the
> > General, there's a clear majority to eliminate the code test for that
> > license. But if you're talking about the Extra, there's a clear
> > majority to keep the code test for *that* license.
> >
> > If you're talking about complete code test elimination, which the NPRM
> > proposes, there's a clear majority *against* that.
> >
> >> Given an absolute majority
> >> opinion ro end code... at least for General, then we can be
> >> sure the FCC isn't going to retain any code at all because to
> >> do so once again means a reinstatement of code medica
> >> waivers.
> >
> > I disagree!
> >
> > The medical waivers came about because of the request of a

> > now-dead King to a president who left office 13 years ago,
> > ADA had nothing to do with it IIRC.
>
> But the ADA wil surely be a part of it IF FCC retains
> any code testing going forward.

How do we know that for sure, Bill?

> >> Does anyone think otherwise?

> > Yes - me.

> > If medical waivers were a consideration, FCC could have
> > immediately reinstituted them in July 2003, claiming that
> > the only reason they didn't exist before was the treaty. But
> > they didn't.

> They could have dropped code immediately too but that
> didn't happen either. The wheels of government change turn ever
> so slowly.

Agreed!

My point is that it's not a done deal until they actually do it. And if
there were no question, they'd have done it already.

In any event, the NPRM indicates that FCC is strongly predisposed
to just eliminate Element 1 - and make *no* other changes.

> >> > Len claims to have read and understood all the "filings" - yet he could
> >> > not find the AH0A count, which is clearly mentioned in AH0A's filing.

> >> > So - did Len *really* read and understand ALL the filings?

> > Obviously not!

> >> >> He classifies the valid responses on the same basis as you ("For
> >> >> NRPM",
> >> >> "Keep the current test", and "Extra Only"). Then he has three
> >> >> categories of "Others" which aren't included in his tally (Dupes and
> >> >> other junk).

> >> > Yet the results are different - by a considerable percentage.

> >> > Why?

> >> Bottom line again is "who cares?"

> > Obviously Len does - just look at how he carries on about it.....

> Well I surely don't care.

Nor I, really. It's just an academic exercise - FCC doesn't have to go
with
majority opinion.

> >> In the scheme of
> >> things the results as tabulated by etiher Len or Joe are a
> >> solid base for the end of code testing.

> > For General, yes. For Extra, the opposite is true.

> For extra the opposite is just barely a majority.

55% is more than "just barely". Most US presidential elections are a
lot closer
(in the popular vote).

>..I wouldn't
> hang any hopes on that meaning anything to the FCC.

Nor I. But the fact is that the majority opinion does not support
complete
elimination of the Morse Code test.

> > But as we both know, FCC is under no mandate to
> > follow the majority opinion.

> Exactly!

So why keep a scorecard at all?

> >> The "let's keep it just
> >> for Extra" group isn't even united on what speed it should
> >> be. Most will accept (IMHO) retention of 5wpm for
> >> Extra, but some have called for a return to the days
> >> of yesteryear and would like 13 or 20wpm.
> >
> > So we compromise on 15 wpm.
>
> ROTFLMAO

Thought you'd enjoy that!

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 399. Re: In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 13:52:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131198773.635942.131130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122964

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> >>This weekend is the ARRL November Sweepstakes (SS) on CW. I plan to be
> >>on the air,
> >>making as many points as possible. SS is perhaps my favorite contest,
> >>because it
> >>requires a real exchange (4 pieces of info besides the callsign, and
> >>the signal report
> >>is not part of the exchange.)
> >
> >
> > RS(T) is a standard "piece" of info. A QSO would not be complete
> > without it, IN MY OPINION. If there are any ARRL card checkers out
> > there, is a card without a signal report valid?
>
> A QSL card without a signal report is most assuredly valid for awards.

Thanks, Dave. I was wrong.

> >> I also like it because even a modest
> >>station can get a
> >>big score.
> >
> >
> > Regardless of contest, a modest station only competes with itself.
>
> How do you figure that? There are categories set up for low power
> stations and for QRP stations.

And every station is such category is different. Work on improving
your operating skill or work on improving your station, or both.

> >>The fall and winter also bring out a lot of anti-contest and anti-DX
> >>complaints. Some
> >>of them may be justified - not every contester or DXer is 100%
> >>courteous 100% of the
> >>time.
> >
> > Just worry about your own station like Heil recommends.
>
> I wrote only about operating one's station in accordance with the
> regulations.

I'm so glad that you left out "good amateur practice" which is what Jim
was referring to.

> >>But a lot of the technical progress in ham radio, particularly on
> >>HF/MF, has come about
> >>because of contesters and DXers.
> >
> > You don't say.
>
> He said.

In reading some FEMA literature recently, HF is a legacy communication
system.

> >>Compare a typical midrange HF ham transceiver of today with one from
> >>the '60s or '70s
> >>and consider how many features and performance improvements came about
> >>because
> >>DXers and contesters pushed for them, developed them, and paid for
> >>them. Better
> >>filters, better dynamic range, multiple VFOs, memories, I/O ports,
> >>no-tune-up operation,
> >>separate rx antenna inputs, and much much more can all be traced at
> >>least in part to
> >>the needs and wants of contesters and DXers.
> >
> >
> > Are you going to use your tube transmitter?
>
> Do you plan to operate at all?

No. I live in a restricted neighborhood. My antennas are ready to
deploy if there's an emergency, but I don't intend to violate the
covenant rules for "pleasure" operating.

Are you going to operate a tube radio for the contest?

> >>Want to compare numbers? Check out how differently the receivers of old
> >>and new
> >>ham rigs perform:
> >>
> >>http://www.sherweng.com/table.html
> >>
> >>Is there a computer in your shack? One of the first widespread uses of
> >>computers
> >>in hamshacks was to keep contest logs. Memory keyer? Developed for
> >>contesting.
> >>
> >>There are lots more examples but you get the idea.
> >
> > Yes.
>
> Good for you.

Thank you.

> >>Of course a lot of these features first appear in the top-of-the-line
> >>rigs that most of
> >>us cannot afford. But then they work their way down to the lower-priced
> >>stuff as the
> >>technology matures and the development costs are paid off.
> >>
> >>Whether you like contests and DXing or not, they do contribute to the
> >>ARS.
> >>
> >>(cue sound of soapbox being put away)
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Thank you, Jim. You've almost caught up with what John Dorr was
> > editorilizing 15 years ago.
>
> Does that mean we'll be hearing from you in the CW SS?
>
> Dave K8MN

It means that you may be hearing from John Dorr in the Sweeps. Or not.

## 400. Re: In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 13:57:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131199075.236993.42540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122965

Iitoi wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com Wrote:
> >
> > RS(T) is a standard "piece" of info. A QSO would not be complete
> > without it, IN MY OPINION.
>
> Do you send signal reports during repeater QSO's? If not, when will
> you go back and complete those QSO's?

"Good copy" "Lima charlie" "Scratchy" "Picket fencing" "Alternator
whine" etc.

Thanks for asking.

> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com Wrote:
> >
> >
> > If there are any ARRL card checkers out
> > there, is a card without a signal report valid?
>
> A card for DXCC credit must contain the callsign (of both stations),
> the location (DXCC entity) of the QSL-sending station, the date/time of
> the QSO, the frequency or band, and the mode. RST is not a required
> element for the QSO to be valid for DXCC.

Thanks, I stand corrected.

> The Man in the Maze
> QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

## 401. Re: In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 13:59:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131199173.601573.166660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122966

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131151596.922460.30940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> This weekend is the ARRL November Sweepstakes (SS) on CW. I plan to be
> >> on the air,
> >> making as many points as possible. SS is perhaps my favorite contest,
> >> because it
> >> requires a real exchange (4 pieces of info besides the callsign, and
> >> the signal report
> >> is not part of the exchange.)
> >
> > RS(T) is a standard "piece" of info. A QSO would not be complete
> > without it, IN MY OPINION. If there are any ARRL card checkers out
> > there, is a card without a signal report valid?
> >
>
> Just check the ARRL website. All that is required are the band, mode, call
> signs and date. No RST is required.
>
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Thanks for checking, Dee. I was wrong.

# 402. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 13:59:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051105085957.169$NX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >
> > > > http://tinyurl.com/8apbr
> > >
> > > Hmmm? "A lifelong interest..."
> > >
> > > That's enough to get the boys in the hood all nasty with you.
> > >
> > The boyz in the hood?
> >
> > <insert favorite two live crew toon here> ha
>
> You see, Len has had a lifelong interest in radio. But in rrap, if
> you've had a lifelong interest in radio and don't have a ham license,
> it gets these guys all upset.
>
I know what you mean, HH&C.

Some of them bring their sorrow to rrcb also. Here's one of the bigger
culprits:

> Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> Care to comment on the conduct and character of the cb band anytime, day
> or night, on any channel?

## 403. Re: "Scorecard" On Markie's Rallying Call To Arms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 14:16:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131200164.315732.108020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122968

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Markie starts off with...:
>
> Snip to the "Brain" Parts:
>
> > > QUOTE FROM BRIAN BURKE
> > >
> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com
> > > Oct 31, 7:36 pm show options
> > >
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com - Find messages by this author
> > > Date: 31 Oct 2005 17:36:52 -0800
> > > Local: Mon, Oct 31 2005 7:36 pm
> > > Subject: Re: pinging Len Brain Todd and Frank
> > > Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
> > > original | Report Abuse
> > >
> > > kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > Hi folks I am moving against Stevie have talked to TN Nursing Borad
> > > > and they are snding me a form and asking I forward material I can
> > > > gather
> > >
> > > > Len and Frank, I was asked to provide addresses for those that will be
> > > > mentioned in the various posts. I would be gratefull if you provide
> > > > this in private email to me or publicly posting your refusual
> > >
> > > > Brain, and Todd I'll have your address (and any other hams by
> > > > reference to QRZ if you like feel free to provide a prefered method
> > > > for contact should the nursing borad choose to follow up
> > >
> > > > to all I will including in my forward to Borad various evidence of
> > > > lying on Stevie part
> > >
> > > > in particular I am interested in accusation by Stevie that myou are
> > > > Homesexuals or drug users or that you suffer from HIV those points
> > > > seemed most important to the person I was speaking to
> > >
> > > > Stevie id adive not to coment or it may be reported as an effort at
> > > > witness tampering
> > >
> > > I don't think so.

> > >
> > > Steve has been warned away from visiting my home, and that's good
> > > enough for me at this time.
> > >
> > > His anti-everything comments are public record. Ditto his paranoid
> > > "liar behind every tree" fantasy. Google provides.
> > >
> > > Being the prolific poster that he is, he's probably made hundreds if
> > > not thousands of posts from his employers computers. If they don't
> > > already know what kind of idiot they have on "thier" hands by now they
> > > never will.
> > >
> > > UNQUOTE
> > >
> > > There's TWO "no's"
> >
> > I told Mark that I would not provide my address.
>
> OH?
>
> Where did you say that?
>
> At the very end of his rallying call, you said "I don't think so".

Correct. He asked for my address.

Specifically, he said "Brain, and Todd I'll have your address..."

> You then when into some rant about "warning away" and "prolific
> poster".

No rant. FACT.

I don't trust you. You have been warned away from visiting my home or
mailing anything to me.

> > My address is available via the FCC licensing database as you well
> > know. You know because you have posted snippets of it in an attempt to
> > intimidate me.
>
> I believe this is what your "mentor" calls "backpedalling".

"Sorry Hans, MARS >>>IS<<< Amateur Radio" and your six months of
backpedalling should make you more knowledgeable about what
backpedalling is.

But since you want to squander your hard earned talents and
characterize my comments as "backpedalling" you have proven yourself
once again to be deceitful and a liar.

> And "anti-everything"...?!?!

Anti-everything that I say or type. Anti-everything that Len says or types. Anti-everything that Mark says or types. Anti-everything that Todd says or types. You tolerate nothing from anyone who disagrees with you.

> More deceit.

Truth.

> Steve, K4YZ

## 404. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 14:18:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131200323.908278.222050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122969

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 2 Nov 2005 08:46:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > stevie stop trying to hide your attack post by contastnly making new
> > > > thread
> > >
> > > What attack?
> > >
> > > YOU'RE the one with this "board of nursing" charade to cover your
> > > ego for not getting what you want.
> >
> > Charade?
> >
> > If you used your position as a Nurse to make phone calls to Mark's
> > community....
> >
> > Some key words and phrases:
> >
> > "Persons of Interest"
> >
> > "Dialing"

What am I saying, "If?" Hi!

# 405. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 14:22:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131200525.582732.261200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > http://tinyurl.com/8apbr
> > > >
> > > > Hmmm? "A lifelong interest..."
> > > >
> > > > That's enough to get the boys in the hood all nasty with you.
> > > >
> > > The boyz in the hood?
> > >
> > > <insert favorite two live crew toon here> ha
> >
> > You see, Len has had a lifelong interest in radio. But in rrap, if
> > you've had a lifelong interest in radio and don't have a ham license,
> > it gets these guys all upset.
> >
> I know what you mean, HH&C.
>
> Some of them bring their sorrow to rrcb also. Here's one of the bigger
> culprits:
>
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten> wrote:
> > Care to comment on the conduct and character of the cb band anytime, day
> > or night, on any channel?

Yes, the Commodore jumped in here and made a little splash.

## 406. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 14:24:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051105092453.466$tK@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Yes, the Commodore jumped in here and made a little splash.
>
You misspelled commode. :)

## 407. Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 14:45:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131201934.213101.109940@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122972

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 3 Nov 2005 03:05:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> > > >> Secondly, I did not accept the wager nor ask you to mail it to me. I
> > > >> actually forbid you to mail anything to me.
> > > >
> > > > Uh huh.
> > >
> > That's right. Google provides.
> >
> Yep. Big man..."I forbid"...
>
> I don't give a rat's kazoo what you "forbid", Brain...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I suggest you rethink your position.

Mail nothing to me. Anything that I receive from you will be opened by
the Sheriff, as I've warned previously. Google provides earlier
warnings.

I'm curious, do you have a history of harassing other people on RRAP?

Correction; I meant harassing them outside of the newsgroup?

bb

# 408. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 16:28:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131208137.102240.138870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122973

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 1 Nov 2005 16:35
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
> >> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
> >> >> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> >In fact, your *only* filing on that NPRM was those reply comments,
> >wasn't it? And despite your years of experience in computer-modem
> >communications, you couldn't manage to submit via ECFS back
> >then, even though thousands of us figured it out.
>
> Whoooo-eeee...you are REALLY in a snit about 1998 issues!

I'm just recalling some facts, Len. You've tried and tried to impress
with your alleged 'years in computer-modem communications' but
in fact you couldn't get ECFS to accept your filing back then. And
you make a lot of noise about when other people comment but in
fact you only sent in Reply Comments to that NPRM.

Pot...kettle

> >> That was over six years ago.

> >So what? You repeatedly bring up stuff that's much older and even
> >less relevant.

Actually more like cast-iron pot calling stainless steel kettle....

> Sweetums, it wasn't ME who brought up (or brings up) those
> old, old WT Docket 98-143 comments. Tsk, tsk, my Scorecard
> is on Docket 05-235. 2005, not 1998.

You keep bringing up history from a half-century ago, Len, as if it had

some relevance. Are you saying your 1998 Reply Comments are
irrelevant to amateur radio policy? If so, many will agree!

> >> I have NOT pursued
> >> that since except for the accusations leveled at me in
> >> here.
>

> >What "accusations", Len? The facts were presented and you confirmed
> >them. You even accused ARRL and some VEs of fraud and dishonest
> >over the licensing of some young amateurs.
>
> My "score card" postings don't mention that, Jimmie.

So? Are you the sole judge of what can be discussed in a thread?
>
> If you can't get your analogies in order, PLEASE try to
> refrain from dredging up the PAST. It won't help whatever
> you think your case IS.
>
> >You weren't at the VE session, don't know any of the people involved,
> >and yet you accused others of fraud.
>
> Tsk, tsk. My OPINIONS about those six-year-old licensees
> remain the SAME, sweetums. DEAL WITH IT. :-)

Your opinions are not backed by any facts, then. So we
should treat them appropriately....

> >And you claimed that because, in *your* opinion, 6-year-olds could not
> >understand the material on the test, no one under the age of 14 should
> >be allowed to hold an amateur radio license.
>
> Who is doing the "dredging up," Jimmie? :-)

It's what you said then and still defend. It's relevant to your
"opinions".
>
> >Truth is, you've repeatedly defended your position on the issue.
> >You didn't "drop it".

> >Now you *could* come out and say it was a bad idea and that
> >there should be no age requirements for an amateur radio license.
>
> Awwww....you want me to say "sowwy?" :-)

Why not admit your mistake and say you were wrong, and your
opinions have no basis in fact? Because that's the case, Len.

> Jimmie, DROP this old argument.

You're not the boss here, Len. Probably not the boss anywhere....

>It has NO BEARING on NPRM 05-143.

Sure it does. Proves your lack of reasoning skills in some areas.

> >> You DO? Okay,
> >> I'll try to hound you about NEVER serving your country in
> >> the military
> >
> >You do that already. I've never claimed any military service.

>
> Then why did you claim all that "knowledge" about being IN the
> military, Jimmie?

I don't, Len. Who is this "Jimmie" you keep bringing up?
>
> >> every time you make some pontifical remark
> >> showing your "military expertise."
> >
> >That would be never, Len. Because I don't claim "expertise" at
> >anything.
>
> Ho. Ho. Ho. YES, you do! :-)

Show us an example. A direct link to a posting would be good.

Posting documented facts isn't claiming expertise.
>
> >I do know a few things, though, and it really seems to tick you
> >off when one of your mistakes is pointed out. Like the in-service
> >dates of Soviet Bear bombers....
>
> I acknowledged that I DID confuse
> the existance of that type of Soviet aircraft at the time
> involved. EXACT AIRCRAFT DETAILS of the entire Cold War
> wasn't a career specialty of mine in aerospace.

Point is, you exaggerated the threat you were under. And you didn't
check your facts before posting. It took me only seconds to find out
the relevant facts. One would think someone with your alleged 'years of
experience in computer-modem communications' would have checked
the facts before posting.

There's also the issue of how you treat someone who points out your
mistakes. You behave in a very unprofessional manner, Len.

> You want to microscope-focus on minutae in order to show a
> poster is "mistaken" and therefore of no value whatsoever
> in these non-discussions. :-)
>
> DID the USSR have bombers capable of reaching from Kamchatka
> to the Kanto Plain on Honshu in Japan in the mid-1950s? Yes,
> they DID. Both the USA and USAF had anti-aircraft measures
> for such events. Did the USSR have an air-deployable nuclear
> weapon in that same time? Yes, it did. Was I stationed in
> Tokyo in that time? Yes, I was. Was I involved in contingency
> plans and drilling for same at that time? Yes, I was. I'll
> not argue the TYPE of Soviet aircraft the USSR MIGHT have used
> in the mid-1950s to deliver any nukes. None were dropped.

To quote your classic "sphincters post":

'You knew the job was dangerous when you took it'

> But, WHAT DOES IT MATTER on the type of Soviet aircraft
> involved then?

You exaggerated the threat you were under, Len.

> YOU were NOT INVOLVED. YOU were NEVER IN
> THE MILITARY!

Doesn't change the fact that *you* were mistaken.

And btw....

People of *my* generation grew up knowing that we were under
the constant threat of nuclear annihilation from missile attacks
against which there was essentially no defense. The missiles
would arrive in minutes, not hours, and if they did the job
we'd all be dust. Growing up in a big city halfway between
the nation's capital and the nation's largest city, I knew there
was little point in fallout shelters and such, because we were
within range of both land- and submarine-launched Soviet
missiles, and a prime target.

Fortunately sanity prevailed.

So don't lecture *me* about the Soviet nuclear threat, Len old boy,
because I grew up with it. You didn't.

> >> >But you have "a problem" with 13 year olds getting amateur radio
> >> >licenses.

> >> No.

> >Then you're saying there should not be any age requirement for an
> >amateur radio license.
>
> Tsk, tsk. I'm NOT dragging up 6 1/2 year old arguements
> in here. :-)

Admit you were wrong about the whole age-limit thing, then.

> >> I have a "problem" with mental 13-year-olds in here
> >> posing as adults
> >
> >It's not all about you, Len.
>
> Ha. Ha. Ha. More "civil discourse" there, Jimmie? :-)

What's uncivil about that?

> >> and who ask all sorts of inane "questions"
> >> (that were already answered a priori) and make all kinds of
> >> accusations...all of which may be summed up as HECKLING.

> >Not by any reasonable definition of heckling.

> >> >I'm not the one recommending an age requirement for a US amateur radio
> >> >license. You are.
> >>
> >> I DID. I dropped it after 13 January 1999.
> >
> >Yet you kept on defending it.
> >
> >> That was OVER SIX YEARS ago.
> >
> >Your defense of it is a lot more recent.
> >>
> >> You are STILL OFFENDED over that!
> >
> >Not me. I just think it's a really bad idea.

> >> So...maybe you LIKE talking to 13-year-olds on the radio using
> >> radiotelegraphy that makes all age clues meaningless?
> >
> >Why, yes! I enjoy using Morse Code to communicate with hams of
> >all ages. I've worked 10 year olds on Morse Code and 90+
> >year olds too. I've Elmered hams of all ages, too.
>
> Is THAT what it's called now?!?

"Elmering" is the term applied to helping less-experienced hams to
learn.

> >What matters to me is the person on the other end of the QSO, what
> >they have to say, and how much fun the whole process is. The other
> >ham's age is of vanishing importance to me.
>
> Tsk, tsk...you sound like Captain Code.
>
> You like talking to little boys, Jimmie?

I enjoy communicating with people of all ages, Len. From little
babies to people much older than you (yes, such people do exist! ;-))

What's *your* problem? Can't you deal with young people?

> >> Does that satisfy some internal desires of yours?
> >
> >Working other hams is a lot of fun for me. This weekend is the CW SS.
> >I intend to work a lot of other hams using Morse Code. For fun.

> Enjoy. Keep advancing the state of the amateur art.

I do! You don't.

> Keep the electronics trades knowledgeable about your progress.

Why? It's really all about money to you, isn't it?

> >> >That's right. Your postings are fair game for comment and
> >> >question by others. They are not somehow sacred and unimpeachable. They

> >> >are not immune to question and/or debate.
> >>
> >> Wow! You've FINALLY gotten the picture, Judge! :-)
> >
> >> Tsk, tsk. You forgot to add in the part where, if YOU ask
> >> someone something they MUST answer or you will make tens
> >> of thousands of words in messaging if you don't get the
> >> answers you WANT! :-)
> >
> >That's what *you* do, Len.
>
> In this thread I was just posting a near-daily tally of the
> filings and their content on WT Docket 05-235.

Complete with errors and misdirections.

> YOU turned it
> into minor-league Spanish Inquisition stuff with your
> "questions" and old, old arguments from the past on very
> different subjects.

I pointed out some possible inaccuracies, in your
"work", Len. That's a good thing

> >> >> Poor Jimmie? Double-degreed "engineer" and you can't MAKE
> >> >> ENOUGH to spend over $100 on a rig? :-)
> >> >
> >> >It really *is* all about money to you, Len.
> >>
> >> Oh, oh, the commie sympathizer comes out of his closet in PA?
> >
> >"Commie sympathizer"? That's almost funny! You really are clueless
> >if you'd call me that.
>
> I was just asking a question... :-)
>
> See that funny hook-like punctuation mark? That's called a
> "question mark." That denotes a Question.
>
> >> Well, that's a sure indication YOU don't have as much money
> >> as YOU want. :-)
> >
> >Who does? Do you?
>
> Yes. :-)
>
> >I have enough.
>
> How much is "enough?" Your answers aren't clear.

Enough to meet my needs and most of my wants.
>

> > That's what's important. I'm also very wealthy in
> >things that cannot be bought.
>
> Tsk. Better get to the market. Your "milk of human kindness"
> turned sour some time ago...
>
> >> Yup...and it shows you HATE professionals for some reason.
> >
> >Not me. I am one!
>
> Prove it.

Why?

> >> Odd, because you claim to BE a professional in electronics.

> >Where?

> >I am a professional in electrical engineering. There's a lot more
> >to EE than "electronics".
>
> Where do you DO this "professional" stuff, Jimmie?

At work, Len. That's all you need to know.

> >> Us readers don't know WHERE since you won't mention your
> >> employer...not here, not to the FCC.

> >Why should I? Would it make any difference? Or would it simply
> >be something else for you to insult and denigrate?

> Now, now, you should be PROUD of what you do.

I am.

> If you were really
> PROUD, then you wouldn't mind revealing your employer.

I don't "mind" at all. Many people know where I work. You don't,
and that seems to bother the heck out of you. Why?

> >Perhaps it's time to repost your classic "sphincters post", where you
> >denigrated and insulted the military service experiences of a US Coast
> >Guard radio operator.
>
> Perhaps you need a tranquilizer instead?

Not me. I'm calm, cool and collected. You're all worked up.

> >> I'm "all about money?"

> >I didn't say that.

> >I wrote:

> >"It really *is* all about money to you, Len."

> Why do you say that?

Because of the way you behave here, Len.

> >And apparently, it is. Your behavior confirms it.

> >> Not really. Got some. Spent some.
> >> Dropped $1100 last week at CompUSA-GoodGuys for a 27" HD
> >> LCD flat panel TV this week and it works just dandy.
>
> >See? There you go. Gotta mention what you bought and how much it
> >cost.

> No, I don't "gotta."

But you do. Did you "pay CASH" for it, too?

> My wife and I have been enjoying the
> pictures and programs on that TV. We have digital cable
> service, Jimmie, over 150 channels of a great variety of
> programs.

Does that make you a better person than someone without
digital cable?

> Tsk, tsk, I guess if one is "anti-code" then one can't mention
> (evil?) money? :-)

Money isn't "evil", Len.

> LCD and plasma panel HD-ready TVs might be starting to come
> down in price. DTV is the FUTURE, Jimmie. FCC said so.

What does that have to do with your 'scorecard', Len?

> Telegraphy is the PAST.

Radiotelegraphy is the present. And the future.

> ARRL don't want to admit that, but it IS.

ARRL isn't about telegraphy, Len.

> >You sure seem to be one of those people who consider "net worth" and
> >"personal worth" to be synonymous....

> Nothing "synonymous" there, Jimmie. We planned for it, got it.

> It ain't braggin'.

> Remember "It ain't braggin' if ya done it"? :-)

You just confirmed my statement.

> >> [it
> >> doesn't do morse code so I guess you wouldn't be interested
> >> in it]

> >Doesn't make the programs any better, though. Mostly JUNK on TV...

> Oooooo! A glimpse into the Dark Side of the netherworld's
> TV critics! Are you a counterpart of Ebert or Roeper? :-)
>
> Wow! Remember who once said "I've just GOT to get cable!" :-)

Who wrote that, Len?

> >> >> I want the FCC to make NPRM 05-143 into a Report and Order...without
> >> >> changes to the basic precepts in the NPRM.

> >> >Why? Those changes won't affect you.

> >> They MAY.

> >Very unlikely.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk...can you "GUARANTEE" that? :-)

To a high degree of confidence, yes!

> >> They WILL affect all those who desire to enter HF
> >> amateur radio without taking a code test.

> >So? You're not one of them.

> Neither are most of the staff and all of the Commissioners at the
> FCC! They MAKE the U.S. amateur radio regulations,

Because it's their *JOB*, Len. It's not your *JOB*. You're Not
Involved.

> >> Other than mentally, emotionally, how will the removal of the
> >> morse code test affect YOU?

> >If it damages the amateur radio service, it affects me.

> "Damages?" Tsk, tsk. Who made YOU "judge" of what is "damaged?"

The US Constitution, for one.

> Don't you have any other hobby besides radiotelegraphy?

Oh yes.

Don't you have any other hobby than ranting on and on for years about
a test in a radio service that you are Not Involved in?

> And
> making some of the longest "I'm only asking 'question'" posts
> in the history of newsgrouping... :-)

Your postings are longer and more frequent than mine, Len. Also
less accurate.

> >> It won't remove any of your
> >> operating privileges, won't make you re-test.

> >I'm not afraid of any retest, Len.

> >You seem to be afraid of any test, though. Or any question.
>
> Taken - and passed all - REAL Tests, Jimmie. No fear.

You're afraid of the Morse Code test, Len. It's a REAL test...

> Even this long one. I've ANSWERED all your questions, haven't I?

Nope. Not at all.

But that's SOP for you.

# 409. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 16:35:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131208538.964424.137400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122974

N9OGL wrote:
> I think

You've yet to show it, you cocksucking momma's boy.

>your bitch roger wiseman is calling you!

And my bitch Toad Daugherty is taking my boot up his faggot ass like a
good little submissive fem.

> you fucking sissy boy

That's rich, coming from somebody who still lives with mommy and daddy.

# 410. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 16:36:32 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131208592.546778.266690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122975

You've already announced your desire for a homosexual relationship,
Toad. Now, run along and clean that filthy basement you live in.

## 411. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 16:38:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131208732.913771.46140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinycunt LLoyd Davies wrote:
> On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 17:37:17 -0500, dxAce <dxAce@milestones.com> wrote:
> >
> > Well I'm fairly certain no one will ever appoint you as a dx Ace, CanaBoob.
> >
> > dxAce
> > Michigan
> > USA
>
> I have been in Michigan

Been sucking off Ticker, have you Davies?

# 412. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 16:38:56 UTC
From: N7ZZT - Eric Oyen <n7zzt@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <psnpm1pjgohba38d6f5u8e284v9ge6mfik@4ax.com>
Source record: 122979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > I think
>
> You've yet to show it, you cocksucking momma's boy.
>
> >your bitch roger wiseman is calling you!
>
> And my bitch Toad Daugherty is taking my boot up his faggot ass like a
> good little submissive fem.
>
> > you fucking sissy boy
>
> That's rich, coming from somebody who still lives with mommy and daddy.
>
I live in my moms house. woger comes over and
blows me and my dad.

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 413. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 16:41:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131208864.653634.5260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Kirkwood Community College certificate boy (He couldn't do the degree coursework) Davies Spell Good wrote:
> (It is Sledders, <Lardass fucks up again!>

Poor Lardass Davies, drunk and alone on a Friday night, he whines about another man.

## 414. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 16:41:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131208901.691601.7360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122978

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> Sent: Friday, November 04, 2005 6:40 PM
> Subject: Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235
> >
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:l0Saf.4240$2y.2440@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> "Iitoi" <Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
> >> news:Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com...
> >>>
> >>> Bill Sohl Wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>> IF (let's be hypothetical) the FCC did retain code for
> >>>> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
> >>>> surely be raised by someone who need only point
> >>>> to the use of waivers previously and no court in
> >>>> this country would rule against such a clain.
> >>>
> >>> The "waivers previously" were only for the 13/20 WPM tests, and
> >>> required the applicant to have passed a 5 WPM test. TTBOMK there has
> >>> never been any waiver of 5 WPM, thus no precedent in support of such a
> >>> claim.
> >>
> >> The ONLY reason waivers were not extended down to 5wpm
> >> in the past was because the FCC believed the USA could not
> >> waive the minimal code test because of the treaty. Now
> >> that there is no treaty requirement, the logic that lead
> >> to waivers of 13/20 wpm can and would be extended to
> >> a 5wpm test IF such test remains. The precident stands
> >> based on the general application of waivers for non-treaty
> >> established code speeds.

True - up to a point.

It can also be argued that the precedent is limited only to
'higher-speed' code tests, and not to code testing in general.
IOW, there's a difference between a waiver of a higher level
of skill vs. a complete waiver of the skill.

As an analogy, there have been cases (not amateur radio)
where a disabled person was given additional time to
complete a test that, for everyone else, had a strict time limit.
```

That person essentially got a waiver of the time limit but not
of the test itself.

Opponents of the waiver said that the time limit was an
integral part of the test.

> > Also last I checked there was no precedent for a waiver of 5 word-per-min.
>
> The precident is for waivers of code testing of non-treaty
> required code knowledge. It matters not if that was 20, 13
> or now 5wpm. Conceptually the precident is there.

But only for higher-speed tests, not for the basic skill itself.
>
> > In any case, I'd agree that invoking ADA might work --- to require
> > possibility of test waivers for disabled, but not to disallow testing
> > outright.
>
> The waivers in the past were only for disabled with a medical
> certification statement.

Which took the form of a letter from any M.D. or D.O. stating that
it would take the person longer-than-usual to reach the skill
level necessary to pass the 13 or 20 wpm code test. There was no
requirement of an actual documented disability, nor that the
disability would make it *impossible* for the person to pass the test.
Nor did the disability have to be permanent and/or untreatable. The
doctor didn't have to write the letter, just sign it. Nor did the
doctor
need any particular qualifications to make the judgement.

I don't know of anyone who sought a medical waiver who was
denied if they had the required doctor's letter.

Most of all, the waivers did not come about because of ADA.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 415. Re: Ya sabes donde tienes que ir, no me olvides CILI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 16:42:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131208967.283002.93110@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinycuntLLoyd Davies wrote:
>
> The 37 year <Lardass' homosexual fantasies, after all he is the one posting she male pictures on a message board>

BWHAHAHAHAHA Tell us about Laura, Lardass. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!

## 416. Re: In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 16:48:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131209317.529658.32290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122981

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> a real exchange (4 pieces of info besides the callsign, and
> the signal report is not part of the exchange.)

For the record, the exchange consists of:

Serial number of QSO starting with 1 as the first SS QSO of the contest
Entry Class
Callsign
Section (there are 80 of them)
Year first licensed

In addition the log shall record the date and time of QSO and the band
used.

Stations can only work each other once per contest. Using a spotting
network of any kind puts you in a different entry class.

Other rules and requirements at the ARRL website.

My first SS was 1968. Was fun then and still is fun now.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 417. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 16:54:00 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131209640.296625.223150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

LLoyd Davies not N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > I think
> >
> > You've yet to show it, you cocksucking momma's boy.
> >
> > >your bitch roger wiseman is calling you!
> >
> > And my bitch Toad Daugherty is taking my boot up his faggot ass like a
> > good little submissive fem.
> >
> > > you fucking sissy boy
> >
> > That's rich, coming from somebody who still lives with mommy and daddy.
> >
> I live in my moms house, woger. The nim busters admin comes over and
> blows me and my dad.

I'm sure he does, Davies.

## 418. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 17:55:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <l2spm1lbbcnr1opehl8us91lc0auuo62er@4ax.com>
Source record: 122983

On 5 Nov 2005 00:51:01 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > On 3 Nov 2005 03:05:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> > >snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >> K4YZ wrote:
>> > >> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > >> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
>> > >> > > > Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options
>> > >> >
>> > >> > > > Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
>> > >> > > > of lies
>> > >> > > > on my part.
>> > >> > > >
>> > >> > > > We'll wait right here.
>> > >> >
>> > >> > Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you may
>> > >> > send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
>> > >> > you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
>> > >> > "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
>> > >> >
>> > >> Sorry, Brain...Several issues.
>> > >>
>> > >> Predicted.
>> > >>
>> > > I see.
>> >
>> > indeed it was predicted andyou followed along
>>
>> He must follow the Robeson profile.
>
> Nice try.

and it is the simple truth
>
>> > >> First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to
>> > >> take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had
>> > >> Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have
>> > >> gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...
>> > >>
>> > >> Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my
>> > >> Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never
>> > >> "accepted the wager. You ignored it.

>> > >>
>> > >> Why do you always acuse people other than yourself of being dishonest,
>> > >> jerk?
>> > >
>> > > So far I've not seen otherwise.
>> >
>> > then you agree it seem syou do accuse people of being dishoinest
>> > everone but your self
>>
>> Steve is pure.
>
> Nope. Not by a long shot.
>
>> Everyone else is a liar.
>
> Nope. Just those who don't tell the truth.

which is everyone that disgress with Stevie

>
>> > >> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you
>> > >> > to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further
>> > >> > demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that
>> > >> > fact surprises anyone.
>> > >> >
>> > >> > Steve, K4YZ
>> > >>
>> > >> Sorry, Steve...Several issues.
>> > >>
>> > >> First of all, >>>YOU<<< had made the wager across state lines. I did
>> > >> the legal and honorable thing; I didn't accept it.
>> > >
>> > > No...You ignored the possibility that I was right. You've made
>> > >several other wagering challenges yourself in the past, so feel free to
>> > >dismount the "high horse" any time you're ready.
>> >
>> > nothing illegal or dishonorable in not accepting something
>>
>> I almost always ignore the possibility that Steve is "right."
>
> Mostly because in our exchanges I've come up "right" far more
>often than you.

nah wrong again Stevie

you don't even know the difference betwen opinion and fact

>
>> I almost always ignore the possiblitiy that Steve is telling a "truth."
>
> Then you're lying to yourself. And if you can't be honest with
>yourself, who ARE you going to be honest with?

yep there you go everyone is lying again

>
>> The possiblitiies are so remote...
>
> That you'd ever be honest with yourself? I wouldn't say
>that...For example I am sure your tax returns are accurate.

more accusations that you can't support

more lies about the position of others

>
>> > >> Secondly, I did not accept the wager nor ask you to mail it to me. I
>> > >> actually forbid you to mail anything to me.
>> > >
>> > > Uh huh.
>>
>> That's right. Google provides.
>
> Yep. Big man..."I forbid"...

more of your stupidity

>
> I don't give a rat's kazoo what you "forbid", Brain...

now you are concered about the anatomy of animals

scik stevie sick

>
>> > >> Lastly, what I did ask is that you donate your wager to the 10th
>> > >> District QSL Buro since you have denigrated all 10th District amateurs
>> > >> ("ZEROES") and the corpse of W0EX in an effort to get a laugh.
>> > >
>> > > I stand corrected on your "donation to the zero land" buro,
>>
>> Indeed you do.
>>
>> however
>> > >your suggestion that I somehow defiled ANYone's corpse is assinine and
>> > >disgusting...
>> >
>> > it is disgusting that the way you defile men in order to make your
>> > sick points
>>
>> Google has his exact post.
>
> It sure does. And no where in it do I "defile" any deceased
>person.

sure do

you have done so with Dick Correl (however it is spelled) and w0EX

>
> But then YOU don't have the intestinal fortitude to acknowledge it.

you are the one lacking guts Stevie

and honesty, and basic morality

>
>> > > But then, considering the source.....
>> > >
>> > >> That is the least you can do for 10-Landers. Donations are allowed to cross
>> > >> state lines, aren't they?
>> > >
>> > > Yes, they are.
>> > >
>> > >> Again, further demonstration that you would not only wiggle your way
>> > >> out as predicted, but you make accusations as you do do. Not that that
>> > >> fact surprises anyone.
>> > >
>> > > So far the only accusation here is that I have "welched" on a bet,
>> > >an act that could not not have occured since the wager was never
>> > >accepted.
>> > >
>> > > As for "wiggling out" of anything, I think you already set a
>> > >several-years-long track record of having no tolerance or use for
>> > >"rules" of any kind,
>>
>> Obviously you lie.
>
> Obviously you WISH I was lying.

wrong again and another lie

Brain and myself wish you would tell the truth

you just refuse to do so

>
>> > >and that would include "accepting" a publically
>> > >offered wager IN public...
>>
>> I'll accept nothing from you short of an apology for your years of
>> badgering and lying.
>
> After you, big boy...after you!

admiting your lies after a fashion but not apoloigizing for for them

>
> Of course if I "apologize" for "badgering and lying" to you I WOULD
>then be lying.

another lie from Stevie

>
>> > >Not trying to make an issue of it as somehow
>> > >not "kept" years after you diss'ed it.
>> > >
>> > >Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> You're the one making an issue of it in here, Tennessee Nurse Steven J
>> Robeson, LPN.
>
> No, I'm not.
>
> Your team mate, Sir Markie of Paganopolis did. He was the one who
>started the thread about you being "paid up" on some non-existant
>wager. Talk to him and get your attriburtions right, Brain.

you started all those years stevie you typed the post

I just thought I remind everyone of YOUR Words

funny how NOTHING is your fault

you are not even responible for your taunts

>
>> "Every obnoxious act is a cry for help."
>
> You should know. Your record is a long one.

more lies

more efoort to practice med without a lic
>
>> Get help
>
> Talking to yourself is an obvious symptom. Take your own advice.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 419. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie in sane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 17:56:58 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <sgspm1967drs8l693osauukprf7shdoa4v@4ax.com>
Source record: 122984

On 5 Nov 2005 03:08:42 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 3 Nov 2005 02:36:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> "Abortion is ilegal"
>>
>> wether or not abortion should be ilegal is another matter but for the
>> presenet tabortion is legal you an LPN saying otherwise on a medical
>> matter is likely of interest to the nursing board
>
> Where are you pulling THIS gem from?

Google provide
>
> And regardless of the legality of abortions, the BoN could care
>less unless you can prove that I have been CONDUCTING them...

not the issue

the issue is Stvie is LYING about the law, indeed about the law in
medical matters

>
> Try again, Markie.
>
> And while you're doing that, I am going to try and find what
>grammar schools you went to and sue them for fraud...For taking the tax
>monies they were given for your English education and squandering them.

more empty threats Stevie
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 420. Re: Frankie of Silliland "Stretches" For Something To Hold On To...But Can't Get A Grip

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 17:57:43 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ljspm1dtuak11fr7h0gnrtp2uius3e2psi@4ax.com>
Source record: 122985

```
On 3 Nov 2005 12:47:45 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 2 Nov 2005 23:03:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 2 Nov 2005 12:29:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >> On 2 Nov 2005 10:13:54 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> On 2 Nov 2005 09:42:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >> >> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 05:17:49 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> >> >> >> >> in <1_X9f.4726$yX2.3351@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>> >> >> >> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> >> On Wed, 02 Nov 2005 04:43:18 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> >> >> >> >> >> in <GtX9f.4608$Rl1.89@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> <snip>
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >>>I'd imagine
>> >> >> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> >> >> No need to say any more -- that pretty much sums it up.
>> >> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> >It surely does. It pretty much sums up all of your imagining, guessing
>> >> >> >> >> >and assuming about what Steve has and hasn't done.
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> >> Dudly gets himself in hot water and it's Dave to the rescue!
>> >> >> >> >
>> >> >> >> > So...Guess that "nobody here likes you" thing was WRONG, eh,
>> >> >> >> >Frankie?
>> >> >> >>
>> >> >> >> nobdoy does that was just Dave
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "nobody"
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > "just Dave".
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > So now YOU are calling Dave a "nobody"..?!?!
>> >> >>
>> >> >> yes I am
>> >> >
>> >> > That's DOUBLE freakin hillarious!
```

>> >>
>> >> glad you enjoyed it
>> >
>> > Who said I "enjoyed" it...?!?!
>>
>> you did
>
> I requoted EVERTYHING you did, Markie.
>
> NO WHERE in there did I say I "enjoyed" it.

then you were lying

and flush

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 421. Re: Testing for Dyslexia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 17:59:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <2mspm1p7qk099q32cunvsb4953b1gphbsa@4ax.com>
Source record: 122986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,sci.psychology.psychotherapy

On 3 Nov 2005 12:43:17 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> > Yes, they are...And CARD XII's suggestion of a "psychologist" was
>> >as ill-informed as YOUR initial discussions about your
>> >"allergist"...(Although you COULD use one, but not for getting a "Morse
>> >Code waiver...)
>> >
>> > Perhaps if you'd followed up with a NEUROLOGIST as I had suggested
>> >years ago, you'd have your General or Extra by now...
>>
>> nope wrong again
>>
>> Neurolgist don't treat Dyslexia
>
> Wake up, Blockhead.
>
> We were talking about your alleged inability to "hear" Morse
>Code....It had NOTHING to due with your alleged dyslexia.

more medical judgement without license

>
>> more bad medicine form I hope the soon to be ex nurse....(SNIP)
>
> Hmmmmmmmmm....What to do with my free time...Travel, maybe...?!?!

more threats?

>
>> but you were
>> fairer than normal so maybe I'll give you a break and not forward this
>> effort to give BAD medical advice
>
> It wasn't "medical advice"..."Medical advice" is preparing and
>implementing a care plan with PRESCRIBED diagnostics, thereapies,
>treatment or medicines. Even suggesting a dose of Tylenol can, under
>certain review, be considered "medical advice" although Tylenol is an
>OTC medication.
>
> I suggested you see a neurologist. You chose not to do so.
>That's a very clearly authorized NURSING function.

>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 422. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 19:20:12 UTC
From: Dave Bushong <qjhgagkoh7bf4fa@jetable.org>
Message-ID: <eNqdnS_Lw_txmPDeRVn-gA@adelphia.com>
Source record: 122987

Lloyd wrote:
> On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>>Note that the FCC web site lists K1MAN's renewal application as
>>"PENDING." A printout of this has been posted next to K1MAN's
>>original (now expired) license per 47 CFR 1.62 (2), and K1MAN on air
>>operations continue under this entitled authority.
>
>
> k1man is a great man who is fighting an opressive govnerment in order to
> save ham radio. long live his broadcasts. can he please play some
> olivia newton jon songs? thank you. a fan.

At least he has the support of the gay community. Not everyone can
claim that.

## 423. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie in sane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 19:58:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131220727.519571.219660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122988

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 5 Nov 2005 03:08:42 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 3 Nov 2005 02:36:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> >> "Abortion is ilegal"
> >>
> >> wether or not abortion should be ilegal is another matter but for the
> >> presenet tabortion is legal you an LPN saying otherwise on a medical
> >> matter is likely of interest to the nursing board
> >
> > Where are you pulling THIS gem from?
>
> Google provide

Too bad it doesn't provide you with instruction on making complete
sentences.

> >
> > And regardless of the legality of abortions, the BoN could care
> >less unless you can prove that I have been CONDUCTING them...
>
> not the issue

No, you are running away from the real issue, Marky.

>
> the issue is Stvie is LYING about the law, indeed about the law in
> medical matters

No, stupid. You are wrong as usual.

>
> >
> > Try again, Markie.
> >
> > And while you're doing that, I am going to try and find what
> >grammar schools you went to and sue them for fraud...For taking the tax
> >monies they were given for your English education and squandering them.
>
> more empty threats Stevie

Sort of like the one where you talked to a female FBI agent, Marky?

## 424. Stevie doesn't get it is about HIS words such as these

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 20:18:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <8q4qm1tdt5t4sbvumabc968hclbue7dpi3@4ax.com>
Source record: 122989

Steve Robeson
May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
Date: 2000/05/30
Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

>Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
>From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
>Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
>Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn

your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
inadequate
for you.<

Oooooooohhhhhh!

We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?

>>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
placed that
onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
and
callsigns.

Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.

Steve

The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
to fight
in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 425. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie in sane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 20:24:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <255qm15heq3t146h9us6g38n99f9at9rdf@4ax.com>
Source record: 122990

On 5 Nov 2005 11:58:47 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 5 Nov 2005 03:08:42 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On 3 Nov 2005 02:36:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >> "Abortion is ilegal"
>> >>
>> >> wether or not abortion should be ilegal is another matter but for the
>> >> presenet tabortion is legal you an LPN saying otherwise on a medical
>> >> matter is likely of interest to the nursing board
>> >
>> > Where are you pulling THIS gem from?
>>
>> Google provide
>
>Too bad it doesn't provide you with instruction on making complete
>sentences.
>
>> >
>> > And regardless of the legality of abortions, the BoN could care
>> >less unless you can prove that I have been CONDUCTING them...
>>
>> not the issue
>
>No, you are running away from the real issue, Marky.

nope

the real issue is that Stevie has LIED about the legality of a medical
procedure

I am not accusing him of conducting back alley abortion

you need to learn to read

>
>>
>> the issue is Stvie is LYING about the law, indeed about the law in
>> medical matters
>
>No, stupid. You are wrong as usual.

are you realyStevie under a peusonym?

>
>>
>> >
>> > Try again, Markie.
>> >
>> > And while you're doing that, I am going to try and find what
>> >grammar schools you went to and sue them for fraud...For taking the tax
>> >monies they were given for your English education and squandering them.
>>
>> more empty threats Stevie
>
>
>Sort of like the one where you talked to a female FBI agent, Marky?

nope

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 426. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 20:32:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051105153222.940$d7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 122991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>
> >>
> >> 172.174.47.36
> >
> >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
> >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
> >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
> >
> >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!
>
> sorry you are wrong about that
>
Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.

## 427. I need a hug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 22:24:48 UTC
From: secwet woger <secwet_woger@no.isp>
Message-ID: <889187017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 122992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I admit it: I've been in an ugly mood ever since 1996, and I let it spill over into Usenet during the intervening years.

Mark, Steve, Dave, Lloyd, Wes, Schwartz, Vietnam Dan and all the rest of you, let's have a group hug. After that, I'll feel a lot better, and I won't berate you motherfucking assholes any more.

K?

## 428. Re: past K1MAN doing the same stuff as Current K4YZ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 22:25:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131229514.894109.244610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122993

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > been going stevie #### (not pleasennt reading)...(SNIP)
>
> Obviously it is for you, since you're creating one new thread after
> another quoting old posts, Markie.

another lie Stevie

it is not pleasent going your old posts

it is alas what I need to be doing right now
>
> > looking for stuff to
> > forward to Borad of nursing I notice Stevie has sent a lot of time
> > accsuing others of felonies, didn't K1MAN get started in his campaigns
> > of making himself disliked by many ham with some kind of felony
> > avidaad
>
> "Board" "Nursing"
>
> And what the heck was "avidaad" supposed to be?
>
> No...K1MAN got himself "disliked" because he's an idiot.
>
> > it struck me that prehaps the same sort of response might work on
> > Stevie who is often acusing others of comiting felonies
>
> "accusing" "commiting"
>
> What "response"...?!?!
>
> And K1MAN's "accusations" were in the form of look-alike
> "subpoenas" that he mailed out, ergo violating federal law by misusing
> the mail service.

mush as you mis use the internet to spread your lies and hate
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 429. Re: "Re: Markie Assumes Role Of RRAP Moderator...Demands Others Do As He Says...OR ELSE!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 22:30:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131229800.648332.270120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122994

K4YZ wrote:
> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > On 3 Nov 2005 01:58:13 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1131011893.108227.33940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > > >
> > > >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > >> On 2 Nov 2005 17:19:34 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> > > >> <1130980774.193141.15210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> > > >>
> > > >> >
> > > >> >Steve, you really, really hate it when others behave as you do. Don't
> > > >> >sweat it, though. Few have the complete lack of conscience that it
> > > >> >takes to keep it up for very long.
> > > >>
> > > >> OUCH!!! Remind me never to piss -you- off!
> > > >
> > > > Especially since Brain is in the same category that he tried to
> > > >put me in, Frankie....
> > > >
> > > >Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > That one flew right past you, Dudly. At least now we know where you
> > > find all that extra time to spend on newsgroup posting when you are an
> > > EMT, LPN, CAP, TNSG, father, husband, etc, etc..... you sacrificed
> > > rational thinking. A real time-waster, huh?
> >
> > Oh, I "got it" just fine, Frankie...Wasn't much to comment on.
> >
> > And too bad YOU can't be all those things too, eh? A little slow
> > in the "juggling" department, are you, FoS.....?!?!

nope stevie gets it wrong again

no eveidence frank could not juggle tall that just that he is smart
enough not to try
>
> (There's the one that got past YOU all these weeks, Frankie!)

nope

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 430. Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 22:38:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131230316.531272.298690@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122995

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Steve Robeson
> May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> Date: 2000/05/30
> Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> | Report Abuse
>
>
>
> >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
> >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
> >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
>
>
> your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
> inadequate
> for you.<
>
> Oooooooohhhhhh!
>
>
> We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
>
>
> >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
> placed that
> onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
> and
> callsigns.
>
>
> Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
>
>
> Steve
>
>
> The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
> to fight

> in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?

## 431. an update on Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 22:45:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9ucqm1hou7a39o9ctojorqhghebp6f58ln@4ax.com>
Source record: 122996

well been digging the archives on Stevie
located some 105 posst of his misdeeds dumping a lot of the repitivie
stuff

some of the gems

the false stament that abortion is a ilegal

setvie saying someone should take an med in his professional opinion

stevie claiming people other than myself have aids

stevie claiming someone beside myself is gay

Stevie advocating suicide I am sure they love that one

Stevie seems to think he will be able to defends his lies by claiming
I lied or Len and the tooth fary lied

stevie LPN will be challenged based on Stevie's own words

indeed it is stevie that told it could be, a point tennessee seesm to
agree with

the form they want filled out arrvied yesterday I think i have combed
as much out of Google as I can stand

in short I don't think Stevie is fit to be nurse, will shall se what
tennessee think after i hack through all these post highlight the
relavant passages and forwrd them for tthier review

just a good citiizen should

feel free to resume threatening me now stevie

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 432. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 22:47:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131230879.608589.94710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122997

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > Steve Robeson
> > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> > Date: 2000/05/30
> > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > | Report Abuse
> >
> >
> >
> > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
> > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
> > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
> >
> >
> > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
> > inadequate
> > for you.<
> >
> > Ooooooohhhhhh!
> >
> >
> > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
> >
> >
> > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
> > placed that
> > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
> > and
> > callsigns.
> >
> >
> > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
> >
> >
> > Steve
> >
> >
> > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE

> > to fight
> > in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)
>
> Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?

so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion

like you said Google provide

## 433. Re: I need a hug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 23:16:09 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Z2bbf.188942$Il5.14107@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 122998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LOL!

"secwet woger" <secwet_woger@no.isp> wrote in message
news:889187017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
>I admit it: I've been in an ugly mood ever since 1996, and I let it
> spill over into Usenet during the intervening years.
>
> Mark, Steve, Dave, Lloyd, Wes, Schwartz, Vietnam Dan and all the rest
> of you, let's have a group hug. After that, I'll feel a lot better,
> and I won't berate you motherfucking assholes any more.
>
> K?

## 434. Re: an update on Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 23:19:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131232786.506179.198170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 122999

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> well been digging the archives on Stevie
> located some 105 posst of his misdeeds dumping a lot of the repitivie
> stuff
>
> some of the gems

Along with yours, Colonel, including your repetitive assertions on
the validity of deceit and lying...Considering the nature of your
"complaint", that ought to really open some eyes.

> the false stament that abortion is a ilegal

Where ARE you getting this from?

> setvie saying someone should take an med in his professional opinion

Please show where I prescribed one, Markie. Then you have a case.

> stevie claiming people other than myself have aids

And we'll also show wher you ahve chosen your own "definitions"
for abbreviations and "words", Markie.

> stevie claiming someone beside myself is gay

Stevie showing where those other people have provided proof they
are.

> Stevie advocating suicide I am sure they love that one

I am sure they love the fact that it's legal in some places.

> Stevie seems to think he will be able to defends his lies by claiming
> I lied or Len and the tooth fary lied

Me showing where you HAVE lied, Colonel....

> stevie LPN will be challenged based on Stevie's own words

Markie Colonel will be humiliated with his own.

> indeed it is stevie that told it could be, a point tennessee seesm to
> agree with

Tennessee does not "agree" with you, Makrie.

> the form they want filled out arrvied yesterday I think i have combed
> as much out of Google as I can stand

I am sure you ahve, Markie.

> in short I don't think Stevie is fit to be nurse, will shall se what
> tennessee think after i hack through all these post highlight the
> relavant passages and forwrd them for tthier review

And we'll be sure to keep this post to show them your intent to
"hack through" them.

> just a good citiizen should

What would you know about being a good citizen, Markie?

> feel free to resume threatening me now stevie

No threats, Markie...Just a promise to fully deprive you of
any/all resources and assets the courts may allow for your culpable
actions.

BTW...Since this "action" is taking place in Tennessee, you are
going to have to travel to Tennessee. Do you intend to retain local
counsel? Or shall we just serve you personally and then have you held
IN Tennessee as a flight risk?

See ya!

Steve, K4YZ

## 435. Brian and Markie Stretching Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 23:22:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131232969.542985.38850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123100

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > Steve Robeson
> > > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
> > >
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> > > Date: 2000/05/30
> > > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > > | Report Abuse
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
> > > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
> > >
> > >
> > > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
> > > inadequate
> > > for you.<
> > >
> > > Ooooooohhhhhh!
> > >
> > >
> > > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
> > >
> > >
> > > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
> > > placed that
> > > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
> > > and
> > > callsigns.
> > >
> > >
> > > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
> > >
> > >
> > > Steve
> > >
> > >

> > > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
> > > to fight
> > > in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)
> >
> > Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?
>
> so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion
>
> like you said Google provide

You're welcome to try and document this as an attempt to
"prescribe". I'll offer the BopN my congratualtions on reserving thier
laughter now.....

Steve, K4YZ

## 436. Re: an update on stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 23:31:02 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <cnfqm1pke731bi877457ecujhfpqef8nuk@4ax.com>
Source record: 123101

On 5 Nov 2005 15:19:46 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> well been digging the archives on Stevie
>> located some 105 posst of his misdeeds dumping a lot of the repitivie
>> stuff
>>
>> some of the gems
>
> Along with yours, Colonel, including your repetitive assertions on
>the validity of deceit and lying...Considering the nature of your
>"complaint", that ought to really open some eyes.
>
>> the false stament that abortion is a ilegal
>
> Where ARE you getting this from?

Google provide
>
>> setvie saying someone should take an med in his professional opinion
>
> Please show where I prescribed one, Markie. Then you have a case.

googgle provide and it is one my recent reposts
>
>> stevie claiming people other than myself have aids
>
> And we'll also show wher you ahve chosen your own "definitions"
>for abbreviations and "words", Markie.

it isn't aout ME Stevie

get that through your head

You have no reason to say that Todd's dad has AIDS

even if I am lying in every post I make you have no reason to say
todd's father has AIDS

>
>> stevie claiming someone beside myself is gay
>
> Stevie showing where those other people have provided proof they
>are.

more lies Stevie

>
>> Stevie advocating suicide I am sure they love that one
>
> I am sure they love the fact that it's legal in some places.

but i don't think tennesee is one of them

nor is it the same I if I say someone should comitt suicide as you an
LPN (for the present) says so

>
>> Stevie seems to think he will be able to defends his lies by claiming
>> I lied or Len and the tooth fary lied
>
> Me showing where you HAVE lied, Colonel....\

My lying will not denfend your lies Stevie and I will never have to
face the nursing Board as a defendant or repsonant or anything else
but perhaps witness to YOUR acts

>
>> stevie LPN will be challenged based on Stevie's own words
>
> Markie Colonel will be humiliated with his own.

not by the nursing Board of Tennesse

nor anyone else

you simply don't understand

>
>> indeed it is stevie that told it could be, a point tennessee seesm to
>> agree with
>
> Tennessee does not "agree" with you, Makrie.

they did whenI called them and talked about you and your actions
>
>> the form they want filled out arrvied yesterday I think i have combed
>> as much out of Google as I can stand
>
> I am sure you ahve, Markie.

and you still think you have the right to lie then

poor stevie
>
>> in short I don't think Stevie is fit to be nurse, will shall se what
>> tennessee think after i hack through all these post highlight the
>> relavant passages and forwrd them for tthier review
>
> And we'll be sure to keep this post to show them your intent to
>"hack through" them.

as eveidence of what?

it takes a lot of work to go trhough your shit and highlight your lies
and deceits

>
>> just a good citiizen should
>
> What would you know about being a good citizen, Markie?

more than you it seems

>
>> feel free to resume threatening me now stevie
>
> No threats, Markie...Just a promise to fully deprive you of
>any/all resources and assets the courts may allow for your culpable
>actions.

then you are talking about nothing at all

any one may bring their concerns to the sutorities about anyone just
as you claim you have done

no culapble action here

>
> BTW...Since this "action" is taking place in Tennessee, you are
>going to have to travel to Tennessee. Do you intend to retain local
>counsel? Or shall we just serve you personally and then have you held
>IN Tennessee as a flight risk?

more threats

more lies
>
> See ya!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 437. Re: Brian and Markie Stretching Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-05 23:31:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <56gqm11909g1gqvb4ibeg5l3vhrgdjfmf5@4ax.com>
Source record: 123102

```
On 5 Nov 2005 15:22:49 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > Steve Robeson
>> > > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
>> > >
>> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>> > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
>> > > Date: 2000/05/30
>> > > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
>> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
>> > > | Report Abuse
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
>> > > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
>> > > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
>> > > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
>> > > inadequate
>> > > for you.<
>> > >
>> > > Ooooooohhhhhh!
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
>> > > placed that
>> > > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
>> > > and
>> > > callsigns.
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
>> > >
>> > >
```

>> > > Steve
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
>> > > to fight
>> > > in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)
>> >
>> > Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?
>>
>> so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion
>>
>> like you said Google provide
>
> You're welcome to try and document this as an attempt to
>"prescribe". I'll offer the BopN my congratualtions on reserving thier
>laughter now.....

noted meaning unless you are lying you have no objection to my taking
my proposed action

>
>Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 438. Re: blast from the past...(etc etc) WHERE'S the Hipocracy, Markie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 00:38:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131237530.969791.87660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123103

K4YZ wrote:
> I'm not quote sure from the illiterate jibberish in Markie's
> subject line, but I am sure he was refering to this...
>
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > Steve Robeson K4YZ Dec 14 2001, 8:34 am show options
> >
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
> > Date: 14 Dec 2001 13:34:29 GMT
> > Local: Fri, Dec 14 2001 8:34 am
> > Subject: It's Time To Demand A Re-Vamp of the FCC
> > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > | Report Abuse
>
> SNIP to pertinent quote
>
> > I was always of the opinion and understanding that once time was
> > served,
> > one's "debt to society" was paid and they were reassimilated into that
> > society.
> > We are obviously NOT that society if we continue to punish people
> > AFTER their
> > time is served.
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Two points, Markie...First of all the post you cite is about the
> FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ignoring it's prohibition of subjecting a citizen to
> double jeopardy...Not "discussion points" in a USENET forum.
>
> Secondly, Frankie's courts martials are part-and-parcel of these
> discussions because >>HE<< brought them into it...Had he not made them
> public, I wouldn't have had a clue...
>
> So..Where's the hipocracy? He brought it up, not me.

you are the hypocrit you claim people have paid their dues after they
serve but you don't apply the statndard you claim to subcribe to

so you are a hypocrite

plain and simple
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 439. Great Liberty Net 10:00 speaks on FRANCE !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 01:22:47 UTC
From: "Rev. Cleetus Hogjowles" <yosementesamhf@buzzblogs.us>
Message-ID: <709a2$436d5aee$d1cc50d4$28418@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 123104
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes folks! be sure to toon into the Great Liberty
Net this evening as the topic of discussion will be
the destruction of France by youthfull Islamic immigrants.

Tonight's installment should be even better that The Hal Turner Show.....

BE THERE 10:00 PM Est 3.950 mHz LSB (+/- 10.0 KC)

Background info: http://apnews.myway.com/article/20051105/D8DMKAVGC.html

## 440. Why Woger lacks social skills

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 01:26:16 UTC
From: Stalking 101 By Roger Wiseman <anonimouse@labrats.col>
Message-ID: <dkjlvk$4gn$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Now we know what is wrong with Woger. He suffers from Asperger's Syndrome. This would explain his lack of social and communications skills. Now, don't you feel sorry for him?

A. Qualitative impairment in social interaction, as manifested by at least two of the following:

1.. marked impairments in the use of multiple nonverbal behaviors such as eye-to-eye gaze, facial expression, body postures, and gestures to regulate social interaction
2.. failure to develop peer relationships appropriate to developmental level
3.. a lack of spontaneous seeking to share enjoyment, interests, or achievements with other people (e.g. by a lack of showing, bringing, or pointing out objects of interest to other people)
4.. lack of social or emotional reciprocity
B. Restricted repetitive and stereotyped patterns of behavior, interests, and activities, as manifested by at least one of the following:

1.. encompassing preoccupation with one or more stereotyped and restricted patterns of interest that is abnormal either in intensity or focus
2.. apparently inflexible adherence to specific, nonfunctional routines or rituals
3.. stereotyped and repetitive motor mannerisms (e.g., hand or finger flapping or twisting, or complex whole-body movements)
4.. persistent preoccupation with parts of objects
C. The disturbance causes clinically significant impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning

## 441. Re: I need a hug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 01:30:09 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <e93e5$436d5ecf$4e1057a$5223@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 123106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"secwet woger" <secwet_woger@no.isp> wrote in message
news:889187017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
>I admit it: I've been in an ugly mood ever since 1996, and I let it
> spill over into Usenet during the intervening years.
>
> Mark, Steve, Dave, Lloyd, Wes, Schwartz, Vietnam Dan and all the rest
> of you, let's have a group hug. After that, I'll feel a lot better,
> and I won't berate you motherfucking assholes any more.
>
> K?

Thanks, I needed that. ;-D
Ace - WH2T

# 442. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 01:45:56 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ofdbf.9706$Hj2.1978@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 123107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Oh the pain. I just can't sleep this week cause I missed the N9OGL
"Toadfish" Show. What a shame...not.

On a DX band too. Idiot.

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> LLoyd Davies not N7ZZT - Eric Oyen wrote:
>
>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>N9OGL wrote:
>>>
>>>>I think
>>>
>>>You've yet to show it, you cocksucking momma's boy.
>>>
>>>
>>>>your bitch roger wiseman is calling you!
>>>
>>>And my bitch Toad Daugherty is taking my boot up his faggot ass like a
>>>good little submissive fem.
>>>
>>>
>>>>you fucking sissy boy
>>>
>>>That's rich, coming from somebody who still lives with mommy and daddy.
>>>
>>
>>I live in my moms house, woger. The nim busters admin comes over and
>>blows me and my dad.
>
>
> I'm sure he does, Davies.
>

# 443. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 01:52:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131241970.841981.276660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 4 Nov 2005 17:16

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs 3 Nov 2005 15:57
>> >Steveo wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>> >> > > http://tinyurl.com/8apbr
>> >> >
>> >> > Hmmm? "A lifelong interest..."
>> >> >
>> >> > That's enough to get the boys in the hood all nasty with you.
>> >> >
>> >> The boyz in the hood?
>> >>
>> >> <insert favorite two live crew toon here> ha
>> >
>> >You see, Len has had a lifelong interest in radio. But in rrap, if
>> >you've had a lifelong interest in radio and don't have a ham license,
>> >it gets these guys all upset.
>>
>> Plus, we MUST be "accurate!" [don't forget that]
>>
>> In the spirit of sustaining "accuracy," I have NOT had a
>> "LIFELONG interest in radio." I had a moderate interest
>> in it (all of electronics really) until my Army
>> assignment posted me to a major Army radio communications
>> facility in early February 1953. I was then 20, rather
>> out of "teen-age" years.
>
>Ooops! My bad. This is the part where Tennessee Nurse Steven J
>Robeson, LPN, jumps in and calls me a lying, deceitful coward.

He WILL! ...about anything at any rate... :-)

>> Bingo, BIG TIME INTEREST from
>> then on! So big I was on the road to changing my career
>> goals when I got out of the Army in 1956. See:
>
>> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/
>> My3Years.pdf
>

>Ah! I knew it was something like that.

My bad, maybe...so few of the regulars in here have done
anything like that. Gets them all upset, like. Mebbe
they think that amateur radio does NOT exist on HF?

>> Made a "big mistake" (according to a mighty macho
>> motivated morseman in here) in getting a professional
>> radio license in '56, not an amateur one. Tsk, tsk.
>> Worse yet, I got a JOB in radio that year to do REAL
>> WORK with that license! [horrors, how un-ham-like!]
>
>Len, how could you?

It was in a fit of clarity and logical thought. That, and
wanting to do something productive instead of living in a
fantasyland of wondrous big-time bragging about morse.

>> Never used morse code, never had to in the Army. Never
>> used morse code, or had to, in my career since...which
>> included "working" a station ON the moon. [real DX]
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>No morese code?

Nope, none. Not even morris code, not morris the cat, not
even with threats of the whouff-hong and the rettysnitch.

Wonder if Dudly the Imposter has logged onto Setiathome
yet? TRUE radio astronomy going on there. Seti has already
found dozens of repetitive signal sources all over the sky,
have that available at http://setiathome.berkeley.edu.
Right now I'm on my 422nd data unit, running it in the
background. Each one takes about 11 hours on a 2 GHz clock
computer for the "classic" (older) version of supplied
software. Each data unit represents only 104 seconds of
time at any particular point in the sky. I'm also running
Seti in the background using BOINC, the Berkeley Open
Infrastructure software (also free) and am on the 2nd data
unit with that.

With the classic version, participants can get a nice little
certificate (suitable for framing) after completing 100, 250,
or 1000 hours of CPU time. Just the ticket for self-propelled
self-defined expert braggarts to show their "expertise" in
radio astronomy. :-)

In a way, I'm glad Jimmie isn't involved in Seti. If an ET
was ever found, Jimmie would try to argue his case for
morse code with them, piss off ET, and Earth would likely face
Annihilation! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 444. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 02:01:00 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <wtdbf.4696$2y.1562@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123109

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1131152971.618629.96000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1131097259.069211.67490@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1131015255.098995.310810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> >
>> >> > If the FCC were mandated to accept the simple majority of
>> >> > comments, either way you look at it, Morse Code testing (in the
>> >> > United
>> >> > Staes) in one form or another would be staying for some time to
>> >> > come.
>> >> > Steve, K4YZ
>> >>
>> >> IF the FCC were so mandated, but we all know they are
>> >> not bound by any "voting" analogy.
>> >
>> > Exactly! FCC need only consider the comments, not act on
>> > them.
>> >
>> >>What also is obvious
>> >> to those that have been around long enough is that
>> >> the current "score" is a dramatic shift from opinions
>> >> within the amateur community as compared to prior
>> >> efforts to "score" support (98-143) or back when the
>> >> first efforts to bring a nocode license began.
>> >
>> > I disagree, Bill. Or rather, I'd say it's not that clear.
>> >
>> > Back in 1998, NCI supported the concept of "5 wpm
>> > now, complete elimination when the treaty changes".
>> > That position got about 45% support (check Carl's
>> > post of around that time when he reported KC8EPO's
>> > tally of comments).
>> >
>> > Now the NPRM proposes "complete elimination now
>> > that the treaty has changed" but the support is
>> > still about 45% of commenters.
>> >
>> > So the support for total code test elimination isn't much different
>> > than it was 7 years ago.
>>

>> The trend is and has been towards ending code.
>
> Ending code or code *testing*?

Ending code testing.

>> Nothing has changed to alter that general opinion.
>
> Doesn't mean it's a good thing.

IYHO

>> >> Playing your number realignment, you must admit
>> >> then that 68% of commentors DO support ending
>> >> code for General.
>
>> > Yep. Exactly as proposed by ARRL.
>
>> >>THAT is dramatic in comparison
>> >> to past opinion analysis.
>
>> > We don't really know that, do we?
>> > There was never a serious proposal
>> > before that suggested "code test for
>> > Extra only" that I know of.
>
>> Fair enough.
>
> Agreed.
>
>> >> Consider too that
>> >> IF the FCC retained any level of code testing for
>> >> Extra then the FCC would/will have to reintroduce
>> >> waivers as the international treaty no longer provides
>> >> absolute minimal code requirements for any level.
>
>> > Why would FCC "have to" do waivers? IIRC there's no
>> > mention of waivers in the NPRM. The treaty's been
>> > changed for almost 2-1/2 years but no waivers.
>
>> IF the code test isn't dropped totally, the president for
>> waivers in the absence of any treaty requirement will
>> rule.
>
> How do we know that? If that precedent really existed,
> why didn't FCC institute waivers as soon as the
> treaty requirement ended? They wouldn't need an NPRM -
> they could cite the 1990-2000 procedures and just change
> the words for "5 wpm".
>
>> Inactivity in response to the treatty change by the FCC

>> can certainly be chalked up to digestion of the host
>> of petitions filed after WRC and the ultimate
>> issuance of NPRM 98-235 which is now pending.
>
> Maybe - but if there really was a precedent, FCC could
> cite it, but they haven't.
>
>> IF (let's be hypothetical)
>
> Sure!
>
>> the FCC did retain code for
>> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
>> surely be raised by someone who need only point
>> to the use of waivers previously and no court in
>> this country would rule against such a clain.
>
> IANAL, but was ADA ever successfully cited before
> against an FCC decision? An amateur license isn't
> a right - only access to the tests for one is.

ADA wasn't needed because the FCC had already
provided a waiver process.

> Waivers of any kind are a real quagmire because they
> say the waivered test isn't really necessary for the
> license. What's to prevent someone for demanding a
> waiver of the Extra *written* test?

Anyone can demand anything. On your first statement
regarding waivers being a quagmire...I agree and
that's exactly why the FCC isn't going to retain
any code testing.

>> >> That's a path that FCC just won't go down.
>
>> > Probably not.
>
>> PLEASE explain on what legal or rational basis the
>> FCC could argue to avoid a waiver policy if code
>> was only retained for Extra.
>
> Several:
>
> First off, I don't think ADA has ever been successfully
> cited against FCC regulations

So? It hasn't been needed on any FCC basis yet.

> Second, an amateur radio license isn't a commercial
> thing, so it's not like someone is denied a job or similar.

ADA is not simply about jobs...it is about "access"
on a broad basis.

> Third, Extra does not give any more power, modes,
> bands or other operating privileges *except* a little
> more frequency spectrum.

That's a contradictory statement. You say
there's no additional privileges
and then you note that there is.

> There's also the vanity
> call selection and the ability to VE other Extras, but
> that's about it.

Ditto my last.

> Fourth and most important, there's no absolute right
> to an amateur radio license. It's a privilege - only
> access to the tests is a right.

I think any good ADA attorney would argue otherwise.

> As an analogy, consider the national parks. There
> are places where access to the park by motor
> vehicle is not allowed. Does ADA require that
> all parts of all parks be accessible by motor vehicle
> because some people can't walk to them?

ADA calls for reasonable accomodation where it can be done.
Your national park analogy doesn't apply.

>> > One simple solution is the "Canadian compromise": Keep
>> > code testing but change how it is scored. One method is
>> > to change the requirement for Element 3 (General written)
>> > to the following:
>
>> > Element 3 can be passed by getting an ~85% grade on the
>> > 35 written questions *or* a ~75% grade and a passing mark
>> > on the code test.
>
>> > That way there's no "lowering of standards" yet the Morse Code
>> > test is not a mandatory pass-fail standalone test any more.
>
>> I personally don't believe the Canadian compromise
>> would pass ADA requirements.
>
> I do. In fact I think it would solve a lot of problems.

We clearly differ in opinion then.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 445. Re: Free Ride, was Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 02:05:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131242743.684377.138280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123110

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 4 Nov 2005 17:16

>Dee Flint wrote:
>
>> I would disagree with this approach. Here's why.
>
>> Let me start by saying that I believe all amateurs should have a basic
>> ability in Morse code as it is one of the basics of amateur radio.
>
>Why is it that you only want volunteers to take up the burden of
>learning morse code on "thier" free time and equip morse code radio
>stations at their own expense?

...just because...

>Why wouldn't you want paid, professional radio operators and radio
>users to know Morse Code? Especially paid emergency communicators and
>emergency responders.
>
>If the government needed or wanted morse code radio operators, they
>should create a GS5-7-9-11 series and get them.

Dee must imagine that ONLY hams are First Responders to
any emergency/crisis/disaster.

>But the PCTA are more than willing to donate other people's free time
>and money in order to provide the government with something it doesn't
>want and doesn't want to pay for, just so you'll have someone to QSL
>with on the low end of the bands.

PCTA think they are spay-shul (as the church lady said) and
NEED that code test to "prove" they are "better."

They are Mighty Macho Motivated Morsemen. Hear them roar...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 446. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 02:16:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <nldbf.5935$AS6.174@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123111

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1131198427.057386.111540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1131148684.958023.92830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1131077048.778424.275930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Iitoi wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> the results at that
>> >> >> site are strikingly similar to your tabulation, with 45% fully in
>> >> >> favor
>> >> >> of the NRPM (drop the test) and 55% in favor of keeping some level
>> >> >> of
>> >> >> CW testing.
>> >> >
>> >> > "Strikingly similar"?
>> >> >
>> >> > Len Anderson claims the tally is 49.33% for and 50.67% against the
>> >> > NPRM
>> >> > (either partly or completely). AH0A claims 45% for and 55% against.
>> >> > That's a pretty big difference, particularly when Len posts his
>> >> > results
>> >> > to four significant figures and claims to be
>> >> > "accurate".
>> >> >
>> >> > Whose count is correct?
>> >>
>> >> It really makes no difference because the reality is that about 66%
>> >> favor ending code for General.
>> >
>> > I say it depends on what the question is. If you're talking about the
>> > General, there's a clear majority to eliminate the code test for that
>> > license. But if you're talking about the Extra, there's a clear
>> > majority to keep the code test for *that* license.
>> >
>> > If you're talking about complete code test elimination, which the NPRM
>> > proposes, there's a clear majority *against* that.
>> >
>> >> Given an absolute majority
>> >> opinion ro end code... at least for General, then we can be
>> >> sure the FCC isn't going to retain any code at all because to
>> >> do so once again means a reinstatement of code medica

>> >> waivers.
>> >
>> > I disagree!
>> >
>> > The medical waivers came about because of the request of a
>> > now-dead King to a president who left office 13 years ago,
>> > ADA had nothing to do with it IIRC.
>>
>> But the ADA wil surely be a part of it IF FCC retains
>> any code testing going forward.
>
> How do we know that for sure, Bill?

I am reasonably certain such woud be the case.
That is my prediction, if and only if FCC
retains code testing at any level. Others such
as yourself are free to disagree.

>> >> Does anyone think otherwise?
>
>> > Yes - me.
>
>> > If medical waivers were a consideration, FCC could have
>> > immediately reinstituted them in July 2003, claiming that
>> > the only reason they didn't exist before was the treaty. But
>> > they didn't.
>
>> They could have dropped code immediately too but that
>> didn't happen either. The wheels of government change turn ever
>> so slowly.
>
> Agreed!
>
> My point is that it's not a done deal until they actually do it. And if
> there were no question, they'd have done it already.

There is, IMHO, no question...just the process which
is, as I've said, just slow government process.

> In any event, the NPRM indicates that FCC is strongly predisposed
> to just eliminate Element 1 - and make *no* other changes.

And I have seen nothing offered in any comments that
will, IMHO, change that.

>> >> > Len claims to have read and understood all the "filings" - yet he
>> >> > could
>> >> > not find the AH0A count, which is clearly mentioned in AH0A's
>> >> > filing.
>
>> >> > So - did Len *really* read and understand ALL the filings?
>

>> > Obviously not!
>
>> >> >> He classifies the valid responses on the same basis as you ("For
>> >> >> NRPM",
>> >> >> "Keep the current test", and "Extra Only"). Then he has three
>> >> >> categories of "Others" which aren't included in his tally (Dupes
>> >> >> and
>> >> >> other junk).
>
>> >> > Yet the results are different - by a considerable percentage.
>
>> >> > Why?
>
>> >> Bottom line again is "who cares?"
>
>> > Obviously Len does - just look at how he carries on about it.....
>
>> Well I surely don't care.
>
> Nor I, really. It's just an academic exercise - FCC doesn't have
> to go with majority opinion.

Agreed then.

>> >> In the scheme of
>> >> things the results as tabulated by etiher Len or Joe are a
>> >> solid base for the end of code testing.
>
>> > For General, yes. For Extra, the opposite is true.
>
>> For extra the opposite is just barely a majority.
>
> 55% is more than "just barely". Most US presidential elections are a
> lot closer
> (in the popular vote).

55% is one number, 50.x% is Len's.

>>..I wouldn't
>> hang any hopes on that meaning anything to the FCC.
>
> Nor I. But the fact is that the majority opinion does not support
> complete
> elimination of the Morse Code test.

Fair enough.

>> > But as we both know, FCC is under no mandate to
>> > follow the majority opinion.
>
>> Exactly!
>

> So why keep a scorecard at all?

For interesting dialog :-) :-)

>> >> The "let's keep it just
>> >> for Extra" group isn't even united on what speed it should
>> >> be. Most will accept (IMHO) retention of 5wpm for
>> >> Extra, but some have called for a return to the days
>> >> of yesteryear and would like 13 or 20wpm.
>> >
>> > So we compromise on 15 wpm.
>>
>> ROTFLMAO
>
> Thought you'd enjoy that!

I did indeed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 447. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 02:25:16 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <gQdbf.5414$m81.2831@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123112

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1131208901.691601.7360@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> ----- Original Message -----
>> From: "W2DNE" <info@ultradne.com>
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>> Sent: Friday, November 04, 2005 6:40 PM
>> Subject: Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235
>> >
>> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> > news:l0Saf.4240$2y.2440@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>> >>
>> >> "Iitoi" <Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:Iitoi.1xzqsb@news.radiobanter.com...
>> >>>
>> >>> Bill Sohl Wrote:
>> >>>>
>> >>>> IF (let's be hypothetical) the FCC did retain code for
>> >>>> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
>> >>>> surely be raised by someone who need only point
>> >>>> to the use of waivers previously and no court in
>> >>>> this country would rule against such a clain.
>> >>>
>> >>> The "waivers previously" were only for the 13/20 WPM tests, and
>> >>> required the applicant to have passed a 5 WPM test. TTBOMK there has
>> >>> never been any waiver of 5 WPM, thus no precedent in support of such
>> >>> a
>> >>> claim.
>> >>
>> >> The ONLY reason waivers were not extended down to 5wpm
>> >> in the past was because the FCC believed the USA could not
>> >> waive the minimal code test because of the treaty. Now
>> >> that there is no treaty requirement, the logic that lead
>> >> to waivers of 13/20 wpm can and would be extended to
>> >> a 5wpm test IF such test remains. The precident stands
>> >> based on the general application of waivers for non-treaty
>> >> established code speeds.
>
> True - up to a point.
>
> It can also be argued that the precedent is limited only to
> 'higher-speed' code tests, and not to code testing in general.
> IOW, there's a difference between a waiver of a higher level
> of skill vs. a complete waiver of the skill.

Agreed... BUT there is no longer ANY international
treaty requirement for even a basic skill which, I believe,
is exactly why we have an open (almost closed now)
NPRM addressing ending ALL code testing.
It is also why, IMHO, the FCC won't go with any
code test at all for Extra.

> As an analogy, there have been cases (not amateur radio)
> where a disabled person was given additional time to
> complete a test that, for everyone else, had a strict time limit.
>
> That person essentially got a waiver of the time limit but not
> of the test itself.
>
> Opponents of the waiver said that the time limit was an
> integral part of the test.
>
>> > Also last I checked there was no precedent for a waiver of 5
>> > word-per-min.
>>
>> The precident is for waivers of code testing of non-treaty
>> required code knowledge. It matters not if that was 20, 13
>> or now 5wpm. Conceptually the precident is there.
>
> But only for higher-speed tests, not for the basic skill itself.

And again, the basic skill was, in the past, an ITU treaty
requirement. It ain't anymore.

>> > In any case, I'd agree that invoking ADA might work --- to require
>> > possibility of test waivers for disabled, but not to disallow testing
>> > outright.
>>
>> The waivers in the past were only for disabled with a medical
>> certification statement.
>
> Which took the form of a letter from any M.D. or D.O. stating that
> it would take the person longer-than-usual to reach the skill
> level necessary to pass the 13 or 20 wpm code test. There was no
> requirement of an actual documented disability, nor that the
> disability would make it *impossible* for the person to pass the test.
> Nor did the disability have to be permanent and/or untreatable. The
> doctor didn't have to write the letter, just sign it. Nor did the
> doctor
> need any particular qualifications to make the judgement.

Agreed..and such was "my" intent regarding medical
certficiation.

> I don't know of anyone who sought a medical waiver who was
> denied if they had the required doctor's letter.

Nor would they (IMHO) in the future IF any code
test remains.

> Most of all, the waivers did not come about because of ADA.

Agreed as to the past.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 448. K1MAN & AARA/IARU To Stop BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 02:54:38 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ofebf.9751$Hj2.5666@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 123114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K1MAN BULLETIN - B051026B -

UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND
INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN)

K1MAN and his team at AARA/IARN, being America's largest amateur radio society are going to take on the big guns and challenge bpl. They, with all their vast experience and technical expertise plan to challenge the FCC and the BPL operators. This is revealed in the latest issue of K1MAN's newsletter that promises tells all that cannot be published elsewhere. He is naming names and telling it just like it really is for 45 US a year. Jesus christ, MAN, it's what, 2 pages of photocopy paper and a stamp x 12. Damn expensive dunny paper mate.

AARA President, Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, says that the "ARRL haven't done enough" and lack the skills necessary to challenge bpl and the big power companies. K1MAN believes the AARA have the people and skills to lead a fight against the BPL operators. Glenn Baxter believes he can become the savior of amateur hf communications, given his vast experience in fighting and dealing with the FCC and communications legislation. The AARA, like all other amateur societies across the globe have carried out extensive testing, research and debate on BPL since it's early days. AARA was active in filing complaints with regulatory bodies across the world, reinforcing the position taken by local amateur bodies.

K1MAN says, unlike the ARRL, the key to it all is lawyers, lawyers and more bloody lawyers. K1MAN plans to solve the problems with the FCC, both the BPL and his own by using lawyers. That's right K1MAN, when there's a problem a lawyer can fix it. "Just what the world needs son, more bloody lawyers."

Others argue that K1MAN and his love for lawyers may actually be more of a problem than a benefit. It must cost the AARA a bloody fortune for legal advice every five minutes. I wonder if K1MAN is also head of the AARA's lawyers department. I have a landline in my bedroom, in MAN Land that's called a switchboard.

As can be seen from the extensive web site and submissions on bpl to regulatory bodies, the AARA will be the body to fight bpl, and K1MAN will lead the fight. From his gaol cell that is.

K1MAN has team with Toadfish and the N9OGL Show to provide updates on BPL operations from the audio room....of the local prison. Listen for the "MANTOAD" Bulletins....

After release K1MAN plans to be the next President of the US, an astronaut, astronomer, radio broadcaster, engineer, research scientist, tv news anchor, dj, and whatever else he may wake up each day, wishing he was, on his way to the welfare office.

When I was a kid I used to pull up weeds, put them in pots and pretend to sell them. I grew up. Stop pretending to be something you aren't Glenn.

BTW, as your AARA/IARN is an amateur society I'm wondering who on your committee represents your members to the IARU and World Radio Conferences.

Perhaps the ARRL were behind your latest NAL K1MAN, you know with you been such a hugh threat to their numbers and all.

Any nong can form a company and be a director of it. Gee, I can go out today, go to a government office hand them AU$60 and get a Registered Organisation Certificate for, let's say "Australian Amateur Radio Association-or AARA" and make myself President, Director, Manager, whatever the hell I like. It does not mean that I am actually good at it or can serve any real purpose.

Seems that K1MAN is the "George" (aka 'Sienfield') of Amateur Radio. Next he'll be printing a daily newsletter on his laser printer and calling himself a metropolitan daily newspaper that's circulating across America, when in fact he means his street.

When down, visit http://www.k1man.com for a good ol laugh. It's bloody hillarous.

73
VK3HAG
Future AARA President :)

## 449. Re: I need a hug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 02:55:05 UTC
From: Stalking 101 By Roger Wiseman <anonimouse@labrats.col>
Message-ID: <dkjr66$p44$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Love it!!!!

"secwet woger" <secwet_woger@no.isp> wrote in message
news:889187017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
I admit it: I've been in an ugly mood ever since 1996, and I let it
spill over into Usenet during the intervening years.

Mark, Steve, Dave, Lloyd, Wes, Schwartz, Vietnam Dan and all the rest
of you, let's have a group hug. After that, I'll feel a lot better,
and I won't berate you motherfucking assholes any more.

K?

## 450. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 03:02:44 UTC
From: Bob_Downonit@gag.net
Message-ID: <onebf.109877$US.62583@news.ono.com>
Source record: 123115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> >
> > >>
> > >> 172.174.47.36
> > >
> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
> > >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
> > >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
> > >
> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > sorry you are wrong about that
> >
> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.

kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
the drop of a hat, LOL.

# 451. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 03:07:20 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <eqsqm1505oa7hn77da5pp9vessmos43evg@4ax.com>
Source record: 123116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 03:02:44 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:

>> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>> >
>> > >>
>> > >> 172.174.47.36
>> > >
>> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
>> > >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
>> > >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
>> > >
>> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>> > sorry you are wrong about that
>> >
>> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
>
>kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
>the drop of a hat, LOL.

gee thank you for the newsflash

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 452. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 03:21:46 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <eFebf.75423$GQ.15101@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 123117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Bob_Downonit@gag.net> wrote in message
news:onebf.109877$US.62583@news.ono.com...
>> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>> >
>> > >>
>> > >> 172.174.47.36
>> > >
>> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
>> > >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
>> > >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
>> > >
>> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>> > sorry you are wrong about that
>> >
>> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
>
> kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
> the drop of a hat, LOL.

Not only are you an idiot that types like Captain Kirk talks, you are
dyslexic. Check the call.

## 453. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 03:22:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <tntqm1h5knhc841b633cji8ho3eacdi5is@4ax.com>
Source record: 123118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 03:21:46 GMT, "U-Know-Who" <no-one@nowhere.com>
wrote:

>
><Bob_Downonit@gag.net> wrote in message
>news:onebf.109877$US.62583@news.ono.com...
>>> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>>> >
>>> > >>
>>> > >> 172.174.47.36
>>> > >
>>> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
>>> > >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
>>> > >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
>>> > >
>>> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!
>>> >
>>> > sorry you are wrong about that
>>> >
>>> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
>>
>> kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
>> the drop of a hat, LOL.
>
>Not only are you an idiot that types like Captain Kirk talks, you are
>dyslexic. Check the call.
>

right Tom Tom Riddle is it?

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 454. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole madder and madder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 06:20:13 UTC
From: Bob_Downonit@gag.net
Message-ID: <xghbf.109880$US.103796@news.ono.com>
Source record: 123119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

```
>
> <Bob_Downonit@gag.net> wrote in message
> news:onebf.109877$US.62583@news.ono.com...
> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >>
> >> > >> 172.174.47.36
> >> > >
> >> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
> >> > >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
> >> > >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
> >> > >
> >> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!
> >> >
> >> > sorry you are wrong about that
> >> >
> >> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
> >
> > kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
> > the drop of a hat, LOL.
>
> Not only are you an idiot that types like Captain Kirk talks, you are
> dyslexic. Check the call.
>
>
Thanks....so its rqz and not -- qrz.....my...mistake -- but -- the fact -- remains
that...mopeholle is an anger junkie who -- has partnered with twisted
and -- ruined rec.radio.cb....OK scotty, beam....me...up!
```

## 455. Stevie is losing it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 08:51:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6ogrm1lf231q1p7iii7dspg8a26a7pqqst@4ax.com>
Source record: 123120

On 5 Nov 2005 15:19:46 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> well been digging the archives on Stevie
>> located some 105 posst of his misdeeds dumping a lot of the repitivie
>> stuff
cut

>> feel free to resume threatening me now stevie
>
> No threats, Markie...Just a promise to fully deprive you of
>any/all resources and assets the courts may allow for your culpable
>actions.

you can try but I am willing the take the "risk" after all tif the
Board is willing to uphold their own rules your words are all I need
to send them

and no way you are making a malice case out quoting you to the nursing
board
>
> BTW...Since this "action" is taking place in Tennessee, you are
>going to have to travel to Tennessee. Do you intend to retain local
>counsel? Or shall we just serve you personally and then have you held
>IN Tennessee as a flight risk?

re read the above

you are really losing it Stevie

a flight risk on a civil action ?

you are out of your mind

if by some strech of the imagine nation you are talking about a
criminal complaint then you over look extradiction and other legal
niceities

you are just plain stupid Stevie

>
> See ya!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 456. Re: Lloyd's sad that Mr. Sulu the cock gobbler (like Davies) already has a boyfriend!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 10:34:34 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <LibsSuck@Libz.col>
Message-ID: <dkkm3o$c4j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Poor Saggytits Davies, he fucks up and still tries to backpedal out of
it. That is so you, fatass.

>

One must feel sorry for your poor, tortured soul, Woger.

You are a pitiful example of a fifty year old man with no direction in life.

May God have mercy on you.

# 457. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 11:42:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051106064229.173$DB@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> > >
> > > >>
> > > >> 172.174.47.36
> > > >
> > > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
> > > >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
> > > >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
> > > >
> > > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > sorry you are wrong about that
> > >
> > Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
>
> kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
> the drop of a hat, LOL.
>
You slurp any faggot or criminal that comes along, shitstain. You must
really enjoy this one, since he is both.

# 458. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 11:43:37 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051106064337.653$mW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 03:02:44 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>
> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >>
> >> > >> 172.174.47.36
> >> > >
> >> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
> >> > >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
> >> > >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
> >> > >
> >> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!
> >> >
> >> > sorry you are wrong about that
> >> >
> >> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
> >
> >kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
> >the drop of a hat, LOL.
>
> gee thank you for the newsflash
>
Call the FBI on me too, markie the moron.

## 459. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole madder and madder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 11:51:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051106065120.119$NT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.swap

Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> >
> > <Bob_Downonit@gag.net> wrote in message
> > news:onebf.109877$US.62583@news.ono.com...
> > >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > >> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> > >> >
> > >> > >>
> > >> > >> 172.174.47.36
> > >> > >
> > >> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my
> > >> > >isp....the other is desperate to come to my home and take
> > >> > >digipics of me.... ...all because I tell the truth - that Leland
> > >> > >is innocent.
> > >> > >
> > >> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!
> > >> >
> > >> > sorry you are wrong about that
> > >> >
> > >> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
> > >
> > > kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
> > > the drop of a hat, LOL.
> >
> > Not only are you an idiot that types like Captain Kirk talks, you are
> > dyslexic. Check the call.
> >
> >
> Thanks....so its rqz and not -- qrz.....my...mistake -- but -- the fact
> -- remains that...mopeholle is an anger junkie who -- has partnered with
> twisted and -- ruined rec.radio.cb....OK scotty, beam....me...up!
>
Nice try aol-tard. This is your typical contribution to rrcb.

http://tinyurl.com/dn5n9

You're upset that I ran your felon hero n8wwm off.

# 460. Re: Great Liberty Net 10:00 speaks on FRANCE !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 12:22:30 UTC
From: Bob Downonit <Down@gag.net>
Message-ID: <2005110607223013512%Down@gagnet>
Source record: 123125
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 2005-11-05 20:22:47 -0500, "Rev. Cleetus Hogjowles"
<yosementesamhf@buzzblogs.us> said:

> Yes folks! be sure to toon into the Great Liberty
> Net this evening as the topic of discussion will be
> the destruction of France by youthfull Islamic immigrants.
>
> Tonight's installment should be even better that The Hal Turner Show.....
>
> BE THERE 10:00 PM Est 3.950 mHz LSB (+/- 10.0 KC)
>

No one cares.

--
Chris: "Dad, what's a blowhole for?"
Peter: "I'll tell you what it's NOT for and then you'll know why I can
never go back to Sea World."

# 461. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 14:17:31 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <034sm1tg751v4aajm1rh1d235o33vmf0q7@4ax.com>
Source record: 123126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 06 Nov 2005 11:42:29 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >>
>> > > >> 172.174.47.36
>> > > > >
>> > > > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
>> > > > >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
>> > > > >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
>> > > > >
>> > > > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > >
>> > > sorry you are wrong about that
>> > >
>> > Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
>>
>> kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
>> the drop of a hat, LOL.
>>
>You slurp any faggot or criminal that comes along, shitstain. You must
>really enjoy this one, since he is both.

WHo is this guy, and why did he pick a fight with you, and keeps crossposting?

Vinnie S.

# 462. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 14:33:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051106093342.667$Z9@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 06 Nov 2005 11:42:29 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> >> > >
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> 172.174.47.36
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my
> >> > > > >isp....the other is desperate to come to my home and take
> >> > > > >digipics of me.... ...all because I tell the truth - that Leland
> >> > > > >is innocent.
> >> > > > >
> >> > > > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!
> >> > > >
> >> > > sorry you are wrong about that
> >> > >
> >> > Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
> >>
> >> kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
> >> the drop of a hat, LOL.
> >>
> >You slurp any faggot or criminal that comes along, shitstain. You must
> >really enjoy this one, since he is both.
>
> WHo is this guy,
>
Fredrick Garvin, Tom Randy, Bergans Sister and who knows what else?
>
> and why did he pick a fight with you, and keeps
> crossposting?
>
Troll value of course. Reckon why he's been posting this sort of shit for
years?

http://tinyurl.com/dn5n9
```

# 463. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 14:36:52 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <q65sm1ti9vf7vsjjba31jbc2d8brk9jsp2@4ax.com>
Source record: 123128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 06 Nov 2005 14:33:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

the snot out of you, sissy.
>> >>
>> >> kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
>> >> the drop of a hat, LOL.
>> >>
>> >You slurp any faggot or criminal that comes along, shitstain. You must
>> >really enjoy this one, since he is both.
>>
>> WHo is this guy,
>>
>Fredrick Garvin, Tom Randy, Bergans Sister and who knows what else?
>>
>> and why did he pick a fight with you, and keeps
>> crossposting?
>>
>Troll value of course. Reckon why he's been posting this sort of shit for
>years?
>
>http://tinyurl.com/dn5n9

Another one for the fillfiles. I will tell you this, the KF was working pretty
well. This NG is readable.

Vinnie S.

# 464. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 14:43:42 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051106094342.091$uk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Vinnie S. <none@coldmail.com> wrote:
> On 06 Nov 2005 14:33:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> the snot out of you, sissy.
> >> >>
> >> >> kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
> >> >> the drop of a hat, LOL.
> >> >>
> >> >You slurp any faggot or criminal that comes along, shitstain. You
> >> >must really enjoy this one, since he is both.
> >>
> >> WHo is this guy,
> >>
> >Fredrick Garvin, Tom Randy, Bergans Sister and who knows what else?
> >>
> >> and why did he pick a fight with you, and keeps
> >> crossposting?
> >>
> >Troll value of course. Reckon why he's been posting this sort of shit
> >for years?
> >
> >http://tinyurl.com/dn5n9
>
> Another one for the fillfiles. I will tell you this, the KF was working
> pretty well. This NG is readable.
>
> Vinnie S.
>
Yep. He also posts through road runner with 24.161.95.233 as his ip.

# 465. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 14:48:48 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <1t5sm11v56l30n8gisd9o14fcpqk368btd@4ax.com>
Source record: 123130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 06 Nov 2005 14:43:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>> >http://tinyurl.com/dn5n9
>>
>> Another one for the fillfiles. I will tell you this, the KF was working
>> pretty well. This NG is readable.
>>
>> Vinnie S.
>>
>Yep. He also posts through road runner with 24.161.95.233 as his ip.

What is up with all the hams crossposting? BTW, I purchased a Hustler 5-BTV and
will be on 80 meters soon.

Vinnie S.

## 466. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 14:55:54 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <_Pobf.4907$2y.3846@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123131
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:51lnm1pddpiph0ei9081g8ouhajt17721u@4ax.com...
>
> Polymouth wrote:
>
>>>Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
>>>they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
>>>else has this privilege.
>
> [ ] In the UK, no repeat no licence of any kind is necessary to
> specify, design, construct, modify, repair, own, or (under some
> circumstances) test an Amateur transmitter.

That is essentially true also for the US. The amateur license is
ONLY needed for "on-the-air" operation of a transmitter.
In contrast, anyone can construct and operate a reciever.

> [ ] A pass in a current examination for a UK Amateur Licence qualifies
> the successful candidate for the issue of a UK Licence. Holders of the
> appropriate levels of licence are permitted to operate transmitting
> equipment that is not subject to a formal approvals procedure, and to
> carry out technical investigations. A qualification for a Licence, or
> the Licence itself, is not, repeat not, a qualification to specify,
> design, construct, modify, repair, or own transmitting equipment.

I think that can be generally said as true also for the US.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 467. Re: Lloyd's sad that Mr. Sulu the cock gobbler (like Davies) already has a boyfriend!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 15:03:46 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131289425.948675.81430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123132
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Intelligent Lloyd wrote:
> Poor Saggytits Davies, he fucks up and still tries to backpedal out of
> it. That is so you, fatass.
>
> >
>
> One must feel sorry

You should feel sorry for yourself, since you are 32 years old and have no interest in getting laid, (which proves you are queer) but would rather whine on the fucking internet and play pretetend you are a "time lord", fatass!

## 468. Re: I need a hug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 15:06:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131289566.915653.216830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

not so secwet lisping cocksucker lloyd wrote:
> I admit it:

You really should admit that you are a 32 year old virgin because you are a queer, fatty.

Oh, and tell us all about how you plagiarize pictures and try to pass them off as your non-existent girlfriends, Lardass!

## 469. Re: I need a hug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 15:07:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131289639.002788.51880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Whinyng and sniveling 101 By LLoyd Davies wrote:
> Cocksucking I Love it!!!!

Answering yourself, Lardass? Another lonely Saturday night drunk and
alone as per usual? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!

## 470. Why Lardass plagiarizes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 15:09:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131289774.674157.100770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123135
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Whining and sniveling 101 By Lloyd Davies wrote:
> Now we know

Now we know you have a homosexual attraction for Roger, Lardass! But
then you are the one who posts shemale pictures and spams when you get
your ass handed to you.

## 471. Re: blast from the past...(etc etc) WHERE'S the Hipocracy, Markie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 15:10:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131289850.801915.63620@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123136

STFU, child abuser.

## 472. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie in sane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 15:15:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131290130.435179.121090@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123137

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 5 Nov 2005 11:58:47 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 5 Nov 2005 03:08:42 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 3 Nov 2005 02:36:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "Abortion is ilegal"
> >> >>
> >> >> wether or not abortion should be ilegal is another matter but for the
> >> >> presenet tabortion is legal you an LPN saying otherwise on a medical
> >> >> matter is likely of interest to the nursing board
> >> >
> >> > Where are you pulling THIS gem from?
> >>
> >> Google provide
> >>
> >>Too bad it doesn't provide you with instruction on making complete
> >>sentences.
> >>
> >> >
> >> > And regardless of the legality of abortions, the BoN could care
> >> >less unless you can prove that I have been CONDUCTING them...
> >>
> >> not the issue
> >>
> >>No, you are running away from the real issue, Marky.

You don't have a brain in your head do you, Marky?

> nope

The confirmation.

> the real issue is that Stevie has LIED about the legality of a medical
> procedure

The real issue is that you are a fucking imbecile.

> I am not accusing him of conducting back alley abortion

Too bad your mommy didn't back alley abort you, Marky? Or did she? You
seem to suffer the effects of having a rusted wire hanger embedded in
your malformed skull.

> you need to learn to read

You need to learn how to post, retard.

>
> >
> >>
> >> the issue is Stvie is LYING about the law, indeed about the law in
> >> medical matters
> >
> >No, stupid. You are wrong as usual.
>
> are you realyStevie under a peusonym?

<snicker> Oh, yeah, Marky. That's who I am, all right. DUMBASS!

> >
> >>
> >> >
> >> > Try again, Markie.
> >> >
> >> > And while you're doing that, I am going to try and find what
> >> >grammar schools you went to and sue them for fraud...For taking the tax
> >> >monies they were given for your English education and squandering them.
> >>
> >> more empty threats Stevie
> >
> >
> >Sort of like the one where you talked to a female FBI agent, Marky?
>
> nope

So you admit you lied about the whole thing.

## 473. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie insane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 15:40:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131291649.428340.17780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123138

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 5 Nov 2005 11:58:47 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 5 Nov 2005 03:08:42 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> On 3 Nov 2005 02:36:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> "Abortion is ilegal"
> >> >>
> >> >> wether or not abortion should be ilegal is another matter but for the
> >> >> presenet tabortion is legal you an LPN saying otherwise on a medical
> >> >> matter is likely of interest to the nursing board
> >> >
> >> > Where are you pulling THIS gem from?
> >>
> >> Google provide

No, Google does NOT provide.

And Markie...it's "illegal".

> >Too bad it doesn't provide you with instruction on making complete
> >sentences.

Who could tell him anyway?

Does Crayola make that many crayons?

> >> > And regardless of the legality of abortions, the BoN could care
> >> >less unless you can prove that I have been CONDUCTING them...
> >>
> >> not the issue
> >
> >No, you are running away from the real issue, Marky.
>
> nope

Yep.

> the real issue is that Stevie has LIED about the legality of a medical
> procedure

No I haven't.

> I am not accusing him of conducting back alley abortion
>
> you need to learn to read

Ahem...Pot/Kettle/Black

> >> the issue is Stvie is LYING about the law, indeed about the law in
> >> medical matters
> >
> >No, stupid. You are wrong as usual.
>
> are you realy Stevie under a peusonym?

"Are" "really" "pseudonym"

> >> > Try again, Markie.
> >> >
> >> > And while you're doing that, I am going to try and find what
> >> >grammar schools you went to and sue them for fraud...For taking the tax
> >> >monies they were given for your English education and squandering them.
> >>
> >> more empty threats Stevie
> >
> >
> >Sort of like the one where you talked to a female FBI agent, Marky?
>
> nope

Yep.

Steve, K4YZ

## 474. Re: blast from the past...(etc etc) WHERE'S the Hipocracy, Markie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 15:43:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131291794.943315.170670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123139

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > I'm not quote sure from the illiterate jibberish in Markie's
> > subject line, but I am sure he was refering to this...
> >
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > Steve Robeson K4YZ Dec 14 2001, 8:34 am show options
> > >
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
> > > Date: 14 Dec 2001 13:34:29 GMT
> > > Local: Fri, Dec 14 2001 8:34 am
> > > Subject: It's Time To Demand A Re-Vamp of the FCC
> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > > | Report Abuse
> >
> > SNIP to pertinent quote
> >
> > > I was always of the opinion and understanding that once time was
> > > served,
> > > one's "debt to society" was paid and they were reassimilated into that
> > > society.
> > > We are obviously NOT that society if we continue to punish people
> > > AFTER their
> > > time is served.
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > Two points, Markie...First of all the post you cite is about the
> > FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ignoring it's prohibition of subjecting a citizen to
> > double jeopardy...Not "discussion points" in a USENET forum.
> >
> > Secondly, Frankie's courts martials are part-and-parcel of these
> > discussions because >>HE<< brought them into it...Had he not made them
> > public, I wouldn't have had a clue...
> >
> > So..Where's the hipocracy? He brought it up, not me.
>
> you are the hypocrit you claim people have paid their dues after they
> serve but you don't apply the statndard you claim to subcribe to

I reiterate the previous paragraph, Markie...

> so you are a hypocrite
>

> plain and simple

Nope. The issue of Frankie's courts martials are a matter of HIS introduction into this forum. Not yours, not mine, not anyone elses...HIS.

Try again.

Steve, K4YZ

## 475. Pack Some Personal Items On Your Way To Tennessee, Markie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 15:58:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131292685.973958.171450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123140

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 5 Nov 2005 15:19:46 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> well been digging the archives on Stevie
> >> located some 105 posst of his misdeeds dumping a lot of the repitivie
> >> stuff
> cut
>
> >> feel free to resume threatening me now stevie
> >
> > No threats, Markie...Just a promise to fully deprive you of
> >any/all resources and assets the courts may allow for your culpable
> >actions.
>
> you can try but I am willing the take the "risk" after all tif the
> Board is willing to uphold their own rules your words are all I need
> to send them

And I said have at it, Markie.

The Board is obligated to review all complaints it receives. If
you really ARE stupid enough to file an official complaint, they WILL
review it.

And when they do, whatever you sent them becomes a matter of
public record.

Then whey THEY are done with it, my attorneys get to play with it.

> and no way you are making a malice case out quoting you to the nursing
> board

Easy pickings, Markie.

Your "complaint" will be dispositioned as a retaliatory action on
your part.

And when they have closed it, my attorneys get the package. Then
you get to drive to Tennessee for the civil case that will follow. Of
course you can just sit up in the UP thinking that nothing will happen
to you, but hey, it's your reality!

> > BTW...Since this "action" is taking place in Tennessee, you are
> >going to have to travel to Tennessee. Do you intend to retain local
> >counsel? Or shall we just serve you personally and then have you held
> >IN Tennessee as a flight risk?
>

> re read the above

YOU "re-read the above"

YOU will have to come to Tennessee to testify. While you're here,
I'll ahve you served with the civil action. The flight risk issue will
be a certainty.

Gotcha!

> you are really losing it Stevie
>
> a flight risk on a civil action ?

Nope. The civil action is just what >>I<< will be persuing for
damages. Remember what I told you DAYS ago about what happens to
people who file malicious retaliatory complaints? THAT is criminal.

> you are out of your mind

Nope.

> if by some strech of the imagine nation you are talking about a
> criminal complaint then you over look extradiction and other legal
> niceities

Not if you're already here to testify on the original
"complaint"...And Markie, I DO have the right to face you in a
hearing..You file a complaint in Tennessee, and Tennessee is where
you're going to have to go to testify. You don't show, the complaint
is dismissed and I STILL get to file a civil complaint on the malicious
complaint charge!

And once you're here on the original complaint the courts can order
you to remain here. Otherwise you're in contempt of court. Those
follow you across state lines too.

> you are just plain stupid Stevie

Keep telling yourself that, Markie...Keep hoping....Keep asking
yourself, "...is this really worth it?"

Steve, K4YZ

# 476. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 16:03:03 UTC
From: Bob_Downonit@gag.net
Message-ID: <XOpbf.110051$US.7226@news.ono.com>
Source record: 123141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> On 06 Nov 2005 14:43:42 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >> >http://tinyurl.com/dn5n9
> >>
> >> Another one for the fillfiles. I will tell you this, the KF was working
> >> pretty well. This NG is readable.
> >>
> >> Vinnie S.
> >>
>Yep. He also posts through road runner with 24.161.95.233 as his ip.

what are you the IP trackmaster or something -- what's up with your
obsesson with IPs?

## 477. Why illegal CB'ers harass KC8LDO in ng's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 16:10:07 UTC
From: Bob_Downonit@gag.net
Message-ID: <zVpbf.110052$US.79592@news.ono.com>
Source record: 123142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

- he's law abiding, they are not

- he has a license, they do not

- his identity is open, they must hide

## 478. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 16:11:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131293497.658365.121350@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123143

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > Steve Robeson
> > > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
> > >
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> > > Date: 2000/05/30
> > > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > > | Report Abuse
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
> > > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
> > >
> > > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
> > > inadequate
> > > for you.<
> > >
> > > Oooooooohhhhhh!
> > >
> > > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
> > >
> > > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
> > > placed that
> > > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
> > > and
> > > callsigns.
> > >
> > > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
> > >
> > > Steve
> > >
> > > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
> > > to fight
> > > in wartime.....(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)
> >
> > Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?
>

> so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion
>
> like you said Google provide

Yep, he even used "professional" to describe that he wasn't just some yahoo, but a medical professional.

## 479. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 16:20:26 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <hqasm110vl3bk7cicjsbe87rb9k03g1af4@4ax.com>
Source record: 123144
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

Bill Sohl wrote:

>
>"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote
>
>> Polymouth wrote:
>>
>>>>Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
>>>>they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
>>>>else has this privilege.
>>
>> [ ] In the UK, no repeat no licence of any kind is necessary to
>> specify, design, construct, modify, repair, own, or (under some
>> circumstances) test an Amateur transmitter.
>
>That is essentially true also for the US. The amateur license is
>ONLY needed for "on-the-air" operation of a transmitter.
>In contrast, anyone can construct and operate a reciever.

Yes, it's a reasonably common theme that seems to have been totally missed by this chap. It makes one wonder if he has a full grasp of his Licence conditions

>> [ ] A pass in a current examination for a UK Amateur Licence qualifies
>> the successful candidate for the issue of a UK Licence. Holders of the
>> appropriate levels of licence are permitted to operate transmitting
>> equipment that is not subject to a formal approvals procedure, and to
>> carry out technical investigations. A qualification for a Licence, or
>> the Licence itself, is not, repeat not, a qualification to specify,
>> design, construct, modify, repair, or own transmitting equipment.
>
>I think that can be generally said as true also for the US.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

The other thing to be aware of is that this chap, in this sock-puppet and his innumerable previous ones, has alternately decried e.g contest operating as being the act of a "CBer", and then swung completely about and used it as a justification for the description of a 'radio ham'. I've lost count now of how many times this circle has been gone round. It must make anyone who is keen on becoming a 'radio ham' very confused, as the fundamental basis on which it is built changes so often!

from
Aero Spike

## 480. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 16:31:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131294684.495188.7110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123145

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
> > >
> > > Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
> > > Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
> > > thought folks might enjoy the laugh
> > >
> > > From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
> > > Date: 1998/09/28
> > > Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > > | Report Abuse
> >
> > Yikes!
> >
> > > Well,
> > >
> > > I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
> > > forward,
> > > no-holds barred personal I ever read!
> > >
> > > SR
> >
> > Thought Steve was married and all that?
>
> so did I as I said I was looking the google archives searching the best
> evidence to use against him and that post just jumped out at me

Mark, where is that post where he described what marriage is like? You
responded, then he said something about being a comedian.

## 481. Re: Free Ride, was Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 16:46:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131295567.237805.101430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123146

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 4 Nov 2005 17:16
>
>
> >Dee Flint wrote:
> >
> >> I would disagree with this approach. Here's why.
> >
> >> Let me start by saying that I believe all amateurs should have a basic
> >> ability in Morse code as it is one of the basics of amateur radio.
> >
> >Why is it that you only want volunteers to take up the burden of
> >learning morse code on "thier" free time and equip morse code radio
> >stations at their own expense?
>
> ...just because...

There's got to be some deeper motive.

> >Why wouldn't you want paid, professional radio operators and radio
> >users to know Morse Code? Especially paid emergency communicators and
> >emergency responders.
> >
> >If the government needed or wanted morse code radio operators, they
> >should create a GS5-7-9-11 series and get them.
>
> Dee must imagine that ONLY hams are First Responders to
> any emergency/crisis/disaster.

If so then Dee needs to back off and let first responders respond
first.

> >But the PCTA are more than willing to donate other people's free time
> >and money in order to provide the government with something it doesn't
> >want and doesn't want to pay for, just so you'll have someone to QSL
> >with on the low end of the bands.
>
> PCTA think they are spay-shul (as the church lady said) and
> NEED that code test to "prove" they are "better."

They are special. But when all become special, none are.

> They are Mighty Macho Motivated Morsemen. Hear them roar...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

They were born 100 years too late.

# 482. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 16:57:57 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97067A004ACelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 123148

"Usenet Central" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in
news:KKW6f.19071$QE1.9591@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net:

> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns96F8CFA83849Felektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>
>: Any way you look at it though, more than half the comments are in
>: favour of the NPRM and less than a third against,
>
> Not in fact is it ANY WAY (every way) you look at it. If Lenards
> tally is accurate, your issue seems almost exactly an even race since
> the official Federal Register publication of the NRPM with 50.41% in
> favor or dropping Morse and 49.59% in sympathy to retain at least some
> Morse examination. Based on your presidents election experience you
> should exam for perhaps hanging chads in some preceints in Florida.
>
> BGO
>
>
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire
>
>
>
>

It's only an even race if you count those who want to retain a code test
for Extra only as being in the anti camp. I guarantee that the FCC will be
able to think of no reason to retain it only for Extras. In your dreams,
maybe.

# 483. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 16:58:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131296326.930256.216850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 4 Nov 2005 17:16
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs 3 Nov 2005 15:57
> >> >Steveo wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >
> >> >> > > http://tinyurl.com/8apbr
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Hmmm? "A lifelong interest..."
> >> >> >
> >> >> > That's enough to get the boys in the hood all nasty with you.
> >> >> >
> >> >> The boyz in the hood?
> >> >>
> >> >> <insert favorite two live crew toon here> ha
> >> >
> >> >You see, Len has had a lifelong interest in radio. But in rrap, if
> >> >you've had a lifelong interest in radio and don't have a ham license,
> >> >it gets these guys all upset.
> >>
> >> Plus, we MUST be "accurate!" [don't forget that]
> >>
> >> In the spirit of sustaining "accuracy," I have NOT had a
> >> "LIFELONG interest in radio." I had a moderate interest
> >> in it (all of electronics really) until my Army
> >> assignment posted me to a major Army radio communications
> >> facility in early February 1953. I was then 20, rather
> >> out of "teen-age" years.
> >
> >Ooops! My bad. This is the part where Tennessee Nurse Steven J
> >Robeson, LPN, jumps in and calls me a lying, deceitful coward.
>
> He WILL! ...about anything at any rate... :-)

Anything at all.

> >> Bingo, BIG TIME INTEREST from
> >> then on! So big I was on the road to changing my career
> >> goals when I got out of the Army in 1956. See:
> >
> >> http://kauko.hallikainen.org/history/equipment/stations/

> >> My3Years.pdf
> >
> >Ah! I knew it was something like that.
>
> My bad, maybe...so few of the regulars in here have done
> anything like that. Gets them all upset, like. Mebbe
> they think that amateur radio does NOT exist on HF?

One of them never served, but speaks of "real military service."
Another talks about the service that amateur radio gave during WWII,
but as I read the history, Amateur Radio was shut down during WWII. I
tend not to listen to such experts.

> >> Made a "big mistake" (according to a mighty macho
> >> motivated morseman in here) in getting a professional
> >> radio license in '56, not an amateur one. Tsk, tsk.
> >> Worse yet, I got a JOB in radio that year to do REAL
> >> WORK with that license! [horrors, how un-ham-like!]
> >
> >Len, how could you?
>
> It was in a fit of clarity and logical thought. That, and
> wanting to do something productive instead of living in a
> fantasyland of wondrous big-time bragging about morse.

Seems logical.

> >> Never used morse code, never had to in the Army. Never
> >> used morse code, or had to, in my career since...which
> >> included "working" a station ON the moon. [real DX]
> >>
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
> >No morese code?
>
> Nope, none. Not even morris code, not morris the cat, not
> even with threats of the whouff-hong and the rettysnitch.
>
> Wonder if Dudly the Imposter has logged onto Setiathome
> yet? TRUE radio astronomy going on there. Seti has already
> found dozens of repetitive signal sources all over the sky,
> have that available at http://setiathome.berkeley.edu.
> Right now I'm on my 422nd data unit, running it in the
> background. Each one takes about 11 hours on a 2 GHz clock
> computer for the "classic" (older) version of supplied
> software. Each data unit represents only 104 seconds of
> time at any particular point in the sky. I'm also running
> Seti in the background using BOINC, the Berkeley Open
> Infrastructure software (also free) and am on the 2nd data
> unit with that.

Cool.

> With the classic version, participants can get a nice little
> certificate (suitable for framing) after completing 100, 250,
> or 1000 hours of CPU time. Just the ticket for self-propelled
> self-defined expert braggarts to show their "expertise" in
> radio astronomy. :-)

I'm sure that after reading about the certificate, Steve will be
logging onto that (awful) West Coast site, post haste.

> In a way, I'm glad Jimmie isn't involved in Seti. If an ET
> was ever found, Jimmie would try to argue his case for
> morse code with them, piss off ET, and Earth would likely face
> Annihilation! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Jim would send and send and send. Never understanding that Morse Code
is NOT a universal language.

## 484. Re: Why illegal CB'ers harass KC8LDO in ng's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:05:10 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0amdneUdOJNdqvPeRVn-vw@comcast.com>
Source record: 123149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Troll, troll, troll your boat.....

STFU already. Get a life!

(I am neither a friend or an enemy of these parties)

## 485. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:18:51 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dkldtm$72s$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123000
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:hqasm110vl3bk7cicjsbe87rb9k03g1af4@4ax.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >
> >"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote
> >
> >> Polymouth wrote:
> >>
> >>>>Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> >>>>they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> >>>>else has this privilege.
> >>
> >> [ ] In the UK, no repeat no licence of any kind is necessary to
> >> specify, design, construct, modify, repair, own, or (under some
> >> circumstances) test an Amateur transmitter.
> >
> >That is essentially true also for the US. The amateur license is
> >ONLY needed for "on-the-air" operation of a transmitter.
> >In contrast, anyone can construct and operate a reciever.
>
> Yes, it's a reasonably common theme that seems to have been totally
> missed by this chap. It makes one wonder if he has a full grasp of his
> Licence conditions
>
> >> [ ] A pass in a current examination for a UK Amateur Licence qualifies
> >> the successful candidate for the issue of a UK Licence. Holders of the
> >> appropriate levels of licence are permitted to operate transmitting
> >> equipment that is not subject to a formal approvals procedure, and to
> >> carry out technical investigations. A qualification for a Licence, or
> >> the Licence itself, is not, repeat not, a qualification to specify,
> >> design, construct, modify, repair, or own transmitting equipment.
> >
> >I think that can be generally said as true also for the US.
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Bill K2UNK
>
> The other thing to be aware of is that this chap, in this sock-puppet
> and his innumerable previous ones, has alternately decried e.g contest
> operating as being the act of a "CBer", and then swung completely
> about and used it as a justification for the description of a 'radio

> ham'. I've lost count now of how many times this circle has been gone
> round. It must make anyone who is keen on becoming a 'radio ham' very
> confused, as the fundamental basis on which it is built changes so
> often!
>
> from
> Aero Spike

I wonder where the law stands as far as CB radio's go. Are CB's allowed to be repaired by the average CB'er who has the knowledge or are they only repairable by a qualified technician of some kind. I ask along the lines of the UK but would find the answer for America just as interesting.

Regards,
Graham

# 486. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:19:16 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97067D97BA80Felektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 123001

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote in
news:1130232606.212998.273390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
>> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>> >> Mike Coslo <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in
>> >> > kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> >> >>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> >>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
>> >> >>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >>>>>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>> >> >> no effort to squech it has been made.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk...LOTS of "squelching" has been ATTEMPTED. :-)
>
> By you and Mark..
>
>> Case in point: All these allegations of "honesty" and FALSE
>> charges of an inordinate number of "mistakes."
>
> By whom?
>
>> Nice MISDIRECTION away from the NPRM. :-)
>
> The thread is about your "scorecard", Len. Asking how the
> numbers are derived, what rules are used in the derivation,
> and who checks your work are right on-subject.
>
> For example, if someone filed 1 comment and 3 reply comments
> on the NPRM, did you count them as 1 or 4 or something else?
>
>> >> >> he has the right to ignore me if
>> >> >> he likes, as do you of course, and I have
>> >> >> the right to tell to shut
>> >> >> up and stoping acting like High Inqusitor
>> >> >> or impling that Len is doing something imporper in reading and
>> >> >> counting as he sees fit
>>
>> Mark, simply Being Here and Being NOT for morse code
>> is "improper" and "incorrect!" :-)
>>

>> >> >> How many hunderd question does Jim need to
>> >> >> ask before he reconizes that Len is not required to answer him
>>
>> Doesn't matter. Jimmie Noserve is a Radio god
>
> "Radio god"?
>
> Looks like an extreme exaggeration. How do we know you
> don't exaggerate other things?
>
>> in here and can
>> act/do/write whatever he wants to and that WILL be "correct"
>> (because that is his opinion and anything against it
>> is "wrong").
>>
>> Disagreement with him is "wrong," thus "validating" his false
>> charges of "mistakes." :-)
>
> Good description of your behavior here, Len.
>
> Your mistakes are well documented. Such as the legality of
> amateur operation by hams with expired-but-in-the-grace-period
> licenses.
>
>> >> On the contrary, I like Jim because he is rational and polite.
>> >
>> >Thanks, Alun!
>>
>> Jim is always right.
>
> Gosh, Len, so you *can* call me by my name!
>
>> He must be because he says so...those
>> against his opinions are "wrong." :-)
>>
>> When it comes to one-sided opinions in favor of code testing, he
>> gets upset and irrational. <shrug>
>
>> >> He did raise a valid point about citizenship, i.e. it is
>> >> irrelevant, but I raised this point myself with Len before and
>> >> didn't get an answer.
>>
>> I thought I answered that. :-)
>>
>> I'll have to get busy and find out every other government
>> administrations' Elections so that I can go vote on those
>> issues...if I don't need to be a citizen there. :-)
>>
>> Last I saw, United States citizens voted on, expressed
>> opinions on United States laws, regulations, rules.

>> As far as
>> I've seen so far, Title 47 C.F.R. applies ONLY to United
>> States
>> citizens on United States soil.
>
> US citizenship is not a requirement for getting an FCC
> amateur radio license. Passing the required tests *is* a
> requirement.
>
> A comment to FCC is not a vote. Citizenship is not required
> to comment. Neither is there an age requirement to comment.
>
> Of course Len has admitted that he has had problems integrating young
> people into what he considers 'adult' activities....
>
>> >FCC doesn't exclude noncitizens, so why should a count of the
>> >comments exclude them? A noncitizen can get an FCC license, but
>> >has to take the same tests as a citizen, so why shouldn't their
>> >comments be counted?
>>
>> By INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT, the United States allows non- citizens
>> to be granted United States radio operator licenses.
>
> That's right.
>
>> Do NON-citizens vote on United States laws, regulations, rules?
>
> A comment is not a vote. An NPRM is not an election. FCC doesn't have
> to act on what the majority of comments want.
>
>> Doing a voluntary examination of an on-going WT Docket and
>> reporting what I found is hardly "telling the FCC or anyone in
>> here" "what to do."
>
> Who said it was?
>
> The issue is the accuracy of your 'scorecard'.
>
>> Tsk, tsk. Since this is a private
>
> It's not private at all. You blab it all over a public forum,
> so it's fair game for comment and question by others.
>
> That's what free speech in a public forum is all about, Len.
> If you make statements here, others have the right to comment
> on them and question their validity.
>
> Is your 'scorecard' a collection of alleged facts, or is it
> just your opinion?
>

>> all-voluntary "score card," the one doing the READING of ALL
>> filings is allowed to set the conditions. :-)
>
> Of course.
>
>> Those
>> conditions have been FULLY EXPLAINED in each "score card"
>> I've posted...since the end of July, 2005.
>
> No, they haven't. For example:
>
> If someone posts multiple comments that are not identical,
> are they counted as one comment or more than one?
>
> If a group or club posts a comment with multiple signatures,
> does that count as one comment or more than one?
>
> How is it decided what constitutes a "valid address"?
>
>> Why is there some kind
>> of "dispute" NOW rather than in the past two months?
>
> Is there a time limit on questions?
>
>> As far as the "Indeterminate" column of my scoring, the notes
>> explain what was done.
>
> Not completely.
>
>> At issue in WT Docket 05-235 is just
>> whether or not NPRM 05-143 should be made into an R&O or not.
>> NPRM 05-143 is NOT some comprehensive all-inclusive Remake
>> of Part 97 as in the "Restructuring" of '98-'99. It is just
>> about morse code testing, whether it should stay or go...in
>> USA amateur radio regulations.
>
> The NPRM does not state that comments must be about Morse Code testing
> and nothing else.
>
> Back in 1999 you posted reply comments recommending an age requirement
> for all classes of amateur license, even though
> that NPRM never mentioned anything about an age requirement.
>
> Should your reply comments be called "indeterminate" because
> of that?
>
>> Other than the non-specific "Indeterminate" opinions, there
>> are only three others: FOR the NPRM, AGAINST the removal of
>> code testing, and retention of code testing ONLY for the
>> Amateur Extra class. Perhaps that is just too difficult to grasp?

>
> Perhaps your explanation is incomplete?
>
>> What IS difficult to grasp - by the PCTA - is that the NCTA are no
>> longer a minority.
>
> That has not been shown to be true.
>
>> In fact, many PCTA just cannot
>> believe that radio hobbyists cannot love, honor, and obey the
>> cherished Belief that morse code testing is "necessary."
>
>> >Len has a proven track record of mistakes here, particularly in
>> >the area of FCC regulations, so it's not unreasonable to ask about
>> >how his numbers are compiled and how they are checked.
>>
>> "Proven track record?!?" :-)
>
> Yes. You've made serious mistakes in your statements about
> Part 97. And you've refused to correct or even acknowledge them.
>
>> Is that what is called "politeness" and "civility?" In here
>> I guess so... :-)
>
> It's called stating a fact.
>
>> The way to "check" my numbers is to GO AND READ ALL THE
>> COMMENTS-FILINGS ON WT DOCKET 05-235 AND DO YOUR OWN
>> TALLIES OF OPINIONS.
>
> You're not the only one reading the comments, Len. And your
> numbers don't agree with others' results.
>
>> Jimmie has NOT even made ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235.
>
> If someone makes multiple filings on that docket, and they
> are not identical, will you count them as one comment or more than
> one?
>
> Do "filings" include only comments, or are reply comments and
> other materials included in the count?
>
> Is your 'scorecard' only a count of comments, or are reply
> comments included too?
>
>> Jimmie has NOT stated he has READ a single filing on 05-235.
>
> Who is "Jimmie", Len? Can't be me, because I've read several of
> the comments. Reply comments too. And the whole NPRM.
>

>> Jimmie has spent a LOT of time and effort trying his best
>> to obscure and misdirect the NPRM "scorecard" results by
>> all these "challenges" and FALSE statements about my previous
>> alleged "inaccuracies."
>
> I've simply asked questions and stated facts. It's a fact that
> you have a proven track record of mistakes here. Here's another:
> you once stated you had *never* used a certain screen name to post
> here, and then it was shown that you had. I think you called
> yourself 'Averyfine'...
>
>> Hey, it's a neat trick to try and sway public opinion in this
>> computer-modem kind of communications. Done well enough, constant
>> repetition of FALSE charges will make some folks believe that a
>> person is "highly inaccurate." :-)
>
> Is that your methodology here, Len? Perhaps you're counting on nobody
> checking your work.
>
>> >The NPRM proposes to eliminate *all* Morse Code
>> >testing for an amateur license. Someone who supports
>> >the NPRM must, by definition, support the removal
>> >of *all* code testing, not just some of it. Otherwise they are
>> >opposed to the NPRM.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Nothing in ANY NPRM is so sacrosanct as
>> to demand absolute obediance to the NOTICE of PROPOSED
>> RULE MAKING.
>
> There's no A in "obedience", Len. Are you getting worked up over this?
>
>
>> Had Jimmie seen other NPRMs and the
>> resulting R&Os, he would understand that. Obviously, he has NOT.
>
> I don't know what "Jimmie" has read, but I've read plenty of
> NPRMs and the resultinf R&Os.
>>
>> >The detail of whether they support the current level
>> >of Morse Code testing, or whether they support a
>> >reduction but not complete elimination, does not
>> >change the fact that they are opposed to the NPRM as it stands.
>>
>> SPIN, SPIN, SPIN. :-)
>>
>> Tsk, the purpose of a COMMENT period is to allow
>> CITIZENS to make their desires known to their government on a
>> PROPOSED ruling.
>
> Not just CITZENS, Len - all interested parties. FCC has

> not rejected the comments of noncitizens - why chould you?
>
> In fact, one doesn't even have to be a human being to
> comment. FCC accepts comments from corporate organizations
> as well. They cited the comments of Kenwood back in the R&O
> about the 2000 restructuring.
>
> If Kenwood files comments, will you count them or reject them?
>
>> Those desires ARE now PUBLIC. 2,641 filings' worth.
>
> Do they include reply comments or just comments in your totals?
>
>> Miccolis has NOT read ALL of them,
>
> Are you sure?
>
>> hasn't even made his own filing.
>> I have read ALL of them...including the 19 more for
>> 24 October 2005 (making 2,660 filings) which will be
>> posted on 25 October (plus any additional the FCC wishes to add).
>
> Does that mean no one can question your scorecard? Why?
> Is it somehow sacred and not open to any questions or
> comments?
>
> Seems that way.
>
>> So...where is the "score card" by James Miccolis?
>>
>> And...WHO will "check his work?" :-)
>
> It's not about me, Len. It's about *your* 'scorecard'.
>
>> Who checks Miccolis' "work" on his bi-monthly "license
>> number" postings? [he won't say from where he cribs his numbers]
>
> Anyone can check my posted numbers very simply by doing the
> math. I've stated the source of those numbers here.
>
> If there's a math mistake I'll correct it. But I don't recall
> anyone pointing out such a mistake.
>
>> >> The FCC will have no problem deciding that they have a mandate to
>> >> abolish Element 1 based on the comments.
>> >
>> >Perhaps - but recall that FCC is not required to follow
>> >the majority opinion. They did not follow majority opinion in 1999
>> >either...
>>

>> Tsk. Morse lovers are seeing the handwriting on the
>> wall. Finally. Wow, news flash, morse code testing is NOT favored
>> by a majority! [sunnuvagun!]
>
> How do you know it's not favored by a majority?
>
>> The ARRL represents a distinct MINORITY of all USA amateur radio
>> licensees. A mere 20%.
>
> How is that number derived?
>
>> Yet, on any
>> other issue, What The ARRL Says is some kind of "truth"
>> about amateur radio...anyone against that will get all
>> sorts of nastygram grief from ARRL Believers!!!
>>
>> >More important to the discussion of 'scorecards' is the
>> >accuracy of the count. At present, Len's 'scorecard' is
>> >made up of about 2500 comments that pass some vaguely defined tests
>> >of validity.
>>
>> "Vaguely defined tests of validity?!?"
>
> Yes.
>
>> 2,645 filings for the period 15 July 2005 to 22 October 2005.
>>
>> Miccolis is IN ERROR...it was NOT "2500." Tsk, tsk.
>
> I wrote "about 2500" - which was accurate when it was written.
>
>> With such ERRORS, Miccolis is establishing a "PROVEN TRACK
>> RECORD OF MISTAKES!!!" :-)
>
> Really?
>
>> "Vaguely defined?"
>
> Yes.
>
>> The Notes in my "score card" postings explain it, well past "vague"
>> judgements by PCTA Miccolis.
>
> If someone posts multiple comments that are not identical,
> are they counted as one comment or more than one?
>
> If a group or club posts a comment with multiple signatures,
> does that count as one comment or more than one?
>
> How is it decided what constitutes a "valid address"?

>
> Are reply comments included or just comments?
>
>> >With a base of 2500 comments, a single comment
>> >represents .04% of the
>> >total, and 25 comments represent 1% of the total.
>> >With the totals being
>> >so close, it would not take a lot of mistakes to change the apparent
>> >majority.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk...PCTA Miccolis has NOT CHECKED THE WORK!
>
> How could anyone check your work, Len? You haven't shown it.
>
>> >Showing the apparent results to four significant figures may
>> >give the illusion of accuracy to some, but in fact there's a pretty
>> >big range of interpretation to those numbers.
>>
>> Only in the "Indeterminates." Many of THOSE are so vaguely
>> comprehensible that it would take an extreme favoritsm to
>> one side or the other to put them in an "un-ambiguous"
>> category. READ THEM AND SEE.
>>
>> "Illusion of accuracy?" :-) With over a thousand filings judged
>> into four categories, four-place accuracy was thought
>
> Thought by whom?
>
>> needed to trends, changes, closeness-of-differing-opinions
>> and so forth. That is insufficient for the highly judgemental
>> (and rather disturbed by revelations) James Miccolis. He
>> wants "the work checked" by another PCTA...possibly to show
>> the "true light of the efficacy and necessity of morse code
>> testing?"
>
> Are you afraid of having your work checked, Len?
>
>> PCTA James Miccolis has NOT made any filing whatsoever as of
>> midnight, 22 October 2005.
>
> So?
>
> If I make 10 nonidentical comments, will they count as 1 comment or
> more than 1?
>
>> He has NO indication of READING
>> ANY of the 2,641 filings (except the first one, maybe) on
>> WT Docket 05-235. Then he "challenges" me to "show the work"
>> as if he is some kind of "authority" on what should be posted in
>> here!

>
> Does that mean no one can question your scorecard? Why?
> Is it somehow sacred and not open to any questions or
> comments?
>
> Seems that way.
>
>> Magnificent performance! [of petulant spite]
>
> By you....;-)
>
>> >For example, if one person submits multiple comments that are > >
>> >omewhat different from each other (but all from the same person), are
>> >they all counted, or just one?
>>
>> That has been EXPLAINED.
>
> No, it hasn't.
>
>> Duplicates are put into the Indeterminate
>> category. There are TWO flagrant violators of that, one
>> for, one
>> against the NPRM. Can Miccolis NAME those two? I can.
>
> How can we be sure you caught every single person that filed more than
> one comment?
>
>> But, I've READ all 2,641 filings made up to midnight, 22
>> October 2005.
>
> Really? That's nice.
>>
>> >If one person submits comments and reply comments
>> >(but all from the
>> >same person), are they all counted, or just one?
>>
>> More necro-equine flagellation. :-)
>
> Leads me to believe you're counting reply comments too.
> And not checking for dupes.
>
>> >If a club or organization comments, does that count
>> >as one comment or multiple comments?
>>
>> Sigh...:-) So far, ONE club has filed (but not
>> given any list of members).
>
> Only one?
>> Editor Moseson of CQ has filed...does PCTA Miccolis demand that ALL
>> SUBSCRIBERS TO CQ be counted also? :-)

>
> Only if ALL SUBSCRIBERS to QST are also counted...
>
>> >If a single comment has multiple signatures, does it count as > >one
>> >comment or does the number of signatures come into play?
>>
>> Which filing by whom and on what date has "multiple signatures?"
>
> You tell me.
>
>> Does Miccolis get multiple ligatures squirming around, trying
>> to find a fresh spot on the dead horse?
>>
>> So far, Miccolis has NOT CHECKED MY WORK,
>
> Nobody can. You haven't shown it.
>
>> probably has NOT READ
>> ALL of the 2,641 filings to midnight, 22 October, 2005.
>
> "probably"? Aren't you sure? Previously you were sure, now
> you're not.
>
>> He has
>> NOT made his OWN filing by that date.
>
> Is a comment a requirement? The deadline isn't till next week.
>>
>> >You can see that depending on how the above questions are
>> >answered, and rules are applied, the results can be far different.
>>
>> What "rules" were "necessary" to be followed, Miccolis?
>>
>> Were they "published" prior to 15 July 2005?
>>
>> Did you "define what should be done" by the end of August, 2005?
>> [no, you did NOT]
>>
>> Did you "define what should be done" by the end of September, 2005?
>> [no, you did NOT]
>
> Does that mean no one can question your scorecard? Why?
> Is it somehow sacred and not open to any questions or
> comments?
>>
>> Tsk, Miccolis must have become suddenly aware that there is > NO
>> majority favoring the retention of the morse code test in
>> the United States' regulations for civil radio, Title 47
>> C.F.R.,
>> Part 97! [sunnuvagun!]

>
> I'm just asking some questions, Len.
>
>> Miccolis is seeing the "end of ham radio" (as HE knows it) >
>> when things are progressing ever onwards towards elimination the >
>> morse code test! Poor thing...he stays up late on Sunday night,
>> > even into Monday morning to vent his Grief and Upset at that!
>
> I'm just asking some questions, Len. You seem to be very
> upset over them.
>>
>> >> So we are all just waiting for the other shoe to drop.
>> >
>> >Probably.
>>
>> "Probably?" :-) It's UNAVOIDABLE. It WILL come about.
>
> Crystal ball?
>>
>> The only question is when.
>>
>> The official end of Comments on WT Docket 05-235 is 31
>> October,
>> 2005, a week from now. The official end of Replies to
>> Comments
>> is 14 November 2005.
>>
>> On WT Docket 98-143 the official end of the last extension of
>> Comments was 15 January 1999. The final R&O
>> on "Restructuring"
>> wasn't released until the last week in December, 1999.
>> That is
>> roughly 10 months of decision-making...but on a LOT
>> more issues
>> than just morse code testing.
>
> So?
>>
>> James Miccolis wasn't IN the FCC in 1998, 1999, and he isn't
>> IN the FCC in 2005.
>
> Neither are you, Len - ever.
>
>> However, he KNOWS things and anyone who doesn't agree with this
>> innate knowledge "makes mistakes!"
>
> I'm just asking some questions, Len. You seem to be very
> upset over them.
>
>> >FCC may simply be responding to the fact that if they

>> >don't drop Element 1, the petitions and proposals will continue,
>> >making more work for them, but no more resources.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. If the decisions don't go YOUR way, then you
>> can renounce the FCC as some sort of meaningless beaurocracy
>> that doesn't serve the "public need," right? You ARE U.S.
>> amateur radio, aren't you? [you sure sound like it]
>
> I'm just asking some questions, Len. You seem to be very
> upset over them.
>
>> The FCC will take its time, make its decisions, the issue an
>> R&O. They will do so WITHOUT having James Miccolis "check
>> their work!" However, any decision arrived at WILL be fully
>> explained in THEIR Report and Order and appropriate
>> Commentors
>> noted in references. So far, as of midnight, 22 October 2005
>> the FCC CANNOT cite any James Miccolis as a referencible
>> Commentor...he has NOT even submitted any Comments on 05-235 as of
>> that date!
>
> So?
>
>> Jimmie, you can make all the FALSE and
>> misdirected "questions"
>> you wish about me. All I do is READ ALL the Comments and
>> Replies to Comments on 05-235. The OPINIONS on what the FCC should
>> do in its final R&O come from United States citizens.
>
> Not just citizens.
>
>> The FCC is bound by law and reputation to "serve the public
>> interest." They seem to do a good job of that, despite what the
>> losers on past decisions snarl about.
>
> You're the one 'snarling'. Len.
>
> I'm just asking some questions. You seem to be very
> upset over them.
>
>> Okay, you can try more misdirection and multiple postings and you
>> are going to get the same replies from me.
>
> IOW, nothing of any substance.
>
>> That
>> doesn't affect the final R&O one iota. You've had all
>> your amateur life to crow about the efficacy of morse code
>> as a "necessary" part of licensing...and now you are seeing that
>> all of that will soon be gone.

>
> The test may be gone, but Morse Code use by hams will go on.
> For a long time.
>
>> You won't be able to
>> crow much in the future...but you may have to EAT some...
>
> I'm just asking some questions, Len. You seem to be very
> upset over them.
>
> Besides - what does all this matter to you? You're not going to
> get a license anyway, test or no test.
>
>

At least Len finally answered the citizenship question, although I'm not convinced by his answer.

As it happens (and many of you already know this) I'm not a US citizen myself. This means that I can't vote in federal elections, although beleive it or not, voting in local elections is upto local government to decide. In fact, I think that the states have the power to let aliens vote in federal, but not presidential elections, but none of them do any longer, although I understand it was common in pioneering times when few people were citizens. One city in this state does allow non-citizens to vote, but it's not the city I live in.

It would be nice to vote, but I am eligible to apply for citizenship at any time, so this is not a big issue for me. I prefer not to renounce my native citizenship, even though it would have no legal effect in itself, i.e. the UK doesn't recognise renunciation.

When it comes to FCC comment procedures, there is no system in place for checking the citizenship of anyone who posts a comment, and no longer any restriction on aliens holding a licence, although there once was, but that was before my time. Hence, if I post a comment it will be counted by the FCC, if not by Len. OTOH, if people don't post their citizenship (I don't when commenting to the FCC) Len won't know whether to include them or not (even though the FCC will). I think this is Jim's point, as it does reflect on the accuracy of the scorecard, albeit not enough to keep Element 1 from going West!

73 de Alun, N3KIP, G8VUK

## 487. Re: Free Ride, was Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:22:03 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <IcidnfwcI7DEovPeRVn-gQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 123007

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131295567.237805.101430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 4 Nov 2005 17:16
>>
>>
>> >Dee Flint wrote:
>> >
>> >> I would disagree with this approach. Here's why.
>> >
>> >> Let me start by saying that I believe all amateurs should have a basic
>> >> ability in Morse code as it is one of the basics of amateur radio.
>> >
>> >Why is it that you only want volunteers to take up the burden of
>> >learning morse code on "thier" free time and equip morse code radio
>> >stations at their own expense?
>>
>> ...just because...
>
> There's got to be some deeper motive.
>

There is no deeper motive. Notice that Len Anderson has made no comment on
my approach to using CW when the license structure changes. He chooses to
comment on a section that is clearly identified as opinion. I'm entitled to
my opinion just like any one else.

>> >Why wouldn't you want paid, professional radio operators and radio
>> >users to know Morse Code? Especially paid emergency communicators and
>> >emergency responders.
>> >
>> >If the government needed or wanted morse code radio operators, they
>> >should create a GS5-7-9-11 series and get them.
>>
>> Dee must imagine that ONLY hams are First Responders to
>> any emergency/crisis/disaster.
>
> If so then Dee needs to back off and let first responders respond
> first.
>

Notice that Len Anderson injects something into my post that was not there,
was not implied, and has not been stated or implied in any of my posts. I
am firmly in favor of first reponders responding first and other groups

standing by until they are needed and then only going in if they are needed. However as you can see, rather than addressing the concept that I was discussing, Len Anderson has gone off on one of his tangents and trying to distract people from my actual statements. This is why I killfiled him long ago.

I see that you started the paragraph with "if so...." You are wise to doubt his statements. Investigate for yourself.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 488. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:23:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <viesm1l46b24rm4622b1ot1bra61qteoi9@4ax.com>
Source record: 123002

On 6 Nov 2005 08:31:24 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> > > ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
>> > >
>> > > Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
>> > > Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
>> > > thought folks might enjoy the laugh
>> > >
>> > > From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
>> > > Date: 1998/09/28
>> > > Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
>> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
>> > > | Report Abuse
>> >
>> > Yikes!
>> >
>> > > Well,
>> > >
>> > > I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
>> > > forward,
>> > > no-holds barred personal I ever read!
>> > >
>> > > SR
>> >
>> > Thought Steve was married and all that?
>>
>> so did I as I said I was looking the google archives searching the best
>> evidence to use against him and that post just jumped out at me
>
>Mark, where is that post where he described what marriage is like? You
>responded, then he said something about being a comedian.

he said something about being comedian but he titled his resposne Mark
Morgan caught on tape molesting 8 yo

also claimed that the title was joke

below in myrepost

kb9...@yahoo.com
Oct 20, 3:03 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: kb9...@yahoo.com - Find messages by this author
Date: Thu, 20 Oct 2005 04:03:23 -0400
Local: Thurs, Oct 20 2005 3:03 am
Subject: another interesting find about Stevie and his beliefs about marriage
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

>Jun 29 1998, 3:00 am
>rec.aviation.homebuilt
a post from Stevie
>> There are worse ways to die, you know..

>Living death...marriage
>Steve Robeson,LPN
>Amateur Call K4YZ
>Enjoying all facets of the Radio Communications Hobby Since 1962
>NCS TN SSB Net 3980Khz@2330 on Thursdays

equating Marriage with living death what a hoot

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

Reply

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 489. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:24:02 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <k0fsm159kek2godd5no83eh3hvp75qli1v@4ax.com>
Source record: 123003

On 6 Nov 2005 08:11:37 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > Steve Robeson
>> > > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
>> > >
>> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>> > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
>> > > Date: 2000/05/30
>> > > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
>> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
>> > > | Report Abuse
>> > >
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
>> > > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
>> > > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
>> > > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
>> > >
>> > > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
>> > > inadequate
>> > > for you.<
>> > >
>> > > Ooooooohhhhhh!
>> > >
>> > > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
>> > >
>> > > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
>> > > placed that
>> > > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
>> > > and
>> > > callsigns.
>> > >
>> > > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
>> > >
>> > > Steve
>> > >
>> > > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
>> > > to fight
>> > > in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

>> >
>> > Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?
>>
>> so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion
>>
>> like you said Google provide
>
>Yep, he even used "professional" to describe that he wasn't just some
>yahoo, but a medical professional.

and i hope that is what is going to nail with the nursing board

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 490. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:25:10 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns97067E98B1E4Felektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 123004

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in news:1130386378.660926.152330
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
>>> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>> >> Mike Coslo <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>> >> > kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> >> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
>>> >> >>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>> >> >>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
>>> >> >>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>
>
>>> Nice MISDIRECTION away from the NPRM. :-)
>>
>>The thread is about your "scorecard", Len.
>
> No, it is about A score card, specifically on WT Docket 05-235,
> done to provide some insight on the Comments made and the
> prevailing opinions of OTHERS out there, as much up to date as
> possible for anyone else interested in NPRM 05-143.
>
> The first thread was begun by me on 2 August, 2005, intended as
> a quick-look compilation of filings that had begun on 20 July by
> individuals. The second thread was begun by me on 2 August 2005,
> specifically to show both the original Docket opening filings and
> those filed after the Notice in the Federal Register. The third
> thread (this one) was begun on 17 October due to all the
> gabbling and squabbling about charges of "inaccuracy" by all
> those who didn't bother to do their own compilation. <shrug>
>
> To almost the end of 26 October 2005, there have been 3,055
> filings on WT Docket 05-235. Has Miccolis READ them? ALL
> of them? I have. I have appended two listings of filings
> with my Replies to Comments done at the date those Replies
> were filed. Miccolis FAILED to note that had been done; ergo,
> Miccolis has READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235.
>
> Brian Burke has filed on WT Docket 05-235, even Dan Jeswald,
> Bill Sohl, and a few others. James P. Miccolis has NOT filed
> ANYTHING on WT Docket 05-235 as of 7 PM EDT on 26 October 2005.

>
>>Asking how the
>>numbers are derived, what rules are used in the derivation, and who
>>checks your work are right on-subject.
>
> But NOT necessary. I include Notes with each posting of the
> "score card" which explain the categorization. Those are
> comprehensive to those who bother to READ things.
>
> Since this is a private compilation, I do my own "checking"
> prior to each posting. Those can be verified by ANYONE who
> bothers to READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235.
>
>>For example, if someone filed 1 comment and 3 reply comments on the
>>NPRM, did you count them as 1 or 4 or something else?
>
> The OPINION expressed in EACH Comment or Reply to Comments
> is EVIDENT in their CONTENT. That is self-evident (to
> those who are not busy with misdirection of asking stupid
> little questions designed to annoy the score-keeper).
>
> James P. Miccolis has NOT posted ANYTHING similar to what
> I have done...yet wishes to be some kind of "judge" on
> what should be and what should not be. Tsk, tsk.
>
>
>
>>Your mistakes are well documented. Such as the legality of
>>amateur operation by hams with expired-but-in-the-grace-period
>>licenses.
>
> THIS thread is about NPRM 05-143 and the filings in WT
> Docket 05-235. If there are "mistakes" in the tabulations,
> those can be found by ANYONE who bothers to READ the filings.
>
> So far, the ONLY "mistake" was a juxtaposition of two note
> numbers in the new form of the second thread begun on the
> first of September. That was pointed out by Bill Sohl in
> public, I acknowledged that and correct the juxtaposition.
>
> Neither NPRM 05-143 nor WT Docket 05-235 concern themselves
> with any "operation by hams with expired-but-in-the-grace-
> period." :-)
>
>
>>Gosh, Len, so you *can* call me by my name!
>
> Your name is James P. Miccolis. You haven't filed anything
> in the FCC ECFS since 23 August 2004. It is NOT "Jim."
>

>
>>US citizenship is not a requirement for getting an FCC
>>amateur radio license. Passing the required tests *is* a requirement.
>
> NPRM 05-143 is a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking by the FCC.
> Any Report and Order issued as a RESULT of Comments then
> becomes LAW in the form of an FCC Regulation of U.S. civil
> radio. Do you understand that, or must it be explained in
> voluminous detail to you?
>
>>A comment to FCC is not a vote. Citizenship is not required to comment.
>
> Did you fail high school Civics class? The First Amendment
> of the United States Constitution guarantees CITIZENS the
> right to make comments to their government. United States
> citizens...NOT citizens of OTHER countries.
>
>>Neither is there an age requirement to comment.
>
> Given the childish behavior of some, especially those spiteful
> ones attempting to misdirect a thread showing the day-by-day
> change in the filings of WT Docket 05-235, perhaps there
> should be. Even more so when those spiteful children have
> great difficulty in accepting the prevailing opinions in the
> "amateur community."
>
>>Of course Len has admitted that he has had problems integrating young
>>people into what he considers 'adult' activities....
>
> There is a minimum age to serve in the United States military.
> I have NO difficulty with that. I HAVE served. Has James P.
> Miccolis served his country in that country's military? No, he
> has not. Miccolis perceives "problems" on such minimum age
> limits, yet has NOT served.
>
> The state of California has a minimum age limit on many things,
> drivers licenses for one. I have no problem with those. Miccolis
> perceives I have "problems" there? I have not.
>
> There are hundreds of local communities which have very definite
> AGE LIMITS in their ordinances and codifications of law, all with
> definite moral and ethical purposes to those. I have no "problem"
> with them. Miccolis perceives "problems" where none exist.
>
> Miccolis wishes to drag up SEVEN-YEAR-OLD Comments on WT Docket
> 98-143...which are NOT a part of NPRM 05-143. Why? The only
> possible reason is his personal spite and the attempt to mis-
> direct this thread into the usual Flame War squabbling.
>
>

>>A comment is not a vote. An NPRM is not an election. FCC doesn't have
>>to act on what the majority of comments want.
>
> Had Miccolis done HIS OWN COMPILATION on the filings of WT Docket
> 05-235, he would have found that the majority of those making
> Comments since Federal Register Notice date of 31 August are NOT
> favoring NPRM 05-143. Miccolis should keep that in mind, if and
> when the FCC gets around to making their Report and Order. :-)
>
> Note that Miccolis has often referred to FCC 99-412
> ("Restructuring")
> Report and Order as "not following the majority!" :-)
>
>
>>The issue is the accuracy of your 'scorecard'.
>
> I try to issue those daily. As a service to anyone interested in
> the progress of the public comment period on NPRM 05-143. I try to
> make them as accurate as possible.
>
> James P. Miccolis hasn't issued any tabulations/compilations on the
> filings of WT Docket 05-235. One wonders if he has READ them at
> all.
>
> The CHARGES of "inaccuracy" are specious, NOT backed up by any
> other tabulations/compilations on WT Docket 05-235.
>
>
>>> Tsk, tsk. Since this is a private
>>
>>It's not private at all.
>
> It is a PRIVATE ENDEAVOR. As in "by myself." It is made "public"
> as in public view, as a result of posting.
>
> I can do it by private e-mail as easily. That way it would not
> (seemingly) offend you so much that you write the following:
>
>>You blab it all over a public forum, so it's fair game for comment and
>>question by others.
>
> Sigh...you still do NOT understand computer-modem communications.
> These newsgroups unrestricted by moderators are ALL open and
> public to anyone who has access to a provider or to Google.
>
>>That's what free speech in a public forum is all about, Len.
>
> You ABUSE "free speech" by general heckling...apparently because
> of personal spite at getting opposite opinions to your mighty and
> imperious statements made in public.

>
>>If you make statements here, others have the right to comment on them
>>and question their validity.
>
> Hello? You've just gotten a taste of "rights" right up your
> I/O port. :-)
>
>>Is your 'scorecard' a collection of alleged facts, or is it just your
>>opinion?
>
> Neither. It is my honest effort to show the day-by-day
> compilation of filings on WT Docket 05-235.
>
> Such a compilation/tabulation can be done by ANYONE having
> access to the FCC ECFS or to the FCC Reading Room.
>
> Miccolis has NOT done ANY of his own compilation/tabultion
> in order to BACK UP HIS CHARGES OF INACCURACY. Tsk, tsk.
>
>
>>The NPRM does not state that comments must be about Morse Code testing
>>and nothing else.
>
> NPRM 05-143 is solely about morse code testing, elimination of
> test element 1 to be specific. Had you bothered to READ ALL of
> filings in WT Docket 05-235 you would have seen some filings
> which were NOT EVEN ABOUT THE NPRM! :-) Is the FCC going to
> consider those in regards to NPRM 05-143? :-)
>
>>Should your reply comments be called "indeterminate" because of that?
>
> You will label my comments anydamnthing you want...that's totally
> predictable! :-)
>
> I'd not call your spiteful little misdirections in here as
> "indeterminate." INDEFATIGUABLE is more like it... :-)
>
>
>>Perhaps your explanation is incomplete?
>
> Perhaps you ought to grow up and accept the FACT that a very
> large group in the amateur community does NOT think like you do
> about either morse code or morse code testing! [sunnuvagun!]
>
>
>
>>Yes. You've made serious mistakes in your statements about
>>Part 97. And you've refused to correct or even acknowledge them.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...then you should cancel my amateur radio license

> then, refuse to give me my amateur paycheck? :-)
>
>
>>It's called stating a fact.
>
> Here's a FACT: James P. Miccolis spending a lot of time late at
> night (almost 11:30 PM when his latest missive was launched) in
> order to HECKLE a no-code-test-advocate. :-)
>
>
>>You're not the only one reading the comments, Len. And your numbers
>>don't agree with others' results.
>
> PRESENT THOSE "NUMBERS" then. "Prove" the "inaccuracy."
>
> "Put up or shut up," Jimmie (that's a phrase, not a command).
>
> You've spent days on trying to imply "inaccuracy" on my part,
> yet you have NO PROOF out in public. You "babble" in here in
> an effort to misdirect everyone's attention. [it isn't
> working, Jimmie, get a new knuckle-spanking ruler for the
> Nun of the Above]
>
>>> Jimmie has NOT even made ONE filing on WT Docket 05-235.
>
> James P. Miccolis has made one, either... :-)
>
>
>>> Jimmie has NOT stated he has READ a single filing on 05-235.
>>
>>Who is "Jimmie", Len? Can't be me, because I've read several of the
>>comments. Reply comments too. And the whole NPRM.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Nun of the Above, try to go with the flow of
> newsgrouping. Don't PRETEND you don't know... :-)
>
>
>>I've simply asked questions and stated facts.
>
> Snide, spiteful heckling is more like it... :-)
>
>>It's a fact that
>>you have a proven track record of mistakes here.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...more uncivil attempts at character assassination.
> Keep it up...it worked well for the National Socialist Party of
> Germany in the 1930s. :-)
>
> If you try real hard, you might even convince others I have
> underarm odor!

>
>
>>Is that your methodology here, Len?
>
> All I'm doing is READING ALL the filings in WT Docket 05-235 and
> showing - as honestly as I can, as accurately as I can - the
> four categories of opinions therein.
>
>>Perhaps you're counting on nobody checking your work.
>
> Doesn't matter. ANYONE can "check my work." All they have to
> do is go in and READ ALL of the filings, count them up, tabulate
> the results.
>
> ANYONE can go in to the FCC ECFS and "check my totals" posted in
> here. Just set the date blocks on the ECFS form and the ECFS
> will automatically total ALL of those within that date period!
>
> [new technology applied...you should try some sometime...]
>
>
>>> Had Jimmie seen other NPRMs and the
>>> resulting R&Os, he would understand that. Obviously, he has NOT.
>>
>>I don't know what "Jimmie" has read, but I've read plenty of
>>NPRMs and the resultinf R&Os.
>
> Jimmie Noserve also pretends to be an expert on military life.
> Hasn't served a day, though. The Nun of the Above is busy
> looking for knuckles to slap with her ruler. ["give a Nun
> an inch and she thinks she's a ruler"]
>
> Hello? You are in a NEWSGROUP. You wish to heckle your
> perceived enemies...yet you demand all this "civility" of
> "proper names" and other bullsnit. :-)
>
>
>>Not just CITZENS, Len - all interested parties. FCC has not rejected
>>the comments of noncitizens - why chould you?
>
> Okay, James P. Miccolis, you hop on over to some Australian
> place and TELL THEM HOW THEIR LAWS SHOULD BE. You think
> you will be "considered," mate? :-)
>
> Jimmie-James, you get yourself a copy of the United States
> Constitution and try to UNDERSTAND IT. Especially the
> First Amendment.
>
>>In fact, one doesn't even have to be a human being to comment.
>

> The ARRL hasn't filed anything on WT Docket 05-235 as of
> 8 PM EDT, 26 October. Some describe the ARRL as "soul less"
> and without substance. :-)
>
> Jimmie-James P. Miccolis of PA has NOT FILED ANYTHING on
> WT Docket 05-235 (as of 8 PM EDT, 26 October 2005).
>
>
>>If Kenwood files comments, will you count them or reject them?
>
> Kenwood who? :-)
>
>
>
>>Does that mean no one can question your scorecard? Why?
>>Is it somehow sacred and not open to any questions or comments?
>
> I've EXPLAINED my categorizations since my first "score card"
> posting on 2 August 2005. See the "Notes" for each one.
>
> Jimmie-James, I can't grab your finger and point it FOR YOU
> at the Notes. You HAVE to read them.
>
>
>>It's not about me, Len. It's about *your* 'scorecard'.
>
> Tsk, tsk, Jimmie-James. You are busy, busy, busy making it
> YOUR teeny little "judgement at Nuremburg." :-)
>
>
>>> Who checks Miccolis' "work" on his bi-monthly "license
>>> number" postings? [he won't say from where he cribs his numbers]
>>
>>Anyone can check my posted numbers very simply by doing the math. I've
>>stated the source of those numbers here.
>
> If anyone with newsgroup access can access them, WHY do
> you post them here AS IF you "derived them?" :-)
>
>
>>> The ARRL represents a distinct MINORITY of all USA amateur radio
>>> licensees. A mere 20%.
>>
>>How is that number derived?
>
> That's been EXPLAINED to you in public several times!
>
> Go to the QST advertising page at the ARRL site, observe
> the "Publisher's Sworn Statement" that appears there twice
> a year. Compare that to the total number of USA amateur

> radio licensees at about the same time.
>
> That's so terribly EASY to do...even for a double-degree.
>
> :-)
>
>
>>How could anyone check your work, Len? You haven't shown it.
>
> Two tabulations have been appended to two Replies to Comments.
> Those are in PUBLIC VIEW at the FCC ECFS under WT Docket 05-235.
>
> ANYONE can go to the ECFS and READ ALL the filings and do their
> own compilations/tabulations. Really. It should be EASY for
> any Amateur Extra with two degrees. :-)
>
> By the way, "filings" refer to each document as listed in the
> Search Results for any ECFS listing. That includes some filings
> which have nothing at all to do with NPRM 05-143 or even the
> amateur radio regulations! [sunnuvagun!] Another one is
> completely blank. I've seen it. Do you know at which date it
> was filed? You would if you had READ ALL of them.
>
>
>>Are you afraid of having your work checked, Len?
>
> Not at all. Feel free to "check it" by READING ALL filings. :-)
>
>
>>If I make 10 nonidentical comments, will they count as 1 comment or
>>more than 1?
>
> So...MAKE THEM! :-)
>
> James P. Miccolis has NOT filed anything with the ECFS since
> August of last year. ["put your money where your mouth is"]
>
>
>
>>Leads me to believe you're counting reply comments too.
>>And not checking for dupes.
>
> Speaking of "dupes," why are you trying to DUPE everyone into
> thinking I'm "always in error?" :-)
>
> Are you so pissed off at certain posters in here you stay up
> until nearly midnight to post nastygrams? :-)
>
> Go ahead, READ ALL of the filings in WT Docket 05-235 and do
> your own compilations/tabulations. Check it out.

>
>>> So far, Miccolis has NOT CHECKED MY WORK,
>>
>>Nobody can. You haven't shown it.
>
> Yes I have. It's IN the ECFS in two different Replies to
> Comments. You just haven't seen it yet. :-)
>
> Want to check my numbers out for totals? Easy to do with the
> FCC ECFS and proper use of the date blocks. ECFS does the
> totals for that period for you. Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
>
>>Is a comment a requirement? The deadline isn't till next week.
>
> NOBODY "requires" you to do anything, Jimmie-James. :-)
>
> The deadline (official) for WT Docket 05-235 Comments is
> 31 October 2005. The deadline (official) for Replies to
> Comments is 14 November 2005. Both dates are Mondays (in
> case you can't do a calendar in your head).
>
> So far, James P. Miccolis has NOT filed anything in WT
> Docket 05-235. But, he has been busy, busy, busy baring
> his spite in here, asking dumb questions about things which
> have already been EXPLAINED to him in each "score card"
> posting I've made. :-)
>
>
>>I'm just asking some questions, Len.
>
> Ho, ho, ho...and the moon is made of green cheese... :-)
>
>
>
>>> James Miccolis wasn't IN the FCC in 1998, 1999, and he isn't
>>> IN the FCC in 2005.
>>
>>Neither are you, Len - ever.
>
> I don't have to be, Jimmie-James...not to exercise my First
> Amendment Rights. :-)
>
>
>>I'm just asking some questions, Len.
>
> No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to
> HECKLE. :-)
>
>

> I'm just asking some questions, Len.
>
> No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to
> HECKLE. :-)
>
>
>>You're the one 'snarling'. Len.
>
> Nah. I'm just "answering your questions!" :-)
>
>>I'm just asking some questions.
>
> No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to
> HECKLE. :-)
>
>
>>The test may be gone, but Morse Code use by hams will go on.
>>For a long time.
>
> ERROR! MISTAKE! The code test is STILL there! :-)
>
> That's about the 5th ERROR you've made in your one heckle-gram.
>
> You are building up a fine "track record for mistakes!" :-)
>
>
> I'm just asking some questions, Len.
>
> No, you are "having fun" trying your spiteful little worst to
> HECKLE. :-)
>
>>Besides - what does all this matter to you? You're not going to get a
>>license anyway, test or no test.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...are you FORBIDDING my getting an amateur radio
> license? That's not NICE, Jimmie-James.
>
> I thought you said "all I'm doing is asking questions?"
>
> If so, why do you say such a NOT-nice thing at the end of your
> posting?
>
> Have you been taking testosterone supplements and studying the
> newsgroup conduct of Dudly the Imposter? :-)
>
> Or are you tied down on the track in the tunnel and seeing a
> bright light coming towards you...and suddenly realizing it IS
> a locomotive? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

>
>

Len, I'm not a US citizen. The FCC doesn't ask for comments only from citizens. If they did I would respect that and not post any, beleive it or not, but they don't, so I do. They also have no means of checking. Plus I hold an FCC licence and live in the USA, and last of all, didn't attend a high school civics class here, ROTFL!

# 491. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:25:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <e3fsm19pgcul33bptg8nfg94fc1cid203r@4ax.com>
Source record: 123005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 06 Nov 2005 11:43:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 03:02:44 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>>
>> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> > >>
>> >> > >> 172.174.47.36
>> >> > >
>> >> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
>> >> > >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
>> >> > >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
>> >> > >
>> >> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!!
>> >> >
>> >> > sorry you are wrong about that
>> >> >
>> >> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
>> >
>> >kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
>> >the drop of a hat, LOL.
>>
>> gee thank you for the newsflash
>>
>Call the FBI on me too, markie the moron.

if you choose to fact threaten me and make it seem like a creidible
threat and give me enough to realy report you I will

till then

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 492. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:37:01 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <ckfsm1li70gu4tej6ov6k1tfo2mcd8qcu4@4ax.com>
Source record: 123006
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:

>I wonder where the law stands as far as CB radio's go. Are CB's allowed to
>be repaired by the average CB'er who has the knowledge or are they only
>repairable by a qualified technician of some kind. I ask along the lines of
>the UK but would find the answer for America just as interesting.

I can't answer your question, but perhaps this is one of those cases
where it is better not to ask, but to carry on as before. Having a
piece of gear repaired by a certified authority will probably cost
more than buying a new one....

from
Aero Spike

# 493. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:37:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <08CdnRusLL1U3_PenZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123009

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns97067A004ACelektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
> "Usenet Central" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in
> news:KKW6f.19071$QE1.9591@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net:
>

[snip]

>
> It's only an even race if you count those who want to retain a code test
> for Extra only as being in the anti camp. I guarantee that the FCC will be
> able to think of no reason to retain it only for Extras. In your dreams,
> maybe.

The same thing could be said about any topic that isn't rules, good operating practice, electrical safety or RF safety. A single license class and single test would suffice to easily cover those three areas. Afterall, whether or not the licensee knows how to detect or fix a problem does not let him get out of following the rules and being responsible for his operation.

However, the FCC continues to follow the concept that people need motivation beyond just personal curiosity to pursue the "self training" part of the basis and purpose. Code is as logical a choice as any other topic.

But I agree that the FCC will decide to drop code entirely if they choose to move forward.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 494. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:44:29 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dklfdp$jk2$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123008
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:ckfsm1li70gu4tej6ov6k1tfo2mcd8qcu4@4ax.com...
>
> The Magnum wrote:
>
> >I wonder where the law stands as far as CB radio's go. Are CB's allowed
to
> >be repaired by the average CB'er who has the knowledge or are they only
> >repairable by a qualified technician of some kind. I ask along the lines
of
> >the UK but would find the answer for America just as interesting.
>
> I can't answer your question, but perhaps this is one of those cases
> where it is better not to ask, but to carry on as before. Having a
> piece of gear repaired by a certified authority will probably cost
> more than buying a new one....
>
> from
> Aero Spike

Thanks for that, quick answer too :o)
I would agree about the cost from a Certified Authority as most CB's in the
UK are only worth between $26 - $52 and the repair bill from a qualified
"tech" would be more than this... and would they indeed bother as they could
make far more money with the same effort repairing other items more
valuable...
I was just curious as to the legallity of repairing a CB. I wasnt admitting
to anything.. honest .... ;o)
Regards,
Graham

## 495. Re: (ot) France

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:52:36 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <87017436E2833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 6 Nov 2005 09:55:41 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> I am not neocon trash.I am middle of the road conservative Independent.I
> have long realized the so-called "republican" party is not really
> Republican at all,especially bush (bush is really a liberal) and
> cheney.There are some real Republicans whom are really highly pissed
> off! with bush and the bush administration.If y'all have ever noticed
> before,I bash bush and cheney,I also bash them klintoons too.Join the
> Constitution Party. www.chuckbaldwinlive.com
> cuhulin

I am liberal jewish trash myself and proud of it. When Clinton sold
pardons, took the whitehouse furniture and had his law license revoked
it was a special time for America. But I became disillusioned when I
found out about that hefty girl. Clinton could have had any shemale
he wanted but instead he showed no taste and picked an ordinary fat
girl to not have sex with. That's really disgusting. In Glendale,
West Virginia we have higher standards. www.shemaleyard.com
woger

# 496. Radio Legends Denounce Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 17:54:29 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1y3g4e@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1y3g4e@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123024

Leo Meyerson, founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics says Glenn Baxter is apparently using his name and picture to generate publicity for AARA and IARN. Myerson says he's well aware of Baxter's activities and he completely disapproves! Myerson says he has no affiliation with ex-k1man and he never has had an affiliation. "Baxter butted into a conversation between me an my wife at Dayton and the clown wouldn't leave until we had our picture taken with him. To say I'm affiliated with Baxter is like saying Saddam Hussein is a member of the Boy Scouts. It's ridiculous."

Myerson is the second living legend to dnounce Baxter in the last 6 months. The first, Walter Cronkite, wrote a letter exposing Baxter's lack of ethics. Cronkite went on to ask the FCC to DENY FCC license renewal to k1man.

Glenn's sponge-boy and bath house attendant N9OGL reportedly responded to the news, saying, "I love Glenn, and the c0ckzugging FCC can byte me! When I move out of my parents basement, I plan to have an even better show than Baxter, and no panzy-azzes at the FCC can stop me neither. You'll see, you'll all see!"

Todd was later unavailable for comment and was said to be under heavy sedation at the Taylorville Hospital for the Criminally Potty-Mouthed.

See <http://home.ripway.com/2005-7/357648/> for more details...

--
John Johnston

## 497. more Stevie Bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 18:18:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <c7fsm1h5pbroc6ic6ump0dmmgkrrbuc05m@4ax.com>
Source record: 123011

On 6 Nov 2005 07:58:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 5 Nov 2005 15:19:46 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> well been digging the archives on Stevie
>> >> located some 105 posst of his misdeeds dumping a lot of the repitivie
>> >> stuff
>> cut
>>
>> >> feel free to resume threatening me now stevie
>> >
>> > No threats, Markie...Just a promise to fully deprive you of
>> >any/all resources and assets the courts may allow for your culpable
>> >actions.
>>
>> you can try but I am willing the take the "risk" after all tif the
>> Board is willing to uphold their own rules your words are all I need
>> to send them
>
> And I said have at it, Markie.

working on it

you have made more than 8000 post here that are under your own name
(we will not even try to guess how many you may have under false name
>
> The Board is obligated to review all complaints it receives. If
>you really ARE stupid enough to file an official complaint, they WILL
>review it.
>
> And when they do, whatever you sent them becomes a matter of
>public record.

posibly but I doubt it

However everything I wil send is already in the public record
>
> Then whey THEY are done with it, my attorneys get to play with it.

I don't think they do, but if you like I can send YOU personaly a copy
of what i send to board of nursing

>
>> and no way you are making a malice case out quoting you to the nursing

>> board
>
> Easy pickings, Markie.

you don't know what you are talking about

>
> Your "complaint" will be dispositioned as a retaliatory action on
>your part.

retaliatory to what? after all you claim you have done nothing to me

your use of the word of relaliatory would seem to indiacate that you
are felling guility about something

my complaint will document my real concern that you have violated the
rules of the tennesse BoN cchapter 1000-2 rules and reg of lpn's
section 13 and 14

the TenN BoN will evaulate my material, and then take such action as
they seem fit, assuming you are right and you can post all shit you
have here without it being a concern to the BoN you will not be
damaged in any way hence no case for you, OTOH if I am right and send
them the right stuff and they act, they will damage you not me, and
again no case for Stevie against me

>
> And when they have closed it, my attorneys get the package. Then
>you get to drive to Tennessee for the civil case that will follow.

wrong again Stevie, assuming you can find an atttorney and a cause of
action I am still not required to travel to Tennessee

If you get something that the court will try to serve me with that
service will take place here (and I think you have to go to FEDERAL
court but I may be worng) then I first make a pro se effort to have
the case dismissed, failing that I will hire a lawyer able to practice
in TN and/or the federal Courts as needed

If I have to blow the money on a retainer then I will also take a shot
at suing you for the tort I believe you have done me with your
threats lies harrasent and hate speech, and things will start getting
expesive for you too

> Of
>course you can just sit up in the UP thinking that nothing will happen
>to you, but hey, it's your reality!

I could try that bbut that line doesn't work

>
>> > BTW...Since this "action" is taking place in Tennessee, you are
>> >going to have to travel to Tennessee. Do you intend to retain local
>> >counsel? Or shall we just serve you personally and then have you held

>> >IN Tennessee as a flight risk?
>>
>> re read the above
>
> YOU "re-read the above"
>
> YOU will have to come to Tennessee to testify. While you're here,
>I'll ahve you served with the civil action. The flight risk issue will
>be a certainty.
>
> Gotcha!

Greetings, I have found your comments to be so ridiculas and entertaining that I just had to weigh in. Let me introduce myself - I am who you have been referring to in various posts as Mrs. Markie. BTW, I am female at least the last time I checked. I also happen to be a trained paralegal and a 1L. First of all you are confusing the processes and methods of criminal legal cases with those of civil. You need to go clarify that before you make any more stupid comments. Try picking up a simple study guide on torts. 2nd, in this matter it is not Mark who would be bringing the action against you re: your license but the BON of TN - he is merely providing info so your cause of action if you do suffer damages from this proceeding would be against them, not Mark. 3rd, even if he is required to give a deposition in this matter he can do so from here in some fashion with modern technology. 4th, if you wish to bring a cause of action against Mark you first have to identify and quantify the real (legal term) damages that he ostensibly has or would have caused you which cannot occur until after the hearing by the BON (see above) you also would have to bring suit in a place that has jurisdiction over a resident of MI which is not the state of TN. For arguements sake, if you were able to bring suit in TN Mark still wouldn't have to come there - that's what attys are for and therefore you would have no course to arrange to have him held in TN as 'a flight risk' which is not a factor in a civil suit - another confusion you are making btwn civil and criminal law.

Check your facts Steve before you make more of an ass out of yourself than you are. If you actually have facts re: your legal knowledge citations would give you credibility which you are lacking.

signing off and returning you to KB9RQZ
Mrs. Markie, 3rd party traffic . . .

My wife is a bit wordy for this forum but i had trouble sorting out all your legal errors

Truely and in all kindness for your families sake TALK TO LAWYER SOON

>
>> you are really losing it Stevie
>>

>> a flight risk on a civil action ?
>
> Nope. The civil action is just what >>I<< will be persuing for
>damages. Remember what I told you DAYS ago about what happens to
>people who file malicious retaliatory complaints? THAT is criminal.

so you say and yet you also say you can fille your concerns with other states freely without criminal penality

you have even stated I can legaly file my concerns with the BoN in the past I dissmissed this at the time not believeing there was a cuase or rule they could use in this matter, when YOU pointed one out I (with help from Mrs Markie) went looking and found tha you may have in fact told the turth on that score for once

agin assuming somehow you can presaude the cops down there to try and trump up some charge (and your filling of any falsehood in your police report could get you in trouble remember) they would still have to go through the legal mechanism of extradicting me a very expense and time consuming problem

>
>> you are out of your mind
>
> Nope.
>
>> if by some strech of the imagine nation you are talking about a
>> criminal complaint then you over look extradiction and other legal
>> niceities
>
> Not if you're already here to testify on the original
>"complaint".
>
>..And Markie, I DO have the right to face you in a
>hearing.
check your facts

not sure you have that right as I understand I should be able to be disposed like any other witness

>....You file a complaint in Tennessee, and Tennessee is where
>you're going to have to go to testify.
check your facts

> You don't show, the complaint
>is dismissed and>

check your facts

> I STILL get to file a civil complaint on the malicious
>complaint charge!

get your fact straight
>
> And once you're here on the original complaint the courts can order
>you to remain here.
Stevie the BoN is not a court of law

and court of law must have some reason to issue an order

I am not required to stay in tennesesse for years just because you
file a civil action

any criminal filing can only be considered after the BoN has issed its
findings. then a DA must decide that any action I took rises to a
level that is criminal, and then he would get a warrant for my arest

> Otherwise you're in contempt of court. Those
>follow you across state lines too.
>
>> you are just plain stupid Stevie
>
> Keep telling yourself that, Markie...Keep hoping....Keep asking
>yourself, "...is this really worth it?"

you are just afraid you have blown it and that Google has provided it
to me

you have provoked this response by years of abusive behavoir

you clearly do not understand the issues you are dealing with .

GET real LEGAL advice, for your good
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 498. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 18:19:41 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <f9ism1h5drpulbi7rumc439kv6i200bqut@4ax.com>
Source record: 123012

On 6 Nov 2005 17:57:57 +0100, "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>"Usenet Central" <Hightower@cosmos.net> wrote in
>news:KKW6f.19071$QE1.9591@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net:
>
>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns96F8CFA83849Felektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
>>
>>: Any way you look at it though, more than half the comments are in
>>: favour of the NPRM and less than a third against,
>>
>> Not in fact is it ANY WAY (every way) you look at it. If Lenards
>> tally is accurate, your issue seems almost exactly an even race since
>> the official Federal Register publication of the NRPM with 50.41% in
>> favor or dropping Morse and 49.59% in sympathy to retain at least some
>> Morse examination. Based on your presidents election experience you
>> should exam for perhaps hanging chads in some preceints in Florida.
>>
>> BGO
>>
>>
>> --
>> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
>> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
>> - Voltaire
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>It's only an even race if you count those who want to retain a code test
>for Extra only as being in the anti camp. I guarantee that the FCC will be
>able to think of no reason to retain it only for Extras. In your dreams,
>maybe.

the FCC has already stated same in NPRM

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 499. Re: Free Ride, was Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 18:25:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9fism1dltpdl5u292rum4othspdtqt57mb@4ax.com>
Source record: 123014

On Sun, 6 Nov 2005 12:22:03 -0500, "Dee Flint"
<deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote:

>
><hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1131295567.237805.101430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 4 Nov 2005 17:16
>>>
>>>
>>> >Dee Flint wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> I would disagree with this approach. Here's why.
>>> >
>>> >> Let me start by saying that I believe all amateurs should have a basic
>>> >> ability in Morse code as it is one of the basics of amateur radio.
>>> >
>>> >Why is it that you only want volunteers to take up the burden of
>>> >learning morse code on "thier" free time and equip morse code radio
>>> >stations at their own expense?
>>>
>>> ...just because...
>>
>> There's got to be some deeper motive.
>>
>
>There is no deeper motive. Notice that Len Anderson has made no comment on
>my approach to using CW when the license structure changes. He chooses to
>comment on a section that is clearly identified as opinion. I'm entitled to
>my opinion just like any one else.

as is he

Len anderson choose as is his right to comment on what he choose to
coment on

BTW it Brain Burke that suggests you have a deeper motive in calling
for Ham to spend their time on Morse Code not Len, you might try
getting your stributions correct
>
>>> >Why wouldn't you want paid, professional radio operators and radio
>>> >users to know Morse Code? Especially paid emergency communicators and
>>> >emergency responders.
>>> >

>>> >If the government needed or wanted morse code radio operators, they
>>> >should create a GS5-7-9-11 series and get them.
>>>
>>> Dee must imagine that ONLY hams are First Responders to
>>> any emergency/crisis/disaster.
>>
>> If so then Dee needs to back off and let first responders respond
>> first.
>>
>
>Notice that Len Anderson injects something into my post that was not there,
>was not implied, and has not been stated or implied in any of my posts. I
>am firmly in favor of first reponders responding first and other groups
>standing by until they are needed and then only going in if they are needed.
>However as you can see, rather than addressing the concept that I was
>discussing, Len Anderson has gone off on one of his tangents and trying to
>distract people from my actual statements. This is why I killfiled him long
>ago.

gain you are mis atributing the coments

you keep it up and you will be flat out lying

>
>I see that you started the paragraph with "if so...." You are wise to doubt
>his statements. Investigate for yourself.
>
>Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 500. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 18:26:14 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <khism191mjcd9jtlhrk8jt2gsp7r125c3k@4ax.com>
Source record: 123013
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:

>I was just curious as to the legallity of repairing a CB. I wasnt admitting
>to anything.. honest .... ;o)

LOL!

Unfortunately, Amateur Radio has seen increasing restrictions placed
on it due to people seeking 'clarification' from the authorities,
rather than enjoying freedom given by the sometimes loosely-worded
regulations....

from
Aero Spike

# 501. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 18:44:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051106134405.826$Ts@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123015

```
kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 06 Nov 2005 11:43:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 03:02:44 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> >>
> >> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > >>
> >> >> > >> 172.174.47.36
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my
> >> >> > >isp....the other is desperate to come to my home and take
> >> >> > >digipics of me.... ...all because I tell the truth - that Leland
> >> >> > >is innocent.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > sorry you are wrong about that
> >> >> >
> >> >> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
> >> >
> >> >kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
> >> >the drop of a hat, LOL.
> >>
> >> gee thank you for the newsflash
> >>
> >Call the FBI on me too, markie the moron.
>
> if you choose to fact threaten me and make it seem like a creidible
> threat and give me enough to realy report you I will
>
If a frog had wings he wouldn't bump his ass on the ground either, you
silly sissy.
```

# 502. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 18:45:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <3qjsm1lu54uig6os4bdv4p1dldmink89p0@4ax.com>
Source record: 123016

On 06 Nov 2005 18:44:05 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 06 Nov 2005 11:43:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 03:02:44 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > >>
>> >> >> > >> 172.174.47.36
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my
>> >> >> > >isp....the other is desperate to come to my home and take
>> >> >> > >digipics of me.... ...all because I tell the truth - that Leland
>> >> >> > >is innocent.
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > sorry you are wrong about that
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
>> >> >
>> >> >kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
>> >> >the drop of a hat, LOL.
>> >>
>> >> gee thank you for the newsflash
>> >>
>> >Call the FBI on me too, markie the moron.
>>
>> if you choose to fact threaten me and make it seem like a creidible
>> threat and give me enough to realy report you I will
>>
>If a frog had wings he wouldn't bump his ass on the ground either, you
>silly sissy.

most insightful

insightful of what beyond your distrubed mind I don't know

---------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 503. Re: I need a hug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 19:22:58 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <LibsSuck@Libz.col>
Message-ID: <dkll2o$icr$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123017
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Whinyng"?? There's another one for the West By God Virginia dictionary.

And where is YOUR girl friend, Woger? And I don't mean the inflatable one, either.

Still posting your photo in the Yahoo! singles groups and hoping some mope will come along and feel sorry for you?

"Whinyng". What?

"Plagairizing". Geesh

"emnpty" The inside of Roger's head.

BUAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!
--
"It's "complaintant," you sniveling weasel." (Roger Wiseman on spelling complainant.)
>

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:1131289639.002788.51880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Answering yourself, Lardass?

# 504. Re: K1MAN & AARA/IARU To Stop BPL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 20:13:08 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <ed65c$436e63bb$4fc41ea$16710@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 123018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Glenn B. is a joke.
LOL
Ace - WH2T

"Ashley VK3HAG" <vk3hag@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Ofebf.9751$Hj2.5666@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> K1MAN BULLETIN - B051026B -
>
> UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND
> INTERNATIONAL AMATEUR RADIO NETWORK (IARN)
>
> K1MAN and his team at AARA/IARN, being America's largest amateur radio
> society are going to take on the big guns and challenge bpl. They, with
> all their vast experience and technical expertise plan to challenge the
> FCC and the BPL operators. This is revealed in the latest issue of K1MAN's
> newsletter that promises tells all that cannot be published elsewhere. He
> is naming names and telling it just like it really is for 45 US a year.
> Jesus christ, MAN, it's what, 2 pages of photocopy paper and a stamp x 12.
> Damn expensive dunny paper mate.
>
> AARA President, Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, says that the "ARRL haven't done
> enough" and lack the skills necessary to challenge bpl and the big power
> companies. K1MAN believes the AARA have the people and skills to lead a
> fight against the BPL operators. Glenn Baxter believes he can become the
> savior of amateur hf communications, given his vast experience in fighting
> and dealing with the FCC and communications legislation. The AARA, like
> all other amateur societies across the globe have carried out extensive
> testing, research and debate on BPL since it's early days. AARA was active
> in filing complaints with regulatory bodies across the world, reinforcing
> the position taken by local amateur bodies.
>
> K1MAN says, unlike the ARRL, the key to it all is lawyers, lawyers and
> more bloody lawyers. K1MAN plans to solve the problems with the FCC, both
> the BPL and his own by using lawyers. That's right K1MAN, when there's a
> problem a lawyer can fix it. "Just what the world needs son, more bloody
> lawyers."
>
> Others argue that K1MAN and his love for lawyers may actually be more of a
> problem than a benefit. It must cost the AARA a bloody fortune for legal
> advice every five minutes. I wonder if K1MAN is also head of the AARA's
> lawyers department. I have a landline in my bedroom, in MAN Land that's
> called a switchboard.
>

> As can be seen from the extensive web site and submissions on bpl to
> regulatory bodies, the AARA will be the body to fight bpl, and K1MAN will
> lead the fight. From his gaol cell that is.
>
> K1MAN has team with Toadfish and the N9OGL Show to provide updates on BPL
> operations from the audio room....of the local prison. Listen for the
> "MANTOAD" Bulletins....
>
> After release K1MAN plans to be the next President of the US, an
> astronaut, astronomer, radio broadcaster, engineer, research scientist, tv
> news anchor, dj, and whatever else he may wake up each day, wishing he
> was, on his way to the welfare office.
>
> When I was a kid I used to pull up weeds, put them in pots and pretend to
> sell them. I grew up. Stop pretending to be something you aren't Glenn.
>
> BTW, as your AARA/IARN is an amateur society I'm wondering who on your
> committee represents your members to the IARU and World Radio Conferences.
>
> Perhaps the ARRL were behind your latest NAL K1MAN, you know with you been
> such a hugh threat to their numbers and all.
>
> Any nong can form a company and be a director of it. Gee, I can go out
> today, go to a government office hand them AU$60 and get a Registered
> Organisation Certificate for, let's say "Australian Amateur Radio
> Association-or AARA" and make myself President, Director, Manager,
> whatever the hell I like. It does not mean that I am actually good at it
> or can serve any real purpose.
>
> Seems that K1MAN is the "George" (aka 'Sienfield') of Amateur Radio. Next
> he'll be printing a daily newsletter on his laser printer and calling
> himself a metropolitan daily newspaper that's circulating across America,
> when in fact he means his street.
>
> When down, visit http://www.k1man.com for a good ol laugh. It's bloody
> hillarous.
>
> 73
> VK3HAG
> Future AARA President :)

## 505. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 20:18:18 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dkloe7$f39$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123019
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:khism191mjcd9jtlhrk8jt2gsp7r125c3k@4ax.com...
>
> The Magnum wrote:
>
> >I was just curious as to the legallity of repairing a CB. I wasnt
admitting
> >to anything.. honest .... ;o)
>
> LOL!
>
> Unfortunately, Amateur Radio has seen increasing restrictions placed
> on it due to people seeking 'clarification' from the authorities,
> rather than enjoying freedom given by the sometimes loosely-worded
> regulations....
>
> from
> Aero Spike

Sounds good to me, now wheres my hammer and screwdriver.. im sure theres an
extra half watt in there somewhere ;o)

## 506. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 20:25:40 UTC
From: W2DNE <info@ultradne.com>
Message-ID: <dklos4$ahq$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 123020

"Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xns97067E98B1E4Felektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30...
----CLIPPED ABOUT 500 LINES---
>>
>
> Len, I'm not a US citizen. The FCC doesn't ask for comments only from
> citizens. If they did I would respect that and not post any, beleive it or
> not, but they don't, so I do. They also have no means of checking. Plus I
> hold an FCC licence and live in the USA, and last of all, didn't attend a
> high school civics class here, ROTFL!

JESUS H CHRIST ON A UNICYCLE --- LEARN TO TRIM BEFORE YOU POST !!!!!

# 507. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 20:43:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131309811.653052.118480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123021

From: Alun L. Palmer on Nov 6, 8:57 am

>"Usenet Central" <Highto...@cosmos.net> wrote in
>> "Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> wrote in message

>>: Any way you look at it though, more than half the comments are in
>>: favour of the NPRM and less than a third against,
>
>> Not in fact is it ANY WAY (every way) you look at it. If Lenards
>> tally is accurate, your issue seems almost exactly an even race since
>> the official Federal Register publication of the NRPM with 50.41% in
>> favor or dropping Morse and 49.59% in sympathy to retain at least some
>> Morse examination. Based on your presidents election experience you
>> should exam for perhaps hanging chads in some preceints in Florida.
>
>> BGO [probably Barnabus Grumwich Overbite]

>It's only an even race if you count those who want to retain a code test
>for Extra only as being in the anti camp. I guarantee that the FCC will be
>able to think of no reason to retain it only for Extras. In your dreams,
>maybe.

Speaking of paradoxes, the code test for extra people allow
the "lesser classes" to be free of federal code testing for a
license. They are the classic mugwumps sitting astride the
code-test-fence, one foot on each side.

So, which way to count them? :-)

We can all go to AH0A.ORG and use Speroni's "unbiased" (Ha!)
lumping of the code-test-only-for-extras comments as being
Absolutely FOR CW! [no red strike-outs on THOSE icons!]

Of course, Joe Speroni is an unabashed morseman since way back.
He also had a couple Petitions DENIED by the FCC. <shrug>

Jimmie say Speroni is MORE ACCURATE. He is a morseman, ergo,
he is "accurate." <shrug> <wink, wink...> :-)

My analysis put the code-test-only-for-extras in a separate
category, neither for nor against the NPRM. Readers will
have to decide for themselves how to "rate" them.

What the Commission will actually DO in the future is up to
them. Some poor guy/gal or small group there has got a
whale of an analysis task to wade through nearly 3700 filings
after 14 November 2005 and try to get a feeling of what the

"public" wants. That's going to take quite a while, I'd say more than the 10+ months between 15 Jan 99 and late December 1999 on WT Docket 98-143 for Restructuring. 98-143 had no more than about 2200 filings between official start and end times of Comments/Replies.

FCC once said it wanted a "consensus" on opinions in amateur radio. It should be blatantly obvious that there is NO such "consensus" with regard to WT Docket 05-235 and NPRM 05-143.

Nitpickers can make all the "finger-pointing" they want about "illusionary four decimal place percentages" but the opinions filed so far have a damn close near-even split betweeen For and Against.

Whichever way the final R&O goes, we've all seen a part of history in the making in regards to U.S. amateur radio. Democracy in action, visible on the FCC ECFS! Good thing!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 508. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 20:45:58 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <CXtbf.9915$7s1.1097@fe04.lga>
Source record: 123022
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dkldtm$72s$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> I wonder where the law stands as far as CB radio's go. Are CB's allowed to
> be repaired by the average CB'er who has the knowledge or are they only
> repairable by a qualified technician of some kind. I ask along the lines
of
> the UK but would find the answer for America just as interesting.
>

I'm not sure why this thread is even being cross-posted into a CB group, but
to answer your question, in the US, the radio must be serviced by a
qualified, licensed individual. That is the "legal" way of doing it anyway.
It doesn't happen very often, but that is another story for another time...

## 509. Re: Free Ride, was Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 20:46:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131309976.084916.65610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123023

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sun, Nov 6 2005 8:46 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 4 Nov 2005 17:16
>> >Dee Flint wrote:

>> >> I would disagree with this approach. Here's why.
>
>> >> Let me start by saying that I believe all amateurs should have a basic
>> >> ability in Morse code as it is one of the basics of amateur radio.
>
>> >Why is it that you only want volunteers to take up the burden of
>> >learning morse code on "thier" free time and equip morse code radio
>> >stations at their own expense?
>
>> ...just because...
>
>There's got to be some deeper motive.

There is. They are "superior" to ordinary mortals through
morsemanship.

>> >Why wouldn't you want paid, professional radio operators and radio
>> >users to know Morse Code? Especially paid emergency communicators and
>> >emergency responders.
>
>> >If the government needed or wanted morse code radio operators, they
>> >should create a GS5-7-9-11 series and get them.
>
>> Dee must imagine that ONLY hams are First Responders to
>> any emergency/crisis/disaster.
>
>If so then Dee needs to back off and let first responders respond
>first.

Dee is ANGRY that I challenged her on emergency comms via the
"First Responder" comment. [horrors...!]

Ya notice that *NO* PCTA has ever come back on that challenge
about the REAL emergency/crisis/disaster comms people and
what THEY choose? :-)

>> >But the PCTA are more than willing to donate other people's free time
>> >and money in order to provide the government with something it doesn't
>> >want and doesn't want to pay for, just so you'll have someone to QSL
>> >with on the low end of the bands.

>
>> PCTA think they are spay-shul (as the church lady said) and
>> NEED that code test to "prove" they are "better."
>
>They are special. But when all become special, none are.

Logically true. :-)

RCA sub-division Commercial Aviation Electronics had a sales
phrase "Primus inter Pares" (First Among Equals) in '74. :-)

Weird sort of paradox in Latin, no less! RCA overgovernment
told them to stop its use after some months. Too many
potential customers knew Latin and remarked on it...without
buying enough equipment. :-)

Neat, almost self-defining paradox thing: First Among Equals!

>> They are Mighty Macho Motivated Morsemen. Hear them roar...
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org
>
>They were born 100 years too late.

Well, maybe 50 years. :-)

In 1935 code was king. 70 years later the throne is white
and located in the bathroom?

[watch this space for OUTRAGE by the PCTA...:-)]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 510. Re: Radio Legends Denounce Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 21:19:31 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <amssm1luitgo1oamkq2f7bvc8jn8hd7pab@4ax.com>
Source record: 123025

On Sun, 6 Nov 2005 17:54:29 +0000, John Johnston
<John.Johnston.1y3g4e@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>Leo Meyerson, founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics says Glenn Baxter is
>apparently using his name and picture to generate publicity for AARA and
>IARN. Myerson says he's well aware of Baxter's activities and he
>completely disapproves! Myerson says he has no affiliation with
>ex-k1man and he never has had an affiliation. "Baxter butted into a
>conversation between me an my wife at Dayton and the clown wouldn't
>leave until we had our picture taken with him. To say I'm affiliated
>with Baxter is like saying Saddam Hussein is a member of the Boy
>Scouts. It's ridiculous."
>
>Myerson is the second living legend to dnounce Baxter in the last 6
>months
living Legend? I don't i have ever heard his name I avguely recall
reading about WRL I think so perhaps I ran accross his name but Living
legend? get real

>. The first, Walter Cronkite, wrote a letter exposing Baxter's
>lack of ethics. Cronkite went on to ask the FCC to DENY FCC license
>renewal to k1man.
>
>Glenn's sponge-boy and bath house attendant N9OGL reportedly responded
>to the news, saying, "I love Glenn, and the c0ckzugging FCC can byte
>me! When I move out of my parents basement, I plan to have an even
>better show than Baxter, and no panzy-azzes at the FCC can stop me
>neither. You'll see, you'll all see!"

and now you show the real motie in the post trying to provoke Todd
again
>
>Todd was later unavailable for comment and was said to be under heavy
>sedation at the Taylorville Hospital for the Criminally Potty-Mouthed.

and then you finish up with an out right lie and exptect to be
believed?
>
>See <http://home.ripway.com/2005-7/357648/> for more details...

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 511. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 22:13:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131315231.140567.120140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123026

From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> on Sun, Nov 6 2005 9:25 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in news:1130386378.660926.152330
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
>>>> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
>>>> >> Mike Coslo <mremovethisco...@adelphia.net> wrote in
>>>> >> > kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>> >> >> On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 16:41:58 -0400, Mike Coslo
>>>> >> >>>kb9...@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>> >> >>>>On Sat, 22 Oct 2005 14:23:24 -0400, Mike Coslo
>>>> >> >>>>>N...@AOL.COM wrote:

>>> Nice MISDIRECTION away from the NPRM. :-)

<big snip so as not to offend W2DNE...:-) >

>Len, I'm not a US citizen. The FCC doesn't ask for comments only from
>citizens. If they did I would respect that and not post any, beleive it or
>not, but they don't, so I do. They also have no means of checking. Plus I
>hold an FCC licence and live in the USA, and last of all, didn't attend a
>high school civics class here, ROTFL!

Alun, my posting to my severest critic (Jimmie) did not involve
your residency. However, it might be worth it to point out a
few things about Internet access and basic U.S. law.

While the government of the United States is now heavily
connected to the Internet and, if an agency makes input to
them public via that same Internet, it does NOT mean that
the Constitution of United States has been "changed" or
overthrown...so that "all" can "decide" on U.S. law.

The FCC "permits" non-resident input to the ECFS only because
it has not installed an elaborate system of locking out those
who are foreign residents (by the address blocks required to
enter an electronic comment) or those who are foreign nationals.
Since U.S. citizens living abroad have an easy and convenient
communications avenue through the Internet, it is advantageous
to NOT have such a lock-out sub-program for them.

Mention of a foreign citizen having a "RECIPROCAL" U.S.
amateur radio license does NOT mean those who have it are
somehow "U.S. citizens" elligible for all the rights of the
U.S. Constitution guaranteed to citizens. That is NOT in
the Communications Act of 1934 nor the Telecommunications Act

of 1996 nor the Radio Regulations of the ITU-R. Those two Acts were created as Legislation by the Congress of the United States (which itself was created by the U.S. Constitution).

That everyone with access to the FCC ECFS can SEE each filing there does not mean the FCC will CONSIDER each one as representing "the public" (meaning the citizens of the United States). As is understood, the FCC need only LEGALLY CONSIDER those filings which were done in the legally-posted notice in the Federal Register...which did not happen until 31 August 2005 despite the first non-government filing on WT Docket 05-235 occuring on 20 July 2005. By observation and count, OVER HALF of the filings on that Docket (so far, official end of Replies to Comments is 14 November, Comment period was officially up on 31 October) were done BEFORE they were legally open and official! [did Joe Speroni bother with that "detail"?]

Comment of any kind to the FCC is OPEN to anyone because our mail and communications avenues are quite open to all. BUT, the FCC is obliged - by law - to consider "the public" in DETERMINING civil radio regulations' DECISIONS. What "the public" is to the FCC is a legal nicety handled better by those who are legal specialists. Phil Kane, an attorney specializing in communications law and former regular in here, might comment on that...or might not.

Would the United Kingdom hold U.S. citizens' comments about THEIR laws in the same regard as a UK citizen? Would they DECIDE new laws and regulations on the basis of such foreign input? I don't think so.

WT Docket 05-235 is NOT a "voting booth." There is NO VOTE on NPRM 05-143. We can communicate with the FCC openly (as long as they permit that) but, in the end, the DECISIONS on amateur radio regulations are THEIRS. That I happened to use percentages in my tally or that Joe Speroni later used percentages in his tally, is just a convenience is seeing who was for what. Whatever method the FCC actually uses to REACH a decision on making a Report and Order is up to THEM.

Don't get your legal briefs in a bind. California has a Special Election on Tuesday. Do you consider that legal residents NOT of California (population somewhere around 33 million) have "equal right" to VOTE - and thus DECIDE - on several issues in that election? I don't think so. The state of California doesn't think so.

For further legal questions on the admissability of written communications to the United States government, please

consult with the self-styled lawmaster in here, James P. Miccolis. He "has all the answers." Oyez, oyez!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 512. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 22:25:13 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dpvbf.5770$m81.1857@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123029
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

> I'm not sure why this thread is even being cross-posted into a CB group,
but
> to answer your question, in the US, the radio must be serviced by a
> qualified, licensed individual. That is the "legal" way of doing it
anyway.
> It doesn't happen very often, but that is another story for another
time...
>
>

Get a new rule book like one that is newer than say about 10 or so years.
The CB radios in the US has not required a license to repair them in many
years. The GROL is the replacement for the 1st and 2 nd class licenses that
used to be required to repair many transmitters. For about 95 % of the
transmitters in the US it is now worthless and not needed. Some companies
may require it as they think they are getting someone that may know
something. Now it is only needed for :

**********
General Radiotelephone Operator License (GROL) is required to adjust
maintain, or internally repair FCC licensed radiotelephone transmitters in
the aviation, maritime and international fixed public radio services. It
conveys all of the operating authority of the MROP.

It is required to operate the following:

any maritime land radio station or compulsorily equipped ship radiotelephone
station operating with more than 1500 watts of peak envelope power;

voluntarily equipped ship and aeronautical (including aircraft) stations
with more than 1000 watts of peak envelope power

**********

Go here for more info on the licenses that are now issued.

http://www.narte.org/h/fccabout.asp

## 513. kb9rqz whining sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 22:28:19 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051106172819.057$oR_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123030

Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >
> > most insightful
> >
> > insightful of what beyond your distrubed mind I don't know
>
> Why don't you report me to the FBI as well, hell, just report everyone
> on the group you usless twit. Go ahead, the FBI has much better things
> to do than to listen to a retarted half-wit.
>
Can't imagine how funny it would be listening to that fruitcake whine to
the law about how mean everyone is to him on Usenet. hehe

# 514. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 22:29:03 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <hq0tm1dkghi2g5saa8uemtqogkcfdfskac@4ax.com>
Source record: 123031

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 15:24:37 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
<radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>> most insightful
>>
>> insightful of what beyond your distrubed mind I don't know
>
>Why don't you report me to the FBI as well, hell, just report everyone
>on the group you usless twit. Go ahead, the FBI has much better things
>to do than to listen to a retarted half-wit.

if you want to make a credible treat and provide a lead as to your Id
you can be acomdated but why don't you save the tax payers some money
if you want to be confined go to a hospital and I am Cmdr Buzz Corey

they may well give you a nice valiium holiday

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 515. Re: Radio Legends Denounce Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 22:30:24 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ut0tm1htuq7piv8p7a8uq6huplaid5al9q@4ax.com>
Source record: 123032

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 15:22:15 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
<radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
>>
>> living Legend? I don't i have ever heard his name I avguely recall
>> reading about WRL I think so perhaps I ran accross his name but Living
>> legend? get real
>
>If you knew anything about ham radio, you would know about Leo Meyerson,
>but then again, if you just knew anything period....

why becuase he was some old time radio guy indeed from the call it
would sem he is more famous for a comercail station likely before WW2
at a guess perhaps right afterward either way old news at best

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 516. Re: Radio Legends Denounce Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 22:34:24 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <371tm15mqub4p44tric1a84ngd58ee7jah@4ax.com>
Source record: 123035

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 15:36:32 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
<radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 15:22:15 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
>> <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>living Legend? I don't i have ever heard his name I avguely recall
>>>>reading about WRL I think so perhaps I ran accross his name but Living
>>>>legend? get real
>>>
>>>If you knew anything about ham radio, you would know about Leo Meyerson,
>>>but then again, if you just knew anything period....
>>
>>
>> why becuase he was some old time radio guy indeed from the call it
>> would sem he is more famous for a comercail station likely before WW2
>> at a guess perhaps right afterward either way old news at best
>
>Like I said, if you knew anything..........

why should I care who he is?

---------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 517. Re: kb9rqz whining sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 22:41:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051106174103.694$bY@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123036

Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> >
> >>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >>
> >>>most insightful
> >>>
> >>>insightful of what beyond your distrubed mind I don't know
> >>
> >>Why don't you report me to the FBI as well, hell, just report everyone
> >>on the group you usless twit. Go ahead, the FBI has much better things
> >>to do than to listen to a retarted half-wit.
> >>
> >
> > Can't imagine how funny it would be listening to that fruitcake whine
> > to the law about how mean everyone is to him on Usenet. hehe
>
> He is always the victim, what a dumb turd.
>
Him whining with his voice that 'many confuse as a girl'(his words) has to
be funny!

http://tinyurl.com/82gql

Turd indeed.

## 518. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 22:46:56 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dkm150$qa5$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123037
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:CXtbf.9915$7s1.1097@fe04.lga...
>
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:dkldtm$72s$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > I wonder where the law stands as far as CB radio's go. Are CB's allowed
to
> > be repaired by the average CB'er who has the knowledge or are they only
> > repairable by a qualified technician of some kind. I ask along the lines
> of
> > the UK but would find the answer for America just as interesting.
> >
>
> I'm not sure why this thread is even being cross-posted into a CB group,
but

Because its a question about CB's ??????????

> to answer your question, in the US, the radio must be serviced by a
> qualified, licensed individual. That is the "legal" way of doing it
anyway.
> It doesn't happen very often, but that is another story for another
time...

Thanks for that. So the theory i get so far is anyone can build an Amateur
radio but they cant "repair" a CB radio.... lol.. it is a crazy world

## 519. Re: Radio Legends Denounce Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 23:22:15 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <GMydnSe03c4XHPPeRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123027

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

>
> living Legend? I don't i have ever heard his name I avguely recall
> reading about WRL I think so perhaps I ran accross his name but Living
> legend? get real

If you knew anything about ham radio, you would know about Leo Meyerson,
but then again, if you just knew anything period....

# 520. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 23:24:37 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <GMydnSa03c6FH_PeRVn-gw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123028

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
> most insightful
>
> insightful of what beyond your distrubed mind I don't know

Why don't you report me to the FBI as well, hell, just report everyone
on the group you usless twit. Go ahead, the FBI has much better things
to do than to listen to a retarted half-wit.

# 521. Re: Radio Legends Denounce Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 23:36:32 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <b4WdnY6X0MV-GfPeRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123033

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 15:22:15 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
> <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
>
>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>
>>>living Legend? I don't i have ever heard his name I avguely recall
>>>reading about WRL I think so perhaps I ran accross his name but Living
>>>legend? get real
>>
>>If you knew anything about ham radio, you would know about Leo Meyerson,
>>but then again, if you just knew anything period....
>
>
> why becuase he was some old time radio guy indeed from the call it
> would sem he is more famous for a comercail station likely before WW2
> at a guess perhaps right afterward either way old news at best

Like I said, if you knew anything...........

## 522. Re: kb9rqz whining sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 23:38:07 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <b4WdnYmX0MXfGPPeRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123034

Steveo wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
>>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>
>>>most insightful
>>>
>>>insightful of what beyond your distrubed mind I don't know
>>
>>Why don't you report me to the FBI as well, hell, just report everyone
>>on the group you usless twit. Go ahead, the FBI has much better things
>>to do than to listen to a retarted half-wit.
>>
>
> Can't imagine how funny it would be listening to that fruitcake whine to
> the law about how mean everyone is to him on Usenet. hehe

He is always the victim, what a dumb turd.

## 523. AB8MQ Home Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 23:42:37 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <LibsSuck@Libz.col>
Message-ID: <dkm49r$jto$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

AB8MQ, his family and friend have their own web site.

http://www.mytrailerpark.com/

# 524. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-06 23:52:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131321161.878061.158220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

>HAG said
>On a DX band too. Idiot.

what do mean?? the ARRL can run their bulletin on a DX band and I
can't?

Todd Daugherty N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
Saturday 4-5pm CST on14.321.00 MHz

## 525. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:14:31 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <C62dncXxtsxREPPenZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123038

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

> 
> 
> he said something about being comedian but he titled his resposne Mark
> Morgan caught on tape molesting 8 yo
> 
> also claimed that the title was joke
> 
> below in myrepost

Did he say *which* Mark Morgan was caught on tape? Did he give your address or a description indicating he was specificily talking about you? Do you think you are the only Mark Morgan in the world? Do you even think?

## 526. Re: kb9rqz whining sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:18:27 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <C62dncTxtswrE_PenZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123039

Steveo wrote:

>
> http://tinyurl.com/82gql

GAG!!!!

## 527. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:23:51 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <kj7tm1d6c015fq4fn2pkies1bouljp06na@4ax.com>
Source record: 123042

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 16:14:31 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
<radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:

>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> he said something about being comedian but he titled his resposne Mark
>> Morgan caught on tape molesting 8 yo
>>
>> also claimed that the title was joke
>>
>> below in myrepost
>
>
>Did he say *which* Mark Morgan was caught on tape?
yes he did in point of fact
cuting the rest of the bullshit

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 528. Re: kb9rqz whining sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:36:26 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ua8tm1t62u3ntkjsedq6cbje5rmthanofe@4ax.com>
Source record: 123044

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 16:18:27 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
<radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:

>Steveo wrote:
>
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/82gql
>
>
>GAG!!!!

gee even when I play with the girls you bitch about it

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 529. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:37:03 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Pkxbf.5807$m81.1059@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123043
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

> Thanks for that. So the theory i get so far is anyone can build an Amateur
> radio but they cant "repair" a CB radio.... lol.. it is a crazy world
>
>

See comments above about license not needed any more for CB repair in the US.

It used to be the Amateur tests were about on par with the comercial class licenses. The main differance was just the regulatory rules and not the electrical part. That was about 1972 when I passed the 1 st class license. The way they are now anyone with a good memory can pass the tests. My wife passed the Technichan class about 1987 or 88 just by memorizing the Q&A pool. She doesn't know or care about the technical part of radio.

## 530. Re: AB8MQ Home Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:41:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131324094.798936.198180@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123045
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Funny as hell

## 531. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:42:27 UTC
From: ﷺBob_Downonit@gag.net
Message-ID: <Tpxbf.110106$US.106449@news.ono.com>
Source record: 123046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> On 06 Nov 2005 11:43:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 03:02:44 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> >>
> >> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> > >>
> >> >> > >> 172.174.47.36
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
> >> >> > >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
> >> >> > >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
> >> >> > >
> >> >> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!!
> >> >> >
> >> >> > sorry you are wrong about that
> >> >> >
> >> >> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
> >> >
> >> >kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
> >> >the drop of a hat, LOL.
> >>
> >> gee thank you for the newsflash
> >>
> >Call the FBI on me too, markie the moron.
>
> if you choose to fact threaten me and make it seem like a creidible
> threat and give me enough to realy report you I will
>
> till then

It will never be credible, because mopehole can never
post his real identity or else he is screwed.

# 532. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:45:24 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ql8tm1dt2iaat288hqjm6flfemlp555jjc@4ax.com>
Source record: 123047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 07 Nov 2005 00:42:27 GMT, ï¿½Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:

>> On 06 Nov 2005 11:43:37 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
>>
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 03:02:44 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>> >>
>> >> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >> > On Fri, 04 Nov 2005 06:15:12 GMT, Bob_Downonit@gag.net wrote:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > >>
>> >> >> > >> 172.174.47.36
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > >lol....one of you threatens legal action and loss of my isp....the
>> >> >> > >other is desperate to come to my home and take digipics of me....
>> >> >> > >...all because I tell the truth - that Leland is innocent.
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > >Only on rec.radio.cb!!!!!!!!!!!
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > sorry you are wrong about that
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> Someone should slap the snot out of you, sissy.
>> >> >
>> >> >kb9qrz, allow me to introduce mopehole, he will assault any one at
>> >> >the drop of a hat, LOL.
>> >>
>> >> gee thank you for the newsflash
>> >>
>> >Call the FBI on me too, markie the moron.
>>
>> if you choose to fact threaten me and make it seem like a creidible
>> threat and give me enough to realy report you I will
>>
>> till then
>
>
>It will never be credible, because mopehole can never
>post his real identity or else he is screwed.

well I make a point of never saying never about such things but I
agree it is very unlikely

indeed he has to do or say something that in fact firghtens or
disturbs me and that I don't feel I can handle

the mouses are going on about a threat made by posting someone else
address and phone who shares my name but isn't along with a week worth
of threats at me impling that poster palned to crucify me, given the
existance ofdanger however slight to someone I felt I had the incident

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 533. Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:48:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131324483.675334.215680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123048
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Not Intelligent Lloyd wrote:
> AB8MQ, his family and friend have their own web site.
>
> http://www.mytrailerpark.com/

Not even close, fatass. But it has been confirmed that you live in a
HUD section 8 low income housing project in the ghetto of Athens, AL!

http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/lloydiesschooldaze.jpg

# 534. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:50:59 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131324659.283267.113750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, you lied about the whole thing, Marky.

# 535. Re: KC8LDO is blameless - twisted and mopehole is mad, mad, madddd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:51:45 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <j69tm1dh245uvj356nc7o0d2vq0n01napg@4ax.com>
Source record: 123051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 6 Nov 2005 16:50:59 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>No, you lied about the whole thing, Marky.

nope not a bit of it was a lie

I will have to consider to do if folks start making a habit of fake
threats but your efort to make trouble of Mr Davies were wasted Mr
Slit or is it Mr Wiseman?

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 536. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:51:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131324707.075139.24190@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

STFU, FAGGOT!

# 537. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:53:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ub9tm1lf2fe1d5ka7qhcr7d2g1u4pebfd6@4ax.com>
Source record: 123053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 6 Nov 2005 16:51:47 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>STFU, FAGGOT!

gues you don't know the meaning of "reply"

since your message has nothing to do with his

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 538. Re: I need a hug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 00:55:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131324910.153668.126440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not Intelligent Lloyd wrote:
> "Whinyng"?? There's another one for the West By God Virginia dictionary.

><more of Lardass' post edits>

Drunk on a Sunday again fake xtian? Go back to jacking off your tiny dick to the shemnales you like to post on Nimbusters and dream of sodomizing those sheep that you love to talk about having sex with fatso.

How to speak like a retarded genetic reject like Mongolloyd Davies:

"breaddead" - LLoyd hasn't got anything upstairs in the oven
"defenseive" - Lardass is "defensive" of his ignorance
"counter-offenseive" - When LARDASS LLoyds attack
"nights" - Athens ASSHOLE was referring to last "night's" masturbation session
"I forget to set the playlist in Winamp to repeat!" - Mongolloyd also "forgets" the use of past tense, maybe he forgot!
"Techincal" - Lloyd just hates those "technical" things
"Dalkes" - Lloyd's granddad remembers when movies became "talkies" too bad LARDASS learned to Dalk <sic>
"That it!" - When Mongolloyds get real mad, they talk like Tor Johnson
"Woger" - LARDASS closely identifies with Elmer Fudd with his lack of hair and all
"decide on weather or not" - It's always "stormy weather" with Lloyd deciding "whether" or not to use correct English
"can not" - Mongolloyd is wondering how he "cannot" seem to get ahead in life
"is'nt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he is mad?
"PAINFULL" - How LARDASS' bathroom scale feels when he steps on it in the morning, real "painful"
"I wish for Roger Wisemand" - Lloyd liked thosed thingd ford Rogerd
"alot" - Davies dreams he can communicate in English a lot
"thier" - Lavender Lloyd sure does lisp after a night behind the dumpster
"dont" - Lloyd says "dont", the rest of the world says "don't"
"Isreal" - Is FATASS Lloyd's retardation for real, or can he blame Israel?
"juist jelous" "extermenate" - A three for one post Athens Asshole axiom
"footting" - What LARDASS doesn't have, an equal "footing" anywhere
"Cant" - Emmanuel?
"th" - When Lloyd gets nervous, he stutte, er stutters

"enfighting" - Lloyd's two brain cells are infighting for control of his stupidity
"YOU STUPID JEW!" - Wasn't Jesus Jewish, so called Christian LLoyd?
"Tonite" - There's nothing in Lloyd's brain "tonight" or any night
"segmant" - What Lloyd's communications are, only sentence "segments"
"I just listening" - Too bad he doesn't listen to his poor English
"buffon" - The Athens, Alabama "buffoon" strikes again!
"museium" - Where LARDASS LLoyd keeps his "muse"
"nastey" - How everybody describes LARDASS' body stench, especially in the ghetto
"loose" - Lloyd's description of his spinchter muscles...
"wont" - Lloyd refuses to learn English, it's Lloyd's "wont"
"awhile" - It will be "a while" before Davies gets a clue
"freinds" - Lloyd's conglomeration of "friends" and "fiends"
"thier" - "There" just isn't any hope for LARDASS Lloyd "ill-educated" Davies
"backstabers" - Lloyd's version of the OJays' hit
"becuase" - Home of the "Deliverance Extras" spelling by LARDASS Lloyd
"obselete" - What LARDASS thinking is to him, others see it as 'obsolete'.....
"belive" - What fools like LARDASS Lloyd "believes"
"thier" - Ill-educated Xtian LARDASS Lloyd shows off his language skills!
"marshalls" - Mongolloyd spell "marshals" real good!
Wisemand - LARDASSd fucksd upd againd
"compay" - Mongolloyd never gets any female "compay," while others do get "company"
" But when we are winning," DUMBFUCK, YOU have NEVER WON anything in your miserable life!
"and do the nasty!" You don't really know what that means, do you, 30 year old VIRGIN?
"It's does" - Mongolloyd use good English
"momnet" - LARDASS Lloyd Davies misses his dead "momnet" for a "moment"
"seriuosly" - Lavender Lad President Mongolloyd wants to be taken "seriously" instead of "seriuosly"
"annouced" - Mongolloyd "announces" his stupidity again
"tonite" - Davies never lets his lack of education stop him from announcing to the world he's a fucking imbecile!
"nite" - When LARDASS Lloyd molests those kids he makes them say "nite, nite" at "night"
"weldare" - Calling Dr. Weldare, LARDASS needs his head out of his FATASS....put it on his welfare bill
"so fuck of" - When Mongolloyds who have man tits talk tough......
"fukcing funney" - Alabama deliverance extra Davies speak
"pictrue" - "Picture" yourself as a Davies Mongolloyd
"isseus" - Davies has "issues" too many to list here
"resortjng" - Is Lloyd from Finland?
"attsxks" - When LARDASS Davies "attacks" he uses illiteracy
"basturds"- What mental illness patient LARDASS Lloyd calls his nurses
"shkt" - Mongolloyd Davies' "Skittles", he likes that "Hershey rainbow"

"law suit" - LARDASS never leaves his HUD apartment with out his law suit
"opinionn" - When LARDASSn postsn whiln drunkn
"intrenet harrasssmint" - Tries to make LARDASS Lloyd's rotting teeth feel fresh
"sneeky" - The teachers always "sneaked" Lloyd through the next grade
"that' wasnet" - LLoyd was out that year of school that they went over English grammar
idiout! - "Lloyid" <sic> strikes out again!
"speling" - Mongolloyd Davies the "spelling" bee champ of the retards!
"reas isseus instaed" - Davies talking out of that cheap beer again
"atttacking" - LARDASS Lloyd stutters when he gets upset.....ttttt.....
"challegne" - When Mongolloyds drink and post
"metnal" - Mongolloyd just doesn't have the "mental" ability to debate......
"debste" - What Lloyd's mommy did to him in the bathtub
"cahllenge" - Mongolloyd speak for "call" and "challenge"
"basturds" - LLoyd ,Amanda's illegitimate "bastard" sure does have a scat fetish
"morsd" - Whend Daviesd drinkd andd postd
"wont" - It is Mongolloyd's "wont" to be stupid, "won't " you let him?
"youd" - Oops, LARDASS did it again!
"poinion" - Must be an Athens Alabama "Deliverance" thing
"Woger Wiseman"- LARDASS Lloyd's got that cocksucker's lisp
"isnt" - "Isn't" LARDASS cute when he slobbers?
"worfh" - Davies' cocksucking lisp has returned
"waset of spaceda" - When Lloyd's only friend Colt 45 malt liquor kicks in
"Oppulant" - The only thing "opulent" in LARDASS is that he is rich in ignorance
"obly" - LARDASS lisp from cocksucking shows when he tries to say his favorite fag artist "Moby"
"wood" - LARDASS LLOYD'S head "would" be made of "wood"
"dserve" - LARDASS lives in that ghetto where they say he sure does
"dserve" those pizzas
"frum" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES wonders where his real father is "from"
"DISCUST" - Mongolloyd Davies' command of the English language is "disgusting"
"You worthless coward are scared of debating me." - Mongolloyd make good sentence
"jellous" - Most of LARDASS Lloyd's cellulite body is "jealous" of skinny, in shape people
"It just didn't wok out" - When LARDASS tried to stir fry those nutsacks for pizza topping, Pappa John's terminated him saying he didn't "work out".
"entact" - LARDASS' single brain cell isn't "intact" either.
"empahis" - Notice how LARDASS Lloyd's "emphasis" is always on his fucking stupidity.
"Fuck all fo you" - Christian LARDASS Lloyd showing that Jesus spirit.....

"bllod" - How LARDASS Lloyd spells "blood"
"did'nt" - LARDASS "didn't" do very well in school
"PLEASE by a clue" - LARDASS Lloyd's parents couldn't even "buy" him an education
"extrodinare!" - LARDASS is a moron "Extraordinaire"
"unsubsribe" - Mongolloyd Davies "subscribes" to the theory that ignorance is bliss...he must be in heaven
"Must've struck a cord" - LARDASS confuses his favorite sex fetish of tying his penis with a light cord and masturbating to the word "chord".
"dumass" - Dumbass Davies trips and falls in shit again.
"Them two bigtime losers!" - Mongolloyd mentally ill Davies makes good sentence!
"straming" - Lardass usually has to wash his sheets from the "stream" of piss when he wets the bed or not given his filthy HUD apartment
"surpassinf" - LARDASS is always "surpassing" his previous levels of stupidity.
"pleanty" - <guffaw!> "Plenty" of stupidity coming from LARDASS Lloyd.
"Cause i'll have law lock you up and throw away the key!" - Mongolloyd talk tough!
"pome" - When LARDASS WADSWORTHLESS Stubbyfellow writes a "poem"
"Once she here's my show" - Most people hears a show, LARDASS "here's" them!
"forgotton" - LARDASS has "forgotten" what he was taught in special Ed
"I want your seriousness" - LARDASS does his take on "I want your sex"
"I did'nt ask for your fucking grammer checking!" - LARDASS Lloyd revels in his ignorance!
"agrument" - When the voices in LARDASS Lloyd's mentally ill head collide they have an "argument"
"craetive" - Mongolloyd Davies isn't very "creative" with his stupidity, however.
"You could have posted it, for we know!" - LARDASS make good sentence!
"bottem" - Mongolloyd likes those little boys' "bottoms" from hanging with Steve Holsten
"James Wickstrom talked about tonite that out threre, thier Sherriff's department" - Mongolloyd
shows off those Pulitzer prize writing skills.
"bring yer cents" - Mongolloyd show how he flunked out of school because he had no "sense"
"You peeks out" - LARDASS Lloyd and stupidity a symbiotic relationship.
"Be thier" - LARDASS Lloyd make good sentence!
"If you pussys have balls you confront me!!! Deep down you are scared of me. You our jellous
because I am the great almighty Time Lord!!!!
The Time Lord always wins in the end." - Does it really need to be said about Mongolloyd Davies?
"yerself", "hisself" - LARDASS picked up his language skills or lack thereof from the
niggers his mommy Amanda serviced.
"I lost TEN POUNDS on my diet simce first of the year!" - Too bad LARDASS didn't gain any language skills

"The best Amatuer Radio talk show ever!" - Chosen 5 to 1 over literacy
by other ill-educated morons and Mongolloyd
"All of my Daleks have been dispached!" - Too bad some extra brain
neurons haven't, Mongolloyd.
"satillite" - When LARDASS met the space between his ears
"Tuseday" - LARDASS' usual day to wear his pink tutu
"I am 210 punds now!" - LARDASS lies and fucks up again!
"jelous" - LARDASS Lloyd is "jealous" of those who can spell, read, and
write.
"peoples" - LARDASS Lloyd the "people's" choice of the Biggest
L-O-S-E-R!
"curtians" - LARDASS LLOYD DAVIES uses those "curtains" in his filthy
apartment as a masturbation rag
"depsised" - Mongolloyd Davies, who the entire real ham community has
"despised" for his lack of ability
to pass a simple 5 wpm code test or General theory
"sicker" - When Lloyd Davies has a mouth full of cum he can't say
"sticker"
"liceneses" - Mongolloyd Davies has one of those exclusive "licenses"
on stupidity
"isseus" - LARDASS has "issues" with people of intelligence.
"conquor" - Mentally ill LARDASS Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his obesity
and mental illness
"crakheads" - Retard Davies make good sentence!
"servent" - Roger Wiseman's willing "servant" makes another gaffe.
"lesibens" - When Benjamins take the wild side...the "lesbians" don't
care, though!
"Los Angles" - Mongolloyd has the wrong "angle" about "Los Angeles"
"busses" - Do they take the motored "buses" or do they take the
"thier" - You say "their," Lardass says "thier".... to-may-to,
to-mah-to.....
"eductional" - LARDASS Lloyd's writing is "educational" on how severe
illiteracy is in his family.
"She sounded like she really whappy to here from me." - Mongolloyd get
rejected again!
"This is morning I weigh at 207 pounds. This should prove to you, that
I can succeed if I put my
mind to it. I know some your stupid critics can't see that, but I'm not
here to please you." -
- LARDASS makes the "huh?" factor rise.
"This looks much more like sexy Lloyd" - Porky Pig lookalike stubby
tubby Davies dreams
he looks like a skinny, tall Billy Zane
"I figured you the thought of me owning..." - Lardass dreams the
impossible dream of thought
"I took you a long hour and a several minutes..." - Too bad LARDASS
Lloyd didn't take and pass English
"I get a laugh over you!" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"did'nt" - "Didn't" Lardass ever pass any school subject?
"Proves to show" - Lavender Lloyd proves he is an imbecile

"It' paid off" - Alcoholic LARDASS Lloyd's bar and utility bills never
are though.
"I wear if with" - Davies wears his stupidity well
"You need to get al ife and a job." Mongolloyd retardo Davies needs to
get English lessons and
not dream of an "Al ife" with "Al Queda"
"I've never fucking pretending attorneys!" - LARDASSclown Davies never
had to pretend to be stupid, either!
"...what your life must me like..." - Mongolloyd's life of pizza
delivery and trashcan robots is really something
to want, too, isn't it?
"manor" - Lardassclown Davies' "manners" are like those of a hog....
"Christien" - Poor LARDASS Davies, he's shows why ignorance is bliss in
the eyes of the Lord.
"reely" - Mongolloyd Davies is "really" stupid, isn't he?
"please don't imposter me more" - Mongolloyd make good sentence!
"distastful" - A description of LARDASSCLOWN DAVIES' eating habits.
"commnet" - Most people make "comments," ill-educated Lloyd Davies
makes "commnets".
"None of you refuse to tell me who you are." - Huh, Mongolloyd?
"Millinium" - Even after a "millennium" LARDASS couldn't be smart!
"afriad" - LARDASSclown Davies isn't too smart, I'm "afraid"
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd Davies sure has a "powerful" command of the
English language.
"conquor" - Lardass Lloyd has yet to "conquer" his idiocy.
"I always new that your stupidity would trip you up, Mr. Wiseman."
- I just "knew" Llardass Lloyd couldn't pose as "Christy
Davies" without fucking it up and outing himself.
"I have given it some though and decided to come back" - Mongolloyd
has never given anything any "thought." (from another "I'm
leaving" speech/lie)
"usaul" - As "usual" Lardass lloyd Davies fucks up!
"Secwet Woger " Llardass Llavender Llad Lloyd's been sucking so
many cocks he's got a lisp!
"douters" - Even though he has his "doubters", it's
"doubtful" Llardass Lloyd has an I.Q. over 70.
"bypolar" - Mongolloyd Davies is a "bipolar bisexual".
"desperite" - Lardass Lloyd is so "desperate" to win at something,
anything.
"situtation" - Most people get in "situations, " but not our total
fuck-up Lloyd.
"aholt" - Lardass Lloyd usually gets "a hold" of himself on a
Saturday night, since he has no date!
"mysteriuos" - Mongolloyd Davies, "mysterious" survivor of a coat
hanger abortion attempt.
"I've did" - Most people say " I did"
"Woger" - When cocksucking, lisping fags try to say " Roger."
"for sometime" - Lardass has been a mentally ill retard "for some time"
now.
"mommie's" - When Mongolloyds say "Mommy's" as in "Mommy's house."

"drop out" - Lloyd was a High school and college " dropout."
"backpedalling" - "Backpedaling" is what Lardass does a lot of.
"Maybe two years back" - Mongolloyd speak.
"outted" - Lardass Lloyd's illteracy at work.
"I've riddled Woger for years as Arf! Arf!" - Mongolloyd is Arf!Arf!, and his stupidity is no riddle.
"self esteme" - One thng is for sure, Lardass has it..."low self esteem," that is.
"short comings" - Lardass has many, many "shortcomings."
"yahoo" - Lardass always goes into the "Yahoo!" gay chat rooms.
"The truth hurts you see" - So does reading your mangling of the English language, Lardass.
"His stupidity can not be disguised for long." - Lardass' stupidity "cannot" be disquised at all.
"entireity" - Lardass is the embodiment of stupidity in its' "entirety."
"bothe" - Lardass is "both" stupid and retarded.
"got hime" - Lardass has got "him" a case of the dumbass!
"evelope" - Mongolloyd pushes the stupidity "envelope" to the max.
"chiicken" - Lardass Lloyd should eat more "chicken" instead of leftover pizzas then he wouldn't be obese.
"dont" - "Don't" ever improve your English skills, Lardass!
"likign" - Huh? More Mongolloyd Ebonics.
"you ignorant spawn of satin" - Lardass ironically confesses his love of wearing lace!
"powerfull" - Mongolloyd's brain cell isn't "powerful" enough to light a christmas light.
"heresay" - Mongolloyd is a fucking idot, and that's no "hearsay."
"glas" - Lardass Lloyd never uses a "glass" to drink his sticky protein.
"freind" - Dicksucking Davies hasn't got a "friend" in the world.
"yu" - Lardass, "you" really should've gotten a better education!
"Got Brother Stair tuned in here to listen to while sleeping tonite!" - Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"I am not a retrard" - Oh, yes, you are, Lardass!
"Got you in another lie!" - We've "caught you" in another fuckup, Dumbass Davies!
"I am not mentally challenged! I have some pontential." - Mongolloyd is in denial about his imbecility and has the "potential" to become an even bigger jackass!
"did'nt" - Mongolloyd "didn't ever pass Spelling.
"soon all your asses are belong to sherrif" - Mongolloyd fantazises about having sex with his local "sheriff"...
"shure" - We are "sure" you are a fucking moron, Llardass Lloyd!!
"proxie servers" "conecting" - Mongolloyd used "proxy servers" when he "connected" to spam Josh's board.
"enfrocement" - Mongolloyd also fucked up when he spoofed caller ID's and spammed boards, and now law "enforcement" is watching him.
"apoligize" - Mongolloyd's parents should "apologize" for ever siring such a mentally ill retard.

"meagre" - Mongolloyd's "meager" brain can't comprehend English.
"goten" - If only Mongolloyd would've "gotten" an education!
"Prepositioned" - Mongolloyd always gets "propositioned" by nouns and pronouns!
"temting" - Mongolloyd is as "tempting" as a root canal.
"accept" - Mongolloyd use the wrong word in the wrong place again!
"normaly" - "Normally" Lardass would be passed out from Two bud lites by now
"red head" - Little Red is shoving his big ole black dickhead in Lardass' fat piehole.
"Aflack" - Mongolloyd fucks up yet again..www.aflac.com
"Dickionary" - Mongolloyd is almost as bad as his queer lover Holsten in obsessing about dicks.
"Pnig" - Mongolloyd can "ping" an anvil off of his thick malformed skull
"pratice" - Lardass has lots of "practice" fucking up.
"dont" - Dicksucking Davies is too stupid to use an apostrophe, isn't he?
Mongolloyd thinks "Lent" and "lint" are pronounced the same.
"ancinet" - Mongolloyd will be past "ancient" before he gets any pussy.
"harrasment" - Lardass just loves when his fat asshole gets "harassment" from the drunk black winos!
"persecute" - Mongolloyd "Christy Davies" Attorney-at-Law makes quite the lapsis calami in his "prosecution".
"fulest" - Little Lloydie is "fullest" when he's done sucking down wino nutsack goo!
"i'll" "wether" - Mongolloyd, "I'll" just bet you can't decide "whether" or not to clean your shitty underpants or keep wearing them.
"authroities" - Mongolloyd's familiar with the "authorities" since his brother has been carted away to jail more times than he can count.
"Perhaps I can Hal on my show too!" - Perhaps you should consider remedial English, FATASS!
"cannonized" - Mongolloyd thinks somebody will have to be shot out of a cannon to be "canonized"
"This board is alot better than all them N54 Boards!" - Mongolloyd is sure overwhelmed, "then", by "all those" words!
"I dont reconnise you opinions" - Mongolloyd "doesn't recognize" his stupidity, either.
" I donlt care" - Obviously, Lardass, obviously.
"Thats" "benifit" - "That's" one of Lardass' many fuckups. He doesn't have the "benefit" of having a dictionary.
"I already notice Woger seeing my everywhere" - Drunken fat bastard Lardass never notices when he fucks up.
"Look, crackhead, I am NOT degenerates!" - Mongolloyd isn't very literate, either.
"URASE" - Mongolloyd's empty skull never had to be "ERASED" it never contained anything to begin with.
"I reading the board tonite" - Mongolloyd can only read comic books.
"REMIDER" - Lardass never has to leave a "reminder" of his many fuck ups.

"jsut" - Mongolloyd is "just" a mentally ill retard.
"Im" - "I'm" sure Lardass isn't getting any pussy.
"theere" - Mongolloyd isn't all "there".
"Activatiuons" - Lardass Mongolloyd always has his stupidity
"activations" ready to show themselves.
"steganographic" - Mongolloyd takes his "stenographic" duties as a
pizza order taker seriously.
Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp!
"dogy" - Mongolloyd likes it "doggy" style. Receiving, it, that is.
"Tonigjht" - Mongolloyd will be on here "tonight" because he can never
get a woman.
"1987 rqadio shack reciever" - Mongolloyd the cheapass makes good
spell!
"neber" - Mongolloyd will "NEVER" get laid!
"yourslelf" - Go fuck "yourself", Mongolloyd!
"You must really hate be because" - Lardassed Mongolloyd really hates
the English language, too!
"Woger" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucking lisp again!
"Roger Wiseman better know as the" - Mongolloyd, better "known" as the
eternal virgin and mentally ill retard.
"Secwet" - Mongolloyd is queer and proud.
"turd in the punch bowel." - Mongolloyd knows about bowels, not
"bowls". Mongolloyd eats on the floor and in bowel cavities.
"he was refused by the Air Force in 1978" - Mongolloyd, how does one
get "refused" by any military? Especially when he was honorably
discharged a year earlier?
"was sent for a 90 observation period " Dumbfucking fuckhead, what is a
90 observation period???? BWHAHAHAHAHA
"shoudl" - Mongolloyd "should" really shut the fuck up.
"Here is" - Here "are" some more examples why Lardass is a fucking
imbecile.
"Woger" - Lardass is here and QUEER!
"Goddman that Lloyd is sexy!" - But that Mongolloyd is so sexy he is
still a VIRGIN. BWHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!
"laugheds" - Mongolloyd was always "laughed" at.
"I have off this newsgroup for over 2 years!" - Mongolloyd should "off"
himself, instead of "being off".
"I have my own internet Talk show, what can be said about your useless
self." - Mongolloyd has no listeners or anything useful to say. He's so
"useless,"
"that's what can be said" about him.
"You are very useless on here, jon" - While Mongolloyd Davies is
useless on and offline.
"Even used up toilet paper has a use, you sure dont!" - Most people
flush "used up toilet paper, " Mongolloyd eats used up toilet paper,
"don't" he?
"I'll shot to kill" - Lardass, you couldn't handle a cap gun.
"Re,omder" - Lardass always leaves us a "reminder" that he is as smart
as a rock.

"resuce" - Mongolloyd can't "rescue" himself from his own stupidity!

"maocked" - Mongolloyd fucks up again, no wonder he is "mocked" for his stupidity!!!

"tipe" - If Mongolloyd the Lardass would pull his malformed head out of his ass, he could "type" correctly.

"pregant" - With his looks, Lardass Davies never has to worry about getting a girl "pregnant."
"when thet saw" - And when "they" saw Lardass' whimpering, they knew he was a big fucking PUSSY.
"Mayeb" - "Maybe" somebody will feel sorry for you and give you a charity fuck, but it's doubtful, Mongolloyd!
"tmorrow" - Mongolloyd will never get a woman, "tomorrow" or any other day.
"Palastine" - Mongolloyd couldn't find "Palestine" on a fucking map or anyplace else.
"Woger the notsowiseman" - Mongolloyd the eternal idiot fucks up and shows off his cocksucking lisp again.
"I hope kills himself" - Mongolloyd wishes he had killed himself.
"agruement." - Mongolloyd is a fucking imbecile, no "argument."
"You're posts" - BWHAHAHAHAHA.."your" fucking stupidity stands out as well, you fucking idiotic fat fuck.
"Globin Woger" - Mongolloyd the 5'6 280 pound garden gnome makes good spell and IKYABWAI.
"Go aheah" - Mongolloyd never can get "ahead" of his stupidity.
"ssomething" - Mongolloyd "ssure" (his spelling) did fuck up again!
"pictures show email them" - Lardass "should" know better then to "show" his stupidity.
"mor" - Mongolloyd gets "more" stupid by the minute.
"celbrating" - Mongolloyd is "celebrating" his stupidity.
"palgues" - Lardass Davies is a "plague" that needs exterminated.
"have the nerver" - Lardassed Mongolloyd "has the nerve" to criticize others.
"Golen" - Mongolloyd's fuckups are "golden" ammunition against the dumb fat fuck.
"posts my closet" - Lardass "by" default fucks up again.
"Froce" - Mongolloyd tires to spell "force", what his drunk daddy did with his dick on Mongolloyd's asshole.
"AUTHROIXATION" - Mongolloyd Davies never needs "auhorization" to be idiotic.
"morrse" - Lardass can't pass 5 wpm "Morse" code.
"netwerk" - Mongolloyd is part of the idiot "network."
"characture" - Mongolloyd is a "character"..a villiage idiot.
"Learn to spelll" - Lardass fucks up again!
"describes to the T" - Mongolloyd is an IDIOT, that "describes him to a T."
"a peace of me" - We take a "piece" out of Lardass' ample ass on a daily basis.
"bus fair" - Lardass can't pay his own bills on time , much less anybody's "bus fare."

"waht" - "What" do you know, Lardass fucks up again!
"to pay for you" - Lardass you can't "pay for your" own expenses.
"HELLUVA ALOT" - Lardass' English skills are not "a Hell of a Lot" of help to him.
"mentionm" - Lardass never wants us to "mention" or point out his numerous fuck ups.
"your are" - Mongolloyd, "you are" one of the stupidest assholes on the internet.
"alone may to much " - Lardass's sentence construction "alone MAY be too much" to his tiny brain to handle!

You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!

"Woger make false bad spell" - Lardass "makes" and ASS of himself, again.

""Mongolloyd". Isn't even word," - BWHAHAHAHA Mongolloyd is English-impaired.
"mentaly illed" - Mongolloyd knows all about being "mentally ill."

"Woger" "femine" - Mongolloyd shows his "feminine" side when he lusts for "Roger's" cock.
"Wheer" - Mongolloyd always wonders "where" his brain is.
"scooterr" - Mongolloyd never could have a "scooter" his fatass was too heavy for one, even if they had semi-truck shocks and springs.
"stal" - Mongolloyd's "brain" is permanently on "stall".
"aymore" - Mongolloyd never tries, he just whines "anymore".
"talkjing" - Lardass never has any women "talking" to him.
"cant" - Mongolloyd "can't" use correct punctuation.
"im" - Mongolloyd, "I'm" sure you will die a virgin, you dumbass!
"i" - Lardass, "I" know you are a fat whining cunt.
"may as wel" - Mongolloyd "may as well" kill himself.
"lefty alone" - Lardass masturbates with which hand, "his "left alone."
"NIM Busters has run its coarse" - Of "course," Mongolloyd fucks up his sentence!
"Wlardass" - "WMongolloyd" "wfucks" "wup" "Wagain"....

"Wheating" - Lardass has "cheated" on everyhting he's every tried to do, since he's so incompetent and inferior, and he still fucks up.

"Wabbi Woger" - Mongolloyd thinks any dick is a good dick to suck.
"Woger" - Mongolloyd's been sucking dicks again
"others" - Mongolloyd can't fathom "others'" hatred for his useless fat ass!

"You can always tell when you are getting to Woger. He gets to flustered to type." - Mongolloyd must be getting flustered, "too," BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!
"imput" - Mongolloyd's brain has no "input"! Mongolloyd one stupid fat faggot.

"Hundred's" - Mongolloyd couldn't count to one much less "hundreds"
"dopesnt" - Mongolloyd "doesn't" have a command of the English language!
"Wait till" - Mongolloyd we will "wait until" you compose a coherent sentence, but it will be a long wait.
"We wn't" - Lardass Davies, you should fuck off with your stupidity so "we won't" see it ever again.
"Woger is now awoke" - Mongolloyd is never "awake."
"is too stupid to under awoke" - oh the irony, Mongolloyd!
"I shits my pants" - Mongoolloyd sure does and eats it, too!
"treasing" - Mongolloyd likes "teasing" nigger assholes with his syphilitic tongue.
"It's being TOS even" -Mongolloyd the only thing you TOS is your tiny dick!
"peoples" - Mongolloyd always steals other "people's" lines!
"I really wish Steve wasn't suck a pussy and would come back to this board" - Mongolloyd makes good fucked up sentence! Mongolloyd made a Freudian slip when he used "suck" instead of "such".
"I think it is long since past time when you closed this shitty board!" -Mongolloyd smoke bad weed!
"wraped" - Mongolloyd has frequently "wrapped" his syphilitic tongue around a nigger's asshole!
"hig" Who knows what the Athens Asshole means?????
"teir" - Mongolloyd is Belle Plaine's "Forrest Gump," As to his retarded peers, he's "their" Camp-We-Can-Do's star pupil.
"tribes" - Mongolloyd's "tribe's" name is Retardos!
"abou" - "About" this time, Lardass the alcoholic fucks up!
"till" - "Until" Mongolloyd learns English, he'll always be ridiculed.
"din't" - Mongolloyd "didn't" ever finish high school!
"stuipd" - Lardass Davies is really "stupid," isn't he?
"are we know" - Mongolloyd has a hard time posting in English. Being more stupid, "are we now," Lardass?
"I just you like a brother" - Mongolloyd would take it up the ass from you just like he did from his brother, too.
"I've been to two Hamfest" - Mongolloyd's never been to two English classes, though!
"Wogie" - Mongolloyd shows off his cocksucker's lisp.
"his paint size" - Mongolloyd wears size 50 "pants," does he also wear "paint" because he is a walking billboard for obesity?
"HAMFEST'S" - Mongolloyd has never shown at any "hamfests"! He's too big of a coward!
"Woger" - Lardass likes to think of "Roger" when he sucks nigger dicks!
"West Vagina" - Yes, "Virginia," Mongolloyd hasn't ever seen any vagina, west or east, north or south.
"reconize" - Mongolloyd, your fuckups are easy to "recognize"
"thopught" - Mongolloyd never has any "thoughts", except to show off his lisp again!
"Who hear likes" - Mongolloyd nobody likes you, "here" or anywhere!
"Wogie" - Lardass Lloydie's lisp exposes him again!
"really beated" - Mongolloyd really "beats" off, since he can't get a

woman.
"Woger" - Mognolloyd makes like faggot.
"Curtain's" - Mongolloyd always fucks up when he hides behind his "curtains" of sockpuppets.
"cocksuckers" - Lardass always fucks up and punctuates incorrectly, must be his "cocksucker's" lisp.
"spinctor" - Mongolloyd has the "spinchter" muscle the size of a #10 washtub, since his drunk daddy, his felon older brother and his mommy's dildoe has stretched it out.
"reconized" - Lardass Davies stupidity is easily "recognized".
"porpuse" - Mongolloyd's stupidity is on "purpose"!
"worlds" - Mongolloyd is the "world's" worst speller!
"comfortbale" - Mongolloyd confessed he is "comfortable" looking at dicks all day long.
"For the recod" - For the "RECORD," Mongolloyd is retarded!
"all these yours" - "All these years" of posting, and Lardass has yet to post in English.
"I have no worked for 22 days straight" - Too bad you don't concentrate on your English for the same legnth of time, Porky!
"Roger'" - "Roger's" what's always on your little mind, Porky!
"Shittpacker" - Mongolloyd has a "shitpacker" for a father.
"revoaked" Mongolloyd your life will soon be "revoked."
"Upeset?" BWHAHAHAHAHA..Mongolloyd you get "upset" so easily, BITCH!
"knumskull" - Mongolloyd, I could fucking care less since I'm not Catholic, you fucking "Numbskull"
"BEVERY FUCKNH AWARE" - Mongolloyd should "BE VERY FUCKING AWARE" of his surroundings and who is watching him!
"orafice" - Mongolloyd has had a big greasy dick stuck in every "ORFICE" in his obese body.
"YOUR STILL" Mongolloyd, "you're still" a fucking imbecile!
"Funn" - Mongolloyd's face sure is "FUNNY" looking.
"Your whole life has past you by." - Lardass, your life has already "passed" you by the minute you were shit out of your whore mommy.
"Eldery" - If you were a bit more "ELDERY," Porky ,you would be a better speller.
"Jelous" - Nobody, I repeat nobody is "JEALOUS" of a retard like Mongolloyd Davies!

"He 4F for the Air Force" - Wrong, Mongolloyd, you are 4F for LIFE!
"plagarize" - Mongolloyd, you couldn't even "plagiarize" from "See Jack Run" correctly.
"Is like our her Steve and Lloyd" - Mongolloyd fucks up again! Mongolloyd calls himself and Holstan a "her."

"to much" - Mongolloyd tries to communicate in English but it's just "too much" for his tiny brain to handle.
"beutiful" - Mongolloyd is as "beautiful" as a WART HOG!
"Trough" - Mongolloyd can't get that everybody sees that he is fucking stupid "THROUGH" his malformed skull.
"would all upset" - Try posting in English, DUMBFUCK Davies!
"backpedd;e" - Mongolloyd "backpedals" when he gets upset and misspells

words!
"Good are you ever pathetic" - Mongolloyd, Goddamn, are YOU ever pathetic when you fuck up like that.
"Make ne" - Mongolloyd is trying to say "make me" like a little girl, but naturally, he fucks it up! HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!
"I'm not into, unlike you" - Mongolloyd isn't into looking smart, either.
"Rare end" - Lardass, you "rear end" is so big, when you back up, you beep.
"hygienne" - Mongolloyd hasn't got any "hygiene" habits.
"suprise" - "SURPRISE", Mongolloyd fucks up again!
"poved" - Mongolloyd "proved" he is a fucking idiot once again!
"Momma" "marbels"- Your Crackwhore "Mama" knows you don't have all your "marbles", Porky!
"secoondly" - Mongolloyd is trying to count the times he has given blowjobs "secondly"
"atten" - Lardass you didn't "attend" school, either!
"backwerds" -Mongolloyd is always ass-"backwards!"
"Were" - "We're" sure you are a fucking moron, Mongolloyd!
"he's laugh and go on" - Lardass we laugh at your fat dumbass, and go on with our fucking lives, but you don't have a life!
"tradion" - Mongolloyd's "tradition" is to fuck up!
"Mitzah" - Porky the Jew didn't have anything to cut off at the Bar "Mitzvah!"
"mines for his baby batter" - Mongolloyd shouldn't drink , take drugs and post!
"Ralley" - Mongolloyd should try to "rally" his few remaining brain cells!
"Vagina" - Something Lardass Davies has only sees when his whore mommy shit him out.
"Your welcome" - Mongolloyd, "you're" one stupid fucking retard.
"Llouyds" - "Lloyd's" such a fucking DUMBASS, he can't even spell his own name correctly!
"stagnanat?" - Do you mean "stagnant" like what is between your fucking Dumbo ears, Mongolloyd?
"accomadations" - Do you mean "accommodations" which are quite unlike your flithy HUD apartment in the Athens, AL ghetto?
"oppurtunity" - Mongolloyd never misses an "opportunity" to be stupid.
"Stubbenville" - Mongolloyd couldn't find WV on the map much less "Stubenville, Ohio."
"copy & past" - Mongolloyd spams with his "copy & paste" of every fucking idiotic thing he sees!
"appretince" - Mongolloyd makes good spell for a quarter-wit "apprentice".
"glorius" - Mongolloyd make "glorious" FUCK UP!
"weekened" - Mongolloyd's "weekend" consists of getting drunk and whining about another man! That is, between delivering pizzas.
"Woger mkae" - "Roger make"s Mongolloyd look like a fool, time and time again!

"noddle" - Lardass your little "noodle" of a dick is like a fucking shard of thin spaghetti!
"usae" - Mongolloyd never "use"s any fucking sense or correct spelling.
"I ambored" - Mongolloyd, you are an idiot as well!

Mongolloyd make good spells!

## 539. Re: (ot) France

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 01:01:15 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131325274.962322.288250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinycunt Lloyd Davies wrote:
> On Sun, 6 Nov 2005 09:55:41 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> > I am not neocon trash.I am middle of the road conservative Independent.I
> > have long realized the so-called "republican" party is not really
> > Republican at all,especially bush (bush is really a liberal) and
> > cheney.There are some real Republicans whom are really highly pissed
> > off! with bush and the bush administration.If y'all have ever noticed
> > before,I bash bush and cheney,I also bash them klintoons too.Join the
> > Constitution Party. www.chuckbaldwinlive.com
> > cuhulin
>
> I am liberal jewish trash myself and proud of it. When Clinton sold
> pardons, took the whitehouse furniture and had his law license revoked
> it was a special time for America. But I became disillusioned when I
> found out about that hefty girl. Clinton could have had any shemale
> he wanted but instead he showed no taste and picked an ordinary fat
> girl to not have sex with.

Lardass http://www.houseofnames.com already has confirmed you are
Jewish.

You should move to France, Lardass, the way you piss and shit yourself
on a daily basis, you'd fit right in with the rest of the unwashed
French people.

Here's photographic proof Davies can't control his bowels:
http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/lloydpissedhimself.jpg
http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/

## 540. Queer Toad Daugherty slurps Davies' fat ass just like the queer he is!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 01:02:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131324599.016308.23490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123055
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA Funny as hell

Not half as funny as your face, Toad.

Die of your AIDS, faggot!

http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/toad_daugherty.jpg

# 541. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 01:02:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131325377.473768.153510@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 6 Nov 2005 16:51:47 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >STFU, FAGGOT!
>
> gues you don't know the meaning of "reply"

"Guess" you must like showing you are an illiterate retard, Marky.

> since your message has nothing to do with his

Your messages have little to do with anything, Marky.

## 542. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 02:18:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131329913.423133.77880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123057
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

fuck you you fucking little queer ass bitchwhore. what's the fucking
matter your fucking boyfriend stebie robberson ain't butt fucking hard
enough you fuck queer ass homofuckingsexual

# 543. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 02:22:28 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <aietm1ttq3ijbdrcj6k28kotbkuq0jhnk0@4ax.com>
Source record: 123058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 6 Nov 2005 17:02:57 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 6 Nov 2005 16:51:47 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>> >STFU, FAGGOT!
>>
>> gues you don't know the meaning of "reply"
>
>"Guess" you must like showing you are an illiterate retard, Marky.

youd be wrong of course

>
>> since your message has nothing to do with his
>
>Your messages have little to do with anything, Marky.

you were repling to Todd little boy

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 544. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 03:03:58 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9706E0BC7EDA9elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 123060

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
news:1131315231.140567.120140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

> From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> on Sun, Nov 6 2005 9:25 am
>
>
>
>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in news:1130386378.660926.152330
<snip>
>
> Alun, my posting to my severest critic (Jimmie) did not involve
> your residency. However, it might be worth it to point out a
> few things about Internet access and basic U.S. law.

I am a US resident. I have a green card (which is actually pink).

>
> While the government of the United States is now heavily
> connected to the Internet and, if an agency makes input to
> them public via that same Internet, it does NOT mean that
> the Constitution of United States has been "changed" or
> overthrown...so that "all" can "decide" on U.S. law.
>
> The FCC "permits" non-resident input to the ECFS only because
> it has not installed an elaborate system of locking out those
> who are foreign residents (by the address blocks required to
> enter an electronic comment) or those who are foreign nationals.
> Since U.S. citizens living abroad have an easy and convenient
> communications avenue through the Internet, it is advantageous
> to NOT have such a lock-out sub-program for them.
>
> Mention of a foreign citizen having a "RECIPROCAL" U.S.
> amateur radio license does NOT mean those who have it are
> somehow "U.S. citizens" elligible for all the rights of the
> U.S. Constitution guaranteed to citizens. That is NOT in
> the Communications Act of 1934 nor the Telecommunications Act
> of 1996 nor the Radio Regulations of the ITU-R. Those two
> Acts were created as Legislation by the Congress of the
> United States (which itself was created by the U.S.
> Constitution).
>

This shows how little you know about the current version of part 97.
Reciprocal licences (Form 610-A) are no longer issued. Anyone from a
country with a reciprocal agreement simply operates in the US under their

foreign licence, with an appropriate prefix. Also, anyone except a representative of a foreign government can obtain a regular US licence by taking the same tests as anyone else.

> That everyone with access to the FCC ECFS can SEE each
> filing there does not mean the FCC will CONSIDER each one
> as representing "the public" (meaning the citizens of the
> United States). As is understood, the FCC need only
> LEGALLY CONSIDER those filings which were done in the
> legally-posted notice in the Federal Register...which did
> not happen until 31 August 2005 despite the first non-
> government filing on WT Docket 05-235 occuring on 20 July
> 2005. By observation and count, OVER HALF of the filings
> on that Docket (so far, official end of Replies to
> Comments is 14 November, Comment period was officially
> up on 31 October) were done BEFORE they were legally open
> and official! [did Joe Speroni bother with that "detail"?]
>
> Comment of any kind to the FCC is OPEN to anyone because
> our mail and communications avenues are quite open to
> all. BUT, the FCC is obliged - by law - to consider "the
> public" in DETERMINING civil radio regulations' DECISIONS.
> What "the public" is to the FCC is a legal nicety handled
> better by those who are legal specialists. Phil Kane, an
> attorney specializing in communications law and former
> regular in here, might comment on that...or might not.
>
> Would the United Kingdom hold U.S. citizens' comments about
> THEIR laws in the same regard as a UK citizen? Would they
> DECIDE new laws and regulations on the basis of such
> foreign input? I don't think so.

If someone entered a comment using their UK call it would likely not even cross the minds of the Ofcom staff whether they were a British citizen, which is the mirror image of the situation here.

>
> WT Docket 05-235 is NOT a "voting booth." There is NO VOTE
> on NPRM 05-143. We can communicate with the FCC openly
> (as long as they permit that) but, in the end, the DECISIONS
> on amateur radio regulations are THEIRS. That I happened to
> use percentages in my tally or that Joe Speroni later used
> percentages in his tally, is just a convenience is seeing
> who was for what. Whatever method the FCC actually uses
> to REACH a decision on making a Report and Order is up to
> THEM.
>
> Don't get your legal briefs in a bind. California has a
> Special Election on Tuesday. Do you consider that legal
> residents NOT of California (population somewhere around
> 33 million) have "equal right" to VOTE - and thus DECIDE -

> on several issues in that election? I don't think so.
> The state of California doesn't think so.
>
> For further legal questions on the admissability of written
> communications to the United States government, please
> consult with the self-styled lawmaster in here, James P.
> Miccolis. He "has all the answers." Oyez, oyez!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>

The point is not whether comments by aliens have to be considered by the FCC as a matter of law. Doubtless you are right in pointing out that they don't. However, my impression is that the FCC neither knows nor cares about the citizenship of those who post comments. If you think they should, and there is certainly a case for that, then you should tell them, instead of skewing your interpretation of the comments.

It would be interesting to group the comments as follows:-

1) US citizens who hold a ham licence and reside in the US
2) US citizens who aren't hams and reside in the US
3) Aliens who have an FCC ham licence and reside in the US
3) US citizens who are hams but live outside the US
4) Aliens who have an FCC ham licence and live overseas
5) None of the above

However, the FCC has no capability to divide up the responses except by whether or not they have an FCC licence, which arguably they shouldn't even do, as responses from non-hams like you ought to be considered. I suspect most comments fall in group (1), but these are not the only ones that will be considered.

## 545. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 03:22:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131333770.900606.169000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123061

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1131152971.618629.96000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> The trend is and has been towards ending code.
> >
> > Ending code or code *testing*?
>
> Ending code testing.

Whew!

> >> Nothing has changed to alter that general opinion.
> >
> > Doesn't mean it's a good thing.
>
> IYHO

Of course.

> >> Inactivity in response to the treatty change by the FCC
> >> can certainly be chalked up to digestion of the host
> >> of petitions filed after WRC and the ultimate
> >> issuance of NPRM 98-235 which is now pending.
> >
> > Maybe - but if there really was a precedent, FCC could
> > cite it, but they haven't.
> >
> >> IF (let's be hypothetical)
> >
> > Sure!
> >
> >> the FCC did retain code for
> >> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
> >> surely be raised by someone who need only point
> >> to the use of waivers previously and no court in
> >> this country would rule against such a clain.
> >
> > IANAL, but was ADA ever successfully cited before
> > against an FCC decision? An amateur license isn't
> > a right - only access to the tests for one is.
>
> ADA wasn't needed because the FCC had already
> provided a waiver process.

Did code waivers come first, or ADA?

> > Waivers of any kind are a real quagmire because they
> > say the waivered test isn't really necessary for the
> > license. What's to prevent someone for demanding a
> > waiver of the Extra *written* test?

> Anyone can demand anything.

Of course.

But there's as much reason to use ADA against the
Extra written as their is to use it against an "Extras
only" code test.

> On your first statement
> regarding waivers being a quagmire...I agree and
> that's exactly why the FCC isn't going to retain
> any code testing.

Probably right!
>
> >> >> That's a path that FCC just won't go down.
> >
> >> > Probably not.
> >
> >> PLEASE explain on what legal or rational basis the
> >> FCC could argue to avoid a waiver policy if code
> >> was only retained for Extra.
> >
> > Several:
> >
> > First off, I don't think ADA has ever been successfully
> > cited against FCC regulations
>
> So? It hasn't been needed on any FCC basis yet.

Or maybe it doesn't apply.

> > Second, an amateur radio license isn't a commercial
> > thing, so it's not like someone is denied a job or similar.
>
> ADA is not simply about jobs...it is about "access"
> on a broad basis.

Which is up to interpretation. "Access" can be
interpreted to mean being allowed to take the
test, not being guaranteed a license.
>
> > Third, Extra does not give any more power, modes,
> > bands or other operating privileges *except* a little
> > more frequency spectrum.
>
> That's a contradictory statement. You say
> there's no additional privileges
> and then you note that there is.

Read it again! I wrote there are no additional
operating (meaning on-the-air) privs *except* a bit
more spectrum.

> > There's also the vanity
> > call selection and the ability to VE other Extras, but
> > that's about it.
>
> Ditto my last.

Let me try another way...

What does upgrading to Extra get for a General class licensee?

There's the ability to VE all exams including Extra.

There's the really spiffy callsigns.

But Generals have access to all the same bands as Extras. All the
same modes, too, and the same power level.

On 4 of the HF bands, there are parts of the band that
are for Extras only.

The ADA argument could be brought that all that additional theory in
the
Extra written isn't an absolute requirement because it's not directly
related
to the privileges gained.

> > Fourth and most important, there's no absolute right
> > to an amateur radio license. It's a privilege - only
> > access to the tests is a right.
>
> I think any good ADA attorney would argue otherwise.

But would they win?

> > As an analogy, consider the national parks. There
> > are places where access to the park by motor
> > vehicle is not allowed. Does ADA require that
> > all parts of all parks be accessible by motor vehicle
> > because some people can't walk to them?
>
> ADA calls for reasonable accomodation where it can be done.
> Your national park analogy doesn't apply.

I think it does. Paved paths could be provided, and small motor
vehicles.

Point is, that's not done because, in those parts of the national
parks, access by
everyone is deemed secondary to preserving the wild state of
the park.

> >> > One simple solution is the "Canadian compromise": Keep
> >> > code testing but change how it is scored. One method is
> >> > to change the requirement for Element 3 (General written)
> >> > to the following:
> >
> >> > Element 3 can be passed by getting an ~85% grade on the
> >> > 35 written questions *or* a ~75% grade and a passing mark
> >> > on the code test.
> >
> >> > That way there's no "lowering of standards" yet the Morse Code
> >> > test is not a mandatory pass-fail standalone test any more.
> >
> >> I personally don't believe the Canadian compromise
> >> would pass ADA requirements.
> >
> > I do. In fact I think it would solve a lot of problems.
>
> We clearly differ in opinion then.
>
I'm wondering how the options given above would not meet ADA. The
two grading methods would be open to everyone.

What would be the problem?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 546. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 03:24:08 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <63itm11tvadbu28u84vhmg77p7v5bo3rqt@4ax.com>
Source record: 123062

On 6 Nov 2005 19:22:50 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1131152971.618629.96000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> The trend is and has been towards ending code.
>> >
>> > Ending code or code *testing*?
cut
>> >
>> >> the FCC did retain code for
>> >> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
>> >> surely be raised by someone who need only point
>> >> to the use of waivers previously and no court in
>> >> this country would rule against such a clain.
>> >
>> > IANAL, but was ADA ever successfully cited before
>> > against an FCC decision? An amateur license isn't
>> > a right - only access to the tests for one is.
>>
>> ADA wasn't needed because the FCC had already
>> provided a waiver process.
>
>Did code waivers come first, or ADA?

does not matter

the ADA is here now
>
>> > Waivers of any kind are a real quagmire because they
>> > say the waivered test isn't really necessary for the
>> > license. What's to prevent someone for demanding a
>> > waiver of the Extra *written* test?
>
>> Anyone can demand anything.
>
>Of course.
>
>But there's as much reason to use ADA against the
>Extra written as their is to use it against an "Extras
>only" code test.

no there is not

you simply don't understand the ADA
>

>> On your first statement
>> regarding waivers being a quagmire...I agree and
>> that's exactly why the FCC isn't going to retain
>> any code testing.
>
>Probably right!
>>
>> >> >> That's a path that FCC just won't go down.
>> >
>> >> > Probably not.
>> >
>> >> PLEASE explain on what legal or rational basis the
>> >> FCC could argue to avoid a waiver policy if code
>> >> was only retained for Extra.
>> >
>> > Several:
>> >
>> > First off, I don't think ADA has ever been successfully
>> > cited against FCC regulations
>>
>> So? It hasn't been needed on any FCC basis yet.
>
>Or maybe it doesn't apply.

it would apply the same to the FCC as the rest of the govt
enough

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 547. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 03:30:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131334232.183895.135830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123063

Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> At least Len finally answered the citizenship question, although I'm not
> convinced by his answer.

Nor am I.

> As it happens (and many of you already know this) I'm not a US citizen
> myself. This means that I can't vote in federal elections, although beleive
> it or not, voting in local elections is upto local government to decide. In
> fact, I think that the states have the power to let aliens vote in federal,
> but not presidential elections, but none of them do any longer, although I
> understand it was common in pioneering times when few people were citizens.
> One city in this state does allow non-citizens to vote, but it's not the
> city I live in.

Point is, commenting isn't voting.

> It would be nice to vote, but I am eligible to apply for citizenship at any
> time, so this is not a big issue for me. I prefer not to renounce my native
> citizenship, even though it would have no legal effect in itself, i.e. the
> UK doesn't recognise renunciation.

I don't think FCC cares one way or the other.

> When it comes to FCC comment procedures, there is no system in place for
> checking the citizenship of anyone who posts a comment, and no longer any
> restriction on aliens holding a licence, although there once was, but that
> was before my time.

Not only that, but there's probably no real system of checking
that commenters are who they way they are. Of course there
are probably laws on the books for deliberate false statements
but I don't think FCC has gone after any amateur radio commenters
with them...

> Hence, if I post a comment it will be counted by the
> FCC, if not by Len. OTOH, if people don't post their citizenship (I don't
> when commenting to the FCC) Len won't know whether to include them or not
> (even though the FCC will).

I think the *content* of the comments is more important than whether
the
commenter is a citizen.

> I think this is Jim's point, as it does reflect
> on the accuracy of the scorecard, albeit not enough to keep Element 1 from
> going West!

It's one point of many I'm making about the (in)accuracy of Len's 'scorecard'.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 548. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 03:58:23 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <u4CdnfGHG_ncX_PenZ2dnUVZ_vmdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123059
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> fuck you you fucking little queer ass bitchwhore. what's the fucking
> matter your fucking boyfriend stebie robberson ain't butt fucking hard
> enough you fuck queer ass homofuckingsexual
>

You think you will ever grow up Toddyboy and stop spounging off of your parents? Maybe some day you will learn to talk better than some jr. high-schooler.

## 549. Re: an update on stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 05:21:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131340890.547670.134850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123064

>You have no reason to say that Todd's dad has AIDS

>even if I am lying in every post I make you have no reason to say
>todd's father has AIDS

my father doesn't have AIDS nor am I gay, This is getting to the point
where I'm going to talk to a lawyer about filing suit for slander.

Todd N9OGL

## 550. Re: an update on stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 05:22:45 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <v1ptm15cb1g0peaakslvfj92ljedvv77ib@4ax.com>
Source record: 123065

On 6 Nov 2005 21:21:30 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>>You have no reason to say that Todd's dad has AIDS
>
>>even if I am lying in every post I make you have no reason to say
>>todd's father has AIDS
>
>my father doesn't have AIDS nor am I gay, This is getting to the point
>where I'm going to talk to a lawyer about filing suit for slander.

I Know Been there Todd the problem is Stevie has been skirting along
the edges

to sue and win you will show that you are bing damged in monitary
terms

If I thought it could be done Id have done long ago

and even if you get the judegment collecting is rough
>
>Todd N9OGL

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 551. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 10:32:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131359544.303746.5190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123066

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Alun L. Palmer on Nov 6, 8:57 am

> >"Usenet Central" <Highto...@cosmos.net> wrote in
> >> "Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> >>: Any way you look at it though, more than half the comments are in
> >>: favour of the NPRM and less than a third against,

> >> Not in fact is it ANY WAY (every way) you look at it. If Lenards
> >> tally is accurate,

But it isn't.

> >>your issue seems almost exactly an even race since
> >> the official Federal Register publication of the NRPM with 50.41% in
> >> favor or dropping Morse and 49.59% in sympathy to retain at least some
> >> Morse examination.

A more accurate analysis puts the numbers at 45% in favor and 55%
against.

> >> Based on your presidents election experience you
> >> should exam for perhaps hanging chads in some preceints in Florida.

> >It's only an even race if you count those who want to retain a code test
> >for Extra only as being in the anti camp. I guarantee that the FCC will be
> >able to think of no reason to retain it only for Extras. In your dreams,
> >maybe.

Let's look at the facts:

1) The NPRM proposes complete elimination of
all Morse Code testing for an FCC-issued amateur radio license.

2) Only 45% of those submitting comments support complete elimination
of
all Morse Code testing for an FCC-issued amateur radio license. That's
a
clear *minority*.

3) A clear majority (well over 60%) of those submitting comments
support elimination of
Morse Code testing for a General-class FCC-issued amateur radio
license.

4) A clear minority (well under 40%) of those submitting comments
support no change in Morse Code testing for an FCC-issued amateur radio

license.

5) The comments are not a vote. FCC accepts comments from all
interested parties, including multiple comments from the same person.
But one person's opionion isn't
worth more because of multiple filings.

> Speaking of paradoxes, the code test for extra people allow
> the "lesser classes" to be free of federal code testing for a
> license.

What's wrong with that?

> They are the classic mugwumps sitting astride the
> code-test-fence, one foot on each side.

It's not an either-or issue

> So, which way to count them? :-)

Because they submitted comments.

> We can all go to AH0A.ORG and use Speroni's "unbiased" (Ha!)

His tabulation is unbiased compared to yours.

> lumping of the code-test-only-for-extras comments as being
> Absolutely FOR CW! [no red strike-outs on THOSE icons!]

They are against the NPRM, which calls for complete elimination
of all code testing.

> Of course, Joe Speroni is an unabashed morseman since way back.

Ad hominem attack noted.

> He also had a couple Petitions DENIED by the FCC. <shrug>

In order to have a petition denied, a petition must be filed.

Has Leonard Anderson filed any petitions with FCC?

> Jimmie

Who?

> say Speroni is MORE ACCURATE.

Let's put that to a "vote"...

Should multiple comments and reply comments
which express the same opinion from the same
person be counted separately?

Or should they be counted as one opinion?

> My analysis put the code-test-only-for-extras in a separate
> category, neither for nor against the NPRM. Readers will
> have to decide for themselves how to "rate" them.

That can easily be done with the AH0A analysis.

You also counted multiple filings by the same person as
separate opinions even if they say essentially the same thing.

If a person writes a lot of reply comments, does that mean
their opinion is worth more than the person who simply
wrote a comment? Your tally says it does.

> What the Commission will actually DO in the future is up to
> them.

And it's *not* a vote.

> Some poor guy/gal or small group there has got a
> whale of an analysis task to wade through nearly 3700 filings
> after 14 November 2005 and try to get a feeling of what the
> "public" wants.

What the public wants is only one factor in the decision. FCC
rules are not democratic nor a referendum. They're more like
a benevolent dictatorship - the governed can ask but there's no
absolute mandate. Those who make the rules are appointed,
not elected, too.

> That's going to take quite a while, I'd say
> more than the 10+ months between 15 Jan 99 and late December
> 1999 on WT Docket 98-143 for Restructuring.

Is that an entry for The Pool?

> 98-143 had no
> more than about 2200 filings between official start and end
> times of Comments/Replies.

The FCC is mandated to do what they think is best. Doesn't mean
they always live up to the mandate.

> FCC once said it wanted a "consensus" on opinions in amateur
> radio. It should be blatantly obvious that there is NO such
> "consensus" with regard to WT Docket 05-235 and NPRM 05-143.

Nor was there a consensus on 98-143 but FCC acted anyway.

> Nitpickers can make all the "finger-pointing" they want about
> "illusionary four decimal place percentages" but the opinions
> filed so far have a damn close near-even split betweeen For
> and Against.

Not true, unless you consider multiple filings of the same opinion
by the same person as being worth more.

If A files a comment supporting one position, and B files a comment
and 9 reply comments supporting a different position, should B's
opinion be worth 10 times what A's opinion is worth, simply because
B is more adept at spamming ECFS?
is B's opinion

> Whichever way the final R&O goes, we've all seen a part of
> history in the making in regards to U.S. amateur radio.

The history of amateur radio is made every day.

> Democracy in action, visible on the FCC ECFS! Good thing!

It's a good thing but it's not "democracy". ECFS is not a vote, and
there is no requirement for FCC to follow the commentary, with or
without percentages.

For example, after comments closed back in 1999, the majority
thought that FCC should retain at least two code test speeds. This
was clearly documented by KC8EPO and reported by WK3C (as
WA6VSE). "Democracy in action" would require that FCC follow
that majority opinion.

But FCC reduced code testing to one speed, the absolute minimum they
considered adequate for treaty compliance.

Now the majority that think FCC should retain a
code test for Extra. "Democracy in action" would require that
FCC follow that majority opinion.

We'll see if they do...

# 552. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 11:45:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131363952.426191.165060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123067

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> on Sun, Nov 6 2005 9:25 am
> While the government of the United States is now heavily
> connected to the Internet and, if an agency makes input to
> them public via that same Internet, it does NOT mean that
> the Constitution of United States has been "changed" or
> overthrown...so that "all" can "decide" on U.S. law.

Comments aren't votes. Filings on ECFS do not decide
FCC regulations.

> The FCC "permits" non-resident input to the ECFS only because
> it has not installed an elaborate system of locking out those
> who are foreign residents (by the address blocks required to
> enter an electronic comment) or those who are foreign nationals.
> Since U.S. citizens living abroad have an easy and convenient
> communications avenue through the Internet, it is advantageous
> to NOT have such a lock-out sub-program for them.

FCC seeks the comments of all interested parties.

Citizenship is not a requirement.
Residency is not a requirement.
Licensure is not a requirement.

Alun's comments are as welcome to FCC as those of
any citizen.

In fact one of the most-cited-for-support comments to the Restructuring
R&O were
those of Kenwood - a Japan-based manufacturer of radio equipment. So
FCC
*does* consider all comments regardless of who makes them.

> Mention of a foreign citizen having a "RECIPROCAL" U.S.
> amateur radio license does NOT mean those who have it are
> somehow "U.S. citizens" elligible for all the rights of the
> U.S. Constitution guaranteed to citizens.

Alun doesn't have a reciprocal license. He has an FCC-issued
US amateur radio license. He passed all the tests required
for that license at the time it was issued - no freebies or byes
because of his UK license.

> That is NOT in
> the Communications Act of 1934 nor the Telecommunications Act
> of 1996 nor the Radio Regulations of the ITU-R. Those two

> Acts were created as Legislation by the Congress of the
> United States (which itself was created by the U.S.
> Constitution).

Yet FCC seeks comments from all interested parties, even those who
are not allowed to vote.

> That everyone with access to the FCC ECFS can SEE each
> filing there does not mean the FCC will CONSIDER each one
> as representing "the public" (meaning the citizens of the
> United States).

And?

> As is understood, the FCC need only
> LEGALLY CONSIDER those filings which were done in the
> legally-posted notice in the Federal Register...which did
> not happen until 31 August 2005 despite the first non-
> government filing on WT Docket 05-235 occuring on 20 July
> 2005. By observation and count, OVER HALF of the filings
> on that Docket (so far, official end of Replies to
> Comments is 14 November, Comment period was officially
> up on 31 October) were done BEFORE they were legally open
> and official!

Different subject entirely.

Do you *really* think FCC will disregard all comments filed
before August 31 because they were early?

> Comment of any kind to the FCC is OPEN to anyone because
> our mail and communications avenues are quite open to
> all.

FCC could easily put something on ECFS saying "noncitizens should not
comment" or "US citizens only". Other govt. websites do similar stuff
when citizenship is an issue.

> BUT, the FCC is obliged - by law - to consider "the
> public" in DETERMINING civil radio regulations' DECISIONS.

That "public" includes noncitizens.

> What "the public" is to the FCC is a legal nicety handled
> better by those who are legal specialists.

You seem to think that "the public" doesn't include Alun
even though he's lived in the USA for years and holds a
valid FCC amateur radio license.

> Phil Kane, an
> attorney specializing in communications law and former
> regular in here, might comment on that...or might not.

Anyone can comment.
>
> Would the United Kingdom hold U.S. citizens' comments about
> THEIR laws in the same regard as a UK citizen? Would they
> DECIDE new laws and regulations on the basis of such
> foreign input? I don't think so.

By excluding the comments of noncitizens, you're saying you
have no regard for their opinions.

> WT Docket 05-235 is NOT a "voting booth."

That's right. So the citizenship stuff doesn't apply.

> There is NO VOTE
> on NPRM 05-143. We can communicate with the FCC openly
> (as long as they permit that) but, in the end, the DECISIONS
> on amateur radio regulations are THEIRS.

Which isn't "democracy".

> That I happened to
> use percentages in my tally or that Joe Speroni later used
> percentages in his tally, is just a convenience is seeing
> who was for what. Whatever method the FCC actually uses
> to REACH a decision on making a Report and Order is up to
> THEM.

So why did you bother to do a scorecard at all, Len?

I think you expected a different outcome and are now
chagrined that your opinions aren't shared as much as
you expected.

> Don't get your legal briefs in a bind. California has a
> Special Election on Tuesday.

What's so special about it?

> Do you consider that legal
> residents NOT of California (population somewhere around
> 33 million) have "equal right" to VOTE - and thus DECIDE -
> on several issues in that election? I don't think so.
> The state of California doesn't think so.

ECFS isn't an election, Len.

And the fact is that nonresidents have a lot of control over what
happens to California laws. Federal law can preempt state law
even if the residents of a state are against the federal law.

Regardless of ECFS, FCC will do whatever they do. Most
indications are that they will just delete Element 1 and make
no other changes to Part 97 at this time, regardless of
'consensus' or 'majority' or 'scorecards'.

If that happens, the only way for noncodetested Technicians
to get HF privileges will be for them to upgrade to General or Extra.

## 553. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 13:05:51 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <woger@no.isp>
Message-ID: <87014g2000c17833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123068
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 19:58:23 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> You think you will ever grow up Toddyboy and stop spounging off of your
> parents? Maybe some day you will learn to talk better than some jr.
> high-schooler.

I learned how to cuss from my shemale friends over at the Shipwreck Lounge in Glendale. Toad should go to Bottoms Up at 2100 W Spresser Street in Taylorville and find some shemales of his own. They aren't called "Bottoms Up" for nothing, and Toad will find out why when he goes.

# 554. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 13:20:33 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <BwIbf.6337$AS6.5305@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123069

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1131333770.900606.169000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1131152971.618629.96000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> The trend is and has been towards ending code testing.
>
>> >> Nothing has changed to alter that general opinion.
>> >
>> > Doesn't mean it's a good thing.
>>
>> IYHO
>
> Of course.
>
>> >> Inactivity in response to the treaty change by the FCC
>> >> can certainly be chalked up to digestion of the host
>> >> of petitions filed after WRC and the ultimate
>> >> issuance of NPRM 98-235 which is now pending.
>> >
>> > Maybe - but if there really was a precedent, FCC could
>> > cite it, but they haven't.
>> >
>> >> IF (let's be hypothetical)
>> >
>> > Sure!
>> >
>> >> the FCC did retain code for
>> >> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
>> >> surely be raised by someone who need only point
>> >> to the use of waivers previously and no court in
>> >> this country would rule against such a clain.
>> >
>> > IANAL, but was ADA ever successfully cited before
>> > against an FCC decision? An amateur license isn't
>> > a right - only access to the tests for one is.
>>
>> ADA wasn't needed because the FCC had already
>> provided a waiver process.
>
> Did code waivers come first, or ADA?

I I think the ADA may predate waivers...
but that isn't of any importance anyway.

The ADA didn't force anyone to immediately
do anything. Most or much of the ADA
impact has been with new accomodations.
Application of ADA in existing situations
has usually been the reult of a complaint by
an individual or a group of individuals.

>> > Waivers of any kind are a real quagmire because they
>> > say the waivered test isn't really necessary for the
>> > license. What's to prevent someone for demanding a
>> > waiver of the Extra *written* test?
>
>> Anyone can demand anything.
>
> Of course.
>
> But there's as much reason to use ADA against the
> Extra written as their is to use it against an "Extras
> only" code test.

Perhaps, perhaps not.

>> On your first statement
>> regarding waivers being a quagmire...I agree and
>> that's exactly why the FCC isn't going to retain
>> any code testing.
>
> Probably right!

Which is why further discussion on this is
a waste of my time. I'll wait to see what
the FCC does before continuing this
hypothetical.

>> >> >> That's a path that FCC just won't go down.
>> >
>> >> > Probably not.
>> >
>> >> PLEASE explain on what legal or rational basis the
>> >> FCC could argue to avoid a waiver policy if code
>> >> was only retained for Extra.
>> >
>> > Several:
>> >
>> > First off, I don't think ADA has ever been successfully
>> > cited against FCC regulations
>>
>> So? It hasn't been needed on any FCC basis yet.
>
> Or maybe it doesn't apply.

Ditto my last comment.

>> > Second, an amateur radio license isn't a commercial
>> > thing, so it's not like someone is denied a job or similar.
>>
>> ADA is not simply about jobs...it is about "access"
>> on a broad basis.
>
> Which is up to interpretation. "Access" can be
> interpreted to mean being allowed to take the
> test, not being guaranteed a license.

Ditto my last again.

>> > Third, Extra does not give any more power, modes,
>> > bands or other operating privileges *except* a little
>> > more frequency spectrum.
>>
>> That's a contradictory statement. You say
>> there's no additional privileges
>> and then you note that there is.
>
> Read it again! I wrote there are no additional
> operating (meaning on-the-air) privs *except* a bit
> more spectrum.

I know what you said, but in reality your except
does contradict your lead statement.

>> > There's also the vanity
>> > call selection and the ability to VE other Extras, but
>> > that's about it.
>>
>> Ditto my last.
>
> Let me try another way...
>
> What does upgrading to Extra get for a General class licensee?
>
> There's the ability to VE all exams including Extra.
>
> There's the really spiffy callsigns.
>
> But Generals have access to all the same bands as Extras. All the
> same modes, too, and the same power level.
>
> On 4 of the HF bands, there are parts of the band that
> are for Extras only.

Which clearly is additional operating privileges.

> The ADA argument could be brought that all that additional theory in
> the
> Extra written isn't an absolute requirement because it's not directly
> related

> to the privileges gained.

I doubt it, but for now see no need to discuss or debate
the point UNLESS someone actually goes there.

>> > Fourth and most important, there's no absolute right
>> > to an amateur radio license. It's a privilege - only
>> > access to the tests is a right.
>>
>> I think any good ADA attorney would argue otherwise.
>
> But would they win?

I believe so, but for now see no need to discuss or debate
the point UNLESS someone actually goes there.

>> > As an analogy, consider the national parks. There
>> > are places where access to the park by motor
>> > vehicle is not allowed. Does ADA require that
>> > all parts of all parks be accessible by motor vehicle
>> > because some people can't walk to them?
>>
>> ADA calls for reasonable accomodation where it can be done.
>> Your national park analogy doesn't apply.
>
> I think it does. Paved paths could be provided, and small motor
> vehicles.

The ADA calls for "reasonable" accomodations. That
is the defining point.
But again, for now I see no need to discuss or debate
the point.

> Point is, that's not done because, in those parts of the national
> parks, access by
> everyone is deemed secondary to preserving the wild state of
> the park.

Which is why the legislation isn't absolute as to access.
Ditto again...end of discussion for now.

>> >> > One simple solution is the "Canadian compromise": Keep
>> >> > code testing but change how it is scored. One method is
>> >> > to change the requirement for Element 3 (General written)
>> >> > to the following:
>> >>
>> >> > Element 3 can be passed by getting an ~85% grade on the
>> >> > 35 written questions *or* a ~75% grade and a passing mark
>> >> > on the code test.
>> >>
>> >> > That way there's no "lowering of standards" yet the Morse Code
>> >> > test is not a mandatory pass-fail standalone test any more.
>> >>

>> >> I personally don't believe the Canadian compromise
>> >> would pass ADA requirements.
>> >
>> > I do. In fact I think it would solve a lot of problems.
>>
>> We clearly differ in opinion then.
>>
> I'm wondering how the options given above would not meet ADA. The
> two grading methods would be open to everyone.
>
> What would be the problem?

Cross that bridge if and when it happens.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 555. 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 13:32:11 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <vHIbf.5940$m81.2676@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123070

Forget all the "my survey of comments analysis"
is more accurate than yours... Consider the following
question/challenge:

In all of the procode test arguments and comments has
even one NEW reason to retain code testiing been
offered?

Forget even if such an argument is valid or
compelling in any way...I'm looking for any argument
not previously raised and dismissed by or in past
FCC commentary (NPRMs, R&Os, etc.)

My short answer (and I'll be the first to say I
haven't read all comments) is that there are no
new arguments raised... because if there had been
we'd have seen it or them by now.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 556. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 14:36:26 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131374186.676633.25640@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123071
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Not so Secwet Lisping cocksucker Davies wrote:
> On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 19:58:23 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> > You think you will ever grow up Toddyboy and stop spounging off of your
> > parents? Maybe some day you will learn to talk better than some jr.
> > high-schooler.
>
> I learned how to cuss from my shemale friends over at the Shipwreck
> Lounge in Glendale.

Roger doesn't go to the Shipwreck lounge dumbass. You need to kill
yourself, tubby.

> Toad should go to Bottoms Up at 2100 W Spresser
> Street in Taylorville and find some shemales of his own. They aren't
> called "Bottoms Up" for nothing, and Toad will find out why when he
> goes.

Hey, Lardass Davies, how is it you know all the gay bars in every town?
Oh, that's right, you are a 32 year old VIRGIN because you are queer!

# 557. Re: AB8MQ Home Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 14:38:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131374316.427766.29310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123072
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Not so secwet lisping cocksucker Lloyd Davies wrote:
> On Sun, 6 Nov 2005 18:42:37 -0500, Not Lloyd <LibsSuck@Libz.col> wrote:
> > AB8MQ, his family and friend have their own web site.
> >
> > http://www.mytrailerpark.com/
>
> Keep it up and I'm gonna law you.

Lardass, you can't even keep up on the rent on that HUD apartment or
any of your other bills.

Lloyd Asswipe Davies<N0VFP@AOL.COMNOSPAM proves is as ignorant of
history and
politics as he is in everything else when he "wrote" in message
<19990823214439.01713.00000283@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
"There are those call Liberty People "Nazis" What does supposed to
mean?
I thought a Nazi was a communist."

## 558. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 14:40:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131374423.731508.35550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123073
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> fuck you you fucking little queer ass bitchwhore.

The only queer bitchwhore is you, Toad.

>hat's the fucking
> matter your fucking boyfriend stebie robberson ain't butt fucking hard
> enough you fuck queer ass homofuckingsexual

You sound like an expert at being sodcomized, Toad. I bet your drunk of
a daddy does you then your mommy uses the strap-on on you.

## 559. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 14:41:58 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131374518.783140.27510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123074
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

typo : "sodomized" - sopmething that Toad has done to him on a nightly
basis.

# 560. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 14:57:55 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131375475.765144.183080@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 6 Nov 2005 17:02:57 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 6 Nov 2005 16:51:47 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >STFU, FAGGOT!
> >>
> >> gues you don't know the meaning of "reply"
> >
> >"Guess" you must like showing you are an illiterate retard, Marky.
>
> youd be wrong of course
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

No, you proved me right. Or, what is it, you don't like your illiterate
retard status being pointed out. Too bad, Marky.

>
> >
> >> since your message has nothing to do with his
> >
> >Your messages have little to do with anything, Marky.
>
> you were repling to Todd little boy

Doesn't matter, little girl. His or your posts are still not relevant.

## 561. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 16:05:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131379552.301734.189960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123076

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 6 Nov 2005 07:58:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 5 Nov 2005 15:19:46 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> >> well been digging the archives on Stevie
> >> >> located some 105 posst of his misdeeds dumping a lot of the repitivie
> >> >> stuff
> >> cut
> >>
> >> >> feel free to resume threatening me now stevie
> >> >
> >> > No threats, Markie...Just a promise to fully deprive you of
> >> >any/all resources and assets the courts may allow for your culpable
> >> >actions.
> >>
> >> you can try but I am willing the take the "risk" after all tif the
> >> Board is willing to uphold their own rules your words are all I need
> >> to send them
> >>
> > And I said have at it, Markie.
>
> working on it

Uh huh.

> you have made more than 8000 post here that are under your own name
> (we will not even try to guess how many you may have under false name
> >
> > The Board is obligated to review all complaints it receives. If
> >you really ARE stupid enough to file an official complaint, they WILL
> >review it.
> >
> > And when they do, whatever you sent them becomes a matter of
> >public record.
>
> posibly but I doubt it

"possibly"

> However everything I wil send is already in the public record

"will"

No...it's on USENET.

> > Then whey THEY are done with it, my attorneys get to play with it.
>
> I don't think they do, but if you like I can send YOU personaly a copy
> of what i send to board of nursing

Of COURSE they get to! From the Board, to Me, to them. Then
eventually back to you.

> >> and no way you are making a malice case out quoting you to the nursing
> >> board
> >
> > Easy pickings, Markie.
>
> you don't know what you are talking about

Sure I do.

> > Your "complaint" will be dispositioned as a retaliatory action on
> >your part.
>
> retaliatory to what? after all you claim you have done nothing to me

Uh huh....

> your use of the word of relaliatory would seem to indiacate that you
> are felling guility about something

"feeling" "guilty"

Nope. That's an acceptable adjective used to describe what a
person does when they can't get their way, and then try to inflict some
sort of "damage" by this action.

> my complaint will document my real concern that you have violated the
> rules of the tennesse BoN cchapter 1000-2 rules and reg of lpn's
> section 13 and 14

None of which applies to our USENET exchanges.

> the TenN BoN will evaulate my material, and then take such action as
> they seem fit, assuming you are right and you can post all #### you
> have here without it being a concern to the BoN you will not be
> damaged in any way hence no case for you...(SNIP)

STILL a "case" for me.

It's not going to take a rocket scientist to figure out your
"motives", Markie. Stevie Wonder can see that!

>(UNSNIP)...OTOH if I am right and send
> them the right stuff and they act, they will damage you not me, and
> again no case for Stevie against me

Not happening.

> > And when they have closed it, my attorneys get the package. Then
> >you get to drive to Tennessee for the civil case that will follow.
>
> wrong again Stevie, assuming you can find an atttorney and a cause of
> action I am still not required to travel to Tennessee

Not "find" an attorney, Markie...FOUND.

> If you get something that the court will try to serve me with that
> service will take place here (and I think you have to go to FEDERAL
> court but I may be worng) ....(SNIP)

No, that service will take place HERE if you intend to press these
"charges".

And of course you're wrong.

> (UNSNIP)...then I first make a pro se effort to have
> the case dismissed, failing that I will hire a lawyer able to practice
> in TN and/or the federal Courts as needed

It won't be dismissed, Markie.

Attempting a malicious retaliatory claim of misconduct in a State
board is a felony.

> If I have to blow the money on a retainer...(SNIP)

Well, well, Markie...We've caught you in another tale...

You've already tired the "I have a lawyer" routine. You also
tried the "I have so much money and property" routine that you could
outspend me on legal expenses.

> (UNSNIP)...then I will also take a shot
> at suing you for the tort I believe you have done me with your
> threats lies harrasent and hate speech, and things will start getting
> expesive for you too

"harrassment" "expensive"

Markie, EVERYTHING is "hate speech" to you. Even when people do
their best to clearly help or accomodate you, you insult them, call
them names and otherwise create hate and distrust (ie: your rantings
with Hans when he answered your 60m band quartions)

> > Of
> >course you can just sit up in the UP thinking that nothing will happen
> >to you, but hey, it's your reality!
>
> I could try that bbut that line doesn't work

"bbut"....stop stammering.

> >> > BTW...Since this "action" is taking place in Tennessee, you are
> >> >going to have to travel to Tennessee. Do you intend to retain local

> >> >counsel? Or shall we just serve you personally and then have you held
> >> >IN Tennessee as a flight risk?
> >>
> >> re read the above
> >
> > YOU "re-read the above"
> >
> > YOU will have to come to Tennessee to testify. While you're here,
> >I'll ahve you served with the civil action. The flight risk issue will
> >be a certainty.
> >
> > Gotcha!
>
> Greetings, I have found your comments to be so ridiculas and
> entertaining that I just had to weigh in.

"rediculous"

At this point, the conversation allegedly changes from "Markie" to "Mrs Markie"...Of course since the "introduction" starts of with the same lack of ability to spell, I seriously doubt the validity...but I'll play along!

> Let me introduce myself - I
> am who you have been referring to in various posts as Mrs. Markie.
> BTW, I am female at least the last time I checked. I also happen to be
> a trained paralegal and a 1L.

Oh geeze...Here we go...

> First of all you are confusing the
> processes and methods of criminal legal cases with those of civil.

No, I'm not. Your S/O is going to try and "harm" me by making complaints to the Tennessee State Board of Nursing for these USENET exchanges.

When he's done doing that and once the malicious intent has been established, I am going to ask that appropriate criminal chages for the criminal mischief be filed, and I will seek CIVIL damages as well.

> You need to go clarify that before you make any more stupid comments.

You better turn to the other half there when addressing "stupid comments".

BTW...His actions will affect YOUR standard of living.

> Try picking up a simple study guide on torts. 2nd, in this matter it is
> not Mark who would be bringing the action against you re: your license
> but the BON of TN - he is merely providing info so your cause of
> action if you do suffer damages from this proceeding would be against
> them, not Mark.

Sure they will be against Mark.

Sweetums, you need to get that slob to show you his REAL track record going back almost a decade on USENET silliness and stupidity.

IF, and I repeat IF he's really stupid enough (or you are stupid enough to let him) to file these "complaints", I WILL demonstrate his malicious intent.

Regardless of what he thinks of me in THIS forum, there will be a brigade of my professional peers in that hearing who will tear his story to shreds. And on top of that I can call in literally HUNDREDS of persons who have been my patients over the last decade who can attest to my professional character. Local businessmen, politicos, law enforcement and other persons KNOWN to the community.

So...If "the Colonel" thinks he can "make a case" from these USENET exchanges as opposed to the testimony of professionals, then have at it...

BTW...Just how far do you think his "complaint" will get with his repeated acknowledgement of his INTENTIONAL lying and deceit?

> 3rd, even if he is required to give a deposition in
> this matter he can do so from here in some fashion with modern
> technology.

Deposition, Yes...Testimony, get your bags packed.

> 4th, if you wish to bring a cause of action against Mark
> you first have to identify and quantify the real (legal term) damages
> that he ostensibly has or would have caused you which cannot occur
> until after the hearing by the BON (see above) you also would have to
> bring suit in a place that has jurisdiction over a resident of MI
> which is not the state of TN.

Pretty poor grammatical expression for an alleged "paralegal"

But in any case, if Markie intends to use the TENNESSEE State Board of Nursing to inflict his will on me, he'll eventually have to come here.

> For arguements sake, if you were able to
> bring suit in TN Mark still wouldn't have to come there - that's what
> attys are for and therefore you would have no course to arrange to
> have him held in TN as 'a flight risk' which is not a factor in a
> civil suit - another confusion you are making btwn civil and criminal
> law.

Sorry...Wrong.

> Check your facts Steve before you make more of an ### out of yourself
> than you are.

Hey, YOU'RE the one who married the liar..So who's the ### now, sweetums?

> If you actually have facts re: your legal knowledge
> citations would give you credibility which you are lacking.
>
> signing off and returning you to KB9RQZ
> Mrs. Markie, 3rd party traffic . . .

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> My wife is a bit wordy for this forum but i had trouble sorting out
> all your legal errors

There's no errors. You'll push this button and eventually have
the hammer fall on your own head, Markie.

> Truely and in all kindness for your families sake TALK TO LAWYER SOON

"Truly" "family's"

Take your own advice.

> >> you are really losing it Stevie
> >>
> >> a flight risk on a civil action ?
> >
> > Nope. The civil action is just what >>I<< will be persuing for
> >damages. Remember what I told you DAYS ago about what happens to
> >people who file malicious retaliatory complaints? THAT is criminal.
>
> so you say and yet you also say you can fille your concerns with other
> states freely without criminal penality

"file" "penalty"

> you have even stated I can legaly file my concerns with the BoN in the
> past I dissmissed this at the time not believeing there was a cuase or
> rule they could use in this matter, when YOU pointed one out I (with
> help from Mrs Markie) went looking and found tha you may have in fact
> told the turth on that score for once

"legally" "dismissed" "believing" "cause" "that" "truth"

I've told the "turth" on more occassions than you'd care to admit
to, Markie, but hey, that's OK...

> agin assuming somehow you can presaude the cops down there to try and
> trump up some charge (and your filling of any falsehood in your police
> report could get you in trouble remember) they would still have to go
> through the legal mechanism of extradicting me a very expense and time
> consuming problem

"Again" "persuade"

I won't try unscramble the sentence...

"the cops" are not the one's who would be involved in the filing
of charges, Markie...

(You really ought to have Mrs Markie proof read your stuff)

> >> you are out of your mind
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> >> if by some strech of the imagine nation you are talking about a
> >> criminal complaint then you over look extradiction and other legal
> >> niceities
> >
> > Not if you're already here to testify on the original
> >"complaint".
> >
> >..And Markie, I DO have the right to face you in a
> >hearing.
>
> check your facts

Checked and re-checked.

> not sure you have that right as I understand I should be able to be
> disposed like any other witness

And I have the right to face you for cross examination...here in
Tennessee...

Pack your bags.

> >....You file a complaint in Tennessee, and Tennessee is where
> >you're going to have to go to testify.
>
> check your facts

I have.

Pack your bags.

> > You don't show, the complaint
> >is dismissed and
>
> check your facts

I have.

Pack your bags.

> > I STILL get to file a civil complaint on the malicious
> >complaint charge!
>
> get your fact straight

I have.

Pack your bags.

> > And once you're here on the original complaint the courts can order
> >you to remain here.
>
> Stevie the BoN is not a court of law

True. But it is still a legally binding hearing.

> and court of law must have some reason to issue an order
>
> I am not required to stay in tennesesse for years just because you
> file a civil action

You keep trying to avoid the CRIMINAL complaint for the malicious
intent.

> any criminal filing can only be considered after the BoN has issed its
> findings. then a DA must decide that any action I took rises to a
> level that is criminal, and then he would get a warrant for my arest

"issued" "arrest".

Do me a favor...File your "complaint". Then when the hearing is
set, DON'T show up.

> > Otherwise you're in contempt of court. Those
> >follow you across state lines too.
> >
> >> you are just plain stupid Stevie

Nope. Just looking forward to laughing at you in person for a
change.

> > Keep telling yourself that, Markie...Keep hoping....Keep asking
> >yourself, "...is this really worth it?"
>
> you are just afraid you have blown it and that Google has provided it
> to me

Nope.

There's not a single thing in this USENET forum that is
potentially punishable by ANY act of censure, suspension or revocation
of my Nursing license.

YOU are certain there is, despite the fact that your own "buddies"
won't publically support you and that not one other person offers you
any encouragement...But then you are known (and yes, "Google provides")
for your deceit, dishonesty and intentional misrepresentation of
material fact.

In short, what ever inconvienience you may cause in the short term,
I am going to use to rip you "a new one" in the long term...

> you have provoked this response by years of abusive behavoir

The abuse is yours, Markie...Lies, deceit, harrassment...

> you clearly do not understand the issues you are dealing with .
>
> GET real LEGAL advice, for your good

GOT real LEGAL advice, and she says you're in for the ride of your life if you think otherwise.

Steve, K4YZ

# 562. Re: Radio Legends Denounce Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 16:09:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131379774.487810.33610@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123077

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:

> and then you finish up with an out right lie and exptect to be
> believed?

This from the mouth of the Drafted, Won't Let Me Resign, Gay Pagan
Army Chemical Corps Colonel.

Yeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeah......

Steve, K4YZ

## 563. Re: Radio Legends Denounce Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 16:12:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131379929.897010.185790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123078

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 15:36:32 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
> <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:

> >Like I said, if you knew anything.....
>
> why should I care who he is?

"Why", indeed, but you DID take the time to embroil yourself in
something you are, again, obviously uninformed about.

But hey...why screw with tradition?

Steve, K4YZ

# 564. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 16:20:23 UTC
From: Vince <vbsmith8@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3459dcd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 123079
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 7 Nov 2005 06:36:26 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> Secwet Woger wrote:
> > On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 19:58:23 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > >
> > > You think you will ever grow up Toddyboy and stop spounging off of your
> > > parents? Maybe some day you will learn to talk better than some jr.
> > > high-schooler.
> > >
> > I learned how to cuss from my shemale friends over at the Shipwreck
> > Lounge in Glendale.
>
> Roger doesn't go to the Shipwreck lounge dumbass. You need to kill
> yourself, tubby.

If he said he does (and he did), we believe him.

> > Toad should go to Bottoms Up at 2100 W Spresser
> > Street in Taylorville and find some shemales of his own. They aren't
> > called "Bottoms Up" for nothing, and Toad will find out why when he
> > goes.
>
> Hey, Lardass Davies, how is it you know all the gay bars in every town?
> Oh, that's right, you are a 32 year old VIRGIN because you are queer!

Get your attributions straight. That post was by someone from Glendale
who calls himself Secwet Woger and who spends lots of time in the Shipreck
Lounge. He says so, and we believe him.

## 565. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 16:26:05 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <sop.me.thing.woger@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 123080
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 7 Nov 2005 06:41:58 -0800, Secwet Woger wrote:
: typo : "sodomized" - sopmething that Toad has done to him on a nightly
: basis.

Sop me thing? While indulging in your irrational fears of being caught
in a typo, you made another one. Sop me thing?

## 566. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 17:28:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131384517.287417.311660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123081
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Saggytits Lee wrote:

<corrected back>
> On 7 Nov 2005 06:41:58 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie wrote:

Looks liike Saggytits the fatass wants to show off his cocksuckiing
lisp!

> : typo : "sodomized" - something that Toad has done to him on a nightly
> : basis.
>
> So (whines and snivels)

That's rich, from a fatass who can't even find the right ARES website,
not to mention the fucking imbecile who thinks one "operates" a
dictionary instead of reading one.

"FUCKUP Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile
fucks up
as usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama
and Marshall County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle
brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place to
send email."

Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
<jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his
improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply
<gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

## 567. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 17:32:09 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131384729.093821.95020@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123082
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Vince Diak? wrote:
> On 7 Nov 2005 06:36:26 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > Not so Secwet lisping cocksucker Davies wrote:
> > > On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 19:58:23 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > >
> > > > You think you will ever grow up Toddyboy and stop spounging off of your
> > > > parents? Maybe some day you will learn to talk better than some jr.
> > > > high-schooler.
> > >
> > > I learned how to cuss from my shemale friends over at the Shipwreck
> > > Lounge in Glendale.
> >
> > Roger doesn't go to the Shipwreck lounge dumbass. You need to kill
> > yourself, tubby.
>
> If he said he does (and he did), we believe him.

You would, you fucking imbecile.; You are as fucking moronic as Lardass
Davies.

>
> > > Toad should go to Bottoms Up at 2100 W Spresser
> > > Street in Taylorville and find some shemales of his own. They aren't
> > > called "Bottoms Up" for nothing, and Toad will find out why when he
> > > goes.
> >
> > Hey, Lardass Davies, how is it you know all the gay bars in every town?
> > Oh, that's right, you are a 32 year old VIRGIN because you are queer!
>
> Get your attributions straight. That post was by someone from Glendale
> who calls himself Secwet Woger and who spends lots of time in the Shipreck
> Lounge. He says so, and we believe him.

Except you posted the original post, fatass, and it wasn't from
somebody from Glendale. It was YOU, a 32 year old virgin genetic
reject/inbred from Athens,AL ! BTW, shit for brains, Roger doesn't
spend ANY time at the Shipwreck Lounge, and never did. You are such a
fuck up!

# 568. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 17:35:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131384928.141681.270560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123083

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM <Marathon_Poster@AOL.COM> on Tues 1 Nov 2005 16:35
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
> >> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
> >> >> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:

> Let's see...up to the end of 31 October there were 3,680
> filings of which 240 were of the "indeterminate" kind. That's
> about 6.5 percent (only two digits used, can't use any
> "illusions of accuracy" with you, can we?). The other three
> categories were pretty well UN-ambiguous on deciding either
> YES to the NPRM, NO to the NPRM, or "let's keep it for Extra."

Your methods are faulty.
>
> You need "experts in analysis" to divine the "true meaning"
> of those filings?

Nope. Just common sense.

> I guess so...anything to try your damndest
> to fudge, alter the percentages in favor of pro-code. Tsk.

You're the one "fudging", Len. By counting multiple comments
and reply comments from the same person as separate opinions
rather than duplicates, you're saying that some people's opinions
are worth more than others simply because they wrote more.

What's worse is that you tried to hide that fact, and the effect it
has on the 'scorecard'.

> By the way, I don't know if the FCC uses "percentages" or not.
> I used them to get a clearer picture of the total of opinions.

You muddied that clarity by counting some people's opinions
multiple times.

> You dislike that.

Not at all.

> Obviously, since the comment period start
> showed that MORE were in favor of the NPRM than against.

And then the trend went the other way. Like it or not.

> I started this "computer-modem" think in early December,
> 1984. The Internet didn't go public until 1991. Have you
> seen any registry of domain names recently? No? You think
> it is "easy" to find something specific even with a search
> engine?

It was easy for me. Just googled "05-235 stats" and it came
right up.

You don't have website, though, even though AOL has a homepage
for every screen name.

> >> I'm telling the Federal Communications
> >> Commission (a U.S. federal government agency) what I think
> >> the regulations on GETTING INTO amateur radio "should be."
> >> [i.e., my desires]
> >
> >How does your posting a 'scorecard' *here* tell the FCC anything,
> >Len?
>
> As an attachment to THREE filings on WT Docket 05-235, Jimmie.

How does your posting it *here* tell the FCC anything, Len?

Notice the word "here"?

> >Your posts *here* are full of your ideas about How Amateur Radio
> >Should Be.
>
> No.

Yes. That's a fact.

> >Your comments to FCC are full of your ideas about
> >How Amateur Radio Should Be.
>
> MOST of my filings to the FCC have been about MORSE CODE
> TESTING, Jimmie.

But not all of it. I saw your anti-Extra diatribe. Some would say it
was "petulant" and "whiny".....

> The code test is essentially about
> GETTING INTO amateur radio HF privileges.

So are the written tests. If FCC enacts the NPRM, it
will take at least a General license for new hams to
get *any* HF privileges.

> Long ago I
> started communications on HF without a single requirement

> to know or test for morse code and did it LEGALLY.

Sure - as part of a *battalion* of military servicepeople caring
for a bunch of transmitters. You were trained to do the job,
tested along the way, and supervised at every opportunity.

The transmitter operation was completely governed by set
procedures and by experienced personnel.

Amateur radio is a completely different thing. FCC recognizes
that, even if you don't.

> You've
> never fully explained why the artificiality of testing
> for morsemanship is so damn necessary for amateur
> operations

Because hams use Morse Code, for one.

> (you think you have but you are only parroting
> your conditioned thinking imposed by long-ago morsemen).

Nope. I think for myself. I've given my reasons for
my support of Morse Code testing in my comments
to FCC, and here.

You don't like them, so you attack me and claim
nonsense like "conditioned thinking" rather than
a simple difference of opinion.

> >> You
> >> would spend days, weeks, even years arguing over a "discussion"
> >> as you did on "age requirements" LONG AFTER I dropped it. :-)
> >
> >If you had really "dropped it", there would be no discussion....
>
> Tsk, tsk. You come along every once in a while and BRING
> IT TO THE SURFACE AGAIN (in rather sulpherous phrasing) and
> *I* am the one "bringing it up?"

You haven't "dropped it", Len.

> >You've spent most of a decade on usenet arguing against a simple
> >test for a license in a radio service with which you have no
> >involvement. That's your right, of course, but it is kind of odd
> >behavior. You must be very invested *emotionally*...
>
> Good grief...you aren't going into the MOTIVATIONAL aspects
> are you?

Why not?

You go into detail explaining other people's motivations, so yours
are fair game even if you won't discuss them or admit to them.

> >You've been doing heckling, catcalls, and booing from the
> >peanut gallery in computer-modem communications since 1984, eh?
>
> No, sweetums, I said I am used to receiving heckling,
> catcalls, and booing from the peanut gallery.

No, you didn't write that. Read what you actually wrote, Len.

>
> >> 1. The "answers" were ALREADY POSTED in each of the "score cards"
> >> I put up via Google. Well before you "asked" for the first
> >> one.
> >
> >Those "answers" aren't clear.
>
> Then SHOW us "clarity."

Here's an example:

"Len's scorecard counts not only comments but all filings on the NPRM.
If
the same person submits multiple filings, they are counted as
duplicates
only if they are exactly identical. Multiple nonidentical filings are
counted
the same as if they were filed by different people, thereby skewing the
count towards support for Len's opinion."

Now *that's* clear. Also true.

> So far, all you've done is bitch and
> whine and mewl about in a remarkable display of petulant,
> peurile juvenility of displeasure with certain folks.

I'm not calling people names, Len. You are.

> >> Who appoint you "judge" of what is "a good thing" or a "bad thing?"
> >
> >Same person who made *you* the judge.
>
> Nobody made ME any "judge," Jimmie.

But you act like one here.

> >> 3. The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S. amateur
> >> community has been CHANGING all along...AWAY from the old,
> >> Old, OLD standards and practices.
> >
> >Really? How do you know?
>
> I OBSERVE it. It's apparant to anyone with an open mind.

That leaves you out...

> You seem to be of a CLOSED mind when it comes to code testing.

Not as closed as yours, Len.

> >Compare the percentages of commenters supporting Morse Code testing
> >in 1998-1999 with those of the current NPRM. How much difference
> >is there *really*?
>
> Roughly, 1400 more filings. In three months of WT Docket 05-235
> there have been 3,680 filings. In the eleven months of WT
> Docket 98-143 filings there were only about 2,200.

*Percentages*, Len. Percentages in support of the various options.

> >What you want is different from the way things actually work, Len.

> >You may *want* everyone to just accept what you write here, but
> >they may not.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. All I was doing was a tally of filings on
> WT Docket 05-235. You hopped in and made this a Cause
> Celebre' (with oak leaf clusters) of "mistakes"! :-)

Your methods are inaccurate. That's a fact.

> >> >> YOU are NOT in the FCC. YOU are NOT on the ARRL BoD.
> >> >
> >> >Neither are you, Len.
> >>
> >> That doesn't explain why YOU constantly pretend to be "judge"
> >> and try to make nasty to others who state opinions contrary to
> >> what YOU find "objectionable."
> >
> >"make nasty"? How? Looks to me like you consider any disagreement
> >with your views to be "making nasty".
>
> Sweetums, you are getting LESS "superior" every time you deny
> YOU ever did anything wrong. :-)

What have I "done wrong", Len?

Disagreed with you?
> >> "Asking for clarification" of yours is nothing more than adult-
> >> language puerile petulant HECKLING done for malicious intent
> >> of your own.
> >
> >How is asking for clarification "heckling"?
>
> ...when it's obvious that others opinions in the "amateur
> community" aren't skewed the way YOU WANT them to be! :-)

It's clear you tried to skew them the way *you* want them, Len. But
the majority still opposed it.

> >> Tsk, tsk, tsk...my Notes said that DUPLICATES would be counted
> >> as "Indeterminate" category and not used for the Percentage

> >> figures. Clearly.
> >
> >Not "clearly", because you don't define what a "duplicate" really is.
> >If the
> >same person files comments that are not identical, do you count them as
> >duplicates? Or are they separate comments and counted as such?
>
> Yes and no. Take your choice.

Your skewing has been revealed

>
> >How about Leonard H. Anderson, who has 6 filings? Do they show
> >up as a count of 1 or 6 on the tally of "for" filings? I think you
> >count them as 6 in the "for" column because they're not identical
> >and hence not duplicates.
>
> The ONLY way you will know FOR SURE is to do your OWN tally,
> Jimmie. You obviously don't believe a thing I write about
> my own tally.

You avoid the question because you know I'm right.

> >> Why am I supposed to follow the "rules" of this "alternate
> >> compilation?"
> >
> >You don't have to, Len. But your scorecard would be more accurate
> >if you did.
>
> Why would it be "more accurate" Jimmie?

Because one person's opinion should not be counted multiple times.

> >> Well then, go BITCH to the FCC and NTIA (who govern part of the
> >> U.S. Internet) that I am being terribly "dishonest" and have me
> >> thrown off the 'net or something! :-)
> >
> >Why? You're the source of the inaccuracy, so I'm telling you.
>
> WRONG. I'm accurate.

No, you're not. QED

## 569. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 17:35:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131384928.460655.7580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123084

Mark C. Morgan
17366 N River Rd
Chassell MI 49916

## 570. Re: Brian and Markie Stretching Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 17:54:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131386075.849563.78770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123085

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 5 Nov 2005 15:22:49 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > > Steve Robeson
> >> > > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
> >> > >
> >> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> >> > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> >> > > Date: 2000/05/30
> >> > > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> >> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> >> > > | Report Abuse
> >> > > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> >> > > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
> >> > > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
> >> > > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
> >> > > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
> >> > > inadequate
> >> > > for you.<
> >> > >
> >> > > Oooooooohhhhhh!
> >> > >
> >> > > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
> >> > >
> >> > > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
> >> > > placed that
> >> > > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
> >> > > and
> >> > > callsigns.
> >> > >
> >> > > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
> >> > >
> >> > > Steve
> >> > >
> >> > > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
> >> > > to fight
> >> > > in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)
> >> >
> >> > Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?
> >>
> >> so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion
> >>

> >> like you said Google provide
> >
> > You're welcome to try and document this as an attempt to
> >"prescribe". I'll offer the BopN my congratualtions on reserving thier
> >laughter now.....
>
> noted meaning unless you are lying you have no objection to my taking
> my proposed action

Oh, I have objections, alright, however you DO have your legal
right to file whatever "complaint" you feel you want to do so long as
it's not filed maliciously.

However there are consequences for complaints filed maliciously.

Your lengthy pontifications in this NG to do just that establishes
that malicious intent, Markie. So have at it...Makes things easier for
me in the long run.

I have other posts of yours archived on CD with your alleged
knowledge of what constitutes proper prescribing authority.
Ironically, it was a post wherein you made a similar comment and I
questioned YOUR "medical" credentials.

So...be my guest...It's a CD-RW, so it's easy to add.

And thanks....

Steve, K4YZ

## 571. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 18:12:07 UTC
From: Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com>
Message-ID: <woger.smokes.pot.all.day@houston-hunks.com>
Source record: 123086
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 7 Nov 2005 09:28:37 -0800, Secwet Woger wrote:
:
: Saggytits Lee wrote:
:
: <corrected back again>
: > On 7 Nov 2005 06:41:58 -0800, Secwet Woger wrote:
:
: Looks liike Saggytits the fatass wants to show off his cocksuckiing
: lisp!
:
: > : typo : "sodomized" - something that Toad has done to him on a nightly
: > : basis.
: >
: > So (whines and snivels)

Oh my. You've returned to post editing your mistakes out again.

<begin restore of what Woger ran from>

Handsome Stagger Lee wrote:
> Sop me thing? While indulging in your irrational fears of being caught
> in a typo, you made another one. Sop me thing?

<end restore>

So what's your theory? Do you think that, if you change the text of
your posts in your followups, no one is smart enough to read the
original post? I BELIEVE THAT YOU DO! It's the only possible
explanation. All that time in the Shipwreck Lounge has caused you to
addle your brain with alchohol. You should switch back to pot.

==========
In message <acg2jt$b6q$3@pita.alt.net>, AB8MQ issues a West Virginia
hillbilly insult when he says: "Faggot Lee is gnetically [sic] related
to his sister."

## 572. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 18:16:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131387401.123005.253970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123087

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 5 Nov 2005 00:51:01 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > On 3 Nov 2005 03:05:20 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >> > >snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > >> > snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > >> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> >> > >> > > > Steve Robeson K4YZ Jan 29 2003, 10:46 am show options
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> > > > Now, Please provide the requested verifications of YOUR assertions
> >> > >> > > > of lies
> >> > >> > > > on my part.
> >> > >> > > >
> >> > >> > > > We'll wait right here.
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> > > Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you may
> >> > >> > > send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
> >> > >> > > you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
> >> > >> > > "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
> >> > >> >
> >> > >> > Sorry, Brain...Several issues.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> Predicted.
> >> > >
> >> > > I see.
> >> >
> >> > indeed it was predicted andyou followed along
> >>
> >> He must follow the Robeson profile.
> >
> > Nice try.
>
> and it is the simple truth

Markie, you have NO concept of the "truth", simple or otherwise.

You've said so yourself.

> >> > >> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to
> >> > >> > take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had
> >> > >> > Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have
> >> > >> > gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...
> >> > >> >

> >> > >> > Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my
> >> > >> > Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never
> >> > >> > "accepted the wager. You ignored it.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> Why do you always acuse people other than yourself of being dishonest,
> >> > >> jerk?
> >> > >
> >> > > So far I've not seen otherwise.
> >> >
> >> > then you agree it seem syou do accuse people of being dishoinest
> >> > everone but your self
> >>
> >> Steve is pure.
> >
> > Nope. Not by a long shot.
> >
> >> Everyone else is a liar.
> >
> > Nope. Just those who don't tell the truth.
>
> which is everyone that disgress with Stevie

"Which" "disagrees"

Nope.

N2EY and I have taken each other to task on a number of subjects.
I disagree with Dee. I disagree with Kim. I've "shared words" with
Larry Roll in the past.

> >> > >> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you
> >> > >> > to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further
> >> > >> > demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that
> >> > >> > fact surprises anyone.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >> > >>
> >> > >> Sorry, Steve...Several issues.
> >> > >>
> >> > >> First of all, >>>YOU<<< had made the wager across state lines. I did
> >> > >> the legal and honorable thing; I didn't accept it.
> >> > >
> >> > > No...You ignored the possibility that I was right. You've made
> >> > >several other wagering challenges yourself in the past, so feel free to
> >> > >dismount the "high horse" any time you're ready.
> >> >
> >> > nothing illegal or dishonorable in not accepting something
> >>
> >> I almost always ignore the possibility that Steve is "right."
> >
> > Mostly because in our exchanges I've come up "right" far more

> >often than you.
>
> nah wrong again Stevie

Nope. Absolutley right.

> you don't even know the difference betwen opinion and fact

Sure I do.

> >> I almost always ignore the possiblitiy that Steve is telling a "truth."
> >
> > Then you're lying to yourself. And if you can't be honest with
> >yourself, who ARE you going to be honest with?
>
> yep there you go everyone is lying again

Nope.

I said YOU...Not "everyone"...Unless YOU beleive yourself to
represent everyone else EXCEPT me...?!?!

> >> The possiblitiies are so remote...
> >
> > That you'd ever be honest with yourself? I wouldn't say
> >that...For example I am sure your tax returns are accurate.
>
> more accusations that you can't support

Oh?

Your tax returns are NOT accurate?

Hmmmmmmmmm....That bears watching.

> more lies about the position of others

What position?

> >> > >> Secondly, I did not accept the wager nor ask you to mail it to me. I
> >> > >> actually forbid you to mail anything to me.
> >> > >
> >> > > Uh huh.
> >>
> >> That's right. Google provides.
> >
> > Yep. Big man..."I forbid"...
>
> more of your stupidity

Nope.

Brain is the one expressing absolutes. Foolish Brain.

> > I don't give a rat's kazoo what you "forbid", Brain...
>
> now you are concered about the anatomy of animals

That was a pretty lame re-direct, Markie. Gradeschool level, I'd
think...Maybe middle school, but that's being a bit generous

> scik stevie sick

"Sick", Markie...

> >> > >> Lastly, what I did ask is that you donate your wager to the 10th
> >> > >> District QSL Buro since you have denigrated all 10th District amateurs
> >> > >> ("ZEROES") and the corpse of W0EX in an effort to get a laugh.
> >> > >
> >> > > I stand corrected on your "donation to the zero land" buro,
> >>
> >> Indeed you do.
> >>
> >> however
> >> > >your suggestion that I somehow defiled ANYone's corpse is assinine and
> >> > >disgusting...
> >> >
> >> > it is disgusting that the way you defile men in order to make your
> >> > sick points
> >>
> >> Google has his exact post.
> >
> > It sure does. And no where in it do I "defile" any deceased
> >person.
>
> sure do
>
> you have done so with Dick Correl (however it is spelled) and w0EX

WHERE was the "defiling", Mark?

I stated his (Dick Carrol's) old call was coming available.

You have YET to prove any "defiling".

> > But then YOU don't have the intestinal fortitude to acknowledge it.
>
> you are the one lacking guts Stevie

Nope.

> and honesty, and basic morality

Let's see:

Markie lied about his Army rank.

Markie lied about his branch of service.

Markie continues to lie about "threats" allegedly made against him
and his family.

Markie states that it's not only "OK", but he INTENDS to teach any
future offspring HOW to LIE.

Markie lied about his mental health therapy.

And those are just the funniest ones...

Markie has no business alledging others have honesty and "basic
morality" issues.

> >> > > But then, considering the source.....
> >> > >
> >> > >> That is the least you can do for 10-Landers. Donations are allowed to cross
> >> > >> state lines, aren't they?
> >> > >
> >> > > Yes, they are.
> >> > >
> >> > >> Again, further demonstration that you would not only wiggle your way
> >> > >> out as predicted, but you make accusations as you do do. Not that that
> >> > >> fact surprises anyone.
> >> > >
> >> > > So far the only accusation here is that I have "welched" on a bet,
> >> > >an act that could not not have occured since the wager was never
> >> > >accepted.
> >> > >
> >> > > As for "wiggling out" of anything, I think you already set a
> >> > >several-years-long track record of having no tolerance or use for
> >> > >"rules" of any kind,
> >>
> >> Obviously you lie.
> >
> > Obviously you WISH I was lying.
>
> wrong again and another lie

Nope. All too obvious.

> Brain and myself wish you would tell the truth
>
> you just refuse to do so

You and Brain wish I'd quit catching the two of you in one tale or
falsehood after another.

> >> > >and that would include "accepting" a publically
> >> > >offered wager IN public...
> >>
> >> I'll accept nothing from you short of an apology for your years of
> >> badgering and lying.
> >
> > After you, big boy...after you!

>
> admiting your lies after a fashion but not apoloigizing for for them

"apologizing"

There was no "admission" of ANY kind, Markie.

> > Of course if I "apologize" for "badgering and lying" to you I WOULD
> >then be lying.
>
> another lie from Stevie

Nope. You said you wanted "the turth", and by golly you got
it...That you won't ACCEPT it is something different all together...

> >> > >Not trying to make an issue of it as somehow
> >> > >not "kept" years after you diss'ed it.
> >> > >
> >> > >Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> You're the one making an issue of it in here, Tennessee Nurse Steven J
> >> Robeson, LPN.
> >
> > No, I'm not.
> >
> > Your team mate, Sir Markie of Paganopolis did. He was the one who
> >started the thread about you being "paid up" on some non-existant
> >wager. Talk to him and get your attriburtions right, Brain.
>
> you started all those years stevie you typed the post

Nope.

QUOTE:

Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

All 24 messages in topic - view as tree
an old friend
Nov 1, 1:16 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> - Find messages by this author

Date: 31 Oct 2005 23:16:34 -0800
Local: Tues, Nov 1 2005 1:16 am
Subject: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

UNQUOTE

> I just thought I remind everyone of YOUR Words

Remind them of WHAT...?!?!

Brain NOT taking a wager that COULD have netted him $100...?!?!

> funny how NOTHING is your fault
>
> you are not even responible for your taunts

How am I "responible" (RESPONSIBLE) for Brain's not taking a publivally offered wager? Afterall, HE has even admitted he didn't acknowledge it or accept it. Your "argument" is with him.

> >> "Every obnoxious act is a cry for help."
> >
> > You should know. Your record is a long one.
>
> more lies

Nope. Facts. Archived.

> more efoort to practice med without a lic

"More" "effort" "medicine" "license"

And no, it's not.

> >> Get help
> >
> > Talking to yourself is an obvious symptom. Take your own advice.

As I said...Take your own advice.

Steve, K4YZ

## 573. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 18:18:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131387528.501127.161740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123088

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 6 Nov 2005 07:58:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > >> On 5 Nov 2005 15:19:46 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > >> >> well been digging the archives on Stevie
> > >> >> located some 105 posst of his misdeeds dumping a lot of the repitivie
> > >> >> stuff
> > >> cut
> > >>
> > >> >> feel free to resume threatening me now stevie
> > >> >
> > >> > No threats, Markie...Just a promise to fully deprive you of
> > >> >any/all resources and assets the courts may allow for your culpable
> > >> >actions.
> > >>
> > >> you can try but I am willing the take the "risk" after all tif the
> > >> Board is willing to uphold their own rules your words are all I need
> > >> to send them
> > >
> > > And I said have at it, Markie.
> >
> > working on it
>
> Uh huh.
>
> > you have made more than 8000 post here that are under your own name
> > (we will not even try to guess how many you may have under false name
> > >
> > > The Board is obligated to review all complaints it receives. If
> > >you really ARE stupid enough to file an official complaint, they WILL
> > >review it.
> > >
> > > And when they do, whatever you sent them becomes a matter of
> > >public record.
> >
> > posibly but I doubt it
>
> "possibly"
>
> > However everything I wil send is already in the public record
>
> "will"

>
> No...it's on USENET.
which is public

>
> > > Then whey THEY are done with it, my attorneys get to play with it.
> >
> > I don't think they do, but if you like I can send YOU personaly a copy
> > of what i send to board of nursing
>
> Of COURSE they get to! From the Board, to Me, to them. Then
> eventually back to you.

check with a lawyer about it Stevie, most such case regulatory cases
don't work that way. It never works that way in matter of Legal
professionals

and of course as always you fial to address my point

>
> > >> and no way you are making a malice case out quoting you to the nursing
> > >> board
> > >
> > > Easy pickings, Markie.
> >
> > you don't know what you are talking about
>
> Sure I do.

no you don't

get a lawyer Stevie

you NEED one

you need one NOW

>
> > > Your "complaint" will be dispositioned as a retaliatory action on
> > >your part.
> >
> > retaliatory to what? after all you claim you have done nothing to me
>
> Uh huh....
>
> > your use of the word of relaliatory would seem to indiacate that you
> > are felling guility about something
>
> "feeling" "guilty"
>
> Nope. That's an acceptable adjective used to describe what a
> person does when they can't get their way, and then try to inflict some
> sort of "damage" by this action.\

it is telling choice of words

OTOH your "logic" implies a rape vitum may not report the rape becuase
the vicutm dislikes the accuesed

you need a lawyer

>
> > my complaint will document my real concern that you have violated the
> > rules of the tennesse BoN cchapter 1000-2 rules and reg of lpn's
> > section 13 and 14
>
> None of which applies to our USENET exchanges.

that will be the issue

have you READ the passges in question lately

I have and saw provisoin that were in NO way limited to a hospital or
professional setting

You medical statements are IMO unethical

You statements about classes of people would lead a reasonable person
to question wether you are able to carry out your medical duties
without discrimination

and If it is found our internet exchance are not covered by the BoN
ability to act then you have no case against me since you will not be
damaged by my action

talk to a lawyer
>
> > the TenN BoN will evaulate my material, and then take such action as
> > they seem fit, assuming you are right and you can post all ##### you
> > have here without it being a concern to the BoN you will not be
> > damaged in any way hence no case for you...(SNIP)
>
> STILL a "case" for me.

nope

go see a lawyer

>
> It's not going to take a rocket scientist to figure out your
> "motives", Markie. Stevie Wonder can see that!

my motives are ilrelavant Stevie

your action and wether or not they lie withing the BoN power to act

your have to show you were damaged by my actions

talk to a lawyer

>
> >(UNSNIP)...OTOH if I am right and send
> > them the right stuff and they act, they will damage you not me, and
> > again no case for Stevie against me
>
> Not happening.

you better hope so

>
> > > And when they have closed it, my attorneys get the package. Then
> > >you get to drive to Tennessee for the civil case that will follow.
> >
> > wrong again Stevie, assuming you can find an atttorney and a cause of
> > action I am still not required to travel to Tennessee
>
> Not "find" an attorney, Markie...FOUND.

then if he told I have to travel to tennesessee for your civil action
then he is either lying to you or more likely you have not explained
the case to him properly since it is plain you don't understand what is
going here

>
> > If you get something that the court will try to serve me with that
> > service will take place here (and I think you have to go to FEDERAL
> > court but I may be worng) ....(SNIP)
>
> No, that service will take place HERE if you intend to press these
> "charges".

no charges would ever be pressed by me but BoN if they deem fit to do
so. I will present them with information about your activies and the
USE the claimed PORFESSIONAL use you put that license to

in any event If I testfiy in TN at the BoN and return home you will
still have to prefom the service here, by the means provided for by law

You seem to be under the delusion that he BoN matter is Mark v Stevie,
it would the BoN v Steve

>
> And of course you're wrong.

there NEVER an of course hen it comes the law

that is why lawsuits take a great deal of time

>
> > (UNSNIP)...then I first make a pro se effort to have
> > the case dismissed, failing that I will hire a lawyer able to practice
> > in TN and/or the federal Courts as needed
>
> It won't be dismissed, Markie.

you better hope it is

>
> Attempting a malicious retaliatory claim of misconduct in a State
> board is a felony.

you have to prove Malice as in the legal term
malice as legal is more than I don't like you Stevie
>
> > If I have to blow the money on a retainer...(SNIP)
>
> Well, well, Markie...We've caught you in another tale...
>
> You've already tired the "I have a lawyer" routine.

yes I have a lawyer

>You also
> tried the "I have so much money and property" routine that you could
> outspend me on legal expenses.

I do if need to

but I do not currently have un retainer a lawyer who is a meber of the
State bar in TN nor is the my lawyer for federal matter realy
quailified in civil tort at the federal level

>
> > (UNSNIP)...then I will also take a shot
> > at suing you for the tort I believe you have done me with your
> > threats lies harrasent and hate speech, and things will start getting
> > expesive for you too
>
> "harrassment" "expensive"
>
> Markie, EVERYTHING is "hate speech" to you.

more lies Stevie

but what do you call making the statement "Mark Morgan caught on
tape....?"

> Even when people do
> their best to clearly help or accomodate you, you insult them, call
> them names and otherwise create hate and distrust (ie: your rantings
> with Hans when he answered your 60m band quartions)

you were the one ranting

I made an off hand coment about hans not answering the question asked
you start another of your vendettas

>
> > > Of
> > >course you can just sit up in the UP thinking that nothing will happen

> > >to you, but hey, it's your reality!
> >
> > I could try that bbut that line doesn't work
>
> "bbut"....stop stammering.

shove your speling cop rountinue

>
> > >> > BTW...Since this "action" is taking place in Tennessee, you are
> > >> >going to have to travel to Tennessee. Do you intend to retain local
> > >> >counsel? Or shall we just serve you personally and then have you held
> > >> >IN Tennessee as a flight risk?
> > >>
> > >> re read the above
> > >
> > > YOU "re-read the above"
> > >
> > > YOU will have to come to Tennessee to testify. While you're here,
> > >I'll ahve you served with the civil action. The flight risk issue will
> > >be a certainty.
> > >
> > > Gotcha!
> >
> > Greetings, I have found your comments to be so ridiculas and
> > entertaining that I just had to weigh in.
>
> "rediculous"
>
> At this point, the conversation allegedly changes from "Markie" to
> "Mrs Markie"...Of course since the "introduction" starts of with the
> same lack of ability to spell, I seriously doubt the validity...but
> I'll play along!

you are a fool
>
> > Let me introduce myself - I
> > am who you have been referring to in various posts as Mrs. Markie.
> > BTW, I am female at least the last time I checked. I also happen to be
> > a trained paralegal and a 1L.
>
> Oh geeze...Here we go...
>
> > First of all you are confusing the
> > processes and methods of criminal legal cases with those of civil.
>
> No, I'm not. Your S/O is going to try and "harm" me by making
> complaints to the Tennessee State Board of Nursing for these USENET
> exchanges.

yes you are

no one has to remain in the state for a civil action
>
> When he's done doing that and once the malicious intent has been
> established, I am going to ask that appropriate criminal chages for the
> criminal mischief be filed, and I will seek CIVIL damages as well.

which if it can happen will after I have left the State of TN

>
> > You need to go clarify that before you make any more stupid comments.
>
> You better turn to the other half there when addressing "stupid
> comments".
>
> BTW...His actions will affect YOUR standard of living.

more threats

more effort to imtiadate a witness

that is a charagbel offense in even the event your corect and that BoN
lacks jurisstician over the conduct I am bringing to it attention

indeed your threats on this matter may well be a crime

which one reason I am slowing down my preeration to include your
efforts

and your efforts in this regard add to my conviction that even you
think the BoN will act aginst you in some way

>
> > Try picking up a simple study guide on torts. 2nd, in this matter it is
> > not Mark who would be bringing the action against you re: your license
> > but the BON of TN - he is merely providing info so your cause of
> > action if you do suffer damages from this proceeding would be against
> > them, not Mark.
>
> Sure they will be against Mark.
>
> Sweetums, you need to get that slob to show you his REAL track
> record going back almost a decade on USENET silliness and stupidity.

Stevie you don't understand

NO action of mine is defense against you claiming the PROFESSIONAL
opinion that Brain Burke should be forcably confine to a mental
Hospital, nor gives you any insight into anyone Hiv status mine or
todds or his father of the queen of shabes hiv status

>
> IF, and I repeat IF he's really stupid enough (or you are stupid
> enough to let him) to file these "complaints", I WILL demonstrate his
> malicious intent.

proving Malice is far than proving I dislike you, for that Mater I
public declare I do dislike like you so they no way of saying I am
trying to conseal this fact

Indeed I may well refer to my dislike in my cover leter /analys of your
wiritng to BoN (not sure yet since I have not writen that document

BTW FYI what will be send afterI am finsih sorting through will about
50 to hunerd of YOUR posts complete and entire post with the section I
feel relavant highlighted and cover leter and analyis of each of those
posts and how I feel they macth the provision of the TN BoN regs
>
> Regardless of what he thinks of me in THIS forum, there will be a
> brigade of my professional peers in that hearing who will tear his
> story to shreds. And on top of that I can call in literally HUNDREDS
> of persons who have been my patients over the last decade who can
> attest to my professional character. Local businessmen, politicos, law
> enforcement and other persons KNOWN to the community.

you can try such a defense it may even work for you but I doubt it. If
the BoN decides to take the matter up for some kind of action then they
will be looking at your use and IMO ABUSE of your LPN status to make
PROFESSIONAL judgement on USENET
>
> So...If "the Colonel" thinks he can "make a case" from these
> USENET exchanges as opposed to the testimony of professionals, then
> have at it...

working on it it will take time to going all that stuff hightlight and
write the analsys
>
> BTW...Just how far do you think his "complaint" will get with his
> repeated acknowledgement of his INTENTIONAL lying and deceit?

you have never heard of inocualting
>
> > 3rd, even if he is required to give a deposition in
> > this matter he can do so from here in some fashion with modern
> > technology.
>
> Deposition, Yes...Testimony, get your bags packed.

Depotions can be done anywhere Stevie I can be deposed here and indeed
as I live here I will insist on it you and or your consel depnding on
how the BoN procedcures work can be present by phone or other means

it is by no means certain that I must travel ever
>
> > 4th, if you wish to bring a cause of action against Mark
> > you first have to identify and quantify the real (legal term) damages
> > that he ostensibly has or would have caused you which cannot occur
> > until after the hearing by the BON (see above) you also would have to
> > bring suit in a place that has jurisdiction over a resident of MI

> > which is not the state of TN.
>
> Pretty poor grammatical expression for an alleged "paralegal"
>
> But in any case, if Markie intends to use the TENNESSEE State
> Board of Nursing to inflict his will on me, he'll eventually have to
> come here.

perhaps but I don't think so nor will i linger if I do
>
> > For arguements sake, if you were able to
> > bring suit in TN Mark still wouldn't have to come there - that's what
> > attys are for and therefore you would have no course to arrange to
> > have him held in TN as 'a flight risk' which is not a factor in a
> > civil suit - another confusion you are making btwn civil and criminal
> > law.
>
> Sorry...Wrong.

right I you are confusing the issues of criminal and civil

>
> > Check your facts Steve before you make more of an ### out of yourself
> > than you are.
>
> Hey, YOU'RE the one who married the liar..So who's the ### now,
> sweetums?

stop with making statements of endearment to my wife

esp as you have said she isn't a she, in which you are making an
homosexual pass ole boy

>
> > If you actually have facts re: your legal knowledge
> > citations would give you credibility which you are lacking.
> >
> > signing off and returning you to KB9RQZ
> > Mrs. Markie, 3rd party traffic . . .
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> > My wife is a bit wordy for this forum but i had trouble sorting out
> > all your legal errors
>
> There's no errors. You'll push this button and eventually have
> the hammer fall on your own head, Markie.

you are making serious errors in your desprictions of the legal
procedure

>
> > Truely and in all kindness for your families sake TALK TO LAWYER SOON

>
> "Truly" "family's"
>
> Take your own advice.
>
> > >> you are really losing it Stevie
> > >>
> > >> a flight risk on a civil action ?
> > >
> > > Nope. The civil action is just what >>I<< will be persuing for
> > >damages. Remember what I told you DAYS ago about what happens to
> > >people who file malicious retaliatory complaints? THAT is criminal.
> >
> > so you say and yet you also say you can fille your concerns with other
> > states freely without criminal penality
>
> "file" "penalty"
>
> > you have even stated I can legaly file my concerns with the BoN in the
> > past I dissmissed this at the time not believeing there was a cuase or
> > rule they could use in this matter, when YOU pointed one out I (with
> > help from Mrs Markie) went looking and found tha you may have in fact
> > told the turth on that score for once
>
> "legally" "dismissed" "believing" "cause" "that" "truth"
>
> I've told the "turth" on more occassions than you'd care to admit
> to, Markie, but hey, that's OK...

avoiding the issue again

you are full of evasions and shit
>
> > agin assuming somehow you can presaude the cops down there to try and
> > trump up some charge (and your filling of any falsehood in your police
> > report could get you in trouble remember) they would still have to go
> > through the legal mechanism of extradicting me a very expense and time
> > consuming problem
>
> "Again" "persuade"
>
> I won't try unscramble the sentence...

you should it could help you avoid ending up in jial yourself

>
> "the cops" are not the one's who would be involved in the filing
> of charges, Markie...

The cops and or the DA are always involeved in filing criminal charges

you will first have to make a police report. oolice report are made to
the police. the police are the Cops

therefore if your are going to get charges filed that can result in
anyones arrest that cops will be involed, indeed without the cops who
is going to arrest me?
>
> (You really ought to have Mrs Markie proof read your stuff)
>
> > >> you are out of your mind
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > >> if by some strech of the imagine nation you are talking about a
> > >> criminal complaint then you over look extradiction and other legal
> > >> niceities
> > >
> > > Not if you're already here to testify on the original
> > >"complaint".
> > >
> > >..And Markie, I DO have the right to face you in a
> > >hearing.
> >
> > check your facts
>
> Checked and re-checked.

check it agian
>
> > not sure you have that right as I understand I should be able to be
> > disposed like any other witness
>
> And I have the right to face you for cross examination...here in
> Tennessee...

in a criminal case yes but the the BoN is NOT a crimanl proceeding. I
truely wish it were and that the result could be you ending up behind
bars, but that isn't the case.

it is not even a civil involving me as a plaintiff

i am merely an informant providing a service to the BoN
>
> Pack your bags.
>
> > >....You file a complaint in Tennessee, and Tennessee is where
> > >you're going to have to go to testify.
> >
> > check your facts
>
> I have.
>
> Pack your bags.

>
> > > You don't show, the complaint
> > >is dismissed and
> > >
> > check your facts
>
> I have.
>
> Pack your bags.
>
> > > I STILL get to file a civil complaint on the malicious
> > >complaint charge!
> > >
> > get your fact straight
>
> I have.
>
> Pack your bags.
>
> > > And once you're here on the original complaint the courts can order
> > >you to remain here.
> > >
> > Stevie the BoN is not a court of law
>
> True. But it is still a legally binding hearing.
>
> > and court of law must have some reason to issue an order
> > >
> > I am not required to stay in tennesesse for years just because you
> > file a civil action
>
> You keep trying to avoid the CRIMINAL complaint for the malicious
> intent.

there is no crime

you said no cops were involved

you are ill informed and confused

>
> > any criminal filing can only be considered after the BoN has issed its
> > findings. then a DA must decide that any action I took rises to a
> > level that is criminal, and then he would get a warrant for my arest
>
> "issued" "arrest".
>
> Do me a favor...File your "complaint". Then when the hearing is
> set, DON'T show up.

I have been doing doing a favor in telling you how wrong you are in
many ways

>
> > > Otherwise you're in contempt of court. Those
> > >follow you across state lines too.
> > >
> > >> you are just plain stupid Stevie
>
> Nope. Just looking forward to laughing at you in person for a
> change.
>
> > > Keep telling yourself that, Markie...Keep hoping....Keep asking
> > >yourself, "...is this really worth it?"
> >
> > you are just afraid you have blown it and that Google has provided it
> > to me
>
> Nope.
>
> There's not a single thing in this USENET forum that is
> potentially punishable by ANY act of censure, suspension or revocation
> of my Nursing license.

we shall see

>
> YOU are certain there is,...

another lie I am not certain there is. I believe there is you can't
seem to tell the truth about much of anything regard ing me

what power do you claim to be anble to read my mind and know I am
certain that you can be santioned for your PROFESSIONAL asertions on
USENET.

I am certain enough that I take my intended action with relitively
little risk to myself.

and I am certain that I don't think you are fit to be a nurse, esp to
any person who may be homosexual or bixeaul or follow an alternitive
religion, people you are required to serve without discrimination. You
may indeed serve them, but your coment lead me and I believe will the
BoN to question that state of affairs. Assuming your questioned and
found not wanting you will not have been damged LEGALY, maybe your in
your pride but that is long way from criminal charges

If merely damaging a persons pride were criminal you would indeed be
in jail by now. of that I have no doubt

>.. despite the fact that your own "buddies"
> won't publically support you and that not one other person offers you
> any encouragement....

I don't need nor did i request the "support" of anyone. I asked if
folks would throw me a keyword or 2 Brain has thrown a keyword that I

have useful

>..But then you are known (and yes, "Google provides")
> for your deceit, dishonesty and intentional misrepresentation of
> material fact.

which has nothing to do with your claims about geting Brain Forcibly
comited or Todd father having AIDS

>
> In short, what ever inconvienience you may cause in the short term,
> I am going to use to rip you "a new one" in the long term...

more threats

Indeed it looks like you are trying to delay my coment by the way you
keep adding to the file about your effort at witness intimadation,

An interesting tactic I must say
>
> > you have provoked this response by years of abusive behavoir
>
> The abuse is yours, Markie...Lies, deceit, harrassment...

my lying to does not exucse your PROFESSION Judgement about comitment
of Brain

it just doesn't fly
>
> > you clearly do not understand the issues you are dealing with .
> >
> > GET real LEGAL advice, for your good
>
> GOT real LEGAL advice, and she says you're in for the ride of your
> life if you think otherwise.

did you bother to tell her about your years of making PROFESSIONAL
judgement on USENET?

I don't think so
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 574. Re: AB8MQ Home Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 18:19:52 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <87wsw017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On Mon, 7 Nov 2005 10:36:55 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> I aint never lived in no trailer before.I live in a big old white wood
> frame house that has asbestos shingle siding and a big concrete front
> porch with four big tall square post on my front porch.I wish I was rich
> like Jed Clampet (The Beverly Hillbillies) and I could afford a big
> concrete swimming pool in my back yard and I would invite all the pretty
> (looks don't count,beauty is in the eye of the beholder) women in my
> neighborhood to come on over and go swimming,although I don't know how
> to swim,I am so fat,I would float like a cork.
> cuhulin

That was Lardass posting that. My trailer is much better and he
didn't even mention my favorite shemale, Lurlene, from over at the
Shipwreck lounge. Lardass just makes stuff up while I get it done.
Right now I'm worried about a paternity suit from Lurlene, so I gotta
run and talk to my lawyer. cuhulinlater
woger

## 575. Re: Radio Legends Denounce Baxter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 18:20:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131387605.087812.267030@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123090

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On Sun, 06 Nov 2005 15:36:32 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
> > <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
> > >Like I said, if you knew anything.....
> >
> > why should I care who he is?
>
> "Why", indeed, but you DID take the time to embroil yourself in
> something you are, again, obviously uninformed about.

more lies I am well informed about it

I just don't see the point
>
> But hey...why screw with tradition?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 576. Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 18:27:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131388042.378261.291870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123091

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Forget all the "my survey of comments analysis"
> is more accurate than yours... Consider the following
> question/challenge:
>
> In all of the procode test arguments and comments has
> even one NEW reason to retain code testiing been
> offered?

I Havenot seen one But then I make no claim to have read em all (my
tolerance for crap ran out too fast)
>
> Forget even if such an argument is valid or
> compelling in any way...I'm looking for any argument
> not previously raised and dismissed by or in past
> FCC commentary (NPRMs, R&Os, etc.)

Indeed if there was one I suspect it would delay the R&O no matter how
of the wall it was
>
> My short answer (and I'll be the first to say I
> haven't read all comments) is that there are no
> new arguments raised... because if there had been
> we'd have seen it or them by now.

BTW I assmue NCI filed coments

Did Carl File under is call (looking for a keyword that will not make
me sort through too much else)
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

## 577. Re: Brian and Markie Stretching Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 18:30:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131388231.250004.259300@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123092

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 5 Nov 2005 15:22:49 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
cut> >
> > noted meaning unless you are lying you have no objection to my taking
> > my proposed action
>
> Oh, I have objections, alright, however you DO have your legal
> right to file whatever "complaint" you feel you want to do so long as
> it's not filed maliciously.

make up your mind please
>
> However there are consequences for complaints filed maliciously.
>
> Your lengthy pontifications in this NG to do just that establishes
> that malicious intent, Markie. So have at it...Makes things easier for
> me in the long run.

what kind of dreck is that
>
> I have other posts of yours archived on CD with your alleged
> knowledge of what constitutes proper prescribing authority.
> Ironically, it was a post wherein you made a similar comment and I
> questioned YOUR "medical" credentials.
>
> So...be my guest...It's a CD-RW, so it's easy to add.

I am supposed to be concerned that you can store data on a CD?
>
> And thanks....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 578. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 18:44:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131389050.600076.106690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123093

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 5 Nov 2005 00:51:01 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut

> > >> > > I see.
> > >> >
> > >> > indeed it was predicted andyou followed along
> > >>
> > >> He must follow the Robeson profile.
> > >
> > > Nice try.
> >
> > and it is the simple truth
>
> Markie, you have NO concept of the "truth", simple or otherwise.

wrong again
>
> You've said so yourself.

another lie Stevie but not related to medicne so I guess you get that
one free
cut
> > >> > everone but your self
> > >>
> > >> Steve is pure.
> > >
> > > Nope. Not by a long shot.
> > >
> > >> Everyone else is a liar.
> > >
> > > Nope. Just those who don't tell the truth.
> >
> > which is everyone that disgress with Stevie
>
> "Which" "disagrees"
>
> Nope.

yep

as anyone that searches the archives of Google can tell
>
> N2EY and I have taken each other to task on a number of subjects.

> I disagree with Dee. I disagree with Kim. I've "shared words" with
> Larry Roll in the past.
>
cut
> > >often than you.
> >
> > nah wrong again Stevie
>
> Nope. Absolutley right.
>
> > you don't even know the difference betwen opinion and fact
>
> Sure I do.

then show it
>
> > >> I almost always ignore the possiblitiy that Steve is telling a "truth."
> > >
> > > Then you're lying to yourself. And if you can't be honest with
> > >yourself, who ARE you going to be honest with?
> >
> > yep there you go everyone is lying again
>
> Nope.
>
> I said YOU...Not "everyone"...Unless YOU beleive yourself to
> represent everyone else EXCEPT me...?!?!

represent yes in some manner I do represent them
>
> > >> The possiblitiies are so remote...
> > >
> > > That you'd ever be honest with yourself? I wouldn't say
> > >that...For example I am sure your tax returns are accurate.
> >
> > more accusations that you can't support
>
> Oh?
>
> Your tax returns are NOT accurate?

more threats
>
> Hmmmmmmmmm....That bears watching.

more threats I guess the post I am repling to may bear inculing to BoN
under the heading of Witness intimadation
>
> > more lies about the position of others
>
> What position?

most any position

you lie about what other folks think and say

Indeed just today you claim I was certain of something when in truth I
am not certain I am hopeful that the BoN has the power to reign in your
abuse of your LPN license as a Basis to make PROFESSSIONAL opinion on
USENET

if anything gets you into trouble with the BoN, that is the most likely
IMO
>
> > >> > >> Secondly, I did not accept the wager nor ask you to mail it to me. I
> > >> > >> actually forbid you to mail anything to me.
> > >> > >
> > >> > > Uh huh.
> > >>
> > >> That's right. Google provides.
> > >
> > > Yep. Big man..."I forbid"...
> >
> > more of your stupidity
>
> Nope.
>
> Brain is the one expressing absolutes. Foolish Brain.

he as the right to forbid you from mailing him, wether the law will
enforce his legal right may be a another matter

>
> > > I don't give a rat's kazoo what you "forbid", Brain...
> >
> > now you are concered about the anatomy of animals
>
> That was a pretty lame re-direct, Markie. Gradeschool level, I'd
> think...Maybe middle school, but that's being a bit generous

but accurate and right on target

you don't need fancy all the time Stevie

>
> > scik stevie sick
>
> "Sick", Markie...
>
> > >> > >> Lastly, what I did ask is that you donate your wager to the 10th
> > >> > >> District QSL Buro since you have denigrated all 10th District amateurs
> > >> > >> ("ZEROES") and the corpse of W0EX in an effort to get a laugh.
> > >> > >
> > >> > > I stand corrected on your "donation to the zero land" buro,
> > >>
> > >> Indeed you do.

> > >>
> > >> however
> > >> > >your suggestion that I somehow defiled ANYone's corpse is assinine and
> > >> > >disgusting...
> > >> >
> > >> > it is disgusting that the way you defile men in order to make your
> > >> > sick points
> > >>
> > >> Google has his exact post.
> > >
> > > It sure does. And no where in it do I "defile" any deceased
> > >person.
> >
> > sure do
> >
> > you have done so with Dick Correl (however it is spelled) and w0EX
>
> WHERE was the "defiling", Mark?

in using them to make your jokes clearly
>
> I stated his (Dick Carrol's) old call was coming available.
>
> You have YET to prove any "defiling".
>
> > > But then YOU don't have the intestinal fortitude to acknowledge it.
> >
> > you are the one lacking guts Stevie
>
> Nope.
>
> > and honesty, and basic morality
>
> Let's see:
cuting another stevie rant
cuting old lines

> > >> > > As for "wiggling out" of anything, I think you already set a
> > >> > >several-years-long track record of having no tolerance or use for
> > >> > >"rules" of any kind,
> > >>
> > >> Obviously you lie.
> > >
> > > Obviously you WISH I was lying.
> >
> > wrong again and another lie
>
> Nope. All too obvious.

no Stevie I don't wish you were lying

I wish youd stop you compaign of abuse hate deceit slander

I wish you would engae in debate, even uncivil bear knuckled debate,
but you don't

no one here wishes you are lying

NO ONE wish you are lying
>
> > Brain and myself wish you would tell the truth
> >
> > you just refuse to do so
>
> You and Brain wish I'd quit catching the two of you in one tale or
> falsehood after another.

nope we don't

I do wish youd stop making shit up

I wish you cared about civlity

>
> > >> > >and that would include "accepting" a publically
> > >> > >offered wager IN public...
> > >>
> > >> I'll accept nothing from you short of an apology for your years of
> > >> badgering and lying.
> > >
> > > After you, big boy...after you!
> >
> > admiting your lies after a fashion but not apoloigizing for for them
>
> "apologizing"
>
> There was no "admission" of ANY kind, Markie.

another lie form Stveie and this one mean everywhere you claim I have
admited to lying (which I have) becomes another lie

>
> > > Of course if I "apologize" for "badgering and lying" to you I WOULD
> > >then be lying.
> >
> > another lie from Stevie
>
> Nope. You said you wanted "the turth", and by golly you got
> it...That you won't ACCEPT it is something different all together...

I will not accept your opinion as fact you lie every day you post in
here about someone

cut
> > > Your team mate, Sir Markie of Paganopolis did. He was the one who
> > >started the thread about you being "paid up" on some non-existant

> > >wager. Talk to him and get your attriburtions right, Brain.
> >
> > you started all those years stevie you typed the post
>
> Nope.

you typed the post i reposted
flsuhing the rest

## 579. Can Stevie be trusted to make professional treament of a pagan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 18:50:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131389457.469654.98440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123094

"Sir Markie of Paganopolis"

just an example of Stevie language If you knew Stevie LPN was making
such a statement, and you were a pagan (or a muslim for that matter)
would you trust him with your life?

I would not esp when it isn't just the one statement

Stevie you need to realize you are currently a NURSE and a PROFESSIONAL
and a licensed one at that ,a nd therefore you need to be doing better
and claener than alot of folks

# 580. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 18:52:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131389569.872877.138210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 6 Nov 2005 17:02:57 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > >> On 6 Nov 2005 16:51:47 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >STFU, FAGGOT!
> > > >>
> > > >> gues you don't know the meaning of "reply"
> > > >
> > > >"Guess" you must like showing you are an illiterate retard, Marky.
> > >
> > > youd be wrong of course
> > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> > No, you proved me right. Or, what is it, you don't like your illiterate
> > retard status being pointed out. Too bad, Marky.

neiether of course

but then youcan't deal with an issue
>
>
> >
> > > >
> > > >> since your message has nothing to do with his
> > > >
> > > >Your messages have little to do with anything, Marky.
> > >
> > > you were repling to Todd little boy
> >
> > Doesn't matter, little girl. His or your posts are still not relevant.

really and yet you spend your time answering us

# 581. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 19:05:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131390320.805092.68570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

>really and yet you spend your time answering us

Hey, it's steve, he don't have anything better to do with his worthless
pathetic life.

Todd N9OGL

## 582. Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 19:06:18 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1y5ash@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1y5ash@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123161

On November 1, 2005 the Office of Management and Budget issued a Notice of Action approving the revised Federal Communications Commission Form 475, General Consumer Complaint Form and new Federal Communications Commission Form 475B, Obscene, Profane, and/or Indecent Material Complaint Form.

The new FCC Form 475B will enable the Commission to collect detailed data from consumers on the practices of those persons/entities that may post obscene, profane, and/or indecent material by giving consumers an opportunity, for the first time, to use a specific form to file their complaints. Form 475B will be used only for complaints associated with obscene, profane, and/or indecent material.

Both Form 475 and Form 475B will significantly improve the informal complaint process for consumers, industry, and Commission staff by minimizing confusion on what information the Commission requires. Use of these forms also will improve the complaint process and the overall quality of the complaints received.

More information about filing a complaint with the FCC can be found at http://www.fcc.gov/cgb/complaints.html

--
Iitoi

# 583. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:06:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131393990.619654.221040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> >really and yet you spend your time answering us
>
> Hey, it's steve, he don't have anything better to do with his worthless
> pathetic life.

I certainly agree Mr Slit has nothing better to do with life

Mr Slit may be Stevie I also hear he is Roger wiseman (which I
currently believe) or LLyod Davies (which I don't believe at all)

if you have the skill/knowledge to trace Mr Slit and show he Stevie or
just is likely to be Stevie and would like to help me out then send me
some evidence (quickly if you can) by email to the Konstans address. I
'll my best to make real use of it (that best may be lacking but Stevie
has pissed me off enough to try)
>
> Todd N9OGL

## 584. Re: Can Stevie be trusted to make professional treament of a pagan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:16:14 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <436fb67b$1_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123151

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131389457.469654.98440@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
>
Did your mother drop you on your head as an infant? If not, she should do it
now.

- -
river road raiders

## 585. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:16:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131394593.334785.36140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Saggytits Lee wrote:
> >
> > <corrected back>
> >
> >>On 7 Nov 2005 06:41:58 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie wrote:
> >
> >
> > Looks like Saggytits the fatass wants to show off his cocksuckiing
> > lisp!
> >
>
> 'liike'?

Post editing again?

>Guess those fumes from cleaning out those porta-a-potties is
> getting to you wogie.

Guess the shit you eat is getting to your single brain cell, Roger
Halstead K8RI. Tell us all about how you have a pilot's license, even
if it is from a crackerjack box.

## 586. Lloyd Davies : Tool Fellator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:19:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131394760.793999.122620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinycunt Lloyd Davies wrote:
> On Mon, 7 Nov 2005 10:36:55 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> > I aint never lived in no trailer before.I live in a big old white wood
> > frame house that has asbestos shingle siding and a big concrete front
> > porch with four big tall square post on my front porch.I wish I was rich
> > like Jed Clampet (The Beverly Hillbillies) and I could afford a big
> > concrete swimming pool in my back yard and I would invite all the pretty
> > (looks don't count,beauty is in the eye of the beholder) women in my
> > neighborhood to come on over and go swimming,although I don't know how
> > to swim,I am so fat,I would float like a cork.
> > cuntface

No, you live in public assistance housing.

>
> That was Lardass posting that.

Roger doesn't live in a trailer, dumbass. Looks like Lloydie the Tool
Fellator Davies fucks up again!

TOOL FELLATOR
Vocal by Sade
Words and Music: Sade Adu and St. John and Lloyd's Owner

He whining like another girl
And smelling his own farts.
Placing stupid posts, mistake after mistake.
He's hated on seven continents.
Dalek dolls and stained underpants, high on pussy bud lite.
Heaven help him, when he falls.

He has no life, sad pizza boy.
He sucks them off with minimum waste and maximum joy.
Athens City lights and Llloyd'll suck you right.
When you require sexual desire for homosexual nights.

No place for beginners or sensitive hearts
When Lloyd blows you nothing is left to chance.
No place to be ending but somewhere to start.

No need to ask.
He's a tool fellator,
tool fellator,
tool fellator,
tool fellator.

Coast to coast, LA to Chicago, Lloyd'll suck any male.
Across the north and south, to Key Largo, Lloyd the cocksucking whale.

Face the facts, Lloyd's a mental case.
He shadow boxes and double posts,
Yet needs the asskickings.

No license to love, no girlfriend to hold.
Makes sniveling whines and is certainly owned.
His eyes are like a pig's but his stupidity is shown.

No need to ask.
He's a tool fellator,
tool fellator,
tool fellator,
tool fellator.

Coast to coast, LA to Chicago, Lloyd'll suck any male.
Across the north and south, to Key Largo, Lloyd's love for sale.

tool fellator,
tool fellator,
tool fellator,
tool fellator,
tool fellator...

## 587. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:22:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131394966.991897.95910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123152
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Saggytits Lee wrote:
> On 7 Nov 2005 09:28:37 -0800, whinyslit_LLOYDIE wrote:
> :
> : Saggytits Lee wrote:
> :
> : <corrected back again>
> : > On 7 Nov 2005 06:41:58 -0800, Secwet Woger wrote:
> :
> : Looks liike Saggytits the fatass wants to show off his cocksuckiing
> : lisp!
> :
> : > : typo : "sodomized" - something that Toad has done to him on a nightly
> : > : basis.
> : >
> : > So (whines and snivels)
>
> Oh my.

Oh, my, you're lying out of your fat ass again. Show us all the
pictures of your house with the fucked up second floor and the mangled
antenna and tower out front, fatass.

<restore of what Saggytits waddled away from>

"FUCKUP Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile
fucks up
as usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama
and Marshall County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle
brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place to
send email."

Saggytits Lee steps on his own tiny dick when he tried to correct
somebody else's English use first by saying one "operates" a dictionary
instead of reading it, then uses "things" instead of "thinks" in
<jewish.reggae.rocks@houston-hunks.com>:

"Translation: Woger can't figure out how to operate a dictionary, let
alone
correct someone else's language.But that's not surprising from someone
who things that..."

Saggytits Lee manages to fumble again when he tried to backpedal on his improper use of words by once again mangling the sentence in reply <gweasy.long.hair@houston-hunks.com>:
"The word "operate" can be a synonym "use" in certain contexts"

# 588. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:25:53 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <436fb8be$1_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131393990.619654.221040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> N9OGL wrote:
>> >really and yet you spend your time answering us
>>
>> Hey, it's steve, he don't have anything better to do with his worthless
>> pathetic life.
>
> I certainly agree Mr Slit has nothing better to do with life
>
You're on here all day posting crap, hypocrite. Get a job you lazy slob!

- -
river road raiders

## 589. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:31:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131395513.287101.71460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123154
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Vince Diak or just plain Lardass Davies wrote:
> On 7 Nov 2005 09:32:09 -0800, Secwet Woger wrote:
> >
> > Vince Diak? wrote:
> > >
> > > Get your <more Davies bullshit>
> >
> > BTW, shit for brains, Roger doesn't
> > spend ANY time at the Shipwreck Lounge, and never did. You are such a
> > fuck up!
> >
>
> How would you know if you aren't Roger?

Because everybody isn't quite as fucking stupid as you are, Davies.

> I have a good source who has
> seen Roger in the Shipwreck Lounge before,

Funny, he's never been in the Shipwreck Lounge, dumbass. And, the
picture you photo shopped claiming it is the one in Glen Dale is
completely off, fatass.
Funny how the digital age proves you wrong every time. See, I've seen a
picture of the real Shipwreck Lounge in Glen Dale, and your pic isn't
it.

>and so I KNOW that he

You don't know your fucking fat ass from a hole in the ground, Davies.
Neither does your "source" aka Fatassed Davey .

> spends time there.

You are lying, Lardass. Roger doesn't spend any time there. He never
has. You should really be more inventive, but we all know you can't be,
given your mental limitations.

> Why do you have to lie about it if you aren't

There you have it, Lardass sees his owner everywhere! I don't have to
lie, shit head. But your Jesus that you claim to worship tells you to,
does he?

> Roger?

Wrong, fatty. Imagine that!

>Trying to cover up your drinking habit?

What drinking habit? Like the one you do on Sundays and every night of the week, you fucking alcoholic, Davies? Following in Ray Sr.'s footsteps. Your daddy is a raging alcoholic, so you want to be just like him.

# 590. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:34:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131395674.158498.89770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 6 Nov 2005 17:02:57 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > >> On 6 Nov 2005 16:51:47 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> >STFU, FAGGOT!
> > > >>
> > > >> gues you don't know the meaning of "reply"
> > > >
> > > >"Guess" you must like showing you are an illiterate retard, Marky.
> > >
> > > youd be wrong of course
> > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> > No, you proved me right. Or, what is it, you don't like your illiterate
> > retard status being pointed out. Too bad, Marky.
>
> neiether of course

Lying again, Marky?

>
> but then youcan't deal with an issue

The issue at hand is your stupidity, Marky.

> >
> >
> > >
> > > >
> > > >> since your message has nothing to do with his
> > > >
> > > >Your messages have little to do with anything, Marky.
> > >
> > > you were repling to Todd little boy
> >
> > Doesn't matter, little girl. His or your posts are still not relevant.
>
> really and yet you spend your time answering us

Slapping you and fellow cock gobbler Toadie around is fun, dumbass!

# 591. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:36:04 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131395764.074369.319100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an_old_friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >really and yet you spend your time answering us
> >
> > Hey, it's steve, he don't have anything better to do with his worthless
> > pathetic life.
>
> I certainly agree Mr Slit has nothing better to do with life
>
> Mr Slit may be Stevie I also hear he is Roger wiseman (which I
> currently believe) or LLyod Davies (which I don't believe at all)

But then you are a goddamned imbecile, of course, I'm Lloyd.

> if you have the skill/knowledge to trace Mr Slit and show he Stevie or
> just is likely to be Stevie and would like to help me out then send me
> some evidence (quickly if you can) by email to the Konstans address. I
> 'll my best to make real use of it (that best may be lacking but Stevie
> has pissed me off enough to try)

BWHAHAHAHAHAHA..You can't do jack shit, Marky.

## 592. Re: Can Stevie be trusted to make professional treament of a pagan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:37:09 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131395829.594124.270350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123157

Marky should've been aborted!

## 593. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:42:15 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <4-edndBBsPoUMPLenZ2dnUVZ_t-dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123097
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Saggytits Lee wrote:
>
> <corrected back>
>
>>On 7 Nov 2005 06:41:58 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie wrote:
>
>
> Looks liike Saggytits the fatass wants to show off his cocksuckiing
> lisp!
>

'liike'? Guess those fumes from cleaning out those porta-a-potties is
getting to you wogie.

## 594. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:58:47 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dkof61$qng$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123159
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery28146@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Pkxbf.5807$m81.1059@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > Thanks for that. So the theory i get so far is anyone can build an
Amateur
> > radio but they cant "repair" a CB radio.... lol.. it is a crazy world
> >
> >
>
> See comments above about license not needed any more for CB repair in the
> US.
>
> It used to be the Amateur tests were about on par with the comercial
class
> licenses. The main differance was just the regulatory rules and not the
> electrical part. That was about 1972 when I passed the 1 st class
license.
> The way they are now anyone with a good memory can pass the tests. My
wife
> passed the Technichan class about 1987 or 88 just by memorizing the Q&A
> pool. She doesn't know or care about the technical part of radio.

I would guess a fair amount dont really care about the technical side or would want to build their own radio and linear. You would have to be pretty dedicated for that. I would also guess at most Amateurs are happy just talking legally with a licence and a stock bought radio and antenna. Not that theres anything wrong with that, it just depends on how serious you take the hobby. Like the M3 foundation licence. It suits a lot of people who are.. disillusioned with CB and want to go Amateur but not really wishing to get so involved with the whole technical side of things. There are some good M3 people out there whatever the old school say, but i agree they will never be on a par with a full licence holder but is that such a terrible thing.

## 595. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 20:59:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131397180.821406.80500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123160
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > Guess the shit you eat is getting to your single brain cell, Roger
> > Halstead K8RI. Tell us all about how you have a pilot's license, even
> > if it is from a crackerjack box.
> >
>
> Who is K8RI wogie? Never
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Fatass makes good sentence!
LOL at the fake pilot's license boy!

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
school once again in
<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

## 596. Re: AB8MQ Home Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 21:33:27 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <990387017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:39:22 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> Nothing wrong with living in a trailer.I like that Lucille Ball/Desi
> Arnaz movie,The Long,Long Trailer.
> cuhulin

But look what happened to them. They're dead. Lurlene keeps telling
me to stay away from the Shipwreck Lounge and stop drinking so much so
I won't be dead too. But it is really hard to stop drinking when you
meat some of the best shemales in Glendale, WV there, and I do mean
meat if you get my drift. culaterhulin.
woger

## 597. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 21:44:37 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <epadnVfFYOq6lfLenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123158
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

> Guess the shit you eat is getting to your single brain cell, Roger
> Halstead K8RI. Tell us all about how you have a pilot's license, even
> if it is from a crackerjack box.
>

Who is K8RI wogie? Never heard of him, you just can't get it can you?
LOL at the Glendale turd!!!

## 598. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 22:04:43 UTC
From: Vince <vbsmith8@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3aadcd1b6e.9915257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 123163
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 7 Nov 2005 12:31:53 -0800, Secwet Woger wrote:
>
> Vince Diak or just plain Lardass Davies wrote:
>
> >Trying to cover up your drinking habit?
>
> What drinking habit? Like the one you do on Sundays and every night of
> the week, you fucking alcoholic, Davies? Following in Ray Sr.'s
> footsteps. Your daddy is a raging alcoholic, so you want to be just
> like him.

You are in full denial. My good friend John is from Glendale, and he
sees Roger go into the Shipwreck all the time. It's on a dead end
street, so he knows when people come and go. He even knows about the
time you got shut off by the bartender for being a little too drunk.

Learn about the 12 steps before its too late.

## 599. Can Markie Be Trusted With ANYthing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 22:12:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131401523.676140.195220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123164

an_old_friend wrote:
> "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
>
> just an example of Stevie language If you knew Stevie LPN was making
> such a statement, and you were a pagan (or a muslim for that matter)
> would you trust him with your life?

If they want the best chance for getting out of a bad situation,
they do.

Since you mention Islam, Markie, do YOU know the Five Pillars of
Islam?

> I would not esp when it isn't just the one statement

That's because you're an idiot that can't seperate USENET from
"real life".

> Stevie you need to realize you are currently a NURSE and a PROFESSIONAL
> and a licensed one at that ,a nd therefore you need to be doing better
> and claener than alot of folks

"and" "cleaner"

And Markie, from a guy who claims to have a 248 IQ, a Bachelor's
degree and a "comfortable lifestyle", YOU need to be leading by
example, not making snide remarks about being "cleaner". Especially
after those "solid waste" remarks...To have even CONTEMPLATED them was
disgusting, and to have made them in public was absolutely assinine.

BTW, Markie, unless someone has "JEW" or "CHRISTIAN" or other
religious preference tatooed on their head, I never know what their
preferences are. I don't particularly care, either, unless it involves
human sacrifice.

Steve, K4YZ

## 600. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 22:14:18 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <436fd229_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Vince" <vbsmith8@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:
> On 7 Nov 2005 12:31:53 -0800, Secwet Woger wrote:
> >
> > Vince Diak or just plain Lardass Davies wrote:
> >
> > >Trying to cover up your drinking habit?
> >
> > What drinking habit? Like the one you do on Sundays and every night of
> > the week, you fucking alcoholic, Davies? Following in Ray Sr.'s
> > footsteps. Your daddy is a raging alcoholic, so you want to be just
> > like him.
>
> You are in full denial. My good friend John is from Glendale, and he
> sees Roger go into the Shipwreck all the time. It's on a dead end
> street, so he knows when people come and go. He even knows about the
> time you got shut off by the bartender for being a little too drunk.
>
> Learn about the 12 steps before its too late.
>
Roger is a legend at the shipwreck lounge, his name and number are all over
the mens room walls.

# 601. Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 22:23:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131402185.756024.233740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123166

Return-Path: <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Received: from rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (rly-xa06.mail.aol.com
[172.20.64.42]) by air-xa01.mail.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
MAILINXA14-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:48 -0500
Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINXA63-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:43 -0500
Received: from bla161.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 67A551FD689
for <k4yz@aol.com>; Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
Message-ID: <24643749.1131397660275.JavaMail.root@bla161.blogger.com>
From: Todd <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
To: k4yz@aol.com
Subject: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil: Post
4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of God
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:436126017:10737418
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:

You mother####ing ##### #####. I told you to not ####ing mail me agan.

Blog: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil
Post: Post 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of
God
Link:
http://battleinchrist.blogspot.com/2005/10/post-4-battlefield-of-mind-part-ii.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

# 602. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 23:07:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131404872.841941.273100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123167

and if notice steve, that isn't even my blog

hahahahahahahahaha

all I can tell you is bitch to googles they own the site, not me I have
my own sites to worry about

## 603. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 23:11:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131405083.557614.281470@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123168

The FCC does NOT have any juridiction over the Internet...nice try
though

# 604. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 23:22:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131405726.889725.270640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

a new annie mouse ok
itchy sanshez wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131393990.619654.221040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> >> >really and yet you spend your time answering us
> >>
> >> Hey, it's steve, he don't have anything better to do with his worthless
> >> pathetic life.
> >
> > I certainly agree Mr Slit has nothing better to do with life
> >
> You're on here all day posting crap, hypocrite. Get a job you lazy slob!

I have a job I work for myself but since i work from home and on my own
time I can post when I choose
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> - -
> river road raiders

# 605. Re: Can Stevie be trusted to make professional treament of a pagan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 23:29:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131406174.565043.158480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123170

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
> >
> > just an example of Stevie language If you knew Stevie LPN was making
> > such a statement, and you were a pagan (or a muslim for that matter)
> > would you trust him with your life?
>
> If they want the best chance for getting out of a bad situation,
> they do.

no they don't I think they would beter in someone leses hands

you have clearly indicated you do not respect other religions
>
> Since you mention Islam, Markie, do YOU know the Five Pillars of
> Islam?

off the top of my head No I don't I know where to look em up
>
> > I would not esp when it isn't just the one statement
>
> That's because you're an idiot that can't seperate USENET from
> "real life".

you are the one that can't do so Stevie

you have BY YOUR OWN account taken action in an effort to have me
commited or confined in mental health facility based on what I say here

Then you turn around and claim you can carry out your efforts suing
your LPN license to do so without being bound by the regs of the TN BoN
>
> > Stevie you need to realize you are currently a NURSE and a PROFESSIONAL
> > and a licensed one at that ,a nd therefore you need to be doing better
> > and claener than alot of folks
>
> "and" "cleaner"
>
> And Markie, from a guy who claims to have a 248 IQ, a Bachelor's
> degree and a "comfortable lifestyle", YOU need to be leading by
> example, not making snide remarks about being "cleaner".

why?

I am under NO such burden

this is another example of where you are claiming EVERYONE else is
required to be better than you or else

> Especially
> after those "solid waste" remarks...To have even CONTEMPLATED them was
> disgusting,

In your opinion, it was disgusting im my opinion most of your post are
disgusting

> and to have made them in public was absolutely assinine.

but in no way ilegal or unethical or dishonest

>
> BTW, Markie, unless someone has "JEW" or "CHRISTIAN" or other
> religious preference tatooed on their head, I never know what their
> preferences are. I don't particularly care, either, unless it involves
> human sacrifice.

more of your mocking of other religions stvei Keep it up some of it
will surely make the file for the BoN
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 606. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 23:33:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131406410.712737.153330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123171

K4YZ wrote:
> Return-Path: <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> Received: from rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (rly-xa06.mail.aol.com
> [172.20.64.42]) by air-xa01.mail.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
> MAILINXA14-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:48 -0500
> Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
> rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
> MAILRELAYINXA63-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:43 -0500
> Received: from bla161.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 67A551FD689
> for <k4yz@aol.com>; Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
> Message-ID: <24643749.1131397660275.JavaMail.root@bla161.blogger.com>
> From: Todd <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> To: k4yz@aol.com
> Subject: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil: Post
> 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of God
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> Date: Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
> X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
> X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:436126017:10737418
> X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
> Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
> You mother####ing ##### #####. I told you to not ####ing mail me agan.
>
> Blog: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil
> Post: Post 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of
> God
> Link:
> http://battleinchrist.blogspot.com/2005/10/post-4-battlefield-of-mind-part-ii.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/

todd is at what again?

are you claiming Todd is repsonible for the content and activities of
all bloggers but you are not responible for your own posts on USENET

## 607. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 23:49:16 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0KRbf.6157$m81.2342@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123172
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

> 
> I would guess a fair amount dont really care about the technical side or
> would want to build their own radio and linear. You would have to be
pretty
> dedicated for that. I would also guess at most Amateurs are happy just
> talking legally with a licence and a stock bought radio and antenna. Not
> that theres anything wrong with that, it just depends on how serious you
> take the hobby. Like the M3 foundation licence. It suits a lot of people
who
> are.. disillusioned with CB and want to go Amateur but not really wishing
to
> get so involved with the whole technical side of things. There are some
good
> M3 people out there whatever the old school say, but i agree they will
never
> be on a par with a full licence holder but is that such a terrible thing.
> 

I don't have any problem with the ones that just want to talk. There are many good hams in public service providing communications for emergencies. I know one ham that got his license many years ago so he could fly his radio controled airplane and use 6 meters where there were few others using that frequency.

I do wish that the test could be hands on for a few simple things such as installing a coax connector and and using a simple SWR meter. Also it should be required to have a ARRL handbook or equivilent in the shack. Would not even have to be a new one, but one 10 years old would be ok. Not that many would really read it but it could answer some simple questions.

# 608. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-07 23:52:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131407521.835224.193680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123173

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM <Marathon_Poster@AOL.COM> on Tues 1 Nov 2005 16:35
cut

> > I guess so...anything to try your damndest
> > to fudge, alter the percentages in favor of pro-code. Tsk.
>
> You're the one "fudging", Len.

now you are making accusation of fraud
cut

> > >Your comments to FCC are full of your ideas about
> > >How Amateur Radio Should Be.
> >
> > MOST of my filings to the FCC have been about MORSE CODE
> > TESTING, Jimmie.
>
> But not all of it. I saw your anti-Extra diatribe. Some would say it
> was "petulant" and "whiny".....

more name calling Jim
>
> > The code test is essentially about
> > GETTING INTO amateur radio HF privileges.
>
> So are the written tests. If FCC enacts the NPRM, it
> will take at least a General license for new hams to
> get *any* HF privileges.

now you claim to know what the FCC will enact?

personaly I hope that they will heed my word and Jim Haneys ( sp?an
ARRL officer) and enact a R&O that gives the intail class currently
called Tech the prevelegdes of the class once known as Tech plus

cut
> Amateur radio is a completely different thing. FCC recognizes
> that, even if you don't.
>
> > You've
> > never fully explained why the artificiality of testing
> > for morsemanship is so damn necessary for amateur
> > operations
>

> Because hams use Morse Code, for one.

some hams do not all

some hams NEVER use manual morse and never will
cut

> > >You've been doing heckling, catcalls, and booing from the
> > >peanut gallery in computer-modem communications since 1984, eh?
> >
> > No, sweetums, I said I am used to receiving heckling,
> > catcalls, and booing from the peanut gallery.
>
> No, you didn't write that. Read what you actually wrote, Len.

why?

cut

> > >> 3. The attitude towards morse code testing in the U.S. amateur
> > >> community has been CHANGING all along...AWAY from the old,
> > >> Old, OLD standards and practices.
> > >
> > >Really? How do you know?
> >
> > I OBSERVE it. It's apparant to anyone with an open mind.
>
> That leaves you out...

and you jim
>
> > You seem to be of a CLOSED mind when it comes to code testing.
>
> Not as closed as yours, Len.

as you confess here

> > >What you want is different from the way things actually work, Len.
>
> > >You may *want* everyone to just accept what you write here, but
> > >they may not.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. All I was doing was a tally of filings on
> > WT Docket 05-235. You hopped in and made this a Cause
> > Celebre' (with oak leaf clusters) of "mistakes"! :-)
>
> Your methods are inaccurate. That's a fact.

BUUUZZ a lie Jim your statement is an opinion
>
> > >> >> YOU are NOT in the FCC. YOU are NOT on the ARRL BoD.
> > >> >
> > >> >Neither are you, Len.

> > >>
> > >> That doesn't explain why YOU constantly pretend to be "judge"
> > >> and try to make nasty to others who state opinions contrary to
> > >> what YOU find "objectionable."
> > >
> > >"make nasty"? How? Looks to me like you consider any disagreement
> > >with your views to be "making nasty".
> >
> > Sweetums, you are getting LESS "superior" every time you deny
> > YOU ever did anything wrong. :-)
>
> What have I "done wrong", Len?

lied about Len amoug other things
cut

## 609. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 00:06:54 UTC
From: Arf! Arf! <DoggieDaze@Liberalz.co>
Message-ID: <dkoq2o$q7g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123174
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Vince" <vbsmith8@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote in message
news:3aadcd1b6e.9915257@alvillage.net...
On 7 Nov 2005 12:31:53 -0800, Secwet Woger wrote:
>
> Vince Diak or just plain Lardass Davies wrote:
>
> >Trying to cover up your drinking habit?
>
> What drinking habit? Like the one you do on Sundays and every night of
> the week, you fucking alcoholic, Davies? Following in Ray Sr.'s
> footsteps. Your daddy is a raging alcoholic, so you want to be just
> like him.

You are in full denial. My good friend John is from Glendale, and he
sees Roger go into the Shipwreck all the time. It's on a dead end
street, so he knows when people come and go. He even knows about the
time you got shut off by the bartender for being a little too drunk.

Learn about the 12 steps before its too late.
>>

Your drinking cost you a marriage, Woger. It also resulted in more than a
few visits from the police and, ultimately, a court ordered therapy course.
Of COURSE you no longer drink. You can't, and it is because of the
anti-depressant medications you must take. We understand that, Wogie.
We'll say a prayer for ya'.

## 610. Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 00:07:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131408439.701397.269340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123175

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > Steve Robeson
> > > > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
> > > >
> > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> > > > Date: 2000/05/30
> > > > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > > > | Report Abuse
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
> > > > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
> > > > inadequate
> > > > for you.<
> > > >
> > > > Ooooooohhhhhh!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
> > > > placed that
> > > > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
> > > > and
> > > > callsigns.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Steve
> > > >

> > > >
> > > > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
> > > > to fight
> > > > in wartime.....(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)
> > >
> > > Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?
> >
> > so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion
> >
> > like you said Google provide
>
> You're welcome to try and document this as an attempt to
> "prescribe". I'll offer the BopN my congratualtions on reserving thier
> laughter now.....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"their"

Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.

But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical diagnosis.

You're such a two-faced little skunk. Best of Luck with the board.

## 611. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 00:17:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131409074.084995.9200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123176

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > he said something about being comedian but he titled his resposne Mark
> > Morgan caught on tape molesting 8 yo
> >
> > also claimed that the title was joke
> >
> > below in myrepost
>
>
> Did he say *which* Mark Morgan was caught on tape? Did he give your
> address or a description indicating he was specificily talking about
> you? Do you think you are the only Mark Morgan in the world? Do you even
> think?

Get real, Commodore.

## 612. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 00:34:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <boSbf.844$c_1.472@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123177

an_old_friend wrote:

> you have never heard of inocualting

I know I've never heard of inocualting.

> Depotions can be done anywhere...

Do you make de potions in a kettle over an open fire?

Dave K8MN

# 613. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 00:50:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131411055.495263.113530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123178

>And my bitch Toad Daugherty is taking my boot up his faggot ass like a
>good little submissive fem.

you wish Stebie...you little sissy boy

## 614. Re: Free Ride, was Re: Lennie's Scorecard Backfires

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 00:54:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131411283.101483.304480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123179

Dee Flint wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131295567.237805.101430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 4 Nov 2005 17:16
> >>
> >>
> >> >Dee Flint wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> I would disagree with this approach. Here's why.
> >> >
> >> >> Let me start by saying that I believe all amateurs should have a basic
> >> >> ability in Morse code as it is one of the basics of amateur radio.
> >> >
> >> >Why is it that you only want volunteers to take up the burden of
> >> >learning morse code on "thier" free time and equip morse code radio
> >> >stations at their own expense?
> >>
> >> ...just because...
> >
> > There's got to be some deeper motive.
>
> There is no deeper motive. Notice that Len Anderson has made no comment on
> my approach to using CW when the license structure changes. He chooses to
> comment on a section that is clearly identified as opinion. I'm entitled to
> my opinion just like any one else.

And I am glad that you express your opinion. My only sadness is that
it is straight from the ARRL playbook.

> >> >Why wouldn't you want paid, professional radio operators and radio
> >> >users to know Morse Code? Especially paid emergency communicators and
> >> >emergency responders.
> >> >
> >> >If the government needed or wanted morse code radio operators, they
> >> >should create a GS5-7-9-11 series and get them.
> >>
> >> Dee must imagine that ONLY hams are First Responders to
> >> any emergency/crisis/disaster.
> >
> > If so then Dee needs to back off and let first responders respond
> > first.
>
> Notice that Len Anderson injects something into my post that was not there,

> was not implied, and has not been stated or implied in any of my posts.

Then you do demand that 911 operators and responders hold an FCC GROL
with Morse Code endorsements?

Or do you only demand it of amateur radio volunteers?

I
> am firmly in favor of first reponders responding first and other groups
> standing by until they are needed and then only going in if they are needed.
> However as you can see, rather than addressing the concept that I was
> discussing, Len Anderson has gone off on one of his tangents and trying to
> distract people from my actual statements. This is why I killfiled him long
> ago.

Ouch! You should have kill-filed me as well because I cannot
understand that you demand unpaid volunteers to be "more highly
qualified" than paid communicator/responders.

> I see that you started the paragraph with "if so...." You are wise to doubt
> his statements. Investigate for yourself.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

I am merely wise in the ways of ARRL-speak and lies told by Tennessee
Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN.

## 615. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 00:58:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131411494.714998.156400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Yes, the Commodore jumped in here and made a little splash.
> >
> You misspelled commode. :)

The nuns used to give me little blue, silver, and gold stars for my spelling. But somewhere along the way, I lost my way. ;^)

## 616. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 01:00:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131411624.355137.164830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123181

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > you have never heard of inocualting
>
> I know I've never heard of inocualting.

not repsonible for your ignorance Dave
>
> > Depotions can be done anywhere...
>
> Do you make de potions in a kettle over an open fire?
>
> Dave K8MN

# 617. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 01:01:13 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131411673.369595.7500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123182

K4YZ wrote:
> Return-Path: <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> Received: from rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (rly-xa06.mail.aol.com
> [172.20.64.42]) by air-xa01.mail.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
> MAILINXA14-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:48 -0500
> Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
> rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
> MAILRELAYINXA63-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:43 -0500
> Received: from bla161.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 67A551FD689
> for <k4yz@aol.com>; Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
> Message-ID: <24643749.1131397660275.JavaMail.root@bla161.blogger.com>
> From: Todd <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> To: k4yz@aol.com
> Subject: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil: Post
> 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of God
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> Date: Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
> X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
> X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:436126017:10737418
> X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
> Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
> You mother####ing ##### #####. I told you to not ####ing mail me agan.
>
> Blog: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil
> Post: Post 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of
> God
> Link:
> http://battleinchrist.blogspot.com/2005/10/post-4-battlefield-of-mind-part-ii.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/

Why on earth would you visit his "blog?"

Are you one of those modern citizens that demands to be offended?

Demands to be outraged?

Piss off you little piss ant.

## 618. Re: (ot) France

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 01:03:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131411814.182188.113140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> whinycunt Lloyd Davies wrote:
> > On Sun, 6 Nov 2005 09:55:41 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> > > I am not neocon trash.I am middle of the road conservative Independent.I
> > > have long realized the so-called "republican" party is not really
> > > Republican at all,especially bush (bush is really a liberal) and
> > > cheney.There are some real Republicans whom are really highly pissed
> > > off! with bush and the bush administration.If y'all have ever noticed
> > > before,I bash bush and cheney,I also bash them klintoons too.Join the
> > > Constitution Party. www.chuckbaldwinlive.com
> > > cuhulin
> >
> > I am liberal jewish trash myself and proud of it. When Clinton sold
> > pardons, took the whitehouse furniture and had his law license revoked
> > it was a special time for America. But I became disillusioned when I
> > found out about that hefty girl. Clinton could have had any shemale
> > he wanted but instead he showed no taste and picked an ordinary fat
> > girl to not have sex with.
>
> Lardass http://www.houseofnames.com already has confirmed you are
> Jewish.
>
> You should move to France, Lardass, the way you piss and shit yourself
> on a daily basis, you'd fit right in with the rest of the unwashed
> French people.
>
> Here's photographic proof Davies can't control his bowels:
> http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/lloydpissedhimself.jpg
> http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/

Darn it! I missed the show.

Anything on techniques for working French hams out of band on 6 meters?

# 619. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 01:05:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131411914.319758.230960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123184

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > Steve Robeson
> > > > > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
> > > > >
> > > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> > > > > Date: 2000/05/30
> > > > > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > > > > | Report Abuse
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > > > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
> > > > > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
> > > > > inadequate
> > > > > for you.<
> > > > >
> > > > > Ooooooohhhhhh!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
> > > > > placed that
> > > > > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
> > > > > and
> > > > > callsigns.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
> > > > > to fight
> > > > > in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)
> > > > >
> > > > > Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?
> > > >
> > > > so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion
> > > >
> > > > like you said Google provide
> > >
> > You're welcome to try and document this as an attempt to
> > "prescribe". I'll offer the BopN my congratualtions on reserving thier
> > laughter now.....
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> "their"
>
> Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up
> and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying
> like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.

amazing how the standard changes when Stevie is talking
>
> But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
> prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> diagnosis.
>
> You're such a two-faced little skunk. Best of Luck with the board.

he will need more than luck

BTW Brain been looking (google has not yet provided) has Stevie claimed
that he HAS made a call or letter to medical authority claiming you
should be commited has talked around the issue I find lots of that My
question do you have a keyword that says H he has taken the action of
contacting your home state and gerating a medical record. there seems
to be clear reference in BoN regs to making a false report or report
based on inaccurate por unetihcal procedure?

# 620. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 01:07:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131412074.485861.193310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123185

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Return-Path: <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> > Received: from rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (rly-xa06.mail.aol.com
> > [172.20.64.42]) by air-xa01.mail.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
> > MAILINXA14-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:48 -0500
> > Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
> > rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
> > MAILRELAYINXA63-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:43 -0500
> > Received: from bla161.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> > by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 67A551FD689
> > for <k4yz@aol.com>; Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
> > Message-ID: <24643749.1131397660275.JavaMail.root@bla161.blogger.com>
> > From: Todd <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> > To: k4yz@aol.com
> > Subject: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil: Post
> > 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of God
> > Mime-Version: 1.0
> > Content-Type: text/plain
> > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> > Date: Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
> > X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
> > X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:436126017:10737418
> > X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
> > Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:
> >
> > You mother####ing ##### #####. I told you to not ####ing mail me agan.
> >
> > Blog: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil
> > Post: Post 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of
> > God
> > Link:
> > http://battleinchrist.blogspot.com/2005/10/post-4-battlefield-of-mind-part-ii.html
> >
> > --
> > Powered by Blogger
> > http://www.blogger.com/
>
> Why on earth would you visit his "blog?"

sorry to corret you it is NOT even Todd's blog

stevie is now claiming Todd is responible for the action of all blogers
all over the web if they touch him in any way
>

> Are you one of those modern citizens that demands to be offended?
>
> Demands to be outraged?
>
> Piss off you little piss ant.

## 621. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 01:11:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131412311.274140.40370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123186

What's really neat mark, is I was no where near a computer the time it was sent out, I was in a lecture at school with 20 other people and the professor. I was no where near a computer at that time.

Todd N9OGL

## 622. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 01:16:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131412612.230159.271310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123187

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > you have never heard of inocualting
>
> I know I've never heard of inocualting.
>
> > Depotions can be done anywhere...
>
> Do you make de potions in a kettle over an open fire?
>
> Dave K8MN

deposition

# 623. Re: AB8MQ Home Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 01:24:26 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <woger@no.isp>
Message-ID: <9901487017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123188
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 7 Nov 2005 17:59:56 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> About a week ago,that very pretty sexy looking 30 year old brunette
> woman next door asked me to sit with her.What she was saying was,she
> wanted me to spend the night over there with her.One of these nights,I
> am going to take her up on that.
> cuhulin

You have to do what is best for you but if she isn't a shemale she could put conditions on everything the way that women do. Before you got back home for lunch the next day, she may have made you sign your soul away just for a little goombah. I learnt long ago to date shemales because they are mostly guileless. Not Lurlene, though. She is telling me that she is pregnant and that she will go to Chief Norman Stenger of the Glendale Police Department if I don't marry her. But I know she is lying. culaterhulin.
woger

## 624. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 01:39:39 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131413979.475947.280260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123189

K4YZ wrote:

> N2EY and I have taken each other to task on a number of subjects.
> I disagree with Dee. I disagree with Kim. I've "shared words" with
> Larry Roll in the past.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Is Jim a deceitful coward? Is Dee a liar?

If not, then you've taken no-one "to task."

Saying that you do is deceitful and proves you to be a liar.

# 625. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 01:48:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131414530.416276.267520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123190

K4YZ wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com Wrote:
> > >
> > > Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you
> > > may
> > > send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
> > > you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
> > > "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
> >
> > Having seen his "style" here the past several weeks, my wager is that
> > Steve's prepared numerous escape routes with wiggle room to easily
> > welch on this one.
>
> Perhaps YOU would care to substantiate the "wager" that they
> allege, meeting even some small smidgen of validity?
>
> Care to show where the wager was accepted and agreeded upon?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Escape routes and wiggle room. That's Steve to a "T."

# 626. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 01:59:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131415187.314199.164690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123191

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM <Marathon_Poster@AOL.COM> on Tues 1 Nov 2005 16:35
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
> >> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
> >> >> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> >Why should I accept changes that are detrimental to the
> >Amateur Radio Service?
>
> Prove that such changes are "detrimental." :-)

I've already shown that they could be.

> >Why should something be discarded just because it's old?
>
> <sigh>...one can only imagine the state of your clothing... :-)

Considering how long you've held onto your Johnson, I'd
try not to imagine the state of yours...

> No wonder you aren't attached...

"Attached"? In what way?

I don't have a conjoined twin, if that's what you mean.

> >> >There's very little chance that changes in the rules of the amateur
> >> >radio service will have *any* effect on you, because
> >> >you're not a licensed radio amateur, and it doesn't appear
> >> >that you'll ever be one.

> >> The morse code test WILL AFFECT new licensees.
> >
> >And existing ones.
>
> What "existing ones?" Upgraders? You can't upgrade, Jimmie.

All existing amateurs could be affected.

> >But it almost certainly won't affect you, Len.

> How do you know that? Show your work.

As I have explained before:

It has been possible to get an amateur radio license in the USA
without any code test since 1991. It's been possible to get any
available class of amateur radio license in the USA with only a
5 wpm code test since 1990.

But you haven't gotten one. And except for you "Extra out of the
box" claim back in 2000, you haven't said you intended to.

> >You're not an existing licensee nor are you likely to be a new one.
>
> I was a "licensee" in 1956.

Not in the amateur radio service, you weren't..

> >> On top of that, you've tried more snide uncivil "civility" in
> >> my "motivation" towards getting any amateur radio license.
> >
> >How? It's quite obvious you don't want such a license and will
> >probably never get one.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. There you go again. Not nice, Jimmie.

What's "not nice" about stating the facts, Len? Your
disdain of the ARS is not a secret.

> >> NO ONE is required to toady up to some already-licensed U.S.
> >> radio amateur and HAVE to state "motivation." NOBODY.
> >
> >You don't have to state your "motivation".
>
> Yes, I do.

Then state it, Len.

> >But it does seem odd that
> >you won't state why you're so obsessed with a license test for a
> >license you don't seem to want, and which has no effect on you at all.
>
> I'm sure it is "odd" to you, Jimmie. You are finding fault
> with everything I say or do, making long, long, long, long,
> long, long posts arguing minutae. :-)

> >> >FCC can also decide to change license privileges, subbands, etc., all
> >> >of which can have a profound affect on those who actually operate in
> >> >the licensed service.
> >>
> >> NPRM 05-143 is solely about the MORSE CODE TEST, Jimmie.

Actually not. It's also an R&O, and has lots of policy statements from
FCC.

For example, it specifically denies all requests for a new entry-class
license. It also repeats FCC's support for a three-tier system of

license
classes. Plus much more.

> >> The TEST. The TEST does NOT affect those ALREADY LICENSED.
> >
> >Yes, it does. If a change affects the amateur radio service itself, it
> >affects those already licensed.
>
> Only those "upgrading."

Not just them.

> Gosh...imagine that, the possibility of a NO-CODE-TEST EXTRA!
>
> It would be the END OF THE CODER'S WORLD AS THEY KNOW IT!

> Need some help doing a "Kevorkian?" :-)

Gee, Len, that's not funny.

> >> The TEST affects those GETTING INTO U.S. amateur radio.
> >
> >But not only them.
> >
> >And since you're clearly not one of them, why do you care so much?
>
> Since YOU are "clearly not one of" the military, government,
> or the aerospace business or even "of" radio other than
> amateurism, why did you post so many "caring" messages on
> THOSE subjects? Hmmm?

"You can not answer a question with another question" - Len Anderson

> >> >Some days back, you posted a description of your experiences with
> >> >cb radio back in the late 1950s and early 1960s. You told us how
> >> >you installed a manufactured cb transceiver and antenna in your car and
> >> >used it. You told us the performance was *excellent* - for about four
> >> >years. Then it wasn't so excellent anymore.
> >
> >Here's that description again:
> >
> >"One of the first signs of that outside amateur radio was the
> >USA's creation of Class C and D CB in 1958. NO test of
> >any kind, just a Restricted Radiotelephone license form needed
> >for anyone to use the 22 channels (23rd shared with radio control).
> >Excellent in large urban areas before the offshore products appeared
> >about four years later and the trucking industry started buying them."

Let's look at that last sentence again:

"Excellent in large urban areas before the offshore products appeared
about four years later and the trucking industry started buying them."

> >> >Where did the excellence go, Len?

> >> It went to the Chevrolet auto dealer in Canoga Park, CA, as a
> >> trade-in.

> >Your cb radio was traded in at a car dealership?

> Only the antenna and its lead-in. Went with the car. Dealer
> liked that. I kept the Johnson Viking Messenger.

That's not what was meant by "before the offshore products appeared
about four years later and the trucking industry started buying them."

That refers to cb radios, and how the cb radio service wasn't so
excellent
anymore.

You know that the cb service went downhill. The same thing could happen
to the amateur radio service. You're avoiding the issue because you
know I'm right - or maybe because that sort of slide is exactly what
you want.

> >> It was a 1953 Austin-Healey sports car with an
> >> all-aluminum body (excellent ground plane).

> >Terrible for magmounts, though.

> I never said anything about "magmounts," Jimmie. "Magmounts" were
> scarce in those days.

Your sense of technical humor has gone missing, Len - if it ever
existed.

> Maybe you'd like a nice image of that Austin-Healey? I have one.

The last time you sent me an "image", (unrequested and unwanted spam)
it contained male nudity. No thanks.

> Clearly shows the CB "shorty" (base-loaded) antenna.

So you had a shorty connected to your compact Johnson. Quite
appropriate....

> >> That "Healey"
> >> got me an introduction to my first wife. She persuaded me to
> >> buy the replacement 1961 Impala Convertible. She was diagnosed
> >> with cancer soon after and died after a year.
> >
> >I am sorry for your loss.
>
> I can't believe that.

It's true, nevertheless. You don't believe in a lot of things,
but that doesn't change their truth.

> YOU really know what it's like? Other
> than reading about it in books?

I know what it's like to lose someone to cancer, yes. And to
see someone die before their time.

I am sorry for your loss.
>
> After a long period of bachelorhood, I got re-acquainted with my
> high-school sweetheart, also unattached. We were married and
> are living together happily now.

That's nice. But what does it have to do with amateur radio policy?

> >That's from your post on the subject, a few days ago. No mention of a
> >trade-in or your first wife. The excellence you wrote about was cb.
>
> Jimmie, go get laid or something.

What *is* your problem, Len?

You can't take opposition to your cherished views, eh? You can't take
strong
debate.

> You are going wayyyy too far in criticsm.

You're misdirecting away from the point about cb.

> >And the fact remains that cb quickly went downhill in the late 1960s
> >and early 1970s - about the same time frame as what you describe.
>
> No.

Yes. Although I was never on cb, I did listen to it from time to time,
and knew several active cbers back then. By the mid1960s the
cb service was already a problem.

>You are describing LATER things than what happened here.

"Excellent in large urban areas before the offshore products appeared
about four years later and the trucking industry started buying them."

Your words. Documenting the loss of excellence in the cb service.

You know it happened, but to admit it here would prove me right, and
you can't tolerate that.

> >> There, aren't you HAPPY over having a newsgroup "opponent" go
> >> through difficulties?

> >No. Not at all.
>
> NO?

No. I am sorry for your loss.

> That's odd. To see your postings in here, I am nothing but
> trouble and affect you greatly.

You don't affect me much at all, Len.

> >> Maybe you want an ice-cold emotionless
> >> set of numbers of performance of the Viking Messenger now (I
> >> still have that old radio)?

> >Why?

> Why NOT? You love to ARGUE minutae in posts. It would be a ripe
> area for more harrassment, "questions," et al.

> >> It still meets manufacturers' (and FCC) specifications.

> >But I don't think you use it.
>
> Why do I have to USE it?

You don't have to use it. Point is, the cb service is such a mess that
even you don't participate in it.

> >Your '53 Healey was a classic sports car. Maybe not the fastest or most
> >powerful thing on four wheels but definitely a classic - and classy
> >too. Fun in the tradition of a Triumph or MG.

> Why do you care?

I appreciate all sorts of classics.

> You were NOT in the sports car culture of
> southern California in the 50s and 60s.

So? You're not in the amateur radio of any
decade, but you comment on it at extreme
length.

> It doesn't concern you.

Amateur radio doesn't concern you either, but
that doesn't stop you.

> Your only "road race" was on foot.

So? I've run many road races. Have you?

> Probably never heard of Watkins Glen either.

Not only heard of it but been there several times. Have you?

> >I am somewhat surprised that you still have the Johnson Viking
> >Messenger, though. The thing has *vacuum tubes*, right? (At least the
> >early Messengers did).

> So? I'm NOT ALLOWED to keep things?

You can keep whatever you want, Len. But I find it odd that you
would hold onto your tube-filled Johnson for so long. After all,
you're always lecturing us about "change" and "new technology"

and all that sort of rot.

> >Of course the Johnson Viking Messenger is interesting from an
> >historical POV because it was such a departure for the company. Instead
> >of a big desk-crushing VFO transmitter, they built a compact
> >lightweight transceiver of pretty good quality. And it still works!

> How do you KNOW "it still works?"

You said so.

> Aren't you going to CHALLENGE
> my measurements of it?

No.

> I'm "surprised" you haven't made negative of that.

Surprise, surprise!

> >So I guess it's not so surprising that for more than 40 years you've
> >held onto one of the smallest Johnsons ever produced.

> Davie Heil wants to talk about other "johnsons" (meaning
> penises).

Where do you get that, Len?

K8MN did not write of "johnsons" at all. He wrote about "Johnsons".
(See
the capital J?)

Many items are routinely referred to by the manufacturer's name.
Hams do it all the time when describing their rigs. For example,
just considering radio sets, I've owned seven Heathkits, two RMEs,
three Nationals, two Hallicrafters, one Gonset, seven Southgates
and yes, at least four different Johnsons.

> Does that turn you ON? Go talk with Davie about
> "small johnsons!" :-)

Why? You've obviously got the most experience dealing with
one of the smallest Johnsons ever produced.

Dave and I are more interested in the bigger Johnsons, such as
the Valiant (I had one) and the Viking 2 (I had two of those, plus
the 122 VFO).

> >> >Do you see how the same could happen to amateur radio?

> >> NOT at all.

Denial.

> >How can you can guarantee that what happened to cb cannot happen to
> >amateur radio?

> I didn't "GUARANTEE" anything, Jimmie. The future happens when
> it happens.

So what I'm concerned with could happen. You won't admit that,
of course.

# 627. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 02:02:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131413696.370161.118190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123192

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 6 Nov 2005 07:58:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > >> On 5 Nov 2005 15:19:46 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > >> >> well been digging the archives on Stevie
> > >> >> located some 105 posst of his misdeeds dumping a lot of the repitivie
> > >> >> stuff
> > >> cut
> > >>
> > >> >> feel free to resume threatening me now stevie
> > >> >
> > >> > No threats, Markie...Just a promise to fully deprive you of
> > >> >any/all resources and assets the courts may allow for your culpable
> > >> >actions.
> > >>
> > >> you can try but I am willing the take the "risk" after all tif the
> > >> Board is willing to uphold their own rules your words are all I need
> > >> to send them
> > >
> > > And I said have at it, Markie.
> >
> > working on it
>
> Uh huh.
>
> > you have made more than 8000 post here that are under your own name
> > (we will not even try to guess how many you may have under false name
> > >
> > > The Board is obligated to review all complaints it receives. If
> > >you really ARE stupid enough to file an official complaint, they WILL
> > >review it.
> > >
> > > And when they do, whatever you sent them becomes a matter of
> > >public record.
> >
> > posibly but I doubt it
>
> "possibly"
>
> > However everything I wil send is already in the public record
>
> "will"

>
> No...it's on USENET.
>
> > > Then whey THEY are done with it, my attorneys get to play with it.
> >
> > I don't think they do, but if you like I can send YOU personaly a copy
> > of what i send to board of nursing
>
> Of COURSE they get to! From the Board, to Me, to them. Then
> eventually back to you.
>
> > >> and no way you are making a malice case out quoting you to the nursing
> > >> board
> > >
> > > Easy pickings, Markie.
> >
> > you don't know what you are talking about
>
> Sure I do.
>
> > > Your "complaint" will be dispositioned as a retaliatory action on
> > >your part.
> >
> > retaliatory to what? after all you claim you have done nothing to me
>
> Uh huh....
>
> > your use of the word of relaliatory would seem to indiacate that you
> > are felling guility about something
>
> "feeling" "guilty"
>
> Nope. That's an acceptable adjective used to describe what a
> person does when they can't get their way, and then try to inflict some
> sort of "damage" by this action.
>
> > my complaint will document my real concern that you have violated the
> > rules of the tennesse BoN cchapter 1000-2 rules and reg of lpn's
> > section 13 and 14
>
> None of which applies to our USENET exchanges.
>
> > the TenN BoN will evaulate my material, and then take such action as
> > they seem fit, assuming you are right and you can post all ##### you
> > have here without it being a concern to the BoN you will not be
> > damaged in any way hence no case for you...(SNIP)
>
> STILL a "case" for me.
>
> It's not going to take a rocket scientist to figure out your

> "motives", Markie. Stevie Wonder can see that!
>
> >(UNSNIP)...OTOH if I am right and send
> > them the right stuff and they act, they will damage you not me, and
> > again no case for Stevie against me
>
> Not happening.
>
> > > And when they have closed it, my attorneys get the package. Then
> > >you get to drive to Tennessee for the civil case that will follow.
> >
> > wrong again Stevie, assuming you can find an atttorney and a cause of
> > action I am still not required to travel to Tennessee
>
> Not "find" an attorney, Markie...FOUND.
>
> > If you get something that the court will try to serve me with that
> > service will take place here (and I think you have to go to FEDERAL
> > court but I may be worng) ....(SNIP)
>
> No, that service will take place HERE if you intend to press these
> "charges".
>
> And of course you're wrong.
>
> > (UNSNIP)...then I first make a pro se effort to have
> > the case dismissed, failing that I will hire a lawyer able to practice
> > in TN and/or the federal Courts as needed
>
> It won't be dismissed, Markie.
>
> Attempting a malicious retaliatory claim of misconduct in a State
> board is a felony.
>
> > If I have to blow the money on a retainer...(SNIP)
>
> Well, well, Markie...We've caught you in another tale...
>
> You've already tired the "I have a lawyer" routine. You also
> tried the "I have so much money and property" routine that you could
> outspend me on legal expenses.
>
> > (UNSNIP)...then I will also take a shot
> > at suing you for the tort I believe you have done me with your
> > threats lies harrasent and hate speech, and things will start getting
> > expesive for you too
>
> "harrassment" "expensive"
>
> Markie, EVERYTHING is "hate speech" to you. Even when people do

> their best to clearly help or accomodate you, you insult them, call
> them names and otherwise create hate and distrust (ie: your rantings
> with Hans when he answered your 60m band quartions)
>
> > > Of
> > >course you can just sit up in the UP thinking that nothing will happen
> > >to you, but hey, it's your reality!
> >
> > I could try that bbut that line doesn't work
>
> "bbut"....stop stammering.
>
> > >> > BTW...Since this "action" is taking place in Tennessee, you are
> > >> >going to have to travel to Tennessee. Do you intend to retain local
> > >> >counsel? Or shall we just serve you personally and then have you held
> > >> >IN Tennessee as a flight risk?
> > >>
> > >> re read the above
> > >
> > > YOU "re-read the above"
> > >
> > > YOU will have to come to Tennessee to testify. While you're here,
> > >I'll ahve you served with the civil action. The flight risk issue will
> > >be a certainty.
> > >
> > > Gotcha!
> >
> > Greetings, I have found your comments to be so ridiculas and
> > entertaining that I just had to weigh in.
>
> "rediculous"
>
> At this point, the conversation allegedly changes from "Markie" to
> "Mrs Markie"...Of course since the "introduction" starts of with the
> same lack of ability to spell, I seriously doubt the validity...but
> I'll play along!
>
> > Let me introduce myself - I
> > am who you have been referring to in various posts as Mrs. Markie.
> > BTW, I am female at least the last time I checked. I also happen to be
> > a trained paralegal and a 1L.
>
> Oh geeze...Here we go...
>
> > First of all you are confusing the
> > processes and methods of criminal legal cases with those of civil.
>
> No, I'm not. Your S/O is going to try and "harm" me by making
> complaints to the Tennessee State Board of Nursing for these USENET
> exchanges.

>
> When he's done doing that and once the malicious intent has been
> established, I am going to ask that appropriate criminal chages for the
> criminal mischief be filed, and I will seek CIVIL damages as well.
>
> > You need to go clarify that before you make any more stupid comments.
>
> You better turn to the other half there when addressing "stupid
> comments".
>
> BTW...His actions will affect YOUR standard of living.
>
> > Try picking up a simple study guide on torts. 2nd, in this matter it is
> > not Mark who would be bringing the action against you re: your license
> > but the BON of TN - he is merely providing info so your cause of
> > action if you do suffer damages from this proceeding would be against
> > them, not Mark.
>
> Sure they will be against Mark.
>
> Sweetums, you need to get that slob to show you his REAL track
> record going back almost a decade on USENET silliness and stupidity.
>
> IF, and I repeat IF he's really stupid enough (or you are stupid
> enough to let him) to file these "complaints", I WILL demonstrate his
> malicious intent.
>
> Regardless of what he thinks of me in THIS forum, there will be a
> brigade of my professional peers in that hearing who will tear his
> story to shreds. And on top of that I can call in literally HUNDREDS
> of persons who have been my patients over the last decade who can
> attest to my professional character. Local businessmen, politicos, law
> enforcement and other persons KNOWN to the community.
>
> So...If "the Colonel" thinks he can "make a case" from these
> USENET exchanges as opposed to the testimony of professionals, then
> have at it...
>
> BTW...Just how far do you think his "complaint" will get with his
> repeated acknowledgement of his INTENTIONAL lying and deceit?
>
> > 3rd, even if he is required to give a deposition in
> > this matter he can do so from here in some fashion with modern
> > technology.
>
> Deposition, Yes...Testimony, get your bags packed.
>
> > 4th, if you wish to bring a cause of action against Mark
> > you first have to identify and quantify the real (legal term) damages
> > that he ostensibly has or would have caused you which cannot occur

> > until after the hearing by the BON (see above) you also would have to
> > bring suit in a place that has jurisdiction over a resident of MI
> > which is not the state of TN.
>
> Pretty poor grammatical expression for an alleged "paralegal"
>
> But in any case, if Markie intends to use the TENNESSEE State
> Board of Nursing to inflict his will on me, he'll eventually have to
> come here.
>
> > For arguements sake, if you were able to
> > bring suit in TN Mark still wouldn't have to come there - that's what
> > attys are for and therefore you would have no course to arrange to
> > have him held in TN as 'a flight risk' which is not a factor in a
> > civil suit - another confusion you are making btwn civil and criminal
> > law.
>
> Sorry...Wrong.
>
> > Check your facts Steve before you make more of an ### out of yourself
> > than you are.
>
> Hey, YOU'RE the one who married the liar..So who's the ### now,
> sweetums?
>
> > If you actually have facts re: your legal knowledge
> > citations would give you credibility which you are lacking.
> >
> > signing off and returning you to KB9RQZ
> > Mrs. Markie, 3rd party traffic . . .
>
> Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> > My wife is a bit wordy for this forum but i had trouble sorting out
> > all your legal errors
>
> There's no errors. You'll push this button and eventually have
> the hammer fall on your own head, Markie.
>
> > Truely and in all kindness for your families sake TALK TO LAWYER SOON
>
> "Truly" "family's"
>
> Take your own advice.
>
> > >> you are really losing it Stevie
> > >>
> > >> a flight risk on a civil action ?
> > >
> > > Nope. The civil action is just what >>I<< will be persuing for

> > >damages. Remember what I told you DAYS ago about what happens to
> > >people who file malicious retaliatory complaints? THAT is criminal.
> >
> > so you say and yet you also say you can fille your concerns with other
> > states freely without criminal penality
>
> "file" "penalty"
>
> > you have even stated I can legaly file my concerns with the BoN in the
> > past I dissmissed this at the time not believeing there was a cuase or
> > rule they could use in this matter, when YOU pointed one out I (with
> > help from Mrs Markie) went looking and found tha you may have in fact
> > told the turth on that score for once
>
> "legally" "dismissed" "believing" "cause" "that" "truth"
>
> I've told the "turth" on more occassions than you'd care to admit
> to, Markie, but hey, that's OK...
>
> > agin assuming somehow you can presaude the cops down there to try and
> > trump up some charge (and your filling of any falsehood in your police
> > report could get you in trouble remember) they would still have to go
> > through the legal mechanism of extradicting me a very expense and time
> > consuming problem
>
> "Again" "persuade"
>
> I won't try unscramble the sentence...
>
> "the cops" are not the one's who would be involved in the filing
> of charges, Markie...
>
> (You really ought to have Mrs Markie proof read your stuff)
>
> > >> you are out of your mind
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > >> if by some strech of the imagine nation you are talking about a
> > >> criminal complaint then you over look extradiction and other legal
> > >> niceities
> > >
> > > Not if you're already here to testify on the original
> > >"complaint".
> > >
> > >..And Markie, I DO have the right to face you in a
> > >hearing.
> >
> > check your facts
>

> Checked and re-checked.
>
> > not sure you have that right as I understand I should be able to be
> > disposed like any other witness
>
> And I have the right to face you for cross examination...here in
> Tennessee...
>
> Pack your bags.
>
> > >....You file a complaint in Tennessee, and Tennessee is where
> > >you're going to have to go to testify.
> >
> > check your facts
>
> I have.
>
> Pack your bags.
>
> > > You don't show, the complaint
> > >is dismissed and
> >
> > check your facts
>
> I have.
>
> Pack your bags.
>
> > > I STILL get to file a civil complaint on the malicious
> > >complaint charge!
> >
> > get your fact straight
>
> I have.
>
> Pack your bags.
>
> > > And once you're here on the original complaint the courts can order
> > >you to remain here.
> >
> > Stevie the BoN is not a court of law
>
> True. But it is still a legally binding hearing.
>
> > and court of law must have some reason to issue an order
> >
> > I am not required to stay in tennesesse for years just because you
> > file a civil action
>
> You keep trying to avoid the CRIMINAL complaint for the malicious

> intent.
>
> > any criminal filing can only be considered after the BoN has issed its
> > findings. then a DA must decide that any action I took rises to a
> > level that is criminal, and then he would get a warrant for my arest
>
> "issued" "arrest".
>
> Do me a favor...File your "complaint". Then when the hearing is
> set, DON'T show up.
>
> > > Otherwise you're in contempt of court. Those
> > >follow you across state lines too.
> > >
> > >> you are just plain stupid Stevie
>
> Nope. Just looking forward to laughing at you in person for a
> change.
>
> > > Keep telling yourself that, Markie...Keep hoping....Keep asking
> > >yourself, "...is this really worth it?"
> > >
> > you are just afraid you have blown it and that Google has provided it
> > to me
>
> Nope.
>
> There's not a single thing in this USENET forum that is
> potentially punishable by ANY act of censure, suspension or revocation
> of my Nursing license.
>
> YOU are certain there is, despite the fact that your own "buddies"
> won't publically support you and that not one other person offers you
> any encouragement...But then you are known (and yes, "Google provides")
> for your deceit, dishonesty and intentional misrepresentation of
> material fact.
>
> In short, what ever inconvienience you may cause in the short term,
> I am going to use to rip you "a new one" in the long term...
>
> > you have provoked this response by years of abusive behavoir
>
> The abuse is yours, Markie...Lies, deceit, harrassment...
>
> > you clearly do not understand the issues you are dealing with .
> >
> > GET real LEGAL advice, for your good
>
> GOT real LEGAL advice, and she says you're in for the ride of your
> life if you think otherwise.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

What the hell is your problem? Mark merely wants you to stop your harassment.

## 628. Re: In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 02:03:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131415382.197372.108060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123193

Results:

443 QSOs, 77 sections, 68,222 points. Missed only ND, PAC and AK.

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 629. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 02:05:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131415534.038331.326330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123194

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 6 Nov 2005 08:11:37 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> > > Steve Robeson
> >> > > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
> >> > >
> >> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> >> > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> >> > > Date: 2000/05/30
> >> > > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> >> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> >> > > | Report Abuse
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> >> > > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
> >> > > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
> >> > > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
> >> > >
> >> > > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
> >> > > inadequate
> >> > > for you.<
> >> > >
> >> > > Oooooooohhhhhh!
> >> > >
> >> > > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
> >> > >
> >> > > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
> >> > > placed that
> >> > > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
> >> > > and
> >> > > callsigns.
> >> > >
> >> > > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
> >> > >
> >> > > Steve
> >> > >
> >> > > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
> >> > > to fight

> >> > > in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)
> >> >
> >> > Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?
> >>
> >> so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion
> >>
> >> like you said Google provide
> >
> >Yep, he even used "professional" to describe that he wasn't just some
> >yahoo, but a medical professional.
>
> and i hope that is what is going to nail with the nursing board

Nothing you or me say is going to sway the nurses board.

Steve will have to dissemble his own entrenching tool an bury his own sorry ass (like that Marine has ever used an entrenching tool). God knows he stinks and need burying. God give him the strength.

Jim and Mike say there is no God, so we might have to suffer Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN's stench for a long time to come. Like that donkey in Mogadishu.

## 630. Re: In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 02:12:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131415936.318805.24320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123195

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Results:
>
> 443 QSOs, 77 sections, 68,222 points. Missed only ND, PAC and AK.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

You almost da Man! Try jumping in the JA contest from Guam sometime.
Sheesh!

## 631. Re: Can Markie Be Trusted With ANYthing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 02:59:19 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131418759.786688.183260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123197

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
> >
> > just an example of Stevie language If you knew Stevie LPN was making
> > such a statement, and you were a pagan (or a muslim for that matter)
> > would you trust him with your life?
>
> If they want the best chance for getting out of a bad situation,
> they do.

Wow! Steve is the best hope that a Pagan or Muslim can hope for.

> Since you mention Islam, Markie, do YOU know the Five Pillars of
> Islam?

Hmmm? The Five Pillars? Hmmm? Does Jim/N2EY know of the five pillars
or does Jim/N2EY not know of the 5 pillars?

For that matter. does Steve know of the Five Pillars? Does a Five
Pillars expert need to report to RRAP

Five Pillars Expert report to RRAP, thanks.

> > I would not esp when it isn't just the one statement
>
> That's because you're an idiot that can't seperate USENET from
> "real life".

Consider it "Real Web TV." Asshole.

> > Stevie you need to realize you are currently a NURSE and a PROFESSIONAL
> > and a licensed one at that ,a nd therefore you need to be doing better
> > and claener than alot of folks
>
> "and" "cleaner"
>
> And Markie, from a guy who claims to have a 248 IQ, a Bachelor's
> degree and a "comfortable lifestyle", YOU need to be leading by
> example, not making snide remarks about being "cleaner". Especially
> after those "solid waste" remarks...To have even CONTEMPLATED them was
> disgusting, and to have made them in public was absolutely assinine.

"Putz" "liar" "deceitful" "coward" "homosexual" "pedophile"

Talking about public remarks...

> BTW, Markie, unless someone has "JEW" or "CHRISTIAN" or other
> religious preference tatooed on their head, I never know what their
> preferences are. I don't particularly care, either, unless it involves
> human sacrifice.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Liar. You make it a point to call Len a JEWish "Putz." Mark a
Homosexual Pagan.

bb

# 632. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:02:08 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131415797.049107.47450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123198

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Return-Path: <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> > > Received: from rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (rly-xa06.mail.aol.com
> > > [172.20.64.42]) by air-xa01.mail.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
> > > MAILINXA14-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:48 -0500
> > > Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
> > > rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
> > > MAILRELAYINXA63-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:43 -0500
> > > Received: from bla161.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> > > by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 67A551FD689
> > > for <k4yz@aol.com>; Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
> > > Message-ID: <24643749.1131397660275.JavaMail.root@bla161.blogger.com>
> > > From: Todd <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> > > To: k4yz@aol.com
> > > Subject: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil: Post
> > > 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of God
> > > Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > Content-Type: text/plain
> > > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> > > Date: Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
> > > X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
> > > X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:436126017:10737418
> > > X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
> > > Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:
> > >
> > > You mother####ing ##### #####. I told you to not ####ing mail me agan.
> > >
> > > Blog: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil
> > > Post: Post 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of
> > > God
> > > Link:
> > > http://battleinchrist.blogspot.com/2005/10/post-4-battlefield-of-mind-part-ii.html
> > >
> > > --
> > > Powered by Blogger
> > > http://www.blogger.com/
> >
> > Why on earth would you visit his "blog?"
>
> sorry to corret you it is NOT even Todd's blog
>
> stevie is now claiming Todd is responible for the action of all blogers

> all over the web if they touch him in any way

I've never witnessed such a sensitive Marine before. General Johnston
would have crushed him with his boot heel.

> > Are you one of those modern citizens that demands to be offended?
> >
> > Demands to be outraged?
> >
> > Piss off you little piss ant.

Steve is a megalomaniac.

# 633. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:02:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131418973.235557.240740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123199

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 6 Nov 2005 08:11:37 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > >> > > Steve Robeson
> > >> > > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
> > >> > >
> > >> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > >> > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> > >> > > Date: 2000/05/30
> > >> > > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > >> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > >> > > | Report Abuse
> > >> > >
> > >> > >
> > >> > >
> > >> > > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > >> > > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
> > >> > > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
> > >> > > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
> > >> > >
> > >> > > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
> > >> > > inadequate
> > >> > > for you.<
> > >> > >
> > >> > > Oooooooohhhhhh!
> > >> > >
> > >> > > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
> > >> > >
> > >> > > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
> > >> > > placed that
> > >> > > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
> > >> > > and
> > >> > > callsigns.
> > >> > >
> > >> > > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
> > >> > >
> > >> > > Steve
> > >> > >
> > >> > > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE

> > >> > > to fight
> > >> > > in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)
> > >> >
> > >> > Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?
> > >>
> > >> so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion
> > >>
> > >> like you said Google provide
> > >
> > >Yep, he even used "professional" to describe that he wasn't just some
> > >yahoo, but a medical professional.
> >
> > and i hope that is what is going to nail with the nursing board
>
> Nothing you or me say is going to sway the nurses board.

you know what I don't think anything you or I will say will do it
either, what I think will do it is Stevie own words pointed out to the
BoN indeed all I will be doing is forwarding a set of posts looks like
about 70 will make the cut to the BoN (not his bosses but the people
that license him)

> Steve will have to dissemble his own entrenching tool an bury his own
> sorry ass (like that Marine has ever used an entrenching tool). God
> knows he stinks and need burying. God give him the strength.
>
> Jim and Mike say there is no God, so we might have to suffer Tennessee
> Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN's stench for a long time to come.

I agree nothing I do with BoN will stop him from spreading his shit all
around here

prehaps he will have to do so without the intials LPN though

> Like
> that donkey in Mogadishu.

# 634. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:03:39 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131419019.377548.100560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123200

N9OGL wrote:
> What's really neat mark, is I was no where near a computer the time it
> was sent out, I was in a lecture at school with 20 other people and the
> professor. I was no where near a computer at that time.

amazing isn't it.

did you acomplish it by some feat of mind over computer?

>
> Todd N9OGL

# 635. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:04:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131419084.461117.295000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123201

N9OGL wrote:
> What's really neat mark, is I was no where near a computer the time it
> was sent out, I was in a lecture at school with 20 other people and the
> professor. I was no where near a computer at that time.

amazing isn't it.

did you acomplish it by some feat of mind over computer?

looking at the header Stevie posted it looked to my ignorant eye like
someone on AOL made the forged message from you.

Did the Gunny forge a an eaiml from you to himself? I wonder

>
> Todd N9OGL

# 636. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:10:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%GUbf.1394$Id6.711@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123202

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up
> and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying
> like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.
>
> But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
> prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> diagnosis.

If my doctor posts to r.r.a.p. and advises me to take blood pressure medicine, he isn't prescribing medication. If my doctor shows up here and demands that I take blook pressure medicine, he isn't prescribing medicine. If my doc hands me a signed prescription for blood pressure medication or telephones a prescription to my pharmacy, he has prescribed medicine.

You need to get a grip on reality.

My diagnosis is that Mark is an irrational twit. I prescribe remedial reading at a Special Education facility and a thirty-week, intensive Morse Code training session for Colonel Morgan.

Dave K8MN

## 637. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:13:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tJUbf.1397$Id6.1221@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123203

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>
>>>you have never heard of inocualting
>>
>>I know I've never heard of inocualting.
>
>
> not repsonible for your ignorance Dave

According to your past posts, you aren't even responsible for your own ignorance, Mark. It (so you say) is our problem.
>
>>>Depotions can be done anywhere...
>>
>>Do you make de potions in a kettle over an open fire?

Dave K8MN

# 638. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:21:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131420097.656735.295070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123204

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up
> > and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying
> > like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.
> >
> > But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> > by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> > professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
> > prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> > jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> > diagnosis.
>
> If my doctor posts to r.r.a.p. and advises me to take blood pressure
> medicine, he isn't prescribing medication. If my doctor shows up here
> and demands that I take blook pressure medicine, he isn't prescribing
> medicine. If my doc hands me a signed prescription for blood pressure
> medication or telephones a prescription to my pharmacy, he has
> prescribed medicine.
why does that only to Stevie on your doctor

according to Stevie if I or Brain advise that someone needs meds Stevie
charges in and says we are presribing and doing all sorts of nefarious
deeds

>
> You need to get a grip on reality.
>
> My diagnosis is that Mark is an irrational twit. I prescribe remedial
> reading at a Special Education facility and a thirty-week, intensive
> Morse Code training session for Colonel Morgan.

but you don't have license for that Stevie claims he does

and that he can do a a patient assement over the internet (his
words,google provide) that he can make assement and cause dangerous
stuff to be written in our files that will haunt us forever (or words
that effect) all based on our posts in USENET and yet it is according
to Stevie a FELONY to even report a concenr over wether he is bound to
the rules published by the BoN about his activities

there is only one word for such a claim by Stevie and supported it
seems by you

BULLSHIT is the word
>
> Dave K8MN

## 639. Re: In Praise of Contesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:23:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eTUbf.1401$Id6.1391@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123205

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Results:
>
> 443 QSOs, 77 sections, 68,222 points. Missed only ND, PAC and AK.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Congrats! I had only a short time to listen to the action on 40m on Saturday evening. There were some really big West Coast signals in evidence.

W8RHM and I hit Washington County, PA hamfest early yesterday morning.

Dave K8MN

## 640. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:33:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t0Vbf.1406$Id6.86@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123206

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up
>>>and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying
>>>like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.
>>>
>>>But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
>>>by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
>>>professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
>>>prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
>>>jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
>>>diagnosis.
>>
>>If my doctor posts to r.r.a.p. and advises me to take blood pressure
>>medicine, he isn't prescribing medication. If my doctor shows up here
>>and demands that I take blook pressure medicine, he isn't prescribing
>>medicine. If my doc hands me a signed prescription for blood pressure
>>medication or telephones a prescription to my pharmacy, he has
>>prescribed medicine.
>
> why does that only to Stevie on your doctor

Your words do not resemble a sentence. Try again to produce a coherent
thought.

> according to Stevie if I or Brain advise that someone needs meds Stevie
> charges in and says we are presribing and doing all sorts of nefarious
> deeds

That's nice.

>>You need to get a grip on reality.

>>My diagnosis is that Mark is an irrational twit. I prescribe remedial
>>reading at a Special Education facility and a thirty-week, intensive
>>Morse Code training session for Colonel Morgan.

> but you don't have license for that Stevie claims he does

That's going to make it a little hard for you to contact my board and to
try to cause me to lose my job, isn't it? Why not go after me for
practicing medicine without a license? I still maintain, by the way,

that I've made a correct diagnosis.

Dave K8MN

# 641. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:44:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131421485.968088.54050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123207

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up
> >>>and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying
> >>>like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.
> >>>
> >>>But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> >>>by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> >>>professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
> >>>prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> >>>jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> >>>diagnosis.
> >>
> >>If my doctor posts to r.r.a.p. and advises me to take blood pressure
> >>medicine, he isn't prescribing medication. If my doctor shows up here
> >>and demands that I take blook pressure medicine, he isn't prescribing
> >>medicine. If my doc hands me a signed prescription for blood pressure
> >>medication or telephones a prescription to my pharmacy, he has
> >>prescribed medicine.
> >
> > why does that only to Stevie on your doctor
>
> Your words do not resemble a sentence. Try again to produce a coherent
> thought.
>
> > according to Stevie if I or Brain advise that someone needs meds Stevie
> > charges in and says we are presribing and doing all sorts of nefarious
> > deeds
>
> That's nice.

no it isn't nice

it is abusive
>
> >>You need to get a grip on reality.
>
> >>My diagnosis is that Mark is an irrational twit. I prescribe remedial
> >>reading at a Special Education facility and a thirty-week, intensive
> >>Morse Code training session for Colonel Morgan.

>
>
> > but you don't have license for that Stevie claims he does
>
> That's going to make it a little hard for you to contact my board and to
> try to cause me to lose my job, isn't it?

which is why I look into others ways of dealing with you

Stevie has a license it can be revoked according the BoN for "lying in
medcial matters"

you don't have such license so different standards apply (for that
matter different stanrds apply to me too becuase of that)

In addition you have written to the State of Michigan siging the letter
Dave Heil LPN where accoridng to Stveie he has written that state of
Michigan signing it Steve Robeson LPN (or what ever he in fact signed)

Since you and He are claiming he can't parctice medicine or nursing
over the USENET he must have made a false report to the State of
Michigan, which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website

you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
in his posts being that he is an attonrney

> Why not go after me for
> practicing medicine without a license?

if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it

if that is your desire you had better begin typing

> I still maintain, by the way,
> that I've made a correct diagnosis.

but real doctors know you are wrong
>
> Dave K8MN

# 642. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:45:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131421543.229654.115240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123208

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM <Marathon_Poster@AOL.COM> on Tues 1 Nov 2005 16:35
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am
> > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
> > >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
> > >> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
> > >> >> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:
> > >Why should I accept changes that are detrimental to the
> > >Amateur Radio Service?
> >
> > Prove that such changes are "detrimental." :-)
>
> I've already shown that they could be.
>
> > >Why should something be discarded just because it's old?
> >
> > <sigh>...one can only imagine the state of your clothing... :-)
>
> Considering how long you've held onto your Johnson, I'd
> try not to imagine the state of yours...

more name calling
cut

# 643. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:49:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131421755.608204.247250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 4 Nov 2005 17:16
> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs 3 Nov 2005 15:57
cut
> > In a way, I'm glad Jimmie isn't involved in Seti. If an ET
> > was ever found, Jimmie would try to argue his case for
> > morse code with them, piss off ET, and Earth would likely face
> > Annihilation! :-)
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> Jim would send and send and send. Never understanding that Morse Code
> is NOT a universal language.

nah you are both wrong the Aliens would never notice a signal was being
sent esp by those old timers sending badly sent morse code ust to trip
a code reader unit

after in Indenpendance Day they managed to send the CW under the aliens
noses, that movie is still the best and most likely case I have yet
seen for Morse Code saving the world

## 644. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 03:56:43 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <S7ydnZ4uzIzDju3eRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123196
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyshit_2test wogie@yahoo.com wrote:

>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Guess the shit you eat is getting to your single brain cell, Roger
>>>Halstead K8RI. Tell us all about how you have a pilot's license, even
>>>if it is from a crackerjack box.
>>>
>>
>>Who is K8RI wogie?
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> Fatass makes good sentence!
> LOL at the fake pilot's license boy!

You're dumber than markie and toddie put together, and that's really dumb, 2test wogie. First you say I don't have a pilots license, then you make some half-witted claim that I must be K8RI, who according to his web site, certainly has a pilots license. Guess your crack addled brain just can't keep up can it? Poor 2test.

## 645. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 04:03:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131420189.395479.308590@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123210

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Iitoi wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com Wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Steve, since I want no mail from you coming to my home address, you
> > > > may
> > > > send the lost $100 wager to the TEN-Land QSL Buro. It is fitting that
> > > > you make the donation to the very people you denigrate and call
> > > > "ZEROES." Best of Luck. bb
> > >
> > > Having seen his "style" here the past several weeks, my wager is that
> > > Steve's prepared numerous escape routes with wiggle room to easily
> > > welch on this one.
> >
> > Perhaps YOU would care to substantiate the "wager" that they
> > allege, meeting even some small smidgen of validity?
> >
> > Care to show where the wager was accepted and agreeded upon?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Escape routes and wiggle room. That's Steve to a "T."

sure is I hope the phrase "apereance of improrit" covers them up but we
shall see
```

## 646. Re: Can Stevie be trusted to make professional treament of a pagan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 04:24:27 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131423867.199534.167180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123212

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
> > >
> > > just an example of Stevie language If you knew Stevie LPN was making
> > > such a statement, and you were a pagan (or a muslim for that matter)
> > > would you trust him with your life?
> >
> > If they want the best chance for getting out of a bad situation,
> > they do.
>
> Wow! Steve is the best hope that a Pagan or Muslim can hope for.

scary thought isn't it?

something even more frightening I don't think Stevie is lying when he
says that. ithink he realy believes it

cut
> > And Markie, from a guy who claims to have a 248 IQ, a Bachelor's
> > degree and a "comfortable lifestyle", YOU need to be leading by
> > example, not making snide remarks about being "cleaner". Especially
> > after those "solid waste" remarks...To have even CONTEMPLATED them was
> > disgusting, and to have made them in public was absolutely assinine.
>
> "Putz" "liar" "deceitful" "coward" "homosexual" "pedophile"
>
> Talking about public remarks...

yep but for some reason it is ok to for Stevie to say such things What
reason Id like to know

Personal I consider the word "Shit" or "fuck", or.. much politierl
behavoir than calling someone a pedophile
>
> > BTW, Markie, unless someone has "JEW" or "CHRISTIAN" or other
> > religious preference tatooed on their head, I never know what their
> > preferences are. I don't particularly care, either, unless it involves
> > human sacrifice.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Liar. You make it a point to call Len a JEWish "Putz." Mark a
> Homosexual Pagan.

yep but Stevie thinks one or more of his licenses give him that right
>
> bb

## 647. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 04:24:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1MVbf.895$c_1.182@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123211

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up
>>>>>and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying
>>>>>like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.
>>>>>
>>>>>But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
>>>>>by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
>>>>>professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
>>>>>prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
>>>>>jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
>>>>>diagnosis.
>>>>
>>>>If my doctor posts to r.r.a.p. and advises me to take blood pressure
>>>>medicine, he isn't prescribing medication. If my doctor shows up here
>>>>and demands that I take blook pressure medicine, he isn't prescribing
>>>>medicine. If my doc hands me a signed prescription for blood pressure
>>>>medication or telephones a prescription to my pharmacy, he has
>>>>prescribed medicine.
>>>
>>>why does that only to Stevie on your doctor
>>
>>Your words do not resemble a sentence. Try again to produce a coherent
>>thought.
>>
>>
>>>according to Stevie if I or Brain advise that someone needs meds Stevie
>>>charges in and says we are presribing and doing all sorts of nefarious
>>>deeds
>>
>>That's nice.
>
>
> no it isn't nice

>
> it is abusive

That's nice. I think Brian has a perpetual chip on his shoulder but I don't think he need medication. I think you're a different story. If you aren't on medication, you should be. If you are, you're taking too much.

>>>>You need to get a grip on reality.
>>
>>>>My diagnosis is that Mark is an irrational twit. I prescribe remedial
>>>>reading at a Special Education facility and a thirty-week, intensive
>>>>Morse Code training session for Colonel Morgan.
>>
>>
>>>but you don't have license for that Stevie claims he does
>>
>>That's going to make it a little hard for you to contact my board and to
>>try to cause me to lose my job, isn't it?
>
>
> which is why I look into others ways of dealing with you

I want to wish you the best of luck. If you've decided to continue fouling the newsgroup, you'll likely have to deal with me. Get used to it.

> Stevie has a license it can be revoked according the BoN for "lying in
> medcial matters"

I'm betting that all anyone on that board will have to see is a few of your past posts and that stuff will be out the window. You have issues, some of which are in plain view.

> you don't have such license so different standards apply (for that
> matter different stanrds apply to me too becuase of that)

Unlike you, Mark, there's nothing in my past which is likely to come back to bite me. You have documented issues.

> In addition you have written to the State of Michigan siging the letter
> Dave Heil LPN...

That is absolutely false, Colonel.

> ...where accoridng to Stveie he has written that state of
> Michigan signing it Steve Robeson LPN (or what ever he in fact signed)

So, you don't know that he wrote and don't know what he signed or don't know if he phone. Yep, quite a situation you've got going.

> Since you and He are claiming he can't parctice medicine or nursing
> over the USENET he must have made a false report to the State of
> Michigan,

Whoa! Hang on! Back up! Please show me where I'm made any such claim,
Colonel Morgan. You and your supporter have stated that Steve was
presribing medicine. I stated that he was not.

> ...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
> suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website

Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
credibility.

> you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
> in his posts being that he is an attonrney

Are you trying to get Phil's license yanked?

>
>>Why not go after me for
>>practicing medicine without a license?
>
>
> if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it

Sure you will, Mark. Go blow hot air toward someone likely to be impressed.

> if that is your desire you had better begin typing

I'll type or not type as I choose, not as directed by you. You have no
leverage whatever on me.

>
>
>> I still maintain, by the way,
>>that I've made a correct diagnosis.
>
>
> but real doctors know you are wrong

There is no factual evidence to support your claim.

YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.

Dave K8MN

# 648. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 04:41:04 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <A%Vbf.54732$5e4.35791@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 123213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131421755.608204.247250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 4 Nov 2005 17:16
>> > >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs 3 Nov 2005 15:57
> cut
>> > In a way, I'm glad Jimmie isn't involved in Seti. If an ET
>> > was ever found, Jimmie would try to argue his case for
>> > morse code with them, piss off ET, and Earth would likely face
>> > Annihilation! :-)
>> >
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>>
>> Jim would send and send and send. Never understanding that Morse Code
>> is NOT a universal language.
>
> nah you are both wrong the Aliens would never notice a signal was being
> sent esp by those old timers sending badly sent morse code ust to trip
> a code reader unit
>
> after in Indenpendance Day they managed to send the CW under the aliens
> noses, that movie is still the best and most likely case I have yet
> seen for Morse Code saving the world
>

That and the movie "The Poseidon Adventure". They tapped out code on the
belly of an inverted ocean liner to people on the outside. Pure folly.
```

# 649. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 04:55:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131425713.773878.226970@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123214

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
cut

> >>That's nice.
> >
> >
> > no it isn't nice
> >
> > it is abusive
>
> That's nice.

more lies is it Dave or Stevie posting

> I think Brian has a perpetual chip on his shoulder but I
> don't think he need medication. I think you're a different story. If
> you aren't on medication, you should be. If you are, you're taking too
> much.

proving that you don't know what you are talking about but then we all
kew that

cut
> >>That's going to make it a little hard for you to contact my board and to
> >>try to cause me to lose my job, isn't it?
> >
> >
> > which is why I look into others ways of dealing with you
>
> I want to wish you the best of luck. If you've decided to continue
> fouling the newsgroup, you'll likely have to deal with me. Get used to it.

Stevie and you and some of the annymouses are the ones fouling the
newsgruop with thier shit

>
> > Stevie has a license it can be revoked according the BoN for "lying in
> > medcial matters"
>
> I'm betting that all anyone on that board will have to see is a few of
> your past posts and that stuff will be out the window. You have issues,
> some of which are in plain view.

My issues will tell them that Stevie has the right to say Todd's afther
has AIDS?

bullshit

>
> > you don't have such license so different standards apply (for that
> > matter different stanrds apply to me too becuase of that)
>
> Unlike you, Mark, there's nothing in my past which is likely to come
> back to bite me. You have documented issues.

I have nothing to come back and bite me. nothing at all.

you folks have dug and dug

you have found what?

That I am bisexual? agreed
that I am kinky? agreed
That I will lie to protect my safety? agreed

Stevie Does it seems plain by his constans threat of elony charges and
civil action and talk of comitment even he knows he has trouble at some
level

>
> > In addition you have written to the State of Michigan siging the letter
> > Dave Heil LPN...
>
> That is absolutely false, Colonel.

sorry you are right that was my mistake I meant to type you have not
done so

mea culpa

>
> > ...where accoridng to Stveie he has written that state of
> > Michigan signing it Steve Robeson LPN (or what ever he in fact signed)
>
> So, you don't know that he wrote and don't know what he signed or don't
> know if he phone. Yep, quite a situation you've got going.

I know he claimed he wrote. I know someone wrote and that someone
signed his name as LPN to the letter, becuase of the states shield laws
that is all I can be told. I make the reasonable inference that Stevie
wrote the letter.

and the BoN rep agreed that was the reasonable inference when I called
to make my intial contact
>
> > Since you and He are claiming he can't parctice medicine or nursing
> > over the USENET he must have made a false report to the State of
> > Michigan,

>
> Whoa! Hang on! Back up! Please show me where I'm made any such claim,
> Colonel Morgan. You and your supporter have stated that Steve was
> presribing medicine. I stated that he was not.

in the stuff als I cliped away scroll up in google
I never claimed he was precirbing I claimed he parcticing medicine

split hair all you like
>
> > ...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
> > suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
>
> Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
> credibility.

they might but not likely if I tell em about em up front
>
> > you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
> > in his posts being that he is an attonrney
>
> Are you trying to get Phil's license yanked?

nope nor making any allusion to such

I was pointing out the Licensed folks like Phil and Stevie have to be
more carefull than the rest of us
>
> >
> >>Why not go after me for
> >>practicing medicine without a license?
> >
> >
> > if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it
>
> Sure you will, Mark. Go blow hot air toward someone likely to be impressed.

firsdt make about 800 more post then we will see
>
> > if that is your desire you had better begin typing
>
> I'll type or not type as I choose, not as directed by you. You have no
> leverage whatever on me.

i do if you want me to take a certain action

but if you want to be reported for practicing medicine without a
license you need to start some serious typing

>
> >
> >
> >> I still maintain, by the way,

> >>that I've made a correct diagnosis.
> >
> >
> > but real doctors know you are wrong
>
> There is no factual evidence to support your claim.

none presented for your either

>
> YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.

you foul it with your bigoted lying postings
>
> Dave K8MN

# 650. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 04:59:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131425956.560854.195640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131421755.608204.247250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut
> >> Jim would send and send and send. Never understanding that Morse Code
> >> is NOT a universal language.
> >
> > nah you are both wrong the Aliens would never notice a signal was being
> > sent esp by those old timers sending badly sent morse code ust to trip
> > a code reader unit
> >
> > after in Indenpendance Day they managed to send the CW under the aliens
> > noses, that movie is still the best and most likely case I have yet
> > seen for Morse Code saving the world
> >
>
> That and the movie "The Poseidon Adventure". They tapped out code on the
> belly of an inverted ocean liner to people on the outside. Pure folly.

Mr Riddle your have that wrong I know the movie well (unless you are
refering to something out a new movie I saw an ad for) no morse code
usd in the shaft alley just banging away till they were ehard by the
rescurers on the hull

far fecthed though i agree

## 651. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 05:34:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yNWbf.1434$Id6.997@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123216

```
an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>>
>
> cut
>
>
>>>>That's nice.
>>>
>>>
>>>no it isn't nice
>>>
>>>it is abusive
>>
>>That's nice.
>
>
> more lies is it Dave or Stevie posting
>
>
>
>> I think Brian has a perpetual chip on his shoulder but I
>>don't think he need medication. I think you're a different story. If
>>you aren't on medication, you should be. If you are, you're taking too
>>much.
>
>
> proving that you don't know what you are talking about but then we all
> kew that
>
> cut
>
>>>>That's going to make it a little hard for you to contact my board and to
>>>>try to cause me to lose my job, isn't it?
>>>
>>>
>>>which is why I look into others ways of dealing with you
>>
>>I want to wish you the best of luck. If you've decided to continue
>>fouling the newsgroup, you'll likely have to deal with me. Get used to it.
```

>
>
> Stevie and you and some of the annymouses are the ones fouling the
> newsgruop with thier shit
>
>
>>>Stevie has a license it can be revoked according the BoN for "lying in
>>>medcial matters"
>>
>>I'm betting that all anyone on that board will have to see is a few of
>>your past posts and that stuff will be out the window. You have issues,
>>some of which are in plain view.
>
>
> My issues will tell them that Stevie has the right to say Todd's afther
> has AIDS?
>
> bullshit
>
>
>>>you don't have such license so different standards apply (for that
>>>matter different stanrds apply to me too becuase of that)
>>
>>Unlike you, Mark, there's nothing in my past which is likely to come
>>back to bite me. You have documented issues.
>
>
> I have nothing to come back and bite me. nothing at all.
>
> you folks have dug and dug
>
> you have found what?
>
> That I am bisexual? agreed
> that I am kinky? agreed
> That I will lie to protect my safety? agreed
>
> Stevie Does it seems plain by his constans threat of elony charges and
> civil action and talk of comitment even he knows he has trouble at some
> level
>
>
>>>In addition you have written to the State of Michigan siging the letter
>>>Dave Heil LPN...
>>
>>That is absolutely false, Colonel.
>
>
> sorry you are right that was my mistake I meant to type you have not
> done so

>
> mea culpa
>
>
>>>...where accoridng to Stveie he has written that state of
>>>Michigan signing it Steve Robeson LPN (or what ever he in fact signed)
>>
>>So, you don't know that he wrote and don't know what he signed or don't
>>know if he phone. Yep, quite a situation you've got going.
>
>
> I know he claimed he wrote. I know someone wrote and that someone
> signed his name as LPN to the letter, becuase of the states shield laws
> that is all I can be told. I make the reasonable inference that Stevie
> wrote the letter.
>
> and the BoN rep agreed that was the reasonable inference when I called
> to make my intial contact
>
>>>Since you and He are claiming he can't parctice medicine or nursing
>>>over the USENET he must have made a false report to the State of
>>>Michigan,
>>
>>Whoa! Hang on! Back up! Please show me where I'm made any such claim,
>>Colonel Morgan. You and your supporter have stated that Steve was
>>presribing medicine. I stated that he was not.
>
>
> in the stuff als I cliped away scroll up in google
> I never claimed he was precirbing I claimed he parcticing medicine

> split hair all you like

Brian made the statement and you commented on it.

Brian Burke:

"But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
diagnosis."

You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
medicine, did you?

How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?

>>>...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
>>>suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
>>

>>Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
>>credibility.
>
>
> they might but not likely if I tell em about em up front

They might not? Do you really believe that? Your past fabrications
regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics, your
ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?

>>>you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
>>>in his posts being that he is an attonrney
>>
>>Are you trying to get Phil's license yanked?

> nope nor making any allusion to such

> I was pointing out the Licensed folks like Phil and Stevie have to be
> more carefull than the rest of us

I have a friend who is a licensed plumber. I'll be sure to tell him to
watch his P's and Q's when posting here, lest you attempt to have his
license revoked.

>>>>Why not go after me for
>>>>practicing medicine without a license?
>>>
>>>
>>>if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it
>>
>>Sure you will, Mark. Go blow hot air toward someone likely to be impressed.
>
>
> firsdt make about 800 more post then we will see

I'll post as I choose. You keep track of them and let me know when I've
exceeded the limit. Alternatively, you can reduce the number to five
and proceed next week. I'm telling you now that I don't care what
silliness you pull.

>>>if that is your desire you had better begin typing
>>
>>I'll type or not type as I choose, not as directed by you. You have no
>>leverage whatever on me.
>
>
> i do if you want me to take a certain action

You don't get it, Colonel: Take any action your little perverted heart
desires. Write my mommy. Write my draft board. Contact the Today
Show. Telephone Oprah. Your threats are empty.

> but if you want to be reported for practicing medicine without a
> license you need to start some serious typing

Take two peach pits and call me in the morning.

>
>>>
>>>>I still maintain, by the way,
>>>>that I've made a correct diagnosis.
>>>
>>>
>>>but real doctors know you are wrong
>>
>>There is no factual evidence to support your claim.
>
>
> none presented for your either

You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.

>
>>YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
>
>
> you foul it with your bigoted lying postings

If you'll look to some of the material which appears above, you'll find
at least two lies spouted by you. As to the accusations of bigotry on
my part, deal with it. I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
I've seen evidence of your frequent lying. You've shrouded yourself
in victimhood and you want a free ride in life. You blame all of your
numerous problems on others.

Now, see if you can have me fired.

Dave K8MN

# 652. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 06:00:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131429658.040105.216370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123217

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> > in the stuff als I cliped away scroll up in google
> > I never claimed he was precirbing I claimed he parcticing medicine
>
> > split hair all you like
>
> Brian made the statement and you commented on it.
>
> Brian Burke:
>
> "But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
> prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> diagnosis."
>
> You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
> medicine, did you?

no I did not

nor do I feel there is anything to correct

so why should try

I sated that I never used accused him of presribing, I happen to think
a more proper choice is to say that he acted unethicalin medial matter
which as happens is the words of the regs that Govern Stevie's LPN
status
>
> How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?

no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
became your spliting of hairs
>
> >>>...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
> >>>suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
> >>
> >>Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
> >>credibility.
> >
> >

> > they might but not likely if I tell em about em up front
>
> They might not?

indeed they might not

> Do you really believe that?

yes

>Your past fabrications
> regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,

what lies on other topics?

my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
to the DoD no action was taken

by 7 yars of silence from the DoD I can legaly assume the assent of the
DoD and the FBI to that

I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago

> your
> ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?

the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules

>
> >>>you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
> >>>in his posts being that he is an attonrney
> >>
> >>Are you trying to get Phil's license yanked?
>
> > nope nor making any allusion to such
>
> > I was pointing out the Licensed folks like Phil and Stevie have to be
> > more carefull than the rest of us
>
> I have a friend who is a licensed plumber. I'll be sure to tell him to
> watch his P's and Q's when posting here, lest you attempt to have his
> license revoked.

if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will

>
> >>>>Why not go after me for
> >>>>practicing medicine without a license?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it
> >>

> >>Sure you will, Mark. Go blow hot air toward someone likely to be impressed.
> >
> >
> > firsdt make about 800 more post then we will see
>
> I'll post as I choose. You keep track of them and let me know when I've
> exceeded the limit. Alternatively, you can reduce the number to five
> and proceed next week. I'm telling you now that I don't care what
> silliness you pull.

np I'll let you know

>
> >>>if that is your desire you had better begin typing
> >>
> >>I'll type or not type as I choose, not as directed by you. You have no
> >>leverage whatever on me.
> >
> >
> > i do if you want me to take a certain action
>
> You don't get it, Colonel: Take any action your little perverted heart
> desires. Write my mommy.

you momy is alive what is her address I'll be only to happy to tell her
what a hate filled bigot her son has turned into

>Write my draft board. Contact the Today
> Show. Telephone Oprah. Your threats are empty.
>
> > but if you want to be reported for practicing medicine without a
> > license you need to start some serious typing
>
> Take two peach pits and call me in the morning.
>
> >
> >>>
> >>>>I still maintain, by the way,
> >>>>that I've made a correct diagnosis.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>but real doctors know you are wrong
> >>
> >>There is no factual evidence to support your claim.
> >
> >
> > none presented for your either
>
> You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
> up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.

becuase you can't make one

BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave
>
> >
> >>YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
> >
> >
> > you foul it with your bigoted lying postings
>
> If you'll look to some of the material which appears above, you'll find
> at least two lies spouted by you.

not a one Dave

> As to the accusations of bigotry on
> my part, deal with it.

I will of course

> I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.

what sexual perverity?

> I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.

really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
there for you if you seek it

not sure if you need an Optical felow or MD or an MSSW

> You've shrouded yourself
> in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.

another lie on your part

> You blame all of your
> numerous problems on others.

another lie Dave

>
> Now, see if you can have me fired.

where do you work?

if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
contacting him and I promise to look into it

>
> Dave K8MN

## 653. Re: Brian and Markie Stretching Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 06:27:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131431228.719729.267000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123218

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 5 Nov 2005 15:22:49 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> cut> >
> > > noted meaning unless you are lying you have no objection to my taking
> > > my proposed action
> > >
> > Oh, I have objections, alright, however you DO have your legal
> > right to file whatever "complaint" you feel you want to do so long as
> > it's not filed maliciously.
>
> make up your mind please

It is made up.

You're nuts.

> > However there are consequences for complaints filed maliciously.
> >
> > Your lengthy pontifications in this NG to do just that establishes
> > that malicious intent, Markie. So have at it...Makes things easier for
> > me in the long run.
>
> what kind of dreck is that

It's hardly "dreck".

You ahve provided me with YEARS of stuff here that will establish
your MALICIOUS intent in your "complaint", Markie.

> > I have other posts of yours archived on CD with your alleged
> > knowledge of what constitutes proper prescribing authority.
> > Ironically, it was a post wherein you made a similar comment and I
> > questioned YOUR "medical" credentials.
> >
> > So...be my guest...It's a CD-RW, so it's easy to add.
>
> I am supposed to be concerned that you can store data on a CD?

You should be. The "data" stored is about you.

Steve, K4YZ

## 654. Another Baseless BrainRant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 06:34:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131431658.480011.103710@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123219

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:

> > You're welcome to try and document this as an attempt to
> > "prescribe". I'll offer the BoN my congratualtions on reserving thier
> > laughter now.....
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> "their"
>
> Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up
> and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying
> like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.

You did make a diagnosis.

> But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> professional opinion.

And here YOU are clearly making a fool out of yourself by
demonstrating your lack of understanding of what constitutes a
"prescription".

You make this easier and easier, Brain(less).

> When you step outside your medical authority and
> prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> diagnosis.

No prescription was made.

Nioce rant, though.

> You're such a two-faced little skunk.

Nope. But you've once again demonstraed that you've extended
yourself into yet another discipline for which you ahve no knowledge or
experience...

> Best of Luck with the board.

No sweat. With such "knowledgeable" guys such as yourself in his
corner, Markie looks all-the-more pitiful.

Thanks!

Steve, K4YZ

## 655. More Brain and Markie Stretching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 06:41:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131432060.566705.72490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123221

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 6 Nov 2005 08:11:37 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> > >Yep, he even used "professional" to describe that he wasn't just some
> > >yahoo, but a medical professional.
> >
> > and i hope that is what is going to nail with the nursing board
>
> Nothing you or me say is going to sway the nurses board.

Unintentional, I am sure, but finally a modicum of sanity
displayed by one of the Feeble Five.

> Steve will have to dissemble his own entrenching tool an bury his own
> sorry ### (like that Marine has ever used an entrenching tool).

"disassemble" "and"

Yes, I have. More than once.

> God knows he stinks and need burying. God give him the strength.

A THREAT! A THREAT! I'M BEING STALKED! CALL THE COPS! WHOA IS
ME!

> Jim and Mike say there is no God, so we might have to suffer Tennessee
> Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN's stench for a long time to come. Like
> that donkey in Mogadishu.

I thought they sent the donkey to Pickering, OH...?!?! Seems so
from the posts lately.

Steve, K4YZ

## 656. Re: Brian and Markie Stretching Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 06:42:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131432179.251724.272640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123222

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > On 5 Nov 2005 15:22:49 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > cut> >
> > > > > noted meaning unless you are lying you have no objection to my taking
> > > > > my proposed action
> > > >
> > > > Oh, I have objections, alright, however you DO have your legal
> > > > right to file whatever "complaint" you feel you want to do so long as
> > > > it's not filed maliciously.
> > >
> > make up your mind please
>
> It is made up.
>
> You're nuts.

How does my being nuts, if that were true jusitify you saying Todd's
father has Aids
>
> > > However there are consequences for complaints filed maliciously.
> > >
> > > Your lengthy pontifications in this NG to do just that establishes
> > > that malicious intent, Markie. So have at it...Makes things easier for
> > > me in the long run.
> >
> > what kind of dreck is that
>
> It's hardly "dreck".

it is dreck
>
> You ahve provided me with YEARS of stuff here that will establish
> your MALICIOUS intent in your "complaint", Markie.

nope it takes more than proving I dislike you to prove that Stvie

but you contiue to threaten
>
> > > I have other posts of yours archived on CD with your alleged

> > > knowledge of what constitutes proper prescribing authority.
> > > Ironically, it was a post wherein you made a similar comment and I
> > > questioned YOUR "medical" credentials.
> > >
> > > So...be my guest...It's a CD-RW, so it's easy to add.
> >
> > I am supposed to be concerned that you can store data on a CD?
>
> You should be. The "data" stored is about you.

there is nothing in it for me to afraid of

there can't be

unless you are forging posts in there

but even that is something I hardly need to fear
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 657. You Still Don't Get It Markie.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 06:49:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131432569.699398.310880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123223

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 6 Nov 2005 08:11:37 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > >> > > Steve Robeson
> > > >> > > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
> > > >> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > >> > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> > > >> > > Date: 2000/05/30
> > > >> > > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > >> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > > >> > > | Report Abuse
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > >> > > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
> > > >> > > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > >> > > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
> > > >> > > inadequate
> > > >> > > for you.<
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > Oooooooohhhhhh!
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
> > > >> > > placed that
> > > >> > > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
> > > >> > > and
> > > >> > > callsigns.
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > Steve
> > > >> > >
> > > >> > > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
> > > >> > > to fight
> > > >> > > in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)

> > > >> >
> > > >> > Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?
> > > >>
> > > >> so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion
> > > >>
> > > >> like you said Google provide
> > > >
> > > >Yep, he even used "professional" to describe that he wasn't just some
> > > >yahoo, but a medical professional.
> > >
> > > and i hope that is what is going to nail with the nursing board
> >
> > Nothing you or me say is going to sway the nurses board.
>
> you know what I don't think anything you or I will say will do it
> either, what I think will do it is Stevie own words pointed out to the
> BoN indeed all I will be doing is forwarding a set of posts looks like
> about 70 will make the cut to the BoN (not his bosses but the people
> that license him)

And YOU still don't get it...the Board of Nursing WILL be talking
to my employer. And when they conviene the hearing that they will be
required to do, there will be a literal laundry list of witnesses who
will testify that the "truth" is anything EXCEPT what you suggest.

And Markie...

I promise that not a single one of them will be former mental
health patients who consider lying to be "OK" or an admirable trait.

> > Steve will have to dissemble his own entrenching tool an bury his own
> > sorry ass (like that Marine has ever used an entrenching tool). God
> > knows he stinks and need burying. God give him the strength.
> >
> > Jim and Mike say there is no God, so we might have to suffer Tennessee
> > Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN's stench for a long time to come.
>
> I agree nothing I do with BoN will stop him from spreading his ##### all
> around here

The ##### is coming from Michigan...Not Tennessee.
>
> prehaps he will have to do so without the intials LPN though

The only way I'll "lose" that title, Markie, is when I transition
to RN or retire...

Steve, K4YZ

## 658. Re: Another Baseless BrainRant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 06:52:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131432779.576845.144950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123224

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > > You're welcome to try and document this as an attempt to
> > > "prescribe". I'll offer the BoN my congratualtions on reserving thier
> > > laughter now.....
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > "their"
> >
> > Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up
> > and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying
> > like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.
>
> You did make a diagnosis.

if that is him making a diagnosis I have dozens of cases in my files of
YOU making them something you claim you cannot LEGALY do
>
> > But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> > by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> > professional opinion.
>
> And here YOU are clearly making a fool out of yourself by
> demonstrating your lack of understanding of what constitutes a
> "prescription".
>
> You make this easier and easier, Brain(less).

no he doesn't

I will be writing My report
>
> > When you step outside your medical authority and
> > prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> > jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> > diagnosis.
>
> No prescription was made.
>
> Nioce rant, though.
>

> > You're such a two-faced little skunk.
>
> Nope. But you've once again demonstraed that you've extended
> yourself into yet another discipline for which you ahve no knowledge or
> experience...
>
> > Best of Luck with the board.
>
> No sweat. With such "knowledgeable" guys such as yourself in his
> corner, Markie looks all-the-more pitiful.

I have your word in my file lots of your words

Indeed I will have far more of your words than my own or anybody else
>
> Thanks!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 659. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 06:55:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131432954.037069.298480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123225

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> > My diagnosis is that Mark is an irrational twit. I prescribe remedial
> > reading at a Special Education facility and a thirty-week, intensive
> > Morse Code training session for Colonel Morgan.
>
> but you don't have license for that Stevie claims he does

No I don't.

Why do you misquote people then pass it off as having been someone
elses words, Markie?

> and that he can do a a patient assement over the internet (his
> words,google provide) that he can make assement and cause dangerous
> stuff to be written in our files that will haunt us forever (or words
> that effect) all based on our posts in USENET and yet it is according
> to Stevie a FELONY to even report a concenr over wether he is bound to
> the rules published by the BoN about his activities

Markie...I did NOT say it's a felony for you to "report your
'concenr'..."

I said it's a felony to file a MALICIOUS report, which is what
will be found to have happened. Your previous posts herein
substantiate that.

> there is only one word for such a claim by Stevie and supported it
> seems by you
>
> BULL##### is the word

That's the word for your rantings here the last several days,
Markie.

Keep heaping it on!

Steve, K4YZ

# 660. Re: You Still Don't Get It Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 07:00:57 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131433257.782777.161810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123226

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
cut
> > you know what I don't think anything you or I will say will do it
> > either, what I think will do it is Stevie own words pointed out to the
> > BoN indeed all I will be doing is forwarding a set of posts looks like
> > about 70 will make the cut to the BoN (not his bosses but the people
> > that license him)
>
> And YOU still don't get it...the Board of Nursing WILL be talking
> to my employer. And when they conviene the hearing that they will be
> required to do, there will be a literal laundry list of witnesses who
> will testify that the "truth" is anything EXCEPT what you suggest.

what witness will you call to support your claim that Todd's father has
Aids

what witness will you call to suport your claim that I am documented
pathological liar

what witness will you call to support your claim that I have AIDS

what witness will you to support your claim Len has OCD

or what witness will you call to show you did not make these claims

>
> And Markie...
>
> I promise that not a single one of them will be former mental
> health patients who consider lying to be "OK" or an admirable trait.

how would even know ? unless you were accessing their record

BTW what witness will call to show I am a former mental patient

>
> > > Steve will have to dissemble his own entrenching tool an bury his own
> > > sorry ass (like that Marine has ever used an entrenching tool). God
> > > knows he stinks and need burying. God give him the strength.
> > >
> > > Jim and Mike say there is no God, so we might have to suffer Tennessee
> > > Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN's stench for a long time to come.
> >
> > I agree nothing I do with BoN will stop him from spreading his shit all

> > around here
>
> The shit is coming from Michigan...Not Tennessee.

worng again

you smell it so clearly it must bein your yard or perhaps even your
home or your chiar

the smell of shit does not travel that far Stevie
> >
> > prehaps he will have to do so without the intials LPN though
>
> The only way I'll "lose" that title, Markie, is when I transition
> to RN or retire...

or get it revoked or of course die Not threatening to kill you but
completing your thought for you
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 661. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 07:06:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131433605.573367.30040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123227

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up
> > >>>and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying
> > >>>like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.
> > >>>
> > >>>But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> > >>>by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> > >>>professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
> > >>>prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> > >>>jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> > >>>diagnosis.
> > >>
> > >>If my doctor posts to r.r.a.p. and advises me to take blood pressure
> > >>medicine, he isn't prescribing medication. If my doctor shows up here
> > >>and demands that I take blook pressure medicine, he isn't prescribing
> > >>medicine. If my doc hands me a signed prescription for blood pressure
> > >>medication or telephones a prescription to my pharmacy, he has
> > >>prescribed medicine.
> > >
> > > why does that only to Stevie on your doctor
> >
> > Your words do not resemble a sentence. Try again to produce a coherent
> > thought.
> >
> > > according to Stevie if I or Brain advise that someone needs meds Stevie
> > > charges in and says we are presribing and doing all sorts of nefarious
> > > deeds
> >
> > That's nice.
>
> no it isn't nice

Sure it is.

> it is abusive

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...Here we go....More "I don't like it therefore
it's "abuse" rantings.

More pitty-seeking "I Am A Victim" rantings.

> > >>You need to get a grip on reality.
> >
> > >>My diagnosis is that Mark is an irrational twit. I prescribe remedial
> > >>reading at a Special Education facility and a thirty-week, intensive
> > >>Morse Code training session for Colonel Morgan.
> >
> >
> > > but you don't have license for that Stevie claims he does
> >
> > That's going to make it a little hard for you to contact my board and to
> > try to cause me to lose my job, isn't it?
>
> which is why I look into others ways of dealing with you

There we go...A verbalized intent to cause harm.

> Stevie has a license it can be revoked according the BoN for "lying in
> medcial matters"

"medical"

There's not a single thing in ANY of these exchanges that even
remotely approaches a censurable cause, Markie...But you go right
ahead...Get your "complaint" 'on the record'.

> you don't have such license so different standards apply (for that
> matter different stanrds apply to me too becuase of that)

No, thye don't.

> In addition you have written to the State of Michigan siging the letter
> Dave Heil LPN where accoridng to Stveie he has written that state of
> Michigan signing it Steve Robeson LPN (or what ever he in fact signed)

You don;t know, do you?

> Since you and He are claiming he can't parctice medicine or nursing
> over the USENET he must have made a false report to the State of
> Michigan, which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
> suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website

There's not a single thing on the "TN BoN website" that says
anything of the sort...

> you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
> in his posts being that he is an attonrney
>
> > Why not go after me for
> > practicing medicine without a license?
>
> if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it

Oh? So the number of posts made is somehow a "threshold" for
filing malicous complaints?

That having been said, you'd better address a "complaint"
vis-a-vis Lennie and Brain, too...

> if that is your desire you had better begin typing

Ditto.

> > I still maintain, by the way,
> > that I've made a correct diagnosis.
>
> but real doctors know you are wrong

If you were under the care of any "real doctors", you'd most
likely not have access to a computer that has Internet access,
Markie...

Steve, K4YZ

## 662. Mark Morgan Acknowledges That He Has "No Factual Evidence"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 07:30:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131435036.056445.31240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123228

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> cut
>
> > >>That's nice.
> > >
> > > no it isn't nice
> > >
> > > it is abusive
> >
> > That's nice.
>
> more lies is it Dave or Stevie posting

Was that a sentence?

> > I think Brian has a perpetual chip on his shoulder but I
> > don't think he need medication. I think you're a different story. If
> > you aren't on medication, you should be. If you are, you're taking too
> > much.
>
> proving that you don't know what you are talking about but then we all
> kew that

"knew".

No, "we" didn't.

However Dave's hit it on the head.

> cut
> > >>That's going to make it a little hard for you to contact my board and to
> > >>try to cause me to lose my job, isn't it?
> > >
> > >
> > > which is why I look into others ways of dealing with you
> >
> > I want to wish you the best of luck. If you've decided to continue
> > fouling the newsgroup, you'll likely have to deal with me. Get used to it.
>
> Stevie and you and some of the annymouses are the ones fouling the
> newsgruop with thier #####

"anonymouses" "newsgroup"

In the strictest sense, YOU are an "anonymouse", Markie, since you
refuse to sign your posts...Some of us just happened to know who you
were from your fractured English, poor comprehension, and inadequate
grammatical application.

> > > Stevie has a license it can be revoked according the BoN for "lying in
> > > medcial matters"
> >
> > I'm betting that all anyone on that board will have to see is a few of
> > your past posts and that stuff will be out the window. You have issues,
> > some of which are in plain view.
>
> My issues will tell them that Stevie has the right to say Todd's afther
> has AIDS?
>
> bull#####

And we'll just slip YOUR post in there about "definitions",
Markie.

> > > you don't have such license so different standards apply (for that
> > > matter different stanrds apply to me too becuase of that)
> >
> > Unlike you, Mark, there's nothing in my past which is likely to come
> > back to bite me. You have documented issues.
>
> I have nothing to come back and bite me. nothing at all.

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> you folks have dug and dug
>
> you have found what?
>
> That I am bisexual? agreed

That you are a deviant.

> that I am kinky? agreed

That you are a liar.

> That I will lie to protect my safety? agreed

That you lie to protect your stories and attempt to facilitate
your rants.

> Stevie Does it seems plain by his constans threat of elony charges and
> civil action and talk of comitment even he knows he has trouble at some
> level

More random words (and I am liberal with the adjective "words")
desperately in search of order and meaning, Markie.

Try again.

> > > In addition you have written to the State of Michigan siging the letter
> > > Dave Heil LPN...
> >
> > That is absolutely false, Colonel.
>
> sorry you are right that was my mistake I meant to type you have not
> done so
>
> mea culpa

You're culpable on more levels than you will admit, Markie.

> > > ...where accoridng to Stveie he has written that state of
> > > Michigan signing it Steve Robeson LPN (or what ever he in fact signed)
> >
> > So, you don't know that he wrote and don't know what he signed or don't
> > know if he phone. Yep, quite a situation you've got going.
>
> I know he claimed he wrote. I know someone wrote and that someone
> signed his name as LPN to the letter, becuase of the states shield laws
> that is all I can be told. I make the reasonable inference that Stevie
> wrote the letter.

You're making it up as you go, Markie.

> and the BoN rep agreed that was the reasonable inference when I called
> to make my intial contact

The Board cannot censure on an "inference", Markie...Only
documented or properly attested to witnessed complaints of misconduct.

You can wench and whine about what I have to say in this forum all
you want, but none of it manifests a violation of the BoN's rules and
regulations...

But you go right on ahead thinking otherwise if it makes you feel
good.........

> > > Since you and He are claiming he can't parctice medicine or nursing
> > > over the USENET he must have made a false report to the State of
> > > Michigan,
> >
> > Whoa! Hang on! Back up! Please show me where I'm made any such claim,
> > Colonel Morgan. You and your supporter have stated that Steve was
> > presribing medicine. I stated that he was not.
>
> in the stuff als I cliped away scroll up in google
> I never claimed he was precirbing I claimed he parcticing medicine

"In" "clipped" "prescribing" "practicing"

And I'll be danged if I know what "als" was supposed to be.

> split hair all you like

There was no "hair" to split, Markie.

> > > ...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
> > > suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
> >
> > Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
> > credibility.
>
> they might but not likely if I tell em about em up front

Oh be my guest! Because I certainly am going to! Along with about
2 reams of paper documenting your wilfull deceit and lying, Markie.

> > > you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
> > > in his posts being that he is an attonrney
> >
> > Are you trying to get Phil's license yanked?
>
> nope nor making any allusion to such
>
> I was pointing out the Licensed folks like Phil and Stevie have to be
> more carefull than the rest of us

I am.

And so far you haven't got a leg to stand on.

> > >>Why not go after me for
> > >>practicing medicine without a license?
> > >
> > >
> > > if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it
> >
> > Sure you will, Mark. Go blow hot air toward someone likely to be impressed.
>
> firsdt make about 800 more post then we will see

"First"

What's with you and this "8000" number thing?

> > > if that is your desire you had better begin typing
> >
> > I'll type or not type as I choose, not as directed by you. You have no
> > leverage whatever on me.
>
> i do if you want me to take a certain action

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!

Geeze, Markie!

Before long, you'll have the whole dang world in court!

> but if you want to be reported for practicing medicine without a
> license you need to start some serious typing

I am sure that was MEANT to mean something, but....WHAT...?!?!

> > >> I still maintain, by the way,
> > >>that I've made a correct diagnosis.
> > >
> > >
> > > but real doctors know you are wrong
> >
> > There is no factual evidence to support your claim.
>
> none presented for your either

AND HERE WE HAVE IT!

Markie acknowledging that he has NO FACTUAL EVIDENCE!

> > YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
>
> you foul it with your bigoted lying postings

Ah yes...Use those "pressure point" words, Markie...! "He's a
bigot! He's a bigot!"

Stir that sympathy pot as fast and as furiously as you can!

Steve, K4YZ

# 663. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 07:42:20 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <Pu-dnaHQOqmg1e3enZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123220

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> Now, see if you can have me fired.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

You are dealing with a totally paranoid retard.

## 664. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 07:49:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131436162.576801.320730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123229

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
cut
> > > That's nice.
> > >
> > no it isn't nice
> >
> Sure it is.
>
> > it is abusive
> >
> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...Here we go....More "I don't like it therefore
> it's "abuse" rantings.

another lie
cut
> > > That's going to make it a little hard for you to contact my board and to
> > > try to cause me to lose my job, isn't it?
> > >
> > which is why I look into others ways of dealing with you
> >
> There we go...A verbalized intent to cause harm.

no such statement made deal with doesnot equate to harm

more stevie lie
>
> > Stevie has a license it can be revoked according the BoN for "lying in
> > medcial matters"
> >
> "medical"
>
> There's not a single thing in ANY of these exchanges that even
> remotely approaches a censurable cause, Markie...But you go right
> ahead...Get your "complaint" 'on the record'.

then why are you so worried
>
> > you don't have such license so different standards apply (for that
> > matter different stanrds apply to me too becuase of that)
> >
> No, thye don't.

can't spell "they" stevie?

but very diffeent standard apply to me than to you as a nurse stevie

>
> > In addition you have written to the State of Michigan siging the letter
> > Dave Heil LPN where accoridng to Stveie he has written that state of
> > Michigan signing it Steve Robeson LPN (or what ever he in fact signed)
>
> You don;t know, do you?

I have your word on it

or were you lying?
>
> > Since you and He are claiming he can't parctice medicine or nursing
> > over the USENET he must have made a false report to the State of
> > Michigan, which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
> > suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
>
> There's not a single thing on the "TN BoN website" that says
> anything of the sort...

wrong again
>
> > you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
> > in his posts being that he is an attonrney
> >
> > > Why not go after me for
> > > practicing medicine without a license?
> >
> > if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it
>
> Oh? So the number of posts made is somehow a "threshold" for
> filing malicous complaints?

no malicous complaint has been filed or is remotely being considered
Stevie
unless you you are making one
>
> That having been said, you'd better address a "complaint"
> vis-a-vis Lennie and Brain, too...

for what?

neither Brain or Len has done anything I find offensive or remotely
dishonest
>
> > if that is your desire you had better begin typing
>
> Ditto.
>
> > > I still maintain, by the way,
> > > that I've made a correct diagnosis.

> >
> > but real doctors know you are wrong
>
> If you were under the care of any "real doctors", you'd most
> likely not have access to a computer that has Internet access,
> Markie...

how do you explain I am under a doctors Care for diabetes, alergies and
ocasionaly the flu

A clear lie from you about medical matters violation of the rules as
I read them

keep racking them up for me Stevie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 665. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 07:55:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131436516.931841.6210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123230

nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> cut
> > > in the stuff als I cliped away scroll up in google
> > > I never claimed he was precirbing I claimed he parcticing medicine
> >
> > > split hair all you like
> >
> > Brian made the statement and you commented on it.
> >
> > Brian Burke:
> >
> > "But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> > by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> > professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
> > prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> > jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> > diagnosis."
> >
> > You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
> > medicine, did you?
>
> no I did not
>
> nor do I feel there is anything to correct
>
> so why should try

But that's NOT what you said in OTHER posts, Markie!

> I sated that I never used accused him of presribing, I happen to think
> a more proper choice is to say that he acted unethicalin medial matter
> which as happens is the words of the regs that Govern Stevie's LPN
> status

"stated" "prescribing" "unethically"

Good luck, Colonel!

There's not a single word in anything I've said that violates
either the Nurse Practice Act >>OR<< the Medical Practice Act of the
State of Tennessee...or any OTHER state, for THAT matter!

> > How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
>
> no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
> became your spliting of hairs

Yes, a very OBVIOUS "imprecision" occured!

> > >>>...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
> > >>>suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
> > >>
> > >>Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
> > >>credibility.
> > >
> > >
> > > they might but not likely if I tell em about em up front
> >
> > They might not?
>
> indeed they might not
>
> > Do you really believe that?
>
> yes

Then you're even more confused than you first appeared to be....

> >Your past fabrications
> > regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,
>
> what lies on other topics?
>
> my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
> enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
> to the DoD no action was taken

Lying about being a Field Grade commissioned officer is NOT legal
under ANY circumstances, save perhaps for obvious theatrical
performances.

Your further compounding of your lie about that with your lie
about "The FBI told me it was legal" makes the whole thing even more
laughable as you re-tell it!

> by 7 yars of silence from the DoD I can legaly assume the assent of the
> DoD and the FBI to that

No, you cannot "legaly assume" anything of the sort.

> I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago

And they ALSO get people "confessing" to be JFK's assassin, to
have been abducted by aliens and hundreds of other crank and obviously
mentally inadequate states of mind ever year.

No doubt you made the list.

That you would "call and confess" only made you look more idiotic!

Thanks!

> > your
> > ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?
>
> the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
> my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules

Hot flash, Hot Rod...No prosecutable act of discrimination has
occured.

You've not been "denied" a seconds worth of "free speech" here, nor
have you been denied the vote, kept from health care (indeed I think
you are more in need than most) nor have you been impeded from one
inch's worth of unfettered travel or free movement.

Good luck proving "discrimination".

> > >>>you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
> > >>>in his posts being that he is an attonrney
> > >>
> > >>Are you trying to get Phil's license yanked?
> >
> > > nope nor making any allusion to such
> >
> > > I was pointing out the Licensed folks like Phil and Stevie have to be
> > > more carefull than the rest of us
> >
> > I have a friend who is a licensed plumber. I'll be sure to tell him to
> > watch his P's and Q's when posting here, lest you attempt to have his
> > license revoked.
>
> if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will

It get's deeper and deeper.

The liar threatening action against others for "lying":....

> > >>>>Why not go after me for
> > >>>>practicing medicine without a license?
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it
> > >>
> > >>Sure you will, Mark. Go blow hot air toward someone likely to be impressed.
> > >
> > >
> > > firsdt make about 800 more post then we will see
> >
> > I'll post as I choose. You keep track of them and let me know when I've
> > exceeded the limit. Alternatively, you can reduce the number to five
> > and proceed next week. I'm telling you now that I don't care what

> > silliness you pull.
>
> np I'll let you know
>
> >
> > >>>if that is your desire you had better begin typing
> > >>
> > >>I'll type or not type as I choose, not as directed by you. You have no
> > >>leverage whatever on me.
> > >
> > >
> > > i do if you want me to take a certain action
> >
> > You don't get it, Colonel: Take any action your little perverted heart
> > desires. Write my mommy.
>
> you momy is alive what is her address I'll be only to happy to tell her
> what a hate filled bigot her son has turned into

"Mommy"

The "hate filled bigot" is in Michigan...Not West Virginia.

> >Write my draft board. Contact the Today
> > Show. Telephone Oprah. Your threats are empty.
> >
> > > but if you want to be reported for practicing medicine without a
> > > license you need to start some serious typing
> >
> > Take two peach pits and call me in the morning.
> >
> > >
> > >>>
> > >>>>I still maintain, by the way,
> > >>>>that I've made a correct diagnosis.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>but real doctors know you are wrong
> > >>
> > >>There is no factual evidence to support your claim.
> > >
> > >
> > > none presented for your either
> >
> > You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
> > up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.
>
> becuase you can't make one

But you claim >>I<< have, Markie!

> BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave

But YOU have refered to YOURSELF as a Colonel, Colonel.

> > >>YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
> > >
> > > you foul it with your bigoted lying postings
> >
> > If you'll look to some of the material which appears above, you'll find
> > at least two lies spouted by you.
>
> not a one Dave

Yes...Several.

> > As to the accusations of bigotry on
> > my part, deal with it.
>
> I will of course

THAT would be a first.

> > I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
>
> what sexual perverity?

Geeze, Markie! You can't even quote a word in the very next
sentence!

> > I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.
>
> really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
> there for you if you seek it
>
> not sure if you need an Optical felow or MD or an MSSW

He needs none of the above.

> > You've shrouded yourself
> > in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.
>
> another lie on your part

Nope....Tons of posts attesting to same under any one of dozen or
more screen names you've used in the last eight years.

> > You blame all of your
> > numerous problems on others.
>
> another lie Dave

Nope.

Fact.

As you and Brain like to say..."Google provides".

> > Now, see if you can have me fired.
>
> where do you work?
>
> if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
> you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
> contacting him and I promise to look into it

A "promise" from you is like using a roll of toilet paper to dry up
Lake Erie.

Steve, K4YZ

## 666. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 08:11:18 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <56n0n1l3sndpim3jvoinp83jdt4g7n333g@4ax.com>
Source record: 123231
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

Ralph Mowery wrote:

>Also it
>should be required to have a ARRL handbook or equivilent in the shack.
>Would not even have to be a new one, but one 10 years old would be ok. Not
>that many would really read it but it could answer some simple questions.

My Handbook (not ARRL) is much older, but I wasn't aware of any change
in the physics in the interim. Why choose ten years? The Admiralty
handbook is still relevant - and can answer questions - even if the
units have changed. You appear to be a newcomer.

from
Aero Spike

## 667. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 08:17:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131437832.427854.235680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123232

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> cut
> > > > That's nice.
> > >
> > > no it isn't nice
> >
> > Sure it is.
> >
> > > it is abusive
> >
> > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh...Here we go....More "I don't like it therefore
> > it's "abuse" rantings.
>
> another lie

Nope.

Fact.

ARCHIVED fact.

> cut
> > > > That's going to make it a little hard for you to contact my board and to
> > > > try to cause me to lose my job, isn't it?
> > >
> > > which is why I look into others ways of dealing with you
> >
> > There we go...A verbalized intent to cause harm.
>
> no such statement made deal with doesnot equate to harm

Sure there's an intent to cause harm.

"...whcih is why I look into other ways of dealing with you..."

I read that as an intent to cause harm.

> more stevie lie

Nope.

> > > Stevie has a license it can be revoked according the BoN for "lying in
> > > medcial matters"
> >
> > "medical"
> >
> > There's not a single thing in ANY of these exchanges that even
> > remotely approaches a censurable cause, Markie...But you go right
> > ahead...Get your "complaint" 'on the record'.
>
> then why are you so worried

I'm not.

I'm laughing at you, Markie!

Oh, don't get me wrong...I have no doubt that you'd LIKE to cause
the damage you insist you're going to in here, and trust me when I say
I am ready for you regardless.

> > > you don't have such license so different standards apply (for that
> > > matter different stanrds apply to me too becuase of that)
> >
> > No, thye don't.
>
> can't spell "they" stevie?
>
> but very diffeent standard apply to me than to you as a nurse stevie

"different"

Only if you try to pass yourself off as a Nurse, Markie.

> > > In addition you have written to the State of Michigan siging the letter
> > > Dave Heil LPN where accoridng to Stveie he has written that state of
> > > Michigan signing it Steve Robeson LPN (or what ever he in fact signed)
> >
> > You don;t know, do you?
>
> I have your word on it
>
> or were you lying?

WHICH word? I never provided you a copy of ANY letter, Markie, let
alone a signed copy of anything.

And in the paragraph above, you don't even know for sure WHO sent
WHAT!

> > > Since you and He are claiming he can't parctice medicine or nursing
> > > over the USENET he must have made a false report to the State of
> > > Michigan, which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
> > > suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
> >
> > There's not a single thing on the "TN BoN website" that says

> > anything of the sort...
>
> wrong again

Nope.

> > > you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
> > > in his posts being that he is an attonrney
> > >
> > > > Why not go after me for
> > > > practicing medicine without a license?
> > >
> > > if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it
> >
> > Oh? So the number of posts made is somehow a "threshold" for
> > filing malicous complaints?
>
> no malicous complaint has been filed or is remotely being considered
> Stevie
> unless you you are making one

Sure it's being "remotely considered"....You've been pontificating
on it for days now...

> > That having been said, you'd better address a "complaint"
> > vis-a-vis Lennie and Brain, too...
>
> for what?

Sheesh.....

> neither Brain or Len has done anything I find offensive or remotely
> dishonest

Perhaps that's because you're cut from the same bolt of cloth,
Markie.

You've publically stated that you find lying to be a "normal" and
"moral" thing to do, ergo you WOULDN'T find "anything...offensive or
remotely dishonest".

That YOU don't "find" them such doesn't mean they aren't.......

> > > if that is your desire you had better begin typing
> >
> > Ditto.
> >
> > > > I still maintain, by the way,
> > > > that I've made a correct diagnosis.
> > >
> > > but real doctors know you are wrong
> >
> > If you were under the care of any "real doctors", you'd most

> > likely not have access to a computer that has Internet access,
> > Markie...
>
> how do you explain I am under a doctors Care for diabetes, alergies and
> ocasionaly the flu

There's a lot of things I can't explain about you, Markie...But
then I can't explain UFO sightings or the Theory of Relativity,
either...

> A clear lie from you about medical matters violation of the rules as
> I read them
>
> keep racking them up for me Stevie

Good luck using that as a censurable offense, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 668. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 08:20:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131438059.816189.91750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> U-Know-Who wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1131421755.608204.247250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> cut
> > >> Jim would send and send and send. Never understanding that Morse Code
> > >> is NOT a universal language.
> > >
> > > nah you are both wrong the Aliens would never notice a signal was being
> > > sent esp by those old timers sending badly sent morse code ust to trip
> > > a code reader unit
> > >
> > > after in Indenpendance Day they managed to send the CW under the aliens
> > > noses, that movie is still the best and most likely case I have yet
> > > seen for Morse Code saving the world
> > >
> >
> > That and the movie "The Poseidon Adventure". They tapped out code on the
> > belly of an inverted ocean liner to people on the outside. Pure folly.
>
> Mr Riddle your have that wrong I know the movie well (unless you are
> refering to something out a new movie I saw an ad for) no morse code
> usd in the shaft alley just banging away till they were ehard by the
> rescurers on the hull
>
> far fecthed though i agree

Why?

Countless reports from Pearl Harbor stated that such bangings were
heard from the hulls of various ships for days after the attacks. Some
resulted in rescues...All too many just faded away.

Steve, K4YZ

## 669. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 08:22:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131438144.001020.185650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123234

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > cut
> > > > in the stuff als I cliped away scroll up in google
> > > > I never claimed he was precirbing I claimed he parcticing medicine
> > >
> > > > split hair all you like
> > >
> > > Brian made the statement and you commented on it.
> > >
> > > Brian Burke:
> > >
> > > "But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> > > by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> > > professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
> > > prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> > > jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> > > diagnosis."
> > >
> > > You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
> > > medicine, did you?
> >
> > no I did not
> >
> > nor do I feel there is anything to correct
> >
> > so why should try
>
> But that's NOT what you said in OTHER posts, Markie!

I never said I felt there was anything to corect in any other post
Stevie
>
> > I sated that I never used accused him of presribing, I happen to think
> > a more proper choice is to say that he acted unethicalin medial matter
> > which as happens is the words of the regs that Govern Stevie's LPN
> > status
>
> "stated" "prescribing" "unethically"
>
> Good luck, Colonel!

>
> There's not a single word in anything I've said that violates
> either the Nurse Practice Act >>OR<< the Medical Practice Act of the
> State of Tennessee...or any OTHER state, for THAT matter!

it does violate the regs posted for LPN by the BoN of TN

>
> > > How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
> >
> > no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
> > became your spliting of hairs
>
> Yes, a very OBVIOUS "imprecision" occured!

where?

that I choose not to dispute that others may form different opinion
than mine?

>
> > > >>>...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
> > > >>>suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
> > > >>
> > > >>Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
> > > >>credibility.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > they might but not likely if I tell em about em up front
> > >
> > > They might not?
> >
> > indeed they might not
> >
> > > Do you really believe that?
> >
> > yes
>
> Then you're even more confused than you first appeared to be....

prehaps

obviously I don't think so but you may be right

>
> > >Your past fabrications
> > > regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,
> >
> > what lies on other topics?
> >
> > my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
> > enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
> > to the DoD no action was taken

>
> Lying about being a Field Grade commissioned officer is NOT legal
> under ANY circumstances, save perhaps for obvious theatrical
> performances.

as was the case those years ago

as I said it was clearly legal enough since the DoD did nothing in
response to your complaints

>
> Your further compounding of your lie about that with your lie
> about "The FBI told me it was legal" makes the whole thing even more
> laughable as you re-tell it!

no charges have been filed aginst me despite your reporting me to DoD

>
> > by 7 yars of silence from the DoD I can legaly assume the assent of the
> > DoD and the FBI to that
>
> No, you cannot "legaly assume" anything of the sort.

yes I can since they can no longer file charges, and never choose to
file them espite your infroming them

>
> > I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago
>
> And they ALSO get people "confessing" to be JFK's assassin, to
> have been abducted by aliens and hundreds of other crank and obviously
> mentally inadequate states of mind ever year.

so?

>
> No doubt you made the list.
>
> That you would "call and confess" only made you look more idiotic!

but it legaly would start the clock on the staute of limitations

>
> Thanks!

for what?

>
> > > your
> > > ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?
> >
> > the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
> > my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules
>

> Hot flash, Hot Rod...No prosecutable act of discrimination has
> occured.

agreed nor do I expect one to occour therefore I expect the State of TN
to ignore my sexuality as I said to Dave

if the State treats me with less credibility becuase of My sexuality
then a violation would occour but I don't expect that to happen
>
> You've not been "denied" a seconds worth of "free speech" here, nor
> have you been denied the vote, kept from health care (indeed I think
> you are more in need than most) nor have you been impeded from one
> inch's worth of unfettered travel or free movement.

never claimed any such thing
>
> Good luck proving "discrimination".

why I wasn't planing on trying to prove discrimnation (by the State of
TN) nor claiming any had occoured or was likely to occour

>
> > > >>>you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
> > > >>>in his posts being that he is an attonrney
> > > >>
> > > >>Are you trying to get Phil's license yanked?
> > >
> > > > nope nor making any allusion to such
> > >
> > > > I was pointing out the Licensed folks like Phil and Stevie have to be
> > > > more carefull than the rest of us
> > >
> > > I have a friend who is a licensed plumber. I'll be sure to tell him to
> > > watch his P's and Q's when posting here, lest you attempt to have his
> > > license revoked.
> >
> > if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will
>
> It get's deeper and deeper.
>
> The liar threatening action against others for "lying":....

why not? you do
>
> > > >>>>Why not go after me for
> > > >>>>practicing medicine without a license?
> > > >>>
> > > >>>
> > > >>>if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it
> > > >>
> > > >>Sure you will, Mark. Go blow hot air toward someone likely to be impressed.
> > > >

> > > >
> > > > firsdt make about 800 more post then we will see
> > >
> > > I'll post as I choose. You keep track of them and let me know when I've
> > > exceeded the limit. Alternatively, you can reduce the number to five
> > > and proceed next week. I'm telling you now that I don't care what
> > > silliness you pull.
> >
> > np I'll let you know
> >
> > >
> > > >>>if that is your desire you had better begin typing
> > > >>
> > > >>I'll type or not type as I choose, not as directed by you. You have no
> > > >>leverage whatever on me.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > i do if you want me to take a certain action
> > > >
> > > > You don't get it, Colonel: Take any action your little perverted heart
> > > > desires. Write my mommy.
> > >
> > > you momy is alive what is her address I'll be only to happy to tell her
> > > what a hate filled bigot her son has turned into
> >
> > "Mommy"
> >
> > The "hate filled bigot" is in Michigan...Not West Virginia.

I am sure there are hate igots in Michigan and WV and TN

I know dave is one of them from his words as are you
cut
> > > >>>
> > > > >>>but real doctors know you are wrong
> > > > >>
> > > > >>There is no factual evidence to support your claim.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > none presented for your either
> > > >
> > > > You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
> > > > up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.
> > >
> > > becuase you can't make one
> >
> > But you claim >>I<< have, Markie!

yes I do

but I was addresing Dave

Dave can't make one

get your attributions correct

>
> > BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave
>
> But YOU have refered to YOURSELF as a Colonel, Colonel.

you lying about that to?

>
> > > >>YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
> > > >
> > > > you foul it with your bigoted lying postings
> > >
> > > If you'll look to some of the material which appears above, you'll find
> > > at least two lies spouted by you.
> >
> > not a one Dave
>
> Yes...Several.

nope

>
> > > As to the accusations of bigotry on
> > > my part, deal with it.
> >
> > I will of course
>
> THAT would be a first.

nope I deal with it all the time

>
> > > I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
> >
> > what sexual perverity?
>
> Geeze, Markie! You can't even quote a word in the very next
> sentence!

but you evade the question while claerly understanding it

>
> > > I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.
> >
> > really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
> > there for you if you seek it
> >
> > not sure if you need an Optical felow or MD or an MSSW
>
> He needs none of the above.

I think he does

I think you need much the same help too
>
> > > You've shrouded yourself
> > > in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.
> > >
> > another lie on your part
>
> Nope....Tons of posts attesting to same under any one of dozen or
> more screen names you've used in the last eight years.

nope more Stevie lies

>
> > > You blame all of your
> > > numerous problems on others.
> > >
> > another lie Dave
>
> Nope.

yep
>
> Fact.
>
> As you and Brain like to say..."Google provides".

no
>
> > > Now, see if you can have me fired.
> > >
> > where do you work?
> > >
> > if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
> > you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
> > contacting him and I promise to look into it
>
> A "promise" from you is like using a roll of toilet paper to dry up
> Lake Erie.

not at all

if Dave wishes me to get him fired all he needs to do is amke it clear
that is what he wants give the means to contact his boss and I will get
to work on it

never promised to suceed but I will my attention

the same offer extend to you, if you WANT me to get you fired tell me
where you work the name of chian of supervisours and I will get to work
on it

I can be quite generous with such things when i please
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 670. Re: Can Markie Be Trusted With ANYthing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 08:38:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131439134.674961.240000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123235

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
> > > just an example of Stevie language If you knew Stevie LPN was making
> > > such a statement, and you were a pagan (or a muslim for that matter)
> > > would you trust him with your life?
> >
> > If they want the best chance for getting out of a bad situation,
> > they do.
>
> Wow! Steve is the best hope that a Pagan or Muslim can hope for.

Or Christian..or Hindu..or Buddhist...etc etc etc...and having a
heart attack or stroke, yeah...You'd want me by your side.

> > Since you mention Islam, Markie, do YOU know the Five Pillars of
> > Islam?
>
> Hmmm? The Five Pillars? Hmmm? Does Jim/N2EY know of the five pillars
> or does Jim/N2EY not know of the 5 pillars?

The question was directed at Jim. It was directed at Markie.

> For that matter. does Steve know of the Five Pillars?

Yep.

> Does a Five Pillars expert need to report to RRAP

Was that a question or a statement?

> Five Pillars Expert report to RRAP, thanks.

Sheesh, Brain. You and Markie are the ones making allusions that I
have no sensitivities towards others based upon their religions...Yet
all you can do is make fun of their religions...I at least have some
fundamental understanding of the framework of those religions.

Wassup with that?

> > > I would not esp when it isn't just the one statement
> >
> > That's because you're an idiot that can't seperate USENET from
> > "real life".
>
> Consider it "Real Web TV." ###hole.

Oh! THAT was MEANINGFUL! Poignant! Seperates the men from the
boys!

> > > Stevie you need to realize you are currently a NURSE and a PROFESSIONAL
> > > and a licensed one at that ,a nd therefore you need to be doing better
> > > and claener than alot of folks
> >
> > "and" "cleaner"
> >
> > And Markie, from a guy who claims to have a 248 IQ, a Bachelor's
> > degree and a "comfortable lifestyle", YOU need to be leading by
> > example, not making snide remarks about being "cleaner". Especially
> > after those "solid waste" remarks...To have even CONTEMPLATED them was
> > disgusting, and to have made them in public was absolutely assinine.
>
> "Putz" "liar" "deceitful" "coward" "homosexual" "pedophile"
>
> Talking about public remarks...

Yep. And not a one of them a profanity.

> > BTW, Markie, unless someone has "JEW" or "CHRISTIAN" or other
> > religious preference tatooed on their head, I never know what their
> > preferences are. I don't particularly care, either, unless it involves
> > human sacrifice.

> Liar.

Nope.

> You make it a point to call Len a JEWish "Putz."

Lennie IS a "putz". I've NEVER made any inference as to what
religion he may be. I don't think I'd care to know.......

The word "putz" comes from Hebrew.

Hebrew is a language, not a religion.

True, it is the dominant language OF persons of Judism, however
the language itself does not a Jew make any more than speaking Italian
makes you a Roman Catholic or speaking with a Southern drawl makes you
a Baptist.

> Mark a Homosexual Pagan.

Mark IS a homosexual Pagan. He's said so himself.

So where's the "lie", Brain...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 671. Re: Can Stevie be trusted to make professional treament of a pagan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 08:56:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131440186.837282.40440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123236

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
> > > > just an example of Stevie language If you knew Stevie LPN was making
> > > > such a statement, and you were a pagan (or a muslim for that matter)
> > > > would you trust him with your life?
> > >
> > > If they want the best chance for getting out of a bad situation,
> > > they do.
> >
> > Wow! Steve is the best hope that a Pagan or Muslim can hope for.
>
> Or Christian..or Hindu..or Buddhist...etc etc etc...and having a
> heart attack or stroke, yeah...You'd want me by your side.

obviously not Steve and you should look at that fact

niether I nor Brain would knowingly consent to you having any part in
our treatment in an ER or anywhere else

Len I suspect would agree although he has not rung in on this one

your attitude is so bad we don't trust you with pataint care

I would realy like to know where you work I'll promise not to call. I
want to know becuase I would insist on being taken someplace else
becuase you worked there

even at the risk of my life. I would never willing place it in your
hands
>
> > > Since you mention Islam, Markie, do YOU know the Five Pillars of
> > > Islam?
> >
> > Hmmm? The Five Pillars? Hmmm? Does Jim/N2EY know of the five pillars
> > or does Jim/N2EY not know of the 5 pillars?
>
> The question was directed at Jim.

why was it derected at Jim?

or is that another typo from the MMM

>It was directed at Markie.

indeed but it rather like asking you if you have stoped beating your
wife yet?

>
> > For that matter. does Steve know of the Five Pillars?
>
> Yep.
>
> > Does a Five Pillars expert need to report to RRAP
>
> Was that a question or a statement?

obviosly a question can't you see the question mark?

>
> > Five Pillars Expert report to RRAP, thanks.
>
> Sheesh, Brain. You and Markie are the ones making allusions that I
> have no sensitivities towards others based upon their religions...Yet
> all you can do is make fun of their religions...I at least have some
> fundamental understanding of the framework of those religions.

really? when you going to display it?

>
> Wassup with that?
>
> > > > I would not esp when it isn't just the one statement
> > >
> > > That's because you're an idiot that can't seperate USENET from
> > > "real life".
> >
> > Consider it "Real Web TV." ###hole.
>
> Oh! THAT was MEANINGFUL! Poignant! Seperates the men from the
> boys!

I should the men stay seperate from the boys at all times

>
> > > > Stevie you need to realize you are currently a NURSE and a PROFESSIONAL
> > > > and a licensed one at that ,a nd therefore you need to be doing better
> > > > and claener than alot of folks
> > >
> > > "and" "cleaner"
> > >
> > > And Markie, from a guy who claims to have a 248 IQ, a Bachelor's
> > > degree and a "comfortable lifestyle", YOU need to be leading by
> > > example, not making snide remarks about being "cleaner". Especially
> > > after those "solid waste" remarks...To have even CONTEMPLATED them was
> > > disgusting, and to have made them in public was absolutely assinine.
> >
> > "Putz" "liar" "deceitful" "coward" "homosexual" "pedophile"

> >
> > Talking about public remarks...
>
> Yep. And not a one of them a profanity.

so?

>
> > > BTW, Markie, unless someone has "JEW" or "CHRISTIAN" or other
> > > religious preference tatooed on their head, I never know what their
> > > preferences are. I don't particularly care, either, unless it involves
> > > human sacrifice.
>
> > Liar.
>
> Nope.

yep

>
> > You make it a point to call Len a JEWish "Putz."
>
> Lennie IS a "putz". I've NEVER made any inference as to what
> religion he may be. I don't think I'd care to know.......

hmm? I will have to see what Google has to say about that and get back
to you

my memory right off say you have but maybe I my memory is wrong

cuting the rant
>
> > Mark a Homosexual Pagan.
>
> Mark IS a homosexual Pagan. He's said so himself.

a stevie lie

I am a Bisexaul pagan

you can't even accept that I am of the sexual orientation that I am
without trying to lie about it
>
> So where's the "lie", Brain...?!?!

when you said homosexual and when you said I said i was one
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 672. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:02:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131438297.679932.193820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > U-Know-Who wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1131421755.608204.247250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > cut
> > > >> Jim would send and send and send. Never understanding that Morse Code
> > > >> is NOT a universal language.
> > > >
> > > > nah you are both wrong the Aliens would never notice a signal was being
> > > > sent esp by those old timers sending badly sent morse code ust to trip
> > > > a code reader unit
> > > >
> > > > after in Indenpendance Day they managed to send the CW under the aliens
> > > > noses, that movie is still the best and most likely case I have yet
> > > > seen for Morse Code saving the world
> > > >
> > >
> > > That and the movie "The Poseidon Adventure". They tapped out code on the
> > > belly of an inverted ocean liner to people on the outside. Pure folly.
> >
> > Mr Riddle your have that wrong I know the movie well (unless you are
> > refering to something out a new movie I saw an ad for) no morse code
> > usd in the shaft alley just banging away till they were ehard by the
> > rescurers on the hull
> >
> > far fecthed though i agree
>
> Why?
>
> Countless reports from Pearl Harbor stated that such bangings were
> heard from the hulls of various ships for days after the attacks. Some
> resulted in rescues...All too many just faded away.

ho many of them used morse signal that was the claim tapping out morse
never saw such a report if you want to show me a claim from the day
that morse coded tapping was used form decent source I'll admit the
calim but mere claims of tapping say nothing about morse code use in
that atpping
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 673. newsflash Stevei may have goten something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:03:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131440595.904458.64010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123238

went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish

can't any such reference

if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie

## 674. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:03:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131440625.939424.87650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123239

raped_an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > cut
> > > > > in the stuff als I cliped away scroll up in google
> > > > > I never claimed he was precirbing I claimed he parcticing medicine
> > > > >
> > > > > split hair all you like
> > > > >
> > > > Brian made the statement and you commented on it.
> > > > >
> > > > Brian Burke:
> > > > >
> > > > "But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> > > > by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> > > > professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
> > > > prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> > > > jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> > > > diagnosis."
> > > > >
> > > > You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
> > > > medicine, did you?
> > > >
> > > no I did not
> > >
> > > nor do I feel there is anything to correct
> > >
> > > so why should try
> >
> > But that's NOT what you said in OTHER posts, Markie!
>
> I never said I felt there was anything to corect in any other post
> Stevie

"correct"

But that's not what you suggested in the previous post.

> > > I sated that I never used accused him of presribing, I happen to think
> > > a more proper choice is to say that he acted unethicalin medial matter
> > > which as happens is the words of the regs that Govern Stevie's LPN
> > > status

> >
> > "stated" "prescribing" "unethically"
> >
> > Good luck, Colonel!
> >
> > There's not a single word in anything I've said that violates
> > either the Nurse Practice Act >>OR<< the Medical Practice Act of the
> > State of Tennessee...or any OTHER state, for THAT matter!
>
> it does violate the regs posted for LPN by the BoN of TN

Nope.

Keep dreaming, Markie.

> > > > How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
> > >
> > > no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
> > > became your spliting of hairs
> >
> > Yes, a very OBVIOUS "imprecision" occured!
>
> where?
>
> that I choose not to dispute that others may form different opinion
> than mine?

No, from your imprecison with the written word.

As for what may be a "different opinion" or what is just jibberish,
who's to tell?

> > > > >>>...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
> > > > >>>suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
> > > > >>
> > > > >>Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
> > > > >>credibility.
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > they might but not likely if I tell em about em up front
> > > >
> > > > They might not?
> > >
> > > indeed they might not
> > >
> > > > Do you really believe that?
> > >
> > > yes
> >
> > Then you're even more confused than you first appeared to be....
>
> prehaps

"perhaps"

No "prehaps" to it...You're confused.

> obviously I don't think so but you may be right

There's no "may be" to it.

> > > >Your past fabrications
> > > > regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,
> > > >
> > > what lies on other topics?
> > > >
> > > my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
> > > enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
> > > to the DoD no action was taken
> > >
> > Lying about being a Field Grade commissioned officer is NOT legal
> > under ANY circumstances, save perhaps for obvious theatrical
> > performances.
>
> as was the case those years ago
>
> as I said it was clearly legal enough since the DoD did nothing in
> response to your complaints

That the DoD has better things to do than intervene in a USENET
exchange is one thing.

People "break laws" every day without being cited or prosecuted
for them...But that does NOT legitimize their infractions.

> > Your further compounding of your lie about that with your lie
> > about "The FBI told me it was legal" makes the whole thing even more
> > laughable as you re-tell it!
>
> no charges have been filed aginst me despite your reporting me to DoD

Read above.

> > > by 7 yars of silence from the DoD I can legaly assume the assent of the
> > > DoD and the FBI to that
> > >
> > No, you cannot "legaly assume" anything of the sort.
>
> yes I can since they can no longer file charges, and never choose to
> file them espite your infroming them

"chose" "despite" "informing"

That they dismiss you as the nutcase you are does not lefitimize
breaking the law. It just means you're probably THAT insignificant...

> > > I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago
> > >

> > And they ALSO get people "confessing" to be JFK's assassin, to
> > have been abducted by aliens and hundreds of other crank and obviously
> > mentally inadequate states of mind ever year.
>
> so?

So it puts you into that pile.

> > No doubt you made the list.
> >
> > That you would "call and confess" only made you look more idiotic!
>
> but it legaly would start the clock on the staute of limitations

"legally" "statute"

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> > Thanks!
>
> for what?

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

I guess your ignorance really DOES know no bounds!

> > > > your
> > > > ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?
> > > >
> > > the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
> > > my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules
> > >
> > Hot flash, Hot Rod...No prosecutable act of discrimination has
> > occured.
>
> agreed nor do I expect one to occour therefore I expect the State of TN
> to ignore my sexuality as I said to Dave

So now we have TWO statements in ONE 12 hour period wherein you
acknowledge that no censurable act has occured.

Thanks. I'll file this one too.

> if the State treats me with less credibility becuase of My sexuality
> then a violation would occour but I don't expect that to happen

We can wrap you in Saran Wrap, hide every religious icon, tatoo or
other mark or symbol you may wear or bear, and it won't make a
difference.

You've already admitted on occassions too numerous to catalog that
you lie, do so liberally, and consider it to be a "right" and a morally
just act.

That's all I need, Markie.

> > You've not been "denied" a seconds worth of "free speech" here, nor
> > have you been denied the vote, kept from health care (indeed I think
> > you are more in need than most) nor have you been impeded from one
> > inch's worth of unfettered travel or free movement.
>
> never claimed any such thing

Sure you have!

> > Good luck proving "discrimination".
>
> why I wasn't planing on trying to prove discrimnation (by the State of
> TN) nor claiming any had occoured or was likely to occour

Three admissions of no violations or censurable acts.

> > > > >>>you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
> > > > >>>in his posts being that he is an attonrney
> > > > >>
> > > > >>Are you trying to get Phil's license yanked?
> > > >
> > > > > nope nor making any allusion to such
> > > >
> > > > > I was pointing out the Licensed folks like Phil and Stevie have to be
> > > > > more carefull than the rest of us
> > > >
> > > > I have a friend who is a licensed plumber. I'll be sure to tell him to
> > > > watch his P's and Q's when posting here, lest you attempt to have his
> > > > license revoked.
> > >
> > > if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will
> >
> > It get's deeper and deeper.
> >
> > The liar threatening action against others for "lying":....
>
> why not? you do

Nope.

> > > > You don't get it, Colonel: Take any action your little perverted heart
> > > > desires. Write my mommy.
> > >
> > > you momy is alive what is her address I'll be only to happy to tell her
> > > what a hate filled bigot her son has turned into
> >
> > "Mommy"
> >

> > The "hate filled bigot" is in Michigan...Not West Virginia.
>
> I am sure there are hate igots in Michigan and WV and TN

What's an "igot"...?!?!

> I know dave is one of them from his words as are you

No, we're not.

> cut
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>>but real doctors know you are wrong
> > > > >>
> > > > >>There is no factual evidence to support your claim.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > none presented for your either
> > > > >
> > > > > You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
> > > > > up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.
> > > >
> > > becuase you can't make one
> >
> > But you claim >>I<< have, Markie!
>
> yes I do
>
> but I was addresing Dave
>
> Dave can't make one

He sure did!

> get your attributions correct

I did. YOU are confused again.

> > > BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave
> >
> > But YOU have refered to YOURSELF as a Colonel, Colonel.
>
> you lying about that to?

Nope.

You HAVE refered to yourself as a "Colonel" As you and Brain
say..."Google provides"...

> > > > >>YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > you foul it with your bigoted lying postings
> > > > >
> > > > > If you'll look to some of the material which appears above, you'll find

> > > > at least two lies spouted by you.
> > >
> > > not a one Dave
> >
> > Yes...Several.
>
> nope

S E V E R A L.....................

> > > > As to the accusations of bigotry on
> > > > my part, deal with it.
> > >
> > > I will of course
> >
> > THAT would be a first.
>
> nope I deal with it all the time

Obvioulsy not.

> > > > I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
> > >
> > > what sexual perverity?
> >
> > Geeze, Markie! You can't even quote a word in the very next
> > sentence!
>
> but you evade the question while claerly understanding it

Markie, there was no QUESTION posed there!

A "question" is an interrogative, grammatically stated with the use
of a question mark at the end of it.

"Were you born a blithering idiot, or did you practice to get that
way?"

That is a question.

"Mark Morgan is a blithering idiot and practices it daily"

That is a statement.

> > > > I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.
> > >
> > > really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
> > > there for you if you seek it
> > >
> > > not sure if you need an Optical felow or MD or an MSSW
> >
> > He needs none of the above.
>
> I think he does

Based upon what professional credential of your own?

> I think you need much the same help too

Same question.

> > > > You've shrouded yourself
> > > > in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.
> > > >
> > > > another lie on your part
> > >
> > > Nope....Tons of posts attesting to same under any one of dozen or
> > > more screen names you've used in the last eight years.
> >
> > nope more Stevie lies

Nope.

You're only deluding yourself if you think so.

As you and Brain say, "Google provides".

> > > > You blame all of your
> > > > numerous problems on others.
> > > >
> > > > another lie Dave
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yep

Nope.

> > Fact.
> >
> > As you and Brain like to say..."Google provides".
> >
> > no

Yes.

> > > > Now, see if you can have me fired.
> > > >
> > > > where do you work?
> > > >
> > > > if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
> > > > you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
> > > > contacting him and I promise to look into it
> > >
> > > A "promise" from you is like using a roll of toilet paper to dry up
> > > Lake Erie.
> >
> > not at all

Absolutely "at all".

> if Dave wishes me to get him fired all he needs to do is amke it clear
> that is what he wants give the means to contact his boss and I will get
> to work on it

More empty threats.

> never promised to suceed but I will my attention

What?

More random words in search of order, Markie.

> the same offer extend to you, if you WANT me to get you fired tell me
> where you work the name of chian of supervisours and I will get to work
> on it

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> I can be quite generous with such things when i please

The only thing you are "generous" with, Markie, is deceit and
self-humiliation.

Steve, K4YZ

## 675. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:06:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131440777.148491.39280@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123240

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> >>an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>you have never heard of inocualting
> >>
> >>I know I've never heard of inocualting.
> >
> >
> > not repsonible for your ignorance Dave
>
> According to your past posts, you aren't even responsible for your own
> ignorance, Mark.

nope never said that

another dave lie

and if you want me to get you fired I am more than willing to give it a
try Have some thoughts all it should take is telling your boss the
turth aout a few. I'll enjoy doing it, and according you you will get
what you wanted

> It (so you say) is our problem.

nope

But your own ignorance id your problem not mine
> >
> >>>Depotions can be done anywhere...
> >>
> >>Do you make de potions in a kettle over an open fire?
>
> Dave K8MN

# 676. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:06:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131440783.514038.254010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > U-Know-Who wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1131421755.608204.247250@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > cut
> > > > >> Jim would send and send and send. Never understanding that Morse Code
> > > > >> is NOT a universal language.
> > > > >
> > > > > nah you are both wrong the Aliens would never notice a signal was being
> > > > > sent esp by those old timers sending badly sent morse code ust to trip
> > > > > a code reader unit
> > > > >
> > > > > after in Indenpendance Day they managed to send the CW under the aliens
> > > > > noses, that movie is still the best and most likely case I have yet
> > > > > seen for Morse Code saving the world
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > That and the movie "The Poseidon Adventure". They tapped out code on the
> > > > belly of an inverted ocean liner to people on the outside. Pure folly.
> > >
> > > Mr Riddle your have that wrong I know the movie well (unless you are
> > > refering to something out a new movie I saw an ad for) no morse code
> > > usd in the shaft alley just banging away till they were ehard by the
> > > rescurers on the hull
> > >
> > > far fecthed though i agree
> >
> > Why?
> >
> > Countless reports from Pearl Harbor stated that such bangings were
> > heard from the hulls of various ships for days after the attacks. Some
> > resulted in rescues...All too many just faded away.
>
> ho many of them used morse signal that was the claim tapping out morse
> never saw such a report if you want to show me a claim from the day
> that morse coded tapping was used form decent source I'll admit the
> calim but mere claims of tapping say nothing about morse code use in
> that atpping

You need a nap, Markie. The typos are getting worse.

I didn't say it was "Morse Code", Markie. I said they were "bangings".

You quoted my exact words then try to say something quite different in the response.

Steve, K4YZ

## 677. Re: newsflash Stevei (Stevie) may have goten (gotten) something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:10:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131441013.685505.252190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123242

nobodys old friend wrote:

> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish

You're really bored, aren't you...?!?!

> can't any such reference

Of course not.

Just as I have said before, Lennie and Brain are the ones who try
to make some "religious" inference based upon some really fantastic
stretching of the application of a root word.

> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie

What "round"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 678. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:12:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131441153.964231.259630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > > > Mr Riddle your have that wrong I know the movie well (unless you are
> > > > refering to something out a new movie I saw an ad for) no morse code
> > > > usd in the shaft alley just banging away till they were ehard by the
> > > > rescurers on the hull
> > > >
> > > > far fecthed though i agree
> > >
> > > Why?

why> then are you asking why it is farfecthed

> > >
> > > Countless reports from Pearl Harbor stated that such bangings were
> > > heard from the hulls of various ships for days after the attacks. Some
> > > resulted in rescues...All too many just faded away.
> >
> > ho many of them used morse signal that was the claim tapping out morse
> > never saw such a report if you want to show me a claim from the day
> > that morse coded tapping was used form decent source I'll admit the
> > calim but mere claims of tapping say nothing about morse code use in
> > that atpping
>
> You need a nap, Markie. The typos are getting worse.
>
> I didn't say it was "Morse Code", Markie. I said they were
> "bangings".

you claim my assertion that morse coded tapping would was wrong

then you prest some without refering to morse code?

you then were clearly being deceitfull and dishonest in bring Peral
Harbour in the thread
Is there no limit to defilement you will on the dead veterans of the
USA
>
> You quoted my exact words then try to say something quite
> different in the response.
>

> Steve, K4YZ

# 679. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:21:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131441690.992450.124170@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
> > > > > Mr Riddle your have that wrong I know the movie well (unless you are
> > > > > refering to something out a new movie I saw an ad for) no morse code
> > > > > usd in the shaft alley just banging away till they were ehard by the
> > > > > rescurers on the hull
> > > > >
> > > > > far fecthed though i agree
> > > >
> > > > Why?
> >
> why> then are you asking why it is farfecthed

No...I am asking you why banging on the shaft was "far fetched".

> > > > Countless reports from Pearl Harbor stated that such bangings were
> > > > heard from the hulls of various ships for days after the attacks. Some
> > > > resulted in rescues...All too many just faded away.
> > >
> > > ho many of them used morse signal that was the claim tapping out morse
> > > never saw such a report if you want to show me a claim from the day
> > > that morse coded tapping was used form decent source I'll admit the
> > > calim but mere claims of tapping say nothing about morse code use in
> > > that atpping
> >
> > You need a nap, Markie. The typos are getting worse.
> >
> > I didn't say it was "Morse Code", Markie. I said they were
> > "bangings".
> >
> you claim my assertion that morse coded tapping would was wrong

No...I said your suggestion that such banging in order to summon
help was far fetched was in error.

> then you prest some without refering to morse code?

"prest"...?!?!

I won't even guess what that was supposed to mean.

> you then were clearly being deceitfull and dishonest in bring Peral
> Harbour in the thread
> Is there no limit to defilement you will on the dead veterans of the
> USA

"Pearl Harbor"

What "defiling"...?!?!

> > You quoted my exact words then try to say something quite
> > different in the response.

See...You've done it two posts in a row now.

Steve, K4YZ

# 680. Re: newsflash Stevei (Stevie) may have goten (gotten) something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:44:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131443060.293270.54960@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123245

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
>
> > went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> > accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
>
> You're really bored, aren't you...?!?!

having good time in point os fact

but you are despiable

I promised I check on your claim and get to you

I did

I even said you seem to be right (ill give a chance to show different
before conceding the point all together)
>
> > can't any such reference
>
> Of course not.
>
> Just as I have said before, Lennie and Brain are the ones who try
> to make some "religious" inference based upon some really fantastic
> stretching of the application of a root word.
>
> > if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
>
> What "round"...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 681. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:46:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131443182.549908.221780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > > > Mr Riddle your have that wrong I know the movie well (unless you are
> > > > > > > refering to something out a new movie I saw an ad for) no morse code
> > > > > > > usd in the shaft alley just banging away till they were ehard by the
> > > > > > > rescurers on the hull
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > far fecthed though i agree
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why?
> > >
> > why> then are you asking why it is farfecthed
> >
> No...I am asking you why banging on the shaft was "far fetched".

I never said banging on the shaft was far fecth I said banging on the
shaft in morse code was far fetched

>
> > > > > > Countless reports from Pearl Harbor stated that such bangings were
> > > > > > heard from the hulls of various ships for days after the attacks. Some
> > > > > > resulted in rescues...All too many just faded away.
> > > > >
> > > > ho many of them used morse signal that was the claim tapping out morse
> > > > never saw such a report if you want to show me a claim from the day
> > > > that morse coded tapping was used form decent source I'll admit the
> > > > calim but mere claims of tapping say nothing about morse code use in
> > > > that atpping
> > >
> > > You need a nap, Markie. The typos are getting worse.
> > >
> > > I didn't say it was "Morse Code", Markie. I said they were
> > > "bangings".
> >
> > you claim my assertion that morse coded tapping would was wrong
> >
> No...I said your suggestion that such banging in order to summon
> help was far fetched was in error.

I made no such suggestion you just lied again

>
> > then you prest some without refering to morse code?
>
> "prest"...?!?!
>
> I won't even guess what that was supposed to mean.
>
> > you then were clearly being deceitfull and dishonest in bring Peral
> > Harbour in the thread
> > Is there no limit to defilement you will on the dead veterans of the
> > USA
>
> "Pearl Harbor"
>
> What "defiling"...?!?!

invoking the the them to serve your lying attack on me for something I
never even said
>
> > > You quoted my exact words then try to say something quite
> > > different in the response.
>
> See...You've done it two posts in a row now.

nope
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 682. Re: (ot) France

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:49:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131443384.541333.217650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > whinycunt Lloyd Davies wrote:
cut

> >
> > Here's photographic proof Davies can't control his bowels:
> > http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/lloydpissedhimself.jpg
> > http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/
>
> Darn it! I missed the show.
>
> Anything on techniques for working French hams out of band on 6 meters?

you know I don't think the French govt caes much about our of band hams
right now

## 683. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 09:51:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131443513.959635.157430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123248

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > he said something about being comedian but he titled his resposne Mark
> > > > Morgan caught on tape molesting 8 yo
> > > >
> > > > also claimed that the title was joke
> > > >
> > > > below in myrepost
> > >
> > >
> > Did he say *which* Mark Morgan was caught on tape? Did he give your
> > address or a description indicating he was specificily talking about
> > you? Do you think you are the only Mark Morgan in the world? Do you even
> > think?
>
> Get real, Commodore.

he can't Brain he truly can't he is cartoon as I understand it
cancelled in the 1950's

no such "person" can get real

## 684. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 10:02:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131442498.248980.168700@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123249

K4YZ wrote:
> raped_an old friend wrote:
violation of Proety right by K4YZ
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys_old_friend wrote:
cut

> > > > > You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
> > > > > medicine, did you?
> > > >
> > > > no I did not
> > > >
> > > > nor do I feel there is anything to correct
> > > >
> > > > so why should try
> > >
> > > But that's NOT what you said in OTHER posts, Markie!
> >
> > I never said I felt there was anything to corect in any other post
> > Stevie
>
> "correct"
>
> But that's not what you suggested in the previous post.

what post and what are you talking about?

>
> > > > I sated that I never used accused him of presribing, I happen to think
> > > > a more proper choice is to say that he acted unethicalin medial matter
> > > > which as happens is the words of the regs that Govern Stevie's LPN
> > > > status
> > >
> > > "stated" "prescribing" "unethically"
> > >
> > > Good luck, Colonel!
> > >
> > > There's not a single word in anything I've said that violates
> > > either the Nurse Practice Act >>OR<< the Medical Practice Act of the
> > > State of Tennessee...or any OTHER state, for THAT matter!
> >
> > it does violate the regs posted for LPN by the BoN of TN
>
> Nope.

sure seems to

>
> Keep dreaming, Markie.

not dreaming

nothing concerning is dream, a nightmare prehaps never a dream

>
> > > > > How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
> > > > >
> > > > > no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
> > > > > became your spliting of hairs
> > > >
> > > > Yes, a very OBVIOUS "imprecision" occured!
> > >
> > > where?
> > >
> > > that I choose not to dispute that others may form different opinion
> > > than mine?
> >
> > No, from your imprecison with the written word.

what imprecision are you refering to?

>
> As for what may be a "different opinion" or what is just jibberish,
> who's to tell?

me for one
cut
> > > > > yes
> > > > >
> > > > > Then you're even more confused than you first appeared to be....
> > > >
> > > > prehaps
> > >
> > > "perhaps"
> >
> > No "prehaps" to it...You're confused.

another stevie lie
>
> > obviously I don't think so but you may be right
>
> There's no "may be" to it.

yet another lie

>
> > > > > >Your past fabrications
> > > > > > regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,
> > > > > >

> > > > what lies on other topics?
> > > >
> > > > my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
> > > > enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
> > > > to the DoD no action was taken
> > >
> > > Lying about being a Field Grade commissioned officer is NOT legal
> > > under ANY circumstances, save perhaps for obvious theatrical
> > > performances.
> >
> > as was the case those years ago
> >
> > as I said it was clearly legal enough since the DoD did nothing in
> > response to your complaints
>
> That the DoD has better things to do than intervene in a USENET
> exchange is one thing.

meaning they found legal enough not to bother with, exactly as I said

>
> People "break laws" every day without being cited or prosecuted
> for them...But that does NOT legitimize their infractions.

yep you would certainly know about that Stevie

cuting steve being nonresponive

> > yes I can since they can no longer file charges, and never choose to
> > file them espite your infroming them
>
> "chose" "despite" "informing"
>
> That they dismiss you as the nutcase you are does not lefitimize
> breaking the law. It just means you're probably THAT insignificant...

Stevie it means as a matter of law I am inocent of the offense

I am inocent of any crime any crime til proved guilty

get over it

respect the rule of law

>
> > > > I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago
> > >
> > > And they ALSO get people "confessing" to be JFK's assassin, to
> > > have been abducted by aliens and hundreds of other crank and obviously
> > > mentally inadequate states of mind ever year.
> >
> > so?
>

> So it puts you into that pile.

still makes me an innocent man

an innocent man that you hound despite the legal facts of the case

>
> > > No doubt you made the list.
> > >
> > > That you would "call and confess" only made you look more idiotic!
> > >
> > but it legaly would start the clock on the staute of limitations
>
> "legally" "statute"
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

right
>
> > > Thanks!
> > >
> > for what?
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> I guess your ignorance really DOES know no bounds!

and you refuse to enlighten me

>
> > > > > your
> > > > > ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?
> > > > >
> > > > the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
> > > > my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules
> > > >
> > > Hot flash, Hot Rod...No prosecutable act of discrimination has
> > > occured.
> > >
> > agreed nor do I expect one to occour therefore I expect the State of TN
> > to ignore my sexuality as I said to Dave
>
> So now we have TWO statements in ONE 12 hour period wherein you
> acknowledge that no censurable act has occured.

nope we have no such stament at all

we have Stevie lying again about what I have said
>
> Thanks. I'll file this one too.

>
> > if the State treats me with less credibility becuase of My sexuality
> > then a violation would occour but I don't expect that to happen
>
> We can wrap you in Saran Wrap, ....

no you can not

that would be assualt

>hide every religious icon, tatoo or
> other mark or symbol you may wear or bear, and it won't make a
> difference.

except those acts would be violating my civil rights gues you have not
heard of the 1st amendment

>
> You've already admitted on occassions too numerous to catalog that
> you lie, do so liberally, and consider it to be a "right" and a morally
> just act.

you keep omiting the full quote "in some cases"

>
> That's all I need, Markie.

how does my lying allow you to claim Todds Father has Aids

>
> > > You've not been "denied" a seconds worth of "free speech" here, nor
> > > have you been denied the vote, kept from health care (indeed I think
> > > you are more in need than most) nor have you been impeded from one
> > > inch's worth of unfettered travel or free movement.
> >
> > never claimed any such thing
>
> Sure you have!

nope

>
> > > Good luck proving "discrimination".
> >
> > why I wasn't planing on trying to prove discrimnation (by the State of
> > TN) nor claiming any had occoured or was likely to occour
>
> Three admissions of no violations or censurable acts.

three lies

your censurable acts concern your LYING not any act of the state of TN
cut
> > > > if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will

> > >
> > > It get's deeper and deeper.
> > >
> > > The liar threatening action against others for "lying":....
> >
> > why not? you do
>
> Nope.

yes you do
cut
>
> > I know dave is one of them from his words as are you
>
> No, we're not.

yes you are both of you

cut
> > > > > > none presented for your either
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
> > > > > > up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.
> > > > >
> > > > > becuase you can't make one
> > >
> > > But you claim >>I<< have, Markie!
> >
> > yes I do
> >
> > but I was addresing Dave
> >
> > Dave can't make one
>
> He sure did!

he isn't an LPN last time I heard therefore the standards of nursing
don't aplly to him they do apply to you

>
> > get your attributions correct
>
> I did. YOU are confused again.

you are confusing when you lie so much and so baddly yes

>
> > > > BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave
> > >
> > > But YOU have refered to YOURSELF as a Colonel, Colonel.
> >
> > you lying about that to?

>
> Nope.
yep

>
> You HAVE refered to yourself as a "Colonel" As you and Brain
> say..."Google provides"...

but you are lying in reffering to me as one

as to the other "charge" I am inncocent of it as a matter of law

>
> > > > > >>YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > you foul it with your bigoted lying postings
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you'll look to some of the material which appears above, you'll find
> > > > > > at least two lies spouted by you.
> > > > >
> > > > > not a one Dave
> > > >
> > > Yes...Several.
> >
> > nope
>
> S E V E R A L.....................

not a one

>
> > > > > > As to the accusations of bigotry on
> > > > > > my part, deal with it.
> > > > >
> > > > > I will of course
> > > >
> > > THAT would be a first.
> >
> > nope I deal with it all the time
>
> Obvioulsy not.

obvioulsy how?
>
> > > > > > I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
> > > > >
> > > > > what sexual perverity?
> > >
> > > Geeze, Markie! You can't even quote a word in the very next
> > > sentence!
> >
> > but you evade the question while claerly understanding it

>
> Markie, there was no QUESTION posed there!

another lie

"what sexual perverity?"

is a question

>
> A "question" is an interrogative, grammatically stated with the use
> of a question mark at the end of it.

a lie is the willing willing stament of falsehood and you have lied in
stateing, "Markie, there was no QUESTION posed there!"

cuting stevie libel
> > > > > I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.
> > > >
> > > > really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
> > > > there for you if you seek it
> > > >
> > > > not sure if you need an Optical felow or MD or an MSSW
> > >
> > > He needs none of the above.
> >
> > I think he does
>
> Based upon what professional credential of your own?

no need for one

>
> > I think you need much the same help too
>
> Same question.

same answer
>
> > > > > You've shrouded yourself
> > > > > in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.
> > > > >
> > > > another lie on your part
> > >
> > > Nope....Tons of posts attesting to same under any one of dozen or
> > > more screen names you've used in the last eight years.
> >
> > nope more Stevie lies
>
> Nope.
>
> You're only deluding yourself if you think so.

you are the deluded one

>
> As you and Brain say, "Google provides".

where?

>
> > > > > You blame all of your
> > > > > numerous problems on others.
> > > > >
> > > > > another lie Dave
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yep
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > > Fact.
> > > >
> > > > As you and Brain like to say..."Google provides".
> > >
> > > no
> >
> > Yes.

where?

>
> > > > > > Now, see if you can have me fired.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > where do you work?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
> > > > > > you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
> > > > > > contacting him and I promise to look into it
> > > > >
> > > > > A "promise" from you is like using a roll of toilet paper to dry up
> > > > > Lake Erie.
> > > >
> > > > not at all
> >
> > Absolutely "at all".

nope

I have every intention of trying to get dave fired if he comfrimed that
it is his wish I do so

>
> > if Dave wishes me to get him fired all he needs to do is amke it clear
> > that is what he wants give the means to contact his boss and I will get
> > to work on it

>
> More empty threats.

another lie from Stevie

No threat at all

Dave has offered a contract if he accept the services he seem to be
requesting I will accept the deal and carry out the contract

nothing threating in offering to cary out his wishes for him (unless we
are talking about assiting him in suicide or something like that
although I don't think that should be crime, the legal system does
disagree at this time)

but we both know (i know at least I hope you do but you know I can't be
sure you do know) that Dave is talking shit

cut
> > the same offer extend to you, if you WANT me to get you fired tell me
> > where you work the name of chian of supervisours and I will get to work
> > on it
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

I take it you decline my offer, might senible of you I must say
>
> > I can be quite generous with such things when i please
>
> The only thing you are "generous" with, Markie, is deceit and
> self-humiliation.

more stevie lies
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 685. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 11:17:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131448677.955143.119510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123250

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up
> > and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying
> > like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.
> >
> > But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> > by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> > professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
> > prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> > jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> > diagnosis.
>
> If my doctor posts to r.r.a.p. and advises me to take blood pressure
> medicine, he isn't prescribing medication. If my doctor shows up here
> and demands that I take blook pressure medicine, he isn't prescribing
> medicine. If my doc hands me a signed prescription for blood pressure
> medication or telephones a prescription to my pharmacy, he has
> prescribed medicine.
>
> You need to get a grip on reality.

If I state an opinion on rrap that Steve is nuts, he jumps up and down,
screaming and crying, demanding to know where I got my medical degree
from to make such a "diagnosis."

Steve needs to get a grip on reality. In the meantime, Steve just gets
what he dishes out.

> My diagnosis is that Mark is an irrational twit. I prescribe remedial
> reading at a Special Education facility and a thirty-week, intensive
> Morse Code training session for Colonel Morgan.
>
> Dave K8MN

If you think so.

# 686. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 11:43:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131450225.780269.106160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123251

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1131333770.900606.169000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1131152971.618629.96000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> >> >> the FCC did retain code for
> >> >> Extra, then the American Disabilities Act (ADA) will
> >> >> surely be raised by someone who need only point
> >> >> to the use of waivers previously and no court in
> >> >> this country would rule against such a clain.
> >> >
> >> > IANAL, but was ADA ever successfully cited before
> >> > against an FCC decision? An amateur license isn't
> >> > a right - only access to the tests for one is.
> >>
> >> ADA wasn't needed because the FCC had already
> >> provided a waiver process.
> >
> > Did code waivers come first, or ADA?
>
> I I think the ADA may predate waivers...
> but that isn't of any importance anyway.

I think it is. IMHO anyway.

> The ADA didn't force anyone to immediately
> do anything. Most or much of the ADA
> impact has been with new accomodations.
> Application of ADA in existing situations
> has usually been the reult of a complaint by
> an individual or a group of individuals.

Exactly - and *nobody complained*. It took
backdoor diplomacy by a foreign King to
get waivers, not advocacy by any US
group.

> > But there's as much reason to use ADA against the
> > Extra written as their is to use it against an "Extras
> > only" code test.
>
> Perhaps, perhaps not.
>
> >> On your first statement

> >> regarding waivers being a quagmire...I agree and
> >> that's exactly why the FCC isn't going to retain
> >> any code testing.
> >
> > Probably right!
>
> Which is why further discussion on this is
> a waste of my time. I'll wait to see what
> the FCC does before continuing this
> hypothetical.

I think it's worthwhile to consider where a
path may lead before going that way.
>
> >> > Second, an amateur radio license isn't a commercial
> >> > thing, so it's not like someone is denied a job or similar.
> >>
> >> ADA is not simply about jobs...it is about "access"
> >> on a broad basis.
> >
> > Which is up to interpretation. "Access" can be
> > interpreted to mean being allowed to take the
> > test, not being guaranteed a license.
>
> Ditto my last again.
>
> >> > Third, Extra does not give any more power, modes,
> >> > bands or other operating privileges *except* a little
> >> > more frequency spectrum.
> >>
> >> That's a contradictory statement. You say
> >> there's no additional privileges
> >> and then you note that there is.
> >
> > Read it again! I wrote there are no additional
> > operating (meaning on-the-air) privs *except* a bit
> > more spectrum.
>
> I know what you said, but in reality your except
> does contradict your lead statement.

Not at all. See below.

> >> > There's also the vanity
> >> > call selection and the ability to VE other Extras, but
> >> > that's about it.
> >>
> >> Ditto my last.
> >
> > Let me try another way...
> >

> > What does upgrading to Extra get for a General class licensee?
> >
> > There's the ability to VE all exams including Extra.
> >
> > There's the really spiffy callsigns.
> >
> > But Generals have access to all the same bands as Extras. All the
> > same modes, too, and the same power level.
> >
> > On 4 of the HF bands, there are parts of the band that
> > are for Extras only.
>
> Which clearly is additional operating privileges.

But not *different* operating privileges!

(I should have said it that way from the beginning!)

IOW, what's the difference between operating on, say, 14,030 kHz
vs. 14,020 kHz using the same mode and power level? What additional
knowledge or skills are needed for the lower frequency that aren't
needed
for the higher one?

It could be claimed that since the Extra written isn't required to use
14,030, why is it an absolute requirement to use 14,020? Particularly
for folks who have a really tough time memorizing/understanding all the
stuff in the written tests?

By allowing waivers *in principle*, the whole quagmire opens up to
question all test requirements.

> > The ADA argument could be brought that all that additional theory in
> > the
> > Extra written isn't an absolute requirement because it's not directly
> > related
> > to the privileges gained.
>
> I doubt it, but for now see no need to discuss or debate
> the point UNLESS someone actually goes there.

Just the opposite - because if they go there, and cite the
precedent, what possible argument could be used against
them?

This is actually backing up your assertion that FCC won't go
for waivers under any circumstances, because they set
the precedent for more waivers in cases like the above.

> >> > Fourth and most important, there's no absolute right
> >> > to an amateur radio license. It's a privilege - only
> >> > access to the tests is a right.
> >>

> >> I think any good ADA attorney would argue otherwise.
> >
> > But would they win?
>
> I believe so, but for now see no need to discuss or debate
> the point UNLESS someone actually goes there.

And so far, nobody has.

> >> > As an analogy, consider the national parks. There
> >> > are places where access to the park by motor
> >> > vehicle is not allowed. Does ADA require that
> >> > all parts of all parks be accessible by motor vehicle
> >> > because some people can't walk to them?
> >>
> >> ADA calls for reasonable accomodation where it can be done.
> >> Your national park analogy doesn't apply.
> >
> > I think it does. Paved paths could be provided, and small motor
> > vehicles.
>
> The ADA calls for "reasonable" accomodations. That
> is the defining point.
> But again, for now I see no need to discuss or debate
> the point.

"Reasonable" means there's a very wide latitude for
interpretation and argument.

> > Point is, that's not done because, in those parts of the national
> > parks, access by
> > everyone is deemed secondary to preserving the wild state of
> > the park.
>
> Which is why the legislation isn't absolute as to access.
> Ditto again...end of discussion for now.
>
> >> >> > One simple solution is the "Canadian compromise": Keep
> >> >> > code testing but change how it is scored. One method is
> >> >> > to change the requirement for Element 3 (General written)
> >> >> > to the following:
> >> >
> >> >> > Element 3 can be passed by getting an ~85% grade on the
> >> >> > 35 written questions *or* a ~75% grade and a passing mark
> >> >> > on the code test.
> >> >
> >> >> > That way there's no "lowering of standards" yet the Morse Code
> >> >> > test is not a mandatory pass-fail standalone test any more.
> >> >
> >> >> I personally don't believe the Canadian compromise
> >> >> would pass ADA requirements.

> >> >
> >> > I do. In fact I think it would solve a lot of problems.
> >>
> >> We clearly differ in opinion then.
> >>
> > I'm wondering how the options given above would not meet ADA. The
> > two grading methods would be open to everyone.
> >
> > What would be the problem?
>
> Cross that bridge if and when it happens.

"Failing to plan is planning to fail"

73 de Jim, N2EY

## 687. Naval connection? You qualify for RNARS membership!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 12:06:53 UTC
From: Mike <mike@my1biz.com>
Message-ID: <dkq4ct$2c7$1@nwrdmz02.dmz.ncs.ea.ibs-infra.bt.com>
Source record: 123252

Membership of the Royal Naval Amateur Radio Society (RNARS) is open to all who have an
interest in any facet of amateur radio and who are serving or who have served in the following:

Royal Navy
Royal Marines
Royal Naval Reserve
Royal Marine Reserve
Women's Royal Naval Service
Royal Naval Auxiliary Service
Royal Fleet Auxiliary
Merchant Navy
Sea Cadets Corps
Nautical Training Corps
MOD (Navy)
Commonwealth and Foreign Navies

NON-MEMBERS can purchase RNARS commodities.

Details and membership application form is available online at
http://www.rnars.org.uk

## 688. Re: newsflash Stevei may have goten something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 12:31:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131453086.573853.42840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123253

an old friend wrote:
> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
>
> can't any such reference
>
> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie

I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
particular faith.

Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
"dick."

## 689. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 12:47:10 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <t61cf.871$GT3.448@fe02.lga>
Source record: 123254
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

```
"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dkm150$qa5$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> news:CXtbf.9915$7s1.1097@fe04.lga...
> >
> > "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> > news:dkldtm$72s$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > I wonder where the law stands as far as CB radio's go. Are CB's
allowed
> to
> > > be repaired by the average CB'er who has the knowledge or are they
only
> > > repairable by a qualified technician of some kind. I ask along the
lines
> > of
> > > the UK but would find the answer for America just as interesting.
> > >
> >
> > I'm not sure why this thread is even being cross-posted into a CB group,
> but
>
> Because its a question about CB's ??????????
>

No, not your question, the whole thread, which was a rant about "Ham Radio".
Had nothing to do with CB, except for a brief reference.
```

## 690. Day 1 Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 13:05:19 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <jo1cf.6354$m81.5257@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123255

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131388042.378261.291870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> Forget all the "my survey of comments analysis"
>> is more accurate than yours... Consider the following
>> question/challenge:
>>
>> In all of the procode test arguments and comments has
>> even one NEW reason to retain code testiing been
>> offered?
>
> I Have not seen one But then I make no claim
> to have read em all (my
> tolerance for crap ran out too fast)
>
>> Forget even if such an argument is valid or
>> compelling in any way...I'm looking for any argument
>> not previously raised and dismissed by or in past
>> FCC commentary (NPRMs, R&Os, etc.)
>
> Indeed if there was one I suspect it would delay the R&O no matter how
> of the wall it was
>>
>> My short answer (and I'll be the first to say I
>> haven't read all comments) is that there are no
>> new arguments raised... because if there had been
>> we'd have seen it or them by now.

Just about 24 hours since I posted my challeneg and nothing
new has been offered up.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 691. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 13:25:13 UTC
From: PowerHouse Communications <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net>
Message-ID: <4G1cf.60878$RG4.18241@fe05.lga>
Source record: 123256
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery28146@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:dpvbf.5770$m81.1857@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> > I'm not sure why this thread is even being cross-posted into a CB group,
> but
> > to answer your question, in the US, the radio must be serviced by a
> > qualified, licensed individual. That is the "legal" way of doing it
> anyway.
> > It doesn't happen very often, but that is another story for another
> time...
> >
> >
>
> Get a new rule book like one that is newer than say about 10 or so years.
> The CB radios in the US has not required a license to repair them in many
> years. The GROL is the replacement for the 1st and 2 nd class licenses
that
> used to be required to repair many transmitters. For about 95 % of the
> transmitters in the US it is now worthless and not needed. Some
companies
> may require it as they think they are getting someone that may know
> something. Now it is only needed for :
>

OK, if you want to get technical, a "license" per-say is not needed, though they still need to be "certified as technically qualified to perform transmitter maintenance and repair duties in the private land mobile services and fixed services by an organization or committee representative of users in those services." - Reference FCC Part 95, Section 95.424 subsection "b".

And as the question that was asked, in relation to the US:
"Are CB's allowed to be repaired by the average CB'er who has the knowledge or are they only repairable by a qualified technician of some kind[?]"
The answer to the first part of the question is still NO, and the second part is still, YES. The "average CB'er who has the knowledge" can NOT service their own CB, legally. They would need to be "certified" to do so "by an organization or committee representative of users in [the CB service]."

## 692. Re: AB8MQ Home Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 14:05:52 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <8820987017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On Mon, 7 Nov 2005 21:33:09 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> Who got Lurlene pregnant?
> cuhulin

Like I said, she must be lying. There is no way to get a shemale
pregnant unless she was faking it and is a (ugh) REAL female! That
would be a low thing to do to a guy like me who just wants his fun
after a night of drinking at the Shipwreck Lounge. Lurlene has made
me so mad that I'm thinking about dating Elvira. culaterhulin
woger

# 693. Re: newsflash Stevei (Stevie) may have goten (gotten) something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 14:09:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131458966.019580.276640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123258

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> >
> > > went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> > > accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> >
> > You're really bored, aren't you...?!?!
>
> having good time in point os fact

"os"...?!?! You're the opening of the cervix?

Considering your expressed sexual preferences, I am sure that
you've acted like it before.

> but you are despiable

"despiable" is not a word. What did you mean?

> I promised I check on your claim and get to you
>
> I did
>
> I even said you seem to be right (ill give a chance to show different
> before conceding the point all together)

There was no "point" to "concede" in the first place. It was a
fact and remains such.

> > > can't any such reference
> >
> > Of course not.
> >
> > Just as I have said before, Lennie and Brain are the ones who try
> > to make some "religious" inference based upon some really fantastic
> > stretching of the application of a root word.
> >
> > > if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> >
> > What "round"...?!?!

You didn't answer my question.

Steve, K4YZ

## 694. Re: Why illegal CB'ers harass KC8LDO in ng's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 14:44:12 UTC
From: Bob_Downonit@gag.net
Message-ID: <0R2cf.110253$US.13116@news.ono.com>
Source record: 123259
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Troll, troll, troll your boat.....
>
> STFU already. Get a life!
>
> (I am neither a friend or an enemy of these parties)

scott you need to upgrade from no code

## 695. Lardass whines and whines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 14:54:34 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131461674.511038.147830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinycunt Lloyd Davies woger wrote:
> On Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:39:22 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> > Nothing wrong with living in a trailer.I like that Lucille Ball/Desi
> > Arnaz movie,The Long,Long Trailer.
> > cuhulin
>
> But look what happened to them. They're dead.

Lardass' dead grand mother used to wash his little pee pee with her
mouth,l didn't she, Lardass?

## 696. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 14:56:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131461811.714780.291410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123261
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Vince the cocksucking priss wrote:
> On 7 Nov 2005 12:31:53 -0800, Secwet Woger wrote:
> >
> > Vince Diak or just plain Lardass Davies wrote:
> >
> > >Trying to cover up your drinking habit?
> >
> > What drinking habit? Like the one you do on Sundays and every night of
> > the week, you fucking alcoholic, Davies? Following in Ray Sr.'s
> > footsteps. Your daddy is a raging alcoholic, so you want to be just
> > like him.
>
> You are in full denial.

You are a fucking imbecile.

> My good friend John is from Glendale,

And he probably assfucks you too. Roger doesn't go to the Shipwreck
lounge, dumbass.

But you go to the gay bars, dont' you?

## 697. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 14:58:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131461890.295388.76940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

itchy sanshez wrote:

> Roger knows I am a legend at the shipwreck lounge, his name and number are all over
> the mens room walls.

Yeah, Lardass, I just bet your name is called for pgone sex, too/

## 698. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 14:59:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131461983.143889.16030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123263
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Arf! Arf! wrote:
> Your drinking cost you a marriage, Woger. It also resulted in more than a
> few visits from the p<bwhahahaha Lardass you should quit drinking that pussy bud lite>
beer.>

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.. Goddamn, Lardass, you are so fucking stupid.

## 699. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:00:06 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131462006.289607.168620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

typo "phone"

# 700. Lardass Davies reveals his insider track on shemales since he can't get a regular woman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:03:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131462184.987385.314750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123265
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Not so Secwet lisping cocksucking Lloyd Davies wrote:
> On Mon, 7 Nov 2005 17:59:56 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> > About a week ago,that very pretty sexy looking 30 year old brunette
> > woman next door asked me to sit with her.What she was saying was,she
> > wanted me to spend the night over there with her.One of these nights,I
> > am going to take her up on that.
> > cuhulin
>
> You have to do what is best for you but if she isn't a shemale she
> could put conditions on everything the way that women do.

Lardass has a habit of posting shemale pics don't you, fatty?

Lardass' sister Amanda
510 DODGE AVE
SIOUX CITY, IA 51106
(712) 276-2674

## 701. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:08:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131462507.147775.114070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123266

stole from old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > raped an old friend wrote:
> violation of Proety right by K4YZ

What's a "Proety right"...?!?!

There's no such thing.

> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >>raped_by_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
> > > > > > medicine, did you?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > no I did not
> > > > > >
> > > > > > nor do I feel there is anything to correct
> > > > > >
> > > > > > so why should try
> > > > >
> > > > But that's NOT what you said in OTHER posts, Markie!
> > > >
> > > I never said I felt there was anything to corect in any other post
> > > Stevie
> > >
> > "correct"
> >
> > But that's not what you suggested in the previous post.
>
> what post and what are you talking about?

Every recent post in which you suggest that I "prescribed"
medication.

And you substantiated that in the very post that you've now thrice
quoted.

> > > > There's not a single word in anything I've said that violates
> > > > either the Nurse Practice Act >>OR<< the Medical Practice Act of the
> > > > State of Tennessee...or any OTHER state, for THAT matter!
> > > >
> > > it does violate the regs posted for LPN by the BoN of TN
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> sure seems to

What "seems" to you is nothing more than your inappropriate
interpretation of something you HOPE you can use against me.

The truth is something different.

> > Keep dreaming, Markie.
>
> not dreaming

Actually, DAYdreaming is more appropriate.

> nothing concerning is dream, a nightmare prehaps never a dream

Again, you leave words out, disorganize others, and the meaning is
lost.

> > > > > > How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
> > > > >
> > > > > no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
> > > > > became your spliting of hairs
> > > >
> > > > Yes, a very OBVIOUS "imprecision" occured!
> > >
> > > where?
> > >
> > > that I choose not to dispute that others may form different opinion
> > > than mine?
> >
> > No, from your imprecison with the written word.
>
> what imprecision are you refering to?

Your assinine attempt to suggest my "take an Ativan" comment was
an attempot to prescribe a medicine.

> > As for what may be a "different opinion" or what is just jibberish,
> > who's to tell?
>
> me for one

How can you tell?

Your English comprehension skills, in a word, suck. Your grammar
is worse.

> cut
> > > > > yes
> > > >
> > > > Then you're even more confused than you first appeared to be....
> > >
> > > prehaps
> >
> > "perhaps"
> >
> > No "prehaps" to it...You're confused.

>
> another stevie lie

How can THAT be? YOU have suggested that you ARE confused! You
even requoted it right here!

> > > obviously I don't think so but you may be right
> >
> > There's no "may be" to it.
>
> yet another lie

Again, how can it be? YOU suggested that I am right, and even
quoted it in your OWN post! Both of these examples simply substantiate
my opinion that you ARE confused!

> > > > > >Your past fabrications
> > > > > > regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,
> > > > > >
> > > > > > what lies on other topics?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
> > > > > > enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
> > > > > > to the DoD no action was taken
> > > > >
> > > > > Lying about being a Field Grade commissioned officer is NOT legal
> > > > > under ANY circumstances, save perhaps for obvious theatrical
> > > > > performances.
> > > >
> > > as was the case those years ago
> > >
> > > as I said it was clearly legal enough since the DoD did nothing in
> > > response to your complaints
> >
> > That the DoD has better things to do than intervene in a USENET
> > exchange is one thing.
>
> meaning they found legal enough not to bother with, exactly as I said

Not as you said.

> > People "break laws" every day without being cited or prosecuted
> > for them...But that does NOT legitimize their infractions.
>
> yep you would certainly know about that Stevie

Nope.

> cuting steve being nonresponive

What's "nonresponive"...?!?!?

> > > yes I can since they can no longer file charges, and never choose to
> > > file them espite your infroming them
> >
> > "chose" "despite" "informing"
> >
> > That they dismiss you as the nutcase you are does not lefitimize
> > breaking the law. It just means you're probably THAT insignificant...
>
> Stevie it means as a matter of law I am inocent of the offense

You are only innocent of an offense when so adjudicated by the
court.

> I am inocent of any crime any crime til proved guilty
>
> get over it

Get over what? That you're a liar and deceiver?

> respect the rule of law

The law has not "ruled" here.

> > > > > I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago
> > > > >
> > > > And they ALSO get people "confessing" to be JFK's assassin, to
> > > > have been abducted by aliens and hundreds of other crank and obviously
> > > > mentally inadequate states of mind ever year.
> > > >
> > > so?
> > >
> > So it puts you into that pile.
>
> still makes me an innocent man

No, it means you've not had your day in court.

> an innocent man that you hound despite the legal facts of the case

There are no "legal facts" until the evidence has been submitted
to a court and deemed valid. So far that's not happened.

> > > > No doubt you made the list.
> > > >
> > > > That you would "call and confess" only made you look more idiotic!
> > > >
> > > but it legaly would start the clock on the staute of limitations
> > >
> > "legally" "statute"
> > >
> > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
>
> right

Uh huh.

> > > > Thanks!
> > >
> > > for what?
> >
> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > I guess your ignorance really DOES know no bounds!
>
> and you refuse to enlighten me

I've been trying...Lord knows I've been trying, as have dozens of
others.

You're beyond being "enlightened"...Soooooooooooooooooo far
beyond!

> > > > > > your
> > > > > > ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
> > > > > > my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules
> > > > >
> > > > > Hot flash, Hot Rod...No prosecutable act of discrimination has
> > > > > occured.
> > > >
> > > > agreed nor do I expect one to occour therefore I expect the State of TN
> > > > to ignore my sexuality as I said to Dave
> > >
> > > So now we have TWO statements in ONE 12 hour period wherein you
> > > acknowledge that no censurable act has occured.
>
> nope we have no such stament at all
>
> we have Stevie lying again about what I have said

Nope. I have YOUR words. Again, YOU requoted them in this most
immediate post!

You can't even follow your own words in the attributes!

> > Thanks. I'll file this one too.
> >
> > > if the State treats me with less credibility becuase of My sexuality
> > > then a violation would occour but I don't expect that to happen
> >
> > We can wrap you in Saran Wrap, ....
>
> no you can not
>
> that would be assualt

"assault"

> >hide every religious icon, tatoo or
> > other mark or symbol you may wear or bear, and it won't make a
> > difference.
>
> except those acts would be violating my civil rights gues you have not
> heard of the 1st amendment

Oh, I've heard of it!

And Markie, in the above exchanges, the First Ammendment has
NOTHING TO DO WITH ANY OF THE COMMENTS.

If you're going to invoke the Bill of Rights, Markie, invoke the
RIGHT ONES!

Idiot!

Sheeeeeeeeeeesh!

> > You've already admitted on occassions too numerous to catalog that
> > you lie, do so liberally, and consider it to be a "right" and a morally
> > just act.
>
> you keep omiting the full quote "in some cases"

Oh Mr Markie! Don't YOU give ME any guff about "full quotes" ! !
!

We have a billion of your "cut" and "cuting" comments throughout
RRAP!

> > That's all I need, Markie.
>
> how does my lying allow you to claim Todds Father has Aids

Your LYING has ALSO included numerous occassions wherein you've
deceided to add your own "definitions". You failed to quote my
"definition" of "AIDS".

> > > > You've not been "denied" a seconds worth of "free speech" here, nor
> > > > have you been denied the vote, kept from health care (indeed I think
> > > > you are more in need than most) nor have you been impeded from one
> > > > inch's worth of unfettered travel or free movement.
> > >
> > > never claimed any such thing
> >
> > Sure you have!
>
> nope

Oh yes you have, Markie! (More Markie Lies!) You've made
numerous rants wherein you've suggested that the exchanges herein
somehow "prevented" you from getting something you think you deserve.

Lately that "something" you THINK you deserve is "respect",
suggesting that everyone in this forum has yet to "earn" it from you!
THAT is the epitome of ARROGANCE!

> > > > Good luck proving "discrimination".
> > >
> > > why I wasn't planing on trying to prove discrimnation (by the State of
> > > TN) nor claiming any had occoured or was likely to occour
> > >
> > Three admissions of no violations or censurable acts.
>
> three lies

Nope.

> your censurable acts concern your LYING not any act of the state of TN

You've not "proved" a single one!

> cut
> > > > > if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will
> > > > >
> > > > It get's deeper and deeper.
> > > > >
> > > > The liar threatening action against others for "lying":....
> > > >
> > > why not? you do
> > >
> > Nope.
>
> yes you do

OK Markie...if you say so! (snickersnickergigglegiggle.....)

> cut
> >
> > > I know dave is one of them from his words as are you
> > >
> > No, we're not.
>
> yes you are both of you

More random words in search of order, Markie.

> cut

Lot's of "cut" Markie.

Guess you're getting tired of stuff to get your nose rubbed in,
eh...?!?!

> > > > > > > none presented for your either
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
> > > > > > up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.

> > > > >
> > > > > becuase you can't make one
> > > > >
> > > > But you claim >>I<< have, Markie!
> > >
> > > yes I do
> > >
> > > but I was addresing Dave
> > >
> > > Dave can't make one
> >
> > He sure did!
>
> he isn't an LPN last time I heard therefore the standards of nursing
> don't aplly to him they do apply to you

Sure they do! They "aplly" to you to!

Since you seem to be having so much fun with the Tennessee Nurse
Practice Act, I'll give you some time to find out why! It's in there!
Good luck!~

> > > get your attributions correct
> >
> > I did. YOU are confused again.
>
> you are confusing when you lie so much and so baddly yes

"badly"

You are confused becasue YOUR lies are so interwoven and complex,
leaving your unable to keep all the stories straight.

That ONE simple fact is why you keep getting tripped up on your
own posts!

The OTHER simple fact is that your misuse and abuse of the English
language leaves you hanging out to dry!

> > > > > BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave
> > > > >
> > > > But YOU have refered to YOURSELF as a Colonel, Colonel.
> > >
> > > you lying about that to?
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep

Sheesh.

> > You HAVE refered to yourself as a "Colonel" As you and Brain
> > say..."Google provides"...

>
> but you are lying in reffering to me as one

No...

YOU were lying in refering to yourself as one.

> as to the other "charge" I am inncocent of it as a matter of law

What's "inncocent"...?!?!

As for being "guilty" of claiming that you were a Colonel, that was
proven a long time ago.

> > > > > > >>YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > you foul it with your bigoted lying postings
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > If you'll look to some of the material which appears above, you'll find
> > > > > > > at least two lies spouted by you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not a one Dave
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes...Several.
> > > >
> > > nope
> >
> > S E V E R A L.....................
>
> not a one

S E V E R A L.....................

> > > > > > As to the accusations of bigotry on
> > > > > > my part, deal with it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I will of course
> > > > >
> > > > > THAT would be a first.
> > > >
> > > nope I deal with it all the time
> >
> > Obviously not.
>
> obvioulsy how?

Obviously you have a problem "dealing" with reality. Everyone is
a "bigot" to you.

The only thing that IS "obvious" is that you misuse the word
"bigot"

> > > > > > I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
> > > > > >

> > > > > what sexual perverity?
> > > >
> > > > Geeze, Markie! You can't even quote a word in the very next
> > > > sentence!
> > >
> > > but you evade the question while claerly understanding it
> >
> > Markie, there was no QUESTION posed there!
>
> another lie
>
> "what sexual perverity?"
>
> is a question

That's NOT what I said, Markie!

I said you couldn't even quote words correctly in the following
sentence!

As for the "QUESTION", that was the one you posed to DAVE. I was
commenting on your abusive use of the King's English.

> > A "question" is an interrogative, grammatically stated with the use
> > of a question mark at the end of it.
>
> a lie is the willing willing stament of falsehood and you have lied in
> stateing, "Markie, there was no QUESTION posed there!"

There wasn't. Not to me. FOLLOW THE ATTRIBUTES, BLOCKHEAD!

> cuting stevie libel

There's no "libel".

Just you making a fool of yourself.

> > > > > > I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
> > > > > > there for you if you seek it
> > > > > >
> > > > > > not sure if you need an Optical felow or MD or an MSSW
> > > > >
> > > > > He needs none of the above.
> > > >
> > > > I think he does
> > >
> > > Based upon what professional credential of your own?
> >
> > no need for one

Sure there is!

Otherwise YOU are "practicing medicine" without a license.

That's what YOU have been accusing ME of all week!

> > > I think you need much the same help too
> >
> > Same question.
>
> same answer

Then you've made a NON-answer, Markie.

"Evasion"

> > > > > > You've shrouded yourself
> > > > > > in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > another lie on your part
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope....Tons of posts attesting to same under any one of dozen or
> > > > > more screen names you've used in the last eight years.
> > > >
> > > nope more Stevie lies
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > You're only deluding yourself if you think so.
>
> you are the deluded one

Nope. We have your posts to substantiate my conclusions.

> > As you and Brain say, "Google provides".
>
> where?

"www.google.com" Select "Groups", then "rec.radio.amateur.policy"

> > > > > > You blame all of your
> > > > > > numerous problems on others.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > another lie Dave
> > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > yep
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > > > > Fact.
> > > > >
> > > > > As you and Brain like to say..."Google provides".
> > > >
> > > no

> >
> > Yes.
>
> where?

"www.google.com" Select "Groups", then
"rec.radio.amateur.policy".

> > > > > > Now, see if you can have me fired.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > where do you work?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
> > > > > > you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
> > > > > > contacting him and I promise to look into it
> > > > >
> > > > > A "promise" from you is like using a roll of toilet paper to dry up
> > > > > Lake Erie.
> > > >
> > > > not at all
> > >
> > > Absolutely "at all".
>
> nope
>
> I have every intention of trying to get dave fired if he comfrimed that
> it is his wish I do so

Uh huh.

> > > if Dave wishes me to get him fired all he needs to do is amke it clear
> > > that is what he wants give the means to contact his boss and I will get
> > > to work on it
> > >
> > > More empty threats.
>
> another lie from Stevie
>
> No threat at all
>
> Dave has offered a contract if he accept the services he seem to be
> requesting I will accept the deal and carry out the contract
>
> nothing threating in offering to cary out his wishes for him (unless we
> are talking about assiting him in suicide or something like that
> although I don't think that should be crime, the legal system does
> disagree at this time)
>
> but we both know (i know at least I hope you do but you know I can't be
> sure you do know) that Dave is talking ####

Markie, That was priceless.

> cut
> > > the same offer extend to you, if you WANT me to get you fired tell me
> > > where you work the name of chian of supervisours and I will get to work
> > > on it
> >
> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> I take it you decline my offer, might senible of you I must say

"sensible"

Markie, creeps like you call the hospitals EVERY DAY and try to
"get Nurse So-and-So fired" for some perceived sleight.

Your "attempts" from the UP would be dismissed just as rapidly as
the local ones are.

> > > I can be quite generous with such things when i please
> >
> > The only thing you are "generous" with, Markie, is deceit and
> > self-humiliation.
>
> more stevie lies

Nope.

"Google provides"

Steve, K4YZ

## 702. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:09:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131462569.543738.271620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123267
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Commode Butt Cornholer_of_little_boys who molests young boys wrote:
> whinyslit_Lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>
> >>
> >>>Guess the shit you eat is getting to your single brain cell, Roger
> >>>Halstead K8RI. Tell us all about how you have a pilot's license, even
> >>>if it is from a crackerjack box.
> >>>
> >>
> >>Who is K8RI wogie?
> >
> > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> > Fatass makes good sentence!
> > LOL at the fake pilot's license boy!
>
>
> You're dumber than markie and toddie put together,

"Markie", "Toddie"

> and that's really dumb, 2test wogie.

At least he knows that names get capitalized, fake pilot's license
boy.
"2test" - Butt Cornholer makes good fuck up! You can't even pass the
test the first time.

Poor Little Butt Cornholer, he can't even pass anything but the
training wheel ham license...good thing his regular radio activity of
CBing has no license, he's be shit out of luck like his is with the
rest of his life.

How to speak like a fucking imbecile like Butt Cornholer:

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:

"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
school once again in

<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

# 703. Re: CLASSIC STEVE "I'M A ASSHOLE" ROBBERSON POST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:12:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131462771.256896.67580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123268

N9OGL wrote:
> >And my bitch Toad Daugherty is taking my boot up his faggot ass like a
> >good little submissive fem.
>
>
> you wish Stebie...

You are a little faggot and a fem, Toadie.

>you little sissy boy

Toad, you are a fucking imbecile. Now, go suck your daddy's dick so he won't boot you out of the basement again.

## 704. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:14:00 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131462840.812699.218420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123269

N9OGL wrote:
> The FCC does NOT have any juridiction over the Internet...nice try
> though

They'll just get you for that QRMing you are doing on 20 meters, faggot.

# 705. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:14:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131462867.083012.134400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123270

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> todd is at what again?

I guess it was too much to expect you to read AND understand what
was said, Markie...My bust.

> are you claiming Todd is repsonible for the content and activities of
> all bloggers but you are not responible for your own posts on USENET

I didn't claim Todd was "responible" for that...I said his BUDDIES
were.

E N G L I S H, Markie...Learn it...Love It...

Steve, K4YZ

## 706. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:15:15 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131462915.908012.36530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123271

Poor Toadie, he hasn't so far gottten anybody but himself to
participate in his "sites", either.

# 707. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:17:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131463078.670687.48020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123272

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Return-Path: <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> > Received: from rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (rly-xa06.mail.aol.com
> > [172.20.64.42]) by air-xa01.mail.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
> > MAILINXA14-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:48 -0500
> > Received: from blogger.com (ftpout.blogger.com [66.102.15.83]) by
> > rly-xa06.mx.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
> > MAILRELAYINXA63-6b9436fc21c5e; Mon, 07 Nov 2005 16:07:43 -0500
> > Received: from bla161.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242])
> > by blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 67A551FD689
> > for <k4yz@aol.com>; Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
> > Message-ID: <24643749.1131397660275.JavaMail.root@bla161.blogger.com>
> > From: Todd <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
> > To: k4yz@aol.com
> > Subject: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil: Post
> > 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of God
> > Mime-Version: 1.0
> > Content-Type: text/plain
> > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> > Date: Mon, 7 Nov 2005 13:12:06 -0800 (PST)
> > X-AOL-IP: 66.102.15.83
> > X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:436126017:10737418
> > X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
> > Todd has sent you a link to a weblog:
> >
> > You mother####ing ##### #####. I told you to not ####ing mail me agan.
> >
> > Blog: Spiritual Warfare: The World, the Flesh, and the Devil
> > Post: Post 4: The Battlefield of the Mind - Part II: Spiritual Armor of
> > God
> > Link:
> > http://battleinchrist.blogspot.com/2005/10/post-4-battlefield-of-mind-part-ii.html
> >
> > --
> > Powered by Blogger
> > http://www.blogger.com/
>
> Why on earth would you visit his "blog?"

I didn't, Brainie. Follow the headers. It was sent to me in
e-mail.

> Are you one of those modern citizens that demands to be offended?

No, I am not you or Markie.

> Demands to be outraged?
>
> #### off you little #### ant.

Such maturity...such deft use of the language to make a persuasive point.

Such an idiot...Brian P Burke, N0IMD.

Steve, K4YZ

## 708. Re: (ot) France

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:18:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131463110.108964.194340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You'd have to ask the fatass with the screwed up 2nd floor roof near
the deliverence-reject town of Cameron for that.

# 709. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:21:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131463288.893477.245980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123274

N9OGL wrote:
> What's really neat mark, is I was no where near a computer the time it
> was sent out, I was in a lecture at school with 20 other people and the
> professor. I was no where near a computer at that time.

No one said you were responsible, Todd...as a matter fo fact if
you read the subject line, you'll see it clearly states that.

What's REALLY neat is that your guilty conscience jumped in there
and made excuses when you clearly were left blameless.

Sheeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

## 710. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:21:45 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131463305.779471.160600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123275

The "Coomode" couldn't get real if he tried. He'd rather hide behind a cheezy 50's sci-fi name.

## 711. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:22:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131463356.308322.58480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123276

The "Commode" couldn't get real if he tried. He'd rather hide behind a cheezy 50's sci-fi name.

# 712. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:24:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131463472.700307.112010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123277

an_old_friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > What's really neat mark, is I was no where near a computer the time it
> > was sent out, I was in a lecture at school with 20 other people and the
> > professor. I was no where near a computer at that time.
>
> amazing isn't it.
>
> did you acomplish it by some feat of mind over computer?
>
> looking at the header Stevie posted it looked to my ignorant eye like
> someone on AOL made the forged message from you.
>
> Did the Gunny forge a an eaiml from you to himself? I wonder

Mark...

What's an "eaiml"...?!?!

If you meant "e mail", then you could follow the headers and see my
IP isn't in there, except as recipient.

Lame try, Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

## 713. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:31:44 UTC
From: whinyslit woger <whinyslit_woger@no.ballz>
Message-ID: <8755017833.24ab58F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123278

On 8 Nov 2005 07:14:00 -0800, Secwet Woger wrote:
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > The FCC does NOT have any juridiction over the Internet...nice try
> > though
>
> They'll just get you for that QRMing you are doing on 20 meters, faggot.

You wouldn't want to be retested like AB8MQ was ...

## 714. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:48:34 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1y75gk@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1y75gk@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123299

N2EY@AOL.COM Wrote:
>
> It could be claimed that since the Extra written isn't required to use
> 14,030, why is it an absolute requirement to use 14,020?
>

Because some old silverhaired guys at ARRL needed FCC to validate that
they were better than their peers.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

## 715. Re: Can Stevie be trusted to make professional treament of a pagan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:54:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131465284.278671.176190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123279

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
> > > > > just an example of Stevie language If you knew Stevie LPN was making
> > > > > such a statement, and you were a pagan (or a muslim for that matter)
> > > > > would you trust him with your life?
> > > > >
> > > > If they want the best chance for getting out of a bad situation,
> > > > they do.
> > > >
> > > Wow! Steve is the best hope that a Pagan or Muslim can hope for.
> > >
> > Or Christian..or Hindu..or Buddhist...etc etc etc...and having a
> > heart attack or stroke, yeah...You'd want me by your side.
> >
> obviously not Steve and you should look at that fact

What fact?

> niether I nor Brain would knowingly consent to you having any part in
> our treatment in an ER or anywhere else

Suits me, Markie. Just means I wouldn't have to gown up in all of
that HAZMAT gear...we're not allowed to come into contact with that
much fecal mass without it.

> Len I suspect would agree although he has not rung in on this one
>
> your attitude is so bad we don't trust you with pataint care

What's a "pataint"...?!?!

> I would realy like to know where you work I'll promise not to call. I
> want to know becuase I would insist on being taken someplace else
> becuase you worked there

"really" "because" "because" again...

Yeah...Like I'm going to tell you!

> even at the risk of my life. I would never willing place it in your
> hands

Your call.

> > > > Since you mention Islam, Markie, do YOU know the Five Pillars of
> > > > Islam?
> > > >
> > > > Hmmm? The Five Pillars? Hmmm? Does Jim/N2EY know of the five pillars
> > > > or does Jim/N2EY not know of the 5 pillars?
> > >
> > > The question was directed at Jim.
> >
> > why was it derected at Jim?
> >
> > or is that another typo from the MMM

No typo. Follow the attributes, Markie.

> >It was directed at Markie.
> >
> > indeed but it rather like asking you if you have stoped beating your
> > wife yet?

Why would I be beating your wife, Markie? I am sure he's bigger
than me anyway.

> > > > For that matter. does Steve know of the Five Pillars?
> > >
> > > Yep.
> > >
> > > > Does a Five Pillars expert need to report to RRAP
> > >
> > > Was that a question or a statement?
> >
> > obviosly a question can't you see the question mark?

Obviously YOU can't either, since you quoted the remark and
there's not one there either.

> > > > Five Pillars Expert report to RRAP, thanks.
> > >
> > > Sheesh, Brain. You and Markie are the ones making allusions that I
> > > have no sensitivities towards others based upon their religions...Yet
> > > all you can do is make fun of their religions...I at least have some
> > > fundamental understanding of the framework of those religions.
> >
> > really? when you going to display it?

I already do.

That you don't accept it is not my problem.

It's yours.

> > Wassup with that?
> >
> > > > > > I would not esp when it isn't just the one statement
> > > > > >

> > > > That's because you're an idiot that can't seperate USENET from
> > > > "real life".
> > >
> > > Consider it "Real Web TV." ###hole.
> >
> > Oh! THAT was MEANINGFUL! Poignant! Seperates the men from the
> > boys!
>
> I should the men stay seperate from the boys at all times

More random words, Markie...Or at least you left something out...

> > > > > Stevie you need to realize you are currently a NURSE and a PROFESSIONAL
> > > > > and a licensed one at that ,a nd therefore you need to be doing better
> > > > > and claener than alot of folks
> > > >
> > > > "and" "cleaner"
> > > >
> > > > And Markie, from a guy who claims to have a 248 IQ, a Bachelor's
> > > > degree and a "comfortable lifestyle", YOU need to be leading by
> > > > example, not making snide remarks about being "cleaner". Especially
> > > > after those "solid waste" remarks...To have even CONTEMPLATED them was
> > > > disgusting, and to have made them in public was absolutely assinine.
> > >
> > > "Putz" "liar" "deceitful" "coward" "homosexual" "pedophile"
> > >
> > > Talking about public remarks...
> >
> > Yep. And not a one of them a profanity.
>
> so?

So good luck in trying to make something out of it, Markie.

> > > > BTW, Markie, unless someone has "JEW" or "CHRISTIAN" or other
> > > > religious preference tatooed on their head, I never know what their
> > > > preferences are. I don't particularly care, either, unless it involves
> > > > human sacrifice.
> >
> > > Liar.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yep

Nope. To substantiate a "lie" you'd have to observe me first hand
at work, and since THAT is not ging to happen, at best you can
SPECULATE...Or worse, yet another one of your mistruths.

> > > You make it a point to call Len a JEWish "Putz."
> >
> > Lennie IS a "putz". I've NEVER made any inference as to what

> > religion he may be. I don't think I'd care to know.......
>
> hmm? I will have to see what Google has to say about that and get back
> to you
>
> my memory right off say you have but maybe I my memory is wrong
>
> cuting the rant

If you "cut" the rants', Markie, you'd never post in RRAP again.

> > > Mark a Homosexual Pagan.
> >
> > Mark IS a homosexual Pagan. He's said so himself.
>
> a stevie lie

Nope.

> I am a Bisexaul pagan
>
> you can't even accept that I am of the sexual orientation that I am
> without trying to lie about it

I am not lying.

You have sex with men.

That's gay.

> > So where's the "lie", Brain...?!?!
>
> when you said homosexual and when you said I said i was one

You have sex with men. You're gay. That's not a sleight or
misrepresentation, it's just the truth, one that YOU have acknowledged
before.

Now if you'll just "get over" the terminology and move on, you'll
be much happier with yourself.

Steve, K4YZ

## 716. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:57:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <qji1n1dhb5q5dotriiot28dkha8df8ntgm@4ax.com>
Source record: 123280

On 8 Nov 2005 15:31:44 GMT, "whinyslit woger"
<whinyslit_woger@no.ballz> wrote:

>On 8 Nov 2005 07:14:00 -0800, Secwet Woger wrote:
>>
>> N9OGL wrote:
>> > The FCC does NOT have any juridiction over the Internet...nice try
>> > though
>>
>> They'll just get you for that QRMing you are doing on 20 meters, faggot.
>
>You wouldn't want to be retested like AB8MQ was ...

no he would prefer not be but i believe he would no real trouble
passing the worst o it would be studing severl question pools at once
with the differeing reviledges of the several classes

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 717. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:58:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <jni1n1duumlk5up8173jd4ms6hdub2qc36@4ax.com>
Source record: 123281

On 8 Nov 2005 07:24:32 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>> > What's really neat mark, is I was no where near a computer the time it
>> > was sent out, I was in a lecture at school with 20 other people and the
>> > professor. I was no where near a computer at that time.
>>
>> amazing isn't it.
>>
>> did you acomplish it by some feat of mind over computer?
>>
>> looking at the header Stevie posted it looked to my ignorant eye like
>> someone on AOL made the forged message from you.
>>
>> Did the Gunny forge a an eaiml from you to himself? I wonder
>
> Mark...
>
> What's an "eaiml"...?!?!
>
> If you meant "e mail", then you could follow the headers and see my
>IP isn't in there, except as recipient.

not the way I read it Stevie from waht you posted it apeeared to me an
AOL memebr sent the whole thing
>
> Lame try, Markie!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 718. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 15:59:24 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <7pi1n1pc43k74sr0j7vnskfhplnh0qm3r1@4ax.com>
Source record: 123282

On 8 Nov 2005 07:21:28 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> What's really neat mark, is I was no where near a computer the time it
>> was sent out, I was in a lecture at school with 20 other people and the
>> professor. I was no where near a computer at that time.
>
> No one said you were responsible, Todd...as a matter fo fact if
>you read the subject line, you'll see it clearly states that.

another Stevie lie

look the title you types whan you can read what you typed get back to
us
>
> What's REALLY neat is that your guilty conscience jumped in there
>and made excuses when you clearly were left blameless.
>
> Sheeesh.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 719. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 16:01:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9ri1n1htjeb9pjb7femqoltbjpo7o4frsu@4ax.com>
Source record: 123283

On 8 Nov 2005 07:14:27 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> todd is at what again?
>
> I guess it was too much to expect you to read AND understand what
>was said, Markie...My bust.
>
>> are you claiming Todd is repsonible for the content and activities of
>> all bloggers but you are not responible for your own posts on USENET
>
> I didn't claim Todd was "responible" for that...I said his BUDDIES
>were.

Stevie then you lied again they obviously are not his buddies

after you that is the standard you use when I susgest one of your
freinds did something you say they aren't your freinds

>
> E N G L I S H, Markie...Learn it...Love It...

USE english Stevie

and use logic
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 720. Re: newsflash Stevei (Stevie) may have goten (gotten) something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 16:05:40 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <j4j1n19gt17jk0hpdo70mj72o1q83do97t@4ax.com>
Source record: 123284

On 8 Nov 2005 06:09:26 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > nobodys old friend wrote:
>> >
>> > > went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
>> > > accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
>> >
>> > You're really bored, aren't you...?!?!
>>
>> having good time in point os fact
>
> "os"...?!?! You're the opening of the cervix?

more lying from stevie
>
> Considering your expressed sexual preferences, I am sure that
>you've acted like it before.
>
>> but you are despiable
>
> "despiable" is not a word. What did you mean?
>
>> I promised I check on your claim and get to you
>>
>> I did
>>
>> I even said you seem to be right (ill give a chance to show different
>> before conceding the point all together)
>
> There was no "point" to "concede" in the first place. It was a
>fact and remains such.

yes there was and is

but youd rather fight

>
>> > > can't any such reference
>> >
>> > Of course not.
>> >
>> > Just as I have said before, Lennie and Brain are the ones who try
>> > to make some "religious" inference based upon some really fantastic

>> > stretching of the application of a root word.
>> >
>> > > if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
>> >
>> > What "round"...?!?!
>
> You didn't answer my question.

so?

you have no right to expect me to unless it amuses me

and een then

>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 721. Re: Day 1 Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 16:06:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <07j1n15ljh8b86e9133m91j9cpflsbu9ql@4ax.com>
Source record: 123285

On Tue, 08 Nov 2005 13:05:19 GMT, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1131388042.378261.291870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> Forget all the "my survey of comments analysis"
>>> is more accurate than yours... Consider the following
>>> question/challenge:
>>>
>>> In all of the procode test arguments and comments has
>>> even one NEW reason to retain code testiing been
>>> offered?
>>
>> I Have not seen one But then I make no claim
>> to have read em all (my
>> tolerance for crap ran out too fast)
>>
>>> Forget even if such an argument is valid or
>>> compelling in any way...I'm looking for any argument
>>> not previously raised and dismissed by or in past
>>> FCC commentary (NPRMs, R&Os, etc.)
>>
>> Indeed if there was one I suspect it would delay the R&O no matter how
>> of the wall it was
>>>
>>> My short answer (and I'll be the first to say I
>>> haven't read all comments) is that there are no
>>> new arguments raised... because if there had been
>>> we'd have seen it or them by now.
>
>Just about 24 hours since I posted my challeneg and nothing
>new has been offered up.

Please Bll don't hold your breth waiting waiting I like you
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK
>

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 722. Stevie please pay attention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 16:49:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <jcl1n11iof8la4r4vocnjcjfmi6jfrjvj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 123286

Stevie you don't get

you have not been reading

the basis the prime base will be your lying in medcial matters

your refusaul to tell the ruth about people in medicaly related
matters

You have lied about Tood father having AIDS

you have lied several times about me having AIDS you have even lied
about my being HOMOsexual

you have lied about Todd being homosexual

you have lied about me suffering from pedophilia

you have lied about my status as a disabled person

you have made false medical dianoises about almost everyone in RRAP

At least in my case you claim to sent a letter to OFFICAILs concerning
my mental health, given your passion for lying on here and that you
say once a liar alwasy a liar(or words to that effect) it is
reasonable to question wether you told the turth in that statement

If you lied to michigan you violated the regs wether enough to get
your license yanked I don't know

we shall see

you just can't get your stoies straight

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 723. Re: newsflash Stevei may have goten something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 16:51:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <rql1n11c7o2ttaaiv2u7jl2n7tq4d9oheo@4ax.com>
Source record: 123287

On 8 Nov 2005 04:31:26 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
>> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
>>
>> can't any such reference
>>
>> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
>
>I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
>particular faith.
>
>Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
>perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
>"dick."
oh that

well it is just part of Stevies passion for lying

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 724. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 17:18:53 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dkqmlj$24u$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123288
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Ralph Mowery" <rmowery28146@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:0KRbf.6157$m81.2342@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> >
> > I would guess a fair amount dont really care about the technical side or
> > would want to build their own radio and linear. You would have to be
> pretty
> > dedicated for that. I would also guess at most Amateurs are happy just
> > talking legally with a licence and a stock bought radio and antenna. Not
> > that theres anything wrong with that, it just depends on how serious you
> > take the hobby. Like the M3 foundation licence. It suits a lot of people
> who
> > are.. disillusioned with CB and want to go Amateur but not really
wishing
> to
> > get so involved with the whole technical side of things. There are some
> good
> > M3 people out there whatever the old school say, but i agree they will
> never
> > be on a par with a full licence holder but is that such a terrible
thing.
> >
>
> I don't have any problem with the ones that just want to talk. There are
> many good hams in public service providing communications for emergencies.
> I know one ham that got his license many years ago so he could fly his
radio
> controled airplane and use 6 meters where there were few others using that
> frequency.
>
> I do wish that the test could be hands on for a few simple things such as
> installing a coax connector and and using a simple SWR meter. Also it
> should be required to have a ARRL handbook or equivilent in the shack.
> Would not even have to be a new one, but one 10 years old would be ok.
Not
> that many would really read it but it could answer some simple questions.

I quite agree. I also personally think to obtain a CB licence (UK im talking
here) there should be a small test.. nothing complicated, just wiring on a
PL259 correctly and how to use a SWR meter (as you say for Amateur too).
Thats two basic things ive seen either ignored or very badly done.. ie.
someone twisting the inner and outer of the co-ax together and shoving it
through the hole in the PL.... then they wonder why the radio they just
bought off you wont get out.... or someone say..swred an antenna?? whats

that then?? sheesh..
Exceedingly unlikely now as to all intents and purposes the CB band isnt of interest to the authorities that be.
Oh well..............

## 725. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 17:23:01 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dkqmtb$fb7$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123289
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
news:t61cf.871$GT3.448@fe02.lga...
>
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:dkm150$qa5$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >
> > "PowerHouse Communications" <PowerHouse@PrivateBox.Net> wrote in message
> > news:CXtbf.9915$7s1.1097@fe04.lga...
> > >
> > > "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> > > news:dkldtm$72s$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > > I wonder where the law stands as far as CB radio's go. Are CB's
> allowed
> > to
> > > > be repaired by the average CB'er who has the knowledge or are they
> only
> > > > repairable by a qualified technician of some kind. I ask along the
> lines
> > > of
> > > > the UK but would find the answer for America just as interesting.
> > > >
> > >
> > > I'm not sure why this thread is even being cross-posted into a CB
group,
> > but
> >
> > Because its a question about CB's ??????????
> >
>
> No, not your question, the whole thread, which was a rant about "Ham
Radio".
> Had nothing to do with CB, except for a brief reference.

Oh right.... mind you theres that much Ham stuff on the website is it a
problem? I just read it with some interest and ask points that i think might
be relevent. Theres more stuff about liars and army service on this group
though for some reason. That i dont get.
Regards,
Graham

## 726. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 17:54:49 UTC
From: Crazy_Roger <Nutcase@secwetcrazywog.net>
Message-ID: <dkqomq$qj2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Why would a Fry Cook be required to have correspondence
Masters Degree from DeVry?

Me thinks Secwet Wogie has not masters degree, not even a
correspondence one! He is too busy cleaning Porta-Poties
all day.

ROTFLMAO!

## 727. Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 18:21:51 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1y75gj@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1y75gj@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123298

Browsing the FCC internet site the sheer number of reply comments by one Californian caught my eye.

One quote was particularly petulant --- "Based on the twenty items discussed and comment on them, this commenter would urge the Commission to ignore ARRL desires..."

Perhaps, based on his tens of thousands of posts on the usernet, we should urge the Commission to ignore Leonard H. Anderson desires.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

## 728. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 18:33:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131474834.994640.226760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123291

I'm not QRMing anyone I use a unused frequency run to run my one hour program

# 729. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 18:43:54 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131475434.885930.261810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123292

I got one of those too...

>From Steve Tue Nov 8 07:52:11 2005
X-Apparently-To: toddaug555@yahoo.com via 68.142.201.208; Tue, 08 Nov
2005 07:52:13 -0800
X-Originating-IP: [66.102.15.83]
Return-Path: <k4yz@aol.com>
Authentication-Results: mta173.mail.dcn.yahoo.com from=aol.com;
domainkeys=neutral (no sig)
Received: from 66.102.15.83 (EHLO blogger.com) (66.102.15.83) by
mta173.mail.dcn.yahoo.com with SMTP; Tue, 08 Nov 2005 07:52:13 -0800
Received: from bla34.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242]) by
blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2597E1966E for
<toddaug555@yahoo.com>; Tue, 8 Nov 2005 07:52:11 -0800 (PST)
Message-ID: <4592846.1131465131146.JavaMail.root@bla34.blogger.com>
From: "Steve" <k4yz@aol.com> Add to Address Book Add Mobile Alert
To: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Subject: The Problem With Hindsight
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Date: Tue, 8 Nov 2005 07:52:11 -0800 (PST)
Content-Length: 287
Steve has sent you a link to a weblog:

Stop emailing your crap to me Todd, or else I will be calling the
Taylorville Police Department.

Blog: The Problem With Hindsight
Link: http://theproblemwithhindsight.blogspot.com/2005/11/bye.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/

# 730. Re: Can Stevie be trusted to make professional treament of a Bisexual? hell no he denies they exist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 18:44:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131475459.700925.217110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123293

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
> > > > > > just an example of Stevie language If you knew Stevie LPN was making
> > > > > > such a statement, and you were a pagan (or a muslim for that matter)
> > > > > > would you trust him with your life?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If they want the best chance for getting out of a bad situation,
> > > > > > they do.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Wow! Steve is the best hope that a Pagan or Muslim can hope for.
> > > > >
> > > > Or Christian..or Hindu..or Buddhist...etc etc etc...and having a
> > > > heart attack or stroke, yeah...You'd want me by your side.
> > > >
> > > obviously not Steve and you should look at that fact
> >
> What fact?

the stated one

that is repeated below
>
> > niether I nor Brain would knowingly consent to you having any part in
> > our treatment in an ER or anywhere else
> >
> Suits me, Markie. Just means I wouldn't have to gown up in all of
> that HAZMAT gear...we're not allowed to come into contact with that
> much fecal mass without it.

showing you passion for untruth again
>
> > Len I suspect would agree although he has not rung in on this one
> > >
> > your attitude is so bad we don't trust you with pataint care
> >
> What's a "pataint"...?!?!
>
> > I would realy like to know where you work I'll promise not to call. I
> > want to know becuase I would insist on being taken someplace else

> > becuase you worked there
>
> "really" "because" "because" again...
>
> Yeah...Like I'm going to tell you!

Never said you would

>
> > even at the risk of my life. I would never willing place it in your
> > hands
>
> Your call.

not yet that is why I am filing my concers with the BoN

>
> > > > > Since you mention Islam, Markie, do YOU know the Five Pillars of
> > > > > Islam?
> > > > >
> > > > Hmmm? The Five Pillars? Hmmm? Does Jim/N2EY know of the five pillars
> > > > or does Jim/N2EY not know of the 5 pillars?
> > >
> > The question was directed at Jim.
> >
> > why was it derected at Jim?
> >
> > or is that another typo from the MMM
>
> No typo. Follow the attributes, Markie.

then answer the question why?

>
> > >It was directed at Markie.
> >
> > indeed but it rather like asking you if you have stoped beating your
> > wife yet?
>
> Why would I be beating your wife, Markie? I am sure he's bigger
> than me anyway.

another lie Stevie

>
> > > > For that matter. does Steve know of the Five Pillars?
> > >
> > > Yep.
> > >
> > > > Does a Five Pillars expert need to report to RRAP?
> > >
> > > Was that a question or a statement?
> >

> > obviosly a question can't you see the question mark?
>
> Obviously YOU can't either, since you quoted the remark and
> there's not one there either.

really?

>
> > > > Five Pillars Expert report to RRAP, thanks.
> > >
> > > Sheesh, Brain. You and Markie are the ones making allusions that I
> > > have no sensitivities towards others based upon their religions...Yet
> > > all you can do is make fun of their religions...I at least have some
> > > fundamental understanding of the framework of those religions.
> >
> > really? when you going to display it?
>
> I already do.

where?

>
> That you don't accept it is not my problem.

that you lie about it Stevie preahps lying first to yourself. You mock
my religion from time to time

when are you going to prove your alleged "sensitivities" toward others
based on there religions

you forget the Hiram Maxim and tarrot slam i guess

>
> It's yours.

we shall see

cut

if Stevie want to reply to something he should reply
cut
> > > > Talking about public remarks...
> > >
> > > Yep. And not a one of them a profanity.
> >
> > so?
>
> So good luck in trying to make something out of it, Markie.

thank you I take your good wishes on the score

>
> > > > > BTW, Markie, unless someone has "JEW" or "CHRISTIAN" or other
> > > > > religious preference tatooed on their head, I never know what their

> > > > > preferences are. I don't particularly care, either, unless it involves
> > > > > human sacrifice.
> > >
> > > > Liar.
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yep
>
> Nope. To substantiate a "lie" you'd have to observe me first hand
> at work, and since THAT is not ging to happen, at best you can
> SPECULATE...Or worse, yet another one of your mistruths.

one your statement above is plain false

two if true it proves you have been lying about everything you have
said about me over the years

>
> > > > You make it a point to call Len a JEWish "Putz."
> > >
> > > Lennie IS a "putz". I've NEVER made any inference as to what
> > > religion he may be. I don't think I'd care to know.......
> >
> > hmm? I will have to see what Google has to say about that and get back
> > to you
> >
> > my memory right off say you have but maybe I my memory is wrong
> >
> > cuting the rant
>
> If you "cut" the rants', Markie, you'd never post in RRAP again.

another lie Stevie

you can't ever seem to to tel the turth

>
> > > > Mark a Homosexual Pagan.
> > >
> > > Mark IS a homosexual Pagan. He's said so himself.
> >
> > a stevie lie
>
> Nope.

yes

>
> > I am a Bisexaul pagan
> >
> > you can't even accept that I am of the sexual orientation that I am
> > without trying to lie about it

>
> I am not lying.

you are lying

>
> You have sex with men.

yes

>
> That's gay.

it is not homosexual

>
> > > So where's the "lie", Brain...?!?!
> >
> > when you said homosexual and when you said I said i was one
>
> You have sex with men. You're gay. That's not a sleight or
> misrepresentation, it's just the truth, one that YOU have acknowledged
> before.

yes it is a misreprestation

it is not the turth

I have sex with women. in point of fact as it happens I have had sex
with a woman within the hour

therefore I am not homosexual

this exchance proves IMO thatyou are unfit to be an LPN

you refuse to accept the fact that a the Bisexual orientation exists,
indeed there are specail medical issues related to it, that you refuse
to accept it existyance is proof IMO that you can not execute your
duties as an LPN with respect to a Bisexaul person

>
> Now if you'll just "get over" the terminology and move on, you'll
> be much happier with yourself.

you need to learn to the truth but I suspect it is too late for you
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 731. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 18:45:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131475546.972061.223610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123294

K4YZ wrote:
> stole from old friend wrote:

more violationof of property right

> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > raped an old friend wrote:
> > violation of Proety right by K4YZ
>
> What's a "Proety right"...?!?!
>
> There's no such thing.
>
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >>raped_by_an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > > You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
> > > > > > > medicine, did you?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > no I did not
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > nor do I feel there is anything to correct
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > so why should try
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But that's NOT what you said in OTHER posts, Markie!
> > > > >
> > > > I never said I felt there was anything to corect in any other post
> > > > Stevie
> > >
> > > "correct"
> > >
> > > But that's not what you suggested in the previous post.
> >
> > what post and what are you talking about?
>
> Every recent post in which you suggest that I "prescribed"
> medication.
>
> And you substantiated that in the very post that you've now thrice
> quoted.
>
> > > > > There's not a single word in anything I've said that violates
> > > > > either the Nurse Practice Act >>OR<< the Medical Practice Act of the
> > > > > State of Tennessee...or any OTHER state, for THAT matter!
> > > >

> > > > it does violate the regs posted for LPN by the BoN of TN
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > sure seems to
> >
> What "seems" to you is nothing more than your inappropriate
> interpretation of something you HOPE you can use against me.
>
> The truth is something different.
>
> > > Keep dreaming, Markie.
> > >
> > not dreaming
> >
> Actually, DAYdreaming is more appropriate.
>
> > nothing concerning is dream, a nightmare prehaps never a dream
> >
> Again, you leave words out, disorganize others, and the meaning is
> lost.
>
> > > > > > > How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
> > > > > > > became your spliting of hairs
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Yes, a very OBVIOUS "imprecision" occured!
> > > > >
> > > > > where?
> > > > >
> > > > > that I choose not to dispute that others may form different opinion
> > > > > than mine?
> > > >
> > > No, from your imprecison with the written word.
> > >
> > what imprecision are you refering to?
> >
> Your assinine attempt to suggest my "take an Ativan" comment was
> an attempot to prescribe a medicine.
>
> > > As for what may be a "different opinion" or what is just jibberish,
> > > who's to tell?
> > >
> > me for one
> >
> How can you tell?
>
> Your English comprehension skills, in a word, suck. Your grammar
> is worse.

>
> > cut
> > > > > > > yes
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then you're even more confused than you first appeared to be....
> > > > >
> > > > > prehaps
> > > >
> > > "perhaps"
> > >
> > > No "prehaps" to it...You're confused.
> >
> > another stevie lie
>
> How can THAT be? YOU have suggested that you ARE confused! You
> even requoted it right here!
>
> > > > obviously I don't think so but you may be right
> > >
> > > There's no "may be" to it.
> >
> > yet another lie
>
> Again, how can it be? YOU suggested that I am right, and even
> quoted it in your OWN post! Both of these examples simply substantiate
> my opinion that you ARE confused!
>
> > > > > > >Your past fabrications
> > > > > > > > regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > what lies on other topics?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
> > > > > > > enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
> > > > > > > to the DoD no action was taken
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Lying about being a Field Grade commissioned officer is NOT legal
> > > > > > under ANY circumstances, save perhaps for obvious theatrical
> > > > > > performances.
> > > > >
> > > > > as was the case those years ago
> > > >
> > > > as I said it was clearly legal enough since the DoD did nothing in
> > > > response to your complaints
> > >
> > > That the DoD has better things to do than intervene in a USENET
> > > exchange is one thing.
> >
> > meaning they found legal enough not to bother with, exactly as I said
>

> Not as you said.
>
> > > People "break laws" every day without being cited or prosecuted
> > > for them...But that does NOT legitimize their infractions.
> > >
> > yep you would certainly know about that Stevie
> >
> Nope.
> >
> > cuting steve being nonresponive
> >
> What's "nonresponive"...?!?!?
> >
> > > > yes I can since they can no longer file charges, and never choose to
> > > > file them espite your infroming them
> > > >
> > > "chose" "despite" "informing"
> > > >
> > > That they dismiss you as the nutcase you are does not lefitimize
> > > breaking the law. It just means you're probably THAT insignificant...
> > >
> > Stevie it means as a matter of law I am inocent of the offense
> >
> You are only innocent of an offense when so adjudicated by the
> court.
>
> > I am inocent of any crime any crime til proved guilty
> > >
> > get over it
> >
> Get over what? That you're a liar and deceiver?
> >
> > respect the rule of law
> >
> The law has not "ruled" here.
> >
> > > > > > > I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago
> > > > > >
> > > > > > And they ALSO get people "confessing" to be JFK's assassin, to
> > > > > > have been abducted by aliens and hundreds of other crank and obviously
> > > > > > mentally inadequate states of mind ever year.
> > > > >
> > > > > so?
> > > >
> > > So it puts you into that pile.
> > >
> > still makes me an innocent man
> >
> No, it means you've not had your day in court.
>

> > an innocent man that you hound despite the legal facts of the case
>
> There are no "legal facts" until the evidence has been submitted
> to a court and deemed valid. So far that's not happened.
>
> > > > > No doubt you made the list.
> > > > >
> > > > > That you would "call and confess" only made you look more idiotic!
> > > > >
> > > > but it legaly would start the clock on the staute of limitations
> > >
> > > "legally" "statute"
> > >
> > > Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.
> >
> > right
>
> Uh huh.
>
> > > > > Thanks!
> > > > >
> > > > > for what?
> > >
> > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > I guess your ignorance really DOES know no bounds!
> >
> > and you refuse to enlighten me
>
> I've been trying...Lord knows I've been trying, as have dozens of
> others.
>
> You're beyond being "enlightened"...Soooooooooooooooooo far
> beyond!
>
> > > > > > > your
> > > > > > > ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
> > > > > > > my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hot flash, Hot Rod...No prosecutable act of discrimination has
> > > > > > occured.
> > > > >
> > > > > agreed nor do I expect one to occour therefore I expect the State of TN
> > > > > to ignore my sexuality as I said to Dave
> > >

> > > So now we have TWO statements in ONE 12 hour period wherein you
> > > acknowledge that no censurable act has occured.
> >
> > nope we have no such stament at all
> >
> > we have Stevie lying again about what I have said
>
> Nope. I have YOUR words. Again, YOU requoted them in this most
> immediate post!
>
> You can't even follow your own words in the attributes!
>
> > > Thanks. I'll file this one too.
> > >
> > > > if the State treats me with less credibility becuase of My sexuality
> > > > then a violation would occour but I don't expect that to happen
> > >
> > > We can wrap you in Saran Wrap, ....
> >
> > no you can not
> >
> > that would be assualt
>
> "assault"
>
> > >hide every religious icon, tatoo or
> > > other mark or symbol you may wear or bear, and it won't make a
> > > difference.
> >
> > except those acts would be violating my civil rights gues you have not
> > heard of the 1st amendment
>
> Oh, I've heard of it!
>
> And Markie, in the above exchanges, the First Ammendment has
> NOTHING TO DO WITH ANY OF THE COMMENTS.
>
> If you're going to invoke the Bill of Rights, Markie, invoke the
> RIGHT ONES!
>
> Idiot!
>
> Sheeeeeeeeeeesh!
>
> > > You've already admitted on occassions too numerous to catalog that
> > > you lie, do so liberally, and consider it to be a "right" and a morally
> > > just act.
> >
> > you keep omiting the full quote "in some cases"
>

> Oh Mr Markie! Don't YOU give ME any guff about "full quotes" ! !
> !
>
> We have a billion of your "cut" and "cuting" comments throughout
> RRAP!
>
> > > That's all I need, Markie.
> >
> > how does my lying allow you to claim Todds Father has Aids
>
> Your LYING has ALSO included numerous occassions wherein you've
> deceided to add your own "definitions". You failed to quote my
> "definition" of "AIDS".
>
> > > > > You've not been "denied" a seconds worth of "free speech" here, nor
> > > > > have you been denied the vote, kept from health care (indeed I think
> > > > > you are more in need than most) nor have you been impeded from one
> > > > > inch's worth of unfettered travel or free movement.
> > > >
> > > > never claimed any such thing
> > >
> > > Sure you have!
> >
> > nope
>
> Oh yes you have, Markie! (More Markie Lies!) You've made
> numerous rants wherein you've suggested that the exchanges herein
> somehow "prevented" you from getting something you think you deserve.
>
> Lately that "something" you THINK you deserve is "respect",
> suggesting that everyone in this forum has yet to "earn" it from you!
> THAT is the epitome of ARROGANCE!
>
> > > > > Good luck proving "discrimination".
> > > >
> > > > why I wasn't planing on trying to prove discrimnation (by the State of
> > > > TN) nor claiming any had occoured or was likely to occour
> > >
> > > Three admissions of no violations or censurable acts.
> >
> > three lies
>
> Nope.
>
> > your censurable acts concern your LYING not any act of the state of TN
>
> You've not "proved" a single one!
>
> > cut
> > > > > > if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will

> > > > >
> > > > > It get's deeper and deeper.
> > > > >
> > > > > The liar threatening action against others for "lying":....
> > > > >
> > > > > why not? you do
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > yes you do
>
> OK Markie...if you say so! (snickersnickergigglegiggle.....)
>
> > cut
> > >
> > > > I know dave is one of them from his words as are you
> > >
> > > No, we're not.
> >
> > yes you are both of you
>
> More random words in search of order, Markie.
>
> > cut
>
> Lot's of "cut" Markie.
>
> Guess you're getting tired of stuff to get your nose rubbed in,
> eh...?!?!
>
> > > > > > > > > none presented for your either
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
> > > > > > > > up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > becuase you can't make one
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But you claim >>I<< have, Markie!
> > > > >
> > > > > yes I do
> > > > >
> > > > > but I was addresing Dave
> > > >
> > > > Dave can't make one
> > >
> > > He sure did!
> >
> > he isn't an LPN last time I heard therefore the standards of nursing
> > don't aplly to him they do apply to you
>

> Sure they do! They "aplly" to you to!
>
> Since you seem to be having so much fun with the Tennessee Nurse
> Practice Act, I'll give you some time to find out why! It's in there!
> Good luck!~
>
> > > > get your attributions correct
> > >
> > > I did. YOU are confused again.
> >
> > you are confusing when you lie so much and so baddly yes
>
> "badly"
>
> You are confused becasue YOUR lies are so interwoven and complex,
> leaving your unable to keep all the stories straight.
>
> That ONE simple fact is why you keep getting tripped up on your
> own posts!
>
> The OTHER simple fact is that your misuse and abuse of the English
> language leaves you hanging out to dry!
>
> > > > > > BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave
> > > > > >
> > > > > > But YOU have refered to YOURSELF as a Colonel, Colonel.
> > > > >
> > > > > you lying about that to?
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yep
>
> Sheesh.
>
> > > You HAVE refered to yourself as a "Colonel" As you and Brain
> > > say..."Google provides"...
> >
> > but you are lying in reffering to me as one
>
> No...
>
> YOU were lying in refering to yourself as one.
>
> > as to the other "charge" I am inncocent of it as a matter of law
>
> What's "inncocent"...?!?!
>
> As for being "guilty" of claiming that you were a Colonel, that was
> proven a long time ago.

>
> > > > > > > >>YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > you foul it with your bigoted lying postings
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > If you'll look to some of the material which appears above, you'll find
> > > > > > > > > at least two lies spouted by you.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > not a one Dave
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Yes...Several.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > nope
> > > > > >
> > > > > S E V E R A L.....................
> > >
> > not a one
>
> S E V E R A L.....................
>
> > > > > > > > As to the accusations of bigotry on
> > > > > > > > my part, deal with it.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I will of course
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > THAT would be a first.
> > > > > >
> > > > > nope I deal with it all the time
> > > > >
> > > > Obviously not.
> > >
> > obvioulsy how?
>
> Obviously you have a problem "dealing" with reality. Everyone is
> a "bigot" to you.
>
> The only thing that IS "obvious" is that you misuse the word
> "bigot"
>
> > > > > > > > I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > what sexual perverity?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Geeze, Markie! You can't even quote a word in the very next
> > > > > > sentence!
> > > > > >
> > > > > but you evade the question while claerly understanding it
> > > >
> > > Markie, there was no QUESTION posed there!
> >

> > another lie
> >
> > "what sexual perverity?"
> >
> > is a question
>
> That's NOT what I said, Markie!
>
> I said you couldn't even quote words correctly in the following
> sentence!
>
> As for the "QUESTION", that was the one you posed to DAVE. I was
> commenting on your abusive use of the King's English.
>
> > > A "question" is an interrogative, grammatically stated with the use
> > > of a question mark at the end of it.
> >
> > a lie is the willing willing stament of falsehood and you have lied in
> > stateing, "Markie, there was no QUESTION posed there!"
>
> There wasn't. Not to me. FOLLOW THE ATTRIBUTES, BLOCKHEAD!
>
> > cuting stevie libel
>
> There's no "libel".
>
> Just you making a fool of yourself.
>
> > > > > > > I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
> > > > > > > there for you if you seek it
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > not sure if you need an Optical felow or MD or an MSSW
> > > > > >
> > > > > > He needs none of the above.
> > > > >
> > > > > I think he does
> > > >
> > > Based upon what professional credential of your own?
> > >
> > no need for one
>
> Sure there is!
>
> Otherwise YOU are "practicing medicine" without a license.
>
> That's what YOU have been accusing ME of all week!
>
> > > > I think you need much the same help too

> > >
> > > Same question.
> >
> > same answer
>
> Then you've made a NON-answer, Markie.
>
> "Evasion"
>
> > > > > > > You've shrouded yourself
> > > > > > > in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > another lie on your part
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Nope....Tons of posts attesting to same under any one of dozen or
> > > > > > more screen names you've used in the last eight years.
> > > > >
> > > > > nope more Stevie lies
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > You're only deluding yourself if you think so.
> > >
> > > you are the deluded one
>
> Nope. We have your posts to substantiate my conclusions.
>
> > > As you and Brain say, "Google provides".
> >
> > where?
>
> "www.google.com" Select "Groups", then "rec.radio.amateur.policy"
>
> > > > > > > You blame all of your
> > > > > > > numerous problems on others.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > another lie Dave
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > yep
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > > Fact.
> > > > >
> > > > > As you and Brain like to say..."Google provides".
> > > > >
> > > > > no
> > > >

> > > Yes.
> >
> > where?
>
> "www.google.com" Select "Groups", then
> "rec.radio.amateur.policy".
>
> > > > > > > Now, see if you can have me fired.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > where do you work?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
> > > > > > you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
> > > > > > contacting him and I promise to look into it
> > > > > >
> > > > > > A "promise" from you is like using a roll of toilet paper to dry up
> > > > > > Lake Erie.
> > > > >
> > > > > not at all
> > > >
> > > > Absolutely "at all".
> > >
> > > nope
> > >
> > > I have every intention of trying to get dave fired if he comfrimed that
> > > it is his wish I do so
> >
> Uh huh.
>
> > > > > if Dave wishes me to get him fired all he needs to do is amke it clear
> > > > > that is what he wants give the means to contact his boss and I will get
> > > > > to work on it
> > > >
> > > > More empty threats.
> > >
> > > another lie from Stevie
> > >
> > > No threat at all
> > >
> > > Dave has offered a contract if he accept the services he seem to be
> > > requesting I will accept the deal and carry out the contract
> > >
> > > nothing threating in offering to cary out his wishes for him (unless we
> > > are talking about assiting him in suicide or something like that
> > > although I don't think that should be crime, the legal system does
> > > disagree at this time)
> > >
> > > but we both know (i know at least I hope you do but you know I can't be
> > > sure you do know) that Dave is talking #####
> >

> Markie, That was priceless.
>
> > cut
> > > > the same offer extend to you, if you WANT me to get you fired tell me
> > > > where you work the name of chian of supervisours and I will get to work
> > > > on it
> > >
> > > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > I take it you decline my offer, might senible of you I must say
>
> "sensible"
>
> Markie, creeps like you call the hospitals EVERY DAY and try to
> "get Nurse So-and-So fired" for some perceived sleight.
>
> Your "attempts" from the UP would be dismissed just as rapidly as
> the local ones are.
>
> > > > I can be quite generous with such things when i please
> > >
> > > The only thing you are "generous" with, Markie, is deceit and
> > > self-humiliation.
> >
> > more stevie lies
>
> Nope.
>
> "Google provides"
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 732. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 18:47:06 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <8is1n19623r5vbcekehuu4m8p166ajkn9e@4ax.com>
Source record: 123295

On 8 Nov 2005 10:43:54 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I got one of those too...
>
>>From Steve Tue Nov 8 07:52:11 2005
>X-Apparently-To: toddaug555@yahoo.com via 68.142.201.208; Tue, 08 Nov
>2005 07:52:13 -0800
>X-Originating-IP: [66.102.15.83]
>Return-Path: <k4yz@aol.com>
>Authentication-Results: mta173.mail.dcn.yahoo.com from=aol.com;
>domainkeys=neutral (no sig)
>Received: from 66.102.15.83 (EHLO blogger.com) (66.102.15.83) by
>mta173.mail.dcn.yahoo.com with SMTP; Tue, 08 Nov 2005 07:52:13 -0800
>Received: from bla34.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242]) by
>blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2597E1966E for
><toddaug555@yahoo.com>; Tue, 8 Nov 2005 07:52:11 -0800 (PST)
>Message-ID: <4592846.1131465131146.JavaMail.root@bla34.blogger.com>
>From: "Steve" <k4yz@aol.com> Add to Address Book Add Mobile Alert
>To: toddaug555@yahoo.com
>Subject: The Problem With Hindsight
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>Date: Tue, 8 Nov 2005 07:52:11 -0800 (PST)
>Content-Length: 287
>Steve has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
>Stop emailing your crap to me Todd, or else I will be calling the
>Taylorville Police Department.
>
>Blog: The Problem With Hindsight
>Link: http://theproblemwithhindsight.blogspot.com/2005/11/bye.html

looks like an AOL based forger is at work

did you forawrd it to the abuse@aol.com

not that they will do much about it

sure looks like stevie is trying to set up a another fight

meaning he si trying or he is being set up by one of his "buddies"

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups

Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 733. Re: Why illegal CB'ers harass KC8LDO in ng's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 19:02:21 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <lsqdnY5W-Y-la-3enZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> Scott, you need to upgrade from no code.

You need to learn to shoe a horse before you can drive a car!

Same difference. The NPRM is in the works. I'm already signed
up for the first test session after code goes away. I passed
element 2 and 3 with no studying, and missed 4 by two questions.
I've since borrowed the extra book from the library and read it.

I have no problem with anyone who likes code. I even believe
there should be space for nothing but code, not mixed with
other weak signal modes. I just don't want it forced down
my throat. I might finish learning it if I don't have to.

## 734. More Stevie Lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 19:32:14 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <j3v1n117q2fn5kfspjh4vqkj56lrr7vdqd@4ax.com>
Source record: 123297

form the state of Tennesee BOn From the BoN " in some cases a formal public hearing is held"

meaning Stevie lied in his claim I there is a hearing always and that I have to be there the form even makes askes if I am willin g to testify

meaning Stevielied when he claimed that to apear and tesify

these lies of course are the result of the fact Stevie confuses the (or never understood in the first place) the differences between Criminal, Civil and Adminstrative law

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 735. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 21:15:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <u952n1h81odjm8uumr9sivulcjbrpnvpd7@4ax.com>
Source record: 123300

On Tue, 8 Nov 2005 18:21:51 +0000, Iitoi
<Iitoi.1y75gj@news.radiobanter.com> wrote:

>
>Browsing the FCC internet site the sheer number of reply comments by one
>Californian caught my eye.
>
>One quote was particularly petulant --- "Based on the twenty items
>discussed and comment on them, this commenter would urge the Commission
>to ignore ARRL desires..."
>
>Perhaps, based on his tens of thousands of posts on the usernet, we
>should urge the Commission to ignore Leonard H. Anderson desires.

why?

becuase you don't like Him?
>
>The Man in the Maze
>QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 736. Re: Why illegal CB'ers harass KC8LDO in ng's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 21:49:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051108164908.255$gg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Scott, you need to upgrade from no code.
>
> You need to learn to shoe a horse before you can drive a car!
>
> Same difference.

-snip-

Did he ever tell dogie or nad that when he was sluping them as
'iamnotgeorge' (among other socks)?

## 737. Re: newsflash Stevei may have goten something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 23:40:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131493259.042512.309530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123302

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 8 Nov 2005 04:31

>an old friend wrote:

>> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
>> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
>>
>> can't any such reference
>>
>> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
>
>I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
>particular faith.

I'm a "Reform Christian"; i.e., Lutheran. :-)

Morsemen MUST belong to the Church of St. Hiram faith...

>Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
>perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
>"dick."

Brian, the NEW term in here is "CLASSIC JOHNSON!" :-)

Davie and Jimmie's classic johnsons are BIGGER than everybody's!

# 738. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 23:44:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131493446.154238.33870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123303

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues 8 Nov 2005 03:43

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message

>> >> ADA wasn't needed because the FCC had already
>> >> provided a waiver process.
>> >
>> > Did code waivers come first, or ADA?
>>
>> I I think the ADA may predate waivers...
>> but that isn't of any importance anyway.
>
>I think it is. IMHO anyway.

ADA didn't use any morse code after 1948.

>> The ADA didn't force anyone to immediately
>> do anything. Most or much of the ADA
>> impact has been with new accomodations.
>> Application of ADA in existing situations
>> has usually been the reult of a complaint by
>> an individual or a group of individuals.
>
>Exactly - and *nobody complained*. It took
>backdoor diplomacy by a foreign King to
>get waivers, not advocacy by any US
>group.

ADA still doesn't use any morse code.

ADA isn't subject to any "diplomacy" and is
responsible only to the United States Army as
Headquarters, U. S. Army Pacific.

Reference on ADA:

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

>"Failing to plan is planning to fail"

Jimmie, you should be in our State Department! :-)

## 739. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 23:44:39 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HLacf.6892$AS6.1773@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123304
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote in message
news:56n0n1l3sndpim3jvoinp83jdt4g7n333g@4ax.com...
>
> Ralph Mowery wrote:
>
> >Also it
> >should be required to have a ARRL handbook or equivilent in the shack.
> >Would not even have to be a new one, but one 10 years old would be ok.
Not
> >that many would really read it but it could answer some simple questions.
>
> My Handbook (not ARRL) is much older, but I wasn't aware of any change
> in the physics in the interim. Why choose ten years? The Admiralty
> handbook is still relevant - and can answer questions - even if the
> units have changed. You appear to be a newcomer.
>
> from
> Aero Spike

The 10 year number for the book was just one out of the air. Older would
have been just fine. My first ARRL hand book was bought in 1966. I did
not get a ham license untuil about 1972. Had a 1 st class radiotelephone
license around the same time. I have only used RTTY with an old mechanical
unit, been keeping up a repeater for close to 30 years, I did write a rtty
program for an old 8080 processor when I got tired of hearing the noise,
used a few of the newer digital modes with the computer, worked a few of the
OSCARs, active on 144 and 432 ssb. I do work some of the low bands also.
Guess that still makes me a newcomer to many.

# 740. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 23:49:03 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131493743.110006.51610@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123305

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Mon 7 Nov 2005 09:35

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM <Marathon_Poster@AOL.COM> on Tues 1 Nov 2005 16:35
>> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 29, 4:44 am
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs, Oct 27 2005 3:41 pm
>> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Oct 25 2005 2:30 am
>> >> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Oct 24, 3:39 am
>> >> >> >> >> >Alun L. Palmer wrote:

>Your methods are faulty.

All anti-morse are "faulty." By morseman definition! :-)

>You're the one "fudging", Len. By counting multiple comments
>and reply comments from the same person as separate opinions
>rather than duplicates, you're saying that some people's opinions
>are worth more than others simply because they wrote more.

Morsemen think they know all about radio... :-)

>What's worse is that you tried to hide that fact, and the effect it
>has on the 'scorecard'.

Tsk, nothing was "hidden" in all those "score card." They
are what they are.

Joe Speroni hides the fact that the official comment
period didn't begin until 31 August.

>You muddied that clarity by counting some people's opinions
>multiple times.

Tsk, your opinion is faulty. :-)

>> You dislike that.
>
>Not at all.

Yes, you do. :-)

>And then the trend went the other way. Like it or not.

I kept on reporting the tally, like it or not.

>You don't have website, though, even though AOL has a homepage
>for every screen name.

Reporting a tally requires a website? Oh, my...! :-)

>How does your posting it *here* tell the FCC anything, Len?

Doesn't matter, Jimmie. I never said it did.

The direct communication by citizens with the Commission
"tells" them.

>But not all of it. I saw your anti-Extra diatribe. Some would say it
>was "petulant" and "whiny".....

Poor baby. Feeling petulant and whiny are you? :-)

>So are the written tests. If FCC enacts the NPRM, it
>will take at least a General license for new hams to
>get *any* HF privileges.

Tsk, tsk, Jimmie. WRONG. The FCC doesn't "enact NPRMs."

Those are just NOTICES of PROPOSED Rulemaking. That's
why they are called what they are. :-)

"Enacts" come from Reports and Orders.

>> Long ago I
>> started communications on HF without a single requirement
>> to know or test for morse code and did it LEGALLY.
>
>Sure - as part of a *battalion* of military servicepeople caring
>for a bunch of transmitters. You were trained to do the job,
>tested along the way, and supervised at every opportunity.

You were there? You were in the military? I didn't know that.

Transmitters (a "bunch" of THREE DOZEN) was "run" by a
COMPANY, Jimmie. [C Company in this case] Better read
your books a little closer... :-)

>The transmitter operation was completely governed by set
>procedures and by experienced personnel.

"Peak the grid and dip the plate." :-) "Set procedure" for
tube finals. Same "set procedure" for tube finals today, a
half century later... :-)

After a (short) while *I* became one of the "experienced
personnel," Jimmie. "Three up and one down" on the sleeve.
Operating Team Supervisor. E-5. NCO.

Oh, and I was NEVER trained to operate high-power transmitters
in the Army, Jimmie. My Signal schooling was on microwave
radio relay. A Field Radio MOS got trained on high-power
transmitters on HF. [you need to read your books carefully]

>Amateur radio is a completely different thing. FCC recognizes
>that, even if you don't.

Electrons, fields and waves don't differentiate between
human discriminations in human ideas of things like human
laws. Really.

Didn't you learn that at "Dexter?" :-)

FCC "recognizes" that they ONLY regulate United States
CIVIL radio, Jimmie. They are limited to CIVIL radio by
two Acts of Congress of the United States.

FCC can recognize other kinds of "civility" too! :-)

Tsk, you aren't being civil in here...

>Nope. I think for myself. I've given my reasons for
>my support of Morse Code testing in my comments
>to FCC, and here.

"Conditioned thinking" is known by its colloquial name
of "brain washing."

If your mind wasn't "washed," then it must be dirty.

>You don't like them, so you attack me and claim
>nonsense like "conditioned thinking" rather than
>a simple difference of opinion.

Tsk, you aren't being "civil"! :-)

>You haven't "dropped it", Len.

True! Don't plan to, either! :-)

>You go into detail explaining other people's motivations, so yours
>are fair game even if you won't discuss them or admit to them.

You telling me what to do! Tsk. :-)

>No, you didn't write that. Read what you actually wrote, Len.

I do. Haven't detected any "hacker" trying to post their
words under my screen name. :-)

>I'm not calling people names, Len. You are.

How AWFUL! I'm the only one, right? :-)

>> Nobody made ME any "judge," Jimmie.
>
>But you act like one here.

Fear being laid off? :-)

>> I OBSERVE it. It's apparant to anyone with an open mind.
>

>That leaves you out...

Tsk, tsk, incivility!

>> You seem to be of a CLOSED mind when it comes to code testing.
>
>Not as closed as yours, Len.

MORE incivility! :-)

>Your methods are inaccurate. That's a fact.

Tsk, MY tally doesn't go by YOUR "standards."

MY tally doesn't go by Joe Speroni's "standards."

Sunnuvagun!

>What have I "done wrong", Len?

BWAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA.

>It's clear you tried to skew them the way *you* want them, Len. But
>the majority still opposed it.

You oppose my posting in here. Ergo, you are the majority.

A majority of one.

>Your skewing has been revealed

It is a HEINOUS and IMMORAL to act against a morseman!

>You avoid the question because you know I'm right.

You are? I didn't know that...

>Because one person's opinion should not be counted multiple times.

Hey, let's count ALL 20 of those LAW STUDENTS (who don't have
any professed desire to get ham licenses and doing some kind
of class exercise at University of Tennessee) who got into the
official time period of comments on 05-235! Some were FOR,
some were AGAINST the NPRM. Do you know which ones, Jimmie?

Let's count an instructor at an English Departement of a west
coast university who also stated outright she wasn't interested
in any amateur radio license yet was against the NPRM! Joe
Speroni counts her Comment as "pro-CW!"

How about Dwayne Sparks, Jimmie? He Commented (not one of his
were Replies to Comments) 13 times "pro-CW." He is an Extra.

Jimmie, Joe Speroni's "analysis" does NOT consider what the
federal government says is an official comment period and
merely counts ALL. HIS "standards" set out to make it
absolutely favorable towards radiotelegraphy testing...but he

is "okay." He is "okay" because He is a morseman and that's
"okay." [cue an old tired Monty Python sketch here...]

I'll let Bill the Cat comment: "Pbthbtht hthth..." :-)

[from "Opus" 2]

# 741. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 23:52:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131493958.671003.65120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123306

From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Nov 7 2005 5:59 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>Considering how long you've held onto your Johnson, I'd
>try not to imagine the state of yours...

My E. F. Johnson Viking Messenger still meets manufacturer's
specifications. My johnson still works fine.

Next question...

>> No wonder you aren't attached...
>
>"Attached"? In what way?
>
>I don't have a conjoined twin, if that's what you mean.

You are NOT married? Are NOT a parent?

Considering all the talk about your "classic johnson" that's
surprising!

>All existing amateurs could be affected.

By your "classic johnson?" Tsk, tsk!

>What's "not nice" about stating the facts, Len? Your
>disdain of the ARS is not a secret.

I'm not in favor of the Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society.

I'm not in favor of CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS in the amateur
radio service.

To NOT love an amateur morseman is to "hate ALL amateur
radio licensees?" I think not.

>> >> NPRM 05-143 is solely about the MORSE CODE TEST, Jimmie.
>
>Actually not. It's also an R&O, and has lots of policy statements from
>FCC.

BAD MISTAKE, Jimmie. Go stand in the corner.

"NPRM" is an acronym for Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. It is
just a notice.

"R&O" is a familiar term for Report and Order. A Report and
Order MAKES a regulation.

An NPRM and R&O are NOT the "same."

>So you had a shorty connected to your compact Johnson. Quite
>appropriate....

Tsk. You are overly concerned with "johnsons," Jimmie.

Is your middle name in "James P. Miccolis" standing for PETER?

>What *is* your problem, Len?

Maybe because I don't have a "classic johnson?"

>You're misdirecting away from the point about cb.

This thread was originally about WT Docket 05-235. That Docket
has NO relation to Citizens Band Radio Service.

>You don't affect me much at all, Len.

...and you don't post a lot in this newsgroup...

>I appreciate all sorts of classics.

Tsk, you and Davie "appreciate" those "classic johnsons" too much...

>You can keep whatever you want, Len. But I find it odd that you
>would hold onto your tube-filled Johnson for so long. After all,
>you're always lecturing us about "change" and "new technology"
>and all that sort of rot.

"Rot?"

How is your 1990s "original design" "tube-filled" "state of the
art" ham rig doing? Any more "neighbors" come over to gush over
your expertise in making it?

Did they ever gush over your classic johnson?

>Many items are routinely referred to by the manufacturer's name.
>Hams do it all the time when describing their rigs. For example,
>just considering radio sets, I've owned seven Heathkits, two RMEs,
>three Nationals, two Hallicrafters, one Gonset, seven Southgates
>and yes, at least four different Johnsons.

Could you ever get any of them to work?

>Why? You've obviously got the most experience dealing with
>one of the smallest Johnsons ever produced.
>
>Dave and I are more interested in the bigger Johnsons, such as
>the Valiant (I had one) and the Viking 2 (I had two of those, plus
>the 122 VFO).

Is it hard to beat a classic johnson?

Jimmie, go to your remaining classic johnson and turn it on.

See if it turns you on.

# 742. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 23:54:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131494070.382757.205560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123307

From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Mon 7 Nov 2005 04:03

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
>> From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> on Sun, Nov 6 2005 9:25 am
>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in news:1130386378.660926.152330

>> Alun, my posting to my severest critic (Jimmie) did not involve
>> your residency. However, it might be worth it to point out a
>> few things about Internet access and basic U.S. law.
>
>I am a US resident. I have a green card (which is actually pink).

So, you are offended that I mentioned "foreigners"?

I didn't call you out by name.

This newsgroup goes everywhere the Internet goes. The Internet
is worldwide now.

As a United States CITIZEN I'm concerned about United States
law as it applies to United States citizens. Last I looked,
United States law was NOT set by foreign citizens.

>The point is not whether comments by aliens have to be considered by the
>FCC as a matter of law. Doubtless you are right in pointing out that they
>don't.

The FCC regulates all civil radio in the United States. That
regulatory power is set by two Acts of Congress (laws). Congress
itself was established by the Constitution of the United States.

Last I looked, NO foreign government had any power to change
United States law.

>However, my impression is that the FCC neither knows nor cares about
>the citizenship of those who post comments. If you think they should, and
>there is certainly a case for that, then you should tell them, instead of
>skewing your interpretation of the comments.

It is my contention that the FCC Staff and Commissioners know
rather more than the basics of United States law and to which
country they owe allegiance to...on the job and in their heart.

There is no need for me to tell the FCC that they work for
the United States. They are well aware of that and no
further comment is necessary.

So, I've "skewed" my "interpretation" by "mis-categorization" of
2 out of 3703 filings? That is some heinous crime? I've
labeled them on *MY* tally as "indeterminate" and thus not
affecting *MY* percentages. Both of them were FOR the NPRM.
We can't see how Joe Speroni counted those.

Did I "skew" my "interpretation" of a west coast English
teacher's Comment AGAINST the NPRM even though she said up
front that she has no license and is not desiring to get
one. Joe Speroni counts her as "pro-CW."

I said publicly that I'm NOT posting this "score card" publicly
any more. Too many are terribly touchy (a few totally outraged)
about it and it offends them, poor dears.

>However, the FCC has no capability to divide up the responses except by
>whether or not they have an FCC licence, which arguably they shouldn't even
>do, as responses from non-hams like you ought to be considered.

No?!? I've been TOLD (on 25 Jan 99 in WT Docket 98-143) by an
Amateur Extra that I MUST NOT EVER be allowed to comment on ANY
amateur radio regulation! [search for "Robeson" on that date]

I have been TOLD by non-military-service non-veterans what the
military IS and that I am "wrong" in what I said about that.

I have been TOLD by those who got their degrees long ago that
human laws somehow override physical laws, therefore amateur
radio is "different" than every other radio service.

Brian Shoemaker, on a 31 October 2005 filing said outright
in his Comment that "the only voice that should be heard on the
current issue of rule changes to the HF bands are the users
of the bands."

Shoemaker is PRO-code, therefore, by the Rules of Engagement in
THIS newsgroup (where pro-code rules) his territorial imperative
is "correct!" Is it?

Joe Speroni's "analysis" on his website is "NOT skewed" according
to the local newsgroup Judge of what is "right" and "wrong."

Anyone NOT for morse code is "skewed" and "mistaken" and just
plain "wrong" in here. They have TOLD us. <shrug>

Oh, wow, all those self-righteous TELLING ME WHAT TO DO! :-)

# 743. Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-08 23:59:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131494368.591244.221520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123308

Bill Sohl wrote:

> In all of the procode test arguments and comments has
> even one NEW reason to retain code testiing been
> offered?

Yes.

> Forget even if such an argument is valid or
> compelling in any way...I'm looking for any argument
> not previously raised and dismissed by or in past
> FCC commentary (NPRMs, R&Os, etc.)

Why?

Most of the "old" reasons are still valid, IMHO.

> My short answer (and I'll be the first to say I
> haven't read all comments) is that there are no
> new arguments raised... because if there had been
> we'd have seen it or them by now.

Here's one:

While several countries have eliminated code testing
(23 the last time I looked, but you probably have
more recent information, Bill), there are still plenty
who have not. Japan, long the darling of the nocodetest
folks, still has code testing for some of its license levels.

Many countries have reciprocal licensing agreements
with the USA, so that American amateurs traveling
abroad can get licenses for countries they visit,

Many of these countries do not extend full-privilege
license privileges to foreign hams who are not code
tested. If there is no code test in the USA, US amateurs
won't be able to get licenses in those countries unless
they take a code test there.

The "Canadian compromise" gets around that problem
neatly.

--

Now a challenge:

In all of the anticode test arguments and comments has
even one NEW reason to eliminate code testiing been

offered?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 744. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 00:10:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131495045.187255.292220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123309

>Browsing the FCC internet site the sheer number of reply >comments by one Californian caught my eye.

8 in all on WT Docket 05-235. :-)

But, only ONE is a Comment. All the subsequent ones are REPLIES
to Comments.

>One quote was particularly petulant --- "Based on the twenty items
>discussed and comment on them, this commenter would urge the Commission
>to ignore ARRL desires..."

Not quite verbatim, but close enough for government work. :-)

>Perhaps, based on his tens of thousands of posts on the usernet, we
>should urge the Commission to ignore Leonard H. Anderson desires.

It's ALREADY been done long ago. See WT Docket 98-143
for 25 January 1999...search ECFS for surname "Robeson."

BTW, it's "USENET," an acronym for 'university network' that
grew out of the old ARPANET long ago...so long it was before
the Internet went public access (in 1991).

ARRL can do NO wrong? To speak against them is heresy?

Sunnuvagun, if the English Department of a west coast university
wants to "vote" for code testing...and twenty Tennessee law
students can use WT Docket 05-235 for Moot Court practice, fine,
PROHIBIT all they want!

"ARRL is thy savior, thou shall not want in ham land..."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 745. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 00:37:44 UTC
From: Crazy_Roger <Nutcase@secwetcrazywog.net>
Message-ID: <dkrga2$38m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123310

ARRL and FCC = Partners in the Culture of Corruption.

## 746. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 00:40:32 UTC
From: Leo <nomail@noway.com>
Message-ID: <59h2n15doeqbgqccrndhmqa867vovo1e45@4ax.com>
Source record: 123311

On 7 Nov 2005 17:59:47 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>snip
>
>You don't affect me much at all, Len.

...other than occupying your thoughts for a considerable portion of
each and every day, that is..... :)

>
>snip

73, Leo

# 747. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 00:41:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rBbcf.1789$Id6.1081@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123312

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> Browsing the FCC internet site the sheer number of reply >comments by one Californian caught my eye.
>
> 8 in all on WT Docket 05-235. :-)
>
> But, only ONE is a Comment. All the subsequent ones are REPLIES
> to Comments.
>
>
>> One quote was particularly petulant --- "Based on the twenty items
>> discussed and comment on them, this commenter would urge the Commission
>> to ignore ARRL desires..."
>
> Not quite verbatim, but close enough for government work. :-)
>
>
>> Perhaps, based on his tens of thousands of posts on the usernet, we
>> should urge the Commission to ignore Leonard H. Anderson desires.
>
> It's ALREADY been done long ago. See WT Docket 98-143
> for 25 January 1999...search ECFS for surname "Robeson."
>
>
> BTW, it's "USENET," an acronym for 'university network' that
> grew out of the old ARPANET long ago...so long it was before
> the Internet went public access (in 1991).

It isn't from "university network", Len. For someone who has been around as long as you claim, you'd think you'd get this one right. This isn't even the first time you've been corrected.

From http://dict.die.net/usenet/

"<messaging> /yoos'net/ or /yooz'net/ (Or "Usenet news", from 'Users' Network') A distributed bulletin board system and the people who post and read articles thereon."

From http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&hs=4tx&lr=&client=firefox-a&rls=org.mozilla:en-US:official_s&oi=defmore&defl=en&q=define:USENET

"Short for User's Network. The collection of the thousands of bulletin boards residing on the Internet. Each bulletin board contains discussion groups, or newsgroups, dedicated to a myriad of topics. Messages are posted and responded to by readers either as public or private emails.

www.vikont.com/clients/glossary.htm"

It looks as if you've made another of your frequent factual errors, Leonard.

> ARRL can do NO wrong? To speak against them is heresy?

Everyone should listen to you? You know how amateur radio should be
because...?

> Sunnuvagun, if the English Department of a west coast university
> wants to "vote" for code testing...and twenty Tennessee law
> students can use WT Docket 05-235 for Moot Court practice, fine,
> PROHIBIT all they want!
>
>
> "ARRL is thy savior, thou shall not want in ham land..."

I see. Don't listen to the ARRL; listen to an uninvolved party with an
ax to grind. Brilliant!

Dave K8MN

## 748. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 00:50:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131497446.591865.173400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123313

>Perhaps, based on his tens of thousands of posts on the usernet, we
>should urge the Commission to ignore Leonard H. Anderson desires.

According to Google, the "all-time top posters" in THIS newsgroup
are (as of 7 PM EDT on 8 November 2005):

Cecil A. Moore 17,326 (5,434 as W6RCecilA) *
Steve Robeson 10,326
James P. Miccolis 8,430 (at least one other pseudoynm)
Dick Carroll (SK) 7,091
Leonard Anderson 6,191 (+ 434 as LenAnderson@ieee.org)
Ed Hare 5,233 (left newsgroup)
Jim Rosenthal 4,286 (left newsgroup)
Dave Heil 4,250
Larry Roll 3,977 (left newsgroup)

* Cecil rated two positions on the top-ten due to other handle.

Tsk, I haven't reached the ten-thousandth mark yet... :-)

[this post will be my 435th under the following screen name]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 749. Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 01:18:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131499100.598202.127770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123314

Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today. He will serve a 2-year term beginning 1 January 2006. Carl is also Executive Director of No Code International.

IEEE is the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and is the world's largest professional technical organization with 365,000 members in 150 countries.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 750. Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 01:19:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131499192.934989.54000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123315

From: N...@AOL.COM on Tues, Nov 8 2005 3:59 pm

>In all of the anticode test arguments and comments has
>even one NEW reason to eliminate code testiing been
>offered?

Yes.

# 751. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 01:22:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131499335.709844.141130@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123316

From: Leo <nom...@noway.com> on Tues, Nov 8 2005 4:40 pm

>>You don't affect me much at all, Len.
>
>...other than occupying your thoughts for a considerable portion of
>each and every day, that is..... :)

...that's how it is to guys with Big classic johnsons... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 752. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 01:27:59 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <r1j2n1d4rl4lac527ue69pc72nndamr1kv@4ax.com>
Source record: 123317

On 8 Nov 2005 16:10:45 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> "ARRL is thy savior, thou shall not want in ham land..."

Inspired by the above line, here is the 23rd Psalm Revisited:

The League is my shepherd; I shall not beep.

It maketh me to operate Field Day in green pastures: it leadeth me to sign MM upon the still waters.

It reneweth my license: it leadeth me in the paths of propagation for it's name's sake.

Yea, though I walk through the valley of the shadow of QRM; I will fear no solar flares; for thou art with me; thy repeaters and thy no-code licenses they comfort me.

Thou preparest a table for me in the middle of local hamfests: thou annointest my dummy load with oil; my logbook runneth over.

Surely DX and moonbounce shall follow me all the days of my life; and I will dwell in the house of the Board for ever.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

## 753. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 01:55:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <4kl2n1l11q20lrm3j40pefbqs7vg76k2ns@4ax.com>
Source record: 123318

On 8 Nov 2005 17:18:20 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
> Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today. He will serve
> a 2-year term beginning 1 January 2006. Carl is also Executive
> Director of No Code International.

not being a memeber of the IEEE(and not being licensed engineer I
don't think I am eleible) I am not esp familer with just what this
means

How many people hold the same level as carl nnow etc

congrats Carl, but a bit more context if you don't mind Len
>
> IEEE is the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and is
> the world's largest professional technical organization with
> 365,000 members in 150 countries.
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 754. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 03:30:21 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <0PGdnW1o4f4tw-zeRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie, who had to retake his ham
license test at the request of the FCC wrote:

>
>
> "Markie", "Toddie"
>
>
>>and that's really dumb, 2test wogie.
>
>
> At least he knows that names get capitalized,

I consider the names 'markie' and 'toddie' to be just like 2test woger,
not important enough to capitalize.

I think I hear your boss telling you to get back to cleaning out those
porta-a-potties.

You still DXing with that Radio Operator's *Permit* wogie? LOL!!!!!!!!

## 755. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 03:31:08 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <0PGdnWxo4f5Aw-zeRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123320

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>>The FCC does NOT have any juridiction over the Internet...nice try
>>though
>
>
> They'll just get you for that QRMing you are doing on 20 meters, faggot.
>

Like they did you, wogie?

## 756. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 03:32:15 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <0PGdnW9o4f69wuzeRVn-vA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123321

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> The "Coomode" couldn't get real if he tried. He'd rather hide behind a
> cheezy 50's sci-fi name.
>

Better than hiding behind the curtains like you 2test wogie.

# 757. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 05:17:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vDfcf.1237$c_1.406@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123322

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
> cut
>>> in the stuff als I cliped away scroll up in google
>>> I never claimed he was precirbing I claimed he parcticing medicine
>>> split hair all you like
>> Brian made the statement and you commented on it.
>>
>> Brian Burke:
>>
>> "But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
>> by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
>> professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
>> prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
>> jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
>> diagnosis."
>>
>> You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
>> medicine, did you?
>
> no I did not
>
> nor do I feel there is anything to correct

There was, but you didn't notice.

> so why should try

...in the interest of accuracy.

> I sated that I never used accused him of presribing, I happen to think
> a more proper choice is to say that he acted unethicalin medial matter
> which as happens is the words of the regs that Govern Stevie's LPN
> status

That's nice.

>> How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
>
> no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
> became your spliting of hairs

Based upon your two lines above, I rest my case.

>>>>> ...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
>>>>> suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
>>>> Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
>>>> credibility.
>>>
>>> they might but not likely if I tell em about em up front
>> They might not?
>
> indeed they might not
>
>> Do you really believe that?
>
> yes

I think you may be in for an awfully big surprise.

>> Your past fabrications
>> regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,
>
> what lies on other topics?

C'mon, Mark! You've lied about any number of things here. You've lied about your military service and I don't mean just a single lie. You've lied to cover lies. It isn't like the stuff isn't archived. There are records of your lies and records of your lies uncovered.

> my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
> enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
> to the DoD no action was taken

You've lied about rank. You've lied about circumstances regarding your release. You've lied about your work. You've lied about your IQ. You've lied about your income. You've lied about your trained pool of typists. You're a fabricator.

> by 7 yars of silence from the DoD I can legaly assume the assent of the
> DoD and the FBI to that

How does one legally assume?

> I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago

If that isn't another of your lies, it is a start. Why not call 'em and report yourself again?

>> your
>> ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?
>
> the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
> my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules

Fine, Colonel. You can dress in full uniform and have a little game of beat me/spank me/pee on me.

>>>>> you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
>>>>> in his posts being that he is an attonrney
>>>> Are you trying to get Phil's license yanked?
>>> nope nor making any allusion to such
>>> I was pointing out the Licensed folks like Phil and Stevie have to be
>>> more carefull than the rest of us
>> I have a friend who is a licensed plumber. I'll be sure to tell him to
>> watch his P's and Q's when posting here, lest you attempt to have his
>> license revoked.
>
> if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will

One cannot be sure of anything with the likes of you.

>>>>>> Why not go after me for
>>>>>> practicing medicine without a license?
>>>>>
>>>>> if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it
>>>> Sure you will, Mark. Go blow hot air toward someone likely to be impressed.
>>>
>>> firsdt make about 800 more post then we will see
>> I'll post as I choose. You keep track of them and let me know when I've
>> exceeded the limit. Alternatively, you can reduce the number to five
>> and proceed next week. I'm telling you now that I don't care what
>> silliness you pull.
>
> np I'll let you know

np? I don't need you to let me know about anything. Make calls; write
letters; stand on your roof with a bullhorn.

>>>>> if that is your desire you had better begin typing
>>>> I'll type or not type as I choose, not as directed by you. You have no
>>>> leverage whatever on me.
>>>
>>> i do if you want me to take a certain action
>> You don't get it, Colonel: Take any action your little perverted heart
>> desires. Write my mommy.
>
> you momy is alive what is her address I'll be only to happy to tell her
> what a hate filled bigot her son has turned into

Are you too lazy to do anything for yourself? Write letters to the
editor of your paper. Write letters to the editor of my paper. Take
out an ad on WTOV-TV. Start a petition. You're a perverse twit and
people will know it.

>> Write my draft board. Contact the Today
>> Show. Telephone Oprah. Your threats are empty.
>>
>>> but if you want to be reported for practicing medicine without a
>>> license you need to start some serious typing
>> Take two peach pits and call me in the morning.

>>
>>>>>> I still maintain, by the way,
>>>>>> that I've made a correct diagnosis.
>>>>>
>>>>> but real doctors know you are wrong
>>>> There is no factual evidence to support your claim.
>>>
>>> none presented for your either
>> You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
>> up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.
>
> becuase you can't make one

Look at the lines I wrote above. I *did* make one.

> BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave

Oh, weren't you a Colonel in the U.S. Army Chemical Corps anymore? Was
that a bald-faced lie? Was your IQ of 248, higher than that of any other
living person, also a lie? Was your salary of 200-some thousand dollars
a lie?

>>>> YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
>>>
>>> you foul it with your bigoted lying postings
>> If you'll look to some of the material which appears above, you'll find
>> at least two lies spouted by you.
>
> not a one Dave

Make that "at least three lies spouted by you".

>> As to the accusations of bigotry on
>> my part, deal with it.
>
> I will of course

You haven't demonstrated that you are able to do so.

>> I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
>
> what sexual perverity?

Aren't you the same Mark Morgan who is into bisexual S&M stuff with a
little urination and defecation thrown in for good measure?

>> I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.
>
> really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
> there for you if you seek it

There's the story of your life, Mark. You're a pervert and everyone who
isn't a pervert needs help. You can't write and won't use a
spellchecker and it is the problem of everyone else. You can't learn

morse code and it is someone else's fault. You tell numerous lies and
begin to call numerous others "lier".

> not sure if you need an Optical felow or MD or an MSSW

I believe that you aren't sure of much of anything.

>> You've shrouded yourself
>> in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.
>
> another lie on your part

HF access in amateur radio? Others should have to try to decipher your
writing?

Mark is *special* and we have to make allowances for him.

>> You blame all of your
>> numerous problems on others.
>
> another lie Dave

Mark Morgan on a number of issues: "Your problem"

>> Now, see if you can have me fired.
>
> where do you work?

I'm not going to hand you anything, Mark. Go to work.

> if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
> you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
> contacting him and I promise to look into it

Oh? Did Steve provide you the name of his boss and a means of
contacting him? Go to work, shirker.

## 758. Re: Another Baseless BrainRant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 05:19:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <QFfcf.1238$c_1.1018@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123323

an_old_friend wrote:

> I will be writing My report

Hooooooooooooboy. You're a million grins, Mark.

Dave K8MN

# 759. Re: Mark Morgan Acknowledges That He Has "No Factual Evidence"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 05:24:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qKfcf.1239$c_1.90@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123324

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:

>>> Unlike you, Mark, there's nothing in my past which is likely to come
>>> back to bite me. You have documented issues.

>> I have nothing to come back and bite me. nothing at all.
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Mark lives in an alternate reality.

Dave K8MN

# 760. Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 05:43:49 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <p0gcf.6674$m81.5251@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123325

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1131494368.591244.221520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> In all of the procode test arguments and comments has
>> even one NEW reason to retain code testiing been
>> offered?
>
> Yes.
>
>> Forget even if such an argument is valid or
>> compelling in any way...I'm looking for any argument
>> not previously raised and dismissed by or in past
>> FCC commentary (NPRMs, R&Os, etc.)
>
> Why?
>
> Most of the "old" reasons are still valid, IMHO.

Yet we already know ALL of the old reasons have been
discounted by the FCC.

>> My short answer (and I'll be the first to say I
>> haven't read all comments) is that there are no
>> new arguments raised... because if there had been
>> we'd have seen it or them by now.
>
> Here's one:
>
> While several countries have eliminated code testing
> (23 the last time I looked, but you probably have
> more recent information, Bill), there are still plenty
> who have not. Japan, long the darling of the nocodetest
> folks, still has code testing for some of its license levels.
>
> Many countries have reciprocal licensing agreements
> with the USA, so that American amateurs traveling
> abroad can get licenses for countries they visit,
>
> Many of these countries do not extend full-privilege
> license privileges to foreign hams who are not code
> tested. If there is no code test in the USA, US amateurs
> won't be able to get licenses in those countries unless
> they take a code test there.

So you say, but offer not one example of a country
which now does not offer reciprocal licensing
to any of the countries that have already dropped
code.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

> The "Canadian compromise" gets around that problem
> neatly.

Not as I see it.

> Now a challenge:
>
> In all of the anticode test arguments and comments has
> even one NEW reason to eliminate code testiing been
> offered?

No new arguments against retention of code are
needed. The FCC has already accepted them.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 761. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 06:10:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131516609.444371.7630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123326

Hey at lease i have some site(s) (meaning more then one) how many, if
any do you have? probably none because ou probably don't know how to
make one. Or you've probably got one but it's shitty like K1MAN's

N9OGL BLOG

http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

LANGLEY PARK TELECOMMUNICATION (OLD) WEBSITE

http://www.geocities.com/langleyparktelecommunication/index.html

STORIES BY N9OGL

http://n9oglstories.blogspot.com/

DEMENTED OTAKU BBS

http://12.156.123.39/demented.htm

OTAKU HENTAI BBS

http://12.156.123.39/hentaibbs/azbb.php

N9OGL'S K1MAN SITE

http://12.156.123.39/K1man.htm

N9OGL BBS

http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/

AND ALL THE USENET DISCUSSION BOARDS I RUN

Todd N9OGL

## 762. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 06:30:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051109013005.475$A2@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123327

Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > The "Coomode" couldn't get real if he tried. He'd rather hide behind a
> > cheezy 50's sci-fi name.
> >
>
> Better than hiding behind the curtains like you 2test wogie.
>
Not really, CB. Did you pick those initials on purpose or is it the bird of
paradise flying up your nose, no call? hehe

# 763. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 08:39:13 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <tnc3n119f60om21k7ap3p8d6v0gb48fimc@4ax.com>
Source record: 123328
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

Ralph Mowery wrote:

>
>"Spike" <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid> wrote:
>
>> My Handbook (not ARRL) is much older, but I wasn't aware of any change
>> in the physics in the interim. Why choose ten years? The Admiralty
>> handbook is still relevant - and can answer questions - even if the
>> units have changed. You appear to be a newcomer.
>
>The 10 year number for the book was just one out of the air.

The problem with that is it essentially means that every now and then,
in order to keep within the licence terms, one has to purchase a book.

Here in the UK we have problems with an organisation that has put
itself in the position of 'representing all UK amateurs', and which
sells books. It clearly does not do the former, and merely to add to
its coffers as part of the licencing conditions is a battle which it
has recently fought and looks like losing heavily.

>Older would have been just fine.
>My first ARRL hand book was bought in 1966.

Then why specify a time limit? Or even to have such a book in the
first place?

>I did
>not get a ham license untuil about 1972. Had a 1 st class radiotelephone
>license around the same time. I have only used RTTY with an old mechanical
>unit, been keeping up a repeater for close to 30 years, I did write a rtty
>program for an old 8080 processor when I got tired of hearing the noise,
>used a few of the newer digital modes with the computer, worked a few of the
>OSCARs, active on 144 and 432 ssb. I do work some of the low bands also.
>Guess that still makes me a newcomer to many.

There is nothing wrong with your Amateur CV. The issue - at least for
us in the UK - is the grasping self-interest of the 'national
society', which has been so clearly revealed by recent events, and to
which your proposal would add succour; that was really the point of my
post.

from
Aero Spike

## 764. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 10:20:53 UTC
From: Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
Message-ID: <11n3jc8jkp8qce6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123329
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

How come all the self-proclaimed "real amateurs" huffing and puffing on this thread sign their postings only with a "handle" and not a call sign? Are they really amateurs at all?

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

# 765. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 12:02:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131537756.925779.175120@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123330

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Nov 7 2005 5:59 pm

> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> >> No wonder you aren't attached...

> >"Attached"? In what way?
> >
> >I don't have a conjoined twin, if that's what you mean.
>
> You are NOT married? Are NOT a parent?

Why do you want to know, Len?

What does it matter?

> >You're misdirecting away from the point about cb.
>
> This thread was originally about WT Docket 05-235. That Docket
> has NO relation to Citizens Band Radio Service.

Sure it does - you're just in denial.

If a rules change makes amateur radio more like cb, there's
a definite connection. I think you'd like it if amateur radio
became just like cb radio.

> >I appreciate all sorts of classics.
>
> Tsk, you and Davie "appreciate" those "classic johnsons" too much...

"Johnsons" Len.

Not "johnsons".

You seem obsessed by the latter, both your own and other people's. Why?

> >You can keep whatever you want, Len. But I find it odd that you
> >would hold onto your tube-filled Johnson for so long. After all,
> >you're always lecturing us about "change" and "new technology"
> >and all that sort of rot.
>
> "Rot?"

Yes. As if newer is always better and older is worthless. You seem
to believe what Orwell wrote in "1984": "Ending is better than
mending".

> How is your 1990s "original design" "tube-filled" "state of the
> art" ham rig doing?

Whoever said it was "state of the art", Len? Certainly not me.

In any event, I used the Southgate Type 7 in the CW part of the
ARRL November Sweepstakes this past weekend. Made 443
QSOs in 77 sections. Only missed North Dakota, Alaska and the
Pacific sections. Worked K0HB and W4NTI, too.

> >Many items are routinely referred to by the manufacturer's name.
> >Hams do it all the time when describing their rigs. For example,
> >just considering radio sets, I've owned seven Heathkits, two RMEs,
> >three Nationals, two Hallicrafters, one Gonset, seven Southgates
> >and yes, at least four different Johnsons.
>
> Could you ever get any of them to work?

Yes, Len - all of them that weren't hangar queens - parts units. If you
want to know
how many that is, it comes to:

Heathkit:
Working: DX-20/VF-1, HW-101 (2 of them, with HP-23 and HP-13 supplies),

AR-2/QF-1, HW-2036,
Parts units: HW-101, DX-100

RME: 4350A and 45, both working

National:
Working: NC-173, NC-200
Parts units: NC-173

Hallicrafters: SX-99 and SX-101, both working

Gonset: Super 6, working

Southgate: Types 1 through 7, all working

Johnson:

Working: Adventurer, Valiant, Viking 2/122VFO
Parts unit: Viking 2

> >Why? You've obviously got the most experience dealing with
> >one of the smallest Johnsons ever produced.
> >
> >Dave and I are more interested in the bigger Johnsons, such as
> >the Valiant (I had one) and the Viking 2 (I had two of those, plus
> >the 122 VFO).

Except for the Southgates, one of the HW-101s, and the HW-2036,
I sold all of the above equipment - at a profit.

Sunuvagun!

## 766. Re: More Stevie Lies.. kb9rqz markie morgan will sue!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 13:20:28 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <4371f80e_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:j3v1n117q2fn5kfspjh4vqkj56lrr7vdqd@4ax.com...
> form the state of Tennesee BOn From the BoN " in some cases a formal
> public hearing is held"
>
> meaning Stevie lied in his claim I there is a hearing always and that
> I have to be there the form even makes askes if I am willin g to
> testify
>
> meaning Stevielied when he claimed that to apear and tesify
>
> these lies of course are the result of the fact Stevie confuses the
> (or never understood in the first place) the differences between
> Criminal, Civil and Adminstrative law
> ----------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Are you going to take him to court Mark?

# 767. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again...mark morgan will sue!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 13:29:50 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <4371fa3e$1_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:8is1n19623r5vbcekehuu4m8p166ajkn9e@4ax.com...
> On 8 Nov 2005 10:43:54 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>I got one of those too...
>>
>>>From Steve Tue Nov 8 07:52:11 2005
>>X-Apparently-To: toddaug555@yahoo.com via 68.142.201.208; Tue, 08 Nov
>>2005 07:52:13 -0800
>>X-Originating-IP: [66.102.15.83]
>>Return-Path: <k4yz@aol.com>
>>Authentication-Results: mta173.mail.dcn.yahoo.com from=aol.com;
>>domainkeys=neutral (no sig)
>>Received: from 66.102.15.83 (EHLO blogger.com) (66.102.15.83) by
>>mta173.mail.dcn.yahoo.com with SMTP; Tue, 08 Nov 2005 07:52:13 -0800
>>Received: from bla34.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242]) by
>>blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 2597E1966E for
>><toddaug555@yahoo.com>; Tue, 8 Nov 2005 07:52:11 -0800 (PST)
>>Message-ID: <4592846.1131465131146.JavaMail.root@bla34.blogger.com>
>>From: "Steve" <k4yz@aol.com> Add to Address Book Add Mobile Alert
>>To: toddaug555@yahoo.com
>>Subject: The Problem With Hindsight
>>Mime-Version: 1.0
>>Content-Type: text/plain
>>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>>Date: Tue, 8 Nov 2005 07:52:11 -0800 (PST)
>>Content-Length: 287
>>Steve has sent you a link to a weblog:
>>
>>Stop emailing your crap to me Todd, or else I will be calling the
>>Taylorville Police Department.
>>
>>Blog: The Problem With Hindsight
>>Link: http://theproblemwithhindsight.blogspot.com/2005/11/bye.html
>
> looks like an AOL based forger is at work
>
> did you forawrd it to the abuse@aol.com
>
> not that they will do much about it
>
> sure looks like stevie is trying to set up a another fight
>

> meaning he si trying or he is being set up by one of his "buddies"
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Are you going to take him to court Mark?

## 768. Re: Stevie please pay attention mark morgan kb9rqz will sue!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 13:33:52 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <4371fb30$1_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:jcl1n11iof8la4r4vocnjcjfmi6jfrjvj7@4ax.com...
> Stevie you don't get
>
> you have not been reading
>
> the basis the prime base will be your lying in medcial matters
>
> your refusaul to tell the ruth about people in medicaly related
> matters
>
> You have lied about Tood father having AIDS
>
> you have lied several times about me having AIDS you have even lied
> about my being HOMOsexual
>
> you have lied about Todd being homosexual
>
> you have lied about me suffering from pedophilia
>
> you have lied about my status as a disabled person
>
> you have made false medical dianoises about almost everyone in RRAP
>
> At least in my case you claim to sent a letter to OFFICAILs concerning
> my mental health, given your passion for lying on here and that you
> say once a liar alwasy a liar(or words to that effect) it is
> reasonable to question wether you told the turth in that statement
>
> If you lied to michigan you violated the regs wether enough to get
> your license yanked I don't know
>
> we shall see
>
> you just can't get your stoies straight
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Are you going to report him mark?

## 769. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again mark will sue!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 13:36:38 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <4371fbd7_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123334
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:jni1n1duumlk5up8173jd4ms6hdub2qc36@4ax.com...
> On 8 Nov 2005 07:24:32 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>an_old_friend wrote:
>>> N9OGL wrote:
>>> > What's really neat mark, is I was no where near a computer the time it
>>> > was sent out, I was in a lecture at school with 20 other people and
>>> > the
>>> > professor. I was no where near a computer at that time.
>>>
>>> amazing isn't it.
>>>
>>> did you acomplish it by some feat of mind over computer?
>>>
>>> looking at the header Stevie posted it looked to my ignorant eye like
>>> someone on AOL made the forged message from you.
>>>
>>> Did the Gunny forge a an eaiml from you to himself? I wonder
>>
>> Mark...
>>
>> What's an "eaiml"...?!?!
>>
>> If you meant "e mail", then you could follow the headers and see my
>>IP isn't in there, except as recipient.
>
> not the way I read it Stevie from waht you posted it apeeared to me an
> AOL memebr sent the whole thing
>>
>> Lame try, Markie!
>>
>>Steve, K4YZ
>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Will you report him to aol before you take him to court mark?

# 770. Re: Day 1 Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test argument markie will sue!s?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 13:42:30 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <4371fd3d_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:07j1n15ljh8b86e9133m91j9cpflsbu9ql@4ax.com...
> > Please Bll don't hold your breth waiting waiting I like you
>>
> _____________________________________
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Meaning you won't take this one to court markie??

## 771. Re: Can Stevie be trusted to make professional treamen markie will sue!t of a pagan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 13:49:14 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <4371fece_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123336
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131406174.565043.158480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> more of your mocking of other religions stvei Keep it up some of it
> will surely make the file for the BoN
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
Are you going to report him to the BON mark?

You post from google and uselessnetzone too? You are the man!

Sue everybody!

## 772. Re: More Markie Mularkie and he will sue!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 13:50:57 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <4371ff30$1_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > stole from old friend wrote:
>
> more violationof of property right
>
Are you going to report him markie?

## 773. Re: Can Stevie be trusted to make professional treamen or will markie sue???t of a Bisexual? hell no he denies they exist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 13:54:16 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <4371fff9_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131475459.700925.217110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
>>
>> > even at the risk of my life. I would never willing place it in your
>> > hands
>>
>> Your call.
>
> not yet that is why I am filing my concers with the BoN
>
Are you going to file a complaint with the BON markie? Got get him little
buddy!

# 774. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 14:46:00 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <7sv3n1ph2u4954f2cdp0phtjojo91q3ar1@4ax.com>
Source record: 123339

On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 05:17:15 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> cut
>>>> in the stuff als I cliped away scroll up in google
>>>> I never claimed he was precirbing I claimed he parcticing medicine
>>>> split hair all you like
>>> Brian made the statement and you commented on it.
>>>
>>> Brian Burke:
>>>
>>> "But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
>>> by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
>>> professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
>>> prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
>>> jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
>>> diagnosis."
>>>
>>> You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
>>> medicine, did you?
>>
>> no I did not
>>
>> nor do I feel there is anything to correct
>
>There was, but you didn't notice.

nothing to correct it was and remains a fact that Stevie appreas to be
abusing the preveldges of his LPN license, that exact dtails are
unimportant HERE

>> so why should try
>
>...in the interest of accuracy.

it is more acccurate than Stevie own post was, more accurate than most
of yours

>
>> I sated that I never used accused him of presribing, I happen to think
>> a more proper choice is to say that he acted unethicalin medial matter

>> which as happens is the words of the regs that Govern Stevie's LPN
>> status
>
>That's nice.
>
>>> How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
>>
>> no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
>> became your spliting of hairs
>
>Based upon your two lines above, I rest my case.

what case?

>
>>>>>> ...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
>>>>>> suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
>>>>> Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
>>>>> credibility.
>>>>
>>>> they might but not likely if I tell em about em up front
>>> They might not?
>>
>> indeed they might not
>>
>>> Do you really believe that?
>>
>> yes
>
>I think you may be in for an awfully big surprise.

you think that would be newsflash right

Dave heil can think stop the presses

the LAW say the issues you claim are not important

I expect TN to obey its own laws

in the USA today that may be a bit foolish but i will take the chance

>
>>> Your past fabrications
>>> regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,
>>
>> what lies on other topics?
>
>C'mon, Mark! You've lied about any number of things here. You've lied
>about your military service and I don't mean just a single lie. You've
>lied to cover lies. It isn't like the stuff isn't archived. There are
>records of your lies and records of your lies uncovered.

wrong Dave like Stevie you think disagreeing with you is lying

I have lied about my rank and that is all I have told some lies that
have lead Stevie off on wild goose chases about my identity that is it

andI have have said in the mix something these post is a lie as I was
making em, nor was under oath

how do these facts allow Stevie to claim someone has AIDS isn't lie
about medical matters?

how does that allow Stevie to LIE about the procedure of BoN so he can
try to frighten someon into not reporting him?

deal with reality Dave if you can
>
>> my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
>> enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
>> to the DoD no action was taken
>
>You've lied about rank.
yes

> You've lied about circumstances regarding your
>release.
some
> You've lied about your work.
no I haven't have never said anything detailed enough to lie about
> You've lied about your IQ.

wrong again

>You've lied about your income.
no i have not

I have never stated my income you dolt

therefore having never made such a statement I have never lie within
such a statement

> You've lied about your trained pool of
>typists.

nope
> You're a fabricator.

when it serves to make me safer yes long ago admited

>
>> by 7 yars of silence from the DoD I can legaly assume the assent of the
>> DoD and the FBI to that
>
>How does one legally assume?

by doing so

>
>> I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago

>
>If that isn't another of your lies, it is a start. Why not call 'em and
>report yourself again?

why report myself again? esp as No rime was comitted Stevei greatly
over simplifies anything when he tlaks about the law
>
>>> your
>>> ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?
>>
>> the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
>> my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules
>
>Fine, Colonel. You can dress in full uniform and have a little game of
>beat me/spank me/pee on me.

and None of the is legaly something the BoN may consider

you are a prude, but the LAW does not allow you to enforce that on
everyone else

grow up and get over it Dave

>
>>>>>> you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
>>>>>> in his posts being that he is an attonrney
>>>>> Are you trying to get Phil's license yanked?
>>>> nope nor making any allusion to such
>>>> I was pointing out the Licensed folks like Phil and Stevie have to be
>>>> more carefull than the rest of us
>>> I have a friend who is a licensed plumber. I'll be sure to tell him to
>>> watch his P's and Q's when posting here, lest you attempt to have his
>>> license revoked.
>>
>> if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will
>
>One cannot be sure of anything with the likes of you.

you and ESP Stevie should remember that

you don't know what I can or will do

Indeed just for illustration if i happened to paranoid threat to
myself and other Stevie has claimed to be "his professional opinion"
(and if anything gets him besides mouthing to an investagtor those
words wil be it) pushing proding trying to rerate someone like risk
his own life and you would be risking your iF I were what Stevie says
I am

you nor I can know very much about a person based on the degree on
contact you have with me

>
>>>>>>> Why not go after me for
>>>>>>> practicing medicine without a license?
>>>>>>
>>>>>> if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it
>>>>> Sure you will, Mark. Go blow hot air toward someone likely to be impressed.
>>>>
>>>> firsdt make about 800 more post then we will see
>>> I'll post as I choose. You keep track of them and let me know when I've
>>> exceeded the limit. Alternatively, you can reduce the number to five
>>> and proceed next week. I'm telling you now that I don't care what
>>> silliness you pull.
>>
>> np I'll let you know
>
>np? I don't need you to let me know about anything. Make calls; write
>letters; stand on your roof with a bullhorn.

you asked me to let you know I promised to do as YOU asked Dave

>
>>>>>> if that is your desire you had better begin typing
>>>>> I'll type or not type as I choose, not as directed by you. You have no
>>>>> leverage whatever on me.
>>>>
>>>> i do if you want me to take a certain action
>>> You don't get it, Colonel: Take any action your little perverted heart
>>> desires. Write my mommy.
>>
>> you momy is alive what is her address I'll be only to happy to tell her
>> what a hate filled bigot her son has turned into
>
>Are you too lazy to do anything for yourself?

No
> Write letters to the
>editor of your paper.
why?
> Write letters to the editor of my paper.
which paper is yours?

> Take
>out an ad on WTOV-TV. Start a petition. You're a perverse twit and
>people will know it.

realy?

you do have such a rich fantasy life Dave

>
>>> Write my draft board. Contact the Today
>>> Show. Telephone Oprah. Your threats are empty.
>>>

>>>> but if you want to be reported for practicing medicine without a
>>>> license you need to start some serious typing
>>> Take two peach pits and call me in the morning.
>>>
>>>>>>> I still maintain, by the way,
>>>>>>> that I've made a correct diagnosis.
>>>>>>
>>>>>> but real doctors know you are wrong
>>>>> There is no factual evidence to support your claim.
>>>>
>>>> none presented for your either
>>> You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
>>> up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.
>>
>> becuase you can't make one
>
>Look at the lines I wrote above. I *did* make one.

if you have Stevie has if Stevie has he will likely lose his licens or
be oteherwise punished

but you are fool if you I am going to take on everyone at once

Stvie has pissed me off enough and he is far greater danger from a
medical practice issue than ANYONE in the NG only Phil Kane has to be
more careful and since he is an antonry not a paralegal (Stevie is the
nursing version of a paralegal) he has greater latittude in giving
professional LEGAL oppinions than Stevie has in Giving PROFESSIONAL
nursing opinions

grow face facts

>
>> BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave
>
>Oh, weren't you a Colonel in the U.S. Army Chemical Corps anymore?
right said years ago

I wasn;t a colonel, only YOU and stevie have been trying to continue
that lie other the years

> Was
>that a bald-faced lie? Was your IQ of 248, higher than that of any other
>living person, also a lie?

nope it was the result of test done many years ago

> Was your salary of 200-some thousand dollars
>a lie?

never said my salery was 200K I said I turned a job that would paid
240K, and I did do that

that you choose to assume I did so becuase I was claiming to make that
or more is not my fault

You just lied Dave
>
>>>>> YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
>>>>
>>>> you foul it with your bigoted lying postings
>>> If you'll look to some of the material which appears above, you'll find
>>> at least two lies spouted by you.
>>
>> not a one Dave
>
>Make that "at least three lies spouted by you".

where

disgreeing with you or confsuing you becuase you can't think or
understand what is being said isn't lying

indeed if you are ever being truthfull yourself ain your claims you
don't understand my writting you can't realy claim you know anything I
say

>
>>> As to the accusations of bigotry on
>>> my part, deal with it.
>>
>> I will of course
>
>You haven't demonstrated that you are able to do so.

where?

are you using some specail definiation of "deal" i should know about

I don't deal with it as you would like it seems But I need not please
your sensiblities

Indeed that is what you and Stevie are all about.

Yoou two carries these chips on your shoulders thinking everyone
around must confrom toyour expectations

>
>>> I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
>>
>> what sexual perverity?
>
>Aren't you the same Mark Morgan who is into bisexual S&M stuff with a
>little urination and defecation thrown in for good measure?

again what sexual perverity

You by my starndard are the prevert
>
>>> I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.
>>
>> really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
>> there for you if you seek it
>
>There's the story of your life, Mark.

nope perhaps yours from all apearances

>You're a pervert and everyone who
>isn't a pervert needs help. You can't write and won't use a
>spellchecke...>
and your and stevie whine and whine about it

Killfle me that is your previeledge

>....r and it is the problem of everyone else.

not everyones Dave only those that CHOOSE to try and read my stuff

you are showing signs of meglomania in claiming your veiwpoint is the
only viewpoint

> You can't learn
>morse code .....

true enough
>.....and it is someone else's fault.

never claimed that is another lie from you Dave

I have never claimed that my lack of ability is ANYBODIES fault

It the fault of others that Codes testing contues to be requiredbut
that is another matter
> You tell numerous lies

wrong
> and
>begin to call numerous others "lier".

I have caled 4 people liars (the Comode and the various slits are not
proven to be people on their own so they don't count) and I have
called on lies

you have lied today in saying I claimed a 200k income I have not you
asumed incorrectly that that came as the only reason I would turn down
a job making 240K. That you are (I infer from your action) a person
that would do anything for money ( or something like that) and you
assume everyone is like you (given the obvious differences betwen us
assuming I would do anything the same way as you is REALY stupid Dave)
is not my fault

you making incorrctly making assumetion beyond what I have said does
not make me liar but when you make make statement of fact based on
your incorect deduction it makes you the liar

>
>> not sure if you need an Optical felow or MD or an MSSW
>
>I believe that you aren't sure of much of anything.

true enough

I am abosolutely sure of nothing.

after all I believe in the reality of Heisenburg's work, as well as ,
being a general skeptic
>
>>> You've shrouded yourself
>>> in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.
>>
>> another lie on your part
>
>HF access in amateur radio?
how does this question relate to your claim?

Hf access is needed for life?

how is taking part in the porcess of making the rules as provided for
by law asking for a free ride in life?

> Others should have to try to decipher your
>writing?

you DON"T have to try to deipher my writing. I have never said you do.
You choose to do so, if you don't like the rsults of your efforts make
another CHOICE nonone is holding a gun to your head and forcing you is
there? certainly not by my order, if you think someone is holding a
gun to head and making you read my stuf call 911, i am certain (a
rarity for me) that in this event and you call someone will help you.

>
>Mark is *special* and we have to make allowances for him.

never said that anywhere

that is another lie in claiming I have

>
>>> You blame all of your
>>> numerous problems on others.
>>
>> another lie Dave
>
>Mark Morgan on a number of issues: "Your problem"

so

if you can reason correctly this not my problem I refer you to
detailed response above to your lie about my claim of a 200k income

I have not blamed you for any of MY rpoblems, I have points out you
have your own problem

>
>>> Now, see if you can have me fired.
>>
>> where do you work?
>
>I'm not going to hand you anything, Mark. Go to work.

do you want me to get fired or not?

>
>> if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
>> you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
>> contacting him and I promise to look into it
>
>Oh? Did Steve provide you the name of his boss and a means of
>contacting him? Go to work, shirker.

we are talking about YOU

if you truely want me to get you fired then you are asking for my help
and to get it you must pay MY price

I don't want you or Stevie fired from your jobs for my own sake.

In Stevies case we may end up there and indeed the result may turn out
to be Stevie losing his job but that is not in fact my true aim, it
may be what happens but we shall see

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 775. Re: Another Baseless BrainRant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 14:47:44 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6034n1dr42ogckvc761vuto9bigbm00ocu@4ax.com>
Source record: 123340

On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 05:19:44 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> I will be writing My report
>
>Hooooooooooooboy. You're a million grins, Mark.

truely gald to be of service
>
>Dave K8MN

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 776. Re: Mark Morgan Acknowledges That He Has "No Factual Evidence"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 14:49:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9234n1hh6b25v30aq7lu7ic8c055rcj1pf@4ax.com>
Source record: 123341

On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 05:24:38 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>>> Unlike you, Mark, there's nothing in my past which is likely to come
>>>> back to bite me. You have documented issues.
>
>>> I have nothing to come back and bite me. nothing at all.
>>
>> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
>Mark lives in an alternate reality.

no i live in the real world

you live a fantasy govened by your views on life
>
>Dave K8MN

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 777. Re: Can Stevie be trusted to make professional treamen markie will sue!t of a pagan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 14:50:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <d434n1tj63vu175nkqvckcvoua7r27ecl3@4ax.com>
Source record: 123342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

On Wed, 9 Nov 2005 08:49:14 -0500, "itchy sanshez"
<itchy@scratchy.net> wrote:

>
>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1131406174.565043.158480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> more of your mocking of other religions stvei Keep it up some of it
>> will surely make the file for the BoN
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
>Are you going to report him to the BON mark?

yes when I finsh sorting out his 800 some posts will take a while to
try the report but I am working on it
>
>You post from google and uselessnetzone too? You are the man!
>
>Sue everybody!

not suing ANYBODY nor do I ahve any plan to do so right now
>

_____________________________________
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 778. Re: markie will sueTennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:11:29 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <43721212_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:7sv3n1ph2u4954f2cdp0phtjojo91q3ar1@4ax.com...
>> nothing to correct it was and remains a fact that Stevie appreas to be
> abusing the preveldges of his LPN license, that exact dtails are
> unimportant HERE
>>
>>Based upon your two lines above, I rest my case.
>
> the LAW say the issues you claim are not important
>
> I expect TN to obey its own laws
>
> in the USA today that may be a bit foolish but i will take the chance
>
Markie is going to sue!!!! go get them little buddy!

# 779. Re: Can Mark Morgan be trusted to make professional treamen markie will sue!t of a pagan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:14:01 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <437212aa_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:d434n1tj63vu175nkqvckcvoua7r27ecl3@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 9 Nov 2005 08:49:14 -0500, "itchy sanshez"
> <itchy@scratchy.net> wrote:
>
>>
>>"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>>news:1131406174.565043.158480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>
>>> more of your mocking of other religions stvei Keep it up some of it
>>> will surely make the file for the BoN
>>>>
>>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>>Are you going to report him to the BON mark?
>
> yes when I finsh sorting out his 800 some posts will take a while to
> try the report but I am working on it
>>
Did you find some good stuff about him with google?

Go get him little buddy!

# 780. re: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:19:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131549594.014173.200890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123345

itchy sanshez wrote:
Re: markie will sueTennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

the the poster being responded to (is it Stevie or Dave I wonder) is
lying I have no current intentions to sue stevie+

about the only thing truthfull was the poster efort to crosspost to NG
continaing the word Kook in the tile and th kook is the poster i am
responding to

> <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:7sv3n1ph2u4954f2cdp0phtjojo91q3ar1@4ax.com...
> >> nothing to correct it was and remains a fact that Stevie appreas to be
> > abusing the preveldges of his LPN license, that exact dtails are
> > unimportant HERE
> >>
> >>Based upon your two lines above, I rest my case.
> >
> > the LAW say the issues you claim are not important
> >
> > I expect TN to obey its own laws
> >
> > in the USA today that may be a bit foolish but i will take the chance
> >
> Markie is going to sue!!!! go get them little buddy!

# 781. can't try to follow the anny mouse Mark will let you all know if when he going to sue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:26:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131549985.378228.151350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123346

a new annymouse is it stave he will sue sue sue

god some fiolks are realy dumb

# 782. Re: can't try to follow the anny mouse Mark will let you all know if when he going to sue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:36:15 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <1r54n1lmv95pv0ff6u6hgee1umjk9o3cik@4ax.com>
Source record: 123349

On 9 Nov 2005 07:36:35 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
>nobody's old friend wrote:
>> a new annymouse is it stave he will sue sue sue
>>
>> god some fiolks are realy dumb
>^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
>Oh, the irony, Marky.

what irony?

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 783. Re: can't try to follow the anny mouse Mark will let you all know if when he going to sue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:36:35 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131550595.581910.108330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123347

```
nobody's old friend wrote:
> a new annymouse is it stave he will sue sue sue
>
> god some fiolks are realy dumb
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Oh, the irony, Marky.
```

## 784. Re: Can Mark Morgan be trusted to make professional treamen markie will sue!t of a pagan?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:38:01 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131550681.615511.112860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

Fuck off, fatass.

## 785. Re: More Markie Mularkie and he will sue!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:38:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131550716.443841.194540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

No, he's going to be like you sit on his fat ass and whine!

## 786. Re: Todd's Webblog Buddies At It Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:40:14 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131550814.143473.223820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123351

You also get assfucked by your daddy who has aids, Toad.

# 787. Re: can't try to follow the anny mouse Mark Morgan will report them!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:41:25 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <43721915_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131549985.378228.151350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> god some fiolks are realy dumb
>
Report them markie!

## 788. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:42:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131550963.417308.139510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Commode Butt Cornholer wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > The "Commode" couldn't get real if he tried. He'd rather hide behind a
> > cheezy 50's sci-fi name.
> >
>
> Better than hiding behind the curtains like you 2test wogie.

Unlike you who hides behind a cheezy sci-fi character, eh, fatty?

"2test wogie" Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Tell us all about that pilot's license you claim to have......
BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!

http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/out_of_banders.jpg

## 789. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:43:56 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131551036.520692.132820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123353
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > > The "Commode" couldn't get real if he tried. He'd rather hide behind a
> > > cheezy 50's sci-fi name.
> > >
> >
> > Better than hiding behind the curtains like you 2test wogie.
> >
> Not really, CB. Did you pick those initials on purpose or is it the bird of
> paradise flying up your nose, no call? hehe

He did it to impress his shemale boyfriend.

## 790. Re: Stevie please pay attention

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:45:08 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131551108.067846.148030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123355

Quit whining, you aids infested retard.

# 791. can't try to follow the anny mouse Mark will let you all know if when he going to sue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:45:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz@hasmat.org
Message-ID: <r964n1h4buji368u73il66uafsrsuaa5cc@4ax.com>
Source record: 123357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> nobody's old friend wrote:
> > a new annymouse is it stave he will sue sue sue
> >
> > god some fiolks are realy dumb
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> Oh, the irony, Marky.
>
Im not as dum as uoy look

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 792. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:47:12 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131551232.801150.157130@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Commode Butt Cornholer wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

"AKA 2test wogie" While Butt Cornholer can't even get a no code
technician license.

> > N9OGL wrote:
> >
> >>The FCC does NOT have any juridiction over the Internet...nice try
> >>though
> >
> >
> > They'll just get you for that QRMing you are doing on 20 meters, faggot.
> >
>
> Like they did you, wogie?

They did? when?

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
school once again in
<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

## 793. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:49:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131551347.563597.258170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123358

whinycunt Lloyd Davies wrote:
> On 8 Nov 2005 07:14:00 -0800, (lisping cocksucking Lloydie sees his owner everywhere)
wrote:
> >
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > The FCC does NOT have any juridiction over the Internet...nice try
> > > though
> >
> > They'll just get you for that QRMing you are doing on 20 meters, faggot.
>
> You wouldn't want to be retested like AB8MQ was ...

Yeah, You couldn't pass the General test or 5 wpm Morse code the first
time, Davies.

## 794. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:49:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131551390.909654.233480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123359

Bullshit you lying faggot, you are QRMing just like Baxter.

## 795. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:51:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131551482.995889.169950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123360

But you would, and would also have trouble passing English, Marky.

## 796. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 15:54:48 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131551688.540501.181450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Crazy_Lloydie Davies whined:
> Why would a Fry Cook

Wrong guess as always, fuck up.

> be required to have correspondence
> Masters Degree from DeVry?

DeVry doesn't offer Master's degrees, dumbass. Looks like you fucked up
as usual.
You couldn't even hack degree coursework, fatass, that's why all you
could get is a "certificate" from a community college which is about as
useful as a sheet of toilet paper.

PIZZA BOY!!!!!!!!!!!!! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

> Me thinks <IKYABWAI>

Since when, you must eat out of port-a-potties, sad little shiteater.

# 797. Re: can't try to follow the anny mouse Mark will let you all know if when he going to sue

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 16:00:44 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131552044.312036.300640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123362
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

kb9...@hasmat.org wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > nobody's old friend wrote:
> > > a new annymouse is it stave he will sue sue sue
> > >
> > > god some fiolks are realy dumb
> > ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
> >
> > Oh, the irony, Marky.
> >
> Im as dum as I look

You sure are, child abusing faggot

## 798. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 16:02:14 UTC
From: N0VFP <lloyd_n0vfp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131551996.012886.268510@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123363
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Commode Butt Cornholer_of Little boys wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie, who had to retake his ham
> license test at the request of the FCC wrote:

And passed it and upgraded to the highest class, while you can't even
do that. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!

>
> >
> >
> > "Markie", "Toddie"
> >
> >
> >>and that's really dumb, 2test wogie.
> >
> >
> > At least he knows that names get capitalized,
>
>
> I consider the names 'markie' and 'toddie' to be just like 2test woger,
> not important enough to capitalize.

Too bad your excuse for your lack of skills makes you look even more
pathetic then you already do, shiteater.

> I think I hear

I think I hear your mom sucking those nigger dicks again...........

> You still DXing with that Radio Operator's *Permit* wogie? LOL!!!!!!!!

You still selling that kiddie porn? I've seen your name on ads all over
the internet newsgroups.............. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

## 799. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 16:35:28 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <woger@no.isp>
Message-ID: <8799021017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123364
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 9 Nov 2005 07:54:48 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> DeVry doesn't offer Master's degrees, dumbass. Looks like you fucked up
> as usual.

Oh yes it does, Lardass. You are the fuckup as usual. I got my
advanced degree in business administration, just like it says at
http://www.devry.edu/keller/programs/m_business_administration/about.jsp
and then I got an advanced fry cook job at a Glendale eating
establishment located at an elegant spot near the river.

You should get out of the house more before you make idiotic
statements like "DeVry doesn't offer Master's degrees." What a
dumbass you are, pizza boy.

## 800. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:00:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131559257.458603.273110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123370

A CLASSIC, John! :-)

May you "dwell in the house of the Board" forever...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 801. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:30:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131561044.292429.225330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123371

>Bullshit you lying faggot, you are QRMing just like Baxter.

how??? if the frequency isn't in use then it's not QRM. To QRM, someone has to be on that frequency to cause interference. When I use a frequency, ANY FREQUENCY, I always ask three times if the frequency is use, if no one answers and someone on there, tough shit, you should responded.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW

# 802. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:37:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131561434.444701.112300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123372

From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Nov 7 2005 5:59 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>Considering how long you've held onto your Johnson, I'd
>try not to imagine the state of yours...

My E. F. Johnson Viking Messenger still meets manufacturer's
specifications. My johnson still works fine.

Next question...

>> No wonder you aren't attached...
>
>"Attached"? In what way?
>
>I don't have a conjoined twin, if that's what you mean.

You are NOT married? Are NOT a parent?

Considering all the talk about your "classic johnson" that's
surprising!

>All existing amateurs could be affected.

By your "classic johnson?" Tsk, tsk!

>What's "not nice" about stating the facts, Len? Your
>disdain of the ARS is not a secret.

I'm not in favor of the Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society.

I'm not in favor of CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS in the amateur
radio service.

To NOT love an amateur morseman is to "hate ALL amateur
radio licensees?" I think not.

>> >> NPRM 05-143 is solely about the MORSE CODE TEST, Jimmie.
>
>Actually not. It's also an R&O, and has lots of policy statements from
>FCC.

BAD MISTAKE, Jimmie. Go stand in the corner.

"NPRM" is an acronym for Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. It is
just a notice.

"R&O" is a familiar term for Report and Order. A Report and
Order MAKES a regulation.

An NPRM and R&O are NOT the "same."

>So you had a shorty connected to your compact Johnson. Quite
>appropriate....

Tsk. You are overly concerned with "johnsons," Jimmie.

Is your middle name in "James P. Miccolis" standing for PETER?

>What *is* your problem, Len?

Maybe because I don't have a "classic johnson?"

>You're misdirecting away from the point about cb.

This thread was originally about WT Docket 05-235. That Docket
has NO relation to Citizens Band Radio Service.

>You don't affect me much at all, Len.

...and you don't post a lot in this newsgroup...

>I appreciate all sorts of classics.

Tsk, you and Davie "appreciate" those "classic johnsons" too much...

>You can keep whatever you want, Len. But I find it odd that you
>would hold onto your tube-filled Johnson for so long. After all,
>you're always lecturing us about "change" and "new technology"
>and all that sort of rot.

"Rot?"

How is your 1990s "original design" "tube-filled" "state of the
art" ham rig doing? Any more "neighbors" come over to gush over
your expertise in making it?

Did they ever gush over your classic johnson?

>Many items are routinely referred to by the manufacturer's name.
>Hams do it all the time when describing their rigs. For example,
>just considering radio sets, I've owned seven Heathkits, two RMEs,
>three Nationals, two Hallicrafters, one Gonset, seven Southgates
>and yes, at least four different Johnsons.

Could you ever get any of them to work?

>Why? You've obviously got the most experience dealing with
>one of the smallest Johnsons ever produced.
>
>Dave and I are more interested in the bigger Johnsons, such as
>the Valiant (I had one) and the Viking 2 (I had two of those, plus
>the 122 VFO).

Is it hard to beat a classic johnson?

Jimmie, go to your remaining classic johnson and turn it on.

See if it turns you on.

## 803. Re: Another Baseless BrainRant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:40:01 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <iKidnZ7XKtp_ru_enZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123365

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
>> I will be writing My report
>
>
> Hooooooooooooboy. You're a million grins, Mark.
>
> Dave K8MN

If he can't write a report that makes any more sense than the gibberish he posts hear, the people who get it will just throw it away as so much garbage.

# 804. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:40:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131561639.847986.102920@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123373

From: kb9...@amsat.org on Nov 8, 5:55 pm

>On 8 Nov 2005 17:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
>> Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today. He will serve
>> a 2-year term beginning 1 January 2006. Carl is also Executive
>> Director of No Code International.
>
>not being a memeber of the IEEE(and not being licensed engineer I
>don't think I am eleible) I am not esp familer with just what this
>means
>
>How many people hold the same level as carl nnow etc

One other that I know about: L. Bruce McClung, elected in the
same category, same day as Carl.

IEEE Standards Association sets many of the standards in use in
electronics, followed voluntarily by designers, manufacturers,
governments. Carl has been active in the Standards Association
for years, particularly the "588" group (Wi-Max, Wi-Fi, etc).

One does NOT have to be a "licensed engineer" to belong to the
IEEE as a member. There are two ways to do it: Have a degree
in engineering; have at least three written affidavits by IEEE
members vouching for an applicants' ability as an engineer.

"Licensed engineers" are those who have passed STATE exams in
state legislated areas of engineering.

For further information on IEEE go to www.ieee.org.

>congrats Carl, but a bit more context if you don't mind Len

I thought it terribly IRONIC that long-time radio amateur
Carl Stevenson, WK3C, who WAS REFUSED A NOMINATION FOR OFFICE
AT THE ARRL (an AMATEUR organization), managed to not only
GET ON a ballot of the IEEE (a PROFESSIONAL association), and
WIN! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 805. NO SCAT BUT EVERYTHING ELSE GOES!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:43:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz@hasmat.org
Message-ID: <7lg4n1l18d56mcp4t2j6g2sretjbpcshea@4ax.com>
Source record: 123374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.sports.college.michigan

my birthday is the 21 of feb 9i'll be 34 then)
i live near Springfield IL, and 33 white
unmarried, Bisexual, switch
(tend to be a a bit more sub than Dom) who has
experenced both sub and
Dom with other Males, but has only been Dom with
women, and would really
like to try Femdom. Employed but not flush enough
to make a ProDom my
first choice. i will not deal with solid waste
but i think every thing
thing else is possible (i hedge because as soon as
i think I have heard
it all someone seems to come up with something
new) Things i
diffinently like are body worship, hot wax,
bondage, spanking. i have
done and enoyed with people really into them
watersports, lite
bloodlicking (i knew a girl into Vampires ok?)
these are things i likely
to enjoy if they trun someone on, but fall flat if
the other party(s)
aren't real into them, in that vein i will
consider and may well get
into something i if it really turns you on.

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 806. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:44:14 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <iKidnZnXKtpCqe_enZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Commode Butt Cornholer wrote:
>
>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>The "Commode" couldn't get real if he tried. He'd rather hide behind a
>>>cheezy 50's sci-fi name.
>>>
>>
>>Better than hiding behind the curtains like you 2test wogie.
>
>
> Unlike you who hides behind a cheezy sci-fi character, eh, fatty?
>
> "2test wogie" Butt Cornholer makes good spells!
>
> Tell us all about that pilot's license you claim to have......
> BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>
>
> http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/out_of_banders.jpg
>

LOL at the Glendale retard who cleans out port-a-potties and was made by
the FCC to retake the amateur exam to retain his license. LOLOLOLOL!!!!
```

## 807. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:45:43 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <iKidnZjXKtqqqO_enZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123367

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie, wrote:
> Bullshit you lying faggot, you are QRMing just like Baxter.
>

Kinda like you did 2test wogie which resulted in the FCC requiring you
to retest. LOLOLOLOL!!!!

## 808. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:47:00 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <iKidnZvXKtoZqO_enZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Crazy_Lloydie Davies whined:
>
>>Why would a Fry Cook
>
>
> Wrong guess as always, fuck up.
>
>
>>be required to have correspondence
>>Masters Degree from DeVry?
>
>
> DeVry doesn't offer Master's degrees, dumbass. Looks like you fucked up
> as usual.
> You couldn't even hack degree coursework, fatass, that's why all you
> could get is a "certificate" from a community college which is about as
> useful as a sheet of toilet paper.
>
> PIZZA BOY!!!!!!!!!!!!! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!

Want to tell us all about negative SWR 2test? Did they teach you all
about it at DeVry? LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!

## 809. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:48:17 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <iKidnZrXKtpMqO_enZ2dnUVZ_sOdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123369
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N0VFP wrote:

> I've seen your name on ads all over
> the internet newsgroups..... BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>

What name is that 2test wogie?

## 810. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:53:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131562396.319271.237260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123375

I forgot, I'm talking to a unlicensed CB operator who has no true
concept of the law.

## 811. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 18:59:08 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <dnh4n199mul1bq39k25hi6paj5e2imoa2b@4ax.com>
Source record: 123376

On Tue, 08 Nov 2005 20:27:59 -0500, John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
wrote:

>On 8 Nov 2005 16:10:45 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
>> "ARRL is thy savior, thou shall not want in ham land..."
>
>Inspired by the above line, here is the 23rd Psalm Revisited:
>
>The League is my shepherd; I shall not beep.
>
>It maketh me to operate Field Day in green pastures: it leadeth me to sign MM
>upon the still waters.
>
>It reneweth my license: it leadeth me in the paths of propagation for it's
>name's sake.
>
>Yea, though I walk through the valley of the shadow of QRM; I will fear no solar
>flares; for thou art with me; thy repeaters and thy no-code licenses they
>comfort me.
>
>Thou preparest a table for me in the middle of local hamfests: thou annointest
>my dummy load with oil; my logbook runneth over.
>
>Surely DX and moonbounce shall follow me all the days of my life; and I will
>dwell in the house of the Board for ever.
>
>John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
>Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
>zIRC #monitor Admin

ouch very painfull for some folks I am sure

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 812. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 19:00:45 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <5ph4n1dp2hr66hel06lasjma3ini27rv96@4ax.com>
Source record: 123377

On 9 Nov 2005 10:40:39 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>From: kb9...@amsat.org on Nov 8, 5:55 pm
>
>>On 8 Nov 2005 17:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>> Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
>>> Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today. He will serve
>>> a 2-year term beginning 1 January 2006. Carl is also Executive
>>> Director of No Code International.
>>
>>not being a memeber of the IEEE(and not being licensed engineer I
>>don't think I am eleible) I am not esp familer with just what this
>>means
>>
>>How many people hold the same level as carl nnow etc
>
> One other that I know about: L. Bruce McClung, elected in the
> same category, same day as Carl.
>
> IEEE Standards Association sets many of the standards in use in
> electronics, followed voluntarily by designers, manufacturers,
> governments. Carl has been active in the Standards Association
> for years, particularly the "588" group (Wi-Max, Wi-Fi, etc).
>
> One does NOT have to be a "licensed engineer" to belong to the
> IEEE as a member. There are two ways to do it: Have a degree
> in engineering; have at least three written affidavits by IEEE
> members vouching for an applicants' ability as an engineer.
>
> "Licensed engineers" are those who have passed STATE exams in
> state legislated areas of engineering.
>
> For further information on IEEE go to www.ieee.org.
>
>
>>congrats Carl, but a bit more context if you don't mind Len
>
> I thought it terribly IRONIC that long-time radio amateur
> Carl Stevenson, WK3C, who WAS REFUSED A NOMINATION FOR OFFICE
> AT THE ARRL (an AMATEUR organization), managed to not only
> GET ON a ballot of the IEEE (a PROFESSIONAL association), and
> WIN! :-)

agreed very ironic also very ARRL if i may put it that way
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 813. Re: NO SCAT BUT EVERYTHING ELSE GOES!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 19:17:42 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <43724bdc_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

<kb9rqz@hasmat.org> wrote in message
news:7lg4n1l18d56mcp4t2j6g2sretjbpcshea@4ax.com...
> my birthday is the 21 of feb 9i'll be 34 then)
> i live near Springfield IL, and 33 white
> unmarried, Bisexual, switch
> (tend to be a a bit more sub than Dom) who has
> experenced both sub and
> Dom with other Males, but has only been Dom with
> women, and would really
> like to try Femdom. Employed but not flush enough
> to make a ProDom my
> first choice. i will not deal with solid waste
> but i think every thing
> thing else is possible (i hedge because as soon as
> i think I have heard
> it all someone seems to come up with something
> new) Things i
> diffinently like are body worship, hot wax,
> bondage, spanking. i have
> done and enoyed with people really into them
> watersports, lite
> bloodlicking (i knew a girl into Vampires ok?)
> these are things i likely
> to enjoy if they trun someone on, but fall flat if
> the other party(s)
> aren't real into them, in that vein i will
> consider and may well get
> into something i if it really turns you on.
>
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
You are sick markie!

# 814. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 19:18:36 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6qi4n1d60fc55aafdpd2e4dkgp1nttgsg7@4ax.com>
Source record: 123379

On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 12:18:50 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
<radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:

>N9OGL wrote:
>> I forgot, I'm talking to a unlicensed CB operator who has no true
>> concept of the law.
>>
>
>Any cb operator is 'unlicensed' as there are no 'licensed' cb operators
>in the USA.

wrong again Buzz there are licensed operators of CB's myself for one
sinc e I hold and FCC .license no my license is not required to
operate on CB but i am licensed CB operator

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 815. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 19:34:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131564875.463501.302730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123381

not if you want to count GMRS (General Mobile Radio Service) which is
a class A CB license. only Class B, C, and D are not licensed.

http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/generalmobile/

## 816. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 19:46:39 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <cfk4n1h77nqd0ie2conoaof7jes5rsdacn@4ax.com>
Source record: 123383

On 9 Nov 2005 11:34:35 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:

>not if you want to count GMRS (General Mobile Radio Service) which is
>a class A CB license. only Class B, C, and D are not licensed.
>
>http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/generalmobile/

well you do need to toleratnt of the Comnader after all he is just a
cartoon figure and for a cartoon figure he is pretty inteligent

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 817. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 19:48:09 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <43725424$1_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

```
<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:6qi4n1d60fc55aafdpd2e4dkgp1nttgsg7@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 12:18:50 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
> <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>> I forgot, I'm talking to a unlicensed CB operator who has no true
>>> concept of the law.
>>>
>>
>>Any cb operator is 'unlicensed' as there are no 'licensed' cb operators
>>in the USA.
>
> wrong again Buzz there are licensed operators of CB's myself for one
> sinc e I hold and FCC .license no my license is not required to
> operate on CB but i am licensed CB operator
>
>
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
You are a kOOk markie morgan.
```

## 818. Re: an update on Stevie mark will sue!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 19:53:24 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <43725425$1_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:9ucqm1hou7a39o9ctojorqhghebp6f58ln@4ax.com...
> well been digging the archives on Stevie
> located some 105 posst of his misdeeds dumping a lot of the repitivie
> stuff
>
> some of the gems
>
> the false stament that abortion is a ilegal
>
> setvie saying someone should take an med in his professional opinion
>
> stevie claiming people other than myself have aids
>
> stevie claiming someone beside myself is gay
>
> Stevie advocating suicide I am sure they love that one
>
> Stevie seems to think he will be able to defends his lies by claiming
> I lied or Len and the tooth fary lied
>
> stevie LPN will be challenged based on Stevie's own words
>
> indeed it is stevie that told it could be, a point tennessee seesm to
> agree with
>
> the form they want filled out arrvied yesterday I think i have combed
> as much out of Google as I can stand
>
> in short I don't think Stevie is fit to be nurse, will shall se what
> tennessee think after i hack through all these post highlight the
> relavant passages and forwrd them for tthier review
>
> just a good citiizen should
>
> feel free to resume threatening me now stevie
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
Are you going to report him again markie?

## 819. poor jealous sanshez

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 19:56:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131566217.899399.55360@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123386

itchy sanshez wrote:
> <kb9rqz@hasmat.org> wrote in message
> news:7lg4n1l18d56mcp4t2j6g2sretjbpcshea@4ax.com...
cut
> > http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
> >
> You are sick markie!

poor jealous little boy

# 820. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 19:57:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <e4l4n1l31jfc4jt375gjf6puue7llspfc9@4ax.com>
Source record: 123387
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

```
On Wed, 9 Nov 2005 14:48:09 -0500, "itchy sanshez"
<itchy@scratchy.net> wrote:

>
><kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
>news:6qi4n1d60fc55aafdpd2e4dkgp1nttgsg7@4ax.com...
>> On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 12:18:50 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
>> <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
>>
>>>N9OGL wrote:
>>>> I forgot, I'm talking to a unlicensed CB operator who has no true
>>>> concept of the law.
>>>>
>>>
>>>Any cb operator is 'unlicensed' as there are no 'licensed' cb operators
>>>in the USA.
>>
>> wrong again Buzz there are licensed operators of CB's myself for one
>> sinc e I hold and FCC .license no my license is not required to
>> operate on CB but i am licensed CB operator
>>
>>
>>
>> ---------------------------------------
>> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
>> More than 140,000 groups
>> Unlimited download
>> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>>
>You are a kOOk markie morgan.

you are a poor jealous annymouse afraid to sign his name to anything
>


---------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

# 821. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 19:57:57 UTC
From: kb9rqz@hasmat.org
Message-ID: <p4l4n1dl9st88a05dflkaf6i5r5dmmeocq@4ax.com>
Source record: 123389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

On 25 Oct 2005 00:48:59 GMT, "Lloyd"
<lloyd@no.spam> wrote:

>On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 22:40:46 GMT, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>> I've come to the conclusion there is no sense in continuing this alleged
>> discussion with Markie the Moron, or his buddies, Frankie the CBer and
>> Lennie the Loon. Not to mention LLLlooooyyyyyddd and of course Roger
>> Wiseass.
>>
>> So I am turning the nut house over to you.
>
>i take umbrage at that remark just like the first fema guy did at
>the hereings and you should look it up because you dont know what
>umbrage means. so doesnt roger.
>
>i am leaving here now and i will never come back forever. JUST
>LEAVE ME ALONE!!!! K???
>

Sorry about having to use a obivously fake ID but
I would like to confine thethe flame to the
Newsgroup
instead of my email box also being Involved

In adition soory that after a death threat or two
I have to admit that I will changing disguing
refernces
my plans and my travels

Mark Morgan
KB9RQZ
Illiopolis IL

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 822. Re: newsflash Stevei may have goten something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:00:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131566443.922278.238890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123388

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 8 Nov 2005 04:31
>
> >an old friend wrote:
>
> >> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> >> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> >>
> >> can't any such reference
> >>
> >> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> >
> >I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> >particular faith.
>
> I'm a "Reform Christian"; i.e., Lutheran. :-)
>
> Morsemen MUST belong to the Church of St. Hiram faith...
>
> >Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
> >perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
> >"dick."
>
> Brian, the NEW term in here is "CLASSIC JOHNSON!" :-)
>
> Davie and Jimmie's classic johnsons are BIGGER than everybody's!

well I have no classic Johnson myself mine is current model still in
production and still working unlike most clasics

# 823. K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:02:32 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

K1MAN BULLETIN - B051109A -

K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE

9 November 2005

Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD
51 W. 52 St. Suite 1934
New York, N.Y. 10019

Walter,

I enjoyed the Neil Armstrong piece on "60 Minutes" yesterday. You were sure at the top of your game back then, for sure!

Dan Rather lectured at the University of Maine last week and suggested that journalists should get a "spine transplant." I immediately thought of you, caving in to anonymous hams and or unconfirmed false reports threatening to implicate you as well me with child molesting. Check your sources, Walter.

Perhaps you simply handled the matter wrongly. You did an ID for me when you were trying to promote your new autobiography. OK so far. You attached no limitations to my use of the ID. I used the ID on my world wide short wave program. Many of my detractors wrote to you and, of course, said I was illegal, etc., and that I was a convicted child molester, etc., which you would be associated with. Your secretary called me about the child molesting issue. You then wrote and asked me to stop using the ID. I agreed if you would come on my program and explain your reasons. You declined. I continued using the ID so as not to cave in to the blackmail as you were so willing to do. Then you call ME unethical?! Who is being unethical here?

You hypocrite! You lobby the FCC to revoke my license after John F. Kennedy threatened you and CBS with trying to influence the FCC when you insisted on running his flubbed remarks during a presidential campaign interview with you. You didn't cave in then!

Here is what you should have done with K1MAN. Ignore the idiots or else publish a disclaimer. Yes, you did the ID but no, you do not endorse AARA, or whatever; not publish a letter on the internet that Glenn Baxter is "unethical." Pretty strong word, Walter!

Believe me, if I were illegal doing what W1AW has done for almost 100 years, the FCC and U.S. Government are smart enough and powerful

enough to do something about it other than defame me with their silly and anemic notices of APPARENT liability. Let's go to court, Walter, and I will call you as my first witness! God bless America, the land of the free and home of the brave.

The FCC is a corrupt agency. Big deal. This is America, and we do have some corruption. We also have laws. I am protected by our wonderful laws.

I am devoting a very critical chapter about you in my new philosophical autobiography which is being published on the internet as I write it. See www.K1MAN.com. Your scurrilous letter about me will be all over the internet for many years. You and your employee, Brian Crow, sure do take some real cheap shots! I feel truly sorry for both of you, but I forgive you both.

God bless you and your family. You looked just great on TV last night.

73,

(signed)
Glenn Baxter, K1MAN

P.S. My book covers your many good traits as well as your very bad ones. Let the readers and history be the judge.

Paul wrote to the Philippians, New Living Translation:

1-28. "Don't be intimidated by your enemies. This will be a sign to them that they are going to be destroyed, but that you are going to be saved, even by God himself."

And, in the King James Version:

4-8. "Finally brethren, whatsoever things are true, whatsoever things are honest, whatsoever things are just, whatsoever things are pure, whatsoever things are lovely, whatsoever things are of good report; if there be any virtue, and if there be any praise, think on these things."

cc: Brian Crow, K3VR

AARA Membership

www.K1MAN.com

GLENN BAXTER, K1MAN, AUTOBIOGRAPHY

BELGRADE LAKES INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH

# 824. Re: why is stevie so tolerant of other folks looking for johnsons?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:07:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131566840.327557.233530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123391

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 8 Nov 2005 04:31
>
> >an old friend wrote:
>
> >> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> >> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> >>
> >> can't any such reference
> >>
> >> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> >
> >I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> >particular faith.
>
> I'm a "Reform Christian"; i.e., Lutheran. :-)
>
> Morsemen MUST belong to the Church of St. Hiram faith...
>
> >Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
> >perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
> >"dick."
>
> Brian, the NEW term in here is "CLASSIC JOHNSON!" :-)

such obvious homesexual behavoit going un comdemed by Stevie?
>
> Davie and Jimmie's classic johnsons are BIGGER than everybody's!

## 825. nothing at all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:09:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131566980.193724.112970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

netmanag...@yahoo.com wrote:
cuting the message

lets see if this thread will die before it lives

# 826. Brain and Markie Support Aryan Philosophies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:18:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131567496.382277.130330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123393

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 8 Nov 2005 04:31:26 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >an old friend wrote:
> >> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> >> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> >>
> >> can't any such reference
> >>
> >> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> >
> >I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> >particular faith.
> >
> >Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
> >perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
> >"dick."

I didn't realize that there was some pre-requisite for explaining
the origin of ANY word in ANY application.

We can ask why Brain prefers the term "dick". One historical
reference to the implementation of this word is that it is of old
Germanic origin.

So, can we assume now that since Brain has insisted on the use of
a Germanic word rather than a Hebrew word that he's purporting to
support the neo-Nazi Aryan philosophies?

Using the "logic" of Brain and Markie, we must certainly assume
so.

> oh that
>
> well it is just part of Stevies passion for lying

How do you figure that, Colonel?

Steve, K4YZ

## 827. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:18:50 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3KednZHOoomX1u_enZ2dnUVZ_s-dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123378

N9OGL wrote:
> I forgot, I'm talking to a unlicensed CB operator who has no true
> concept of the law.
>

Any cb operator is 'unlicensed' as there are no 'licensed' cb operators
in the USA.

## 828. Markie and Brain Struggling Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:24:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131567850.824040.247410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123395

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> > accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> >
> > can't any such reference
> >
> > if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
>
> I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> particular faith.

He belongs to the Seventh Day of the Lie Twice Told

> Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
> perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
> "dick."

Any one given sentence may have words which have their roots in
several different languages.

Why are YOU so preoccupied with the use of one word?

Steve, K4YZ

## 829. Stevie conitunes posting hate speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:33:03 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <j3n4n11e40m2jkj8dujbvc6i79j94kukim@4ax.com>
Source record: 123396

On 9 Nov 2005 12:18:16 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 8 Nov 2005 04:31:26 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >an old friend wrote:
>> >> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
>> >> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
>> >>
>> >> can't any such reference
>> >>
>> >> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
>> >
>> >I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
>> >particular faith.
>> >
>> >Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
>> >perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
>> >"dick."
>
> I didn't realize that there was some pre-requisite for explaining
>the origin of ANY word in ANY application.

why does there need to rereq to ask a question
>
> We can ask why Brain prefers the term "dick". One historical
>reference to the implementation of this word is that it is of old
>Germanic origin.

you could ask without making it out as a Hate crime too
>
> So, can we assume now that since Brain has insisted on the use of
>a Germanic word rather than a Hebrew word that he's purporting to
>support the neo-Nazi Aryan philosophies?

now you are engaged in slander and lying stevie

flush

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 830. cab Stevie post without libeling some one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:35:04 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fan4n19ao8b4ul3ms54j0pt5bmu41k196d@4ax.com>
Source record: 123397

On 9 Nov 2005 12:24:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>> > went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
>> > accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
>> >
>> > can't any such reference
>> >
>> > if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
>>
>> I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
>> particular faith.
>
> He belongs to the Seventh Day of the Lie Twice Told

can you post without libeling someone

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 831. Re: nothing at all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:40:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@hasmat.org
Message-ID: <min4n1hm8gj3jagg3dusiq21s3de6t5kne@4ax.com>
Source record: 123399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> netmanag...@yahoo.com wrote:
> cuting the message
>
> lets see if this thread will die before it lives

>
the Net to make for the pruposes of threat and
intimadation. All of
these circumstances alow me a great deal of room
to very vague
inaccurate even to lie, if "in my sole judegemtn
these satement saerve
or may serve to protect myeslf or others from
harm" it would easy to
show that my statement meet that bruden. the
reason not to bother to
suem is that I don't think you have anything worth
taking, or even
more accurately, that you would have any6thing
worth seiveing after a
sucessfull suit. Wether or not I am illeterite,
would not be accepted
as an excuse to threaten to shoot me. If you
nearer, and therefore
more of a threat, and easier to sue, I might do it
since it would be
cheaper and would improve the chances that you
would then be tried for
your crimal act, stalking by the net, and musing
the net for making
deathe threats both of which are in fact crimes
here (neither gets
procuted very often except after a succfessul civl
trail, we live in
an inperfect world) and either serve time or be
confined to a mental
hosptial if found insane

With that I'll ignore this thread in
future

---
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server

More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 832. Re: Stevie conitunes posting hate speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:43:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131568996.407375.299370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123398

kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> On 9 Nov 2005 12:18:16 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 8 Nov 2005 04:31:26 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> >an old friend wrote:
> >> >> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> >> >> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> >> >>
> >> >> can't any such reference
> >> >>
> >> >> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> >> >
> >> >I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> >> >particular faith.
> >> >
> >> >Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
> >> >perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
> >> >"dick."
> >
> > I didn't realize that there was some pre-requisite for explaining
> >the origin of ANY word in ANY application.
>
> why does there need to rereq to ask a question

What's a "rereq"...?!?!

> > We can ask why Brain prefers the term "dick". One historical
> >reference to the implementation of this word is that it is of old
> >Germanic origin.
>
> you could ask without making it out as a Hate crime too

It's not a hate crime.

> > So, can we assume now that since Brain has insisted on the use of
> >a Germanic word rather than a Hebrew word that he's purporting to
> >support the neo-Nazi Aryan philosophies?
>
> now you are engaged in slander and lying stevie

Nope.

The three of you insist that I am somehow engaged in some sort of
religious-based assault based upon my preference for the word "putz"

over some other word. That's simply untrue.

Steve, K4YZ

# 833. Re: cab Stevie post without libeling some one ~~~~ Can Markie Create A Subject Line That Doesn't Require An Interpreter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:45:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131569100.043655.203320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123400

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 9 Nov 2005 12:24:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >> > went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> >> > accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> >> >
> >> > can't any such reference
> >> >
> >> > if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> >>
> >> I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> >> particular faith.
> >>
> > He belongs to the Seventh Day of the Lie Twice Told
>
> can you post without libeling someone

No one was libeled.

Steve, K4YZ

# 834. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:45:54 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3_ednd WoiMH_zO_eRVn-uw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123382

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 12:18:50 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey
> <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>I forgot, I'm talking to a unlicensed CB operator who has no true
>>>concept of the law.
>>>
>>
>>Any cb operator is 'unlicensed' as there are no 'licensed' cb operators
>>in the USA.
>
>
> wrong again Buzz there are licensed operators of CB's myself for one
> sinc e I hold and FCC .license no my license is not required to
> operate on CB but i am licensed CB operator

I will ask again, did you get this stupid all by yourslef or did you
have help?

## 835. Re: can Stevie post without lying? it seems not

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:50:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131569409.466238.256140@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123401

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 9 Nov 2005 12:24:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> an old friend wrote:
> > >> > went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> > >> > accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> > >> >
> > >> > can't any such reference
> > >> >
> > >> > if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> > >>
> > >> I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> > >> particular faith.
> > >
> > > He belongs to the Seventh Day of the Lie Twice Told
> >
> > can you post without libeling someone
>
> No one was libeled.

yes Len was

and you continue amass for me proof that you can't be expected to treat
your pataints without regard to there religion ( or in your other post
sexuality)

keep it up if you realy to lose that license of yours that is the way
to do it
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 836. Latent Lennie Lameness Muddled by Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:52:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131569544.484252.20560@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123402

LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
> From: snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com on Tues 8 Nov 2005 04:31
> >nobodys old friend wrote:
>
> >> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> >> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> >>
> >> can't any such reference
> >>
> >> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> >
> >I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> >particular faith.
>
> I'm a "Reform Christian"; i.e., Lutheran.

Untrue.

You're the Pope of the Seventh Day Of The Lie Twice Told

> Morsemen MUST belong to the Church of St. Hiram faith...
>
> >Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
> >perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
> >"dick."
>
> Brian, the NEW term in here is "CLASSIC JOHNSON!"
>
> Davie and Jimmie's classic johnsons are BIGGER than everybody's!

Actually "Johnson" is capitalized as it is a proper name.

And it's a sure bet that any Johnson owned by Dave or Jim IS
bigger than one YOU own, Lennie....Unless you have a picture of your
Johnson? Of course we've been trying to get you to show us pictures of
your radios, but you refuse.

Perhaps you were speaking of some OTHER Johnson?

Naaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaah! Lennie would NEVER be refering to the
slang term for male genitalia!

He's ABOVE all THAT!

Steve, K4YZ

# 837. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:52:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131569566.571095.249630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123403

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 9 Nov 2005 11:34:35 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> >>not if you want to count GMRS (General Mobile Radio Service) which is
> >>a class A CB license. only Class B, C, and D are not licensed.
> >>
> >>http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/generalmobile/
> >
> >
> > well you do need to toleratnt of the Comnader after all he is just a
> > cartoon figure and for a cartoon figure he is pretty inteligent
>
> Commander Buzz Corey of the United Planets Space Patrol was never a
> cartoon you idiot.

sorry Space Cadet

guess what ever he was he got canceeled long ago

# 838. Re: can Stevie post without lying? it seems not MARKIE FOUND A SPELLCHECKER!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:56:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131569766.832480.243250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123405

nobodys old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 9 Nov 2005 12:24:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> an old friend wrote:
> > > >> > went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> > > >> > accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> > > >> >
> > > >> > can't any such reference
> > > >> >
> > > >> > if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> > > >>
> > > >> I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> > > >> particular faith.
> > > >
> > > > He belongs to the Seventh Day of the Lie Twice Told
> > >
> > > can you post without libeling someone
> >
> > No one was libeled.
>
> yes Len was

Nope.

> and you continue amass for me proof that you can't be expected to treat
> your pataints without regard to there religion ( or in your other post
> sexuality)

Go ahead, Markie. Send this in as some sort of "proof" ! ! ! !

BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! !

And I see that spellchecker was only good for one sentence...

> keep it up if you realy to lose that license of yours that is the way
> to do it

Uh
huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

Steve, K4YZ

## 839. Re: cab Stevie post without libeling some one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:56:25 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <437262ec$1_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

```
<kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:fan4n19ao8b4ul3ms54j0pt5bmu41k196d@4ax.com...
> On 9 Nov 2005 12:24:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> an old friend wrote:
>>> > went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
>>> > accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
>>> >
>>> > can't any such reference
>>> >
>>> > if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
>>>
>>> I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
>>> particular faith.
>>
>> He belongs to the Seventh Day of the Lie Twice Told
>
> can you post without libeling someone
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
>
>
Are you going to report him again markie?
```

## 840. stevie can post nothing but libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 20:59:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131569970.929647.259930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123406

K4YZ wrote:
Latent Lennie Lameness Muddled by Markie Mularkie

more libel 2 people at once you are getting good at libel, of course
you have had years of practice

> LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com on Tues 8 Nov 2005 04:31
> > >nobodys old friend wrote:
violating the porperty rights of 3 poepl in one post

my Stevie be busssy
> >
> > >> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> > >> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> > >>
> > >> can't any such reference
> > >>
> > >> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> > >
> > >I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> > >particular faith.
> >
> > I'm a "Reform Christian"; i.e., Lutheran.
>
> Untrue.

Stevie tell another lie

>
> You're the Pope of the Seventh Day Of The Lie Twice Told

2 sentences 2 stevie lies

>
> > Morsemen MUST belong to the Church of St. Hiram faith...
> >
> > >Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
> > >perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
> > >"dick."
> >
> > Brian, the NEW term in here is "CLASSIC JOHNSON!"
> >
> > Davie and Jimmie's classic johnsons are BIGGER than everybody's!
>
> Actually "Johnson" is capitalized as it is a proper name.

>
> And it's a sure bet that any Johnson owned by Dave or Jim IS
> bigger than one YOU own, Lennie....Unless you have a picture of your
> Johnson? Of course we've been trying to get you to show us pictures of
> your radios, but you refuse.

a straight male such as your your solicting for cock pics online?

I should send that to your wife, pity that is unethical behavoir, or I
would do it

I can't believe that any other explantion but that you are either a
closet agy or have other homosecual issue in your personal life
>
> Perhaps you were speaking of some OTHER Johnson?
>
> Naaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaah! Lennie would NEVER be refering to the
> slang term for male genitalia!
>
> He's ABOVE all THAT!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 841. IEEE Struggling For Membership

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:03:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131570211.553743.151470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123408

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> IEEE is the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and is
> the world's largest professional technical organization with
> 365,000 members in 150 countries.

Geeeee.....what does it say that it takes the IEEE 150 countries
to amass 365,000 members when the ARRL manages to do half that number
in only 1 or 2....?!?!?!

Certainly there are more EE's than licensed Amateurs?

Are they not being paid enough to join the IEEE?

Obviously not.

Steve, K4YZ

## 842. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:06:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131570386.745732.70230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123409

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > IEEE is the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and is
> > the world's largest professional technical organization with
> > 365,000 members in 150 countries.
>
cuting stvei wasting bandwidth and trying to turn Carl's moment into an
anti Len attack thread

## 843. Re: cab Stevie post without libeling some one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:06:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131570390.416225.162960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123410
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

itchy sanshez wrote:
> <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:fan4n19ao8b4ul3ms54j0pt5bmu41k196d@4ax.com...
> > On 9 Nov 2005 12:24:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> an old friend wrote:
> >>> > went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> >>> > accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> >>> >
> >>> > can't any such reference
> >>> >
> >>> > if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> >>>
> >>> I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> >>> particular faith.
> >>
> >> He belongs to the Seventh Day of the Lie Twice Told
> >
> > can you post without libeling someone
>
> Are you going to report him again markie?

I seriously doubt that he'll do it the "first" time, and if he
does, the hammer will evenutally fall on him anyway...So let him
"report" what he wants! It's his bank account!

Steve, K4YZ

# 844. Re: can Stevie post without libeling some one? obviously not

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:09:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131570574.085879.295850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

K4YZ wrote:
> itchy sanshez wrote:
> > <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> > news:fan4n19ao8b4ul3ms54j0pt5bmu41k196d@4ax.com...
> > > On 9 Nov 2005 12:24:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>> an old friend wrote:
> > >>> > went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> > >>> > accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> > >>> >
> > >>> > can't any such reference
> > >>> >
> > >>> > if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> > >>>
> > >>> I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> > >>> particular faith.
> > >>
> > >> He belongs to the Seventh Day of the Lie Twice Told
> > >
> > > can you post without libeling someone
> > >
> > Are you going to report him again markie?
>
> I seriously doubt that he'll do it the "first" time, and if he
> does, the hammer will evenutally fall on him anyway...So let him
> "report" what he wants! It's his bank account!

another threat Stevie boring on your part
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 845. Re: is this incest???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:10:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@news.admin.wringing-wet.bomb-bay>
Message-ID: <dd2ee526754149ec8dc887cc2c9ad658@alt.os.linux.bemoaning.female-to-male-transgender>
Source record: 123412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

K4YZ, <k4yz@aol.com>, whose name means "has serious disabilities; researches symptoms of tumors and serious illnesses then gets symptoms; dresses too good to be straight", quarreled:

> I let my 12 year-old child and their friends watch R rated films, yes.
> So what's wrong with that?

## 846. Re: nothing at all

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:13:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131570782.863128.137400@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:

amsuing a afirly senible post form a fake job trying to be me of all
people

> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > netmanag...@yahoo.com wrote:
> > cuting the message
> >
> > lets see if this thread will die before it lives
>
> >
> the Net to make for the pruposes of threat and
> intimadation. All of
> these circumstances alow me a great deal of room
> to very vague
> inaccurate even to lie, if "in my sole judegemtn
> these satement saerve
> or may serve to protect myeslf or others from
> harm" it would easy to
> show that my statement meet that bruden. the
> reason not to bother to
> suem is that I don't think you have anything worth
> taking, or even
> more accurately, that you would have any6thing
> worth seiveing after a
> sucessfull suit. Wether or not I am illeterite,
> would not be accepted
> as an excuse to threaten to shoot me. If you
> nearer, and therefore
> more of a threat, and easier to sue, I might do it
> since it would be
> cheaper and would improve the chances that you
> would then be tried for
> your crimal act, stalking by the net, and musing
> the net for making
> deathe threats both of which are in fact crimes
> here (neither gets
> procuted very often except after a succfessul civl
> trail, we live in
> an inperfect world) and either serve time or be
> confined to a mental
> hosptial if found insane
>

> With that I'll ignore this thread in
> future
>
>
>
> ----------------------------------------
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 847. Re: stevie can post nothing but libel MARKIE GETTING BETTER AT SUBJECT LINE GRAMMAR, BUT HAS TO HIRE 3RD GRADER TO DO IT.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:15:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131570949.807093.5190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123414

an old friend wrote:

A lie before you even get to the first line...

> K4YZ wrote:
> Latent Lennie Lameness Muddled by Markie Mularkie
>
> more libel 2 people at once you are getting good at libel, of course
> you have had years of practice

No libel.

> > LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com on Tues 8 Nov 2005 04:31
> > > >nobodys old friend wrote:
> violating the porperty rights of 3 poepl in one post

Violating the King's English.

I'm not even sure what that was supposed to say.

> my Stevie be busssy

I am sure you'd LIKE me to be "yours", Markie, but you already
have a "wife".

(yeahrightsure)

> > > >> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> > > >> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> > > >>
> > > >> can't any such reference
> > > >>
> > > >> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> > > >
> > > >I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> > > >particular faith.
> > >
> > > I'm a "Reform Christian"; i.e., Lutheran.
> >
> > Untrue.
>
> Stevie tell another lie

Nope.

> > You're the Pope of the Seventh Day Of The Lie Twice Told
>
> 2 sentences 2 stevie lies

Nope.

> > > Morsemen MUST belong to the Church of St. Hiram faith...
> > >
> > > >Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
> > > >perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
> > > >"dick."
> > >
> > > Brian, the NEW term in here is "CLASSIC JOHNSON!"
> > >
> > > Davie and Jimmie's classic johnsons are BIGGER than everybody's!
> >
> > Actually "Johnson" is capitalized as it is a proper name.
> >
> > And it's a sure bet that any Johnson owned by Dave or Jim IS
> > bigger than one YOU own, Lennie....Unless you have a picture of your
> > Johnson? Of course we've been trying to get you to show us pictures of
> > your radios, but you refuse.
>
> a straight male such as your your solicting for cock pics online?

Nope.

Markie, do you KNOW what a "Johnson" is and what relevence it has
to Amateur Radio...?!?! Do you know what relevence Johnson has to
COMMERCIAL radio today?

> I should send that to your wife, pity that is unethical behavoir, or I
> would do it

Send what to my wife?

That I talk about Johnson stuff in an Amateur Radio discussion
group...?!?!

She'll laugh at you harder than the TN BoN will!

But then I get the feeling you LIKE being laughed at by women.

> I can't believe that any other explantion but that you are either a
> closet agy or have other homosecual issue in your personal life

"explanation" "gay" "homosexual"

Or perhaps you're an idiot that doesn't understand that not EVERY
word has a sexual connotation...Or maybe to YOU it DOES...?!?!

> > Perhaps you were speaking of some OTHER Johnson?
> >
> > Naaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaah! Lennie would NEVER be refering to the
> > slang term for male genitalia!

> >
> > He's ABOVE all THAT!

As I said.....

Steve, K4YZ

## 848. newsflash Stevei may have goten something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:17:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131571073.241074.12560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123415

now people faking stevoe sheesh
K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ, <k4yz@aol.com>, whose name means "has serious disabilities; researches
> symptoms of tumors and serious illnesses then gets symptoms; dresses too
> good to be straight", quarreled:
>
> > I let my 12 year-old child and their friends watch R rated films, yes.
> > So what's wrong with that?

## 849. Re: can Stevie post without libeling some one? obviously not

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:23:57 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131571437.344686.119110@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > itchy sanshez wrote:

> > > Are you going to report him again markie?
> >
> > I seriously doubt that he'll do it the "first" time, and if he
> > does, the hammer will evenutally fall on him anyway...So let him
> > "report" what he wants! It's his bank account!
>
> another threat Stevie boring on your part

Not a 'threat", Markie.

A promise.

Steve, K4YZ

## 850. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:25:09 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <l5idnaKTUrgKx-_enZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123394

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 9 Nov 2005 11:34:35 -0800, "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>
>>not if you want to count GMRS (General Mobile Radio Service) which is
>>a class A CB license. only Class B, C, and D are not licensed.
>>
>>http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/generalmobile/
>
>
> well you do need to toleratnt of the Comnader after all he is just a
> cartoon figure and for a cartoon figure he is pretty inteligent

Commander Buzz Corey of the United Planets Space Patrol was never a
cartoon you idiot.

## 851. Re: uncontrolable bowels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:25:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@rec.arts.hammering.vanilla-queen>
Message-ID: <678ec9873e594d1f96626a59b641a3c3@alt.binaries.erotica.multimedia.bone-smuggling>
Source record: 123417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

K4YZ, <k4yz@aol.com>, whose name means "eats the heads off rats; hasn't got much of a life due to being a boring person", squawked:

> I am having a problem finding a job that i would like andnot only that
> i left my last job as i was being treat like crap by my colleages and
> bosses cause I said they was idiots. the problem is that when i find a
> new job i get the feeling that everyone cept me is an idiot. I shold be
> the boss.

# 852. Re: can Stevie post without libeling some one? obviously not

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:26:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131571586.085707.27230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > itchy sanshez wrote:
>
> > > > Are you going to report him again markie?
> > >
> > > I seriously doubt that he'll do it the "first" time, and if he
> > > does, the hammer will evenutally fall on him anyway...So let him
> > > "report" what he wants! It's his bank account!
> >
> > another threat Stevie boring on your part
>
> Not a 'threat", Markie.

a threat Stevie

go read the BoN reporting from
>
> A promise.

that you can't keep

you would need to have a case
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 853. IEEE Struggling for Membership

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:28:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131571733.360571.50370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123419

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > IEEE is the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and is
> > > the world's largest professional technical organization with
> > > 365,000 members in 150 countries.
> >
> cuting stvei wasting bandwidth and trying to turn Carl's moment into an
> anti Len attack thread

The "wasting bandwidth" part came from you responding to a thread
in which you had nothing to say...As usual.

Steve. K4YZ

## 854. Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:31:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131571904.870937.146660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123420

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > IEEE is the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and is
> > > > the world's largest professional technical organization with
> > > > 365,000 members in 150 countries.
> > >
cuting stvei wasting bandwidth and trying to turn Carl's moment into
an
anti Len attack thread

Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today. He will serve
a 2-year term beginning 1 January 2006. Carl is also Executive
Director of No Code International.

IEEE is the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and is
the world's largest professional technical organization with
365,000 members in 150 countries.

LenAnder...@ieee.org

## 855. And BTW, Markie.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:31:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131571909.779881.46600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123421

raped an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > IEEE is the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and is
> > > the world's largest professional technical organization with
> > > 365,000 members in 150 countries.
> >
> cuting stvei wasting bandwidth and trying to turn Carl's moment into an
> anti Len attack thread

The REAL "attack" came FROM Lennie...Yet another anti-ARRL /
anti-Amateur Radio sleight. It had very little to do with Carl.

Steve, K4YZ

## 856. Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:35:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131572125.255102.59140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123422

Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today. He will serve
a 2-year term beginning 1 January 2006. Carl is also Executive
Director of No Code International.

IEEE is the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and is
the world's largest professional technical organization with
365,000 members in 150 countries.

LenAnder...@ieee.org

conitueing to cut and ignore Stvie eforts to trun a wellearned ata boy
in a anti len attarck thread

# 857. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:42:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131572524.991770.114120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123423

From: Dave Heil on Nov 8, 4:41 pm

<His Royal Pompousness forgot Iitoi's attribute here>
>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>> Browsing the FCC internet site the sheer number of reply
>>> comments by one Californian caught my eye.
>
>> 8 in all on WT Docket 05-235. :-)
>
>> But, only ONE is a Comment. All the subsequent ones are REPLIES
>> to Comments.
>
>>> One quote was particularly petulant --- "Based on the twenty items
>>> discussed and comment on them, this commenter would urge the Commission
>>> to ignore ARRL desires..."
>
>> Not quite verbatim, but close enough for government work. :-)
>
>>> Perhaps, based on his tens of thousands of posts on the usernet, we
>>> should urge the Commission to ignore Leonard H. Anderson desires.
>
>> It's ALREADY been done long ago. See WT Docket 98-143
>> for 25 January 1999...search ECFS for surname "Robeson."
>
>> BTW, it's "USENET," an acronym for 'university network' that
>> grew out of the old ARPANET long ago...so long it was before
>> the Internet went public access (in 1991).
>
>It isn't from "university network", Len.

Sorry, your Royal Pompousness, ARPANET connected a number of
universities and defense industry locations back in the 70s
and 80s. Not a great many, nowhere the size of the Internet
of today, but enough to justify the ARPANET experience. The
nominal user throughput in those days was 100 Baud or equal to
100 WPM (earlier times by TTY machinery, later by "dumb"
electronic terminals). "High speed" then was 300 Baud or
300 WPM. :-)

>For someone who has been
>around as long as you claim, you'd think you'd get this one right.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

No doubt you will pull up some "modern-day" claim that ARPANET (standing for Advanced Research Projects Agency NETwork) isn't what I say it is? :-)

I'm using the OLD naming conventions, your Royal Pompousness.

ARRL did NOT invent "USENET" nor was it involved in that network before 1991 and Internet going public. ARRL has NOT been a member of ARPANET.

>This isn't even the first time you've been corrected.

Tsk. You've TRIED to correct me but all you've done is to attempt forcing the pro-code-test-advocate opinion as the ONLY "correct" one. Total PCTA Effluence, your Royal Pompousness.

>It looks as if you've made another of your frequent factual errors, Leonard.

No, your Royal Pompousness. I was on it back then. You were NOT.

I began in HF radio communications in early 1953...using no less than three dozen HF transmitters having minimum RF power outputs of 1 KW...the station operating 24/7 as a primary node of the worldwide U.S. Army communications network. You tried to say that I "lied" in describing that station and the Army network. I didn't lie.

I was briefly on ARPANET in the 70s, doing defense contractor work and using the just-born USENET for that defense work purpose. In the quarter century since then a number of NAMES have changed to reflect the changing nature of human activity. ARPA became DARPA and grew in size and scope. USENET changed much more and became a mainstay of the Internet once Internet went public in 1991.

Now you are busy, busy, busy with your little gardening Bobcat trying to build a mountain of "error" out of the origin of USENET molehill? Why? Neither the Internet nor USENET *is* amateur radio nor is anyone required to be "licensed via taking a morse code test" to be on them.

>> ARRL can do NO wrong? To speak against them is heresy?
>
>Everyone should listen to you?

Tsk, tsk, your Royal Pompousness. My rhetorical question had NOTHING to do with *me*, ONLY the ARRL.

Just a plain, simple fact: ARRL supports the PCTA opinion of WHAT SHOULD BE IN AMATEUR RADIO.

Why should they? ARRL is a MINORITY group. Their membership is only 1 in 5 licensed U.S. radio amateurs. ARRL does NOT

represent 4 out of 5 licensed U.S. radio amateurs.

YOU are telling US that some elite, self-defined "leader" of
a hobby activity MUST Tell All How Ham Radio SHOULD BE?!?

Of course you are.

You are a BELIEVER in the "leadership" of the ARRL. ARRL is
sacred, is untouchable. PBthpbththththththt.

>You know how amateur radio should be because...?

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Your Royal Pompous Effluent Orifice is sore?

WT Docket 05-235 is about the elimination of the code test for
GETTING INTO amateur radio through FCC licensing. GETTING INTO.

That's a simple concept. But, to those all wrapped up in their
patriotic (invisible) bunting of ARRL "official" colors and
morsemanship as the extra-super-special-skill for amateurs (as
the ARRL has preached and lobbied)...you cannot see that simple
concept. Your abject HATRED of certain personalities in here
blinds you to what others can plainly see.

>> Sunnuvagun, if the English Department of a west coast university
>> wants to "vote" for code testing...and twenty Tennessee law
>> students can use WT Docket 05-235 for Moot Court practice, fine,
>> PROHIBIT all they want!
>
>I see. Don't listen to the ARRL; listen to an uninvolved party with an
>ax to grind. Brilliant!

It must be "brilliant" if Joe Speroni wants to include an English
teacher at a university (west coast, of all things) who states
openly that she is NOT getting any amateur radio license, as "for"
morse code testing as an entry exam for something she is NOT
INVOLVED IN! Speroni is absolutely PCTA, an old morseman with an
"axe" to grind for that singular mode. The AH0A website shows
that. The Speroni "analysis" page shows that "English department"
ID at the top of his icon-filled "chart."

Speroni has a number of FACTUAL ERRORS in his INTERPRETATION of
WT Docket 05-235 filings. I've pointed out some of them, have
not exhausted that list. You WANT Speroni's pro-CW viewpoint
to persist and rule, plus you want any anti-code-test viewpoint
to be shut up, eliminated, thrown away by any force you can use.
You SHOW that in NOT remearking about anyone else negatively
but my comments.

So far, your Royal Pompousness, all you've done is engage in
pure, simple, factual Character Assassination of me and several
other NCTAs in public. Can't call it anything else...you want
to PROHIBIT discussion and dictate that all should follow ARRL
in anything...not just in licensed amateur radio, but in all

things.

Enjoy your elitist exclusivity while it exists. It won't be so forever. If you can't get any Oriongasms now, go play with your big classic johnson. Turn it on and see if it turns you on.

Sieg heil,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 858. Re: cab Stevie post without libeling some one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 21:57:29 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <B_OdnY7mhsqw_-_enZ2dnUVZ_vmdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123404

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 9 Nov 2005 12:24:10 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
>>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>
>>>>went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
>>>>accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
>>>>
>>>>can't any such reference
>>>>
>>>>if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
>>>
>>>I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
>>>particular faith.
>>
>> He belongs to the Seventh Day of the Lie Twice Told
>
>
> can you post without libeling someone

Can you post without looking like a complete idiot?

# 859. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 23:00:04 UTC
From: KH6HZ <KH6HZ-AT@SIGN-ARRL.NET>
Message-ID: <Gbvcf.1655$Xj5.948@dukeread02>
Source record: 123424

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!

You're welcome Steve.

73 de KH6HZ

# 860. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 23:12:08 UTC
From: "The Hon. Shaliek Haznarob" <shaznarob@shaznaroboilltd.org>
Message-ID: <dktvm1$ed9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123425
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Mr Baxter is a very honorable man, a gentleman and a scholar, who is waging a battle with the FCC culture of corruption, a battle that all ham radio should thank him for waging. Mr Baxter is a modern day Ham Radio Hero!

ARRL & FCC - partners in the culture of corruption.

## 861. Return of old shit to the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 23:12:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131577957.746985.107600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123426

KH6HZ wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!
>
>
>
> You're welcome Steve.
>
> 73 de KH6HZ

Stevie's coconspirator

a love fest between 2 "men" shocking

## 862. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 23:20:08 UTC
From: Lloyd Lloyd Lloyd Everywhere <Lloyd@lloydeverywherenet.net>
Message-ID: <dku04h$g7m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

We hear Roger is back to drinking that cheap rot gut
beer again, as well as smoking the generic brand
cigarettes too. His SSI payments must have been reduced.

## 863. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 23:29:58 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery28146@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <WDvcf.7209$AS6.1550@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123428
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

```
> >
>
> OK, if you want to get technical, a "license" per-say is not needed,
though
> they still need to be "certified as technically qualified to perform
> transmitter maintenance and repair duties in the private land mobile
> services and fixed services by an organization or committee representative
> of users in those services." - Reference FCC Part 95, Section 95.424
> subsection "b".
>
> And as the question that was asked, in relation to the US:
> "Are CB's allowed to be repaired by the average CB'er who has the
knowledge
> or are they only repairable by a qualified technician of some kind[?]"
> The answer to the first part of the question is still NO, and the second
> part is still, YES. The "average CB'er who has the knowledge" can NOT
> service their own CB, legally. They would need to be "certified" to do so
> "by an organization or committee representative of users in [the CB
> service]."
>

Don't leave out the word "should". It does not say MUST.
```

# 864. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-09 23:34:58 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1y9gsk@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1y9gsk@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123456

kb9rqz@amsat.org Wrote:
>
> How many people hold the same level as carl nnow etc
>

There are a total of 15 members of IEEE Standards Association Board.

SA President, Immediate Past President, Standards Board Chair,
Standards Board Immediate Past Chair, Treasurer, Secretary, Nine (9)
Members-At-Large. Mr. Stevenson and Mr. McClung will join the
"Members-At-Large" for a two year term beginning next year.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

# 865. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 00:03:36 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <lh35n1tivangpmqf3gn02gmacbfqlhgvrj@4ax.com>
Source record: 123429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Who cares?

Vinnie S.

# 866. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 00:04:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131581086.108596.33510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123430

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: kb9...@amsat.org on Nov 8, 5:55 pm
> >On 8 Nov 2005 17:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
> >> Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today.

Many of us have sent him our congratulations.

> >> Carl is also Executive
> >> Director of No Code International.

Nobody's perfect! ;-)

> I thought it terribly IRONIC that long-time radio amateur
> Carl Stevenson, WK3C, who WAS REFUSED A NOMINATION FOR OFFICE
> AT THE ARRL (an AMATEUR organization), managed to not only
> GET ON a ballot of the IEEE (a PROFESSIONAL association), and
> WIN! :-)

Not ironic at all.

The standards of amateurism are different. Ask Jim Thorpe.

Carl had more than enough signatures on his nomination papers.
But the Executive Committee that decides such matters though there
was a conflict of interest between his professional duties and being
an ARRL Director, and declared him ineligible to run. That decision
was not reversed by the BoD.

Some members of ARRL, particularly in the Atlantic Division, did not
agree with that decision, and made their disagreement known to the
BoD, the other officers of ARRL, and anybody else involved. Those
efforts to get him on the ballot were, unfortunately, not successful.

btw, it is interesting to note the name of Carl's firm.

# 867. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 00:56:48 UTC
From: Falky foo <falkyfoo@bonksbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <kVwcf.14626$tV6.2140@newssvr27.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 123431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

just be thankful that usenet provides an outlet for these nuts before they
start shooting up their towns.

"Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com> wrote in message
news:lh35n1tivangpmqf3gn02gmacbfqlhgvrj@4ax.com...
>
> Who cares?
>
> Vinnie S.

# 868. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 01:00:31 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <8s65n1hh5oqst046qvi5r61dv9filt04dh@4ax.com>
Source record: 123432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 00:56:48 GMT, "Falky foo" <falkyfoo@bonksbcglobal.net>
wrote:

>just be thankful that usenet provides an outlet for these nuts before they
>start shooting up their towns.

I guess. It just seems some people are born to be miserable, all the time.

Vinnie S.

# 869. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 01:33:06 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131586386.077776.3140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123433

From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 9, 4:04 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: kb9...@amsat.org on Nov 8, 5:55 pm
>> >On 8 Nov 2005 17:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
>> >> Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today.
>
>Many of us have sent him our congratulations.

What do you mean "we?" You wouldn't have known about an IEEE
election without a notice from an IEEE member. YOU are NOT
an IEEE member.

WE don't know where or what YOUR "professional" electronics
activity is/involves. You could be taking lessons from
Dudly the Imposter.

>> I thought it terribly IRONIC that long-time radio amateur
>> Carl Stevenson, WK3C, who WAS REFUSED A NOMINATION FOR OFFICE
>> AT THE ARRL (an AMATEUR organization), managed to not only
>> GET ON a ballot of the IEEE (a PROFESSIONAL association), and
>> WIN! :-)
>
>Not ironic at all.

WRONG. INCORRECT.

>The standards of amateurism are different. Ask Jim Thorpe.

Dead a long time ago. Do you talk to the dead?!?

Other than the BoD that is...

>Carl had more than enough signatures on his nomination papers.
>But the Executive Committee that decides such matters though there
>was a conflict of interest between his professional duties and being
>an ARRL Director, and declared him ineligible to run. That decision
>was not reversed by the BoD.

We've all seen your parroting of ARRL-is-god spin in here
before on that matter.

Put a cork in it and go to your seance. Ask Jim Fixx.

>Some members of ARRL, particularly in the Atlantic Division, did not
>agree with that decision, and made their disagreement known to the

>BoD, the other officers of ARRL, and anybody else involved. Those
>efforts to get him on the ballot were, unfortunately, not successful.

One cannot argue with the dead.

One cannot argue with morsemen.

Hard to tell the difference there...

>btw, it is interesting to note the name of Carl's firm.

Why? Because he has one and you do not?

You know damn well that Carl got tossed off the nomination sheet
due to his being Executive Director of No Code International.
ARRL doesn't want no-coders. ARRL wants only those "acceptible"
to the BoD hierarchy.

-------

Jim-Jim, be aware that Microsoft is ready to ship a new Windows
just for you morsemen. It's supposed to be like Windows XP PRO
but it is called Windows XP Amateur. One doesn't need any
keyboard or display. Just use a morse key for input and listen
to the speakers for results. Ideal for you morsemen to show
your magnificence in communications.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 870. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 01:36:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131586595.735469.15170@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123434

Hola Mike. Been to Hawaii lately? Start any new clubs?

# 871. Re: Moron whinyslit is wrong again!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 01:48:36 UTC
From: Schwartz <schwartz38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <iity883dcd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 123435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

BWA HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! whinyslit_lloydie is a complete moron.
LMAO and ROTF.

On 9 Nov 2005 16:35:28 GMT, Secwet Woger <woger@no.isp> wrote:
> On 9 Nov 2005 07:54:48 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > DeVry doesn't offer Master's degrees, dumbass. Looks like you fucked up
> > as usual.
>
> Oh yes it does, Lardass. You are the fuckup as usual. I got my
> advanced degree in business administration, just like it says at
> http://www.devry.edu/keller/programs/m_business_administration/about.jsp
> and then I got an advanced fry cook job at a Glendale eating
> establishment located at an elegant spot near the river.
>
> You should get out of the house more before you make idiotic
> statements like "DeVry doesn't offer Master's degrees." What a
> dumbass you are, pizza boy.

# 872. Re: IEEE Struggling For Membership

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 01:49:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131587342.124811.285930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123436

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > IEEE is the Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers and is
> > the world's largest professional technical organization with
> > 365,000 members in 150 countries.
>
> Geeeee.....what does it say that it takes the IEEE 150 countries
> to amass 365,000 members when the ARRL manages to do half that number
> in only 1 or 2....?!?!?!

Put a Morse Code requirement on IEEE membership and that organizations
would fold.

> Certainly there are more EE's than licensed Amateurs?
>
> Are they not being paid enough to join the IEEE?
>
> Obviously not.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

A glut of engineers from India and China...

## 873. Re: Md man of thousand callsign?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 01:49:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131587342.957925.223410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123437

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> Hola Mike. Been to Hawaii lately? Start any new clubs?

is this the guy who had what was iit a dozen 2 dozen club callsigns
across several states?

## 874. Re: stevie can post nothing but libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 01:50:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4Ixcf.1565$c_1.225@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123438

an old friend wrote:

> I can't believe that any other explantion but that you are either a
> closet agy...

Fill us in, Mark! Is Steve a closet Aggie? I would have pegged him as
a Vols fan.

You have some "explantion" to do.

Dave K8MN

# 875. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 01:55:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131587707.548472.9360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123439

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 9, 4:04 pm
>
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: kb9...@amsat.org on Nov 8, 5:55 pm
> >> >On 8 Nov 2005 17:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> >> Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
> >> >> Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today.
> >
> >Many of us have sent him our congratulations.
>
> What do you mean "we?" You wouldn't have known about an IEEE
> election without a notice from an IEEE member. YOU are NOT
> an IEEE member.

Mouse. Pocket.

> WE don't know where or what YOUR "professional" electronics
> activity is/involves. You could be taking lessons from
> Dudly the Imposter.

Speaks as an authority on space science, Has trouble with distance to
the moon.

> >> I thought it terribly IRONIC that long-time radio amateur
> >> Carl Stevenson, WK3C, who WAS REFUSED A NOMINATION FOR OFFICE
> >> AT THE ARRL (an AMATEUR organization), managed to not only
> >> GET ON a ballot of the IEEE (a PROFESSIONAL association), and
> >> WIN! :-)
> >
> >Not ironic at all.
>
> WRONG. INCORRECT.
>
> >The standards of amateurism are different. Ask Jim Thorpe.
>
> Dead a long time ago. Do you talk to the dead?!?
>
> Other than the BoD that is...

Why would an atheist think he could talk to the dead? Reminds me of
Steve's recent attempt to talk to W0EX.

> >Carl had more than enough signatures on his nomination papers.
> >But the Executive Committee that decides such matters though there
> >was a conflict of interest between his professional duties and being
> >an ARRL Director, and declared him ineligible to run. That decision
> >was not reversed by the BoD.
>
> We've all seen your parroting of ARRL-is-god spin in here
> before on that matter.
>
> Put a cork in it and go to your seance. Ask Jim Fixx.

He'll blow right by Jim Fixx and got straight to Hiram. Jim got clout.

> >Some members of ARRL, particularly in the Atlantic Division, did not
> >agree with that decision, and made their disagreement known to the
> >BoD, the other officers of ARRL, and anybody else involved. Those
> >efforts to get him on the ballot were, unfortunately, not successful.
>
> One cannot argue with the dead.
>
> One cannot argue with morsemen.
>
> Hard to tell the difference there...
>
> >btw, it is interesting to note the name of Carl's firm.
>
> Why? Because he has one and you do not?
>
> You know damn well that Carl got tossed off the nomination sheet
> due to his being Executive Director of No Code International.
> ARRL doesn't want no-coders. ARRL wants only those "acceptible"
> to the BoD hierarchy.
>
> -------
>
> Jim-Jim, be aware that Microsoft is ready to ship a new Windows
> just for you morsemen. It's supposed to be like Windows XP PRO
> but it is called Windows XP Amateur. One doesn't need any
> keyboard or display. Just use a morse key for input and listen
> to the speakers for results. Ideal for you morsemen to show
> your magnificence in communications.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Hi!!!

# 876. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 01:57:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131587849.513422.84260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123440

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 9, 4:04 pm
>
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: kb9...@amsat.org on Nov 8, 5:55 pm
> >> >On 8 Nov 2005 17:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> >> Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
> >> >> Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today.
> >
> >Many of us have sent him our congratulations.
>
> What do you mean "we?" You wouldn't have known about an IEEE
> election without a notice from an IEEE member. YOU are NOT
> an IEEE member.
>
> WE don't know where or what YOUR "professional" electronics
> activity is/involves. You could be taking lessons from
> Dudly the Imposter.
>
>
> >> I thought it terribly IRONIC that long-time radio amateur
> >> Carl Stevenson, WK3C, who WAS REFUSED A NOMINATION FOR OFFICE
> >> AT THE ARRL (an AMATEUR organization), managed to not only
> >> GET ON a ballot of the IEEE (a PROFESSIONAL association), and
> >> WIN! :-)
> >
> >Not ironic at all.
>
> WRONG. INCORRECT.

Jim would not know irany if it.. Nah beter not say might be accused of
threatening him
>
> >The standards of amateurism are different. Ask Jim Thorpe.
>
> Dead a long time ago. Do you talk to the dead?!?
>
> Other than the BoD that is...

sorry Len you blew it the ARRL BoD is alive. The dead the do have
better manner and more honesty

Dave says I must my fellows No Coders when they make mistake and I'll take his advice (be sure and thank him for me)

I can not allow to defile the dead as general class ( as opposed to general license holders) by trying to class the ARRL BoD amoug them. I will have to write a strong worded to the LA Times or something if you don't stop distrubing the peace the dead have earned by trying include the ARRL BoD amoug them before they have to accept those "persons" for want of a better word

>
>
> >Carl had more than enough signatures on his nomination papers.
> >But the Executive Committee that decides such matters though there
> >was a conflict of interest between his professional duties and being
> >an ARRL Director, and declared him ineligible to run. That decision
> >was not reversed by the BoD.
>
> We've all seen your parroting of ARRL-is-god spin in here
> before on that matter.
>
> Put a cork in it and go to your seance. Ask Jim Fixx.
>
>
> >Some members of ARRL, particularly in the Atlantic Division, did not
> >agree with that decision, and made their disagreement known to the
> >BoD, the other officers of ARRL, and anybody else involved. Those
> >efforts to get him on the ballot were, unfortunately, not successful.
>
> One cannot argue with the dead.

in point of fact you can argue with the dead at least they better obey stardards of truthfullness honesty and integety better than some we could mention

>
> One cannot argue with morsemen.

you got that right right on the money

Protect Us Oh Lord from the warth of the Morsemen
>
> Hard to tell the difference there...

wrong again the dead speak bettera fter awhile they even smell better than some of the Morsemen

>
>
> >btw, it is interesting to note the name of Carl's firm.
>
> Why? Because he has one and you do not?
>
> You know damn well that Carl got tossed off the nomination sheet

> due to his being Executive Director of No Code International.
> ARRL doesn't want no-coders. ARRL wants only those "acceptible"
> to the BoD hierarchy.
>
> -------
>
> Jim-Jim, be aware that Microsoft is ready to ship a new Windows
> just for you morsemen. It's supposed to be like Windows XP PRO
> but it is called Windows XP Amateur. One doesn't need any
> keyboard or display. Just use a morse key for input and listen
> to the speakers for results. Ideal for you morsemen to show
> your magnificence in communications.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 877. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 01:58:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131587926.541298.87730@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123441

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 9, 4:04 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: kb9...@amsat.org on Nov 8, 5:55 pm
> >> >On 8 Nov 2005 17:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> >> Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
> >> >> Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today.
> >
> >Many of us have sent him our congratulations.
>
> What do you mean "we?"

Those of us who know about his election.

> You wouldn't have known about an IEEE
> election without a notice from an IEEE member.

And yet I know about it. Fancy that!

> YOU are NOT an IEEE member.

So? Membership is not required to offer congratulations.

> WE don't know where or what YOUR "professional" electronics
> activity is/involves.

Are you the Pope, Len?

> >> I thought it terribly IRONIC that long-time radio amateur
> >> Carl Stevenson, WK3C, who WAS REFUSED A NOMINATION FOR OFFICE
> >> AT THE ARRL (an AMATEUR organization), managed to not only
> >> GET ON a ballot of the IEEE (a PROFESSIONAL association), and
> >> WIN! :-)
> >
> >Not ironic at all.
>
> WRONG. INCORRECT.

Says who?

> >The standards of amateurism are different. Ask Jim Thorpe.
>
> Dead a long time ago. Do you talk to the dead?!?
>
> Other than the BoD that is...

> >Carl had more than enough signatures on his nomination papers.
> >But the Executive Committee that decides such matters though there
> >was a conflict of interest between his professional duties and being
> >an ARRL Director, and declared him ineligible to run. That decision
> >was not reversed by the BoD.
>
> We've all seen your parroting of ARRL-is-god spin in here
> before on that matter.

ARRL isn't 'god', Len. Neither are you.

> Put a cork in it and go to your seance. Ask Jim Fixx.

Ah, another one of your "shut up" phrases.

> >Some members of ARRL, particularly in the Atlantic Division, did not
> >agree with that decision, and made their disagreement known to the
> >BoD, the other officers of ARRL, and anybody else involved. Those
> >efforts to get him on the ballot were, unfortunately, not successful.

> One cannot argue with the dead.

> One cannot argue with morsemen.
>
> Hard to tell the difference there...

I'm quite alive, Len.

You might be surprised to know who some of those who
supported WK3C's candidacy for ARRL director are, Len.

> >btw, it is interesting to note the name of Carl's firm.
>
> Why? Because he has one and you do not?

No.

Do you know the name of his firm?

> You know damn well that Carl got tossed off the nomination sheet
> due to his being Executive Director of No Code International.

No, I don't know that at all. You don't either, you just take any
excuse to
bash ARRL.

> ARRL doesn't want no-coders.

Really? Many of the directors support the elimination of the code test.
So does much of the membership. The ARRL proposal to keep the test
for Extra only is a compromise meant to give the diverse ARRL
membership
some of what they want.

Compromise - do you know what that is, Len?

> ARRL wants only those "acceptible" to the BoD hierarchy.

"Acceptible"?

## 878. Re: stevie can post nothing but libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:00:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131588026.775698.94720@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123442

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > I can't believe that any other explantion but that you are either a
> > closet agy...
>
> Fill us in, Mark! Is Steve a closet Aggie? I would have pegged him as
> a Vols fan.
>
> You have some "explantion" to do.

no I don't at least not to you

I will be trying to say something nice in each post form now on

Dave you are better than Stevie, Barely
>
> Dave K8MN

# 879. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:06:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131588404.772002.16780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123443

KH6HZ wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!
>
>
> You're welcome Steve.
>
> 73 de KH6HZ

Hey, Mike, how've you been? Make it to Hawaii yet?

bb

## 880. Re: Can Markie Be Trusted With ANYthing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:09:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131588558.245807.124350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123444

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"

> Does Jim/N2EY know of the five pillars
> or does Jim/N2EY not know of the 5 pillars?

I know the five pillars of Islam. Known them for years:

1) Belief in the one true God, and that His Prophet is His messenger.

2) Prayer 5 times a day

3) Charity

4) Fasting/purification

5) Pilgrimage to Mecca for those who can.

Of course Islam is a lot more complex and diverse than those 5 pillars.

What any of that has to do with the subject line is rather obscure.

## 881. Re: Md man of thousand callsign?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:11:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131588677.924651.171460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123445

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > Hola Mike. Been to Hawaii lately? Start any new clubs?
>
> is this the guy who had what was iit a dozen 2 dozen club callsigns
> across several states?

This is the guy who called me a liar for saying that he had 12
callsigns. One callsign at his address belonged to his wife. I got is
so wrong!

The icing on the cake is that he holds a Hawaiin callsign but had never
been to Hawaii.

Borrowed the Hawaiin PO Box from some Coast Guardie.

Meanwhile the Coast Guardie had borrowed a Guam PO Box and got hisself
a KH2 call.

Hi! Gotta love these guys!

# 882. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:16:51 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <ebb5n19tdf62uv1grbgjd4qvsm3iqf6ne7@4ax.com>
Source record: 123447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Is it my imagination, or has the topic suddenly been filled with sock
puppets?

## 883. Re: Can Markie Be Trusted With ANYthing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:16:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131589014.710774.56040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123446

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
>
> > Does Jim/N2EY know of the five pillars
> > or does Jim/N2EY not know of the 5 pillars?
>
> I know the five pillars of Islam. Known them for years:
>
> 1) Belief in the one true God, and that His Prophet is His messenger.
>
> 2) Prayer 5 times a day
>
> 3) Charity
>
> 4) Fasting/purification
>
> 5) Pilgrimage to Mecca for those who can.
>
> Of course Islam is a lot more complex and diverse than those 5 pillars.

not flaming you but merely inquiring to learn how impressed I should
be: did you have to look that up?

>
>
> What any of that has to do with the subject line is rather obscure.

everything Stevie is either obscure or libelous or a lie or any
combination there in

# 884. Re: Md man of thousand callsign?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:19:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131589148.947124.43760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123448

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > Hola Mike. Been to Hawaii lately? Start any new clubs?
> >
> > is this the guy who had what was iit a dozen 2 dozen club callsigns
> > across several states?
>
> This is the guy who called me a liar for saying that he had 12
> callsigns. One callsign at his address belonged to his wife. I got is
> so wrong!
>
> The icing on the cake is that he holds a Hawaiin callsign but had never
> been to Hawaii.

ah yes the man fibbing to FCC about his role in the several clubs and
Stevie calls me dishonest
>
> Borrowed the Hawaiin PO Box from some Coast Guardie.
>
> Meanwhile the Coast Guardie had borrowed a Guam PO Box and got hisself
> a KH2 call.
>
> Hi! Gotta love these guys!

I don't have to love these guys, thank the gods, I do laugh at em

# 885. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:22:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131589356.500433.17660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Al Klein wrote:
> Is it my imagination, or has the topic suddenly been filled with sock
> puppets?

your imgination? sock pupets would make more sense if they were posting

K1MAN is not worth the effort these clowns and other put into him

He is fighting a pricipled fight, badly and foolishly but having
started it I hope he prevails, his distractor are are best source of
real justification for the manner in which he is fighting the ARRL/FCC
a very sad and soory busness all round

## 886. Re: an update on Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:41:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131590500.597224.138380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123450

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> well been digging the archives on Stevie
> located some 105 posst of his misdeeds dumping a lot of the repitivie
> stuff
>
> some of the gems
>
> the false stament that abortion is a ilegal
>
> setvie saying someone should take an med in his professional opinion
>
> stevie claiming people other than myself have aids
>
> stevie claiming someone beside myself is gay
>
> Stevie advocating suicide I am sure they love that one
>
> Stevie seems to think he will be able to defends his lies by claiming
> I lied or Len and the tooth fary lied
>
> stevie LPN will be challenged based on Stevie's own words
>
> indeed it is stevie that told it could be, a point tennessee seesm to
> agree with
>
> the form they want filled out arrvied yesterday I think i have combed
> as much out of Google as I can stand
>
> in short I don't think Stevie is fit to be nurse, will shall se what
> tennessee think after i hack through all these post highlight the
> relavant passages and forwrd them for tthier review
>
> just a good citiizen should
>
> feel free to resume threatening me now stevie
> ----------

Here's a few:

---

160. Steve Robeson, K4CAP
Jun 28 2004, 1:58 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) - Find messages by this

author
Date: 27 Jun 2004 23:58:14 -0700
Local: Mon, Jun 28 2004 1:58 am
Subject: Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

billybee...@juno.com (William) wrote in message <news:1e4e3692.0406271628.48681889@posting.google.com>...
> He yell and yell, he hate me and hate me. Now he say he spect better
> from me.
> Maybe trap or lie. I no trust.
> He noisy. He yell and yell.
> Why he want military gear? I 'member. He say he militia.
> Why he fixated with boys? He need get help. Take somekinda sumptin.
> No badge as boy. Must compensate now.

I re-extend my offer to use my professional contacts to help you, Brain. It always looks better on your record to appear as having been aware you ahve a problem and taking steps to fix it, than to be involuntarilly commited in order to force the issue.

I can make that happen for you, Brain. Shall I make the call....?!?!?

Steve, K4YZ

---

Steve offers to have Brain committed, will look better on Brian's record.

---

348. Steve Robeson K4CAP
Mar 25 2004, 9:17 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) - Find messages by this author

Date: 25 Mar 2004 14:17:01 GMT
Local: Thurs, Mar 25 2004 9:17 am
Subject: Re: Ham-radio is a hobby not a service
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

>Subject: Re: Ham-radio is a hobby not a service
>From: billybee...@juno.com (William)
>Date: 3/24/2004 6:08 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0403241608.3796b...@posting.google.com>

>k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
><news:20040322111712.20899.00000163@mb-m27.aol.com>...

>> >Subject: Re: Ham-radio is a hobby not a service
>> >From: billybee...@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 3/19/2004 6:16 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0403191616.2a113...@posting.google.com>

>> >I know only one Steve Roberson and he's nuts.

>> Well..."Steve Roberson" MAY be nuts.

>> I am not.

>> YOU, on the other hand, are not truthful.

>> Steve, K4YZ

>I stand corrected.

>Steve Robeson is nuts.

You've made another assertion of fact, Brain.

That assertion would be, in most cases, the rendering of a
diagnosis,
which requires a degree as a medical doctor.

Are you now telling us that you are a medical doctor?

Please cite what criteria you base this diagnosis on?

That I have labled you a liar after having presented you with your
own
words only to have you try and deny it...?!?!

Or that I have labeled you a liar becasue you've made OTHER
assertions of
fact for which you refuse to corroborate with some reasonably valid
documentation?

Steve, K4YZ

---

Steve jumps up and down, thinks "nuts" is a medical diagnosis. Wonder
where he got his medical training?

Meanwhile Steve diagnoses a brand name drug to a person on the internet
(across state lines) and follows it up with a comment that it's his
"professional" opinion.

Hmmm? Did he get any medical training at all?

# 887. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:52:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vBycf.1863$Xo.298@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123451

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 8, 4:41 pm
>
> <His Royal Pompousness forgot Iitoi's attribute here>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>>>> Browsing the FCC internet site the sheer number of reply
>>>> comments by one Californian caught my eye.
>>> 8 in all on WT Docket 05-235. :-)
>>> But, only ONE is a Comment. All the subsequent ones are REPLIES
>>> to Comments.
>>>> One quote was particularly petulant --- "Based on the twenty items
>>>> discussed and comment on them, this commenter would urge the Commission
>>>> to ignore ARRL desires..."
>>> Not quite verbatim, but close enough for government work. :-)
>>>> Perhaps, based on his tens of thousands of posts on the usernet, we
>>>> should urge the Commission to ignore Leonard H. Anderson desires.
>>> It's ALREADY been done long ago. See WT Docket 98-143
>>> for 25 January 1999...search ECFS for surname "Robeson."
>>> BTW, it's "USENET," an acronym for 'university network' that
>>> grew out of the old ARPANET long ago...so long it was before
>>> the Internet went public access (in 1991).
>> It isn't from "university network", Len.
>
> Sorry, your Royal Pompousness, ARPANET connected a number of
> universities and defense industry locations back in the 70s
> and 80s. Not a great many, nowhere the size of the Internet
> of today, but enough to justify the ARPANET experience. The
> nominal user throughput in those days was 100 Baud or equal to
> 100 WPM (earlier times by TTY machinery, later by "dumb"
> electronic terminals). "High speed" then was 300 Baud or
> 300 WPM. :-)

Why are you wandering all over the place? The term "usenet" does not
come from "university network". It really is as simple as that.

>> For someone who has been
>> around as long as you claim, you'd think you'd get this one right.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk.

A tsking, a tasket
Len has blown a gasket.

> No doubt you will pull up some "modern-day" claim that ARPANET
> (standing for Advanced Research Projects Agency NETwork) isn't
> what I say it is? :-)

ARPANET wasn't the issue. Your incorrect defintion of the term "usenet"
is the issue.

> I'm using the OLD naming conventions, your Royal Pompousness.

Oh. The "OLD" naming conventions which others don't use. I see.

> ARRL did NOT invent "USENET" nor was it involved in that network
> before 1991 and Internet going public. ARRL has NOT been a
> member of ARPANET.

I wrote nothing of the ARRL inventing anything. I wrote that your
definition of the term "usenet" is incorrect. It turns out that the
fellow you were correcting had it right after all.

>> This isn't even the first time you've been corrected.
>
> Tsk. You've TRIED to correct me but all you've done is to
> attempt forcing the pro-code-test-advocate opinion as the
> ONLY "correct" one. Total PCTA Effluence, your Royal
> Pompousness.

I've TRIED and succeeded in correcting your factual errors on a number
of issues. My opinion on morse testing is not relevant to your error.

>
>> It looks as if you've made another of your frequent factual errors, Leonard.
>
> No, your Royal Pompousness. I was on it back then. You
> were NOT.

Whoopty-do. If you went around telling others that "usenet" came from
"university network", you were wrong then too. Tsk.

> I began in HF radio communications in early 1953...using no
> less than three dozen HF transmitters having minimum RF
> power outputs of 1 KW...the station operating 24/7 as a
> primary node of the worldwide U.S. Army communications
> network.

What has all that to do with your error in defining "usenet"? Your tale
of your military communications experience of better than fifty years
ago is as irrelevant to the definition of "usenet" as it is to amateur
radio.

> You tried to say that I "lied" in describing that
> station and the Army network.

That is simply incorrect.

> I didn't lie.

Good for you. You've made up for it in other postings.

> I was briefly on ARPANET in the 70s, doing defense
> contractor work and using the just-born USENET for that
> defense work purpose. In the quarter century since then
> a number of NAMES have changed to reflect the changing
> nature of human activity. ARPA became DARPA and grew in
> size and scope. USENET changed much more and became a
> mainstay of the Internet once Internet went public in 1991.

That's nice. You still made an error in stating that "usenet" came from
"university network".
>
> Now you are busy, busy, busy with your little gardening
> Bobcat...

My little gardening Bobcat? What are you smoking?

> ...trying to build a mountain of "error" out of the
> origin of USENET molehill?

Quit trying to pass yourself off as an expert in areas where you are
obviously not an expert. You got it wrong. You made an error. Why do
you need multiple lengthy paragraphs to attempt misdirection. Accept
that you goofed.

> Why? Neither the Internet nor
> USENET *is* amateur radio nor is anyone required to be
> "licensed via taking a morse code test" to be on them.

Then why are you prattling on about it? You attempted to correct
another's use of a term only to incorrectly define the term yourself?

>>> ARRL can do NO wrong? To speak against them is heresy?

>> Everyone should listen to you?

> Tsk, tsk, your Royal Pompousness. My rhetorical question had
> NOTHING to do with *me*, ONLY the ARRL.

My non-rhetorical statement had to do with you. Why should anyone
listen to your views about where amateur radio should be headed?
After all, you have no amateur radio experience and you have no stake in
amateur radio.

> Just a plain, simple fact: ARRL supports the PCTA opinion of
> WHAT SHOULD BE IN AMATEUR RADIO.

What's up with all the caps? Are you losing control of yourself?

> Why should they?

Why shouldn't the ARRL reflect the views of its membership?

> ARRL is a MINORITY group.

The NAACP has done pretty well for itself. The ARRL is by far, the largest amateur radio organization in the United States. No other amateur radio organization in America has anywhere near the ARRL's membership. I know it chafes you, but them's the facts.

> Their membership
> is only 1 in 5 licensed U.S. radio amateurs.

Show me another amateur radio organization in the United States with a .5 in 5 ratio. Show me one with .25 in 5. The League, as much as it bugs you, is the strongest amateur radio voice in this country.

> ARRL does NOT
> represent 4 out of 5 licensed U.S. radio amateurs.

You don't represent any U.S. radio amateur.

> YOU are telling US that some elite, self-defined "leader" of
> a hobby activity MUST Tell All How Ham Radio SHOULD BE?!?

I like the League's ideas much, much more than I like yours. You aren't a radio amateur. You don't represent a single radio amateur. You're simply some goofy geezer with a lot of time to devote to flooding the FCC with multiple comments and replies. You're fixated on something in which you do not participate.

> Of course you are.

No, I've changed my mind and have decided to pick up your banner and follow you, Len....not.

> You are a BELIEVER in the "leadership" of the ARRL. ARRL is
> sacred, is untouchable. PBthpbtht hththt ht.

...and you are an elderly goofball.

>> You know how amateur radio should be because...?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Your Royal Pompous Effluent Orifice is sore?

You grow more peculiar by the day. Tsk, tsk tsk. Poor baby.

> WT Docket 05-235 is about the elimination of the code test for
> GETTING INTO amateur radio through FCC licensing. GETTING INTO.

You aren't getting into. You've told us that you aren't getting in. Then again, that has changed with the breezes.

> That's a simple concept. But, to those all wrapped up in their
> patriotic (invisible) bunting of ARRL "official" colors and
> morsemanship as the extra-super-special-skill for amateurs (as
> the ARRL has preached and lobbied)...you cannot see that simple
> concept.

You aren't in. You aren't getting in. You've taken not a single step during your self-declared decades of "interest" in amateur radio, toward

obtaining an amateur radio license. You're simply an elderly geezer
with time on his hands and an amateur radio fixation.

> Your abject HATRED of certain personalities in here
> blinds you to what others can plainly see.

I don't hate you, Len. You amuse me. You are certainly a personality,
and not a pleasant one.

>>> Sunnuvagun, if the English Department of a west coast university
>>> wants to "vote" for code testing...and twenty Tennessee law
>>> students can use WT Docket 05-235 for Moot Court practice, fine,
>>> PROHIBIT all they want!

>> I see. Don't listen to the ARRL; listen to an uninvolved party with an
>> ax to grind. Brilliant!

> It must be "brilliant" if Joe Speroni wants to include an English
> teacher at a university (west coast, of all things) who states
> openly that she is NOT getting any amateur radio license, as "for"
> morse code testing as an entry exam for something she is NOT
> INVOLVED IN! Speroni is absolutely PCTA, an old morseman with an
> "axe" to grind for that singular mode. The AH0A website shows
> that. The Speroni "analysis" page shows that "English department"
> ID at the top of his icon-filled "chart."

So? You've made eight or so submissions to the FCC on 05-235. How does
Speroni count your submission? After all, you've stated openly that you
aren't getting an into amateur radio. It is something you aren't
involved in.

> Speroni has a number of FACTUAL ERRORS in his INTERPRETATION of
> WT Docket 05-235 filings.

Has he? You two will find that you have something in common.

> I've pointed out some of them, have
> not exhausted that list. You WANT Speroni's pro-CW viewpoint
> to persist and rule, plus you want any anti-code-test viewpoint
> to be shut up, eliminated, thrown away by any force you can use.
> You SHOW that in NOT remearking about anyone else negatively
> but my comments.

What do you SHOW, Len?

> So far, your Royal Pompousness, all you've done is engage in
> pure, simple, factual Character Assassination of me and several
> other NCTAs in public.

Why is character assassination capitalized, Len? Your character hasn't
been assassinated by me. I'd think that you'd want to be careful of
what you accuse others. After all, Google has a splendid archive of the
things you've written of others. You aren't a victim; you're a perpetrator.

> Can't call it anything else...you want
> to PROHIBIT discussion...

Why, Leonard H. Anderson! You've just made a deliberately false
statement. Tsk, tsk, tsk. Poor baby.

> ...and dictate that all should follow ARRL
> in anything...not just in licensed amateur radio, but in all
> things.

You may be on to something. I think the League should diversify--maybe
get into the oil business. Why, with that and the ARRL stake in
gambling (as handled by the "Field Organization"), we could control a
huge chunk of the action. :-) :-)

> Enjoy your elitist exclusivity while it exists.

Oh, I shall, Leonard. I shall. I assume that you mean the exclusivity
of being a licensed radio amateur, something which has eluded you.

> It won't be so forever.

I'm going to lose my license? I'm going to forget morse code?

> If you can't get any Oriongasms now, go play with your
> big classic johnson.

What is an Oriongasm? Oh, and you've made yet another factual error.
The term is "big, classic Johnson".

> Turn it on and see if it turns you on.

I have and it does. Does your tiny Johnson turn anyone on?

> Sieg heil,

Sieg anderson.

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

k8mn@arrl.net

## 888. Re: Can Markie Be Trusted With ANYthing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:53:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131591203.070218.177950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123452

an old friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
> >
> > > > Does Jim/N2EY know of the five pillars
> > > > or does Jim/N2EY not know of the 5 pillars?
> >
> > I know the five pillars of Islam. Known them for years:
> >
> > 1) Belief in the one true God, and that His Prophet is His messenger.
> >
> > 2) Prayer 5 times a day
> >
> > 3) Charity
> >
> > 4) Fasting/purification
> >
> > 5) Pilgrimage to Mecca for those who can.
> >
> > Of course Islam is a lot more complex and diverse than those 5 pillars.
>
> not flaming you but merely inquiring to learn how impressed I should
> be: did you have to look that up?

I've known them for years, Mark. They're pretty basic: Monotheism,
prayer, stewardship, Ramadan, and the Hajj.

How impressed should you be that I know such basic stuff about Islam?

> > What any of that has to do with the subject line is rather obscure.
>
> everything Stevie is either obscure or libelous or a lie or any
> combination there in

It wasn't Steve who asked if I knew the 5 pillars.

# 889. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:54:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131591242.843674.128590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123453

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > > Steve Robeson
> > > > > May 30 2000, 2:00 am hide options
> > > > >
> > > > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> > > > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson) - Find messages by this author
> > > > > Date: 2000/05/30
> > > > > Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> > > > > | Report Abuse
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Subject: Re: Kim's Callsign
> > > > > >From: tlbry...@my-deja.com (T.L. Bryant)
> > > > > >Date: 05/29/2000 8:07 PM Central Daylight Time
> > > > > >Thank you, Sigmund. Now, if our inadequacies offend you so much, please turn
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > your radio off, or change the frequency to something that isn't so
> > > > > inadequate
> > > > > for you.<
> > > > >
> > > > > Ooooooohhhhhh!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > We hit a bit close to HOME, did we, Tom?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >>I<< didn't say ANYTHING about being inadeqaute for ME. I
> > > > > placed that
> > > > > onus upon those who find it necessary to resort to "shock-jock" antics
> > > > > and
> > > > > callsigns.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Take an Ativan, Tom. That's my professional suggestion.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > The nation that is ready to fight in peacetime is less likely to HAVE
> > > > > to fight
> > > > > in wartime......(Author unknown, but he probably wasn't a politician!)
> > > > >
> > > > Hmmm? Is Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN prescribing meds?
> > >
> > so it would seem med by name in his professional opinion
> > >
> > like you said Google provide
> >
> You're welcome to try and document this as an attempt to
> "prescribe". I'll offer the BopN my congratualtions on reserving thier
> laughter now.....
> >
> Steve, K4YZ
>
"their"
>
Steve, when I put forth my opinion that you were nuts, you jumped up
and down asking where my medical degree came from, screaming and crying
like a little baby that I had made a medical diagnosis.
>
But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
diagnosis.
>
You're such a two-faced little skunk. Best of Luck with the board.

Five minutes on Google provides.

Here's two such incidents. There are more.

Steve tried to use his professional license to:

1. diagnose a mental illness over the internet, and
2. get someone in another state committed with a simple phone call.

---

Quote

348. Steve Robeson K4CAP
Mar 25 2004, 9:17 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) - Find messages by this author

Date: 25 Mar 2004 14:17:01 GMT
Local: Thurs, Mar 25 2004 9:17 am

Subject: Re: Ham-radio is a hobby not a service
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
| Report Abuse

- Hide quoted text -
- Show quoted text -

>Subject: Re: Ham-radio is a hobby not a service
>From: billybee...@juno.com (William)
>Date: 3/24/2004 6:08 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1e4e3692.0403241608.3796b...@posting.google.com>

>k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
><news:20040322111712.20899.00000163@mb-m27.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Ham-radio is a hobby not a service
>> >From: billybee...@juno.com (William)
>> >Date: 3/19/2004 6:16 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0403191616.2a113...@posting.google.com>

>> >I know only one Steve Roberson and he's nuts.

>> Well..."Steve Roberson" MAY be nuts.

>> I am not.

>> YOU, on the other hand, are not truthful.

>> Steve, K4YZ

>I stand corrected.

>Steve Robeson is nuts.

You've made another assertion of fact, Brain.

That assertion would be, in most cases, the rendering of a
diagnosis,
which requires a degree as a medical doctor.

Are you now telling us that you are a medical doctor?

Please cite what criteria you base this diagnosis on?

That I have labled you a liar after having presented you with your
own
words only to have you try and deny it...?!?!

Or that I have labeled you a liar becasue you've made OTHER
assertions of
fact for which you refuse to corroborate with some reasonably valid
documentation?

Steve, K4YZ
End Quote

_____
Quote

160. Steve Robeson, K4CAP
Jun 28 2004, 1:58 am hide options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) - Find messages by this author
Date: 27 Jun 2004 23:58:14 -0700
Local: Mon, Jun 28 2004 1:58 am
Subject: Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie
Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Report Abuse

billybee...@juno.com (William) wrote in message <news:1e4e3692.0406271628.48681889@posting.google.com>...
> He yell and yell, he hate me and hate me. Now he say he spect better
> from me.
> Maybe trap or lie. I no trust.
> He noisy. He yell and yell.
> Why he want military gear? I 'member. He say he militia.
> Why he fixated with boys? He need get help. Take somekinda sumptin.
> No badge as boy. Must compensate now.

I re-extend my offer to use my professional contacts to help you, Brain. It always looks better on your record to appear as having been aware you ahve a problem and taking steps to fix it, than to be involuntarilly commited in order to force the issue.

I can make that happen for you, Brain. Shall I make the call....?!?!?

Steve, K4YZ

End Quote
---

## 890. Re: an update on Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 02:56:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131591405.762719.137830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123454

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > well been digging the archives on Stevie
cut
> Here's a few:
> ----------
>
> 160. Steve Robeson, K4CAP
> Jun 28 2004, 1:58 am hide options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson, K4CAP) - Find messages by this
> author
> Date: 27 Jun 2004 23:58:14 -0700
> Local: Mon, Jun 28 2004 1:58 am
> Subject: Re: Temper Fry, Was Re: Able Baker Charlie
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> | Report Abuse
>
> billybee...@juno.com (William) wrote in message
> <news:1e4e3692.0406271628.48681889@posting.google.com>...
> > He yell and yell, he hate me and hate me. Now he say he spect better
> > from me.
> > Maybe trap or lie. I no trust.
> > He noisy. He yell and yell.
> > Why he want military gear? I 'member. He say he militia.
> > Why he fixated with boys? He need get help. Take somekinda sumptin.
> > No badge as boy. Must compensate now.
>
> I re-extend my offer to use my professional contacts to help you,
> Brain. It always looks better on your record to appear as having been
> aware you ahve a problem and taking steps to fix it, than to be
> involuntarilly commited in order to force the issue.
>
> I can make that happen for you, Brain. Shall I make the
> call....?!?!?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> ----------
>
> Steve offers to have Brain committed, will look better on Brian's
> record.

got that one myself

but i had missed the one below thank you for your help

BTW I will be submitting this stuff under a from that simply terms it a report It even has the option to leave My own name off of it but I will sign it myself I hope to finsih it off by the weekend

> ---------------------------------
>
> 348. Steve Robeson K4CAP
> Mar 25 2004, 9:17 am hide options
>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) - Find messages by this author
>
> Date: 25 Mar 2004 14:17:01 GMT
> Local: Thurs, Mar 25 2004 9:17 am
> Subject: Re: Ham-radio is a hobby not a service
> Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
> | Report Abuse
>
> - Hide quoted text -
> - Show quoted text -
>
> >Subject: Re: Ham-radio is a hobby not a service
> >From: billybee...@juno.com (William)
> >Date: 3/24/2004 6:08 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0403241608.3796b...@posting.google.com>
>
> >k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4CAP) wrote in message
> ><news:20040322111712.20899.00000163@mb-m27.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Ham-radio is a hobby not a service
> >> >From: billybee...@juno.com (William)
> >> >Date: 3/19/2004 6:16 PM Central Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <1e4e3692.0403191616.2a113...@posting.google.com>
>
> >> >I know only one Steve Roberson and he's nuts.
>
> >> Well..."Steve Roberson" MAY be nuts.
>
> >> I am not.
>
> >> YOU, on the other hand, are not truthful.
>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
>
> >I stand corrected.
>
> >Steve Robeson is nuts.
>
> You've made another assertion of fact, Brain.
>
> That assertion would be, in most cases, the rendering of a

> diagnosis,
> which requires a degree as a medical doctor.
>
> Are you now telling us that you are a medical doctor?
>
> Please cite what criteria you base this diagnosis on?
>
> That I have labled you a liar after having presented you with your
> own
> words only to have you try and deny it...?!?!
>
> Or that I have labeled you a liar becasue you've made OTHER
> assertions of
> fact for which you refuse to corroborate with some reasonably valid
> documentation?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> ----------
>
> Steve jumps up and down, thinks "nuts" is a medical diagnosis. Wonder
> where he got his medical training?
>
> Meanwhile Steve diagnoses a brand name drug to a person on the internet
> (across state lines) and follows it up with a comment that it's his
> "professional" opinion.
>
> Hmmm? Did he get any medical training at all?

I hosetly think he did Brain but in his case I think the beeping of
Morse encoded CW has driven alot of it from his brain

you have also sugested a another keyowrd or 2 to for my searches back
the shit mine

## 891. Re: Can Markie Be Trusted With ANYthing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 03:06:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131591960.865343.172840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123455

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > "Sir Markie of Paganopolis"
> > >
> > > > Does Jim/N2EY know of the five pillars
> > > > or does Jim/N2EY not know of the 5 pillars?
> > >
> > > I know the five pillars of Islam. Known them for years:
> > >
> > > 1) Belief in the one true God, and that His Prophet is His messenger.
> > >
> > > 2) Prayer 5 times a day
> > >
> > > 3) Charity
> > >
> > > 4) Fasting/purification
> > >
> > > 5) Pilgrimage to Mecca for those who can.
> > >
> > > Of course Islam is a lot more complex and diverse than those 5 pillars.
> >
> > not flaming you but merely inquiring to learn how impressed I should
> > be: did you have to look that up?
>
> I've known them for years, Mark. They're pretty basic: Monotheism,
> prayer, stewardship, Ramadan, and the Hajj.
>
> How impressed should you be that I know such basic stuff about Islam?

I am more impresed that you had without looking them up
>
> > > What any of that has to do with the subject line is rather obscure.
> >
> > everything Stevie is either obscure or libelous or a lie or any
> > combination there in
>
> It wasn't Steve who asked if I knew the 5 pillars.

yes it was you just came in late is all

either do a search or trust me on that

# 892. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 03:32:30 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ibzcf.13423$Hj2.3197@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 123458

Wrong Toadfish you don't even know your own countries law, so to show how dumb you are, here is a VK to tell you the US Regs WHICH YOU SHOULD KNOW ALREADY.

Whilst I'm not American, I would bet (yes, I looked at fcc site) that as the FCC is the department responsible for radio and tele communications then it would and does have regulatory power over the internet.

SO ACCORDING TO THE US LEGISLATION I HAVE JUST READ THE FCC DOES GOVERN WHATEVER TRAVELS VIA THE MEDIUMS MENTIONED BELOW, WHICH MEANS THE WEB TOADFISH. SO I SUGGEST AS MANY US HAMS AS POSSIBLE FILE COMPLAINTS AGAINST K1MAN, N9OGL AND OTHERS THAT MAKE THREATS, ARE OBSCENE ETC AND BRING AMATEUR RADIO INTO DISREPUTE.

PEOPLE LIKE N9OGL AND K1MAN ARE A BPL COMPANIES DREAM, WHAT A GREAT EXAMPLE OF 'HAM RADIO' THEY PROVIDE. HOW LONG WOULD THE PUBLIC SUPPORT US IF A BPL CO GOT A STORY LIKE THAT ONTO PRIME TIME?

From FCC Site:
"The FCC was established by the Communications Act of 1934 and is charged with regulating interstate and international communications by radio, television, wire, satellite and cable. The FCC's jurisdiction covers the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and U.S. possessions."

So if your stupidity-Toadfish-travels by tv, radio, wire, satellite or cable then you can have a complaint lodged against you. How does the internet arrive at your QTH Toadfish? Immaculate Connection perhaps?

Which means, they can and do also regulate the internet within the US and possessions...idiot. For a ham Mr Toad, I thought you'd know what tele and radio communications mean. Just shows how thick you are, here's a VK that know's more about your FCC than you do, just shows how little about the FCC and your regs that you actually know. Perhaps a licence re-test for you as you appear to need to study up on regulations Toadfish.

BTW. We have a ham in VK who does his own radio show each week, like Toadfish and K1MAN but it's nothing like thier crap. See, this VK uses AM on 160m with a 2m FM crossband signal and has technical discussions with other hams, as he was a former radio broadcaster, he enjoys using the AM mode, but he uses professional, commercial standard AM broadcast equipment, not a CD Walkman and a Walmart microcphone plugged into a Karoake machine. He also puts on old, historic broadcasts that the stations he worked at turfed out years ago-such as the 1930 Melbourne Cup, the Skylab Crash, WW1 & WW2 transmissions from world leaders, advertisments from last century, mostly during Radio's Golden Age. But these are of a historical nature and are really archival audio, that

many VK's enjoy listening to every Saturday night. They've also done shows from the beach on New Year's Eve over the years. What they do is just within the regs, but these guys do it professionally with professional equipment. He does not put his show up on THE PRIME DX BAND, does he Toady.

Fortunatly, we don't get the Toad Bulletin or K1MAN's b/s down under, but I'm willing to bet, that if anyone here downloads and listens to the VK AM 'broadcasts' of VK3ASE from his website, that they will be at least within the amateur service regs. Remember it's a bit of silly fun to these guys.

If your local AM station was, say, attacked Toady, could you take over and become their studio/transmitter immediatly? If yes, then you have met some standards. If not, then what you're doing is a waste of time. .

He doesn't- (a) put his show on HF DX bands, (c)is carrying out technical investigation (c) his shows and studio is a former AM station and his signal is of the highest (almost as good as MW stations) standard. (d) other hams are there talking cross-band, so it becomes like a 'ham-radio talkback show' if you like. But the main difference I notice is these guys do it for fun and a bit of a laugh on a Sat night, Toady & K1MAN have this granduer dream that they are somehow important, and as they are so important, the need a bulletin like the ARRL.

I suggest you look here Toady, to see how an amateur does 'bulletins' properly. http://members-central.optushome.com.au/vk3ase/

Look at pictures on that homepage Toady....and clean that mess of ya screen!

After much reading this n/g I have concluded that N9OGL and K1MAN are politicians in training. Only pollies could be such wankers LOL.

Oh, I know, K1MAN and N9OGL should team up with Eminem, 'cause the FCC won't let him be' either... and you could all work together to 'screw the fcc'.

N9OGL wrote:
> The FCC does NOT have any juridiction over the Internet...nice try
> though
>

## 893. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 03:36:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131593815.350418.28450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123459

Ashley VK3HAG wrote:
> Wrong Toadfish you don't even know your own countries law, so to show
> how dumb you are, here is a VK to tell you the US Regs WHICH YOU SHOULD
> KNOW ALREADY.
>
> Whilst I'm not American, I would bet (yes, I looked at fcc site) that as
> the FCC is the department responsible for radio and tele communications
> then it would and does have regulatory power over the internet.

you'd lose the FCC lacks the power to regulate interest content
>

which was the subject

## 894. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 04:23:16 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <4qGdnSx0kZgOIe_enZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123457

an old friend wrote:

>
>
> Jim would not know irany if it..

Irany - someone who lives in Iran.

# 895. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 04:50:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131598258.924872.218540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123460

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > Jim would not know irany if it..
>
> Irany - someone who lives in Iran.

at least I am a person not a cancelled cartoon style character rediced
to playing a an unpaid spelling cop

# 896. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 04:55:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131598546.093504.33610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123461

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
cut

> > You're such a two-faced little skunk. Best of Luck with the board.
>
> Five minutes on Google provides.
or less I should think

>
> Here's two such incidents. There are more.

lots more the problem is not finding em but find the clearcut ones that
the BoN can't fail to understand
>
> Steve tried to use his professional license to:
>
> 1. diagnose a mental illness over the internet, and
> 2. get someone in another state committed with a simple phone call.
> ----------
>
> Quote
cut

# 897. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 05:21:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131600079.674314.108370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123462

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Dave Heil on Nov 8, 4:41 pm
> >
cut
> > electronic terminals). "High speed" then was 300 Baud or
> > 300 WPM. :-)
>
> Why are you wandering all over the place? The term "usenet" does not
> come from "university network". It really is as simple as that.

your evidence?

and why does Len need YOUR license to wander as he chooses?

it is as unsupprted as Al Gores claim to have invented the iterent
>
> >> For someone who has been
> >> around as long as you claim, you'd think you'd get this one right.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk.
>
> A tsking, a tasket
> Len has blown a gasket.

just another of the Dave and Stevie ppersonal attack responses

almost as much fun as Stevies' "lair lair pants on fire" shit
cut

> > ARRL did NOT invent "USENET" nor was it involved in that network
> > before 1991 and Internet going public. ARRL has NOT been a
> > member of ARPANET.
>
> I wrote nothing of the ARRL inventing anything.

nor did Len state you had ,but in any case you are being deceitfull in
making a stament that implies he said you made such a statement

(no doubt the poor fellow will claim he can't follow a complex
sentence)
> I wrote that your
> definition of the term "usenet" is incorrect. It turns out that the
> fellow you were correcting had it right after all.

preahps that is ture but it has not been shown by you
>

> >> This isn't even the first time you've been corrected.
> >
> > Tsk. You've TRIED to correct me but all you've done is to
> > attempt forcing the pro-code-test-advocate opinion as the
> > ONLY "correct" one. Total PCTA Effluence, your Royal
> > Pompousness.
>
> I've TRIED and succeeded in correcting your factual errors on a number
> of issues. My opinion on morse testing is not relevant to your error.

well that only shows you have not been following the arguement in here
Dave, the contention that along withe the ProCode test babagage comes
other issues is something you choose to overlook, but instaed you
continue to pile on the evidence that something more than just being
proCode is wrong with the proCoder's suggesting that the position on
Code testing is just an outcrop of a deeper problem
cut

>
> > Tsk, tsk, your Royal Pompousness. My rhetorical question had
> > NOTHING to do with *me*, ONLY the ARRL.
>
> My non-rhetorical statement had to do with you. Why should anyone
> listen to your views about where amateur radio should be headed?
> After all, you have no amateur radio experience and you have no stake in
> amateur radio.

and you just told another Lie dave. Len has a stake in Ham radio.
everyone does. everyone on the planet ( and it is not limited to the
earth assuming that life exists out there) has a stake in how the
airwaves are used

I comend Len on his public spirt in showing scuh interest and attetnion
to this public matter

The Airwaves don't belong to us as Hams Dave, they belong to the people
of them and it is the duty of regulators to try and serve the PUBLIC
interest not the narrow interest of Some hams like yourself

You are selfish and decietful which are very human properties I have
them myself as does everyone, but at Least I see them for what they
are. You and Stevie trun your selfis and deeictfull positions to your
own wand refuse to even adknowledge ythem as human failing that you are
subject to.

that is why I am in my own eyes, and other a better persons than you, I
know my flaws you try to pretend your flaws are your virtues lying in
very deep and dangerous way you lie to yourself
>
> > Just a plain, simple fact: ARRL supports the PCTA opinion of
> > WHAT SHOULD BE IN AMATEUR RADIO.
>

> What's up with all the caps? Are you losing control of yourself?

he choose AS IS HIS RIGHT, to use them

>
> > Why should they?
>
> Why shouldn't the ARRL reflect the views of its membership?

becuase the ARRL claims to LEAD one cannot lead and follow at the same
time, this a comon failing these days affect the ARRL, Bill Clinton and
others
cut
> > represent 4 out of 5 licensed U.S. radio amateurs.
>
> You don't represent any U.S. radio amateur.
>
> > YOU are telling US that some elite, self-defined "leader" of
> > a hobby activity MUST Tell All How Ham Radio SHOULD BE?!?
>
> I like the League's ideas much, much more than I like yours.

your prevledge
> You aren't
> a radio amateur.

ture but so what

> You don't represent a single radio amateur.

A lie Dave

> You're
> simply some goofy geezer with a lot of time to devote to flooding the
> FCC with multiple comments and replies.

or a public minded fellow who takes his civic duty seriously something
you should encourage

> You're fixated on something in
> which you do not participate.

you fixate on thing you do not particpate (at least so you claim) and
that don't affect you at all, and are of zero relavance to Ham radio
and therfore this newsgroup, the subejct reffered to? My sex life
cut

>
> > WT Docket 05-235 is about the elimination of the code test for
> > GETTING INTO amateur radio through FCC licensing. GETTING INTO.
>
> You aren't getting into.
another lie the public takes the ride along with us

len stake in this matter is merely defferent than yours or mine but not
zero

> You've told us that you aren't getting in.
> Then again, that has changed with the breezes.

Unlike you Dave Len has an open mind he thinks even changes his mind

only a non thinking "person" like yourself would call open minded
though a bad thing
cut

> I have and it does. Does your tiny Johnson turn anyone on?

focousing on His sex life too
cut

## 898. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 05:40:36 UTC
From: eternalsquire@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1131601236.250700.67440@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123463
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

There are two extremely legitimate reason for using a nym on the net,
even if one is a ham: privacy and safety. Need I say more?

# 899. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 09:21:54 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131614514.498489.190720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123464

>HAG said:
>Wrong Toadfish you don't even know your own countries law, so to show
>how dumb you are, here is a VK to tell you the US Regs WHICH YOU SHOULD
>KNOW ALREADY.]

I do know thank you very much, more then what some of these morons on
here know.

>Whilst I'm not American, I would bet (yes, I looked at fcc site) that as
>the FCC is the department responsible for radio and tele communications
>then it would and does have regulatory power over the internet.

Technically the do, however, they don't have control over the CONTENT.
The US congress from 1996 to 2005 has tried to give the FCC the power
over the content of the Internet, but our Federal courts has repeatedly
struck them down as being unconsititutional, see Communication Decency
Act 1996

>SO ACCORDING TO THE US LEGISLATION I HAVE JUST READ THE FCC DOES GOVERN
>WHATEVER TRAVELS VIA THE MEDIUMS MENTIONED BELOW, WHICH MEANS THE WEB
>TOADFISH. SO I SUGGEST AS MANY US HAMS AS POSSIBLE FILE COMPLAINTS
>AGAINST K1MAN, N9OGL AND OTHERS THAT MAKE THREATS, ARE OBSCENE ETC AND
>BRING AMATEUR RADIO INTO DISREPUTE.

except content, Again the FCC is prohibited from controlling the
content of a website. As for radio they are also prohibited from
controlling the content of a station. Section 326 of the Communication
Act of 1934 prohibits the FCC from controling the content of any radio
station, except obscene and indecent material. (See below)

§ 326. Censorship

Nothing in this chapter shall be understood or construed to give the
Commission the power of censorship over the radio communications or
signals transmitted by any radio station, and no regulation or
condition shall be promulgated or fixed by the Commission which shall
interfere with the right of free speech by means of radio
communication.

(47 USC 326)

>PEOPLE LIKE N9OGL AND K1MAN ARE A BPL COMPANIES DREAM, WHAT A GREAT
>EXAMPLE OF 'HAM RADIO' THEY PROVIDE. HOW LONG WOULD THE PUBLIC SUPPORT
>US IF A BPL CO GOT A STORY LIKE THAT ONTO PRIME TIME?

>From FCC Site:
"The FCC was established by the Communications Act of 1934 and is

>charged with regulating interstate and international communications by
>radio, television, wire, satellite and cable. The FCC's jurisdiction
>covers the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and U.S. possessions."

>So if your stupidity-Toadfish-travels by tv, radio, wire, satellite or
>cable then you can have a complaint lodged against you. How does the
>internet arrive at your QTH Toadfish? Immaculate Connection perhaps?

Again, the FCC isn't allow to control the content of a website. They
are also prohibited to control the content of any radio station, except
obscene and indecent material over radio or tv. As a matter of fact the
link litoi posted is a link to the FCC complaint form for indecency
over broadcast radio.

>Which means, they can and do also regulate the internet within the US
>and possessions...idiot. For a ham Mr Toad, I thought you'd know what
>tele and radio communications mean. Just shows how thick you are, here's
>a VK that know's more about your FCC than you do, just shows how little
>about the FCC and your regs that you actually know. Perhaps a licence
>re-test for you as you appear to need to study up on regulations Toadfish.

Hon, let me tell you something I've been in radio and communications a
long time, LOOOONNNNG before I got into amateur radio and my knowledge
over radio law is a little better then what you and some of amateur
radio operators (who I would call nothing more then licensed CB
operators) think.

>BTW. We have a ham in VK who does his own radio show each week, like
>Toadfish and K1MAN but it's nothing like thier crap. See, this VK uses
>AM on 160m with a 2m FM crossband signal and has technical discussions
>with other hams, as he was a former radio broadcaster, he enjoys using
>the AM mode, but he uses professional, commercial standard AM broadcast
>equipment, not a CD Walkman and a Walmart microcphone plugged into a
>Karoake machine. He also puts on old, historic broadcasts that the
>stations he worked at turfed out years ago-such as the 1930 Melbourne
>Cup, the Skylab Crash, WW1 & WW2 transmissions from world leaders,
>advertisments from last century, mostly during Radio's Golden Age. But
>these are of a historical nature and are really archival audio, that
>many VK's enjoy listening to every Saturday night. They've also done
>shows from the beach on New Year's Eve over the years. What they do is
>just within the regs, but these guys do it professionally with
>professional equipment. He does not put his show up on THE PRIME DX
>BAND, does he Toady.

First of toots, I don't use a "walmart walkman" and a "karoake machine"
I run top of the line "commercial quality equipment" including duel CD
player and duel CD burners (no computer burners but industy recording
burners. duel tape / editing equipment, two audio mixers and recently
i've been formatting old programs I've done into MP3 format with my
iMac G5

Secondly babe, There is no rule in the FCC rules that prohibit Information bulletins on any band, back in mid 90's W5YI and others tried to get the rule changed to prohibit information bulletins on any HF band the ones against this change...ARRL which rules information bulletins on a number of HF bands (more band then I run mine on). Today the FCC will not hear any changes regarding prohibiting information bulletins on any HF band

Fortunatly, we don't get the Toad Bulletin or K1MAN's b/s down under, but I'm willing to bet, that if anyone here downloads and listens to the
VK AM 'broadcasts' of VK3ASE from his website, that they will be at least within the amateur service regs. Remember it's a bit of silly fun

to these guys.

The problem I think you and a number of amateur operators have is it: The difference here between legal and illegal one-way communications a illegal one-communication is a broadcast. A broadcast under FCC rules is a transmitted directed to the general public. A information bulletin is a bulletin directed to amatuer operators consisting sole of material of interest to the amateur community. Now I know you and and few amatur operators on here are SLOW so let me give you some examples.

if my show, the n9ogl show was on 20 meter and I was talking about healthcare, that would be considered a broadcast. because it is not of amateur interest and would fall under the Broadcasting rules. Now if My show was on 20 meters and giving my opinion regarding national antenna regulation and how it affects amateur radio it would be considered an information bulletin. Hams need to get it through their heads there is NO FCC STANDARD on what format amateur's are suppose to use in regards to information bulletin, amateur's have got it in their thick heads that a information bulletin has to be a "NEWSCAST" however there is NO FCC rule or order that states that. The FCC does in a sense look at the content to see if it's of amateur in natural. The problem is however if that hasn't been tested in the courts, which have somewhat the final say in the whole thing.

>If your local AM station was, say, attacked Toady, could you take over
>and become their studio/transmitter immediatly? If yes, then you have
>met some standards. If not, then what you're doing is a waste of time. .

eh?

> He doesn't- (a) put his show on HF DX bands, (c)is carrying out
>technical investigation (c) his shows and studio is a former AM station
>and his signal is of the highest (almost as good as MW stations)
>standard. (d) other hams are there talking cross-band, so it becomes
>like a 'ham-radio talkback show' if you like. But the main difference I
>notice is these guys do it for fun and a bit of a laugh on a Sat night,
>Toady & K1MAN have this granduer dream that they are somehow important,
>and as they are so important, the need a bulletin like the ARRL.

No dreams of graduer here babe, I do it fun, the problem is a bunch of fucking licensed CB operators dictating to another station on how he is to run his station.

[I suggest you look here Toady, to see how an amateur does 'bulletins' properly. http://members-central.optushome.com.au/vk3ase/

Look at pictures on that homepage Toady....and clean that mess of ya screen!

After much reading this n/g I have concluded that N9OGL and K1MAN are politicians in training. Only pollies could be such wankers LOL.

Oh, I know, K1MAN and N9OGL should team up with Eminem, 'cause the FCC won't let him be' either... and you could all work together to 'screw the fcc'. ]

Whatever toots, go back to playing with your kangaroos

## 900. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 10:09:01 UTC
From: Roy Lewallen <w7el@eznec.com>
Message-ID: <11n67208m80jfd9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123465
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

eternalsquire@comcast.net wrote:
> There are two extremely legitimate reason for using a nym on the net,
> even if one is a ham: privacy and safety. Need I say more?

Shame and embarrassment are two others.

Roy Lewallen, W7EL

## 901. Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 10:24:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131618277.256754.9220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123466

Well....

It usually doesn't take long for Mark Morgan to cross himself up
with his own demands, but here's a prime example.

Markie sent me this e mail stating he sent something to AOL...I am
not sure WHAT he thinks he sent to AOL, but the fact remains that
Markis has gone out of his way to not only REFUSE e mail relative to
this NG, but to insist that he's being stalked and terrorized as a
result of it.

However I guess that doesn't apply to HIM.

Markie...Just WHAT do you think you sent to AOL...?!?!

You said you'll "bearchiving it as proof of your pasion for lying
to" (sic) but you don't say lying about what, nor did you say who you
allege the (non-existant) lie was made to.

Markie...SOBER UP before you get busy in the NG, OK?

Steve, K4YZ

QUOTE

Return-Path: <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Received: from rly-yi04.mx.aol.com (rly-yi04.mail.aol.com
[172.18.180.132]) by air-yi02.mail.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
MAILINYI24-7ca43725b561b3; Wed, 09 Nov 2005 15:26:13 -0500
Received: from mproxy.googlegroups.com (mproxy.googlegroups.com
[216.239.56.131]) by rly-yi04.mx.aol.com (v107.13) with ESMTP id
MAILRELAYINYI48-7ca43725b561b3; Wed, 09 Nov 2005 15:25:58 -0500
Received: by mproxy.googlegroups.com with SMTP id s51so1131728cwc
for <k4yz@aol.com>; Wed, 09 Nov 2005 12:25:58 -0800 (PST)
Received: by 10.11.53.63 with SMTP id b63mr256910cwa;
Wed, 09 Nov 2005 12:25:46 -0800 (PST)
Received: from 69.19.14.24 by g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com with HTTP;
Wed, 09 Nov 2005 20:25:43 +0000 (UTC)
From: "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
To: "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com>
Subject: Re: Brain and Markie Support Aryan Philosophies
Date: Wed, 09 Nov 2005 12:25:43 -0800
Message-ID: <1131567943.556719.169850@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
In-Reply-To: <1131567496.382277.130330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
References: <1131440595.904458.64010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<1131453086.573853.42840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
<rql1n11c7o2ttaaiv2u7jl2n7tq4d9oheo@4ax.com>

<1131567496.382277.130330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
User-Agent: G2/0.2
X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
X-AOL-IP: 216.239.56.131
X-AOL-SCOLL-SCORE: 0:2:484206823:10737418
X-AOL-SCOLL-URL_COUNT: 0
forwarded this #### to aol not likely they will act

i'll bearchiving it as proof of your pasion for lying to
K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 8 Nov 2005 04:31:26 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >an old friend wrote:
> > >> went a googling after an exchange between Brain and stevie Brain
> > >> accused Stevie of making something of Len Anderson being jewish
> > >>
> > >> can't any such reference
> > >>
> > >> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> > >
> > >I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> > >particular faith.
> > >
> > >Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
> > >perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
> > >"dick."
>
> I didn't realize that there was some pre-requisite for explaining
> the origin of ANY word in ANY application.
>
> We can ask why Brain prefers the term "dick". One historical
> reference to the implementation of this word is that it is of old
> Germanic origin.
>
> So, can we assume now that since Brain has insisted on the use of
> a Germanic word rather than a Hebrew word that he's purporting to
> support the neo-Nazi Aryan philosophies?
>
> Using the "logic" of Brain and Markie, we must certainly assume
> so.
>
> > oh that
> >
> > well it is just part of Stevies passion for lying
>
> How do you figure that, Colonel?
>

> Steve, K4YZ

UNQUOTE

# 902. MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 10:30:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131618610.819086.167960@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123467

an old friend wrote:

> conitueing to cut and ignore Stvie eforts to trun a wellearned ata boy
> in a anti len attarck thread

"Continuing" (I think....) "Stevie's" "efforts" "turn" "well"
"earned" "into" "Len"

"attack"

Obviously you DIDN'T "ignore" it, otherwise you would have,
well..IGNORED it!

Sheeeesh!

Steve, K4YZ

## 903. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 11:45:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131623139.895316.93170@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123468

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 05:17:15 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
> >an_old_friend wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> cut
> >>>> in the stuff als I cliped away scroll up in google
> >>>> I never claimed he was precirbing I claimed he parcticing medicine
> >>>> split hair all you like
> >>> Brian made the statement and you commented on it.
> >>>
> >>> Brian Burke:
> >>>
> >>> "But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
> >>> by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
> >>> professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
> >>> prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
> >>> jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
> >>> diagnosis."
> >>>
> >>> You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
> >>> medicine, did you?
> >>
> >> no I did not
> >>
> >> nor do I feel there is anything to correct
> >
> >There was, but you didn't notice.
>
> nothing to correct it was and remains a fact that Stevie appreas to be
> abusing the preveldges of his LPN license, that exact dtails are
> unimportant HERE

They're unimportant ANYwhere, Markie.

Try as you will, there's not one thing in this NG that the BoN
will be able to consider for censure.

Not a one.

You may continue to lie to yourself and make up tales for the NG
all you care to.

> >> so why should try
> >
> >...in the interest of accuracy.
>
> it is more acccurate than Stevie own post was, more accurate than most
> of yours

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight......

> >> I sated that I never used accused him of presribing, I happen to think
> >> a more proper choice is to say that he acted unethicalin medial matter
> >> which as happens is the words of the regs that Govern Stevie's LPN
> >> status
> >
> >That's nice.
> >
> >>> How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
> >>
> >> no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
> >> became your spliting of hairs
> >
> >Based upon your two lines above, I rest my case.
>
> what case?

Hey Dave...

He's too danged stupid to realize what he said or did...Let's just
let him dwell on it and laugh at him while he chases his tail!

> >>>>>> ...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
> >>>>>> suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
> >>>>> Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
> >>>>> credibility.
> >>>>
> >>>> they might but not likely if I tell em about em up front
> >>> They might not?
> >>
> >> indeed they might not
> >>
> >>> Do you really believe that?
> >>
> >> yes
> >
> >I think you may be in for an awfully big surprise.
>
> you think that would be newsflash right
>
> Dave heil can think stop the presses
>
> the LAW say the issues you claim are not important
>
> I expect TN to obey its own laws

>
> in the USA today that may be a bit foolish but i will take the chance

And Tennessee will.

And when they are done, >>MY<< attorneys get to earn their keep!

THAT will be the end of KB9RQZ's USENET spamming days!

> >>> Your past fabrications
> >>> regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,
> >>
> >> what lies on other topics?
> >
> >C'mon, Mark! You've lied about any number of things here. You've lied
> >about your military service and I don't mean just a single lie. You've
> >lied to cover lies. It isn't like the stuff isn't archived. There are
> >records of your lies and records of your lies uncovered.
>
> wrong Dave like Stevie you think disagreeing with you is lying

No, Markie....

Telling people you are something that you are clearly NOT is a LIE!

> I have lied about my rank and that is all I have told some lies that
> have lead Stevie off on wild goose chases about my identity that is it

You've not led me on one single goose chase, Markie!

You were never an officer in the Armed Forces. Period. You were
never drafted. You are not being held hostage by a CO who refuses to
let you resign despite your avowed perversions.

> andI have have said in the mix something these post is a lie as I was
> making em, nor was under oath

backpeddlebackpeddlebackpeddlebackpeddlebckpeddlebckpeddlebackpeddle

> how do these facts allow Stevie to claim someone has AIDS isn't lie
> about medical matters?
>
> how does that allow Stevie to LIE about the procedure of BoN so he can
> try to frighten someon into not reporting him?

Hey Markie...I said GO AHEAD AND DO IT!

But I ALSO said that when your malicous attack is over, then >>I<<
get to play!...

> deal with reality Dave if you can

I dare say Dave's reality is a heck of a lot closer TO reality
than you, Sparky!

> >> my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
> >> enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
> >> to the DoD no action was taken
> >
> >You've lied about rank.
> yes

A lie is a lie. Period.

> > You've lied about circumstances regarding your
> >release.
> some

No. Not "some".

YOU stated that your "CO" refused to allow you to resign.

> > You've lied about your work.
>
> no I haven't have never said anything detailed enough to lie about

Sure you did. You said you were in the US Army Chemical Corps. We
need no more than that.

> > You've lied about your IQ.
>
> wrong again

Obviously you HAVE lied. You claim a 248, yet can't seem to master
grade school English or the insatllation of simple spellchecker
software..

> >You've lied about your income.
>
> no i have not
>
> I have never stated my income you dolt

You're the dolt.

You HAVE stated your income.

> therefore having never made such a statement I have never lie within
> such a statement

backpeddlebackpeddlebackpeddlebackpeddlebckpeddlebckpeddlebackpeddle

> > You've lied about your trained pool of
> >typists.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > You're a fabricator.
>

> when it serves to make me safer yes long ago admited

Mark, you leave a trail wider than an elephant with diarrhea...A
deaf, blind and mute bloodhound could follow you without ever leaving
the porch.

> >> by 7 yars of silence from the DoD I can legaly assume the assent of the
> >> DoD and the FBI to that
> >
> >How does one legally assume?
>
> by doing so

Yeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeah......Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiig
ht..................

> >> I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago
> >
> >If that isn't another of your lies, it is a start. Why not call 'em and
> >report yourself again?
>
> why report myself again? esp as No rime was comitted Stevei greatly
> over simplifies anything when he tlaks about the law

Was that a sentence?

> >>> your
> >>> ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?
> >>
> >> the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
> >> my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules
> >
> >Fine, Colonel. You can dress in full uniform and have a little game of
> >beat me/spank me/pee on me.
>
> and None of the is legaly something the BoN may consider

You think the BoN wouldn't consider your state of mind under such
circumstances...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> you are a prude, but the LAW does not allow you to enforce that on
> everyone else
>
> grow up and get over it Dave

Musta slipped Dave's name in there while talking to yourself,
Markie......

> >>>>>> you seem to forget the care used by Phil(e) Kane I believe his name is
> >>>>>> in his posts being that he is an attonrney

> >>>>> Are you trying to get Phil's license yanked?
> >>>> nope nor making any allusion to such
> >>>> I was pointing out the Licensed folks like Phil and Stevie have to be
> >>>> more carefull than the rest of us
> >>> I have a friend who is a licensed plumber. I'll be sure to tell him to
> >>> watch his P's and Q's when posting here, lest you attempt to have his
> >>> license revoked.
> >>
> >> if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will
> >
> >One cannot be sure of anything with the likes of you.
>
> you and ESP Stevie should remember that
>
> you don't know what I can or will do

Sure we can.

We KNOW you can lie.

We KNOW you can deceive.

We KNOW you are a deviant with potentially unlawful conduct in teh
presence of minors.

We KNOW you will attempt to place yourself in the position of
"victim" in order to feign innocence.

> Indeed just for illustration if i happened to paranoid threat to
> myself and other Stevie has claimed to be "his professional opinion"
> (and if anything gets him besides mouthing to an investagtor those
> words wil be it) pushing proding trying to rerate someone like risk
> his own life and you would be risking your iF I were what Stevie says
> I am

What was that?

Dave, was there a coherent sentence in there?

> you nor I can know very much about a person based on the degree on
> contact you have with me

Sure we can.

We KNOW you can lie.

We KNOW you can deceive.

We KNOW you are a deviant with potentially unlawful conduct in teh
presence of minors.

We KNOW you will attempt to place yourself in the position of
"victim" in order to feign innocence.

All of that just from what transpires here...God knows what kind of
creep you REALLY are.......

> >>>>>>> Why not go after me for
> >>>>>>> practicing medicine without a license?
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> if you kgo ahaed and make about 8000 post like Stevie I'll look into it
> >>>>> Sure you will, Mark. Go blow hot air toward someone likely to be impressed.
> >>>>
> >>>> firsdt make about 800 more post then we will see
> >>> I'll post as I choose. You keep track of them and let me know when I've
> >>> exceeded the limit. Alternatively, you can reduce the number to five
> >>> and proceed next week. I'm telling you now that I don't care what
> >>> silliness you pull.
> >>
> >> np I'll let you know
> >
> >np? I don't need you to let me know about anything. Make calls; write
> >letters; stand on your roof with a bullhorn.
>
> you asked me to let you know I promised to do as YOU asked Dave
>
> >
> >>>>>> if that is your desire you had better begin typing
> >>>>> I'll type or not type as I choose, not as directed by you. You have no
> >>>>> leverage whatever on me.
> >>>>
> >>>> i do if you want me to take a certain action
> >>> You don't get it, Colonel: Take any action your little perverted heart
> >>> desires. Write my mommy.
> >>
> >> you momy is alive what is her address I'll be only to happy to tell her
> >> what a hate filled bigot her son has turned into
> >
> >Are you too lazy to do anything for yourself?
>
> No

I am sure you are.

I am sure you seldom stray more than 30 feet in any direction from
the computer and/or refrigerator.

> > Write letters to the
> >editor of your paper.
> why?

So your neighbors can see what kind of an illiterate fool you are.

> > Write letters to the editor of my paper.
> which paper is yours?
>
> > Take

> >out an ad on WTOV-TV. Start a petition. You're a perverse twit and
> >people will know it.
>
> realy?

"Really"

> you do have such a rich fantasy life Dave
>
> >
> >>> Write my draft board. Contact the Today
> >>> Show. Telephone Oprah. Your threats are empty.
> >>>
> >>>> but if you want to be reported for practicing medicine without a
> >>>> license you need to start some serious typing
> >>> Take two peach pits and call me in the morning.
> >>>
> >>>>>>> I still maintain, by the way,
> >>>>>>> that I've made a correct diagnosis.
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>> but real doctors know you are wrong
> >>>>> There is no factual evidence to support your claim.
> >>>>
> >>>> none presented for your either
> >>> You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
> >>> up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.
> >>
> >> becuase you can't make one
> >
> >Look at the lines I wrote above. I *did* make one.
>
> if you have Stevie has if Stevie has he will likely lose his licens or
> be oteherwise punished

More random letters and occassional words in search of order,
Markie......

> but you are fool if you I am going to take on everyone at once

You couldn't "take on" on of the "Pink Ladies" at the hospital
auxiliary in a game of Bingo.

> Stvie has pissed me off enough.....(SNIP)

Oooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooh!

Markie-warkie is MAD!

> (UNSNIP)....and he is far greater danger from a
> medical practice issue than ANYONE in the NG only Phil Kane has to be
> more careful and since he is an antonry...(SNIP)

He is?

I thought he was an attorney.

> (UNSNIP)...not a paralegal (Stevie is the nursing version of a paralegal)

Oh?

It doesn't say "Paranurse" any where on my license.

And Markie...there's only about six things I cannot due as an LPN
that I will be able to du as an RN. Do YOU know what they are? I
do...

> (UNSNIP)...he has greater latittude in giving
> professional LEGAL oppinions than Stevie has in Giving PROFESSIONAL
> nursing opinions

Only a judge can render a legal OPINION. An attorney can render
counsel.

I am not aware of Phil having ever sat on the bench.

> grow face facts

More random words.

> >> BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave
> >
> >Oh, weren't you a Colonel in the U.S. Army Chemical Corps anymore?
> right said years ago
>
> I wasn;t a colonel, only YOU and stevie have been trying to continue
> that lie other the years

But you HAVE lied about it.

What you haven't done is APOLOGIZE for it.

> > Was
> >that a bald-faced lie? Was your IQ of 248, higher than that of any other
> >living person, also a lie?
>
> nope it was the result of test done many years ago

By who?

> > Was your salary of 200-some thousand dollars
> >a lie?
>
> never said my salery was 200K I said I turned a job that would paid
> 240K, and I did do that

Probably a good thing, too...

Someone would probably turn you over to the law for trying to pass
Monopoly money as real currency....

> that you choose to assume I did so becuase I was claiming to make that
> or more is not my fault
>
> You just lied Dave

No he didn't.

He asked you a question. A question cannot be a lie, only a
question.

> >>>>> YOu continue to foul this newsgroup with your paranoid and juvenile posts.
> >>>>
> >>>> you foul it with your bigoted lying postings
> >>> If you'll look to some of the material which appears above, you'll find
> >>> at least two lies spouted by you.
> >>
> >> not a one Dave
> >
> >Make that "at least three lies spouted by you".
>
> where
>
> disgreeing with you or confsuing you becuase you can't think or
> understand what is being said isn't lying

"...or confsuing you..."

What you meant to say is that you are trying to intentionally
deceive...

That IS a lie, Markie.

> indeed if you are ever being truthfull yourself ain your claims you
> don't understand my writting you can't realy claim you know anything I
> say

I say you're an idiot.

You claim to have an IQ of 248 but then write sentences like the
last three above.

> >>> As to the accusations of bigotry on
> >>> my part, deal with it.
> >>
> >> I will of course
> >
> >You haven't demonstrated that you are able to do so.
>
> where?

Anywhere.

> are you using some specail definiation of "deal" i should know about
>
> I don't deal with it as you would like it seems But I need not please

> your sensiblities
>
> Indeed that is what you and Stevie are all about.
>
> Yoou two carries these chips on your shoulders thinking everyone
> around must confrom toyour expectations

No...

YOU are the one with the chip...The one that says "The World Owes
Me Everything And I Owe It Nothing".

> >>> I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
> >>
> >> what sexual perverity?
> >
> >Aren't you the same Mark Morgan who is into bisexual S&M stuff with a
> >little urination and defecation thrown in for good measure?
>
> again what sexual perverity

Thanks for providing ME with the stuff >>I<< need, Markie.

> You by my starndard are the prevert

"standard" "pervert".

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
iight.

> >>> I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.
> >>
> >> really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
> >> there for you if you seek it
> >
> >There's the story of your life, Mark.
>
> nope perhaps yours from all apearances

Uh huh...

All those articles in the various Amateur journals were really
about ANOTHER Dave heil, K8MN...

> >You're a pervert and everyone who
> >isn't a pervert needs help. You can't write and won't use a
> >spellchecke...>
> and your and stevie whine and whine about it

Markie...Can you not even interrupt a quote correctly?

Idiot.

> Killfle me that is your previeledge

"Killfile" "priviledge"

Sheeeeesh.....

> >....r and it is the problem of everyone else.
>
> not everyones Dave only those that CHOOSE to try and read my stuff

So then you acknowldge that you intentionally spam USENET with
semi-illiterate dreck in an attempt to create disorganization and
confusion.

> you are showing signs of meglomania in claiming your veiwpoint is the
> only viewpoint

W H E R E did Dave say "Mine is the only viewpoint"...?!?!?!

> > You can't learn
> >morse code .....
>
> true enough

And you admit to being a coward.

> >.....and it is someone else's fault.
>
> never claimed that is another lie from you Dave

Nope...You have.

> I have never claimed that my lack of ability is ANYBODIES fault

Sure you have...You went on and on once upon a time about how you
were failed by your special ed teachers.

> It the fault of others that Codes testing contues to be requiredbut
> that is another matter

"Fault"...?!?!

> > You tell numerous lies
>
> wrong

N U M E R O U S lies.

Cataloged.

Archived.

With highlights noted.

> > and
> >begin to call numerous others "lier".
>
> I have caled 4 people liars (the Comode and the various slits are not
> proven to be people on their own so they don't count) and I have

> called on lies

Lessee...

You've called the following people "lier" at one time or another:
Jim N2EY, Dave, Kim, Dee, Hans, Ed Hare, "Buzz Corey", Dick Carrol,
Larry Roll, Jim Kehler, Mike Deighan, and of course, me. I count that
as twelve, and that was just off the top of my head...I am sure that
number would rise dramatically if I had your kind of lay-about time to
do some research.

> you have lied today in saying I claimed a 200k income I have not you
> asumed incorrectly that that came as the only reason I would turn down
> a job making 240K. That you are (I infer from your action) a person
> that would do anything for money ( or something like that) and you
> assume everyone is like you (given the obvious differences betwen us
> assuming I would do anything the same way as you is REALY stupid Dave)
> is not my fault
>
> you making incorrctly making assumetion beyond what I have said does
> not make me liar but when you make make statement of fact based on
> your incorect deduction it makes you the liar

Sorry, Markie...

You've made so many assinine suggestions as to what you are, who
you are, what you do and how you do it, that "ordinary mortals" just
can't keep up with the tales.

> >> not sure if you need an Optical felow or MD or an MSSW
> >
> >I believe that you aren't sure of much of anything.
>
> true enough
>
> I am abosolutely sure of nothing.

Including your English skills.

> after all I believe in the reality of Heisenburg's work, as well as ,
> being a general skeptic

There are some things you really, REALLY ought to NOT be skeptical
about, Markie...

> >>> You've shrouded yourself
> >>> in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.
> >>
> >> another lie on your part
> >
> >HF access in amateur radio?
> how does this question relate to your claim?
>

> Hf access is needed for life?

Obviously for you and Lennie, it is....

> how is taking part in the porcess of making the rules as provided for
> by law asking for a free ride in life?

Whoa! Skimming on Lennie's schtick!

> > Others should have to try to decipher your
> >writing?
>
> you DON"T have to try to deipher...(SNIP)

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> (UNSNIP)....my writing. I have never said you do.
> You choose to do so, if you don't like the rsults of your efforts make
> another CHOICE nonone is holding a gun to your head and forcing you is
> there? certainly not by my order, if you think someone is holding a
> gun to head and making you read my stuf call 911, i am certain (a
> rarity for me) that in this event and you call someone will help you.
>
> >Mark is *special* and we have to make allowances for him.
>
> never said that anywhere

Sure you have! Every single one of your "I'll file an ADA claim"
rants!

> that is another lie in claiming I have

No...it's another "Google provides" moment.

> >>> You blame all of your
> >>> numerous problems on others.
> >>
> >> another lie Dave
> >
> >Mark Morgan on a number of issues: "Your problem"
>
> so
>
> if you can reason correctly this not my problem I refer you to
> detailed response above to your lie about my claim of a 200k income

Markie, nice try trying to spin ONE issue into an entire whitewash
of YOUR lies, YOUR deceit, YOUR cowardace and YOUR misconduct.

> I have not blamed you for any of MY rpoblems, I have points out you
> have your own problem

What problems?

Being intolerant of liars and deceivers is a "probem"...?!?!

Perhaps in Chassell, Michigan it is...

> >>> Now, see if you can have me fired.
> >>
> >> where do you work?
> >
> >I'm not going to hand you anything, Mark. Go to work.
>
> do you want me to get fired or not?

BBBWWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

P R I C E L E S S ! ! ! !

F R E A K I N' P R I C E L E S S ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> >> if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
> >> you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
> >> contacting him and I promise to look into it
> >
> >Oh? Did Steve provide you the name of his boss and a means of
> >contacting him? Go to work, shirker.
>
> we are talking about YOU

Hey Markie!

Who was the one whining about "carrying others freight"...?!?!

You want it? You earn it!

OH! Wait a minute.....W H A T W A S I T H I N K I N G ? !
? ! ? ! ? ! ?

Your whole reason for being in this forum is about getting what
you haven't earned!

Silly me! WHAT was I thinking...?!?!

> if you truely want me to get you fired then you are asking for my help
> and to get it you must pay MY price
>
> I don't want you or Stevie fired from your jobs for my own sake.

Oooooops!

Markie caught in BIG LIE!

Markie...What do you think my employer would do if the BoN granted
your wishes and revoked my Nursing license...?!?!

See! You CAN'T have your cake and eat it too!

> In Stevies case we may end up there and indeed the result may turn out
> to be Stevie losing his job but that is not in fact my true aim, it
> may be what happens but we shall see

You go RIGHT AHEAD, Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

# 904. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 12:13:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131624813.829314.193470@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123469

Lots of snippage here, Kids...Afterall, there's nothing serions here to
"discuss"

N9OGL wrote:
> >HAG said:

> Hon, let me tell you something I've been in radio and communications a
> long time, LOOOONNNNG before I got into amateur radio and my knowledge
> over radio law is a little better then what you and some of amateur
> radio operators (who I would call nothing more then licensed CB
> operators) think.

The intimate come-on nothwithstanding, the very content of the
paragraph is obviously FALSE since Toiddie-mouth hasn't been able to
overcome the simple task of filing an application correctly.

If the FCC resumed CB radio licensing, I seriously doubt that
Toodles could fill that one out...I am still wondering how he got an
610 form by the FCC in crayon and who took the test for him...

His USENET rantings about his trials-and-tribulations with the FCC
date back into the mid-90's...You'd think all that "experience" would
have led to the desired licensure by now...

> >BTW. We have a ham in VK who does his own radio show each week, like
> >Toadfish and K1MAN but it's nothing like thier crap. See, this VK uses
> >AM on 160m with a 2m FM crossband signal and has technical discussions
> >with other hams, as he was a former radio broadcaster, he enjoys using
> >the AM mode, but he uses professional, commercial standard AM broadcast
> >equipment, not a CD Walkman and a Walmart microcphone plugged into a
> >Karoake machine. He also puts on old, historic broadcasts that the
> >stations he worked at turfed out years ago-such as the 1930 Melbourne
> >Cup, the Skylab Crash, WW1 & WW2 transmissions from world leaders,
> >advertisments from last century, mostly during Radio's Golden Age. But
> >these are of a historical nature and are really archival audio, that
> >many VK's enjoy listening to every Saturday night. They've also done
> >shows from the beach on New Year's Eve over the years. What they do is
> >just within the regs, but these guys do it professionally with
> >professional equipment. He does not put his show up on THE PRIME DX
> >BAND, does he Toady.
>
> First of toots, I don't use a "walmart walkman" and a "karoake machine"

Another come-on followed up by yet another lie.

> I run top of the line "commercial quality equipment" including duel CD
> player and duel CD burners...(SNIP)

But obviously you DON'T use any of that college education you brag about getting.

A "duel" is a contest between two combatants. "Dual" refers to a combination of things.

> (UNSNIP)...(no computer burners but industy recording
> burners. duel tape / editing equipment, two audio mixers and recently
> i've been formatting old programs I've done into MP3 format with my
> iMac G5

A lie, no doubt.

Toiddie's been challenged to describe his "station" in detail, but has yet to do so. The post being responded to could have very easily included makes, manufacturers, etc, but only stated "commercial quality equipment". Even just a picture if the words are too difficult to use....

I say the snotty little punk has a bunch of hamfest leftovers stacked in a corner of the basement without a single clue as to how to get any of it running!

> Secondly babe...(SNIP)

Really hitting hard, aren't ya, Toiddie...?!?!

> if my show, the n9ogl show was on 20 meter and I was talking about
> healthcare, that would be considered a broadcast. because it is not of
> amateur interest and would fall under the Broadcasting rules. Now if My
> show was on 20 meters and giving my opinion regarding national antenna
> regulation and how it affects amateur radio it would be considered an
> information bulletin.

No, it would be considered an editorial, and would NOT be an "information bulletin".

> Hams need to get it through their heads there is
> NO FCC STANDARD on what format amateur's are suppose to use in regards
> to information bulletin, amateur's have got it in their thick heads
> that a information bulletin has to be a "NEWSCAST" however there is NO
> FCC rule or order that states that.

I N F O R M A T I O N B U L L E T I N.

I have no doubt that Snotty will be the one to push the case law...then Amatuers will, in true knee-jerk FCC reaction, wind up losing yet another privilege...Just to sate the ego of one Junior DJ Wanna-Be.

> No dreams of graduer here babe, I do it fun, the problem is a bunch of
> ####ing licensed CB operators dictating to another station on how he is
> to run his station.

Ahhhhhhhh...Toidie's getting tired or hitting the bottle again...the profanity is slipping back in...Not to mention yet more

intimate familiarities.

Steve, K4YZ

## 905. Bountiful Brain BooBoo's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 12:28:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131625709.702028.170670@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123470

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com, yet another smokescreen for Brian P Burke, N0IMD wrote:

> Meanwhile Steve diagnoses a brand name drug...(SNIP)

How does one "diagnose" a drug to a person, Brain?

Perehaps you meant "prescribe"...?!?! (Of course you did...but you were more anxious to try and get the "dig" in rather than be accurate, so once again I got ya!)

But even then you'd STILL be wrong. But of course little things like facts don't get in your way. You ought to read up on what constitutes "prescribing" and "prescribing authority" as defined by the Drug Enfocement Agency.

(Better yet...ignore that...sure would hate to ruin the fun you've been having all-the-while making a fool out of yourself......)

> (UNSNIP)...to a person on the internet
> (across state lines) and follows it up with a comment that it's his
> "professional" opinion.
>
> Hmmm? Did he get any medical training at all?

Obviously I did...

Otherwise I might have difficulty making a fool out of you like I just did...

Sheeeeesh.....

Steve, K4YZ

# 906. Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 12:34:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131626094.250740.258430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123471

nobodys old friend wrote:
> snot-scam-and-sleaze@hotmail.com wrote:
> > raped an old friend wrote:
> > > LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > Hola Mike. Been to Hawaii lately? Start any new clubs?
> > >
> > > is this the guy who had what was iit a dozen 2 dozen club callsigns
> > > across several states?
> >
> > This is the guy who called me a liar for saying that he had 12
> > callsigns. One callsign at his address belonged to his wife. I got is
> > so wrong!
> >
> > The icing on the cake is that he holds a Hawaiin callsign but had never
> > been to Hawaii.
>
> ah yes the man fibbing to FCC about his role in the several clubs and
> Stevie calls me dishonest

"fibbing"...?!?!

Where was any "fibbing" documented?

> > Borrowed the Hawaiin PO Box from some Coast Guardie.
> >
> > Meanwhile the Coast Guardie had borrowed a Guam PO Box and got hisself
> > a KH2 call.
> >
> > Hi! Gotta love these guys!
>
> I don't have to love these guys, thank the gods, I do laugh at em

You laugh at the time of day, Markie.

People in your condition often do.

Steve, K4YZ

# 907. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 13:05:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131627930.747820.5360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123472

KH6HZ wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!
>
>
> You're welcome Steve.

HEY MIKE!

Thanks for stopping by! You've been missed, Brother!

As you can see, however, it's pretty much "business as usual"...Lennie still hates Amateur Radio. In the latest NPRM rounds he's managed no fewer than FIVE "I Am Smarter Than They Are" "reply comments", although he's paced back his rhetoric here.

Brian "The Brain" Burke, N0IMD is still Lennie's yes man and Lead Sock Puppet. Not much I can say about Brain...He's still as brainless as ever.

Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, is back and is as much the illiterate clown as he ever was. Now his "schtick" is to try and intimidate me with the suggestion that he's going to get the Tennessee State Board of Nursing to pull my LPN card because he doesn't like the way I talk to him here!

New into the fray is Todd "I AM NOT GAY" Dougherty, N9OGL. Todd alleges to be a college student in Illinois...Ironically less than an hour from where Markie USED to live...He also is the new "I Wanna Be K1MAN" idiot on the Amatuer airways. Todd promotes a "show" on 20 meter phone and brags about his "commercial grade" audio gear...but can't seem to name any of it. BTW...Todd is almost 40 years old and living in mommy and daddy's basement.

And crossing over from rec.radio.cb on semi-frequent occassion is "Frank Gilliland". Like Lennie, Frankie's not an Amatuer, but, like me, he used to be an Active Duty US Marine...

UNLIKE me he managed to get court martialed not once, but TWICE in ONE four year hitch! However he knows "the lingo", so tries to help out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five. He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country. He calls me "Gunny Dudly" and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read

that "Active Duty") Marine. I am still trying to shake that laugh off.

Hope all is well your way. It's a beautiful fall day here...

73!

Steve, K4YZ

# 908. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 13:23:16 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <8RHcf.14066$Hj2.4677@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 123473

<SNIP>
And like most Mr Toad, you should learn that in Australia "Ashley" is a guy's name *ONLY*, Ashleigh for gals down under, mate, so all the 'honey' , 'babe' and 'toots' references must mean you, as a guy, see me, a guy, as a babe. Aarrgghh! I'm gonna spew....bucket pls

It's also disappointing to see that as you assumed I was female, rather than referring to me by name, callsign, or anything (even an insult)it's 'babe' 'toots' etc. How about some respect for the fairer sex, last time I went out with a girl she happened to have a name and it wasn't Babe or Toots. That kind of language to female operators is usually confined to the 27MHz Chook Band down under. No wonder there ain't many 'toots' or 'babes' on the ham bands, I'm sure they'd rather be called by their name or callsign than "QSL that babe". Amateur Radio is supposed to sound professional, not like a high school yard at lunchtime or CB bands. Or at least, use ma'am, madam, mrs, miss or Ms. There are salutations for a reason, please learn to use them!

Mr Toad, sure, whilst recently licensed as an amateur (1/5/05), my family heritage is all radio. My Pop was Darwin's WW2 Signal Corp Sarge for Aust and US Military, my uncle was a Viet Vet from our Signal Corps (I still have his radio from Viet Nam on the air to this day),I used to work at D24 (Victoria's police radio centre, now known as VPC or Tally Ho), and also did the radio since I was fifteen for the local fire brigade after school, and yes I used CB for years, still do, but I wouldn't even know how to tune up on 10m FM would I? Oh, and I first got interested in radio at 10yo during JOTA, and have been ever since, but you know that you know more/less than me-or anyone else-how? We are all qualified hams, meaning we all should have the same/similar quals and same knowledge to an extent.

Or is it a case of
"Anything you can do I can do better..I can do anything better than you.." Yes I can...No You Can't..Yes I Can...Yes I Can"

In the real world, there's plenty (read most) of hams who know much more than me...I can learn from these people and hopefully pass it on yet again when I am more knowledgeable and much older. I'm also sure there'd be one or two things I know well that I could teach others. We can all learn from each other every day. I look to all our Old Timers for help and advice on many areas, (learning CW for instance) sometimes I've helped a few OT's connect with overseas stations via IRLP as they sometimes have difficulty working it out. I have three choices: Ignore them, help them or bag them. I'm sure that most would agree that help them would be the best option. It's not about me being better or knowing

more or less than them, it's about helping a fellow ham end of story, regardless of all else. In ham radio we should all look to each other as equals who all have an equal amount of knowledge to contribute to our hobby.

To assume you can't learn from someone else, anyone else is a big mistake, and your loss. Whilst I hate to say it, I'm sure that there is one or two things that I've learn from you Mr Todd, and more I could learn, you need to accept that the same applies , both ways-to every other living human being on this planet, except you it seems.

Still, the FCC doesn't control the content, but it does control how it travels, so it could still find you guilty of using 'x' medium to send 'x' message, but it couldn't prosecute you for the content, it could prosecute you for the transmission. Reality tells me, that if you made a threat, said something illegal, it doesn't matter how it traveled, snail mail, pots, mobile, sms, fax whatever you still made the statement, the medium being irrelevant. If I ring you and threaten to kill you, I'd bet I could be charged, therefore to some extent the content of that telephone call is regulated isn't it? Oh sorry, if I rang up and made that threat, the police would say 'Sorry can't help ya we can't do much about the content of that telephone call." Is that right Mr Todd?

Seeing they ain't regulate web content Toady, why don't ya start a terror-cell website and see how long you and the content last...not long I bet. So if that's the case, then to some extent the content is regulated isn't it?

...hopping off now on my 'roo to work, I'm going "Kangaroo Mobile" to call CQ DX on 14.321.00 MHz. Who wants to be the first US station to work a VK "Kangaroo Mobile?"

And in 'our language' for you Mr Todd, "I am not a bloody shiela, mate!"

How do you know that all people here are morons? Haven't you learn how to command the English language enough to debate and 'have a dig' at others without resorting to insults?

Oh shit, so your another US wannabe lawyer driving a taxi or delivering pizza's right now huh? Are you sure your name
is Todd, not Lionel Hutz, "Cases won or ya pizza's free!" (Apologies to Matt Groening)

And you would know my age, my quals, how long I've been qualified and how long I've been interested in radcomms from? how?

> Whatever toots, go back to playing with your kangaroos

You can play with kangaroos if you are that damn stupid. Don't you know (obviously not) that roo's are great kickboxers, and if they see you as a threat they will either (a) hop off or (b) kick-box the shit out of you (yes, ppl have been 'murdered' by 'roos.) It's why our 'sporting flag' has the Boxing Kangaroo. Only a pissed idiot after a night at the pub would attempt to play with a kangaroo, remembering that Kangaroo's

have flattened semi's and killed their driver's at high speed. An animal that can crush a Jeep in one hop...and you think we ride and play with them. Idiot. They are great to watch, from a distance in the open plains of Oz, but I certainly wouldn't have one as a pet. You'd be surprised to learn of the injuries sustained, time and time again, by the cast and crew of the "Skippy" TV series and how much 'roos contribute to our road toll.

I think you need a good long swim in the water of Darwin, NT. You could pat the crocs while ya swim too!

Remember Todd, "it's OK, I'm Australian." and that both our legal system and yours, whilst different in content are both based solely on the British Westminster System, meaning many principles are the same, just different interpretations of it. As we say here, "I'll bare my arse in Bourke St if the FCC can't prosecute you, for say, using the "F" word on air." If they can, then they do regulate the content, don't they?

....Keeping the N/G Entertained....while I head off for a giant beer...

Cheers & Beers America from Down Under..hope to work ya'll on air one day soon....

# 909. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 13:25:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OSHcf.41$s14.29@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123474

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>
>>> Jim would not know irany if it..
>> Irany - someone who lives in Iran.
>
> at least I am a person not a cancelled cartoon style character rediced
> to playing a an unpaid spelling cop

You're right, Mark. You're more like a current cartoon-style character
"rediced" to playing a buffoon on r.r.a.p.

You believe that Space Patrol was a cartoon show?

Dave K8MN

## 910. Re: Stevie please pay attention to Markie making a fool out of himself...Again...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 13:25:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131629148.743686.111250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123475

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Stevie you don't get

Don't get "what"...?!?!

> you have not been reading

I've been reading quite a lot lately.

> the basis the prime base will be your lying in medcial matters

Medcial? What's medcial?

"the basis the prime base".....

Sheeesh.

> your refusaul to tell the ruth about people in medicaly related
> matters

Whose truth? YOURS?

> You have lied about Tood father having AIDS

Who's "Tood"...?!?!

> you have lied several times about me having AIDS you have even lied
> about my being HOMOsexual

You have sex with men. You ARE homosexual.

> you have lied about Todd being homosexual

Nope. Todd has lied denying it.

> you have lied about me suffering from pedophilia

The problem is I don't think you "suffer" from pedophilia.

> you have lied about my status as a disabled person

No I've not.

> you have made false medical dianoises about almost everyone in RRAP

What's a "dianoises"...?!?!

Must be an Upper Penninsula thing...

And "...about almost everyone in RRAP"...?!?!

Just a rough count I come up with almost 30 folks who post at
least a few lines every month. You can substantiate your claim, or was
that just more buffoonish pontification?

> At least in my case you claim to sent a letter to OFFICAILs concerning
> my mental health, given your passion for lying on here...(SNIP)

Markie, Markie, MARKIE!

Y O U are the one who is always whining about "opinions" do not a
lie make.

Or were you lying about that too, Colonel?

> (UNSNIP)...and that you
> say once a liar alwasy a liar(or words to that effect) it is
> reasonable to question wether you told the turth in that statement

Yes, Markie, I believe that you will always be a liar.

And considering your past and present conduct, I believe any
reasonable person can come to the same conclusion.

> If you lied to michigan you violated the regs wether enough to get
> your license yanked I don't know

How can I "lie" to Michigan, Markie?

You claim you intend to file a "report" with the Tennessee State
Board of Nursing based upon "..(my) words" in this forum.

Do you not think YOUR words are as equally damaging to you and
your "status" in the eyes of state social workers at various agencies?

If so, that IS blatant delusional behaviour on YOUR part!

> we shall see
>
> you just can't get your stoies straight

What's a "stoies"...? Or is that what ARE "stoies"...?!?!

Never can tell with you...

Steve, K4YZ

## 911. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 13:34:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131629655.802991.3050@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123476

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Jim would not know irany if it..
> > >
> > Irany - someone who lives in Iran.
>
> at least I am a person not a cancelled cartoon style character rediced
> to playing a an unpaid spelling cop

At least an unpaid spelling cop can spell "reduced"....

Sheeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

# 912. Day 2 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 14:05:52 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <4tIcf.7411$AS6.4878@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123477

Some 48 hours has elapsed and only one new argument
was raised and that has no actual example(s) of any
country no longer granting reciprocal licenses to
amy of the 20+ countries that have already ended
all code testing.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:p0gcf.6674$m81.5251@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1131494368.591244.221520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>>> In all of the procode test arguments and comments has
>>> even one NEW reason to retain code testiing been
>>> offered?
>>
>> Yes.
>>
>>> Forget even if such an argument is valid or
>>> compelling in any way...I'm looking for any argument
>>> not previously raised and dismissed by or in past
>>> FCC commentary (NPRMs, R&Os, etc.)
>>
>> Why?
>>
>> Most of the "old" reasons are still valid, IMHO.
>
> Yet we already know ALL of the old reasons have been
> discounted by the FCC.
>
>>> My short answer (and I'll be the first to say I
>>> haven't read all comments) is that there are no
>>> new arguments raised... because if there had been
>>> we'd have seen it or them by now.
>>
>> Here's one:
>>
>> While several countries have eliminated code testing
>> (23 the last time I looked, but you probably have
>> more recent information, Bill), there are still plenty
>> who have not. Japan, long the darling of the nocodetest

>> folks, still has code testing for some of its license levels.
>>
>> Many countries have reciprocal licensing agreements
>> with the USA, so that American amateurs traveling
>> abroad can get licenses for countries they visit,
>>
>> Many of these countries do not extend full-privilege
>> license privileges to foreign hams who are not code
>> tested. If there is no code test in the USA, US amateurs
>> won't be able to get licenses in those countries unless
>> they take a code test there.
>
> So you say, but offer not one example of a country
> which now does not offer reciprocal licensing
> to any of the countries that have already dropped
> code.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>> The "Canadian compromise" gets around that problem
>> neatly.
>
> Not as I see it.
>
>> Now a challenge:
>>
>> In all of the anticode test arguments and comments has
>> even one NEW reason to eliminate code testiing been
>> offered?
>
> No new arguments against retention of code are
> needed. The FCC has already accepted them.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

# 913. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 15:37:31 UTC
From: itchy sanshez <itchy@scratchy.net>
Message-ID: <437369ac_2@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123480

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1131627930.747820.5360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> KH6HZ wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> > Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!
>>
>>
>> You're welcome Steve.
>
> HEY MIKE!
>
> Thanks for stopping by! You've been missed, Brother!
>
> As you can see, however, it's pretty much "business as
> usual"...Lennie still hates Amateur Radio. In the latest NPRM rounds
> he's managed no fewer than FIVE "I Am Smarter Than They Are" "reply
> comments", although he's paced back his rhetoric here.
>
> Brian "The Brain" Burke, N0IMD is still Lennie's yes man and Lead
> Sock Puppet. Not much I can say about Brain...He's still as brainless
> as ever.
>
> Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, is back and is as much the illiterate clown
> as he ever was. Now his "schtick" is to try and intimidate me with the
> suggestion that he's going to get the Tennessee State Board of Nursing
> to pull my LPN card because he doesn't like the way I talk to him here!
>
>
> New into the fray is Todd "I AM NOT GAY" Dougherty, N9OGL. Todd
> alleges to be a college student in Illinois...Ironically less than an
> hour from where Markie USED to live...He also is the new "I Wanna Be
> K1MAN" idiot on the Amatuer airways. Todd promotes a "show" on 20
> meter phone and brags about his "commercial grade" audio gear...but
> can't seem to name any of it. BTW...Todd is almost 40 years old and
> living in mommy and daddy's basement.
>
> And crossing over from rec.radio.cb on semi-frequent occassion is
> "Frank Gilliland". Like Lennie, Frankie's not an Amatuer, but, like
> me, he used to be an Active Duty US Marine...
>
> UNLIKE me he managed to get court martialed not once, but TWICE in

> ONE four year hitch! However he knows "the lingo", so tries to help
> out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five.
> He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
> makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country. He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
> and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> that "Active Duty") Marine. I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
>
> Hope all is well your way. It's a beautiful fall day here...
>
> 73!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Don't forget Steve K4YZ destroying the group with his flames.

## 914. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 15:38:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131637113.711780.249060@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123478

K4YZ wrote:
> Lots of snippage here, Kids...Afterall, there's nothing serions here to
> "discuss"
>
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >HAG said:
>
> > Hon, let me tell you something I've been in radio and communications a
> > long time, LOOOONNNNG before I got into amateur radio and my knowledge
> > over radio law is a little better then what you and some of amateur
> > radio operators (who I would call nothing more then licensed CB
> > operators) think.
>
> The intimate come-on nothwithstanding, the very content of the
> paragraph is obviously FALSE since Toiddie-mouth hasn't been able to
> overcome the simple task of filing an application correctly.
>
> If the FCC resumed CB radio licensing, I seriously doubt that
> Toodles could fill that one out...I am still wondering how he got an
> 610 form by the FCC in crayon and who took the test for him...
>
> His USENET rantings about his trials-and-tribulations with the FCC
> date back into the mid-90's...You'd think all that "experience" would
> have led to the desired licensure by now...
>
> > >BTW. We have a ham in VK who does his own radio show each week, like
> > >Toadfish and K1MAN but it's nothing like thier crap. See, this VK uses
> > >AM on 160m with a 2m FM crossband signal and has technical discussions
> > >with other hams, as he was a former radio broadcaster, he enjoys using
> > >the AM mode, but he uses professional, commercial standard AM broadcast
> > >equipment, not a CD Walkman and a Walmart microcphone plugged into a
> > >Karoake machine. He also puts on old, historic broadcasts that the
> > >stations he worked at turfed out years ago-such as the 1930 Melbourne
> > >Cup, the Skylab Crash, WW1 & WW2 transmissions from world leaders,
> > >advertisments from last century, mostly during Radio's Golden Age. But
> > >these are of a historical nature and are really archival audio, that
> > >many VK's enjoy listening to every Saturday night. They've also done
> > >shows from the beach on New Year's Eve over the years. What they do is
> > >just within the regs, but these guys do it professionally with
> > >professional equipment. He does not put his show up on THE PRIME DX
> > >BAND, does he Toady.
> >
> > First of toots, I don't use a "walmart walkman" and a "karoake machine"
>

> Another come-on followed up by yet another lie.
>
> > I run top of the line "commercial quality equipment" including duel CD
> > player and duel CD burners...(SNIP)
>
> But obviously you DON'T use any of that college education you brag
> about getting.
>
> A "duel" is a contest between two combatants. "Dual" refers to a
> combination of things.
>
> > (UNSNIP)...(no computer burners but industy recording
> > burners. duel tape / editing equipment, two audio mixers and recently
> > i've been formatting old programs I've done into MP3 format with my
> > iMac G5
>
> A lie, no doubt.
>
> Toiddie's been challenged to describe his "station" in detail, but
> has yet to do so. The post being responded to could have very easily
> included makes, manufacturers, etc, but only stated "commercial quality
> equipment". Even just a picture if the words are too difficult to
> use....
>
> I say the snotty little punk has a bunch of hamfest leftovers
> stacked in a corner of the basement without a single clue as to how to
> get any of it running!
>
> > Secondly babe...(SNIP)
>
> Really hitting hard, aren't ya, Toiddie...?!?!
>
> > if my show, the n9ogl show was on 20 meter and I was talking about
> > healthcare, that would be considered a broadcast. because it is not of
> > amateur interest and would fall under the Broadcasting rules. Now if My
> > show was on 20 meters and giving my opinion regarding national antenna
> > regulation and how it affects amateur radio it would be considered an
> > information bulletin.
>
> No, it would be considered an editorial, and would NOT be an
> "information bulletin".
>
> > Hams need to get it through their heads there is
> > NO FCC STANDARD on what format amateur's are suppose to use in regards
> > to information bulletin, amateur's have got it in their thick heads
> > that a information bulletin has to be a "NEWSCAST" however there is NO
> > FCC rule or order that states that.
>
> I N F O R M A T I O N B U L L E T I N.
>

> I have no doubt that Snotty will be the one to push the case
> law...then Amatuers will, in true knee-jerk FCC reaction, wind up
> losing yet another privilege...Just to sate the ego of one Junior DJ
> Wanna-Be.

BWHAHAHAHAHA!
Toadie's a real "Wolfman Jack-off"!

> > No dreams of graduer here babe, I do it fun, the problem is a bunch of
> > #####ing licensed CB operators dictating to another station on how he is
> > to run his station.
>
> Ahhhhhhhh...Toidie's getting tired or hitting the bottle
> again...the profanity is slipping back in...Not to mention yet more
> intimate familiarities.

Toadie is upset his boyfriend broke up with him.

## 915. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 15:40:16 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131637216.333694.261640@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123479

But you certainly *are* a cartoon, you fucking imbecile.

## 916. Moron Lardass Davies answers himself and is wrong again!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 15:50:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131637827.674183.136940@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Stupid Lloydie Davies wrote:
> BWA

BWHAHAHA Poor Lardass, has so many sockpuppets, poor lonely Lardass,
whining on the internet about another man. One he sees behind every
post. Poor mentally ill moron Lloyd Davies.

## 917. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 15:52:27 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131637947.388934.298310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd Lloyd Lloyd whined:
> We hear <Poor Lardass, gets it wrong, as usual! Roger doesn't get SSI, retard. You fucked up again!>

I hear you were arrested yet another time, fatass. Too bad your
internet ads will get your fat ass in more trouble. BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!

## 918. stevie contiues his feeble effort to parctice medicne over the net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 15:55:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131638141.947512.272770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123483

K4YZ wrote:

> > I don't have to love these guys, thank the gods, I do laugh at em
>
> You laugh at the time of day, Markie.

unfounded statement
>
> People in your condition often do.

flase statement about a medical matter

in addition you have hear been abussive of the subject of your mecial
care per my understanding of the materail post under abuse reporting by
the BoN

I must say that didging yourself in deeper is an off tactic to use in
trying to delay me
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 919. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 15:56:21 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131638181.368690.242390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
> N0VFP wrote:
>
> > I've seen your name on ads all over
> > the internet newsgroups..... BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >
>
> What name is that 2test wogie?
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:

"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
school once again in
<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

## 920. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 15:59:17 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131638357.924356.322890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Commode Butt Corenholer hid in terror again when he posted:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Crazy_Lloydie Davies whined:
> >
> >>Why would a Fry Cook
> >
> >
> > Wrong guess as always, fuck up.
> >
> >
> >>be required to have correspondence
> >>Masters Degree from DeVry?
> >
> >
> > DeVry doesn't offer Master's degrees, dumbass. Looks like you fucked up
> > as usual.
> > You couldn't even hack degree coursework, fatass, that's why all you
> > could get is a "certificate" from a community college which is about as
> > useful as a sheet of toilet paper.
> >
> > PIZZA BOY!!!!!!!!!!!!!! BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!
>
>
> Want to tell us all about negative SWR 2test? Did they teach you all
> about it at DeVry? LOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!!!

You sure can't tell us about it, can you cber? Roger didn't go to
DeVry, dumbass, he went to a real college unlike you, pimple face. As
usual, you don't know what the fuck you are talking about.

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
school once again in
<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

## 921. Stevie hopefully soo to be ex LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 15:59:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131638368.806406.11120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123486

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com, yet another smokescreen for Brian P
> Burke, N0IMD wrote:
>
> > Meanwhile Steve diagnoses a brand name drug...(SNIP)
>
> How does one "diagnose" a drug to a person, Brain?
>
> Perehaps you meant "prescribe"...?!?! (Of course you did...but you
> were more anxious to try and get the "dig" in rather than be accurate,
> so once again I got ya!)
>
> But even then you'd STILL be wrong. But of course little things
> like facts don't get in your way. You ought to read up on what
> constitutes "prescribing" and "prescribing authority" as defined by the
> Drug Enfocement Agency.

may be you should look the reg on being on LPN at the BoN you are not
allowed to lie about medical matters
>
> (Better yet...ignore that...sure would hate to ruin the fun you've
> been having all-the-while making a fool out of yourself......)
>
> > (UNSNIP)...to a person on the internet
> > (across state lines) and follows it up with a comment that it's his
> > "professional" opinion.
> >
> > Hmmm? Did he get any medical training at all?
>
> Obviously I did...

but you have choosen to ignore the arts that did notsuit you
>
> Otherwise I might have difficulty making a fool out of you like I
> just did...
>
> Sheeeeesh.....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 922. Re: Lardass the eternal virgin fucks up again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:02:24 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131638544.885014.297400@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lisping cocksucker Stan Lawson whined:
> On 9 Nov 2005 07:54:48 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > DeVry doesn't offer Master's degrees, dumbass. Looks like you fucked up
> > as usual.
>
> Oh yes it does, Lardass. You are the fuckup as usual. I got my
> advanced degree in business administration, just like it says at
> http://www.devry.edu/keller/programs/m_business_administration/about.jsp

BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHA You couldn't get into any other college with your 2.0
GPA could you? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

> You should get out of the house more before you make idiotic
> statements like "DeVry doesn't offer Master's degrees." What a
> dumbass you are, pizza boy.

Poor fuck up, that Business admin "degree" helps you be the assistant
manager at McDonald's, does it? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

## 923. Marky C. Moron soon to be back in the rubber room or jail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:04:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131638669.811705.304530@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123488

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com, yet another smokescreen for Brian P
> > Burke, N0IMD wrote:
> >
> > > Meanwhile Steve diagnoses a brand name drug...(SNIP)
> >
> > How does one "diagnose" a drug to a person, Brain?
> >
> > Perehaps you meant "prescribe"...?!?! (Of course you did...but you
> > were more anxious to try and get the "dig" in rather than be accurate,
> > so once again I got ya!)
> >
> > But even then you'd STILL be wrong. But of course little things
> > like facts don't get in your way. You ought to read up on what
> > constitutes "prescribing" and "prescribing authority" as defined by the
> > Drug Enfocement Agency.
>
> may be you should look the reg on being on LPN at the BoN you are not
> allowed to lie about medical matters

You are a fucking imbecile, Marky.

> >
> > (Better yet...ignore that...sure would hate to ruin the fun you've
> > been having all-the-while making a fool out of yourself......)
> >
> > > (UNSNIP)...to a person on the internet
> > > (across state lines) and follows it up with a comment that it's his
> > > "professional" opinion.
> > >
> > > Hmmm? Did he get any medical training at all?
> >
> > Obviously I did...
>
> but you have choosen to ignore the arts that did notsuit you

STFU, child abuser!

> > Otherwise I might have difficulty making a fool out of you like I
> > just did...
> >
> > Sheeeeesh.....
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

## 924. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:10:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131639018.622037.293320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Commode Butt Cornholer wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Commode Butt Cornholer wrote:
> >
> >>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >>
> >>>The "Commode" couldn't get real if he tried. He'd rather hide behind a
> >>>cheezy 50's sci-fi name.
> >>>
> >>
> >>Better than hiding behind the curtains like you 2test wogie.
> >>
> >>
> > Unlike you who hides behind a cheezy sci-fi character, eh, fatty?
> >
> > "2test wogie" Butt Cornholer makes good spells!
> >
> > Tell us all about that pilot's license you claim to have......
> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
> >
> >
> > http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/out_of_banders.jpg
> >
>
>
> LOL at the Glendale retard who cleans out port-a-potties

Wrong again. But you make a career out of not knowing what the hell you
arfe talking about, don't you?

> and was made by
> the FCC to retake the amateur exam to retain his license.

Geeze, it wasn't much a of a sanction, stupid, since 1) no reason was
given for the retest
and he passed it and even upgraded to Extra class. Something you can't
even do.

>LOLOLOLOL!!!!

LOL at the CB retard who can't even get the no code technician ham
license nor can he get that pilot's license he claims to have which is
a lie to begin with. And he hides behind a cheezy sci-fi tv charcter,
you really are so sad, and feel so threatened by Roger you have to
hide. What a loser. But, you were born rather stupid, Butt Buzzy.

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
school once again in
<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

## 925. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:10:46 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <RogerWiseman@rock.com>
Message-ID: <43737177$1_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

WELL THE SOUTH SIDE OF GLEN DALE
IS THE FAGGOT SIDE OF TOWN
AND IF YOU GO DOWN THERE YOU BEST BEWARE
OF A PUNK NAMED WOGER WISEMAN

NOW WOGER IS A FAGGOT
STAND ABOUT 5 FOOT FOUR
ALL THE DOWNTOWN JOHNS CALL HIM LOOSE SPHINCTER LOVER
HIS MOTHER CALL HIM 'HOE

CHORUS
AND IT'S BAD BAD WOGER WISEMAN
THE BADDEST PUNK IN THE WHOLE CELL BLOCK
BADDER THAN RICHARD SIMMONS
AND FATTER THAN NAT KING COLE

NOW WOGER HE'S A PUSSY
AND HE LIKES HIS PURPLE CLOTHES
HE LIKES TO WEAR HIS FISHNET HOSE,
UNDER EVERYONE'S NOSE
HE GOT CUSTOM PINK PANTIES
HE GOT A FRENCH TICKLE TOO
HE GOT A 32 INCH DILDO IN HIS POCKET FOR FUN
A VIBRATOR IN HIS SHOE

(REPEAT CHORUS)

NOW FRIDAY 'BOUT A WEEK AGO
WOGER SUCKIN' DICK
AND AT THE END OF THE YARD
SAT A BROTHER NAMED BINKIE
AND OO THAT GUY LOOKED NICE
WELL HE CAST HIS EYES UPON HIM
AND THE TROUBLE SOON BEGAN
CAUSE WOGER LEARNED A LESSON
BOUT MESSIN' WITH A PUNK OF A JEALOUS BITCH

WELL THE PUNKS TOOK TO BITCH SLAPPIN'
AND WHEN THEY PULLED THEM FROM THE BED
ROGER'S LARD ASS LOOKED LIKE A BLOODY PUZZLE
WITH AN EXTRA HOLE!

(REPEAT CHORUS)
>

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131639018.622037.293320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Commode Butt Cornholer wrote:
>> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> > Commode Butt Cornholer wrote:
>> >
>> >>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >>
>> >>>The "Commode" couldn't get real if he tried. He'd rather hide behind a
>> >>>cheezy 50's sci-fi name.
>> >>>
>> >>
>> >>Better than hiding behind the curtains like you 2test wogie.
>> >
>> >
>> > Unlike you who hides behind a cheezy sci-fi character, eh, fatty?
>> >
>> > "2test wogie" Butt Cornholer makes good spells!
>> >
>> > Tell us all about that pilot's license you claim to have......
>> > BWHAHAHAHAHAHA!
>> >
>> >
>> > http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/out_of_banders.jpg
>> >
>>
>>
>> LOL at the Glendale retard who cleans out port-a-potties
>
> Wrong again. But you make a career out of not knowing what the hell you
> arfe talking about, don't you?
>
>> and was made by
>> the FCC to retake the amateur exam to retain his license.
>
> Geeze, it wasn't much a of a sanction, stupid, since 1) no reason was
> given for the retest
> and he passed it and even upgraded to Extra class. Something you can't
> even do.
>
>>LOLOLOLOL!!!!
>
> LOL at the CB retard who can't even get the no code technician ham
> license nor can he get that pilot's license he claims to have which is
> a lie to begin with. And he hides behind a cheezy sci-fi tv charcter,
> you really are so sad, and feel so threatened by Roger you have to
> hide. What a loser. But, you were born rather stupid, Butt Buzzy.
>
> Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
> spell "loser"in

> <IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
> "Again you loose two-test"
>
> Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
> skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
> <9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
> "you got a wellfare......"
>
> n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
> Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> "Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!
>
> Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
> <udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
> "Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"
>
> Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
> <WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
> "two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"
>
> Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
> all upset in
> <utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
> "fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!
>
> In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
> Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
> "stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"
>
> Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
> <ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
> "Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!
>
> Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
> <ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
> "Yea, I really dance"
>
> In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
> his Pulizter prize English skills
> and blathered:
> "Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."
>
> Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
> <SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
> "slobberd"
>
> Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
> license, all the while showing off his English skills in

> <F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
> "You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"
>
> Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
> out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
> <5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
> "Is that you peaking out"
>
> Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
> school once again in
> <VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
> "oinions"
>

## 926. Re: stevie contiues his feeble effort to parctice medicne over the net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:11:38 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131639098.078249.46400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123490

I'm still waiting to hear back about that supposed "threat" I made,
Marky. Don't tell me you were lying out of your faggot mouth again.

# 927. Re: stevie contiues his feeble effort to parctice medicne over the net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:12:46 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <RogerWiseman@rock.com>
Message-ID: <437371ee$1_3@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123492

It was twenty years ago today,
Roger Wiseman forced the boys to be gay
He's been going in and outa their rears
And he's made them into ravin' queers.
So watch out or I'll force on you
The act we've hated all these years,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.

We're Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band,
Disciples of the Bucktoothed Choad,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band,
Sit back and watch us shoot a load.
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound, Roger Wiseman's Greyhound,
Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.
It's wonderful to be here,
But Wiseman's full of crap,
The dirty goddamn pederast:
That fucker gave us syphilis,
That fucker gave us clap.

I don't really want to stop the show,
But I thought you had all better know,
That Wiseman's going to play a song,
On a stickpin that he stuck through his schlong.
So let me introduce to you
The one and only Bucktoothed Choad
And Roger Wiseman's Greyhound Men's Room Band.

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131639098.078249.46400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I'm still waiting to hear back about that supposed "threat" I made,
> Marky. Don't tell me you were lying out of your faggot mouth again.
>

## 928. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:16:18 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131639377.981612.63440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> On 25 Oct 2005 00:48:59 GMT, "Lloyd"
> <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:
> >
> >i am leaving here now and i will never come back forever. JUST
> >LEAVE ME ALONE!!!! K???

Kill yourself, Davies!

> Sorry about having to use a obivously fake ID but
> I would like to confine thethe flame to the
> Newsgroup
> instead of my email box also being Involved
>
>
> In adition soory that after a death threat or two
> I have to admit that I will changing disguing
> refernces
> my plans and my travels
>
>
> Mark Morgan
> KB9RQZ
> Illiopolis IL
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

That's not your FCC listed address, Marky.

KB9RQZ
MARK C MORGAN
Pob 212, PO BOX PoB212
Chassell MI 49916
USA

But you really live on River Road in Chassell.....

## 929. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:19:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131639580.227732.10370@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd Davies whined:
> WELL <What a shitty song, Lardass, but you only plagiarize from another fellow felon (Lardass Davies has felony convictions), Geno Paris, losers like you two faggots stick together>

Beat It! (Lloyd Davies'Theme)
M. Jackson/?

Lloydie Davies can never get a date at night
"I'll just order up some food, I'm sure I'll be alright."
He goes under the stained sheets and he turns off all the lights
So beat it, Lloydie, just beat it.

He's got his shemale tapes, he's got a few gay porn DVDs
He's got the Daleks, he never needs real pussy!
The time is right, I'd better use the pics on the PC
So beat it, choke your dick like it owes you money!

Lloydie will Just beat it, beat it
'Till the urge has been defeated
Show them how lonely and sad is your life
Hearin' it's wrong, but feelin' so right
Just beat it (beat it.)
Just beat it (beat it)

His mom came by, she needed to get her makeup bag
She went to check on him, if just to be a nag
She opened up his door and she started to gag
Lloydie, don't beat it! Don't beat it!

A girl invited him to come see her favorite band
"I think that would be fun, why won't you be my man?"
He told her and he told her, "You just don't understand,"
"I just beat it, I make love to my hand!"

So Lloydie, beat it, just beat it
Soon your inferior genes will be depleted!
Show them how lonely and sad is your life
Regular sex to you it's wrong but jacking off is so right
Just beat it, beat it
'Till the urge has been defeated
Show them how lonely and sad is your life
Regular sex to you it's wrong but jacking off is so right.
Just beat it (beat it)
Just beat it (beat it)

(Continue to fadeout)

# 930. Re: stevie contiues his feeble effort to parctice medicne over the net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:21:25 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131639685.662562.96510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123495

Lardass Lloyd Davies wrote:
> It was (Poor plagiarizing Lardass)

When Lloyd Tried Jenny Craig

Jenny, Jenny (867-D-I-E-T)
[Tommy Tutone/Purple Haze]

Jenny Jenny, it's you that I turn to
I got too much of what you hold on to
I know you want my picture of my body before
you saw me and I was shaped like a ball
Jenny I got your number
I need to lose you see
Jenny I need to diet
867-d-i-e-t
867-d-i-e-t

Jenny Jenny, got a program for me?
I don't know why this food makes me so happy
I tried to call you before
but I had dessert
I tried meditation
and yoga just hurt
Jenny I got your number
I need to lose you see
Jenny don't change your number
867-d-i-e-t
867-d-i-e-t
I got it I got it I got it
I got your number on the wall
I got it I got it I got it
If I look like a ball
Jenny don't change your number
I need to lose you see
Jenny oh will you help me?
867-d-i-e-t-
867-d-i-e-t

Hello??? My name's Lloyd....and....
(fade out)

## 931. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:31:41 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1yausl@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1yausl@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123519

an old friend Wrote:
>
>
> Len has a stake in Ham radio. everyone does. everyone on the planet (
> and it is not limited to the earth assuming that life exists out there)
> has a stake in how the airwaves are used
>
>

But Len discards/discounts comments from non-US citizens and here you are suggesting that ET living on Alpha Centauri has a stake in this NRPM???? Len will tell the FCC to ignore comments from ET.

But ET probably can't talk to us on HF anyhow because of the heliopause, so he doesn't care about the code test. If you want to talk to him I recommend you QSY to a frequency up near the waterhole, perhaps just tune around between the hydrozal and hydrogen lines. That's nocode territory, so you're good to go.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

# 932. Re: stevie contiues his feeble effort to parctice medicne over the net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:46:45 UTC
From: Roger Wiseman <RogerWiseman@rock.com>
Message-ID: <437379eb_1@x-privat.org>
Source record: 123496

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131639098.078249.46400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I'm still waiting to hear back about that supposed "threat" I made,
> Marky. Don't tell me you were lying out of your faggot mouth again.
>
>
That sure brought back some memories, I remember laying on a warm
rock over by Hollister Pond near Keney Cove, falling-out-drunk on a
bottle and a half of TJ Swann's "Mellow Days" wine and digging the
mellow grooves of the R.W.G.M.R.B. In a weird coincidence, I now keep
all my worldly possessions in a locker at the Glendale Greyhound
Station,
and I thought for sure I still had a copy of the R.W. Band's landmark
'67 album. I dug it out, and after close examination of the famous
photo-
montage cover, I spotted pre-stardom head-shots of Jeff "The Felching
Gourmet" Smith , "Toesicle" Eddie Murphy, Dickbleed and Boy, and
L. Ron Blubbard. My copy is in VG+ condition, on rare spewtum-colored
vinyl; what do you think it's worth?

## 933. Re: newsflash Stevei may have goten something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:54:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <AXKcf.88$s14.18@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123497

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 8 Nov 2005 04:31
>
>> an old friend wrote:

>>> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
>> I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
>> particular faith.
>
> I'm a "Reform Christian"; i.e., Lutheran. :-)
>
> Morsemen MUST belong to the Church of St. Hiram faith...

You've issued an edict, have you?

>> Steve has never explained why he uses the yiddish word "Putz" when
>> perfectly good english word is available, such as "penis" or even slang
>> "dick."
>
> Brian, the NEW term in here is "CLASSIC JOHNSON!" :-)
>
> Davie and Jimmie's classic johnsons are BIGGER than everybody's!

Lennie has made another factual error. You have no knowledge of
"everybody's" classic Johnson. Wipe the dust from your tiny Johnson
and be proud of it.

Dave K8MN

## 934. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 16:56:39 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11n6uu3cjhf3k38@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131550963.417308.139510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/out_of_banders.jpg
>
I would think that antennae would work better above the roof line. ROFLMAO

## 935. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 17:04:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131642266.449278.163890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123499

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 05:17:15 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
> > >an_old_friend wrote:
cut

> > >>
> > >> nor do I feel there is anything to correct
> > >
> > >There was, but you didn't notice.
> >
> > nothing to correct it was and remains a fact that Stevie appreas to be
> > abusing the preveldges of his LPN license, that exact dtails are
> > unimportant HERE
>
> They're unimportant ANYwhere, Markie.

then you are lying in claiming any harm can come to me in report ing
you

if you can not be damaged by what I do you are not harmed by it, if you
are not harmed no civil case,

try a basic study guide to law

>
> Try as you will, there's not one thing in this NG that the BoN
> will be able to consider for censure.

then if true you have been lying about the consequences of my actions
in reporting the matter

>
> Not a one.
>
> You may continue to lie to yourself and make up tales for the NG
> all you care to.

you are and have been lying to yourself and the NG for years
>
> > >> so why should try
> > >
> > >...in the interest of accuracy.
> >
> > it is more acccurate than Stevie own post was, more accurate than most
> > of yours

>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight......

you agree than

cut
> > >Based upon your two lines above, I rest my case.
> >
> > what case?
>
> Hey Dave...
>
> He's too danged stupid to realize what he said or did...Let's just
> let him dwell on it and laugh at him while he chases his tail!

what case?
cut

> > in the USA today that may be a bit foolish but i will take the chance
>
> And Tennessee will.

which means that tennesse will not be considering such things a s my
sexuality and that dave was wrong in saying they would

>
> And when they are done, >>MY<< attorneys get to earn their keep!

for what?

if you are telling the truth then you will not be harmed in any way by
my action, therefore no tort was done therefore no case exists for them
to prusue

If I am right then you also have no case

you are in a no win affair sir you may be able to break even but that
is all

>
> THAT will be the end of KB9RQZ's USENET spamming days!

another lie from Stevie I do not spam the NG thef0ore it is will not
end any spaming by me

cut
> > I have lied about my rank and that is all I have told some lies that
> > have lead Stevie off on wild goose chases about my identity that is it
>
> You've not led me on one single goose chase, Markie!

yes I have
>
> You were never an officer in the Armed Forces. Period.

back pedaling again you normaly I never served

do make up your mind

>You were
> never drafted.

never said I was inducted by draft, you assmued that by choosing ( or
being unable) to understand a turn of phrase

> You are not being held hostage by a CO who refuses to
> let you resign despite your avowed perversions.

never said that either
>
> > andI have have said in the mix something these post is a lie as I was
> > making em, nor was under oath
>
>
> backpeddlebackpeddlebackpeddlebackpeddlebckpeddlebckpeddlebackpeddle

I call it CYA but if you like prefer the term back peddle but you
should use better formating if you are going to play gramous and
spelling cop

>
how do these facts allow Stevie to claim someone has AIDS isn't lie
about medical matters?

and you are avoiding this issue

> >
how does that allow Stevie to LIE about the procedure of BoN so he can
try to frighten someon into not reporting him?
>
> Hey Markie...I said GO AHEAD AND DO IT!

I have according to BoN the investagation will take months

but you still have not explained how my lying allows to to lie and say
Todds father has aids

>
> But I ALSO said that when your malicous attack is over, then >>I<<
> get to play!...

no malicous atack exists

>
> > deal with reality Dave if you can
>
> I dare say Dave's reality is a heck of a lot closer TO reality
> than you, Sparky!

who are you adressing sir ;)

>
> > >> my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
> > >> enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
> > >> to the DoD no action was taken
> > >
> > >You've lied about rank.
> > yes
>
> A lie is a lie. Period.

then Ferman went to jail for perjury at the OJ trial?

>
> > > You've lied about circumstances regarding your
> > >release.
> > some
>
> No. Not "some".

some

you don't know the facts

>
> YOU stated that your "CO" refused to allow you to resign.

yes I did

>
> > > You've lied about your work.
> >
> > no I haven't have never said anything detailed enough to lie about
>
> Sure you did. You said you were in the US Army Chemical Corps. We
> need no more than that.

but I was so no lie exists

>
> > > You've lied about your IQ.
> >
> > wrong again
>
> Obviously you HAVE lied.

simple falsehood on your part

>You claim a 248, yet can't seem to master
> grade school English

You are now alicensed school teacher?

> or the insatllation of simple spellchecker
> software..

how do I install a spell checker on google?

>
> > >You've lied about your income.
> >
> > no i have not
> >
> > I have never stated my income you dolt
>
> You're the dolt.
>
> You HAVE stated your income.

where?

I have never stated my income ever except in scuh terms as enough to
suits my needs

I have stated the incomeof a job i turned down 240K

that you wrongly choose to assume I was claiming that income level for
myself is YOUR mistake not mine

>
> > therefore having never made such a statement I have never lie within
> > such a statement
>
>
> backpeddlebackpeddlebackpeddlebackpeddlebckpeddlebckpeddlebackpeddle

nope you and Dave assumed a statement meant several other things beyond
what was said
>
> > > You've lied about your trained pool of
> > >typists.
> >
> > nope
>
> Yep.

prove it

>
> > > You're a fabricator.
> >
> > when it serves to make me safer yes long ago admited
>
cuting stevie obsene display

> > >> by 7 yars of silence from the DoD I can legaly assume the assent of the
> > >> DoD and the FBI to that
> > >
> > >How does one legally assume?
> >
> > by doing so
>

>
> Yeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeah.....Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
ight..................

report me again
the result will be no action meaning I am innocent of the allegation
asa matter of law

>
> > >> I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago
> > >
> > >If that isn't another of your lies, it is a start. Why not call 'em and
> > >report yourself again?
> >
> > why report myself again? esp as No rime was comitted Stevei greatly
> > over simplifies anything when he tlaks about the law
>
> Was that a sentence?
yes
cut
> > >Fine, Colonel. You can dress in full uniform and have a little game of
> > >beat me/spank me/pee on me.
> >
> > and None of the is legaly something the BoN may consider
>
> You think the BoN wouldn't consider your state of mind under such
> circumstances...?!?!

they can't

nor does any defect in myself allow you to claim I have aids or anyone
else has aids
cut
> > you are a prude, but the LAW does not allow you to enforce that on
> > everyone else
> >
> > grow up and get over it Dave
>
> Musta slipped Dave's name in there while talking to yourself,
> Markie......

nope gues you can't read

I am certainly not a prude, by any standard

cut
> > >One cannot be sure of anything with the likes of you.
> >
> > you and ESP Stevie should remember that
> >
> > you don't know what I can or will do
>

> Sure we can.
>
> We KNOW you can lie.

I certainly can

nothing ilegal in thatt

>
> We KNOW you can deceive.

sure thing I can it is a skill I am proud of
>
> We KNOW you are a deviant with potentially unlawful conduct in teh
> presence of minors.

there you go again with the pedophile/child abuse charges

>
> We KNOW you will attempt to place yourself in the position of
> "victim" in order to feign innocence.

another lie

I am inocent

I have done nothing to you ever, and yet you have attacked me since you
arrived

you lie about me and deny do so

you make vile unture claims about me

>
> > Indeed just for illustration if i happened to paranoid threat to
> > myself and other Stevie has claimed to be "his professional opinion"
> > (and if anything gets him besides mouthing to an investagtor those
> > words wil be it) pushing proding trying to rerate someone like risk
> > his own life and you would be risking your iF I were what Stevie says
> > I am
>
> What was that?

read it and find out
cut
> > you nor I can know very much about a person based on the degree on
> > contact you have with me
>
> Sure we can.

you cant determine what you claim to have determined
cuting the repiting of load BS

> > >> you momy is alive what is her address I'll be only to happy to tell her
> > >> what a hate filled bigot her son has turned into

> > >
> > >Are you too lazy to do anything for yourself?
> >
> > No
>
> I am sure you are.

you can think what you like
>
> I am sure you seldom stray more than 30 feet in any direction from
> the computer and/or refrigerator.

you are wrong of course but at lests it is harmless mistake unlike you
aids claim

>
> > > Write letters to the
> > >editor of your paper.
> > why?
>
> So your neighbors can see what kind of an illiterate fool you are.

gee I ahve written scuh letters none of my neight sem to think so

a couple would like to burn me at statke for one in which I said the
world was coming to a sudden firery end if Gay were allowed to marry

and I got pretty good reveiws on one where I said the 10 comenedants
had their place just not in public school

but the question which you choose to misunderstand was
why should I write a letter to editor localy about Dave

context man you realy need to work on context

cut

> > >>>> none presented for your either
> > >>> You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
> > >>> up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.
> > >>
> > >> becuase you can't make one
> > >
> > >Look at the lines I wrote above. I *did* make one.
> >
> > if you have Stevie has if Stevie has he will likely lose his licens or
> > be oteherwise punished
>
> More random letters and occassional words in search of order,
> Markie......

more stevei making fun of the disale which is defined as abuse when
done by a sprofesional in medical feild by the state of TN BTW although
it is not covered through the BoN

>
> > but you are fool if you I am going to take on everyone at once
>
> You couldn't "take on" on of the "Pink Ladies" at the hospital
> auxiliary in a game of Bingo.

No I could not I loath bingo, makes me want to throw up,

but your point wass or were just vilifiing again?

>
> > Stvie has pissed me off enough..........(SNIP)
>
> Ooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooh!
>
> Markie-warkie is MAD!
>
> > (UNSNIP)....and he is far greater danger from a
> > medical practice issue than ANYONE in the NG only Phil Kane has to be
> > more careful and since he is an antonry...(SNIP)
>
> He is?

he says so he apprears to be

>
> I thought he was an attorney.

fuck you and your spelling cop shit, and hope youare in fact lying when
you claim I have aids

>
> > (UNSNIP)...not a paralegal (Stevie is the nursing version of a paralegal)
>
> Oh?
>
> It doesn't say "Paranurse" any where on my license.

never said it did

>
> And Markie...there's only about six things I cannot due as an LPN
> that I will be able to du as an RN. Do YOU know what they are? I
> do...

the reference is not to the difference bewtween LPN and RN but nurses
and Doctors

if you understood english you would realize i i was saying Nurses are
to Doctors as Paralegals are to Attonreys

cut

> > >Oh, weren't you a Colonel in the U.S. Army Chemical Corps anymore?
> > right said years ago

> >
> > I wasn;t a colonel, only YOU and stevie have been trying to continue
> > that lie other the years
>
> But you HAVE lied about it.

yes I have
>
> What you haven't done is APOLOGIZE for it.

but I have

However that was made to DoD which is the body entitled to it

cut
> > > Was your salary of 200-some thousand dollars
> > >a lie?
> >
> > never said my salery was 200K I said I turned a job that would paid
> > 240K, and I did do that
>
cuting steveie evdading the issue

> > that you choose to assume I did so becuase I was claiming to make that
> > or more is not my fault
> >
> > You just lied Dave
>
> No he didn't.

yes he did
cut

> > >Make that "at least three lies spouted by you".
> >
> > where
> >
> > disgreeing with you or confsuing you becuase you can't think or
> > understand what is being said isn't lying
>
> "...or confsuing you..."
>
> What you meant to say is that you are trying to intentionally
> deceive...

I am not responible for what you and Dave try to misread into something
>
> That IS a lie, Markie.

meaning Dave was in fact lying by questioning me about my 200k income
trying to deceive everyone into believing I said something I had not

>
> > indeed if you are ever being truthfull yourself ain your claims you
> > don't understand my writting you can't realy claim you know anything I
> > say
>
> I say you're an idiot.
>
> You claim to have an IQ of 248 but then write sentences like the
> last three above.

that is what being LD is all about Stevie, indeed it lies close to very
meaning fo the term LD

>
> > >>> As to the accusations of bigotry on
> > >>> my part, deal with it.
> > >>
> > >> I will of course
> > >
> > >You haven't demonstrated that you are able to do so.
> >
> > where?
>
> Anywhere.

no where

> > Yoou two carries these chips on your shoulders thinking everyone
> > around must confrom toyour expectations
>
> No...
>
> YOU are the one with the chip...The one that says "The World Owes
> Me Everything And I Owe It Nothing".

never said anything of the sort

you are lying again

>
> > >>> I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
> > >>
> > >> what sexual perverity?
> > >
> > >Aren't you the same Mark Morgan who is into bisexual S&M stuff with a
> > >little urination and defecation thrown in for good measure?
> >
> > again what sexual perverity
>
> Thanks for providing ME with the stuff >>I<< need, Markie.

for what to claim Todd father has AIDS

let me help you then I am Bisexual kinky Dave is leing about defaction
of course but who cares other than you

>
> > You by my starndard are the prevert
>
> "standard" "pervert".
>
>
> Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
iiiight.

you too

by my religious standard you and everyone else in the NG is a prevert
by being either Homo or Heterosexual, by defining the ability to love
soley based on what is between one legs you defile the very principles
of nature and of the devine

by the first amendant I am allowed to believe it

unlike you and dave I dont go around demanding that anyone else
confrom to my religious standards

cut

> > >....r and it is the problem of everyone else.
> >
> > not everyones Dave only those that CHOOSE to try and read my stuff
>
> So then you acknowldge that you intentionally spam USENET with
> semi-illiterate dreck in an attempt to create disorganization and
> confusion.

no i don't

in detail

I don't spam the usenet at all

I am not intionaly illeterate at all ( I am untintetionaly and
unwillingly disgraphic but that is not the same)

I make no amttepmt to create disorder and/or confusion

four false statements in one, Stevie you going for a record?

>
> > you are showing signs of meglomania in claiming your veiwpoint is the
> > only viewpoint
>
> W H E R E did Dave say "Mine is the only viewpoint"...?!?!?!

in claiming as you do BTWthat your sexual morality is the only valid
one amoug other such efforts

>
> > > You can't learn
> > >morse code .....
> > >
> > true enough
cut off topic remark
> > >.....and it is someone else's fault.
> > >
> > never claimed that is another lie from you Dave
>
> Nope...You have.
another stevie lie

>
> > I have never claimed that my lack of ability is ANYBODIES fault
>
> Sure you have...You went on and on once upon a time about how you
> were failed by your special ed teachers.

no I have not

I siad there effort failed I never said it was their fault

>
> > It the fault of others that Codes testing contues to be requiredbut
> > that is another matter
>
> "Fault"...?!?!
>
> > > You tell numerous lies
> > >
> > wrong
>
> N U M E R O U S lies.
nope
cut the ranting

> > > and
> > >begin to call numerous others "lier".
> > >
> > I have caled 4 people liars (the Comode and the various slits are not
> > proven to be people on their own so they don't count) and I have
> > called on lies
ctuing stevie rants

> > you have lied today in saying I claimed a 200k income I have not you
> > asumed incorrectly that that came as the only reason I would turn down
> > a job making 240K. That you are (I infer from your action) a person
> > that would do anything for money ( or something like that) and you
> > assume everyone is like you (given the obvious differences betwen us
> > assuming I would do anything the same way as you is REALY stupid Dave)
> > is not my fault
> > >

> > you making incorrctly making assumetion beyond what I have said does
> > not make me liar but when you make make statement of fact based on
> > your incorect deduction it makes you the liar
cuting more stevie tnagents
.
>
> > >> not sure if you need an Optical felow or MD or an MSSW
> > >
> > >I believe that you aren't sure of much of anything.
> >
> > true enough
> >
> > I am abosolutely sure of nothing.
>
> Including your English skills.

agreed

>
> > after all I believe in the reality of Heisenburg's work, as well as ,
> > being a general skeptic
>
> There are some things you really, REALLY ought to NOT be skeptical
> about, Markie...

more of your efforts to imply your way is the only way

cut
> > Hf access is needed for life?
>
> Obviously for you and Lennie, it is....

bullshit another made up charge on your part
>
> > how is taking part in the porcess of making the rules as provided for
cut
> > >Mark is *special* and we have to make allowances for him.
> >
> > never said that anywhere
>
> Sure you have! Every single one of your "I'll file an ADA claim"
> rants!

never made such a rant
>
> > that is another lie in claiming I have
>
> No...it's another "Google provides" moment.

then let it provide if it could

>
> > >>> You blame all of your

> > >>> numerous problems on others.
> > >>
> > >> another lie Dave
> > >
> > >Mark Morgan on a number of issues: "Your problem"
> > >
> > so
> > >
> > if you can reason correctly this not my problem I refer you to
> > detailed response above to your lie about my claim of a 200k income
>
> Markie, nice try trying to spin ONE issue into an entire whitewash
> of YOUR lies, YOUR deceit, YOUR cowardace and YOUR misconduct.

I choose not to waste more bandwidwith on an issue you and Dave have
decided to lie about

>
> > I have not blamed you for any of MY rpoblems, I have points out you
> > have your own problem
>
> What problems?
>
> Being intolerant of liars and deceivers is a "probem"...?!?!

yes
cut

> > >> if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
> > >> you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
> > >> contacting him and I promise to look into it
> > >
> > >Oh? Did Steve provide you the name of his boss and a means of
> > >contacting him? Go to work, shirker.
> > >
> > we are talking about YOU
>
> Hey Markie!
>
> Who was the one whining about "carrying others freight"...?!?!

me bu that isnt the issue
>
> You want it? You earn it!

But I don't Dave fired Indeed I think I want him to get lots more hours
at work so he doesn't have to time to come here and talk shit
>
> OH! Wait a minute.....W H A T W A S I T H I N K I N G ? !
> ? ! ? ! ? ! ?
>
> Your whole reason for being in this forum is about getting what

> you haven't earned!

more lies stveie from you

>
> Silly me! WHAT was I thinking...?!?!

do you even know what you are thinking?

do you even think?

>
> > if you truely want me to get you fired then you are asking for my help
> > and to get it you must pay MY price
> >
> > I don't want you or Stevie fired from your jobs for my own sake.
>
> Oooooops!
>
> Markie caught in BIG LIE!

nope

>
> Markie...What do you think my employer would do if the BoN granted
> your wishes and revoked my Nursing license...?!?!

you would be fired, however your being fired is not my goal, it would
be side effect one indeed that I would regret since it would give you
more time to hang here

what I want is justice.

in your case true justice would be your being behind bars withe rumour
put outthere that you are pedophile and child killer but they could
trump up some minor charge due to lack of evidence, then the prisons
would show the dangers created with false claims of child abuse and
pedophilia. That IMO would be justice for you. forunately there is
zero chance of your getting that treatment.

Faling that there IS Justice IMO in the happnestance of the man who
claims he will not tolerate lying being punished in any way for lying

>
> See! You CAN'T have your cake and eat it too!

but I don't want the cake you think I want

you don't understand me Stevie

I don't realy understand you ( not that I want to, and likely you think
the same)

>
> > In Stevies case we may end up there and indeed the result may turn out

> > to be Stevie losing his job but that is not in fact my true aim, it
> > may be what happens but we shall see
>
> You go RIGHT AHEAD, Markie!

going right ahead

but you could do us a favor and hold breath till it is over;)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 936. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 17:05:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131642315.868265.173650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123500

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Jim would not know irany if it..
> > >
> > > Irany - someone who lives in Iran.
> >
> > at least I am a person not a cancelled cartoon style character rediced
> > to playing a an unpaid spelling cop
>
> At least an unpaid spelling cop can spell "reduced"....
>
> Sheeesh.

another speling cop heard from
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 937. Re: Stevie please pay attention ..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 17:12:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131642746.734019.198620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123501

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > Stevie you don't get
cut
> > your refusaul to tell the ruth about people in medicaly related
> > matters
>
> Whose truth? YOURS?

the truth
>
> > You have lied about Tood father having AIDS
>
> Who's "Tood"...?!?!
>
> > you have lied several times about me having AIDS you have even lied
> > about my being HOMOsexual
>
> You have sex with men. You ARE homosexual.

nope

>
> > you have lied about Todd being homosexual
>
> Nope. Todd has lied denying it.

you have lied syaing it

>
> > you have lied about me suffering from pedophilia
>
> The problem is I don't think you "suffer" from pedophilia.

then you are lying in making your claims

>
> > you have lied about my status as a disabled person
>
> No I've not.

again you lie

>
> > you have made false medical dianoises about almost everyone in RRAP
>
cut

> > At least in my case you claim to sent a letter to OFFICAILs concerning
> > my mental health, given your passion for lying on here...(SNIP)
>
> Markie, Markie, MARKIE!
>
> Y O U are the one who is always whining about "opinions" do not a
> lie make.

you cant from Proffesional opinion without data
cut
> > If you lied to michigan you violated the regs wether enough to get
> > your license yanked I don't know
>
> How can I "lie" to Michigan, Markie?
>
> You claim you intend to file a "report" with the Tennessee State
> Board of Nursing based upon "..(my) words" in this forum.

yep
>
> Do you not think YOUR words are as equally damaging to you and
> your "status" in the eyes of state social workers at various agencies?

no I don't

I am not stating a "proffesional" opinion

I am not lying about the health status of various persons in an offical
report

I am not saying So and so has AIDS

I am not saying someone is child abuser

you are doing so

cut

# 938. Re: Day 2 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 17:13:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131642833.145873.295830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123502

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Some 48 hours has elapsed and only one new argument
> was raised and that has no actual example(s) of any
> country no longer granting reciprocal licenses to
> amy of the 20+ countries that have already ended
> all code testing.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
any thought on how you will keep this up?

just cruious my own siggestion is 7 days then weekly til the R&O comes
out

# 939. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 17:15:11 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11n7011nc1vs401@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"The Hon. Shaliek Haznarob" <shaznarob@shaznaroboilltd.org> wrote in message news:dktvm1$ed9$0@pita.alt.net...
> Mr Baxter is a very honorable man, a gentleman and a scholar, who
> is waging a battle with the FCC culture of corruption, a battle that
> all ham radio should thank him for waging. Mr Baxter is a modern
> day Ham Radio Hero!
>
> ARRL & FCC - partners in the culture of corruption.
>
>
>
>

Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which is all I am concerned with.

# 940. Re: Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 17:16:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131642991.987004.136090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123504

K4YZ wrote:
> Well....
>
> It usually doesn't take long for Mark Morgan to cross himself up
> with his own demands, but here's a prime example.

not at all I believe in Karma

I forwarded to you your hate post and forwarded it to Aol.com I will be
forwarding a lot of your stuff there

Are you terroried or fif you lie again?

## 941. Re: stevei lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 17:17:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131643069.431831.120230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123505

K4YZ wrote:
MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

not drunk so flushing the rest

## 942. Re: newsflash Stevei may have goten something right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 17:20:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131643246.459511.293400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123506

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 8 Nov 2005 04:31
> >
> >> an old friend wrote:
>
> >>> if no one comes forward with a better one I award that round to Stevie
> >> I don't know what Len's religion is or even if he belongs to any
> >> particular faith.
> >
> > I'm a "Reform Christian"; i.e., Lutheran. :-)
> >
> > Morsemen MUST belong to the Church of St. Hiram faith...
>
> You've issued an edict, have you?

nope just reporting on one

>
> Dave K8MN

## 943. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 17:25:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131643506.477953.243220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> kb9rqz@hasmat.org wrote:
> > On 25 Oct 2005 00:48:59 GMT, "Lloyd"
> > <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:
cut
> > In adition soory that after a death threat or two
> > I have to admit that I will changing disguing
> > refernces
> > my plans and my travels
> >
> >
> > Mark Morgan
> > KB9RQZ
> > Illiopolis IL
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> That's not your FCC listed address, Marky.

so?

it isn't but I never posted the message signed with it

likely you did but certainly one of fakers that abound her
.....

## 944. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 17:28:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131643718.508311.255070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131550963.417308.139510@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> http://h1.ripway.com/lloyddaviessux/out_of_banders.jpg
> >
> I would think that antennae would work better above the roof line. ROFLMAO

better at what"

I think the antenna might work better at a usefull prupose, if it were
high powered and inserted in a body cavity of the poster, then the
tranmitter turned on ( and left on) as we can do at least with some ham
gear

# 945. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 18:23:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <XeMcf.71$104.48@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123509

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 05:17:15 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> cut
>>>>> in the stuff als I cliped away scroll up in google
>>>>> I never claimed he was precirbing I claimed he parcticing medicine
>>>>> split hair all you like
>>>> Brian made the statement and you commented on it.
>>>>
>>>> Brian Burke:
>>>>
>>>> "But here you are clearly prescribing a medication by name to a person
>>>> by name, and following it up with the statement that it's your
>>>> professional opinion. When you step outside your medical authority and
>>>> prescribe medication to someone that you've never met or examined, you
>>>> jump up and down and scream and cry that you >aren't< making a medical
>>>> diagnosis."
>>>>
>>>> You didn't correct Brian and tell him that Steve did not prescribe
>>>> medicine, did you?
>>> no I did not
>>>
>>> nor do I feel there is anything to correct
>> There was, but you didn't notice.
>
> nothing to correct it was and remains a fact that Stevie appreas to be
> abusing the preveldges of his LPN license, that exact dtails are
> unimportant HERE
>>> so why should try
>> ...in the interest of accuracy.
>
> it is more acccurate than Stevie own post was, more accurate than most
> of yours
>
>>> I sated that I never used accused him of presribing, I happen to think
>>> a more proper choice is to say that he acted unethicalin medial matter
>>> which as happens is the words of the regs that Govern Stevie's LPN
>>> status
>> That's nice.

>>
>>>> How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
>>> no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
>>> became your spliting of hairs
>> Based upon your two lines above, I rest my case.
>
> what case?

Are you narcoleptic? Do you recall my comments about your imprecision
with words? You proved it above. See your terms "occoured" and "spliting".

>>>>>>> ...which is cause to have his license revoked or for him to
>>>>>>> suffer some kind of censure, according to state of TN BoN website
>>>>>> Go for it, Colonel. You have a number of issues which might lessen your
>>>>>> credibility.
>>>>> they might but not likely if I tell em about em up front
>>>> They might not?
>>> indeed they might not
>>>
>>>> Do you really believe that?
>>> yes
>> I think you may be in for an awfully big surprise.
>
> you think that would be newsflash right

I think it would be newsflash? No, I think you may be in for a surprise.

> Dave heil can think stop the presses

Okay, I've thought, "stop the presses". Did it happen?

> the LAW say the issues you claim are not important

What issues did I claim are not important?

> I expect TN to obey its own laws

Oh, I'd expect that it does. But if you don't think that anyone there
would be interested in who is submitting a complaint and why, I think
you are going to be surprised.

> in the USA today that may be a bit foolish but i will take the chance

You don't believe that U.S. states generally follow the laws they have
enacted?

>>>> Your past fabrications
>>>> regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,

>>> what lies on other topics?

>> C'mon, Mark! You've lied about any number of things here. You've lied
>> about your military service and I don't mean just a single lie. You've
>> lied to cover lies. It isn't like the stuff isn't archived. There are
>> records of your lies and records of your lies uncovered.

>
> wrong Dave like Stevie you think disagreeing with you is lying

No, I don't believe that disagreeing is lying. Lying is lying and
you've done it time and again. You aren't credible. There are archived
posts which prove that you've lied.

> I have lied about my rank and that is all I have told some lies that
> have lead Stevie off on wild goose chases about my identity that is it

Yes, you certainly have lied.

> andI have have said in the mix something these post is a lie as I was
> making em, nor was under oath

Yes, you certainly have lied.

> how do these facts allow Stevie to claim someone has AIDS isn't lie
> about medical matters?

Is Steve acting in a medical capacity here?

> how does that allow Stevie to LIE about the procedure of BoN so he can
> try to frighten someon into not reporting him?

I've not noted Steve attempting to frighten "someon" into not reporting
him. I have observed his warnings to you on what is likely to take
place after you've done so.

> deal with reality Dave if you can

Mark, I don't think you are in a position to tell others about reality.

>>> my lies conering my rank I can easily explain, and were obviously legal
>>> enough that dispite the fact Stevie claims to have reported the matter
>>> to the DoD no action was taken

>> You've lied about rank.

> yes

There you have it.

>> You've lied about circumstances regarding your
>> release.

> some

There you have it.

>> You've lied about your work.

Were you ever in the Chemical Corps of the United States Army?

> no I haven't have never said anything detailed enough to lie about

>> You've lied about your IQ.

> wrong again

The living woman who has the world's highest documented IQ has a much
lower test score than yours, Mark. Are you telling us that you have the
highest documented IQ in the world?

>> You've lied about your income.
> no i have not
>
> I have never stated my income you dolt

Haven't you?

> therefore having never made such a statement I have never lie within
> such a statement

So the way we can tell if you aren't lying is because you haven't said
anything?

>> You've lied about your trained pool of
>> typists.

> nope

Sure you have, Mark. You told us that you have a trained pool of
typists. You later said that you couldn't have one of 'em type
something up because of the expense of paying her.

>> You're a fabricator.
>
> when it serves to make me safer yes long ago admited

There you have most of it. You also lie when it is convenient or when
it suits you.

>>> by 7 yars of silence from the DoD I can legaly assume the assent of the
>>> DoD and the FBI to that
>> How does one legally assume?
>
> by doing so

How does one go about doing so?

>>> I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago

>> If that isn't another of your lies, it is a start. Why not call 'em and
>> report yourself again?

> why report myself again? esp as No rime was comitted Stevei greatly
> over simplifies anything when he tlaks about the law

If no "rime" was "comitted", why'd you report yourself? What are you
"tlaking" about?

>>>> your
>>>> ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?

>>> the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
>>> my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules

>> Fine, Colonel. You can dress in full uniform and have a little game of
>> beat me/spank me/pee on me.

> and None of the is legaly something the BoN may consider

...you "legally assume". Those things would seem to go toward
establishing the credibility of an accuser. Do you think you'd be seen
as credible?

> you are a prude, but the LAW does not allow you to enforce that on
> everyone else

A prude, Mark? Do you think I'm part of some tiny minority which
approves of your lifestyle?

> grow up and get over it Dave

I'm fully grown and nothing compels me to get over anything.

>>>> I have a friend who is a licensed plumber. I'll be sure to tell him to
>>>> watch his P's and Q's when posting here, lest you attempt to have his
>>>> license revoked.

>>> if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will

>> One cannot be sure of anything with the likes of you.

> you and ESP Stevie should remember that

I don't think either of us is likely to forget it.

> you don't know what I can or will do

About the only things I can reasonably expect is that it won't be 1)
reasonable, 2) rational or 3) truthful

> Indeed just for illustration if i happened to paranoid threat to
> myself and other Stevie has claimed to be "his professional opinion"
> (and if anything gets him besides mouthing to an investagtor those
> words wil be it) pushing proding trying to rerate someone like risk
> his own life and you would be risking your iF I were what Stevie says
> I am

I don't believe you to be rational, Mark, but I'm not afraid of you.
If I survived Vietnam and all of the years I lived in Africa, I'm not
apt to be scared by someone who, when men hear his effeminate voice,
they sometimes want to marry him. If there is a bogeyman under my bed,
he doesn't tell me that I have lovely eyes.

> you nor I can know very much about a person based on the degree on
> contact you have with me

I know enough about you that I'd not want to hang out with you, work
with you or have a series of QSOs about what interests you.

>>>>>>> if that is your desire you had better begin typing

>>>>>> I'll type or not type as I choose, not as directed by you. You have no
>>>>>> leverage whatever on me.

>>>>> i do if you want me to take a certain action

>>>> You don't get it, Colonel: Take any action your little perverted heart
>>>> desires. Write my mommy.

>>> you momy is alive what is her address I'll be only to happy to tell her
>>> what a hate filled bigot her son has turned into

>> Are you too lazy to do anything for yourself?
>
> No

Then hop to it.

>> Write letters to the
>> editor of your paper.

> why?

...to tell him that I've been mean to you.

>> Write letters to the editor of my paper.

> which paper is yours?

...the one in the paper box next to my mailbox.

>> Take
>> out an ad on WTOV-TV. Start a petition. You're a perverse twit and
>> people will know it.

> realy?

No, really.

> you do have such a rich fantasy life Dave

Do you think I made up the part about reading a newspaper? having a
nearby TV station? What part do you believe to be fantasy?

>>>>>>>> I still maintain, by the way,
>>>>>>>> that I've made a correct diagnosis.

>>>>>>> but real doctors know you are wrong

>>>>>> There is no factual evidence to support your claim.

>>>>> none presented for your either

>>>> You're the one spouting what real doctors would say. None have popped
>>>> up here to challenge my diagnosis, Colonel.

>>> becuase you can't make one

>> Look at the lines I wrote above. I *did* make one.
>
> if you have Stevie has if Stevie has he will likely lose his licens or
> be oteherwise punished

That doesn't track, Colonel. I'm not Steve. I made a diagnosis.
See if you can have my license revoked or have me "oteherwise" punished.

> but you are fool if you I am going to take on everyone at once

I cringe to think what that might mean.

> Stvie has pissed me off enough and he is far greater danger from a
> medical practice issue than ANYONE in the NG

Right. Lots of people get their medical information from some guy
posting in an amateur radio newsgroup.

> ...only Phil Kane has to be
> more careful and since he is an antonry not a paralegal...

I'm sure that it will come as a great surprise to Phil to find that he
is an antonry.

> ...(Stevie is the
> nursing version of a paralegal) he has greater latittude in giving
> professional LEGAL oppinions than Stevie has in Giving PROFESSIONAL
> nursing opinions

Why have you chosen to write "professional LEGAL" and "PROFESSIONAL
nursing"?

> grow face facts

What are face facts and how do I grow them?

>>> BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave

>> Oh, weren't you a Colonel in the U.S. Army Chemical Corps anymore?
> right said years ago

> I wasn;t a colonel, only YOU and stevie have been trying to continue
> that lie other the years

You've accused me of lying in addressing you as "Colonel". You were the
person who told us that you were a Colonel. That must have been *you*
doing the lying. Were you in the United States Army Chemical Corps?

>> Was
>> that a bald-faced lie? Was your IQ of 248, higher than that of any other
>> living person, also a lie?
>
> nope it was the result of test done many years ago

...and the test revealed that you have a higher IQ than the woman
recognized as having the highest tested IQ?

>> Was your salary of 200-some thousand dollars
>> a lie?

> never said my salery was 200K I said I turned a job that would paid
> 240K, and I did do that

My mistake. Perhaps your claim about turning down "240k" has a built-in
fudge factor. You didn't say what the "k" was. Perhaps it was 240k dimes.

> that you choose to assume I did so becuase I was claiming to make that
> or more is not my fault

> You just lied Dave

No, I asked you a question.

> indeed if you are ever being truthfull yourself ain your claims you
> don't understand my writting you can't realy claim you know anything I
> say

With your writing and your refusal to use spell checking, no one can
know much of anything you are trying to say.

>>>> As to the accusations of bigotry on
>>>> my part, deal with it.

>>> I will of course

>> You haven't demonstrated that you are able to do so.
>
> where?

Anywhere.

> are you using some specail definiation of "deal" i should know about

There is no "specail definiation" but I think you should learn the
commonly used definitions.

> Yoou two carries these chips on your shoulders thinking everyone
> around must confrom toyour expectations

"Yoou two carries"? Phew! I've never asked for any special
accommodations or revisions in regulations, standards or commonly
accepted conduct in my life.

You're a real non-confromist, Mark.

>>>> I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.

>>> what sexual perverity?

Not perverity, Mark. Perversity. You know--yours.

>> Aren't you the same Mark Morgan who is into bisexual S&M stuff with a
>> little urination and defecation thrown in for good measure?
>

> again what sexual perverity

Again, not perverity, Mark. Perversity. Start with the ones I asked
about above.

> You by my starndard are the prevert

I'm sure that you believe that. The world doesn't seem to operate on
your "starnard".

>>>> I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.

>>> really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
>>> there for you if you seek it

>> There's the story of your life, Mark.
>
> nope perhaps yours from all apearances

I don't have a history of lying, Mark. You do.

>> You're a pervert and everyone who
>> isn't a pervert needs help. You can't write and won't use a
>> spellchecke...>

> and your and stevie whine and whine about it

I complain about it. Steve has complained about it. Others have
complained about it. You tell all, "your probelm".

> Killfle me that is your previeledge

Thanks for illustrating my point.

>> ....r and it is the problem of everyone else.
>
> not everyones Dave only those that CHOOSE to try and read my stuff

Isn't the point that you are attempting to communicate with others?
Would you have us believe that you don't care if others can't make sense
of your writing or that they find it laborious to decipher it?

> you are showing signs of meglomania in claiming your veiwpoint is the
> only viewpoint

Right, Mark. Nothing is right or wrong, good or bad. There are only
shades of gray.

>> You can't learn
>> morse code .....

> true enough

>> .....and it is someone else's fault.

> never claimed that is another lie from you Dave

Didn't you claim that attempting to learn morse was "torture"? Were you
only torturing yourself?

> I have never claimed that my lack of ability is ANYBODIES fault

> It the fault of others that Codes testing contues to be requiredbut
> that is another matter

>> You tell numerous lies

> wrong

Wrong? You admitted it above in the very same posting!

>> and
>> begin to call numerous others "lier".
>
> I have caled 4 people liars (the Comode and the various slits are not
> proven to be people on their own so they don't count) and I have
> called on lies

Would you like to adjust the number?

> you have lied today in saying I claimed a 200k income I have not you
> asumed incorrectly that that came as the only reason I would turn down
> a job making 240K.

I asked you a question.

> That you are (I infer from your action) a person
> that would do anything for money ( or something like that) and you
> assume everyone is like you (given the obvious differences betwen us
> assuming I would do anything the same way as you is REALY stupid Dave)
> is not my fault

Nothing is ever your fault, Mark. Your comments do not read as those of
a person with an IQ of 248.

> you making incorrctly making assumetion beyond what I have said does
> not make me liar but when you make make statement of fact based on
> your incorect deduction it makes you the liar

"you making incrrctly making assumetion"? Your frequent lies make you a
liar, Mark. That's it in a nutshell.

>>>> You've shrouded yourself
>>>> in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.

>>> another lie on your part

>> HF access in amateur radio?

> how does this question relate to your claim?

You want a free ride in amateur radio. You want the regs changed to
accommodate you.

> Hf access is needed for life?

I didn't write "for life", Mark. I wrote "in life". Remember, you're supposed to be the fellow the 248 IQ. The two terms are quite different.

> how is taking part in the porcess of making the rules as provided for
> by law asking for a free ride in life?

You demand that the standards for obtaining HF amateur radio access be lowered for *you*.

>> Others should have to try to decipher your
>> writing?

> you DON"T have to try to deipher my writing. I have never said you do.
> You choose to do so, if you don't like the rsults of your efforts make
> another CHOICE nonone is holding a gun to your head and forcing you is
> there? certainly not by my order, if you think someone is holding a
> gun to head and making you read my stuf call 911, i am certain (a
> rarity for me) that in this event and you call someone will help you.

Why are you babbling about calling 911 and someone holding a gun to someone's head? Is the object of your posting here to communicate your thoughts to others? If it is, you aren't doing a very good job of it. One would think that you'd do all in your power to make your posts as well written and concise as possible. You don't do so.

>> Mark is *special* and we have to make allowances for him.
>
> never said that anywhere

No, I did, right here.

> that is another lie in claiming I have

No, it is not.

>>>> You blame all of your
>>>> numerous problems on others.

>>> another lie Dave

>> Mark Morgan on a number of issues: "Your problem"
>
> so

There you have it.

> if you can reason correctly this not my problem I refer you to
> detailed response above to your lie about my claim of a 200k income

Do you mean my question?

> I have not blamed you for any of MY rpoblems, I have points out you
> have your own problem

That is simply incorrect.

>>>> Now, see if you can have me fired.

>>> where do you work?

>> I'm not going to hand you anything, Mark. Go to work.

> do you want me to get fired or not?

Suits me.

>>> if it is your wosh that I try and get you fired, I am willing to help
>>> you out, but you need to provide the name of your boss a means of
>>> contacting him and I promise to look into it

>> Oh? Did Steve provide you the name of his boss and a means of
>> contacting him? Go to work, shirker.

> we are talking about YOU

Okay, I'm telling you that Steve didn't provide you the name, address
and telephone number of his employer or of the employer's supervising
organization. I refuse to be held to a different standard. Go to work.

> if you truely want me to get you fired then you are asking for my help
> and to get it you must pay MY price

Pay your price? You're out of your perverted little mind.

> I don't want you or Stevie fired from your jobs for my own sake.

Oh, I see. You're just doing it for the sake of humanity. That's very
noble.

> In Stevies case we may end up there and indeed the result may turn out
> to be Stevie losing his job but that is not in fact my true aim, it
> may be what happens but we shall see

The result may be that you ending up with your ass in a crack. We shall
see. Enough of that. Your current problem is to find out who and where
my employer is. Then you'll need to find out who to contact in order to
point out that I've belittled and made fun of you. Be sure to tell them
that I see you as a liar and a pervert. Let them know that I refuse to
be politically correct in dealing with you. Good luck with your jihad.

Dave K8MN

# 946. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 18:31:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bmMcf.78$104.38@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123510

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Mon, Nov 7 2005 5:59 pm
>
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> What's "not nice" about stating the facts, Len? Your
>> disdain of the ARS is not a secret.
>
> I'm not in favor of the Amateur Radiotelegraphy Society.

Then *this* is your lucky day, Leonard. There isn't any Amateur
Radiotelegraphy Society!

> I'm not in favor of CERTAIN INDIVIDUALS in the amateur
> radio service.

Boy, that's tough. Maybe if you actually become involved in amateur
radio, you could take action in having them removed.

> To NOT love an amateur morseman is to "hate ALL amateur
> radio licensees?" I think not.

You'll have to take my word on this, Len. I don't want you to love me.

>
>> I appreciate all sorts of classics.
>
> Tsk, you and Davie "appreciate" those "classic johnsons" too much...

Well, Lennie, the term is "classic Johnsons". How are you concerned
over our appreciation?

Dave K8MN

# 947. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 18:41:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mvMcf.120$s14.106@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123511

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> I thought it terribly IRONIC that long-time radio amateur
> Carl Stevenson, WK3C, who WAS REFUSED A NOMINATION FOR OFFICE
> AT THE ARRL (an AMATEUR organization), managed to not only
> GET ON a ballot of the IEEE (a PROFESSIONAL association), and
> WIN! :-)

I don't find it ironic. Carl was apparently found qualified for one and unqualified for the other. The PROFESSIONAL organization and the AMATEUR organization aren't the same. He could GET ON the ballot for one and couldn't GET ON the ballot for the other.

What's the name of Carl's company?

Dave K8MN

# 948. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 18:49:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <BCMcf.123$s14.32@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123512

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 9, 4:04 pm

>> btw, it is interesting to note the name of Carl's firm.
>
> Why? Because he has one and you do not?

Do you know the name of the company, Len?

> You know damn well that Carl got tossed off the nomination sheet
> due to his being Executive Director of No Code International.
> ARRL doesn't want no-coders.

Do you know damn well that what you've written is a fact, Leonard?

> ARRL wants only those "acceptible"
> to the BoD hierarchy.

I don't think that's correct, Len. The ARRL has had regulations governing League elections in place for years. None were changed in the case of Carl Stevenson.

Dave K8MN

# 949. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 18:53:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SGMcf.125$s14.78@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123513

an old friend wrote:

> Dave says I must my fellows No Coders when they make mistake and I'll
> take his advice (be sure and thank him for me)

I said that you must your fellows No Coders? I must have been drunk or
insane when I wrote that.

> Protect Us Oh Lord from the warth of the Morsemen

Oh Lords? What's your net warth, Mark?

Dave K8MN

# 950. Re: Day 2 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 18:58:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <LLMcf.7478$AS6.1685@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123514

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131642833.145873.295830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> Some 48 hours has elapsed and only one new argument
>> was raised and that has no actual example(s) of any
>> country no longer granting reciprocal licenses to
>> amy of the 20+ countries that have already ended
>> all code testing.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>>
> any thought on how you will keep this up?
> just cruious my own siggestion is 7 days then
> weekly til the R&O comes out

Since the comment phase is now officially closed I
was only asking if anyone knew of any new arguments
filed in support of code testing. Jim N2EY gave us
one...but, now that I think about it, he never actually
said that he or anyone else actually submitted that
argument as actual comments to the NPRM.

My opinion, we ain't gonna see anything new beyond
Jim's rather weak addition...which may not even by an
actual submission. I'll give the folks a week or so per
your suggestion "old friend".

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 951. Re: stevie can post nothing but libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 18:58:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OLMcf.127$s14.101@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123515

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>
>>> I can't believe that any other explantion but that you are either a
>>> closet agy...
>> Fill us in, Mark! Is Steve a closet Aggie? I would have pegged him as
>> a Vols fan.
>>
>> You have some "explantion" to do.
>
> no I don't at least not to you

Your "explantion" was that Steve is a closet "agy". Was your post
encrypted?

> I will be trying to say something nice in each post form now on

I'm certain that the operative phrase is "trying to say something nice"

> Dave you are better than Stevie, Barely

...and you are better than Roger Wiseman under his numerous sock puppet
appellations.

Dave K8MN

## 952. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 19:00:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aNMcf.128$s14.60@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123516

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 8, 4:41 pm
>>>
> cut
>>> electronic terminals). "High speed" then was 300 Baud or
>>> 300 WPM. :-)
>> Why are you wandering all over the place? The term "usenet" does not
>> come from "university network". It really is as simple as that.
>
> your evidence?

Gee, Mark, it was already posted. Try to keep up.

Dave K8MN

## 953. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 19:19:56 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1yausm@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1yausm@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123520

LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
>
>
> I could not return a private thank-you note since the mailer daemons
> didn't like the web address you gave me.
>
>

Perfect spam-proofing --- (mylastname)@qualcom.com

If you know my-last-name you're golden; if you're a stranger the
daemons will protect me.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

# 954. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 20:22:00 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <8ee97017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 11:15:11 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of us
> and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
> FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which is
> all I am concerned with.

Most of these guys are arguing with one another about the law.
Remember that: the law? Nawww, being a CBer you probably don't give a
rats ass about the FCC or any other law enforcement body. Does it
tick you off that we're reminding you that rules exist, because we're
so passionate about them?

I hope so.

K1MAN is a great man because he's passionate about the real law and he
defends the little guy against the FCC toadies with his own lawyers.
The FCC bureaucrats are scared to death of K1MAN.

Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."

# 955. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 20:26:47 UTC
From: Mike Hunt <MH@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <4373adda$1@usenet.zapto.org>
Source record: 123518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8ee97017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 11:15:11 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>>
>> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of
>> us
>> and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
>> FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which
>> is
>> all I am concerned with.
>
> Most of these guys are arguing with one another about the law.
> Remember that: the law? Nawww, being a CBer you probably don't give a
> rats ass about the FCC or any other law enforcement body. Does it
> tick you off that we're reminding you that rules exist, because we're
> so passionate about them?
>
> I hope so.
>
> K1MAN is a great man because he's passionate about the real law and he
> defends the little guy against the FCC toadies with his own lawyers.
> The FCC bureaucrats are scared to death of K1MAN.
>
> Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>
>
Beat It! (Lloyd Davies'Theme)
M. Jackson/?

Lloydie Davies can never get a date at night
"I'll just order up some food, I'm sure I'll be alright."
He goes under the stained sheets and he turns off all the lights
So beat it, Lloydie, just beat it.

He's got his shemale tapes, he's got a few gay porn DVDs
He's got the Daleks, he never needs real pussy!
The time is right, I'd better use the pics on the PC
So beat it, choke your dick like it owes you money!

Lloydie will Just beat it, beat it
'Till the urge has been defeated
Show them how lonely and sad is your life
Hearin' it's wrong, but feelin' so right

Just beat it (beat it.)
Just beat it (beat it)

His mom came by, she needed to get her makeup bag
She went to check on him, if just to be a nag
She opened up his door and she started to gag
Lloydie, don't beat it! Don't beat it!

A girl invited him to come see her favorite band
"I think that would be fun, why won't you be my man?"
He told her and he told her, "You just don't understand,"
"I just beat it, I make love to my hand!"

So Lloydie, beat it, just beat it
Soon your inferior genes will be depleted!
Show them how lonely and sad is your life
Regular sex to you it's wrong but jacking off is so right
Just beat it, beat it
'Till the urge has been defeated
Show them how lonely and sad is your life
Regular sex to you it's wrong but jacking off is so right.
Just beat it (beat it)
Just beat it (beat it)

(Continue to fadeout)

## 956. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 21:52:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131659544.325509.210650@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123521

Iitoi -

Thank you for the files sent in private e-mail. I could not return a private thank-you note since the mailer daemons didn't like the web address you gave me.

I get IEEE news notices as a Life Member (no dues, by the way, no extra fees to reach Life status). Not a member of any Standards group, my recent IEEE election ballot did not allow me to vote on Carl even though he was in the pre-election booklet sent out to all (included his picture).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 957. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 21:53:32 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <ab08f$4373c15e$4e105e7$22074@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 123522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11n7011nc1vs401@corp.supernews.com...
> "The Hon. Shaliek Haznarob" <shaznarob@shaznaroboilltd.org> wrote in
> message news:dktvm1$ed9$0@pita.alt.net...
>> Mr Baxter is a very honorable man, a gentleman and a scholar, who
>> is waging a battle with the FCC culture of corruption, a battle that
>> all ham radio should thank him for waging. Mr Baxter is a modern
>> day Ham Radio Hero!
>>
>> ARRL & FCC - partners in the culture of corruption.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of
> us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter,
> the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters
> which is all I am concerned with.
>

4 - 10

Ace WH2T

# 958. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 21:54:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131659670.676968.47760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123523

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 9, 5:55 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 9, 4:04 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: kb9...@amsat.org on Nov 8, 5:55 pm
>> >> >On 8 Nov 2005 17:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> >> Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
>> >> >> Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today.
>
>> >Many of us have sent him our congratulations.
>
>> What do you mean "we?" You wouldn't have known about an IEEE
>> election without a notice from an IEEE member. YOU are NOT
>> an IEEE member.
>
>Mouse. Pocket.

Notice that Jimmie worded his sentence about "congratulations"
to NOT SPECIFICALLY SAY that J. P. Miccolis congratulated him.
Gives the impression, the implication that he did without
committing his name to some "fact."

>> WE don't know where or what YOUR "professional" electronics
>> activity is/involves. You could be taking lessons from
>> Dudly the Imposter.
>
>Speaks as an authority on space science, Has trouble with distance to
>the moon.

Jimmie has lots of troubles. Like telling EXACTLY what he does
for a living as a "professional."

>> >> I thought it terribly IRONIC that long-time radio amateur
>> >> Carl Stevenson, WK3C, who WAS REFUSED A NOMINATION FOR OFFICE
>> >> AT THE ARRL (an AMATEUR organization), managed to not only
>> >> GET ON a ballot of the IEEE (a PROFESSIONAL association), and
>> >> WIN! :-)
>
>> >Not ironic at all.
>
>> WRONG. INCORRECT.
>
>> >The standards of amateurism are different. Ask Jim Thorpe.
>

>> Dead a long time ago. Do you talk to the dead?!?
>
>> Other than the BoD that is...

>Why would an atheist think he could talk to the dead? Reminds me of
>Steve's recent attempt to talk to W0EX.

Dudly the Imposter can do ANYTHING. He just hasn't gotten around
to claiming everything yet... :-)

>> >Carl had more than enough signatures on his nomination papers.
>> >But the Executive Committee that decides such matters though there
>> >was a conflict of interest between his professional duties and being
>> >an ARRL Director, and declared him ineligible to run. That decision
>> >was not reversed by the BoD.
>
>> We've all seen your parroting of ARRL-is-god spin in here
>> before on that matter.
>
>> Put a cork in it and go to your seance. Ask Jim Fixx.
>
>He'll blow right by Jim Fixx and got straight to Hiram. Jim got clout.

Heh hehe. Last time I mentioned "Jim Fixx," Jimmie did NOT
seem to know who that person was.

Fixx was an author of at least one best-selling book on RUNNING.

Claimed it "prevented heart problems." Fixx died while running,
of a heart attack. Ironic.

>> >Some members of ARRL, particularly in the Atlantic Division, did not
>> >agree with that decision, and made their disagreement known to the
>> >BoD, the other officers of ARRL, and anybody else involved. Those
>> >efforts to get him on the ballot were, unfortunately, not successful.
>
>> One cannot argue with the dead.
>
>> One cannot argue with morsemen.
>
>> Hard to tell the difference there...

If the hierarchy of ARRL doesn't want someone anywhere close
to their entrenched POWER, that's it.

In 2003 Dave Sumner pulled down an annual salary of $137,558
plus $9,239 in Benefits plus $7,829 in "expenses." [source:
ARRL federal income tax returns for 2003 filed in 2004] Not
bad for being an executive vice president and "secretary."
That's higher than most managers in the electronics industry.
It's understandable that such would be very protective of
their income.

In 2003 ARRL had 23 paid positions making over $50,000 a year.
Only 10 of those were listed on the IRS returns for 2003.
Not bad for a supposedly altruistic membership organization
of only 145 thousand members supposedly "representing" all
700+ thousand United States radio amateurs. Like they make
"no profit" from their many books and other publications...

If ARRL loses membership, loses sales, well, there goes the
income. "It's all about the money with them" might fit as
Jimmie likes to put it...

>> >btw, it is interesting to note the name of Carl's firm.
>
>> Why? Because he has one and you do not?

Jimmie probably thinks amateur radio terms are somehow
"copyrighted" and MUST NOT EVER be used in business.

Sort of like "QST," "CQ," "73," and "W5YI Group."

Jimmie now preparing to argue that I am "mistaken."
ARRL "invented" the Q-code QST...73 went out of business,
W5YI Group "doesn't like morse code" (they teach it
anyway). Don't know what Jimmie will call "CQ" since
they are a magazine competitor to QST...but he will no
doubt say they are "lower class" or something like that...

>> You know damn well that Carl got tossed off the nomination sheet
>> due to his being Executive Director of No Code International.
>> ARRL doesn't want no-coders. ARRL wants only those "acceptible"
>> to the BoD hierarchy.

ARRL has a VIRTUAL monopoly on United States amateur radio
publications. Has had that for years. Beautiful vehicle
for conditioning the thinking of United States radio amateurs.
George Orwell coined the term "big brother." That fits the
ARRL too.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 959. Re: Md man of thousand callsign?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 22:00:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131660048.724728.240270@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123524

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 9, 6:11 pm

>an old friend wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> > Hola Mike. Been to Hawaii lately? Start any new clubs?
>
>> is this the guy who had what was iit a dozen 2 dozen club callsigns
>> across several states?

[only a dozen "club" calls for fictitious clubs in Hawaii]

>This is the guy who called me a liar for saying that he had 12
>callsigns. One callsign at his address belonged to his wife. I got is
>so wrong!
>
>The icing on the cake is that he holds a Hawaiin callsign but had never
>been to Hawaii.

Brian, he might have been on a cruise to Hawaii since...:-)

Everyone needs a vacation from the "hard work" of keeping up
falsehoods.

>Borrowed the Hawaiin PO Box from some Coast Guardie.

Jeffie-poo, the hall monitor and "lecturer at the university"
(math instructor at a junior college).

>Meanwhile the Coast Guardie had borrowed a Guam PO Box and got hisself
>a KH2 call.

Watch out, Brian, that may bring back the "university lecturer"
to LECTURE everyone on "giving respect to law-abiding Extras."

Worse yet, he might repeat that story about "hearing a sinking
ship scream on CW..." [a draft MS rejected by True Stories]

>Hi! Gotta love these guys!

Forever and ever for they are Mighty Macho Motivated Morsemen!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 960. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 22:42:06 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131662526.492391.65350@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123525

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> >> >Many of us have sent him our congratulations.

> Notice that Jimmie worded his sentence about "congratulations"
> to NOT SPECIFICALLY SAY that J. P. Miccolis congratulated him.

Your reading comprehension is a bad as ever, Len.

> Gives the impression, the implication that he did without
> committing his name to some "fact."

Then let me say it another way:

I and many others sent him our congratulations.

Is that clear enough for ya?

> ARRL has a VIRTUAL monopoly on United States amateur radio
> publications. Has had that for years.

"CQ" magazine. "73" magazine. "ham radio" magazine. Bash books.
Gordon West publications. "Worldradio" publications. Ameco
publications.
W5YI.

Etc.

# 961. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 22:56:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131663407.404137.172360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123526

Dave Heil wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 05:17:15 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
cut

> >>>> How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
> >>> no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
> >>> became your spliting of hairs
> >> Based upon your two lines above, I rest my case.
> >
> > what case?
>
> Are you narcoleptic?
no

> Do you recall my comments about your imprecision
> with words? You proved it above. See your terms "occoured" and "spliting".

wrong again I simply proved I suffer from Dyslexia and what the doc
termed Dyslexiod aphasia

I used the terms pricisely
cut
> >> I think you may be in for an awfully big surprise.
> >
> > you think that would be newsflash right
>
> I think it would be newsflash? No, I think you may be in for a surprise.

you thinking would be the newsflash

I might be in for a suprise but I can see how Stevie or BoN can claim
that who I may have sex with allows Stevie to say I have AIDS
>
> > Dave heil can think stop the presses
>
> Okay, I've thought, "stop the presses". Did it happen?

nope becuase you failed to think

>
> > the LAW say the issues you claim are not important
>
> What issues did I claim are not important?

you did not

I did

but the issues refer to are my sexuality and my alleged criminality

both are legaly irrelevant in this matter

>
> > I expect TN to obey its own laws
>
> Oh, I'd expect that it does.
as do I

> But if you don't think that anyone there
> would be interested in who is submitting a complaint and why,

I am sure they will curious, but I expect them to be profesional in the
end don't you?

>I think
> you are going to be surprised.

no suprise indeed in making my report they will told of these "issues"
since knowing the turth will be requiredin order to explain Stevie
actions

>
> > in the USA today that may be a bit foolish but i will take the chance
>
> You don't believe that U.S. states generally follow the laws they have
> enacted?

not always the US is better about it than most nation but I believe
that the US is still imperfect, and liekly always will be to some
extent.

I also know that one of the areas in which the US most often shows its
imperfections is in the treatement of those of its people that are
"defferent" in some way

>
> >>>> Your past fabrications
> >>>> regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,
>
> >>> what lies on other topics?
>
> >> C'mon, Mark! You've lied about any number of things here. You've lied
> >> about your military service and I don't mean just a single lie. You've
> >> lied to cover lies. It isn't like the stuff isn't archived. There are
> >> records of your lies and records of your lies uncovered.
> >
> > wrong Dave like Stevie you think disagreeing with you is lying
>
> No, I don't believe that disagreeing is lying.

yes you do
>Lying is lying and
> you've done it time and again.

yes I have so have you and so has Stevie

> You aren't credible.

Why? I am as credible as Stevie or you.

you lie you have lied within the past 24 hrs about my claims as to
income

Stevie has lied he has said I have aids, that I engage in unpropected
sex, that I have admited to child mosting

we are on the same footing

> There are archived
> posts which prove that you've lied.

as their are for Stevie lots of them

>
> > I have lied about my rank and that is all I have told some lies that
> > have lead Stevie off on wild goose chases about my identity that is it
>
> Yes, you certainly have lied.

agreed
cut repating yourself get your stuff dumped

>
> > how do these facts allow Stevie to claim someone has AIDS isn't lie
> > about medical matters?
>
> Is Steve acting in a medical capacity here?

that is one of the 2 issues for the BoN to decide

I would say Yes, as soon as he claims he is making a PROFESSION opinion
he is acting in medical capcity

as soon as HE gives medical advice to people that KNOW he is an LPN is
is

as soon as he claims to have used the materail here to act
professionaly he is

the BoN will make its ruling and act accordingly

If I am right Stevie will suffer some kind of scantion, he may lose his
license

if Stevie is right nothing will happen to him and no harm done

>
> > how does that allow Stevie to LIE about the procedure of BoN so he can
> > try to frighten someon into not reporting him?
>
> I've not noted Steve attempting to frighten "someon" into not reporting
> him.
then you have not been reading

>I have observed his warnings to you on what is likely to take
> place after you've done so.

and he is lying about it

>
> > deal with reality Dave if you can
>
> Mark, I don't think you are in a position to tell others about reality.

right you don't think but I knew that
cut

> >> You've lied about your work.
>
> Were you ever in the Chemical Corps of the United States Army?

yes
cutting unsuported bs
> >> You've lied about your income.
> > no i have not
> >
> > I have never stated my income you dolt
>
> Haven't you?

no I have not

>
> > therefore having never made such a statement I have never lie within
> > such a statement
>
> So the way we can tell if you aren't lying is because you haven't said
> anything?

not exactly but yuou can assume I making statments I have not made
>
> >> You've lied about your trained pool of
> >> typists.
>
> > nope
>
> Sure you have, Mark. You told us that you have a trained pool of
> typists. You later said that you couldn't have one of 'em type
> something up because of the expense of paying her.

never said that

I siad I choose not to pay for the time not that I could not pay for it

that is the problem you and Stevie take a statement, apply a host of
assumetion and then claim I said what you think was implied in the
first statement and run off from there

>
> >> You're a fabricator.
> >
> > when it serves to make me safer yes long ago admited
>
> There you have most of it. You also lie when it is convenient or when
> it suits you.

not exactly

>
> >>> by 7 yars of silence from the DoD I can legaly assume the assent of the
> >>> DoD and the FBI to that
> >> How does one legally assume?
> >
> > by doing so
>
> How does one go about doing so?

by doing so

>
> >>> I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago
>
> >> If that isn't another of your lies, it is a start. Why not call 'em and
> >> report yourself again?
>
> > why report myself again? esp as No rime was comitted Stevei greatly
> > over simplifies anything when he tlaks about the law
>
> If no "rime" was "comitted", why'd you report yourself? What are you
> "tlaking" about?

I discussed the matter as part of reporting stevies death threats

why does everyting have to be repeated for you

>
> >>>> your
> >>>> ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?
>
> >>> the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
> >>> my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules
>
> >> Fine, Colonel. You can dress in full uniform and have a little game of
> >> beat me/spank me/pee on me.

>
> > and None of the is legaly something the BoN may consider
>
> ...you "legally assume". Those things would seem to go toward
> establishing the credibility of an accuser. Do you think you'd be seen
> as credible?

they seem might to , to you, but the law ,and the BoN say otherwise

other than some kind of Illegal sex ( and I think all that is left in
feild is sex with kidds, animals and corpses and rape in the legal
sense of the word) the rest does not matter, legaly

>
> > you are a prude, but the LAW does not allow you to enforce that on
> > everyone else
>
> A prude, Mark?
yes a prude.

if you prefer another label put it forward with your reasons and I'll
consider using it

> Do you think I'm part of some tiny minority which
> approves of your lifestyle?

no

>
> > grow up and get over it Dave
>
> I'm fully grown and nothing compels me to get over anything.

you don't act fully grown, but you are right you don't have to get over
anything but it is good advice

>
>
> >>>> I have a friend who is a licensed plumber. I'll be sure to tell him to
> >>>> watch his P's and Q's when posting here, lest you attempt to have his
> >>>> license revoked.
>
> >>> if he lies about pumbing you can be sure I will
>
> >> One cannot be sure of anything with the likes of you.
>
> > you and ESP Stevie should remember that
>
> I don't think either of us is likely to forget it.

I do

but more Stevie than yourself

>
> > you don't know what I can or will do
>
> About the only things I can reasonably expect is that it won't be 1)
> reasonable, 2) rational or 3) truthful

more BS Dave

>
> > Indeed just for illustration if i happened to paranoid threat to
> > myself and other Stevie has claimed to be "his professional opinion"
> > (and if anything gets him besides mouthing to an investagtor those
> > words wil be it) pushing proding trying to rerate someone like risk
> > his own life and you would be risking your iF I were what Stevie says
> > I am
>
> I don't believe you to be rational,

If Stevie were corect in his Professional assement you should be.

If I am nuts or irtaional of what have I am much more dangerous to you
than If I am

the rest of the paragraph cut off at the tangent
.
>
> > you nor I can know very much about a person based on the degree on
> > contact you have with me
>
> I know enough about you that I'd not want to hang out with you, work
> with you or have a series of QSOs about what interests you.

ture enough and I can say the same of you of course
cut
> >> Are you too lazy to do anything for yourself?
> >
> > No
>
> Then hop to it.
to what?
>
> >> Write letters to the
> >> editor of your paper.
>
> > why?
>
> ...to tell him that I've been mean to you.

to what end?

cut
> > you do have such a rich fantasy life Dave
>
> Do you think I made up the part about reading a newspaper? having a

> nearby TV station? What part do you believe to be fantasy?

that you state I should find either the proper venue to deal with you
cut

> > if you have Stevie has if Stevie has he will likely lose his licens or
> > be oteherwise punished
>
> That doesn't track, Colonel.
sure does

> I'm not Steve

are you certain it is hard to tell some days

>. I made a diagnosis.
> See if you can have my license revoked or have me "oteherwise" punished.

First I'll try to deal with Stevie

he has a license to revoke you don't

further becuase of his licnese he more dangerous to me in my judegment,

futher still dealing with Stevie liecense which he uses to obtain
criedbilty will alos lessen yours to say much the same thing

Addtionaly I have a plan that I hope will deal Stevie a suitable
punishment, I don't have such a plan w.r.t. you

therefore logicaly I should continue to focous on Stevie and I will

>
> > but you are fool if you I am going to take on everyone at once
>
> I cringe to think what that might mean.

you should
>
> > Stvie has pissed me off enough and he is far greater danger from a
> > medical practice issue than ANYONE in the NG
>
> Right. Lots of people get their medical information from some guy
> posting in an amateur radio newsgroup.

some one that was talkingabout crufing me based on what he read in NG
did get it from Stevie
>
> > ...only Phil Kane has to be
> > more careful and since he is an antonry not a paralegal...
>
> I'm sure that it will come as a great surprise to Phil to find that he
> is an antonry.
>
>

> > ...(Stevie is the
> > nursing version of a paralegal) he has greater latittude in giving
> > professional LEGAL oppinions than Stevie has in Giving PROFESSIONAL
> > nursing opinions
>
> Why have you chosen to write "professional LEGAL" and "PROFESSIONAL
> nursing"?

It pleased me to do so

I need NO other reason

cut
> >>> BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave
>
> >> Oh, weren't you a Colonel in the U.S. Army Chemical Corps anymore?
> > right said years ago
>
> > I wasn;t a colonel, only YOU and stevie have been trying to continue
> > that lie other the years
>
> You've accused me of lying in addressing you as "Colonel". You were the
> person who told us that you were a Colonel. That must have been *you*
> doing the lying.
you contiue to lie after being told the truth

further you have never had much reason to tell the lie

I have admit to the lie

>Were you in the United States Army Chemical Corps?

asked and answered yes

>
> >> Was
> >> that a bald-faced lie? Was your IQ of 248, higher than that of any other
> >> living person, also a lie?
> >
> > nope it was the result of test done many years ago
>
> ...and the test revealed that you have a higher IQ than the woman
> recognized as having the highest tested IQ?

can't addrss you issue without more data

>
> >> Was your salary of 200-some thousand dollars
> >> a lie?
>
> > never said my salery was 200K I said I turned a job that would paid
> > 240K, and I did do that
>

> My mistake.

no it was YOUR lie

>prhaps your claim about turning down "240k" has a built-in
> fudge factor
I turned a job overing 240,000.00$ per year becuase I did not need the
money badly enough to tkae the risk

you assumed the rest

> You didn't say what the "k" was. Perhaps it was 240k dimes.
>
> > that you choose to assume I did so becuase I was claiming to make that
> > or more is not my fault
>
> > You just lied Dave
>
> No, I asked you a question.

no you lied in saying I lied about my income I have never stated my
income in RRAP

>
>
> > indeed if you are ever being truthfull yourself ain your claims you
> > don't understand my writting you can't realy claim you know anything I
> > say
>
> With your writing and your refusal to use spell checking, no one can
> know much of anything you are trying to say.

then you agree

meaning you are making statements based on materail you admt you don't
understand, meaning you are lying when you claim I make any statement
beyond a direct in context quote

period

>
> >>>> As to the accusations of bigotry on
> >>>> my part, deal with it.
>
> >>> I will of course
>
> >> You haven't demonstrated that you are able to do so.
> >
> > where?
>
> Anywhere.

where

>
> > are you using some specail definiation of "deal" i should know about
>
> There is no "specail definiation" but I think you should learn the
> commonly used definitions.

I am dealing with you now

I am always looking for something more effect but with you so far no
dice

>
> > Yoou two carries these chips on your shoulders thinking everyone
> > around must confrom toyour expectations
>
> "Yoou two carries"? Phew! I've never asked for any special
> accommodations or revisions in regulations, standards or commonly
> accepted conduct in my life.

neither have I

I have asked for the same rights as everyone else. most esp the right
to live my life in peace and safety without nosey pikers like yourself
poking into what is none of your affiar

>
> You're a real non-confromist, Mark.

ok I can live with that label, carries a few overtones of usages I
don't like but it isn't bad

the question is so what that I am (a nonconformist)?

>
> >>>> I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.
>
> >>> what sexual perverity?
>
> Not perverity, Mark. Perversity. You know--yours.

none here no prevesity at all

>
> >> Aren't you the same Mark Morgan who is into bisexual S&M stuff with a
> >> little urination and defecation thrown in for good measure?
> >
> > again what sexual perverity
>
> Again, not perverity, Mark. Perversity. Start with the ones I asked
> about above.

what prevestity?

nothing I consider preverse in your list at all one come under the
heading of "not my kink" not nothing preverse in the list

>
> > You by my starndard are the prevert
>
> I'm sure that you believe that. The world doesn't seem to operate on
> your "starnard".

nor do I think it has to

you OTOH think the world and everyone in MUST confrom to yours

>
> >>>> I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.
>
> >>> really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
> >>> there for you if you seek it
>
> >> There's the story of your life, Mark.
> >
> > nope perhaps yours from all apearances
>
> I don't have a history of lying, Mark. You do.

you certainly do

well documented

indeed your history of lying is far better archived on RRAP than mine
>
> >> You're a pervert and everyone who
> >> isn't a pervert needs help. You can't write and won't use a
> >> spellchecke...>
>
> > and your and stevie whine and whine about it
>
> I complain about it.

you whine about it

> Steve has complained about it.
he whines about

>Others have
> complained about it.
they whine about ti

> You tell all, "your probelm".

not exactly since it is only your problem if you want to read my stuff,
nobdoy is making you.

Is someone making you?

I keep asking and you keep ducking the question

>
> > Killfle me that is your previeledge
>
> Thanks for illustrating my point.

no it illustrates MINE

>
> >> ....r and it is the problem of everyone else.
> >
> > not everyones Dave only those that CHOOSE to try and read my stuff
>
> Isn't the point that you are attempting to communicate with others?

other yes, not realy you or Stevie though, or Dee Flint

My target is the lurker population out there

you and the rest are idea and text to play and use to help me make my
point to my target

> Would you have us believe that you don't care if others can't make sense
> of your writing ......

yes I have said so many times

>....or that they find it laborious to decipher it?

no but you asked the worng question

I find it desirable that those that choose to read it find laborious to
read

Indeed my motive amusingly goes right to haert of a ProCode arguement
"if you don't work it for you don't value it"

therefore since being rough to read is easier path for me anyway by
going with that flow I feel i reach a segement that is receptive the No
Coder PoV and isn't geting it from the easier to read spokes people

that my foes also find it hard to read I find yet an added Bonus

>
> > you are showing signs of meglomania in claiming your veiwpoint is the
> > only viewpoint
>
> Right, Mark. Nothing is right or wrong, good or bad. There are only
> shades of gray.

exactly glad you agree or more precisely nothing is ALWAYS good or bad,
even murder myhem, have their proper uses the problem with murder is
not that it is never a good thing, it is that it is used in far too
many cases where it is a bad thing

>
> >> You can't learn

> >> morse code .....
>
> > true enough
>
> >> .....and it is someone else's fault.
>
> > never claimed that is another lie from you Dave
>
> Didn't you claim that attempting to learn morse was "torture"?

yes I did, and it is for me

but tis is not the same as saying my lack of skill is somebodies elses
fault

so your statement was and remains a lie

>Were you
> only torturing yourself?

obviously

>
> > I have never claimed that my lack of ability is ANYBODIES fault
>
> > It the fault of others that Codes testing contues to be requiredbut
> > that is another matter

and you duck the point

>
> >> You tell numerous lies
>
> > wrong
>
> Wrong? You admitted it above in the very same posting!

no i don't I lie but i quuible on the details

>
> >> and
> >> begin to call numerous others "lier".
> >
> > I have caled 4 people liars (the Comode and the various slits are not
> > proven to be people on their own so they don't count) and I have
> > called on lies
>
> Would you like to adjust the number?

no
cut
> > That you are (I infer from your action) a person
> > that would do anything for money ( or something like that) and you
> > assume everyone is like you (given the obvious differences betwen us

> > assuming I would do anything the same way as you is REALY stupid Dave)
> > is not my fault
>
> Nothing is ever your fault, Mark. Your comments do not read as those of
> a person with an IQ of 248.

projecting again Dave

How is it my fault that you have made a bad guess?

>
> > you making incorrctly making assumetion beyond what I have said does
> > not make me liar but when you make make statement of fact based on
> > your incorect deduction it makes you the liar
>
> "you making incrrctly making assumetion"? Your frequent lies make you a
> liar, Mark. That's it in a nutshell.

you failure to state the truth about what I have said makes YOU the
liar

that is it in nutshell

You KNOW i am a non comfromist yet you insit that you appply your
confist thinking to my way of life and deduce anything with any
corelation to my views

>
> >>>> You've shrouded yourself
> >>>> in victimhood and you want a free ride in life.
>
> >>> another lie on your part
>
> >> HF access in amateur radio?
>
> > how does this question relate to your claim?
>
> You want a free ride in amateur radio.
no I don't

> You want the regs changed to
> accommodate you.

wrong again

I want the regs changed to reflect what I see as the best interests of
the ARS

Judging by the fact NoCode is about to prevail It is about a lot more
than my wants, and a fair number of people agree with me on what the
regs should including it appears the people that make em

how that is just about accomodating I frankly don't know

>
> > Hf access is needed for life?
>
> I didn't write "for life", Mark. I wrote "in life". Remember, you're
> supposed to be the fellow the 248 IQ. The two terms are quite different.

for life in life there is no difference her thier are in other context
but I don't need HF in my or for my life

I desire it yes I don't need it all

>
> > how is taking part in the porcess of making the rules as provided for
> > by law asking for a free ride in life?
>
> You demand that the standards for obtaining HF amateur radio access be
> lowered for *you*.

no I don't

I am taking part in the provided for system of seting what the rules
should be for all

as I Bill Sohl Brain, Carl, Len Todd, even you and Stevie ( jim and all
the rest)

>
> >> Others should have to try to decipher your
> >> writing?
>
>
> > you DON"T have to try to deipher my writing. I have never said you do.
> > You choose to do so, if you don't like the rsults of your efforts make
> > another CHOICE nonone is holding a gun to your head and forcing you is
> > there? certainly not by my order, if you think someone is holding a
> > gun to head and making you read my stuf call 911, i am certain (a
> > rarity for me) that in this event and you call someone will help you.
>
> Why are you babbling about calling 911 and someone holding a gun to
> someone's head?

you are babbling as if someone is making you read my posts

Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff

if it is too hard for don't

it is your free choice unless there is gunman there or something like
that

>Is the object of your posting here to communicate your
> thoughts to others?
other yes

you maybe, maybe not

> If it is, you aren't doing a very good job of it.

maybe I am achiveing my goals maybe not that is for ME to judge

> One would think that you'd do all in your power to make your posts as
> well written and concise as possible.

YOU think so, I disagree

>You don't do so.

agreed as is my right

cut

> Okay, I'm telling you that Steve didn't provide you the name, address
> and telephone number of his employer or of the employer's supervising
> organization. I refuse to be held to a different standard. Go to work.

why should I work at getting you fired when I don't want you be fired?
cut

> > In Stevies case we may end up there and indeed the result may turn out
> > to be Stevie losing his job but that is not in fact my true aim, it
> > may be what happens but we shall see
>
> The result may be that you ending up with your ass in a crack. We shall
> see. Enough of that. Your current problem is to find out who and where
> my employer is.

not my problem not my interest and not my goal
>Then you'll need to find out who to contact in order to
> point out that I've belittled and made fun of you. Be sure to tell them
> that I see you as a liar and a pervert. Let them know that I refuse to
> be politically correct in dealing with you. Good luck with your jihad.

If were to try and get yuou fired Id talk about you not me

there is plenty there in your past on here

but of course I want you wroking LOTS of OVERTIME not fired
>
> Dave K8MN

## 962. Re: stevie can post nothing but libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 23:02:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131663739.826917.10610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123527

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>> I can't believe that any other explantion but that you are either a
> >>> closet agy...
> >> Fill us in, Mark! Is Steve a closet Aggie? I would have pegged him as
> >> a Vols fan.
> >>
> >> You have some "explantion" to do.
> >>
> > no I don't at least not to you

I owe you no explanation Dave
cut
> Dave K8MN

## 963. Re: Ignore ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 23:10:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131664231.235574.158760@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123528

Iitoi wrote:
> an old friend Wrote:
> >
> >
> > Len has a stake in Ham radio. everyone does. everyone on the planet (
> > and it is not limited to the earth assuming that life exists out there)
> > has a stake in how the airwaves are used
> >
> >
>
> But Len discards/discounts comments from non-US citizens and here you
> are suggesting that ET living on Alpha Centauri has a stake in this
> NRPM???? Len will tell the FCC to ignore comments from ET.

that Len and I don't agree on everything is plain fact

I think for his Poloing count it was proper to discount aliens legal
illegals forgieners and et's, but I feel they have every right to tell
the FCC what they think and the FCC should considertheir coments but on
a lessor level to myself a US citizen

I don't know that Len in fact disagress with the later but it does not
matter

after all I think the FCC should consider Stevies comonet and I think
he should be behind bars withe felon told he is a pedo child killer and
let the fellows have at him, but he has the the right to heard till
then

cut
>
> --
> Iitoi

## 964. The reason for all the rrap "Liars"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-10 23:58:55 UTC
From: litoi <litoi.1ybbgm@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <litoi.1ybbgm@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123540

One day, while a woodcutter was cutting a branch of a tree above a river, his axe fell into the river. When he cried out, the Lord appeared and asked, "Why are you crying?"

The woodcutter replied that his axe has fallen into water, and he needed the axe to make his living.

The Lord went down into the water and reappeared with a golden axe.

"Is this your axe?" the Lord asked.

The woodcutter replied, "No."

The Lord again went down and came up with a silver axe.

"Is this your axe?" the Lord asked.

Again, the woodcutter replied, "No."

The Lord went down again and came up with an iron axe.

"Is this your axe?" the Lord asked.

The woodcutter replied, "Yes."

The Lord was pleased with the man's honesty and gave him all three axes to keep, and the woodcutter went home happy.

Some time later the woodcutter was walking with his wife along the riverbank, and his wife fell into the river.

When he cried out, the Lord again appeared and asked him, "Why are you crying?"

"Oh Lord, my wife has fallen into the water!"

The Lord went down into the water and came up with Jennifer Lopez.

"Is this your wife?" the Lord asked.

"Yes," cried the woodcutter.

The Lord was furious. "You lied! That is an untruth!"

The woodcutter replied, "Oh, forgive me, my Lord. It is a misunderstanding. You see, if I had said 'no' to Jennifer Lopez, You would have come up with Catherine Zeta Jones. Then if I said 'no' to her, you would have come up with my wife. Had I then said 'yes,' you would have given me all three. Lord, I am a poor man, and am not able to take care of all three wives, so THAT'S why I said yes to Jennifer

Lopez."

The moral of this story is: Whenever a man lies, it is for a good and honorable reason, and for the benefit of others.

That's their story, and they're sticking to it! - "IT OK FOR MARK AND STEVE TO LIE --- THEY ARE HONORABLE MEN !!!!!!"..
(riiiiiiiiiiiiight!)

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

## 965. Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 00:21:14 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131668474.863795.74590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123529

Getting down to the wire on WT Docket 05-235!

As of 5 PM EST on 10 November, there have been a total of 3,760 filings since 15 July 2005.

Of those, 3,703 were filed up to and including 31 August 2005, the official end of Comments. However, since the Federal Register notice of NPRM 05-143 wasn't made until 31 August, the official Comment period is from 31 August to 31 October. There were 1,721 filings made within the official Comment period.

The official Replies to Comments period is from 31 August to 14 November. In the period 1 November to 10 November, there have been 57 filings, only 9 of which are official (clearly indicated as Replies to Comments). All 9 Replies are FOR the NPRM. The official end of Replies to Comments is on Monday, 14 November 2005.

So far, there is no clear-cut consensus of any group for or against code-testing, nor for the in-betweeners wanting code testing for extras but allowing "lower" classes to be code test free. The percentage of filings just "of the amateur community" is only 0.82 to 0.29 percent of the total number of licensees, depending on who sets the judging criteria.*

Before the official start of Comments (31 Aug 05), filings FOR the NPRM were running about 2:1 in favor versus those against dropping all code testing. At the end of the official Comment period the ratio of dropping ALL code testing versus keeping some code testing was about 1:1. Of all 57 filings made after 31 August, all 9 official Replies to Comments were FOR the NPRM and ending all code testing; 4 were against the ARRL Comment of 31 Oct 05.

There are only four days left to make REPLIES to Comments on WT Docket 05-235. Ordinary Comments will be unofficial since the Comment period has expired.

* As of 10 Nov 05, www.handata.com shows 723,888 total individual licensees in U.S. amateur radio (less 9,757 club calls). Compared to about 3,471 total filings that are not duplicates of the same individual saying the same thing, the percentage of 3471 / 723888 = 0.82 percent. If only 5/6 of all 723,888 licensees are "valid" (within their 10-year term and not in the 2-year grace period), then total number of

"valid, operable" individual licensees would be 603,240.**
Given that there were only 1,730 filings made WITHIN the
OFFICIAL period then the percentage of filings to total
"amateur community" is 1730 / 603240 = 0.29 percent. One
can go nuts in establishing "correct criteria" without
determining anything of specific value. A slice of only
about a single percent as to the opinion of "the amateur
community" isn't a good statistical sampling of the
opinion of ALL.***

** The 5/6 value assumes that 1/6 of all licensees are IN
their grace period. It would be erroneous to accept that
since most living/able licensees renew BEFORE their 10-year
license terms are up. The total number of licensees with
valid (able to operate legally) licenses would be larger.
Compounding that is the fact of last year's license
numbers (at hamdata) showing 17,003 expirations versus
16,933 new licenses granted in the preceding year. The
amateur radio total license numbers have remained very
static for the last 2 years and several months.

*** FCC does not consider just those filings from licensed
radio amateurs to reach a decision on an NPRM. If it did
accept just those in the "clubhouse," then those individuals
not licensed would not be mentioned in Reports and Orders.
They are. All those who submitted filings on WT Docket
05-235 did so voluntarily and there are NO sampling rules
available to set any accuracy of results of a small
sampling of any opinion.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 966. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 00:22:58 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051110192258.416$ce@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 07:41:30 -0500, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>
> > You still haven't figured it out,
>
> I can say that again. How about trying some of your famous header
> (miss) reading on this post?
>
Fuck off, twat.

http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg

# 967. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 00:39:27 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131669567.587034.195930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123531

From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 2:42 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> >Many of us have sent him our congratulations.
>
>> Notice that Jimmie worded his sentence about "congratulations"
>> to NOT SPECIFICALLY SAY that J. P. Miccolis congratulated him.
>
>Your reading comprehension is a bad as ever, Len.

WRONG. It is excellent.

Jimmie, YOUR MISTAKE was using vague adjectives as descriptors.

"Many of us" does NOT specifically include YOU.

You know that very well. Or did, once the Nuns spanked your
knuckles.

Try as you might, you aren't going to win message points by
manufacturing falsehoods or even brags about your writing
ability, the ones where you CLAIM to have said something
when you OBVIOUSLY did NOT do so.

>Then let me say it another way:
>
>I and many others sent him our congratulations.

Prove that. Prove the number of "many others."

Did you get a "group hug" together or what? :-)

>Is that clear enough for ya?

Not really. All you've done is just more MISDIRECTION, trying
to avoid having obvious errors pointed out to you by saying
someone else "did wrong."

Now HOW DID YOU KNOW Carl was elected to anything? :-)

Don't give us some fogware bullshit about "you knew." The
results of the IEEE election were sent by private e-mail to
IEEE members on the same day as I posted the results.

You are NOT an IEEE member. I am a Life Member of the IEEE.

I will not expect any cogent answer from you. I will expect
some more fogware bullshit saying that *I* did something

"wrong" ("wrong" according to YOUR standards).

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 968. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 00:41:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131669706.840306.142510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123532

Some plain and simple facts:

1) As of November 9, 2005, the number of individuals holding current, unexpired FCC amateur radio licenses is 663,382.

2) Of those individuals who are interested enough in the issues to comment, a clear majority want the Morse Code test for General to be removed.

3) Of those individuals who are interested enough in the issues to comment, a clear majority want the Morse Code test for Extra to be retained.

4) FCC is not required to follow the majority of comments or other opinions, regardless of how large the majority is. FCC is only required to consider the commentary, not act on it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 969. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 00:54:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131670455.103900.184420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123533

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 2:42 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> >> >> >Many of us have sent him our congratulations.
> >> Notice that Jimmie worded his sentence about "congratulations"
> >> to NOT SPECIFICALLY SAY that J. P. Miccolis congratulated him.
> >
> >Your reading comprehension is a bad as ever, Len.
>
> WRONG. It is excellent.

Dream on, Len. You messed up.

> Jimmie, YOUR MISTAKE was using vague adjectives as descriptors.

> "Many of us" does NOT specifically include YOU.

Yes it does.

> You know that very well. Or did, once the Nuns spanked your
> knuckles.

> Try as you might, you aren't going to win message points by
> manufacturing falsehoods or even brags about your writing
> ability, the ones where you CLAIM to have said something
> when you OBVIOUSLY did NOT do so.

Gosh you're all upset and overwrought, Len.
>
> >Then let me say it another way:
> >
> >I and many others sent him our congratulations.
>
> Prove that. Prove the number of "many others."

Why? You won't accept it anyway.

> Did you get a "group hug" together or what? :-)

> >Is that clear enough for ya?
>
> Not really. All you've done is just more MISDIRECTION, trying
> to avoid having obvious errors pointed out to you by saying
> someone else "did wrong."

> Now HOW DID YOU KNOW Carl was elected to anything? :-)

I found out.

> Don't give us some fogware bullshit about "you knew."

Fogware bullshit is your specialty, Len. You're the acknowledged expert on that subject.

> The
> results of the IEEE election were sent by private e-mail to
> IEEE members on the same day as I posted the results.

I knew on November 7.

> You are NOT an IEEE member. I am a Life Member of the IEEE.

Well bully for you, Len.

You are NOT a radio amateur. I am an Amateur Extra class radio amateur, and have been since 1970.

Game, set, match.

> I will not expect any cogent answer from you.

You don't give "cogent answers", Len.

By the way, when WK3C was trying to get on the ballot for ARRL Director from the Atlantic Division, there were a number of people who tried to get the ARRL BoD and Executive Committee to allow him to run.

Guess who some of those people were.

## 970. More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 01:15:47 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131671747.609664.132150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123534

```
>From Ashley Thu Nov 10 16:42:54 2005
X-Apparently-To: toddaug555@yahoo.com via 68.142.201.201; Thu, 10 Nov
2005 16:47:54 -0800
X-Originating-IP: [66.102.15.83]
Return-Path: <vk3hag@yahoo.com>
Authentication-Results: mta325.mail.scd.yahoo.com from=yahoo.com;
domainkeys=neutral (no sig)
Received: from 66.102.15.83 (EHLO blogger.com) (66.102.15.83) by
mta325.mail.scd.yahoo.com with SMTP; Thu, 10 Nov 2005 16:47:54 -0800
Received: from bla21.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242]) by
blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 33E53196B4 for
<toddaug555@yahoo.com>; Thu, 10 Nov 2005 16:42:54 -0800 (PST)
Message-ID: <17255925.1131669774207.JavaMail.root@bla21.blogger.com>
From: "Ashley" <vk3hag@yahoo.com> Add to Address Book Add Mobile
Alert
To: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Subject: Litera-tuya: De Mario Benedetti: TÃ¡ctica y Estrategia
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
Date: Thu, 10 Nov 2005 16:42:54 -0800 (PST)
Content-Length: 505
Ashley has sent you a link to a weblog:

You are a fucking piece of ignorant shit, basement boy, but you aren't
out of my reach from here in Australia. Keep it up and I'm going to
have AB8MQ, Roger Wiseman, come over to your house and kick your ass.
You have been warned, basement boy.

Blog: Litera-tuya
Post: De Mario Benedetti: Táctica y Estrategia
Link:
http://litera-tuya.blogspot.com/2005/11/de-mario-benedetti-tctica-y-estrategia.html

--
Powered by Blogger
http://www.blogger.com/
```

## 971. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 02:36:50 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <9_idna5KFNhbnunenZ2dnUVZ_tmdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051110192258.416$ce@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
>> On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 07:41:30 -0500, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>>
>> > You still haven't figured it out,
>>
>> I can say that again. How about trying some of your famous header
>> (miss) reading on this post?
>>
> Fuck off, twat.

That's spelled "twistedhed". 8-))

--
Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

## 972. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 03:10:01 UTC
From: Lloyd Lloyd Lloyd Everywhere <Lloyd@lloydeverywherenet.net>
Message-ID: <dl11vb$5ju$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

kindly refrain from calling Roger a retard. I'll have you
know that Roger holds a correspondence Masters Degree from
DeVry, and he proudly posts it over his Fry Cook grill at
Burger King.

## 973. Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 03:17:01 UTC
From: Dr CB Nomore <cb@cbnomorefo.net>
Message-ID: <dl12cm$7bl$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123541
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
why do you hams bother with a license?
the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.
```

## 974. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 03:23:13 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <ZpudnWCOENpiYu7enZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123535

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> But you certainly *are* a cartoon, you fucking imbecile.
>

LOL at the Glendale retard!!!

## 975. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 03:25:46 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <ZpudnWOOENoFnenenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123536
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:

>
> Wrong again. But you make a career out of not knowing what the hell you
> arfe talking about, don't you?

Do you know what you 'arfe' talking about? LOL at the Glendale retard!!!

# 976. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 03:28:29 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <ZpudnWKOENqmnOnenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

DrDeath wrote:

> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of us
> and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
> FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which is
> all I am concerned with.

You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
>
>

# 977. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 03:28:58 UTC
From: Rick <w7rtrick@firstinter.net>
Message-ID: <11n83vu3gisib5b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

And since radio waves do not respect state or national
boundaries, why is it that you don't believe that Article I,
Section 8, Para 3 of the Constitution doesn't apply?

Rick T.
W7RT

Dr CB Nomore wrote:
> why do you hams bother with a license?
> the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
> radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.
>
>
>
>
>
>

# 978. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 03:33:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131680032.697265.66910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123543

From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 4:41 pm

>Some plain and simple facts:

<Jimmie style>

>1) As of November 9, 2005, the number of individuals holding current,
>unexpired FCC amateur radio licenses is 663,382.

Jimmie tell where he get information?

Jimmie he say, "FCC database!" :-)

Jimmie know that this date is 10 November, not yesterday?

Jimmie understand Comment period officially OVER?

Jimmie understant Replies to Comments period still
officially in process until last day, 14 November?

>2) Of those individuals who are interested enough in the issues to
>comment, a clear majority want the Morse Code test for General to be
>removed.

NPRM just about General class? No, NPRM about ALL classes.

Jimmie have funny idea of what "CLEAR majority" mean.

Jimmie use highly subjective morseman descriptors.

Jimmie not understand "plain and simple fact?"

>3) Of those individuals who are interested enough in the issues to
>comment, a clear majority want the Morse Code test for Extra to be
>retained.

NPRM just about Extra class? No, NPRM about ALL classes.

Jimmie have funny idea of what "CLEAR majority" mean.

Jimmie use highly subjective morseman descriptors.

Jimmie not understand "plain and simple fact?"

>4) FCC is not required to follow the majority of comments or other
>opinions, regardless of how large the majority is. FCC is only required
>to consider the commentary, not act on it.

Why Jimmie get big "classic johnson" turn-on with (1) through (3)
if (4) is operative?

Jimmie be "clear majority" of one. Always "right."

FCC do things THEIR way. Plain simple fact that.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 979. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 03:35:51 UTC
From: Ashley VK3HAG <vk3hag@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <rkUcf.14442$Hj2.6884@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 123544

1. Except, N9OGL, that all my emails come from an ISP address, (vk3hag at nerg dot asn dot au) not Yahoo-ever. I have a vk3hag@yahoo.com account, but haven't even logged onto it for more than a year or sent email from it since 1/5/05. In fact I am logging onto it right now for the first time since January this year. I have another, undisclosed Yahoo acct that I've used since January, without exception and it's why this message appeared when I logged in to the acct "vk3hag@yahoo.com" just now for the first time in 11 months which read:

---
Why is my account inactive?

Yahoo! Mail deactivated your mail account because:

* You have not logged into your mail account during the past four months; or
* You have requested that Yahoo! Mail deactivate your account.

What does this mean?

* All email messages, folders, attachments and preferences have been deleted and cannot be recovered.
* All messages sent to vk3hag@yahoo.com are being returned to the sender.
* You can still use your Yahoo! ID to access other registered services on Yahoo!.

---

If someone else on this n/g would like my log-in details for Yahoo, so I can prove that the post didn't come from me would be gr8, thx. I didn't go past the log-in screen.

2. So, either someone has logged into Yahoo as me to send you a post (I doubt it) or you sent a post from 'me' to you yourself , to try and justify your stupidity by making me out as a potty-mouthed idiot. I suspect Mt Todd, you posted that post yourself

3. I don't use foul language and potty mouthed statements to make a point...I prefer to use my good command of the English language to much better effect.

4. Besides seeing thier 'tag' in ng posts I have never heard of or spoken to AB8MQ, Roger Wiseman or anyone else in the States, as I'm sure they'll agree with.

5. The only emails I have sent to you or n/g was my original post, plus yesterday's reply.

6. Todd, In Australia, Ashley is a guy's name ONLY...I ain't a bloody shiela.

7. If I was going to post something potty, I would have called you a bloody poofta, faggot, queer, gay, for calling me a babe and toots when I'm a guy, wouldn't I?

8. If anyone else here would like to log in to my Yahoo Acct I'd be happy to let them (email vk3hag at nerg dot asn dot au)

9. At the time of the post (converting PST to AEDST) it was early morning our time, my pc was off and I was in bed asleep. I've heard of sleepwalking...but never sleepposting...perhaps it's a new thing.

10. Unlike the USA, all content of ALL mediums is regulated in Australia and that post could see me charged with "Making threats of violence" which carries a five-year gaol term. Which means that I can either ignore it at my own peril or immediatly make a report to the authorities, informing them of what is going on before they come asking, I chose the latter. (And yes, they will and do come knocking here!)

11. As I am 200% certain I didn't make that post, if the authorites did try to charge me, whomever made that post would find themselves being either tried in the US for it or sent to Australia to face the charges. You have been warned. Remember, Australia & US have recipricol agreements in many areas..including law. Ever heard of INTERPOL Todd?

12. If you did post that email to yourself Mr Todd, you have just been caught hacking my account....should I report this to USA authorities too ? Or just let the Aussie ones deal with it. How funny that would be Todd charged in the US by Australia! It seems now, Mr Todd, that authorities in countries other than your own want you to 'help them with their inquiries' oh dear!!

13. I thought N9OGL might have been clever enough to debate normally, but no instead he has to hack into my old, unused Yahoo Acct and make a stupid post appear as if I sent it.

N9OGL did achieve something. He has allowed me to see who the genuine people here are and who are the wankers. To be honest, I thought some of the other guys (woger, k4yz etc) here may have been wrong and that they were the rude ones and you Todd were just defending yourself. However, sicne you had to hack into my email account and be a dickhead it just proved beyond any reasonable doubt that what others here say about you would be at least 95% accurate, the only liar, cheat here being you, Mr Todd.

You just had a chance Mr Todd to prove your not the idiot, and ya blew it. I thought, maybe this Todd guy is all right, perhaps just misunderstood. I was totally wrong, you have just proven yourself to be one of the biggest wankers I have ever seen in my entire life.

14. The conclusion of that is, whatever I said I must have hit the nail right on the head as you had to quickly find a way, any way, to try and

discredit me, but you couldn't discredit what I had said in my posts through reasoned debate so you resort to childish behaviour and computer hacking.

15. If it wasn't you N9OGL, I sincerly apologise, but who the hell else would need or want to hack into my account to discredit me on this group, no one I suspect as I've never debated with anyone here but yourself and K1MAN as it is, and I'm sure the other guy's on here wouldn't hack into my account to send ya a message, they'd just send it from thier normal email addy.

16. So I sent an email from vk3hag@yahoo.com, which is an INACTIVE account..meaning it doesn't send or rx mail and hasn't for months.

17. If I can prove it was you Mr Todd who illegally used (read hacked into) an Australian-based email address you can expect a visit from the FCC on behalf of the Australian FCC (ACMA) with whom a formal complaint has already been lodged for hacking of my email account. So you might have gotten away with it doing it to usa-based n/g users, but to do the same actvities to Australians, from Australian-based Yahoo Accounts (yes, our ACMA confirmed that it's an Australian Yahoo address and therefore comes under our jurisdiction and our laws apply.) could see you charged. Check the web, plenty of Aussie citizens, corporations etc have already being charged for similar offences, even whilst doing so off-shore. Might have dug yaself a bit of hole there, mate.

18. The only down side is you'd probably be extradited to Australia for trial and I ain't want ya any bloody where near this country when you can be kept over a hemisphere away.... (Sorry to the 'normal' other guys on here)

19. Ever since an incident in Australia with some idiot who hacked into NASA years ago our ACMA and your FCC have worked together. That hacker was arrested, in Australia, by Aussie Police and 2 FCC Officials. Our ACMA, with US permission*, could arrest you in America. You have been warned.

*Given how much Mr Bush loves Mr Howard, I'd reckon the US would give the ACMA permission, do you?

Looking forward to calling CQ DX on 14.321 this Saturday. Now Mr Toad, wherever you go I may appear to...oops....

Ya good at making enemies real quick aren't ya.......What this world needs is not a war on terror but a war on wankers like Todd.

N9OGL wrote:
>>From Ashley Thu Nov 10 16:42:54 2005
> X-Apparently-To: toddaug555@yahoo.com via 68.142.201.201; Thu, 10 Nov
> 2005 16:47:54 -0800
> X-Originating-IP: [66.102.15.83]
> Return-Path: <vk3hag@yahoo.com>
> Authentication-Results: mta325.mail.scd.yahoo.com from=yahoo.com;

> domainkeys=neutral (no sig)
> Received: from 66.102.15.83 (EHLO blogger.com) (66.102.15.83) by
> mta325.mail.scd.yahoo.com with SMTP; Thu, 10 Nov 2005 16:47:54 -0800
> Received: from bla21.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242]) by
> blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 33E53196B4 for
> <toddaug555@yahoo.com>; Thu, 10 Nov 2005 16:42:54 -0800 (PST)
> Message-ID: <17255925.1131669774207.JavaMail.root@bla21.blogger.com>
> From: "Ashley" <vk3hag@yahoo.com> Add to Address Book Add Mobile
> Alert
> To: toddaug555@yahoo.com
> Subject: Litera-tuya: De Mario Benedetti: TÃ¡ctica y Estrategia
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
> Date: Thu, 10 Nov 2005 16:42:54 -0800 (PST)
> Content-Length: 505
> Ashley has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
> You are a fucking piece of ignorant shit, basement boy, but you aren't
> out of my reach from here in Australia. Keep it up and I'm going to
> have AB8MQ, Roger Wiseman, come over to your house and kick your ass.
> You have been warned, basement boy.
>
> Blog: Litera-tuya
> Post: De Mario Benedetti: Tï¿½ctica y Estrategia
> Link:
> http://litera-tuya.blogspot.com/2005/11/de-mario-benedetti-tctica-y-estrategia.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/
>

# 980. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 03:36:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131680190.143756.90310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123545

From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 4:54 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 2:42 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> >> >Many of us have sent him our congratulations.
>
>> >> Notice that Jimmie worded his sentence about "congratulations"
>> >> to NOT SPECIFICALLY SAY that J. P. Miccolis congratulated him.
>
>> >Your reading comprehension is a bad as ever, Len.
>
>> WRONG. It is excellent.
>
>Dream on, Len. You messed up.

Oh, oh...it was bound to happen...J.P. Miccolis is becoming
a clone of Dudly the Imposter!

Tsk, everyone ELSE "makes mistakes," Jimmie doesn't.

>> Jimmie, YOUR MISTAKE was using vague adjectives as descriptors.
>> "Many of us" does NOT specifically include YOU.
>
>Yes it does.

Tsk..."many of us" doesn't say anything specific at all.

What does/do "us" refer to?

How many is "many?" :-)

>> >I and many others sent him our congratulations.
>
>> Prove that. Prove the number of "many others."
>
>Why? You won't accept it anyway.

Jimmie: "Waaaa...waaaa...big bad Len don't accept it!"

:-)

>> Now HOW DID YOU KNOW Carl was elected to anything? :-)
>
>I found out.

Tsk...how did you "find out?"

Someone sent you a morse code message on 40 meters? :-)

You had your crystal ball plugged in and saw the news? :-)

>> Don't give us some fogware bullshit about "you knew."
>
>Fogware bullshit is your specialty, Len. You're the acknowledged expert
>on that subject.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...Jimmie say NON-specifics with NO answers,
and is uncivil on top of that. :-)

Let me repeat, HOW did you FIND OUT?

>> The
>> results of the IEEE election were sent by private e-mail to
>> IEEE members on the same day as I posted the results.
>
>I knew on November 7.

HOW did you FIND OUT?

>> You are NOT an IEEE member. I am a Life Member of the IEEE.
>
>Well bully for you, Len.

[a decided lack of sincerity there...:-) ]

>You are NOT a radio amateur. I am an Amateur Extra class radio
>amateur, and have been since 1970.

I am a radio professional. I was granted a First Class
Radiotelephone (Commercial) radio operator license in 1956
and kept it renewed.

I've been a professional in radio since 1953, beginning with
HF communictions.

I've been a hobbyist in electronics as well, beginning in
1947. Still am.

BTW, "electronics" INCLUDES radio. Radio is a subset within
all of electronics.

Have you been an editor of an amateur radio specialty
publication? I was an Associate Editor at Ham Radio magazine.
[look on the mastheads]

Jimmie say I have "smallest johnson ever made." Not nice.

My johnson all natural ingredients, not manufactured.

>Game, set, match.

Tsk, tsk, why are you quoting a Len Deighton novel trilogy?

Is/was author Len Deighton a UK or Irish radio amateur?

>> I will not expect any cogent answer from you.
>
>You don't give "cogent answers", Len.

Tsk, you don't give good question, Jimmie.

You don't give good answer.

Jimmie just not good.

Jimmie want answers that please him. Too bad you not get them.

Tsk.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 981. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 03:40:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131680427.296926.312160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123546
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dr CB Nomore wrote:
> why do you hams bother with a license?
> the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
> radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.

nope the supremacy clause applies first

It goes that treaties are the supreme law of the land now prior to the ITU treaty ( or what ever what is now called the ITU treaty was caled then) I would agree the 10th likwely applies only the elsatic clause could apply to Ham radio certainly not the comerece clause (Comerce law was use to regulate the ARS at first but I beleiev that was legaly incorrect

but importantly the FCC holds the power of the gun so to speak wether the FCC is in or out of its constitutional power for the present IT hold the power to enforce liecnsure of the ARS

## 982. Re: The reason for all the rrap "Liars"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 03:49:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131680948.719534.188230@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123547

Iitoi wrote:
cut

> The moral of this story is: Whenever a man lies, it is for a good and
> honorable reason, and for the benefit of others.
>
> That's their story, and they're sticking to it! - "IT OK FOR MARK AND
> STEVE TO LIE --- THEY ARE HONORABLE MEN !!!!!!"..
> (riiiiiiiiiiiiight!)

I don't claim that at all although Stevie might if he was honest enough
to admit he did lie. I lie ebcuase it is not safe to to tell the truth
about some things in the USA today, not an esp noble reason, but the
right to LIFE is cetral in american thought. to exercise my rights to
free speech in the nation we live today and also defend my right to
LIVE, I feel based in part sadly on the behavoir of Stevie and Dave et
al over the past few years,Must wrt to matter that can be used to
track me down

Stevie OTOH does seem to think his mistatement become true when uttered
in the name of cause he considers noble
>
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

# 983. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 04:48:27 UTC
From: Nurse Lada Boobs <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com>
Message-ID: <13b94$4374229a$d1cc7a87$3394@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 123548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE

k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !

I mean it folks.

One minute he's biting your ankles like
an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
and then wants to lick you like a puppy.

This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.

For Glen's own good, I really hope he's on Prozac, Paxil, Luvox,
Valium or some other orally administered psychotropic drug.

If not I am afraid he'll show up on the 6:00 news some night as
the latest ham op who went apeshit someplace......(you know
hams...their usually crazy SOB's to begin with !!)

# 984. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 04:51:06 UTC
From: Nurse Lada Boobs <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com>
Message-ID: <61fc0$43742337$d1cc7a87$3433@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 123549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:ebb5n19tdf62uv1grbgjd4qvsm3iqf6ne7@4ax.com...
> Is it my imagination, or has the topic suddenly been filled with sock
> puppets?

Not to worry Al.

(...unless of course the sock puppets start talking all by themselves
to their owner at 1:00 in the morning...THEN I would be VERY
concerned - especially if their conversations are like those of "Mr Hat"
from Southpark)

: )

## 985. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 04:53:29 UTC
From: Nurse Lada Boobs <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com>
Message-ID: <4ba77$437423c6$d1cc7a87$3488@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 123550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dr CB Nomore" <cb@cbnomorefo.net> wrote in message
news:dl12cm$7bl$0@pita.alt.net...
> why do you hams bother with a license?
> the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
> radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.

....some people say it also means you don't have to pay Federal Income
Taxes also!

...and look where THAT story landed Irwin Schiff recently!!

: )

# 986. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 05:06:08 UTC
From: Nurse Lada Boobs <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com>
Message-ID: <19f29$437426c2$d1cc7a87$3806@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 123552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Modemac" <modemac@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131655632.236824.305740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> MIT study reveals that aluminum foil headwear actually amplifies
> certain frequency bands allocated to the US government, as well as a
> mobile phone range, and is largely ineffective through the rest of the
> radio spectrum:
>
> http://people.csail.mit.edu/rahimi/helmet/
>

This is SCARY SHIT folks !!

Quoting from the above link:

"Among a fringe community of paranoids, aluminum helmets (tinfoil hats)
serve
as the protective measure of choice against invasive radio signals. We
investigate
the efficacy of three aluminum helmet designs on a sample group of four
individuals. Using a $250,000 network analyser, we find that although on
average all helmets attenuate invasive radio frequencies in either
directions (either emanating from an outside source, or emanating from
the cranium of the subject), certain frequencies are in fact greatly
amplified.
These amplified frequencies coincide with radio bands reserved for
government use according to the Federal Communication Commission (FCC).
Statistical evidence suggests the use of helmets may in fact enhance
the government's invasive abilities. We theorize that the government
may in fact have started the helmet craze for this reason..."

---

Jeebzus-H-Christ on a kaiser roll with cream cheeze folks !!!!
Perhaps the loons on domestic short-wave radio who have
been selling those grossly overpriced questionable gold coins, dollar
store LED keychain lights for $49.95 as "Berkie Illuminators"
and colloidal silver south pole magnetic piss-water as magic
curatives for baldness.....were right all along!

(Alex Jones must be whacking off like a chimpanzee with a bunch of
bananas in a glass cage at the Cleveland zoo right now.....)

# 987. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 05:06:20 UTC
From: Democrats are Losers <abc@abcdemocratsidiots.org>
Message-ID: <dl18pd$4ls$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Thank you "doctor." Now wander off in the corner and
play with your ink spot cards.

"Nurse Lada Boobs" <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com> wrote in message
news:13b94$4374229a$d1cc7a87$3394@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
>
>
> k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !
>
> I mean it folks.
>
> One minute he's biting your ankles like
> an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
> he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
> and then wants to lick you like a puppy.
>
> This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.
>
> For Glen's own good, I really hope he's on Prozac, Paxil, Luvox,
> Valium or some other orally administered psychotropic drug.
>
> If not I am afraid he'll show up on the 6:00 news some night as
> the latest ham op who went apeshit someplace......(you know
> hams...their usually crazy SOB's to begin with !!)
>
>

# 988. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 05:12:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131685950.581213.266370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123553

First off you piece of shit, and let me tell me tell right now I have more repect for a piece of feces then I do for you. To start, I'm sorry for the name thing, but you've got to understand that over here Ashley is strictly a FEMALE NAME. The male counterpart is ASH, If you don't believe me I'll be more then happy then to send you a fucking baby name book and you can see for your fucking self. I went to school with four ashley's and they were all fucking FEMALE. I'll would of explained it too you nicely but no you had to be a fucking prick, so now I'm going to be a fucking prick. Secondly no one hacked your account at yahoo, if you could read the header it came from blogger.com, which is owned by google. For at the lease past year K4YZ and I have been recieving this "hate mail" from blogger.com. it was so bad that k4yz had me removed from the internet because of these "fake" emails. So go ahead and call INTERPOL, I'll be more then happy to talk to them and directed them to those fucking assholes at google's then perhaps all this shit will stop. Google's has it to where they put sites up or buys sites and don't do anything with them in regards to control. (for example on blogger.com google's could but up something on their out going mail that gives the senders real ip address, something they don't have) google can stop it but don't, just like this newsgroup, i'm using google's and they don't control any of the content as a matter of fact unless you spam a newsgroup really bad they won't do squat. Then i'll send google's for having me kicked of the interent with those fake emails.
That's all I have to say to you spat.

Todd N9OGL

# 989. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 05:15:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GNVcf.348$c27.106@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123554

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>>> On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 05:17:15 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>>> wrote:
> cut
>
>>>>>> How does your imprecision with words become my splitting of hairs?
>>>>> no imprecision with word occoured therefore of course that is not what
>>>>> became your spliting of hairs
>>>> Based upon your two lines above, I rest my case.
>>> what case?
>> Are you narcoleptic?
> no
>
>> Do you recall my comments about your imprecision
>> with words? You proved it above. See your terms "occoured" and "spliting".
>
> wrong again I simply proved I suffer from Dyslexia and what the doc
> termed Dyslexiod aphasia

Interesting but it doesn't change what I wrote. You are imprecise with
the written word.

> I used the terms pricisely

You didn't even use the word "precisely" precisely.

> cut

>>> the LAW say the issues you claim are not important
>> What issues did I claim are not important?
>
> you did not
>
> I did

That isn't what you wrote. You are imprecise in the use of the written
word.

> but the issues refer to are my sexuality and my alleged criminality
>
> both are legaly irrelevant in this matter

That has yet to be determined.

>> I think
>> you are going to be surprised.
>
> no suprise indeed in making my report they will told of these "issues"
> since knowing the turth will be requiredin order to explain Stevie
> actions

That'll be great.

>> You don't believe that U.S. states generally follow the laws they have
>> enacted?

> not always the US is better about it than most nation but I believe
> that the US is still imperfect, and liekly always will be to some
> extent.
>
> I also know that one of the areas in which the US most often shows its
> imperfections is in the treatement of those of its people that are
> "defferent" in some way

Oh, you're "defferent" okay.

>>>>>> Your past fabrications
>>>>>> regarding your military service and lies on numerous other topics,

>>>>> what lies on other topics?

>>>> C'mon, Mark! You've lied about any number of things here. You've lied
>>>> about your military service and I don't mean just a single lie. You've
>>>> lied to cover lies. It isn't like the stuff isn't archived. There are
>>>> records of your lies and records of your lies uncovered.

>>> wrong Dave like Stevie you think disagreeing with you is lying

>> No, I don't believe that disagreeing is lying.

> yes you do

No, Mark, that is simply incorrect. In fact, I just told you so previously.

>> Lying is lying and
>> you've done it time and again.

> yes I have so have you and so has Stevie

Keep in mind that you've just admitted to being a liar. Further down
the post, you'll deny it.

>> You aren't credible.

> Why? I am as credible as Stevie or you.

No, Mark, you aren't. You have a number of issues. Lying is just one
of them.

> you lie you have lied within the past 24 hrs about my claims as to
> income

So you say. I admitted to being mistaken.

> Stevie has lied he has said I have aids, that I engage in unpropected
> sex, that I have admited to child mosting

Did you admite to child mosting?

> we are on the same footing

No, Mark, we assuredly are not.

>> There are archived
>> posts which prove that you've lied.

> as their are for Stevie lots of them

You justify your lies by pointing to someone else?

>>> I have lied about my rank and that is all I have told some lies that
>>> have lead Stevie off on wild goose chases about my identity that is it

>> Yes, you certainly have lied.

> agreed

Keep in mind that you've agreed that you have lied. Further in the
post, you deny it.

> cut repating yourself get your stuff dumped

I don't need repating, Mark. I have a full head of hair. By the way,
you don't really think my words are dumped when you cut them in a reply,
do you? I checked and my original post is still there. All of my words
are intact.

>>> how do these facts allow Stevie to claim someone has AIDS isn't lie
>>> about medical matters?

>> Is Steve acting in a medical capacity here?

> that is one of the 2 issues for the BoN to decide

Is it?

> I would say Yes, as soon as he claims he is making a PROFESSION opinion
> he is acting in medical capcity

I've seen newsgroup posts where folks profess belief that a New World
Order will result in global government, that the President and Vice-
President planned the attacks on the World Trade Center and that someone
has invented a miracle penis enlarger. I put no credence in any of them.

> as soon as HE gives medical advice to people that KNOW he is an LPN is
> is
>
> as soon as he claims to have used the materail here to act
> professionaly he is

>
> the BoN will make its ruling and act accordingly

Maybe it will and maybe it'll write you off as a crackpot.

> If I am right Stevie will suffer some kind of scantion, he may lose his
> license

Maybe he will and maybe he won't.

> if Stevie is right nothing will happen to him and no harm done

I wouldn't bank on that.

>>> how does that allow Stevie to LIE about the procedure of BoN so he can
>>> try to frighten someon into not reporting him?
>> I've not noted Steve attempting to frighten "someon" into not reporting
>> him.
> then you have not been reading
>
>> I have observed his warnings to you on what is likely to take
>> place after you've done so.
>
> and he is lying about it

I wouldn't bank on that either.

>>> deal with reality Dave if you can
>> Mark, I don't think you are in a position to tell others about reality.
>
> right you don't think but I knew that

That can't be right. You told me that you can't be absolutely sure of
anything.

> cut

>>>> You've lied about your work.
>> Were you ever in the Chemical Corps of the United States Army?

> yes

> cutting unsuported bs

>>>> You've lied about your income.
>>> no i have not
>>>
>>> I have never stated my income you dolt
>> Haven't you?
>
> no I have not

Okay, you've lied about your potential income.

>>> therefore having never made such a statement I have never lie within
>>> such a statement

>> So the way we can tell if you aren't lying is because you haven't said
>> anything?

> not exactly but yuou can assume I making statments I have not made

We'll have to wait for the exact translation of that one.

>>>> You've lied about your trained pool of
>>>> typists.

>>> nope

>> Sure you have, Mark. You told us that you have a trained pool of
>> typists. You later said that you couldn't have one of 'em type
>> something up because of the expense of paying her.

> never said that
>
> I siad I choose not to pay for the time not that I could not pay for it

Read what I wrote. Please point to the portion where I wrote that you
"could not pay for it".

> that is the problem you and Stevie take a statement, apply a host of
> assumetion and then claim I said what you think was implied in the
> first statement and run off from there

No, that isn't the problem. You responded to what I wrote and said I'd
written something I hadn't written.

>>>> You're a fabricator.

>>> when it serves to make me safer yes long ago admited

Remember that you wrote that. Further along in the post, you'll deny it.

>> There you have most of it. You also lie when it is convenient or when
>> it suits you.
>
> not exactly

You're waffling now, Mark.

>>>>> by 7 yars of silence from the DoD I can legaly assume the assent of the
>>>>> DoD and the FBI to that

>>>> How does one legally assume?

>>> by doing so

>> How does one go about doing so?

> by doing so

That's a circular response. I'd expect better of an individual with an
IQ of 248.

>>>>> I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago

>>>> If that isn't another of your lies, it is a start. Why not call 'em and
>>>> report yourself again?

>>> why report myself again? esp as No rime was comitted Stevei greatly
>>> over simplifies anything when he tlaks about the law

>> If no "rime" was "comitted", why'd you report yourself? What are you
>> "tlaking" about?

> I discussed the matter as part of reporting stevies death threats

I see. You told the FBI that you'd reported yourself to the FBI?

> why does everyting have to be repeated for you

...because the answers keep moving in a veritable carousel of untruth.

>>>>>> your
>>>>>> ideas on sexual fun--you don't think those might lessen your credibility?

>>>>> the State of TN requires that I not recieve any discrimination based on
>>>>> my sexuality so yes I expect that Tennesse to obey its own rules

>>>> Fine, Colonel. You can dress in full uniform and have a little game of
>>>> beat me/spank me/pee on me.

>>> and None of the is legaly something the BoN may consider

>> ...you "legally assume". Those things would seem to go toward
>> establishing the credibility of an accuser. Do you think you'd be seen
>> as credible?

> they seem might to , to you, but the law ,and the BoN say otherwise

You'd have to show that the law and the Tennessee Board of Nursing say
otherwise. I'm afraid I can't accept your word.

> other than some kind of Illegal sex ( and I think all that is left in
> feild is sex with kidds, animals and corpses and rape in the legal
> sense of the word) the rest does not matter, legaly

...and the other kinds don't matter to you morally?

>>> you are a prude, but the LAW does not allow you to enforce that on
>>> everyone else

>> A prude, Mark?

> yes a prude.

> if you prefer another label put it forward with your reasons and I'll
> consider using it

>> Do you think I'm part of some tiny minority which
>> approves of your lifestyle?
>
> no

Then if your sexual practices fall outside what society finds
"acceptable", I'm no prude.

>>> grow up and get over it Dave

>> I'm fully grown and nothing compels me to get over anything.
>
> you don't act fully grown, but you are right you don't have to get over
> anything but it is good advice

If you were the very last guy left in this newsgroup, I'd not be taking
advice from you.

>>> you don't know what I can or will do

>> About the only things I can reasonably expect is that it won't be 1)
>> reasonable, 2) rational or 3) truthful
>
> more BS Dave

Not at all, Mark. It is gospel. Base upon reading your material here
and elsewhere, those are the things I expect.

>>> Indeed just for illustration if i happened to paranoid threat to
>>> myself and other Stevie has claimed to be "his professional opinion"
>>> (and if anything gets him besides mouthing to an investagtor those
>>> words wil be it) pushing proding trying to rerate someone like risk
>>> his own life and you would be risking your iF I were what Stevie says
>>> I am

>> I don't believe you to be rational,

> If Stevie were corect in his Professional assement you should be.

Are you now telling me that I should be afraid of you?

> If I am nuts or irtaional of what have I am much more dangerous to you
> than If I am

Are you now telling me that I should be afraid of you?

> the rest of the paragraph cut off at the tangent
> .

You cut yourself off before writing the tangent?

>>> you nor I can know very much about a person based on the degree on
>>> contact you have with me

>> I know enough about you that I'd not want to hang out with you, work
>> with you or have a series of QSOs about what interests you.

> ture enough and I can say the same of you of course
> cut

>>>> Are you too lazy to do anything for yourself?

>>> No

>> Then hop to it.

> to what?

>>>> Write letters to the
>>>> editor of your paper.

>>> why?

>> ...to tell him that I've been mean to you.
>
> to what end?

...both ends.

> cut

>>> you do have such a rich fantasy life Dave

>> Do you think I made up the part about reading a newspaper? having a
>> nearby TV station? What part do you believe to be fantasy?
>
> that you state I should find either the proper venue to deal with you
> cut

Why'd you cut your words before you completed the thought? Either the
proper venue to deal with me or what?

>>> if you have Stevie has if Stevie has he will likely lose his licens or
>>> be oteherwise punished

>> That doesn't track, Colonel.

> sure does
>
>> I'm not Steve
>
> are you certain it is hard to tell some days

I'm sure that's the case for you. If it will help you, I sign my posts
"Dave K8MN".

>> . I made a diagnosis.

>> See if you can have my license revoked or have me "oteherwise" punished.

> First I'll try to deal with Stevie

> he has a license to revoke you don't

...that you know of. Hey! I have an amateur radio license. Why not
see if you can get it revoked.

> further becuase of his licnese he more dangerous to me in my judegment,

You have a record of finding people to be dangerous to you.

> futher still dealing with Stevie liecense which he uses to obtain
> criedbilty will alos lessen yours to say much the same thing

That's some faulty logic you've got there, Mark.

> Addtionaly I have a plan that I hope will deal Stevie a suitable
> punishment, I don't have such a plan w.r.t. you

It is probably wise of you not to have formulated such a plan.

> therefore logicaly I should continue to focous on Stevie and I will

There's not much evidence of focus on your part.

>>> but you are fool if you I am going to take on everyone at once

>> I cringe to think what that might mean.

> you should

Why? Is the reality worse than I can imagine?

>>> Stvie has pissed me off enough and he is far greater danger from a
>>> medical practice issue than ANYONE in the NG

>> Right. Lots of people get their medical information from some guy
>> posting in an amateur radio newsgroup.
>
> some one that was talkingabout crufing me based on what he read in NG
> did get it from Stevie

Crufing you? I'll bet that hurts.

>>>>> BTW why don't you stop your lying about addresing a Colonel Dave

>>>> Oh, weren't you a Colonel in the U.S. Army Chemical Corps anymore?

>>> right said years ago
>>> I wasn;t a colonel, only YOU and stevie have been trying to continue
>>> that lie other the years

>> You've accused me of lying in addressing you as "Colonel". You were the
>> person who told us that you were a Colonel. That must have been *you*
>> doing the lying.

> you contiue to lie after being told the truth

With you, no one can be sure of when you tell the truth and when you
lie. It appears that you lie until you are caught at it. Afterward,
you may come clean or you may tell another lie to cover the original lie.

> further you have never had much reason to tell the lie

Get it straight, Colonel. I didn't tell the lie. You did.

> I have admit to the lie

Yep, to that one.

>> Were you in the United States Army Chemical Corps?

> asked and answered yes

How can I believe you?

>>>> Was
>>>> that a bald-faced lie? Was your IQ of 248, higher than that of any other
>>>> living person, also a lie?

>>> nope it was the result of test done many years ago

>> ...and the test revealed that you have a higher IQ than the woman
>> recognized as having the highest tested IQ?

> can't addrss you issue without more data

You can't address the issue? Did anyone ever tell you that you had the highest tested IQ on the planet? Perhaps you need a little more time to polish the follow up lie.

Here's some data for you ( from http://www.audiblox.com/iq_scores.htm )

IQ Description % of Population
130+ Very Superior 2.2
120-129 Superior 6.7
110-119 High Average 16.1
90-109 Average 50
80-89 Low Average 16.1
70-79 Borderline 6.7
Below 70 Extremely Low 2.2

from: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Marilyn_vos_Savant

"Marilyn vos Savant (born August 11, 1946) is an American magazine columnist, author, lecturer, and playwright who rose to fame through her listing in the Guinness Book of World Records under "Highest IQ". Since 1986 she has written Ask Marilyn, a Sunday column in Parade magazine in which she answers questions from readers on a variety of subjects..."

"...Her Stanford-Binet score is discussed in a 1989 New York magazine article by Julie Baumgold (Baumgold 1989). Marilyn took the Stanford-Binet when she was ten years old; this was the Second Edition of the test, published in 1937. The Stanford-Binet at that time yielded ratio IQs: scores obtained by dividing mental age (as assessed by the test) by chronological age, and multiplying by 100. Marilyn says she first took the test in September 1956, at the age of 10 years and 0 months, and achieved the ceiling mental age of 22 years and 10 months,

yielding an IQ of 228. This was the score listed by Guinness, this is the score she gives in interviews, and this is the score shown in the "About the Author" section of her books. Rounding it up produces the value of 230 which sometimes appears."

"The figure of 167+ comes from a school record cited by Baumgold indicating that Marilyn took the Stanford-Binet in March 1957, at the age of 10 years and 8 months, and achieved a mental age of "17-10+" (meaning at least 17 years and 10 months). It is unclear how the recorded chronological age was derived; dates in March are 6 or 7 months from her August birthday, not 8. It is also unclear how this record relates to the account given in the previous paragraph. The Stanford-Binet at that time had two forms (Form L and Form M), so one possibility is that Marilyn took the test twice."

"The figure of 218 was derived by psychometrician Ronald K. Hoeflin, using a chronological age of 10 years and 6 months, and a mental age of 22 years and 11 months. This figure seems to have no obvious rationale. The ceiling of the Second Edition of the Stanford-Binet was 22 years and 10 months, not 11 months (Terman 1937), and a chronological age of 10 years and 6 months corresponds neither to the age in Marilyn's account nor to the age in the school record cited by Baumgold (although it could fit a March test date)."

"The second intelligence test mentioned by Guinness is the Mega Test, designed by Hoeflin and taken by Marilyn as an adult in the mid-1980s. The Mega Test yields deviation IQs: scores obtained by multiplying the testee's z-score (the rarity of their raw score on the test) by a constant standard deviation (in this case 16) and then adding 100. Marilyn's raw score was 46 out of 48, corresponding in the latest norming of the test to a z-score of 5.4 and therefore an IQ of 186, a percentile of 99.999997, and a rarity of 1 in 30,000,000 (Hoeflin 1989)."

Now, is there anything you'd care to clarify about your claimed IQ?

>>>> Was your salary of 200-some thousand dollars
>>>> a lie?
>>> never said my salery was 200K I said I turned a job that would paid
>>> 240K, and I did do that
>> My mistake.
>
> no it was YOUR lie

NO, Mark, it was my mistake. I confused your supposed job offer with the claim of actual employment.

>> prhaps your claim about turning down "240k" has a built-in
>> fudge factor

> I turned a job overing 240,000.00$ per year becuase I did not need the
> money badly enough to tkae the risk

Sure you did, Mark.

> you assumed the rest

Sometimes you just have to tkae the risk.

>>> indeed if you are ever being truthfull yourself ain your claims you
>>> don't understand my writting you can't realy claim you know anything I
>>> say

>> With your writing and your refusal to use spell checking, no one can
>> know much of anything you are trying to say.

> then you agree

If you think I've agreed, then your reading is as bad as your writing.

> meaning you are making statements based on materail you admt you don't
> understand, meaning you are lying when you claim I make any statement
> beyond a direct in context quote
>
> period
>
>>>>>> As to the accusations of bigotry on
>>>>>> my part, deal with it.

>>>>> I will of course

>>>> You haven't demonstrated that you are able to do so.

>>> where?

>> Anywhere.

> where

It has been answered, Mark.

>>> Yoou two carries these chips on your shoulders thinking everyone
>>> around must confrom toyour expectations

>> "Yoou two carries"? Phew! I've never asked for any special
>> accommodations or revisions in regulations, standards or commonly
>> accepted conduct in my life.
>
> neither have I

Yes, you have, Mark.

> I have asked for the same rights as everyone else. most esp the right
> to live my life in peace and safety without nosey pikers like yourself
> poking into what is none of your affiar

Are you posting to a public newsgroup? Have you posted to public
newsgroups catering to those with peculiar sexual desires? Would a man
who demands a "right to live my life in peace and safety without nosey
pikers like yourself poking into what is none of your affiar (sic), be
doing so?

>> You're a real non-confromist, Mark.
>
> ok I can live with that label, carries a few overtones of usages I
> don't like but it isn't bad

When spelled your way, it carries more than a few.

> the question is so what that I am (a nonconformist)?

Oh, now you want to be a non-conformist!

>>>>>> I view your sexual perversity as being immoral.

>>>>> what sexual perverity?

>> Not perverity, Mark. Perversity. You know--yours.

> none here no prevesity at all

Not "prevesity", Mark. Perversity.

>>>> Aren't you the same Mark Morgan who is into bisexual S&M stuff with a
>>>> little urination and defecation thrown in for good measure?

>>> again what sexual perverity

>> Again, not perverity, Mark. Perversity. Start with the ones I asked
>> about above.

> what prevestity?

No, not "prevestity", Mark. I wrote about your perversity.

> nothing I consider preverse in your list at all one come under the
> heading of "not my kink" not nothing preverse in the list

Perverse, Mark, not "preverse" and I'm not talking of your standards, I
mean societal standards.

>>> You by my starndard are the prevert

>> I'm sure that you believe that. The world doesn't seem to operate on
>> your "starnard".
>
> nor do I think it has to

Lucky for the world.

> you OTOH think the world and everyone in MUST confrom to yours

Society says that there are things which you can and can't do. There
are things you can and can't say.

>>>>>> I've seen evidence of your frequent lying.

>>>>> really you see thing that don't exist then wow prehaps help is out
>>>>> there for you if you seek it

>>>> There's the story of your life, Mark.

>>> nope perhaps yours from all apearances

>> I don't have a history of lying, Mark. You do.

> you certainly do

> well documented

That is yet another falsehood on your part.

> indeed your history of lying is far better archived on RRAP than mine

Mark, you're making one fabrication after another.

>> You tell all, "your probelm".
>
> not exactly since it is only your problem if you want to read my stuff,
> nobdoy is making you.

Is Mister Nobdoy in charge of newsgroup enforcement?

> Is someone making you?

Perhaps Mister Nobdoy compels you to read my posts.

> I keep asking and you keep ducking the question

To paraphrase you: I owe you no response.

>>> Killfle me that is your previeledge

>> Thanks for illustrating my point.
>
> no it illustrates MINE

Your point is that you can't write clearly?

>>>> ....r and it is the problem of everyone else.

>>> not everyones Dave only those that CHOOSE to try and read my stuff

>> Isn't the point that you are attempting to communicate with others?

> other yes, not realy you or Stevie though, or Dee Flint

> My target is the lurker population out there

...and the lurkers will be able to read and comprehend your gibberish,
but no one else can. How can I compete with logic such as that?

> you and the rest are idea and text to play and use to help me make my
> point to my target

The rest of us are idea and text and you believe you are making a point
to a target? There's more of that 248 IQ at work.

>> Would you have us believe that you don't care if others can't make sense
>> of your writing ......
>
> yes I have said so many times

So now you don't care about your target audience?

>> ....or that they find it laborious to decipher it?
>
> no but you asked the worng question

> I find it desirable that those that choose to read it find laborious to
> read

So the target audience isn't supposed to read your posts but they'll
understand you anyway? Hmmmm.

> Indeed my motive amusingly goes right to haert of a ProCode arguement
> "if you don't work it for you don't value it"

That's some amusement. You come off like an idiot because you don't
work at writing and that's supposed to hit the PCTA right in the
breadbasket, huh?

> therefore since being rough to read is easier path for me anyway by
> going with that flow I feel i reach a segement that is receptive the No
> Coder PoV and isn't geting it from the easier to read spokes people

Tell you what Mark, that has to be one of the dumbest statements I've
ever read from anyone.

> that my foes also find it hard to read I find yet an added Bonus

Pretty slick, Mark, and you've told us you don't care if your target
reads your stuff. So, the only person who is supposed to read your
stuff is....you?

>>> you are showing signs of meglomania in claiming your veiwpoint is the
>>> only viewpoint

>> Right, Mark. Nothing is right or wrong, good or bad. There are only
>> shades of gray.
>
> exactly glad you agree or more precisely nothing is ALWAYS good or bad,
> even murder myhem, have their proper uses the problem with murder is
> not that it is never a good thing, it is that it is used in far too
> many cases where it is a bad thing

Oh, I'm not agreeing with you, Mark, just the opposite. I firmly
believe that murder is not a gray area. The one point I'll concede is
on the topic of your hem. Both above the knee and below the knee are
"in" this fall.

>>>> You tell numerous lies

>>> wrong

>> Wrong? You admitted it above in the very same posting!
>
> no i don't I lie but i quuible on the details

You may now refer to the portions above where you admitted directly to lying.

Dave K8MN

# 990. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 05:18:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yQVcf.351$c27.116@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123555

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Now HOW DID YOU KNOW Carl was elected to anything? :-)
>
> Don't give us some fogware bullshit about "you knew." The
> results of the IEEE election were sent by private e-mail to
> IEEE members on the same day as I posted the results.
>
> You are NOT an IEEE member. I am a Life Member of the IEEE.

There must be a leak at the IEEE. I knew about it too.

Dave K8MN

# 991. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 06:21:44 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <eeOdnT632fflpenenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123559
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 19:40:27 -0800, an old friend wrote:

>
> Dr CB Nomore wrote:
>> why do you hams bother with a license?
>> the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
>> radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.

The USA signed the ITU treaty thus we have internationally agreed to it's requirements, one of which is to prove that anybody operating an Amateur Radio Station meets the minimum proficiency requirements as outlined in that treaty. The US congress ratified the the agreement, making it official and legally binding, and they have delegated the authority to enforce the treaty requirements to a federal agency, a.k.a FCC, by an act of congress.

By requiring the operator to have a license shows they meet the treaty minimum requirements by passing tests before they are issued the license. The FCC can impose higher standards but the minimum is set by the ITU treaty agreement. As any body who should have taken government class in grade school the first thing you learn is the rights granted in the Constitution are not absolute, i.e. they have bounds.

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

## 992. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 07:05:47 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <beednSvFl_O3qeneRVn-hQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Lloyd Lloyd Lloyd Everywhere wrote:
> kindly refrain from calling Roger a retard. I'll have you
> know that Roger holds a correspondence Masters Degree from
> DeVry, and he proudly posts it over his Fry Cook grill at
> Burger King.
>
>
>
>

Sorry...didn't know that. LOL!!!!!!

## 993. Re: The reason for all the rrap "Liars"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 07:14:05 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <d-Kdnbbb0syDq-nenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123557

Iitoi wrote:
> One day, while a woodcutter was cutting a branch of a tree above a
> river, his axe fell into the river. When he cried out, the Lord
> appeared and asked, "Why are you crying?"

>

One day a drunk stumbled upon a preacher baptizing a group of people down by the river. He stumbled out to the preacher to see what was going on. "Would you like to see Jesus?", the preacher asked the drunk. "Sure", said the drunk. So the preacher held him down under the water, then bringing him up asked, "did you see Jesus?". "No" said the drunk. This time the preacher held him under a bit longer. Up came the drunk gasping for air. "Did you see Jesus that time?". "NO!". So this time the preacher held him down for a goodly amount of time. Up comes the drunk spitting water and gasping for breath. "NOW did you see Jesus?" "NO", exclaimed the drunk, "are you sure this is where he fell in?"

# 994. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 07:14:36 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <d-KdnbHb0synq-nenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dr CB Nomore wrote:
> why do you hams bother with a license?
> the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
> radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.
>
>
>
>
>
>
Nice try, but no it doesn't.

## 995. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 07:37:52 UTC
From: eternalsquire@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1131694672.489954.115870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

But there is no such thing as true UK cuisine, it is all bangers and wellingtons and steak and kidney pie washed down with lots and lots and lots of Guiness.

But enough about Brits, who are otherwise creators of fine cars, time traveler shows, absurdist sitcoms, and who invented the art of sarcasm.

The Eternal Squire

## 996. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 09:40:55 UTC
From: hudleypearse@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131702055.413172.295700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Maybe they're not grounding their tinfoil hats.

Hudley Pearse

## 997. Re: The reason for all the rrap "Liars"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 10:03:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131703407.381692.70640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123562

an old friend wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> cut
>
> > The moral of this story is: Whenever a man lies, it is for a good and
> > honorable reason, and for the benefit of others.
> >
> > That's their story, and they're sticking to it! - "IT OK FOR MARK AND
> > STEVE TO LIE --- THEY ARE HONORABLE MEN !!!!!!"..
> > (riiiiiiiiiiiiight!)
>
> I don't claim that at all although Stevie might if he was honest enough
> to admit he did lie. I lie ebcuase it is not safe to to tell the truth
> about some things in the USA today, not an esp noble reason, but the
> right to LIFE is cetral in american thought.

What an absolutely assinine, deluded and idiotic rationalization
for being dishonest and deceitful.

Markie, you claim that you NEED to lie to be safe, but ARE
YOU...?!?!?

Would all of your lying stop you from being an unfortunate victim
of a drive-by shooting? Or prevent you from being carjacked? Do you
think that by trying to hide who you are would stop a meteor from
landing on you or turn back a tsunami?

> to exercise my rights to
> free speech in the nation we live today and also defend my right to
> LIVE, I feel based in part sadly on the behavoir of Stevie and Dave et
> al over the past few years,Must wrt to matter that can be used to
> track me down

Markie, there's not a single word of deceit or mistruth that you
could utter that would prevent a determined person from locating you
and harming you if they so chose.

Look at the number of celebrities who take exacting and detailed
precautions, yet are STILL victimized by REAL stalkers.

> Stevie OTOH does seem to think his mistatement become true when uttered
> in the name of cause he considers noble

There's no "mistatement".

Lying is lying. Whether you do it to keep a spouse from knowing
you were laying out, trying to beat a traffic ticket, or forgot your

homework.

Steve, K4YZ

# 998. Re: IEEE Not A Secret

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 10:12:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131703969.398414.101370@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123563

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 4:54 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 2:42 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> >> >I and many others sent him our congratulations.
> >
> >> Now HOW DID YOU KNOW Carl was elected to anything? :-)
> >
> >I found out.
>
> Tsk...how did you "find out?"

It's not a secret, Len.

> >> Don't give us some fogware bullshit about "you knew."
> >
> >Fogware bullshit is your specialty, Len. You're the acknowledged expert
> >on that subject.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Jimmie say NON-specifics with NO answers,
> and is uncivil on top of that. :-)

You used the phrase "fogware bullshit" first, Len.

> Let me repeat, HOW did you FIND OUT?

Good news travels fast. While I may not agree with WK3C on a few
things, it was good news to hear of his election to that IEEE post.
So I offered him my congratulations. I was pleased that he won
but not surprised.

Too bad the ARRL BoD and Executive Committee could not be
made to change their minds about allowing him to run for Director
of the Atlantic Division, despite the efforts of some of us in the
Division to get him on the ballot.

> >> The
> >> results of the IEEE election were sent by private e-mail to
> >> IEEE members on the same day as I posted the results.

> >I knew on November 7.
>
> HOW did you FIND OUT?

Why does that matter so much to you, Len?
>
> Jimmie say I have "smallest johnson ever made."

Nobody said that but you, Len.

I wrote some time back that you had held onto "one of the
smallest Johnsons ever produced".

And you have.

> Not nice.

What's not nice about telling the truth? The Johnson Viking Messenger
is smaller than almost all other Johnsons.

> >> I will not expect any cogent answer from you.
> >
> >You don't give "cogent answers", Len.
>
> Tsk, you don't give good question, Jimmie.

You mean like:

"Tsk...how did you "find out?""

"Let me repeat, HOW did you FIND OUT?"

"HOW did you FIND OUT?"

Is your behavior here "professional", Len?

## 999. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 10:20:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131704417.740811.94800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123564

N9OGL wrote:
> First off you piece of ####, and let me tell me tell right now I have
> more repect for a piece of feces then I do for you.

I am sure several members of your family will be relieved to hear
that. But as for the grammar, you and Markie need to go in together on
a "Hook On Phonics" kit, then follow it up with some remedial,
in-residence grammar school-level English Comprehension courses.

> To start, I'm sorry
> for the name thing, but you've got to understand that over here Ashley
> is strictly a FEMALE NAME.

No, it's not.

I work with an Ashley, V E R Y male, and one of my first mentors
in Amateur Radio was an Ashley, also decidedly male. Both born and
raised in the United States.

> The male counterpart is ASH, If you don't
> believe me I'll be more then happy then to send you a ####ing baby name
> book and you can see for your ####ing self.

And will it include all your profanities?

> I went to school with four
> ashley's and they were all ####ing FEMALE.

Then you and they have something in common...Except they were born
with the parts, you're just pretending.

> I'll would of explained it
> too you nicely but no you had to be a ####ing prick, so now I'm going
> to be a ####ing prick.

Hey Toiddie...You were a ####ing prick long before this thread
started..

> Secondly no one hacked your account at yahoo, if
> you could read the header it came from blogger.com, which is owned by
> google. For at the lease past year K4YZ and I have been recieving this
> "hate mail" from blogger.com. it was so bad that k4yz had me removed
> from the internet because of these "fake" emails.

I complained to your former ISP due to ALL of your misconduct,
Toiddie. You can keep salving your ego by TELLING yourself that that
was the only reason, but you'd be wrong...very wrong.

Perhaps if you'd listened to me before it wouldn't be happening
still.

Oh well.

> So go ahead and call
> INTERPOL, I'll be more then happy to talk to them and directed them to
> those #####ing ###holes at google's then perhaps all this #### will
> stop.

It MIGHT stop if you'd quit giving them so much to work with.

> Google's has it to where they put sites up or buys sites and
> don't do anything with them in regards to control. (for example on
> blogger.com google's could but up something on their out going mail
> that gives the senders real ip address, something they don't have)
> google can stop it but don't, just like this newsgroup, i'm using
> google's and they don't control any of the content as a matter of fact
> unless you spam a newsgroup really bad they won't do squat. Then i'll
> send google's for having me kicked of the interent with those fake
> emails.
> That's all I have to say to you spat.

Ohhhhhhhhhhh....Junior DJ said that's that...We're so
(un)impressed.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1000. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 11:35:29 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111063529.646$GM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051110192258.416$ce@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> >> On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 07:41:30 -0500, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> >>
> >> > You still haven't figured it out,
> >>
> >> I can say that again. How about trying some of your famous header
> >> (miss) reading on this post?
> >>
> > Fuck off, twat.
>
> That's spelled "twistedhed". 8-))
>
I don't see it.

http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg

You want to play spam games? Is that arrl mailbox a big one?

## 1001. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 11:54:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131710060.783883.112380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123566

nobodys old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> > Do you recall my comments about your imprecision
> > with words? You proved it above. See your terms "occoured" and "spliting".
>
> wrong again I simply proved I suffer from Dyslexia and what the doc
> termed Dyslexiod aphasia
>
> I used the terms pricisely

No, you did not.

You were IMPRECISE in your use of the words.

Your TYPING errors come from laziness and haste, NOT dyslexia.

There is NO SUCH THING as "dyslexiod aphasia". "WebMD", Tabor's
and the Stedman's Guide all concur.

More than likely your MD told you one thing and you were too
embarrassed to admit you didn't understand so just made up something
that sounds like what he told you.

> >I think
> > you are going to be surprised.
>
> no suprise indeed in making my report they will told of these "issues"
> since knowing the turth will be requiredin order to explain Stevie
> actions

And you continue to function as though you understand the BoN
rules. You quite clearly do not, but good luck anyway. I continue to
add your "pearls" to the CD. It will make interesting reading in
Nashville if I am tasked to respond to a "complaint".

> > No, I don't believe that disagreeing is lying.
>
> yes you do
>
> >Lying is lying and
> > you've done it time and again.
>
> yes I have so have you and so has Stevie

No, Dave and I have not.

> > You aren't credible.
>
> Why? I am as credible as Stevie or you.

No, you're not.

> you lie you have lied within the past 24 hrs about my claims as to
> income
>
> Stevie has lied he has said I have aids, that I engage in unpropected
> sex, that I have admited to child mosting

But MARKIE, YOU are the one that said it's "OK" to just use ANY
"definition" of ANY word as you see fit without ensuring that all
parties of the discussion agree on WHICH definition is being used.

That's part of the CD too.

Remember all your arguments on the use of the term
"drafted"...?!?! You INSISTED that YOUR definition was not the one
used by the DoD, yet it IS a military application we were addressing...

It's part of the record, Markie. Good luck squeezing out from
under that one.

> we are on the same footing

Noooooooooooooooooo...we're not.

Dave and I are professionals, recognized by our peers in our
seperate disciplines as such.

Any "defense" I have to mount will include testimony of people who
are also known and recognized within my profession. None of them are
allegedly dyslexic gay pagan Colonels in the "Chemical Corps" who can't
manage to use a spellchecker in their correspondences and "admit" that
they consider lying to be a "right" or moral responsibility to teach
their children.

> > There are archived
> > posts which prove that you've lied.
>
> as their are for Stevie lots of them

See, Markie...There you go with that "disagreeing is lying"
thing...You seem to think it ony applies to others...And therein lies
part of your downfall.

> > > I have lied about my rank and that is all I have told some lies that
> > > have lead Stevie off on wild goose chases about my identity that is it
> >
> > Yes, you certainly have lied.
>
> agreed
> cut repating yourself get your stuff dumped

But not before you publically acknowledged, again, your propensity
for lying.

Thanks.

> If I am right Stevie will suffer some kind of scantion, he may lose his
> license
>
> if Stevie is right nothing will happen to him and no harm done

"Stevie" is right, but there WILL be "harm done"...to the person
filing a malicious complaint...

> > > how does that allow Stevie to LIE about the procedure of BoN so he can
> > > try to frighten someon into not reporting him?
> >
> > I've not noted Steve attempting to frighten "someon" into not reporting
> > him.
> then you have not been reading
>
> >I have observed his warnings to you on what is likely to take
> > place after you've done so.
>
> and he is lying about it

There's no lying here by me.

I am telling you straight up...I am not taking a slapping by you
at the Board of Nursing and then just letting you get away with it.

The nature of your maliciousness is established here.

Thanks.

> > >> You've lied about your trained pool of
> > >> typists.
> >
> > > nope
> >
> > Sure you have, Mark. You told us that you have a trained pool of
> > typists. You later said that you couldn't have one of 'em type
> > something up because of the expense of paying her.
>
> never said that

Damn, Mark...caught lying again...

> I siad I choose not to pay for the time not that I could not pay for it

Nope. That's NOT what you said.

> that is the problem you and Stevie take a statement, apply a host of
> assumetion and then claim I said what you think was implied in the
> first statement and run off from there

No, we take a statement from you that says "I can't afford to pay
right now" and take it at face value that you can't afford to pay.
There's no shame in not having some discretionary cash on hand,
Markie...It happens all the time...

> > >>> I reported myself to the FBI all those years ago
> >
> > >> If that isn't another of your lies, it is a start. Why not call 'em and
> > >> report yourself again?
> >
> > > why report myself again? esp as No rime was comitted Stevei greatly
> > > over simplifies anything when he tlaks about the law
> >
> > If no "rime" was "comitted", why'd you report yourself? What are you
> > "tlaking" about?
>
> I discussed the matter as part of reporting stevies death threats
>
> why does everyting have to be repeated for you

So we can document you in yet ANOTHER of your twists of the tale,
Markie!

> > I don't believe you to be rational,
>
> If Stevie were corect in his Professional assement you should be.

As usual, Markie, tripped yourself up.

> If I am nuts or irtaional of what have I am much more dangerous to you
> than If I am

Was that a sentence? What did you say?

> the rest of the paragraph cut off at the tangent

Only reality and rationality are not "tangental" to you, Markie.

> > See if you can have my license revoked or have me "oteherwise" punished.
>
> First I'll try to deal with Stevie
>
> he has a license to revoke you don't
>
> further becuase of his licnese he more dangerous to me in my judegment,

You can't even spell "judgement" let alone express a valid
opinion.

> Addtionaly I have a plan that I hope will deal Stevie a suitable
> punishment, I don't have such a plan w.r.t. you

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhh....PUNISHMENT!

That's what Markie wants!

> therefore logicaly I should continue to focous on Stevie and I will

Evidence of STALKING, Markie!

Thanks!

> > Right. Lots of people get their medical information from some guy
> > posting in an amateur radio newsgroup.
>
> some one that was talkingabout crufing me based on what he read in NG
> did get it from Stevie

"crufing" you...?!?!

WTF is "crufing"...?!?!

> > You've accused me of lying in addressing you as "Colonel". You were the
> > person who told us that you were a Colonel. That must have been *you*
> > doing the lying.
> you contiue to lie after being told the truth
>
> further you have never had much reason to tell the lie

He never HAS told a lie that >>I<< am aware of...

YOU, on the other hand, have.

> I have admit to the lie
>
> >Were you in the United States Army Chemical Corps?
>
> asked and answered yes

Asked and lied about.

> > >> Was
> > >> that a bald-faced lie? Was your IQ of 248, higher than that of any other
> > >> living person, also a lie?
> > >
> > > nope it was the result of test done many years ago
> >
> > ...and the test revealed that you have a higher IQ than the woman
> > recognized as having the highest tested IQ?
>
> can't addrss you issue without more data

What you MEAN is that you made up a number, however there's REAL
evidence that YOUR number is so ludicrous as to simply prove that
you've been caught AGAIN!

> > > indeed if you are ever being truthfull yourself ain your claims you
> > > don't understand my writting you can't realy claim you know anything I
> > > say
> >
> > With your writing and your refusal to use spell checking, no one can

> > know much of anything you are trying to say.
>
> then you agree
>
> meaning you are making statements based on materail you admt you don't
> understand, meaning you are lying when you claim I make any statement
> beyond a direct in context quote
>
> period

Which >>IS<< to say that you are ATTEMPTING to be deceitful in
order to create an enviroment of distrust and miscommunication...

Which is to say you are willfully lying.

> > > Yoou two carries these chips on your shoulders thinking everyone
> > > around must confrom toyour expectations
> >
> > "Yoou two carries"? Phew! I've never asked for any special
> > accommodations or revisions in regulations, standards or commonly
> > accepted conduct in my life.
>
> neither have I

An ABSOLUTE LIE! And one perpetrated in this NG over and
over...God knows what you're like in "real" life...! ! ! !

> I have asked for the same rights as everyone else. most esp the right
> to live my life in peace and safety without nosey pikers like yourself
> poking into what is none of your affiar

Then why MAKE it anyone's affair by airing your dirty underwear in
here?

> > >> Aren't you the same Mark Morgan who is into bisexual S&M stuff with a
> > >> little urination and defecation thrown in for good measure?
> > >
> > > again what sexual perverity
> >
> > Again, not perverity, Mark. Perversity. Start with the ones I asked
> > about above.
>
> what prevestity?

"prevestity" is not a word.

> nothing I consider preverse in your list at all one come under the
> heading of "not my kink" not nothing preverse in the list

"preverse"...Before the verse?

> > I don't have a history of lying, Mark. You do.
>
> you certainly do

>
> well documented
>
> indeed your history of lying is far better archived on RRAP than mine

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

COUGHCOUGHSNORTSNORT

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > >> You're a pervert and everyone who
> > >> isn't a pervert needs help. You can't write and won't use a
> > >> spellchecke...>
> >
> > > and your and stevie whine and whine about it
> >
> > I complain about it.
>
> you whine about it

Everybody's a whiner except Markie.

> > Steve has complained about it.
>
> he whines about

Everybody's a whiner except Markie.

> >Others have
> > complained about it.
>
> they whine about ti

See! What did I tell you! Everybody's a whiner except Markie!

> > You tell all, "your probelm".
>
> not exactly since it is only your problem if you want to read my stuff,
> nobdoy is making you.
>
> Is someone making you?

You are, when you spam the NG with it.

> I keep asking and you keep ducking the question
>
> >

> > > Killfle me that is your previeledge
> >
> > Thanks for illustrating my point.
>
> no it illustrates MINE

No, it doesn't.

> > >> ....r and it is the problem of everyone else.
> > >
> > > not everyones Dave only those that CHOOSE to try and read my stuff
> >
> > Isn't the point that you are attempting to communicate with others?
>
> other yes, not realy you or Stevie though, or Dee Flint

In other words, anyone who disagrees with you.

> My target is the lurker population out there

I am sure that they are just DYING to know what Mark Morgan
thinks!

(...visions of people leaning over, cupping their ear as in the
"E.F. Hutton" commercials...)

> Indeed my motive amusingly goes right to haert of a ProCode arguement
> "if you don't work it for you don't value it"

Huh?

> > > I have caled 4 people liars (the Comode and the various slits are not
> > > proven to be people on their own so they don't count) and I have
> > > called on lies
> >
> > Would you like to adjust the number?
>
> no

You should.

I came up with twelve off the top of my head, and that was without
doing any "Googling".....

> > You want the regs changed to
> > accommodate you.
>
> wrong again
>
> I want the regs changed to reflect what I see as the best interests of
> the ARS

No...You want the rules changed to accomodate you.

> Judging by the fact NoCode is about to prevail It is about a lot more
> than my wants, and a fair number of people agree with me on what the
> regs should including it appears the people that make em

A fair numbr of people agree with you taht they don't want to have
to actually do something to get something...That's been the trend since
the 60's...thanks Abbie Hoffman, Timothy Leary, etc etc etc...

> > I didn't write "for life", Mark. I wrote "in life". Remember, you're
> > supposed to be the fellow the 248 IQ. The two terms are quite different.
>
> for life in life there is no difference her thier are in other context

There is absolutely EVERY difference in the context!

> but I don't need HF in my or for my life

Obvioulsy that's not true. You've been spanking that monkey in
here for years.

> Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
> not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff

WHOA! You go on and on about "disagreeing is not lying", etc, and
you call a WOMAN who has NEVER used such language about you a
BITCH...?!?!

You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!

Considering she ALSO lives in Michigan, and there may very well be
a MR Flint, I'd think that an APOLOGY for the poor choice of adjectives
might be in order!

> > Okay, I'm telling you that Steve didn't provide you the name, address
> > and telephone number of his employer or of the employer's supervising
> > organization. I refuse to be held to a different standard. Go to work.
>
> why should I work at getting you fired when I don't want you be fired?

Trust me, Markie...>>I<< am the one you don't want fired!

> > > In Stevies case we may end up there and indeed the result may turn out
> > > to be Stevie losing his job but that is not in fact my true aim, it
> > > may be what happens but we shall see
> >
> > The result may be that you ending up with your ### in a crack. We shall
> > see. Enough of that. Your current problem is to find out who and where
> > my employer is.
>
> not my problem not my interest and not my goal

Yes, having YOUR ### in a crack is a problem.

> >Then you'll need to find out who to contact in order to
> > point out that I've belittled and made fun of you. Be sure to tell them

> > that I see you as a liar and a pervert. Let them know that I refuse to
> > be politically correct in dealing with you. Good luck with your jihad.
>
> If were to try and get yuou fired Id talk about you not me
>
> there is plenty there in your past on here
>
> but of course I want you wroking LOTS of OVERTIME not fired

"wroking"...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1002. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 11:58:32 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <uK6dndnAQ4jtGunenZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@crocker.com>
Source record: 123567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

ARGH!!! now you are going to confuse them with facts!

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:eeOdnT632fflpenenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@comcast.com...
> On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 19:40:27 -0800, an old friend wrote:
>
>>
>> Dr CB Nomore wrote:
>>> why do you hams bother with a license?
>>> the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
>>> radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.
>
> The USA signed the ITU treaty thus we have internationally agreed to it's
> requirements, one of which is to prove that anybody operating an Amateur
> Radio Station meets the minimum proficiency requirements as outlined in
> that treaty. The US congress ratified the the agreement, making it
> official and legally binding, and they have delegated the authority to
> enforce the treaty requirements to a federal agency, a.k.a FCC, by an act
> of congress.
>
> By requiring the operator to have a license shows they meet
> the treaty minimum requirements by passing tests before they are issued
> the license. The FCC can impose higher standards but the minimum is set by
> the ITU treaty agreement. As any body who should have taken government
> class in grade school the first thing you learn is the rights granted in
> the Constitution are not absolute, i.e. they have bounds.
>
> Regards,
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

# 1003. Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 12:02:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131709490.002244.80490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123568

In another thread, Mark Mogan made these disgusting comments:

> Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
> not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff

WHOA! You go on and on about "disagreeing is not lying", etc, and
yet you call a WOMAN who has NEVER used such language about YOU a
BITCH...?!?!

You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!

Considering she ALSO lives in Michigan, and there may very well be
a MR Flint, I'd think that an APOLOGY for the poor choice of adjectives
might be in order!

You've uttered one disgusting and deceitful lie after another,
Morgan, but of all your newsgroup trashings, THIS has got to be the
singularly most spiteful, hurthful and irresponsible piece of trash to
EVER come from you.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1004. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 12:06:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131710770.048985.152820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123569

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 4:41 pm
>
> >Some plain and simple facts:
>
> <Jimmie style>
>
> >1) As of November 9, 2005, the number of individuals holding current,
> >unexpired FCC amateur radio licenses is 663,382.
>
> Jimmie
>
> Jimmie
>
> Jimmie
>
> Jimmie
>
> Jimmie

Again Lennie demonstrates that rules apply to everyone else except
him...Except, of course, when the rules are one's HE wants...Then
EVERYONE has to comply...

> >2) Of those individuals who are interested enough in the issues to
> >comment, a clear majority want the Morse Code test for General to be
> >removed.
>
> NPRM just about General class? No, NPRM about ALL classes.
>
> Jimmie
>
> Jimmie
>
> Jimmie

Why can Lennie not address people the way they address him, even
when the way they address him is the way he says he wants it...?!?!

Maybe that's why Lennie can't get the respect and credibility he
THINKS he deserves?

> >3) Of those individuals who are interested enough in the issues to
> >comment, a clear majority want the Morse Code test for Extra to be
> >retained.
>

> NPRM just about Extra class? No, NPRM about ALL classes.
>
> Jimmie
>
> Jimmie
>
> Jimmie

And again.

Not one rational or responsible word addressing Jim's comments.
Just grade school jibberish.

> >4) FCC is not required to follow the majority of comments or other
> >opinions, regardless of how large the majority is. FCC is only required
> >to consider the commentary, not act on it.
>
> Why Jimmie
>
> Jimmie
>
> FCC do things THEIR way. Plain simple fact that.

Interesting that after having had his nose rubbed in his own
numbers, Lennie changes style and format of his "scorecard" series of
posts and threads...Trying to hide the facts, as usual...The FACTS that
those comments Jim was refering to DON'T support Lennie's opinion as
being in the majority.

None-the-less, it will be interesting to see, after whatever
action the FCC takes in regards to dropping the Code Test, if Lennie
will then get the "Extra Lite" (or any other HF-authorized license) or
continue to spam the NG with his anti-Amateur Radio bile...

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1005. MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 12:12:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131711165.332582.166820@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123570

nobodys old friend wrote:
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !
>
> not drunk so flushing the rest

Sure you were! Or you wouldn't have made a post "ignoring"
something you OBVIOUSLY were NOT ignoring!

QUOTE
an old friend wrote:
> conitueing to cut and ignore Stvie eforts to trun a wellearned ata boy
> in a anti len attarck thread

"Continuing" (I think....) "Stevie's" "efforts" "turn" "well"
"earned" "into" "Len" "attack"

Obviously you DIDN'T "ignore" it, otherwise you would have,
well..IGNORED it!

UNQUOTE

Thanks, Markie! Evben MORE laughs by the minute!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1006. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 12:31:09 UTC
From: Jan Panteltje <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dl22ug$ntb$1@news.datemas.de>
Source record: 123571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On a sunny day (11 Nov 2005 01:40:55 -0800) it happened
hudleypearse@hotmail.com wrote in
<1131702055.413172.295700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>Maybe they're not grounding their tinfoil hats.
>
>Hudley Pearse
>
It is common knowledge that governments have burried underground
transmission stations.
These beam up in the microwave range, and the hats focus (like a dish)
the radiation in the brain.

The recommended solution is to glue the hat on, and walk on your hands,
upside down.

# 1007. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 13:49:09 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131716949.902917.37150@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123572

fuck you steve, crawl back under that fucking rock you came from you fucking slimeball

# 1008. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 14:02:07 UTC
From: Ernest W Block <zaw@ernieblocker.net>
Message-ID: <dl286a$mlj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dr CB Nomore" <cb@cbnomorefo.net> wrote in message
news:dl12cm$7bl$0@pita.alt.net...
> why do you hams bother with a license?
> the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
> radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.
>

I posed this question to U.S. Senator Hillary Clinton's office
and was told that a "blanket license" covered channels 1-40.
All channels above 40 are unassigned and no license is required
to operate there. The same thing applies to all channels below
channel one. However, hams operating below channel one
are limited to 500 watts, while hams operating above channel
40 can run unlimited power.

7 thirds,

Ernie

# 1009. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 14:32:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131719555.629915.25230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123574

N9OGL wrote:
> #### you steve, crawl back under that ####ing rock you came from you
> ####ing slimeball

You shouldn't talk like that while looking at yourself in the
mirror, Junior DJ.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1010. FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 14:38:23 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1131719903.005850.10610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123575
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B

licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

# 1011. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 14:45:32 UTC
From: Dave <g3vfp@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <g82df.14883$Xz1.708@fe2.news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 123576
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Thank you Mr Bean, an interesting read :-)

Dave

Polymath wrote:
> What is Ham Radio?
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation and who are also interested in the
> way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.
>
> Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
> fascination with all things technical and gives
> an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
> knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
>
> This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
> with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
> gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
>
> Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
> the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> are qualified to design, build and then
> operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
> with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
> equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
> to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
>
> The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
> relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
> his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
> of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
> generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
> the latter that communication with like-minded technically
> motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
> development grows with the years
> and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal

> of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
> be learnt and applied.
>
> The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
> with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
> the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
> competitions and fox-hunts.
>
> -----OOOOO----
>
> However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
> desirable thing to have that there are large
> numbers of people who wish to be thought of
> as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
> of the kind! Usually such people are a
> variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
> radios off the shelf and send them back to be
> repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
> and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
> their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
> they are free with rather silly personal insults;
> they have not satisfied any technical qualification
> and their licences prevent the use of
> self-designed-and-built equipment.
>
> These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>
> No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!
>
> -----ooooo-----
>
> One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
> from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
> difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
> perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
> perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
> no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
> GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
> could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
> such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
> telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
> technical pursuit.
>
> A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
> a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
> sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
> tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
> Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of

> a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
> issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
> M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.
>
> -----ooooo-----
>
> One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
> Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
> apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
> licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
> the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
> bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
> intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.
>
> 6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
> enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
> for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
> the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
> of the imagination!
>
> Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
> or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
> degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!
>

# 1012. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 14:48:31 UTC
From: "Carl R. Stevenson" <wk3cqth@wk3c.com>
Message-ID: <n5ycnQZ1xrzQMuneUSdV9g@ptd.net>
Source record: 123577

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:<1131581086.108596.33510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>...

> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > From: kb9...@amsat.org on Nov 8, 5:55 pm

> > >On 8 Nov 2005 17:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> > >> Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards

> > >> Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today.

Just to clarify, it's actually a seat on the IEEE-SA Board of Governors - a
role that Dennis Bodson, ARRL Roanoake Division Director held for some time
in parallel with his ARRL position.

[snip]

>

> > I thought it terribly IRONIC that long-time radio amateur

> > Carl Stevenson, WK3C, who WAS REFUSED A NOMINATION FOR OFFICE

> > AT THE ARRL (an AMATEUR organization), managed to not only

> > GET ON a ballot of the IEEE (a PROFESSIONAL association), and

> > WIN! :-)

>

> Not ironic at all.

>

> The standards of amateurism are different. Ask Jim Thorpe.

>

> Carl had more than enough signatures on his nomination papers.

> But the Executive Committee that decides such matters though there

> was a conflict of interest between his professional duties and being

> an ARRL Director, and declared him ineligible to run. That decision

> was not reversed by the BoD.

>

> Some members of ARRL, particularly in the Atlantic Division, did not

> agree with that decision, and made their disagreement known to the

> BoD, the other officers of ARRL, and anybody else involved. Those

> efforts to get him on the ballot were, unfortunately, not successful.

>

> btw, it is interesting to note the name of Carl's firm.

Jim is referring to the fact that my company is named "WK3C Wireless LLC" ... The simple fact of the matter is that, since "WK3C" is unique to me, it was a simple way of assuring that there were no name conflicts when I filed the papers to form the company with the PA authorities.

73,

Carl - wk3c

## 1013. Re: The reason for all the rrap "Liars"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 14:50:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131720641.027967.88490@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123578

Iitoi wrote:
> One day, while a woodcutter was cutting a branch of a tree above a
> river, his axe fell into the river. When he cried out, the Lord
> appeared and asked, "Why are you crying?"
>
> The woodcutter replied that his axe has fallen into water, and he
> needed the axe to make his living.
>
> The Lord went down into the water and reappeared with a golden axe.
>
> "Is this your axe?" the Lord asked.
>
> The woodcutter replied, "No."
>
> The Lord again went down and came up with a silver axe.
>
> "Is this your axe?" the Lord asked.
>
> Again, the woodcutter replied, "No."
>
> The Lord went down again and came up with an iron axe.
>
> "Is this your axe?" the Lord asked.
>
> The woodcutter replied, "Yes."
>
> The Lord was pleased with the man's honesty and gave him all three axes
> to keep, and the woodcutter went home happy.
>
> Some time later the woodcutter was walking with his wife along the
> riverbank, and his wife fell into the river.
>
> When he cried out, the Lord again appeared and asked him, "Why are you
> crying?"
>
> "Oh Lord, my wife has fallen into the water!"
>
> The Lord went down into the water and came up with Jennifer Lopez.
>
> "Is this your wife?" the Lord asked.
>
> "Yes," cried the woodcutter.
>
> The Lord was furious. "You lied! That is an untruth!"

>
> The woodcutter replied, "Oh, forgive me, my Lord. It is a
> misunderstanding. You see, if I had said 'no' to Jennifer Lopez, You
> would have come up with Catherine Zeta Jones. Then if I said 'no' to
> her, you would have come up with my wife. Had I then said 'yes,' you
> would have given me all three. Lord, I am a poor man, and am not able
> to take care of all three wives, so THAT'S why I said yes to Jennifer
> Lopez."
>
> The moral of this story is: Whenever a man lies, it is for a good and
> honorable reason, and for the benefit of others.
>
> That's their story, and they're sticking to it! - "IT OK FOR MARK AND
> STEVE TO LIE --- THEY ARE HONORABLE MEN !!!!!!"..
> (riiiiiiiiiiiight!)
>
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

Please, now that Mike, Jim and Hans have proven that there is no God,
how can you come up with such nonsense?

# 1014. Re: More Brain and Markie Stretching

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 15:09:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131721749.966895.95590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123579

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 6 Nov 2005 08:11:37 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > >Yep, he even used "professional" to describe that he wasn't just some
> > > >yahoo, but a medical professional.
> > >
> > > and i hope that is what is going to nail with the nursing board
> >
> > Nothing you or me say is going to sway the nurses board.
>
> Unintentional, I am sure, but finally a modicum of sanity
> displayed by one of the Feeble Five.

Steve, somehow I don't think my Somalia logbooks will be much of a concern to your board, or whether or not I read a 1958 copy of "This is the Air Force," or if you were really an "A" NCOIC of Okinawa MARS.

I'm going to guess that it's your behavior that they'll be looking at. You may be able to prove that Mark misspells words and doesn't finish his thoughts when writing to the group. You may be able to prove that Mark's faith isn't mainstream, or that he is gay or bi or whatever. You might even be able to prove that he thinks that you're an asshole like I do. But if you stepped out of bounds and used your Nurse credentials to use another states health or child services professionals, or police or Sheriff personnel to harass Mark, then that's what they'll probably be considering. So at the end of the day, if you did "dial" Illinois, you'll have some real explaining to do.

Somehow I don't think my Somalia logbooks will be much of a concern to your board, but you might think differently. Good luck.

# 1015. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 15:21:27 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131722487.498588.138300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123580

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > >> >Many of us have sent him our congratulations.
>
> > Notice that Jimmie worded his sentence about "congratulations"
> > to NOT SPECIFICALLY SAY that J. P. Miccolis congratulated him.
>
> Your reading comprehension is a bad as ever, Len.
>
> > Gives the impression, the implication that he did without
> > committing his name to some "fact."
>
> Then let me say it another way:
>
> I and many others sent him our congratulations.
>
> Is that clear enough for ya?
>
> > ARRL has a VIRTUAL monopoly on United States amateur radio
> > publications. Has had that for years.
>
> "CQ" magazine. "73" magazine. "ham radio" magazine. Bash books.
> Gordon West publications. "Worldradio" publications. Ameco
> publications.
> W5YI.
>
> Etc.

"Ham Radio" magazine has been pronounced "defunct" by you. Now you claim differently? And Bash, what is the copyright on the latest Bash book?

I do like World Radio and think "thier" SAR columns are excellent. I need to subscribe to them now that the ARRL has lost my membership or 19 years. They should have let Carl run and take his chances with the rest of the contenders. Last Straw. Too bad for the ARRL.

# 1016. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 15:30:31 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1ycpgn@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1ycpgn@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123640

Carl R. Stevenson Wrote:
>
> Just to clarify, it's actually a seat on the IEEE-SA Board of Governors
> - a role that Dennis Bodson, ARRL Roanoake Division Director held for
> some time in parallel with his ARRL position.
>

Congratulations, Carl, and thanks for the press releases you sent.

For those who don't get all your news from rrap or IEEE bulletins, open
your copy of this months copy of Scientific American magazine. In it
they recognize Carl by naming him one of the "SA50" for his work in
WiFi radio.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

--
Iitoi

# 1017. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 15:40:01 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <woger@no.isp>
Message-ID: <3497017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123581

On 11 Nov 2005 05:49:09 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> fuck you steve, crawl back under that fucking rock you came from you
> fucking slimeball

One of the things I learned at DeVry was to avoid repeating the same adjective in the same sentence, or even the same paragraph. Don't get me wrong; I'm not dissing* you, but I think that you can polish up your swearing so that it is much more effective.

Example: You could have said "fuck you steve, crawl back into that shit-filled toilet you call home, you slimy turd."

This would have evoked a disgusting image in the reader's mind and added effectiveness to your rant. I suggest that you sign up for a DeVry Correspondence School language course as I did. DeVry dramatically improved my swearing, thereby making me much more popular with the shemales at the Shipwreck Lounge in Glendale.

The rest is history.

--
* Dissing - [African American Vernacular English, short for DISRESPECT.] -- http://www.answers.com/dissing&r=67

# 1018. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:03:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131725008.929478.61600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123582

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> KH6HZ wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!
> >
> >
> > You're welcome Steve.
> >
> > 73 de KH6HZ
>
> Hey, Mike, how've you been? Make it to Hawaii yet?
>
> bb

KH6HZ
WE1RD MICHAEL P DEIGNAN REPEATER ASSOCIATION
KB1CCE RF COMMANDOS RHODE ISLAND CHAPTER

Hi! Looks like you've whittled the superfluous callsingsn down a bit.

Mike, I hope you win the lottery someday so you'll finally be able to visit that Hawaiin PO Box that you used to get a KH6 call. Best of Luck.

bb

# 1019. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:20:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131726047.164853.42760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123583

N9OGL wrote:
> >From Ashley Thu Nov 10 16:42:54 2005
> X-Apparently-To: toddaug555@yahoo.com via 68.142.201.201; Thu, 10 Nov
> 2005 16:47:54 -0800
> X-Originating-IP: [66.102.15.83]
> Return-Path: <vk3hag@yahoo.com>
> Authentication-Results: mta325.mail.scd.yahoo.com from=yahoo.com;
> domainkeys=neutral (no sig)
> Received: from 66.102.15.83 (EHLO blogger.com) (66.102.15.83) by
> mta325.mail.scd.yahoo.com with SMTP; Thu, 10 Nov 2005 16:47:54 -0800
> Received: from bla21.blogger.com (unknown [10.20.1.242]) by
> blogger.com (Postfix) with ESMTP id 33E53196B4 for
> <toddaug555@yahoo.com>; Thu, 10 Nov 2005 16:42:54 -0800 (PST)
> Message-ID: <17255925.1131669774207.JavaMail.root@bla21.blogger.com>
> From: "Ashley" <vk3hag@yahoo.com> Add to Address Book Add Mobile
> Alert
> To: toddaug555@yahoo.com
> Subject: Litera-tuya: De Mario Benedetti: TÃ¡ctica y Estrategia
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable
> Date: Thu, 10 Nov 2005 16:42:54 -0800 (PST)
> Content-Length: 505
> Ashley has sent you a link to a weblog:
>
> You are a fucking piece of ignorant shit, basement boy, but you aren't
> out of my reach from here in Australia. Keep it up and I'm going to
> have AB8MQ, Roger Wiseman, come over to your house and kick your ass.
> You have been warned, basement boy.

Says Lardass Lloyd Davies.....Who couldn't do jack shit except
hide and stew in his shitty underwear when Oris Grim knocked on his
door.

> Blog: Litera-tuya
> Post: De Mario Benedetti: Táctica y Estrategia
> Link:
> http://litera-tuya.blogspot.com/2005/11/de-mario-benedetti-tctica-y-estrategia.html
>
> --
> Powered by Blogger
> http://www.blogger.com/

Poor Toadie, all he has is his little never read by anybody
blogs......bwahahahaha!

# 1020. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:22:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131726171.170557.246680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123584

N9OGL wrote:
> First off you piece of shit, and let me tell me tell right now I have
> more repect for a piece of feces then I do for you. To start, I'm sorry
> for the name thing, but you've got to understand that over here Ashley
> is strictly a FEMALE NAME. The male counterpart is ASH, If you don't
> believe me I'll be more then happy then to send you a fucking baby name
> book and you can see for your fucking self. I went to school with four
> ashley's and they were all fucking FEMALE. I'll would of explained it
> too you nicely but no you had to be a fucking prick, so now I'm going
> to be a fucking prick. Secondly no one hacked your account at yahoo, if
> you could read the header it came from blogger.com, which is owned by
> google. For at the lease past year K4YZ and I have been recieving this
> "hate mail" from blogger.com. it was so bad that k4yz had me removed
> from the internet because of these "fake" emails. So go ahead and call
> INTERPOL, I'll be more then happy to talk to them and directed them to
> those fucking assholes at google's then perhaps all this shit will
> stop. Google's has it to where they put sites up or buys sites and
> don't do anything with them in regards to control. (for example on
> blogger.com google's could but up something on their out going mail
> that gives the senders real ip address, something they don't have)
> google can stop it but don't, just like this newsgroup, i'm using
> google's and they don't control any of the content as a matter of fact
> unless you spam a newsgroup really bad they won't do squat. Then i'll
> send google's for having me kicked of the interent with those fake
> emails.
> That's all I have to say to you spat.

But Toad, you are the daughter your parents always wanted, you
cocksucking queer!

# 1021. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:24:11 UTC
From: KH6HZ <kh6hz@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1131726251.480108.121570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123585

K4YZ wrote:

> Thanks for stopping by! You've been missed, Brother!

Hey Steve

I stop in about once every 3 to 6 months to take a quick look at the cast of characters and the topics. Over the years since my posting hiatus from this newsgroup I have to say that nothing here has changed. Same people whining and complaining about the same topic day in and day out. That's one of the reasons why I stopped posting. I mean, if some of these people spent as much time operating a rig as they do complaining in this newsgroup, the airwaves would be full of people and my logbook would be crammed with entries.

I have to admit I do get a chuckle out of some of characters. It is amusing to see the Lt. General Vice Admiral Morgan's posts (did he get that dyslexic code-waiver yet?) and I see Lennie still hates hams and ham radios as much as ever. So much negativity and vitriol from that man, indeed, like I once said years ago, he's much like my long-departed paternal grandmother -- is miserable in life and has to make everyone else miserable around him. Such negativity must just suck the essence of life from your soul.

Me, I'm too busy enjoying life to get involved with the nonsense that goes on in here anymore. I split my time between RI and HI. Spending time with my 3 young ones, riding my motorcycle, SCUBA diving, hiking, hunting, fishing, and oh yeah, getting on the rig a couple times a week and making a few contacts. Just two weeks ago I was teaching my SO how HF propagation works, and ended up spending 90 minutes chatting on 20 meters with a dude in Florida who just retired after 20+ years with NASA.

Let's see... In my left hand... Doing what I'm doing and making interesting contacts on HF... in my right hand... arguing day-in and day-out on the same topic over and over again with the same nitwits in a newsgroup beating a dead horse to death even more. Hmmmm... which one would I rather do?

Drop me an email sometime. I doubt I'll post here again before I croak.

73 de kh6hz/w1

>
> As you can see, however, it's pretty much "business as
> usual"...Lennie still hates Amateur Radio. In the latest NPRM rounds

> he's managed no fewer than FIVE "I Am Smarter Than They Are" "reply
> comments", although he's paced back his rhetoric here.
>
> Brian "The Brain" Burke, N0IMD is still Lennie's yes man and Lead
> Sock Puppet. Not much I can say about Brain...He's still as brainless
> as ever.
>
> Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, is back and is as much the illiterate clown
> as he ever was. Now his "schtick" is to try and intimidate me with the
> suggestion that he's going to get the Tennessee State Board of Nursing
> to pull my LPN card because he doesn't like the way I talk to him here!
>
>
> New into the fray is Todd "I AM NOT GAY" Dougherty, N9OGL. Todd
> alleges to be a college student in Illinois...Ironically less than an
> hour from where Markie USED to live...He also is the new "I Wanna Be
> K1MAN" idiot on the Amatuer airways. Todd promotes a "show" on 20
> meter phone and brags about his "commercial grade" audio gear...but
> can't seem to name any of it. BTW...Todd is almost 40 years old and
> living in mommy and daddy's basement.
>
> And crossing over from rec.radio.cb on semi-frequent occassion is
> "Frank Gilliland". Like Lennie, Frankie's not an Amatuer, but, like
> me, he used to be an Active Duty US Marine...
>
> UNLIKE me he managed to get court martialed not once, but TWICE in
> ONE four year hitch! However he knows "the lingo", so tries to help
> out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five.
> He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
> makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country. He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
> and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> that "Active Duty") Marine. I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
>
> Hope all is well your way. It's a beautiful fall day here...
>
> 73!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1022. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:28:05 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131726485.912478.264630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123586

Not So Secwet Cocksucking Lloyd Austin Davies whined:
> On 11 Nov 2005 05:49:09 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > fuck you steve, crawl back under that fucking rock you came from you
> > fucking slimeball
>
> One of the things I learned at DeVry

Devry, Lardass you had a D average (or less) at Kirkwood Community
College, as the registrar has told me. BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

Not to mention the year you spent in the rubber room after YOU beat up
your mommy when she found you stealing money from her purse and was
involuntarily committed to:
Mental Health Institute
2277 Iowa Avenue
Independence, Iowa 50644
319 - 334 -2583
tmain@dhs.state.ia.us

Lardass claims in:
http://www.network54.com/Forum/message?forumid=279169&messageid=1099624684
"I am knowledgeable about History and World affairs....."

Yet he posted this:
Lloyd Asswipe Davies<N0VFP@AOL.COMNOSPAM proves is as ignorant of
history and
politics as he is in everything else when he "wrote" in message
<19990823214439.01713.00000283@ng-cd1.aol.com>...
"There are those call Liberty People "Nazis" What does supposed to
mean?
I thought a Nazi was a communist."

Yeah, you fucking fatassed retard, you sure are "knowledgeable about
History and World Affairs"....DUMBASS!

# 1023. Re: stevie contiues his feeble effort to parctice medicne over the net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:29:44 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131726584.782053.115280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123587

Shiteating LLoyd Davies wrote:
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131639098.078249.46400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > I'm still waiting to hear back about that supposed "threat" I made,
> > Marky. Don't tell me you were lying out of your faggot mouth again.
> >
> >
> That sure brought back some memories, I remember laying on a warm
> rock over by Hollister Pond near Keney Cove, falling-out-drunk on a
> bottle and

probably sucking dicks, eh, Davies?

# 1024. Re: MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:35:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131726950.839014.74070@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123588

BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 1025. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:37:10 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131727030.227366.262090@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123589

We all know Marky hates women, being the sexual deviant he is.

# 1026. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:38:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131727099.946364.125570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

BWHAHAHAHAHA!!!!

# 1027. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:41:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lR3df.466$c27.249@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123591

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:

>> To start, I'm sorry
>> for the name thing, but you've got to understand that over here Ashley
>> is strictly a FEMALE NAME.
>
> No, it's not.
>
> I work with an Ashley, V E R Y male, and one of my first mentors
> in Amateur Radio was an Ashley, also decidedly male. Both born and
> raised in the United States.
>
>> The male counterpart is ASH, If you don't
>> believe me I'll be more then happy then to send you a ####ing baby name
>> book and you can see for your ####ing self.
>
> And will it include all your profanities?

Todd's just made an Ash of himself. ;-)

Dave K8MN

# 1028. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:41:58 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131727318.797988.278710@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys whined:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> > Wrong again. But you make a career out of not knowing what the hell you
> > arfe talking about, don't you?
>
> Do you know what you 'arfe' talking about?

Geeze, you fucking twat Let's see what you have typed:

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
school once again in
<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

Why is it you never address your OWN numerous fuck ups, fake pilot's
license BOY?

>LOL at the Glendale retard!!!

LOL at the fucking cunt who sees Roger Wiseman behind every post.
You are OWNED, bitch!

# 1029. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:43:28 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131727408.863536.100460@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123593

Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:

Wrong as usual, fuck up. You see your OWNER everywhere don't you?

> > But you certainly *are* a cartoon, you fucking imbecile.
> >
>
> LOL

Looking in the mirror again?

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
school once again in
<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

# 1030. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:46:36 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131727596.416744.205900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
> DrDeath wrote:
>
> > Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of us
> > and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
> > FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which is
> > all I am concerned with.
>
> You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
> rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

"Gee, you cber can't even get that right." Butt Cornholer makes good
sentence! Butt Cornholer should quit drinking that woman's beer of bud
lite.

# 1031. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:50:44 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131727843.953819.147110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123596

Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> >
> >
> > Wrong as usual, fuck up. You see your OWNER everywhere don't you?
> >
> >
> >>>But you certainly *are* a cartoon, you fucking imbecile.
> >>>
> >>
> >>LOL
>
> LOL \

Looking in the mirror already this morning?

> You should spend less time on the computer

So should you, matbe you wouldn't post such mangled English.

> and get back to cleaning out those port-a-potties

Why, is the Commode Butt Cornholer hungry for his usual meal of shit
already?

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
school once again in
<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys shows his illiteracy once again
in
<ZpudnWKOENqmnOnenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com>:
"Gee, you cber can't even get that right."

# 1032. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:50:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131727857.102602.191320@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123597

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >>> On Wed, 09 Nov 2005 05:17:15 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >>> wrote:
> > > cut
cut
> >> with words? You proved it above. See your terms "occoured" and "spliting".
> > >
> > > wrong again I simply proved I suffer from Dyslexia and what the doc
> > > termed Dyslexiod aphasia
> >
> Interesting but it doesn't change what I wrote. You are imprecise with
> the written word.

then so what if that is what you mean

bashing for my disablity is not noble behavoir Dave, nor something that
will make me inclined to do as you wish
cuting davei spliting hair again

> > but the issues refer to are my sexuality and my alleged criminality
> > >
> > > both are legaly irrelevant in this matter
> >
> That has yet to be determined.

not as I see it the history of the law on this subject is clear

cut
> >> You don't believe that U.S. states generally follow the laws they have
> >> enacted?
> >
> > not always the US is better about it than most nation but I believe
> > that the US is still imperfect, and liekly always will be to some
> > extent.
> > >
> > > I also know that one of the areas in which the US most often shows its
> > > imperfections is in the treatement of those of its people that are
> > > "defferent" in some way
> >
> Oh, you're "defferent" okay.

yes I am, get over it, you will be happier that way
cut

> >> No, I don't believe that disagreeing is lying.
>
> > yes you do
>
> No, Mark, that is simply incorrect. In fact, I just told you so previously.
>
> >> Lying is lying and
> >> you've done it time and again.
>
> > yes I have so have you and so has Stevie
>
> Keep in mind that you've just admitted to being a liar. Further down
> the post, you'll deny it.

and you have been labeled a liar asnwered the coment and not denied
being liar
>
> >> You aren't credible.
>
> > Why? I am as credible as Stevie or you.
>
> No, Mark, you aren't.

why not?

>You have a number of issues.

such as?

> Lying is just one
> of them.
>
> > you lie you have lied within the past 24 hrs about my claims as to
> > income
>
> So you say. I admitted to being mistaken.

and you have falsely tried to blame me for your mistake

>
> > Stevie has lied he has said I have aids, that I engage in unpropected
> > sex, that I have admited to child mosting
>
> Did you admite to child mosting?

no

>
> > we are on the same footing
>
> No, Mark, we assuredly are not.

why not?

Stevie lies about people, falsely accuses them of crimes, lies about
things he has NO way of knowing

>
> >> There are archived
> >> posts which prove that you've lied.
>
> > as their are for Stevie lots of them
>
> You justify your lies by pointing to someone else?

no i don't

my lies need no defense, since they have harmed no one. "No harm no
foul" is how Lawyers are taught to think when looking at Torts and to
consider this issue in all legal matters

Stevie lies to attack and harm others, I do not

> > cut repating yourself get your stuff dumped
>
> I don't need repating, Mark. I have a full head of hair. By the way,
> you don't really think my words are dumped when you cut them in a reply,
> do you? cut

if you want to be stupid I will not bother with more of your post
if you don't to repaet yourself then don't
I don't care wether you you have any hair lots of it or hairy plams
but you are being stupid to suggest I think cuting your word means I
removeing your post

it is as dumb as Stevies claim that when I cut and not bother with some
of his word I am censoring him

and for that stupid remark the rest of the post is flushed unread

# 1033. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:51:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131727914.404320.150950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123598

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > Do you recall my comments about your imprecision
> > > with words? You proved it above. See your terms "occoured" and "spliting".
> >
> > wrong again I simply proved I suffer from Dyslexia and what the doc
> > termed Dyslexiod aphasia
> >
> > I used the terms pricisely
>
> No, you did not.
>
> You were IMPRECISE in your use of the words.
>
> Your TYPING errors come from laziness and haste, NOT dyslexia.

precticing med without a license
so flushing the rest

## 1034. Re: stevie can post nothing but libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:52:15 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131727935.396477.226770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123599

Says the fat asshole who posts under several dozen of them himself and
sees Roger Wiseman everywhere!
BTW, fix that saggy roof on that broken down farmhouse.

# 1035. Re: MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:52:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131727961.719453.25870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123600

```
K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > not drunk so flushing the rest
>
> Sure you were! Or you wouldn't have made a post "ignoring"
> something you OBVIOUSLY were NOT ignoring!
more stevie lies
```

# 1036. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:53:52 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131728032.244865.200890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

You mean like You, Toad, Brian and Heil?

# 1037. Re: The reason for all the rrap "Liars"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:57:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131728266.031020.150220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123604

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Iitoi wrote:
> > cut
> >
> > > The moral of this story is: Whenever a man lies, it is for a good and
> > > honorable reason, and for the benefit of others.
> > >
> > > That's their story, and they're sticking to it! - "IT OK FOR MARK AND
> > > STEVE TO LIE --- THEY ARE HONORABLE MEN !!!!!!"..
> > > (riiiiiiiiiiiiight!)
> >
> > I don't claim that at all although Stevie might if he was honest enough
> > to admit he did lie. I lie ebcuase it is not safe to to tell the truth
> > about some things in the USA today, not an esp noble reason, but the
> > right to LIFE is cetral in american thought.
>
> What an absolutely assinine, deluded and idiotic rationalization
> for being dishonest and deceitful.

more pmedical practice?

>
> Markie, you claim that you NEED to lie to be safe, but ARE
> YOU...?!?!?

yes I am pretty much

>
> Would all of your lying stop you from being an unfortunate victim
> of a drive-by shooting? Or prevent you from being carjacked? Do you
> think that by trying to hide who you are would stop a meteor from
> landing on you or turn back a tsunami?

your passion for exagering my my claims and spliting hair is famous

if you wish a more precision I lie to increase my safety to same level
as others

I am safe from a drive by shooting there has never been one in this
county, nor a carjacking. and I am certain that No Tsunami has ever hit
houghton county

my lying makes me safe as the oridanary fellow form the reulsts of my
politcal actism what was the point
flushing the ranting of nutcase

# 1038. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 16:57:54 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1131728274.740640.171330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Neither do you, shit eater.

# 1039. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:03:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131728629.912226.66250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123606

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 4:41 pm
> >
cut
> > Jimmie
>
> Why can Lennie not address people the way they address him, even
> when the way they address him is the way he says he wants it...?!?!

Len or lennie if you like would look pretty silly adressing Jim as le
or lennie
>
> Maybe that's why Lennie can't get the respect and credibility he
> THINKS he deserves?

maybe but I doub't it

more likely it results from whatever rot seems to get into some folks
brains on the subject of Morse Code

cut stevie taking up noncomenting

> Not one rational or responsible word addressing Jim's comments.
> Just grade school jibberish.

gee maybe I should go back and show your lack of rational responses
cut..
>
> Putz.

do you talk that way at work?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1040. MD man of 100 callsigns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:07:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131728827.133693.249260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123607

KH6HZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
cut
> I have to admit I do get a chuckle out of some of characters. It is
> amusing to see the Lt. General Vice Admiral Morgan's posts (did he get
> that dyslexic code-waiver yet?) and I see Lennie still hates hams and
> ham radios as much as ever. So much negativity and vitriol from that
> man, indeed, like I once said years ago, he's much like my
> long-departed paternal grandmother -- is miserable in life and has to
> make everyone else miserable around him. Such negativity must just suck
> the essence of life from your soul.

intersting a whole paragraph not telling the truth about anything
>
> Me, I'm too busy enjoying life to get involved with the nonsense that
> goes on in here anymore. I split my time between RI and HI. Spending
> time with my 3 young ones, riding my motorcycle, SCUBA diving, hiking,
> hunting, fishing, and oh yeah, getting on the rig a couple times a week
> and making a few contacts. Just two weeks ago I was teaching my SO how
> HF propagation works, and ended up spending 90 minutes chatting on 20
> meters with a dude in Florida who just retired after 20+ years with
> NASA.

more lies (that you can't get involved here while geting involved
>
> Let's see... In my left hand... Doing what I'm doing and making
> interesting contacts on HF... in my right hand... arguing day-in and
> day-out on the same topic over and over again with the same nitwits in
> a newsgroup beating a dead horse to death even more. Hmmmm... which one
> would I rather do?
>
> Drop me an email sometime. I doubt I'll post here again before I croak.

hope you are teling the truth for once but....

# 1041. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:07:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131728841.236942.229410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123608

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > ##### you steve, crawl back under that ####ing rock you came from you
> > ####ing slimeball
>
> You shouldn't talk like that while looking at yourself in the
> mirror, Junior DJ.

and you call my psting confuded?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1042. stevie newest attack thread a preety feeble one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:20:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131729648.885281.125240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123609

K4YZ wrote:
> In another thread, Mark Mogan made these disgusting comments:
>
> > Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
> > not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff
>
> WHOA! You go on and on about "disagreeing is not lying", etc, and
> yet you call a WOMAN who has NEVER used such language about YOU a
> BITCH...?!?!

I believe Dee is female yes. who other than a female should I call an
elitist bitch?

it would be very unlikely that DEE would call me an elitist bitch,
elist bastard perhaps

Dee BTW has publicly stated she does not object to me addressing her in
that manner

I have not lied in calling her an elitist bitch, she donmantrated to my
satifction that she is an elitist bitch, she ractices elitism thought
insults people based on license class she is feamel etc all in all
meeting the qualifactions for the title

>
> You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
> mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!

ah Dee can't defend herself from something she feels she need no
defending from. bad as Dan

but you show your violent nature again Stevie you are constantly
suggesting violence, not the act of a civlised man
>
> Considering she ALSO lives in Michigan, and there may very well be
> a MR Flint, I'd think that an APOLOGY for the poor choice of adjectives
> might be in order!

you think? never senn much evidence of that

after what does the fact she live in Michigan have to do anything or
the existance or non existance of Mr Flint

I don't apologize for calling you a piece of shit or to her for calling
her an elitist bitch
>
> You've uttered one disgusting and deceitful lie after another,

> Morgan, but of all your newsgroup trashings, THIS has got to be the
> singularly most spiteful, hurthful and irresponsible piece of trash to
> EVER come from you.

It is several weeks to perhaps 2 months old

indeed that I can call her an elist without her objection is one the
reason I still hold some respect for her, she could have more and
become the most repsected by me of the Procoder's by stating something
"you are tintitled to your opinion on the subject"

but calling her an elitist bitch is mild compared to the disgusting
posts that have come from your keyboard
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1043. stevie latest attack thread feeber than normal even for him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:22:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131729758.528559.303870@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123610

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> We all know Marky hates women, being the sexual deviant he is.

another pair of lies Mr Slit I neither hate women nor am I deviant

# 1044. Re: I am turning the asylum over to the inmates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:38:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131730710.836775.293710@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.usenet.kooks

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> You mean like You, Toad, Brian and Heil?

on the off chance you realy want anybody to pay much attention to you,
you might make easier for them to do so by leaving something of the
messages you are repliing to for context

# 1045. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:43:47 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl2l85$u28$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:ZpudnWKOENqmnOnenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> DrDeath wrote:
>
> > Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most
of us
> > and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
> > FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which
is
> > all I am concerned with.
>
> You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
> rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
> >
> >

Surely its "Most of us couldnt give a rats arse about K1MAN" you amateur
Amateur. D'oh......

# 1046. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:45:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131731108.634651.38830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Nurse Lada Boobs wrote:
> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
>
>
> k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !
>
> I mean it folks.
>
> One minute he's biting your ankles like
> an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
> he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
> and then wants to lick you like a puppy.
>
> This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.

or something like this.

but everyone knows it and yet the FCC chooses him to set an example. I
consider that shamefull

>
> For Glen's own good, I really hope he's on Prozac, Paxil, Luvox,
> Valium or some other orally administered psychotropic drug.
>
> If not I am afraid he'll show up on the 6:00 news some night as
> the latest ham op who went apeshit someplace......(you know
> hams...their usually crazy SOB's to begin with !!)

# 1047. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:46:53 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl2ldv$f99$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jan Panteltje" <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:dl22ug$ntb$1@news.datemas.de...
> On a sunny day (11 Nov 2005 01:40:55 -0800) it happened
> hudleypearse@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1131702055.413172.295700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >Maybe they're not grounding their tinfoil hats.
> >
> >Hudley Pearse
> >
> It is common knowledge that governments have burried underground
> transmission stations.
> These beam up in the microwave range, and the hats focus (like a dish)
> the radiation in the brain.
>
> The recommended solution is to glue the hat on, and walk on your hands,
> upside down.

Thanks for the warning... I will go and cancel my "tin hat" order right away
;o)

# 1048. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:48:32 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <RNqdnYLkUsVTV-nenZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123594

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
>
>
> Wrong as usual, fuck up. You see your OWNER everywhere don't you?
>
>
>>>But you certainly *are* a cartoon, you fucking imbecile.
>>>
>>
>>LOL

LOL at the Glendale retard!!! You should spend less time on the computer
and get back to cleaning out those port-a-potties wogie, you're getting
behind.

# 1049. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:52:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131731548.611034.236300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123615

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
cut

> > You should spend less time on the computer
>
> So should you, matbe you wouldn't post such mangled English.

posting the dreck bloew you have NO CALL to talk about mangled english
>
> > and get back to cleaning out those port-a-potties
>
> Why, is the Commode Butt Cornholer hungry for his usual meal of shit
> already?
>
> Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
> spell "loser"in
> <IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
> "Again you loose two-test"
>
> Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
> skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
> <9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
> "you got a wellfare......"
>
> n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
> Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> "Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!
>
> Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
> <udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
> "Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"
>
> Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
> <WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
> "two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"
>
> Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
> all upset in
> <utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
> "fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!
>
> In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
> Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:

> "stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"
>
> Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
> <ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
> "Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!
>
> Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
> <ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
> "Yea, I really dance"
>
> In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
> his Pulizter prize English skills
> and blathered:
> "Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."
>
> Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
> <SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
> "slobberd"
>
> Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
> license, all the while showing off his English skills in
> <F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
> "You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"
>
> Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
> out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
> <5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
> "Is that you peaking out"
>
> Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
> school once again in
> <VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
> "oinions"
>
> Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys shows his illiteracy once again
> in
> <ZpudnWKOENqmnOnenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com>:
> "Gee, you cber can't even get that right."

# 1050. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:53:12 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl2lpq$crr$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

<eternalsquire@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1131694672.489954.115870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> But there is no such thing as true UK cuisine, it is all bangers and
> wellingtons and steak and kidney pie washed down with lots and lots and
> lots of Guiness.
>
> But enough about Brits, who are otherwise creators of fine cars, time
> traveler shows, absurdist sitcoms, and who invented the art of sarcasm.
>
> The Eternal Squire

I thought Guinness was the drink of the Irish... I personally cant stand the stuff, surely its a "nice cup of tea"... Steak and Kidney is Rank...Much better mince beef and onion, or chicken and mushroom where it comes to pies... As for fine cars?? that surely is sarcasm ;o) .... "you have learned well young Jedi"...
Regards,
Graham

## 1051. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:54:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131731647.609112.91470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123617
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath wrote:
> What is Ham Radio?
>
you suose you could enlighten us what you get out posting a reporting
this drivel!

# 1052. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:54:27 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <RNqdnb3kUsWsUenenZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123602
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ernest W Block wrote:
> "Dr CB Nomore" <cb@cbnomorefo.net> wrote in message
> news:dl12cm$7bl$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>>why do you hams bother with a license?
>>the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
>>radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.
>>
>
>
>
> I posed this question to U.S. Senator Hillary Clinton's office
> and was told that a "blanket license" covered channels 1-40.
> All channels above 40 are unassigned and no license is required
> to operate there. The same thing applies to all channels below
> channel one. However, hams operating below channel one
> are limited to 500 watts, while hams operating above channel
> 40 can run unlimited power.
>
> 7 thirds,
>
> Ernie
>
>
>

Pure BS.

# 1053. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:55:47 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <RNqdnbzkUsUcUenenZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ernest W Block wrote:
> "Dr CB Nomore" <cb@cbnomorefo.net> wrote in message
> news:dl12cm$7bl$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>>why do you hams bother with a license?
>>the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
>>radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.
>>
>
>
>
> I posed this question to U.S. Senator Hillary Clinton's office
> and was told that a "blanket license" covered channels 1-40.
> All channels above 40 are unassigned and no license is required
> to operate there. The same thing applies to all channels below
> channel one. However, hams operating below channel one
> are limited to 500 watts, while hams operating above channel
> 40 can run unlimited power.
>
> 7 thirds,
>
> Ernie
>
>
>

Pure BS. Senator Hitlery's office doesn't know squat about the FCC rules.

# 1054. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 17:58:22 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl2m3g$d3o$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

<lucifer@eternal-flames.gov> wrote in message
news:k9m8n1h57d4dkdgrk2ffn42jq8c8os9ren@4ax.com...
> On 10 Nov 2005 23:37:52 -0800, eternalsquire@comcast.net wrote:
>
> >But there is no such thing as true UK cuisine, it is all bangers and
> >wellingtons and steak and kidney pie washed down with lots and lots and
> >lots of Guiness.
>
> But the beer - Guinness or otherwise - must be warm.
> Any vegetables must be boiled long enough for whatever taste/texture
> they originally had, to be completely lost - including the salads -
> and the meat must be so messed about with that you can't tell if it
> was steak or kidney.
>
> Nick - Who actually likes the people living that side of the pond.

Messed about with?? normally they come in chunks of pure cut steak but the
kidney... uuurgh.. hate it in any shape or form. If your speaking from
experience you must have visited some really dodgy restaurants while you
were over here. As far as salads go.. they arent cooked they are simply
washed and put on the plate. Fancy boiling a salad.. lol...
Graham... who also likes "most" Americans ;o)

# 1055. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 18:06:09 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl2mi3$4rc$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:beednSvFl_O3qeneRVn-hQ@gbronline.com...
> Lloyd Lloyd Lloyd Everywhere wrote:
> > kindly refrain from calling Roger a retard. I'll have you
> > know that Roger holds a correspondence Masters Degree from
> > DeVry, and he proudly posts it over his Fry Cook grill at
> > Burger King.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Sorry...didn't know that. LOL!!!!!!

Oh...thanks for putting me off Burger King... :o(

# 1056. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 18:08:14 UTC
From: huLLy <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
Message-ID: <2M6dnTyLK-ONQ-nenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@giganews.com>
Source record: 123620
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

an old friend wrote:
> Polymath wrote:
>> What is Ham Radio?
>>
> you suose you could enlighten us what you get out posting a reporting
> this drivel!

Just cancel it. Shall I tell you how to do that?
--
huLLy
Mobile phone 07976 123278
ICQ 136-987-925

# 1057. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 18:13:29 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@gwi.net>
Message-ID: <yaOdnfm0Pv2lQuneRVn-pA@giganews.com>
Source record: 123621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

well, you could always wear the hat on your ass, and it would block out the radiation from these "underground" sources.... but of course, this would open you to other radiation from other directions, unless you also wear one on your head. the best solution to looking "normal" here would be to line your grundies with tinfoil....

"Jan Panteltje" <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:dl22ug$ntb$1@news.datemas.de...
> On a sunny day (11 Nov 2005 01:40:55 -0800) it happened
> hudleypearse@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1131702055.413172.295700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>Maybe they're not grounding their tinfoil hats.
>>
>>Hudley Pearse
>>
> It is common knowledge that governments have burried underground
> transmission stations.
> These beam up in the microwave range, and the hats focus (like a dish)
> the radiation in the brain.
>
> The recommended solution is to glue the hat on, and walk on your hands,
> upside down.
>
>

# 1058. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 18:35:24 UTC
From: Ernest W Block <zaw@ernieblocker.net>
Message-ID: <dl2o6p$5j6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:RNqdnbzkUsUcUenenZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> Ernest W Block wrote:
>> "Dr CB Nomore" <cb@cbnomorefo.net> wrote in message
>> news:dl12cm$7bl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>>>why do you hams bother with a license?
>>>the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
>>>radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.
>>>
>>
>>
>>
>> I posed this question to U.S. Senator Hillary Clinton's office
>> and was told that a "blanket license" covered channels 1-40.
>> All channels above 40 are unassigned and no license is required
>> to operate there. The same thing applies to all channels below
>> channel one. However, hams operating below channel one
>> are limited to 500 watts, while hams operating above channel
>> 40 can run unlimited power.
>>
>> 7 thirds,
>>
>> Ernie
>>
>>
>>
>
> Pure BS. Senator Hitlery's office doesn't know squat about the FCC rules.

Well of course her *office* doesn't know squat about FCC rules, but
her FCC specialist staff member did and does know about FCC rules,
especially since she is in contact with one of the commissioners. You
really need to take a look at your aggressive attitude. I think Roger
can help you.

7 thirds,

Ernie

# 1059. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 18:41:43 UTC
From: Ernest W Block <zaw@ernieblocker.net>
Message-ID: <dl2oie$77r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131731108.634651.38830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Nurse Lada Boobs wrote:
>> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
>>
>>
>> k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !
>>
>> I mean it folks.
>>
>> One minute he's biting your ankles like
>> an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
>> he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
>> and then wants to lick you like a puppy.
>>
>> This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.
>
> or something like this.
>
> but everyone knows it and yet the FCC chooses him to set an example. I
> consider that shamefull

So, what are you saying? Are you implying you have access to
K1MAN's medical records? Please explain how you gained
access to Mr Baxter's medical records or how you are qualified
to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
residency in psychiatry?

# 1060. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 18:57:33 UTC
From: krackula <krackula@i.am>
Message-ID: <57q9n1ln0t092ohr3aiuoatkn6i4ecv6u9@4ax.com>
Source record: 123627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 13:13:29 -0500, "Zombie Wolf" <zmbwf@gwi.net>
wrote:

>well, you could always wear the hat on your ass, and it would block out the
>radiation from these "underground" sources.... but of course, this would
>open you to other radiation from other directions, unless you also wear one
>on your head. the best solution to looking "normal" here would be to line
>your grundies with tinfoil....

thats not necessary , as the nice folks at LessEMF already have you
covered ( sic ). just see the products here
http://www.lessemf.com/personal.html ,

especially the first type on the page. protection from all those "
rays " is easy when you know where to shop !!!

k ...........

>
>"Jan Panteltje" <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:dl22ug$ntb$1@news.datemas.de...
>> On a sunny day (11 Nov 2005 01:40:55 -0800) it happened
>> hudleypearse@hotmail.com wrote in
>> <1131702055.413172.295700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>>>Maybe they're not grounding their tinfoil hats.
>>>
>>>Hudley Pearse
>>>
>> It is common knowledge that governments have burried underground
>> transmission stations.
>> These beam up in the microwave range, and the hats focus (like a dish)
>> the radiation in the brain.
>>
>> The recommended solution is to glue the hat on, and walk on your hands,
>> upside down.
>>
>>
>

# 1061. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 19:20:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131736803.541379.71070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123628

well you piece of shit as far I as see it YOUR the one sending these letters through the blogs.

# 1062. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 19:38:44 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <4376f24b.21562134@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 123629
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 14:45:32 GMT, Dave <g3vfp@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote:

>Thank you Mr Bean, an interesting read :-)
>
>Dave

I know you jest with the praise Dave but why quote Bean's diatribe again in full? Many of us have kill filed Bean in the hope we never see any of his stuff again so it comes as a shock to the system to see his verbal;garbage once more... it hasn't changed in years.

I won' t see Beanie's reply to this post as he's in my kill file but I guess it will contain the words " You behave like a child... grow up OM!" He has macro keys on his computer to generate most of this stuff..

73

Peter, G3PHO

# 1063. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 19:42:51 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <yfCdnWY-t5EEeOneRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123624

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:

>
>>You should spend less time on the computer
>
>
> So should you, matbe you wouldn't post such mangled English.

"Matbe" you shouldn't post such mangled English. LOL!!!!!

## 1064. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 19:44:48 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <yfCdnWE-t5GTe-neRVn-qA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123625

an old friend wrote:

>
> and you call my psting confuded?

I would call that 'psting confuded'.

# 1065. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 19:52:52 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <4374f696$0$41140$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 123630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
news:57q9n1ln0t092ohr3aiuoatkn6i4ecv6u9@4ax.com...
> thats not necessary , as the nice folks at LessEMF already have you
> covered ( sic ). just see the products here
> http://www.lessemf.com/personal.html ,
>
> especially the first type on the page. protection from all those "
> rays " is easy when you know where to shop !!!

Ii like the looks of the Deluxe Shielding Dress Shirt. I wonder how that would go at airport security? Then there's a shorts. You'd be stripped to nothing but socks and still setting off alarms!

And the hat, wow. "Many people can actually sense the differences in the levels of "mind noise" from RF radiation. Provide your brain a quiet place without interference to your mental processes from RF radiation." I'd love to do a double blind test with placebo hats and see the results!

B

# 1066. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 19:55:08 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <QMGdnVfWUf3mdeneRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Ernest W Block wrote:
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
> news:RNqdnbzkUsUcUenenZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@gbronline.com...
>
>>Ernest W Block wrote:
>>
>>>"Dr CB Nomore" <cb@cbnomorefo.net> wrote in message
>>>news:dl12cm$7bl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>
>>>
>>>>why do you hams bother with a license?
>>>>the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
>>>>radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>I posed this question to U.S. Senator Hillary Clinton's office
>>>and was told that a "blanket license" covered channels 1-40.
>>>All channels above 40 are unassigned and no license is required
>>>to operate there. The same thing applies to all channels below
>>>channel one. However, hams operating below channel one
>>>are limited to 500 watts, while hams operating above channel
>>>40 can run unlimited power.
>>>
>>>7 thirds,
>>>
>>>Ernie
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Pure BS. Senator Hitlery's office doesn't know squat about the FCC rules.
>
>
>
> Well of course her *office* doesn't know squat about FCC rules, but
> her FCC specialist staff member did and does know about FCC rules,
> especially since she is in contact with one of the commissioners. You
> really need to take a look at your aggressive attitude.
>
>
> 7 thirds,
```

>
> Ernie
>
>
>
Her staff member obviously doesn't know squat either. The frequencies
below and above cb channels 1-40 *are* allocated to other services and
to operate on those frequencies without the proper permit or license is
illegal. Hams can only operate on frequencies allocated to the amateur
radio service and are not limited to 500 watts. Sounds like her staff
member is as stupid as you are.

> I think Roger can help you.

Poor 2test wogie can't even help himself.

# 1067. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 19:55:36 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131738936.743557.117420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123631

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 11, 8:03 am

>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> KH6HZ wrote:
>> > "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:

>> > > Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!
>
>> > You're welcome Steve.
>
>> > 73 de KH6HZ
>
>> Hey, Mike, how've you been? Make it to Hawaii yet?
>
>> bb
>
>KH6HZ
>WE1RD MICHAEL P DEIGNAN REPEATER ASSOCIATION
>KB1CCE RF COMMANDOS RHODE ISLAND CHAPTER
>
>Hi! Looks like you've whittled the superfluous callsingsn down a bit.
>
>Mike, I hope you win the lottery someday so you'll finally be able to
>visit that Hawaiin PO Box that you used to get a KH6 call. Best of
>Luck.

From the ARRL WEB FCC Amateur Radio Enforcement Log - Jan 2, 2001:

"The FCC on December 18, 2000, wrote Amateur Extra licensee
Michael P. Deignan, KH6HZ, regarding 'at least eight club
call signs in your name as trustee.' Six show Rhode Island
addresses; four indicate Hawaii addresses. FCC Special
Counsel for Amateur Radio Engorcement Riley Hollingsworth
noted that all of the call signs were granted in the same
month. All are WH6-prefix call signs. Hollingsworth said the
person whose address was listed for the club call signs in
Hawaii has notified the FCC that he does not want to be
associated with the club call signs and does not want his
address to be used. Hollingsworth requested that Deignan
justify the need for each of the call signs and provide details
of members, meeting times, and meeting minutes for each club.
Hollingsworth also asked Deignan to list any other call signs
issued to him that were not included in the FCC letter.
Hollingsworth said the FCC intends to cancel all of the listed

call signs unless it receives a satisfactory response within
30 days."

==========

Must be that the FCC and Riley Hollingsworth "hate ham radio"
if they go against the wishes of Michael P. Deignan!

Older information shows that "KH6HZ" was a Vanity issue prior
to that license being modified on 25 June 2002 (expiration
date is 14 October 2007). Deignan had KD1HZ prior to the
KH6HZ vanity call.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1068. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 19:57:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131739036.483498.199460@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123632

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 11, 7:21 am

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> > ARRL has a VIRTUAL monopoly on United States amateur radio
>> > publications. Has had that for years.
>
>> "CQ" magazine. "73" magazine. "ham radio" magazine. Bash books.
>> Gordon West publications. "Worldradio" publications. Ameco
>> publications.
>> W5YI.

>"Ham Radio" magazine has been pronounced "defunct" by you. Now you
>claim differently? And Bash, what is the copyright on the latest Bash
>book?

Tsk, tsk. Note that Jimmie refused to capitalize the Ham
Radio magazine name. Ham Radio magazine ceased publication
in 1990. That was 15 years before now. Ham Radio had been
in continuous INDEPENDENT monthly publication for 22 years.

Irony is that the Ham Radio Bookstore got sold to CQ along
with HR and is still in business. HR continued with a
quarterly that became Communications Quarterly under the
CQ aegis, then merged with ARRL's QEX. CQ Communications
made a 3-disk CD on all 22 years worth of HR articles and
sells it over their Book Store for $150. ARRL resells the
same item. :-)

One has only to drop into any HRO and check out their
books-magazines rack/display. ARRL publications far
out-pace ALL others. Just a glance at the ARRL web page
will show books of all sorts on amateur radio for sale,
including fiction novels. The nearest competitor is CQ
Communications products through its Book Store via mail
order.

>I do like World Radio and think "thier" SAR columns are excellent. I
>need to subscribe to them now that the ARRL has lost my membership or
>19 years. They should have let Carl run and take his chances with the
>rest of the contenders. Last Straw. Too bad for the ARRL.

ARRL can do no wrong. Ever.

May Jimmie dwell in the house of the Board forever.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1069. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 19:58:08 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <4375f163.21329670@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 123633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

On 10 Nov 2005 23:37:52 -0800, eternalsquire@comcast.net wrote:

>But there is no such thing as true UK cuisine, it is all bangers and
>wellingtons and steak and kidney pie washed down with lots and lots and
>lots of Guiness.

Guiness is NOT a UK drink... those Irish folk invented that, begorrah!

The UK national drink is tea , made with milk, hot water (and tea of
course)... none of the iced tea you Texans seem to like. :-)

Steak and kidney pie is actually better washed down with one of the
Aussie lagers!

Peter, G3PHO

# 1070. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 20:20:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ernest W Block wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131731108.634651.38830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Nurse Lada Boobs wrote:
> >> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
> >>
> >>
> >> k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !
> >>
> >> I mean it folks.
> >>
> >> One minute he's biting your ankles like
> >> an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
> >> he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
> >> and then wants to lick you like a puppy.
> >>
> >> This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.
> >
> > or something like this.
> >
> > but everyone knows it and yet the FCC chooses him to set an example. I
> > consider that shamefull
>
>
>
> So, what are you saying? Are you implying you have access to
> K1MAN's medical records?
not impling it sating it quite directly I have as does anybody that has
read the record of the case has limited access

By virtue of the paper trail in volved in the long history i know that
he has mental haelth problems Bipolar disorder is reffered by name (it
may not be the right diagnosis but it is a clear
> Please explain how you gained
> access to Mr Baxter's medical records

by reading the record of letters in the case
>or how you are qualified
> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
> residency in psychiatry?

neither of the above is required

## 1071. the man of 100o callsigns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 20:25:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131740714.489281.297310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123635

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 11, 8:03 am
>
>
> >hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> KH6HZ wrote:
> >> > "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >> > > Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!
> >
> >> > You're welcome Steve.
> >
> >> > 73 de KH6HZ
> >
> >> Hey, Mike, how've you been? Make it to Hawaii yet?
> >
> >> bb
> >
> >KH6HZ
> >WE1RD MICHAEL P DEIGNAN REPEATER ASSOCIATION
> >KB1CCE RF COMMANDOS RHODE ISLAND CHAPTER
> >
> >Hi! Looks like you've whittled the superfluous callsingsn down a bit.
> >
> >Mike, I hope you win the lottery someday so you'll finally be able to
> >visit that Hawaiin PO Box that you used to get a KH6 call. Best of
> >Luck.
>
> From the ARRL WEB FCC Amateur Radio Enforcement Log - Jan 2, 2001:
>
> "The FCC on December 18, 2000, wrote Amateur Extra licensee
> Michael P. Deignan, KH6HZ, regarding 'at least eight club
> call signs in your name as trustee.' Six show Rhode Island
> addresses; four indicate Hawaii addresses. FCC Special
> Counsel for Amateur Radio Engorcement Riley Hollingsworth
> noted that all of the call signs were granted in the same
> month. All are WH6-prefix call signs. Hollingsworth said the
> person whose address was listed for the club call signs in
> Hawaii has notified the FCC that he does not want to be
> associated with the club call signs and does not want his
> address to be used. Hollingsworth requested that Deignan
> justify the need for each of the call signs and provide details
> of members, meeting times, and meeting minutes for each club.
> Hollingsworth also asked Deignan to list any other call signs

> issued to him that were not included in the FCC letter.
> Hollingsworth said the FCC intends to cancel all of the listed
> call signs unless it receives a satisfactory response within
> 30 days."
>
gee I have letter in my file from the FCC and as we know from Stevie
that is the standard of a Good Ham, after all Stevie cited that his
lack of any enforcement letters allowed him to say with authority that
I was bound to understand the rules and could punished by the FCC
merely for this lack

# 1072. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 20:32:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131741154.896824.75430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 19:40:27 -0800, an old friend wrote:
>
> >
> > Dr CB Nomore wrote:
> >> why do you hams bother with a license?
> >> the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
> >> radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.
>
> The USA signed the ITU treaty thus we have internationally agreed to it's
> requirements, one of which is to prove that anybody operating an Amateur
> Radio Station meets the minimum proficiency requirements as outlined in
> that treaty. The US congress ratified the the agreement, making it
> official and legally binding, and they have delegated the authority to
> enforce the treaty requirements to a federal agency, a.k.a FCC, by an act
> of congress.
>
> By requiring the operator to have a license shows they meet
> the treaty minimum requirements by passing tests before they are issued
> the license. The FCC can impose higher standards but the minimum is set by
> the ITU treaty agreement. As any body who should have taken government
> class in grade school the first thing you learn is the rights granted in
> the Constitution are not absolute, i.e. they have bounds.

OTOH prior to signing the treaty his analys would have merit he is just
50-75 years out of date must on a realy long propagation path is all

BTW the FCC can impose hgher standard than the treaty requires but the
treaty does not empoer the FCC to violate other tenensts of the law or
US constitution (not saying YOU were imping it could making the general
stament)
>
> Regards,
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

## 1073. trying to eleimate someones ignorance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 20:35:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131741301.123136.41480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20051110192258.416$ce@newsreader.com...
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > >> On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 07:41:30 -0500, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > You still haven't figured it out,
> > >>
> > >> I can say that again. How about trying some of your famous header
> > >> (miss) reading on this post?
> > >>
> > > Fuck off, twat.
> >
> > That's spelled "twistedhed". 8-))
> >
> I don't see it.
>
> http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg
>
> You want to play spam games? Is that arrl mailbox a big one?
the ARRL does not provide a mailbox at all if forward a memeber of the
ARRL ( and only a meber who is good standing and signs up for this
service) to another address much as Amsat.org does (but to any ham that
wants it)

# 1074. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 20:47:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131742055.415968.151200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123638

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:<1131581086.108596.33510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>...
>
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > From: kb9...@amsat.org on Nov 8, 5:55 pm
>
> > > >On 8 Nov 2005 17:18:20 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > >> Carl Stevenson was elected Member at Large of the IEEE Standards
>
> > > >> Association in IEEE news e-mailed to members today.
>
> Just to clarify, it's actually a seat on the IEEE-SA Board of Governors - a
> role that Dennis Bodson, ARRL Roanoake Division Director held for some time
> in parallel with his ARRL position.
>
> [snip]

congrats again

Oh BTW I was talking someone at the Us Attorneys office yesterday ran
into someone (my Memeber in NCI was german to the discussion) she had
heard someone going about how someone named Carl Stevenson of NCI was
the "anitichirst" turns she knows a ham, a procoder one assumes
cut
> > btw, it is interesting to note the name of Carl's firm.
>
> Jim is referring to the fact that my company is named "WK3C Wireless LLC"
> ... The simple fact of the matter is that, since "WK3C" is unique to me, it
> was a simple way of assuring that there were no name conflicts when I filed
> the papers to form the company with the PA authorities.

and legaly WK3C means nothing I suspect to the PA authorities (perhaps
something over which you currently hold copyright but nothing more)
>
> 73,
>
> Carl - wk3c

# 1075. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 20:55:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131742537.337463.159700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123639

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> >
> >>You should spend less time on the computer
> >
> >
> > So should you, matbe you wouldn't post such mangled English.
>
> "Matbe" you shouldn't post such mangled English. LOL!!!!!

i never mangle anything that badly

# 1076. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:11:10 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1ycpgo@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1ycpgo@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123641

LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
>
>
> Tsk, tsk. Note that Jimmie refused to capitalize the Ham
> Radio magazine name.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

That would be because "Ham Radio" magazine did not exist. The magazine cover called it "ham radio", not "Ham Radio". See an actual cover at http://webhome.idirect.com/~griffith/hrindex.htm .

But here we have Len manufacturing a "slight" and displaying his patented umbrage in order to perpetuate his "victim of Morse users" status again. So unfortunate that his facts were in disarray and he stands as a victim of his own petulance.

Tsk, tsk.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak

--
Iitoi

# 1077. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:19:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UV7df.546$s14.260@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123643

an old friend wrote:
> Ernest W Block wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1131731108.634651.38830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> Nurse Lada Boobs wrote:
>>>> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>> news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>>>> K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
>>>>
>>>> k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !
>>>>
>>>> I mean it folks.
>>>>
>>>> One minute he's biting your ankles like
>>>> an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
>>>> he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
>>>> and then wants to lick you like a puppy.
>>>>
>>>> This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.
>>> or something like this.
>>>
>>> but everyone knows it and yet the FCC chooses him to set an example. I
>>> consider that shamefull
>>
>>
>> So, what are you saying? Are you implying you have access to
>> K1MAN's medical records?
> not impling it sating it quite directly I have as does anybody that has
> read the record of the case has limited access
>
> By virtue of the paper trail in volved in the long history i know that
> he has mental haelth problems Bipolar disorder is reffered by name (it
> may not be the right diagnosis but it is a clear
>> Please explain how you gained
>> access to Mr Baxter's medical records
>
> by reading the record of letters in the case
>> or how you are qualified
>> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
>> residency in psychiatry?
>
> neither of the above is required
>

Wow! You've just handed Steve a gift, all wrapped in pretty paper and tied up with ribbon.

Dave K8MN

# 1078. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:23:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aZ7df.547$s14.47@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123644

an_old_friend wrote:

> ...my Memeber in NCI was german to the discussion...

Classic.

Ach du lieber!

Dave K8MN

# 1079. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:23:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131744236.736978.111910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123645

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Ernest W Block wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1131731108.634651.38830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
cut

> > by reading the record of letters in the case
> >> or how you are qualified
> >> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
> >> residency in psychiatry?
> >
> > neither of the above is required
> >
>
> Wow! You've just handed Steve a gift, all wrapped in pretty paper and
> tied up with ribbon.

where

Stevie has no access to anything on me it is in part his ampling he
does have such access that is likely to get him inot to trouble

that K1MAN is alleged to suffer from Bipolar dis order is in one the
officail letter that has been posted in the case

No letter from the FCC or law enforcement about me exists
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1080. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:26:11 UTC
From: Ernest W Block <zaw@ernieblocker.net>
Message-ID: <dl3271$lor$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:QMGdnVfWUf3mdeneRVn-pA@gbronline.com...
> Ernest W Block wrote:
>> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
>> news:RNqdnbzkUsUcUenenZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@gbronline.com...
>>
>>>Ernest W Block wrote:
>>>
>>>>"Dr CB Nomore" <cb@cbnomorefo.net> wrote in message
>>>>news:dl12cm$7bl$0@pita.alt.net...
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>why do you hams bother with a license?
>>>>>the Tenth Ammendment clearly allows anyone to operate any
>>>>>radio without a license on any frequency at anytime or place.
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>I posed this question to U.S. Senator Hillary Clinton's office
>>>>and was told that a "blanket license" covered channels 1-40.
>>>>All channels above 40 are unassigned and no license is required
>>>>to operate there. The same thing applies to all channels below
>>>>channel one. However, hams operating below channel one
>>>>are limited to 500 watts, while hams operating above channel
>>>>40 can run unlimited power.
>>>>
>>>>7 thirds,
>>>>
>>>>Ernie
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>>Pure BS. Senator Hitlery's office doesn't know squat about the FCC rules.
>>
>>
>>
>> Well of course her *office* doesn't know squat about FCC rules, but
>> her FCC specialist staff member did and does know about FCC rules,
>> especially since she is in contact with one of the commissioners. You
>> really need to take a look at your aggressive attitude. 7 thirds,
>>

>> Ernie
>>
>>
>>
> Her staff member obviously doesn't know squat either. The frequencies
> below and above cb channels 1-40 *are* allocated to other services and to
> operate on those frequencies without the proper permit or license is
> illegal. Hams can only operate on frequencies allocated to the amateur
> radio service and are not limited to 500 watts. Sounds like her staff
> member is as stupid as you are.
>
>> I think Roger can help you.
>
> Poor 2test wogie can't even help himself.

Two things come to mind. One, you have resorted to name calling, which
means you have lost the argument. Two, Democrats always resort to
name calling when they are losing an argument, so it would appear you
are a Democrat. Lastly, your whole premise is moot, since the
Tenth Ammendment trumps all regulation of radio frequencies, thereby
making the FCC an outlaw agency, with no basis in our Constitution.
BTW, Roger is a Democrat, and a very liberal one too. He's on SSI,
so that is not surprising.

# 1081. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:28:08 UTC
From: Ernest W Block <zaw@ernieblocker.net>
Message-ID: <dl32ag$m3a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ernest W Block wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1131731108.634651.38830@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > Nurse Lada Boobs wrote:
>> >> <netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> k1man needs to see a headshrinker, and I mean FAST !
>> >>
>> >> I mean it folks.
>> >>
>> >> One minute he's biting your ankles like
>> >> an enraged Chihuahua dog, the next minute
>> >> he's on his back wanting you to rub his tummy
>> >> and then wants to lick you like a puppy.
>> >>
>> >> This guy is definitely got a BIPOLAR DISORDER.
>> >
>> > or something like this.
>> >
>> > but everyone knows it and yet the FCC chooses him to set an example. I
>> > consider that shamefull
>>
>>
>>
>> So, what are you saying? Are you implying you have access to
>> K1MAN's medical records?
> not impling it sating it quite directly I have as does anybody that has
> read the record of the case has limited access
>
> By virtue of the paper trail in volved in the long history i know that
> he has mental haelth problems Bipolar disorder is reffered by name (it
> may not be the right diagnosis but it is a clear
>> Please explain how you gained
>> access to Mr Baxter's medical records
>
> by reading the record of letters in the case

>>or how you are qualified
>> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
>> residency in psychiatry?
>
> neither of the above is required
>

Yes "doctor," of course your "diagnosis" is beyond question.
Good luck in the contest, AND your obsession with K1MAN's
personal affairs.

# 1082. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:34:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131744881.219438.187530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123648

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > ...my Memeber in NCI was german to the discussion...
>
> Classic.

does it truely give you pleasure to laugh at another weaknesses?

I have studied Christianity and by that stadard you are sick moraly
sick
>
> Ach du lieber!
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1083. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:35:11 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl32q7$phh$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Lancer" <lancer@rock..com> wrote in message
news:4374fb62.20112312@2355323778...
> On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 19:58:08 GMT, microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk (Peter)
> wrote:
>
> >On 10 Nov 2005 23:37:52 -0800, eternalsquire@comcast.net wrote:
> >
> >>But there is no such thing as true UK cuisine, it is all bangers and
> >>wellingtons and steak and kidney pie washed down with lots and lots and
> >>lots of Guiness.
> >
> >
> >Guiness is NOT a UK drink... those Irish folk invented that, begorrah!
> >
> >The UK national drink is tea , made with milk, hot water (and tea of
> >course)... none of the iced tea you Texans seem to like. :-)
> >
> >Steak and kidney pie is actually better washed down with one of the
> >Aussie lagers!
> >
> >Peter, G3PHO
>
> Isn't Ireland a part of the U.K?

Southern Ireland isnt. And most of Northern Ireland would disagree too....

# 1084. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:38:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131745126.017647.324080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ernest W Block wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut

> > by reading the record of letters in the case
> >>or how you are qualified
> >> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
> >> residency in psychiatry?
> >
> > neither of the above is required
> >
>
>
> Yes "doctor," of course your "diagnosis" is beyond question.
> Good luck in the contest, AND your obsession with K1MAN's
> personal affairs.

I don't care anything about his personal affiars, no interest at all.
My interest in K1MAN is limited to the interest I think we all should
have in the FCC trying to use and exploit someone less able to mount an
effective defense of himself to establish precedent that might be used
against the rest of us

as to his pesonal affiars, I don't care he ma be bipolar (except as
above) or for that matter if he fucks chickens (as long as he own said
chickens

# 1085. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:41:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131745314.783907.171860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123652

an aisde I recevie ole Buzzes post about an hour before it was sent

perhaps he is a space cadet with access to FTL drive even

share Buzz share
Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> >>
> >>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>
> >>>>You should spend less time on the computer
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>So should you, matbe you wouldn't post such mangled English.
> >>
> >>"Matbe" you shouldn't post such mangled English. LOL!!!!!
> >
> >
> > i never mangle anything that badly
> >
>
> When it comes to mangling, it's hard to out do 2test wogie.

# 1086. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:45:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6i8df.559$104.313@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123653

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>
>>> ...my Memeber in NCI was german to the discussion...
>> Classic.
>
> does it truely give you pleasure to laugh at another weaknesses?

Do you by chance mean the weakness which causes you to refuse to use a spell checker and refer to your butchery of the English language as "not my probelm"?

> I have studied Christianity and by that stadard you are sick moraly
> sick

I'm not apt to take any advice you provide on morality to heart. Remember, Mark, you just claimed yesterday that you are doing this stuff deliberately to make it difficult to read. I'm not your target audience. Do you recall that?

>> Ach du lieber!
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>

# 1087. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:49:12 UTC
From: rtc <rsmith98@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <11na4f08nueop1d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Anything worth doing is worth doing
right:

http://zapatopi.net/afdb/

"Brad" <bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:4374f696$0$41140$14726298@news.sunsite.dk...
>
> "krackula" <krackula@i.am> wrote in message
> news:57q9n1ln0t092ohr3aiuoatkn6i4ecv6u9@4ax.com...
> > thats not necessary , as the nice folks at LessEMF already have you
> > covered ( sic ). just see the products here
> > http://www.lessemf.com/personal.html ,
> >
> > especially the first type on the page. protection from all those "
> > rays " is easy when you know where to shop !!!
>
>
> Ii like the looks of the Deluxe Shielding Dress Shirt. I wonder how that
> would go at airport security? Then there's a shorts. You'd be stripped to
> nothing but socks and still setting off alarms!
>
> And the hat, wow. "Many people can actually sense the differences in the
> levels of "mind noise" from RF radiation. Provide your brain a quiet place
> without interference to your mental processes from RF radiation." I'd love
> to do a double blind test with placebo hats and see the results!
>
> B
>
>

# 1088. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 21:59:47 UTC
From: eternalsquire@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1131746387.182467.214000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

This reminds me of a funny story:

Once upon a time there was a retired amateur going on a DX-pedition to the South Seas in the spring of '78 who set up his equipment and started operating... unfortunately a terrorist group decided he was an worthwhile target and overran his station. Last thing we heard on SSB was W4<garble> calling Mayday, Mayday, Mayday! <hiss> <static>. A few weeks later a forensics team found nothing but charred wood and bones. The leader of the team reported back to the coroner: "Looks like an old southern ham got roasted at Easter".

The Eternal Squire

# 1089. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 22:06:45 UTC
From: Ernest W Block <zaw@ernieblocker.net>
Message-ID: <dl34ip$120$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131745126.017647.324080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Ernest W Block wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
> cut
>
>> > by reading the record of letters in the case
>> >>or how you are qualified
>> >> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
>> >> residency in psychiatry?
>> >
>> > neither of the above is required
>> >
>>
>>
>> Yes "doctor," of course your "diagnosis" is beyond question.
>> Good luck in the contest, AND your obsession with K1MAN's
>> personal affairs.
>
> I don't care anything about his personal affiars, no interest at all.
> My interest in K1MAN is limited to the interest I think we all should
> have in the FCC trying to use and exploit someone less able to mount an
> effective defense of himself to establish precedent that might be used
> against the rest of us
>
> as to his pesonal affiars, I don't care he ma be bipolar (except as
> above) or for that matter if he fucks chickens (as long as he own said
> chickens
>

You K1MAN haters all deny having an obsession with his personal
affairs, but your actions say otherwise.

## 1090. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 22:08:12 UTC
From: Ernest W Block <zaw@ernieblocker.net>
Message-ID: <dl34lg$1cc$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:7-Kdnazk4ZKRjejenZ2dnUVZ_sWdnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> Ernest W Block wrote:
>>
>>
>> Two things come to mind. One, you have resorted to name calling, which
>> means you have lost the argument.
>
> Really? What name calling?
>
> Two, Democrats always resort to
>> name calling when they are losing an argument, so it would appear you
>> are a Democrat.
>
>
> And you are incorrect again, but then what's new about that?
>
>> Lastly, your whole premise is moot, since the
>> Tenth Ammendment trumps all regulation of radio frequencies, thereby
>> making the FCC an outlaw agency, with no basis in our Constitution.
>
> Wanna bet? Your ignorance knows no bounds.
>
>> BTW, Roger is a Democrat, and a very liberal one too. He's on SSI,
>> so that is not surprising.
>
> No it isn't.

You enjoy arguing with Roger more, so go for it!

# 1091. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 22:08:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131746929.985950.100840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123658

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>
> >>> ...my Memeber in NCI was german to the discussion...
> >> Classic.
> >>
> > does it truely give you pleasure to laugh at another weaknesses?
>
> Do you by chance mean the weakness which causes you to refuse to use a
> spell checker and refer to your butchery of the English language as "not
> my probelm"?

yes obviously your understood the question
>
> > I have studied Christianity and by that stadard you are sick moraly
> > sick
>
> I'm not apt to take any advice you provide on morality to heart.

A ture stament from Dave I my just die of heart failure

> Remember, Mark, you just claimed yesterday that you are doing this stuff
> deliberately to make it difficult to read.

another lie Dave I did not say that exactly

I said since it served my purpose and was the eaiser path I do nothing
to make easier for YOU and that I am pleased it does make it harder for
you( or words to that effect)

nowhere in there except byprocessing it through your mind and you
ASSUMING the rest does one get your mistatement of my postition
> I'm not your target
> audience. Do you recall that?

indeed and the target audeince got the message I was sending LOUD and
clear

while it seems (at least you are not leting on your got it) you missed
it completely

thank you for helping reach my target audeinece today and your aid in
making my point to them
>
> >> Ach du lieber!

> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >

# 1092. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 22:22:02 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <HOqdna-Zn911l-jenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123642

an_old_friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
>>
>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>>>You should spend less time on the computer
>>>
>>>
>>>So should you, matbe you wouldn't post such mangled English.
>>
>>"Matbe" you shouldn't post such mangled English. LOL!!!!!
>
>
> i never mangle anything that badly
>

When it comes to mangling, it's hard to out do 2test wogie.

# 1093. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 22:26:17 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <KvudnT2ZQ81ph-jenZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131741154.896824.75430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> BTW the FCC can impose hgher standard than the treaty requires but the
> treaty does not empoer the FCC to violate other tenensts of the law or
> US constitution (not saying YOU were imping it could making the general
> stament)

And that's why we have a Supreme Court to decide such issues. Making sure that we have competent judges to make the interpretations of the Constitution is a real concern and one reason why the current nominee is being looked at so closely.

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

# 1094. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 22:28:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131748101.692462.46310@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ernest W Block wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131745126.017647.324080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Ernest W Block wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >
> > cut
> >
> >> > by reading the record of letters in the case
> >> >>or how you are qualified
> >> >> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
> >> >> residency in psychiatry?
> >> >
> >> > neither of the above is required
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >> Yes "doctor," of course your "diagnosis" is beyond question.
> >> Good luck in the contest, AND your obsession with K1MAN's
> >> personal affairs.
> >
> > I don't care anything about his personal affiars, no interest at all.
> > My interest in K1MAN is limited to the interest I think we all should
> > have in the FCC trying to use and exploit someone less able to mount an
> > effective defense of himself to establish precedent that might be used
> > against the rest of us
> >
> > as to his pesonal affiars, I don't care he ma be bipolar (except as
> > above) or for that matter if he fucks chickens (as long as he own said
> > chickens
> >
>
>
> You K1MAN haters all deny having an obsession with his personal
> affairs, but your actions say otherwise.

I don't hate K1MAN. I don't know him personaly

Indeed I support his principled stand against the FCC. I do wish the
fight was between a man less limited in his abilty to defend
himself/make his case. I hope he prevails in this matter

You sir appear to one of those Fellows we see so often in here. If you are 178 percent something then you Hate it. I am more 74 percent supportor of K1MAN but I also yes the man has his faults. Who doesn't? I am concerned his faults may affect the outcome in ways that the FCC should be allowing

wether the Nurse that first comented on his Bipolar was attacking him or not we;; the nurse will have to answer

I am disgusted with the FCC targeting him for this sort of attack, and not moderating ait actions to accomodate his condiction

# 1095. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 22:40:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131748809.513059.214380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123661

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1131333770.900606.169000@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > >> news:1131152971.618629.96000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
cut
> > >> ADA wasn't needed because the FCC had already
> > >> provided a waiver process.
> > >
> > > Did code waivers come first, or ADA?
> >
> > I I think the ADA may predate waivers...
> > but that isn't of any importance anyway.
>
> I think it is. IMHO anyway.
>
> > The ADA didn't force anyone to immediately
> > do anything. Most or much of the ADA
> > impact has been with new accomodations.
> > Application of ADA in existing situations
> > has usually been the reult of a complaint by
> > an individual or a group of individuals.
>
> Exactly - and *nobody complained*.

wrong agian nobody listened to the complaints of americans on the
subject

I know at least one fellow that did complain

>It took
> backdoor diplomacy by a foreign King to
> get waivers, not advocacy by any US
> group.

disgusting that it took going to Jordan to ask for freedom in the USA ,
and an absolute disgrace to the USA
cut
> > Which is why further discussion on this is
> > a waste of my time. I'll wait to see what
> > the FCC does before continuing this
> > hypothetical.
>

> I think it's worthwhile to consider where a
> path may lead before going that way.

when there is not real chance it will go that way
cut

> > > On 4 of the HF bands, there are parts of the band that
> > > are for Extras only.
> >
> > Which clearly is additional operating privileges.
>
> But not *different* operating privileges!
>
> (I should have said it that way from the beginning!)
>
> IOW, what's the difference between operating on, say, 14,030 kHz
> vs. 14,020 kHz using the same mode and power level? What additional
> knowledge or skills are needed for the lower frequency that aren't
> needed
> for the higher one?
>
> It could be claimed that since the Extra written isn't required to use
> 14,030, why is it an absolute requirement to use 14,020? Particularly
> for folks who have a really tough time memorizing/understanding all the
> stuff in the written tests?
>
> By allowing waivers *in principle*, the whole quagmire opens up to
> question all test requirements.

no it does not

However I will grant you in makes it hardert to sustain each level
beyond the first test. the first test is justified legaly by the term
of the ITY treaty.

each test after that one becomes harder and harder to justify on a
legal basis

but the waivers were allowed already in principle so that horse has
left the barn years ago

>
> > > The ADA argument could be brought that all that additional theory in
> > > the
> > > Extra written isn't an absolute requirement because it's not directly
> > > related
> > > to the privileges gained.
> >
> > I doubt it, but for now see no need to discuss or debate
> > the point UNLESS someone actually goes there.
>
> Just the opposite - because if they go there, and cite the

> precedent, what possible argument could be used against
> them?

that the US has had a series of license tied to freq prevlegges
granting more prevledge to more learned ops

OTOH I agree with you to point the arguement is weak

But I support an Ideal of ONE class of license for all and in practice
would support (as opposed to merely accepting what is likely to result
in the R&O) 2 class at most ( a "Novice" and full prevledges)

BTW that is MY position not NCI's and just becuase I am No Coders
doesn't mean other nocoders fell eetc.....
cut

# 1096. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 22:42:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131748929.841836.212410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131741154.896824.75430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > BTW the FCC can impose hgher standard than the treaty requires but the
> > treaty does not empoer the FCC to violate other tenensts of the law or
> > US constitution (not saying YOU were imping it could making the general
> > stament)
>
> And that's why we have a Supreme Court to decide such issues. Making sure
> that we have competent judges to make the interpretations of the
> Constitution is a real concern and one reason why the current nominee is
> being looked at so closely.

soory I can agree with you on all after one reason

the reason for that fight is one word Politics not concern for the
future
>
> Regards,
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

# 1097. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 22:43:57 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <7-Kdnazk4ZKRjejenZ2dnUVZ_sWdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Ernest W Block wrote:
>
>
> Two things come to mind. One, you have resorted to name calling, which
> means you have lost the argument.

Really? What name calling?

Two, Democrats always resort to
> name calling when they are losing an argument, so it would appear you
> are a Democrat.

And you are incorrect again, but then what's new about that?

> Lastly, your whole premise is moot, since the
> Tenth Ammendment trumps all regulation of radio frequencies, thereby
> making the FCC an outlaw agency, with no basis in our Constitution.

Wanna bet? Your ignorance knows no bounds.

> BTW, Roger is a Democrat, and a very liberal one too. He's on SSI,
> so that is not surprising.

No it isn't.

## 1098. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 22:45:40 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131749140.481651.105820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123663

an old friend wrote:

> K4YZ wrote:

> > Putz.
>
> do you talk that way at work?

does he talk that way on ham radio?

# 1099. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 22:47:58 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <tZ-dnQLt1rs5gujeRVn-uw@comcast.com>
Source record: 123664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051111063529.646$GM@newsreader.com...

Seems like you need to learn a few thing about how to fake documents. After all of the doctored up pics posted to this news group, yawn, nobody cares about another one.

I remember back in high school how somebody took a real death certificate and doctored it up using the assistant principal's name and address. By the end of the day fully half the students and a lot of the teaching staff thought the guy was dead from multiple gunshot wounds to the chest. Some students even went to the office asking were to send flowers too! The document even had the real city of Detroit's Coroners signature, the presiding doctor at the time of death etc. along with the raised seal. And that was before computers were used. Now people print duplicates of dollar bills on their inkjet photo printers from high quality scans. I've even heard of a few that even fooled some bill changing machines, which by the way is a crime called counterfeiting for those dumb enough to try it.

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

# 1100. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:05:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131750301.255812.327650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123665

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 11, 7:21 am
>
> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >
> > >> > ARRL has a VIRTUAL monopoly on United States amateur radio
> > >> > publications. Has had that for years.
> > >
> > >> "CQ" magazine. "73" magazine. "ham radio" magazine. Bash books.
> > >> Gordon West publications. "Worldradio" publications. Ameco
> > >> publications.
> > >> W5YI.
> >
> >
> > >"Ham Radio" magazine has been pronounced "defunct" by you. Now you
> > >claim differently? And Bash, what is the copyright on the latest Bash
> > >book?
> >
> > Tsk, tsk. Note that Jimmie refused to capitalize the Ham
> > Radio magazine name. Ham Radio magazine ceased publication
> > in 1990. That was 15 years before now. Ham Radio had been
> > in continuous INDEPENDENT monthly publication for 22 years.

Longer than I've been a ham.

> Irony is that the Ham Radio Bookstore got sold to CQ along
> with HR and is still in business. HR continued with a
> quarterly that became Communications Quarterly under the
> CQ aegis, then merged with ARRL's QEX. CQ Communications
> made a 3-disk CD on all 22 years worth of HR articles and
> sells it over their Book Store for $150. ARRL resells the
> same item. :-)

I guess "ham radio" magazine is only "defunct" when Jim needs it to be,
and a competing publishing house when it needs to be.

> One has only to drop into any HRO and check out their
> books-magazines rack/display. ARRL publications far
> out-pace ALL others.

...combined.

> Just a glance at the ARRL web page
> will show books of all sorts on amateur radio for sale,

> including fiction novels. The nearest competitor is CQ
> Communications products through its Book Store via mail
> order.

RSGB has a few offerings at Universal Electonics book section.

> >I do like World Radio and think "thier" SAR columns are excellent. I
> >need to subscribe to them now that the ARRL has lost my membership or
> >19 years. They should have let Carl run and take his chances with the
> >rest of the contenders. Last Straw. Too bad for the ARRL.
>
> ARRL can do no wrong. Ever.

A wise American once said, "I will renew my membership no more,
forever!"

> May Jimmie dwell in the house of the Board forever.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

"Bored"

# 1101. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:08:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131750482.562673.315100@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123666

From: K4YZ <wannabehero@aol.com> on Nov 11, 4:06 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 4:41 pm

> Interesting that after having had his nose rubbed in his own
>numbers, Lennie changes style and format of his "scorecard" series of
>posts and threads...Trying to hide the facts, as usual...The FACTS that
>those comments Jim was refering to DON'T support Lennie's opinion as
>being in the majority.

Dudly the Imposter has no "facts" to accuse anyone of anything.

I started the "score card" postings on 2 August 2005 after accumulating enough opinion data on WT Docket 05-235 filings to see four categories. The first non-FCC filings began on 20 July 2005.

The official Comment beginning did not occur until the Federal Register notice of NPRM 05-143 on 31 August 2005. At that date I elected to show a double tally of opinions, the original one and one that began on 31 August. The first posting of that new tally format began 1 September 2005.

Due to a great number of posts under the above 2nd subject thread that went beyond the scope of the "score card," I changed the "score card" posts to another thread beginning 17 October 2005. The same format of the 2nd thread ("double tally") was kept in this third thread.

The tally postings were almost daily except for one 2 1/2 week period in September-October when my wife and I were on a trip and I was away from easy Internet access and tallies. All of the categorizations were done as honestly and fairly as possible, reading each of the Comments and Replies to Comments. Opinions of greater than 90% of all filings were rather obviously in three categories of For the NPRM, Against the NPRM, or Code-Test-for-Extra-but-OK-to-drop-code-test-for-other-classes.

All of my "score card" postings are still in Google and may be accessed by anyone with Google access. NOTHING was "hidden." By viewing the continuously-posted tally postings, it is quite evident that a better than 2:1 ratio in favor of the NPRM was held at the starting weeks of the Comment period. That ratio began to change from the date of notice in the Federal Register that the NPRM Comment period had

begun on 31 August 2005. The tallies can be verified by anyone reading all 3,760 filings in WT Docket 05-235 as of the close of ECFS updates on 10 November 2005.

It should be noted that the official Comment period on NPRM 05-143 ENDED on 31 October. The Reply to Comments period is still officially OPEN until Monday, 14 November 2005, as stated in the Federal Register of 31 August 2005. Among the 9 official Replies to Comments (the other 48 are unofficial Comments), all of them are FOR the NPRM. Those who bother to look at the many tally postings I made will see the trend of opinion changing weekly. Nothing was hidden.

Posting of the "score card" was terminated after the official end of the Comment period on 31 October 2005. The number of other posts of continual argument and bickering were so great that it was no longer worthwhile to continue the tally posting in public.

There are no "rules" on posting tallies of public opinion except those of the person(s) doing the tally. That another has posted an alleged "analysis" of WT Docket 05-235 filing contents is not any valid argument against what I have done.

> None-the-less, it will be interesting to see, after whatever
>action the FCC takes in regards to dropping the Code Test, if Lennie
>will then get the "Extra Lite" (or any other HF-authorized license) or
>continue to spam the NG with his anti-Amateur Radio bile...

Irrelevant and incorrect. What I do in the future is of no concern to any action of the FCC to make a final Report and Order as a result of the end of the Comments and Replies to Comments. The Commission will make a decision at some future time but that time will not be soon. The number of filings in WT Docket 05-235 exceed those made on WT Docket 98-143 ("Restructuring") by about 1,500; WT Docket 98-143 had only about 2,200 filings in the official Comment and Replies to Comments period of about 11 months time.

As to the past, Dudly the Imposter has NOT yet provided any proof whatsoever that he was ever IN any of the alleged "seven hostile actions" he claimed he was in. He has NOT yet been able to name a single item of radio communications equipment used by him for military radio communications. Dudly has NOT proven that he knows anything about electronics engineering or radio design other than claiming less than a half year's employment as a purchasing agent at a smallish electronics company. Dudly the Imposter has been challenged with providing proof of his alleged USMC Gunnery Sergeant rating by another, a USMC veteran who HAS provided enough visible evidence that what this other USMC veteran has written. Dudly has NOT yet explained how he got a

"changed-to-honorable-from-medical" discharge from the military AND received a pension that is available only after 20 years' military service. Us readers have NOTHING from Dudly other than a number of written postings full of personal insults such as -

> Putz.

Dudly the Imposter is a fraud, a travesty of a wannabe military hero who cannot prove a thing he has claimed. Given that this date is Veterans' Day, Dudly the Imposter casts great dishonor on himself, the United States Marine Corps, and all United States Amateur Radio Extra class grantees.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

ex-RA 16 408 336, ex-ER 16 408 336, Honorable Discharge 1960

# 1102. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:12:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131750740.896889.224290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123667

From: Iitoi on Nov 11, 7:30 am

>Carl R. Stevenson Wrote:
>
>> Just to clarify, it's actually a seat on the IEEE-SA Board of Governors
>> - a role that Dennis Bodson, ARRL Roanoake Division Director held for
>> some time in parallel with his ARRL position.
>
>Congratulations, Carl, and thanks for the press releases you sent.
>
>For those who don't get all your news from rrap or IEEE bulletins, open
>your copy of this months copy of Scientific American magazine. In it
>they recognize Carl by naming him one of the "SA50" for his work in
>WiFi radio.

Good on Carl.

Too bad ARRL thinks they are better than Scientific American...:-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1103. Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:17:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131751038.044734.44110@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123668

an old friend wrote:
> "Re: stevie newest attack thread a preety feeble one

Just about what I expected.

COWARD!

> K4YZ wrote:
> > In another thread, Mark Mogan made these disgusting comments:
> >
> > > Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
> > > not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff
> >
> > WHOA! You go on and on about "disagreeing is not lying", etc, and
> > yet you call a WOMAN who has NEVER used such language about YOU a
> > BITCH...?!?!
>
> I believe Dee is female yes. who other than a female should I call an
> elitist bitch?

WHY would you call ANY woman that that hasn't ATTACKED you with
such language?

> it would be very unlikely that DEE would call me an elitist bitch,
> elist bastard perhaps

Has she? Has she EVER called you bastard or any other such
adjective? Anywhere in this forum...?!?!

> Dee BTW has publicly stated she does not object to me addressing her in
> that manner

SO you just go ahead an use verbally abusive language, huh...?!?!

> I have not lied in calling her an elitist bitch...(SNIP)

Yes, you have.

> (UNSNIP) she donmantrated to my satifction that she is an elitist bitch

"demonstrated" "satisfaction"

She's demonstrated nothing but espect and restraint in taling to
you.

> she ractices elitism thought
> insults people based on license class she is feamel etc all in all
> meeting the qualifactions for the title

No, she's not. Not by a mile.

And Markie....

S P E L L C H E C K E R

"She" "practices" "female" "qualifications"

She's not ONCE insulted ANYBODY based on ANYthing.

> > You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
> > mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!
>
> ah Dee can't defend herself from something she feels she need no
> defending from. bad as Dan

No...I just don't lilke seeing fat, greasy frogs defiling women.

YOU are a fat greasy frog.

> but you show your violent nature again Stevie you are constantly
> suggesting violence, not the act of a civlised man

Ahhhhhhhhh...I see. Calling a woman a "bitch" >>IS<< civilized,
right...?!?!

And Markie...where >>I<< live, defending a woman's honor is NOT
violence...I'ts EXPECTED.

> > Considering she ALSO lives in Michigan, and there may very well be
> > a MR Flint, I'd think that an APOLOGY for the poor choice of adjectives
> > might be in order!
>
> you think? never senn much evidence of that

"You" "seen"

You may.

> after what does the fact she live in Michigan have to do anything or
> the existance or non existance of Mr Flint
>
> I don't apologize for calling you a piece of #### or to her for calling
> her an elitist bitch

Then I thnk you've provided me with more than enough documentation
here to more than negate anything you may care to address to the BoN.

Someone on the Board may initially tae issue with my public stance
with you, but they TRULY hate men who abuse women. And abuse women you
do!

> > You've uttered one disgusting and deceitful lie after another,
> > Morgan, but of all your newsgroup trashings, THIS has got to be the
> > singularly most spiteful, hurthful and irresponsible piece of trash to
> > EVER come from you.
>

> It is several weeks to perhaps 2 months old
>
> indeed that I can call her an elist without her objection is one the
> reason I still hold some respect for her, she could have more and
> become the most repsected by me of the Procoder's by stating something
> "you are tintitled to your opinion on the subject"

"elitist" is one thing. Bitch is another.

> but calling her an elitist bitch is mild compared to the disgusting
> posts that have come from your keyboard

Not by a long, looooooooooooooooong stetch.

Thanks for demonstrating that you are, among all your OTHER perversities, a woman hater and abuser...Not that there was much doubt CONSIDERING your other perversitites....

I add "woman abuser" to your list of deficits. That you act this way in public only adds doubt as to how well you conduct yourself in private.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1104. Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:19:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131751171.684047.51070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123669

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > We all know Marky hates women, being the sexual deviant he is.
>
> another pair of lies Mr Slit I neither hate women nor am I deviant

You're right, Markie.

Stating in public that you do not hate women and are not a deviant
is a pair of lies.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1105. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:24:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <M4idnfDhE6x5tejeRVn-qg@comcast.com>
Source record: 123670

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1131710060.783883.112380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> nobodys old friend wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>

[snip]

>> > I didn't write "for life", Mark. I wrote "in life". Remember, you're
>> > supposed to be the fellow the 248 IQ. The two terms are quite
>> > different.
>>
>> for life in life there is no difference her thier are in other context
>
> There is absolutely EVERY difference in the context!
>
>> but I don't need HF in my or for my life
>
> Obvioulsy that's not true. You've been spanking that monkey in
> here for years.
>
>> Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
>> not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff
>
> WHOA! You go on and on about "disagreeing is not lying", etc, and
> you call a WOMAN who has NEVER used such language about you a
> BITCH...?!?!
>

A little late there to protest, Steve. He started that several weeks ago.
I simply choose to shun such people.

> You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
> mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!
>

He isn't worth the bother.

> Considering she ALSO lives in Michigan, and there may very well be
> a MR Flint, I'd think that an APOLOGY for the poor choice of adjectives
> might be in order!
>

While there does happen to be a Mr. Flint, I would never permit him to risk
problems for himself over someone like this.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1106. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:25:32 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <SPGdnbaudpCNtOjenZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123671

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1131709490.002244.80490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> In another thread, Mark Mogan made these disgusting comments:
>
>> Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
>> not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff
>
> WHOA! You go on and on about "disagreeing is not lying", etc, and
> yet you call a WOMAN who has NEVER used such language about YOU a
> BITCH...?!?!
>
> You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
> mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!
>
> Considering she ALSO lives in Michigan, and there may very well be
> a MR Flint, I'd think that an APOLOGY for the poor choice of adjectives
> might be in order!
>
> You've uttered one disgusting and deceitful lie after another,
> Morgan, but of all your newsgroup trashings, THIS has got to be the
> singularly most spiteful, hurthful and irresponsible piece of trash to
> EVER come from you.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Don't worry about it Steve. Such people aren't worth it.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1107. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:29:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131751785.475105.66730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123672

Carl R. Stevenson wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:<1131581086.108596.33510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>...

> Just to clarify, it's actually a seat on the IEEE-SA Board of Governors

Congratulations again, Carl!

> - a
> role that Dennis Bodson, ARRL Roanoake Division Director held for some time
> in parallel with his ARRL position.

Wasn't a conflict of interest either way...

And there will be more ARRL elections.

> > btw, it is interesting to note the name of Carl's firm.

> Jim is referring to the fact that my company is named "WK3C Wireless LLC"

Yup. Catchy and direct. Easy to remember and hard to confuse with other
companies.

> ... The simple fact of the matter is that, since "WK3C" is unique to me, it
> was a simple way of assuring that there were no name conflicts when I filed
> the papers to form the company with the PA authorities.

Has the added benefit of being a way to bring up the subject of amateur
radio to professional and nonprofessional acquaintances.

Good luck, Carl!

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1108. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:32:25 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <4333a$43752a02$4fc66e7$32623@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 123673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11n7011nc1vs401@corp.supernews.com...
> "The Hon. Shaliek Haznarob" <shaznarob@shaznaroboilltd.org> wrote in
> message news:dktvm1$ed9$0@pita.alt.net...
>> Mr Baxter is a very honorable man, a gentleman and a scholar, who
>> is waging a battle with the FCC culture of corruption, a battle that
>> all ham radio should thank him for waging. Mr Baxter is a modern
>> day Ham Radio Hero!
>>
>> ARRL & FCC - partners in the culture of corruption.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of
> us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter,
> the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters
> which is all I am concerned with.
>

Why do you CBers continue to cross post shit to rec.radio.amateur groups?
None of us give a shit about anything that has anything to do with 11
meters.
Ace - WH2T

# 1109. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:37:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131752222.790382.13760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123674

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 11, 7:21 am

> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> >> > ARRL has a VIRTUAL monopoly on United States amateur radio
> >> > publications. Has had that for years.

> >> "CQ" magazine. "73" magazine. "ham radio" magazine. Bash books.
> >> Gordon West publications. "Worldradio" publications. Ameco
> >> publications.
> >> W5YI.

> >"Ham Radio" magazine has been pronounced "defunct" by you. Now you
> >claim differently? And Bash, what is the copyright on the latest Bash
> >book?
>
> Tsk, tsk. Note that

N2EY

> refused to capitalize the Ham
> Radio magazine name.

Not a refusal. A correct reference.

As litoi has pointed out, there was no "Ham Radio" magazine. In
imitation/homage to e.e. cummings and/or k.d. laing, it was called
"ham radio". I have several of them, including the rare Vol.1 No.1,
and the cover title is not capitalized.

> Ham Radio magazine ceased publication
> in 1990. That was 15 years before now. Ham Radio had been
> in continuous INDEPENDENT monthly publication for 22 years.

And yet you claim

"ARRL has a VIRTUAL monopoly on United States amateur radio
publications. Has had that for years."

> Irony is that the Ham Radio Bookstore got sold to CQ along
> with HR and is still in business. HR continued with a
> quarterly that became Communications Quarterly under the
> CQ aegis, then merged with ARRL's QEX. CQ Communications
> made a 3-disk CD on all 22 years worth of HR articles and
> sells it over their Book Store for $150. ARRL resells the
> same item. :-)

And yet you claim

"ARRL has a VIRTUAL monopoly on United States amateur radio
publications. Has had that for years."

> One has only to drop into any HRO and check out their
> books-magazines rack/display. ARRL publications far
> out-pace ALL others. Just a glance at the ARRL web page
> will show books of all sorts on amateur radio for sale,
> including fiction novels. The nearest competitor is CQ
> Communications products through its Book Store via mail
> order.

Is that a "VIRTUAL monopoly", Len?

Could it be that, in a free market, ARRL publications are simply
more popular? Maybe their *quality* has something to do with
it?

# 1110. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:42:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111184201.567$hk@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051111063529.646$GM@newsreader.com...
>
> Seems like you need to learn a few thing about how to fake documents.
>
Good point, Leland. Someone who will remain nameless just sent me these
phone numbers too. Dunno if they are working, or not?

kc8ldo phone numbers
home 1-248-589-0839
cell 1-248-318-2093
sisters' 1-248-693-9394
mothers' 1-810-969-4964

enjoy the phone numbers

> After all of the doctored up pics posted to this news group, yawn, nobody
> cares about another one.
>
Could be...is this 'doctored' too, Leland C scott?

http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg

You keep fucking with the wrong guy, 8 mile wannabe.

Nice coax run BTW.

http://img493.imageshack.us/img493/4995/scan00032vk.jpg

# 1111. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:45:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131752717.000020.259810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123676

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1131709490.002244.80490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > In another thread, Mark Mogan made these disgusting comments:
> >
> >> Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
> >> not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff
> >
> > WHOA! You go on and on about "disagreeing is not lying", etc, and
> > yet you call a WOMAN who has NEVER used such language about YOU a
> > BITCH...?!?!
> >
> > You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
> > mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!
> >
> > Considering she ALSO lives in Michigan, and there may very well be
> > a MR Flint, I'd think that an APOLOGY for the poor choice of adjectives
> > might be in order!
> >
> > You've uttered one disgusting and deceitful lie after another,
> > Morgan, but of all your newsgroup trashings, THIS has got to be the
> > singularly most spiteful, hurthful and irresponsible piece of trash to
> > EVER come from you.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> Don't worry about it Steve. Such people aren't worth it.

As you wish, Dee...And you're right...

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1112. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:50:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131753059.878998.154820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123677

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1131710060.783883.112380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
>
> [snip]
>
> >> > I didn't write "for life", Mark. I wrote "in life". Remember, you're
> >> > supposed to be the fellow the 248 IQ. The two terms are quite
> >> > different.
> >>
> >> for life in life there is no difference her thier are in other context
> >
> > There is absolutely EVERY difference in the context!
> >
> >> but I don't need HF in my or for my life
> >
> > Obvioulsy that's not true. You've been spanking that monkey in
> > here for years.
> >
> >> Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
> >> not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff
> >
> > WHOA! You go on and on about "disagreeing is not lying", etc, and
> > you call a WOMAN who has NEVER used such language about you a
> > BITCH...?!?!
>
> A little late there to protest, Steve. He started that several weeks ago.
> I simply choose to shun such people.

An apology of my own then, Dee, but as I am sure it's apparent by
the ebb and flow of traffic, I've been busy lately and just plain
missed it...However his conduct was wrong then, it's wrong today.

> > You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
> > mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!
> >
>
> He isn't worth the bother.

Hear hear.

> > Considering she ALSO lives in Michigan, and there may very well be
> > a MR Flint, I'd think that an APOLOGY for the poor choice of adjectives
> > might be in order!
>
> While there does happen to be a Mr. Flint, I would never permit him to risk
> problems for himself over someone like this.

Bravo, Dee...However I doubt that there is a court in the United States that would fault a man for blooding the nose of a creep who defiled his spouse. Similar case occured in Chattanooga a couple years back..."Case Dismissed" for lack of evidence.

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 1113. Re: The reason for all the rrap "Liars"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:52:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <r9adf.2261$lg.480@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 123678

"Iitoi" <Iitoi.1ybbgm@news.radiobanter.com> wrote in message
news:Iitoi.1ybbgm@news.radiobanter.com...
>
> One day, while a woodcutter was cutting a branch of a tree above a
> river, his axe fell into the river. When he cried out, the Lord
> appeared and asked, "Why are you crying?"
>
> The woodcutter replied that his axe has fallen into water, and he
> needed the axe to make his living.
>
> The Lord went down into the water and reappeared with a golden axe.
>
> "Is this your axe?" the Lord asked.
>
> The woodcutter replied, "No."
>
> The Lord again went down and came up with a silver axe.
>
> "Is this your axe?" the Lord asked.
>
> Again, the woodcutter replied, "No."
>
> The Lord went down again and came up with an iron axe.
>
> "Is this your axe?" the Lord asked.
>
> The woodcutter replied, "Yes."
>
> The Lord was pleased with the man's honesty and gave him all three axes
> to keep, and the woodcutter went home happy.
>
> Some time later the woodcutter was walking with his wife along the
> riverbank, and his wife fell into the river.
>
> When he cried out, the Lord again appeared and asked him, "Why are you
> crying?"
>
> "Oh Lord, my wife has fallen into the water!"
>
> The Lord went down into the water and came up with Jennifer Lopez.
>
> "Is this your wife?" the Lord asked.
>
> "Yes," cried the woodcutter.

>
> The Lord was furious. "You lied! That is an untruth!"
>
> The woodcutter replied, "Oh, forgive me, my Lord. It is a
> misunderstanding. You see, if I had said 'no' to Jennifer Lopez, You
> would have come up with Catherine Zeta Jones. Then if I said 'no' to
> her, you would have come up with my wife. Had I then said 'yes,' you
> would have given me all three. Lord, I am a poor man, and am not able
> to take care of all three wives, so THAT'S why I said yes to Jennifer
> Lopez."
>
> The moral of this story is: Whenever a man lies, it is for a good and
> honorable reason, and for the benefit of others.
>
> That's their story, and they're sticking to it! - "IT OK FOR MARK AND
> STEVE TO LIE --- THEY ARE HONORABLE MEN !!!!!!"..
> (riiiiiiiiiiiiight!)
>
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

Dang! I thought you were talking about the Republican party :)))

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 1114. Re: MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:53:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131753194.106850.8050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123679

stole from an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > not drunk so flushing the rest
> >
> > Sure you were! Or you wouldn't have made a post "ignoring"
> > something you OBVIOUSLY were NOT ignoring!
>
> more stevie lies

Nope...You went to the trouble of CREATING a post to show everyone
you were "ignoring" it...Obviously you WEREN'T ignoting it...

Steve, K4YZ

# 1115. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-11 23:58:54 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <FOWdnXSUYIIvrejenZ2dnUVZ_sudnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123681

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1131753059.878998.154820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1131710060.783883.112380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > nobodys old friend wrote:
>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> >> > I didn't write "for life", Mark. I wrote "in life". Remember,
>> >> > you're
>> >> > supposed to be the fellow the 248 IQ. The two terms are quite
>> >> > different.
>> >>
>> >> for life in life there is no difference her thier are in other context
>> >
>> > There is absolutely EVERY difference in the context!
>> >
>> >> but I don't need HF in my or for my life
>> >
>> > Obvioulsy that's not true. You've been spanking that monkey in
>> > here for years.
>> >
>> >> Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
>> >> not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff
>> >
>> > WHOA! You go on and on about "disagreeing is not lying", etc, and
>> > you call a WOMAN who has NEVER used such language about you a
>> > BITCH...?!?!
>>
>> A little late there to protest, Steve. He started that several weeks
>> ago.
>> I simply choose to shun such people.
>
> An apology of my own then, Dee, but as I am sure it's apparent by
> the ebb and flow of traffic, I've been busy lately and just plain
> missed it...However his conduct was wrong then, it's wrong today.
>

Yeah I noticed your appearances have been irregular. And I agree that is
conduct is wrong. However, I absolutely refuse to feed his ego and so take

very little notice of his remarks.

Here's an irony for you. He considers Extras to be elitists but is planning to become one himself as soon as possible after the code testing is dropped!

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 1116. Re: Stevie in personals group?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:01:13 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111190113.069$Lz@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys whined:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Wrong again. But you make a career out of not knowing what the hell
> > > you arfe talking about, don't you?
> >
> > Do you know what you 'arfe' talking about?
>
> Geeze, you fucking twat Let's see what you have typed:
>
> Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
> spell "loser"in
> <IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
> "Again you loose two-test"
>
> Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
> skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
> <9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
> "you got a wellfare......"
>
> n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
> Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:
>
> "Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!
>
> Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
> <udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
> "Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"
>
> Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
> <WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
> "two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"
>
> Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
> all upset in
> <utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
> "fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!
>
> In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
> Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
> "stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

>
> Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
> <ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
> "Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!
>
> Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
> <ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
> "Yea, I really dance"
>
> In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
> Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
> his Pulizter prize English skills
> and blathered:
> "Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."
>
> Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
> <SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
> "slobberd"
>
> Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
> license, all the while showing off his English skills in
> <F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
> "You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"
>
> Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
> out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
> <5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
> "Is that you peaking out"
>
> Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
> school once again in
> <VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
> "oinions"
>
> Why is it you never address your OWN numerous fuck ups, fake pilot's
> license BOY?
>
Don't make fun of CB. He's weak in the dome when it comes to skills, unless
they are his hands on his pud. Ask him his call.

# 1117. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:02:53 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111190253.633$sw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
> > DrDeath wrote:
> >
> > > Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb?
> > > Most of us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about
> > > K1MAN, Baxter, the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to
> > > do with 11 meters which is all I am concerned with.
> >
> > You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
> > rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> "Gee, you cber can't even get that right." Butt Cornholer makes good
> sentence! Butt Cornholer should quit drinking that woman's beer of bud
> lite.
>
Please donut throw CBer's on the same short bus that commode rides.

tia

## 1118. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:03:59 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111190359.149$X3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> Pure BS.
>
10-4, CB.

# 1119. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:07:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131754060.407929.8250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20051111063529.646$GM@newsreader.com...
> >
> > Seems like you need to learn a few thing about how to fake documents.
> >
> Good point, Leland. Someone who will remain nameless just sent me these
> phone numbers too. Dunno if they are working, or not?
>
> kc8ldo phone numbers
> home
> cell
> sisters'
> mothers'
>
> enjoy the phone numbers

you are a sick bastard
>
>
> > After all of the doctored up pics posted to this news group, yawn, nobody
> > cares about another one.
> >
> Could be...is this 'doctored' too, Leland C scott?
>
> http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg
>
> You keep fucking with the wrong guy, 8 mile wannabe.
>
> Nice coax run BTW.
>
> http://img493.imageshack.us/img493/4995/scan00032vk.jpg

# 1120. Re: MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:09:00 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131754140.275328.213680@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123685

K4YZ wrote:
MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !
> stole from an old friend wrote:
stop trying to pratice medicine over the net or at least get it right

> Steve, K4YZ

# 1121. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:10:26 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111191026.637$FI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote:
> Pure BS. Senator Hitlery's office doesn't know squat about the FCC rules.
>
I'd bet she can smell a foul pussy tho...now. lol

# 1122. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:13:20 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111191320.574$GP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> you are a sick bastard
>
Perhaps, but -NOT- as sick as you homo markie cornhole.

# 1123. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:17:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111191711.477$Y6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote:
>> Why do you CBers continue to cross post shit to rec.radio.amateur
>> groups?
> None of us give a shit about anything that has anything to do with 11
> meters.
> Ace - WH2T
>
Paybacks suck. :)

# 1124. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:23:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131755009.992841.255690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123689

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 11, 3:05 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 11, 7:21 am
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> Tsk, tsk. Note that Jimmie refused to capitalize the Ham
>> Radio magazine name. Ham Radio magazine ceased publication
>> in 1990. That was 15 years before now. Ham Radio had been
>> in continuous INDEPENDENT monthly publication for 22 years.
>
>Longer than I've been a ham.

A 22-year run as an independent in the hobby periodical
trade is pretty good. 22 years is enough time to
conceive a child, raise them from birth, and see them
to legal adulthood. Not good enough for Dudly the Imposter,
though...not Jimmie...and, now, not iitoi. :-)

>I guess "ham radio" magazine is only "defunct" when Jim needs it to be,
>and a competing publishing house when it needs to be.

According to this anony-mousie "iitoi," HAM RADIO
magazine DID NOT EXIST! :-)

Poor 'iitoi' is SO wanting to FIGHT on something that he
is now wanting to argue TYPE FONTS in Cover Graphics!

I wasn't "with" HR in all 22 years but I've corresponded
enough with founding Editor in Chief Jim Fisk (SK as
W1HR, that call a club call now) to have a LOT of
letterhead and logo information on what HAM RADIO magazine
called itself. As an Associate Editor under Alf Wilson
(W6NIF) and then Rich Rosen, there's more. I've got a
fair collection in paper (with real ink). But, the
subject of TYPE FONT and Cover Design Graphics never
came up. In ordinary typewriter type we would refer to
the magazine as "Ham Radio" with others and just Ham
Radio in inter-staff correspondence. Double-quotes are
a convention to ALSO mean italics when a typewriting
thingy doesn't have easy-changing type fonts. The
compensation checks I got had the title capitalized
and the Bank of America didn't fight with me on that. :-)

Iitoi now wants to FIGHT over the subject!

Maybe there's too much peyote lying around there.

Or lack of it?

I'm not going to FIGHT over Cover Graphic Design.

That's like arguing three ways from last Tuesday on the
flashy cabinet of a radio without once bothering with
what's INSIDE it. But, if their ignorance is so much
that they don't know what's between covers, they can
feel happy about "getting someone" in a newsgroup.

>> Just a glance at the ARRL web page
>> will show books of all sorts on amateur radio for sale,
>> including fiction novels. The nearest competitor is CQ
>> Communications products through its Book Store via mail
>> order.
>
>RSGB has a few offerings at Universal Electonics book section.

I have a personal preference for RSGB publications for their
graphics and layout. A distinct preference for Pat Hawker's
column in Radio Communication (membership magazine of RSGB).
Hawker's column has carried a lot of very leading-edge
techniques done in Yurp as well as UK...Peter Martinez' trials
with the Gingell polyphase R-C network for SSB mod/demod and
Martinez' PSK31.

>> ARRL can do no wrong. Ever.
>
>A wise American once said, "I will renew my membership no more,
>forever!"

:-)

>> May Jimmie dwell in the house of the Board forever.
>
>"Bored"

I'm getting that way with these mighty morsemen motivated
fighters. Jimmie be happy as proverbial clam if he can
word-fight...and now "iitoi" (whoever it be) wants to do same.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1125. Re: blast from the past...(etc etc) WHERE'S the Hipocracy, Markie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:25:22 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kscan1dfba9k3ve981v9una7pit6fgbnvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 123690

On 6 Nov 2005 07:43:14 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1131291794.943315.170670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>an_old_friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > I'm not quote sure from the illiterate jibberish in Markie's
>> > subject line, but I am sure he was refering to this...
>> >
>> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> > > Steve Robeson K4YZ Dec 14 2001, 8:34 am show options
>> > >
>> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
>> > > From: k...@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
>> > > Date: 14 Dec 2001 13:34:29 GMT
>> > > Local: Fri, Dec 14 2001 8:34 am
>> > > Subject: It's Time To Demand A Re-Vamp of the FCC
>> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
>> > > | Report Abuse
>> >
>> > SNIP to pertinent quote
>> >
>> > > I was always of the opinion and understanding that once time was
>> > > served,
>> > > one's "debt to society" was paid and they were reassimilated into that
>> > > society.
>> > > We are obviously NOT that society if we continue to punish people
>> > > AFTER their
>> > > time is served.
>> >
>> > UNQUOTE
>> >
>> > Two points, Markie...First of all the post you cite is about the
>> > FEDERAL GOVERNMENT ignoring it's prohibition of subjecting a citizen to
>> > double jeopardy...Not "discussion points" in a USENET forum.
>> >
>> > Secondly, Frankie's courts martials are part-and-parcel of these
>> > discussions because >>HE<< brought them into it...Had he not made them
>> > public, I wouldn't have had a clue...
>> >
>> > So..Where's the hipocracy? He brought it up, not me.
>>
>> you are the hypocrit you claim people have paid their dues after they
>> serve but you don't apply the statndard you claim to subcribe to

>
> I reiterate the previous paragraph, Markie...
>
>> so you are a hypocrite
>>
>> plain and simple
>
> Nope. The issue of Frankie's courts martials are a matter of HIS
>introduction into this forum. Not yours, not mine, not anyone
>elses...HIS.

Quite right. But alas, I brought up the issue to make a point on a
-different- issue. That issue was you, Dudly:

"Assuming you really -are- who you claim, you sure are setting a
-=BAD=- example of the discipline both required and expected of a
retired Marine Gunny of 18 years (which is bullshit in and of itself)
by wasting so much time engaging in flame wars on Usenet. I can get
away with it because I was a shitbird..... but you? Heck, if I was
USMC retired and behaving the way you do I'd go outside and kick my
-own- ass out of respect for the Corps. And -THAT'S- what Semper
Fidelis means, Steve."

> Try again.

You should.

>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

.....a.k.a, Dudly the self-proclaimed overachiever -- TN State Guard,
Air Force Aux, LPN, EMT, Amateur radio, and trolling the highways
looking for any opportunity to be a hero..... so where do you find
the time to post so much crap on Usenet?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1126. Re: MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:31:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <frdan1djqcqrue48q5nb0l3a3su2bv2c2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 123691

On 11 Nov 2005 15:53:14 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1131753194.106850.8050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>stole from an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > nobodys old friend wrote:
>> > >
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > >
>> > > MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !
>> > >
>> > > not drunk so flushing the rest
>> >
>> > Sure you were! Or you wouldn't have made a post "ignoring"
>> > something you OBVIOUSLY were NOT ignoring!
>>
>> more stevie lies
>
> Nope...You went to the trouble of CREATING a post to show everyone
>you were "ignoring" it...Obviously you WEREN'T ignoting it...
>
>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

"ignoring" .....(hey, this is kinda fun!)

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1127. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:32:50 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nae1jjnfdrg0f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

<eternalsquire@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1131694672.489954.115870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> But there is no such thing as true UK cuisine, it is all bangers and
> wellingtons and steak and kidney pie washed down with lots and lots and
> lots of Guiness.
>
> But enough about Brits, who are otherwise creators of fine cars, time
> traveler shows, absurdist sitcoms, and who invented the art of sarcasm.
>
> The Eternal Squire
>

Don't forget they invented the Lucas electrical system (I owned an MGB) and
whitworth tools (I also currently own a pre-unit Triumph).LOL

# 1128. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:41:03 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11naeh4b9em7eba@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

<lucifer@eternal-flames.gov> wrote in message
news:k9m8n1h57d4dkdgrk2ffn42jq8c8os9ren@4ax.com...
> On 10 Nov 2005 23:37:52 -0800, eternalsquire@comcast.net wrote:
>
>>But there is no such thing as true UK cuisine, it is all bangers and
>>wellingtons and steak and kidney pie washed down with lots and lots and
>>lots of Guiness.
>
> But the beer - Guinness or otherwise - must be warm.
> Any vegetables must be boiled long enough for whatever taste/texture
> they originally had, to be completely lost - including the salads -
> and the meat must be so messed about with that you can't tell if it
> was steak or kidney.
>
> Nick - Who actually likes the people living that side of the pond.
>

Warm beer sucks, but throughout history that is the way it has always been
consumed.
I like the people living on that side of the pond. Have they done something
personal to you that you hate an entire country?

# 1129. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:44:27 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <e5ean1pm3q654glokep8g3sfa6vvsiuqd4@4ax.com>
Source record: 123694

On 10 Nov 2005 05:05:30 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1131627930.747820.5360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>KH6HZ wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> > Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!
>>
>>
>> You're welcome Steve.
>
>HEY MIKE!
>
> Thanks for stopping by! You've been missed, Brother!
>
> As you can see, however, it's pretty much "business as
>usual"...Lennie still hates Amateur Radio. In the latest NPRM rounds
>he's managed no fewer than FIVE "I Am Smarter Than They Are" "reply
>comments", although he's paced back his rhetoric here.
>
> Brian "The Brain" Burke, N0IMD is still Lennie's yes man and Lead
>Sock Puppet. Not much I can say about Brain...He's still as brainless
>as ever.
>
> Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, is back and is as much the illiterate clown
>as he ever was. Now his "schtick" is to try and intimidate me with the
>suggestion that he's going to get the Tennessee State Board of Nursing
>to pull my LPN card because he doesn't like the way I talk to him here!
>
>
> New into the fray is Todd "I AM NOT GAY" Dougherty, N9OGL. Todd
>alleges to be a college student in Illinois...Ironically less than an
>hour from where Markie USED to live...He also is the new "I Wanna Be
>K1MAN" idiot on the Amatuer airways. Todd promotes a "show" on 20
>meter phone and brags about his "commercial grade" audio gear...but
>can't seem to name any of it. BTW...Todd is almost 40 years old and
>living in mommy and daddy's basement.
>
> And crossing over from rec.radio.cb on semi-frequent occassion is
>"Frank Gilliland". Like Lennie, Frankie's not an Amatuer, but, like
>me, he used to be an Active Duty US Marine...
>

> UNLIKE me he managed to get court martialed not once, but TWICE in
>ONE four year hitch! However he knows "the lingo", so tries to help
>out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five.
> He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
>makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country. He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
>and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
>my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
>that "Active Duty") Marine. I am still trying to shake that laugh off.

And I'm proud to have my name invoked as the the worst of Dudly's
newsgroup woes. Maybe someday he will find a good mental health
professional, get some extensive therapy, and learn that all the
bruises to his ego were self-inflicted..... but don't hold your
breath.

> Hope all is well your way. It's a beautiful fall day here...

A good day for Dudly is when the emergency room is full of badly
injured people.

>73!
>
>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

Pray to me, Dudly.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1130. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:44:52 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1131756292.407379.290780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123695
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

You behave like a 5-year-old

Peter wrote:
> I know you jest with the praise Dave but why quote Bean's diatribe
> again in full? Many of us have kill filed Bean in the hope we never
> see any of his stuff again so it comes as a shock to the system to see
> his verbal;garbage once more... it hasn't changed in years.
> I won' t see Beanie's reply to this post as he's in my kill file but I
> guess it will contain the words " You behave like a child... grow up
> OM!" He has macro keys on his computer to generate most of this
> stuff..

# 1131. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:53:05 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <zqCdnfpvyJFsoejenZ2dnUVZ_sqdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 23:42:01 +0000, Steveo wrote:
>
> You keep fucking with the wrong guy, 8 mile wannab

Is that what you dream about Steveo, screwing another guy in your wet dreams? Gee, what a queer pervert you're turning out to be. Should start calling you SickoSteveo, it fits your demeanor better. I thought twist was a fool, I guess not, you've got him beat hands down. People serve you up garbage and you eat it up like steak. I know a dumpster nearby just ripe and ready for your personal consumption.

>
> Nice coax run BTW.

Well somebody did a nice job below I'll admit.

>
> http://img493.imageshack.us/img493/4995/scan00032vk.jpg

Jealous cause you don't have one?

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

## 1132. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:53:17 UTC
From: 2Test Roger <2Test@rogerQRMretest.com>
Message-ID: <dl3eb2$g9h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

ARRL and FCC = Partners in the Culture of Corruption

## 1133. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:53:21 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111195321.506$TP@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"2Test Roger" <2Test@rogerQRMretest.com> wrote:
> ARRL and FCC = Partners in the Culture of Corruption
>
corruption junction, what's your function?

# 1134. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:58:11 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nafh97q04s936@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Bob_Downonit@gag.net> wrote in message
news:X%3df.112242$US.50526@news.ono.com...
>> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
>> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20051110192258.416$ce@newsreader.com...
>> > > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
>> > >> On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 07:41:30 -0500, I AmnotGeorgeBush wrote:
>> > >>
>> > >> > You still haven't figured it out,
>> > >>
>> > >> I can say that again. How about trying some of your famous header
>> > >> (miss) reading on this post?
>> > >>
>> > > Fuck off, twat.
>> >
>> > That's spelled "twistedhed". 8-))
>> >
>> I don't see it.
>>
>> http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg
>
>
> oh wait a minute.....here it is!!!!!.........
>
> http://www.dumpanimage.com/image.php?i=aXvGWsUGpp
>

Actually many states are putting up web sites where you can get this info.
Should anyone be interested, the URL for the state of Missouri is
http://www.courts.mo.gov/casenet/base/welcome.do
We also have a web site to check on sex offenders which lists there address.
I don't have that saved as I only have 1 neighbor within walking distance of
my house.

# 1135. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 00:59:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111195907.887$Wg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 23:42:01 +0000, Steveo wrote:
> >
> > You keep fucking with the wrong guy, 8 mile wannab
>
> Is that what you dream about Steveo, screwing another guy in your wet
> dreams? Gee, what a queer pervert you're turning out to be. Should start
> calling you SickoSteveo, it fits your demeanor better. I thought twist
> was a fool, I guess not, you've got him beat hands down. People serve you
> up garbage and you eat it up like steak. I know a dumpster nearby just
> ripe and ready for your personal consumption.
>
Oh. So you didn't snip this stuff cuz it's correct, right Lee?

kc8ldo phone numbers
home 1-248-589-0839
cell 1-248-318-2093
sisters' 1-248-693-9394
mothers' 1-810-969-4964

enjoy the phone numbers

> After all of the doctored up pics posted to this news group, yawn, nobody
> cares about another one.
>
Could be...is this 'doctored' too, Leland C scott?

http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg

> Jealous cause you don't have one?
>
Don't have one what? Coax running through my rented air-conditioner?

You got me there, Lee. I also don't have a no-code call sign, or any call
sign for that matter. I'm a CBer gawd damnit!

# 1136. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 01:03:44 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nafrjm8tv2gbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051111184201.567$hk@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20051111063529.646$GM@newsreader.com...
>>
>> Seems like you need to learn a few thing about how to fake documents.
>>
> Good point, Leland. Someone who will remain nameless just sent me these
> phone numbers too. Dunno if they are working, or not?
>
> kc8ldo phone numbers
> home 1-248-589-0839
> cell 1-248-318-2093
> sisters' 1-248-693-9394
> mothers' 1-810-969-4964
>
> enjoy the phone numbers
>
>
>> After all of the doctored up pics posted to this news group, yawn, nobody
>> cares about another one.
>>
> Could be...is this 'doctored' too, Leland C scott?
>
> http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg
>
> You keep fucking with the wrong guy, 8 mile wannabe.
>
> Nice coax run BTW.
>
> http://img493.imageshack.us/img493/4995/scan00032vk.jpg

I think Wal-Mart has window units on clearance, it sure looks like he needs
one.

# 1137. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 01:10:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111201011.002$Vv@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123702
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Actually many states are putting up web sites where you can get this
> info.
>
Of course it's all public domain. It's a little bit fun to watch a local
no-code ham threaten me with spam tho.

Dogie did that too, and some viruses.

They're the two biggest chickenshit's I've ever seen. (so far)

kc8ldo

n8wwm

# 1138. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 01:13:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111201311.971$yX@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051111184201.567$hk@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20051111063529.646$GM@newsreader.com...
> >>
> >> Seems like you need to learn a few thing about how to fake documents.
> >>
> > Good point, Leland. Someone who will remain nameless just sent me these
> > phone numbers too. Dunno if they are working, or not?
> >
> > kc8ldo phone numbers
> > home 1-248-589-0839
> > cell 1-248-318-2093
> > sisters' 1-248-693-9394
> > mothers' 1-810-969-4964
> >
> > enjoy the phone numbers
> >
> >
> >> After all of the doctored up pics posted to this news group, yawn,
> >> nobody cares about another one.
> >>
> > Could be...is this 'doctored' too, Leland C scott?
> >
> > http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg
> >
> > You keep fucking with the wrong guy, 8 mile wannabe.
> >
> > Nice coax run BTW.
> >
> > http://img493.imageshack.us/img493/4995/scan00032vk.jpg
>
> I think Wal-Mart has window units on clearance, it sure looks like he
> needs one.
>
Does section eight pay for that, or you and me have to anti-up?

# 1139. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 01:16:41 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <9uean1tj68kaup5th6k75mpqgtd1tcihla@4ax.com>
Source record: 123704

I think this deserves a second helping:

On 10 Nov 2005 05:05:30 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1131627930.747820.5360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> And crossing over from rec.radio.cb on semi-frequent occassion is
>"Frank Gilliland". Like Lennie, Frankie's not an Amatuer,

It's pretty difficult to go from 'professional' to 'amateur'.

> but, like
>me, he used to be an Active Duty US Marine...

Nope, not like you at all, Dudly. The scarce tidbits of conflicting
info that you have publically disclosed about your military 'career'
have collected into a huge pile of dirt that you can no longer ignore
by sweeping it under the rug. Without anyone asking, I have posted my
DD-214 as well as additional proof of my service. You, -=UNLIKE=- me,
don't have any proof of active service to post.

> UNLIKE me he managed to get court martialed not once, but TWICE in
>ONE four year hitch! However he knows "the lingo",

Evidently, I know it better than you. In the Marine Corps, a term of
enlistment is called a 'cruise', not a 'hitch'. The pile of dirt
continues to grow.....

> so tries to help
>out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five.

I couldn't care less about your Morse code pissing contest. Obviously
you missed the thread where I disagreed with Len about the issue.

> He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
>makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country.

I have never said anything of the sort. Nevertheless, you lied to your
God when you took your marriage vows -- who are -YOU- to accuse anyone
else of lying?

BTW..... "have"

> He calls me "Gunny Dudly"

A name well deserved.

>and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
>my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
>that "Active Duty") Marine.

Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.

> I am still trying to shake that laugh off.

"shake" is the right word. You can't shake it off because your claim
simply isn't true. But please keep trying.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1140. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 01:18:06 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1131758286.288051.122480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123705
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Andre Craddock, M0SWB, proves that he continues to
be an INCURABLE CBER through the medium of his
Childish Broadcast (CB).....

Infantile and abusive......is there any difference between an M0
callsign and one of those issued under the gangrenous degeneration
that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme? Seemingly not.

Krusty wrote:
> "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:1131116439.255278.104600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > What is Ham Radio?
> <snip>
>
> Ahh, I can almost smelll the meths from here....looks like he chose another
> fine vintage from Wilko's finest....

# 1141. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 01:19:28 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nagp0m106654c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:8ee97017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> On Thu, 10 Nov 2005 11:15:11 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>>
>> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of
>> us
>> and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter, the
>> FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters which
>> is
>> all I am concerned with.
>
> Most of these guys are arguing with one another about the law.
> Remember that: the law? Nawww, being a CBer you probably don't give a
> rats ass about the FCC or any other law enforcement body. Does it
> tick you off that we're reminding you that rules exist, because we're
> so passionate about them?

Ah yes, the being a CBer defense. That'a as old as the crust in your
underwear.
I have friends in law enforcement and I also at one time in my life worked
security in a maximum security unit.
But you are correct about my feelings about the FCC, as they are a worthless
bunch of fucks.
I'm not pissed about being reminded of rules, but K1MAN is a ham, not a
CBer. It's YOUR problem so go post YOUR problem in the proper forum.
>
> I hope so.
>
> K1MAN is a great man because he's passionate about the real law and he
> defends the little guy against the FCC toadies with his own lawyers.
> The FCC bureaucrats are scared to death of K1MAN.
>

Yeah, right, the FCC is cringing behind there desks in fear of the allmighty
K1MAN. ROFLMAO

> Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."

Go play with your self, LOSER!

# 1142. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 01:29:15 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nahbc3ko88j49@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:ZpudnWKOENqmnOnenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> DrDeath wrote:
>
>> Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most of
>> us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter,
>> the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters
>> which is all I am concerned with.
>
> You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
> rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
>>>>

I see we have a fuckin (yes I spelled it that way on purpose) english
professor chiming in.

>Gee, you cber can't even get that right.

I could dissect your grammar from the above sentence, but it's a waste of
time.
Now if you could explain to the group what this has to do with my post, as
you obviously are a troll with nothing to add to this thread.

# 1143. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 01:30:13 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1131759013.090249.164400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123708
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

I don't know what a "real amateur" is and I, for one, have never
claimed to be such.

However, after a number of years of contributing to Usenet under
my own name and callsign, my family was subjected to malicious
and threatening letters sent by Stephen G Bryan G0SGB in 1998,
a matter for which the plods were involved.

His criminal activities followed on from an abusive, insulting,
infantile
and obsessive tirade by him in this NG, seemingly because he was
intolerant of those who disagreed with his CBers' activity of WABbing.

Such threatening behaviour drives one underground, and also serves
to illustrate, perhaps, the difference between a _REAL_ Radio Ham
and a CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham?

Roy Lewallen wrote:
> How come all the self-proclaimed "real amateurs" huffing and puffing on
> this thread sign their postings only with a "handle" and not a call
> sign? Are they really amateurs at all?
>
> Roy Lewallen, W7EL

# 1144. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 01:34:07 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nahkgdpui52c3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Nurse Lada Boobs" <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com> wrote in message
news:61fc0$43742337$d1cc7a87$3433@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:ebb5n19tdf62uv1grbgjd4qvsm3iqf6ne7@4ax.com...
>> Is it my imagination, or has the topic suddenly been filled with sock
>> puppets?
>
> Not to worry Al.
>
> (...unless of course the sock puppets start talking all by themselves
> to their owner at 1:00 in the morning...THEN I would be VERY
> concerned - especially if their conversations are like those of "Mr Hat"
> from Southpark)
>
> : )
>

Don't be dissin Mr. Hat. LOL

## 1145. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 01:49:02 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11naigerd6v8035@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051111195321.506$TP@newsreader.com...
> "2Test Roger" <2Test@rogerQRMretest.com> wrote:
>> ARRL and FCC = Partners in the Culture of Corruption
>>
> corruption junction, what's your function?

Thanx Steveo, now I can't get that tune out of my head.

# 1146. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 01:58:15 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11naj1ns3buj00f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051111201311.971$yX@newsreader.com...
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20051111184201.567$hk@newsreader.com...
>> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
>> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:20051111063529.646$GM@newsreader.com...
>> >>
>> >> Seems like you need to learn a few thing about how to fake documents.
>> >>
>> > Good point, Leland. Someone who will remain nameless just sent me these
>> > phone numbers too. Dunno if they are working, or not?
>> >
>> > kc8ldo phone numbers
>> > home 1-248-589-0839
>> > cell 1-248-318-2093
>> > sisters' 1-248-693-9394
>> > mothers' 1-810-969-4964
>> >
>> > enjoy the phone numbers
>> >
>> >
>> >> After all of the doctored up pics posted to this news group, yawn,
>> >> nobody cares about another one.
>> >>
>> > Could be...is this 'doctored' too, Leland C scott?
>> >
>> > http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg
>> >
>> > You keep fucking with the wrong guy, 8 mile wannabe.
>> >
>> > Nice coax run BTW.
>> >
>> > http://img493.imageshack.us/img493/4995/scan00032vk.jpg
>>
>> I think Wal-Mart has window units on clearance, it sure looks like he
>> needs one.
>>
> Does section eight pay for that, or you and me have to anti-up?

Shit, is Lelnad eating up my hard earned tax money like Dougay?

# 1147. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 02:20:39 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111212039.546$nx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051111201311.971$yX@newsreader.com...
> > "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20051111184201.567$hk@newsreader.com...
> >> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> >> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:20051111063529.646$GM@newsreader.com...
> >> >>
> >> >> Seems like you need to learn a few thing about how to fake
> >> >> documents.
> >> >>
> >> > Good point, Leland. Someone who will remain nameless just sent me
> >> > these phone numbers too. Dunno if they are working, or not?
> >> >
> >> > kc8ldo phone numbers
> >> > home 1-248-589-0839
> >> > cell 1-248-318-2093
> >> > sisters' 1-248-693-9394
> >> > mothers' 1-810-969-4964
> >> >
> >> > enjoy the phone numbers
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> After all of the doctored up pics posted to this news group, yawn,
> >> >> nobody cares about another one.
> >> >>
> >> > Could be...is this 'doctored' too, Leland C scott?
> >> >
> >> > http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg
> >> >
> >> > You keep fucking with the wrong guy, 8 mile wannabe.
> >> >
> >> > Nice coax run BTW.
> >> >
> >> > http://img493.imageshack.us/img493/4995/scan00032vk.jpg
> >>
> >> I think Wal-Mart has window units on clearance, it sure looks like he
> >> needs one.
> >>
> > Does section eight pay for that, or you and me have to anti-up?
>
```

> Shit, is Lelnad eating up my hard earned tax money like Dougay?
>
You seen the coax, right? Actually dogies place is much worse in a lock your car doors kind of way.

Neither one of them have a place you would want to take your Mom too.

## 1148. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 02:22:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111212206.186$l6@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123713
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051111195321.506$TP@newsreader.com...
> > "2Test Roger" <2Test@rogerQRMretest.com> wrote:
> >> ARRL and FCC = Partners in the Culture of Corruption
> >>
> > corruption junction, what's your function?
>
> Thanx Steveo, now I can't get that tune out of my head.
>
Ha! You're showing your age and PBS viewing habits!

# 1149. Re: MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 02:34:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131762863.135533.145160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123715

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 11 Nov 2005 15:53:14 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1131753194.106850.8050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
cut
> > Nope...You went to the trouble of CREATING a post to show everyone
> >you were "ignoring" it...Obviously you WEREN'T ignoting it...
> >
> >Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>
> "ignoring" .....(hey, this is kinda fun!)
>
indeed I gues it is do be carefull thought judeging by Stevie it can be
addictive, Of course you are of stern stuff than Stevie ( so are limp
wilted vegtables) but do be careful

# 1150. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 02:45:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131763524.491685.272680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123716

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > "Re: stevie newest attack thread a preety feeble one
>
> Just about what I expected.
>
> COWARD!
>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > In another thread, Mark Mogan made these disgusting comments:
> > >
> > > > Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
> > > > not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff
> > >
> > > WHOA! You go on and on about "disagreeing is not lying", etc, and
> > > yet you call a WOMAN who has NEVER used such language about YOU a
> > > BITCH...?!?!
> >
> > I believe Dee is female yes. who other than a female should I call an
> > elitist bitch?
>
> WHY would you call ANY woman that that hasn't ATTACKED you with
> such language?
>
> > it would be very unlikely that DEE would call me an elitist bitch,
> > elist bastard perhaps
>
> Has she? Has she EVER called you bastard or any other such
> adjective? Anywhere in this forum...?!?!
>
> > Dee BTW has publicly stated she does not object to me addressing her in
> > that manner
>
> SO you just go ahead an use verbally abusive language, huh...?!?!

no no verbal abuse was devilered
>
> > I have not lied in calling her an elitist bitch...(SNIP)
>
> Yes, you have.

nope i have expressed my opinion on her character

back you disagreeing with you is lying again I see
>
> > (UNSNIP) she donmantrated to my satifction that she is an elitist bitch
>
> "demonstrated" "satisfaction"
>
> She's demonstrated nothing but espect and restraint in taling to
> you.

nope and as you said some years back it is up to me to determine that

she has domtrated the classist bais we techs have come to expect form
the Upper classes, she has been rude and insulting

>
> > she ractices elitism thought
> > insults people based on license class she is feamel etc all in all
> > meeting the qualifactions for the title
>
> No, she's not. Not by a mile.
>
> And Markie....
>
> S P E L L C H E C K E R
>
> "She" "practices" "female" "qualifications"
>
> She's not ONCE insulted ANYBODY based on ANYthing.

worng again stevie

>
> > > You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
> > > mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!
> >
> > ah Dee can't defend herself from something she feels she need no
> > defending from. bad as Dan
>
> No...I just don't lilke seeing fat, greasy frogs defiling women.

no one defiled her in any way

>
> YOU are a fat greasy frog.
>
> > but you show your violent nature again Stevie you are constantly
> > suggesting violence, not the act of a civlised man
>
> Ahhhhhhhhh...I see. Calling a woman a "bitch" >>IS<< civilized,
> right...?!?!

yes it is compared to violence

and since she wants to play with the boys here I treat her as one

>
> And Markie...where >>I<< live, defending a woman's honor is NOT
> violence...I'ts EXPECTED.

indeed showing you are a barbarian, from a barbarian subculture of the
USA

>
> > > Considering she ALSO lives in Michigan, and there may very well be
> > > a MR Flint, I'd think that an APOLOGY for the poor choice of adjectives
> > > might be in order!
> >
> > you think? never senn much evidence of that
>
> "You" "seen"
>
> You may.

nope I have never seen you show much sign of thinking
>
> > after what does the fact she live in Michigan have to do anything or
> > the existance or non existance of Mr Flint
> >
> > I don't apologize for calling you a piece of #### or to her for calling
> > her an elitist bitch
>
> Then I thnk you've provided me with more than enough documentation
> here to more than negate anything you may care to address to the BoN.

how?

you are trying a mental illness defense

you are now claiming me calling you a piece shit allows you to say Todd
father has aids

you are nuts, and as an advocate of violence a dangerous one

>
> Someone on the Board may initially tae issue with my public stance
> with you, but they TRULY hate men who abuse women. And abuse women you
> do!

nope i don't

no harm has been dee flint a point she has agreed with

>
> > > You've uttered one disgusting and deceitful lie after another,
> > > Morgan, but of all your newsgroup trashings, THIS has got to be the
> > > singularly most spiteful, hurthful and irresponsible piece of trash to
> > > EVER come from you.
> >
> > It is several weeks to perhaps 2 months old
> >

> > indeed that I can call her an elist without her objection is one the
> > reason I still hold some respect for her, she could have more and
> > become the most repsected by me of the Procoder's by stating something
> > "you are tintitled to your opinion on the subject"
>
> "elitist" is one thing. Bitch is another.

not according to HER the aleeged offended party

and I seem to remeber your abusive behavoir toward Kim

>
> > but calling her an elitist bitch is mild compared to the disgusting
> > posts that have come from your keyboard
>
> Not by a long, looooooooooooooooong stetch.

liar

calling someone a pedophile and child abuser is a long shot worse than
"Bitch"
>
> Thanks for demonstrating that you are, among all your OTHER
> perversities, a woman hater and abuser...Not that there was much doubt
> CONSIDERING your other perversitites....
>
that I hate women will caome as a shock to my wife

> I add "woman abuser" to your list of deficits. That you act this
> way in public only adds doubt as to how well you conduct yourself in
> private.

more of your unfounded insinuation
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1151. Re: blast from the past...(etc etc) WHERE'S the Hipocracy, Markie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 02:48:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131763694.206316.186570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123717

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 6 Nov 2005 07:43:14 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1131291794.943315.170670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> cut
> > Nope. The issue of Frankie's courts martials are a matter of HIS
> >introduction into this forum. Not yours, not mine, not anyone
> >elses...HIS.
>
>
> Quite right. But alas, I brought up the issue to make a point on a
> -different- issue. That issue was you, Dudly:
>
>
> "Assuming you really -are- who you claim, you sure are setting a
> -=BAD=- example of the discipline both required and expected of a
> retired Marine Gunny of 18 years (which is bullshit in and of itself)
> by wasting so much time engaging in flame wars on Usenet. I can get
> away with it because I was a shitbird..... but you? Heck, if I was
> USMC retired and behaving the way you do I'd go outside and kick my
> -own- ass out of respect for the Corps. And -THAT'S- what Semper
> Fidelis means, Steve."
>
>
> > Try again.
>
>
> You should.
>
>
> >Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>
> .....a.k.a, Dudly the self-proclaimed overachiever -- TN State Guard,
> Air Force Aux, LPN, EMT, Amateur radio, and trolling the highways
> looking for any opportunity to be a hero..... so where do you find
> the time to post so much crap on Usenet?

he has to keep trolling for the right "fire" to become a hero

# 1152. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 02:48:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111214848.303$kM@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

CB wrote:
> Yeah, 'donut' throw cber's on the bus, throw them under it.
>
10-4, CB.

# 1153. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 02:51:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131763862.857892.196510@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20051111195321.506$TP@newsreader.com...
> > > "2Test Roger" <2Test@rogerQRMretest.com> wrote:
> > >> ARRL and FCC = Partners in the Culture of Corruption
> > >>
> > > corruption junction, what's your function?
> > >
> > Thanx Steveo, now I can't get that tune out of my head.
> >
> Ha! You're showing your age and PBS viewing habits!

some thing wrong with PBS they are too leftist but some of their stuff
is pretty good

but anyway "corruption junction, what's your function" is play
something from school house rock which I think was ABC way back when I
was kid

# 1154. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 02:55:21 UTC
From: eternalsquire@comcast.net
Message-ID: <1131764121.650140.292100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123720
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

Polymath, you have my profound apologies on behalf of the entire
worldwide ham community for the harm you have suffered. And as I just
said in a seperate thread, it is things like this that make me think
that while governments have a right to demand the addresses of licensed
hams, it no longer has the right to publish them. That goes *double*
for hams who happen to be minors... Sadly, depravity no longer limited
to any single walk of life, and anonymity on the net is an importand
safety measure that should now go hand in hand with exercising the
right to free speech.

# 1155. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 03:03:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131764601.430786.101470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123721
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath wrote:
> What is Ham Radio?
>
why do you post this drivel?

weren't you taught to be polite to other hams?

they are simple questions

# 1156. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 03:21:03 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <oaddf.4551$xK1.3329@bignews7.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 123723
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:7-Kdnazk4ZKRjejenZ2dnUVZ_sWdnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> Ernest W Block wrote:
>>
>>
>> Two things come to mind. One, you have resorted to name calling, which
>> means you have lost the argument.
>
> Really? What name calling?
>
> Two, Democrats always resort to
>> name calling when they are losing an argument, so it would appear you
>> are a Democrat.
>
>
> And you are incorrect again, but then what's new about that?
>
>> Lastly, your whole premise is moot, since the
>> Tenth Ammendment trumps all regulation of radio frequencies, thereby
>> making the FCC an outlaw agency, with no basis in our Constitution.
>
> Wanna bet? Your ignorance knows no bounds.
>
>> BTW, Roger is a Democrat, and a very liberal one too. He's on SSI,
>> so that is not surprising.
>
.Waaal, whutdya 'spect fum them thar fellers that thanks their coax must be
a certain length thar, shore thang thar, mercy me thar. Why, them fellers
went down to Joe Blow's reddio shop thar and got one of them thar reddios
and leenyars and extree channels thar and WHAM! They is them thar rediddio
experts now thar, shore thang thar mercy me, gre't day thar, garr-an-tole ye
thar, mercy sakes alive thar and I heered hit fum the trailer park thar
whirr they is all them trailers and antanners thar. Them CB fellers shore is
got them smarts 'bout reddidios thar........

I'm impressed.....................................................

N O T! :)

# 1157. Set FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 03:22:27 UTC
From: ZXQV5 <zxqv5@setzxqvfive.net>
Message-ID: <dl3n2l$p24$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123722

Set FCC:

zxqv5 2vvqs set q33fj

idle

idle

idle

Set Next:

xlen2 9qw4 3.vw8 qqqqq 11111

Set Stop: Stop

# 1158. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 03:25:52 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3OidnbnQ7MIqz-jeRVn-rA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 123714
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

```
Steveo wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys wrote:
>>
>>>DrDeath wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb?
>>>>Most of us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about
>>>>K1MAN, Baxter, the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to
>>>>do with 11 meters which is all I am concerned with.
>>>
>>>You *could* give a rats ass less? Don't you mean you *couldn't* give a
>>>rats ass less. Gee, you cber can't even get that right.
>>
>>^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>>
>>"Gee, you cber can't even get that right." Butt Cornholer makes good
>>sentence! Butt Cornholer should quit drinking that woman's beer of bud
>>lite.
>>
>
> Please donut throw CBer's on the same short bus that commode rides.
>
> tia

Yeah, 'donut' throw cber's on the bus, throw them under it.
```

# 1159. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 03:58:56 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1ydmso@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1ydmso@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123748

LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
>
> I'm getting that way with these mighty morsemen motivated fighters.
> Jimmie be happy as proverbial clam if he can word-fight...and now
> "iitoi" (whoever it be) wants to do same.
> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>

Wow, did I call this one or what!!!! This is classic Len-hoist-by-his-own-peyote! ("Peyote" is a John Wayne-style euro-descent mis-name - here the People call it mezcal.)

Follow along, boys and girls, and take a lesson in the Anderson technique of mistake-correction-squirm/misdirection.

(Opening) N2EY (correctly) referenced an out-of-publication by the name "ham radio" (uncapitalized).

A. (Mistake) Len surmises that somehow that's a "slight" of his association with the magazine. So, in order to gain some messaging points he picks a fight with N2EY about "refused to capitalize the Ham Radio magazine name".

(NB: Len wrote many damned good technical articles for "ham radio" --- "well done" to him on that score.)

B. (Correction) The magazine in question never called itself capitalized "Ham Radio" --- It's cover name and all internal references, masthead, copyright declarations, and other "branding" folderol used the un-capitalized "ham radio" and the the corporate name "hr publishing" adopted the same lower-case convention. I and N2EY both pointed this out to Len, along with web images of the actual magazine cover.

C. (Squirming and Misdirection) Presented with the facts, Len launches a long irrelevant monologue about Jim Fisk, obsolete typewriters, and paychecks, et. al., blows off the capitalization issue as not important (if it wasn't important, why did HE start the fight about it?), and exits declaring himself bored.

In the past month or so since I joined rrap I've been impressed several times with how smoothly Len follows this simple A-B-C script. Watch for it.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ

PS: The correct name is Iitoi, not iitoi.

--
Iitoi

# 1160. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 04:00:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131768014.757811.194540@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123724

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> I think this deserves a second helping:
>
> On 10 Nov 2005 05:05:30 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1131627930.747820.5360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
cut

>
> > UNLIKE me he managed to get court martialed not once, but TWICE in
> >ONE four year hitch! However he knows "the lingo",
>
>
> Evidently, I know it better than you. In the Marine Corps, a term of
> enlistment is called a 'cruise', not a 'hitch'. The pile of dirt
> continues to grow.....

is it really? that is interesting as a dogface of course I did not
catch that was alwasy to far from water to pick up that term

In the Army we call em hitchs
>
>
> > so tries to help
> >out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five.
>
>
> I couldn't care less about your Morse code pissing contest. Obviously
> you missed the thread where I disagreed with Len about the issue.

you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
bidding?

>
>
> > He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
> >makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country.
>
>
> I have never said anything of the sort. Nevertheless, you lied to your
> God when you took your marriage vows -- who are -YOU- to accuse anyone
> else of lying?

good one frank

and according to stevie once a liar always a liar

>
> BTW..... "have"
>
>
> > He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
>
>
> A name well deserved.

no there i think you are being disrepectfull to the real Gunnies of the
USMC

>
>
> >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> >that "Active Duty") Marine.
>
>
> Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
> You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
> have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
> that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.

any marine MOS Frank from Stevie terms and mistakes it is possible that
he served in the armed forces but maybe in the ARMY memeber of the 95
series could have done as Stevie claims to have done, when you mention
some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible

could it be Dudly Dogface is the right label?

>
>
> > I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
>
>
> "shake" is the right word. You can't shake it off because your claim
> simply isn't true. But please keep trying.

Id rather he just gave it up myself

Not that it will happen
=----

# 1161. was Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail by silence Stevie admits to lying again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 04:14:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131768849.605180.32150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123725

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Well....
> >
> > It usually doesn't take long for Mark Morgan to cross himself up
> > with his own demands, but here's a prime example.
>
> not at all I believe in Karma
>
> I forwarded to you your hate post and forwarded it to Aol.com I will be
> forwarding a lot of your stuff there
>
> Are you terroried or fif you lie again?

the title realy says it all

either Stevie is afaid of his own words, (and if Stevie is afraid of
his words how should the rest of us feel?) or he is lying

My 1 cent bet is on lying (one cent paid to anyone submiting SASE after
Stevie admits to lying)

# 1162. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 04:26:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131769618.442177.281270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123726

N9OGL wrote:
> well you piece of shit as far I as see it YOUR the one sending these
> letters through the blogs.

could you prove it? or just make the case that it was highly likely?

with Dudly showing even more signs than normal of his nuthood I have
finaily broken down and contacted TN Mental Health. if the man is
faking threats to himself which is what it looks like to me too, then
who knows?

# 1163. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 04:44:47 UTC
From: Russ <carlzomer@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1av2n1pkijtv0rcisfv4kakcqcejabt2bl@4ax.com>
Source record: 123727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 18:32:50 -0600, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:

><eternalsquire@comcast.net> wrote in message
>news:1131694672.489954.115870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> But there is no such thing as true UK cuisine, it is all bangers and
>> wellingtons and steak and kidney pie washed down with lots and lots and
>> lots of Guiness.
>>
>> But enough about Brits, who are otherwise creators of fine cars, time
>> traveler shows, absurdist sitcoms, and who invented the art of sarcasm.
>>
>> The Eternal Squire
>>
>
>Don't forget they invented the Lucas electrical system (I owned an MGB) and
>whitworth tools (I also currently own a pre-unit Triumph).LOL
>

Ahh, Joseph Lucas...the prince of darkness. The warm beer bit comes
from having Lucas also build refrigerators. I too have been the
victim of a string of British motorcars. Triumphs and Austins and the
odd Lotus. I even race-prepped them. I also get around to flying
aboard BAE-146s every once in a while. Just like British sports cars
they are. Fast enough, handles like a housefly, not all that
comfortable and as noisy as hell with the gates open.

R

# 1164. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 04:51:04 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111235104.157$zU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123728

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > well you piece of shit as far I as see it YOUR the one sending these
> > letters through the blogs.
>
> could you prove it? or just make the case that it was highly likely?
>
Report him too the FAFC (fags against free speech)

# 1165. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 04:53:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051111235306.791$0H@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123729

Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > well you piece of shit as far I as see it YOUR the one sending these
> > > letters through the blogs.
> >
> > could you prove it? or just make the case that it was highly likely?
> >
> Report him too the FAFC (fags against free speech)
>
Conglomeration.

# 1166. Re: Why Do You Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 05:27:36 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <GpCdnRcdqe_T4OjeRVn-pA@comcast.com>
Source record: 123730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131748929.841836.212410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> soory I can agree with you on all after one reason
>
> the reason for that fight is one word Politics not concern for the
> future

Yup, that too!

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

# 1167. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 05:29:50 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120029160.26854@panix1.panix.com>
Source record: 123731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

All K1MAN does is mess up the Georgia side band net on 3.975

# 1168. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 05:36:15 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120035350.609@panix1.panix.com>
Source record: 123732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Yep, it has expired. go to my web site and hit the telnet link.
www.randomc.com/~dana

# 1169. Re: Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 05:44:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131774265.495320.208460@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123733

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Well....
> >
> > It usually doesn't take long for Mark Morgan to cross himself up
> > with his own demands, but here's a prime example.
>
> not at all I believe in Karma
>
> I forwarded to you your hate post and forwarded it to Aol.com I will be
> forwarding a lot of your stuff there
>
> Are you terroried or fif you lie again?

Am I terroried? What is "terroried"...?!?!

"fif you lie again"...?!?!

Mark, were you BORN this ignorant, or was it part of a
correspondence course you took?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1170. Re: MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 05:47:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131774451.497253.206750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123734

an old friend wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 11 Nov 2005 15:53:14 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1131753194.106850.8050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> cut
> > > Nope...You went to the trouble of CREATING a post to show everyone
> > >you were "ignoring" it...Obviously you WEREN'T ignoting it...
> > >
> > >Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ
> >
> >
> > "ignoring" .....(hey, this is kinda fun!)
> >
> indeed I gues it is do be carefull thought judeging by Stevie it can be
> addictive, Of course you are of stern stuff than Stevie ( so are limp
> wilted vegtables) but do be careful

Isn't THIS cute?

The drafted gay pagan, can't get discharged Colonel in the Chemical Corps doing high-fives with the dishonorably discharged, twice court martialed, busted to PFC ex-Marine...All on Veteran's day...

Ain't it grand...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1171. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 05:51:06 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120050090.12188@panix1.panix.com>
Source record: 123735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

If he talks that way on the air, someone needs to wash his mouth out with
soap, LOL.

On Tue, 18 Oct 2005, K4YZ wrote:

>
> a_foul_mouthed_old_friend wrote:
>> Amos Keag wrote:
>>> Fred, your language identifies you as a member of the uneducated, foul
>>> mouthed, can't express themselves without expletives, underclass.
>>
>> and yours identify you as a one of the censoring prudes that are runing
>> amuck in the USA
>
> You continue to confuse censorship and civility, Mark.
>
> One can effectively express themselves without having to resort to
> gutter mouth adjectives.
>
>>> If it is possible for you to understand, remember that this is an open
>>> list read by men, women and children.
>>
>> Your point?
>>
>> likely the standard one of the nanny society with its false concern
>> about chilren when the real issue is control
>
> No, the issue is foul language that's not necessary to get a point
> across.
>
> And yet another example of why licensure for procreation should be
> mandated.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

# 1172. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 06:00:42 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120059430.12188@panix1.panix.com>
Source record: 123736
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Does K1MAN have an audio stream over the internet, just curious. If so,
how about e-mailing me the link, tnx.

# 1173. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 06:08:15 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <XfGdnfj-Ub5FG-jeRVn-sA@comcast.com>
Source record: 123737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131754060.407929.8250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Steveo wrote:
>> Good point, Leland. Someone who will remain nameless just sent me these
>> phone numbers too. Dunno if they are working, or not?
>>
>> kc8ldo phone numbers
>> home
>> cell
>> sisters'
>> mothers'
>>
>> enjoy the phone numbers
>
> you are a sick bastard

Good point, he really is sick. I don't have to do much of anything to prove it, he provides the proof every post he makes. Anybody who drags a person's family members, who he has had no contact with or even knows directly or indirectly, into a dispute on a news group, where they have never made a post, with the clear intend to do them some harm or harassment is mentally ill beyond a doubt. You have somebody who wants to harass or harm people who are totally uninvolved in his personal vendetta and apparently considers such people as disposable, or just another convenient tool without any consideration for the harm he's doing, to further his perverted agenda of hate mongering. He has no more consideration for the innocent than the suicide bombers did, that just recently killed all those people in that wedding, we've been hearing about on the news the past few days. His mind set is exactly the same.

News group disputes between active posters is one thing, dragging innocent people into things is another and frankly I find it amazing that people on this group find it so acceptable. Otherwise people have an opportunity to put and end to his activities in this area. All it takes is one person to rat him out and end his behavior. Who knows whom his "next target" will be, maybe even you if he dislikes something you've said.
--
Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

# 1174. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 06:28:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131776886.192807.134360@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123738

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> ex-RA 16 408 336, ex-ER 16 408 336, Honorable Discharge 1960

And lying about what he did ever since.....

Steve, K4YZ

# 1175. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 06:39:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051112013949.761$IR@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
>and frankly I find it amazing that
> people on this group find it so acceptable.
>
Want some cheese, fuckstick?

# 1176. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 07:05:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131779142.509896.22020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > I think this deserves a second helping:
> >
> > On 10 Nov 2005 05:05:30 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1131627930.747820.5360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > > UNLIKE me he managed to get court martialed not once, but TWICE in
> > >ONE four year hitch! However he knows "the lingo",
> >
> >
> > Evidently, I know it better than you. In the Marine Corps, a term of
> > enlistment is called a 'cruise', not a 'hitch'. The pile of dirt
> > continues to grow.....
>
> is it really? that is interesting as a dogface of course I did not
> catch that was alwasy to far from water to pick up that term

Actually, had Frankie actually spent more than one HITCH in the
Marine Corps, or had NOT spent the one and ONLY hitch he did serve tied
up in the legal system, he'd know that yes, Marines actaully refer to
enlistments as "hitches". They also call then "tours" and several
other colorful euphemisms that don't need repeating here.

A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
warship.

> In the Army we call em hitchs

What do you mean "we"...?!?!

> > > so tries to help
> > >out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five.
> >
> > I couldn't care less about your Morse code pissing contest. Obviously
> > you missed the thread where I disagreed with Len about the issue.

And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.

You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue

that would even remotely interest or encourage me.

> you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
> bidding?

There's nothing paranoid about it.

Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.

> > > He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
> > >makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country.
> >
> > I have never said anything of the sort. Nevertheless, you lied to your
> > God when you took your marriage vows -- who are -YOU- to accuse anyone
> > else of lying?
>
> good one frank
>
> and according to stevie once a liar always a liar

Yes, Markie, you and Frankie are liars...Now and forever.

> > BTW..... "have"
> >
> >
> > > He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
> >
> > A name well deserved.
>
> no there i think you are being disrepectfull to the real Gunnies of the
> USMC

Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.

I even posted the Selection Board for my promotion to Staff
Sergeant before it.

Again...You and Frankie of Scummiland chose to ignore them since
they render your rants baseless.

BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....

> > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
> >
> > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
> > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
> > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
> > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.

Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....

I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
while on active duty."

I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
92.

> any marine MOS Frank from Stevie terms and mistakes it is possible that
> he served in the armed forces but maybe in the ARMY memeber of the 95
> series could have done as Stevie claims to have done, when you mention
> some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
> unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
> fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
> unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
> I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible

Congratulations, Markie...

109 "words" strung together without proper punctuation, numerous
mispellings and grammatical errors...Not to mention not making a lick
of sense.

> could it be Dudly Dogface is the right label?

Uhhhh...No, the doggie face is in Chassell, MI.

> > > I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
> >
> >
> > "shake" is the right word. You can't shake it off because your claim
> > simply isn't true. But please keep trying.

Spin as you will, Mr Twice Court Martialed coward and liar.

BTW..No "Happy Veterans Day" for you...You didn't earn it.

> Id rather he just gave it up myself
>
> Not that it will happen

I am sure there are a lot of things you'd like to heppen,
Markie...Like a miraculous gender change and sudden enlightenment.

That's not happening either.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1177. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 07:19:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131779949.255729.263020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123741

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > Dee BTW has publicly stated she does not object to me addressing her in
> > > that manner
> >
> > SO you just go ahead an use verbally abusive language, huh...?!?!
>
> no no verbal abuse was devilered

Sure there was.

> > > I have not lied in calling her an elitist bitch...(SNIP)
> >
> > Yes, you have.
>
> nope i have expressed my opinion on her character

YOU have NO BUSINESS expressing ANY opinion on ANYone based upon
"character".

> back you disagreeing with you is lying again I see

No...calling a woman a "bitch" in a public forum is not
"disagreeing".

> > > (UNSNIP) she donmantrated to my satifction that she is an elitist bitch
> >
> > "demonstrated" "satisfaction"
> >
> > She's demonstrated nothing but espect and restraint in taling to
> > you.
>
> nope and as you said some years back it is up to me to determine that

No...It's a matter of fact.

She's never once used ANY derrogatory term towards you.

> she has domtrated the classist bais we techs have come to expect form
> the Upper classes, she has been rude and insulting

"demonstrated" "bias"

No...that's you double standard barking at you, Markie...

She disagreed with you. So YOU called her a very ugly name.

> > > she ractices elitism thought
> > > insults people based on license class she is feamel etc all in all
> > > meeting the qualifactions for the title
> >
> > No, she's not. Not by a mile.
> >
> > And Markie....
> >
> > S P E L L C H E C K E R
> >
> > "She" "practices" "female" "qualifications"
> >
> > She's not ONCE insulted ANYBODY based on ANYthing.
>
> worng again stevie

"Wrong" "Stevie"

Nope.

> > > > You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
> > > > mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!
> > >
> > > ah Dee can't defend herself from something she feels she need no
> > > defending from. bad as Dan
> >
> > No...I just don't lilke seeing fat, greasy frogs defiling women.
>
> no one defiled her in any way

You called her a bitch.

> > YOU are a fat greasy frog.
> >
> > > but you show your violent nature again Stevie you are constantly
> > > suggesting violence, not the act of a civlised man
> >
> > Ahhhhhhhhh...I see. Calling a woman a "bitch" >>IS<< civilized,
> > right...?!?!
>
> yes it is compared to violence

It's a violent act of it's own, Markie.

> and since she wants to play with the boys here I treat her as one

So...Just because she posts here she's to be treated to such
language?

> > And Markie...where >>I<< live, defending a woman's honor is NOT
> > violence...I'ts EXPECTED.
>
> indeed showing you are a barbarian, from a barbarian subculture of the
> USA

Only a heel of your (small) caliber considers defending a woman
"barbarian".

> > > > Considering she ALSO lives in Michigan, and there may very well be
> > > > a MR Flint, I'd think that an APOLOGY for the poor choice of adjectives
> > > > might be in order!
> > > >
> > > you think? never senn much evidence of that
> > >
> > "You" "seen"
> > >
> > You may.
> >
> nope I have never seen you show much sign of thinking
> > >
> > > after what does the fact she live in Michigan have to do anything or
> > > the existance or non existance of Mr Flint
> > > >
> > > I don't apologize for calling you a piece of #### or to her for calling
> > > her an elitist bitch
> > >
> > Then I thnk you've provided me with more than enough documentation
> > here to more than negate anything you may care to address to the BoN.
> >
> how?

Sheesh.

> you are trying a mental illness defense

Nope.

> you are now claiming me calling you a piece #### allows you to say Todd
> father has aids

There you go again...Two-facing your claims...

> you are nuts, and as an advocate of violence a dangerous one

Any you're an unrepentant liar, sexual devaint, and now documented
woman abuser.

> > Someone on the Board may initially tae issue with my public stance
> > with you, but they TRULY hate men who abuse women. And abuse women you
> > do!
> >
> nope i don't

Yes, you do! Documented. Archived.

> no harm has been dee flint a point she has agreed with

No, she didn't state "no harm" has been done...She DID state that
you're not worth the effort. THAT is the truth.

> > > > You've uttered one disgusting and deceitful lie after another,
> > > > Morgan, but of all your newsgroup trashings, THIS has got to be the
> > > > singularly most spiteful, hurthful and irresponsible piece of trash to
> > > > EVER come from you.
> > >
> > > It is several weeks to perhaps 2 months old
> > >
> > > indeed that I can call her an elist without her objection is one the
> > > reason I still hold some respect for her, she could have more and
> > > become the most repsected by me of the Procoder's by stating something
> > > "you are tintitled to your opinion on the subject"
> >
> > "elitist" is one thing. Bitch is another.
>
> not according to HER the aleeged offended party

"alleged"

Again..re-read what WAS written.

> and I seem to remeber your abusive behavoir toward Kim

"remember".

I NEVER treated Kim to being called a "bitch".

> > > but calling her an elitist bitch is mild compared to the disgusting
> > > posts that have come from your keyboard
> >
> > Not by a long, looooooooooooooooong stetch.
>
> liar

Yes, you are.

> calling someone a pedophile and child abuser is a long shot worse than
> "Bitch"

Not when it's true.

> > Thanks for demonstrating that you are, among all your OTHER
> > perversities, a woman hater and abuser...Not that there was much doubt
> > CONSIDERING your other perversitites....
> >
> that I hate women will caome as a shock to my wife

"come"

I am sure he wont' mind.

> > I add "woman abuser" to your list of deficits. That you act this
> > way in public only adds doubt as to how well you conduct yourself in
> > private.
>
> more of your unfounded insinuation

No "insinuation", nor "unfounded".

You have now archived your hatred and loathing of women in Google archives.

Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1178. Keeping Markie Mularkie In Markicentric Threads

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 07:30:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131780623.334694.225050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123742

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > ...my Memeber in NCI was german to the discussion...
> >
> > Classic.
>
> does it truely give you pleasure to laugh at another weaknesses?

It's not a "weakness", Markie.

It's stupidity.

> I have studied Christianity and by that stadard you are sick moraly
> sick

Nothing you have ever posted even remotely indicates that you have even the remotest understanding of Christianity...Other than, perhaps, it declares that one man that lays with another as he would with a woman is doomed.

Good reason to switch to paganism, I guess...Just shop around until you DO find "rules" that let you do what you want to do without the guilty conscience, I guess....

As for the "morally sick" claim, Markie, I can site about a dozen different biblical references that slide you right in there, including your newly documented abuse of women.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1179. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 07:54:33 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <1rOdnW8K5vBcAujeRVn-iA@comcast.com>
Source record: 123743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051112013949.761$lR@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
>>and frankly I find it amazing that
>> people on this group find it so acceptable.
>>
> Want some cheese, fuckstick?

Is that what turns you on?

Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

# 1180. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 08:02:56 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120301370.9170@panix1.panix.com>
Source record: 123744
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.

On Tue, 25 Oct 2005, The Magnum wrote:

>
>>> Yet another reason I will never obtain a ham ticket.
>>
>> Good news for ham radio!
>
> Yep, there will be no one left on Ham soon after all the old farts have
> died...
>
>>> You seem to think that
>>> your little piece of paper raises your IQ.
>>
>> Yet with you cber IQ you couldn't pass the test even though the answers
>> to the questions are available.
>
> Try taking an IQ test and i bet theres hardly any difference between you and
> most people on CB. The only test you would outshine most CBers would be
> radio related...
>
>
>

## 1181. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 08:23:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051112032351.715$WZ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

lucifer@eternal-flames.gov wrote:
> HTH
>
> NIck.
>
From Nicks Deli? You guys make a pretty good corn-beef'd sandwich. Still
running that special on baklava?

# 1182. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 08:45:53 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <lPydnUo9Wc9aNujenZ2dnUVZ_s6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051111195907.887$Wg@newsreader.com...
> Oh. So you didn't snip this stuff cuz it's correct, right Lee?

I don't give a flip so I'll leave it.

>
> kc8ldo phone numbers
> home 1-248-589-0839
> cell 1-248-318-2093
> sisters' 1-248-693-9394
> mothers' 1-810-969-4964
>
> enjoy the phone numbers
>
>> After all of the doctored up pics posted to this news group, yawn, nobody
>> cares about another one.
>>
> Could be...is this 'doctored' too, Leland C scott?
>
> http://img461.imageshack.us/img461/5633/leescottcourt2sm.jpg

Sure, but the guy, and I know who it is, that sent it to you won't say so.
You should see some of the lame scams he's tried with his ex-wife. Just
supply me with your name with address and I'll make one up showing you as a
child molester. Makes a great Holloween gag for next year to send to all of
your friends.

>
>> Jealous cause you don't have one?
>>
> Don't have one what? Coax running through my rented air-conditioner?

Coax envy, hummmm, you need to talk to your therapist about that again.

>
> You got me there, Lee. I also don't have a no-code call sign, or any call
> sign for that matter. I'm a CBer gawd damnit!

Well I can't help the fact that you're upset cause you can't get a license.
Gee man get a life and a GED, then maybe you can read the study manual! I'll
even help you by stopping by your place to do some tutoring.
--
Regards,
Leland C. Scott

KC8LDO

# 1183. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 08:56:44 UTC
From: realradioham <realradioham@tiscali.co.uk>
Message-ID: <4375ae4a$1_2@mk-nntp-2.news.uk.tiscali.com>
Source record: 123747
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1131759013.090249.164400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
the difference between a _REAL_ Radio Ham
> and a CBer-Masquerading-As-A-Radio-Ham?

So what does that make me???????????

rrh

# 1184. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 10:55:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131792928.299773.42560@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123749

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > > Dee BTW has publicly stated she does not object to me addressing her in
> > > > that manner
> > >
> > > SO you just go ahead an use verbally abusive language, huh...?!?!
> >
> > no no verbal abuse was devilered
>
> Sure there was.
VVERBAL abuse is not possible on USENET oh I supose that I post a
binary file there but I have not done that

>
> > > > I have not lied in calling her an elitist bitch...(SNIP)
> > >
> > > Yes, you have.
> >
> > nope i have expressed my opinion on her character
>
> YOU have NO BUSINESS expressing ANY opinion on ANYone based upon
> "character".

wether or not I have any bussness doing so I have done so,

I have not lied in so expressing it

but I have every bussnes doing so if I choose

>
> > back you disagreeing with you is lying again I see
>
> No...calling a woman a "bitch" in a public forum is not
> "disagreeing".

no that is calling a woman a bitch

but accostond expressing an opinion you don't like is lying

which is of course bullshit

>
> > > > (UNSNIP) she donmantrated to my satifction that she is an elitist bitch
> > >

> > > "demonstrated" "satisfaction"
> > >
> > > She's demonstrated nothing but espect and restraint in taling to
> > > you.
> >
> > nope and as you said some years back it is up to me to determine that
>
> No...It's a matter of fact.

no it is not a matter of fact

ir is entirely a maatter of opinion

>
> She's never once used ANY derrogatory term towards you.

yes she she has

indeed you missed the exchange that resulted in the term being applied
therefore it is quite reasonable to conclude that you don't know what
you are typing about

>
> > she has domtrated the classist bais we techs have come to expect form
> > the Upper classes, she has been rude and insulting
>
> "demonstrated" "bias"
>
> No...that's you double standard barking at you, Markie...

your double standard certainly is

you savaged Kim on the basis of her callsign after all

>
> She disagreed with you.

at time at other times she insulted me

> So YOU called her a very ugly name.

nope in not following the exchange you don't why I called her an
elitist bitch
>
> > > > she ractices elitism thought
> > > > insults people based on license class she is feamel etc all in all
> > > > meeting the qualifactions for the title
> > >
> > > No, she's not. Not by a mile.
> > >
> > > And Markie....
> > >
> > > S P E L L C H E C K E R
> > >

> > > "She" "practices" "female" "qualifications"
> > >
> > > She's not ONCE insulted ANYBODY based on ANYthing.
> >
> > worng again stevie
>
> "Wrong" "Stevie"
>
> Nope.

clearly wrong

you missed the exchance clearly so you don't know what was said

>
> > > > > You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
> > > > > mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!
> > > > >
> > > > > ah Dee can't defend herself from something she feels she need no
> > > > > defending from. bad as Dan
> > > >
> > > > No...I just don't lilke seeing fat, greasy frogs defiling women.
> > >
> > > no one defiled her in any way
> >
> > You called her a bitch.

yes I did

had she been a man id have called her something else but you don't call
women "bastards" just followed english convention

>
> > > YOU are a fat greasy frog.
> > >
> > > > but you show your violent nature again Stevie you are constantly
> > > > suggesting violence, not the act of a civlised man
> > >
> > > Ahhhhhhhhh...I see. Calling a woman a "bitch" >>IS<< civilized,
> > > right...?!?!
> >
> > yes it is compared to violence
> >
> > It's a violent act of it's own, Markie.

an interesting opinion from man claiming he can lie about the mental
status of people freely without any consquences

>
> > and since she wants to play with the boys here I treat her as one
> >
> > So...Just because she posts here she's to be treated to such
> > language?

That was one the condictions involved in it,not the only one

>
> > > And Markie...where >>I<< live, defending a woman's honor is NOT
> > > violence...I'ts EXPECTED.
> >
> > indeed showing you are a barbarian, from a barbarian subculture of the
> > USA
>
> Only a heel of your (small) caliber considers defending a woman
> "barbarian".

I call you barbarian
cut

> > you are now claiming me calling you a piece #### allows you to say Todd
> > father has aids
>
> There you go again...Two-facing your claims...

the claim is yours

you advanced the claim any of my acts allow to say that Todd's fathe
has AIDS or for that matter tha Len and brain are mentaly ill

>
> > you are nuts, and as an advocate of violence a dangerous one
>
> Any you're an unrepentant liar, sexual devaint, and now documented
> woman abuser.

none of the above statements is true stevie

>
> > > Someone on the Board may initially tae issue with my public stance
> > > with you, but they TRULY hate men who abuse women. And abuse women you
> > > do!
> >
> > nope i don't
>
> Yes, you do! Documented. Archived.

i have not harmed her in the slighest by her own words archived

and again if I have how did that give to the right to lie about me, and
Todd and Len and Brain, as you claim it does

>
> > no harm has been dee flint a point she has agreed with
>
> No, she didn't state "no harm" has been done...She DID state that
> you're not worth the effort. THAT is the truth.

she did state that weeks ago

you have just not been paying attention

cut
> > > "elitist" is one thing. Bitch is another.
> >
> > not according to HER the aleeged offended party
>
> "alleged"
>
> Again..re-read what WAS written.
>
> > and I seem to remeber your abusive behavoir toward Kim
>
> "remember".
>
> I NEVER treated Kim to being called a "bitch".

you treated her abusively i said and you did

cut
> > calling someone a pedophile and child abuser is a long shot worse than
> > "Bitch"
>
> Not when it's true.
even if it were true

>
> > > Thanks for demonstrating that you are, among all your OTHER
> > > perversities, a woman hater and abuser...Not that there was much doubt
> > > CONSIDERING your other perversitites....
> > >
> > that I hate women will caome as a shock to my wife
>
> "come"
>
> I am sure he wont' mind.

she was shocked we got a good laugh out of reading your post, and then
sobbering thoughts along the lines of "why is this nut allowed on the
streets"
>
> > > I add "woman abuser" to your list of deficits. That you act this
> > > way in public only adds doubt as to how well you conduct yourself in
> > > private.
> >
> > more of your unfounded insinuation
>
> No "insinuation", nor "unfounded".

both

>
> You have now archived your hatred and loathing of women in Google

> archives.

I have documented my dislike of a given female in google

you have and continue to document you rpassion for lying, distortion
and madness in Google

as well as your lack of comand of the english language

and the fact you suffer from what I can only term "graphophobia" a
morbid fear of words

>
> Thanks.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1185. Re: Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 10:56:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131792978.364580.117730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123800

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Well....
> > >
> > > It usually doesn't take long for Mark Morgan to cross himself up
> > > with his own demands, but here's a prime example.
> >
> > not at all I believe in Karma
> >
> > I forwarded to you your hate post and forwarded it to Aol.com I will be
> > forwarding a lot of your stuff there
> >
> > Are you terroried or fif you lie again?
>
> Am I terroried? What is "terroried"...?!?!
answer the question coward

# 1186. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 10:57:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131793054.446582.58580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123801

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > ex-RA 16 408 336, ex-ER 16 408 336, Honorable Discharge 1960
>
> And lying about what he did ever since.....

you are nuts
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1187. another stevie attcak thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:02:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131793329.919161.138200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123802

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > ...my Memeber in NCI was german to the discussion...
> > >
> > > Classic.
> >
> > does it truely give you pleasure to laugh at another weaknesses?
>
> It's not a "weakness", Markie.
>
> It's stupidity.
>
> > I have studied Christianity and by that stadard you are sick moraly
> > sick
>
> Nothing you have ever posted even remotely indicates that you have
> even the remotest understanding of Christianity....

another lie Stevie
>...Other than, perhaps,
> it declares that one man that lays with another as he would with a
> woman is doomed.

ah levitcus I bet you would be shocked shocked to see the comentary the
Rabbis put on that passage,( after all it part of the Torah first)

and I am aware what christain thought does with the passage i hapen to
think the notion of a load of shit is all

I ca understand christainity and disagree with it's teaching

>
> Good reason to switch to paganism, I guess...Just shop around until
> you DO find "rules" that let you do what you want to do without the
> guilty conscience, I guess....
>
> As for the "morally sick" claim, Markie,cut the tangent
Dave is moraly sick in violating the tenants and teaching HE claims to
follow

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1188. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:03:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131793414.511552.254490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123803
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dana wrote:
> The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
> Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.
>
I agree they made that mistake, they are about to fix that one by
droping it to where it belonged in the first place zero

# 1189. Re: MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:05:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131793532.874297.86540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123804

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > On 11 Nov 2005 15:53:14 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > <1131753194.106850.8050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> > >
> > cut
> > > > Nope...You went to the trouble of CREATING a post to show everyone
> > > >you were "ignoring" it...Obviously you WEREN'T ignoting it...
> > > >
> > > >Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ
> > >
> > >
> > > "ignoring" .....(hey, this is kinda fun!)
> > >
> > indeed I gues it is do be carefull thought judeging by Stevie it can be
> > addictive, Of course you are of stern stuff than Stevie ( so are limp
> > wilted vegtables) but do be careful
>
stevie stop caling Jedi like powers esp on veterans day
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1190. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:06:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131793597.415816.107700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123805

Steveo wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > well you piece of shit as far I as see it YOUR the one sending these
> > > letters through the blogs.
> >
> > could you prove it? or just make the case that it was highly likely?
> >
> Report him too the FAFC (fags against free speech)

why?

he isn't a fag

Todd isn't against free speech

# 1191. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:18:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131794318.439196.129040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
cut
> > is it really? that is interesting as a dogface of course I did not
> > catch that was alwasy to far from water to pick up that term
>
> Actually, had Frankie actually spent more than one HITCH in the
> Marine Corps, or had NOT spent the one and ONLY hitch he did serve tied
> up in the legal system, he'd know that yes, Marines actaully refer to
> enlistments as "hitches". They also call then "tours" and several
> other colorful euphemisms that don't need repeating here.

why not becuase you are afraid of words?

>
> A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
> away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
> warship.
>
> > In the Army we call em hitchs
>
> What do you mean "we"...?!?!

pural form of the personal pronouns, reffering to everyone in the same
gruop in this those have served in the army

>
> > > > so tries to help
> > > >out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five.
> > >
> > > I couldn't care less about your Morse code pissing contest. Obviously
> > > you missed the thread where I disagreed with Len about the issue.
>
> And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
> between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
> group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.

yes I did but I also saw your claim we got him to come to RRAP to
attack you

>
> You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
> There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue

> that would even remotely interest or encourage me.

another lie stevie
>
> > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
> > bidding?
>
> There's nothing paranoid about it.

yes there was

>
> Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
> etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
> right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.
>
> > > > He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
> > > >makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country.
> > >
> > > I have never said anything of the sort. Nevertheless, you lied to your
> > > God when you took your marriage vows -- who are -YOU- to accuse anyone
> > > else of lying?
> >
> > good one frank
> >
> > and according to stevie once a liar always a liar
>
> Yes, Markie, you and Frankie are liars...Now and forever.

and you lied to God himself broke a vow you made to God and your wife
your first wife

>
> > > BTW..... "have"
> > >
> > >
> > > > He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
> > >
> > > A name well deserved.
> >
> > no there i think you are being disrepectfull to the real Gunnies of the
> > USMC
>
> Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
> posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.

doesn't make it so

>
> I even posted the Selection Board for my promotion to Staff
> Sergeant before it.
doesn't make it so

>
> Again...You and Frankie of Scummiland chose to ignore them since
> they render your rants baseless.

no I ignore it because there is no reason to believe they are in any
way accurate
>
> BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
> yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....

I don't know they occoured in your case
>
> > > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> > > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> > > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
> > >
> > > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
> > > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
> > > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
> > > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.
>
> Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
> you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....
>
> I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
> while on active duty."

back pedaling again

>
> I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
> 92.

slicing and diceing and leaving peices of the claims made out

clearly trying to cover ...something
>
> > any marine MOS Frank from Stevie terms and mistakes it is possible that
> > he served in the armed forces but maybe in the ARMY memeber of the 95
> > series could have done as Stevie claims to have done, when you mention
> > some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
> > unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
> > fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
> > unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
> > I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible
>
cuting the spelling cop
>
> > could it be Dudly Dogface is the right label?
>
> Uhhhh...No, the doggie face is in Chassell, MI.

damm right the Dogface is here

I just sugest it is consistant with what we know that your also a
Dogface that wanna be a Jarhead

>
> > > > I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
> > >
> > >
> > > "shake" is the right word. You can't shake it off because your claim
> > > simply isn't true. But please keep trying.
>
> Spin as you will, Mr Twice Court Martialed coward and liar.
>
> BTW..No "Happy Veterans Day" for you...You didn't earn it.
>
> > Id rather he just gave it up myself
> >
> > Not that it will happen
>
> I am sure there are a lot of things you'd like to heppen,
> Markie.

sure are Stvei amasing you got something right

>..Like a miraculous gender change ......

but wrong again

well maybe not I would not mind trying out being feamle for a time it
would be an interesting experence I am sure but I don't esp desire the
expereince

>..and sudden enlightenment.

one can never have enough enlightment

>
> That's not happening either.

it may indeed I expect bits of enlightenment from time to time

but then I am open minded enough to accept and deal with it

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1192. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:19:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131794361.026979.4290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123807

K4YZ wrote:
> In another thread, Mark Mogan made these disgusting comments:

snip

Nobody seemed to mind when similar or worse comments were made to Kim.

# 1193. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:23:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131794592.454981.317740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Dana wrote:
> If he talks that way on the air, someone needs to wash his mouth out with
> soap, LOL.
>

it is my understand that k4yz does talk that way on the air

> On Tue, 18 Oct 2005, K4YZ wrote:
cut

# 1194. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:23:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131794595.530176.3310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123809

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1131709490.002244.80490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > In another thread, Mark Mogan made these disgusting comments:
> >
> >> Even Dee Flint while being an elist bitch about a lot of things does
> >> not contend she or anyone is being forced to read my stuff
> >
> > WHOA! You go on and on about "disagreeing is not lying", etc, and
> > yet you call a WOMAN who has NEVER used such language about YOU a
> > BITCH...?!?!
> >
> > You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
> > mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!
> >
> > Considering she ALSO lives in Michigan, and there may very well be
> > a MR Flint, I'd think that an APOLOGY for the poor choice of adjectives
> > might be in order!
> >
> > You've uttered one disgusting and deceitful lie after another,
> > Morgan, but of all your newsgroup trashings, THIS has got to be the
> > singularly most spiteful, hurthful and irresponsible piece of trash to
> > EVER come from you.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> Don't worry about it Steve. Such people aren't worth it.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

Such as the people who've made similar or worse comments to Kim? I
wonder who such people would be?

# 1195. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:23:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131794622.510495.93290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Dana wrote:
> Does K1MAN have an audio stream over the internet, just curious. If so,
> how about e-mailing me the link, tnx.

not that I know of Id be mildly curious myself

# 1196. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:25:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131794737.423266.143210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123811

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > In another thread, Mark Mogan made these disgusting comments:
>
> snip
>
> Nobody seemed to mind when similar or worse comments were made to Kim.

well I minded did not say much Kim seemed to be doing a good enough
herself

# 1197. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:28:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131794918.064323.153310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123812

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1131753059.878998.154820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
cut
> Here's an irony for you. He considers Extras to be elitists but is planning
> to become one himself as soon as possible after the code testing is dropped!

now alsas you cross the line into Lair or perhaps it is ego manaic

I never said extras are elitists

I said you are

I have said other hams that happen to extras are

but you personalize a coment about yourself to mean what it does not
say
>
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1198. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:30:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131795051.671983.231190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123813

K4YZ wrote:
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1131710060.783883.112380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > >> Dave Heil wrote:
cut

> > A little late there to protest, Steve. He started that several weeks ago.
> > I simply choose to shun such people.
>
> An apology of my own then, Dee, but as I am sure it's apparent by
> the ebb and flow of traffic, I've been busy lately and just plain
> missed it...However his conduct was wrong then, it's wrong today.

meaning you jumped into without bothering to know what you were typing
about and shoot off our keyboard
cut
>
> Bravo, Dee...However I doubt that there is a court in the United
> States that would fault a man for blooding the nose of a creep who
> defiled his spouse. Similar case occured in Chattanooga a couple years
> back..."Case Dismissed" for lack of evidence.

showing of course what we in the north have known for years, thatthe
courts of the south often do not uphold the law

and that they can be pretty brazen about it
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1199. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:34:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131795263.532619.197140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123814

Iitoi wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
> >
> > I'm getting that way with these mighty morsemen motivated fighters.
> > Jimmie be happy as proverbial clam if he can word-fight...and now
> > "iitoi" (whoever it be) wants to do same.
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
>
> Wow, did I call this one or what!!!! This is classic
> Len-hoist-by-his-own-peyote! ("Peyote" is a John Wayne-style
> euro-descent mis-name - here the People call it mezcal.)
>
ah yes the stevie school of attack if you have nothing call your opentn
a drug user

different drug of course

# 1200. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:43:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131795822.368456.70740@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123815

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 11, 8:03 am
>
>
> >hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> KH6HZ wrote:
> >> > "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote:
>
> >> > > Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!
> >
> >> > You're welcome Steve.
> >
> >> > 73 de KH6HZ
> >
> >> Hey, Mike, how've you been? Make it to Hawaii yet?
> >
> >> bb
> >
> >KH6HZ
> >WE1RD MICHAEL P DEIGNAN REPEATER ASSOCIATION
> >KB1CCE RF COMMANDOS RHODE ISLAND CHAPTER
> >
> >Hi! Looks like you've whittled the superfluous callsingsn down a bit.
> >
> >Mike, I hope you win the lottery someday so you'll finally be able to
> >visit that Hawaiin PO Box that you used to get a KH6 call. Best of
> >Luck.
>
> From the ARRL WEB FCC Amateur Radio Enforcement Log - Jan 2, 2001:
>
> "The FCC on December 18, 2000, wrote Amateur Extra licensee
> Michael P. Deignan, KH6HZ, regarding 'at least eight club
> call signs in your name as trustee.' Six show Rhode Island
> addresses; four indicate Hawaii addresses. FCC Special
> Counsel for Amateur Radio Engorcement Riley Hollingsworth
> noted that all of the call signs were granted in the same
> month. All are WH6-prefix call signs. Hollingsworth said the
> person whose address was listed for the club call signs in
> Hawaii has notified the FCC that he does not want to be
> associated with the club call signs and does not want his
> address to be used. Hollingsworth requested that Deignan
> justify the need for each of the call signs and provide details
> of members, meeting times, and meeting minutes for each club.

> Hollingsworth also asked Deignan to list any other call signs
> issued to him that were not included in the FCC letter.
> Hollingsworth said the FCC intends to cancel all of the listed
> call signs unless it receives a satisfactory response within
> 30 days."
>
> ================================
>
> Must be that the FCC and Riley Hollingsworth "hate ham radio"
> if they go against the wishes of Michael P. Deignan!
>
> Older information shows that "KH6HZ" was a Vanity issue prior
> to that license being modified on 25 June 2002 (expiration
> date is 14 October 2007). Deignan had KD1HZ prior to the
> KH6HZ vanity call.

If you ask me, all of his callsigns are "vanity." Takes a very vain guy to scoop up a dozen callsigns.

>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Notice how even Riley could not figure up the actual number of callsigns that Mike held at that time. Yet I was a "liar" for not getting it right by 1 callsign. Hi! Gotta love teh MMMM.

bb

# 1201. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 11:55:48 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131796548.246402.110230@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123816

KH6HZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Thanks for stopping by! You've been missed, Brother!
>
> Hey Steve
>
> I stop in about once every 3 to 6 months to take a quick look at the
> cast of characters and the topics. Over the years since my posting
> hiatus from this newsgroup I have to say that nothing here has changed.

And you're pretty much the same guy that we knew.

> Same people whining and complaining about the same topic day in and day
> out. That's one of the reasons why I stopped posting.

The other reason is that you got caught holding a bunch of callsigns.
You had so many that even in Riley's letter to you he could not count
them all.

I mean, if some
> of these people spent as much time operating a rig as they do
> complaining in this newsgroup, the airwaves would be full of people and
> my logbook would be crammed with entries.

Or filling out Forms for club call signs.

> I have to admit I do get a chuckle out of some of characters. It is
> amusing to see the Lt. General Vice Admiral Morgan's posts (did he get
> that dyslexic code-waiver yet?) and I see Lennie still hates hams and
> ham radios as much as ever. So much negativity and vitriol from that
> man, indeed, like I once said years ago, he's much like my
> long-departed paternal grandmother -- is miserable in life and has to
> make everyone else miserable around him. Such negativity must just suck
> the essence of life from your soul.

Please. No talk of "soul" as Mike, Jim, and Hans have proven that God
doesn't exist.

> Me, I'm too busy enjoying life to get involved with the nonsense that
> goes on in here anymore. I split my time between RI and HI. Spending
> time with my 3 young ones, riding my motorcycle, SCUBA diving, hiking,
> hunting, fishing, and oh yeah, getting on the rig a couple times a week
> and making a few contacts. Just two weeks ago I was teaching my SO how
> HF propagation works, and ended up spending 90 minutes chatting on 20

> meters with a dude in Florida who just retired after 20+ years with
> NASA.

Did you SO ever get to hear the explanation?

> Let's see... In my left hand... Doing what I'm doing and making
> interesting contacts on HF... in my right hand... arguing day-in and
> day-out on the same topic over and over again with the same nitwits in
> a newsgroup beating a dead horse to death even more. Hmmmm... which one
> would I rather do?

Fill out more Forms to the FCC?

> Drop me an email sometime. I doubt I'll post here again before I croak.
>
> 73 de kh6hz/w1

This is the part where Steve gets angry for you posting from the top.

bb

> > As you can see, however, it's pretty much "business as
> > usual"...Lennie still hates Amateur Radio. In the latest NPRM rounds
> > he's managed no fewer than FIVE "I Am Smarter Than They Are" "reply
> > comments", although he's paced back his rhetoric here.
> >
> > Brian "The Brain" Burke, N0IMD is still Lennie's yes man and Lead
> > Sock Puppet. Not much I can say about Brain...He's still as brainless
> > as ever.
> >
> > Mark Morgan, KB9RQZ, is back and is as much the illiterate clown
> > as he ever was. Now his "schtick" is to try and intimidate me with the
> > suggestion that he's going to get the Tennessee State Board of Nursing
> > to pull my LPN card because he doesn't like the way I talk to him here!
> >
> >
> > New into the fray is Todd "I AM NOT GAY" Dougherty, N9OGL. Todd
> > alleges to be a college student in Illinois...Ironically less than an
> > hour from where Markie USED to live...He also is the new "I Wanna Be
> > K1MAN" idiot on the Amatuer airways. Todd promotes a "show" on 20
> > meter phone and brags about his "commercial grade" audio gear...but
> > can't seem to name any of it. BTW...Todd is almost 40 years old and
> > living in mommy and daddy's basement.
> >
> > And crossing over from rec.radio.cb on semi-frequent occassion is
> > "Frank Gilliland". Like Lennie, Frankie's not an Amatuer, but, like
> > me, he used to be an Active Duty US Marine...
> >
> > UNLIKE me he managed to get court martialed not once, but TWICE in
> > ONE four year hitch! However he knows "the lingo", so tries to help
> > out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five.
> > He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials

> > makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country. He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
> > and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> > my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> > that "Active Duty") Marine. I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
> >
> > Hope all is well your way. It's a beautiful fall day here...
> >
> > 73!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

# 1202. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:02:59 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl4lkv$cqo$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:4333a$43752a02$4fc66e7$32623@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:11n7011nc1vs401@corp.supernews.com...
> > "The Hon. Shaliek Haznarob" <shaznarob@shaznaroboilltd.org> wrote in
> > message news:dktvm1$ed9$0@pita.alt.net...
> >> Mr Baxter is a very honorable man, a gentleman and a scholar, who
> >> is waging a battle with the FCC culture of corruption, a battle that
> >> all ham radio should thank him for waging. Mr Baxter is a modern
> >> day Ham Radio Hero!
> >>
> >> ARRL & FCC - partners in the culture of corruption.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Why do you hams continue to cross post this shit to rec.radio.cb? Most
of
> > us and in particular ME could give a rats ass less about K1MAN, Baxter,
> > the FCC, the ARRL. None of this shit has anything to do with 11 meters
> > which is all I am concerned with.
> >
>
> Why do you CBers continue to cross post shit to rec.radio.amateur groups?
> None of us give a shit about anything that has anything to do with 11
> meters.
> Ace - WH2T

Then kindly explain why so many Amateurs hold so much interest in
rec.radio.cb ? Theres plenty in there..some are even nice people... I
personally have no interest in K1MAN in one way or another. I also have no
real interest in the backstabbing and bitching that goes on amongst your
fellow Hams and Hams/CB'ers, I just read some of the threads and unless I
see something that aggrieves me i simply let it go... im not aggrieved now
btw. im simply trying to say you are wrong and a few Hams are interested in
things 11 meters.

73's

Graham

# 1203. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:08:12 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl4luo$rlj$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123818
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
news:Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120301370.9170@panix1.panix.com...
> The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
> Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.

What is this absurd fascination with Morse Code? Wouldnt you rather just
talk to people? I know all about the arguements about it getting through
when all else fails but is it really life and death?? If i cant get through
on the radio I use a Phone or the Internet. After all its just a hobby.
Besides, doesnt all that dot dot dot.. dash dash dash give you a headache
after a while??
Dont get me wrong, im not having a go at Amateurs im just asking the
question.. why is it so important?

Regards,
Graham

# 1204. Re: Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:10:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131797449.869164.317800@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123819

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Well....
> > > >
> > > > It usually doesn't take long for Mark Morgan to cross himself up
> > > > with his own demands, but here's a prime example.
> > >
> > > not at all I believe in Karma
> > >
> > > I forwarded to you your hate post and forwarded it to Aol.com I will be
> > > forwarding a lot of your stuff there
> > >
> > > Are you terroried or fif you lie again?
> >
> > Am I terroried? What is "terroried"...?!?!
>
> answer the question coward

(1) I don't respond to the demands of woman abusers. Say "please"
or forget it.

(2) If you have a question you want answered by me, make sure it's
grammatically correct, spelled properly, and has the appropriate
punctuation.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1205. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:13:32 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120712450.9932@panix1.panix.com>
Source record: 123820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

He can bust cber's also for opperating out of band, so be carefull.

On Wed, 26 Oct 2005, Vinnie S. wrote:

> On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>
>> If Hollingsworth
>
> Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!
>
> Vinnie S.
>

# 1206. Re: Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:21:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131798063.849784.12980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123821

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
cut
> > > Am I terroried? What is "terroried"...?!?!
> >
> > answer the question coward
>
> (1) I don't respond to the demands of woman abusers. Say "please"
> or forget it.

can't keep your stories straight

If I were a woman abuser you just lied becuase you reponded

>
> (2) If you have a question you want answered by me, make sure it's
> grammatically correct, spelled properly, and has the appropriate
> punctuation.

coward
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1207. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:25:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131798335.223641.238430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> cut
> > > is it really? that is interesting as a dogface of course I did not
> > > catch that was alwasy to far from water to pick up that term
> >
> > Actually, had Frankie actually spent more than one HITCH in the
> > Marine Corps, or had NOT spent the one and ONLY hitch he did serve tied
> > up in the legal system, he'd know that yes, Marines actaully refer to
> > enlistments as "hitches". They also call then "tours" and several
> > other colorful euphemisms that don't need repeating here.
>
> why not becuase you are afraid of words?

No. I am more "civilized" than you.

> > A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
> > away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
> > warship.
> >
> > > In the Army we call em hitchs
> >
> > What do you mean "we"...?!?!
>
> pural form of the personal pronouns, reffering to everyone in the same
> gruop in this those have served in the army

"Plural" "refering" "group" "Army"

But that doesn't include you. So I reiterate my question: "What
do you mean 'we'?"

> > > > > so tries to help
> > > > >out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five.
> > > >
> > > > I couldn't care less about your Morse code pissing contest. Obviously
> > > > you missed the thread where I disagreed with Len about the issue.
> >
> > And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
> > between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
> > group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.

>
> yes I did but I also saw your claim we got him to come to RRAP to
> attack you

I am sure you did. And if not, his actions certainly support that
belief.

> > You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
> > There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue
> > that would even remotely interest or encourage me.
>
> another lie stevie

Nope.

Absolutely true.

That scumbag could donate a million dollars to a "pro-code"
advocacy group and he'd STILL be a disgraced, less-than-honorably
discharged ex-Marine who places his own ego and self-righteousness over
truth and dignity.

> > > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
> > > bidding?
> >
> > There's nothing paranoid about it.
>
> yes there was

OK, Dr Sigmoid Fraud. Tell us all about it.

> > Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
> > etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
> > right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.
> >
> > > > > He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
> > > > >makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country.
> > > >
> > > > I have never said anything of the sort. Nevertheless, you lied to your
> > > > God when you took your marriage vows -- who are -YOU- to accuse anyone
> > > > else of lying?
> > >
> > > good one frank
> > >
> > > and according to stevie once a liar always a liar
> >
> > Yes, Markie, you and Frankie are liars...Now and forever.
>
> and you lied to God himself broke a vow you made to God and your wife
> your first wife

Nope.

> > > > BTW..... "have"
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
> > > >
> > > > > A name well deserved.
> > >
> > > no there i think you are being disrepectfull to the real Gunnies of the
> > > USMC
> >
> > Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
> > posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.
>
> doesn't make it so

Sure it does. Or are you NOW claiming that the United States
Marine Corps is somehow a willing participant in some effort to deceive
you over a decade before you ever knew about my existence?

> > I even posted the Selection Board for my promotion to Staff
> > Sergeant before it.
>
> doesn't make it so

Sure it does. Or are you NOW claiming that the United States
Marine Corps is somehow a willing participant in some effort to deceive
you over a decade before you ever knew about my existence?

> > Again...You and Frankie of Scummiland chose to ignore them since
> > they render your rants baseless.
>
> no I ignore it because there is no reason to believe they are in any
> way accurate

Sure it does. Or are you NOW claiming that the United States
Marine Corps is somehow a willing participant in some effort to deceive
you over a decade before you ever knew about my existence?

> > BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
> > yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....
>
> I don't know they occoured in your case

What? You're accusing the USMC of creating a bogus line item in
the Promotion Boards for your benefit?

> > > > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> > > > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> > > > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
> > > >
> > > > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
> > > > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
> > > > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
> > > > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.

> >
> > Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
> > you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....
> >
> > I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
> > while on active duty."
>
> back pedaling again

Nope. Clear fact.

> > I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
> > 92.
>
> slicing and diceing and leaving peices of the claims made out
>
> clearly trying to cover ...something

Nooooooooo.

What you and The Scumbag Formerly Known as Frankie are trying to
cover up is your poor math and comprehension skills.

> > > any marine MOS Frank from Stevie terms and mistakes it is possible that
> > > he served in the armed forces but maybe in the ARMY memeber of the 95
> > > series could have done as Stevie claims to have done, when you mention
> > > some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
> > > unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
> > > fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
> > > unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
> > > I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible
> >
> cuting the spelling cop

Ignoring that I'm right.

> > > could it be Dudly Dogface is the right label?
> >
> > Uhhhh...No, the doggie face is in Chassell, MI.
>
> damm right the Dogface is here

No...I said "doggie face", not "dogface".

> I just sugest it is consistant with what we know that your also a
> Dogface that wanna be a Jarhead

In my case the service is documented in public records.

> > > > > I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "shake" is the right word. You can't shake it off because your claim
> > > > > simply isn't true. But please keep trying.

> >
> > Spin as you will, Mr Twice Court Martialed coward and liar.
> >
> > BTW..No "Happy Veterans Day" for you...You didn't earn it.
> >
> > > Id rather he just gave it up myself
> > >
> > > Not that it will happen
> >
> > I am sure there are a lot of things you'd like to happen,
> > Markie.
>
> sure are Stvei amasing you got something right

What? Was that a statement or question?

> >..Like a miraculous gender change ......
>
> but wrong again

Nope. Right on the money.

> well maybe not I would not mind trying out being feamle for a time it
> would be an interesting experence I am sure but I don't esp desire the
> expereince

You've already done it.

> >..and sudden enlightenment.
>
> one can never have enough enlightment

The problem is getting you INTO the light, Markie.

> > That's not happening either.
>
> it may indeed I expect bits of enlightenment from time to time

You may EXPECT them, but so far it's pretty clear they've not
arrived.

> but then I am open minded enough to accept and deal with it

Well...if it ever happens, I am sure we'll be the first one's to
know about it.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1208. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:27:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131798470.722614.245960@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123823

Iitoi wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org Wrote:
> >
> > I'm getting that way with these mighty morsemen motivated fighters.
> > Jimmie be happy as proverbial clam if he can word-fight...and now
> > "iitoi" (whoever it be) wants to do same.
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
> >
>
> Wow, did I call this one or what!!!!

Yes, you did.

> This is classic
> Len-hoist-by-his-own-peyote! ("Peyote" is a John Wayne-style
> euro-descent mis-name - here the People call it mezcal.)
>
> Follow along, boys and girls, and take a lesson in the Anderson
> technique of mistake-correction-squirm/misdirection.

Which is part of the larger cycle.

> (Opening) N2EY (correctly) referenced an out-of-publication by the name
> "ham radio" (uncapitalized).
>
> A. (Mistake) Len surmises that somehow that's a "slight" of his
> association with the magazine. So, in order to gain some messaging
> points he picks a fight with N2EY about "refused to capitalize the Ham
> Radio magazine name".
>
> (NB: Len wrote many damned good technical articles for "ham radio" ---
> "well done" to him on that score.)
>
> B. (Correction) The magazine in question never called itself
> capitalized "Ham Radio" --- It's cover name and all internal
> references, masthead, copyright declarations, and other "branding"
> folderol used the un-capitalized "ham radio" and the the corporate name
> "hr publishing" adopted the same lower-case convention. I and N2EY both
> pointed this out to Len, along with web images of the actual magazine
> cover.
>
> C. (Squirming and Misdirection) Presented with the facts, Len launches
> a long irrelevant monologue about Jim Fisk, obsolete typewriters, and
> paychecks, et. al., blows off the capitalization issue as not important
> (if it wasn't important, why did HE start the fight about it?), and

> exits declaring himself bored.
>
> In the past month or so since I joined rrap I've been impressed several
> times with how smoothly Len follows this simple A-B-C script. Watch for
> it.

Yep, that's part of the classic profile. Here's some more bits:

1) Reference to others by made-up nicknames such as "Jimmie" and
"iitoi",
(lack of capitalization intentional), etc.

2) Bad catchphrases such as "mighty morsemen motivated fighters"

3) incorrect grammar such as "be happy as proverbial clam"

4) Long irrelevant detailed retaling of something Len did decades ago.

And the key point was not the capitalization at all, but that ARRL does
not, and has not, had a virtual monopoly on amateur radio publications.

Very predictable. In fact, there's a simple profile that pretty much
predicts Len's behavior in any newsgroup situation. It's appeared
here in rrap a few times.....

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1209. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:29:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131798586.573684.53970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > I think this deserves a second helping:
> > >
> > > On 10 Nov 2005 05:05:30 -0800, "Gunny Dudly" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > <1131627930.747820.5360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> > >
> > > > UNLIKE me he managed to get court martialed not once, but TWICE in
> > > >ONE four year hitch! However he knows "the lingo",
> > >
> > >
> > > Evidently, I know it better than you. In the Marine Corps, a term of
> > > enlistment is called a 'cruise', not a 'hitch'. The pile of dirt
> > > continues to grow.....
> >
> > is it really? that is interesting as a dogface of course I did not
> > catch that was alwasy to far from water to pick up that term
>
> Actually, had Frankie actually spent more than one HITCH in the
> Marine Corps, or had NOT spent the one and ONLY hitch he did serve tied
> up in the legal system, he'd know that yes, Marines actaully refer to
> enlistments as "hitches". They also call then "tours" and several
> other colorful euphemisms that don't need repeating here.

Yet you told us that Sailors and Marines don't use other colorful
euphemisms.

Did you lie?

> A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
> away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
> warship.
>
> > In the Army we call em hitchs
>
> What do you mean "we"...?!?!

Steve, are you now claiming that you were in the Army, too?

> > > > so tries to help
> > > >out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five.
> > >

> > > I couldn't care less about your Morse code pissing contest. Obviously
> > > you missed the thread where I disagreed with Len about the issue.
>
> And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
> between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
> group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.

Feeble? Are you making five new medical diagnoses?

> You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
> There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue
> that would even remotely interest or encourage me.

Especially after exposing you as a fake.

> > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
> > bidding?
>
> There's nothing paranoid about it.

I think my use of the phrase, "Stolen Valor" is a frequent search term
for those that seek out military fakes. Once it is used, those that
defend the real vets get on it real quick.

> Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
> etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
> right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.

Just a case of bad attribution. But he got on your pretty fast, didn't
he?

> > > > He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
> > > >makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country.
> > >
> > > I have never said anything of the sort. Nevertheless, you lied to your
> > > God when you took your marriage vows -- who are -YOU- to accuse anyone
> > > else of lying?
> >
> > good one frank
> >
> > and according to stevie once a liar always a liar
>
> Yes, Markie, you and Frankie are liars...Now and forever.

Steve is a "Natural Born Liar." Goes way back.

> > > BTW..... "have"
> > >
> > >
> > > > He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
> > >
> > > A name well deserved.
> >

> > no there i think you are being disrepectfull to the real Gunnies of the
> > USMC
>
> Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
> posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.

Where?

> I even posted the Selection Board for my promotion to Staff
> Sergeant before it.

But you're prone to lying. What are we to believe?

> Again...You and Frankie of Scummiland chose to ignore them since
> they render your rants baseless.

Again, you're prone to lying.

> BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
> yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....

Again, you're prone to lying.

> > > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> > > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> > > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
> > >
> > > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
> > > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
> > > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
> > > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.
>
> Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
> you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....
>
> I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
> while on active duty."
>
> I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
> 92.

Mind stating again what your previously claimed experience lengthl was?

Not that your claims are trustworthy.

> > any marine MOS Frank from Stevie terms and mistakes it is possible that
> > he served in the armed forces but maybe in the ARMY memeber of the 95
> > series could have done as Stevie claims to have done, when you mention
> > some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
> > unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
> > fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
> > unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
> > I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible
>

> Congratulations, Markie...
>
> 109 "words" strung together without proper punctuation, numerous
> mispellings and grammatical errors...Not to mention not making a lick
> of sense.

Might be just the thing to offset your lying when you get to the Pearly
Gates.

> > could it be Dudly Dogface is the right label?
>
> Uhhhh...No, the doggie face is in Chassell, MI.

The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.

> > > > I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
> > >
> > >
> > > "shake" is the right word. You can't shake it off because your claim
> > > simply isn't true. But please keep trying.
>
> Spin as you will, Mr Twice Court Martialed coward and liar.
>
> BTW..No "Happy Veterans Day" for you...You didn't earn it.

Did Steve ever have a medical profile?

> > Id rather he just gave it up myself
> >
> > Not that it will happen
>
> I am sure there are a lot of things you'd like to heppen,
> Markie...Like a miraculous gender change and sudden enlightenment.
>
> That's not happening either.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

That's right. Mike, Jim, and Hans proven there is no God, so there
won't be any "miraculous" happenings anywhere. Never were, right?

# 1210. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:31:47 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl4nav$kc6$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123825
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

<eternalsquire@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:1131764121.650140.292100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> Polymath, you have my profound apologies on behalf of the entire
> worldwide ham community for the harm you have suffered. And as I just
> said in a seperate thread, it is things like this that make me think
> that while governments have a right to demand the addresses of licensed
> hams, it no longer has the right to publish them. That goes *double*
> for hams who happen to be minors... Sadly, depravity no longer limited
> to any single walk of life, and anonymity on the net is an importand
> safety measure that should now go hand in hand with exercising the
> right to free speech.

One thing you must take on board Poly is that these threats that anyone receives arent from just Amateurs/CB'ers. They are from people who use a radio just like they use forums.. to upset and bully people and to force their sometimes vile views upon people. These people are in both Amateur and CB circles. Its not a CB fault, its down pure and simply to "nut jobs" which the majority of CB'ers and Amateurs arent. Unfortunately if you take a stand against these people and your personal info is easily available for these nut jobs then im afraid sometimes you will get threats upon yourself. Just because you post your replys with merely a "Handle" or first name doesnt mean your a cowering hiding lowlife, quite often its because people dont wish to have this s_it from nut jobs thrust upon them. You can still make a valid point without using your traceable details. I know you shouldnt have to worry about such things but we live in the real world. As for the nut jobs... sometimes simply ignoring them is the best thing to do. They crave the attention. If you dont give them the attention they move on. Whatever you say to them they will never change.....
73's
Graham

# 1211. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:35:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131798945.188422.61780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123826

KH6HZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> > Thanks for stopping by! You've been missed, Brother!
>
> Hey Steve
>
> I stop in about once every 3 to 6 months to take a quick look at the
> cast of characters and the topics. Over the years since my posting
> hiatus from this newsgroup I have to say that nothing here has changed.
> Same people whining and complaining about the same topic day in and day
> out. That's one of the reasons why I stopped posting. I mean, if some
> of these people spent as much time operating a rig as they do
> complaining in this newsgroup, the airwaves would be full of people and
> my logbook would be crammed with entries.

You got that right.

I moved to a duplex across town a couple years ago to keep the
kids in the school of choice...Problem was that it's a bad option for
antennas...I have a limited option antenna back up now, but Amy and I
are considering a move back to the mountain now that the other kids are
graduated...

> I have to admit I do get a chuckle out of some of characters. It is
> amusing to see the Lt. General Vice Admiral Morgan's posts (did he get
> that dyslexic code-waiver yet?) and I see Lennie still hates hams and
> ham radios as much as ever. So much negativity and vitriol from that
> man, indeed, like I once said years ago, he's much like my
> long-departed paternal grandmother -- is miserable in life and has to
> make everyone else miserable around him. Such negativity must just suck
> the essence of life from your soul.

Hear hear.

No...Col. Markie is still as illiterate and assinine as
ever...Seems he got ran out of Illinois, though. He's almost as far
north as he can get and still have the US flag on the left pole.

And Markie and Lennie have recruited Frankie into their domain.
Frankie was twice court martialed in the Marines, but he's on a mission
to "prove" that he's a better legend in his own mind these days.

> Me, I'm too busy enjoying life to get involved with the nonsense that
> goes on in here anymore. I split my time between RI and HI. Spending

> time with my 3 young ones, riding my motorcycle, SCUBA diving, hiking,
> hunting, fishing, and oh yeah, getting on the rig a couple times a week
> and making a few contacts. Just two weeks ago I was teaching my SO how
> HF propagation works, and ended up spending 90 minutes chatting on 20
> meters with a dude in Florida who just retired after 20+ years with
> NASA.

I spent a couple hours a few weeks ago taking care of a former
NASA guy who is now in CAP and flies the pre-launch security flights
around Cape Canaveral.

> Let's see... In my left hand... Doing what I'm doing and making
> interesting contacts on HF... in my right hand... arguing day-in and
> day-out on the same topic over and over again with the same nitwits in
> a newsgroup beating a dead horse to death even more. Hmmmm... which one
> would I rather do?
>
> Drop me an email sometime. I doubt I'll post here again before I croak.

Will do...also feel free to e mail me direct anytime. How is the
leaf season looking this year?

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1212. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:37:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131799074.226433.68500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > is it really? that is interesting as a dogface of course I did not
> > > > > catch that was alwasy to far from water to pick up that term
> > > >
> > > > Actually, had Frankie actually spent more than one HITCH in the
> > > > Marine Corps, or had NOT spent the one and ONLY hitch he did serve tied
> > > > up in the legal system, he'd know that yes, Marines actaully refer to
> > > > enlistments as "hitches". They also call then "tours" and several
> > > > other colorful euphemisms that don't need repeating here.
> > >
> > why not becuase you are afraid of words?
>
> No. I am more "civilized" than you.

you are less honest than I am

you are afraid of word they drive you into some kind of rage
>
> > > A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
> > > away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
> > > warship.
> > >
> > > > In the Army we call em hitchs
> > >
> > > What do you mean "we"...?!?!
> >
> > pural form of the personal pronouns, reffering to everyone in the same
> > gruop in this those have served in the army
>
> "Plural" "refering" "group" "Army"
>
> But that doesn't include you.

yes it does include me

cuting stevie stpuid remark
.
> >

> > yes I did but I also saw your claim we got him to come to RRAP to
> > attack you
>
> I am sure you did. And if not, his actions certainly support that
> belief.

nope they do not
>
> > > You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
> > > There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue
> > > that would even remotely interest or encourage me.
> >
> > another lie stevie
>
> Nope.

you are lying you pounce on everything he says even more consitantly
than you pounce on me
>
cut
> > > > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
> > > > bidding?
> > >
> > > There's nothing paranoid about it.
> >
> > yes there was
>
> OK, Dr Sigmoid Fraud. Tell us all about it.

let me when he arives will you
cut
> > > Yes, Markie, you and Frankie are liars...Now and forever.
> >
> > and you lied to God himself broke a vow you made to God and your wife
> > your first wife
>
> Nope.

yes you did
cut
> > doesn't make it so
>
> Sure it does. Or are you NOW claiming that the United States
> Marine Corps is somehow a willing participant in some effort to deceive
> you over a decade before you ever knew about my existence?
>
> > > I even posted the Selection Board for my promotion to Staff
> > > Sergeant before it.
> >
> > doesn't make it so
>

> Sure it does.
truely off the deep end Stevie your saying something does not make it
so
>Or are you NOW claiming that the United States
> Marine Corps is somehow a willing participant in some effort to deceive
> you over a decade before you ever knew about my existence?

no such claim was made
cuting stevei repaeting himself
> > > BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
> > > yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....
> >
> > I don't know they occoured in your case
>
> What? You're accusing the USMC of creating a bogus line item in
> the Promotion Boards for your benefit?

no I am not
cut
> > > I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
> > > while on active duty."
> >
> > back pedaling again
>
> Nope. Clear fact.

nothing a clear about you except that you are some kind of wannabe hero
cut
> > > > some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
> > > > unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
> > > > fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
> > > > unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
> > > > I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible
> > >
> > cuting the spelling cop
>
> Ignoring that I'm right.

ignoring that I didn't care much what you said there in your speling
cop mide
>
> > > > could it be Dudly Dogface is the right label?
> > >
> > > Uhhhh...No, the doggie face is in Chassell, MI.
> >
> > damm right the Dogface is here
>
> No...I said "doggie face", not "dogface".

I am the dogface I have the papers to prove it
>
> > I just sugest it is consistant with what we know that your also a

> > Dogface that wanna be a Jarhead
>
> In my case the service is documented in public records.

so you say

just don't believe you
cut
> > > I am sure there are a lot of things you'd like to happen,
> > > Markie.
> >
> > sure are Stvei amasing you got something right
>
> What? Was that a statement or question?

gues you can't read english still
>
> > >..Like a miraculous gender change ......
> >
> > but wrong again
>
> Nope. Right on the money.

nope
>
> > well maybe not I would not mind trying out being feamle for a time it
> > would be an interesting experence I am sure but I don't esp desire the
> > expereince
>
> You've already done it.

a flat out lie Stevie

>
> > >..and sudden enlightenment.
> >
> > one can never have enough enlightment
>
> The problem is getting you INTO the light, Markie.

nope wrong again

>
> > > That's not happening either.
> >
> > it may indeed I expect bits of enlightenment from time to time
>
> You may EXPECT them, but so far it's pretty clear they've not
> arrived.

poor stevie wrong agian

>
> > but then I am open minded enough to accept and deal with it
>
> Well...if it ever happens, I am sure we'll be the first one's to
> know about it.

absolutely wrong there Stevie the meglomaniac
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1213. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:47:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131799673.522931.282310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123828

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> Fogware bullshit is your specialty, Len. You're the acknowledged expert
> on that subject.

Steve says "colorful euphemisms" aren't used by sailors and marines. I
guess you're serving in other ways again.

# 1214. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:48:53 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 19:19:28 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
> news:8ee97017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
> >
> > K1MAN is a great man because he's passionate about the real law and he
> > defends the little guy against the FCC toadies with his own lawyers.
> > The FCC bureaucrats are scared to death of K1MAN.
> >
>
> Yeah, right, the FCC is cringing behind there desks in fear of the allmighty
> K1MAN. ROFLMAO

Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO

> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>
> Go play with your self, LOSER!

At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
rec.radio.cb regular.

# 1215. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:48:54 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@no.spam>
Message-ID: <dr.death.speaks.ebonics@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 123830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 19:34:07 -0600, DrDeath eboniced:
>
> Don't be dissin Mr. Hat. LOL
>

"Dissing - [African American Vernacular English, short for DISRESPECT.]"
-- http://www.answers.com/dissing&r=67

# 1216. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:52:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131799920.174433.116930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > Actually, had Frankie actually spent more than one HITCH in the
> > Marine Corps, or had NOT spent the one and ONLY hitch he did serve tied
> > up in the legal system, he'd know that yes, Marines actaully refer to
> > enlistments as "hitches". They also call then "tours" and several
> > other colorful euphemisms that don't need repeating here.
>
> Yet you told us that Sailors and Marines don't use other colorful
> euphemisms.
>
> Did you lie?

Nope.

You didn't quote me correctly.

But nice try anyway.

> > A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
> > away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
> > warship.
> >
> > > In the Army we call em hitchs
> >
> > What do you mean "we"...?!?!
>
> Steve, are you now claiming that you were in the Army, too?

Did I say I was?

The comment was directed at Colonel Morgan and his
misrepresentations of Army service. No one elses.

> > > > > so tries to help
> > > > > >out the rest of the gang which I have since christened The Feeble Five.
> > > > >
> > > > > I couldn't care less about your Morse code pissing contest. Obviously
> > > > > you missed the thread where I disagreed with Len about the issue.
> > >
> > And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
> > between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
> > group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.

>
> Feeble? Are you making five new medical diagnoses?

Did I say it was a diagnosis?

Is there a prohibition against using the word "feeble" unless it is
by a licensed medical doctor? Can you show me that rule or law in
writing?

> > You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
> > There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue
> > that would even remotely interest or encourage me.
>
> Especially after exposing you as a fake.

Frankie hasn't expsoed anything except his failed USMC service.

> > > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
> > > bidding?
> >
> > There's nothing paranoid about it.
>
> I think my use of the phrase, "Stolen Valor" is a frequent search term
> for those that seek out military fakes. Once it is used, those that
> defend the real vets get on it real quick.

Other than Markie's folly and Lennie's frequent attempts to parlay
his rear-area radio mechanic duties into an artillery-ducking, Bear
avoiding novel, there's no military "frauds" here that I know of.

> > Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
> > etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
> > right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.
>
> Just a case of bad attribution. But he got on your pretty fast, didn't
> he?

He "got on me" alright, but so far he's not "proven" a single thing
except that he's a disgraced, twice convicted coward.

> > > > > He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
> > > > >makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country.
> > > >
> > > > I have never said anything of the sort. Nevertheless, you lied to your
> > > > God when you took your marriage vows -- who are -YOU- to accuse anyone
> > > > else of lying?
> > >
> > > good one frank
> > >
> > > and according to stevie once a liar always a liar
> >
> > Yes, Markie, you and Frankie are liars...Now and forever.
>

> Steve is a "Natural Born Liar." Goes way back.

Nope.

But keep on saying that if it makes you feel better.

> > > > BTW..... "have"
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
> > > >
> > > > > A name well deserved.
> > >
> > > no there i think you are being disrepectfull to the real Gunnies of the
> > > USMC
> >
> > Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
> > posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.
>
> Where?

Shall I show you? Going to Dayton this year?

> > I even posted the Selection Board for my promotion to Staff
> > Sergeant before it.
>
> But you're prone to lying. What are we to believe?

Prone to WHAT lying?

And are you now stating that the USMC itself was lying when they
posted promotion results for the 1983 Staff Sergeant Selection Board
and the 1987 Gunnery Sergeant Selection Boards?

> > Again...You and Frankie of Scummiland chose to ignore them since
> > they render your rants baseless.
>
> Again, you're prone to lying.

Again, YOU are lying.

> > BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
> > yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....
>
> Again, you're prone to lying.

And are you now stating that the USMC itself was lying when they
posted promotion results for the 1983 Staff Sergeant Selection Board
and the 1987 Gunnery Sergeant Selection Boards?

> > > > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> > > > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> > > > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
> > > >

> > > > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
> > > > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
> > > > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
> > > > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.
> >
> > Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
> > you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....
> >
> > I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
> > while on active duty."
> >
> > I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
> > 92.
>
> Mind stating again what your previously claimed experience lengthl was?

I don't dispute the experience length, Brain.

READ WHAT WAS WRITTEN: Sir Frankie Of Scummiland tried to say I
alleged the "experience" was all while I was in the Corps.

That's false. I never said that.

I've been an LPN for 11 years now and an EMT before that.

> Not that your claims are trustworthy.

From the mouth of a creep who lies to his family and publically
brandishes his "admiration" for a documented pathological liar....

> > > any marine MOS Frank from Stevie terms and mistakes it is possible that
> > > he served in the armed forces but maybe in the ARMY memeber of the 95
> > > series could have done as Stevie claims to have done, when you mention
> > > some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
> > > unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
> > > fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
> > > unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
> > > I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible
> >
> > Congratulations, Markie...
> >
> > 109 "words" strung together without proper punctuation, numerous
> > mispellings and grammatical errors...Not to mention not making a lick
> > of sense.
>
> Might be just the thing to offset your lying when you get to the Pearly
> Gates.

I am sure you thought that meant something...but what?

> > > could it be Dudly Dogface is the right label?
> >
> > Uhhhh...No, the doggie face is in Chassell, MI.

>
> The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.

I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.

> > > > > I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > "shake" is the right word. You can't shake it off because your claim
> > > > > simply isn't true. But please keep trying.
> >
> > Spin as you will, Mr Twice Court Martialed coward and liar.
> >
> > BTW..No "Happy Veterans Day" for you...You didn't earn it.
>
> Did Steve ever have a medical profile?
>
> > > Id rather he just gave it up myself
> > >
> > > Not that it will happen
> >
> > I am sure there are a lot of things you'd like to heppen,
> > Markie...Like a miraculous gender change and sudden enlightenment.
> >
> > That's not happening either.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> That's right. Mike, Jim, and Hans proven there is no God, so there
> won't be any "miraculous" happenings anywhere. Never were, right?

Still more non-germane comments.

Nice shot.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1217. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:53:12 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131799992.208187.290520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123832

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >
> > Fogware bullshit is your specialty, Len. You're the acknowledged expert
> > on that subject.
>
> Steve says "colorful euphemisms" aren't used by sailors and marines. I
> guess you're serving in other ways again.

but stevie don't tolerateword like bullshit

oh i forgot stebie lie about that too

# 1218. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 12:57:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131800241.816839.303820@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051111195907.887$Wg@newsreader.com...
> > Oh. So you didn't snip this stuff cuz it's correct, right Lee?
cut
> Well I can't help the fact that you're upset cause you can't get a license.
> Gee man get a life and a GED, then maybe you can read the study manual! I'll
> even help you by stopping by your place to do some tutoring.
my artist wife lmost maged to pas with the wrong question pool I miss
read the date of the tech manual I kept around, she an artist and
artisan and not the brighest or dimist blub in the pack managed 26
right (needing 27) I ordered a copy of the right book and she should
amnage next time
> --
> Regards,
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

# 1219. Re: Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 13:06:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131800807.259945.114810@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123834

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> cut
> > > > Am I terroried? What is "terroried"...?!?!
> > >
> > > answer the question coward
> >
> > (1) I don't respond to the demands of woman abusers. Say "please"
> > or forget it.
>
> can't keep your stories straight
>
> If I were a woman abuser you just lied becuase you reponded

You ARE a woman abuser.

> > (2) If you have a question you want answered by me, make sure it's
> > grammatically correct, spelled properly, and has the appropriate
> > punctuation.
>
> coward

If you have a question you want answered by me, make sure it's
grammatically correct, spelled properly, and has the appropriate
punctuation.

And Markie...YOU'RE the coward.

Comply with the requirements of (2) and you'll get an answer...but
only if yuo say "please".

Steve, K4YZ

## 1220. Stevie anoucuing to RRAP that he intends to comit a crime?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 13:07:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131800871.526624.170300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
>
> I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
> the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.

that would be crime you have no legal right to post any image of ME
without my consent
cut

# 1221. Re: Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 13:11:09 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131801069.545612.129040@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123836

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
cut

> > can't keep your stories straight
> >
> > If I were a woman abuser you just lied becuase you reponded
>
> You ARE a woman abuser.

repeating your lie does not make it true
>
> > > (2) If you have a question you want answered by me, make sure it's
> > > grammatically correct, spelled properly, and has the appropriate
> > > punctuation.
> >
> > coward
>
cut stevei repeting himself

> And Markie...YOU'RE the coward.

nope stevie is afraid to answer a simple question

stevie coward afraid of someone he claims is beneath his notice

Stveie claims he is terrized by his own words but no one else be

stebie a piece of shit

# 1222. Re: Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 13:12:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131801130.089947.78380@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123837

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
cut

> > can't keep your stories straight
> >
> > If I were a woman abuser you just lied becuase you reponded
>
> You ARE a woman abuser.

repeating your lie does not make it true
>
> > > (2) If you have a question you want answered by me, make sure it's
> > > grammatically correct, spelled properly, and has the appropriate
> > > punctuation.
> >
> > coward
>
cut stevei repeting himself

> And Markie...YOU'RE the coward.

nope stevie is afraid to answer a simple question

stevie coward afraid of someone he claims is beneath his notice

Stveie claims he is terrized by his own words but no one else be

stebie a piece of shit

but thank you Stevie you have worn me down enough after being woken up
so I should be able to get to sleep

# 1223. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 13:32:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6amdf.798$s14.167@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123838

an_old_friend wrote:

> you are less honest than I am

> ignoring that I didn't care much what you said there in your speling
> cop mide

There are combinations of words which deserve to be preserved for
posterity.

Dave K8MN

## 1224. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 13:39:25 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <4375f03b.76992518@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 123839
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:

> you suose you could enlighten us what you get out posting a reporting
> this drivel!

who is ''US'' that you talk about ?

are you some sort of SHOP STEWARD or WHAT ?

# 1225. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 13:41:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051112084105.269$SO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > You got me there, Lee. I also don't have a no-code call sign, or any
> > call sign for that matter. I'm a CBer gawd damnit!
>
> Well I can't help the fact that you're upset cause you can't get a
> license. Gee man get a life and a GED, then maybe you can read the study
> manual! I'll even help you by stopping by your place to do some tutoring.
>
Could you show me how to be a high-tech operator like you, nad?

http://img478.imageshack.us/img478/5518/scan00031mm.jpg

rofl

## 1226. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 13:51:37 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <4375f34c.77777845@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 123841
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jock. <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk> wrote:

> Yes, but he's a Yank.

oh ... didnt know that.

# 1227. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:02:05 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <4375f3e4.77929901@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 123842
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dana <dana@panix.com> wrote:

> The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
> Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.

the mistake every authority has made is....

when you cheapen a licence , you get lower standards of behaviour being
displayed by the licensees.

when those who have licences did very little to get that licence they will
treat that licence with very little respect.

when those licensees are governed by rules/regulations then their
behaviour may well become the subject of complaints.

complaints must be investigated.
this costs money.

end result....
lowering the 'cost of administration' allows cb'ers onto amateur bands.
cb'ers on amateur bands will cause problems.
problems cause complaints.
complaints cause investigations.
investigations cost money.
money that was hoped to have been saved in the first place !!

and a lot of this behaviour can be put down to ONE THING.
(even the interview with an rsgb president on the arrl website shows this)

the plan to get rid of compulsory morse testing for hf access.

# 1228. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:02:48 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <4375f5e3.78440683@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 123843
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>
> "Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
> news:Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120301370.9170@panix1.panix.com...
> > The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
> > Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.
>
> What is this absurd fascination with Morse Code? Wouldnt you rather just

its not absurd.

if you did the morse test you would understand.

# 1229. Markie Announcing To RRAP That His "English" IS a Crime

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:06:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131804412.304762.215700@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
> > > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
> >
> > I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
> > the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.
>
> that would be crime you have no legal right to post any image of ME
> without my consent

Wrong.

Any picture that can be acquired in a place of public accomodation can be used for almost ANY purpose where the person photographed cannot reasonably expect privacy.

Point in case: A picture of you taken from over a 12 foot privacy fence would be a "bust"...A picture of you getting into a car in a driveway would not.

Do you think, for example, that photographers at public events go and get "permission" from each and every person who MAY appear in a shot?

So...Next time you're out for a stroll, going to buy groceries, or stopping to buy gas, just keep an eye out for the camera, and say "CHEESE!"

Steve, K4YZ

# 1230. Re: Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:12:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131804734.842928.233580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123845

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> cut
>
> > > can't keep your stories straight
> > >
> > > If I were a woman abuser you just lied becuase you reponded
> >
> > You ARE a woman abuser.
>
> repeating your lie does not make it true

What lies?

You ARE a woman abuser.

It's documented in this forum, right here in Google.

> > > > (2) If you have a question you want answered by me, make sure it's
> > > > grammatically correct, spelled properly, and has the appropriate
> > > > punctuation.
> > >
> > > coward
> >
> cut stevei repeting himself

"Cut" "Stevie" "repeating"

But you didn't "cut" YOURSELF "repeting" yourself...Why?

> > And Markie...YOU'RE the coward.
>
> nope stevie is afraid to answer a simple question

Nope.

> stevie coward afraid of someone he claims is beneath his notice

Oh, I'm not afraid of you, Markie. Not by a long shot.

> Stveie claims he is terrized by his own words but no one else be

"Stevie" "terrorized"

Yet another non-sensical Muddled Morganism

> stebie a piece of ####

I beg to differ...I do NOT share your DNA.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1231. Re: Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:15:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131804907.990872.77790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123846

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> cut
>
> > > can't keep your stories straight
> > >
> > > If I were a woman abuser you just lied becuase you reponded
> >
> > You ARE a woman abuser.
>
> repeating your lie does not make it true

You ARE a woman abuser.

> > > > (2) If you have a question you want answered by me, make sure it's
> > > > grammatically correct, spelled properly, and has the appropriate
> > > > punctuation.
> > >
> > > coward
> >
> cut stevei repeting himself

Cute, Markie...

This post was a REPEAT of YOURS!

> > And Markie...YOU'RE the coward.
>
> nope stevie is afraid to answer a simple question
>
> stevie coward afraid of someone he claims is beneath his notice
>
> Stveie claims he is terrized by his own words but no one else be
>
> stebie a piece of

And I am STILL not in your DNA string, Markie...even though you
repeated this post in order to add
>
> but thank you Stevie you have worn me down enough after being woken up
> so I should be able to get to sleep

Well...wake your wife up and tell him to move over...

Niteynite, Greaseball.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1232. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:17:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131805054.974949.86410@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123847

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1131710060.783883.112380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > >> Dave Heil wrote:
> cut
>
> > > A little late there to protest, Steve. He started that several weeks ago.
> > > I simply choose to shun such people.
> >
> > An apology of my own then, Dee, but as I am sure it's apparent by
> > the ebb and flow of traffic, I've been busy lately and just plain
> > missed it...However his conduct was wrong then, it's wrong today.
>
> meaning you jumped into without bothering to know what you were typing
> about and shoot off our keyboard

Nope.

Meaning your conduct was inappropriate then, it's inappropriate
now, and always WILL be inappropriate.

> > Bravo, Dee...However I doubt that there is a court in the United
> > States that would fault a man for blooding the nose of a creep who
> > defiled his spouse. Similar case occured in Chattanooga a couple years
> > back..."Case Dismissed" for lack of evidence.
>
> showing of course what we in the north have known for years, thatthe
> courts of the south often do not uphold the law

Sure they do.

> and that they can be pretty brazen about it

Brazen about upholding their own law...?!?!

You're SUCH an IDIOT, Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1233. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:26:55 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051112092655.458$WC@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123848
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051112013949.761$lR@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> >>and frankly I find it amazing that
> >> people on this group find it so acceptable.
> >>
> > Want some cheese, fuckstick?
>
> Is that what turns you on?
>
> Regards,
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
Al Banys was right about you.

# 1234. Re: Day 4 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:27:45 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <BZmdf.8147$m81.5534@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123849

Still nothing of any consequence.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:LLMcf.7478$AS6.1685@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131642833.145873.295830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> Some 48 hours has elapsed and only one new argument
>>> was raised and that has no actual example(s) of any
>>> country no longer granting reciprocal licenses to
>>> amy of the 20+ countries that have already ended
>>> all code testing.
>>>
>>> Cheers,
>>> Bill K2UNK
>>>
>> any thought on how you will keep this up?
>> just cruious my own siggestion is 7 days then
>> weekly til the R&O comes out
>
> Since the comment phase is now officially closed I
> was only asking if anyone knew of any new arguments
> filed in support of code testing. Jim N2EY gave us
> one...but, now that I think about it, he never actually
> said that he or anyone else actually submitted that
> argument as actual comments to the NPRM.
>
> My opinion, we ain't gonna see anything new beyond
> Jim's rather weak addition...which may not even by an
> actual submission. I'll give the folks a week or so per
> your suggestion "old friend".
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>
>
>
>

# 1235. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:44:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131806684.613395.183540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123750

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > Dee BTW has publicly stated she does not object to me addressing her in
> > > > > > > that manner
> > > > > >
> > > > > > SO you just go ahead an use verbally abusive language, huh...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > no no verbal abuse was devilered
> > >
> > Sure there was.
>
> VVERBAL abuse is not possible on USENET oh I supose that I post a
> binary file there but I have not done that

"VVERBAL" abuse certainly IS possible on USENET!

Just re-ready ANYthing you ahve posted in RRAP, Markie!

> > > > > I have not lied in calling her an elitist bitch...(SNIP)
> > > > >
> > > > Yes, you have.
> > > >
> > > nope i have expressed my opinion on her character
> > >
> > YOU have NO BUSINESS expressing ANY opinion on ANYone based upon
> > "character".
>
> wether or not I have any bussness doing so I have done so,

Yes, you have. And made a fool of yourself in the process.

> I have not lied in so expressing it

Yes, you have. And made a fool of yourself in the process.

> but I have every bussnes doing so if I choose

And you will continue to make a fool of yourself in the process.

> > > back you disagreeing with you is lying again I see
> > >
> > No...calling a woman a "bitch" in a public forum is not
> > "disagreeing".

>
> no that is calling a woman a bitch

More woman abuse.

> but accostond expressing an opinion you don't like is lying

No...Calling a woman a "bitch" is not lying...It's cowardly.

> which is of course bull####

To a coward like you, I suppose it is.

> > > > > (UNSNIP) she donmantrated to my satifction that she is an elitist bitch
> > > >
> > > > "demonstrated" "satisfaction"
> > > >
> > > > She's demonstrated nothing but espect and restraint in talking to
> > > > you.
> > >
> > > nope and as you said some years back it is up to me to determine that
> >
> > No...It's a matter of fact.
>
> no it is not a matter of fact

Yes, It is.

> ir is entirely a maatter of opinion

Nope. It's a matter of fact.

> > She's never once used ANY derrogatory term towards you.
>
> yes she she has
>
> indeed you missed the exchange that resulted in the term being applied
> therefore it is quite reasonable to conclude that you don't know what
> you are typing about

Show me.

> > > she has domtrated the classist bais we techs have come to expect form
> > > the Upper classes, she has been rude and insulting
> >
> > "demonstrated" "bias"
> >
> > No...that's you double standard barking at you, Markie...
>
> your double standard certainly is
>
> you savaged Kim on the basis of her callsign after all

I may have given Kim a hard time about her callsign, but I DARE
YOU to show me ONE POST where I used such derisive language like
"bitch"...

> > She disagreed with you.
>
> at time at other times she insulted me

It's easy to insult you, Markie. Even when it's not intended, you
select things to be "insulting" just to keep your "victim" status
rolling.

> > So YOU called her a very ugly name.
>
> nope in not following the exchange you don't why I called her an
> elitist bitch

It doesn't matter.

Call her "elitist" if you care to.

But I dare you to show me where she used such language in
describing you!

> > > > > she ractices elitism thought
> > > > > insults people based on license class she is feamel etc all in all
> > > > > meeting the qualifactions for the title
> > > > >
> > > > No, she's not. Not by a mile.
> > > > >
> > > > And Markie....
> > > > >
> > > > S P E L L C H E C K E R
> > > > >
> > > > "She" "practices" "female" "qualifications"
> > > > >
> > > > She's not ONCE insulted ANYBODY based on ANYthing.
> > > >
> > > worng again stevie
> > >
> > "Wrong" "Stevie"
> > >
> > Nope.
>
> clearly wrong
>
> you missed the exchance clearly so you don't know what was said

"exchange"

I didn't have to see it to know that a gentleman doesn't call a
woman a "bitch" in public.

> > > > > > You need to get knocked off your feet for that one, Markie! And I
> > > > > > mean a solid, blood letting smack in the middle of your greasy face!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ah Dee can't defend herself from something she feels she need no
> > > > > > defending from. bad as Dan
> > > > >
> > > > > No...I just don't lilke seeing fat, greasy frogs defiling women.
> > > >
> > > > no one defiled her in any way
> > >
> > You called her a bitch.
>
> yes I did

Then you acknowledge that you deserve the bloody nose I suggested
you're due.

> had she been a man id have called her something else but you don't call
> women "bastards" just followed english convention

YOU don't follow "english convention" or you'd know English is
capitalized.

> > > > YOU are a fat greasy frog.
> > > > >
> > > > > but you show your violent nature again Stevie you are constantly
> > > > > suggesting violence, not the act of a civlised man
> > > > >
> > > > Ahhhhhhhhh...I see. Calling a woman a "bitch" >>IS<< civilized,
> > > > right...?!?!
> > >
> > > yes it is compared to violence
> >
> > It's a violent act of it's own, Markie.
>
> an interesting opinion from man claiming he can lie about the mental
> status of people freely without any consquences

I never said I can "lie" about anything.

You have yet to prove that I have.

> > > and since she wants to play with the boys here I treat her as one
> >
> > So...Just because she posts here she's to be treated to such
> > language?
>
> That was one the condictions involved in it,not the only one

CONDITIONS

Oh? Show me in the RRAP preamble where it states that posting here
means it's OK to abuse women.

> > > > And Markie...where >>I<< live, defending a woman's honor is NOT
> > > > violence...I'ts EXPECTED.
> > >
> > > indeed showing you are a barbarian, from a barbarian subculture of the
> > > USA
> >
> > Only a heel of your (small) caliber considers defending a woman
> > "barbarian".
>
> I call you barbarian

You have NO CLUE as to what a "barbarian" is.

> > > you are now claiming me calling you a piece #### allows you to say Todd
> > > father has aids
> >
> > There you go again...Two-facing your claims...
>
> the claim is yours

Nope. Again, and for the 45th time this week, you "gloss over"
your OWN "claim" that it's "OK" to pick and choose what "definition" is
OK to use regardless of the context of the conversation, Markie!

Were you LYING, Colonel?

> you advanced the claim any of my acts allow to say that Todd's fathe
> has AIDS or for that matter tha Len and brain are mentaly ill

Well...The only thing there that made sense was that Lennie and
Brain are mentally ill...That's pretty well documented. But your "my
acts" thing is mindless.

> > > you are nuts, and as an advocate of violence a dangerous one
> >
> > Any you're an unrepentant liar, sexual devaint, and now documented
> > woman abuser.
>
> none of the above statements is true stevie

It's all absolutely true. You're an unrepentant liar, you're a
sexual deviant, and you're a woman abuser.

And all of it archived from YOUR WORDS AND DEEDS.

> > > > Someone on the Board may initially tae issue with my public stance
> > > > with you, but they TRULY hate men who abuse women. And abuse women you
> > > > do!
> > >
> > > nope i don't
> >
> > Yes, you do! Documented. Archived.
>
> i have not harmed her in the slighest by her own words archived

Lot's of abused women refuse to acknowledge their abused status.
Many women, beaten and bloodied by family members, often turn on the
police and EMS workers trying to help them.

That Dee has "excused" you does NOT excuse the fact that you ARE a
woman abuser.

> and again if I have how did that give to the right to lie about me, and
> Todd and Len and Brain, as you claim it does

What lie? What lies?

And I didn't make any "claim".

> > > no harm has been dee flint a point she has agreed with
> >
> > No, she didn't state "no harm" has been done...She DID state that
> > you're not worth the effort. THAT is the truth.
>
> she did state that weeks ago
>
> you have just not been paying attention

Paying attention to what? Your abuse. You're right...I missed
that one under the tons of filth and deceit deposited in RRAP by you
and the others of the Feeble Five...

But that doesn't negate the fact that you're a woman abuser.

> > > > "elitist" is one thing. Bitch is another.
> > >
> > > not according to HER the aleeged offended party
> >
> > "alleged"
> >
> > Again..re-read what WAS written.
> >
> > > and I seem to remeber your abusive behavoir toward Kim
> >
> > "remember".
> >
> > I NEVER treated Kim to being called a "bitch".
>
> you treated her abusively i said and you did

Oh? Show me.

> > > calling someone a pedophile and child abuser is a long shot worse than
> > > "Bitch"
> >
> > Not when it's true.
>
> even if it were true

I am sure every bit of it's true, Markie. The more we delve into
the sick and abusive mind of Mark C Morgan, the more disgusting facts
we find.

> > > > Thanks for demonstrating that you are, among all your OTHER
> > > > perversities, a woman hater and abuser...Not that there was much doubt
> > > > CONSIDERING your other perversitites....
> > > >
> > > that I hate women will caome as a shock to my wife
> > >
> > "come"
> >
> > I am sure he wont' mind.
>
> she was shocked we got a good laugh out of reading your post, and then
> sobbering thoughts along the lines of "why is this nut allowed on the
> streets"

"He" "sobering"

Well...Your "wife" and I have the same opinon of you then!

> > > > I add "woman abuser" to your list of deficits. That you act this
> > > > way in public only adds doubt as to how well you conduct yourself in
> > > > private.
> > > >
> > > more of your unfounded insinuation
> > >
> > No "insinuation", nor "unfounded".
>
> both

Nope...You ARE a woman abuser.

> > You have now archived your hatred and loathing of women in Google
> > archives.
>
> I have documented my dislike of a given female in google

And you did so abusively.

> you have and continue to document you rpassion for lying, distortion
> and madness in Google

Nope. I have documented your lying and proven you to be every bit
the disgusting bit of filth that you are...Of course, considering the
amount of material you give me to work with, it wasn't all that hard to
do.....................

> as well as your lack of comand of the english language

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!

"...LACK OF COMAND..."

> and the fact you suffer from what I can only term "graphophobia" a
> morbid fear of words

Markie, Markie, Markie....

Steve, K4YZ

# 1236. Re: K1MAN SAYS ARRL MEMBERSHIP IS IN FREE FALL AS AARA NIPS AT THEIR HEELS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:48:32 UTC
From: Y-505 <cb@ycbchanall.net>
Message-ID: <dl4v92$sr6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

The Georgia SSB net has been causing malicious intentional
QRM to K1MAN for years. You can hear them on other
frequencies, planning and coordinating how they will jam
K1MAN.

"Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
news:Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120029160.26854@panix1.panix.com...
> All K1MAN does is mess up the Georgia side band net on 3.975
>

# 1237. Re: More Abuses of the King's Written English By KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:49:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131806959.854963.199530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123752

lied to an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > #### you steve, crawl back under that ####ing rock you came from you
> > > ####ing slimeball
> >
> > You shouldn't talk like that while looking at yourself in the
> > mirror, Junior DJ.
>
> and you call my psting confuded?

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

No, Markie, I have NEVER called your "psting" "confuded"...Whatever
"confuded" is supposed to be ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

# 1238. Keeping Markie Mularkie In Markicentric Threads

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:54:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131807245.710545.130800@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123753

Markie Mularkie wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > ...my Memeber in NCI was german to the discussion...
> > > >
> > > > Classic.
> > >
> > > does it truely give you pleasure to laugh at another weaknesses?
> >
> > It's not a "weakness", Markie.
> >
> > It's stupidity.
> >
> > > I have studied Christianity and by that stadard you are sick moraly
> > > sick
> >
> > Nothing you have ever posted even remotely indicates that you have
> > even the remotest understanding of Christianity....
>
> another lie Stevie

Nope.

Documented facts AGAIN, Markie!

> >...Other than, perhaps,
> > it declares that one man that lays with another as he would with a
> > woman is doomed.
>
> ah levitcus I bet you would be shocked shocked to see the comentary the
> Rabbis put on that passage,( after all it part of the Torah first)

And yet another good reason why you turned to paganism.

> and I am aware what christain thought does with the passage i hapen to
> think the notion of a load of #### is all

No...what you're REALLY concerned with is how YOU use the passage
that carries the ####...

> I ca understand christainity and disagree with it's teaching

Especially the parts about honesty and sleeping with the same sex,
I see.......

> > Good reason to switch to paganism, I guess...Just shop around until
> > you DO find "rules" that let you do what you want to do without the
> > guilty conscience, I guess....
> >
> > As for the "morally sick" claim, Markie,cut the tangent

And as usual, Markie snips the parts he doesn't like.

> Dave is moraly sick in violating the tenants and teaching HE claims to
> follow

Such as?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1239. Re: MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:57:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131807436.543721.142220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123754

raped an old friend wrote:

> stevie stop caling Jedi like powers esp on veterans day

I am sure that you thought this meant something, but...WHAT...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1240. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 14:58:21 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl4vtr$vci$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123755
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"zjjPK "
<class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net> wrote in message news:4375f5e3.78440683@news.iol.ie...
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >
> > "Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
> > news:Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120301370.9170@panix1.panix.com...
> > > The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
> > > Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.
> >
> > What is this absurd fascination with Morse Code? Wouldnt you rather just
>
>
> its not absurd.
>
> if you did the morse test you would understand.

Then can you explain it to me then? Im not being flippant, I actually want to know why its important and why, in your words, it drops the quality of people who chose to become Amateur if they don't do morse... why does it make them any less of a good Amateur?... and why do you think that because morse might be dropped the band will become full of CB people who will wreak havoc? And why do you class all CB'ers as the same?

# 1241. Re: The reason for all the rrap "Liars"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 15:03:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131807798.007011.94920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123756

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Iitoi wrote:
> > > cut
> > >
> > > > The moral of this story is: Whenever a man lies, it is for a good and
> > > > honorable reason, and for the benefit of others.
> > > >
> > > > That's their story, and they're sticking to it! - "IT OK FOR MARK AND
> > > > STEVE TO LIE --- THEY ARE HONORABLE MEN !!!!!!"..
> > > > (riiiiiiiiiiiiight!)
> > >
> > > I don't claim that at all although Stevie might if he was honest enough
> > > to admit he did lie. I lie ebcuase it is not safe to to tell the truth
> > > about some things in the USA today, not an esp noble reason, but the
> > > right to LIFE is cetral in american thought.
> >
> > What an absolutely assinine, deluded and idiotic rationalization
> > for being dishonest and deceitful.
>
> more pmedical practice?

What's "pmedical" practice?

> > Markie, you claim that you NEED to lie to be safe, but ARE
> > YOU...?!?!?
>
> yes I am pretty much

No...You're not.
> > Would all of your lying stop you from being an unfortunate victim
> > of a drive-by shooting? Or prevent you from being carjacked? Do you
> > think that by trying to hide who you are would stop a meteor from
> > landing on you or turn back a tsunami?
>
> your passion for exagering my my claims and spliting hair is famous

Well, Markie...You exaggerate your OWN claims without any help from
me.

> if you wish a more precision I lie to increase my safety to same level
> as others

Your lying does nothing of the sort. Anyone who's been paying
even LOOSE attention to these exchanges knows who you are, what you

are, and where you are, down to the mailbox.

> I am safe from a drive by shooting there has never been one in this
> county, nor a carjacking. and I am certain that No Tsunami has ever hit
> houghton county
>
> my lying makes me safe as the oridanary fellow form the reulsts of my
> politcal actism what was the point

"politcal actism"...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> flushing the ranting of nutcase

Then you'll be commiting an act of suicide...(be still, my
heart...I won't get THAT lucky!)

Steve, K4YZ

# 1242. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 15:07:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131808050.707247.100720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123757

an_old_friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> > > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > >You should spend less time on the computer
> > >
> > >
> > > So should you, matbe you wouldn't post such mangled English.
> >
> > "Matbe" you shouldn't post such mangled English. LOL!!!!!
>
> i never mangle anything that badly

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

SURE YOU DON'T, PINNOCHIO ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

(Vision of Markie's "wife" sticking his butt in Markie's face
saying "LIE AGAIN, BIG BOY! LIE
AGAIN!"....eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeww!!!!!!! )

Steve, K4YZ

# 1243. Re: Stevie anoucuing to RRAP that he intends to comit a crime?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 15:14:19 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl50ro$k3s$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131800871.526624.170300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
> > > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
> >
> > I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
> > the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.
>
> that would be crime you have no legal right to post any image of ME
> without my consent
> cut

I think you will find he does. Sorry and all that.

# 1244. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 15:34:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131809673.043519.79210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123759

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ <@aol.com> on Nov 11, 4:06 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 4:41 pm
>
> > Interesting that after having had his nose rubbed in his own
> >numbers,

Len

> >changes style and format of his "scorecard" series of
> >posts and threads...Trying to hide the facts, as usual...The FACTS that
> >those comments Jim was refering to DON'T support

Len's

> >opinion as
> >being in the majority.

Len's own "scorecard" shows his opinion (complete elimination
of all Morse Code testing for any class of FCC-issued amateur radio
license)
to be in the minority

Unknown person

> has no "facts" to accuse anyone of anything.
>
> I started the "score card" postings on 2 August 2005 after
> accumulating enough opinion data on WT Docket 05-235 filings
> to see four categories. The first non-FCC filings began
> on 20 July 2005.
>
> The official Comment beginning did not occur until the Federal
> Register notice of NPRM 05-143 on 31 August 2005. At that
> date I elected to show a double tally of opinions, the original
> one and one that began on 31 August. The first posting of
> that new tally format began 1 September 2005.
>
> Due to a great number of posts under the above 2nd subject
> thread that went beyond the scope of the "score card," I
> changed the "score card" posts to another thread beginning
> 17 October 2005. The same format of the 2nd thread ("double
> tally") was kept in this third thread.

It appears that Len wished to avoide comment and discussion,
and wanted a thread containing only his scorecard.
>
> The tally postings were almost daily except for one 2 1/2
> week period in September-October when my wife and I were on
> a trip and I was away from easy Internet access and tallies.

One word: Wireless

> All of the categorizations were done as honestly and fairly
> as possible,

Purely subjective opinion.

> reading each of the Comments and Replies to
> Comments.

If that were true, Len would have been aware of the fact that there
was indeed at least one other 'scorecard'

> Opinions of greater than 90% of all filings were
> rather obviously in three categories of For the NPRM, Against
> the NPRM, or Code-Test-for-Extra-but-OK-to-drop-code-test-for-
> other-classes.

Which leaves 10% uncertain. Yet Len posted results to four decimal
places, as if his tally was that accurate.
>
> All of my "score card" postings are still in Google and may
> be accessed by anyone with Google access.

So is the commentary on their deficiencies.

> NOTHING was "hidden."

Incorrect.

Len counted multiple filings by the same person as different opinions
if they were not identical. Only identical filings by the same person
were counted as duplicates. Thus, in his own tally, Len's comments
and five reply comments (last time I looked) counted as if they
were written by six different people.

Len's postings are also unclear about what was counted. In parts of the
posting he uses the word "comments" and in others the word "filings",
hiding the fact that he was counting comments and reply comments.

> By viewing the continuously-posted tally postings,
> it is quite evident that a better than 2:1 ratio in favor of
> the NPRM was held at the starting weeks of the Comment
> period.

Irrelevant.

> That ratio began to change from the date of notice
> in the Federal Register that the NPRM Comment period had

> begun on 31 August 2005. The tallies can be verified by
> anyone reading all 3,760 filings in WT Docket 05-235 as of
> the close of ECFS updates on 10 November 2005.

Someone else did read them, and came up with a different
result than Len.

> It should be noted that the official Comment period on NPRM
> 05-143 ENDED on 31 October. The Reply to Comments period is
> still officially OPEN until Monday, 14 November 2005, as
> stated in the Federal Register of 31 August 2005. Among the
> 9 official Replies to Comments (the other 48 are unofficial
> Comments), all of them are FOR the NPRM. Those who bother
> to look at the many tally postings I made will see the trend
> of opinion changing weekly.

The final result is what matters.

> Nothing was hidden.

Incorrect, as shown above.

> Posting of the "score card" was terminated after the official
> end of the Comment period on 31 October 2005. The number of
> other posts of continual argument and bickering were so great
> that it was no longer worthwhile to continue the tally posting
> in public.

In other words, Len did not want his results criticized or examined
closely. He wanted them accepted as fact, without question.

> There are no "rules" on posting tallies of public opinion
> except those of the person(s) doing the tally.

Yet when questions were posed about Len's "rules", he became
the source of "continual argument and bickering" rather than
answering the questions honestly.

> That another
> has posted an alleged "analysis" of WT Docket 05-235 filing
> contents is not any valid argument against what I have done.

Why not? Is Leonard H. Anderson incapable of error?

> > None-the-less, it will be interesting to see, after whatever
> >action the FCC takes in regards to dropping the Code Test,

Regardless of comments, that will almost certainly be to drop
all Morse Code testing.

> >if

Len

> >will then get the "Extra Lite" (or any other HF-authorized license) or
> >continue to spam the NG with his anti-Amateur Radio bile...

Isn't that answer clear?
>
> Irrelevant and incorrect.

Neither.

> What I do in the future is of no
> concern to any action of the FCC to make a final Report and
> Order as a result of the end of the Comments and Replies to
> Comments. The Commission will make a decision at some
> future time but that time will not be soon. The number of
> filings in WT Docket 05-235 exceed those made on WT Docket
> 98-143 ("Restructuring") by about 1,500; WT Docket 98-143
> had only about 2,200 filings in the official Comment and
> Replies to Comments period of about 11 months time.

Len has repeatedly given opinions about others' motivations, yet
will not reveal his own. Except for one outburst in January 2000,
he has not expressed the desire or intention to get an amateur radio
license.

> As to the past,

unknown person

> has NOT yet provided any
> proof whatsoever that he was ever IN any of the alleged
> "seven hostile actions" he claimed he was in.

Veterans of military and government service who disagree with Len have
been
subject to Len's insults and denigration of their service,
regardless of what or when it was. Len's classic "sphinters post"
proves that.

# 1245. Re: Mark Morgan Terrorizing and Stalking In E Mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 16:56:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131814619.838724.309930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123760

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > cut
> >
> > > > can't keep your stories straight
> > > >
> > > > If I were a woman abuser you just lied becuase you reponded
> > >
> > > You ARE a woman abuser.
> >
> > repeating your lie does not make it true
>
> What lies?
repeating your lie does not make it true
cut
you didn't "cut" YOURSELF "repeting" yourself...Why?
>
> > > And Markie...YOU'RE the coward.
> >
> > nope stevie is afraid to answer a simple question
>
> Nope.

stevie is afradi to answer
>
> > stevie coward afraid of someone he claims is beneath his notice
>
> Oh, I'm not afraid of you, Markie. Not by a long shot.

yes you are you make that quite clear
answer the question coward

# 1246. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 16:58:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131814713.003949.150080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123761

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > Dee BTW has publicly stated she does not object to me addressing her in
> > > > > > that manner
> > > > >
> > > > > SO you just go ahead an use verbally abusive language, huh...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > no no verbal abuse was devilered
> > >
> > > Sure there was.
> >
> > VERBAL abuse is not possible on USENET oh I supose that I post a
> > binary file there but I have not done that
>
> "VERBAL" abuse certainly IS possible on USENET!
>

stebie lie again

this is a written not a spoken medium

stevie lie

# 1247. Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 16:59:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131814775.994703.318180@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123762

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dee Flint wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1131710060.783883.112380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > >> Dave Heil wrote:
> > cut
> >
> > > > > A little late there to protest, Steve. He started that several weeks ago.
> > > > > I simply choose to shun such people.
> > >
> > > An apology of my own then, Dee, but as I am sure it's apparent by
> > > the ebb and flow of traffic, I've been busy lately and just plain
> > > missed it...However his conduct was wrong then, it's wrong today.
> >
> > meaning you jumped into without bothering to know what you were typing
> > about and shoot off our keyboard
>
> Nope.

yes meaning you jumped insoemthing without checking your facts
>
> Meaning your conduct was inappropriate then, it's inappropriate
> now, and always WILL be inappropriate.
>
> > > Bravo, Dee...However I doubt that there is a court in the United
> > > States that would fault a man for blooding the nose of a creep who
> > > defiled his spouse. Similar case occured in Chattanooga a couple years
> > > back..."Case Dismissed" for lack of evidence.
> >
> > showing of course what we in the north have known for years, thatthe
> > courts of the south often do not uphold the law
>
> Sure they do.
>
> > and that they can be pretty brazen about it
>
> Brazen about upholding their own law...?!?!

about not upoholding it
>
> You're SUCH an IDIOT, Markie!
>

> Steve, K4YZ

## 1248. Re: More Abuses by stvevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:01:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131814861.549339.265700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123763

K4YZ wrote:
Re: More Abuses of the King's Written English By KB9RQZ

stevie lies again
> lied to an old friend wrote:
stevie violates internet protocol again

stevie shit get flushed

## 1249. another stevie attcak thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:02:15 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131814935.080883.278530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123764

K4YZ wrote:
> Markie Mularkie wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > ...my Memeber in NCI was german to the discussion...
> > > > >
> > > > > Classic.
> > > >
> > > > does it truely give you pleasure to laugh at another weaknesses?
> > >
> > > It's not a "weakness", Markie.
> > >
> > > It's stupidity.
> > >
> > > > I have studied Christianity and by that stadard you are sick moraly
> > > > sick
> > >
> > > Nothing you have ever posted even remotely indicates that you have
> > > even the remotest understanding of Christianity....
> >
> > another lie Stevie
>
> Nope.
>
> Documented facts AGAIN, Markie!

more lies by steie tried of stevie lies today
so flush the rest un read

# 1250. Re: MARK MORGAN: SOBER UP BEFORE POSTING ! ! ! ! !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:03:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131814986.964973.53870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123765

K4YZ wrote:
> raped an old friend wrote:
stevei coninues his liathon so flush

## 1251. Re: The reason for all the rrap "Liars"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:04:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131815090.870592.179210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123766

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > > Markie, you claim that you NEED to lie to be safe, but ARE
> > > YOU...?!?!?
> >
> > yes I am pretty much
>
> No...You're not.

yes I am unless you are threatening me again

contiuing to flsuh the word of your lieathon

# 1252. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:06:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131815192.914702.16070@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123767

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> > > > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >You should spend less time on the computer
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > So should you, matbe you wouldn't post such mangled English.
> > >
> > > "Matbe" you shouldn't post such mangled English. LOL!!!!!
> >
> > i never mangle anything that badly
cuting stevei cries or whatever
> SURE YOU DON'T, PINNOCHIO ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

nice of you to agree
>
cuting stevie sexual rants

# 1253. Re: Stevie anoucuing to RRAP that he intends to comit a crime?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:09:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131815358.573101.24700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:

Markie Announcing To RRAP That His "English" IS a Crime

stevie lies again
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> > > > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
> > >
> > > I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
> > > the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.
> >
> > that would be crime you have no legal right to post any image of ME
> > without my consent
>
> Wrong.
>
> Any picture that can be acquired in a place of public accomodation
> can be used for almost ANY purpose where the person photographed cannot
> reasonably expect privacy.
>
> Point in case: A picture of you taken from over a 12 foot privacy
> fence would be a "bust"...A picture of you getting into a car in a
> driveway would not.
>
> Do you think, for example, that photographers at public events go
> and get "permission" from each and every person who MAY appear in a
> shot?
>
> So...Next time you're out for a stroll, going to buy groceries, or
> stopping to buy gas, just keep an eye out for the camera, and say
> "CHEESE!"

but you have no access to such a photo

you are in Tennesse

you don't see doing any of the above

if you think you do you should to your mental haelth that occouarnace
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1254. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:12:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131815524.194690.37610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123769
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

zjjPK wrote:
> Dana <dana@panix.com> wrote:
>
> > The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
> > Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.
>
> the mistake every authority has made is....
>
> when you cheapen a licence , you get lower standards of behaviour being
> displayed by the licensees.

suppositions and unsuported
>
> when those who have licences did very little to get that licence they will
> treat that licence with very little respect.

again suposition

>
> when those licensees are governed by rules/regulations then their
> behaviour may well become the subject of complaints.
>
> complaints must be investigated.
> this costs money.

not realy the FCC did not bother with complaints for many years

that is why the bands got trashy
cut

# 1255. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:18:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131815896.320380.4090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123770

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: K4YZ <@aol.com> on Nov 11, 4:06 am
> >
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 4:41 pm
> > >
> > > > Interesting that after having had his nose rubbed in his own
> > > >numbers,
>
> Len
>
> > > >changes style and format of his "scorecard" series of
> > > >posts and threads...Trying to hide the facts, as usual...The FACTS that
> > > >those comments Jim was refering to DON'T support
>
> Len's
>
> > > >opinion as
> > > >being in the majority.
>
> Len's own "scorecard" shows his opinion (complete elimination
> of all Morse Code testing for any class of FCC-issued amateur radio
> license)
> to be in the minority

yes it did

but majoity support for nocode general, and the coment show no reason
for coded extra and a nocode general

indeed the coment don't address why we need to have an extra class at
all but that was outside what the FCC was discussing
cut

> It appears that Len wished to avoide comment and discussion,
> and wanted a thread containing only his scorecard.

yes he did want that . It is his right to want it
cut

> Irrelevant.
>
> > That ratio began to change from the date of notice
> > in the Federal Register that the NPRM Comment period had
> > begun on 31 August 2005. The tallies can be verified by

> > anyone reading all 3,760 filings in WT Docket 05-235 as of
> > the close of ECFS updates on 10 November 2005.
>
> Someone else did read them, and came up with a different
> result than Len.

no doubt, and no supruse I am sure to anyone but you and no doubt if I
read em did my own count i would come up yet another figure
>
> > It should be noted that the official Comment period on NPRM
> > 05-143 ENDED on 31 October. The Reply to Comments period is
> > still officially OPEN until Monday, 14 November 2005, as
> > stated in the Federal Register of 31 August 2005. Among the
> > 9 official Replies to Comments (the other 48 are unofficial
> > Comments), all of them are FOR the NPRM. Those who bother
> > to look at the many tally postings I made will see the trend
> > of opinion changing weekly.
>
> The final result is what matters.

to you

the FCC can glean data from the ebb and flow of the results

the FCC could choose to glean data through the use of Tarrot cards if
it chosee

you do not decide what matters. in the end the FCC does, tile then we
may speculate and deabte as we choose
the R&O when it is realesed is what matters

# 1256. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:19:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131815951.318845.227130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123771
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

zjjPK wrote:
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >
> > "Dana" <dana@panix.com> wrote in message
> > news:Pine.NEB.4.63.0511120301370.9170@panix1.panix.com...
> > > The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
> > > Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.
> >
> > What is this absurd fascination with Morse Code? Wouldnt you rather just
>
>
> its not absurd.

it is asburd
>
> if you did the morse test you would understand.

I have and I do understand it is an absurd hazing ritual

# 1257. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:21:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131816097.135014.66360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123772
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

zhpPK wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > you suose you could enlighten us what you get out posting a reporting
> > this drivel!
>
>
> who is ''US'' that you talk about ?

you don't know

sheessh
>
>
> are you some sort of SHOP STEWARD or WHAT ?

nope

# 1258. Re: Day 4 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:27:59 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4376261C.60904@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 123773

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
> Still nothing of any consequence.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:LLMcf.7478$AS6.1685@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:1131642833.145873.295830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>>
>>>>Some 48 hours has elapsed and only one new argument
>>>>was raised and that has no actual example(s) of any
>>>>country no longer granting reciprocal licenses to
>>>>amy of the 20+ countries that have already ended
>>>>all code testing.
>>>>
>>>>Cheers,
>>>>Bill K2UNK
>>>>
>>>
>>>any thought on how you will keep this up?
>>>just cruious my own siggestion is 7 days then
>>>weekly til the R&O comes out
>>
>>Since the comment phase is now officially closed I
>>was only asking if anyone knew of any new arguments
>>filed in support of code testing. Jim N2EY gave us
>>one...but, now that I think about it, he never actually
>>said that he or anyone else actually submitted that
>>argument as actual comments to the NPRM.
>>
>>My opinion, we ain't gonna see anything new beyond
>>Jim's rather weak addition...which may not even by an
>>actual submission. I'll give the folks a week or so per
>>your suggestion "old friend".
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Bill K2UNK
>>
>>
```

>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
I did see at least one comment (no idea who's) that mentioned reciprocal licenses. I believe it proposed not the retention of code testing to get a license but some form of code endorsement to satisfy some other country's requirement.
John

# 1259. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:32:43 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <437626ab.540424@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 123774
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

> Then can you explain it to me then? Im not being flippant, I actually want
> to know why its important and why, in your words, it drops the quality of
> people who chose to become Amateur if they don't do morse... why does it
> make them any less of a good Amateur?... and why do you think that because
> morse might be dropped the band will become full of CB people who will wreak
> havoc? And why do you class all CB'ers as the same?

all you have to do is observe the behaviour on this newsgroup.

the outrageous foul language used.

and then listen to 40m

by even attempting to do the morse test shows an attempt and willingness
to improve.
something that 2500 showed they didnt have when they ran away.

and worse... they showed it to the authorities.

# 1260. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:35:58 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <4376275c.717882@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 123775
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
> zjjPK wrote:
> > Dana <dana@panix.com> wrote:
> >
> > > The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
> > > Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.
> >
> > the mistake every authority has made is....
> >
> > when you cheapen a licence , you get lower standards of behaviour being
> > displayed by the licensees.
>
> suppositions and unsuported
never listened to the bands in the last two years then ?

> >
> > when those who have licences did very little to get that licence they will
> > treat that licence with very little respect.
>
> again suposition
never listened to the bands in the last two years then ?

>
> >
> > when those licensees are governed by rules/regulations then their
> > behaviour may well become the subject of complaints.
> >
> > complaints must be investigated.
> > this costs money.
>
> not realy the FCC did not bother with complaints for many years
>
> that is why the bands got trashy

and they will continue to get 'trashy'

if 'u' are given a licence for almost no-effort then that licence is
worthless because when lost ..it represents no-amount of effort.

## 1261. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:37:16 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43762817.904522@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 123776
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:

> > who is ''US'' that you talk about ?
> you don't know
> sheessh

i suppose the fact that i asked wasnt enough for you to supply an answer ?

> > are you some sort of SHOP STEWARD or WHAT ?
> nope
didnt think so.

# 1262. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:40:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131817216.661826.179400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123777
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

zarPK wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > zjjPK wrote:
> > > Dana <dana@panix.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
> > > > Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.
> > >
> > > the mistake every authority has made is....
> > >
> > > when you cheapen a licence , you get lower standards of behaviour being
> > > displayed by the licensees.
> > >
> > suppositions and unsuported
> never listened to the bands in the last two years then ?

yes I have

indeed I hear very little there a subject I find of some concern
>
>
>
> > >
> > > when those who have licences did very little to get that licence they will
> > > treat that licence with very little respect.
> > >
> > again suposition
> never listened to the bands in the last two years then ?
yes i have listened

I also know I almost nothing for my license yet I value it highly for
what it alows me to do
>
> >
> > >
> > > when those licensees are governed by rules/regulations then their
> > > behaviour may well become the subject of complaints.
> > >
> > > complaints must be investigated.
> > > this costs money.
> > >
> > not realy the FCC did not bother with complaints for many years

> >
> > that is why the bands got trashy
>
> and they will continue to get 'trashy'

and this is not affected by the testing or lack of it

you don't put the cops out looking for speeding you don't chatch the speeders

making the linces harder to get does not stopp the speeder the fears of the cops does
>
>
> if 'u' are given a licence for almost no-effort then that licence is
> worthless because when lost ..it represents no-amount of effort.

you are wrong my License was given me with no more efort than it took to show and take the test (the book i had ordered to studiy arrived the day after the test

## 1263. Getting A Ham License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 17:56:01 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzamanhamlloyd.org>
Message-ID: <dl5a8m$ket$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Here is the definition of hypocrisy:

Getting a ham license today requires memorization of
25 questions. Then, once licensed, the new ham actually
believes he is a technical expert, when compared to a
cb operator. Worse yet, the new ham reforms overnight
and starts turning in truckers to Riley, for operating on the
wrong channel.

# 1264. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 18:06:04 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <qoydnWxndOmLsuvenZ2dnUVZ_vydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051112092655.458$WC@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20051112013949.761$lR@newsreader.com...
>> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
>> >>and frankly I find it amazing that
>> >> people on this group find it so acceptable.
>> >>
>> > Want some cheese, fuckstick?
>>
>> Is that what turns you on?
>>
>> Regards,
>> Leland C. Scott
>> KC8LDO
>>
> Al Banys was right about you.

Call'em up and ask, you got the number, or are you too scared?

--
Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

# 1265. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 18:11:39 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <mpGdneeub956reveRVn-gA@comcast.com>
Source record: 123780

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1131806684.613395.183540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> >

{snip]

>> > She's never once used ANY derrogatory term towards you.
>>
>> yes she she has
>>
>> indeed you missed the exchange that resulted in the term being applied
>> therefore it is quite reasonable to conclude that you don't know what
>> you are typing about
>
> Show me.
>
>> > > she has domtrated the classist bais we techs have come to expect form
>> > > the Upper classes, she has been rude and insulting

Steve, since he will not state what happened, I will. In discussing licenses and privileges and so on, I happened to say that the Technician license was a lower class license than General or Extra. He took exception to that and chose to interpret that as meaning the people who hold the license rather than the license itself. Apparently the phrase "lower class" must, in his book, refer strictly to people and is therefore politically incorrect at all times even though it is licenses not people being referred to.

In addition, he has misinterpreted my response to his use of the term "elitist bitch". I had said that he cannot hurt me with such language, which is indeed true. However it is highly objectionable. As I result, I have chosen to shun all his posts (i.e. not respond even if addressed to me or speaking about me). If people are going to stoop to name calling, they have nothing to say that I have any interest in hearing.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 1266. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 18:19:53 UTC
From: Walter Raleigh <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iuqdf.18656$R5.1833@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 123782
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131816097.135014.66360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Don't worry, it's just another example of the Doobalin illiterati returning
to the fold after a far too brief absence...

--
73s de Walter R.

# 1267. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 18:25:34 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <TfudnUObiK06ruvenZ2dnUVZ_v2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051112084105.269$SO@newsreader.com...
> Could you show me how to be a high-tech operator like you, nad?
>
> http://img478.imageshack.us/img478/5518/scan00031mm.jpg

Sure, I'll be happy too, just send me your name and address. I can show you how to set up a neat remote base using a VHF radio and a high speed TCP/IP link to do the job that way you can sit back behind the counter selling your grass seed and yakking on the radio back at the ranch. There are Ethernet to serial converters that plug in to a hub and you don't need a computer at the remote end. The serial ports then connect to the radios and other gear. Using rig control software at the home site all radio functions can be controlled over the Internet. Some antenna rotors are also serial port controllable too to round out the operation. The VHF link is used for the audio.

The following sites have a few examples of the converters.

http://www.neteon.net/cat.asp?c=3

http://www.rs485.com/pespsx3.html

--
Regards,
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

# 1268. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 18:31:37 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1yek4p@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1yek4p@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 123788

an old friend Wrote:
>
> ah yes the stevie school of attack if you have nothing call your
> opentn
> a drug user
>

"Opentn"? Maybe Mark meant "oponent"? I have no oponent here, only conversation mates.

But more important, let's show the girls and boys another example of Marks discombobulated mental processes.

Mark, please try to follow along. Ask one of the other children for help if you have trouble keeping pace with the conversation.

Len Anderson Wrote:
>
> Iitoi now wants to FIGHT over the subject!
>
> Maybe there's too much peyote lying around there.
>

Note, boys and girls who first raised the notion of drug use. (Hint: It wasn't this correspondent.)

Then see the response.....

Iitoi Wrote:
>
>
> This is classic! Len-hoist-by-his-own-peyote! ("Peyote" is a John
> Wayne-style euro-descent mis-name - here the People call it mezcal.)
>
>

Now, girls and boys, please take Mark to the back of the room and explain who first suggested someone was a drug user. I'll allow you only a short period of time --- be back in your seats in 30-minutes.

The Man in the Maze
QRV from Baboquivari Peak

--
Iitoi

# 1269. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 19:22:45 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl5fdo$i18$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123783
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"zjjPK "
<class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net> wrote in message news:4375f3e4.77929901@news.iol.ie...
> Dana <dana@panix.com> wrote:
>
> > The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
> > Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.

Lol, great command of the English language there. I wonder if his morse is as bad........

> the mistake every authority has made is....
>
> when you cheapen a licence , you get lower standards of behaviour being
> displayed by the licensees.

I disagree. There might be one or two idiots but that doesnt fall completely at the ease of getting the licence.

> when those who have licences did very little to get that licence they will
> treat that licence with very little respect.

Once again, after showing a willingness to actually bother to get licenced, being it easy or not, they have to purchase the said equipment. Surely your not saying CB'ers who dont give a rats ass about Amateur are spending their hard earnt? money on Amateur kit, and getting registered so you can find out who and where they are... just to mess about and upset a few advanced Amateurs? Seems a very strange way of going about things.

Surely these idiot users are more likely the completely unlicenced users on stolen radios or kids messing about on parents radios while they are out rather than someone who wants to become a "first step" Amateur? Also quite a few people who gain M3 licences or whatever the equivelent in the US surely would go on to intermediate and so on rather than just stop there. A few will yes but many will want to progress.

> when those licensees are governed by rules/regulations then their
> behaviour may well become the subject of complaints.

Or maybe not....... your now trying to say Amateurs above the entry level never cause interference or mess about sometimes on the air.... b-ll-cks.

> complaints must be investigated.
> this costs money.

yes........

> end result....
> lowering the 'cost of administration' allows cb'ers onto amateur bands.

Err, whats wrong with CBers becoming radio Amateurs? Its happened for years and years....long before the licence was made easier. And most of them became perfectly respected Amateurs.

> cb'ers on amateur bands will cause problems.

NO....idiots on Amateur bands will cause problems. Or do you tar all CB radio users with the same brush?

> problems cause complaints.

Yes.........

> complaints cause investigations.

Yes............

> investigations cost money.

Yes......

> money that was hoped to have been saved in the first place !!

Saved for what? The fully licenced Annual Amateur Jamboree ?

> and a lot of this behaviour can be put down to ONE THING.
> (even the interview with an rsgb president on the arrl website shows this)

Oh in that case it must be right then.....

> the plan to get rid of compulsory morse testing for hf access.

For gods sake... morse isnt the "be all and end all of radio", its an old out-dated form of communication which had its use in the past but is now just a form of elitist snobbery. Also trying to say anyone who doesnt want to learn morse is basically a "scumbag" shows Bigotry beyond contempt. Why not welcome the new Amateurs and encorage them to advance rather than look down on them. The licence was made easier because the userbase of Amateur was drastically on the decline. It was done to encorage more people to get involved and keep the "hobby" alive.

Regards,
Graham

## 1270. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 19:31:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131823911.397367.231870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123784

>could you prove it? or just make the case that it was highly likely?
no, but I run a run server, and all servers have logs, access logs and
error logs and i'll bet money either whinyslitlloyd@yahoo.com ip adress
comes up in the logs. if not him I would say it was Roger Wisemen,
Lloyd Davies, or a few other morons on here.

Todd
THE N9OGL SHOW

# 1271. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 19:57:30 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ghfcn1hthasb9fsv3m86dm80ev6jkrunnr@4ax.com>
Source record: 123785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dudly's post didn't show up on my news server so I'll reply to both in
this post.

On 12 Nov 2005 04:29:46 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
<1131798586.573684.53970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
>> away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
>> warship.

Wrong. What you describe is called a "float". But you didn't know
that. You also didn't know that that avionics techs are routinely
deployed with their units in the FMF. You didn't know these things
because you have never been on float. This is supported by the fact
that, upon deployment overseas, the Corps (and probably the other
services) sends press releases to each Marine's hometown media center,
yet there have been no press releases in Ohio, California or Tennessee
for any Steve or Steven Robeson, USMC, during the time you claim to
have been on active duty (public information, but it was easier for me
since I still have connections at the station). The fact is that you
were never deployed on float. And you can't do 18 years of active duty
and -not- get deployed on float. It's part of the job.

Your pile of dirt grows larger by the day, Dudly.

<snip>
>> And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
>> between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
>> group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.

Which just happens to have the common characteristic of being opposed
to the Morse code requirement, which is why you were arguing with them
in the first place. The only thing "feeble" around here is your logic.

>> You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
>> There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue
>> that would even remotely interest or encourage me.

True story. You didn't even blink when I mentioned the VINSON system.

>Especially after exposing you as a fake.
>
>> > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
>> > bidding?

>>
>> There's nothing paranoid about it.
>
>I think my use of the phrase, "Stolen Valor" is a frequent search term
>for those that seek out military fakes. Once it is used, those that
>defend the real vets get on it real quick.

The only person that praises Dudly for his "valor" is Dudly. It's not
stolen -- it's imagined.

>> Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
>> etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
>> right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.
>
>Just a case of bad attribution. But he got on your pretty fast, didn't
>he?

Dudly frequently brings up that issue (and a few others) only to
divert attention away from the facts. Just ignore it like I do.

<snip>
>> Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
>> posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.
>
>Where?

He posted the dates. In his mind that's Prima Facie.

>> I even posted the Selection Board for my promotion to Staff
>> Sergeant before it.
>
>But you're prone to lying. What are we to believe?

Doesn't matter since there's nothing he has ever posted that verifies
his story.

>> Again...You and Frankie of Scummiland

LOL!!!

> chose to ignore them since
>> they render your rants baseless.
>
>Again, you're prone to lying.
>
>> BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
>> yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....
>
>Again, you're prone to lying.

And again, there's no way to verify his story.

>> > > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
>> > > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read

>> > > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
>> > >
>> > > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
>> > > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
>> > > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
>> > > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.
>>
>> Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
>> you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....
>>
>> I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
>> while on active duty."
>>
>> I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
>> 92.

Pardon me..... 6+ years. And don't you mean you "retired from the
Corps in 92"?

>Mind stating again what your previously claimed experience lengthl was?
>
>Not that your claims are trustworthy.
>
>> > any marine MOS Frank from Stevie terms and mistakes it is possible that
>> > he served in the armed forces but maybe in the ARMY memeber of the 95
>> > series could have done as Stevie claims to have done, when you mention
>> > some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
>> > unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
>> > fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
>> > unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
>> > I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible
>>
>> Congratulations, Markie...
>>
>> 109 "words" strung together without proper punctuation, numerous
>> mispellings and grammatical errors...Not to mention not making a lick
>> of sense.
>
>Might be just the thing to offset your lying when you get to the Pearly
>Gates.

Not to mention that he just pulled you off your issue. To address your
issue, the Marine Corps does not have any medical occupations -- those
are all handled by the Navy (the USMC being a branch of the Navy). But
that brings up another interesting factoid; if Dudly -did- get his EMT
card in '86 and the USMC knew about it, they most likely would have
transferred him to the Navy since training for a Corpsman is much more
expensive than for an avionics tech, and qualified Corpsmen are hard
to find.

<snip>
>> > > > I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > "shake" is the right word. You can't shake it off because your claim
>> > > simply isn't true. But please keep trying.
>>
>> Spin as you will, Mr Twice Court Martialed coward and liar.
>>
>> BTW..No "Happy Veterans Day" for you...You didn't earn it.

Whatever you say, Major Dud.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1272. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 20:03:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3aicn110ita8it1bpcu6m4qkvtss5rqohr@4ax.com>
Source record: 123786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 12 Nov 2005 04:25:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1131798335.223641.238430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> another lie stevie
>
> Nope.
>
> Absolutely true.
>
> That scumbag could donate a million dollars to a "pro-code"
>advocacy group and he'd STILL be a disgraced, less-than-honorably
>discharged ex-Marine

.....FORMER Marine.....

> who places his own ego and self-righteousness over
>truth and dignity.

Uh....yeah, that's why I called myself a "shitbird PFC", huh?

<snip>
>> I just sugest it is consistant with what we know that your also a
>> Dogface that wanna be a Jarhead
>
> In my case the service is documented in public records.

Except that there is no public record that verifies your claim that
you were on active duty for any of your 18 years. If I'm wrong then
cough it up and I'll leave this group forever. Deal?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1273. Re: Why illegal CB'ers harass KC8LDO in ng's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 20:28:59 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl5ja1$kui$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<Bob_Downonit@gag.net> wrote in message
news:zVpbf.110052$US.79592@news.ono.com...
> - he's law abiding, they are not

No idea if hes law abiding or not. He seems to have upset a few people
though.

> - he has a license, they do not

CBers in thre USA dont need a licence.... so that is irrelevant

> - his identity is open, they must hide

In what way do they hide? And also in what way are they illegal?

Regards,
Graham

# 1274. Re: Mark Morgan Verbally Assaults Dee, N8UZE...Will An Apology Follow...?!?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 21:25:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131830753.345904.252470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123789

Dee Flint wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1131806684.613395.183540@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > an old friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > an old friend wrote:
> >> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >> >
>
cut
> >> > > she has domtrated the classist bais we techs have come to expect form
> >> > > the Upper classes, she has been rude and insulting
>
> Steve, since he will not state what happened, I will.
you choose to aid him in being lazy but Steve isn't interested in fact
> In discussing
> licenses and privileges and so on, I happened to say that the Technician
> license was a lower class license than General or Extra. He took exception
> to that and chose to interpret that as meaning the people who hold the
> license rather than the license itself.

as is my right you might note

>Apparently the phrase "lower class"
> must, in his book, refer strictly to people and is therefore politically
> incorrect at all times even though it is licenses not people being referred
> to.

now you would be lying were it not for the word appearently with it you
are merely incorrect

>
> In addition, he has misinterpreted my response to his use of the term
> "elitist bitch".

I took you at your word

> I had said that he cannot hurt me with such language,
> which is indeed true.

you stated it was not offensive

> However it is highly objectionable.

looks like you lied about that

I was already pretty sure about that although confirmation is nice
> As I result, I
> have chosen to shun all his posts (i.e. not respond even if addressed to me
> or speaking about me).

what you doing now, Dee?

loks like you lied again, the second seris of occasion you have done
that

>If people are going to stoop to name calling, they
> have nothing to say that I have any interest in hearing.

which is much of what you were doing when I first called you an elitist
bitch.

>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 1275. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 21:27:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131830838.965951.205690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123790
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Walter Raleigh wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131816097.135014.66360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Don't worry, it's just another example of the Doobalin illiterati returning
> to the fold after a far too brief absence...

sorry but some where in there info stopped being tranfered? who
returning? if you would be so kind
>
> --
> 73s de Walter R.

# 1276. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 21:29:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131830991.382392.244080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123791

N9OGL wrote:
> >could you prove it? or just make the case that it was highly likely?
> no, but I run a run server, and all servers have logs, access logs and
> error logs and i'll bet money either whinyslitlloyd@yahoo.com ip adress
> comes up in the logs. if not him I would say it was Roger Wisemen,
> Lloyd Davies, or a few other morons on here.

thank for the answer even if it was not what I might have hoped for I
think you for repling

still comes down to getting the attention of someone high enough and
hot enough to get results
>
> Todd
> THE N9OGL SHOW

# 1277. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 21:31:06 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131831066.404256.262630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Leland C. Scott wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051112092655.458$WC@newsreader.com...
> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:20051112013949.761$lR@newsreader.com...
> >> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> >> >>and frankly I find it amazing that
> >> >> people on this group find it so acceptable.
> >> >>
> >> > Want some cheese, fuckstick?
> >>
> >> Is that what turns you on?
> >>
> >> Regards,
> >> Leland C. Scott
> >> KC8LDO
> >>
> > Al Banys was right about you.
>
> Call'em up and ask, you got the number, or are you too scared?

all of these nuts s far are to cowardly to act they put on a very brave
face behind their monitors
>
> --
> Regards,
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

# 1278. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 21:36:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131831397.091849.318910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123793
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:

oh my a post in which with ervery word of yours
> "zjjPK "
> <class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.ei
> rcom.ie.net> wrote in message news:4375f3e4.77929901@news.iol.ie...
> > Dana <dana@panix.com> wrote:
> >
> > > The mistake the FCC made was dropping the code requirment down to 5 wpm.
> > > Lots of nivices squeeked under the wire.
>
> Lol, great command of the English language there. I wonder if his morse is
> as bad........
>
> > the mistake every authority has made is....
> >
> > when you cheapen a licence , you get lower standards of behaviour being
> > displayed by the licensees.
>
> I disagree. There might be one or two idiots but that doesnt fall completely
> at the ease of getting the licence.
>
> > when those who have licences did very little to get that licence they will
> > treat that licence with very little respect.
>
> Once again, after showing a willingness to actually bother to get licenced,
> being it easy or not, they have to purchase the said equipment. Surely your
> not saying CB'ers who dont give a rats ass about Amateur are spending their
> hard earnt? money on Amateur kit, and getting registered so you can find out
> who and where they are... just to mess about and upset a few advanced
> Amateurs? Seems a very strange way of going about things.
>
> Surely these idiot users are more likely the completely unlicenced users on
> stolen radios or kids messing about on parents radios while they are out
> rather than someone who wants to become a "first step" Amateur? Also quite a
> few people who gain M3 licences or whatever the equivelent in the US surely
> would go on to intermediate and so on rather than just stop there. A few
> will yes but many will want to progress.
>
> > when those licensees are governed by rules/regulations then their
> > behaviour may well become the subject of complaints.
>
> Or maybe not....... your now trying to say Amateurs above the entry level

> never cause interference or mess about sometimes on the air.... b-ll-cks.
>
> > complaints must be investigated.
> > this costs money.
>
> yes........
>
> > end result....
> > lowering the 'cost of administration' allows cb'ers onto amateur bands.
>
> Err, whats wrong with CBers becoming radio Amateurs? Its happened for years
> and years....long before the licence was made easier. And most of them
> became perfectly respected Amateurs.
>
> > cb'ers on amateur bands will cause problems.
>
> NO....idiots on Amateur bands will cause problems. Or do you tar all CB
> radio users with the same brush?
>
> > problems cause complaints.
>
> Yes.........
>
> > complaints cause investigations.
>
> Yes............
>
> > investigations cost money.
>
> Yes......
>
> > money that was hoped to have been saved in the first place !!
>
> Saved for what? The fully licenced Annual Amateur Jamboree ?
>
> > and a lot of this behaviour can be put down to ONE THING.
> > (even the interview with an rsgb president on the arrl website shows this)
>
> Oh in that case it must be right then.....
>
> > the plan to get rid of compulsory morse testing for hf access.
>
> For gods sake... morse isnt the "be all and end all of radio", its an old
> out-dated form of communication which had its use in the past but is now
> just a form of elitist snobbery. Also trying to say anyone who doesnt want
> to learn morse is basically a "scumbag" shows Bigotry beyond contempt. Why
> not welcome the new Amateurs and encorage them to advance rather than look
> down on them. The licence was made easier because the userbase of Amateur
> was drastically on the decline. It was done to encorage more people to get
> involved and keep the "hobby" alive.

>
> Regards,
> Graham

# 1279. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 21:38:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131831534.865038.299690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123794

Iitoi wrote:
> an old friend Wrote:
> >
> > ah yes the stevie school of attack if you have nothing call your
> > opentn
> > a drug user
> >
>
> "Opentn"? Maybe Mark meant "oponent"? I have no oponent here, only
> conversation mates.

you have oppenent wether you know it or not
dut
> Len Anderson Wrote:
> >
> > Iitoi now wants to FIGHT over the subject!
> >
> > Maybe there's too much peyote lying around there.
> >
>
> Note, boys and girls who first raised the notion of drug use. (Hint:
> It wasn't this correspondent.)

no in point of fact was Stevie aka Dudly aka the pisecie of chit
>

> Iitoi

# 1280. Re: Day 4 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 21:41:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131831719.124624.301080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123795

John wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > Still nothing of any consequence.
cut
> I did see at least one comment (no idea who's) that mentioned reciprocal
> licenses. I believe it proposed not the retention of code testing to
> get a license but some form of code endorsement to satisfy some other
> country's requirement.

Jim refers to this idea but can't id any comenteor that made it

BillSohl addressed the issue elsewhere but that is IMO the best answer
to what new idea has been advanced to date

If you send me a SASE (kb9rqz) I will send you your wining's 1 cent and
a note that you likely can't read congratualting you
> John

# 1281. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 21:54:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131832478.936247.296510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> Dudly's post didn't show up on my news server so I'll reply to both in
> this post.
>
> On 12 Nov 2005 04:29:46 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
cut
>
> <snip>
> >> And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
> >> between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
> >> group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.
>
>
> Which just happens to have the common characteristic of being opposed
> to the Morse code requirement, which is why you were arguing with them
> in the first place. The only thing "feeble" around here is your logic.

well said
cut
> >> There's nothing paranoid about it.
> >
> >I think my use of the phrase, "Stolen Valor" is a frequent search term
> >for those that seek out military fakes. Once it is used, those that
> >defend the real vets get on it real quick.
>
>
> The only person that praises Dudly for his "valor" is Dudly. It's not
> stolen -- it's imagined.

who knows if it stolen or imagined it may be stolen Stevie today may
have just found the man born stevie j and exchanced id with him to
leave behind his record in a life of crime for all we know, or the
person posting as Stevie may be one of his kids who just doesn't
understand his fathers true record and so loouses it up or it my be a
kid repposing as his dad (who was also a liar) all far fecthed I agree
but hardly more than some of Stevie gems

a favoritie being that My calling someone an elitist bitch justifies
him saying the Todd's dad has aids a good year before I called the gal
a bitch

the senario I mentioned are far fecthed but they hang together than
stevie's "logic", but even that is like saying someone is more in favor

of abolition than a Southern planter in 1860
>
>
> >> Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
> >> etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
> >> right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.
> >
> >Just a case of bad attribution. But he got on your pretty fast, didn't
> >he?
>
>
> Dudly frequently brings up that issue (and a few others) only to
> divert attention away from the facts. Just ignore it like I do.
>
>
> <snip>
> >> Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
> >> posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.
> >
> >Where?
>
>
> He posted the dates. In his mind that's Prima Facie.

you sure he has a mind? I have my doubts
>
>
> >> I even posted the Selection Board for my promotion to Staff
> >> Sergeant before it.
> >
> >But you're prone to lying. What are we to believe?
>
>
> Doesn't matter since there's nothing he has ever posted that verifies
> his story.
>
>
> >> Again...You and Frankie of Scummiland
>
>
> LOL!!!
>
>
> > chose to ignore them since
> >> they render your rants baseless.
> >
> >Again, you're prone to lying.
> >
> >> BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
> >> yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....

> >
> >Again, you're prone to lying.
>
>
> And again, there's no way to verify his story.
>
>
> >> > > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> >> > > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> >> > > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
> >> > >
> >> > > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
> >> > > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
> >> > > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
> >> > > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.
> >>
> >> Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
> >> you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....
> >>
> >> I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
> >> while on active duty."
> >>
> >> I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
> >> 92.
>
>
> Pardon me..... 6+ years. And don't you mean you "retired from the
> Corps in 92"?
>
>
> >Mind stating again what your previously claimed experience lengthl was?
> >
> >Not that your claims are trustworthy.
> >
> >> > any marine MOS Frank from Stevie terms and mistakes it is possible that
> >> > he served in the armed forces but maybe in the ARMY memeber of the 95
> >> > series could have done as Stevie claims to have done, when you mention
> >> > some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
> >> > unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
> >> > fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
> >> > unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
> >> > I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible
> >>
> >> Congratulations, Markie...
> >>
> >> 109 "words" strung together without proper punctuation, numerous
> >> mispellings and grammatical errors...Not to mention not making a lick
> >> of sense.
> >
> >Might be just the thing to offset your lying when you get to the Pearly

> >Gates.
>
>
> Not to mention that he just pulled you off your issue. To address your
> issue, the Marine Corps does not have any medical occupations -- those
> are all handled by the Navy (the USMC being a branch of the Navy). But
> that brings up another interesting factoid; if Dudly -did- get his EMT
> card in '86 and the USMC knew about it, they most likely would have
> transferred him to the Navy since training for a Corpsman is much more
> expensive than for an avionics tech, and qualified Corpsmen are hard
> to find.

I know that about the Marines when I stop and think about it but the
fact I missed it means maybe Stevie did too

like I said maybe he is dogfaced Jarhead wanna be

>
>
> <snip>
> >> > > > I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
> >> > >
> >> > >
> >> > > "shake" is the right word. You can't shake it off because your claim
> >> > > simply isn't true. But please keep trying.
> >>
> >> Spin as you will, Mr Twice Court Martialed coward and liar.
> >>
> >> BTW..No "Happy Veterans Day" for you...You didn't earn it.
>
>
> Whatever you say, Major Dud.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1282. Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 22:17:46 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131833866.683096.106210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123797

QRZ last updated 01/29/2004, signed as "MAJOR Robeson."

Photo of a guy with Captain's bars.

Wonder why Steve isn't updating his official photograph?

# 1283. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 22:22:38 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131834158.722559.146480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123798

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> QRZ last updated 01/29/2004, signed as "MAJOR Robeson."
>
> Photo of a guy with Captain's bars.
>
> Wonder why Steve isn't updating his official photograph?

fear perhaps

after all with an out dated photo his many enemies can't find him as easily

a man as articulate his stebie surely has many folks seeking his company, and no doubt some have unpleasent agnedas

after all he feels the need to show pic of you and me and len to divert them from himself

# 1284. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 22:28:29 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <p2rcn1l6at43rtegp4gn3p6v7l1u3rinde@4ax.com>
Source record: 123799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Nov 2005 12:48:53 GMT, "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> said in
rec.radio.scanner:

>On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 19:19:28 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message

>At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer

I think I insinuate that resentment. :)

# 1285. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 22:55:22 UTC
From: John Kasupski <kc2hmz@wzrd.com>
Message-ID: <4gscn1dvleooabltnaa5uj2n6gvad96hrr@4ax.com>
Source record: 123850

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:35:06 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Comments to 05-235 attached.
>
>--
>73, de Hans, K0HB

Very good, Hans.

John Kasupski, Tonawanda, New York
Amateur Radio (KC2HMZ), SWL/Scanner Monitoring (KNY2VS)
zIRC #monitor Admin

# 1286. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 23:26:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <TSudf.929$104.72@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 123851

Iitoi wrote:
> an old friend Wrote:
>> ah yes the stevie school of attack if you have nothing call your
>> opentn
>> a drug user
>>
>
> "Opentn"? Maybe Mark meant "oponent"? I have no oponent here, only
> conversation mates.
>
> But more important, let's show the girls and boys another example of
> Marks discombobulated mental processes.
>
> Mark, please try to follow along. Ask one of the other children for
> help if you have trouble keeping pace with the conversation.
>
> Len Anderson Wrote:
>> Iitoi now wants to FIGHT over the subject!
>>
>> Maybe there's too much peyote lying around there.
>>
>
> Note, boys and girls who first raised the notion of drug use. (Hint:
> It wasn't this correspondent.)
>
> Then see the response.....
>
> Iitoi Wrote:
>>
>> This is classic! Len-hoist-by-his-own-peyote! ("Peyote" is a John
>> Wayne-style euro-descent mis-name - here the People call it mezcal.)
>>
>>
>
> Now, girls and boys, please take Mark to the back of the room and
> explain who first suggested someone was a drug user. I'll allow you
> only a short period of time --- be back in your seats in 30-minutes.
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRV from Baboquivari Peak

Now you've done it. You'll be forever branded by Mark as a "lier".

Dave K8MN

# 1287. More Markie Mularkie to Muddle Men's Minds With Meaningless Markie Meanderings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 23:47:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131839255.083978.219040@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123852

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > Stevie you don't get
> cut
> > > your refusaul to tell the ruth about people in medicaly related
> > > matters
> >
> > Whose truth? YOURS?
>
> the truth

You don't KNOW any truth, Markie.

> > > You have lied about Tood father having AIDS
> >
> > Who's "Tood"...?!?!
> >
> > > you have lied several times about me having AIDS you have even lied
> > > about my being HOMOsexual
> >
> > You have sex with men. You ARE homosexual.
>
> nope

Yep.

> > > you have lied about Todd being homosexual
> >
> > Nope. Todd has lied denying it.
>
> you have lied syaing it

"syaing"

Nope.

> > > you have lied about me suffering from pedophilia
> >
> > The problem is I don't think you "suffer" from pedophilia.
>
> then you are lying in making your claims

You didn't get what I said...I said I don't think you "suffer"
from pedophilia.

> > > you have lied about my status as a disabled person
> >
> > No I've not.
>
> again you lie

Nope.

> > > you have made false medical dianoises about almost everyone in RRAP
> >
> cut

I see you cut out what >>I<< said, yet kept your half of the post
there...

At least when I snip down a post for brevity's sake I snip both
sides.

> > > At least in my case you claim to sent a letter to OFFICAILs concerning
> > > my mental health, given your passion for lying on here...(SNIP)
> >
> > Markie, Markie, MARKIE!
> >
> > Y O U are the one who is always whining about "opinions" do not a
> > lie make.
>
> you cant from Proffesional opinion without data

Huh?

Markie, I KNOW you THINK you said something there, but if you're
not going to put it into proper grammatical format, no one will ever
know WHAT it was you were trying to say.

> > > If you lied to michigan you violated the regs wether enough to get
> > > your license yanked I don't know
> >
> > How can I "lie" to Michigan, Markie?
> >
> > You claim you intend to file a "report" with the Tennessee State
> > Board of Nursing based upon "..(my) words" in this forum.
>
> yep
> >
> > Do you not think YOUR words are as equally damaging to you and
> > your "status" in the eyes of state social workers at various agencies?
>
> no I don't

Then you're an idiot.

> I am not stating a "proffesional" opinion

Neither am I.

> I am not lying about the health status of various persons in an offical
> report

I haven't reported on the "health" status of anyone.

Have you SEEN such a "report"...?!?!

> I am not saying So and so has AIDS

Markie, I KNOW you THINK you said something there, but if you're
not going to put it into proper grammatical format, no one will ever
know WHAT it was you were trying to say.

> I am not saying someone is child abuser
>
> you are doing so

From what you've posted here, that's an easy conclusion to draw.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1288. Re: Getting A Ham License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-12 23:48:44 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131839324.141088.98880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Yeah, and its a lotta fun too.

# 1289. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 00:06:27 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131840387.946300.169260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The cheese around here is on your weenie. It grew there after your visits to truckstops.

## 1290. Re: More Abuses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 01:28:30 UTC
From: Luther <NotHere4@nospam.ux>
Message-ID: <dl64nk$lr4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123855

Hey, Toad. What time is your show tonight?
Lloyd

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131823911.397367.231870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>could you prove it? or just make the case that it was highly likely?
no, but I run a run server, and all servers have logs, access logs and
error logs and i'll bet money either whinyslitlloyd@yahoo.com ip adress
comes up in the logs. if not him I would say it was Roger Wisemen,
Lloyd Davies, or a few other morons on here.

Todd
THE N9OGL SHOW

## 1291. Re: Getting A Ham License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 01:42:13 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <garv@elaborate.net>
Message-ID: <2005111220421377923%garv@elaboratenet>
Source record: 123856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 2005-11-12 12:56:01 -0500, "Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzamanhamlloyd.org> said:

> 
> Here is the definition of hypocrisy:
> 
> 
> Getting a ham license today requires memorization of
> 25 questions. Then, once licensed, the new ham actually
> believes he is a technical expert, when compared to a
> cb operator. Worse yet, the new ham reforms overnight
> and starts turning in truckers to Riley, for operating on the
> wrong channel.

What's the matter couldn't pass the test?

# 1292. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 01:51:56 UTC
From: Not Lloyd Deux <NotLloyd@nospam.ux>
Message-ID: <dl663k$rsb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123857

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <radiobuff0@mailcity.com> wrote in message
news:RNqdnYLkUsVTV-nenZ2dnUVZ_vidnZ2d@gbronline.com...
whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
>
>
> Wrong as usual, fuck up. You see your OWNER everywhere don't you?
>
>
>>>But you certainly *are* a cartoon, you fucking imbecile.
>>>
>>
>>LOL

LOL at the Glendale retard!!! You should spend less time on the computer
and get back to cleaning out those port-a-potties wogie, you're getting
behind.

Oh, the hilarity! For that sewer-mouthed misfit from Glendale to call
someone else a potty mouth is oh, so ironic.

# 1293. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 01:57:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131847072.256444.322310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123858

an_old_friend wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > an old friend Wrote:
> > >
> > > ah yes the stevie school of attack if you have nothing call your
> > > opentn a drug user

> > "Opentn"? Maybe Mark meant "oponent"? I have no oponent here, only
> > conversation mates.

> you have oppenent wether you know it or not
> dut

Perhaps you think you are Iitoi's opponent.

But he does not see you as one.

> > Len Anderson Wrote:

> > > Iitoi now wants to FIGHT over the subject!

> > > Maybe there's too much peyote lying around there.

> > Note, boys and girls who first raised the notion of drug use. (Hint:
> > It wasn't this correspondent.)

> no in point of fact was Stevie aka Dudly aka the pisecie of chit

I have no idea what a "pisecie of chit" could possibly be.

More important is the fact that Len is the first in the discussion to
mention "peyote", in an obvious reference to Iitoi's location.
An obvious attempt at misdirection by Len.

## 1294. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 02:09:38 UTC
From: Walter Raleigh <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Bfxdf.18715$R5.1840@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 123859
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"zhpPK "
<class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net>
wrote in message news:4375f03b.76992518@news.iol.ie...
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>> you suose you could enlighten us what you get out posting a reporting
>> this drivel!
>
>
> who is ''US'' that you talk about ?
>
>
> are you some sort of SHOP STEWARD or WHAT ?

Bearing in mind the mis-spellings et al., perhaps Paul, before you resume cyber-stalking G8OSN, you could enlighten us as to what right you have above the previous poster, to speak on behalf of all the participants here? A weak argument, based on a weak initial post methinks...

Just being fair...

--
73s de Walter R.

## 1295. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 02:13:35 UTC
From: Walter Raleigh <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ijxdf.18716$R5.1897@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 123860
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131830838.965951.205690@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> sorry but some where in there info stopped being tranfered? who
> returning? if you would be so kind

Paul Kearney EI7GM aka Rumpelstilskin, google for more, a search by
"pkearn", a segment of his mail address used most frequently is most
effective.
Be warned, boredom may set in rather quickly....

--
73s de Walter R.

## 1296. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 02:16:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131848197.493403.58670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123861

John Kasupski wrote:
> On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:35:06 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >Comments to 05-235 attached.
> >
> >--
> >73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Very good, Hans.

We're in agreement on the first part, anyway.

But I doubt it will make any difference. Many of the 18 petitions included
various changes to entry-level license privileges, including the very obvious one of giving Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges to all Techs. Yet FCC
repeatedly denied all of them.

In the NPRM, FCC makes it clear that their vision of the future looks like this:

Technician: Entry-level license. All VHF/UHF, no HF

General: Mid-level license. All VHF/UHF, most HF/MF

Extra: Top license, all privileges.

Novice, Tech Plus, Advanced: Old license classes that will disappear with attrition.

No free or automatic upgrades. No HF for Technicians who haven't passed a code test.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1297. Re: Getting A Ham License

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 02:17:55 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <6f8dn11td9251nseq5mboai9lhamkf5ucj@4ax.com>
Source record: 123862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 12:56:01 -0500, "Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzamanhamlloyd.org> wrote:

>
>Here is the definition of hypocrisy:
>
>
>Getting a ham license today requires memorization of
>25 questions.

That's 35. It's 50 for Extra class.

><then, once licensed, the new ham actually
>believes he is a technical expert, when compared to a
>cb operator.

That is nonsense.

>Worse yet, the new ham reforms overnight
>and starts turning in truckers to Riley, for operating on the
>wrong channel.

That Riley guy again. Sounds familiar.

Vinnie S.

# 1298. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 02:46:50 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <uOxdf.95825$Hs.22556@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 123863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Nurse...can we see your "lada boobs?"
PLEASE???

"Nurse Lada Boobs" <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com> wrote in message
news:61fc0$43742337$d1cc7a87$3433@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:ebb5n19tdf62uv1grbgjd4qvsm3iqf6ne7@4ax.com...
>> Is it my imagination, or has the topic suddenly been filled with sock
>> puppets?
>
> Not to worry Al.
>
> (...unless of course the sock puppets start talking all by themselves
> to their owner at 1:00 in the morning...THEN I would be VERY
> concerned - especially if their conversations are like those of "Mr Hat"
> from Southpark)
>
> : )
>

# 1299. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 02:51:46 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6Txdf.95826$Hs.12689@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 123864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

That's funny. The most trusted man in America is commented on by the least respected (laughing stock) man in amateur radio.

Wayne-
<netmanager2@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131566552.306650.245880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> K1MAN BULLETIN - B051109A -
>
> K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA, WALTER CRONKITE
>
>
> 9 November 2005
>
> Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD
> 51 W. 52 St. Suite 1934
> New York, N.Y. 10019
>
> Walter,
>
> I enjoyed the Neil Armstrong piece on "60 Minutes" yesterday. You
> were sure at the top of your game back then, for sure!
>
> Dan Rather lectured at the University of Maine last week and suggested
> that journalists should get a "spine transplant." I immediately
> thought of you, caving in to anonymous hams and or unconfirmed false
> reports threatening to implicate you as well me with child molesting.
> Check your sources, Walter.
>
> Perhaps you simply handled the matter wrongly. You did an ID for me
> when you were trying to promote your new autobiography. OK so far.
> You attached no limitations to my use of the ID. I used the ID on my
> world wide short wave program. Many of my detractors wrote to you
> and, of course, said I was illegal, etc., and that I was a convicted
> child molester, etc., which you would be associated with. Your
> secretary called me about the child molesting issue. You then wrote
> and asked me to stop using the ID. I agreed if you would come on my
> program and explain your reasons. You declined. I continued
> using the ID so as not to cave in to the blackmail as you were so
> willing to do. Then you call ME unethical?! Who is being unethical
> here?
>
> You hypocrite! You lobby the FCC to revoke my license after John
> F. Kennedy threatened you and CBS with trying to influence the FCC

> when you insisted on running his flubbed remarks during a presidential
> campaign interview with you. You didn't cave in then!
>
> Here is what you should have done with K1MAN. Ignore the idiots or
> else publish a disclaimer. Yes, you did the ID but no, you do not
> endorse AARA, or whatever; not publish a letter on the internet that
> Glenn Baxter is "unethical." Pretty strong word, Walter!
>
> Believe me, if I were illegal doing what W1AW has done for almost 100
> years, the FCC and U.S. Government are smart enough and powerful
> enough to do something about it other than defame me with their silly
> and anemic notices of APPARENT liability. Let's go to court, Walter,
> and I will call you as my first witness! God bless America, the
> land of the free and home of the brave.
>
> The FCC is a corrupt agency. Big deal. This is America, and we do
> have some corruption. We also have laws. I am protected by our
> wonderful laws.
>
> I am devoting a very critical chapter about you in my new
> philosophical autobiography which is being published on the internet
> as I write it. See www.K1MAN.com. Your scurrilous letter about me
> will be all over the internet for many years. You and your employee,
> Brian Crow, sure do take some real cheap shots! I feel truly sorry
> for both of you, but I forgive you both.
>
> God bless you and your family. You looked just great on TV last
> night.
>
> 73,
>
>
>
> (signed)
> Glenn Baxter, K1MAN
>
>
>
> P.S. My book covers your many good traits as well as your very bad
> ones. Let the readers and history be the judge.
>
> Paul wrote to the Philippians, New Living Translation:
>
> 1-28. "Don't be intimidated by your enemies. This will be a sign to
> them that they are going to be destroyed, but that you are going to be
> saved, even by God himself."
>
> And, in the King James Version:
>
> 4-8. "Finally brethren, whatsoever things are true, whatsoever

> things are honest, whatsoever things are just, whatsoever things are
> pure, whatsoever things are lovely, whatsoever things are of good
> report; if there be any virtue, and if there be any praise, think on
> these things."
>
>
> cc: Brian Crow, K3VR
>
>
> AARA Membership
>
> www.K1MAN.com
>
> GLENN BAXTER, K1MAN, AUTOBIOGRAPHY
>
> BELGRADE LAKES INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH
>

# 1300. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 03:15:09 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzamanhamlloyd.org>
Message-ID: <dl6b18$kdm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com> wrote in message
news:6Txdf.95826$Hs.12689@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
> That's funny. The most trusted man in America is commented on by the least
> respected (laughing stock) man in amateur radio.
>

Yup, Mr Baxter does laugh. As the owner of several hundred
acres of prime Maine real estate, a large estate home, an
extensive well-stocked ham radio shack, owner of a
multi-million dollar trust fund, and having earned an undergraduate
and a graduate degree in engineering with honors, Mr Baxter sure
does laugh, *All The Way To The Bank* And he laughs at
you, sitting there in your 800 sq ft rented hovel, spewing hate
on the internet with your low-end computer and your dial up
connection, drinking cheap beer and waiting for your next
welfare check to arrive.

ROTFLMAO!

# 1301. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 03:16:57 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Jeydf.95829$Hs.56639@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 123866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I seek every opportunity to poke fun at K1MAN's many faults. This is my sole interest in this blithering blowhard!

Wayne-

"Ernest W Block" <zaw@ernieblocker.net> wrote in message news:dl34ip$120$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131745126.017647.324080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> Ernest W Block wrote:
>>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1131740455.140611.258020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>> cut
>>
>>> > by reading the record of letters in the case
>>> >>or how you are qualified
>>> >> to make a psychiatric diagnosis? Where did you do your
>>> >> residency in psychiatry?
>>> >
>>> > neither of the above is required
>>> >
>>>
>>>
>>> Yes "doctor," of course your "diagnosis" is beyond question.
>>> Good luck in the contest, AND your obsession with K1MAN's
>>> personal affairs.
>>
>> I don't care anything about his personal affiars, no interest at all.
>> My interest in K1MAN is limited to the interest I think we all should
>> have in the FCC trying to use and exploit someone less able to mount an
>> effective defense of himself to establish precedent that might be used
>> against the rest of us
>>
>> as to his pesonal affiars, I don't care he ma be bipolar (except as
>> above) or for that matter if he fucks chickens (as long as he own said
>> chickens
>>
>
>
> You K1MAN haters all deny having an obsession with his personal
> affairs, but your actions say otherwise.

>
>
>

# 1302. Re: stevie can post nothing but libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 03:36:05 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@pizzamanhamlloyd.org>
Message-ID: <dl6c84$qea$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123867

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131727935.396477.226770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Says the fat asshole who posts under several dozen of them himself and
> sees Roger Wiseman everywhere!
> BTW, fix that saggy roof on that broken down farmhouse.
>

Laughable, when you consider Wiseman lives in a 750 sq ft
inherited tin roof shack, which his late adoptive mother, Marie,
left him, in a neighborhood even the Mexicans refuse to live in.
How is your weekend Fry Cook job going DeVry boy? Your
lady boss still making life tough for you? ROTFLMAO!!!!!!!

# 1303. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 03:52:06 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nde37av592cad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net...
> Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
> of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>
>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>
>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>
> At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
> sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
> rec.radio.cb regular.

It proves you are a TROLL

# 1304. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 03:57:23 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nded3ssbfe39d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
news:dr.death.speaks.ebonics@tarrnews.net...
> On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 19:34:07 -0600, DrDeath eboniced:
>>
>> Don't be dissin Mr. Hat. LOL
>>
>
> "Dissing - [African American Vernacular English, short for DISRESPECT.]"
> -- http://www.answers.com/dissing&r=67

You could have just asked a black guy at work or anyone who has kids over
the age of 9.

# 1305. Re: stevie can post nothing but libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 04:13:34 UTC
From: Not Lloyd Deux <NotLloyd@nospam.ux>
Message-ID: <dl6ed5$5nh$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123870

Fry Cook? Woger? Well, it could be. It would certainly explain the greasy
hair, the zit scarred face and his pudgy physique.
One thing is for sure. With a filthy vocabulary such as his there is no way
his supervisor would allow him to interact with customers. Woger ain't
exactly Mister Personality.

"Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzamanhamlloyd.org> wrote in message
news:dl6c84$qea$0@pita.alt.net...

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131727935.396477.226770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Says the fat asshole who posts under several dozen of them himself and
> sees Roger Wiseman everywhere!
> BTW, fix that saggy roof on that broken down farmhouse.
>

Laughable, when you consider Wiseman lives in a 750 sq ft
inherited tin roof shack, which his late adoptive mother, Marie,
left him, in a neighborhood even the Mexicans refuse to live in.
How is your weekend Fry Cook job going DeVry boy? Your
lady boss still making life tough for you? ROTFLMAO!!!!!!!

# 1306. Re: stevie can post nothing but libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 04:19:35 UTC
From: Not Lloyd Deux <NotLloyd@nospam.ux>
Message-ID: <dl6eoe$7ab$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123871

You don't suppose he's a "chef" at the Shipwreck Lounge, do you?

"Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzamanhamlloyd.org> wrote in message
news:dl6c84$qea$0@pita.alt.net...

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131727935.396477.226770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Says the fat asshole who posts under several dozen of them himself and
> sees Roger Wiseman everywhere!
> BTW, fix that saggy roof on that broken down farmhouse.
>

Laughable, when you consider Wiseman lives in a 750 sq ft
inherited tin roof shack, which his late adoptive mother, Marie,
left him, in a neighborhood even the Mexicans refuse to live in.
How is your weekend Fry Cook job going DeVry boy? Your
lady boss still making life tough for you? ROTFLMAO!!!!!!!

## 1307. Re: Stevie anoucuing to RRAP that he intends to comit a crime?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 04:22:18 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11ndfrpi8n09l64@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131800871.526624.170300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
>> > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
>>
>> I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
>> the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.
>
> that would be crime you have no legal right to post any image of ME
> without my consent
> cut
>
Wrong! It is legal.
```

# 1308. Re: Beware: tinfoil hats enhance government mind control!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 06:32:18 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <S5Bdf.95861$Hs.59077@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 123873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It works as long as you use Renolyd's Wrap

"Nurse Lada Boobs" <vegateblewoob7@yahoo.nospm.com> wrote in message
news:19f29$437426c2$d1cc7a87$3806@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> "Modemac" <modemac@gmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131655632.236824.305740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> MIT study reveals that aluminum foil headwear actually amplifies
>> certain frequency bands allocated to the US government, as well as a
>> mobile phone range, and is largely ineffective through the rest of the
>> radio spectrum:
>>
>> http://people.csail.mit.edu/rahimi/helmet/
>>
>
> This is SCARY SHIT folks !!
>
> Quoting from the above link:
>
> "Among a fringe community of paranoids, aluminum helmets (tinfoil hats)
> serve
> as the protective measure of choice against invasive radio signals. We
> investigate
> the efficacy of three aluminum helmet designs on a sample group of four
> individuals. Using a $250,000 network analyser, we find that although on
> average all helmets attenuate invasive radio frequencies in either
> directions (either emanating from an outside source, or emanating from
> the cranium of the subject), certain frequencies are in fact greatly
> amplified.
> These amplified frequencies coincide with radio bands reserved for
> government use according to the Federal Communication Commission (FCC).
> Statistical evidence suggests the use of helmets may in fact enhance
> the government's invasive abilities. We theorize that the government
> may in fact have started the helmet craze for this reason..."
>
> --------------------------------
>
> Jeebzus-H-Christ on a kaiser roll with cream cheeze folks !!!!
> Perhaps the loons on domestic short-wave radio who have
> been selling those grossly overpriced questionable gold coins, dollar
> store LED keychain lights for $49.95 as "Berkie Illuminators"
> and colloidal silver south pole magnetic piss-water as magic
> curatives for baldness.....were right all along!

>
> (Alex Jones must be whacking off like a chimpanzee with a bunch of
> bananas in a glass cage at the Cleveland zoo right
> now.....)
>

# 1309. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 07:07:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <jhpdn1hpkfa0udufsc7q0pdn4kbq1clmsu@4ax.com>
Source record: 123874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:52:06 -0600, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>news:dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net...
>> Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
>> of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>>
>>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>>
>>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>>
>> At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>> sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
>> rec.radio.cb regular.
>
>It proves you are a TROLL

Erudition is trollish?

# 1310. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 07:09:15 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <rjpdn1to6hu668mul8o3otno4r88cto21l@4ax.com>
Source record: 123875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 22:15:09 -0500, "Lloyd"
<lloyd@pizzamanhamlloyd.org> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>Yup, Mr Baxter does laugh. As the owner of several hundred
>acres of prime Maine real estate, a large estate home, an
>extensive well-stocked ham radio shack, owner of a
>multi-million dollar trust fund, and having earned an undergraduate
>and a graduate degree in engineering with honors, Mr Baxter sure
>does laugh, *All The Way To The Bank*

Somehow, "Daddy's money" and "intelligent" never seem to meet.

# 1311. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 08:44:32 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nf22bf798i7a2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:jhpdn1hpkfa0udufsc7q0pdn4kbq1clmsu@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:52:06 -0600, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>>news:dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net...
>>> Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
>>> of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>>>
>>>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>>>
>>>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>>>
>>> At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>>> sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
>>> rec.radio.cb regular.
>>
>>It proves you are a TROLL
>
> Erudition is trollish?

No, your lack of ability to refrain from acting as the newsgroup professor
and inability to follow the thread makes you a troll.

# 1312. Frankie of Silliland ("FoS" for Short and for a good reason!) Continues to Humiliate Himself In Public.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 09:25:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131873940.969035.25320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> Dudly's post didn't show up on my news server so I'll reply to both in
> this post.
>
> On 12 Nov 2005 04:29:46 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
> <1131798586.573684.53970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
> >> away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
> >> warship.
>
> Wrong. What you describe is called a "float". But you didn't know
> that....(SNIP)

Sorry, Frankie.

Perhaps >>IF<< you'd done MORE than one HITCH you'd have had a bit
more experience from which to draw on.

They ARE called "cruises". Yes, they are ALSO called "floats".

> Your pile of dirt grows larger by the day, Dudly.

The dirt is yours, Frankie.

Too bad so mcuh of your ONE enlsitment was spent tied up in the
Judge Advocate Corps.

And too bad you didn't put as much effort into being the Marine
you SHOULD have been then as you perceive yourself now.

> <snip>
> >> And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
> >> between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
> >> group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.
>
> Which just happens to have the common characteristic of being opposed
> to the Morse code requirement, which is why you were arguing with them
> in the first place. The only thing "feeble" around here is your logic.

Nope.

What's "feeble" are your attempts to salve your twice
court-martialed ego.

Ya shudda sucked-it-up and been the Marine you THINK you are now...

> >> You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
> >> There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue
> >> that would even remotely interest or encourage me.
>
> True story. You didn't even blink when I mentioned the VINSON system.

"VINSON", other than a United States aircraft carrier was NOT a
"system" in ANY avionics system that was in service in ANY USMC
aircraft while I was on active duty.

> >Especially after exposing you as a fake.
> >
> >> > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
> >> > bidding?
> >>
> >> There's nothing paranoid about it.
> >
> >I think my use of the phrase, "Stolen Valor" is a frequent search term
> >for those that seek out military fakes. Once it is used, those that
> >defend the real vets get on it real quick.
>
> The only person that praises Dudly for his "valor" is Dudly. It's not
> stolen -- it's imagined.

Guess it's a good thing I NEVER "claimed" any valor. And any
"stolen" valor in THIS forum is Lennie's tour under the Bear and
Markie's tous as a drafted gay pagan colonel in the Army Chemical
Corps...Oh, and Frankie's misconception that his "service" was
"honorable"

It wasn't then...It isn't now.

> >> Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
> >> etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
> >> right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.
> >
> >Just a case of bad attribution. But he got on your pretty fast, didn't
> >he?
>
> Dudly frequently brings up that issue (and a few others) only to
> divert attention away from the facts. Just ignore it like I do.

You "need" to ignore it since it highlights your foolishness...Not
that it takes a lot to do that.

> >> Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
> >> posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.
> >
> >Where?
>
> He posted the dates. In his mind that's Prima Facie.

I guess actually LOOKING at it is above you...Of course looking at
it would also disprove you...Can't have that, can we?

> >> BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
> >> yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....
> >
> >Again, you're prone to lying.
>
> And again, there's no way to verify his story.

Then we have Frankie of Silliland in yet ANOTHER lie...

Frankie INSISTED that he had ample resources with which to "verify"
any claims made, including an infered personal relationship with LtCol
Oliver North.

If Frankie can't even research a public resource such as Promotion
Board results, then there's massive doubt as to the validity of any
other claim he makes.

> >> > > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> >> > > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> >> > > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
> >> > >
> >> > > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
> >> > > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
> >> > > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
> >> > > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.
> >>
> >> Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
> >> you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....
> >>
> >> I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
> >> while on active duty."
> >>
> >> I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
> >> 92.
>
> Pardon me..... 6+ years. And don't you mean you "retired from the
> Corps in 92"?

And it still doesn't wash out the stupidity of YOUR claim,
Frankie...

Since when does being an Active Duty Marine mean that a Marine
can't ALSO have some other pursuits?

So..there were no Marines who hung out in the autoshop?

No Marines who bowled or played football? No Marines who sang in
choirs, volunteered on local VFD's or other social programs?

You've still not even REMOTELY explained how being a Marine
prevented me from ALSO being an EMT...Nor have you adequately explained

how being an EMT prevented me from being a Marine...

> >Might be just the thing to offset your lying when you get to the Pearly
> >Gates.
>
> Not to mention that he just pulled you off your issue. To address your
> issue, the Marine Corps does not have any medical occupations -- those
> are all handled by the Navy (the USMC being a branch of the Navy). But
> that brings up another interesting factoid; if Dudly -did- get his EMT
> card in '86 and the USMC knew about it, they most likely would have
> transferred him to the Navy since training for a Corpsman is much more
> expensive than for an avionics tech, and qualified Corpsmen are hard
> to find.

Frankie, You get more and more assinine and, well, SILLY with each
subsequent rant!

WHY would the Marine Corps take a Staff Sergeant, later Gunnery
Sergeant from a critical MOS and transfer them to the Navy to be a
Corpsman just because he has an off-duty interest in EMS?

The Marine Corps ALSO needed snipers, and I took home an "Rifle
Expert" on each and every trip to the range. Why not send me to
Scout/Sniper school with that logic?

Spin on and on, Frankie.

Everyone's had a more than adequate opportunity to see you dish up
your stories only to see you fall flat on your court martialed face.

Coward and Liar...That's Frank Gilliland.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1313. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 09:27:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131874061.380175.139130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 12 Nov 2005 04:25:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1131798335.223641.238430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

> > That scumbag could donate a million dollars to a "pro-code"
> >advocacy group and he'd STILL be a disgraced, less-than-honorably
> >discharged ex-Marine
>
>
> .....FORMER Marine.....

EX Marine.

Earned when they sent you home with two courts martials in your
SRB.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1314. Markie: Say CHEESE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 09:32:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131874321.262068.154350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123878
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> Markie Announcing To RRAP That His "English" IS a Crime
>
> stevie lies again

Nope.

> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > cut
> > > > > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
> > > >
> > > > I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
> > > > the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.
> > >
> > > that would be crime you have no legal right to post any image of ME
> > > without my consent
> >
> > Wrong.
> >
> > Any picture that can be acquired in a place of public accomodation
> > can be used for almost ANY purpose where the person photographed cannot
> > reasonably expect privacy.
> >
> > Point in case: A picture of you taken from over a 12 foot privacy
> > fence would be a "bust"...A picture of you getting into a car in a
> > driveway would not.
> >
> > Do you think, for example, that photographers at public events go
> > and get "permission" from each and every person who MAY appear in a
> > shot?
> >
> > So...Next time you're out for a stroll, going to buy groceries, or
> > stopping to buy gas, just keep an eye out for the camera, and say
> > "CHEESE!"
>
> but you have no access to such a photo

Are you so certain? You think that that greaseball mugshot of
yours from your old GeoCities webpage wouldn't download and save to

disk?

> you are in Tennesse

"Tennessee"

And I am free to move about as the mood strikes me. I am even
free to hire such services of photographers or investigators as I
choose to do so to take photos for me.

> you don't see doing any of the above

Huh...?!?!?

> if you think you do you should to your mental haelth that occouarnace

I am sure you were trying to say something, Markie...But
WHAT...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 1315. Markie: Say CHEESE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 09:35:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131874506.657097.73280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

DrDeath wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131800871.526624.170300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> >> > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
> >>
> >> I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
> >> the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.
> >
> > that would be crime you have no legal right to post any image of ME
> > without my consent
> > cut
> >
> Wrong! It is legal.

Don't confuse Markie...His "paralegal" wife (or whatever he calls
him these days...) is "counselling" him otherwise! Can't tell Mrs
Markie he's wrong!

Steve, K4YZ

## 1316. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 09:50:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131875412.088646.122050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123880

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Markie Mularkie wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ...my Memeber in NCI was german to the discussion...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Classic.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > does it truely give you pleasure to laugh at another weaknesses?
> > > > >
> > > > > It's not a "weakness", Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's stupidity.
> > > > >
> > > > > I have studied Christianity and by that stadard you are sick moraly
> > > > > sick
> > > > >
> > > > > Nothing you have ever posted even remotely indicates that you have
> > > > > even the remotest understanding of Christianity....
> > > >
> > > > another lie Stevie
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Documented facts AGAIN, Markie!
> >
> > more lies by steie tried of stevie lies today
> > so flush the rest un read

"More" "Stevie" "tired"

I am sure you ARE getting tired of having YOUR nose rubbed in YOUR
foolishness, Markie. So why not just stop it?

Steve, K4YZ

## 1317. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 09:53:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131875599.157590.131810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123881

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> an old friend wrote:
> > >>
> > >>> I can't believe that any other explantion but that you are either a
> > >>> closet agy...
> > >> Fill us in, Mark! Is Steve a closet Aggie? I would have pegged him as
> > >> a Vols fan.
> > >>
> > >> You have some "explantion" to do.
> > >
> > > no I don't at least not to you
>
> I owe you no explanation Dave

Of course not.

An "explanation" would require rational thinking substantiated by
some sort of facts or at least workable theories.

Markie has neither.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1318. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 09:57:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131875841.640237.75770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123882

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> > > > > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >You should spend less time on the computer
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So should you, matbe you wouldn't post such mangled English.
> > > >
> > > > "Matbe" you shouldn't post such mangled English. LOL!!!!!
> > >
> > > i never mangle anything that badly
> >
> > cuting stevei cries or whatever

Allow me to remind you:

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > SURE YOU DON'T, PINNOCHIO ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > nice of you to agree
> > >
> > cuting stevie sexual rants

No sexual rants, Markie.

And I bet it hit close to the mark, Mark!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1319. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 10:31:51 UTC
From: gadge <g4dge@linux.user>
Message-ID: <dl74gu$gki$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123883
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 06:38:23 -0800, Polymath wrote:

>
> A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between a Ham
> Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
> sisters-under-the-skin.

I'm not a cb'er, never was and never will be and ham radio still looks
like cb to me! Why is that?

> Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then tries to
> classify himself as the equal of the Radio Ham when, in fact, he is
> nothing of the kind. A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or
> has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is
> the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

There are many ex/current cb'ers who are G/M0 class and proud of it.

> -----ooooo-----
>
> One group of people who claim to be of the standard of Radio Hams but who
> are in reality nothing more than an apology for the failure of a CBer are
> those class B licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against the
> use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF bands, until, that is, a
> test was introduced at their intellectual level, the intellectual level of
> 6-year-olds.

Rubbish. Some of us have passed electronics course/exams at BSC honours
level and yet we are not interested in the slightest with morse.

> 6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to enable them to handle
> even the simplest algebraic manipulation for Ohm's Law and thus,

And how good are you with tensors old chap.

the
> disgraceful Class Ber's in the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams
> by any stretch of the imagination!

You mistakenly ascribe too much to the ability to *jerk* a morse key old
boy.

>
> Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever
> held, a licence issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the M3/CB
> Fools' Licence scheme!

## 1320. new stevei attack thread

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:24:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131884674.365895.57610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123884

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > Stevie you don't get
> > cut
> > > > your refusaul to tell the ruth about people in medicaly related
> > > > matters
> > >
> > > Whose truth? YOURS?
> >
> > the truth
>
> You don't KNOW any truth, Markie.

of course I do

everybody does

meaning stevie is lying again and the rest of the post is not worth my
reading or replying to so flush
cut

# 1321. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:26:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131884803.591557.239890@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123885

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Iitoi wrote:
> > > an old friend Wrote:
> > > >
> > > > ah yes the stevie school of attack if you have nothing call your
> > > > opentn a drug user
>
> > > "Opentn"? Maybe Mark meant "oponent"? I have no oponent here, only
> > > conversation mates.
>
> > you have oppenent wether you know it or not
> > dut
>
> Perhaps you think you are Iitoi's opponent.
>
beucas he is mine

> But he does not see you as one.
so ?

# 1322. Re: Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:26:55 UTC
From: kb9rqz@hasmat.org
Message-ID: <38cen1l67q3unluqcmlnnm8r0s571k62j7@4ax.com>
Source record: 123887

On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:13:51 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:

>Has anyone else noticed that the later it gets the more irrational and
>wacked out his posts get?
>
>He is not only a fagot, but a drunk one to boot.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
Getting drunk mkaes my ass mslces relax

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 1323. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:28:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131884883.734882.218310@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123886

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> John Kasupski wrote:
> > On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:35:06 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Comments to 05-235 attached.
> > >
> > >--
> > >73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Very good, Hans.
>
> We're in agreement on the first part, anyway.
>
> But I doubt it will make any difference. Many of the 18 petitions
> included
> various changes to entry-level license privileges, including the very
> obvious one of giving Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges to all Techs. Yet
> FCC
> repeatedly denied all of them.
>
> In the NPRM, FCC makes it clear that their vision of the future looks
> like this:

wrong again
>
> Technician: Entry-level license. All VHF/UHF, no HF
>
> General: Mid-level license. All VHF/UHF, most HF/MF
>
> Extra: Top license, all privileges.
>
> Novice, Tech Plus, Advanced: Old license classes that will disappear
> with attrition.
>
> No free or automatic upgrades.

>No HF for Technicians who haven't passed
> a code test.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1324. Re: Return of old shit to the NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:34:19 UTC
From: kb9rqz@hasmat.org
Message-ID: <tlcen1l1sgptnlkbbn34psimpdga6hugk8@4ax.com>
Source record: 123890

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> KH6HZ wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > Thanks, Mike Deignan, where ever you are!
> >
> >
> > You're welcome Steve.
> >
> > 73 de KH6HZ
>
> Stevie's coconspirator
>
> a love fest between 2 "men" shocking
>
Why? I had my stool pushed in by a big burly man
last night my butt still hurts.

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 1325. stevie attack thread number.... what is it 10191?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:35:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131885318.112979.91440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > Markie Announcing To RRAP That His "English" IS a Crime
> >
> > stevie lies again
>
> Nope.
>
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > > So...Next time you're out for a stroll, going to buy groceries, or
> > > stopping to buy gas, just keep an eye out for the camera, and say
> > > "CHEESE!"
> >
> > but you have no access to such a photo
>
> Are you so certain?

Yes I am

> You think that that greaseball mugshot of
> yours from your old GeoCities webpage wouldn't download and save to
> disk?

2 lies there but I'll not flush your shit yet since you are amusing me

one that shot is my property so can't use it without my permission

2 you are lying in implyoing it s from the a public source like a gas
station
>
> > you are in Tennesse
>
> "Tennessee"
>
> And I am free to move about as the mood strikes me. I am even
> free to hire such services of photographers or investigators as I
> choose to do so to take photos for me.

free to hire them perhaps indeed but hiring people to stalk me would be
a crime

you confuse verious points of law

but as I said you lack the resources to do much of anything legal
>
> > you don't see doing any of the above
>
> Huh...?!?!?
>
> > if you think you do you should to your mental haelth that occouarnace
>
> I am sure you were trying to say something, Markie...But
> WHAT...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1326. stveie atack thread number 10192 I guess

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:36:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131885411.758387.135740@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123889

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Markie Mularkie wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > more lies by steie tried of stevie lies today
> > so flush the rest un read
>
> "More" "Stevie" "tired"
>
> I am sure you ARE getting tired of having YOUR nose rubbed in YOUR
> foolishness, Markie.

since you ar enot doing anything of the sort why would I be tired of it
> So why not just stop it?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1327. stevie attack thread number 10193 it seems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:38:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131885485.162507.326390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123891

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >> an old friend wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>> I can't believe that any other explantion but that you are either a
> > > >>> closet agy...
> > > >> Fill us in, Mark! Is Steve a closet Aggie? I would have pegged him as
> > > >> a Vols fan.
> > > >>
> > > >> You have some "explantion" to do.
> > > >
> > > > no I don't at least not to you
> > >
> > I owe you no explanation Dave
> >
> Of course not.
>
> An "explanation" would require rational thinking substantiated by
> some sort of facts or at least workable theories.

some Stvei can't do
>
> Markie has neither.

another Stevie lie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1328. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:39:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131885543.460301.4710@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123892

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > > whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> > > > > > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>You should spend less time on the computer
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So should you, matbe you wouldn't post such mangled English.
> > > > >
> > > > > "Matbe" you shouldn't post such mangled English. LOL!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > i never mangle anything that badly
> > >
> > > cuting stevei cries or whatever
> >
> > Allow me to remind you:
cut
no thanks
> > > SURE YOU DON'T, PINNOCHIO ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
> > >
> > > nice of you to agree
> > >
> > > cuting stevie sexual rants
> >
> > No sexual rants, Markie.

yes there was
>
> And I bet it hit close to the mark, Mark!

you mean you guess your were lying
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1329. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:40:31 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <etcen15hs0luegkjh6j602q5oalukfin9m@4ax.com>
Source record: 123893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 13 Nov 2005 01:27:41 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1131874061.380175.139130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> On 12 Nov 2005 04:25:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1131798335.223641.238430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> > That scumbag could donate a million dollars to a "pro-code"
>> >advocacy group and he'd STILL be a disgraced, less-than-honorably
>> >discharged ex-Marine
>>
>>
>> .....FORMER Marine.....
>
> EX Marine.
>
> Earned when they sent you home with two courts martials in your
>SRB.

For the last time, plural of 'court-martial' (a hyphenated word) is
'courts-martial', not 'courts martials' you illiterate boob.

>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1330. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:46:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131885984.063155.52500@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 13 Nov 2005 01:27:41 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1131874061.380175.139130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 12 Nov 2005 04:25:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1131798335.223641.238430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> >> > That scumbag could donate a million dollars to a "pro-code"
> >> >advocacy group and he'd STILL be a disgraced, less-than-honorably
> >> >discharged ex-Marine
> >>
> >>
> >> .....FORMER Marine.....
> >
> > EX Marine.
> >
> > Earned when they sent you home with two courts martials in your
> >SRB.
>
>
> For the last time, plural of 'court-martial' (a hyphenated word) is
> 'courts-martial', not 'courts martials' you illiterate boob.

hardly for the last time

unless you give up on commenting on Stevie all together his gaol most
likely

he is so afraid of anything contray
>
>
> >Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ
>

## 1331. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 12:54:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1131886495.639289.155160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123895

an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > John Kasupski wrote:
> > > On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:35:06 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Comments to 05-235 attached.
> > > >
> > > >--
> > > >73, de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > > Very good, Hans.
> >
> > We're in agreement on the first part, anyway.
> >
> > But I doubt it will make any difference. Many of the 18 petitions
> > included
> > various changes to entry-level license privileges, including the very
> > obvious one of giving Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges to all Techs. Yet
> > FCC
> > repeatedly denied all of them.
> >
> > In the NPRM, FCC makes it clear that their vision of the future looks
> > like this:
>
> wrong again

How is it wrong, Mark?

All the FCC proposes to do in the NPRM is to drop Element 1 from the
requirements.
Nothing else - in fact, other changes have been specifically denied.

If that is done, the following will be the inevitable result:

> > Technician: Entry-level license. All VHF/UHF, no HF

With no Element 1, the only way for new hams or existing noncodetested
Techs
to get any HF will be to go for General.

> > General: Mid-level license. All VHF/UHF, most HF/MF

As it is today, without Element 1

> > Extra: Top license, all privileges.

As it is today, without Element 1

> > Novice, Tech Plus, Advanced: Old license classes that will disappear
> > with attrition.

Which has been going on for the past 5-1/2 years.

> > No free or automatic upgrades.

Specifically denied by FCC. Nobody loses privileges and nobody gains
privileges.

> >No HF for Technicians who haven't passed
> > a code test.

That's what Hans is trying to fix.

Unfortunately FCC doesn't seem to see that as a problem.

## 1332. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 13:00:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131886808.909145.172500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123896

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > John Kasupski wrote:
> > > > On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:35:06 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Comments to 05-235 attached.
> > > > >
> > > > >--
> > > > >73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > >
> > > > Very good, Hans.
> > >
> > > We're in agreement on the first part, anyway.
> > >
> > > But I doubt it will make any difference. Many of the 18 petitions
> > > included
> > > various changes to entry-level license privileges, including the very
> > > obvious one of giving Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges to all Techs. Yet
> > > FCC
> > > repeatedly denied all of them.
> > >
> > > In the NPRM, FCC makes it clear that their vision of the future looks
> > > like this:
> >
> > wrong again
>
> How is it wrong, Mark?

by not being right

first proble is in asuming the FCC has a vision of the future

second that saying such a vision is clear
>
> All the FCC proposes to do in the NPRM is to drop Element 1 from the
> requirements.
> Nothing else - in fact, other changes have been specifically denied.
>
> If that is done, the following will be the inevitable result:
>
> > > Technician: Entry-level license. All VHF/UHF, no HF
>
> With no Element 1, the only way for new hams or existing noncodetested
> Techs

> to get any HF will be to go for General.

the NPRM is anything but clear that is the result they intend. inddeed
for the sake the ARS I hope it is what they end up doing.

amusingly so Does Jim Heaney (sp?) of the ARRL
>
> > > General: Mid-level license. All VHF/UHF, most HF/MF
>
> As it is today, without Element 1
>
> > > Extra: Top license, all privileges.
>
> As it is today, without Element 1
>
> > > Novice, Tech Plus, Advanced: Old license classes that will disappear
> > > with attrition.
>
> Which has been going on for the past 5-1/2 years.
>
> > > No free or automatic upgrades.
>
> Specifically denied by FCC. Nobody loses privileges and nobody gains
> privileges.
>
> > >No HF for Technicians who haven't passed
> > > a code test.
>
> That's what Hans is trying to fix.
>
> Unfortunately FCC doesn't seem to see that as a problem.

# 1333. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 13:03:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131887024.763755.230270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Dana wrote:
> He can bust cber's also for opperating out of band, so be carefull.
>
puling the atributes to insure everyone seeing the dates

On Wed, 26 Oct 2005, Vinnie S. wrote:

On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

so Dana goes her (or is it his) way trolling along spewing hate and
trying to become a replacement for Stevie as poster person what is a
bad extra class ham
> >
> >
> >> If Hollingsworth
> >
> > Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!
> >
> > Vinnie S.
> >

## 1334. looking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 13:04:43 UTC
From: kb9rqz@hasmat.org
Message-ID: <qaeen15dkb6oi5kma20161kp1cl9eq8g1g@4ax.com>
Source record: 123898

Been many yaers. loking for a J/O budy maybe more.
Danville and SW suburbs
of chicago. . I'm late 40's. 5'8" 250lbs. Not
unattractive. smoke adn do drugs STD fre? cuoples
are welcome. I love
golden showers, but not necessary. Picture is
appreciated.

---------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 1335. stevie admits to lying to NG for the last 3 months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 13:38:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131889105.173535.299320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123899

K4YZ wrote:
> > I am not lying about the health status of various persons in an offical
> > report
>
> I haven't reported on the "health" status of anyone.
>
> Have you SEEN such a "report"...?!?!

meaning that Stevie has lied in claiming he has

I suppose that admiting that he been lying about everything might a be
something of defense for the BoN on some of the points of my complaint

but it proves that Stevie is a liar

and there is a defference beween us

When I say something is lying or a liar I am saying they are a part of
the human condiction

When Stevie says they are lying he saying they have are beyond all
human redemption, and might as well comit suicide
>

## 1336. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 13:45:38 UTC
From: Herbie <anon@anonqrmherbie.net>
Message-ID: <dl7fvq$ebp$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 123900

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:eetdf.867$c27.603@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> Comments to 05-235 attached.
>

Cmon Hans, get a reality check. Your 'comments' dont matter
a twit. The FCC & ARRL partnered in a culture of corruption
years ago. Right now, one of their biggest sweats is figuring how
to avoid ending up in a federal court with k1man, since they
know k1man will prevail.

# 1337. Stevie claims he has no professional opinions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 13:50:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131889829.208699.164790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123901

k4yz said
> > I am not stating a "proffesional" opinion
>
> Neither am I.
>

stvei says to restore the context he does not render professional
opinion on RRAP

but reminding Stvei of his own words
in post
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/6e8204d721afc4a6/d4fd507adaa77311?rnum=41&hl=en&q=professional&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2F6e8204d721afc4a6%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Dprofessional%26hl%3Den%26#doc_ff50bfcc3aea5872
k4yz stated the following

YOU stepped on your own tail and let the cat out of the bag about
your own mental health involvement, but then I HAD been claiming that
it was my professional opinion that you were in treatment.

that would seem to say otherwsie

# 1338. stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 13:54:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131890054.300896.256950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123902

from stevies own keyboard
full text at
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/a291230d16fc7e9e/f492cc56f3aee689?rnum=1&hl=en&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2Fa291230d16fc7e9e%2Ff492cc56f3aee689%3Flnk%3Dst%26q%3D%26rnum%3D5%26hl%3Den%26#doc_f492cc56f3aee689

> > I am not saying someone is child abuser
> >
> > you are doing so
>
> From what you've posted here, that's an easy conclusion to draw.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Stevie claerly continues his line of shit about child abuse

making more unsupported allegeation

yea folks I have taken one post made 4 replies so Stevies charges can
be sorted out

## 1339. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 13:59:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131890392.125359.194740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123903

Herbie wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:eetdf.867$c27.603@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > Comments to 05-235 attached.
> >
>
>
> Cmon Hans, get a reality check. Your 'comments' dont matter
> a twit. The FCC & ARRL partnered in a culture of corruption
> years ago. Right now, one of their biggest sweats is figuring how
> to avoid ending up in a federal court with k1man, since they
> know k1man will prevail.

Hm I don't know K1MAN will pevial I suspect he will as should the FCC.
The FCC does know he will cost them a fair amount of money over
something that they as awhole care very little about

BTW has anyone heard anything likr a transfer to Nome Alaska for the
guy that made stuff hit the fan in his (K1MAN) case

# 1340. Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 14:00:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131890403.611878.196140@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123904
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
> > > between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
> > > group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.
> >
> > Feeble? Are you making five new medical diagnoses?
>
> Did I say it was a diagnosis?
>
> Is there a prohibition against using the word "feeble" unless it is
> by a licensed medical doctor? Can you show me that rule or law in
> writing?

Correct. There is no prohibition against using "feeble" by non-medical personnel, but you might want to check if there's for medical personnel such as you've claimed to be.

So when I say that you are "nuts," you won't jump up and down and cry like a little baby, claiming I am practicing medicine, have to prove where I went to medical school, who granted my diploma, where was my license issued, blah, blah, blah, like you usually do.

Right?

# 1341. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 14:06:13 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <ffGdnQkI9tOc1OreRVn-rA@comcast.com>
Source record: 123905

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1131886495.639289.155160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
an_old_friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > John Kasupski wrote:
> > > On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:35:06 GMT, "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> > > wrote:
> > >
> > > >Comments to 05-235 attached.
> > > >
> > > >--
> > > >73, de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > > Very good, Hans.
> >
> > We're in agreement on the first part, anyway.
> >
> > But I doubt it will make any difference. Many of the 18 petitions
> > included
> > various changes to entry-level license privileges, including the very
> > obvious one of giving Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges to all Techs. Yet
> > FCC
> > repeatedly denied all of them.
> >
> > In the NPRM, FCC makes it clear that their vision of the future looks
> > like this:
>
> wrong again

How is it wrong, Mark?

All the FCC proposes to do in the NPRM is to drop Element 1 from the
requirements.
Nothing else - in fact, other changes have been specifically denied.

If that is done, the following will be the inevitable result:

> > Technician: Entry-level license. All VHF/UHF, no HF

With no Element 1, the only way for new hams or existing noncodetested
Techs
to get any HF will be to go for General.

> > General: Mid-level license. All VHF/UHF, most HF/MF

As it is today, without Element 1

> > Extra: Top license, all privileges.

As it is today, without Element 1

> > Novice, Tech Plus, Advanced: Old license classes that will disappear
> > with attrition.

Which has been going on for the past 5-1/2 years.

> > No free or automatic upgrades.

Specifically denied by FCC. Nobody loses privileges and nobody gains
privileges.

> >No HF for Technicians who haven't passed
> > a code test.

That's what Hans is trying to fix.

Unfortunately FCC doesn't seem to see that as a problem.

---

I don't see it as a problem either. Afterall it will only require that they
take the General written test to get on HF. The General test is no harder
than the Tech. It just covers some different material as well as repeating
some material from the Tech. The new material is no harder just different.

The result of the FCC's approach is that everyone on HF will have taken two
or more tests of some kind whether they be written or written and code.
This is not unreasonable.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1342. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 14:13:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131891235.076133.274720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frankie of Silliland (FoS) wrote:
> On 13 Nov 2005 01:27:41 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1131874061.380175.139130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 12 Nov 2005 04:25:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1131798335.223641.238430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> >> > That scumbag could donate a million dollars to a "pro-code"
> >> >advocacy group and he'd STILL be a disgraced, less-than-honorably
> >> >discharged ex-Marine
> >>
> >>
> >> .....FORMER Marine.....
> >
> > EX Marine.
> >
> > Earned when they sent you home with two courts martials in your
> >SRB.
>
> For the last time, plural of 'court-martial' (a hyphenated word) is
> 'courts-martial', not 'courts martials' you illiterate boob.

And for the last time, who cares?

I don't have one, let alone two.

I managed to do my 18 years in the ACTIVE United States Marine
Corps HONORABLY, you disgraced coward.

(Was that "literate" enough for you, Frankie...?!?!)

Steve, K4YZ

# 1343. Brain Bounces Blindly Beyond Bounds of Believeability

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 14:21:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131891691.928547.181630@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
> > > > between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
> > > > group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.
> > >
> > > Feeble? Are you making five new medical diagnoses?
> >
> > Did I say it was a diagnosis?
> >
> > Is there a prohibition against using the word "feeble" unless it is
> > by a licensed medical doctor? Can you show me that rule or law in
> > writing?
>
> Correct. There is no prohibition against using "feeble" by non-medical
> personnel, but you might want to check if there's for medical personnel
> such as you've claimed to be.
>
> So when I say that you are "nuts," you won't jump up and down and cry
> like a little baby, claiming I am practicing medicine, have to prove
> where I went to medical school, who granted my diploma, where was my
> license issued, blah, blah, blah, like you usually do.
>
> Right?

Brain, you continue to underscore your own double standard.

You want to be able to deceive, intimidate and mislead blindly,
but then when asked to pony up substantiation of YOUR claims,
everyone's "nuts", etc etc etc.

The BEST it seems you and your Feeble comrades can seem to pull
together is the persistent rantings of a semi-literate degenerate and a
disgraced, dishonorably discharged ex-Marine who's ONLY valid argument
is my incorrect pluralization of "court martial".

They are the epitome of "lame".

You have your moments of lucidity, but they are waning.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1344. Re: stevie (Stevie) continues to to calim (claim) imply pedophia (pedophilia) MARKIE CONTINUES TO PROVE THAT IGNORANCE MAY NOT BE BLISS, BUT IT'S CERTAINLY PREDOMINANT IN HIS GENE POOL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 14:26:42 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131892002.890505.135550@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123908

an_old_friend wrote:
> from stevies own keyboard
> full text at
> http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/a291230d16fc7e9e/f492cc56f3aee689?rnum=1&hl=en&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2Fa291230d16fc7e9e%2Ff492cc56f3aee689%3Flnk%3Dst%26q%3D%26rnum%3D5%26hl%3Den%26#doc_f492cc56f3aee689
>
> > > I am not saying someone is child abuser
> > >
> > > you are doing so
> >
> > From what you've posted here, that's an easy conclusion to draw.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Stevie claerly continues his line of #### about child abuse

"clearly"

> making more unsupported allegeation

NOT "unsupported"...I have your own words about teaching children
to lie.

> yea folks I have taken one post made 4 replies so Stevies charges can
> be sorted out

There's no "charge".

You make assinine posts about how children should be raised and
how lying is an admirable and "moral" trait to teach them.

That's all we need.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1345. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 14:29:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131892193.864665.295110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > Actually, had Frankie actually spent more than one HITCH in the
> > > Marine Corps, or had NOT spent the one and ONLY hitch he did serve tied
> > > up in the legal system, he'd know that yes, Marines actaully refer to
> > > enlistments as "hitches". They also call then "tours" and several
> > > other colorful euphemisms that don't need repeating here.
> >
> > Yet you told us that Sailors and Marines don't use other colorful
> > euphemisms.
> >
> > Did you lie?
>
> Nope.

I say you did.

> You didn't quote me correctly.

I didn't quote you at all.

> But nice try anyway.

Its so easy to use your own words to catch you in a lie.

> > > A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
> > > away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
> > > warship.
> > >
> > > > In the Army we call em hitchs
> > >
> > > What do you mean "we"...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, are you now claiming that you were in the Army, too?
>
> Did I say I was?

It was a question.

> The comment was directed at Colonel Morgan and his
> misrepresentations of Army service. No one elses.

So you weren't in the Army with Mark?

Snip to a new thread, "Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN CAP
MAJOR."

> > > You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
> > > There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue
> > > that would even remotely interest or encourage me.
> >
> > Especially after exposing you as a fake.
>
> Frankie hasn't expsoed anything except his failed USMC service.

Why is it that Frank spends a few years in the Marine Corps and knows
the lingo, you spend >>>almost<<< a career in the Marine Corps and
stumble around for the names of radio gear that you supposedly used,
and stumble around using Army phrases instead of Marine phrases, claim
that sailors don't cuss (HIHIHI), etc, etc, etc???

Frank pegged you for what you are.

> > > > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
> > > > bidding?
> > >
> > > There's nothing paranoid about it.
> >
> > I think my use of the phrase, "Stolen Valor" is a frequent search term
> > for those that seek out military fakes. Once it is used, those that
> > defend the real vets get on it real quick.
>
> Other than Markie's folly and Lennie's frequent attempts to parlay
> his rear-area radio mechanic duties into an artillery-ducking, Bear
> avoiding novel, there's no military "frauds" here that I know of.

Seven hostile actions. Where and when?

> > > Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
> > > etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
> > > right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.
> >
> > Just a case of bad attribution. But he got on your pretty fast, didn't
> > he?
>
> He "got on me" alright, but so far he's not "proven" a single thing
> except that he's a disgraced, twice convicted coward.

I'm sure you think it's about him. It's not.

> > > > > > He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
> > > > > >makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country.
> > > > >
> > > > > I have never said anything of the sort. Nevertheless, you lied to your
> > > > > God when you took your marriage vows -- who are -YOU- to accuse anyone
> > > > > else of lying?
> > > >
> > > good one frank

> > > >
> > > > and according to stevie once a liar always a liar
> > >
> > > Yes, Markie, you and Frankie are liars...Now and forever.
> >
> > Steve is a "Natural Born Liar." Goes way back.
>
> Nope.
>
> But keep on saying that if it makes you feel better.

Makes me kind of sad to think that a fellow amateur and perhaps even a
fellow veteran is such a liar. Makes me wonder if you grew up in juvie
detention or something to always have to make up such preposterous
stuff in order to get attention. Always have to put down the next guy
to make yourself look big and act the bigshot.

> > > > > BTW..... "have"
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
> > > > >
> > > > > A name well deserved.
> > > >
> > > > no there i think you are being disrepectfull to the real Gunnies of the
> > > > USMC
> > >
> > > Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
> > > posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.
> >
> > Where?
>
> Shall I show you? Going to Dayton this year?

Already been this year. DD-214. You make a copy, sanitize SSN, DOB,
scan it and post it instead of that dumpy photo of you on QRZ. Post a
message here, then take it down after 24 hours. Then put up a photo of
you with an Gold Oakleaf on each shoulder.

> > > I even posted the Selection Board for my promotion to Staff
> > > Sergeant before it.
> >
> > But you're prone to lying. What are we to believe?
>
> Prone to WHAT lying?

Your incessant lying.

> And are you now stating that the USMC itself was lying when they
> posted promotion results for the 1983 Staff Sergeant Selection Board
> and the 1987 Gunnery Sergeant Selection Boards?

Reserves.

> > > Again...You and Frankie of Scummiland chose to ignore them since
> > > they render your rants baseless.
> >
> > Again, you're prone to lying.
>
> Again, YOU are lying.
>
> > > BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
> > > yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....
> >
> > Again, you're prone to lying.
>
> And are you now stating that the USMC itself was lying when they
> posted promotion results for the 1983 Staff Sergeant Selection Board
> and the 1987 Gunnery Sergeant Selection Boards?

DD-214.

> > > > > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> > > > > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> > > > > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
> > > > > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
> > > > > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
> > > > > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.
> > > >
> > > Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
> > > you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....
> > >
> > > I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
> > > while on active duty."
> > >
> > > I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
> > > 92.
> >
> > Mind stating again what your previously claimed experience lengthl was?
>
> I don't dispute the experience length, Brain.
>
> READ WHAT WAS WRITTEN: Sir Frankie Of Scummiland tried to say I
> alleged the "experience" was all while I was in the Corps.
>
> That's false. I never said that.
>
> I've been an LPN for 11 years now and an EMT before that.

Do you have a letter of permission for off-duty employment from the
USMC or USMCR?

> > Not that your claims are trustworthy.
>
> From the mouth of a creep who lies to his family and publically
> brandishes his "admiration" for a documented pathological liar....

"Brandishes?" Sounds deadly.

> > > > any marine MOS Frank from Stevie terms and mistakes it is possible that
> > > > he served in the armed forces but maybe in the ARMY memeber of the 95
> > > > series could have done as Stevie claims to have done, when you mention
> > > > some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
> > > > unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
> > > > fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
> > > > unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
> > > > I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Markie...
> > >
> > > 109 "words" strung together without proper punctuation, numerous
> > > mispellings and grammatical errors...Not to mention not making a lick
> > > of sense.
> >
> > Might be just the thing to offset your lying when you get to the Pearly
> > Gates.
>
> I am sure you thought that meant something...but what?

You see your assinine behavio[u]r as acceptable because it is in
response to some perceived infraction of the rules or perceive some
personal insult.

St Peter doesn't accept the excuse, "Markie drove me to it!"

> > > > could it be Dudly Dogface is the right label?
> > >
> > > Uhhhh...No, the doggie face is in Chassell, MI.
> >
> > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
>
> I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
> the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.

Yes it will. Be sure to get permission to publish them.

In the meantime, your official photo is out of date. How are you ever
going to make LTC if the CAP promotion board opens your folder and sees
a pudgy Captain?

> > > > > > I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > "shake" is the right word. You can't shake it off because your claim
> > > > > simply isn't true. But please keep trying.
> > >

> > > Spin as you will, Mr Twice Court Martialed coward and liar.
> > >
> > > BTW..No "Happy Veterans Day" for you...You didn't earn it.
> >
> > Did Steve ever have a medical profile?

Hmmmm? Probably always had a medical profile. Maybe if two senoir
persons were to have ordered him to go on a five mile run and Steve
refused...

he'd be twice courts martialed.

> > > > Id rather he just gave it up myself
> > > >
> > > > Not that it will happen
> > >
> > > I am sure there are a lot of things you'd like to heppen,
> > > Markie...Like a miraculous gender change and sudden enlightenment.

"happen"

> > > That's not happening either.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > That's right. Mike, Jim, and Hans proven there is no God, so there
> > won't be any "miraculous" happenings anywhere. Never were, right?
>
> Still more non-germane comments.
>
> Nice shot.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

So why don't Mike, Jim, and Hans dump on you for your beliefs?

It's that whole double-standard thing, right?

# 1346. Re: Stevie claims he has no professional opinions MARKIE AGAIN IGNORES WHAT WAS >>REALLY<< SAID

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 14:30:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131892213.250516.296900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123910

an_old_friend wrote:
> k4yz said
> > > I am not stating a "proffesional" opinion
> >
> > Neither am I.
>
> stvei says to restore the context he does not render professional
> opinion on RRAP

Read what YOU wrote then rad how I responded, you illiterate
idiot.

It's right in front of you. YOU even quoted it, not once, but
TWICE.

And if you don't understand what I am talking about, perhaps some
4th grader could explain it to you.

Idiot.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1347. Re: stevie admits to lying to NG for the last 3 months AGAIN MARK MORGAN DEMONSTRATES IGNORANCE AND ILLITERACY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 14:36:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131892564.535138.316410@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123911

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > > I am not lying about the health status of various persons in an offical
> > > report
> >
> > I haven't reported on the "health" status of anyone.
> >
> > Have you SEEN such a "report"...?!?!
>
> meaning that Stevie has lied in claiming he has

Nope.

I asked you "...Have you SEEN such a "report"...?!?!"

WHERE was anything about HEALTH addressed?

> I suppose that admiting that he been lying about everything might a be
> something of defense for the BoN on some of the points of my complaint

Your "complaint" will evenutally leave you bankrupt.

> but it proves that Stevie is a liar

Nope.

What it DOES prove is that you ignored what I wrote, then QUOTED it
and tried to make something ELSE out of it.

> and there is a defference beween us

"difference"

> When I say something is lying or a liar I am saying they are a part of
> the human condiction

"condition", you illiterate idiot.

When >>I<< say you're a liar, Markie, I say you are not telling the
truth.

> When Stevie says they are lying he saying they have are beyond all
> human redemption, and might as well comit suicide

"commit"

I really do wish I had a Markie-to-English Dictionary and grammar
guide. I might be able to figure out what he meant.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1348. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 14:41:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131892874.391982.184760@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123912

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

> I have no idea what a "pisecie of chit" could possibly be.

Zodiac? ;^)

# 1349. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 14:49:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131893357.173019.119850@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123913

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >
> > > Fogware bullshit is your specialty, Len. You're the acknowledged expert
> > > on that subject.
> >
> > Steve says "colorful euphemisms" aren't used by sailors and marines. I
> > guess you're serving in other ways again.
>
> but stevie don't tolerateword like bullshit
>
> oh i forgot stebie lie about that too

"K4YZ" has become a colorful euphimism for intolerant, bad mannered
RRAP citizen.

# 1350. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 15:19:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131895166.937856.137570@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > Actually, had Frankie actually spent more than one HITCH in the
> > > > Marine Corps, or had NOT spent the one and ONLY hitch he did serve tied
> > > > up in the legal system, he'd know that yes, Marines actaully refer to
> > > > enlistments as "hitches". They also call then "tours" and several
> > > > other colorful euphemisms that don't need repeating here.
> > >
> > > Yet you told us that Sailors and Marines don't use other colorful
> > > euphemisms.
> > >
> > > Did you lie?
> >
> > Nope.
>
> I say you did.

Then YOU are lying.

No news there.

> > You didn't quote me correctly.
>
> I didn't quote you at all.
>
> > But nice try anyway.
>
> Its so easy to use your own words to catch you in a lie.

Then why have you not been as successful at it as you claim?

> > > > A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
> > > > away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
> > > > warship.
> > > >
> > > > > In the Army we call em hitchs
> > > >
> > > > What do you mean "we"...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, are you now claiming that you were in the Army, too?

> >
> > Did I say I was?
>
> It was a question.

I understand it was a question.

Can YOU show where I said I was in the Army?

The OTHER question was directed at Markie and HIS suggestion that
he served in the Army, using HIS collective "we" to suggest he was a
part of it.

> > The comment was directed at Colonel Morgan and his
> > misrepresentations of Army service. No one elses.
>
> So you weren't in the Army with Mark?

Did I say I was?

Where is that?

> Snip to a new thread, "Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN CAP
> MAJOR."
>
> > > > You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
> > > > There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue
> > > > that would even remotely interest or encourage me.
> > >
> > > Especially after exposing you as a fake.
> >
> > Frankie hasn't expsoed anything except his failed USMC service.
>
> Why is it that Frank spends a few years in the Marine Corps and knows
> the lingo, you spend >>>almost<<< a career in the Marine Corps and
> stumble around for the names of radio gear that you supposedly used,
> and stumble around using Army phrases instead of Marine phrases, claim
> that sailors don't cuss (HIHIHI), etc, etc, etc???
>
> Frank pegged you for what you are.

Frankie pegged nothing, Brain.

Frankie's misrepresentation of the truth about some terminology
is, on the face of it, ludicrous. Lame at best, and laughable that
in-the-rear-with-the-gear guys such as yourself and Lennie are
impressed by his rantings.

First of all, where's this tale about "...names of radio gear you
supposedly used" come from? Lennie's tried that rant for years and now
Frankie picked up on it.

It never happened. It was part of Frankie's cross-dressing with
Lennie.

And as for the "lingo", Frankie needed to be in the Corps longer.
His one tour, half of which was spent digging his butt out of trouble,
only left him with HALF the story.

Nice try though.

> > > > > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
> > > > > bidding?
> > > > >
> > > > > There's nothing paranoid about it.
> > > >
> > > > I think my use of the phrase, "Stolen Valor" is a frequent search term
> > > > for those that seek out military fakes. Once it is used, those that
> > > > defend the real vets get on it real quick.
> > >
> > > Other than Markie's folly and Lennie's frequent attempts to parlay
> > > his rear-area radio mechanic duties into an artillery-ducking, Bear
> > > avoiding novel, there's no military "frauds" here that I know of.
> >
> > Seven hostile actions. Where and when?

Both questions not going to be answered...Not because they are
fraudulent or "secret", but for the reasons we're already embroiled in
THIS spat..."this much" is always the catalyst for more lies and
accusations from your side of the fence.

Try to make as much from it as you care to do, Brain, but it's all
you've got to play with.

Have fun.

> > > > Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
> > > > > etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
> > > > > right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.
> > > >
> > > > Just a case of bad attribution. But he got on your pretty fast, didn't
> > > > he?
> > >
> > > He "got on me" alright, but so far he's not "proven" a single thing
> > > except that he's a disgraced, twice convicted coward.
> >
> > I'm sure you think it's about him. It's not.

It IS about him. He has no credibility. He lied to his country,
he lied to the Corps, and now he's in here salving his "I Cudda Been A
Contender" ex-Marine ego by trying to "beat up" on a former SNCO since
this is the ONLY way he could do it and not get a third court martial.

> > > > > > > He insists that his open and willful acknowledgement of his trials
> > > > > > >makes it "OK" to ahve lied to his country.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I have never said anything of the sort. Nevertheless, you lied to your
> > > > > > God when you took your marriage vows -- who are -YOU- to accuse anyone
> > > > > > else of lying?

> > > > >
> > > > > good one frank
> > > > >
> > > > > and according to stevie once a liar always a liar
> > > >
> > > > Yes, Markie, you and Frankie are liars...Now and forever.
> > >
> > > Steve is a "Natural Born Liar." Goes way back.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > But keep on saying that if it makes you feel better.
>
> Makes me kind of sad to think that a fellow amateur and perhaps even a
> fellow veteran is such a liar. Makes me wonder if you grew up in juvie
> detention or something to always have to make up such preposterous
> stuff in order to get attention. Always have to put down the next guy
> to make yourself look big and act the bigshot.

Seems to me that that description falls right into your lap,
Brain.

And perhaps why you felt compelled to invent your Somalia
DXpedition gala...

As for the "liar"...well...All I can say is you've laid quite a
bit of track of your own, Brain, and it's not that hard to follow.

> > > > > > BTW..... "have"
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > He calls me "Gunny Dudly"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > A name well deserved.
> > > > >
> > > > > no there i think you are being disrepectfull to the real Gunnies of the
> > > > > USMC
> > > >
> > > > Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
> > > > posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.
> > >
> > > Where?
> >
> > Shall I show you? Going to Dayton this year?
>
> Already been this year. DD-214. You make a copy, sanitize SSN, DOB,
> scan it and post it instead of that dumpy photo of you on QRZ. Post a
> message here, then take it down after 24 hours. Then put up a photo of
> you with an Gold Oakleaf on each shoulder.

Nope.

I've posted numerous publically researchable resources on NUMEROUS
"claims", as you call them.

You simply pooh-pooh them off as "unreliable"...As if the USMC or
the USAF would lie in order to support any USENET claims or challenges.

And as far as "dumpy" goes, Brain...It will be interesting to see
how direct your gig line is, or whether it's a bit,
uhhhhh...circumfrential....

> > > > I even posted the Selection Board for my promotion to Staff
> > > > Sergeant before it.
> > >
> > > But you're prone to lying. What are we to believe?
> >
> > Prone to WHAT lying?
>
> Your incessant lying.

What lying?

You keep on about lying, but with the exception of my refusal to
discuss some aspects of my service duty, you have yet to validate a
single one...

And I told you "have fun" with that one...It's all you get!

> > And are you now stating that the USMC itself was lying when they
> > posted promotion results for the 1983 Staff Sergeant Selection Board
> > and the 1987 Gunnery Sergeant Selection Boards?
>
> Reserves.

No Reserves.

A bit of that yellow creeping back up your spine, Brain?

Can't directly answer the question?

"We" know why!

The TRUTH would set you free!

> > > > Again...You and Frankie of Scummiland chose to ignore them since
> > > > they render your rants baseless.
> > >
> > > Again, you're prone to lying.
> >
> > Again, YOU are lying.
> >
> > > > BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
> > > > yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....
> > >
> > > Again, you're prone to lying.
> >

> > And are you now stating that the USMC itself was lying when they
> > posted promotion results for the 1983 Staff Sergeant Selection Board
> > and the 1987 Gunnery Sergeant Selection Boards?
>
> DD-214.

Nope. I've already provided you with publically researchable
resources. You refuse to use them. Oh well.

> > > > > > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
> > > > > > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
> > > > > > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
> > > > > > > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
> > > > > > > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
> > > > > > > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.
> > > > >
> > > > > Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
> > > > > you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....
> > > > >
> > > > > I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
> > > > > while on active duty."
> > > > >
> > > > > I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
> > > > > 92.
> > > >
> > > > Mind stating again what your previously claimed experience lengthl was?
> > >
> > > I don't dispute the experience length, Brain.
> > >
> > > READ WHAT WAS WRITTEN: Sir Frankie Of Scummiland tried to say I
> > > alleged the "experience" was all while I was in the Corps.
> > >
> > > That's false. I never said that.
> > >
> > > I've been an LPN for 11 years now and an EMT before that.
> >
> > Do you have a letter of permission for off-duty employment from the
> > USMC or USMCR?

No USMCR.

And after almost 20 years..?!?!
Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight!

Do YOU have the letter of authorization for your T5/N0IMD
operation, Brain?

> > > Not that your claims are trustworthy.
> > >
> > > From the mouth of a creep who lies to his family and publically

> > brandishes his "admiration" for a documented pathological liar....
>
> "Brandishes?" Sounds deadly.

What would YOU kow about "deadly", Brain...?!?!

The closest YOU get to ANYthing deadly is forgetting to turn the
bathroom fan on before you sit down.

> > > > > any marine MOS Frank from Stevie terms and mistakes it is possible that
> > > > > he served in the armed forces but maybe in the ARMY memeber of the 95
> > > > > series could have done as Stevie claims to have done, when you mention
> > > > > some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
> > > > > unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
> > > > > fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
> > > > > unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
> > > > > I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible
> > > >
> > > > Congratulations, Markie...
> > > >
> > > > 109 "words" strung together without proper punctuation, numerous
> > > > mispellings and grammatical errors...Not to mention not making a lick
> > > > of sense.
> > >
> > > Might be just the thing to offset your lying when you get to the Pearly
> > > Gates.
> >
> > I am sure you thought that meant something...but what?
>
> You see your assinine behavio[u]r as acceptable because it is in
> response to some perceived infraction of the rules or perceive some
> personal insult.

What "assinine behaviour"?

Not tolerating liar and deceivers?

Stop lying. Stop deceiving. See how fast things change for you.

> St Peter doesn't accept the excuse, "Markie drove me to it!"

Lame, Brain...You're falling down on the job.

LENNIE..Shape this Sock Puppet up!

> > > > > could it be Dudly Dogface is the right label?
> > > >
> > > > Uhhhh...No, the doggie face is in Chassell, MI.
> > >
> > > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
> >
> > I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
> > the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.

>
> Yes it will. Be sure to get permission to publish them.

I don't need permission.

Any picture obtained in a public domain does not require the
subject's "permission". Your being IN the public domain is
"permission".

Ask any papparazzi. That's how they get away with THEIR
behaviour.

And the Supreme Court has upheld it.

> In the meantime, your official photo is out of date. How are you ever
> going to make LTC if the CAP promotion board opens your folder and sees
> a pudgy Captain?

What "pudgy", Brain...

You keep repeating that easily disproven lie over and over and all
it does is substantiate my claim that you ARE a liar.

And Brain...

What is YOUR picture going to reveal...?!?!

> > > > > > > I am still trying to shake that laugh off.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "shake" is the right word. You can't shake it off because your claim
> > > > > > > simply isn't true. But please keep trying.
> > > > >
> > > > > Spin as you will, Mr Twice Court Martialed coward and liar.
> > > > >
> > > > > BTW..No "Happy Veterans Day" for you...You didn't earn it.
> > >
> > > Did Steve ever have a medical profile?
>
> Hmmmm? Probably always had a medical profile. Maybe if two senoir
> persons were to have ordered him to go on a five mile run and Steve
> refused...
>
> he'd be twice courts martialed.

"senoir" persons...?!?!

> > > > > Id rather he just gave it up myself
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Not that it will happen
> > > > >
> > > > > I am sure there are a lot of things you'd like to heppen,
> > > > > Markie...Like a miraculous gender change and sudden enlightenment.
>

> "happen"
>
> > > > That's not happening either.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > That's right. Mike, Jim, and Hans proven there is no God, so there
> > > won't be any "miraculous" happenings anywhere. Never were, right?
> >
> > Still more non-germane comments.
> >
> > Nice shot.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> So why don't Mike, Jim, and Hans dump on you for your beliefs?

Which beliefs would you care for them to comment on?

We've all had rounds on various "beliefs" at one time or another.

The difference between them and your cohorts in the Feeble Five is
that at some points we can come to grips with each other.

> It's that whole double-standard thing, right?

Nope. It's that "we can come to an understanding" thing...

I thought you and I had one six or seven months ago, but it seems
you weren't happy unless you were spreading more deceit.

Your rules. Ya gotta live by them, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1351. Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 15:19:33 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131895173.955700.174210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123915

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > k4yz said
> > > > I am not stating a "proffesional" opinion
> > >
> > > Neither am I.
> >
> > stvei says to restore the context he does not render professional
> > opinion on RRAP
>
> Read what YOU wrote then rad how I responded, you illiterate
> idiot.

"read"

> It's right in front of you. YOU even quoted it, not once, but
> TWICE.
>
> And if you don't understand what I am talking about, perhaps some
> 4th grader could explain it to you.
>
> Idiot.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Like I said, Steve, it's not what Len or Mark or I have said...

It's all about what >>>you<<< have said while claiming the professional capacity to say it.

For your sake, I hope that you really didn't make the phone calls.

I know that you said that you did, but did you really? Did you?

Or were you just lying again?

I figured you were just a lot of bluff and bluster when you said "dialing," and then later you said that you had made the call. Your usual bully role.

Should I check with the various County Health Service and Child Service agencies, the Sheriff? The Boy Scouts? Were you really that stupid to make such calls?

bb

# 1352. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 15:37:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131896268.285150.311140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123916

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > from stevies own keyboard
> > full text at
> > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/a291230d16fc7e9e/f492cc56f3aee689?rnum=1&hl=en&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2Fa291230d16fc7e9e%2Ff492cc56f3aee689%3Flnk%3Dst%26q%3D%26rnum%3D5%26hl%3Den%26#doc_f492cc56f3aee689
> >
> > > > I am not saying someone is child abuser
> > > >
> > > > you are doing so
> > >
> > > From what you've posted here, that's an easy conclusion to draw.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Stevie claerly continues his line of #### about child abuse
>
> "clearly"
>
> > making more unsupported allegeation
>
> NOT "unsupported"...I have your own words about teaching children
> to lie.
>
> > yea folks I have taken one post made 4 replies so Stevies charges can
> > be sorted out
>
> There's no "charge".
>
> You make assinine posts about how children should be raised and
> how lying is an admirable and "moral" trait to teach them.

more lies Stevie
>
> That's all we need.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1353. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 15:39:20 UTC
From: d dee <dd@ddbigassz.com>
Message-ID: <440de$43775e28$d1cc79d2$24438@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 123917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131794592.454981.317740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dana wrote:
> > If he talks that way on the air, someone needs to wash his mouth out
with
> > soap, LOL.
> >
>
> it is my understand that k4yz does talk that way on the air

What freq does his group hang out on?
Perhaps they should go to 14.313 as the un-confirmed
'reports' says the recent tornado in evansville
has blown the "kooky jooish injun" off the air
for the forseeable future. Oh well, one less ham
radio nutcase to listen to on 20. Come to think of it
I ain't heard the slack-jawed moron weed-whacker
pilot from ga in a few weekends or his babbling cohort
from mn either. Are they gone too? Come to think of it
even the "great liberty net" was MIA from 75 meters
last night also. What gives? Are they all droppin like
flies in the fall season? I really missed hearing the
Ypsilanti kookenschlong last evening. Ole gnq really is
a class-1 fruitcake and a dyed-in-the-wool larouche
supporter also who's elevator don't go to the top floors.
If you never heard him pontificate you're missing some
great humor folks, nuttier than a nest of cukoo-cukoo
birds on april the 1st.

## 1354. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 15:40:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131896454.989530.59550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123918

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1131886495.639289.155160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > John Kasupski wrote:
> > > > On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:35:06 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> > > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Comments to 05-235 attached.
> > > > >
> > > > >--
> > > > >73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > >
> > > > Very good, Hans.
> > >
> > > We're in agreement on the first part, anyway.
> > >
> > > But I doubt it will make any difference. Many of the 18 petitions
> > > included
> > > various changes to entry-level license privileges, including the very
> > > obvious one of giving Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges to all Techs. Yet
> > > FCC
> > > repeatedly denied all of them.
> > >
> > > In the NPRM, FCC makes it clear that their vision of the future looks
> > > like this:
> >
> > wrong again
>
> How is it wrong, Mark?

one so much your honesty

two,the rest I have adressed with jim
flush

# 1355. Re: stevie admits to lying to NG for the last 3 months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 15:42:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131896535.837744.146260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123919

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > I am not lying about the health status of various persons in an offical
> > > > report
> > >
> > > I haven't reported on the "health" status of anyone.
> > >
> > > Have you SEEN such a "report"...?!?!
> >
> > meaning that Stevie has lied in claiming he has
>
> Nope.

yes you have
>
> I asked you "...Have you SEEN such a "report"...?!?!"

no I have only your word it exists
>
> WHERE was anything about HEALTH addressed?

you claim there was you claimed to be reporting about my mental health
>
> > I suppose that admiting that he been lying about everything might a be
> > something of defense for the BoN on some of the points of my complaint
cut
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1356. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 15:44:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131896646.973189.218180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123920

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Fogware bullshit is your specialty, Len. You're the acknowledged expert
> > > > on that subject.
> > > >
> > > Steve says "colorful euphemisms" aren't used by sailors and marines. I
> > > guess you're serving in other ways again.
> > >
> > but stevie don't tolerateword like bullshit
> > >
> > oh i forgot stebie lie about that too
> >
> "K4YZ" has become a colorful euphimism for intolerant, bad mannered
> RRAP citizen.

euphimish? not sure that is the right word

Byword seems more on target,

Definitive example even better,

Yes Ia m quibbling

# 1357. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 15:46:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131896785.671164.226480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> Frankie of Silliland (FoS) wrote:
> > On 13 Nov 2005 01:27:41 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1131874061.380175.139130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > > >
> > > >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > > >> On 12 Nov 2005 04:25:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > >> <1131798335.223641.238430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> > > >
> > > >> > That scumbag could donate a million dollars to a "pro-code"
> > > >> >advocacy group and he'd STILL be a disgraced, less-than-honorably
> > > >> >discharged ex-Marine
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >> .....FORMER Marine.....
> > > >
> > > > EX Marine.
> > > >
> > > > Earned when they sent you home with two courts martials in your
> > > >SRB.
> > >
> > > For the last time, plural of 'court-martial' (a hyphenated word) is
> > > 'courts-martial', not 'courts martials' you illiterate boob.
> >
> > And for the last time, who cares?

obviously you do
>
> I don't have one, let alone two.
>
> I managed to do my 18 years in the ACTIVE United States Marine
> Corps HONORABLY, you disgraced coward.

prove it
>
> (Was that "literate" enough for you, Frankie...?!?!)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1358. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 15:54:15 UTC
From: "Jock." <real_classs_a@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <f8oen1t8jp1fp4ocgh4o7p46e4hr9dl5m6@4ax.com>
Source record: 123922
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 10:31:51 +0000, gadge <g4dge@linux.user> wrote:

>I'm not a cb'er, never was and never will be and ham radio still looks
>like cb to me! Why is that?

Delighted to hear it. "Ham" radio looks like CB because of the
number of CB-ers now infesting it.

>Rubbish. Some of us have passed electronics course/exams at BSC honours
>level....

Nothing to do with radio, amateur or otherwise.

--

73 de Jock.

Aircraft stay in the sky in much the same way
that bricks don't.

# 1359. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 15:57:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131897472.497671.115180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
cut to point near the chase

> > > Might be just the thing to offset your lying when you get to the Pearly
> > > Gates.
> >
> > I am sure you thought that meant something...but what?
>
> You see your assinine behavio[u]r as acceptable because it is in
> response to some perceived infraction of the rules or perceive some
> personal insult.
>
> St Peter doesn't accept the excuse, "Markie drove me to it!"

Gee Does Stevei even reconize St Peter as a good (former or dead these
days ) person after all he lied about the Son Of God himself

and to Stevie once a liar always a liar
cuting the rest

nice post Brain just made the points I wanted

and I will add as further proof of Stevie nutshood
"ere's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why
The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!"\

look at the title which is Stevies choice of words
I point out the phrase " The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!"

you know that has to be lie

If I am known to one tenth of one percent of the people of the US let
alone the world, I would be deeply shcocked to learn this

indeed the assine nature of this assertion by Stevie is one reason I
often leave this title alone

# 1360. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 16:00:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131897650.570741.126340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

d dee wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131794592.454981.317740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dana wrote:
> > > If he talks that way on the air, someone needs to wash his mouth out
> with
> > > soap, LOL.
> > >
> >
> > it is my understand that k4yz does talk that way on the air
>
> What freq does his group hang out on?

Sorry I can answer that my knowledge of k4yz's on air antics are from
having 6m metter contact with some of his victums/neightboors/target
who describe him on air during a GOOD 6m opening as a "Papa Oscar
Serria"

that is thelimit of my knowledge from any source I deem realaible about
Stevie on Air behavoir
cut

# 1361. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 16:04:42 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <pqednUFq4KIU-erenZ2dnUVZ_t6dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 123925

BEGIN QUOTE

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131896454.989530.59550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Dee Flint wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1131886495.639289.155160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > John Kasupski wrote:
> > > > On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:35:06 GMT, "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> > > > wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Comments to 05-235 attached.
> > > > >
> > > > >--
> > > > >73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > >
> > > > Very good, Hans.
> > >
> > > We're in agreement on the first part, anyway.
> > >
> > > But I doubt it will make any difference. Many of the 18 petitions
> > > included
> > > various changes to entry-level license privileges, including the very
> > > obvious one of giving Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges to all Techs. Yet
> > > FCC
> > > repeatedly denied all of them.
> > >
> > > In the NPRM, FCC makes it clear that their vision of the future looks
> > > like this:
> >
> > wrong again
>
> How is it wrong, Mark?

one so much your honesty

two,the rest I have adressed with jim
flush

END QUOTE
---
I must momentarily put aside my choice to not respond. You are attributing

Jim's statements as if I had written them. This is incorrect. You have cut all my statements. If you will READ Jim's post, you will find that the sentence with the single ">" is his. For whatever reason, some postings don't end up marked correctly and that is why I set my comments off with a LINE marking the difference between my comments and Jim's. Please be more careful with your attributions.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1362. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 16:09:56 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <4377649e.81947528@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 123926
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com> wrote:

> > who is ''US'' that you talk about ?
> >
> >
> > are you some sort of SHOP STEWARD or WHAT ?
>
> Bearing in mind the mis-spellings et al., perhaps Paul, before you resume
whaton earth are you on about ?

the other bloke used the term US **not me**

open your eyes please !!

> _____________ _____, you could enlighten us as to what right you have above
i sincerely hope for your sake that no such crime exists.

i also sincerely hope that you look in the mirror.

> the previous poster, to speak on behalf of all the participants here? A weak
> argument, based on a weak initial post methinks...
>
> Just being fair...
fair ?
FAIR !

bwwwwwwwwaaaaaaaaaaaaahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh

youre the one who publishes my name on here and yet REFUSES to do the same
with YOUR OWN NAME !

you also pub;lish a comment about automatics and 'jackeen ethnic
cleansing' and refuse to clarify if this is some threat !

## 1363. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 16:14:12 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43776650.82381970@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 123927
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

> just a form of elitist snobbery. Also trying to say anyone who doesnt want
> to learn morse is basically a "scumbag" shows Bigotry beyond contempt. Why

I said no such thing !

you have some correcting to do.

# 1364. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 16:14:24 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43776657.82388286@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 123928
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
> Walter Raleigh wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1131816097.135014.66360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Don't worry, it's just another example of the Doobalin illiterati returning
> > to the fold after a far too brief absence...
>
> sorry but some where in there info stopped being tranfered? who
> returning? if you would be so kind

ha ha ha..

yes wallyrally... start speaking with intelligence...
(not mention a spellchecker + decent keyboard)

## 1365. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 16:18:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131898702.028102.49320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123929

Dee Flint wrote:
> BEGIN QUOTE
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131896454.989530.59550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1131886495.639289.155160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > John Kasupski wrote:
> > > > > On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:35:06 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> > > > > wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >Comments to 05-235 attached.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >--
> > > > > >73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > >
> > > > > Very good, Hans.
> > > >
> > > > We're in agreement on the first part, anyway.
> > > >
> > > > But I doubt it will make any difference. Many of the 18 petitions
> > > > included
> > > > various changes to entry-level license privileges, including the very
> > > > obvious one of giving Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges to all Techs. Yet
> > > > FCC
> > > > repeatedly denied all of them.
> > > >
> > > > In the NPRM, FCC makes it clear that their vision of the future looks
> > > > like this:
> > >
> > > wrong again
> >
> > How is it wrong, Mark?
>
> one so much your honesty
>
> two,the rest I have adressed with jim
> flush
>
> END QUOTE

> ---------------------------------------------------------------------------
> I must momentarily put aside my choice to not respond.

no you don't have to you choose to do so as is your right but it makes
your stament you shun my posts etc a lie plain and simple

> You are attributing
> Jim's statements as if I had written them. This is incorrect. You have cut
> all my statements. If you will READ Jim's post, you will find that the
> sentence with the single ">" is his. For whatever reason, some postings
> don't end up marked correctly and that is why I set my comments off with a
> LINE marking the difference between my comments and Jim's.

If you are going to use a non standard sysem for attributions then you
NEED to make that clear, esp after your coments/demands/insistance that
I need to make that clear

> Please be more
> careful with your attributions.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1366. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 16:33:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131899605.026707.5580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123930

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

first effort at repling google got hunery
ithink

> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > k4yz said
> > > > > I am not stating a "proffesional" opinion
> > > >
> > > > Neither am I.
> > >
> > > stvei says to restore the context he does not render professional
> > > opinion on RRAP
> >
> > Read what YOU wrote then rad how I responded, you illiterate
> > idiot.
>
> "read"
>
> > It's right in front of you. YOU even quoted it, not once, but
> > TWICE.
> >
> > And if you don't understand what I am talking about, perhaps some
> > 4th grader could explain it to you.
> >
> > Idiot.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Like I said, Steve, it's not what Len or Mark or I have said...

he realy thinkis it is ok to scream Markie made me do it

>
> It's all about what >>>you<<< have said while claiming the professional
> capacity to say it.

amen

>
> For your sake, I hope that you really didn't make the phone calls.

or write the letter but I think he did
>
> I know that you said that you did, but did you really? Did you?

>
> Or were you just lying again?
>
> I figured you were just a lot of bluff and bluster when you said
> "dialing," and then later you said that you had made the call. Your
> usual bully role.

all I know is that when I was claed to follow up about some "outragous
complaint received by this deparment" complaint like those Stevie
claims to have made were made to the State of Michigan, by law I could
not be told the name of the author, but after some discussion it was
agreed I had a right to know te level of license he was claiming, and
that was "LPN"

I agree this is not proof that "Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN"
is the author of that letter but that is most likely explaination

>
> Should I check with the various County Health Service and Child Service
> agencies, the Sheriff? The Boy Scouts? Were you really that stupid to
> make such calls?

my advice says NO, don't call. You will not get much info even if esp
if Stevie has called (the exception may be the BSA or the Sheriff) but
I think (and going out on a limb) Stevie gaols is get you to make those
calls in the hope (on his part) that you will show yourself enough of a
paranoid that, to use the layman's express a "Butterflunet gets droped
on you"
>
> bb

# 1367. Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoys having men urinate on him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 16:46:17 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051113114617.724$aW_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Leland C. Scott wrote:
> > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:20051112092655.458$WC@newsreader.com...
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > >> news:20051112013949.761$lR@newsreader.com...
> > >> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > >> >>and frankly I find it amazing that
> > >> >> people on this group find it so acceptable.
> > >> >>
> > >> > Want some cheese, fuckstick?
> > >>
> > >> Is that what turns you on?
> > >>
> > >> Regards,
> > >> Leland C. Scott
> > >> KC8LDO
> > >>
> > > Al Banys was right about you.
> >
> > Call'em up and ask, you got the number, or are you too scared?
>
> all of these nuts s far are to cowardly to act they put on a very brave
> face behind their monitors
>
Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?

http://tinyurl.com/82gql
```

## 1368. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 17:01:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131898794.361555.190010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123932
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

zarPK wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > Walter Raleigh wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1131816097.135014.66360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > Don't worry, it's just another example of the Doobalin illiterati returning
> > > to the fold after a far too brief absence...
> > >
> > sorry but some where in there info stopped being tranfered? who
> > returning? if you would be so kind
>
> ha ha ha..
>
>
> yes wallyrally... start speaking with intelligence...
> (not mention a spellchecker + decent keyboard)

ah yes there I have it proof enough for me the poster name not be taken
seriously

## 1369. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 17:14:47 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43777267.85529956@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 123933
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:

> ah yes there I have it proof enough for me the poster name not be taken
> seriously

yes... wallyrally has been hiding in the shadows for over a year.

he/she/it refuses to identify himself when challenged to do so but
will publish the name and callsign of other(s) multiple times.

one wonders if he/she/it has some unfortunate status that is
best kept out of the way. a srarus that will explain his/her/its
attitude towards those who have the courage not to hide when
posting on this newsgroup.

he/she/it has criticised the spelling and grammar of other(s) but
conveniently ignores the historical catalogue of spelling mistakes
that he/she/it has made in the past !!

## 1370. Steveo carries on about something ot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 17:17:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131902268.802572.207320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Leland C. Scott wrote:
> > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20051112092655.458$WC@newsreader.com...
> > > > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > >> news:20051112013949.761$lR@newsreader.com...
> > > >> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > >> >>and frankly I find it amazing that
> > > >> >> people on this group find it so acceptable.
> > > >> >>
> > > >> > Want some cheese, fuckstick?
> > > >>
> > > >> Is that what turns you on?
> > > >>
> > > >> Regards,
> > > >> Leland C. Scott
> > > >> KC8LDO
> > > >>
> > > > Al Banys was right about you.
> > >
> > > Call'em up and ask, you got the number, or are you too scared?
> >
> > all of these nuts s far are to cowardly to act they put on a very brave
> > face behind their monitors
> >
> Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?

what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
>
> http://tinyurl.com/82gql

## 1371. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 17:19:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131902363.059822.305290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123935
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

zYYPK wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> > ah yes there I have it proof enough for me the poster name not be taken
> > seriously
>
> yes... wallyrally has been hiding in the shadows for over a year.

you are the poster being reffered to

and the charge is not of hiding but proof nothing you say need be taken
seriously

## 1372. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 17:31:10 UTC
From: Walter Raleigh <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xLKdf.18758$R5.1857@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 123936
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131902363.059822.305290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> you are the poster being reffered to
>
> and the charge is not of hiding but proof nothing you say need be taken
> seriously

Don't worry, z??pk has no problem with picking up the baton and defending
himself, usually by the wrong end unfortunately...

He loves being called Rumpelstiltskin (• G8OSN), another individual who
hated it when people referred to him by name.

--
73s de Walter R.

# 1373. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 18:53:36 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <2r2fn1h4aul0efmdjsoo99mfoeg4i00ndu@4ax.com>
Source record: 123938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 02:44:32 -0600, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>news:jhpdn1hpkfa0udufsc7q0pdn4kbq1clmsu@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:52:06 -0600, "DrDeath"
>> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>
>>>"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>news:dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net...
>>>> Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
>>>> of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>>>>
>>>>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>>>>
>>>>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>>>>
>>>> At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>>>> sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
>>>> rec.radio.cb regular.
>>>
>>>It proves you are a TROLL
>>
>> Erudition is trollish?
>
>No, your lack of ability to refrain from acting as the newsgroup professor
>and inability to follow the thread makes you a troll.
>
Mine? Did you lose track of who's who here? Or do you think that
anyone who disagrees with you is the same person? It was Lloyd's
erudition that was under your attack. (Those who attack their betters
merely lower themselves further.)

# 1374. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:06:41 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131908801.799519.50340@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123939

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> first effort at repling google got hunery
> ithink
>
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > k4yz said
> > > > > > I am not stating a "proffesional" opinion
> > > > >
> > > > > Neither am I.
> > > >
> > > > stvei says to restore the context he does not render professional
> > > > opinion on RRAP
> > >
> > > Read what YOU wrote then rad how I responded, you illiterate
> > > idiot.
> >
> > "read"
> >
> > > It's right in front of you. YOU even quoted it, not once, but
> > > TWICE.
> > >
> > > And if you don't understand what I am talking about, perhaps some
> > > 4th grader could explain it to you.
> > >
> > > Idiot.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Like I said, Steve, it's not what Len or Mark or I have said...
>
> he realy thinkis it is ok to scream Markie made me do it

"Flip Robeson" has flipped.

> > It's all about what >>>you<<< have said while claiming the professional
> > capacity to say it.
>
> amen
>
> > For your sake, I hope that you really didn't make the phone calls.
>
> or write the letter but I think he did

Do you really think Steve is that stoopid?

> > I know that you said that you did, but did you really? Did you?
> >
> > Or were you just lying again?
> >
> > I figured you were just a lot of bluff and bluster when you said
> > "dialing," and then later you said that you had made the call. Your
> > usual bully role.
>
> all I know is that when I was claed to follow up about some "outragous
> complaint received by this deparment" complaint like those Stevie
> claims to have made were made to the State of Michigan, by law I could
> not be told the name of the author, but after some discussion it was
> agreed I had a right to know te level of license he was claiming, and
> that was "LPN"

Anyone who reads his continual bragging knows that he claims to be an
LPN.

> I agree this is not proof that "Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN"
> is the author of that letter but that is most likely explaination

Could have been any of the anonymous folks that read and post here.
But if it actually had a Tennessee postal mark on it...

> > Should I check with the various County Health Service and Child Service
> > agencies, the Sheriff? The Boy Scouts? Were you really that stupid to
> > make such calls?
>
> my advice says NO, don't call. You will not get much info even if esp
> if Stevie has called (the exception may be the BSA or the Sheriff) but
> I think (and going out on a limb) Stevie gaols is get you to make those
> calls in the hope (on his part) that you will show yourself enough of a
> paranoid that, to use the layman's express a "Butterflunet gets droped
> on you"
> >
> > bb

I figured as much when he was making his medical diagnoses and claims
of "dialing..." lies.

He'd sit back and laugh when I reported back that they hadn't received
any such call.

At the very least, this is yet more proof that Steve is a liar.

# 1375. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:11:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131909111.624173.327190@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123940

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > first effort at repling google got hunery
> > ithink
> >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > k4yz said
> > > > > > > I am not stating a "proffesional" opinion
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Neither am I.
> > > > > >
> > > > > stvei says to restore the context he does not render professional
> > > > > opinion on RRAP
> > > > >
> > > > Read what YOU wrote then rad how I responded, you illiterate
> > > > idiot.
> > > >
> > > "read"
> > >
> > > > It's right in front of you. YOU even quoted it, not once, but
> > > > TWICE.
> > > >
> > > > And if you don't understand what I am talking about, perhaps some
> > > > 4th grader could explain it to you.
> > > >
> > > > Idiot.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Like I said, Steve, it's not what Len or Mark or I have said...
> >
> > he realy thinkis it is ok to scream Markie made me do it
>
> "Flip Robeson" has flipped.
>
> > > It's all about what >>>you<<< have said while claiming the professional
> > > capacity to say it.
> >
> > amen
> >
> > > For your sake, I hope that you really didn't make the phone calls.

> >
> > or write the letter but I think he did
>
> Do you really think Steve is that stoopid?
>
> > > I know that you said that you did, but did you really? Did you?
> > >
> > > Or were you just lying again?
> > >
> > > I figured you were just a lot of bluff and bluster when you said
> > > "dialing," and then later you said that you had made the call. Your
> > > usual bully role.
> >
> > all I know is that when I was claed to follow up about some "outragous
> > complaint received by this deparment" complaint like those Stevie
> > claims to have made were made to the State of Michigan, by law I could
> > not be told the name of the author, but after some discussion it was
> > agreed I had a right to know te level of license he was claiming, and
> > that was "LPN"
>
> Anyone who reads his continual bragging knows that he claims to be an
> LPN.
>
> > I agree this is not proof that "Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN"
> > is the author of that letter but that is most likely explaination
>
> Could have been any of the anonymous folks that read and post here.
> But if it actually had a Tennessee postal mark on it...
>
> > > Should I check with the various County Health Service and Child Service
> > > agencies, the Sheriff? The Boy Scouts? Were you really that stupid to
> > > make such calls?
> >
> > my advice says NO, don't call. You will not get much info even if esp
> > if Stevie has called (the exception may be the BSA or the Sheriff) but
> > I think (and going out on a limb) Stevie gaols is get you to make those
> > calls in the hope (on his part) that you will show yourself enough of a
> > paranoid that, to use the layman's express a "Butterflunet gets droped
> > on you"
> > >
> > > bb
>
> I figured as much when he was making his medical diagnoses and claims
> of "dialing..." lies.
>
> He'd sit back and laugh when I reported back that they hadn't received
> any such call.
>
> At the very least, this is yet more proof that Steve is a liar.

And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no. They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.

Wonder how Mike is doing with his BBS? Wonder if it went the way of his high-altitude balloon project?

# 1376. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:14:16 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nf447tg8cgp8a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:2r2fn1h4aul0efmdjsoo99mfoeg4i00ndu@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 02:44:32 -0600, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
>>news:jhpdn1hpkfa0udufsc7q0pdn4kbq1clmsu@4ax.com...
>>> On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:52:06 -0600, "DrDeath"
>>> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>>>
>>>>"Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>>>>news:dr.death.is.a.loser@tarrnews.net...
>>>>> Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
>>>>> of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>>>>>
>>>>>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>>>>>
>>>>>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>>>>>
>>>>> At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>>>>> sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
>>>>> rec.radio.cb regular.
>>>>
>>>>It proves you are a TROLL
>>>
>>> Erudition is trollish?
>>
>>No, your lack of ability to refrain from acting as the newsgroup professor
>>and inability to follow the thread makes you a troll.
>>
> Mine? Did you lose track of who's who here? Or do you think that
> anyone who disagrees with you is the same person? It was Lloyd's
> erudition that was under your attack. (Those who attack their betters
> merely lower themselves further.)

I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.

# 1377. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:24:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131909843.436528.43660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123942

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > first effort at repling google got hunery
> > ithink
> >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > k4yz said
> > > > > > > I am not stating a "proffesional" opinion
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Neither am I.
> > > > > >
> > > > > stvei says to restore the context he does not render professional
> > > > > opinion on RRAP
> > > > >
> > > > Read what YOU wrote then rad how I responded, you illiterate
> > > > idiot.
> > > >
> > > "read"
> > >
> > > > It's right in front of you. YOU even quoted it, not once, but
> > > > TWICE.
> > > >
> > > > And if you don't understand what I am talking about, perhaps some
> > > > 4th grader could explain it to you.
> > > >
> > > > Idiot.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Like I said, Steve, it's not what Len or Mark or I have said...
> >
> > he realy thinkis it is ok to scream Markie made me do it
>
> "Flip Robeson" has flipped.

sure looks like it
>
> > > It's all about what >>>you<<< have said while claiming the professional
> > > capacity to say it.
> >
> > amen

> >
> > > For your sake, I hope that you really didn't make the phone calls.
> >
> > or write the letter but I think he did
>
> Do you really think Steve is that stoopid?

yes I do
>
> > > I know that you said that you did, but did you really? Did you?
> > >
> > > Or were you just lying again?
> > >
> > > I figured you were just a lot of bluff and bluster when you said
> > > "dialing," and then later you said that you had made the call. Your
> > > usual bully role.
> >
> > all I know is that when I was claed to follow up about some "outragous
> > complaint received by this deparment" complaint like those Stevie
> > claims to have made were made to the State of Michigan, by law I could
> > not be told the name of the author, but after some discussion it was
> > agreed I had a right to know te level of license he was claiming, and
> > that was "LPN"
>
> Anyone who reads his continual bragging knows that he claims to be an
> LPN.

yep and the BoN databse does confirm it
>
> > I agree this is not proof that "Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN"
> > is the author of that letter but that is most likely explaination
>
> Could have been any of the anonymous folks that read and post here.

Indeed it could have been or even I suppose some other LPN but....

> But if it actually had a Tennessee postal mark on it...

that I don't know
>
> > > Should I check with the various County Health Service and Child Service
> > > agencies, the Sheriff? The Boy Scouts? Were you really that stupid to
> > > make such calls?
> >
> > my advice says NO, don't call. You will not get much info even if esp
> > if Stevie has called (the exception may be the BSA or the Sheriff) but
> > I think (and going out on a limb) Stevie gaols is get you to make those
> > calls in the hope (on his part) that you will show yourself enough of a
> > paranoid that, to use the layman's express a "Butterflunet gets droped
> > on you"
> > >
> > > bb

>
> I figured as much when he was making his medical diagnoses and claims
> of "dialing..." lies.
>
> He'd sit back and laugh when I reported back that they hadn't received
> any such call.

and heckle
>
> At the very least, this is yet more proof that Steve is a liar.

# 1378. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:25:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131909910.563042.159180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123943

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut
> > He'd sit back and laugh when I reported back that they hadn't received
> > any such call.
> >
> > At the very least, this is yet more proof that Steve is a liar.
>
> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.

esp Dave who it sems is his friend
>
> Wonder how Mike is doing with his BBS? Wonder if it went the way of
> his high-altitude balloon project?

very likely
and expect Dan back pretty soon

# 1379. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:26:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131910003.818405.312580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

DrDeath wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:2r2fn1h4aul0efmdjsoo99mfoeg4i00ndu@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 02:44:32 -0600, "DrDeath"
> > <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
cut
> > Mine? Did you lose track of who's who here? Or do you think that
> > anyone who disagrees with you is the same person? It was Lloyd's
> > erudition that was under your attack. (Those who attack their betters
> > merely lower themselves further.)
>
> I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.

Good for you Sir

I salute you for this couargous act

# 1380. Re: Steveo carries on about something ot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:29:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131910149.074971.95610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Leland C. Scott wrote:
> > > > "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20051112092655.458$WC@newsreader.com...
> > > > > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > >> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > >> news:20051112013949.761$lR@newsreader.com...
> > > > >> > "Leland C. Scott" <kc8ldo@arrl.net> wrote:
> > > > >> >>and frankly I find it amazing that
> > > > >> >> people on this group find it so acceptable.
> > > > >> >>
> > > > >> > Want some cheese, fuckstick?
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Is that what turns you on?
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Regards,
> > > > >> Leland C. Scott
> > > > >> KC8LDO
> > > > >>
> > > > > Al Banys was right about you.
> > > >
> > > > Call'em up and ask, you got the number, or are you too scared?
> > >
> > > all of these nuts s far are to cowardly to act they put on a very brave
> > > face behind their monitors
> > >
> > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
>
> what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?

Setvoe has posted and not responded I guess iy means it has nothing to
do with radio which a good thing to since it hasn't got anything to do
radio
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/82gql

## 1381. Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoys having men urinate on him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:45:18 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051113144518.275$En_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
>
> what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
>
Don't avoid the question. Why do you like having men piss on you?

# 1382. Re: stevie admits to lying to NG for the last 3 months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:48:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131911308.944035.162350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123947

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > I am not lying about the health status of various persons in an offical
> > > > > report
> > > >
> > > > I haven't reported on the "health" status of anyone.
> > > >
> > > > Have you SEEN such a "report"...?!?!
> > >
> > > meaning that Stevie has lied in claiming he has
> > >
> > Nope.
> >
> yes you have
> >
> > I asked you "...Have you SEEN such a "report"...?!?!"
> >
> no I have only your word it exists
> >
> > WHERE was anything about HEALTH addressed?
> >
> you claim there was you claimed to be reporting about my mental health

Mark, you must be hitting pretty close to home. Notice how Steve
starts to narrow his claims in such a way that even though he might
have made the call or written the letter, he did so without invoking
his medical license or making a medical diagnosis. His stupid actions
were merely as a "concerned private citizen." Didn't use medical
letterhead, didn't use his employers phone, computer or stamp. Didn't
do it on duty time.

Hi!

Yet it was signed "Xxxxx Xxxxxxxx, LPN" Ouch!

This is the part where the Titanic Sparkie starts sending
"...OSOSOSOSO..." and Jim and Dave and Mike watch their favorite
antagonist take a gulp of icy sea water. It all could have been
prevented with a little "freindly" intervention. But no.

# 1383. an old friend" kb9rqz likes man piss on his face

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:49:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051113144956.862$Ey_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> >
> > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
>
> Setvoe has posted and not responded I guess iy means it has nothing to
> do with radio which a good thing to since it hasn't got anything to do
> radio
> > >
> > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
>
Hey hypocrite, you post OT all of the time.

Now, why do you like man piss in your face?

# 1384. Re: Markie: Say CHEESE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:55:51 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051113145551.593$TT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123949

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> DrDeath wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1131800871.526624.170300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > >
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > cut
> > >> > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
> > >>
> > >> I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
> > >> the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.
> > >
> > > that would be crime you have no legal right to post any image of ME
> > > without my consent
> > > cut
> > >
> > Wrong! It is legal.
>
> Don't confuse Markie...His "paralegal" wife (or whatever he calls
> him these days...) is "counselling" him otherwise! Can't tell Mrs
> Markie he's wrong!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
Send me his pic. I'll make a website about him.

(my email is valid)

## 1385. When will Dan, W4NTI return to the asylum?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 19:56:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131911790.513641.264920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123950

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

> > Wonder how Mike is doing with his BBS? Wonder if it went the way of
> > his high-altitude balloon project?
>
> very likely
> and expect Dan back pretty soon

We can start a pool.

When do you think Dan will return?

bb: 14 Nov 2005

Next?

# 1386. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 20:27:54 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dl87k7$rkp$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123951
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"zarPK"
<class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net> wrote in message news:43776650.82381970@news.iol.ie...
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> > just a form of elitist snobbery. Also trying to say anyone who doesnt want
> > to learn morse is basically a "scumbag" shows Bigotry beyond contempt. Why
>
> I said no such thing !
>
> you have some correcting to do.

That comment was meant for the posters who say people on Amateur Bands who do not wish to involve themselves with morse are lowly class CBers who seem to live in trailor parks and live off welfare and care nothing (scumbags in other words) It wasnt aimed directly at you it was put in as an "Also" to those who try to put that image over. Maybe I should have made it a bit clearer.

Regards,
Graham

# 1387. Re: an old friend" kb9rqz likes man piss on his face

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 20:29:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131913776.244838.8390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> > > >
> > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > >
> > Setvoe has posted and not responded I guess iy means it has nothing to
> > do with radio which a good thing to since it hasn't got anything to do
> > radio
> > > > >
> > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > >
> Hey hypocrite, you post OT all of the time.
>
> Now, why do you like man piss in your face?

i said I would answer when you did not before

coward

# 1388. Re: Markie: Say CHEESE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 20:31:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131913916.577022.205580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123953

```
Steveo wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > DrDeath wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1131800871.526624.170300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > >
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > cut
> > > >> > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
> > > >>
> > > >> I am considering some other photos in the near future...Pics of
> > > >> the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.
> > > >
> > > > that would be crime you have no legal right to post any image of ME
> > > > without my consent
> > > > cut
> > > >
> > > Wrong! It is legal.
> >
> > Don't confuse Markie...His "paralegal" wife (or whatever he calls
> > him these days...) is "counselling" him otherwise! Can't tell Mrs
> > Markie he's wrong!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Send me his pic. I'll make a website about him.
>
> (my email is valid)

conspicracy now rack em em up if you like like
```

# 1389. Re: an old friend" kb9rqz likes man piss on his face

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 20:40:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051113154010.636$b3@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> > > >
> > > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > >
> > > Setvoe has posted and not responded I guess iy means it has nothing
> > > to do with radio which a good thing to since it hasn't got anything
> > > to do radio
> > > > >
> > > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > >
> > Hey hypocrite, you post OT all of the time.
> >
> > Now, why do you like man piss in your face?
>
> i said I would answer when you did not before
>
> coward
>
You're the only guy I've seen that hopes for a man to spank him and piss on
him for a birthday presnt, you sick bastard.

http://tinyurl.com/82gql
```

## 1390. Re: Markie: Say CHEESE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 20:42:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051113154227.680$cg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123955

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > DrDeath wrote:
> > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1131800871.526624.170300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > cut
> > > > >> > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> I am considering some other photos in the near
> > > > >> future...Pics of the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.
> > > > >
> > > > > that would be crime you have no legal right to post any image of
> > > > > ME without my consent
> > > > > cut
> > > > >
> > > > Wrong! It is legal.
> > > >
> > > Don't confuse Markie...His "paralegal" wife (or whatever he
> > > calls him these days...) is "counselling" him otherwise! Can't tell
> > > Mrs Markie he's wrong!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > Send me his pic. I'll make a website about him.
> >
> > (my email is valid)
>
> conspicracy now rack em em up if you like like
>
I like like. Going to take me do court too, you demented bastard?

http://tinyurl.com/82gql

# 1391. Re: stevoe or is it Stevoe behind a FAKE NAME ranting some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 21:05:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131915917.715042.131850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> > > > > >
> > > > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > > > >
> > > > Setvoe has posted and not responded I guess iy means it has nothing
> > > > to do with radio which a good thing to since it hasn't got anything
> > > > to do radio
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > > > >
> > > Hey hypocrite, you post OT all of the time.
> > >
> > Now, why do you like man piss in your face?
> >
> > i said I would answer when you did not before
> >
> > coward
> >
> You're the only guy I've seen that hopes for a man to spank him and piss on
> him for a birthday presnt, you sick bastard.

well you have a limited aquantanceship or more likely you might be
realy suprised if you knew
>
> http://tinyurl.com/82gql

# 1392. Re: Markie: Say CHEESE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 21:07:30 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131916050.888497.139330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123957

Steveo wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > DrDeath wrote:
> > > > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1131800871.526624.170300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > cut
> > > > > >> > The photo on QRZ looks like a peek-a-poo.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> I am considering some other photos in the near
> > > > > >> future...Pics of the Feeble Five. THAT will be interesting.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > that would be crime you have no legal right to post any image of
> > > > > > ME without my consent
> > > > > > cut
> > > > > >
> > > > > Wrong! It is legal.
> > > > >
> > > > Don't confuse Markie...His "paralegal" wife (or whatever he
> > > > calls him these days...) is "counselling" him otherwise! Can't tell
> > > > Mrs Markie he's wrong!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > Send me his pic. I'll make a website about him.
> > >
> > > (my email is valid)
> >
> > conspicracy now rack em em up if you like like
> >
> I like like. Going to take me do court too, you demented bastard?
what TOO you talking about?

>
> http://tinyurl.com/82gql

# 1393. Re: stevie admits to lying to NG for the last 3 months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 21:20:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131916814.343397.108500@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123958

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > I am not lying about the health status of various persons in an offical
> > > > > > report
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I haven't reported on the "health" status of anyone.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Have you SEEN such a "report"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > meaning that Stevie has lied in claiming he has
> > > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > yes you have
> > >
> > > I asked you "...Have you SEEN such a "report"...?!?!"
> >
> > no I have only your word it exists
> > >
> > > WHERE was anything about HEALTH addressed?
> >
> > you claim there was you claimed to be reporting about my mental health
>
> Mark, you must be hitting pretty close to home.
I know I know

> Notice how Steve
> starts to narrow his claims in such a way that even though he might
> have made the call or written the letter, he did so without invoking
> his medical license or making a medical diagnosis.
too late though

> His stupid actions
> were merely as a "concerned private citizen." Didn't use medical
> letterhead, didn't use his employers phone, computer or stamp. Didn't
> do it on duty time.

it is tto late I have amde the call Tb BoN has sent me the form

The problems have been deciding what of stevie's suff to send

It is an interesting tactic I suppose trying to stop me from acting by
buries me in eveidence

Stegie at this point has 2 prayers, the BoN does not consider the Cyber world at all real and that the BoN does not mind him carring stuff from the Cyber to offline realms

> Hi!
>
> Yet it was signed "Xxxxx Xxxxxxxx, LPN" Ouch!
>
> This is the part where the Titanic Sparkie starts sending
> "...OSOSOSOSO..." and Jim and Dave and Mike watch their favorite
> antagonist take a gulp of icy sea water. It all could have been
> prevented with a little "freindly" intervention. But no.

# 1394. Re: stevoe or is it Stevie behind a FAKE NAME ranting some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 21:33:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131917583.175011.84120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Steveo wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> >
> > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > >
> > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> >
> Don't avoid the question. Why do you like having men piss on you?

i'll answer after you do

# 1395. Re: stevoe or is it Stevie behind a FAKE NAME ranting some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 21:38:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051113163854.082$El@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> > >
> > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > > >
> > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > >
> > Don't avoid the question. Why do you like having men piss on you?
>
> i'll answer after you do
>
Why did markie morgan jerk off into a paper bag and hand it to his lover?

He was packing him a lunch.

# 1396. Re: stevoe or is it Stevoe behind a FAKE NAME ranting some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 21:46:50 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051113164650.842$cg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123961

```
"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Setvoe has posted and not responded I guess iy means it has
> > > > > > nothing to do with radio which a good thing to since it hasn't
> > > > > > got anything to do radio
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > > > > >
> > > > > Hey hypocrite, you post OT all of the time.
> > > > >
> > > > Now, why do you like man piss in your face?
> > > >
> > > i said I would answer when you did not before
> > >
> > > coward
> > >
> > You're the only guy I've seen that hopes for a man to spank him and
> > piss on him for a birthday presnt, you sick bastard.
>
> well you have a limited aquantanceship or more likely you might be
> realy suprised if you knew
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
>
I don't hang around with demented piss queens like you.
```

## 1397. Re: stevie admits to lying to NG for the last 3 months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 21:48:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131918539.666866.315550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123962

oopp my mistakes he also has to hope they over look his effort to
threaten me into not reporting him as witness tampering

# 1398. Re: stevoe or is it Stevoe behind a FAKE NAME ranting some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 21:57:13 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131919033.103489.163490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123963

Steveo wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Setvoe has posted and not responded I guess iy means it has
> > > > > > nothing to do with radio which a good thing to since it hasn't
> > > > > > got anything to do radio
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > > > > > >
> > > > > Hey hypocrite, you post OT all of the time.
> > > > > >
> > > > Now, why do you like man piss in your face?
> > > > >
> > > i said I would answer when you did not before
> > > >
> > > coward
> > > >
> > You're the only guy I've seen that hopes for a man to spank him and
> > piss on him for a birthday presnt, you sick bastard.
> >
> well you have a limited aquantanceship or more likely you might be
> realy suprised if you knew
> >
> > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> >
> I don't hang around with demented piss queens like you.

you never know what folks do behind closed doors

not that it is any or your bussness

## 1399. Re: When will Dan, W4NTI return to the asylum?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 21:58:07 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131919087.400892.18780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123964

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > Wonder how Mike is doing with his BBS? Wonder if it went the way of
> > > his high-altitude balloon project?
> >
> > very likely
> > and expect Dan back pretty soon
>
> We can start a pool.
>
> When do you think Dan will return?
>
> bb: 14 Nov 2005
>
> Next?

Mark too soon

## 1400. first Gross task done

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 22:11:31 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131919891.448547.212130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123965

144 pages of stevies gems have been assembled to represent Stevie's
record here on RRAP

it is an amussing happenstance that the totla number of pages in the
selects posts totaled 144, one gross as it is known

Now to finsih a cover letter to go with it

# 1401. Re: first Gross task done

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 22:17:27 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131920247.166586.86100@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123966

an_old_friend wrote:
> 144 pages of stevies gems have been assembled to represent Stevie's
> record here on RRAP
>
> it is an amussing happenstance that the totla number of pages in the
> selects posts totaled 144, one gross as it is known
>
> Now to finsih a cover letter to go with it

Are you sure you want to do this? Even if you're right, he'll sue.

# 1402. Re: first Gross task done

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 22:20:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1131920418.695087.27350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123967

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > 144 pages of stevies gems have been assembled to represent Stevie's
> > record here on RRAP
> >
> > it is an amussing happenstance that the totla number of pages in the
> > selects posts totaled 144, one gross as it is known
> >
> > Now to finsih a cover letter to go with it
>
> Are you sure you want to do this?

yes

> Even if you're right, he'll sue.

he can try, the cost to me should be about 750 dollors but I would also
pay for most likely suing him for his obvious slanders

My wife tell to risk it after reading just how nuts stevie is

# 1403. Re: first Gross task done markie gets pissed on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 22:27:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051113172705.435$8f_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123968

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > 144 pages of stevies gems have been assembled to represent Stevie's
> > > record here on RRAP
> > >
> > > it is an amussing happenstance that the totla number of pages in the
> > > selects posts totaled 144, one gross as it is known
> > >
> > > Now to finsih a cover letter to go with it
> >
> > Are you sure you want to do this?
>
> yes
>
> > Even if you're right, he'll sue.
>
> he can try, the cost to me should be about 750 dollors but I would also
> pay for most likely suing him for his obvious slanders
>
> My wife tell to risk it after reading just how nuts stevie is
>
Did you show your "wife" this? lol

http://tinyurl.com/82gql

# 1404. Re: first Gross task done

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 22:36:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131921365.196948.293750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123969

Steveo wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > 144 pages of stevies gems have been assembled to represent Stevie's
> > > > record here on RRAP
> > > >
> > > > it is an amussing happenstance that the totla number of pages in the
> > > > selects posts totaled 144, one gross as it is known
> > > >
> > > > Now to finsih a cover letter to go with it
> > >
> > > Are you sure you want to do this?
> >
> > yes
> >
> > > Even if you're right, he'll sue.
> >
> > he can try, the cost to me should be about 750 dollors but I would also
> > pay for most likely suing him for his obvious slanders
> >
> > My wife tell to risk it after reading just how nuts stevie is
> >
> Did you show your "wife" this? lol

yes
>
> http://tinyurl.com/82gql

# 1405. Re: stevoe or is it Stevie behind a FAKE NAME ranting some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 22:38:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131921521.482720.15600@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Steveo wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> > > >
> > > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > > > >
> > > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > > >
> > > Don't avoid the question. Why do you like having men piss on you?
> > >
> > i'll answer after you do
> >
cuting sexual rant

coward

# 1406. Re: stevoe or is it Stevie behind a FAKE NAME ranting some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 22:40:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051113174030.419$Wx@newsreader.com>
Source record: 123971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > > > > >
> > > > Don't avoid the question. Why do you like having men piss on you?
> > > >
> > > i'll answer after you do
> > >
> cuting sexual rant
>
> coward
>
Piss queen, blood licking faggot.
```

# 1407. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 23:28:46 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <6d655$4377cc20$4e0cf2e$28708@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 123972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"an_old_fiend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131887024.763755.230270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dana wrote:
>> He can bust cber's also for opperating out of band, so be carefull.
>>
> puling the atributes to insure everyone seeing the dates
>
>
> On Wed, 26 Oct 2005, Vinnie S. wrote:
>
> On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> so Dana goes her (or is it his) way trolling along spewing hate and
> trying to become a replacement for Stevie as poster person what is a
> bad extra class ham
>> >
>> >
>> >> If Hollingsworth
>> >
>> > Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!
>> >
>> > Vinnie S.
>> >
>
Don't be jealous, upgrade your license.
Ace - WH2T

# 1408. Markie Paranoia Strikes Deep...See's Steve Behind Every Anonnie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 23:40:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131925222.778783.39230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old friend wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick ####?
> > > > >
> > > > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > > > >
> > > > Don't avoid the question. Why do you like having men piss on you?
> > >
> > > i'll answer after you do
> > >
> > cuting sexual rant
> >
> coward

Looks like he's got ya bottled up, Markie.

And no, "Steveo" is NOT me....But it's pretty obvious he's got
your number...As if THAT was hard to do...

Steve, K4YZ

# 1409. Re: LEGAL UPDATE FROM THE AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO ASSOCIATION (AARA) AND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 23:41:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131925305.791872.16480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Dr.Ace wrote:
> "an_old_fiend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131887024.763755.230270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dana wrote:
> >> He can bust cber's also for opperating out of band, so be carefull.
> >>
> > puling the atributes to insure everyone seeing the dates
> >
> >
> > On Wed, 26 Oct 2005, Vinnie S. wrote:
> >
> > On 26 Oct 2005 13:34:04 -0700, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> > so Dana goes her (or is it his) way trolling along spewing hate and
> > trying to become a replacement for Stevie as poster person what is a
> > bad extra class ham
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >> If Hollingsworth
> >> >
> >> > Hey, that guy sounds familiar !!!!!!
> >> >
> >> > Vinnie S.
> >> >
> >
> Don't be jealous, upgrade your license.

I will estimated date of extra class licensure 1APR 2006, in any event
the first possible uner the R&O
> Ace - WH2T

# 1410. Re: stevoe or is it Stevie behind a FAKE NAME ranting some more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 23:42:09 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131925329.584815.189480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Steveo wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Don't avoid the question. Why do you like having men piss on you?
> > > > > >
> > > > > i'll answer after you do
> > > > >
> > > cuting sexual rant
> > >
> > coward
> >
> Piss queen, blood licking faggot.

poor little bigot
```

# 1411. Re: first Gross task done

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 23:43:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131925416.719924.261230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123976

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > 144 pages of stevies gems have been assembled to represent Stevie's
> > record here on RRAP
> >
> > it is an amussing happenstance that the totla number of pages in the
> > selects posts totaled 144, one gross as it is known
> >
> > Now to finsih a cover letter to go with it
>
> Are you sure you want to do this? Even if you're right, he'll sue.

I'll bring suit after the hearing is done. That will be when we
can add in the BoN's findings of "malicious intent" and that will be
all I need to clear Markie's bankroll for some time to come.

No more $5.00 ISP's for Markie!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1412. Re: Markie Paranoia Strikes Deep... ah Stevie why did you have go and make ANOTHER postI need to include to the nurseing brard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 23:46:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131925610.787839.271680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick ####?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Don't avoid the question. Why do you like having men piss on you?
> > > > >
> > > > i'll answer after you do
> > > > >
> > > cuting sexual rant
> > >
> > coward
> >
> Looks like he's got ya bottled up, Markie.

really how so
>
> And no, "Steveo" is NOT me.
maybe he isn't but Ill not take your word on it

>...But it's pretty obvious he's got
> your number.
how so?

he going on about something I have admited to years ago.

I am completely at ease with my sexuality but there is a time and a
place for the discusion USENET povides for gruops devoted to the
subject

but how I have sex is not realted to radio

I try to stay on topic

unlike you you and Steveo

indeed I have either of you (or should i put that in the singular? who knows) post anything on topic in several days
>..As if THAT was hard to do...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1413. Re: stevie admits to lying to NG for the last 3 months

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 23:48:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131925711.745418.277860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123978

stevie si recovering his nerve did you catch "Markie Paranoia Strikes
Deep...See's Steve Behind Every Anonnie " so much for him being careful

# 1414. Re: first Gross task done

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-13 23:51:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131925919.000753.13730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123979

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > 144 pages of stevies gems have been assembled to represent Stevie's
> > > record here on RRAP
> > >
> > > it is an amussing happenstance that the totla number of pages in the
> > > selects posts totaled 144, one gross as it is known
> > >
> > > Now to finsih a cover letter to go with it
> >
> > Are you sure you want to do this? Even if you're right, he'll sue.
>
> I'll bring suit after the hearing is done.

more threats

>That will be when we
> can add in the BoN's findings of "malicious intent" and that will be
> all I need to clear Markie's bankroll for some time to come.

wrong again what you will need is a case. Go read the BoN website

if they have a hearing at all it will be the TN BoN V Robeson not Mark
Morgan v Robeson

any damahe you suffer would be at the hands of the BoN which likely is
covered by Soverign Inmunity you'd lack a case

also hold your breath wiating for the hearing according the BoN it
would be months away
>
> No more $5.00 ISP's for Markie!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1415. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoys having men urinate on him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 00:11:54 UTC
From: "Wayne P. Muckleroy" <wmuckleroy2@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eDQdf.96154$Hs.86725@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 123980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

What a pissy thing to say about a guy.

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051113144518.275$En_-_@newsreader.com...
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> Steveo wrote:
>> > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
>>
>> what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
>> >
>> > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
>>
> Don't avoid the question. Why do you like having men piss on you?

# 1416. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 00:32:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131928330.067590.207050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123981
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Wayne P. Muckleroy wrote:
> What a pissy thing to say about a guy.

what I find funny is that the old post he is talking solicticts such an
encountere with a FEMALE not a man
>
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051113144518.275$En_-_@newsreader.com...
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> Steveo wrote:
> >> > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> >>
> >> what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> >> >
> >> > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> >>
> > Don't avoid the question. Why do you like having men piss on you?

# 1417. Re: Frankie of Silliland ("FoS" for Short and for a good reason!) Continues to Humiliate Himself In Public.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 01:25:09 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pdpfn1hjs1hntlfcqtlgvbid8dp06g9kco@4ax.com>
Source record: 123982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 13 Nov 2005 01:25:41 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1131873940.969035.25320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> Dudly's post didn't show up on my news server so I'll reply to both in
>> this post.
>>
>> On 12 Nov 2005 04:29:46 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>> <1131798586.573684.53970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >> A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
>> >> away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
>> >> warship.
>>
>> Wrong. What you describe is called a "float". But you didn't know
>> that....(SNIP)
>
> Sorry, Frankie.
>
> Perhaps >>IF<< you'd done MORE than one HITCH you'd have had a bit
>more experience from which to draw on.
>
> They ARE called "cruises". Yes, they are ALSO called "floats".

I have a float jacket hanging in my closet, not a 'cruise jacket'. I
got it while I was on a Med float with the Sixth Fleet. That's 'Med'
as in Mediterranian, not Medical. Go to Australia or Japan and you are
on a 'West-Pac float', as in West Pacific. Etc. It's never referred to
as a "cruise" because a cruise is a term of enlistment, as I said
before.

>> Your pile of dirt grows larger by the day, Dudly.
>
> The dirt is yours, Frankie.
>
> Too bad so mcuh of your ONE enlsitment was spent tied up in the
>Judge Advocate Corps.

Bad time is tacked onto the end of the enlistment. No enlistment time
is "tied up". But after 18 years on active duty you knew that already,
didn't you?

> And too bad you didn't put as much effort into being the Marine
>you SHOULD have been then as you perceive yourself now.

If you had been active duty then you would know the difference between
a 'cruise' and a 'float'.

>> <snip>
>> >> And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
>> >> between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
>> >> group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.
>>
>> Which just happens to have the common characteristic of being opposed
>> to the Morse code requirement, which is why you were arguing with them
>> in the first place. The only thing "feeble" around here is your logic.
>
> Nope.
>
> What's "feeble" are your attempts to salve your twice
>court-martialed ego.
>
> Ya shudda sucked-it-up and been the Marine you THINK you are now...

Got a pic of -your- float jacket, Dud?

>> >> You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
>> >> There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue
>> >> that would even remotely interest or encourage me.
>>
>> True story. You didn't even blink when I mentioned the VINSON system.
>
> "VINSON", other than a United States aircraft carrier was NOT a
>"system" in ANY avionics system that was in service in ANY USMC
>aircraft while I was on active duty.

I'll let Len educate you about the KY-58.

>> >Especially after exposing you as a fake.
>> >
>> >> > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
>> >> > bidding?
>> >>
>> >> There's nothing paranoid about it.
>> >
>> >I think my use of the phrase, "Stolen Valor" is a frequent search term
>> >for those that seek out military fakes. Once it is used, those that
>> >defend the real vets get on it real quick.
>>
>> The only person that praises Dudly for his "valor" is Dudly. It's not
>> stolen -- it's imagined.
>
> Guess it's a good thing I NEVER "claimed" any valor.

Then what were those "seven hostile actions"?

> And any
>"stolen" valor in THIS forum is Lennie's tour under the Bear and
>Markie's tous as a drafted gay pagan colonel in the Army Chemical
>Corps...Oh, and Frankie's misconception that his "service" was
>"honorable"

"tours"

> It wasn't then...It isn't now.

The Corps had a different opinion. Too bad you can't say the same,
since they don't give honorable discharges for medical "retirements".

>> >> Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
>> >> etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
>> >> right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.
>> >
>> >Just a case of bad attribution. But he got on your pretty fast, didn't
>> >he?
>>
>> Dudly frequently brings up that issue (and a few others) only to
>> divert attention away from the facts. Just ignore it like I do.
>
> You "need" to ignore it since it highlights your foolishness...Not
>that it takes a lot to do that.

See? Another diversion.

>> >> Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
>> >> posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.
>> >
>> >Where?
>>
>> He posted the dates. In his mind that's Prima Facie.
>
> I guess actually LOOKING at it is above you...Of course looking at
>it would also disprove you...Can't have that, can we?

Like I said in the other post (and you snipped in your reply): Post
any verifiable proof that you served your 18 years on active duty and
I'll leave this group permanently.

Well?

>> >> BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
>> >> yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....
>> >
>> >Again, you're prone to lying.
>>
>> And again, there's no way to verify his story.
>
> Then we have Frankie of Silliland in yet ANOTHER lie...
>
> Frankie INSISTED that he had ample resources with which to "verify"

>any claims made,

I did? Where?

> including an infered personal relationship with LtCol
>Oliver North.

"inferred"..... actually, it should be "implied". But I implied
nothing. I explicitly stated the nature of my contact with Oliver
North (a Major at the time). You still seem to be under the impression
that I can just email the Fox News Channel and he'll reply back like I
was his drinking bud. Sorry Dud, but that level of "personal
relationship" never existed except in your imagination.

> If Frankie can't even research a public resource such as Promotion
>Board results, then there's massive doubt as to the validity of any
>other claim he makes.

The same could be said about your ability (or inability, as the case
appears to be) to post the link.

>> >> > > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
>> >> > > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
>> >> > > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
>> >> > > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
>> >> > > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
>> >> > > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.
>> >>
>> >> Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
>> >> you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....
>> >>
>> >> I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
>> >> while on active duty."
>> >>
>> >> I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
>> >> 92.
>>
>> Pardon me..... 6+ years. And don't you mean you "retired from the
>> Corps in 92"?
>
> And it still doesn't wash out the stupidity of YOUR claim,
>Frankie...
>
> Since when does being an Active Duty Marine mean that a Marine
>can't ALSO have some other pursuits?

Once again you ignored the difference between 'pursuits' (at least you
spelled it correctly this time) and 'obligations'.

> So..there were no Marines who hung out in the autoshop?
>

> No Marines who bowled or played football? No Marines who sang in
>choirs, volunteered on local VFD's or other social programs?

Since when is being an EMT nothing but a mere hobby?

> You've still not even REMOTELY explained how being a Marine
>prevented me from ALSO being an EMT...

Yes I did, Dud..... because you were a Reservist, not an active duty
Marine. And that's the -ONLY- way that could happen. Haven't you been
paying attention?

>Nor have you adequately explained
>how being an EMT prevented me from being a Marine...

It didn't prevent you from being a Reservist, and I made no such
claim.

>> >Might be just the thing to offset your lying when you get to the Pearly
>> >Gates.
>>
>> Not to mention that he just pulled you off your issue. To address your
>> issue, the Marine Corps does not have any medical occupations -- those
>> are all handled by the Navy (the USMC being a branch of the Navy). But
>> that brings up another interesting factoid; if Dudly -did- get his EMT
>> card in '86 and the USMC knew about it, they most likely would have
>> transferred him to the Navy since training for a Corpsman is much more
>> expensive than for an avionics tech, and qualified Corpsmen are hard
>> to find.
>
> Frankie, You get more and more assinine and, well, SILLY with each
>subsequent rant!

It sounds that way to you only because you selectively ignore the
relevant facts.

> WHY would the Marine Corps take a Staff Sergeant, later Gunnery
>Sergeant from a critical MOS and transfer them to the Navy to be a
>Corpsman just because he has an off-duty interest in EMS?

Interest? No, Dudly -- you said it was your -CAREER-!!!

> The Marine Corps ALSO needed snipers, and I took home an "Rifle
>Expert" on each and every trip to the range. Why not send me to
>Scout/Sniper school with that logic?

Probably because you were too fat.

> Spin on and on, Frankie.
>
> Everyone's had a more than adequate opportunity to see you dish up
>your stories only to see you fall flat on your court martialed face.
>
> Coward and Liar...That's Frank Gilliland.

>
>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

Where's your proof of active duty, Major Dud?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1418. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 01:47:01 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <orpfn1di1jb3t3ocu9pu8939vkabivradp@4ax.com>
Source record: 123983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 13 Nov 2005 06:13:55 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1131891235.076133.274720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frankie of Silliland (FoS) wrote:
>> On 13 Nov 2005 01:27:41 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1131874061.380175.139130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> On 12 Nov 2005 04:25:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >> <1131798335.223641.238430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>> >
>> >> > That scumbag could donate a million dollars to a "pro-code"
>> >> >advocacy group and he'd STILL be a disgraced, less-than-honorably
>> >> >discharged ex-Marine
>> >>
>> >>
>> >> .....FORMER Marine.....
>> >
>> > EX Marine.
>> >
>> > Earned when they sent you home with two courts martials in your
>> >SRB.
>>
>> For the last time, plural of 'court-martial' (a hyphenated word) is
>> 'courts-martial', not 'courts martials' you illiterate boob.
>
> And for the last time, who cares?

You do, and for at least two reasons: 1) You make it your business to
correct everyone else's grammar, and 2) You are compelled to press any
issue that you think will discredit me and divert attention away from
the fact that you are a very disturbed person.

> I don't have one, let alone two.
>
> I managed to do my 18 years in the ACTIVE United States Marine
>Corps HONORABLY, you disgraced coward.

Once again, Major Dud -- a medical discharge is a 'general' discharge,
not an 'honorable' discharge. But if you have proof to the contrary
(as well as proof that you were active duty for those 18 years) then

by all means prove me a liar.

> (Was that "literate" enough for you, Frankie...?!?!)

Just holding you to your own standards.

>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

It really bugs you that a shitbird PFC earns an honorable discharge
while you skated out on a medical discharge after 18 years, doesn't
it? It must -really- irk you that the same shitbird PFC is tearing
down the false-front you have built around your mediocre "career" as a
Marine reservist. Well, that's your problem, Dud. Deal with it.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1419. Re: Happy "K1MAN License Expiration Day" Everyone - 11/17/05!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 02:42:17 UTC
From: Somebody Somewhere <YCTHULHU@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1131936137.869022.129700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

d dee wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131794592.454981.317740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Dana wrote:
> > > If he talks that way on the air, someone needs to wash his mouth out
> with
> > > soap, LOL.
> > >
> >
> > it is my understand that k4yz does talk that way on the air
>
> What freq does his group hang out on?
> Perhaps they should go to 14.313 as the un-confirmed
> 'reports' says the recent tornado in evansville
> has blown the "kooky jooish injun" off the air
> for the forseeable future. Oh well, one less ham
> radio nutcase to listen to on 20. Come to think of it
> I ain't heard the slack-jawed moron weed-whacker
> pilot from ga in a few weekends or his babbling cohort
> from mn either. Are they gone too? Come to think of it
> even the "great liberty net" was MIA from 75 meters
> last night also. What gives? Are they all droppin like
> flies in the fall season? I really missed hearing the
> Ypsilanti kookenschlong last evening. Ole gnq really is
> a class-1 fruitcake and a dyed-in-the-wool larouche
> supporter also who's elevator don't go to the top floors.
> If you never heard him pontificate you're missing some
> great humor folks, nuttier than a nest of cukoo-cukoo
> birds on april the 1st.

Big Lard Butt (BLB) was supposedly talking to Krazy Jewish Injun on
14.313 recently, but I couldn't hear the Evansville Elucidator, prolly
cuz he be usin his "tornado special" paperclip antenna.

Liberty Net was on 3983 last night.

# 1420. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 02:51:51 UTC
From: Dana <dana@panix.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.NEB.4.63.0511132151430.27188@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 123985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

I hear ya!!

On Sun, 30 Oct 2005, CBers=CHOADS wrote:

> It will be a grand day when Glenn "the Choad" Baxtor fades away.
> All of Amateur Radio piss on his grave.
>
>
>

# 1421. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 03:26:02 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11ng0u8rsj95o56@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 123986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1131928330.067590.207050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> what I find funny is that the old post he is talking solicticts such an
> encountere with a FEMALE not a man
>>
Getting pissed on by any gender is pretty sick.

# 1422. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 04:35:46 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <vv4gn1l1par4a9lolbba7qi0cgss0csrhv@4ax.com>
Source record: 123987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 13:14:16 -0600, "DrDeath"
<drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:

>I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.

Okay, I can accept that. But apologize to Lloyd.

# 1423. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 05:29:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131946144.947369.32600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123988

From: K4YZ <wannabehero@aol.com> on Nov 11, 4:06 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 4:41 pm

> Interesting that after having had his nose rubbed in his own
>numbers, Lennie changes style and format of his "scorecard" series of
>posts and threads...Trying to hide the facts, as usual...The FACTS that
>those comments Jim was refering to DON'T support Lennie's opinion as
>being in the majority.

Dudly the Imposter has no "facts" to accuse anyone of anything.

I started the "score card" postings on 2 August 2005 after accumulating enough opinion data on WT Docket 05-235 filings to see four categories. The first non-FCC filings began on 20 July 2005.

The official Comment beginning did not occur until the Federal Register notice of NPRM 05-143 on 31 August 2005. At that date I elected to show a double tally of opinions, the original one and one that began on 31 August. The first posting of that new tally format began 1 September 2005.

Due to a great number of posts under the above 2nd subject thread that went beyond the scope of the "score card," I changed the "score card" posts to another thread beginning 17 October 2005. The same format of the 2nd thread ("double tally") was kept in this third thread.

The tally postings were almost daily except for one 2 1/2 week period in September-October when my wife and I were on a trip and I was away from easy Internet access and tallies. All of the categorizations were done as honestly and fairly as possible, reading each of the Comments and Replies to Comments. Opinions of greater than 90% of all filings were rather obviously in three categories of For the NPRM, Against the NPRM, or Code-Test-for-Extra-but-OK-to-drop-code-test-for-other-classes.

All of my "score card" postings are still in Google and may be accessed by anyone with Google access. NOTHING was "hidden." By viewing the continuously-posted tally postings, it is quite evident that a better than 2:1 ratio in favor of the NPRM was held at the starting weeks of the Comment period. That ratio began to change from the date of notice in the Federal Register that the NPRM Comment period had

begun on 31 August 2005. The tallies can be verified by anyone reading all 3,760 filings in WT Docket 05-235 as of the close of ECFS updates on 10 November 2005.

It should be noted that the official Comment period on NPRM 05-143 ENDED on 31 October. The Reply to Comments period is still officially OPEN until Monday, 14 November 2005, as stated in the Federal Register of 31 August 2005. Among the 9 official Replies to Comments (the other 48 are unofficial Comments), all of them are FOR the NPRM. Those who bother to look at the many tally postings I made will see the trend of opinion changing weekly. Nothing was hidden.

Posting of the "score card" was terminated after the official end of the Comment period on 31 October 2005. The number of other posts of continual argument and bickering were so great that it was no longer worthwhile to continue the tally posting in public.

There are no "rules" on posting tallies of public opinion except those of the person(s) doing the tally. That another has posted an alleged "analysis" of WT Docket 05-235 filing contents is not any valid argument against what I have done.

> None-the-less, it will be interesting to see, after whatever
>action the FCC takes in regards to dropping the Code Test, if Lennie
>will then get the "Extra Lite" (or any other HF-authorized license) or
>continue to spam the NG with his anti-Amateur Radio bile...

Irrelevant and incorrect. What I do in the future is of no concern to any action of the FCC to make a final Report and Order as a result of the end of the Comments and Replies to Comments. The Commission will make a decision at some future time but that time will not be soon. The number of filings in WT Docket 05-235 exceed those made on WT Docket 98-143 ("Restructuring") by about 1,500; WT Docket 98-143 had only about 2,200 filings in the official Comment and Replies to Comments period of about 11 months time.

As to the past, Dudly the Imposter has NOT yet provided any proof whatsoever that he was ever IN any of the alleged "seven hostile actions" he claimed he was in. He has NOT yet been able to name a single item of radio communications equipment used by him for military radio communications. Dudly has NOT proven that he knows anything about electronics engineering or radio design other than claiming less than a half year's employment as a purchasing agent at a smallish electronics company. Dudly the Imposter has been challenged with providing proof of his alleged USMC Gunnery Sergeant rating by another, a USMC veteran who HAS provided enough visible evidence that what this other USMC veteran has written. Dudly has NOT yet explained how he got a

"changed-to-honorable-from-medical" discharge from the military AND received a pension that is available only after 20 years' military service. Us readers have NOTHING from Dudly other than a number of written postings full of personal insults such as -

> Putz.

Dudly the Imposter is a fraud, a travesty of a wannabe military hero who cannot prove a thing he has claimed. Given that we passed Veterans' Day, Dudly the Imposter has cast great dishonor on himself, the United States Marine Corps, and all United States Amateur Radio Extra class grantees.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

ex-RA 16 408 336, ex-ER 16 408 336, Honorable Discharge 1960

# 1424. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 05:30:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131946249.200440.110160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123989

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 12 Nov 2005 14:17

>QRZ last updated 01/29/2004, signed as "MAJOR Robeson."
>
>Photo of a guy with Captain's bars.
>
>Wonder why Steve isn't updating his official photograph?

He didn't on his "official" AOL home page. Gave excuses there..."didn't get 'promoted' until July...this is November...verrrry slow "developing" of that photo.

Note the "headline" on his "official" AOL home page:

"A Man of Many Talents."

He was "homeland security before there was homeland security!"

BAAAAWHAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

His little Cessna 150 (or was it Aeronca C3?) got hard points for WEAPONRY? Whoooeee...look out all general av flyers wherever you are...Ace Security "MAJOR" gonna get on your tails!

On the con-man scale of 1 to 10, Dudly the Imposter (aka "United States Air Force Auxilliary" MAJOR) might nudge the meter to a 2...with enough QRM to raise baseline...

No new photo?

Probably because he hasn't found a good dentist yet. We haven't seen one picture of him showing his teeth. Well, he might not have any? USAF ought to have some dentists around to help him out. [they helped out W when he "fought" for this country in the Air Guard]

USAF Auxilliary "MAJOR!" He gots Flight Suit and everything! [but no dress blues]

Dudly ought to have gone down to Texas and joined the Confederate Air Force. Everyone there is a COLONEL!

Wow, some Rank! (not speaking of insignia or title)

# 1425. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 05:34:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131946481.114349.122450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123990

>From: Frank Gilliland on Sat 12 Nov 2005 11:57
>
>Dudly's post didn't show up on my news server so I'll reply to both in
>this post.

OK.

>On 12 Nov 2005 04:29:46 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in

><snip>
>>> A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
>>> away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
>>> warship.
>
>Wrong. What you describe is called a "float". But you didn't know
>that. You also didn't know that that avionics techs are routinely
>deployed with their units in the FMF. You didn't know these things
>because you have never been on float. This is supported by the fact
>that, upon deployment overseas, the Corps (and probably the other
>services) sends press releases to each Marine's hometown media center,
>yet there have been no press releases in Ohio, California or Tennessee
>for any Steve or Steven Robeson, USMC, during the time you claim to
>have been on active duty (public information, but it was easier for me
>since I still have connections at the station). The fact is that you
>were never deployed on float. And you can't do 18 years of active duty
>and -not- get deployed on float. It's part of the job.
>
>Your pile of dirt grows larger by the day, Dudly.

It's high enough to need FAA-mandated warning lights as an
obstruction. Moles gave up being jealous of its height a
long time ago...

><snip>
>>> And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
>>> between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
>>> group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.
>
>Which just happens to have the common characteristic of being opposed
>to the Morse code requirement, which is why you were arguing with them
>in the first place. The only thing "feeble" around here is your logic.

Dudly is an Internet Con-Man, related to used car salesman
of low rank. Dud be playing a GAME on here, using everyone
as pawns in a fantasy quest of his own. I don't think he

should open a pawn shop...business wouldn't last long.

>>> You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
>>> There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue
>>> that would even remotely interest or encourage me.
>
>True story. You didn't even blink when I mentioned the VINSON system.

Dudly NOT know of REAL COMMSEC if it bit him on his backside.

Frank, you had a true CLASSIC on the question to him of
"serving under Col. Vinson." :-)

Dudly think "VINSON" was some kind of real officer at Gordon. :-)

>>Especially after exposing you as a fake.
>>
>>> > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
>>> > bidding?
>>>
>>> There's nothing paranoid about it.
>>
>>I think my use of the phrase, "Stolen Valor" is a frequent search term
>>for those that seek out military fakes. Once it is used, those that
>>defend the real vets get on it real quick.
>
>The only person that praises Dudly for his "valor" is Dudly. It's not
>stolen -- it's imagined.

It makes "MAJOR" Robeson happy. He is a "Man of Many Talents!"

Lots of imagination at any rate...plus great puffing of steam
about his "exploits" and "being homeland security before there
was homeland security!"

Dudly went from fake Gunnery Sergeant, USMC, to a "commission"
in the Tennessee State Guard ("1st Lt"). TN State Guard is about
as military as a fraternal order with surplus uniforms that meets
one night once a month and NOT a part of the National Guard.
Apparently his "commission" in the TN State Guard has been tossed
so he joined CAP and thinks he is now "USAF" Auxilliary.

"Commission" in those two "military" organizations? A Con-Job
snow job on some "auxilliary's" recruiter to sign a piece of
paper to that "rank." No OCS, NO four years at the USAF Air
Academy or Army's Military Academy at West Point.

>>> Frankie came in ranting and raving at LENNIE, calling him a fraud,
>>> etc etc, due to "MOS'es" that didn't jive with USMC numbers. He was
>>> right about the MOSes, but attacking the wrong person.
>>
>>Just a case of bad attribution. But he got on your pretty fast, didn't
>>he?

[wasn't a problem to me, Brian]

>Dudly frequently brings up that issue (and a few others) only to
>divert attention away from the facts. Just ignore it like I do.

I find his feeble snow-jobs fascinating in a weird way. :-)

He's such an outright FRAUD about it all, it's fun to see
others taken in by it all!

Of course, most of the others (who "defend" him) are doing
it for their own purposes as mighty macho motivated morsemen
on the morse code test issue. Dudly doesn't realize he is
being USED by them! :-)

Hans Brakob (a REAL USN Master Chief) used to challenge him
and finally gave up. Jimmie Noserve tried some limp-wristed
ruler-slapping on him a few times and then gave up. Heil
(who chastises everyone challenging him on anything) hasn't
said much to him in public. Some of the Four Morsemen of
the Apocalypse are strangely silent. [Hans is a long-time
morse man but is against the morse code test for amateur
radio licensing]

><snip>
>>> Sorry, Markie...There's a REAL Gunny right here. I have publically
>>> posted the dates of the Promotion Board that I was selected on.
>>
>>Where?
>
>He posted the dates. In his mind that's Prima Facie.

Sigh...Dud can't show REAL evidence...it would reveal his
total FRAUD (aka snow job) if the truth came out. Everyone
who is released from active duty in the U.S. military gets
a DD-214. In that short document (most are only one page of
entered data) is contained the REASON for release in
addition to rank at time of release. Also the branch of
service which is now in sincere doubt. [DD-214s were in
use in 1956 as well as now, only the format has changed
slightly over the years]

A digitized image of a DD-214 would show enough tell-tales
on whether it was a forgery or not. It wouldn't be surprising
if Dudly tried some forgery on A DD-214 and tried to use that.

The point is that Dudly hasn't even TRIED to digitize any
REAL evidence to back him up on his "service record." Not
since 1998 in here. Seven years and NO evidence. Sort of
like his infamous "seven hostile actions" claim.

>>> I even posted the Selection Board for my promotion to Staff
>>> Sergeant before it.
>>

>>But you're prone to lying. What are we to believe?
>
>Doesn't matter since there's nothing he has ever posted that verifies
>his story.

Dudly's misdirection is to make his challengers "go get the
facts!" :-)

A typical misdirection used to be "Call the VA!" Like they
would hop on their terminal and bring it up immediately on a
phone call? :-) No way. The "VA" would get it from the
National Archives in St. Louis just like everyone else but
the folks there won't let it pass without some QUALIFIER...
like being a veteran, a family relation of a veteran, or
a bona fide investigating agency like DCAS or FBI. NARA has
the forms on line if anyone wants to try it, but without the
Social Security Number of the veteran (or the requestor
if a private individual), it is SOL time. Note that is
still needed if the veteran has an old-style military branch
service number (like mine of RA 16 408 336). GOOD protection
from higher-class Con Men using information for even greater
fraud than Dudly tries in here.

Another misdirection Dudly tried was to "contact [an old
unit] and get verification!" Dud tried that twice, but
doesn't understand that military units undergo considerable
change in two decades, become deactivated or merge with
other units. Neither will those present-day military units
just give out the information on a whim or request from some
unauthenticated requester.

>>> Again...You and Frankie of Scummiland
>
>LOL!!!

Heh heh heh heh. Usual Dudly personal insults. When he is
not taken at "face value" (his postings in here) then he
jumps into the Personal Insult mode.

He also huffs and puffs "LIAR!" to anyone disagreeing with
him by presenting facts against his imaginative claims. :-)

He gets into classic insult mode with me by using the
Yiddish pejorative "putz" as a tag line in messages to me.
Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dud thinks I am Jewish? I am a Lutheran.
My wife was raised Presbyterian but we've gone to services
at the Lutheran Church where we were married (All-Saints,
but they accept sinners too! :-). Goyim Dudly ist
meshuggas. Oy, gevalt!

>>> chose to ignore them since
>>> they render your rants baseless.
>>

>>Again, you're prone to lying.
>>
>>> BTW...Both boards were for the ACTIVE DUTY USMC, not the USMCR...So
>>> yet another FrankieRant shot in the foot....
>>
>>Again, you're prone to lying.
>
>And again, there's no way to verify his story.

Dudly tries to use "Google provides!" as a common reply, but all
Google has is Dudly's newsgroup postings. Google does NOT have
any digitized images of REAL paperwork verifying anything that
Dud said.

>>> > > >and has tried to insinuate that since I was able to get an EMT card in
>>> > > >my off duty time in the Corps, that I obviously wasn't a "real" (read
>>> > > >that "Active Duty") Marine.
>>> > >
>>> > > Don't downplay your hero career for the sake of little ol' me, Dudly.
>>> > > You didn't just get your EMT card while in the Corps -- you claim to
>>> > > have 10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT while on active duty, a feat
>>> > > that is simply incredible if not impossible for -any- MOS.
>>>
>>> Sorry, Frankie...Just like your mindless attack about MOS'es when
>>> you came in here, you've gotten THIS one wrong too....
>>>
>>> I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
>>> while on active duty."
>>>
>>> I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
>>> 92.
>
>Pardon me..... 6+ years. And don't you mean you "retired from the
>Corps in 92"?

Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dud made a slip there on using "left" instead of
"retired." [that's why he rates such a low number on the Con
Man scale...his incosistency in lying]

Dudly once slipped and said he had a medical discharge from
the USMC. He tried vainly to "change" that to an Honorable
in another series that managed to denunciate all who remarked
on that by using the standard personal insult schtick of his.

At the end of that tirade of how-dare-we-challenge-this-hero
he "explained" it was due to an "accident." The details of
that "accident" were never explained; Dud must have lost his
creative fantasy spark for a while. So, we don't really know
how Dud got tossed out. One can surmise, from over ten
thousand postings in this newsgroup, that he got tossed for
mental problems.

>>Mind stating again what your previously claimed experience lengthl was?
>>
>>Not that your claims are trustworthy.
>>
>>> > any marine MOS Frank from Stevie terms and mistakes it is possible that
>>> > he served in the armed forces but maybe in the ARMY memeber of the 95
>>> > series could have done as Stevie claims to have done, when you mention
>>> > some of the anomolies I see them, but as ARMY 54E serving a medical
>>> > unit at one point I missed em suggesting of all thing we might have in
>>> > fact served in the same units possibliy or at least the same type of
>>> > unit, and being human when stevei stories seemed to fit that ARMY mode
>>> > I did not look further, not sure about ti but it is possible
>>>
>>> Congratulations, Markie...
>>
>>> 109 "words" strung together without proper punctuation, numerous
>>> mispellings and grammatical errors...Not to mention not making a lick
>>> of sense.
>>
>>Might be just the thing to offset your lying when you get to the Pearly
>>Gates.
>
>Not to mention that he just pulled you off your issue. To address your
>issue, the Marine Corps does not have any medical occupations -- those
>are all handled by the Navy (the USMC being a branch of the Navy). But
>that brings up another interesting factoid; if Dudly -did- get his EMT
>card in '86 and the USMC knew about it, they most likely would have
>transferred him to the Navy since training for a Corpsman is much more
>expensive than for an avionics tech, and qualified Corpsmen are hard
>to find.

Dudly did it again...misdirection, diversion...avoids having
to state any DETAILS of what he claimed he was.

Used to was that USN nurses were commissioned officer rank and
USN Pharmacist Mates (or something like that) were enlisted
rank. Can't say for sure since the only time I've seen any
MDs in uniform for any reason in the last three decades were
USAF Air Surgeons. :-)

Brings up an interesting point. Dudly went and got his TN State
Guard "commission" as a "1st Lt" and then into the CAP as a
"Captain" (now, supposedly, as a "Major"). Since military
doctors are of commissioned rank, it's possible that Dudly was
trying to pull off some scam about being an "MD" with that
"commission." Why, I have no idea (other than to snow folks
in ham radio newsgroups). :-)

>>> Spin as you will, Mr Twice Court Martialed coward and liar.
>>>
>>> BTW..No "Happy Veterans Day" for you...You didn't earn it.
>

>Whatever you say, Major Dud.

Well put, Frank. :-) A major dud he be indeed.

<from the following posting, continued...>

From: Frank Gilliland on Sat 12 Nov 2005 12:03

>On 12 Nov 2005 04:25:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

>> That scumbag could donate a million dollars to a "pro-code"
>>advocacy group and he'd STILL be a disgraced, less-than-honorably
>>discharged ex-Marine
>
>.....FORMER Marine.....

Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dudly using "ex" marine instead of his former sanctimonious "I'll always be a marine" huffing-puffing. More lapses of continuity in his con game.

>> who places his own ego and self-righteousness over
>>truth and dignity.
>
>Uh....yeah, that's why I called myself a "shitbird PFC", huh?

Poor Dudly is doing the "transferrence" thing in his personal insult throwing...probably without realizing it. Common syndrome explained to basic psychology students in first year.

He takes HIS faults and throws them at others as if the others were "at fault." That has been consistent over seven years in here. Some instructors refer to that as a "mirror syndrome," where the subject is using a sort of "mirror" to themselves and then "seeing" the other person in that "mirror."

Dudly has a desperate NEED to be "better" than those around him. One way to do that is to have some kind of "official rank" that gives him a "title." Since he has this terrible fixation with military service and uniform-wearing, he goes for the "commission" in uniform. An enlisted ranking is now "below" his list of "better-than-you" ideas.

Of course, he is stuck with "commissions" on PAPER such as the Tennessee STATE Guard (no relation with National Guard) and the Civil Air Patrol (tsk, can't call them that, they are "Auxilliary United States AIR FORCE" members). They were "homeland security before there was homeland security!" :-)

>> In my case the service is documented in public records.
>
>Except that there is no public record that verifies your claim that
>you were on active duty for any of your 18 years. If I'm wrong then
>cough it up and I'll leave this group forever. Deal?

Frank, please do NOT give ground on this. You are right and the "real" truth must apply.

We readers have had more than SEVEN YEARS of waiting for ANY written proof of Dudly the Imposter's "service" and it has NOT appeared. All that exists is Dudly's newsgroup messages that he is "telling the truth" (and that everyone challenging him is "lying"). Not one single person reference (in 18 years NO namable friends for reference?), not one single digitized image of REAL documents (such as a DD-214), not one single digitized photo of Dudly doing his "USMC Avionics Tech" thing (in 18 years nothing?). Total ZERO references that could prove his case. He has no case. He is a fraud.

Frank, you know, I know, and all readers know that Dudly has NO valid documentation. He cannot provide what he does NOT have. If you keep your word, YOU will be HERE forever! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1426. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 05:39:05 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131946745.753806.248290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123991

From: Iitoi on Nov 11, 7:58 pm

>The Man in the Maze
>QRV from Baboquivari Peak, AZ
>
>PS: The correct name is Iitoi, not iitoi.

It is NOT, little brother.

At best it is Papago Pete or as a simple Basket Case...

In Tohono O'odham LEGENDS it goes something like this:

"The 'Man in the Maze' legend is of Iitoi, also known as Elder Brother. Spared from death by his creator because of his true and honest ways, he was chosen to help create the Hohokam people from whom the Tohono O'odham descended, after a flood killed all the other desert people who had turned sinful."

There's much more, including "Iitoi being placed on Baboquivari mountain." [by the creator] Lovely add-on to legend on how butterflies were created, very cute. :-)

Basket making is a long-honored tradition of the Tohono O'odham and many of their baskets include a maze in the weaving design with a crude figure of a person entering/leaving the maze... hence the "Man in the Maze" as the LEGEND is known today.

In order to be capitalized as Iitoi, if you are not of Japanese ancestry and bearing that Anglicized version of the Japanese family name, then you have to be long dead and the stuff of legends to use "Iitoi." You are none of those, hence the uncapitalized "name" of iitoi to denote a LITTLE brother.

Are you the stuff of legend? Or just stuff? Maybe others could use a "title/name" of some religion? How about Jesus Christ himself? Or one of the apostles? How about "Sistah" of Bhudda? Or Muhammad's own mountain? Not much basket weaving about that, I realize, and little hope (or Hopi) for profit (or prophet). Promoting yucca baskets is more "mystical."

I realize that morse code radiotelegraphy is sometimes worshipped as a legend, but I don't think "the People" will accept that. You tell us, LITTLE brother. Do "the People" revere morse code? Do they worship St. Hiram and the legendary "T.O.M.?"

Many Navajo call themselves The People. There can't be TWO "People" can there? Do you ascribe "true and honest ways" to the Navajo?

What do "The People" think of amateur radio periodical cover designs and publishing logos? You seem to be hot to trot to FIGHT over a periodical that stopped publishing 15 years ago. Do "The People" think Ham Radio magazine is now the stuff of legends?

Have you checked with Zenna Henderson on "The People?" She wrote much about "The People." Unfortunately, those were not of this earth.

By the way, I come from Chief Blackhawk country where the Winnebago were "The People." Gee, that makes THREE "The People!" Wow, legend-ary dispute!

I'm like bored with Jimmie Noserve and his never-ceasing battle to save morsemanship in amateur radio. He is not without sin yet he keeps on casting stones. He has the gall to do it. May his gall stones give him pleasure.

Now, if I want to hear about "The People," I can find out from some Hopi I'm acquainted with. But, I would rather read the mysteries of Tony Hillerman and get all "The People" information from Joe Leaphorn and Jim Chee thoughts while working with the Navajo Tribal Police. More exciting reading (plus intellectual puzzle fun) to read those novels than a lot of "legendary" gall stone passers' output in this newsgroup.

Get those basket weavers to improve on the man in the basket design, okay? Right now it looks like a little stick figure. Don't try to "stick us" with some nonsense of "legends."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1427. Re: When will Dan, W4NTI return to the asylum?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 05:40:49 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1131946849.822253.212130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123992

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 13, 11:56 am

>an old friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Wonder how Mike is doing with his BBS? Wonder if it went the way of
>> > his high-altitude balloon project?
>
>> very likely
>> and expect Dan back pretty soon
>
>We can start a pool.

No, no, no! Only ONE poster here can start a pool!

[we all know who that is... :-) ]

>When do you think Dan will return?

Has Dan been gone?!?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1428. Ohio Truckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 05:48:25 UTC
From: "steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com" <steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131947305.665714.274410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.teens.gay,neworleans.general,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hi All,

So Glad to be typing with a belly ful of cum.

My trucker friends took me for a ride to the New Oleans gulf coast. It was so fucking cool that the niggers were all gone. I was so fucking happy I sucked off over 300 truckers on my ride home.

Lee, I want you in my ass. Fuck me! Fuck me like the petro slut you know I am!!

## 1429. CB is Better Than Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 07:05:09 UTC
From: Steveo <twistie_blow_steveo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131951909.786242.210790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123994
Cross-posted to: alt.ohio.toledo,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.brad-pitt,alt.sports.radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cb radio is so much beter than ham!

I get more cock at the ohio petro's because of my cb! I LOVE cock. I
love my CB !!!!

# 1430. (OT) : The Inverted "CK" Antenna - For that Rumble Bumble in Your Head !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 07:37:29 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1131953849.801692.220380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

NLB - Oh No You Didn't Mention "Aluminum Helmets" - AKA : Tin-Foil Hats

Off in the distance there it was . . .
all shinny and strangely out of place.

Slowly I crept-up-on-it - foot-by-foot - inch-by-inch
CREEP ! Creep. creep,
Then I could see it clearly - - -
It had been worn, deformed and discarded - alone !

I knew what I had to do - - -
So I Leaped and Wrestled with It
and finally with much screaming and yelling I had it
Firmly on My Head - At Last I was Safe - We Were
Once Again United and Free from Them - The Outside
was Out There and Now Only 'i' was with-in
- Free to Be Me : hee, Hee. HEE ! {Mad About Me :o}
[ We Are We An We Be Three :<] ~ RHF

WARNING: Aluminum and Tin Foil Deflector Beanies simply will
NOT get the job done in today's High RFI and EMF Environment.
When one wears a Tin Foil Hat . . .
.
Tin Foil Hat firmly ensconced :
One tries to impress, by the Waving of Hands and Shouting
without making a single concrete point, or any point at all.
Yes it is an Art Form of Pure Hand Waving Rhetoric without
a single meaningful idea to get in the way of the Craziness.

IASF: Aluminum and Tin Foil are poor substitutes for solid Copper !
The Inverted "CK" Antenna (Patent Pending) is the Ultimate
Solution for All Your Cranial Reception and Cerebral
Interference Needs. CAUTION: When Properly Installed :o}
"Near Field Mode": "Tune-In-the-Truth"
(What Ever It May Be)
- - - A-n-D - - -
"Far Field Mode": "Tune-Out-the-Absurd"
(I Don't Know What You Call It... But I Know It - When I Hear It !)
YES- The Inverted "CK" Antenna - For that Rumble Bumble in Your Head !
One-Moment-Please:
Time to Check and Adjust my 'Inverted "CK" Antenna'
AHaaa... Now I Am Safe :o)
NOTE: With the 'Inverted "CK" Antenna' I have been able to Cut My
MEDs in Half: But they still won't let me use sharp objects yet ;-{
OBTW: A Non-Inverted "CK" Antenna when half filled with Ice

makes a great 'Chiller' for a Six-Pak of Beer ;-}
So Say I ~ RHF
The Inventor of the 'Inverted "CK" Antenna'. {Patient Pending ;-}
.
FWIW - Screaming Howard Dean head of the DNC wears
a "Tin Foil {Hat} Beanie" to Bed Every Night as part of his contract
with the DNC.
DNC = Democrats kNow Crazy
.
It Is So Simple to use the 'Inverted "CK" Antenna':
1. Go to your local Flea Market / Swap Meet.
2. Find a "Cazo de Cobre" Copper Kettle [Pot] that will cover
your Head (either partially or completely).
3. Clean it up and Polish it so that it Shines inside and out.
4. Invert the "CK" [Copper Kettle]
5. Place the "CK" on your Head. {Inverted "CK" Antenna}
never mind, - For the Voices and Noise are Gone.
Never Mind. - For the Strange Thoughts and Ideas are Gone.
NEVER MIND! - For Your Reality is with the Boundaries of the "CK" and
within the "CK" Your Mind is Your Own and... That Can Be Frightening !
wow - it's time to put the solid copper kettle pot back on ~ RHF
[ The Tin Foil Hat Just Can't Get The Crazy Job Done. ]

.
Hell Some People Think I Speak in "Tin-Foil-Hat English"
.
WARNING: Aluminum and Tin Foil Deflector Beanies simply will
NOT get the job done in today's High RFI and EMF Environment.
IASF: Aluminum and Tin Foil are poor substitutes for solid Copper !
.
The Inverted "CK" Antenna (Patent Pending) is the Ultimate
Solution for All Your Cranial Reception and Cerebral
Interference Needs. CAUTION: When Properly Installed :o}
.
"Near Field Mode": "Tune-In-the-Truth"
(What Ever It May Be)
.
- - - A-n-D - - -
"Far Field Mode": "Tune-Out-the-Absurd"
(I Don't Know What You Call It... But I Know It - When I Hear It !)
.
YES- The Inverted "CK" Antenna - For that Rumble Bumble in Your Head !
.
One-Moment-Please:
Time to Check and Adjust my 'Inverted "CK" Antenna'
AHaaa... Now I Am Safe :o)
.
NOTE: With the 'Inverted "CK" Antenna' I have been able to Cut My
MEDs in Half: But they still won't let me use sharp objects yet ;-{
.

OBTW: A Non-Inverted "CK" Antenna when half filled with Ice
makes a great 'Chiller' for a Six-Pak of Beer ;-}
.
cOOPER kETTLE eNGLISH - mAY bE ;-}
.
So Say I ~ RHF
The Inventor of the 'Inverted "CK" Antenna'. {Patient Pending ;-}
.
.
. .
.

# 1431. Re: CB is Better Than Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 07:52:55 UTC
From: Steveo <twistie_blow_steveo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131954775.302283.320350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123996
Cross-posted to: alt.ohio.toledo,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.brad-pitt,alt.sports.radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy

LEE,I WANT YOU INSIDE MY HOT ASS!
Steveo wrote:
> Cb radio is so much beter than ham!
>
> I get more cock at the ohio petro's because of my cb! I LOVE cock. I
> love my CB !!!!

# 1432. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 09:08:21 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131959301.465648.282590@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 123997

Steve, is a fucker liar, i'm not gay, nor have ever been gay, and my
father doesn't have AIDS IMHO, Steve can eat shit and die.

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/ The Voice of Amateur
Radio
http://12.156.123.39/k1man.htm N9OGL K1MAN Website
http://12.156.123.39/hentaibbs/azbb.php Otaku Hentai BBS
http://12.156.123.39/lolhentai/azbb.php Otaku Lolicon BBS

# 1433. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 10:06:33 UTC
From: gadge <g4dge@linux.user>
Message-ID: <dl9nd9$nih$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 123998
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 15:05:02 +0000, Nedlar wrote:

> On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 10:31:51 +0000, gadge <g4dge@linux.user> wrote:
>
>
>
>>I'm not a cb'er, never was and never will be and ham radio still looks
>>like cb to me! Why is that?
>
> Because the amateur bands are now full of brain-dead, non-technical CBrs.
>>
>>
>>There are many ex/current cb'ers who are G/M0 class and proud of it.
>
> That's why 'ham radio still looks like CB' , as you so correctly put it.
>
>>Rubbish. Some of us have passed electronics course/exams at BSC honours
>>level and yet we are not interested in the slightest with morse.
>
> That old excuse has been around for decades. It's just as dishonest now as
> it's always been. It's usually trotted out by CBrs and the lazy-arsed.

Ok, you support morse. I support more advanced technical exams. That way I
keep out cb'ers and morse nuts! ROTFLMAO
win win

# 1434. Gawd, how could I miss THIS one????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 10:39:28 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <i1agn1lmne5h8smohnucscg7lud623q8t3@4ax.com>
Source record: 123999

```
On 1 Nov 2005 22:53:47 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130914427.674064.285970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frankie of Silliland, RRAP's Newest Source Of Comic Relief wrote:
>> On 1 Nov 2005 16:18:14 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1130890694.158697.170530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >> <1130768302.683869.320990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>> >> >kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> >> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 02:04:36 -0700, Frank Gilliland
>> >> >> <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> >Let's talk about standards, Dudly....(SNIP)
>> >> >
>> >> >> what you going on about?
>> >> >
>> >> > For once you've gotten something VERY right, MARK.
>> >> >
>> >> >> >And let's take this "standard" thing a bit further....(HUGE SNIP)
>> >> >
>> >> >> >You have never disclosed your reservist status
>> >> >>
>> >> >> reservist? Stevie boy is reservist?
>> >> >
>> >> > Nope. Except, as I clearly noted, my 4 months as "Delayed Entry"
>> >> >prior to going active in September 1974.
>> >> >
>> >> > It was a wild stab in the dark by the disgraced, court martialed
>> >> >liar, Mark.
>> >> >
>> >> >> I am not calling you a liar Frank but it would be nice to back this up
>> >> >
>> >> > He can't.
>> >>
>> >> Sure I can.
>> >
>> > No...You can make silly allegations (hence your nick-name of
>> >"Silliland") that are just pie-in-the-sky guesses.
>> >
>> > "Proof" would be some document that actually showed my reserve
>> >status above and beyond the Delayed Entry period...
>> >
```

>> > The problem for YOU is that nothing of the sort exists.
>>
>> Sure it does -- your own words.
>
> And substantiation of my assertion that you're a liar follows:
>
>> >> Your DOD record confirms your service from '74 to '92 (yes, that's
>> >> only 18 years, not 20 years as you claimed when you wrote, "I spent 20
>> >> years in the Marine Corps to give people the right to exercise their
>> >> freedom of choice, and it hurts me to see so many people exercising
>> >> their choice to be apathetic!"), but it doesn't distinguish between
>> >> active or reserve. It -does- confirm your MOS as an avionics tech. It
>> >> -doesn't- indicate that you were in any medical field, in which case
>> >> you would have been a Navy Corpsman, not a Marine Gunny.
>> >
>> > Uhhhh...Looking for that reg wherein US Marines are not allowed to
>> >keep personal pursuits while on active duty...
>>
>> If you were on active duty then you would know that moonlighting is
>> -strongly- discouraged...(SNIP)
>
> Frankie, the question I asked over and over in the original post
>was WHAT MCO WAS VIOLATED...?!?!

Since when does it require an MCO for a superior officer to give a
legal and lawful order to a subordinate? The granting of liberty is at
the discretion of the unit's commanding officer. And liberty doesn't
mean you are free to do as you please. If you come back from a 96 with
a decent sunburn you can end up with NJP -- that very situation has
happened more than once.

"What MCO was violated?" That's the wrong question, Dud. The right
question is, "What MCO grants a CO the authority to let his Marines
take on obligations outside the Corps that could, and very possibly
will, conflict with the obligations of the Corps?" The answer is....
There isn't one.

>> In some units it's even prohibited by the
>> commanding officer because the responsibilities of an outside job
>> usually conflict with the responsibilities of the unit. But you didn't
>> know that because you were never active duty; i.e, more proof from
>> your own ignorant words.
>
> Of course I knew it.
>
> And I had the Commanding Officer's approval chit for off duty
>employment as was required by Marine Aircraft Group 16 policy at the
>time.

Gee, and all this time you have refused to provide -any- details about
your military "career". How silly of me to think that now, when

confronted with an issue even more intrusive than a few lines from a
DD-214, you would spit up some specific information. Gee, I need to
reconsider my position regarding your credibility.....LOL!!!!

>> > And you make reference as to what my "DOD" (properly DoD) record
>> >states per my MOS's, but you and I BOTH know that that same record
>> >shows nothing but active duty service (Delayed Entry
>> >notwithstanding...)
>>
>> Maybe you don't know -- your DOD (or DoD if you like) record doesn't
>> specify. I mentioned that before: ".....but it doesn't distinguish
>> between active or reserve." The reason is because military members
>> frequently change from active to active reserve, to inactive reserve,
>> to retired (in your dreams), etc, etc. The record states your total
>> time, not how it was served. And BTW, your time in the delayed
>> enlistment program isn't considered active -or- reserve (active -or-
>> inactive). Read your contract if you don't believe me.
>
> Sorry, SilliOne...Delayed entry WAS considered "reserve" time.

Not according to your DoD record. Check the dates yourself.

>> >> But this conflicts with your 1998 claim that you were a "former 10
>> >> year+ EMT", which would make you an EMT -prior- to 1988, more than
>> >> four years -before- you "retired" from the Corps.
>> >
>> > Uh huh. Six, actually.
>> >
>> > Thee are a LOT of Marines who are EMT's...Both as Crash-Rescue
>> >personnel on MCAS's and as "off duty" pursuits.
>> >
>> > My first EMT card was in Pennsylvania in February 1986. PA
>> >EMT-MAST 014402.
>>
>> Where you were stationed at Camp .....?
>
> There was no "Camp ....." in Pennsylvania, Frankie.

MCAS ......? NAS ......? Fort ......? BSA troop ......?

>> > Followed up by EMT-1A in Orange County CA in June of
>> >1986. I also held National Registry (took that test at the hospital at
>> >MCB Camp Pendleton) It has/had nothing to do with my MOS...Just what I
>> >was interested in doing.
>>
>> Uh-huh.
>
> Yep.
>
>> > BTW...I received a CG's Certificate of Commendation in 1988 for
>> >life-saving from CG Third MAW, then another one from the CG First MAW
>> >in 1990 for a second event...

>> >
>> > That EMT training came in handy!
>>
>> Dudly the hero!!!
>
> Nope. Just did the right thing at the right time.

Yeah, I know what you mean. When I visited Disneyland back in '82 I
caught a kid who fell down a flight of stairs. It doesn't make me a
hero, just the dope who happened to be there at the time. I've had a
few things like that happen, as I'm sure a lot of people have. Anyone
else would have done the same. In fact, most people who -have- been
able to save life and limb by nature of circumstances consider their
actions to have been a natural response. But most people don't define
their lives and "careers" by such actions. That is, most -normal- and
-mentally balanced- people.

>> >> Also, in the late
>> >> '80s, (before moving to Arkansas, home state of your second wife and
>> >> your state of residence when you allegedly "retired" from the Corps in
>> >> 1992), you worked in California as an ER tech at "Hoag Memorial
>> >> Hospital Presbyterian in Newport Beach, CA", which would be virtually
>> >> impossible if you were also on active duty as an avionics tech.
>> >
>> > Uh....No...Why would having a part time job less than 10 miles from
>> >the base where I was stationed at (and 1.5 miles from where I lived...)
>> >be a problem?
>
>> See above, re: moonlighting.
>
> And AGAIN you waffle on the question at hand...
>
> You make some suggestive comments yet don't answer the questions.
>
> Why?

I -did- answer the question. You just couldn't understand the answer
because you have never served on active duty.

> PLEASE CITE just ONE Marine Corps order (from 1986 through 1992)
>that allegedly "proves" I was unable/unauthorized to work part time as
>an EMT...JUST ONE.

Nice try, Dud, but it won't work. I have no doubt that you -were-
allowed to work as an EMT simply because you were a reservist. It
would be a different story if you were on active duty at the time.

>> > Are all those Marines who work part-time jobs in Jacksonville,
>> >Oceanside, San Diego and other USMC-base associated cities doing
>> >something illegal?
>>
>> Some were.

>
> And HOW MANY of those were EMT's...?!?!

Beats me. But I've never heard of an EMT that was also on active duty. Never would have happened in any of the units I was in. In fact, in 3/8 you couldn't even get a part-time job delivering pizza since no outside employment was allowed by order of the CO, period.

> I'd love to see the MP's dragging off-duty Marines from firetrucks
>and ambulances.

That sort of stuff turns you on, huh? Well, it doesn't really matter since the Marines don't have MP's..... they have SP's. That pile of dirt just keeps getting bigger and bigger. Stay tuned because one day real soon I plan to compile all of your military 'wisdom' into one big easy-to-reference list.....LOL!!!

>> > Is working part time as an ER tech any different than working at
>> >Taco Bell, singing in a church choir or participating in the Big
>> >Brothers/Sisters programs...?!?!
>>
>> Yes, by the amount of responsiblity required of the job. Nobody cares
>> if you don't show up to work at Taco Bell or miss choir practice. But
>> an emergency room tech missing a shift can result in real problems.
>
> No kidding, eh...?!?!
>
> So...you figure I can make it through EMS school but don't know how
>to pick up the phone and say "Something's come up at the base and I
>can't make it in..."...?!?!

More proof that you were never on active duty: frequently there are times when you don't have access to a telephone, especially when liberty is cancelled because the alert status of the base or unit is raised. In those cases, outside comm is usually prohibited because of security issues. Happened when the US shot down the Libyan jets, when Beirut got bombed, when Reagan invaded Grenada..... Speaking of which, I had a friend in 2/8 that I knew from MCAGCC. They were prohibited from using the telephone several days before deployment, outgoing mail was held on ship during transit, and even the ship's MARS station was shut down.

But you never experienced such things because you were never on active duty..... -or- on float.

>> Especially when that tech is in the military and suseptible to the
>> possibility that liberty could be cancelled, the base locked down, or
>> any of the other things that sometimes happen, without warning, that
>> would prevent you from even giving notice of your impending absence.
>
>> As a Marine your primary obligation is to the Corps...(SNIP)
>

> Obviously a point you didn't have any respect for until AFTER
>those two courts martials, eh...?!?!

Actually, it didn't sink in until after the first court-martial, but
well before the second.

>> (UNSNIP)...and I don't think
>> -any- hospital with an emergency room would hire a part-time EMT who
>> is much more likely to miss a shift than a dedicated EMT whose primary
>> obligation is to the hospital and patients. They might let you in as a
>> candy-striper.....
>
> Then once again you've allowed us a moment to break into laughter
>at your ignorance and arrogance~!

Prove me wrong, Dudly.

>> >> The ONLY way you could have been educated, trained and gained
>> >> experience as an EMT while in the Corps is if you were a reservist.
>> >
>> > How is that? Did I violate some MCO in my 18 years by leaving the
>> >barracks and participating in non-USMC pursuits?
>>
>> Not pursuits, Dudly -- obligations.
>
> You have it turned around again, AS USUAL, Cowardly One.

Being an EMT does not require an obligation? You make it sound like a
hobby. You must not have taken the job very seriously.

>> > "Leatherneck" used to publish ton's of "human interest" stories on
>> >Marines who did exactly those things....
>>
>> Name one.
>
> Name them yourself...I don't have back copies of Leatherneck
>anymore...However anyone who cares to do some research will see you for
>what you are....

YOU referenced the source so it's YOUR obligation to validate YOUR
claim.... oh, that's right, you didn't understand the meaning of an
'obligation'. Well, now you do so you don't have an excuse. Name one
of those Marines that was written up in Leatherneck for being an EMT
while in active duty. Just one.

>> > Is it YOUR contention that all of those Marines were NOT "real
>> >Marines" because they did something that wasn't associated with their
>> >official duties?
>>
>> I said nothing of the sort. You're spinning so hard now you are going
>> to fall down and puke.
>
> No...

>
> That's what you've implied...

Have you ever tried to read anything without attaching it to your own
distorted imagination? Try it sometime -- it will help to eliminate
your moral confusion.

>You've suggested that in 18 years
>(actually 14 at the time I obtained my initial EMT certification) that
>I SHOULDN'T have had any time or opportunity to be an EMT, and that in
>doing so, somehow "proved" that I was never on Active Duty...As if 140
>hours of night classes over a four month period kept me from my offical
>duties..

Don't try to be clever, Dud -- in your desperation to defend your fake
service record you forgot about the other 3 years and 8 months..... or
was it 5 years and 8 months..... gee, Dud, make up your mind: were you
an EMT on active duty for four or six years?

>> >> All this information is publically available from what -you- have
>> >> written on Usenet. Of course, you can always use that "my account was
>> >> hacked" excuse, but that doesn't explain why you claimed to be in the
>> >> Air Force Auxilliary, a retired Marine Gunny, and signed your posts
>> >> with "Semper Paratus" (Coast Guard motto).
>> >
>> > Uh...Actually I use "Paratus et Vigilans", a personal motto which
>> >means "Prepared and Vigilant".
>>
>> You have used "Semper Paratus" in many posts. For example:
>>
>> ===========
>> .....[nursing is] the one "technical occupation" that I have enjoyed
>> (as both EMT and LPN) where more than one off my "projects" has
>> returned to where I work and shook my hand and said "Thank you for
>> helping to save my life."
>>
>> Some occupation, huh?!
>>
>>
>> Semper Paratus
>>
>> Steve Robeson, LPN
>> Chattanooga, TN
>> ============
>>
>> You even explained why you used it:
>>
>> "If having great respect for an organization (the United States Coast
>> Guard, in this case) that goes so far out of it's way on a daily basis
>> to save human lives makes me a "paramilitary wannabe", guilty as
>> charged."

>>
>> And when taken in context with the preceding post it also makes you a
>> praise-seeking hero wannabe.
>
> So...I make some positive inferences to the Coast Guard, and
>that's "wrong"...

In your case, it's as wrong as John Wayne Gacy showing an interest in
dressing up like a clown.

> Yet we have you bragging about allegedly being in Beirut

Allegedly? No, I posted the proof, Dud. You should try it sometime.

> and
>having been twice court martialed, and that's OK...?!?!
>
>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

When you get done laughing, how about showing me where I said that
getting court-martialed twice is "OK"?

>> > Did I miss some law that says once someone uses a latin word in
>> >THEIR motto, no one else can use it...?!?!
>>
>> Did I say it was illegal?
>
> You obviously have a problem with it...

You obviously have an obsession with it.

>> >> Nor does it explain your
>> >> interest in pirate radio, star gazing, or your hunt for ET. Clearly
>> >> you are one very confused individual, Dudly. And as more than one
>> >> person has previously noted, you are clearly a paramilitary-wannabe
>> >> and a hero-wannabe.
>> >
>> > I see you harbor the same "hate anyone who does more than I do"
>> >sentiments that Lennie and Brain do...
>>
>> Keep dreaming, Dudly. I couldn't care less how bad you have screwed up
>> your life -- it's what you claim to have done but haven't that hobbles
>> -your- horse.
>
> I'm not the one with two court martials over his head, Frankie.

I'm not the one who lies about his military "career", Dud.

> And as for the rest, I can see how a busted-back-to-boot-camp PFC
>would have a "problem" with a Marine who DID make it to Gunnery
>Sergeant and did so without so much as a single Article 15....

I have no problem with it at all. In fact, I have the highest respect
for most of the staff NCO's I've met over the years. Funny tho, every
one of them who retired held a rank higher than Gunny..... why do you
suppose that is, Dud? Come to think of it, most of the SNCO's I knew
made Gunny within 8-10 years. And it didn't take them 8 years to get
promoted to First/Master Sergeant. But then they were active duty.....

>> > Of course you managed to screw up the one paid "uniformed" job you
>> >held, so I guess no one should expect you to be involved in any kind of
>> >"uniformed" volunteer work, huh...?!?!
>>
>> If the shower-shoe fits.
>
> And I think we have the correct shoe on you, Frankie...
>
>> >> There's plenty more information that is publically available about
>> >> you, but I think I made my case -- using -YOUR OWN WORDS-!!!
>> >
>> > So far, you've not "proven" a single thing.
>>
>> And you have? Ok, Dudly. Whatever you say.
>
> I've proven you to be a liar.

If there's one thing that's lacking in public schools these days it's
the failure to teach critical thinking skills.

> What more could I ask for...?!?!

A better education.

>> > Unless you can show me where NO OTHER MARINE >>EVER<< worked a part
>> >time job, went to school off duty, followed "personal" pursuits that
>> >were not part-and-parcel of thier USMC duties...?!?!
>>
>> So the fact that two-headed snakes have existed is proof that you have
>> one? Go soak your head. I'm talking probability here, Dudly. So far
>> your claims are so IM-probable that you defending them only makes the
>> argument against you even stronger.
>
> No...We HAVE "proven" my cowardly assertions since you won't
>"straight-up" answer the question.

Because the question presumes an untrue premise. And yes, your
assertions are cowardly.

> Where, Frankie, has NO OTHER MARINE >>EVER<< worked a part time
>job,

Disregarding the horrific grammatical structure of your question, 3/8
in 1983-84.

> went to school off duty,

Never prohibited, IME.

> followed "personal" pursuits that were
>not part-and-parcel of their USMC duties...?!?!

Never prohibited, IME.

> EVER?

See above re: part-time jobs

> "Go soak your head" is the BEST you can come up with, Frankie?

I suppose I should dumb down my statement to a level that you can understand: Just because some active duty Marines were allowed to take part-time jobs is not proof that you were ever on active duty. Is that simple enough? Or do I need to draw a Venn diagram (i.e, a picture)?

>> > Geeze, Frankie...That was a looooooooooooooooooooooong stretch,
>>
>> Taking a vacation from your "career" as spelling cop?
>
> You took one from being a Marine. Twice.
>
> Your point?

Well, I guess that means that you are no better than me. And never forget that YOU made the equivocation, Dud.

>> >> BTW, what happened to your first marraige? Were you lying when you
>> >> took those vows before God?
>> >
>> > "marriage"
>>
>> Oh, I see..... it's a do-as-I-say-not-as-I-do thing with you, huh?
>>
>> > I didn't tell you?
>>
>> Nope. So what -are- your justifications for why you failed at your
>> marrIAge?
>
> Why? Is it pertinent to Amateur Radio? Is it pertinent to my
>USMC career?

Absolutely. You accuse me of lying because I broke my oath. You broke -your- oath to your wife and to your God. Therefore, you lied to your wife and to your God. If you don't have enough respect for your God to keep -your- oath, what makes you think that you can hold someone else to the same standard? So it really IS a do-as-I-say-not-as-I-do thing, isn't it?

You will never understand the difference, Dud: I'm not holding you to -my- standard -- I'm holding you to a -higher- standard, just as you would expect. Despite this, you waste your time in the newsgroups throwing around school-yard banter, covering your lies with more lies,

and disgracing honorable institutions by leeching their honor and integrity to feed your personal pride and delusions of heroism. Even when I'm not here you pray to me because you don't have the discipline (let alone the common sense) to avoid arguing with someone whom -you- describe as "silly". The fact is that you -can't- set a standard that is higher than mine, a shitbird PFC. Add to that the fact that your tactics are lame and impotent, your 'facts' are generally wrong, and you tuck tail and run from every challenge to the legitimacy of your claims about your military "career", and anyone can see that -your- standards are well below mine, let alone the standards required of a Marine Gunny.

Nope, you were never active duty. You're a Major Dud.

> Or just another toe-hold you are desperate for since you've been
>unable to establish one anywhere else...?!?!

Forget it, Dud. It's obvious that you can't address anything that deals with reality. Don't even try -- you might stroke out or something.

>> >> > He also dodges following up on all of the references I have
>> >> >posted.
>> >>
>> >> See above.
>> >
>> > I see you trying to stretch some things real far, none of it
>> >causing even "collateral damage".
>>
>> Take a poll, Dudly.... who here is your friend?
>
> And who is YOURS?
>
> Or Lennie's? Or Brain or Markie's?
>
> I think you've pretty much reached the "desperate for a stinging
>insult" phase...

Hmmm..... "stinging insult"..... your words, not mine.

>> >> > That's because in doing so he'd have to eat crow...A LOT of
>> >> >crow.
>> >> >
>> >> >> Although for Stevie to be Carrer Resvist? National gaurd would make a
>> >> >> lot of his #### make more sense now you bring it up but do you have
>> >> >> more than that
>> >> >
>> >> > He has NOTHING, Mark...No "evidence", no facts, no justification,
>> >> >nothing.
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Wrong. I have your own words and some basic math skills.

>> >
>> > Then it seems you also have some comprehension issues and need a
>> >calculator.
>>
>> Once again, you're the expert.
>
> Nope. But I am better at math and English comprehension...witness
>the following:

Witness this:

==========
>K4YZ wrote:
>
>> That's what you've implied...You've suggested that in 18 years
>> (actually 14 at the time I obtained my initial EMT certification)...(SNIP)
>
> Excuse me...that was "12".....
>
>Steve, K4YZ
==========

Nuff said.

>> >> That's all I
>> >> need to prove that you are a liar.
>> >
>> > Uhhhhh....How's that?
>> >
>> > So far all your "math" has proven is that while I was on active
>> >duty I ALSO had non-USMC pursuits.
>>
>> Pottery, polishing rocks, building hot-rods..... those are "pursuits",
>> Dudly. You claim to have successfully had two concurrent careers, both
>> with very demanding and very conflicting obligations. Big difference.
>
> I did?
>
> W H E R E did I say I had "TWO CONCURRENT CAREERS", Frankie...?!?!
>
> Mind you, we're quoting YOU now ! ! !
>
> I had a PART TIME JOB...No retirement plan...No 401K...

Oh, so of those 10+ years of experience you claimed to have in 1998,
six of them were actually only part-time? How "part time" were they?
10hrs/wk on the average? So those six years of experience while on
active duty is really equal to only 1-1/2 years or so of full-time
experience? Only a fourth of full-time experience? That's a pretty big
disparity, Dud, although not uncharacteristic of your propensity to
'stretch' the truth. But claiming to have 400% more experience than
you really had..... that's called "stretching the truth a little too

far" and I don't buy it. In your posts you omit the fact that much of your experience wasn't spent as a career. In fact, you state quite clearly in several posts that EMT -was- your career for all those years, even while working at the hospital in California. So either you lied about having a career as an EMT while on active duty, or you are lying about -not- having a career as an EMT while on active duty, or you are lying about being on active duty. Take your pick.

BTW, you never did answer the question of where you were stationed when you worked as an EMT in PA. Care to give it another try?

>> > What part of MCO's were therein
>> >violated? Other than during Typhoon Condition 1A on Okinawa, I never
>> >spent one minute on Barracks Restriction, and even then THAT was
>> >"island wide".
>>
>> That doesn't make sense -- the minute you didn't spend on barracks
>> restriction was island wide? Think -before- you type, you fruitcake.
>
> I did...very thoughtfully...The ONLY "barracks restriction" I was
>ever "on" was during island wide restrictions for Typhoon Condition 1A.

Did you have to sign in at the OOD's office every two hours? If not then it's not the same thing.

>> Anyway, you clearly don't understand the difference between liberty
>> and restriction, something that is known by any active duty Marine
>> (and probably known by active duty members of the other services as
>> well).
>
> Sure I do. The POINT having been made that I never stood so much
>as one minute of any form of punishment in 18 years inn the Marines...
>
> Can YOU say the same thing...?!?!

What, are you dense? Haven't you been paying attention all this time? Did I not declare, long ago, that I spent time in the brig? Are you actually -trying- to look like a moron? If you are, it's working.

And I never stated, suggested or implied that you "stood" any pushishment for anything. But that wasn't the issue. Not being granted liberty is not restriction. Restriction in regards to punishment simply means that restrictions are placed on your liberty (when granted). You don't know the difference. You claim to have spent 18 years on active duty, where the duty of every NCO is to pull an occasional 24-hr shift in the OOD's office (sometimes as the OOD), the place where Marines on restriction show up every two hours to sign their restriction forms..... yet you don't know the difference.

You didn't spend 18 years on active duty, Dud.

>> Like I said, Dudly -- the more you talk, the deeper you dig
>> your hole.

>
> How's that?
>
> So far, you're the one in "negative numbers", Frankie...

Better be careful with your math -- remember, it was 18 years before
it was 14 years before it was 12 years..... when is the next revision?

>> > Frankie...You should try out for the part of the guy in the comic
>> >book "Fantastic Four" that can stretch like Silly-Putty, because you
>> >sure earned the opportunity here today!
>>
>> Grow up, "Major".
>
> I'm doing just fine.

Ok then, don't grow up.

> But YOU are the one making strange assertions unsubstantiated by
>facts, Frankie.

You validate my arguments just fine.

>> > Lastly...The Marine Corps, as do all the services, heavily recruit
>> >and covet their various Reserve assets...The USMC in particular
>> >requires the same degree of qualifications of their Reservists as they
>> >do their active duty components.
>>
>> Yes they do. Many reservists are former active duty Marines. Many
>> active duty Marines are former reservists. Blah, blah, blah. What's
>> your point?
>
> The POINT is that YOU seem to express duty as a Reservist as a
>negativism.

I degrade only your service as a reservist, Dud. I do it because of
the way -you- feel about -yourself-. You hate yourself for what you
think are your failings; for being a reservist that never saw action
and got drummed out of the Corps on a medical discharge. And because
you hate yourself so much, it's easy to push your buttons.

As for me, the only buttons you can push are your fraudulent claims
about being retired, your "seven hostile actions", and serving your
time on active duty -- fabrications which, ironically, are the product
of your self-hatred. It's no suprise that I have become your primary
adversary. But you don't even see that you are really arguing with
yourself, not with me.

Sometimes I think that you actually -want- me to post undeniable proof
of your bogus service claims so you can quit living the lie. I will in
due time, but not before I get my kicks by letting you suffer the
torment of being stuck in your own web of lies.

>> > This is not something I made up, but a matter of public record and
>> >USMC policy.
>>
>> Where did I say anything different?
>
> At every suggestion that I may have "only" been a reservist and at
>the point wherein you made inferences that duty as a Reservist was
>somehow less-than-adequate.

No, Dudly. I have clearly stated several times that I think there is nothing at all wrong with being a reservist. It may be different than active duty, but is no less worthy of pride and honor. The problem is that -you- don't feel that way. It is -you- that feels a reservist is "less-than-adequate" and not worthy of the same respect as a Marine who served on active duty. I just push that button and, as expected, you thrash around in a defensive fit of grade-school name calling and emotionally charged avoidance tactics. You really need to learn some discipline, Dud.

>> > I should know...I helped train Reservists at various times.
>>
>> Gee, another claim about your military career. I wonder if I should
>> give that one as much weight as I give the rest of your claims....
>
> It doesn't matter what "weight" you give it, Frankie...

Very well, I shall give it as much weight as the rest of your claims: none.

> You were incompetent as a Marine when you were on active duty and
>you're incompetent to sit in judgement of anyone who was in the
>Marines.

True or not, the fact remains that I earned an honorable discharge and you got kicked out on a medical. The fact remains that I saw action (and wish I hadn't) and you didn't (and wish you did). The fact remains that I served my time on active duty while you were a reservist. Hmmmm..... you claim that your 6 years of EMT work was part-time, and a reservist is really a part-time job too.....

Let's see now..... one weekend a month, that's 48 x 12 = 576 hours/yr. Add to that 2 weeks a year, which is another 336 hours. So that's 912 hours/yr, times 16 years (18 years less boot camp and technical MOS training, a very generous estimate) which is 14,592 hours. Now that converts to 608 days, or 1.665 years. So for all practical purposes, after 2 years of boot camp and MOS training you served the active duty equivalent of slightly more than 1-1/2 years, for a grand total of 3-1/2 years, which is -LESS- than my 4 year cruise.

Kiss my hash mark, Major Dud!!!

>> > So...that having been said, even if I HAD been a Reservist, I would
>> >have had to meet the same standards as the Active Duty forces...
>>

>> That's right. The only difference is that you, as a reservist...(SNIP)
>
> Frank Gilliland has uttered yet another intentional lie.
>
>> (UNSNIP)...didn't have the same experiences as an active duty Marine. And active
>> duty Marines didn't have the same experiences a you, a reservist...(SNIP)
>
> Frank Gilliland has uttered yet another intentional lie.
>
>> Too bad you couldn't be proud of that fact instead of trying to pass yourself
>> off as something you never were -- an active duty Marine.
>
> Three in a row.
>
>> > And considering those same Reserve (and National Guard) forces are
>> >carrying the same load as their active duty counterparts in Iraq right
>> >now, your "suggestion" that I may have been a Reservist as a negativism
>> >is ludicrous and assinine.
>>
>> I have nothing against reservists...(SNIP)
>
> Your words in this forum demonstrate otherwise.
>
> (That's four)
>
>> (UNSNIP)...I -do- take issue with reservists
>> who claim to be, or claim to have been, active duty when they weren't.
>
> Well that leaves out anyone in THIS forum.

Your charade is getting really old, Dudly.

>> It's not the same and you know it. Because if you didn't know it then
>> you wouldn't have tried to hide the fact that you spent your entire
>> Marine "career" as a reservist.
>
> Five
>
>> What's wrong with being proud of who you are instead of trying to be
>> someone you're not? It works for me.
>
> Being less that you can be worked for you. Two court martials
>prove it.

You have a strange definition of 'proof', Dud. Care to cite a
dictionary or two (assuming you aren't afraid to learn something about
critical thinking)?

> So...Frankie...Have any REAL proof of your "having been an EMT
>proves you were a Reservist" claim, or do we just get treated to
>another Lenniesque blustery diatribe?

Watching you squirm is good enough for now. I'll break out the
magnifying glass a little later.

>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1435. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 11:25:06 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051114062506.645$I7@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131928330.067590.207050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > what I find funny is that the old post he is talking solicticts such
> > an
> > encountere with a FEMALE not a man
> >>
> Getting pissed on by any gender is pretty sick.
>
The subect header says he's looking for a man.

"Cen IL Bimale seeks Domme, would love spanking on his birthday"

# 1436. Re: Ohio Truckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 11:29:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051114062910.693$87@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.teens.gay,neworleans.general,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com" <steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Hi All,
>
> So Glad to be typing with a belly ful of cum.
>
> My trucker friends took me for a ride to the New Oleans gulf coast. It
> was so fucking cool that the niggers were all gone. I was so fucking
> happy I sucked off over 300 truckers on my ride home.
>
> Lee, I want you in my ass. Fuck me! Fuck me like the petro slut you
> know I am!!
>
Who is SUN DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, Brandon Dunn
1-678-215-8000?

# 1437. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 12:22:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1131970964.376625.201880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124002

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 12 Nov 2005 14:17
>
> >QRZ last updated 01/29/2004, signed as "MAJOR Robeson."
> >
> >Photo of a guy with Captain's bars.
> >
> >Wonder why Steve isn't updating his official photograph?
>
> He didn't on his "official" AOL home page. Gave excuses
> there..."didn't get 'promoted' until July...this is
> November...verrrry slow "developing" of that photo.

Must be suer-high resolution photo paper. Takes longer.

> Note the "headline" on his "official" AOL home page:
>
> "A Man of Many Talents."
>
> He was "homeland security before there was homeland
> security!"
>
> BAAAAWHAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

He has a talent for shooting his mouth off.

> His little Cessna 150 (or was it Aeronca C3?) got
> hard points for WEAPONRY? Whoooeee...look out all
> general av flyers wherever you are...Ace Security
> "MAJOR" gonna get on your tails!

I can just imagine the MAJOR getting behind someone and opening fire.
"He invaded my airspace, he invaded my airspace!" Then he shoots his
prop off.

> On the con-man scale of 1 to 10, Dudly the Imposter (aka
> "United States Air Force Auxilliary" MAJOR) might nudge
> the meter to a 2...with enough QRM to raise baseline...

And he tries so hard. Kind of sad, isn't it? Should we clue him in
that it's "Major?" No need to go ALLCAPS for emphasis.

> No new photo?
>
> Probably because he hasn't found a good dentist yet. We
> haven't seen one picture of him showing his teeth. Well,
> he might not have any? USAF ought to have some dentists

> around to help him out. [they helped out W when he
> "fought" for this country in the Air Guard]

Hmmmm? No teeth? But that's not on the list of the things that's supposed to happen when you shoot your mouth off.

> USAF Auxilliary "MAJOR!" He gots Flight Suit and
> everything! [but no dress blues]

The Air Force Service Dress Uniform will show off that belly of his. I'm thinking that's why no new "official" photo. He's on a weight profile.

> Dudly ought to have gone down to Texas and joined the
> Confederate Air Force. Everyone there is a COLONEL!

Colonel Dudley Sanders. I can just imagine him frying up a mess of chicken for the Confederate Air Force.

> Wow, some Rank! (not speaking of insignia or title)

Do we have a photo of him in his Tennessee Militia uniform?

How about a white dress and white shoes?

# 1438. Re: When will Dan, W4NTI return to the asylum?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 15:52:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131983566.904268.19910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124003

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 13, 11:56 am
>
> >an old friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Wonder how Mike is doing with his BBS? Wonder if it went the way of
> >> > his high-altitude balloon project?
> >
> >> very likely
> >> and expect Dan back pretty soon
> >
> >We can start a pool.
>
> No, no, no! Only ONE poster here can start a pool!
>
> [we all know who that is... :-) ]
>
> >When do you think Dan will return?
>
> Has Dan been gone?!?

yes he has. he stombed off in ahuff complaining that has not ebing
allowed to carry on a private conversation with another poster here on
RRAP
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1439. Re: stevie continues to imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 16:03:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131984222.215622.5690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124004

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > from stevies own keyboard
> > full text at
> > http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/a291230d16fc7e9e/f492cc56f3aee689?rnum=1&hl=en&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2Fa291230d16fc7e9e%2Ff492cc56f3aee689%3Flnk%3Dst%26q%3D%26rnum%3D5%26hl%3Den%26#doc_f492cc56f3aee689
> >
> > > > I am not saying someone is child abuser
> > > >
> > > > you are doing so
> > >
> > > From what you've posted here, that's an easy conclusion to draw.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Stevie claerly continues his line of #### about child abuse
>
> "clearly"
>
> > making more unsupported allegeation
>
> NOT "unsupported"...I have your own words about teaching children
> to lie.

which means it is still unsupported

using complete nonsense it is not supporting a charge

>
> > yea folks I have taken one post made 4 replies so Stevies charges can
> > be sorted out
>
> There's no "charge".

lying again
cut

# 1440. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 16:23:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131985423.047273.218390@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124005

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >From: Frank Gilliland on Sat 12 Nov 2005 11:57
> >
> >Dudly's post didn't show up on my news server so I'll reply to both in
> >this post.
>
> OK.
>
> >On 12 Nov 2005 04:29:46 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
cut

> >>> You are a twice court-martialed disgrace to the Marine Corps.
> >>> There's nothing you could say one way or the other on ANY radio issue
> >>> that would even remotely interest or encourage me.
> >
> >True story. You didn't even blink when I mentioned the VINSON system.
>
> Dudly NOT know of REAL COMMSEC if it bit him on his backside.
>
> Frank, you had a true CLASSIC on the question to him of
> "serving under Col. Vinson." :-)

amen
cut

> >The only person that praises Dudly for his "valor" is Dudly. It's not
> >stolen -- it's imagined.
>
> It makes "MAJOR" Robeson happy. He is a "Man of Many Talents!"
>
> Lots of imagination at any rate...plus great puffing of steam
> about his "exploits" and "being homeland security before there
> was homeland security!"
>
> Dudly went from fake Gunnery Sergeant, USMC, to a "commission"
> in the Tennessee State Guard ("1st Lt"). TN State Guard is about
> as military as a fraternal order with surplus uniforms that meets
> one night once a month and NOT a part of the National Guard.
> Apparently his "commission" in the TN State Guard has been tossed
> so he joined CAP and thinks he is now "USAF" Auxilliary.

amusing that he plays this game and still whines that I let him stable
my KY Colnel Staus together with my service record so when he "tried"
to verify anything folks would laugh at him.

I was deliberately deceptive , proper since he threatened to kill me.

Dudly Dogface does it just to inflate his ego

>
> "Commission" in those two "military" organizations? A Con-Job
> snow job on some "auxilliary's" recruiter to sign a piece of
> paper to that "rank." No OCS, NO four years at the USAF Air
> Academy or Army's Military Academy at West Point.

Now now Len these gruops need people and they lackthe money to pay em,
so they dole out what they can.

Dudlys problem is taking ti too seriously
cut
> >Dudly frequently brings up that issue (and a few others) only to
> >divert attention away from the facts. Just ignore it like I do.
>
> I find his feeble snow-jobs fascinating in a weird way. :-)

at time so do i
>
> He's such an outright FRAUD about it all, it's fun to see
> others taken in by it all!

till those other take take action and make real world threats based on
his lies
>
> Of course, most of the others (who "defend" him) are doing
> it for their own purposes as mighty macho motivated morsemen
> on the morse code test issue. Dudly doesn't realize he is
> being USED by them! :-)
>
> Hans Brakob (a REAL USN Master Chief) used to challenge him
> and finally gave up. Jimmie Noserve tried some limp-wristed
> ruler-slapping on him a few times and then gave up. Heil
> (who chastises everyone challenging him on anything) hasn't
> said much to him in public. Some of the Four Morsemen of
> the Apocalypse are strangely silent. [Hans is a long-time
> morse man but is against the morse code test for amateur
> radio licensing]
>
> ><snip>

> A digitized image of a DD-214 would show enough tell-tales
> on whether it was a forgery or not. It wouldn't be surprising
> if Dudly tried some forgery on A DD-214 and tried to use that.

hell a digial fraud would more eveidence than Stevie has posted
cut
> A typical misdirection used to be "Call the VA!" Like they
> would hop on their terminal and bring it up immediately on a
> phone call? :-) No way. The "VA" would get it from the
> National Archives in St. Louis just like everyone else but

> the folks there won't let it pass without some QUALIFIER...
> like being a veteran, a family relation of a veteran, or
> a bona fide investigating agency like DCAS or FBI. NARA has
> the forms on line if anyone wants to try it, but without the
> Social Security Number of the veteran (or the requestor
> if a private individual), it is SOL time. Note that is
> still needed if the veteran has an old-style military branch
> service number (like mine of RA 16 408 336). GOOD protection
> from higher-class Con Men using information for even greater
> fraud than Dudly tries in here.

I don't I am being to think Dudly Doface beleievs his bullshit

he claims that without my SS or my name, or my unit he can verify his
claim that I did not serve

>
> Another misdirection Dudly tried was to "contact [an old
> unit] and get verification!" Dud tried that twice, but
> doesn't understand that military units undergo considerable
> change in two decades, become deactivated or merge with
> other units. Neither will those present-day military units
> just give out the information on a whim or request from some
> unauthenticated requester.

none of those units would HAVE it at hand to give out of they wanted to
cut
> He gets into classic insult mode with me by using the
> Yiddish pejorative "putz" as a tag line in messages to me.
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Dud thinks I am Jewish? I am a Lutheran.
> My wife was raised Presbyterian but we've gone to services
> at the Lutheran Church where we were married (All-Saints,
> but they accept sinners too! :-). Goyim Dudly ist
> meshuggas. Oy, gevalt!
cut
> >And again, there's no way to verify his story.
>
> Dudly tries to use "Google provides!" as a common reply, but all
> Google has is Dudly's newsgroup postings. Google does NOT have
> any digitized images of REAL paperwork verifying anything that
> Dud said.

no Dudly did not start that BB did Dudly Dogface simply does not
understand how the system works
cut
> >>> I NEVER stated that I had "...10+ years experience as an LPN/EMT
> >>> while on active duty."
> >>>
> >>> I CLEARLY stated that I got my EMT card in 86. I left the Corps in
> >>> 92.
> >
> >Pardon me..... 6+ years. And don't you mean you "retired from the

> >Corps in 92"?
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dud made a slip there on using "left" instead of
> "retired." [that's why he rates such a low number on the Con
> Man scale...his incosistency in lying]

indeed in fact if admited he lied or just claimed a lousey memory (he
has the later being unable to keep his lies straight for even a day) he
could be taken more seriously
>
> Dudly once slipped and said he had a medical discharge from
> the USMC. He tried vainly to "change" that to an Honorable
> in another series that managed to denunciate all who remarked
> on that by using the standard personal insult schtick of his.
>
> At the end of that tirade of how-dare-we-challenge-this-hero
> he "explained" it was due to an "accident." The details of
> that "accident" were never explained; Dud must have lost his
> creative fantasy spark for a while. So, we don't really know
> how Dud got tossed out. One can surmise, from over ten
> thousand postings in this newsgroup, that he got tossed for
> mental problems.

has he hit 10,000 yet? last I look he was at about 8500, (the number
shows up at the top when I begin each round of Google.com "dumpster
diving"

cut

> Dudly did it again...misdirection, diversion...avoids having
> to state any DETAILS of what he claimed he was.

he is certainly aworthy student of Herr Geobels
cut

>
> From: Frank Gilliland on Sat 12 Nov 2005 12:03
>
> >On 12 Nov 2005 04:25:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
> >> That scumbag could donate a million dollars to a "pro-code"
> >>advocacy group and he'd STILL be a disgraced, less-than-honorably
> >>discharged ex-Marine
> >
> >.....FORMER Marine.....
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...Dudly using "ex" marine instead of his former
> sanctimonious "I'll always be a marine" huffing-puffing. More
> lapses of continuity in his con game.
>
> >> who places his own ego and self-righteousness over
> >>truth and dignity.

> >
> >Uh....yeah, that's why I called myself a "shitbird PFC", huh?
>
> Poor Dudly is doing the "transferrence" thing in his personal
> insult throwing...probably without realizing it. Common
> syndrome explained to basic psychology students in first year.

it get through in those survey freashman courss most of us sit through
in college just along enough to gte our grade and forget. shocking they
way it all comes back

but on that note, Dudly sould know this, after all he is (according to
him) a drop out of an RN (he blamed it on his dead kid BTW)
cut
"real" truth must apply.
>
> We readers have had more than SEVEN YEARS of waiting for ANY
> written proof of Dudly the Imposter's "service" and it has NOT
> appeared. All that exists is Dudly's newsgroup messages that
> he is "telling the truth" (and that everyone challenging him
> is "lying"). Not one single person reference (in 18 years NO
> namable friends for reference?), .....

bot even the somewhat plausible exucse that he is not going to subject
his freinds to the abuse they would receive from the USENET
babrbarians......

>...not one single digitized
> image of REAL documents (such as a DD-214), not one single
> digitized photo of Dudly doing his "USMC Avionics Tech" thing
> (in 18 years nothing?). Total ZERO references that could prove
> his case. He has no case. He is a fraud.
>
> Frank, you know, I know, and all readers know that Dudly has
> NO valid documentation. He cannot provide what he does NOT
> have. If you keep your word, YOU will be HERE forever! :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1441. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 16:26:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131985577.471140.279830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124006

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 12 Nov 2005 14:17
>
> >QRZ last updated 01/29/2004, signed as "MAJOR Robeson."
> >
cut
> Dudly ought to have gone down to Texas and joined the
> Confederate Air Force. Everyone there is a COLONEL!

indeed I for very little in the way of service was made A Colonel by
his neibooring State
>
> Wow, some Rank! (not speaking of insignia or title)

# 1442. Re: Ohio Truckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 16:37:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131986272.428010.92650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com" <steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Hi All,
> >
> > So Glad to be typing with a belly ful of cum.
> >
> > My trucker friends took me for a ride to the New Oleans gulf coast. It
> > was so fucking cool that the niggers were all gone. I was so fucking
> > happy I sucked off over 300 truckers on my ride home.
> >
> > Lee, I want you in my ass. Fuck me! Fuck me like the petro slut you
> > know I am!!
> >
> Who is SUN DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, Brandon Dunn
> 1-678-215-8000?

what is the problem

you claiming you are the only one allowed to enage in smearing posts?

he doesn't even claim to be you

is nobody named Steve allowed to be gay?

# 1443. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 17:13:28 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dlagj5$3c5$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:11ng0u8rsj95o56@corp.supernews.com...
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131928330.067590.207050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > what I find funny is that the old post he is talking solicticts such an
> > encountere with a FEMALE not a man
> >>
> Getting pissed on by any gender is pretty sick.

I was going to say... im hetrosexual but find the thought of a woman pissing on me a bit offputting to say the least. Is it a "Gay" thing or is it just a "Sicko" thing? Also the people who go on about men taking it up the butt seem to have no problem with women taking it up the butt. So its not an anal thing as such. Strange old world isnt it.

Graham

# 1444. Re: Gawd, how could I miss THIS one????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 17:28:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131989294.056846.241530@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124009

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 22:53:47 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130914427.674064.285970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
cut

> > Frankie, the question I asked over and over in the original post
> >was WHAT MCO WAS VIOLATED...?!?!
>
>
> Since when does it require an MCO for a superior officer to give a
> legal and lawful order to a subordinate? The granting of liberty is at
> the discretion of the unit's commanding officer. And liberty doesn't
> mean you are free to do as you please. If you come back from a 96 with
> a decent sunburn you can end up with NJP -- that very situation has
> happened more than once.

One Dudly is Student of Herr Geobels

a decnet sunburn geting an art 15 I don't think so, a Bad sunburn sure
shame on you Frank for the LIE:)
>
> "What MCO was violated?" That's the wrong question, Dud. ...

agains you are slightly off it is the right question for Dudly Dogface
it is the right question if ones goals is decietion

and we both know that is Dudlies goal
cut
>
> Gee, and all this time you have refused to provide -any- details about
> your military "career". How silly of me to think that now, when
> confronted with an issue even more intrusive than a few lines from a
> DD-214, you would spit up some specific information. Gee, I need to
> reconsider my position regarding your credibility........LOL!!!!
>
cut

> > There was no "Camp ....." in Pennsylvania, Frankie.

standard Dudly try to avoid the question byacting stupid, of Dudly
doesn't have to ACT
>
>
> MCAS ......? NAS ......? Fort ......? BSA troop ......?

secert sub-marine base no doubt like the Naval air warfare center in
INDY

cut
> That is, most -normal- and
> -mentally balanced- people.

well said
>

>
> > I'd love to see the MP's dragging off-duty Marines from firetrucks
> >and ambulances.
>
>
> That sort of stuff turns you on, huh?

look at the turn of phrase

he loves it, watching MEN dpoing something like that

I simply point out his represed (it seems to point of pathological)
sexual issues
cut

> > So...you figure I can make it through EMS school but don't know how
> >to pick up the phone and say "Something's come up at the base and I
> >can't make it in..."...?!?!
it has not been established you made it through EMS school

cut
> >> As a Marine your primary obligation is to the Corps...(SNIP)
> >
> > Obviously a point you didn't have any respect for until AFTER
> >those two courts martials, eh...?!?!
>
>
> Actually, it didn't sink in until after the first court-martial, but
> well before the second.

LOL not sure if I am laughing at Frank or the image of in mind eyes of
Stevie reading franks word

>
>
> >> (UNSNIP)...and I don't think
> >> -any- hospital with an emergency room would hire a part-time EMT who
> >> is much more likely to miss a shift than a dedicated EMT whose primary
> >> obligation is to the hospital and patients. They might let you in as a
> >> candy-striper.....
> >
> > Then once again you've allowed us a moment to break into laughter
> >at your ignorance and arrogance~!
>
>
> Prove me wrong, Dudly.

honestly in some places I know they are so short of Nurses they might take on one that they could not rely on (for reason like Stevie's) in order to have the chance for having enough nurses, don't know anyplace is hard up ebough for EMT's but maybe

cut

> > Name them yourself...I don't have back copies of Leatherneck
> >anymore...However anyone who cares to do some research will see you for
> >what you are....
>
>
> YOU referenced the source so it's YOUR obligation to validate YOUR
> claim.... oh, that's right, you didn't understand the meaning of an
> 'obligation'. Well, now you do so you don't have an excuse. Name one
> of those Marines that was written up in Leatherneck for being an EMT
> while in active duty. Just one.

I suspect I might be able to name one, Steven J Robeson, just not the person posting

the more he goes on and on the more I suspect he found the origanal Steve J Roberson dead, perhaps the current one killed him in a car accident (or got him killed by telling a lie) and took on his life, but he doesn't know enough about that life to live it

>
>
> >> > Is it YOUR contention that all of those Marines were NOT "real
> >> >Marines" because they did something that wasn't associated with their
> >> >official duties?
> >>
> >> I said nothing of the sort. You're spinning so hard now you are going
> >> to fall down and puke.
> >
> > No...
> >
> > That's what you've implied...
>
>
> Have you ever tried to read anything without attaching it to your own
> distorted imagination?

I can answer that for him NO he hasn't

>Try it sometime -- it will help to eliminate
> your moral confusion.

not sure anything can help Stevie
cut

> >that's "wrong"...
>
>

> In your case, it's as wrong as John Wayne Gacy showing an interest in
> dressing up like a clown.

like Gacy Dudly can't seem to help it
cut

>
> When you get done laughing, how about showing me where I said that
> getting court-martialed twice is "OK"?

I can explain it for you though

Dudly thinks no one would do anything they did not think was right, and
moral and proper

therefore you must have felt it ok to be courtmartialed or you would
commited hari-kari first, before standing trail, therefore the fact you
are still alive means you found it to be OK to be courtmartaled

Stevie tried the same stunts with me
I say it is ok to lie when diverting danger or direct attacks sthings
like that, therefore stevie claims i have said in the same word it is
desireable that the world is as it is and such lying is sometime a good
idea
>
>
> >> > Did I miss some law that says once someone uses a latin word in
> >> >THEIR motto, no one else can use it...?!?!
> >>
> >> Did I say it was illegal?
> >
> > You obviously have a problem with it...
>
>
> You obviously have an obsession with it.

nothing about Stevie may be questioned

cut
> > I'm not the one with two court martials over his head, Frankie.
>
>
> I'm not the one who lies about his military "career", Dud.

and you don't have 2 court martial "over you head" they are in the past
cut

> > I've proven you to be a liar.
>
>
> If there's one thing that's lacking in public schools these days it's
> the failure to teach critical thinking skills.

yep

which I why I will homeschool
>
>
> > What more could I ask for...?!?!
>
>
> A better education.

a Life of his own?
cut
> > No...We HAVE "proven" my cowardly assertions since you won't
> >"straight-up" answer the question.
>
>
> Because the question presumes an untrue premise. And yes, your
> assertions are cowardly.

Nah Dudly is the coward the assertion are just inocent victums

>
>
> > Where, Frankie, has NO OTHER MARINE >>EVER<< worked a part time
> >job,
>
>
> Disregarding the horrific grammatical structure of your question, 3/8
> in 1983-84.
cut

>
> I suppose I should dumb down my statement to a level that you can
> understand: Just because some active duty Marines were allowed to take
> part-time jobs is not proof that you were ever on active duty.

nor is it proof that if you were on active YOU were allowed etc

> Is that
> simple enough? Or do I need to draw a Venn diagram (i.e, a picture)?
>
>
> >> > Geeze, Frankie...That was a looooooooooooooooooooooong stretch,
> >>
> >> Taking a vacation from your "career" as spelling cop?
> >
> > You took one from being a Marine. Twice.
> >
> > Your point?
>
>
> Well, I guess that means that you are no better than me. And never
> forget that YOU made the equivocation, Dud.

no he will never forget it

he will never understand he made it

cut
> > Why? Is it pertinent to Amateur Radio? Is it pertinent to my
> >USMC career?

it is at least as related to both as your attack threads about my
sexuality, Todd's, Brain's et al are
>
stealing the atriubtuion form frank since the word earing repeating
Absolutely. You accuse me of lying because I broke my oath. You broke
-your- oath to your wife and to your God. Therefore, you lied to your
wife and to your God. If you don't have enough respect for your God to
keep -your- oath, what makes you think that you can hold someone else
to the same standard? So it really IS a do-as-I-say-not-as-I-do thing,
isn't it?

You will never understand the difference, Dud: I'm not holding you to
-my- standard -- I'm holding you to a -higher- standard, just as you
would expect. Despite this, you waste your time in the newsgroups
throwing around school-yard banter, covering your lies with more lies,
and disgracing honorable institutions by leeching their honor and
integrity to feed your personal pride and delusions of heroism. Even
when I'm not here you pray to me because you don't have the discipline
(let alone the common sense) to avoid arguing with someone whom -you-
describe as "silly". The fact is that you -can't- set a standard that
is higher than mine, a shitbird PFC. Add to that the fact that your
tactics are lame and impotent, your 'facts' are generally wrong, and
you tuck tail and run from every challenge to the legitimacy of your
claims about your military "career", and anyone can see that -your-
standards are well below mine, let alone the standards required of a
Marine Gunny.
ending my "theft of franks word
>
> Nope, you were never active duty. You're a Major Dud.
>
>
> > Or just another toe-hold you are desperate for since you've been
> >unable to establish one anywhere else...?!?!
>
>
> Forget it, Dud. It's obvious that you can't address anything that
> deals with reality. Don't even try -- you might stroke out or
> something.

please don't try to disaude him for taking the most honorable exit
avalable to a person like Him

>
>
cut
> > I think you've pretty much reached the "desperate for a stinging
> >insult" phase...

>
>
> Hmmm..... "stinging insult"..... your words, not mine.

rememebr Len words about "Mirror"

> >> Once again, you're the expert.
> >
> > Nope. But I am better at math and English comprehension...witness
> >the following:
>
>
> Witness this:
>
> =============
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >
> >> That's what you've implied...You've suggested that in 18 years
> >> (actually 14 at the time I obtained my initial EMT certification)...(SNIP)
> >
> > Excuse me...that was "12".....
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
> =============
>
> Nuff said.
cut
> > I did?
> >
> > W H E R E did I say I had "TWO CONCURRENT CAREERS", Frankie...?!?!
> >
> > Mind you, we're quoting YOU now ! ! !
> >
> > I had a PART TIME JOB...No retirement plan...No 401K...
>
>
> Oh, so of those 10+ years of experience you claimed to have in 1998,
> six of them were actually only part-time? How "part time" were they?
> 10hrs/wk on the average? So those six years of experience while on
> active duty is really equal to only 1-1/2 years or so of full-time
> experience? Only a fourth of full-time experience? That's a pretty big
> disparity, Dud, although not uncharacteristic of your propensity to
> 'stretch' the truth. But claiming to have 400% more experience than
> you really had..... that's called "stretching the truth a little too
> far" and I don't buy it. In your posts you omit the fact that much of
> your experience wasn't spent as a career. In fact, you state quite
> clearly in several posts that EMT -was- your career for all those
> years, even while working at the hospital in California. So either you
> lied about having a career as an EMT while on active duty, or you are
> lying about -not- having a career as an EMT while on active duty, or
> you are lying about being on active duty. Take your pick.

>
> BTW, you never did answer the question of where you were stationed
> when you worked as an EMT in PA. Care to give it another try?
>
>
cut
>
> >> Anyway, you clearly don't understand the difference between liberty
> >> and restriction, something that is known by any active duty Marine
> >> (and probably known by active duty members of the other services as
> >> well).
> >
> > Sure I do. The POINT having been made that I never stood so much
> >as one minute of any form of punishment in 18 years inn the Marines...
> >
> > Can YOU say the same thing...?!?!
>
>
> What, are you dense? Haven't you been paying attention all this time?
> Did I not declare, long ago, that I spent time in the brig? Are you
> actually -trying- to look like a moron? If you are, it's working.

and his claim never to stodd for ANY FROM OF punishment for even a
minute

No way he went through BCT ( or what ever the Marines call it) without
it

you don't even have to do anything wrong sometimes
>
> And I never stated, suggested or implied that you "stood" any
> pushishment for anything. But that wasn't the issue. Not being granted
> liberty is not restriction. Restriction in regards to punishment
> simply means that restrictions are placed on your liberty (when
> granted). You don't know the difference. You claim to have spent 18
> years on active duty, where the duty of every NCO is to pull an
> occasional 24-hr shift in the OOD's office (sometimes as the OOD), the
> place where Marines on restriction show up every two hours to sign
> their restriction forms..... yet you don't know the difference.
>
> You didn't spend 18 years on active duty, Dud.

maybe he means 18 dog years?

cut

> > I'm doing just fine.
>
>
> Ok then, don't grow up.
>
>

> > But YOU are the one making strange assertions unsubstantiated by
> >facts, Frankie.
>
>
> You validate my arguments just fine.
cut

> As for me, the only buttons you can push are your fraudulent claims
> about being retired, your "seven hostile actions", and serving your
> time on active duty -- fabrications which, ironically, are the product
> of your self-hatred. It's no suprise that I have become your primary
> adversary. But you don't even see that you are really arguing with
> yourself, not with me.

indeed

that is going to be his problem in "suing " me if I have harmed it was
only possible with HIS assiatnce, making him my coconspritor
>
> Sometimes I think that you actually -want- me to post undeniable proof
> of your bogus service claims so you can quit living the lie. I will in
> due time, but not before I get my kicks by letting you suffer the
> torment of being stuck in your own web of lies.

Ah a fellow sadist, and Dudly the willing indeed needfull submission.
Np I do hope you enjoy your session

cut

>
> > You were incompetent as a Marine when you were on active duty and
> >you're incompetent to sit in judgement of anyone who was in the
> >Marines.
>
>
> True or not, the fact remains that I earned an honorable discharge and
> you got kicked out on a medical. The fact remains that I saw action
> (and wish I hadn't) and you didn't (and wish you did).

That is it been strugling for the Charater Dudly sort a reminds me of
Maj Powers

but back to Stevie

anyone that wishes to see combat is truely mad, who is proud of combat

cut
> Kiss my hash mark, Major Dud!!!
cut

> > Well that leaves out anyone in THIS forum.
>
>
> Your charade is getting really old, Dudly.

old eneough it hardly even stink anymore

cut
> > Being less that you can be worked for you. Two court martials
> >prove it.
>
>
> You have a strange definition of 'proof', Dud. Care to cite a
> dictionary or two (assuming you aren't afraid to learn something about
> critical thinking)?

I keep asking him to publish one so we can foolow his words

>
>
> > So...Frankie...Have any REAL proof of your "having been an EMT
> >proves you were a Reservist" claim, or do we just get treated to
> >another Lenniesque blustery diatribe?
>
>
> Watching you squirm is good enough for now. I'll break out the
> magnifying glass a little later.
>
>
> >Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

# 1445. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 18:21:29 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <4378d56f.22453095@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 124010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 20:13:59 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:

>Isn't Ireland a part of the U.K?

WHAAAaaat?!!!!!!

# 1446. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 18:49:00 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dlam6a$klj$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:4378d56f.22453095@news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 20:13:59 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
> >Isn't Ireland a part of the U.K?
>
> WHAAAaaat?!!!!!!

It gets worse... someone told me Wales was part of the UK today :o(

# 1447. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 19:14:25 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyd@lloydsfriendpizza.org>
Message-ID: <dlanjq$dah$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:vv4gn1l1par4a9lolbba7qi0cgss0csrhv@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 13:14:16 -0600, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.
>
> Okay, I can accept that. But apologize to Lloyd.

There is more than one Lloyd posting here. Only Wiseman continually
thinks some guy named Lloyd in Alabama is the one and only
Lloyd posting here. All one has to do is examine the properties
of the post, but Wiseman, despite his correspondence Masters Degree
from DeVry, is unable to do that. BTW, I am not the offended
Lloyd. I have lived in Detroit all my life.

73,

Lloyd

## 1448. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 19:21:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131996094.573797.36220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:
> "Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:4378d56f.22453095@news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> > On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 20:13:59 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >
> > >Isn't Ireland a part of the U.K?
> >
> > WHAAAaaat?!!!!!!
>
> It gets worse... someone told me Wales was part of the UK today :o(

Whales has been part of the UK since it agreed to acept a "Prince that
spoke no English" was given the english prince of wales who could not
yet speak english wasn't up to goo ga ga yet

## 1449. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 19:37:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1131997070.080765.75790@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124014

general question on the topic. are the reply coments at 1201 am 15Nove
or or 1201 16 Nov

# 1450. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 22:08:18 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43790a48.34394070@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 124015
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>
> "zarPK"
> <class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.ei
> rcom.ie.net> wrote in message news:43776650.82381970@news.iol.ie...
> > "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> >
> > > just a form of elitist snobbery. Also trying to say anyone who doesnt
> want
> > > to learn morse is basically a "scumbag" shows Bigotry beyond contempt.
> Why
> >
> > I said no such thing !
> >
> > you have some correcting to do.
>
> That comment was meant for the posters who say people on Amateur Bands who
> do not wish to involve themselves with morse are lowly class CBers who seem
> to live in trailor parks and live off welfare and care nothing (scumbags in
> other words) It wasnt aimed directly at you it was put in as an "Also" to
> those who try to put that image over. Maybe I should have made it a bit
> clearer.

IT IS MY OPINION THAT...
theres a difference between
a cb'er who choses to join and amateur radio to improve his/her knowledge
and capability with radio
and
a cb'er who choses to invade amateur bands just to have extra bands to
play with and brings his/her 11m habits with him/her

# 1451. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 22:11:09 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <43790b70.34689922@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 124016
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

"Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69@hotmail.com> wrote:

>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131902363.059822.305290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > you are the poster being reffered to
> >
> > and the charge is not of hiding but proof nothing you say need be taken
> > seriously
>
> Don't worry, z??pk has no problem with picking up the baton and defending
> himself, usually by the wrong end unfortunately...
>
> He loves being called Rumpelstiltskin ( G8OSN), another individual who
> hated it when people referred to him by name.

talk about wrong end of stick !

# 1452. Re: Ohio Truckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 22:21:07 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051114172107.685$nH@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com" <steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Hi All,
> > >
> > > So Glad to be typing with a belly ful of cum.
> > >
> > > My trucker friends took me for a ride to the New Oleans gulf coast.
> > > It was so fucking cool that the niggers were all gone. I was so
> > > fucking happy I sucked off over 300 truckers on my ride home.
> > >
> > > Lee, I want you in my ass. Fuck me! Fuck me like the petro slut you
> > > know I am!!
> > >
> > Who is SUN DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, Brandon Dunn
> > 1-678-215-8000?
>
> what is the problem
>
> you claiming you are the only one allowed to enage in smearing posts?
>
> he doesn't even claim to be you
>
> is nobody named Steve allowed to be gay?
>
That sick shit turns you on eh' Morgan?

# 1453. Re: Ohio Truckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 22:26:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132007168.655699.273280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com" <steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > Hi All,
> > > >
> > > > So Glad to be typing with a belly ful of cum.
> > > >
> > > > My trucker friends took me for a ride to the New Oleans gulf coast.
> > > > It was so fucking cool that the niggers were all gone. I was so
> > > > fucking happy I sucked off over 300 truckers on my ride home.
> > > >
> > > > Lee, I want you in my ass. Fuck me! Fuck me like the petro slut you
> > > > know I am!!
> > > >
> > > Who is SUN DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, Brandon Dunn
> > > 1-678-215-8000?
> >
> > what is the problem
> >
> > you claiming you are the only one allowed to enage in smearing posts?
> >
> > he doesn't even claim to be you
> >
> > is nobody named Steve allowed to be gay?

> >
> That sick shit turns you on eh' Morgan?

only in apealing to my sense of justice

I found it amusing to watch you whine by seeing yourself tarred by the
same sort of brush you try to use

# 1454. Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoys having men urinate on him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 22:31:34 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051114173134.792$0I_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com" <steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > > > Hi All,
> > > > >
> > > > > So Glad to be typing with a belly ful of cum.
> > > > >
> > > > > My trucker friends took me for a ride to the New Oleans gulf
> > > > > coast. It was so fucking cool that the niggers were all gone. I
> > > > > was so fucking happy I sucked off over 300 truckers on my ride
> > > > > home.
> > > > >
> > > > > Lee, I want you in my ass. Fuck me! Fuck me like the petro slut
> > > > > you know I am!!
> > > > >
> > > > Who is SUN DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, Brandon Dunn
> > > > 1-678-215-8000?
> > > >
> > > what is the problem
> > >
> > > you claiming you are the only one allowed to enage in smearing posts?
> > >
> > > he doesn't even claim to be you
> > >
> > > is nobody named Steve allowed to be gay?
> >
> > >
> > That sick shit turns you on eh' Morgan?
>
> only in apealing to my sense of justice
>
Is that when men piss and you and you lick their blood, fruitcake?

http://tinyurl.com/82gql

# 1455. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 22:46:10 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11ni4tgeafact91@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 124020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
news:vv4gn1l1par4a9lolbba7qi0cgss0csrhv@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 13:14:16 -0600, "DrDeath"
> <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
>
>>I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.
>
> Okay, I can accept that. But apologize to Lloyd.

If you mean the Lloyd that continues to correct my spelling and grammar, I
think not.

# 1456. Re: Ohio Truckers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-14 23:09:37 UTC
From: Wordy <wordnerd@cox.nest>
Message-ID: <BO8ef.1929$Xj5.1926@dukeread02>
Source record: 124021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.teens.gay,neworleans.general,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:

> "steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com" <steveo_the_gay@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Hi All,
>>
>>So Glad to be typing with a belly ful of cum.
>>
>>My trucker friends took me for a ride to the New Oleans gulf coast. It
>>was so fucking cool that the niggers were all gone. I was so fucking
>>happy I sucked off over 300 truckers on my ride home.
>>
>>Lee, I want you in my ass. Fuck me! Fuck me like the petro slut you
>>know I am!!
>>
>
> Who is SUN DEVELOPMENT AND MANAGEMENT CORPORATION, Brandon Dunn
> 1-678-215-8000?

Oh, call them!!!! Better yet forward this yoyo's post to them.

# 1457. Re: Gawd, how could I miss THIS one????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 00:13:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132013586.881415.34330@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124023

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 22:53:47 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

> > So...you figure I can make it through EMS school but don't know how
> >to pick up the phone and say "Something's come up at the base and I
> >can't make it in..."...?!?!
>
> More proof that you were never on active duty: frequently there are
> times when you don't have access to a telephone, especially when
> liberty is cancelled because the alert status of the base or unit is
> raised. In those cases, outside comm is usually prohibited because of
> security issues. Happened when the US shot down the Libyan jets, when
> Beirut got bombed, when Reagan invaded Grenada..... Speaking of which,
> I had a friend in 2/8 that I knew from MCAGCC. They were prohibited
> from using the telephone several days before deployment, outgoing mail
> was held on ship during transit, and even the ship's MARS station was
> shut down.
>
> But you never experienced such things because you were never on active
> duty..... -or- on float.

This new information gives me insight into how Steve thinks.

We were given an amateur emergency response scenario by one of the regulars on here. He stated the served agencies requirements and the number of volunteers available. I said that the volunteer group could not meet those stated requirements with available resources. Steve said they could, and wrote a duty schedule with long periods of uncovered and undercovered periods.

Probably goes back to his "service" days where he left his unit hanging and thought nothing of it.

In the end, he said that I said that no volunteer group could ever satisfy an agencies requirements, a lie like his many other lies. I don't know about the USMC, but in the Air Force, we call uncovered periods in a duty schedule "AWOL" and the person presently on duty just eats it.

But I understand that some reserve units are more laid back.

# 1458. Re: Gawd, how could I miss THIS one????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 00:26:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132014409.575726.208430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124024

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 1 Nov 2005 22:53:47 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1130914427.674064.285970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > >Frankie of Silliland, RRAP's Newest Source Of Comic Relief wrote:
> > >> On 1 Nov 2005 16:18:14 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

> > >> > My first EMT card was in Pennsylvania in February 1986. PA
> > >> >EMT-MAST 014402.
> > >>
> > >> Where you were stationed at Camp .....?
> > >
> > > There was no "Camp ....." in Pennsylvania, Frankie.
> >
> > MCAS ......? NAS ......? Fort ......? BSA troop ......?

VA Medical Center? Third floor, room 308?

# 1459. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 00:29:12 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <BKydnYnPLr1WgOTeRVn-sg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The Magnum wrote:
> "DrDeath" <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:11ng0u8rsj95o56@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:1131928330.067590.207050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > what I find funny is that the old post he is talking solicticts such an
>>
>>>encountere with a FEMALE not a man
>>>
>>Getting pissed on by any gender is pretty sick.
>
>
> I was going to say... im hetrosexual but find the thought of a woman pissing
> on me a bit offputting to say the least. Is it a "Gay" thing or is it just a
> "Sicko" thing?

"gay" thing or "sicko" thing, it's all the same.

# 1460. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 00:52:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132015930.820375.262300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124025

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >From: Frank Gilliland on Sat 12 Nov 2005 11:57
> >
> >Dudly's post didn't show up on my news server so I'll reply to both in
> >this post.
>
> OK.
>
> >On 12 Nov 2005 04:29:46 -0800, hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote in
>
> ><snip>
> >>> A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
> >>> away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
> >>> warship.
> >
> >Wrong. What you describe is called a "float". But you didn't know
> >that. You also didn't know that that avionics techs are routinely
> >deployed with their units in the FMF. You didn't know these things
> >because you have never been on float. This is supported by the fact
> >that, upon deployment overseas, the Corps (and probably the other
> >services) sends press releases to each Marine's hometown media center,
> >yet there have been no press releases in Ohio, California or Tennessee
> >for any Steve or Steven Robeson, USMC, during the time you claim to
> >have been on active duty (public information, but it was easier for me
> >since I still have connections at the station). The fact is that you
> >were never deployed on float. And you can't do 18 years of active duty
> >and -not- get deployed on float. It's part of the job.
> >
> >Your pile of dirt grows larger by the day, Dudly.
>
> It's high enough to need FAA-mandated warning lights as an
> obstruction. Moles gave up being jealous of its height a
> long time ago...

What are the odds of being in a single hostile action if you've never
been deployed?

I'm thinking zero. Now multiply that by seven.

snip

> >Except that there is no public record that verifies your claim that
> >you were on active duty for any of your 18 years. If I'm wrong then
> >cough it up and I'll leave this group forever. Deal?

>
> Frank, please do NOT give ground on this. You are right and
> the "real" truth must apply.
>
> We readers have had more than SEVEN YEARS of waiting for ANY
> written proof of Dudly the Imposter's "service" and it has NOT
> appeared. All that exists is Dudly's newsgroup messages that
> he is "telling the truth" (and that everyone challenging him
> is "lying"). Not one single person reference (in 18 years NO
> namable friends for reference?),

Steve served alone. "A Marine Corps of One!"

Almost sounds like a recruiting slogan, doesn't it?

FWIW, the AF reserves have "Air Reserve Technicians" that serve full time as if on active duty. Maybe the USMC/R have the same deal.

not one single digitized
> image of REAL documents (such as a DD-214), not one single
> digitized photo of Dudly doing his "USMC Avionics Tech" thing
> (in 18 years nothing?). Total ZERO references that could prove
> his case. He has no case. He is a fraud.

No "Stars & Stripes" article?

No "N/MC Times" article?

No installation newspaper articles?

Hero Steve must have laid pretty low those 18 years.

> Frank, you know, I know, and all readers know that Dudly has
> NO valid documentation. He cannot provide what he does NOT
> have. If you keep your word, YOU will be HERE forever! :-)

Yikes! Condemned to RRAP for a lifetime?

My single reason for staying on is that I wouldn't let these pricks (roughly the equivalent of "putz") run me off. I'm waiting for Mike Coslo to get his BBS up and running so most of the esteemed riffraff will depart. My plan is to turn off the lights, but it hinges on Coslo making a success of himself. What are the odds?

## 1461. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 01:01:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132016517.491925.283980@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124026

an_old_friend wrote:
> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > John Kasupski wrote:
> > > > > On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:35:06 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >Comments to 05-235 attached.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >--
> > > > > >73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > >
> > > > > Very good, Hans.
> > > >
> > > > We're in agreement on the first part, anyway.
> > > >
> > > > But I doubt it will make any difference. Many of the 18 petitions
> > > > included
> > > > various changes to entry-level license privileges, including the very
> > > > obvious one of giving Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges to all Techs. Yet
> > > > FCC
> > > > repeatedly denied all of them.
> > > >
> > > > In the NPRM, FCC makes it clear that their vision of the future looks
> > > > like this:
> > >
> > > wrong again
> >
> > How is it wrong, Mark?
>
> by not being right

Why isn't it right?

> first proble is in asuming the FCC has a vision of the future

The NPRM makes it clear the FCC does have a vision of the
future.

> second that saying such a vision is clear

Have you read the NPRM? FCC makes it clear they think
a three-level license system is best for the ARS. They also
think that the Technician - with no HF privileges - is the
right entry-level license.

Read the parts where FCC turns down all the proposals for
a new entry-level license class. And the parts where FCC
turns down expanded privileges for Techs.

> > All the FCC proposes to do in the NPRM is to drop Element 1 from the
> > requirements.
> > Nothing else - in fact, other changes have been specifically denied.
> >
> > If that is done, the following will be the inevitable result:
> >
> > > > Technician: Entry-level license. All VHF/UHF, no HF
> >
> > With no Element 1, the only way for new hams or existing noncodetested
> > Techs
> > to get any HF will be to go for General.
>
> the NPRM is anything but clear that is the result they intend.

It's clear what FCC intends.

> inddeed
> for the sake the ARS I hope it is what they end up doing.

So you agree that the Tech should have no HF privileges? I don't.
>
> amusingly so Does Jim Heaney (sp?) of the ARRL

Wrong! ARRL has petitioned for more privileges for the entry-level
license.

> > > > General: Mid-level license. All VHF/UHF, most HF/MF
> >
> > As it is today, without Element 1
> >
> > > > > Extra: Top license, all privileges.
> >
> > As it is today, without Element 1
> >
> > > > > Novice, Tech Plus, Advanced: Old license classes that will disappear
> > > > > with attrition.
> >
> > Which has been going on for the past 5-1/2 years.
> >
> > > > > No free or automatic upgrades.
> >
> > Specifically denied by FCC. Nobody loses privileges and nobody gains
> > privileges.
> >
> > > > >No HF for Technicians who haven't passed
> > > > > a code test.
> >
> > That's what Hans is trying to fix.
> >

> > Unfortunately FCC doesn't seem to see that as a problem.

# 1462. Re: Brain Bounces Blindly Beyond Bounds of Believeability

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 01:03:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132016580.842195.286680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > And obviously YOU didn't see where I DIDN'T make any inference
> > > > > between you and the Morse Code Test issue...I simply put you into that
> > > > > group that I refer to as the Feeble Five.
> > > >
> > > > Feeble? Are you making five new medical diagnoses?
> > >
> > > Did I say it was a diagnosis?
> > >
> > > Is there a prohibition against using the word "feeble" unless it is
> > > by a licensed medical doctor? Can you show me that rule or law in
> > > writing?
> >
> > Correct. There is no prohibition against using "feeble" by non-medical
> > personnel, but you might want to check if there's for medical personnel
> > such as you've claimed to be.
> >
> > So when I say that you are "nuts," you won't jump up and down and cry
> > like a little baby, claiming I am practicing medicine, have to prove
> > where I went to medical school, who granted my diploma, where was my
> > license issued, blah, blah, blah, like you usually do.
> >
> > Right?
>
> Brain, you continue to underscore your own double standard.

Hi! You refer a person to a prescription drug and say it is your
professional medical opinion that they take it, and then you say that
you are not practicing medicine.

I use a non-medical term, "crazy" and "nuts" and you claim I am making
a medical diagnosis.

How double of you.

> You want to be able to deceive, intimidate and mislead blindly,
> but then when asked to pony up substantiation of YOUR claims,
> everyone's "nuts", etc etc etc.

No. Incorrect. Wrong.

You are a loon. Best of luck on that one.

> The BEST it seems you and your Feeble comrades can seem to pull
> together is the persistent rantings of a semi-literate degenerate and a
> disgraced, dishonorably discharged ex-Marine who's ONLY valid argument
> is my incorrect pluralization of "court martial".

If the truth were ever told, I think you would be the one disgraced.
But you can't let that little secret out, can you?

Seven hostile actions, my ass!

> They are the epitome of "lame".
>
> You have your moments of lucidity, but they are waning.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Waxing and waning. Lunar terms. How appropriate?

# 1463. Re: When will Dan, W4NTI return to the asylum?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 01:26:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132018010.170416.111880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124028

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 13, 11:56 am
>
> >an old friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Wonder how Mike is doing with his BBS? Wonder if it went the way of
> >> > his high-altitude balloon project?
> >
> >> very likely
> >> and expect Dan back pretty soon
> >
> >We can start a pool.
>
> No, no, no! Only ONE poster here can start a pool!
>
> [we all know who that is... :-) ]

"This town isn't big enough for the two of us....Jim"

> >When do you think Dan will return?
>
> Has Dan been gone?!?

I'm sad to report that Dan was just a flash in the pan.

He go bye-bye without the benefit of Mike's BBS.

# 1464. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 01:40:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132018811.256796.224410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
cut
> "gay" thing or "sicko" thing, it's all the same.

I knew we could count on the cartoon charaacter for such a remark

# 1465. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoys having men urinate on him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 01:42:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132018961.483653.323030@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
cut
> > > >
> > > That sick shit turns you on eh' Morgan?
> >
> > only in apealing to my sense of justice
> >
> Is that when men piss and you and you lick their blood, fruitcake?
>
no why are so stupid as to ask

## 1466. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 01:45:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132019118.959808.50340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124031

N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > John Kasupski wrote:
> > > > > > On Sat, 12 Nov 2005 21:35:06 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >Comments to 05-235 attached.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >--
> > > > > > >73, de Hans, K0HB
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Very good, Hans.
> > > > > >
> > > > > We're in agreement on the first part, anyway.
> > > > >
> > > > > But I doubt it will make any difference. Many of the 18 petitions
> > > > > included
> > > > > various changes to entry-level license privileges, including the very
> > > > > obvious one of giving Novice/Tech Plus HF privileges to all Techs. Yet
> > > > > FCC
> > > > > repeatedly denied all of them.
> > > > >
> > > > > In the NPRM, FCC makes it clear that their vision of the future looks
> > > > > like this:
> > > > >
> > > > wrong again
> > > >
> > > How is it wrong, Mark?
> > >
> > by not being right
> >
> Why isn't it right?

asked by you answered by months ago
>
> > first proble is in asuming the FCC has a vision of the future
> >
> The NPRM makes it clear the FCC does have a vision of the
> future.

it does nothing of the sort
>

## 1467. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 01:47:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132019237.003178.239300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

that sort of a thread title normaly kills something around

after all the thread is an effort to go and on about something admited
too years ag

## 1468. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 01:53:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132019638.495161.68260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.teens.gay,neworleans.general,rec.radio.amateur.policy

that sort of a thread title normaly kills something around

after all the thread is an effort to go and on about something admited
too years ag

# 1469. Re: Brain Bounces Blindly Beyond Bounds of Believeability

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 02:00:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132020017.125663.262520@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut
> > > So when I say that you are "nuts," you won't jump up and down and cry
> > > like a little baby, claiming I am practicing medicine, have to prove
> > > where I went to medical school, who granted my diploma, where was my
> > > license issued, blah, blah, blah, like you usually do.
> > >
> > > Right?
> >
> > Brain, you continue to underscore your own double standard.
>
> Hi! You refer a person to a prescription drug and say it is your
> professional medical opinion that they take it, and then you say that
> you are not practicing medicine.
>
> I use a non-medical term, "crazy" and "nuts" and you claim I am making
> a medical diagnosis.
>
> How double of you.
>
> > You want to be able to deceive, intimidate and mislead blindly,
> > but then when asked to pony up substantiation of YOUR claims,
> > everyone's "nuts", etc etc etc.
>
> No. Incorrect. Wrong.

notice how he accuses everyone else of doing what he is engaged in
doing
>
> You are a loon. Best of luck on that one.

he certainly is a loon

a predeictable one at that

>
> > The BEST it seems you and your Feeble comrades can seem to pull
> > together is the persistent rantings of a semi-literate degenerate and a
> > disgraced, dishonorably discharged ex-Marine who's ONLY valid argument
> > is my incorrect pluralization of "court martial".

> 
> If the truth were ever told, I think you would be the one disgraced.
> But you can't let that little secret out, can you?
> 
> Seven hostile actions, my ass!
> 
> > They are the epitome of "lame".
> >
> > You have your moments of lucidity, but they are waning.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> 
> Waxing and waning. Lunar terms. How appropriate?

what was that Stevei said about nuts wanting to get caught and treated?

maybe he was right about that, in reference to himself

# 1470. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 02:01:21 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1yiq4s@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1yiq4s@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 124037

N9OGL Wrote:
>
>
> i'm not gay, nor have ever been gay,
>
> http://12.156.123.39/hentaibbs/azbb.php Otaku Hentai BBS
> http://12.156.123.39/lolhentai/azbb.php Otaku Lolicon BBS

It will be interesting to see what traffic turns up on those two (now vacant) BBS's recommended by N9OGL.

For the uninformed, "Lolicon" is a Japanese term for the sexual attraction to underage girls, or ephebophilia, and "Hentai" is the Japanese word meaning perverted.

Whether or not N9OGL is gay suddenly seems unimportant.

The Man in the Maze
QRU at Baboquivari Peak

--
Iitoi

## 1471. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 02:24:23 UTC
From: Green Slime <wgi@whogislime.net>
Message-ID: <dlbgpd$7f2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124035

I have a bridge for sale to anyone who thinks the
FCC & the ARRL have the best interets of ham radio in
mind.

FCC & ARRL are partners in the culture of corruption.

# 1472. Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 03:01:44 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132023704.806168.3450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124036

I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP; about a lad who came upon this motley group and immediately became the darling of one faction of the code test/no code test war. This old post kind of distilled those thoughts.

Enjoy. The suprise is at the end.

---

> Good things about the code? Yeah, I'm sure it's the greatest thing since
> sliced bread. Can't stand it myself, of course ... but why do we have to
> open that can of worms?

> 73 de Alun, N3KIP

No, no. But that's what most everything here boils down to. Might as well get it out of the way so they can decide which side to put you on.
Kind of like a young man named Val. He came on here saying all the right things about Morse code. He was their little darling. Said he was going to take the code test.

There are four basic truths here:

You took the code exam and you like code: you're OK.
You took the code exam and you don't like the code: you're an idiot.
You never learned the code but want to: you're OK.
You never learned the code and don't want to: you're an idiot.

Kind of like a young man named Val. He came on here saying all the right things about Morse code. He was their little darling. Said he was going to take the code test.

For the record, I don't support code testing as a separate pass/fail HF exam.

73, Brian

---

And the suprise? It's no suprise at all. Valentine Germann' license has been unmodified since April 1, 2002. But he sure was the darling while he was here. Hi!

Callsign: KC0MUB Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
Name: Valentine H Germann

# 1473. Re: CB is Better Than Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 03:13:24 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132024404.646411.91420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124038
Cross-posted to: alt.ohio.toledo,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.brad-pitt,alt.sports.radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I am certain this is really mopey posting.

# 1474. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 03:53:22 UTC
From: Green Slime <wgi@whogislime.net>
Message-ID: <dlbm06$1ok$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124039

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1132023704.806168.3450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP; about a lad who came upon
> this motley group and immediately became the darling of one faction of
> the code test/no code test war. This old post kind of distilled those
> thoughts.

And your point is?

# 1475. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 03:59:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132027174.357009.290060@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124040

>It will be interesting to see what traffic turns up on those two (now
>vacant) BBS's recommended by N9OGL.
>For the uninformed, "Lolicon" is a Japanese term for the sexual
>attraction to underage girls, or ephebophilia, and "Hentai" is the
>Japanese word meaning perverted.

>Whether or not N9OGL is gay suddenly seems unimportant.

>The Man in the Maze
>QRU at Baboquivari Peak

Oh good a japanese language lesson, and yes your correct hentai means what it means and lolicon means what it means, however you forgot one word...The word you forgot is OTAKU which is japanese meaning "obsessive fan" or "die hard fan", The word Otaku is mostly associated with Japanese Animation or Anime. Hentai and lolicon is part of japanese anime scene. The problem with my board is that there are too many hentai/lolicon boards out there that it hard to get people to come to a newly started board. Hentai/ lolicon boards are also associated with the japanese anime scene, that the majority of materials on these board are not real pictures but anime type pictures, which is legal anywhere in the united states.

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/hentaibbs/azbb.php Otaku Hentai BBS
http://12.156.123.39/lolhentai/azbb.php Otaku Lolicon BBS

# 1476. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 04:09:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132027770.626531.105730@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124041

Iitoi wrote:
> N9OGL Wrote:
> >
> >
> > i'm not gay, nor have ever been gay,
> >
> > http://12.156.123.39/hentaibbs/azbb.php Otaku Hentai BBS
> > http://12.156.123.39/lolhentai/azbb.php Otaku Lolicon BBS
>
> It will be interesting to see what traffic turns up on those two (now
> vacant) BBS's recommended by N9OGL.
>
> For the uninformed, "Lolicon" is a Japanese term for the sexual
> attraction to underage girls, or ephebophilia, and "Hentai" is the
> Japanese word meaning perverted.

the japaness meaning of the words is irrelavant

the american usage is the operative one

# 1477. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 04:12:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132027921.855521.193240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124042

Green Slime wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1132023704.806168.3450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP; about a lad who came upon
> > this motley group and immediately became the darling of one faction of
> > the code test/no code test war. This old post kind of distilled those
> > thoughts.
>
>
>
> And your point is?

if it has to be explained to then you can't understand feel the force
of the post young padawan

## 1478. Re: Reply comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 04:14:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132028053.689585.244780@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124043

Green Slime wrote:
> I have a bridge for sale to anyone who thinks the
> FCC & the ARRL have the best interets of ham radio in
> mind.

agreed more or less

However the ARRL thinks it does ( it is deluded by and large)

the FCC only caresa baout it mandates and budget
>
>
> FCC & ARRL are partners in the culture of corruption.

and their interaction is the blind leading the blind

# 1479. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 04:16:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132028217.409715.250860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124044
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Walter Raleigh wrote:
> On Mon, 14 Nov 2005 22:08:18 GMT,
> class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
> (zYYPK) blurted forth into cyberspace:
>
> *apologies to Spike*
> >IT IS MY OPINION THAT...
> >theres a difference between
> >a cb'er who choses to join and amateur radio to improve his/her knowledge
> >and capability with radio
> >and
> >a cb'er who choses to invade amateur bands just to have extra bands to
> >play with and brings his/her 11m habits with him/her

thta of course depends on what those CB habits are

many Cber don't intend to operate at varrance with the rules (it is
possible at various points to break certain rules without intending I
have broken the 150 mile rule a few times is was rela drag though I was
trying to talk accroos town till the landmarks did not check out I was
in springffield IL and was talking to sringfeild MO without meaning to
>
> Absolutely correct.
> --
>
> 73s de Walter R.

# 1480. Re: When will Dan, W4NTI return to the asylum?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 04:18:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132028334.073647.207940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124045

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 13, 11:56 am
> >
> > >an old friend wrote:
> > >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >> > Wonder how Mike is doing with his BBS? Wonder if it went the way of
> > >> > his high-altitude balloon project?
> > >
> > >> very likely
> > >> and expect Dan back pretty soon
> > >
> > >We can start a pool.
> >
> > No, no, no! Only ONE poster here can start a pool!
> >
> > [we all know who that is... :-) ]
>
> "This town isn't big enough for the two of us....Jim"

yep
>
> > >When do you think Dan will return?
> >
> > Has Dan been gone?!?
>
> I'm sad to report that Dan was just a flash in the pan.

dan was suffering from delusion as to the nature of RRAP, he thought he
had the right to control who replied to his posts here
>
> He go bye-bye without the benefit of Mike's BBS.

I supect he may have found someplace where he can rant in peace

# 1481. Re: an old friend" kb9rqz likes man piss on his face

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 04:40:11 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <LEdef.27186$6e1.16203@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 124046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131913776.244838.8390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Steveo wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
>> > >
>> > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
>> >
>> > Setvoe has posted and not responded I guess iy means it has nothing to
>> > do with radio which a good thing to since it hasn't got anything to do
>> > radio
>> > > >
>> > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
>> >
>> Hey hypocrite, you post OT all of the time.
>>
>> Now, why do you like man piss in your face?
>
> i said I would answer when you did not before
>
> coward

Mark,
I'll ask very nicely, stop nym shifting to by-pass filters
and stop cross posting this dribble. I kill filed you under
two other nyms, now you re-appear under this one, that
in itself means that you are purposely trying to by-pass
filters to harass and cause hate & discontent.
I originally KF'd you because you try to talk like someone
with an education, but yet you have seemed to forgotten
some of the basic spelling and grammar skills that most
6th graders learned. Either you don't know how to use
spell-check on you're computer or you don't know what
a dictionary is, no matter what, you are nothing more than
some sort of self flattering troll with illusions of grandeur.
Just stick with one nym , if Steveo wants to keep
busting your chops by responding, fine, but I myself have
no desire to see some of the worst grammar since I was in
grade school.

Landshark

--
The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature,
or direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you
need from your computer, you are a fool.

Frank Gililland

# 1482. Re: an old friend" kb9rqz likes man piss on his face

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 12:22:25 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051115072225.031$Qg@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131913776.244838.8390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> > an old friend wrote:
> >> > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> >> > >
> >> > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> >> >
> >> > Setvoe has posted and not responded I guess iy means it has nothing
> >> > to do with radio which a good thing to since it hasn't got anything
> >> > to do radio
> >> > > >
> >> > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> >> >
> >> Hey hypocrite, you post OT all of the time.
> >>
> >> Now, why do you like man piss in your face?
> >
> > i said I would answer when you did not before
> >
> > coward
>
> Mark,
> I'll ask very nicely, stop nym shifting to by-pass filters
> and stop cross posting this dribble. I kill filed you under
> two other nyms, now you re-appear under this one, that
> in itself means that you are purposely trying to by-pass
> filters to harass and cause hate & discontent.
> I originally KF'd you because you try to talk like someone
> with an education, but yet you have seemed to forgotten
> some of the basic spelling and grammar skills that most
> 6th graders learned. Either you don't know how to use
> spell-check on you're computer or you don't know what
> a dictionary is, no matter what, you are nothing more than
> some sort of self flattering troll with illusions of grandeur.
> Just stick with one nym , if Steveo wants to keep
> busting your chops by responding, fine, but I myself have
> no desire to see some of the worst grammar since I was in
> grade school.
>

> Landshark
>
Nah, I'm done with the retard for now. Shooting fish in a barrel ain't no
fun, so I'll put him in the bozo bin with the other no code losers.

# 1483. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 15:15:52 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1yjngt@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1yjngt@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 124048

N9OGL Wrote:
>
>
> It will be interesting to see what traffic turns up on those two (now
> vacant) BBS's recommended by N9OGL.
> For the uninformed, "Lolicon" is a Japanese term for the sexual
> attraction to underage girls, or ephebophilia, and "Hentai" is the
> Japanese word meaning perverted.
>
> Whether or not N9OGL is gay suddenly seems unimportant.
>
> Oh good a japanese language lesson, and yes your correct hentai means
> what it means and lolicon means what it means, however you forgot one
> word...The word you forgot is OTAKU which is japanese meaning
> "obsessive fan" or "die hard fan".....
>
> Todd N9OGL
> http://12.156.123.39/hentaibbs/azbb.php Otaku Hentai BBS
> http://12.156.123.39/lolhentai/azbb.php Otaku Lolicon BBS

I see.

"Otaku Hentai" means "obsessive fan of perversion".
"Otako Lolicon" means "die hard fan of attraction to underage girls".

Thanks for the clarification. Pokemon for middle-aged perverts.

BTW, I didn't say it was illegal, but it certainly is revolting! It
was instructive to see that Mark was the lone person to line up on your
side in defense.

--
Iitoi

## 1484. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 16:05:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132070708.703571.84720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124049
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Landshark wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1131913776.244838.8390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Steveo wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >> > an old friend wrote:
> >> > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick fuck?
> >> > >
> >> > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> >> >
> >> > Setvoe has posted and not responded I guess iy means it has nothing to
> >> > do with radio which a good thing to since it hasn't got anything to do
> >> > radio
> >> > > >
> >> > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> >> >
> >> Hey hypocrite, you post OT all of the time.
> >>
> >> Now, why do you like man piss in your face?
> >
> > i said I would answer when you did not before
> >
> > coward
>
>
> Mark,
> I'll ask very nicely, stop nym shifting to by-pass filters
> and stop cross posting this dribble.

you are barking up the worng tree
```

# 1485. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 16:07:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132070841.682133.196520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124050

Iitoi wrote:
> N9OGL Wrote:
> >
> >
> > It will be interesting to see what traffic turns up on those two (now
> > vacant) BBS's recommended by N9OGL.
> > For the uninformed, "Lolicon" is a Japanese term for the sexual
> > attraction to underage girls, or ephebophilia, and "Hentai" is the
> > Japanese word meaning perverted.
> >
> > Whether or not N9OGL is gay suddenly seems unimportant.
> >
> > Oh good a japanese language lesson, and yes your correct hentai means
> > what it means and lolicon means what it means, however you forgot one
> > word...The word you forgot is OTAKU which is japanese meaning
> > "obsessive fan" or "die hard fan".....
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > http://12.156.123.39/hentaibbs/azbb.php Otaku Hentai BBS
> > http://12.156.123.39/lolhentai/azbb.php Otaku Lolicon BBS
>
> I see.
>
> "Otaku Hentai" means "obsessive fan of perversion".
> "Otako Lolicon" means "die hard fan of attraction to underage girls".
>
> Thanks for the clarification. Pokemon for middle-aged perverts.
>
> BTW, I didn't say it was illegal, but it certainly is revolting!

if yu find the content revolting then go look at it Stevie or what ever
prude you are
> It
> was instructive to see that Mark was the lone person to line up on your
> side in defense.
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

# 1486. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 17:29:11 UTC
From: see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm <spammers@bugger.off.invalid>
Message-ID: <dld5t7$itk$1@gabriel.uhc.com>
Source record: 124053
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In uk.radio.amateur The Magnum <magnum@nospammag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> Also the point still stands that a few, if not lot of problems on Amateur
> bands could be down to kids going on their parents equipment while they are
> out. There are lots of possibilitys but the CB'er gets it in the neck all
> the time.

Whish is firmly where the responsibility is meant to lay. The Licensee is
the one responsible for the correct operation of his station at all times.
If he is not physically present, it is his/her responsibilty to ensure that
the equipment is disabled.
--
Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC NIC Handle: CC345
If at first you don't succeed, skydiving is not for you.

# 1487. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 18:13:51 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dld8g8$b4b$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124051
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"zYYPK"
<class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.ei
rcom.ie.net> wrote in message news:43790a48.34394070@news.iol.ie...
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >
> > "zarPK"
> >
<class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.ei
> > rcom.ie.net> wrote in message news:43776650.82381970@news.iol.ie...
> > > "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> > >
> > > > just a form of elitist snobbery. Also trying to say anyone who
doesnt
> > want
> > > > to learn morse is basically a "scumbag" shows Bigotry beyond
contempt.
> > Why
> > >
> > > I said no such thing !
> > >
> > > you have some correcting to do.
> >
> > That comment was meant for the posters who say people on Amateur Bands
who
> > do not wish to involve themselves with morse are lowly class CBers who
seem
> > to live in trailor parks and live off welfare and care nothing (scumbags
in
> > other words) It wasnt aimed directly at you it was put in as an "Also"
to
> > those who try to put that image over. Maybe I should have made it a bit
> > clearer.
>
>
> IT IS MY OPINION THAT...
> theres a difference between
> a cb'er who choses to join and amateur radio to improve his/her knowledge
> and capability with radio
> and
> a cb'er who choses to invade amateur bands just to have extra bands to
> play with and brings his/her 11m habits with him/her

Yes, I agree with you whole heartedly but many older Hams refer to "both" as simply CB'ers. This "will" upset genuine CB radio operators, and those who did advance to Amateur from CB, as many full licence Hams dont seem able to distinguish between the two, or dont want to distinguish... thats the problem.
Also the point still stands that a few, if not lot of problems on Amateur bands could be down to kids going on their parents equipment while they are out. There are lots of possibilitys but the CB'er gets it in the neck all the time.
Regards,
Graham

# 1488. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 18:19:11 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dld8q8$o1v$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124052
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Walter Raleigh" <walterraleigh69nospam@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:cn8in19ovm08h9vdoirtdn0ilgjf7jkuat@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 14 Nov 2005 22:08:18 GMT,
>
class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eir
com.ie.net
> (zYYPK) blurted forth into cyberspace:
>
> *apologies to Spike*
> >IT IS MY OPINION THAT...
> >theres a difference between
> >a cb'er who choses to join and amateur radio to improve his/her knowledge
> >and capability with radio
> >and
> >a cb'er who choses to invade amateur bands just to have extra bands to
> >play with and brings his/her 11m habits with him/her
>
> Absolutely correct.
> --
>
> 73s de Walter R.

Not absolutely correct. Correct in some instances but i know lots of people
on 11 meter who go on SSB (illegaly of course) who treat it sensibly and
dont mess about. Many 11 meter users, especially the SSB users, have
reasonably good control on the airwaves even to the point of writing a log
and QSL'ing. These are CB'ers im talking about by the way, not Amateurs
although I know a couple of Amateurs who used to join in occasionally (using
their pirate call signs of course)
Regards,
Graham

# 1489. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 18:37:37 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dld9sq$qh$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124054
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm" <spammers@bugger.off.invalid>
wrote in message news:dld5t7$itk$1@gabriel.uhc.com...
> In uk.radio.amateur The Magnum <magnum@nospammag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
wrote:
> > Also the point still stands that a few, if not lot of problems on
Amateur
> > bands could be down to kids going on their parents equipment while they
are
> > out. There are lots of possibilitys but the CB'er gets it in the neck
all
> > the time.
>
> Whish is firmly where the responsibility is meant to lay. The Licensee is
> the one responsible for the correct operation of his station at all times.
> If he is not physically present, it is his/her responsibilty to ensure
that
> the equipment is disabled.
> --
> Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC NIC Handle: CC345
> If at first you don't succeed, skydiving is not for you.

Maybe not to the point of disconnecting his/her equipment but at least
bringing up their kids to be respectful of things. I would personally put a
lock on the "shack" door so I could secure the room but some people have
them in their living room so sometimes I guess its asking for trouble. My CB
is in my office and also one in my repair "shack" and when I go out I make
sure both are locked. If I can do it for CB why cant they do it with their
Amateur kit... which is far more valuable in any case. Mind you, some adults
cant even be arsed to secure their guns but thats a different story.......
Regards,
Graham

## 1490. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 18:53:22 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132080802.908102.290820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124055

No, Otako means "obsessive anime fan" hentai and lolicon are part of anime world. One other note, those sites are no longer used I'm consolidating all the groups into one board http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php that's why those boards are empty.

Todd n9ogl
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

# 1491. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 19:17:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132082232.616919.49580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124056
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm wrote:
> In uk.radio.amateur The Magnum <magnum@nospammag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> > Also the point still stands that a few, if not lot of problems on Amateur
> > bands could be down to kids going on their parents equipment while they are
> > out. There are lots of possibilitys but the CB'er gets it in the neck all
> > the time.
>
> Whish is firmly where the responsibility is meant to lay. The Licensee is
> the one responsible for the correct operation of his station at all times.
> If he is not physically present, it is his/her responsibilty to ensure that
> the equipment is disabled.

not exactly or only in theory the Ham is responible for the use of his
equipement, but when was the last time the FCC tried to bust a ham for
say someone breaking into his car and using the equipment

In addition some modes can be used without the ham being present at
all

My car for example will transmit an APRS signal at intervals anytime
the engine is running, unless I turn it off each time I turn on the
engine. This allows me to kow where the body thing is if my old man
calls back to house and tells me he is lost, or if I found the car
missing and knew none of had it I could tell the cops where to go find
the theives
> --
> Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC NIC Handle: CC345
> If at first you don't succeed, skydiving is not for you.

# 1492. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 20:03:23 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1yk44u@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1yk44u@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 124057

N9OGL Wrote:
>
>
> One other note, those sites are no longer used I'm consolidating all
> the groups into one board http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php that's
> why those boards are empty.
>
> Todd n9ogl
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
>
>

What a wholesome site --- it has dirty Pokemon picture categoriees for
everyone!

There's a softcore Ecchi section.

There's a perversion (Hentai) section.

There's a section (Lolicon) for those with sexual interests in underage
girls.

There's a section for pictures of men doing men.

There's a section for pictures of women doing women.

Fortunately all those sections are vacant. Maybe you need to mention
them on the 14.321 N9OGL radio show, and solicit some contributions.

Up till now we only had the MMMM here (Mighty Macho Morse Men). Now we
also have the PPPP (Pornographic Pokemon Pedophilaist Perverts).

Todd, you are one sick puppy! Child porn is child porn, whether
photographed or drawn. Giving it a japanese name does not somehow make
it "OK".

--
Iitoi

# 1493. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 20:46:49 UTC
From: see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm <spammers@bugger.off.invalid>
Message-ID: <dldhfp$j6i$1@gabriel.uhc.com>
Source record: 124064
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In uk.radio.amateur an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> not exactly or only in theory the Ham is responible for the use of his
> equipement, but when was the last time the FCC tried to bust a ham for
> say someone breaking into his car and using the equipment
>
> In addition some modes can be used without the ham being present at
> all
>
> My car for example will transmit an APRS signal at intervals anytime
> the engine is running, unless I turn it off each time I turn on the
> engine. This allows me to kow where the body thing is if my old man
> calls back to house and tells me he is lost, or if I found the car
> missing and knew none of had it I could tell the cops where to go find
> the theives

Since when has the FCC had jurisdiction over radio amateurs in the UK?
British regulations are different to that in the UK.
--
Chris Cox, N0UK/G4JEC NIC Handle: CC345
If at first you don't succeed, skydiving is not for you.

# 1494. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 21:14:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132089269.268812.319340@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124058

From: an old friend on Nov 14, 11:37 am

>general question on the topic. are the reply coments at 1201 am 15Nove
>or or 1201 16 Nov

The official dates for Comments on WT Docket 05-235 were
31 August 2005 to 31 October 2005.

The official dates for Replies to Comments on WT Docket
05-235 were 31 August 2005 to 14 November 2005.

All time periods refer to Eastern Time Zone. Apparently, and I
don't know for certain, the FCC will accept the time of day of
the time zone of the sender. ECFS lists only the DATE filed,
not the time it was filed. They do enter in copies of
letters-on-paper which were sent from within the official
dates but arrived at the Commission later, evident from the
FCC time-stamp on documents compared to the ECFS filing date.

Regardless, the official time period on WT Docket 05-235 is
now OVER. As of 3 PM EST on 15 November 2005 there were a
total of 3,783 filings made on WT Docket 05-235 between
15 July 2005 and 14 November 2005.

The ECFS will still accept electronic comments entered long
after the end of an official date period. WT Docket 98-143
on the NPRM proposing Restructuring was still getting input
from about 400 people up to nearly FOUR YEARS AFTER the end
of all Comments, and up to nearly THREE YEARS after Report &
Order 99-412 was released (end of December 1999) making the
Restructuring legal.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1495. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 21:16:41 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <437a4fc9.265928@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 124059
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

> Not absolutely correct. Correct in some instances but i know lots of people
> on 11 meter who go on SSB (illegaly of course) who treat it sensibly and
> dont mess about. Many 11 meter users, especially the SSB users, have
> reasonably good control on the airwaves even to the point of writing a log
> and QSL'ing. These are CB'ers im talking about by the way, not Amateurs
> although I know a couple of Amateurs who used to join in occasionally (using
> their pirate call signs of course)

later on...
i remembered those on 11m who are the height of decency and kindness
and strive for excellence on 11m rather than messing around.

# 1496. Re: Gawd, how could I miss THIS one????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 21:17:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132089432.553531.292490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124060

From: Frank Gilliland on Mon 14 Nov 2005 02:39

>On 1 Nov 2005 22:53:47 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>Frankie of Silliland, RRAP's Newest Source Of Comic Relief wrote:
>>> On 1 Nov 2005 16:18:14 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>>> >> On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

<etc>

<snip>

>> PLEASE CITE just ONE Marine Corps order (from 1986 through 1992)
>>that allegedly "proves" I was unable/unauthorized to work part time as
>>an EMT...JUST ONE.
>
>Nice try, Dud, but it won't work. I have no doubt that you -were-
>allowed to work as an EMT simply because you were a reservist. It
>would be a different story if you were on active duty at the time.

Frank, we readers have NOT seen anything at all to prove Dudly
was active-duty OR in the reserves at any time!

<snip>

>> Then once again you've allowed us a moment to break into laughter
>>at your ignorance and arrogance~!
>
>Prove me wrong, Dudly.

He can't, Frank. After 7 years in here and NO evidence of anything
of that "18 year career" there's no point in asking for anything
NOW. :-(

>> Name them yourself...I don't have back copies of Leatherneck
>>anymore...However anyone who cares to do some research will see you for
>>what you are....
>
>YOU referenced the source so it's YOUR obligation to validate YOUR
>claim.... oh, that's right, you didn't understand the meaning of an
>'obligation'. Well, now you do so you don't have an excuse. Name one
>of those Marines that was written up in Leatherneck for being an EMT
>while in active duty. Just one.

Dudly isn't able to do that. He has NO proof.

<snip>

>> That's what you've implied...
>
>Have you ever tried to read anything without attaching it to your own
>distorted imagination? Try it sometime -- it will help to eliminate
>your moral confusion.

He is unable to deviate from his massive, long-running snow job
even long after the snow was melted by others.

>>You've suggested that in 18 years
>>(actually 14 at the time I obtained my initial EMT certification) that
>>I SHOULDN'T have had any time or opportunity to be an EMT, and that in
>>doing so, somehow "proved" that I was never on Active Duty...As if 140
>>hours of night classes over a four month period kept me from my offical
>>duties..
>
>Don't try to be clever, Dud -- in your desperation to defend your fake
>service record you forgot about the other 3 years and 8 months..... or
>was it 5 years and 8 months..... gee, Dud, make up your mind: were you
>an EMT on active duty for four or six years?

Must be the "new math" that was taught in school. :-)

>>> You even explained why you used it:
>>>
>>> "If having great respect for an organization (the United States Coast
>>> Guard, in this case) that goes so far out of it's way on a daily basis
>>> to save human lives makes me a "paramilitary wannabe", guilty as
>>> charged."
>>>
>>> And when taken in context with the preceding post it also makes you a
>>> praise-seeking hero wannabe.
>>
>> So...I make some positive inferences to the Coast Guard, and
>>that's "wrong"...
>
>In your case, it's as wrong as John Wayne Gacy showing an interest in
>dressing up like a clown.

Careful, he might start singing "Send In The Clowns." :-)

>> Yet we have you bragging about allegedly being in Beirut
>
>Allegedly? No, I posted the proof, Dud. You should try it sometime.

Most of us readers might like to see some REAL proof from
Dudly. Anything is an infinity more than the ZERO he has
presented.

>>> > Did I miss some law that says once someone uses a latin word in
>>> >THEIR motto, no one else can use it...?!?!
>>>
>>> Did I say it was illegal?
>>

>> You obviously have a problem with it...
>
>You obviously have an obsession with it.

Nah. Dudly is still dancing around his faux pas. Would a real,
live MARINE use a Coast Guard motto in a sign-off? Wouldn't be
true to the Corps, would it?

>> I'm not the one with two court martials over his head, Frankie.
>
>I'm not the one who lies about his military "career", Dud.

True enough! However, since Dudly has NEVER posted any reference
proof to his active-duty or reserve career, we have to wonder if
Dudly REALLY served in ANY military branch.

>> I've proven you to be a liar.
>
>If there's one thing that's lacking in public schools these days it's
>the failure to teach critical thinking skills.

Nah. Dud is just trying to turn things around with his very old
trick of personal insulting in order to win message points.

>> What more could I ask for...?!?!
>
>A better education.

I would suggest a VERY long time in mental health counseling.
He needs it.

>You will never understand the difference, Dud: I'm not holding you to
>-my- standard -- I'm holding you to a -higher- standard, just as you
>would expect. Despite this, you waste your time in the newsgroups
>throwing around school-yard banter, covering your lies with more lies,
>and disgracing honorable institutions by leeching their honor and
>integrity to feed your personal pride and delusions of heroism. Even
>when I'm not here you pray to me because you don't have the discipline
>(let alone the common sense) to avoid arguing with someone whom -you-
>describe as "silly". The fact is that you -can't- set a standard that
>is higher than mine, a shitbird PFC. Add to that the fact that your
>tactics are lame and impotent, your 'facts' are generally wrong, and
>you tuck tail and run from every challenge to the legitimacy of your
>claims about your military "career", and anyone can see that -your-
>standards are well below mine, let alone the standards required of a
>Marine Gunny.
>
>Nope, you were never active duty. You're a Major Dud.

Frank, my take is that Dudly was NEVER in the USMC. It
sounds like he READ about it a lot, wanted to be in,
could not get in, then flipped out. He went nuts,
unable to reconcile his desires with reality.

<snip>

>I degrade only your service as a reservist, Dud. I do it because of
>the way -you- feel about -yourself-. You hate yourself for what you
>think are your failings; for being a reservist that never saw action
>and got drummed out of the Corps on a medical discharge. And because
>you hate yourself so much, it's easy to push your buttons.
>
>As for me, the only buttons you can push are your fraudulent claims
>about being retired, your "seven hostile actions", and serving your
>time on active duty -- fabrications which, ironically, are the product
>of your self-hatred. It's no suprise that I have become your primary
>adversary. But you don't even see that you are really arguing with
>yourself, not with me.
>
>Sometimes I think that you actually -want- me to post undeniable proof
>of your bogus service claims so you can quit living the lie. I will in
>due time, but not before I get my kicks by letting you suffer the
>torment of being stuck in your own web of lies.

Frank, that is consistent with Dudly's actions in here for
so long. He has this mania about UNIFORMS, about RANK,
wanting to be an officer, a tuff guy hero type. He is
terribly frustrated with the reality of his life and NEEDS
the fantasy that he is all those things.

>> At every suggestion that I may have "only" been a reservist and at
>>the point wherein you made inferences that duty as a Reservist was
>>somehow less-than-adequate.
>
>No, Dudly. I have clearly stated several times that I think there is
>nothing at all wrong with being a reservist. It may be different than
>active duty, but is no less worthy of pride and honor. The problem is
>that -you- don't feel that way. It is -you- that feels a reservist is
>"less-than-adequate" and not worthy of the same respect as a Marine
>who served on active duty. I just push that button and, as expected,
>you thrash around in a defensive fit of grade-school name calling and
>emotionally charged avoidance tactics. You really need to learn some
>discipline, Dud.

Not to mention consistency in his lies and exaggerations. :-)

>True or not, the fact remains that I earned an honorable discharge and
>you got kicked out on a medical. The fact remains that I saw action
>(and wish I hadn't) and you didn't (and wish you did). The fact
>remains that I served my time on active duty while you were a
>reservist. Hmmmm..... you claim that your 6 years of EMT work was
>part-time, and a reservist is really a part-time job too.....

Dud hasn't got his ducks in a row...he needs that consistency
to be believable. He ain't got it.

>Let's see now..... one weekend a month, that's 48 x 12 = 576 hours/yr.
>Add to that 2 weeks a year, which is another 336 hours. So that's 912
>hours/yr, times 16 years (18 years less boot camp and technical MOS
>training, a very generous estimate) which is 14,592 hours. Now that
>converts to 608 days, or 1.665 years. So for all practical purposes,
>after 2 years of boot camp and MOS training you served the active duty
>equivalent of slightly more than 1-1/2 years, for a grand total of
>3-1/2 years, which is -LESS- than my 4 year cruise.
>
>Kiss my hash mark, Major Dud!!!

He can kiss mine, too...four years active in Army. He can
kiss my "toilet seat" too (Presidential Unit Citation,
awarded to my Battalion twice). Poor guy tried to say
that was "impossible" that it "couldn't have been so."
Not only were those in my official documentation but in
a Signal Corps photograph with the mimeographed data on
the back of the photo. Mimeograph went out years ago in
the military and is terribly hard to forge now, especially
on photographs' backs.

We have two more toilet seats here but I wouldn't want him
to kiss them...he might dirty them up with infection. Why
waste Clorox?

<snip>

>Your charade is getting really old, Dudly.

Frank, it was OLD when Dud began it seven years ago...

>> So...Frankie...Have any REAL proof of your "having been an EMT
>>proves you were a Reservist" claim, or do we just get treated to
>>another Lenniesque blustery diatribe?
>
>Watching you squirm is good enough for now. I'll break out the
>magnifying glass a little later.

Poor Dudly. I stood up against him long ago and he hasn't
forgotten the anger he felt at being trumped. I said in
public that Dudly was doing the Big Lie technique worth of
Goebbels' propaganda efforts of the 1930s in Germany. Dud
then tried to "turn it around" and equate me to Goebbels
for continuing to challenge him for proof of his "service."
In seven years Dud hasn't come around with ANY referencible
proof of all his "warrior" claims. Tsk, tsk.

Dudly uses "Lenniesque" to describe anyone who stands up to
him and challenges him to provide PROOF. Since he can't do
that, he resorts to the Personal Insult schtick in order to
misdirect the subject thread. Very, very old.

Frank, you are on the right track with old Dud HATING
HIMSELF. To avoid that he looks at all his challengers

seeing himself in his mind's mirror and calls them bad
things. Whenever he does this Personal Insult thing he
is really insulting himself. But, his mind is so mixed
up that he doesn't realize what he does.

>>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

MAJOR DUD.

Frank, you called it in a previous message. Excellent. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1497. Re: Brain Bounces Blindly Beyond Bounds of Believeability

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 21:20:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132089616.384592.149050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 5:03 pm

>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > K4YZ wrote:

>> > Correct. There is no prohibition against using "feeble" by non-medical
>> > personnel, but you might want to check if there's for medical personnel
>> > such as you've claimed to be.
>
>> > So when I say that you are "nuts," you won't jump up and down and cry
>> > like a little baby, claiming I am practicing medicine, have to prove
>> > where I went to medical school, who granted my diploma, where was my
>> > license issued, blah, blah, blah, like you usually do.
>
>> > Right?
>
>> Brain, you continue to underscore your own double standard.
>
>Hi! You refer a person to a prescription drug and say it is your
>professional medical opinion that they take it, and then you say that
>you are not practicing medicine.
>
>I use a non-medical term, "crazy" and "nuts" and you claim I am making
>a medical diagnosis.
>
>How double of you.

Dudly just can't stand it if someone else uses "his" medical
terms. :-)

Like Dud has been all through pre-med, done his internship, and
written up in JAMA and Lancet. Probably got an old, used copy
of "Physicians' Desk Reference" out of a recycle waste can...

>> You want to be able to deceive, intimidate and mislead blindly,
>> but then when asked to pony up substantiation of YOUR claims,
>> everyone's "nuts", etc etc etc.
>
>No. Incorrect. Wrong.
>
>You are a loon. Best of luck on that one.

Dudly has NOT PRODUCED A SINGLE REFERENCIBLE PROOF of his military or medical expertise claims in SEVEN YEARS here. Here's what we HAVE and all found by OTHERS:

1. State of Tennessee website listing of state licenses for medical people. Just a name, address, and title of "LPN," an acronym for Licensed Practical Nurse.

2. A no-longer visible description of one "brigade" of the TN STATE Guard describing that "brigade's" "communications officer," a "First Lieutenant Robeson" with a picture of him in field utility uniform cammies scowling into the camera.

3. A text mention of a TN CAP unit mentioning their "communications officer," "Captain Robeson," but no photo of him.

ALL the rest that's on the Internet was supplied by Dudly himself, written by him, no third or disinterested party. See his self-styled "Man of Many Talents" AOL home page. See his QRZ bio and picture in a flight suit (which could be bought at a surplus or used clothing store).

Dudly has supplied NO OTHER LIVING RADIO AMATEUR'S NAMES/ CALLSIGNS except that of his "wife" that could be contacted for verification on identity/personality/behavior/etc. He apparently knows no one else except the correspondents in newsgroups.

Dudly has used WRONG references to USMC slogans, jargon, and terms that would be familiar to any active-duty USMC veteran. Dudly has NEVER mentioned ANY piece of avionics equipment used on USMC aircraft either by official nomenclature or familiar name during his alleged 18-year "avionics tech career" in the USMC. That's unheard of in a work environment that is state-of-the-art in electronics; his mentions of aircraft could have been cribbed out of some book on aviation or a website for same. The ONLY radio equipment Dudly has mentioned relative to his "military career" is some alleged MARS radios used at an Okinawan MARS station where he claimed an "ANCOIC" position. MARS operations would NOT be a part of the duties of any avionics technician, any branch, and MARS operations do not involve either tactical or strategic radio communications of the U.S. military, any branch.

>> The BEST it seems you and your Feeble comrades can seem to pull
>> together is the persistent rantings of a semi-literate degenerate and a
>> disgraced, dishonorably discharged ex-Marine who's ONLY valid argument
>> is my incorrect pluralization of "court martial".
>
>If the truth were ever told, I think you would be the one disgraced.
>But you can't let that little secret out, can you?
>

>Seven hostile actions, my ass!

Dudly's "hostile actions" in the Corps were probably the cause of HIS termination from the USMC after 18 years, not the 20 needed for a military pension. Dudly ORIGINALLY posted that he received a MEDICAL discharge...then tried to say that was "changed" to an Honorable...then later switched to say that he was terminated "due to an accident during his duty." The claim of being in "seven hostile actions" came much later than his claims - and claims switches - of his discharges. A terrible record of INCONSISTENCY which smacks of a typical con man snow job trying to be foisted on everyone.

Medical discharges are done for mental conditions as well as physical conditions.

>> They are the epitome of "lame".
>
>> You have your moments of lucidity, but they are waning.
>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Waxing and waning. Lunar terms. How appropriate?

Looney Toons time. BTW, Warner Brothers main buildings are just about three miles away from my residence. I don't think they would accept Dudly's tales, even for the most outrageous cartoon show they could make. For a Halloween horror film, maybe...

Note the characteristic Dudly "response." Instead of providing REAL PROOF FOR HIMSELF, Dudly attempts to shift focus in the thread by engaging in personal insults of yourself. That's a typical diversionary tactic in these back-and-forths with Major Dud. [great name, Frank! :-)]

Brian, just bid Major Dud a "Semper Paratus" and a "Temper Fry" and forget trying to reason with him. He isn't reasonable. He's nuts.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1498. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 21:24:10 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132089850.056709.65570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124062

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 4:52 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >From: Frank Gilliland on Sat 12 Nov 2005 11:57

>> >Dudly's post didn't show up on my news server so I'll reply to both in
>> >this post.
>
>> OK.
>
>> >On 12 Nov 2005 04:29:46 -0800, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in
>
>> ><snip>
>> >>> A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
>> >>> away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
>> >>> warship.
>
>> >Wrong. What you describe is called a "float". But you didn't know
>> >that. You also didn't know that that avionics techs are routinely
>> >deployed with their units in the FMF. You didn't know these things
>> >because you have never been on float. This is supported by the fact
>> >that, upon deployment overseas, the Corps (and probably the other
>> >services) sends press releases to each Marine's hometown media center,
>> >yet there have been no press releases in Ohio, California or Tennessee
>> >for any Steve or Steven Robeson, USMC, during the time you claim to
>> >have been on active duty (public information, but it was easier for me
>> >since I still have connections at the station). The fact is that you
>> >were never deployed on float. And you can't do 18 years of active duty
>> >and -not- get deployed on float. It's part of the job.
>
>> >Your pile of dirt grows larger by the day, Dudly.
>
>> It's high enough to need FAA-mandated warning lights as an
>> obstruction. Moles gave up being jealous of its height a
>> long time ago...
>
>What are the odds of being in a single hostile action if you've never
>been deployed?
>
>I'm thinking zero. Now multiply that by seven.

...and the result is still ZERO.

ZERO hostile actions that Dudly was "in."

I find it singularly AMAZING that Dudly has NEVER produced a
single photo or snapshot of himself on "active duty" during
those EIGHTEEN YEARS! NO references to anyone who has one.

I've seen dozens and dozens of snaps of my friends and
acquaintences who've served in the militaries for much
shorter times. All the military branches sent out notices
and photographs of service people to their home town
newspapers in the past describing their current assignment
or "graduation" from boot camp or basic training. Papers
MIGHT include those in back pages as "filler" material or
might not depending on how much page space they had left.
Nonetheless, the military policy has been to do that for
the last half century, all branches.

>> >Except that there is no public record that verifies your claim that
>> >you were on active duty for any of your 18 years. If I'm wrong then
>> >cough it up and I'll leave this group forever. Deal?
>
>> Frank, please do NOT give ground on this. You are right and
>> the "real" truth must apply.
>
>> We readers have had more than SEVEN YEARS of waiting for ANY
>> written proof of Dudly the Imposter's "service" and it has NOT
>> appeared. All that exists is Dudly's newsgroup messages that
>> he is "telling the truth" (and that everyone challenging him
>> is "lying"). Not one single person reference (in 18 years NO
>> namable friends for reference?),
>
>Steve served alone. "A Marine Corps of One!"
>
>Almost sounds like a recruiting slogan, doesn't it?

Hee hee hee hee. ["An Army of One" if the other readers
can't get it...part of the Army's recruiting campaign]

>FWIW, the AF reserves have "Air Reserve Technicians" that serve full
>time as if on active duty. Maybe the USMC/R have the same deal.

Well...someone has to sweep out the Air Guard hangars during
the week days... :-)

>> not one single digitized
>> image of REAL documents (such as a DD-214), not one single
>> digitized photo of Dudly doing his "USMC Avionics Tech" thing
>> (in 18 years nothing?). Total ZERO references that could prove
>> his case. He has no case. He is a fraud.
>
>No "Stars & Stripes" article?

Don't think so, but Stars & Stripes carries cartoon strips on
Sunday editions. It might be in there. :-)

>No "N/MC Times" article?
>
>No installation newspaper articles?
>
>Hero Steve must have laid pretty low those 18 years.

Shhhh...he might have been "special ops!"

Tom Clancy may be writing him up for a new novel entitled,
"Clear and Present Dander," about an EMT doing HALO drops
into denied territory to flush out illegal dandruff drug
dealers threatening our hair-oin distribution.

>> Frank, you know, I know, and all readers know that Dudly has
>> NO valid documentation. He cannot provide what he does NOT
>> have. If you keep your word, YOU will be HERE forever! :-)
>
>Yikes! Condemned to RRAP for a lifetime?

A fate worse than dearth...

>My single reason for staying on is that I wouldn't let these pricks
>(roughly the equivalent of "putz") run me off. I'm waiting for Mike
>Coslo to get his BBS up and running so most of the esteemed riffraff
>will depart. My plan is to turn off the lights, but it hinges on Coslo
>making a success of himself. What are the odds?

The Coslonaut probably stuck his "BBS" into his balloon
payload and the BBS is now "on the threshold of space!"

Think a combination of "Dilbert" and "Brewster Rockit, Space
Guy." High-tech funnies.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1499. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 21:25:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132089952.932007.311700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124063

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 4:22 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat 12 Nov 2005 14:17

>> >QRZ last updated 01/29/2004, signed as "MAJOR Robeson."
>
>> >Photo of a guy with Captain's bars.
>
>> >Wonder why Steve isn't updating his official photograph?
>
>> He didn't on his "official" AOL home page. Gave excuses
>> there..."didn't get 'promoted' until July...this is
>> November...verrrry slow "developing" of that photo.
>
>Must be suer-high resolution photo paper. Takes longer.

I'd likely believe that the "Sergeant Grit" USMC store
ran out of cloth major insignia for his poopy suit.

"If Chesty didn't like it, we wouldn't carry it" is
their shop slogan. :-)

[Frank knows who "Chesty" is, Dudly doesn't...:-)]

>> Note the "headline" on his "official" AOL home page:
>
>> "A Man of Many Talents."
>
>> He was "homeland security before there was homeland
>> security!"
>
>> BAAAAWHAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>He has a talent for shooting his mouth off.

AHA!! That explains MANY things! He can't smile in any
photo because the teeth are gone! THAT may be the "injury"
that caused his "medical discharge" due to an "accident!"

>> His little Cessna 150 (or was it Aeronca C3?) got
>> hard points for WEAPONRY? Whoooeee...look out all
>> general av flyers wherever you are...Ace Security
>> "MAJOR" gonna get on your tails!
>
>I can just imagine the MAJOR getting behind someone and opening fire.
>"He invaded my airspace, he invaded my airspace!" Then he shoots his

>prop off.

His own...

>> On the con-man scale of 1 to 10, Dudly the Imposter (aka
>> "United States Air Force Auxilliary" MAJOR) might nudge
>> the meter to a 2...with enough QRM to raise baseline...
>
>And he tries so hard. Kind of sad, isn't it? Should we clue him in
>that it's "Major?" No need to go ALLCAPS for emphasis.

I used all caps. Dudly is very RANK. :-)

>> No new photo?
>
>> Probably because he hasn't found a good dentist yet. We
>> haven't seen one picture of him showing his teeth. Well,
>> he might not have any? USAF ought to have some dentists
>> around to help him out. [they helped out W when he
>> "fought" for this country in the Air Guard]
>
>Hmmmm? No teeth? But that's not on the list of the things that's
>supposed to happen when you shoot your mouth off.

"He knew the job was dangerous when he took it..." :-)

[quote from "Super Chicken" for all the questioning readers]

>> USAF Auxilliary "MAJOR!" He gots Flight Suit and
>> everything! [but no dress blues]
>
>The Air Force Service Dress Uniform will show off that belly of his.
>I'm thinking that's why no new "official" photo. He's on a weight
>profile.

Wonder where he could get the cash as a "commissioned
officer" to afford dress blues? Maybe on "Amelia's"
credit card?

>> Dudly ought to have gone down to Texas and joined the
>> Confederate Air Force. Everyone there is a COLONEL!
>
>Colonel Dudley Sanders. I can just imagine him frying up a mess of
>chicken for the Confederate Air Force.

I think the club would squawk...squawk...squawk...

>> Wow, some Rank! (not speaking of insignia or title)
>
>Do we have a photo of him in his Tennessee Militia uniform?

No, but I saw one a few years ago. The "brigade" changed
their website all around. Cannot find any mention at all
of any "communications officer" or the name "Robeson."

>How about a white dress and white shoes?

Not until WE have "confirmation," Brian... :-)

Temper fry.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1500. Enough about the hurricane allready

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 21:43:59 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1132091039.081093.203510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124065
Cross-posted to: neworleans.general,alt.fan.bill-clinton,alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Screw the Louisiana and Mississippi hurricane crap!

You assholes got what you deserve! You live in a shit city, in a shit state, then whine when something completely natural happens!

All of you so called "victims" can just go to hell! I pissed on myself laughing watching all of those monkeys perched on that freeway !!!!

HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

# 1501. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 21:49:24 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132091364.873079.121130@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124066

litoi,

i'm not the only site on the web that has those catagories (see
Hongfire, 4chan, not4chan, hentaiBBS, just to name a few)

>Todd, you are one sick puppy! Child porn is child porn, whether
>photographed or drawn. Giving it a japanese name does not somehow >make it "OK".

there is a big difference, one is illegal the other isn't.

Todd N9OGL

オタク

# 1502. Re: Enough about the hurricane allready

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 21:53:49 UTC
From: Barrnabas Collins <BarnabasCollinsonSFNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <84mkn155iml188m7rc9i2cbmcclvcrvcvu@4ax.com>
Source record: 124067
Cross-posted to: neworleans.general,alt.fan.bill-clinton,alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 15 Nov 2005 13:43:59 -0800, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote:

>Screw the Louisiana and Mississippi hurricane crap!
>
>You assholes got what you deserve! You live in a shit city, in a shit
>state, then whine when something completely natural happens!
>
>All of you so called "victims" can just go to hell! I pissed on myself
>laughing watching all of those monkeys perched on that freeway !!!!
>
>HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Notice the OP didn't mention Texas, Florida, and Alabama which also
were hit by hurricanes this season. (Oh and South Carolina too.)
---

http://www.barnabascollins.blogspot.com

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1503. Re: Enough about the hurricane allready 4.224.213.174

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 22:02:52 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051115170252.803$q1_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124068
Cross-posted to: neworleans.general,alt.fan.bill-clinton,alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" 4.224.213.174
<steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote:
> Screw the Louisiana and Mississippi hurricane crap!
>
> You assholes got what you deserve! You live in a shit city, in a shit
> state, then whine when something completely natural happens!
>
> All of you so called "victims" can just go to hell! I pissed on myself
> laughing watching all of those monkeys perched on that freeway !!!!
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
You are as sick as Mark, Steveo.

-plonk-

## 1504. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 22:09:35 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <437a5c8c.3533198@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 124069
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"see sea oh ecks at you aitch see dot comm" <spammers@bugger.off.invalid>
wrote:
> Since when has the FCC had jurisdiction over radio amateurs in the UK?

this can be arranged :-)

# 1505. Re: Day 7 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 22:27:26 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ihtef.8968$2y.3286@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124070

Well I thnk it's time to stop waiting.
Day 7 and nothing new.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1131831719.124624.301080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> John wrote:
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> > Still nothing of any consequence.
> cut
>> I did see at least one comment (no idea who's) that mentioned reciprocal
>> licenses. I believe it proposed not the retention of code testing to
>> get a license but some form of code endorsement to satisfy some other
>> country's requirement.
>
> Jim refers to this idea but can't id any comenteor that made it
>
> BillSohl addressed the issue elsewhere but that is IMO the best answer
> to what new idea has been advanced to date
>
> If you send me a SASE (kb9rqz) I will send you your wining's 1 cent and
> a note that you likely can't read congratualting you
>> John
>

# 1506. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 22:28:13 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1ykksv@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1ykksv@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 124150

N9OGL Wrote:
>
>
> Iitoi wrote:
>
> Child porn IS child porn, whether photographed or drawn. Giving it a
> japanese name does not somehow make it "OK".
>
>
>
> there is a big difference, one is illegal the other isn't.
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
> オタク
>
>

Hosting a web site soliciting the posting pictures of child porn is OK
so long as the participants are drawn, not photos? Did your parents
teach you that? Please, Todd, get help.

--
Iitoi

# 1507. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 22:29:56 UTC
From: microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <437a6100.123717746@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 124071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 14 Nov 2005 18:49:00 -0000, "The Magnum"
<magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:

>
>"Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
>news:4378d56f.22453095@news.blueyonder.co.uk...
>> On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 20:13:59 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>>
>> >Isn't Ireland a part of the U.K?
>>
>> WHAAAaaat?!!!!!!
>
>It gets worse... someone told me Wales was part of the UK today :o(
>

I could accept that! I like Wales, even Cardiff ... (cue for Old
Nick....)

Peter, G3PHO

# 1508. Re: Day 7 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 23:12:35 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132096355.785290.280080@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124072

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Well I thnk it's time to stop waiting.
> Day 7 and nothing new.

Never is, and that's the point.

Removal of Code Testing is long overdue.

# 1509. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 23:17:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132096626.025676.90110@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124073

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP...(SNIP TO....)

> And the suprise? It's no suprise at all....(SNIP AGAIN....)

You're right...

It was no surprise at all that I found the post made no sense at
all.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1510. Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 23:20:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <J2uef.1094$jI5.730@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124074

It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G. Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating, self-important rants in r.r.a.p.

"Craft", "guild", "union", "self-styled elite group of traditionalists", they're all there. Len's obligatory references to his military experience of more than a half-century ago are there, but he carefully reworked his "24/7" statement so that he personally isn't described as having worked 24 hours per day for a week.

Leonard's remarks are carefully loaded with numerous references to copious footnotes. One lets the Commission in on what PROFESSIONAL periodicals he receives. Another tells the Commission of the woeful failure of Fessenden's experiments in the use of amplitude modulated telephony.

In typical Andersonesque fashion, Len calls some of Rightsell's comments "not only egregious but an outright insult, not only to those of us who worked responsibly in radio communications long ago, but to all the planners of radio communications *in every other service except radio amateurism* since the end of World War 2. [Isn't it supposed to be "World War II"?] Len opines, "To be blunt, Mr. Rightsell engages in self-puffery and nonsense". Additionally, our Leonard provides the Commissioner's a lecture in a rundown of decade by decade progress in the development of radio.

Len can't resist a few jabs at Joe Speroni's talley of comments on 05-235. He makes certain that the Commission is aware that Speroni is "an unabashed proponent of radiotelegraphy in amateur radio, and has been so for years" as if it were an unknown that Mr. Anderson is an unabashed proponent of doing away with morse code exam in amateur radio (and has been such for years).

Leonard H. Anderson, despite his self-declared several decades of interest in amateur radio, nine years or so of posts to r.r.a.p and a claim that he was going for an "Extra right out of the box" is *still not a radio amateur*. On the positive side for Mr. Anderson, he is still holding on to his tiny, dusty Johnson.

Dave K8MN

## 1511. Let Go, Luke...Use The Force....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 23:21:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132096878.054913.212500@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124075

N9OGL wrote:
> Steve, is a ####er liar, i'm not gay, nor have ever been gay...(SNIP)

Denial only makes the anguish deeper, Toiddie. Let go. Be
yourself. Accept yourself as we have come to accept you and you will
be a happier person.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1512. The Problem With Toiddie's Boards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 23:27:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132097276.503394.118810@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124076

N9OGL wrote:

> The problem with my board is that there are too
> many hentai/lolicon boards out there that it hard to get people to come
> to a newly started board.

The problem with "your" board is that it's YOUR board...

Kinda like the Google "groups" you created for "policy" and
"experimentation"...Each got about three posts then dried up like the
Gobi desert.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1513. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 23:32:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132097527.440262.157910@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124077

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 4:22 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat 12 Nov 2005 14:17
> >
> >> >QRZ last updated 01/29/2004, signed as "MAJOR Robeson."
> > >
> >> >Photo of a guy with Captain's bars.
> > >
> >> >Wonder why Steve isn't updating his official photograph?
> > >
> >> He didn't on his "official" AOL home page. Gave excuses
> >> there..."didn't get 'promoted' until July...this is
> >> November...verrrry slow "developing" of that photo.
> > >
> >Must be suer-high resolution photo paper. Takes longer.
> >
> I'd likely believe that the "Sergeant Grit" USMC store
> ran out of cloth major insignia for his poopy suit.

"I was on the ground in Slidell, LA, for 45 minutes on Saturday. I
don't believe that even if I possessed the skills of a poet laureat
that I could put into words the devastation. I never served with the
Marines in Viet Nam, but have always heard about the "1000 yeard
stare"...Well, now I've seen it...In the eyes of hundreds of those
brutalized by this horrific event."

I'd like to see that "1000 yeard stare" in his next photo. Or maybe "a
thousand yards of stairstepper" would improve the photo.

> "If Chesty didn't like it, we wouldn't carry it" is
> their shop slogan. :-)
>
> [Frank knows who "Chesty" is, Dudly doesn't...:-)]

Steve only knows "Chesty Thumper." They're buds.

> >> Note the "headline" on his "official" AOL home page:
> > >
> >> "A Man of Many Talents."
> > >
> >> He was "homeland security before there was homeland
> >> security!"
> > >
> >> BAAAAWHAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> >
> >He has a talent for shooting his mouth off.
>
> AHA!! That explains MANY things! He can't smile in any
> photo because the teeth are gone! THAT may be the "injury"
> that caused his "medical discharge" due to an "accident!"

Welp, he did say it happens.

> >> His little Cessna 150 (or was it Aeronca C3?) got
> >> hard points for WEAPONRY? Whoooeee...look out all
> >> general av flyers wherever you are...Ace Security
> >> "MAJOR" gonna get on your tails!
> >
> >I can just imagine the MAJOR getting behind someone and opening fire.
> >"He invaded my airspace, he invaded my airspace!" Then he shoots his
> >prop off.
>
> His own...

Then maybe he could see a thousand yards without that obstruction to
vision.

> >> On the con-man scale of 1 to 10, Dudly the Imposter (aka
> >> "United States Air Force Auxilliary" MAJOR) might nudge
> >> the meter to a 2...with enough QRM to raise baseline...
> >
> >And he tries so hard. Kind of sad, isn't it? Should we clue him in
> >that it's "Major?" No need to go ALLCAPS for emphasis.
>
> I used all caps. Dudly is very RANK. :-)

He used ALL CAPS on QRZ. He must be in agreement.

> >> No new photo?
> >
> >> Probably because he hasn't found a good dentist yet. We
> >> haven't seen one picture of him showing his teeth. Well,
> >> he might not have any? USAF ought to have some dentists
> >> around to help him out. [they helped out W when he
> >> "fought" for this country in the Air Guard]
> >
> >Hmmmm? No teeth? But that's not on the list of the things that's
> >supposed to happen when you shoot your mouth off.
>
> "He knew the job was dangerous when he took it..." :-)
>
> [quote from "Super Chicken" for all the questioning readers]

Drunk, laying face up on a cot... "whomp, whomp, whomp, whomp..."

The ceiling fan turns into a helo rotor...

"I asked for a mission, and for my SINS they gave me one..."

He looks at the fan with that famous "one yard blear."

> >> USAF Auxilliary "MAJOR!" He gots Flight Suit and
> >> everything! [but no dress blues]
> >
> >The Air Force Service Dress Uniform will show off that belly of his.
> >I'm thinking that's why no new "official" photo. He's on a weight
> >profile.
>
> Wonder where he could get the cash as a "commissioned
> officer" to afford dress blues? Maybe on "Amelia's"
> credit card?

Don't know "Amelia." Maybe he got it on the "Katrina" credit card, or
maybe they will just up and issue one to him.

> >> Dudly ought to have gone down to Texas and joined the
> >> Confederate Air Force. Everyone there is a COLONEL!
> >
> >Colonel Dudley Sanders. I can just imagine him frying up a mess of
> >chicken for the Confederate Air Force.
>
> I think the club would squawk...squawk...squawk...
>
> >> Wow, some Rank! (not speaking of insignia or title)
> >
> >Do we have a photo of him in his Tennessee Militia uniform?
>
> No, but I saw one a few years ago. The "brigade" changed
> their website all around. Cannot find any mention at all
> of any "communications officer" or the name "Robeson."

Gone. And forgotten.

> >How about a white dress and white shoes?
>
> Not until WE have "confirmation," Brian... :-)
>
> Temper fry.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Does the reformed church have "confirmation?"

## 1514. Re: The Problem With Toiddie's Boards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-15 23:52:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132098775.179259.323500@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124078

No steve, the problems with the boards is they've been up only a short period of time and I've of yet put the meta tags in them so that the search engines can log them

Todd N9OGL

## 1515. Re: Let Go, Luke...Use The Force....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 00:02:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132098596.967718.313280@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124079

> Denial only makes the anguish deeper, Toiddie. Let go. Be
>yourself. Accept yourself as we have come to accept you and you will
>be a happier person.
>Steve, K4YZ

fuck you steve you fucking liar

# 1516. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 00:56:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132102605.013808.259450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124080

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP...(SNIP TO....)
>
> > And the suprise? It's no suprise at all....(SNIP AGAIN....)
>
> You're right...
>
> It was no surprise at all that I found the post made no sense at
> all.

thaink abotu what that says about YOU

indeed I am not suprised either
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1517. ping steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 00:57:57 UTC
From: twistedhed@wildfun.com
Message-ID: <1132102677.540865.126880@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.am,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.activism

hey steveo.....been over to the petro lately? i was beating my meat thinking about the last time we had a radio suck/fuck fest.remember all the guys that showed up? remember when that dude with the mask was pounding my man-puss as i worked your massive man meat? i must have crapped cum for a week after that! lets do it again. i need some strange dick. stevie, lets have it at your place. there's more of a chance for those black dudes to show up since you live in a black area. remember when tyronne shoved his 14 inch fuck stick into your love box? all the cb'ers were fighting over who would get to lick those black balls as you got the fucking of your life! let me know,ok?

# 1518. Re: Let Go, Luke...Use The Force....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 00:58:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132102682.416987.210250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124082

N9OGL wrote:
> > Denial only makes the anguish deeper, Toiddie. Let go. Be
> >yourself. Accept yourself as we have come to accept you and you will
> >be a happier person.
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> #### you steve you ####ing liar

You insist I am wrong and am a liar, Toiddie, however the only way
you can respond to me is with a suggestive profanity that, in and of
itself, suggests you want to engage in physical intimacy with me.

You prove me right.

Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1519. Re: The Problem With Toiddie's Boards?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 01:00:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132102851.645028.322640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124083

N9OGL wrote:
> No steve, the problems with the boards is they've been up only a short
> period of time and I've of yet put the meta tags in them so that the
> search engines can log them

Nooooooooooooooooooooo.....................

The "problem" (if this is a really a "problem"...) is that even
they don't want anything to do with you...And with good reason.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1520. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 01:05:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132103112.256606.13490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124084

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 4:22 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
cut

>
> >> No new photo?
> >
> >> Probably because he hasn't found a good dentist yet. We
> >> haven't seen one picture of him showing his teeth. Well,
> >> he might not have any? USAF ought to have some dentists
> >> around to help him out. [they helped out W when he
> >> "fought" for this country in the Air Guard]
> >
> >Hmmmm? No teeth? But that's not on the list of the things that's
> >supposed to happen when you shoot your mouth off.
>
If there is danger he will have to orverlook it
> "He knew the job was dangerous when he took it..." :-)
>
> [quote from "Super Chicken" for all the questioning readers]

>
>
> >> USAF Auxilliary "MAJOR!" He gots Flight Suit and
> >> everything! [but no dress blues]
> >
> >The Air Force Service Dress Uniform will show off that belly of his.
> >I'm thinking that's why no new "official" photo. He's on a weight
> >profile.
>
> Wonder where he could get the cash as a "commissioned
> officer" to afford dress blues? Maybe on "Amelia's"
> credit card?

indeed if he he were an ARMY officer active or reservse he would be
required to obtain types of dress uniforms theBlue the Dress Mess blues
and there were a adress white when Iserved I have a set myself

per AR 700-10
>
cut
> >How about a white dress and white shoes?
>

> Not until WE have "confirmation," Brian... :-)

he would be required to own them in the Army
>
> Temper fry.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1521. Re: Day 7 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 01:05:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132103138.760011.115280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124085

Bill Sohl wrote:
> Well I thnk it's time to stop waiting.
> Day 7 and nothing new.

With all due respect, Bill....

Did you really expect that someone would point the way,
so that anticodetest folks could write reply comments
without having to look through all the comments?

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1522. Re: Let Go, Luke...Use The Force....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 01:06:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132103218.478424.241030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124086

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > > Denial only makes the anguish deeper, Toiddie. Let go. Be
> > >yourself. Accept yourself as we have come to accept you and you will
> > >be a happier person.
> > >Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > ##### you steve you ####ing liar
>
> You insist I am wrong and am a liar, Toiddie, however the only way
> you can respond to me is with a suggestive profanity that, in and of
> itself, suggests you want to engage in physical intimacy with me.

projecting again Stevie you always reposnd to me with sexualy sugestive
rants
>
> You prove me right.

if he has proven you right then YOU are proven a homosexual as well

of the truth truth is your were just lying
>
> Thanks.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1523. Re: Let Go, Luke...Use The Force....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 01:08:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132103285.288474.130260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124087

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > No steve, the problems with the boards is they've been up only a short
> > period of time and I've of yet put the meta tags in them so that the
> > search engines can log them
>
> Noooooooooooooooooooo.....................
>
> The "problem" (if this is a really a "problem"...) is that even
> they don't want anything to do with you...And with good reason.

the rpoblem is hams onsessing on stuff that has nothing to do with the
sunjects at hand
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1524. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 01:11:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132103497.713937.34940@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124088

ah I guess Dave does not like american doing their civic duty
Dave Heil wrote:
> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
>
> "Craft", "guild", "union", "self-styled elite group of traditionalists",
> they're all there. Len's obligatory references to his military
> experience of more than a half-century ago are there, but he carefully
> reworked his "24/7" statement so that he personally isn't described as
> having worked 24 hours per day for a week.
>
> Leonard's remarks are carefully loaded with numerous references to
> copious footnotes. One lets the Commission in on what PROFESSIONAL
> periodicals he receives. Another tells the Commission of the woeful
> failure of Fessenden's experiments in the use of amplitude modulated
> telephony.
>
> In typical Andersonesque fashion, Len calls some of Rightsell's comments
> "not only egregious but an outright insult, not only to those of us who
> worked responsibly in radio communications long ago, but to all the
> planners of radio communications *in every other service except radio
> amateurism* since the end of World War 2. [Isn't it supposed to be
> "World War II"?] Len opines, "To be blunt, Mr. Rightsell engages in
> self-puffery and nonsense". Additionally, our Leonard provides the
> Commissioner's a lecture in a rundown of decade by decade progress in
> the development of radio.
>
> Len can't resist a few jabs at Joe Speroni's talley of comments on
> 05-235. He makes certain that the Commission is aware that Speroni is
> "an unabashed proponent of radiotelegraphy in amateur radio, and has
> been so for years" as if it were an unknown that Mr. Anderson is an
> unabashed proponent of doing away with morse code exam in amateur radio
> (and has been such for years).
>
> Leonard H. Anderson, despite his self-declared several decades of
> interest in amateur radio, nine years or so of posts to r.r.a.p and a
> claim that he was going for an "Extra right out of the box" is *still
> not a radio amateur*. On the positive side for Mr. Anderson, he is
> still holding on to his tiny, dusty Johnson.
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1525. Re: Enough about the hurricane allready 4.224.213.174

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 01:12:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132103541.514603.137600@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124089
Cross-posted to: neworleans.general,alt.fan.bill-clinton,alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> "Steveo" 4.224.213.174
> <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote:
> > Screw the Louisiana and Mississippi hurricane crap!
> >
> > You assholes got what you deserve! You live in a shit city, in a shit
> > state, then whine when something completely natural happens!
> >
> > All of you so called "victims" can just go to hell! I pissed on myself
> > laughing watching all of those monkeys perched on that freeway !!!!
> >
> > HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> You are as sick as Mark, Steveo.
>
> -plonk-

stevoe calling steveo sick

ok fine where are those nice white men with their claen white coaks

# 1526. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 01:46:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051115204641.869$TU@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124090

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP...(SNIP TO....)
>
> > And the suprise? It's no suprise at all....(SNIP AGAIN....)
>
> You're right...
>
> It was no surprise at all that I found the post made no sense at
> all.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
He does that on purpose. killfilter him.

# 1527. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 02:08:30 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1ykksw@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1ykksw@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 124151

an old friend Wrote:
>
> the rpoblem is hams onsessing on stuff that has nothing to do with the
> sunjects at hand
>

It's YOUR "sunject", Mark, not mine or Steves.

The "sunject" at hand (see Subject line) is "stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia". (Your words, not mine or Steves)

Thus the attention to Todd web site soliciting pictures depicting sexual interest in underage girls (ephebophilia, a branch of pedophilia) is directly dead on the topic that YOU raised.

--
Iitoi

# 1528. Re: ping steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 02:44:36 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132109076.611439.124010@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.am,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.activism

twistedhed@wildfun.com wrote:
> hey steveo.....been over to the petro lately? i was beating my
> meat thinking about the last time we had a radio suck/fuck
> fest.remember all the guys that showed up? remember when that dude with
> the mask was pounding my man-puss as i worked your massive man meat? i
> must have crapped cum for a week after that! lets do it again. i need
> some strange dick. stevie, lets have it at your place. there's more of
> a chance for those black dudes to show up since you live in a black
> area. remember when tyronne shoved his 14 inch fuck stick into your
> love box? all the cb'ers were fighting over who would get to lick those
> black balls as you got the fucking of your life! let me know,ok?

The poster forgot to include that stevezero was kicked out of the
village people for being too gay.

# 1529. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 02:48:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132109332.284740.312450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124092

Steveo wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP...(SNIP TO....)
> >
> > > And the suprise? It's no suprise at all....(SNIP AGAIN....)
> >
> > You're right...
> >
> > It was no surprise at all that I found the post made no sense at
> > all.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> He does that on purpose. killfilter him.

Stebie can't do that Stabie has a moratl obligation to attack BB myself
Todd, len and Frank it is sole prupose in life

# 1530. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 02:49:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132109370.663454.314430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124093

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: K4YZ <@aol.com> on Nov 11, 4:06 am
> >
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 4:41 pm
> >
> > > Interesting that after having had his nose rubbed in his own
> > >numbers,
>
> Len

Jim

> > >changes style and format of his "scorecard" series of
> > >posts and threads...Trying to hide the facts, as usual...The FACTS that
> > >those comments Jim was refering to DON'T support
>
> Len's

Jim's

> > >opinion as
> > >being in the majority.
>
> Len's own "scorecard" shows his opinion (complete elimination
> of all Morse Code testing for any class of FCC-issued amateur radio
> license)
> to be in the minority

Jim's got no scorecard. Only an unpopular opinion.

> Unknown person

Unknown people such as Quitefine and Darkguard

> > has no "facts" to accuse anyone of anything.
> >
> > I started the "score card" postings on 2 August 2005 after
> > accumulating enough opinion data on WT Docket 05-235 filings
> > to see four categories. The first non-FCC filings began
> > on 20 July 2005.
> >
> > The official Comment beginning did not occur until the Federal
> > Register notice of NPRM 05-143 on 31 August 2005. At that
> > date I elected to show a double tally of opinions, the original
> > one and one that began on 31 August. The first posting of

> > that new tally format began 1 September 2005.
> >
> > Due to a great number of posts under the above 2nd subject
> > thread that went beyond the scope of the "score card," I
> > changed the "score card" posts to another thread beginning
> > 17 October 2005. The same format of the 2nd thread ("double
> > tally") was kept in this third thread.
>
> It appears that Len wished to avoide comment and discussion,
> and wanted a thread containing only his scorecard.

"avoid"

He should move it to Coslo's BBS. Is it up and running yet?

> > The tally postings were almost daily except for one 2 1/2
> > week period in September-October when my wife and I were on
> > a trip and I was away from easy Internet access and tallies.
>
> One word: Wireless

One word: Kissoff

> > All of the categorizations were done as honestly and fairly
> > as possible,
>
> Purely subjective opinion.

??? Definition of Opinion ???

> > reading each of the Comments and Replies to
> > Comments.
>
> If that were true, Len would have been aware of the fact that there
> was indeed at least one other 'scorecard'

Do tell.

> > Opinions of greater than 90% of all filings were
> > rather obviously in three categories of For the NPRM, Against
> > the NPRM, or Code-Test-for-Extra-but-OK-to-drop-code-test-for-
> > other-classes.
>
> Which leaves 10% uncertain. Yet Len posted results to four decimal
> places, as if his tally was that accurate.

Two kinds of people, OK and Idiots. See: "Valentine Germann."

> > All of my "score card" postings are still in Google and may
> > be accessed by anyone with Google access.
>
> So is the commentary on their deficiencies.

And the comments of the disgruntled Pro-Code Test persons

> > NOTHING was "hidden."
>
> Incorrect.

Incorrect.

> Len counted multiple filings by the same person as different opinions
> if they were not identical.

Not identical? Perhaps they really were different opinions.

Only identical filings by the same person
> were counted as duplicates. Thus, in his own tally, Len's comments
> and five reply comments (last time I looked) counted as if they
> were written by six different people.

"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"

Is that a different opinion from a person who believes that ""A Morse
Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS?"

The very same person holds such beliefs. How would you cont those
vastly different opinions?

Hi!

> Len's postings are also unclear about what was counted. In parts of the
> posting he uses the word "comments" and in others the word "filings",
> hiding the fact that he was counting comments and reply comments.

Do you have a magnet? Were the filings ferrous or nonferrous?

> > By viewing the continuously-posted tally postings,
> > it is quite evident that a better than 2:1 ratio in favor of
> > the NPRM was held at the starting weeks of the Comment
> > period.
>
> Irrelevant.

Relevant. Then the ARRL Publishing House went to work.

> > That ratio began to change from the date of notice
> > in the Federal Register that the NPRM Comment period had
> > begun on 31 August 2005. The tallies can be verified by
> > anyone reading all 3,760 filings in WT Docket 05-235 as of
> > the close of ECFS updates on 10 November 2005.
>
> Someone else did read them, and came up with a different
> result than Len.

"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"

"A Morse Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS."

> > It should be noted that the official Comment period on NPRM
> > 05-143 ENDED on 31 October. The Reply to Comments period is

> > still officially OPEN until Monday, 14 November 2005, as
> > stated in the Federal Register of 31 August 2005. Among the
> > 9 official Replies to Comments (the other 48 are unofficial
> > Comments), all of them are FOR the NPRM. Those who bother
> > to look at the many tally postings I made will see the trend
> > of opinion changing weekly.
>
> The final result is what matters.

Neither "tally" matters. FCC decree is what matters.

> > Nothing was hidden.
>
> Incorrect, as shown above.

"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"

"A Morse Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS."

> > Posting of the "score card" was terminated after the official
> > end of the Comment period on 31 October 2005. The number of
> > other posts of continual argument and bickering were so great
> > that it was no longer worthwhile to continue the tally posting
> > in public.
>
> In other words, Len did not want his results criticized or examined
> closely. He wanted them accepted as fact, without question.

"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"

"A Morse Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS."

> > There are no "rules" on posting tallies of public opinion
> > except those of the person(s) doing the tally.
>
> Yet when questions were posed about Len's "rules", he became
> the source of "continual argument and bickering" rather than
> answering the questions honestly.

"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"

"A Morse Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS."

Jim, how would you tally the above two opinions from the same person???

> > That another
> > has posted an alleged "analysis" of WT Docket 05-235 filing
> > contents is not any valid argument against what I have done.
>
> Why not? Is Leonard H. Anderson incapable of error?

"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"

"A Morse Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS."

Is the person posting such opinions capable of error???

> > > None-the-less, it will be interesting to see, after whatever
> > >action the FCC takes in regards to dropping the Code Test,
>
> Regardless of comments, that will almost certainly be to drop
> all Morse Code testing.

Thank goodness. A Morse Code exam would certainly be a barrier to
Morse Code use.

> > >if
>
> Len

Jim

> > >will then get the "Extra Lite" (or any other HF-authorized license) or
> > >continue to spam the NG with his anti-Amateur Radio bile...
>
> Isn't that answer clear?

CAB, CAFB, CAVOK,

> > Irrelevant and incorrect.
>
> Neither.

Either, or?

> > What I do in the future is of no
> > concern to any action of the FCC to make a final Report and
> > Order as a result of the end of the Comments and Replies to
> > Comments. The Commission will make a decision at some
> > future time but that time will not be soon. The number of
> > filings in WT Docket 05-235 exceed those made on WT Docket
> > 98-143 ("Restructuring") by about 1,500; WT Docket 98-143
> > had only about 2,200 filings in the official Comment and
> > Replies to Comments period of about 11 months time.
>
> Len has repeatedly given opinions about others' motivations, yet
> will not reveal his own. Except for one outburst in January 2000,
> he has not expressed the desire or intention to get an amateur radio
> license.

Jim never reveals his motivations.

> > As to the past,
>
> unknown person

Quitefine, Darkguard.

> > has NOT yet provided any
> > proof whatsoever that he was ever IN any of the alleged

> > "seven hostile actions" he claimed he was in.
>
> Veterans of military and government service who disagree with Len have
> been
> subject to Len's insults and denigration of their service,
> regardless of what or when it was. Len's classic "sphinters post"
> proves that.

Jim served in unkown ways.

# 1531. Re: Enough about the hurricane allready 4.224.213.174

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 02:49:38 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132109378.218053.141490@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124094
Cross-posted to: neworleans.general,alt.fan.bill-clinton,alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

stevo should be called stevezero

# 1532. Re: Day 7 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 02:54:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132109644.133494.156440@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124095

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > Well I thnk it's time to stop waiting.
> > Day 7 and nothing new.
>
> With all due respect, Bill....
>
> Did you really expect that someone would point the way,
> so that anticodetest folks could write reply comments
> without having to look through all the comments?

No I doubt that Bill thinks the Procoder feel any reagrd to engage in
opne deabte we have hae in the SOP of most of the procoders here

However Bill in addresing the NG does not merely addres the ProCoder

OTOH the If the ProCoders have got something new they need to shouting
it off the roof top so the FCC might hear and consider this new point

the best New point is so repceprical licenseing issues but that hardly
seems enough to turn the course (it is but a hicup issue ar best)

Logical the R&O should be by the end of the week or at worst the month
However the Govt is not known for its logical behavoir
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1533. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 02:55:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132109723.136600.274630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124096

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP...(SNIP TO....)
>
> > And the suprise? It's no suprise at all....(SNIP AGAIN....)
>
> You're right...
>
> It was no surprise at all that I found the post made no sense at
> all.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Merely because you are a PCTA insider/double-standard holder.

Everyone on the Morse Code Test side fawned all over Val Germann. He busted on the scene saying all the right things and dissing the No-Coders. But in the end, he pulled the wool over your eyes because he was a slick-talking salesman with no substance behind him. And it was soooo easy.

Best of Luck

# 1534. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 02:57:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132109822.153283.279980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124097

an old friend wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP...(SNIP TO....)
> > >
> > > > And the suprise? It's no suprise at all....(SNIP AGAIN....)
> > >
> > > You're right...
> > >
> > > It was no surprise at all that I found the post made no sense at
> > > all.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > He does that on purpose. killfilter him.
>
> Stebie can't do that Stabie has a moratl obligation to attack BB myself
> Todd, len and Frank it is sole prupose in life

Steve is the righter of all wrongs on RRAP. Just ask him.

# 1535. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 03:02:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132109996.678977.296270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124098

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP...(SNIP TO....)
> > > >
> > > > > And the suprise? It's no suprise at all....(SNIP AGAIN....)
> > > >
> > > > You're right...
> > > >
> > > > It was no surprise at all that I found the post made no sense at
> > > > all.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > He does that on purpose. killfilter him.
> > >
> > Stebie can't do that Stabie has a moratl obligation to attack BB myself
> > Todd, len and Frank it is sole prupose in life
> >
> Steve is the righter of all wrongs on RRAP. Just ask him.

wrng BB Stebie is the righter of ALL worng everywhere that is why he
has not had the normal amount of posting he has been working on His
masterful to rid Iraq odf Insurgemnets and ensure that truth justice
and Morsemenship rules of in the far off land

# 1536. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 03:05:29 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132110329.446208.316360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124099

Green Slime wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1132023704.806168.3450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP; about a lad who came upon
> > this motley group and immediately became the darling of one faction of
> > the code test/no code test war. This old post kind of distilled those
> > thoughts.
>
>
>
> And your point is?

Let me put it into simpler terms for you, Mr. Slime. Val Germann was "OK" because he was #3 in the example below. He duped the Pro-Code Test folks because although he didn't Know Code, he wanted to. And he was so believable to them because he dissed the No-Coders. They absolutely loved him.

---
> Good things about the code? Yeah, I'm sure it's the greatest thing
since
> sliced bread. Can't stand it myself, of course ... but why do we have to
> open that can of worms?
> 73 de Alun, N3KIP

No, no. But that's what most everything here boils down to. Might as well get it out of the way so they can decide which side to put you on.

Kind of like a young man named Val. He came on here saying all the right things about Morse code. He was their little darling. Said he was going to take the code test.

There are four basic truths here:

You took the code exam and you like code: you're OK.
You took the code exam and you don't like the code: you're an idiot.
You never learned the code but want to: you're OK.
You never learned the code and don't want to: you're an idiot.

Kind of like a young man named Val. He came on here saying all the right things about Morse code. He was their little darling. Said he was going to take the code test.

For the record, I don't support code testing as a separate pass/fail HF exam.

73, Brian
---

And the suprise? It's no suprise at all. Valentine Germann' license has been unmodified since April 1, 2002. But he sure was the darling while he was here. Hi!

Callsign: KC0MUB Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
Name: Valentine H Germann

# 1537. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 03:09:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132110557.890479.208930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124152

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP...(SNIP TO....)
> > > > >
> > > > > > And the suprise? It's no suprise at all....(SNIP AGAIN....)
> > > > >
> > > > > You're right...
> > > > >
> > > > > It was no surprise at all that I found the post made no sense at
> > > > > all.
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > He does that on purpose. killfilter him.
> > >
> > > Stebie can't do that Stabie has a moratl obligation to attack BB myself
> > > Todd, len and Frank it is sole prupose in life
> >
> > Steve is the righter of all wrongs on RRAP. Just ask him.
>
> wrng BB Stebie is the righter of ALL worng everywhere that is why he
> has not had the normal amount of posting he has been working on His
> masterful to rid Iraq odf Insurgemnets and ensure that truth justice
> and Morsemenship rules of in the far off land

Probably has a Clancy book in hand as we type.

# 1538. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 03:14:01 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132110841.540496.246560@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124153

Dave Heil wrote:
> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.

It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.

Way to go, Dave. Pillar of the radio community. Good DX!

# 1539. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 03:39:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132112383.465421.311030@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124154

Hosting a web site soliciting the posting pictures of child porn is OK
so long as the participants are drawn, not photos? Did your parents
teach you that? Please, Todd, get help.

I would suggest you read ASHCROFT V. FREE SPEECH COALITION (00-795) 535
U.S. 234 (2002) 198 F.3d 1083, affirmed.
it can be found at
http://straylight.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/00-795.ZS.html

in it the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (that
includes anime pictures) was protected by the constitution unlike real
child porn that wasn't, the reason, because real childern are harmed by
the production of child porography, while virtual is created via
drawing, computer animation and no real childern are harmed in the
production of it. That's according to the US Supreme Court.

Todd N9OGL

## 1540. Re: Enough about the hurricane allready 4.224.213.174

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 03:44:24 UTC
From: Programbo <programbo5@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132112664.911896.113140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124155
Cross-posted to: neworleans.general,alt.fan.bill-clinton,alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Whats with the Cincinnati dial-up IP?

# 1541. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 05:02:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <t3zef.1224$jI5.1017@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124156

an old friend wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>
>>>> I was thinking back to simpler times on RRAP...(SNIP TO....)
>>>> And the suprise? It's no suprise at all....(SNIP AGAIN....)
>>> You're right...
>>>
>>> It was no surprise at all that I found the post made no sense at
>>> all.
>>>
>>> Steve, K4YZ
>>>
>> He does that on purpose. killfilter him.
>
> Stebie can't do that Stabie has a moratl obligation to attack BB myself
> Todd, len and Frank it is sole prupose in life

I believe that you, like Steve Martin in "The Jerk", have found your
special "prupose".

Dave K8MN

# 1542. Re: Gawd, how could I miss THIS one????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 05:07:34 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7jdln1dc7tsqov0iruvg78dncj50ubp5dp@4ax.com>
Source record: 124157

On 15 Nov 2005 13:17:12 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
<1132089432.553531.292490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>From: Frank Gilliland on Mon 14 Nov 2005 02:39
>
>>On 1 Nov 2005 22:53:47 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>>Frankie of Silliland, RRAP's Newest Source Of Comic Relief wrote:
>>>> On 1 Nov 2005 16:18:14 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>>> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>>>> >> On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
> <etc>
>
> <snip>
>
>>> PLEASE CITE just ONE Marine Corps order (from 1986 through 1992)
>>>that allegedly "proves" I was unable/unauthorized to work part time as
>>>an EMT...JUST ONE.
>>
>>Nice try, Dud, but it won't work. I have no doubt that you -were-
>>allowed to work as an EMT simply because you were a reservist. It
>>would be a different story if you were on active duty at the time.
>
> Frank, we readers have NOT seen anything at all to prove Dudly
> was active-duty OR in the reserves at any time!

True story. Now that you mentioned it, I think even a RESERVIST would
know the difference between Military Police and Shore Patrol. Dudly
has proven that he doesn't. But then again, one weekend each month
doing bends-&-thrusts doesn't build much of a knowledge base, nor does
it reinforce what little he was taught 30 years ago while standing on
the yellow footsteps.

<snip>
>>> So...I make some positive inferences to the Coast Guard, and
>>>that's "wrong"...
>>
>>In your case, it's as wrong as John Wayne Gacy showing an interest in
>>dressing up like a clown.
>
> Careful, he might start singing "Send In The Clowns." :-)

I intentionally used the Gacy analogy for a reason. Examine, if you
will, the following list of Dudly's interests and behaviors. A common
thread should become glaringly apparent:

1) His 'career' in the USMC;
2) His marital dysfunctions;
3) His 'hobby-turned-career' of nursing;
4) His interest in CAP and YAF;
5) His frequent fits of transference;
6) His history of accusing people of being pedophiles.

How much you wanna bet he used to hang out at the YMCA?

<snip>
>>> I've proven you to be a liar.
>>
>>If there's one thing that's lacking in public schools these days it's
>>the failure to teach critical thinking skills.
>
> Nah. Dud is just trying to turn things around with his very old
> trick of personal insulting in order to win message points.

Who's handing out those "message points"? Dave?

>>> What more could I ask for...?!?!
>>
>>A better education.
>
> I would suggest a VERY long time in mental health counseling.
> He needs it.

Mental health counseling is little more than professional coddling,
and I'm sure he spends quite a bit of time in therapy for just that
reason. What he NEEDS is a good ass-kicking.

>>You will never understand the difference, Dud: I'm not holding you to
>>-my- standard -- I'm holding you to a -higher- standard, just as you
>>would expect. Despite this, you waste your time in the newsgroups
>>throwing around school-yard banter, covering your lies with more lies,
>>and disgracing honorable institutions by leeching their honor and
>>integrity to feed your personal pride and delusions of heroism. Even
>>when I'm not here you pray to me because you don't have the discipline
>>(let alone the common sense) to avoid arguing with someone whom -you-
>>describe as "silly". The fact is that you -can't- set a standard that
>>is higher than mine, a shitbird PFC. Add to that the fact that your
>>tactics are lame and impotent, your 'facts' are generally wrong, and
>>you tuck tail and run from every challenge to the legitimacy of your
>>claims about your military "career", and anyone can see that -your-
>>standards are well below mine, let alone the standards required of a
>>Marine Gunny.
>>
>>Nope, you were never active duty. You're a Major Dud.
>
> Frank, my take is that Dudly was NEVER in the USMC. It
> sounds like he READ about it a lot, wanted to be in,
> could not get in, then flipped out. He went nuts,
> unable to reconcile his desires with reality.

He was in the USMC alright, and he was a reservist. We all know he has
been tight-lipped about his service because he didn't want to expose
the truth about his service. And we also know that he lied about his
"retirement". But what you may not know is that he wasn't discharged
for medical reasons, either. He simply quit. And not voluntarily, I
might add. Too bad he quit -before- Clinton's "don't ask, don't tell"
policy.....

<snip>
> Frank, that is consistent with Dudly's actions in here for
> so long. He has this mania about UNIFORMS, about RANK,
> wanting to be an officer, a tuff guy hero type. He is
> terribly frustrated with the reality of his life and NEEDS
> the fantasy that he is all those things.

Uniforms impress young boys.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1543. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 05:12:17 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <Rczef.700$wf.380@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124158

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:t3zef.1224$jI5.1017@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...

:
: I believe that you, like Steve Martin in "The Jerk", have found your
: special "prupose".
:
: Dave K8MN
:

Mark is the road apples of ham radio highway.

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

# 1544. Job suggestion for Major Dud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 05:12:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <hnfln1la3u1v1ql1bksfl2dhrn9g4bk4kc@4ax.com>
Source record: 124159

Here in Spokane we have a Mayor that's always looking for a few 'good'
men to hire as 'interns' (if you know what I mean). If he loses the
recall election next month there might be a job opening for you that's
right up your alley!!!

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1545. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 05:20:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ykzef.1228$jI5.743@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124160

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
>> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
>> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
>> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
>
> It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
> post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
> rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.

"Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
you're starting to write like him. If I'd wanted to post an
instructional message on DXing, I'd likely post it to the DX newsgroup.

In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
"egregious" or "insulting". Your organ grinder pal hasn't yet taken the
first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
many years. He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.

Carry on, little red-hatted monkey.

Dave K8MN

> Way to go, Dave. Pillar of the radio community. Good DX!
>

# 1546. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 05:21:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Vlzef.1229$jI5.399@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124161

N9OGL wrote:
> Hosting a web site soliciting the posting pictures of child porn is OK
> so long as the participants are drawn, not photos? Did your parents
> teach you that? Please, Todd, get help.
>
> I would suggest you read ASHCROFT V. FREE SPEECH COALITION (00-795) 535
> U.S. 234 (2002) 198 F.3d 1083, affirmed.
> it can be found at
> http://straylight.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/00-795.ZS.html
>
> in it the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (that
> includes anime pictures) was protected by the constitution unlike real
> child porn that wasn't, the reason, because real childern are harmed by
> the production of child porography, while virtual is created via
> drawing, computer animation and no real childern are harmed in the
> production of it. That's according to the US Supreme Court.

You seem to be under the impression that anything not forbidden by law,
is mandatory.

Dave K8MN

# 1547. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 06:17:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132121823.372396.195730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124163

>Funny that toddieboy would be so up on child porography laws. Can we
>assume he has an interest in child porn?

no, just anime

# 1548. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 06:42:57 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <ia2dncDYY_mFWufeRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124162

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> Hosting a web site soliciting the posting pictures of child porn is OK
>> so long as the participants are drawn, not photos? Did your parents
>> teach you that? Please, Todd, get help.
>>
>> I would suggest you read ASHCROFT V. FREE SPEECH COALITION (00-795) 535
>> U.S. 234 (2002) 198 F.3d 1083, affirmed.
>> it can be found at
>> http://straylight.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/00-795.ZS.html
>>
>> in it the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (that
>> includes anime pictures) was protected by the constitution unlike real
>> child porn that wasn't, the reason, because real childern are harmed by
>> the production of child porography, while virtual is created via
>> drawing, computer animation and no real childern are harmed in the
>> production of it. That's according to the US Supreme Court.
>
>
>
> You seem to be under the impression that anything not forbidden by law,
> is mandatory.
>
> Dave K8MN

Funny that toddieboy would be so up on child porography laws. Can we
assume he has an interest in child porn?

# 1549. Re: Day 7 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 10:05:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132135550.577259.306260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124164

an old friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > Well I thnk it's time to stop waiting.
> > > Day 7 and nothing new.
> >
> > With all due respect, Bill....
> >
> > Did you really expect that someone would point the way,
> > so that anticodetest folks could write reply comments
> > without having to look through all the comments?
>
> No I doubt that Bill thinks the Procoder feel any reagrd to engage in
> opne deabte we have hae in the SOP of most of the procoders here
>
> However Bill in addresing the NG does not merely addres the ProCoder

So, answer his question!
>
> OTOH the If the ProCoders have got something new they need to shouting
> it off the roof top so the FCC might hear and consider this new point

Why mention it here?

> the best New point is so repceprical licenseing issues but that hardly
> seems enough to turn the course (it is but a hicup issue ar best)
>
> Logical the R&O should be by the end of the week or at worst the month

How is that "logical"?

FCC has no set deadline to produce the R&O. Given the large number of
comments, reply comments and other filings to consider, it will not be
a quick
process. Remember that FCC doesn't just have to read the comments -
they
also have to decide which arguments are most compelling, cite them, and
justify their decision.

On top of that is the fact that while the majority of commenters
support
removing the code test for General, the majority of commenters also
support keeping the code test for Extra. So if FCC wants to remove the
code test for Extra, they have to justify ignoring what the majority
wants.

The R&Os from FCC are carefully worded, and that sort of thing takes
a bunch of time.

> However the Govt is not known for its logical behavoir

I don't think you understand "logical", Mark.

# 1550. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 10:20:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132136435.587684.111290@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124165

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
> "egregious" or "insulting".

That's just typical Len behavior, Dave. Check the reply comments about
ARRL, and the one about Extra Class licenses, etc.

What Len fails to understand is that such carryings-on are simply
delaying
the R&O.

> Your organ grinder pal hasn't yet taken the
> first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
> many years.

Actually that's not quite true, Dave.

Some months back, Len mentioned here that he had once, way back
in the 1950s, set about learning Morse Code. Claimed he'd actually
gotten up to about 6-8 wpm or so before deciding all the 'hard work'
wasn't worth it. That was just about the time 27 MHz cb came along,
and he jumped on that.

What I think happened is this: Len discovered that unlike "book
learning",
he didn't pick up on the Morse Code in a few quick lessons. For him it
took some 'hard work' to learn, and that conflicted with his view of
himself
as a 'professional in radio-electronics'. Not only that, but learning
Morse
Code would not help Len 'PROFESSIONALLY' - there was no money
reward waiting. So he gave up.

> He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
> radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.

Which would lead any logical person to wonder why he's so interested
in amateur radio regulations. He won't say *why* all this matters so
much to him.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1551. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 13:39:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132148352.491724.133910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124166

an old friend wrote:
> ah I guess Dave does not like american doing their civic duty

CIVIC DUTY...?!?!?

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

I "dared" to challenge one of Lennie's comments to the FCC in EXACTLY the same manner and he went on for Y E A R S about how I allegedly tried to challenge his "right" to seek redress from the government! ! ! !

Lennie goes one further! He actually "WARNS" the commentor about HIS comments IN THE REPLY TO THE FCC ! ! ! ! ! !

WTF is LENNIE to "WARN" anyone...?!?! He doesn't even qualify to be a school crossing guard!

There is ABSOLUTELY NOTHING "civic" about Lennie's intent or comments. It was nothing but hateful bile polished by self-promoting pontification.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1552. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 13:59:31 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1yli4w@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1yli4w@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 124170

N9OGL Wrote:
>
>
> ...the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (that
> includes anime pictures) was protected by the constitution ...
>
> Todd N9OGL
>
>

Nobody is arguing that you are doing something illegal by soliciting pictures of "virtual child porn" (your term).

The argument is that you're a sicko PPPP by running a web site which solicits pictures of "virtual child porn".

--
Iitoi

# 1553. Re: Let Go, Luke...Use The Force....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 14:49:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132152571.411442.252360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124167

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > No steve, the problems with the boards is they've been up only a short
> > > period of time and I've of yet put the meta tags in them so that the
> > > search engines can log them
> >
> > Nooooooooooooooooooo.....................
> >
> > The "problem" (if this is a really a "problem"...) is that even
> > they don't want anything to do with you...And with good reason.
>
> the rpoblem is hams onsessing on stuff that has nothing to do with the
> sunjects at hand

"problem" "obsessing" "subjects"

Of course it has to do with the "subject at hand", Markie!

The subject was Todd's "boards". That's what I commented on.

Wake up.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1554. Todd, N9OGL Promotes Child Pornography

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 14:56:50 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132153009.976533.167360@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124168

N9OGL wrote (but didn't attribute the comments of others):
>> Hosting a web site soliciting the posting pictures of child porn is OK
> >so long as the participants are drawn, not photos? Did your parents
> >teach you that? Please, Todd, get help.
>
> I would suggest you read ASHCROFT V. FREE SPEECH COALITION (00-795) 535
> U.S. 234 (2002) 198 F.3d 1083, affirmed.
> it can be found at
> http://straylight.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/00-795.ZS.html
>
> in it the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (that
> includes anime pictures) was protected by the constitution unlike real
> child porn that wasn't, the reason, because real childern are harmed by
> the production of child porography, while virtual is created via
> drawing, computer animation and no real childern are harmed in the
> production of it. That's according to the US Supreme Court.

ANY opportunity to find an excuse, right Todd?

Yes...REAL children WILL be harmed by this as it permits people
like you an opportunity to think that the idea of sex with underaged
persons is "OK" at some level.

There will always be this kind of activity...There always has
been. However lending it some venier of legitimacy via "anime" is
nothing more than another form of child pornography...So yes,
Todd...You ARE a pedophile.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1555. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 15:02:10 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132153330.635058.298300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124169

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Wonder where he could get the cash as a "commissioned
> > officer" to afford dress blues? Maybe on "Amelia's"
> > credit card?
>
> indeed if he he were an ARMY officer active or reservse he would be
> required to obtain types of dress uniforms theBlue the Dress Mess blues
> and there were a adress white when Iserved I have a set myself
>
> per AR 700-10

When you served in WHAT?

The United States Pagan Chemical Corps?

Those "adress whites" get messy sacrificng those chickens, don't
they?

> > >How about a white dress and white shoes?
> >
> > Not until WE have "confirmation," Brian...
>
> he would be required to own them in the Army

Having never served in the Army, I never had that problem.

The two sets of dress blues I owned while in the Marine Corps were
issued to me, free of charge. A "perk" of assigned duties.

No white shoes required.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1556. Todd, N9OGL Supports Child Pornography

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 15:22:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132154579.363275.103580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124171

Iitoi wrote:
> N9OGL Wrote:
> > ...the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (that
> > includes anime pictures) was protected by the constitution ...
>
> Nobody is arguing that you are doing something illegal by soliciting
> pictures of "virtual child porn" (your term).
>
> The argument is that you're a sicko PPPP by running a web site which
> solicits pictures of "virtual child porn".

Unfortunately "immoral" and "illegal" are not always
one-in-the-same.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1557. Re: Day 7 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 15:23:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132154587.569667.47850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124172

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > > Well I thnk it's time to stop waiting.
> > > > Day 7 and nothing new.
> > >
> > > With all due respect, Bill....
> > >
> > > Did you really expect that someone would point the way,
> > > so that anticodetest folks could write reply comments
> > > without having to look through all the comments?
> >
> > No I doubt that Bill thinks the Procoder feel any reagrd to engage in
> > opne deabte we have hae in the SOP of most of the procoders here
> >
> > However Bill in addresing the NG does not merely addres the ProCoder
>
> So, answer his question!

I have
> >
> > OTOH the If the ProCoders have got something new they need to shouting
> > it off the roof top so the FCC might hear and consider this new point
>
> Why mention it here?

so more people might comet and bring it to the attention of the FCC
>
> > the best New point is so repceprical licenseing issues but that hardly
> > seems enough to turn the course (it is but a hicup issue ar best)
> >
> > Logical the R&O should be by the end of the week or at worst the month
>
> How is that "logical"?
no new arguement were entered therefore no reason to modify the R&O
from NPRM
>
> FCC has no set deadline to produce the R&O. Given the large number of
> comments, reply comments and other filings to consider, it will not be
> a quick
> process. Remember that FCC doesn't just have to read the comments -
> they
> also have to decide which arguments are most compelling, cite them, and
> justify their decision.

no they do not
>
> On top of that is the fact that while the majority of commenters
> support
> removing the code test for General, the majority of commenters also
> support keeping the code test for Extra. So if FCC wants to remove the
> code test for Extra, they have to justify ignoring what the majority
> wants.

no they don't
>
> The R&Os from FCC are carefully worded, and that sort of thing takes
> a bunch of time.
>
> > However the Govt is not known for its logical behavoir
>
> I don't think you understand "logical", Mark.

I am sure that you don't understand logic or the process of law

# 1558. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 15:23:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132154623.794426.264510@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124173

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Wonder where he could get the cash as a "commissioned
> > > officer" to afford dress blues? Maybe on "Amelia's"
> > > credit card?
> >
> > indeed if he he were an ARMY officer active or reservse he would be
> > required to obtain types of dress uniforms theBlue the Dress Mess blues
> > and there were a adress white when Iserved I have a set myself
> >
> > per AR 700-10
>
> When you served in WHAT?

the US army
>
> The United States Pagan Chemical Corps?
when you sart bashing rligion you get flushed

# 1559. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 15:24:13 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1ylysx@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1ylysx@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 124103

N9OGL Wrote:
>
> No, Otako means "obsessive anime fan" hentai and lolicon are part of
> anime world.
>
> Todd n9ogl
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

Psychologists say these "otaku" or virtual-porno-geeks are regressive, have poor social ability, and have never fully matured as adults. Therefore, they are not good at communicating with others, cannot date real human beings, and instead adore an imaginary, most often underaged character.

--
Iitoi

# 1560. Re: Gawd, how could I miss THIS one????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 15:45:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132155947.989747.245120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124174

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 15 Nov 2005 13:17:12 -0800, LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
> <1132089432.553531.292490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >From: Frank Gilliland on Mon 14 Nov 2005 02:39
> >
> >>On 1 Nov 2005 22:53:47 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >>>Frankie of Silliland, RRAP's Newest Source Of Comic Relief wrote:
> >>>> On 1 Nov 2005 16:18:14 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >>>> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >>>> >> On 31 Oct 2005 06:18:22 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
cut

> <snip>
> >>> So...I make some positive inferences to the Coast Guard, and
> >>>that's "wrong"...
> >>
> >>In your case, it's as wrong as John Wayne Gacy showing an interest in
> >>dressing up like a clown.
> >
> > Careful, he might start singing "Send In The Clowns." :-)
>
>
> I intentionally used the Gacy analogy for a reason. Examine, if you
> will, the following list of Dudly's interests and behaviors. A common
> thread should become glaringly apparent:
>
> 1) His 'career' in the USMC;
> 2) His marital dysfunctions;
> 3) His 'hobby-turned-career' of nursing;
> 4) His interest in CAP and YAF;
> 5) His frequent fits of transference;
> 6) His history of accusing people of being pedophiles.
>
> How much you wanna bet he used to hang out at the YMCA?

did gacy hang out a the Y (never had a reason to be that familier with
the case)
>
>
> <snip>
> >>> I've proven you to be a liar.
> >>
> >>If there's one thing that's lacking in public schools these days it's
> >>the failure to teach critical thinking skills.

> >
> > Nah. Dud is just trying to turn things around with his very old
> > trick of personal insulting in order to win message points.
>
>
> Who's handing out those "message points"? Dave?

Stevie thinks he is
>
>
> >>> What more could I ask for...?!?!
> >>
> >>A better education.
> >
> > I would suggest a VERY long time in mental health counseling.
> > He needs it.
>
>
> Mental health counseling is little more than professional coddling,
> and I'm sure he spends quite a bit of time in therapy for just that
> reason. What he NEEDS is a good ass-kicking.

i doubt he get thrapy that would mean admiting to himself he has a
problem, and he can't admit it when he is mistaken about the facts
.
> >>
> >>Nope, you were never active duty. You're a Major Dud.
> >
> > Frank, my take is that Dudly was NEVER in the USMC. It
> > sounds like he READ about it a lot, wanted to be in,
> > could not get in, then flipped out. He went nuts,
> > unable to reconcile his desires with reality.
>
>
> He was in the USMC alright, and he was a reservist. We all know he has
> been tight-lipped about his service because he didn't want to expose
> the truth about his service. And we also know that he lied about his
> "retirement". But what you may not know is that he wasn't discharged
> for medical reasons, either. He simply quit. And not voluntarily, I
> might add. Too bad he quit -before- Clinton's "don't ask, don't tell"
> policy.....

interesting that you say this?

You have proof? You intend to present such at some point?

for myself, Id like to see you get on with it, would make my life
easier to if that maggot get exposed
>
>
> <snip>
> > Frank, that is consistent with Dudly's actions in here for

> > so long. He has this mania about UNIFORMS, about RANK,
> > wanting to be an officer, a tuff guy hero type. He is
> > terribly frustrated with the reality of his life and NEEDS
> > the fantasy that he is all those things.
>
>
> Uniforms impress young boys.
yes they do

# 1561. Re:stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 15:48:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132156108.413208.254160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124175

K4YZ wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > N9OGL Wrote:
> > > ...the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (that
> > > includes anime pictures) was protected by the constitution ...
> >
> > Nobody is arguing that you are doing something illegal by soliciting
> > pictures of "virtual child porn" (your term).
> >
> > The argument is that you're a sicko PPPP by running a web site which
> > solicits pictures of "virtual child porn".
>
> Unfortunately "immoral" and "illegal" are not always
> one-in-the-same.

indeed a true statement of your desires

you do want to make illegal anything that doesn't suit your morali

It is quite fortunate that illegal and imoral are not the same thing

if this were not the case we'd be living in a christain verson of Iran,
but that is exactly what Stevie wants (or at least what he thinks he
wants)

# 1562. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 15:52:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132156378.123103.183060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124176

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > ah I guess Dave does not like american doing their civic duty
>
> CIVIC DUTY...?!?!?

yes civic duty
cuting stevie crying

> I "dared" to challenge one of Lennie's comments to the FCC in
> EXACTLY the same manner and he went on for Y E A R S about how I
> allegedly tried to challenge his "right" to seek redress from the
> government! ! ! !

becuase you did

you tried to get the FCC to violate the Consititution of the US becuase
you don't like Len anderson. Personaly I am glad you did, made anything
else you tired to say all but unread

>
> Lennie goes one further! He actually "WARNS" the commentor about
> HIS comments IN THE REPLY TO THE FCC ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> WTF is LENNIE to "WARN" anyone...?!?! He doesn't even qualify to
> be a school crossing guard!

rant away Stevie boy

all you are doing is proving that you do not respect the Constitution
or the process of law
>
> There is ABSOLUTELY NOTHING "civic" about Lennie's intent or
> comments. It was nothing but hateful bile polished by self-promoting
> pontification.

showing do you don't know the meaning of the word "civic"

Civic" duty does not imply any requirement to agree with a narrow
minded proven bogot like yourself
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1563. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 15:55:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132156545.341585.56220@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124177

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Steveo wrote:
> > > > > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut

> > wrng BB Stebie is the righter of ALL worng everywhere that is why he
> > has not had the normal amount of posting he has been working on His
> > masterful to rid Iraq odf Insurgemnets and ensure that truth justice
> > and Morsemenship rules of in the far off land
>
> Probably has a Clancy book in hand as we type.

not likely after you pointed out cclancy has used bad lang is his book
he likely writing new version by light of the bonfire where burns all
those other books

# 1564. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 15:58:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132156713.515637.173580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124178

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote (but didn't attribute the comments of others):
> >> Hosting a web site soliciting the posting pictures of child porn is OK
> > >so long as the participants are drawn, not photos? Did your parents
> > >teach you that? Please, Todd, get help.
> >
> > I would suggest you read ASHCROFT V. FREE SPEECH COALITION (00-795) 535
> > U.S. 234 (2002) 198 F.3d 1083, affirmed.
> > it can be found at
> > http://straylight.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/00-795.ZS.html
> >
> > in it the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (that
> > includes anime pictures) was protected by the constitution unlike real
> > child porn that wasn't, the reason, because real childern are harmed by
> > the production of child porography, while virtual is created via
> > drawing, computer animation and no real childern are harmed in the
> > production of it. That's according to the US Supreme Court.
>
> ANY opportunity to find an excuse, right Todd?

Stevie thinks himself wiser than SCOTUS
>
> Yes...REAL children WILL be harmed by this as it permits people
> like you an opportunity to think that the idea of sex with underaged
> persons is "OK" at some level.

STEVIE claims more wisdom than the courts

>
> There will always be this kind of activity...There always has
> been. However lending it some venier of legitimacy via "anime" is
> nothing more than another form of child pornography...So yes,
> Todd...You ARE a pedophile.

more of Stvei fuzzy logic
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1565. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 16:03:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132155597.155714.136580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124179

Iitoi wrote:
> an old friend Wrote:
> >
> > the rpoblem is hams onsessing on stuff that has nothing to do with the
> > sunjects at hand
> >
>
> It's YOUR "sunject", Mark, not mine or Steves.

more lies man

Stevie goes on and on about ti
>
> The "sunject" at hand (see Subject line) is "stevie continues to to
> calim imply pedophia". (Your words, not mine or Steves)
>
> Thus the attention to Todd web site soliciting pictures depicting
> sexual interest in underage girls (ephebophilia, a branch of
> pedophilia) is directly dead on the topic that YOU raised.

no it isn't

since the subject is why does STEVIE choose to imply pedophila
constantly

Learn to use ENGLSH

nor it sthe subject of todd borad child porn according to SOCUTUS
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

# 1566. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 16:10:14 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <k9lmn1hi08jjn49mrebcsqv5gfk1gupo7d@4ax.com>
Source record: 124180

On 16 Nov 2005 07:02:10 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132153330.635058.298300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> he would be required to own them in the Army
>
> Having never served in the Army, I never had that problem.
>
> The two sets of dress blues I owned while in the Marine Corps were
>issued to me, free of charge. A "perk" of assigned duties.

More bullshit from Major Dud [sigh]. Uniforms in the Corps are never
issued "free of charge", assigned duties or not. You get a small
clothing allowance each month but it's not nearly enough to maintain
the minimum required uniforms, let alone pay for dress blues. And from
the time I joined the Corps to this very day I think I have seen only
two sets of used dress blues for sale -- the uniform means too much to
a Marine to buy them second-hand, and for the same reason they are
rarely ever sold or given away even after discharge. Heck, I still
have mine hanging in the closet next to my float jacket. Yet Dudly
claims he "owned" (past tense) not one but two sets? Pure bullshit.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1567. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 16:12:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <pimmn190needhgupg4au0fh8rbi6mjmbuv@4ax.com>
Source record: 124181

On 16 Nov 2005 07:52:58 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote in <1132156378.123103.183060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> There is ABSOLUTELY NOTHING "civic" about Lennie's intent or
>> comments. It was nothing but hateful bile polished by self-promoting
>> pontification.
>
>showing do you don't know the meaning of the word "civic"
>
>Civic" duty does not imply any requirement to agree with a narrow
>minded proven bogot like yourself

ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1568. Re: Gawd, how could I miss THIS one????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 16:24:18 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <gqmmn1dctl0he7jeo6dokdmvhvqqb5qm56@4ax.com>
Source record: 124182

On 16 Nov 2005 07:45:48 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote in <1132155947.989747.245120@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>did gacy hang out a the Y (never had a reason to be that familier with
>the case)

google "John Wayne Gacy"

<snip>
>You have proof? You intend to present such at some point?

No proof that can be posted. It's info that recently came back to me
through the grapevine after I sent out a frost call about Dudly a few
months ago.

>for myself, Id like to see you get on with it, would make my life
>easier to if that maggot get exposed

In due time. In the meantime, just sit back and enjoy watching Dudly
squirm for a while. Right now he has chosen to ignoring me, but he
can't do that for very long without industrial-strength blood pressure
medication -- wait and see what happens when his supply runs out!

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1569. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 16:34:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132158862.402547.37500@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124183

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 16 Nov 2005 07:02:10 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132153330.635058.298300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> he would be required to own them in the Army
> > >
> > > Having never served in the Army, I never had that problem.
> > >
> > > The two sets of dress blues I owned while in the Marine Corps were
> > >issued to me, free of charge. A "perk" of assigned duties.
> >
> >
> > More bullshit from Major Dud [sigh]. Uniforms in the Corps are never
> > issued "free of charge", assigned duties or not. You get a small
> > clothing allowance each month but it's not nearly enough to maintain
> > the minimum required uniforms, let alone pay for dress blues. And from
> > the time I joined the Corps to this very day I think I have seen only
> > two sets of used dress blues for sale -- the uniform means too much to
> > a Marine to buy them second-hand, and for the same reason they are
> > rarely ever sold or given away even after discharge. Heck, I still
> > have mine hanging in the closet next to my float jacket. Yet Dudly
> > claims he "owned" (past tense) not one but two sets? Pure bullshit.

I Stil Have my Dress Blues (don't have the army greens) have even been
ableto wear em recebtly (got an order to report of physical they are
getting a bit hard up for active 54E's) wore em to a few rasied
eyebrows to a physical that I made sure to to fail by leaving my
diabetes uncontroled a about 10 days was an interesting ride

but I guess we army types are not as sentimental I aquired the dress
mess and sold em later as well the army whites

bit evenn watching Gomer Pile USMC one would know that USMC doesn't
issue those things

My blues were rare enough to get me out of standing certain duties (so
I could stand in for some one else RHIP in some cerimmony or parade)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

>
> ------== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> -----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1570. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 16:35:00 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <UcJef.1118$N45.1004@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124184

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132135550.577259.306260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> an old friend wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> > > Well I thnk it's time to stop waiting.
>> > > Day 7 and nothing new.
>> >
>> > With all due respect, Bill....
>> >
>> > Did you really expect that someone would point the way,
>> > so that anticodetest folks could write reply comments
>> > without having to look through all the comments?
>>
>> No I doubt that Bill thinks the Procoder feel any reagrd to engage in
>> opne deabte we have hae in the SOP of most of the procoders here
>>
>> However Bill in addresing the NG does not merely addres the ProCoder
>
> So, answer his question!
>>
>> OTOH the If the ProCoders have got something new they need to shouting
>> it off the roof top so the FCC might hear and consider this new point
>
> Why mention it here?

Why not. The comment phase and reply comment phase is over.
Truth is, however, that if there was anything that could have
been described as new, even if it wasn't compelling, odds are we'd
have heard it already via ARRL (QST), Len A., or someone else.

>> the best New point is so repceprical licenseing issues but that hardly
>> seems enough to turn the course (it is but a hicup issue ar best)
>>
>> Logical the R&O should be by the end of the week or at worst the month
>
> How is that "logical"?
>
> FCC has no set deadline to produce the R&O. Given the large number of
> comments, reply comments and other filings to consider, it will not be
> a quick process. Remember that FCC doesn't just have to read the
> comments - they
> also have to decide which arguments are most compelling, cite them, and
> justify their decision.

Unless you expect a new set of FCC responses to all the pre-existing
morse test arguments, the FCC's job of jusifying their decision is simply
a restatement of the R&O code test responses from 98-143 (IMHO).
Even the reciprocal license argument isn't a big deal to resoond to since
not one examply appears to even exist AND there's no treaty
requirement that calls for any reciprocal licensing in any case.

> On top of that is the fact that while the majority of commenters
> support
> removing the code test for General, the majority of commenters also
> support keeping the code test for Extra.

That majority is a very thin one.

> So if FCC wants to remove the
> code test for Extra, they have to justify ignoring what the majority
> wants.

Not so, the FCC is in no way duty bound to view the comments as
a "vote" with a majority vs minority outcome. Why Jim,
even you have made that point on more than one occasion.
Once again, I ask you to look at past FCC statements that
have already addressed the need for ANY code testing.
98-143 R&O retained only a 5 wpm test based solely on
the existing ITU treaty requirement. That treaty requirement
is toast now and with its removal the last legitamate procode
test argument went with it (IMHO).

> The R&Os from FCC are carefully worded, and that sort of thing takes
> a bunch of time.

R&O for 98-143 took several months. This NPRM is far more
concise in the changes it proposed and shouldn't (logically) take as
long..

>> However the Govt is not known for its logical behavoir
>
> I don't think you understand "logical", Mark.

Heck, none of us understand government logic :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 1571. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 16:36:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132158987.089979.248470@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124186

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 16 Nov 2005 07:52:58 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <1132156378.123103.183060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> There is ABSOLUTELY NOTHING "civic" about Lennie's intent or
> >> comments. It was nothing but hateful bile polished by self-promoting
> >> pontification.
> >
> >showing do you don't know the meaning of the word "civic"
> >
> >Civic" duty does not imply any requirement to agree with a narrow
> >minded proven bogot like yourself
>
>
> ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!

it is funny i suppose in some sence that it needs to be evn stated is
not as funny

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1572. Re: Day 7 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 16:36:49 UTC
From: Lloyd <hot@freshlloydboy.org>
Message-ID: <dlfn43$2bh$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124185

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132135550.577259.306260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Like most hams, your comments confirm you have not the
slightest idea of reality and how the corrupt FCC bureaucracy
actually works. Feel free to continue your fantasy though.

FCC & ARRL - Partners in the Culture of Corruption

## 1573. CBer designs small Linux vox control program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 16:40:53 UTC
From: Jan Panteltje <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dlfner$fib$1@news.datemas.de>
Source record: 124187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Well I enjoyed RSGB radio book when I was younger,
and other interesting amateur electronic circuits.
So I decided it share this with you.
Maybe it is not suitable for amateurs...
But it works great for me.
```

# 1574. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 16:46:18 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <unJef.9225$2y.8458@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124188

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:UcJef.1118$N45.1004@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
:
: That majority is a very thin one.
:

A thinner majority was decided your so-called "leader of the free world".

Cheerio,
Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

# 1575. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 17:16:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132161417.923224.22020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124189

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132135550.577259.306260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > an old friend wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> > > Well I thnk it's time to stop waiting.
> >> > > Day 7 and nothing new.
> >> >
> >> > With all due respect, Bill....
> >> >
> >> > Did you really expect that someone would point the way,
> >> > so that anticodetest folks could write reply comments
> >> > without having to look through all the comments?
> >>
> >> No I doubt that Bill thinks the Procoder feel any reagrd to engage in
> >> opne deabte we have hae in the SOP of most of the procoders here
> >>
> >> However Bill in addresing the NG does not merely addres the ProCoder
> >
> > So, answer his question!
> >>
> >> OTOH the If the ProCoders have got something new they need to shouting
> >> it off the roof top so the FCC might hear and consider this new point
> >
> > Why mention it here?
>
> Why not. The comment phase and reply comment phase is over.
> Truth is, however, that if there was anything that could have
> been described as new, even if it wasn't compelling, odds are we'd
> have heard it already via ARRL (QST), Len A., or someone else.
>
> >> the best New point is so repceprical licenseing issues but that hardly
> >> seems enough to turn the course (it is but a hicup issue ar best)
> >>
> >> Logical the R&O should be by the end of the week or at worst the month
> >
> > How is that "logical"?
> >
> > FCC has no set deadline to produce the R&O. Given the large number of
> > comments, reply comments and other filings to consider, it will not be
> > a quick process. Remember that FCC doesn't just have to read the
> > comments - they
> > also have to decide which arguments are most compelling, cite them, and

> > justify their decision.
>
> Unless you expect a new set of FCC responses to all the pre-existing
> morse test arguments, the FCC's job of jusifying their decision is simply
> a restatement of the R&O code test responses from 98-143 (IMHO).

He does I suspect he will be disapointed

> Even the reciprocal license argument isn't a big deal to resoond to since
> not one examply appears to even exist AND there's no treaty
> requirement that calls for any reciprocal licensing in any case.

and if they choose to repsond to it they can say note it and in their
coment and give status subject to future dissusion
>
> > On top of that is the fact that while the majority of commenters
> > support
> > removing the code test for General, the majority of commenters also
> > support keeping the code test for Extra.
>
> That majority is a very thin one.

and assumes facts not in evidence

biggest is the aumsume is that ALL comenetors favoring keeping code
testing as it is favor keeping code testing for the extra class if the
general class requirement is dropped

I am sure (based on nature of people) that at least one comentor
favouring code testing for general's would agree that if you are not
going to test for general no point in testing for extra either,
therefore the Majority already thin may not truely exist
>
> > So if FCC wants to remove the
> > code test for Extra, they have to justify ignoring what the majority
> > wants.
>
> Not so, the FCC is in no way duty bound to view the comments as
> a "vote" with a majority vs minority outcome. Why Jim,
> even you have made that point on more than one occasion.
> Once again, I ask you to look at past FCC statements that
> have already addressed the need for ANY code testing.
> 98-143 R&O retained only a 5 wpm test based solely on
> the existing ITU treaty requirement. That treaty requirement
> is toast now and with its removal the last legitamate procode
> test argument went with it (IMHO).
>
> > The R&Os from FCC are carefully worded, and that sort of thing takes
> > a bunch of time.
>
> R&O for 98-143 took several months. This NPRM is far more
> concise in the changes it proposed and shouldn't (logically) take as

> long..
>
> >> However the Govt is not known for its logical behavoir
> >
> > I don't think you understand "logical", Mark.
>
> Heck, none of us understand government logic :-)

well I think some lawyers do, maybe we should ask Phil Kane

he may well understand better than I

> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

# 1576. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 17:21:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132161660.956106.36200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124190

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:UcJef.1118$N45.1004@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> :
> : That majority is a very thin one.
> :
>
> A thinner majority was decided your so-called "leader of the free world".

quite incorrect and shows the poster alas does not understand the US
constitution

Like Bush or not, he like every president but a couple (Ford, T
Rosevent ) was elected by the Electorial college

the people vote for these slates of electors

a Presdient could in theory be elected with as few as one quater of the
cast votes if those voters were to correct places in the country
>
> Cheerio,
> Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte
>
>
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

# 1577. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 17:52:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132163558.953819.148350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124192

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> >>Funny that toddieboy would be so up on child porography laws. Can we
> >>assume he has an interest in child porn?
> >
> >
> > no, just anime
> >
>
> No wonder your parents keep you in the basement, you are one real sicko
> toddieboy.

ah yes the comode has spoken

stil pissed they canceled your cartoon show

# 1578. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 18:04:25 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <JwKef.1195$N45.705@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124193

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1132161660.956106.36200@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Gr�mw�tch th� �nfl�pp�bl� wrote:
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:UcJef.1118$N45.1004@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
> :
> : That majority is a very thin one.
> :
>
> A thinner majority was decided your so-called "leader of the free world".

>quite incorrect and shows the poster alas does not
> understand the US constitution
>
>Like Bush or not, he like every president but a couple (Ford, T
>Rosevent ) was elected by the Electorial college

> the people vote for these slates of electors

>a Presdient could in theory be elected with as few
>as one quater of the cast votes if those voters
> were to correct places in the country

Yup, in Bush W's first elction to the presidency
he actually lost the "popular" vote nationwide
by (I think) about 1/2 million votes...BUT, he
won the electoral college vote.

Even Bill Clinton in one of his presidential races did not
recieve a majority of the popular vote...although
he clearly had more votes than either Bush Sr or
the 3rd party candidate.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 1579. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 18:10:25 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dlfslo$t00$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

"Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:437a6100.123717746@news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> On Mon, 14 Nov 2005 18:49:00 -0000, "The Magnum"
> <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Peter" <microwaves@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
> >news:4378d56f.22453095@news.blueyonder.co.uk...
> >> On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 20:13:59 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Isn't Ireland a part of the U.K?
> >>
> >> WHAAAaaat?!!!!!!
> >
> >It gets worse... someone told me Wales was part of the UK today :o(
> >
>
>
> I could accept that! I like Wales, even Cardiff ... (cue for Old
> Nick....)
>
> Peter, G3PHO

I like Wales too really. I lived near Swansea for a year and Aberystwyth on the West coast for about 4 years. Never had a problem with the people there and the sheep were quite friendly too ;o)

Regards,
Graham

# 1580. Re: Day 7 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 18:18:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2KKef.2079$s14.1469@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124196

an old friend wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:

>>> OTOH the If the ProCoders have got something new they need to shouting
>>> it off the roof top so the FCC might hear and consider this new point

>> Why mention it here?

> so more people might comet and bring it to the attention of the FCC

I strongly urge more people to "comet". You, on the other hand, seem to
have taken up the role of meteorite and burned upon entering Earth's
atmosphere.

>> FCC has no set deadline to produce the R&O. Given the large number of
>> comments, reply comments and other filings to consider, it will not be
>> a quick
>> process. Remember that FCC doesn't just have to read the comments -
>> they
>> also have to decide which arguments are most compelling, cite them, and
>> justify their decision.

> no they do not

What color is the sky as seen from your planet, Mark?

Dave K8MN

# 1581. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 18:18:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132165125.000936.287810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124197

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> > >>N9OGL wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>>Funny that toddieboy would be so up on child porography laws. Can we
> > >>>>assume he has an interest in child porn?
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>no, just anime
> > >>>
> > >>
> > >>No wonder your parents keep you in the basement, you are one real sicko
> > >>toddieboy.
> > >
> > >
> > > ah yes the comode has spoken
> >
> > And you are as much a weird sicko as toddieboy.
> > >
> > > stil pissed they canceled your cartoon show
> >
> > It never was a cartoon you idiot.

cartoons is all you are about

indeed that is what you are going on about in this threads cartoons

you are a cartoon type carachter regardles of who or what "Cmdr Buzz
Corey" might have been you aint't him either

# 1582. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 18:24:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CPKef.2081$s14.108@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124199

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 16 Nov 2005 07:52:58 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <1132156378.123103.183060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>> Civic" duty does not imply any requirement to agree with a narrow
>> minded proven bogot like yourself

> ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!

Yeah. I found the word "bogot" kind of amusing myself.

Dave K8MN

# 1583. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 18:28:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RSKef.2083$s14.1819@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124100

an old friend wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 16 Nov 2005 07:52:58 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> wrote in <1132156378.123103.183060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>>> Civic" duty does not imply any requirement to agree with a narrow
>>> minded proven bogot like yourself
>>
>> ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!
>
> it is funny i suppose in some sence that it needs to be evn stated is
> not as funny

"Bogot" is funny in many "sences".

Dave K8MN

# 1584. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 18:49:48 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <Lc2dnfsevZzv7ObenZ2dnUVZ_tOdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124191

N9OGL wrote:
>>Funny that toddieboy would be so up on child porography laws. Can we
>>assume he has an interest in child porn?
>
>
> no, just anime
>

No wonder your parents keep you in the basement, you are one real sicko
toddieboy.

# 1585. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 19:04:03 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <Qa-dnY6bWPJU6ebenZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124194

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>>Funny that toddieboy would be so up on child porography laws. Can we
>>>>assume he has an interest in child porn?
>>>
>>>
>>>no, just anime
>>>
>>
>>No wonder your parents keep you in the basement, you are one real sicko
>>toddieboy.
>
>
> ah yes the comode has spoken

And you are as much a weird sicko as toddieboy.
>
> stil pissed they canceled your cartoon show

It never was a cartoon you idiot.
>

# 1586. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 19:09:02 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2h0nn1hf282o68dn3hp7konoglusi47bf7@4ax.com>
Source record: 124101

On Wed, 16 Nov 2005 18:24:34 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <CPKef.2081$s14.108@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 16 Nov 2005 07:52:58 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>> wrote in <1132156378.123103.183060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
>>> Civic" duty does not imply any requirement to agree with a narrow
>>> minded proven bogot like yourself
>
>
>> ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!
>
>Yeah. I found the word "bogot" kind of amusing myself.

And here comes Dave to the rescue!

So how do you like being Major Dud's lapdog?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1587. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 19:21:16 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <tNmdndoD0-JP5ebenZ2dnUVZ_t2dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124198

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>N9OGL wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Funny that toddieboy would be so up on child porography laws. Can we
>>>>>assume he has an interest in child porn?
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>no, just anime
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>>No wonder your parents keep you in the basement, you are one real sicko
>>>>toddieboy.
>>>
>>>
>>>ah yes the comode has spoken
>>
>>And you are as much a weird sicko as toddieboy.
>>
>>>stil pissed they canceled your cartoon show
>>
>>It never was a cartoon you idiot.
>
>
>
> cartoons is all you are about
>
> indeed that is what you are going on about in this threads cartoons
>
> you are a cartoon type carachter regardles of who or what "Cmdr Buzz
> Corey" might have been you aint't him either
>

And you "aint't" an old friend either, more like an old deviate. You and
toddieboy make a cute couple. GAG!!!

# 1588. Policy Stated GACW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 19:21:23 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <TELef.9254$2y.3902@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124102

GACW - Casilla de Correos 9 - B1875ZAA Wilde - Pcia. Buenos Aires

IN THE CITY OF BUENOS AIRES, CAPITAL OF THE REPUBLIC OF ARGENTINA, THE UNDERSIGNED COORDINATORS, MEMBERS AND SYMPATHIZERS OF AGRUPACION GRUPO ARGENTINO DE RADIOTELEGRAFISTAS GACW,
DESIRE TO EFFECT THE FOLLOWING DECLARATION RELATED TO THE ACTIVITIES, EXAMINATIONS AND QUALITIES OF THE FUTURE LICENSEES IN THE AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE OF THE INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATIONS UNION:

1. Underscore that we decidedly maintain that the rules (should serve) as an incentive to support, not only the progress of the radio science or art, but also to preserve traditional operating modes, maintain sportsmanship in operating competitions, preserve individual abilities in craftsmanship, stimulate the education and advancement of new radio amateurs; these elements are essential in order to keep our heritage and culture alive, honor our predecessors, and respect the initiatives for technical evolution.

2. Affirm that the actions and individual initiatives that suggest the total elimination of the CW examination, or setting aside of the requirement simply because "it takes effort to learn," which constitute without a doubt, at the bottom and the root of the subject, another step toward the loss of identity and weakening of our own roots.

3. That understanding Amateur Radio as another means to elevate the individual and collective human spirit and not just mere entertainment, we consider the moderate effort necessary to obtain the ability to operate radiotelegraphy a desirable goal, no different than that of sportsmen or students that, far from being a hindrance, forms their character, maintains their ability and improves their personal situation without any doubt and that the same should be considered a personal skill to be possessed and exercised, one that contributes to being recognized by ones peers and imparts an ethical responsibility to teach the art to others.

4. Reaffirm that the resolution of WARC 2003, that leaves the criteria to the national administrations with respect to the CW examination, should in no way be considered as mandatory for our country, that said resolution has only been followed by 11% of the member nations and that they only represent 12% of the estimated amateur radio population. (See SPAR)

5. Highlight the actions of countries such as Russia, Canada and Japan that have decided to maintain this requirement to obtain an amateur radio license. They believe that they should maintain a well trained group of operators, with the ability to perform whatever means of communication possible in case it should be necessary.

6. That the radiotelegraph operators of our nation continue contributing at a level of equality with the users of other emission modes in the activity and dissemination of the Amateur radio Service. (See http://www.geocities.com/eetecar). Perhaps they are, possibly, those who do more with more enthusiasm than other groups, because they are the guardians of a tradition of our radio ancestors and they have learned to keep alive through the ages the flame and mystique of communications in its simplest form: Morse code.

7. Recommend that the enclose reports from SPAR, The Society for the Preservation of Amateur Radio, of the US, presented to the FCC in that country and of Andrew Roos, ZS1AN, advisor of The South African Amateur League, SARL, be used as valuable elements to be considered in conjunction with the study of this subject by the institutions and authorities and that they include recent studies and statistics with the object of understanding the evolution of the number of licenses, age, equipment, vehicles and other available resources, etc.

8. Reject the opinions, actions and declarations of the No Code International group, (http:// www.nocode.org/) that was created with the only goal to work toward abolishing Morse code examinations in the entire world, considering the group to be intolerable, discriminatory, and completely contrary to the spirit and letter of the Amateur Radio Service of the ITU.

9. Affirm that the present does not pretend to establish any privilege or special consideration for radiotelegraph operators, but to maintain an action and thought in favor of experimentation and operation in whatever mode, current or future, without consideration of whether the practitioners only represent a majority or minority, or whether they should enjoy greater bandwidth than the rest.

10. Offer an incentive to all amateur radiotelegraph operators to teach Morse code and share their experiences and knowledge in the institutions closest to their residence, or where and if it is possible, using the Manual of CW by Jacobo Aisemberg LU2YA published by the Radio Club Neuquen and recomnended by GACW as a study guide.

11. Recognize the contribution of the Radio Club Pampeano LU1UG, in the city of General Pico, La Pampa, and its members, for keeping the weekly slow speed CW course on the air and the FENIX LU Forum, a group of GACW advisors, for their contribution in completing this document.

12. Emphasize the development work by the creators and moderators of the HAMTEC internet forum for their contribution to technical understanding in all aspects of our activity, that already has transcended our borders, serving as the means toward technical capability in the Spanish speaking world.

13. Recognize the effort made by radio clubs, in maintaining their installation operable, offering courses for those aspiring to be radio amateurs, participating in local Civil Defense activities in schools and other environments.

14. Recognize the guiding role of control and regulation of the Federal government and highlight the necessity to establish a FEDERAL program of teaching and practice designed for the formation of groups of educators, with the object of maintaining themselves capable of educating in the entire nation.

15. Encourage all individual or collective actions that foster the improvement, coordination, and unity of radio amateurs by any means possible, with the object of improving and consolidating the current situation.

16. Recognize and highlight, among other institutions and groups, the Radio Clubs Almirante Guillermo Brown LU3DY and Mor&#65533;n LU1DBQ for their emphasis on experimentation; to the participants in the programs "La Radio un Camino Seguro", to the Radio Club La Rioja LU1SF and Radio Club Catamarca LU5RA, to the Radio Club City Bell L40E; to those who participated in "La Radio y los Ni&#65533;os", to the Radio Club de los Andes LU8YE, also the Argentina APRS Group and the AMSAT Argentina organization for the educational and experimental activities that they support and develop.

17. Support the presentations made by the UARC Union of Argentinean Radio Clubs, other Radio Clubs and radio amateurs of the province of Buenos Aires, in opposition to Resolution N&#65533; 900/05 (Secretar&#65533;a Pol&#65533;tica Ambiental - Prov. Bs.As., regarding installation of electromagnetic field generators in the frequency range above 300 KHz), soliciting the exception from compliance for members of the Amateur Radio Service.

18. To be alert to the installation of internet transmissions over electrical transmission lines (BPL - PLC), that produce interference in the short-wave spectrum and other bands and solicit the corresponding authorities to follow the CCITT guidelines that guarantee the availability of this service without interference to radio amateurs and listeners.

19. Invite all radio amateurs and institutions to embrace this declaration, as a means to express their willingness to stimulate perfection and to preserve the level reached by amateur radio, contributing to the teaching of all modes, without exception, in opposition to criteria based solely on "popularity."

20. Disseminate and distribute this document and its attachments to the UARC, CNC, D.G. de Defensa Civil de la Naci&#65533;n, SPAR and other amateur radio institutions to whom this might be of informational interest.

Ra&#65533;l M. Diaz LU6EF
Arnoldo J. Corda LU7EE
H&#65533;ctor Ombroni LU6UO
Fernando Fernandez LW2DX
Alberto U. Silva LU1DZ
Juan C. Parra LU9DO
Carlos G. Vanhovan LW1EXU
H&#65533;ctor Torregiani LW4EZT
Orfilio Guevara LU9FLX
Nestor Garc&#65533;a LU2DCY

Carlos Affranchino LU1AC
Enrique Perez LU9EXG
Elena Ditdoff LW2DNF
Clive Formigoni LW9DJK
H&#65533;ctor Vasallo LU8DLB
Mariano Artola LU7DMV
H&#65533;ctor Morales LW8DGV
Hern&#65533;n Lopez LU5ECZ
Angel Madro&#65533;al LU1DSU
Mario Lorenzo Togno LU5EE
Juan Batipallia LU3AGI
Esteban Toribio LW1DTZ
Guillermo Necco LW3DYL
Ivan Centuri&#65533;n, Aspirante

... more signatures follow.

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

## 1589. keyclownz rulz !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 22:11:43 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1132179102.970294.184490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

me and friends are 10-8 on 146.52.....fuck needing permission
from uncle charlie!

any hams who don't like it can kiss my ass!

## 1590. weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 22:17:26 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1132179446.250242.3030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

ever notice the strange looking shit hams wear.....like those queer looking vests and hats with callsigns???

hams are the weirdest,queerest motherfuckers in the world!

## 1591. weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 22:17:27 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1132179447.340224.121640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

ever notice the strange looking shit hams wear.....like those queer looking vests and hats with callsigns???

hams are the weirdest,queerest motherfuckers in the world!

# 1592. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 22:18:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <afOef.1498$jI5.1412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124108

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 16 Nov 2005 18:24:34 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <CPKef.2081$s14.108@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>> On 16 Nov 2005 07:52:58 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
>>> wrote in <1132156378.123103.183060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>>> Civic" duty does not imply any requirement to agree with a narrow
>>>> minded proven bogot like yourself
>>
>>> ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!
>> Yeah. I found the word "bogot" kind of amusing myself.
>
>
> And here comes Dave to the rescue!
>
> So how do you like being Major Dud's lapdog?

How do you figure, Frank? I made no comment on what Steve (there is no "Major Dud") wrote. I commented on what Mark wrote and on what you wrote. Who was it that I was supposed to rescue?

Dave K8MN

# 1593. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 22:22:47 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <R6WdnXSJx63APubenZ2dnUVZ_tidnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124104

Iitoi wrote:
> N9OGL Wrote:
>
>>No, Otako means "obsessive anime fan" hentai and lolicon are part of
>>anime world.
>>
>>Todd n9ogl
>>http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
>
>
> Psychologists say these "otaku" or virtual-porno-geeks are regressive,
> have poor social ability, and have never fully matured as adults.
> Therefore, they are not good at communicating with others, cannot date
> real human beings, and instead adore an imaginary, most often underaged
> character.
>
>

Explains why toddieboy is still living with mommy and daddy.

# 1594. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 22:32:55 UTC
From: kashe@sonic.net
Message-ID: <rncnn1tunfjbbak1s8sreldcq6gopci0dq@4ax.com>
Source record: 124109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 12 Nov 2005 12:48:53 GMT, "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote:

>On Fri, 11 Nov 2005 19:19:28 -0600, DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> "Lloyd" <lloyd@no.spam> wrote in message
>> news:8ee97017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net...
>> >
>> > K1MAN is a great man because he's passionate about the real law and he
>> > defends the little guy against the FCC toadies with his own lawyers.
>> > The FCC bureaucrats are scared to death of K1MAN.
>> >
>>
>> Yeah, right, the FCC is cringing behind there desks in fear of the allmighty
>> K1MAN. ROFLMAO
>
>Do you know how it makes you look when you type "there desks" instead
>of "their desks?" ROTFLMAO
>
>> > Now go play in the traffic, "Dr Death."
>>
>> Go play with your self, LOSER!
>
>At least I can choose the correct English words to put into a
>sentence. That suggests that I'm neither (a) a programmer, nor (b) a
>rec.radio.cb regular.

Fuck off, lunatic. Since when don't programmers have a
facility with English. Many of the programmers I work with have
degrees in multiple languages, including English.

You're only showing yourself to be an ignorant little crap
with your grammar/spelling nazi weaselshit.

## 1595. Re: keyclownz rulz !!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 22:35:09 UTC
From: David <dimlan17@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a0dnn19478bd55egvk0caotb49rmtcbqh4@4ax.com>
Source record: 124110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

On 16 Nov 2005 14:11:43 -0800, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote:

>me and friends are 10-8 on 146.52.....fuck needing permission
>from uncle charlie!
>
>any hams who don't like it can kiss my ass!

Awesome, a message from 1979

## 1596. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 22:40:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132180848.535608.288630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124111

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Iitoi wrote:
> > > N9OGL Wrote:
> > > > ...the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (that
> > > > includes anime pictures) was protected by the constitution ...
> > >
> > > Nobody is arguing that you are doing something illegal by soliciting
> > > pictures of "virtual child porn" (your term).
> > >
> > > The argument is that you're a sicko PPPP by running a web site which
> > > solicits pictures of "virtual child porn".
> >
> > Unfortunately "immoral" and "illegal" are not always
> > one-in-the-same.
>
> indeed a true statement of your desires

My "desires"...?!?!

> you do want to make illegal anything that doesn't suit your morali

What's a "morali"...?!?! Weren't they a race in one of the Star
Wars episodes?

> It is quite fortunate that illegal and imoral are not the same thing

For you. That's because you ARE an immoral person.

> if this were not the case we'd be living in a christain verson of Iran,
> but that is exactly what Stevie wants (or at least what he thinks he
> wants)

Nope. I just don't want to have my kids...or ANY kids for that
matter, pestered by people like you and Toiddie.

Unfortunately loopholes like this "anime" one let people like you
function legally.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1597. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 22:45:54 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132181154.500812.109650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124112

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote (but didn't attribute the comments of others):
> > >> Hosting a web site soliciting the posting pictures of child porn is OK
> > > > >so long as the participants are drawn, not photos? Did your parents
> > > > >teach you that? Please, Todd, get help.
> > > >
> > > > I would suggest you read ASHCROFT V. FREE SPEECH COALITION (00-795) 535
> > > > U.S. 234 (2002) 198 F.3d 1083, affirmed.
> > > > it can be found at
> > > > http://straylight.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/00-795.ZS.html
> > > >
> > > > in it the US Supreme Court ruled that "virtual child porn" (that
> > > > includes anime pictures) was protected by the constitution unlike real
> > > > child porn that wasn't, the reason, because real childern are harmed by
> > > > the production of child porography, while virtual is created via
> > > > drawing, computer animation and no real childern are harmed in the
> > > > production of it. That's according to the US Supreme Court.
> > >
> > > ANY opportunity to find an excuse, right Todd?
> >
> > Stevie thinks himself wiser than SCOTUS

Not wiser than anyone or anything, real or imagined. Just more
practical

> > Yes...REAL children WILL be harmed by this as it permits people
> > like you an opportunity to think that the idea of sex with underaged
> > persons is "OK" at some level.
> >
> > STEVIE claims more wisdom than the courts

Nope.

Proven fact.

> > There will always be this kind of activity...There always has
> > been. However lending it some venier of legitimacy via "anime" is
> > nothing more than another form of child pornography...So yes,
> > Todd...You ARE a pedophile.
> >
> > more of Stvei fuzzy logic

There's nothing "fuzzy" about it. It's the same as "S&M" or "B&D"
pornography. Many of history's serial killers were "fans" of these
"lifestyles".

No matter you wrap a stinky fish, it's still a stinky fish.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1598. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 22:50:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132181449.915376.30900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124113

an old friend wrote:
> Iitoi wrote:
> > an old friend Wrote:
> > >
> > > the rpoblem is hams onsessing on stuff that has nothing to do with the
> > > sunjects at hand
> > >
> >
> > It's YOUR "sunject", Mark, not mine or Steves.
>
> more lies man
>
> Stevie goes on and on about ti

ti?

Texas Instruments?

> > The "sunject" at hand (see Subject line) is "stevie continues to to
> > calim imply pedophia". (Your words, not mine or Steves)
> >
> > Thus the attention to Todd web site soliciting pictures depicting
> > sexual interest in underage girls (ephebophilia, a branch of
> > pedophilia) is directly dead on the topic that YOU raised.
>
> no it isn't

Sure it is.

> since the subject is why does STEVIE choose to imply pedophila
> constantly
>
> Learn to use ENGLSH

"Learn to use ENGLSH"

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> nor it sthe subject of todd borad child porn according to SOCUTUS

"Learn to use ENGLSH"

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve, K4YZ

# 1599. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 22:57:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132181879.604174.261840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124114

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > ah I guess Dave does not like american doing their civic duty
> >
> > CIVIC DUTY...?!?!?
>
> yes civic duty
> cuting stevie crying

Keep telling yourself it's "crying", Greaseball.

> > I "dared" to challenge one of Lennie's comments to the FCC in
> > EXACTLY the same manner and he went on for Y E A R S about how I
> > allegedly tried to challenge his "right" to seek redress from the
> > government! ! ! !
>
> becuase you did
>
> you tried to get the FCC to violate the Consititution of the US becuase
> you don't like Len anderson. Personaly I am glad you did, made anything
> else you tired to say all but unread

No, I did not "try to get the FCC to violate the Constitution",
Markie.

I presented some FACTS that Lennie didn't want aired.

> > Lennie goes one further! He actually "WARNS" the commentor about
> > HIS comments IN THE REPLY TO THE FCC ! ! ! ! ! !
> >
> > WTF is LENNIE to "WARN" anyone...?!?! He doesn't even qualify to
> > be a school crossing guard!
>
> rant away Stevie boy

What "rant", Greaseball?

Lennie DID utter a "warning" in his "reply comments".

And I reiterate my QUESTION...WTF is Lennie top "warn"
ANYone...?!?!

> all you are doing is proving that you do not respect the Constitution
> or the process of law

Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...yeah.

Riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii
iight.

> > There is ABSOLUTELY NOTHING "civic" about Lennie's intent or
> > comments. It was nothing but hateful bile polished by self-promoting
> > pontification.
>
> showing do you don't know the meaning of the word "civic"

No...showing YOU don't know the meaning of the word "civic".

Lennie (allegedly) did his "civic" duty with his first comment to
the Commission.

Each subsequent "Reply Comment" has been one personal attack after
another.

Nothing more.

> Civic" duty does not imply any requirement to agree with a narrow
> minded proven bogot like yourself

Personal attacks in a federal process is NOT "civic duty".

Nice try, Greaseball.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1600. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:00:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132182055.646411.31750@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124115

an old friend wrote:

> it is funny i suppose in some sence that it needs to be evn stated is
> not as funny

What's "funny" is your abuse of the King's English in an attempt
to discuss "civics".

Steve, K4YZ

# 1601. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:04:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132182267.172918.284940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124116

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 16 Nov 2005 18:24:34 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <CPKef.2081$s14.108@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 16 Nov 2005 07:52:58 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >> wrote in <1132156378.123103.183060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >>> Civic" duty does not imply any requirement to agree with a narrow
> >>> minded proven bogot like yourself
> >>
> >> ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!
> >>
> >>Yeah. I found the word "bogot" kind of amusing myself.
>
> And here comes Dave to the rescue!
>
> So how do you like being Major Dud's lapdog?

Cute, coming from a twice court martialed, dishonorably discharged
ex-Marine who's major passtime is to bounce in Markie's lap saying
"Tell me another lie, Pinnochio! Tell me another one!"

Steve, K4YZ

# 1602. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:07:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132182460.799661.183190@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124117

Dave Heil wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Wed, 16 Nov 2005 18:24:34 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> > in <CPKef.2081$s14.108@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >
> >> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>> On 16 Nov 2005 07:52:58 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> >>> wrote in <1132156378.123103.183060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>>> Civic" duty does not imply any requirement to agree with a narrow
> >>>> minded proven bogot like yourself
> >>
> >>> ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!
> >> Yeah. I found the word "bogot" kind of amusing myself.
> >
> > And here comes Dave to the rescue!
> >
> > So how do you like being Major Dud's lapdog?
>
> How do you figure, Frank? I made no comment on what Steve (there is no
> "Major Dud") wrote. I commented on what Mark wrote and on what you
> wrote. Who was it that I was supposed to rescue?

Frankie's inability to keep attributions straight has already been
well established. This came as no surprise.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1603. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:07:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132182471.379634.149680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124118

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
> >> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
> >> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
> >> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
> >
> > It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
> > post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
> > rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.
>
> "Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
> you're starting to write like him.

Dave is trying to insult me.

> If I'd wanted to post an
> instructional message on DXing, I'd likely post it to the DX newsgroup.

Do you need directions?

> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
> "egregious" or "insulting".

Perhaps you have no passion for the subject matter.

> Your organ grinder pal

Organ grinder pal?

Are you trying to insult someone? In your comments on RRAP, why do you
find it necessary to target single individuals and groups?

hasn't yet taken the
> first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
> many years. He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
> radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.

I guess Len is relegated to a life of professional radio.

> Carry on, little red-hatted monkey.

More insults? Way to go, Dave. Pillar of the radio community. Good
DX!

# 1604. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:14:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132182875.167401.81430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124119

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132135550.577259.306260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > an old friend wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> > > Well I thnk it's time to stop waiting.
> >> > > Day 7 and nothing new.
> >> >
> >> > With all due respect, Bill....
> >> >
> >> > Did you really expect that someone would point the way,
> >> > so that anticodetest folks could write reply comments
> >> > without having to look through all the comments?
> >>
> >> No I doubt that Bill thinks the Procoder feel any reagrd to engage in
> >> opne deabte we have hae in the SOP of most of the procoders here
> >>
> >> However Bill in addresing the NG does not merely addres the ProCoder
> >
> > So, answer his question!
> >>
> >> OTOH the If the ProCoders have got something new they need to shouting
> >> it off the roof top so the FCC might hear and consider this new point
> >
> > Why mention it here?
>
> Why not. The comment phase and reply comment phase is over.

FCC doesn't shut down ECFS on a proceeding just because the
deadline has passed.

> Truth is, however, that if there was anything that could have
> been described as new, even if it wasn't compelling, odds are we'd
> have heard it already via ARRL (QST), Len A., or someone else.

I don't think anyone at ARRL is reading all the comments.

Len isn't a reliable source. Despite his claim that he read and
understood
all the comments, he didn't know that someone else was reading all the
comments too, and posting the results online for all to see. Even
though
the URL was on the first page of the comments, he missed it completely.

> >> the best New point is so repceprical licenseing issues but that hardly
> >> seems enough to turn the course (it is but a hicup issue ar best)
> >>
> >> Logical the R&O should be by the end of the week or at worst the month
> >
> > How is that "logical"?
> >
> > FCC has no set deadline to produce the R&O. Given the large number of
> > comments, reply comments and other filings to consider, it will not be
> > a quick process. Remember that FCC doesn't just have to read the
> > comments - they
> > also have to decide which arguments are most compelling, cite them, and
> > justify their decision.
>
> Unless you expect a new set of FCC responses to all the pre-existing
> morse test arguments, the FCC's job of jusifying their decision is simply
> a restatement of the R&O code test responses from 98-143 (IMHO).

Of course. But that takes time.

> Even the reciprocal license argument isn't a big deal to resoond to since
> not one examply appears to even exist AND there's no treaty
> requirement that calls for any reciprocal licensing in any case.

Doesn't matter - FCC will most probably address that issue in the R&O.

Note that FCC doesn't have to say that a reason is a bad reason, or
false, etc. All they have to do is say the reason is insufficient.

> > On top of that is the fact that while the majority of commenters
> > support
> > removing the code test for General, the majority of commenters also
> > support keeping the code test for Extra.
>
> That majority is a very thin one.

55% of commenters isn't a thin majority.

> > So if FCC wants to remove the
> > code test for Extra, they have to justify ignoring what the majority
> > wants.
>
> Not so, the FCC is in no way duty bound to view the comments as
> a "vote" with a majority vs minority outcome.

Sure they do - they just aren't required to follow the majority
opinion.

> Why Jim,
> even you have made that point on more than one occasion.
> Once again, I ask you to look at past FCC statements that
> have already addressed the need for ANY code testing.

I've already addressed that in "The Pool"...

> 98-143 R&O retained only a 5 wpm test based solely on
> the existing ITU treaty requirement. That treaty requirement
> is toast now and with its removal the last legitamate procode
> test argument went with it (IMHO).

If so, why didn't FCC just dump Element 1 in August 2003? I
am still surprised they didn't.

Or, why did they reject NCI's "sunset clause" idea?

> > The R&Os from FCC are carefully worded, and that sort of thing takes
> > a bunch of time.
>
> R&O for 98-143 took several months. This NPRM is far more
> concise in the changes it proposed and shouldn't (logically) take as
> long..

True. But there are more comments to read.

> >> However the Govt is not known for its logical behavoir
>
> > I don't think you understand "logical", Mark.
>
> Heck, none of us understand government logic :-)
>
One of those oxymorons like "jumbo shrimp" or "tight slacks"...

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1605. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:15:17 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132182916.956850.221270@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124120

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > it is funny i suppose in some sence that it needs to be evn stated is
> > not as funny
>
> What's "funny" is your abuse of the King's English in an attempt
> to discuss "civics".
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Let "The King" enforce his English.

# 1606. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:17:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132183069.329087.188980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124121

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
> > >> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
> > >> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
> > >> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
> > >
> > > It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
> > > post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
> > > rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.
> >
> > "Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
> > you're starting to write like him.
>
> Dave is trying to insult me.

I'll have to admit, Brain...

Dave could NEVER insult you half as well as you insult yourself...

> > If I'd wanted to post an
> > instructional message on DXing, I'd likely post it to the DX newsgroup.
>
> Do you need directions?

Do you know of one? It certainly doesn't seem so from what we've
seen posted here from you in the past, Brain.

> > In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
> > a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
> > "egregious" or "insulting".
>
> Perhaps you have no passion for the subject matter.

So...

If Lennie doesn't insult and demean the other commentor, he's not
"passion(ate)" about what he's commenting on?

He had to write seven attack "replies" to the FCC on this docket
alone?

> > Your organ grinder pal
>
> Organ grinder pal?

>
> Are you trying to insult someone? In your comments on RRAP, why do you
> find it necessary to target single individuals and groups?

If it's not a single person or a group, what is it?

And Brain...

You ARE Lennie's red-hatted monkey.

> hasn't yet taken the
> > first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
> > many years. He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
> > radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.
>
> I guess Len is relegated to a life of professional radio.

No...he COULD have had a life in "professional radio".

Very little of any of Lennie's CV deals with "radio".
> > Carry on, little red-hatted monkey.
>
> More insults? Way to go, Dave. Pillar of the radio community. Good
> DX!

Lame redirect, Brain. Ineffective, transparent.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1607. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:19:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132183169.764340.294950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124122

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > > it is funny i suppose in some sence that it needs to be evn stated is
> > > not as funny
> >
> > What's "funny" is your abuse of the King's English in an attempt
> > to discuss "civics".
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Let "The King" enforce his English.

Markie can't use effective English as it is...Now you want him to
sound like Elvis?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1608. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:30:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132183849.300910.298620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124123

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:

> A thinner majority was decided your so-called "leader of the free world".
>
> Cheerio,
> Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte

Barney, our President is merely -our- leader. It is in the vacuum of
an almost leaderless world that you prop him up as the "leader of the
free world." I sure hope your intel guys pass no more bad info our
way. Could strain relations.

# 1609. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:37:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rpPef.35$rM2.15@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124124

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
>>>> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
>>>> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
>>>> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
>>> It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
>>> post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
>>> rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.
>> "Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
>> you're starting to write like him.
>
> Dave is trying to insult me.

Yep, I'm responding in kind to your usual red-hatted monkey routine.

>> If I'd wanted to post an
>> instructional message on DXing, I'd likely post it to the DX newsgroup.
>
> Do you need directions?

"Redicule"?

>> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
>> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
>> "egregious" or "insulting".
>
> Perhaps you have no passion for the subject matter.

Perhaps Windy just got his feathers ruffled in comments on an endeavor
in which he is not a participant.

>> Your organ grinder pal
>
> Organ grinder pal?

Yep, as Hans Brakob has pointed out on a number of occasions, Len is the
organ grinder; you are the red-hatted monkey.

> Are you trying to insult someone?

Are you insulted that I've pointed out the obvious?

> In your comments on RRAP, why do you
> find it necessary to target single individuals and groups?

I don't just target single individuals, Brian. Married folks are fair game.

>> ...hasn't yet taken the
>> first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
>> many years. He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
>> radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.
>
> I guess Len is relegated to a life of professional radio.

Len is relegated to his retirement from professional radio and to no
connection with amateur radio.

>> Carry on, little red-hatted monkey.
>
> More insults? Way to go, Dave. Pillar of the radio community. Good
> DX!

Examine your comments to my post and get back to me.

Dave K8MN

# 1610. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:39:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HqPef.36$rM2.15@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124125

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>
>>> it is funny i suppose in some sence that it needs to be evn stated is
>>> not as funny
>> What's "funny" is your abuse of the King's English in an attempt
>> to discuss "civics".
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
> Let "The King" enforce his English.

Elvis is the King. He's dead.

Dave K8MN

## 1611. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:54:11 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132185251.692481.319810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

how can they be queer if they do your momma? Oops, I forgot...cartman
and mopathetic both have hermaphrodite mommies.

## 1612. Re: Enough about the hurricane allready 4.224.213.174

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:57:13 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132185433.469558.98350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124127
Cross-posted to: neworleans.general,alt.fan.bill-clinton,alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Whats with your limp wrist?

## 1613. Re: Enough about the hurricane allready

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-16 23:57:53 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132185473.690141.297840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124128
Cross-posted to: neworleans.general,alt.fan.bill-clinton,alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

the person posting this crap is moparholic@hotmail.com

# 1614. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 00:14:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <mcinn1lh08dcfarn1apdsdpoqpkb4vp3s2@4ax.com>
Source record: 124129

On Wed, 16 Nov 2005 22:18:46 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <afOef.1498$jI5.1412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

<snip>
>Steve is my master. I hear and obey only Steve. I protect him from harm.
>If Steve want's me to sound like a moron then I will sound like the
>dumbest moron ever. Who was it that I was supposed to rescue?
>
>Dave K8MN

You just earned your doggie treat for today.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1615. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 00:16:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132186582.533567.280770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124130

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 4:52 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >From: Frank Gilliland on Sat 12 Nov 2005 11:57
>
> >> >Dudly's post didn't show up on my news server so I'll reply to both in
> >> >this post.
> >
> >> OK.
> >
> >> >On 12 Nov 2005 04:29:46 -0800, hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote in
> >
> >> ><snip>
> >> >>> A "cruise", in general Marine Corps useage, refers to a deployment
> >> >>> away from one's usual base, camp or air station on board a naval
> >> >>> warship.
> >
> >> >Wrong. What you describe is called a "float". But you didn't know
> >> >that. You also didn't know that that avionics techs are routinely
> >> >deployed with their units in the FMF. You didn't know these things
> >> >because you have never been on float. This is supported by the fact
> >> >that, upon deployment overseas, the Corps (and probably the other
> >> >services) sends press releases to each Marine's hometown media center,
> >> >yet there have been no press releases in Ohio, California or Tennessee
> >> >for any Steve or Steven Robeson, USMC, during the time you claim to
> >> >have been on active duty (public information, but it was easier for me
> >> >since I still have connections at the station). The fact is that you
> >> >were never deployed on float. And you can't do 18 years of active duty
> >> >and -not- get deployed on float. It's part of the job.
> >
> >> >Your pile of dirt grows larger by the day, Dudly.
> >
> >> It's high enough to need FAA-mandated warning lights as an
> >> obstruction. Moles gave up being jealous of its height a
> >> long time ago...
> >
> >What are the odds of being in a single hostile action if you've never
> >been deployed?
> >
> >I'm thinking zero. Now multiply that by seven.
>
> ...and the result is still ZERO.

Quick thinking. Thanks.

> ZERO hostile actions that Dudly was "in."
>
> I find it singularly AMAZING that Dudly has NEVER produced a
> single photo or snapshot of himself on "active duty" during
> those EIGHTEEN YEARS! NO references to anyone who has one.

I don't think the photo stores will process "toga party" film. But
Polaroid did make a camera that required no processing.

> I've seen dozens and dozens of snaps of my friends and
> acquaintences who've served in the militaries for much
> shorter times. All the military branches sent out notices
> and photographs of service people to their home town
> newspapers in the past describing their current assignment
> or "graduation" from boot camp or basic training. Papers
> MIGHT include those in back pages as "filler" material or
> might not depending on how much page space they had left.
> Nonetheless, the military policy has been to do that for
> the last half century, all branches.

For all of the hundreds of thousands of servicemen and women, except
Steve.

> >> >Except that there is no public record that verifies your claim that
> >> >you were on active duty for any of your 18 years. If I'm wrong then
> >> >cough it up and I'll leave this group forever. Deal?
> >
> >> Frank, please do NOT give ground on this. You are right and
> >> the "real" truth must apply.
> >
> >> We readers have had more than SEVEN YEARS of waiting for ANY
> >> written proof of Dudly the Imposter's "service" and it has NOT
> >> appeared. All that exists is Dudly's newsgroup messages that
> >> he is "telling the truth" (and that everyone challenging him
> >> is "lying"). Not one single person reference (in 18 years NO
> >> namable friends for reference?),
> >
> >Steve served alone. "A Marine Corps of One!"
> >
> >Almost sounds like a recruiting slogan, doesn't it?
>
> Hee hee hee hee. ["An Army of One" if the other readers
> can't get it...part of the Army's recruiting campaign]
>
> >FWIW, the AF reserves have "Air Reserve Technicians" that serve full
> >time as if on active duty. Maybe the USMC/R have the same deal.
>
> Well...someone has to sweep out the Air Guard hangars during
> the week days... :-)

And wash all the dirty linnen from the weekends.

> >> not one single digitized
> >> image of REAL documents (such as a DD-214), not one single
> >> digitized photo of Dudly doing his "USMC Avionics Tech" thing
> >> (in 18 years nothing?). Total ZERO references that could prove
> >> his case. He has no case. He is a fraud.
> >
> >No "Stars & Stripes" article?
>
> Don't think so, but Stars & Stripes carries cartoon strips on
> Sunday editions. It might be in there. :-)
>
> >No "N/MC Times" article?
> >
> >No installation newspaper articles?
> >
> >Hero Steve must have laid pretty low those 18 years.
>
> Shhhh...he might have been "special ops!"
>
> Tom Clancy may be writing him up for a new novel entitled,
> "Clear and Present Dander," about an EMT doing HALO drops
> into denied territory to flush out illegal dandruff drug
> dealers threatening our hair-oin distribution.

That part was made for him!

> >> Frank, you know, I know, and all readers know that Dudly has
> >> NO valid documentation. He cannot provide what he does NOT
> >> have. If you keep your word, YOU will be HERE forever! :-)
> >
> >Yikes! Condemned to RRAP for a lifetime?
>
> A fate worse than dearth...

Poor Farnk.

> >My single reason for staying on is that I wouldn't let these pricks
> >(roughly the equivalent of "putz") run me off. I'm waiting for Mike
> >Coslo to get his BBS up and running so most of the esteemed riffraff
> >will depart. My plan is to turn off the lights, but it hinges on Coslo
> >making a success of himself. What are the odds?
>
> The Coslonaut probably stuck his "BBS" into his balloon
> payload and the BBS is now "on the threshold of space!"
>
> Think a combination of "Dilbert" and "Brewster Rockit, Space
> Guy." High-tech funnies.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Acme rocket company and an Unwiley Coyote comes to mind.

# 1616. Ping Brian Burke- was-Re: Enough about the hurricane allready

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 00:20:49 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051116192049.053$ZN_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124131
Cross-posted to: neworleans.general,alt.fan.bill-clinton,alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote:
> Screw the Louisiana and Mississippi hurricane crap!
>
> You assholes got what you deserve! You live in a shit city, in a shit
> state, then whine when something completely natural happens!
>
> All of you so called "victims" can just go to hell! I pissed on myself
> laughing watching all of those monkeys perched on that freeway !!!!
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
Hey hot ham and cheese, this guy has an ip just like you!

## 1617. Re: Brain Bounces Blindly Beyond Bounds of Believeability

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 00:21:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132186872.205125.146840@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:

> what was that Stevei said about nuts wanting to get caught and treated?
>
>
> maybe he was right about that, in reference to himself

He's out of the USMC with an early discharge, and has admitted that he
was in therapy but was never wounded or injured. Hmmmm?

## 1618. N8WWM, felon, coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 00:26:41 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051116192641.154$dh_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124133

N8WWM Doug Adair <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
> the person posting this crap is moparholic@hotmail.com
>
Nope, check the headers, fugly felon.

Hey doug, have you challenged anyone else to come to your section eight slum so you can put them "face down in a hurting extreme" , then refuse to come outside for fear of getting your punk ass kicked after they show up?

http://n8wwm.4t.com/ <----- fucking chickenshit

# 1619. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 00:31:03 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <93jnn19fhn0nidllmqimm7g7ipgo0g1svg@4ax.com>
Source record: 124134

On 16 Nov 2005 15:04:27 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132182267.172918.284940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> Cute, coming from a twice court martialed, dishonorably discharged

Sorry Dud, you lose again: My first court-martial was a special and
the second was a summary. A dishonorable discharge wasn't even on the
table.

>ex-Marine who's major passtime is to bounce in Markie's lap saying
>"Tell me another lie, Pinnochio! Tell me another one!"

Interesting imagery, full of symbolism. You should discuss this with
your therapist.

>Steve "Weekend Warrior" Robeson, K4YZ

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1620. Re: Brain Bounces Blindly Beyond Bounds of Believeability

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 00:32:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132187543.702176.88790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Dudly's "hostile actions" in the Corps were probably the cause
> of HIS termination from the USMC after 18 years, not the 20
> needed for a military pension. Dudly ORIGINALLY posted that
> he received a MEDICAL discharge...then tried to say that was
> "changed" to an Honorable...then later switched to say that he
> was terminated "due to an accident during his duty." The claim
> of being in "seven hostile actions" came much later than his
> claims - and claims switches - of his discharges. A terrible
> record of INCONSISTENCY which smacks of a typical con man snow
> job trying to be foisted on everyone.
>
> Medical discharges are done for mental conditions as well as
> physical conditions.

He has self-admitted never being injured in the USMC, got sand in his eye once.

He has self-admitted to receiving therapy some time on or about his discharge. He never said that it was physical therapy, but said he was "rehabilitated."

He gives a whole new meaning to "Scared Straight."

# 1621. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 00:55:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ryQef.1317$N45.1176@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124136

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132182875.167401.81430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1132135550.577259.306260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
(SNIP)
>> Truth is, however, that if there was anything that could have
>> been described as new, even if it wasn't compelling, odds are we'd
>> have heard it already via ARRL (QST), Len A., or someone else.
>
> I don't think anyone at ARRL is reading all the comments.

I suspect that Chris Imlay has a hard copy of every comment
filed which he has already reviewed.

> Len isn't a reliable source. Despite his claim that he read and
> understood
> all the comments, he didn't know that someone else was reading all the
> comments too, and posting the results online for all to see. Even
> though
> the URL was on the first page of the comments, he missed it completely.

Didn't you forget the "IMHO" in regard to your opinion
of Len's analysis.

>> >> the best New point is so repceprical licenseing issues but that
>> >> hardly
>> >> seems enough to turn the course (it is but a hicup issue ar best)
>> >>
>> >> Logical the R&O should be by the end of the week or at worst the month
>> >
>> > How is that "logical"?
>> >
>> > FCC has no set deadline to produce the R&O. Given the large number of
>> > comments, reply comments and other filings to consider, it will not be
>> > a quick process. Remember that FCC doesn't just have to read the
>> > comments - they
>> > also have to decide which arguments are most compelling, cite them, and
>> > justify their decision.
>>
>> Unless you expect a new set of FCC responses to all the pre-existing

>> morse test arguments, the FCC's job of jusifying their decision is simply
>> a restatement of the R&O code test responses from 98-143 (IMHO).
>
> Of course. But that takes time.

Probably not more than a couple of months anyway.

>> Even the reciprocal license argument isn't a big deal to resoond to since
>> not one examply appears to even exist AND there's no treaty
>> requirement that calls for any reciprocal licensing in any case.
>
> Doesn't matter - FCC will most probably address that issue in the R&O.

Actually it does matter as it defines the importance in the
eyes of the FCC.

> Note that FCC doesn't have to say that a reason is a bad reason, or
> false, etc. All they have to do is say the reason is insufficient.

Agreed.

>> > On top of that is the fact that while the majority of commenters
>> > support
>> > removing the code test for General, the majority of commenters also
>> > support keeping the code test for Extra.
>>
>> That majority is a very thin one.
>
> 55% of commenters isn't a thin majority.

By your count anyway.

>> > So if FCC wants to remove the
>> > code test for Extra, they have to justify ignoring what the majority
>> > wants.
>>
>> Not so, the FCC is in no way duty bound to view the comments as
>> a "vote" with a majority vs minority outcome.
>
> Sure they do - they just aren't required to follow the majority
> opinion.

Please point to such recognition of any true majority opinion
regarding comments as previously stated by the FCC in
past amateur proceedings.

>> Why Jim,
>> even you have made that point on more than one occasion.
>> Once again, I ask you to look at past FCC statements that
>> have already addressed the need for ANY code testing.
>
> I've already addressed that in "The Pool"...
>

>> 98-143 R&O retained only a 5 wpm test based solely on
>> the existing ITU treaty requirement. That treaty requirement
>> is toast now and with its removal the last legitamate procode
>> test argument went with it (IMHO).
>
> If so, why didn't FCC just dump Element 1 in August 2003? I
> am still surprised they didn't.

FCC is just following their own legal process.

> Or, why did they reject NCI's "sunset clause" idea?

You'll have to ask the FCC that question.

>> > The R&Os from FCC are carefully worded, and that sort of thing takes
>> > a bunch of time.
>>
>> R&O for 98-143 took several months. This NPRM is far more
>> concise in the changes it proposed and shouldn't (logically) take as
>> long..
>
> True. But there are more comments to read.

Most are one or two sentances. No big deal.

>> >> However the Govt is not known for its logical behavoir
>>
>> > I don't think you understand "logical", Mark.
>>
>> Heck, none of us understand government logic :-)
>>
> One of those oxymorons like "jumbo shrimp" or "tight slacks"...

Agreed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 1622. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:04:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132189444.204032.159290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > Why is it that Frank spends a few years in the Marine Corps and knows
> > the lingo, you spend >>>almost<<< a career in the Marine Corps and
> > stumble around for the names of radio gear that you supposedly used,
> > and stumble around using Army phrases instead of Marine phrases, claim
> > that sailors don't cuss (HIHIHI), etc, etc, etc???
> >
> > Frank pegged you for what you are.
>
> Frankie pegged nothing, Brain.

Frank pinned the tail on the ass. Bray a little bray for me, Steve
Marie...

> Frankie's misrepresentation of the truth about some terminology
> is, on the face of it, ludicrous. Lame at best, and laughable that
> in-the-rear-with-the-gear guys such as yourself and Lennie are
> impressed by his rantings.

There you go with the "REMF" stuff again. Typical bully behavio[u]r.

Tell us about an avionics maintenence tech getting hauled out on not
one, but seven hostile missions. Hi! Hi, hi, hi!

> First of all, where's this tale about "...names of radio gear you
> supposedly used" come from? Lennie's tried that rant for years and now
> Frankie picked up on it.

Too bad you didn't.

> It never happened.

Much of what you say never happened.

> It was part of Frankie's cross-dressing with
> Lennie.

Hmmm? Still fixated on same-sex issues?

> And as for the "lingo", Frankie needed to be in the Corps longer.
> His one tour, half of which was spent digging his butt out of trouble,

> only left him with HALF the story.
>
> Nice try though.

Gosh, it doesn't look like he tried to get out of anything.

> > > > > > you did ctach his paranoid rant claiming you were here at my and brains
> > > > > > bidding?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > There's nothing paranoid about it.
> > > > >
> > > > > I think my use of the phrase, "Stolen Valor" is a frequent search term
> > > > > for those that seek out military fakes. Once it is used, those that
> > > > > defend the real vets get on it real quick.
> > > >
> > > > Other than Markie's folly and Lennie's frequent attempts to parlay
> > > > his rear-area radio mechanic duties into an artillery-ducking, Bear
> > > > avoiding novel, there's no military "frauds" here that I know of.
> > >
> > Seven hostile actions. Where and when?
>
> Both questions not going to be answered...Not because they are
> fraudulent or "secret", but for the reasons we're already embroiled in
> THIS spat..."this much" is always the catalyst for more lies and
> accusations from your side of the fence.

Gosh golly, Steve. You're such an up-front kind of guy except when it
counts.

> Try to make as much from it as you care to do, Brain, but it's all
> you've got to play with.
>
> Have fun.

Rest assured that your truth will surface one day.

> > I'm sure you think it's about him. It's not.
>
> It IS about him. He has no credibility. He lied to his country,
> he lied to the Corps, and now he's in here salving his "I Cudda Been A
> Contender" ex-Marine ego by trying to "beat up" on a former SNCO since
> this is the ONLY way he could do it and not get a third court martial.

Like you bully people here?

You're starting to get a victim complex, aren't you?

> > Makes me kind of sad to think that a fellow amateur and perhaps even a
> > fellow veteran is such a liar. Makes me wonder if you grew up in juvie
> > detention or something to always have to make up such preposterous
> > stuff in order to get attention. Always have to put down the next guy
> > to make yourself look big and act the bigshot.
>

> Seems to me that that description falls right into your lap,
> Brain.

It would seem that way to you.

> And perhaps why you felt compelled to invent your Somalia
> DXpedition gala...

No gala and no preposterous stories here, Steve. Of course, to someone
who'se never done a damned thing in his life it probably does sound
like a tall tale.

> > > Shall I show you? Going to Dayton this year?
> >
> > Already been this year. DD-214. You make a copy, sanitize SSN, DOB,
> > scan it and post it instead of that dumpy photo of you on QRZ. Post a
> > message here, then take it down after 24 hours. Then put up a photo of
> > you with an Gold Oakleaf on each shoulder.
>
> Nope.

You probably don't have a DD-214.

# 1623. Re: this message is for keyclowns selling amps on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:04:07 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132189447.117995.322010@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.homosexuality

DEAR AKC:

I AM LISTING MY HOT MAN-PUSS ON E-BAY. THERE'S NOTHING YOU CAN DO TO
STOP IT!!!

I WILL GET A COCK IN MY ASS IF THE LAST THING I DO.

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

# 1624. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:16:38 UTC
From: San Hedrin Ruling Council <ho@hosanherdrins.net>
Message-ID: <dlglie$nro$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124139

FCC & ARRL = Partners in the Culture of Corruption.

# 1625. Re: Is there going to be a contest this weekend?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:17:07 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132190227.636181.271980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124141
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.homosexuality

Why yes, Walt.....there is a contest that is a must for all FB
hams.

Here at our local truck-stop all the locals are going to be sucking
each other off and getting trucker cock in our amateur fuck holes. Then
there will be a biggest load award and also the sloppiest asshole
catagory.

talk in on 146.52 simplex

CUM ONE,CUM ALL!

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Wed, 16 Nov 2005 21:09:50 GMT, "VE5JL" <ve5jl@ve5jlHATES_SPAM.com>
> wrote:
>
> >If you want to talk about the contest or any other radio related subject, go
> >here. http://www.ve5jl.com/message/index.php
>
> I went there but no-one was home.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

# 1626. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:18:35 UTC
From: San Hedrin Ruling Council <ho@hosanherdrins.net>
Message-ID: <dlglm4$odo$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124140

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ryQef.1317$N45.1176@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>

You show your total lack of understanding of how the
Culture of Corruption functions in the FCC.

FCC & ARRL = Partners in the Culture of Corruption.

## 1627. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:21:39 UTC
From: San Hedrin Ruling Council <ho@hosanherdrins.net>
Message-ID: <dlglrr$p92$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
news:1132179446.250242.3030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> ever notice the strange looking shit hams wear........like those queer
> looking vests and hats with callsigns???
>
> hams are the weirdest,queerest motherfuckers in the world!
>

Go to a Hamfest. They are one of the largest concentrations you
will ever see of sociopaths and other assorted societal rejects.
Hamfests are endless parades of human debris.

## 1628. FRANK SILLILAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:24:15 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132190655.301592.63380@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124143
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.homosexuality

notice that cocksucker got run out of rec.radio.cb now is hanging
here.

he's just pissed that nobody wants his old worn out asshole anymore.

## 1629. What Are Your Plans For...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:29:22 UTC
From: San Hedrin Ruling Council <ho@hosanherdrins.net>
Message-ID: <dlgma9$rbm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

What are your plans for celebrating Kawanza this year?

# 1630. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:43:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132191820.275077.250430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124145

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 15, 7:14 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
>> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
>> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
>> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
>
>It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
>post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
>rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.
>
>Way to go, Dave. Pillar of the radio community. Good DX!

Pillar? Pillar of salt...Lots :-)

Poor Davie...if he had looked back over 3,783 filings on WT
Docket 05-235 he would have seen MORE! Woulda liquified
his "salt". MELT-DOWN! :-)

Well, Davie ought to go back into the ECFS and look under
WT Docket 98-143 on 25 January 1999. He can see Dudly the
Imposter's name there. Ten days AFTER the official
(extended twice) END of filings on 98-143 ol' Dud tried to
get the FCC to turn a deaf ear to me. Davie has about 8
days to do the same, repeating history of the elite meeting
to beat...down those against the code test. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1631. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:46:17 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132191977.190316.8790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124146

From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am

>Dave Heil wrote:

>> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
>> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
>> "egregious" or "insulting".

Poor baby. Does Davie think that all against the code test
are equivalent to "two-year-olds refusing peas at dinner"
as Robert Rightsell did?

>That's just typical Len behavior, Dave. Check the reply comments about
>ARRL, and the one about Extra Class licenses, etc.

Pro-coders are BEYOND REPROACH!!!

WOE TO ALL WHO TALK BACK TO PRO-CODERS!!!

:-)

>What Len fails to understand is that such carryings-on are simply
>delaying The R&O.

Whoa! "Commissioner Miccolis" is WARNING everyone?

Jimmie, when you start working for GOVERNMENT as "official
watch-dog?"

You are barking up the wrong tree. Pizz on it.

>> Your organ grinder pal hasn't yet taken the
>> first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
>> many years.
>
>Actually that's not quite true, Dave.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...I took my "first baby steps" in 1953, walking
into a large HF transmitter facility, working there for the
next three years. Started at age 20.

My other "first baby steps" were getting (passed in one
sitting) a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) Radio
Operator license at an FCC Field Office in Chicago, 90
miles away. Did that at age 23...in 1956.

>Some months back, Len mentioned here that he had once, way back
>in the 1950s, set about learning Morse Code. Claimed he'd actually
>gotten up to about 6-8 wpm or so before deciding all the 'hard work'

>wasn't worth it. That was just about the time 27 MHz cb came along,
>and he jumped on that.

WRONG. MISTAKE. ERROR.

Class D Citizens Band was created in 1958. I got a CB License
(NO TEST) in 1959. Was working for Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation
then and had just transferred from the Electronics Warfare
Group to the Standards Laboratory.

I thought to give AMATEUR radio a shot in 1962, three years
LATER from obtaining a CB license. By then I'd already had
electronics design engineering responsibility and title at
Micro-Radionics, Inc., in Van Nuys, CA, and a First Phone
for 6 years and had worked among 40+ HF transmitters at one
station for 3 years in the U.S. Army. Like I "need" to
study "ham radio theory" for any AMATEUR license exam? No.
I'd never had any morse code training anywhere then, no
freebie classes in the service. Was NOT needed.

Got a reel-to-reel dupe of some code practice records and
started in with those in the "off-hours" when not doing
home work from 6 units a semester night class college
courses and playing with my first wife. Now WHICH do you
think had priority, prudey Jimmie? :-)

So, in 1962, as a staff engineer at MRI working on radar
and aircraft radionavigation test sets (up to Ku Band on
the A-6 Intruder flight deck test set) with some years of
HF and MF transmitter experience, the CB set in the sports
car already "getting dusty" and having worked with lots of
rather advanced technology DoD contract equipment...I am
SUPPOSED TO SHOW ETHICAL AND MORAL "FIBER" by learning
morse code?!?!?

>What I think happened is this: Len discovered that unlike "book learning",
>he didn't pick up on the Morse Code in a few quick lessons. For him it
>took some 'hard work' to learn, and that conflicted with his view of himself
>as a 'professional in radio-electronics'. Not only that, but learning Morse
>Code would not help Len 'PROFESSIONALLY' - there was no money
>reward waiting. So he gave up.

There was NO INTELLECTUAL REWARD "waiting," sweetums. Was
lots and lots and lots more of real, live technology to
learn everywhere in electronics. Still is.

Why the fork was I "supposed" to GO BACK IN TIME on radio?

Jimmie, you poor iggorant soul, even in 1962, the USE of
morse code ANYWHERE was already DECREASING. On land it
was almost done for. On RF it had already been DISPLACED
by faster (100 WPM) teleprinter "traffic" on long-haul HF
radio circuits. USAF SAC had ALREADY started the single-

channel SSB VOICE revolution on HF with DoD contracts.

Damn RIGHT there was NO MONEY in it. There was NO
INTELLECTUAL reward in it. I couldn't start planning a
family in a house or a future in aerospace by learning
morse code for an AMATEUR HOBBY activity. Sorry, Jimmie,
but morsemanship skills in 1962 didn't help me pay a
mortgage...didn't help me buy food...didn't help pay for
clothes or, later, doctor and hospice bills to come,
though I didn't know that until after...

Yeah, like morse code was "cutting-edge technology" and
"excellence in radio" in 1962? Bullshit. You don't
know that because you weren't yet the great big EXTRA
super special AMATEUR license until long after and were
still a kiddie then. Hell, you couldn't even hack the
one extra test element for a FIRST 'Phone much later
and settled for SECOND Class. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

>> He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
>> radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.
>
>Which would lead any logical person to wonder why he's so interested
>in amateur radio regulations. He won't say *why* all this matters so
>much to him.

Tsk, tsk. Jimmie make "plain, simple mistake." I've already
written (it is all still in Google) that it might be FUN to
get into amateur radio. I've already written that it is an
enjoyable hobby pastime shared by thousands. Why do you
disagree with that or say I never wrote it?

Let's see...I am retired from a successful career (from regular
hours, I still do contract work IF I want to) in electronics
design engineering, have a fine house (all paid for), have a
wonderful wife (who was also my high school sweetheart), have
had enough TITLES and POSITIONS to satisfy me, half century,
a comfortable income to handle easy living now.

I do NOT NEED TO PROVE ANYTHING by getting more TITLES, more
certificates suitable for framing. I do not need my friends
and neighbors to come over and marvel at my cutting-edge radio
technology of homebuilt tube kludges designed in the 1990s; we
talk about other things and are friendly. I have ONE sole-
inventor patent and a nice plaque from RCA Corporation which
I NO LONGER display on the wall like a trophy. My wife has
THREE degrees, one BA, two MSs, and she doesn't need those
displayed on the wall; those are in storage up in Puget Sound
area weren't on display in the northern house. We are secure
in ourselves. We've "done it" and DON'T need to brag, don't
need more pretty certificates suitable for framing. The
FUTURE is just ahead and we are ENJOYING that. Why don't you

like others enjoying life as THEY prefer?

Jimmie, what of YOU? You talk as if making money is some kind of evil, capitalistic running-dog kind of thing. Are you some kind of closet commie? A secret socialist? Or are you just resentful that someone else has something you don't have?

Jimmie, WHY do YOU and Diplomacy-Not Davie want to keep U.S. amateur radio REGRESSED? Why do YOU want to hold the status quo forever and ever? You are NOT custodian of archaic radio arts. You've NEVER worked in 24/7 long-haul HF radio traffic services. You've apparently NEVER done any radio activity outside of HF. You never got a FIRST 'Phone even though you claim to be "so educated" and that extra exam element is NOT any intellectual challenge. You aren't, and never were, any radio regulating person in government...yet you make out like you "KNOW" things they do. Hell, you've never had PRIDE in what you work at at work and try to keep your employer a big, dark secret...you never talk about it except in very vague descriptions and implications.

Jimmie, are you a merry masochist or a chary control-freak, insisting that it is "morally wrong" to go against morse code testing? You are really resentful and unarguably antagonistic against all who "haven't done it like you did it." WHY does everyone have to do it like you did it? Why are you holding back uncountable newcomers of the future?

Hobbies are supposed to be FUN, Jimmie. You don't want FUN? Are you in some strange "radio-Amish" cult that wants to stop technology and standards and practices in a HOBBY radio activity to the 1930s era? You talk and act like that. You are not nice to those who want to change your fantasy, Jimmie. You insist on having it YOUR way, to "work hard" at a HOBBY. Maybe it's a RELIGIOUS thing to you, this morsemanship? We all "must" worship at the Church of St. Hiram and seek the Holy Key as penitants, perhaps as flagellants, for some "higher calling?"

Not my "cuppa," Jimmie. HOBBIES are for FUN, for enjoyment. AMATEUR radio is a HOBBY, Jimmie. No matter what YOUR fantasy tells you, it is NOT vital to the nation nor is it the highest plane of existance of man's endeavors to become a mighty morseman. Really.

I think hobbies are FUN, Jimmie. Maybe ham radio would be FUN for me. It might even be FUN for thousands and thousands in the future. Yet, you want the FCC to keep regulations that makes a HOBBY activity "hard work" or some odd kind of "competitive sports" with attendant titles and privileges as if in a feudal state. You want to be SUPERIOR through morsemanship. SUPERIOR to all others with rank-title-status

in a HOBBY. All bow down to your extra-class 20 WPM lordship?

I'm "just asking questions," Jimmie.

Buy, buy for now... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1632. Re: Getting Down To The Wire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:49:18 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132192158.018784.127120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124147

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 15 Nov 2005 18:49

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: K4YZ <@aol.com> on Nov 11, 4:06 am
>> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 10, 4:41 pm

>Jim's
>
>> > >opinion as
>> > >being in the majority.
>>
>> Len's own "scorecard" shows his opinion (complete elimination
>> of all Morse Code testing for any class of FCC-issued amateur radio
>> license)
>> to be in the minority
>
>Jim's got no scorecard. Only an unpopular opinion.

Poor Jimmie...can't reconcile the "plain, simple fact" that
Speroni SCREWED UP on his "interpretation" of filings on
WT Docket 05-235. Speroni stacked the deck using his "rules"
and was then applauded as a "widely known amateur
statistician!" (kudo from Robert Rightsell in Reply to
Comments of 14 Nov 05).

>Unknown people such as Quitefine and Darkguard

Gotta love this "Quitefine" talking to hisself. :-)

>> It appears that Len wished to avoide comment and discussion,
>> and wanted a thread containing only his scorecard.
>
>"avoid"
>
>He should move it to Coslo's BBS. Is it up and running yet?

I can't reach it...it's "at the threashold of space!" :-)

>> > The tally postings were almost daily except for one 2 1/2
>> > week period in September-October when my wife and I were on
>> > a trip and I was away from easy Internet access and tallies.
>>
>> One word: Wireless
>
>One word: Kissoff

Tsk. Now Jimmie Noserve will make much ado about nothing
in "interpreting" that as "trying to shut him up!" :-)

Jimmie fancies himself a STASI "protecting the state."

>> > All of the categorizations were done as honestly and fairly
>> > as possible,
>>
>> Purely subjective opinion.
>
>??? Definition of Opinion ???

Definition (already known for years): Only pro-code is "correct"
and all no-code are "wrong."

>> > reading each of the Comments and Replies to
>> > Comments.
>>
>> If that were true, Len would have been aware of the fact that there
>> was indeed at least one other 'scorecard'
>
>Do tell.

Jimmie's mind be all forked up. Speroni is an unabashed
pro-coder and will do anything to promote morse code.

Like *I* should hang out with those types? :-)

>> > Opinions of greater than 90% of all filings were
>> > rather obviously in three categories of For the NPRM, Against
>> > the NPRM, or Code-Test-for-Extra-but-OK-to-drop-code-test-for-
>> > other-classes.
>>
>> Which leaves 10% uncertain. Yet Len posted results to four decimal
>> places, as if his tally was that accurate.
>
>Two kinds of people, OK and Idiots. See: "Valentine Germann."

Tsk, tsk. Ol' Val...still a no-coder tech after all those
words of praise on the PCTA and all the scorn levelled at
NCTA. Dick Carroll liked him a whole lot. So did Jimmie.
[Jimmie gonna go SK too?]

>> > All of my "score card" postings are still in Google and may
>> > be accessed by anyone with Google access.
>>
>> So is the commentary on their deficiencies.
>
>And the comments of the disgruntled Pro-Code Test persons

Poor babies...tsk, tsk.

>> > NOTHING was "hidden."
>>
>> Incorrect.

>
>Incorrect.

Jimmie still fulla snit. He need laxative.

>> Len counted multiple filings by the same person as different opinions
>> if they were not identical.
>
>Not identical? Perhaps they really were different opinions.

Jimmie DOES NOT KNOW. He never looked at them all.

>> Only identical filings by the same person
>> were counted as duplicates. Thus, in his own tally, Len's comments
>> and five reply comments (last time I looked) counted as if they
>> were written by six different people.

Jimmie, using only one decimal place, is WAYYY wrong! :-)

>"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"
>
>Is that a different opinion from a person who believes that ""A Morse
>Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS?"
>
>The very same person holds such beliefs. How would you cont those
>vastly different opinions?
>
>Hi!

Jimmie have Multiple Personality Disorder?!? Must be...

>> Len's postings are also unclear about what was counted. In parts of the
>> posting he uses the word "comments" and in others the word "filings",
>> hiding the fact that he was counting comments and reply comments.
>
>Do you have a magnet? Were the filings ferrous or nonferrous?

Tsk, tsk, if Jimmie found a misplaced comma - or just didn't
like the sentence structure - he would castigate any writings
of that person.

That's it! Jimmie is Castigated! :-)

"Move your vowels every day or you get consonated..."

>> > By viewing the continuously-posted tally postings,
>> > it is quite evident that a better than 2:1 ratio in favor of
>> > the NPRM was held at the starting weeks of the Comment
>> > period.
>>
>> Irrelevant.
>
>Relevant. Then the ARRL Publishing House went to work.

Joe Speroni has said nothing, nada, zip, about "correcting" his
"statistics" to account for the "plain, simple fact" that the
FCC did not get a legal notice into the Federal Register until
about 5 weeks AFTER it released NPRM 05-143 to the public. It
was in THAT time that the 2:1 ratio IN FAVOR of the NPRM was
established.

All them Extras just didn't get their dander up until about
two months after public release...realizing that they would
lose their precious STATUS IN THE AMATEUR COMMUNITY if the
code test went in the dumpster.

>> Someone else did read them, and came up with a different
>> result than Len.

It sure as hell wasn't Jimmie!

>"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"
>
>"A Morse Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS."

Yup...Multiple Personality Disorder on Jimmie's part...

>> The final result is what matters.
>
>Neither "tally" matters. FCC decree is what matters.

FCC rules...NOT the league. tsk, tsk.

>> > Nothing was hidden.
>>
>> Incorrect, as shown above.
>
>"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"
>
>"A Morse Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS."

Jimmie still HIGH on the MPD thing...

However, the "ARS" also describes the Archaic Radiotelegraphy
Society, a group of self-promoting self-defining elitists who
make morse because they can't socialize...

>> In other words, Len did not want his results criticized or examined
>> closely. He wanted them accepted as fact, without question.

In other words, Jimmie doesn't want Joe Speroni criticized
or examined closely but accepted as fact without question.

<yawn> Jimmie do boring repetition of PCTA mantra.

>"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"
>
>"A Morse Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS."

MPD strikes again! But, dollars to doughnuts, Jimmie gonna
vociferously DENY he said the first sentence. He's so
predictable! :-)

>> > There are no "rules" on posting tallies of public opinion
>> > except those of the person(s) doing the tally.
>>
>> Yet when questions were posed about Len's "rules", he became
>> the source of "continual argument and bickering" rather than
>> answering the questions honestly.

Tsk, tsk. Anyone looking at the Google left-screen listing
on a direct rrap access will see that Jimmie didn't do
jack-snit on commentary in the first weeks of 05-235 being
open. Poor fella musta bin all wrought by the 2:1 ratio
FOR the NPRM...

>"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"
>
>"A Morse Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS."
>
>Jim, how would you tally the above two opinions from the same person???

I see Jimmie DENYING the first, and parading the second as
if it were the 11th Commandment.

"Our headaches are over...here comes Moses with the tablets!"

>> > That another
>> > has posted an alleged "analysis" of WT Docket 05-235 filing
>> > contents is not any valid argument against what I have done.
>>
>> Why not? Is Leonard H. Anderson incapable of error?
>
>"A Morse Code exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use!"
>
>"A Morse Code exam is vital to the health of the ARS."
>
>Is the person posting such opinions capable of error???

Brian, PCTAs *NEVER* make errors. Never, ever.

In PCTA eyes *ALL*PCTA* make errors. Always.

PCTA need radial keratotomy to correct their vision.

>> Regardless of comments, that will almost certainly be to drop
>> all Morse Code testing.
>
>Thank goodness. A Morse Code exam would certainly be a barrier to
>Morse Code use.

:-)

>Jim
>
>> > >will then get the "Extra Lite" (or any other HF-authorized license) or
>> > >continue to spam the NG with his anti-Amateur Radio bile...
>>
>> Isn't that answer clear?
>
>CAB, CAFB, CAVOK,

CAVU no longer used? :-)

>> Len has repeatedly given opinions about others' motivations, yet
>> will not reveal his own. Except for one outburst in January 2000,
>> he has not expressed the desire or intention to get an amateur radio
>> license.

WRONG, WRONG, WRONG. I have. It's in Google.

>Jim never reveals his motivations.

But...but...but...Jimmie be Mighty Macho MOTIVATED Morseman!

>> Veterans of military and government service who disagree with Len have been
>> subject to Len's insults and denigration of their service,
>> regardless of what or when it was. Len's classic "sphinters post"
>> proves that.
>
>Jim served in unkown ways.

Yeah, he was real busy cleaning up the chickenshit left by all
those "my bod is so precious it shall not be harmed in the
military service of the country" NO-SERVERS.

I'll have to tell the guys over in Westwood, the ones at the
Veterans Cemetary, about how Jimmie Noserve and Dudly the
Imposter all say "I DENIGRATED others." Next Memorial Day
for sure, when we get together again to plant a few flags
and have a Rememberance Ceremony...for the Veterans beneath.

Tsk, tsk. Jimmie is getting downright surly and obnoxious
about military service. Maybe folks don't salute him enough,
being a super-high-level morse god and all? Okay, I salute
him...with one finger up-raised.

HOOO-RAAWWWW!!!!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1633. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:53:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132192423.551994.162100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124148

These are the numbers of current, unexpired
amateur radio licenses held by individuals
on the stated dates, and the percentage of
the total number of active licenses that
class contains:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329 (7.3%)
Technician - 205,394 (30.4%)
Technician Plus - 128,860 (19.1%)
General - 112,677 (16.7%)
Advanced - 99,782 (14.8%)
Extra - 78,750 (11.7%)

Total Tech/TechPlus - 334,254 (49.5%)

Total all classes - 674,792

As of November 15, 2005:

Novice - 27,303 (4.1%) [decrease of 22,026]
Technician - 273,291 (41.2%) [increase of 67,897]
Technician Plus - 45,263 (6.8%) [decrease of 83,597]
General - 135,676 (20.4%) [increase of 22,999]
Advanced - 74,836 (11.3%) [decrease of 24,946]
Extra - 107,297 (16.2%) [increase of 28,547]

Total Tech/TechPlus - 318,554 (48.0%) [decrease of 15,700]

Total all classes - 663,666 (decrease of 11,126)

Note that these totals do not include licenses
that have expired but are in the grace period.

They also do not include club, military, RACES
or other station-only licenses.

Note also that effective April 15, 2000, new
Novice, Technician Plus and Advanced licenses
are no longer issued.

Since April 15, 2000, FCC has renewed all existing
Technician Plus licenses as Technician. It is therefore
informative to consider the totals of the two classes,
since the Technician class includes a significant
number of Technician Plus licenses renewed as
Technician.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1634. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:53:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132192423.530793.326370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124149

From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> > Bill Sohl wrote:

>>> OTOH the If the ProCoders have got something new they need to shouting
>>> it off the roof top so the FCC might hear and consider this new point
>>
>> Why mention it here?
>
>Why not. The comment phase and reply comment phase is over.
>Truth is, however, that if there was anything that could have
>been described as new, even if it wasn't compelling, odds are we'd
>have heard it already via ARRL (QST), Len A., or someone else.

The "new point" allegedly against the NPRM was raised repeatedly:

"Morse code skill is necessary to defeat terrorists and save
lives in hurricanes [Katrina]!"

Not a valid reason but it was warm and fuzzy to pro-coders. :-)

>> FCC has no set deadline to produce the R&O. Given the large number of
>> comments, reply comments and other filings to consider, it will not be
>> a quick process. Remember that FCC doesn't just have to read the
>> comments - they
>> also have to decide which arguments are most compelling, cite them, and
>> justify their decision.
>
>Unless you expect a new set of FCC responses to all the pre-existing
>morse test arguments, the FCC's job of jusifying their decision is simply
>a restatement of the R&O code test responses from 98-143 (IMHO).
>Even the reciprocal license argument isn't a big deal to resoond to since
>not one examply appears to even exist AND there's no treaty
>requirement that calls for any reciprocal licensing in any case.

Bill, the pro-coders are mighty macho motivated morsemen and
pillars of the amateur community (by their own statements).
They ARE the "public" the FCC is supposed to support! :-)

Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
"extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket." Radiotelegraphy
got set up as the epitome of all amateur radio skills through
constant bombardment by the [u-know-who] membership organization.

Radiotelegraphy skill meant the telegraphers got the maximum perquisites in rank-status-privilege. Now the pro-coders are royally pissed because "all their hard work" isn't going to mean dink in this NEW world. They demand holding fast to the status quo lest they lose THEIR self-esteem.

>> On top of that is the fact that while the majority of commenters
>> support
>> removing the code test for General, the majority of commenters also
>> support keeping the code test for Extra.
>
>That majority is a very thin one.

There is *NO* Real Majority in the Docket.

As of 2 PM EST, 16 November, there are 3,783 filings in Docket 05-235 up to and including 14 November 2005. At BEST, the total number of filings represents only about 0.6 percent of all licensed United States radio amateurs. That's only a SAMPLING of the "amateur community" opinion.

Joe Speroni is an unabashed proponent of morse code use and does not claim statistician training or experience (despite what Robert Rightsell said on 14 November), yet Jimmie keeps on stating "a majority wants code testing to stay" by virtue of nebulous interpretation by Speroni. Speroni's "55 percent" is waved like it is some ABSOLUTE TRUTH, almost a Divine Word. Pfaugh. Speroni SPIN. The usual biased pro-coder merry-go-round spinning, making only the pro-coders dizzy.

There's *NO* Real Majority in Docket 05-235. It is just a very close, half-and-half mix of opinions. The Commission is stuck without the slightest trace of "consensus in the amateur community" of opinion on this highly-polarized issue.

The Commission expressed a desire to see a "consensus" at the beginning of those 18 Petitions' comments 2003-2004 but it didn't get any.

>> So if FCC wants to remove the
>> code test for Extra, they have to justify ignoring what the majority
>> wants.
>
>Not so, the FCC is in no way duty bound to view the comments as
>a "vote" with a majority vs minority outcome. Why Jim,
>even you have made that point on more than one occasion.

Jimmie will pick ANY little bit to rationalize HIS opinion of something and has done so repeatedly. Then he turns right around and "blames" the Commission, pointing out some biased "majority" as representing the PUBLIC if a decision goes against him.

>Once again, I ask you to look at past FCC statements that
>have already addressed the need for ANY code testing.
>98-143 R&O retained only a 5 wpm test based solely on
>the existing ITU treaty requirement. That treaty requirement
>is toast now and with its removal the last legitamate procode
>test argument went with it (IMHO).

So be it. That the IARU was already for tossing out S25.5
before WRC-03 isn't considered by pro-coders. CHANGE is
NOT allowed to status-quo-ists. <shrug>

>> The R&Os from FCC are carefully worded, and that sort of thing takes
>> a bunch of time.
>
>R&O for 98-143 took several months.

About 10 1/2 months between the end of the official commentary
period on 98-143 and the issuance of 99-412 Report & Order.
The Commission had about 2200 filings to consider for their
decision.

> This NPRM is far more
>concise in the changes it proposed and shouldn't (logically) take as
>long..

I'd say it's a toss-up on time. 05-235 has nearly twice as
many filings as 98-143. The Commission has to do SOMETHING
on editorial changes to regulations in regards to allocations
of operating frequency privileges for Technicians. Other than
that, the main issue of code testing go/no-go is quite simple.

Different set of conditions on speculating on decision time.

>>> However the Govt is not known for its logical behavoir
>>
>> I don't think you understand "logical", Mark.
>
>Heck, none of us understand government logic :-)

Sorry, the term "logical" doesn't apply there to any three of
you. Governmental decisions are biased by POLITICAL needs,
not "logic." Those that don't get what they want will try to
enoble themselves as "logical" as if they are the good guys.
That's a misuse of word definitions.

What WILL happen on a very close race is that about half will
be totally pissed off because they didn't get things their
way...and about half will feel victorious as "winners."

What really happens - as it does in all Reports and Orders -
is that the Commission DECIDES, making a long, laborious set
of reasons for their decision with all appropriate references
(appropriate as they see fit). Once a decision is reached, it
becomes part of LAW...until it is changed again some time in

the future. From what I've seen of past Dockets and resulting R&Os, the Commission does a thorough job of decision justifications, not just on amateur radio but on all services.

Those that didn't get what they want will bitch and moan and make nasty but that's only "sore-loser-ism" on their part. The pro-coders have had Their Way for a long time and they feel They are "the public" or "more public" than others. They want "Theirs" just like They've always had it. The REAL Public can't be seen by them. The Commission tries harder to "look" at what the REAL Public wants...and that is a good thing in my mind.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1635. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:55:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132192559.506866.76990@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124200

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues 15 Nov 2005 15:32

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 4:22 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat 12 Nov 2005 14:17
>>
>> >> >QRZ last updated 01/29/2004, signed as "MAJOR Robeson."
>> >
>> >> >Photo of a guy with Captain's bars.
>> >
>> >> >Wonder why Steve isn't updating his official photograph?
>> >
>> >> He didn't on his "official" AOL home page. Gave excuses
>> >> there..."didn't get 'promoted' until July...this is
>> >> November...verrrry slow "developing" of that photo.
>> >
>> >Must be suer-high resolution photo paper. Takes longer.
>>
>> I'd likely believe that the "Sergeant Grit" USMC store
>> ran out of cloth major insignia for his poopy suit.

I'll have to revise that...more likely Sergeant Grit REFUSED
his order. Probably from signing "semper paratus" too much.

>"I was on the ground in Slidell, LA, for 45 minutes on Saturday. I
>don't believe that even if I possessed the skills of a poet laureat
>that I could put into words the devastation. I never served with the
>Marines in Viet Nam, but have always heard about the "1000 yeard
>stare"...Well, now I've seen it...In the eyes of hundreds of those
>brutalized by this horrific event."
>
>I'd like to see that "1000 yeard stare" in his next photo. Or maybe "a
>thousand yards of stairstepper" would improve the photo.

...and a dentist to fix his teeth...

>> "If Chesty didn't like it, we wouldn't carry it" is
>> their shop slogan. :-)
>>
>> [Frank knows who "Chesty" is, Dudly doesn't...:-)]
>
>Steve only knows "Chesty Thumper." They're buds.

Heh...Dudly seems to BE Chesty Thumper!

>> >> Note the "headline" on his "official" AOL home page:
>> >
>> >> "A Man of Many Talents."
>> >
>> >> He was "homeland security before there was homeland
>> >> security!"
>> >
>> >> BAAAAWHAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>> >He has a talent for shooting his mouth off.
>>
>> AHA!! That explains MANY things! He can't smile in any
>> photo because the teeth are gone! THAT may be the "injury"
>> that caused his "medical discharge" due to an "accident!"
>
>Welp, he did say it happens.

Post-traumatic stress disorder after all those "seven hostile
actions" must have distracted him from shooting his mouth off
straight...

>> >> His little Cessna 150 (or was it Aeronca C3?) got
>> >> hard points for WEAPONRY? Whoooeee...look out all
>> >> general av flyers wherever you are...Ace Security
>> >> "MAJOR" gonna get on your tails!
>> >
>> >I can just imagine the MAJOR getting behind someone and opening fire.
>> >"He invaded my airspace, he invaded my airspace!" Then he shoots his
>> >prop off.
>>
>> His own...
>
>Then maybe he could see a thousand yards without that obstruction to
>vision.

That's "yeards" in Dudly talk...

>> >> On the con-man scale of 1 to 10, Dudly the Imposter (aka
>> >> "United States Air Force Auxilliary" MAJOR) might nudge
>> >> the meter to a 2...with enough QRM to raise baseline...
>> >
>> >And he tries so hard. Kind of sad, isn't it? Should we clue him in
>> >that it's "Major?" No need to go ALLCAPS for emphasis.
>>
>> I used all caps. Dudly is very RANK. :-)
>
>He used ALL CAPS on QRZ. He must be in agreement.

Really? I didn't look close. Hard to do that when laughing
so hard... :-)

>Drunk, laying face up on a cot... "whomp, whomp, whomp, whomp..."
>

>The ceiling fan turns into a helo rotor...
>
>"I asked for a mission, and for my SINS they gave me one..."
>
>He looks at the fan with that famous "one yard blear."

BWAHAHAHAHA!!!!

>> Wonder where he could get the cash as a "commissioned
>> officer" to afford dress blues? Maybe on "Amelia's"
>> credit card?
>
>Don't know "Amelia." Maybe he got it on the "Katrina" credit card, or
>maybe they will just up and issue one to him.

"Amelia" supposed to be Mrs. Dudly according to MAJOR Dudly.

Dudly have "thousand yeards of credit?"

>> >Do we have a photo of him in his Tennessee Militia uniform?
>>
>> No, but I saw one a few years ago. The "brigade" changed
>> their website all around. Cannot find any mention at all
>> of any "communications officer" or the name "Robeson."
>
>Gone. And forgotten.

Maybe Dud got a medical discharge from TN State Guard? And
it turned into an "honorable?"

>> >How about a white dress and white shoes?
>>
>> Not until WE have "confirmation," Brian... :-)
>>
>> Temper fry.
>>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
>Does the reformed church have "confirmation?"

Reform Judaism has "mitzvah" for both genders. If Dudly wore
a white dress would he also have to have a white yarmulke?
Just wonderin'...cross-dressing and all that...

Oy veh!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1636. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:57:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0sRef.2162$s14.377@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124201

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 16 Nov 2005 22:18:46 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <afOef.1498$jI5.1412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> <snip>
>> Steve is my master. I hear and obey only Steve. I protect him from harm.
>> If Steve want's me to sound like a moron then I will sound like the
>> dumbest moron ever. Who was it that I was supposed to rescue?
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>
> You just earned your doggie treat for today.

The best you can do is to forge something and pretend that I wrote it?
I see why you had problems in the Corps.

Dave K8MN

# 1637. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 01:58:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132192731.003244.50680@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124202

From: Frank Gilliland on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:10

>On 16 Nov 2005 07:02:10 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

<snip>

>>> he would be required to own them in the Army
>>
>> Having never served in the Army, I never had that problem.
>>
>> The two sets of dress blues I owned while in the Marine Corps were
>>issued to me, free of charge. A "perk" of assigned duties.
>
>More bullshit from Major Dud [sigh]. Uniforms in the Corps are never
>issued "free of charge", assigned duties or not. You get a small
>clothing allowance each month but it's not nearly enough to maintain
>the minimum required uniforms, let alone pay for dress blues. And from
>the time I joined the Corps to this very day I think I have seen only
>two sets of used dress blues for sale -- the uniform means too much to
>a Marine to buy them second-hand, and for the same reason they are
>rarely ever sold or given away even after discharge. Heck, I still
>have mine hanging in the closet next to my float jacket. Yet Dudly
>claims he "owned" (past tense) not one but two sets? Pure bullshit.

Strange that Dudly has not posted - and bragged about - any
photo of him wearing his dress blues. So far, what has been
uncovered about Dudly are the following uniform pictures:

1. Snapshot of him in hospital scrubs, not fully identified.
Civilian clothing.

2. Photo of him in cammies while with the Tennessee STATE
militia. [green camoflage utility uniform with boots]
No longer seen.

3. Semi-portrait of him in a flight suit bearing Captain's
cloth bars...flight suit NOT identified as USAF. Could
be obtained at store at/near any large airport.

If Dudly has "two sets" of dress blues, why NO photograph of
him in either one? No snapshot, no portrait, NOTHING.

Not as an active-duty Marine, not as a Marine Reservist...
You are right, Dudly speak with fertilized tongue.

We didn't get any nice formal portrait photo in dress
uniform free in the 1950s, even if in a Signal Corps unit

that had a photographic group. Never cost a great deal
and I paid for mine in the summer uniform. Like it might
cost all of two bucks today for a good snapshot and drugstore
enlargement of old film, Kinkos or Office Depot service
available for digitizing one for a few dollars. <shrug>

=====

In the "old Army" I was in of the 1950s, I don't recall any
clothing allowance. Us soldiers got issued "fatigues" (our
name for utility uniform), olive-drab "winter" uniform,
khaki "summer" uniform, socks, minimal underwear, boots,
and "low-quarters" (dress shoes). Patches, insignia of
rank, were free but no allowance for sewing them on...nearly
all posts had concessionaire tailor facilities that would do
that at low cost. While we got the "overseas" cap free, we
would all buy a better version in the PX. [indelicate name
for that "overseas" cap that would offend pansies here]
Supply Clerk kept records on our minimum allowance for free
clothing...destruction beyond normal wearability re-issue
had to have CO approval or it was a "statement of charges"
pay deduction. As a field test engineer at a military
place, there were few needs for "uniform" clothing and,
when that was required, civilian contractor personnel had
to sign for it, then return it. If damaged the contractor
had to pay for it.

We could wear a FULL dress uniform ("pinks and greens"
approved by regulation...same tailoring as commissioned
officers)...IF we bought it ourselves. Since few of us
enlisted types went to embassy balls or veddy formal
parties, having a set of enlisted man pinks and greens
was VERY rare. One of my classmates at our 2001 50th
High School Reunion had gone Army for Thirty, came to the
Reunion dinner in MSgt-rank pinks and greens; he had been
out for about two decades, stood out vividly in the group
of about 350. One of my good friends from high school
had also done a Thirty, but as a Warrant Officer, and came
in a civilian suit. That Reunion took place a week-plus
before the "9/11" Attack.

For stylish comfort, I shelled out some bucks to have my
fatigues tailored, the separate blouse using snaps instead
of buttons and the huge side pockets of the issue pants
made into conventional pants pockets. Was approved, by
the way. As an E-5 with overseas pay supplement, monthly
salary I got was all of $154, and all taxable. RHIP? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1638. Re: Gawd, how could I miss THIS one????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 02:01:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132192862.102652.162070@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124203

From: Frank Gilliland on Nov 15, 9:07 pm

>On 15 Nov 2005 13:17:12 -0800, LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in
>>From: Frank Gilliland on Mon 14 Nov 2005 02:39
>>>On 1 Nov 2005 22:53:47 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in

> <etc>

>> Frank, we readers have NOT seen anything at all to prove Dudly
>> was active-duty OR in the reserves at any time!
>
>True story. Now that you mentioned it, I think even a RESERVIST would
>know the difference between Military Police and Shore Patrol. Dudly
>has proven that he doesn't. But then again, one weekend each month
>doing bends-&-thrusts doesn't build much of a knowledge base, nor does
>it reinforce what little he was taught 30 years ago while standing on
>the yellow footsteps.

Surprising on his lack of attention. Even a long-ago Army person
would know the difference between MP and SP...and AP. :-)

AP is Air Police to the non-serving veterans of the Code War. :-)

>I intentionally used the Gacy analogy for a reason. Examine, if you
>will, the following list of Dudly's interests and behaviors. A common
>thread should become glaringly apparent:
>
>1) His 'career' in the USMC;
>2) His marital dysfunctions;
>3) His 'hobby-turned-career' of nursing;
>4) His interest in CAP and YAF;
>5) His frequent fits of transference;
>6) His history of accusing people of being pedophiles.
>
>How much you wanna bet he used to hang out at the YMCA?

All bets off on that! :-)

Frank, put that way, it should be glaringly obvious to all readers.

>>>> I've proven you to be a liar.
>
>>>If there's one thing that's lacking in public schools these days it's
>>>the failure to teach critical thinking skills.
>
>> Nah. Dud is just trying to turn things around with his very old
>> trick of personal insulting in order to win message points.

>
>Who's handing out those "message points"? Dave?

Davie Heil, der UberOberst uf das Amatur Schutzstaffel?!?

BWAHAHAHAHAHHA!!!!!!!

Davie be Der Obliterator, busy busy with his biggest, blackest
Sanford Sharpie trying to STRIKE OUT any contrary opinions to
his lofty opinions...from his vast experience in the embassies'
of mid-Africa "synchronizing" his RTTY machines using morse
code. [see long discourses on his adventures in Guinea-Bisseau
keeping a close watch on nasty commies in neighboring countries]

>> I would suggest a VERY long time in mental health counseling.
>> He needs it.
>
>Mental health counseling is little more than professional coddling,
>and I'm sure he spends quite a bit of time in therapy for just that
>reason. What he NEEDS is a good ass-kicking.

I was thinking of modern medications, new jacket tailoring,
and a padded apartment for "mental counseling"... :-)

>> Frank, my take is that Dudly was NEVER in the USMC. It
>> sounds like he READ about it a lot, wanted to be in,
>> could not get in, then flipped out. He went nuts,
>> unable to reconcile his desires with reality.
>
>He was in the USMC alright, and he was a reservist. We all know he has
>been tight-lipped about his service because he didn't want to expose
>the truth about his service. And we also know that he lied about his
>"retirement". But what you may not know is that he wasn't discharged
>for medical reasons, either. He simply quit. And not voluntarily, I
>might add. Too bad he quit -before- Clinton's "don't ask, don't tell"
>policy.....

Hmmm...that's rather cryptic...but it seems to fit.

The homosexuality angle might be there but it's difficult to
tell. Down here in the movie capital of the world, one in
five in the entertainment biz are homosexual (came out in two
separate Los Angeles Times feature articles...never a secret
down here). I know several socially and it is NOT always
easy to tell their gender-preference orientation just by
meeting-talking with them. Others are overt about it.

Note the "one in five" ratio. Coincidentally, the membership
of the ARRL is also "one in five" amateur licensees! :-)

Watch the response of the Believers in here and their OUTRAGE
vented at my two sentences just above. There are connections
and then there are connections. This group isn't in the James

Burke "Connections" TV show class.

>> Frank, that is consistent with Dudly's actions in here for
>> so long. He has this mania about UNIFORMS, about RANK,
>> wanting to be an officer, a tuff guy hero type. He is
>> terribly frustrated with the reality of his life and NEEDS
>> the fantasy that he is all those things.
>
>Uniforms impress young boys.

...and there's a lot of the "young boy" inside Dudly.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1639. Frank Gilliland is an Unmitigated Liar..As If THAT Was News.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 02:26:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132194364.353395.70520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124204

Frankie of Silliland untruthfully wrote but still tried to pass off as
legitimate:
> On 16 Nov 2005 07:02:10 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132153330.635058.298300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> he would be required to own them in the Army
> > >
> > > Having never served in the Army, I never had that problem.
> > >
> > > The two sets of dress blues I owned while in the Marine Corps were
> > >issued to me, free of charge. A "perk" of assigned duties.
> >
> >
> > More bull#### from Major Dud [sigh]. Uniforms in the Corps are never
> > issued "free of charge", assigned duties or not.

Deeper sigh....

Frankie of Silliland (Mr. FoS) simply proves he IS the liar I
accuse him of being.

Marines assigned to Eighth and I, Recruiting Duty, Drill Field,
Embassy Duty and Independent Duty (ie: Active Duty Marines assigned to
the active support component of Reserve units, ROTC Instructor Duty,
duty at a military acadamy, etc...) ARE issued Dress Blues and they ARE
issued "free of charge".

And the first issue of uniforms IS issued "free of charge", and
the monies that Frankie refers to are MAINTENACE fees. There is no
assessment against the service person for their issue.

> You get a small
> clothing allowance each month but it's not nearly enough to maintain
> the minimum required uniforms, let alone pay for dress blues.

Uhhhhhh...Franikie...Unless they changed it BACK since 1992, the
clothing allowance was made a once-a-year entitlement in the late 80's.

> And from the time I joined the Corps to this very day I think I have seen only
> two sets of used dress blues for sale -- the uniform means too much to
> a Marine to buy them second-hand, and for the same reason they are
> rarely ever sold or given away even after discharge. Heck, I still
> have mine hanging in the closet next to my float jacket. Yet Dudly
> claims he "owned" (past tense) not one but two sets? Pure bull####

The bull#### is yours, Frankie.

I was assigned to Independent Duty TWICE in my career. I received TWO issues of Dress Blues. One as a Lance Corporal, the other as a Staff Sergeant.

And you know what the difference is between YOUR "dress blues" and mine, Frankie...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 1640. Uniforms And The Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 02:33:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132194818.701671.137490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124205

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> We could wear a FULL dress uniform ("pinks and greens"
> approved by regulation...same tailoring as commissioned
> officers)...IF we bought it ourselves.

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Lennie in pink.....?!?! Naaaahhhhh...

Markie? Of course. Toiddie...more than likely.

And Let's not forget Frankie...Yellow is his color.

Then there's Brain and his "blues"...The most likely of the bunch
to be even close to being accurate.

And I can't see ANY of them in anything "green" unless they fell
into the beer at a St Patty's Day bust...

Steve, K4YZ

# 1641. More Frankie of Silliland (FoS) Mistruths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 02:40:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132195227.056411.188820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124206

Frankie of Silliland intentionally lied when he wrote:

> He was in the USMC alright, and he was a reservist.

Nope. Active Duty, save for the Delayed Entry Program.

> We all know he has
> been tight-lipped about his service because he didn't want to expose
> the truth about his service. And we also know that he lied about his
> "retirement".

Nope.

> But what you may not know is that he wasn't discharged
> for medical reasons, either. He simply quit. And not voluntarily, I
> might add. Too bad he quit -before- Clinton's "don't ask, don't tell"
> policy.....

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

> Uniforms impress young boys.

It impressed you.

Too bad it didn't impress you enough to honor your commitment and
stay out of trouble for ONE enlistment, Frankie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1642. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 02:44:48 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132195488.891491.238630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124207

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 16 Nov 2005 15:04:27 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132182267.172918.284940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> > Cute, coming from a twice court martialed, dishonorably discharged
>
> Sorry Dud, you lose again:

I haven't lost YET, Frankie.

> My first court-martial was a special and
> the second was a summary. A dishonorable discharge wasn't even on the
> table.

You dishonored your country, the Marines and your own family name,
Frankie.

> >ex-Marine who's major passtime is to bounce in Markie's lap saying
> >"Tell me another lie, Pinnochio! Tell me another one!"
>
> Interesting imagery, full of symbolism. You should discuss this with
> your therapist.

You should get one yourself...It will help you deal with your
dishonesty and dishonor issues, Frankie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1643. Re: FRANK SILLILAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 02:55:23 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132196123.680358.167870@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124208
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.homosexuality

HEY........

I LIKE SCREWING FRANKIE UP HIS ASS!
Steveo wrote:
> notice that cocksucker got run out of rec.radio.cb now is hanging
> here.
>
> he's just pissed that nobody wants his old worn out asshole anymore.

## 1644. sorry silliland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 03:03:50 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132196629.976363.63260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124209
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,alt.california,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hey frankie.....steveo didn't mean it for real!!

me and steveo will pound that juicy ass of yours!

even though you really weren't a marine we'll bang your fuck-box anyway. steveo says marines do give the best blow jobs,though.steveo has been fucking a marine at the petro for a year now.....says his ass is very juicy!

# 1645. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 03:09:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132196941.737301.87320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124210

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35

> ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> >>> OTOH the If the ProCoders have got something new they need to shouting
> >>> it off the roof top so the FCC might hear and consider this new point
> >>
> >> Why mention it here?
> > >
> > >Why not. The comment phase and reply comment phase is over.
> > >Truth is, however, that if there was anything that could have
> > >been described as new, even if it wasn't compelling, odds are we'd
> > >have heard it already via ARRL (QST), Len A., or someone else.
> >
> > The "new point" allegedly against the NPRM was raised repeatedly:
> >
> > "Morse code skill is necessary to defeat terrorists and save
> > lives in hurricanes [Katrina]!"

Who wrote that, Len? You write as if it's a direct quote.
>
> Not a valid reason but it was warm and fuzzy to pro-coders. :-)

> >> FCC has no set deadline to produce the R&O. Given the large number of
> >> comments, reply comments and other filings to consider, it will not be
> >> a quick process. Remember that FCC doesn't just have to read the
> >> comments - they
> >> also have to decide which arguments are most compelling, cite them, and
> >> justify their decision.
> > >
> > >Unless you expect a new set of FCC responses to all the pre-existing
> > >morse test arguments, the FCC's job of jusifying their decision is simply
> > >a restatement of the R&O code test responses from 98-143 (IMHO).
> > >Even the reciprocal license argument isn't a big deal to resoond to since
> > >not one examply appears to even exist AND there's no treaty
> > >requirement that calls for any reciprocal licensing in any case.
> >
> > Bill, the pro-coders are mighty macho motivated morsemen and
> > pillars of the amateur community (by their own statements).
> > They ARE the "public" the FCC is supposed to support! :-)

Len, do you think phrases like "mighty macho morsemen" help
convince the FCC to see things your way?

> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."

Not true, Len.

The Amateur Extra class license required both a Morse Code test *and* a
written test that many who passed both consider harder than the old
First Phone. Morse Code skill alone wouldn't get anyone an Extra.

> Radiotelegraphy
> got set up as the epitome of all amateur radio skills through
> constant bombardment by the [u-know-who] membership organization.

Wrong again, Len. The Extra did not come about because of the ARRL. And
the original 1963 "incentive licensing" proposal from ARRL would have
given all amateur privileges to Advanced as well as Extra class
amateurs.

> Radiotelegraphy skill meant the telegraphers got the maximum
> perquisites in rank-status-privilege.
> Now the pro-coders are
> royally pissed because "all their hard work" isn't going to
> mean dink in this NEW world.

Do you think nobody will use Morse Code when the test is gone?

> They demand holding fast to the
> status quo lest they lose THEIR self-esteem.

Gee, Len, you go on about others' motivations but say nothing about
your own.

> >> On top of that is the fact that while the majority of commenters
> >> support
> >> removing the code test for General, the majority of commenters also
> >> support keeping the code test for Extra.
> >
> >That majority is a very thin one.
>
> There is *NO* Real Majority in the Docket.

Yes, there is. Try counting by commenters and not by total filings.

> As of 2 PM EST, 16 November, there are 3,783 filings in Docket
> 05-235 up to and including 14 November 2005. At BEST, the
> total number of filings represents only about 0.6 percent of
> all licensed United States radio amateurs. That's only a
> SAMPLING of the "amateur community" opinion.

I specifically wrote "majority of commenters".

> Joe Speroni is an unabashed proponent of morse code use

So am I.

Is there something wrong with Morse Code *use*?

> and
> does not claim statistician training or experience (despite what
> Robert Rightsell said on 14 November), yet Jimmie keeps on
> stating "a majority wants code testing to stay" by virtue of
> nebulous interpretation by Speroni. Speroni's "55 percent" is
> waved like it is some ABSOLUTE TRUTH, almost a Divine Word.

No, just the facts. All there for you to check. Did you find any
mistakes
that would change the results by even 1%?

Or are you just ticked that someone else did a better analysis than
you,
and had the skills to put it on a website for all to see?

> Pfaugh. Speroni SPIN.

Where?

Do you think that someone who files a comment and five reply comments,
all basically saying the same thing, should be counted as six separate
opinions? I don't.

> The usual biased pro-coder merry-go-
> round spinning, making only the pro-coders dizzy.
>
> There's *NO* Real Majority in Docket 05-235. It is just a
> very close, half-and-half mix of opinions.

Nope. There's two clear majorities of opinion, as expressed by the
tally of commenters: Dump Element 1 for General and keep it for Extra.

> The Commission
> is stuck without the slightest trace of "consensus in the
> amateur community" of opinion on this highly-polarized issue.

Consensus isn't a majority. It's a lot more. I don't think you know
what
a consensus really is, Len.

> The Commission expressed a desire to see a "consensus" at the
> beginning of those 18 Petitions' comments 2003-2004 but it
> didn't get any.

That "consensus" statement is lot older than 2003.
>
> >> So if FCC wants to remove the
> >> code test for Extra, they have to justify ignoring what the majority
> >> wants.
> >
> >Not so, the FCC is in no way duty bound to view the comments as

> >a "vote" with a majority vs minority outcome. Why Jim,
> >even you have made that point on more than one occasion.
>
> Jimmie will pick ANY little bit to rationalize HIS opinion
> of something and has done so repeatedly. Then he turns
> right around and "blames" the Commission, pointing out
> some biased "majority" as representing the PUBLIC if a
> decision goes against him.

Wrong yet again, Len.

> >Once again, I ask you to look at past FCC statements that
> >have already addressed the need for ANY code testing.
> >98-143 R&O retained only a 5 wpm test based solely on
> >the existing ITU treaty requirement. That treaty requirement
> >is toast now and with its removal the last legitamate procode
> >test argument went with it (IMHO).
>
> So be it. That the IARU was already for tossing out S25.5
> before WRC-03 isn't considered by pro-coders. CHANGE is
> NOT allowed to status-quo-ists. <shrug>

Like those who oppose changes in nearby real estate?

> >> The R&Os from FCC are carefully worded, and that sort of thing takes
> >> a bunch of time.
> >
> >R&O for 98-143 took several months.
>
> About 10 1/2 months between the end of the official commentary
> period on 98-143 and the issuance of 99-412 Report & Order.
> The Commission had about 2200 filings to consider for their
> decision.

Now they have a lot more to read.

> > This NPRM is far more
> >concise in the changes it proposed and shouldn't (logically) take as
> >long..
>
> I'd say it's a toss-up on time. 05-235 has nearly twice as
> many filings as 98-143.

So what's your guess for The Pool, Len?

> The Commission has to do SOMETHING
> on editorial changes to regulations in regards to allocations
> of operating frequency privileges for Technicians.

Why?

FCC made it clear they see no reason to change the privileges of
any license class. Their proposal is to dump Element 1, which will

mean that any noncodetested Tech will need to get a General to get
*any* HF/MF privileges.

> Other than
> that, the main issue of code testing go/no-go is quite simple.

Sure - just make no change ;-)

> Different set of conditions on speculating on decision time.
>
> >>> However the Govt is not known for its logical behavoir
> >>
> >> I don't think you understand "logical", Mark.
> >
> >Heck, none of us understand government logic :-)
>
> Sorry, the term "logical" doesn't apply there to any three of
> you. Governmental decisions are biased by POLITICAL needs,
> not "logic." Those that don't get what they want will try to
> enoble themselves as "logical" as if they are the good guys.
> That's a misuse of word definitions.
>
> What WILL happen on a very close race is that about half will
> be totally pissed off because they didn't get things their
> way...and about half will feel victorious as "winners."

Will you ever stop being ticked off, Len?

> What really happens - as it does in all Reports and Orders -
> is that the Commission DECIDES, making a long, laborious set
> of reasons for their decision with all appropriate references
> (appropriate as they see fit). Once a decision is reached, it
> becomes part of LAW...until it is changed again some time in
> the future. From what I've seen of past Dockets and resulting
> R&Os, the Commission does a thorough job of decision
> justifications, not just on amateur radio but on all services.

Like they did on BPL?

> Those that didn't get what they want will bitch and moan and
> make nasty but that's only "sore-loser-ism" on their part.

You mean like in your reply comments?

> The pro-coders have had Their Way for a long time and they
> feel They are "the public" or "more public" than others.
> They want "Theirs" just like They've always had it. The
> REAL Public can't be seen by them. The Commission tries
> harder to "look" at what the REAL Public wants...and that is
> a good thing in my mind.

BPL?

# 1646. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 04:30:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132201823.693474.261780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124211

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
> >> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
> >> "egregious" or "insulting".
>
> Poor baby. Does Davie think that all against the code test
> are equivalent to "two-year-olds refusing peas at dinner"
> as Robert Rightsell did?

And once again Lennie digs in with personal attacks...Yet INSISTS
that he doesn't do such things...

> >That's just typical Len behavior, Dave. Check the reply comments about
> >ARRL, and the one about Extra Class licenses, etc.
>
> Pro-coders are BEYOND REPROACH!!!
>
> WOE TO ALL WHO TALK BACK TO PRO-CODERS!!!

Poor redirect. Strong on "victimization" twist.

> >What Len fails to understand is that such carryings-on are simply
> >delaying The R&O.
>
> Whoa! "Commissioner Miccolis" is WARNING everyone?

Why not?

YOU do...

> Jimmie, when you start working for GOVERNMENT as "official
> watch-dog?"
>
> You are barking up the wrong tree. Pizz on it.

More profanity.

> >> Your organ grinder pal hasn't yet taken the
> >> first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
> >> many years.
> >
> >Actually that's not quite true, Dave.
>

> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I took my "first baby steps" in 1953, walking
> into a large HF transmitter facility, working there for the
> next three years. Started at age 20.

And never got past it.

> My other "first baby steps" were getting (passed in one
> sitting) a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) Radio
> Operator license at an FCC Field Office in Chicago, 90
> miles away. Did that at age 23...in 1956.

And there it languished...Until, of course, he "let it exprire" as
a GROL in October 2000...

> >Some months back, Len mentioned here that he had once, way back
> >in the 1950s, set about learning Morse Code. Claimed he'd actually
> >gotten up to about 6-8 wpm or so before deciding all the 'hard work'
> >wasn't worth it. That was just about the time 27 MHz cb came along,
> >and he jumped on that.
>
> WRONG. MISTAKE. ERROR.

Nope. On the head. Accurate.

> Class D Citizens Band was created in 1958. I got a CB License
> (NO TEST) in 1959. Was working for Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation
> then and had just transferred from the Electronics Warfare
> Group to the Standards Laboratory.

They needed janitors there too, it seems...

> I thought to give AMATEUR radio a shot in 1962, three years
> LATER from obtaining a CB license. By then I'd already had
> electronics design engineering responsibility and title at
> Micro-Radionics, Inc., in Van Nuys, CA, and a First Phone
> for 6 years and had worked among 40+ HF transmitters at one
> station for 3 years in the U.S. Army. Like I "need" to
> study "ham radio theory" for any AMATEUR license exam? No.
> I'd never had any morse code training anywhere then, no
> freebie classes in the service. Was NOT needed.

Obviously they ARE needed...Even with "point-and-click"
searchable archives at yor fingertips, you STILL screw up on a regular
basis in this forum on AMATEUR RADIO issues.

> Got a reel-to-reel dupe of some code practice records and
> started in with those in the "off-hours" when not doing
> home work from 6 units a semester night class college
> courses and playing with my first wife. Now WHICH do you
> think had priority, prudey Jimmie?

WHOA! Six units a semester night, Lennie...?!?!

YOU told us that it took you FOURTEEN YEARS to obtain that degree,
Lennie.

So WHAT were you doing in between...?!?!

> So, in 1962, as a staff engineer at MRI working on radar
> and aircraft radionavigation test sets (up to Ku Band on
> the A-6 Intruder flight deck test set) with some years of
> HF and MF transmitter experience, the CB set in the sports
> car already "getting dusty" and having worked with lots of
> rather advanced technology DoD contract equipment...I am
> SUPPOSED TO SHOW ETHICAL AND MORAL "FIBER" by learning
> morse code?!?!?

No...You were supposed to learn Morse Code in order to get the
Amateur Radio license you claim you had an interest in getting.

So now, even if we accept your "1962" time frame as a basis for a
start, that makes 43 years since you were first involved in the
licensing process.

No wonder you're "retired from regular hours"...You never could
get anything done in any sort of REASONABLE timeframe!

> >What I think happened is this: Len discovered that unlike "book learning",
> >he didn't pick up on the Morse Code in a few quick lessons. For him it
> >took some 'hard work' to learn, and that conflicted with his view of himself
> >as a 'professional in radio-electronics'. Not only that, but learning Morse
> >Code would not help Len 'PROFESSIONALLY' - there was no money
> >reward waiting. So he gave up.
>
> There was NO INTELLECTUAL REWARD "waiting," sweetums. Was
> lots and lots and lots more of real, live technology to
> learn everywhere in electronics. Still is.

Yep.

And those of us with an Amateur Radio license actually get to USE
some of it on a regular basis.

> Why the fork was I "supposed" to GO BACK IN TIME on radio?

Seems you can't GO FORWARD IN TIME with it, now can you?

> Jimmie, you poor iggorant soul...(SNIP)

More references to "Jimmie", ...and still not one "Lennie" from
him.

And what's an "iggorant" soul, Lennie...?!?!

You're not getting MAD are you? You know WHO tells us that typos
are ANGER issues unresolved... ! ! ! !

> (UNSNIP)...even in 1962, the USE of
> morse code ANYWHERE was already DECREASING....(SNIP)

More excuses.

> Damn RIGHT there was NO MONEY in it....(SNIP)

I've been a licensed Amateur for over 30 years and haven't made a
penny at it...MAKING money wasn't the point.

And it's THE point as to why you continue to look so idiotic in
trying to cross the two, Lennie...This is NOT "commercial" radio.

> There was NO INTELLECTUAL reward in it.

Ahhhhhhhhh! ONLY SOMEONE GETTING >>>PAID<<< can find any
"intellectual reward" in radio!

> I couldn't start planning a
> family in a house or a future in aerospace by learning
> morse code for an AMATEUR HOBBY activity.

LAME ! ! !

So freakin' LAME!

So...How do you explain away all those people who DO have
"careers", families, obligations, etc, that STILL have time for
"AMATEUR HOBBY" activity, Lennie...?!?!

> Sorry, Jimmie,
> but morsemanship skills in 1962 didn't help me pay a
> mortgage...didn't help me buy food...didn't help pay for
> clothes or, later, doctor and hospice bills to come,
> though I didn't know that until after...

LAME LAME LAME LAME LAME LAME ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

"20/20 Hindsight EXCUSES" that are nothing BUT excuses!

> Yeah, like morse code was "cutting-edge technology" and
> "excellence in radio" in 1962? Bull####.

The bull#### is YOURS Lennie ! !

YOU have ALREADY CLAIMED in several posts that you DID learn the
Morse Code, and to a speed that would have at least carried you
throught the then-exisiting Technician license!

You CLAIM that you earned a First Phone ticked well before you did
THAT!

All that an engineer of your ALLEGED caliber would have needed
were the pertinent regulations for the radio service in which licensure
was sought.

> You don't
> know that because you weren't yet the great big EXTRA
> super special AMATEUR license until long after and were
> still a kiddie then. Hell, you couldn't even hack the

> one extra test element for a FIRST 'Phone much later
> and settled for SECOND Class. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Tsk tsk tsk on yet ANOTHER >>>LAME<<< redirect, Lennie!

And in the face of a guy who DID learn Morse Code while starting a
carrer in engineering, starting a family, etc etc etc!

> >> He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
> >> radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.
> >
> >Which would lead any logical person to wonder why he's so interested
> >in amateur radio regulations. He won't say *why* all this matters so
> >much to him.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Jimmie make "plain, simple mistake." I've already
> written (it is all still in Google) that it might be FUN to
> get into amateur radio. I've already written that it is an
> enjoyable hobby pastime shared by thousands. Why do you
> disagree with that or say I never wrote it?

Because you've never DONE it.

You whine about it.

You rant about it.

You pontificate about it.

You insult those who DO do it.

I guess the point now, is, who would WANT you in it?

> Let's see...I am retired from a successful career (from regular
> hours, I still do contract work IF I want to) in electronics
> design engineering, have a fine house (all paid for), have a
> wonderful wife (who was also my high school sweetheart), have
> had enough TITLES and POSITIONS to satisfy me, half century,
> a comfortable income to handle easy living now.

Ahhhhhhhhhh...but "titles and postitions" are things to be LOATHED
and held in SUSPICION, Lennie! You've said so yourself!

Another LIE by the grnadmaster of the lie?

> I do NOT NEED TO PROVE ANYTHING by getting more TITLES, more
> certificates suitable for framing.

Gee...Neither do we.

However I bet you have all of your "comments", "reply comments",
and "reply to reply comments" in a nice little notebook in "document
protectors", also suitable for framing.

I am sure you are "shocked and awed" by your brilliance.

> I do not need my friends
> and neighbors to come over and marvel at my cutting-edge radio
> technology of homebuilt tube kludges designed in the 1990s; we
> talk about other things and are friendly.

And I am sure the two of you have LOT'S to talk about.
Lessee...there's your participation in disaster relief...Oh
wait...There's all those "professionals" who do that...No need YOU
getting involved. You filled some sandbags in 72 or 74...Good for you.

OK...so there's your participation in General Aviation..Oh
wait...You never carried THAT to fruition either. Took a couple of
lessons, never soloed, then "settled' for copying the reports off of
ATIS or listening to LAX on your scanner.

Guess you can discuss your model aircraft building hobby...But
WAIT! That technology is rooted in the 30's and 40's
too...Hmmmm....We're running out of topics here. Not to mention no
"intellectual reward" just to make a model go around and round....

I suppose we'll have to discuss your background in healthcare...

Ooops...Yet ANOTHER field in which you've wandered but never got a
map for.

Well then...How about a good round of "show me the grandkid's
pictures..."...?!?! Oh wait...Hmmmmmmmmmmm.....No kids, so no
GRANDkids. There's yet ANOTHER one-way street for ya, Lennie.....

I guess that leaves the 13,768th re-telling of the "ADA
Story"...?!?!

> I have ONE sole-
> inventor patent and a nice plaque from RCA Corporation which
> I NO LONGER display on the wall like a trophy.

Why?

Are you not proud of it?

Or finally realize that no one believed it was your "sole" work in
the first place...?!?!

> My wife has
> THREE degrees, one BA, two MSs, and she doesn't need those
> displayed on the wall; those are in storage up in Puget Sound
> area weren't on display in the northern house.

So...You both have things that you have allegedly accomplished but
are too ashamed of to let folks see the fruits of your labors?

> We are secure in ourselves.

She may be, but you're anything BUT "secure".

> We've "done it" and DON'T need to brag, don't
> need more pretty certificates suitable for framing. The
> FUTURE is just ahead and we are ENJOYING that. Why don't you
> like others enjoying life as THEY prefer?

B I N G O ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Lennie, why don't YOU take your OWN COUNSEL...?!?!?

You claim you didn't get an Amatuer Radio license due to a lack of
"intellectual" or "pecuniary" reward, yet you've littered the Internet
for almost TEN years with your "Why I Hate Amateur Radio" bufoonery!

You VERY OBVIOUSLY have a need to force Amatuers to fit YOUR mold,
not the other way around!

> Jimmie, what of YOU? You talk as if making money is some kind
> of evil, capitalistic running-dog kind of thing. Are you
> some kind of closet commie? A secret socialist? Or are you
> just resentful that someone else has something you don't have?

He's done nothing of the sort...Just pointed out that YOUR
frequent insistance that

> Jimmie, WHY do YOU and Diplomacy-Not Davie want to keep U.S.
> amateur radio REGRESSED?

Why do you LIE about the Amateur Radio service being "regressed"?

Ironic you'd say such a thing yet not one word from you on the
bandwidth-vs-mode discussions that I recall...Just more "Mighty
Morsemen" sleights.

> Why do YOU want to hold the status quo forever and ever?

The ONLY "forever and ever" here comes from YOU, Your Lyingness.

> You are NOT custodian of archaic radio arts.

This meant something?

> You've NEVER worked in 24/7 long-haul HF radio traffic services.

And YOU did...?!?!

24/7...No Sleep? No breaks? No liberty, parades, marches or
leave?

YOU did it ALL BY YOURSELF?

And please, Lennie...TELL US how that MAINTENANCE MOS of yours put
you in a position to "handle" even the FIRST PIECE of traffic!

I thought that's what CommCenter clerks were for.

> You've apparently NEVER done any radio activity outside of HF.

You've apparently never done ANY radio activity within the scope
of what Radio Amateurs do...Oh, you've caused RF to be radiated before,
but you were not a radio operator in the Armed Forces and with the
exception of a CB radio, you've not been a "radio operator" in the
civilian world...Oh, you've caused RF to be radiatied, but hey, so do
30 million Americans with a microwave or garage door opener

> You never got a FIRST 'Phone even though you
> claim to be "so educated" and that extra exam element is NOT
> any intellectual challenge.

A commercial ticket is a HAVE to do if you intend to work in REAL
"professional radio", Lennie. (And BTW...It's been the GROL for 30
years now...Feel free to catch up any time you're ready...)

> You aren't, and never were, any
> radio regulating person in government...yet you make out like
> you "KNOW" things they do.

So do YOU, Lennie...Witness your copy-cat style of comment/reply
comment filings.

I am sure that you figure that if it LOOKS like a duck they won't
hear the whinings of a two year old in the text.

> Hell, you've never had PRIDE in
> what you work at at work and try to keep your employer a
> big, dark secret...you never talk about it except in very
> vague descriptions and implications.

And let you engage on yet another non-germane rant of yours...?!?!

> Jimmie, are you a merry masochist or a chary control-freak,
> insisting that it is "morally wrong" to go against morse
> code testing? You are really resentful and unarguably
> antagonistic against all who "haven't done it like you did
> it." WHY does everyone have to do it like you did it?
> Why are you holding back uncountable newcomers of the future?

YOU hold more back than Jim had ever thought of, Lennie!

You've been asked before...Here it is AGAIN! How many kids have
YOU mentored into an Amateur Radio "career", Lennie...Heck, into ANY
discipline of electronics...?!?!

Any...?!?!? Just ONE...?!?!?!

> Hobbies are supposed to be FUN, Jimmie. You don't want
> FUN? Are you in some strange "radio-Amish" cult that wants
> to stop technology and standards and practices in a HOBBY
> radio activity to the 1930s era?

Why do you persist in this blatant lie, Lennie?

> You talk and act like that.

No, he doesn't. Nor does he add an " -ie" to the names of people
who allege to NOT do that to others...Yet YOU do, Lennie...Why?

> You are not nice to those who want to change your fantasy,
> Jimmie. You insist on having it YOUR way, to "work hard"
> at a HOBBY. Maybe it's a RELIGIOUS thing to you, this
> morsemanship? We all "must" worship at the Church of St.
> Hiram and seek the Holy Key as penitants, perhaps as
> flagellants, for some "higher calling?"

Compared to YOUR 'flagellations", Lennie?

You've been at this for 43 years now...No WONDER you think everyone
else is in that time frame too!

> Not my "cuppa," Jimmie. HOBBIES are for FUN, for enjoyment.
> AMATEUR radio is a HOBBY, Jimmie.

Sheeeeeesh..

Here we go again...

Still cannot find a SINGLE reference to ANY radio service in the
United States as being created as a "hobby". Are they used as such?
Of course! But then I can use such logic to call a license in the
conventional public service pool as a "hobby license" too!

> No matter what YOUR
> fantasy tells you, it is NOT vital to the nation nor is it
> the highest plane of existance of man's endeavors to become
> a mighty morseman. Really.

No one said that being a "mighty morseman" was...However your
perceptions of the the Amatuer Radio service are NOT held by the
Deaprtment of Defense, the Department of State, The Department of
Homeland Security, etc etc etc.....

> I think hobbies are FUN, Jimmie. Maybe ham radio would be
> FUN for me. It might even be FUN for thousands and thousands
> in the future. Yet, you want the FCC to keep regulations
> that makes a HOBBY activity "hard work" or some odd kind of
> "competitive sports" with attendant titles and privileges
> as if in a feudal state. You want to be SUPERIOR through
> morsemanship. SUPERIOR to all others with rank-title-status
> in a HOBBY. All bow down to your extra-class 20 WPM lordship?

You'd have us bowing down to your 1950's era rear-area-radio
mechanic lordship, Lennie...

> I'm "just asking questions," Jimmie.

Me too.

Why don't you treat yourself to a REAL mental health
provider...?!?! Not that correspondence school nitwit you married.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1647. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 04:32:02 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132201922.168577.34650@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124212

Whatever, BTW I noticed we now have 3 members on demented otaku bbs and
15 post hehehe

Todd
Demented Otaku BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

# 1648. Re: FRANK SILLILAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 04:33:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132202031.794749.26810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.amateur,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.homosexuality

Steveo wrote:
> notice that ####sucker got run out of rec.radio.cb now is hanging
> here.
>
> he's just pissed that nobody wants his old worn out ###hole anymore.

Seems there's a whole bunch of places Frankie's managed to get
himself run out of...

Starting with the Marines and two court martials.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1649. Trashy Toiddie And The Cartoon Pedophile Network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 04:38:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132202296.935872.186970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124214

N9OGL wrote:
> Whatever, BTW I noticed we now have 3 members on demented otaku bbs and
> 15 post hehehe

Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....THREE perverts in ONE place!

Aren't you lucky, Toiddie!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1650. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 04:47:21 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132202841.733510.280020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124215

Dave Heil wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Wed, 16 Nov 2005 22:18:46 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> > in <afOef.1498$jI5.1412@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >
> > <snip>
> >> Steve is my master. I hear and obey only Steve. I protect him from harm.
> >> If Steve want's me to sound like a moron then I will sound like the
> >> dumbest moron ever. Who was it that I was supposed to rescue?
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> > You just earned your doggie treat for today.
>
> The best you can do is to forge something and pretend that I wrote it?
> I see why you had problems in the Corps.

I see that several of the "rec.radio.cb" guys are relieved to have
Frankie's attentions diverted to another forum.

Seems Frankie just can't seem to get along anywhere. He couldn't
hack being a Marine. The CBers don't want him, and all he's done in
here is try to endear himself to the Feeble Five...Like THAT'S
something to be proud of...

Now...BLATANT lies.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1651. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 04:54:43 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132203283.948842.133370@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124216

From: "Bill Sohl" on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 00:55

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> > an old friend wrote:
>>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:

>>> Truth is, however, that if there was anything that could have
>>> been described as new, even if it wasn't compelling, odds are we'd
>>> have heard it already via ARRL (QST), Len A., or someone else.
>>
>> I don't think anyone at ARRL is reading all the comments.
>
>I suspect that Chris Imlay has a hard copy of every comment
>filed which he has already reviewed.

If his law firm is any good they WILL have ALL of them for
reference. :-)

>> Len isn't a reliable source.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...Jimmie is being nasty again. :-)

>>Despite his claim that he read and understood
>> all the comments, he didn't know that someone else was reading all the
>> comments too, and posting the results online for all to see.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...Joe Speroni's website is "official" even though
he is an extremely-biased PRO-CODE advocate?

>>Even though the URL was on the first page of the comments,
>>he missed it completely.

To those of us accessing the FCC ECFS as our only immediate
source of information on Docket filings, the Comments are
NOT on "pages" but are filed according to date.

>Didn't you forget the "IMHO" in regard to your opinion
>of Len's analysis.

Jimmie NEVER forgets, Bill. He is like an effluent. :-)

Jimmie is the "renowned amateur historian" in here, by his own
admission. He is working mightily to be Law Giver. :-)

Jimmie is so highly biased on issues that he defies classes,
beyond Class C, even beyond Class F. :-)

Ho hum...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1652. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 04:56:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132203395.840140.247530@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Wed 16 Nov 2005 17:04

>K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>> > Why is it that Frank spends a few years in the Marine Corps and knows
>> > the lingo, you spend >>>almost<<> > stumble around for the names of radio gear that you supposedly used,
>> > and stumble around using Army phrases instead of Marine phrases, claim
>> > that sailors don't cuss (HIHIHI), etc, etc, etc???
>> >
>> > Frank pegged you for what you are.
>>
>> Frankie pegged nothing, Brain.
>
>Frank pinned the tail on the ass. Bray a little bray for me, Steve
>Marie...

...that's MAJOR Steve Marie to you! :-)

>> Frankie's misrepresentation of the truth about some terminology
>> is, on the face of it, ludicrous. Lame at best, and laughable that
>> in-the-rear-with-the-gear guys such as yourself and Lennie are
>> impressed by his rantings.
>
>There you go with the "REMF" stuff again. Typical bully behavio[u]r.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. The guy who has NO DD-214 and went from
some kind of enlisted person to a "commissioned" Major
is still trying to snow job us. Unbelievable. Unbelievably
POOR con man!

>Tell us about an avionics maintenence tech getting hauled out on not
>one, but seven hostile missions. Hi! Hi, hi, hi!

er..."hostile ACTIONS." More NON-specific that way. :-)

>> First of all, where's this tale about "...names of radio gear you
>> supposedly used" come from? Lennie's tried that rant for years and now
>> Frankie picked up on it.
>
>Too bad you didn't.

Major Dudly Dumshit has NEVER ONCE mentioned specific radio
gear on any of his hell-icopters he "serviced."

I already know a few and can look up a lot more...and I was
never directly involved with USMC as a civilian engineer.

>> It never happened.
>
>Much of what you say never happened.

Right-ON and roger-that! :-)

>> It was part of Frankie's cross-dressing with Lennie.
>
>Hmmm? Still fixated on same-sex issues?

Tsk, Frank Gilliland and I live roughly a thousand miles apart.
Even though my wife and I have a house in the Puget Sound
area of Washington, Spokane is quite a bit farther east from
Kitsap County and nearby Tacoma.

"Cross-dressing?" Dudly apparently is fixated on SEX now,
getting very angry when he isn't "getting any." Tsk, tsk.

"Dress riiiight...DRESS!" "Cover down." :-)

>> And as for the "lingo", Frankie needed to be in the Corps longer.
>> His one tour, half of which was spent digging his butt out of trouble,
>> only left him with HALF the story.
>>
>> Nice try though.
>
>Gosh, it doesn't look like he tried to get out of anything.

Frank Gilliland was IN the USMC. Dudly is a WANNABE.

Frank and I disagree on Dudly. I say Dud was NEVER IN. Frank
says he was in but as a Reservist.

I have others NOT on this newsgroup who are Marines (but not
on active duty) and who I've known for years. Frank's lingo
and explanations FIT what they know first-hand.

>> > Seven hostile actions. Where and when?
>>
>> Both questions not going to be answered...Not because they are
>> fraudulent or "secret", but for the reasons we're already embroiled in
>> THIS spat..."this much" is always the catalyst for more lies and
>> accusations from your side of the fence.
>
>Gosh golly, Steve. You're such an up-front kind of guy except when it
>counts.

Fork Dudly. I think he's done...

>> Try to make as much from it as you care to do, Brain, but it's all
>> you've got to play with.
>>
>> Have fun.
>
>Rest assured that your truth will surface one day.

Until the "truth" comes out...it is SO much fun beating up
a military-wannabe, an IMPOSTER, who can't prove a damn
thing about his "service" claims. Poor sumbish.

>> > I'm sure you think it's about him. It's not.
>>
>> It IS about him. He has no credibility. He lied to his country,
>> he lied to the Corps, and now he's in here salving his "I Cudda Been A
>> Contender" ex-Marine ego by trying to "beat up" on a former SNCO since
>> this is the ONLY way he could do it and not get a third court martial.
>
>Like you bully people here?
>
>You're starting to get a victim complex, aren't you?

The paranoia is building in Dudly. Keep watching this blog.

>> > Already been this year. DD-214. You make a copy, sanitize SSN, DOB,
>> > scan it and post it instead of that dumpy photo of you on QRZ. Post a
>> > message here, then take it down after 24 hours. Then put up a photo of
>> > you with an Gold Oakleaf on each shoulder.
>>
>> Nope.
>
>You probably don't have a DD-214.

Until I mentioned it years ago (DD-214), I don't think Dudly KNEW
what it was! Hans did, you did, even Larry Roll. No-servers like
Miccolis and Kelly wouldn't have known, no problem there.

Meanwhile, Frank and I will continue to argue on Dudly's REAL
"service", disagreeing only in a minor way.

Dudly ought to change his "sign off" to Semper Pirate-us. :-)

# 1653. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 04:58:28 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132203508.038714.120680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124218

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Wed 16 Nov 2005 16:16

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 4:52 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >From: Frank Gilliland on Sat 12 Nov 2005 11:57

>> >What are the odds of being in a single hostile action if you've never
>> >been deployed?
>> >
>> >I'm thinking zero. Now multiply that by seven.
>>
>> ...and the result is still ZERO.
>
>Quick thinking. Thanks.

Dudly tries to DIVIDE by ZERO and thereby being INFINITE in
his fantasy result. No can do.

Dudly is, in math terms, a very imaginary number. :-)

[tsk, he won't see the humor in that, but he never has...]

>> ZERO hostile actions that Dudly was "in."
>>
>> I find it singularly AMAZING that Dudly has NEVER produced a
>> single photo or snapshot of himself on "active duty" during
>> those EIGHTEEN YEARS! NO references to anyone who has one.
>
>I don't think the photo stores will process "toga party" film. But
>Polaroid did make a camera that required no processing.

Tsk, all the camera stores have neat little digital cameras
now...no film needed, just charge it up, take the shots, look
at them immediately on the LCD viewscreen.

Wife and I got a neat little combination still-movie digital
camera, MPEG compatible, for under $200 direct from maker's
website store. Took it with us on our recent 5000-mile
round-trip to Wisconsin...charged it in the car with same
charger used for the cell phone. Good resolution on both
still and motion pictures.

>> I've seen dozens and dozens of snaps of my friends and
>> acquaintences who've served in the militaries for much
>> shorter times. All the military branches sent out notices

>> and photographs of service people to their home town
>> newspapers in the past describing their current assignment
>> or "graduation" from boot camp or basic training. Papers
>> MIGHT include those in back pages as "filler" material or
>> might not depending on how much page space they had left.
>> Nonetheless, the military policy has been to do that for
>> the last half century, all branches.
>
>For all of the hundreds of thousands of servicemen and women, except
>Steve.

...even without his TWO sets of dress blues! :-)

>> >Hero Steve must have laid pretty low those 18 years.
>>
>> Shhhh...he might have been "special ops!"
>>
>> Tom Clancy may be writing him up for a new novel entitled,
>> "Clear and Present Dander," about an EMT doing HALO drops
>> into denied territory to flush out illegal dandruff drug
>> dealers threatening our hair-oin distribution.
>
>That part was made for him!

Tsk, he'd better hurry and sign with Hollywood...his hair is
going and soon there won't be any PART! :-)

>> >My single reason for staying on is that I wouldn't let these pricks
>> >(roughly the equivalent of "putz") run me off. I'm waiting for Mike
>> >Coslo to get his BBS up and running so most of the esteemed riffraff
>> >will depart. My plan is to turn off the lights, but it hinges on Coslo
>> >making a success of himself. What are the odds?
>>
>> The Coslonaut probably stuck his "BBS" into his balloon
>> payload and the BBS is now "on the threshold of space!"
>>
>> Think a combination of "Dilbert" and "Brewster Rockit, Space
>> Guy." High-tech funnies.
>
>Acme rocket company and an Unwiley Coyote comes to mind.

There's a thought! I'll run down to Warner Brothers (at the other
end of Hollywood Way, 3 miles south) and act as your agent for
this new cartoon! Too bad you couldn't come up with it sooner...
it could have been shown as a short subject with new film
"Jarhead." :-)

Funneeeee!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1654. Re: Brain Bounces Blindly Beyond Bounds of Believeability...Lennie's Lengthy Lies Littter Lat and Long Liberally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 05:28:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132205316.733919.219410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 5:03 pm

> Dudly has NOT PRODUCED A SINGLE REFERENCIBLE PROOF of his military
> or medical expertise claims in SEVEN YEARS here. Here's what we
> HAVE and all found by OTHERS:
>
> 1. State of Tennessee website listing of state licenses for
> medical people. Just a name, address, and title of "LPN,"
> an acronym for Licensed Practical Nurse.

Guess you're going to contest the validity of a formal state
website?
>
> 2. A no-longer visible description of one "brigade" of the TN
> STATE Guard describing that "brigade's" "communications
> officer," a "First Lieutenant Robeson" with a picture of him
> in field utility uniform cammies scowling into the camera.

Hmmmm....Wondering where you got that one. To the bst of my
recollection, I nver had ANY picture of me in TNSG uniform...

> 3. A text mention of a TN CAP unit mentioning their
> "communications officer," "Captain Robeson," but no photo
> of him.

Doesn't exist. Never did. I've not held a line-item billet in
CAP as a CommO since I renewed in July 2001.

> ALL the rest that's on the Internet was supplied by Dudly
> himself, written by him, no third or disinterested party.
> See his self-styled "Man of Many Talents" AOL home page. See
> his QRZ bio and picture in a flight suit (which could be bought
> at a surplus or used clothing store).

At least there's a page to look at.

Lennie brags about all his accomplishments but lied when routing e
mail and hasn't provided pic-one of ANY radio related subject matter.

> Dudly has supplied NO OTHER LIVING RADIO AMATEUR'S NAMES/
> CALLSIGNS except that of his "wife" that could be contacted
> for verification on identity/personality/behavior/etc. He
> apparently knows no one else except the correspondents in
> newsgroups.

Even more wrong.

Sheesh Lennie, are you not even going to make this even REMOTELY
challenging?

And I guess those references to military units, callsigns, dates,
places, etc were not information from which you could obtain
references...?!?!

> Dudly has used WRONG references to USMC slogans, jargon, and
> terms that would be familiar to any active-duty USMC veteran.

You're depending on Frankie of Silliland for your refrences,
Lennie.

Not very wise for an alleged engieenr to use corrupted data to
make decisons from, now is it...?!?!

Frankie was in the USMC for one infraction-infested enlsitment.

I'd take "suggestions" from him about the USMC like I would get
dating tips from Vipul about "preeti fems".

> Dudly has NEVER mentioned ANY piece of avionics equipment used
> on USMC aircraft either by official nomenclature or familiar
> name during his alleged 18-year "avionics tech career" in the
> USMC.

Is it required? Did I miss something?

It wasn't on any of my Amateur exams.

> That's unheard of in a work environment that is state-
> of-the-art in electronics; his mentions of aircraft could have
> been cribbed out of some book on aviation or a website for same.

The CH-53A and D and the OV-10A and D were anything but "state of
the art".

> The ONLY radio equipment Dudly has mentioned relative to his
> "military career" is some alleged MARS radios used at an
> Okinawan MARS station where he claimed an "ANCOIC" position.
> MARS operations would NOT be a part of the duties of any
> avionics technician, any branch, and MARS operations do not
> involve either tactical or strategic radio communications of
> the U.S. military, any branch.

Almost close to the truth, Lennie, but again, just side-swiped.

MARS operation IS "part of the duties" of a MARINE who holds the
proper b-billet MOS.

I held that MOS. Reported here before.

> >> The BEST it seems you and your Feeble comrades can seem to pull
> >> together is the persistent rantings of a semi-literate degenerate and a
> >> disgraced, dishonorably discharged ex-Marine who's ONLY valid argument

> >> is my incorrect pluralization of "court martial".
> >
> >If the truth were ever told, I think you would be the one disgraced.
> >But you can't let that little secret out, can you?
> >
> >Seven hostile actions, my ###!
>
> Dudly's "hostile actions" in the Corps were probably the cause
> of HIS termination from the USMC after 18 years, not the 20
> needed for a military pension. Dudly ORIGINALLY posted that
> he received a MEDICAL discharge...then tried to say that was
> "changed" to an Honorable...then later switched to say that he
> was terminated "due to an accident during his duty."

Lennie....Ask your doctor for a prescription for
"Meclizine"...Also called "Antivert"...

It will help you with the dizziness from all that spinning.

> The claim
> of being in "seven hostile actions" came much later than his
> claims - and claims switches - of his discharges. A terrible
> record of INCONSISTENCY which smacks of a typical con man snow
> job trying to be foisted on everyone.
>
> Medical discharges are done for mental conditions as well as
> physical conditions.

Nice try.

> >> They are the epitome of "lame".
> >
> >> You have your moments of lucidity, but they are waning.
> >
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >Waxing and waning. Lunar terms. How appropriate?
>
> Looney Toons time. BTW, Warner Brothers main buildings are just
> about three miles away from my residence.

Absolutely no doubt they are.

> I don't think they
> would accept Dudly's tales, even for the most outrageous cartoon
> show they could make. For a Halloween horror film, maybe...

If we could bottle your "Back in 53..." tales we could take a
whole generation of sleeping pills off the shelves, Lennie.

> Note the characteristic Dudly "response." Instead of providing
> REAL PROOF FOR HIMSELF, Dudly attempts to shift focus in the
> thread by engaging in personal insults of yourself. That's a

> typical diversionary tactic in these back-and-forths with
> Major Dud.

"Real proof" HAS been submitted.

Frankie of Silliland screwed up by making suggestions that he had great "insider" resources, yet can't seem to cross reference SNCO promotion boards and other meaningful USMC references...

Probably because, like you, he's a pathological liar.

> Brian, just bid Major Dud a "Semper Paratus" and a "Temper Fry"
> and forget trying to reason with him. He isn't reasonable.
> He's nuts.

I'd rather folks think of me as "nuts" than KNOWN as a liar, such as you are, Lennie.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1655. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 05:28:42 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1ymw4x@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1ymw4x@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 124225

N9OGL Wrote:
>
>
> Todd n9ogl
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
>
>

"WhoIs" Search results for: 12.156.123.39

AT&T WorldNet Services ATT (NET-12-0-0-0-1)
12.0.0.0 - 12.255.255.255
COMPUTER TECHNIQUES ACC BUSINES COMPUTER49-120 (NET-12-156-120-0-1)
12.156.120.0 - 12.156.127.255

Anyone want to speculate on how AT&T Worldnet Services (ultimate owner
of this IP) will react to the information that there is
computer-generated kiddy-porn displayed by one of their users?

--
Iitoi

# 1656. Re: Trashy Toiddie And The Cartoon Pedophile Network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 05:29:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132205354.781142.221370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124220

>Ohhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh.....THREE perverts in ONE place!
>Aren't you lucky, Toiddie!

were up to 4 now!!!

Todd N9OGL

# 1657. More Markie Mularkie, Moving His Menacing to Multiple Marquees

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 06:02:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132203895.855477.276330@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:
> Landshark wrote:
> > "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1131913776.244838.8390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > Steveo wrote:
> > >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >> > an old friend wrote:
> > >> > > > Why do you enjoy having men urinate on you, you sick ####?
> > >> > >
> > >> > > what does this question have to do with radio of any kind?
> > >> >
> > >> > Setvoe has posted and not responded I guess iy means it has nothing to
> > >> > do with radio which a good thing to since it hasn't got anything to do
> > >> > radio
> > >> > > >
> > >> > > > http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > >> >
> > >> Hey hypocrite, you post OT all of the time.
> > >>
> > >> Now, why do you like man #### in your face?
> > >
> > > i said I would answer when you did not before
> > >
> > > coward
> >
>> Mark,
>
>> I'll ask very nicely, stop nym shifting to by-pass filters to harass and cause hate &
>>discontent. I originally KF'd you because you try to talk like someone with an
>>education, but yet you have seemed to forgotten some of the basic spelling and >>grammar
skills that most 6th graders learned. Either you don't know how to use spell->>check on
you're computer or you don't know what a dictionary is, no matter what, you >>are nothing
more than some sort of self flattering troll with illusions of grandeur. Just >>stick with
one nym , if Steveo wants to keep busting your chops by responding, fine, >>but I myself
have no desire to see some of the worst grammar since I was in grade >>school.

> you are barking up the worng tree

No, he's got the right tree, alright. And he's absolutely right
about your multiple personality disorder...Who can killfile you when
you create a new screen names daily in order to defeat Google...?!?!

And Landshark, Markie does this intentionally. Markie accused me
of being "poised to strike" at each and every post of his and of

"obceesing" over his posts, yet he can't stand it when, in HIS rush to pollute USENET with his trashy bile, he runs up against the Google posting limits. So he's created all these screen names in order to keep the bile flowing.

He suggests that others killfile him, yet who can?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1658. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 06:04:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132207497.154801.55660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124222

From: Dave Heil on Nov 16, 2:18 pm

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Wed, 16 Nov 2005 18:24:34 GMT, Dave Heil <k...@earthlink.net> wrote
>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>> On 16 Nov 2005 07:52:58 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com>

>>>>> Civic" duty does not imply any requirement to agree with a narrow
>>>>> minded proven bogot like yourself
>
>>>> ROTFLMMFAO!!!!!
>>> Yeah. I found the word "bogot" kind of amusing myself.
>
>> And here comes Dave to the rescue!
>
>> So how do you like being Major Dud's lapdog?
>
>How do you figure, Frank? I made no comment on what Steve (there is no
>"Major Dud") wrote. I commented on what Mark wrote and on what you
>wrote. Who was it that I was supposed to rescue?

Poor Davie, forgotten already? Tsk, early sign of Alzheimer's?

[Frank, you'd better give the lapdog some doggie treats that
have been soaked in water...chewing makes his gums sore
and he gets surly...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1659. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 06:14:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132208066.685913.181340@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

an old friend wrote:

> is nobody named Steve allowed to be gay?

Naaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaah....He changed his name to Markie and moved to
Chassell, Michigan.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1660. Re: When will Dan, W4NTI return to the asylum?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 06:19:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132208374.533532.54810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124224

an old friend wrote:

> yes he has. he stombed off in ahuff complaining that has not ebing
> allowed to carry on a private conversation with another poster here on
> RRAP

Was this a sentence? Or two?

Did it mean something, or did Markie just have a surplus of
keyboard strokes that he had to get rid of...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1661. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 10:05:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132221917.812217.156530@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124226

```
Iitoi wrote:
> N9OGL Wrote:
> >
> >
> > Todd n9ogl
> > http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
> >
> >
>
> "WhoIs" Search results for: 12.156.123.39
>
> AT&T WorldNet Services ATT (NET-12-0-0-0-1)
> 12.0.0.0 - 12.255.255.255
> COMPUTER TECHNIQUES ACC BUSINES COMPUTER49-120 (NET-12-156-120-0-1)
> 12.156.120.0 - 12.156.127.255
>
>
> Anyone want to speculate on how AT&T Worldnet Services (ultimate owner
> of this IP) will react to the information that there is
> computer-generated kiddy-porn displayed by one of their users?

It won't be the first time Toiddie get's the boot from an ISP!

Steve, K4YZ
```

# 1662. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 10:14:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132222495.357059.187540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124227

LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 16, 2:18 pm
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:

> >> So how do you like being Major Dud's lapdog?
> >
> >How do you figure, Frank? I made no comment on what Steve (there is no
> >"Major Dud") wrote. I commented on what Mark wrote and on what you
> >wrote. Who was it that I was supposed to rescue?
>
> Poor Davie, forgotten already? Tsk, early sign of Alzheimer's?

It's easy to see from Lennie's comments where Frankie get's his
misattribution deficits from.

> [Frank, you'd better give the lapdog some doggie treats that
> have been soaked in water...chewing makes his gums sore
> and he gets surly...]

Speaking of surly lapdogs with poor gums, how IS Mrs
Lennie...?!?!

Putz.

> LennieIwon'tBeHappyUntilEveryAmateurHasBeenInsultedAnderscum@ieee.org

Steve, K4YZ

# 1663. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 10:45:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132224303.401108.144670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124228

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am

> >Dave Heil wrote:

> >> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
> >> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
> >> "egregious" or "insulting".
>
> Poor baby. Does Davie think that all against the code test
> are equivalent to "two-year-olds refusing peas at dinner"
> as Robert Rightsell did?
>
> >That's just typical Len behavior, Dave. Check the reply comments about
> >ARRL, and the one about Extra Class licenses, etc.
>
> Pro-coders are BEYOND REPROACH!!!
>
> WOE TO ALL WHO TALK BACK TO PRO-CODERS!!!
>
> :-)
>
> >What Len fails to understand is that such carryings-on are simply
> >delaying The R&O.
>
> Whoa! "Commissioner Miccolis" is WARNING everyone?

Nope - just stating some facts. Your wordy personal attacks in reply
comments to FCC just make you look worse than those you attack, Len.

> >> Your organ grinder pal hasn't yet taken the
> >> first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
> >> many years.
> >
> >Actually that's not quite true, Dave.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...I took my "first baby steps" in 1953, walking
> into a large HF transmitter facility, working there for the
> next three years. Started at age 20.

As part of a group of 700 or so.

But you hadn't taken even the first baby step to getting an amateur
radio license.
>
> My other "first baby steps" were getting (passed in one

> sitting) a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) Radio
> Operator license at an FCC Field Office in Chicago, 90
> miles away. Did that at age 23...in 1956.

But you still hadn't taken even the first baby step to getting an
amateur radio license.

> >Some months back, Len mentioned here that he had once, way back
> >in the 1950s, set about learning Morse Code. Claimed he'd actually
> >gotten up to about 6-8 wpm or so before deciding all the 'hard work'
> >wasn't worth it. That was just about the time 27 MHz cb came along,
> >and he jumped on that.
>
> WRONG. MISTAKE. ERROR.

Actually two errors. Got the date wrong and the sequence with cb.

> Class D Citizens Band was created in 1958. I got a CB License
> (NO TEST) in 1959.

And you *still* hadn't taken even the first baby step to getting an
amateur radio license.

Let's see....you were ~26 then...

> Was working for Ramo-Wooldridge Corporation
> then and had just transferred from the Electronics Warfare
> Group to the Standards Laboratory.

Why is that important?

> I thought to give AMATEUR radio a shot in 1962, three years
> LATER from obtaining a CB license.

At about 29 years of age....

> By then I'd already had
> electronics design engineering responsibility and title at
> Micro-Radionics, Inc., in Van Nuys, CA,

Lot of different employers for a guy under 30....

> and a First Phone
> for 6 years and had worked among 40+ HF transmitters at one
> station for 3 years in the U.S. Army.

The number of transmitters seems to grow with each telling.

> Like I "need" to
> study "ham radio theory" for any AMATEUR license exam? No.

You might have needed to study the rules and regulations.

> I'd never had any morse code training anywhere then, no
> freebie classes in the service. Was NOT needed.

Not for the jobs you did. For other jobs it would have been essential.

> Got a reel-to-reel dupe of some code practice records

Pirated copy?

> and
> started in with those in the "off-hours" when not doing
> home work from 6 units a semester night class college
> courses and playing with my first wife. Now WHICH do you
> think had priority, prudey Jimmie? :-)

"prudey"?
>
> So, in 1962, as a staff engineer at MRI working on radar
> and aircraft radionavigation test sets (up to Ku Band on
> the A-6 Intruder flight deck test set) with some years of
> HF and MF transmitter experience, the CB set in the sports
> car already "getting dusty"

Why?

> and having worked with lots of
> rather advanced technology DoD contract equipment...I am
> SUPPOSED TO SHOW ETHICAL AND MORAL "FIBER" by learning
> morse code?!?!?

It's up to you, Len. Back then the Novice and Technician licenses
required
only a 5 wpm test. A full-privileges General class license required 13
wpm.
You stated that you "GAVE UP" at around 6 or 8 wpm.

> >What I think happened is this: Len discovered that unlike "book learning",
> >he didn't pick up on the Morse Code in a few quick lessons.

Looks like that part's true.

> >For him it
> >took some 'hard work' to learn, and that conflicted with his view of himself
> >as a 'professional in radio-electronics'.

True again.

> > Not only that, but learning Morse
> >Code would not help Len 'PROFESSIONALLY' - there was no money
> >reward waiting. So he gave up.
>
> There was NO INTELLECTUAL REWARD "waiting," sweetums.

Sure there was - the amateur radio license and all that you could
do with it. Wasn't worth your time? Fine!

> Was
> lots and lots and lots more of real, live technology to
> learn everywhere in electronics. Still is.

Then why are you here?

> Why the fork was I "supposed" to GO BACK IN TIME on radio?

There was nobody using Morse Code in 1962?

> Jimmie, you poor iggorant soul, even in 1962, the USE of
> morse code ANYWHERE was already DECREASING.

Really?

> On land it
> was almost done for. On RF it had already been DISPLACED
> by faster (100 WPM) teleprinter "traffic" on long-haul HF
> radio circuits. USAF SAC had ALREADY started the single-
> channel SSB VOICE revolution on HF with DoD contracts.

What about the Navy? Coast Guard? Maritime radio?

Most of all, what about *amateur* radio?
>
> Damn RIGHT there was NO MONEY in it.

Bingo! I was right!

> There was NO
> INTELLECTUAL reward in it.

Then what's your problem?

> I couldn't start planning a
> family in a house or a future in aerospace by learning
> morse code for an AMATEUR HOBBY activity. Sorry, Jimmie,
> but morsemanship skills in 1962 didn't help me pay a
> mortgage...didn't help me buy food...didn't help pay for
> clothes or, later, doctor and hospice bills to come,
> though I didn't know that until after...

In other words, if it didn't make money for you, it wasn't going to
get your time and effort.

> Yeah, like morse code was "cutting-edge technology" and
> "excellence in radio" in 1962?

Who said that? Not me.

Do you always have to be on the cutting edge, Len? Do you have a
cutting-edge computer?

> Bullshit.

Awwwww.

> You don't
> know that because you weren't yet the great big EXTRA
> super special AMATEUR license until long after and were
> still a kiddie then.

In 1962 I was 8 years old

5 years later, in 1967, I was a licensed amateur radio operator at age
13. You were not.

8 years later, in 1970, I earned the Amateur Extra license at age 16.
Between 10th and 11th grade. You were not licensed as a radio amateur
then, either.

8 years is hardly "long after" and I guess you consider 13 - 16 to be
"kiddies"...

> Hell, you couldn't even hack the
> one extra test element for a FIRST 'Phone much later
> and settled for SECOND Class. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

I got the Second Phone at age 18, in 1972. Didn't need a First Phone.

> >> He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
> >> radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.
> >
> >Which would lead any logical person to wonder why he's so interested
> >in amateur radio regulations. He won't say *why* all this matters so
> >much to him.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Jimmie make "plain, simple mistake." I've already
> written (it is all still in Google) that it might be FUN to
> get into amateur radio.

"might be FUN"?

For whom? You don't say it might be fun for *you*. One would think that
after 43 years you'd know....

> I've already written that it is an
> enjoyable hobby pastime shared by thousands.

But not shared by *you*

> Why do you
> disagree with that or say I never wrote it?

You don't tell us why *you* are so interested in something you are
not a part of and most probably never will be.

> Let's see...I am retired from a successful career (from regular
> hours, I still do contract work IF I want to) in electronics
> design engineering, have a fine house (all paid for), have a
> wonderful wife (who was also my high school sweetheart), have
> had enough TITLES and POSITIONS to satisfy me, half century,
> a comfortable income to handle easy living now.

You've told us how great things are for you many, many times, Len.
As if all that somehow explains your obsession.

But you still haven't taken even the first baby step to getting an
amateur radio license.

> I do NOT NEED TO PROVE ANYTHING by getting more TITLES, more
> certificates suitable for framing.

Not about that at all.

> I do not need my friends
> and neighbors to come over and marvel at my cutting-edge radio
> technology of homebuilt tube kludges designed in the 1990s; we
> talk about other things and are friendly.

Then why are you so unfriendly here, Len?

> I have ONE sole-
> inventor patent and a nice plaque from RCA Corporation which
> I NO LONGER display on the wall like a trophy.

But you did once....

> My wife has
> THREE degrees, one BA, two MSs, and she doesn't need those
> displayed on the wall; those are in storage up in Puget Sound
> area weren't on display in the northern house.

But you mention them and your other accomplishments here, and in
comments to FCC, over and over again.

Yet you have no amateur radio license....

> We are secure
> in ourselves. We've "done it" and DON'T need to brag, don't
> need more pretty certificates suitable for framing.

Then why are you here?

> The
> FUTURE is just ahead and we are ENJOYING that. Why don't you
> like others enjoying life as THEY prefer?

It seems to me that what you most enjoy about amateur radio is
insulting
and denigrating radio amateurs via the internet.

> Jimmie, what of YOU?

Who is this "Jimmie" you speak of?

> You talk as if making money is some kind
> of evil, capitalistic running-dog kind of thing. Are you
> some kind of closet commie? A secret socialist?

Well, you can't be talking about me, because I'm none of those things.

> Or are you
> just resentful that someone else has something you don't have?

Not me. You sure seem to be, though.

> Jimmie, WHY do YOU and Diplomacy-Not Davie want to keep U.S.
> amateur radio REGRESSED?

I don't.

> Why do YOU want to hold the status
> quo forever and ever?

I think good things should be retained. Old is not bad.

> You are NOT custodian of archaic radio
> arts. You've NEVER worked in 24/7 long-haul HF radio traffic
> services. You've apparently NEVER done any radio activity
> outside of HF.

Actually, I have.

> You never got a FIRST 'Phone even though you
> claim to be "so educated" and that extra exam element is NOT
> any intellectual challenge.

Where did I claim any of that?

> You aren't, and never were, any
> radio regulating person in government..

Neither were you..

> .yet you make out like
> you "KNOW" things they do.

Have I been wrong?

> Hell, you've never had PRIDE in
> what you work at at work

Not me. I'm proud of what I do. I just don't repeat it over and over
and over in an amateur radio newsgroup.

> and try to keep your employer a
> big, dark secret...you never talk about it except in very
> vague descriptions and implications.

Why should I mention it here, Len? Is there *any* employment that would
change the way you behave towards others who disagree with you?

> Jimmie, are you a merry masochist or a chary control-freak,
> insisting that it is "morally wrong" to go against morse
> code testing?

Who is this "Jimmie", Len? The person you describe isn't like
me at all.

> You are really resentful and unarguably
> antagonistic against all who "haven't done it like you did

> it."

That would be more like *you*, Len.

> WHY does everyone have to do it like you did it?
> Why are you holding back uncountable newcomers of the future?
>
> Hobbies are supposed to be FUN, Jimmie. You don't want
> FUN? Are you in some strange "radio-Amish" cult that wants
> to stop technology and standards and practices in a HOBBY
> radio activity to the 1930s era? You talk and act like that.

Nobody used Morse Code in the 1940s?

> You are not nice to those who want to change your fantasy,
> Jimmie. You insist on having it YOUR way, to "work hard"
> at a HOBBY. Maybe it's a RELIGIOUS thing to you, this
> morsemanship? We all "must" worship at the Church of St.
> Hiram and seek the Holy Key as penitants, perhaps as
> flagellants, for some "higher calling?"
>
> Not my "cuppa," Jimmie. HOBBIES are for FUN, for enjoyment.

So if something isn't fun for *you*, it must not exist....

> AMATEUR radio is a HOBBY, Jimmie.

And much more, Len. You think the amateurs who went to help
out after the recent hurricanes were only "hobbyists" doing it for
"FUN"?

> No matter what YOUR
> fantasy tells you, it is NOT vital to the nation nor is it
> the highest plane of existance of man's endeavors to become
> a mighty morseman. Really.
>
> I think hobbies are FUN, Jimmie. Maybe ham radio would be
> FUN for me.

Finally! But you're not sure?

> It might even be FUN for thousands and thousands
> in the future. Yet, you want the FCC to keep regulations
> that makes a HOBBY activity "hard work" or some odd kind of
> "competitive sports" with attendant titles and privileges
> as if in a feudal state.

Naw, just a simple basic test of a useful radio skill. One that even
*you* managed to learn...

> You want to be SUPERIOR through
> morsemanship. SUPERIOR to all others with rank-title-status
> in a HOBBY.

Why, Len, you said you were "going for Extra right out of the box"...

> All bow down to your extra-class 20 WPM lordship?

Not me..

# 1664. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 11:56:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132228596.404498.186940@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124229

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Tsk, tsk, tsk...I took my "first baby steps" in 1953, walking
> > into a large HF transmitter facility, working there for the
> > next three years. Started at age 20.
>
> As part of a group of 700 or so.

And not a single piece of traffic actually handled BY Lennie...

> > Class D Citizens Band was created in 1958. I got a CB License
> > (NO TEST) in 1959.
>
> And you *still* hadn't taken even the first baby step to getting an
> amateur radio license.
>
> Let's see....you were ~26 then...

It's easy to see why he pales when he sees teenagers and
gradeschoolers passing examinations that he didn't even dare try.

> > I thought to give AMATEUR radio a shot in 1962, three years
> > LATER from obtaining a CB license.
>
> At about 29 years of age....

And teenagers and gradeschoolers were passing the exams at all
levels then, too...

WITH the 20 WPM Morse test, sending AND receiving.

> > By then I'd already had
> > electronics design engineering responsibility and title at
> > Micro-Radionics, Inc., in Van Nuys, CA,
>
> Lot of different employers for a guy under 30....

Nowadays we'd call that an "unstable work history".

> > and a First Phone
> > for 6 years and had worked among 40+ HF transmitters at one
> > station for 3 years in the U.S. Army.
>
> The number of transmitters seems to grow with each telling.

Doesn't it, though...?!?!

> > and having worked with lots of
> > rather advanced technology DoD contract equipment...I am
> > SUPPOSED TO SHOW ETHICAL AND MORAL "FIBER" by learning
> > morse code?!?!?
>
> It's up to you, Len. Back then the Novice and Technician licenses
> required
> only a 5 wpm test. A full-privileges General class license required 13
> wpm.
> You stated that you "GAVE UP" at around 6 or 8 wpm.

I imagine that "giving up" is easy for Lennie.

> > There was NO INTELLECTUAL REWARD "waiting," sweetums.
>
> Sure there was - the amateur radio license and all that you could
> do with it. Wasn't worth your time? Fine!

All that spectrum to roam in..."not worth it"...

> > Why the fork was I "supposed" to GO BACK IN TIME on radio?
>
> There was nobody using Morse Code in 1962?

No "preeti fems" to chat with, I dare say. Ruth Buzzy's bag lady
was still just a flash in the back of her mind.

> > Jimmie, you poor iggorant soul, even in 1962, the USE of
> > morse code ANYWHERE was already DECREASING.
>
> Really?

"iggorant".

Uh huh.

> > Yeah, like morse code was "cutting-edge technology" and
> > "excellence in radio" in 1962?
>
> Who said that? Not me.
>
> Do you always have to be on the cutting edge, Len? Do you have a
> cutting-edge computer?

I can see Lennie in the computer store every 3 months buying the
"latest and greatest"...Heaven forbid he'd actually INVENT the latest
and greatest, inasmuch as there'd be CONSIDERABLE financial reard in
THAT!

> > You don't
> > know that because you weren't yet the great big EXTRA
> > super special AMATEUR license until long after and were
> > still a kiddie then.
>
> In 1962 I was 8 years old

And I was seven. However 43 years later I STILL have 30+ years
MORE HF radio experience than Lennie, assuming we only count my Amateur
experience.

But considering 1962 was when my dad got his first CB rig we can
add in 13 more years. He had a "19Q" callsign for a brief while, then
KHG8459. So if we add those numbers up, >>MY<< "HF radio experience"
started clocking up in 62 for a total of 43 years today.

(At this point Lennie will bust-a-gut trying to minimize the fact
that I was in gradeschool and that the "experience" was "only" on
CB...Forgetting, of course, that he's repeatedly "counted" CB as "HF"
experience and also goes to great lengths to regale us of tales of "how
it was" with "Civil Defense" during World War 2...when HE was "just" a
gradeschooler....)

> 5 years later, in 1967, I was a licensed amateur radio operator at age
> 13. You were not.

I still had 5 years to go! But I was neck-deep in following the
space program at that point.

> 8 years later, in 1970, I earned the Amateur Extra license at age 16.
> Between 10th and 11th grade. You were not licensed as a radio amateur
> then, either.
>
> 8 years is hardly "long after" and I guess you consider 13 - 16 to be
> "kiddies"...

When I finally did get my first license, I built my first receiver
from kit and the transmitter from scrounged (and some "new") parts.
The transmatch, too.

Most of my "peers" were too busy drinking and partying. I
prefered the "INTELLECTUAL REWARD" aspects of the radios. I didn't
have to worry about getting arrested and didn't have a hang over when
I'd been up all night on the air.

> > Tsk, tsk. Jimmie make "plain, simple mistake." I've already
> > written (it is all still in Google) that it might be FUN to
> > get into amateur radio.
>
> "might be FUN"?
>
> For whom? You don't say it might be fun for *you*. One would think that
> after 43 years you'd know....

It took him 14 years to complete a 3 year degree, Jim...give the
"guy" some time to make his mind up! He's only been bashng USENET for
10 years over this...He's got four more to go to beat that record.

> > I do NOT NEED TO PROVE ANYTHING by getting more TITLES, more
> > certificates suitable for framing.
>

> Not about that at all.

I think that was pretty clear demonstration of the "I Am Not
Taking The Same Test Mere Mortals Are Required To Take" attitude that
Lennie seems to hold.

> > I do not need my friends
> > and neighbors to come over and marvel at my cutting-edge radio
> > technology of homebuilt tube kludges designed in the 1990s; we
> > talk about other things and are friendly.
>
> Then why are you so unfriendly here, Len?

I am sure he pays them well to sit and listen to his stories,
Jim...

But since he's so profit-motivated, MAYBE if we sent him a check
to get lost...?!?!

Naaaaaahhhhhhh...He'll just waste it on more Geritol...

> > My wife has
> > THREE degrees, one BA, two MSs, and she doesn't need those
> > displayed on the wall; those are in storage up in Puget Sound
> > area weren't on display in the northern house.
>
> But you mention them and your other accomplishments here, and in
> comments to FCC, over and over again.
>
> Yet you have no amateur radio license....

I still gape in awe at Lennie's ability to intertwine unrelated
stuff into the post in order to make HIM appear more, well..rounded...

Lennie's "...My wife has..." comments smack of the same tricks he
pulled in reference to his Army duties and how he tried to make other
men's sacrifices make HIM look better...

And he thinks no one but he can see it......

> > You are NOT custodian of archaic radio
> > arts. You've NEVER worked in 24/7 long-haul HF radio traffic
> > services. You've apparently NEVER done any radio activity
> > outside of HF.
>
> Actually, I have.

Nice thing about that Amateur license over the GROL...It ALSO has
a STATION license within...Along with attendant callsign...Let's us get
on the air any darned time we want...Not just if our employer requests
it or pays for it!

> > You are really resentful and unarguably
> > antagonistic against all who "haven't done it like you did

> > it."
>
> That would be more like *you*, Len.

"...more like...", Jim...?!?!

Being a bit gracious there, aren't you...?!?!

> > Not my "cuppa," Jimmie. HOBBIES are for FUN, for enjoyment.
>
> So if something isn't fun for *you*, it must not exist....

Guess that explains the lack of offspring.

> > AMATEUR radio is a HOBBY, Jimmie.
>
> And much more, Len. You think the amateurs who went to help
> out after the recent hurricanes were only "hobbyists" doing it for
> "FUN"?

Jim, Jim, JIM!

We can't count that!

Afterall, everyone KNOWS it was an ARRL/ARES conspiracy to extort additional funds for Emergency Services training and facilities. All of the damage, refugees, deaths, etc, were just carefully crafted video works of the ARRL Media Department. All of the graciously positive press we received was just the result of well padded hands at every major TV, Radio, Print and Internet news agency.

Why do you think the storm started with a "K"...?!?!

> > I think hobbies are FUN, Jimmie. Maybe ham radio would be
> > FUN for me.
>
> Finally! But you're not sure?

No, it won't be fun for him.

Because sooner or later one of the "locals" would find Lennie's hateful, deceitful and blatantly dishonest rants in this forum and the FCC archives.

Then he'd have to "fess up" to REAL Amateurs about his behaviour.

> > You want to be SUPERIOR through
> > morsemanship. SUPERIOR to all others with rank-title-status
> > in a HOBBY.
>
> Why, Len, you said you were "going for Extra right out of the box"...

As I said..."...blatantly dishonest..."

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1665. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 12:04:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132229065.422632.45120@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124230

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 16 Nov 2005 07:02:10 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132153330.635058.298300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> he would be required to own them in the Army
> > >
> > > Having never served in the Army, I never had that problem.
> > >
> > > The two sets of dress blues I owned while in the Marine Corps were
> > >issued to me, free of charge. A "perk" of assigned duties.
>
> More bullshit from Major Dud [sigh]. Uniforms in the Corps are never
> issued "free of charge", assigned duties or not.

It might be possible that Steve was assigned to guard the Tomb of the
Unknown Soldier. They probably make a special allowance for uniforms
there.

# 1666. A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 12:48:12 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132231692.850009.29610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 16 Nov 2005 07:02:10 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1132153330.635058.298300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> > <snip>
> > >> he would be required to own them in the Army
> > >
> > > Having never served in the Army, I never had that problem.
> > >
> > > The two sets of dress blues I owned while in the Marine Corps were
> > >issued to me, free of charge. A "perk" of assigned duties.
> >
> > More bull#### from Major Dud [sigh]. Uniforms in the Corps are never
> > issued "free of charge", assigned duties or not.

My emphasis follows:

"UNIFORMS IN THE CORPS ARE NEVER ISSUED "FREE OF CHARGE", ASSIGNED
DUTIES OR NOT"...Frank Gilliland

Let's find out.

> It might be possible that Steve was assigned to guard the Tomb of the
> Unknown Soldier. They probably make a special allowance for uniforms
> there.

Yoiu can bet they do, Brain, but the Tomb of the Unknowns is not
guarded by Marines.

Here's an idea for The Feeble Five, Brain and Frankie especially:

Pick up your local telephone book. Look under "US Government".
Under "Armed Forces" you'll find a USMC Recruiter. Tell him/her you're
"doing a paper" about uniforms of the Armed Forces.

Read back Frankie's assertion about uniforms NOT being issued
"...'free of charge', assigned duties or not..." Ask him/her to
validate that as correct or not.

Ask the Recruiter how much he or she had to pay for his/her Dress
Blues when they were assigned the billet.

Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)

Ask him/her if Marines in Iraq were required to buy the desert cammies. The same ones they are required to have in-theater along with all sewn-on name tags, etc. (Remember, Frankie said UNIFORMS...Not any one type or style...)

Ask him/her if MY assertion about B-Billet assignments (Drill Instructor, I&I duty, Eighth and I Street/HQMC, Embassy Duty, etc) rating an issue of dress blues is true or not.

Youse guys up to it?

Or how about a letter addressed directly to HQMC? Surely you can take the above suggestions and pare them into a letter that sounds as if you're conducting some sort of research. Better yet, I think I'll do that part. Then we can compare notes.

Brain...at this point you can just ease out if you want to and I'll let you call it "even"...Like I said, it's not wise taking "counsel" about USMC policy from a one-tour, twice court martialed, self-proclaimed " ####bird PFC " idiot.

I understand you that were caught up in the heat of the moment, but I don't believe that even you're so foolish as to continue the "argument" in the face of reliable, third party corroboration of my "claims".

I wonder how Frankie will slither out from under THIS rock!

Steve, K4YZ

PS: If you're REALLY adventurous, you might even ask about the "civilian" business suits/sports blazers issued to Marines in some Embassies and/or other sensitive assignments as an "alternative uniform". I am willing to bet Dave saw one or two of these guys/gals over the years!

# 1667. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 13:02:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132229095.759401.125740@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124232

an old friend wrote:

> not likely after you pointed out cclancy has used bad lang is his book
> he likely writing new version by light of the bonfire where burns all
> those other books

Somewhere in the midst of all those random letters and words was a
concious "human" (benefit of the doubt) thought.

Now WHAT that thought was, I'd be hard pressed to even venture a
guess...But I am SURE there was one in there!

Pat Sajak and Vanna White would be pulling their hair out if
Markie was calling the shots on the letterboard!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1668. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 14:38:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <204pn1l761gt2q77hpftm1p53ah42ft4pl@4ax.com>
Source record: 124233
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 17 Nov 2005 04:48:12 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132231692.850009.29610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> > On 16 Nov 2005 07:02:10 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> > <1132153330.635058.298300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
>> > <snip>
>> > >> he would be required to own them in the Army
>> > >
>> > > Having never served in the Army, I never had that problem.
>> > >
>> > > The two sets of dress blues I owned while in the Marine Corps were
>> > >issued to me, free of charge. A "perk" of assigned duties.
>> >
>> > More bull#### from Major Dud [sigh]. Uniforms in the Corps are never
>> > issued "free of charge", assigned duties or not.
>
> My emphasis follows:
>
> "UNIFORMS IN THE CORPS ARE NEVER ISSUED "FREE OF CHARGE", ASSIGNED
>DUTIES OR NOT"...Frank Gilliland
>
> Let's find out.

Yes, let's find out......

>> It might be possible that Steve was assigned to guard the Tomb of the
>> Unknown Soldier. They probably make a special allowance for uniforms
>> there.
>
> Yoiu can bet they do, Brain, but the Tomb of the Unknowns is not
>guarded by Marines.
>
> Here's an idea for The Feeble Five, Brain and Frankie especially:
>
> Pick up your local telephone book. Look under "US Government".
>Under "Armed Forces" you'll find a USMC Recruiter. Tell him/her you're
>"doing a paper" about uniforms of the Armed Forces.
>
> Read back Frankie's assertion about uniforms NOT being issued
>"...'free of charge', assigned duties or not..." Ask him/her to
>validate that as correct or not.

I agree. Anyone who wants to know the truth should do just as you
suggest.

> Ask the Recruiter how much he or she had to pay for his/her Dress
>Blues when they were assigned the billet.

They pay the same price as any other Marine.

> Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
>uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
>UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)

Judas Holy Roller Priest..... you didn't even go through boot camp!!!!
The very first day (when you are standing on the yellow footprints)
you are given a book of chits that are used to buy everything you
need, including your uniforms. The money that is spent from that book
of chits is deducted from your paycheck. My "chit book" started at
about $210, and there was about $30 left that was added to my check
when I left San Diego.

Shit, you weren't even a reservist. You are a complete Dud.

> Ask him/her if Marines in Iraq were required to buy the desert
>cammies.

Absolutely.

> The same ones they are required to have in-theater along with
>all sewn-on name tags, etc.

Yep. Still got mine with my name stamped on the back and a target
(flag) sewn on the sleeve from when I was on float with the 24th MAU.

> (Remember, Frankie said UNIFORMS...Not any
>one type or style...)

Yep. Cammies, alphas, charlies, dress blues..... you gotta pay for all
of them.

> Ask him/her if MY assertion about B-Billet assignments (Drill
>Instructor, I&I duty, Eighth and I Street/HQMC, Embassy Duty, etc)
>rating an issue of dress blues is true or not.

Ask away. Even ask the dudes at the rifle range if they have to buy
their own pith helmets -- they do.

> Youse guys up to it?

I hope so.

> Or how about a letter addressed directly to HQMC? Surely you can
>take the above suggestions and pare them into a letter that sounds as
>if you're conducting some sort of research. Better yet, I think I'll
>do that part. Then we can compare notes.

As long as you include headers. Doesn't matter tho, you won't be
posting your reply because it will conflict with your "truth".

> Brain...at this point you can just ease out if you want to and
>I'll let you call it "even"...Like I said, it's not wise taking
>"counsel" about USMC policy from a one-tour, twice court martialed,
>self-proclaimed " ####bird PFC " idiot.

You weren't even in the Corps. Shut the fuck up.

> I understand you that were caught up in the heat of the moment,
>but I don't believe that even you're so foolish as to continue the
>"argument" in the face of reliable, third party corroboration of my
>"claims".
>
> I wonder how Frankie will slither out from under THIS rock!
>
>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

I have never had to hide under any rock. But you do because you don't
even have a DD-214. Be careful, Dud -- there may be a rock headed your
way.

>PS: If you're REALLY adventurous, you might even ask about the
>"civilian" business suits/sports blazers issued to Marines in some
>Embassies and/or other sensitive assignments as an "alternative
>uniform". I am willing to bet Dave saw one or two of these guys/gals
>over the years!

We can talk about embassy duty too, Dud. I spent quite a bit of time
at the US/UK embassy in Beirut. Wanna go there?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1669. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:08:59 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132240139.448658.106620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124234

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > not likely after you pointed out cclancy has used bad lang is his book
> > he likely writing new version by light of the bonfire where burns all
> > those other books
>
> Somewhere in the midst of all those random letters and words was a
> concious "human" (benefit of the doubt) thought.

You give Marky too much credit. He'd have to have an original thought
processing cell transplanted first.

> Now WHAT that thought was, I'd be hard pressed to even venture a
> guess...But I am SURE there was one in there!
>
> Pat Sajak and Vanna White would be pulling their hair out if
> Markie was calling the shots on the letterboard!

Marky couldn't play even if it was the "Special Olympics" version of
WOF.

--
How to type like the moron Commode Butt Cornholer_of_little_boys:

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is such a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license (the poor white trash
Buzzy is on "welfare") in <9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

## 1670. Re: Uniforms And The Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:11:19 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132240279.807542.56810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124235

And don't forget the "Commander" Buzz Corey, who has a yellow streak
down his back and that lavender spacesuit.

# 1671. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:14:03 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132240443.854525.54420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124236

And your yellow streak (plainly you are a chickenshit) explains why you
hide behind a cheesy sci-fi TV "character"

# 1672. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:14:50 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132240490.254425.57100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124237

Toad's posting to the queer BBS' again.....

# 1673. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:16:56 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132240616.507405.92210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
character

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license in
<9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

## 1674. Re: stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:17:42 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132240662.203212.68430@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

STFU, FAGGOT AND DIE OF YOUR AIDS, Markie.

# 1675. Re: What Are Your Plans For...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:21:33 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132240893.011716.96420@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Behold he shall ride upon a hard dick and his name shall be Lloyd Austin Davies.

And it came to pass that the king of knob jobs in Alabama, (as Lloyd is known) was gone for a long time, and some of the people celebrated and some speculated that he had died, some speculated that he was ill, some speculated that he was in Maryland at Ray Chason's apartment, and some even thought that the king of knob jobs in Alabama had been made a sex slave by Ray Chason and his butt buddies. It was then the people started to rejoice.

And when the niggers and crackheads heard the murmuring and rumours of the people they assured them that the king of knob jobs in Alabama was just busy sucking on one of his nigger neighbors big black dick and would address the people as soon as he could swallow that big load if nigger jizz. The neighbors in his hud apartment complex also knew that the project was the sucking of nigger dicks and telling lies and more lies, but they did not tell the people this for they did not desire to have a vice squad nightmare in the city of Deliverance Extras. Alabama liked to hear about the fat retarded one who proclaimed he was the king of knob jobs in Alabama.

And the niggers and crackheads reassured the people that everything was all right, and told them to prepare pyres and to cook meat, and to ice down beer and nehi soda, and to go out and buy potato chips and other unhealthy snacks from the traders who had immigrated to the kingdom from vietnam and the land of gaza. and so the went down to these little stores owned and bought these things. and they went home and warmed up their tv's, for the sabbath approached and the sabbath would bring more action from the mediocre football team at u of alabama, and the sabbath would bring plenty of exciting nob gobbling action and goo gobbling from the king of knob jobs in Alabama.

The king of knob jobs in Alabama in reality is a ghastly pale porker who stands only 5'6" and weighs an unhealthy 290 Lbs. His skin is as white as copying paper due to his night job of delivering pizzas to the ghetto neighborhood where he dwells in a filthy, roach infested hud hovel. He doesn't venture outside during the daylight hours, instead preferring to play with star trek and dalek dolls, post lies and filth on the internet and jack off and dream of finally actually getting some pussy. Not stick pussy which his homosexual lover Steve Holsten feeds him several times a year when Lloyd goes for his visits, usually around Thanksgiving.

Lately, the king of knob jobs in Alabama has been consumed with posting pictures of someone he says is Roger Wiseman. In fact, the obviously botched purple rendering of somebody else has been cut and pasted, photoshopped and distributed all over the internet by the king of knob jobs in Alabama and his homosexual fantasy lover Ray Chason who is the king of knob jobs in Maryland. Chason who is also a porker has set up a blog of Roger's ex-wife "Regina" telling even more lies. Roger wasn't ever married to anyone named Regina. Of course Chason and Lloyd refuse to let truth get in the way of their lies, like their butt buddy friends who post lies, lies and more lies about anybody who reveals the truth about this band of homosexual predators.

# 1676. Re: K1MAN RESPONDS TO THE MOST TRUSTED MAN IN AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:23:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132241027.668474.90240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Lardass Lloyd wrote:
> "Al Klein" <rukbat@invalid.net> wrote in message
> news:vv4gn1l1par4a9lolbba7qi0cgss0csrhv@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 13 Nov 2005 13:14:16 -0600, "DrDeath"
> > <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com> said in rec.radio.scanner:
> >
> >>I did lose track and am man enough to apologize.
> >
> > Okay, I can accept that. But apologize to Lloyd.
>
>
> There is more (You see Wiseman behind every post, fucknuts.)

Quit lying, you fat mentally ill retard.

Behold he shall ride upon a hard dick and his name shall be Lloyd
Austin Davies.

And it came to pass that the king of knob jobs in Alabama, (as Lloyd is
known) was gone for a long time, and some of the people celebrated and
some speculated that he had died, some speculated that he was ill, some
speculated that he was in Maryland at Ray Chason's apartment, and some
even thought that the king of knob jobs in Alabama had been made a sex
slave by Ray Chason and his butt buddies. It was then the people
started to rejoice.

And when the niggers and crackheads heard the murmuring and rumours of
the people they assured them that the king of knob jobs in Alabama was
just busy sucking on one of his nigger neighbors big black dick and
would address the people as soon as he could swallow that big load if
nigger jizz. The neighbors in his hud apartment complex also knew that
the project was the sucking of nigger dicks and telling lies and more
lies, but they did not tell the people this for they did not desire to
have a vice squad nightmare in the city of Deliverance Extras. Alabama
liked to hear about the fat retarded one who proclaimed he was the king
of knob jobs in Alabama.

And the niggers and crackheads reassured the people that everything was
all right, and told them to prepare pyres and to cook meat, and to ice
down beer and nehi soda, and to go out and buy potato chips and other
unhealthy snacks from the traders who had immigrated to the kingdom
from vietnam and the land of gaza. and so the went down to these little
stores owned and bought these things. and they went home and warmed up
their tv's, for the sabbath approached and the sabbath would bring more
action from the mediocre football team at u of alabama, and the sabbath

would bring plenty of exciting nob gobbling action and goo gobbling from the king of knob jobs in Alabama.

The king of knob jobs in Alabama in reality is a ghastly pale porker who stands only 5'6" and weighs an unhealthy 290 Lbs. His skin is as white as copying paper due to his night job of delivering pizzas to the ghetto neighborhood where he dwells in a filthy, roach infested hud hovel. He doesn't venture outside during the daylight hours, instead preferring to play with star trek and dalek dolls, post lies and filth on the internet and jack off and dream of finally actually getting some pussy. Not stick pussy which his homosexual lover Steve Holsten feeds him several times a year when Lloyd goes for his visits, usually around Thanksgiving.

Lately, the king of knob jobs in Alabama has been consumed with posting pictures of someone he says is Roger Wiseman. In fact, the obviously botched purple rendering of somebody else has been cut and pasted, photoshopped and distributed all over the internet by the king of knob jobs in Alabama and his homosexual fantasy lover Ray Chason who is the king of knob jobs in Maryland. Chason who is also a porker has set up a blog of Roger's ex-wife "Regina" telling even more lies. Roger wasn't ever married to anyone named Regina. Of course Chason and Lloyd refuse to let truth get in the way of their lies, like their butt buddy friends who post lies, lies and more lies about anybody who reveals the truth about this band of homosexual predators.

# 1677. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:27:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132241257.535113.261490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Not Too Intelligent Lloyd Deux whined:
> LOL at <How ironic, Lardass Lloyd Davies the 32 year old VIRGIN telling somebody not to spend time on the computer when it is his only life! LOL!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!>

Behold he shall ride upon a hard dick and his name shall be Lloyd Austin Davies.

And it came to pass that the king of knob jobs in Alabama, (as Lloyd is known) was gone for a long time, and some of the people celebrated and some speculated that he had died, some speculated that he was ill, some speculated that he was in Maryland at Ray Chason's apartment, and some even thought that the king of knob jobs in Alabama had been made a sex slave by Ray Chason and his butt buddies. It was then the people started to rejoice.

And when the niggers and crackheads heard the murmuring and rumours of the people they assured them that the king of knob jobs in Alabama was just busy sucking on one of his nigger neighbors big black dick and would address the people as soon as he could swallow that big load if nigger jizz. The neighbors in his hud apartment complex also knew that the project was the sucking of nigger dicks and telling lies and more lies, but they did not tell the people this for they did not desire to have a vice squad nightmare in the city of Deliverance Extras. Alabama liked to hear about the fat retarded one who proclaimed he was the king of knob jobs in Alabama.

And the niggers and crackheads reassured the people that everything was all right, and told them to prepare pyres and to cook meat, and to ice down beer and nehi soda, and to go out and buy potato chips and other unhealthy snacks from the traders who had immigrated to the kingdom from vietnam and the land of gaza. and so the went down to these little stores owned and bought these things. and they went home and warmed up their tv's, for the sabbath approached and the sabbath would bring more action from the mediocre football team at u of alabama, and the sabbath would bring plenty of exciting nob gobbling action and goo gobbling from the king of knob jobs in Alabama.

The king of knob jobs in Alabama in reality is a ghastly pale porker who stands only 5'6" and weighs an unhealthy 290 Lbs. His skin is as white as copying paper due to his night job of delivering pizzas to the ghetto neighborhood where he dwells in a filthy, roach infested hud hovel. He doesn't venture outside during the daylight hours, instead preferring to play with star trek and dalek dolls, post lies and filth on the internet and jack off and dream of finally actually getting some pussy. Not stick pussy which his homosexual lover Steve Holsten feeds

him several times a year when Lloyd goes for his visits, usually around Thanksgiving.

Lately, the king of knob jobs in Alabama has been consumed with posting pictures of someone he says is Roger Wiseman. In fact, the obviously botched purple rendering of somebody else has been cut and pasted, photoshopped and distributed all over the internet by the king of knob jobs in Alabama and his homosexual fantasy lover Ray Chason who is the king of knob jobs in Maryland. Chason who is also a porker has set up a blog of Roger's ex-wife "Regina" telling even more lies. Roger wasn't ever married to anyone named Regina. Of course Chason and Lloyd refuse to let truth get in the way of their lies, like their butt buddy friends who post lies, lies and more lies about anybody who reveals the truth about this band of homosexual predators.

# 1678. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:29:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132241379.875244.136440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124243

Commode Butt Cornholer_of_little_boys wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> >
> >>You should spend less time on the computer
> >
> >
> > So should you, maybe you wouldn't post such mangled English.
>
> "Matbe" you shouldn't post such mangled English. LOL!!!!!

LOL!!!!!!!! Ironic, from the illiterate cunt who wrote:

Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is such a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license (Buzzy is on welfare and
food stamps himself) in <9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
school once again in
<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys shows his illiteracy once again
in
<ZpudnWKOENqmnOnenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com>:
"Gee, you cber can't even get that right."

# 1679. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:30:30 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132241430.845506.131120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124244

Says the illiterate cunt who wrote:
Gopher Face "Buzz Corey" proves he is such a "loser" when he can't even
spell "loser"in
<IvCdncnQKNr7tOXfRVn-2g@gbronline.com>:
"Again you loose two-test"

Illiterate and senile Butt Cornholer proves he has imginary spelling
skills as well as an imaginary pilot's license (Buzzy is on welfare and
food stamps himself) in <9eqdnYr1mOWkGwPfRVn-2w@gbronline.com>:
"you got a wellfare......"

n article <Y-adndShGOA-LT7fRVn-3w@gbronline.com>
Imaginary pilot's license boy Cmd Butt Cornholer <m...@that.moc> wrote:

"Wogie Wussman" "volumns" - Butt Cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer fucks up again in
<udydnSJgtb6pDDrfRVn-tQ@gbronline.com>:
"Curtians" "Frabricated" "Frabricate"

Butt Cornholer the English impaired baby raper fucks up again in
<WpSdnZPCfOAKVDXfRVn-ow@gbronline.com>:
"two-test wogie" "Lets take a look at your spelling ability wogie"

Illiterate child molester Buzz Cornholer fucks up again when he gets
all upset in
<utqdnehReNqjzi3fRVn-rw@gbronline.com>:
"fatbgoy" - Butt Cornholer makes good spell, again!

In article <xeKdncBV0KOcpy_fRVn-3Q@gbronline.com>
Kiddie raper Buzz Corey slobbered after shitting his depends:
"stinkey" "two-test" "wogie"

Butt Cornholer demonstrates why he never graduated from high school in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea," "wogie" "wussman" - Butt cornholer makes good spells!

Butt Cornholer admits he dances like the clueless idiot he is in
<ieWdnYQ3kK_vby_fRVn-vw@gbronline.com>:
"Yea, I really dance"

In article <evqdnSV0QYzGQijfRVn-1g@gbronline.com>
Cmd Butt Cornholer of little boys <radiobu...@mailcity.com> showed off
his Pulizter prize English skills
and blathered:
"Let's see now, a ham post how he had fun..."

Butt Cornholer makes good spell in
<SZednSpXa59RvSvfRVn-rA@gbronline.com>:
"slobberd"

Butt Cornholer of little boys admits he really doesn't have a pilot's
license, all the while showing off his English skills in
<F-WdnQTwC63XICTfRVn-jg@gbronline.com>:
"You're correct 2test wogie, I don't have a pilot's license"

Butt Cornholer shows off his Forrest Gump spelling skills as he "peeks"
out from behind a fake name in terror of Roger Wiseman in
<5LqdnZ4f0Ol6jiffRVn-iQ@gbronline.com>:
"Is that you peaking out"

Cmd Butt Cornholer the "onionhead" shows off why he flunked out of
school once again in
<VsednY-kDNBlUkvfRVn-vQ@gbronline.com>:
"oinions"

Commode Butt Cornholer of little boys shows his illiteracy once again
in
<ZpudnWKOENqmnOnenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com>:
"Gee, you cber can't even get that right."

# 1680. Re: stevie can post nothing but libel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 15:38:53 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132241933.373636.169210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124245

Not Intelligent Lloyd Deux wrote:
> You don't suppose he's a "chef" at the Shipwreck Lounge, do you?

What a moron you are, Chason.

>
> "Lloyd" <lloyd@pizzamanhamlloyd.org> wrote in message
> news:dl6c84$qea$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1131727935.396477.226770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Says the fat asshole who posts under several dozen of them himself and
> > sees Roger Wiseman everywhere!
> > BTW, fix that saggy roof on that broken down farmhouse.
> >
>
>
> Laughable, when you consider Wiseman lives in a 750 sq ft

Wrong again, shit-for-brains.

> inherited tin roof shack,

Really, since when do shingles become a "tin roof" dumbass?
Maybe you should help Davey fix that broken down farmhouse.

> which his late adoptive mother, Marie,

Proof of Wiseman being adopted? Didn't think you had any.

> left him, in a neighborhood even the Mexicans refuse to live in.

Yet Davies lives in a neighborhood filled with white niggers and real
niggers and spics in the ghettos of Athens, AL, while Glen Dale only
has ONE percent of minorities living there. For a KKK member like
yourself, that should be the better place to live, fatass.

> How is your weekend Fry Cook job going DeVry boy?

Why are you always wrong, FATASS? Roger isn't a fry cook, dumbass, and
he sure wouldn't be a career pizza delivery boy, he has too much self
respect, unlike you.

> Your lady boss

What lady boss? You claimed earlier that Wiseman was unemployed.

>still making life tough for you?

No, she's like your mother, she gives Wiseman blow jobs.
ROTFLMAO!!!!!!!

# 1681. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 17:25:28 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4f2dnQfd17KwMuHenZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124246

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> And your yellow streak (plainly you are a chickenshit) explains why you
> hide behind a cheesy sci-fi TV "character"
>

LOL at the 2test Glendale tardboy. Have they given you a raise yet wogie
for cleaning out those port-a-potties?

# 1682. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 17:26:24 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4f2dnQbd17L4MuHenZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124247

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> And your yellow streak (plainly you are a chickenshit) explains why you
> hide behind a cheesy sci-fi TV "character"
>

And your yellow streak explains why you hide behind the curtains, right
2test curtains boy?

# 1683. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 17:27:30 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <4f2dnQHd17I1MuHenZ2dnUVZ_smdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
> character
>

Oh the irony, coming from a 2test tardboy who hides behind the curtains.
LOL!!!!!!!

# 1684. Re: N8WWM, felon, coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 17:37:16 UTC
From: KC8YYC <KC8YYC@rock.net>
Message-ID: <dlif4c02q2h@drn.newsguy.com>
Source record: 124249

In article <20051116192641.154$dh_-_@newsreader.com>, Steveo says...
>
>N8WWM Doug Adair <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> the person posting this crap is moparholic@hotmail.com
>>
>Nope, check the headers, fugly felon.
>
>Hey doug, have you challenged anyone else to come to your section eight
>slum so you can put them "face down in a hurting extreme" , then refuse to
>come outside for fear of getting your punk ass kicked after they show up?
>
>http://n8wwm.4t.com/ <----- fucking chickenshit
>
Doug Adair is a total loser and a crook.

# 1685. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 19:06:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ax4ff.1816$jI5.987@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124250

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>> "K4YZ" has become a colorful euphimism for intolerant, bad mannered
>> RRAP citizen.

> euphimish? not sure that is the right word

Priceless!

The less-than-dynamic duo both managed to butcher the word. I'm with
Mark, though. I'm not sure that is the right word.

Dave K8MN

# 1686. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 19:16:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dG4ff.1820$jI5.232@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124251

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.

I have "another thing" for you, Brian: "Jim, Dave and Mike" are no more responsible for posts other than their own, than you are responsible for Mark's, Len Anderson's or Roger Wiseman's. I hope that clears things up for you.

Dave K8MN

# 1687. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 19:22:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xL4ff.1822$jI5.1804@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124252

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.

> esp Dave who it sems is his friend

Oh, I'm not "ESP Dave". My friends just call me "Dave". You may call me "Mister Heil". It seems like ages since you've discussed anything here but Steve. Tell us how you feel about the possible ramifications brought on by taking privileges away from code-tested Techs.

Dave K8MN

# 1688. Re: first Gross task done

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 19:35:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wY4ff.1825$jI5.1029@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124253

an_old_friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:

>> Are you sure you want to do this?
>
> yes
>
>> Even if you're right, he'll sue.
>
> he can try, the cost to me should be about 750 dollors but I would also
> pay for most likely suing him for his obvious slanders
>
> My wife tell to risk it after reading just how nuts stevie is

So your "wife" believes that Steve is nuts but that you're normal? I
guess it's true that they never made a trash can without a lid.

It's an upside down world we live in.

Dave K8MN

# 1689. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 19:42:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <S25ff.1830$jl5.352@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124254

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> His little Cessna 150 (or was it Aeronca C3?) got
> hard points for WEAPONRY? Whoooeee...look out all
> general av flyers wherever you are...Ace Security
> "MAJOR" gonna get on your tails!
>
> On the con-man scale of 1 to 10, Dudly the Imposter (aka
> "United States Air Force Auxilliary" MAJOR) might nudge
> the meter to a 2...with enough QRM to raise baseline...

Do you fly, Len, or is this just another thing in which you are not a participant?

Dave K8MN

# 1690. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 19:54:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Dd5ff.1833$jl5.1468@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124255

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Dudly NOT know of REAL COMMSEC if it bit him on his backside.

I bet he knows that the real stuff is COMSEC, not "COMMSEC".

> He takes HIS faults and throws them at others as if the others
> were "at fault." That has been consistent over seven years in
> here. Some instructors refer to that as a "mirror syndrome,"
> where the subject is using a sort of "mirror" to themselves and
> then "seeing" the other person in that "mirror."

Somehow I knew you'd be familiar with what you describe.

> Dudly has a desperate NEED to be "better" than those around
> him.

Do you mean, for example, that Steve is a licensed Extra Class radio amateur and you aren't a radio amateur?

> ...(they are
> "Auxilliary United States AIR FORCE" members). They were
> "homeland security before there was homeland security!" :-)

It's "auxiliary", Len.

Dave K8MN

# 1691. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 20:12:22 UTC
From: Iitoi <iitoi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Gu5ff.1652$N45.1009@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124256

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1132221917.812217.156530@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

>>
>>
>> Anyone want to speculate on how AT&T Worldnet Services (ultimate owner
>> of this IP) will react to the information that there is
>> computer-generated kiddy-porn displayed by one of their users?
>
> It won't be the first time Toiddie get's the boot from an ISP!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

In addition to his site host being upset, AZbb who provided him with his BBS software will also likely have an interest, since their license includes these restrictions and warnings: "You agree, through your use of this AZbb forum, that you will not post any material which is ..... sexually oriented..... .....legal actions can be taken against you."

Since the site contains computer generated depictions of pre-teen female characters engaging in sexual union, I'm certain AZbb would view N9OGL's use as contrary to his user agreement. ".....legal actions can be taken against you" ????

--
Iitoi

# 1692. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 20:12:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Zu5ff.554$rM2.38@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124257

an old friend wrote:

> Whales has been part of the UK since...

Yeah, and porpoises are part of Scotland.

Dave K8MN

# 1693. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 20:23:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HE5ff.559$rM2.264@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124258

an old friend wrote:

> the japaness meaning of the words is irrelavant

Is that only if a female (Japaness?) is using the words?

> the american usage is the operative one

Really? Is that how it works, Mark-san?

That means that if you use the Finnish term "rautatieasema" in an English language discussion, the meaning would change and that would become the operative meaning? Could you give us an example of what the Finnish term might mean in your English language discussion?

Dave K8MN

# 1694. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 20:44:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rY5ff.570$rM2.53@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124259

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
>> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
>> "egregious" or "insulting".
>
> That's just typical Len behavior, Dave. Check the reply comments about
> ARRL, and the one about Extra Class licenses, etc.

Len can't seem to differentiate between the type of stuff he writes here
and a document he submits to the FCC.

> What Len fails to understand is that such carryings-on are simply
> delaying
> the R&O.

...and may well have the result of making his comments appear less
credible to the Commission.

>> Your organ grinder pal hasn't yet taken the
>> first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
>> many years.
>
> Actually that's not quite true, Dave.
>
> Some months back, Len mentioned here that he had once, way back
> in the 1950s, set about learning Morse Code. Claimed he'd actually
> gotten up to about 6-8 wpm or so before deciding all the 'hard work'
> wasn't worth it. That was just about the time 27 MHz cb came along,
> and he jumped on that.

Your point may be valid but I learned the Morse Code in the Scouts,
before I had any idea of becoming a ham. The fellow who taught it did
it right. There was no counting of dots and dashes. He linked the
letter sounds to groups of words like "here comes the bride" for "Q".
It wasn't work; it was fun. Brushing up my code a couple of years later
before taking the Novice exam wasn't any work either.

> What I think happened is this: Len discovered that unlike "book
> learning",
> he didn't pick up on the Morse Code in a few quick lessons. For him it
> took some 'hard work' to learn, and that conflicted with his view of
> himself
> as a 'professional in radio-electronics'. Not only that, but learning
> Morse
> Code would not help Len 'PROFESSIONALLY' - there was no money

> reward waiting. So he gave up.

I agree.

>> He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
>> radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.
>
> Which would lead any logical person to wonder why he's so interested
> in amateur radio regulations. He won't say *why* all this matters so
> much to him.

He bears a long time grudge?

Dave K8MN

# 1695. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 20:54:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <I56ff.573$rM2.318@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124260

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> ah I guess Dave does not like american doing their civic duty
>> CIVIC DUTY...?!?!?
>
> yes civic duty
> cuting stevie crying
>
>> I "dared" to challenge one of Lennie's comments to the FCC in
>> EXACTLY the same manner and he went on for Y E A R S about how I
>> allegedly tried to challenge his "right" to seek redress from the
>> government! ! ! !
>
> becuase you did
>
> you tried to get the FCC to violate the Consititution of the US becuase
> you don't like Len anderson. Personaly I am glad you did, made anything
> else you tired to say all but unread

Let me be certain that I understand you correctly (whew!), Mark. You claim that Len was doing his civic duty as an American (we'll leave out those nearly 300,000,000 shirkers who were *not* doing their civic duty in commenting or replying to comments on 05-235), but when Steve did something similar, he was trying to get the FCC to violate the Constitution. Is that about it? Do you think that Len doesn't like Mr. Rightsell or Extra Class licensees?

Show us that you know the meaning of the word "civic", Mark. If that doesn't work for you, show us that you know the meaning of the word "civet".

Dave K8MN

# 1696. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 21:11:47 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <rdudnafp79Hbb-HenZ2dnUVZ_sydnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 124261

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:xL4ff.1822$jI5.1804@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> an old friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
>>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
>>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
>
>> esp Dave who it sems is his friend
>
> Oh, I'm not "ESP Dave". My friends just call me "Dave". You may call me
> "Mister Heil". It seems like ages since you've discussed anything here
> but Steve. Tell us how you feel about the possible ramifications brought
> on by taking privileges away from code-tested Techs.
>
> Dave K8MN

As I read the NPRM, each licensee will keep the privileges that they have
until and unless they take a test to upgrade. That means the code-tested
Techs will keep the HF privileges that they now have.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 1697. Re: this message is for keyclowns selling amps on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 21:13:22 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132262002.490883.172680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.homosexuality

Steveo wrote:
> DEAR AKC:
>
> I AM LISTING MY HOT MAN-PUSS ON E-BAY. THERE'S NOTHING YOU CAN DO TO
> STOP IT!!!
>
> I WILL GET A COCK IN MY ASS IF THE LAST THING I DO.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>

>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>

> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com

Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist
Flip that wrist so limp
mopathetic is a chicken boi
With the IQ of a chimp

# 1698. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 21:47:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NT6ff.587$rM2.201@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1132231692.850009.29610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

> Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
> uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
> UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)

My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
says:

"2000. INTRODUCTION. Reference (f) directs the services to provide enlisted
service members with all uniforms required for wear. Initially, this
requirement is met by issuing all recruits the Initial Clothing Allowance
(commonly known as the "sea bag") at recruit training. Marine recruits are
issued required uniforms, t-shirts, shorts, and sweat suits; free of charge.
This chapter contains a listing of the Initial Clothing Allowance (seabag) issue
and other authorized clothing allowances, issues, and entitlement criteria.
Allowances are gratuitous, i.e. at government expense. Authorized personnel do
not pay for allowances, neither directly nor via pay checkage."

Seems pretty clear to me that the initial "sea bag" issued at MCRD is "free of
charge".

> Ask him/her if MY assertion about B-Billet assignments (Drill
> Instructor, I&I duty, Eighth and I Street/HQMC, Embassy Duty, etc)
> rating an issue of dress blues is true or not.

That would come under the heading of a supplementary clothing allowance. MCO
P10120.28G has this to say about that.

"2008. SUPPLEMENTARY CLOTHING ALLOWANCES. Supplementary clothing allowances
are additional/extra clothing allowances authorized to enlisted personnel when
they are assigned to a tour of duty that requires extra clothing for the
performance of such duty. Supplementary clothing allowances are additional
quantities or special items of uniform clothing not required by the majority of
other enlisted personnel. Organizational clothing should also be considered as
a means for providing additional, mission-specific uniform clothing, per chapter
7 of this Manual. Supplementary clothing allowances may not exceed 20 percent
of the Initial Clothing Allowance established by the Assistant Secretary of
Defense (ASD(FMP))."

As an example of a supplementary allowance, recruiters are issued a
supplementary allowance. MCO P10120.28G describes the procedure thusly:

"(3) The Recruiters Blue Uniform Allowance will be issued to students
immediately upon successful completion of the Recruiters School, MCRD, San

Diego. When transfer orders are received and special measurement clothing is required, the clothing officer will forward the requisition and appropriate measurements to DSCP requesting that the special measurement clothing be forwarded to the CO of the organization to which the individual was transferred."

Note that the recruiter is not issued a check to buy the items, but the clothing officer actually ORDERS the clothing items from the Defense Supply Center, Philadelphia (DSCP). DCSP sends the items to the Marine at his new (recruiting) post.

To conserve bandwidth, I'll not give other examples (like 8th & I, etc.), but all instances follow this same pattern --- the initial issue of a required uniform is gratuitous in every case, without any pay checkage or "chit" required from the Marine.

Cash payment IS required for replacement of issued uniform items, and Marines are paid a monetary yearly "maintenance allowance" on the anniversary of their enlistment.

# 1699. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 21:56:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132264576.503004.185990@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124264

The guy who created the software has been to my site a number of times, to help me remove some bugs in the program, here is the license agreement for the software

AZ Licence: Terms and Conditions

Preamble

Unless otherwise specifically stated, following Licence and Terms and Conditions applies to all scripts, software and programs by AZ whether under AZ brand or otherwise.

The script, associated documentations, files and related items ("the Software") is licensed software and copyright of AZ ("the Copyright Holder").

The Software is being provided by the Copyright Holder under the following licence ("the Licence") on an annual basis.

By obtaining, installing and/or using the Software you ("the Licensee") hereby agree that you have read, understood, and will comply with all of the terms, conditions, and restrictions set by the Licence.

Permission is granted to the Licensee obtaining a copy of the Software, to deal in the Software with certain restrictions. The Licensee is granted the rights to use, copy, and modify the Software privately and for own use with certain restrictions including but not limited to: any display of copyright information, within the source code or displayed by the software, may not be modified or removed in any way.

Rights to publish or distribute any form of the Software (copied, modified, merged, or any other form), in whole or in part are strictly prohibited. Rights to take any action not covered here with relation to the Software are DENIED without written authorization to be obtained from all the Copyright Holder.

The Software is provided on an "AS IS" and "AS AVAILABLE" basis without any representation or endorsement made and without warranty of any kind whether express or implied, including but not limited to the implied warranties of satisfactory quality, fitness for a particular purpose, non-infringement, compatibility, security and accuracy.

To the extent permitted by law, the Software, the Copyright Holder and distributors will not be liable for any indirect or consequential loss or damage whatsoever (including without limitation loss of business, opportunity, data, profits), whether in an action of the contract, negligence or other tortious action, arising out of or in connection

with the use or performance of the Software.

The Software makes no warranty that the functionality of the Software will be uninterrupted or error free, that defects will be corrected or that the Software or the server that makes it available are free anything which may be harmful or destructive.

The Licensee agrees to indemnify and hold the Software, the Copyright Holder, distributors and its employees and agents harmless from and against all liabilities, legal fees, damages, losses, costs and other expenses in relation to any claims or actions brought against the Software arising out of any breach of these Terms and Conditions or other liabilities arising out of the use of the Software.

If any of these Terms and Conditions should be determined to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable for any reason by any court of competent jurisdiction then such Term or Condition shall be severed and the remaining Terms and Conditions shall survive and remain in full force and effect and continue to be binding and enforceable.

These Terms and Conditions shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the law of England and the Licensee hereby submits to the exclusive jurisdiction of the English courts.

The name of the Copyright Holder shall not be used in advertising or otherwise to promote the sale, use or other dealings in this Software without prior written authorization of the Copyright Holder.

The Copyright Holder reserve the right to modify this licence at any time, and in any way, shape, or form that is seen fit without any prior notice or warning.

This document will be updated regularly and users are advised to check this document on regular basis.

Personal and Non-Profit Licence

AZbb and AZchat Licence is granted FREE OF CHARGE to Licensees, to be used strictly for personal and non-profit use, though donations are greatly appreciated. Licensees are encouraged to contact the copyright holder if they are in any doubt whatsoever.

Any and all other uses of the Software would be subject to the "Commercial Licence".

Commercial Licence

Any use of the Software, not covered under "Personal and Non-Profit Licence" would require and be subject to "Commercial Licence".

Commercial Licence grants the Licensee a non-exclusive licence to use the Software on one installation to be accessible by one URL (Uniform Resource Locator, web address, or each sub-domain or virtual host) subject to the provisions in all sections of this Agreement.

Commercial users of the Software as defined by the Limited Commercial Use Licence are required to pay a Licence Fee per annum ("the Licence Fee"). Licensees must abide by the terms of the licence in full and at all times.

Each and every installation of the Software on a single or multiple computers, whether in Stand alone or Centralised mode by Licensees would be subject to and require an individual Licence. A discount structure will be made available to parties interested in obtaining Commercial Licence for multiple installations (and/or URLs) of the Software.

AZbb Commercial Price Structure

01 Licence => £20 (pound sterling)
02 Licences => 25% Discount
03+ Licences => 30% Discount
05+ Licences => 35% Discount
10+ Licences => 40% Discount
20+ Licences => 45% Discount
50+ Licences => 50% Discount

Licensor does not give Licence Fee refunds. The Software can and should be examined prior to requesting and obtaining a Commercial Licence.

Copyright and User Contribution

All users who contribute to the Software in any form whatsoever herby agree that the contribution would become part of and copyright of the Software. Unless specifically granted by the Copyright Holder of the Software, contributors herby agree to forfeit all copyright arising and associated with their contribution.

Contributors are encouraged to contact the Copyright Holder if they are in any doubt whatsoever.

NICE TRY THOUGH.....

Todd
Demented Otaku BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

# 1700. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 22:13:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132265632.944778.53580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124265

>Anyone want to speculate on how AT&T Worldnet Services (ultimate owner
>of this IP) will react to the information that there is
>computer-generated kiddy-porn displayed by one of their users?

I really doubt they do anything thing because it's legal, the US
Supreme Court ruled as long as real childern weren't involved in the
production of it then "virtual porn" is very must likely to be
protected by the First Amendment (note: that's not their words, but
close to it). and Secondly that is just ONE board on demented Otaku
BBS, the BBS is there not only for that but to discuss other things in
the anime world, and new boards are just about added every day. As a
matter of fact I started adding "specialized boards" for the discussion
of a certain Anime. but nice try anyway :)

Todd N9OGL
Demented Otaku BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

# 1701. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 22:16:10 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <clvpn1lonlmsdce5bicuujfqpfjncvdgmf@4ax.com>
Source record: 124266
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 17 Nov 2005 21:47:25 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <NT6ff.587$rM2.201@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

<snip>
>My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
>says:

Notice the date. The regs were different when I was in the Corps (and
when Dudly claims to have served).

<snip>
>Cash payment IS required for replacement of issued uniform items, and Marines
>are paid a monetary yearly "maintenance allowance" on the anniversary of their
>enlistment.

We were given a monthly clothing allowance and it wasn't much -- just
a few dollars each month.

If you want to make a case then post the regs that were in effect at
the time in question.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1702. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 22:18:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132265918.245462.300600@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124267

Two more things. first were now up to five people now hehehe, and
secondly you can find the Supreme Court ruling at

http://straylight.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/00-795.ZS.html

Todd N9OGL
Demented Otaku BBS
http://12.156.123.29/azbb/azbb.php

# 1703. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 22:23:02 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132266182.286142.83360@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124268

>Todd N9OGL
>Demented Otaku BBS
>http://12.156.123.29/azbb/azbb.php

sorry wrong IP it's
htttp://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

# 1704. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 22:32:17 UTC
From: Harry <hhh@harryhhh.net>
Message-ID: <dlj0a4$m0g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hey Hans. When you go down to the Legion Hall to spin
your war stories, do you wear your uniform? Do you pin large
medals to it, or do you just pin the ribbons on it?

# 1705. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 22:32:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ty7ff.600$rM2.504@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote

> 
> If you want to make a case then post the regs that were in effect at
> the time in question.
> 

I already made MY case. My documentation stands unless YOU can post
countervailing documentation to make YOUR case.

Good luck on this one now!

# 1706. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 22:41:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132267265.110528.214120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Thu, 17 Nov 2005 21:47:25 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote in <NT6ff.587$rM2.201@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> <snip>
> >My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
> >says:
>
>
> Notice the date. The regs were different when I was in the Corps (and
> when Dudly claims to have served).

No, they were NOT different, except for the one-and-only part you
DID get right...INITIALLY Marines only received a monthly allowace for
MAINTENANCE of uniforms.

> <snip>
> >Cash payment IS required for replacement of issued uniform items, and Marines
> >are paid a monetary yearly "maintenance allowance" on the anniversary of their
> >enlistment.
>
> We were given a monthly clothing allowance and it wasn't much -- just
> a few dollars each month.

Uh huh.

In the early 80's.

> If you want to make a case then post the regs that were in effect at
> the time in question.

The "regs" that Hans, K0HB quoted are almost the same as those in
force "back then".

A quick phone call or letter to HQMC can verify the changes.

In the mean time, get a hankie and wipe the blood off your nose.
You look silly, Mr Silliland...

Steve, K4YZ

# 1707. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 22:44:50 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7r1qn15i9rklhn9513nhpeljh47lhuk5d3@4ax.com>
Source record: 124272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 17 Nov 2005 22:32:57 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <ty7ff.600$rM2.504@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
>
>>
>> If you want to make a case then post the regs that were in effect at
>> the time in question.
>>
>
>I already made MY case. My documentation stands unless YOU can post
>countervailing documentation to make YOUR case.
>
>Good luck on this one now!

Don't need luck -- just google "chit-book" and "MCRD". You will get a
large list of boot-camp stories that span decades. Enjoy.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1708. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 22:50:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132267833.013719.169980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Thu, 17 Nov 2005 22:32:57 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote in <ty7ff.600$rM2.504@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >
> >"Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote
> >>
> >> If you want to make a case then post the regs that were in effect at
> >> the time in question.
> >
> >I already made MY case. My documentation stands unless YOU can post
> >countervailing documentation to make YOUR case.
> >
> >Good luck on this one now!
>
> Don't need luck -- just google "chit-book" and "MCRD". You will get a
> large list of boot-camp stories that span decades. Enjoy.

Sorry, Frankie. Still a loser.

The FACT remains that Marines are NOT charged for initial
outfittings of uniforms, to include Dress Blues, when assigned to
certain duties, including I & I duty.

Guess that " ####bird PFC " doesn't have all the answers afterall,
now does he...?!?!

MAYBE if he didn't spend his ONE tour in constant legal
trouble...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1709. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 22:54:45 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <492qn1lnb16ki332vdfo7g6clm37deh1er@4ax.com>
Source record: 124275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 17 Nov 2005 14:41:05 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132267265.110528.214120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

As for you, Dud -- you have finally proven without any doubt that your
'career' as a Marine is one big lie.

Just remember this: You reap what you sow.

Now go away before I expose even more of your disgraceful bullshit.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 1710. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 22:55:14 UTC
From: Woger <Roger@glendale.us>
Message-ID: <dlj1k8$ra7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1132241257.535113.261490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

Not Too Intelligent Woger plagiarized yet again...

"Behold he shall ride upon a hard dick and his name shall be.." <plagiarized
snipped>

# 1711. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 23:04:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132268656.009802.133060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124276

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > 144 pages of stevies gems have been assembled to represent Stevie's
> > > > record here on RRAP
> > > >
> > > > it is an amussing happenstance that the totla number of pages in the
> > > > selects posts totaled 144, one gross as it is known
> > > >
> > > > Now to finsih a cover letter to go with it
> > >
> > > Are you sure you want to do this? Even if you're right, he'll sue.
> >
> > I'll bring suit after the hearing is done.
>
> more threats

Nope.

A PROMISE.

> >That will be when we
> > can add in the BoN's findings of "malicious intent" and that will be
> > all I need to clear Markie's bankroll for some time to come.
>
> wrong again what you will need is a case. Go read the BoN website
>
> if they have a hearing at all it will be the TN BoN V Robeson not Mark
> Morgan v Robeson

And if they do, it will be on your insitgation.

You're still culpable in civil court.

> any damahe you suffer would be at the hands of the BoN which likely is
> covered by Soverign Inmunity you'd lack a case

Any "damahe" suffered will be re-couped in the civil case against
you, Markie.

> also hold your breath wiating for the hearing according the BoN it
> would be months away

That gives YOU time to hide your assets in your "wife's" name. Of
course if you really are married, a subpoena to the IRS will result in
your tax records and help us to locate any/all "real" assets in EITHER

name. I am sure that finding the rest won't be all that difficult.

Good luck.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1712. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 23:13:48 UTC
From: Harry <hhh@harryhhh.net>
Message-ID: <dlj2nv$vs1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:ty7ff.600$rM2.504@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> I already made MY case. My documentation stands unless YOU can post
> countervailing documentation to make YOUR case.
>

Now calm down Hans, before you have a hissy fit. Put on your
uniform, pin on some medals, and go down to the Legion Hall
and spin a few war yarns.

# 1713. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 23:16:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132269371.113658.264470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 17 Nov 2005 14:41:05 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132267265.110528.214120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> As for you, Dud -- you have finally proven without any doubt that your
> 'career' as a Marine is one big lie.

Nope.

> Just remember this: You reap what you sow.

Uh huh...Take your own counsel, you cowardly liar.

> Now go away before I expose even more of your disgraceful bull####.

You ARE the "disgraceful bull#### ", Frankie.

You've "exposed" nothing. (Nothing of MINE, that is...)

You've guessed, lied, manufactured, and otherwise proactively
deceived others in order to salve your ego and to somehow "revenge"
yourself for YOUR failed USMC "career".

YOU are the one exposed, you little troll.

Like I said before...Too bad you weren't half the Marine in real
life that you perceive yourself today...You might have really been
something...

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1714. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 23:52:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132271529.783470.137430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124279

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
> > pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
> > They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
>
> I have "another thing" for you, Brian: "Jim, Dave and Mike" are no more
> responsible for posts other than their own, than you are responsible for
> Mark's, Len Anderson's or Roger Wiseman's.

Never said that you were responsible.

> I hope that clears things up for you.
>
> Dave K8MN

Hope that clears things up for you.

But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
the way he does and saying nothing.

# 1715. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-17 23:55:24 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <garv@elaborate.net>
Message-ID: <2005111718552489 30%garv@elaboratenet>
Source record: 124280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

On 2005-11-16 17:17:26 -0500, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> said:

> ever notice the strange looking shit hams wear........like those queer
> looking vests and hats with callsigns???
>
> hams are the weirdest,queerest motherfuckers in the world!

Couldn't pass the tests huh?

--
PCs, like air-conditioners, are useless when you open Windows.

# 1716. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:02:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132272164.449277.99630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124281

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Dudly NOT know of REAL COMMSEC if it bit him on his backside.
>
> I bet he knows that the real stuff is COMSEC, not "COMMSEC".
>
> > He takes HIS faults and throws them at others as if the others
> > were "at fault." That has been consistent over seven years in
> > here. Some instructors refer to that as a "mirror syndrome,"
> > where the subject is using a sort of "mirror" to themselves and
> > then "seeing" the other person in that "mirror."
>
> Somehow I knew you'd be familiar with what you describe.
>
> > Dudly has a desperate NEED to be "better" than those around
> > him.
>
> Do you mean, for example, that Steve is a licensed Extra Class radio
> amateur and you aren't a radio amateur?
>
> > ...(they are
> > "Auxilliary United States AIR FORCE" members). They were
> > "homeland security before there was homeland security!"
>
> It's "auxiliary", Len.

Lennie was trying to parody my AOL home page, Dave, where I parodied a country western song with "CIVIL AIR PATROL: We Were Homeland Defense When Homeland Defense Wasn't Cool".

(Small details, but then Lennie always seems to have a "details" problem.)

This is a fact, of course, and therefore subject to yet another LennieRant. CAP was formed in the days just prior to the United States' entrance to WW2 for the purpose of supporting the "civil defense" mission.

In the days immediately following 9/11, CAP was, with rare exception, one of the few non-combatant aviation assets allowed to take to the air.

As a matter of fact, it was CAP surveillence resources that were tapped by NY OES to fly the Ground Zero disaster assessment missions...None of the "professional" resources could get over-target with real-time video and real-time re-tasking capability.

Our sister auxiliary, the USCG Auxiliary, was also instrumental in providing post 9/11 resources.

All those uniformed volunteers...Must be scary to the likes of Lennie...

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1717. Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:06:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132272364.845238.26460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124282

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
> the way he does and saying nothing.

No less than you and the Feeble Five, Brain. Everyone of you
caught in one mistruth or act of wanton deceit after another...And not
a word from any of you to the other.

Pot/Kettle/Black.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1718. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:15:07 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <L19ff.229$qw.207@fed1read07>
Source record: 124283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

Man we just made a visit to Hollywood
Wanna talk about weird garb

Some religious groups wear strange garb as well

Your post an obvious generalization

When a disaster hits -- I'll bet you look for a guy/gal with weird vests and
hats with callsigns???

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Fred Garvin" <garv@elaborate.net> wrote in message
news:200511171855248930%garv@elaboratenet...
> On 2005-11-16 17:17:26 -0500, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> said:
>
>> ever notice the strange looking shit hams wear........like those queer
>> looking vests and hats with callsigns???
>>
>> hams are the weirdest,queerest motherfuckers in the world!
>

# 1719. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:16:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132272998.229708.60170@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > On 16 Nov 2005 07:02:10 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > <1132153330.635058.298300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> > > <snip>
> > > >> he would be required to own them in the Army
> > > >
> > > > Having never served in the Army, I never had that problem.
> > > >
> > > > The two sets of dress blues I owned while in the Marine Corps were
> > > >issued to me, free of charge. A "perk" of assigned duties.
> > >
> > > More bull#### from Major Dud [sigh]. Uniforms in the Corps are never
> > > issued "free of charge", assigned duties or not.
>
> My emphasis follows:
>
> "UNIFORMS IN THE CORPS ARE NEVER ISSUED "FREE OF CHARGE", ASSIGNED
> DUTIES OR NOT"...Frank Gilliland
>
> Let's find out.

You find out.

> > It might be possible that Steve was assigned to guard the Tomb of the
> > Unknown Soldier. They probably make a special allowance for uniforms
> > there.
>
> Yoiu can bet they do, Brain, but the Tomb of the Unknowns is not
> guarded by Marines.
>
> Here's an idea for The Feeble Five, Brain and Frankie especially:
>
> Pick up your local telephone book. Look under "US Government".
> Under "Armed Forces" you'll find a USMC Recruiter. Tell him/her you're
> "doing a paper" about uniforms of the Armed Forces.
>
> Read back Frankie's assertion about uniforms NOT being issued
> "...'free of charge', assigned duties or not..." Ask him/her to
> validate that as correct or not.
>
> Ask the Recruiter how much he or she had to pay for his/her Dress
> Blues when they were assigned the billet.

> Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
> uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
> UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)
>
> Ask him/her if Marines in Iraq were required to buy the desert
> cammies. The same ones they are required to have in-theater along with
> all sewn-on name tags, etc. (Remember, Frankie said UNIFORMS...Not any
> one type or style...)
>
> Ask him/her if MY assertion about B-Billet assignments (Drill
> Instructor, I&I duty, Eighth and I Street/HQMC, Embassy Duty, etc)
> rating an issue of dress blues is true or not.
>
> Youse guys up to it?
>
> Or how about a letter addressed directly to HQMC? Surely you can
> take the above suggestions and pare them into a letter that sounds as
> if you're conducting some sort of research. Better yet, I think I'll
> do that part. Then we can compare notes.
>
> Brain...at this point you can just ease out if you want to and
> I'll let you call it "even"...Like I said, it's not wise taking
> "counsel" about USMC policy from a one-tour, twice court martialed,
> self-proclaimed " ####bird PFC " idiot.
>
> I understand you that were caught up in the heat of the moment,
> but I don't believe that even you're so foolish as to continue the
> "argument" in the face of reliable, third party corroboration of my
> "claims".
>
> I wonder how Frankie will slither out from under THIS rock!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> PS: If you're REALLY adventurous, you might even ask about the
> "civilian" business suits/sports blazers issued to Marines in some
> Embassies and/or other sensitive assignments as an "alternative
> uniform". I am willing to bet Dave saw one or two of these guys/gals
> over the years!

In AF basic training, I was issued a full complement of uniforms, many of them uniforms that were about to be phased out. On my one year anniversary, I began receiving a clothing maintenance allowance that didn't begin to cover the cost of uniforms that "went out" that first year. The mess dress uniform was never an issue item, and the service dress was always appropriate to wear at formal functions.

The AF Reserves attend basic training same place I went. They were issued a full complement of uniforms as well. After training, when a uniform item becomes "unserviceable" due to wear and tear or being

phased out, the unserviceable item is brought to clothing issued and replaced at no cost. That includes shoes and boots. It did not include optional items such as wrinkle free(polyester) slacks, Corfam dress shoes, etc.

I said that it was possible that there are situations where new uniforms are given, guarding the Tomb of the Unknown could be an example where uniforms are kept beyond merely "serviceable."

USN wear uniforms. USNR wear uniforms. USMC wear uniforms. USMCR wear uniforms. USA wear uniforms. USAR wear uniforms. USAF wear uniforms. USAFR wear uniforms. CAP wear uniforms. Tennessee Militia/Guard wear uniforms.

That you did not guard the Tomb is your problem. Given as many services uniforms you managed to wiggle into over the years, guessing what service's uniform you may be wearing on any given day of the week is not my problem, either.

You do your own homework, phone calling, and letter writing campaign.

# 1720. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:17:18 UTC
From: F_A_R_V_A <flyhighfreeebird@aol.compost>
Message-ID: <ecmdnaC3urAVgODenZ2dnUVZ_s2dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 124285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army,alt.pro-wrestling.nwo

i like country smoked hams.

--

Never shit a shitter.

RSPW Heel Hall of Famer.

#1 ranked RSPW poster every month.

#2 ranked in RSPW all-time.

#17 ranked poster in RSPW Lvubun scoring.

*RULER of alt.pro-wrestling.nwo

******************************

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:L19ff.229$qw.207@fed1read07...
> Man we just made a visit to Hollywood
> Wanna talk about weird garb
>
> Some religious groups wear strange garb as well
>
> Your post an obvious generalization
>
> When a disaster hits -- I'll bet you look for a guy/gal with weird vests
and
> hats with callsigns???
>
> --
> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
>
>
>
> "Fred Garvin" <garv@elaborate.net> wrote in message
> news:200511171855248930%garv@elaboratenet...
> > On 2005-11-16 17:17:26 -0500, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> said:
> >
> >> ever notice the strange looking shit hams wear........like those queer
> >> looking vests and hats with callsigns???
> >>
> >> hams are the weirdest,queerest motherfuckers in the world!
> >
>

>

# 1721. A Special Thanks To Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:18:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132273107.261244.23890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124286

I had expressed my appreciation to Hans in the original thread, but
for some reason, some posts I've made to Google today haven't shown up.
As of now it hasn't.

So I reiterate my gratitude to Hans, K0HB.

Thanks, Hans!

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1722. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:20:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132273201.427843.74930@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124287

From: "K4YZ" <majordud@aol.com> on Wed 16 Nov 2005 20:30

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
>> >Dave Heil wrote:

>> >> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
>> >> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
>> >> "egregious" or "insulting".
>>
>> Poor baby. Does Davie think that all against the code test
>> are equivalent to "two-year-olds refusing peas at dinner"
>> as Robert Rightsell did?
>
> And once again Lennie digs in with personal attacks...Yet INSISTS
>that he doesn't do such things...

I don't. Dudly the Imposter can't distinguish between STRONG
formal commentary to the federal government and his usual
"putzy" venalities she makes to others in here...

>> >That's just typical Len behavior, Dave. Check the reply comments about
>> >ARRL, and the one about Extra Class licenses, etc.
>>
>> Pro-coders are BEYOND REPROACH!!!
>>
>> WOE TO ALL WHO TALK BACK TO PRO-CODERS!!!
>
> Poor redirect. Strong on "victimization" twist.

Dudly been watching Law shows, trying to pick up their lingo?

Are we now going to be treated to "judge" Dudly and ANOTHER
fictitious "career?" :-)

>> Jimmie, when you start working for GOVERNMENT as "official
>> watch-dog?"
>>
>> You are barking up the wrong tree. Pizz on it.
>
> More profanity.

BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

The "seven hostile action marine gunny" complaining about
"profanity!!!"

BWAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

<HUGE SNIP of Dudly's attempt to push buttons>

>> I'm "just asking questions," Jimmie.
>
> Me too.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Dudly the Imposter is in no position to "ask."

The rest of us readers have been waiting impatiently for the PROOF of Dudly's claims. Those haven't appeared. Not for seven years. All we have are PERSONAL INSULTS as "responses" such as the one following:

> Why don't you treat yourself to a REAL mental health
>provider...?!?! Not that correspondence school nitwit you married.

Sad to see Dudly the Imposter beginning to slide off the edge of reality into the terribly abyss of insanity.

Dudly tries to insult my wife, a holder of three degrees obtained at Beloit College, Beloit WI, University of Illinois at Champaign-Urbana, University of Wisconsin at Madison, Madison, WI. That's a BA, two MSs, residence studies not through "correspondence schools." She was licensed in both Illinois (working for the state) and Washington (private practice) as a Social Services Counselor (supervisor while in Illinois). I've explained that all before, but Dud keeps on with his personal insults against family of anyone he considers an "enemy." Dudly is clearly irrational and is most likely going insane in his abject HATRED of others.

As to "nitwit," I don't remember my wife's IQ rating back in high school days (our Class of 1951 was given a two-week long battery of tests by U of I as part of a state-wide study) but it was quite high. While my wife HAS worked with mentally-deranged persons, I need NO extra information from her to recognize abberant behavior such as Dudly the Imposter has done in here. Such irrational behavior is easily spotted after being an observant adult for a long period of time.

Why does Dudly persist in his personal insults of everyone who disagrees with him in here? That's the irrational part. Coupled with his abject REFUSAL to provide any PROOF of his claims, it shows the irrationality as if it were spotlighted.

Dudly the Imposter has yet to produce any PROOF of his many and very varied CLAIMS. None in seven years of his spewing hatred against others. His "military career" is apparently just a big package of lies. We readers know he has no real concept of radio or electronics theory. We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has ever "worked" him on

the amateur bands. Dudly provides NO names of his friends in amateur radio nor in any of the hobbies he claims to be involved in. Dudly provides NO names of any friends in the USMC during a supposed 18-year term of service. He appears to be nothing but a FRAUD, a con man, a snow-jobber with an addiction to things military, uniforms, and heroic actions claimed of himself. He wants all the attention focussed on HIM yet he will NOT provide any proof himself. When challenged on anything, Dudly "responds" with a salvo of personal insults in an attempt to misdirect the thread into some kind of "battle" between HIM and all others.

This thread started out concerning NPRM 05-143 and WT Docket 05-235. Those are policy issues that have recently been before the U.S. amateur community. I think it should stay that way and NOT plunge into the irrational chasm of Dudly at war with his enemies. He can never win that war.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1723. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:22:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132273335.205512.80300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1132231692.850009.29610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> > Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
> > uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
> > UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)
>
> My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
> says:

Steve was in the USMC on 08 JUL 05?

# 1724. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:23:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132273400.487420.41280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124289

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 02:45

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
>> >Dave Heil wrote:

>> >What Len fails to understand is that such carryings-on are simply
>> >delaying The R&O.
>>
>> Whoa! "Commissioner Miccolis" is WARNING everyone?
>
>Nope - just stating some facts. Your wordy personal attacks in reply
>comments to FCC just make you look worse than those you attack, Len.

Only to mighty motivated macho morsemen. :-)

>But you hadn't taken even the first baby step to getting an amateur
>radio license.

WHY was that required? You haven't explained the "necessity"
of gettng an amateur license FIRST.

Jimmie, you really DON'T understand the environment I was
working in/at. Go download:

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

...in order to see that environment. NONE of it required any
"baby steps" going FIRST through some hobby license.

>But you still hadn't taken even the first baby step to getting an
>amateur radio license.

WHY? You are starting to sound as irrational as Dudly...

>Actually two errors. Got the date wrong and the sequence with cb.

With all those ERRORS you make, you are getting a reputation
for irrationality and unreliability...

>And you *still* hadn't taken even the first baby step to getting an
>amateur radio license.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, and Jimmie is still walking around in his
baby shoes, taking little baby steps.

Quick, somebody change his diaper...

>> >What I think happened is this: Len discovered that unlike "book learning",
>> >he didn't pick up on the Morse Code in a few quick lessons.
>
>Looks like that part's true.

My, my, little baby step Jimmie IS starting to look as hateful
as Dudly, always seeking to impugn my "motivations" (that you
think up in your fantasy).

>> There was NO INTELLECTUAL REWARD "waiting," sweetums.
>
>Sure there was - the amateur radio license and all that you could
>do with it. Wasn't worth your time? Fine!

So...I already had plenty of REAL experience in PROFESSIONAL
HF radio communications, a First 'Phone (Commercial), and
those were "NOT intellectual?!?"

You ARE getting irrational.

>> There was NO
>> INTELLECTUAL reward in it.
>
>Then what's your problem?

YOUR irrationality.

>In other words, if it didn't make money for you, it wasn't going to
>get your time and effort.

You really can't understand anyone who doesn't have YOUR
immaculate set of "standards" can you?

>Do you always have to be on the cutting edge, Len? Do you have a
>cutting-edge computer?

Close enough. If I want cutting-edge, I go to the inside
workshop or the kitchen. Lots of good cutting tools there.

>In 1962 I was 8 years old
>
>5 years later, in 1967, I was a licensed amateur radio operator at age
>13. You were not.

At 13 you were still taking baby steps?

>I got the Second Phone at age 18, in 1972. Didn't need a First Phone.

At 18 you still hadn't taken off the baby shoes?

>You don't tell us why *you* are so interested in something you are
>not a part of and most probably never will be.

Take off your baby shoes and quit bawling like an infant.

YOU, still in your baby shoes, aren't worthy of an answer
to your demands for "motivation." When given, you won't

accept any reasonable explanation.

I don't care to be a baby sitter for some puerile mewling
about "baby shoes."

>> Let's see...I am retired from a successful career (from regular
>> hours, I still do contract work IF I want to) in electronics
>> design engineering, have a fine house (all paid for), have a
>> wonderful wife (who was also my high school sweetheart), have
>> had enough TITLES and POSITIONS to satisfy me, half century,
>> a comfortable income to handle easy living now.
>
>You've told us how great things are for you many, many times, Len.
>As if all that somehow explains your obsession.

"Obsession?!?" :-)

Trying to change federal law is an "obsession?"

Yes, I can see where baby shoe wearers would get petulant and
whiny if their hobby radio toys and merit badges are
threatened...

>But you still haven't taken even the first baby step to getting an
>amateur radio license.

Again with "baby shoes." Irrational.

>> I do NOT NEED TO PROVE ANYTHING by getting more TITLES, more
>> certificates suitable for framing.
>
>Not about that at all.

YES, it IS, Jimmie. You haven't graduated to ADULT shoes
yet and you are in middle age.

>Then why are you so unfriendly here, Len?

PCTAs are NOT a friendly sort when their radio toys and merit
badges are threatened. Especially those who are still wearing
their baby shoes such as yourself.

>But you mention them and your other accomplishments here, and in
>comments to FCC, over and over again.
>
>Yet you have no amateur radio license....

BWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Yup, Jimmie, with that "comparison/analogue" you have exhibited
great IRRATIONALITY.

>> We are secure
>> in ourselves. We've "done it" and DON'T need to brag, don't
>> need more pretty certificates suitable for framing.

>
>Then why are you here?

It is part of a greater effort to eliminate morse code testing
from United States amateur radio regulations on license exams.

How many times must I repeat that before you understand?

>It seems to me that what you most enjoy about amateur radio is insulting
>and denigrating radio amateurs via the internet.

Sorry, Jimmie, I only "insult" those poor misguided souls
who think that morse code mode communications is still
"cutting-edge technology skill" in radio.

Jimmie, WHY do YOU continue to insult, demean, anatagonize all
who see to remove the code test?

Why are YOU so obsessed with retaining it?

Why are YOU so obsessed with regressing U.S. amateur radio?

>Who is this "Jimmie" you speak of?

Yourself. Are you uncomfortable with affectionate, friendly
names?

>Well, you can't be talking about me, because I'm none of those things.

You are without fault? You are the shining example of
comportment in the "amateur community?" :-)

Role models look very silly standing tall in baby shoes, Jimmie.

>> You are NOT custodian of archaic radio
>> arts. You've NEVER worked in 24/7 long-haul HF radio traffic
>> services. You've apparently NEVER done any radio activity
>> outside of HF.
>
>Actually, I have.

PROVE it by third-party references...or be called Brother of
Dudly the Imposter.

>> Hell, you've never had PRIDE in
>> what you work at at work
>
>Not me. I'm proud of what I do. I just don't repeat it over and over
>and over in an amateur radio newsgroup.

Brother of Dudly, since you NEVER explained what you work at
or where you work, both lacking detail, you will NEVER be
accused of "repeating it over and over and over." :-)

>> and try to keep your employer a
>> big, dark secret...you never talk about it except in very

>> vague descriptions and implications.
>
>Why should I mention it here, Len? Is there *any* employment that would
>change the way you behave towards others who disagree with you?

Brother of Dudly, don't try misdirection again. Tiresome.

We readers will just put you down as either NOT WORKING or
at some place not associated with electronics at all.

You don't talk shop. You can't relate your work to electronics
or don't want to. You make some inferences and vague claims,
but NOTHING SPECIFIC. You accuse all those who disagree with
you of "bad behavior." Sure sounds like what Dudly the Imposter
has done continually in here for years.

Why are you so antagonistic to CHANGE, Jimie? Why must you
keep wearing your baby shoes?

>> Jimmie, are you a merry masochist or a chary control-freak,
>> insisting that it is "morally wrong" to go against morse
>> code testing?
>
>Who is this "Jimmie", Len? The person you describe isn't like
>me at all.

YES, it IS. Jimmie, you are constantly AGAINST the code test
elimination. You are antagonistic to all those who want to
get rid of it. Why is that, Jimmie?

Are your baby shoes so very, very important to you?

>> AMATEUR radio is a HOBBY, Jimmie.
>
>And much more, Len. You think the amateurs who went to help
>out after the recent hurricanes were only "hobbyists" doing it for
>"FUN"?

Thousands and thousands of human being helped the victims
of hurricanes Katrina and Rita. NO amateur radio license
was "required" to HELP A FELLOW HUMAN BEING.

Cut out the sensationalist pandering to visceral emotion,
Jimmie. It's so phony.

AMATEUR RADIO IS BASICALLY A *HOBBY*. It was never anything
else.

You want a HERO MEDAL for being a ham? Go to a jewelry
maker and have them make it for you. I'm sure your "friends
and neighbors" will all gush over it and make you feel very
heroic and wonderful.

AMATEUR RADIO IS BASICALLY A *HOBBY*. It was never anything more.

Say goodnight, Brother of Dudly. Put your baby shoes down beside the crib and crawl in. Mommie and Daddie will be along to tuck you in...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1725. Re: Uniforms And The Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:25:24 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132273524.034164.51870@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124290

From: K4YZ on Nov 16, 6:33 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> We could wear a FULL dress uniform ("pinks and greens"
>> approved by regulation...same tailoring as commissioned
>> officers)...IF we bought it ourselves.
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Lennie in pink.....?!?! Naaaahhhhh...

"Pinks and greens" is a colloquial (familiar) name for the commissioned officer's winter dress uniform from WW2 through past the Vietnam War era. The "pink" is actually a light tan that, with prolonged wear and cleaning, tended to turn towards pinkish. The "green" is the color of the blouse (official name for a suit jacket), actually a dark green, more green than the olive-drab of the "Ike Jacket" which was a standard dress uniform for all ranks from late WW2 years towards the Vietnam War times.

In the late 60s to early 70s, pink was a fashion statement among male clothing, particularly in dress shirts. Such were worn by macho-hetero males and considered "cool." Dudly doesn't know that because he couldn't see beyond the mountain people's dress of Tennessee then...

> And I can't see ANY of them in anything "green" unless they fell
>into the beer at a St Patty's Day bust...

NONE of us readers have seen ANYTHING of Dudly the Imposter in ANY USMC uniform of any kind from his alleged 18-year "career" in the USMC.

All we readers can see is a pudgy 50-something middle aged, balding, scowling poser in a beat-up sort-of-olive-drab flight suit coverall with cloth captain's bars on the shoulder pads. Dudly put that there himself on the QRZ website. The poopy suit (flight suit) looks like it could have been bought at any large-airport supplies store.

NOT ONE SINGLE PHOTO of Dudly in his dress blues, despite his claim of have TWO FREE sets of them.

Total BULLSHIT from Dudly...a FAKE...a POSEUR...a FRAUD.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1726. Re: Tennessee Nurse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:26:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132273604.104732.203690@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124291

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 17, 4:04 am

>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 16 Nov 2005 07:02:10 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>> > The two sets of dress blues I owned while in the Marine Corps were
>> >issued to me, free of charge. A "perk" of assigned duties.
>
>> More bullshit from Major Dud [sigh]. Uniforms in the Corps are never
>> issued "free of charge", assigned duties or not.
>
>It might be possible that Steve was assigned to guard the Tomb of the
>Unknown Soldier. They probably make a special allowance for uniforms
>there.

Impossible. Dudly *IS* an UNKNOWN "soldier."

Besides, he hasn't learned yet how to GUARD his remarks, let
alone a tomb.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1727. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:29:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132273781.499867.214770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: Frank Gilliland on Nov 17, 6:38 am

>On 17 Nov 2005 04:48:12 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>> Brain...at this point you can just ease out if you want to and
>>I'll let you call it "even"...Like I said, it's not wise taking
>>"counsel" about USMC policy from a one-tour, twice court martialed,
>>self-proclaimed " ####bird PFC " idiot.
>
>You weren't even in the Corps. Shut the fuck up.

Told ya, Frank... :-)

>I have never had to hide under any rock. But you do because you don't
>even have a DD-214. Be careful, Dud -- there may be a rock headed your
>way.

Dud NEVER got that DD-214. He can't show what he doesn't have.

In Dudly's wildly irrational mind, that probably is taken as
"proof" that he "really did those things." :-(

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1728. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:31:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132273910.059735.75520@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124293

From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 5:53 pm

>These are the numbers of current, unexpired
>amateur radio licenses held by individuals
>on the stated dates, and the percentage of
>the total number of active licenses that
>class contains:

>As of May 14, 2000:

>As of November 15, 2005:

So, from which website did Jimmie CRIB these numbers?

Does Jimmie know there are OTHER websites with this
information? Jimmie hasn't given those.

Does Jimmie download the FCC database and do his own
sorting and tabulating? If so, he hasn't shown his
work or allowed visibility into the source code of
his sorting-tabulating program.

If these numbers are taken from OTHER sources, Jimmie
should state that source in order to be accurate. Why
is Jimmie HIDING the source of his information?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1729. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:31:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132273914.474036.202810@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124294

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" <majordud@aol.com> on Wed 16 Nov 2005 20:30
> >
> > Poor redirect. Strong on "victimization" twist.
>
> Dudly been watching Law shows, trying to pick up their lingo?
>
> Are we now going to be treated to "judge" Dudly and ANOTHER
> fictitious "career?" :-)

Guessing game. Match the Quote with the author.

"Your Honor, I >>>STRENUOUSLY<<< Object!"

"I stand on the wall...."

"I served in other, unmentionable ways..."

Choices: Steve, Steve, and Jim.

# 1730. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:34:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132274061.984301.123680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124295

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
>> ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> an old friend wrote:
>> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> >>> OTOH the If the ProCoders have got something new they need to shouting
>> >>> it off the roof top so the FCC might hear and consider this new point
>> >>
>> >> Why mention it here?
>> >
>> >Why not. The comment phase and reply comment phase is over.
>> >Truth is, however, that if there was anything that could have
>> >been described as new, even if it wasn't compelling, odds are we'd
>> >have heard it already via ARRL (QST), Len A., or someone else.
>>
>> The "new point" allegedly against the NPRM was raised repeatedly:
>>
>> "Morse code skill is necessary to defeat terrorists and save
>> lives in hurricanes [Katrina]!"
>
>Who wrote that, Len? You write as if it's a direct quote.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie seems fearful of exposure of something.
Guilty conscience? :-)

Had Jimmie gone INTO the ECFS filings on WT Docket 05-235, he
would have SEEN THAT repeated many times. Jimmie did not,
apparently thinks HE wrote it. Jimmie did not. Jimmie did
NOTHING about "defeating terrorists" or "saving lives" during
hurricane Katrina.

>> Bill, the pro-coders are mighty macho motivated morsemen and
>> pillars of the amateur community (by their own statements).
>> They ARE the "public" the FCC is supposed to support! :-)
>
>Len, do you think phrases like "mighty macho morsemen" help
>convince the FCC to see things your way?

Tsk. Self-appointed "Superior [Moot] Court Judge" Miccolis
thinks this newsgroup is some sort of "communication with the
FCC?!?" Jimmie, you are terribly confused about reality.

Now you just relax, take some deep breaths, and go to the
FCC ECFS and search for my name. [it is very easy given the
software tools provided by the FCC...even morsemen can
usually understand it] Look at any of my filings before the
Commission. Examine them closely. Do you see any phrasing
of mine using "mighty macho morsemen?" No? Well, then,
WHY do you think I stated that to the Commission?

>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."
>
>Not true, Len.

ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis. Everyone realizes it. Why not
admit that it is so?

>The Amateur Extra class license required both a Morse Code test *and* a
>written test that many who passed both consider harder than the old
>First Phone. Morse Code skill alone wouldn't get anyone an Extra.

Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
and could only get a SECOND class.

EVERYONE knows that the Amateur Extra is granted ONLY when
BOTH the code test AND the written examination tests are
passed. One CANNOT have one without the other.

>Do you think nobody will use Morse Code when the test is gone?

Irrelevant. NPRM 05-143 is solely about the morse code TEST.

>> They demand holding fast to the
>> status quo lest they lose THEIR self-esteem.
>
>Gee, Len, you go on about others' motivations but say nothing about
>your own.

Tsk, tsk, you are trying the old, tired trick of Dudly the
Imposter, attempting to misdirect the subject into some
nebulous "personal" fault.

It is readily apparent that MOST Amateur Extras prize their
"accomplishment" and self-elevate themselves to a higher
plane of existance that ordinary mortals. Do not be modest
in appearance...such boasting of yours has been readily
apparent since day one of your appearance on the AOL group
all about amateur-radio-as-you-know-it-and-cribbed-right-
from-the-ARRL-hymn-book statements there.

>> There is *NO* Real Majority in the Docket.
>
>Yes, there is. Try counting by commenters and not by total filings.

Already done that, Jimmie. YOU did NOT. You are trying to escape by accepting Speroni's biased website "tally" as "your own. You have NOT gone into the ECFS and real ALL the filings there or done your own sorting. All you do is blindly believe equally-biased pro-code "interpreters" such as Speroni and then try to make out as if you did it.

The polarization of opinions is too strong, TOO CLOSE, for any statistical validity FOR EITHER "SIDE" to "win." You KNOW this but are unwilling to admit it after your obvious-to-all bias for code testing. Why do you persist in living a lie?

>> As of 2 PM EST, 16 November, there are 3,783 filings in Docket
>> 05-235 up to and including 14 November 2005. At BEST, the
>> total number of filings represents only about 0.6 percent of
>> all licensed United States radio amateurs. That's only a
>> SAMPLING of the "amateur community" opinion.
>
>I specifically wrote "majority of commenters".

Who cares what you "specifically" wrote? This is NOT Moot Court and there is NO penalty for some imagined charge of perjury you invent on-the-spot to justify your words.

>> Joe Speroni is an unabashed proponent of morse code use
>
>So am I.

Joe Speroni is also a multiple-petitioner before the Commission who has been DENIED by them each time. Have YOU petitioned the FCC for anything, Jimmie?

>Is there something wrong with Morse Code *use*?

No. But NPRM 05-143 is NOT about morse code *use*. :-)

Try to stay focussed on what the NPRM actually said.

Are you fearfull that the Commission will take away your little morse code sandbox on HF?

>No, just the facts. All there for you to check. Did you find any mistakes
>that would change the results by even 1%?

No, Jimmie, Speroni's RESULTS are ALL THERE IS. HE did all the "interpreting" and some of that is WRONG...see a "pro-code" comment from an English Department [instructor] who said out-right in her Comment that she is neither into amateur radio nor desirous of obtaining a license.

What did Speroni DO about all those Comments of the 5 weeks between the release of NPRM 05-143 and the Notice in the Federal Register on 31 August 2005? Note the words of the Notice in the Federal Register - the one that makes the procedings legal - stating the OFFICIAL dates.

>Or are you just ticked that someone else did a better analysis than you,
>and had the skills to put it on a website for all to see?

HEHEHEHEHEHEHEHE. Tsk, tsk, Jimmie. "Skills?!?" Money and
time to afford preaching the morse code gospel for years?
Long after EVERY OTHER radio service in the USA has dropped
morse code mode communications?

No, NOT "TICKED," Jimmie. I put my time and effort into a
running account of numbers on the expressed opinions on NPRM
05-143 as seen on the ECFS public listings in WT DOCKET 05-235
and did it in THIS NEWSGROUP. I have no morse axe to grind
long after all the other radio services (except amateur radio)
have dropped it for communications. YOU DO.

YOU are TICKED, Jimmie. You are ticked because the early
Commenters were eager to Comment FOR the NPRM by a 2:1 ratio
that went against the wishes of the pro-coders.

>Do you think that someone who files a comment and five reply comments,
>all basically saying the same thing, should be counted as six separate
>opinions? I don't.

Tsk, tsk. Then MAKE YOUR OPINION KNOWN to the Commission.

The Commission has established (long ago) the PROCEDURE of
Comments and Replies to Comments. Think of it as a "hearing."
It is NOT a "court." It is NOT some "election" and counting
of "votes." The Commission takes in all of those filings
and studies them, then reaches a decision based on what the
Commission thinks is "good for the PUBLIC." The PUBLIC, Jimmie,
not some vociferous pro-coder extras who think they are
"better" than others by virtue of their radiotelegraphy skill.

You already have a model filing in the ECFS showing "how to
do it." Look in the ECFS under filings on WT Docket 98-143
on date of 25 Januarly 1999 for Steven James Robeson.
Remember also that the LAST day of OFFICIAL filings on
98-143 was 15 January 1999. Try not to be as late as this
Robeson person...and try to avoid his Klu Klux Klan style of
trying to strip a citizen's rights guaranteed under our
First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

>> There's *NO* Real Majority in Docket 05-235. It is just a
>> very close, half-and-half mix of opinions.
>
>Nope. There's two clear majorities of opinion, as expressed by the
>tally of commenters: Dump Element 1 for General and keep it for Extra.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Jimmie. YOU are an Extra. YOU love morse
code. YOU are seeing what YOU WANT TO SEE.

>Consensus isn't a majority. It's a lot more. I don't think you know what
>a consensus really is, Len.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, "Judge of the Superior Court" and Sister Nun
of the Above is trying to tell a published author and
editor "all about words and their definitions?!?"

Webster's New World Compact and Office Dictionary (1989) states:

"con-sen-sus: 1. an opinion held by all or most 2. general
agreement, esp. in opinion."

Don't try to explain your remark "It's a lot more." You will
get into some strange mysticism of morse and the Beliefs of
the Church of St. Hiram. Sorry, but the Commission is not
bound by the visions of mystics and is compelled by law to
separate church and state.

Tsk, you are confusing your Nun's habit with the robes of a
judge. Irrelevant since you cannot tell the difference.

>> Jimmie will pick ANY little bit to rationalize HIS opinion
>> of something and has done so repeatedly. Then he turns
>> right around and "blames" the Commission, pointing out
>> some biased "majority" as representing the PUBLIC if a
>> decision goes against him.
>
>Wrong yet again, Len.

No, RIGHT again. See all the postings in Google by "N2EY."

Quid pro quo affirmation of what I said...including what
you've said so far in your post being replied to.

>> So be it. That the IARU was already for tossing out S25.5
>> before WRC-03 isn't considered by pro-coders. CHANGE is
>> NOT allowed to status-quo-ists. <shrug>
>
>Like those who oppose changes in nearby real estate?

NPRM 05-143 has NOTHING to do with real estate. The FCC
does NOT regulate real estate; such is left to local
state and county governments. Are you deficient in basic
government of the United States?

Stop trying to misdirect the thread. It makes you appear
to NOT know what you are talking about.

>> I'd say it's a toss-up on time. 05-235 has nearly twice as
>> many filings as 98-143.
>
>So what's your guess for The Pool, Len?

Irrelevant. Laws and regulations of the United States are
NOT a game or lottery. Are you also deficient in basic
law? Did you fail civics classes in high school?

>FCC made it clear they see no reason to change the privileges of
>any license class.

Then WHY are you so concerned?

>Their proposal is to dump Element 1, which will
>mean that any noncodetested Tech will need to get a General to get
>*any* HF/MF privileges.

Tsk, tsk, do you also try to teach your grandmother how to
suck eggs?

I'm well aware of NPRM 05-143 and what it said as of 21 July
2005. Do you need a copy? It's on the ECFS, pre-dated,
under 15 July 2005. It has the time-stamp of when it was
received by ECFS, different from its Search Results filing
date.

Does a Report and Order conform EXACTLY to what a Notice of
Proposed Rulemaking says? At ANY time at the Commission?
I've not seen ANY and that includes MANY different
procedings, not just on the amateur radio service regulations.
Would you point out which R&O was EXACTLY like its NPRM?
That would reinforce your contention and your alleged
prescience.

>> What WILL happen on a very close race is that about half will
>> be totally pissed off because they didn't get things their
>> way...and about half will feel victorious as "winners."
>
>Will you ever stop being ticked off, Len?

Why do you say I am "ticked off?"

We don't have any ticking device at the southern house. There's
one at the northern house in Washington but that mechanical
clock is seldom used, either by us (when we are there) or our
house-sitter/occupant.

Jimmie, I say YOU are the one "ticked off." You try to be
an all-knowing guru of amateur radio in here, holding fast
to the status quo (and the status-rank you achieved under
old regulations). CHANGE seems to be anathema to you. You
keep bringing up the past, the past before your existance,
as if you had been there. You do not look to the future.
You do not think of newcomers in any way except to go through
the same motions as you had to. Your only interest seems to
be triumphing all extras (you are one) as the ultimate all.
Of course you hate CHANGE. It will destroy your self-esteem,
your bragging rights. That must REALLY tick you off!

>> the future. From what I've seen of past Dockets and resulting
>> R&Os, the Commission does a thorough job of decision
>> justifications, not just on amateur radio but on all services.

>
>Like they did on BPL?

Access BPL, Jimmie. NPRM 05-143 has NOTHING to do with Access BPL.

You have NOT looked at the latest regulations in Part 15, have you? I thought not. View those. Also, remember one thing: The FCC was NOT ABLE TO PROHIBIT any Broadband over Power Lines OTHER than place limits on its incidental RF radiation.

Try not to misdirect into other areas when discussing NPRM 05-143.

>> Those that didn't get what they want will bitch and moan and
>> make nasty but that's only "sore-loser-ism" on their part.
>
>You mean like in your reply comments?

Tsk, tsk, tsk...there you go again, taking things out of context and trying to misdirect discussion.

YOU are NOT any "judge" of who can say what on any procedings and dockets at the FCC. YOU cannot accept opposite-opinion commentary or discussion without being ticked off...and making long-winded "discussions" and many diversions to different areas and taking things out of context.

You are a judge of one...a judge of the Acapella Court, singing your little heart out to hold the amateur status quo as much as possible. Basic problem is that you are tone deaf and can't read the music. That is grating to all the listeners.

"Got your ears on?"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1731. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:36:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132274217.427329.298270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124296

From: K4YZ <majordud@aol.com> on Nov 16, 9:28 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 5:03 pm

>> Dudly has NOT PRODUCED A SINGLE REFERENCIBLE PROOF of his military
>> or medical expertise claims in SEVEN YEARS here. Here's what we
>> HAVE and all found by OTHERS:

> Guess you're going to contest the validity of a formal state
>website?

No...except the photo of you in hospital scrubs is from your
own web page, isn't it? :-)

>> 2. A no-longer visible description of one "brigade" of the TN
>> STATE Guard describing that "brigade's" "communications
>> officer," a "First Lieutenant Robeson" with a picture of him
>> in field utility uniform cammies scowling into the camera.
>
> Hmmmm....Wondering where you got that one. To the bst of my
>recollection, I nver had ANY picture of me in TNSG uniform...

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you DID (past tense). Website all changed now
and there is NO Robeson listed at all. :-)

What happened to that paper "commission," Dudly?

>> 3. A text mention of a TN CAP unit mentioning their
>> "communications officer," "Captain Robeson," but no photo
>> of him.
>
> Doesn't exist. Never did. I've not held a line-item billet in
>CAP as a CommO since I renewed in July 2001.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you have TWO text mentions on different web pages,
one by your unit commander (a female) and on another as an
attendee (no rank stated) on some kind of EMT seminar. :-)

>> ALL the rest that's on the Internet was supplied by Dudly
>> himself, written by him, no third or disinterested party.
>> See his self-styled "Man of Many Talents" AOL home page. See
>> his QRZ bio and picture in a flight suit (which could be bought
>> at a surplus or used clothing store).
>
> At least there's a page to look at.

Tsk, only if one can keep from laughing. You supplied all the
info there...QRZ didn't do it for you.

> Lennie brags about all his accomplishments but lied when routing e
>mail and hasn't provided pic-one of ANY radio related subject matter.

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

Several photos in there. Click on the other file links under
"uploads" for three more.

Go to www.estripes.com and access the Archives of articles, look
under date November 10, 2002. The pictures there are all mine
and used in a double-truck (two-page spread to civilians not in
publishing) commemorative article on Hardy Barracks of the 1950s.
Reporter is Rick Chernitzer who interviewed me and received lots
more digitized photos I took at Hardy and around there.

>> Dudly has supplied NO OTHER LIVING RADIO AMATEUR'S NAMES/
>> CALLSIGNS except that of his "wife" that could be contacted
>> for verification on identity/personality/behavior/etc. He
>> apparently knows no one else except the correspondents in
>> newsgroups.
>
> Even more wrong.

"Wrong?" Did your wife divorce you?

> Sheesh Lennie, are you not even going to make this even REMOTELY
>challenging?

Then SUPPLY SOME PERSONAL REFERENCES BY NAME, Major Dud.

You are EVADING a question.

> And I guess those references to military units, callsigns, dates,
>places, etc were not information from which you could obtain
>references...?!?!

Nope. For one, some of those units DON'T EXIST NOW. For another,
they do NOT have personnel rosters available through the web.

You just go and digitize some of your "official documents" and
then make them available to us. You have supplied NONE so far.

If you don't have a scanner, go to Kinkos or Office Depot or
Staples. Those stores have scanning-digitizing services
available at very low-cost.

>> Dudly has used WRONG references to USMC slogans, jargon, and
>> terms that would be familiar to any active-duty USMC veteran.
>
> You're depending on Frankie of Silliland for your refrences,
>Lennie.

There is NO "Frankie of Silliland" in this newsgroup. There
is only Frank Gilliland.

Not being all that familiar with USMC jargon, I clipped some
paragraphs of Frank's postings and sent them to some former
active-duty Marines. One was a high school classmate of mine.
They all agreed that Frank had the right terms and jargon.
One got OUTRAGED that YOU, a supposed marine, would use a
USCG slogan sign-off instead of Semper Fidelis or Semper Fi.

> Not very wise for an alleged engieenr to use corrupted data to
>make decisons from, now is it...?!?!

How would Dudly the Imposter know? Dud was NEVER in any
engineering position anywhere in the world, wasn't even a
bench technician repairing TV sets. Dudly, you FAKE, you
don't know dink about radio theory.

> I'd take "suggestions" from him about the USMC like I would get
>dating tips from Vipul about "preeti fems".

Given that your first wife divorced you and we wonder about
the stability of your present, maybe you SHOULD get some
"dating tips." You sure as hell need LOTS of "tips" on
getting along with regular folks.

>> Dudly has NEVER mentioned ANY piece of avionics equipment used
>> on USMC aircraft either by official nomenclature or familiar
>> name during his alleged 18-year "avionics tech career" in the
>> USMC.
>
> Is it required? Did I miss something?

You "missed" not only "something" but nearly everying, Imposter.

If, in 18 years of alleged military service, you CANNOT get USMC
terms correct, CANNOT name a single piece of radio-electronics
equipment by either formal nomenclature or familiar name, you
are a FRAUD, a masquerader, a poor snow-jobbing used car sales-
man con artist.

>> That's unheard of in a work environment that is state-
>> of-the-art in electronics; his mentions of aircraft could have
>> been cribbed out of some book on aviation or a website for same.
>
> The CH-53A and D and the OV-10A and D were anything but "state of
>the art".

Then you SHOULD be able to describe MUCH MORE of their electronics.
You have NOT. You haven't even come close to anything but
cribbing general aircraft nomenclature that is availabe on
dozens of aviation-interest websites.

>> The ONLY radio equipment Dudly has mentioned relative to his
>> "military career" is some alleged MARS radios used at an

>> Okinawan MARS station where he claimed an "ANCOIC" position.
>> MARS operations would NOT be a part of the duties of any
>> avionics technician, any branch, and MARS operations do not
>> involve either tactical or strategic radio communications of
>> the U.S. military, any branch.
>
> Almost close to the truth, Lennie, but again, just side-swiped.

No, what I wrote IS THE TRUTH. You know dink about avionics
except what you need to operate the controls of your mighty CAP
aircraft as "pilot in command."

> MARS operation IS "part of the duties" of a MARINE who holds the
>proper b-billet MOS.
>
> I held that MOS. Reported here before.

USMC has a special MOS just for MARS operators?!?!?

BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

MARS operations are NOT part of the worldwide military
communications
network. Dudly was NEVER a part of that bigger, important network.

>> Dudly's "hostile actions" in the Corps were probably the cause
>> of HIS termination from the USMC after 18 years, not the 20
>> needed for a military pension. Dudly ORIGINALLY posted that
>> he received a MEDICAL discharge...then tried to say that was
>> "changed" to an Honorable...then later switched to say that he
>> was terminated "due to an accident during his duty."
>
> Lennie....Ask your doctor for a prescription for
>"Meclizine"...Also called "Antivert"...
>
> It will help you with the dizziness from all that spinning.

Nice try, Dud, but it fell flat. YOU fell flat. Take your
own medicine for once.

Now, tell us again EXACTLY how you got "discharged." You are
confused. First you had a medical. Then it was changed to an
Honorable. Then it was back to something strange called an
"Honorable Medical" discharge because of your "accident."
That's all archived in Google. But, none of US have seen any
proof of ANY discharge of your, Dudly...other than what you've
squirted all over this newsgroup for years.

>> The claim
>> of being in "seven hostile actions" came much later than his
>> claims - and claims switches - of his discharges. A terrible
>> record of INCONSISTENCY which smacks of a typical con man snow
>> job trying to be foisted on everyone.

>
>> Medical discharges are done for mental conditions as well as
>> physical conditions.
>
> Nice try.

Recitation of newsgroup history upsets you, Dudly? It should.
It shows your inconsistency, shifting and shifting with every
challenge to produce PROOF of your claims.

> If we could bottle your "Back in 53..." tales we could take a
>whole generation of sleeping pills off the shelves, Lennie.

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

"Bottled and waiting."

Why are you so upset and resentful that someone worked massive
long-distance HF communications before your birth? WITHOUT
USING ANY MORSE CODE MODES? Over a half century ago. There's
more radio equipment described in there - that I hands-on
operated - than you will ever work on in your life as a ham.
Poor baby...all jealous and resentful. Never had a professional
radio job. All experience coming from AMATEUR radio ops.

> "Real proof" HAS been submitted.

BULLSHIT. All YOU presented was UNREAL "proof," just your
message claims in here. YOU "submitted" NOTHING.

> Frankie of Silliland screwed up by making suggestions that he had
>great "insider" resources, yet can't seem to cross reference SNCO
>promotion boards and other meaningful USMC references...

There is NO "Frankie of Silliland" in this newsgroup.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...all you had to do, Dudly, is supply the
CURRENT website addresses. WE can then access them to see
what they have for data. WE can then determine who is
the LIAR and who is not.

But, Dudly has NOT done that. Dud cannot even cut-and-paste
the information on those sites. Dud tries to FAKE everything.

> Probably because, like you, he's a pathological liar.

Note the characteristic Dudly "response." Instead of providing
REAL PROOF FOR HIMSELF, Dudly attempts to shift focus in the
thread by engaging in personal insults. That's a typical
diversionary tactic in these back-and-forths with
Major Dud.

> I'd rather folks think of me as "nuts" than KNOWN as a liar, such
>as you are, Lennie.
>

> Putz.

Tsk, tsk. Most UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT of a United States Air Force Auxilliary (Civil Air Patrol) "commissioned" "major." What would your "commanding officer" say?

Dudly the Imposter attempts to make out like he is familiar with Yiddish. He tries a personal insult with a four-letter word, a pejorative foreign to him (meaning 'one who thinks with his penis'), thinking that is "socially acceptible." This is just another example of Dudly the Imposter's FAKERY.

Dudly ist meshuggas.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1732. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:38:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132274314.415576.304390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124297

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
> > > >> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
> > > >> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
> > > >> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
> > > >
> > > > It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
> > > > post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
> > > > rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.
> > >
> > > "Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
> > > you're starting to write like him.
> >
> > Dave is trying to insult me.
>
> I'll have to admit, Brain...
>
> Dave could NEVER insult you half as well as you insult yourself...

But you're a proven liar, so what am I to believe?

> > > If I'd wanted to post an
> > > instructional message on DXing, I'd likely post it to the DX newsgroup.
> >
> > Do you need directions?
>
> Do you know of one? It certainly doesn't seem so from what we've
> seen posted here from you in the past, Brain.

I do just fine.

> > > In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
> > > a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
> > > "egregious" or "insulting".
> >
> > Perhaps you have no passion for the subject matter.
>
> So...
>
> If Lennie doesn't insult and demean the other commentor, he's not
> "passion(ate)" about what he's commenting on?

Perhaps you should ask Len?

> He had to write seven attack "replies" to the FCC on this docket
> alone?

Hmmmm? Seven attacks? Rings a bell.

> > > Your organ grinder pal
> >
> > Organ grinder pal?
> >
> > Are you trying to insult someone? In your comments on RRAP, why do you
> > find it necessary to target single individuals and groups?
>
> If it's not a single person or a group, what is it?
>
> And Brain...
>
> You ARE Lennie's red-hatted monkey.

And you're a proven liar, so what am I to believe?

> > hasn't yet taken the
> > > first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
> > > many years. He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
> > > radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.
> >
> > I guess Len is relegated to a life of professional radio.
>
> No...he COULD have had a life in "professional radio".
>
> Very little of any of Lennie's CV deals with "radio".

"A" NCOIC of Okinawa MARS. State Militia comms officer. CAP comms
officer. Hi!

> > > Carry on, little red-hatted monkey.
> >
> > More insults? Way to go, Dave. Pillar of the radio community. Good
> > DX!
>
> Lame redirect, Brain. Ineffective, transparent.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

"Your Honor, I >>>STRENUOUSLY<<< Object!"

# 1733. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:47:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132274878.148188.259050@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124298

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
> >>>> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
> >>>> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
> >>>> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
> >>> It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
> >>> post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
> >>> rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.
> >> "Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
> >> you're starting to write like him.
> > >
> > Dave is trying to insult me.
> >
> Yep, I'm responding in kind to your usual red-hatted monkey routine.

No. You're responding in your usual smug way.

> >> If I'd wanted to post an
> >> instructional message on DXing, I'd likely post it to the DX newsgroup.
> > >
> > Do you need directions?
> >
> "Redicule"?

D-I-R-E-C-T-I-O-N-S. Do you need some?

> >> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
> >> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
> >> "egregious" or "insulting".
> > >
> > Perhaps you have no passion for the subject matter.
> >
> Perhaps Windy just got his feathers ruffled in comments on an endeavor
> in which he is not a participant.

He is participating in the comments and the replies. At the
Government's invitation.

> >> Your organ grinder pal
> > >
> > Organ grinder pal?
> >

> Yep, as Hans Brakob has pointed out on a number of occasions, Len is the
> organ grinder; you are the red-hatted monkey.

Hans the Dump Huck caller?

> > Are you trying to insult someone?
>
> Are you insulted that I've pointed out the obvious?

Do you still require D-I-R-E-C-T-I-O-N-S?

> > In your comments on RRAP, why do you
> > find it necessary to target single individuals and groups?
>
> I don't just target single individuals, Brian. Married folks are fair game.

I notice that you're taken with Mark's wife.

> >> ...hasn't yet taken the
> >> first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
> >> many years. He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
> >> radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.
> >
> > I guess Len is relegated to a life of professional radio.
>
> Len is relegated to his retirement from professional radio and to no
> connection with amateur radio.

You never know when one will come out of retirement.

> >> Carry on, little red-hatted monkey.
> >
> > More insults? Way to go, Dave. Pillar of the radio community. Good
> > DX!
>
> Examine your comments to my post and get back to me.
>
> Dave K8MN

Not interested in your smug attitude and insulting behavio[u]r. But
thanks.

# 1734. Re: A Special Thanks To Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:51:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132275102.182685.186390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124299

K4YZ wrote:
> I had expressed my appreciation to Hans in the original thread, but
> for some reason, some posts I've made to Google today haven't shown up.
> As of now it hasn't.
>
> So I reiterate my gratitude to Hans, K0HB.
>
> Thanks, Hans!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Me too.

Thanks, Hans.

bb

# 1735. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:56:49 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132275409.900445.318170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124300
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 17 Nov 2005 04:48:12 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132231692.850009.29610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> > Read back Frankie's assertion about uniforms NOT being issued
> >"...'free of charge', assigned duties or not..." Ask him/her to
> >validate that as correct or not.
>
> I agree. Anyone who wants to know the truth should do just as you
> suggest.
>
> > Ask the Recruiter how much he or she had to pay for his/her Dress
> >Blues when they were assigned the billet.
>
> They pay the same price as any other Marine.

Under the circumstances I cited before? You're right.

They pay NOTHING. Not one thin dime.

> > Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
> >uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
> >UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)
>
> Judas Holy Roller Priest..... you didn't even go through boot camp!!!!
> The very first day (when you are standing on the yellow footprints)
> you are given a book of chits that are used to buy everything you
> need, including your uniforms. The money that is spent from that book
> of chits is deducted from your paycheck. My "chit book" started at
> about $210, and there was about $30 left that was added to my check
> when I left San Diego.

Not one dime was deducted from my pay for ANY uniform issue,
Frankie.

Not one dime.

And those "chits" you refer to were used for PERSONAL items such
as shaving cream, razors, deodorant (which you obvioulsy squandered)
writing paper, etc. No "pay" was issued until you graduated boot camp.
THAT was the money deducted from your pay, Silliboy.

NOT "uniform issues".

> ####, you weren't even a reservist. You are a complete Dud.

No, YOU are the dud, Frankie.

> > Ask him/her if Marines in Iraq were required to buy the desert
> >cammies.
>
> Absolutely.
>
> > The same ones they are required to have in-theater along with
> >all sewn-on name tags, etc.
>
> Yep. Still got mine with my name stamped on the back and a target
> (flag) sewn on the sleeve from when I was on float with the 24th MAU.
>
> > (Remember, Frankie said UNIFORMS...Not any
> >one type or style...)
>
> Yep. Cammies, alphas, charlies, dress blues..... you gotta pay for all
> of them.

Nope. Not a dime...Oh, I guess we could reeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeally
stretch things and say that by virtue of my income taxes and that
portion appropriated to the defense budget, I "bought" my uniforms.
But then so did every other taxpayer in the United States, whether they
served in the Armed Forces or not.

> > Ask him/her if MY assertion about B-Billet assignments (Drill
> >Instructor, I&I duty, Eighth and I Street/HQMC, Embassy Duty, etc)
> >rating an issue of dress blues is true or not.
>
> Ask away. Even ask the dudes at the rifle range if they have to buy
> their own pith helmets -- they do.

Only if they want to keep one as a momento.

Otherwise they are issued: "organizational equipment" And that
"organizational equipment" has to be returned.

> > Youse guys up to it?
>
> I hope so.

Obvioulsy not.

> > Or how about a letter addressed directly to HQMC? Surely you can
> >take the above suggestions and pare them into a letter that sounds as
> >if you're conducting some sort of research. Better yet, I think I'll
> >do that part. Then we can compare notes.
>
> As long as you include headers. Doesn't matter tho, you won't be
> posting your reply because it will conflict with your "truth".

Oh, there'll be no "headers", Frankie. This one can go by parcel
post with a real human being's signature on it. Any fifth grader with a
bit of "computer smarts" could dummy-up a fake e mail.

> > Brain...at this point you can just ease out if you want to and
> >I'll let you call it "even"...Like I said, it's not wise taking
> >"counsel" about USMC policy from a one-tour, twice court martialed,
> >self-proclaimed " ####bird PFC " idiot.
>
> You weren't even in the Corps. Shut the #### up.

Well, THERE WE HAVE IT!

Frankie's finally got to the "just mow 'em over with profanity"
mode.

Sorry, PFC! You have been busted at your own game over and over
for the past few weeks.

This time with blood drawn. And the blood was yours.

> > I understand you that were caught up in the heat of the moment,
> >but I don't believe that even you're so foolish as to continue the
> >"argument" in the face of reliable, third party corroboration of my
> >"claims".
> >
> > I wonder how Frankie will slither out from under THIS rock!
> >
> >Steve "was an Active Duty SNCO-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ
>
> I have never had to hide under any rock. But you do because you don't
> even have a DD-214. Be careful, Dud -- there may be a rock headed your
> way.

Uh huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.

So..we have your loss of patience in the form of profanity and now
a not-so-thinly-veilied threat of physical violence.

It took some patience of my own, but we've brought Frankie of
Silliland's ultimate silliness into the FULL light of day!

> >PS: If you're REALLY adventurous, you might even ask about the
> >"civilian" business suits/sports blazers issued to Marines in some
> >Embassies and/or other sensitive assignments as an "alternative
> >uniform". I am willing to bet Dave saw one or two of these guys/gals
> >over the years!
>
> We can talk about embassy duty too, Dud. I spent quite a bit of time
> at the US/UK embassy in Beirut. Wanna go there?

Why? Is that where your FIRST court martial occured?

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1736. Re: Carl Stevenson Elected To IEEE Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 00:58:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132275503.220216.209480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124301

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >> "K4YZ" has become a colorful euphimism for intolerant, bad mannered
> >> RRAP citizen.
>
> > euphimish? not sure that is the right word
>
> Priceless!
>
> The less-than-dynamic duo both managed to butcher the word. I'm with
> Mark, though. I'm not sure that is the right word.
>
> Dave K8MN

Why not find out?

# 1737. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 01:03:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132275833.715565.190540@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Nov 17, 6:38 am
>
> >On 17 Nov 2005 04:48:12 -0800, "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>
>
> >> Brain...at this point you can just ease out if you want to and
> >>I'll let you call it "even"...Like I said, it's not wise taking
> >>"counsel" about USMC policy from a one-tour, twice court martialed,
> >>self-proclaimed " ####bird PFC " idiot.
> >
> >You weren't even in the Corps. Shut the #### up.
>
> Told ya, Frank...

So we have the source of Frankie's introduction to RRAP!

I thought so!

> >I have never had to hide under any rock. But you do because you don't
> >even have a DD-214. Be careful, Dud -- there may be a rock headed your
> >way.
>
> Dud NEVER got that DD-214. He can't show what he doesn't have.

I have a DD-214. Mine says "Honorable" on it...Not "Less than
Honorable", "General" or any other release other than "Honorable". No
charges, lost time, or any form of judicial proceedings against me.
Honorable.

> In Dudly's wildly irrational mind, that probably is taken as
> "proof" that he "really did those things."

What's "proof" is the refusal of the Feeble Five to follow-up on
the references provided.

Some call it "cowardly".

I do.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1738. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 01:16:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OX9ff.670$rM2.233@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124303

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.

(Best observed using non-porportional font)

HyperLog extract station K0HB

Date &#65533;Time&#65533;Call &#65533;rcv&#65533;snt&#65533;Bnd&#65533;QRG &#65533;Emm&#65533;PWR &#65533;Name &#65533;QTH
11/19/00&#65533;0052&#65533;K4YZ &#65533;59 &#65533;59 &#65533;20 &#65533;14.191&#65533;A3J&#65533;100 &#65533;Steve &#65533;Dunlap, TN &#65533;
11/05/00&#65533;0111&#65533;K4YZ &#65533;599&#65533;599&#65533;20 &#65533;14.032&#65533;A1A&#65533;100 &#65533;Steve &#65533;Dunlap, TN &#65533;

July 2001 issue of QST reports that K4YZ earned a "Clean Sweep" trophy for working at least one station in each of the 80 ARRL and RAC sections during the phone Sweepstakes contest the previous November. PDF available for viewing on the web at http://www.arrl.org/contests/results/2000/2000-nov-phone-sweeps.pdf

Sunuvagun!

# 1739. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 01:17:29 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <sY9ff.12701$ha2.9952@fe08.lga>
Source record: 124304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>
>>On 17 Nov 2005 14:41:05 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>><1132267265.110528.214120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>><snip>
>>
>>>Steve, K4YZ
>>
>>As for you, Dud -- you have finally proven without any doubt that your
>>'career' as a Marine is one big lie.
>
>
> Nope.
>
>
>>Just remember this: You reap what you sow.
>
>
> Uh huh...Take your own counsel, you cowardly liar.
>
>
>>Now go away before I expose even more of your disgraceful bull####.
>
>
> You ARE the "disgraceful bull#### ", Frankie.
>
> You've "exposed" nothing. (Nothing of MINE, that is...)
>
> You've guessed, lied, manufactured, and otherwise proactively
> deceived others in order to salve your ego and to somehow "revenge"
> yourself for YOUR failed USMC "career".
>
> YOU are the one exposed, you little troll.
>
> Like I said before...Too bad you weren't half the Marine in real
> life that you perceive yourself today...You might have really been
> something...
>
> Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

>
Hey Steve, could you please stop xposting your flame wars with Frank and
keep it in the amateur ng? 73, Jim.

# 1740. Re: What Are Your Plans For...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 01:33:44 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132277624.415077.289630@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.activism,rec.radio.amateur.policy

San Hedrin Ruling Council wrote:
> What are your plans for celebrating Kawanza this year?

I'M GONNA DRAG HOMIE-CLAUS BEHIND MY PICK UP TRUCK

# 1741. Re: Transformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 01:42:15 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132278135.228928.326050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124306
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.activism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

MY COCK IS EXACTLY A QUARTER WAVE OF 146.52 !

Steevo wrote:
> I'm hoping to run an EM27 base station and am wondering what I need with
> regard to a transformer to drop the voltage to the 13.8V required. £ is
> definately a major factor in the decision - the thought of using an old 12v
> doorbell transformer crossed my mind but the 1A output is probably a tad low
> for a CB. Anybody bought one recently? Would very much welcome any
> suggestions.
>
> Many thanks
>
> --
> Steevo

# 1742. Re: Is there going to be a contest this weekend?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 01:51:32 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132278692.634952.73860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124307
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.homosexuality

LETS HAVE A MUTUAL JACKING CONTEST !
Steveo wrote:
> Why yes, Walt.....there is a contest that is a must for all FB
> hams.
>
> Here at our local truck-stop all the locals are going to be sucking
> each other off and getting trucker cock in our amateur fuck holes. Then
> there will be a biggest load award and also the sloppiest asshole
> catagory.
>
> talk in on 146.52 simplex
>
> CUM ONE,CUM ALL!
>
>
>
> Walt Davidson wrote:
> > On Wed, 16 Nov 2005 21:09:50 GMT, "VE5JL" <ve5jl@ve5jlHATES_SPAM.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >If you want to talk about the contest or any other radio related subject, go
> > >here. http://www.ve5jl.com/message/index.php
> >
> > I went there but no-one was home.
> >
> > 73 de G3NYY
> >
> > --
> > Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

# 1743. Re: Transformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 01:54:52 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132278892.109652.85100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.activism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

SCOTT WANTS TO LICK MY JIZZ OUT OF HIS MOTHER'S PUSSY.
Landshark wrote:
> MY COCK IS EXACTLY A QUARTER WAVE OF 146.52 !
>
> Steevo wrote:
> > I'm hoping to run an EM27 base station and am wondering what I need with
> > regard to a transformer to drop the voltage to the 13.8V required. £ is
> > definately a major factor in the decision - the thought of using an old 12v
> > doorbell transformer crossed my mind but the 1A output is probably a tad low
> > for a CB. Anybody bought one recently? Would very much welcome any
> > suggestions.
> >
> > Many thanks
> >
> > --
> > Steevo

# 1744. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 01:56:55 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132279015.271908.174720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124309
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

SCOTT SUCKED MY MASSIVE COCK AT A HAM FEST.
Steveo wrote:
> ever notice the strange looking shit hams wear........like those queer
> looking vests and hats with callsigns???
>
> hams are the weirdest,queerest motherfuckers in the world!

# 1745. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 01:57:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132279027.719985.259690@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124310

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ <majordud@aol.com> on Nov 16, 9:28 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 5:03 pm
> >
> >> Dudly has NOT PRODUCED A SINGLE REFERENCIBLE PROOF of his military
> >> or medical expertise claims in SEVEN YEARS here. Here's what we
> >> HAVE and all found by OTHERS:
> >
> >
> > Guess you're going to contest the validity of a formal state
> >website?
> >
> No...except the photo of you in hospital scrubs is from your
> own web page, isn't it?

What hospital scrubs?

> >> 3. A text mention of a TN CAP unit mentioning their
> >> "communications officer," "Captain Robeson," but no photo
> >> of him.
> >
> > Doesn't exist. Never did. I've not held a line-item billet in
> >CAP as a CommO since I renewed in July 2001.
> >
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...you have TWO text mentions on different web pages,
> one by your unit commander (a female) and on another as an
> attendee (no rank stated) on some kind of EMT seminar.

And AGAIN, Lennie, for the record:

The Tennessee Wing Commander is not a female. That was your
initial claim.

There has never been a female wing commander in Tennessee.

The Commander of TNWG Group 2 is not a woman. That's the unit
that I am presently assigned to. In my four years in TNWG, I have
never served under a "unit commander" that was "female". To the best
of my knowledge, there has never been a female group commander in this
Wing, although on that point I am not sure.

For the brief time I was in the TNSG, I never served under a
female commander at ANY level.

I never served under a female commander in the Marine Corps.

> >> ALL the rest that's on the Internet was supplied by Dudly
> >> himself, written by him, no third or disinterested party.
> >> See his self-styled "Man of Many Talents" AOL home page. See
> >> his QRZ bio and picture in a flight suit (which could be bought
> >> at a surplus or used clothing store).
> >
> > At least there's a page to look at.
>
> Tsk, only if one can keep from laughing. You supplied all the
> info there...QRZ didn't do it for you.
>
> > Lennie brags about all his accomplishments but lied when routing e
> >mail and hasn't provided pic-one of ANY radio related subject matter.
>
> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>
> Several photos in there. Click on the other file links under
> "uploads" for three more.
>
> Go to www.estripes.com and access the Archives of articles, look
> under date November 10, 2002. The pictures there are all mine
> and used in a double-truck (two-page spread to civilians not in
> publishing) commemorative article on Hardy Barracks of the 1950s.
> Reporter is Rick Chernitzer who interviewed me and received lots
> more digitized photos I took at Hardy and around there.

I stand corrected.

> >> Dudly has supplied NO OTHER LIVING RADIO AMATEUR'S NAMES/
> >> CALLSIGNS except that of his "wife" that could be contacted
> >> for verification on identity/personality/behavior/etc. He
> >> apparently knows no one else except the correspondents in
> >> newsgroups.
> >
> > Even more wrong.
>
> "Wrong?" Did your wife divorce you?
>
> > Sheesh Lennie, are you not even going to make this even REMOTELY
> >challenging?
>
> Then SUPPLY SOME PERSONAL REFERENCES BY NAME.
>
> You are EVADING a question.

Nope.

I HAVE provided you with NUMEROUS verifyable references.

You refuse to use them.

> > And I guess those references to military units, callsigns, dates,
> >places, etc were not information from which you could obtain
> >references...?!?!
>
> Nope. For one, some of those units DON'T EXIST NOW. For another,
> they do NOT have personnel rosters available through the web.

WHAT UNITS don't exist..?!?!

The First Marine Aircraft Wing doesn't exist anymore?

The Third? Headquarters Marine Corps is gone?

Marine Aircraft Group 16 is "defunct"..?!?!

And the MARS programs don't exist...?!?!

Hot flash, Hot rod! Only TWO units that I am aware of no longer exist...NAMTD-1032 and MCAS(H) Tustin, CA. And I do not believe I have ever "referenced" either of those other than to mention having been at Tustin.

> You just go and digitize some of your "official documents" and
> then make them available to us. You have supplied NONE so far.

For what reason? I HAVE given you references that ARE researchable yet you refuse to utilize them.

> If you don't have a scanner, go to Kinkos or Office Depot or
> Staples. Those stores have scanning-digitizing services
> available at very low-cost.
>
> >> Dudly has used WRONG references to USMC slogans, jargon, and
> >> terms that would be familiar to any active-duty USMC veteran.
> > >
> > > You're depending on Frankie of Silliland for your refrences,
> >Lennie.
>
> There is NO "Frankie of Silliland" in this newsgroup. There
> is only Frank Gilliland.

Oh...I see...

Then who's "Dudly", "Major Dudly", "Jimmie", "Der Oberst", "Der Feldwebel", "Katapult Kelley", "St Hiram", "Dill Sergeant", etc etc etc.

Seems those are all diminutives that utter from YOUR keyboard, Lennie.

Or shall we just agree that we've caught you in yet another NCTA double standard lie?

> Not being all that familiar with USMC jargon, I clipped some
> paragraphs of Frank's postings and sent them to some former
> active-duty Marines. One was a high school classmate of mine.

> They all agreed that Frank had the right terms and jargon.
> One got OUTRAGED that YOU, a supposed marine, would use a
> USCG slogan sign-off instead of Semper Fidelis or Semper Fi.

Uh huh..

Right.

Did you show them where YOU used the deaths of Army KIA's to
polish your USENET brasss with, Scumbag...?!?!

> > Not very wise for an alleged engieenr to use corrupted data to
> >make decisons from, now is it...?!?!
>
> How would Dudly the Imposter know? Dud was NEVER in any
> engineering position anywhere in the world, wasn't even a
> bench technician repairing TV sets. Dudly, you FAKE, you
> don't know dink about radio theory.

Who's Dud? Who's "Dudly"

Remember, Lennie...we're using YOUR standard here...

And Lennie, I knew/know enough about "radio theory" to have passed
the FCC examinations for an Amateur Extra license.

You obvioulsy haven't.

> > I'd take "suggestions" from him about the USMC like I would get
> >dating tips from Vipul about "preeti fems".
>
> Given that your first wife divorced you and we wonder about
> the stability of your present, maybe you SHOULD get some
> "dating tips." You sure as hell need LOTS of "tips" on
> getting along with regular folks.

You're not "regular folks", Lennie.

You're a pathological liar.

> >> Dudly has NEVER mentioned ANY piece of avionics equipment used
> >> on USMC aircraft either by official nomenclature or familiar
> >> name during his alleged 18-year "avionics tech career" in the
> >> USMC.
> >
> > Is it required? Did I miss something?
>
> You "missed" not only "something" but nearly everying, Imposter.

Who's Dudly?

Who's the Imposter?

Why do you continue to lie like this in a public forum?

> If, in 18 years of alleged military service, you CANNOT get USMC
> terms correct, CANNOT name a single piece of radio-electronics
> equipment by either formal nomenclature or familiar name, you
> are a FRAUD, a masquerader, a poor snow-jobbing used car sales-
> man con artist.

I've never offered any "nomenclature" on ANY USMC equipment,
Lennie, as it's not germane here.

Unlike you, when I discuss radio equipment in an Amateur Radio
forum, it's usually Amateur Radio equipment I am discussing.

YOU can't do that...You don't have any Amateur equipment to
discuss...except maybe for the tiny Johnson you alluded to with Dave
Heil.

Care to show us your tiny Johnson, Lennie?

> >> That's unheard of in a work environment that is state-
> >> of-the-art in electronics; his mentions of aircraft could have
> >> been cribbed out of some book on aviation or a website for same.
> >
> > The CH-53A and D and the OV-10A and D were anything but "state of
> >the art".
>
> Then you SHOULD be able to describe MUCH MORE of their electronics.
> You have NOT. You haven't even come close to anything but
> cribbing general aircraft nomenclature that is availabe on
> dozens of aviation-interest websites.

Why should I?

Of what relevence are the Avionics of those airframes to Amateur
Radio?

> >> The ONLY radio equipment Dudly has mentioned relative to his
> >> "military career" is some alleged MARS radios used at an
> >> Okinawan MARS station where he claimed an "ANCOIC" position.
> >> MARS operations would NOT be a part of the duties of any
> >> avionics technician, any branch, and MARS operations do not
> >> involve either tactical or strategic radio communications of
> >> the U.S. military, any branch.
> >
> > Almost close to the truth, Lennie, but again, just side-swiped.
>
> No, what I wrote IS THE TRUTH.

No, what you wrote was a near miss.

> You know dink about avionics
> except what you need to operate the controls of your mighty CAP
> aircraft as "pilot in command."

Then whatEVER avionics I operate is STILL more avionics than YOU
operate!

> > MARS operation IS "part of the duties" of a MARINE who holds the
> >proper b-billet MOS.
> >
> > I held that MOS. Reported here before.
>
> USMC has a special MOS just for MARS operators?!?!?

Yep. B-Billet MOS.

> BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

http://www.3rdmarines.net/Vietnam_usmc_mos.htm

http://www.marinecorpsmars.com/USN-MC_House/nmc_home.htm

> MARS operations are NOT part of the worldwide military
> communications
> network. Dudly was NEVER a part of that bigger, important network.

Sorry, Lennie, More facts that you can't seem to deal with....

Seems the Marine Corps thinks differently than you.

> >> Dudly's "hostile actions" in the Corps were probably the cause
> >> of HIS termination from the USMC after 18 years, not the 20
> >> needed for a military pension. Dudly ORIGINALLY posted that
> >> he received a MEDICAL discharge...then tried to say that was
> >> "changed" to an Honorable...then later switched to say that he
> >> was terminated "due to an accident during his duty."
> >
> > Lennie....Ask your doctor for a prescription for
> >"Meclizine"...Also called "Antivert"...
> >
> > It will help you with the dizziness from all that spinning.
>
> Nice try, Dud, but it fell flat. YOU fell flat. Take your
> own medicine for once.

For what?

> Now, tell us again EXACTLY how you got "discharged." You are
> confused. First you had a medical. Then it was changed to an
> Honorable. Then it was back to something strange called an
> "Honorable Medical" discharge because of your "accident."
> That's all archived in Google. But, none of US have seen any
> proof of ANY discharge of your, Dudly...other than what you've
> squirted all over this newsgroup for years.

What's "confused" here, Lennie, is you.

> >> The claim
> >> of being in "seven hostile actions" came much later than his
> >> claims - and claims switches - of his discharges. A terrible
> >> record of INCONSISTENCY which smacks of a typical con man snow
> >> job trying to be foisted on everyone.

> >
> >> Medical discharges are done for mental conditions as well as
> >> physical conditions.
> >
> > Nice try.
>
> Recitation of newsgroup history upsets you, Dudly? It should.
> It shows your inconsistency, shifting and shifting with every
> challenge to produce PROOF of your claims.
>
>
> > If we could bottle your "Back in 53..." tales we could take a
> >whole generation of sleeping pills off the shelves, Lennie.
>
> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>
> "Bottled and waiting."

And just as likely to put someone to sleep.

I looked. It's pretty boring to me.

> Why are you so upset and resentful that someone worked massive
> long-distance HF communications before your birth? WITHOUT
> USING ANY MORSE CODE MODES? Over a half century ago. There's
> more radio equipment described in there - that I hands-on
> operated - than you will ever work on in your life as a ham.
> Poor baby...all jealous and resentful. Never had a professional
> radio job. All experience coming from AMATEUR radio ops.

No, you didn't "work(ed) massive long-distance HF
communications..."

You were a radio mechanic. Nothing more, according to your MOS.

You were not a radio OPERATOR...Nor were you a message center
clerk.

> > "Real proof" HAS been submitted.
>
> BULL####. All YOU presented was UNREAL "proof," just your
> message claims in here. YOU "submitted" NOTHING.

Dates. Units. Callsigns. Places.

None of which I can "edit".

> > Frankie of Silliland screwed up by making suggestions that he had
> >great "insider" resources, yet can't seem to cross reference SNCO
> >promotion boards and other meaningful USMC references...
>
> There is NO "Frankie of Silliland" in this newsgroup.

Sure there is. If there's a "Dudly", "Katapult Kelly" "USMC Feldwebel", "Der Oberst", Jimmie, etc etc etc, there IS a Frankie of Silliland.

Or are you holding others to a standard YOU can't meet?

> Tsk, tsk, tsk...all you had to do, Dudly, is supply the
> CURRENT website addresses. WE can then access them to see
> what they have for data. WE can then determine who is
> the LIAR and who is not.
>
> But, Dudly has NOT done that. Dud cannot even cut-and-paste
> the information on those sites. Dud tries to FAKE everything.

Nope.

Units. Places. Callsigns. Dates. Times.

> > Probably because, like you, he's a pathological liar.
>
> Note the characteristic Dudly "response." Instead of providing
> REAL PROOF FOR HIMSELF, Dudly attempts to shift focus in the
> thread by engaging in personal insults. That's a typical
> diversionary tactic in these back-and-forths with
> Major Dud.

Who's "Major Dud"...?!?!

If there's no "Frankie of Silliland", how can there be a "Major Dud"...?!?!

> > I'd rather folks think of me as "nuts" than KNOWN as a liar, such
> >as you are, Lennie.
> >
> > Putz.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Most UNPROFESSIONAL CONDUCT of a United States Air
> Force Auxilliary (Civil Air Patrol) "commissioned" "major."
> What would your "commanding officer" say?

He'd say you're a putz.

And it's "auxiliary".

> Dudly the Imposter attempts to make out like he is familiar
> with Yiddish. He tries a personal insult with a four-letter
> word, a pejorative foreign to him (meaning 'one who thinks
> with his penis'), thinking that is "socially acceptible." This
> is just another example of Dudly the Imposter's FAKERY.

Who's "Dudly"...?!?! Who's "Dudly the Imposter"...?!?!

And I just think you're a putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1746. Re: Bob Woodward and Dan Rather.....the lairs club.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 02:10:26 UTC
From: steveo-hed@excite.com
Message-ID: <1132279826.408696.306640@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
i want frankie silliland to fuck me up the ass.
rightwinghank wrote:
> They know they lie....but they have a higher ethic than
> morality.........
>
> it is the end result......only winning counts for them.
>
> love
> hank
> ..............................................
```

# 1747. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 02:14:18 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132280058.858241.233630@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124312

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" <majordud@aol.com> on Wed 16 Nov 2005 20:30
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
> >> >Dave Heil wrote:

> >> >> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
> >> >> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
> >> >> "egregious" or "insulting".
> >>
> >> Poor baby. Does Davie think that all against the code test
> >> are equivalent to "two-year-olds refusing peas at dinner"
> >> as Robert Rightsell did?
> >
> > And once again Lennie digs in with personal attacks...Yet INSISTS
> >that he doesn't do such things...
>
> I don't.

Sure you do! Did it several times in the current docket alone.
What was it...FOURTEEN in the last...?!?!

SNIP

> >> I'm "just asking questions," Jimmie.
> >
> > Me too.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Dudly the Imposter is in no position to "ask."

Who's Dudly the Imposter?

> The rest of us readers have been waiting impatiently for the
> PROOF of Dudly's claims. Those haven't appeared. Not for
> seven years. All we have are PERSONAL INSULTS as "responses"
> such as the one following:

That you refuse to follow up on references is YOUR "problem",
Lennie...Not mine.

> > Why don't you treat yourself to a REAL mental health
> >provider...?!?! Not that correspondence school nitwit you married.
>
> Sad to see Dudly the Imposter beginning to slide off the
> edge of reality into the terribly abyss of insanity.

Nope.

> Dudly tries to insult my wife, a holder of three degrees
> obtained at Beloit College, Beloit WI, University of Illinois
> at Champaign-Urbana, University of Wisconsin at Madison,
> Madison, WI. That's a BA, two MSs, residence studies not
> through "correspondence schools." She was licensed in both
> Illinois (working for the state) and Washington (private
> practice) as a Social Services Counselor (supervisor while
> in Illinois). I've explained that all before, but Dud
> keeps on with his personal insults against family of anyone
> he considers an "enemy."

But not a BIT of it pertains to YOU or AMATEUR RADIO.

Yet you'd like us to consider your wife's alleged accomplishments
as somehow being germane to the ongoing proceedings and part of YOUR
"accomplishments".

I compliment you on "marrying up", but "up" to what? I can't
imagne a woman of character or breeding of any significance marrying
the likes of you. Not willingly or soberly, anyway....

> As to "nitwit," I don't remember my wife's IQ rating back
> in high school days (our Class of 1951 was given a two-
> week long battery of tests by U of I as part of a state-
> wide study) but it was quite high.

Obviously not high enough. She married you.

> While my wife HAS worked with mentally-deranged persons...(SNIP)

I think you meant "...has lived with >>A<< mentally-deranged
PERSON [singular].

> (UNSNIP)....I need NO extra
> information from her to recognize abberant behavior such
> as Dudly the Imposter has done in here. Such irrational
> behavior is easily spotted after being an observant adult
> for a long period of time.

Again, obvioulsy not.

She can't see, or can't control, the deceitful
obsessive-compulsive right under her nose, which either makes her
blind, incompetent, or suffering the Alzheimer's you accuse others of
suffering.

So which is it?

Big SNIP

> This thread started out concerning NPRM 05-143 and WT Docket
> 05-235.

No...THIS thread started out recognizing your verbose, vile and
windy pontifications in your "Reply to Reply Comments".

> Those are policy issues that have recently been
> before the U.S. amateur community. I think it should stay
> that way and NOT plunge into the irrational chasm of Dudly
> at war with his enemies. He can never win that war.

I've already won.

> LenCantSeeTheTreesForTheCodeTestAnderscum@ieee.org

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1748. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 02:16:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132280212.142095.243210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124313

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

> > > > "Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
> > > > you're starting to write like him.
> > >
> > > Dave is trying to insult me.
> >
> > I'll have to admit, Brain...
> >
> > Dave could NEVER insult you half as well as you insult yourself...
>
> But you're a proven liar, so what am I to believe?

You can't believe yourself...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

## 1749. Re: What Are Your Plans For...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 02:21:12 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1132280472.013876.175420@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124314
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.activism,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hey sharkie.....let's beat off on channel 19
together.....OK?????

## 1750. Re: Enough about the hurricane allready 4.224.213.174

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 02:23:30 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1132280610.302034.316320@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124315
Cross-posted to: neworleans.general,alt.fan.bill-clinton,alt.fan.howard-stern,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hey programbo........i have 3 fingers in my asshole right now.

# 1751. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 02:32:05 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1132281125.246232.292420@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.activism

CQ BLOW-JOB, CQ BLOW-JOB, CQ BLOW-JOB

THIS IS K4YZ.......K4YZ PULLING MY PUD SUCKING BY!!!!!!!!
K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > On 16 Nov 2005 07:02:10 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > <1132153330.635058.298300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:
> > > <snip>
> > > >> he would be required to own them in the Army
> > > >
> > > > Having never served in the Army, I never had that problem.
> > > >
> > > > The two sets of dress blues I owned while in the Marine Corps were
> > > >issued to me, free of charge. A "perk" of assigned duties.
> > >
> > > More bull#### from Major Dud [sigh]. Uniforms in the Corps are never
> > > issued "free of charge", assigned duties or not.
>
> My emphasis follows:
>
> "UNIFORMS IN THE CORPS ARE NEVER ISSUED "FREE OF CHARGE", ASSIGNED
> DUTIES OR NOT"...Frank Gilliland
>
> Let's find out.
>
> > It might be possible that Steve was assigned to guard the Tomb of the
> > Unknown Soldier. They probably make a special allowance for uniforms
> > there.
>
> Yoiu can bet they do, Brain, but the Tomb of the Unknowns is not
> guarded by Marines.
>
> Here's an idea for The Feeble Five, Brain and Frankie especially:
>
> Pick up your local telephone book. Look under "US Government".
> Under "Armed Forces" you'll find a USMC Recruiter. Tell him/her you're
> "doing a paper" about uniforms of the Armed Forces.
>
> Read back Frankie's assertion about uniforms NOT being issued
> "...'free of charge', assigned duties or not..." Ask him/her to
> validate that as correct or not.
>

> Ask the Recruiter how much he or she had to pay for his/her Dress
> Blues when they were assigned the billet.
>
> Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
> uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
> UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)
>
> Ask him/her if Marines in Iraq were required to buy the desert
> cammies. The same ones they are required to have in-theater along with
> all sewn-on name tags, etc. (Remember, Frankie said UNIFORMS...Not any
> one type or style...)
>
> Ask him/her if MY assertion about B-Billet assignments (Drill
> Instructor, I&I duty, Eighth and I Street/HQMC, Embassy Duty, etc)
> rating an issue of dress blues is true or not.
>
> Youse guys up to it?
>
> Or how about a letter addressed directly to HQMC? Surely you can
> take the above suggestions and pare them into a letter that sounds as
> if you're conducting some sort of research. Better yet, I think I'll
> do that part. Then we can compare notes.
>
> Brain...at this point you can just ease out if you want to and
> I'll let you call it "even"...Like I said, it's not wise taking
> "counsel" about USMC policy from a one-tour, twice court martialed,
> self-proclaimed " ####bird PFC " idiot.
>
> I understand you that were caught up in the heat of the moment,
> but I don't believe that even you're so foolish as to continue the
> "argument" in the face of reliable, third party corroboration of my
> "claims".
>
> I wonder how Frankie will slither out from under THIS rock!
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> PS: If you're REALLY adventurous, you might even ask about the
> "civilian" business suits/sports blazers issued to Marines in some
> Embassies and/or other sensitive assignments as an "alternative
> uniform". I am willing to bet Dave saw one or two of these guys/gals
> over the years!

# 1752. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 02:34:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132281240.454001.298350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124317

KØHB wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
> > We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> > ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
>
> (Best observed using non-porportional font)
>
> HyperLog extract station K0HB
>
> Date ¦Time¦Call ¦rcv¦snt¦Bnd¦QRG ¦Emm¦PWR ¦Name ¦QTH
> 11/19/00¦0052¦K4YZ ¦59 ¦59 ¦20 ¦14.191¦A3J¦100 ¦Steve ¦Dunlap, TN ¦
> 11/05/00¦0111¦K4YZ ¦599¦599¦20 ¦14.032¦A1A¦100 ¦Steve ¦Dunlap, TN ¦
>
> July 2001 issue of QST reports that K4YZ earned a "Clean Sweep" trophy for
> working at least one station in each of the 80 ARRL and RAC sections during the
> phone Sweepstakes contest the previous November. PDF available for viewing on
> the web at http://www.arrl.org/contests/results/2000/2000-nov-phone-sweeps.pdf
>
> Sunuvagun!

Twice in one day...thanks again, Hans. I actually worked TWO
Clean Sweeps that year...Phone and CW. K0HB was the one and only MN
contact for the CW sweep, and one of two in the phone.

But it only puts another LennieRant into the "Not Truthful"
column...I've linked my DXCC award a couple of times in respose to
similar rants. That was in 2000.

Seems they convieniently "forget" as the need arises.

73 es Tnx Again.....

Steve, K4YZ

# 1753. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 02:36:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132281413.702379.70880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124318

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
> >> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
> >> "egregious" or "insulting".
> >
> > That's just typical Len behavior, Dave. Check the reply comments about
> > ARRL, and the one about Extra Class licenses, etc.
>
> Len can't seem to differentiate between the type of stuff he writes here
> and a document he submits to the FCC.

I disagree! The difference is quite clear.

Here, Len uses emoticons and SHOUTS by using all capitals. His
submissions to FCC are full of italics, bold text, and numbered
footnotes.

Other than that, there's not much difference....

> > What Len fails to understand is that such carryings-on are simply
> > delaying the R&O.

> ...and may well have the result of making his comments appear less
> credible to the Commission.

I remember Len referring to FCC Chairman Powell as "Mikey". As if he'd
actually address Mr. Powell that way....

> >> Your organ grinder pal hasn't yet taken the
> >> first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
> >> many years.

> > Actually that's not quite true, Dave.

> > Some months back, Len mentioned here that he had once, way back
> > in the 1950s,

actually, the early 1960s

> > set about learning Morse Code. Claimed he'd actually
> > gotten up to about 6-8 wpm or so before deciding all the 'hard work'
> > wasn't worth it. That was just about the time 27 MHz cb came along,
> > and he jumped on that.

Other way around - he was on cb first.

In his own words, he just "GAVE UP".

> Your point may be valid but I learned the Morse Code in the Scouts,
> before I had any idea of becoming a ham. The fellow who taught it did
> it right. There was no counting of dots and dashes. He linked the
> letter sounds to groups of words like "here comes the bride" for "Q".
> It wasn't work; it was fun. Brushing up my code a couple of years later
> before taking the Novice exam wasn't any work either.

I learned it by listening to hams using Morse Code on 80 meters. I
first had
to build myself a receiver, though.
>
> > What I think happened is this: Len discovered that unlike "book
> > learning",
> > he didn't pick up on the Morse Code in a few quick lessons. For him it
> > took some 'hard work' to learn, and that conflicted with his view of
> > himself
> > as a 'professional in radio-electronics'. Not only that, but learning
> > Morse
> > Code would not help Len 'PROFESSIONALLY' - there was no money
> > reward waiting. So he gave up.
>
> I agree.

Basically, it comes down to the fact that Len thinks he's too good to
have to learn Morse Code - or anything else - for an amateur radio
license.

> >> He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
> >> radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.
> >
> > Which would lead any logical person to wonder why he's so interested
> > in amateur radio regulations. He won't say *why* all this matters so
> > much to him.
>
> He bears a long time grudge?
>
Sure seems that way.

After all, it's been possible to get a Technician class license without
a code test for more than 14 years. All FCC-issued amateur licenses
have been available for just a 5 wpm code test for 15 years now.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1754. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 02:37:28 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@excite.com>
Message-ID: <1132281448.121665.40720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.activism,alt.government.abuse

OK JIM........I'M GONE FOR NOW . YOU'RE SUCH A NICE GUY,I'M GONE.
jim wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >
> >>On 17 Nov 2005 14:41:05 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >><1132267265.110528.214120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >><snip>
> >>
> >>>Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >>As for you, Dud -- you have finally proven without any doubt that your
> >>'career' as a Marine is one big lie.
> >
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> >
> >>Just remember this: You reap what you sow.
> >
> >
> > Uh huh...Take your own counsel, you cowardly liar.
> >
> >
> >>Now go away before I expose even more of your disgraceful bull####.
> >
> >
> > You ARE the "disgraceful bull#### ", Frankie.
> >
> > You've "exposed" nothing. (Nothing of MINE, that is...)
> >
> > You've guessed, lied, manufactured, and otherwise proactively
> > deceived others in order to salve your ego and to somehow "revenge"
> > yourself for YOUR failed USMC "career".
> >
> > YOU are the one exposed, you little troll.
> >
> > Like I said before...Too bad you weren't half the Marine in real
> > life that you perceive yourself today...You might have really been
> > something...
> >
> > Putz.

> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> Hey Steve, could you please stop xposting your flame wars with Frank and
> keep it in the amateur ng? 73, Jim.

# 1755. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 02:46:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132282005.809637.255210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:1132231692.850009.29610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > > Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
> > > uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
> > > UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)
> >
> > My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
> > says:
>
> Steve was in the USMC on 08 JUL 05?

Nope...but I was on 08 July 1975...And 08 July 1985...And until 29
May 1992.

All USMC uniform regs were as I described them.

Frankie's lame attempts to suggest otherwise notwithstanding, none
of what I said is inaccurate.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1756. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 03:15:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132283720.900327.24850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124321

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:

> > > What I think happened is this: Len discovered that unlike "book
> > > learning",
> > > he didn't pick up on the Morse Code in a few quick lessons. For him it
> > > took some 'hard work' to learn, and that conflicted with his view of
> > > himself
> > > as a 'professional in radio-electronics'. Not only that, but learning
> > > Morse
> > > Code would not help Len 'PROFESSIONALLY' - there was no money
> > > reward waiting. So he gave up.
> >
> > I agree.
>
> Basically, it comes down to the fact that Len thinks he's too good to
> have to learn Morse Code - or anything else - for an amateur radio
> license.

I still believe that Lennie had some "run-in" with an Amateur at
some point. No doubt Lennie tried to bluff the other Amatuer into
"signing off" on a Conditional or other such thing since he already
professed to "know it all".

His one and only attempt to get a license by deceit rebuffed, he's
set upon a mission to undermine Amateur Radio at any and all
opportunities. To hell with the mere mortals, HE was a PROFESSIONAL!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1757. Re: Uniforms And The Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 03:27:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132284440.086499.241200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124322

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ on Nov 16, 6:33 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>
> > >> We could wear a FULL dress uniform ("pinks and greens"
> > >> approved by regulation...same tailoring as commissioned
> > >> officers)...IF we bought it ourselves.
> > >
> > > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Lennie in pink.....?!?! Naaaahhhhh...
> >
> > "Pinks and greens" is a colloquial...SNIP)

Yes, Lennie...we know...Just wondering how lengthy a diatribe we'd
be subjected to over it...You didn't let me down.

> > And I can't see ANY of them in anything "green" unless they fell
> > >into the beer at a St Patty's Day bust...
> >
> > NONE of us readers have seen ANYTHING of Dudly the Imposter
> > in ANY USMC uniform of any kind from his alleged 18-year
> > "career" in the USMC.

Why would you?

Where are the pictures of YOU?

> All we readers can see is a pudgy 50-something middle aged,
> balding, scowling poser in a beat-up sort-of-olive-drab
> flight suit coverall with cloth captain's bars on the
> shoulder pads.

Balding? Scowling? "sort-of-olive drab"...?!?!

Who's that?

> Dudly put that there himself on the QRZ
> website. The poopy suit (flight suit) looks like it could
> have been bought at any large-airport supplies store.

Who's Dudly?

> NOT ONE SINGLE PHOTO of Dudly in his dress blues, despite his
> claim of have TWO FREE sets of them.

Why would there be?

> Total BULL### from Dudly...a FAKE...a POSEUR...a FRAUD.

OH! You were talking about YOURSELF!

Excuse me!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1758. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 03:36:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132284975.886234.103440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124323

Dee Flint wrote:
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:xL4ff.1822$jI5.1804@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> > an old friend wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
> >>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
> >>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
> >
> >> esp Dave who it sems is his friend
> >
> > Oh, I'm not "ESP Dave". My friends just call me "Dave". You may call me
> > "Mister Heil". It seems like ages since you've discussed anything here
> > but Steve. Tell us how you feel about the possible ramifications brought
> > on by taking privileges away from code-tested Techs.
>
> As I read the NPRM, each licensee will keep the privileges that they have
> until and unless they take a test to upgrade. That means the code-tested
> Techs will keep the HF privileges that they now have.

You know that, Dee...Dave knows it as do I and anyone else with an
IQ over 80 or a drop of common sense.

The FCC pretty well learned from the "Incentive LIcensing" debacle
where they essentially "revoked" privileges that taking something away
was NO "incentive"!

But there will be folks who will scream that their "rights" are
being violated over and over in an attempt to gain sympathy and to try
and sway opinion. One particular frequent poster in this forum is very
good at that.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1759. Re: stevie attack thread number 10193 it seems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 03:45:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132285519.740974.305310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124324

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > >> an old friend wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >>> I can't believe that any other explantion but that you are either a
> > > > >>> closet agy...
> > > > >> Fill us in, Mark! Is Steve a closet Aggie? I would have pegged him as
> > > > >> a Vols fan.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> You have some "explantion" to do.
> > > > >
> > > > > no I don't at least not to you
> > > >
> > > I owe you no explanation Dave
> > >
> > Of course not.
> >
> > An "explanation" would require rational thinking substantiated by
> > some sort of facts or at least workable theories.
>
> some Stvei can't do

Huh?

> > Markie has neither.
>
> another Stevie lie

"Another" presumes a first existed.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1760. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 03:47:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132285649.537131.220740@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 16:22

>K□B wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message

>> > Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
>> > uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
>> > UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)
>>
>> My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
>> says:
>
>Steve was in the USMC on 08 JUL 05?

According to Google dated 17 November 05, Robeson claimed USMC
service from 1974 to 1992.

There is a 13 year to 31 year GAP in there.

Trivial detail according to the Extra Morsemen. They have to
hang together...or hang separately.

Looks to me like Hans put on his Manager/CEO hat to "calm the
turbulent waters." [he does that once in a while when getting
a paternal urge?]

The unwritten rule in here is to allow morsemen to act any
damn way they want to. That's okay. No-coders and non-hams
are verboten to rise up against Extra morsemen's puerile
putzy pejoratives.

Just the same, "clothing allowance" or not, Dudly the Imposter
has NOT posted ANY picture of hisself in ANY "active-duty"
USMC uniform. Dudly has NOT posted a single scrap of his
DD-214 anywhere. Dudly has NOT posted a single name or
callsign of anyone who could vouch that he is telling truth.

Dudly the Imposter is still busy being the Imposter.

Hans is defending a FRAUD. Good on Hans. Just what the
"amateur community" needs. Pro-bono "defense."

I wonder if Booth, Freret, Imlay, and Tepper know about this?

Well, let's look optimistically. Mebbe Dudly will have a
cute little Ham Jumpsuit photo sometime? Mebbe with a "I
was homeland security before there was homeland security"

ribbon on it? Mighty warrior, etc. Then he can claim "8 hostile actions" after having made it through the Code War.

These mighty warriors certainly know how to turn off any ham wannabes...which may be why Val Germann is still an unmodified Tech for so long?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1761. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 03:49:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132285792.602961.226760@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124326

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 17, 4:31 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" <major...@aol.com> on Wed 16 Nov 2005 20:30

>> > Poor redirect. Strong on "victimization" twist.
>
>> Dudly been watching Law shows, trying to pick up their lingo?
>
>> Are we now going to be treated to "judge" Dudly and ANOTHER
>> fictitious "career?" :-)
>
>Guessing game. Match the Quote with the author.
>
>"Your Honor, I >>>STRENUOUSLY<<< Object!"
>
>"I stand on the wall...."
>
>"I served in other, unmentionable ways..."
>
>Choices: Steve, Steve, and Jim.

HAHAHAHAH...answers are in the same order. That's a no-brainer.

Meanwhile, Dudly is busy, busy kissing Hans' ass for that
navel regulation of 2005. Dudly doesn't realize that the
effective-date regulation is only for NOW, not 13 to 31
years past.

Jimmie Noserve no comment on this. Jimmie pays ALL of his
own clothes out of his allowance. He still has his baby
shoes and those are busy, busy stepping right along.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1762. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 03:53:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132285992.239195.161740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124327

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 17, 4:47 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >>> Dave Heil wrote:

>> >>>> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
>> >>>> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
>> >>>> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
>> >>>> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
>
>> >>> It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
>> >>> post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
>> >>> rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.
>> >> "Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
>> >> you're starting to write like him.
>
>> > Dave is trying to insult me.
>
>> Yep, I'm responding in kind to your usual red-hatted monkey routine.
>
>No. You're responding in your usual smug way.

Hello? Can we say Davie is also pompous and arrogant?

Nope. Extra Morsemen are allowed any kind of personal insult
against others while all NCTA have to be "kind," "gracious,"
and "civil" to them. :-)

>> >> If I'd wanted to post an
>> >> instructional message on DXing, I'd likely post it to the DX newsgroup.
>
>> > Do you need directions?
>
>> "Redicule"?
>
>D-I-R-E-C-T-I-O-N-S. Do you need some?

Davie could use OnStar with morse messages...?

>> >> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
>> >> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
>> >> "egregious" or "insulting".
>

>> > Perhaps you have no passion for the subject matter.
>
>> Perhaps Windy just got his feathers ruffled in comments on an endeavor
>> in which he is not a participant.
>
>He is participating in the comments and the replies. At the
>Government's invitation.

Using my RIGHTS as a citizen. Tsk, tsk, Extra Morsemen want
NCTAs to abrogate their citizenship rights. Not good.

>> >> Your organ grinder pal
>
>> > Organ grinder pal?
>
>> Yep, as Hans Brakob has pointed out on a number of occasions, Len is the
>> organ grinder; you are the red-hatted monkey.
>
>Hans the Dump Huck caller?

Once more, Extra Morsemen think they have civility immunity.

>> > Are you trying to insult someone?
>
>> Are you insulted that I've pointed out the obvious?
>
>Do you still require D-I-R-E-C-T-I-O-N-S?

Maybe we could all chip in and buy poor Davie an AAA membership?
Members get free maps for D-I-R-E-C-T-I-O-N-S.

>> > In your comments on RRAP, why do you
>> > find it necessary to target single individuals and groups?
>
>> I don't just target single individuals, Brian. Married folks are fair game.
>
>I notice that you're taken with Mark's wife.

Tsk. Extra Morsemen seem to get that way. Maybe they aren't
"getting any" at home?

>> >> ...hasn't yet taken the
>> >> first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
>> >> many years. He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
>> >> radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.
>
>> > I guess Len is relegated to a life of professional radio.
>
>> Len is relegated to his retirement from professional radio and to no
>> connection with amateur radio.
>
>You never know when one will come out of retirement.

I'm only retired from REGULAR HOURS. :-) Just finished a contract job trying to find a better way to protect against tin dendrites in consumer-grade PCBs made with lead-free solder. We found a slightly-better way that had already been done, but no cheap way, sad to say.

In case anyone has been on the Outer Planets in the past decade, the Reduction of Hazardous Substances (RoHS) is THE thing in electronics production now. Problem is, without the lead in conventional solder, tin will grow tiny, tiny "whiskers" (called tin dendrites) that can short out circuit traces. Happens long after production soldering. Can't use a simple meter like the old standard Simpson 260...too much ohmmeter current...blows open the dendrite and desposits it back on the traces.

>> >> Carry on, little red-hatted monkey.
>
>> > More insults? Way to go, Dave. Pillar of the radio community. Good
>> > DX!
>
>> Examine your comments to my post and get back to me.
>
>> Dave K8MN

Ohm my! Der UberOberst has issued a Kommand! Sieg Heil!

>Not interested in your smug attitude and insulting behavio[u]r. But
>thanks.

Vell, Herr Heil ist in der Amatur Schutz Staffel und ve are required to OBEY. Frenchmen too!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1763. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 03:59:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132286351.502670.126700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124328

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Meanwhile, Dudly is busy, busy kissing Hans' ass for that
> navel regulation of 2005. Dudly doesn't realize that the
> effective-date regulation is only for NOW, not 13 to 31
> years past.

It doesn't matter when the CURRENT regulation was updated.

A quick review of the order suffix indicates it's a much-ammended
order.

A five minute phone call could verify my original statements.

Unfortunately you are incapable of that simple task.

Or unwilling...In short, a COWARD.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1764. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 04:28:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132288103.447723.270290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> Just the same, "clothing allowance" or not, Dudly the Imposter
> has NOT posted ANY picture of hisself in ANY "active-duty"
> USMC uniform.

Who is Dudly?

Was anyone required to?

We have yet to see YOU in a uniform, yet you have "signed" hundreds
of posts with your former service number.

> Dudly has NOT posted a single scrap of his DD-214 anywhere.

Who's Dudly?

And we've not seen a single scrap of YOUR DD-214 anywhere either.

> Dudly has NOT posted a single name or
> callsign of anyone who could vouch that he is telling truth.

Who's Dudly?

As for me, I've posted numersou callsigns that can be verified by
the agencies that assigned them.

> Dudly the Imposter is still busy being the Imposter.

The only "imposter" I see here is the one who claims to know so
much about Amateur Radio but has never spent one second as a licensed
Amateur Radio operator.

> Hans is defending a FRAUD. Good on Hans. Just what the
> "amateur community" needs. Pro-bono "defense."

He did? What fraud?

> I wonder if Booth, Freret, Imlay, and Tepper know about this?

I wonder what the IEEE would say about you using your IEEE domain
e mail address to publish hateful and deceitful tripe.

> Well, let's look optimistically. Mebbe Dudly will have a
> cute little Ham Jumpsuit photo sometime? Mebbe with a "I
> was homeland security before there was homeland security"
> ribbon on it? Mighty warrior, etc. Then he can claim "8
> hostile actions" after having made it through the Code War.

Who's Dudly?

And why are you misquoting me?

> These mighty warriors certainly know how to turn off any
> ham wannabes...which may be why Val Germann is still an
> unmodified Tech for so long?

At least he IS a Tech.

Please remind us what class of Amateur license YOU hold?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1765. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 04:59:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lcdff.2593$s14.920@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124330

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
>>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
>>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
>> I have "another thing" for you, Brian: "Jim, Dave and Mike" are no more
>> responsible for posts other than their own, than you are responsible for
>> Mark's, Len Anderson's or Roger Wiseman's.
>
> Never said that you were responsible.

You pointed to us as having had some obligation to pull Steve back from
some brink you've manufactured.

>> I hope that clears things up for you.

> Hope that clears things up for you.

No, it didn't do that at all. If we aren't responsible for Steve's
actions (making them what *you* think they should be), why would we have
pulled him back?

> But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
> the way he does and saying nothing.

It's hard to say something in a forum where all we have is the written
word. Have you written anything to bring your friend Mark Morgan back
to reality? What have you written to Len toward straightening him out?
All I've noted here is you egging him on. Some friend *you* are.

Is that the double standard you're always going on about?

Dave K8MN

# 1766. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 05:02:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jfdff.2594$s14.1309@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124331

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> As a matter of fact, it was CAP surveillence resources that were
> tapped by NY OES to fly the Ground Zero disaster assessment
> missions...None of the "professional" resources could get over-target
> with real-time video and real-time re-tasking capability.
>
> Our sister auxiliary, the USCG Auxiliary, was also instrumental in
> providing post 9/11 resources.
>
> All those uniformed volunteers...Must be scary to the likes of
> Lennie...

Maybe Len's one of those *uninformed volunteers*.

Dave K8MN

# 1767. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 05:30:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UFdff.2605$s14.2000@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124332

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
>> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
>
> (Best observed using non-porportional font)
>
> HyperLog extract station K0HB
>
> Date ï¿½Timeï¿½Call ï¿½rcvï¿½sntï¿½Bndï¿½QRG ï¿½Emmï¿½PWR ï¿½Name ï¿½QTH
> 11/19/00ï¿½0052ï¿½K4YZ ï¿½59 ï¿½59 ï¿½20 ï¿½14.191ï¿½A3Jï¿½100 ï¿½Steve ï¿½Dunlap, TN
ï¿½
> 11/05/00ï¿½0111ï¿½K4YZ ï¿½599ï¿½599ï¿½20 ï¿½14.032ï¿½A1Aï¿½100 ï¿½Steve ï¿½Dunlap, TN
ï¿½
>
> July 2001 issue of QST reports that K4YZ earned a "Clean Sweep" trophy for
> working at least one station in each of the 80 ARRL and RAC sections during the
> phone Sweepstakes contest the previous November. PDF available for viewing on
> the web at http://www.arrl.org/contests/results/2000/2000-nov-phone-sweeps.pdf
>
> Sunuvagun!

Log extract K8MN ARRL SS Phone:

K4YZ 11/19/2000 1601Z 14 MHz SSB NR 87A CK 72

Dave K8MN

# 1768. WAS: Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him! NOW: The Whole World Is Laughing At Lennie Laughing At No One In Particular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 06:37:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132295859.448598.318120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124333

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 17 Nov 2005 17:57:07 -0800
Local: Thurs, Nov 17 2005 7:57 pm
Subject: Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | View Thread | Show original | Report Abuse

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ <major...@aol.com> on Nov 16, 9:28 pm

>> > MARS operation IS "part of the duties" of a MARINE who holds the
>> >proper b-billet MOS.
>> >
>> > I held that MOS. Reported here before.
>>
> > USMC has a special MOS just for MARS operators?!?!?
> >
> > BWAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

http://www.3rdmarines.net/Vietnam_usmc_mos.htm

http://www.marinecorpsmars.com/USN-MC_House/nmc_home.htm

>> MARS operations are NOT part of the worldwide military communications
>> network. Dudly was NEVER a part of that bigger, important network.

Sorry, Lennie, More facts that you can't seem to deal with....

Seems the Marine Corps thinks differently than you.

Now who's laughing?

Steve, K4YZ

# 1769. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 06:48:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132296504.716818.160690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124334

Dave Heil wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
> >
> >> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> >> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.

> > HyperLog extract station K0HB

(SNIP) Thanks again, Hans.

> Log extract K8MN ARRL SS Phone:

(SNIP) You too, Dave.

Has anyone worked Lennie and his "Part 15 rig" yet? N0IMD?
KB9RQZ?

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1770. Re: BIG!! - Former FBI director calls 9-11 Commission a COVERUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 06:55:55 UTC
From: WD1CK@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132296955.509489.75820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.conspiracy.beyondweird

Scott in Baltimore crapped:
> What a luser. Posting and replying to himself.
>
> No one will talk to you on the radio?
> Oh, they must have met you. That would do it.

Scottie why are fighting with your little friends??

You were just sucking them off last weekend at the petro.

# 1771. Re: www.drfong.org is a great blog with all kinds of weird news from around the web!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 07:06:02 UTC
From: WD1CK@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132297562.669289.9400@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124336
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy.beyondweird,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.homosexual

Dr. Fong wrote:
> www.drfong.org is a great blog with all kinds of weird news from
> around the web!

hello dr fong........

my cb radio friends would like to invite you over to steveo's dump in ohio for our gay cb club's holiday suck-off follies!

we suck off each other and spit the load into steveo's old whore he keeps around as a sperm catcher!

she was supposed to put on a show at her blow-job booth at dayton, but 'ole steveo was a no show coward.

# 1772. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 07:22:07 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132298527.098903.161660@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124337
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 13 Nov 2005 06:13:55 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1131891235.076133.274720@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >Frankie of Silliland (FoS) wrote:
> >> On 13 Nov 2005 01:27:41 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1131874061.380175.139130@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> On 12 Nov 2005 04:25:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> >> <1131798335.223641.238430@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >> >
> >> >> > That scumbag could donate a million dollars to a "pro-code"
> >> >> >advocacy group and he'd STILL be a disgraced, less-than-honorably
> >> >> >discharged ex-Marine
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >> .....FORMER Marine.....
> >> >
> >> > EX Marine.
> >> >
> >> > Earned when they sent you home with two courts martials in your
> >> >SRB.
> >>
> >> For the last time, plural of 'court-martial' (a hyphenated word) is
> >> 'courts-martial', not 'courts martials' you illiterate boob.
> >
> > And for the last time, who cares?
>
> You do, and for at least two reasons: 1) You make it your business to
> correct everyone else's grammar, and 2) You are compelled to press any
> issue that you think will discredit me and divert attention away from
> the fact that you are a very disturbed person.

It doesn't take much to "discredit (you) and divert attention"
from ANYthing related to you, Frankie. You do almost all of the work
for me!

And regardless of where the hyphen goes, YOU still have TWO court
martials to your credit!

> > I don't have one, let alone two.
> >
> > I managed to do my 18 years in the ACTIVE United States Marine
> >Corps HONORABLY, you disgraced coward.

>
> Once again, Major Dud -- a medical discharge is a 'general' discharge,
> not an 'honorable' discharge.

My -214 says "Honorable"...Not "Less Than", or "General", or BCD,
or anything else.

> But if you have proof to the contrary
> (as well as proof that you were active duty for those 18 years) then
> by all means prove me a liar.

It's already been done. References have been posted over and
over.

That you are too incompetent or too cowardly to follow up on them
is NOT my problem.

> It really bugs you that a shitbird PFC earns an honorable discharge
> while you skated out on a medical discharge after 18 years, doesn't
> it?

First of all, you didn't "earn" an "honorable" discharge. Your
less-than-honorable discharge was later upgraded to an "honorable", at
least per your story.

IF...and I repeat IF your story is valid, you were GRANTED an
upgrade. It wasn't "earned".

> It must -really- irk you that the same shitbird PFC is tearing
> down the false-front you have built around your mediocre "career" as a
> Marine reservist. Well, that's your problem, Dud. Deal with it.

What you HAVE done, Frankie is lie, deceive and, at best, take a
flying-A leap guess at everything you've cared to comment on. And
you've flopped EVERY time.

Your brusied ego has gotten in the way of your rants at every
turn.

Your "theory" that I couldn't persue my interests in EMS without
being a "reservist" is hillarious. How you figured that I couldn't
muster enough off duty time in 18 years to squeeze in a 140 hour course
is beyond me. (It only took 4 1/2 months of off duty time in Winter
85/6).

And Franke, communications encryption devices on USMC helos were
JULIETT, not "VINSON". The crypto of choice for the Sea Stallions, as
were all other UH/AH/CH birds I had occassion to be around was the
KY-28.

Your rants about uniforms are priceless. Unfounded and confused,
but priceless. I was going to see if you'd actually follow up on my
suggestion, knowing that you either wouldn't, or that you'd never
acknowledge that you did since I already know the answer...

Hans popped in with the applicable MCO, however, cutting that short. The diversion of "that's a 2005 regulation" was expected. Yet the same phone call you could have (but won't, you slimey coward...) made can verify that the policy on uniform issues has been essentially the same for decades.

Oh...And your "chit book" references...THAT is the stuff of "confused sideline warriors", Frankie.

Now, unless you want to use some more profanities or make more less-than-thinly-veiled threats of physical violence, why don't YOU go away...Afterall this is an AMATEUR RADIO forum, and unless you've been misrepresenting you identity, you're the outsider...

Steve, K4YZ

## 1773. Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 10:08:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132308481.831657.312910@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124338

You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.

http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1774. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 10:19:30 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132309170.552230.59760@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124339

K4YZ wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
> > >
> > >> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> > >> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.

Is that the papal 'we' or the royal 'we'?

> > > HyperLog extract station K0HB
>
> (SNIP) Thanks again, Hans.
>
> > Log extract K8MN ARRL SS Phone:
>
> (SNIP) You too, Dave.
>
> Has anyone worked Lennie and his "Part 15 rig" yet? N0IMD?
> KB9RQZ?
>
Nope.

Let's see, of current and past rrap posters, I have worked:

K4YZ
K0HB
W4NTI
WA2SI
W3RV
W0EX
W6RCA/W5DXP
AC6XG
KE3Z

using various amateur bands and modes. Mostly HF CW, but also VHF FM.

Also personally met W3RV and W1RFI.

(apologies to any I've forgotten).

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1775. Re: BIG!! - Former FBI director calls 9-11 Commission a COVERUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 11:56:15 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051118065615.597$TN@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:
> What a luser. Posting and replying to himself.
>
> No one will talk to you on the radio?
> Oh, they must have met you. That would do it.
>
Would you talk to a fugly shitstain like this?

http://n8wwm.4t.com

I tried to talk to him in person but he's too skeered of me, so he stayed
inside his section eight slum and pointed a BB gun at me.

He's not allowed to have a real gun since his felony conviction. lol

# 1776. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 12:01:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132315287.870628.107100@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124341

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 02:45
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
> >> >Dave Heil wrote:

> >> >What Len fails to understand is that such carryings-on are simply
> >> >delaying The R&O.

> >But you hadn't taken even the first baby step to getting an amateur
> >radio license.
>
> WHY was that required?

It's not required.

> You haven't explained the "necessity"
> of gettng an amateur license FIRST.

Because there is none, Len.

The point is that this newsgroup is about amateur radio. And
the NPRM is about amateur radio and the Morse Code test
to get an amateur radio license.

A license you've never been able to earn.

> you really DON'T understand the environment I was
> working in/at.

Actually, I do, Len. That environment wasn't amateur radio.
It was military radip, back when *one* new teleprinter cost more
than most amateur radio stations of the time.

> Go download:
>
> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

Seen it. What's your point? Amateur radio isn't the Army.
>
> ...in order to see that environment. NONE of it required any
> "baby steps" going FIRST through some hobby license.

Nobody said it did, Len. You were trained at taxpayer expense,
and worked as part of a large supervised team that took care
of that facility.
>

> >Actually two errors. Got the date wrong and the sequence with cb.
>
> With all those ERRORS you make, you are getting a reputation
> for irrationality and unreliability...

Two errors, Len, and quickly corrected.

> >> >What I think happened is this: Len discovered that unlike "book learning",
> >> >he didn't pick up on the Morse Code in a few quick lessons.
> >
> >Looks like that part's true.
>
> starting to look as hateful
> as Dudly, always seeking to impugn my "motivations" (that you
> think up in your fantasy).

No fantasy involved, just a reading of what you wrote about it. You
simply "GAVE UP".

Heck, you wouldn't even spend the time or money to get/build a suitable
radio receiver and listen to real live Morse Code on the air back
then. Just some tapes copied from records...

> >> There was NO INTELLECTUAL REWARD "waiting," sweetums.

> >Sure there was - the amateur radio license and all that you could
> >do with it. Wasn't worth your time? Fine!
>
> So...I already had plenty of REAL experience in PROFESSIONAL
> HF radio communications, a First 'Phone (Commercial), and
> those were "NOT intellectual?!?"

Nobody said that.

Sounds like what you're saying is that you think your commercial
license
and experience as a military radio technician (not radio operator)
should
somehow give you a magic golden ticket to an amateur radio license. No
way the mighty Anderson should be required to actually *learn*
something
for an *amateur* license...right?

> >> There was NO
> >> INTELLECTUAL reward in it.
> >
> >Then what's your problem?
>
> YOUR irrationality.

Much ado about nothing, then.

> >In other words, if it didn't make money for you, it wasn't going to
> >get your time and effort.

>
> You really can't understand anyone who doesn't have YOUR
> immaculate set of "standards" can you?

I understand your "standards" very well, Len. To you, an amateur
radio license wasn't - and still isn't - worth the effort it would
take
for you to learn enough to pass the required tests. Right?

I have no problem with those "standards" at all.

> >Do you always have to be on the cutting edge, Len? Do you have a
> >cutting-edge computer?
>
> Close enough.

In other words, no, you don't have a cutting-edge computer.

> >In 1962 I was 8 years old
> >
> >5 years later, in 1967, I was a licensed amateur radio operator at age
> >13. You were not.

> >I got the Second Phone at age 18, in 1972. Didn't need a First Phone.

> >You don't tell us why *you* are so interested in something you are
> >not a part of and most probably never will be.

> YOU, still in your baby shoes, aren't worthy of an answer
> to your demands for "motivation."

Awwww..."not worthy"?

Are you ashamed of your motivations, Len? Or have I
already stated them, and you're angry that I understand
so much?

> When given, you won't
> accept any reasonable explanation.

Your explanations aren't complete.

> >> Let's see...I am retired from a successful career (from regular
> >> hours, I still do contract work IF I want to) in electronics
> >> design engineering, have a fine house (all paid for), have a
> >> wonderful wife (who was also my high school sweetheart), have
> >> had enough TITLES and POSITIONS to satisfy me, half century,
> >> a comfortable income to handle easy living now.
> >
> >You've told us how great things are for you many, many times, Len.
> >As if all that somehow explains your obsession.
>
> "Obsession?!?" :-)

Yes, Len. You're clearly obsessed with something that doesn't affect
you.

> Trying to change federal law is an "obsession?"

Nope. The way you behave in doing so definitely is. Particularly since
the "law" does not really affect you.

It's as if someone from far away from Sun City tried for years to get
the zoning rules there changed, because they *might* want to build
a house there.
>
> Yes, I can see where baby shoe wearers would get petulant and
> whiny if their hobby radio toys and merit badges are
> threatened...

I wear a size 12 in most shoes, Len. I prefer Saucony running shoes
most of the time. I ran 35 minutes before dawn today - kinda big steps
out there....
>
> >> I do NOT NEED TO PROVE ANYTHING by getting more TITLES, more
> >> certificates suitable for framing.
> >
> >Not about that at all.
>
> YES, it IS,

Nobody's asking you to prove anything, Len. It's just odd that you
are so interested in the rules of a radio service that you are so
completely uninvolved with.

> >But you mention them and your other accomplishments here, and in
> >comments to FCC, over and over again.
> >
> >Yet you have no amateur radio license....
>
> BWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Yup, Jimmie, with that "comparison/analogue" you have exhibited
> great IRRATIONALITY.

How? Your accomplishments do not give you a golden ticket to an
amateur radio license.

One of the wonderful things about amateur radio is that all must meet
the same requirements for the license, regardless of other radio
experience, licenses, education, age, income, etc. Always been that
way. The grade schooler has to meet the same license requirement
as the graybeard Ph.D. in EE with multiple patents and other licenses.

That simple equality really seems to burn you.

> >> We are secure
> >> in ourselves. We've "done it" and DON'T need to brag, don't

> >> need more pretty certificates suitable for framing.
> >
> >Then why are you here?
>
> It is part of a greater effort to eliminate morse code testing
> from United States amateur radio regulations on license exams.

Has it made *any* difference? Do you really think your words have
convinced anyone?

And why does it matter to you anyway?

> How many times must I repeat that before you understand?

> >It seems to me that what you most enjoy about amateur radio is insulting
> >and denigrating radio amateurs via the internet.
>
> Sorry, Jimmie, I only "insult" those poor misguided souls
> who think that morse code mode communications is still
> "cutting-edge technology skill" in radio.

Incorrect. You insult and denigrate anyone who disagrees with you.

> Jimmie, WHY do YOU continue to insult, demean, anatagonize all
> who see to remove the code test?

Who is this "Jimmie" you keep referring to? Your imaginary friend?

> Why are YOU so obsessed with retaining it?

I'm not. I simply think the Morse Code test does more good than harm.
I think it's a good idea, for reasons stated here and in my Comments
to FCC.
>
> Why are YOU so obsessed with regressing U.S. amateur radio?

I'm not - I'm about progress and moving forward.

> >Who is this "Jimmie" you speak of?
>
> Yourself. Are you uncomfortable with affectionate, friendly
> names?

It's neither affectionate nor friendly.

> >> You are NOT custodian of archaic radio
> >> arts. You've NEVER worked in 24/7 long-haul HF radio traffic
> >> services. You've apparently NEVER done any radio activity
> >> outside of HF.
> >
> >Actually, I have.
>
> PROVE it by third-party references

I've worked W3RV, K3LT, and many others on VHF FM. Ask them.

> >> Hell, you've never had PRIDE in
> >> what you work at at work
> >
> >Not me. I'm proud of what I do. I just don't repeat it over and over
> >and over in an amateur radio newsgroup.
>
> Brother of Dudly, since you NEVER explained what you work at
> or where you work, both lacking detail, you will NEVER be
> accused of "repeating it over and over and over." :-)

You have done so, so you are guilty...

> >> and try to keep your employer a
> >> big, dark secret...you never talk about it except in very
> >> vague descriptions and implications.
> >
> >Why should I mention it here, Len? Is there *any* employment that would
> >change the way you behave towards others who disagree with you?
>
> Brother of Dudly, don't try misdirection again. Tiresome.

Answer the question, Len.

> We readers will just put you down as either NOT WORKING or
> at some place not associated with electronics at all.

Readers know that you'd simply use my employment - whatever it is -
as another insult venue.

> You don't talk shop.

Not here. Why should I?

> Why are you so antagonistic to CHANGE,

I'm not. You are. As in "changes to real estate zoning"...

> >> AMATEUR radio is a HOBBY, Jimmie.
> >
> >And much more, Len. You think the amateurs who went to help
> >out after the recent hurricanes were only "hobbyists" doing it for
> >"FUN"?
>
> Thousands and thousands of human being helped the victims
> of hurricanes Katrina and Rita. NO amateur radio license
> was "required" to HELP A FELLOW HUMAN BEING.

Not required, but very helpful.
>
> Cut out the sensationalist pandering to visceral emotion,
> Jimmie. It's so phony.

Tell it to the folks at Electronic Design magazine, Len - a
"PROFESSIONAL" publication:

http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html

> AMATEUR RADIO IS BASICALLY A *HOBBY*. It was never anything
> else.

Not just a hobby, though. That seems to really bother you.

# 1777. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 12:10:24 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051118071024.055$GO@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote:
> Man we just made a visit to Hollywood
> Wanna talk about weird garb
>
> Some religious groups wear strange garb as well
>
> Your post an obvious generalization
>
> When a disaster hits -- I'll bet you look for a guy/gal with weird vests
> and hats with callsigns???
>
Apparently Fred Garvin couldn't pass the test either, no such ham.
>
>
>
> Fred Garvin <garv@elaborate.net> wrote:
> On 2005-11-16 17:17:26 -0500, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> said:
>
> Couldn't pass the tests huh?

## 1778. N8WWM Doug Adair posts as Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 12:19:54 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051118071954.598$CK_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.activism

"Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote:
> CQ BLOW-JOB, CQ BLOW-JOB, CQ BLOW-JOB
>
> THIS IS K4YZ.......K4YZ PULLING MY PUD SUCKING BY!!!!!!!!
>
Hey K4YZ (the real one) This shit is being posted by N8WWM.

http://n8wwm.4t.com

# 1779. Re: www.drfong.org is a great blog with all kinds of weird news from around the web!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 12:22:57 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051118072257.246$QG@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124344
Cross-posted to: alt.conspiracy.beyondweird,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.homosexual

N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:
> Dr. Fong wrote:
> > www.drfong.org is a great blog with all kinds of weird news from
> > around the web!
>
> hello dr fong........
>
> my cb radio friends would like to invite you over to steveo's dump in
> ohio
>
Check out doug's section eight dump..

http://n8wwm.4t.com

He lives there with his felon old lady, kc8qjp.

# 1780. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 12:52:37 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132318357.238817.171540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 16:22
>
>
> >K□B wrote:
> >> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>
>
> >> > Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
> >> > uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
> >> > UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)
> >>
> >> My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
> >> says:
> >
> >Steve was in the USMC on 08 JUL 05?
>
> According to Google dated 17 November 05, Robeson claimed USMC
> service from 1974 to 1992.

I'm so glad that Steve was in compliance with regulations that were 31
years in the future at the time he stood on the yellow footprints.
Steve always was out of step with the rest of society.

> There is a 13 year to 31 year GAP in there.

He got his uniforms issued at the GAP?

> Trivial detail according to the Extra Morsemen. They have to
> hang together...or hang separately.
>
> Looks to me like Hans put on his Manager/CEO hat to "calm the
> turbulent waters." [he does that once in a while when getting
> a paternal urge?]

What does Hans copy of said regulation say for the time period?

> The unwritten rule in here is to allow morsemen to act any
> damn way they want to. That's okay. No-coders and non-hams
> are verboten to rise up against Extra morsemen's puerile
> putzy pejoratives.
>
> Just the same, "clothing allowance" or not, Dudly the Imposter
> has NOT posted ANY picture of hisself in ANY "active-duty"
> USMC uniform. Dudly has NOT posted a single scrap of his

> DD-214 anywhere. Dudly has NOT posted a single name or
> callsign of anyone who could vouch that he is telling truth.
>
> Dudly the Imposter is still busy being the Imposter.
>
> Hans is defending a FRAUD. Good on Hans. Just what the
> "amateur community" needs. Pro-bono "defense."
>
> I wonder if Booth, Freret, Imlay, and Tepper know about this?
>
> Well, let's look optimistically. Mebbe Dudly will have a
> cute little Ham Jumpsuit photo sometime? Mebbe with a "I
> was homeland security before there was homeland security"
> ribbon on it? Mighty warrior, etc. Then he can claim "8
> hostile actions" after having made it through the Code War.

Hah!!!

> These mighty warriors certainly know how to turn off any
> ham wannabes...which may be why Val Germann is still an
> unmodified Tech for so long?
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Poor Val. Such ambition.

# 1781. Re: N8WWM Doug Adair posts as Steveo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 13:54:48 UTC
From: "Vinnie S." <none@coldmail.com>
Message-ID: <09nrn1d65ptj71cmme085oeciqnp4gblio@4ax.com>
Source record: 124346
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.activism

On 18 Nov 2005 12:19:54 GMT, Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote:

>"Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote:
>> CQ BLOW-JOB, CQ BLOW-JOB, CQ BLOW-JOB
>>
>> THIS IS K4YZ.......K4YZ PULLING MY PUD SUCKING BY!!!!!!!!
>>
>Hey K4YZ (the real one) This shit is being posted by N8WWM.
>
>http://n8wwm.4t.com

There is a shocker.

Vinnie S.

## 1782. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 14:02:49 UTC
From: Killfile victim #847238 <jwkizer@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132322569.286148.190780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

I thought Letterman was coming up with a product line extension to "Big
Ass Hams"

-Dating myself, since no one else will...

# 1783. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:15:04 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132330504.265236.274730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > On 13 Nov 2005 06:13:55 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
cut
> > You do, and for at least two reasons: 1) You make it your business to
> > correct everyone else's grammar, and 2) You are compelled to press any
> > issue that you think will discredit me and divert attention away from
> > the fact that you are a very disturbed person.
>
> It doesn't take much to "discredit (you) and divert attention"
> from ANYthing related to you, Frankie. You do almost all of the work
> for me!

if it does not take any effort and you have discrditing Frank as a goal
why don't you just do it and get it over with
>
> And regardless of where the hyphen goes, YOU still have TWO court
> martials to your credit!

so what?
>
> > > I don't have one, let alone two.
> > >
> > > I managed to do my 18 years in the ACTIVE United States Marine
> > >Corps HONORABLY, you disgraced coward.
> >
> > Once again, Major Dud -- a medical discharge is a 'general' discharge,
> > not an 'honorable' discharge.
>
> My -214 says "Honorable"...Not "Less Than", or "General", or BCD,
> or anything else.

no proof you even have one
>
> > But if you have proof to the contrary
> > (as well as proof that you were active duty for those 18 years) then
> > by all means prove me a liar.
>
> It's already been done. References have been posted over and
> over.

never has been done
>

> That you are too incompetent or too cowardly to follow up on them
> is NOT my problem.

no refernece given that allow anyone to verfiy that YOU are reffered to
in those docutment if they exist as you claim
>
> > It really bugs you that a shitbird PFC earns an honorable discharge
> > while you skated out on a medical discharge after 18 years, doesn't
> > it?
>
> First of all, you didn't "earn" an "honorable" discharge. Your
> less-than-honorable discharge was later upgraded to an "honorable", at
> least per your story.
>
> IF...and I repeat IF your story is valid, you were GRANTED an
> upgrade. It wasn't "earned".

sure was earned

he followed the rules and reg of the service in getting it

>
> > It must -really- irk you that the same shitbird PFC is tearing
> > down the false-front you have built around your mediocre "career" as a
> > Marine reservist. Well, that's your problem, Dud. Deal with it.
>
> What you HAVE done, Frankie is lie, deceive and, at best, take a
> flying-A leap guess at everything you've cared to comment on. And
> you've flopped EVERY time.

he has used better data and more accurate reporting than your have
>
> Your brusied ego has gotten in the way of your rants at every
> turn.

where never saw any such sign

you hare the one with the bruised ego and micron thin skin

indeed it looks like if you were active USMC you would surely have been
busted out for fighting
cut
> Now, unless you want to use some more profanities or make more
> less-than-thinly-veiled threats of physical violence, why don't YOU go
> away...Afterall this is an AMATEUR RADIO forum, and unless you've been
> misrepresenting you identity, you're the outsider...

ah there we have it

Ham radio is your private club
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1784. Re: stevie attack thread number 10193 it seems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:17:58 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132330678.937118.54910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124349

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
cut
> > > An "explanation" would require rational thinking substantiated by
> > > some sort of facts or at least workable theories.
> >
> > some Stvei can't do
>
> Huh?

Stevie can even read the words in saying he doesn't bother with logic
>
> > > Markie has neither.
> >
> > another Stevie lie
>
> "Another" presumes a first existed.

yes it does

and in your case that limit is more than met

several 100 times over

but one example "Mark C Morgan has aids"

is and was a lie nomater how times you try to wiggle out
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1785. Re: stevei continues his rants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:20:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132330814.639676.248850@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124350

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: K4YZ on Nov 16, 6:33 pm
> >
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > >> We could wear a FULL dress uniform ("pinks and greens"
> > > >> approved by regulation...same tailoring as commissioned
> > > >> officers)...IF we bought it ourselves.
> > > >
> > > > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....Lennie in pink.....?!?! Naaaahhhhh...
> > >
> > > "Pinks and greens" is a colloquial...SNIP)
> >
> > Yes, Lennie...we know...Just wondering how lengthy a diatribe we'd
> > be subjected to over it...You didn't let me down.
> >
> > > > And I can't see ANY of them in anything "green" unless they fell
> > > >into the beer at a St Patty's Day bust...
> > >
> > > NONE of us readers have seen ANYTHING of Dudly the Imposter
> > > in ANY USMC uniform of any kind from his alleged 18-year
> > > "career" in the USMC.
> >
> > Why would you?
> >
> > Where are the pictures of YOU?

in his photo album perhaps
>
> > All we readers can see is a pudgy 50-something middle aged,
> > balding, scowling poser in a beat-up sort-of-olive-drab
> > flight suit coverall with cloth captain's bars on the
> > shoulder pads.
> >
> > Balding? Scowling? "sort-of-olive drab"...?!?!

that is the photo on QRZ
>
> Who's that?

who is it indeed?
>
> > Dudly put that there himself on the QRZ

> > website. The poopy suit (flight suit) looks like it could
> > have been bought at any large-airport supplies store.
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> > NOT ONE SINGLE PHOTO of Dudly in his dress blues, despite his
> > claim of have TWO FREE sets of them.
>
> Why would there be?
>
> > Total BULL### from Dudly...a FAKE...a POSEUR...a FRAUD.
>
> OH! You were talking about YOURSELF!
>
> Excuse me!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1786. Re: steveie comntinues his raving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:25:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132331153.000742.123340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124351

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
> > the way he does and saying nothing.
>
> No less than you and the Feeble Five, Brain. Everyone of you
> caught in one mistruth or act of wanton deceit after another...And not
> a word from any of you to the other.

wanton deciet

please define that term

is it anything sayin "Someone caught on tape maolsesting"
>
> Pot/Kettle/Black.
>
you need to learn the difference between disagreeing with you and lying
it is just as simple as that
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1787. madman stevie contiues his raving to bad he isn't a dog then wed be able to put him out of his misery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:29:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132331392.713589.136690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124352

K4YZ wrote:

show some decencyt and at least keep to attack thread titles down to a
couple of line in length
"WAS: Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He
Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him! NOW: The Whole World Is
Laughing At Lennie Laughing At No One In Particular "
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
cut
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1788. CC&R BILL HAS BEEN REINTRODUCED INTO CONGRESS -- WRITE UR CONGRESSPERSON NOW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:32:41 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <cmnff.314$qw.92@fed1read07>
Source record: 124353

ATTENTION ALL AMATEUR RADIO OPERATORS

DO SOMETHING NOW

CC&R BILL HAS BEEN REINTRODUCED INTO CONGRESS -- WRITE UR CONGRESSPERSON NOW

SEE SAMPLES AND TEXT OF THE BILL AT URL:

http://www.arrl.org/govrelations/hr3876/

HR 3876, The Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Consistency Act of 2005

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

## 1789. more stveie threats emtpy threats at that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:34:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132331656.229925.16130@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124354

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > 144 pages of stevies gems have been assembled to represent Stevie's
> > > > > record here on RRAP
> > > > >
> > > > > it is an amussing happenstance that the totla number of pages in the
> > > > > selects posts totaled 144, one gross as it is known
> > > > >
> > > > > Now to finsih a cover letter to go with it
> > > >
> > > > Are you sure you want to do this? Even if you're right, he'll sue.
> > >
> > > I'll bring suit after the hearing is done.
> >
> > more threats
>
> Nope.

more threats
>
> A PROMISE.

that you can't keep
>
> > >That will be when we
> > > can add in the BoN's findings of "malicious intent" and that will be
> > > all I need to clear Markie's bankroll for some time to come.
> >
> > wrong again what you will need is a case. Go read the BoN website
> >
> > if they have a hearing at all it will be the TN BoN V Robeson not Mark
> > Morgan v Robeson
>
> And if they do, it will be on your insitgation.

so what
>
> You're still culpable in civil court.

nope I will not be

you words will be the subjectbat issue
>

> > any damahe you suffer would be at the hands of the BoN which likely is
> > covered by Soverign Inmunity you'd lack a case
>
> Any "damahe" suffered will be re-couped in the civil case against
> you, Markie.

only if you have a case
>
> > also hold your breath wiating for the hearing according the BoN it
> > would be months away
>
> That gives YOU time to hide your assets in your "wife's" name. Of
> course if you really are married, a subpoena to the IRS will result in
> your tax records and help us to locate any/all "real" assets in EITHER
> name. I am sure that finding the rest won't be all that difficult.

you need a case first

reporting imporper action by an LPN is not a crime or a civl tort

and yoiu action are impoper wether actionable by the BoN or not

that I hold in some uses of the Term "Malice" aginast you does not
excuse your actions against me or aganist Brain or Todd or Len
>
> Good luck.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 1790. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:36:10 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132331770.683315.118590@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124355

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > the japaness meaning of the words is irrelavant
>
> Is that only if a female (Japaness?) is using the words?
>
> > the american usage is the operative one
>
> Really? Is that how it works, Mark-san?

in the USA it does
>
> That means that if you use the Finnish term "rautatieasema" in an
> English language discussion, the meaning would change and that would
> become the operative meaning?
it might assuming it were a finnish word

> Could you give us an example of what the
> Finnish term might mean in your English language discussion?

nope you horse you beat it
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1791. Re: Let Go, Luke...Use The Force....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:37:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132331860.845541.79820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124356

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > No steve, the problems with the boards is they've been up only a short
> > > > period of time and I've of yet put the meta tags in them so that the
> > > > search engines can log them
> > >
> > > Nooooooooooooooooooooo.....................
> > >
> > > The "problem" (if this is a really a "problem"...) is that even
> > > they don't want anything to do with you...And with good reason.
> >
> > the rpoblem is hams onsessing on stuff that has nothing to do with the
> > sunjects at hand
>
>
> "problem" "obsessing" "subjects"
>
>
> Of course it has to do with the "subject at hand", Markie!
>
> The subject was Todd's "boards". That's what I commented on.

then subject at hand is the ARS

but you insist on draging everything and anything into that subject
>
> Wake up.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1792. another Stevie lie ho hum is that lie number 10191 or 10197 i have lost count

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:38:56 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132331936.487952.83980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > is nobody named Steve allowed to be gay?
>
> Naaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaah....He changed his name to Markie and moved to
> Chassell, Michigan.

more lie Stevie form the man who never lies
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1793. Re: Day 7 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:42:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132332123.104605.325480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124358

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> >>> OTOH the If the ProCoders have got something new they need to shouting
> >>> it off the roof top so the FCC might hear and consider this new point
> >
> >> Why mention it here?
> >
> > so more people might comet and bring it to the attention of the FCC
> >
> I strongly urge more people to "comet". You, on the other hand, seem to
> have taken up the role of meteorite and burned upon entering Earth's
> atmosphere.
>

dave has gone delusional again
flshing the rest unread without further coment

# 1794. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:43:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132332198.419766.180690@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124359

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1132135550.577259.306260@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
cut

> I don't think anyone at ARRL is reading all the comments.
>
> Len isn't a reliable source. Despite his claim that he read and
> understood
> all the comments, he didn't know that someone else was reading all the
> comments too, and posting the results online for all to see. Even
> though
> the URL was on the first page of the comments, he missed it completely.

do you have anything on the topic or just posting to attack len some
more
>

# 1795. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 16:46:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4Anff.2226$jl5.677@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

>
> And Franke, communications encryption devices on USMC helos were
> JULIETT, not "VINSON". The crypto of choice for the Sea Stallions, as
> were all other UH/AH/CH birds I had occassion to be around was the
> KY-28.
>

The KY-28 airborne cryptos were neither VINSON nor JULIETT. All the
TSEC/KY-8/28/38 were NESTOR family cryptos.

Until VINSON family was introduced in the 80's, US crypto system families
carried names from Greek mythology (ADONIS, BACCUS, JASON, NESTOR, ORESTES,
PONTUS, etc.). There never was a US crypto system named "JULIETT".

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 1796. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 17:03:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132332295.867858.123260@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124361

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
>
> > A thinner majority was decided your so-called "leader of the free world".
> >
> > Cheerio,
> > Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte
>
> Barney, our President is merely -our- leader. It is in the vacuum of
> an almost leaderless world that you prop him up as the "leader of the
> free world." I sure hope your intel guys pass no more bad info our
> way. Could strain relations.

GW is leader of the free world one of the few people leading at all
everyone is just following the polls

GW like , love or loath him is a leader

# 1797. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 17:11:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IWnff.2246$jI5.1302@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124362

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>>>>
>>>>> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
>>>>> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
>
> Is that the papal 'we' or the royal 'we'?
>
>>>> HyperLog extract station K0HB
>> (SNIP) Thanks again, Hans.
>>
>>> Log extract K8MN ARRL SS Phone:
>> (SNIP) You too, Dave.
>>
>> Has anyone worked Lennie and his "Part 15 rig" yet? N0IMD?
>> KB9RQZ?
>>
> Nope.
>
> Let's see, of current and past rrap posters, I have worked:
>
> K4YZ
> K0HB
> W4NTI
> WA2SI
> W3RV
> W0EX
> W6RCA/W5DXP
> AC6XG
> KE3Z
>
> using various amateur bands and modes. Mostly HF CW, but also VHF FM.
>
> Also personally met W3RV and W1RFI.
>
> (apologies to any I've forgotten).

Apology accepted. You worked the K8MN FD operation from here a few
years back and we worked during SS CW in 1980.

73,

Dave K8MN

# 1798. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 17:16:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <c0off.2249$jI5.1805@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124363

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
>
> http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Now you've done it! You've gone and chafed the naturalized Californian.

Isn't "Electronics Design" a PROFESSIONAL journal?

Dave K8MN

# 1799. Re: another Stevie lie ho hum is that lie number 10191 or 10197 i have lost count...But Did You Expect Different? I Am Too Stupid To Use English Correctly, So What Makes You Think I Can Keep Count?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 17:19:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132334386.235472.174080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124364

an_old_friend wrote:

Nothing interesting.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1800. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 17:27:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132334847.650116.298440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> >
> > And Franke, communications encryption devices on USMC helos were
> > JULIETT, not "VINSON". The crypto of choice for the Sea Stallions, as
> > were all other UH/AH/CH birds I had occassion to be around was the
> > KY-28.
> >
>
> The KY-28 airborne cryptos were neither VINSON nor JULIETT. All the
> TSEC/KY-8/28/38 were NESTOR family cryptos.
>
> Until VINSON family was introduced in the 80's, US crypto system families
> carried names from Greek mythology (ADONIS, BACCUS, JASON, NESTOR, ORESTES,
> PONTUS, etc.). There never was a US crypto system named "JULIETT".

Sorry, Hans, but yes there was.

The KY-28, used on the OV-10A and D, AH1G and J, the UH-1N, the
CH53 A & D were refered to as "JULIETT'. I do not contest that it may
have been refered to by those other names in other weapons systems,
but USMC Aviation, at least in the Helo/OV Community, refered to it
otherwise.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1801. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 17:34:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132335249.729323.184350@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

raped_an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > > On 13 Nov 2005 06:13:55 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> cut
> > > You do, and for at least two reasons: 1) You make it your business to
> > > correct everyone else's grammar, and 2) You are compelled to press any
> > > issue that you think will discredit me and divert attention away from
> > > the fact that you are a very disturbed person.
> >
> > It doesn't take much to "discredit (you) and divert attention"
> > from ANYthing related to you, Frankie. You do almost all of the work
> > for me!
>
> if it does not take any effort and you have discrditing Frank as a goal
> why don't you just do it and get it over with
> >
> > And regardless of where the hyphen goes, YOU still have TWO court
> > martials to your credit!
>
> so what?

So he has TWO court martials. He's a discredited deceiver.

> > > > I don't have one, let alone two.
> > > >
> > > > I managed to do my 18 years in the ACTIVE United States Marine
> > > >Corps HONORABLY, you disgraced coward.
> > >
> > > Once again, Major Dud -- a medical discharge is a 'general' discharge,
> > > not an 'honorable' discharge.
> >
> > My -214 says "Honorable"...Not "Less Than", or "General", or BCD,
> > or anything else.
>
> no proof you even have one

Sure there is.

You've just refused to follow the references I ahve given you to
verify it.

> > > But if you have proof to the contrary
> > > (as well as proof that you were active duty for those 18 years) then
> > > by all means prove me a liar.
> >
> > It's already been done. References have been posted over and
> > over.
>
> never has been done

Blatant lying from Mark C. Morgan.

> > That you are too incompetent or too cowardly to follow up on them
> > is NOT my problem.
>
> no refernece given that allow anyone to verfiy that YOU are reffered to
> in those docutment if they exist as you claim

Sure there is.

Just follow the Yellow Brick Road, ToTo.

Or are you color blind in addition to "dyslexic"...?!?!

> > > It really bugs you that a shitbird PFC earns an honorable discharge
> > > while you skated out on a medical discharge after 18 years, doesn't
> > > it?
> >
> > First of all, you didn't "earn" an "honorable" discharge. Your
> > less-than-honorable discharge was later upgraded to an "honorable", at
> > least per your story.
> >
> > IF...and I repeat IF your story is valid, you were GRANTED an
> > upgrade. It wasn't "earned".
>
> sure was earned

No, it wasn't.

> he followed the rules and reg of the service in getting it

No, he didn't.

> > > It must -really- irk you that the same shitbird PFC is tearing
> > > down the false-front you have built around your mediocre "career" as a
> > > Marine reservist. Well, that's your problem, Dud. Deal with it.
> >
> > What you HAVE done, Frankie is lie, deceive and, at best, take a
> > flying-A leap guess at everything you've cared to comment on. And
> > you've flopped EVERY time.
>
> he has used better data and more accurate reporting than your have

No, he's not.

> > Your brusied ego has gotten in the way of your rants at every
> > turn.
>
> where never saw any such sign

Because you're an idiot, Markie.

> you hare the one with the bruised ego and micron thin skin

Nope.

> indeed it looks like if you were active USMC you would surely have been
> busted out for fighting

Nope. My fellow Marines were not the ones I joined to fight
against.

> cut
> > Now, unless you want to use some more profanities or make more
> > less-than-thinly-veiled threats of physical violence, why don't YOU go
> > away...Afterall this is an AMATEUR RADIO forum, and unless you've been
> > misrepresenting you identity, you're the outsider...
>
> ah there we have it
>
> Ham radio is your private club

Nope.

But I do have a vested interest in it. Frankie does not.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1802. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 17:34:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ugoff.2258$jl5.616@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124366

an_old_friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>
>>> the japaness meaning of the words is irrelavant
>> Is that only if a female (Japaness?) is using the words?
>>
>>> the american usage is the operative one

>> Really? Is that how it works, Mark-san?
>
> in the USA it does

Sorry, that response is incorrect. You've given no concrete example. I don't believe you can come up with such an example.

>> That means that if you use the Finnish term "rautatieasema" in an
>> English language discussion, the meaning would change and that would
>> become the operative meaning?

> it might assuming it were a finnish word

I just told you that it is a Finnish word. It has a very unique meaning in Finnish. Does it mean something different here in the U.S?

>> Could you give us an example of what the
>> Finnish term might mean in your English language discussion?

> nope you horse you beat it

You thought I was Mister Ed? Give us an example of any foreign word or phrase which means something else in English. Wanna try with "Gesundheit"? How about "dossier", "raison d'etre", "flambe"?

Do any of them mean something different in their native country than in the United States?

When and if you've rag chewed with a radio amateur in a foreign land and he uses a few words in his native language, do you correct him and tell him that you're an American and that his words mean something different here. Perhaps you define the foreign words according to who initiated the QSO, i.e. if he initiates the contact, you go by his language's definition of its own word; if you initiate it, you go by (according to you) the meaning of the word in the United States. You might really confuse him and tell him that you won't accept his definition or the American definition (according to you) but that you'll only accept the very special "Mark Morgan definition".

Dave K8MN

# 1803. Re: Transformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 17:54:30 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <garv@elabrate.com>
Message-ID: <1132336470.611a08190b18db02715cccf68746528a@fe5.teranews.com>
Source record: 124369
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.activism,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I can swallow it to the base!
>
"Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1132278135.228928.326050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
MY COCK IS EXACTLY A QUARTER WAVE OF 146.52 !

Steevo wrote:
> I'm hoping to run an EM27 base station and am wondering what I need with
> regard to a transformer to drop the voltage to the 13.8V required. • is
> definately a major factor in the decision - the thought of using an old
> 12v
> doorbell transformer crossed my mind but the 1A output is probably a tad
> low
> for a CB. Anybody bought one recently? Would very much welcome any
> suggestions.
>
> Many thanks
>
> --
> Steevo

# 1804. Re: BIG!! - Former FBI director calls 9-11 Commission a COVERUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 17:57:14 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WqKdnTWWCrIyiOPeRVn-tA@comcast.com>
Source record: 124368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>What a luser. Posting and replying to himself.
>>
>>No one will talk to you on the radio?
>>Oh, they must have met you. That would do it.
>>
>
> Would you talk to a fugly shitstain like this?
>
> http://n8wwm.4t.com
>
> I tried to talk to him in person but he's too skeered of me, so he stayed
> inside his section eight slum and pointed a BB gun at me.
>
> He's not allowed to have a real gun since his felony conviction. lol

What did you expect? Coffee and donuts?

# 1805. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 18:10:38 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <garv@elabrate.com>
Message-ID: <1132337438.d3ae38197feb46e8d1a2adbddc9980c9@fe5.teranews.com>
Source record: 124372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,us.military.army

I passed to tech class after only 3 tries but that code stuff is really
hard!
>
"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:L19ff.229$qw.207@fed1read07...
> Man we just made a visit to Hollywood
> Wanna talk about weird garb
>
> Some religious groups wear strange garb as well
>
> Your post an obvious generalization
>
> When a disaster hits -- I'll bet you look for a guy/gal with weird vests
> and hats with callsigns???
>
> --
> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
>
>
>
> "Fred Garvin" <garv@elaborate.net> wrote in message
> news:200511171855248930%garv@elaboratenet...
>> On 2005-11-16 17:17:26 -0500, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> said:
>>
>>> ever notice the strange looking shit hams wear........like those queer
>>> looking vests and hats with callsigns???
>>>
>>> hams are the weirdest,queerest motherfuckers in the world!
>>
>
>

# 1806. Re: Tennessee Nurse: Steven J Robeson, LPN, CAP MAJOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 18:27:29 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <f5udndh9sKSsgOPenZ2dnUVZ_tGdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 124374

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1132284975.886234.103440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Dee Flint wrote:
>> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:xL4ff.1822$jI5.1804@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>> > an old friend wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >
>> >>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
>> >>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
>> >>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
>> >
>> >> esp Dave who it sems is his friend
>> >
>> > Oh, I'm not "ESP Dave". My friends just call me "Dave". You may call
>> > me
>> > "Mister Heil". It seems like ages since you've discussed anything here
>> > but Steve. Tell us how you feel about the possible ramifications
>> > brought
>> > on by taking privileges away from code-tested Techs.
>>
>> As I read the NPRM, each licensee will keep the privileges that they have
>> until and unless they take a test to upgrade. That means the code-tested
>> Techs will keep the HF privileges that they now have.
>
> You know that, Dee...Dave knows it as do I and anyone else with an
> IQ over 80 or a drop of common sense.
>
> The FCC pretty well learned from the "Incentive LIcensing" debacle
> where they essentially "revoked" privileges that taking something away
> was NO "incentive"!
>
> But there will be folks who will scream that their "rights" are
> being violated over and over in an attempt to gain sympathy and to try
> and sway opinion. One particular frequent poster in this forum is very
> good at that.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

If the FCC is going to eliminate code testing (and I think they will), their approach seems reasonable to me. No one gains privileges and no one loses privileges. Everyone on HF, no matter when they tested, will have taken at least two tests to get there.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1807. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 18:37:09 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <437E1F55.8EAE0C5C@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124375
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This kind of continual foul language, and the anti-M3 and anti-RSGB attitude (not to mention the "wisdom" portrayed in sig files) are some of the reasons why amateurs will not be taken seriously over the BPL issue. Do the regulars of this group realise that it is becoming legendary for everything Usenet was supposed not to be? Surely it is possible to have a civilised debate without this stuff?

Jock. wrote:

> On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 23:47:24 +0100, Nedlar <Sam@Techno.invalid> wrote:
>
> >On Sat, 29 Oct 2005 21:50:03 +0100, "huLLy" <thisainthamradio@aol.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >
> >>It's 'its' you fuckwit!
> >
> >Why don't you correct your CB chum Magnum?
> >His postings are typical of the semi-literate, brain-dead CBr.
>
> Yes, but he's a Yank. Illiteracy is expected of them.
>
> --
>
> 73 de Jock.
>
> "You will always find that those who are most apt to
> boast of national merit, have little or no merit of
> their own to depend on". - Oliver Goldsmith.

# 1808. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 18:53:19 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <3qpff.326$qw.7@fed1read07>
Source record: 124376
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,us.military.army

For the codeTry URL:
http://ac6v.com/morseaids.htm

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Fred Garvin" <garv@elabrate.com> wrote in message
news:1132337438.d3ae38197feb46e8d1a2adbddc9980c9@fe5.teranews.com...
>I passed to tech class after only 3 tries but that code stuff is really
>hard!
>>
> "Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
> news:L19ff.229$qw.207@fed1read07...
>> Man we just made a visit to Hollywood
>> Wanna talk about weird garb
>>
>> Some religious groups wear strange garb as well
>>
>> Your post an obvious generalization
>>
>> When a disaster hits -- I'll bet you look for a guy/gal with weird vests
>> and hats with callsigns???
>>
>> --
>> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
>>
>>
>>
>> "Fred Garvin" <garv@elaborate.net> wrote in message
>> news:200511171855248930%garv@elaboratenet...
>>> On 2005-11-16 17:17:26 -0500, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> said:
>>>
>>>> ever notice the strange looking shit hams wear........like those queer
>>>> looking vests and hats with callsigns???
>>>>
>>>> hams are the weirdest,queerest motherfuckers in the world!
>>>
>>
>>
>
>

# 1809. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 19:07:49 UTC
From: Brian Reay <see@website.invalid>
Message-ID: <9Epff.18629$Cq4.4@newsfe7-gui.ntli.net>
Source record: 124377
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<aaa@spamaway.com> wrote in message news:437E1F55.8EAE0C5C@spamaway.com...
> This kind of continual foul language, and the anti-M3 and anti-RSGB
> attitude (not to mention the "wisdom" portrayed in sig files) are some of
> the reasons why amateurs will not be taken seriously over the BPL issue.
> Do the regulars of this group realise that it is becoming legendary for
> everything Usenet was supposed not to be? Surely it is possible to have a
> civilised debate without this stuff?

It is an insecurity thing.

Look where the "and the anti-M3 and anti-RSGB attitude (not to mention the
"wisdom" portrayed in sig files) " comes from an you rarely see anything of
value from the same source.

--
73
Brian, G8OSN
www.g8osn.org.uk

# 1810. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 19:08:02 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-7idnZqmc6sqhePeRVn-sw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124370

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
>
> http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Oh my, not the kind of stuff lenniepoo, frankieboy, steveo and other
cbers like to hear.

# 1811. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 19:15:07 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <OsydnbS1y7n9h-PeRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124371

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

<a lot of usless bragging deleted>

> Let's see...I am retired from a successful career (from regular
> hours, I still do contract work IF I want to) in electronics
> design engineering, have a fine house (all paid for), have a
> wonderful wife (who was also my high school sweetheart), have
> had enough TITLES and POSITIONS to satisfy me, half century,
> a comfortable income to handle easy living now.

Same here lennieboy, just like millions of others, so what makes you
think you are something special?

> I do NOT NEED TO PROVE ANYTHING by getting more TITLES, more
> certificates suitable for framing. I do not need my friends
> and neighbors to come over and marvel at my cutting-edge radio
> technology of homebuilt tube kludges designed in the 1990s; we
> talk about other things and are friendly. I have ONE sole-
> inventor patent and a nice plaque from RCA Corporation which
> I NO LONGER display on the wall like a trophy. My wife has
> THREE degrees, one BA, two MSs, and she doesn't need those
> displayed on the wall; those are in storage up in Puget Sound
> area weren't on display in the northern house. We are secure
> in ourselves. We've "done it" and DON'T need to brag, don't
> need more pretty certificates suitable for framing. The
> FUTURE is just ahead and we are ENJOYING that. Why don't you
> like others enjoying life as THEY prefer?

If you want a ham license lennieboy, you must pass the test, it's that
simple, or rather seems to be impossible for you. I has nothing to do
with 'proving anything' by getting more 'titles'. I has to do with
getting a license to operate on ham radio. Something that seems quite
beyond your capabilities.

# 1812. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 19:23:54 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <w9WdnfIxPdvygePenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124373

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> WHY was that required? You haven't explained the "necessity"
> of gettng an amateur license FIRST.

There is no 'necessity' of getting an amateur license, unless you want to operate on the ham bands, just like there is no 'necessity' of getting a driver's license unless you want to drive a car. All of your bragging about your intellect and knowledge and experience you claim has squat to do with ham radio. Thousands of people with no prior knowledge or experience in radio communications, electronics, ect., have studied and passed the test to obtain a ham license. Something that seems to completely befuddle you. Yet here you go on and on about all your skills and knowledge yet you can't seem to get a license, something that many grade schoolers have achieved.

>
> Jimmie, you really DON'T understand the environment I was
> working in/at. Go download:

The environment you worked in has nothing to do with getting a ham license.
>
> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>
> ...in order to see that environment. NONE of it required any
> "baby steps" going FIRST through some hobby license.

No 'baby steps'? I supposed you were born with all the knowledge and skills you needed.

# 1813. Re: Jaws Mk 1?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 19:31:20 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132342280.649389.76770@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124378
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay,alt.discuss.gay,rec.radio.amateur.policy

hey magnum........i want to cum in your man-puss,BOY!
The Magnum wrote:
> Hi,
> We all remember the old Jaws Mk2 but what did the original Jaws radio look
> like? Was there ever a Jaws mk1 or was there only a Mk2 just to trick people
> into thinking it was a well established rig??
> Regards,
> Graham

# 1814. Re: Bob Woodward and Dan Rather.....the lairs club.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 19:33:40 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132342420.270409.84860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124379
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy

steveo,remember the time i was fucking your sloppy ass as your "wife"
was licking my ass........you were right, the gay shit is fun!!!!!!!!
steveo-hed@excite.com wrote:
> i want frankie silliland to fuck me up the ass.
> rightwinghank wrote:
> > They know they lie....but they have a higher ethic than
> > morality.........
> >
> > it is the end result......only winning counts for them.
> >
> > love
> > hank
> > ..............................................

## 1815. hey steveo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 19:38:48 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132342728.572080.291650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.personals.gay

my cock is a quarter wave of 146.52 mhz.

how 'bout jerking me off and making it a top load????

steveo, your ass is wonderfull........i want to fill you up
again!!!!!!!!!

# 1816. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 19:57:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051118145705.110$TW@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124381

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
> >
> > http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Oh my, not the kind of stuff lenniepoo, frankieboy, steveo and other
> cbers like to hear.
>
WTF are you talking about, no-call commode?

# 1817. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 19:58:10 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051118145810.499$gl@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124382

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> I has to do with
> getting a license to operate on ham radio. Something that seems quite
> beyond your capabilities.
>
When are you going to attempt the test, no-call?

# 1818. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 20:36:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EXqff.885$rM2.480@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> The KY-28, used on the OV-10A and D, AH1G and J, the UH-1N, the
> CH53 A & D were refered to as "JULIETT'.

> Steve, K4YZ

Your memory on this issue is not accurate.

Regardless of platform, user agency, or military department, crypto systems are named by NSA (not by the Navy, USMC, or whoever). The KY-8/KY-28/KY-38 family was named "NESTOR".

I am well familiar with the crypto suite installed in those airframes. When assigned as a member of the communications staff at CINCLANT, I could have told you the NSA serial number of every KY-28 and what tail number carried it in every US/NATO aircraft in the Atlantic theatre.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 1819. FAQ - Interested? Start here!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 21:17:27 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1132348647.448899.309210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124384
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B

licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

# 1820. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 21:26:53 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <437E471B.7090202@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 124385

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
>
> http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
I agree. This is one of the few issues I have kept after reading - just
for this.
John

# 1821. Re: Genocidal New Orleans Pigs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 22:13:21 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1132352001.434770.269840@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement,neworleans.general,alt.journalism,rec.radio.cb

THATS GOOD FOR THE FILTHY NIGGER ANIMALS.....I MAY COME BACK TO
MARDI GRAS AND SPEND MY MONEY IF YOU GUYS MANAGE YOUR NIGGER PROBLEM
BETTER IN THE FUTURE.
Free and Accepted Manson wrote:
> OPP Prisoners Contradict Sheriff's Statements, ACLU Saya
> by Commondreamns Newswire Thursday, Nov. 17, 2005 at 8:57 PM
> megbrizzolara@yahoo.com 415-455-9745
>
> Katrina Abu Grhaib?
>
> Men and Women at Orleans Parish Prison Detail Chaos Following Katrina
> Eyewitness Accounts Contradict Sheriff's Statements, ACLU Says
>
> NEW ORLEANS - November 17 - In legal papers filed today by the American
> Civil Liberties Union, 45 men and women formerly detained at Orleans
> Parish Prison recount disturbing details of being abandoned without food
> or water and abused by guards after Hurricane Katrina struck.
>
> The ACLU said that the scores of testimonials it has obtained from
> prisoners contradict public statements made by Sheriff Marlin N. Gusman
> that the prisoners had food and water and that the evacuation went as
> planned.
>
> "The prisoners' accounts are remarkably similar, and raise serious claims
> that they were abandoned by prison officials and subject to excessive
> force," said Eric Balaban of the ACLU National Prison Project, which
> represents the prisoners in an ongoing class-action lawsuit.
>
> Some prisoners claim that deputies forced them into their cells by
> shooting bean bags, macing and tasering them; once they were returned to
> their cells, some deputies handcuffed the cell doors to prevent them from
> escaping. As the locked cells began to flood, prisoners hung signs out of
> the broken windows for help, and others jumped into the water below.
> According to the testimonials, deputies and members of the Special
> Investigation Division shot at some of the prisoners who were attempting
> to escape the rising water inside the jail, and several prisoners report
> that they witnessed fellow prisoners getting shot in the back.
>
> When the prisoners were finally evacuated from the jail, many were forced
> to wade through toxic, waste-filled water to the Broad Street overpass on
> Interstate 10. Prisoners reported that the armed guards at the overpass
> had K-9 dogs, which were used to threaten them. Several prisoners said
> that the dogs bit other prisoners. Many of the prisoners on the overpass

> said they were maced and beaten, some for nothing more than sitting next
> to a fellow prisoner who attempted to stand and stretch after being
> forced to sit on the pavement for hours. Other prisoners recount being
> maced and beaten for requesting food and water. Female prisoners also
> report that deputies directed degrading and sexually offensive comments
> at them.
>
> "It was like we were left to die. No water, no air, no food. We were left
> with deputies that were out of control," said one woman, who is
> identified in legal papers as "Inmate #19." Before filing the
> testimonials with the court, the ACLU redacted the names of the prisoners
> in order to protect their safety.
>
> "Inmate #19" said she was housed in a dorm with 100 other women because
> of flooding. The women were left with nothing to eat or drink, and many
> of them drank water out of trashcans. "I still have recurring nightmares
> about what I saw and what I went through," she said.
>
> One man, who was housed at Unit F-2, said he and other prisoners saw "a
> few dead bodies and we were told not to say anything or we were going to
> be like them." The man, referred to as "Inmate #41," said that when he
> was evacuated from the building, the water was so high that prisoners had
> to swim or wade out to safety. He eventually reached the Interstate 10
> overpass, where he was denied food and water for two days.
>
> "The evacuation [was] hideous to say the least," said another woman,
> referred to as "Inmate #6." "So gruesome that we had to wade through
> standing toxic-contaminated water filled with feces, urine and all kinds
> of other foreign debris for days on end ... [It is] almost impossible to
> fathom how one can survive it and not be scarred to extremes."
>
> Many prisoners say conditions worsened when they were moved to other
> facilities, such as Hunt Correctional Facility. "Inmate #7" described
> Hunt as "pure hell."
>
> "The guards treated us like old nasty dogs. We could asked them nothing...
> [or] you might get shot at," he said.
>
> Another man, "Inmate #64," described lawlessness at Hunt. "Everywhere you
> looked there were fights, people getting stabbed, people getting raped...
> When [deputies] did come with food, they threw it to us from scaffolds
> like they were at Mardi Gras."
>
> The ACLU said it has received hundreds of responses and plans to release
> additional testimonials in the coming weeks. The testimonials were
> obtained through a series of questionnaires sent to prisoners who are now
> being held at various facilities across Louisiana.
>
> A summary of more than 70 testimonials is online at:
> http://www.aclu.org/Prisons/Prisons.cfm?ID=19414&c=26

> 
> Select testimonials are available at:
> http://www.aclu.org/Prisons/Prisons.cfm?ID=19416&c=26. More testimonials
> will be posted soon.
> 
> For more information on the ACLU's efforts in the aftermath of Hurricanes
> Katrina and Rita, go to: http://www.aclu.org/HurricaneRelief
> 
> --
> Pretty Good Pimp
> 
> http://www.bedoper.com

# 1822. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 22:14:23 UTC
From: Iitoi <iitoi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3nsff.1780$wf.1086@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124389

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1132121823.372396.195730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >Funny that toddieboy would be so up on child porography laws. Can we
>>assume he has an interest in child porn?
>
> no, just anime
>

Todd,

An examination of your board (it's not very secure, by the way) shows hand-drawn and computer generated pictures of pre-pubescent females involved in masturbation, intercourse with an animal, and other pornographic depictions of children. The question has nothing to do with "legal" --- it has to do with mental illness.

Go away, and take your kiddy porn with you.

--
Iittoi

## 1823. Re: hey steveo!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 22:17:56 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1132352276.521357.323310@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.personals.gay

Landshark wrote:
> my cock is a quarter wave of 146.52 mhz.
>
> how 'bout jerking me off and making it a top load????
>
> steveo, your ass is wonderfull........i want to fill you up
> again!!!!!!!!!

hey sharkie.......my tongue is 5/8 wave at 440.000!!!

my tongue + your cock = CB FUN !!!!

# 1824. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 22:18:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132352326.425511.212260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 18 Nov 2005 04:52

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 16:22
>> >K0HB wrote:
>> >> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>
>> >> > Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
>> >> > uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
>> >> > UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)
>> >>
>> >> My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
>> >> says:
>> >
>> >Steve was in the USMC on 08 JUL 05?
>
>> According to Google dated 17 November 05, Robeson claimed USMC
>> service from 1974 to 1992.
>
>I'm so glad that Steve was in compliance with regulations that were 31
>years in the future at the time he stood on the yellow footprints.
>Steve always was out of step with the rest of society.

He is a "man of many talents!" :-)

At least he hasn't minced around in baby shoes.

>> There is a 13 year to 31 year GAP in there.
>
>He got his uniforms issued at the GAP?

Probably at Sergeant Grit or some other Army-Navy surplus store.

>> Trivial detail according to the Extra Morsemen. They have to
>> hang together...or hang separately.
>
>> Looks to me like Hans put on his Manager/CEO hat to "calm the
>> turbulent waters." [he does that once in a while when getting
>> a paternal urge?]
>
>What does Hans copy of said regulation say for the time period?

The full copy prolly says "this supersedes previous editions."

It's fine for a new boot going into the Navy NOW. Says dink
about what it was three decades ago and "yellow footprint"

time. But, let's not quibble about teeny details...:-)

>> The unwritten rule in here is to allow morsemen to act any
>> damn way they want to. That's okay. No-coders and non-hams
>> are verboten to rise up against Extra morsemen's puerile
>> putzy pejoratives.
>
>> Just the same, "clothing allowance" or not, Dudly the Imposter
>> has NOT posted ANY picture of hisself in ANY "active-duty"
>> USMC uniform. Dudly has NOT posted a single scrap of his
>> DD-214 anywhere. Dudly has NOT posted a single name or
>> callsign of anyone who could vouch that he is telling truth.
>
>> Dudly the Imposter is still busy being the Imposter.
>
>> Hans is defending a FRAUD. Good on Hans. Just what the
>> "amateur community" needs. Pro-bono "defense."
>
>> I wonder if Booth, Freret, Imlay, and Tepper know about this?
>
>> Well, let's look optimistically. Mebbe Dudly will have a
>> cute little Ham Jumpsuit photo sometime? Mebbe with a "I
>> was homeland security before there was homeland security"
>> ribbon on it? Mighty warrior, etc. Then he can claim "8
>> hostile actions" after having made it through the Code War.
>
>Hah!!!

Dudly is a Code Warrior. Others are just Code Worriers.

>
>> These mighty warriors certainly know how to turn off any
>> ham wannabes...which may be why Val Germann is still an
>> unmodified Tech for so long?
>
>Poor Val. Such ambition.

Well, that's how it goes...sometimes reality boots ya in
the ash.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1825. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 22:21:13 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132352473.596828.58580@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124392

From: "K□B" on Fri 18 Nov 2005 01:16

><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
>> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
>
>(Best observed using non-porportional font)

(best heard with BFO on?)

>HyperLog extract station K0HB
>
>Date ?Time?Call ?rcv?snt?Bnd?QRG ?Emm?PWR ?Name ?QTH
>11/19/00?0052?K4YZ ?59 ?59 ?20 ?14.191?A3J?100 ?Steve ?Dunlap, TN ?
>11/05/00?0111?K4YZ ?599?599?20 ?14.032?A1A?100 ?Steve ?Dunlap, TN ?
>
>July 2001 issue of QST reports that K4YZ earned a "Clean Sweep" trophy for
>working at least one station in each of the 80 ARRL and RAC sections during the
>phone Sweepstakes contest the previous November. PDF available for viewing on
>the web at http://www.arrl.org/contests/results/2000/2000-nov-phone-sweeps.pdf
>
>Sunuvagun!

...and the above "proves" Dudly the Imposter was in the USMC?

Of course it does. If any Extra Morseman says it, then it "must"
be true!

Okay, so someone works an amateur radio contest and that is a
"proof" of his/her military service?

Tsk, the military of the United States has entered a strange,
new world since 1989 where amateur radio contesting is somehow
an "honorable discharge."

What next, the Congressional Medal of Honor awarded to a ham
who relayed the most health and welfare traffic during hurricane
Katrina?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1826. Re: Congats Cecil W5DXP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 22:24:12 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1132352652.680305.19990@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124393
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.personals.gay

Cecil Moore wrote:
> Fred W4JLE wrote:
> > Nice article in WorldRadio December issue.
> >
> Thanks, part I was in the Oct. issue -
> Part II hopefully in Jan.
> --
> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

hi Cecil,

how about an article on the sick gay shit you hams crave so
much.....like how you sick fucks select the "young ham of the year"
hehehehhe!

# 1827. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 22:24:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132352682.498887.214400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124394

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 18:36

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:

>Here, Len uses emoticons and SHOUTS by using all capitals. His
>submissions to FCC are full of italics, bold text, and numbered
>footnotes.

Ah, now Sister Nun of the Above is spanking for using
italics in filings on Dockets at the FCC?

And "numbered footnotes!" Tsk, tsk, what does Jimmie think
those funny superscript numbers in text and all that small
text at the bottoms of some pages, as in NPRM 05-143 are?

>I remember Len referring to FCC Chairman Powell as "Mikey". As if he'd
>actually address Mr. Powell that way....

No problem with me, Jimmie. I'm not afraid of authority.

You seem to be. Of course, you've never been in politics,
have you? I thought not.

I refer to our current President of the United States as
"W" or "Dubya." Are you going to have me arrested by
Homeland Security? Charged with treason? Go for it.

>> > Some months back, Len mentioned here that he had once, way back
>> > in the 1950s,
>
>actually, the early 1960s

Oh, you finally went back in time through Google archives?

Good for you, Jimmie. If you are real nice, we might buy
you some kiddie shoes to replace those baby shoes you
keep talking about.

>> > set about learning Morse Code. Claimed he'd actually
>> > gotten up to about 6-8 wpm or so before deciding all the 'hard work'
>> > wasn't worth it. That was just about the time 27 MHz cb came along,
>> > and he jumped on that.
>
>Other way around - he was on cb first.

No, no, NO, Jimmie, MUCH lower in frequency than that...somewhere
around 9 MHz if memory serves. Was in early February 1953, using
a BC-339 1 KW transmitter.

>In his own words, he just "GAVE UP".

No, no, NO, Jimmie...I stayed there for nearly three years
after that until late January 1956.

Oh, you mean "did I give up" on learning more morse code?
Yes, I did. Roughly six years later in California I gave
up on bothering to continue learning morse code.

Jimmie think that a "crime?" Of course he does. He's a
religious zealot MORSEMAN! A proselyte for the Church of
St. Hiram. Do you also ride bicycles in your town, going
door to door preaching the Morse Way to residents?

>> Your point may be valid but I learned the Morse Code in the Scouts,
>> before I had any idea of becoming a ham. The fellow who taught it did
>> it right. There was no counting of dots and dashes. He linked the
>> letter sounds to groups of words like "here comes the bride" for "Q".
>> It wasn't work; it was fun. Brushing up my code a couple of years later
>> before taking the Novice exam wasn't any work either.
>
>I learned it by listening to hams using Morse Code on 80 meters. I
>first had to build myself a receiver, though.

Bully bull bull to Davie for being a Scout. And to Jimmie
Noserve for "building his own receiver!" Such advanced
teen-agers! Did you both get nice, pretty merit badges?

>Basically, it comes down to the fact that Len thinks he's too good to
>have to learn Morse Code - or anything else - for an amateur radio
>license.

Basically, Jimmie boy, you are full of snit.

I don't see any point in learning what was ONCE the only way
to communicate in radio in order to get an AMATEUR license.

Tsk, tsk...you forget (conveniently and whenver possible)
that I'd already operated transmitters (note plural) on HF
many years before. Want to see them? Here's a link:

http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

You might want to tell the United States ARMY on your demand
that all radio operators must get an amateur radio license
FIRST. Hurry up and tell them, do your "service for the
nation!" That's a good lad. <pat on the head>

>After all, it's been possible to get a Technician class license without
>a code test for more than 14 years. All FCC-issued amateur licenses
>have been available for just a 5 wpm code test for 15 years now.

Oh, wow, Jimmie made his THIRD MAJOR MISTAKE in one posting!

Jimmie boy, FCC 99-412 ordered all morse code test rates for radio amateur licenses to be fixed a 5 words-per-minute beginning in 2000. That was only FIVE years ago. Not "15."

Tsk, you are rapidly getting a reputation for ERRORS and MISTAKES! Not good. Are you under stress? Have you gotten laid yet? Work on that...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1828. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 22:33:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132353200.480385.71730@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124395

From: "K4YZ"<majordud@aol.com> on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 17:57

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K4YZ <majordud@aol.com> on Nov 16, 9:28 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 5:03 pm
>
> >> Dudly has NOT PRODUCED A SINGLE REFERENCIBLE PROOF of his military
> >> or medical expertise claims in SEVEN YEARS here. Here's what we
> >> HAVE and all found by OTHERS:
>
> No...except the photo of you in hospital scrubs is from your
> own web page, isn't it?
>
> What hospital scrubs?

You don't wear them any anymore? Tsk, tsk. No wonder you don't
show that picture anymore. How many times have you lost your
job at hospitals in the last year?

>> >> 3. A text mention of a TN CAP unit mentioning their
>> >> "communications officer," "Captain Robeson," but no photo
>> >> of him.
>> >
>> > Doesn't exist. Never did. I've not held a line-item billet in
>> >CAP as a CommO since I renewed in July 2001.

http://ser.cap.gov/Newsletter/May_2005_Newsletter.pdf

Clearly shows a picture of Col. Eileen Parker, Vice Commander,
Southeast Region. [southeast region is 6 states including TN]
Later on in this Newsletter is a text pat-on-the-back for two
CAP members, one of which is "Capt Steve Robeson."

If a "vice commander" is NOT a superior officer, then the
"vice" means something else?

> The Tennessee Wing Commander is not a female. That was your
>initial claim.
>
> There has never been a female wing commander in Tennessee.

Tsk, you are getting your misogyny all ruffled.

> The Commander of TNWG Group 2 is not a woman. That's the unit
>that I am presently assigned to. In my four years in TNWG, I have

>never served under a "unit commander" that was "female". To the best
>of my knowledge, there has never been a female group commander in this
>Wing, although on that point I am not sure.

"Never?" What does a Vice Commander of the Southeast Region DO
and how is she in the chain of command? Let's see...by the
Newsletter's own writing, the "southeast region" includes
Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, Puerto Rico, and, of
course, TENNESSEE.

Remember your IMPORTANCE in the scheme of things...you were
"homeland security before there was homeland security!"

> For the brief time I was in the TNSG, I never served under a
>female commander at ANY level.

www.tnsg.us

Looks like it is still a Good Ol' Boys club playin' sojer in
da woods. No pay. All volunteer. They get to wear nice
uniforms with pretty medals, call themselves a "force
mulitplier!" Yeah, their Table of Organization seems to be
mostly Military Police units. "MP" not SP. Note the
confusion between MP and SP that Frank wrote about in
another thread.

> I never served under a female commander in the Marine Corps.

Of course not. You couldn't stand it, militant misogynist and
all. You probably would have called a USMC female superior
officer a "CORRESPONDENCE SCHOOL NITWIT!"

Does Dudly consider the Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD, a
"CORRESPONDENCE SCHOOL?" I'm sure you do.

Does Dudly consider all women "NITWITS?" I'm sure you do.

>> > Lennie brags about all his accomplishments but lied when routing e
>> >mail and hasn't provided pic-one of ANY radio related subject matter.
>>
>> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>>
>> Several photos in there. Click on the other file links under
>> "uploads" for three more.
>>
>> Go to www.estripes.com and access the Archives of articles, look
>> under date November 10, 2002. The pictures there are all mine
>> and used in a double-truck (two-page spread to civilians not in
>> publishing) commemorative article on Hardy Barracks of the 1950s.
>> Reporter is Rick Chernitzer who interviewed me and received lots
>> more digitized photos I took at Hardy and around there.
>
> I stand corrected.

Keep standing, "Jarhead."

Go to the home page of sujan.hallikainen.org and use links to
get to military radios.

Over on Harold Hallikainen's Radio History website, in the uploads
section, are some more PDFs on RADIO. Three in all. "AlphabetSoup"
is a digitization of a 1962 booklet published by the Japan Signal
Overseas Battalion (a merge of the 71st Sig Bn and 72nd Sig Bn that
happened after I left for the States in 1956), describing the
ADA station functions and equipment as it was, lots more RADIO
equipment; supplied by James Brendage, a civilian engineer and
Department of the Army and Department of the Air Force employee,
who is retired and living in California. Jim Brendage was working
at ADA transmitter site when I was assigned there and we
corresponded by surface and e-mail after 2000. "The First
Walkie Talkie" is about the SCR-300 / BC-1000 VHF two-way
backpack FM transceiver that was designed by Motorola (that you
insisted "didn't exist" in WW2!), made by them, and was used
in all theaters of operation up to and including the Korean War.
Includes some specific design features of the Walkie-Talkie with
some schematics obtained from the TM on that radio. The
"Microwave Radio Relay" PDF is a general description of that
as it was used by land forces from WW2 onwards...including the
AN/FRC-23 and AN/FRC-35 that I was a supervisor of and operated.

You might look elsewhere on that site and see, under "National"
[Radio] a digitization of the supplied manual for their 7-inch
VHF-channels-only television set of 1948-1949. I had one then,
bought it for me and my parents out of MY earnings in part-time
jobs. Strictly for nostalgia purposes that digitization, was a
good set at the time but not quite state-of-the-art then.

If you go to the home page links to USAER (that's U.S. Army
in Europe) history pages and look under Signal units, you can
see a Node Diagram and Station Block Diagram of ACAN (Army
Command and Administrative Network) as it was in the 50s and
60s, a worldwide HF network that did supply some circuits to
the Department of State. I supplied that to the webmaster via
e-mail since I had the diagrams from official sources.

Stand down, Jarhead.

>> >> Dudly has supplied NO OTHER LIVING RADIO AMATEUR'S NAMES/
>> >> CALLSIGNS except that of his "wife" that could be contacted
>> >> for verification on identity/personality/behavior/etc. He
>> >> apparently knows no one else except the correspondents in
>> >> newsgroups.
>> >
>> > Even more wrong.
>>
>> "Wrong?" Did your wife divorce you?
>>

>> > Sheesh Lennie, are you not even going to make this even REMOTELY
>> >challenging?
>>
>> Then SUPPLY SOME PERSONAL REFERENCES BY NAME.
>>
>> You are EVADING a question.
>
> Nope.
>
> I HAVE provided you with NUMEROUS verifyable references.

Dudly definition of "numerous": "Working" his alternate
personalities, his wife, regulars in this newsgroup. Where
are the OTHERS?

> You refuse to use them.

No one can "refuse to use" something that doesn't exist.

> For what reason? I HAVE given you references that ARE researchable
>yet you refuse to utilize them.

WHO are the licensed radio amateurs you referenced other than
your wife and regulars in this newsgroup?

> If you don't have a scanner, go to Kinkos or Office Depot or
> Staples. Those stores have scanning-digitizing services
> available at very low-cost.
>

> Or shall we just agree that we've caught you in yet another NCTA
>double standard lie?

No "double standard" on the NCTA side. No "lies" despite
your multiple personalities hopping up and down in "outrage"
and not being able to "beat up" your challengers.

>> Not being all that familiar with USMC jargon, I clipped some
>> paragraphs of Frank's postings and sent them to some former
>> active-duty Marines. One was a high school classmate of mine.
>> They all agreed that Frank had the right terms and jargon.
>> One got OUTRAGED that YOU, a supposed marine, would use a
>> USCG slogan sign-off instead of Semper Fidelis or Semper Fi.
>
> Uh huh..
>
> Right.

Right, we are agreed...you used the WRONG slogans, the WRONG
jargon. "Semper Paratus" is the slogan of the USCG. I'll let
the REAL Marines handle the jargon...they are all in agreement
with Frank...and in DISAGREEMENT with your gems in here, Dud.

> Did you show them where YOU used the deaths of Army KIA's to
>polish your USENET brasss with, Scumbag...?!?!

Sorry, you HATING little imposter, I mentioned the 23 members of MY Signal Battalion in HONOR OF THEM. 19 that died in a transport crash on 1 Jul 50 in Korea to reinforce Signal operations after the North Koreans had invaded South Korea. The other four were killed in individual actions while on temporary duty in Korea, two before the July 1953 truce signing, two after that start of the "forever truce" (the Korean War has NOT officially ended and "truce talks" continue as they have for 52 years). All of them get remembered. I have all their names, ranks, ASNs, their home towns. All assigned to one Signal Battalion, the 8235th Army Unit. The Battalion HONORED their memory at each Retreat Ceremony. The first billet of the 8235th was Hardy Barracks, Tokyo, named for Corporal Elmer Hardy in his honor. The new transmitter site at Kashiwa, NE of Tokyo, was named Camp Tomlinson in 1956 in honor of Frank Tomlinson. Both were victims of the 1 Jul 50 crash. Camp Tomlinson was retained as a name when control of the ADA facilities were transferred to the USAF in 1963.

I have NO need to "polish brass" through mentioning that I HONOR their memory. My work and efforts while assigned to the 8235th AU were all the "brass polish" I ever needed. That work and effort got me promotions from Private E-2 (at first assignment) to Sergeant E-5 (on release from service) in two years, meeting the minimum time-in-grade required at the time at each level.

Perhaps you'd like to meet some of the "brass polishers" out here who assemble at the Veteran's Cemetary in Westwood (close to the huge UCLA campus in case you're shy on big-city geography) ever Memorial Day and Veteran's Day? Most are middle-aged like yourself. A few are younger guys. Maybe you'd like to holler at them as well as me about "brass polishing their own images" while we bow our heads in prayer for the veterans and place a few American flags on graves? No, I don't think so...your physical safety would be in peril.

> And Lennie, I knew/know enough about "radio theory" to have passed
>the FCC examinations for an Amateur Extra license.
>
> You obvioulsy haven't.

"Obviously."

WOW! Aren't you some "genius" in radio theory!

Imagine that! You got an AMATEUR Extra license!

No sweat, Dud, I passed a First Class Radiotelephone
(Commercial) Radio Operator license exam in one sitting
in a Chicago FCC Field Office in March 1956. Couldn't
get a Commercial Q&A book before then, but I got to
borrow the entire loose-leaf (then the format) FCC
regulations from a friend at a broadcast station and
memorized them. I already KNEW the theory needed for
either commercial or amateur radio of the time. Was a
matter of filling out the forms, drawing a couple
schematics, only strain being to remember the right
regulations. Only other "problem" was an interruption
for a fire drill in the Chicago Federal Building in
between 2nd and 3rd elements. That stretched the test
time out to about two hours...two of the test elements
were "essay" type where one had to write out answers;
other two were multiple-choice. Did I know the answers?
Yes, but I didn't need any open-pool information as
there is now since I ALREADY knew enough "radio theory."

So...you charge that I "don't KNOW enough 'radio theory'
to get an amateur radio license?" After taking many
college-level semester final exams in electronics
theory and finally the final for a degree? After many
years as an electronics design engineer and obtaining
a sole-inventor patent about radio? I'm sure you
THINK that in your own little psychotic way but it
would be a snap today to outshine you three ways from
Sunday on a REAL "radio theory" test. :-)

> You're not "regular folks", Lennie.
>
> You're a pathological liar.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. That "mirror syndrome" reveals itself again.
Dud looks in the computer screen, sees his own reflection,
and insults that. :-)

You've misused "pathological" for years. If you say no,
then you admit to posing as a real Medical Doctor, something
you are NOT. Real MDs are into pathology. A better insult
word would have been "congenital"; i.e., from birth.

Tsk, you use "liar" for everyone who just disagrees with
your opinions. That's also wrong use of words. It is
also indicative of a deep psychological condition that
prevents you from handling ANY disagreement. [one of
the things learned in Psych 101-102 courses once required
in California for many Bachelors degrees]

>> If, in 18 years of alleged military service, you CANNOT get USMC
>> terms correct, CANNOT name a single piece of radio-electronics
>> equipment by either formal nomenclature or familiar name, you
>> are a FRAUD, a masquerader, a poor snow-jobbing used car sales-

>> man con artist.
>
> I've never offered any "nomenclature" on ANY USMC equipment,
>Lennie, as it's not germane here.

Tsk, tsk, you've gone into great lengths on your (mistaken)
USMC life, military helicopters, personal weapons shooting,
volunteering as part of the Tennessee STATE Guard, Civil
Air Patrol...even the aerospace business and electronics
engineering expertise, not to mention blabbering about national
and foreign policy of the United States. Is that "all about
amateur radio?" I think not. :-)

> Unlike you, when I discuss radio equipment in an Amateur Radio
>forum, it's usually Amateur Radio equipment I am discussing.

See above. :-)

> YOU can't do that...You don't have any Amateur equipment to
>discuss...except maybe for the tiny Johnson you alluded to with Dave
>Heil.

"Amateur" is unpaid, for personal activity in definition.
I've been a hobbyist in electronics and radio since 1947.

The Johnson Viking Messenger was made solely for Citizens
Band Radio Service use.

> Care to show us your tiny Johnson, Lennie?

No, it might make you distraught. I can find a website that
does have a picture of a Johnson Viking Messenger. I came
across one a few years ago while hunting for more information
on a Bendix CB transceiver that I still have; same size as
the Johnson, slightly different circuitry. Got it at a
discount, new, from Stan Beer, a Bendix field engineer at
the time (1960).

I already have digitized photos of my indoor workshop. That
includes an HP 606 and HP 608D RF signal generator, two
dual-trace oscilloscopes (50 MHz Philips, 60 MHz Protek),
frequency and period counter (my design in a ready-built
cabinet, newly converted to LED 7-segment display from old
Amperex numeric display similar to Nixies), ancient Heathkit
audio generator (up to 1 MHz), one 4 1/2 digit DVM, two
3 1/2 digit DVMs, Boonton 190A Q-Meter, Circuit Specialists
dual regulated supply (digital readout), the last remaining
single regulated voltage-current DC supply that was the
subject of a 1969 two-issue Popular Electronics article
(had three others but those got built into other equipment),
an all-purpose dual pulse generator (own design) which
includes an NTSC sync generator (won't be of much use in
the future due to HDTV), a Heathkit audio IM distortion

analyzer, a 60 KHz WWVB "receiver" (TRF, loop antenna is
in the attic space above) for phase-locking a 10 MHz
crystal oscillator used as a secondary frequency standard
(still playing with new ways to arrange the PLL). Oops,
forgot the AADE L-C Meter, an extremely useful little
thing for winding toroids to exact Henries, a small
capacitance meter that reads to 0.1 pFd on lowest (100
pFd) scale, my own-built adjustable AC line supply with
expanded-scale voltmeter. There's also a full Apple ][+
computer with two 5" disk drives, 9" and 12" monitors
but those hardly see any use now...also the UV erase and
reprogramming dingus (also home built) for EPROMs. In that
compact space is a 3' x 4' drafting board (don't use the
K&E drafting machine now, just the scales) which doubles
as an illustrator's board for art work. Workbench is 7
feet wide, cabinets above and below. Rebuilt that whole
center room myself from plans drawn up on drafting table
mentioned. Came together nicely.

Of course, since you so strenuously objected to receiving
digitized files through e-mail, you won't get those images.
:-)

>> Then you SHOULD be able to describe MUCH MORE of their electronics.
>> You have NOT. You haven't even come close to anything but
>> cribbing general aircraft nomenclature that is availabe on
>> dozens of aviation-interest websites.
>
> Why should I?
>
> Of what relevence are the Avionics of those airframes to Amateur
>Radio?

Of what relevance is going on and on and on about a supposed
"career" in the USMC, volunteerism in the TN STATE Guard, and
Civil Air Patrol? However, if you HAD mentioned some of the
USMC radio equipment, it MIGHT have given you some creedence.
Since you didn't, your believability went into the dumpster.

If you cannot be accurate on your descriptions of military life,
then you cannot be considered accurate in your descriptions
of civilian life.

> Then whatEVER avionics I operate is STILL more avionics than YOU
>operate!

In the Civil Air Patrol? :-)

Poor Dudly, trying to outshine someone who has DESIGNED part
of the test set for a DME-Transponder-TACAN test set at
Microradionics, Inc., and a TACAN test set at RCA Corporation.
Tsk, tsk, I've OPERATED both civil and military avionics

in-flight, civil radio-nav radios including the omnibearing
selector (for navigation) as both student pilot and later as
passenger. Need a full-size Jepp plotter? Got one. :-)
Piece of cake. Simple stuff.

I'd add some airborne radars in there but you might go
bananas. :-) The three generations of RCA Corp. SECANT
aren't counted since those were R&D programs and wouldn't
be in any USMC aircraft.

>> MARS operations are NOT part of the worldwide military communications
>> network. Dudly was NEVER a part of that bigger, important network.
>
> Sorry, Lennie, More facts that you can't seem to deal with....
>
> Seems the Marine Corps thinks differently than you.

No, sweetums, the USMC does NOT "think differently." YOU think
differently than reality.

MARS is the amalgam of a special services morale builder and a
sort-of "linkage" between the military and other U.S. government
agencies. It is NOT any sort of mainstay of the Defence
Communications System (DCS) or the Digital Switched Network
(DSN). MARS is useful as a last-ditch fall-back radio thing
"should everything else fail" (which it won't) and it keeps
some folks occupied...but it was NEVER any sort of integral
part of any military branch's worldwide communications network.

In the late 1960s and very early 1970s, MARS can be praised
for doing a massive amount of phone-patches for service
people in Southeast Asia. The United States Army Center for
Military History says that in one long history paper. But,
the "usefulness" of MARS for that sort of personal
communications dwindled to a trickle with the coming of the
Internet and the connectability of the DSN to the Internet.
With the increase of small computers in the field (as well
as PCs on board water vessels), it became common for service
people to communicate directly with family and friends
through e-mail and, in slowly-increasing use, VoIP. MARS,
to justify its existance, turned to the role of "liason"
with other government agencies and exercises such as
"Grecian Firebolt." The Vietnam War ended 30 years ago and
the old MARS paradigms have bitten the dust now.

>> Now, tell us again EXACTLY how you got "discharged." You are
>> confused. First you had a medical. Then it was changed to an
>> Honorable. Then it was back to something strange called an
>> "Honorable Medical" discharge because of your "accident."
>> That's all archived in Google. But, none of US have seen any
>> proof of ANY discharge of your, Dudly...other than what you've
>> squirted all over this newsgroup for years.

>
> What's "confused" here, Lennie, is you.

Not at all. Now, tell us again EXACTLY how you got "discharged."

You haven't said a thing other than to attempt misdirection by
one of your many personal insults.

>> > If we could bottle your "Back in 53..." tales we could take a
>> >whole generation of sleeping pills off the shelves, Lennie.
>>
>> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>>
>> "Bottled and waiting."
>
> And just as likely to put someone to sleep.
>
> I looked. It's pretty boring to me.

It would be to an AMATEUR, a poseur about REAL communications
who has no real interest in the HF communications methods
that formed the basis for today's HF use by amateurs.

You say it is "boring" only to attempt a left-handed insult
again. TRUTH is often boring and what you want is a lot of
falsehoods about YOURSELF to make YOU "look good."

That PDF on Hal's radio history website is the TRUTH as it was
in the 1950s. It's been verified by N2JTV (who was there at
the same time I was) and James Brendage, a civilian engineer
employed by the Army (also there the same time I was). The
accompanying "AlphabetSoup.pdf" was prepared by a Signal
Battalion that did the communications operations.

It is "boring" to you because you resent NOT being in a
similar assignment and did NOTHING LIKE THAT in the military.

>> Why are you so upset and resentful that someone worked massive
>> long-distance HF communications before your birth? WITHOUT
>> USING ANY MORSE CODE MODES? Over a half century ago. There's
>> more radio equipment described in there - that I hands-on
>> operated - than you will ever work on in your life as a ham.
>> Poor baby...all jealous and resentful. Never had a professional
>> radio job. All experience coming from AMATEUR radio ops.
>
> No, you didn't "work(ed) massive long-distance HF
>communications..."

Signalmen are all pro, always were, always will be. Signal Corps
didn't play around in hobby fashion with "radiosport" contests
on HF. We got the messages through. 220 thousand a month at
ADA, a million and a quarter a month at WAR. Circuits from Tokyo
to Alaska, Hawaii, San Francisco, Manila, etc. were LONG.

> You were a radio mechanic. Nothing more, according to your MOS.

Incorrect. The Army has mechanics, but those are all in the motor pool working on vehicles. My MOS was "Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance Supervisor" (281.6 in the old MOS designation).

> You were not a radio OPERATOR...Nor were you a message center
>clerk.

The 8235th Army Unit did NOT operate any "message center." It operated a huge radio transmitter-receiver-torn-tape-relay station serving the Far East Command Headquarters.

The 8235th AU (a battalion strength) did not have one single morse code operator on its TO&E, did not use any morse code modes. I was never a "clerk" of any kind.

I did not "operate receivers" because I was not assigned to the receiver site at Camp Owada, NW of Tokyo. After visiting there several times, it is evident that no one there or with the USAF sharing the site did any morse code "operating." The HF communications modes were, in order of use: TTY, Voice, Facsimile. SSB circuits used the "commercial format" of 12 KHz bandwidth, frequency-multiplexing 4 voice bandwidth circuits; on voice circuit was used as an order-wire, the second voice circuit for "overseas radiotelephone," the remaining two circuits for TTY tones (typically 6 to 12 separate teleprinter circuits). Some of the RTTY radio circuits had time-multiplexing for carrying 4 separate TTY circuits on one radio via USN-contract multiplexer operated by the Carrier crew ("carrier" in the telephony jargon, not Navy).

The purpose of the Army-USAF facility was to maintain world-wide communications over ACAN-STARCOM-DCS 24/7, not to be some sort of Special Services morale builder with hobby activities and phone-patches. Before the commsat era, HF was the only practical long-haul communications means for the U.S. military. The Army did its part with ACAN-STARCOM-DCS and the teletypewriter was the key ingredient to insure both accuracy, readability, and security. The USAF continued that role, being assigned control of the station ADA facilities in 1963 and kept it operational to 1978 when the land and buildings were turned over to the Japanese government. Callsign ADA remains today, now the callsign of the United States Army Pacific, headquartered in Fort Shafter, Hawaii. HF communications in the military is now in a secondary position, used as a back-up mode.

Yes, I was not a MORSE CODE operator. Never pretended to be. The Army just didn't depend on morse code for communications over long radio routes, nor could morse code modes handle

the volume of traffic required to maintain worldwide units and logistics and security needs. The Army, indeed all the service branches don't use morse code for communications now, any radio path length. They don't need to. They are pros, not amateurs.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1829. steveo's lap dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 22:56:51 UTC
From: vinnie-ninnie@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132354611.367348.131370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124397
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.personals.gay,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

yes, vinnie is a whiney little shit.

BUTTTTTTTTT.................

why does he kiss steveo's ass?

did steveo turn him out?

did vinnie turn out steveo?

which was the first to engage in gay sex at the petro????

# 1830. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 22:59:30 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051118175930.363$Lw@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124398

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> >
> >>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>
> >>>You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
> >>>
> >>>http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
> >>>
> >>>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>>
> >>
> >>Oh my, not the kind of stuff lenniepoo, frankieboy, steveo and other
> >>cbers like to hear.
> >>
> >
> > WTF are you talking about, no-call commode?
>
> You want my call? Here it is...G0TCA LOL at the cber!!!!!
>
A made up call sign for a made up ham wannabe.

http://img506.imageshack.us/img506/4702/commode2ie.jpg

# 1831. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:00:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051118180001.666$gI@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124399

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> >
> >>I has to do with
> >>getting a license to operate on ham radio. Something that seems quite
> >>beyond your capabilities.
> >>
> >
> > When are you going to attempt the test, no-call?
> \
>
> Just renewed my license, no license boy.
>
Riiiight.

http://img506.imageshack.us/img506/4702/commode2ie.jpg

# 1832. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:02:16 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <0POdnVBty4wB0uPeRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124386

Steveo wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>
>>>You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
>>>
>>>http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>>
>>Oh my, not the kind of stuff lenniepoo, frankieboy, steveo and other
>>cbers like to hear.
>>
>
> WTF are you talking about, no-call commode?

You want my call? Here it is...G0TCA LOL at the cber!!!!!

# 1833. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:03:01 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <0POdnVNty4xU0uPeRVn-ig@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124387

Steveo wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
>
>>I has to do with
>>getting a license to operate on ham radio. Something that seems quite
>>beyond your capabilities.
>>
>
> When are you going to attempt the test, no-call?
\

Just renewed my license, no license boy.

# 1834. Re: BIG!! - Former FBI director calls 9-11 Commission a COVERUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:04:11 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051118180411.084$Gu@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >>What a luser. Posting and replying to himself.
> >>
> >>No one will talk to you on the radio?
> >>Oh, they must have met you. That would do it.
> >>
> >
> > Would you talk to a fugly shitstain like this?
> >
> > http://n8wwm.4t.com
> >
> > I tried to talk to him in person but he's too skeered of me, so he
> > stayed inside his section eight slum and pointed a BB gun at me.
> >
> > He's not allowed to have a real gun since his felony conviction. lol
>
> What did you expect? Coffee and donuts?
>
I expected him to do what he threatened to do:

n8wwm mewled like a sissy:

> Imagine, Peepeeholic...Imagine...You come to my place and star some
> junk...I put you face down and hurtin extreme, take pics of you laying
> there with a bumped up noggin, and post 'em to the NG. I relish you
> coming by. I am good in the callbook, just as I told your wife, the
> trainedpuppet.
> Have not had this much fun since I used to go cuttin' coax and
> building jamming oscillators back when I was 16 yrs old.

http://n8wwm.4t.com/

Instead I got another no code tech coward...go figure.

# 1835. Re: Genocidal New Orleans Pigs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:17:25 UTC
From: Free and Accepted Manson <KILLFILEjasonzc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9712AFD2F2C5D12345666@207.14.113.17>
Source record: 124402
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement,neworleans.general,alt.journalism,rec.radio.cb,alt.flame,alt.stupidity,alt.conspiracy

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote in
news:p_Kdndide_1RxePenZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@gbronline.com:

>>
>>>The ACLU said that the scores of testimonials it has obtained from
>>>prisoners contradict public statements made by Sheriff Marlin N.
>>>Gusman that the prisoners had food and water and that the evacuation
>>>went as planned.
>>>"The prisoners' accounts are remarkably similar, and raise serious
>>>claims that they were abandoned by prison officials and subject to
>>>excessive force," said Eric Balaban of the ACLU National Prison
>>>Project, which represents the prisoners in an ongoing class-action
>>>lawsuit.
>
> Like a bunch of prisoners are going to tell the truth. They will say
> whatever will benefit them.

Because we all know cops are great people who can do no wrong.

--
Pretty Good Pimp

http://www.bedoper.com

# 1836. Re: A Special Thanks To Hans, K0HB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:20:16 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132356016.215018.172600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.personals.gay

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > I had expressed my appreciation to Hans in the original thread, but
> > for some reason, some posts I've made to Google today haven't shown up.
> > As of now it hasn't.
> >
> > So I reiterate my gratitude to Hans, K0HB.
> >
> > Thanks, Hans!
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Me too.
>
> Thanks, Hans.
>
> bb

yep,thanks alot you sick cocksucker!

# 1837. Re: Genocidal New Orleans Pigs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:21:23 UTC
From: "David Morgan (MAMS)" <mams@NOSPAm-a-m-s.com>
Message-ID: <TItff.740$BC2.473@trnddc04>
Source record: 124404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement,neworleans.general,rec.radio.cb

x-no archive: yes

"moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com> wrote in message

> THATS GOOD FOR THE FILTHY NIGGER ANIMALS........I MAY COME BACK TO
> MARDI GRAS AND SPEND MY MONEY IF YOU GUYS MANAGE YOUR NIGGER PROBLEM
> BETTER IN THE FUTURE.

You are a very, very sick person.

# 1838. Re: Congats Cecil W5DXP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:23:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051118182336.822$c4@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.personals.gay

N8WWM Doug Adair <twistedhed@wildfun.com> wrote:
> Cecil Moore wrote:
> > Fred W4JLE wrote:
> > > Nice article in WorldRadio December issue.
> > >
> > Thanks, part I was in the Oct. issue -
> > Part II hopefully in Jan.
> > --
> > 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
> hi Cecil,
>
> how about an article on the sick gay shit you hams crave so
> much.....like how you sick fucks select the "young ham of the year"
> hehehehhe!
>
You should go back to your court ordered shrink, n8wwm.

Plonk

# 1839. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:39:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132357141.641739.154970@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: K0HB on Nov 18, 8:46 am

>"K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote

>> And Franke, communications encryption devices on USMC helos were
>> JULIETT, not "VINSON". The crypto of choice for the Sea Stallions, as
>> were all other UH/AH/CH birds I had occassion to be around was the
>> KY-28.
>
>The KY-28 airborne cryptos were neither VINSON nor JULIETT. All the
>TSEC/KY-8/28/38 were NESTOR family cryptos.
>
>Until VINSON family was introduced in the 80's, US crypto system families
>carried names from Greek mythology (ADONIS, BACCUS, JASON, NESTOR, ORESTES,
>PONTUS, etc.). There never was a US crypto system named "JULIETT".

Now, now, Hans, let's not be harsh.

Dudly took and passed an amateur extra class license and
KNOWS his stuff...

After all, he DID know about "Colonel Vinson at Gordon!" :-)

Wasn't "Morse" a god in mythology? <innocent questing look>

bee bop
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1840. Re: Genocidal New Orleans Pigs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:41:28 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <p_Kdndide_1RxePenZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124396
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement,neworleans.general,alt.journalism,rec.radio.cb

>
>>The ACLU said that the scores of testimonials it has obtained from
>>prisoners contradict public statements made by Sheriff Marlin N. Gusman
>>that the prisoners had food and water and that the evacuation went as
>>planned.
>>"The prisoners' accounts are remarkably similar, and raise serious claims
>>that they were abandoned by prison officials and subject to excessive
>>force," said Eric Balaban of the ACLU National Prison Project, which
>>represents the prisoners in an ongoing class-action lawsuit.

Like a bunch of prisoners are going to tell the truth. They will say
whatever will benefit them.

## 1841. Re: steveo's lap dog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:45:55 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nsptmrqsbkqbf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 124407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.personals.gay,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

> which was the first to engage in gay sex at the petro????
>

I think it was Doug N8WWM. At least that's what all the truckers on 19 say.

# 1842. Re: Genocidal New Orleans Pigs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:48:15 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nsq21s509ue1b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 124408
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement,neworleans.general,alt.journalism,rec.radio.cb

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:p_Kdndide_1RxePenZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> >
>>>The ACLU said that the scores of testimonials it has obtained from
>>>prisoners contradict public statements made by Sheriff Marlin N. Gusman
>>>that the prisoners had food and water and that the evacuation went as
>>>planned.
>>>"The prisoners' accounts are remarkably similar, and raise serious claims
>>>that they were abandoned by prison officials and subject to excessive
>>>force," said Eric Balaban of the ACLU National Prison Project, which
>>>represents the prisoners in an ongoing class-action lawsuit.
>
> Like a bunch of prisoners are going to tell the truth. They will say
> whatever will benefit them.

And the ACLU will milk it for all it's worth.

# 1843. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-18 23:59:28 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <IMGdnYjs_rWPwOPeRVn-ug@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124400

Iitoi wrote:
>
> Todd, you are one sick puppy!

We've know that since he first posted here.

# 1844. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 00:13:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132359188.512480.62300@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124409

From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 18, 2:08 am

>You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
>
>http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html

"Wait?" That EDITORIAL piece was in the 13 October 2005 issue,
Jimmie. Today is 18 November. Why are you so LATE?

My paper copy of Electronic Design has been sitting
around for a month. It's a no-charge subscription to those
IN the electronics industry; aren't you one of those? The
cover feature is "Weapons of Mass Protection." Feature
article is (on page 27) subtitled "Where the Homeland
Security Dollars Are."

Oh, I understand, you are against making a profit. An
unusual attitude for someone who claims professional (for
money) employment. Tsk, tsk...no wonder you won't reveal
your employer's name!

Your referenced EDITORIAL was written by Editor-in-Chief
Mark David. If you check ED's archives, you will find
another EDITORIAL of his, includes a mention of the ARRL.
Editor David seems to be FOR Access BPL! Like that? :-)

Sunnuvagun!

bee bop
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1845. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 00:24:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132359885.559856.304320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124410

>An examination of your board (it's not very secure, by the way) shows hand-drawn
>and computer generated pictures of pre-pubescent females involved in
>masturbation, intercourse with an animal, and other pornographic depictions of
>children. The question has nothing to do with "legal" --- it has to do with
>mental illness.

>Go away, and take your kiddy porn with you.

If I'm "mental ill" as you claim then all those other anime boards that
are internet, which has the same stuff as do are also mental ill.

Todd
Demented Otaku BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

# 1846. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 00:28:51 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132360131.695757.113370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124411

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Wed 16 Nov 2005 16:16
>
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 4:52 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >From: Frank Gilliland on Sat 12 Nov 2005 11:57
>
>
>
> >> >What are the odds of being in a single hostile action if you've never
> >> >been deployed?
> >> >
> >> >I'm thinking zero. Now multiply that by seven.
> >>
> >> ...and the result is still ZERO.
> >
> >Quick thinking. Thanks.
>
> Dudly tries to DIVIDE by ZERO and thereby being INFINITE in
> his fantasy result. No can do.
>
> Dudly is, in math terms, a very imaginary number. :-)
>
> [tsk, he won't see the humor in that, but he never has...]

A confounding variable.

> >> ZERO hostile actions that Dudly was "in."
> >>
> >> I find it singularly AMAZING that Dudly has NEVER produced a
> >> single photo or snapshot of himself on "active duty" during
> >> those EIGHTEEN YEARS! NO references to anyone who has one.
> >
> >I don't think the photo stores will process "toga party" film. But
> >Polaroid did make a camera that required no processing.
>
> Tsk, all the camera stores have neat little digital cameras
> now...no film needed, just charge it up, take the shots, look
> at them immediately on the LCD viewscreen.
>
> Wife and I got a neat little combination still-movie digital

> camera, MPEG compatible, for under $200 direct from maker's
> website store. Took it with us on our recent 5000-mile
> round-trip to Wisconsin...charged it in the car with same
> charger used for the cell phone. Good resolution on both
> still and motion pictures.

Did you see Paul Bunyon? or is that in Michigan?

> >> I've seen dozens and dozens of snaps of my friends and
> >> acquaintences who've served in the militaries for much
> >> shorter times. All the military branches sent out notices
> >> and photographs of service people to their home town
> >> newspapers in the past describing their current assignment
> >> or "graduation" from boot camp or basic training. Papers
> >> MIGHT include those in back pages as "filler" material or
> >> might not depending on how much page space they had left.
> >> Nonetheless, the military policy has been to do that for
> >> the last half century, all branches.
> > >
> >For all of the hundreds of thousands of servicemen and women, except
> >Steve.
> >
> ...even without his TWO sets of dress blues! :-)

His dry cleaning bills must be outrageous.

> >> >Hero Steve must have laid pretty low those 18 years.
> >> >
> >> Shhhh...he might have been "special ops!"
> >> >
> >> Tom Clancy may be writing him up for a new novel entitled,
> >> "Clear and Present Dander," about an EMT doing HALO drops
> >> into denied territory to flush out illegal dandruff drug
> >> dealers threatening our hair-oin distribution.
> > >
> >That part was made for him!
> >
> Tsk, he'd better hurry and sign with Hollywood...his hair is
> going and soon there won't be any PART! :-)

No teeth? No hair? We'll have to call him Mr. Q Ball.

> >> >My single reason for staying on is that I wouldn't let these pricks
> >> >(roughly the equivalent of "putz") run me off. I'm waiting for Mike
> >> >Coslo to get his BBS up and running so most of the esteemed riffraff
> >> >will depart. My plan is to turn off the lights, but it hinges on Coslo
> >> >making a success of himself. What are the odds?
> >> >
> >> The Coslonaut probably stuck his "BBS" into his balloon
> >> payload and the BBS is now "on the threshold of space!"
> >> >
> >> Think a combination of "Dilbert" and "Brewster Rockit, Space

> >> Guy." High-tech funnies.
> >
> >Acme rocket company and an Unwiley Coyote comes to mind.
>
> There's a thought! I'll run down to Warner Brothers (at the other
> end of Hollywood Way, 3 miles south) and act as your agent for
> this new cartoon! Too bad you couldn't come up with it sooner...
> it could have been shown as a short subject with new film
> "Jarhead." :-)
>
> Funneeeee!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Steve will finally earn his following.

# 1847. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 00:54:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132361694.873570.199210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Fri 18 Nov 2005 04:52
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 16:22
> >> >K0HB wrote:
> >> >> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> >>
> >> >> > Then ask them how much Marines are billed for their first seabag of
> >> >> > uniforms in boot camp. (Remember, Frankie said Marines are NOT issued
> >> >> > UNIFORMS free of charge, assigned duties or not...)
> >> >>
> >> >> My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
> >> >> says:
> >> >
> >> >Steve was in the USMC on 08 JUL 05?
> >
> >> According to Google dated 17 November 05, Robeson claimed USMC
> >> service from 1974 to 1992.
> >
> >I'm so glad that Steve was in compliance with regulations that were 31
> >years in the future at the time he stood on the yellow footprints.
> >Steve always was out of step with the rest of society.
>
> He is a "man of many talents!" :-)
>
> At least he hasn't minced around in baby shoes.

His primary talent is lying.

> >> There is a 13 year to 31 year GAP in there.
> >
> >He got his uniforms issued at the GAP?
>
> Probably at Sergeant Grit or some other Army-Navy surplus store.

"GI Joes."

> >> Trivial detail according to the Extra Morsemen. They have to
> >> hang together...or hang separately.
> >
> >> Looks to me like Hans put on his Manager/CEO hat to "calm the
> >> turbulent waters." [he does that once in a while when getting

> >> a paternal urge?]
> >
> >What does Hans copy of said regulation say for the time period?
>
> The full copy prolly says "this supersedes previous editions."
>
> It's fine for a new boot going into the Navy NOW. Says dink
> about what it was three decades ago and "yellow footprint"
> time. But, let's not quibble about teeny details...:-)

For example, everyone in the Air Force up until 1994 knew what AFR 35-10 was.

Gen McPeak had the regulations rewritten as Air Force Instructions, and renumbered everything. Similarly, he reorganized the major commands and almost everyone got new unit names. I think he was operating from the principle, "When in doubt, Reorganize (and cut your manpower numbers in half)." By keeping everyone confused, it was hard to tell that they were making the service broken.

> >> The unwritten rule in here is to allow morsemen to act any
> >> damn way they want to. That's okay. No-coders and non-hams
> >> are verboten to rise up against Extra morsemen's puerile
> >> putzy pejoratives.
> >
> >> Just the same, "clothing allowance" or not, Dudly the Imposter
> >> has NOT posted ANY picture of hisself in ANY "active-duty"
> >> USMC uniform. Dudly has NOT posted a single scrap of his
> >> DD-214 anywhere. Dudly has NOT posted a single name or
> >> callsign of anyone who could vouch that he is telling truth.
> >
> >> Dudly the Imposter is still busy being the Imposter.
> >
> >> Hans is defending a FRAUD. Good on Hans. Just what the
> >> "amateur community" needs. Pro-bono "defense."
> >
> >> I wonder if Booth, Freret, Imlay, and Tepper know about this?
> >
> >> Well, let's look optimistically. Mebbe Dudly will have a
> >> cute little Ham Jumpsuit photo sometime? Mebbe with a "I
> >> was homeland security before there was homeland security"
> >> ribbon on it? Mighty warrior, etc. Then he can claim "8
> >> hostile actions" after having made it through the Code War.
> >
> >Hah!!!
>
> Dudly is a Code Warrior. Others are just Code Worriers.

But they serve in other ways.

> >> These mighty warriors certainly know how to turn off any
> >> ham wannabes...which may be why Val Germann is still an

> >> unmodified Tech for so long?
> >
> >Poor Val. Such ambition.
>
> Well, that's how it goes...sometimes reality boots ya in
> the ash.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

"There is no problem so large nor complex that it cannot be run away
from."

# 1848. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 01:04:16 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132362256.026654.103430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124413

>I just told you that it is a Finnish word. It has a very unique meaning
>in Finnish. Does it mean something different here in the U.S?

I don't think it really has to do with words, but has more to do with
cultural difference. what might be illegal here maybe legal in another
country, or their tolerance may be more relaxed then it is here.

Todd

# 1849. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 01:13:37 UTC
From: Iitoi <iitoi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5%uff.1835$wf.306@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124414

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1132359885.559856.304320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >An examination of your board (it's not very secure, by the way) shows
> >hand-drawn
>>and computer generated pictures of pre-pubescent females involved in
>>masturbation, intercourse with an animal, and other pornographic depictions of
>>children. The question has nothing to do with "legal" --- it has to do with
>>mental illness.
>
>>Go away, and take your kiddy porn with you.
>
> If I'm "mental ill" as you claim then all those other anime boards that
> are internet, which has the same stuff as do are also mental ill.
>

You got it!

The site owners should be registered with the local child-protection folks, and not allowed near the vicinity of schoolyards or playgrounds.

Now just take your sleazy self out of here. Even Mark won't defend a kiddy-porn-webpublisher.

--
Iitoi

# 1850. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 01:32:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132363967.379700.196660@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124415

From: K4YZ on Nov 17, 7:15 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:

>> Basically, it comes down to the fact that Len thinks he's too good to
>> have to learn Morse Code - or anything else - for an amateur radio
>> license.

[inaccurate heilian imagination...]

> I still believe that Lennie had some "run-in" with an Amateur at
>some point. No doubt Lennie tried to bluff the other Amatuer into
>"signing off" on a Conditional or other such thing since he already
>professed to "know it all".

Poor iggorant little Dudly. Sorry to bust your big balloon,
but, other than doing some code practice in the early 1960s,
I HAVEN'T BOTHERED to get any AMATEUR radio license. :-)

If you wish to make a mountain out of a molehill, you can
get some staffer at Newington to look into my correspondence
with the late Vic Clark, then President of ARRL. That was
in the late 1970s to 1980. That MIGHT be called a "run-in"
but I'd say it was just the ultra-superior, don't bother me
attitude of the League. It concerned a new movement to
eliminate the morse code test for amateur radio. All those
in-power 20 WPM Extras would have none of that!

That movement would grow slowly until the FCC got convinced
(against League wishes) that a no-code-test Technician class
license got created. [see FCC 90-53 copy at www.nocode.org]

"Amateur," not 'Amatuer." :-)

> His one and only attempt to get a license by deceit rebuffed, he's
>set upon a mission to undermine Amateur Radio at any and all
opportunities. To hell with the mere mortals, HE was a PROFESSIONAL!

Poor baby, mad as hell and can't take it anymore? :-)

You think elimination of the code test is "undermining"
amateur radio? I don't think so. Roughly half the U.S.
amateur radio community doesn't think so (if the 0.6% of
all licensees is a good sampling). Many Amateur Extras
of long experience have accepted complete removal of code
testing according to WT Docket 05-235 comments.

"Professional?" Of course. I expect to get paid for my work. If that is some "ethical crime" then ALL the unions and guilds and trade organizations are "guilty!" :-)

I've been a professional (getting paid for services done) since 1948...and that is on record at the IRS. "I EARNED my money the old-fashioned way...I WORKED for it!"]

"Deceit?" Not with/to the government of the United States. Nope. Not even to the IRS. Been audited twice, no problems, just misunderstanding situations...one on my part, other on the IRS' part, both on professional deductions. That was settled amicably and all satisfied.

Have I "deceived" any VE or any FCC Field Office? NO. I kept my First Phone renewed, a simple mail-in process, until and past the R&O changed all Commercial Radio-telephone operator licenses to the single General Radio-telephone Operator License (GROL). I applied for, and got, a Private Land Mobile Radio Service license for business purposes (a small partnership) and provided all the correct follow-on documentation for that until the business was dissolved (more paperwork). I applied for and got a CB radio license back when licenses were required, kept those renewed until another R&O removed any need for licensing. I did not need a Restricted 3rd Class Radio-telephone license (no test for those) to operate any commercial radio transmitters since my 1st Phone covered those, both for business purposes and as a student pilot back in the early 1960s. When I've operated commercial equipment, as once for RCA Corporation using borrowed NBC UHF radios, the appropriate signatures of officials were duly recorded on my current First Phone license. When I did some part-time work in AM and FM broadcasting, the back of the current First Phone was duly recorded by the Chief Engineer.

I have NEVER taken any amateur radio license test with any FCC Field Office or VEC organization...nor have I tried to "deceive" anything in saying my experience is a substitute for testing. I am simply advocating an end to morse code testing for an amateur radio license examination. That YOU don't like that is not of my concern. That YOU lie and attempt to accuse me of lying IS of my concern. I try to put an end to it by showing your lies but all you do is generate more lies of your own.

Have I taken ANY amateur radio license tests? Only the "practice variety," such as the practice test on www.qrz.com and a private, but widely circulated test set. Did I pass them? YES. Each element, each time. Were those "aced?" No. Scores were not exceptional

but they were above the minimum level needed to pass. Questions on regulations were a weakness. Where the wrong answers were pointed out, I went back to the regulations to get them right in my mind. Have I resumed any "code practice?" No. I have three computer programs on that in my PC archives but have only used them long enough to see that they run.

Do I need any fancy title to enhance my "braq quotionent?" No. I am secure in what I can do and what I can't do, have had a long time of operating radio transmitters legally (half century) on the EM spectrum from LF on through to 25 GHz. Amateur licensees can't go where I've been in the EM spectrum without holding a Commercial radio license or knowing the applicable regulations for that service where such transmission is permitted (such as private boat radios) without any license.

Is a federally-supervised or VEC-supervised or COLEM-supervised morse code test "necessary" to "round out my experience." No. I do not consider morse code telegraphy to be useful for anything but hobby radio. I do not have the fantasies of greatness in radio through morsemanship, therefore the morsemanship is of no use to me in any way. Let those who enjoy the mode enjoy it...and NOT force newcomers to ANY radio service to learn it because of federal regulations requiring it.

If YOUR fantasy of greatness is disturbed by the thoughts expressed above, that is YOUR problem to deal with, not mine. If you need your TS card punched, go to your minister, rabbi, chaplain, or witch doctor and have THEM punch it.

Fork yourself, Dudly. You're done.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1851. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 01:33:26 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132364006.042469.288770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124416

>The site owners should be registered with the local child-protection folks, and
not allowed near the vicinity of schoolyards or playgrounds.

First off, there is evidence that show that some cartoon drawing of
cartoon characters harm childern, as a matter of fact that was one of
the reasons the Supreme court struck down the CPPA (Child Pornography
Pevention Act of 1996).
Seond, this is not a "kiddy-porn" site, this is an ANIME site, what
your crying about is just one board on a even bigger board. just like
the moron you and everyone else on here are, you make a big deal out of
it.

>Now just take your sleazy self out of here.

Fuck you, this is a PUBLIC NEWSGROUP, and your not the Moderator, slim
ball

Todd N9OGL

# 1852. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 01:35:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mjvff.2441$jl5.2143@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124417

N9OGL wrote:
>> An examination of your board (it's not very secure, by the way) shows hand-drawn
>> and computer generated pictures of pre-pubescent females involved in
>> masturbation, intercourse with an animal, and other pornographic depictions of
>> children. The question has nothing to do with "legal" --- it has to do with
>> mental illness.
>
>> Go away, and take your kiddy porn with you.
>
> If I'm "mental ill" as you claim then all those other anime boards that
> are internet, which has the same stuff as do are also mental ill.

By George, I think he's got it.

Dave K8MN

# 1853. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 01:43:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Vqvff.2444$jI5.2348@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124418

N9OGL wrote:
>> I just told you that it is a Finnish word. It has a very unique meaning
>> in Finnish. Does it mean something different here in the U.S?
>
> I don't think it really has to do with words...

Yeah, it has to do with words and what they mean.

> ...but has more to do with
> cultural difference. what might be illegal here maybe legal in another
> country, or their tolerance may be more relaxed then it is here.

You don't live there. You live here.

There are things which, in our own culture, might be legal but could be
considered by society as immoral or unethical. I think grown men
watching cartoon child sex or cartoon bestiality crosses that line.

Why not ask Mom and Dad if they think you've crossed the line?

BTW, Todd, how does tolerance become relaxed?

Dave K8MN

# 1854. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 01:43:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132364630.493311.35390@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124419

Whatever Davy, I sure the owners of other anime sites and anime newsgroups would be happy to read a bunch of comments from amateur radio operators who want to censor the internet....but hey whats a bunch of censoring nazis going to do about it??? nothing but cry like little babies on a newsgroup.

Todd N9OGL
DEMENTED OTAKU BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

# 1855. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 02:11:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NRvff.2460$jI5.260@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124420

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ on Nov 17, 7:15 pm
>
>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>> Basically, it comes down to the fact that Len thinks he's too good to
>>> have to learn Morse Code - or anything else - for an amateur radio
>>> license.
>
> [inaccurate heilian imagination...]

Inaccurate is quite right. I didn't right the paragraph above your one-liner, Windy.

>> I still believe that Lennie had some "run-in" with an Amateur at
>> some point. No doubt Lennie tried to bluff the other Amatuer into
>> "signing off" on a Conditional or other such thing since he already
>> professed to "know it all".
>
> Poor iggorant little Dudly. Sorry to bust your big balloon,
> but, other than doing some code practice in the early 1960s,
> I HAVEN'T BOTHERED to get any AMATEUR radio license. :-)

There you go. You can't be bothered. So what's with the amateur radio fetish, Len? Were you beaten with a Lightning Bug as a child?

> If you wish to make a mountain out of a molehill, you can
> get some staffer at Newington to look into my correspondence
> with the late Vic Clark, then President of ARRL.

I'm sure it is all neatly archived. They just need to grab the "Leonard H. Anderson" accordian folder.

> That was
> in the late 1970s to 1980. That MIGHT be called a "run-in"
> but I'd say it was just the ultra-superior, don't bother me
> attitude of the League.

...or they might have put your correspondence in the bug file.

> It concerned a new movement to
> eliminate the morse code test for amateur radio. All those
> in-power 20 WPM Extras would have none of that!

So your ideas were dismissed and you've never gotten over it. I knew
Vic Clark. He was a fine person.

> That movement would grow slowly until the FCC got convinced
> (against League wishes) that a no-code-test Technician class
> license got created. [see FCC 90-53 copy at www.nocode.org]

It isn't exactly a civil rights movement, is it, Len? Did you guys
stage a big march on Newington?

> "Amateur," not 'Amatuer." :-)

>> His one and only attempt to get a license by deceit rebuffed, he's
>> set upon a mission to undermine Amateur Radio at any and all
> opportunities. To hell with the mere mortals, HE was a PROFESSIONAL!
>
> Poor baby, mad as hell and can't take it anymore? :-)

That seems to sum up your attitude, poor baby.

> You think elimination of the code test is "undermining"
> amateur radio? I don't think so.

I think so and I'm *in* amateur radio.

> Roughly half the U.S.
> amateur radio community doesn't think so (if the 0.6% of
> all licensees is a good sampling).

Roughly half? It looks like under half of the sampling.

> Many Amateur Extras
> of long experience have accepted complete removal of code
> testing according to WT Docket 05-235 comments.

...and many others (and licensees of other than Extra Class ticket
holders) disagree.

> "Professional?" Of course. I expect to get paid for my
> work. If that is some "ethical crime" then ALL the unions
> and guilds and trade organizations are "guilty!" :-)

Are you an organization, Len? I was paid for my job. I've been paid as
a musician. I'm not paid as a radio amateur. I'm not paid as an
amateur astronomer.

> Do I need any fancy title to enhance my "braq
> quotionent?" No.

No, I don't think you need anything additional to brag about, Len. You
seem to do just fine the way things are. You might want to brush up on
spelling if you want to include that in your "braq quotionent".

> I am secure in what I can do and
> what I can't do, have had a long time of operating
> radio transmitters legally (half century) on the EM

> spectrum from LF on through to 25 GHz.

The things you are unable to do--you're secure in them?

> Amateur
> licensees can't go where I've been in the EM spectrum
> without holding a Commercial radio license or knowing
> the applicable regulations for that service where
> such transmission is permitted (such as private boat
> radios) without any license.

Looks like your "braq quotionent" is doing fine.

> Is a federally-supervised or VEC-supervised or
> COLEM-supervised morse code test "necessary" to
> "round out my experience." No. I do not consider
> morse code telegraphy to be useful for anything but
> hobby radio. I do not have the fantasies of
> greatness in radio through morsemanship, therefore
> the morsemanship is of no use to me in any way.
> Let those who enjoy the mode enjoy it...and NOT
> force newcomers to ANY radio service to learn it
> because of federal regulations requiring it.

There's a nip in the air and the winter winds are gusting, Len. Stand here by the lodge hall window. Use your tattered jacket sleeve to wipe away some of the condensation.

Some of the fellows are standing by the fireplace. The flames dance and the pleasant scent of burning oak lingers in the room. A couple of fellows are discussing their DXCC totals on Top Band. Look--four of the members are sipping their hot buttered rum and laughing. By golly, I think one of them mentioned "Anderson". I think they mean *you*, Len.

You poor, ignored blighter. You're still standing out in the cold and looking in. I guess you showed us.

Dave K8MN

# 1856. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 02:21:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <r_vff.2468$jl5.2084@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124421

N9OGL wrote:
> Whatever Davy, I sure the owners of other anime sites and anime
> newsgroups would be happy to read a bunch of comments from amateur
> radio operators who want to censor the internet....

I don't care whether they're happy or not, Todd. I'd be quite content
to see the porn of any kind disappear from the internet, but especially
any kind of porn depicting children in photographic or cartoon form.
Look at what you've become, Todd. You're actually attempting to defend
this stuff.

> but hey whats a
> bunch of censoring nazis going to do about it???

I'm not a Nazi, Todd. I'm a concerned citizen, a parent and a grandparent.

nothing but cry like
> little babies on a newsgroup.

I'm not crying, Todd. I don't need tears to tell you that you're
perverse.

Dave K8MN

# 1857. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 02:22:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <w%vff.2471$jI5.2156@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124422

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K4YZ on Nov 17, 7:15 pm
>>
>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>>
>>>> Basically, it comes down to the fact that Len thinks he's too good to
>>>> have to learn Morse Code - or anything else - for an amateur radio
>>>> license.
>>
>> [inaccurate heilian imagination...]
>
> Inaccurate is quite right. I didn't right the paragraph above your
*write*
> one-liner, Windy.

Dave K8MN

# 1858. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 02:53:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lswff.2493$jl5.1793@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124423

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 15, 7:14 pm
>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
>>> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
>>> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
>>> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.

>> It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
>> post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
>> rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.
>>
>> Way to go, Dave. Pillar of the radio community. Good DX!

> Pillar? Pillar of salt...Lots :-)

Grab yer piller and have a nice nap, old timer.

> Poor Davie...if he had looked back over 3,783 filings on WT
> Docket 05-235 he would have seen MORE! Woulda liquified
> his "salt". MELT-DOWN! :-)

If I didn't MELT-DOWN after reading your eight listed documents, I don't
think there's any chance that I ever would. I think you may be the
champion of verbosity for all documents submitted, Windy. Tsk, tsk.
Pip pip and a "poor baby" or two.

> Well, Davie ought to go back into the ECFS and look under
> WT Docket 98-143 on 25 January 1999. He can see Dudly the
> Imposter's name there. Ten days AFTER the official
> (extended twice) END of filings on 98-143 ol' Dud tried to
> get the FCC to turn a deaf ear to me. Davie has about 8
> days to do the same, repeating history of the elite meeting
> to beat...down those against the code test. :-)

I see. Steve made a comment about you and that excuses all of your
attacks for all time.

You exhibit a lack of class, Len.

Dave K8MN

# 1859. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 02:57:19 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <woger@no.isp>
Message-ID: <ily87017833.2458F8789@tarrnews.net>
Source record: 124424

On 18 Nov 2005 17:33:26 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >The site owners should be registered with the local child-protection folks, and
> not allowed near the vicinity of schoolyards or playgrounds.
>
> First off, there is evidence that show that some cartoon drawing of
> cartoon characters harm childern, as a matter of fact that was one of
> the reasons the Supreme court struck down the CPPA (Child Pornography
> Pevention Act of 1996).

I think I love you.
woger

## 1860. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 03:35:37 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132371337.127857.37750@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124425

Whatever Davy, that's just one board on that BBS, there is larger non-hentai material on that board. (See Below) you guys are making a big stink out of nothing. There are 12 Hentai (porn boards) and 18 Non-Hentai (non-porn) boards, So there!

Todd N9OGL

Adminstration
----------

GENERAL
GENERAL INFORMATION AND NEWS

Help Desk
For password help, FAQ and other stuff

Comment and Suggestions
For posting comments and suggestions

Announcements
General Announcements

Introduction
Tell people about yourself

Anime
---

Anime Discussion
For General Discussion of Anime

Anime Art
For displaying of Anime Art

Anime Movies
For the Discussion Anime Movies

Specialized Anime Boards
---

General Information
For General Information

Mobile Suit Gundam
For discussion of Mobile Suit Gundam

Tenchi Muyo
For discussion of Tenchi Muyo OAV, Tenchi Muyo TV, Tenchi Muyo Movies, and Tenchi Muyo GXP

Manga
---

Manga Discussion
For the discussion of manga

Fanzine
Orginal stories and works

Hentai
---

Hentai Discussion

Ecchi Discussion
For the discussion Ecchi

Hentai Games Discussion
for discussing Hentai games

Hentai Manga Discussion
For discussing Hentai Manga, and Doujin

Hentai Movies
For discussing Hentai movies

Pictures
---

Anime Pictures
For Anime Pictures

Ecchi
Ecchi (softcore) Pictures

Hentai
Hentai pictures

Lolicon
Lolicon pictures (NO REAL PICTURES)

Yaoi
Yaoi Pictures (men loving men)

Yuri
Yuri Pictures (women loving women)

Torrent
---

Torrent General Information
General Torrent Information

Japanese Live Action
---

Jpop Discussion
Discussion of Japanese Music

Japanese Movie Discussion
Discussion of Japanese Movies (non-Anime movies)
Japanese TV Discussion
Discussion of Japanese TV shows

Japanese AV Discussion
Discussion of Japanese AV (Adult Videos)

# 1861. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 04:23:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132374233.574078.233950@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124427

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> Hello? Can we say Davie is also pompous and arrogant?

You just did, Len.

> Nope. Extra Morsemen are allowed any kind of personal insult
> against others while all NCTA have to be "kind," "gracious,"
> and "civil" to them. :-)

Actually, it's the other way around.
>
> Using my RIGHTS as a citizen.

As are all of us who filed comments.

> Tsk, tsk, Extra Morsemen want
> NCTAs to abrogate their citizenship rights. Not good.

Also not true.

> >> Yep, as Hans Brakob has pointed out on a number of occasions, Len is the
> >> organ grinder; you are the red-hatted monkey.
> > >
> > >Hans the Dump Huck caller?
> >
> Once more, Extra Morsemen think they have civility immunity.

I guess phrases like "shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel" are
Len's idea of civility.

> I'm only retired from REGULAR HOURS. :-)

So you're irregular, huh?

> Just finished a contract
> job trying to find a better way to protect against tin dendrites
> in consumer-grade PCBs made with lead-free solder. We found a
> slightly-better way that had already been done, but no cheap way,
> sad to say.

In other words, you FAILED, Len....

> In case anyone has been on the Outer Planets in the past decade,
> the Reduction of Hazardous Substances (RoHS) is THE thing in
> electronics production now.

We know, Len.

> Problem is, without the lead in
> conventional solder, tin will grow tiny, tiny "whiskers" (called
> tin dendrites) that can short out circuit traces.

Yep.

> Happens long
> after production soldering. Can't use a simple meter like the
> old standard Simpson 260...too much ohmmeter current...blows
> open the dendrite and desposits it back on the traces.

Awww....

You're missing the bigger problem.

The reason for all the concern with hazardous substances in electronics is because of their effect on the waste stream. "Modern" consumer electronics are usually not designed to be easily or economically repairable, so if something fails it is usually replaced rather than repaired. Worse, the rapid changes in technology have resulted in a large volume of working-but-outdated electronics (mostly computers) being discarded rather than recycling.

Rather than trying to recycle and reuse, the regulators are trying to stop the problem at the source. A bad solution, but you'd think the PROFESSIONALS would have seen that one coming....

## 1862. The short list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 04:30:04 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ti1tn199va7ud0n47esikqpdbeh8vsbcnr@4ax.com>
Source record: 124429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 17 Nov 2005 15:16:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132269371.113658.264470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

Make all the justifications you want, Dud -- the fact is that you
unwittingly provided all the proof yourself........:

You didn't know squat about uniform issuance; you don't even remember
paying for your first uniform issue in boot camp from your chit book.

You didn't know squat about restriction or liberty, demonstrating that
you never served with any OOD which is a regular NCO duty (also done
by non-rates when there are no NCO's available -- did it myself a
couple times).

You didn't know that the Marine Corps has Shore Patrol, not MP's.

You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly
deployed with the FMF.

You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col
Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for
him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON
system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's,
including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system,
which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the
early '80's).

You didn't know the difference between a 'hitch', a 'cruise', and a
'float'.

You mistakenly assumed the origination of the phrase "One Shot One
Kill" was from the Army Sniper School; wrongfully presumed that it is
a tactic taught to all Marine recruits; then proceeded to demonstrate
your ignorance about basic combat tactics, the use of shotguns, and
the very common 40mm grenade launchers (M-79 & M-203).

You claimed that "the only targets used to train Marine riflemen (and
all recruits) [are] head shots and 'center mast', or chest shots", but
didn't know that the standard round marksmanship-type targets are also
used, and much more often than "dog" targets. In fact, most of rifle
qualification is done with the round targets. But you didn't know that
despite your claim to have "[taken] home an 'Rifle Expert' on each and
every trip to the range".

You didn't know that only the results of an Article 15 proceeding are recorded, not the entire proceedings; and you don't even know what page in the SRB they are recorded.

You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually made by the CO, not HQMC.

You didn't know that outside communications can be prohibited during a base lock-down or unit activation.

You defined a 'chit' as "A Naval term for a 'permission slip'", when in fact it means -any- piece of paper with something written on it.

You didn't know that a dishonorable discharge can only be given as a result of a conviction in a General court-martial, and is usually given after a few years at Leavenworth and a reduction to Private.

You didn't know that the Corps doesn't use cutting scores for promotions.

You misquoted your SIX alleged promotion certificates (a misquote that I later found on google verbatim).

You didn't understand that "years and months in specialty" (box 11 on the DD-214) is not the same as time in service, yet claimed that you have -several- DD-214's.

You claimed that time on the delayed entry program was considered to be time in reserve status but never was.

You stated that "My DD-214 says 'Honorable'...", yet after 1979 there is no box on the form to designate character of service. The DD-214 is only a "release or discharge from active duty", not a final discharge certificate. Only that latter will denote the character of service.

You claimed to have served from 03 September, 1974 to 29 May, 1992. That's only 17 years and 9 months. Yet you also claim to be retired, which can only happen if you served 20 years. You also claimed to have been discharged for medical reasons, having your discharge later upgraded (a claim which you have both denied and acknowledged). You didn't know that medical discharges cannot be upgraded, nor that time not served cannot be arbitrarily added to the end of an enlistment in order to obtain retirement benefits.

BTW, my MOS was 2841 which is "ground radio repair", not "ground radar repair".

And you still haven't answered one really basic question: With all your careers, activities and obligations, where do you find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?

Len was right -- you were never in the Marine Corps. You are indeed an imposter.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1863. Re: Congats Cecil W5DXP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 04:52:12 UTC
From: Russ <carlzomer@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4leln1hc7fgskadkmckh74niqpomj6qhbd@4ax.com>
Source record: 124430
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.personals.gay

On 18 Nov 2005 14:24:12 -0800, "moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
wrote:

>
>Cecil Moore wrote:
>> Fred W4JLE wrote:
>> > Nice article in WorldRadio December issue.
>> >
>> Thanks, part I was in the Oct. issue -
>> Part II hopefully in Jan.
>> --
>> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>
>hi Cecil,
>
>how about an article on the sick gay shit you hams crave so
>much.....like how you sick fucks select the "young ham of the year"
>hehehehhe!

Now here is somebody who is making mommy and daddy proud, eh?

R

# 1864. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 04:52:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132375955.377218.325660@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124431

litoi and eveyone,

the problem is your mixing morality and legality, and while it might be "inmoral" to some people morality is NOT the law, if it was this wouldn't be a democracy but a theocracy.

TODD N9OGL

# 1865. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 05:21:32 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <BOqdnSt4jbIdNePenZ2dnUVZ_sKdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124426

N9OGL wrote:
>>An examination of your board (it's not very secure, by the way) shows hand-drawn
>>and computer generated pictures of pre-pubescent females involved in
>>masturbation, intercourse with an animal, and other pornographic depictions of
>>children. The question has nothing to do with "legal" --- it has to do with
>>mental illness.
>
>
>>Go away, and take your kiddy porn with you.
>
>
> If I'm "mental ill" as you claim then all those other anime boards that
> are internet, which has the same stuff as do are also mental ill.

Birds of a feather..........

# 1866. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 05:23:29 UTC
From: Woger <Roger@glendale.us>
Message-ID: <dlmco6$5e4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124433

Poor, sad Lennie. His Relys runneth over yet again.

Incontinence, north and south, seems to be his trademark.

# 1867. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 05:26:50 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <BOqdnSp4jbIgNOPenZ2dnUVZ_sKdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124428

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
>> Whatever Davy, I sure the owners of other anime sites and anime
>> newsgroups would be happy to read a bunch of comments from amateur
>> radio operators who want to censor the internet....
>
>
> I don't care whether they're happy or not, Todd. I'd be quite content
> to see the porn of any kind disappear from the internet, but especially
> any kind of porn depicting children in photographic or cartoon form.
> Look at what you've become, Todd. You're actually attempting to defend
> this stuff.
>
>> but hey whats a
>> bunch of censoring nazis going to do about it???
>
>
> I'm not a Nazi, Todd. I'm a concerned citizen, a parent and a grandparent.

I wouldn't let toddieboy or an old pervert within a hundred yards of any
of my grandchildren.

# 1868. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 05:41:30 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132378890.927257.77210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124434

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 18, 4:28 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Wed 16 Nov 2005 16:16
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 4:52 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >From: Frank Gilliland on Sat 12 Nov 2005 11:57

>> >> >What are the odds of being in a single hostile action if you've never
>> >> >been deployed?
>
>> >> >I'm thinking zero. Now multiply that by seven.
>
>> >> ...and the result is still ZERO.
>
>> >Quick thinking. Thanks.
>
>> Dudly tries to DIVIDE by ZERO and thereby being INFINITE in
>> his fantasy result. No can do.
>
>> Dudly is, in math terms, a very imaginary number. :-)
>
>> [tsk, he won't see the humor in that, but he never has...]
>
>A confounding variable.

At times a random confounding variable.

>> >> ZERO hostile actions that Dudly was "in."
>
>> >> I find it singularly AMAZING that Dudly has NEVER produced a
>> >> single photo or snapshot of himself on "active duty" during
>> >> those EIGHTEEN YEARS! NO references to anyone who has one.
>
>> >I don't think the photo stores will process "toga party" film. But
>> >Polaroid did make a camera that required no processing.
>
>> Tsk, all the camera stores have neat little digital cameras
>> now...no film needed, just charge it up, take the shots, look
>> at them immediately on the LCD viewscreen.
>
>> Wife and I got a neat little combination still-movie digital
>> camera, MPEG compatible, for under $200 direct from maker's

>> website store. Took it with us on our recent 5000-mile
>> round-trip to Wisconsin...charged it in the car with same
>> charger used for the cell phone. Good resolution on both
>> still and motion pictures.
>
>Did you see Paul Bunyon? or is that in Michigan?

We didn't go much farther north in Wisconsin than a few miles
from the Illinois border. No Paul around. Were some Babes
but they not blue. :-)

>> >> I've seen dozens and dozens of snaps of my friends and
>> >> acquaintences who've served in the militaries for much
>> >> shorter times. All the military branches sent out notices
>> >> and photographs of service people to their home town
>> >> newspapers in the past describing their current assignment
>> >> or "graduation" from boot camp or basic training. Papers
>> >> MIGHT include those in back pages as "filler" material or
>> >> might not depending on how much page space they had left.
>> >> Nonetheless, the military policy has been to do that for
>> >> the last half century, all branches.
>
>> >For all of the hundreds of thousands of servicemen and women, except
>> >Steve.
>
>> ...even without his TWO sets of dress blues! :-)
>
>His dry cleaning bills must be outrageous.

Maybe he wears them under his scrubs?

>> >> >Hero Steve must have laid pretty low those 18 years.
>
>> >> Shhhh...he might have been "special ops!"
>
>> >> Tom Clancy may be writing him up for a new novel entitled,
>> >> "Clear and Present Dander," about an EMT doing HALO drops
>> >> into denied territory to flush out illegal dandruff drug
>> >> dealers threatening our hair-oin distribution.
>
>> >That part was made for him!
>
>> Tsk, he'd better hurry and sign with Hollywood...his hair is
>> going and soon there won't be any PART! :-)
>
>No teeth? No hair? We'll have to call him Mr. Q Ball.

Q Ball in the side pocket...

>> >> >My single reason for staying on is that I wouldn't let these pricks
>> >> >(roughly the equivalent of "putz") run me off. I'm waiting for Mike
>> >> >Coslo to get his BBS up and running so most of the esteemed riffraff

>> >> >will depart. My plan is to turn off the lights, but it hinges on Coslo
>> >> >making a success of himself. What are the odds?
>
>> >> The Coslonaut probably stuck his "BBS" into his balloon
>> >> payload and the BBS is now "on the threshold of space!"
>
>> >> Think a combination of "Dilbert" and "Brewster Rockit, Space
>> >> Guy." High-tech funnies.
>
>> >Acme rocket company and an Unwiley Coyote comes to mind.
>
>> There's a thought! I'll run down to Warner Brothers (at the other
>> end of Hollywood Way, 3 miles south) and act as your agent for
>> this new cartoon! Too bad you couldn't come up with it sooner...
>> it could have been shown as a short subject with new film
>> "Jarhead." :-)
>
>> Funneeeee!
>
>Steve will finally earn his following.

Blow in his ego and he'll follow anywhere... :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1869. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 05:46:33 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132379193.792852.93970@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 18, 4:54 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 18 Nov 2005 04:52
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 16:22
>> >> >K0HB wrote:
>> >> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in message

>> >> >> My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
>> >> >> says:
>
>> >> >Steve was in the USMC on 08 JUL 05?
>
>> >> According to Google dated 17 November 05, Robeson claimed USMC
>> >> service from 1974 to 1992.
>
>> >I'm so glad that Steve was in compliance with regulations that were 31
>> >years in the future at the time he stood on the yellow footprints.
>> >Steve always was out of step with the rest of society.
>
>> He is a "man of many talents!" :-)
>
>> At least he hasn't minced around in baby shoes.
>
>His primary talent is lying.

Lying around the house, lying around the barracks, lying around the
newsgroup...

>> >> There is a 13 year to 31 year GAP in there.
>
>> >He got his uniforms issued at the GAP?
>
>> Probably at Sergeant Grit or some other Army-Navy surplus store.
>
>"GI Joes."

Kinda small, but prolly bigger than his Johnson...

>> Dudly is a Code Warrior. Others are just Code Worriers.
>
>But they serve in other ways.

"Waiter, there's soup in my fly!" :-)

>> >> These mighty warriors certainly know how to turn off any
>> >> ham wannabes...which may be why Val Germann is still an
>> >> unmodified Tech for so long?
>
>> >Poor Val. Such ambition.
>
>> Well, that's how it goes...sometimes reality boots ya in
>> the ash.
>
>"There is no problem so large nor complex that it cannot be run away
>from."

Jimmie not like that one bit. If'n he see what he think is a
promise many years ago, he keep after that person forever.

Jimmie ought to go out to Misery and kick Val's butt for not
learning code!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1870. Re: Wanna help WordShit fuck-up somebody's Christmas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 05:47:35 UTC
From: moparholic <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
Message-ID: <1132379255.065628.183630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124436
Cross-posted to: neworleans.general,rec.radio.cb,houston.general,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Wordy wrote:

<who gives a fuck>

hey TurdSmith

STFU you half jew bull dike.

# 1871. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 06:10:13 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <tqqdnYolRsV3LuPenZ2dnUVZ_sCdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124432

N9OGL wrote:
> litoi and eveyone,
>
> the problem is your mixing morality and legality, and while it might be
> "inmoral" to some people morality is NOT the law, if it was this
> wouldn't be a democracy but a theocracy.
>
> TODD N9OGL
>

So if it wasn't against the law to rape 12 year old girls, then you would rape 12 year old girls I suppose. The reason we have to have certain laws is because of people like you who would do horrendous things if there were no laws against such things. Moral people we don't have to worry much about, it people like you who don't seem to know the difference between what is morally right and what isn't.

You are one pathetic POS toddieboy. You and wogie twin brothers by chance?

## 1872. Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 06:33:13 UTC
From: I Wanna Know <ShowTime@anon.anon>
Message-ID: <dlmj4h$in$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I wanna know. Todd, when is your next scheduled 'show'? I wanna listen.

I promise I won't jam you.

Woger might, but I won't.

# 1873. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 06:36:33 UTC
From: Polymath <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1132382193.870681.16370@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124437
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You are, "Polymath", AICMFP.

Joking apart, well said that man!

aaa@spamaway.com wrote:
> This kind of continual foul language,.....
> Do the regulars of this group realise that it is becoming legendary for
> everything Usenet was supposed not to be? Surely it is possible to have a
> civilised debate without this stuff?

# 1874. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 06:45:46 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <437ECA1A.815843C2@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124438
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jock wrote:

> On Sat, 19 Nov 2005 05:37:09 +1100, aaa@spamaway.com wrote:
> >This kind of continual foul language, and the anti-M3 and anti-RSGB
> >attitude (not to mention the "wisdom" portrayed in sig files) are some of
> >the reasons why amateurs will not be taken seriously over the BPL issue.
> >Do the regulars of this group realise that it is becoming legendary for
> >everything Usenet was supposed not to be? Surely it is possible to have a
> >civilised debate without this stuff?
>
> Then sod off back where you came from, top-poster. If you don't like
> foul language, why do you quote it and cross-post it?
>
> If you don't like this newsgroup, why do you subscribe to it?
>

I suppose - awful fascination. Moth drawn to a candle flame? (Flame...
geditt?) I think you're one of the better ones so sorry to offend by top
posting. But seriously, this NG and the hobby are becoming famous for what its
proponents surely don't really desire.

> --
>
> 73 de Jock.

73 indeed.

# 1875. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 07:27:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132385265.096481.106140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124440

From: Dave Heil on Nov 18, 6:11 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K4YZ on Nov 17, 7:15 pm
>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:

>>>> Basically, it comes down to the fact that Len thinks he's too good to
>>>> have to learn Morse Code - or anything else - for an amateur radio
>>>> license.
>
>> [inaccurate heilian imagination...]
>
>Inaccurate is quite right. I didn't right the paragraph above your
>one-liner, Windy.

"Right," Man of La Mancha...:-)

But Jimmie's prose is in the finest heilian tradition
of Writing Rongs. :-)

>There you go. You can't be bothered. So what's with the amateur radio
>fetish, Len? Were you beaten with a Lightning Bug as a child?

Sorry, Davie, you'll have to clean your own mirror above
your computer...too many bugs on it.

"Fetish?" :-) All for wanting to toss out an old, out-dated
code test that isn't useful to anyone but some old farts like
yourself?

Oh, yes, I remember your EXCUSES for using "CW" on a TTY
circuit in Africa someplace...you had to "synchronize"
your TTY machines.

BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> If you wish to make a mountain out of a molehill, you can
>> get some staffer at Newington to look into my correspondence
>> with the late Vic Clark, then President of ARRL.
>
>I'm sure it is all neatly archived. They just need to grab the "Leonard
>H. Anderson" accordian folder.

Tsk, I didn't bother to keep mine, went in recycling years
ago. FCC 90-53 made it just the same. "One small step
for code test elimination, one giant leap for Technicians."

Did you know that both Tech classes together constitute almost
HALF of all U.S. amateur radio license grants? True!

>So your ideas were dismissed and you've never gotten over it. I knew
>Vic Clark. He was a fine person.

Of course he was. You've met everyone of note. They visit
you at one of your embassies? :-)

Vic Clark expired years ago, Davie.

You say nice-nice about the long-ago dead? That's nice.

>It isn't exactly a civil rights movement, is it, Len? Did you guys
>stage a big march on Newington?

Ohm my, aren't you the nasty fella? :-)

"Newington" isn't the center of the universe. It isn't even the
center of the hamiverse. What comes out of there is poesy of
the good old days in hamme radddio...following in the nightly
yellow footsteps of the Great One, "T.O.M."

Sorry, lil Davie, but there was a "comment march" on Washington.
3,786 filings worth on WT Docket 05-235.

The anti-code-test movement is gaining momentum. The year
2005 isn't 1935 anymore and fewer and fewer people are
agreeing with the code-aholics.

Try to learn to live with it. It's for your own good.

>> You think elimination of the code test is "undermining"
>> amateur radio? I don't think so.
>
>I think so and I'm *in* amateur radio.

Then dig your barricades deep. When the bulldozers over-
run you, more of your body parts will stay attached to
your body.

>> Roughly half the U.S.
>> amateur radio community doesn't think so (if the 0.6% of
>> all licensees is a good sampling).
>
>Roughly half? It looks like under half of the sampling.

ROUGHLY HALF, lil Davie.

Of course you are going to ARGUE your lil pointy nose off
that Joe Speroni's BIASED (definitely pro-code)
interpretations are some kind of super accuracy and
"valid." They aren't, but he's a morseman extra and
he's okay. :-)

>Are you an organization, Len? I was paid for my job.

By the Department of State. You WERE from the government
and were there to "help." Which may explain the bad image
of the USA outside of our borders.

>I've been paid as a musician.

Union or scab? [wanna see my AFTRA card? :-) ]

>I'm not paid as a radio amateur.

No? Awwwwww....

>I'm not paid as an amateur astronomer.

Neither are you required to have ANY license to look at
frequencies higher than 300 GHz...such as way, way up
in light. :-)

Does Palomar know about you? Does Schmidt help you?

>No, I don't think you need anything additional to brag about, Len.

Davie, baby, "it ain't braggin' if ya DONE it. I done it."

Remember who used that Dizzy Dean misquote in here first?

>You seem to do just fine the way things are.

Quite right, La Manchie...

> You might want to brush up on
>spelling if you want to include that in your "braq quotionent".

YESSIR, Mr. Herr Robust! I vill do as you kommand!

I vill WRIGHT all my RONGS! [just like you did]

>The things you are unable to do--you're secure in them?

Absolutely.

Tsk, tsk, there are so many NEW things coming up, things
that weren't here before, that there's PLENTY of new
stuff to explore, to experiment with, to fool around with.

>Looks like your "braq quotionent" is doing fine.

HAY La Manchie, Ise doing lak ya said, tryin' ta WRITE mah
RONGS.

You gotsa prollem wid dat?

>There's a nip in the air and the winter winds are gusting, Len.

Ooooo...you're RIGHT, OAT got down to mebbe 60 F tonight!

>Stand here by the lodge hall window.

Good lord, WHY? I had lunch at Guild Headquarters today,
nice fellowship there. Food was okay.

I don't belong to any "lodge," sweetums. Haven't done that
drunken bit down at any VFW Lodge.

>Use your tattered jacket sleeve to wipe
>away some of the condensation.

Oh, oh...you've been cribbing your ill-literations from old
Reader's Digest magazines, haven't you?

BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>Some of the fellows are standing by the fireplace. The flames dance and
>the pleasant scent of burning oak lingers in the room. A couple of
>fellows are discussing their DXCC totals on Top Band. Look--four of the
>members are sipping their hot buttered rum and laughing. By golly, I
>think one of them mentioned "Anderson". I think they mean *you*, Len.

Not me, sweetums, they tawkin 'bout Anderson PowerPole connectors
for their mo-bile rigs.

Judas H. Priest, you lay those ill-literations on so thick
that the lowest-grade Associate Editor at Boys' Life would
yank it out of the slushpile and toss it in the circular
file muy pronto.

Dinna wurra, laddie, Boys' Life magazine will send you a nice,
polite form-letter REJECTION. Forget the Digest. Enquirer
doesn't go for THAT kind of syrupy, sloppy prose; I know a
free-lancer who does sell to NE. Maybe you could try the
poetry journals...don't know much about them.

"Fireplace?" "Burning oak leaves?" Mid-afternoon OAT (that's
Outside Air Temperature to you ground-bound earthlings) got
to 82 F today. Be about the same tomorrow. Gotta cut the
lawn tomorrow but that will be easy with my cordless electric
Craftsman mower (made by Black & Decker).

>You poor, ignored blighter. You're still standing out in the cold and
>looking in. I guess you showed us.

Sorry, you're thinking of Val Germann. He's been an unmodified
Tech for over three years. [my micro-fiber jacket isn't
tattered, you've got the wrong guy...]

I was hangin' with some NBC West Coast Hq types at lunch. We
weren't talking about hamme raddddio. HDTV and remote pickup
trucks and some new graphics imaging came up like the Oscars
show and other events to be done in wide-screen. Lookin'
good in the neighborhood.

You got any DTV-compatible TVs, lil Davie? Nice stuff there on
cable TV service. Comcast has two cable channels for nothing

but wide-screen TV, lovely imagery, looks wonderful on the 27" LCD flat-panel we just got. Saw "Alias" and "CSI" in wide-screen last night (Thursday). Great stuff. Action and drama. Recreation! Enjoyment!

Nah...you don't want that "crap," do you? You and your rum-sipping buddies gotta grin about "pioneering the airwaves" with "CW" and making all those point scores. Keep up the wunnaful, wunnaful vurk on DX...I hope your Orion can reach the Dakotas to pay amateur tribute to Law-rence the box-squeezer. "Ay vun an a too..." :-)

Watch out on too much rum-sipping, old-timer. Follow the mathematician's warning: "Don't drink and derive!"

Fork yourself, Dave. You're done.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1876. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 09:17:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132391869.440838.81150@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I might be on tommorrow, I'm wanting to give my views on this K1MAN deal, there's a lot of stuff regarding that which needs to be brought up. Facts like:

*W1AW DOES INTERFERE WITH QSO'S
*W1AW DOES AVERTISE THEIR WEBSITES ON THEIR INFORMATION BULLETIN

just to name a few.

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

# 1877. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 09:38:07 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dlmrou$q8k$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

> Wasn't "Morse" a god in mythology? <innocent questing look>

Nah he was an Inspector in a TV show ;o)
Regards,
Graham

# 1878. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 10:09:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132394972.018830.36640@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124443
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> I might be on tommorrow, I'm wanting to give my views on this K1MAN
> deal, there's a lot of stuff regarding that which needs to be brought
> up. Facts like:
>
> *W1AW DOES INTERFERE WITH QSO'S
> *W1AW DOES AVERTISE THEIR WEBSITES ON THEIR INFORMATION BULLETIN
>
> just to name a few.

I'm not going to try and jam you either...

Just record your "show" as evidence that it violates the one-way
transmission regulation.

Your above statement that you intend to "...give my views..."
removes your intended broadcast from the realm of "information
bulletin" and makes it an editorial.

Just one more step closer to getting yet another junior
broadcaster off the air.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1879. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 10:13:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132395225.470223.50210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124444
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

KØHB wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> > The KY-28, used on the OV-10A and D, AH1G and J, the UH-1N, the
> > CH53 A & D were refered to as "JULIETT'.
>
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Your memory on this issue is not accurate.
>
> Regardless of platform, user agency, or military department, crypto systems are
> named by NSA (not by the Navy, USMC, or whoever). The KY-8/KY-28/KY-38 family
> was named "NESTOR".

It could have been named "Rice Krispies", Hans, but in USMC
aircraft it was refered to as "JULIETT"

> I am well familiar with the crypto suite installed in those airframes.

Me too....I spent enough time having to re-key them on a daily
basis.

> When
> assigned as a member of the communications staff at CINCLANT, I could have told
> you the NSA serial number of every KY-28 and what tail number carried it in
> every US/NATO aircraft in the Atlantic theatre.

I am sure you could.

And in USMC Aviation, the crypto gear was refered to as "JULIETT".

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 1880. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 10:32:45 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132396365.664210.130410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124445
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

well steve, get your recorder set because I WILL BE ON!!!

Todd N9OGL
THE N9OGL SHOW
14.321.00 MHz

# 1881. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 12:24:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HPEff.2575$jI5.424@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124446

N9OGL wrote:
> Whatever Davy, that's just one board on that BBS, there is larger
> non-hentai material on that board. (See Below) you guys are making a
> big stink out of nothing.

Nobody here has been discussing the other material, Todd. You can't excuse your own actions by pointing to something else.

> There are 12 Hentai (porn boards) and 18
> Non-Hentai (non-porn) boards, So there!

Look what you are trying to defend, Todd. What have you become?

Dave K8MN

# 1882. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 12:29:05 UTC
From: Doug W <wein38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3def1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 124447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

On 17 Nov 2005 07:27:37 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.policy:289356 alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:38552
> From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> Subject: Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware
> Date: 17 Nov 2005 07:27:37 -0800
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Lines: 60
> Message-ID: <1132241257.535113.261490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.54.97.187

OrgName: Microsoft Corp
OrgID: MSFT
Address: One Microsoft Way
City: Redmond
StateProv: WA
PostalCode: 98052
Country: US

NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK
NetHandle: NET-65-52-0-0-1

Post with full headers included in a complaint sent to Microsoft.

> Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> X-Trace: posting.google.com 1132241262 22856 127.0.0.1 (17 Nov 2005 15:27:42 GMT)
> X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com

Post with full headers included in a complaint sent to Google.

> NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 Nov 2005 15:27:42 +0000 (UTC)
> In-Reply-To: <dl663k$rsb$0@pita.alt.net>
> User-Agent: G2/0.2
> X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
> Injection-Info: g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com; posting-host=65.54.97.187;
posting-account=-bvg-A0AAABSpF6sxAllK-BDt63i0oiA
>
>
> Not Too Intelligent Lloyd Deux whined:

Bye, bye, Roger.

# 1883. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 12:32:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132403520.597223.97230@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124448

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 18:36
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:

> >Here, Len uses emoticons and SHOUTS by using all capitals. His
> >submissions to FCC are full of italics, bold text, and numbered
> >footnotes.

> using italics in filings on Dockets at the FCC?

Your comments look childish, Len. Over the top, flamboyant, trying to
get attention by style rather than substance.

But of course you never say something in three words when three hundred
will do.

> >I remember Len referring to FCC Chairman Powell as "Mikey". As if he'd
> >actually address Mr. Powell that way....
>
> No problem with me,
> I'm not afraid of authority.

It's not about fear.

> >> > Some months back, Len mentioned here that he had once, way back
> >> > in the 1950s,
> >
> >actually, the early 1960s

> >> > set about learning Morse Code. Claimed he'd actually
> >> > gotten up to about 6-8 wpm or so before deciding all the 'hard work'
> >> > wasn't worth it. That was just about the time 27 MHz cb came along,
> >> > and he jumped on that.
> >
> >Other way around - he was on cb first.
>
> No, no, NO, Jimmie, MUCH lower in frequency than that...somewhere
> around 9 MHz if memory serves. Was in early February 1953, using
> a BC-339 1 KW transmitter.

Built, paid for, and installed by others.

> >In his own words, he just "GAVE UP".

> Oh, you mean "did I give up" on learning more morse code?
> Yes, I did. Roughly six years later in California I gave

> up on bothering to continue learning morse code.

Sure - beccause it took too much time and effort on your part. It
was hard work for you, and you thought it was not worthy of your
time and effort.

> think that a "crime?"

No. You made your choice - you "GAVE UP".

> Of course he does. He's a
> religious zealot MORSEMAN! A proselyte for the Church of
> St. Hiram.

Nope. Not at all.

> >> Your point may be valid but I learned the Morse Code in the Scouts,
> >> before I had any idea of becoming a ham. The fellow who taught it did
> >> it right. There was no counting of dots and dashes. He linked the
> >> letter sounds to groups of words like "here comes the bride" for "Q".
> >> It wasn't work; it was fun. Brushing up my code a couple of years later
> >> before taking the Novice exam wasn't any work either.
> > >
> >I learned it by listening to hams using Morse Code on 80 meters. I
> >first had to build myself a receiver, though.
>
> Bully bull bull to Davie for being a Scout. And to Jimmie
> Noserve for "building his own receiver!"

Did you ever build a working receiver, Len?

> Such advanced teen-agers!

Actually, I wasn't a teenager when I built the receiver I used to learn
Morse Code - I was 12 years old. I built my first receiver, a simple
AM BC set, when I was 10.

> Did you both get nice, pretty merit badges?

No. The receivers were their own reward.
>
> >Basically, it comes down to the fact that Len thinks he's too good to
> >have to learn Morse Code - or anything else - for an amateur radio
> >license.
>
> Basically, Jimmie boy, you are full of snit.

> I don't see any point in learning what was ONCE the only way
> to communicate in radio in order to get an AMATEUR license.

That's fine, Len. Then you don't get the license and you're not a
radio amateur. Works for me.

And you've just verified what I said - you think you are too good to
have to learn Morse Code. Not worth your time and effort.

> Tsk, tsk...you forget (conveniently and whenver possible)
> that I'd already operated transmitters (note plural) on HF
> many years before.

No, you didn't *operate* them. You were a technician, following
the direction and supervision of others. Somebody told you to
put transmitter A on frequency B using antenna C and connect it
to incoming line D, and you (actually about three technicians) did that
according to a prearranged procedure.

That's certainly experience but it's not amateur radio experience. And
the FCC does not consider it as qualification to operate an amateur
radio station.

> Want to see them?

Already did.

> Here's a link:
>
> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf

"my 3 years" - as if the place was all about you.

> You might want to tell the United States ARMY on your demand
> that all radio operators must get an amateur radio license
> FIRST.

No demand, Len. You're very mistaken about that.

> >After all, it's been possible to get a Technician class license without
> >a code test for more than 14 years. All FCC-issued amateur licenses
> >have been available for just a 5 wpm code test for 15 years now.
>
> THIRD MAJOR MISTAKE in one posting!
>
> FCC 99-412 ordered all morse code test rates for
> radio amateur licenses to be fixed a 5 words-per-minute
> beginning in 2000. That was only FIVE years ago. Not "15."

Medical waivers.

Back in 1990, it became possible to obtain any class of FCC-issued
amateur license with just a 5 wpm code test. All it took was 5 wpm for
Novice and Technician, and 5 wpm plus a medical waiver for General,
Advanced and Extra. A medical waiver simply required a letter signed
by a doctor (M.D. or D.O.) stating that the person found it difficult
to
learn Morse Code well enough to pass the test. No specific ailment
or disability need be named, and the problem didn't have to be
permanent.

Your irrational reactions here prove that Morse Code testing - and the
Morse
Code itself - have an intense psychological effect on you. That

irrationality
could have gotten you a medical waiver easily.

# 1884. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 13:54:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132408444.573229.122290@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124449

K4YZ wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > Meanwhile, Dudly is busy, busy kissing Hans' ass for that
> > navel regulation of 2005. Dudly doesn't realize that the
> > effective-date regulation is only for NOW, not 13 to 31
> > years past.
>
> It doesn't matter when the CURRENT regulation was updated.
>
> A quick review of the order suffix indicates it's a much-ammended
> order.
>
> A five minute phone call could verify my original statements.
>
> Unfortunately you are incapable of that simple task.
>
> Or unwilling...In short, a COWARD.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve would rather have a tantrum that dig out his own 1974 copy of the
regulation.

Yet Hans, long retired, has a >current< copy of the uniform reg???

Sumptin wrong there.

# 1885. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 13:59:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132408760.884482.62480@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124450

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
> > > >
> > > >> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> > > >> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
>
> Is that the papal 'we' or the royal 'we'?

Probably the multiple personality disorder "we."

# 1886. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 14:05:31 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132409131.881791.32360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124451

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
> > > > >
> > > > >> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> > > > >> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
> > >
> > > Is that the papal 'we' or the royal 'we'?
> >
> > Probably the multiple personality disorder "we."

Does it matter?

None of them include you...Or Lennie...Or Markie...Or Toiddie...Or
Frankie...

Of course youse guys always have the RRAP Back Channel...Which is
about the ONLY "channel" the five of you can mutually enjoy.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1887. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 14:07:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132409227.950630.84550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124452

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>> KØHB wrote:
> >>>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
> >>>>
> >>>>> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> >>>>> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
> > >
> > > Is that the papal 'we' or the royal 'we'?
> > >
> >>>> HyperLog extract station K0HB
> >> (SNIP) Thanks again, Hans.
> >>
> >>> Log extract K8MN ARRL SS Phone:
> >> (SNIP) You too, Dave.
> >>
> >> Has anyone worked Lennie and his "Part 15 rig" yet? N0IMD?
> >> KB9RQZ?
> >>
> > Nope.
> > >
> > Let's see, of current and past rrap posters, I have worked:
> > >
> > K4YZ
> > K0HB
> > W4NTI
> > WA2SI
> > W3RV
> > W0EX
> > W6RCA/W5DXP
> > AC6XG
> > KE3Z
> > >
> > using various amateur bands and modes. Mostly HF CW, but also VHF FM.
> > >
> > Also personally met W3RV and W1RFI.
> > >
> > (apologies to any I've forgotten).
>
> Apology accepted. You worked the K8MN FD operation from here a few
> years back and we worked during SS CW in 1980.
>

> 73,
>
> Dave K8MN

Just amazing!!!

I forget you on a list of A-1 Ops, and you play 18 rounds of guessing games with declarations that I'm wrong. Jim leaves you off of his list and you pop right up and provide the information.

Who says the PCTA double-standard isn't alive and well?

I guess the only other thing this shows is that Dave is a pretty forgettable guy.

## 1888. N9OGL Acknowledges He's A Kiddie-Porn Fan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 14:09:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132409355.544867.173300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124453

N9OGL wrote:
> litoi and eveyone,
>
> the problem is your mixing morality and legality, and while it might be
> "inmoral" to some people morality is NOT the law, if it was this
> wouldn't be a democracy but a theocracy.

Not necessarilly. One can be "moral" without having to have a law
to make them that way, religious OR sectarian.

You're obviously not one of them.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1889. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 14:15:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132409701.625110.203980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124454

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > Meanwhile, Dudly is busy, busy kissing Hans' ass for that
> > > navel regulation of 2005. Dudly doesn't realize that the
> > > effective-date regulation is only for NOW, not 13 to 31
> > > years past.
> >
> > It doesn't matter when the CURRENT regulation was updated.
> >
> > A quick review of the order suffix indicates it's a much-ammended
> > order.
> >
> > A five minute phone call could verify my original statements.
> >
> > Unfortunately you are incapable of that simple task.
> >
> > Or unwilling...In short, a COWARD.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve would rather have a tantrum that dig out his own 1974 copy of the
> regulation.

WHAT "1974" copy of ANY regulation?

> Yet Hans, long retired, has a >current< copy of the uniform reg???

I am suuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuure he had it "right there",
Brain...

> Sumptin wrong there.

Why?

The purpose of the whole drill was to get you to find out from
uncontestable sources that my information was accurate. Hans'
presenting of the order, howevr well intentioned, harpooned that.

None-the-less, Frankie's rant was shot all to be-jeebers.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1890. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 14:22:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132410142.860882.87360@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124455

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > Hello? Can we say Davie is also pompous and arrogant?
>
> You just did, Len.

I third that motion.

> > Nope. Extra Morsemen are allowed any kind of personal insult
> > against others while all NCTA have to be "kind," "gracious,"
> > and "civil" to them. :-)
>
> Actually, it's the other way around.

I see it differently.

> > Using my RIGHTS as a citizen.
>
> As are all of us who filed comments.

So what's your problem?

> > Tsk, tsk, Extra Morsemen want
> > NCTAs to abrogate their citizenship rights. Not good.
>
> Also not true.

So what's your problem?

> > >> Yep, as Hans Brakob has pointed out on a number of occasions, Len is the
> > >> organ grinder; you are the red-hatted monkey.
> > >
> > >Hans the Dump Huck caller?
> >
> > Once more, Extra Morsemen think they have civility immunity.
>
> I guess phrases like "shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel" are
> Len's idea of civility.

I guess calling people the phonetic equivalent of "Dumb Fuck" meets
with your aproval?

> > I'm only retired from REGULAR HOURS. :-)
>
> So you're irregular, huh?

Jim making an insult?

> > Just finished a contract
> > job trying to find a better way to protect against tin dendrites
> > in consumer-grade PCBs made with lead-free solder. We found a
> > slightly-better way that had already been done, but no cheap way,
> > sad to say.
>
> In other words, you FAILED, Len....

RoHS failed humanity.

> > In case anyone has been on the Outer Planets in the past decade,
> > the Reduction of Hazardous Substances (RoHS) is THE thing in
> > electronics production now.
>
> We know, Len.

Multiple personality disorder "we," or papal "we," or royal "we?"

> > Problem is, without the lead in
> > conventional solder, tin will grow tiny, tiny "whiskers" (called
> > tin dendrites) that can short out circuit traces.
>
> Yep.
>
> > Happens long
> > after production soldering. Can't use a simple meter like the
> > old standard Simpson 260...too much ohmmeter current...blows
> > open the dendrite and desposits it back on the traces.
>
> Awww....
>
> You're missing the bigger problem.
>
> The reason for all the concern with hazardous substances in electronics
> is because of their effect on the waste stream. "Modern" consumer
> electronics are usually not designed to be easily or economically
> repairable, so if something fails it is usually replaced rather than
> repaired. Worse, the rapid changes in technology have resulted in a
> large volume of working-but-outdated electronics (mostly computers)
> being discarded rather than recycling.
>
> Rather than trying to recycle and reuse, the regulators are trying to
> stop the problem at the source. A bad solution, but you'd think the
> PROFESSIONALS would have seen that one coming....

Regulators are not "PROFESSIONALS." They know nothing of the
environment nor electronics. And I've never seen Jim testifying on
CSPAN. Serves in other ways.

## 1891. Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 14:37:47 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124456

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1

Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1892. Re: Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 14:48:20 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132411700.694554.224810@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124457

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
> > the way he does and saying nothing.
>
> No less than you and the Feeble Five, Brain.

True. We might have been friends if you didn't act the way you do.

Everyone of you
> caught in one mistruth or act of wanton deceit after another.

Odd. That's what we say about you.

Find your DD Form 214 yet?

..And not
> a word from any of you to the other.
>
> Pot/Kettle/Black.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

That's simply not true (again). I've gotten after Len many, many times
for being "too hard" on you.

bb

# 1893. A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 14:59:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132412393.223242.268720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 17 Nov 2005 15:16:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132269371.113658.264470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Make all the justifications you want, Dud -- the fact is that you
> unwittingly provided all the proof yourself........:

There's no "justifications", Frankie.

You lied, got busted, and now you're even more determined than
ever to TRY and hit some "responsive chord"....

THE BEST you can hope for is to show that your ONE tour in the
Marine Corps taught you just enough to surf the web in search of
keywords you HOPE will fill in the holes....Holes left by your legal
troubles while you WERE in....

So far, you're not doing so well...

> You didn't know squat about uniform issuance; you don't even remember
> paying for your first uniform issue in boot camp from your chit book.

Refer to the MCO.

I didn't pay a dime. Nor was it deducted from my pay.

The "chits" accounted for government money spent...Not mine.

> You didn't know squat about restriction or liberty, demonstrating that
> you never served with any OOD which is a regular NCO duty (also done
> by non-rates when there are no NCO's available -- did it myself a
> couple times).

Why do you insist on trying to perpetuate fabrications?

> You didn't know that the Marine Corps has Shore Patrol, not MP's.

Oh?

Really?

QUOTE from "www.usmilitary.about.com"

United States Marine Corps Enlisted Job Descriptions and Qualification
Factors

FIELD 58, MILITARY POLICE AND CORRECTIONS

The military police and corrections OccFld provides the commander continuous support by enforcing the law.

They are responsible for preventing and suppressing crime; assessing command physical security posture; preserving military control; quelling disturbances; investigating offenses; apprehending offenders and protecting property and personnel.

They are also are responsible for providing flightline security; registering and controlling privately owned vehicles and weapons; investigating traffic accidents; controlling traffic; antiterrorism; handling and safeguarding prisoners of war, refugees, or evacuees.

Also, conducting small unit offensive and defensive combat operations; guarding military prisoners and absentees/deserters returned to military control; and supervising brig operations and correctional custody units.
Entry-level specialties available include military police and Correctional specialists.

Primary MOS's

5811-- Military Police

5821-- Criminal Investigator

5831-- Correctional Specialist

Secondary MOS's (See Note Below)

5812-- Working Dog Handler

5813-- Accident Investigator

5814-- Crime Prevention/Physical Security Specialist

5816-- Special Reaction Team (SRT) Member

5819-- Military Police Investigator

5822-- Polygraph Examiner

5832-- Correctional Counselor

UNQUOTE

So Frankie...What about those non-existant MP's...?!?!

Need some more Kleenex to clean off that bloody nose, punk?

I snipped the rest.

You can "argue" that I was trying to be "evasive", but the truth of the matter is that YOU are the one with credibility issues here, and they are in no small way due to your ego overdriving your common sense, Frankie.

In short, you're a disgusting little troll looking to be a big disgusting troll....

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1894. Re: Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 15:05:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132412730.793929.47330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124459

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
> > > the way he does and saying nothing.
> >
> > No less than you and the Feeble Five, Brain.
>
> True. We might have been friends if you didn't act the way you do.

I see...And you're completely without culpability for any of the
goings-on here...?!?!?!

> Everyone of you
> > caught in one mistruth or act of wanton deceit after another.
>
> Odd. That's what we say about you.

That's what you SAY about me...However not anything you've ever
"proven"...

> Find your DD Form 214 yet?

Yep. Right here.

> ..And not
> > a word from any of you to the other.
> >
> > Pot/Kettle/Black.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> That's simply not true (again). I've gotten after Len many, many times
> for being "too hard" on you.

Uh
huh...riiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiight.....

Steve, K4YZ

## 1895. A new day is on the horizon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 15:07:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132412844.419993.288290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124460

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
> >>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
> >>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
> >> I have "another thing" for you, Brian: "Jim, Dave and Mike" are no more
> >> responsible for posts other than their own, than you are responsible for
> >> Mark's, Len Anderson's or Roger Wiseman's.
> >>
> > Never said that you were responsible.
>
> You pointed to us as having had some obligation to pull Steve back from
> some brink you've manufactured.

I'm not in manufacturing.

> >> I hope that clears things up for you.
>
> > Hope that clears things up for you.
>
> No, it didn't do that at all. If we aren't responsible for Steve's
> actions (making them what *you* think they should be), why would we have
> pulled him back?

I'm not responsible for other people's kids, but I donate to Toys for
Tots.

I'm not responsible for the neighbors dog biting another neighbor's
kid, but I pulled the dog off.

Do you see how it works? Not my responsibility, but it was the right
thing to do.

> > But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
> > the way he does and saying nothing.
>
> It's hard to say something in a forum where all we have is the written
> word.

Even harder when the written word is encoded in dits and dahs, but many
here want it >>>MANDATED<<<.

> Have you written anything to bring your friend Mark Morgan back
> to reality?

Reality? How am I supposed to do that. I'm not a medical professional. ;^)

Perhaps the most effective course of action would be for Steve to get off Mark's ass and quit calling around Mark's county.

> What have you written to Len toward straightening him out?

I've said he's too hard on you guys.

> All I've noted here is you egging him on. Some friend *you* are.

Aw, geez. Some of the greatest fun I've had on here was the Hop Sing-speak; english as a first >and< second language. It was only funny because Steve got so upset over it.

Gonna call my wife, gotta show my kids! There's a guy that takes himself much too seriously.

> Is that the double standard you're always going on about?

OK, Dave, I get your point.

Notice to Len: Start playing nice with these guys when they start playing nice with you.

My duty as a friend to Len and good citizen of RRAP is now satisfied.

Your turn, Dave.

## 1896. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 15:21:38 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1yrit1@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1yrit1@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 124483

N9OGL Wrote:
> well steve, get your recorder set because I WILL BE ON!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz

You should do it in SSTV and show some of the many child pornography shots that you've personally uploaded to your Lolicon board.

--
Iitoi

# 1897. Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 15:31:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132414265.914019.314820@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124461

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >>
> > >>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
> > >>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
> > >>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
> > >> I have "another thing" for you, Brian: "Jim, Dave and Mike" are no more
> > >> responsible for posts other than their own, than you are responsible for
> > >> Mark's, Len Anderson's or Roger Wiseman's.
> > >
> > > Never said that you were responsible.
> >
> > You pointed to us as having had some obligation to pull Steve back from
> > some brink you've manufactured.
>
> I'm not in manufacturing.

Sure you are.

You manufactured a tale about operating HF legally from Somalia.

You manufactured a tale about "...unlicensed devices play a major
role in emcomm". So far, I've not seen anything except some
occassional references to CB's being used for "intercom" like functions
in the present post-hurricaine disaster.

You manufactured a tale about ARES not being able to respond due
to all the over-committed members. However last I heard, there were
over 47 stories in MAJOR media sources that can't do anything except
applaud Amateur Radio disaster releif workers.

There's more, but I hate to go on-and-on. I am sure you're tired
of getting your nose rubbed in it, too.

> > >> I hope that clears things up for you.
> >
> > > Hope that clears things up for you.
> >
> > No, it didn't do that at all. If we aren't responsible for Steve's
> > actions (making them what *you* think they should be), why would we have
> > pulled him back?
>
> I'm not responsible for other people's kids, but I donate to Toys for

> Tots.

A project of the United States Marine Corps Reserve...That's gotta
get you grinding your teeth a bit!

> I'm not responsible for the neighbors dog biting another neighbor's
> kid, but I pulled the dog off.

Hate to see him gnawing on YOUR bone, eh...?!?!

> Do you see how it works? Not my responsibility, but it was the right
> thing to do.

But SO FAR, you've done NOTHING constructive!

You told the aforementioned tales, along with having stated your
"admiration" for a documented pathological liar who ALSO happens to be
trying to undermine the SAME "Amateur Radio" that you are a licensee
of...And "the Morse Code test" has little or nothing to do with what
>>I<< am refring to...

> > > But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
> > > the way he does and saying nothing.
> >
> > It's hard to say something in a forum where all we have is the written
> > word.
>
> Even harder when the written word is encoded in dits and dahs, but many
> here want it >>>MANDATED<<<.

You've not been paying attention...but then that's not new either.

> > Have you written anything to bring your friend Mark Morgan back
> > to reality?
>
> Reality? How am I supposed to do that. I'm not a medical
> professional. ;^)

Markie's problems are psychological, not medical.

> Perhaps the most effective course of action would be for Steve to get
> off Mark's ass and quit calling around Mark's county.

I've not called a single person anywhere about Mark, Brian...

> > What have you written to Len toward straightening him out?
>
> I've said he's too hard on you guys.

Uh huh...

> > All I've noted here is you egging him on. Some friend *you* are.
>
> Aw, geez. Some of the greatest fun I've had on here was the Hop
> Sing-speak; english as a first >and< second language. It was only

> funny because Steve got so upset over it.
>
> Gonna call my wife, gotta show my kids! There's a guy that takes
> himself much too seriously.

If you think your baby talk was the issue, then you're lying to
yourself in addition to us.....

> > Is that the double standard you're always going on about?
>
> OK, Dave, I get your point.
>
> Notice to Len: Start playing nice with these guys when they start
> playing nice with you.

Uhhhhhh.....

Brian....

Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
"Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.

> My duty as a friend to Len and good citizen of RRAP is now satisfied.

No...Your duty as a "good citizen" will be done when you
publically state, and then STICK TO IT, your intent to not initiate or
perpetuate the use of diminutives towards people who don't use them on
you.

And Yes, Brian, I will do the same for you, effective with THIS
post...assuming you do and continue the same. Otherwise, it's right on
back to the mudslinging.

> Your turn, Dave.

No, Brian...it's YOURS.

Steve, K4YZ

Steve, K4YZ

# 1898. Re: Congats Cecil W5DXP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 16:28:09 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <tolff.30856$6e1.604@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 124462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.personals.gay

"Russ" <carlzomer@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4leln1hc7fgskadkmckh74niqpomj6qhbd@4ax.com...
> On 18 Nov 2005 14:24:12 -0800, "moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>Cecil Moore wrote:
>>> Fred W4JLE wrote:
>>> > Nice article in WorldRadio December issue.
>>> >
>>> Thanks, part I was in the Oct. issue -
>>> Part II hopefully in Jan.
>>> --
>>> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
>>
>>hi Cecil,
>>
>>how about an article on the sick gay shit you hams crave so
>>much.....like how you sick fucks select the "young ham of the year"
>>hehehehhe!
>
> Now here is somebody who is making mommy and daddy proud, eh?
>
> R

Actually, that's our resident Rec.Radio.CB troll,
Doug Adair N8WWM. Just google N8WWM and see
all the fine writings on record for him. Better yet, go
and google "keyclown" or "keyclownz" in either web
or groups search.

Landshark

--
That's funny. You managed to actually make the thug alter his outside
real world actions because of his incompetence in this ng. The internet
magnifies peoples' idiocy, as he shows.

# 1899. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 17:14:55 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nuncfrsktki53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 124463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1132412393.223242.268720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
"post cut"
>> You didn't know that the Marine Corps has Shore Patrol, not MP's.
> Steve, K4YZ
>
2 of my step brothers were Marine MP's. Have fun fighting with Frank, I kill
filed him over a year ago.

# 1900. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 17:16:04 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <o5Jff.2066$wf.795@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124464

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
>
> Note how much wider the 'phone bands
> would become under the proposal.
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Interesting too that the petition and rules changes recommended
make no subdivision of HF band segment usage/permissions
as a function of being Novice/Tech+, General, Advanced or Extra.

If that is so, then what becomes the "incentive" for
upgrading?

Just my observation...or did I miss something..

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 1901. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 17:19:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <l8Jff.2701$jl5.2641@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124465

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>>>>>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>>>>>>
>>>>>>> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
>>>>>>> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
>>> Is that the papal 'we' or the royal 'we'?
>>>
>>>>>> HyperLog extract station K0HB
>>>> (SNIP) Thanks again, Hans.
>>>>
>>>>> Log extract K8MN ARRL SS Phone:
>>>> (SNIP) You too, Dave.
>>>>
>>>> Has anyone worked Lennie and his "Part 15 rig" yet? N0IMD?
>>>> KB9RQZ?
>>>>
>>> Nope.
>>>
>>> Let's see, of current and past rrap posters, I have worked:
>>>
>>> K4YZ
>>> K0HB
>>> W4NTI
>>> WA2SI
>>> W3RV
>>> W0EX
>>> W6RCA/W5DXP
>>> AC6XG
>>> KE3Z
>>>
>>> using various amateur bands and modes. Mostly HF CW, but also VHF FM.
>>>
>>> Also personally met W3RV and W1RFI.
>>>
>>> (apologies to any I've forgotten).
>> Apology accepted. You worked the K8MN FD operation from here a few
>> years back and we worked during SS CW in 1980.
>>
>> 73,

>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
> Just amazing!!!
>
> I forget you on a list of A-1 Ops, and you play 18 rounds of guessing
> games with declarations that I'm wrong.

You had a different kind of list, didn't you? Didn't yours read, "K8MN
is not an A-1 Op" or something to that effect?

> Jim leaves you off of his list
> and you pop right up and provide the information.

> Who says the PCTA double-standard isn't alive and well?

You go right on playing the little red-hatted monkey. Jim's list wasn't
an attempt at an insult and he apologized in advance for anyone left
off. Did you do that? Was your list an attempt at an insult?

> I guess the only other thing this shows is that Dave is a pretty
> forgettable guy.

I disagree, Brian. I'd say it shows you as a little red-hatted monkey
with a chip on his shoulder. You're nothing but consistent.

Dave K8MN

# 1902. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 17:25:42 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11nuo0pdrmjch56@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 124467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dlmrou$q8k$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
>> Wasn't "Morse" a god in mythology? <innocent questing look>
>
> Nah he was an Inspector in a TV show ;o)
> Regards,
> Graham
>
>
Wrong, it's the name of the cat in the 9 lives commercial.

# 1903. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 18:13:28 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <8bWdnWLWw_b99uLeRVn-qQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 124469

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
>
> Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

While the principle of division by bandwidth instead of mode is basically sound, it does look like they are trying to "sneak through" a change in the phone bands. They need to be upfront and honest that their intent is to widen the phone bands. My director will hear my opinion on this and I'll probably file a comment ont the petition and if the FCC puts out an NPRM. I'm not necessarily against some widening of the phone bands (afterall the "Novice" segments are lightly used) but I am against the idea that they are not bothering to state that it is part of the purpose of this petition. And I believe that 30m is way too narrow to add a SSB segment.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1904. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 18:23:52 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <T-ednaQhvMJHwuLeRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

N9OGL wrote:
> well steve, get your recorder set because I WILL BE ON!!!
>
> Todd N9OGL
> THE N9OGL SHOW
> 14.321.00 MHz
>

Care to give a time?

# 1905. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 18:25:43 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <T-ednachvMLX_eLeRVn-hA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124468

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>
> But of course you never say something in three words when three hundred
> will do.

Especially when he drags out his brag list in attempting to impress
someone with all his so-called experience and knowledge.

## 1906. FRIEND: as are You, Brandon is Awesome.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 18:49:22 UTC
From: nikool18a@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132426162.001167.150560@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124470

FRIEND,

Hi. Check out www.ChezBrandon.com ; it's awesome. As are you, Brandon is highly intelligent, knowledgeable, and good. May you have an awesome day.

A Succinct Warning: Elements of the Mossad, sated with cash from the murderous and destructive narcotics trade, are using some medical, pharmacy, and food service workers to murder men, women, and children. (A certain tasteless acid, for instance, causes cancer.)

Victor Ostrovsky's books BY WAY OF DECEPTION and THE OTHER SIDE OF DECEPTION: A ROGUE AGENT EXPOSES THE MOSSAD'S SECRET AGENDA offers elucidation, as does James Ennes, Jr.'s ASSAULT ON THE LIBERTY.

Again, may you have an awesome day, and check out www.reopen911.org and www.ChezBrandon.com
-- NICK

# 1907. Re: FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 18:53:15 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <437F749B.7174ED0C@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124471
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Magnum wrote:

> ....(snip)
> Lol, great command of the English language there. I wonder if his morse is
> as bad........
> (snip)

> ......****. your **** now trying to say Amateurs above the entry level
> never cause interference or mess about sometimes on the air.... b-ll-cks.

# 1908. Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 19:02:53 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132426973.270109.192450@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124472

This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:

Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is the latest high profile ham to come out publicly about Glenn Baxter's license. You probably remember Leo as the founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics. Walter Cronkite also came out publicly against Baxter's (ex-k1man) renewal.

In fact, Leo just sent a letter to the FCC about Baxter. You can find the letter and a discussion of Baxter's renewal here:

"Leo Meyerson is an acknowledged pioneer in the field of radio. Leo is the founder of World Radio Laboratories and Galaxy Electronics. Throughout the years Leo has been very active in public service. A life member of the Quarter Century Wireless Association, Leo served as a National Director from 1977 to 1987. In 1991 he was named the QCWA Amateur Member of the year and in 1994 he was inducted into the QCWA Hall of Fame. Leo is also a life member of the American Radio Relay League, Society of Wireless Pioneers, and International Mission Radio Association among other associations. In 1980 Leo was elected a fellow of the Radio Club of America, one of the most prestigious radio clubs in America.

Leo Meyerson is the second radio icon to denounce Glenn Baxter, ex-K1MAN. The other, famous broadcaster Walter Cronkite, has publicly stated that he has experience with Baxter's lack of ethics. Cronkite also called on the FCC to deny renewal of Baxter's amateur radio licenses."

http://home.ripway.com/2005-7/357648/

73, K3VR

# 1909. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 19:05:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132427111.205219.133270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124473

Dave Heil wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>> KØHB wrote:
> >>>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
> >>>>
> >>>>> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> >>>>> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
> >>>>
> >>> Is that the papal 'we' or the royal 'we'?

Third option:

In 'phone operation, some hams and others refer to themselves as "we" on the air. As in "We were listening on 3885 last night and heard the Timtron trying out a new PW rig" or "Yes, Claude, we saw the new Annihilator 2000 at Dayton but frankly it's really just a repackaged Pulverizer 1000 costing 50% more" and such.

Morse Code operators never use the plural to refer to themselves alone.

When pressed for a reason to use the plural, some respond that "we" refers to 'the station and the operator', thereby anthropomorphizing the rig to degree that is rather extreme.

So perhaps Len is referring to himself and his radio when he uses the term 'we'. IOW, when Len wrote:

"We have NOT seen"

he was referring to himself and his classic, compact Johnson.

> >>>> HyperLog extract station K0HB
> >> (SNIP) Thanks again, Hans.
> >>
> >>> Log extract K8MN ARRL SS Phone:
> >> (SNIP) You too, Dave.
> >>
> >> Has anyone worked Lennie and his "Part 15 rig" yet? N0IMD?
> >> KB9RQZ?
> >>
> > Nope.
> >
> > Let's see, of current and past rrap posters, I have worked:

> >
> > K4YZ
> > K0HB
> > W4NTI
> > WA2SI
> > W3RV
> > W0EX
> > W6RCA/W5DXP
> > AC6XG
> > KE3Z
> >
> > using various amateur bands and modes. Mostly HF CW, but also VHF FM.
> >
> > Also personally met W3RV and W1RFI.
> >
> > (apologies to any I've forgotten).
>
> Apology accepted. You worked the K8MN FD operation from here a few
> years back and we worked during SS CW in 1980.

DOH! Sorry, Dave! There's probably a couple more I've worked, too, such as AT4ST (Arnie) and K3LT.

---

Say, your imagery of the lodge hall reminded me of these classic lines of prose:

"The fire in the shack of the .....radio station burned low and conversation lagged. .....Lazy, feathery flakes, beginning at midnight, had changed to a fine, peppery mist swirling in from the north, and the wind moaned down the chimney in icy cadences."

"...was the chief operator and and wore sterling crossed bars of chain lightning on the shoulder of his navy blue jersey. There was no greater this side of Heaven..."

"Five minutes passed with only the wind, the old clock, and the keying relay breaking the silence."

"....and touched the knob timidly. The shadow scale above it moved slightly. How easily it turned! Encouraged, he moved it a little more. A faint hiss which had begun to evidence itself in the dynamic speaker was at that instant ripped asunder by a kaleidescope of crisp, bell-like signals..."

"Thousands of miles of black, tumbling ocean intervened. Outside, the two great towers, outlined irregularly in white, rose up and up into the swirling snow; downstairs the input reactors sang monotonously in the ghastly glow of the rectifiers......imparted a dull radiance to the the polished edges of the neutralizing condenser discs. All were waiting, ready to hurl the dynamite."

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1910. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 19:10:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <GMKff.1278$rM2.1271@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124474

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1

If Bill Cross really meant it when he said ''Detailed regulation of the nitty gritty of communication services, including the Amateur Service, is not in the picture, rather the FCC is shifting to strong and effective enforcement of truly necessary regulations.'', then this petition should receive favorable consideration by the Commission.

Personally, I think ARRL's petition is waaaaaaaaaaay too conservative. Let's all propose the following re-write of Part 97.

---

97.1 You are required to pass a technical test to show that you understand how to build simple equipment which meets spectral purity specifications of .....blah, blah, blah. You will be issued a callsign when you pass the test. Transmit your call sign once every 10 minutes when on the air.

97.2 Your power limit is 1.5KW to the antenna.

97.3 Here are your bands. Stay inside of them.

97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and communicate and do public service and talk to strangers in far away lands and launch communications satelites into space and other technical radio stuff you may think up. The government doesn't care what mode you use for any of this.

97.5 Play nice. We'll try to keep the CBers out of your hair. Any deliberate interference or other assinine behaviour on your part will cause Riley to come and kick your ass off the playground.
97.6 Have fun.

Love always,
/signed/ FCC

---

73, Hans, K0HB
--
Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

## 1911. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 19:25:28 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <1132428328.745044.267280@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not only does ARRL advertise on their website so does the Newsline ham radio show. And they also do it on the air as well. Listen to there begging for money this week on their radio show.

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > well steve, get your recorder set because I WILL BE ON!!!
> >
> > Todd N9OGL
> > THE N9OGL SHOW
> > 14.321.00 MHz
> >
>
> Care to give a time?

# 1912. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 19:26:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <k%Kff.2746$jI5.1230@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124476

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:

> Say, your imagery of the lodge hall reminded me of these classic lines
> of prose:
>
> "The fire in the shack of the .....radio station burned low and
> conversation lagged. .....Lazy, feathery flakes, beginning at midnight,
> had changed to a fine, peppery mist swirling in from the north, and the
> wind moaned down the chimney in icy cadences."
>
> "...was the chief operator and and wore sterling crossed bars of chain
> lightning on the shoulder of his navy blue jersey. There was no greater
> this side of Heaven..."
>
> "Five minutes passed with only the wind, the old clock, and the keying
> relay breaking the silence."
>
> "....and touched the knob timidly. The shadow scale above it moved
> slightly. How easily it turned! Encouraged, he moved it a little more.
> A faint hiss which had begun to evidence itself in the dynamic speaker
> was at that instant ripped asunder by a kaleidescope of crisp,
> bell-like signals..."
>
> "Thousands of miles of black, tumbling ocean intervened. Outside, the
> two great towers, outlined irregularly in white, rose up and up into
> the swirling snow; downstairs the input reactors sang monotonously in
> the ghastly glow of the rectifiers......imparted a dull radiance to the
> the polished edges of the neutralizing condenser discs. All were
> waiting, ready to hurl the dynamite."

Thanks for recognizing J.C.'s style in my stuff. 'Twas intentional.

73,

Dave K8MN

## 1913. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 19:29:23 UTC
From: channel391@juno.com
Message-ID: <1132428563.688698.265770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You need to get K1MAN and Walter Cronkite on your show to debate each other with Geraldo Reveria as moderator. Every ham in the world would tune in to listen. And you might even get CBS to send a reporter since it has an interest in Cronkite.

# 1914. Re: FAQ - Interested? Start here!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 19:40:43 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <437F7FBB.BF3F9A20@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124478
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

And so, on and on go the anti CB and anti-M3 ramblings (rumblings?)... What about volunteering to serve on the RSGB or is that too hard? Just better to crticise... And what about showing new M3s a better way to behave.. by **example**. Nah, just gripe and snipe.. easier.

Polymath wrote:

> What is Ham Radio?
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation...

> These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>
> No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!
>

etc. etc. etc.

Most (not just CB and M3) amateurs, sadly, use off the shelf cheque book purchased rigs and accessories. Amateur radio *has* changed. I think it was better BTW, but that has been changed by technology. The number of intolerant people blaming the wrong things has not changed - just become more readily readable by eg newsgroups. Again, simply the march of technology. A good hobby would move with technology. Adapt where it has to, and always be positive. Most of you regulars to this NG do not adapt. You are negative and destructive (eg towards the RSGB and M3s). Your continual sniping about both is a bore. References to eg Potty Bar were once mildly amusing but now tedious. Specifically, someone on here recently reported that he had been wrongly castigated by an M3 for transmitting off channel. A clear case of where technical experience was lacking. An amateur with the true spirit would have tactfullly explained the facts. And that was one of the *better* comments! Most are non-specific and generalised sniping. Yes, there are poor M3s, and there are also poor full calls, and poor but fast CW senders and so on. Any non-amateur who lurks across this group will quickly form an opinion that radio amateurs are a bunch of negative misfits and the sooner that BPL for the masses reduces their facility the better. BTW, for reasons beyond my control I'm not a member of the RSGB. I'm not an M3 either. Just an amateur. And why do I bother, I sense some ask. I suppose I'm still an incurable optimist who thinks some conscience may be pricked and this behaviour improved.. Why be here at all (Jock - one of the

better ones - asked) See my earlier reply. Come on chaps and chapesses. Lift your game. Time is running out. Do not be like the famous Australian amateur (a UNIX expert) who responded to accidental OT posts to their newsgroup (I think it was then called just "radio") in the early days of the Net by flaming. His "cleverest" flame was metres long printouts which informed people they were on the wrong group. By giving such a negative image to amateur radio to so many technically curious people (surely exactly those we want) he did a great deal of lasting damage to the amateur cause - perhaps aided in its disappearance. He is actually a public-spirited amateur, but what an error! Some of you are public spirited too. So for those, a plea for more tolerance. More thinking before putting keyboard, mouth, key into gear... Or will the replies show the absolute opposite? We shall see.. Time gets short. BPL for HF and other pressures for VHF/UHF/SHF could arguably squeeze us all out. The efforts of this group do not help in resisting this. They vastly aid it. Any lurkers are going to have a most negative impression of what probably are a bunch of fine people doing their best to hide it! "Amateur radio - so that's what it's like - best legislate against it without delay!" Anyone got anything *new* to add? Usenet is meant to aid debate, so have a go. But please not the usual, broing, repetive stuff; we've read it all before... Thanks for reading this; I now feel slightly better...

# 1915. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 19:48:35 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <kYKdnVAbl74CHOLenZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 124479

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:o5Jff.2066$wf.795@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
>>
>> Note how much wider the 'phone bands
>> would become under the proposal.
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Interesting too that the petition and rules changes recommended
> make no subdivision of HF band segment usage/permissions
> as a function of being Novice/Tech+, General, Advanced or Extra.
>
> If that is so, then what becomes the "incentive" for
> upgrading?
>
> Just my observation...or did I miss something..
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

I noticed the same thing and didn't know what to make of it. Perhaps they
mean to keep the same segments as now for the different licenses? I suppose
that would work as you can always use a mode that is narrower than the max.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 1916. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 20:04:47 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <s4adndFNSLn3GOLenZ2dnUVZ_sSdnZ2d@crocker.com>
Source record: 124480

"Dee Flint" <deeflint01@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:kYKdnVAbl74CHOLenZ2dnUVZ_v-dnZ2d@comcast.com...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:o5Jff.2066$wf.795@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>>
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>>> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
>>>
>>> Note how much wider the 'phone bands
>>> would become under the proposal.
>>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Interesting too that the petition and rules changes recommended
>> make no subdivision of HF band segment usage/permissions
>> as a function of being Novice/Tech+, General, Advanced or Extra.
>>
>> If that is so, then what becomes the "incentive" for
>> upgrading?
>>
>> Just my observation...or did I miss something..
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>>
>>
>
> I noticed the same thing and didn't know what to make of it. Perhaps they
> mean to keep the same segments as now for the different licenses? I
> suppose that would work as you can always use a mode that is narrower than
> the max.
>
> Dee D. Flint, N8UZE
>
they are probably trying to keep the technical 'what mode where' discussion
separate from the licensing 'who goes where' discussion. they probably
think they can win each one separately, but argue them together and there
may be too many people who won't buy one or the other and come out against
both. right now they happen to line up on the same dividing line, but there
is no practical reason that they must.

## 1917. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 20:13:23 UTC
From: Brad <"bradvk2qq AT w6ir.com">
Message-ID: <437f8765$0$41138$14726298@news.sunsite.dk>
Source record: 124481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1132428563.688698.265770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> You need to get K1MAN and Walter Cronkite on your show to debate each
> other with Geraldo Reveria as moderator. Every ham in the world would
> tune in to listen. And you might even get CBS to send a reporter since
> it has an interest in Cronkite.
>
Wrong. No other hams in the rest of the world give a damn about your petty
bickering. Our regulations are different and this isn't an issue with us.

Brad.

# 1918. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 20:19:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132431557.871067.34460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124482

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Thanks for recognizing J.C.'s style in my stuff. 'Twas intentional.

Unmistakeable, too. Well done.

Now back to recopying the SS logs.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 1919. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 22:02:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132437724.032222.317280@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124484

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Sat 19 Nov 2005 04:32

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 18:36
>> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > Dave Heil wrote:

>> >Here, Len uses emoticons and SHOUTS by using all capitals. His
>> >submissions to FCC are full of italics, bold text, and numbered
>> >footnotes.
>
>> using italics in filings on Dockets at the FCC?
>
>Your comments look childish, Len. Over the top, flamboyant, trying to
>get attention by style rather than substance.

Oh, oh...Sister Nun of the Above is pontificating in one of
her lectures again!

Sister has a habit of stark simplicity, devoid of human
emotion in the spartan classroom, a no-nonsense sterile
place where strict obediance to the Order is the rule.

>But of course you never say something in three words when three hundred
>will do.

BWAAAAAAHAAAHAAAHAAA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> >I remember Len referring to FCC Chairman Powell as "Mikey". As if he'd
>> >actually address Mr. Powell that way....
>>
>> No problem with me,
>> I'm not afraid of authority.
>
>It's not about fear.

Sister Nun of the Above demands OBEDIANCE to the Order!

>> >> > Some months back, Len mentioned here that he had once, way back
>> >> > in the 1950s,
>> >
>> >>actually, the early 1960s
>
>> >> > set about learning Morse Code. Claimed he'd actually
>> >> > gotten up to about 6-8 wpm or so before deciding all the 'hard work'
>> >> > wasn't worth it. That was just about the time 27 MHz cb came along,

>> >> > and he jumped on that.
>> >
>> >Other way around - he was on cb first.
>>
>> No, no, NO, Jimmie, MUCH lower in frequency than that...somewhere
>> around 9 MHz if memory serves. Was in early February 1953, using
>> a BC-339 1 KW transmitter.
>
>Built, paid for, and installed by others.

Good grief...a closet ham-Amish! Or is it a ham-Shaker?

My apologies are tendered to those in the religious orders of the
Church of St. Hiram. It's difficult for normal people to keep
track of all those cult names and be non-sectarian.

Ohm my, SOLDIERS on duty are now expected to BUILD, PAY FOR, and
DO OWN INSTALLATION of all military equipment?!?

Well, I could have sewn together the cloth of my uniforms but
don't have the experience or machinery to weave the cloth.

I could have made a wooden stock for my issue sidearm, an M-2
Carbine, perhaps taking a couple years to do it with just a
sharp-edged rock after felling a tree (with bare hands). Just
didn't have the experience or machinery to build all the metal
parts of it or make the ammunition.

That particular BC-339 transmitter was built some time just
prior to WW2 (I still remember where it was located then in
relation to the central command console). That would have
made me of mid-grade school age and I had not yet acquired
the knowledge or skills to BUILD it. Certainly did not have
the physical strength to "install" a seven-foot-high box
of iron, copper, steel, and ceramic parts weighing a ton.
There was NO ONE at that station or in the entire battalion
that could have "installed" it with their bare hands. :-)

But...that vacuum tube based transmitter OPERATED by the same
rules and principles of any vacuum tube based transmitter of
today. Laws of physics haven't changed in a half century.
One "dips the plate and peaks the grid" in tuning the final,
same now as it has been since the beginning of radio time.

>> Oh, you mean "did I give up" on learning more morse code?
>> Yes, I did. Roughly six years later in California I gave
>> up on bothering to continue learning morse code.
>
>Sure - beccause it took too much time and effort on your part. It
>was hard work for you, and you thought it was not worthy of your
>time and effort.

Absolutely. :-) I've never regretted it since...

>> think that a "crime?"
>
>No. You made your choice - you "GAVE UP".

Wow! Implications of moral-ethical perfidy!!!

" G A V E U P " Let's try to imagine that painted in Day-Glo

or as a neon sign blinking on a billboard! " G A V E U P "

Terrible heinous negative F A I L U R E ! ! !

So, is there some divine/imperial IMPERATIVE that one MUST
stay with and learn morsemanship?!?!? For a HOBBY activity?

*YES* says his imperial majesty, guided by the ever-faithful
spartan Sister Nun of the Above alternate personality!

>> >I learned it by listening to hams using Morse Code on 80 meters. I
>> >first had to build myself a receiver, though.
>
>> Bully bull bull to Davie for being a Scout. And to Jimmie
>> Noserve for "building his own receiver!"
>
>Did you ever build a working receiver, Len?

Yes, several, including one transmitter! :-)

>> Such advanced teen-agers!
>
>Actually, I wasn't a teenager when I built the receiver I used to learn
>Morse Code - I was 12 years old. I built my first receiver, a simple
>AM BC set, when I was 10.

A prodigal son. A budding genius. IQ to four places? :-)

>> Did you both get nice, pretty merit badges?
>
>No. The receivers were their own reward.

What? Your friends and neighbors didn't come over an gush
about what a bright boy you are...like they did for your
homebuilt vacuum-tube rig made in the 1990s?

>> I don't see any point in learning what was ONCE the only way
>> to communicate in radio in order to get an AMATEUR license.
>
>That's fine, Len. Then you don't get the license and you're not a
>radio amateur. Works for me.

Tsk, then why are you so militant, antagonistic against all
those who want to remove the code test for a license?

>And you've just verified what I said - you think you are too good to
>have to learn Morse Code. Not worth your time and effort.

Sigh...you still don't understand other people's motivations, Jimmie. You want all others to follow YOUR standards and ideals. You confuse your own emotions and the LAW.

Some of us (thousands) against the code test for a license just DON'T SEE ANY POINT OF ANY KIND in keeping that mandated test for an old, outdated requirement. We (again the same thousands) simply want to change the federal regulation requiring it to eliminate that test. Seeking that change is within our rights as citizens.

Now WHY do you insist on keeping that old, outdated requirement? You know very well that knowledge of morse code is NOT a physical requirement to make any RF emitter work below 30 MHz. The requirement of demonstrated morse code skill is required ONLY in amateur radio and some classes of maritime radio licenses for operation below 30 MHz...by a MAN-MADE regulation. What "man" has made can be undone legally by other "men." All have that right in the United States.

A question for you, Jimmie: What makes you so arrogant and superior, to think that you are so damn good that everyone has to do what you say and think like you do and believe in the standards that you do?

>> Tsk, tsk...you forget (conveniently and whenver possible)
>> that I'd already operated transmitters (note plural) on HF
>> many years before.
>
>No, you didn't *operate* them.

Hello Steve? Are we having personality tranferences going on in this newsgroup?

OPERATION of any radio-electronic equipment refers to powering it on (or off as required), adjusting the controls, making it do what it was designed to do.

Since when is "operation" defined as solely using radio-telegraphy?

Perhaps you think that "operation" refers to some "careful tuning across the band," listening for a signal? [that's receiving operation and not for single-frequency operation]

>You were a technician, following the direction and
>supervision of others.

Tsk, tsk, that's a variation of what goes on in the military, Jimmie. :-)

It can be summed up in two words: "Follow orders."

>Somebody told you to
>put transmitter A on frequency B using antenna C and connect it
>to incoming line D, and you (actually about three technicians) did that
>according to a prearranged procedure.

Teamwork is a necessity to reduce TIME, Jimmie. In any transmitter site operating 24/7 and carrying a heavy load of "traffic," it is an absolute requirement to keep operating as long as possible. Broadcasters know that well, and "dead air" is a no-no to any station.

Every operating team (there were four) at ADA could do any of the QSY tasks required among the 36 transmitters (count in early 1953) and set up any of the two dozen (approximate) FSK exciters on the correct carrier and "spread" (frequency shift from Mark to Space), and being able to read and understand the teletypewriter commands from control. Any team could do the required operations singly, in pairs, or in threes. Doing it by threes reduced the down-time of any circuit since essentially overlapping operations at three different places could be done at the same time. We "hustled" on every QSY order that came down...a mark of professional style in operating...maximization of up-time during 24/7 ops.

"Prearranged Procedures": Frequencies were fixed by order. Control setting charts were there to minimize "hunting" for the right setting and minimize time in setting during a QSY. Four, and later, eight trans-mitters were gang-set by internal servo motors preset for the proper frequency...all to minimize down-time during a QSY. Once reset to a new frequency, each team kept watch to see that it operated properly. There were approximately eight different transmitter types, all with varying controls and arrangements and each team was trained and experienced to operate all of them. When the ORDER is to come up and stay on a fixed frequency, one MUST obey that order.

>That's certainly experience but it's not amateur radio
>experience.

It is RADIO experience, Jimmie. Radios that operate by the SAME laws of physics as in amateur radio. Really.

ADA, like all other RADIO stations (and torn-tape TTY relay centers) in ACAN-STARCOM-DCS did NOT have any MOS positions for radiotelegraphers. No morse code radiotelegraphy modes were used or required to relay over a million messages a month in the Pacific third of worldwide communications.

Yes, U.S. amateur radio regulations allow morse code
mode radiotelegraphy as an OPTION for licensees. Now.
That makes it "different" than all other U.S. radio
services except maritime radio service. But that is
SOLELY by man-made regulations. None of that is
any absolute requirement for physical operation below
30 MHz.

>And
>the FCC does not consider it as qualification to operate an amateur
>radio station.

I've never argued that it did, Jimmie.

Just the same, all those hundreds of radios and thousands
of military personnel were still operating radios which
operated according to the SAME laws of physics as ham
radio.

>> Here's a link:
>>
>> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>
>"my 3 years" - as if the place was all about you.

You have the wrong impression. MY EXPERIENCE directly involves
ME. [that's why possessives are used]

I do not praise myself in that 20-page article. It describes
where I was and what I did during three years of my voluntary
military service...and it ALSO describes what a lot of others
did, using as many pictures of them as survived a long time in
storage. It was all teamwork, Jimmie. It wasn't one man - one
radio - one station kind of thing common in amateur radio.

If you don't like my little paper, then download (in the same
web page) "AlphabetSoup.pdf." That is a brochure prepared by
the same Signal Battalion in 1962 and published by them. The
equipment shown is more modern than it was in 1955, reflecting
the improvement and modernization of the Army network.

>> You might want to tell the United States ARMY on your demand
>> that all radio operators must get an amateur radio license
>> FIRST.
>
>No demand, Len. You're very mistaken about that.

Okay, I stand corrected. Herr Robust (Davie) demanded that.

It's getting harder and harder to tell the differences between
the super amateur extra morsement in here...

>> >After all, it's been possible to get a Technician class license without
>> >a code test for more than 14 years. All FCC-issued amateur licenses
>> >have been available for just a 5 wpm code test for 15 years now.

>
>> THIRD MAJOR MISTAKE in one posting!
>
>> FCC 99-412 ordered all morse code test rates for
>> radio amateur licenses to be fixed a 5 words-per-minute
>> beginning in 2000. That was only FIVE years ago. Not "15."
>
>Medical waivers.

Ohm my, yes. My terrible badness in accusing you of a "mistake!"

>Back in 1990, it became possible to obtain any class of FCC-issued
>amateur license with just a 5 wpm code test.

Was there a lot of that going around?

I didn't keep any eagle eye on the medical community then nor
did I ask for any "waiver." The only thing I wanted was for
my doctor to give me a cheery wave bye-bye and "see you next
year" after my annual physical.

>Your irrational reactions here prove that Morse Code testing - and the
>Morse Code itself - have an intense psychological effect on you.

Wow! Danke, Herr Doktor A. Freud.

[your analysis couch is very lumpy, Doktor, have it fixed]

I'm just an "intense" guy, Jimmie, terribly persistent. :-)

>That irrationality could have gotten you a medical waiver easily

"Easily?" :-) What makes you think I "wanted" one at
any time? Had I wanted to be a beeper and self-professed,
self-defined morseman-guru-radio-champion, I would have
done so without any "waiver." But I didn't. I've never
had to use morse code for ANY purpose and certainly not
in any radio service (I've operated in more of them than
you have).

Vell now, Herr Doktor, how do you explain YOUR irrational,
preserve-the-status-quo-at-all-costs attitude FOR morse code
testing? An ultraconservative traditionalist who demands
everything to be in-place as it was when you were born?
One who won't reveal is place of "professional electronics
employment" or even anything specific on the KIND of
"professional electronics work" he does...one who keeps on
going back to his pre-adult activities and stressing that
of youngsters...and has a fascination for amateur radio
history that happened well before he was born?

Tsk, tsk, you ALMOST sound qualified as a psychologist
but your shrink-wrap is torn.

OK, Jimmie, time for you to WRITE the RONGS. "Waive" bye-bye and go back to your beeping. Buy-buy.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1920. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 22:07:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132438022.010381.199820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124485

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 19 Nov 2005 05:54

>K4YZ wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> > Meanwhile, Dudly is busy, busy kissing Hans' ass for that
>> > navel regulation of 2005. Dudly doesn't realize that the
>> > effective-date regulation is only for NOW, not 13 to 31
>> > years past.
>>
>> It doesn't matter when the CURRENT regulation was updated.

Right...it will always apply to Dudly's alleged time (13 to 31
years ago) if it supports Dudly's claims.

>> A quick review of the order suffix indicates it's a much-ammended
>> order.

So...it "applies" and thus "supports" Dudly even if it didn't.

>> A five minute phone call could verify my original statements.

Phone call to whom? :-)

>> Unfortunately you are incapable of that simple task.

Dialling fingers are busy poking holes in Dudly's "arguments." :-)

>> Or unwilling...In short, a COWARD.

SOP for Dudly...when unwilling to stick to an "argument" he
resorts to name-calling.

>Steve would rather have a tantrum that dig out his own 1974 copy of the
>regulation.

If and only if he had one...:-)

Imposters rarely have "evidence" at hand to "support" them. They
love to snow-job others into thinking they were actaully there.
Standard practice of con artists and used car salesmen.

When unable to verify his supposed existance, he tries the
"outraged" ploy. Neat misdirection from the thread operating
on several levels:

1. It demonstrates his "toughness", as if he really was what
he say.

2. It obscures the original thread in order to garner emotional
support from the few like-thinkers around.

3. It misdirects the thread in an attempt to get his challengers
on the defensive; further back-and-forth now concerns his
puerile insults.

>Yet Hans, long retired, has a >current< copy of the uniform reg???
>
>Sumptin wrong there.

Captain Code works in mysterious ways...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1921. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 22:11:42 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132438302.750333.112290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124486

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 19 Nov 2005 07:07

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> >>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
>> >>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
>> >>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
>
>> >> I have "another thing" for you, Brian: "Jim, Dave and Mike" are no more
>> >> responsible for posts other than their own, than you are responsible for
>> >> Mark's, Len Anderson's or Roger Wiseman's.
>> >
>> > Never said that you were responsible.
>
>> You pointed to us as having had some obligation to pull Steve back from
>> some brink you've manufactured.
>
>I'm not in manufacturing.

Davie thinks he is Shop Foreman and all work for him...keep
those morse machines working in the factory!

>> >> I hope that clears things up for you.
>
>> > Hope that clears things up for you.
>
>> No, it didn't do that at all. If we aren't responsible for Steve's
>> actions (making them what *you* think they should be), why would we have
>> pulled him back?
>
>I'm not responsible for other people's kids, but I donate to Toys for
>Tots.
>
>I'm not responsible for the neighbors dog biting another neighbor's
>kid, but I pulled the dog off.
>
>Do you see how it works? Not my responsibility, but it was the right
>thing to do.

Brian, the only thing that matters to Davie is that "the right
thing to do" is what Davie says it is.

>> > But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
>> > the way he does and saying nothing.
>
>> It's hard to say something in a forum where all we have is the written
>> word.
>
>Even harder when the written word is encoded in dits and dahs, but many
>here want it >>>MANDATED<<<.

Davie took and passed the 20 WPM code test, therefore all others
have to do the same thing in order to be his "equal." He
condescends to allow "lesser" beings (who passed 5 or 13 WPM
tests) to coexist in his universe but has utter contempt for any
who have not.

Davie likes his exclusivity of status-rank-title since it makes
him "superior" to others. Therefore he demands that code
testing MUST be retained in federal regulations for the licensing
of amateur radio hobbyists. That is very important to his IMAGE.

>> Have you written anything to bring your friend Mark Morgan back
>> to reality?
>
>Reality? How am I supposed to do that. I'm not a medical
>professional. ;^)

Neither is Davie. But, I think he plays one on TV. :-)

>Perhaps the most effective course of action would be for Steve to get
>off Mark's ass and quit calling around Mark's county.

Too easy. Congenital bullies LIKE what they do to others.

>> What have you written to Len toward straightening him out?
>
>I've said he's too hard on you guys.

"Straightening me out?" :-)

I'm a congenital heterosexual, freely admitting that. :-)

Davie sounds like he is a raving ultra-conservative
morseodist with all his proselyte activity "batter-ying"
everyone to keep the code test forever and ever.

Must be electro-chemistry at work. His liquid proselyte
tries to cause a reaction. Alas, none. His "cold fusion"
sputters its fuse and it goes out...

>> All I've noted here is you egging him on. Some friend *you* are.
>
>Aw, geez. Some of the greatest fun I've had on here was the Hop
>Sing-speak; english as a first >and< second language. It was only
>funny because Steve got so upset over it.
>

>Gonna call my wife, gotta show my kids! There's a guy that takes
>himself much too seriously.

Woe be unto the sanctimonious self-righteous cultists, for
they have not developed any sense of humor. Their "humor"
consists solely of enjoyment of humiliating others.

>> Is that the double standard you're always going on about?
>
>OK, Dave, I get your point.
>
>Notice to Len: Start playing nice with these guys when they start
>playing nice with you.

I'm waiting patiently for that to happen...hasn't yet.

>My duty as a friend to Len and good citizen of RRAP is now satisfied.

Roger that, and thank you Brian...

>Your turn, Dave.

Fork Davie...I think he's done...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1922. ALL HAM AND CB MUST READ NOW: THE THOUGHTS OF K1MAN ON EDUCATION, LAW, POLITICS, AND BASEBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 22:14:41 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132438481.749062.33520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO DIGEST - UPDATE - 18 NOVEMBER 2005

Comments? E-mail to: K1MAN14275@aol.com www.K1MAN.com

www.K1MAN.com INSIDER MONTHLY NEWSLETTER

Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, is publishing a new monthly newsletter which tells all that cannot be published elsewhere. He is naming names and telling it just like it really is. "Move over Wayne Green," Mr. Baxter says.
For your copy send $45 per year to: www.K1MAN.com, Box 440, Belgrade Lakes, Maine 04918 U.S.A. VISA and Master Card are also accepted.

ON EDUCATION, LAW, POLITICS, AND BASEBALL - ES051115 -

An essay

by

Glenn A. Baxter, P.E., K1MAN

(Copyright 2005, all rights reserved)

DIFFERENT ORDER AND DIFFERENT RESULTS

Why do we send a kid to college and spend $30,000 (plus or minus) per year for four (plus or minus) years? Is there a difference whether we do it right after high school or after a stint in the "real world" such as the military, etc? Lots of kids come out of college (attended directly following high school) and cannot find a "good" job because they have no real and immediately marketable skills. Another kid, who learns a trade such as carpentry, on the other hand, can always find a "good" job which cannot be done "over the phone" by a competitor in India. These are tough choices and expensive ones at that.

Kids learn moral habits in their childhood, personal habits during their teens, and professional habits in their early 20s. Because of this, there will be big differences among kids who do college right after high school and those, for example, who do college after an enlisted stint in the military. You can combine both effects by doing much the same together, such as at West Point or Annapolis. Our magnificent U.S. World War II effort was run by Franklin Roosevelt (high school directly followed by college) and Dwight Eisenhower (a West Point graduate), and not by skilled carpenters or enlisted men

who later went to college. It does make a big difference on how you organize and the order of someone's education.

Another issue is grades. Many kids focus on grades at the expense of education, and others focus on education at the expense of grades. I once interviewed a kid at a top mid west university and asked him, as I the did all the other kids, what was his (or her) greatest defeat in life? He said "Well I got a B on a paper rather than an A; I thought I knew what the professor wanted, but I guess I didn't." Compare that with me. I would have said "Look at this, I got a B instead of an A; the professor is a 'moron'!"

The kids always argue that they need grades to get into professional school (they do), and I argue that you need a good education to get through a professional life. They counter with "Well if you don't get into medical school or law school or engineering school, you will never get to be that type of professional, for example, at all. Yes, this is obviously true. And the debate goes around and around.

One thing I have found about the engineering profession in particular and all professions in general: You are either an engineer or you are not. We rarely, no never, look at where that professional trait was acquired or what his or her grades were. Then, you are either a good engineer or not. You are either a good doctor or not. You are either a good dentist or not. You are either a good lawyer or not. You are either a good officer or not. You are either a good teacher or not. Etc. I guess we do distinguish whether professionals can pass the state exams, etc. to be licensed to practice. This is always a matter of getting the license or not. You already have the tools to get licensed; you simply have to use those tools and study hard enough to pass the comprehensive professional exams. For some it comes easier than for others. John Kennedy, Jr. had to take his bar exams many times before he passed.

BRIAN CROW, K3VR

One thing about college right after high school is the acquisition of disciplined professional thinking such as Franklin Roosevelt or Dwight Eisenhower had. Anything else is so easy to spot such as with (Brian Crow, K3VR*, and)
so many people I have encountered throughout life. Adolf Hitler was politically brilliant because his thinking was not professionally disciplined and thus "hindered." Look what happened to him! By the way, Alexander the Great was pretty ruthless also and killed quite a few people in his conquering escapades as well, and I do not approve of either military leader, despite the positive effects of spreading civilization and commerce as was true with Alexander or advancements in science as was motivated by Hitler. World War II had many positive effects on our world, but millions of lives, including those of our precious animals, is far too steep a price to pay for progress. Humans seem to make war and will continue to do so whether or not I approve, however!

Brian "fakes it" and fools many (fools), I imagine. He may be good at taking information in at a school like U. Mass and regurgitating it back to indifferent teachers for a grade of A. It is the lack of professional habits that kills Brian. His indifferent teachers (if his diploma is not a fake) did him a disservice. But, like the guy in the movie "Catch Me If You Can," diplomas and much else can be faked. Brian claims to have worked for NSA, and they create phony people all the time. Getting a ham license by fraud would be quite easy for an NSA type. I heard Brian calling CQ on 14.275 the other day, and he did not come back to a strong CW response. I question whether he can copy CW at all?!!! K3VR is listed an Extra class.

Let's look at Brian Crow specifically. He publishes all his stuff anonymously. He creates a "political cartoon" about K1MAN on a free anonymous web site and then says "Hey, I just 'saw' this, take a look at it yourself." He makes up phony experts like "Dr. Hasenfus" (an alleged attorney) who then states a legal "opinion" obviously not the work of a real attorney. Brian could easily find a real attorney; he does not need a phony one UNLESS he is trying to fool a few fools.

If Brian was not required to write a thesis at his alleged university for his Master's, just say so. His third grade grammar would never have gotten him a Master's if a thesis had been required. I believe the University of Maine will also confer a Master's in Education without a thesis. It is embarrassing for a "Master of Education" in this country, with the sorry state of education here, to write and publish on the internet (no proof readers) with very poor grammar. I say "embarrassing." If Brian has something to say that is important, then to heck with the grammar.

Brian is probably smart, but his undisciplined thinking prevents him from making it when up against professionals. I wonder what Riley Hollingsworth REALLY thinks about Brian Crow? Yuk, yuk yuk! I wonder what Riley Hollingsworth REALLY thinks about Glenn Baxter? Chuck O'Neal, K1KW, an engineer, can't think clearly at all about Glenn Baxter because of "Radio Rage" akin to "Road Rage." In this case, all bets (and the gloves) are off.

Brian is obviously obsessed with Glenn Baxter, and this clinically abnormal behavior (in my engineering opinion) is most likely a very severe case of "Wannabe." If Brian is happy with his pursuits, then I guess it is OK. I believe the most important thing is to be happy.

GLENN BAXTER'S EDUCATION

Now let's get to Glenn Baxter. Not a traditional education, really. In college I spent all my time studying the book and did problems for the first time during the exams. The other kids studied the theory little and worked on problems with other kids in groups. At Northwestern, my fraternity brothers (Lamda Chi Alpha) were always talking about old exams that were in the house files. I never bothered with them. Dwight Eisenhower forced the Army Department to

release old West Point entrance exams, and he and another kid worked all summer studying them. Now that IS very smart indeed! I would do that if I thought of it. No wonder General Eisenhower ended up with five stars! He was smart, and I don't mean IQ. Different kids learn different ways. I would study the book and then look at other books and "make connections" among them. This is how I preferred to learn. I would flunk all the exams and then get an A or B on the final and end up with a C in the course. I ended up with an engineering school 2.35 GPA (pre Viet Nam "grade inflation"), but I was honored upon graduation because of my First Place (Second Place nationally) and Third Place Awards for written and oral presentations of technical papers in Boston and Syracuse, and my 4th place Award at the Annual Boston University Debating Contest.

PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING EXAMINATIONS

Six years after graduation, I studied for six solid months for my professional engineering exams and pulled all of those "connections" together. It was great fun and the best investment of six months that I have ever made. I put numbers on pieces of paper in an oatmeal box. I shook the box, picked out numbers, and that is how I selected the next problem to work on out of my book of sample professional engineering exam questions. Each morning I would say to myself "It's time to go out to the 'snake pit'!" I loved it, really! The very first problem I worked on took me two weeks to solve. At the exam, you had only 45 minutes, on average, to solve a similar problem! I got through engineering school with a six inch K. & E. slide rule and then literally breezed through the P.E. exams with a fairly new HP 35 scientific calculator and HP 80 financial calculator, each costing $400, like $4,000 each today! Now you can get the same calculating power for less than $10 each! God, what a huge difference!

I had those little red HP 35 numbers dancing around on those ten digit displays, and this was like cruising down a super highway in a brand new Rolls Royce with the radio on a classical music station.

I took the P.E. exams in Illinois, at that time the most difficult state, and passed the first time with honor grades. Many, if not most, PhDs are somehow unable (or too specialized and/or too arrogant and unwilling to do the tedious review work) to pass the state Professional Engineering Exams. PhD engineers at more research scientist and university teaching orriented while BS engineers are more applied scientists or else go into management, etc. The P.E. Exams are two full grueling days and are like taking final exams (7 years after graduation) in the 10 most difficult engineering subjects; Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry, Statics, Dynamics, Strength of Materials, Fluid Mechanics, Thermodynamics, Electricity, and Engineering Economy. This, a kid, me, who had flunked his first Novice, Technician, General (code), Extra, and First Class Commercial FCC tests.

NON TRADITIONAL EDUCATION

As I said, not a "traditional" education. I "screwed around" through my first 8 grades of school (I had a chemistry lab, photography dark room, and amateur radio station) and then needed 11 1/2 years to finish 8 years of high school and college. At today's prices of $30,000 per year, that's a difference of $105,000 in costs and (at $100,000 per year for today's typical engineering graduate) $350,000 in lost income for a total of $500,000 in today's money.

I could afford it; most kids can't. I feel that my abilities are well worth the extra $500,000, but I don't recommend this approach to kids today. I tell them to do their homework, and if you get to 8th grade without doing this you will be "screwed" as 99.999999% of them would be for sure.

HIGH SCHOOL TEACHER

I have taught high school physics, chemistry, algebra, trig, advanced math, and general science. As a substitute teacher, I have taught kindergarten through 12th grade advanced placement physics and also AP statistics. As a sub I have tagged with kids through all those grade levels and have never been in a classroom in any capacity where I didn't also learn something new and significant. Whenever I visit Vermont Academy, I always attend a few classes. I was even a chemistry lab partner on one bright Saturday mourning at V.A.. I could gleefully repeat 6th through 12th grades all over again and learn a great deal. I would not care to repeat college, however! Too much work for the gain that I would reap. Actually, I find graduate courses to be a huge drag; I prefer self study, reading, and writing now. I have a lot of this latter type of academic and research work that I want to do in life, and I am running out of time.

PRO SE LAWYER

In court, I am also not traditional. Traditional is to get in trouble like O.J., Monica, John Dean, or Martha Stewart and end up with attorney's fees in the millions. I do it all myself for free and get the same results, basically, as a team of expensive attorneys would get for me. You don't have to win each individual case in order to win the war. Some cases are for softening up the opposition so that you CAN win the war. I always win the wars. I have great flexibility in court that counters the prejudice afforded by judges to licensed attorneys. I audited ONE law course in college, and I go up and win big in the important cases against the best attorneys in the country. You have to be right, and you have to understand the United States Constitution. As with debating in college, you also need to go to the library and get good "evidence" as we called it. I was First Negative in college debating and pretty good at it. My debating partner, Second Negative (Sheila O'Malley), would then come in and clean up the mess, so to speak.

I really love "legal" movies such as "A few Good Men," "Rainmaker," the one with Paul Newman, "The Jury," I think it was called, and half a dozen others. The basics are all the same in these films with the same theme of good versus evil. I view Baxter vs. the FCC as good versus evil. Most people view this the same way with the extremely interesting twist of which one is good and which one is evil?

I became a pro se lawyer on the advice of my own attorney and out of necessity. At some early point in the Baxter Electronics litigation I hired an attorney, Clinton Townsend, and paid him a $300 up front retainer. It was my idea, and he was happy to get it. $300 was a good hunk of change back then. He said to me "This is a nice way to start!" When that $300 retainer quickly ran out he taught me how to file my own legal pleadings and briefs. Most importantly, I learned, from a licensed attorney, that I could, indeed, represent myself in all courts, which I have done, myself, ever since, all the way to the United States Supreme Court. I have often wondered what Maine judges would do to poor old Mr. Townsend if they ever found out what a monster he had thus created!

A lot of my court appearances and pleadings were just "warm up" exercises for the present challenges, here in 2005.

It all really started, however, with my cheap skate brother in law George Sternad. I was driving to Maine from Scarsdale, New York the Summer after my Freshman year at Northwestern's Technological Institute. I was in the passing lane on a two lane highway. There was a state truck in the same passing lane spraying crab grass and going 4 miles per hour. The sky was bright ahead of the truck, and I was, perhaps, day dreaming a bit. I realized the truck was almost stopped, and I slammed on the brakes, making 100 feet of skid marks. The brakes in my 1959 American Rambler faded 20 feet before the truck, and I hit it hard. I pushed the steering wheel into the metal dashboard and thus made two dents. My head slammed down on my arm, and I opened a huge gash over my eye. I was not knocked out, just bleeding a lot. I went out to "supervise" the measuring of the skid marks. Look at a current photo of me, and you can see that one eye is a bit screwed up, so to speak. It also loosened a few screws in my head, I gather, as well! I went to the hospital for a day and for observations. Actually the "Candy Stripes" seemed the most interested in observing me, it seemed! I hitched a ride back to Scarsdale. I stood in the middle of an entry ramp and literally stopped a car. The guy said "Are you legitimate?" My messed up eye got me a ride to within a block of my house on 31 Claremont Road.

My mother was putting my sister's kids to bed that evening and said "We are so happy that Uncle Glenny is home safe, aren't we? Pookie then said "Yes, but we are not happy about his eye!" I found another car and headed back to Maine. I was wearing sunglasses to hide my new "shiner." I fired up the big boat (1956 Century) to motor up to see my best friends Nancy and Paul Corman. It was getting dark, and I forgot to take the sunglasses off. I nearly ran over Lee and Marge

Frank, fishing in my travel lane up the lake. Marge let out a blood curdling shriek, and I turned away just in time. Forever after that, the Franks considered me to be totally insane! Many hams would agree!

Back to the car accident:

I was charged by the Connecticut State Police with reckless driving, a serious offence. I wanted to get an attorney, but my cheap brother in law (our estate was still in probate) advised that I didn't need a lawyer. "OK," I thought, "No big deal."

I appeared in court weeks later. The state attorney talked to me and tried to give me a line of crap as I remember it. Then some stooge sitting next to me tried to convince me that I should plead "Nolo Contendere," as he put it, abbreviated "Nolle," as he put it. "Let the court decide," as he put it. "BS," I thought. I guess the hell! I would have pleaded guilty!!

At trial the state attorney put the state truck driver on the stand and asked him the usual BS questions about the last time they checked the lights on the truck, etc. I cross examined the driver. "How fast was your truck moving?" "Four miles per hour," he replied. "What were you doing," I asked? "Spraying crab grass," he replied. "Did you put out any special lights and warning flags behind the truck AFTER the accident," I queried. "Oh yes," he replied, "We put out lights and flags for over 1,000 feet." "Why did you put out those lights and flags," I went on. "Well, to prevent another accident," he replied. I knew that I had him, the lawyer "killer instinct" coming out in me for the first time in a court of law, and I had begun this quite serious trial by "winging it" with no pre plan whatsoever. "Tell me this," I continued, "what is the difference between your four miles per hour and my 60 miles per hour compared with your zero miles per hour and other cars going 60 miles per hour that prompted you to put out all those warning lights and flags?" He sat there in baffled silence. You could hear a pin drop in the court room. I repeated the question again and again. Baffled silence. The judge finally rescued the truck driver and answered "The difference is four miles per hour. My
ruling is that Defendant Glenn Baxter is not guilty of the charge of reckless driving." "BAM" went his gavel. I talked with the judge afterwards and asked him that even though I won, would I be better off if I had never been charged in the first place? I had to press him hard for an answer. He finally said "Yes, certainly."

He was right. I later got a letter from the state truck driver's attorney saying that his client was suffering "neck pains." I was now back at Northwestern. I wrote back telling him to "stuff it," since my insurance company and theirs was the same company. I never heard from them again!

BAXTER ELECTRONICS

Then my company, Baxter Electronics (Inc.) went into receivership in 1970. Kenton Quint (owner of the Somerset Telephone Company and Chairman of the Baxter Electronics Board of Directors) had invested $60,000 in BE and, as he put it, didn't want to share a loss with Baxter Electronics. He simply wanted to take 100% control through court "muscle." He and his attorney, Frank Southard, a judge at age 25, had enormous influence. My corporate attorney, Bob Williamson, suddenly developed "laryngitis" and let me hang out to dry. I hired Bob Marden. Marden's dad, a Maine Supreme Court judge, was to be our judge. We got another judge, Judge Reed. I felt Marden was selling me out, so I fired him, post haste. He then sent me a bill for $150. His brother, Don Marden, now a Superior Court judge, sued me, personally, for the $150 dollars, and I argued that they should join the other unsecured Baxter Electronics creditors since they were all so eager to put my company in receivership and discontinue all manufacturing and marketing operations.

To side track a bit:

District Judge Pooler ruled against me in this $150 lawsuit. I appealed to Superior Court. While on appeal, I was dragged back into District Court for an asset disclosure hearing. My car was at VW for servicing, and I had a copy of "War and Peace" with me, just in case, if you get my drift. I get one phone call, right? "Hello VW? I won't be in today to pick up my car!"

I was sworn in, and the opposing attorney, Billy Dubord, (we grew up on the lake together) asked me if I was married. I pulled out a 3 X 5 card and read: "I refuse to testify on the grounds that to do so might deny my rights as guaranteed by the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States."

Judge Pooler came up out of his chair! I will never forget it. "All right Mr. Baxter, do you want to go to jail?" The Sheriff was in the courtroom drooling at me. I read from the card again and again. The judge asked if I understood the consequences of my actions. I said that I did understand the Constitution of the United States. He finally gave up and called a recess. He went to his office to read up on law, I guess.

He came back out in civilian clothing to talk to me. We chatted at length. He finally said "Mr. Baxter, I don't know what you are, but whatever it is, it STINKS. You should have been a lawyer!"

Then Donald Marden (now a Superior Court Judge) wrote the court and tried to get them to issue an execution. I turned him in to the bar association, a joke then as the Board of Overseers of the Bar is now. The case died in appeal, and the $150 was never paid, I gather. Marden then dropped out of his bid for District Attorney, a newly created $25,000 per year paid position, "for personal reasons." Joan Sandy, an old friend of mine from my teens, got that job instead.

Back to the original train of thought:

We had several hearings, and Judge Reed signed a Southard drafted ORDER of receivership. I called the judge, pissed as hell. He said he had signed the order to "pin this thing down," as he put it. The local town drunk (according to my Secretary, Maryse Price) had been appointed as the Receiver. I protested to Judge Reed. He then appointed Maine Supreme Court Chief Justice Williamson as the Receiver. Judge Williamson was the father of my original attorney, Bob Williamson, the one who dropped out because of "laryngitis." Bob really dropped out because this was going to be a "dirty deal," with Frank Southard in the mix. Bob Williamson had dated my oldest sister Betty when he was a counselor at Camp Winona, and she was a counselor at the sister Camp Wyanogonic, both in Denmark, Maine.

Anyway, Chief Justice Williamson came to see me in my radio studio building and sat in my big blue easy chair. What a sight! When he found out that Baxter Electronics had no money, he resigned. He had visions, he said, of writing a Baxter Electronics check, an "adjustment" as he worded it, and getting Kenton Quint off my back. Judge Reed (an icon on the Maine bench) then appointed Bob Viles, who was looking for employment for his son. More hearings, of course.

Let's backtrack to the first court appearance before Judge Reed. There was a trial going on. I walked into the courtroom. Then Quint's Attorney, Frank Southard, walked into the courtroom. Judge Reed spotted Southard and stopped the trial on a dime. He asked Southard if HE wanted to adjourn to chambers. Southard, clearly embarrassed, said "No, I think there will be evidence introduced." How do you spell C L O U T?

I went to SCORE to get a volunteer advisor. He told me a lot. One thing he talked about was criticizing those in authority. He said to do it like this:

"With all due respect to your high rank Admiral, your ass is blowing in the wind."

One time in a hearing in chambers with Judge Reed, the judge said: "Mr. Baxter, now you are criticizing the way I do business, and I don't like that!" I said: "Well, your honor, I certainly don't mean to criticize the way you do business, but....." and then I went up one side of him and down the other, all over again. After the hearing the Bailiff mentioned to me "Jeese, we could you shouting at the judge all the way out in the courtroom!" He was totally mortified.

Another time a court bailiff tried to kick me out of the law library at Kennebec County Courthouse in Augusta, Maine. Excuse me? He had no success.

Finally, we ran through Judge Reed, so to speak, and Supreme Court Judge Webber took over the case. Several more hearings and finally Supreme Court Judge Delahanty took over the case as the last judge in the matter.

I remember a hearing in chambers with Judge Delahanty. At one point I reached to pull out my brand new HP 35 engineering calculator (I would later use it in Chicago to pass my Professional Engineering exams) which was in a leather belt holder kept closed with Velcro. Everybody in the room bolted; they thought it was a tape recorder! I find that all Maine judges are terrified whenever I pull out a tape recorder, which I often do. They always threaten to take it from me, and I always shut it off. In a deposition, however, my trusty tape recorder rolls!

I argued that now court controlled and therefore "shut down" Baxter Electronics had abandoned the "E-DAC" trade mark that and that I could "grab it" if wanted to. The judge asked if I knew how long it took to legally abandon a trade mark. "Yes, I replied, two years."

Remember Ipana tooth paste and Bucky Beaver? "Brusha, brusha, brusha, new Ipana toothpaste, brusha, brusha, brusha, it's better for your teeeeeeeeth?" Their TV and radio ads costs millions, and then they folded. Some outfit then stuffed tubes with generic toothpaste, called it Ipana, and made a bundle.

I took the same position when I grabbed the Collins trade mark. You can actually see in QST where Rockwell airbrushed the Collins "meatball" logo off the front of S-line gear. So, for years, I owned the abandoned Collins trade mark. Rockwell bitterly complained to me long after I was through with it, and I said, "Look, let's just both move on to other things." I never heard from them again after that. They could have bought a lot of influence in court, I suspect. If I had had any further use for the Collins trade mark, I would have duked it out with Rockwell.

Also in that chambers hearing before Delahanty, Judge Reed kept sticking his head in the door and inviting us all to come down to the court house Christmas party. I gathered he was just curious about the Baxter Electronics case, long ago taken away from him. His portrait and (now Judge) Donald Marden's hang in honor in the small courtroom in the Kennebec County courthouse in Augusta, Maine. You spell C L O U T this way: C L O U T!

Anyway, with Baxter Electronics tied up in Receivership and Baxter Associates, Inc. (which owned all the office equipment), now controlled by my Baxter Associates, Inc. stock holdings (I paid myself my salary in Baxter Associates, Inc. stock), I then "fired up" as G.A. Baxter Electronics and continued the E-DAC business for years as usual. There is more than one way to skin a cat! Delahanty finally dissolved the old Baxter Electronics, and Quint bought all BE assets for the tidy sum of $2,000. He later tried to sell me the warehouse full of parts, but, "Sorry, pal, I'm not interested!" Anybody need a few million 1N4002 diodes?

Now I have a major case pending before, guess who?, Supreme Court Judge Delahanty's son, a Superior Court Judge. Judge Donald Marden has recused himself from all my cases because I sued him for altering

a sworn deposition, an alleged criminal act of perjury, of course. After considerable litigation and depositions, we both settled out of court. I let the guy off the hook. His attorney, Jerry Fournier, mentioned to me during the settlement discussions that my lawsuit against Don Marden was really draining his firm, Marden, Dubord, and Chandler. It was also wearing me down! Don Marden is now a Superior Court Judge, and a very good one, from what I hear.

At one deposition, Don Marden brought in a cold can of Tab for me, with a plastic cup over the top, during the break. I will never forget that moment. My mortal enemy serving me a soda! I thought to myself, "This is a classy guy!"

One time senior Judge Delahanty wanted to meet me under the steps of the Augusta Civic Center "to talk." I called Supreme Court Judge Webber at home to discuss this. He told me I didn't have to go and that Delananty couldn't hold me in contempt. I didn't go, and guess what? The toll call I made to Judge Webber did not appear on my telephone bill. I can't prove in court that I ever talked to Judge Webber that night, now can I?. I remember the call well. His wife answered the phone, and I asked to speak with "Judge Webber." She seemed tickled at the respectful way I had referred to him, I thought to myself at the time. Wives are funny that way, aren't they?

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

By comparison, dealing with punks like FCC attorney Riley Hollingsworth seems like child's play, doesn't it? Riley writes his silly letters, etc., but there are no court appearances where he could be confronted in public, and there are rules of procedure, rules of evidence, etc. Hollingsworth makes up his own rules and is quite effective with intimidating 99.9999% of the general ham community. This is not my idea of an America where there is liberty and justice for all. What irritates me is that I am paying Hollingsworth's salary! It is, however, the American way, in total, corruption, politics, and all.

Let me end this essay with the wisdom of wood carver David Holmes. He carved the State of Maine logo that graces the Maine legislature Senate chamber. I was complaining to him about the FCC and why can't they make their calls with the same honesty and integrity as an umpire during the World Series? He said "Glenn, that is politics, this is baseball." We were watching the World Series at the time. I repeated my plea, time after time. He repeated his response, time after time, "Glenn, that is politics, this is baseball." "Oh, I get it. The FCC is politics, THIS is baseball." I am pretty darn smart, wouldn't you say?

* We really don't know very much about Brian Crow, K3VR. Drop his name or his alleged company (Stockbridge Consulting - no letterhead) into in a search engine, and nothing comes up. Brian (or who we know as Brian) says he was with NSA, and they create fictitious people all

the time. Identity theft is also quite common these days. Perhaps he is in the witness protection program or his name was taken off of a tombstone and he is not Brian Crow at all. Would the REAL Brian Crow, K3VR, please stand up? Has anyone ever seen Brian personally? Has anyone ever snapped a picture of Brian? Has he ever attended a hamfest? Does he have a phone number? K3VR@hotmail.com is not exactly traceable, now is it? Has anybody stopped at his FCC listed address to see what is there? He dropped his own web site years ago (it showed more than a passing interest in little boys), and now he creates many free anonymous pages, all about K1MAN. He seems to create dozens of anonymous E-Mail addresses as well and sends me stuff all the time (I assume it is Brian).

He E-Mails me several times daily from K3VR@hotmail.com and then turns me in as SPAM when I reply! What's with that?

Drop K3VR in a search engine and all that comes up is K1MAN stuff!

On the air, Brian appears to lack the ability to copy CW although listed in the FCC data base as an Extra. NSA types would have no problem getting a ham license by fraud. Brian appears to know little or nothing about electronice or amateur radio theory. On QRZ he has a photo of a big Eimac tube, yet he has bever built an amplifier. What's that all about?

He creates phony "experts" like "Dr. Hasenfus" (an attorney who also does not come up when dropped into a search engine) when a real expert would do just as well, and he even authored a printed nasty letter about K1MAN to the editor in local Maine newspapers by pretending to be from Manchester, Maine. He has filed complaints about K1MAN to the Maine and Illinois professional engineering boards, the Maine Attorney General, Maine State Senators and Representatives, the FCC, Vermont Academy, the SEC, the FTC, etc., etc. ad infinitum. He has a copy of my University of Rhode Island engineering school transcript. How did he come by that?

He sometimes writes with third grade grammar and yet claims to have a Master's in Education from the University of Massachusetts. He is K1MAN's number one detractor, and I am flattered by all the attention. The FBI says that such extensive activity is usually paid for by some organization with lots of money and an axe to grind. Who would pay Brian to stalk K1MAN? ARRL? The FCC? The CIA? NSA? The telecommunications industry wanting to grab amateur radio? Walter Cronkite? Who? Perhaps the FBI is wrong. Perhaps Brian Crow, K3VR, is just a super sick "wannabe" with a legitimate Master's of Education degree from the University of Massachusetts who obtained his ham ticket by fraud (quite easy really). I forgive you Brian. 73 and GL de K1MAN.

## 1923. Re: A new day is on the horizon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 22:25:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132439102.498176.156120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124488

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 19 Nov 2005 07:07

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>> >>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
>> >>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
>> >>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
>
>> >> I have "another thing" for you, Brian: "Jim, Dave and Mike" are no more
>> >> responsible for posts other than their own, than you are responsible for
>> >> Mark's, Len Anderson's or Roger Wiseman's.
>> >
>> > Never said that you were responsible.
>
>> You pointed to us as having had some obligation to pull Steve back from
>> some brink you've manufactured.
>
>I'm not in manufacturing.

Davie thinks he is Shop Foreman and all work for him...keep
those morse machines working in the factory!

>> >> I hope that clears things up for you.
>
>> > Hope that clears things up for you.
>
>> No, it didn't do that at all. If we aren't responsible for Steve's
>> actions (making them what *you* think they should be), why would we have
>> pulled him back?
>
>I'm not responsible for other people's kids, but I donate to Toys for
>Tots.
>
>I'm not responsible for the neighbors dog biting another neighbor's
>kid, but I pulled the dog off.
>
>Do you see how it works? Not my responsibility, but it was the right
>thing to do.

Brian, the only thing that matters to Davie is that "the right
thing to do" is what Davie says it is.

>> > But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
>> > the way he does and saying nothing.
>
>> It's hard to say something in a forum where all we have is the written
>> word.
>
>Even harder when the written word is encoded in dits and dahs, but many
>here want it >>>MANDATED<<<.

Davie took and passed the 20 WPM code test, therefore all others
have to do the same thing in order to be his "equal." He
condescends to allow "lesser" beings (who passed 5 or 13 WPM
tests) to coexist in his universe but has utter contempt for any
who have not.

Davie likes his exclusivity of status-rank-title since it makes
him "superior" to others. Therefore he demands that code
testing MUST be retained in federal regulations for the licensing
of amateur radio hobbyists. That is very important to his IMAGE.

>> Have you written anything to bring your friend Mark Morgan back
>> to reality?
>
>Reality? How am I supposed to do that. I'm not a medical
>professional. ;^)

Neither is Davie. But, I think he plays one on TV. :-)

>Perhaps the most effective course of action would be for Steve to get
>off Mark's ass and quit calling around Mark's county.

Too easy. Congenital bullies LIKE what they do to others.

>> What have you written to Len toward straightening him out?
>
>I've said he's too hard on you guys.

"Straightening me out?" :-)

I'm a congenital heterosexual, freely admitting that. :-)

Davie sounds like he is a raving ultra-conservative
morseodist with all his proselyte activity "batter-ying"
everyone to keep the code test forever and ever.

Must be electro-chemistry at work. His liquid proselyte
tries to cause a reaction. Alas, none. His "cold fusion"
sputters its fuse and it goes out...

>> All I've noted here is you egging him on. Some friend *you* are.
>
>Aw, geez. Some of the greatest fun I've had on here was the Hop
>Sing-speak; english as a first >and< second language. It was only
>funny because Steve got so upset over it.
>

>Gonna call my wife, gotta show my kids! There's a guy that takes
>himself much too seriously.

Woe be unto the sanctimonious self-righteous cultists, for
they have not developed any sense of humor. Their "humor"
consists solely of enjoyment of humiliating others.

>> Is that the double standard you're always going on about?
>
>OK, Dave, I get your point.
>
>Notice to Len: Start playing nice with these guys when they start
>playing nice with you.

I'm waiting patiently for that to happen...hasn't yet.

>My duty as a friend to Len and good citizen of RRAP is now satisfied.

Roger that, and thank you Brian...

>Your turn, Dave.

Fork Davie...I think he's done...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1924. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:09:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132441755.626275.211430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

>Not only does ARRL advertise on their website so does the Newsline ham
>radio show. And they also do it on the air as well. Listen to there
>begging for money this week on their radio show.

So true, I downloaded a copy of The ARRL Bulltin and what do you know
"visit our website at www.arrl.org.." and the ARRL IS avertising on
their website, they ARE making money. So the rule should apply to them
as well, but I've not once seen them get a NAL.

# 1925. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:11:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132441898.095406.89340@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124490

KØHB wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
>
> If Bill Cross really meant it when he said ''Detailed regulation of the nitty
> gritty of communication services, including the Amateur Service, is not in the
> picture, rather the FCC is shifting to strong and effective enforcement of truly
> necessary regulations.'', then this petition should receive favorable
> consideration by the Commission.
>
> Personally, I think ARRL's petition is waaaaaaaaaaay too conservative. Let's
> all propose the following re-write of Part 97.
>
> ----------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> 97.1 You are required to pass a technical test to show that you
> understand how to build simple equipment which meets spectral
> purity specifications of .....blah, blah, blah. You will be
> issued a callsign when you pass the test. Transmit your call
> sign once every 10 minutes when on the air.
>
> 97.2 Your power limit is 1.5KW to the antenna.
>
> 97.3 Here are your bands. Stay inside of them.
>
> 97.4 Your are encouraged to tinker and experiment and communicate
> and do public service and talk to strangers in far away lands and
> launch communications satelites into space and other technical radio
> stuff you may think up. The government doesn't care what mode
> you use for any of this.
>
> 97.5 Play nice. We'll try to keep the CBers out of your hair. Any
> deliberate interference or other assinine behaviour on your part will
> cause Riley to come and kick your ass off the playground.
> 97.6 Have fun.
>
> Love always,
> /signed/ FCC
>
> ----------------------------------------------------------------------
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> --

> Support the National Endowment for Creative Misology.

What happened to "97.01 You are required to know Morse Code"?

# 1926. Re: another Stevie lie ho hum is that lie number 10191 or 10197 i have lost count...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:19:52 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132442392.160627.14280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124491

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> Nothing interesting.
more lies

you were intereste dough to change the title to "another Stevie lie ho
hum is that lie number 10191 or 10197 i have lost count...But Did You
Expect Different? I Am Too Stupid To Use English Correctly, So What
Makes You Think I Can Keep Count? "

you lie all the time stevie

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1927. Re: stevie continues to lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:23:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132442595.596714.75300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124492

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > litoi and eveyone,
> >
> > the problem is your mixing morality and legality, and while it might be
> > "inmoral" to some people morality is NOT the law, if it was this
> > wouldn't be a democracy but a theocracy.

one what has this to do with radio
>
> Not necessarilly. One can be "moral" without having to have a law
> to make them that way, religious OR sectarian.

but one is not required to be moral, nor is there any impliued
requirement to moral according to some elses code

>
> You're obviously not one of them.

nope you are one of those folks trying to turn the country into a jail
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1928. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:24:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132442669.966188.315480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124493

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > litoi and eveyone,
> >
> > the problem is your mixing morality and legality, and while it might be
> > "inmoral" to some people morality is NOT the law, if it was this
> > wouldn't be a democracy but a theocracy.
> >
> > TODD N9OGL
> >
>
> So if it wasn't against the law to rape 12 year old girls, then you
> would rape 12 year old girls I suppose.

straw men and bull shit is all you seem to spout

?

# 1929. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:27:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132442844.359168.106300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124494

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >
> > > > Meanwhile, Dudly is busy, busy kissing Hans' ass for that
> > > > navel regulation of 2005. Dudly doesn't realize that the
> > > > effective-date regulation is only for NOW, not 13 to 31
> > > > years past.
> > >
> > > It doesn't matter when the CURRENT regulation was updated.
> > >
> > > A quick review of the order suffix indicates it's a much-ammended
> > > order.
> > >
> > > A five minute phone call could verify my original statements.
> > >
> > > Unfortunately you are incapable of that simple task.
> > >
> > > Or unwilling...In short, a COWARD.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve would rather have a tantrum that dig out his own 1974 copy of the
> > regulation.
>
> WHAT "1974" copy of ANY regulation?
>
> > Yet Hans, long retired, has a >current< copy of the uniform reg???
>
> I am suuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuure he had it "right there",
> Brain...
>
> > Sumptin wrong there.
>
> Why?
>
> The purpose of the whole drill was to get you to find out from
> uncontestable sources that my information was accurate.

No need. Given your propensity for lying, it's a safe bet that you
were wrong again.

Hans'
> presenting of the order, howevr well intentioned, harpooned that.

>
> None-the-less, Frankie's rant was shot all to be-jeebers.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Only Hans was suckered into playing your "drill," harpoon and all.
Reminds me of the GNR episode in "The Dead Pool."

# 1930. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:28:58 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <_yOff.3159$lg.2901@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 124495

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
>
> Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Hello, Jim

Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier so I
can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
Audiooooooo ... ;)

Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with double
sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for interesting
effects too ...

Where's my sideswiper?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
ps - doesn't 10 meters run from 25 MHz to 32 MHz? Or something like that?

## 1931. Re:stevie continues his raving

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:33:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132443198.218023.102790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124496

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
> > > >>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
> > > >>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
> > > >> I have "another thing" for you, Brian: "Jim, Dave and Mike" are no more
> > > >> responsible for posts other than their own, than you are responsible for
> > > >> Mark's, Len Anderson's or Roger Wiseman's.
> > > >>
> > > > Never said that you were responsible.
> > >
> > > You pointed to us as having had some obligation to pull Steve back from
> > > some brink you've manufactured.
> >
> > I'm not in manufacturing.
>
> Sure you are.
>
> You manufactured a tale about operating HF legally from Somalia.

at least as legal as Dave working out of bander
cuting more frothing at the mouth
!
>
> > Do you see how it works? Not my responsibility, but it was the right
> > thing to do.
>
> But SO FAR, you've done NOTHING constructive!

more than yourself at leats but that that is not hard. My cat does
morecontructive things in the ARS than you
cuting yet more raving

> > > Have you written anything to bring your friend Mark Morgan back
> > > to reality?
> >
> > Reality? How am I supposed to do that. I'm not a medical
> > professional. ;^)
>
> Markie's problems are psychological, not medical.

my biggest problem is you Stevie boy and the possibilitiy that some one
may take your insanity seriously
>
> > Perhaps the most effective course of action would be for Steve to get
> > off Mark's ass and quit calling around Mark's county.
>
> I've not called a single person anywhere about Mark, Brian...

then you have lied about doing so
cuting more raving

> > My duty as a friend to Len and good citizen of RRAP is now satisfied.
>
> No...Your duty as a "good citizen" will be done when you
> publically state, and then STICK TO IT, your intent to not initiate or
> perpetuate the use of diminutives towards people who don't use them on
> you.

why should our side be nice with you screaming pedophile making false
claims of mental illness
cuting more raving

# 1932. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:41:08 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132443668.682452.186020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: K4YZ on Nov 19, 2:13 am

>K0HB wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote

>> > The KY-28, used on the OV-10A and D, AH1G and J, the UH-1N, the
>> > CH53 A & D were refered to as "JULIETT'.
>
>> > Steve, K4YZ
>
>> Your memory on this issue is not accurate.
>
>> Regardless of platform, user agency, or military department, crypto systems are
>> named by NSA (not by the Navy, USMC, or whoever). The KY-8/KY-28/KY-38 family
>> was named "NESTOR".
>
> It could have been named "Rice Krispies", Hans, but in USMC
>aircraft it was refered to as "JULIETT"

There we have it, direct from CNO Romeo at breakfast.

>> I am well familiar with the crypto suite installed in those airframes.
>
> Me too....I spent enough time having to re-key them on a daily
>basis.

Using morse code?

No wonder they wouldn't work!

BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!

Ahem...the KIR and the KIT were used with transponders...

>> When
>> assigned as a member of the communications staff at CINCLANT, I could have told
>> you the NSA serial number of every KY-28 and what tail number carried it in
>> every US/NATO aircraft in the Atlantic theatre.
>
> I am sure you could.
>
> And in USMC Aviation, the crypto gear was refered to as "JULIETT".

Go for it, Dudly, keep up the AMATEUR RADIO talk!

We'll all remember you "were homeland security before there was homeland security!"

Corner promo: Ed Reimers cupping his hands saying confidently "You're in good hands with Dudfleet."

BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!

"Mode four! Mode four! Weapons free!"

BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1933. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:41:11 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132443671.604138.151880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124498

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 19 Nov 2005 07:07
>
>
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
> >> >>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
> >> >>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
> >>
> >> >> I have "another thing" for you, Brian: "Jim, Dave and Mike" are no more
> >> >> responsible for posts other than their own, than you are responsible for
> >> >> Mark's, Len Anderson's or Roger Wiseman's.
> >> >
> >> > Never said that you were responsible.
> >>
> >> You pointed to us as having had some obligation to pull Steve back from
> >> some brink you've manufactured.
> >>
> >I'm not in manufacturing.
>
> Davie thinks he is Shop Foreman and all work for him...keep
> those morse machines working in the factory!
>
>
> >> >> I hope that clears things up for you.
> >>
> >> > Hope that clears things up for you.
> >>
> >> No, it didn't do that at all. If we aren't responsible for Steve's
> >> actions (making them what *you* think they should be), why would we have
> >> pulled him back?
> >>
> >I'm not responsible for other people's kids, but I donate to Toys for
> >Tots.
> >
> >I'm not responsible for the neighbors dog biting another neighbor's
> >kid, but I pulled the dog off.
> >
> >Do you see how it works? Not my responsibility, but it was the right
> >thing to do.

>
> Brian, the only thing that matters to Davie is that "the right
> thing to do" is what Davie says it is.
>
>
> >> > But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
> >> > the way he does and saying nothing.
> >
> >> It's hard to say something in a forum where all we have is the written
> >> word.
> >
> >Even harder when the written word is encoded in dits and dahs, but many
> >here want it >>>MANDATED<<<.
>
> Davie took and passed the 20 WPM code test, therefore all others
> have to do the same thing in order to be his "equal." He
> condescends to allow "lesser" beings (who passed 5 or 13 WPM
> tests) to coexist in his universe but has utter contempt for any
> who have not.
>
> Davie likes his exclusivity of status-rank-title since it makes
> him "superior" to others. Therefore he demands that code
> testing MUST be retained in federal regulations for the licensing
> of amateur radio hobbyists. That is very important to his IMAGE.
>
> >> Have you written anything to bring your friend Mark Morgan back
> >> to reality?
> >
> >Reality? How am I supposed to do that. I'm not a medical
> >professional. ;^)
>
> Neither is Davie. But, I think he plays one on TV. :-)
>
> >Perhaps the most effective course of action would be for Steve to get
> >off Mark's ass and quit calling around Mark's county.
>
> Too easy. Congenital bullies LIKE what they do to others.
>
> >> What have you written to Len toward straightening him out?
> >
> >I've said he's too hard on you guys.
>
> "Straightening me out?" :-)
>
> I'm a congenital heterosexual, freely admitting that. :-)
>
> Davie sounds like he is a raving ultra-conservative
> morseodist with all his proselyte activity "batter-ying"
> everyone to keep the code test forever and ever.
>

> Must be electro-chemistry at work. His liquid proselyte
> tries to cause a reaction. Alas, none. His "cold fusion"
> sputters its fuse and it goes out...
>
> >> All I've noted here is you egging him on. Some friend *you* are.
> >
> >Aw, geez. Some of the greatest fun I've had on here was the Hop
> >Sing-speak; english as a first >and< second language. It was only
> >funny because Steve got so upset over it.
> >
> >Gonna call my wife, gotta show my kids! There's a guy that takes
> >himself much too seriously.
>
> Woe be unto the sanctimonious self-righteous cultists, for
> they have not developed any sense of humor. Their "humor"
> consists solely of enjoyment of humiliating others.
>
> >> Is that the double standard you're always going on about?
> >
> >OK, Dave, I get your point.
> >
> >Notice to Len: Start playing nice with these guys when they start
> >playing nice with you.
>
> I'm waiting patiently for that to happen...hasn't yet.
>
> >My duty as a friend to Len and good citizen of RRAP is now satisfied.
>
> Roger that, and thank you Brian...
>
> >Your turn, Dave.
>
> Fork Davie...I think he's done...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Dave just called me names again in his response above. And I thought
he was ready to turn over a forest of new leaves. bb

# 1934. Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:41:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132443700.330157.46480@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124499

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > No...Your duty as a "good citizen" will be done when you
> > publically state, and then STICK TO IT, your intent to not initiate or
> > perpetuate the use of diminutives towards people who don't use them on
> > you.
>
> why should our side be nice with you screaming pedophile making false
> claims of mental illness

I seriously doubt there's an "our side" for Brian that includes
you, Markie.

And Markie...The comments were aimed squarely at Brian...Not you.
At least if Brian deceides to ignore what I said, I know he thought it
over.

You're incapable of rational thought. For example, you seem to
think your name is "Brian".

Steve, K4YZ

# 1935. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:48:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132444132.426866.211400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124550

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 19 Nov 2005 06:22

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> > Hello? Can we say Davie is also pompous and arrogant?
>
>> You just did, Len.
>
>I third that motion.

Motion carried!

>> > Nope. Extra Morsemen are allowed any kind of personal insult
>> > against others while all NCTA have to be "kind," "gracious,"
>> > and "civil" to them. :-)
>
>> Actually, it's the other way around.
>
>I see it differently.

Careful, Brian, Sister Nun of the Above is ready to spank
your knuckles with her M-1 Ruler! She's locking and loading!

>> > Using my RIGHTS as a citizen.
>
>> As are all of us who filed comments.
>
>So what's your problem?

Nobody adores the Greatness that is Jimmie?

>> > Tsk, tsk, Extra Morsemen want
>> > NCTAs to abrogate their citizenship rights. Not good.
>
>> Also not true.
>
>So what's your problem?

Nobody obeys his Greatness...

>> > >> Yep, as Hans Brakob has pointed out on a number of occasions, Len is the
>> > >> organ grinder; you are the red-hatted monkey.
>> > >
>> > >Hans the Dump Huck caller?
>> >
>> > Once more, Extra Morsemen think they have civility immunity.

>>
>> I guess phrases like "shut the hell up, you little USMC feldwebel" are
>> Len's idea of civility.
>
>I guess calling people the phonetic equivalent of "Dumb Fuck" meets
>with your aproval?

It does, also you being called a "red-hatted monkey" and the
Imposter "signing off" with "Putz."

It's a one-sided thing with the big brothers of hammurika. Learn
code or die!

>> > I'm only retired from REGULAR HOURS. :-)
>
>> So you're irregular, huh?
>
>Jim making an insult?

Jimmie be trying out as stand-up comic. Ha. Ha. Ha.

Mitzi Shore won't be selling tickets for his performance at
the Comedy Store.

>> > Just finished a contract
>> > job trying to find a better way to protect against tin dendrites
>> > in consumer-grade PCBs made with lead-free solder. We found a
>> > slightly-better way that had already been done, but no cheap way,
>> > sad to say.
>
>> In other words, you FAILED, Len....
>
>RoHS failed humanity.

Tsk, Jimmie not know that aerospace and medical electronics
are exempt from RoHS insofar as lead-free soldering is
concerned.

Jimmie used lead-bearing solder in his "famous" Southgate
Type 7 built in 1990s. It was state-of-the-art using tubes.
No tin whiskers in HIS kludge, nossir! [has Jimmie started
shaving yet? he'd better get the lead out...]

>> > In case anyone has been on the Outer Planets in the past decade,
>> > the Reduction of Hazardous Substances (RoHS) is THE thing in
>> > electronics production now.
>
>> We know, Len.
>
>Multiple personality disorder "we," or papal "we," or royal "we?"

Prolly his we-we. He and Davie like to talk about johnsons.

>> Rather than trying to recycle and reuse, the regulators are trying to
>> stop the problem at the source. A bad solution, but you'd think the
>> PROFESSIONALS would have seen that one coming....
>
>Regulators are not "PROFESSIONALS." They know nothing of the
>environment nor electronics. And I've never seen Jim testifying on
>CSPAN. Serves in other ways.

I think Jimmie actually works as a newsgroup correspondent,
paid for by a secret organization trying to make all of ham
radio into some Shaker cult frozen in the past. Puritans who
gave up sex and money and anything that was invented in the
past century. Sort of Amish on steroids.

Jimmie decries the very "professionals" that he claims to
belong to! To bad those "professionals" didn't see Jimmie
coming! [maybe they did...anyone check to see if his bath-
room door was open?]

I'm so glad to hear Jimmie is "serving." What's on the menu?

Does his ham taste like chicken?

As the paleontologist said, "Bone apetit!"

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 1936. Re: stevie continues to lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:49:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8SOff.1410$rM2.218@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124551

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>> N9OGL wrote:
>>> litoi and eveyone,
>>>
>>> the problem is your mixing morality and legality, and while it might be
>>> "inmoral" to some people morality is NOT the law, if it was this
>>> wouldn't be a democracy but a theocracy.
>
> one what has this to do with radio

...about as much as when *you* last commented on this particular series
of exchanges.

Dave K8MN

# 1937. Re: ALL HAM AND CB MUST READ NOW: THE THOUGHTS OF K1MAN ON EDUCATION, LAW, POLITICS, AND BASEBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:51:55 UTC
From: Greg <gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BFA5264F.4D60E%gfight@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 124552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
> Organization: http://groups.google.com
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio
> .cb,rec.radio.shortwave
> Date: 19 Nov 2005 14:14:41 -0800
> Subject: ALL HAM AND CB MUST READ NOW: THE THOUGHTS OF K1MAN ON EDUCATION,
> LAW, POLITICS, AND BASEBALL
>
> AMERICAN AMATEUR RADIO DIGEST - UPDATE - 18 NOVEMBER 2005
>
> Comments? E-mail to: K1MAN14275@aol.com www.K1MAN.com
>
> www.K1MAN.com INSIDER MONTHLY NEWSLETTER
>
> Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, is publishing a new monthly newsletter which
(snip)

I'm glad I'm not a ham or CB, er, CBer(?), so I don't have to read this
crap.

I wonder if a ham or CB(er) "MUST" send in the $45 "NOW"?

Greg

# 1938. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-19 23:59:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8%Off.1413$rM2.1029@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124553

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K4YZ" <majordud@aol.com> on Wed 16 Nov 2005 20:30
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>>>> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
>>>>> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
>>>>> "egregious" or "insulting".
>>> Poor baby. Does Davie think that all against the code test
>>> are equivalent to "two-year-olds refusing peas at dinner"
>>> as Robert Rightsell did?
>> And once again Lennie digs in with personal attacks...Yet INSISTS
>> that he doesn't do such things...
>
> I don't. Dudly the Imposter can't distinguish between STRONG
> formal commentary to the federal government and his usual
> "putzy" venalities she makes to others in here...

Tell us, Len, what is "STRONG formal commentary"? Does formal commentary look like your derogatory comments toward Mr. Rightsell? Why is it that you couldn't use the term "formal commentary"? For what reason was the word "STRONG" used and capitalized?

> The rest of us readers have been waiting impatiently for the
> PROOF of Dudly's claims.

I don't think so, Leonard. There is no evidence to support your statement.

Dave K8MN

## 1939. Re: stevie continues to lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 00:03:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132444992.799439.117570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124554

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> N9OGL wrote:
> >>> litoi and eveyone,
> >>>
> >>> the problem is your mixing morality and legality, and while it might be
> >>> "inmoral" to some people morality is NOT the law, if it was this
> >>> wouldn't be a democracy but a theocracy.
> >
> > one what has this to do with radio
>
> ...about as much as when *you* last commented on this particular series
> of exchanges.+

meaiing you agree with my contention

Stevies contiued obseesion with sex is out of place for a radio group
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1940. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 00:06:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132445206.061033.216510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124555

>So if it wasn't against the law to rape 12 year old girls, then you
>would rape 12 year old girls I suppose. The reason we have to have
>certain laws is because of people like you who would do horrendous
>things if there were no laws against such things. Moral people we don't
>have to worry much about, it people like you who don't seem to know the
>difference between what is morally right and what isn't.

Nope, I wouldn't the bottom line is Cartoons don't hurt people, People by their actions hurt people. As the Supreme Court stated "no evidence has being show that virtual porn "harms" childern." People who want child porn aren't going to go for some cartoons characters from Japan, their going to go look for the real thing. So tell me Mr. Wizard what moral right and what moral wrong?? Is the Christian faith morals right or is Islams?? or Perhaps the Jewish Morality is right, Morality is like an opinion, everyone has one. Lets me good example and perhaps you can tell me if it's right or wrong.
There these two underage kids having sex, in bed because they love eachother, Now the boy's name is Romeo and the girl's name is Juliet. Now them havig sex in bed is that "morality wrong" or perhaps you and the rest of these assholes on here would get off from buring Shakespeare plays. After people had gone and see Romeo and Juliet did they go home and encourage them to have sex? NO. Do child molesters go see Shakespeare so they can get off at the sex scene? No. What it seems to me is you put morality up there so high what we should go into book burning and banning the First Amendment because it might produce something that "YOU" and they rest of these knobs on here feel might be morally wrong. Like I stated before Morality is like an opinion, what you might think is morally wrong, to another person might not.

Todd N9OGL

# 1941. Re: Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 00:10:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132445459.018540.229910@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124556

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>> And another thing. Jim, Dave, and Mike just might have been able to
> > > >>> pull Steve back from the brink with a little intervention. But no.
> > > >>> They sanctioned his lunacy by "thier" silence.
> > > >> I have "another thing" for you, Brian: "Jim, Dave and Mike" are no more
> > > >> responsible for posts other than their own, than you are responsible for
> > > >> Mark's, Len Anderson's or Roger Wiseman's.
> > > >>
> > > > Never said that you were responsible.
> > >
> > > You pointed to us as having had some obligation to pull Steve back from
> > > some brink you've manufactured.
> >
> > I'm not in manufacturing.
>
> Sure you are.

Nope.
>
> > > >> I hope that clears things up for you.
> > > >>
> > > > Hope that clears things up for you.
> > >
> > > No, it didn't do that at all. If we aren't responsible for Steve's
> > > actions (making them what *you* think they should be), why would we have
> > > pulled him back?
> >
> > I'm not responsible for other people's kids, but I donate to Toys for
> > Tots.
>
> A project of the United States Marine Corps Reserve...That's gotta
> get you grinding your teeth a bit!

I think the Marines are a great bunch of people. I think that people
that try to catch a ride on "thier" coattails aren't.

> > I'm not responsible for the neighbors dog biting another neighbor's
> > kid, but I pulled the dog off.

>
> Hate to see him gnawing on YOUR bone, eh...?!?!

Huh?

> > Do you see how it works? Not my responsibility, but it was the right
> > thing to do.
>
> But SO FAR, you've done NOTHING constructive!
>
> You told the aforementioned tales, along with having stated your
> "admiration" for a documented pathological liar who ALSO happens to be
> trying to undermine the SAME "Amateur Radio" that you are a licensee
> of...And "the Morse Code test" has little or nothing to do with what
> >>I<< am refring to...

You can refring and refring and refring, but you are what a CBer would
call "an Agitater."

Grow up.

> > > > But you're a pretty worthless friend to Steve for seeing him carry on
> > > > the way he does and saying nothing.
> > >
> > > It's hard to say something in a forum where all we have is the written
> > > word.
> >
> > Even harder when the written word is encoded in dits and dahs, but many
> > here want it >>>MANDATED<<<.
>
> You've not been paying attention...but then that's not new either.

So you do or you don't want the Morse Code exam kept as a licensing
requirement?

Make up your minds.

> > > Have you written anything to bring your friend Mark Morgan back
> > > to reality?
> >
> > Reality? How am I supposed to do that. I'm not a medical
> > professional. ;^)
>
> Markie's problems are psychological, not medical.

Dyslexia is a mental problem?

> > Perhaps the most effective course of action would be for Steve to get
> > off Mark's ass and quit calling around Mark's county.
>
> I've not called a single person anywhere about Mark, Brian...

Written letters signed "Xteve Xobeson, LPN?

> > > What have you written to Len toward straightening him out?
> >
> > I've said he's too hard on you guys.
>
> Uh huh...

Well, he is.

> > > All I've noted here is you egging him on. Some friend *you* are.
> >
> > Aw, geez. Some of the greatest fun I've had on here was the Hop
> > Sing-speak; english as a first >and< second language. It was only
> > funny because Steve got so upset over it.
> >
> > Gonna call my wife, gotta show my kids! There's a guy that takes
> > himself much too seriously.
>
> If you think your baby talk was the issue, then you're lying to
> yourself in addition to us.....

That seemed to be what you were commenting about.

> > > Is that the double standard you're always going on about?
> >
> > OK, Dave, I get your point.
> >
> > Notice to Len: Start playing nice with these guys when they start
> > playing nice with you.
>
> Uhhhhhh.....
>
> Brian....
>
> Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.

We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.

> > My duty as a friend to Len and good citizen of RRAP is now satisfied.
>
> No...Your duty as a "good citizen" will be done when you
> publically state, and then STICK TO IT, your intent to not initiate or
> perpetuate the use of diminutives towards people who don't use them on
> you.

I call you "Steve" at least 99% of the time. I call Jim by his name
more than 99% of the time. I do slip up once in a while and refer to
him as Quitefine. I wonder why I do that?

Now what do you call me?

> And Yes, Brian, I will do the same for you, effective with THIS
> post...assuming you do and continue the same. Otherwise, it's right on
> back to the mudslinging.

I don't beleive you. We've gone this route before. Yet I continue to
call you Steve and you continue with the diminuitives.

We'll see how long you last this time.

> > Your turn, Dave.
>
> No, Brian...it's YOURS.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, K4YZ

bb

# 1942. Re: Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 00:15:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132445726.553715.244920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124557

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > No...Your duty as a "good citizen" will be done when you
> > > publically state, and then STICK TO IT, your intent to not initiate or
> > > perpetuate the use of diminutives towards people who don't use them on
> > > you.
> >
> > why should our side be nice with you screaming pedophile making false
> > claims of mental illness
>
> I seriously doubt there's an "our side" for Brian that includes
> you, Markie.
>
> And Markie...The comments were aimed squarely at Brian...Not you.
> At least if Brian deceides to ignore what I said, I know he thought it
> over.
>
> You're incapable of rational thought. For example, you seem to
> think your name is "Brian".
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Marks raises a good point. Why do you accuse people of pedophilia?
Are you licensed to do so?

# 1943. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 00:20:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132446052.974095.216130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124558
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: "K4YZ"<majordud@aol.com> on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 17:57

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K4YZ <majordud@aol.com> on Nov 16, 9:28 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 14, 5:03 pm
>
> >> Dudly has NOT PRODUCED A SINGLE REFERENCIBLE PROOF of his military
> >> or medical expertise claims in SEVEN YEARS here. Here's what we
> >> HAVE and all found by OTHERS:
>
> No...except the photo of you in hospital scrubs is from your
> own web page, isn't it?
>
> What hospital scrubs?

You don't wear them any anymore? Tsk, tsk. No wonder you don't
show that picture anymore. How many times have you lost your
job at hospitals in the last year?

>> >> 3. A text mention of a TN CAP unit mentioning their
>> >> "communications officer," "Captain Robeson," but no photo
>> >> of him.
>> >
>> > Doesn't exist. Never did. I've not held a line-item billet in
>> >CAP as a CommO since I renewed in July 2001.

http://ser.cap.gov/Newsletter/May_2005_Newsletter.pdf

Clearly shows a picture of Col. Eileen Parker, Vice Commander,
Southeast Region. [southeast region is 6 states including TN]
Later on in this Newsletter is a text pat-on-the-back for two
CAP members, one of which is "Capt Steve Robeson."

If a "vice commander" is NOT a superior officer, then the
"vice" means something else?

> The Tennessee Wing Commander is not a female. That was your
>initial claim.
>
> There has never been a female wing commander in Tennessee.

Tsk, you are getting your misogyny all ruffled.

> The Commander of TNWG Group 2 is not a woman. That's the unit
>that I am presently assigned to. In my four years in TNWG, I have
>never served under a "unit commander" that was "female". To the best
>of my knowledge, there has never been a female group commander in this
>Wing, although on that point I am not sure.

"Never?" What does a Vice Commander of the Southeast Region DO
and how is she in the chain of command? Let's see...by the
Newsletter's own writing, the "southeast region" includes
Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, Puerto Rico, and, of
course, TENNESSEE.

Remember your IMPORTANCE in the scheme of things...you were
"homeland security before there was homeland security!"

> For the brief time I was in the TNSG, I never served under a
>female commander at ANY level.

www.tnsg.us

Looks like it is still a Good Ol' Boys club playin' sojer in
da woods. No pay. All volunteer. They get to wear nice
uniforms with pretty medals, call themselves a "force
mulitplier!" Yeah, their Table of Organization seems to be
mostly Military Police units. "MP" not SP. Note the
confusion between MP and SP that Frank wrote about in
another thread.

> I never served under a female commander in the Marine Corps.

Of course not. You couldn't stand it, militant misogynist and
all. You probably would have called a USMC female superior
officer a "CORRESPONDENCE SCHOOL NITWIT!"

Does Dudly consider the Naval Academy, Annapolis, MD, a
"CORRESPONDENCE SCHOOL?" I'm sure you do.

Does Dudly consider all women "NITWITS?" I'm sure you do.

>> > Lennie brags about all his accomplishments but lied when routing e
>> >mail and hasn't provided pic-one of ANY radio related subject matter.
>>
>> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>>
>> Several photos in there. Click on the other file links under
>> "uploads" for three more.
>>
>> Go to www.estripes.com and access the Archives of articles, look
>> under date November 10, 2002. The pictures there are all mine
>> and used in a double-truck (two-page spread to civilians not in
>> publishing) commemorative article on Hardy Barracks of the 1950s.
>> Reporter is Rick Chernitzer who interviewed me and received lots
>> more digitized photos I took at Hardy and around there.
>

> I stand corrected.

Keep standing, "Jarhead."

Go to the home page of sujan.hallikainen.org and use links to
get to military radios.

Over on Harold Hallikainen's Radio History website, in the uploads
section, are some more PDFs on RADIO. Three in all. "AlphabetSoup"
is a digitization of a 1962 booklet published by the Japan Signal
Overseas Battalion (a merge of the 71st Sig Bn and 72nd Sig Bn that
happened after I left for the States in 1956), describing the
ADA station functions and equipment as it was, lots more RADIO
equipment; supplied by James Brendage, a civilian engineer and
Department of the Army and Department of the Air Force employee,
who is retired and living in California. Jim Brendage was working
at ADA transmitter site when I was assigned there and we
corresponded by surface and e-mail after 2000. "The First
Walkie Talkie" is about the SCR-300 / BC-1000 VHF two-way
backpack FM transceiver that was designed by Motorola (that you
insisted "didn't exist" in WW2!), made by them, and was used
in all theaters of operation up to and including the Korean War.
Includes some specific design features of the Walkie-Talkie with
some schematics obtained from the TM on that radio. The
"Microwave Radio Relay" PDF is a general description of that
as it was used by land forces from WW2 onwards...including the
AN/FRC-23 and AN/FRC-35 that I was a supervisor of and operated.

You might look elsewhere on that site and see, under "National"
[Radio] a digitization of the supplied manual for their 7-inch
VHF-channels-only television set of 1948-1949. I had one then,
bought it for me and my parents out of MY earnings in part-time
jobs. Strictly for nostalgia purposes that digitization, was a
good set at the time but not quite state-of-the-art then.

If you go to the home page links to USAER (that's U.S. Army
in Europe) history pages and look under Signal units, you can
see a Node Diagram and Station Block Diagram of ACAN (Army
Command and Administrative Network) as it was in the 50s and
60s, a worldwide HF network that did supply some circuits to
the Department of State. I supplied that to the webmaster via
e-mail since I had the diagrams from official sources.

Stand down, Jarhead.

>> >> Dudly has supplied NO OTHER LIVING RADIO AMATEUR'S NAMES/
>> >> CALLSIGNS except that of his "wife" that could be contacted
>> >> for verification on identity/personality/behavior/etc. He
>> >> apparently knows no one else except the correspondents in
>> >> newsgroups.
>> >
>> > Even more wrong.

>>
>> "Wrong?" Did your wife divorce you?
>>
>> > Sheesh Lennie, are you not even going to make this even REMOTELY
>> >challenging?
>>
>> Then SUPPLY SOME PERSONAL REFERENCES BY NAME.
>>
>> You are EVADING a question.
>
> Nope.
>
> I HAVE provided you with NUMEROUS verifyable references.

Dudly definition of "numerous": "Working" his alternate
personalities, his wife, regulars in this newsgroup. Where
are the OTHERS?

> You refuse to use them.

No one can "refuse to use" something that doesn't exist.

> For what reason? I HAVE given you references that ARE researchable
>yet you refuse to utilize them.

WHO are the licensed radio amateurs you referenced other than
your wife and regulars in this newsgroup?

> If you don't have a scanner, go to Kinkos or Office Depot or
> Staples. Those stores have scanning-digitizing services
> available at very low-cost.
>

> Or shall we just agree that we've caught you in yet another NCTA
>double standard lie?

No "double standard" on the NCTA side. No "lies" despite
your multiple personalities hopping up and down in "outrage"
and not being able to "beat up" your challengers.

>> Not being all that familiar with USMC jargon, I clipped some
>> paragraphs of Frank's postings and sent them to some former
>> active-duty Marines. One was a high school classmate of mine.
>> They all agreed that Frank had the right terms and jargon.
>> One got OUTRAGED that YOU, a supposed marine, would use a
>> USCG slogan sign-off instead of Semper Fidelis or Semper Fi.
>
> Uh huh..
>
> Right.

Right, we are agreed...you used the WRONG slogans, the WRONG
jargon. "Semper Paratus" is the slogan of the USCG. I'll let

the REAL Marines handle the jargon...they are all in agreement
with Frank...and in DISAGREEMENT with your gems in here, Dud.

> Did you show them where YOU used the deaths of Army KIA's to
>polish your USENET brasss with, Scumbag...?!?!

Sorry, you HATING little imposter, I mentioned the 23 members
of MY Signal Battalion in HONOR OF THEM. 19 that died in a
transport crash on 1 Jul 50 in Korea to reinforce Signal
operations after the North Koreans had invaded South Korea.
The other four were killed in individual actions while on
temporary duty in Korea, two before the July 1953 truce
signing, two after that start of the "forever truce" (the
Korean War has NOT officially ended and "truce talks"
continue as they have for 52 years). All of them get
remembered. I have all their names, ranks, ASNs, their
home towns. All assigned to one Signal Battalion, the
8235th Army Unit. The Battalion HONORED their memory at
each Retreat Ceremony. The first billet of the 8235th
was Hardy Barracks, Tokyo, named for Corporal Elmer Hardy
in his honor. The new transmitter site at Kashiwa, NE of
Tokyo, was named Camp Tomlinson in 1956 in honor of Frank
Tomlinson. Both were victims of the 1 Jul 50 crash. Camp
Tomlinson was retained as a name when control of the ADA
facilities were transferred to the USAF in 1963.

I have NO need to "polish brass" through mentioning that I
HONOR their memory. My work and efforts while assigned to
the 8235th AU were all the "brass polish" I ever needed.
That work and effort got me promotions from Private E-2
(at first assignment) to Sergeant E-5 (on release from
service) in two years, meeting the minimum time-in-grade
required at the time at each level.

Perhaps you'd like to meet some of the "brass polishers"
out here who assemble at the Veteran's Cemetary in
Westwood (close to the huge UCLA campus in case you're
shy on big-city geography) ever Memorial Day and Veteran's
Day? Most are middle-aged like yourself. A few are
younger guys. Maybe you'd like to holler at them as
well as me about "brass polishing their own images"
while we bow our heads in prayer for the veterans and
place a few American flags on graves? No, I don't think
so...your physical safety would be in peril.

> And Lennie, I knew/know enough about "radio theory" to have passed
>the FCC examinations for an Amateur Extra license.
>
> You obvioulsy haven't.

"Obviously."

WOW! Aren't you some "genius" in radio theory!

Imagine that! You got an AMATEUR Extra license!

No sweat, Dud, I passed a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) Radio Operator license exam in one sitting in a Chicago FCC Field Office in March 1956. Couldn't get a Commercial Q&A book before then, but I got to borrow the entire loose-leaf (then the format) FCC regulations from a friend at a broadcast station and memorized them. I already KNEW the theory needed for either commercial or amateur radio of the time. Was a matter of filling out the forms, drawing a couple schematics, only strain being to remember the right regulations. Only other "problem" was an interruption for a fire drill in the Chicago Federal Building in between 2nd and 3rd elements. That stretched the test time out to about two hours...two of the test elements were "essay" type where one had to write out answers; other two were multiple-choice. Did I know the answers? Yes, but I didn't need any open-pool information as there is now since I ALREADY knew enough "radio theory."

So...you charge that I "don't KNOW enough 'radio theory' to get an amateur radio license?" After taking many college-level semester final exams in electronics theory and finally the final for a degree? After many years as an electronics design engineer and obtaining a sole-inventor patent about radio? I'm sure you THINK that in your own little psychotic way but it would be a snap today to outshine you three ways from Sunday on a REAL "radio theory" test. :-)

> You're not "regular folks", Lennie.
>
> You're a pathological liar.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. That "mirror syndrome" reveals itself again. Dud looks in the computer screen, sees his own reflection, and insults that. :-)

You've misused "pathological" for years. If you say no, then you admit to posing as a real Medical Doctor, something you are NOT. Real MDs are into pathology. A better insult word would have been "congenital"; i.e., from birth.

Tsk, you use "liar" for everyone who just disagrees with your opinions. That's also wrong use of words. It is also indicative of a deep psychological condition that prevents you from handling ANY disagreement. [one of the things learned in Psych 101-102 courses once required in California for many Bachelors degrees]

>> If, in 18 years of alleged military service, you CANNOT get USMC
>> terms correct, CANNOT name a single piece of radio-electronics
>> equipment by either formal nomenclature or familiar name, you
>> are a FRAUD, a masquerader, a poor snow-jobbing used car sales-
>> man con artist.
>
> I've never offered any "nomenclature" on ANY USMC equipment,
>Lennie, as it's not germane here.

Tsk, tsk, you've gone into great lengths on your (mistaken)
USMC life, military helicopters, personal weapons shooting,
volunteering as part of the Tennessee STATE Guard, Civil
Air Patrol...even the aerospace business and electronics
engineering expertise, not to mention blabbering about national
and foreign policy of the United States. Is that "all about
amateur radio?" I think not. :-)

> Unlike you, when I discuss radio equipment in an Amateur Radio
>forum, it's usually Amateur Radio equipment I am discussing.

See above. :-)

> YOU can't do that...You don't have any Amateur equipment to
>discuss...except maybe for the tiny Johnson you alluded to with Dave
>Heil.

"Amateur" is unpaid, for personal activity in definition.
I've been a hobbyist in electronics and radio since 1947.

The Johnson Viking Messenger was made solely for Citizens
Band Radio Service use.

> Care to show us your tiny Johnson, Lennie?

No, it might make you distraught. I can find a website that
does have a picture of a Johnson Viking Messenger. I came
across one a few years ago while hunting for more information
on a Bendix CB transceiver that I still have; same size as
the Johnson, slightly different circuitry. Got it at a
discount, new, from Stan Beer, a Bendix field engineer at
the time (1960).

I already have digitized photos of my indoor workshop. That
includes an HP 606 and HP 608D RF signal generator, two
dual-trace oscilloscopes (50 MHz Philips, 60 MHz Protek),
frequency and period counter (my design in a ready-built
cabinet, newly converted to LED 7-segment display from old
Amperex numeric display similar to Nixies), ancient Heathkit
audio generator (up to 1 MHz), one 4 1/2 digit DVM, two
3 1/2 digit DVMs, Boonton 190A Q-Meter, Circuit Specialists
dual regulated supply (digital readout), the last remaining
single regulated voltage-current DC supply that was the
subject of a 1969 two-issue Popular Electronics article
(had three others but those got built into other equipment),

an all-purpose dual pulse generator (own design) which
includes an NTSC sync generator (won't be of much use in
the future due to HDTV), a Heathkit audio IM distortion
analyzer, a 60 KHz WWVB "receiver" (TRF, loop antenna is
in the attic space above) for phase-locking a 10 MHz
crystal oscillator used as a secondary frequency standard
(still playing with new ways to arrange the PLL). Oops,
forgot the AADE L-C Meter, an extremely useful little
thing for winding toroids to exact Henries, a small
capacitance meter that reads to 0.1 pFd on lowest (100
pFd) scale, my own-built adjustable AC line supply with
expanded-scale voltmeter. There's also a full Apple ][+
computer with two 5" disk drives, 9" and 12" monitors
but those hardly see any use now...also the UV erase and
reprogramming dingus (also home built) for EPROMs. In that
compact space is a 3' x 4' drafting board (don't use the
K&E drafting machine now, just the scales) which doubles
as an illustrator's board for art work. Workbench is 7
feet wide, cabinets above and below. Rebuilt that whole
center room myself from plans drawn up on drafting table
mentioned. Came together nicely.

Of course, since you so strenuously objected to receiving
digitized files through e-mail, you won't get those images.
:-)

>> Then you SHOULD be able to describe MUCH MORE of their electronics.
>> You have NOT. You haven't even come close to anything but
>> cribbing general aircraft nomenclature that is availabe on
>> dozens of aviation-interest websites.
>
> Why should I?
>
> Of what relevence are the Avionics of those airframes to Amateur
>Radio?

Of what relevance is going on and on and on about a supposed
"career" in the USMC, volunteerism in the TN STATE Guard, and
Civil Air Patrol? However, if you HAD mentioned some of the
USMC radio equipment, it MIGHT have given you some creedence.
Since you didn't, your believability went into the dumpster.

If you cannot be accurate on your descriptions of military life,
then you cannot be considered accurate in your descriptions
of civilian life.

> Then whatEVER avionics I operate is STILL more avionics than YOU
>operate!

In the Civil Air Patrol? :-)

Poor Dudly, trying to outshine someone who has DESIGNED part
of the test set for a DME-Transponder-TACAN test set at
Microradionics, Inc., and a TACAN test set at RCA Corporation.
Tsk, tsk, I've OPERATED both civil and military avionics
in-flight, civil radio-nav radios including the omnibearing
selector (for navigation) as both student pilot and later as
passenger. Need a full-size Jepp plotter? Got one. :-)
Piece of cake. Simple stuff.

I'd add some airborne radars in there but you might go
bananas. :-) The three generations of RCA Corp. SECANT
aren't counted since those were R&D programs and wouldn't
be in any USMC aircraft.

>> MARS operations are NOT part of the worldwide military communications
>> network. Dudly was NEVER a part of that bigger, important network.
>
> Sorry, Lennie, More facts that you can't seem to deal with....
>
> Seems the Marine Corps thinks differently than you.

No, sweetums, the USMC does NOT "think differently." YOU think
differently than reality.

MARS is the amalgam of a special services morale builder and a
sort-of "linkage" between the military and other U.S. government
agencies. It is NOT any sort of mainstay of the Defence
Communications System (DCS) or the Digital Switched Network
(DSN). MARS is useful as a last-ditch fall-back radio thing
"should everything else fail" (which it won't) and it keeps
some folks occupied...but it was NEVER any sort of integral
part of any military branch's worldwide communications network.

In the late 1960s and very early 1970s, MARS can be praised
for doing a massive amount of phone-patches for service
people in Southeast Asia. The United States Army Center for
Military History says that in one long history paper. But,
the "usefulness" of MARS for that sort of personal
communications dwindled to a trickle with the coming of the
Internet and the connectability of the DSN to the Internet.
With the increase of small computers in the field (as well
as PCs on board water vessels), it became common for service
people to communicate directly with family and friends
through e-mail and, in slowly-increasing use, VoIP. MARS,
to justify its existance, turned to the role of "liason"
with other government agencies and exercises such as
"Grecian Firebolt." The Vietnam War ended 30 years ago and
the old MARS paradigms have bitten the dust now.

>> Now, tell us again EXACTLY how you got "discharged." You are
>> confused. First you had a medical. Then it was changed to an
>> Honorable. Then it was back to something strange called an
>> "Honorable Medical" discharge because of your "accident."

>> That's all archived in Google. But, none of US have seen any
>> proof of ANY discharge of your, Dudly...other than what you've
>> squirted all over this newsgroup for years.
>
> What's "confused" here, Lennie, is you.

Not at all. Now, tell us again EXACTLY how you got "discharged."

You haven't said a thing other than to attempt misdirection by
one of your many personal insults.

>> > If we could bottle your "Back in 53..." tales we could take a
>> >whole generation of sleeping pills off the shelves, Lennie.
>>
>> http://sujan.hallikainen.org/BroadcastHistory/uploads/My3Years.pdf
>>
>> "Bottled and waiting."
>
> And just as likely to put someone to sleep.
>
> I looked. It's pretty boring to me.

It would be to an AMATEUR, a poseur about REAL communications
who has no real interest in the HF communications methods
that formed the basis for today's HF use by amateurs.

You say it is "boring" only to attempt a left-handed insult
again. TRUTH is often boring and what you want is a lot of
falsehoods about YOURSELF to make YOU "look good."

That PDF on Hal's radio history website is the TRUTH as it was
in the 1950s. It's been verified by N2JTV (who was there at
the same time I was) and James Brendage, a civilian engineer
employed by the Army (also there the same time I was). The
accompanying "AlphabetSoup.pdf" was prepared by a Signal
Battalion that did the communications operations.

It is "boring" to you because you resent NOT being in a
similar assignment and did NOTHING LIKE THAT in the military.

>> Why are you so upset and resentful that someone worked massive
>> long-distance HF communications before your birth? WITHOUT
>> USING ANY MORSE CODE MODES? Over a half century ago. There's
>> more radio equipment described in there - that I hands-on
>> operated - than you will ever work on in your life as a ham.
>> Poor baby...all jealous and resentful. Never had a professional
>> radio job. All experience coming from AMATEUR radio ops.
>
> No, you didn't "work(ed) massive long-distance HF
>communications..."

Signalmen are all pro, always were, always will be. Signal Corps
didn't play around in hobby fashion with "radiosport" contests

on HF. We got the messages through. 220 thousand a month at ADA, a million and a quarter a month at WAR. Circuits from Tokyo to Alaska, Hawaii, San Francisco, Manila, etc. were LONG.

> You were a radio mechanic. Nothing more, according to your MOS.

Incorrect. The Army has mechanics, but those are all in the motor pool working on vehicles. My MOS was "Microwave Radio Relay Operations and Maintenance Supervisor" (281.6 in the old MOS designation).

> You were not a radio OPERATOR...Nor were you a message center
>clerk.

The 8235th Army Unit did NOT operate any "message center." It operated a huge radio transmitter-receiver-torn-tape-relay station serving the Far East Command Headquarters.

The 8235th AU (a battalion strength) did not have one single morse code operator on its TO&E, did not use any morse code modes. I was never a "clerk" of any kind.

I did not "operate receivers" because I was not assigned to the receiver site at Camp Owada, NW of Tokyo. After visiting there several times, it is evident that no one there or with the USAF sharing the site did any morse code "operating." The HF communications modes were, in order of use: TTY, Voice, Facsimile. SSB circuits used the "commercial format" of 12 KHz bandwidth, frequency-multiplexing 4 voice bandwidth circuits; on voice circuit was used as an order-wire, the second voice circuit for "overseas radiotelephone," the remaining two circuits for TTY tones (typically 6 to 12 separate teleprinter circuits). Some of the RTTY radio circuits had time-multiplexing for carrying 4 separate TTY circuits on one radio via USN-contract multiplexer operated by the Carrier crew ("carrier" in the telephony jargon, not Navy).

The purpose of the Army-USAF facility was to maintain world-wide communications over ACAN-STARCOM-DCS 24/7, not to be some sort of Special Services morale builder with hobby activities and phone-patches. Before the commsat era, HF was the only practical long-haul communications means for the U.S. military. The Army did its part with ACAN-STARCOM-DCS and the teletypewriter was the key ingredient to insure both accuracy, readability, and security. The USAF continued that role, being assigned control of the station ADA facilities in 1963 and kept it operational to 1978 when the land and buildings were turned over to the Japanese government. Callsign ADA remains today, now the callsign of the United States Army Pacific, headquartered in Fort Shafter, Hawaii. HF communications in the military

is now in a secondary position, used as a back-up mode.

Yes, I was not a MORSE CODE operator. Never pretended to be. The Army just didn't depend on morse code for communications over long radio routes, nor could morse code modes handle the volume of traffic required to maintain worldwide units and logistics and security needs. The Army, indeed all the service branches don't use morse code for communications now, any radio path length. They don't need to. They are pros, not amateurs.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1944. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 00:52:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132447958.913177.34360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124560

From: Dave Heil on Nov 19, 3:59 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "K4YZ" <major...@aol.com> on Wed 16 Nov 2005 20:30
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:

>>>>>> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
>>>>>> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
>>>>>> "egregious" or "insulting".
>
>>>> Poor baby. Does Davie think that all against the code test
>>>> are equivalent to "two-year-olds refusing peas at dinner"
>>>> as Robert Rightsell did?
>
>>> And once again Lennie digs in with personal attacks...Yet INSISTS
>>> that he doesn't do such things...
>
>> I don't. Dudly the Imposter can't distinguish between STRONG
>> formal commentary to the federal government and his usual
>> "putzy" venalities she makes to others in here...
>
>Tell us, Len, what is "STRONG formal commentary"?

Apparently, anything that sets off David! :-)

It doesn't matter to "judge' Heil since he discards any
"evidence" he doesn't like... :-)

>Does formal
>commentary look like your derogatory comments toward Mr. Rightsell?

Are you Major Dud?

Why do you "answer" to a reply to K4YZ?

>Why is it that you couldn't use the term "formal commentary"?

Why is it you can't shut up? :-)

>For what reason was the word "STRONG" used and capitalized?

For what reason can't you let others - to whom replies are
clearly identified - reply for themselves?

Why are you so fearful of opposing opinions against others?

Can't take it anymore?

You are no longer STRONG enough?

>> The rest of us readers have been waiting impatiently for the
>> PROOF of Dudly's claims.
>
>I don't think so, Leonard. There is no evidence to support your statement.

There is no evidence to support your Johnson, either... :-)

[do you need a new supporter, scampering frisky one?]

Here's a DOS Tip, heaping-big chief-of-the-keys: Just make a formal statement to the FCC. WT Docket 05-235 is still OPEN. You can file your little black heart out, taking me to task for DARING to comment on something you have "vested interest" in. You WILL be in public view for as long as the Commission keeps that Docket open for public view.

[WT Docket 98-143 is still open to the public for viewing long after FCC 99-412 ordered the Restructuring of 2000; you can even file on that one arguing NPRM 98-143]

If you need a model for a denunciatory reply to anyone, go to that WT Docket 98-143, search for surname Robeson at date 25 January 1999. There it is, "saddled and waiting."

Of course, the FCC isn't considering such Comments after the official ending date, but that is irrelevant to your lofty purpose, isn't it? You are busy, busy, busy in trying to shut up opposing opinions any which way you can. Better improve on that because it isn't working.

Keep the faith and keep on truckin to remove First Amendment rights to every citizen who doesn't have an official amateur radio license. Need a copy of "My Camp" in its original language?

Seig Heil!

## 1945. stevie contitnues his ravings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 01:00:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132448411.212644.58580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124561

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > No...Your duty as a "good citizen" will be done when you
> > > publically state, and then STICK TO IT, your intent to not initiate or
> > > perpetuate the use of diminutives towards people who don't use them on
> > > you.
> >
> > why should our side be nice with you screaming pedophile making false
> > claims of mental illness
>
> I seriously doubt there's an "our side" for Brian that includes
> you, Markie.

really now you know what other people think
>
> And Markie...The comments were aimed squarely at Brian...Not you.
> At least if Brian deceides to ignore what I said, I know he thought it
> over.

public forum Stevie
>
> You're incapable of rational thought. For example, you seem to
> think your name is "Brian".

ah Stevie is going like Dan thinking he has the right to carry on a
private discusion out in the open

it is you that can't think rationaly or it seems at all

you "think you have the right to ditatet to other hwo the live and
believe for example
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1946. Re: Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 01:02:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132448567.893877.29990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124562

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > > > No...Your duty as a "good citizen" will be done when you
> > > > publically state, and then STICK TO IT, your intent to not initiate or
> > > > perpetuate the use of diminutives towards people who don't use them on
> > > > you.
> > >
> > > why should our side be nice with you screaming pedophile making false
> > > claims of mental illness
> >
> > I seriously doubt there's an "our side" for Brian that includes
> > you, Markie.
> >
> > And Markie...The comments were aimed squarely at Brian...Not you.
> > At least if Brian deceides to ignore what I said, I know he thought it
> > over.
> >
> > You're incapable of rational thought. For example, you seem to
> > think your name is "Brian".
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Marks raises a good point. Why do you accuse people of pedophilia?
> Are you licensed to do so?

simple becuase it is an attack that balckens, almost on the level of
calling someone a JEW in NAZI germany

Stevie wants all opposition to his will stopped and he doesn't care
how, infact he may well preffer his oppenent dead

# 1947. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 01:04:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132448671.224779.315230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124563

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
cut
> > straw men and bull shit is all you seem to spout
> >
> >
> > ?
> >
> I see you would be right in there with toddieboy. Birds of a feather......

at least Todd thinks for himself, not sure you think at all

# 1948. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 01:07:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132448835.181702.86840@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124564

policy-ham wrote:
> This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:
>
> Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is the latest high profile ham to come out
> publicly about Glenn Baxter's license. You probably remember Leo as the
> founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics. Walter Cronkite also came out
> publicly against Baxter's (ex-k1man) renewal.
>
any thing of subsatnce against K1MAN

indeed if that is needed is for a few high profile folks to dislike you
well why does stevie or dave still have a license

# 1949. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 01:26:35 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <e66dndedofpzX-LeRVn-sA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124559

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>N9OGL wrote:
>>
>>>litoi and eveyone,
>>>
>>>the problem is your mixing morality and legality, and while it might be
>>>"inmoral" to some people morality is NOT the law, if it was this
>>>wouldn't be a democracy but a theocracy.
>>>
>>>TODD N9OGL
>>>
>>
>>So if it wasn't against the law to rape 12 year old girls, then you
>>would rape 12 year old girls I suppose.
>
>
> straw men and bull shit is all you seem to spout
>
>
> ?
>
I see you would be right in there with toddieboy. Birds of a feather......

# 1950. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 01:27:51 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132450071.871748.110700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124565

From: "K0HB" on Sat 19 Nov 2005 19:10

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
>
>If Bill Cross really meant it when he said ''Detailed regulation of the nitty
>gritty of communication services, including the Amateur Service, is not in the
>picture, rather the FCC is shifting to strong and effective enforcement of truly
>necessary regulations.'', then this petition should receive favorable
>consideration by the Commission.
>
>Personally, I think ARRL's petition is waaaaaaaaaaay too conservative. Let's
>all propose the following re-write of Part 97.

<snip>

All very good and logical but it doesn't have the full
support of the elite ruling NAAR (formerly ARRL)
membership. Here is a much shorter version:

97.1 Amateur Radio Service is whatever NAAR says it is.

97.2 Refer to 97.1.

========

There, that should give NAAR what what it has wanted all
along, assures the ARRL Press division to keep on
printin' and making money for the League, thereby keeping
Dave S. assured of pulling down $150K a year for as long
as he is Trustee of the "Residence Radio Club." The
Church of St. Hiram can be assured of a full collection
plate and all may live happily ever after on Fantasy
Island with Mr. Roarke and Tattoo and reruns. Everyone
licensed before 11 Sep 01 gets a lovely medal for being
"homeland security before there was homeland security"
and a nice certificate, suitable for framing.

Amen,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 1951. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 02:34:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lgRff.1445$rM2.1024@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124566

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 02:45
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:

>> In other words, if it didn't make money for you, it wasn't going to
>> get your time and effort.
>
> You really can't understand anyone who doesn't have YOUR
> immaculate set of "standards" can you?

I've met people like you, Len. They're all about what positions they've held, how much they made, the cost of their home(s), the brand and year of the car they drive. They miss a lot of life. They never seem to do anything for the love of it.

>> You don't tell us why *you* are so interested in something you are
>> not a part of and most probably never will be.
>
> Take off your baby shoes and quit bawling like an infant.
>
> YOU, still in your baby shoes, aren't worthy of an answer
> to your demands for "motivation." When given, you won't
> accept any reasonable explanation.
>
> I don't care to be a baby sitter for some puerile mewling
> about "baby shoes."

It isn't just about baby steps (not baby shoes), is it? You don't care to join an activity where you'd still be considered a beginner, do you? I mean, you haven't achieved the neophyte level in amateur radio.

>
>>> Let's see...I am retired from a successful career (from regular
>>> hours, I still do contract work IF I want to) in electronics
>>> design engineering, have a fine house (all paid for), have a
>>> wonderful wife (who was also my high school sweetheart), have
>>> had enough TITLES and POSITIONS to satisfy me, half century,
>>> a comfortable income to handle easy living now.

What do your former employment, income, home and marital status have to do with your getting an amateur radio license, Len?

>> You've told us how great things are for you many, many times, Len.
>> As if all that somehow explains your obsession.
>
> "Obsession?!?" :-)

> Trying to change federal law is an "obsession?"

In your case, yes, it is. You are obsessed.

> Yes, I can see where baby shoe wearers would get petulant and
> whiny if their hobby radio toys and merit badges are
> threatened...
>
>> But you still haven't taken even the first baby step to getting an
>> amateur radio license.
>
> Again with "baby shoes." Irrational.
>
>
>>> I do NOT NEED TO PROVE ANYTHING by getting more TITLES, more
>>> certificates suitable for framing.

>> Not about that at all.

> YES, it IS, Jimmie. You haven't graduated to ADULT shoes
> yet and you are in middle age.

You can write "YES it IS" all you want. Obtaining an amateur radio
license isn't about those things.

>> Then why are you so unfriendly here, Len?
>
> PCTAs are NOT a friendly sort when their radio toys and merit
> badges are threatened.

How are you threatening anyone's radio equipment, Len? Tell us about
the merit badges. Back to the question: Why are you so unfriendly here?

> Especially those who are still wearing
> their baby shoes such as yourself.

>>> We are secure
>>> in ourselves. We've "done it" and DON'T need to brag, don't
>>> need more pretty certificates suitable for framing.

>> Then why are you here?
>
> It is part of a greater effort to eliminate morse code testing
> from United States amateur radio regulations on license exams.
>
> How many times must I repeat that before you understand?

It'll likely never be understood by folks, Len. After all, you aren't
remotely involved in amateur radio. It sounds as if you have an obsession.

>> It seems to me that what you most enjoy about amateur radio is insulting
>> and denigrating radio amateurs via the internet.
>
> Sorry, Jimmie, I only "insult" those poor misguided souls
> who think that morse code mode communications is still
> "cutting-edge technology skill" in radio.

That's simply incorrect, Leonard. You insult anyone who favors
retention of morse testing in amateur radio. I don't recall a single
soul who claims that morse code represents cutting edge technology.

> Jimmie, WHY do YOU continue to insult, demean, anatagonize all
> who see to remove the code test?

"Jimmie", "Brother of Dudly", "Reverend Jimmie", "Nun of the Above". Do
any of those sound familiar to you? Would you prefer to see a more
complete list of your insulting, demeaning and antagonistic names for folks?

> Why are YOU so obsessed with retaining it?

> Why are YOU so obsessed with regressing U.S. amateur radio?

Please explain how retention of morse testing is regression in any form.
After all, morse code is used daily by thousands of radio amateurs.

>> Who is this "Jimmie" you speak of?
>
> Yourself. Are you uncomfortable with affectionate, friendly
> names?

Are you being affectionate, Len? You've come up with a number of
"affectionate names" for people who post here and who disagree with your
views, haven't you?

>>> You are NOT custodian of archaic radio
>>> arts. You've NEVER worked in 24/7 long-haul HF radio traffic
>>> services. You've apparently NEVER done any radio activity
>>> outside of HF.

>> Actually, I have.

> PROVE it by third-party references...or be called Brother of
> Dudly the Imposter.

Care to see your special profile, Leonard?

>>> Hell, you've never had PRIDE in
>>> what you work at at work

>> Not me. I'm proud of what I do. I just don't repeat it over and over
>> and over in an amateur radio newsgroup.
>
> Brother of Dudly, since you NEVER explained what you work at
> or where you work, both lacking detail, you will NEVER be
> accused of "repeating it over and over and over." :-)

You continue to complain that others insult or denigrate you. You've
told Jim that he never had any "PRIDE" in his work. You go on to call
him "Brother of Dudly". Do you consider your behavior to be rude? Are
the smileys supposed to excuse your churlish manner? :-) :-)

>>> and try to keep your employer a
>>> big, dark secret...you never talk about it except in very
>>> vague descriptions and implications.

>> Why should I mention it here, Len? Is there *any* employment that would
>> change the way you behave towards others who disagree with you?
>
> Brother of Dudly, don't try misdirection again. Tiresome.

Talk about misdirection. You dodged the question, Leonard.

> We readers will just put you down as either NOT WORKING or
> at some place not associated with electronics at all.

We readers? You're now speaking for all other readers of this newsgroup?

> You don't talk shop. You can't relate your work to electronics
> or don't want to. You make some inferences and vague claims,
> but NOTHING SPECIFIC. You accuse all those who disagree with
> you of "bad behavior." Sure sounds like what Dudly the Imposter
> has done continually in here for years.

You can't blame Jim for not wanting to talk shop with you. Look what
has happened to others who have revealed details of their work (and who
happen to favor retention of morse testing). You make up derogatory
names for those folks and you insult their jobs and military service.

>> Who is this "Jimmie", Len? The person you describe isn't like
>> me at all.
>
> YES, it IS. Jimmie, you are constantly AGAINST the code test
> elimination.

Gee, Lennie, you are constantly AGAINST the retention of morse code
testing in amateur radio. Imagine that.

> You are antagonistic to all those who want to
> get rid of it. Why is that, Jimmie?

If you want to see antagonistic, check out some of your r.r.a.p. output.

> AMATEUR RADIO IS BASICALLY A *HOBBY*. It was never anything
> more.

It was and is more. I'd have thought you'd have been notified.

>
> Say goodnight, Brother of Dudly. Put your baby shoes down
> beside the crib and crawl in. Mommie and Daddie will be
> along to tuck you in...

...and, poor baby, you still wonder why you are insulted and denigrated?

Dave K8MN

# 1952. Re: Two Kinds of People on RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 02:49:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132454994.843844.270230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124567

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > not likely after you pointed out cclancy has used bad lang is his book
> > he likely writing new version by light of the bonfire where burns all
> > those other books
>
> Somewhere in the midst of all those random letters and words was a
> concious "human" (benefit of the doubt) thought.

more lies nothing random about ti
>
> Now WHAT that thought was, I'd be hard pressed to even venture a
> guess...But I am SURE there was one in there!

not my fault that you can't read something with exactly one typo in it
>
> Pat Sajak and Vanna White would be pulling their hair out if
> Markie was calling the shots on the letterboard!

and yet you found it needfull to spend 6 lines trying to make fun of it
rather than deal with it
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 1953. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 02:56:06 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132455366.423019.197750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124568

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 02:45
> >
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
> >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >> In other words, if it didn't make money for you, it wasn't going to
> >> get your time and effort.
> >
> > You really can't understand anyone who doesn't have YOUR
> > immaculate set of "standards" can you?
>
> I've met people like you, Len. They're all about what positions they've
> held, how much they made, the cost of their home(s), the brand and year
> of the car they drive. They miss a lot of life. They never seem to do
> anything for the love of it.

sounds more like you and Stevie than Len
>
> >> You don't tell us why *you* are so interested in something you are
> >> not a part of and most probably never will be.
> >
> > Take off your baby shoes and quit bawling like an infant.
> >
> > YOU, still in your baby shoes, aren't worthy of an answer
> > to your demands for "motivation." When given, you won't
> > accept any reasonable explanation.
> >
> > I don't care to be a baby sitter for some puerile mewling
> > about "baby shoes."
>
> It isn't just about baby steps (not baby shoes), is it? You don't care
> to join an activity where you'd still be considered a beginner, do you?
> I mean, you haven't achieved the neophyte level in amateur radio.

more projecting and assuming agains Alas for Dave he does not seem to
know what ASSuming leads to
>
> >
> >>> Let's see...I am retired from a successful career (from regular
> >>> hours, I still do contract work IF I want to) in electronics
> >>> design engineering, have a fine house (all paid for), have a
> >>> wonderful wife (who was also my high school sweetheart), have

> >>> had enough TITLES and POSITIONS to satisfy me, half century,
> >>> a comfortable income to handle easy living now.
>
> What do your former employment, income, home and marital status have to
> do with your getting an amateur radio license, Len?

what does the sexual habits of anyone have to do with it either?

doesn't stop you and Stevie from making an issue of them
>
> >> You've told us how great things are for you many, many times, Len.
> >> As if all that somehow explains your obsession.
> >
> > "Obsession?!?" :-)
>
> > Trying to change federal law is an "obsession?"
>
> In your case, yes, it is. You are obsessed.

so what then?
>
> > Yes, I can see where baby shoe wearers would get petulant and
> > whiny if their hobby radio toys and merit badges are
> > threatened...
> >
> >> But you still haven't taken even the first baby step to getting an
> >> amateur radio license.
> >
> > Again with "baby shoes." Irrational.
> >
> >
> >>> I do NOT NEED TO PROVE ANYTHING by getting more TITLES, more
> >>> certificates suitable for framing.
>
> >> Not about that at all.
>
> > YES, it IS, Jimmie. You haven't graduated to ADULT shoes
> > yet and you are in middle age.
>
> You can write "YES it IS" all you want. Obtaining an amateur radio
> license isn't about those things.

it should not be but many Hamas don't seem to show they are not into
such such things
>
> >> Then why are you so unfriendly here, Len?
> >
> > PCTAs are NOT a friendly sort when their radio toys and merit
> > badges are threatened.
>
> How are you threatening anyone's radio equipment, Len? Tell us about

> the merit badges. Back to the question: Why are you so unfriendly here?

indeed I would like to know how Len posting is threatening to anyone
radio toys or license status

Len however is not truely able to explain that

perhaps if you or esp Stevie took a long hard look in the mirror you
might enlighten us
>
>
> > Especially those who are still wearing
> > their baby shoes such as yourself.
>
>
> >>> We are secure
> >>> in ourselves. We've "done it" and DON'T need to brag, don't
> >>> need more pretty certificates suitable for framing.
>
> >> Then why are you here?
> >
> > It is part of a greater effort to eliminate morse code testing
> > from United States amateur radio regulations on license exams.
> >
> > How many times must I repeat that before you understand?
>
> It'll likely never be understood by folks, Len. After all, you aren't
> remotely involved in amateur radio. It sounds as if you have an obsession.
>
> >> It seems to me that what you most enjoy about amateur radio is insulting
> >> and denigrating radio amateurs via the internet.
> >
> > Sorry, Jimmie, I only "insult" those poor misguided souls
> > who think that morse code mode communications is still
> > "cutting-edge technology skill" in radio.
>
> That's simply incorrect, Leonard. You insult anyone who favors
> retention of morse testing in amateur radio. I don't recall a single
> soul who claims that morse code represents cutting edge technology.
>
> > Jimmie, WHY do YOU continue to insult, demean, anatagonize all
> > who see to remove the code test?
>
> "Jimmie", "Brother of Dudly", "Reverend Jimmie", "Nun of the Above". Do
> any of those sound familiar to you? Would you prefer to see a more
> complete list of your insulting, demeaning and antagonistic names for folks?
>
> > Why are YOU so obsessed with retaining it?
>
> > Why are YOU so obsessed with regressing U.S. amateur radio?
>

> Please explain how retention of morse testing is regression in any form.

by defeating the aims of the ARS haven't you been reading anything all
these years

> After all, morse code is used daily by thousands of radio amateurs.
had enough of dave flushing the rest

# 1954. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 03:01:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132455708.827300.158580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124569

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> >
> > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> >
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Hello, Jim
>
> Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier so I
> can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
> Audiooooooo ... ;)
>
> Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with double
> sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for interesting
> effects too ...
>
> Where's my sideswiper?
>
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
> ps - doesn't 10 meters run from 25 MHz to 32 MHz? Or something like that?

given the flaming I got over not knowing what some aphabet soup meant I
wonder what will happen to Jim for blowing that one 10 meters BTW
extends from 28MHZ to 29.7MHZ 12 meter as an aside runs from 24.890
*24.990

meaning jim has called the B band and the 10 meter combined with a
section above that as 10 metters amuch bigger error i sghould think
than my not knowing some alphabet soup

but Stevie will show his double standard again

# 1955. Re: ALL HAM AND CB MUST READ NOW: THE THOUGHTS OF K1MAN ON EDUCATION, LAW, POLITICS, AND BASEBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 03:10:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132456255.077211.249450@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Greg wrote:
> > From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
cut
> > Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, is publishing a new monthly newsletter which
> (snip)
>
> I'm glad I'm not a ham or CB, er, CBer(?), so I don't have to read this
> crap.
>
> I wonder if a ham or CB(er) "MUST" send in the $45 "NOW"?

only if they wish to subscribe
>
> Greg

# 1956. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 03:13:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132456390.566077.164420@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124572

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> > cut
cut
> > at least Todd thinks for himself, not sure you think at all
> >
>
> I sure wouldn't call what toddieboy does, or even what you do, thinking.
> You are both incapable of the act of thinking.

pehaps it looks that way to a cartoon chacter like yourself, but just
because you think think you think don't make it so

# 1957. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 03:18:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iWRff.2881$jI5.2420@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124573

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
>
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
>>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:

>>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
>>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
>>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."

>> Not true, Len.
>
> ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis. Everyone realizes it. Why not
> admit that it is so?

Let's go through it, shall we? Pro-coders (one can only wonder if Len means those who favored morse testing, those who favored morse use or those who were simply proficient at morse code) made up their own regulations. It isn't explained how or if these "pro-coders" all became Extra Class ticket holders. Extra Class license holders can't obtain that license without passing the most difficult theory and regulatory written exam offered in U.S. amateur radio and not all of those with morse code skills became Extra Class licensees. Len's statement appears to have some gaping holes.

>> The Amateur Extra class license required both a Morse Code test *and* a
>> written test that many who passed both consider harder than the old
>> First Phone. Morse Code skill alone wouldn't get anyone an Extra.
>
> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
> and could only get a SECOND class.

Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old First Phone.

> EVERYONE knows that the Amateur Extra is granted ONLY when
> BOTH the code test AND the written examination tests are
> passed. One CANNOT have one without the other.

One can now obtain it with s very slow f i v e w o r d p e r
m i n u t e morse exam. That's very, very slow.

> It is readily apparent that MOST Amateur Extras prize their
> "accomplishment" and self-elevate themselves to a higher
> plane of existance that ordinary mortals.

That isn't readily apparent at all. It is a false premise.

> Do not be modest
> in appearance...such boasting of yours has been readily
> apparent since day one of your appearance on the AOL group
> all about amateur-radio-as-you-know-it-and-cribbed-right-
> from-the-ARRL-hymn-book statements there.

Anyone's accomplishments in areas where you've fallen short must kick
your "braq quotionent" into high gear.

>> I specifically wrote "majority of commenters".
>
> Who cares what you "specifically" wrote?

I care.

> This is NOT Moot Court
> and there is NO penalty for some imagined charge of perjury
> you invent on-the-spot to justify your words.

Yes, there is a penalty. You look petty by your attempt at squirming,
Leonard.

>> No, just the facts. All there for you to check. Did you find any mistakes
>> that would change the results by even 1%?
>
> No, Jimmie, Speroni's RESULTS are ALL THERE IS. HE did all
> the "interpreting" and some of that is WRONG...see a "pro-code"
> comment from an English Department [instructor] who said out-
> right in her Comment that she is neither into amateur radio
> nor desirous of obtaining a license.

Hmmmmm. Don't you fit right into that particular category, Len?

> Tsk, tsk, tsk, "Judge of the Superior Court" and Sister Nun
> of the Above is trying to tell a published author and
> editor "all about words and their definitions?!?"

Somebody has to do it, Len. You foul up more words and definitions than
quite a number of posters who've never done any editing or who've not
had anything published.

Dave K8MN

# 1958. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 03:37:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xbSff.3228$s14.3092@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124574

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
>>>>>> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
>>>>>> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
>>>>>> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
>>>>> It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
>>>>> post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
>>>>> rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.

>>>> "Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
>>>> you're starting to write like him.

>>> Dave is trying to insult me.

>> Yep, I'm responding in kind to your usual red-hatted monkey routine.
>
> No. You're responding in your usual smug way.

Yes, I'm responding directly to your wandering post which blabbered
about my not posting DX tips. That kind of thing is precisely your
little red-hatted monkey routine.

>>>> If I'd wanted to post an
>>>> instructional message on DXing, I'd likely post it to the DX newsgroup.
>>> Do you need directions?
>> "Redicule"?
>
> D-I-R-E-C-T-I-O-N-S. Do you need some?

Why would I need directions, Brian? I posted my material in exactly the
place I intended.

>>>> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
>>>> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
>>>> "egregious" or "insulting".

>>> Perhaps you have no passion for the subject matter.

I have plenty of passion, but I lack Len's unique disposition.

>> Perhaps Windy just got his feathers ruffled in comments on an endeavor
>> in which he is not a participant.

>
> He is participating in the comments and the replies. At the
> Government's invitation.

...and he was as much a horse's patoot in his comments and replies to
the Commission as he is here.

>>>> Your organ grinder pal

>>> Organ grinder pal?

>> Yep, as Hans Brakob has pointed out on a number of occasions, Len is the
>> organ grinder; you are the red-hatted monkey.

> Hans the Dump Huck caller?

Yep, Hans can really call 'em.

>>> Are you trying to insult someone?

>> Are you insulted that I've pointed out the obvious?

> Do you still require D-I-R-E-C-T-I-O-N-S?

Still?

>>> In your comments on RRAP, why do you
>>> find it necessary to target single individuals and groups?

>> I don't just target single individuals, Brian. Married folks are fair game.
>
> I notice that you're taken with Mark's wife.

You've noted wrongly. From early indications, if they hadn't
encountered each other, they'd be ruining two others' lives.

>>>> ...hasn't yet taken the
>>>> first baby step toward obtaining an amateur radio license in all these
>>>> many years. He hasn't gotten into amateur radio. He isn't in amateur
>>>> radio. He will not be in amateur radio, no matter what he writes here.

>>> I guess Len is relegated to a life of professional radio.

>> Len is relegated to his retirement from professional radio and to no
>> connection with amateur radio.
>
> You never know when one will come out of retirement.

Didn't you know? He has a comfortable income, two houses (with no
mortgages), a spiffy car, an old Icom receiver and a dusty, small Johnson.

>>>> Carry on, little red-hatted monkey.

>>> More insults? Way to go, Dave. Pillar of the radio community. Good
>>> DX!

>> Examine your comments to my post and get back to me.

> Not interested in your smug attitude and insulting behavio[u]r. But
> thanks.

You were interested enough to post. Don't forget to leave your little
red hat at the door.

Dave K8MN

# 1959. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 03:47:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <7pqvn1dd350p7r1cq2902kcoo5mbrphe39@4ax.com>
Source record: 124575
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 19 Nov 2005 06:59:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132412393.223242.268720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 17 Nov 2005 15:16:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1132269371.113658.264470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >Steve, K4YZ
>>
>> Make all the justifications you want, Dud -- the fact is that you
>> unwittingly provided all the proof yourself........:
>
> There's no "justifications", Frankie.
>
> You lied, got busted, and now you're even more determined than
>ever to TRY and hit some "responsive chord"....
>
> THE BEST you can hope for is to show that your ONE tour in the
>Marine Corps taught you just enough to surf the web in search of
>keywords you HOPE will fill in the holes....Holes left by your legal
>troubles while you WERE in....
>
> So far, you're not doing so well...
>
>> You didn't know squat about uniform issuance; you don't even remember
>> paying for your first uniform issue in boot camp from your chit book.
>
> Refer to the MCO.

You mean the MCO dated 13 years after you "retired"?

> I didn't pay a dime. Nor was it deducted from my pay.
>
> The "chits" accounted for government money spent...Not mine.

Get a grip, Dud -- anyone who's ever been through MCRD knows better.
The truth can be found in the hundreds of accounts that are available
through a simple google search. Either you are wrong or every other
Marine who ever graduated from boot camp is wrong.

>> You didn't know squat about restriction or liberty, demonstrating that
>> you never served with any OOD which is a regular NCO duty (also done
>> by non-rates when there are no NCO's available -- did it myself a

>> couple times).
>
> Why do you insist on trying to perpetuate fabrications?

Not a fabrication at all, Dud. Your own confusion about the issue is recorded in the archives for anyone to read. Would you like me to quote your own posts for you?

>> You didn't know that the Marine Corps has Shore Patrol, not MP's.
>
> Oh?
>
> Really?
>
>QUOTE from "www.usmilitary.about.com"
>
>United States Marine Corps Enlisted Job Descriptions and Qualification
>Factors
>
>FIELD 58, MILITARY POLICE AND CORRECTIONS
>
>The military police and corrections OccFld provides the commander
>continuous support by enforcing the law.
>
>They are responsible for preventing and suppressing crime; assessing
>command physical security posture; preserving military control;
>quelling disturbances; investigating offenses; apprehending offenders
>and protecting property and personnel.
>
>They are also are responsible for providing flightline security;
>registering and controlling privately owned vehicles and weapons;
>investigating traffic accidents; controlling traffic; antiterrorism;
>handling and safeguarding prisoners of war, refugees, or evacuees.
>
>Also, conducting small unit offensive and defensive combat operations;
>guarding military prisoners and absentees/deserters returned to
>military control; and supervising brig operations and correctional
>custody units.
>Entry-level specialties available include military police and
>Correctional specialists.
>
>Primary MOS's
>
>5811-- Military Police
>
>5821-- Criminal Investigator
>
>5831-- Correctional Specialist
>
>Secondary MOS's (See Note Below)
>

>5812-- Working Dog Handler
>
>5813-- Accident Investigator
>
>5814-- Crime Prevention/Physical Security Specialist
>
>5816-- Special Reaction Team (SRT) Member
>
>5819-- Military Police Investigator
>
>5822-- Polygraph Examiner
>
>5832-- Correctional Counselor
>
>UNQUOTE
>
> So Frankie...What about those non-existant MP's...?!?!

Well by golly, you -REALLY CAN- provide proof of something. It wasn't that hard, was it, Dudly? Or did Han's send you the info in an email? Either way, you were willing and able to address the issue. Progress!

> Need some more Kleenex to clean off that bloody nose, punk?

Nope, and you are too dumb to see that you just gave -yourself- a bloody nose.

> I snipped the rest.

I'll do you a favor and 'unsnip' it so you can address the issues just like you addressed the MP/SP issue. If you like I can repost my allegations with quotes from your own posts so you can address them more specifically -- would that help, Dud?

Ok, let's try this again.....

You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly deployed with the FMF.

You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's, including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system, which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the early '80's).

You didn't know the difference between a 'hitch', a 'cruise', and a 'float'.

You mistakenly assumed the origination of the phrase "One Shot One Kill" was from the Army Sniper School; wrongfully presumed that it is a tactic taught to all Marine recruits; then proceeded to demonstrate

your ignorance about basic combat tactics, the use of shotguns, and the very common 40mm grenade launchers (M-79 & M-203).

You claimed that "the only targets used to train Marine riflemen (and all recruits) [are] head shots and 'center mast', or chest shots", but didn't know that the standard round marksmanship-type targets are also used, and much more often than "dog" targets. In fact, most of rifle qualification is done with the round targets. But you didn't know that despite your claim to have "[taken] home an 'Rifle Expert' on each and every trip to the range".

You didn't know that only the results of an Article 15 proceeding are recorded, not the entire proceedings; and you don't even know what page in the SRB they are recorded.

You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually made by the CO, not HQMC.

You didn't know that outside communications can be prohibited during a base lock-down or unit activation.

You defined a 'chit' as "A Naval term for a 'permission slip'", when in fact it means -any- piece of paper with something written on it.

You didn't know that a dishonorable discharge can only be given as a result of a conviction in a General court-martial, and is usually given after a few years at Leavenworth and a reduction to Private.

You didn't know that the Corps doesn't use cutting scores for promotions.

You misquoted your SIX alleged promotion certificates (a misquote that I later found on google verbatim).

You didn't understand that "years and months in specialty" (box 11 on the DD-214) is not the same as time in service, yet claimed that you have -several- DD-214's.

You claimed that time on the delayed entry program was considered to be time in reserve status but never was.

You stated that "My DD-214 says 'Honorable'...", yet after 1979 there is no box on the form to designate character of service. The DD-214 is only a "release or discharge from active duty", not a final discharge certificate. Only that latter will denote the character of service.

You claimed to have served from 03 September, 1974 to 29 May, 1992. That's only 17 years and 9 months. Yet you also claim to be retired, which can only happen if you served 20 years. You also claimed to have been discharged for medical reasons, having your discharge later upgraded (a claim which you have both denied and acknowledged). You didn't know that medical discharges cannot be upgraded, nor that time

not served cannot be arbitrarily added to the end of an enlistment in order to obtain retirement benefits.

BTW, my MOS was 2841 which is "ground radio repair", not "ground radar repair".

And you still haven't answered one really basic question: With all your careers, activities and obligations, where do you find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?

> You can "argue" that I was trying to be "evasive",

.....and it would be a valid argument.

> but the truth
>of the matter is that YOU are the one with credibility issues here, and
>they are in no small way due to your ego overdriving your common sense,
>Frankie.

The truth of the matter is that you want your dessert but you aren't eating your veggies.

> In short, you're a disgusting little troll looking to be a big
>disgusting troll....

No, Dud, I already -=AM=- a big disgusting troll. But that's a far better thing to be than a Marine Corps imposter.

> Putz.

Well gee, if that's the way you are going to be I might as well tip my King now, huh? I mean, that's about the most persuasive argument that you have made in your defense since this discussion began. How can I possibly ever counter something so monumentally substantial as you calling me "Putz"? I'm doomed........LOL!!!!!

>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

I'm going nowhere until you address your lies, Dud.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1960. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 03:52:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132458768.111195.262190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 19 Nov 2005 06:59:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132412393.223242.268720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
cut
>
> >Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>
> I'm going nowhere until you address your lies, Dud.
>

I hope you have a comfy chair you will be here a LONG time

# 1961. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 03:56:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132459017.640734.14470@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124578

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>an old friend wrote:
> >>
> >>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>>an old friend wrote:
> >>>>
> >>>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>>
> >>>cut
> >
cut

>
> Even a cartoon character has more brains and creditability than you or
> toddieboy.

only if he has good writiers pulling the strings

## 1962. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 04:07:28 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <cludnbRqysg8deLenZ2dnUVZ_vudnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124570

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
> cut
>
>>>straw men and bull shit is all you seem to spout
>>>
>>>
>>>?
>>>
>>
>>I see you would be right in there with toddieboy. Birds of a feather......
>
>
> at least Todd thinks for himself, not sure you think at all
>

I sure wouldn't call what toddieboy does, or even what you do, thinking.
You are both incapable of the act of thinking.

# 1963. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 04:08:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OESff.3240$s14.2296@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124579

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 17, 4:47 pm
>
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>>>>>> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
>>>>>>> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
>>>>>>> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
>>>>>>> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.

>>>>>> It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
>>>>>> post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
>>>>>> rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.

>>>>> "Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
>>>>> you're starting to write like him.

>>>> Dave is trying to insult me.

>>> Yep, I'm responding in kind to your usual red-hatted monkey routine.

>> No. You're responding in your usual smug way.
>
> Hello? Can we say Davie is also pompous and arrogant?

You could, but you'd come off as insincere. After all, you're the guy
who used a reply to comments to slam another individual, Windy.

> Nope. Extra Morsemen are allowed any kind of personal insult
> against others while all NCTA have to be "kind," "gracious,"
> and "civil" to them. :-)

Did Mr. Rightsell single you out for some personal criticism?

>>>>> If I'd wanted to post an
>>>>> instructional message on DXing, I'd likely post it to the DX newsgroup.
>>>> Do you need directions?

>>> "Redicule"?

>> D-I-R-E-C-T-I-O-N-S. Do you need some?
>
> Davie could use OnStar with morse messages...?

Do you have OnStar, Len? It seems geared to mothers with small children
and geezers. I don't use it. After all, I've got a 160m-70cm rig in my
car. I can use SSB/FM/CW with it. Maybe after I'm older I'll look into
the security and peace of mind offered by OnStar.

>
>>>>> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
>>>>> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
>>>>> "egregious" or "insulting".

>>>> Perhaps you have no passion for the subject matter.
>>> Perhaps Windy just got his feathers ruffled in comments on an endeavor
>>> in which he is not a participant.

>> He is participating in the comments and the replies. At the
>> Government's invitation.
>
> Using my RIGHTS as a citizen. Tsk, tsk, Extra Morsemen want
> NCTAs to abrogate their citizenship rights. Not good.

Abrogate your citizenship rights? That's blarney. If it wasn't for the
impolite methods you used toward Mr. Rightsell, I wouldn't mind seeing
you submit more material in your inimitable style, Leonard. You're your
own worst enemy.

>
>>>>> Your organ grinder pal

>>>> Organ grinder pal?

>>> Yep, as Hans Brakob has pointed out on a number of occasions, Len is the
>>> organ grinder; you are the red-hatted monkey.

>> Hans the Dump Huck caller?
>
> Once more, Extra Morsemen think they have civility immunity.

"Go fork yourself, Dudly."
"Go fork yourself, Jimmie."
"Go fork yourself, Davie."

--Leonard H. Anderson

Dave K8MN

# 1964. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 04:14:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <zKSff.3241$s14.794@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124580

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "K□B" on Fri 18 Nov 2005 01:16
>
>> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>>> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
>>> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
>> (Best observed using non-porportional font)
>
> (best heard with BFO on?)
>
>> HyperLog extract station K0HB
>>
>> Date ?Time?Call ?rcv?snt?Bnd?QRG ?Emm?PWR ?Name ?QTH
>> 11/19/00?0052?K4YZ ?59 ?59 ?20 ?14.191?A3J?100 ?Steve ?Dunlap, TN ?
>> 11/05/00?0111?K4YZ ?599?599?20 ?14.032?A1A?100 ?Steve ?Dunlap, TN ?
>>
>> July 2001 issue of QST reports that K4YZ earned a "Clean Sweep" trophy for
>> working at least one station in each of the 80 ARRL and RAC sections during the
>> phone Sweepstakes contest the previous November. PDF available for viewing on
>> the web at http://www.arrl.org/contests/results/2000/2000-nov-phone-sweeps.pdf
>>
>> Sunuvagun!
>
> ...and the above "proves" Dudly the Imposter was in the USMC?
>
> Of course it does. If any Extra Morseman says it, then it "must"
> be true!
>
> Okay, so someone works an amateur radio contest and that is a
> "proof" of his/her military service?
>
> Tsk, the military of the United States has entered a strange,
> new world since 1989 where amateur radio contesting is somehow
> an "honorable discharge."
>
> What next, the Congressional Medal of Honor awarded to a ham
> who relayed the most health and welfare traffic during hurricane
> Katrina?

I see a large amount of your typical blather, Leonard. The fact remains that you wrote (about K4YZ): "We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has ever "worked" him on the amateur bands."

Now you've seen.

Dave K8MN

# 1965. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 04:22:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <17uvn1tuivkgo6uk3nfqqoamagljqb4v56@4ax.com>
Source record: 124581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 19 Nov 2005 19:52:48 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
wrote in <1132458768.111195.262190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On 19 Nov 2005 06:59:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1132412393.223242.268720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> cut
>>
>> >Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ
>>
>>
>> I'm going nowhere until you address your lies, Dud.
>>
>
>I hope you have a comfy chair you will be here a LONG time

I've been on the internet for over 15 years, and was networking
locally about 12 years before that using a dual 8" floppy DEC and a
Silent 700 with built-in modem pushing 300 baud -- I can wait.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1966. Re: FAQ - Interested? Start here!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 04:27:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132460879.036154.77790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124582
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

aaa@spamaway.com wrote:
> And so, on and on go the anti CB and anti-M3 ramblings (rumblings?)...
> What about volunteering to serve on the RSGB or is that too hard? Just
> better to crticise... And what about showing new M3s a better way to
> behave.. by **example**. Nah, just gripe and snipe.. easier.
>
wrong on both count the word would seem to be raving

# 1967. Re: stevie continues to lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 04:28:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <HXSff.3246$s14.2466@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124583

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>>> N9OGL wrote:
>>>>> litoi and eveyone,
>>>>>
>>>>> the problem is your mixing morality and legality, and while it might be
>>>>> "inmoral" to some people morality is NOT the law, if it was this
>>>>> wouldn't be a democracy but a theocracy.
>>> one what has this to do with radio
>> ...about as much as when *you* last commented on this particular series
>> of exchanges.+
>
> meaiing you agree with my contention

No, "meaiing" you are incapable of comprehending your own part in the
discussion.

> Stevies contiued obseesion with sex is out of place for a radio group

I disagree, Mark. If Todd wasn't eager to advertise his little web site
and so quick to comment on the meaning of Japanese words use in its
description, the matter would likely not be broached.

Likewise, if there weren't posts to public newsgroups outlining your own
deviant behavior, most folks would be blissfully unaware that they had a
pervert in their midst. You'd be known only as a misguided fabricator
who refuses to use a spell checker.

Dave K8MN

# 1968. Re: Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 04:33:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <90Tff.3249$s14.2959@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124584

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

>>>> Have you written anything to bring your friend Mark Morgan back
>>>> to reality?

>>> Reality? How am I supposed to do that. I'm not a medical
>>> professional. ;^)
>> Markie's problems are psychological, not medical.
>
> Dyslexia is a mental problem?

Dyslexia is merely the tip of Mark's personal iceberg.

> I call you "Steve" at least 99% of the time. I call Jim by his name
> more than 99% of the time. I do slip up once in a while and refer to
> him as Quitefine. I wonder why I do that?

I wonder why you've carefully avoided the times when you've called him
other names.

Dave K8MN

# 1969. Re: stevie continues to lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 04:38:41 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132461521.266866.296490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124585

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> K4YZ wrote:
> >>>> N9OGL wrote:
> >>>>> litoi and eveyone,
> >>>>>
> >>>>> the problem is your mixing morality and legality, and while it might be
> >>>>> "inmoral" to some people morality is NOT the law, if it was this
> >>>>> wouldn't be a democracy but a theocracy.
> >>> one what has this to do with radio
> >> ...about as much as when *you* last commented on this particular series
> >> of exchanges.+
> >
> > meaiing you agree with my contention
>
> No, "meaiing" you are incapable of comprehending your own part in the
> discussion.

I know it well I am a particpant in it as I have every right to be as
does Len and Brain and you and Stevie
>
> > Stevies contiued obseesion with sex is out of place for a radio group
>
> I disagree, Mark. If Todd wasn't eager to advertise his little web site
> and so quick to comment on the meaning of Japanese words use in its
> description, the matter would likely not be broached.

no one but a prude like yourself insists everyone must hide what they
don't aprove of

>
> Likewise, if there weren't posts to public newsgroups outlining your own
> deviant behavior, most folks would be blissfully unaware that they had a
> pervert in their midst.

wrong again it took stevie perverse interest and obseesion with
everyone else life to do that

> You'd be known only as a misguided fabricator
> who refuses to use a spell checker.

in any event you are know as a liar

and one that isn't honest honest to admit it
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1970. Re: stevie continues to lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 04:40:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132461631.889899.122150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124586

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> K4YZ wrote:
> >>>> N9OGL wrote:
> >>>>> litoi and eveyone,
> >>>>>
> >>>>> the problem is your mixing morality and legality, and while it might be
> >>>>> "inmoral" to some people morality is NOT the law, if it was this
> >>>>> wouldn't be a democracy but a theocracy.
> >>> one what has this to do with radio
> >> ...about as much as when *you* last commented on this particular series
> >> of exchanges.+
> >
> > meaiing you agree with my contention
>
> No, "meaiing" you are incapable of comprehending your own part in the
> discussion.

I know it well I am a particpant in it as I have every right to be as
does Len and Brain and you and Stevie
>
> > Stevies contiued obseesion with sex is out of place for a radio group
>
> I disagree, Mark. If Todd wasn't eager to advertise his little web site
> and so quick to comment on the meaning of Japanese words use in its
> description, the matter would likely not be broached.

no one but a prude like yourself insists everyone must hide what they
don't aprove of

>
> Likewise, if there weren't posts to public newsgroups outlining your own
> deviant behavior, most folks would be blissfully unaware that they had a
> pervert in their midst.

wrong again it took stevie perverse interest and obseesion with
everyone else life to do that

> You'd be known only as a misguided fabricator
> who refuses to use a spell checker.

in any event you are know as a liar

and one that isn't honest honest to admit it

but I ask the question you and stevie refuse to answer like the cowards
you are

what does anybodies sexuality have to do with the ARS?

simple enough question but one you avoid like the plauge itself

the answer is nothing and yet you and stevie having nothing of your own
to offer up choose to enage in deabte over sexuality rather than issues

you are a coward and a fraud
>
> Dave K8MN

# 1971. when will the dastardly dou begin talking about radio? some turth would be nice too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 04:43:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132461792.040096.125790@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124587

Dave Heil wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> >>>> Have you written anything to bring your friend Mark Morgan back
> >>>> to reality?
> >
> >>> Reality? How am I supposed to do that. I'm not a medical
> >>> professional. ;^)
> >> Markie's problems are psychological, not medical.
> > >
> > > Dyslexia is a mental problem?
> >
> > Dyslexia is merely the tip of Mark's personal iceberg.

not realy but of course you know more about someone you have never met
than he know about himself
>
> > I call you "Steve" at least 99% of the time. I call Jim by his name
> > more than 99% of the time. I do slip up once in a while and refer to
> > him as Quitefine. I wonder why I do that?
> >
> > I wonder why you've carefully avoided the times when you've called him
> > other names.

wonder why you just lied?

>
> > Dave K8MN

# 1972. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 04:54:05 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <nvKdndRzVcISbuLeRVn-pA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124577

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>an old friend wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>
>>>cut
>
> cut
>
>>>at least Todd thinks for himself, not sure you think at all
>>>
>>
>>I sure wouldn't call what toddieboy does, or even what you do, thinking.
>>You are both incapable of the act of thinking.
>
>
> pehaps it looks that way to a cartoon chacter like yourself, but just
> because you think think you think don't make it so
>

Even a cartoon character has more brains and creditability than you or
toddieboy.

## 1973. Mewling Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 05:11:31 UTC
From: Windy Anderson <FartSmeller@anon.anon>
Message-ID: <dlp0dm$jna$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124588

I wonder why several of the posters here lend any credence whatsoever to Lennie's posts? Lennie is obviously an Old Troll who entertains himself by bloviating. Dan was correct when he referred to Lennie as a Troll, a comment that showed Lennie's true colors when Lennie responded to Dan with no small amount of gutter language.

Lennie showed his true, inner self with that response.

Lennie is much akin to a stray cat that wanders upon your front porch and mewls until you feed him. If you ignore him long enough he will eventually go away to darken someone else's life.

# 1974. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 05:36:47 UTC
From: Windy Anderson <FartSmeller@anon.anon>
Message-ID: <dlp1t2$q0l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<channel391@juno.com> wrote in message
news:1132428563.688698.265770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
You need to get K1MAN and Walter Cronkite on your show to debate each
other with Geraldo Reveria as moderator. Every ham in the world would
tune in to listen. And you might even get CBS to send a reporter since
it has an interest in Cronkite.
.

Yeah! Perhaps they could send Dan Rather to report. Only problem with Rather
is that he cannot separate facts from lies.
He'd be right at home with Baxter.

# 1975. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 06:55:16 UTC
From: Iitoi <iitoi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o5Vff.3124$wf.359@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124590

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1132359885.559856.304320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> >An examination of your board (it's not very secure, by the way) shows
> >hand-drawn
>>and computer generated pictures of pre-pubescent females involved in
>>masturbation, intercourse with an animal, and other pornographic depictions of
>>children. The question has nothing to do with "legal" --- it has to do with
>>mental illness.
>
>>Go away, and take your kiddy porn with you.
>
> If I'm "mental ill" as you claim then all those other anime boards that
> are internet, which has the same stuff as do are also mental ill.
>
> Todd
> Demented Otaku BBS
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
>

Must have done some good to complain. Todd's kiddy-porn smut page has gone
dark.

--
Iitoi

## 1976. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 08:14:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132474474.747346.308990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124591

an old friend wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> cut
> > > straw men and bull #### is all you seem to spout
> > >
> > >
> > > ?
> > >
> > I see you would be right in there with toddieboy. Birds of a feather......
>
> at least Todd thinks for himself, not sure you think at all

"...thinks for himself..."

So...Supporting and promoting pedophelia is "independent" thought,
worthy of congratulation...?!?!?!

Sheeeeeeesh.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1977. Re: Congats Cecil W5DXP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 08:20:19 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132474819.786438.28830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Landshark wrote:
> "Russ" <carlzomer@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:4leln1hc7fgskadkmckh74niqpomj6qhbd@4ax.com...
> > On 18 Nov 2005 14:24:12 -0800, "moparholic" <twistedhed@wildfun.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> >>
> >> >>Cecil Moore wrote:
> >> >>> Fred W4JLE wrote:
> >> >>> > Nice article in WorldRadio December issue.
> >> >>> >
> >> >>> Thanks, part I was in the Oct. issue -
> >> >>> Part II hopefully in Jan.
> >> >>> --
> >> >>> 73, Cecil, http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp
> >> >>
> >> >>hi Cecil,
> >> >>
> >> >>how about an article on the sick gay shit you hams crave so
> >> >>much.....like how you sick fucks select the "young ham of the year"
> >> >>hehehehhe!
> >> >
> >> > Now here is somebody who is making mommy and daddy proud, eh?
> >> >
> >> > R
> >>
> >> Actually, that's our resident Rec.Radio.CB troll,
> >> Doug Adair N8WWM. Just google N8WWM and see
> >> all the fine writings on record for him. Better yet, go
> >> and google "keyclown" or "keyclownz" in either web
> >> or groups search.
> >>
> >> Landshark
> Wrong, assmunch. But who cares? n8 is the default blame for all you
> buttwipes who can't find out who it really is. Of course it does not
> matter, there's nothing you can do about whoever it is even if you find
> out.
> Markie, you are on the AKC Christmas album, soon to be released. Try
> guessing what song's music was used to make the one about you. Your
> wife mopey has a song too.

# 1978. Re: Genocidal New Orleans Pigs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 08:22:25 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132474945.783454.225960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.law-enforcement,neworleans.general,rec.radio.cb

He is really moparholic@hotmail.com.

# 1979. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 08:22:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132474978.500434.36770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 19 Nov 2005 06:59:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132412393.223242.268720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 17 Nov 2005 15:16:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1132269371.113658.264470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> Make all the justifications you want, Dud -- the fact is that you
> >> unwittingly provided all the proof yourself.....:
> >
> > There's no "justifications", Frankie.
> >
> > You lied, got busted, and now you're even more determined than
> >ever to TRY and hit some "responsive chord"....
> >
> > THE BEST you can hope for is to show that your ONE tour in the
> >Marine Corps taught you just enough to surf the web in search of
> >keywords you HOPE will fill in the holes....Holes left by your legal
> >troubles while you WERE in....
> >
> > So far, you're not doing so well...
> >
> >> You didn't know squat about uniform issuance; you don't even remember
> >> paying for your first uniform issue in boot camp from your chit book.
> >
> > Refer to the MCO.
>
> You mean the MCO dated 13 years after you "retired"?

Those with more guts than you are welcome to call/write/e-mail
HQMC and verify my original statement.

> > I didn't pay a dime. Nor was it deducted from my pay.
> >
> > The "chits" accounted for government money spent...Not mine.
>
> Get a grip, Dud -- anyone who's ever been through MCRD knows better.

Then why don't you?

Perhaps YOUR story is the one worthy of REAL investigation!

> The truth can be found in the hundreds of accounts that are available
> through a simple google search. Either you are wrong or every other
> Marine who ever graduated from boot camp is wrong.
>
>
> >> You didn't know squat about restriction or liberty, demonstrating that
> >> you never served with any OOD which is a regular NCO duty (also done
> >> by non-rates when there are no NCO's available -- did it myself a
> >> couple times).
> >
> > Why do you insist on trying to perpetuate fabrications?
>
>
> Not a fabrication at all, Dud. Your own confusion about the issue is
> recorded in the archives for anyone to read. Would you like me to
> quote your own posts for you?
>
>
> >> You didn't know that the Marine Corps has Shore Patrol, not MP's.
> >
> > Oh?
> >
> > Really?
> >
> >QUOTE from "www.usmilitary.about.com"
> >
> >United States Marine Corps Enlisted Job Descriptions and Qualification
> >Factors
> >
> >FIELD 58, MILITARY POLICE AND CORRECTIONS
> >
> >The military police and corrections OccFld provides the commander
> >continuous support by enforcing the law.
> >
> >They are responsible for preventing and suppressing crime; assessing
> >command physical security posture; preserving military control;
> >quelling disturbances; investigating offenses; apprehending offenders
> >and protecting property and personnel.
> >
> >They are also are responsible for providing flightline security;
> >registering and controlling privately owned vehicles and weapons;
> >investigating traffic accidents; controlling traffic; antiterrorism;
> >handling and safeguarding prisoners of war, refugees, or evacuees.
> >
> >Also, conducting small unit offensive and defensive combat operations;
> >guarding military prisoners and absentees/deserters returned to
> >military control; and supervising brig operations and correctional
> >custody units.
> >Entry-level specialties available include military police and
> >Correctional specialists.
> >

> >Primary MOS's
> >
> >5811-- Military Police
> >
> >5821-- Criminal Investigator
> >
> >5831-- Correctional Specialist
> >
> >Secondary MOS's (See Note Below)
> >
> >5812-- Working Dog Handler
> >
> >5813-- Accident Investigator
> >
> >5814-- Crime Prevention/Physical Security Specialist
> >
> >5816-- Special Reaction Team (SRT) Member
> >
> >5819-- Military Police Investigator
> >
> >5822-- Polygraph Examiner
> >
> >5832-- Correctional Counselor
> >
> >UNQUOTE
> >
> > So Frankie...What about those non-existant MP's...?!?!
>
> Well by golly, you -REALLY CAN- provide proof of something. It wasn't
> that hard, was it, Dudly? Or did Han's send you the info in an email?
> Either way, you were willing and able to address the issue. Progress!

I've been doing it all along.

> > Need some more Kleenex to clean off that bloody nose, punk?
>
>
> Nope, and you are too dumb to see that you just gave -yourself- a
> bloody nose.

Nope. That's "collateral damage" from you, Snivvelling One.

Yet another big snip.

Everything was waddressed, yet you continue to act as if it's still
"out there".

The only thing "out there" is you.

> I'm going nowhere until you address your lies, Dud.

The lies are yours, Frankie of Silliland...

MP's...Float-vs-cruise, uniforms....You've been caught in one error after another.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1980. Re: Bob Woodward and Dan Rather.....the lairs club.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 08:24:10 UTC
From: Keyclowns are limpwristed <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132475050.179578.181130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,alt.politics.democrats,alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Maybe ypu should go out to WA with that camera then...

# 1981. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 08:27:03 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132475223.752791.192970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124596

>Must have done some good to complain. Todd's kiddy-porn smut page has gone
>dark.

no, I'm having problems with the server, will have it back up and
running monday evening

Todd

# 1982. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 08:34:04 UTC
From: Dudley <Goober@anon>
Message-ID: <dlpc9e$4jb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124597

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1132475223.752791.192970@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>Must have done some good to complain. Todd's kiddy-porn smut page has gone
>dark.

no, I'm having problems with the server, will have it back up and
running monday evening

Todd
//////////////////

Bet Roger can assist you. He is away at the nut house for a while, but he
will return.

# 1983. Re: FAQ - Interested? Start here!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 09:28:13 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <79g0o1l98vfs7d5fjs4pmfig0bb73isnet@4ax.com>
Source record: 124598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath wrote:

>Radio Hams
>are qualified to design, build and then
>operate their own pieces of equipment.

However,

[ ] In the UK, no repeat no licence of any kind is necessary to specify, design, construct, modify, repair, own, or (under some circumstances) test an Amateur transmitter.

[ ] A pass in a current examination for a UK Amateur Licence qualifies the successful candidate for the issue of a UK Licence. Holders of the appropriate levels of licence are permitted to operate transmitting equipment that is not subject to a formal approvals procedure, and to carry out technical investigations. A qualification for a Licence, or the Licence itself, is not, repeat not, a qualification to specify, design, construct, modify, repair, or own transmitting equipment.

from
Aero Spike

# 1984. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 09:38:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132479507.429531.88550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124599

nobodys old friend wrote:
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> > >
> > > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Hello, Jim
> >
> > Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier so I
> > can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
> > Audiooooooo ... ;)
> >
> > Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with double
> > sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for interesting
> > effects too ...
> >
> > Where's my sideswiper?
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> > ps - doesn't 10 meters run from 25 MHz to 32 MHz? Or something like that?
>
> given the flaming I got over not knowing what some aphabet soup meant I
> wonder what will happen to Jim for blowing that one 10 meters BTW
> extends from 28MHZ to 29.7MHZ 12 meter as an aside runs from 24.890
> *24.990

Stand-by for more "flaming", Mark.

I know the concept may be a bit foreign to you, but Jim hampton
was being facetious. Those who participate in "freebanding" consider
that part of the spectrum (25Mhz to 32Mhz) to be "theirs". That is the
range that many "export CB's" cover when "opened" for "freeband"
operation.

> meaning jim has called the B band and the 10 meter combined with a
> section above that as 10 metters amuch bigger error i sghould think
> than my not knowing some alphabet soup

"B band"...?!?! I won't even venture a guess.

Your scope of awareness of present day reality of "radio policy and practice" is far too narrow, Mark.

As for "alphabet soup", it's part of what you're supposed to know as a Commission licensee...

No one expects you to memorize them, however you should be able to RECOGNIZE them, realize what they are and look them up in the proper regulation and then comply with the regulation.

> but Stevie will show his double standard again

Nope. Just pointing out that you are, once again, ill-prepared to address the subjects, Mark. You tried to "redress" Jim Hampton based on YOUR lack of understanding, not HIS "error" for refering to it as "10 meters".

Steve, K4YZ

# 1985. Re: Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 09:53:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132480416.095654.320860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124500

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > Dave Heil wrote:

> > > I'm not in manufacturing.
> >
> > Sure you are.
>
> Nope.

Sure you are.

> > > I'm not responsible for other people's kids, but I donate to Toys for
> > > Tots.
> >
> > A project of the United States Marine Corps Reserve...That's gotta
> > get you grinding your teeth a bit!
>
> I think the Marines are a great bunch of people. I think that people
> that try to catch a ride on "thier" coattails aren't.

Me to...That's why I am glad Frankie got court martialed.

> > You've not been paying attention...but then that's not new either.
>
> So you do or you don't want the Morse Code exam kept as a licensing
> requirement?
>
> Make up your minds.

Mine's already made up.

I said it clearly before. I don't like the way things have gone,
but it IS a democratic society. I'd like to see some part of it stay
and have explained myn reasons why.

It's no mystery...Unless you've not been paying attention.

> > > Notice to Len: Start playing nice with these guys when they start
> > > playing nice with you.
> >
> > Uhhhhhh.....
> >
> > Brian....
> >

> > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
>
> We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.

There's nothing to work with with Jim.

He's already establsihed a track record of NOT calling people by
diminutives.

He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.

So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?

> > > My duty as a friend to Len and good citizen of RRAP is now satisfied.
> >
> > No...Your duty as a "good citizen" will be done when you
> > publically state, and then STICK TO IT, your intent to not initiate or
> > perpetuate the use of diminutives towards people who don't use them on
> > you.
>
> I call you "Steve" at least 99% of the time.

And you mimick Lennie's diminutives. And Frankies.

> I call Jim by his name
> more than 99% of the time. I do slip up once in a while and refer to
> him as Quitefine. I wonder why I do that?

Me too.

But I wonder WHY you call him "Rev Jim", "Jimmie", "Reverend Jim"
AT ALL, Brian.

He's never done anything similar to you that I am even remotelya
ware of....

> Now what do you call me?

As of yesterday, I'll call you Brian until you slip back into some
other practice.

A year ago I called you "Brian"...I did slip a couple of times and
immediately apologized for it...

> > And Yes, Brian, I will do the same for you, effective with THIS
> > post...assuming you do and continue the same. Otherwise, it's right on
> > back to the mudslinging.
>
> I don't beleive you. We've gone this route before. Yet I continue to
> call you Steve and you continue with the diminuitives.

"believe"

Yes we HAVE gone this rout before...And when you started back in on the diminutives and mistruths, I asked you point-blank if that's what you wanted. You indicated you "could take anything you can hand out"....

OK...We played your game.

Tired yet?

> We'll see how long you last this time.

No...

We'll see how long YOU last, Brian.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1986. Re: ALL HAM AND CB MUST READ NOW: THE THOUGHTS OF K1MAN ONEDUCATION, LAW, POLITICS, AND BASEBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 10:13:48 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dlpi7o$dg5$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Id rather stay ignorant to this mans incredible book. Im sure i wont suffer
for it ;o)

--
---
Radio is only a Hobby. Dont let it rule your life...

73's - Graham

# 1987. Re: Mewling Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 12:28:04 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132489684.173349.309690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124502

Windy Anderson wrote:
> I wonder why several of the posters here lend any credence whatsoever to
> Lennie's posts? Lennie is obviously an Old Troll who entertains himself by
> bloviating. Dan was correct when he referred to Lennie as a Troll, a comment
> that showed Lennie's true colors when Lennie responded to Dan with no small
> amount of gutter language.
>
> Lennie showed his true, inner self with that response.
>
> Lennie is much akin to a stray cat that wanders upon your front porch and
> mewls until you feed him. If you ignore him long enough he will eventually
> go away to darken someone else's life.

Dan has shown his true inner colors numerous times on RRAP, especially
the years he ran around with Bruce.

# 1988. Re: Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 13:04:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132491840.743102.197760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124503

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > > > I'm not in manufacturing.
> > >
> > > Sure you are.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Sure you are.
>
> > > > I'm not responsible for other people's kids, but I donate to Toys for
> > > > Tots.
> > >
> > > A project of the United States Marine Corps Reserve...That's gotta
> > > get you grinding your teeth a bit!
> >
> > I think the Marines are a great bunch of people. I think that people
> > that try to catch a ride on "thier" coattails aren't.
>
> Me to...That's why I am glad Frankie got court martialed.

That's "Frank." Remember our little agreement?

And Frank wasn't a USMC Reservist.

> > > You've not been paying attention...but then that's not new either.
> >
> > So you do or you don't want the Morse Code exam kept as a licensing
> > requirement?
> >
> > Make up your minds.
>
> Mine's already made up.
>
> I said it clearly before. I don't like the way things have gone,
> but it IS a democratic society. I'd like to see some part of it stay
> and have explained myn reasons why.
>
> It's no mystery...Unless you've not been paying attention.

I've paid attention to your mixed messages. I was just asking which
way you were going with it yesterday.

> > > > Notice to Len: Start playing nice with these guys when they start
> > > > playing nice with you.
> > >
> > > Uhhhhhh.....
> > >
> > > Brian....
> > >
> > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> >
> > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
>
> There's nothing to work with with Jim.
>
> He's already establsihed a track record of NOT calling people by
> diminutives.
>
> He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.
>
> So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?

Like I said, we'll start with you and when you've shown improvement for
some period of time, we can move on to Jim. Jim may take only a minute
or two, but I'm trying to be inclusive here.

> > > > My duty as a friend to Len and good citizen of RRAP is now satisfied.
> > >
> > > No...Your duty as a "good citizen" will be done when you
> > > publically state, and then STICK TO IT, your intent to not initiate or
> > > perpetuate the use of diminutives towards people who don't use them on
> > > you.
> >
> > I call you "Steve" at least 99% of the time.
>
> And you mimick Lennie's diminutives. And Frankies.

I "mimick" nothing.

> > I call Jim by his name
> > more than 99% of the time. I do slip up once in a while and refer to
> > him as Quitefine. I wonder why I do that?
>
> Me too.
>
> But I wonder WHY you call him "Rev Jim", "Jimmie", "Reverend Jim"
> AT ALL, Brian.

You're not paying attention to attributions. I've ceased calling him
Rev Jim because of his unRev-like bahavior. I do not call him "Jimmie"

> He's never done anything similar to you that I am even remotelya
> ware of....

I was through with Jim when he said that a Morse Code test would be a
barrier to Morse Code use, then tried to reneg on it. Yet it is
precisely true and is what I've been on here about. Now Jim is only
about being Len's adversary. He's run out of substance.

> > Now what do you call me?
>
> As of yesterday, I'll call you Brian until you slip back into some
> other practice.

There is no other "practice." The things I have posted today are
Quitesimilar the the things that I posted last week.

It is you who must reach for a higher standard.

> A year ago I called you "Brian"...I did slip a couple of times and
> immediately apologized for it...

A year ago....

> > > And Yes, Brian, I will do the same for you, effective with THIS
> > > post...assuming you do and continue the same. Otherwise, it's right on
> > > back to the mudslinging.
> >
> > I don't beleive you. We've gone this route before. Yet I continue to
> > call you Steve and you continue with the diminuitives.
>
> "believe"
>
> Yes we HAVE gone this rout before...And when you started back in
> on the diminutives and mistruths, I asked you point-blank if that's
> what you wanted. You indicated you "could take anything you can hand
> out"....

The diminuitives AND the mistruths. The diminuitives are posted by
other people, but the "mistruths" part...

That's where this whole thing is going to fall apart. You don't have a
good concept on what constitutes the truth.

> OK...We played your game.
>
> Tired yet?

You're exhausting me, Steve. I don't know how much longer I can keep
this up for.

> > We'll see how long you last this time.
>

> No...
>
> We'll see how long YOU last, Brian.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, I have no problem being civil with people on RRAP. Been doing most of my time here. Like your concept on truth, you have trouble differentiating between me and other posters on RRAP.

# 1989. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 13:23:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132493032.309198.228300@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124504

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
> >> ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> >> an old friend wrote:
> >> >>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >>> > Bill Sohl wrote:

> >> The "new point" allegedly against the NPRM was raised repeatedly:

> > >
> >Who wrote that, Len? You write as if it's a direct quote.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. Jimmie seems fearful of exposure of something.
> Guilty conscience? :-)

No.

Who wrote:

"Morse code skill is necessary to defeat terrorists and save
lives in hurricanes [Katrina]!"

in their comments to FCC?

It wasn't me.

You write it as a direct quote, and you claim to have read all the
comments.
So it should be easy for you to name the author(s).

> Had Jimmie gone INTO the ECFS filings on WT Docket 05-235, he
> would have SEEN THAT repeated many times.

Show us, Len.

> >> Bill, the pro-coders are mighty macho motivated morsemen and
> >> pillars of the amateur community (by their own statements).
> >> They ARE the "public" the FCC is supposed to support! :-)

> >Len, do you think phrases like "mighty macho morsemen" help
> >convince the FCC to see things your way?

> Tsk. Self-appointed "Superior [Moot] Court Judge" Miccolis
> thinks this newsgroup is some sort of "communication with the
> FCC?!?" Jimmie, you are terribly confused about reality.

> Now you just relax, take some deep breaths, and go to the
> FCC ECFS and search for my name. [it is very easy given the
> software tools provided by the FCC...even morsemen can
> usually understand it] Look at any of my filings before the
> Commission. Examine them closely. Do you see any phrasing
> of mine using "mighty macho morsemen?" No?

No. But there are similar things that make you look like a complete
jackass.

> Well, then,
> WHY do you think I stated that to the Commission?

I don't. I was asking a question.

> >> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> >> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> >> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."
> > >
> > >Not true, Len.
> >
> > ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis.

Absolutely false, Len. Not one word of truth to it. You are mistaken,
wrong,
in error. Your denial is more of your typical jackass behavior.

> Everyone realizes it.

Actually, no one but you "realizes it".

> Why not admit that it is so?

Because it's not true.

> >The Amateur Extra class license required both a Morse Code test *and* a
> >written test that many who passed both consider harder than the old
> >First Phone. Morse Code skill alone wouldn't get anyone an Extra.

> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
> than the Amateur Extra exam.

Why? I'm not making the claim - those who took both written exams
made it. Ask them.

> You never completed that last
> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
> and could only get a SECOND class.

So? You never completed *any* amateur radio test element.

> EVERYONE knows that the Amateur Extra is granted ONLY when
> BOTH the code test AND the written examination tests are
> passed. One CANNOT have one without the other.

You apparently don't know that, because you wrote:

"Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
"extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket.""

> >Do you think nobody will use Morse Code when the test is gone?
>
> Irrelevant. NPRM 05-143 is solely about the morse code TEST.

Then it won't bother you abit if Morse Code use *increases* after the
test is gone.

> >> They demand holding fast to the
> >> status quo lest they lose THEIR self-esteem.
> >
> >Gee, Len, you go on about others' motivations but say nothing about
> >your own.
>
> Tsk, tsk, you are trying the old, tired trick of Dudly the
> Imposter, attempting to misdirect the subject into some
> nebulous "personal" fault.

No, I'm pointing out your double standard. Or rather, one of your many
double standards.

> >> There is *NO* Real Majority in the Docket.

> >Yes, there is. Try counting by commenters and not by total filings.
>
> Already done that, Jimmie.

Then you didn't publish it in your results.

> YOU did NOT. You are trying to
> escape by accepting Speroni's biased website "tally" as "your
> own.

Not at all.

I'm refusing to accept the procedural mistakes of *your* highly biased
and error-prone tally. Such as counting the comments and reply comments
of the same person as separate opinions.

> You have NOT gone into the ECFS and real ALL the filings
> there or done your own sorting.

Have you? How do we *know* you have? Your behavior here
indicates you have not, because you missed major points made
by various commenters.

> All you do is blindly believe
> equally-biased pro-code "interpreters" such as Speroni and
> then try to make out as if you did it.

The only bias is yours, Len.

> The polarization of opinions is too strong, TOO CLOSE, for any
> statistical validity FOR EITHER "SIDE" to "win."

Are you a statistician, Len? I think not.

> You KNOW
> this but are unwilling to admit it after your obvious-to-all
> bias for code testing. Why do you persist in living a lie?

The "lie" is that you obfuscate your methods.

> >> As of 2 PM EST, 16 November, there are 3,783 filings in Docket
> >> 05-235 up to and including 14 November 2005. At BEST, the
> >> total number of filings represents only about 0.6 percent of
> >> all licensed United States radio amateurs. That's only a
> >> SAMPLING of the "amateur community" opinion.
> > >
> > >I specifically wrote "majority of commenters".
> >
> > Who cares what you "specifically" wrote?

Those who care about the facts rather than you windy, wordy,
blather.

> This is NOT Moot Court
> and there is NO penalty for some imagined charge of perjury
> you invent on-the-spot to justify your words.

You made a mistake, Len old boy. Now you're trying to
insult your way out of it. Doesn't work.

> >> Joe Speroni is an unabashed proponent of morse code use

That's a good thing.

> >So am I.

That's another good thing.
>
> Joe Speroni is also a multiple-petitioner before the Commission
> who has been DENIED by them each time.

So what?

Do you know what he wants in regard to Morse Code testing? What did his
comments say, Len?

Or don't you know?

> Have YOU petitioned the
> FCC for anything, Jimmie?

Don't you know?

> >Is there something wrong with Morse Code *use*?
>
> No.

At last! Something we agree on!

> But NPRM 05-143 is NOT about morse code *use*. :-)

Sure it is. If the rules changes affect Morse Code use, then
the proposal is about Morse Code use.

Eliminating the Morse Code test may reduce Morse Code use.
You'd like that, of course.

OTOH, there are reports that in some countries (Germany, Australia)
Morse Code interest and use have been *increasing* after the Morse
Code test was removed.

Wouldn't that be the ultimate paradox if the end result of eliminating
the
*test* for Morse Code increased its *use* by radio amateurs?
>
> Try to stay focussed on what the NPRM actually said.

Try to stay focused on your spelling.
>
> Are you fearfull that the Commission will take away your
> little morse code sandbox on HF?

What "little morse code sandbox on HF", Len? There are no
Morse-Code-only subbands on HF. There should be, though.

> >No, just the facts. All there for you to check. Did you find any mistakes
> >that would change the results by even 1%?
>
> No, Jimmie, Speroni's RESULTS are ALL THERE IS.

You can check them, though.

> HE did all
> the "interpreting" and some of that is WRONG

Where?

> ...see a "pro-code"
> comment from an English Department [instructor] who said out-
> right in her Comment that she is neither into amateur radio
> nor desirous of obtaining a license.

And how is that a mistake? What did she say about the Morse Code test -
is she for it, against it, or something else?

Besides, *you* are neither into amateur radio
nor desirous of obtaining a license, yet your commenting all over the
place.

> What did Speroni DO about all those Comments of the 5 weeks
> between the release of NPRM 05-143 and the Notice in the
> Federal Register on 31 August 2005?

Included them in the tally. Why not?

> Note the words of the
> Notice in the Federal Register - the one that makes the
> procedings legal - stating the OFFICIAL dates.

Do you *really* think the FCC will ignore the comments filed
before the OFFICIAL dates?

And if they *do* ignore those comments, and only take those
from the OFFICIAL comment period, what would the percentages
be - by *your* tally?

> >Or are you just ticked that someone else did a better analysis than you,
> >and had the skills to put it on a website for all to see?
>
> HEHEHEHEHEHEHEHE. Tsk, tsk, Jimmie. "Skills?!?"

Yes. You don't even have a web page, even though AOL gives a free page
to each screen name. Even I have used the web pages supplied by AOL.

> Money and
> time to afford preaching the morse code gospel for years?

Website with a direct link to each comment. So anyone can easily check
AH0A's work.
You haven't shown a single case where his classification was unclear or
incorrect.

> Long after EVERY OTHER radio service in the USA has dropped
> morse code mode communications?

Who cares, Len? Morse code has *not* been dropped by Amateur radio.
Besides,
you said that "NPRM 05-143 is NOT about morse code *use*."

So what's your big problem with people promoting its *use*??

> No, NOT "TICKED," Jimmie.

You sure seem to be. Almost all the time. Grumpy, yelling, flying off
the
handle, tantruming, acting like a tired two-year-old who won't go to
bed.

> I put my time and effort into a
> running account of numbers on the expressed opinions on NPRM
> 05-143 as seen on the ECFS public listings in WT DOCKET 05-235
> and did it in THIS NEWSGROUP.

Do you want a merit badge and a pat on the head, Len? Seems like it.

Or maybe what you really want is for people to take your results as
fact and not question your methods. Nor point out your mistakes. You
get really nasty and upset when somebody points out your
mistakes.

> I have no morse axe to grind
> long after all the other radio services (except amateur radio)
> have dropped it for communications. YOU DO.

> YOU are TICKED, Jimmie.

You must refer to someone else.

> You are ticked because the early
> Commenters were eager to Comment FOR the NPRM by a 2:1 ratio
> that went against the wishes of the pro-coders.

Commenters or comments?

Besides, those are from before the OFFICIAL comment period, right?
The ones you're afraid FCC will ignore?

What's the percentage from the OFFICIAL comment period?

Maybe the procode folks knew enough to wait for the notice in the
Federal Register.

> >Do you think that someone who files a comment and five reply comments,
> >all basically saying the same thing, should be counted as six separate
> >opinions? I don't.
>
> Tsk, tsk. Then MAKE YOUR OPINION KNOWN to the Commission.

I'm asking *you*, Len. In this newsgroup. You're awfully ticked because
your bias and procedural errors have been exposed by me.
>
> The Commission has established (long ago) the PROCEDURE of
> Comments and Replies to Comments. Think of it as a "hearing."
> It is NOT a "court."

So you think it's OK for you to lie and conceal. OK.

> It is NOT some "election" and counting
> of "votes."

The why did you count them as if they were?

> The Commission takes in all of those filings
> and studies them, then reaches a decision based on what the
> Commission thinks is "good for the PUBLIC."

Which includes me, Len.

> The PUBLIC, Jimmie,
> not some vociferous pro-coder extras who think they are
> "better" than others by virtue of their radiotelegraphy skill.

How about a vociferous anticode nonamateur who thinks he is
"better" than others by virtue of his lack of radiotelegraphy skill?

> You already have a model filing in the ECFS showing "how to
> do it." Look in the ECFS under filings on WT Docket 98-143

> on date of 25 Januarly 1999 for Steven James Robeson.

You mean the one where Steve told the FCC not to pay attention to you?
That was good advice.

> Remember also that the LAST day of OFFICIAL filings on
> 98-143 was 15 January 1999.

Remember that you barely made that deadline, and had to use US mail
to do it. So your only commentary on 98-143 wasn't visible for comment
by others until after January 15.

> Try not to be as late as this
> Robeson person...and try to avoid his Klu Klux Klan style of
> trying to strip a citizen's rights guaranteed under our
> First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Now you're just acting like a complete, perfect, state of the art
jackass, Len.

There is nothing in Steve's comments that tries "to strip a citizen's
rights
guaranteed under our First Amendment of the United States
Constitution."

> >> There's *NO* Real Majority in Docket 05-235. It is just a
> >> very close, half-and-half mix of opinions.

> >Nope. There's two clear majorities of opinion, as expressed by the
> >tally of commenters: Dump Element 1 for General and keep it for Extra.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Jimmie. YOU are an Extra.

That's a good thing.

> YOU love morse code.

That's another good thing.

> YOU are seeing what YOU WANT TO SEE.

That's not true.

I am seeing what's there.

> >Consensus isn't a majority. It's a lot more. I don't think you know what
> >a consensus really is, Len.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, "Judge of the Superior Court" and Sister Nun
> of the Above is trying to tell a published author and
> editor "all about words and their definitions?!?"

I don't know who those folks are.

But I am telling you that you don't know what certain words mean. If
you can't take correction, then you're not a very good author or

editor.

> >> So be it. That the IARU was already for tossing out S25.5
> >> before WRC-03 isn't considered by pro-coders. CHANGE is
> >> NOT allowed to status-quo-ists. <shrug>
> >
> >Like those who oppose changes in nearby real estate?
>
> NPRM 05-143 has NOTHING to do with real estate.

But you do. You opposed letting the owners of real estate
near your house make zoning changes. You tried to keep
the status quo, so that others could not do what they wanted
with their own property. You failed in that attempt.

> The FCC
> does NOT regulate real estate; such is left to local
> state and county governments.

And you failed in your attempt to stop change there.

> Are you deficient in basic
> government of the United States?

No. Are you deficient in analogies?

> >> I'd say it's a toss-up on time. 05-235 has nearly twice as
> >> many filings as 98-143.
> >
> >So what's your guess for The Pool, Len?
>
> Irrelevant.

I'll put you down for "no clue".

> >FCC made it clear they see no reason to change the privileges of
> >any license class.
>
> Then WHY are you so concerned?

You don't understand what the NPRM proposes, Len.

> >Their proposal is to dump Element 1, which will
> >mean that any noncodetested Tech will need to get a General to get
> >*any* HF/MF privileges.
>
> Tsk, tsk, do you also try to teach your grandmother how to
> suck eggs?

What does it mean to "suck eggs", Len? Does it mean to act like you?

> I'm well aware of NPRM 05-143 and what it said as of 21 July
> 2005. Do you need a copy? It's on the ECFS, pre-dated,
> under 15 July 2005. It has the time-stamp of when it was

> received by ECFS, different from its Search Results filing
> date.
>
> Does a Report and Order conform EXACTLY to what a Notice of
> Proposed Rulemaking says? At ANY time at the Commission?
> I've not seen ANY and that includes MANY different
> procedings, not just on the amateur radio service regulations.
> Would you point out which R&O was EXACTLY like its NPRM?
> That would reinforce your contention and your alleged
> prescience.

What the heck are you blabbering about?

The NPRM does not propose to give noncodetested Technicians any HF
privileges at
all.

> >> What WILL happen on a very close race is that about half will
> >> be totally pissed off because they didn't get things their
> >> way...and about half will feel victorious as "winners."
> >
> >Will you ever stop being ticked off, Len?
>
> Why do you say I am "ticked off?"

Your behavior.
>
> We don't have any ticking device at the southern house. There's
> one at the northern house in Washington but that mechanical
> clock is seldom used, either by us (when we are there) or our
> house-sitter/occupant.
>
> Jimmie, I say YOU are the one "ticked off." You try to be
> an all-knowing guru of amateur radio in here, holding fast
> to the status quo (and the status-rank you achieved under
> old regulations).

You mean like the status quo of real estate zoning in Sun City?

> CHANGE seems to be anathema to you.

You mean like changing the real estate zoning in Sun City?

I'm not against change at all, Len - *IF* that change is for
a good reason.

> You
> keep bringing up the past, the past before your existance,
> as if you had been there.

So what? You do the same thing. In fact, you talk about the past
and present of amateur radio as if you were/are there - but you're
not.

> You do not look to the future.

Sure I do. My vision of the future is different than yours.

> You do not think of newcomers in any way except to go through
> the same motions as you had to. Your only interest seems to
> be triumphing all extras (you are one) as the ultimate all.
> Of course you hate CHANGE. It will destroy your self-esteem,
> your bragging rights. That must REALLY tick you off!

That's just your typical blather to avoid the facts.

> >> the future. From what I've seen of past Dockets and resulting
> >> R&Os, the Commission does a thorough job of decision
> >> justifications, not just on amateur radio but on all services.
> > >
> > >Like they did on BPL?
> >
> > Access BPL, Jimmie. NPRM 05-143 has NOTHING to do with Access
> > BPL.

> You have NOT looked at the latest regulations in Part 15, have
> you? I thought not. View those. Also, remember one thing:
> The FCC was NOT ABLE TO PROHIBIT any Broadband over Power Lines
> OTHER than place limits on its incidental RF radiation.

And they could have made the rules such that Access BPL wouldn't be a
viable system. But they didn't.

> >> Those that didn't get what they want will bitch and moan and
> >> make nasty but that's only "sore-loser-ism" on their part.
> > >
> > >You mean like in your reply comments?
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk...there you go again, taking things out of
> > context and trying to misdirect discussion.

You're a sore loser, Len. In fact, you're even a sore winner.

> YOU are NOT any "judge" of who can say what on any procedings
> and dockets at the FCC.

Neither are you, though you try to be.

## 1990. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 13:57:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132495060.058774.15400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124505

>Must have done some good to complain. Todd's kiddy-porn smut page has gone
>dark.
>--
>Iitoi

Sorry to bust your bubble eh, but it's up and running again hehehehe, I
was up all night working on it.

Todd N9OGL
DEMENTED OTAKU BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

# 1991. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 14:21:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yD%ff.166$A23.87@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124506

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 19, 3:59 pm
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: "K4YZ" <major...@aol.com> on Wed 16 Nov 2005 20:30
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>>>>>> In my comments to the Commission, I did not find it necessary to target
>>>>>>> a single individual or group, nor did I use terms like "puffery",
>>>>>>> "egregious" or "insulting".
>>>>> Poor baby. Does Davie think that all against the code test
>>>>> are equivalent to "two-year-olds refusing peas at dinner"
>>>>> as Robert Rightsell did?
>>>> And once again Lennie digs in with personal attacks...Yet INSISTS
>>>> that he doesn't do such things...
>>> I don't. Dudly the Imposter can't distinguish between STRONG
>>> formal commentary to the federal government and his usual
>>> "putzy" venalities she makes to others in here...

>> Tell us, Len, what is "STRONG formal commentary"?
>
> Apparently, anything that sets off David! :-)

Obnoxious people set me off, Leonard. Are you an obnoxious person? :-)
You used the term "STRONG formal commentary". What is it?

> It doesn't matter to "judge' Heil since he discards any
> "evidence" he doesn't like... :-)

It matters; it matters. Besides, I only discard the factually incorrect
material. :-) :-)

>
>> Does formal
>> commentary look like your derogatory comments toward Mr. Rightsell?
>
> Are you Major Dud?

That'd be impossible. "Major Dud" is a fictitious character. You just
called me "David". Surely you know the difference. Does STRONG formal
commentary look like the stuff directed toward Mr. Rightsell?

> Why do you "answer" to a reply to K4YZ?

Oh, did you think you were in an email exchange?

>> Why is it that you couldn't use the term "formal commentary"?
>
> Why is it you can't shut up? :-)

Are you attempting to limit my First Amendment rights, Leonard, old boy?

>> For what reason was the word "STRONG" used and capitalized?
>
> For what reason can't you let others - to whom replies are
> clearly identified - reply for themselves?

You're posting in a usenet (not derived from "university network")
newsgroup. Anyone may post here in this amateur radio newsgroup (as
you've often pointed out) and anyone may reply to any post.

> Why are you so fearful of opposing opinions against others?

You went way beyond "opposing opinions".

> Can't take it anymore?

Does it appear that way to you?

> You are no longer STRONG enough?

Strong enough for what, Len?

>>> The rest of us readers have been waiting impatiently for the
>>> PROOF of Dudly's claims.

>> I don't think so, Leonard. There is no evidence to support your statement.
>
> There is no evidence to support your Johnson, either... :-)

My big Johnson is currently supported on a stout table.

> [do you need a new supporter, scampering frisky one?]

Can you tell me how it is that you have knowledge of what "us readers"
are waiting for?

> Here's a DOS Tip, heaping-big chief-of-the-keys: Just
> make a formal statement to the FCC. WT Docket 05-235 is
> still OPEN. You can file your little black heart out,
> taking me to task for DARING to comment on something you
> have "vested interest" in. You WILL be in public view for
> as long as the Commission keeps that Docket open for
> public view.

How would that answer the questions I posed to you? Why would I use the
same scurrilous methods used by you?

> [WT Docket 98-143 is still open to the public for viewing
> long after FCC 99-412 ordered the Restructuring of 2000;
> you can even file on that one arguing NPRM 98-143]

>
> If you need a model for a denunciatory reply to anyone,
> go to that WT Docket 98-143, search for surname Robeson
> at date 25 January 1999. There it is, "saddled and
> waiting."

If I need such a model, I can easily reference your material about Mr. Whitesell.

> Of course, the FCC isn't considering such Comments after
> the official ending date, but that is irrelevant to your
> lofty purpose, isn't it? You are busy, busy, busy in
> trying to shut up opposing opinions any which way you
> can. Better improve on that because it isn't working.

So, why would I bother commenting if the FCC isn't considering the comments? Were your comments submitted after the ending date? Aren't they being considered by the FCC?

> Keep the faith and keep on truckin to remove First
> Amendment rights to every citizen who doesn't have an
> official amateur radio license.

Didn't you just suggest that I shut up?

> Need a copy of "My
> Camp" in its original language?

You're parting with your signed, first edition? I'm honored, Len. I'm good at the callbook address.

> Seig Heil!

Interesting sig. I don't think we're related. That branch of my family has been here for 140 years. The other branches had been here waiting for better than 100-200 years.

Dave K8MN

# 1992. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 14:40:59 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132497659.109908.90770@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124507

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > The purpose of the whole drill was to get you to find out from
> > uncontestable sources that my information was accurate.
>
> No need. Given your propensity for lying, it's a safe bet that you
> were wrong again.

Bad logic, Brian.

Why would I direct you to a source that was uncorruptable?

If I HAD been 'wrong', I would have given you eternal bragging
right, now wouldn't I...?!?!

> Hans'
> > presenting of the order, howevr well intentioned, harpooned that.
> >
> > None-the-less, Frankie's rant was shot all to be-jeebers.
>
> Only Hans was suckered into playing your "drill," harpoon and all.
> Reminds me of the GNR episode in "The Dead Pool."

Hans wasn't "suckered" into anything.

His information was dead on accurate.

And you now have the resources with which to finish the job,
Brian...It's just up to you whether you're going to do it or not...

You can do it, which will only serve to verify what I've been
saying all along...GOD FORBID it would deprive you of the opportunities
to call me a liar.

Or you can NOT do it, and then waffle along with all sorts of
"It's not my job" or "I bet you're lying anyway" excuses and the rant
wars go on.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1993. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 14:57:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132498631.861936.247250@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124508

nobodys old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > LenAnderscum@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 02:45
> > >
> > >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
> > >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > >> In other words, if it didn't make money for you, it wasn't going to
> > >> get your time and effort.
> > >
> > > You really can't understand anyone who doesn't have YOUR
> > > immaculate set of "standards" can you?
> > >
> > I've met people like you, Len. They're all about what positions they've
> > held, how much they made, the cost of their home(s), the brand and year
> > of the car they drive. They miss a lot of life. They never seem to do
> > anything for the love of it.
>
> sounds more like you and Stevie than Len

Then you've not been paying attention, Markie. (Like THAT'S
new......)

> > It isn't just about baby steps (not baby shoes), is it? You don't care
> > to join an activity where you'd still be considered a beginner, do you?
> > I mean, you haven't achieved the neophyte level in amateur radio.
>
> more projecting and assuming agains Alas for Dave he does not seem to
> know what ASSuming leads to

How do you see Dave as "projecting"...?!?!

Dave's name has appeared in EVERY Amateur Radio journal (save,
perhaps, for "Ham Radio" and "QEX") at one time or an other for the
last 10-15 years that I am aware of.

Lennie WILL be a "beginner" as it pertains to Amateur Radio. He
may know how the trons flow, but he can't seem to operate a station.

> > >>> Let's see...I am retired from a successful career (from regular
> > >>> hours, I still do contract work IF I want to) in electronics
> > >>> design engineering, have a fine house (all paid for), have a
> > >>> wonderful wife (who was also my high school sweetheart), have
> > >>> had enough TITLES and POSITIONS to satisfy me, half century,

> > >>> a comfortable income to handle easy living now.
> >
> > What do your former employment, income, home and marital status have to
> > do with your getting an amateur radio license, Len?
>
> what does the sexual habits of anyone have to do with it either?

Depends on if you try to do one or the otehr at the same time.

> doesn't stop you and Stevie from making an issue of them

Just following the cue, Markie...Just following the cue....

> > You can write "YES it IS" all you want. Obtaining an amateur radio
> > license isn't about those things.
>
> it should not be but many Hamas don't seem to show they are not into
> such such things

Jesus Fa-reekin-Key-ryst!

Now the Hamas is using Amateur Radio...?!?!?!

Who next? al-Queda? The PFLP? Red Faction Group?

> > >> Then why are you so unfriendly here, Len?
> > >
> > > PCTAs are NOT a friendly sort when their radio toys and merit
> > > badges are threatened.
> >
> > How are you threatening anyone's radio equipment, Len? Tell us about
> > the merit badges. Back to the question: Why are you so unfriendly here?
>
> indeed I would like to know how Len posting is threatening to anyone
> radio toys or license status

Lennie is a liar. A prolific one and an verbose one.
Unfortunately, many people only hear the loudest squeak, and if scum
like Lennie isn't kept in his place, he can get away with his mischief.

> Len however is not truely able to explain that

Of course not. Lennie will reply with any one of several
pat-answers about his rights and his "true intent", then go right on
with his open warfare against Amateur Radio.

> perhaps if you or esp Stevie took a long hard look in the mirror you
> might enlighten us

The problem is not in mine or Dave's mirror...

> > Please explain how retention of morse testing is regression in any form.
>
> by defeating the aims of the ARS haven't you been reading anything all
> these years

This argument of "Amateur Radio can't progress while there is a
Morse test in place" is a BS argument, and has been for the 30 years
that I have been an Amateur.

Need proof?

Go find a copy of November QST, dated 1975, and then tell me
there's not been ANY "progress' since then, Markie.

And I can tell you what ELSE you can find in 1975...My name and
callsign.

Know what you WON'T find in a 1975 QST...?!?!?

Lennie's name and callsign.

> > After all, morse code is used daily by thousands of radio amateurs.
>
> had enough of dave flushing the rest

What you MEAN is that you''re tired of trying to effectively dodge
the questions and answer them with some meaningful response.

Steve, K4YZ

# 1994. N9OGL Stays Up At Night Promoting Pedophilia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 15:08:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132499295.919568.98660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124509

N9OGL wrote:
> >Must have done some good to complain. Todd's kiddy-porn smut page has gone
> >dark.
> >--
> >Iitoi
>
> Sorry to bust your bubble eh, but it's up and running again hehehehe, I
> was up all night working on it.

Too bad you didn't spend some of that time on that college
education you've been lying about, Todd...THAT would have impressed
me...

Instead you spent the night promoting kiddie porn.

Steve, K4YZ

## 1995. A Direct Question for Brian P Burke, N0IMD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 15:18:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132499919.278357.287080@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124510

K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....

K4YZ
> > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.

N0IMD
> > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.

K4YZ
> > There's nothing to "work with" with Jim.
> > He's already established a track record of NOT calling people by diminutives.
> > He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.
> >
> > So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?

N0IMD
> Like I said, we'll start with you and when you've shown improvement for
> some period of time, we can move on to Jim. Jim may take only a minute
> or two, but I'm trying to be inclusive here.

You keep suggesting that there's something to "move on to", Brian.
I want to know what it is you think you have to "move on to" vis-a-vis
conducting yourself to YOUR standards in talking to/about Jim Miccolis.

What's YOUR excuse for using diminutive language towards Jim
Miccolis when he's NEVER done it to you...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 1996. Re: N9OGL Stays Up At Night Promoting Pedophilia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 15:20:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132500057.555179.246190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124511

Boo hoo Stebie tell it to someone who cares.

Todd

# 1997. Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 15:56:27 UTC
From: Doug W <wein38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dgh1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 124512

On 20 Nov 2005 05:57:40 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >Must have done some good to complain. Todd's kiddy-porn smut page has gone
> >dark.
> >--
> >Iitoi
>
> Sorry to bust your bubble eh, but it's up and running again hehehehe, I
> was up all night working on it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> DEMENTED OTAKU BBS
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

From http://www.ctitech.com/service/CTIterms.asp:

"2. You may not post or transmit any message which is libelous
defamatory or which discloses private or personal matters concerning
any person. You may not post or transmit any message, data, image or
program which is indecent, obscene or pornographic."

Furthermore, most ISPs don't like you running servers unless you pay
business rates for your connection. Are you paying CTI as a business
customer, or are you stealing bandwidth from them? I suspect the
latter, because you don't even have a dedicated host name and have to
use your IP address instead.

Finally, you have that Mac OS X server configured badly. I was able
to look at any photos I wanted without joining your BBS, simply by
going to the URI "http://12.156.123.39/azbb/" and clicking on the
jpegs. Pictures which depict the degradation and torture of young
girls undoubtedly fit the definition of "indecent" as used by your
ISP, and I don't think that you're so stupid that you don't know it.

Instead, I think that you are seeking any kind of attention you can
get, including negative attention. That's why you come here to taunt
the regulars; you WANT them to get angry and to pay attention to you.

# 1998. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 15:57:27 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1132502403_14145@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 124513

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1128651368.821920.110480@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> He seems to have the clout to say it will be. Why not the opposite?
>
> *WHY* should we all pay to rebuild a city below sea level in a
> hurricane zone?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

OMG!!!!!!! Howdy all and Happy Thanksgiving coming up. I know this is an old post (from Oct) and I'll see if I can follow it to current, but just opening messages randomly and saw this sentiment.

Hooray, Jim, for saying that. Exactly. Man, down here in the Dallas area, people are seething (OK, maybe not seething, but they're pretty darned po'ed) about this whole situation. We have people out of work, homeless, distraught, etc., every day all day here. And, when the disaster happens, suddenly compassion must go out.

Not saying the poor folks wrapped up in this tragedy don't deserve compassion. They do. But, what in the world is the deal with this? As I said, people are struggling every day--and it didn't take a disaster to ge them there: they live this every day for whatever reason. News around here shows the evacuees (they didn't want to be called refugees) are thankless, asking for more, and say they DESERVE whatever "we" can get and give for them!!??

PAH!!! I'll tend to myself and they can, too. We've got motel/hotel rooms, apts, homes, etc., with the folks living in them who've been sitting on their royal you-know-whats and, now that FEMA said assistance would end Dec 1--they (the evacuees) have decided that they need to go find work, find new homes, etc. EXCUSE ME??!! Shoulda been out there longer ago than now.

I don't know. It's one huge messed up siteeashun down heeya. And, those of us who were forced (by way of tax dollars) to support the lunacy that's been behind the assistance, are fed up. NO, we DON'T need to support any rebuilding in a hurricane zone. Period. (Although a lot of trade and commerce goes through the port...what about that?)

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 1999. Re: BTW Stevie were watch the news lately about NASA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 16:14:21 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1132503417_14155@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 124514

"Michael Coslo" <mjc5@enoughalready.psu.edu> wrote in message
news:di6c5e$upi$1@f04n12.cac.psu.edu...
>
> I have no problem in principle with a person building their house on
> the lava dome of Mt St Helens if they are so inclined. However, I do
> have a problem if he wants me to buy his insurance or build him a new
> house when the present one burns up because of it's location. Same goes
> for building that wonderful vacation retreat on a barrier island or 50
> feet from the ocean. That land is transient, and IMO so is any human
> structure built on it
>
> Do you support paying for these peoples stupidity? (The stupidity is in
> my opinion - but a pretty good case can be made for it being stupid)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

A pretty good case indeed. Here's the insanity, in my opinion. The cost of things related to this disaster are just gross. Please. I have a brother-in-law who is down in that area doing sub-contract work for FEMA. He is down there with his dad. His dad hasn't had a thing to do YET. Been down there for, I think, around a month--maybe more--at $65.00 a day. The man (the dad) hasn't worked a day in his life for years, and whines about any arguments from his kids to get to work. The son (my brother-in-law's brother) is getting paid somewhere around $3500.00 a month!!

You've surely all heard the rates that are being paid for rooms in cruise ships, motels, hotels, apartments, etc. for these folks to live? Rates those places couldn't make under normal circumstances!! When the folks were over here at the Dallas Convention Ctr., we had an ex-coworker (bless her beautiful, patient heart) who called us one day and wanted all of us to get money together from everyone at her former workplace to BUY PLAYSTAIONS for the kids...and, oh yeah, it would be great if big-screen TVs could be bought so they could "see better." 'SCUSE ME? A) these folks are in a disaster situation and should have had tents provided to them nearer to their home area (we do that in foreign lands...why not here?); B) what happens to these TVs and Playstations at the end of all this?

I wish you all could walk around on the streets of Dallas downtown and ride the public transportation and hear the stories of the $2,000.00 credit cards that were obtained from people that live HERE LOCALLY and simply showed up and got one. AND, the same credit cards being used by some of the evacuees to buy drugs, overpriced designer clothing, purses, CDs, etc. One person was asked why they weren't buying food with it, and "oh, that's being given to us, we don't need to buy food."

It's just gross the way all this has been handled. Stupidity. Absolutely.
I'm going to look at the newsgroup the next few days (vacation...yahoo!) and
see what's happening.

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2000. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 16:50:51 UTC
From: 6H4Ballast <bonobochimp789@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <d10b9$4380a96c$d1cc7bc5$2151@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 124516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"I Wanna Know" <ShowTime@anon.anon> wrote in message
news:dlmj4h$in$0@pita.alt.net...
> I wanna know. Todd, when is your next scheduled 'show'? I wanna listen.
>
> I promise I won't jam you.
>
> Woger might, but I won't.

What is the TIME and FREQUENCY for the above show?
I ain't heard a good 'radio war fun' since the heydays of
the 14.313 net wars. Ham radio needs another 'master clown
frequency' where one can tune in and have a good laugh.
This keyclown crap is boring, it's much better listening in
to the screwbally live on the air where one can have a good
belly laugh at them and poke-em-with-a-stick on occasion
thrh the monkeybars.............

## 2001. Re: A new day is on the horizon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 17:14:30 UTC
From: 6H4Ballast <bonobochimp789@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <abb4b$4380aef8$d1cc7bc5$2390@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 124518
Cross-posted to: alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1132412844.419993.288290@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

> I'm not responsible for the neighbors dog biting another neighbor's
> kid, but I pulled the dog off.
> Do you see how it works? Not my responsibility, but it was the right
> thing to do.

I had a neighbor (now thankfully moved away) who's dog used to
shi+ in my front yard. Bitch neighbor let it run around loose and
said not to worry about the dogcrap as "the rain would wash
it away". That gave me some inspriation. I went and put a
cap from a spray paint can into the lawn under a bush near
where Spot-the-Shi+dog liked to leave a deposit. The cap
was 1/4" below ground level so it was not readily visible.
I would fill it late at night so as nobody would notice, dipshits.
For the first few days I added sugar to the water that I filled
the cap with and ole Shi+dog would happliy lap it up after he
took a dump. Content he was now 'trained' to drink from my
cup-of-death, on day #5 I added a nice tablespoon of Prestone
to the water and ole Shi+dog lapped it up like it was gold. He came back
one more time and that was his last. That dog NEVER shi+ on my
lawn again and the bitch who owned shi+dog moved away 3 Months
later after she and her boyfriend started fighting. Last I heard he beat
the crap out of her someplace a few towns away. I could only hope
that my giving (now deceased) shitdog his "final daily drink" aided in
that tasty predicament.

Don't get mad......GET EVEN.

# 2002. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 17:25:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Fk2gf.1600$rM2.910@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124515

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 18, 6:11 pm
>
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: K4YZ on Nov 17, 7:15 pm
>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>>>> Basically, it comes down to the fact that Len thinks he's too good to
>>>>> have to learn Morse Code - or anything else - for an amateur radio
>>>>> license.
>>> [inaccurate heilian imagination...]

>> Inaccurate is quite right. I didn't right the paragraph above your
>> one-liner, Windy.
>
> "Right," Man of La Mancha...:-)

Yes, it is right.

> But Jimmie's prose is in the finest heilian tradition
> of Writing Rongs. :-)

Prose?

>> There you go. You can't be bothered. So what's with the amateur radio
>> fetish, Len? Were you beaten with a Lightning Bug as a child?
>
> Sorry, Davie, you'll have to clean your own mirror above
> your computer...too many bugs on it.

That doesn't make sense, Leonard. I'm fine with morse code testing and
morse code use *and* I'm a radio amateur. The fetish is yours.

> "Fetish?" :-) All for wanting to toss out an old, out-dated
> code test that isn't useful to anyone but some old farts like
> yourself?

You're the oldest fart here, Len and you aren't involved in amateur
radio. Like I said, you have a fetish.

> Oh, yes, I remember your EXCUSES for using "CW" on a TTY
> circuit in Africa someplace...you had to "synchronize"
> your TTY machines.
>

> BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

I made no excuses and you weren't involved in my work any more than you
are involved in amateur radio. In other words, you're a non-factor in
either.

>>> If you wish to make a mountain out of a molehill, you can
>>> get some staffer at Newington to look into my correspondence
>>> with the late Vic Clark, then President of ARRL.

>> I'm sure it is all neatly archived. They just need to grab the "Leonard
>> H. Anderson" accordian folder.
>
> Tsk, I didn't bother to keep mine, went in recycling years
> ago.

You've been recycling here too. You've certainly gotten mileage out of
your irrelevant military experiences of better than half a century ago.

> FCC 90-53 made it just the same. "One small step
> for code test elimination, one giant leap for Technicians."

...and your correspondence with Vic Clark made that happen?

> Did you know that both Tech classes together constitute almost
> HALF of all U.S. amateur radio license grants? True!

Yep, when something is simple enough, many folks will opt for it rather
than attempting that which is more difficult. Many never go beyond the
easiest license despite the limited privileges it offers.

>> So your ideas were dismissed and you've never gotten over it. I knew
>> Vic Clark. He was a fine person.
>
> Of course he was.

You've met him?

> You've met everyone of note.

I have?

> They visit
> you at one of your embassies? :-)

Vic Clark was a silent key before I entered the Foreign Service. I've
met lots of notable people while in the Foreign Service--a U.S.
President, his wife, two Secretaries of State, a number of U.S.
Congressmen and Senators, former Finnish President Mauno Koivisto,
Forumula 1 driver Mika Salo and even trumpeter Clark Terry among others.
I got to see a number of other people of note--Secretary of State
George Schultz, Boris Yeltsin. Your name didn't come up.

> Vic Clark expired years ago, Davie.

Lots of people expired years ago. That didn't preclude their having
been fine people.

> You say nice-nice about the long-ago dead? That's nice.

...only the ones whom I considered fine people. It is nice.

>
>> It isn't exactly a civil rights movement, is it, Len? Did you guys
>> stage a big march on Newington?
>
> Ohm my, aren't you the nasty fella? :-)

How is my question nasty, old timer? :-) :-)

> "Newington" isn't the center of the universe.

Who wrote that it was, Leonard?

> It isn't even the
> center of the hamiverse.

Actually, in this country, it is the closest thing we've got.

> What comes out of there is poesy of
> the good old days in hamme radddio...following in the nightly
> yellow footsteps of the Great One, "T.O.M."

What is "hamme radddio"? What nightly footsteps are in evidence and why
would they be yellow?

> Sorry, lil Davie, but there was a "comment march" on Washington.
> 3,786 filings worth on WT Docket 05-235.

What, pray tell, is a "comment march". Is that anything like "message
knuckles"? Of those filings, were all in support of your position?

> The anti-code-test movement is gaining momentum.

Not to the tune of 3,786 filings on 05-235, it isn't.

> The year
> 2005 isn't 1935 anymore and fewer and fewer people are
> agreeing with the code-aholics.

...and the year 2017 won't be 1865. Who are the code-aholics?

> Try to learn to live with it. It's for your own good.

Let me see if I have it straight: 2005 isn't 1935 anymore? Did it used
to be?

>>> You think elimination of the code test is "undermining"
>>> amateur radio? I don't think so.

>> I think so and I'm *in* amateur radio.

> Then dig your barricades deep. When the bulldozers over-
> run you, more of your body parts will stay attached to
> your body.

You aren't wrapped very tight.

>
>>> Roughly half the U.S.
>>> amateur radio community doesn't think so (if the 0.6% of
>>> all licensees is a good sampling).

>> Roughly half? It looks like under half of the sampling.

> ROUGHLY HALF, lil Davie.

Roughly, but not quite half, old Lennie.

> Of course you are going to ARGUE your lil pointy nose off
> that Joe Speroni's BIASED (definitely pro-code)
> interpretations are some kind of super accuracy and
> "valid." They aren't, but he's a morseman extra and
> he's okay. :-)

Of course you are going to ARGUE that YOUR BIASED (definitely anti-code)
inaccurate interpretations are valid.

>> Are you an organization, Len? I was paid for my job.

> By the Department of State.

Yeah? Weren't you paid by the organization which employed you? Tell us
about the guilds and unions and how you're like them.

> You WERE from the government
> and were there to "help."

I was of the government and I was there to help the government.

> Which may explain the bad image
> of the USA outside of our borders.

Would you care to see your own special profile again?

>> I've been paid as a musician.
>
> Union or scab? [wanna see my AFTRA card? :-) ]

Were you an actor portraying a musician? :-)

>> I'm not paid as a radio amateur.

> No? Awwwwww....

>> I'm not paid as an amateur astronomer.

> Neither are you required to have ANY license to look at
> frequencies higher than 300 GHz...such as way, way up

> in light. :-)

What's your point? Amateurs at anything, aren't paid. They do things
for the love of doing them.

> Does Palomar know about you? Does Schmidt help you?

>> No, I don't think you need anything additional to brag about, Len.
>
> Davie, baby, "it ain't braggin' if ya DONE it. I done it."

Then you don't have a "braq quotionent", Len. You have an "I DONE it
quotionent", except that when it comes to amateur radio, you ain't done it.

> Remember who used that Dizzy Dean misquote in here first?

The quote has been attributed to a number of people over the years.

>> You seem to do just fine the way things are.
>
> Quite right, La Manchie...
>
>> You might want to brush up on
>> spelling if you want to include that in your "braq quotionent".
>
> YESSIR, Mr. Herr Robust! I vill do as you kommand!
>
> I vill WRIGHT all my RONGS! [just like you did]

>> The things you are unable to do--you're secure in them?
>
> Absolutely.
>
> Tsk, tsk, there are so many NEW things coming up, things
> that weren't here before, that there's PLENTY of new
> stuff to explore, to experiment with, to fool around with.

I didn't write about anything particularly new, Len. I asked about the
things you are unable to do.

>> Looks like your "braq quotionent" is doing fine.
>
> HAY La Manchie, Ise doing lak ya said, tryin' ta WRITE mah
> RONGS.

> You gotsa prollem wid dat?

Some of your stuff defies response.

>> There's a nip in the air and the winter winds are gusting, Len.
>
> Ooooo...you're RIGHT, OAT got down to mebbe 60 F tonight!

>> Stand here by the lodge hall window.
>

> Good lord, WHY? I had lunch at Guild Headquarters today,
> nice fellowship there. Food was okay.
>
> I don't belong to any "lodge," sweetums. Haven't done that
> drunken bit down at any VFW Lodge.

You often write as if you have great experience in doing so.

>> Use your tattered jacket sleeve to wipe
>> away some of the condensation.
>
> Oh, oh...you've been cribbing your ill-literations from old
> Reader's Digest magazines, haven't you?
>
> BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>> Some of the fellows are standing by the fireplace. The flames dance and
>> the pleasant scent of burning oak lingers in the room. A couple of
>> fellows are discussing their DXCC totals on Top Band. Look--four of the
>> members are sipping their hot buttered rum and laughing. By golly, I
>> think one of them mentioned "Anderson". I think they mean *you*, Len.
>
> Not me, sweetums, they tawkin 'bout Anderson PowerPole connectors
> for their mo-bile rigs.

> Judas H. Priest, you lay those ill-literations on so thick
> that the lowest-grade Associate Editor at Boys' Life would
> yank it out of the slushpile and toss it in the circular
> file muy pronto.
>
> Dinna wurra, laddie, Boys' Life magazine will send you a nice,
> polite form-letter REJECTION. Forget the Digest. Enquirer
> doesn't go for THAT kind of syrupy, sloppy prose; I know a
> free-lancer who does sell to NE. Maybe you could try the
> poetry journals...don't know much about them.
>
> "Fireplace?" "Burning oak leaves?" Mid-afternoon OAT (that's
> Outside Air Temperature to you ground-bound earthlings) got
> to 82 F today. Be about the same tomorrow. Gotta cut the
> lawn tomorrow but that will be easy with my cordless electric
> Craftsman mower (made by Black & Decker).
>
>> You poor, ignored blighter. You're still standing out in the cold and
>> looking in. I guess you showed us.
>
> Sorry, you're thinking of Val Germann. He's been an unmodified
> Tech for over three years. [my micro-fiber jacket isn't
> tattered, you've got the wrong guy...]

It couldn't have been Val, Leonard. He's a licensed ham. He is
permitted full voting membership in the old lodge.

> I was hangin' with some NBC West Coast Hq types at lunch. We
> weren't talking about hamme raddddio.

No doubt. They probably weren't even discussing ham radio.

> HDTV and remote pickup
> trucks and some new graphics imaging came up like the Oscars
> show and other events to be done in wide-screen. Lookin'
> good in the neighborhood.

Irrelevant.

> You got any DTV-compatible TVs, lil Davie? Nice stuff there on
> cable TV service. Comcast has two cable channels for nothing
> but wide-screen TV, lovely imagery, looks wonderful on the
> 27" LCD flat-panel we just got. Saw "Alias" and "CSI" in
> wide-screen last night (Thursday). Great stuff. Action and
> drama. Recreation! Enjoyment!

As a matter of fact, Leonard, I've been watching HDTV for better than
the past two years. Get your enjoyment where you can. For watching TV,
you're an insider. For amateur radio, you're an outsider.

> Nah...you don't want that "crap," do you? You and your rum-
> sipping buddies gotta grin about "pioneering the airwaves"
> with "CW" and making all those point scores.

I have it, have had it and find that it doesn't preclude me from doing
the other things I care to pursue. In the watching television vs.
amateur radio arena, you're 1 for 2.

> Keep up the
> wunnaful, wunnaful vurk on DX...I hope your Orion can reach
> the Dakotas to pay amateur tribute to Law-rence the box-
> squeezer. "Ay vun an a too..." :-)

I worked a few Europeans and some South Americans last night on 160m CW,
Len. I did some testing of a 6m FM link to an area 70cm repeater last
evening with W8MSD and I squeezed in some HDTV viewing of college
football. You do as you can and I'll do as I choose.

> Watch out on too much rum-sipping, old-timer. Follow the
> mathematician's warning: "Don't drink and derive!"

Your stuff died with Vaudeville.

> Fork yourself, Dave. You're done.

Actually, Len, statistics say that I should be at least a couple of
decades from being done.

Dave K8MN

# 2003. Re: Mewling Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 18:07:57 UTC
From: Digital <Woger@anon>
Message-ID: <dlqdte$gns$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124517

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1132489684.173349.309690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...

Windy Anderson wrote:
> I wonder why several of the posters here lend any credence whatsoever to
> Lennie's posts? Lennie is obviously an Old Troll who entertains himself by
> bloviating. Dan was correct when he referred to Lennie as a Troll, a
comment
> that showed Lennie's true colors when Lennie responded to Dan with no
small
> amount of gutter language.
>
> Lennie showed his true, inner self with that response.
>
> Lennie is much akin to a stray cat that wanders upon your front porch and
> mewls until you feed him. If you ignore him long enough he will eventually
> go away to darken someone else's life.

Dan has shown his true inner colors numerous times on RRAP, especially
the years he ran around with Bruce.
.

Perhaps Dan has, but I'd still prefer his company to Lennie.

# 2004. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 18:20:39 UTC
From: 6H4Ballast <bonobochimp789@yahoo.nosp.com>
Message-ID: <ca4f9$43813852$d1cc7a6c$10958@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 124605

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
>
> Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

That's fine by me as just 20% of hams who use HF
also use CW on a regular basis.

# 2005. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 19:09:16 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <4380C9DC.6A35CA81@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124519
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gudmundur wrote:

> In article <1130522688.763854.113650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk says...
> >
> >What is Ham Radio?
>
> Do you have some point to prove here you ignorant bandwidth waster?
> What kind of asswipe constantly posts the same dribble when in fact
> newsgroup articles are archived?
>
> What is Polymath?
>
> He is a self important asshole who's self assumed duty is to educate
> folks about that which he knows nothing but only quotes things he has
> heard or read. No actual brain activity belongs to PolyDouche.
>
> Why do you constantly keep this up?? You prove you are to newsgroups
> the very thing you hate most about others.
>
> Why waste the space on this newsgroup, and why waste the bandwidth???
> If you want something to play with stick your hands in your pocket and
> play. You are the lowest form of life that exists.
>
> You Polymath are truely worthless, no one here learns from your constant
> post of the same dribble and in fact no self respectable ham would act
> in the way you do, proving you are among the most childish of the very
> CB'ers you seem to hate.
>
> Suck me, blow us, or just blow away!! Fuck your useless posts!!!
> Go talk politics with the WalMartians at your local WalMart.
>
> Do you have nothing better to do than post the same worthless note?
> Are you that lonely and disfunctional? You don't even rate as high
> on the evolutionary scale as the idiots of 3898khz.
>
> You have made a name for yourself indeed Polymath the biggest asshole
> known to any newsgroup.
>
> Tell us of anything electronic or ham related that you ever built. Give
> us something of value. You can't and you know it and it pisses you off
> to look at such a worthless asshole in the mirror each and every morning
> and the worst part is tomorrow isn't looking any better.

>
> Take your worthless post, print ten thousand copies and shove one up
> your ass each morning while you contemplate your own suicide. If you
> lack the methods and means to such an end I'll be the first in line to
> by you a complete suicide do-it-yourself kit.
>
> Opinions expressed here are my own but reflected by the entire newgroup
> and anyone else with 2 or more functioning brain cells.
>
> Piss off pal and take your idiotic plagerised post with you.

Yes, bit of a bore isn't he? I'll put you down as "don't know..."

## 2006. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 19:10:50 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <4380CA39.3BBBBDC9@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124520
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:

> You would seem to be one of the Childish Broadcasters (CBers)
> warned against in the article.

Ah! The usual. Is that what the regulars want to be like?

## 2007. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 19:13:32 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <4380CADC.FC511408@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124521
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Polymath wrote:

> In addition, if you disagree with what I post, and do
> not wish to see it....

> If you have a reasonable - and reasoned - response as
> to what you think Ham Rad...

Just a pointer, Polymath. The term "ham" radio is not endearing to the vast majority of this ng.

## 2008. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 20:24:13 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <Phil@philtherabbiman.net>
Message-ID: <dlqlu7$ii0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124522
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"6H4Ballast" <bonobochimp789@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
news:d10b9$4380a96c$d1cc7bc5$2151@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> What is the TIME and FREQUENCY for the above show?
> I ain't heard a good 'radio war fun' since the heydays of
> the 14.313 net wars. Ham radio needs another 'master clown
> frequency' where one can tune in and have a good laugh.

Herb and his band of sociopaths *were* very entertaining.
Only big problem was when some of Herb's sociopaths took
things to real life. Herb was well aware he was recruiting
dangerous people into his BARF organization. He regarded
them as "useful idiots," who did his dirty work for him.

Rabbi Phil

# 2009. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 20:28:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132518497.117679.311750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124523

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 18, 6:11 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: K4YZ on Nov 17, 7:15 pm
> >>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
> >>>> Basically, it comes down to the fact that Len thinks he's too good to
> >>>> have to learn Morse Code - or anything else - for an amateur radio
> >>>> license.

And that's a plain, simple fact.
>
> Did you know that both Tech classes together constitute almost
> HALF of all U.S. amateur radio license grants? True!

Yes, it is!

But let's look at the trend:

On May 14, 2000, those two license classes constituted 49.5% of current
unexpired US amateur radio licenses held by individuals.

On November 15, 2005, those two license classes constituted 48.0% of
current unexpired US amateur radio licenses held by individuals.

The percentage of licenses, as well as the total number of licenses,
held by the combined group of Technicians and Technician Pluses has
dropped considerably in the past 5-1/2 years.

OTOH:

On May 14, 2000, General class licenses constituted 16.7% of current
unexpired US amateur radio licenses held by individuals.

On November 15, 2005, General class licenses constituted 20.4% of
current unexpired US amateur radio licenses held by individuals.

On May 14, 2000, Extra class licenses constituted 11.7% of current
unexpired US amateur radio licenses held by individuals.

On November 15, 2005, Extra class licenses constituted 16.2% of current
unexpired US amateur radio licenses held by individuals.

> Sorry, lil Davie, but there was a "comment march" on Washington.
> 3,786 filings worth on WT Docket 05-235.

And the majority supported at least some code testing.
>
> Tsk, tsk, there are so many NEW things coming up, things
> that weren't here before, that there's PLENTY of new
> stuff to explore, to experiment with, to fool around with.

And you're not part of them, Len.

# 2010. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 21:12:32 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132521152.063559.181070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124524

From: Dave Heil on Nov 19, 8:08 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 17, 4:47 pm
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:

>>>>>>>> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
>>>>>>>> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
>>>>>>>> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
>>>>>>>> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
>
>>>>>>> It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
>>>>>>> post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
>>>>>>> rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.
>
>>>>>> "Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
>>>>>> you're starting to write like him.
>
>>>>> Dave is trying to insult me.
>
>>>> Yep, I'm responding in kind to your usual red-hatted monkey routine.
>
>> No. You're responding in your usual smug way.
>
>> Hello? Can we say Davie is also pompous and arrogant?
>
>You could, but you'd come off as insincere. After all, you're the guy
>who used a reply to comments to slam another individual, Windy.

Heil started this thread with an overt personal insult against
me. QED ("it is as demonstrated")

>Did Mr. Rightsell single you out for some personal criticism?

No, but you do not understand the procedings of public
commentary on Notices of Proposed Rulemaking. Think of
it as a form of politics. In politics the "gloves come
off" many times and that is acceptible.

>Do you have OnStar, Len? It seems geared to mothers with small children
>and geezers. I don't use it. After all, I've got a 160m-70cm rig in my
>car. I can use SSB/FM/CW with it. Maybe after I'm older I'll look into

>the security and peace of mind offered by OnStar.

I do not have OnStar. I do not need any GPS device.

AAA membership and a cellular telephone serves adequately
for long automobile trips. Map services on the Internet
(from both AAA and MapQuest) provide detailed driving
directions and warnings of highway construction undwerway.
My wife and I recently completed a 5,200 mile trip to the
midwest and back. This is the third such long trip we have
done in six years. We have driven to Washington state many
times (a mere 1,000 miles) as well in those six years.

Whichever one of us is at the wheel during those trips
knows to pay primary attention to driving, not playing with
hobby radios.

>Abrogate your citizenship rights? That's blarney.

"Plain, simple fact:" WT Docket 98-143, 25 January 1999,
Comment by Robeson. Clear attempt by him to deny my
exercising First Amendment rights.

>If it wasn't for the
>impolite methods you used toward Mr. Rightsell, I wouldn't mind seeing
>you submit more material in your inimitable style, Leonard. You're your
>own worst enemy.

"Impolite?" :-)

Heil is terribly upset by ONE Reply to Comments. I have filed
SEVEN Replies to Comments plus one Comment on Docket 05-235.

Heil has had ample time to file his own Reply to Comments
on my Replies to Comments. Heil has not. Heil wishes to
vent his bile, spite and anger in here. <shrug>

>>>>>> Your organ grinder pal
>
>>>>> Organ grinder pal?
>
>>>> Yep, as Hans Brakob has pointed out on a number of occasions, Len is the
>>>> organ grinder; you are the red-hatted monkey.
>
>>> Hans the Dump Huck caller?
>
>> Once more, Extra Morsemen think they have civility immunity.

All note the title of this thread containing an overt personal
insult directed at myself. :-)

>"Go fork yourself, Dudly. [he's done]"
>"Go fork yourself, Jimmie. [he's done]"
>"Go fork yourself, Davie. [he's done]"

A common cook's technique to ascertain the condition of meat or fowl being cooked in an oven, grill, or barbeque. It enables determining when it has been cooked enough.

It appears that the individuals alluded to have been roasted, spitted, and grilled overmuch if they complain so readily. :-)

Maybe I should have used more marinade? I tried Tenderizer but that didn't stay on their foul.

Bon apetit!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2011. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 21:16:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132521405.447003.106160@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124525

From: Dave Heil on Nov 19, 6:34 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 02:45
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:

>>> In other words, if it didn't make money for you, it wasn't going to
>>> get your time and effort.
>
>> You really can't understand anyone who doesn't have YOUR
>> immaculate set of "standards" can you?
>
>I've met people like you, Len.

No you haven't, Heil. People like me would AVOID your kind.
Such avoidance allows you to continue living. Be greatful
for that.

> They're all about what positions they've
>held, how much they made, the cost of their home(s), the brand and year
>of the car they drive.

Such as those who were "in the foreign service" now
living in a large residence with many antennas? That fancy,
expensive Orion transceiver? :-)

>They miss a lot of life.

They do? Ohm my. Do you define "life" as only enjoying what
YOU enjoy?

I don't think that's right. Everyone ought to do what THEY
like...at least in my mind. You seem to think that is wrong.

What am I "missing?" I have many activities, all of which
I have selected based on what I enjoy.

I enjoy some luxuries in life and the freedom of retirement.
I enjoy the relationship I have renewed with my wife (after
a long absence since our days together in high school). I
enjoy a new car which is not a luxury vehicle and replaces
a 1992 model. I enjoy a number of friends both here and
around the country, just recently having a reunion with
family friends in the midwest plus good companionship with
my wife's classmates at their 50th Graduation Reunion.

>They never seem to do anything for the love of it.

No? :-)

Have you ever considered that what YOU observe in others
might be flawed?

Nah. You are without flaw...you are an Extra Morseman!

>It isn't just about baby steps (not baby shoes), is it? You don't care
>to join an activity where you'd still be considered a beginner, do you?
>I mean, you haven't achieved the neophyte level in amateur radio.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...more arrogant superiority manifest there, Heil.

Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY. It isn't a craft, guild,
or union that demands some kind of "apprentice-journeyman-
master" hierarchial order...except in the minds of some olde
fahrts who love to talk down to "lesser folk" (the ones THEY
think are "lesser").

Am I some kind of "beginner" in radio after a half century
of experience in more parts of the EM spectrum than any
amateur is allowed? Am I some kind of "beginner" because I've
operated transmitters with far higher power outputs than
amateurs are allowed to have? Am I some kind of "beginner"
because long ago I learned how to design radio circuits from
a blank pad with pen on to the finished hardware and gotten
them to perform as originally specifed?

You seem to think so. What it really boils down to is
manual telegraphy.

I would be an absolute beginner at telegraphy, no dispute,
if I were to take that up again. All I know is the pattern
of dots and dashes and their corresponding English language
characters. That's suffed into a good memory with lots and
lots and lots of other data, some useful, some not.

But - and this is very important in the NPRM 05-143 decision -
the FCC has ALREADY made ALL ALLOCATED MODES OPTIONAL TO USE.
There just isn't ANY mandate to exclusively use radiotelegraphy
except on two small slivers of the lower end of 6m and 2m.
But, getting the amateur license to use ANY amateur band
below 30 MHz still requires passing a telegraphy test!

I'm seeking to eliminate that telegraphy test. There's no
point in having it except as a vestige of pride still felt
by those long-timers who once considered themselves as
'compagnons de telegraphe' because the human-made regulations
gave them status-rank-privileges BECAUSE of that telegraphy
test. I and thousands upon thousands of others have operated
radio transmitters legally and competently at frequencies
below 30 MHz without being required to know or use any radio-
telegraphy skills. That "plain, simple fact" shows the

hypocrisy of the PCTA in demanding the retention of the
telegraphy test. That test regulations does NOT serve the
public, only the few already-licensed in amateur radio who
consider, self-righteously superior through passing a
telegraphy test.

Now, if you wish to start some program to teach real
beginners in radio the skills of telegraphy, I am not
against that. Feel free to use what allocations you've
been granted. So far. Beep your little Orion to outer
space if you want.

Remember, what YOU consider to be "necessary" is NOT
shared by the public, is NOT a physical requirement to
operate any RF emitter below 30 MHz. It is just your
personal desire. You are not yet a god of anything,
are not divine. You are simply inflexible and self-
righteous, seeking to retain federally-mandated testing
in skills which you passed some time ago.

>What do your former employment, income, home and marital status have to
>do with your getting an amateur radio license, Len?

If you wish to make some kind of game out taking words
and sentences out of context, then I can beat your game
any time for amount that you can count. That's wasted
effort and impolite.

>>> You've told us how great things are for you many, many times, Len.
>>> As if all that somehow explains your obsession.
>
>> "Obsession?!?" :-)
>> Trying to change federal law is an "obsession?"
>
>In your case, yes, it is. You are obsessed.

Incorrect. It is PERSISTENCE. It is IDEALISM, a quest to
make things better for others who share some of my interests.
It is many things but it is definitely not some deviant
obsession.

On the other hand, those who have met old test regulations
and insist and insist that those should be kept for the
future are suspect. Their self-righteousness is suspect.
Their failure to change with a changing reality is suspect.
Their obstinancy on keeping the old ways forever in this
new millennium are suspect. Their perceived self-worth
is threatened by feared loss of status and privilege,
perhaps even rank in the pecking order of the "amateur
community."

I've just rounded up the usual suspects and shown some light
on them. You complain of the glare in your eyes. Too bad,

that makes it hard for you to admire yourself in the mirror.
Apologies to you for that. I may have to change to a more
intense light source...

>You can write "YES it IS" all you want. Obtaining an amateur radio
>license isn't about those things.

True, the U.S. amateur radio test regulations have nothing
about baby shoes or taking little baby steps.

RIGHT NOW the U.S. amateur test regulations require a
telegraphy test for any class privileges below 30 MHz.
THAT is what many are trying to change.

NPRM 05-143 is about ELIMINATING that code test. Change
for the future, for the public...the public in the
Commission's language is ALL OF US, not just the personal
desires of the few who have met and passed telegraphy
tests.

>>> Then why are you so unfriendly here, Len?

Because both Miccolis and Heil are decidedly unfriendly to
all who disagree with them. They will not bend from their
self-righteous opinions, offer no real concessions on the
code test, act arrogant and superior (Heil becomes abusive)
on the subject of radiotelegraphy. They increase all that
on replies having opposite opinions. They continue attempts
at "pushing buttons" of those opposed to them.

They have sown what they now reap in return.

>That's simply incorrect, Leonard. You insult anyone who favors
>retention of morse testing in amateur radio.

Miccolis and Heil both PERCEIVE insults where there is only
strong, sharp responses to their overbearing self-images.

This venue is a debate forum, not a gathering around the
bar at a local fraternal order. It does not have to be
"friendly" in the sense that all "must" think alike, have
the same opinions. If you wish "hail fellow well met"
gatherings, seek fellowship among your own kind. This
venue is open to ALL who are able to access it.

>Please explain how retention of morse testing is regression in any form.
>After all, morse code is used daily by thousands of radio amateurs.

Those radio amateurs - if operating legally - below 30 MHz
using radiotelegraphy have ALREADY passed a federal
telegraphy test. If they have already passed it, removal
of the code test regulation will not affect their operating
privileges.

However, the code test retention WILL affect all those uncountable in the future who MAY want to get an amateur radio license having below-30-MHz privileges. They are not invisible, only uncountable because there is no accurate way to get their numbers. At best, the Commission gets only a general impression of their numbers in the filings on Docket 05-235. So far, those numbers of the public against code testing are about even with those for its retention.

>Care to see your special profile, Leonard?

A "profile" by whom? Someone who dislikes me intensely in public? By someone who has no claimed training/schooling in psychology? By someone who is a staunch, stubborn, steadfast pro-code-test-advocate?

Feel free to post any "profile" you wish. I will repeat it for the benefit of all those who might miss one...as I have before. Feel free to make a Big Issue of it. All that does is show what a self-righteous little spiteful sociopath you are in here when your personal opinions are countered.

>You continue to complain that others insult or denigrate you. You've
>told Jim that he never had any "PRIDE" in his work.

Yes, I should be taken to task on that, considering that Miccolis has NEVER TOLD ANYONE HERE *EXACTLY* WHAT HE DOES AT HIS *UNNAMED* EMPLOYMENT PLACE.

>You go on to call him "Brother of Dudly".

In some ways Miccolis *IS* like Dudly the Imposter, yielding only vague generalities of what he does/did without giving more specific descriptions. You fit that description in some posts...such as your "being in a country at war" implying that you were somehow personally engaged in warfare...and that later your only description of military experiences of any specific nature involved operating some MARS radios in a "behind the front lines" location. You've then made repeated denigrating statements about my assignment at a "rear area," something that I had no choice but to accept at the time.

>Do you consider your behavior to be rude?

No. It is strong and confrontational...which has been quite normal in computer-modem networks since the original ARPANET spread out into the world. These networks are not for the faint of heart or the easily disturbed one-sided inflexible ideological bigots who refuse to compromise.

NPRM 05-143 is a direct affront to the perception of some in what constitutes "ham radio" or "amateur radio." Some insist

that radiotelegraphy is "the heart and soul of ham radio," therefore a test for that skill must "always" be in the regulations. Those are repeated phrases (although not necessarily verbatim) from many of the Comments found in Docket 05-235 written by those in opposition to the NPRM. That is the "world" to them and, should the telegraphy test be eliminated, will result in the END of that "world" to them. Naturally those people will be disturbed, distraught, angry, or outraged at the very idea that their "world" is "threatened." They become surly and resentful in their anxiety and thus perceive that loss of a telegraphy test is a "threat" to them. They also perceive that anyone who is for the elimination of the telegraphy test is, in some way, "unacceptible" to their "world." They can think of only Their "world" and show no consideration of the rest of the public. In their perceptions they have become selfish, self-centered and lose their capability of accepting that others of the public do not share their internal "world" image. They get ANGRY at the public desiring change and try to quash any thought of change. That ANGER manifests itself in attempts to denigrate the person who challenges them. Quite a common syndrome not confined to amateur radio matters but to all human endeavor.

>Are the smileys supposed to excuse your churlish manner?

"Smileys" are just emoticons that represent my mood after having made some statement. In-person, there would be much more in the way of expressed emotion, tone of voice, "body language" and so forth to indicate my mood at any particular time. Given the limitations of allowed character limitations in this particular medium, emoticons are a minimal extension of what would be readily apparent during in-person encounters.

Your use of "churlish" is inappropriate and a bit insulting. "Churl" is a rather old descriptor of "1. a peasant, 2. a surly, ill-bred person; a boor." You wish to place yourself "above" others, to be "their superior." [that is readily apparent in your many previous postings in here] Your general attitude seems to be nothing more than bullying with strong overtones of bravado, a "don't mess with ME" sort of thing. That reveals much to all other readers.

If I use "smileys" [ :-) ] that only indicates I am actually physically smiling on having written something. I rarely use other emoticons, such as a mild frown or disagreement [ :-( ] because I am more amused at the general commentary in here than disapproving. :-)

>Talk about misdirection. You dodged the question, Leonard.

Tsk, tsk. There is NO imperative or mandate that all
"must" answer someone's question. You presume too much
authority. An infinite amount of presumptions since you
are not the moderator in here.

>> We readers will just put you down as either NOT WORKING or
>> at some place not associated with electronics at all.
>
>We readers? You're now speaking for all other readers of this newsgroup?

Not at all. I read ALL postings in this public venue,
therefore reiterating that I am one of those readers.

I speak only for myself. For whom do you speak as your
"authority?"

>You can't blame Jim for not wanting to talk shop with you. Look what
>has happened to others who have revealed details of their work (and who
>happen to favor retention of morse testing).

Miccolis has not revealed any details of what he does for
a living...other than he is a "professional" and is "proud
of his work." Miccolis has expressed a number of varying
opinions of alleged knowledge, even expertise in areas
where he has not claimed any experience (aerospace,
specifically on spacecraft; non-amateur communications
where he refused to give anything specific on where or what),
yet has been highly confrontational, even antagonistic to
those who HAVE had experience. Miccolis has admitted that
he has NEVER served in any military postion, yet he chides
veterans who have served by claiming expertise in military
matters and military life.

Look at Steven James Robeson who has woven a veritable,
virtual straw skyscraper of claims in here. He is exposed
constantly on his outright fraudulent claims, yet he
persists. I have drawn a parallel to his actions in here
to the "Dudley" description found in Ernest K. Gann's
auotbiographical book "Fate Is The Hunter." That Dudley
was an outrageous fraud in commercial aviation and
eventually killed himself and his passengers in a crash
caused by his incompetence. The "Major Dud" label he got
(and deserved) is a play on words, a contraction of my
comparison to Gann's presented example with the name
contracted...Frank Gilliland applied the "major" both
from Robeson's claim of rank of major in the CAP and the
former half-hour TV sitcom "Major Dad" about an active-
duty USMC officer. Marine veterans, indeed most veterans
of any branch with a pride in their military service, are
justifiably insulted both personally and as a group at
ANY poseur, any fake "veteran" who makes claims of
machismo and/or heroism when they have NO PROOF of such
claims.

YOU have made numerous denigratory personal insults about my "rear area service" in my military experience, a voluntary enlistment in the Army, a branch that had selective service draftees during a definite war period. If you are a REAL military veteran, then you should know that no one in the military, especially in the enlisted ranks, has much choice of where they are assigned. I went where I was told, did my duties, got promotions because I did my duties competently. That my assignment involved HF radio communications on a large scale was an eye-opening revelation into the much larger world of radio. It was "the luck of the draw" and it resulted in a major life career change for me that I never regretted. That you were resentful of that fortuitous circumstance is not my problem. The ACAN-STARCOM-DCS worldwide net was and remains far larger than any Department of State communications network; the military nets did carry State communications then and both share the DSN now.

Brian Kelly, formerly a regular in here, vacillated on his postings, taking several "sides." While NEVER having served, he boasted of "more important work" for the military than I, negatively criticized what I did as both a military and a civilian person, yet made a number of embarassing faux pas on his knowledge of the military, including the activities of the now-closed NADC in Pennsylvania. He might have changed his mind on NPRM 05-143, maybe not. He has stopped posting in here.

Hans Brakob, a proud morseman and USN veteran, a Master Chief Petty Officer, has gone on the record as favoring the elimination of the U.S. amateur radio code test. I respect his military service and I think he respects mine. My only "disagreement" with Hans is his penchant for posting/forwarding so many stories/tales on USN life, some of them of quite an emotional nature. While the USN and the entire maritime world enthusiastically boosted the use of early radio a century ago, there is a sense of overkill in boosting morsemanship from an emotional, visceral level a century later...especially given the enormous improvements in all radio techniques and technologies during that century.

Brian Burke is a USAF veteran and I do not discredit his service nor insult his active-duty assignments. Robeson does that for no reason. Brian is a meteorologist, not one directly involved in the worldwide USAF radio network, but he is conversant and knowledgeable about military radio procedures on land. Burke favors the elimination of the U.S. amateur radio license exam code test and is a code-tested radio amateur licensee.

Frank Gilliland is a USMC veteran and works IN radio,
does not have an amateur radio license yet takes the
side against the NPRM. That's fine with me. Frank
does not insult me yet we have had some mild disagreements
in here. Frank is frank and believable. His postings
have an air of honesty. Frank takes no guff from Dudly
and speaks up on Dudly's fakery, misuse of what is
known jargon in the Corps, and Dudly's general weird
attitude.

>You make up derogatory
>names for those folks and you insult their jobs and military service.

I WILL endeavor to insult, demean, and generally despise
ANY military veteran FAKE that exists or shows up. That
is a PROMISE.

I WILL endeavor to insult, demean, and generally despise
anyone who attempts the same sort of insults, denigrations,
and personal insults on ME. They get back what they hurl.

I have digitized records of proof of my military service,
my civilian jobs, personal references (both mentioned in
here in specifics plus those not yet mentioned), plus
some additional verification documents from government
agencies on my ordinary life. While not an exceptional
life, it exists, has existed, and was real. Anyone who
challenges that, in any way, shape, or form had damn
well be able to PROVE their charges beyond any doubt.
If they cannot prove what they charge, they will get
much worse than they try to give.

In my life experience I've encountered a number of
"churlish" bullies who've attempted many things against
me, including physical violence. I learned to stand up
to them, face them down, and, in a few cases, had to
physically defeat them when they were not able to control
themselves. I'm not looking for trouble but if trouble
finds me, then woe is that trouble; such will not find
an easy adversary.

>Gee, Lennie, you are constantly AGAINST the retention of morse code
>testing in amateur radio. Imagine that.

TS.

>...and, poor baby, you still wonder why you are insulted and denigrated?

Up yours.

You can continue to maintain the code test on your purely
personal level of your targeted insults to me specifically.
You have received responses. You apparently don't like
being countered on the personal level. Your problem. If

I have the time you will get replies as I choose.

Since you started this thread with an overt personal insult as the title, you are in no position to claim yourself either "civil" in this war of words or as the "neutral judge" of What Should Be. You are neither "neutral" nor "judge."

Or, it could shift to the broader perspective of actually talking regulation policy and arguing on that plane. If you choose to resort to the personal level again, you are the one to have failed in the shift. You get NO points for already being IN amateur radio through licensing since the code test regulations affect only those who are either not in amateur radio or those inside who wish to "upgrade." You are in neither category. You are not in the FCC nor do you control any licensing regulations. You have no qualifications that make you "superior" for arguing policy on the public level, can only resort to puerile personal insults. QED.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2012. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 21:20:07 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132521606.965756.299400@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124526

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
>>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:

>>>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
>>>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
>>>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."
>
>>> Not true, Len.
>
>> ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis. Everyone realizes it. Why not
>> admit that it is so?
>
>Let's go through it, shall we? Pro-coders (one can only wonder if Len
>means those who favored morse testing, those who favored morse use or
>those who were simply proficient at morse code) made up their own
>regulations. It isn't explained how or if these "pro-coders" all became
>Extra Class ticket holders. Extra Class license holders can't obtain
>that license without passing the most difficult theory and regulatory
>written exam offered in U.S. amateur radio and not all of those with
>morse code skills became Extra Class licensees. Len's statement appears
>to have some gaping holes.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. NO "holes," Heil. An abbreviated synopsis
is all.

Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
rate was 20 WPM. Why? Because the older-timers influencing
the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.

>> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
>> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
>> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
>> and could only get a SECOND class.
>
>Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old

>First Phone.

Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
of that time. The Commercial license was still more difficult
than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
modes in Commercial radio then.

>> EVERYONE knows that the Amateur Extra is granted ONLY when
>> BOTH the code test AND the written examination tests are
>> passed. One CANNOT have one without the other.
>
>One can now obtain it with s very slow f i v e w o r d p e r
>m i n u t e morse exam. That's very, very slow.

That's NOT an "answer," Heil. You can't throw your prunes
and say they are apples with that sort of response.

The statement still holds. In order to get an Extra ham
grant, every applicant has to pass BOTH the telegraphy
and written tests. That's in the regulations, not in
your stupid little s l o w w o r d s .

>> It is readily apparent that MOST Amateur Extras prize their
>> "accomplishment" and self-elevate themselves to a higher
>> plane of existance that ordinary mortals.
>
>That isn't readily apparent at all. It is a false premise.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you do that very thing, Heil. :-)

Naturally YOU will object. You consider yourself SUPERIOR
in many ways, aptly demonstrated in here. I'll hold my
truths because they are SELF EVIDENT to any reader. :-)

>> Do not be modest
>> in appearance...such boasting of yours has been readily
>> apparent since day one of your appearance on the AOL group
>> all about amateur-radio-as-you-know-it-and-cribbed-right-
>> from-the-ARRL-hymn-book statements there.
>
>Anyone's accomplishments in areas where you've fallen short must kick
>your "braq quotionent" into high gear.

"It ain't braggin' if ya done it." [Miccolis' misquote of Dizzy
Dean] I did them. Not brags.

>>> I specifically wrote "majority of commenters".
>
>> Who cares what you "specifically" wrote?
>

>I care.

Then make your complaints known to the FCC. Litigate in civil
court if you are so upset about it. :-)

All the readers here KNOW you are "on my case" constantly, have
been since you tried that Guinea-Bisseau "embassy" thing years
ago and got sat on. Tsk, tsk. Such personal enmity you feel!

>> This is NOT Moot Court
>> and there is NO penalty for some imagined charge of perjury
>> you invent on-the-spot to justify your words.
>
>Yes, there is a penalty. You look petty by your attempt at squirming,
>Leonard.

Tsk. You are attempting the arrogant superior attitude again.
"You look petty" kind of puerile remark. :-)

I don't need to "squirm." I don't need Preparation H. All my
piles are on the other side of the screen, thankfully. :-)

>> No, Jimmie, Speroni's RESULTS are ALL THERE IS. HE did all
>> the "interpreting" and some of that is WRONG...see a "pro-code"
>> comment from an English Department [instructor] who said out-
>> right in her Comment that she is neither into amateur radio
>> nor desirous of obtaining a license.
>
>Hmmmmm. Don't you fit right into that particular category, Len?

Obviously NOT, Heil. I've been a hobbyist in radio-electronics
since 1947, a professional in radio since HF communications
beginning in 1953, a holder of a top Commercial license since
1956 as well as broadcasting work in 1956. From the end of
1956 I've been in electronics-radio of the aerospace industry.
I've been in partnership in a small business that required
a commercial radio station license. I've considered getting
an amateur license for FUN (writing it all-caps to emphasize
it). I've never considered 'CW' as "fun" and never considered
any time-wasting effort to learn telegraphy cognition.

I've not taught English at any college or university. In my
bachelor days I dated an English instructor of a college...which
as nothing to do with the instructor who did the comment used
by Speroni as being "for 'CW'" and claimed NO INTEREST and NO
EXPERIENCE in radio.

There were 18 other filings in WT Docket 05-235 that were all
from LAW STUDENTS, none of whom had claimed any experience in
any radio transmission nor any interests in obtain an amateur
radio license. What did Speroni make of those? He doesn't
explain that. The Comment from "the English Department" is on
his pretty chart at the top showing some kind of agreement that

'CW' testing should be.

As of 19 November 2005, there have been 3,786 total filings
on WT Docket 05-235. Regardless of using "valid" licenses
or total license grants in U.S. amateur radio, that number
(nearly twice those of 98-143) is still LESS than 1% of the
total licenses. If you want to claim some kind of "victory
through a majority" in Speroni's counting/interpreting, feel
free. That only shows you are as biased as Speroni.

>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, "Judge of the Superior Court" and Sister Nun
>> of the Above is trying to tell a published author and
>> editor "all about words and their definitions?!?"
>
>Somebody has to do it, Len. You foul up more words and definitions than
>quite a number of posters who've never done any editing or who've not
>had anything published.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...you are just being your (normal) uncivil self
there, Heil. All you really want to do is be "on my case"
in here because you want to CONTROL who posts what. You want
a moderated newsgroup of such a condition that it is merely
some kind of auxiliary ARRL where all the radiotelegraphers
are given top privileges.

Heil, I've sold enough work through my work (without meeting
editors face-to-face) to warrent them paying me for my work.
Done that for several years. No problems. Where references
were needed and known available for facts, those were listed.
Where references were needed but not easily available, I've
included copies for the Editors in Chief. I've had no nasty
notes from any of them on that. IF and only IF there were
some spelling errors or grammatical errors (actually none
that I recall), then those were due to the typesetters and
found on the proof sheets...*I* found them and notified staff
along with marks on proof sheets. Where changes occured
between manuscripts and proofs were due to printing space
considerations, something that occurs regularly, the editors
informing authors of that with requests to check the text
cutting and communicate back any needed corrections.

I get ZERO compensation for writing anything in here...except
maybe a visceral enjoyment out of puncturing the balloons of
the mythmakers and the ego-inflated "superior" beings. It is
fun to see the totally-biased, self-opinionated get skewered
and hear their anguish over non-physical "wounds" when trying
to keep federal regulations to Their Ideas of What Must Be.

You've been punctured and wounded many times in here, Heil.
I can't help that. As Super Chicken was told, "you knew
the job was dangerous when you took it." You just can't
continue to BULLY folks around as you have and not expect

someone to stand up to you and kick your verbal butt for the way you act to those against your opinions. If you want to remain deep fat fried and get overcooked, you have only yourself to blame. I enjoy cooking.

Bon apetit y'all.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2013. Re: (OT) New Orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 21:31:22 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <woger@no.isp>
Message-ID: <8887017833.2458F8789@no.isp>
Source record: 124527
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,neworleans.general,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
On Sun, 20 Nov 2005 14:09:01 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> New Orleans Struggles to Relight a City of Darkness.
> www.globalnewsmatrix.com
>
> I suppose Howard Industries in Laurel,Mississippi is building and
> supplying many of the electrical equipment things necessary to help New
> Orleans to get the electric power back on.I own a Howard desktop
> computer that I drove to Howard Industries and I bought last year.It is
> a great rugged computer.Howard Industries owns their own trucking
> company too.Want to check out Howard Industries in Laurel?
> www.devilfinder.com Howard Industries Laurel Mississippi
> cuhulin

Do any shemales work for Howard Industries?
woger
```

## 2014. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 22:37:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132526258.228649.237240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124529

And again commander cornwhore, that's an anime site, not a kidy porn site I do not mess that crap.

Todd

# 2015. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 22:52:55 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132527175.403438.235450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124530

I fixed that problem with the server. secondly I don't get enough
traffic to worry about that. and finally for something to be obscene it
must pass the three prong test as the supreme court stated in Miller v
California. Finally, "You may not post or transmit any message, data,
image or
program which is indecent, obscene or pornographic." if that's the cast
I know a LOT of their customers (including people who work for city)
who email each other pornographic material through email and that would
also be a violation of TOS. If you go to ANY internet service provider
they have they same shit in their TOS. I can see the headlines in the
newspaper CTITECH shuts down Anime Site, that wounldn't look good in
the local paper, including a lawsuit that might follow.

Todd N9OGL

# 2016. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 22:58:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Ec7gf.13848$cg.1831@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 124531

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1132479507.429531.88550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> > > >
> > > > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the
proposal.
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > Hello, Jim
> > >
> > > Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier
so I
> > > can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
> > > Audiooooooo ... ;)
> > >
> > > Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with
double
> > > sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for
interesting
> > > effects too ...
> > >
> > > Where's my sideswiper?
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> > > ps - doesn't 10 meters run from 25 MHz to 32 MHz? Or something like
that?
> >
> > given the flaming I got over not knowing what some aphabet soup meant I
> > wonder what will happen to Jim for blowing that one 10 meters BTW
> > extends from 28MHZ to 29.7MHZ 12 meter as an aside runs from 24.890
> > *24.990
>
> Stand-by for more "flaming", Mark.
>
> I know the concept may be a bit foreign to you, but Jim hampton
> was being facetious. Those who participate in "freebanding" consider
> that part of the spectrum (25Mhz to 32Mhz) to be "theirs". That is the
> range that many "export CB's" cover when "opened" for "freeband"

> operation.
>
> > meaning jim has called the B band and the 10 meter combined with a
> > section above that as 10 metters amuch bigger error i sghould think
> > than my not knowing some alphabet soup
>
> "B band"...?!?! I won't even venture a guess.
>
> Your scope of awareness of present day reality of "radio policy
> and practice" is far too narrow, Mark.
>
> As for "alphabet soup", it's part of what you're supposed to know
> as a Commission licensee...
>
> No one expects you to memorize them, however you should be able to
> RECOGNIZE them, realize what they are and look them up in the proper
> regulation and then comply with the regulation.
>
> > but Stevie will show his double standard again
>
> Nope. Just pointing out that you are, once again, ill-prepared to
> address the subjects, Mark. You tried to "redress" Jim Hampton based
> on YOUR lack of understanding, not HIS "error" for refering to it as
> "10 meters".
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Hello, Steve

Yep, tongue way way into cheek LOL :))
Especially when I say "or something like that" ...
BTW, double sideband with a 90 shifted full carrier yields phase modulation.
I would almost suspect that might be legal under the "regulation by
bandwidth", but am not sure. Currently, only in the high end of 10 meters
and above (along with standard FM). Something like 29 to 29.7 MHz.
Somewhere around there. At this point, I'd mention that if I were to fire
up FM on 10 meters, I'd sure as heck break out the rules as I am not exactly
sure where it is permitted on 10 or the exact frequency of the FM repeaters
on 10. Ya can't know everything; as long as you can look it up, you'll be
fine ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 2017. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 23:05:10 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <JeWdneBts9nWbh3eRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124528

N9OGL wrote:
>>Must have done some good to complain. Todd's kiddy-porn smut page has gone
>>dark.
>>--
>>Iitoi
>
>
> Sorry to bust your bubble eh, but it's up and running again hehehehe, I
> was up all night working on it.
>
> Todd N9OGL
> DEMENTED OTAKU BBS
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php
>

You are one sick little POS toddieboy. I guess your next step is to
graduate to real kiddie porn.

# 2018. Re: Mewling Lennie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 23:18:02 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132528682.636361.238460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124532

Digital wrote:
> <hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1132489684.173349.309690@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Windy Anderson wrote:
> > I wonder why several of the posters here lend any credence whatsoever to
> > Lennie's posts? Lennie is obviously an Old Troll who entertains himself by
> > bloviating. Dan was correct when he referred to Lennie as a Troll, a
> comment
> > that showed Lennie's true colors when Lennie responded to Dan with no
> small
> > amount of gutter language.
> >
> > Lennie showed his true, inner self with that response.
> >
> > Lennie is much akin to a stray cat that wanders upon your front porch and
> > mewls until you feed him. If you ignore him long enough he will eventually
> > go away to darken someone else's life.
>
> Dan has shown his true inner colors numerous times on RRAP, especially
> the years he ran around with Bruce.
> .
>
> Perhaps Dan has, but I'd still prefer his company to Lennie.

That might mean something to someone somewhere, but you're just another
anonymous right now.

# 2019. Re: A Direct Question for Brian P Burke, N0IMD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 23:22:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132528948.633178.10140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124534

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....
>
> K4YZ
> > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
>
> N0IMD
> > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
>
> K4YZ
> > > There's nothing to "work with" with Jim.
> > > He's already established a track record of NOT calling people by diminutives.
> > > He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.
> > >
> > > So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?
>
> N0IMD
> > Like I said, we'll start with you and when you've shown improvement for
> > some period of time, we can move on to Jim. Jim may take only a minute
> > or two, but I'm trying to be inclusive here.
>
> You keep suggesting that there's something to "move on to", Brian.
> I want to know what it is you think you have to "move on to" vis-a-vis
> conducting yourself to YOUR standards in talking to/about Jim Miccolis.
>
> What's YOUR excuse for using diminutive language towards Jim
> Miccolis when he's NEVER done it to you...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you're blowing it. I prefer to keep my name and callsign off of
the web. As you well know, my call is convertible to my address, and I
don't want that plastered on the web, either.

You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.

bb

## 2020. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 23:30:29 UTC
From: Doug W <wein38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dyz1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 124535

On 20 Nov 2005 14:52:55 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I fixed that problem with the server. secondly I don't get enough
> traffic to worry about that. and finally for something to be obscene it
> must pass the three prong test as the supreme court stated in Miller v
> California. Finally, "You may not post or transmit any message, data,
> image or

Pipe dreams. Your ISP can cancel any account which *it* thinks
violates its TOS. It isn't subject to due process restrictions
because it owns the servers, and it can pretty much do what it wants
with those servers, including kicking you off.

To believe otherwise is to be ridiculous.

## 2021. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 23:35:33 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <43810845.F6A6E404@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124536
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymathprssed a button and reproduced yet again...

> What is Ham Radio?

(the rest snipped in the interests of sanity)

Lots of truth there but lots of rubbish too.

# 2022. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 23:36:25 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132529785.234381.301680@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ on Nov 19, 2:13 am
>
> >K0HB wrote:
> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote
>
> >> > The KY-28, used on the OV-10A and D, AH1G and J, the UH-1N, the
> >> > CH53 A & D were refered to as "JULIETT'.
> >
> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >> Your memory on this issue is not accurate.
> >
> >> Regardless of platform, user agency, or military department, crypto systems are
> >> named by NSA (not by the Navy, USMC, or whoever). The KY-8/KY-28/KY-38 family
> >> was named "NESTOR".
> >
> > It could have been named "Rice Krispies", Hans, but in USMC
> >aircraft it was refered to as "JULIETT"
>
> There we have it, direct from CNO Romeo at breakfast.

I recall reference to "kick."

> >> I am well familiar with the crypto suite installed in those airframes.
> >
> > Me too....I spent enough time having to re-key them on a daily
> >basis.
>
> Using morse code?

dididahdahdidit.

> No wonder they wouldn't work!
>
> BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!
>
> Ahem...the KIR and the KIT were used with transponders...
>
> >> When
> >> assigned as a member of the communications staff at CINCLANT, I could have told
> >> you the NSA serial number of every KY-28 and what tail number carried it in

> >> every US/NATO aircraft in the Atlantic theatre.
> >
> > I am sure you could.
> >
> > And in USMC Aviation, the crypto gear was refered to as "JULIETT".
>
> Go for it, Dudly, keep up the AMATEUR RADIO talk!
>
> We'll all remember you "were homeland security before there was
> homeland security!"
>
> Corner promo: Ed Reimers cupping his hands saying confidently
> "You're in good hands with Dudfleet."
>
> BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!
>
> "Mode four! Mode four! Weapons free!"
>
> BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Now Len, do you want Steve to think you're making fun of him?

## 2023. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 23:38:17 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <438108E9.93C694FA@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124538
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath wrote:

> Andre Craddock, M0SWB, proves that he continues to
> be an INCURABLE CBER through the medium of his
> Childish Broadcast (CB).....
>
> Infantile and abusive......is there any difference between an M0
> callsign and one of those issued under the gangrenous degeneration
> that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme? Seemingly not.

I'm beginning to come to the conclusion that he doesn't like CBers.

What happened to the bit of real amateur spirit about being tolerant and helping a new amateur to improve, and showing him by example? It doesn't really show up in Polymath's postings

# 2024. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-20 23:40:17 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <43810961.87D30069@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124539
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:

> On 4 Nov 2005 07:00:39 -0800, "Polymath" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
> wrote in <1131116439.255278.104600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >What is Ham Radio?
>
> Do you mean the Amateur Radio Service?
>
> >Ham Radio
>
> Amateur radio.....

I agree.

# 2025. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 00:04:48 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132531488.206224.22630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124540

Bullshit, in Verizon V. RIAA the federal courts stated that a service provider didn't have any control of a users computer, and that a service provider is nothing more then a conduit. The Anime Website is NOT on their computers, and it is nothing more then a conduit. Like I said I know a LOT of people in this town that uses their service to send porn via email, including some in city govenment and state. As for Due Process restriction, Miller had nothing to do with due process, the courts ruled that for something to be consider Obscene it has to pass a three prong testwhich are:

Whether the average person, applying contemporary community standards, would find that the work, taken as a whole, appeals to the prurient interest,

Whether the work depicts/describes, in a patently offensive way, sexual conduct specifically defined by applicable state law,

Whether the work, taken as a whole, lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value.

The work is considered obscene only if all three conditions are satisfied. BTW, the Majority of ISP use the Miller Test as a reference when it comes to determining "obscene material" and those that don't should because they could get into a serious First Amendment Issue. This isn't a porn site, this is an Anime site a very BIGGGGGG difference between that an porno site. if you don't like what's on that site don't go to it. The site was created for people who like anime, NOT some licensed amateur operator who wants to censor the internet. The courts has also ruled not to many years ago that adult speech can't be infringed upon, including the ISP. Like I said this is a Anime site directed to people who like Anime, if you don't like don't go there.

Todd N9OGL

Todd N9OGL

## 2026. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 00:13:18 UTC
From: Doug W <wein38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dkkkb6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 124543

On 20 Nov 2005 14:52:55 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I fixed that problem with the server.

No you didn't fix that problem. You added a file named "index.html,"
but that isn't a real fix, because there are ways to list your
directory structure anyway. For example, the URI
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb_center/ still gives a nice list of your
bbs internals, including the names of bbs members, to someone who
knows how to feed the php scripts the correct arguments while calling
them.

Your bbs is miserably protected. You need to learn more about
Apache/2.0.54 and its "Options Indexes" configuration directive.
After that, you need to learn about mod_rewrite and how to protect
yourself from hostile browsers by placing rewrite directives in an
htaccess file.

While I wouldn't do it, I can tell you in all seriousness that anyone
could "own" your Apache server very easily and deface much of your web
site's contents.

You are at high risk of both script kiddie and rogue nurse attacks.

## 2027. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 00:14:50 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132532075.957632.229590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124544

I run an anime site moron, not a porn site. if you don't like it, do go there, no ones forcing you.

# 2028. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 00:15:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132532121.036654.293410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124545

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 19, 6:34 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 02:45
> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
> >>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >>> In other words, if it didn't make money for you, it wasn't going to
> >>> get your time and effort.
> >
> >> You really can't understand anyone who doesn't have YOUR
> >> immaculate set of "standards" can you?
> >
> >I've met people like you, Len.
>
> No you haven't, Heil. People like me would AVOID your kind.

Then why do you not avoid his "kind" here, Len?

> Such avoidance allows you to continue living.

How?

Is that a threat? You seem to be saying that Dave would be
in some sort of danger if he were to meet you.

What danger would that be, Len?

> Be greatful for that.

I'm *grateful* I don't have neighbors like you, Len.
>
> Am I some kind of "beginner" in radio after a half century
> of experience in more parts of the EM spectrum than any
> amateur is allowed?

In some kinds of radio, you are a beginner, Len.

> Am I some kind of "beginner" because I've
> operated transmitters with far higher power outputs than
> amateurs are allowed to have?

Yes - because you didn't "operate" those transmitters in the legal
sense of the word.

> Am I some kind of "beginner"
> because long ago I learned how to design radio circuits from

> a blank pad with pen on to the finished hardware and gotten
> them to perform as originally specifed?

Yet when a simple design problem was posed to you here,
you could not solve it.

> You seem to think so. What it really boils down to is
> manual telegraphy.

That's one thing you're a beginner at, Len. There's lots more.

> I would be an absolute beginner at telegraphy, no dispute,
> if I were to take that up again. All I know is the pattern
> of dots and dashes and their corresponding English language
> characters. That's suffed into a good memory with lots and
> lots and lots of other data, some useful, some not.

"suffed"?

Seems to me that beginner status is what really bothers you.

> But - and this is very important in the NPRM 05-143 decision -
> the FCC has ALREADY made ALL ALLOCATED MODES OPTIONAL TO USE.

Always been that way, Len.

In fact, an amateur license does not have to be used at all. In many
services, if a license is not used, it is revoked by FCC.

> There just isn't ANY mandate to exclusively use radiotelegraphy
> except on two small slivers of the lower end of 6m and 2m.

No amateur has to use those segments.

> But, getting the amateur license to use ANY amateur band
> below 30 MHz still requires passing a telegraphy test!

And that's a very good thing.

> I'm seeking to eliminate that telegraphy test.

Why? It has no effect on you.

> There's no
> point in having it except as a vestige of pride still felt
> by those long-timers who once considered themselves as
> 'compagnons de telegraphe' because the human-made regulations
> gave them status-rank-privileges BECAUSE of that telegraphy
> test.

That's a real load of bull, Len.

One point in having the test is that Morse Code is a big part of
today's amateur radio.

> I and thousands upon thousands of others have operated
> radio transmitters legally and competently at frequencies
> below 30 MHz without being required to know or use any radio-
> telegraphy skills.

But not as radio amateurs. You were a transmitter technician in the
Army and a cber. Sorry, that experience does not qualify you to
operate an amateur radio station. FCC agrees.

> That "plain, simple fact" shows the
> hypocrisy of the PCTA in demanding the retention of the
> telegraphy test.

No hypocrisy. Just an opinion that disagrees with yours.

> That test regulations does NOT serve the
> public,

Yes, they do.

> only the few already-licensed in amateur radio who
> consider, self-righteously superior through passing a
> telegraphy test.

Nope.

> Now, if you wish to start some program to teach real
> beginners in radio the skills of telegraphy, I am not
> against that. Feel free to use what allocations you've
> been granted. So far. Beep your little Orion to outer
> space if you want.
>
> Remember, what YOU consider to be "necessary" is NOT
> shared by the public,

Says who?

"The public" was invited to comment on this very issue. And the
majority (55%) of those who bothered to comment say that
at least some Morse Code testing *is* necessary for an amateur
radio license of at least Extra class.

> is NOT a physical requirement to
> operate any RF emitter below 30 MHz.

Neither is a written test, Len. Millions of people have used HF
transmitters without passing *any* test, you know.

> It is just your
> personal desire.

Getting rid of the test is just *your* personal desire.

> You are not yet a god of anything,
> are not divine.

Neither are you, Len.

> You are simply inflexible and self-
> righteous, seeking to retain federally-mandated testing
> in skills which you passed some time ago.
>
> >>> You've told us how great things are for you many, many times, Len.
> >>> As if all that somehow explains your obsession.
> >
> >> "Obsession?!?" :-)
> >> Trying to change federal law is an "obsession?"
> >
> >In your case, yes, it is. You are obsessed.
>
> Incorrect. It is PERSISTENCE.

No, in your case it's an obsession.

> It is IDEALISM, a quest to
> make things better for others who share some of my interests.

And who would those "others" be?

> It is many things but it is definitely not some deviant
> obsession.

It's clearly an obsession for you.

> On the other hand, those who have met old test regulations
> and insist and insist that those should be kept for the
> future are suspect.

Why? Because they're persistent and idealistic?

> Their self-righteousness is suspect.
> Their failure to change with a changing reality is suspect.
> Their obstinancy on keeping the old ways forever in this
> new millennium are suspect. Their perceived self-worth
> is threatened by feared loss of status and privilege,
> perhaps even rank in the pecking order of the "amateur
> community."

Man, you really can pile up the bullstuff, Len.

> >You can write "YES it IS" all you want. Obtaining an amateur radio
> >license isn't about those things.
>
> True, the U.S. amateur radio test regulations have nothing
> about baby shoes or taking little baby steps.
>
> RIGHT NOW the U.S. amateur test regulations require a
> telegraphy test for any class privileges below 30 MHz.
> THAT is what many are trying to change.
>
> NPRM 05-143 is about ELIMINATING that code test. Change

> for the future, for the public...the public in the
> Commission's language is ALL OF US, not just the personal
> desires of the few who have met and passed telegraphy
> tests.

Most of the public who bothered to comment do not agree with complete
code test elimination, Len.

> >>> Then why are you so unfriendly here, Len?
>
> Because both Miccolis and Heil are decidedly unfriendly to
> all who disagree with them.

Well, that's not true of me, anyway.

K2UNK and I disagree mightily on many things, including the Morse Code
test. Yet we are friendly. Same is true of myself and K0HB. And many
others.

In fact, Len, it seems that *you* are the unfriendly one.

## 2029. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 00:16:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132532171.642374.297040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124546

I do know hacking is against the law dickhead, maybe I should report
you to your ISP

# 2030. Re: ALL HAM AND CB MUST READ NOW: THE THOUGHTS OF K1MAN ON EDUCATION, LAW, POLITICS, AND BASEBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 00:18:12 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <BNWdnUO8h6P7mRzeRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> Greg wrote:
>
>>>From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
>
> cut
>
>>>Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, is publishing a new monthly newsletter which
>>
>>(snip)
>>
>>I'm glad I'm not a ham or CB, er, CBer(?), so I don't have to read this
>>crap.
>>
>>I wonder if a ham or CB(er) "MUST" send in the $45 "NOW"?
>
>
> only if they wish to subscribe
>
>>Greg
>
>
I wouldn't pay $.45 to read anything K1MAN had to say.

# 2031. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 00:20:09 UTC
From: Highland Ham <abcgm0csz.kn6whxyz@dsl.pipex.com>
Message-ID: <hY-dnT1M7aI2jxzeRVnyhA@pipex.net>
Source record: 124547
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
>> What is Polymath?
>> You have made a name for yourself indeed Polymath the biggest asshole
>> known to any newsgroup.
> Yes, bit of a bore isn't he? I'll put you down as "don't know..."
================================
Polymath or Airy Bean ,whatever he calls himself is Gareth A. Evans ,
G4SDW
He is a nuissance
Any reaction on his weekly outpour seems to encourage him . It is best to
ignore him .
```

Frank GM&#65533;CSZ / KN6WH.

# 2032. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 00:26:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132532800.262836.268520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124548

From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 18, 6:11 pm
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: K4YZ on Nov 17, 7:15 pm
>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:

>You're the oldest fart here, Len and you aren't involved in amateur
>radio. Like I said, you have a fetish.

You mean LICENSED amateur radio...as in having an HF transceiver
and "working DX on HF with CW." :-)

>I made no excuses and you weren't involved in my work any more than you
>are involved in amateur radio.

"Not involved with your [Department of State] work?" Not in
the 1980s. I was involved in the 1950s. "State" had their
own TTY nodes in the ACAN-STARCOM-DCS worldwide in the 1950s
and 1960s. Would you like to know the node letters found on
all messages that were relayed by the Army? I have a nice
list. There's also one at the USAER website which covers
Army in Europe history extensively.

"State" never used an RCA Corporation RACES (Random Access
Card Extract System) archival memory storage machine? On
the contrary, "State" had two of them in Washingdon DC as
prime electronic back-up. Back in the late 1960s. I know
because I worked at the RCA division that made them and I
got in on some of their final testing. Department of State
used those to keep track of a months' worth of messages
into/out of DC. You told me they were of no consequence. :-)

I'm not involved in the operation of LICENSED amateur radio
on-the-air. I can and have helped other amateurs fix/align
their radio equipment. However, you want to dismiss a great
big hobby area involving not just radio but all of electronics
in the United States. Unpaid work. In a hobby. That's
were I am.

> In other words, you're a non-factor in either.

Tsk, tsk, I'm closer to a Mersene number insofar as factors
are concerned! <BSEG>

>You've been recycling here too. You've certainly gotten mileage out of
>your irrelevant military experiences of better than half a century ago.

1. The U.S. military gave up using morse code modes for
long-haul HF communications in 1948, longer than a
half century ago. Plain, simple fact. Bugs the hell
out of devout Believers in the Church of St. Hiram,
so I bring it up. :-)

2. I've mentioned a considerable amount of civilian
programs I've worked on in the last 49 years.
Interestingly, there's more "sensitivity" on that
than on old military activities due to Trade
Secrets, Corporate Confidential, and general Non-
Disclosure demands. Unless I have press release
or other public information on that, I don't even
mention them.

3. Before the advent of communications satellites,
wideband fiber optic cable, improved underwater
cable, the U.S. military depended primarily on HF
radio for their worldwide communications networks.
That HF network equipment operated by the very same
laws of physics which governed amateur radio then
and now. Technology transfer was directly applicable
between the military of that time and amateur radio
of that time. However, military radio then (and
still does) employ more modes and techniques than
are allowed by U.S.radio amateurs now.

>> Did you know that both Tech classes together constitute almost
>> HALF of all U.S. amateur radio license grants? True!
>
>Yep, when something is simple enough, many folks will opt for it rather
>than attempting that which is more difficult. Many never go beyond the
>easiest license despite the limited privileges it offers.

Such as long-time amateur radiotelegraphers who've never
ventured behind the front panels of their radios in order
to understand how they worked. :-) Yes, I am familiar
with those. Their "radio skill" never goes beyond their
key, their ears, or the "official" jargon they've picked
up from older days, those used by older "radio experts."

>Vic Clark was a silent key before I entered the Foreign Service.

Not my fault. <shrug>

>I've met lots of notable people while in the Foreign Service--a U.S.
>President, his wife, two Secretaries of State, a number of U.S.
>Congressmen and Senators, former Finnish President Mauno Koivisto,
>Forumula 1 driver Mika Salo and even trumpeter Clark Terry among others.
> I got to see a number of other people of note--Secretary of State
>George Schultz, Boris Yeltsin.

Wow! All because you worked for the Department of State?

Who wrote "I've met people like you, always bragging about..."

What has all that name-dropping to do with amateur radio? :-)

Hmmm...I could do the same schtick with some show business
folks, some high up, some not well known, lots of behind
the scenes guild people, plus a couple of big corporation
founders, three federal representatives (Barry Goldwater's
son, once on politics, the other on a visit to RCA EASD in
Van Nuys about the time his district was gerrymandered out
of my area). I was quite taken with meeting Stockard
Channing briefly during a party in the Hollywood Hills, she
is tinier in real life than in reel life and is charming
without needing a script. [Stockard was in "West Wing"
as a semi-regular, is now on another show about doctors]

I've not met any Heads of State. Few get involved in the
nittygritty of aerospace. Representative Goldwater did
but then he was bigger on flying and piloting than his
father. The late General Bernard Shriever, USAF Missle
Command (or whatever its final name was) attended a
briefing I gave and we had a chat afterwards. Impressed
me as having the "right stuff." John Young and Bob Crippen
were at Rocketdyne, meeting and greeting the folks there
who made the Space Shuttle Main Engines (shuttle space-
frame was made "over the hill" at the B-1 Division). That
right after the first space flight of the STS; they also
were the crew of the air-drop-only Enterprise test shuttle.

Your name didn't come up.

Tsk.

> It [Newington] isn't even the center of the hamiverse.
>
>Actually, in this country, it is the closest thing we've got.

Only in your perception.

>What nightly footsteps are in evidence and why would they be yellow?

Inquire of REAL USMC veterans about "yellow footsteps."

You haven't been following the expose' of the self-renowned
Amateur Extra now dubbed Dudly the Imposter.

>> Sorry, lil Davie, but there was a "comment march" on Washington.
>> 3,786 filings worth on WT Docket 05-235.
>
>What, pray tell, is a "comment march".

On alliterations you seem illiterate.

There was no human parade march on Washington in regards to amateur radio. There were (to date) 3,786 filings on WT Docket 05-235, that Docket devoted to only one subject, the elimination or retention of the morse code test in federal amateur radio regulations. It's been only four months since the release of NPRM 05-143 (on July 19, 2005) but in the 11 month official period of WT Docket 98-143 on Restructuring, that garnered only about 2200 filings.

>> The anti-code-test movement is gaining momentum.
>
>Not to the tune of 3,786 filings on 05-235, it isn't.

See preceding.

>You aren't wrapped very tight.

True, I am (at time of writing) sitting in shirtsleeves, the office window open, temperature gauge at the corner of the radio clock displaying 71.3 degrees F.

If you mean that remark as an insult, then it has fallen flat before the message got here. Please do not litter.

>Would you care to see your own special profile again?

Do whatever you like. The "profiles" generated by Miccolis are not official, not accurate, are biased to an extreme due to past differences in here and my not obliging him with the respect and reverence he thinks is so richly deserving.

"Profiles" work two ways, indeed in many ways. Yours can, and has been done (in part) several times.

>>> I've been paid as a musician.
>
>> Union or scab? [wanna see my AFTRA card? :-) ]
>
>Were you an actor portraying a musician? :-)

American Federation of Television and Radio Artists.

Question reiterated: Were YOU ever in a musician's guild, union, or craft?

>What's your point? Amateurs at anything, aren't paid. They do things
>for the love of doing them.

Then why do YOU insist that all radio amateurs "love" the specific things YOU "love?"

Your motivation is at question there.

>> Does Palomar know about you? Does Schmidt help you?

I'll let you think some more about another question you
did not answer... :-)

>>> No, I don't think you need anything additional to brag about, Len.
>
>> Davie, baby, "it ain't braggin' if ya DONE it. I done it."
>
>Then you don't have a "braq quotionent", Len. You have an "I DONE it
>quotionent", except that when it comes to amateur radio, you ain't done it.

I have not obtained any amateur radio license, true, but
to attempt semi-insult at claiming I've never been IN
radio would be a disasterous fabrication for you on the
order of Dudly the Imposter level.

>> Remember who used that Dizzy Dean misquote in here first?
>
>The quote has been attributed to a number of people over the years.

The one who USED it first in here was James P. Miccolis,
license N2EY. ["Used," Davie, not 'attributed to']

Tsk, that misquote wound up blowing his words off...

>I didn't write about anything particularly new, Len.

All readers here realize that...do not state the obvious.

>I asked about the things you are unable to do.

For what reason? To attempt more denigrations?

I had been attempting to levitate. Then I tried to invent anti-
gravity. No success. Something is holding me down...

>Some of your stuff defies response.

Try to stay with the program.

We all know you have difficulties with analogies, please
do not state the obvious.

>>> You poor, ignored blighter. You're still standing out in the cold and
>>> looking in. I guess you showed us.
>
>> Sorry, you're thinking of Val Germann. He's been an unmodified
>> Tech for over three years. [my micro-fiber jacket isn't
>> tattered, you've got the wrong guy...]
>
>It couldn't have been Val, Leonard. He's a licensed ham. He is
>permitted full voting membership in the old lodge.

In the NAAR, if he is a member there. The Commission doesn't
have "voting" or "membership" through license granting...it
just grants licenses and regulates all civil radio in the
United States. The NAAR (old name ARRL, but NAAR seems to be

the new name used by Imlay in Comments) membership is only
1 in 5 of all United States amateur radio licenses.

Just how big is that "lodge hall" you tried to write about?

>> I was hangin' with some NBC West Coast Hq types at lunch. We
>> weren't talking about hamme raddddio.
>
>No doubt. They probably weren't even discussing ham radio.

You DO have such difficulty with the written word, don't
you? Tsk, tsk. Work on comprehension rather that strict,
obedient literalism. This isn't an English Composition
high school class.

Ever hear of Phil Amidon? He retired from NBC West Coast
Headquarters years ago. He'd already started a small
business selling iron powder toroid cores and other little
kits on sale in many radio-electronics parts stores
nationwide. Bigger corporation bought his company.

>Irrelevant.

Only to your extreme literalism. Tsk, tsk. Relax, learn
to live with things. It will be better for you now that
you are over the middle aged hill.

>As a matter of fact, Leonard, I've been watching HDTV for better than
>the past two years. Get your enjoyment where you can. For watching TV,
>you're an insider. For amateur radio, you're an outsider.

Yep, extreme literalism. "Back of the bus" kind of bigotry.

Were you born with that elitist attitude? Or was it
acquired in "the foreign service?" :-)

Tell me, do you hang around VE exam sessions, questioning
those who enter the door whether they are "upgrading" or
are newbies? Do you act like a Dill sergeant with the
newbies? Chew them out, don't permit them to speak until
spoken to? I get the distinct feeling you do that. :-)

By the way, I've actually been watching HDTV, the present
system in the regulations, since SIX years ago. Since a
demonstration by the "Grand Alliance" group on the west
coast. I've seen "HD" systems demonstrated much earlier,
but those were not picked up in the FCC regulations.

>I worked a few Europeans and some South Americans last night on 160m CW,
>Len. I did some testing of a 6m FM link to an area 70cm repeater last
>evening with W8MSD and I squeezed in some HDTV viewing of college
>football. You do as you can and I'll do as I choose.

Ohm my! I now get to actually CHOOSE FOR MYSELF?!?

Oh heavenly day, the "Godfather" has allowed me a choice!
I cannot refuse it! :-)

>Your stuff died with Vaudeville.

Vaudeville isn't "dead," Godfather. It isn't healthy but
you can find it still going strong in the Catskills. Nu?

Vaudeville is alive and well but musclebound in the World
Wrestling Federation.

Morse code is alive but unwell, dwelling only in the
musculeminds of stubborn, hidebound, self-righteous
old and middle-aged morsemen bound and determined to
force the code test down newcomer's throats until their
code keys are pried out of cold, dead fingers.

>Actually, Len, statistics say that I should be at least a couple of
>decades from being done.

Let's say this: You sure as hell aren't rare or medium!
But you sure aren't well done either. "Steak tartare." :-)

Reflect on the old saying, "there are lies, damn lies, and
statistics." All are connected as equals. :-)

I will be reading your SK notice in the ARRL/NAAR newsletter.

I will think back on you then.

Buy.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2033. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 00:31:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132533117.290111.168160@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124549

You exhibit a lack of class, Len.

Incorrect. I have several FIRST CLASS Radiotelephone (Commercial)
license certificates. Want to see them? :-)

Buy,

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2034. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 01:06:10 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <rcydnfb6GdA5khzenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124541

N9OGL wrote:
> Like I
> said I know a LOT of people in this town that uses their service to
> send porn via email,

Somehow I figured you would know all about that. Why doesn't that
surprise me?

## 2035. Re: stevie continues to to calim imply pedophia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 01:07:52 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <rcydnfH6GdCXjRzenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124542

N9OGL wrote:
> And again commander cornwhore, that's an anime site, not a kidy porn
> site I do not mess that crap.
>
> Todd
>

You can keep trying to convince everyone that, but I doubt anyone buys it. Anyone who would get some jollies at that would get jollies looking at real naked kids. You're only one step away toddieboy.

# 2036. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 01:17:58 UTC
From: uscg guy <guy@brnwtrnavyguy.org>
Message-ID: <dlr757$s3r$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124600

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:GMKff.1278$rM2.1271@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>

Hey Hans, back when I was in the Coast Guard, they told
us all Radiomen had two dried shriviled up little balls. Is there
any truth to that?

Nick
former brown water navy

# 2037. Re: (OT) New Orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 01:25:14 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <woger@no.isp>
Message-ID: <87017833.24588789@no.isp>
Source record: 124601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,neworleans.general,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 20 Nov 2005 17:30:04 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> I was talking on the phone to a woman at Howard Industries before I went
> there to buy my computer.She didn't sound like a shemale to me.
> cuhulin

Damn. Just when I was about to leave Glendale and drive to Howard
Industries. Maybe I can ask Wordy about her shemale friends instead.
woger

# 2038. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 01:45:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2F9gf.1748$rM2.541@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124602

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
>>>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>>>>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
>>>>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
>>>>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."

>>>> Not true, Len.

>>> ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis. Everyone realizes it. Why not
>>> admit that it is so?

>> Let's go through it, shall we? Pro-coders (one can only wonder if Len
>> means those who favored morse testing, those who favored morse use or
>> those who were simply proficient at morse code) made up their own
>> regulations. It isn't explained how or if these "pro-coders" all became
>> Extra Class ticket holders. Extra Class license holders can't obtain
>> that license without passing the most difficult theory and regulatory
>> written exam offered in U.S. amateur radio and not all of those with
>> morse code skills became Extra Class licensees. Len's statement appears
>> to have some gaping holes.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. NO "holes," Heil. An abbreviated synopsis
> is all.

That's right. Unlike you, I'm not on a mission to recreate the great
American novel.

> Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
> rate was 20 WPM. Why? Because the older-timers influencing
> the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
> radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.

I don't think you have the story. If you had it, I doubt that you could
recount it honestly. Your tale is still full of holes.

> It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
> Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
> set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.

That's incorrect, Leonard. The Extra Class ticket was available before
Incentive Licensing. At that time, it offered no additional privileges
at all. It was never available for just passing a higher speed morse
exam. Your story is still full of holes.

>>> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
>>> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
>>> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
>>> and could only get a SECOND class.

>> Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
>> First Phone.
>
> Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables.

What were you attempting by posing your question to Jim? Were you being
a snotty little kid, trying to turn the tables?

> That
> makes YOU look dumb.

I thought it was pretty dumb when you pulled it.

> I took all the test elements for a First
> 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
> of that time. The Commercial license was still more difficult
> than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
> Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
> modes in Commercial radio then.

I took all the test elements for the Amateur Extra in 1977. I saw the
test elements for the First Phone of that time. I disagree with your
statement. After all, does not all radio operate under the same physics.

Like Jim, I've also known Extra Class Amateur ticket holders who also
passed the First Phone. I've had some tell me that the Extra was
tougher. I've had others tell me that they were comparable. After all,
obtaining a First Phone wasn't rocket science.

>
>>> EVERYONE knows that the Amateur Extra is granted ONLY when
>>> BOTH the code test AND the written examination tests are
>>> passed. One CANNOT have one without the other.

>> One can now obtain it with s very slow f i v e w o r d p e r
>> m i n u t e morse exam. That's very, very slow.

> That's NOT an "answer," Heil. You can't throw your prunes
> and say they are apples with that sort of response.

It was most assuredly an answer. Passing a f i v e w o r d p e r
m i n u t e morse exam is not at all like passing a 20 wpm exam.
The 5 wpm test is the same as the one which was given to Novice Class
examinees. It is slow enough that one could pass by counting dits and
dahs.

> The statement still holds. In order to get an Extra ham
> grant, every applicant has to pass BOTH the telegraphy
> and written tests.

Of course it still holds. The test is just much, much easier to pass.
Unlike your original statement, one does not obtain an Extra Class
ticket simply by passing a morse exam.

> That's in the regulations, not in
> your stupid little s l o w w o r d s .

My slow words were much bigger than the fast words.

>
>>> It is readily apparent that MOST Amateur Extras prize their
>>> "accomplishment" and self-elevate themselves to a higher
>>> plane of existance that ordinary mortals.

>> That isn't readily apparent at all. It is a false premise.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...you do that very thing, Heil. :-)

Neither of my sentences referred to doing anything. :-) :-)

> Naturally YOU will object.

Naturally. I usually object to a false premise.

> You consider yourself SUPERIOR
> in many ways, aptly demonstrated in here.

When it comes to amateur radio, Len, I am superior to you. I have an
amateur radio license. You do not. I passed all the exams which were
available. You did not. I have 42 years of experience as a radio
amateur. You do not.

> I'll hold my
> truths because they are SELF EVIDENT to any reader. :-)

You should know when to hold 'em and know when to fold 'em. I'm a
reader here.

>>> Do not be modest
>>> in appearance...such boasting of yours has been readily
>>> apparent since day one of your appearance on the AOL group
>>> all about amateur-radio-as-you-know-it-and-cribbed-right-
>>> from-the-ARRL-hymn-book statements there.

>> Anyone's accomplishments in areas where you've fallen short must kick
>> your "braq quotionent" into high gear.

> "It ain't braggin' if ya done it." [Miccolis' misquote of Dizzy
> Dean] I did them. Not brags.

Amateur Radio: You ain't done it.

>
>>>> I specifically wrote "majority of commenters".

>>> Who cares what you "specifically" wrote?

>> I care.

> Then make your complaints known to the FCC. Litigate in civil
> court if you are so upset about it. :-)

I may take option three: Counter your ridiculous statements right here
in this venue.

> All the readers here KNOW you are "on my case" constantly, have
> been since you tried that Guinea-Bisseau "embassy" thing years
> ago and got sat on. Tsk, tsk. Such personal enmity you feel!

You've not sat on anyone, Len. That's simply another of your Walter
Mitty episodes coming on.

>
>>> This is NOT Moot Court
>>> and there is NO penalty for some imagined charge of perjury
>>> you invent on-the-spot to justify your words.

>> Yes, there is a penalty. You look petty by your attempt at squirming,
>> Leonard.

> Tsk. You are attempting the arrogant superior attitude again.

I'll go with my arrogant, superior attitude over your arrogant, superior
attitude any time. After all, you're in my playground, Len. This isn't
alt.glory.retired.engineer.

> "You look petty" kind of puerile remark. :-)

Was there supposed to be a sentence in there somewhere? :-) :-)

> I don't need to "squirm."

...but you do so.

> I don't need Preparation H.

There is a subject open to debate.

> All my
> piles are on the other side of the screen, thankfully. :-)

I think you'd better check your shoes. You might be standing in some. :-)

>>> No, Jimmie, Speroni's RESULTS are ALL THERE IS. HE did all
>>> the "interpreting" and some of that is WRONG...see a "pro-code"
>>> comment from an English Department [instructor] who said out-
>>> right in her Comment that she is neither into amateur radio
>>> nor desirous of obtaining a license.

>> Hmmmmm. Don't you fit right into that particular category, Len?

> Obviously NOT, Heil.

It isn't obvious at all. You are neither in amateur radio nor
(according to your most recent statement on the subject) desirous of
obtaining a license.

> I've been a hobbyist in radio-electronics
> since 1947...

That isn't amateur radio.

a professional in radio since HF communications beginning in 1953...

That isn't amateur radio.

> ...a holder of a top Commercial license since 1956 as well as
> broadcasting work in 1956.

That isn't amateur radio.

> From the end of
> 1956 I've been in electronics-radio of the aerospace industry.

That isn't amateur radio.

> I've been in partnership in a small business that required
> a commercial radio station license.

That isn't amateur radio.

> I've considered getting
> an amateur license for FUN (writing it all-caps to emphasize
> it). I've never considered 'CW' as "fun" and never considered
> any time-wasting effort to learn telegraphy cognition.

There has been a code-free amateur license available to you since 1991.
You have not even attempted to pass an exam for that license. Your
most recent statement here claims that you do not desire an amateur
radio license. Would you like to see it?

> I've not taught English at any college or university. In my
> bachelor days I dated an English instructor of a college...which
> as nothing to do with the instructor who did the comment used
> by Speroni as being "for 'CW'" and claimed NO INTEREST and NO
> EXPERIENCE in radio.

I can tell that you haven't taught English. If you had, you'd have
noted the obvious discrepancy in what you originally wrote about the
English teacher (above), "who said out-right in her Comment that she is
neither into amateur radio nor desirous of obtaining a license". Now
you've changed it to "claimed no INTEREST and NO EXPERIENCE in radio".
The two statements are very, very different. You're squirming.

> There were 18 other filings in WT Docket 05-235 that were all
> from LAW STUDENTS, none of whom had claimed any experience in

> any radio transmission nor any interests in obtain an amateur
> radio license. What did Speroni make of those? He doesn't
> explain that. The Comment from "the English Department" is on
> his pretty chart at the top showing some kind of agreement that
> 'CW' testing should be.

Yeah? You aren't involved and you are against morse testing. So?
Looks like you've encountered a dilemma.

> As of 19 November 2005, there have been 3,786 total filings
> on WT Docket 05-235. Regardless of using "valid" licenses
> or total license grants in U.S. amateur radio, that number
> (nearly twice those of 98-143) is still LESS than 1% of the
> total licenses.

So? You don't have an amateur radio license. What percentage of
non-licensees in the amateur radio service made comments or replies?
It'd be a helluva lot smaller than the percentage of licensees who
commented. What's your point?

> If you want to claim some kind of "victory
> through a majority" in Speroni's counting/interpreting, feel
> free. That only shows you are as biased as Speroni.

I'd compare you to some other biased anti-morse test individual, but
there doesn't seem to be anyone but you.

>>> Tsk, tsk, tsk, "Judge of the Superior Court" and Sister Nun
>>> of the Above is trying to tell a published author and
>>> editor "all about words and their definitions?!?"

>> Somebody has to do it, Len. You foul up more words and definitions than
>> quite a number of posters who've never done any editing or who've not
>> had anything published.

> Tsk, tsk, tsk...you are just being your (normal) uncivil self
> there, Heil. All you really want to do is be "on my case"
> in here because you want to CONTROL who posts what.

I've made no attempt at controlling your posting, Len. In fact, I've
never told you to go away or to shut up. You've done both. You aren't
very honest with yourself.

> You want
> a moderated newsgroup of such a condition that it is merely
> some kind of auxiliary ARRL where all the radiotelegraphers
> are given top privileges.

There is no supporting evidence for your ludicrous claim.

> Heil, I've sold enough work through my work (without meeting
> editors face-to-face) to warrent them paying me for my work.

You've sold work through your work?. The word is "warrant".

> Done that for several years. No problems. Where references
> were needed and known available for facts, those were listed.
> Where references were needed but not easily available, I've
> included copies for the Editors in Chief. I've had no nasty
> notes from any of them on that.

Send 'em a few samples. Maybe you could include your reply to the
comments of Mr. Rightsell. Perhaps you could include a few archived
posts from r.r.a.p.

> IF and only IF there were
> some spelling errors or grammatical errors (actually none
> that I recall), then those were due to the typesetters and
> found on the proof sheets...*I* found them and notified staff
> along with marks on proof sheets.

You'd better get on those r.r.a.p. no load typesetters. See above.
Did you find your errors and have you reported them to the r.r.a.p. editors?

> Where changes occured
> between manuscripts and proofs were due to printing space
> considerations, something that occurs regularly, the editors
> informing authors of that with requests to check the text
> cutting and communicate back any needed corrections.
>
> I get ZERO compensation for writing anything in here...

I don't think anyone here would stand for paying to read your output here.

> ...except
> maybe a visceral enjoyment out of puncturing the balloons of
> the mythmakers and the ego-inflated "superior" beings. It is
> fun to see the totally-biased, self-opinionated get skewered
> and hear their anguish over non-physical "wounds" when trying
> to keep federal regulations to Their Ideas of What Must Be.

You're back to being Walter Mitty. Gotta love Thurber.

> You've been punctured and wounded many times in here, Heil.

I've been here for a long time, posting from three different countries,
Leonard. I'd have certainly known if I'd been punctured or wounded.
You overestimate your abilities.

> I can't help that.

I sincerely believe that you write many things here, Leonard, over which
you have absolutely no control.

> As Super Chicken was told, "you knew
> the job was dangerous when you took it."

Super Chicken? Who the hell is Super Chicken?

> You just can't
> continue to BULLY folks around as you have and not expect

> someone to stand up to you and kick your verbal butt for
> the way you act to those against your opinions.

Verbal butt? Oh, stop, Len! You're cracking my "message knuckles".

> If you
> want to remain deep fat fried and get overcooked, you have
> only yourself to blame. I enjoy cooking.

It looks like you're currently doing a slow burn.

Dave K8MN

# 2039. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 02:09:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <C%9gf.357$A23.165@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124603

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 19, 8:08 pm
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 17, 4:47 pm
>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>>>>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
>>>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>>>>>>>>> It should come as no surprise that Len Anderson's eight pages of
>>>>>>>>> pontificating, self-important reply to the comments of Robert G.
>>>>>>>>> Rightsell read almost exactly like his frequent pontificating,
>>>>>>>>> self-important rants in r.r.a.p.
>>>>>>>> It should come as no suprise that Dave/K8MN has just posted his longest
>>>>>>>> post ever not providing the details or tips for aspiring DXers, but in
>>>>>>>> rediculing someone who has had an -almost- lifelong interest in radio.
>>>>>>> "Rediculing"? You've spent so much time conversing with Mark that
>>>>>>> you're starting to write like him.
>>>>>> Dave is trying to insult me.
>>>>> Yep, I'm responding in kind to your usual red-hatted monkey routine.
>>> No. You're responding in your usual smug way.
>>> Hello? Can we say Davie is also pompous and arrogant?
>> You could, but you'd come off as insincere. After all, you're the guy
>> who used a reply to comments to slam another individual, Windy.
>
> Heil started this thread with an overt personal insult against
> me. QED ("it is as demonstrated")

You're absolutely right, Leonard, except that was posted in usenet (not
from "University Network"), not in comments or replies to comments filed
with the FCC.

>> Did Mr. Rightsell single you out for some personal criticism?

> No, but you do not understand the procedings of public
> commentary on Notices of Proposed Rulemaking.

"proceedings". I think I understand them fine. One can dismiss or
argue against the views of another. It is not necessary to attack an
individual.

> Think of
> it as a form of politics. In politics the "gloves come

> off" many times and that is acceptible.

You aren't in politics any more than you are in amateur radio.

>> Do you have OnStar, Len? It seems geared to mothers with small children
>> and geezers. I don't use it. After all, I've got a 160m-70cm rig in my
>> car. I can use SSB/FM/CW with it. Maybe after I'm older I'll look into
>> the security and peace of mind offered by OnStar.
>
> I do not have OnStar.

Then why are you recommending something to me with which you have no
ex... Ohhhhhhh! Never mind. I guess it sort of like your advice on
amateur radio.

> I do not need any GPS device.

C'mon, Len. After reading some of your output here, I'd be surprised if
you could find your way to the bathroom on a foggy night.

> AAA membership and a cellular telephone... <snip travelogue>

> Whichever one of us is at the wheel during those trips
> knows to pay primary attention to driving, not playing with
> hobby radios.

I don't carry any purely hobby radio equipment, Len. There's just the
Amateur Radio Service equipment. I can drive and operate the radio
equipment simultaneously. I've not had a chargeable accident in years
and I've never had any accident while using a radio.

>> Abrogate your citizenship rights? That's blarney.
>
> "Plain, simple fact:" WT Docket 98-143, 25 January 1999,
> Comment by Robeson. Clear attempt by him to deny my
> exercising First Amendment rights.

If that is indeed your view, you've made a clear attempt to deny Mr.
Rightsell's First Amendment rights.

>> If it wasn't for the
>> impolite methods you used toward Mr. Rightsell, I wouldn't mind seeing
>> you submit more material in your inimitable style, Leonard. You're your
>> own worst enemy.
>
> "Impolite?" :-)

...at the very least, impolite.

> Heil is terribly upset by ONE Reply to Comments. I have filed
> SEVEN Replies to Comments plus one Comment on Docket 05-235.

So?

> Heil has had ample time to file his own Reply to Comments
> on my Replies to Comments. Heil has not. Heil wishes to
> vent his bile, spite and anger in here. <shrug>

I'd never, never stoop to being that low in any documents submitted to
the U.S. Government. Yes, if I have anything to vent in the arena of
amateur radio policy, I'm likely to post it here. You don't seem to
know where to vent and where not to vent.

>>>>>>> Your organ grinder pal

>>>>>> Organ grinder pal?

>>>>> Yep, as Hans Brakob has pointed out on a number of occasions, Len is the
>>>>> organ grinder; you are the red-hatted monkey.

>>>> Hans the Dump Huck caller?

>>> Once more, Extra Morsemen think they have civility immunity.

> All note the title of this thread containing an overt personal
> insult directed at myself. :-)

It most assuredly does. All of the material posted is, to the best of
my knowledge, completely factual.

>> "Go fork yourself, Dudly. [he's done]"
>> "Go fork yourself, Jimmie. [he's done]"
>> "Go fork yourself, Davie. [he's done]"
>
> A common cook's technique to ascertain the condition of meat
> or fowl being cooked in an oven, grill, or barbeque.

Other than Super Chicken, do you know of any meat or fowl posting to
this newsgroup? Oh, it is "barbecue"

Dave K8MN

# 2040. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 02:55:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132541711.412291.319200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124604

Jim Hampton wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> >
> > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> >
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Hello, Jim
>
> Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier so I
> can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
> Audiooooooo ... ;)

No.
>
> Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with double
> sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for interesting
> effects too ...

Yep. Of course it doesn't explain why it's OK to run AM at a bandwidth
of 9 kHz but not some new data mode at a bandwidth of 4.5 kHz.
>
> Where's my sideswiper?

Yep.

73 de Jim, N2EY

(yes I got the "10 meter" joke...)

# 2041. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 03:33:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132544029.057969.49030@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124606

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 19, 6:34 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 02:45
> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
> >>>>> Dave Heil wrote:

> >That's simply incorrect, Leonard. You insult anyone who favors
> >retention of morse testing in amateur radio.
>
> Miccolis and Heil both PERCEIVE insults where there is only
> strong, sharp responses to their overbearing self-images.

No, you write lots of insults, Len. Anybody who disagrees with
you here becomes the target of your personal attacks. In fact,
you're no longer satisfied with such insults on Usenet, and have
added ECFS as one of your venues.

> This venue is a debate forum, not a gathering around the
> bar at a local fraternal order.

Then go ahead and "debate", rather than calling names.

> >Please explain how retention of morse testing is regression in any form.
> >After all, morse code is used daily by thousands of radio amateurs.
>
> Those radio amateurs - if operating legally - below 30 MHz
> using radiotelegraphy have ALREADY passed a federal
> telegraphy test. If they have already passed it, removal
> of the code test regulation will not affect their operating
> privileges.

> However, the code test retention WILL affect all those
> uncountable in the future who MAY want to get an amateur
> radio license having below-30-MHz privileges.

Apply that logic to the written test, too.

> They are
> not invisible, only uncountable because there is no
> accurate way to get their numbers.

So you have no idea how many there really are.

> At best, the Commission
> gets only a general impression of their numbers in the

> filings on Docket 05-235. So far, those numbers of the
> public against code testing are about even with those
> for its retention.

55% for retention of some code testing, 45% for complete
removal - when you count those individuals who bothered
to file an opinion with FCC.

> >You continue to complain that others insult or denigrate you. You've
> >told Jim that he never had any "PRIDE" in his work.
>
> Yes, I should be taken to task on that, considering that
> Miccolis has NEVER TOLD ANYONE HERE *EXACTLY* WHAT HE DOES
> AT HIS *UNNAMED* EMPLOYMENT PLACE.

Why does that matter, Len? What difference does my job make
to what you describe as "hobby radio"?

Your behavior here has demonstrated that it doesn't matter what
someone's job involves. If that person disagrees with you, their
employment is ridiculed and denigrated by you.

> yielding
> only vague generalities of what he does/did without giving
> more specific descriptions.

See above. Only a fool would give you more information when
your previous behavior shows what you will do with that information.

> >Do you consider your behavior to be rude?
>
> No.

Well, it is. Very rude.

> It is strong and confrontational...which has been quite
> normal in computer-modem networks since the original ARPANET
> spread out into the world. These networks are not for the
> faint of heart or the easily disturbed one-sided inflexible
> ideological bigots who refuse to compromise.

That last phrase describes you pretty well, Len.
>
> NPRM 05-143 is a direct affront to the perception of some in
> what constitutes "ham radio" or "amateur radio."

Yeah, well, different strokes for different folks.

> Some insist
> that radiotelegraphy is "the heart and soul of ham radio,"
> therefore a test for that skill must "always" be in the
> regulations.

Opinions vary all over the place on that.

> >> We readers will just put you down as either NOT WORKING or
> >> at some place not associated with electronics at all.
> >
> >We readers? You're now speaking for all other readers of this newsgroup?
>
> Not at all. I read ALL postings in this public venue,
> therefore reiterating that I am one of those readers.

Yet you use the plural.

> >You can't blame Jim for not wanting to talk shop with you. Look what
> >has happened to others who have revealed details of their work (and who
> >happen to favor retention of morse testing).
>
> Miccolis has not revealed any details of what he does for
> a living...other than he is a "professional" and is "proud
> of his work."

Here we go again....

> Miccolis has expressed a number of varying
> opinions of alleged knowledge, even expertise in areas
> where he has not claimed any experience (aerospace,
> specifically on spacecraft; non-amateur communications
> where he refused to give anything specific on where or what),
> yet has been highly confrontational, even antagonistic to
> those who HAVE had experience.

Well, Len, you've never been a radio amateur, and have no real
experience with radiotelegraphy, yet you are "highly confrontational,
even antagonistic to those who HAVE had experience."

More important, you find it necessary to attack me personally, rather
than deal with facts.

> Miccolis has admitted that
> he has NEVER served in any military postion, yet he chides
> veterans who have served by claiming expertise in military
> matters and military life.

Where have I claimed "expertise" in anything, Len? If you think
my postings are those of an expert, that's your opinion, not
anything I posted.
>
> Hans Brakob, a proud morseman and USN veteran, a Master
> Chief Petty Officer, has gone on the record as favoring
> the elimination of the U.S. amateur radio code test. I
> respect his military service and I think he respects mine.
> My only "disagreement" with Hans is his penchant for
> posting/forwarding so many stories/tales on USN life,
> some of them of quite an emotional nature.

Why is that a problem for you? Is it becase Hans' stories,
and his storytelling, are better than yours?

> While the
> USN and the entire maritime world enthusiastically
> boosted the use of early radio a century ago, there is
> a sense of overkill in boosting morsemanship from an
> emotional, visceral level a century later...especially
> given the enormous improvements in all radio techniques
> and technologies during that century.

Whoa! "there is a sense of overkill in boosting morsemanship
from an emotional, visceral level a century later."

What the heck is that supposed to mean - that Hans isn't even
supposed to like Morse Code?
>
> Brian Burke is a USAF veteran and I do not discredit his
> service nor insult his active-duty assignments.

Because he agrees with you.

> >You make up derogatory
> >names for those folks and you insult their jobs and military service.
>
> I WILL endeavor to insult, demean, and generally despise
> ANY military veteran FAKE that exists or shows up. That
> is a PROMISE.

Also real ones who disagree with you. Like a USCG radio
operator whom you dissed in your classic "sphincters post".
>
> I WILL endeavor to insult, demean, and generally despise
> anyone who attempts the same sort of insults, denigrations,
> and personal insults on ME. They get back what they hurl.

You'll also do the same to those who simply disagree with you,
or point out your mistakes. You consider disagreement with
your ideas or information to be a personal insult.

# 2042. Glenn Baxter and Intimate Male Massage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 04:25:04 UTC
From: John Johnston <John.Johnston.1yuat3@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <John.Johnston.1yuat3@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 124613

The other day I was driving on the interstate south of Augusta, in Maine. I had to take a crap, so I pulled into the first decent looking rest room. I ran into the stall, sat down, and what did I see? Glenn Baxter's name, phone number and email address, scrawled in crayon on the wall. Glenn Baxter, k1man14275@aol.com, 207-495-2215. And right underneath, a message saying, "Expert at intimate favors to men and little boys." For a minute I wondered what kind of grafitti artist would refer to your standard B J or rim job as intimate favors, then I got pretty angry.

This is America damn it! Glenn Baxter from Belgrade Lakes Maine may be the biggest homo on the planet, and people say he diddles littles boys. People say he's a liar, a thief, a con man, a no good qrming mama's boy who barely squeaked through high school. People say a lot of things about Glenn Baxter.

I don't know if any of it's true. But I do know this. If Baxter is a pedophile, or any of the hundred bad things so many people are saying about him, it's nobody's business but his!

If he's no better than a thief and a common criminal, let the police arrest him. If he's into deliberate interference and breaking FCC rules, let the FCC deal with him. Why do so many people have to belittle him and call him a fool, a liar, a charlatan? Whatever happened to the American habit of rooting for the little guy? He's pretty short you know! Have YOU ever met him?

I digress. What I'm saying is this. If you have personal knowledge that Glenn Baxter is a criminal, by all means, call the police, or whoever is in charge of the particular crime you think he committed. But don't get on the internet and call Glenn Baxter a filthy, stinking, pedophilic piece of dog excrement. It's not nice. And it may not even be true, despite EVERYTHING you've heard.

Give Glenn Baxter a break people. Call him and tell him you support his right to call decent people Nazis. Tell him you support his right to fight with Walter Cronkite, Riley, Leo Meyerson, Collins, QRZ, or whoever he's suing this week.

All I'm saying is; the weak-minded, feeble, foolish, and insane need love too!

This has been another editorial minute. Until next time, I'm Johnny Johnston.

--
John Johnston

# 2043. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 05:13:44 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132550024.855400.196560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124607

From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 5:45 pm

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
>>>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:

>That's right. Unlike you, I'm not on a mission to recreate the great
>American novel.

No? You would be GREAT as a character like Snidely
Whiplash or the Iceman (from Batman 2nd movie).

>> Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
>> rate was 20 WPM. Why? Because the older-timers influencing
>> the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
>> radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
>
>I don't think you have the story.

Yes I do in the form of Volume 5 of the Title 47 Code of Federal
Regulations. In part 97 it definitely required all Amateur
Extras to pass a TWENTY word per minute code test.

>> It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
>> Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
>> set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.
>
>That's incorrect, Leonard. The Extra Class ticket was available before
>Incentive Licensing. At that time, it offered no additional privileges
>at all. It was never available for just passing a higher speed morse
>exam. Your story is still full of holes.

No holes according to a very OFFICIAL Volume of Title 47 printed
by the U.S. Government Printing Office and sold through their
branch stores 8 years ago. Allocations per class showed that
Extras got lotsa perquisites.

Wanna argue with U.S. government agencies? Go ahead. I ain't
them. Call up Dubya and let him know about those "holes."
Maybe Rove and Libby can fill them...?

>>> Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
>>> First Phone.
>
>> Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables.
>
>What were you attempting by posing your question to Jim? Were you being
>a snotty little kid, trying to turn the tables?

Tsk, you two are becoming indistinguishable. :-)

I took the 1956 Commercial First Phone test and passed. I saw
a 1957 Extra test and it wasn't as difficult at the First Phone.

Now some reel expert gooroos say that ham license tests are
now "dumbed down." Bayoo Broose he say dat many times. Many
others do.

>>I took all the test elements for the Amateur Extra in 1977. I saw the
>>test elements for the First Phone of that time. I disagree with your
>>statement.

You disagree with ANY statement I make, senior.

BWAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHHAAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

In 20 years there is room for change.

>After all, does not all radio operate under the same physics.

Absolutely. But...the REGULATIONS, you know, the ones
made by humans in federal agencies, do NOT AFFECT electrons,
fields, and waves.

If the regulations are "equal" then the government would be
prudent (or under pressure) to have only ONE test instead
of two.

>After all, obtaining a First Phone wasn't rocket science.

Tsk, I've never said that. However, I did work at a place
where REAL ROCKET SCIENCE was used in practice, out in
Canoga Park, CA, at Rocketdyne Division of Rockwell
International. [Boeing bought that division from Rockwell
and Rockwell owns Collins Radio... :-) ]

Why don't Heil/Miccolis tell us all about "rocket science?"

:-)

>> The statement still holds. In order to get an Extra ham
>> grant, every applicant has to pass BOTH the telegraphy
>> and written tests.
>
>Of course it still holds. The test is just much, much easier to pass.
>Unlike your original statement, one does not obtain an Extra Class
>ticket simply by passing a morse exam.

So, you almost concede that I was right...there are TWO parts
of the Extra exam: Manual telegraphy and written. In order to
obtain an Extra class license grant one must pass BOTH.

I was right but you can't get up the courage to say I was.

>My slow words were much bigger than the fast words.

? What in the world are you trying to say...?!?

>When it comes to amateur radio, Len, I am superior to you.

When it comes to ALL radio, you are definitely NOT.

When it comes to sociopathy, you are superior to me in that.

>I have an amateur radio license. You do not.

Do you want to go nyah-nyah on ALL licenses?!?

BWAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>I passed all the exams which were available. You did not.

I passed all the exams (in one sitting) for a First Phone.
If I had not, the FCC would not have granted me that license.

>I have 42 years of experience as a radio amateur. You do not.

Ech. I have 52 years of experience in PROFESSIONAL radio.

If you want to play "Twenty Questions," go get the game
from Parker Brothers. Tell them you've had 42 years of
experience as a radio amateur.

BWAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>> "It ain't braggin' if ya done it." [Miccolis' misquote of Dizzy
>> Dean] I did them. Not brags.
>
>Amateur Radio: You ain't done it.

Sorry, but I have. :-)

>It isn't obvious at all. You are neither in amateur radio nor
>(according to your most recent statement on the subject) desirous of
>obtaining a license.

Tsk, I have three essential licenses in life...plus that
First Phone which was much later converted to a General
Radiotelephone. [that makes four, you don't need the
fingers on your other hand to count]

If I want to add an amateur radio license at some later time,
I will. I may not want to later. That is MY choice. [that
makes five but the amateur license is not what I would call
essential to life existance]

>> I've been a hobbyist in radio-electronics since 1947...
>
>That isn't amateur radio.

YES, it IS. You fail to note "licensed" as a prefix to your
use of "amateur radio."

>There has been a code-free amateur license available to you since 1991.

No kidding? FCC 90-53 was made into an R&O!

Sunnuvagun!

>You have not even attempted to pass an exam for that license.

True enough...but you SHOULD say that I never made any
appointment with either the FCC or the VEC to take any
amateur radio test of any class.

I have the option to do so or not to do so. The Internet
does not require any amateur radio license. Only control-
freak bigots like yourself require amateur licenses to
participate.

Speaking of that, Mr. Control-freak, why aren't you on the
case of all those anony-mousies who have polluted this
newsgroup and made foul stenches for the last year?

What have you accomplished THERE in your self-righteous
attitude of "ethnic purity" in this newsgroup?

>I can tell that you haven't taught English. If you had, you'd have
>noted the obvious discrepancy in what you originally wrote about the
>English teacher (above), "who said out-right in her Comment that she is
>neither into amateur radio nor desirous of obtaining a license". Now
>you've changed it to "claimed no INTEREST and NO EXPERIENCE in radio".
>The two statements are very, very different. You're squirming.

Ohm my, isn't the control-freak wigging-out on English
semantics, word structure, etc., etc.!!!

You cannot comment on the GIST of the Comment by that female
but you wish to go to word-war over my choice of English in
rewriting something?!? That is truly pathetic and weak.

YOU show ME your English teaching credentials in order to be
the "judge" of proper language.

YOU show ME where you've spent time as an Editor at any
publication where language skills are a must. I've done
that YOU have NOT.

YOU show ME all the articles you've sold, sight-unseen to
editors since 1969.

>Yeah? You aren't involved and you are against morse testing. So?
>Looks like you've encountered a dilemma.

"Dave Dilemma," control-freak. It has a name! :-)

>I'd compare you to some other biased anti-morse test individual, but
>there doesn't seem to be anyone but you.

There's been one recently. I made a Reply to Comments supporting
him and adding to what he said. Go look it up. To make it easy
on you, old grouchy man of no mountain, you will not have to look
back far on WT Docket 05-235.

>I've made no attempt at controlling your posting, Len.

Untrue. Constant heckling of any NCTA that you do is a form
of control.

It is a rather weak control and yours just doesn't work.

Now what are you going to do?

Repeating the same tired, trite personal insults against
others is a FAILURE. Don't you realize that?

>> Done that for several years. No problems. Where references
>> were needed and known available for facts, those were listed.
>> Where references were needed but not easily available, I've
>> included copies for the Editors in Chief. I've had no nasty
>> notes from any of them on that.
>
>Send 'em a few samples.

Done long ago. That's how I got my invitation to submit
manuscripts.

>Maybe you could include your reply to the comments of Mr. Rightsell.

Whatever for?!?

What is with your "thing" about Rightsell? Is he your bosom
buddie? A boy-friend?

You haven't said dink about my OTHER Replies to Comments.

>I've been here for a long time, posting from three different countries,
>Leonard.

>> As Super Chicken was told, "you knew
>> the job was dangerous when you took it."
>
>Super Chicken? Who the hell is Super Chicken?

A cartoon character. On TV and with some cinema as short
subjects.

You probably didn't see that cartoon series in all those "different countries." :-)

Tsk, you've not taken enough time to ENJOY things... :-)

Of course, being such a "comic," you might be annoyed by other comedy. <shrug>

No doubt you draw a blank on "Snidely Whiplash," "Tom Slick (and the Thunderbolt Grease-slapper)", "Gertie Growler," "Boris and Natasha," "Fearless Leader," "Way-Back Machine", many others. Funny stuff. Hanna-Barbera did well.

But, I digress. This is supposed to be "all about amateur radio!" That's why we readers are all treated to talks on Dudly's "USMC career facts" (not), "Foreign Service" exploits, national politics, international politics, religions, morals and ethics in daily/national life, and a host of other things having nothing to do with RADIO.

Let's all get uptight and rigid on radiotelegraphy to satisfy all the self-important morsemen in here!

Hooo-RAAWWWWW!!

Temper fry.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2044. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 05:16:57 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132550217.184875.207510@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 20, 3:36 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K4YZ on Nov 19, 2:13 am
>> >K0HB wrote:
>> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote

>> >> > The KY-28, used on the OV-10A and D, AH1G and J, the UH-1N, the
>> >> > CH53 A & D were refered to as "JULIETT'.
>
>> >> > Steve, K4YZ
>
>> >> Your memory on this issue is not accurate.
>
>> >> Regardless of platform, user agency, or military department, crypto systems are
>> >> named by NSA (not by the Navy, USMC, or whoever). The KY-8/KY-28/KY-38 family
>> >> was named "NESTOR".
>
>> > It could have been named "Rice Krispies", Hans, but in USMC
>> >aircraft it was refered to as "JULIETT"
>
>> There we have it, direct from CNO Romeo at breakfast.
>
>I recall reference to "kick."

"Kick?"

>> >> I am well familiar with the crypto suite installed in those airframes.
>
>> > Me too....I spent enough time having to re-key them on a daily
>> >basis.
>
>> Using morse code?
>
>dididahdahdidit.

Right...we QUESTION him on many things. Dud no answer.

>> No wonder they wouldn't work!
>
>> BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!
>
>> Ahem...the KIR and the KIT were used with transponders...

>
>> >> When
>> >> assigned as a member of the communications staff at CINCLANT, I could have told
>> >> you the NSA serial number of every KY-28 and what tail number carried it in
>> >> every US/NATO aircraft in the Atlantic theatre.
>
>> > I am sure you could.
>
>> > And in USMC Aviation, the crypto gear was refered to as "JULIETT".
>
>> Go for it, Dudly, keep up the AMATEUR RADIO talk!
>
>> We'll all remember you "were homeland security before there was
>> homeland security!"

Geez, I really hope Dudly was a member of CWO Walker's ring.
Them Russkies would have bought a lot of worthless info!!!!!

>> Corner promo: Ed Reimers cupping his hands saying confidently
>> "You're in good hands with Dudfleet."
>
>> BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!
>
>> "Mode four! Mode four! Weapons free!"
>
>> BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!
>
>Now Len, do you want Steve to think you're making fun of him?

Moi? Mais non! Tsk, ol' Dud do dat all buy hisself.

Interesting that nonebody had come in with U.S. amateur radio is
expressly forbidden to use any cryptographic means to obscure
the meaning of communications. Not to worry. Hans will be
along shortly to quote chapter and verse from Part 97...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2045. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 05:21:59 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132550519.498410.224120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

W4ASX RESPONSE TIME, 11/18/2005 to K1MAN Essay ES051115

Open minded, I look for the wisdom, despite the nonsense that preceeded it. In this case, Glenn, rambling masquerading as wisdom is what I read. You'd do well to figure out what you're trying to say before you say it. Readers want to see a topical sentence, not stream of conscience writing. When the writing goes on and on without materiality, then we conclude the writer is over impressed with himself, writers who are generally poor listeners and readers. Such people have difficulty perceiving reality.

I'm afraid, Glenn, that's where you fall in. Many of your statements/conclusions are rife with fallacy, i.e. the fact that someone fails to answer a CW call means he doesn't know the code. A ham for 53 years, I do not answer code because I want to talk sideband. So, by your logic, you question whether I ever knew the code on account of ignoring a cw signal.

And this bit about law. God, Glenn, get a grip. Law is so deep and intoxicating that even the most educated lawyer wouldn't make the claims you do. Only someone who doesn't know what he doesn't know would talk about law the way you do. Being honest, I know of one exception: The jury trial you won against the law professor. Now, that was a case you can be proud of, one you thought about and prepared for. For the most part, however, you're way behind me in law, and I don't fancy myself anything in law. I mean, consider what I don't know: proof of facts, evidence, hearsay exceptions, theories of damages. That's just within in the ambit of what comes immediately to mind; imagine the big iceberg of law under the sea that isn't readily visible.

At least when I write about you, I write on the basis of facts. For example, when I alleged you were a narcissist, I drew directly from your Wife, Bonny. Fact is, you don't know anything about Brian. I can tell you that Brian is one hell of a genius in psychology, rendering my psychological musings child's play.

You are certainly right when you say he sets up institutes and pseudonyms, but don't many of us? My company is called Entertainment Central, a registered dba of my person here in Florida. Don't you have IARN and AARU? I've always thought that the way we Americans market our material is through all kinds of names that are not necessarily our own. In your mind, however, something is wrong when Brian employs

traditional Americana.

Glenn, again I reiterate at one point you were on the way up, but you took a wrong turn. You are no great thinker, no great lawyer, a poor writer, a poor communicator, a very, very poor ham, and a mentally disordered individual, growing worse. Take stock of yourself, my friend, and turn it around.

Bob Sherin,
W4ASX

P.S. If you send me material, please publish any response with the same prominence as the original material. Thanks.
K1MAN Responds November 20, 2005

---
From: K1MAN14275@aol.com
To: Bobmiami1@aol.com
CC: k3vr@hotmail.com

To: W4ASX

cc: K3VR

Date: 19 November 2005

You are right about two things, Bob: 1) I don't know anything about Brian Crow, K3VR, and 2) Your iceberg analogy is a very goog one, but, as an engineer, I do understand the scientific reasons why 9/10 of an iceberg remains unseen under the water. I also understand the basic principles that support the other 9/10 of the law, as you correctly state that I do not know. I don't, of course.

Likewise, Bob, you don't know about 9/10 of my legal work or 9/10 of my other work either. This, at best, will have to wait until all of my books are finished and published at www.K1MAN.com as well as in "Scientific American," etc.

My biggest legal case to date, by far, is currently pending in a Maine Superior Court.

Thanks for reading my essay. It was great to hear from you after so many years. God bless you! 73 and GL de K1MAN.

"Great causes are never tried on the merits; but the cause is reduced to particulars to suit the size of the partisans, and the contention is ever hottest on minor matters." - Ralph Waldo Emerson - From his essay "Nature" 1844.

W4ASX Replies, November 21, 2005

What a lame response, Glenn. God, I could tell you that my biggest case is right now, and it will go down in legal history. That it very well. But this doesn't address the level of my legal knowledge nor countless cases of others that are likely more important than mine and

better advocated.

What I get from you Glenn is this notion of entitlement, that only other special people can understand you. I may not know 9/10ths of your law any more than you know 9/10ths of mine. However, I do know what I read as public record, and far from good lawyering, it is pitiful, in my view. I see the way you comport yourself as highly disordered.

Let me give you some examples. You take civil matters and put them in the criminal arena. Where do you think you're going to get with all your felony affidavit complaints and those green cards? By making those matters criminal, do you think the U.S. Attorney is going to pursue them? To the contrary, everyone with whom I communicate in privity thinks you're nuts.

A good part of law is in the chosen remedy. Consider: If you have a complaint against a ham interfering with your broadcast, pursue the traditional civil route. Get an injunction. Given good cause, it's a piece of cake and you could join the FCC as an indispensable party (to interpleed).

Your remedy with Riley is the epitome of what not to do from perspectives too numerous to enumerate. If you sincerely believe all the things you allege in the public forum, why not frame it in a 42 USC 1983 federal action, which, designed for the purpose, has teeth? Of course, you're not going to get anywhere unless you learn how to plead, your weakest part (of which I was aware). For, if your pleading doesn't pass muster, the case will go nowhere, like all of your cases, except the Corson one.

Now, permit me to enlighten you about that 9/10ths iceberg of law underneath. It is not governed by engineering formulas. In this case, it is the sheer intoxication that no matter how far you go in law on any given subject, the library is still replete with more. And every subject can be attacked from so many different angles. Far from governed by formulas, it is governed by education: Smart legal people have a notion of what they don't know.

Your very manner of expression about law, Glenn, is arrogant and pompous. What successful advocate would call an FCC NAL with prima facia efficacy puny and anemic? If that is your idea of Soloman's law, you need more than legal help.

Every would-be author wants to be published. The more respected the publication, the better the author looks. So, apparently you have some massive need to tell me to watch for you in Scientific American. Need I tell you to watch for my best seller "Death of a River Pilot?" While I have high hopes, I don't know for sure that it will be a best seller -- or even published, for that matter. Only time will tell. But you've got this need to hype yourself in the present for something that may happen in the future. Has seasonal depression got you down? (I

know that Maine isn't the brightest spot at this time of year.)

In the 1950s, I elmered Aaron Fishman, K1BAF, and in recent years he was in fact published in Scientific American regarding the history of sun spot cycles. Not one to promote himself, Aaron just one day sent me the article, whereupon I marvelled at his achievement. He wasn't aware of what an honor it was. Now Aaron is a mensch, not a pompous ass, as, quite honestly, you come off.

Like your stream of consciousness musings, your reply is stilted. Memoirs. Anyone who has a life worth studying can write a memoir, but, from what I know, yours isn't worth reading, and neither is mine. You're far more likely to wind up a classic case study in DSM.

Please pardon me for expressing the thoughts stirring within. Obviously, if you are enamored with marching to the beat of your own, off-beat drummer, proceed! Be assured that I will evaluate any of your prospective writings unprejudiced and with an open heart. To be honest, I like communicating compliments but have the opposite animus based upon the totality of your utterances in the public domain.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

# 2046. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 06:01:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xodgf.1817$rM2.735@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124610

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 19, 6:34 pm
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 02:45
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 16, 2:20 am
>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>>>> In other words, if it didn't make money for you, it wasn't going to
>>>> get your time and effort.
>>> You really can't understand anyone who doesn't have YOUR
>>> immaculate set of "standards" can you?
>> I've met people like you, Len.
>
> No you haven't, Heil.

That's funny. I could have sworn that I just wrote that I had.

> People like me would AVOID your kind.

They certainly might try.

> Such avoidance allows you to continue living.

I wouldn't really get goose bumps over threats made by an unpleasant geezer, Len, especially one nearly three thousand miles away.

> Be greatful for that.

Are you trying to come off as menacing? The word is "grateful".

>> They're all about what positions they've
>> held, how much they made, the cost of their home(s), the brand and year
>> of the car they drive.
>
> Such as those who were "in the foreign service"...

"Foreign Service"

> now living in a large residence with many antennas? That fancy,
> expensive Orion transceiver? :-)

I don't recall making any issue of my home. I don't recall expounding on the expense of my antenna system. I don't think I've ever used the word "expensive" in describing my radio equipment. Perhaps you can't refresh my memory.

>> They miss a lot of life.

> They do? Ohm my. Do you define "life" as only enjoying what
> YOU enjoy?

No, I define life by events, experiences and people. I made a postive comment about the late Vic Clark the other day. What was your response?

> I don't think that's right. Everyone ought to do what THEY
> like...at least in my mind.

We're supposed to do what we like in your mind?

> You seem to think that is wrong.

Well now, it certainly *seems* wrong. I'd have likely said, "To my way of thinking, people should do what they enjoy doing. Of course, that'd be wrong too. Everyone is faced with doing some responsible things or some unpleasant things or some things they'd rather not be doing.

> What am I "missing?" I have many activities, all of which
> I have selected based on what I enjoy.

See above. Add that you seem to measure men by their material possessions and by the trappings of worldly success. You miss a lot of things doing it that way.

> I enjoy some luxuries in life and the freedom of retirement.
> I enjoy the relationship I have renewed with my wife (after
> a long absence since our days together in high school). I
> enjoy a new car which is not a luxury vehicle and replaces
> a 1992 model. I enjoy a number of friends both here and
> around the country, just recently having a reunion with
> family friends in the midwest plus good companionship with
> my wife's classmates at their 50th Graduation Reunion.

You must present quite a different face than the one you put on here.

>> They never seem to do anything for the love of it.
>
> No? :-)
>
> Have you ever considered that what YOU observe in others
> might be flawed?

Not really. I'm thinking that you must keep a spare face on hand for those occasion when you're zipping around visiting those friends.

> Nah. You are without flaw...you are an Extra Morseman!

I have my flaws, Len. I spend way too much time here, jousting with those who don't really have any impact on my life. I'm intolerant of boneheads, blowhards and the stupid. I *am* an Extra Class Amateur Radio licensee and I use morse code among the several others I enjoy.

>> It isn't just about baby steps (not baby shoes), is it? You don't care
>> to join an activity where you'd still be considered a beginner, do you?
>> I mean, you haven't achieved the neophyte level in amateur radio.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk...more arrogant superiority manifest there, Heil.

It is a factual statement, is it not?

> Amateur radio is basically a HOBBY. It isn't a craft, guild,
> or union that demands some kind of "apprentice-journeyman-
> master" hierarchial order...

It is more than a hobby. Part 97 of the Amateur Service regs do not outline amateur radio as a hobby. There certainly is a hierarchy, though it does not necessarily depend upon one's license class. There's nothing wrong with being a beginner in amateur radio. To become a beginner, you'd need to start. You never started.

> ...except in the minds of some olde
> fahrts who love to talk down to "lesser folk" (the ones THEY
> think are "lesser").

That's the kind of thing which I can guaranty to make your time as a beginner difficult.

> Am I some kind of "beginner" in radio after a half century
> of experience in more parts of the EM spectrum than any
> amateur is allowed?

No, but you'd be a beginner in amateur radio. You aren't there yet.

> Am I some kind of "beginner" because I've
> operated transmitters with far higher power outputs than
> amateurs are allowed to have?

No, but you'd be a beginner in amateur radio. You aren't there yet.

> Am I some kind of "beginner"
> because long ago I learned how to design radio circuits from
> a blank pad with pen on to the finished hardware and gotten
> them to perform as originally specifed?

No, but you'd be a beginner in amateur radio. You aren't there yet.

> You seem to think so. What it really boils down to is
> manual telegraphy.

Not at all. It has nothing to do with mode of operation. You'd still be an amateur radio beginner if you chose FM or SSB. Everyone who enters something for the first time is a beginner. Live with it.

> I would be an absolute beginner at telegraphy, no dispute,
> if I were to take that up again. All I know is the pattern
> of dots and dashes and their corresponding English language
> characters. That's suffed into a good memory with lots and
> lots and lots of other data, some useful, some not.

You'd be a beginner at all of it, Len. You'd be a new licensee firing up your rig and calling CQ or responding to one. You'd need to know all the things which make for a good op and all of the pertinent regulations governing your operation. You'd need to know the techniques used by amateurs for specialized modes such as meteor scatter or auroral communications.

> But - and this is very important in the NPRM 05-143 decision -
> the FCC has ALREADY made ALL ALLOCATED MODES OPTIONAL TO USE.
> There just isn't ANY mandate to exclusively use radiotelegraphy
> except on two small slivers of the lower end of 6m and 2m.

I've stated that being a beginner in amateur radio is not mode-dependent.

> But, getting the amateur license to use ANY amateur band
> below 30 MHz still requires passing a telegraphy test!

Yes, it does. I happen to think that is a good thing.

> I'm seeking to eliminate that telegraphy test.

I've gathered that over the years.

> There's no
> point in having it except as a vestige of pride still felt
> by those long-timers who once considered themselves as
> 'compagnons de telegraphe' because the human-made regulations
> gave them status-rank-privileges BECAUSE of that telegraphy
> test.

You're starting a speech, Len. The mode is in widespread use. It takes some skill to use. I already know that it chafes you.
Sorry to snip the rest of your speech. It is much too long and I don't have the time to devote to reading it.

> Beep your little Orion to outer space if you want.

That's not the kind of thing apt to stand you in good stead as an amateur radio beginner.

> You are not yet a god of anything,
> are not divine.

You've debated this within yourselves for some time. There are times when you write that I am a god and times when you write that I'm not a god. You've never developed a consensus.

> You are simply inflexible and self-
> righteous...

That's pretty much the way I see you, Leonard--and you aren't even involved.

>> What do your former employment, income, home and marital status have to
>> do with your getting an amateur radio license, Len?
>
> If you wish to make some kind of game out taking words

> and sentences out of context, then I can beat your game
> any time for amount that you can count. That's wasted
> effort and impolite.

Feel free to explain how your words were used out of context.

>
>>>> You've told us how great things are for you many, many times, Len.
>>>> As if all that somehow explains your obsession.

>>> "Obsession?!?" :-)
>>> Trying to change federal law is an "obsession?"

>> In your case, yes, it is. You are obsessed.
>
> Incorrect. It is PERSISTENCE.

Feel free to rename your obsession if it pleases you.

> It is IDEALISM, a quest to
> make things better for others who share some of my interests.

No, I'm sorry. I can't accept your attempt at claiming your motives are
idealism. It just doesn't wash in light of your history of postings.
Even capitalization can't make me buy that.

> It is many things but it is definitely not some deviant
> obsession.

I don't recall using the word "deviant". Maybe we're nearing a
breakthrough.

> On the other hand, those who have met old test regulations
> and insist and insist that those should be kept for the
> future are suspect. Their self-righteousness is suspect.

I find your own self-righteousness to be suspect, Len--and remember: you
aren't involved.

> Their failure to change with a changing reality is suspect.
> Their obstinancy

"Obstinacy", Len. You know, I've often had the same feeling about some
guy who breezes into an activity with ideas about changing everything.
He usually does so with the mistaken idea that everything old is bad and
that everything new is good. He takes the position that being seen as
doing anything is better than being seen leaving things alone. The
Foreign Service is rife with them. They typically get "promoted" to
some position where they can't gum up the works.

> on keeping the old ways forever in this
> new millennium are suspect. Their perceived self-worth
> is threatened by feared loss of status and privilege,
> perhaps even rank in the pecking order of the "amateur
> community."

You've not managed to peck your way in yet, Len. You keep babbling
about self-worth, status, privilege and pecking order. Your own
self-worth is tied up in this somehow. You aren't apt to change rank,
status or pecking order in amateur radio or anything else with your
attitude.

> I've just rounded up the usual suspects and shown some light
> on them. You complain of the glare in your eyes. Too bad,
> that makes it hard for you to admire yourself in the mirror.
> Apologies to you for that. I may have to change to a more
> intense light source...

Walter! Walter Mitty! You take that trash down to the curb!

>> You can write "YES it IS" all you want. Obtaining an amateur radio
>> license isn't about those things.
>
> True, the U.S. amateur radio test regulations have nothing
> about baby shoes or taking little baby steps.

Nope. You'll need to put those babies in motion if you intend to get
that (insert license class here) "right out of the box".

> RIGHT NOW the U.S. amateur test regulations require a
> telegraphy test for any class privileges below 30 MHz.
> THAT is what many are trying to change.

It surely is. Many are trying to prevent that change. Many more
couldn't care less.

>>>> Then why are you so unfriendly here, Len?
>
> Because both Miccolis and Heil are decidedly unfriendly to
> all who disagree with them.

Does this sound like the logic of a man in his seventies?
"Jim and Dave are being mean to me"?

> They will not bend from their
> self-righteous opinions,

Uhhhhh, you won't bend from your self-righteous opinions.

> offer no real concessions on the
> code test...

You've never offered *any* concessions on morse code testing.

> ...act arrogant and superior (Heil becomes abusive)
> on the subject of radiotelegraphy.

You were acting arrogant and superior from the very first day I read one
of your posts in this newsgroup. You can't blame it on me. I hadn't
yet made a post.

> They increase all that
> on replies having opposite opinions. They continue attempts
> at "pushing buttons" of those opposed to them.

That sounds like one from the Len Anderson play book.

> They have sown what they now reap in return.

Back to Walter Mitty, I see.

>> That's simply incorrect, Leonard. You insult anyone who favors
>> retention of morse testing in amateur radio.
>
> Miccolis and Heil both PERCEIVE insults where there is only
> strong, sharp responses to their overbearing self-images.

I can't speak for Miccolis. Heil perceives insult where there is
insult. You are all about insult but you like to be on the giving end.
You don't do very well when on the receiving end.

> This venue is a debate forum, not a gathering around the
> bar at a local fraternal order.

I've read your stuff since about 1996. I've seen very little of debate
from you but much insult.

> It does not have to be
> "friendly" in the sense that all "must" think alike, have
> the same opinions. If you wish "hail fellow well met"
> gatherings, seek fellowship among your own kind. This
> venue is open to ALL who are able to access it.

Are you telling me to go away again, Len?

>
>> Please explain how retention of morse testing is regression in any form.
>> After all, morse code is used daily by thousands of radio amateurs.
>
> Those radio amateurs - if operating legally - below 30 MHz
> using radiotelegraphy have ALREADY passed a federal
> telegraphy test. If they have already passed it, removal
> of the code test regulation will not affect their operating
> privileges.

You didn't answer the question posed to you. Where's the regression?

> However, the code test retention WILL affect all those
> uncountable in the future who MAY want to get an amateur
> radio license having below-30-MHz privileges. They are
> not invisible, only uncountable because there is no
> accurate way to get their numbers. At best, the Commission
> gets only a general impression of their numbers in the
> filings on Docket 05-235. So far, those numbers of the
> public against code testing are about even with those
> for its retention.

Where's the regression?

>> Care to see your special profile, Leonard?
>
> A "profile" by whom?

Do you know of more than one?

> Someone who dislikes me intensely in
> public?

You're getting warmer.

> By someone who has no claimed training/schooling
> in psychology?

I don't think you wrote your own profile.

> By someone who is a staunch, stubborn,
> steadfast pro-code-test-advocate?

I think he might admit to a couple of those.

> Feel free to post any "profile" you wish. I will repeat
> it for the benefit of all those who might miss one...as I
> have before.

That's awfully big of you, Len. It would really save a lot of extra
work if, every time you act like a jackass, you could post the profile.

> Feel free to make a Big Issue of it. All that
> does is show what a self-righteous little spiteful
> sociopath you are in here when your personal opinions are
> countered.

I think I'd have to direct my ire to more of society in order to be
considered a sociopath, Len. Now you take a guy like Roger Wiseman.
You've seen his output here and you've seen the great numbers of
individuals he has targeted. Now *he's* a sociopath. I'm just a guy
who isn't likely to let your insults, wild inaccuracies and fabricated
bafflegab go by unchallenged.

>> You continue to complain that others insult or denigrate you. You've
>> told Jim that he never had any "PRIDE" in his work.
>
> Yes, I should be taken to task on that, considering that
> Miccolis has NEVER TOLD ANYONE HERE *EXACTLY* WHAT HE DOES
> AT HIS *UNNAMED* EMPLOYMENT PLACE.

What's it to you?

>> You go on to call him "Brother of Dudly".
>
> In some ways Miccolis *IS* like Dudly the Imposter...

Sorry, there is no "Dudly" or "Dudly the Imposter".

> ...yielding
> only vague generalities of what he does/did without giving
> more specific descriptions.

Again, what's it to you?

> You fit that description in some
> posts...such as your "being in a country at war" implying that
> you were somehow personally engaged in warfare

What's it to you? I was in the country where the war took place. I drew combat pay for my entire time in-country. I had orders which permitted me to anywhere in the geographical confines of the RVN.

> ...and that
> later your only description of military experiences of any
> specific nature involved operating some MARS radios in a
> "behind the front lines" location.

...and, as you've been told at least twice before, those were not my assigned duties. That's something I did in my off-duty hours. What's it to you?

> You've then made repeated
> denigrating statements about my assignment at a "rear area,"
> something that I had no choice but to accept at the time.

Fess up, Len. Were you insulted simply because you were in the rear? Would you like to see it verbatim?

>> Do you consider your behavior to be rude?
>
> No.

Well, that's flat out wrong.

> It is strong and confrontational...which has been quite
> normal in computer-modem networks since the original ARPANET
> spread out into the world.

It is much, much more than strong and it is certainly confrontational. When you're confrontational, you get confrontational in return. You've found that out. It doesn't work for you. It makes you no converts.

> These networks are not for the
> faint of heart or the easily disturbed one-sided inflexible
> ideological bigots who refuse to compromise.

That's the kind of stuff that'll get you burned when and if you ever become a beginner in amateur radio.

>> Are the smileys supposed to excuse your churlish manner?
>
> "Smileys" are just emoticons that represent my mood after
> having made some statement. In-person, there would be
> much more in the way of expressed emotion, tone of voice,

> "body language" and so forth to indicate my mood at any
> particular time. Given the limitations of allowed
> character limitations in this particular medium, emoticons
> are a minimal extension of what would be readily apparent
> during in-person encounters.

It seems that you often follow rude and insulting remarks with smileys.

> Your use of "churlish" is inappropriate and a bit insulting.

It is quite appropriate. If you find it insulting, good. Maybe you'll
rethink your methods.

> "Churl" is a rather old descriptor of "1. a peasant, 2.
> a surly, ill-bred person; a boor."

As you can see, I chose the word which conveys my thinking.

> You wish to place
> yourself "above" others, to be "their superior."

I've often felt superior to a boorish, surly person.

> [that is
> readily apparent in your many previous postings in here]
> Your general attitude seems to be nothing more than
> bullying with strong overtones of bravado, a "don't mess
> with ME" sort of thing. That reveals much to all other
> readers.

Print that part and paste it to your monitor. Maybe you could read it
just before hitting the "send" button on your posts.

> If I use "smileys" [ :-) ] that only indicates I am
> actually physically smiling on having written something.

You smile at your insulting remarks? The corny Vaudeville stuff that
your write puts a smile on your mug? Really?

> I rarely use other emoticons, such as a mild frown
> or disagreement [ :-( ] because I am more amused at
> the general commentary in here than disapproving. :-)
>
>
>> Talk about misdirection. You dodged the question, Leonard.
>
> Tsk, tsk. There is NO imperative or mandate that all
> "must" answer someone's question. You presume too much
> authority. An infinite amount of presumptions since you
> are not the moderator in here.

I understand your embarrassment at being asked. I note that you've
deleted the question to save yourself further embarrassment.

>>> We readers will just put you down as either NOT WORKING or
>>> at some place not associated with electronics at all.

>> We readers? You're now speaking for all other readers of this
newsgroup?

> Not at all. I read ALL postings in this public venue,
> therefore reiterating that I am one of those readers.

That doesn't make sense. Why not write "I"?

> I speak only for myself. For whom do you speak as your
> "authority?"

If I'm stating my view, I write "I". I never write "we readers" or "us
readers".

>
>> You can't blame Jim for not wanting to talk shop with you. Look what
>> has happened to others who have revealed details of their work (and who
>> happen to favor retention of morse testing).
>
> Miccolis has not revealed any details of what he does for
> a living...other than he is a "professional" and is "proud
> of his work."

What's it to you? He has seen what you do with information about the
jobs of others. Why should he expose himself to the same kind of abuse?

> Miccolis has expressed a number of varying
> opinions of alleged knowledge, even expertise in areas
> where he has not claimed any experience (aerospace,
> specifically on spacecraft; non-amateur communications
> where he refused to give anything specific on where or what),
> yet has been highly confrontational, even antagonistic to
> those who HAVE had experience.

That's kind of what you do with radio amateurs--particularly those who
use morse, isn't it?

> Miccolis has admitted that
> he has NEVER served in any military postion, yet he chides
> veterans who have served by claiming expertise in military
> matters and military life.

He's caught you in a couple of big ones. Remember the one about the
Soviet Bears and the flying time to Tokyo? Remember that sphincter post?

> Look at Steven James Robeson who has woven a veritable,
> virtual straw skyscraper of claims in here. He is exposed
> constantly on his outright fraudulent claims, yet he
> persists.

Why don't you admit that you have no facts to back up your claim?

> I have drawn a parallel to his actions in here
> to the "Dudley" description found in Ernest K. Gann's
> auotbiographical book "Fate Is The Hunter." That Dudley
> was an outrageous fraud in commercial aviation and
> eventually killed himself and his passengers in a crash
> caused by his incompetence. The "Major Dud" label he got
> (and deserved) is a play on words, a contraction of my
> comparison to Gann's presented example with the name
> contracted...

Steve deserved the label you made up for him? You have facts at your disposal? I've not seen any--just speculation.

Why have you been strangely silent on the miitary claims of "Colonel", er... "Lieutenant" Mark Morgan, the drafted officer who served after the end of the draft?

> Frank Gilliland applied the "major" both
> from Robeson's claim of rank of major in the CAP and the
> former half-hour TV sitcom "Major Dad" about an active-
> duty USMC officer. Marine veterans, indeed most veterans
> of any branch with a pride in their military service, are
> justifiably insulted both personally and as a group at
> ANY poseur, any fake "veteran" who makes claims of
> machismo and/or heroism when they have NO PROOF of such
> claims.

And the "Nursie" thing and the "Murine" thing you cooked up long before any CB Frank showed up? What were they about? That leads me back to that "Sphincter post" of yours. You wrote of what it was like to be under an artillery barrage, only it turned out that you'd never been under any artillery barrage. Would you agree that such a tale makes you an imposter, a fraud or a poseur? Do you think that most veterans of any branch would be insulted by your claim of machismo?

> YOU have made numerous denigratory personal insults about
> my "rear area service"

...only in light of the Soviet Bears and the "sphincter post"

> in my military experience, a
> voluntary enlistment in the Army, a branch that had
> selective service draftees during a definite war period.

Sorry, that's untrue.

> If you are a REAL military veteran, then you should know
> that no one in the military, especially in the enlisted
> ranks, has much choice of where they are assigned.

I'm a REAL military veteran.

> I went
> where I was told, did my duties, got promotions because I
> did my duties competently. That my assignment involved

> HF radio communications on a large scale was an eye-
> opening revelation into the much larger world of radio.
> It was "the luck of the draw" and it resulted in a major
> life career change for me that I never regretted.

That's all very good, Len, but not all that unique. I entered the
military and began operating in large scale HF communications at age 19.
I'd already been a ham for nearly five years by then. Thanks to the
knowledge I'd already gained as a ham, I was able to skip Air Force tech
school and proceed directly to my first duty station. There are
thousands of stories like that. They aren't particularly special.

> That
> you were resentful of that fortuitous circumstance is
> not my problem.

Where do you get this stuff?

> The ACAN-STARCOM-DCS worldwide net was
> and remains far larger than any Department of State
> communications network;

And your point is what--that yours is bigger than mine?

> the military nets did carry State
> communications then and both share the DSN now.

Wrong-o, Len. I never operated into any military facility.

> Brian Kelly, formerly a regular in here, vacillated on
> his postings, taking several "sides." While NEVER having
> served, he boasted of "more important work" for the
> military than I, negatively criticized what I did as both
> a military and a civilian person, yet made a number of
> embarassing faux pas on his knowledge of the military,
> including the activities of the now-closed NADC in
> Pennsylvania. He might have changed his mind on NPRM
> 05-143, maybe not. He has stopped posting in here.

No, he hasn't. Where've you been? I seem to remember you denigrating
every experience which he posted. They seemed to be more of your "mine
is bigger than yours". That's what kind of guy you are.

> Hans Brakob, a proud morseman and USN veteran, a Master
> Chief Petty Officer, has gone on the record as favoring
> the elimination of the U.S. amateur radio code test. I
> respect his military service and I think he respects mine.

> My only "disagreement" with Hans is his penchant for
> posting/forwarding so many stories/tales on USN life,
> some of them of quite an emotional nature. While the
> USN and the entire maritime world enthusiastically
> boosted the use of early radio a century ago, there is
> a sense of overkill in boosting morsemanship from an

> emotional, visceral level a century later...especially
> given the enormous improvements in all radio techniques
> and technologies during that century.

Get over it.

> Brian Burke is a USAF veteran and I do not discredit his
> service nor insult his active-duty assignments. Robeson
> does that for no reason. Brian is a meteorologist, not
> one directly involved in the worldwide USAF radio network,
> but he is conversant and knowledgeable about military
> radio procedures on land. Burke favors the elimination
> of the U.S. amateur radio license exam code test and is
> a code-tested radio amateur licensee.

Yeah? What of it? What's with the bio sketches?

> Frank Gilliland is a USMC veteran and works IN radio,
> does not have an amateur radio license yet takes the
> side against the NPRM. That's fine with me. Frank
> does not insult me yet we have had some mild disagreements
> in here. Frank is frank and believable.

Frank is frank? Frankly, I don't care. Frank is some guy who comes
here to tout the virtues of CB radio.

> His postings
> have an air of honesty. Frank takes no guff from Dudly
> and speaks up on Dudly's fakery, misuse of what is
> known jargon in the Corps, and Dudly's general weird
> attitude.

There is no one named Dudly posting here. What accounts for your
generally weird attitude?

>> You make up derogatory
>> names for those folks and you insult their jobs and military service.
>
> I WILL endeavor to insult, demean, and generally despise
> ANY military veteran FAKE that exists or shows up.

And, absent any evidence, you'll proceed anyway. That's what you've
done in regard to Steve.

I'm a military veteran of the Vietnam War. You've done nothing but
insult my military service. I wasn't writing only of military veterans.
You've insulted jobs, military service--pretty much anything you can
find out about anyone who opposes you.

> That
> is a PROMISE.

Yeah, yeah. Great.

> I WILL endeavor to insult, demean, and generally despise
> anyone who attempts the same sort of insults, denigrations,
> and personal insults on ME. They get back what they hurl.

You don't explain how it can be that they get back what they hurl when you've done the first hurling. How can that be?

> I have digitized records of proof of my military service,
> my civilian jobs, personal references (both mentioned in
> here in specifics plus those not yet mentioned), plus
> some additional verification documents from government
> agencies on my ordinary life.

That's all great, Len. I really don't care all that much about your military service or your ordinary life. I have no doubt that you served when you say your served and where you say you served. I have a little problem with you doing the sphincter post, the Soviet Bear post and hitching your wagon to the fellows in your outfit who were killed in a war zone while you were safely in the rear.

> While not an exceptional
> life, it exists, has existed, and was real.

I have no doubts of that. What accounts for your inability to accept that others have lives which exist, have existed and are real? What accounts for your necessity of playing the ultimate expert and the necessity of derogatory remarks made by you toward the jobs or military service of others?

> Anyone who
> challenges that, in any way, shape, or form had damn
> well be able to PROVE their charges beyond any doubt.
> If they cannot prove what they charge, they will get
> much worse than they try to give.

...or even if they don't make any charges, they're pretty sure of getting back much worse than they give, just for daring to hold an opposite view.

> In my life experience I've encountered a number of
> "churlish" bullies who've attempted many things against
> me, including physical violence. I learned to stand up
> to them, face them down, and, in a few cases, had to
> physically defeat them when they were not able to control
> themselves.

You need to ask yourself if you're in a position to write checks that your body can't fill. About the only guys who tremble at the wrath of a guy in his seventies are guys in their eighties.

> I'm not looking for trouble but if trouble
> finds me, then woe is that trouble; such will not find
> an easy adversary.

I'm betting that trouble won't likely be able to find you at all. You
seem to be losing your grip.

>> Gee, Lennie, you are constantly AGAINST the retention of morse code
>> testing in amateur radio. Imagine that.

> TS.
>
>
>> ...and, poor baby, you still wonder why you are insulted and denigrated?
>
> Up yours.

That's brave of you, Leonard.

> You can continue to maintain the code test on your purely
> personal level of your targeted insults to me specifically.
> You have received responses. You apparently don't like
> being countered on the personal level. Your problem. If
> I have the time you will get replies as I choose.

I'll wait with bated breath.

> Since you started this thread with an overt personal insult
> as the title, you are in no position to claim yourself
> either "civil" in this war of words or as the "neutral
> judge" of What Should Be. You are neither "neutral" nor
> "judge."

I've never claimed to be neutral, Len. Neither did I use a document
submitted to the Federal Government to attack the view of any individual.

> Or, it could shift to the broader perspective of actually
> talking regulation policy and arguing on that plane.

> If
> you choose to resort to the personal level again, you are
> the one to have failed in the shift.

You've not made a shift. Your words in the material above make it clear
that you've no intention of reverting to reasoned debate. Your behavior
here is asinine.

> You get NO points
> for already being IN amateur radio through licensing since
> the code test regulations affect only those who are either
> not in amateur radio or those inside who wish to "upgrade."

That's mere wind, Leonard. You don't assign or remove points here.

> You are in neither category.

...nor are you, Len. Your last statement on the matter was that you
have no intention of getting an amateur radio license and you don't have
a license to upgrade. Anything which changes amateur radio effects
those already licensed. I am in that category.

> You are not in the FCC nor
> do you control any licensing regulations.

When were you hired to administer amateur radio?

> You have no
> qualifications that make you "superior" for arguing policy
> on the public level...

Au contraire. I have forty-two years experience as a radio amateur.
You have jack-doodly-squat.

> ...can only resort to puerile personal
> insults. QED.

...and you remain a horse's patoot. QED.

Dave K8MN

# 2047. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 06:24:15 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dlrp6f$qkl$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 124650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In article <dlmrou$q8k$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>,

The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>> Wasn't "Morse" a god in mythology? <innocent questing look>

>Nah he was an Inspector in a TV show ;o)

And if you listen carefully during the closing theme song, you'll hear
the violin section play -- --- .-. ... . (MORSE) repeatedly.

The first time I heard that I couldn't believe my ears.

73,
Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

## 2048. Re: A, An, or The FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 06:32:20 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <438169F4.1CD38524@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124611
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Highland Ham wrote:

> >> What is Polymath?
> >> You have made a name for yourself indeed Polymath the biggest asshole
> >> known to any newsgroup.
> > Yes, bit of a bore isn't he? I'll put you down as "don't know..."
> ==========
> Polymath or Airy Bean ,whatever he calls himself is Gareth A. Evans ,
> G4SDW
> He is a nuissance
> Any reaction on his weekly outpour seems to encourage him . It is best to
> ignore him .

Oh, I see... One of those new fangled G4s. That explains it all...

## 2049. Re: FAQ, just what is Ham Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 06:52:56 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <43816EC8.6B4141E6@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124612
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

Polymath wrote:

> What is Ham Radio?
> ...

Blimey! I can't believe he's at it again!

# 2050. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 12:06:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132574776.581036.271100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124614

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > The purpose of the whole drill was to get you to find out from
> > > uncontestable sources that my information was accurate.
> >
> > No need. Given your propensity for lying, it's a safe bet that you
> > were wrong again.
>
> Bad logic, Brian.
>
> Why would I direct you to a source that was uncorruptable?
>
> If I HAD been 'wrong', I would have given you eternal bragging
> right, now wouldn't I...?!?!

Steve, I guess that's the main difference between you and me. I need
no bragging rights.

> > Hans'
> > > presenting of the order, howevr well intentioned, harpooned that.
> > >
> > > None-the-less, Frankie's rant was shot all to be-jeebers.
> >
> > Only Hans was suckered into playing your "drill," harpoon and all.
> > Reminds me of the GNR episode in "The Dead Pool."
>
> Hans wasn't "suckered" into anything.
>
> His information was dead on accurate.

"Back to the Future" accurate.

Unfortunately, you don't have a time machine.

> And you now have the resources with which to finish the job,
> Brian...It's just up to you whether you're going to do it or not...
>
> You can do it, which will only serve to verify what I've been
> saying all along...GOD FORBID it would deprive you of the opportunities
> to call me a liar.
>
> Or you can NOT do it, and then waffle along with all sorts of
> "It's not my job" or "I bet you're lying anyway" excuses and the rant
> wars go on.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

It's not my job to prove you right. Hans tried, bless his heart.

But you want your internet arguments to go on and on and on. All you had to do was give up some information about your claims of seven hostile actions five years ago, but no. Now after years of bad information about everything else, you want someone else to prove you right about uniform issue?

Good luck.

## 2051. An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 12:19:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132575544.038007.247680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124615

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
> >>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>>>>> an old friend wrote:
> >>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> >>>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> >>>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> >>>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."
> >>>
> >>> Not true, Len.
> >>>
> >> ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis. Everyone realizes it. Why not
> >> admit that it is so?
> >>
> >Let's go through it, shall we? Pro-coders (one can only wonder if Len
> >means those who favored morse testing, those who favored morse use or
> >those who were simply proficient at morse code) made up their own
> >regulations. It isn't explained how or if these "pro-coders" all became
> >Extra Class ticket holders. Extra Class license holders can't obtain
> >that license without passing the most difficult theory and regulatory
> >written exam offered in U.S. amateur radio and not all of those with
> >morse code skills became Extra Class licensees. Len's statement appears
> >to have some gaping holes.
> >
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. NO "holes," Heil. An abbreviated synopsis
> is all.

Big holes, Len.
>
> Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
> rate was 20 WPM.

Unless a medical waiver was obtained, in which case the Extra
could be had for a code test of as little as 5 wpm.

The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring
that
also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test
speed
for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.

> Why? Because the older-timers influencing
> the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
> radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.

No, that's not true at all.

> It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
> Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
> set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.

What about the thousands of others - like myself - who earned the
license because we wanted the privileges? In my case, that was
in 1970, at the age of 16.

> >> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
> >> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
> >> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
> >> and could only get a SECOND class.
> >
> >Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
> >First Phone.
>
> Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
> makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
> 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
> of that time.

Where?

Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners. They
were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC). In fact,
back then
FCC required 2 years' experience as a General or higher license just to
*try*
the Extra test.

> The Commercial license was still more difficult
> than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
> Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
> modes in Commercial radio then.

But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of those
who *did*
take both say the Extra written was "harder".
>
> >> EVERYONE knows that the Amateur Extra is granted ONLY when
> >> BOTH the code test AND the written examination tests are
> >> passed. One CANNOT have one without the other.
> >
> >One can now obtain it with s very slow f i v e w o r d p e r
> >m i n u t e morse exam. That's very, very slow.
>
> That's NOT an "answer," Heil. You can't throw your prunes
> and say they are apples with that sort of response.

>
> The statement still holds. In order to get an Extra ham
> grant, every applicant has to pass BOTH the telegraphy
> and written tests. That's in the regulations, not in
> your stupid little s l o w w o r d s .

Yet you denied it earlier.

> >> No, Jimmie, Speroni's RESULTS are ALL THERE IS. HE did all
> >> the "interpreting" and some of that is WRONG...see a "pro-code"
> >> comment from an English Department [instructor] who said out-
> >> right in her Comment that she is neither into amateur radio
> >> nor desirous of obtaining a license.

I took a look at those comments. The teacher is clearly in support of
continued Morse Code testing. So there is nothing "WRONG" with
the classification in the Speroni count.

How would *you* classify the English Teacher's comment, Len?

btw, that English Teacher is a member of the public expressing an
opinion to the FCC. First Amendment and all that.

> >Hmmmmm. Don't you fit right into that particular category, Len?
>
> Obviously NOT, Heil.

Yes, you do, Len. You're neither into amateur radio
nor desirous of obtaining a license.

> which
> as nothing to do with the instructor who did the comment used
> by Speroni as being "for 'CW'" and claimed NO INTEREST and NO
> EXPERIENCE in radio.

So what? The teacher's comments are clearly pro-morse-code-test.
That's what counts for purpose of the tally.
>
> Heil, I've sold enough work through my work (without meeting
> editors face-to-face) to warrent them paying me for my work.

"warrant".

I've done the same, Len. No big deal.

# 2052. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 12:19:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132575552.137923.248160@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 20, 3:36 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: K4YZ on Nov 19, 2:13 am
> >> >K0HB wrote:
> >> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote
> >
>
> >> >> > The KY-28, used on the OV-10A and D, AH1G and J, the UH-1N, the
> >> >> > CH53 A & D were refered to as "JULIETT'.
> >
> >> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >> >> Your memory on this issue is not accurate.
> >
> >> >> Regardless of platform, user agency, or military department, crypto systems are
> >> >> named by NSA (not by the Navy, USMC, or whoever). The KY-8/KY-28/KY-38 family
> >> >> was named "NESTOR".
> >
> >> > It could have been named "Rice Krispies", Hans, but in USMC
> >> >aircraft it was refered to as "JULIETT"
> >
> >> There we have it, direct from CNO Romeo at breakfast.
> >
> >I recall reference to "kick."
>
> "Kick?"

Yep. "Kick" something. Had to do with keying a crypto unit in a comm
van.

> >> >> I am well familiar with the crypto suite installed in those airframes.
> >
> >> > Me too....I spent enough time having to re-key them on a daily
> >> >basis.
> >
> >> Using morse code?
> >
> >>dididahdahdidit.
>
> Right...we QUESTION him on many things. Dud no answer.

That's his right. But there's a lot of baggage to drag around with
claims of seven hostile actions and he can't mention the particulars of
one of them. Some of the baggage includes not even believing him about
clathing issue. Hi!

> >> No wonder they wouldn't work!
> >
> >> BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!
> >
> >> Ahem...the KIR and the KIT were used with transponders...
> >
> >> >> When
> >> >> assigned as a member of the communications staff at CINCLANT, I could have told
> >> >> you the NSA serial number of every KY-28 and what tail number carried it in
> >> >> every US/NATO aircraft in the Atlantic theatre.
> >
> >> > I am sure you could.
> >
> >> > And in USMC Aviation, the crypto gear was refered to as "JULIETT".
> >
> >> Go for it, Dudly, keep up the AMATEUR RADIO talk!
> >
> >> We'll all remember you "were homeland security before there was
> >> homeland security!"
>
> Geez, I really hope Dudly was a member of CWO Walker's ring.
> Them Russkies would have bought a lot of worthless info!!!!!
>
> >> Corner promo: Ed Reimers cupping his hands saying confidently
> >> "You're in good hands with Dudfleet."
> >
> >> BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!
> >
> >> "Mode four! Mode four! Weapons free!"
> >
> >> BWAAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHHHH!!!!!!!
> >
> >Now Len, do you want Steve to think you're making fun of him?
>
> Moi? Mais non! Tsk, ol' Dud do dat all buy hisself.
>
> Interesting that nonebody had come in with U.S. amateur radio is
> expressly forbidden to use any cryptographic means to obscure
> the meaning of communications. Not to worry. Hans will be
> along shortly to quote chapter and verse from Part 97...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Extra Dick/W0EX, RIP, had a work-around to that rule. He went on for months about how to thwart those pesky No-Code Technicians trying to decode his Morse enCoded transmissions with a 'puter.

# 2053. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 12:42:06 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132576926.075130.59040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124617

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > The purpose of the whole drill was to get you to find out from
> > > > uncontestable sources that my information was accurate.
> > > >
> > > No need. Given your propensity for lying, it's a safe bet that you
> > > were wrong again.
> > >
> > Bad logic, Brian.
> > >
> > Why would I direct you to a source that was uncorruptable?
> > >
> > If I HAD been 'wrong', I would have given you eternal bragging
> > right, now wouldn't I...?!?!
> >
> Steve, I guess that's the main difference between you and me. I need
> no bragging rights.

Sure you do.

Otherwise why manufacture the Somalia tale?

> > > Hans'
> > > > presenting of the order, howevr well intentioned, harpooned that.
> > > > >
> > > > None-the-less, Frankie's rant was shot all to be-jeebers.
> > > >
> > > Only Hans was suckered into playing your "drill," harpoon and all.
> > > Reminds me of the GNR episode in "The Dead Pool."
> > >
> > Hans wasn't "suckered" into anything.
> > >
> > His information was dead on accurate.
> >
> "Back to the Future" accurate.
> >
> Unfortunately, you don't have a time machine.

I don't need one.

YOU have a phone and Internet access. Drop a dime.

> > And you now have the resources with which to finish the job,
> > Brian...It's just up to you whether you're going to do it or not...
> >
> > You can do it, which will only serve to verify what I've been
> > saying all along...GOD FORBID it would deprive you of the opportunities
> > to call me a liar.
> >
> > Or you can NOT do it, and then waffle along with all sorts of
> > "It's not my job" or "I bet you're lying anyway" excuses and the rant
> > wars go on.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> It's not my job to prove you right.

But you demand answers and "proof".

When I provide verifiable resources you "pooh-pooh" it away with
these lame "...it's not my job..." excuses.

You asked for proof, I provided answers and resources to verify
those answers.

> Hans tried, bless his heart.

Yes, he did...And the basic order number is the same. Follow-up on
it. Take a chance.

> But you want your internet arguments to go on and on and on. All you
> had to do was give up some information about your claims of seven
> hostile actions five years ago, but no. Now after years of bad
> information about everything else, you want someone else to prove you
> right about uniform issue?

Nope.

You asked for proof. I provided resources of uncorruptable
verification of my assertions.

You refuse to follow the Yellow Brick Road, so don't complain
about not getting to Oz.

> Good luck.

For what? Poking holes in your lame excuse, Brian? I didn't need
luck for that...You provided all the footwork.

Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2054. Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 14:01:21 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dlsjvh$14q$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 124618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:

Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is the latest high profile ham to come out publicly about Glenn Baxter's license. You probably remember Leo as the founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics. Walter Cronkite also came out publicly against Baxter's (ex-k1man) renewal.

In fact, Leo just sent a letter to the FCC about Baxter. You can find the letter and a discussion of Baxter's renewal here:

"Leo Meyerson is an acknowledged pioneer in the field of radio. Leo is the founder of World Radio Laboratories and Galaxy Electronics. Throughout the years Leo has been very active in public service. A life member of the Quarter Century Wireless Association, Leo served as a National Director from 1977 to 1987. In 1991 he was named the QCWA Amateur Member of the year and in 1994 he was inducted into the QCWA Hall of Fame. Leo is also a life member of the American Radio Relay League, Society of Wireless Pioneers, and International Mission Radio Association among other associations. In 1980 Leo was elected a fellow of the Radio Club of America, one of the most prestigious radio clubs in America.

Leo Meyerson is the second radio icon to denounce Glenn Baxter, ex-K1MAN. The other, famous broadcaster Walter Cronkite, has publicly stated that he has experience with Baxter's lack of ethics. Cronkite also called on the FCC to deny renewal of Baxter's amateur radio licenses."

http://home.ripway.com/2005-7/357648/

73, K3VR

# 2055. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 14:13:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132582383.367027.49690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124619
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 20, 3:36 pm
> >
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: K4YZ on Nov 19, 2:13 am
> > >> >K0HB wrote:
> > >> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > >> >> > The KY-28, used on the OV-10A and D, AH1G and J, the UH-1N, the
> > >> >> > CH53 A & D were refered to as "JULIETT'.
> > >> >> Your memory on this issue is not accurate.
> > >> >> Regardless of platform, user agency, or military department, crypto systems are
> > >> >> named by NSA (not by the Navy, USMC, or whoever). The KY-8/KY-28/KY-38 family
> > >> >> was named "NESTOR".
> > >
> > >> > It could have been named "Rice Krispies", Hans, but in USMC
> > >> >aircraft it was refered to as "JULIETT"
> > >
> > >> There we have it, direct from CNO Romeo at breakfast.
> > >
> > >I recall reference to "kick."
> >
> > "Kick?"
>
> Yep. "Kick" something. Had to do with keying a crypto unit in a comm
> van.
>
> > >> >> I am well familiar with the crypto suite installed in those airframes.
> > >
> > >> > Me too....I spent enough time having to re-key them on a daily
> > >> >basis.
> > >
> > >> Using morse code?
> > >
> > >dididahdahdidit.
> >
> > Right...we QUESTION him on many things. Dud no answer.
>
> That's his right. But there's a lot of baggage to drag around with
> claims of seven hostile actions and he can't mention the particulars of
> one of them. Some of the baggage includes not even believing him about

> clathing issue. Hi!

The only "issue" you have at your disposal to play with is my refusal to discuss certain aspects of my military service. Everything else has been dispositioned with references to third party sources that can verify my claims.

That you refuse to use them is your problem, not mine.

And "clathing"...?!?!

More Mark Morgan phonetics?

> > Interesting that nonebody had come in with U.S. amateur radio is
> > expressly forbidden to use any cryptographic means to obscure
> > the meaning of communications. Not to worry. Hans will be
> > along shortly to quote chapter and verse from Part 97...
>
> Extra Dick/W0EX, RIP, had a work-around to that rule. He went on for
> months about how to thwart those pesky No-Code Technicians trying to
> decode his Morse enCoded transmissions with a 'puter.

It will be interesting to see if Markie jumps in and accuses you of "defiling" Dick.

I just mentioned his old call was about to be eligible for reissue, and he (Col. Pagan) went on and on about "defiling" the dead...

Steve, K4YZ

# 2056. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 14:33:32 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132583612.423773.64090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124620

an old friend wrote:
> policy-ham wrote:
> > This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:
> >
> > Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is the latest high profile ham to come out
> > publicly about Glenn Baxter's license. You probably remember Leo as the
> > founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics. Walter Cronkite also came out
> > publicly against Baxter's (ex-k1man) renewal.
> >
> any thing of subsatnce against K1MAN

Are you asking a question, or trying to make a point?

In either case, it was lost.

> indeed if that is needed is for a few high profile folks to dislike you
> well why does stevie or dave still have a license

And even MORE random words in search of organization.

Sucks to have a 246 IQ and no skills to use it with, huh...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2057. Todd N9OGL Promotes Pornography, Mark KB9RQZ Defends Him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 14:43:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132584238.611022.305410@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124621

N9OGL wrote:
> And again commander cornwhore, that's an anime site, not a kidy porn
> site I do not mess that crap.

Sure you do. This is just the tip of the iceberg.

What a sack of excrement...an almost-40 year old living in the
folk's basement promoting child pornography with cartoons...

I think if the Founding Fathers could see how their wonderful gift
of "freedom of speech" was going to be so perverted and abused, they
would have trashed the whole thing.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2058. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 14:57:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Mflgf.3294$jI5.2918@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124622

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>> policy-ham wrote:
>>> This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:
>>>
>>> Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is the latest high profile ham to come out
>>> publicly about Glenn Baxter's license. You probably remember Leo as the
>>> founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics. Walter Cronkite also came out
>>> publicly against Baxter's (ex-k1man) renewal.
>>>
>> any thing of subsatnce against K1MAN
>
> Are you asking a question, or trying to make a point?
>
> In either case, it was lost.
>
>> indeed if that is needed is for a few high profile folks to dislike you
>> well why does stevie or dave still have a license
>
> And even MORE random words in search of organization.
>
> Sucks to have a 246 IQ and no skills to use it with, huh...?!?!

I seem to recall seeing one of Len's recent posts where he attempts a slam at Jim Miccolis by writing something about a four digit IQ. I suppose that's possible if we use the Morgan scale, where the low end is 200.

Dave K8MN

# 2059. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 15:57:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <L7mgf.3307$jI5.2287@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124623

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 5:45 pm
>
> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
>>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
>>>>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>>>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>
>
>> That's right. Unlike you, I'm not on a mission to recreate the great
>> American novel.
>
> No? You would be GREAT as a character like Snidely
> Whiplash or the Iceman (from Batman 2nd movie).

Snidely Whiplash is a character from American literature?

>>> Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
>>> rate was 20 WPM. Why? Because the older-timers influencing
>>> the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
>>> radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
>> I don't think you have the story.
>
> Yes I do in the form of Volume 5 of the Title 47 Code of Federal
> Regulations. In part 97 it definitely required all Amateur
> Extras to pass a TWENTY word per minute code test.

Please quote the part stating that older-timers influencing the NAAR
lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur radio because so many
had been professional telegraphers.

>>> It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.

>>> Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
>>> set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.

>> That's incorrect, Leonard. The Extra Class ticket was available before
>> Incentive Licensing. At that time, it offered no additional privileges
>> at all. It was never available for just passing a higher speed morse
>> exam. Your story is still full of holes.

> No holes according to a very OFFICIAL Volume of Title 47 printed
> by the U.S. Government Printing Office and sold through their
> branch stores 8 years ago. Allocations per class showed that
> Extras got lotsa perquisites.

I thought I just explained this. I was licensed in 1963. The Extra Class license was available in that year (and in subsequent years leading up to Incentive Licensing) but no additional privileges were attached. One could obtain the very same privileges with a General Class ticket. You claim that former professional telegraphers lobbied to have the Extra Class created so they'd have a "free set of perquisites". That claim doesn't hold water. To obtain the Extra Class license, one had to pass a more difficult written exam as well as passing a more difficult morse exam. More privileges were granted after Incentive Licensing was phased in over a two-year period. The FCC implemented Incentive Licensing. Are you familiar with the word "incentive"?

> Wanna argue with U.S. government agencies? Go ahead. I ain't
> them.

I'm well aware of that, though you seem to forget from time to time.

> Call up Dubya and let him know about those "holes."
> Maybe Rove and Libby can fill them...?

Why would they care about your wild fabrications?

>
>>>> Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
>>>> First Phone.

>>> Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables.

>> What were you attempting by posing your question to Jim? Were you being
>> a snotty little kid, trying to turn the tables?
>
> Tsk, you two are becoming indistinguishable. :-)

He lives in Pennsylvania. He signs his posts "Jim N2EY". I live in West Virginia. I sign my posts "Dave K8MN".

> I took the 1956 Commercial First Phone test and passed. I saw
> a 1957 Extra test and it wasn't as difficult at the First Phone.

How'd you manage to see the exam?

> Now some reel expert gooroos say that ham license tests are
> now "dumbed down." Bayoo Broose he say dat many times. Many
> others do.

...and the First Phone is no longer offered. Your point?

>>> I took all the test elements for the Amateur Extra in 1977. I saw the
>>> test elements for the First Phone of that time. I disagree with your
>>> statement.

>
> You disagree with ANY statement I make, senior.

You keep plugging away and posting. There might be something from you
with which I find myself in agreement.

>> After all, does not all radio operate under the same physics.
>
> Absolutely. But...the REGULATIONS, you know, the ones
> made by humans in federal agencies, do NOT AFFECT electrons,
> fields, and waves.

Then why all the bafflegab about more EM spectrum, yada, yada, yada?

>> After all, obtaining a First Phone wasn't rocket science.
>
> Tsk, I've never said that. However, I did work at a place
> where REAL ROCKET SCIENCE was used in practice, out in
> Canoga Park, CA, at Rocketdyne Division of Rockwell
> International. [Boeing bought that division from Rockwell
> and Rockwell owns Collins Radio... :-) ]
>
> Why don't Heil/Miccolis tell us all about "rocket science?"
>
> :-)

Irrelevant. :-)

About the slower 5 wpm code speed in place for today's Extra Class
license, Len wrote:

>>> The statement still holds. In order to get an Extra ham
>>> grant, every applicant has to pass BOTH the telegraphy
>>> and written tests.

>> Of course it still holds. The test is just much, much easier to pass.
>> Unlike your original statement, one does not obtain an Extra Class
>> ticket simply by passing a morse exam.
>
> So, you almost concede that I was right...there are TWO parts
> of the Extra exam: Manual telegraphy and written. In order to
> obtain an Extra class license grant one must pass BOTH.

I concede that you were almost right. Your claim about old time
operators lobbying for extra perks by dreaming up a higher speed code
exam is still bogus. No one ever obtained an Amateur Extra without
passing a more difficult written exam. I wrote that part. You didn't.
It looks like you've almost conceded that I was right. :-)

> I was right but you can't get up the courage to say I was.

Courage isn't involved, Len. You initial claim was and is bogus.

>> My slow words were much bigger than the fast words.
>
> ? What in the world are you trying to say...?!?

Maybe if you didn't snip out the relevant portions, you'd be able to
follow along.

>> When it comes to amateur radio, Len, I am superior to you.
>
> When it comes to ALL radio, you are definitely NOT.

That hasn't been established. That depends what we're discussing. I
have far more HF radio operations experience than you, and at a far
earlier age. I likely know quite a bit more about antennas and HF
propagation than you. It is also likely that I have far more VHF/UHF
operations experience though I lack the experience in some exotic modes
you've mentioned. I have no doubt that you have the edge in electronics
theory.

> When it comes to sociopathy, you are superior to me in that.

Don't ever sell yourself short, Len. Your large r.r.a.p. body of work
is resplendent with examples.

>> I have an amateur radio license. You do not.
>
> Do you want to go nyah-nyah on ALL licenses?!?
>
> BWAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>> I passed all the exams which were available. You did not.
>
> I passed all the exams (in one sitting) for a First Phone.
> If I had not, the FCC would not have granted me that license.

Irrelevant. That has nothing to do with amateur radio.

>> I have 42 years of experience as a radio amateur. You do not.
>
> Ech. I have 52 years of experience in PROFESSIONAL radio.

That isn't amateur radio.

> If you want to play "Twenty Questions," go get the game
> from Parker Brothers. Tell them you've had 42 years of
> experience as a radio amateur.
>
> BWAAAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!

You act like a guy who is losing his grip, Len.

>>> "It ain't braggin' if ya done it." [Miccolis' misquote of Dizzy
>>> Dean] I did them. Not brags.
>> Amateur Radio: You ain't done it.
>

> Sorry, but I have. :-)

Sorry, you have not. :-)

>> It isn't obvious at all. You are neither in amateur radio nor
>> (according to your most recent statement on the subject) desirous of
>> obtaining a license.

> Tsk, I have three essential licenses in life...plus that
> First Phone which was much later converted to a General
> Radiotelephone. [that makes four, you don't need the
> fingers on your other hand to count]

In other words, you don't have an amateur radio license.

> If I want to add an amateur radio license at some later time,
> I will.

You might.

> I may not want to later.

You're waffling again.

> That is MY choice.

Partly. It would be seen as more possible for you if that dratted code
test were to be removed.

> [that
> makes five but the amateur license is not what I would call
> essential to life existance]

[ ) ?

>>> I've been a hobbyist in radio-electronics since 1947...

>> That isn't amateur radio.

> YES, it IS. You fail to note "licensed" as a prefix to your
> use of "amateur radio."

Mere semantics, Len. You aren't a radio amateur.

>> There has been a code-free amateur license available to you since 1991.
>
> No kidding? FCC 90-53 was made into an R&O!
>
> Sunnuvagun!

Yep. That represents fourteen years of inertia for you.

>> You have not even attempted to pass an exam for that license.
>
> True enough...but you SHOULD say that I never made any
> appointment with either the FCC or the VEC to take any

> amateur radio test of any class.

Consider it said.

> I have the option to do so or not to do so. The Internet
> does not require any amateur radio license.

Precisely. The internet is what you do. Watching TV is what you do.
Haunting an amateur radio newsgroup is what you do.

Of course you have the option to take an amateur radio license exam or
not. Passing one is another matter.

> Only control-
> freak bigots like yourself require amateur licenses to
> participate.

Actually to participate in amateur radio, the FCC requires you to obtain
a license. I'll try harder in future to become less bigoted toward
control freaks.

> Speaking of that, Mr. Control-freak, why aren't you on the
> case of all those anony-mousies who have polluted this
> newsgroup and made foul stenches for the last year?

What are you doing about it? After all, you post here. Why don't you
tell us what can be done about such people, especially when they misuse
anonymous remailers. When they're bounced from one, they typically just
find another. Give us your professional advice.

> What have you accomplished THERE in your self-righteous
> attitude of "ethnic purity" in this newsgroup?

Does this mean you support the filth mongers right to foul the
newsgroup? I'm sure you've written a lengthy position paper on the
matter. Let me have your ideas. Maybe I can come up with some useful
tactics based from your efforts in the area.

>> I can tell that you haven't taught English. If you had, you'd have
>> noted the obvious discrepancy in what you originally wrote about the
>> English teacher (above), "who said out-right in her Comment that she is
>> neither into amateur radio nor desirous of obtaining a license". Now
>> you've changed it to "claimed no INTEREST and NO EXPERIENCE in radio".
>> The two statements are very, very different. You're squirming.
>
> Ohm my, isn't the control-freak wigging-out on English
> semantics, word structure, etc., etc.!!!

You've made some rather obvious errors. One of them appears to be a
deliberate effort to make it sound as if you'd written something
different.

> You cannot comment on the GIST of the Comment by that female
> but you wish to go to word-war over my choice of English in

> rewriting something?!? That is truly pathetic and weak.

No it is neither pathetic nor weak. The person who writes of "the control-freak wigging-out on English semantics, word structure, etc., ectc.!!!" seems rather pathetic and weak. What you offered initially isn't what you later presented. The two statements are quite different in key respects.

> YOU show ME your English teaching credentials in order to be
> the "judge" of proper language.

Quit making demands, Len.

> YOU show ME where you've spent time as an Editor at any
> publication where language skills are a must. I've done
> that YOU have NOT.

If you've done it, you can't have been very good at it. Quit making demands.

> YOU show ME all the articles you've sold, sight-unseen to
> editors since 1969.

Go look for them. There are a number of them. What role does this "sight-unseen" stuff play?

>> Yeah? You aren't involved and you are against morse testing. So?
>> Looks like you've encountered a dilemma.
>
> "Dave Dilemma," control-freak. It has a name! :-)

There you go again. :-) :-)

>> I'd compare you to some other biased anti-morse test individual, but
>> there doesn't seem to be anyone but you.
>
> There's been one recently. I made a Reply to Comments supporting
> him and adding to what he said. Go look it up. To make it easy
> on you, old grouchy man of no mountain, you will not have to look
> back far on WT Docket 05-235.

>> I've made no attempt at controlling your posting, Len.

> Untrue. Constant heckling of any NCTA that you do is a form
> of control.

Heckling does not prevent you from posting. You cannot prevent jeers or laughter. Your First Amendment rights permit your free speech. You are not guaranteed a warm reception nor are you granted credibility.

> It is a rather weak control and yours just doesn't work.

It seems to eat at you.

> Now what are you going to do?

I'm going to do as I please, Len.

> Repeating the same tired, trite personal insults against
> others is a FAILURE. Don't you realize that?

Don't you? :-) :-) :-) :-)

>>> Done that for several years. No problems. Where references
>>> were needed and known available for facts, those were listed.
>>> Where references were needed but not easily available, I've
>>> included copies for the Editors in Chief. I've had no nasty
>>> notes from any of them on that.

>> Send 'em a few samples.

> Done long ago. That's how I got my invitation to submit
> manuscripts.

>> Maybe you could include your reply to the comments of Mr. Rightsell.

> Whatever for?!?

Why, you'd show them that you know how to do "STRONG formal commentary".

> What is with your "thing" about Rightsell? Is he your bosom
> buddie? A boy-friend?

Maybe you forgot. He's the guy you attacked in reply comments.

> You haven't said dink about my OTHER Replies to Comments.

No, I haven't.

>
>> I've been here for a long time, posting from three different countries,
>> Leonard.
>
>>> As Super Chicken was told, "you knew
>>> the job was dangerous when you took it."

>> Super Chicken? Who the hell is Super Chicken?

> A cartoon character. On TV and with some cinema as short
> subjects.

> You probably didn't see that cartoon series in all those
> "different countries." :-)

No, I probably didn't.

> Tsk, you've not taken enough time to ENJOY things... :-)

I can visualize being asked, "would you like to have a meal out and
visit the art museum or would you rather hit the theater for the Super
Chicken film festival"?

> Of course, being such a "comic," you might be annoyed by
> other comedy. <shrug>

I'm sure that Super Chicken is a real knee-slapper. Does he write his own material?

Dave K8MN

## 2060. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 16:42:58 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <mOmgf.3715$N45.1115@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124624

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132575544.038007.247680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>> Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra
>> code test rate was 20 WPM.
>
> Unless a medical waiver was obtained, in which case the
> Extra could be had for a code test of as little as 5 wpm.
>
> The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951
> restructuring that also added the Novice and Technician
> class licenses. The code test speed
> for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.

That's kinda funny. The "modern" Extra is, therefore,
54 years old. How long did the pre-modern Extra
exist before 1951. Or even better, how long did
radio as a practical medium exist before 1951.

>> Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
>> makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
>> 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
>> of that time.
>
> Where?
> Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners.
> They were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC).

The legality of the availability of actual test info has never been
proven either way. Bash made the info available and was never
challenged. The FCC let it all go by which isn't proof absolute
that it may or may not have been legal, but the absence of action
ultimately made it legal over the long haul.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 2061. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 16:46:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132591593.505853.83480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124625

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> > > >
> > > > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > Hello, Jim
> > >
> > > Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier so I
> > > can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
> > > Audiooooooo ... ;)
> > >
> > > Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with double
> > > sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for interesting
> > > effects too ...
> > >
> > > Where's my sideswiper?
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim AA2QA
> > > ps - doesn't 10 meters run from 25 MHz to 32 MHz? Or something like that?
> >
> > given the flaming I got over not knowing what some aphabet soup meant I
> > wonder what will happen to Jim for blowing that one 10 meters BTW
> > extends from 28MHZ to 29.7MHZ 12 meter as an aside runs from 24.890
> > *24.990
>
> Stand-by for more "flaming", Mark.
>
> I know the concept may be a bit foreign to you, but Jim hampton
> was being facetious.

menaing we see again your doublt standard

# 2062. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 16:48:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132591697.598628.327450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124626

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
>
> > > The purpose of the whole drill was to get you to find out from
> > > uncontestable sources that my information was accurate.
> >
> > No need. Given your propensity for lying, it's a safe bet that you
> > were wrong again.
>
> Bad logic, Brian.
>
> Why would I direct you to a source that was uncorruptable?

because it is an uncoporatble source that is neded to make your case
>
> If I HAD been 'wrong', I would have given you eternal bragging
> right, now wouldn't I...?!?!

meaning you don't have access to any such source
>
> > Hans'
> > > presenting of the order, howevr well intentioned, harpooned that.
> > >
> > > None-the-less, Frankie's rant was shot all to be-jeebers.
> >
> > Only Hans was suckered into playing your "drill," harpoon and all.
> > Reminds me of the GNR episode in "The Dead Pool."
>
> Hans wasn't "suckered" into anything.
>
> His information was dead on accurate.
>
> And you now have the resources with which to finish the job,
> Brian...It's just up to you whether you're going to do it or not...
>
> You can do it, which will only serve to verify what I've been
> saying all along...GOD FORBID it would deprive you of the opportunities
> to call me a liar.
>
> Or you can NOT do it, and then waffle along with all sorts of
> "It's not my job" or "I bet you're lying anyway" excuses and the rant
> wars go on.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2063. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 16:50:56 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <kosher@kosherdelihedrin.org>
Message-ID: <dlstps$9qv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124627

FCC & ARRL = Partners in the Culture of Corruption

# 2064. Re: Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 16:52:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132591974.097448.19040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124629

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > > > I'm not in manufacturing.
> > >
> > > Sure you are.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Sure you are.

you are the fabricator Stevie
>
> > > > I'm not responsible for other people's kids, but I donate to Toys for
> > > > Tots.
> > >
> > > A project of the United States Marine Corps Reserve...That's gotta
> > > get you grinding your teeth a bit!
> >
> > I think the Marines are a great bunch of people. I think that people
> > that try to catch a ride on "thier" coattails aren't.
>
> Me to...That's why I am glad Frankie got court martialed.

then you are sick proven yet again
>
> > > You've not been paying attention...but then that's not new either.
> >
> > So you do or you don't want the Morse Code exam kept as a licensing
> > requirement?
> >
> > Make up your minds.
>
> Mine's already made up.
>
> I said it clearly before. I don't like the way things have gone,
> but it IS a democratic society. I'd like to see some part of it stay
> and have explained myn reasons why.
>
> It's no mystery...Unless you've not been paying attention.

it is just you keep changing your story or do you prfer the term
spining prehaps you are so decietful you can't ask for water when you
are htristy
>
> > > > Notice to Len: Start playing nice with these guys when they start
> > > > playing nice with you.
> > >
> > > Uhhhhhh.....
> > >
> > > Brian....
> > >
> > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> >
> > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
>
> There's nothing to work with with Jim.

gues you did not read "ONCE YOU refromed"
>
> He's already establsihed a track record of NOT calling people by
> diminutives.
>
> He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.
>
> So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?

what excuse is needed

Even hans understands that he is not entitled to only be insulted in
the same maner as he insults

>
> > > > My duty as a friend to Len and good citizen of RRAP is now satisfied.
> > >
> > > No...Your duty as a "good citizen" will be done when you
> > > publically state, and then STICK TO IT, your intent to not initiate or
> > > perpetuate the use of diminutives towards people who don't use them on
> > > you.
> >
> > I call you "Steve" at least 99% of the time.
>
> And you mimick Lennie's diminutives. And Frankies.
>
> > I call Jim by his name
> > more than 99% of the time. I do slip up once in a while and refer to
> > him as Quitefine. I wonder why I do that?
>
> Me too.

>
> But I wonder WHY you call him "Rev Jim", "Jimmie", "Reverend Jim"
> AT ALL, Brian.
>
> He's never done anything similar to you that I am even remotelya
> ware of....

becuase it does not work to do so

>
> > Now what do you call me?
>
> As of yesterday, I'll call you Brian until you slip back into some
> other practice.
>
> A year ago I called you "Brian"...I did slip a couple of times and
> immediately apologized for it...
>
> > > And Yes, Brian, I will do the same for you, effective with THIS
> > > post...assuming you do and continue the same. Otherwise, it's right on
> > > back to the mudslinging.
> >
> > I don't beleive you. We've gone this route before. Yet I continue to
> > call you Steve and you continue with the diminuitives.
>
> "believe"
>
> Yes we HAVE gone this rout before...And when you started back in
> on the diminutives and mistruths, I asked you point-blank if that's
> what you wanted. You indicated you "could take anything you can hand
> out"....

when are you going to start telling the turth?

>
> OK...We played your game.
>
> Tired yet?
>
> > We'll see how long you last this time.
>
> No...
>
> We'll see how long YOU last, Brian.

we await your starting

>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2065. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 16:54:19 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <kosher@kosherdelihedrin.org>
Message-ID: <dlsu06$ank$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132550519.498410.224120@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> W4ASX RESPONSE TIME, 11/18/2005 to K1MAN Essay ES051115
>

Sherin, you are a well known cry baby and one of the
biggest whiners in ham radio. Get a Life! You go from
controversy to controversy, spewing your psycho-babble.
Get a grip boy!

# 2066. Re: more stevie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 16:54:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132592061.322745.283160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124630

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > And again commander cornwhore, that's an anime site, not a kidy porn
> > site I do not mess that crap.
>
> Sure you do. This is just the tip of the iceberg.
>
> What a sack of excrement...an almost-40 year old living in the
> folk's basement promoting child pornography with cartoons...

he is not promoting child porn the terms is legaly defined

you need to grow up and stop your lying about other people
>
> I think if the Founding Fathers could see how their wonderful gift
> of "freedom of speech" was going to be so perverted and abused, they
> would have trashed the whole thing.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2067. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 16:59:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132592350.088942.300440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 20, 3:36 pm
> > >
> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >> From: K4YZ on Nov 19, 2:13 am
> > > >> >K0HB wrote:
> > > >> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote
> > >
> > > >> >> > The KY-28, used on the OV-10A and D, AH1G and J, the UH-1N, the
> > > >> >> > CH53 A & D were refered to as "JULIETT'.
> > > >> >> Your memory on this issue is not accurate.
> > > >> >> Regardless of platform, user agency, or military department, crypto systems are
> > > >> >> named by NSA (not by the Navy, USMC, or whoever). The KY-8/KY-28/KY-38 family
> > > >> >> was named "NESTOR".
> > > >
> > > >> > It could have been named "Rice Krispies", Hans, but in USMC
> > > >> >aircraft it was refered to as "JULIETT"
> > > >
> > > >> There we have it, direct from CNO Romeo at breakfast.
> > > >
> > > >I recall reference to "kick."
> > >
> > > "Kick?"
> >
> > Yep. "Kick" something. Had to do with keying a crypto unit in a comm
> > van.
> >
> > > >> I am well familiar with the crypto suite installed in those airframes.
> > > >
> > > > Me too....I spent enough time having to re-key them on a daily
> > > >basis.
> > > >
> > > >> Using morse code?
> > > >
> > > >dididahdahdidit.
> > >
> > > Right...we QUESTION him on many things. Dud no answer.
> >
> > That's his right. But there's a lot of baggage to drag around with
> > claims of seven hostile actions and he can't mention the particulars of

> > one of them. Some of the baggage includes not even believing him about
> > clathing issue. Hi!
>
> The only "issue" you have at your disposal to play with is my
> refusal to discuss certain aspects of my military service. Everything
> else has been dispositioned with references to third party sources that
> can verify my claims.

the issue is your insisting you have right you deny to others

you insist everything you want to attack is ok but nobody may treat you
the same way in turn
>
> That you refuse to use them is your problem, not mine.

you have NEVER posted anything that allows your cliams to verified

we don't even know you are Steve J Robeson for a fact you coul be
someone else posting under his name all these years

you have never posted the data that anyone acepting your claim to Steve
J Robeson would need (that being your Social Secutiry number I can
hardly blame you but that is what would be required)

you lie on a daly basis here (the only exceptions are the days you
don't post
>
> And "clathing"...?!?!
>
> More Mark Morgan phonetics?
>
> > > Interesting that nonebody had come in with U.S. amateur radio is
> > > expressly forbidden to use any cryptographic means to obscure
> > > the meaning of communications. Not to worry. Hans will be
> > > along shortly to quote chapter and verse from Part 97...
> >
> > Extra Dick/W0EX, RIP, had a work-around to that rule. He went on for
> > months about how to thwart those pesky No-Code Technicians trying to
> > decode his Morse enCoded transmissions with a 'puter.
>
> It will be interesting to see if Markie jumps in and accuses you
> of "defiling" Dick.
>
> I just mentioned his old call was about to be eligible for
> reissue, and he (Col. Pagan) went on and on about "defiling" the
> dead...

you used him as the butt of joke several of them
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2068. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 17:00:23 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132592423.324906.262430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124632

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > policy-ham wrote:
> > > This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:
> > >
> > > Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is the latest high profile ham to come out
> > > publicly about Glenn Baxter's license. You probably remember Leo as the
> > > founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics. Walter Cronkite also came out
> > > publicly against Baxter's (ex-k1man) renewal.
> > >
> > any thing of subsatnce against K1MAN
>
> Are you asking a question, or trying to make a point?

either is fine
>
> In either case, it was lost.

everything is lost on you so no matter
>
> > indeed if that is needed is for a few high profile folks to dislike you
> > well why does stevie or dave still have a license
>
> And even MORE random words in search of organization.
>
> Sucks to have a 246 IQ and no skills to use it with, huh...?!?!

it is has it problems but I don't need to feed your sexual obesions
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2069. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 17:05:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132592710.133248.280490@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 19 Nov 2005 06:59:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1132412393.223242.268720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> > >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > >> On 17 Nov 2005 15:16:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > >> <1132269371.113658.264470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> > >>
> > >> <snip>
> > >> >Steve, K4YZ
> > >>
> > >> Make all the justifications you want, Dud -- the fact is that you
> > >> unwittingly provided all the proof yourself.....:
> > >
> > > There's no "justifications", Frankie.
> > >
> > > You lied, got busted, and now you're even more determined than
> > >ever to TRY and hit some "responsive chord"....
> > >
> > > THE BEST you can hope for is to show that your ONE tour in the
> > >Marine Corps taught you just enough to surf the web in search of
> > >keywords you HOPE will fill in the holes....Holes left by your legal
> > >troubles while you WERE in....
> > >
> > > So far, you're not doing so well...
> > >
> > >> You didn't know squat about uniform issuance; you don't even remember
> > >> paying for your first uniform issue in boot camp from your chit book.
> > >
> > > Refer to the MCO.
> >
> > You mean the MCO dated 13 years after you "retired"?
>
> Those with more guts than you are welcome to call/write/e-mail
> HQMC and verify my original statement.

in other word you are too lazy to you own homework

and where is your SSnum?

>
> > > I didn't pay a dime. Nor was it deducted from my pay.
> > >
> > > The "chits" accounted for government money spent...Not mine.

> >
> > Get a grip, Dud -- anyone who's ever been through MCRD knows better.
>
> Then why don't you?

you are the one lacking a grip

>
> Perhaps YOUR story is the one worthy of REAL investigation!

why you acusing him of hiding a 3rd Courtmartial?

cut
UNQUOTE
> > >
> > > So Frankie...What about those non-existant MP's...?!?!
> >
> > Well by golly, you -REALLY CAN- provide proof of something. It wasn't
> > that hard, was it, Dudly? Or did Han's send you the info in an email?
> > Either way, you were willing and able to address the issue. Progress!
>
> I've been doing it all along.

nope
>
> > > Need some more Kleenex to clean off that bloody nose, punk?
> >
> >
> > Nope, and you are too dumb to see that you just gave -yourself- a
> > bloody nose.
>
> Nope. That's "collateral damage" from you, Snivvelling One.

still a bloody now little boy

# 2070. Re: ALL HAM AND CB MUST READ NOW: THE THOUGHTS OF K1MAN ON EDUCATION, LAW, POLITICS, AND BASEBALL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 17:10:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132593024.701826.170630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Greg wrote:
> >
> >>>From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
> >
> > cut
> >
> >>>Glenn Baxter, K1MAN, is publishing a new monthly newsletter which
> >>
> >>(snip)
> >>
> >>I'm glad I'm not a ham or CB, er, CBer(?), so I don't have to read this
> >>crap.
> >>
> >>I wonder if a ham or CB(er) "MUST" send in the $45 "NOW"?
> >
> >
> > only if they wish to subscribe
> >
> >>Greg
> >
> >
> I wouldn't pay $.45 to read anything K1MAN had to say.

never said or suggested you had to

gues we can see why your cartoon got cancelled bad writiers

## 2071. when will dave and steve have the guts to answer a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 17:14:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132593265.902982.43580@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124635

been asking for 7 years never get an answer to the question

what does a personas sexuality have to with Ham radio?

# 2072. Re: Todd N9OGL Promotes Pornography, Mark KB9RQZ Defends Him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 17:41:23 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132594883.879957.128780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124636

no I don't you freaking liar, I'm an anime fan, a die hard anime fan,
like I said before if you don't like it don't visit the website you
bible banger.

# 2073. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 17:41:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hFngf.564$A23.429@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124637

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am
>
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 18, 6:11 pm
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: K4YZ on Nov 17, 7:15 pm
>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>>>>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>
>
>> You're the oldest fart here, Len and you aren't involved in amateur
>> radio. Like I said, you have a fetish.
>
> You mean LICENSED amateur radio...as in having an HF transceiver
> and "working DX on HF with CW." :-)

Amateur radio might be operating weak signal UHF SSB with a multi-mode,
multi-band rig. It might be operating 2m FM through a local repeater.
It might be ragchewing on 40m CW. One constant is that you aren't involved.

>
>> I made no excuses and you weren't involved in my work any more than you
>> are involved in amateur radio.
>
> "Not involved with your [Department of State] work?" Not in
> the 1980s.

Not in the 1980's, not in the 1990's and not in 2000. You weren't
involved in any fashion.

> I was involved in the 1950s. "State" had their
> own TTY nodes in the ACAN-STARCOM-DCS worldwide in the 1950s
> and 1960s.

Dark ages, Leonard. You were never employed by the U.S. Department of
State, just as you were never in amateur radio.

> Would you like to know the node letters found on
> all messages that were relayed by the Army? I have a nice
> list. There's also one at the USAER website which covers
> Army in Europe history extensively.

I'm not particularly interested. Why do you live in the past?

> "State" never used an RCA Corporation RACES (Random Access
> Card Extract System) archival memory storage machine?

It was not used for long. It wasn't seen as practical. Back to my
employment: You were never involved.

> On
> the contrary, "State" had two of them in Washingdon DC as
> prime electronic back-up. Back in the late 1960s. I know
> because I worked at the RCA division that made them and I
> got in on some of their final testing.

How does that make you involved in my employment?

> Department of State
> used those to keep track of a months' worth of messages
> into/out of DC. You told me they were of no consequence. :-)

They weren't. Their demise was quick. They were supplanted by state of
the art (for the time) Teletype Model 40 gear. That equipement was used
long past its obsolescence. It was phased out in the late 1980's and
early 1990's. How were you involved in my job?

> I'm not involved in the operation of LICENSED amateur radio
> on-the-air.

Precisely. ZIC/ZID.

> I can and have helped other amateurs fix/align
> their radio equipment.

Bully for you. No license is required as long as you don't put it on
the air.

> However, you want to dismiss a great
> big hobby area involving not just radio but all of electronics
> in the United States. Unpaid work. In a hobby. That's
> were I am.

I'm not dismissing a great big hobby area involving all of electronics.
I'm stating quite accurately that you aren't involved in amateur radio.

>> In other words, you're a non-factor in either.
>
> Tsk, tsk, I'm closer to a Mersene number insofar as factors
> are concerned! <BSEG>

from:
http://64.233.161.104/search?q=cache:DdsGm4-HerQJ:wurmbrand.uconn.edu/research/files/Leiden-1999.pdf+mersene+number&hl=en&client=firefox-a

"No large Mersene number was proven to be prime".

You must be past your prime, Len. :-)

>> You've been recycling here too. You've certainly gotten mileage out of
>> your irrelevant military experiences of better than half a century ago.

> 1. The U.S. military gave up using morse code modes for
> long-haul HF communications in 1948, longer than a
> half century ago. Plain, simple fact. Bugs the hell
> out of devout Believers in the Church of St. Hiram,
> so I bring it up. :-)

I don't know why it'd bother radio amateurs. I'm sure that you meant
that the Army gave up the use of morse for long haul, point-to-point
bulk relayed message traffic. Otherwise your statement could be seem as
incorrect. Amateur radio isn't about the Army.

> 2. I've mentioned a considerable amount of civilian
> programs I've worked on in the last 49 years.
> Interestingly, there's more "sensitivity" on that
> than on old military activities due to Trade
> Secrets, Corporate Confidential, and general Non-
> Disclosure demands. Unless I have press release
> or other public information on that, I don't even
> mention them.

That's lucky for us. Otherwise your already long and irrelevant posts
would just grow longer.

> 3. Before the advent of communications satellites,
> wideband fiber optic cable, improved underwater
> cable, the U.S. military depended primarily on HF
> radio for their worldwide communications networks.
> That HF network equipment operated by the very same
> laws of physics which governed amateur radio then
> and now. Technology transfer was directly applicable
> between the military of that time and amateur radio
> of that time. However, military radio then (and
> still does) employ more modes and techniques than
> are allowed by U.S.radio amateurs now.

That's nice, but not really relevant.

>
>>> Did you know that both Tech classes together constitute almost
>>> HALF of all U.S. amateur radio license grants? True!

>> Yep, when something is simple enough, many folks will opt for it rather
>> than attempting that which is more difficult. Many never go beyond the
>> easiest license despite the limited privileges it offers.
>
> Such as long-time amateur radiotelegraphers who've never
> ventured behind the front panels of their radios in order
> to understand how they worked. :-)

Your clause doesn't address limited privileges. :-)

> Yes, I am familiar
> with those. Their "radio skill" never goes beyond their
> key, their ears, or the "official" jargon they've picked
> up from older days, those used by older "radio experts."

Do you know any radio telephonists who've never ventured beyond the
front panels of their equipment? Does their skill extend beyond their
microphones? Have they picked up any "official" jargon from older days?
Perhaps your rant was intended only as a slam against anyone who is both
a telegrapher and a radio amateur.

>
>
>> Vic Clark was a silent key before I entered the Foreign Service.
>
> Not my fault. <shrug>

You told us that you exchanged letters with him.

>> I've met lots of notable people while in the Foreign Service--a U.S.
>> President, his wife, two Secretaries of State, a number of U.S.
>> Congressmen and Senators, former Finnish President Mauno Koivisto,
>> Forumula 1 driver Mika Salo and even trumpeter Clark Terry among others.
>> I got to see a number of other people of note--Secretary of State
>> George Schultz, Boris Yeltsin.
>
> Wow! All because you worked for the Department of State?

That's absolutely correct.

> Who wrote "I've met people like you, always bragging about..."

It wasn't a brag, Len. After all, you were the one who wrote about
notables coming to my embassy. Oh, that's right--you snipped that part.

> What has all that name-dropping to do with amateur radio? :-)

That's what I thought when *you* brought it up.

> Hmmm...I could do the same schtick with some show business
> folks, some high up, some not well known, lots of behind
> the scenes guild people, plus a couple of big corporation
> founders, three federal representatives (Barry Goldwater's
> son...

Barry Goldwater's son? Wow! I met the Duchess of Windsor's waiter in
Palm Beach when I was a kid. I saw Fred Astaire's dancing shoes at a
well known English manor house where Eisenhower planned the Normandy
Invasion. Imagine! Goldwater's son!

> once on politics, the other on a visit to RCA EASD in
> Van Nuys about the time his district was gerrymandered out
> of my area). I was quite taken with meeting Stockard
> Channing briefly during a party in the Hollywood Hills, she
> is tinier in real life than in reel life and is charming

> without needing a script. [Stockard was in "West Wing"
> as a semi-regular, is now on another show about doctors]
>
> I've not met any Heads of State. Few get involved in the
> nittygritty of aerospace. Representative Goldwater did
> but then he was bigger on flying and piloting than his
> father. The late General Bernard Shriever, USAF Missle
> Command (or whatever its final name was)...

I'm pretty sure that it wasn't "Missle Command". :-)

>> It [Newington] isn't even the center of the hamiverse.
>>
>> Actually, in this country, it is the closest thing we've got.
>
> Only in your perception.

Then again, you aren't likely to know. You aren't a ham and you aren't
an ARRL member.

>> What nightly footsteps are in evidence and why would they be yellow?
>
> Inquire of REAL USMC veterans about "yellow footsteps."

Why?

> You haven't been following the expose' of the self-renowned
> Amateur Extra now dubbed Dudly the Imposter.

Oh, I know that you've found another insulting name for someone.

>
>>> Sorry, lil Davie, but there was a "comment march" on Washington.
>>> 3,786 filings worth on WT Docket 05-235.

>> What, pray tell, is a "comment march".
>
> On alliterations you seem illiterate.

Off hand, I'd say the guy who penned "comment march" seems lacking in
literary skills.

> There was no human parade march on Washington in regards to
> amateur radio.

I knew that.

> There were (to date) 3,786 filings on WT
> Docket 05-235, that Docket devoted to only one subject, the
> elimination or retention of the morse code test in federal
> amateur radio regulations.

So that'd be unlike any real march on Washington, where all were united
in a common goal. In the Civil Rights march, were more than half of the
marchers *against* civil rights for blacks?

> It's been only four months
> since the release of NPRM 05-143 (on July 19, 2005) but in
> the 11 month official period of WT Docket 98-143 on
> Restructuring, that garnered only about 2200 filings.

And? What percentage of radio amateurs filed? What percentage of the
general public filed?

>
>>> The anti-code-test movement is gaining momentum.

>> Not to the tune of 3,786 filings on 05-235, it isn't.

> See preceding.

I read the "preceding". It said, "Not to the tune of 3,786 filings on
05-235, it isn't".

>> You aren't wrapped very tight.

> True, I am (at time of writing) sitting in shirtsleeves,
> the office window open, temperature gauge at the corner
> of the radio clock displaying 71.3 degrees F.
> If you mean that remark as an insult, then it has fallen
> flat before the message got here. Please do not litter.

I meant it as a statement of that which is evident, but I don't blame
you for wanting to snip that which illustrated my point.

>> Would you care to see your own special profile again?

> Do whatever you like. The "profiles" generated by Miccolis
> are not official, not accurate, are biased to an extreme
> due to past differences in here and my not obliging him
> with the respect and reverence he thinks is so richly
> deserving.

While not official, that profile is based upon long experience in
reading your posted material. It appears to be quite accurate in that
you live up to it time and again.

> "Profiles" work two ways, indeed in many ways. Yours
> can, and has been done (in part) several times.

Was that the one you plagiarized from Jim's work?

>>>> I've been paid as a musician.

>>> Union or scab? [wanna see my AFTRA card? :-) ]

>> Were you an actor portraying a musician? :-)
>
> American Federation of Television and Radio Artists.

That isn't a musician's union at all. The AFofM is the musician's union.

> Question reiterated: Were YOU ever in a musician's
> guild, union, or craft?

What's it to you?

>> What's your point? Amateurs at anything, aren't paid. They do things
>> for the love of doing them.

> Then why do YOU insist that all radio amateurs "love" the
> specific things YOU "love?"

I do not.

> Your motivation is at question there.

Your understanding of logic is at question here.

>>> Does Palomar know about you? Does Schmidt help you?
>
> I'll let you think some more about another question you
> did not answer... :-)

What were you telling me about your not having to respond to questions? :-)

>>>> No, I don't think you need anything additional to brag about, Len.

>>> Davie, baby, "it ain't braggin' if ya DONE it. I done it."

>> Then you don't have a "braq quotionent", Len. You have an "I DONE it
>> quotionent", except that when it comes to amateur radio, you ain't done it.

> I have not obtained any amateur radio license, true...

Precisely!

> ...but
> to attempt semi-insult at claiming I've never been IN
> radio would be a disasterous fabrication for you on the
> order of Dudly the Imposter level.

Then it is probably a good thing that I've never done any such thing.

>>> Remember who used that Dizzy Dean misquote in here first?

>> The quote has been attributed to a number of people over the years.

> The one who USED it first in here was James P. Miccolis,
> license N2EY. ["Used," Davie, not 'attributed to']

"Attributed to", Leonard, not "used". The quote has been attributed to
Babe Ruth, Dizzy Dean and others.

> Tsk, that misquote wound up blowing his words off...

Did it, Lennie?

>> I didn't write about anything particularly new, Len.

> All readers here realize that...do not state the obvious.

>> I asked about the things you are unable to do.
>
> For what reason? To attempt more denigrations?

There's no need for more ammunition there.

> I had been attempting to levitate. Then I tried to invent anti-
> gravity. No success. Something is holding me down...

Have you decided to use that line over and over until someone thinks it
is a) original to you or b) funny?

>>>> You poor, ignored blighter. You're still standing out in the cold and
>>>> looking in. I guess you showed us.

>>> Sorry, you're thinking of Val Germann. He's been an unmodified
>>> Tech for over three years. [my micro-fiber jacket isn't
>>> tattered, you've got the wrong guy...]

You're wearing a jacket in 73 degree temperatures?

>> It couldn't have been Val, Leonard. He's a licensed ham. He is
>> permitted full voting membership in the old lodge.

> In the NAAR, if he is a member there.

Do you mean the ARRL? Yes, if he is a member. Even if he isn't an ARRL
member, he's a member of the cozy lodge made up of all licensed radio
amateurs. The guy who passed his Tech last week is a member. The guy
who has been licensed since 1928 is a member. Kids of eight or nine
years of age are members. You are not a member.

> The Commission doesn't
> have "voting" or "membership" through license granting...it
> just grants licenses and regulates all civil radio in the
> United States. The NAAR (old name ARRL, but NAAR seems to be
> the new name used by Imlay in Comments) membership is only
> 1 in 5 of all United States amateur radio licenses.

Can you name any single U.S. amateur radio organization with as much as
1/10th the membership of the ARRL? How about 1/5th?

> Just how big is that "lodge hall" you tried to write about?

It is big enough to hold well over 600,000 members.

>>> I was hangin' with some NBC West Coast Hq types at lunch. We
>>> weren't talking about hamme raddddio.

>> No doubt. They probably weren't even discussing ham radio.

> You DO have such difficulty with the written word, don't
> you? Tsk, tsk. Work on comprehension rather that strict,
> obedient literalism. This isn't an English Composition
> high school class.

I realized that when I found that there isn't a competent instructor on
hand.

> Ever hear of Phil Amidon? He retired from NBC West Coast
> Headquarters years ago. He'd already started a small
> business selling iron powder toroid cores and other little
> kits on sale in many radio-electronics parts stores
> nationwide. Bigger corporation bought his company.

Yep. They don't make anything. They re-package and sell products made
by another firm.

>> Irrelevant.
>
> Only to your extreme literalism. Tsk, tsk. Relax, learn
> to live with things. It will be better for you now that
> you are over the middle aged hill.
>
>
>> As a matter of fact, Leonard, I've been watching HDTV for better than
>> the past two years. Get your enjoyment where you can. For watching TV,
>> you're an insider. For amateur radio, you're an outsider.
>
> Yep, extreme literalism. "Back of the bus" kind of bigotry.

That's incorrect. The seating on the bus is open. You haven't boarded.

> Were you born with that elitist attitude? Or was it
> acquired in "the foreign service?" :-)

"Foreign Service". Were you in "the army"? :-)

> Tell me, do you hang around VE exam sessions, questioning
> those who enter the door whether they are "upgrading" or
> are newbies? Do you act like a Dill sergeant with the
> newbies? Chew them out, don't permit them to speak until
> spoken to? I get the distinct feeling you do that. :-)

You aren't yet a newbie. :-)

> By the way, I've actually been watching HDTV, the present
> system in the regulations, since SIX years ago. Since a
> demonstration by the "Grand Alliance" group on the west
> coast. I've seen "HD" systems demonstrated much earlier,
> but those were not picked up in the FCC regulations.

There would have been no point in my obtaining anything for HDTV
SIX years ago. I've been back in the U.S. for five years. Large
amounts of programming wasn't available nationally and regional and
local stations weren't transmitting it. While Dish Network offered
digital television, it did not offer HD at that time.

>> I worked a few Europeans and some South Americans last night on 160m CW,
>> Len. I did some testing of a 6m FM link to an area 70cm repeater last
>> evening with W8MSD and I squeezed in some HDTV viewing of college

>> football. You do as you can and I'll do as I choose.

> Ohm my! I now get to actually CHOOSE FOR MYSELF?!?

Yes, within the limited options open to you.

> Oh heavenly day, the "Godfather" has allowed me a choice!
> I cannot refuse it! :-)

>> Your stuff died with Vaudeville.

> Vaudeville isn't "dead," Godfather. It isn't healthy but
> you can find it still going strong in the Catskills. Nu?

Vaudeville is deader than Burns and Allen.

> Vaudeville is alive and well but musclebound in the World
> Wrestling Federation.

Do you watch the World Wrestling Federation, Len? Who are some of the
song and dance men?

> Morse code is alive but unwell...

See, this is what I mean when I say that you make frequent factual
errors. I invite you to tune your Icom receiver to the low ends of the
bands 160-10m this coming weekend.

> ... dwelling only in the musculeminds...

Musculeminds? What's a muscule? Is that like your miscue on "missle"?
Your noggin must be "musculebound".

> ...of stubborn, hidebound, self-righteous
> old and middle-aged morsemen bound and determined to
> force the code test down newcomer's throats until their
> code keys are pried out of cold, dead fingers.

You aren't wrapped too tight.

>> Actually, Len, statistics say that I should be at least a couple of
>> decades from being done.

> Let's say this: You sure as hell aren't rare or medium!

I was rare from Sierra Leone, but not as rare as from Guinea-Bissau.

> But you sure aren't well done either. "Steak tartare." :-)

> Reflect on the old saying, "there are lies, damn lies, and
> statistics." All are connected as equals. :-)

> I will be reading your SK notice in the ARRL/NAAR newsletter.

The actuarial tables say that you're likely to be wrong. The League
doesn't publish Silent Key notices in a newsletter. They're published
in QST. I'll likely not see any notice of your passing there.

> I will think back on you then.

I guess you told me.

Dave K8MN

# 2074. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 18:09:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <V3ogf.3346$jI5.2511@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124638

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>
> 1. The U.S. military gave up using morse code modes for
> long-haul HF communications in 1948, longer than a
> half century ago. Plain, simple fact.
>

Plain and simply innaccurate, Len. The US Navy used Morse for long-haul HF communications with its surface fleets well into the 1960's and with its submarine fleet into the 1980s from stations NAA (Culter, ME), NLK (Jim Creek, WA), NPM (Honolulu), NAU (Peurto Rico), and VKE-3 (Northwest Cape, Australia).

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 2075. Re: when will dave and steve have the guts to answer a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 20:31:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132605088.848313.45680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124640

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > been asking for 7 years never get an answer to the question
> >
> > what does a personas sexuality have to with Ham radio?
> >
>
> Nothing, so why do you keep bringing up your deviant sexuality?

wasn't me that brought it up it was Steve

and then why doyou bring it up if you agree it has nothing to do with
radio

# 2076. Re: when will dave and steve have the guts to answer a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 20:49:22 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <suWdnY-2OZD5uB_eRVn-rw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124639

an old friend wrote:
> been asking for 7 years never get an answer to the question
>
> what does a personas sexuality have to with Ham radio?
>

Nothing, so why do you keep bringing up your deviant sexuality?

## 2077. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 21:03:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132606995.627331.158630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124641
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

aaa@spamaway.com wrote:
> Polymath wrote:
>
> > Andre Craddock, M0SWB, proves that he continues to
> > be an INCURABLE CBER through the medium of his
> > Childish Broadcast (CB).....
> >
> > Infantile and abusive......is there any difference between an M0
> > callsign and one of those issued under the gangrenous degeneration
> > that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme? Seemingly not.
>
> I'm beginning to come to the conclusion that he doesn't like CBers.

gee whod of thought that?
>
> What happened to the bit of real amateur spirit about being tolerant and helping
> a new amateur to improve, and showing him by example? It doesn't really show up
> in Polymath's postings

it seem long dead in mst corners of Ham radio

# 2078. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 21:18:16 UTC
From: Kalem <arab@cartelradiooil.com>
Message-ID: <dltdf2$n4d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124642

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:V3ogf.3346$jI5.2511@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> Plain and simply innaccurate, Len. The US Navy used Morse for long-haul
> HF communications with its surface fleets well into the 1960's and with
> its submarine fleet into the 1980s from stations NAA (Culter, ME), NLK
> (Jim Creek, WA), NPM (Honolulu), NAU (Peurto Rico), and VKE-3 (Northwest
> Cape, Australia).
>

Poor Hans, misinformed, as usual. Stop repeating what you hear
down at the Legion Hall Hans. Most of time that type of info is
always wrong.

73 from Kalib

# 2079. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 21:55:07 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <%mrgf.3362$lg.186@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 124643

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132541711.412291.319200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> > >
> > > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> > >
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> >
> > Hello, Jim
> >
> > Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier
so I
> > can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
> > Audiooooooo ... ;)
>
> No.
> >
> > Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with double
> > sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for interesting
> > effects too ...
>
> Yep. Of course it doesn't explain why it's OK to run AM at a bandwidth
> of 9 kHz but not some new data mode at a bandwidth of 4.5 kHz.
> >
> > Where's my sideswiper?
>
> Yep.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> (yes I got the "10 meter" joke...)
>

Hello, Jim

I'm going to have to really read up on the proposal. Why 9 KHz AM and not
allow a data mode at 4.5 KHz doesn't make a whole lot of sense; then again,
we are discussing government involvement in which case one shouldn't expect
a whole lot of sense anyway. :)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 2080. Attn: Woger, Buzz, Polly, Stevie, YZ, OGL, 'Old & New' Friend(s) and ALL da-rest of ya RF CLOWNS.... (OT ?? ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 22:30:28 UTC
From: Uncle Willie <vavavavoooom@garbagecannet.us>
Message-ID: <339$438287d4$d1cc5baf$11303@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 124682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

You are all hereby invited to Washingtoon DC
to participate in and comment for this historic event . . . . . .

http://www.salon.com/news/feature/2005/11/11/porn_hearing/index_np.html

"Senator Sam Brownback to head a Congressional panel to
determine if pornography causes Americans to masturbate too much"

(....perhaps K1MAN can be the Congressional Panel 'on-the-scene'
Reporter and keep us all informed of the proceedings
via the recorded AARA bulletins ?)

# 2081. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 22:31:29 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132612289.156648.70410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124644

From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 04:19

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 19, 8:08 pm

>>> Did Mr. Rightsell single you out for some personal criticism?
>
>> No, but you do not understand the procedings of public
>> commentary on Notices of Proposed Rulemaking.
>
>"proceedings".

Try not to argue old v. new word useage, grasshopper.

Oops, forgot you are an extreme Literalist holding fast
to alternate words as well as alternate worlds.

>I think I understand them fine.

I don't think so. :-)

>One can dismiss or
>argue against the views of another. It is not necessary to attack an
>individual.

Tsk. No LAW against it, is there?

The way you are going on and on and on about it makes me
think you are preparing a civil suit for slander! Don't
get your legal briefs in a bind. You need better
tailoring for that suit.

Gotta look pretty for your boyfriend?

>> Think of
>> it as a form of politics. In politics the "gloves come
>> off" many times and that is acceptible.
>
>You aren't in politics any more than you are in amateur radio.

Davie boy, you make absolutes on no basis whatsoever. :-)

You might check with a certain political party here in
California. But, you won't. You love calling your
opponents names.

>> I do not need any GPS device.
>
>C'mon, Len. After reading some of your output here, I'd be surprised if

>you could find your way to the bathroom on a foggy night.

You have to go outside to a toilet? Tsk, tsk.

I have a nice house with indoor plumbing. Had it for
over four decades.

>I don't carry any purely hobby radio equipment, Len. There's just the
>Amateur Radio Service equipment. I can drive and operate the radio
>equipment simultaneously. I've not had a chargeable accident in years
>and I've never had any accident while using a radio.

You are SO skilled! Never ever anything done wrong!

Or is that "writing" wrongs?

>>> Abrogate your citizenship rights? That's blarney.
>>
>> "Plain, simple fact:" WT Docket 98-143, 25 January 1999,
>> Comment by Robeson. Clear attempt by him to deny my
>> exercising First Amendment rights.
>
>If that is indeed your view, you've made a clear attempt to deny Mr.
>Rightsell's First Amendment rights.

Tsk. Not so. Was a Reply to Comments made BY Robert Rightsell.

Specific mentions of Rightsell's statements and my counter
to those. Had neat little footnotes which Jimmy Noserve
thinks are forbidden.

In contrast, Dudly (as Robeson) just didn't pick out any
specific statements I made. He just hopped up and down
that I should not be considered in a blanket statement.
Of course, that matters not since Robeson filed 10 days
after the final official date on Docket 98-143...which
made him essentially discarded by the Commission.

>> Heil has had ample time to file his own Reply to Comments
>> on my Replies to Comments. Heil has not. Heil wishes to
>> vent his bile, spite and anger in here. <shrug>
>
>I'd never, never stoop to being that low in any documents submitted to
>the U.S. Government.

Heavens no! Davie is from the Department of Diplomacy and
is the very model of a modern major diplomat!

Sort of like "Curveball's intelligence reports" making
Secretary Powell's speech in the UN "justifying" our
invasion of Iraq. Heil wants to be both, I think, with
an overdose of "Ahnold" the Governator. Powell got out
of government. Dubya is still in and over 2000 military
have died to further "democracy" and the Halliburton way

of life in Iraq. That leaves only the "informant"
sounding like so many long-time morsemen.

>Yes, if I have anything to vent in the arena of
>amateur radio policy, I'm likely to post it here.

Yes...ten kinds of nasty behavior in here, spleen spilling
out all over! :-)

>You don't seem to know where to vent and where not to vent.

As far as Los Angeles Building Code is concerned, I know
exactly where to vent. Have a copy right here. I have
several other texts on ventilation, air cooling, etc.,
which have been used for venting excess heat. I think
you need those more than I after all the heat you're
generating into here... :-)

>> All note the title of this thread containing an overt personal
>> insult directed at myself. :-)
>
>It most assuredly does. All of the material posted is, to the best of
>my knowledge, completely factual.

Tsk. Your "knowledge" is both wrong and incomplete. :-)

You are just doing the personal insult thing...as usual.

[on "forking" - ]

>> A common cook's technique to ascertain the condition of meat
>> or fowl being cooked in an oven, grill, or barbeque.
>
>Other than Super Chicken, do you know of any meat or fowl posting to
>this newsgroup? Oh, it is "barbecue"

I know many who are most foul posting in here. Many have
a beef against the NCTA and wish to pick bones with them.
Most of their beefs sound fishy. Too much fowl matter.
Most seem chicken to address the subject rather than the
poster. That's not a feather in their cap, just
chickenshit.

You say you are a ham? I say YOU are baloney. :-)

Try vegetarianism. Vegitate in front of a radio,
dreaming of a banquet of delicious DX. Don't do it
in a smokehouse; smoking not good for you but obviously
coming out of your ears. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2082. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 22:41:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132612875.555871.228750@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124645

From: K0HB on Nov 21, 10:09 am

<LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote

>> 1. The U.S. military gave up using morse code modes for
>> long-haul HF communications in 1948, longer than a
>> half century ago. Plain, simple fact.
>
>Plain and simply innaccurate, Len.

Whatever you say, Hans. :-)

I was referring to the major message traffic handling which
enabled the tremendous (and superior) logistics capability
of the U.S. military keeping its worldwide presence during
and long after the end of WW2.

I was not attempting to impugn the United States Navy with
any negative criticism. The USN was the chief encourager
and supporter of early radio communications in the United
States. So much so that, at one point, the USN wanted to
control ALL radio, military AND civilian! [reference:
"The Continuous Wave, Technology and American Radio 1900-
1932," by Hugh G. J. Aitkin, Princeton University Press,
1985] Early radio required morse code skill due to the
primitive technology restricting communications to using
on-off keying codes. Note: The vast majority of
communications used on-off keying codes then despite some
experimentation with voice, time-signal, and teleprinter
communications which worked but did not survive in those
exact modes, even when the vacuum tube became feasible.

>The US Navy used Morse for long-haul HF
>communications with its surface fleets well into the 1960's and with its
>submarine fleet into the 1980s from stations NAA (Culter, ME), NLK (Jim Creek,
>WA), NPM (Honolulu), NAU (Peurto Rico), and VKE-3 (Northwest Cape, Australia).

You forgot one station.

And it is "Cutler, ME" and "Puerto Rico" isn't it? :-)

The majority of communications insofar as message traffic
was done as I stated, by teletypewriter. Yes, there was
a Fleet capability using morse code mode as you say. I have
no conflict with that. However, looking at ALL
communications necessary to maintain that Fleet, my
historical sources still point to the teletypewriter as

being the major "traffic" handling device for all branches since the beginning of the USA's involvement with WW2.

I WILL question that submarines NOW use ANY morse code for either communications or Alert signalling or did in the late 1980s. While I've had some contact with DoD on that, I'm not permitted to say yea or nay. I will point to the Federation of American Scientists (FAS) website where they show a diagram with identifying nomenclature of all equipment in a missle submarine's "radio room." None of that has any indication of morse code capability.

The USAF promoted single-channel (single-user) SSB on HF in the latter half of the 1940s for Strategic Air Command communications. Of two major developers, RCA and Collins Radio, Collins capitalized on that experience to design, market, and sell "SSB" HF radio equipment to amateurs and commercial companies alike. That started the changeover from AM voice to SSB voice in amateur HF bands. However, commercial and military SSB, multi-channel (rather multi-circuit) radio equipment was up and working on HF from the very early 1930s. During WW2 and after, that multi-circuit SSB bore the brunt of messaging traffic (via TTY) for all branches of the U.S. military.

The early top-level cryptographic communications in The Fleet (from at least 1940) was the "rotor machine" teletypewriters, according to at least two texts on cryptographic history from the 1960s. Those enabled unbreakable communications in the Pacific of decrypted Japanese fleet instructions and is considered part of the essential means to win the Battle of Midway. That "rotor machine" method was never compromised by any nation (friend or foe) until later-generation equipment was captured intact on the USS Pueblo. An example of that machine is on the USS Pampanito floating museum website, there labeled as "SIGABA." From other sources, those machines were, essentially, modified Model 15 or Model 19 teletypewriters made by Teletype Corporation.

My use of "plain, simple fact" phrasing is just copying Miccolis' use. He likes to use that in his technique to destroy opposing viewpoints by claiming that the least example of an exception totally and completely "destroys" any rule expressed by an opponent. It does not, but he persists. <shrug>

BTW, the only bell-bottoms I wore were as a civilian, none of them in blue, and had zippers, not buttons. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2083. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 22:45:48 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132613148.639569.280470@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Mon 21 Nov 2005 04:19

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 20, 3:36 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: K4YZ on Nov 19, 2:13 am
>> >> >K0HB wrote:
>> >> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote

>> >> >> > The KY-28, used on the OV-10A and D, AH1G and J, the UH-1N, the
>> >> >> > CH53 A & D were refered to as "JULIETT'.
>> >
>> >> >> > Steve, K4YZ
>> >
>> >> >> Your memory on this issue is not accurate.
>> >
>> >> >> Regardless of platform, user agency, or military department, crypto systems are
>> >> >> named by NSA (not by the Navy, USMC, or whoever). The KY-8/KY-28/KY-38 family
>> >> >> was named "NESTOR".
>> >
>> >> > It could have been named "Rice Krispies", Hans, but in USMC
>> >> >aircraft it was refered to as "JULIETT"
>> >
>> >> There we have it, direct from CNO Romeo at breakfast.
>> >
>> >I recall reference to "kick."
>>
>> "Kick?"
>
>Yep. "Kick" something. Had to do with keying a crypto unit in a comm
>van.

Ach so! Gotcha.

>> >> >> I am well familiar with the crypto suite installed in those airframes.
>> >
>> >> > Me too....I spent enough time having to re-key them on a daily
>> >> >basis.
>> >
>> >> Using morse code?
>> >
>> >dididahdahdidit.
>>

>> Right...we QUESTION him on many things. Dud no answer.
>
>That's his right. But there's a lot of baggage to drag around with
>claims of seven hostile actions and he can't mention the particulars of
>one of them. Some of the baggage includes not even believing him about
>clathing issue. Hi!

Wait...maybe it's all in a "missing baggage" room at some
airport!

Dudly is big on flapping his wings about aviation. Airports are
concerned with aviation. Many folks "lose baggage" at airports.
It all kinds of hangs together, donut? :-)

Dud still has missing baggage, though...

>> Interesting that nonebody had come in with U.S. amateur radio is
>> expressly forbidden to use any cryptographic means to obscure
>> the meaning of communications. Not to worry. Hans will be
>> along shortly to quote chapter and verse from Part 97...
>
>Extra Dick/W0EX, RIP, had a work-around to that rule. He went on for
>months about how to thwart those pesky No-Code Technicians trying to
>decode his Morse enCoded transmissions with a 'puter.

Yes. "Send poorly!" :-) Remember it well.

Big boost for morsemen and their cries of sending good.
Violation of The Amateur's Code #3!

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2084. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 22:49:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132613351.748193.54310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124647

From: "Bill Sohl" on Mon 21 Nov 2005 16:42

><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>>> Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra
>>> code test rate was 20 WPM.
>>
>> Unless a medical waiver was obtained, in which case the
>> Extra could be had for a code test of as little as 5 wpm.
>>
>> The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951
>> restructuring that also added the Novice and Technician
>> class licenses. The code test speed
>> for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
>
>That's kinda funny. The "modern" Extra is, therefore,
>54 years old. How long did the pre-modern Extra
>exist before 1951. Or even better, how long did
>radio as a practical medium exist before 1951.

I wish these Extra Morsemen would get their stories
straight. Heil thinks the 1950s were ancient history
and won't accept it. :-)

>> Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners.
>> They were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC).
>
>The legality of the availability of actual test info has never been
>proven either way. Bash made the info available and was never
>challenged. The FCC let it all go by which isn't proof absolute
>that it may or may not have been legal, but the absence of action
>ultimately made it legal over the long haul.

There were "Q&A" books on tests-and-answers for radio
and electricity back in the ancient history days of
the 1950s. Hardbound, not the best quality paper,
roughly the size of a Reader's Digest Condensed Book.

There was no hue and cry over those "Q&A" books then.
Gene Hubbel's "H and H Electronics" store in Rockford
Illinois had the amateur radio test editions for sale.
Both partners were pre-WW2 hams, Gene (SK) had W9ERU
then, later W7DI after moving to Arizona in retirement.
Gene was a morseman and had a couple certificates for
passing greater than 60 WPM using morse and a "mill."

Dick Bash and his schools came later. Why he got bashed so harshly is still curious to me. It might have been that his school/book logo had two four-letter words in it? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2085. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 22:51:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <Absgf.13980$cg.965@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 124648

"6H4Ballast" <bonobochimp789@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
news:ca4f9$43813852$d1cc7a6c$10958@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> >
> > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> That's fine by me as just 20% of hams who use HF
> also use CW on a regular basis.
>

And I'm not afraid to switch to CW right in that phone band when someone
tries to jump on me. Been there, worn that T-shirt. Funny how 75 watts of
cw can get through a kilowatt AM station.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 2086. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 23:01:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132614081.990048.250750@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124649

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K0HB on Nov 21, 10:09 am
cut
> I WILL question that submarines NOW use ANY morse code for
> either communications or Alert signalling or did in the
> late 1980s. While I've had some contact with DoD on that,
> I'm not permitted to say yea or nay. I will point to the
> Federation of American Scientists (FAS) website where they
> show a diagram with identifying nomenclature of all
> equipment in a missle submarine's "radio room." None of
> that has any indication of morse code capability.
>
Len it is my underststanding that one or more of the Navy sub systems used or at least were desugned to able to use a non manual morse system that would have allowed for decoding of the signal of the signals manualy in the event that the sub suffered damage, and survived ( current comabt theory seems ot say that a hit is a kill but..) . I heard rumors that this system was developed and used for some time very slow haviely "fransworthed" Morse indeed a demo I heard once in an unclisified army breifingwas slow enough and farnworthed enough I could read it (take down the dot and dashes) for looking up on a chart. the amry considered and rejected such system as I hear it, did look more into a Non morse encoded OOKed CW system designed for machine use with the abilty for a jerry rigged unit allowing manual decoding of the coded gruops that barely (if at all) got off the ground (end of the cold war killed it) that with the fact I have heard some signal at very low freqs sending what could be morse and heard em till the navy shut down the elf unit that sits within a 100 miles of my current home means I think there was some use of NON manual Morse in Navy till quite recently (since 9/11) My computer decoded them as seemingly random letter groups using a Morse back ground they stopped comeing when the Navy shut down the elf unit

# 2087. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 23:21:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132615267.591650.41060@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124651

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 18, 2:08 am
>
>
> >You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
> >
> >http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
>
> "Wait?" That EDITORIAL piece was in the 13 October 2005 issue,
> Jimmie. Today is 18 November. Why are you so LATE?
>
> My paper copy of Electronic Design has been sitting
> around for a month. It's a no-charge subscription to those
> IN the electronics industry; aren't you one of those? The
> cover feature is "Weapons of Mass Protection." Feature
> article is (on page 27) subtitled "Where the Homeland
> Security Dollars Are."
>
> Oh, I understand, you are against making a profit. An
> unusual attitude for someone who claims professional (for
> money) employment. Tsk, tsk...no wonder you won't reveal
> your employer's name!

in all fairness that refusual is one of the reasonsi try to cut jim
some slack. it is the senible thing

Stevie wants us to know he is an ER LPN which means given his address
(qrz of course) and check of the phone book (online of course) one
could work out where he works and deliver to him the trouble he tries
to deal out to others

Jim and dave for that matter have enough sense not to reveal there
employment info online, Steve OTOH doesn't have that much sense hemakes
easy and tempting to look it up and try to raise havoc with it, and
flames the restof usfor not puting ourselves out there where we can be
hit
>
> Your referenced EDITORIAL was written by Editor-in-Chief
> Mark David. If you check ED's archives, you will find
> another EDITORIAL of his, includes a mention of the ARRL.
> Editor David seems to be FOR Access BPL! Like that? :-)
>
> Sunnuvagun!
>
> bee bop

> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2088. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 23:22:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132615364.252964.323950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> In article <dlmrou$q8k$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>,
>
> The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> >> Wasn't "Morse" a god in mythology? <innocent questing look>
>
> >Nah he was an Inspector in a TV show ;o)
>
> And if you listen carefully during the closing theme song, you'll hear
> the violin section play -- --- .-. ... . (MORSE) repeatedly.

how does violin section do morse
>
> The first time I heard that I couldn't believe my ears.
>
> 73,
> Jeff KH6O
> --
> Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
> Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

# 2089. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 23:37:15 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132616235.652958.309170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

HEY.......IS THAT THE COCKSUCKERWHO MADE MY GALAXY 99!!??????????

COOOOLLLLLL !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I WONDER IF HE KNOWS HOW MUCH COCK TWISTIE,STEVEO,AND ME GET BECAUSE OF
THAT RADIO!!?????????????

policy-ham wrote:
> This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:
>
> Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is the latest high profile ham to come out
> publicly about Glenn Baxter's license. You probably remember Leo as the
> founder of WRL and Galaxy Electronics. Walter Cronkite also came out
> publicly against Baxter's (ex-k1man) renewal.
>
> In fact, Leo just sent a letter to the FCC about Baxter. You can find
> the letter and a discussion of Baxter's renewal here:
>
> "Leo Meyerson is an acknowledged pioneer in the field of radio. Leo is
> the founder of World Radio Laboratories and Galaxy Electronics.
> Throughout the years Leo has been very active in public service. A life
> member of the Quarter Century Wireless Association, Leo served as a
> National Director from 1977 to 1987. In 1991 he was named the QCWA
> Amateur Member of the year and in 1994 he was inducted into the QCWA
> Hall of Fame. Leo is also a life member of the American Radio Relay
> League, Society of Wireless Pioneers, and International Mission Radio
> Association among other associations. In 1980 Leo was elected a fellow
> of the Radio Club of America, one of the most prestigious radio clubs
> in America.
>
> Leo Meyerson is the second radio icon to denounce Glenn Baxter,
> ex-K1MAN. The other, famous broadcaster Walter Cronkite, has publicly
> stated that he has experience with Baxter's lack of ethics. Cronkite
> also called on the FCC to deny renewal of Baxter's amateur radio
> licenses."
>
> http://home.ripway.com/2005-7/357648/
>
>
> 73, K3VR

## 2090. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 23:38:51 UTC
From: Kalem <arab@cartelradiooil.com>
Message-ID: <dltlmk$qoe$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124654

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote in message
news:1132613351.748193.54310@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> From: "Bill Sohl" on Mon 21 Nov 2005 16:42
>
> Dick Bash and his schools came later. Why he got
> bashed so harshly is still curious to me. It might
> have been that his school/book logo had two four-
> letter words in it? :-)
>

Dick Bash, truly a giant among men, a gentleman and
a scholar. He remains one of ham radio's greatest of
the great.

# 2091. Re: moparholic starring in WW2 ALL KEYCLOWN CAST movie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 23:45:23 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132616723.329047.122830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.sex.stories.gay

moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy wrote:
> steve zero productions announces the greatest movie ever made about
> ww2. Starring an all keyclown cast, who play roles of American soldiers
> that operated ham radios illegally before the war. The keyclowns
> portray their fathers and grandfathers as they fight their way through
> Europe to meet up with German keyclown operators and start "piece"
> negotiations. The truckstop and Venereal disease ward scenes will make
> you laugh out loud, as these keyclowns show the cost of fighting to
> meet with the German keyclowns. The scenes where the keyclowns capture
> enemy keyclowns at rifle point as they order "PANTS DOWN!" are also
> unforgettable!
>
> Don't miss the epic production of "SAVING RYAN'S PRIVATES" Coming to a
> gay porn theater near you!
>
> PRE RELEASE REVIEWS:
>
> "mopeythetic shows his versatility when seducing the french!"
> -Gene Sicksel, Women's Wear Monthly
>
> "landshark is flamingly unforgettable as the keyclown German General"
> -twitlips, Sporadic Waves
>
> "The hospital scenes, with the wrist flipping injuries and the sore
> booties graphically portray the cost of keyclownism" -Scottiekins,
> NAMBLA WEEKLY

steveo loves my private parts........but he does share my love load
with his "wife".....

"she" has a 8 inch un-cut cock!!!!

# 2092. Re: A Direct Question for Brian P Burke, N0IMD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 23:46:04 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132616764.652363.90690@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124657

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....
> >
> > K4YZ
> > > > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> > >
> > > N0IMD
> > > > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
> > >
> > > K4YZ
> > > > > There's nothing to "work with" with Jim.
> > > > > He's already established a track record of NOT calling people by diminutives.
> > > > > He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.
> > > > >
> > > > > So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?
> > >
> > > N0IMD
> > > > Like I said, we'll start with you and when you've shown improvement for
> > > > some period of time, we can move on to Jim. Jim may take only a minute
> > > > or two, but I'm trying to be inclusive here.
> > >
> > > You keep suggesting that there's something to "move on to", Brian.
> > > I want to know what it is you think you have to "move on to" vis-a-vis
> > > conducting yourself to YOUR standards in talking to/about Jim Miccolis.
> > >
> > > What's YOUR excuse for using diminutive language towards Jim
> > > Miccolis when he's NEVER done it to you...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, you're blowing it. I prefer to keep my name and callsign off of
> > the web. As you well know, my call is convertible to my address, and I
> > don't want that plastered on the web, either.

Then you shouldn't be here. Or do like Markie and get a PO box
or one of those surrogate mail delivery services.

Or is this just another "I Sure Hope My Family Won't Ever See How
I REALLY Behave" redirects?

> You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.

So I guess THIS is the "direct answer" to the "direct question"...

Why am I not surprised...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2093. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 23:49:28 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132616968.660198.101190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Rabbi Phil,

Before I post the latest exchange, let me address your commentary. Yes, I do go from controversy to controversy, in ham radio because I was apart of the subjects' lives of which I write. I like to think I'm empathetic (see "K1MAN Has a Case"), using the First Amendment to make a difference (see "The Florida Bar is Corrupt, both a newsgroup and an article).

My life at 66 is extremely full: Angelic Wife of 12 years, great grand children, a novel "Death of a River Pilot," slated for publication (hopefully) in the future, from retirement a new business called Entertainment Central, which is more successful than any of my businesses in my "working days.'

Now informed, perhaps you need ask yourself about getting your own life, lest you continue with wasted words on faulty predicates.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

And now the latest W4ASX/K1MAN Exchange for those interested.

W4ASX Answers K1MAN's Haughty Quotation 11/21/2005

Trouble is, when you think you know more than the regulators, when you think you can interpret the Constitution without the Supreme Court, when you see agents and conspiracies all around you, when you assume falsely, when you are unwilling to listen and comprehend your reading, when you resort to the bombast of glittering generailities, instead of addressing specifics, when you break your arm complimenting yourself on stellar legal knowledge while, at the same time, declaring essentially you won't be regulated, your deathknell..

Real knowledge is to know the extent of one's ignorance."
Confucius quotes (China's most famous teacher, philosopher, and political theorist, 551-479 BC)

In a message dated 11/21/2005 3:39:11 PM Eastern Standard Time, K1MAN14275 writes:

To: W4ASX

From: K1MAN

Date: 21 November 2005

Bob,

"Whatsoever things are true, whatsoever
things are honest, whatsoever things are just, whatsoever things are
pure, whatsoever things are lovely, whatsoever things are of good
report; if there be any virtue, and if there be any praise, think on
these things." - Paul to the Philippians

73 and GL de K1MAN

# 2094. Re: A Direct Question for Brian P Burke, N0IMD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 23:50:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132617042.006612.94090@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124659

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....
> > >
> > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> > > >
> > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
> > > >
> > > > K4YZ
> > > > > There's nothing to "work with" with Jim.
> > > > > He's already established a track record of NOT calling people by diminutives.
> > > > > He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.
> > > > >
> > > > > So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?
> > >
> > > N0IMD
> > > > Like I said, we'll start with you and when you've shown improvement for
> > > > some period of time, we can move on to Jim. Jim may take only a minute
> > > > or two, but I'm trying to be inclusive here.
> > >
> > > You keep suggesting that there's something to "move on to", Brian.
> > > I want to know what it is you think you have to "move on to" vis-a-vis
> > > conducting yourself to YOUR standards in talking to/about Jim Miccolis.
> > >
> > > What's YOUR excuse for using diminutive language towards Jim
> > > Miccolis when he's NEVER done it to you...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, you're blowing it. I prefer to keep my name and callsign off of
> > the web. As you well know, my call is convertible to my address, and I
> > don't want that plastered on the web, either.
>
> Then you shouldn't be here. Or do like Markie and get a PO box
> or one of those surrogate mail delivery services.
>
> Or is this just another "I Sure Hope My Family Won't Ever See How

> I REALLY Behave" redirects?
>
> > You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.
>
> So I guess THIS is the "direct answer" to the "direct question"...
>
> Why am I not surprised...?!?!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Whatever made me think you'd behave yourself?

# 2095. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 23:55:20 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132617320.791252.121080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

W5ASX to K1MAN 11/21/2005
Force without wisdom falls of its own weight.
Horace (65 BC - 8 BC), Odes

K1MAN to W4ASX 11/21/2005

In a message dated 11/21/2005 6:19:38 PM Eastern Standard Time,
K1MAN14275 writes:

Bob,

Paul wrote to the Philippians, New Living Translation:

1-28. "Don't be intimidated by your enemies. This will be a sign to
them that they are going to be destroyed, but that you are going to be
saved, even by God himself."

73 and GL de K1MAN

W4ASX Answers K1MAN's Haughty Quotation 11/21/2005

Trouble is, when you think you know more than the regulators, when you
think you can interpret the Constitution without the Supreme Court,
when you see agents and conspiracies all around you, when you assume
falsely, when you are unwilling to listen and comprehend your reading,
when you resort to the bombast of glittering generailities, instead of
addressing specifics, when you break your arm complimenting yourself on
stellar legal knowledge while, at the same time, declaring essentially
you won't be regulated, your deathknell..

Real knowledge is to know the extent of one's ignorance."
Confucius quotes (China's most famous teacher, philosopher, and
political theorist, 551-479 BC)

In a message dated 11/21/2005 3:39:11 PM Eastern Standard Time,
K1MAN14275 writes:

To: W4ASX

From: K1MAN

Date: 21 November 2005

Bob,

"Whatsoever things are true, whatsoever
things are honest, whatsoever things are just, whatsoever things are

pure, whatsoever things are lovely, whatsoever things are of good report; if there be any virtue, and if there be any praise, think on these things." - Paul to the Philippians

73 and GL de K1MAN

## 2096. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-21 23:58:39 UTC
From: Bullcrap Bob <bullcrap@bullcrapbobnet.com>
Message-ID: <dltmsg$qq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

You are so full of Bullshit that your eyes are brown Sherin.

You were the only 14313 player that people would actually
turn off and go listen to another freq.

When Bob talks, people sleep,
ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

## 2097. Re: when will dave and steve have the guts to answer a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 00:00:52 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132617652.838513.139060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124662

an old friend wrote:
> been asking for 7 years never get an answer to the question
>
> what does a personas sexuality have to with Ham radio?

I've always wondered that myself.

Steve and Dave may have something to contribute to the ARS besides jabs.

# 2098. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 00:01:35 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132617694.954956.296490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

You obviously read, comprehended, formed analytical thought and
expressed it. Accordingly, your response belies its own content.

Bob Sherin, W 4ASX

# 2099. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 00:02:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132616996.839088.253350@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124664

raped an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > > news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> > > > >
> > > > > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > >
> > > > Hello, Jim
> > > >
> > > > Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier so I
> > > > can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
> > > > Audiooooooo ... ;)
> > > >
> > > > Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with double
> > > > sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for interesting
> > > > effects too ...
> > > >
> > > > Where's my sideswiper?
> > > >
> > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > Jim AA2QA
> > > > ps - doesn't 10 meters run from 25 MHz to 32 MHz? Or something like that?
> > >
> > > given the flaming I got over not knowing what some aphabet soup meant I
> > > wonder what will happen to Jim for blowing that one 10 meters BTW
> > > extends from 28MHZ to 29.7MHZ 12 meter as an aside runs from 24.890
> > > *24.990
> >
> > Stand-by for more "flaming", Mark.
> >
> > I know the concept may be a bit foreign to you, but Jim hampton
> > was being facetious.
>
> menaing we see again your doublt standard

"Menaing" that we see that you are ill-prepared mto discuss radio
policy issues and that you have no understanding of what's going on
around you.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2100. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 00:06:44 UTC
From: Bullcrap Bob <bullcrap@bullcrapbobnet.com>
Message-ID: <dltnas$39m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132617694.954956.296490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> You obviously read, comprehended, formed analytical thought and
> expressed it. Accordingly, your response belies its own content.
>

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
```

# 2101. Tennessee Nurse Steven J Robeson, LPN was: Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 00:10:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132618203.242436.171010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124666

K4YZ wrote:
> raped an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > >
> > > > > Hello, Jim
> > > > >
> > > > > Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier so I
> > > > > can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
> > > > > Audiooooooo ... ;)
> > > > >
> > > > > Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with double
> > > > > sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for interesting
> > > > > effects too ...
> > > > >
> > > > > Where's my sideswiper?
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > > Jim AA2QA
> > > > > ps - doesn't 10 meters run from 25 MHz to 32 MHz? Or something like that?
> > > >
> > > > given the flaming I got over not knowing what some aphabet soup meant I
> > > > wonder what will happen to Jim for blowing that one 10 meters BTW
> > > > extends from 28MHZ to 29.7MHZ 12 meter as an aside runs from 24.890
> > > > *24.990
> > >
> > > Stand-by for more "flaming", Mark.
> > >
> > > I know the concept may be a bit foreign to you, but Jim hampton
> > > was being facetious.
> >
> > menaing we see again your doublt standard
>
> "Menaing" that we see that you are ill-prepared mto discuss radio
> policy issues and that you have no understanding of what's going on

> around you.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, it appears that you changed Mark's attribution from "an old friend" to "raped an old friend."

Why did you do that?

# 2102. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 00:17:49 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132618668.986089.264100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124668

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "6H4Ballast" <bonobochimp789@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
> news:ca4f9$43813852$d1cc7a6c$10958@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> >
> > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> > >
> > > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > That's fine by me as just 20% of hams who use HF
> > also use CW on a regular basis.
> >
>
> And I'm not afraid to switch to CW right in that phone band when someone
> tries to jump on me. Been there, worn that T-shirt. Funny how 75 watts of
> cw can get through a kilowatt AM station.

>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Mighty Macho Morse Man?

# 2103. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 00:19:37 UTC
From: Blah Blah Blah Bob <NWTn.@anon>
Message-ID: <dlto28$6np$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Bullcrap Bob" <bullcrap@bullcrapbobnet.com> wrote in message
news:dltnas$39m$0@pita.alt.net...

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132617694.954956.296490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> You obviously read, comprehended, formed analytical thought and
> expressed it. Accordingly, your response belies its own content.
>

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

Small world. I thought I was the only one who changed frequencies when
"Preacher Bob" wasted oxygen on .313.

Now he's here, too? Between the self-described "preacher" and Windy Anderson
this group is fast slipping into the Twilight Zone.

As has been said....

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

# 2104. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 00:23:46 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <OcWdnVAVybw5yh_eRVn-jg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:
> Jeffrey Herman wrote:
>
>>In article <dlmrou$q8k$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>,
>>
>>The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>>>> Wasn't "Morse" a god in mythology? <innocent questing look>
>>
>>>Nah he was an Inspector in a TV show ;o)
>>
>>And if you listen carefully during the closing theme song, you'll hear
>>the violin section play -- --- .-. ... . (MORSE) repeatedly.
>
>
> how does violin section do morse

You never head the dit dit dit dah on violins from Beethoven's fifth?

## 2105. Re: The FAQ - because no-one has an alternative one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 00:31:32 UTC
From: aaa@spamaway.com
Message-ID: <438266E4.684B49B5@spamaway.com>
Source record: 124669
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew

an old friend wrote:

> it [gentlemanly attitude] seems long dead in mst corners of Ham radio

Well, time to bring it back to *amateur* radio (apols if you're a Yank). We could start here...

## 2106. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 00:44:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132620262.048165.26780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124670

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
> > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
> > >>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > >>>>>> an old friend wrote:
> > >>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > >>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >
> > >>>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> > >>>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> > >>>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."
> > >
> > >>> Not true, Len.
> > >
> > >> ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis. Everyone realizes it. Why not
> > >> admit that it is so?
> > >
> > >Let's go through it, shall we? Pro-coders (one can only wonder if Len
> > >means those who favored morse testing, those who favored morse use or
> > >those who were simply proficient at morse code) made up their own
> > >regulations. It isn't explained how or if these "pro-coders" all became
> > >Extra Class ticket holders. Extra Class license holders can't obtain
> > >that license without passing the most difficult theory and regulatory
> > >written exam offered in U.S. amateur radio and not all of those with
> > >morse code skills became Extra Class licensees. Len's statement appears
> > >to have some gaping holes.
> >
> > Tsk, tsk, tsk. NO "holes," Heil. An abbreviated synopsis
> > is all.
>
> Big holes, Len.
> >
> > Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
> > rate was 20 WPM.
>
> Unless a medical waiver was obtained, in which case the Extra
> could be had for a code test of as little as 5 wpm.
>
> The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring
> that
> also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test

> speed
> for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.

That's what Len said. But when you say it, it just takes longer.
Congratulations.

> > Why? Because the older-timers influencing
> > the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
> > radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
>
> No, that's not true at all.

It does have that appearance. Why don't hams working for the FCC have
to put away their licenses as to not incur a conflict of interest?
That would like having a big oil president and vice president holding
oil stocks.

> > It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
> > Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
> > set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.
>
> What about the thousands of others - like myself - who earned the
> license because we wanted the privileges? In my case, that was
> in 1970, at the age of 16.

You mom and dad provided you with three hots and a cot. Unless you
were raised in an orphanage where the Christians or the County provided
the three hots and a cot.

> > >> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
> > >> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
> > >> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
> > >> and could only get a SECOND class.
> > >
> > >Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
> > >First Phone.
> >
> > Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
> > makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
> > 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
> > of that time.
>
> Where?
>
> Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners. They
> were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC). In fact,
> back then
> FCC required 2 years' experience as a General or higher license just to
> *try*
> the Extra test.

Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.

> > The Commercial license was still more difficult
> > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
> > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
> > modes in Commercial radio then.
>
> But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of those
> who *did*
> take both say the Extra written was "harder".

It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
for a commercial endeavor.

"A Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use." N2EY

I agreed with you then and I agree with you now.

## 2107. check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 00:59:44 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132621184.818827.4160@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

I got this site from a trucker I was sucking off.

http://www.heretical.com/miscella/rcnoa.html

# 2108. Re: moparholic starring in WW2 ALL KEYCLOWN CAST movie!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 01:04:24 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132621464.149418.298780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.sex.stories.gay

HEY!!!!!

SO WHAT IS I SHARE YOUR LOAD WITH "HER"........."SHE" LICKS YOUR COCK
AFTER YOU MAKE LOVE TO MY MAN-PUSS!!
Landshark wrote:
> moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy wrote:
> > steve zero productions announces the greatest movie ever made about
> > ww2. Starring an all keyclown cast, who play roles of American soldiers
> > that operated ham radios illegally before the war. The keyclowns
> > portray their fathers and grandfathers as they fight their way through
> > Europe to meet up with German keyclown operators and start "piece"
> > negotiations. The truckstop and Venereal disease ward scenes will make
> > you laugh out loud, as these keyclowns show the cost of fighting to
> > meet with the German keyclowns. The scenes where the keyclowns capture
> > enemy keyclowns at rifle point as they order "PANTS DOWN!" are also
> > unforgettable!
> >
> > Don't miss the epic production of "SAVING RYAN'S PRIVATES" Coming to a
> > gay porn theater near you!
> >
> > PRE RELEASE REVIEWS:
> >
> > "mopeythetic shows his versatility when seducing the french!"
> > -Gene Sicksel, Women's Wear Monthly
> >
> > "landshark is flamingly unforgettable as the keyclown German General"
> > -twitlips, Sporadic Waves
> >
> > "The hospital scenes, with the wrist flipping injuries and the sore
> > booties graphically portray the cost of keyclownism" -Scottiekins,
> > NAMBLA WEEKLY
>
> steveo loves my private parts........but he does share my love load
> with his "wife".............
>
> "she" has a 8 inch un-cut cock!!!!

## 2109. swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 01:14:11 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132622051.895225.61370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.sex.stories.gay

```
the membership of the medina gay cb radio club would like to share gay
sex with
every'BODY".

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com

moparholic@hotmail.com
```

# 2110. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 01:19:03 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132622343.604651.77860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124674

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
>
> http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Given the millions and millions and millions spent on communications infrastructure...

" " "The National Guard cited antiquated communications technology-as a contributor to its delayed response. Lt. Gen. Steven Blum told USA Today that there was a shortage of high-tech radios and satellite communications gear. "We were underequipped," Blum told USA Today. "We don't need tanks and attack helicopters... but we must have state-of-the-art radios and communications." " "

The Guard most certainly does need attack helicopters. That's what differentiates the Guard from "AmeriCorps." The Guard is an >>>Armed<<< Service.

" " "The Guard has historically gotten "handmedown" equipment from active-duty military. It now uses "Vietnam-era radios while it needs 37,000 newer radios," according to Guard budget briefings." " "

OK, lets fund the Guard.

" " "Meanwhile, ham radio operators proved that older technology can be the most reliable technology. Our EDA Editor (and ham) David Maliniak wrote an online column on the subject, pointing out that sometimes "old works when new doesn't." (Read it and add your comments at ED Online 11136.) During and after Katrina, hams running on generators (sometimes with makeshift antennas) worked throughout the hurricane zone to put emergency stations on the air. They guided rescuers to stranded victims and updated weather services via the Hurricane Watch Net." " "

Wait a minute. The Guard says their equipment is antiquated and needs replaced, but the ARS service says that older technology may be what is needed.

Obviously, they can -both- be right. When wide area vhf+ comm systems go down, they have to switch to simplex where they can, and HF/NVIS networks for the rest of the area.

Certainly they have mobile repeaters and towers on trailers, don't they? A Honda 1K that is so quiet that it doesn't interrupt comms, and sips gasoline. A lot of ham clubs have that setup, why not the

government?

Why not indeed. Government contractor steps up and takes a 10K project and turns it into 275K contract.

Police department needs 3 of them. Sherrif needs 1. Fire department needs 3. The Guard needs 3. Governor/EMA needs 2.

12 x $275k = $3,300,000 instead of $120K.

Then they store it in the impound lot with the wrecked cruisers and fire trucks, exercise it every three of four years whether it needs it or not. Stale gas in the Honda generator gas tank; sputter sputter, sputter.

Somebody writes an article and calls it "antiquated" equipment.

## 2111. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 01:33:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132623239.919331.196000@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124675

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:1132575544.038007.247680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra
> >> code test rate was 20 WPM.
> >
> > Unless a medical waiver was obtained, in which case the
> > Extra could be had for a code test of as little as 5 wpm.
> >
> > The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951
> > restructuring that also added the Novice and Technician
> > class licenses. The code test speed
> > for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
>
> That's kinda funny. The "modern" Extra is, therefore,
> 54 years old.

Yep. Introduced as part of the restructuring of 1951.

> How long did the pre-modern Extra
> exist before 1951.

For a few years in the mid-1920s, there was an amateur license
called the "Amateur Extra First Grade" IIRC. It required more
testing than the standard amateur license, and allowed certain
additional privileges. It wasn't very popular because the additional
privileges were about 200 meter operation, and the exodus to
the short waves had already begun.

That was before FCC and even FRC.

> Or even better, how long did
> radio as a practical medium exist before 1951.

Well, that depends on what you mean by "a practical medium".

But a half-century is about right.

What does that have to do with the license class?

> >> Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
> >> makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
> >> 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
> >> of that time.

> > Where?
> > Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners.
> > They were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC).

> The legality of the availability of actual test info has never been
> proven either way. Bash made the info available and was never
> challenged. The FCC let it all go by which isn't proof absolute
> that it may or may not have been legal, but the absence of action
> ultimately made it legal over the long haul.

We're talking about two different things, Bill.

Len claims to have seen a 1957 version of the test for Extra, and says
it wasn't as hard as the First 'Phone of that time.

While it is not absolutely impossible for him to have seen that exam,
it
is highly improbable that he ever saw that exam, because it was simply
not available to people who neither worked for FCC nor took the amateur
exams. FCC kept the tests under lock and key. One can only imagine
the reaction of an FCC examiner in 1957 if someone asked to see the
Extra class written test just to see how hard it was.

I wish Phil Kane, K2ASP, would weigh in on this.

Now to Dick Bash...

Bash did his thing in the early 1970s - more than a decade after Len's
supposed look at the Extra test of 1957. He never got a look at the
written
tests, though. What he did was to ask people who had just taken the
tests
what was on them, and paid for any useful information they could
recall.
He essentially reverse-engineered the tests without actually seeing
them.
His books were not the exact tests but were very, very close, with only
trivial differences.

Yes, FCC did not go after him legally. And the whole issue became moot
a few years later (early 1980s) when FCC made the test contents public.

The plain simple fact is that at least some of those who took and
passed both
the First 'Phone and the Amateur Extra say the Extra was harder. I've
not
heard of anyone who took and passed both exams say the First 'Phone was
harder.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2112. Re: Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 01:36:34 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132623394.243740.177010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124676

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> > > We'll see how long you last this time.
> >
> > No...
> >
> > We'll see how long YOU last, Brian.
>
> we await your starting

I'm not sure if Steve actually started, but if he did, he didn't last
24 hours before he started yet another new thread posting my name and
callsign and demanding answers.

Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
"an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?

What a jerk.

## 2113. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 01:47:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132624077.049948.4670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124677

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "Bill Sohl" on Mon 21 Nov 2005 16:42
> ><N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >>> Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra
> >>> code test rate was 20 WPM.
> >>
> >> Unless a medical waiver was obtained, in which case the
> >> Extra could be had for a code test of as little as 5 wpm.
> >>
> >> The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951
> >> restructuring that also added the Novice and Technician
> >> class licenses. The code test speed
> >> for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
> >
> >That's kinda funny. The "modern" Extra is, therefore,
> >54 years old. How long did the pre-modern Extra
> >exist before 1951. Or even better, how long did
> >radio as a practical medium exist before 1951.
>
> I wish these Extra Morsemen would get their stories
> straight. Heil thinks the 1950s were ancient history
> and won't accept it. :-)

Len, you claimed to have seen an Amateur Extra written
exam in 1957. How, exactly, did that happen?

> >> Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners.
> >> They were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC).
> >
> >The legality of the availability of actual test info has never been
> >proven either way. Bash made the info available and was never
> >challenged. The FCC let it all go by which isn't proof absolute
> >that it may or may not have been legal, but the absence of action
> >ultimately made it legal over the long haul.
>
> There were "Q&A" books on tests-and-answers for radio
> and electricity back in the ancient history days of
> the 1950s. Hardbound, not the best quality paper,
> roughly the size of a Reader's Digest Condensed Book.

There were a number of such books. But they were not the actual
exam. Looking at a study guide book is not the same thing as seeing
the actual exam.

Did you see the actual exam, Len, or just one of the study guides?

> There was no hue and cry over those "Q&A" books then.

Because they weren't the actual exam.

FCC issued "study guides" that outlined the subject areas of the
various
tests. Anyone could write questions and answers based on those tests,
but they would not be the actual exam.

> Gene Hubbel's "H and H Electronics" store in Rockford
> Illinois had the amateur radio test editions for sale.
> Both partners were pre-WW2 hams, Gene (SK) had W9ERU
> then, later W7DI after moving to Arizona in retirement.
> Gene was a morseman and had a couple certificates for
> passing greater than 60 WPM using morse and a "mill."

Did you call him names like "mighty macho morseman", Len? ;-)

> Dick Bash and his schools came later. Why he got
> bashed so harshly is still curious to me.

His method of gathering the information violated the spirit
if not the letter of the law. The law of that time, anyway.

## 2114. Season Specials

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 01:52:04 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <Drhambone@drhambonenuts.com>
Message-ID: <dlttgb$u6v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
New Convenient Office Hours

New treatments available for the Loquacious Ham Syndrome.

Professional discounts.

Dr Hambone
Office hours by appointment only
```

## 2115. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 02:05:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132625132.321048.242600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124679

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
> > > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > >>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
> > > >>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > >>>>>> an old friend wrote:
> > > >>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > >>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> > > >>>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> > > >>>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."
> > > > >
> > > >>> Not true, Len.
> > > > >
> > > >> ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis. Everyone realizes it. Why not
> > > >> admit that it is so?
> > > > >
> > > >Let's go through it, shall we? Pro-coders (one can only wonder if Len
> > > >means those who favored morse testing, those who favored morse use or
> > > >those who were simply proficient at morse code) made up their own
> > > >regulations. It isn't explained how or if these "pro-coders" all became
> > > >Extra Class ticket holders. Extra Class license holders can't obtain
> > > >that license without passing the most difficult theory and regulatory
> > > >written exam offered in U.S. amateur radio and not all of those with
> > > >morse code skills became Extra Class licensees. Len's statement appears
> > > >to have some gaping holes.
> > >
> > > Tsk, tsk, tsk. NO "holes," Heil. An abbreviated synopsis
> > > is all.
> >
> > Big holes, Len.
> > >
> > > Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
> > > rate was 20 WPM.
> >
> > Unless a medical waiver was obtained, in which case the Extra
> > could be had for a code test of as little as 5 wpm.
> >
> > The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring
> > that

> > also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test
> > speed
> > for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
>
> That's what Len said.

No, it isn't. He left out the part about the medical waivers, which
became a reality in 1990.

> > > Why? Because the older-timers influencing
> > > the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
> > > radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
> >
> > No, that's not true at all.
>
> It does have that appearance.

How?

The ARRL did not create the Extra class license in 1951. They did
not lobby for it either.

> Why don't hams working for the FCC have
> to put away their licenses as to not incur a conflict of interest?

What hams worked at FCC in 1951?

> That would like having a big oil president and vice president holding
> oil stocks.

Do you think they don't?

> > > It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
> > > Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
> > > set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.
> >
> > What about the thousands of others - like myself - who earned the
> > license because we wanted the privileges? In my case, that was
> > in 1970, at the age of 16.
>
> You mom and dad provided you with three hots and a cot.

So? That's what responsible parents do.

I also had to go to school and make acceptable grades. Do all assigned
chores at home and work (yes, I worked then). Plus all the usual
activities of a kid my age back then.

> > > >> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
> > > >> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
> > > >> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
> > > >> and could only get a SECOND class.
> > > >
> > > >Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old

> > > >First Phone.
> > >
> > > Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
> > > makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
> > > 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
> > > of that time.
> >
> > Where?
> >
> > Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners. They
> > were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC). In fact,
> > back then
> > FCC required 2 years' experience as a General or higher license just to
> > *try*
> > the Extra test.
>
> Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.

How? Dick Bash did not see the actual exams except by taking them.

> > > The Commercial license was still more difficult
> > > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
> > > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
> > > modes in Commercial radio then.

> > But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of those
> > who *did* take both say the Extra written was "harder".

> It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
> for a commercial endeavor.

Wasn't too hard for a 16 year old between 10th and 11th grade. In fact,
I'd have gotten it more than a year earlier except for the 2 year
waiting
period.

> "A Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use." N2EY

Brian Burke, you have written that quote here several times, and
claimed I
wrote it. But I did not write that sentence - you did. Check google and
show us what I actually wrote on that subject.

> I agreed with you then and I agree with you now.

You're only agreeing with something you wrote. Not what I wrote.

# 2116. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 02:12:52 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132625572.429941.266760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124680

From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am

>Amateur radio might be operating weak signal UHF SSB with a multi-mode,
>multi-band rig. It might be operating 2m FM through a local repeater.
>It might be ragchewing on 40m CW. One constant is that you aren't involved.

I don't do any RF transmission in amateur bands, with the
exception of those bands which are shared with other radio
services. Yet I am able to communicate worldwide without
an amateur radio license or using morse code! And 24/7
without worrying about the ionospheric conditions! :-)

Gosh, you sound awfully important and oh, so involved!

Good going, senior.

>> I was involved in the 1950s. "State" had their
>> own TTY nodes in the ACAN-STARCOM-DCS worldwide in the 1950s
>> and 1960s.
>
>Dark ages, Leonard. You were never employed by the U.S. Department of
>State, just as you were never in amateur radio.

"Dark ages?!?" At the beginning of the Cold War?

You were never employed by the U.S. Army or the DoD, were you?

>> Would you like to know the node letters found on
>> all messages that were relayed by the Army? I have a nice
>> list. There's also one at the USAER website which covers
>> Army in Europe history extensively.
>
>I'm not particularly interested.

Of course not. It might hurt your rants about amateurism.

>Why do you live in the past?

Tsk, I don't. Jimmy Noserve loves the past, always
bringing up little factoids of amateur radio history
that happened before his time.

>> "State" never used an RCA Corporation RACES (Random Access
>> Card Extract System) archival memory storage machine?
>

>It was not used for long. It wasn't seen as practical.

If it "wasn't seen as practical," WHY did State buy it?

Actually 'buy them' since they bought two.

The GM "tank factory" in Michigan bought a half dozen,
got delivered before State's buy order.

>How does that make you involved in my employment?

Were you in the Department of State purchasing department?

Did you approve budget purchases?

I don't think so. If you say they were "impractical,"
then you have defrauded the American taxpayer by
having State buy them! Why do you fleece taxpayers?

>> Department of State
>> used those to keep track of a months' worth of messages
>> into/out of DC. You told me they were of no consequence. :-)
>
>They weren't. Their demise was quick. They were supplanted by state of
>the art (for the time) Teletype Model 40 gear. That equipement was used
>long past its obsolescence. It was phased out in the late 1980's and
>early 1990's. How were you involved in my job?

Whoa! Now you are saying you were in some technical or
strategic planning at State? I thought you only worked
at embassies? [most confusing here trying to get a
straight answer]

>I'm not dismissing a great big hobby area involving all of electronics.
>I'm stating quite accurately that you aren't involved in amateur radio.

So, in your mind electronics does NOT equate with "radio?"

It does not equate with "amateur radio?"

You hams still using spark transmitters? Tsk, forbidden.

Do you consider U.S. amateur radio to be a HOBBY?

I don't think you do. You want enoblement into some kind
of "higher" service to the nation.

>>> In other words, you're a non-factor in either.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, I'm closer to a Mersene number insofar as factors
>> are concerned! <BSEG>
>
>from:
>http://64.233.161.104/search?q=cache:DdsGm4->HerQJ:wurmbrand.uconn.edu/research/files/Lei
den->1999.pdf+mersene+number&hl=en&client=firefox-a

>
>"No large Mersene number was proven to be prime".

Davie, that's WHY they are called "Mersene" numbers.

You didn't know that? Tsk. You had to look it up... :-(

>You must be past your prime, Len. :-)

Ha. Ha. Ha. Davie made a funny!

>I don't know why it'd bother radio amateurs. I'm sure that you meant
>that the Army gave up the use of morse for long haul, point-to-point
>bulk relayed message traffic. Otherwise your statement could be seem as
>incorrect. Amateur radio isn't about the Army.

Even if the Army gave birth to MARS? :-)

The amateur NTS could take some tips and pointers from the DCS.

But, that's digressing. Amateur radio is about amateurism.

Like the ARRL and their "radiogram" forms so that netties
can look so very "professional" in forwarding "telegrams." :-)

>That's lucky for us. Otherwise your already long and irrelevant posts
>would just grow longer.

Hey, be happy! This gives you all the more space to tell
your tales about all that important national communications
you did from African countries like Guinea-Bisseau! :-)

You can regale the group with your military exploits in a
"country at war" (Vietnam, 30+ years ago). Did you go
far "in country," Davie?

How about all the space work you did when you said you were
"with NASA there"?

>>> Yep, when something is simple enough, many folks will opt for it rather
>>> than attempting that which is more difficult. Many never go beyond the
>>> easiest license despite the limited privileges it offers.
>>
>> Such as long-time amateur radiotelegraphers who've never
>> ventured behind the front panels of their radios in order
>> to understand how they worked. :-)
>
>Your clause doesn't address limited privileges. :-)

I didn't have any "clause." I asked a question. Pay attention.

>> Yes, I am familiar
>> with those. Their "radio skill" never goes beyond their
>> key, their ears, or the "official" jargon they've picked
>> up from older days, those used by older "radio experts."

>
>Do you know any radio telephonists who've never ventured beyond the
>front panels of their equipment? Does their skill extend beyond their
>microphones? Have they picked up any "official" jargon from older days?
>Perhaps your rant was intended only as a slam against anyone who is both
>a telegrapher and a radio amateur.

I was addressing - specifically - who I addressed, not
"radio telephonists." You are attempting to misdirect.
The word you should have used is 'radiotelephony.'

NPRM 05-143 is singularly about the telegraphy test. [that's
what this "english teacher" of the thread title was commenting
on] That NPRM has NOTHING to do with radiotelephony, radiodata,
teletypewriter over radio, slow or fast-scan television,
facsimile over radio. The amateur radio license tests have
NO test elements for physically OPERATING any radio, are not
required to have radio equipment AT a license exam site.

The sole manual test for anything at any amateur license exam
is about telegraphy, telegraphy as used on amateur radio (there
is NO landline telegraphy tested), more technically,
radiotelegraphy. As it is NOW, that is.

The written test elements are prepared, both questions and
multiple-choice question answers, by the VEC QPC. Those
cover "radio theory" (actually electronics in general since
there are no exclusive-to-amateur-radio circuits) and
Commission regulations. While some questions pertain to
"radio operating," there is no actual, hands-on, demonstratable
ability to OPERATE any radio, let alone amateur radio. Some in
here as well as in commentary on the NPRM misuse "operating"
to refer almost exclusively to RADIOTELEGRAPHY.

Am I saying that many radio amateurs don't know squat about
radio theory? ABSOLUTELY. I charge that based on MY life
experience in answering, as politely as possible, questions
of rather elementary level on radio theory. I was answering
questions, giving CORRECT answers, as a NON-amateur but also
as a very professional radio-knowledgeable person. All too
many of those questions from radio amateurs chronologically
older than I was were so simplistic, so indicative of a basic
understanding of radio and propagation principles that I
would lump them as less than Novice class amateurs. I could
care less that they might be able to do 40 WPM radiotelegraphy
with "perfect copy" any time. I could care less if they had
earned every possible "radiosport" contest as amateurs. They
were still deficient in a basic understanding of radio theory,
deficient at an elementary level. In a radio activity that
grants BOTH an operator and station license, it showed me
that they couldn't possibly meet the technical regulations
of amateur radio to match their lofty rank-status-privileges

they were granted.

>>> Vic Clark was a silent key before I entered the Foreign Service.
>>
>> Not my fault. <shrug>
>
>You told us that you exchanged letters with him.

You told us - many times - you entered employment with the
Department of State. <shrug>

I have NO proof that the late Vic Clark ever actually saw
my correspondence; such was all typewritten and a "signature"
could have been done by a secretary.

If you wish to make an ISSUE out of that, feel free. I will
have to give in because I never kept that correspondence
and cannot prove it happened.

There! A WEAK POINT! Jump in and make the BIG ISSUE.

>> Who wrote "I've met people like you, always bragging about..."
>
>It wasn't a brag, Len. After all, you were the one who wrote about
>notables coming to my embassy. Oh, that's right--you snipped that part.

I didn't bring up any "notables" until after you did...

>> What has all that name-dropping to do with amateur radio? :-)
>
>That's what I thought when *you* brought it up.

"I brought it up?" I never worked at any embassy. You have
a time warp condition?

>Then again, you aren't likely to know. You aren't a ham and you aren't
>an ARRL member.

I'm FAR LESS likely to be an ARRL/NAAR member than a licensed
radio amateur...unless they do some drastic changes to their
public policy.

Every single licensed radio amateur in the United States was
NOT a ham until they passed their first amateur test. Why do
you keep harping on that?

You keep demanding that the only persons who can talk about
amateur radio regulations MUST be a licensed radio amateur?
Why is that? The FCC is NOT a club...it is a radio regulating
agency...for ALL civil radio. The FCC is NOT a fraternal
organization, was never chartered to be one.

The "National Association for Amateur Radio" (nee' ARRL) is
the "club." Even so, their membership is only one of every
five U.S. amateur radio licensees. Why aren't there more?

The percentages of membership have never become greater than
a quarter of all licensees.

Your blatant problem is some weird self-righteous elitism
wherein you claim that no one licensed can "know" anything
about amateur radio. That's just a plain, simple lie.
Were it true then there would be NO newcomers to amateur
radio licensing because they would not know enough to pass
any test!

>>> What nightly footsteps are in evidence and why would they be yellow?
>>
>> Inquire of REAL USMC veterans about "yellow footsteps."
>
>Why?

Refer to the message exchange between K4YZ and Frank Gilliland,
a REAL USMC veteran. It has been going on in here quite
recently. You haven't seen it? You are not paying attention,
are not aware and informed.

>> You haven't been following the expose' of the self-renowned
>> Amateur Extra now dubbed Dudly the Imposter.
>
>Oh, I know that you've found another insulting name for someone.

If that is an insult, then it is MILD in comparison to the
insults he has hurled to many others over his years in here.

If you wish to elevate a fraudulent "veteran" to some lofty
status of "superiority," then you are no better, perhaps
lesser than that sorry excuse for a former military person.

>So that'd be unlike any real march on Washington, where all were united
>in a common goal. In the Civil Rights march, were more than half of the
>marchers *against* civil rights for blacks?

Elimination of the morse code test in amateur radio regulations
is NOWHERE NEAR THE humanitarian level of EQUAL rights for non-
whites.

Is a "march on Washington" ONLY about civil rights in your
mind? Try the "Bonus March" of 1933, April 29 starting
date. Participants even camped out on the Mall for days.
The U.S. Army was ordered to herd them.

Are you trying to "herd in" protesters? Do you fancy yourself
to be in authority? You aren't. You were NEVER in the
U.S. Army. You don't even know what I am referring to...
even though it is a shameful bit of history of the USA.

>> It's been only four months
>> since the release of NPRM 05-143 (on July 19, 2005) but in
>> the 11 month official period of WT Docket 98-143 on

>> Restructuring, that garnered only about 2200 filings.
>
>And? What percentage of radio amateurs filed? What percentage of the
>general public filed?

Ask Joe Speroni. Rightsell calls him the "unofficial
statistician of amateur radio." What did Speroni do about
that "English department" filing wherein the English
teacher stated outright she had NO activity in amateur
radio and was NOT going to get an amateur license. Speroni
counted her for "support" of his "statistics." What of all
those law students filing, 18 in all. None of them are
licensees and none say they are going to get a license.

You love Rightsell, don't you? You get on my case because
I filed a Reply to Comments of his "two-year-olds" filing.

>>> You aren't wrapped very tight.
>
>> True, I am (at time of writing) sitting in shirtsleeves,
>> the office window open, temperature gauge at the corner
>> of the radio clock displaying 71.3 degrees F.
>> If you mean that remark as an insult, then it has fallen
>> flat before the message got here. Please do not litter.
>
>I meant it as a statement of that which is evident, but I don't blame
>you for wanting to snip that which illustrated my point.

Explain a colloquial quip as being "evident."

Explain why I am supposed to "accept" an insult which
demeans my intelligence and/or emotional stability.

Or is this the usual Morseman Extra Double Standard wherein
Morsemen can make insults and be acceptible, but others
may not?

>> "Profiles" work two ways, indeed in many ways. Yours
>> can, and has been done (in part) several times.
>
>Was that the one you plagiarized from Jim's work?

PARODY is perfectly acceptible.

I've NEVER been guilty of plagiarism, nor did I engage in any.

>What's it to you?

You really can't answer a plain, simple, direct question...

>> Then why do YOU insist that all radio amateurs "love" the
>> specific things YOU "love?"
>
>I do not.

Tsk, tsk, you DO! See little gems of an accusatory nature
such as I should have obtained an amateur radio license
before accepting professional radio employment!

There's more, but you will try to get out such charges. :-)

>> Your motivation is at question there.
>
>Your understanding of logic is at question here.

No, MOTIVATION. You try to personalize all opinions, then
you generate false "reasons" why all must do as you
specify, including liking what you like.

What MOTIVATES you to behave in such a manner?

What MOTIVATES you to get all hot and bothered about ONE
Reply to Comments of Robert Rightsell and NOT say anything
about my other, earlier Replies to Comments?

YOUR motivation is highly suspect.

>>>> Does Palomar know about you? Does Schmidt help you?
>>
>> I'll let you think some more about another question you
>> did not answer... :-)
>
>What were you telling me about your not having to respond to questions? :-)

Did Schmidt help you in amateur astronomy?

That's a plain, simple, direct question.

>> I have not obtained any amateur radio license, true...
>
>Precisely!

"Precisely" what? Is amateur radio a forbidden subject to anyone
without a federal license in amateur radio?!?

Why do you wish to forbid any discussion?

Why do you wish to heckle others who do not have opinions
equal to yours?

Your motivation in all that activity is suspect.

>> I had been attempting to levitate. Then I tried to invent anti-
>> gravity. No success. Something is holding me down...
>
>Have you decided to use that line over and over until someone thinks it
>is a) original to you or b) funny?

a. It IS original.

b. The stand-up comic (who paid me to write material for him) found
it was funny to his audience.

c. I have more...but they are wasted on this audience.

>>>> Sorry, you're thinking of Val Germann. He's been an unmodified
>>>> Tech for over three years. [my micro-fiber jacket isn't
>>>> tattered, you've got the wrong guy...]
>
>You're wearing a jacket in 73 degree temperatures?

Tsk. Never said that. You've connected disparate parts
in an attempt to demean another. Not nice.

Around you one may have to wear a "full metal jacket."

:-)

>You are not a member.

I am not a member of the FCC. Neither are you. <shrug>

>> Just how big is that "lodge hall" you tried to write about?
>
>It is big enough to hold well over 600,000 members.

United States amateur radio is NOT a "Lodge." That you think
so is not a definition nor a legality of existance.

The FCC is NOT a fraternal organization nor a fraternal order
governor.

>> You DO have such difficulty with the written word, don't
>> you? Tsk, tsk. Work on comprehension rather that strict,
>> obedient literalism. This isn't an English Composition
>> high school class.
>
>I realized that when I found that there isn't a competent instructor on
>hand.

Joe Speroni thinks differently.

>> Ever hear of Phil Amidon? He retired from NBC West Coast
>> Headquarters years ago. He'd already started a small
>> business selling iron powder toroid cores and other little
>> kits on sale in many radio-electronics parts stores
>> nationwide. Bigger corporation bought his company.
>
>Yep. They don't make anything. They re-package and sell products made
>by another firm.

Are you sure it isn't BILL Amidon? :-) Why no "correction?" :-)

Amidon is/was a licensed radio amateur; I don't know if
he is SK or not. Amidon ads have been in QST for over two
decades. Hams who actually build radio things should be
familiar with the name.

Does this mean you are dissing a fellow radio amateur? Tsk.

>> Yep, extreme literalism. "Back of the bus" kind of bigotry.
>
>That's incorrect. The seating on the bus is open. You haven't boarded.

Then why do you keep trying to shut the door?

Your "motivation" seems one of self-righteous bigotry, allowing
that "door" open to only those you deem desireable.

>> Tell me, do you hang around VE exam sessions, questioning
>> those who enter the door whether they are "upgrading" or
>> are newbies? Do you act like a Dill sergeant with the
>> newbies? Chew them out, don't permit them to speak until
>> spoken to? I get the distinct feeling you do that. :-)
>
>You aren't yet a newbie. :-)

Ohm my, there you go again. Nobody can talk in any venue
without YOUR approval?

>> Morse code is alive but unwell...
>
>See, this is what I mean when I say that you make frequent factual
>errors. I invite you to tune your Icom receiver to the low ends of the
>bands 160-10m this coming weekend.

Why? I have no personal interest in morse code and no interest
in amateur radio contesting. Invitation denied.

One can listen OUTSIDE the amateur radio bands and NOT hear
much radiotelegraphy. Hardly a beep to be heard...still lots
of SSB and AM voice, data (TORs mostly), international
broadcasting, standard time signals. Not much morse code.

Let's see...your "stock answer" will be the imperative that
"this is an amateur radio forum and that's all that can be
talked about?"

>> ... dwelling only in the musculeminds...
>
>Musculeminds? What's a muscule? Is that like your miscue on "missle"?
>Your noggin must be "musculebound".

Ohm my, I made a typo, a Freudian slip confusing "miniscule"
with "muscle." :-)

>You aren't wrapped too tight.

Now now, you are making an allusion to lack of intelligence
and/or emotional stability again, aren't you? :-)

>>> Actually, Len, statistics say that I should be at least a couple of
>>> decades from being done.
>
>> Let's say this: You sure as hell aren't rare or medium!

>
>I was rare from Sierra Leone, but not as rare as from Guinea-Bissau.
>
>> But you sure aren't well done either. "Steak tartare." :-)
>
>> Reflect on the old saying, "there are lies, damn lies, and
>> statistics." All are connected as equals. :-)
>
>> I will be reading your SK notice in the ARRL/NAAR newsletter.
>
>The actuarial tables say that you're likely to be wrong.

We'll see... :-)

>The League
>doesn't publish Silent Key notices in a newsletter. They're published
>in QST.

Just today I peeked at the ARRL home page, the one obtained
by accessing www.arrl.org. Just below half of the items of
news is "Former ARRL HQ Staffer Paul R. Shafer, KB1BE, SK."

The ARRL web page is NOT the pages of QST.

>> I will think back on you then.
>
>I guess you told me.

Right on, senior! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2117. Re: A Direct Question for Brian P Burke, N0IMD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 02:28:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132626510.430214.311460@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124681

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....
> > > >
> > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> > > > >
> > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
> > > > >
> > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > There's nothing to "work with" with Jim.
> > > > > > He's already established a track record of NOT calling people by diminutives.
> > > > > > He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?
> > > > >
> > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > Like I said, we'll start with you and when you've shown improvement for
> > > > > > some period of time, we can move on to Jim. Jim may take only a minute
> > > > > > or two, but I'm trying to be inclusive here.
> > > > >
> > > > > You keep suggesting that there's something to "move on to", Brian.
> > > > > I want to know what it is you think you have to "move on to" vis-a-vis
> > > > > conducting yourself to YOUR standards in talking to/about Jim Miccolis.
> > > > >
> > > > > What's YOUR excuse for using diminutive language towards Jim
> > > > > Miccolis when he's NEVER done it to you...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Steve, you're blowing it. I prefer to keep my name and callsign off of
> > > > the web. As you well know, my call is convertible to my address, and I
> > > > don't want that plastered on the web, either.
> > >
> > > Then you shouldn't be here. Or do like Markie and get a PO box
> > > or one of those surrogate mail delivery services.
> > >

> > Or is this just another "I Sure Hope My Family Won't Ever See How
> > I REALLY Behave" redirects?
> >
> > > You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.
> >
> > So I guess THIS is the "direct answer" to the "direct question"...
> >
> > Why am I not surprised...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Whatever made me think you'd behave yourself?

Two things come to mind:

(1) If you were REALLY that concerned, you would have done a "Markie" and changed the subject line. This now makes the FIFTH response with your name and call in it. Obviously it's NOT that big an issue for you.

(2) What MISbehave? Did I call you a bad name? Did I threaten you? No. I asked you a question that the HONEST answer to is going to be embarrassing to you. THAT'S too bad, but it seems to be YOUR norm here, too! You make all of these insulting insinuations of your own, yet seem to think that it's all one sided.

So....the question STILL stands (as do a dozen others which you've never anwered, but I am hopeful...) What is YOUR excuse for using diminutives towards others that DON'T use them towards you?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2118. Re: Attn: Woger, Buzz, Polly, Stevie, YZ, OGL, 'Old & New' Friend(s) and ALL da-rest of ya RF CLOWNS.... (OT ?? ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 03:24:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132629881.557558.246650@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Brownback is a freaking bible banging right wind nazi, and BTW
masturbation according to many doctors is very heath, even the former
US Surgeon General had stated that it was healthly

Todd N9OGL

http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/ The Voice of Amateur
Radio
http;//12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS

# 2119. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 03:56:42 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132631801.962539.114610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

My friend, I don't mind being lampooned, but please do me the favor of writing clearly, so I can understand all of your expression. After all, the notion behind newsgroups is swift and clear communications.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

## 2120. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 03:58:06 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <Drhambone@drhambonenuts.com>
Message-ID: <dlu4su$t7j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124687

Mr Bash was a very honorable man and the one of the
finest ham radio operators to ever key a microphone.
His contributions to ham radio could fill volumes of books.
His contributions to ham radio were only exceeded by the
many unselfish hours he donated to bringing youth into
ham radio. Mr Bash is truly one of ham radio's finest.

## 2121. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 04:04:45 UTC
From: Babling Bob <Bable@bablingbobho.com>
Message-ID: <dlu593$v1q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.dx

```
"Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132631801.962539.114610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> My friend, I don't mind being lampooned
//////SNIPPED///////

blah blah blah blah blah blah blah blah,
and Bablin Bob bables on ad nauseam................blah blah blah blah
blah
blah blah blah

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZz
```

# 2122. Re: Attn: Woger, Buzz, Polly, Stevie, YZ, OGL, 'Old & New' Friend(s) and ALL da-rest of ya RF CLOWNS.... (OT ?? ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 04:26:39 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <N4adndFHZ8oPDR_enZ2dnUVZ_sadnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124684
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> Brownback is a freaking bible banging right wind nazi, and BTW
> masturbation according to many doctors is very heath, even the former
> US Surgeon General had stated that it was healthly

Then you should be just about the most healthest person in the world. Is that what you do while you're looking at those kiddie porn cartoon characters?

# 2123. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 04:27:41 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132633661.525948.80620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124690

From: "an old friend" on Mon, Nov 21 2005 3:21 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 18, 2:08 am

>> "Wait?" That EDITORIAL piece was in the 13 October 2005 issue,
>> Jimmie. Today is 18 November. Why are you so LATE?
>>
>> My paper copy of Electronic Design has been sitting
>> around for a month. It's a no-charge subscription to those
>> IN the electronics industry; aren't you one of those? The
>> cover feature is "Weapons of Mass Protection." Feature
>> article is (on page 27) subtitled "Where the Homeland
>> Security Dollars Are."
>>
>> Oh, I understand, you are against making a profit. An
>> unusual attitude for someone who claims professional (for
>> money) employment. Tsk, tsk...no wonder you won't reveal
>> your employer's name!
>
>in all fairness that refusual is one of the reasonsi try to cut jim
>some slack. it is the senible thing

I disagree. I am not Miccolis' tailor. If he needs slacks,
he can go to the nearest Salvation Army thrift shop and get
some at low cost.

>Stevie wants us to know he is an ER LPN which means given his address
>(qrz of course) and check of the phone book (online of course) one
>could work out where he works and deliver to him the trouble he tries
>to deal out to others
>
>Jim and dave for that matter have enough sense not to reveal there
>employment info online, Steve OTOH doesn't have that much sense hemakes
>easy and tempting to look it up and try to raise havoc with it, and
>flames the restof usfor not puting ourselves out there where we can be
>hit

I don't really care what those others do. I'm of the opinion
that they are either: 1. Lying; 2. Haven't got the courage
to say outright; 3. Haven't got the courage to reveal what
they do at their work.

Dave Heil is supposed to be retired on his State Department
pension, therefore he doesn't work. We don't know for sure
exactly what Miccolis does at work or on what; all we get are

vague inferences and one of his Comments stated he "works on vehicular systems." That could be anything from choo-choos to little warehouse loaders or even electric bicycles. :-)

Once someone gets an amateur license, their address becomes public knowledge. The only "hiding" they can do then is to use a Post Office box or equivalent, but must furnish the city, state, and Zip code where that box is located. The most notorious in here was KH6HZ using a fake Hawaii address along with several "club" calls where he was "trustee"! The FCC closed down those "clubs" and he had to amend his personal license to a Rhode Island address.

I've had my exact mailing address published in Ham Radio magazine for over two decades in my bylines. I don't have any qualms about revealing my surface mail address. I still live at that address. My only surface mail "spam" is local. A few people took the trouble to write me some good words about the help they got from my articles. Those were answered promptly and with thanks. The only brickbat received was from a southeastern state amateur who had some faulty basic theory; a check-in with the HR staff proved he was a source of irritation (and faulty theory) to several other authors.

As to that month-old issue of Electronic Design, I've already put it in the recycling can. [new issues received today, including Electronic Products and EDN double-issue...even a new Mouser catalog] I'm sure that some radio amateurs have saved that editorial as some kind of "proof" of the something in amateur radio and will use it as a "reference" for many years. :-)

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2124. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 04:32:50 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ktudnc2l5PuRDx_eRVn-pw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124685

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>
> Do you consider U.S. amateur radio to be a HOBBY?
>
> I don't think you do. You want enoblement into some kind
> of "higher" service to the nation.

Sorry to break your brag bubble lennieboy, but ham radio has already reached 'ennoblement' into 'higher' service to the nation. Many times in the past and present, especially with the service that hams provided during Katrina and Wilma. You just can't be a part of it and that really gets up your nose.

# 2125. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 04:33:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132634027.399509.86460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124691

From: "an old friend" on Mon, Nov 21 2005 3:01 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: K0HB on Nov 21, 10:09 am

>> I WILL question that submarines NOW use ANY morse code for
>> either communications or Alert signalling or did in the
>> late 1980s. While I've had some contact with DoD on that,
>> I'm not permitted to say yea or nay. I will point to the
>> Federation of American Scientists (FAS) website where they
>> show a diagram with identifying nomenclature of all
>> equipment in a missle submarine's "radio room." None of
>> that has any indication of morse code capability.
>>
>Len it is my underststanding that one or more of the Navy sub systems
>used or at least were desugned to able to use a non manual morse system
>that would have allowed for decoding of the signal of the signals

Mark, it is really irrelevant what the USN uses for Alert
messaging to submarines in this newsgroup. "We" aren't
supposed to talk about anything non-amateur...:-)

Suffice to say that those boats DO use code. It just isn't
morse code. Alert messages WERE sent on very low frequencies
using very slow data-rate DATA. The reason for very slow
data rate was signal-to-noise ratio and a very narrow
bandwidth at ELF or even VLF and to allow submarines to
pick up Alerts while still submerged. While ELF and VLF
does penetrate water, water still has attenuation of the
radio signal so the S:N ratio puts a limit at the depth
they can be to receive the Alert. There WAS automatic
decoding equipment in the boat's "radio room" for Alerts.

I used past tense because I do not know what the boats use
NOW. I'm not going to inquire, either. I've got confidence
in the USN and NSA being able to Alert Boomers and Sharks
as needed without fear of being compromised.

I no longer have any confidence that our country's leadership
can use their intelligence reports intelligently...but that
is a subject for a separate newsgroup. :-)

The information on the FAS website (a considerable amount)
is interesting. Whether it represents the "truth" or not
is a subject for the intelligence community's analysis. So
far the FAS has continued to function, stay on-line without

any closures from the government.

There IS some information about the NSA and DIA and CIA that has been cleared for publication. I have some of those books. Amazon has them on sale.

But, amateur radio is forbidden by the Commission regulations from using any encipherment that obscures the meaning of a communication. Hans has not been forthcoming on lecturing us on the precise sub-parts on that. Since I am unlicensed in the amateur service, several others are attempting to forbid my mentioning anything. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2126. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 04:42:40 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <EYudnWrM_cLICR_enZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124686

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> There were "Q&A" books on tests-and-answers for radio
> and electricity back in the ancient history days of
> the 1950s. Hardbound, not the best quality paper,
> roughly the size of a Reader's Digest Condensed Book.

> There was no hue and cry over those "Q&A" books then.
> Gene Hubbel's "H and H Electronics" store in Rockford
> Illinois had the amateur radio test editions for sale.
> Both partners were pre-WW2 hams, Gene (SK) had W9ERU
> then, later W7DI after moving to Arizona in retirement.
> Gene was a morseman and had a couple certificates for
> passing greater than 60 WPM using morse and a "mill."

The questions in those books were 'typical' of what one would expect on the test. What you got on the test would be similar, but not exactly like in the Q&A guides, and it wasn't all multiple choice either, there were problems that had to be actually worked out.

>
> Dick Bash and his schools came later. Why he got
> bashed so harshly is still curious to me. It might
> have been that his school/book logo had two four-
> letter words in it? :-)

Bash would hang outside the door of the examining office and catch hams as they came out. He would ask them to write down all the questions they could remember. The FCC only had several different exams on each element. Bash then compiled a book of the exact questions that could appear on any of the exam sheets along with the answers. That made it a simple memorizing exercise to pass the test. Many hams, and rightly so, looked at that as cheating, just as it would be in school or college.

## 2127. Re:more stevei lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:07:18 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132636038.566536.210140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124692

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....
> > > > >
> > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > > > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> > > > > >
> > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
cut
the below is from stevie
Or is this just another "I Sure Hope My Family Won't Ever See How
I REALLY Behave" redirects?
> > >
> > > > You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.
> > >
> > > So I guess THIS is the "direct answer" to the "direct question"...
> > >
> > > Why am I not surprised...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Whatever made me think you'd behave yourself?
>
> Two things come to mind:
>
> (1) If you were REALLY that concerned, you would have done a
> "Markie" and changed the subject line.
and what did I have to do with this attack thread of yours

> This now makes the FIFTH
> response with your name and call in it. Obviously it's NOT that big an
> issue for you.

but he doesn't like it you know you choose to do it
>
> (2) What MISbehave? Did I call you a bad name? Did I threaten
> you?

stop lyingso badly you sack of shit
> No. I asked you a question that the HONEST answer to is going
> to be embarrassing to you. THAT'S too bad, but it seems to be YOUR
> norm here, too! You make all of these insulting insinuations of your
> own, yet seem to think that it's all one sided.
>
> So....the question STILL stands (as do a dozen others which you've
> never anwered, but I am hopeful...) What is YOUR excuse for using
> diminutives towards others that DON'T use them towards you?

none needed no exucse is needed to responf to insulting language with
insulting langage

no one promise dyou you youd be called stevie if youcontinue to act
like a spolied brat

why do you expect answers to question when you will not answer any
yourself

for 7 years the question has stood before you "what has anyone sexual
orienetaion got to do with radio?"
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2128. Re: when will dave and steve have the guts to answer a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:07:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132636068.325128.252280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124693

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > been asking for 7 years never get an answer to the question
> >
> > what does a personas sexuality have to with Ham radio?
>
> I've always wondered that myself.
>
> Steve and Dave may have something to contribute to the ARS besides jabs.

I suspect they do

they ceratinly serve as bad examples

# 2129. Re: Pot/Kettle/Black....The Continued Misdeeds and Deceit of the Feeble Five

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:09:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132636154.763832.256860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124694

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > > We'll see how long you last this time.
> > >
> > > No...
> > >
> > > We'll see how long YOU last, Brian.
> >
> > we await your starting
>
> I'm not sure if Steve actually started, but if he did, he didn't last
> 24 hours before he started yet another new thread posting my name and
> callsign and demanding answers.

he nevr started

>
> Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
> "an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?

he has done many times

he is foul and vulgar without being honest enough to simply be foul and
vulgar
>
> What a jerk.

yep

# 2130. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:10:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132636204.763790.37080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> >
> >>In article <dlmrou$q8k$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>,
> >>
> >>The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> >>
> >>>> Wasn't "Morse" a god in mythology? <innocent questing look>
> >>
> >>>Nah he was an Inspector in a TV show ;o)
> >>
> >>And if you listen carefully during the closing theme song, you'll hear
> >>the violin section play -- --- .-. ... . (MORSE) repeatedly.
> >
> >
> > how does violin section do morse
>
> You never head the dit dit dit dah on violins from Beethoven's fifth?
no I don't hear it and I am quite famiar with the piece

# 2131. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:11:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132636286.067201.264610@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124696

K4YZ wrote:
> raped an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > >
> > > > > Hello, Jim
> > > > >
> > > > > Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier so I
> > > > > can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
> > > > > Audiooooooo ... ;)
> > > > >
> > > > > Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with double
> > > > > sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for interesting
> > > > > effects too ...
> > > > >
> > > > > Where's my sideswiper?
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > > Jim AA2QA
> > > > > ps - doesn't 10 meters run from 25 MHz to 32 MHz? Or something like that?
> > > >
> > > > given the flaming I got over not knowing what some aphabet soup meant I
> > > > wonder what will happen to Jim for blowing that one 10 meters BTW
> > > > extends from 28MHZ to 29.7MHZ 12 meter as an aside runs from 24.890
> > > > *24.990
> > >
> > > Stand-by for more "flaming", Mark.
> > >
> > > I know the concept may be a bit foreign to you, but Jim hampton
> > > was being facetious.
> >
> > menaing we see again your doublt standard
>
> "Menaing" that we see that you are ill-prepared mto discuss radio
> policy issues and that you have no understanding of what's going on
> around you.

nope meaning you are bent on flaming for anything I type at all

you are a fraud your bigest fraud is presenting yourself as human at
all
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2132. more "civil" discourse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:13:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132636423.228896.289060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124697

Doug W wrote:
> On 20 Nov 2005 16:16:11 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > I do know hacking is against the law dickhead, maybe I should report
> > you to your ISP
>
> Maybe I should report you for having the following indecent material
> still available for school children to view without signing up for
> your bbs:
>
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/Sakura%20Nude%20Hard%20006.jpg
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/CCS-049.jpg
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/watersport.jpg
> (plus many more)
>
> Your web site's security is a giant joke.

then you should thank him for giving you a laugh

you BTW by the Stevie standard now show yourself as the pedo for
visitng such a none site

# 2133. Re: Tennessee Nurse Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:15:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132636502.847692.53780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124698

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > raped an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hello, Jim
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier so I
> > > > > > can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
> > > > > > Audiooooooo ... ;)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with double
> > > > > > sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for interesting
> > > > > > effects too ...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Where's my sideswiper?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > > > Jim AA2QA
> > > > > > ps - doesn't 10 meters run from 25 MHz to 32 MHz? Or something like that?
> > > > >
> > > > > given the flaming I got over not knowing what some aphabet soup meant I
> > > > > wonder what will happen to Jim for blowing that one 10 meters BTW
> > > > > extends from 28MHZ to 29.7MHZ 12 meter as an aside runs from 24.890
> > > > > *24.990
> > > >
> > > > Stand-by for more "flaming", Mark.
> > > >
> > > > I know the concept may be a bit foreign to you, but Jim hampton
> > > > was being facetious.
> > >
> > > menaing we see again your doublt standard
> >
> > "Menaing" that we see that you are ill-prepared mto discuss radio
> > policy issues and that you have no understanding of what's going on

> > around you.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, it appears that you changed Mark's attribution from "an old
> friend" to "raped an old friend."

he does it all the time

has evn had the nerve to claim that caling me mark as he does that shit
is being nice to me
>
> Why did you do that?

# 2134. Re: Attn: Woger, Buzz, Polly, Stevie, YZ, OGL, 'Old & New' Friend(s) and ALL da-rest of ya RF CLOWNS.... (OT ?? ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:17:01 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132636621.780537.300980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Brownback is a freaking bible banging right wind nazi, and BTW
> > masturbation according to many doctors is very heath, even the former
> > US Surgeon General had stated that it was healthly
>
> Then you should be just about the most healthest person in the world. Is
> that what you do while you're looking at those kiddie porn cartoon
> characters?

and the cartoon character show why he got cancelled
because they don't allow such sexual expression on kiddy cartoons

# 2135. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:21:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132636863.570294.314240@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124700

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "an old friend" on Mon, Nov 21 2005 3:01 pm
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: K0HB on Nov 21, 10:09 am
>
> >> I WILL question that submarines NOW use ANY morse code for
> >> either communications or Alert signalling or did in the
> >> late 1980s. While I've had some contact with DoD on that,
> >> I'm not permitted to say yea or nay. I will point to the
> >> Federation of American Scientists (FAS) website where they
> >> show a diagram with identifying nomenclature of all
> >> equipment in a missle submarine's "radio room." None of
> >> that has any indication of morse code capability.
> >>
> >Len it is my underststanding that one or more of the Navy sub systems
> >used or at least were desugned to able to use a non manual morse system
> >that would have allowed for decoding of the signal of the signals
>
> Mark, it is really irrelevant what the USN uses for Alert
> messaging to submarines in this newsgroup. "We" aren't
> supposed to talk about anything non-amateur...:-)

that is the Stevie postion but i don't agree with him anything radio is
at least more ontopic than the endless discussion of everyone stevie
dislikes sex life
>
> Suffice to say that those boats DO use code. It just isn't
> morse code. Alert messages WERE sent on very low frequencies
> using very slow data-rate DATA. The reason for very slow
> data rate was signal-to-noise ratio and a very narrow
> bandwidth at ELF or even VLF and to allow submarines to
> pick up Alerts while still submerged. While ELF and VLF
> does penetrate water, water still has attenuation of the
> radio signal so the S:N ratio puts a limit at the depth
> they can be to receive the Alert. There WAS automatic
> decoding equipment in the boat's "radio room" for Alerts.
>
> I used past tense because I do not know what the boats use
> NOW. I'm not going to inquire, either. I've got confidence
> in the USN and NSA being able to Alert Boomers and Sharks
> as needed without fear of being compromised.

me too
>
> I no longer have any confidence that our country's leadership
> can use their intelligence reports intelligently...but that
> is a subject for a separate newsgroup. :-)

definately a differently newgruops My doubts is that the intel world
can express an opinion that they will stand behind 2 days later but as
you say a different NG
>
> The information on the FAS website (a considerable amount)
> is interesting. Whether it represents the "truth" or not
> is a subject for the intelligence community's analysis. So
> far the FAS has continued to function, stay on-line without
> any closures from the government.
>
> There IS some information about the NSA and DIA and CIA
> that has been cleared for publication. I have some of those
> books. Amazon has them on sale.
>
> But, amateur radio is forbidden by the Commission regulations
> from using any encipherment that obscures the meaning of a
> communication. Hans has not been forthcoming on lecturing
> us on the precise sub-parts on that. Since I am unlicensed
> in the amateur service, several others are attempting to
> forbid my mentioning anything. :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2136. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:35:54 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132637754.795242.312460@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124701

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
> >
> > http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Given the millions and millions and millions spent on communications
> infrastructure...
>
cut

> " " "Meanwhile, ham radio operators proved that older technology can be
> the most reliable technology. Our EDA Editor (and ham) David Maliniak
> wrote an online column on the subject, pointing out that sometimes "old
> works when new doesn't." (Read it and add your comments at ED Online
> 11136.) During and after Katrina, hams running on generators (sometimes
> with makeshift antennas) worked throughout the hurricane zone to put
> emergency stations on the air. They guided rescuers to stranded victims
> and updated weather services via the Hurricane Watch Net." " "
>
> Wait a minute. The Guard says their equipment is antiquated and needs
> replaced, but the ARS service says that older technology may be what is
> needed.
>
> Obviously, they can -both- be right. When wide area vhf+ comm systems
> go down, they have to switch to simplex where they can, and HF/NVIS
> networks for the rest of the area.

in point of fact they both can be right

the virtue of Ham radio is we can forge links as we need to the
downside is by and large we have to every time, the abilty to use use
the resource is of value when "something that got overlooked shows up"

problems with that senario are the ARS lack much abilty to forge stable
conections and must invent them continously this is hard for your govt
and other first responders to use so they gtry to go higher and higher
tech trying to not to need us

ham ego's also get in the way as witness the reaction saying that the
response of ham radio was less than it could have been while much
better then the Govt own response

Yes I am dispointed in the response I saw and heard to Katrina and Rita
I am apaled by the response of LA and NO not thrilled with FEMA either
but as can be send they are dependant on the locals for infrastructure
cut

# 2137. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:46:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132638406.307525.20160@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124702

>Note: The author of this message requested that it not be archived. This message will be removed from Groups in 6 days >(Nov 28, 9:32 pm).

That's ok, I'm reporting you to the FBI for hacking my website, most people don't access the pictures that way, only people who hack. I noticed from above your now trying to cover your trail by not allowing your post to be archieved, that's ok, I'll make sure the FBI gets a hold of your post before they are removed and I'll cut and paste them just in case.

Todd

## 2138. Re: more "civil" discourse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:49:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132638565.765001.82900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124703

Hey mark he must really like it because he been on here at lease three
to four times.

Todd

## 2139. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:55:04 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132638904.429105.46890@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124704

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 21, 4:44 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
>> > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
>> > >>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > >>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>> > >>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > >>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:

<snipped blah blah...>

>> The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring that
>> also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test speed
>> for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
>
>That's what Len said. But when you say it, it just takes longer.
>Congratulations.

Jimmie no accept what I write. He good at long answers
which say the same thing, but then he say he wrote it best. :-)

>> > Why? Because the older-timers influencing
>> > the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
>> > radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
>
>> No, that's not true at all.
>
>It does have that appearance. Why don't hams working for the FCC have
>to put away their licenses as to not incur a conflict of interest?
>That would like having a big oil president and vice president holding
>oil stocks.

In 1951 there was no Internet, no easy way to "talk" to the
FCC except through legal outfits and lobbying organizations
all using the "proper" format in their paperwork. Everything
was surface mail if you couldn't afford special couriers.
The League could afford a legal firm then and they filed
nice legalese documents with the Commission. With a relative
scarcity of correspondence incoming they could pay attention
to the League then. The League enjoyed a high place on
amateur regulation correspondence with the FCC then. Any
individual writing longhand, without legal terms or in any

"approved" format got chuckled at. Things were more
"patrician" then.

Things are a bit different now. Internet access to ALL
government is faster than overnight express mail. FCC has
to accept ALL filings. By law. The correspondence on hot-
ticket Dockets is enormous compared to more than a half
century ago. ALL radio has increased in scope and the FCC
is stuck with having to regulate an enormous set of radio
services affecting millions and millions more than existed
in 1951.

In 1951, as in 1956, the ENTIRE set of regulations of the
FCC could be put in a medium-sized loose-leaf binder. The
nicely-printed sheets were already punched for that and one
could be on a subscription list from the USGPO to receive
update pages. Before 2001 the entire set of regulations
wound up taking FIVE volumes of softbound books and printed
new every two years. Those who had Federal Register
subscriptions could get "updates" also in 1951 but by 2001
those updates could be obtained from the Federal Register
online.

While your remark about conflict of interest has merit,
Brian, I would remind everyone that regulation of amateur
radio is a SMALL part of the total FCC regulatory
requirement. Part 13, Title 47 C.F.R., has the regulations
for Commercial Radio Operator licenses. I count 10 of
those from my 1995 bound edition of Title 47. FCC has
to regulate COLEMs as well as the VEC. It would be
difficult to have any "conflict of interest" at the FCC
on the basis of having only ONE out of 13 (or even 16)
different operator licenses.

>> > It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
>> > Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
>> > set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.
>
>> What about the thousands of others - like myself - who earned the
>> license because we wanted the privileges? In my case, that was
>> in 1970, at the age of 16.
>
>You mom and dad provided you with three hots and a cot. Unless you
>were raised in an orphanage where the Christians or the County provided
>the three hots and a cot.

Jimmie looks at himself as the "model" of what all others did,
namely getting his in his teen years. Apparently, indoctrination
during teen years is somehow spay-shul, more important than
doing so later in life.

Jimmie did not MAKE any of the test regulations he passed. But,
he passed them, so therefore all others must be like him? He
had not achieved many years of expertise in radio communications
then but now he will say he did. Jimmie just passed the tests
which were put in place by much older regulators, lobbied for by
much older radio amateurs than he.

Jimmie still doesn't regulate any radio service and still
endeavors to hold the status as much quo as when he first
achieved Extra at the ripe old age of 16 (35 years ago?).

>> > >> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
>> > >> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
>> > >> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
>> > >> and could only get a SECOND class.
>
>> > >Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
>> > >First Phone.
>
>> > Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
>> > makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
>> > 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
>> > of that time.
>
>> Where?

In one of the harbor cities of Los Angeles. Available in various
forms but limited to photocopies and mimeographed re-typed form.
The "Xerox machine" hadn't got into production yet in 1957.
Passed around by hand, of course. :-)

>> Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners. They
>> were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC). In fact,
>> back then
>> FCC required 2 years' experience as a General or higher license just to
>> *try* the Extra test.
>
>Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.

The "Q&A" (Question and Answer) books got their info by various
ways, including interviews with those who had passed the different
tests. If they somehow purloined the test information they
weren't prosecuted for it...

Anyone perusing the Copyright laws of the United States will find
out that DIRECT REPRODUCTION of any U.S. government work is not
quite punishable; the Copyright laws forbid the government from
copyrighting their own work! The legal ramifications of that
have to be worked out by legal people as to whether such things
are forbidden to reproduce OR to reveal.

As you say, the Dick Bash organization is still in business
after many years (I think those old Q&A books might still be

printed too), so the "Bash Books" weren't the high crime they
were reputed to be in urban legend. <shrug>

>> > The Commercial license was still more difficult
>> > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
>> > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
>> > modes in Commercial radio then.
>
>> But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of those
>> who *did* take both say the Extra written was "harder".

[tsk, self-aggrandizement and done after-the-fact]

>It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
>for a commercial endeavor.

Of course, since some say "it's the most important thing in
life!" :-)

>"A Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use." N2EY
>
>I agreed with you then and I agree with you now.

I too agree with that Miccolis statement, adding a "hear! hear!"

With a beep beep here, a beep beep there, everywhere a beep beep...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2140. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:55:11 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132638911.410603.52370@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124705

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 21, 4:44 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
>> > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
>> > >>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> > >>>>>> an old friend wrote:
>> > >>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > >>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:

<snipped blah blah...>

>> The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring that
>> also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test speed
>> for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
>
>That's what Len said. But when you say it, it just takes longer.
>Congratulations.

Jimmie no accept what I write. He good at long answers
which say the same thing, but then he say he wrote it best. :-)

>> > Why? Because the older-timers influencing
>> > the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
>> > radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
>
>> No, that's not true at all.
>
>It does have that appearance. Why don't hams working for the FCC have
>to put away their licenses as to not incur a conflict of interest?
>That would like having a big oil president and vice president holding
>oil stocks.

In 1951 there was no Internet, no easy way to "talk" to the
FCC except through legal outfits and lobbying organizations
all using the "proper" format in their paperwork. Everything
was surface mail if you couldn't afford special couriers.
The League could afford a legal firm then and they filed
nice legalese documents with the Commission. With a relative
scarcity of correspondence incoming they could pay attention
to the League then. The League enjoyed a high place on
amateur regulation correspondence with the FCC then. Any
individual writing longhand, without legal terms or in any

"approved" format got chuckled at. Things were more
"patrician" then.

Things are a bit different now. Internet access to ALL
government is faster than overnight express mail. FCC has
to accept ALL filings. By law. The correspondence on hot-
ticket Dockets is enormous compared to more than a half
century ago. ALL radio has increased in scope and the FCC
is stuck with having to regulate an enormous set of radio
services affecting millions and millions more than existed
in 1951.

In 1951, as in 1956, the ENTIRE set of regulations of the
FCC could be put in a medium-sized loose-leaf binder. The
nicely-printed sheets were already punched for that and one
could be on a subscription list from the USGPO to receive
update pages. Before 2001 the entire set of regulations
wound up taking FIVE volumes of softbound books and printed
new every two years. Those who had Federal Register
subscriptions could get "updates" also in 1951 but by 2001
those updates could be obtained from the Federal Register
online.

While your remark about conflict of interest has merit,
Brian, I would remind everyone that regulation of amateur
radio is a SMALL part of the total FCC regulatory
requirement. Part 13, Title 47 C.F.R., has the regulations
for Commercial Radio Operator licenses. I count 10 of
those from my 1995 bound edition of Title 47. FCC has
to regulate COLEMs as well as the VEC. It would be
difficult to have any "conflict of interest" at the FCC
on the basis of having only ONE out of 13 (or even 16)
different operator licenses.

>> > It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
>> > Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
>> > set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.
>
>> What about the thousands of others - like myself - who earned the
>> license because we wanted the privileges? In my case, that was
>> in 1970, at the age of 16.
>
>You mom and dad provided you with three hots and a cot. Unless you
>were raised in an orphanage where the Christians or the County provided
>the three hots and a cot.

Jimmie looks at himself as the "model" of what all others did,
namely getting his in his teen years. Apparently, indoctrination
during teen years is somehow spay-shul, more important than
doing so later in life.

Jimmie did not MAKE any of the test regulations he passed. But,
he passed them, so therefore all others must be like him? He
had not achieved many years of expertise in radio communications
then but now he will say he did. Jimmie just passed the tests
which were put in place by much older regulators, lobbied for by
much older radio amateurs than he.

Jimmie still doesn't regulate any radio service and still
endeavors to hold the status as much quo as when he first
achieved Extra at the ripe old age of 16 (35 years ago?).

>> > >> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
>> > >> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
>> > >> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
>> > >> and could only get a SECOND class.
>
>> > >Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
>> > >First Phone.
>
>> > Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
>> > makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
>> > 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
>> > of that time.
>
>> Where?

In one of the harbor cities of Los Angeles. Available in various
forms but limited to photocopies and mimeographed re-typed form.
The "Xerox machine" hadn't got into production yet in 1957.
Passed around by hand, of course. :-)

>> Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners. They
>> were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC). In fact,
>> back then
>> FCC required 2 years' experience as a General or higher license just to
>> *try* the Extra test.
>
>Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.

The "Q&A" (Question and Answer) books got their info by various
ways, including interviews with those who had passed the different
tests. If they somehow purloined the test information they
weren't prosecuted for it...

Anyone perusing the Copyright laws of the United States will find
out that DIRECT REPRODUCTION of any U.S. government work is not
quite punishable; the Copyright laws forbid the government from
copyrighting their own work! The legal ramifications of that
have to be worked out by legal people as to whether such things
are forbidden to reproduce OR to reveal.

As you say, the Dick Bash organization is still in business
after many years (I think those old Q&A books might still be

printed too), so the "Bash Books" weren't the high crime they
were reputed to be in urban legend. <shrug>

>> > The Commercial license was still more difficult
>> > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
>> > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
>> > modes in Commercial radio then.
>
>> But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of those
>> who *did* take both say the Extra written was "harder".

[tsk, self-aggrandizement and done after-the-fact]

>It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
>for a commercial endeavor.

Of course, since some say "it's the most important thing in
life!" :-)

>"A Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use." N2EY
>
>I agreed with you then and I agree with you now.

I too agree with that Miccolis statement, adding a "hear! hear!"

With a beep beep here, a beep beep there, everywhere a beep beep...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2141. Re: Tennessee Nurse Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 05:59:14 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132639154.427466.45030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124706

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > raped an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
cut
> > > menaing we see again your doublt standard
> >
> > "Menaing" that we see that you are ill-prepared mto discuss radio
> > policy issues and that you have no understanding of what's going on
> > around you.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve, it appears that you changed Mark's attribution from "an old
> friend" to "raped an old friend."
>
> Why did you do that?

becuase he is a sick SoB that thinks rape is the proper subject of
jokes

set that against his "outrage" over my caling some one an elitist bitch
(iw ould have called her an elitist bastartd had she been male that
seems the convetions)

now by Stevies other statement in that thread I suppose that we may
asume by HIS use of the word he endorses rape and similar sex crimes
and is in fact guilty of them himself in real life

## 2142. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 06:11:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132639903.285793.87490@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124707

>Maybe I should report you for having the following indecent material
>still available for school children to view without signing up for
>your bbs:

yet. your posting the links on a public fourm where kids can gain
access, all they have to do is either bee on here or serach the usenets
on google and this discussion will be in there in the list, so your
helping them gain access....nice try though....

Todd N9OGL
DEMENTED OTAKU BBS
DEVOTED TO ANIME AND MANGA
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

## 2143. The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 06:18:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8Lygf.2162$rM2.2110@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124708

Just finished another annual running to the two-weekend Sweepstakes contest (one weekend Morse, one weekend phone).

As many of you know, this contest simulates traffic handling protocols with a modestly challenging exchange with 5 information elements exchanged in each direction. One of those elements is a "check" which is a 2-digit number indicating the year the station was first licensed.

(This doesn't have any direct connection to the age of the operator, but it does tell you how long they've been licensed.)

During this years contest weekends I was struck by the higher incidence of "recent checks" in the phone event as compared to the Morse event.

Since I have Cabrillo logs available for the past 5 seasons of Sweepstakes, I did a quick Excel evaluation looking at the "newcomer" trend of the Sweepstakes contest. The total data population is right at 10,000 QSO's, so should be reasonably "statistically relevant".

My intent was to test my hunch about a higher tendency for recent licensees to be on phone, and that hunch proved true (no particular surprise), but in addition a much scarier trend rose out of the figures.

The numbers below show the percentage of my QSO's which had a recieved "check" in the current and 10 previous years (i.e., this year I counted "95" thru "05", last year "94" through "04", etc.)

(Tabular info best viewed in 'fixed width' font)

Year CW% Phone% Combined%

2001 7.4% 24.0% 17.5%
2002 6.9 18.8 13.5
2003 7.0 14.8 10.9
2004 4.5 14.0 8.7
2005 4.0 14.0 9.9

As I mentioned, it's no surprise that newcomer contesters are more likely to be on phone, but the really scary part is that the overall percentages (regardless of mode) in the "licensed-in-the-last-10-years" are dropping so dramatically, almost halved in just 5 years.

If the trend of "newcomers active in contesting" is a representative subset of "newcomers active on the air in general" (as I suspect it is) then the future of our hobby has a rather disturbing look.

What are we (all of us) going to do to reverse this ominous trend?

--
73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Homepage:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k0hb
Member:
ARRL http://www.arrl.org
SOC http://www.qsl.net/soc
VWOA http://www.vwoa.org
A-1 Operator Club http://www.arrl.org/awards/a1-op/
TCDXA http://www.tcdxa.org
MWA http://www.w0aa.org
TCFMC http://www.tcfmc.org
FISTS http://www.fists.org
LVDXA http://www.upstel.net/borken/lvdxa.htm
NCI http://www.nocode.org

# 2144. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 06:23:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132640624.163082.182950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124710

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > raped an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> > > > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > > > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the proposal.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Hello, Jim
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Well, does this mean an SSB signal with either reduced or full carrier so I
> > > > > > can use a 9 KHz audio bandwidth (better than a 4.5 KHz bandwidth).
> > > > > > Audiooooooo ... ;)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Of course, one could use the 4.5 KHz (nice audio) bandwidth with double
> > > > > > sideband, full carrier, but shift the carrier 90 degrees for interesting
> > > > > > effects too ...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Where's my sideswiper?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > > > Jim AA2QA
> > > > > > ps - doesn't 10 meters run from 25 MHz to 32 MHz? Or something like that?
> > > > >
> > > > > given the flaming I got over not knowing what some aphabet soup meant I
> > > > > wonder what will happen to Jim for blowing that one 10 meters BTW
> > > > > extends from 28MHZ to 29.7MHZ 12 meter as an aside runs from 24.890
> > > > > *24.990
> > > >
> > > > Stand-by for more "flaming", Mark.
> > > >
> > > > I know the concept may be a bit foreign to you, but Jim hampton
> > > > was being facetious.
> > >
> > > menaing we see again your doublt standard
> >
> > "Menaing" that we see that you are ill-prepared mto discuss radio
> > policy issues and that you have no understanding of what's going on

> > around you.
>
> nope meaning you are bent on flaming for anything I type at all

Nope...MEANING that you are ill-prepared to duscuss radio policy issues and that you have no understanding of what's going on around you.

> you are a fraud your bigest fraud is presenting yourself as human at
> all

The "fraud" here is the drafted gay pagan Army Chemical Corps colonel who doesn't know that freebanders operate from 25 to 32Mhz.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2145. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 06:33:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132641180.579117.179940@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124711

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > > > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > > > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > > > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > > > > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
> cut
> the below is from stevie
> Or is this just another "I Sure Hope My Family Won't Ever See How
> I REALLY Behave" redirects?
> > > > >
> > > > > You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.
> > > > >
> > > > So I guess THIS is the "direct answer" to the "direct question"...
> > > > >
> > > > Why am I not surprised...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > Whatever made me think you'd behave yourself?
> >
> > Two things come to mind:
> >
> > (1) If you were REALLY that concerned, you would have done a
> > "Markie" and changed the subject line.
>
> and what did I have to do with this attack thread of yours

What attack thread?

I asked Brian a direct question.

He accuses me of "misbehaving", calling others names, etc, when
it's C L E A R L Y evident that he does this to people who actually go

out of their way to treat HIM with some dignity and respect.

> > This now makes the FIFTH
> > response with your name and call in it. Obviously it's NOT that big an
> > issue for you.
>
> but he doesn't like it you know you choose to do it

No...HE chose to NOT change the subject line yet feigns anguish at
it's content

> > (2) What MISbehave? Did I call you a bad name? Did I threaten
> > you?
>
> stop lyingso badly you sack of ####

What "lying", Markie?

I told him I'd call him buy his given name. I am

UNLIKE you, who calls people a "sack of ####", I ahve NOT called
him ANYthing like that.

> > No. I asked you a question that the HONEST answer to is going
> > to be embarrassing to you. THAT'S too bad, but it seems to be YOUR
> > norm here, too! You make all of these insulting insinuations of your
> > own, yet seem to think that it's all one sided.
> >
> > So....the question STILL stands (as do a dozen others which you've
> > never anwered, but I am hopeful...) What is YOUR excuse for using
> > diminutives towards others that DON'T use them towards you?
>
> none needed no exucse is needed to responf to insulting language with
> insulting langage

"excuse" "respond" "language"

What insulting language?

> no one promise dyou you youd be called stevie if youcontinue to act
> like a spolied brat

You're the spoiled brat, Markie.

> why do you expect answers to question when you will not answer any
> yourself

I have.

> for 7 years the question has stood before you "what has anyone sexual
> orienetaion got to do with radio?"

And for the seventh year running...If you don't want it discussed,
don't keep bringing it back up.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2146. A Direct Question for Brian P Burke, N0IMD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 06:35:03 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132641303.017405.190080@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124712

```
.
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
> > > cut
> > > the below is from stevie
> > > Or is this just another "I Sure Hope My Family Won't Ever See How
> > > I REALLY Behave" redirects?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So I guess THIS is the "direct answer" to the "direct question"...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Why am I not surprised...?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Whatever made me think you'd behave yourself?
> > > >
> > > Two things come to mind:
> > >
> > > (1) If you were REALLY that concerned, you would have done a
> > > "Markie" and changed the subject line.
> >
> > and what did I have to do with this attack thread of yours
>
> What attack thread?
>
> I asked Brian a direct question.
```

>
> He accuses me of "misbehaving", calling others names, etc, when
> it's C L E A R L Y evident that he does this to people who actually go
> out of their way to treat HIM with some dignity and respect.
>
> > > This now makes the FIFTH
> > > response with your name and call in it. Obviously it's NOT that big an
> > > issue for you.
> >
> > but he doesn't like it you know you choose to do it
>
> No...HE chose to NOT change the subject line yet feigns anguish at
> it's content
>
> > > (2) What MISbehave? Did I call you a bad name? Did I threaten
> > > you?
> >
> > stop lyingso badly you sack of ####
>
> What "lying", Markie?
>
> I told him I'd call him buy his given name. I am
>
> UNLIKE you, who calls people a "sack of ####", I ahve NOT called
> him ANYthing like that.
>
> > > No. I asked you a question that the HONEST answer to is going
> > > to be embarrassing to you. THAT'S too bad, but it seems to be YOUR
> > > norm here, too! You make all of these insulting insinuations of your
> > > own, yet seem to think that it's all one sided.
> > >
> > > So....the question STILL stands (as do a dozen others which you've
> > > never anwered, but I am hopeful...) What is YOUR excuse for using
> > > diminutives towards others that DON'T use them towards you?
> >
> > none needed no exucse is needed to responf to insulting language with
> > insulting langage
>
> "excuse" "respond" "language"
>
> What insulting language?
>
> > no one promise dyou you youd be called stevie if youcontinue to act
> > like a spolied brat
>
> You're the spoiled brat, Markie.
>
> > why do you expect answers to question when you will not answer any
> > yourself
>

> I have.
>
> > for 7 years the question has stood before you "what has anyone sexual
> > orienetaion got to do with radio?"
>
> And for the seventh year running...If you don't want it discussed,
> don't keep bringing it back up.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2147. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 06:46:47 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132642007.417928.220680@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124713

he Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (as amended Oct. 3, 1996)

Section 1030. Fraud and related activity in connection with computers

(a) Whoever--

(1) having knowingly accessed a computer without authorization or exceeding authorized access, and by means of such conduct having obtained information that has been determined by the United States Government pursuant to an Executive order or statute to require protection against unauthorized disclosure for reasons of national defense or foreign relations, or any restricted data, as defined in paragraph y of section 11 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, with reason to believe that such information so obtained could be used to the injury of the United States, or to the advantage of any foreign nation, willfully communicates, delivers, transmits, or causes to be communicated, delivered, or transmitted, or attempts to communicate, deliver, transmit or cause to be communicated, delivered, or transmitted the same to any person not entitled to receive it, or willfully retains the same and fails to deliver it to the officer or employee of the United States entitled to receive it;

(2) intentionally accesses a computer without authorization or exceeds authorized access, and thereby obtains--

(A) information contained in a financial record of a financial institution, or of a card issuer as defined in section 1602(n) of title 15, or contained in a file of a consumer reporting agency on a consumer, as such terms are defined in the Fair Credit Reporting Act (15 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.);

(B) information from any department or agency of the United States; or

(C) information from any protected computer if the conduct involved an interstate or foreign communication;

(3) intentionally, without authorization to access any nonpublic computer of a department or agency of the United States, accesses such a computer of that department or agency that is exclusively for the use of the Government of the United States or, in the case of a computer not exclusively for such use, is used by or for the Government of the United States and such conduct affects that use by or for the Government of the United States;

(4) knowingly and with intent to defraud, accesses a protected computer without authorization, or exceeds authorized access, and by means of such conduct furthers the intended fraud and obtains anything of value,

unless the object of the fraud and the thing obtained consists only of the use of the computer and the value of such use is not more than $5,000 in any 1-year period;

(5)

(A) knowingly causes the transmission of a program, information, code, or command, and as a result of such conduct, intentionally causes damage without authorization, to a protected computer;

(B) intentionally accesses a protected computer without authorization, and as a result of such conduct, recklessly causes damage; or

(C) intentionally accesses a protected computer without authorization, and as a result of such conduct, causes damage;

(6) knowingly and with intent to defraud traffics (as defined in section 1029) in any password or similar information through which a computer may be accessed without authorization, if--

(A) such trafficking affects interstate or foreign commerce; or

(B) such computer is used by or for the Government of the United States;

(7) with intent to extort from any person, firm, association, educational institution, financial institution, government entity, or other legal entity, any money or other thing of value, transmits in interstate or foreign commerce any communication containing any threat to cause damage to a protected computer;

shall be punished as provided in subsection (c) of this section.

(b) Whoever attempts to commit an offense under subsection (a) of this section shall be punished as provided in subsection (c) of this section.

(c) The punishment for an offense under subsection (a) or (b) of this section is--

(1)

(A) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than ten years, or both, in the case of an offense under subsection (a)(1) of this section which does not occur after a conviction for another offense under this section, or an attempt to commit an offense punishable under this subparagraph; and

(B) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than twenty years, or both, in the case of an offense under subsection (a)(1) of this section which occurs after a conviction for another offense under this section, or an attempt to commit an offense punishable under this subparagraph; and

(2)

(A) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than one year, or both, in the case of an offense under subsection (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(5)(C), or (a)(6) of this section which does not occur after a conviction for another offense under this section, or an attempt to commit an offense punishable under this subparagraph; and

(B) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than 5 years, or both, in the case of an offense under subsection (a)(2), if--

(i) the offense was committed for purposes of commercial advantage or private financial gain;

(ii) the offense was committed in furtherance of any criminal or tortious act in violation of the Constitution or laws of the United States or of any State; or

(iii) the value of the information obtained exceeds $5,000;

(C) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than ten years, or both, in the case of an offense under subsection (a)(2), (a)(3) or (a)(6) of this section which occurs after a conviction for another offense under this section, or an attempt to commit an offense punishable under this subparagraph; and

(3)

(A) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than five years, or both, in the case of an offense under subsection (a)(4), (a)(5)(A), (a)(5)(B), or (a)(7) of this section which does not occur after a conviction for another offense under this section, or an attempt to commit an offense punishable under this subparagraph; and

(B) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than ten years, or both, in the case of an offense under subsection (a)(4), (a)(5)(A), (a)(5)(B), (a)(5)(C), or (a)(7)of this section which occurs after a conviction for another offense under this section, or an attempt to commit an offense punishable under this subparagraph; and

[former paragraph (4) stricken effective Oct. 11, 1996].

(d) The United States Secret Service shall, in addition to any other agency having such authority, have the authority to investigate offenses under subsections (a)(2)(A), (a)(2)(B), (a)(3), (a)(4), (a)(5), and (a)(6) of this section. Such authority of the United States Secret Service shall be exercised in accordance with an agreement which shall be entered into by the Secretary of the Treasury and the Attorney General.

(e) As used in this section--

(1) the term "computer" means an electronic, magnetic, optical, electrochemical, or other high speed data processing device performing logical, arithmetic, or storage functions, and includes any data storage facility or communications facility directly related to or operating in conjunction with such device, but such term does not

include an automated typewriter or typesetter, a portable hand held calculator, or other similar device;

(2) the term "protected computer" means a computer--

(A) exclusively for the use of a financial institution or the United States Government, or, in the case of a computer not exclusively for such use, used by or for a financial institution or the United States Government and the conduct constituting the offense affects that use by or for the financial institution or the Government; or

(B) which is used in interstate or foreign commerce or communication;

(3) the term "State" includes the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and any other commonwealth, possession or territory of the United States;

(4) the term "financial institution" means--

(A) an institution with deposits insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation;

(B) the Federal Reserve or a member of the Federal Reserve including any Federal Reserve Bank;

(C) a credit union with accounts insured by the National Credit Union Administration;

(D) a member of the Federal home loan bank system and any home loan bank;

(E) any institution of the Farm Credit System under the Farm Credit Act of 1971;

(F) a broker-dealer registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934;

(G) the Securities Investor Protection Corporation;

(H) a branch or agency of a foreign bank (as such terms are defined in paragraphs (1) and (3) of section 1(b) of the International Banking Act of 1978); and

(I) an organization operating under section 25 or section 25(a) of the Federal Reserve Act. (5) the term "financial record" means information derived from any record held by a financial institution pertaining to a customer's relationship with the financial institution;

(6) the term "exceeds authorized access" means to access a computer with authorization and to use such access to obtain or alter information in the computer that the accesser is not entitled so to obtain or alter;

(7) the term "department of the United States" means the legislative or judicial branch of the Government or one of the executive departments enumerated in section 101 of title 5; and

(8) the term "damage" means any impairment to the integrity or availability of data, a program, a system, or information, that--

(A) causes loss aggregating at least $5,000 in value during any 1-year period to one or more individuals;

(B) modifies or impairs, or potentially modifies or impairs, the medical examination, diagnosis, treatment, or care of one or more individuals;

(C) causes physical injury to any person; or

(D) threatens public health or safety; and

(9) the term "government entity" includes the Government of the United States, any State or political subdivision of the United States, any foreign country, and any state, province, municipality, or other political subdivision of a foreign country.

(f) This section does not prohibit any lawfully authorized investigative, protective, or intelligence activity of a law enforcement agency of the United States, a State, or a political subdivision of a State, or of an intelligence agency of the United States.

(g) Any person who suffers damage or loss by reason of a violation of the section, may maintain a civil action against the violator to obtain compensatory damages and injunctive relief or other equitable relief. Damages for violations involving damage as defined in subsection (e)(8)(A) are limited to economic damages. No action may be brought under this subsection unless such action is begun within 2 years of the date of the act complained of or the date of the discovery of the damage.

(h) The Attorney General and the Secretary of the Treasury shall report to the Congress annually, during the first 3 years following the date of the enactment of this subsection, concerning investigations and prosecutions under section 1030(a)(5) of title 18, United States Code.

## 2148. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 06:53:20 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132642400.758188.280140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124714

computer trespasser"--

(A) means a person who accesses a protected computer without authorization and thus has no reasonable expectation of privacy in any communication transmitted to, through, or from the protected computer; and

(B) does not include a person known by the owner or operator of the protected computer to have an existing contractual relationship with the owner or operator of the protected computer for access to all or part of the protected computer.

# 2149. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 06:57:44 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132642664.918733.66220@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124715

>A) means a person who accesses a protected computer without
>authorization and thus has no reasonable expectation of privacy in any
>communication transmitted to, through, or from the protected computer;
>and

2) the term "protected computer" means a computer--

(A) exclusively for the use of a financial institution or the United States Government, or, in the case of a computer not exclusively for such use, used by or for a financial institution or the United States Government and the conduct constituting the offense affects that use by or for the financial institution or the Government; or

(B) which is used in interstate or foreign commerce or communication, including a computer located outside the United States that is used in a manner that affects interstate or foreign commerce or communication of the United States;

# 2150. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 07:12:01 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dlugc1$hhs$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 124716

"6H4Ballast" <bonobochimp789@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
> That's fine by me as just 20% of hams who use HF
> also use CW on a regular basis.

"20% of hams", or "20% of hams who responded to a particular survey"?

Now if you ran that same survey by a group of QRP homebrewers, the result
would be closer to 100%.

Statistics is a powerful tool only if care is taken in how the sample
population is chosen. But remember, the results only apply to that *sample*,
not an *entire* population (unless sample = entire, which is quite rare).

73, Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

# 2151. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 07:18:07 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <RJ-dnXQOB6BfJR_enZ2dnUVZ_sidnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124709

N9OGL wrote:
>>Note: The author of this message requested that it not be archived. This message will be removed from Groups in 6 days >(Nov 28, 9:32 pm).
>
>
> That's ok, I'm reporting you to the FBI for hacking my website, most
> people don't access the pictures that way, only people who hack. I
> noticed from above your now trying to cover your trail by not allowing
> your post to be archieved, that's ok, I'll make sure the FBI gets a
> hold of your post before they are removed and I'll cut and paste them
> just in case.
>
> Todd
>

The FBI should get a good laugh out of that. He didnt' hack your site toddieboy, he didn't have to, obviously you left it wide open.

# 2152. Re: Attn: Woger, Buzz, Polly, Stevie, YZ, OGL, 'Old & New' Friend(s) and ALL da-rest of ya RF CLOWNS.... (OT ?? ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 08:07:59 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132646879.203166.189870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.stories.gay

HELLO UNCLE WILLIE:

WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE MY FUNNY UNCLE???

WANNA MEAT MY MAN-PUSS????

Uncle Willie wrote:
> You are all hereby invited to Washingtoon DC
> to participate in and comment for this historic event . . . . . .
>
> http://www.salon.com/news/feature/2005/11/11/porn_hearing/index_np.html
>
> "Senator Sam Brownback to head a Congressional panel to
> determine if pornography causes Americans to masturbate too much"
>
> (....perhaps K1MAN can be the Congressional Panel 'on-the-scene'
> Reporter and keep us all informed of the proceedings
> via the recorded AARA bulletins ?)

## 2153. Re: Season Specials

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 08:12:36 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132647156.333527.184770@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.nl.gaycafe

Psychiatrist-To-Hams wrote:
> New Convenient Office Hours
>
> New treatments available for the Loquacious Ham Syndrome.
>
> Professional discounts.
>
>
>
> Dr Hambone
> Office hours by appointment only

I'd like to suck your hambone,doc!

## 2154. moparholic@hotmail.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 08:19:20 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132647560.183915.8550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

the medina gay CB radio club is looking for a few good men. usmc a
plus!

moparholic@hotmail.com

# 2155. No Straight Up Answers From You-Know-Who With The Zero Area Callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 09:03:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132650191.045549.27990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124720

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > > We'll see how long you last this time.
> > >
> > > No...
> > >
> > > We'll see how long YOU last, Brian.
> >
> > we await your starting
>
> I'm not sure if Steve actually started, but if he did, he didn't last
> 24 hours before he started yet another new thread posting my name and
> callsign and demanding answers.

Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....

I don't remember EVER saying I wouldn't mention your name nor ask
you questions, including one's that might embarrass you.

That's assinine, Brian. Are you embarrassed of your own name?

Are you not willing to PUT your REAL name behind your words?

I DID say I'd take a more civil tone with you inexchange for the
same consideration.

Apparently the offer fell on deaf ears and unwilling flesh.

> Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
> "an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?

That was Markie...Not you.

So...Are you not up to the one-on-one civility thingy, Brian?

> What a jerk.

Yes, you're sure shaping up that way, despite your assertions to
the contrary.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2156. N8WWM@arrl.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 11:00:36 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051122060036.676$vw_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

http://n8wwm.4t.com <---- dogie the felon coward

N8WWM@arrl.net

# 2157. Re: A Direct Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 11:38:00 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132659479.961214.248290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124722

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....
> > > > >
> > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > > > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> > > > > >
> > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
> > > > > >
> > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > There's nothing to "work with" with Jim.
> > > > > > > > He's already established a track record of NOT calling people by diminutives.
> > > > > > > > He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?
> > > > > >
> > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > Like I said, we'll start with you and when you've shown improvement for
> > > > > > some period of time, we can move on to Jim. Jim may take only a minute
> > > > > > or two, but I'm trying to be inclusive here.
> > > > >
> > > > > You keep suggesting that there's something to "move on to", Brian.
> > > > > I want to know what it is you think you have to "move on to" vis-a-vis
> > > > > conducting yourself to YOUR standards in talking to/about Jim Miccolis.
> > > > >
> > > > > What's YOUR excuse for using diminutive language towards Jim
> > > > > Miccolis when he's NEVER done it to you...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > Steve, you're blowing it. I prefer to keep my name and callsign off of
> > > > the web. As you well know, my call is convertible to my address, and I
> > > > don't want that plastered on the web, either.
> > >
> > > Then you shouldn't be here. Or do like Markie and get a PO box
> > > or one of those surrogate mail delivery services.

> > >
> > > Or is this just another "I Sure Hope My Family Won't Ever See How
> > > I REALLY Behave" redirects?
> > >
> > > > You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.
> > >
> > > So I guess THIS is the "direct answer" to the "direct question"...
> > >
> > > Why am I not surprised...?!?!
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Whatever made me think you'd behave yourself?
>
> Two things come to mind:
>
> (1) If you were REALLY that concerned, you would have done a
> "Markie" and changed the subject line. This now makes the FIFTH
> response with your name and call in it. Obviously it's NOT that big an
> issue for you.

Steve, I get to decide what is an issue for me, and I decided a long time ago.

It was also explained to you a long time ago. Yet you ignore my wishes because you're a jerk.

While I've got your attention, why did you change Mark's attribution?

## 2158. A Direct Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 11:48:31 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132660111.697865.253220@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124723

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ and HHC back and forth....Starting with me.....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
> > cut
> > the below is from stevie
> > Or is this just another "I Sure Hope My Family Won't Ever See How
> > I REALLY Behave" redirects?
> > > > > > You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So I guess THIS is the "direct answer" to the "direct question"...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why am I not surprised...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Whatever made me think you'd behave yourself?
> > > >
> > > > Two things come to mind:
> > > >
> > > > (1) If you were REALLY that concerned, you would have done a
> > > > "Markie" and changed the subject line.
> > >
> > > and what did I have to do with this attack thread of yours
> >
> > What attack thread?

This new thread.

> I asked Brian a direct question.

You could have simply asked a question. Instead you start a new thread
with name and callsign.

> He accuses me of "misbehaving", calling others names, etc,

You do. Constantly.

when
> it's C L E A R L Y evident that he does this to people who actually go
> out of their way to treat HIM with some dignity and respect.

I even call you, "Steve," which few others here will. And for years
you have not returned that common courtesy.

> I told him I'd call him buy his given name.

Steve, you are so generous. You should be ashamed.

# 2159. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 11:59:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132660776.268930.287800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124724

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > > > The purpose of the whole drill was to get you to find out from
> > > > > uncontestable sources that my information was accurate.
> > > >
> > > > No need. Given your propensity for lying, it's a safe bet that you
> > > > were wrong again.
> > >
> > > Bad logic, Brian.
> > >
> > > Why would I direct you to a source that was uncorruptable?
> > >
> > > If I HAD been 'wrong', I would have given you eternal bragging
> > > right, now wouldn't I...?!?!
> >
> > Steve, I guess that's the main difference between you and me. I need
> > no bragging rights.
>
> Sure you do.

No, I don't.

> Otherwise why manufacture the Somalia tale?

What tale?

> > > > Hans'
> > > > > presenting of the order, howevr well intentioned, harpooned that.
> > > > >
> > > > > None-the-less, Frankie's rant was shot all to be-jeebers.
> > > >
> > > > Only Hans was suckered into playing your "drill," harpoon and all.
> > > > Reminds me of the GNR episode in "The Dead Pool."
> > >
> > > Hans wasn't "suckered" into anything.
> > >
> > > His information was dead on accurate.
> >
> > "Back to the Future" accurate.
> >
> > Unfortunately, you don't have a time machine.

>
> I don't need one.

Apparently you do.

> YOU have a phone and Internet access. Drop a dime.

It's not my job to prove every tall tale of yours.

> > > And you now have the resources with which to finish the job,
> > > Brian...It's just up to you whether you're going to do it or not...
> > >
> > > You can do it, which will only serve to verify what I've been
> > > saying all along...GOD FORBID it would deprive you of the opportunities
> > > to call me a liar.
> > >
> > > Or you can NOT do it, and then waffle along with all sorts of
> > > "It's not my job" or "I bet you're lying anyway" excuses and the rant
> > > wars go on.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > It's not my job to prove you right.
>
> But you demand answers and "proof".

Only because you have a reputation for not speaking the truth.

> When I provide verifiable resources you "pooh-pooh" it away with
> these lame "...it's not my job..." excuses.
>
> You asked for proof, I provided answers and resources to verify
> those answers.

Your DD Form 214 is definitive proof that you served. We'll start with
that. Scan it, post it.

> > Hans tried, bless his heart.
>
> Yes, he did...And the basic order number is the same. Follow-up on
> it. Take a chance.

It's your reputation.

> > But you want your internet arguments to go on and on and on. All you
> > had to do was give up some information about your claims of seven
> > hostile actions five years ago, but no. Now after years of bad
> > information about everything else, you want someone else to prove you
> > right about uniform issue?
>
> Nope.

Yep.

> You asked for proof. I provided resources of uncorruptable
> verification of my assertions.
>
> You refuse to follow the Yellow Brick Road, so don't complain
> about not getting to Oz.

You believe that you're in Oz?

> > Good luck.
>
> For what? Poking holes in your lame excuse, Brian? I didn't need
> luck for that...You provided all the footwork.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Round 2,017. Still no evidence that Steve even served.

## 2160. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 12:08:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132661306.464452.320660@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124725

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat 19 Nov 2005 05:54
>
> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >> > Meanwhile, Dudly is busy, busy kissing Hans' ass for that
> >> > navel regulation of 2005. Dudly doesn't realize that the
> >> > effective-date regulation is only for NOW, not 13 to 31
> >> > years past.
> >>
> >> It doesn't matter when the CURRENT regulation was updated.
>
> Right...it will always apply to Dudly's alleged time (13 to 31
> years ago) if it supports Dudly's claims.

Not.

> >> A quick review of the order suffix indicates it's a much-ammended
> >> order.
>
> So...it "applies" and thus "supports" Dudly even if it didn't.

Not.

> >> A five minute phone call could verify my original statements.
>
> Phone call to whom? :-)

The National Command Authority.

> >> Unfortunately you are incapable of that simple task.
>
> Dialling fingers are busy poking holes in Dudly's "arguments." :-)

Has Steve ever been able to provide a straight-forward answer on
anything?

> >> Or unwilling...In short, a COWARD.
>
> SOP for Dudly...when unwilling to stick to an "argument" he
> resorts to name-calling.

That was the "old" Steve. He's a new man now.

> >Steve would rather have a tantrum that dig out his own 1974 copy of the
> >regulation.

> 
> If and only if he had one...:-)
> 
> Imposters rarely have "evidence" at hand to "support" them. They
> love to snow-job others into thinking they were actaully there.
> Standard practice of con artists and used car salesmen.
> 
> When unable to verify his supposed existance, he tries the
> "outraged" ploy. Neat misdirection from the thread operating
> on several levels:
> 
> 1. It demonstrates his "toughness", as if he really was what
> he say.
> 
> 2. It obscures the original thread in order to garner emotional
> support from the few like-thinkers around.
> 
> 3. It misdirects the thread in an attempt to get his challengers
> on the defensive; further back-and-forth now concerns his
> puerile insults.
> 
> >Yet Hans, long retired, has a >current< copy of the uniform reg???
> >
> >Sumptin wrong there.
> 
> Captain Code works in mysterious ways...
> 
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

I sure wish I had the 2005 Accounting and Finance regulations in-place
when I served.

## 2161. A Direct Question for Brian P Burke, N0IMD.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 12:16:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132661782.464213.305010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124726

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....
> > > > > >
> > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > > > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > > > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > > > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > > > > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > > > > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > > > There's nothing to "work with" with Jim.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > He's already established a track record of NOT calling people by
diminutives.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Like I said, we'll start with you and when you've shown improvement for
> > > > > > > > > > > > > some period of time, we can move on to Jim. Jim may take only a minute
> > > > > > > > > > > > > or two, but I'm trying to be inclusive here.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > You keep suggesting that there's something to "move on to", Brian.
> > > > > > > > > I want to know what it is you think you have to "move on to" vis-a-vis
> > > > > > > > > conducting yourself to YOUR standards in talking to/about Jim Miccolis.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > What's YOUR excuse for using diminutive language towards Jim
> > > > > > > > > Miccolis when he's NEVER done it to you...?!?!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Steve, you're blowing it. I prefer to keep my name and callsign off of
> > > > > > > > the web. As you well know, my call is convertible to my address, and I
> > > > > > > > don't want that plastered on the web, either.
> > > > > > >

> > > > Then you shouldn't be here. Or do like Markie and get a PO box
> > > > or one of those surrogate mail delivery services.
> > > >
> > > > Or is this just another "I Sure Hope My Family Won't Ever See How
> > > > I REALLY Behave" redirects?
> > > >
> > > > > You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.
> > > >
> > > > So I guess THIS is the "direct answer" to the "direct question"...
> > > >
> > > > Why am I not surprised...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > Whatever made me think you'd behave yourself?
> >
> > Two things come to mind:
> >
> > (1) If you were REALLY that concerned, you would have done a
> > "Markie" and changed the subject line. This now makes the FIFTH
> > response with your name and call in it. Obviously it's NOT that big an
> > issue for you.
>
> Steve, I get to decide what is an issue for me, and I decided a long
> time ago.

What did you decide a long time ago?

> It was also explained to you a long time ago. Yet you ignore my wishes
> because you're a jerk.

I ignore your wishes because you ignore everyone elses, Brian.

You want what you want, and unless what someone else wants is only
that, too bad.

> While I've got your attention, why did you change Mark's attribution?

For the same reason you refuse to answer my question,
Brian...Because I want to.

Now...if sandlot time is over, do we get an answer to the pending
question about YOUR lack of civility towards those who don't treat you
that way...?!?!

You seem to be making a lot of noise about my alleged incivility,
Brian, but there's a lot longer history for you to account for.

Or do we attribute that to the NCTA Double Standard that you and
the Feeble Five claim doesn't exist...???

Steve, K4YZ

# 2162. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 12:16:58 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132661818.348155.306840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> > That's his right. But there's a lot of baggage to drag around with
> > claims of seven hostile actions and he can't mention the particulars of
> > one of them. Some of the baggage includes not even believing him about
> > clathing issue. Hi!
>
> The only "issue" you have at your disposal to play with is my
> refusal to discuss certain aspects of my military service. Everything
> else has been dispositioned with references to third party sources that
> can verify my claims.
>
> That you refuse to use them is your problem, not mine.

Steve, you are so unbelievable on so many different levels that we get
to decide what to believe and what to discard. And most of what you
utter in here is completely discardable.

> And "clathing"...?!?!
>
> More Mark Morgan phonetics?

True to form, you go after the really important stuff.

> > > Interesting that nonebody had come in with U.S. amateur radio is
> > > expressly forbidden to use any cryptographic means to obscure
> > > the meaning of communications. Not to worry. Hans will be
> > > along shortly to quote chapter and verse from Part 97...
> >
> > Extra Dick/W0EX, RIP, had a work-around to that rule. He went on for
> > months about how to thwart those pesky No-Code Technicians trying to
> > decode his Morse enCoded transmissions with a 'puter.
>
> It will be interesting to see if Markie jumps in and accuses you
> of "defiling" Dick.

I don't use the memory of W0EX in a joke.

> I just mentioned his old call was about to be eligible for
> reissue, and he (Col. Pagan) went on and on about "defiling" the
> dead...
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Incorrect. You made a joke about the 10th district calls, using Dick in a comparison. Bully for you.

# 2163. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 12:56:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OzEgf.68$Hk1.41@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124728

N9OGL wrote:
> he Computer Fraud and Abuse Act (as amended Oct. 3, 1996)
>
> Section 1030. Fraud and related activity in connection with computers
>
> (a) Whoever--
>
> (1) having knowingly accessed a computer without authorization or
> exceeding authorized access, and by means of such conduct having
> obtained information that has been determined by the United States
> Government pursuant to an Executive order or statute to require
> protection against unauthorized disclosure for reasons of national
> defense or foreign relations, or any restricted data, as defined in
> paragraph y of section 11 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, with reason
> to believe that such information so obtained could be used to the
> injury of the United States, or to the advantage of any foreign nation,
> willfully communicates, delivers, transmits, or causes to be...

<rest of content snipped less I be unable to stop laughing>

Todd, I can hardly wait for you to use any portion of the information
you posted which supposedly applies to the situation in which you find
yourself.

You are such a pathetic rube.

Dave K8MN

# 2164. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 12:57:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0BEgf.69$Hk1.17@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124729

N9OGL wrote:
> computer trespasser"--
>
> (A) means a person who accesses a protected computer without
> authorization and thus has no reasonable expectation of privacy in any
> communication transmitted to, through, or from the protected computer;
> and
>
> (B) does not include a person known by the owner or operator of the
> protected computer to have an existing contractual relationship with
> the owner or operator of the protected computer for access to all or
> part of the protected computer.

Now all you'd need is a protected computer...and to figure out how the
rest of the law you quoted applies to you.

Dave K8MN

# 2165. Re: Todd continues to violate CTI's terms of service

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 13:01:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SEEgf.71$Hk1.3@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124730

N9OGL wrote:
>> A) means a person who accesses a protected computer without
>> authorization and thus has no reasonable expectation of privacy in any
>> communication transmitted to, through, or from the protected computer;
>> and
>
> 2) the term "protected computer" means a computer--
>
> (A) exclusively for the use of a financial institution or the United
> States Government, or, in the case of a computer not exclusively for
> such use, used by or for a financial institution or the United States
> Government and the conduct constituting the offense affects that use by
> or for the financial institution or the Government; or

Your unprotected server is exclusively for the use of a financial
institution or belongs to the U.S. Government?

> (B) which is used in interstate or foreign commerce or communication,
> including a computer located outside the United States that is used in
> a manner that affects interstate or foreign commerce or communication
> of the United States;

Your unprotected server is used in interstate or foreign commerce?

What a rube!

Dave K8MN

# 2166. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 13:12:34 UTC
From: Vince Folcarelli <vfolcarelli@heyoutaliano.org>
Message-ID: <dlv5cf$454$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:8Lygf.2162$rM2.2110@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> What are we (all of us) going to do to reverse this ominous trend?
>

Sign them all up for the Legion. That way you will
have a bigger audience for your war stories Hans.

73 from Vince Folcarelli
Italian Ham Op Club
Little Italy

## 2167. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 13:26:03 UTC
From: Nick Markowitz <nick-markowitz@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <L%Egf.5084$%Z5.3992@trndny07>
Source record: 124732
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Thats why they call it Childrens Band!

And look whats happened to GMRS with the $59.99 GMRS/FRS 12 mile handie talkies which in very very small print say GMRS liscense required.

## 2168. Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 13:38:55 UTC
From: Doug W <wein38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcd1b6e.9988975257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 124733

On 21 Nov 2005 22:57:44 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
[flush]

Sigh. Being able to quote the law from a book is quite different than actually understanding what the law is saying. Reading comprehension is apparently not your forte'.

As to the mode of access: Those perfectly legitimate URIs are also available from several search engines. Are you going to accuse Microsoft and Inktome of hacking?

Here's a big, fat clue, Todd: Use any old text editior to create a text file named ".htaccess" and set its contents to

```
RewriteEngine on
RewriteCond %{REQUEST_URI} !.*index.php$ [NC]
RewriteCond %{HTTP_REFERER} !^http://12\.156\.123\.[0-9]+
RewriteRule .*$ - [NC,F]
```

After that, place the .htaccess file in your azbb subdirectory. If your Apache server has mod_rewrite enabled (and it probably does), people who use standard browsers will be blocked from directly accessing all files except index.php. To access any of your images, they will have to click on a link on your own web pages. Any other link, including one typed directly into a browser, will be considered to be a "hot link," and access will be denied.

This isn't perfect security, because it depends upon the http-referer header which is supplied by the visiting browser. There is software "out there" which will allow the http-referer header to be intentionally manipulated, but that's a topic for advanced security.

The code above -- or some variation of it -- will protect you against 99.99% of the people browsing the web, including web bots.

And you should have known about it all along.

# 2169. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 14:10:38 UTC
From: Anon <anon@anon.uz>
Message-ID: <dlva25$ou1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132631801.962539.114610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> My friend, I don't mind being lampooned, but please do me the favor of
> writing clearly, so I can understand all of your expression. After
> all, the notion behind newsgroups is swift and clear communications.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>

Well, Bob. Yours are anything BUT swift.

## 2170. Re: more stevie abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 15:12:12 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132672332.103273.80980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124735

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut
> > > Two things come to mind:
> > >
> > > (1) If you were REALLY that concerned, you would have done a
> > > "Markie" and changed the subject line. This now makes the FIFTH
> > > response with your name and call in it. Obviously it's NOT that big an
> > > issue for you.
> >
> > Steve, I get to decide what is an issue for me, and I decided a long
> > time ago.
>
> What did you decide a long time ago?

what he decided that you were a jerk for one thing

it is something you prove again daily

you are a jerk and a coward
>
> > It was also explained to you a long time ago. Yet you ignore my wishes
> > because you're a jerk.
>
> I ignore your wishes because you ignore everyone elses, Brian.

projecting again
>
> You want what you want, and unless what someone else wants is only
> that, too bad.

projecting yet again
>
> > While I've got your attention, why did you change Mark's attribution?
>
> For the same reason you refuse to answer my question,
> Brian...Because I want to.

meaning you are not into civlity and indedd that you advocate Rape by
your owns Standard
>
> Now...if sandlot time is over, do we get an answer to the pending
> question about YOUR lack of civility towards those who don't treat you
> that way...?!?!

what lack of civlity are you reffering to?

Jim often isless than civil indeed is down right insulting in his
style

so Brain and myself for that give it right to him.
You OTOH allege anyone needs to accounts to YOU why they choose a given
style
>
> You seem to be making a lot of noise about my alleged incivility,
> Brian, but there's a lot longer history for you to account for.

no aleeged about
>
> Or do we attribute that to the NCTA Double Standard that you and
> the Feeble Five claim doesn't exist...???

no double standard exists except by theProcoders esp folks like you
that have avoided the same question for 7 year running
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2171. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 15:18:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132672729.828301.104790@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124736

KØHB wrote:
> Just finished another annual running to the two-weekend Sweepstakes contest (one
> weekend Morse, one weekend phone).
cut

> The numbers below show the percentage of my QSO's which had a recieved "check"
> in the current and 10 previous years (i.e., this year I counted "95" thru "05",
> last year "94" through "04", etc.)
>
> (Tabular info best viewed in 'fixed width' font)
>
> Year CW% Phone% Combined%
>
> 2001 7.4% 24.0% 17.5%
> 2002 6.9 18.8 13.5
> 2003 7.0 14.8 10.9
> 2004 4.5 14.0 8.7
> 2005 4.0 14.0 9.9
>
> As I mentioned, it's no surprise that newcomer contesters are more likely to be
> on phone, but the really scary part is that the overall percentages (regardless
> of mode) in the "licensed-in-the-last-10-years" are dropping so dramatically,
> almost halved in just 5 years.
>
> If the trend of "newcomers active in contesting" is a representative subset of
> "newcomers active on the air in general" (as I suspect it is) then the future of
> our hobby has a rather disturbing look.

One I suspect you are wrong

few newcomers I know of and by the standard you are using I am one
still are that interested in contesting, personaly I looks at and tend
to chuckle I also hear the tales of the that try and get flamed for not
"doing it right" as if the flamers was born with this knowledge or
receieved it as a implant
>
> What are we (all of us) going to do to reverse this ominous trend?

inventing better contests might be a start
>
> --
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --

## 2172. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 15:19:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132672789.847159.108590@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124737

my computer will not allow me to make .htaccess

## 2173. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 15:30:44 UTC
From: jlwsecure-google@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132673444.588913.145300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124738
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Nick Markowitz wrote:
> Thats why they call it Childrens Band!
>
> And look whats happened to GMRS with the $59.99 GMRS/FRS 12 mile handie
> talkies which in very very small print say GMRS liscense required.

There are folks trying to make GMRS better.

The Personal Radio Association is attempting to become the ARRL of
GMRS.

http://www.popularwireless.com/pra.html

# 2174. Re: N8WWM@arrl.net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 15:36:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132673763.334746.61180@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Steveo wrote:
> http://n8wwm.4t.com <---- dogie the felon coward
>
> N8WWM@arrl.net

you are just geting the rsults of what you have sown

gues you can be a man and take it stevie

## 2175. more civility Stevie accuses Barin of Being Lord Voldemort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 15:43:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132674195.351482.188380@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124740

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > We'll see how long you last this time.
> > > > >
> > > > No...
> > > >
> > > > We'll see how long YOU last, Brian.
> > > >
> > > we await your starting
> > >
> > I'm not sure if Steve actually started, but if he did, he didn't last
> > 24 hours before he started yet another new thread posting my name and
> > callsign and demanding answers.
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....
>
> I don't remember EVER saying I wouldn't mention your name nor ask
> you questions, including one's that might embarrass you.
>
> That's assinine, Brian. Are you embarrassed of your own name?
>
> Are you not willing to PUT your REAL name behind your words?
>
> I DID say I'd take a more civil tone with you inexchange for the
> same consideration.

when were you going to start?
>
> Apparently the offer fell on deaf ears and unwilling flesh.

what offer?

to get something you want Stevie you have to offer something of value
to person you want something from

it is a point you constantly seem to overlook

makes it clear you are stupid but we all know that by now

>
> > Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
> > "an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?

>
> That was Markie...Not you.

BYS you should not be answering a a reply made to me

>
> So...Are you not up to the one-on-one civility thingy, Brian?

when civility is offered it is returned Brain and I are quite civil
even when we disagree

obviously you can't read or haven't bothered to read

>
> > What a jerk.
>
> Yes, you're sure shaping up that way, despite your assertions to
> the contrary.

projecting agian
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2176. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 15:44:27 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132674267.699015.37440@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124742

First off moron, I'm NOT going to shut my site down, nor am I'm going to be THREATEN by a bunch of pantsy ass license CB operators called ham radio operators, because they don't like what's on it. Secondly I don't get on a public message borard and tell the whole fucking world that this site isn't secure, nor does microsoft, or the other asholes you mentioned. The common practice is to email the server operator and tell him about it not get on a fucking newsgroup and show how the material can be accessed. dickhead!!!

# 2177. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 15:44:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132674268.523819.90580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124741

First off moron, I'm NOT going to shut my site down, nor am I'm going to be THREATEN by a bunch of pantsy ass license CB operators called ham radio operators, because they don't like what's on it. Secondly I don't get on a public message borard and tell the whole fucking world that this site isn't secure, nor does microsoft, or the other asholes you mentioned. The common practice is to email the server operator and tell him about it not get on a fucking newsgroup and show how the material can be accessed. dickhead!!!

## 2178. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 15:44:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132674269.030949.122930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124743

First off moron, I'm NOT going to shut my site down, nor am I'm going to be THREATEN by a bunch of pantsy ass license CB operators called ham radio operators, because they don't like what's on it. Secondly I don't get on a public message borard and tell the whole fucking world that this site isn't secure, nor does microsoft, or the other asholes you mentioned. The common practice is to email the server operator and tell him about it not get on a fucking newsgroup and show how the material can be accessed. dickhead!!!

## 2179. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 16:01:05 UTC
From: Doug W <wein38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3d891cd1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 124744

On 22 Nov 2005 07:19:49 -0800, N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> my computer will not allow me to make .htaccess

No, it's the other way around. You don't know how to do it. See
http://www.clagnut.com/blog/350/ for directions.

## 2180. Re: no truth from Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 16:02:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132671898.394031.226040@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124745

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > We'll see how long you last this time.
> > > > >
> > > > No...
> > > >
> > > > We'll see how long YOU last, Brian.
> > > >
> > > we await your starting
> > >
> > I'm not sure if Steve actually started, but if he did, he didn't last
> > 24 hours before he started yet another new thread posting my name and
> > callsign and demanding answers.
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....
>
> I don't remember EVER saying I wouldn't mention your name nor ask
> you questions, including one's that might embarrass you.
>
> That's assinine, Brian. Are you embarrassed of your own name?
>
> Are you not willing to PUT your REAL name behind your words?
>
> I DID say I'd take a more civil tone with you inexchange for the
> same consideration.
>
> Apparently the offer fell on deaf ears and unwilling flesh.

Stvie just feels he is specail entitled to consideration beyond other
men
>
> > Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
> > "an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?
>
> That was Markie...Not you.
>
> So...Are you not up to the one-on-one civility thingy, Brian?

you are not into civlity at all
>
> > What a jerk.

>
> Yes, you're sure shaping up that way, despite your assertions to
> the contrary.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2181. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 16:04:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132675482.077805.279350@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124746

NO DICKHEAD YOU CAN SAVE .HTACCESS WITH AN TEXT EDITOR I'VE TRIED SIX
TIME

## 2182. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 16:46:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DXHgf.132$Hk1.70@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124747

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> inventing better contests might be a start

I was more interested in activity in general, not just contests, but hey, that's a fair comment.

What would make a "better contest" for you, especially as it would relate to newcomers? What features of current contests interest you and what features annoy you? What would you add that would cause you to send in a log?

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2183. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 16:57:51 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dlvilc$8j7$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:dlrp6f$qkl$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> In article <dlmrou$q8k$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>,
>
> The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> >> Wasn't "Morse" a god in mythology? <innocent questing look>
>
> >Nah he was an Inspector in a TV show ;o)
>
> And if you listen carefully during the closing theme song, you'll hear
> the violin section play -- --- .-. ... . (MORSE) repeatedly.
>
> The first time I heard that I couldn't believe my ears.
>
> 73,
> Jeff KH6O
> --
> Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
> Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

I don't think I ever got to the end of the show, I always turned over or
went on the jolly old CB as I didn't really like the show. If it comes on in
the future ill have a listen.

Regards,
Graham

--
---
Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham

## 2184. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 17:00:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132678830.003836.36110@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124749

let me explain it so a fucking retard like you can understand first I followed the instructions on that site. Second there is NO .htaccess on this computer, NOWHERE. second if try to make a fucking .htaccess file in any text editor it fucking will not let you because it will not let you put htaccess behind a dot. all that fucking site does is tell you to set you httpd.config up, and that pretty much it

## 2185. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 17:01:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132678894.152538.138320@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124800

it be kind of hard I think since that site don't have any meta tags in
it

# 2186. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 17:03:14 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132678994.189344.177520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

All right anon. Let's talk writing. While I'm only an apprentice for the past 30 years, I've had successful writers and editors take me under their wing. Over the period, effort has been made at clear expression whle covering the nuances.

There are two stories I've covered in detail: KV4FZ and K1MAN. (For those unfamiliar with the former, put into NG search "When the Feds Fanned Out.)

Let's talk about the K1MAN story visible to me: 1).Glenn pioneers bulletins, 2) His bulletins are formally annointed as legal by the FCC, 3) For a time in the 80's he devotes himself to good work, 4) He demontrates himself to be a dynamo, writing, producing and implementing an interesting bulletin, 5) He does good work around the world, 5) He then gets involved in questionable foreign policy, 6) His behavior becomes increasingly erratic, 7) He sees conspiracies and agents everywhere, 8) He begins an unwarranted, smeer campaign against Riley Hollingsworth, who has given him the utmost leniency, 9) When Riley writes essentially acknowledging the legitimacy of his broadcasts but questioning some facets, Glenn rejects out of hand what any normal person would be thrilled with, 10) Glenn increasingly exhibits grandiosity, wandering writings going nowhere with a pompous tone, 11) At a time when he is required to exhibit the character necessary to be a ham, he essentially says he won't be regulated, 12) Concommitently with 11, he claims mastery in advocacy.

Perhaps some readers find the above burdensome, an audience which would not be interested in reading me. There are other readers who will find this story as facinating as I. Brian, K3VR surely does and his superlative material reflects this. Right here on ham radio we're witnessing a guy over a long period of time with huge potential self destruct, material fit for Shakespeare.

Now, interested readers, here's the latest exchange:

In a message dated 11/22/2005 10:42:12 AM Eastern Standard Time, K1MAN14275 writes:

To: W4ASX

From: K1MAN

Date: 22 November 2005

Bob,

What a "jerk!" You were "splattering from 14.275 all over SATERN on 14.268 preventing check ins," and after a very courteous request from ND8V to turn off your amp (you were talking with a New England station and coming into Maine 40 Db over 9 here), you QSYed to 14.313 so as to wipe out everyone up there! You were in clear violation of FCC rules by using more power than required to maintain your amateur radio communications, and you deserve a $22,000 NAL! You don't see ND8V breaking any FCC rules do you?

73 and GL de K1MAN
(tongue in cheek)

cc: W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
All U.S. Supreme Court justices

W4ASX Answers K1MAN

All right, just got off this great enjoyment called ham radio to answer you. First, am surprised at your treatment of a real problem in which you characterize me as a "jerk," because within the half hour you had saluted me by IM with hallowed words and "God Bless."

Yes, I was informed of the splatter on 14275 by Mike, ND8V, who is increasingly active with Saturn. And yes, as detailed ahead, it was admittedly unintentional, faulty operating on my part. Both Mike and I often hear each other's splatter. As usual, I told Mike I would move and did as you indicate to 14313. Your story ends there.

But it continued: My only transmission 14313 was: "Is this frequency occupied?" Someone answered, and I moved to 14280. Again Mike came on and said I was splattering on 14275, whereupon I cut my ALC.

At that change, the ham with whom I was talking reported a major cut in splatter. After the qso I went right back to Mike, a technical expert, and asked if the cut made a difference with him. Yes, he said, explaining how automatic limiting works. I learned something from this: That I've got to strike a careful balance, running full power with minimal ALC.

As to the power necessary to conduct a qso, you have to be in the shoes ot the station operator to know what is required, a subjective call. I try with a passion to avoid interfering with anyone. And you know this. You also know, as does Riley, that I'm ammenable to regulation.

Glenn, in view of our exchange in which I've voiced no pleasure in seeing you devolve, hoping for a turnaround, and your biblical quotations with "God Blesses," this email to Riley speaks with a second voice.

I don't mind fielding whatever you have to say; but if you are to exhibit the integrity necessary to hold a license, play no games (with phony predicates and innuendoes) and speak with one honest voice. For, to me, your devious, misleading tone (not your opinions) renders you unfit for licensing as a ham radio operator. This is not to attack you

but on careful consideration over a long period of your mental deterioration as evidenced by your operating practices on ham radio.

I don't mind your copying Riley; however had you not done so, this email would never have been written. I ask Riley to make this part of the K1MAN record

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

In a message dated 11/22/2005 10:42:12 AM Eastern Standard Time, K1MAN14275 writes:

To: W4ASX

From: K1MAN

Date: 22 November 2005

Bob,

What a "jerk!" You were "splattering from 14.275 all over SATERN on 14.268 preventing check ins," and after a very courteous request from ND8V to turn off your amp (you were talking with a New England station and coming into Maine 40 Db over 9 here), you QSYed to 14.313 so as to wipe out everyone up there! You were in clear violation of FCC rules by using more power than required to maintain your amateur radio communications, and you deserve a $22,000 NAL! You don't see ND8V breaking any FCC rules do you?

73 and GL de K1MAN
(tongue in cheek)

cc: W. Riley Hollingsworth, Esq.
All U.S. Supreme Court justices

# 2187. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 17:03:27 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dlvivs$8r5$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124801
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1132636204.763790.37080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Jeffrey Herman wrote:
> > >
> > >>In article <dlmrou$q8k$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>,
> > >>The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>> Wasn't "Morse" a god in mythology? <innocent questing look>
> > >>
> > >>>Nah he was an Inspector in a TV show ;o)
> > >>
> > >>And if you listen carefully during the closing theme song, you'll hear
> > >>the violin section play -- --- .-. ... . (MORSE) repeatedly.
> > >
> > >
> > > how does violin section do morse
> >
> > You never head the dit dit dit dah on violins from Beethoven's fifth?
> no I don't hear it and I am quite famiar with the piece

I was going to say, Morse was about in the 1830's but I didn't think
Beethoven would have been into it especially as he died in 1827. Still,
stranger things have happened...

Regards,
Graham

--
---
Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham

# 2188. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 17:03:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132679023.941930.48740@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124803

You aren't embarrassed about those images, are you? Or maybe it's the copyright issue that bothers you. After all, you *did* rip those images off from other people, and the originator of such images automatically has a copyright under both U.S. and international law.

those pictures are strung all over the internet via webpages, newsgroups and Peer to peer networks

# 2189. No Straight Up Answers From You-Know-Who With The Nine Area Callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 17:06:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132679171.396801.64400@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124804

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > We'll see how long you last this time.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > We'll see how long YOU last, Brian.
> > > > >
> > > > > we await your starting
> > > >
> > > I'm not sure if Steve actually started, but if he did, he didn't last
> > > 24 hours before he started yet another new thread posting my name and
> > > callsign and demanding answers.
> >
> > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....
> >
> > I don't remember EVER saying I wouldn't mention your name nor ask
> > you questions, including one's that might embarrass you.
> >
> > That's assinine, Brian. Are you embarrassed of your own name?
> >
> > Are you not willing to PUT your REAL name behind your words?
> >
> > I DID say I'd take a more civil tone with you inexchange for the
> > same consideration.
> >
> > Apparently the offer fell on deaf ears and unwilling flesh.
>
> Stvie just feels he is specail entitled to consideration beyond other
> men

"Stevie"

Nope. But if you expect me to hold to certain rules and
standards, then you'd best be holding to them yourself.

Brian has an 8 year history of his own of verbally abusing people
who do not so treat him, specifically the absuses towards Dave and Jim
that he refuses to address.

You and he both can whine all you want to about the alleged victimization you attribute to me, but you both have yourselves to blame for it.

I'm holding the line so far on Brian but I'll hit the Lottery before you ever manage to get your act together.

> > > Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
> > > "an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?
> >
> > That was Markie...Not you.
> >
> > So...Are you not up to the one-on-one civility thingy, Brian?
>
> you are not into civlity at all

And you've just exemplified why you deserve the title "liar".

Don't want anymore "Markie" and references to your misdeeds in claiming to be a Colonel, etc etc etc? Apologize. Say you'll stop the "Stevie" and withdraw your allegations of "stalking", "lying", "harrassment" etc etc etc and we'll be on the road to recovery.

Can't bring yourself to do it? Then stop whining about the way you get treated because you'll be getting exactly what you deserve.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2190. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 17:20:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132680019.446853.107850@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124806

>There is no such thing as a 'licensed cb operator' toddieboy. CB doesn't
>require a license.

WRONG, Class A CB's (AKA General Mobile Radio) require a license (see
part 95)

# 2191. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 18:08:01 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ucSdnTdhb8COzB7eRVn-vw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124805

N9OGL wrote:
> First off moron, I'm NOT going to shut my site down, nor am I'm going
> to be THREATEN by a bunch of pantsy ass license CB operators called ham
> radio operators, because they don't like what's on it.

There is no such thing as a 'licensed cb operator' toddieboy. CB doesn't
require a license.

> Secondly I don't
> get on a public message borard and tell the whole fucking world that
> this site isn't secure, nor does microsoft, or the other asholes you
> mentioned. The common practice is to email the server operator and tell
> him about it not get on a fucking newsgroup and show how the material
> can be accessed. dickhead!!!

Poor toddieboy.
>

## 2192. more evasion for stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 18:10:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132683031.919889.292790@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124807

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
cut

> > > That's assinine, Brian. Are you embarrassed of your own name?
> > >
> > > Are you not willing to PUT your REAL name behind your words?
> > >
> > > I DID say I'd take a more civil tone with you inexchange for the
> > > same consideration.
> > >
> > > Apparently the offer fell on deaf ears and unwilling flesh.
> >
> > Stvie just feels he is specail entitled to consideration beyond other
> > men
>
> "Stevie"
>
> Nope. But if you expect me to hold to certain rules and
> standards, then you'd best be holding to them yourself.

I expect you to hold yopurself to YOUR own standards. That I hold
differeing standard is something I am quite proud of

I don't carry on about things like Stevie and markie while tlaking
about Rape aids or pedophilia

You want to hold other to your standards and then not keep to them
yourself

>
> Brian has an 8 year history of his own of verbally abusing people
> who do not so treat him, specifically the absuses towards Dave and Jim
> that he refuses to address.

you have a history of abusive yourself

Dave and Jim are insulting Dave on almost every occasion jim mor
eoccasionaly

I no of no reason Brain or myself my only choose to return the same
sort of insults for insulting behavoir I choose the manner in which I
choose to respond to insults, as is my right Brain would appear to do

the same

>
> You and he both can whine all you want to about the alleged
> victimization you attribute to me, but you both have yourselves to
> blame for it.

that is right nothing you do is YOUR fault everyone makes you be so
abusive
>
> I'm holding the line so far on Brian but I'll hit the Lottery
> before you ever manage to get your act together.
>
> > > > Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
> > > > "an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?
> > >
> > > That was Markie...Not you.
> > >
> > > So...Are you not up to the one-on-one civility thingy, Brian?
> >
> > you are not into civlity at all
>
> And you've just exemplified why you deserve the title "liar".

no I haven't

you have never been civil here

you showed calling people lazy and degenerated from there
>
> Don't want anymore "Markie" and references to your misdeeds in
> claiming to be a Colonel, etc etc etc? Apologize. Say you'll stop the
> "Stevie" and withdraw your allegations of "stalking", "lying",
> "harrassment" etc etc etc and we'll be on the road to recovery.

you now want to lie? it would be a lie to say I think you tell the
truth much if at all

You overlook your threatening behavoir, your claims of threatening acts
>
> Can't bring yourself to do it? Then stop whining about the way
> you get treated because you'll be getting exactly what you deserve.

everybody makes stevie act like a shit head, nothing is stevies fault

grow up you spolied brat
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2193. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 18:15:29 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132683329.256136.19010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124808

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > inventing better contests might be a start
>
> I was more interested in activity in general, not just contests, but hey, that's
> a fair comment.
>
> What would make a "better contest" for you, especially as it would relate to
> newcomers?

less cookie cutterness a contest where the exachance was to in fact say
something. I mean I do FD becuase it is club thing mostly but I feell
silly going aroing around saying 8A MI

>What features of current contests interest you and what features
> annoy you?
little about the current contests (those that I am aware realy
interests me, FD holds the most appear but with the imporvised nature
of the setup

> What would you add that would cause you to send in a log?

maybe a contest where the points were on how long you were able to
hold a contact how much airtime you were able to fill with a near
stanger

just some thoughts
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2194. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 18:33:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mwJgf.2279$rM2.615@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124809

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> maybe a contest where the points were on how long
> you were able to hold a contact how much airtime
> you were able to fill with a near stanger

Be interesting to see how you could set up the scoring matrix...... If it was simply length of contact (not the amount of information exchanged) then the real slow talkers/slow senders would win. A built in advantage for southerners!

Would you have an upper limit on a contact length, and would the scoring be linear...... for example 1 point per each 30 seconds of each contact, or hockey-stick scoring with 1 point for 30 seconds, 5 points for one minute, 100 points for five minutes, and a bazzilion points for an hour?

What would you use for multipliers?

The thought occurs that newcomers are often somewhat mic-shy --- would they be drawn to this kind of activity?

73, de Hans, K0HB

## 2195. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 18:52:14 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <OMydnTFHasF99R7eRVn-hA@crocker.com>
Source record: 124810

wtf is gmrs and why should i care to take it over?

"fake name" <fake@name.xxx> wrote in message
news:Xns971684C03A232jlwsecure@207.115.63.158...
> Hams attempt to destroy GMRS like all the other radio services they see!
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gmrsvsham/

# 2196. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 18:59:00 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <43836A76.3060608@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 124811

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
>
>>maybe a contest where the points were on how long
>>you were able to hold a contact how much airtime
>>you were able to fill with a near stanger
>
>
>
> Be interesting to see how you could set up the scoring matrix..... If it was
> simply length of contact (not the amount of information exchanged) then the real
> slow talkers/slow senders would win. A built in advantage for southerners!
>
> Would you have an upper limit on a contact length, and would the scoring be
> linear..... for example 1 point per each 30 seconds of each contact, or
> hockey-stick scoring with 1 point for 30 seconds, 5 points for one minute, 100
> points for five minutes, and a bazzilion points for an hour?
>
> What would you use for multipliers?
>
> The thought occurs that newcomers are often somewhat mic-shy --- would they be
> drawn to this kind of activity?
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

Hans
I suspect that many newcomers are intimidated by the action in contests like SS. They know they have no realistic expectation of winning. The efforts like participation pins may help a bit. Again looking at SS the first hours are quite frantic and top contesters give the exchange very fast. If a newcomer isn't quite sure what was said or what he must say they are not likely to respond.
I have heard some operators patiently explain what the exchange is and help a first timer. However this appears to be a relative rarity as it slows down the rate.
How about an incentive for the big scorers to work the newcomers? More points per QSO if the check is less than 5 years old? More points if the serial number is also below a certain threshhold? If it makes the big guns more likely to be helpful I thin it would encourage those that are

a bit timid to join in. Also, can the contest logs be used to get credit toward ARRL awards like WAS the way it used to be for DXCC? Given the cost of QSLs and the return rate, that could also be an incentive for some newcomers.
BTW - How did you do?
73, John K4BNC

# 2197. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 19:01:23 UTC
From: Cry Baby Bob <Crybabybob@bobcrybaby.net>
Message-ID: <dlvpqg$p5u$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132678994.189344.177520@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
/////FLUSHED////////

BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH!

zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz

# 2198. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 19:08:26 UTC
From: War Hero Hans <HeroHans@herohanslegionboy.org>
Message-ID: <dlvq7e$qqa$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"K�HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:mwJgf.2279$rM2.615@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> ///////FLUSHED///////

Hey Hans. How much is a Shot 'N Beer down at the
Legion Hall now? I hear the war stories spin faster and
better, after a few shot 'n beers.

# 2199. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 19:25:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <EgKgf.179$Hk1.144@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124814

"John" <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net> wrote

> How about an incentive for the big scorers to work the newcomers? More points
> per QSO if the check is less than 5 years old?

I really LIKE that one! The contest sponsor would need to validate it however,
or operators would adopt an artificially low number just to generate a run.

> More points if the serial number is also below a certain threshhold?

I'm not sure that one is workable ..... everyone starts out with serial #1,
and the object is to rapidly increment that number so this would be a
disincentive to do well, even to the newcomer (unless I don't understand what
you propose).

> Also, can the contest logs be used to get credit toward ARRL awards
> like WAS the way it used to be for DXCC? Given the cost of QSLs and the return
> rate, that could also be an incentive for some newcomers.

In effect this is already in place. I uploaded my log to LoTW 10 minutes after
the close of SS PH and already had close to 50 QSL's waiting for me. Right
now LoTW supports just DXCC, but WAS and WAZ are next (soon) to be implemented.

> BTW - How did you do?

Not as well as I'd hoped. 773/79 on CW and 1043/80 on Phone weekend.

Phone weekend was especially frustrating, because conditions seemed so good.
Don't know what I did wrong, but just couldn't ratchet it up to the right level.
First few hours were OK (not great) with rates in the 70's, but then struggled
with long bands until about midnight. Couple good hours on 40 after midnight,
but not enough stations left to recover from the slow evening hours. Had a
pretty good Sunday afternoon on 40 starting about 2000Z, but I think I was
paying too much attention to my "half radio" (Drake R4C) looking for missing
mults. Ended up about 400 Q's off my target.

May be time to look at a new radio. I know I'm missing a lot of weak stations
on my run freqs, especially on 40M/80M. The Icom 775 attenuator doesn't seem to
be any good on those bands, and consequently the front end folds up with a lot
of strong adjacent RF. When N0AT, KT0R, NN7L, K0AD (all within a couple miles)
stroke up on 80M you could power an amp by rectifying the RF off my antenna
field!

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2200. FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 19:32:24 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132687944.948694.204390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124815
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!

I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!

I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!

ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP IT
UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!

## 2201. Re: moparholic@hotmail.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 19:34:36 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132688076.686661.121090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

I AM NOT A FAG!!

STEVEO, TELL THEM I NOT GAY!!!!
Steveo wrote:
> the medina gay CB radio club is looking for a few good men. usmc a
> plus!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com

## 2202. Re: Season Specials

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 19:37:45 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132688265.735643.134220@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.nl.gaycafe

```
STEVEO, TELL'EM I'M NOT A FAG!!!
Steveo wrote:
> Psychiatrist-To-Hams wrote:
> > New Convenient Office Hours
> >
> > New treatments available for the Loquacious Ham Syndrome.
> >
> > Professional discounts.
> >
> >
> >
> > Dr Hambone
> > Office hours by appointment only
>
> I'd like to suck your hambone,doc!
```

# 2203. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 19:38:29 UTC
From: John <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <438373BE.2080205@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 124818

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "John" <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net> wrote
>
>
>>How about an incentive for the big scorers to work the newcomers? More points
>>per QSO if the check is less than 5 years old?
>
>
> I really LIKE that one! The contest sponsor would need to validate it however,
> or operators would adopt an artificially low number just to generate a run.
>
>
>>More points if the serial number is also below a certain threshhold?
>
>
> I'm not sure that one is workable ..... everyone starts out with serial #1,
> and the object is to rapidly increment that number so this would be a
> disincentive to do well, even to the newcomer (unless I don't understand what
> you propose).
Probably not clearly stated and hard to implement. I was thinking of
someone with a small number for the time since contest started. That
is, some way to encourage working those who might just be making
occasional contacts.

>
>
>>Also, can the contest logs be used to get credit toward ARRL awards
>>like WAS the way it used to be for DXCC? Given the cost of QSLs and the return
>>rate, that could also be an incentive for some newcomers.
>
>
> In effect this is already in place. I uploaded my log to LoTW 10 minutes after
> the close of SS PH and already had close to 50 QSL's waiting for me. Right
> now LoTW supports just DXCC, but WAS and WAZ are next (soon) to be implemented.
>
LOTW goes part way but I think the old DXCC method was even simpler
although it took longer. Once the contest results were published you
could just claim credit for a station worked in the contest. However as
I recall the number you could claim per DXCC submission was limited
unlike LOTW. It sure helped me back in the days when I was chasing DXCC
QSLs.

>
>>BTW - How did you do?
>

>
> Not as well as I'd hoped. 773/79 on CW and 1043/80 on Phone weekend.
>
> Phone weekend was especially frustrating, because conditions seemed so good.
> Don't know what I did wrong, but just couldn't ratchet it up to the right level.
> First few hours were OK (not great) with rates in the 70's, but then struggled
> with long bands until about midnight. Couple good hours on 40 after midnight,
> but not enough stations left to recover from the slow evening hours. Had a
> pretty good Sunday afternoon on 40 starting about 2000Z, but I think I was
> paying too much attention to my "half radio" (Drake R4C) looking for missing
> mults. Ended up about 400 Q's off my target.
>
> May be time to look at a new radio. I know I'm missing a lot of weak stations
> on my run freqs, especially on 40M/80M. The Icom 775 attenuator doesn't seem to
> be any good on those bands, and consequently the front end folds up with a lot
> of strong adjacent RF. When N0AT, KT0R, NN7L, K0AD (all within a couple miles)
> stroke up on 80M you could power an amp by rectifying the RF off my antenna
> field!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
Still a good score. I had troubles with the 40M vertical on Saturday
night. SWR had gone up so high I couldn't use the amp. FIxed it next
morning. AS far as I can tell the guy mowing the nextdoor lawn must
have run into the posts holding up the coax and broke the ground
connection. Still managed to make some contacts with it.
Made my goal here of 80 in 80. Last one was VE2; that one always seems
to be the hard one here.
John

# 2204. Re: Attn: Woger, Buzz, Polly, Stevie, YZ, OGL, 'Old & New' Friend(s) and ALL da-rest of ya RF CLOWNS.... (OT ?? ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 19:41:12 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132688472.004358.305950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.sex.stories.gay

STEVEO, PEOPLE ARE STARTING TO THINK I AM A FAG!

YOU BETTER POST RIGHT HERE THAT I'M NOT A FAG!!

I AM SHARKIE, A TOUGH CB GUY!!!!!!!!! I AM NO FAG!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Steveo wrote:
> HELLO UNCLE WILLIE:
>
> WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE MY FUNNY UNCLE???
>
> WANNA MEAT MY MAN-PUSS????
>
>
> Uncle Willie wrote:
> > You are all hereby invited to Washingtoon DC
> > to participate in and comment for this historic event . . . . . .
> >
> > http://www.salon.com/news/feature/2005/11/11/porn_hearing/index_np.html
> >
> > "Senator Sam Brownback to head a Congressional panel to
> > determine if pornography causes Americans to masturbate too much"
> >
> > (....perhaps K1MAN can be the Congressional Panel 'on-the-scene'
> > Reporter and keep us all informed of the proceedings
> > via the recorded AARA bulletins ?)

# 2205. Re: swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 19:43:41 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132688621.421248.280310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.sex.stories.gay

I'M IN THE CLUB BUT I'M NO FAG!!!
TELL'EM STEVEO, TELL'EM I'M NOT REALLY A FAG!!!
Steveo wrote:
> the membership of the medina gay cb radio club would like to share gay
> sex with
> every'BODY".
>
>
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com
>
> moparholic@hotmail.com

# 2206. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 19:50:13 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132689013.697605.182920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.dx

You appear to be the only one crying -- in your erudite contribution.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

# 2207. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:07:17 UTC
From: Bob Whines More <poorbob@whineybobcries.com>
Message-ID: <dlvtlo$99m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132689013.697605.182920@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> You appear to be the only one crying -- in your erudite contribution.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>

BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

# 2208. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:08:30 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132690110.771344.166750@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124823
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb

first of all walt,fuck you and fuck your useless little shit country!

i don't need no stinking "ticket" to talk where the fuck i want. i run
my collins where i want when i want and i drop the fucking mall on
limey trolls like you.

i bet your mother loved fucking those nigger "royal subjects" that have
taken over your sick little island!

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On 22 Nov 2005 07:30:44 -0800, jlwsecure-google@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> >
> >Nick Markowitz wrote:
> >> Thats why they call it Childrens Band!
> >>
> >> And look whats happened to GMRS with the $59.99 GMRS/FRS 12 mile handie
> >> talkies which in very very small print say GMRS liscense required.
> >
> >There are folks trying to make GMRS better.
> >
> >The Personal Radio Association is attempting to become the ARRL of
> >GMRS.
> >
> >http://www.popularwireless.com/pra.html
>
> Unfortunately, once the lowlife have gotten a grip on a frequency
> band, it's pretty much an impossibility to reclaim it. This is what
> is happening to the ham bands in the UK now; they have let the CB
> ne'er-do-wells in via the back-door, with the so-called Foundation
> License, which in reality is no more than an open ticket to multi-band
> CB.
> :-(
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

# 2209. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:09:26 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132690166.851527.93110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Good friends, Above an imposter with a repetitive refrain, not me.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

# 2210. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:14:22 UTC
From: Bob Whines More <poorbob@whineybobcries.com>
Message-ID: <dlvu32$b50$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124825
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132690166.851527.93110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Good friends, Above an imposter with a repetitive refrain, not me.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>

Not me Not me
BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

# 2211. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:15:10 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132690510.322820.191440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

fuck you and your "morse" you sick cocksucker.i like to drop the mall
on your dit-dit ass. you fucking freak.
KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > inventing better contests might be a start
>
> I was more interested in activity in general, not just contests, but hey, that's
> a fair comment.
>
> What would make a "better contest" for you, especially as it would relate to
> newcomers? What features of current contests interest you and what features
> annoy you? What would you add that would cause you to send in a log?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

## 2212. Re: (OT) New Orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:32:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132691560.619047.134880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,neworleans.general,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not so Secwet Lisping cocksucker whined:
> On Sun, 20 Nov 2005 17:30:04 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net <cuhulin@webtv.net> wrote:
> > I was talking on the phone to a woman at Howard Industries before I went
> > there to buy my computer.She didn't sound like a shemale to me.
> > cuhulin
>
> Damn. Just when I was about to leave Glendale and drive to Howard
> Industries. Maybe I can ask Wordy about her shemale friends instead.
> woger

You can't even keep your mother from sucking those nigger
dicks.....

(917) 478-8447

# 2213. Re: (OT) New Orleans

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:33:51 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132691631.644770.171580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,neworleans.general,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Not so Secwet Lisping cocksucker whined:
> On Sun, 20 Nov 2005 14:09:01 -0600, cuhulin@webtv.net wrote:
> > New Orleans Struggles to Relight a City of Darkness.
> > www.globalnewsmatrix.com
> >
> > I suppose Howard Industries in Laurel,Mississippi is building and
> > supplying many of the electrical equipment things necessary to help New
> > Orleans to get the electric power back on.I own a Howard desktop
> > computer that I drove to Howard Industries and I bought last year.It is
> > a great rugged computer.Howard Industries owns their own trucking
> > company too.Want to check out Howard Industries in Laurel?
> > www.devilfinder.com Howard Industries Laurel Mississippi
> > cuhulin
>
> Do any shemales work for Howard Industries?

Only your nigger dick sucking mother.

# 2214. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:35:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132691739.618885.110840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124829
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

Landshark wrote:
> I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!
>
> I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!
>
> I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!
>
> ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP IT
> UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Fuck off and die of your AIDS, you cocksucking FAGGOT!

Lloyd Davies
710 S. Clinton St.#203
Athens, AL 35611

# 2215. Re: more evasion for stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:36:29 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132691789.463851.183060@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124830

Fuck off, you child raping pervert!

# 2216. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:37:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132691877.101792.186680@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124831

Poor Davey Cohen
((917) 478-8447

## 2217. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:38:43 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132691923.073297.201940@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124832

As if you'd know, Toadie the cocksucking faggot, you sucked the VE's
off to get them to finally pass you on your General.

## 2218. Re: when will dave and steve have the guts to answer a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:41:57 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132692117.178144.288040@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124833

And your child abuse is supposed to be a good example, Marky?

## 2219. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:46:37 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132692397.541579.184990@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lardass Davies
I Wanna Know wrote:
> I wanna know. Todd, when is your next scheduled 'show'? I wanna listen.
>
> I promise I won't jam you.
>
> Woger might, but I won't.

But you already get on 20 meters and jam, Lloyd Davies, N0VFP!

# 2220. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:50:20 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132692620.472741.233070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

<restored> Now whine,Lardass, whine!

Behold he shall ride upon a hard dick and his name shall be Lloyd Austin Davies.

And it came to pass that the king of knob jobs in Alabama, (as Lloyd is

known) was gone for a long time, and some of the people celebrated and some speculated that he had died, some speculated that he was ill, some

speculated that he was in Maryland at Ray Chason's apartment, and some even thought that the king of knob jobs in Alabama had been made a sex slave by Ray Chason and his butt buddies. It was then the people started to rejoice.

And when the niggers and crackheads heard the murmuring and rumours of the people they assured them that the king of knob jobs in Alabama was just busy sucking on one of his nigger neighbors big black dick and would address the people as soon as he could swallow that big load if nigger jizz. The neighbors in his hud apartment complex also knew that the project was the sucking of nigger dicks and telling lies and more lies, but they did not tell the people this for they did not desire to have a vice squad nightmare in the city of Deliverance Extras. Alabama liked to hear about the fat retarded one who proclaimed he was the king

of knob jobs in Alabama.

And the niggers and crackheads reassured the people that everything was

all right, and told them to prepare pyres and to cook meat, and to ice down beer and nehi soda, and to go out and buy potato chips and other unhealthy snacks from the traders who had immigrated to the kingdom from vietnam and the land of gaza. and so the went down to these little

stores owned and bought these things. and they went home and warmed up their tv's, for the sabbath approached and the sabbath would bring more

action from the mediocre football team at u of alabama, and the sabbath

would bring plenty of exciting nob gobbling action and goo gobbling from the king of knob jobs in Alabama.

The king of knob jobs in Alabama in reality is a ghastly pale porker who stands only 5'6" and weighs an unhealthy 290 Lbs. His skin is as white as copying paper due to his night job of delivering pizzas to the

ghetto neighborhood where he dwells in a filthy, roach infested hud hovel. He doesn't venture outside during the daylight hours, instead preferring to play with star trek and dalek dolls, post lies and filth on the internet and jack off and dream of finally actually getting some

pussy. Not stick pussy which his homosexual lover Steve Holsten feeds him several times a year when Lloyd goes for his visits, usually around

Thanksgiving.

Lately, the king of knob jobs in Alabama has been consumed with posting

pictures of someone he says is Roger Wiseman. In fact, the obviously botched purple rendering of somebody else has been cut and pasted, photoshopped and distributed all over the internet by the king of knob jobs in Alabama and his homosexual fantasy lover Ray Chason who is the king of knob jobs in Maryland. Chason who is also a porker has set up a

blog of Roger's ex-wife "Regina" telling even more lies. Roger wasn't ever married to anyone named Regina. Of course Chason and Lloyd refuse to let truth get in the way of their lies, like their butt buddy friends who post lies, lies and more lies about anybody who reveals the

truth about this band of homosexual predators.

# 2221. Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:52:40 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132692760.174454.253610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

Doug W aka Lardass (Hey, Lardass when are you going to post as "Christy
Davies" again, loser?) wrote:
> On 17 Nov 2005 07:27:37 -0800, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Xref: news rec.radio.amateur.policy:289356 alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest:38552
> > From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest
> > Subject: Re: Pottymouth N9OGL Beware
> > Date: 17 Nov 2005 07:27:37 -0800
> > Organization: http://groups.google.com
> > Lines: 60
> > Message-ID: <1132241257.535113.261490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.54.97.187
>
> OrgName: Microsoft Corp
> OrgID: MSFT
> Address: One Microsoft Way
> City: Redmond
> StateProv: WA
> PostalCode: 98052
> Country: US
>
> NetRange: 65.52.0.0 - 65.55.255.255
> CIDR: 65.52.0.0/14
> NetName: MICROSOFT-1BLK
> NetHandle: NET-65-52-0-0-1
>
> Post with full headers included in a complaint sent to Microsoft.

<guffaw!> You already claimed Roger lost his account, fatass! Are you
saying you lied out of your fat ass again?

>
> > Content-Type: text/plain; charset="iso-8859-1"
> > X-Trace: posting.google.com 1132241262 22856 127.0.0.1 (17 Nov 2005 15:27:42 GMT)
> > X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
>
> Post with full headers included in a complaint sent to Google.
>
> > NNTP-Posting-Date: Thu, 17 Nov 2005 15:27:42 +0000 (UTC)
> > In-Reply-To: <dl663k$rsb$0@pita.alt.net>
> > User-Agent: G2/0.2
> > X-HTTP-UserAgent: Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 6.0; Windows NT 5.1;
SV1),gzip(gfe),gzip(gfe)
> > Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com

> > Injection-Info: g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com; posting-host=65.54.97.187;
posting-account=-bvg-A0AAABSpF6sxAllK-BDt63i0oiA
> >
> >
> > Not Too Intelligent Lloyd Deux whined:
>
> Bye, bye, Roger.

Going to jack off to thoughts of Roger Wiseman again, Lardass Davies?
That's the only way your tiny dick ever gets used, isn't it?

Behold he shall ride upon a hard dick and his name shall be Lloyd
Austin Davies.

And it came to pass that the king of knob jobs in Alabama, (as Lloyd is

known) was gone for a long time, and some of the people celebrated and
some speculated that he had died, some speculated that he was ill, some

speculated that he was in Maryland at Ray Chason's apartment, and some
even thought that the king of knob jobs in Alabama had been made a sex
slave by Ray Chason and his butt buddies. It was then the people
started to rejoice.

And when the niggers and crackheads heard the murmuring and rumours of
the people they assured them that the king of knob jobs in Alabama was
just busy sucking on one of his nigger neighbors big black dick and
would address the people as soon as he could swallow that big load if
nigger jizz. The neighbors in his hud apartment complex also knew that
the project was the sucking of nigger dicks and telling lies and more
lies, but they did not tell the people this for they did not desire to
have a vice squad nightmare in the city of Deliverance Extras. Alabama
liked to hear about the fat retarded one who proclaimed he was the king

of knob jobs in Alabama.

And the niggers and crackheads reassured the people that everything was

all right, and told them to prepare pyres and to cook meat, and to ice
down beer and nehi soda, and to go out and buy potato chips and other
unhealthy snacks from the traders who had immigrated to the kingdom
from vietnam and the land of gaza. and so the went down to these little

stores owned and bought these things. and they went home and warmed up
their tv's, for the sabbath approached and the sabbath would bring more

action from the mediocre football team at u of alabama, and the sabbath

would bring plenty of exciting nob gobbling action and goo gobbling
from the king of knob jobs in Alabama.

The king of knob jobs in Alabama in reality is a ghastly pale porker
who stands only 5'6" and weighs an unhealthy 290 Lbs. His skin is as
white as copying paper due to his night job of delivering pizzas to the

ghetto neighborhood where he dwells in a filthy, roach infested hud hovel. He doesn't venture outside during the daylight hours, instead preferring to play with star trek and dalek dolls, post lies and filth on the internet and jack off and dream of finally actually getting some

pussy. Not stick pussy which his homosexual lover Steve Holsten feeds him several times a year when Lloyd goes for his visits, usually around

Thanksgiving.

Lately, the king of knob jobs in Alabama has been consumed with posting

pictures of someone he says is Roger Wiseman. In fact, the obviously botched purple rendering of somebody else has been cut and pasted, photoshopped and distributed all over the internet by the king of knob jobs in Alabama and his homosexual fantasy lover Ray Chason who is the king of knob jobs in Maryland. Chason who is also a porker has set up a

blog of Roger's ex-wife "Regina" telling even more lies. Roger wasn't ever married to anyone named Regina. Of course Chason and Lloyd refuse to let truth get in the way of their lies, like their butt buddy friends who post lies, lies and more lies about anybody who reveals the

truth about this band of homosexual predators.

# 2222. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:53:25 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132692805.385089.256280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

That or for his constant audio checks.

# 2223. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:53:51 UTC
From: Bawling Bobby <Cry@cbybobcry.net>
Message-ID: <dm00d2$mnq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Poor Bob, no more audience on 20 meters for his diatribes.

# 2224. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 20:56:47 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132693007.111704.256010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> > Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
> > character
> >
>
> Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)

Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955 and was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet you even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.

# 2225. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 21:02:44 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132692299.649498.213020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Oh, are you having your period already, Marky?

## 2226. Re: when will dave and steve have the guts to answer a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 21:05:46 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132693546.334895.289770@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124841

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> And your child abuse is supposed to be a good example, Marky?

no child abuse Mr Slit and I am certainly a better example of hoest and
forthright behavoir than you for example

## 2227. a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 21:26:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132694817.891075.77320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> > whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> > > Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
> > > character
> > >
> >
> > Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
>
> Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
> hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955 and
> was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet you
> even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.

do you ever talk abotu radio in here Mr Slit?

# 2228. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 21:29:07 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <44Mgf.17687$qw.1430@fed1read07>
Source record: 124843

All about GRMS at URL:
http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/generalmobile/

Requires a license The current fee for a new GMRS license is $80.

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

"Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:OMydnTFHasF99R7eRVn-hA@crocker.com...
> wtf is gmrs and why should i care to take it over?
>
> "fake name" <fake@name.xxx> wrote in message
> news:Xns971684C03A232jlwsecure@207.115.63.158...
>> Hams attempt to destroy GMRS like all the other radio services they see!
>>
>>
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gmrsvsham/
>
>

# 2229. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 21:33:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132695213.147647.33660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124844

KØHB wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> > maybe a contest where the points were on how long
> > you were able to hold a contact how much airtime
> > you were able to fill with a near stanger
>
>
> Be interesting to see how you could set up the scoring matrix..... If it was
> simply length of contact (not the amount of information exchanged) then the real
> slow talkers/slow senders would win. A built in advantage for southerners!

well itcould certainly promote slow code use
>
> Would you have an upper limit on a contact length, and would the scoring be
> linear..... for example 1 point per each 30 seconds of each contact, or
> hockey-stick scoring with 1 point for 30 seconds, 5 points for one minute, 100
> points for five minutes, and a bazzilion points for an hour?

very likely some upper length and likely in blockmaybe linear maybe not

part of the point is to avoid the Salm bang thank you maam feeling I
get at FD and the few other contests I have been in

>
> What would you use for multipliers?
>
> The thought occurs that newcomers are often somewhat mic-shy --- would they be
> drawn to this kind of activity?

peronsonaly I am looking forward to being able to effectively bein
things likke a RTTY contest I have heard of or id love to see some
kind of SSTV contest althought the noise would likely drive everyone
else nuts but collecting for contest a number of SSTV images and maybe
some kind of multipliers like FD added to something for the igmage
quality perhaps

>

BTW congrats on sparking some On topic stuff here Hans well done
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

## 2230. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 21:49:51 UTC
From: Dr CB <drcb@drcbisbest.com>
Message-ID: <dm03m3$4me$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:44Mgf.17687$qw.1430@fed1read07...
> All about GRMS at URL:
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/generalmobile/
>
> Requires a license The current fee for a new GMRS license is $80.
>

Why bother with a license? The money just goes into the pocket
of the FCC bureaucrat who processes the application.

# 2231. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 21:52:15 UTC
From: Dave <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <WK-dnZPSuvKMDh7eRVn-vw@crocker.com>
Source record: 124846

ok, so? why should i care to take it over?

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
news:44Mgf.17687$qw.1430@fed1read07...
> All about GRMS at URL:
> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/generalmobile/
>
> Requires a license The current fee for a new GMRS license is $80.
>
> --
> CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !
>
>
> "Dave" <noone@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:OMydnTFHasF99R7eRVn-hA@crocker.com...
>> wtf is gmrs and why should i care to take it over?
>>
>> "fake name" <fake@name.xxx> wrote in message
>> news:Xns971684C03A232jlwsecure@207.115.63.158...
>>> Hams attempt to destroy GMRS like all the other radio services they see!
>>>
>>>
>>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gmrsvsham/
>>
>>
>
>

# 2232. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN Renewal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 22:28:40 UTC
From: Rabbi Phil <kosher@kosherdelihedrin.org>
Message-ID: <dm062o$fnd$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 124847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:dlsjvh$14q$1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> This is a post to QRZ.COM by K3VR and reads as follows:
>

K3VR has been abnormally obsessed with K1MAN for years.

FCC & ARRL = Partners in the Culture of Corruption.

# 2233. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 22:35:01 UTC
From: Jenn <jennconducts@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132698901.167345.253670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124848
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Landshark wrote:
> > I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!
> >
> > I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!
> >
> > I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!
> >
> > ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP IT
> > UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Fuck off and die of your AIDS, you cocksucking FAGGOT!
>
> Lloyd Davies
> 710 S. Clinton St.#203
> Athens, AL 35611

Good God, Lloyd, grow up and conquer your insecurities.

## 2234. Re: A Direct Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 22:56:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132700215.283189.262770@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124752

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > > > > > > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > > > > > > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > > > > > > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU as
> > > > > > > > > > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > > > > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > > > There's nothing to "work with" with Jim.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > He's already established a track record of NOT calling people by
diminutives.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.
> > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > > > > > > Like I said, we'll start with you and when you've shown improvement for
> > > > > > > > > > > > > some period of time, we can move on to Jim. Jim may take only a minute
> > > > > > > > > > > > > or two, but I'm trying to be inclusive here.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You keep suggesting that there's something to "move on to", Brian.
> > > > > > > > I want to know what it is you think you have to "move on to" vis-a-vis
> > > > > > > > conducting yourself to YOUR standards in talking to/about Jim Miccolis.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > What's YOUR excuse for using diminutive language towards Jim
> > > > > > > > Miccolis when he's NEVER done it to you...?!?!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Steve, you're blowing it. I prefer to keep my name and callsign off of
> > > > > > > the web. As you well know, my call is convertible to my address, and I
> > > > > > > don't want that plastered on the web, either.

> > > > >
> > > > > Then you shouldn't be here. Or do like Markie and get a PO box
> > > > > or one of those surrogate mail delivery services.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Or is this just another "I Sure Hope My Family Won't Ever See How
> > > > > I REALLY Behave" redirects?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > So I guess THIS is the "direct answer" to the "direct question"...
> > > > > >
> > > > > Why am I not surprised...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > Whatever made me think you'd behave yourself?
> > > >
> > > Two things come to mind:
> > >
> > > (1) If you were REALLY that concerned, you would have done a
> > > "Markie" and changed the subject line. This now makes the FIFTH
> > > response with your name and call in it. Obviously it's NOT that big an
> > > issue for you.
> >
> > Steve, I get to decide what is an issue for me, and I decided a long
> > time ago.
>
> What did you decide a long time ago?

Not to post my callsign to RRAP. Do you recall making a big deal about
it at the time?

> > It was also explained to you a long time ago. Yet you ignore my wishes
> > because you're a jerk.
>
> I ignore your wishes because you ignore everyone elses, Brian.

You wish me to call you Steve. Your wish is granted.

> You want what you want, and unless what someone else wants is only
> that, too bad.

Duh! You want what you want and disrespect eveyone who gets in your
way.

> > While I've got your attention, why did you change Mark's attribution?
>
> For the same reason you refuse to answer my question,
> Brian...Because I want to.

Not answering your questions is not libel. Accusing another of rape or
calling them a rapist is.

It is also dispicable. -You- are dispicable.

> Now...if sandlot time is over, do we get an answer to the pending
> question about YOUR lack of civility towards those who don't treat you
> that way...?!?!

My lack??? You prove yourself uncivil almost every time you post.

Deal's off.

# 2235. Re: Tennessee Nurse Steven J Robeson, LPN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:10:14 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132701014.135789.19560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124753

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > raped an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > nobodys old friend wrote:
> cut
> > > > menaing we see again your doublt standard
> > >
> > > "Menaing" that we see that you are ill-prepared mto discuss radio
> > > policy issues and that you have no understanding of what's going on
> > > around you.
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > Steve, it appears that you changed Mark's attribution from "an old
> > friend" to "raped an old friend."
> >
> > Why did you do that?
>
> becuase he is a sick SoB that thinks rape is the proper subject of
> jokes
>
> set that against his "outrage" over my caling some one an elitist bitch
> (iw ould have called her an elitist bastartd had she been male that
> seems the convetions)
>
> now by Stevies other statement in that thread I suppose that we may
> asume by HIS use of the word he endorses rape and similar sex crimes
> and is in fact guilty of them himself in real life

Steve is many things. I'd put "ass" as #1 on his list, followed by
"liar" then "delusional," not necessarily in that order. Actually,
"liar" could be listed several times. I don't think there's enough
bandwidth to complete the list, though.

He made an agreement to be civil and couldn't last even 24 hours.

# 2236. Re: N8WWM the cowardly keyboard felon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:11:23 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051122181123.220$tV_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

N8WWM@arrl.net <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Steveo the sissyboy who is about to feel the sting wrote:
>
Hehehe, I felt the 'sting' of the salty laughter tears running down my face
and into my eyes, after I witnessed YOU trying to hide from my camera,
asswipe.

I mean really, why did you repeatedly invite me over to "put me face down
in a hurtin extreme" when you know damn well you don't have the spine to
face me in person?

Your whole deal is that lame gay shit you keep posting, n8wwm. Tell your
court ordered head shrinker to either increase, or change you meds.

A fine example of a ham radio operator you turned out to be, you and your
felon tax cheat old lady kc8qjp, are pathetic.

Btw, why do you post -ONLY- to rec.radio.cb?
>
> > http://n8wwm.4t.com <---- dogie the felon coward
>
> hey, do you wear a pink uniform in Saving Ryan's Privates?
>
You seen me on your sidewalk. Was I wearing pink, or were you too busy
trying to hide from me to notice?

I'm done responding to you on here for now...so grow up and stop ruining
these newsgroups with your cross-posted lameness, you keyboard chickenshit
blowhard.

N8WWM@arrl.net

# 2237. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:18:06 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132701486.216375.156190@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 18, 4:54 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 18 Nov 2005 04:52
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 16:22
> >> >> >K0HB wrote:
> >> >> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in message
>
>
>
> >> >> >> My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
> >> >> >> says:
> >
> >> >> >Steve was in the USMC on 08 JUL 05?
> >
> >> >> According to Google dated 17 November 05, Robeson claimed USMC
> >> >> service from 1974 to 1992.
> >
> >> >I'm so glad that Steve was in compliance with regulations that were 31
> >> >years in the future at the time he stood on the yellow footprints.
> >> >Steve always was out of step with the rest of society.
> >
> >> He is a "man of many talents!" :-)
> >
> >> At least he hasn't minced around in baby shoes.
> >
> >His primary talent is lying.
>
> Lying around the house, lying around the barracks, lying around the
> newsgroup...

Welp, his agreement to be civil didn't last even 24 hours.

Do you think he lied, or is he just incapable of being civil? Or both?

> >> >> There is a 13 year to 31 year GAP in there.
> >
> >> >He got his uniforms issued at the GAP?
> >
> >> Probably at Sergeant Grit or some other Army-Navy surplus store.
> >
> >"GI Joes."

>
> Kinda small, but prolly bigger than his Johnson...

He has a Johnson? Wonder if it has "E.F." stenciled on it?

> >> Dudly is a Code Warrior. Others are just Code Worriers.
> >
> >But they serve in other ways.
>
> "Waiter, there's soup in my fly!" :-)

Use a napkin when eating soup.

> >> >> These mighty warriors certainly know how to turn off any
> >> >> ham wannabes...which may be why Val Germann is still an
> >> >> unmodified Tech for so long?
> >
> >> >Poor Val. Such ambition.
> >
> >> Well, that's how it goes...sometimes reality boots ya in
> >> the ash.
> >
> >"There is no problem so large nor complex that it cannot be run away
> >from."
>
> Jimmie not like that one bit. If'n he see what he think is a
> promise many years ago, he keep after that person forever.
>
> Jimmie ought to go out to Misery and kick Val's butt for not
> learning code!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Maybe Val hears code so poorly sent by the Missouri VE that he cannot
decode it?

# 2238. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:19:37 UTC
From: anon <anon@anoncaveatremail.com>
Message-ID: <dm08ub$pfv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:K8idnSCRaaz0PR7eRVn-iw@gbronline.com...
>
> Where you been the last few days 2test? Get behind on cleaning out those
> port-a-potties?

ROTFLMAO!

# 2239. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:21:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132701716.349651.60660@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 18, 4:54 pm
> >
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 18 Nov 2005 04:52
> > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 16:22
> > >> >> >K0HB wrote:
> > >> >> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in message
cut
> > >> He is a "man of many talents!" :-)
> > >>
> > >> At least he hasn't minced around in baby shoes.
> > >>
> > >His primary talent is lying.
> >
> > Lying around the house, lying around the barracks, lying around the
> > newsgroup...
>
> Welp, his agreement to be civil didn't last even 24 hours.
>
> Do you think he lied, or is he just incapable of being civil? Or both?

both he is lying to himself convining himself he is being civil
cut

# 2240. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:26:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132701981.686386.144660@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124758

KØHB wrote:
> Just finished another annual running to the two-weekend Sweepstakes contest (one
> weekend Morse, one weekend phone).
>
> As many of you know, this contest simulates traffic handling protocols with a
> modestly challenging exchange with 5 information elements exchanged in each
> direction. One of those elements is a "check" which is a 2-digit number
> indicating the year the station was first licensed.
>
> (This doesn't have any direct connection to the age of the operator, but it does
> tell you how long they've been licensed.)
>
> During this years contest weekends I was struck by the higher incidence of
> "recent checks" in the phone event as compared to the Morse event.
>
> Since I have Cabrillo logs available for the past 5 seasons of Sweepstakes, I
> did a quick Excel evaluation looking at the "newcomer" trend of the Sweepstakes
> contest. The total data population is right at 10,000 QSO's, so should be
> reasonably "statistically relevant".
>
> My intent was to test my hunch about a higher tendency for recent licensees to
> be on phone, and that hunch proved true (no particular surprise), but in
> addition a much scarier trend rose out of the figures.
>
> The numbers below show the percentage of my QSO's which had a recieved "check"
> in the current and 10 previous years (i.e., this year I counted "95" thru "05",
> last year "94" through "04", etc.)
>
> (Tabular info best viewed in 'fixed width' font)
>
> Year CW% Phone% Combined%
>
> 2001 7.4% 24.0% 17.5%
> 2002 6.9 18.8 13.5
> 2003 7.0 14.8 10.9
> 2004 4.5 14.0 8.7
> 2005 4.0 14.0 9.9
>
> As I mentioned, it's no surprise that newcomer contesters are more likely to be
> on phone, but the really scary part is that the overall percentages (regardless
> of mode) in the "licensed-in-the-last-10-years" are dropping so dramatically,
> almost halved in just 5 years.
>
> If the trend of "newcomers active in contesting" is a representative subset of

> "newcomers active on the air in general" (as I suspect it is) then the future of
> our hobby has a rather disturbing look.
>
> What are we (all of us) going to do to reverse this ominous trend?
>
> --
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Newcomers???

I passed my Novice exam in November 1986 and I'm still considered a
newcomer by your OF buddies here. And you ask what might be wrong with
the ARS? Hi, hi!

You're trolling again, right? That was at least an S7.

# 2241. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:34:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 124759

<hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1132618668.986089.264100@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > "6H4Ballast" <bonobochimp789@yahoo.nosp.com> wrote in message
> > news:ca4f9$43813852$d1cc7a6c$10958@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...
> > >
> > > <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > news:1132411067.455033.137780@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > > > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2005/11/15/1/?nc=1
> > > >
> > > > Note how much wider the 'phone bands would become under the
proposal.
> > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > That's fine by me as just 20% of hams who use HF
> > > also use CW on a regular basis.
> > >
> >
> > And I'm not afraid to switch to CW right in that phone band when someone
> > tries to jump on me. Been there, worn that T-shirt. Funny how 75 watts
of
> > cw can get through a kilowatt AM station.
>
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> Mighty Macho Morse Man?
>

Nope, just reality. W2OY learned that lesson the hard way :))

I really don't care one way or the other about code - but most folks have no idea how much information can be passed via that keyer. In my day, I'd pass dang near as much info in a given time as a teletype. Assuming, of course, the teletype operator could type that fast or was using an automatic send/receive teletype (with tape reader where the tape could be typed in advance of sending it).

A lot of folks can't even type 40 or 50 words per minute.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 2242. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:39:34 UTC
From: Hermaphrodite Clown 6 <vorfreed@msn.net>
Message-ID: <93707$4383ba44$d1cc50a2$8665@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 124760
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

"Jenn" <jennconducts@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1132698901.167345.253670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Landshark wrote:
> > > I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!
> > >
> > > I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!
> > >
> > > I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!
> > >
> > > ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP
IT
> > > UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > Fuck off and die of your AIDS, you cocksucking FAGGOT!
> >
> > Lloyd Davies
> > 710 S. Clinton St.#203
> > Athens, AL 35611
>
> Good God, Lloyd, grow up and conquer your insecurities.
>

I don't think it's Lloyd. But whoever it is, I wish he'd go
apeshit sometimes over the holidays in a shopping mall
with a semiauto like that other nutcase did in Oregon last week.
Now that would be fun to watch on CNN!

# 2243. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:40:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <G%Ngf.14139$cg.10338@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 124761

"fake name" <fake@name.xxx> wrote in message
news:Xns971684C03A232jlwsecure@207.115.63.158...
> Hams attempt to destroy GMRS like all the other radio services they see!
>
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gmrsvsham/

Absolute nonsense. Why get on GMRS where there is so much more on 440 MHz (like repeaters - including fast-scan amateur television repeaters). It appears that cb types get labeled "hams" and cause grief for the amateur community.

With all due regards,
Jim AA2QA

# 2244. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:40:49 UTC
From: U-Know-Who <no-one@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <50Ogf.8125$Au1.2022@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 124763
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

"Jenn" <jennconducts@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1132698901.167345.253670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Landshark wrote:
>> > I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!
>> >
>> > I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!
>> >
>> > I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!
>> >
>> > ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP IT
>> > UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> Fuck off and die of your AIDS, you cocksucking FAGGOT!
>>
>> Lloyd Davies
>> 710 S. Clinton St.#203
>> Athens, AL 35611
>
> Good God, Lloyd, grow up and conquer your insecurities.
>

And learn to recognize a forge from a troll.

# 2245. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:42:19 UTC
From: anon <anon@anoncaveatremail.com>
Message-ID: <dm0a97$uni$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny...
>
> I really don't care one way or the other about code - but most folks have
> no
> idea how much information can be passed via that keyer. In my day, I'd
> pass
> dang near as much info in a given time as a teletype.
/////// B.S. Snipped here/////////

Oh how the tales of dariing do and "how great I am" grow
taller and taller and more spectacular, as the years go by
and more alcohol is ingested.....ROTFLMAO!

# 2246. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:42:22 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <K8idnSGRaazuAh7eRVn-iw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Lardass Davies
> I Wanna Know wrote:
>
>>I wanna know. Todd, when is your next scheduled 'show'? I wanna listen.
>>
>>I promise I won't jam you.
>>
>>Woger might, but I won't.
>
>
> But you already get on 20 meters and jam, Lloyd Davies, N0VFP!
>

He musta learned from you 2test wogie.

# 2247. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:46:28 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <K8idnSCRaaz0PR7eRVn-iw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
>>
>>>Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
>>>character
>>>
>>
>>Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
>
>
> Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
> hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955 and
> was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet you
> even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.
>

Where you been the last few days 2test? Get behind on cleaning out those
port-a-potties?

# 2248. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:47:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <x6Ogf.14141$cg.9569@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 124764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"anon" <anon@anoncaveatremail.com> wrote in message
news:dm0a97$uni$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> > I really don't care one way or the other about code - but most folks
have
> > no
> > idea how much information can be passed via that keyer. In my day, I'd
> > pass
> > dang near as much info in a given time as a teletype.
> /////// B.S. Snipped here/////////
>
>
>
> Oh how the tales of dariing do and "how great I am" grow
> taller and taller and more spectacular, as the years go by
> and more alcohol is ingested..........ROTFLMAO!
>
>
>
>

Didn't take you long to reply. Who's ingesting alcohol? I've to go to work tomorrow morning (like 5:00 AM). What is your excuse?

I've put perfect copy on paper using a manual typewriter at 40 words per minute. A number of minutes' worth. My mention of 40 or 50 words per minute was a reference to some of the wonder boys whose great exploits simply consist of 'cut and paste' on the Internet.

I'm simply saying that a good operator can likely keep up with most folks that are trying to enter original content and not simply pass along a digital picture, or a 'cut-and-paste' from someone else.

Then again, original content might be something you aren't familiar with.

With due regard,
Jim AA2QA

# 2249. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:48:42 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <K8idnSORaaxyPR7eRVn-iw@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

an old friend wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>
>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>
>>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
>>>
>>>>Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
>>>>character
>>>>
>>>
>>>Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
>>
>>Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
>>hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955 and
>>was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet you
>>even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.
>
>
> do you ever talk abotu radio in here Mr Slit?
>

2test wogie doesn't know enough about radio to talk about it unless you
ask him about 'negative swr'. But he can sure give you all the good tips
on how to jam other stations on 20 meters. LOL!!!!!

# 2250. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-22 23:52:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132703536.792546.234780@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124765

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> > > > > >> ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
> > > >
> > > > Is that the papal 'we' or the royal 'we'?
> > >
> > > Probably the multiple personality disorder "we."
> >
> > Does it matter?

It would to a mental health professional.

> None of them include you...Or Lennie...Or Markie...Or Toiddie...Or
> Frankie...

That would be Len, Mark, Todd, and Frank.

What's with the constant dishing out of the diminuitives?

# 2251. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 00:09:40 UTC
From: Dennis Kemmerer <dk@suespammers.org>
Message-ID: <8rOgf.23714$dO2.20151@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 124766
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

"Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1132687944.948694.204390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!
>
> I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!
>
> I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!
>
> ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP IT
> UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!

You tell 'em, Mary.

And flip your wrist when you say that!

[Who the fuck is _this_ asshole?]

# 2252. Re: No Straight Up Answers From You-Know-Who With The Nine Area Callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 00:16:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132705014.483575.287670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124767

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > > > We'll see how long you last this time.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > No...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > We'll see how long YOU last, Brian.
> > > > >
> > > > > we await your starting
> > > >
> > > > I'm not sure if Steve actually started, but if he did, he didn't last
> > > > 24 hours before he started yet another new thread posting my name and
> > > > callsign and demanding answers.
> > >
> > > Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....
> > >
> > > I don't remember EVER saying I wouldn't mention your name nor ask
> > > you questions, including one's that might embarrass you.
> > >
> > > That's assinine, Brian. Are you embarrassed of your own name?
> > >
> > > Are you not willing to PUT your REAL name behind your words?
> > >
> > > I DID say I'd take a more civil tone with you inexchange for the
> > > same consideration.
> > >
> > > Apparently the offer fell on deaf ears and unwilling flesh.
> >
> > Stvie just feels he is specail entitled to consideration beyond other
> > men
>
> "Stevie"
>
> Nope. But if you expect me to hold to certain rules and
> standards, then you'd best be holding to them yourself.
```

Then you have no concept of "standard." It matters not what others are
doing if you hold a certain standard. And from your history it is
obvious that you hold no standard.

> Brian has an 8 year history of his own of verbally abusing people
> who do not so treat him, specifically the absuses towards Dave and Jim
> that he refuses to address.

David and Jim can apologize to me at any time. They certainly don't
need you acting as "thier" ambasador.

> You and he both can whine all you want to about the alleged
> victimization you attribute to me, but you both have yourselves to
> blame for it.
>
> I'm holding the line so far on Brian but I'll hit the Lottery
> before you ever manage to get your act together.

The only thing that you're holding is a record number of false
accusations.

> > > > Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
> > > > "an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?
> > >
> > > That was Markie...Not you.
> > >
> > > So...Are you not up to the one-on-one civility thingy, Brian?
> >
> > you are not into civlity at all
>
> And you've just exemplified why you deserve the title "liar".

Yet Mark is correct? So telling a truth causes Steve to label one a
liar.

> Don't want anymore "Markie" and references to your misdeeds in
> claiming to be a Colonel, etc etc etc? Apologize. Say you'll stop the
> "Stevie" and withdraw your allegations of "stalking", "lying",
> "harrassment" etc etc etc and we'll be on the road to recovery.

"raped an old friend" I'd say the Tennessee Nursing Board deserves to
see that one.

> Can't bring yourself to do it? Then stop whining about the way
> you get treated because you'll be getting exactly what you deserve.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve is judge, jury, and executioner. Anyone who transgresses against
Steve's model of a "standard" must be harrassed into submission as they
are getting exactly what they deserve.

Sheesh.

## 2253. Re: more evasion for stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 00:26:05 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132705565.529721.28250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124768

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
>
> > > > That's assinine, Brian. Are you embarrassed of your own name?
> > > >
> > > > Are you not willing to PUT your REAL name behind your words?
> > > >
> > > > I DID say I'd take a more civil tone with you inexchange for the
> > > > same consideration.
> > > >
> > > > Apparently the offer fell on deaf ears and unwilling flesh.
> > >
> > > Stvie just feels he is specail entitled to consideration beyond other
> > > men
> >
> > "Stevie"
> >
> > Nope. But if you expect me to hold to certain rules and
> > standards, then you'd best be holding to them yourself.
>
> I expect you to hold yopurself to YOUR own standards. That I hold
> differeing standard is something I am quite proud of
>
> I don't carry on about things like Stevie and markie while tlaking
> about Rape aids or pedophilia
>
> You want to hold other to your standards and then not keep to them
> yourself

Don't bother speaking to Steve of standards. He doesn't understand the
concept though he manages of weave that word into his postings.

> > Brian has an 8 year history of his own of verbally abusing people
> > who do not so treat him, specifically the absuses towards Dave and Jim
> > that he refuses to address.
>
> you have a history of abusive yourself
>
> Dave and Jim are insulting Dave on almost every occasion jim mor

> eoccasionaly
>
> I no of no reason Brain or myself my only choose to return the same
> sort of insults for insulting behavoir I choose the manner in which I
> choose to respond to insults, as is my right Brain would appear to do
> the same
>
> > You and he both can whine all you want to about the alleged
> > victimization you attribute to me, but you both have yourselves to
> > blame for it.
>
> that is right nothing you do is YOUR fault everyone makes you be so
> abusive

I never realized how weak Steve was that everything he does and says is
because someone made him do it.

> > I'm holding the line so far on Brian but I'll hit the Lottery
> > before you ever manage to get your act together.
> >
> > > > > > Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
> > > > > > "an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?
> > > > >
> > > > > That was Markie...Not you.
> > > > >
> > > > > So...Are you not up to the one-on-one civility thingy, Brian?
> > > >
> > > > you are not into civlity at all
> > >
> > > And you've just exemplified why you deserve the title "liar".
>
> no I haven't
>
> you have never been civil here
>
> you showed calling people lazy and degenerated from there

If Steve is truly not to blame for what he says and does, then maybe
the State should have custody of him.

> > Don't want anymore "Markie" and references to your misdeeds in
> > claiming to be a Colonel, etc etc etc? Apologize. Say you'll stop the
> > "Stevie" and withdraw your allegations of "stalking", "lying",
> > "harrassment" etc etc etc and we'll be on the road to recovery.
>
> you now want to lie? it would be a lie to say I think you tell the
> truth much if at all
>
> You overlook your threatening behavoir, your claims of threatening acts

He's a bully.

> > Can't bring yourself to do it? Then stop whining about the way
> > you get treated because you'll be getting exactly what you deserve.
>
> everybody makes stevie act like a shit head, nothing is stevies fault

He needs someone to look in on him and to look over him.

# 2254. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 00:44:33 UTC
From: anon <anon@anoncaveatremail.com>
Message-ID: <dm0dti$e3p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:x6Ogf.14141$cg.9569@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "anon" <anon@anoncaveatremail.com> wrote in message
> news:dm0a97$uni$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>> news:cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny...
>> >
>> > I really don't care one way or the other about code - but most folks
> have
>> > no
>> > idea how much information can be passed via that keyer. In my day, I'd
>> > pass
>> > dang near as much info in a given time as a teletype.
>> /////// B.S. Snipped here/////////
>>
>>
>>
>> Oh how the tales of dariing do and "how great I am" grow
>> taller and taller and more spectacular, as the years go by
>> and more alcohol is ingested.....ROTFLMAO!
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> Didn't take you long to reply. Who's ingesting alcohol?
/////////*MORE* B.S. Snipped/////////

I I I I I I I I I, me me me me me me me me me me

That's the way your type tells stories of grandeur and
how wonderful I am........

# 2255. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 00:58:16 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132707496.770345.227440@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124770
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

HEY--WHINYSHIT.....

FUCK YOU,YOU LOW LIFE COCKSUCKER! ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT WAS JUST FOR
FUN.IAM NOT GAY! THE ONLY THING LIKE THAT I'VE EVER DONE WAS TO
BEAT-OFF WITH STEVEO AT A COFFEE BREAK ONE TIME.
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Landshark wrote:
> > I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!
> >
> > I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!
> >
> > I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!
> >
> > ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP IT
> > UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Fuck off and die of your AIDS, you cocksucking FAGGOT!
>
> Lloyd Davies
> 710 S. Clinton St.#203
> Athens, AL 35611

## 2256. Re: No

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 01:02:09 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132704299.411755.306620@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124772

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > We'll see how long you last this time.
> > > > >
> > > > No...
> > > >
> > > > We'll see how long YOU last, Brian.
> > > >
> > > we await your starting
> > >
> > I'm not sure if Steve actually started, but if he did, he didn't last
> > 24 hours before he started yet another new thread posting my name and
> > callsign and demanding answers.
>
> Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm....
>
> I don't remember EVER saying I wouldn't mention your name nor ask
> you questions, including one's that might embarrass you.

You can't even ask a civil question.

> That's assinine, Brian. Are you embarrassed of your own name?

You're assinine, and my name is no embarassment.

> Are you not willing to PUT your REAL name behind your words?

"Brian" or "bb" is good enough.

> I DID say I'd take a more civil tone with you inexchange for the
> same consideration.
>
> Apparently the offer fell on deaf ears and unwilling flesh.

Indeed. You couldn't even abide by your own words.

> > Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
> > "an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?
>
> That was Markie...Not you.

No dice. You must be civil to e-v-e-r-y-o-n-e here. If you cannot
handle being civil to certain individuals, DO NOT ADDRESS THEM!

> So...Are you not up to the one-on-one civility thingy, Brian?

I'm on to your games.

> > What a jerk.
>
> Yes, you're sure shaping up that way, despite your assertions to
> the contrary.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Speaking of shape, how's that CAP promotion folder photograph coming
along, MAJOR?

# 2257. Re: Day 8 - Re: 05-235 - Any new procode test arguments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 01:18:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132708694.636217.141730@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124773

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
> >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
> >>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>>>>> an old friend wrote:
> >>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >>>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> >>>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> >>>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."

Would that be in 1951 (when the Extra as we know it was created) or
some other time like the 1960s?
>
> Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
> rate was 20 WPM. Why? Because the older-timers influencing
> the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
> radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.

Who, exactly, are you talking about, Len? Who are the "older timers"
and the "NAAR lobbyists?"

You seem to be saying that back about 1951 the ARRL lobbied for
the creation of the Extra, with its 20 wpm code test. Is that what
you meant?

> It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
> Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
> set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.

Only if they passed the Extra written, as has already been pointed
out. But that's a different issue.

## 2258. Re: more evasion for stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 01:19:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132708765.548288.261930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124774

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> >
> > > > > That's assinine, Brian. Are you embarrassed of your own name?
> > > > >
> > > > > Are you not willing to PUT your REAL name behind your words?
> > > > >
> > > > > I DID say I'd take a more civil tone with you inexchange for the
> > > > > same consideration.
> > > > >
> > > > > Apparently the offer fell on deaf ears and unwilling flesh.
> > > >
> > > > Stvie just feels he is specail entitled to consideration beyond other
> > > > men
> > >
> > > "Stevie"
> > >
> > > Nope. But if you expect me to hold to certain rules and
> > > standards, then you'd best be holding to them yourself.
> >
> > I expect you to hold yopurself to YOUR own standards. That I hold
> > differeing standard is something I am quite proud of
> >
> > I don't carry on about things like Stevie and markie while tlaking
> > about Rape aids or pedophilia
> >
> > You want to hold other to your standards and then not keep to them
> > yourself
>
> Don't bother speaking to Steve of standards. He doesn't understand the
> concept though he manages of weave that word into his postings.

I know I have always known that mostly making the rest of the world
know it
>
> > > Brian has an 8 year history of his own of verbally abusing people
> > > who do not so treat him, specifically the absuses towards Dave and Jim
```

> > > that he refuses to address.
> >
> > you have a history of abusive yourself
> >
> > Dave and Jim are insulting Dave on almost every occasion jim mor
> > eoccasionaly
> >
> > I no of no reason Brain or myself my only choose to return the same
> > sort of insults for insulting behavoir I choose the manner in which I
> > choose to respond to insults, as is my right Brain would appear to do
> > the same
> >
> > > You and he both can whine all you want to about the alleged
> > > victimization you attribute to me, but you both have yourselves to
> > > blame for it.
> >
> > that is right nothing you do is YOUR fault everyone makes you be so
> > abusive
>
> I never realized how weak Steve was that everything he does and says is
> because someone made him do it.

stevie is one of the weakest people I have had the misfortune to meet
>
> > > I'm holding the line so far on Brian but I'll hit the Lottery
> > > before you ever manage to get your act together.
> > >
> > > > > > > Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
> > > > > > > "an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That was Markie...Not you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So...Are you not up to the one-on-one civility thingy, Brian?
> > > > >
> > > > > you are not into civlity at all
> > > >
> > > > And you've just exemplified why you deserve the title "liar".
> > >
> > > no I haven't
> > >
> > > you have never been civil here
> > >
> > > you showed calling people lazy and degenerated from there
>
> If Steve is truly not to blame for what he says and does, then maybe
> the State should have custody of him.

the State should sadly they likely will take the burden since he can
funtion to some extent in society.

it does show how warped his mind is he thinks you and BB should and CAN
be confined to a mental hosipital basicaly for offending him
>
> > > Don't want anymore "Markie" and references to your misdeeds in
> > > claiming to be a Colonel, etc etc etc? Apologize. Say you'll stop the
> > > "Stevie" and withdraw your allegations of "stalking", "lying",
> > > "harrassment" etc etc etc and we'll be on the road to recovery.
> >
> > you now want to lie? it would be a lie to say I think you tell the
> > truth much if at all
> >
> > You overlook your threatening behavoir, your claims of threatening acts
>
> He's a bully.

and a silly one since he can't realy reach me
>
> > > Can't bring yourself to do it? Then stop whining about the way
> > > you get treated because you'll be getting exactly what you deserve.
> >
> > everybody makes stevie act like a shit head, nothing is stevies fault
>
> He needs someone to look in on him and to look over him.

sure does will not happen mostly

## 2259. no

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 01:19:33 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132708773.533573.145930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124775

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> >
> > > > > That's assinine, Brian. Are you embarrassed of your own name?
> > > > >
> > > > > Are you not willing to PUT your REAL name behind your words?
> > > > >
> > > > > I DID say I'd take a more civil tone with you inexchange for the
> > > > > same consideration.
> > > > >
> > > > > Apparently the offer fell on deaf ears and unwilling flesh.
> > > >
> > > > Stvie just feels he is specail entitled to consideration beyond other
> > > > men
> > >
> > > "Stevie"
> > >
> > > Nope. But if you expect me to hold to certain rules and
> > > standards, then you'd best be holding to them yourself.
> >
> > I expect you to hold yopurself to YOUR own standards. That I hold
> > differeing standard is something I am quite proud of
> >
> > I don't carry on about things like Stevie and markie while tlaking
> > about Rape aids or pedophilia
> >
> > You want to hold other to your standards and then not keep to them
> > yourself
>
> Don't bother speaking to Steve of standards. He doesn't understand the
> concept though he manages of weave that word into his postings.

I know I have always known that mostly making the rest of the world
know it
>
> > > Brian has an 8 year history of his own of verbally abusing people
> > > who do not so treat him, specifically the absuses towards Dave and Jim

> > > that he refuses to address.
> >
> > you have a history of abusive yourself
> >
> > Dave and Jim are insulting Dave on almost every occasion jim mor
> > eoccasionaly
> >
> > I no of no reason Brain or myself my only choose to return the same
> > sort of insults for insulting behavoir I choose the manner in which I
> > choose to respond to insults, as is my right Brain would appear to do
> > the same
> >
> > > You and he both can whine all you want to about the alleged
> > > victimization you attribute to me, but you both have yourselves to
> > > blame for it.
> >
> > that is right nothing you do is YOUR fault everyone makes you be so
> > abusive
>
> I never realized how weak Steve was that everything he does and says is
> because someone made him do it.

stevie is one of the weakest people I have had the misfortune to meet
>
> > > I'm holding the line so far on Brian but I'll hit the Lottery
> > > before you ever manage to get your act together.
> > >
> > > > > > > Did you see in another thread where he changed your attribution from
> > > > > > > "an old friend" to "raped an old friend"?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That was Markie...Not you.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So...Are you not up to the one-on-one civility thingy, Brian?
> > > > >
> > > > > you are not into civlity at all
> > > >
> > > > And you've just exemplified why you deserve the title "liar".
> > >
> > > no I haven't
> > >
> > > you have never been civil here
> > >
> > > you showed calling people lazy and degenerated from there
> >
> > If Steve is truly not to blame for what he says and does, then maybe
> > the State should have custody of him.

the State should sadly they likely will take the burden since he can
funtion to some extent in society.

it does show how warped his mind is he thinks you and BB should and CAN
be confined to a mental hosipital basicaly for offending him
>
> > > Don't want anymore "Markie" and references to your misdeeds in
> > > claiming to be a Colonel, etc etc etc? Apologize. Say you'll stop the
> > > "Stevie" and withdraw your allegations of "stalking", "lying",
> > > "harrassment" etc etc etc and we'll be on the road to recovery.
> >
> > you now want to lie? it would be a lie to say I think you tell the
> > truth much if at all
> >
> > You overlook your threatening behavoir, your claims of threatening acts
>
> He's a bully.

and a silly one since he can't realy reach me
>
> > > Can't bring yourself to do it? Then stop whining about the way
> > > you get treated because you'll be getting exactly what you deserve.
> >
> > everybody makes stevie act like a shit head, nothing is stevies fault
>
> He needs someone to look in on him and to look over him.

sure does will not happen mostly

# 2260. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 01:25:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132709138.330605.173010@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124776

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > > > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
> > > > > > >
cuting back to a len remark some time ago
quote
We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
unquote

I can attest that several folks have in fact worked or should I say
have been worked over by K4YZ they to a man they proclaim him a "papa
oscar serria"
> > > >
> > > > Is that the papal 'we' or the royal 'we'?
> > > >
> > > Probably the multiple personality disorder "we."
> > >
> > Does it matter?
> >
> It would to a mental health professional.
>
> > None of them include you...Or Lennie...Or Markie...Or Toiddie...Or
> > Frankie...
> >
> That would be Len, Mark, Todd, and Frank.
>
> What's with the constant dishing out of the diminuitives?

it is ok for him we force to do it to us

but at least for my part I settle for him to stop with aids and
pedophilia crap I don't mind the "markie" bit so much. it is amoug the
least of Stevie many sins

# 2261. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 01:27:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132709265.283362.258450@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124777

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > Just finished another annual running to the two-weekend Sweepstakes contest (one
> > weekend Morse, one weekend phone).
cut

> > What are we (all of us) going to do to reverse this ominous trend?
> >
> > --
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Newcomers???
>
> I passed my Novice exam in November 1986 and I'm still considered a
> newcomer by your OF buddies here. And you ask what might be wrong with
> the ARS? Hi, hi!

at least it is a better thread than many on here and has some radio
content
>
> You're trolling again, right? That was at least an S7.

# 2262. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 01:59:21 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <oNadnYAWXNkXlh7enZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124771

Jim Hampton wrote:
> "fake name" <fake@name.xxx> wrote in message
> news:Xns971684C03A232jlwsecure@207.115.63.158...
>
>>Hams attempt to destroy GMRS like all the other radio services they see!
>>
>>
>>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gmrsvsham/
>
>
>
> Absolute nonsense. Why get on GMRS where there is so much more on 440 MHz
> (like repeaters - including fast-scan amateur television repeaters). It
> appears that cb types get labeled "hams" and cause grief for the amateur
> community.

It will be the cbers who will eventually destroy GMRS with their echo
boxes, leenars, and other crap, just like they did the cb band.

# 2263. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 02:00:43 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051122210043.979$vL@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"anon" <anon@anoncaveatremail.com> wrote:
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> > I really don't care one way or the other about code - but most folks
> > have no
> > idea how much information can be passed via that keyer. In my day, I'd
> > pass
> > dang near as much info in a given time as a teletype.
> /////// B.S. Snipped here/////////
>
> Oh how the tales of dariing do and "how great I am" grow
> taller and taller and more spectacular, as the years go by
> and more alcohol is ingested.....ROTFLMAO!
>
Jim is a great guy. You must have mis-read him.

# 2264. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 02:17:22 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132712242.747030.92590@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124779

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 22, 4:08 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat 19 Nov 2005 05:54
>> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >> > Meanwhile, Dudly is busy, busy kissing Hans' ass for that
>> >> > navel regulation of 2005. Dudly doesn't realize that the
>> >> > effective-date regulation is only for NOW, not 13 to 31
>> >> > years past.
>
>> >> It doesn't matter when the CURRENT regulation was updated.
>
>> Right...it will always apply to Dudly's alleged time (13 to 31
>> years ago) if it supports Dudly's claims.
>
>Not.

True, but Dud is still trying to use that as a rationale.

>> >> A quick review of the order suffix indicates it's a much-ammended
>> >> order.
>
>> So...it "applies" and thus "supports" Dudly even if it didn't.
>
>Not.

Still true, but Dud has bluffed so many times he can't under-
stand that others are wise to him.

>> >> A five minute phone call could verify my original statements.
>
>> Phone call to whom? :-)
>
>The National Command Authority.

Har! :-)

>> >> Unfortunately you are incapable of that simple task.
>
>> Dialling fingers are busy poking holes in Dudly's "arguments." :-)
>
>Has Steve ever been able to provide a straight-forward answer on
>anything?

Not in here.

>> >> Or unwilling...In short, a COWARD.
>
>> SOP for Dudly...when unwilling to stick to an "argument" he
>> resorts to name-calling.
>
>That was the "old" Steve. He's a new man now.

He's trying to imitate NEWMAN?!?

In trying to market salad dressing through supermarkets?

>> >Steve would rather have a tantrum that dig out his own 1974 copy of the
>> >regulation.
>
>> If and only if he had one...:-)
>
>> Imposters rarely have "evidence" at hand to "support" them. They
>> love to snow-job others into thinking they were actaully there.
>> Standard practice of con artists and used car salesmen.
>
>> When unable to verify his supposed existance, he tries the
>> "outraged" ploy. Neat misdirection from the thread operating
>> on several levels:
>
>> 1. It demonstrates his "toughness", as if he really was what
>> he say.
>
>> 2. It obscures the original thread in order to garner emotional
>> support from the few like-thinkers around.
>
>> 3. It misdirects the thread in an attempt to get his challengers
>> on the defensive; further back-and-forth now concerns his
>> puerile insults.
>
>> >Yet Hans, long retired, has a >current< copy of the uniform reg???
>
>> >Sumptin wrong there.
>
>> Captain Code works in mysterious ways...
>
>I sure wish I had the 2005 Accounting and Finance regulations in-place
>when I served.

I've got the idea that Jimmy Noserve has, and is sending them
around in private e-mail. :-)

Morsemen can do anything in impunity...or is it "special
dispensation?" They have a "mass" of lies. If only they
would go to confessional and admit them...

Not to worry for Thanksgiving. We have lots of morse turkeys
in here.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2265. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 02:19:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132712355.895228.48540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Nov 22 2005 3:18 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 18, 4:54 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 18 Nov 2005 04:52
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 16:22
>> >> >> >K0HB wrote:
>> >> >> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in message

>> >> >> >> My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
>> >> >> >> says:
>> >
>> >> >> >Steve was in the USMC on 08 JUL 05?
>> >
>> >> >> According to Google dated 17 November 05, Robeson claimed USMC
>> >> >> service from 1974 to 1992.
>> >
>> >> >I'm so glad that Steve was in compliance with regulations that were 31
>> >> >years in the future at the time he stood on the yellow footprints.
>> >> >Steve always was out of step with the rest of society.
>> >
>> >> He is a "man of many talents!" :-)
>> >
>> >> At least he hasn't minced around in baby shoes.
>> >
>> >His primary talent is lying.
>>
>> Lying around the house, lying around the barracks, lying around the
>> newsgroup...
>
>Welp, his agreement to be civil didn't last even 24 hours.

"Civil?" He was trying to be military...

>Do you think he lied, or is he just incapable of being civil? Or both?

Absolutely BOTH. A worse part is that he fails at both...

>> >> >> There is a 13 year to 31 year GAP in there.
>> >
>> >> >He got his uniforms issued at the GAP?
>> >
>> >> Probably at Sergeant Grit or some other Army-Navy surplus store.

>> >
>> >"GI Joes."
>>
>> Kinda small, but prolly bigger than his Johnson...
>
>He has a Johnson? Wonder if it has "E.F." stenciled on it?

Not enough room on it for initials too...

>> >> Dudly is a Code Warrior. Others are just Code Worriers.
>> >
>> >But they serve in other ways.
>>
>> "Waiter, there's soup in my fly!" :-)
>
>Use a napkin when eating soup.

Good thought...especiall if bean with bacon...(bacon is ham)

>> >> >> These mighty warriors certainly know how to turn off any
>> >> >> ham wannabes...which may be why Val Germann is still an
>> >> >> unmodified Tech for so long?
>> >
>> >> >Poor Val. Such ambition.
>> >
>> >> Well, that's how it goes...sometimes reality boots ya in
>> >> the ash.
>> >
>> >"There is no problem so large nor complex that it cannot be run away
>> >from."
>>
>> Jimmie not like that one bit. If'n he see what he think is a
>> promise many years ago, he keep after that person forever.
>>
>> Jimmie ought to go out to Misery and kick Val's butt for not
>> learning code!
>
>Maybe Val hears code so poorly sent by the Missouri VE that he cannot
>decode it?

GOOD POINT! Carroll left a legacy of deliberate poorly-
sent code? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2266. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 03:00:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5XQgf.1070$A23.436@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124781

N0IMD wrote
>
> Yet Hans, long retired, has a >current< copy of the uniform reg???
>
> Sumptin wrong there.
>

My son-in-law, a Major in USMC reserve, is Admin Officer of his unit. I QSP'd the thread to him, and he sent me a .pdf of the applicable MCO. Sumpin wrong with dat?

Sunuvagun!

Beep-beep
Little Billy

## 2267. Markies spelling troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 03:13:03 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051122221303.904$4U@newsreader.com>
Source record: 124782

Reckon why Markie's spelling improves in his demented sex personals?

You be the judge:

http://tinyurl.com/82gql

# 2268. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 03:49:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132717785.946138.141100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124783

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > Just finished another annual running to the two-weekend Sweepstakes contest (one
> > > weekend Morse, one weekend phone).
> cut
>
> > > What are we (all of us) going to do to reverse this ominous trend?
> > >
> > > --
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Newcomers???
> >
> > I passed my Novice exam in November 1986 and I'm still considered a
> > newcomer by your OF buddies here. And you ask what might be wrong with
> > the ARS? Hi, hi!
>
> at least it is a better thread than many on here and has some radio
> content

It's all about embracing the Morse Code.

> > You're trolling again, right? That was at least an S7.

I admit I was suckered into responding. Kind of like Hans with a 2005
uniform issue regulation that somehow applies to Steve's claims of
service in 1974.

"raped an old friend" says Tennessee Nurse Steven J Robeson, LPN. He's
clearly a misfit in any society.

# 2269. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 03:51:17 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <FERgf.81898$ty1.23709@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 124784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dr CB" <drcb@drcbisbest.com> wrote in message
news:dm03m3$4me$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
> news:44Mgf.17687$qw.1430@fed1read07...
>> All about GRMS at URL:
>> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/generalmobile/
>>
>> Requires a license The current fee for a new GMRS license is $80.
>>
>
>
> Why bother with a license? The money just goes into the pocket
> of the FCC bureaucrat who processes the application.

NO monies collected go into FCC's pocket, but into the US General Fund. FCC
operates on an assigned budget.
.....which is too small, IMHO.

J
>
>
>

# 2270. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 03:52:23 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <HFRgf.81899$ty1.78464@bignews1.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 124785

```
"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:oNadnYAWXNkXIh7enZ2dnUVZ_tCdnZ2d@gbronline.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>> "fake name" <fake@name.xxx> wrote in message
>> news:Xns971684C03A232jlwsecure@207.115.63.158...
>>
>>>Hams attempt to destroy GMRS like all the other radio services they see!
>>>
>>>
>>>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gmrsvsham/
>>
>>
>>
>> Absolute nonsense. Why get on GMRS where there is so much more on 440
>> MHz
>> (like repeaters - including fast-scan amateur television repeaters). It
>> appears that cb types get labeled "hams" and cause grief for the amateur
>> community.
>
> It will be the cbers who will eventually destroy GMRS with their echo
> boxes, leenars, and other crap, just like they did the cb band.

Will it then be the "General Mobile Chicken Band?" LOL!

J
```

# 2271. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 03:59:03 UTC
From: anon <anon@anoncaveatremail.com>
Message-ID: <dm0paj$sf4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:FERgf.81898$ty1.23709@bignews1.bellsouth.net...
>
> "Dr CB" <drcb@drcbisbest.com> wrote in message
> news:dm03m3$4me$0@pita.alt.net...
>>
>> "Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote in message
>> news:44Mgf.17687$qw.1430@fed1read07...
>>> All about GRMS at URL:
>>> http://wireless.fcc.gov/services/personal/generalmobile/
>>>
>>> Requires a license The current fee for a new GMRS license is $80.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Why bother with a license? The money just goes into the pocket
>> of the FCC bureaucrat who processes the application.
>
>
> NO monies collected go into FCC's pocket, but into the US General Fund.
> FCC operates on an assigned budget.
> .....which is too small, IMHO.
>

Go back to chasing trucks. You half-wit.
```

# 2272. Steve, as usual, Mike's BBS, etc... was: Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 04:01:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132718472.900317.149030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124787

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > Dave Heil wrote:
> > > > > > > KØHB wrote:
> > > > > > > > <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > cuting back to a len remark some time ago
> > > > > > > quote
> > > > > > > We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> > > > > > > ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
> > > > > > > unquote
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I can attest that several folks have in fact worked or should I say
> > > > > > > have been worked over by K4YZ they to a man they proclaim him a "papa
> > > > > > > oscar serria"
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Is that the papal 'we' or the royal 'we'?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Probably the multiple personality disorder "we."
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Does it matter?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > It would to a mental health professional.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > None of them include you...Or Lennie...Or Markie...Or Toiddie...Or
> > > > > > Frankie...
> > > > > >
> > > > > That would be Len, Mark, Todd, and Frank.
> > > > >
> > > > > What's with the constant dishing out of the diminuitives?
> > > > >
> > > > it is ok for him we force to do it to us
> > > >
> > > > but at least for my part I settle for him to stop with aids and
> > > > pedophilia crap I don't mind the "markie" bit so much. it is amoug the
> > > > least of Stevie many sins

As one of the few Christians on RRAP that Mike, Dave, Jim and Hans
absolutely won't make fun of, Steve will probably burn for his sins.

I wonder how Mike's BBS is coming along?

Is there anyone out there who can give him a hand??? Speed is of the >>>utmost<<< importance.

"rape an old friend" says Tennessee Nurse, Steven J Robeson, LPN

Hope he exits to the BBS soon.

# 2273. Re: N8WWM the cowardly keyboard felon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 04:11:36 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <YZRgf.15932$BZ5.1803@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 124789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051122181123.220$tV_-_@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM@arrl.net <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>> Steveo the sissyboy who is about to feel the sting wrote:
>>
> Hehehe, I felt the 'sting' of the salty laughter tears running down my
> face
> and into my eyes, after I witnessed YOU trying to hide from my camera,
> asswipe.
>
> I mean really, why did you repeatedly invite me over to "put me face down
> in a hurtin extreme" when you know damn well you don't have the spine to
> face me in person?
>
> Your whole deal is that lame gay shit you keep posting, n8wwm. Tell your
> court ordered head shrinker to either increase, or change you meds.
>
> A fine example of a ham radio operator you turned out to be, you and your
> felon tax cheat old lady kc8qjp, are pathetic.
>
> Btw, why do you post -ONLY- to rec.radio.cb?
>>
>> > http://n8wwm.4t.com <---- dogie the felon coward
>>
>> hey, do you wear a pink uniform in Saving Ryan's Privates?
>>
> You seen me on your sidewalk. Was I wearing pink, or were you too busy
> trying to hide from me to notice?
>
> I'm done responding to you on here for now...so grow up and stop ruining
> these newsgroups with your cross-posted lameness, you keyboard chickenshit
> blowhard N8WWM@arrl.net

You know Mopar, you shock me ;) Just when you can almost
predict the comment you'll make, you throw this wonderful literary
work up for perusing.

Well said.................

Landshark

For all you people in the cross post, understand that neither I or
Mopar are doing most of those hate posts, it's the resident troll from

Rec.Radio.CB..... Doug Adair N8WWM. Just google him or the phrase Keyclowns or Keyclownz to just get a small sample of his mental problems.

# 2274. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 04:11:36 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <YZRgf.15931$BZ5.1237@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 124790
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

"Dennis Kemmerer" <dk@suespammers.org> wrote in message
news:8rOgf.23714$dO2.20151@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net...
> "Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1132687944.948694.204390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!
>>
>> I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!
>>
>> I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!
>>
>> ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP IT
>> UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> You tell 'em, Mary.
>
> And flip your wrist when you say that!
>
> [Who the fuck is _this_ asshole?]

That's Rec.Radio.CB resident troll Doug Adair N8WWM
using mine and Mopar screen nic to attract attention. We've
ignored him & apparently his meds have worn off. Google him
and really see what kind of a nutcase he is.

Landshark

--
The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature,
or direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you
need from your computer, you are a fool.

Frank Gililland

# 2275. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 04:12:45 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132719165.604284.210610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124791

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 22, 4:08 am
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat 19 Nov 2005 05:54
> >> >K4YZ wrote:
> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >
> >> >> > Meanwhile, Dudly is busy, busy kissing Hans' ass for that
> >> >> > navel regulation of 2005. Dudly doesn't realize that the
> >> >> > effective-date regulation is only for NOW, not 13 to 31
> >> >> > years past.
> >
> >> >> It doesn't matter when the CURRENT regulation was updated.
> >
> >> Right...it will always apply to Dudly's alleged time (13 to 31
> >> years ago) if it supports Dudly's claims.
> >
> >Not.
>
> True, but Dud is still trying to use that as a rationale.

Typical assertion of a liar.

> >> >> A quick review of the order suffix indicates it's a much-ammended
> >> >> order.
> >
> >> So...it "applies" and thus "supports" Dudly even if it didn't.
> >
> >Not.
>
> Still true, but Dud has bluffed so many times he can't under-
> stand that others are wise to him.

Correction. Steve >>>THINKS<<< he has bluffed....

He has the mentality of an idiot. Thinks all others are idiots.

Look at his use of the concept of "standard."

> >> >> A five minute phone call could verify my original statements.
> >
> >> Phone call to whom? :-)
> >
> >The National Command Authority.

>
> Har! :-)

Wouldn't suprise me if he was on a first name basis with the
receptionist.

> >> >> Unfortunately you are incapable of that simple task.
> >
> >> Dialling fingers are busy poking holes in Dudly's "arguments." :-)
> >
> >Has Steve ever been able to provide a straight-forward answer on
> >anything?
>
> Not in here.

"... assertion of fact..."

His second most used phrase.

"Coward and Liar..."

His first most used phrase.

"raped an old friend" is a recent invention of Tennessee Nurse, Steven
J Robeson, LPN.

> >> >> Or unwilling...In short, a COWARD.
> >
> >> SOP for Dudly...when unwilling to stick to an "argument" he
> >> resorts to name-calling.
> >
> >That was the "old" Steve. He's a new man now.
>
> He's trying to imitate NEWMAN?!?

Balding? Pudgy?

> In trying to market salad dressing through supermarkets?

Sorry, I was thinking of Frazier.

> >> >Steve would rather have a tantrum that dig out his own 1974 copy of the
> >> >regulation.
> >
> >> If and only if he had one...:-)
> >
> >> Imposters rarely have "evidence" at hand to "support" them. They
> >> love to snow-job others into thinking they were actaully there.
> >> Standard practice of con artists and used car salesmen.
> >
> >> When unable to verify his supposed existance, he tries the
> >> "outraged" ploy. Neat misdirection from the thread operating
> >> on several levels:
> >

> >> 1. It demonstrates his "toughness", as if he really was what
> >> he say.
> >
> >> 2. It obscures the original thread in order to garner emotional
> >> support from the few like-thinkers around.
> >
> >> 3. It misdirects the thread in an attempt to get his challengers
> >> on the defensive; further back-and-forth now concerns his
> >> puerile insults.
> >
> >> >Yet Hans, long retired, has a >current< copy of the uniform reg???
> >
> >> >Sumptin wrong there.
> >
> >> Captain Code works in mysterious ways...
> >
> >I sure wish I had the 2005 Accounting and Finance regulations in-place
> >when I served.
>
> I've got the idea that Jimmy Noserve has, and is sending them
> around in private e-mail. :-)

Make sure he plusses up my direct deposit.

> Morsemen can do anything in impunity...or is it "special
> dispensation?" They have a "mass" of lies. If only they
> would go to confessional and admit them...

They have black mass and wrenchysnitch. Egg nog afterwards.

> Not to worry for Thanksgiving. We have lots of morse turkeys
> in here.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

And hamsticks, the other white meat.

## 2276. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 04:14:00 UTC
From: anon <anon@anoncaveatremail.com>
Message-ID: <dm0q6j$g5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4KWH = WHITE TRASH

Gastonia, NC = Trailer Trash capitol of the world.

# 2277. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 04:16:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132719412.953980.180030@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124792

KØHB wrote:
> N0IMD wrote
> >
> > Yet Hans, long retired, has a >current< copy of the uniform reg???
> >
> > Sumptin wrong there.
>
> My son-in-law, a Major in USMC reserve, is Admin Officer of his unit. I QSP'd
> the thread to him, and he sent me a .pdf of the applicable MCO. Sumpin wrong
> with dat?
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> Beep-beep
> Little Billy

Definitely sumptin wrong. You presented it as if it were applicable to
1974.

Why did you do that?

Can you also have your MAJOR Son-in-Law make the 2005 pay scales
applicable to 1974???

Hi!

Gotta love Hans constant trolling.

# 2278. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 04:17:06 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132719426.394917.148390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

To the contrary, I find 20 meters prime spectrum of interesting hams. Taking a break from business, I had the pleasure of talking all day on 20, only a minor part about the K1MAN story.

I'm glad you raised 20, because Glenn has weighed in within this thread on the subject, decrying its decay.. Contrary to his view, I find 20 meters upbeat. Quite a change from a short time ago when Riley came on board. Respect has replaced disrespect, a decent atmosphere has replaced one which was polluted (now the kids can listen), contesters are as avid as ever, friendly nets are ordinary operations, and hams, for the most part, act courteously toward one another.

In my view, 20 has undergone a sea shift for the better. Diatribes? If that is the way you wish to characterize this type of communication, be my guest.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

# 2279. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 04:37:33 UTC
From: Bwah Bwah Bob <Crybaby@crybobcry.net>
Message-ID: <dm0rio$652$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132719426.394917.148390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> To the contrary, I find 20 meters prime spectrum
//////////FLUSHED/////////

BWAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH

# 2280. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 04:49:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3xSgf.2542$rM2.1501@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124795

N0IMD wrote

> It's all about embracing the Morse Code.

Not at all, Brian. It's about getting newcomers involved in on-the-air activities of any mode.

In fact specifically points out that in 10,000 QSOs, newcomers (at least to this contest) are most likely to be voice operators by a ratio of over 3:1.

Then it points out what I consider to be a scary wakeup call --- that newcomer activity in both modes seems to have fallen by half in just a short 5-year period.

Finally it asks what we (all of us) can do to turn the ship around.

Thanks, Mark and John, for your thoughtful suggestions.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2281. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 05:03:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IKSgf.370$Hk1.260@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124796

KB9RQZ wrote

> peronsonaly I am looking forward to being able to
> effectively bein things likke a RTTY contest I have
> heard of

That contest is the first full weekend in January (if memory serves). It's one of my favorites, but I need to find a better software package for it.

> or id love to see some kind of SSTV contest althought
> the noise would likely drive everyone else nuts but
> collecting for contest a number of SSTV images and
> maybe some kind of multipliers like FD added to
> something for the igmage quality perhaps

SSTV doesn't have a large pool of users. I wonder if you could interest enough of them to have a "critical mass" of participants. On the other hand, maybe having a contest would interest others in the mode. I like your idea of using "image quality" as a multiplier or "weighting factor" perhaps.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2282. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 05:09:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IQSgf.375$Hk1.104@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124797

N0IMD wrote

> Why did you do that?

Because it was the only version he had.

> Can you also have your MAJOR Son-in-Law make the 2005 pay scales
> applicable to 1974?

Naw, he's the Admin Officer, not the Disbursing Officer. Darn it, I wish he could though, because I'd be due a nice fat lump-sum in overdue back pay!

Beep-beep
Little Billy

# 2283. Re: Steve, as usual, Mike's BBS, etc... was: Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 05:28:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <e6Tgf.383$Hk1.331@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124798

N0IMD wrote

> As one of the few Christians on RRAP that Mike, Dave,
> Jim and Hans absolutely won't make fun of, Steve will
> probably burn for his sins.

"God loves you, and you'd better believe it, or he'll condemn you to burn in hell."

Glad I don't belong to THAT cult!

Beep-beep
Little Billy

# 2284. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 05:46:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132724804.113005.215810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124799

KØHB wrote:
> KB9RQZ wrote
>
> > peronsonaly I am looking forward to being able to
> > effectively bein things likke a RTTY contest I have
> > heard of
>
> That contest is the first full weekend in January (if memory serves). It's one
> of my favorites, but I need to find a better software package for it.

well then i will likely miss it another year even if the R&O come out
quickly they likely will not effective that quickly
>
> > or id love to see some kind of SSTV contest althought
> > the noise would likely drive everyone else nuts but
> > collecting for contest a number of SSTV images and
> > maybe some kind of multipliers like FD added to
> > something for the igmage quality perhaps
>
> SSTV doesn't have a large pool of users. I wonder if you could interest enough
> of them to have a "critical mass" of participants. On the other hand, maybe
> having a contest would interest others in the mode. I like your idea of using
> "image quality" as a multiplier or "weighting factor" perhaps.

SSTV is one of my favorite modes and was my introduction to many of the
hams around here

when we were shoping I would fill my digial cam in the flied and send a
bunch of the pic back to a waiting set up in my hotel room,(to make
some room for more) did drive a couple of the local a bit nuts
listening to them as they monitored the repeater, but one of the
interfaced for it and lo another SSTV was made other installed mute
funtions to the stations (one interfed to a smal stereo with a remote
that inculded Mute functions he and I are geting along even if he
thinks me a bit strange
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2285. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 06:02:17 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132725737.918776.147510@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124900

No, last time I heard it was you that did that

# 2286. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 07:14:16 UTC
From: Blah Blah Blah Bob <NWTn.@anon>
Message-ID: <dm14nq$bqg$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132719426.394917.148390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
To the contrary, I find 20 meters prime spectrum of interesting hams.
Taking a break from business, I had the pleasure of talking all day on
20, only a minor part about the K1MAN story.
,

At the risk, "Preacher", of being characterized as being one of the
uninteresting Hams on 20 meters, may I?
Yes, you often do talk "all day on 20". And you talk, and you talk, and you
talk. At the end of the day, Bob, you have spent hours talking, and in the
process you actually say little.

Yes, previous posters are, in the vernacular, 'right on the money' when they
comment that many of them have made it a habit to spin their VFO to another
frequency when you arrive on scene. Why do you suppose this is, Bob?
Obviously what you have to say/bloviate is of little to no importance to the
majority of Hams within earshot of you, but that hardly gave you reason to
pause, did it? You blab on, undeterred, and are somehow convinced that you
are offering something of interest and value.

And, Bob? Please DO try to be just a tad bit less verbose. I realize that
you fancy yourself as a wordsmith, but try, at least, to keep your
vocabulary within the context of what it is you are attempting to convey.
You are, for the most part, not dazzling any of us with your attempts at
brilliance.
,
In my view, 20 has undergone a sea shift for the better. Diatribes?
If that is the way you wish to characterize this type of communication,
be my guest.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
,

Yes, Bob. In your view I am sure it has. Meanwhile, Bob, many of us will
continue to do as we have been doing when we hear you on 20M. Turn you off.

# 2287. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 07:21:36 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132730496.797106.91610@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124902
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

U-Know-Who wrote:
> "Jenn" <jennconducts@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1132698901.167345.253670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >> Landshark wrote:
> >> > I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!
> >> >
> >> > I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!
> >> >
> >> > I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!
> >> >
> >> > ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP IT
> >> > UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >>
> >> Fuck off and die of your AIDS, you cocksucking FAGGOT!
> >>
> >> Lloyd Davies
> >> 710 S. Clinton St.#203
> >> Athens, AL 35611
> >
> > Good God, Lloyd, grow up and conquer your insecurities.
> >
>
> And learn to recognize a forge from a troll.

I dunno about that...but we recognize a crackhead keyclown when we see
one.

# 2288. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 07:36:09 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132731369.444752.210720@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124903
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

what will you do? beat them up with your leather bag of vibrators?

# 2289. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 07:37:34 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132731454.054904.182240@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124904
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

wrong again assclown

# 2290. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 07:40:01 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132731601.280045.311150@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

you aint a CBer you are a sissy keyclown

# 2291. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 07:42:14 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132731734.937900.318600@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

only weirdo fairies go around snapping pictures of people and homes of those they cant deal with on the internet. weirdo stalkers like you have a day coming. that day will be when WE choose, ass-slurper.

# 2292. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 07:43:07 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132731787.465317.268260@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

dont help that sissy, he will try to have sex with you.

# 2293. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 08:08:43 UTC
From: Lights Out <PsychoWoger@MommasPlace.uz>
Message-ID: <dm17tt$otr$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:K8idnSCRaaz0PR7eRVn-iw@gbronline.com...
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
>>
>>>Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
>>>character
>>>
>>
>>Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
>
>
> Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
> hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955 and
> was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet you
> even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.
>

Where you been the last few days 2test? Get behind on cleaning out those
port-a-potties?

>

2test was on hiatus at the mental ward. He had to be reevaluated for his
psychoactive drug prescriptions.
He had heart problems, too. Had to have a few stents put in.
2test is on a low cholesterol diet, which means no more trips across the
river to Wheeling for tube steaks.
The Lavender Lads are going to miss him.

# 2294. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 09:06:51 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132736811.521200.233920@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Steveo wrote:
> "anon" <anon@anoncaveatremail.com> wrote:
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny...
> > >
> > > I really don't care one way or the other about code - but most folks
> > > have no
> > > idea how much information can be passed via that keyer. In my day, I'd
> > > pass
> > > dang near as much info in a given time as a teletype.
> > /////// B.S. Snipped here/////////
> >
> > Oh how the tales of dariing do and "how great I am" grow
> > taller and taller and more spectacular, as the years go by
> > and more alcohol is ingested..........ROTFLMAO!
> >
> Jim is a great guy. You must have mis-read him.

moparholic at hotmail dot com is a great gay, I mean guy. a great guy
at being gay.

## 2295. Re: Why illegal CB'ers harass KC8LDO in ng's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 09:08:44 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132736924.477400.288860@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

you slurp any man who wants it

# 2296. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 10:58:42 UTC
From: Dionisio <moc-rr-thgisniTA@5ellimd.com>
Message-ID: <CXXgf.137259$tD4.122454@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 124911
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy wrote:

>what will you do? beat them up with your leather bag of vibrators?
>
>

Handcuffs, a nice osterich feather and some Icy Hot. ;-)

--
"If Christians want us to believe in a Redeemer, let them act redeemed."
--Voltaire

# 2297. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 11:11:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132744306.280000.65030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

anon wrote:
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:x6Ogf.14141$cg.9569@news02.roc.ny...
> > "anon" <anon@anoncaveatremail.com> wrote in message
> >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> >> news:cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny...
> >> >
> >> > I really don't care one way or the other about code - but most folks
> > have
> >> > no
> >> > idea how much information can be passed via that keyer. In my day, I'd
> >> > pass
> >> > dang near as much info in a given time as a teletype.
> >> /////// B.S. Snipped here/////////
> >>
> >> Oh how the tales of dariing do and "how great I am" grow
> >> taller and taller and more spectacular, as the years go by
> >> and more alcohol is ingested.....ROTFLMAO!
> >
> > Didn't take you long to reply. Who's ingesting alcohol?
> /////////*MORE* B.S. Snipped/////////
>
> I I I I I I I I I, me me me me me me me me me me
>
> That's the way your type tells stories of grandeur and
> how wonderful I am.....

Jim...Looks like the works of Fans of the Feeble Five.

Consider the source.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 2298. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 11:22:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132744950.895517.91530@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124913

N9OGL wrote:
> First off moron, I'm NOT going to shut my site down, nor am I'm going
> to be THREATEN by a bunch of pantsy ### license CB operators called ham
> radio operators, because they don't like what's on it.

Todd, here's a REAL challenge for you.

Whip out your OWN "..pansy ### license (for) CB..." and write
"CANCEL" on it in big, black letters.

Mail it to the Federal Communications Commission in Gettysburg.

The YOU won't BE one of those "...pansy ### 'es...", and I'll be
REALLY impressed with your demonstration of your convictions.

You can even go back and re-test your license and I'll pay to get
N9OGL back for you.

But until then, you're the Queen Pansy ### Herself.

> Secondly I don't
> get on a public message borard and tell the whole ####ing world that
> this site isn't secure, nor does microsoft, or the other (what?)holes you
> mentioned.

Obviously the security of the site wasn't that big a secret to
someone who knows how to check, so what's your beef?

> The common practice is to email the server operator and tell
> him about it not get on a ####ing newsgroup and show how the material
> can be accessed. ####head!!!

But your site violates the Terms and Conditions of your provider
in that you are providing a site for the distribution of obscene
material as it pertains to the representation of children participating
in sex acts. So who cares a rat's kazoo about broadcasting your
security issues?

Steve, K4YZ

## 2299. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 11:29:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132745375.739850.85050@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124914

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> > cuting back to a len remark some time ago
> > quote
> > We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> > ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
> > unquote
> >
> > I can attest that several folks have in fact worked or should I say
> > have been worked over by K4YZ they to a man they proclaim him a "papa
> > oscar serria"

No you can't.

Not a one.

Provide some callsigns, Markie, otherwise you're just manufactuing
yet ANOTHER of your great many bits of deceit.

> > > > > > Is that the papal 'we' or the royal 'we'?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Probably the multiple personality disorder "we."
> > > > >
> > > > > Does it matter?
> > > >
> > > > It would to a mental health professional.
> > > >
> > > > None of them include you...Or Lennie...Or Markie...Or Toiddie...Or
> > > > Frankie...
> > >
> > > That would be Len, Mark, Todd, and Frank.
> > >
> > > What's with the constant dishing out of the diminuitives?
> >
> > it is ok for him we force to do it to us
> >
> > but at least for my part I settle for him to stop with aids and
> > pedophilia crap I don't mind the "markie" bit so much. it is amoug the
> > least of Stevie many sins
>
> As one of the few Christians on RRAP that Mike, Dave, Jim and Hans
> absolutely won't make fun of, Steve will probably burn for his sins.

Hey Brian...Why are you manufacturing yet another bit of deceit?

Hans has roasted me rather thoroughly on occassions...Sometimes
rightfully so, others not to...

Jim doesn't mid at all going toe-to-toe with me when he feels he's
got a point to make. Yet you never see him call me a name, not I him.
Hmmmmmmmm...Guess that pretty well throws some of the "can't
participate in civil debate" rants out the window....

Not that they weren't out the window YEARS ago.....

> I wonder how Mike's BBS is coming along?
>
> Is there anyone out there who can give him a hand??? Speed is of the
> >>>utmost<<< importance.
>
> "rape an old friend" says Tennessee Nurse, Steven J Robeson, LPN

Nope. That's NOT what I said. If you're going to quote me, quote
me accurately, Brian.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2300. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 11:32:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132745545.382133.15020@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124915

fake name wrote:
> Hams attempt to destroy GMRS like all the other radio services they see!

Troll, troll, troll. This one wouldn't even budge Hans'
Troll-o-Meter. This was oh-so-lame on so-many levels.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2301. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 11:37:18 UTC
From: Bonnie Bitch <bonnieb@fifispa.org>
Message-ID: <a2l8o1189ruv9upbhpjv009tcs7bb5nf7v@4ax.com>
Source record: 124917
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

On Wed, 23 Nov 2005 00:09:40 GMT, the faaaaabulous supreme deity
Tlahuizcalpantecuhtli, Ruler of the heavens and host of fab parties,
opened the heavens and shone his light upon the wisdom of "Dennis
Kemmerer" <dk@suespammers.org>

>"Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:1132687944.948694.204390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!
>>
>> I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!
>>
>> I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!
>>
>> ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP IT
>> UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>You tell 'em, Mary.
>
>And flip your wrist when you say that!

No, no, no -- etiquette demands that a hair toss would be in order,
just to give the spittle and foam from his mouth that extra bit of
projection.

>[Who the fuck is _this_ asshole?]
>

May the Blessings of Gong Gong rain ubiquitously and reign supreme!

Rev. Bonnie Bitch, Universal Life Church

## 2302. Re: Markies spelling troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 11:37:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132745855.536005.151480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124916

Steveo wrote:
> Reckon why Markie's spelling improves in his demented sex personals?
>
> You be the judge:
>
> http://tinyurl.com/82gql

That was several years ago in Illinois...Markie had one of the neighborhood kids coming in and doing his typing for him (his hillarious "typist pool")

Markie now lives in the Upper Penninsula of Michigan. I am sure the neighborhood there is much smaller and it's harder for a "man" of Markie's preferences to "function" without drawing the attention of the neighbors, ergo harder to get one of the kids to enter the house without parental oversight.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2303. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 11:41:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132746112.113906.128230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 19 Nov 2005 19:52:48 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> wrote in <1132458768.111195.262190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >> On 19 Nov 2005 06:59:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1132412393.223242.268720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> > > cut
> >>
> >> >Steve, K4YZ
> >>
> >> I'm going nowhere until you address your lies, Dud.
> >
> >I hope you have a comfy chair you will be here a LONG time
>
> I've been on the internet for over 15 years, and was networking
> locally about 12 years before that using a dual 8" floppy DEC and a
> Silent 700 with built-in modem pushing 300 baud -- I can wait.

I am sure there are a LOT of floppies in your life, Frankie, your
Marine Corps "career" among them.

Until then, you have yet to even come close to a "point" other
than making stuff up.

Perhaps you should consider a career in writing children's tales?
You've already demonstrated a talent for fiction. Just finding an
appropriate target audience may be a bit challenging for you, though.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2304. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 11:53:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132746823.451558.49540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > > On 19 Nov 2005 06:59:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

> > Those with more guts than you are welcome to call/write/e-mail
> > HQMC and verify my original statement.
>
> in other word you are too lazy to you own homework

Nope.

I already diod it.

I already know the facts.

I provided the five of you a point-of-refrence with which to
verify my statemets.

> and where is your SSnum?

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
!

YOU THINK I AM GOING TO GIVE YOU MY SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER SO YOU
CAN VERIFY A MARINE CORPS ORDER THAT'S PUBLIC DOMAIN
INFORMATION....?!?!?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > > > I didn't pay a dime. Nor was it deducted from my pay.
> > > >
> > > > The "chits" accounted for government money spent...Not mine.
> > > >
> > > Get a grip, Dud -- anyone who's ever been through MCRD knows better.
> > >
> > Then why don't you?
>
> you are the one lacking a grip

Nope.

Your buddy Frankie is LYING to you, Markie, as he is everyone else
he want's to have believe his tales!

Frank Gilliland has already been proven (by his own admission) to
have been a "####bird PFC". In other words he was deadweight while he
WAS in the Corps, and his inability to keep a clean nose for just ONE
tour is proof of that.

I've further busted him on numerous details HE should have known
about.

> > Perhaps YOUR story is the one worthy of REAL investigation!
>
> why you acusing him of hiding a 3rd Courtmartial?

WTF did I say that, you moron...?!?!?!?!

> cut
> UNQUOTE

At this point you have snipped a lot of relevent stuff to the
following comments:

> > > > So Frankie...What about those non-existant MP's...?!?!
> > >
> > > Well by golly, you -REALLY CAN- provide proof of something. It wasn't
> > > that hard, was it, Dudly? Or did Han's send you the info in an email?
> > > Either way, you were willing and able to address the issue. Progress!
> >
> > I've been doing it all along.
>
> nope

Yep.

Did it right there in the paragraph you "snipped", yet followed up
with a comment, Markie.

Frankie insisted that the Marines DON'T have Military
Police...Just "Shore Patrol", yet the USMC has a whole MOS Occupational
Field dedicted to it.

> > > > Need some more Kleenex to clean off that bloody nose, punk?
> > >
> > > Nope, and you are too dumb to see that you just gave -yourself- a
> > > bloody nose.
> >
> > Nope. That's "collateral damage" from you, Snivvelling One.
>
> still a bloody now little boy

That's right..Frankie's nose is STILL bloody. Of course I am sure
you LIKE that kind of thing, having publically stated the only thing
you DON'T like is "solid waste".

Steve, K4YZ

## 2305. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 11:59:07 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132747147.143226.227610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124920

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
> > > > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > >>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
> > > > >>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > > >>>>>> an old friend wrote:
> > > > >>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > >>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >>>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> > > > >>>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> > > > >>>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."
> > > > >
> > > > >>> Not true, Len.
> > > > >
> > > > >> ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis. Everyone realizes it. Why not
> > > > >> admit that it is so?
> > > > >
> > > > >Let's go through it, shall we? Pro-coders (one can only wonder if Len
> > > > >means those who favored morse testing, those who favored morse use or
> > > > >those who were simply proficient at morse code) made up their own
> > > > >regulations. It isn't explained how or if these "pro-coders" all became
> > > > >Extra Class ticket holders. Extra Class license holders can't obtain
> > > > >that license without passing the most difficult theory and regulatory
> > > > >written exam offered in U.S. amateur radio and not all of those with
> > > > >morse code skills became Extra Class licensees. Len's statement appears
> > > > >to have some gaping holes.
> > > >
> > > > Tsk, tsk, tsk. NO "holes," Heil. An abbreviated synopsis
> > > > is all.
> > >
> > > Big holes, Len.
> > > >
> > > > Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
> > > > rate was 20 WPM.
> > >
> > > Unless a medical waiver was obtained, in which case the Extra
> > > could be had for a code test of as little as 5 wpm.
> > >
> > > The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring

> > > that
> > > also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test
> > > speed
> > > for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
> >
> > That's what Len said.
>
> No, it isn't. He left out the part about the medical waivers, which
> became a reality in 1990.

I'm sure that people with disabilities really get under your skin.

> > > > Why? Because the older-timers influencing
> > > > the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
> > > > radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
> > >
> > > No, that's not true at all.
> >
> > It does have that appearance.
>
> How?
>
> The ARRL did not create the Extra class license in 1951. They did
> not lobby for it either.
>
> > Why don't hams working for the FCC have
> > to put away their licenses as to not incur a conflict of interest?
>
> What hams worked at FCC in 1951?
>
> > That would like having a big oil president and vice president holding
> > oil stocks.
>
> Do you think they don't?
>
> > > > It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
> > > > Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
> > > > set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.
> > >
> > > What about the thousands of others - like myself - who earned the
> > > license because we wanted the privileges? In my case, that was
> > > in 1970, at the age of 16.
> >
> > You mom and dad provided you with three hots and a cot.
>
> So? That's what responsible parents do.
>
> I also had to go to school and make acceptable grades. Do all assigned
> chores at home and work (yes, I worked then). Plus all the usual
> activities of a kid my age back then.

Or what? Let's say you brought home a "D" in math and science.

> > > > >> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
> > > > >> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
> > > > >> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
> > > > >> and could only get a SECOND class.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
> > > > > >First Phone.
> > > > >
> > > > > Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
> > > > > makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
> > > > > 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
> > > > > of that time.
> > > >
> > > > Where?
> > > >
> > > > Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners. They
> > > > were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC). In fact,
> > > > back then
> > > > FCC required 2 years' experience as a General or higher license just to
> > > > *try*
> > > > the Extra test.
> > >
> > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> >
> How? Dick Bash did not see the actual exams except by taking them.

Then he published them.

> > > > > The Commercial license was still more difficult
> > > > > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
> > > > > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
> > > > > modes in Commercial radio then.
> > > >
> > > > But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of those
> > > > who *did* take both say the Extra written was "harder".
> > >
> > It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
> > for a commercial endeavor.
> >
> Wasn't too hard for a 16 year old between 10th and 11th grade. In fact,
> I'd have gotten it more than a year earlier except for the 2 year
> waiting
> period.

"The Man" still keeping you down?

> > "A Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use." N2EY
> >
> Brian Burke, you have written that quote here several times, and
> claimed I
> wrote it. But I did not write that sentence - you did. Check google and

> show us what I actually wrote on that subject.
>
> > I agreed with you then and I agree with you now.
>
> You're only agreeing with something you wrote. Not what I wrote.

In all fairness, I should recheck the quote.

## 2306. OK, Brian...Let's See How You Dodge This One

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 12:07:05 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132747625.013088.256340@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124921

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > That's his right. But there's a lot of baggage to drag around with
> > > claims of seven hostile actions and he can't mention the particulars of
> > > one of them. Some of the baggage includes not even believing him about
> > > clathing issue. Hi!
> >
> > The only "issue" you have at your disposal to play with is my
> > refusal to discuss certain aspects of my military service. Everything
> > else has been dispositioned with references to third party sources that
> > can verify my claims.
> >
> > That you refuse to use them is your problem, not mine.
>
> Steve, you are so unbelievable on so many different levels that we get
> to decide what to believe and what to discard. And most of what you
> utter in here is completely discardable.

Nope.

You'd PREFER that it be discardable, however the truth is that if
you followed up on it, you'd have nothing to rant and rave about.

Starting off with verifying my previous MARS calls and
participation.

However I guess you figure that there's SOME way that I managed to
"corrupt" those resources? All THREE headquarters for MARS programs?

> > And "clathing"...?!?!
> >
> > More Mark Morgan phonetics?
>
> True to form, you go after the really important stuff.

Sorry, Brian. It was like a zit on the tip of the nose of a
supermodel...You can't help but notice...

> > > > Interesting that nonebody had come in with U.S. amateur radio is
> > > > expressly forbidden to use any cryptographic means to obscure
> > > > the meaning of communications. Not to worry. Hans will be
> > > > along shortly to quote chapter and verse from Part 97...
> > >
> > > Extra Dick/W0EX, RIP, had a work-around to that rule. He went on for

> > > months about how to thwart those pesky No-Code Technicians trying to
> > > decode his Morse enCoded transmissions with a 'puter.
> >
> > It will be interesting to see if Markie jumps in and accuses you
> > of "defiling" Dick.
>
> I don't use the memory of W0EX in a joke.

Nor did I. You just insulted him for his pointing out Morse
readers can be defeated.

I mentioned his callsign was coming available for reassignment. I
further wished him well "wherever he is..."

You're welcome to respond to this comment with a quote from the
post where you allege I made a "joke" with him, Brian...but hey...We
know you won't...Well...CAN'T, actually....

> > I just mentioned his old call was about to be eligible for
> > reissue, and he (Col. Pagan) went on and on about "defiling" the
> > dead...
>
> Incorrect. You made a joke about the 10th district calls, using Dick
> in a comparison. Bully for you.

No, I didn't.

I've used YOU as a comparison. I just pointed out Dick's old call
was available in February, 2006. That's a fact.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2307. slap illegal keyclowns legally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 12:14:02 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132748042.314998.279870@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

HOW TO SLAP SISSY KEYCLOWNS IN THE WALLET WHEN THEY TRY SELLING THEIR ILLEGAL GARBAGE ON EBAY!

Log into ebay as you normally would and get ready to browse for illegal keyclown items! Keep the illegal keyclown equipment auction numbers you find in a text file.

Open a second browser and log on again. This time, use it to do the following:

Surf on over to http://pages.ebay.com/securitycenter/index.html
1. select "Report another problem"
2. click "Report problem"

This will take you to a page with 3 windows:
1. In the top window, select:"report a listing policy violation or prohibited (banned) item"
2. In middle window, select "Prohibited (banned) items"
3. In bottom window, select "electronics"
4. click "continue"

Now you will be on the "Contact us" page. Click on "email".

This will take you to a page to email Ebay security about the illegal keyclown equipment you find.
1. Type in the number of the auction that you want to rat out. You can type in up to 10 auctions, so cruise around your favorite place on Ebay to find illegal keyclown equipment and fill the box up! Type in the numbers like this: 000000000,00000001,000000002, etc. No gaps between the commas and the next number.
2. Before hitting the "send" button, make sure to copy/paste your ratouts to a text file. You want to save them so you can post them in the rec.radio.cb newsgroup. This is a public service to the legal CB'ers, who want all this keyclown gear eradicated. It also has a great side effect of getting keyclowns to squeal, call you names, and otherwise complain. They hate it when they get their clocks cleaned like this.
3. Now, you are ready to do your duty as a ham or a CB'er, and show that you are not a keyclown operator like they are. Click "send"!

Repeat as many times for as many days as you want, keep those keyclowns whining like the sissies they are!

Happy Anti-Keyclowning!

PS: Below are some recently ratted out ebay auctions. Rat them out again! There is strength in numbers, and the more people ratting them out the more they may just get off their butts and do something!

5833876820,5740481604,5740489534,5833878018,5833847319,
5833823592,5833114798,5833804930,5833684876,5832910386

5833799256,5833762988,5833758264,5833731627,5833720933,
5833652272,5833691525,5833691159,5833689250,5833683095

5833643091,5833585500,5833528600,5833416126,5833403314,
5833391431,5833371597,5833341609,5833303832,5833303828
5833303828,5833302648,5833211790,5833210852,5833194598,
5833128689,5833110626,5833094582,5832939644,5832773029
5832930266,5832918912,5832916962,5832915153,5832913656,
5832903958,5832903696,5832899833,5832800150,5832885024
5832853128,5832729030,5832633548,5832524409,5832252405,
5832483361,5832443522,5832229976,5832115646,5832113552
5832073155,5831922359

## 2308. Re: moparholic@hotmail.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 12:15:57 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132748157.181231.199710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

if sharkie aint gay maybe its just his husband mopathetic

## 2309. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 12:16:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132748216.853055.290960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124924

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring
> > that
> > also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test
> > speed
> > for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
>
> That's what Len said. But when you say it, it just takes longer.
> Congratulations.

No, it wasn't, but that's OK. We know you were trying to "cover"
Lennie's "six".

> > > Why? Because the older-timers influencing
> > > the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
> > > radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
> >
> > No, that's not true at all.
>
> It does have that appearance.

Some men in "drag" have a certain "appearance", however certain
OTHER truths remain.

So with your "argument".

> Why don't hams working for the FCC have
> to put away their licenses as to not incur a conflict of interest?
> That would like having a big oil president and vice president holding
> oil stocks.

I am sorry.

Was there a point here?

Is there some evidence of a licensed Amateur trying to
inappropriately influence the actions of the Commission? Or was that
just a feigned pass...?!?!

Some facts, please?

> > > It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
> > > Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
> > > set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.
> >

> > What about the thousands of others - like myself - who earned the
> > license because we wanted the privileges? In my case, that was
> > in 1970, at the age of 16.
>
> You mom and dad provided you with three hots and a cot. Unless you
> were raised in an orphanage where the Christians or the County provided
> the three hots and a cot.

Again...A point? (other than the poor grammar?)

> > > >> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
> > > >> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
> > > >> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
> > > >> and could only get a SECOND class.
> > > >
> > > >Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
> > > >First Phone.
> > >
> > > Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
> > > makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
> > > 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
> > > of that time.
> >
> > Where?
> >
> > Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners. They
> > were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC). In fact,
> > back then
> > FCC required 2 years' experience as a General or higher license just to
> > *try*
> > the Extra test.
>
> Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.

No.

Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.

He violated federal law in the process. He should have gone to
prison a long time ago.

> > > The Commercial license was still more difficult
> > > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
> > > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
> > > modes in Commercial radio then.
> >
> > But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of those
> > who *did*
> > take both say the Extra written was "harder".
>
> It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
> for a commercial endeavor.

Now, thanks to Dick Bash and a whole generation of Burger
King'ers, we don't have to do either.

> "A Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use." N2EY
>
> I agreed with you then and I agree with you now.

And I disagree with you now as then.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2310. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 12:24:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132748640.694284.154450@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124925

>But your site violates the Terms and Conditions of your provider
>in that you are providing a site for the distribution of obscene
>material as it pertains to the representation of children participating
>in sex acts. So who cares a rat's kazoo about broadcasting your
>security issues?

Boo hoo stebie, if I'm in violation of the term and conditions so are
all those people I know who are using there service and emailing their
friends Porn.

Todd N9OGL
The Voice of Amateur Radio
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

Demented Otaku BBS
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php

Demented Otaku Image Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

# 2311. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 12:30:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132749028.212506.24870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124926

an old friend wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 18, 2:08 am
> >
> >
> > >You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
> > >
> > >http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
> >
> > "Wait?" That EDITORIAL piece was in the 13 October 2005 issue,
> > Jimmie. Today is 18 November. Why are you so LATE?
> >
> > My paper copy of Electronic Design has been sitting
> > around for a month. It's a no-charge subscription to those
> > IN the electronics industry; aren't you one of those? The
> > cover feature is "Weapons of Mass Protection." Feature
> > article is (on page 27) subtitled "Where the Homeland
> > Security Dollars Are."
> >
> > Oh, I understand, you are against making a profit. An
> > unusual attitude for someone who claims professional (for
> > money) employment. Tsk, tsk...no wonder you won't reveal
> > your employer's name!
>
> in all fairness that refusual is one of the reasonsi try to cut jim
> some slack. it is the senible thing
>
> Stevie wants us to know he is an ER LPN which means given his address
> (qrz of course) and check of the phone book (online of course) one
> could work out where he works and deliver to him the trouble he tries
> to deal out to others

This was a point? And relevent to the FACT that one of the
"professional" jopurnals that Lennie claims doesn't discuss Amateur
Radio DOES not only DISUCSS it, but lauds it?

And Markie, you can TRY to "deal out" what ever you care to do...It
will only get dismissed as incoherent rantings.

> Jim and dave for that matter have enough sense not to reveal there
> employment info online, Steve OTOH doesn't have that much sense hemakes
> easy and tempting to look it up and try to raise havoc with it, and
> flames the restof usfor not puting ourselves out there where we can be
> hit

No...YOU put out information where you can be "hit".

And I STILL don't see where ANY comments made by Lennie, Dave, Jim, or ANYone has any relevence to your rantings, Markie.

Not a one.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2312. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 12:47:35 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132750055.039712.309020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124927

an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
> > >
> > > http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Given the millions and millions and millions spent on communications
> > infrastructure...
> >
> cut
>
> > " " "Meanwhile, ham radio operators proved that older technology can be
> > the most reliable technology. Our EDA Editor (and ham) David Maliniak
> > wrote an online column on the subject, pointing out that sometimes "old
> > works when new doesn't." (Read it and add your comments at ED Online
> > 11136.) During and after Katrina, hams running on generators (sometimes
> > with makeshift antennas) worked throughout the hurricane zone to put
> > emergency stations on the air. They guided rescuers to stranded victims
> > and updated weather services via the Hurricane Watch Net." " "
> >
> > Wait a minute. The Guard says their equipment is antiquated and needs
> > replaced, but the ARS service says that older technology may be what is
> > needed.
> >
> > Obviously, they can -both- be right. When wide area vhf+ comm systems
> > go down, they have to switch to simplex where they can, and HF/NVIS
> > networks for the rest of the area.
>
> in point of fact they both can be right
>
> the virtue of Ham radio is we can forge links as we need to the
> downside is by and large we have to every time, the abilty to use use
> the resource is of value when "something that got overlooked shows up"
>
> problems with that senario are the ARS lack much abilty to forge stable
> conections and must invent them continously this is hard for your govt
> and other first responders to use so they gtry to go higher and higher
> tech trying to not to need us

The problem, Markie, is that "higher and higher tech" is EXACTLY
why they have problems that DO need us!

The current circumstances in southern Louisiana are clear proof.
Once again the cellphone net, trunked and interlinked Public Service
communications systems CRASHED under the weight of Katrina.

> ham ego's also get in the way as witness the reaction saying that the
> response of ham radio was less than it could have been while much
> better then the Govt own response

Oh yeah...Our ego's got in the way...yeah. Right. Uh huh. Sure.
OK.

> Yes I am dispointed in the response I saw and heard to Katrina and Rita
> I am apaled by the response of LA and NO not thrilled with FEMA either
> but as can be send they are dependant on the locals for infrastructure

There is no way that any one state can truly "gear up" for the kind
of disaster response that Katrina and Rita mandated...Not even
California with it's sixth or eighth place GNP could withstand this
kind of impact without calling in federal assistance.

Amateur Radio's BIGGEST contribution in this scenario is it's
decentralized locating of assets. With thousands of licessees and
stations spead out over the entire nation, there's no way that anything
short of complete nuclear annihalation, pandemic disease or
apoctolyptic act of God could obliterate it.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2313. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 12:50:09 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132750209.342487.98240@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124928

N9OGL wrote:
> >But your site violates the Terms and Conditions of your provider
> >in that you are providing a site for the distribution of obscene
> >material as it pertains to the representation of children participating
> >in sex acts. So who cares a rat's kazoo about broadcasting your
> >security issues?
>
> Boo hoo stebie, if I'm in violation of the term and conditions so are
> all those people I know who are using there service and emailing their
> friends Porn.

For once, Toiddie, you got something absolutley right that I can't
argue with.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2314. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 12:50:14 UTC
From: "Gary S." <Idontwantspam@net>
Message-ID: <l8p8o11pqkg6tjcu0eb53h5n2aqk0dmps8@4ax.com>
Source record: 124929
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

On Tue, 22 Nov 2005 13:26:03 GMT, "Nick Markowitz"
<nick-markowitz@verizon.net> wrote:

>Thats why they call it Childrens Band!
>
>And look whats happened to GMRS with the $59.99 GMRS/FRS 12 mile handie
>talkies which in very very small print say GMRS liscense required.
>
I was very impressed with the printing quality which allows them to
use such a small typeface.

They seem to use the same typeface for the phrase "up to" in the
description of their range.
Happy trails,
Gary (net.yogi.bear)
--
At the 51st percentile of ursine intelligence

Gary D. Schwartz, Needham, MA, USA
Please reply to: garyDOTschwartzATpoboxDOTcom

# 2315. WAS: Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him! NOW: The Whole World Is Laughing At Lennie Laughing At No One In Particular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 13:21:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132752107.369958.32560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124930

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>
> show some decencyt and at least keep to attack thread titles down to a
> couple of line in length

I'll tell you what, Markie...

I'll start doing what YOU want when you publish a sincere,
unconditional apology and retraction for the seven years of lies about
alleged stalking, threats, military service, etc, that you've polluted
the NG with vis-a-vis me.

Deal?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2316. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 13:26:41 UTC
From: Manuel DelGaddo <mexico@manueltacosboy.org>
Message-ID: <dm1qir$646$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124931

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:IKSgf.370$Hk1.260@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> SSTV doesn't have a large pool of users. I wonder if you could interest
> enough of them to have a "critical mass" of participants. On the other
> hand, maybe having a contest would interest others in the mode. I like
> your idea of using "image quality" as a multiplier or "weighting factor"
> perhaps.

Hey Hans I hear the Legion Hall is sponsoring a new drink for the
war story crowd. It is called the "critical mass" special?

Pepe Laurito
Mexican Taco Radio Club

# 2317. Re: WAS: Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him! NOW: The Whole World Is Laughing At Lennie Laughing At No One In Particular, and NOW We're Laughing At Markie of Michigan And His Whinigs About Subject Lines!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 13:29:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132752586.145852.186970@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124932

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:

> show some decencyt and at least keep to attack thread titles down to
a
> couple of line in length

I'll tell you what, Markie...

I'll start doing what YOU want when you publish a sincere,
unconditional apology and retraction for the seven years of lies about
alleged stalking, threats, military service, etc, that you've polluted
the NG with vis-a-vis me.

Deal?

Steve, K4YZ
.

## 2318. Re: slap illegal keyclowns legally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 14:03:06 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <2test@twotestboywog.net>
Message-ID: <dm1sn5$f67$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ebay is but a tiny drop of the illegal/foreign equipment trade.
The majority of illegal equipment is bought/sold/traded at
hamfest boneyards (yes, *ham* boneyards), flea markets, and
over the air. Also, many legit storefronts deal in illegal equipment,
*if* you know how to ask for it. I would say more, but I
don't want to give k4kwh an orgasim.

# 2319. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 14:59:38 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132757978.581788.97100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Blah, Blah,

Turn me off; that is surely your prerogative. Based upon my results, though, for every individual exercising your type of disgression, there are hundreds who call, talk and befriend. My cup runneth over.

Now the latest on K1MAN as of November 23, 2005

K1MAN email to Riley Hollingsworth, Esq, FCC of November 22, 2005

"you QSYed to 14.313 so as to
wipe out everyone up there! You were in clear violation of FCC rules
by using more power than required to maintain your amateur radio
communications, and you deserve a $22,000 NAL!"

Last night, troubled by the K1MAN email to the FCC, I mulled it. And what I came up with is dispositive of K1MAN's final disposition. Not one to readily use the word lie, meaning misrepresentation with scienter, I'm compelled to write that K1MAN's intention yesterday was to deceive the FCC.

Here's why. "you QSYed (changed frequency) so as to wipe out everyone up there!" Here K1MAN arrogates unto himself knowledge of intentional interference and charges that I went on 14.313 to "wipe out everyone up there." Nothing could be further from the truth. I made one transmission there: "Is this frequency occupied?" When the answer was affirmative, I moved.

By making a false charge and failing to produce the mitigating or exculpatory information of what, in fact, happened, K1MAN, who holds himself out as a ham radio leader, maliciously misleads our regulator, the FCC. Where a good part of ham radio is voluntary compliance and cooperation in the resolution of problems, K1MAN does not possess the moral character to be part of this colossus..

If this were his only transgression, a fair minded person might be prone to let it slide with a slap on the wrist. However, the on-air course of his performance shows that his conduct here is but a minor part thereof.

Please make this part of the K1MAN record at the FCC.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

## 2320. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:18:29 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132759109.619734.287680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124935

Accessing parts of my computer without my permission huh?? IMHO a
violation of federal the law.

# 2321. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:35:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132760148.884398.189880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
K4YZ wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 19 Nov 2005 19:52:48 -0800, "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
> > wrote in <1132458768.111195.262190@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > >
> > > >Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > >> On 19 Nov 2005 06:59:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > >> <1132412393.223242.268720@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> > > > cut
> > > >>
> > > >> >Steve, K4YZ
> > > >>
> > > >> I'm going nowhere until you address your lies, Dud.
> > > >
> > > >I hope you have a comfy chair you will be here a LONG time
> > >
> > I've been on the internet for over 15 years, and was networking
> > locally about 12 years before that using a dual 8" floppy DEC and a
> > Silent 700 with built-in modem pushing 300 baud -- I can wait.
>
> I am sure there are a LOT of floppies in your life, Frankie, your
> Marine Corps "career" among them.

more of stveie BS
cut
```

# 2322. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:39:05 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132760345.490730.44930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

All right, here's a well drawn post in which I can sink my teeth. Bob
Sherin, W4ASX

Blah Blah Blah Bob
Nov 23, 2:14 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.amateur.dx, rec.radio.cb
From: "Blah Blah Blah Bob" <NWTn.@anon> - Find messages by this author

Date: Wed, 23 Nov 2005 02:14:16 -0500
Local: Wed, Nov 23 2005 2:14 am
Subject: Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO
WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sher...@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132719426.394917.148390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
To the contrary, I find 20 meters prime spectrum of interesting hams.
Taking a break from business, I had the pleasure of talking all day on
20, only a minor part about the K1MAN story.
,

At the risk, "Preacher", of being characterized as being one of the
uninteresting Hams on 20 meters, may I?
Yes, you often do talk "all day on 20". And you talk, and you talk, and
you
talk. At the end of the day, Bob, you have spent hours talking, and in
the
process you actually say little.

Yes, previous posters are, in the vernacular, 'right on the money' when
they
comment that many of them have made it a habit to spin their VFO to
another
frequency when you arrive on scene. Why do you suppose this is, Bob?
Obviously what you have to say/bloviate is of little to no importance
to the
majority of Hams within earshot of you, but that hardly gave you reason
to
pause, did it? You blab on, undeterred, and are somehow convinced that
you
are offering something of interest and value.

And, Bob? Please DO try to be just a tad bit less verbose. I realize
that
you fancy yourself as a wordsmith, but try, at least, to keep your
vocabulary within the context of what it is you are attempting to
convey.
You are, for the most part, not dazzling any of us with your attempts
at
brilliance.
,
In my view, 20 has undergone a sea shift for the better. Diatribes?
If that is the way you wish to characterize this type of communication,

be my guest.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
,

Yes, Bob. In your view I am sure it has. Meanwhile, Bob, many of us
will
continue to do as we have been doing when we hear you on 20M. Turn you
off.

---
---

W4ASX Replies 11/23/2005

Blah Blah Blah,

Thank you for your post. I especially appreciate it because your
points and position, well expressed, are clear.

Pluralism, the concept essentially of "different strokes for different
folks" is the responsive topical sentence. For sure, the realization
is there that not everyone is interested in the subject-matter of my
posts. Also, that writing, as an art form, is challenging. What turns
me on is to sink my teeth into a story of which I have unique knowlege
and try to reach an audience with that knowledge who come away
entertained and better informed.

Well understood is the difficulty of word-smithing. For our language
is so very rich when wielded to its full extent. All words have
semantical frameworks, so the choice is exacting. Does my effort to
write mean I'm a great writer? No. Ever since trying to go beyond
myself in writing 30 years ago, I've struggled to improve, marvelling
at those successful people whose text leaps off the page.

Notwithstanding, I think through my posts, spend time editing, read
responses with arespect, then try to participate, changing according to
the input coming back.

If you put my call and name into a newsgroup search, you'd be surprised
with the results. This isn't the only place nor subject on which I
post. I've posted in groups on religion and law as well. I'm also a
published author in publications too numerous to list here, but here

are some: The Miami Herald, The Miami News, Computer World, Datamation, the Westside Gazette, Florida Skip, South Florida Business Journal.

I was paid for some of the articles. So to tell me, I'm not read is clearly false. I know I'm read from your responsive posts, calls, emails, and knowledge people often show who communicate with me. You can also read it on the Net: One ham posted (about the KV4FZ story): to understand the KV4FZ story, "see Bob Sherin, W4ASX's work."

More, to critcize operation on ham radio is self defeating. If we need anything, we need to get the hams out and on the air, whether it be code, rag chewing or contests. To characterize my operation on ham radio is a waste of time in the face of my disclosure that I'm up to my ears in business and use this collosssus for relaxation, is a misrepresentation. The fact is, I'm not on much of the time when I'm on the road doing gigs.

Whatever your opinions, fellow hams, have a happy holiday, at a time when I have 3 gigs scheduled, moving my 85 pound Peavy speakers and sound systems around. You enjoy while I work.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

# 2323. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:43:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132760606.093135.61360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > > > On 19 Nov 2005 06:59:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
> > > Those with more guts than you are welcome to call/write/e-mail
> > > HQMC and verify my original statement.
> >
> > in other word you are too lazy to you own homework
>
> Nope.

yes too lazy
>
> I already diod it.

"diod"
>
> I already know the facts.

which might be true but it has nothing to the matters at hand

>
> I provided the five of you a point-of-refrence with which to
> verify my statemets.

nope you have provided a point where we could waste our time if we were
that stupid

without a lot more data thatere is no way to verify your cliams

there is for example no way to verify if we were able to verfy your
claims about a Steve J Robeson that the person was you
>
> > and where is your SSnum?
>
cuting
> YOU THINK I AM GOING TO GIVE YOU MY SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER SO YOU
> CAN VERIFY A MARINE CORPS ORDER THAT'S PUBLIC DOMAIN
> INFORMATION....?!?!?!?!

you wisely refuse to provide it I agree

but the flip side is your claims can't begin to verfied without ti
cut

> > > > > I didn't pay a dime. Nor was it deducted from my pay.
> > > > >
> > > > > The "chits" accounted for government money spent...Not mine.
> > > > >
> > > > Get a grip, Dud -- anyone who's ever been through MCRD knows better.
> > >
> > > Then why don't you?
> >
> > you are the one lacking a grip
>
> Nope.
>
> Your buddy Frankie is LYING to you, Markie, as he is everyone else
> he want's to have believe his tales!

likely in some way. everybody lies after all
>
> Frank Gilliland has already been proven (by his own admission) to
> have been a "####bird PFC". In other words he was deadweight while he
> WAS in the Corps, and his inability to keep a clean nose for just ONE
> tour is proof of that.

I know you lack respect for the lower ranks you show that often but
your lack of respect does not impact on reality. it is part of the
mindset that has left the Army with more col's than machinegunners but
nothing wrong with being a private
>
> I've further busted him on numerous details HE should have known
> about.
>
> > > Perhaps YOUR story is the one worthy of REAL investigation!
> >
> > why you acusing him of hiding a 3rd Courtmartial?
>
> WTF did I say that, you moron...?!?!?!?!

I was asking since you were not being clear

>
> > cut
> > UNQUOTE
>
> At this point you have snipped a lot of relevent stuff to the
> following comments:
nope nothing relavant to me
cut
> > > Nope. That's "collateral damage" from you, Snivvelling One.
> >
> > still a bloody now little boy
>
> That's right..Frankie's nose is STILL bloody.

you need to learn english before you go about trying to correct it

> Of course I am sure
> you LIKE that kind of thing, having publically stated the only thing
> you DON'T like is "solid waste".

you my be sure but you are stupid in thinking so
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2324. steve is coward afraid to asnwer a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:44:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132760693.608381.33900@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> anon wrote:
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:x6Ogf.14141$cg.9569@news02.roc.ny...
> > > "anon" <anon@anoncaveatremail.com> wrote in message
> > >> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > >> news:cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny...
what has sexuality got to do with radio

## 2325. steve is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:45:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132760756.583928.132930@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124940

K4YZ wrote:
> fake name wrote:
> > Hams attempt to destroy GMRS like all the other radio services they see!
cuting

what has sexuality to with radio?

## 2326. stevie is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:46:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132760815.996288.230170@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124941

K4YZ wrote:
> Steveo wrote:
> > Reckon why Markie's spelling improves in his demented sex personals?
> >
> > You be the judge:
> >
what has sexuality got to do with radio

## 2327. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:48:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132760911.375579.142560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124942

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring
> > > that
> > > also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test
> > > speed
> > > for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
> >
> > That's what Len said. But when you say it, it just takes longer.
>
what has sexuality to do with radio

## 2328. stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:49:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132760976.660850.87720@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124943

K4YZ wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > show some decencyt and at least keep to attack thread titles down to
> a
> > couple of line in length
>
> I'll tell you what, Markie...
>
> I'll start doing what YOU want when you publish a sincere,
> unconditional apology and retraction for the seven years of lies about
> alleged stalking, threats, military service, etc, that you've polluted
> the NG with vis-a-vis me.

you want to LIE for you now

no way
>
> Deal?

what has sexuality to do with radio

answer the question coward
>
> Steve, K4YZ
> .

## 2329. stevie is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:52:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132761164.196594.99380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124944

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
cut
> > > And "clathing"...?!?!
> > >
> > > More Mark Morgan phonetics?
> >
> > True to form, you go after the really important stuff.
>
> Sorry, Brian. It was like a zit on the tip of the nose of a
> supermodel...You can't help but notice...
>
stevie claiming I made him do something

what has sexulaity to do with the radio

answer the question you coward
flushing the rest

## 2330. stevie is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:55:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132761350.483263.262560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124945

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
> > > >
> > > > http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > Given the millions and millions and millions spent on communications
> > > infrastructure...
> > >
what has human sexuality got to do with radio

## 2331. stevie is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:56:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132761375.578665.108730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124946

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 18, 2:08 am
> > >
> > >
> > > >You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
what does human sexuality have to do with radio

## 2332. stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 15:59:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132761575.356191.124210@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124947

what has sexuality to do with ɪadio

## 2333. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:01:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132761665.510172.95280@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124948

what has sexuality to do with ɾadio

## 2334. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:01:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132761673.421022.31850@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124949

what has sexuality to do with ɪadio

## 2335. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:01:22 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132761682.208651.193940@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124950

what has sexuality to do with ɪadio

# 2336. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:02:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132760096.789409.225640@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124951

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > cuting back to a len remark some time ago
> > > quote
> > > We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> > > ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
> > > unquote
> > >
> > > I can attest that several folks have in fact worked or should I say
> > > have been worked over by K4YZ they to a man they proclaim him a "papa
> > > oscar serria"
>
> No you can't.

wrong again i have therefore i can
>
> Not a one.
>
> Provide some callsigns, Markie, otherwise you're just manufactuing
> yet ANOTHER of your great many bits of deceit.

no way and subject them to YOU for further abuse

no way
I am much more polite than that
>
cut
> > > but at least for my part I settle for him to stop with aids and
> > > pedophilia crap I don't mind the "markie" bit so much. it is amoug the
> > > least of Stevie many sins
> >
> > As one of the few Christians on RRAP that Mike, Dave, Jim and Hans
> > absolutely won't make fun of, Steve will probably burn for his sins.
>
> Hey Brian...Why are you manufacturing yet another bit of deceit?

what deceit?
>
> Hans has roasted me rather thoroughly on occassions...Sometimes
> rightfully so, others not to...
>
> Jim doesn't mid at all going toe-to-toe with me when he feels he's

> got a point to make. Yet you never see him call me a name, not I him.
> Hmmmmmmmm...Guess that pretty well throws some of the "can't
> participate in civil debate" rants out the window....
>
> Not that they weren't out the window YEARS ago.....
>
> > I wonder how Mike's BBS is coming along?
> >
> > Is there anyone out there who can give him a hand??? Speed is of the
> > >>>utmost<<< importance.
> >
> > "rape an old friend" says Tennessee Nurse, Steven J Robeson, LPN
>
> Nope. That's NOT what I said. If you're going to quote me, quote
> me accurately, Brian.
it is acurate more accurate than yourself
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2337. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:07:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132762020.970684.149630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124952

what has sexuality to do with ɾadio

## 2338. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:07:08 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132762028.838431.305030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124953

what has sexuality to do with ɪadio

## 2339. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:07:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132762037.522861.150550@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124954

what has sexuality to do with ɾadio

## 2340. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:07:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132762053.646967.21660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124955

what has sexuality to do with ɪadio

## 2341. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:07:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132762068.706916.22610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124956

what has sexuality to do with ɾadio

## 2342. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:07:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132762078.954014.157100@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124957

what has sexuality to do with ɾadio

## 2343. stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:08:20 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132762100.623646.309300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124958

what has sexuality to do with ɪadio

## 2344. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:08:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132762117.942125.155320@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124959

what has sexuality to do with ɾadio

## 2345. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:08:45 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132762125.309957.147250@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124960

what has sexuality to do with ɪadio

## 2346. steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:08:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132762130.150005.156020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124961

what has sexuality to do with ɪadio

# 2347. Re: steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:15:39 UTC
From: Iitoi <iitoi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <LA0hf.2644$aA2.2637@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124962

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1132762130.150005.156020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> what has sexuality to do with radio
>

We all wonder why we were treated to 14 copies of this message. Is 14 a majick number among pagans? Is there now a wiccan hex on Steve? Does anybody give a damn?

The Man in the Maze
QRV at Baboquivari Peak

--
Iitoi

## 2348. a confession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:46:40 UTC
From: k4yz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132764400.075051.263500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124963

I have been in error in making the implied claim that anyone sexuality
was relavant to Radio ARS or otherwise

## 2349. Re: steve is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:49:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132764584.019906.311580@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124964

Iitoi wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1132762130.150005.156020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > what has sexuality to do with radio
> >
>
> We all wonder why we were treated to 14 copies of this message. Is 14 a majick
> number among pagans? Is there now a wiccan hex on Steve? Does anybody give a
> damn?

in strict order of your asking
yes, perhaps, were cared enough to ask
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRV at Baboquivari Peak
>
> --
> Iitoi

## 2350. stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:50:17 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132764617.488799.62750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124965

what does sexuality have to do with radio

## 2351. stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 16:52:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132764731.795677.143740@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124966

what has sexuality to do with ɪadio

## 2352. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 17:23:17 UTC
From: RHF <rhf-newsgroups@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <1132766597.871234.5230@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124967

YoJimBo - So... 'you' are into Self-Portraits ~ RHF
.
.
. .
.

## 2353. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 18:00:55 UTC
From: Big Mouth Bob <Blah@bigmouthbob.net>
Message-ID: <dm2al0$9o3$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132757978.581788.97100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Turn me off; that is surely your prerogative.
///////remaining drivel snipped here////////

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
```

## 2354. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 18:02:12 UTC
From: Big Mouth Bob <Blah@bigmouthbob.net>
Message-ID: <dm2and$a4m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132760345.490730.44930@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> All right, here's a well drawn post in which I can
/////////Remaining Drivel Snipped Here//////////

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

## 2355. answer the question stevie the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 18:21:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132770106.878365.290800@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124970

what does sexuality have to do with radio ham or otherwise

## 2356. steve lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 18:24:41 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132770281.193190.155230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124972

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >But your site violates the Terms and Conditions of your provider
> > >in that you are providing a site for the distribution of obscene
> > >material as it pertains to the representation of children participating
> > >in sex acts. So who cares a rat's kazoo about broadcasting your
> > >security issues?
> >
> > Boo hoo stebie, if I'm in violation of the term and conditions so are
> > all those people I know who are using there service and emailing their
> > friends Porn.
>
> For once, Toiddie, you got something absolutley right that I can't
> argue with.

meanin gthat you have been carring on about nothing as is normaly the
case you

the sever company doesn't see a problem and yet people are supposed to
dance to your tune\

the server copany sees it people as compling with their ToS so you were
blowing shit
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2357. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 18:24:57 UTC
From: Lights Out <PsychoWoger@MommasPlace.uz>
Message-ID: <dm2c18$g9i$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132757978.581788.97100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
Blah, Blah,

Turn me off; that is surely your prerogative. Based upon my results,
though, for every individual exercising your type of disgression, there
are hundreds who call, talk and befriend. My cup runneth over.

Now the latest on <snip>

It is not only your cup that runneth over, Bob.

# 2358. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 18:36:30 UTC
From: Big Mouth Bob <Blah@bigmouthbob.net>
Message-ID: <dm2cnn$jog$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Bob has been beside himself, ever since he lost his job as
kv4fz's spin doctor.

# 2359. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 18:39:00 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dm2cuu$eko$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"anon" <anon@anoncaveatremail.com> wrote in message
news:dm0a97$uni$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> > I really don't care one way or the other about code - but most folks
have
> > no
> > idea how much information can be passed via that keyer. In my day, I'd
> > pass
> > dang near as much info in a given time as a teletype.
> /////// B.S. Snipped here/////////
>
>
>
> Oh how the tales of dariing do and "how great I am" grow
> taller and taller and more spectacular, as the years go by
> and more alcohol is ingested.....ROTFLMAO!

A fantastic tale in its day but back to present day what is the benefit? I
think you will find most people will know you can say anything via Morse
just like you can talking so being amazed at what can be passed via Morse
seems a strange thing to highlight. You can pass much more information by
talking. In the past fair enough but times have moved on. Morse is now an
out-dated form of communication practised now by just radio Amateurs.
To any Amateurs who like Morse then that's fine for them. They will learn it
and use it where they like and I have no problems at all about that. But...
to ramble on about it constantly and belittle anyone not really interested
in "just the Morse" side of radio is a bit unfair branding them as inferior
radio users (not aimed at you personally here) Amateur radio can still be a
fascinating Hobby without it, or as I would like, not a forced issue but one
of choice.

Regards,
Graham

--
---
Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham

# 2360. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 18:47:49 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dm2dff$f1j$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 124975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:x6Ogf.14141$cg.9569@news02.roc.ny...
>
> "anon" <anon@anoncaveatremail.com> wrote in message
> news:dm0a97$uni$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny...
> > >
> > > I really don't care one way or the other about code - but most folks
> have
> > > no
> > > idea how much information can be passed via that keyer. In my day,
I'd
> > > pass
> > > dang near as much info in a given time as a teletype.
> > /////// B.S. Snipped here/////////
> >
> >
> >
> > Oh how the tales of dariing do and "how great I am" grow
> > taller and taller and more spectacular, as the years go by
> > and more alcohol is ingested.....ROTFLMAO!
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Didn't take you long to reply. Who's ingesting alcohol? I've to go to
work
> tomorrow morning (like 5:00 AM). What is your excuse?

Wow thats early. I dont need to get into work until 8am. Not a dustman are
you?

> I've put perfect copy on paper using a manual typewriter at 40 words per
> minute. A number of minutes' worth. My mention of 40 or 50 words per
> minute was a reference to some of the wonder boys whose great exploits
> simply consist of 'cut and paste' on the Internet.

If that is adiquate then whats wrong with cut and paste? Only an idiot would
sit there re-typing out something that could just as easily be cut and
pasted unless it was an original work of course.

> I'm simply saying that a good operator can likely keep up with most folks
> that are trying to enter original content and not simply pass along a
> digital picture, or a 'cut-and-paste' from someone else.

A good operator? Depends on your impression of a good operator. A good operator to me is someone who uses the radio sensibly, stays legal and cares about interference and deals with it if caused. Someone who goes on about ooh i can do this or i can do that trying to put themselves above other operators in my opinion have a vanity problem. As far as pictures go id rather use the internet as its a more appropriate media for that.

> Then again, original content might be something you aren't familiar with.
>
>
> With due regard,
> Jim AA2QA

Also with due regard and no disrespect intended. Just my 10p worth.
Graham

--
---
Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham

# 2361. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 19:26:49 UTC
From: Diarrhea-Mouth Bob <SpinDoctor@spindoctorbob.net>
Message-ID: <dm2fm3$113$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132617694.954956.296490@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> You obviously read
//////FLUSHED//////

How many 75 meter nets have now declared you
Persona non grata Bob?

# 2362. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 20:11:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132776699.848656.77100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124977

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Nov 22 2005 8:16 pm

>K0HB wrote:
>> N0IMD wrote
>> >
>> > Yet Hans, long retired, has a >current< copy of the uniform reg???
>> >
>> > Sumptin wrong there.
>>
>> My son-in-law, a Major in USMC reserve, is Admin Officer of his unit. I QSP'd
>> the thread to him, and he sent me a .pdf of the applicable MCO. Sumpin wrong
>> with dat?
>>
>> Sunuvagun!
>>
>> Beep-beep
>> Little Billy
>
>Definitely sumptin wrong. You presented it as if it were applicable to
>1974.
>
>Why did you do that?

The answer is obvious. Hans is Amateur Extra and a long-time
Morseman. Dudly is Amateur Extra. They all hang together.

Until they hang separately.

>Can you also have your MAJOR Son-in-Law make the 2005 pay scales
>applicable to 1974???
>
>Hi!

Hot darn! Make it applicable to 1954 and I can collect a
BUNDLE of back pay for two years as an E-5! Wow! What a
thought! Wife and I could have a wonderful, long, luxurious
cruise on a ship without having to become hurricane victims
first!

>Gotta love Hans constant trolling.

Well, is IS a fisherman. Nothing wrong with fishing. In water.

Sometimes I think his electric trolling motor doesn't have
a fully charged battery...

Wow! I made an "A llure" for his line! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2363. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 20:12:47 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132776767.119282.81040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

i haven't been on 75 meters for years.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

# 2364. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 20:13:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1132776815.230514.91550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124979

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Nov 22 2005 8:12 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 22, 4:08 am
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat 19 Nov 2005 05:54
>> >> >K4YZ wrote:
>> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> >> >> > Meanwhile, Dudly is busy, busy kissing Hans' ass for that
>> >> >> > navel regulation of 2005. Dudly doesn't realize that the
>> >> >> > effective-date regulation is only for NOW, not 13 to 31
>> >> >> > years past.
>> >
>> >> >> It doesn't matter when the CURRENT regulation was updated.
>> >
>> >> Right...it will always apply to Dudly's alleged time (13 to 31
>> >> years ago) if it supports Dudly's claims.
>> >
>> >Not.
>>
>> True, but Dud is still trying to use that as a rationale.
>
>Typical assertion of a liar.

True again, but Dud doesn't live in reality, he lives in Dudworld
where fantasy and self-promotion override logic and reality.

>> >> >> A quick review of the order suffix indicates it's a much-ammended
>> >> >> order.
>> >
>> >> So...it "applies" and thus "supports" Dudly even if it didn't.
>> >
>> >Not.
>>
>> Still true, but Dud has bluffed so many times he can't under-
>> stand that others are wise to him.
>
>Correction. Steve >>>THINKS<<< he has bluffed....

I disagree. Dudly lives in a fantasy of his own mind. He just
doesn't understand that the rest of us live in reality.

>He has the mentality of an idiot. Thinks all others are idiots.

In a fantasy "mentality" is defined solely by the beliefs of
the individual. Dudly may very well Believe that he is
"smart" because of that Belief in his fantasy.

>Look at his use of the concept of "standard."

That's part of the Dudworld fantasy. It may be "standard" there
but it doesn't apply to reality. Dud wants to make all conform
to his fantasy where he is the "standard" by which all others
are measured.

>> >> >> A five minute phone call could verify my original statements.
>> >
>> >> Phone call to whom? :-)
>> >
>> >The National Command Authority.
>>
>> Har! :-)
>
>Wouldn't suprise me if he was on a first name basis with the
>receptionist.

...or the security guards who threw him out! :-)

>> >> >> Unfortunately you are incapable of that simple task.
>> >
>> >> Dialling fingers are busy poking holes in Dudly's "arguments." :-)
>> >
>> >Has Steve ever been able to provide a straight-forward answer on
>> >anything?
>>
>> Not in here.
>
>"... assertion of fact..."

In Dudworld the "facts" are what Dudly fantasizes they are.
Naturally such "facts" fit what Dud thinks they should be.

>"Coward and Liar..."
>
>His first most used phrase.

Another manifestation of Dud's fantasy and the imaginary
Dudworld "standard" and "facts." Dud is highly frustrated
that he cannot meet the real standards of reality in
everything, so his frustration causes him to call his
opponents for "cowards" and "liars."

It's a very black-and-white set of absolutes in Dudworld
fantasy. Agree with Dudly and all are true, honest, brave.
Disagree with Dudly and all are cowards and liars. Simple.
Unreal.

>"raped an old friend" is a recent invention of Tennessee Nurse, Steven
>J Robeson, LPN.

Dudly is probably sexually frustrated as well. He has frequently
brought up comments of a sexual nature along with charges of
sexual deviancy or inadequacy among his opponents when sexuality
was not a topic of a thread.

>> >> >> Or unwilling...In short, a COWARD.
>> >
>> >> SOP for Dudly...when unwilling to stick to an "argument" he
>> >> resorts to name-calling.
>> >
>> >That was the "old" Steve. He's a new man now.
>>
>> He's trying to imitate NEWMAN?!?
>
>Balding? Pudgy?

Dudly imagines himself a great actor as well...acting out parts
of his fantasy in trying to communicate with those of us in
the world of reality

>> In trying to market salad dressing through supermarkets?
>
>Sorry, I was thinking of Frazier.

Hmmm...not a brand I recognize in this corner of the USA. The
"Newman's Own" line of food products may not be popular farther
east in the USA? I've tried "Newman's Own" Ranch dressing but
personally prefer the "Hidden Valley" brand for Ranch dressing.

>> >> >Steve would rather have a tantrum that dig out his own 1974 copy of the
>> >> >regulation.
>> >
>> >> If and only if he had one...:-)
>> >
>> >> Imposters rarely have "evidence" at hand to "support" them. They
>> >> love to snow-job others into thinking they were actaully there.
>> >> Standard practice of con artists and used car salesmen.
>> >
>> >> When unable to verify his supposed existance, he tries the
>> >> "outraged" ploy. Neat misdirection from the thread operating
>> >> on several levels:
>> >
>> >> 1. It demonstrates his "toughness", as if he really was what
>> >> he say.
>> >
>> >> 2. It obscures the original thread in order to garner emotional
>> >> support from the few like-thinkers around.
>> >
>> >> 3. It misdirects the thread in an attempt to get his challengers
>> >> on the defensive; further back-and-forth now concerns his

>> >> puerile insults.
>> >
>> >> >Yet Hans, long retired, has a >current< copy of the uniform reg???
>> >
>> >> >Sumptin wrong there.
>> >
>> >> Captain Code works in mysterious ways...
>> >
>> >I sure wish I had the 2005 Accounting and Finance regulations in-place
>> >when I served.
>>
>> I've got the idea that Jimmy Noserve has, and is sending them
>> around in private e-mail. :-)
>
>Make sure he plusses up my direct deposit.

I am unable to do that. Mighty Macho Motivated Morsemen do not
allow anyone to tell them what to do!

>> Morsemen can do anything in impunity...or is it "special
>> dispensation?" They have a "mass" of lies. If only they
>> would go to confessional and admit them...
>
>They have black mass and wrenchysnitch. Egg nog afterwards.

Some have "hot buttered rum" in foursomes around a fire of
oak leaves and discuss Anderson PowerPole connectors.
Appears to be a popular ceremony along the Atlantic states.

>> Not to worry for Thanksgiving. We have lots of morse turkeys
>> in here.
>
>And hamsticks, the other white meat.

Right! "Tastes just like chicken!" :-)

Squawk! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2365. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 20:26:37 UTC
From: Diarrhea-Mouth Bob <SpinDoctor@spindoctorbob.net>
Message-ID: <dm2j66$fgj$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132776767.119282.81040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>i haven't been on 75 meters for years.

Surrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrre !

# 2366. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 20:43:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8w4hf.1298$A23.319@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124981

N0IMD wrote

> I passed my Novice exam in November 1986 and I'm still considered a
> newcomer by your OF buddies here.

You're not even eligible for QCAO membership! <BSEG>

> And you ask what might be wrong with the ARS?

"Like a quarrelling group of monkeys on a leaky boat, armed with sticks of dynamite, we are on an uncertain journey."

Beep-beep
Little Billy

# 2367. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 21:28:00 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132781280.393636.239460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Thread Readers,

Please be aware that the pseudonyms above may likely be one person, a K1MAN supporter, who, unable to meet the subject-matter, is attempting to undermine and detract from the posts. When a pseudonym is pitted against a real person, a ham, with a real name, the discussion is biased, because while the unidentifed knows about the reality of the opposing poster, the identified poster doesn't have a provable clue nor can he assume with whom he is debating.

Permit me, for a moment, to point out how this relates to the above post about 75 meter operations. Though I haven't been down there in years, there was a time when I was very much into 75 meters, primarily because, like many others, it gave me an opportunity to design, build and test low band antennas.

To be honest, I never felt comfortable on 75 meters, nor did I feel that I fit in for the most part. There were groups, however, in which I enjoyed participating, like the Knights of the Round Table. The above poster knows all this, so taking advantage of his knowledge, he delivers a cheap shot. And because he remains incognito, there can be no impeachable dialogue back.

This is why anonymous posts sully real reporting on these Newsgroups. They can impart a wholly false impression -- of many against one when the many is actually only one, of innuendo when the issue raised by the poster cannot be related to the poster's actual behavior, or wholly fabricated information designed to discredit is disseminated behind a mask of anonymity.

I ask only readers that you ponder the subject-matter of this thread and not the tangential calumny, invective, rumor and half baked truths. This is an important story to ham radio, one in which I've been joined and outdone by the masterful and all-encompassing research of K3VR, Brian.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

# 2368. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 21:45:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <dq5hf.107$YT3.53@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124984

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Nov 22 2005 8:12 pm

> Hmmm...not a brand I recognize in this corner of the USA. The
> "Newman's Own" line of food products may not be popular farther
> east in the USA? I've tried "Newman's Own" Ranch dressing but
> personally prefer the "Hidden Valley" brand for Ranch dressing.

I had you pegged as a "Hidden Agenda" man.

>>> Morsemen can do anything in impunity...or is it "special
>>> dispensation?" They have a "mass" of lies. If only they
>>> would go to confessional and admit them...
>> They have black mass and wrenchysnitch. Egg nog afterwards.
>
> Some have "hot buttered rum" in foursomes around a fire of
> oak leaves and discuss Anderson PowerPole connectors.

That may have been the topic in the cozy lodge or it may have been your tiny, old, dusty Johnson. That Anderson Powerpole bit may be a bit of self-aggrandizement on your part.

> Appears to be a popular ceremony along the Atlantic states.

What was it that your Nordic ancestors did--paint their faces blue and howl at the moon?

See you in the CQ Worldwide DX CW 'test this weekend, Len or do you plan to stick with the Wordwide?

Dave K8MN

## 2369. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 22:06:17 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <kaydneALZ4X3RxneRVn-qQ@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124982

N9OGL wrote:
> Accessing parts of my computer without my permission huh?? IMHO a
> violation of federal the law.
>

Is that on your computer toddieboy?

# 2370. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 22:11:02 UTC
From: Diarrhea-Mouth Bob <SpinDoctor@spindoctorbob.net>
Message-ID: <dm2p9t$8ki$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132781280.393636.239460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Thread Readers,
>
/////////flushed/////////

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

## 2371. the reason buzzgot canceled ...he can't read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 22:31:19 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132785079.836074.226990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124986

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Accessing parts of my computer without my permission huh?? IMHO a
> > violation of federal the law.
> >
>
> Is that on your computer toddieboy?

enough said

## 2372. Re: a confession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 22:32:59 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132785179.567423.274050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

k4yz@hotmail.com wrote:
> I have been in error in making the implied claim that anyone sexuality
> was relavant to Radio ARS or otherwise

sexuality has everything to do with radio. i for one am tired of all
the straight people in radio. the medina gay CB club is working to rid
the radio rectum, ehhh, i mean spectrum of straight folks.

well, i'd write more but i'm going to "meat" some of the fellas at the
petro.

moparholic@hotmail.com

## 2373. Re: slap illegal keyclowns legally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 22:35:47 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132785346.960805.107700@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

lets just forget all that crap. lets get together and try some mutual
jacking!!!!!
Secwet Woger wrote:
> Ebay is but a tiny drop of the illegal/foreign equipment trade.
> The majority of illegal equipment is bought/sold/traded at
> hamfest boneyards (yes, *ham* boneyards), flea markets, and
> over the air. Also, many legit storefronts deal in illegal equipment,
> *if* you know how to ask for it. I would say more, but I
> don't want to give k4kwh an orgasim.

## 2374. Re: answer the question stevie the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 22:37:22 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132785442.707124.159600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

an_old_friend wrote:
> what does sexuality have to do with radio ham or otherwise

ALOT! I'M HUNTING COCK!

# 2375. Re: mopathetic kissing french men in WW2 movie starring all keyclowns!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 22:40:33 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132785633.020940.289480@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.politics

moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy wrote:
> steve zero productions announces the greatest movie ever made about
> ww2. Starring an all keyclown cast, who play roles of American soldiers
>
> that operated ham radios illegally before the war. The keyclowns
> portray their fathers and grandfathers as they fight their way through
> Europe to meet up with German keyclown operators and start "piece"
> negotiations. The truckstop and Venereal disease ward scenes will make
> you laugh out loud, as these keyclowns show the cost of fighting to
> meet with the German keyclowns. The scenes where the keyclowns capture
> enemy keyclowns at rifle point as they order "PANTS DOWN!" are also
> unforgettable!
>
>
> Don't miss the epic production of "SAVING RYAN'S PRIVATES" Coming to a
> gay porn theater near you!
>
>
> PRE RELEASE REVIEWS:
>
>
> "mopeythetic shows his versatility when seducing the french!"
> -Gene Sicksel, Women's Wear Monthly
>
>
> "landshark is flamingly unforgettable as the keyclown German General"
> -twitlips, Sporadic Waves
>
>
> "The hospital scenes, with the wrist flipping injuries and the sore
> booties graphically portray the cost of keyclownism" -Scottiekins,
> NAMBLA WEEKLY

sounds like you're angry because my man-puss is so hot!

## 2376. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 22:53:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132786434.824544.24430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124991

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
> > > > > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > >>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
> > > > > >>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > > > >>>>>> an old friend wrote:
> > > > > >>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > >>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >>>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> > > > > >>>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> > > > > >>>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."
> > > > > >>>
> > > > > >>> Not true, Len.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis. Everyone realizes it. Why not
> > > > > >> admit that it is so?
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Let's go through it, shall we? Pro-coders (one can only wonder if Len
> > > > > >means those who favored morse testing, those who favored morse use or
> > > > > >those who were simply proficient at morse code) made up their own
> > > > > >regulations. It isn't explained how or if these "pro-coders" all became
> > > > > >Extra Class ticket holders. Extra Class license holders can't obtain
> > > > > >that license without passing the most difficult theory and regulatory
> > > > > >written exam offered in U.S. amateur radio and not all of those with
> > > > > >morse code skills became Extra Class licensees. Len's statement appears
> > > > > >to have some gaping holes.
> > > > >
> > > > > Tsk, tsk, tsk. NO "holes," Heil. An abbreviated synopsis
> > > > > is all.
> > > >
> > > > Big holes, Len.
> > > >
> > > > Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
> > > > rate was 20 WPM.
> > > >
> > > Unless a medical waiver was obtained, in which case the Extra
> > > could be had for a code test of as little as 5 wpm.
> > >
```

> > > > The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring
> > > > that
> > > > also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test
> > > > speed
> > > > for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
> > >
> > > That's what Len said.
> >
> > No, it isn't. He left out the part about the medical waivers, which
> > became a reality in 1990.

He also said "the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
"extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket.""

which wasn't the case at all.

> I'm sure that people with disabilities really get under your skin.

Nope - not at all.

> > > > > Why? Because the older-timers influencing
> > > > > the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
> > > > > radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
> > > >
> > > > No, that's not true at all.
> > >
> > > It does have that appearance.
> >
> > How?
> >
> > The ARRL did not create the Extra class license in 1951. They did
> > not lobby for it either.

> > > Why don't hams working for the FCC have
> > > to put away their licenses as to not incur a conflict of interest?
> >
> > What hams worked at FCC in 1951?
> >
> > > That would like having a big oil president and vice president holding
> > > oil stocks.
> >
> > Do you think they don't?
> >
> > > > > > It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
> > > > > > Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
> > > > > > set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.
> > > > >
> > > > > What about the thousands of others - like myself - who earned the
> > > > > license because we wanted the privileges? In my case, that was
> > > > > in 1970, at the age of 16.
> > >

> > > You mom and dad provided you with three hots and a cot.
> >
> > So? That's what responsible parents do.
> >
> > I also had to go to school and make acceptable grades. Do all assigned
> > chores at home and work (yes, I worked then). Plus all the usual
> > activities of a kid my age back then.
>
> Or what? Let's say you brought home a "D" in math and science.

Math and science were two different subjects in my high school. Let's
see...

In math:

9th grade: Algebra 1
10th grade: Geometry
11th grade: Algebra 2 and Trigonometry
12th grade: AP Calculus

In science:

9th grade: Introductory Physics
10th grade: Chemistry
11th grade: Biology
12th grade: AP Physics

Never brought home any "D" marks so I don't know what would have
happened.

I suspect that if I had, there would have been no ham radio until the
marks improved.
Of course I had a built-in advantage because I'd learned a lot of math
and science for ham radio before I ever got to high school. I earned
the Advanced in the summer between 8th and 9th grade, you see.

> > > > > >> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
> > > > > >> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
> > > > > >> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
> > > > > >> and could only get a SECOND class.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
> > > > > > >First Phone.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
> > > > > > makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
> > > > > > 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
> > > > > > of that time.
> > > > >
> > > > > Where?
> > > > >
> > > > > Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners. They
> > > > > were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC). In fact,

> > > > back then
> > > > FCC required 2 years' experience as a General or higher license just to
> > > > *try*
> > > > the Extra test.
> > > >
> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> > >
> > How? Dick Bash did not see the actual exams except by taking them.
> >
> Then he published them.

And FCC did nothing about it. Some in the FCC wanted to prosecute,
but the higher ups didn't allow it. Phil Kane has told about it
first-hand -
he was working in the office where Bash did his thing at the time.

Whether Bash broke the letter of the law or not isn't clear, but it
*is*
clear that he broke the spirit of the law. If, back then, FCC had
thought
it was OK for people to see the actual exams, they would have been
published
(as they are now) rather than going through the additional work of
making
up study guides.

> > > > > The Commercial license was still more difficult
> > > > > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
> > > > > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
> > > > > modes in Commercial radio then.
> > >
> > > > But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of those
> > > > who *did* take both say the Extra written was "harder".
> > >
> > > It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
> > > for a commercial endeavor.
> > >
> > Wasn't too hard for a 16 year old between 10th and 11th grade. In fact,
> > I'd have gotten it more than a year earlier except for the 2 year
> > waiting period.
> >
> "The Man" still keeping you down?

Not at all. Experience was part of the requirement back then. It was
and is
a good idea.

> > > "A Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use." N2EY

> > Brian Burke, you have written that quote here several times, and
> > claimed I
> > wrote it. But I did not write that sentence - you did. Check google and

> > show us what I actually wrote on that subject.
> >
> > > I agreed with you then and I agree with you now.
> >
> > You're only agreeing with something you wrote. Not what I wrote.
>
> In all fairness, I should recheck the quote.

Yes, you should. And its context.

# 2377. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 23:04:47 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <jA6hf.23$kV7.18@news02.roc.ny>
Source record: 124992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dm2dff$f1j$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:x6Ogf.14141$cg.9569@news02.roc.ny...
> >
> > "anon" <anon@anoncaveatremail.com> wrote in message
> > news:dm0a97$uni$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny...
> > > >
> > > > I really don't care one way or the other about code - but most folks
> > have
> > > > no
> > > > idea how much information can be passed via that keyer. In my day,
> I'd
> > > > pass
> > > > dang near as much info in a given time as a teletype.
> > > /////// B.S. Snipped here/////////
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Oh how the tales of dariing do and "how great I am" grow
> > > taller and taller and more spectacular, as the years go by
> > > and more alcohol is ingested.........ROTFLMAO!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Didn't take you long to reply. Who's ingesting alcohol? I've to go to
> work
> > tomorrow morning (like 5:00 AM). What is your excuse?
>
> Wow thats early. I dont need to get into work until 8am. Not a dustman are
> you?
>
> > I've put perfect copy on paper using a manual typewriter at 40 words per
> > minute. A number of minutes' worth. My mention of 40 or 50 words per
> > minute was a reference to some of the wonder boys whose great exploits
> > simply consist of 'cut and paste' on the Internet.
>
```

> If that is adiquate then whats wrong with cut and paste? Only an idiot
would
> sit there re-typing out something that could just as easily be cut and
> pasted unless it was an original work of course.
>
> > I'm simply saying that a good operator can likely keep up with most
folks
> > that are trying to enter original content and not simply pass along a
> > digital picture, or a 'cut-and-paste' from someone else.
>
> A good operator? Depends on your impression of a good operator. A good
> operator to me is someone who uses the radio sensibly, stays legal and
cares
> about interference and deals with it if caused. Someone who goes on about
> ooh i can do this or i can do that trying to put themselves above other
> operators in my opinion have a vanity problem. As far as pictures go id
> rather use the internet as its a more appropriate media for that.
>
> > Then again, original content might be something you aren't familiar
with.
> >
> >
> > With due regard,
> > Jim AA2QA
>
> Also with due regard and no disrespect intended. Just my 10p worth.
> Graham
>
>
> --
> ---------------
> Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...
>
> 73's - Graham
>
>
Hello, Graham

I couldn't agree with you more ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 2378. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 23:22:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <DQ6hf.30$OO6.0@news01.roc.ny>
Source record: 124993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dm2cuu$eko$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> "anon" <anon@anoncaveatremail.com> wrote in message
> news:dm0a97$uni$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:cWNgf.14138$cg.4298@news02.roc.ny...
> > >
> > > I really don't care one way or the other about code - but most folks
> have
> > > no
> > > idea how much information can be passed via that keyer. In my day,
I'd
> > > pass
> > > dang near as much info in a given time as a teletype.
> > /////// B.S. Snipped here/////////
> >
> >
> >
> > Oh how the tales of dariing do and "how great I am" grow
> > taller and taller and more spectacular, as the years go by
> > and more alcohol is ingested.....ROTFLMAO!
>
> A fantastic tale in its day but back to present day what is the benefit? I
> think you will find most people will know you can say anything via Morse
> just like you can talking so being amazed at what can be passed via Morse
> seems a strange thing to highlight. You can pass much more information by
> talking. In the past fair enough but times have moved on. Morse is now an
> out-dated form of communication practised now by just radio Amateurs.
> To any Amateurs who like Morse then that's fine for them. They will learn
it
> and use it where they like and I have no problems at all about that.
But...
> to ramble on about it constantly and belittle anyone not really interested
> in "just the Morse" side of radio is a bit unfair branding them as
inferior
> radio users (not aimed at you personally here) Amateur radio can still be
a
> fascinating Hobby without it, or as I would like, not a forced issue but
one
> of choice.
>

> Regards,
> Graham
>
> --
> ---------------
> Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...
>
> 73's - Graham
>
>

Hello, Graham

I also do not see the need to force cw on anyone. Still, when one attempts to tell me that more information can be passed via voice, I can only agree if the communications is something like "meet me at Joe's bar at 10:00 PM".

If there is something like "medium pizza with cheeze and sausage to 1235 W. Cauncy Blvd", then someone is going to have to write down that information. This *will* slow the maximum data transfer to what can be put on paper. Assuming one is not connected to the Internet where they can simply print the information, I must admit that I cannot print nor write as fast as I can copy cw to a keyboard (or typewriter). In that case, cw might be as efficient as anything else. This is, of course, a questionable case.

The time I mentioned (the perfect copy at 40) was a weather bulletin which gave latitude, longitude, and a multitude of other data to boot. This could, of course, be transmitted by voice, but would absolutely *have* to be put on paper (unless someone had a photographic memory that *never* made a mistake). In that case, sans digital data transmission (citor, amtor, teletype, or whatever), voice could not possibly be faster as it had to be put to paper.

In this case, the limit would be how fast this could be transcribed to paper.

In closing, I would reiterate that I agree about cw should not be a forced issue. My only issue is that some folks feel that it is terribly slow. Compared to the Internet or other digital transmissions, sure. Compared to voice .... it all depends ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

# 2379. WAS: Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him! NOW: The Whole World Is Laughing At Lennie Laughing At No One In Particular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 23:52:00 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132789920.537715.188460@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124994

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > show some decencyt and at least keep to attack thread titles down to
> > a
> > > couple of line in length
> > >
> > I'll tell you what, Markie...
> > >
> > I'll start doing what YOU want when you publish a sincere,
> > unconditional apology and retraction for the seven years of lies about
> > alleged stalking, threats, military service, etc, that you've polluted
> > the NG with vis-a-vis me.
>
> you want to LIE for you now

Nope.

Just break old habits and tell the truth without being backed into
a corner for once.

> no way

You've aleady been doing it for almost a decade.

> > Deal?
>
> what has sexuality to do with radio
>
> answer the question coward

Already have. Several times. You keep asking the question over
and over.

Seek help.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2380. WAS: Article in Electronic Design NOW: Markie Brining Up His Deviant Lifestyle...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-23 23:59:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132790384.261008.85980@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124995

Here was the original content of the thread:

QUOTE:

K4YZ wrote
>an old friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.

> > > http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html

> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY

> > Given the millions and millions and millions spent on communications
> > infrastructure...

> cut

> > " " "Meanwhile, ham radio operators proved that older technology can be
> > the most reliable technology. Our EDA Editor (and ham) David Maliniak
> > wrote an online column on the subject, pointing out that sometimes "old
> > works when new doesn't." (Read it and add your comments at ED Online
> > 11136.) During and after Katrina, hams running on generators (sometimes
> > with makeshift antennas) worked throughout the hurricane zone to put
> > emergency stations on the air. They guided rescuers to stranded victims
> > and updated weather services via the Hurricane Watch Net." " "

> > Wait a minute. The Guard says their equipment is antiquated and needs
> > replaced, but the ARS service says that older technology may be what is
> > needed.

> > Obviously, they can -both- be right. When wide area vhf+ comm systems
> > go down, they have to switch to simplex where they can, and HF/NVIS
> > networks for the rest of the area.

> in point of fact they both can be right

> the virtue of Ham radio is we can forge links as we need to the
> downside is by and large we have to every time, the abilty to use use
> the resource is of value when "something that got overlooked shows up"

> problems with that senario are the ARS lack much abilty to forge stable
> conections and must invent them continously this is hard for your govt
> and other first responders to use so they gtry to go higher and higher
> tech trying to not to need us

The problem, Markie, is that "higher and higher tech" is EXACTLY
why they have problems that DO need us!

The current circumstances in southern Louisiana are clear proof.
Once again the cellphone net, trunked and interlinked Public Service
communications systems CRASHED under the weight of Katrina.

> ham ego's also get in the way as witness the reaction saying that the
> response of ham radio was less than it could have been while much
> better then the Govt own response

Oh yeah...Our ego's got in the way...yeah. Right. Uh huh. Sure.

OK.

> Yes I am dispointed in the response I saw and heard to Katrina and Rita
> I am apaled by the response of LA and NO not thrilled with FEMA either
> but as can be send they are dependant on the locals for infrastructure

There is no way that any one state can truly "gear up" for the kind

of disaster response that Katrina and Rita mandated...Not even
California with it's sixth or eighth place GNP could withstand this
kind of impact without calling in federal assistance.

Amateur Radio's BIGGEST contribution in this scenario is it's
decentralized locating of assets. With thousands of licessees and
stations spead out over the entire nation, there's no way that anything

short of complete nuclear annihalation, pandemic disease or
apoctolyptic act of God could obliterate it.

UNQUOTE

Here's how Markie responded to it:

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 18, 2:08 am
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
> what does human sexuality have to do with radio

There was not a SINGL:E WORD in the above exchanges, Markie, yet
YOU keep bringing YOUR deviant lifestyle into the thread...then you
keep demanding to know why others make an issue out of it!

YOUR PROBLEM, Markie...Not mine, Jim's or anyone elses.

If you don't want to discuss it, KEEP IT IN YOUR PANTS!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2381. WAS: OK, Brian...Let's See How You Dodge This One NOW: Markie Retasking A Subject Line To Discuss His Deviant Behaviour

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 00:13:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132791200.395915.134270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124996

Again, Markie "responds" to a post with material that was
absolutely unrelated to the original thread:

OK, Brian...Let's See How You Dodge This One

All 2 messages in topic - view as tree
K4YZ
Nov 23, 6:07 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 23 Nov 2005 04:07:05 -0800
Local: Wed, Nov 23 2005 6:07 am
Subject: OK, Brian...Let's See How You Dodge This One
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show
original | Report Abuse

hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com wrote:

>> > > That's his right. But there's a lot of baggage to drag around with
>> > > claims of seven hostile actions and he can't mention the particulars of
>> > > one of them. Some of the baggage includes not even believing him about
>> > > clathing issue. Hi!
>
>> > The only "issue" you have at your disposal to play with is my
> >> refusal to discuss certain aspects of my military service. Everything
> >> else has been dispositioned with references to third party sources that
> >> can verify my claims.
> >
> >> That you refuse to use them is your problem, not mine.
>
> >Steve, you are so unbelievable on so many different levels that we get
> >to decide what to believe and what to discard. And most of what you
> >uer in here is completely discardable.
>
> Nope.
>
> You'd PREFER that it be discardable, however the truth is that if
>you followed up on it, you'd have nothing to rant and rave about.
>
>
> Starting off with verifying my previous MARS calls and participation.

>
> However I guess you figure that there's SOME way that I managed to
> "corrupt" those resources? All THREE headquarters for MARS programs?
>
>> > And "clathing"...?!?!
>>
>> > More Mark Morgan phonetics?
>
> > True to form, you go after the really important stuff.

> Sorry, Brian. It was like a zit on the tip of the nose of a supermodel...You can't > help but notice...

> > > > Interesting that nonebody had come in with U.S. amateur radio is
> > > > expressly forbidden to use any cryptographic means to obscure
> > > > the meaning of communications. Not to worry. Hans will be
> > > > along shortly to quote chapter and verse from Part 97...

> > > Extra Dick/W0EX, RIP, had a work-around to that rule. He went on for
> > > months about how to thwart those pesky No-Code Technicians trying to
> > > decode his Morse enCoded transmissions with a 'puter.
> >
>> > It will be interesting to see if Markie jumps in and accuses you
>> > of "defiling" Dick.
>
> > I don't use the memory of W0EX in a joke.
>
>> Nor did I. You just insulted him for his pointing out Morse readers can be >> defeated.

>> I mentioned his callsign was coming available for reassignment. I further wished him >> well "wherever he is..."

>>You're welcome to respond to this comment with a quote from the
>>post where you allege I made a "joke" with him, Brian...but hey...We
>>know you won't...Well...CAN'T, actually....

>> > I just mentioned his old call was about to be eligible for
>> > reissue, and he (Col. Pagan) went on and on about "defiling" the
>> > dead...
>
>> Incorrect. You made a joke about the 10th district calls, using Dick
>> in a comparison. Bully for you.
>
> > No, I didn't.
>
> > I've used YOU as a comparison. I just pointed out Dick's old call
was available in February, 2006. That's a fact.
>
>
>>Steve, K4YZ

To which Markie characteristically responded:

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> cut
> > > > And "clathing"...?!?!
> > > >
> > > > More Mark Morgan phonetics?
> > >
> > > True to form, you go after the really important stuff.
> >
> > Sorry, Brian. It was like a zit on the tip of the nose of a
> > supermodel...You can't help but notice...
> >
> stevie claiming I made him do something
>
> what has sexulaity to do with the radio
>
> answer the question you coward
> flushing the rest

First, you moronic putz, if you're going to WHINE about your
deviant behaviour, at least learn how to spell it correctly.

S E X U A L I T Y

Secondly, if YOU don't want to discuss it, stop brining it up.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2382. Markies spelling troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 00:17:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132791443.934029.165050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124997

Hey Markie...

Where in this:

QUOTE:

Reckon why Markie's spelling improves in his demented sex personals?

You be the judge:

http://tinyurl.com/82gql

K4YZ
Nov 23, 5:37 am show options

Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
From: "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> - Find messages by this author
Date: 23 Nov 2005 03:37:35 -0800
Local: Wed, Nov 23 2005 5:37 am
Subject: Re: Markies spelling troubles
Reply | Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original | Remove | Report Abuse

Steveo wrote:
> Reckon why Markie's spelling improves in his demented sex personals?

> You be the judge:

> http://tinyurl.com/82gql

That was several years ago in Illinois...Markie had one of the neighborhood kids coming in and doing his typing for him (his hillarious "typist pool")

Markie now lives in the Upper Penninsula of Michigan. I am sure the neighborhood there is much smaller and it's harder for a "man" of Markie's preferences to "function" without drawing the attention of the

neighbors, ergo harder to get one of the kids to enter the house without parental oversight.

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Steveo wrote:
> > > Reckon why Markie's spelling improves in his demented sex personals?
> > >
> > > You be the judge:
> > >
> what has sexuality got to do with radio

UNQUOTE

...do you see anything about RADIO being discussed...?!?!

The comments were not even directed TO you!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2383. WAS: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS! NOW: Markie Morgan Introducing His Deviant Lifestyle The Busting Others About it!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 00:20:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132791647.482502.12330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124998

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > fake name wrote:
> > > Hams attempt to destroy GMRS like all the other radio services they see!
> cuting
>
> what has sexuality to with radio?

Where in any of that thread were deviants being discussed, Markie?

Seek help.

Steve, K4YZ

BTW: Adding all of your retasked subject lines to the CD-RW...Thanks!

Steve

# 2384. Re: Scorecard on WT Docket 05-235

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 00:27:49 UTC
From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9717C5F567DA1elektrosmdonet@81.174.12.30>
Source record: 124999

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
news:1131494070.382757.205560@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> From: "Alun L. Palmer" on Mon 7 Nov 2005 04:03
>
>>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote in
>>> From: "Alun L. Palmer" <elekt...@yahoo.com> on Sun, Nov 6 2005 9:25
>>> am
>>>>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote in news:1130386378.660926.152330
>
>
>>> Alun, my posting to my severest critic (Jimmie) did not involve
>>> your residency. However, it might be worth it to point out a few
>>> things about Internet access and basic U.S. law.
>>
>>I am a US resident. I have a green card (which is actually pink).
>
> So, you are offended that I mentioned "foreigners"?
>
> I didn't call you out by name.

I'm not offended. I just don't beleive that the FCC, or even Ofcom for that
matter, give a hoot about the citizenship of people that post comments.

>
> This newsgroup goes everywhere the Internet goes. The Internet
> is worldwide now.
>
> As a United States CITIZEN I'm concerned about United States
> law as it applies to United States citizens. Last I looked,
> United States law was NOT set by foreign citizens.
>

It's set by Congress and interpreted by the courts. The former have to be
citizens, as do those who elect them. I doubt if judges do, though. Lawyers
certainly don't. It also applies to everyone in the US and has affects on
people even outside the US, like Kenwood and Yaesu for example. The phrase
for all these people is 'interested parties' and that's who the FCC is
looking for comments from, even non-hams!

>>The point is not whether comments by aliens have to be considered by
>>the FCC as a matter of law. Doubtless you are right in pointing out
>>that they don't.
>
> The FCC regulates all civil radio in the United States. That
> regulatory power is set by two Acts of Congress (laws). Congress

> itself was established by the Constitution of the United States.
>
> Last I looked, NO foreign government had any power to change
> United States law.
>

And your point is what, exactly?

>>However, my impression is that the FCC neither knows nor cares about
>>the citizenship of those who post comments. If you think they should,
>>and there is certainly a case for that, then you should tell them,
>>instead of skewing your interpretation of the comments.
>
> It is my contention that the FCC Staff and Commissioners know
> rather more than the basics of United States law and to which
> country they owe allegiance to...on the job and in their heart.
>
> There is no need for me to tell the FCC that they work for
> the United States. They are well aware of that and no
> further comment is necessary.
>
> So, I've "skewed" my "interpretation" by "mis-categorization" of
> 2 out of 3703 filings? That is some heinous crime? I've
> labeled them on *MY* tally as "indeterminate" and thus not
> affecting *MY* percentages. Both of them were FOR the NPRM.
> We can't see how Joe Speroni counted those.
>
> Did I "skew" my "interpretation" of a west coast English
> teacher's Comment AGAINST the NPRM even though she said up
> front that she has no license and is not desiring to get
> one. Joe Speroni counts her as "pro-CW."
>
> I said publicly that I'm NOT posting this "score card" publicly
> any more. Too many are terribly touchy (a few totally outraged)
> about it and it offends them, poor dears.
>
>
>>However, the FCC has no capability to divide up the responses except by
>>whether or not they have an FCC licence, which arguably they shouldn't
>>even do, as responses from non-hams like you ought to be considered.
>
> No?!? I've been TOLD (on 25 Jan 99 in WT Docket 98-143) by an
> Amateur Extra that I MUST NOT EVER be allowed to comment on ANY
> amateur radio regulation! [search for "Robeson" on that date]
>
> I have been TOLD by non-military-service non-veterans what the
> military IS and that I am "wrong" in what I said about that.
>
> I have been TOLD by those who got their degrees long ago that
> human laws somehow override physical laws, therefore amateur
> radio is "different" than every other radio service.

>
> Brian Shoemaker, on a 31 October 2005 filing said outright
> in his Comment that "the only voice that should be heard on the
> current issue of rule changes to the HF bands are the users
> of the bands."
>
> Shoemaker is PRO-code, therefore, by the Rules of Engagement in
> THIS newsgroup (where pro-code rules) his territorial imperative
> is "correct!" Is it?
>
> Joe Speroni's "analysis" on his website is "NOT skewed" according
> to the local newsgroup Judge of what is "right" and "wrong."
>
> Anyone NOT for morse code is "skewed" and "mistaken" and just
> plain "wrong" in here. They have TOLD us. <shrug>
>
> Oh, wow, all those self-righteous TELLING ME WHAT TO DO! :-)
>
>

## 2385. A Poor KB9RQZ Forgery WAS: a confession

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 00:28:22 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132792102.002068.186720@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124850

k4yz@hotmail.com didn't write:

> I have been in error in making the implied claim that anyone sexuality
> was relavant to Radio ARS or otherwise

Poor forgery, Markie. Same lousy grammar although I see you took
half-an-hour to get the spelling correct.

You should have let your "wife" to write it for you...He's not
much better, but probably would have gotten the punctuation correct.
Only 20 words, but it's a start.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2386. Another Markie Stalking Thread.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 00:29:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132792193.478028.322380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124851

an_old_friend wrote:

> what does sexuality have to do with radio ham or otherwise

Filed to CD-RW.

Thanks, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2387. Re: WHY I PLAY WITH MY CUNT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 00:30:04 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132792204.331110.153830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

forge by mopy-dickSlurpy
Kathy Lee wrote:
> BECAUSE I WAS NOT BREASTFED · BECAUSE MY CRIB WAS
> PADDED AND I LIKE THE FEEL
> OF STEEL · BECAUSE I WAS SPANKED BY MY BABYSITTER
> · BECAUSE THE KIDS USED TO
> CALL ME HALF-BREED · BECAUSE MY FATHER IGNORED ME
> AND MY MOTHER FUCKED THE
> BOTTLE · BECAUSE MY BROTHER JERKED OFF TO AUNT
> JAMIMA · BECAUSE MY DOG WAS
> KEPT IN BONDAGE TIL THE DAY HE DIED · BECAUSE A
> WHITEBOY TOOK MY VIRGINITY ·
> BECAUSE I WAS NEVER TAUGHT HOMOSEXUALITY IN HEALTH
> CLASS · BECAUSE THE
> SANDMAN WAS A LESBIAN · BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD ·
> BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH ·
> BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION · BECAUSE MY
> PERIOD FEELS LIKE A BULLFIGHT ·
> BECAUSE I HATE THE SMELL OF PORK · BECAUSE I LOVE
> THE TASTE OF PUSSY ·
> BECAUSE I CAN'T FIST MY OWN ASSHOLE · BECAUSE I
> HAVE A LOT OF TIME ON MY
> HANDS · BECAUSE IT FEELS LIKE A BABY WHEN I SHAVE
> IT · BECAUSE MY PLATFORM
> HEEL WON'T FIT INSIDE · BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD ·
> BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH ·
> BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION · BECAUSE MY
> VIBRATOR ISN'T POWERFUL ENOUGH
> · BECAUSE TRANSSEXUALS TURN ME THE FUCK ON ·
> BECAUSE SCENES FROM CALIGULA
> RUN THROUGH MY HEAD · BECAUSE CINDERELLA WASN'T MY
> SLAVE · BECAUSE IT THROBS
> LIKE A DICK · BECAUSE I SLEEP ALONE · BECAUSE OF
> THE QUESTION OF DEATH ·
> BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD · BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH
> · BECAUSE IT'S
> SELF-GRATIFICATION · BECAUSE FRIDAY FOSTER SAYS
> I'M A PERVERT · BECAUSE THE
> THOUGHT OF TREE VIRGINS IN HORSETAILS · BECAUSE MY
> DOUBLE-DONG WAS STOLEN ·
> BECAUSE I SMOKED MY LAST CIGARETTE · BECAUSE I
> WANT TO SPIT-SHINE PRINCE'S
> BOOTS · BECAUSE I HATE SLOPPY BLOW-JOBS · BECAUSE

> IT FEELS GOOD · BECAUSE MY
> CUNT IS SELFISH · BECAUSE IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION
> · BECAUSE THERE ARE NO
> MORE VIRGINS · BECAUSE I CAN'T GIVE THE GOVERNMENT
> AN ENEMA · BECAUSE WHORES
> BECAME EXPENSIVE · BECAUSE I HATE THE THOUGHT OF
> CLOTHING · BECAUSE I'M THE
> BORDERLINE OF A DYKE AND A BOY · BECAUSE I WANT TO
> BE SADDDLED AND TRAINED
> LIKE A HORSE · BECAUSE SLAVERY WAS IN MY ROOTS AND
> I THIRST S&M · BECAUSE IT
> FEELS GOOD · BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH · BECAUSE
> IT'S SELF-GRATIFICATION ·
> BECAUSE I CAN NEVER GET ENOUGH PUSSY · BECAUSE THE
> SOUND OF A WOMAN'S VOICE
> OVER THE PHONE · BECAUSE WHEN IT'S WET IT GLAZES
> MY FINGERS · BECAUSE I WANT
> TO BE GANG-BANGED BY FEMALE INMATES · BECAUSE I
> WANT TO FUCK FOR FOOD AND
> WATER · BECAUSE I WASN'T BORN IN A CHASTITY BELT ·
> BECAUSE IT FEELS GOOD ·
> BECAUSE MY CUNT IS SELFISH · BECAUSE IT'S
> SELF-GRATIFICATION · BECAUSE I
> LIKE TO WORK MY PUSSY · BECAUSE I WAS BORN A BITCH
> · BECAUSE I LIKE MORE
> THAN ONE ORGASM · BECAUSE I DON'T HAVE TO SAY 'I
> LOVE YOU' TO ANYONE ·
> BECAUSE IN MY MIND I CAN BE FUCKING ANYONE I WANT
> · BECAUSE IT'S HEALTHY ·
> BECAUSE I'M A VAIN BITCH AND ONLY I KNOW HOW TO
> LOVE MYSELF...
>
>
>
> _____
> Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
> More than 140,000 groups
> Unlimited download
> http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 2388. steveo wants me to be gay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 00:39:27 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132792767.185266.261550@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

steveo wants me to be his gay lover. thats why he always attacks n8 &
kc8. i tried to tell steveo just because i jacked off with him one time
doesn't mean i'm gay. now he thinks if he posts sick shit about kc8 &
n8 i will be his lover.

you have to understand steveo is very upset with these two fine people.
n8 outted steveo for the coward he is. remember dayton? n8 was there
waiting for steveo to carry out his threats. steveo proved to be the
coward we all know him to be. now his only way to feel like a man is to
post lies about n8 & kc8. two fine hams who have given so much to the
amateur radio community.

poor little steveo.....must suck to be you. oh, sorry i forgot.
you do suck!

# 2389. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 00:48:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132793306.649423.94490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > > > > On 19 Nov 2005 06:59:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > >
> > > > > Those with more guts than you are welcome to call/write/e-mail
> > > > > HQMC and verify my original statement.
> > > >
> > > > in other word you are too lazy to you own homework
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > yes too lazy

Nope.

YOU are too COWARDLY to follow-up on the references....

> > I already diod it.
> >
> > "diod"

C O N G R A T U L A T I O N S ! ! ! ! ! !

So here's the score: Markie: 3,126,357 Steve 1

> > I already know the facts.
> >
> > which might be true but it has nothing to the matters at hand

Ahhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh!

So as far as YOU are concerned, the "facts" don't matter ! ! ! ! !
! !

No big news here.

> > I provided the five of you a point-of-refrence with which to
> > verify my statemets.
> >
> > nope you have provided a point where we could waste our time if we were
> > that stupid

Well Markie, so far there have been at least two other people
who've been able to corroborate what I've said, and found it to be

true.

So the ONLY reason for YOU not doing the same thing is COWARDICE!

> without a lot more data thatere is no way to verify your cliams

What "more data"...?!?!?

Hans just dropped a letter to a relative and the MCO on uniforms
came up.

> there is for example no way to verify if we were able to verfy your
> claims about a Steve J Robeson that the person was you

There may be a "Steve J Robeson". I am not he.

> > > and where is your SSnum?
> >
> cuting

Uncutting:

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > YOU THINK I AM GOING TO GIVE YOU MY SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER SO YOU
> > CAN VERIFY A MARINE CORPS ORDER THAT'S PUBLIC DOMAIN
> > INFORMATION....?!?!?!?!
>
> you wisely refuse to provide it I agree
>
> but the flip side is your claims can't begin to verfied without ti

Sure they can!

Frankie's BS about the USMC has already been disproven, starting
with uniforms and the existance of a Military Police MOS, and I didn't
even need to THINK about my SSN, let alone reveal it!

> cut
> > > > > > I didn't pay a dime. Nor was it deducted from my pay.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The "chits" accounted for government money spent...Not mine.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Get a grip, Dud -- anyone who's ever been through MCRD knows better.
> > > > >
> > > > Then why don't you?
> > >
> > > you are the one lacking a grip
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Your buddy Frankie is LYING to you, Markie, as he is everyone else

> > he want's to have believe his tales!
>
> likely in some way. everybody lies after all

Only in your cynical mind, Markie, and only because you HOPE
everyone lies...THAT would let your guilt off the hook...Not that you
have any.

> > Frank Gilliland has already been proven (by his own admission) to
> > have been a "####bird PFC". In other words he was deadweight while he
> > WAS in the Corps, and his inability to keep a clean nose for just ONE
> > tour is proof of that.
>
> I know you lack respect for the lower ranks you show that often but
> your lack of respect does not impact on reality. it is part of the
> mindset that has left the Army with more col's than machinegunners but
> nothing wrong with being a private

No, there's absolutley nothing wrong for "the lower ranks".

I exhibited NOT ONE IOTA of disrespect based upon "rank".

My "disrespect" is for a lying, deceitful, can't carry himself
honorably for ONE enlistment punk.

> > I've further busted him on numerous details HE should have known
> > about.
> >
> > > > Perhaps YOUR story is the one worthy of REAL investigation!
> > >
> > > why you acusing him of hiding a 3rd Courtmartial?
> >
> > WTF did I say that, you moron...?!?!?!?!
>
> I was asking since you were not being clear

I was absolutley clear, you moronic putz.

> > > cut
> > > UNQUOTE
> >
> > At this point you have snipped a lot of relevent stuff to the
> > following comments:
>
> nope nothing relavant to me

Especially when you spell it "relavant".

And yes, you cut "relevent" stuff, especially since your comments
dwelled on it.

> cut
> > > > Nope. That's "collateral damage" from you, Snivvelling One.
> > >

> > > still a bloody now little boy
> >
> > That's right..Frankie's nose is STILL bloody.
>
> you need to learn english before you go about trying to correct it

I know enough to know that "english" is caplitalized, you moronic
putz.

And Frankie's nose is STILL bloodied.

> > Of course I am sure
> > you LIKE that kind of thing, having publically stated the only thing
> > you DON'T like is "solid waste".
>
> you my be sure but you are stupid in thinking so

Not at all. They were YOUR words.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2390. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 00:54:06 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <65f9a$43850f12$4e0b77c$22005@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 124855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132781280.393636.239460@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Thread Readers,
>
> Please be aware that the pseudonyms above may likely be one person, a
> K1MAN supporter, who, unable to meet the subject-matter, is attempting
> to undermine and detract from the posts. When a pseudonym is pitted
> against a real person, a ham, with a real name, the discussion is
> biased, because while the unidentifed knows about the reality of the
> opposing poster, the identified poster doesn't have a provable clue nor
> can he assume with whom he is debating.
>
> Permit me, for a moment, to point out how this relates to the above
> post about 75 meter operations. Though I haven't been down there in
> years, there was a time when I was very much into 75 meters, primarily
> because, like many others, it gave me an opportunity to design, build
> and test low band antennas.
>
> To be honest, I never felt comfortable on 75 meters, nor did I feel
> that I fit in for the most part. There were groups, however, in which
> I enjoyed participating, like the Knights of the Round Table. The
> above poster knows all this, so taking advantage of his knowledge, he
> delivers a cheap shot. And because he remains incognito, there can be
> no impeachable dialogue back.
>
> This is why anonymous posts sully real reporting on these Newsgroups.
> They can impart a wholly false impression -- of many against one when
> the many is actually only one, of innuendo when the issue raised by the
> poster cannot be related to the poster's actual behavior, or wholly
> fabricated information designed to discredit is disseminated behind a
> mask of anonymity.
>
> I ask only readers that you ponder the subject-matter of this thread
> and not the tangential calumny, invective, rumor and half baked truths.
> This is an important story to ham radio, one in which I've been joined
> and outdone by the masterful and all-encompassing research of K3VR,
> Brian.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>

As far as I am concerned , No Call Sign = No Credibility .
Ace - WH2T

## 2391. Markie Creating More Strawmen...Then Strikes His Own Matches...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 00:57:39 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132793859.782281.287090@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124856

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > >But your site violates the Terms and Conditions of your provider
> > > >in that you are providing a site for the distribution of obscene
> > > >material as it pertains to the representation of children participating
> > > >in sex acts. So who cares a rat's kazoo about broadcasting your
> > > >security issues?
> > >
> > > Boo hoo stebie, if I'm in violation of the term and conditions so are
> > > all those people I know who are using there service and emailing their
> > > friends Porn.
> >
> > For once, Toiddie, you got something absolutley right that I can't
> > argue with.
>
> meanin gthat you have been carring on about nothing as is normaly the
> case you

"Meaning" "that" "carrying" "normally" ( you forgot 'for'
)

Nope.

> the sever company doesn't see a problem and yet people are supposed to
> dance to your tune\

"server"

It's not my "tune", Markie

> the server copany sees it people as compling with their ToS so you were
> blowing ####

"The" "company" "complying"

So....You speak for the company now?

Do you think anyone with a lick of sense would subscribe to a
server that YOU represented? You can't make a spellchecker program
work, you'd sure as heck not be able to get any other software working
right.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2392. N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 01:25:42 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1yze5a@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1yze5a@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 124858

The N9OGL Demented Otaku BBS has been cleared of pornography.

The children of the world thank you.

--
Iitoi

# 2393. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 01:36:37 UTC
From: Diarrhea-Mouth Bob <SpinDoctor@spindoctorbob.net>
Message-ID: <dm35bf$ppt$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> blubbered in a message
>
> As far as I am concerned , No Call Sign = No Credibility .
> Ace - WH2T

In the immortal words of Big Al, "Go away boy; Come back when
you can afford a big radio."

# 2394. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 03:10:29 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <635e5$43852eff$4e1057f$22829@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 124859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Diarrhea-Mouth Bob" <SpinDoctor@spindoctorbob.net> wrote in message
news:dm35bf$ppt$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> blubbered in a message
>>
>> As far as I am concerned , No Call Sign = No Credibility .
>> Ace - WH2T
>
>
> In the immortal words of Big Al, "Go away boy; Come back when
> you can afford a big radio."
>
>
>
>
Well, Big Al a.k.a. K4OKA from N.C. was the one to Go Away.
I'm still here.
In the words of Pork Butt , don't let the frequency hit in on the ass as you
leave.
BTW , I've got a "Big Radio".
As always - As far as I am concerned , No Call Sign = No Credibility .

Ace - WH2T

# 2395. Tennessee Nurse, Steven J Robeson, LPN, was: Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 03:12:27 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132801947.074868.215170@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124860

K4YZ wrote:
> nobodys old friend wrote:

K4YZ changed Mark's attribution from "an old friend" to "nobody's old friend."

Why does Steve do that?

# 2396. Tennessee Nurse, Steven J Robeson, LPN, was: Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 03:17:59 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132802279.520607.298030@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124861

K4YZ wrote:
> raped an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > nobodys old friend wrote:

Then after Steve changes Mark's attribution from "an old friend" to "nobodys old friend," he changes it yet again to "raped an old friend."

Why does Steve do that?

Why does he say that "raped an old friend" is not what he wrote when it is so clear that it is what he wrote?

# 2397. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 03:28:50 UTC
From: Diarrhea-Mouth Bob <SpinDoctor@spindoctorbob.net>
Message-ID: <dm3bto$kis$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:635e5$43852eff$4e1057f$22829@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> Well, Big Al a.k.a. K4OKA from
//////////FLUSHED//////////

# 2398. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 04:16:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <ajfao19bn8181tbqo1ui3tugckjn2iec5r@4ax.com>
Source record: 124864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 23 Nov 2005 03:53:43 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132746823.451558.49540@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> > > On 19 Nov 2005 06:59:53 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
>> > Those with more guts than you are welcome to call/write/e-mail
>> > HQMC and verify my original statement.
>>
>> in other word you are too lazy to you own homework
>
> Nope.
>
> I already diod it.

......lazy......homework......hmmmmm......

> I already know the facts.
>
> I provided the five of you a point-of-refrence with which to
>verify my statemets.

"reference", "statements"

>> and where is your SSnum?
>
>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>!
>
> YOU THINK I AM GOING TO GIVE YOU MY SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER SO YOU
>CAN VERIFY A MARINE CORPS ORDER THAT'S PUBLIC DOMAIN
>INFORMATION....?!?!?!?!
>
>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
>> > > > I didn't pay a dime. Nor was it deducted from my pay.
>> > > >
>> > > > The "chits" accounted for government money spent...Not mine.

>> > >
>> > > Get a grip, Dud -- anyone who's ever been through MCRD knows better.
>> >
>> > Then why don't you?
>>
>> you are the one lacking a grip
>
> Nope.
>
> Your buddy Frankie is LYING to you, Markie, as he is everyone else
>he want's to have believe his tales!
>
> Frank Gilliland has already been proven (by his own admission) to
>have been a "####bird PFC".

"shitbird"

Yep. Sure did.

> In other words he was deadweight while he
>WAS in the Corps, and his inability to keep a clean nose for just ONE
>tour is proof of that.

Learn to seperate fact from opinion, Dud.

> I've further busted him on numerous details HE should have known
>about.

.....such as?

>> > Perhaps YOUR story is the one worthy of REAL investigation!
>>
>> why you acusing him of hiding a 3rd Courtmartial?
>
> WTF did I say that, you moron...?!?!?!?!
>
>> cut
>> UNQUOTE
>
> At this point you have snipped a lot of relevent stuff to the
>following comments:

"relevant"

>> > > > So Frankie...What about those non-existant MP's...?!?!
>> > >
>> > > Well by golly, you -REALLY CAN- provide proof of something. It wasn't
>> > > that hard, was it, Dudly? Or did Han's send you the info in an email?
>> > > Either way, you were willing and able to address the issue. Progress!
>> >
>> > I've been doing it all along.
>>
>> nope

>
> Yep.
>
> Did it right there in the paragraph you "snipped", yet followed up
>with a comment, Markie.
>
> Frankie insisted that the Marines DON'T have Military
>Police...Just "Shore Patrol", yet the USMC has a whole MOS Occupational
>Field dedicted to it.

"dedicated"

And that's something you're -proud- to admit? Think about it for a
sec, Dud..... do you really think that a shitbird PFC, after going
through two courts-martial and spending time in the brig, doesn't know
that the Marine Corps doesn't have MP's? Gawd you are dumb. I guess I
have to explain it to you on a third-grade level:

I baited you, Dud. I wanted to see if you were willing to prove me
wrong if you had the chance, so I gave you the chance. You took the
bait, hook, line, sinker, and half the dock. IOW, you just proved that
if you -did- have proof of your 'career' in the Corps, you would not
only have posted it, you would be trying to shove it down my throat at
every opportunity -- just like you are doing with the MP/SP issue.

But go right ahead and rejoice in your imaginary victory, Major Dud.

>> > > > Need some more Kleenex to clean off that bloody nose, punk?
>> > >
>> > > Nope, and you are too dumb to see that you just gave -yourself- a
>> > > bloody nose.
>> >
>> > Nope. That's "collateral damage" from you, Snivvelling One.
>>
>> still a bloody now little boy
>
> That's right..Frankie's nose is STILL bloody. Of course I am sure
>you LIKE that kind of thing, having publically stated the only thing
>you DON'T like is "solid waste".
>
>Steve "wannbe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

Let's see if you are still unwilling to address the issues.......

You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly
deployed with the FMF.

You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col
Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for
him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON
system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's,
including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system,
which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the

early '80's).

You didn't know the difference between a 'hitch', a 'cruise', and a 'float'.

You mistakenly assumed the origination of the phrase "One Shot One Kill" was from the Army Sniper School; wrongfully presumed that it is a tactic taught to all Marine recruits; then proceeded to demonstrate your ignorance about basic combat tactics, the use of shotguns, and the very common 40mm grenade launchers (M-79 & M-203).

You claimed that "the only targets used to train Marine riflemen (and all recruits) [are] head shots and 'center mast', or chest shots", but didn't know that the standard round marksmanship-type targets are also used, and much more often than "dog" targets. In fact, most of rifle qualification is done with the round targets. But you didn't know that despite your claim to have "[taken] home an 'Rifle Expert' on each and every trip to the range".

You didn't know that only the results of an Article 15 proceeding are recorded, not the entire proceedings; and you don't even know what page in the SRB they are recorded.

You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually made by the CO, not HQMC.

You didn't know that outside communications can be prohibited during a base lock-down or unit activation.

You defined a 'chit' as "A Naval term for a 'permission slip'", when in fact it means -any- piece of paper with something written on it.

You didn't know that a dishonorable discharge can only be given as a result of a conviction in a General court-martial, and is usually given after a few years at Leavenworth and a reduction to Private.

You didn't know that the Corps doesn't use cutting scores for promotions.

You misquoted your SIX alleged promotion certificates (a misquote that I later found on google verbatim).

You didn't understand that "years and months in specialty" (box 11 on the DD-214) is not the same as time in service, yet claimed that you have -several- DD-214's.

You claimed that time on the delayed entry program was considered to be time in reserve status but never was.

You stated that "My DD-214 says 'Honorable'...", yet after 1979 there is no box on the form to designate character of service. The DD-214 is only a "release or discharge from active duty", not a final discharge

certificate. Only that latter will denote the character of service.

You claimed to have served from 03 September, 1974 to 29 May, 1992. That's only 17 years and 9 months. Yet you also claim to be retired, which can only happen if you served 20 years. You also claimed to have been discharged for medical reasons, having your discharge later upgraded (a claim which you have both denied and acknowledged). You didn't know that medical discharges cannot be upgraded, nor that time not served cannot be arbitrarily added to the end of an enlistment in order to obtain retirement benefits.

And once again: With all your careers, activities and obligations, where do you find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?

Well?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2399. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 04:19:57 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <hlfao1hcb4n8u0uqv40dtogk2nikvp9sh2@4ax.com>
Source record: 124865

On 23 Nov 2005 04:30:28 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132749028.212506.24870@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 18, 2:08 am
>> >
>> >
>> > >You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
>> > >
>> > >http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
>> >
>> > "Wait?" That EDITORIAL piece was in the 13 October 2005 issue,
>> > Jimmie. Today is 18 November. Why are you so LATE?
>> >
>> > My paper copy of Electronic Design has been sitting
>> > around for a month. It's a no-charge subscription to those
>> > IN the electronics industry; aren't you one of those? The
>> > cover feature is "Weapons of Mass Protection." Feature
>> > article is (on page 27) subtitled "Where the Homeland
>> > Security Dollars Are."
>> >
>> > Oh, I understand, you are against making a profit. An
>> > unusual attitude for someone who claims professional (for
>> > money) employment. Tsk, tsk...no wonder you won't reveal
>> > your employer's name!
>>
>> in all fairness that refusual is one of the reasonsi try to cut jim
>> some slack. it is the senible thing
>>
>> Stevie wants us to know he is an ER LPN which means given his address
>> (qrz of course) and check of the phone book (online of course) one
>> could work out where he works and deliver to him the trouble he tries
>> to deal out to others
>
> This was a point? And relevent to the FACT that one of the
>"professional" jopurnals that Lennie claims doesn't discuss Amateur
>Radio DOES not only DISUCSS it, but lauds it?

"relevant", "journals", "DISCUSS"

> And Markie, you can TRY to "deal out" what ever you care to do...It
>will only get dismissed as incoherent rantings.
>

>> Jim and dave for that matter have enough sense not to reveal there
>> employment info online, Steve OTOH doesn't have that much sense hemakes
>> easy and tempting to look it up and try to raise havoc with it, and
>> flames the restof usfor not puting ourselves out there where we can be
>> hit
>
> No...YOU put out information where you can be "hit".
>
> And I STILL don't see where ANY comments made by Lennie, Dave, Jim,
>or ANYone has any relevence to your rantings, Markie.

"relevance"

> Not a one.
>
>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

Address the issues, Dud:

You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly deployed with the FMF.

You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's, including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system, which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the early '80's).

You didn't know the difference between a 'hitch', a 'cruise', and a 'float'.

You mistakenly assumed the origination of the phrase "One Shot One Kill" was from the Army Sniper School; wrongfully presumed that it is a tactic taught to all Marine recruits; then proceeded to demonstrate your ignorance about basic combat tactics, the use of shotguns, and the very common 40mm grenade launchers (M-79 & M-203).

You claimed that "the only targets used to train Marine riflemen (and all recruits) [are] head shots and 'center mast', or chest shots", but didn't know that the standard round marksmanship-type targets are also used, and much more often than "dog" targets. In fact, most of rifle qualification is done with the round targets. But you didn't know that despite your claim to have "[taken] home an 'Rifle Expert' on each and every trip to the range".

You didn't know that only the results of an Article 15 proceeding are recorded, not the entire proceedings; and you don't even know what page in the SRB they are recorded.

You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually

made by the CO, not HQMC.

You didn't know that outside communications can be prohibited during a base lock-down or unit activation.

You defined a 'chit' as "A Naval term for a 'permission slip'", when in fact it means -any- piece of paper with something written on it.

You didn't know that a dishonorable discharge can only be given as a result of a conviction in a General court-martial, and is usually given after a few years at Leavenworth and a reduction to Private.

You didn't know that the Corps doesn't use cutting scores for promotions.

You misquoted your SIX alleged promotion certificates (a misquote that I later found on google verbatim).

You didn't understand that "years and months in specialty" (box 11 on the DD-214) is not the same as time in service, yet claimed that you have -several- DD-214's.

You claimed that time on the delayed entry program was considered to be time in reserve status but never was.

You stated that "My DD-214 says 'Honorable'...", yet after 1979 there is no box on the form to designate character of service. The DD-214 is only a "release or discharge from active duty", not a final discharge certificate. Only that latter will denote the character of service.

You claimed to have served from 03 September, 1974 to 29 May, 1992. That's only 17 years and 9 months. Yet you also claim to be retired, which can only happen if you served 20 years. You also claimed to have been discharged for medical reasons, having your discharge later upgraded (a claim which you have both denied and acknowledged). You didn't know that medical discharges cannot be upgraded, nor that time not served cannot be arbitrarily added to the end of an enlistment in order to obtain retirement benefits.

And with all your careers, activities and obligations, where do you find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2400. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 04:26:55 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <psfao1h8jl74g79d5opcvhukfgtahgfkbl@4ax.com>
Source record: 124866

On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132750055.039712.309020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>an old friend wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
>> > >
>> > > http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
>> > >
>> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> > Given the millions and millions and millions spent on communications
>> > infrastructure...
>> >
>> cut
>>
>> > " " "Meanwhile, ham radio operators proved that older technology can be
>> > the most reliable technology. Our EDA Editor (and ham) David Maliniak
>> > wrote an online column on the subject, pointing out that sometimes "old
>> > works when new doesn't." (Read it and add your comments at ED Online
>> > 11136.) During and after Katrina, hams running on generators (sometimes
>> > with makeshift antennas) worked throughout the hurricane zone to put
>> > emergency stations on the air. They guided rescuers to stranded victims
>> > and updated weather services via the Hurricane Watch Net." " "
>> >
>> > Wait a minute. The Guard says their equipment is antiquated and needs
>> > replaced, but the ARS service says that older technology may be what is
>> > needed.
>> >
>> > Obviously, they can -both- be right. When wide area vhf+ comm systems
>> > go down, they have to switch to simplex where they can, and HF/NVIS
>> > networks for the rest of the area.
>>
>> in point of fact they both can be right
>>
>> the virtue of Ham radio is we can forge links as we need to the
>> downside is by and large we have to every time, the abilty to use use
>> the resource is of value when "something that got overlooked shows up"
>>
>> problems with that senario are the ARS lack much abilty to forge stable
>> conections and must invent them continously this is hard for your govt
>> and other first responders to use so they gtry to go higher and higher

>> tech trying to not to need us
>
> The problem, Markie, is that "higher and higher tech" is EXACTLY
>why they have problems that DO need us!
>
> The current circumstances in southern Louisiana are clear proof.
>Once again the cellphone net, trunked and interlinked Public Service
>communications systems CRASHED under the weight of Katrina.
>
>> ham ego's also get in the way as witness the reaction saying that the
>> response of ham radio was less than it could have been while much
>> better then the Govt own response
>
> Oh yeah...Our ego's got in the way...yeah. Right. Uh huh. Sure.
> OK.

"egos"

>> Yes I am dispointed in the response I saw and heard to Katrina and Rita
>> I am apaled by the response of LA and NO not thrilled with FEMA either
>> but as can be send they are dependant on the locals for infrastructure
>
> There is no way that any one state can truly "gear up" for the kind
>of disaster response that Katrina and Rita mandated...Not even
>California with it's sixth or eighth place GNP could withstand this
>kind of impact without calling in federal assistance.

"its"

> Amateur Radio's BIGGEST contribution in this scenario is it's
>decentralized locating of assets. With thousands of licessees and
>stations spead out over the entire nation, there's no way that anything
>short of complete nuclear annihalation, pandemic disease or
>apoctolyptic act of God could obliterate it.

"its", "licensees" or "licencees", "spread", "annihilation",
"apocalyptic"

What a hypocrite.

>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

Address the issues, Dud:

You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly
deployed with the FMF.

You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col
Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for
him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON
system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's,
including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system,
which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the

early '80's).

You didn't know the difference between a 'hitch', a 'cruise', and a 'float'.

You mistakenly assumed the origination of the phrase "One Shot One Kill" was from the Army Sniper School; wrongfully presumed that it is a tactic taught to all Marine recruits; then proceeded to demonstrate your ignorance about basic combat tactics, the use of shotguns, and the very common 40mm grenade launchers (M-79 & M-203).

You claimed that "the only targets used to train Marine riflemen (and all recruits) [are] head shots and 'center mast', or chest shots", but didn't know that the standard round marksmanship-type targets are also used, and much more often than "dog" targets. In fact, most of rifle qualification is done with the round targets. But you didn't know that despite your claim to have "[taken] home an 'Rifle Expert' on each and every trip to the range".

You didn't know that only the results of an Article 15 proceeding are recorded, not the entire proceedings; and you don't even know what page in the SRB they are recorded.

You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually made by the CO, not HQMC.

You didn't know that outside communications can be prohibited during a base lock-down or unit activation.

You defined a 'chit' as "A Naval term for a 'permission slip'", when in fact it means -any- piece of paper with something written on it.

You didn't know that a dishonorable discharge can only be given as a result of a conviction in a General court-martial, and is usually given after a few years at Leavenworth and a reduction to Private.

You didn't know that the Corps doesn't use cutting scores for promotions.

You misquoted your SIX alleged promotion certificates (a misquote that I later found on google verbatim).

You didn't understand that "years and months in specialty" (box 11 on the DD-214) is not the same as time in service, yet claimed that you have -several- DD-214's.

You claimed that time on the delayed entry program was considered to be time in reserve status but never was.

You stated that "My DD-214 says 'Honorable'...", yet after 1979 there is no box on the form to designate character of service. The DD-214 is only a "release or discharge from active duty", not a final discharge

certificate. Only that latter will denote the character of service.

You claimed to have served from 03 September, 1974 to 29 May, 1992. That's only 17 years and 9 months. Yet you also claim to be retired, which can only happen if you served 20 years. You also claimed to have been discharged for medical reasons, having your discharge later upgraded (a claim which you have both denied and acknowledged). You didn't know that medical discharges cannot be upgraded, nor that time not served cannot be arbitrarily added to the end of an enlistment in order to obtain retirement benefits.

And with all your careers, activities and obligations, where do you find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 2401. Re: Markies spelling troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 04:33:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4fgao1pofb4bo6r970b83cgatj4qgtfhe3@4ax.com>
Source record: 124867

On 23 Nov 2005 03:37:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132745855.536005.151480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Steveo wrote:
>> Reckon why Markie's spelling improves in his demented sex personals?
>>
>> You be the judge:
>>
>> http://tinyurl.com/82gql
>
> That was several years ago in Illinois...Markie had one of the
>neighborhood kids coming in and doing his typing for him (his
>hillarious "typist pool")

Yep, that's certainly "hilarious".

> Markie now lives in the Upper Penninsula of Michigan. I am sure
>the neighborhood there is much smaller and it's harder for a "man" of
>Markie's preferences to "function" without drawing the attention of the
>neighbors, ergo harder to get one of the kids to enter the house
>without parental oversight.

"Peninsula"

>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

Address the issues, Dud:

You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly
deployed with the FMF.

You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col
Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for
him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON
system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's,
including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system,
which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the
early '80's).

You didn't know the difference between a 'hitch', a 'cruise', and a
'float'.

You mistakenly assumed the origination of the phrase "One Shot One
Kill" was from the Army Sniper School; wrongfully presumed that it is
a tactic taught to all Marine recruits; then proceeded to demonstrate
your ignorance about basic combat tactics, the use of shotguns, and

the very common 40mm grenade launchers (M-79 & M-203).

You claimed that "the only targets used to train Marine riflemen (and all recruits) [are] head shots and 'center mast', or chest shots", but didn't know that the standard round marksmanship-type targets are also used, and much more often than "dog" targets. In fact, most of rifle qualification is done with the round targets. But you didn't know that despite your claim to have "[taken] home an 'Rifle Expert' on each and every trip to the range".

You didn't know that only the results of an Article 15 proceeding are recorded, not the entire proceedings; and you don't even know what page in the SRB they are recorded.

You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually made by the CO, not HQMC.

You didn't know that outside communications can be prohibited during a base lock-down or unit activation.

You defined a 'chit' as "A Naval term for a 'permission slip'", when in fact it means -any- piece of paper with something written on it.

You didn't know that a dishonorable discharge can only be given as a result of a conviction in a General court-martial, and is usually given after a few years at Leavenworth and a reduction to Private.

You didn't know that the Corps doesn't use cutting scores for promotions.

You misquoted your SIX alleged promotion certificates (a misquote that I later found on google verbatim).

You didn't understand that "years and months in specialty" (box 11 on the DD-214) is not the same as time in service, yet claimed that you have -several- DD-214's.

You claimed that time on the delayed entry program was considered to be time in reserve status but never was.

You stated that "My DD-214 says 'Honorable'...", yet after 1979 there is no box on the form to designate character of service. The DD-214 is only a "release or discharge from active duty", not a final discharge certificate. Only that latter will denote the character of service.

You claimed to have served from 03 September, 1974 to 29 May, 1992. That's only 17 years and 9 months. Yet you also claim to be retired, which can only happen if you served 20 years. You also claimed to have been discharged for medical reasons, having your discharge later upgraded (a claim which you have both denied and acknowledged). You didn't know that medical discharges cannot be upgraded, nor that time not served cannot be arbitrarily added to the end of an enlistment in

order to obtain retirement benefits.

And with all your careers, activities and obligations, where do you
find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2402. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 04:35:15 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132806915.052363.242290@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124868

no it just been moved to a database systemI still working on putting pictures in it. I have 1000 pictures hehehe.

http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

## 2403. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 04:36:00 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132806960.930810.244800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

yojimbo you should eat and die, dickhead

# 2404. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 04:47:22 UTC
From: Lloyd <lloyds@lloydsremailanon.org>
Message-ID: <dm3ggv$8kr$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

```
05313 04410 76024 00128 79245
```

## 2405. Astro-net from the 60s (was: K1MAN ESSAY)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 04:48:48 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dm3gng$hrl$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 124872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> To be honest, I never felt comfortable on 75 meters, nor did I feel
> that I fit in for the most part. There were groups, however, in which
> I enjoyed participating,

Anyone remember the Astro-Net on 3885 kc from the 1960s? It was composed
of group of amateur astronomers who nightly trained their telescopes on a
particular celestial object and would then have round-table comments
about that object. It was fascinating to eavesdrop on that net.

73, Jeff KH6O
--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

# 2406. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 04:49:42 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <a9hao11v5gtv84n5co9d817si0kbcvfp8j@4ax.com>
Source record: 124871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 23 Nov 2005 20:16:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<ajfao19bn8181tbqo1ui3tugckjn2iec5r@4ax.com>:

<snip>
>And that's something you're -proud- to admit? Think about it for a
>sec, Dud..... do you really think that a shitbird PFC, after going
>through two courts-martial and spending time in the brig, doesn't know
>that the Marine Corps doesn't have MP's? Gawd you are dumb.

Since Major Dud can't hold himself to the same standards that he
imposes upon everyone else, I suppose that I will need to set the
example. The preceding paragraph is hereby corrected as follows:

>And that's something you're -proud- to admit? Think about it for a
>sec, Dud..... do you really think that a shitbird PFC, after going
>through two courts-martial and spending time in the brig, doesn't know
>that the Marine Corps has MP's? Gawd you are dumb.

Address the issues, Dud.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2407. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 04:55:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132808128.869391.74600@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124873

>The N9OGL Demented Otaku BBS has been cleared of pornography.
>The children of the world thank you.

Why anyway? if kids wanted to see pronography all they have to do is
get them a newsgroup read and go to all the binary USNET and have all
the porn you could ever imagine.

# 2408. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 05:06:54 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <dm3hpe$id4$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 124874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

>>And if you listen carefully during the closing theme song, you'll hear
>>the violin section play -- --- .-. ... . (MORSE) repeatedly.

> how does violin section do morse

Are you serious??

Let H = half-note, and Q = quarter-note:

HH HHH QHQ QQQ Q

Jeff KH6O

--
Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

# 2409. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 05:11:57 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <ueSdnc8qDqOyoxjenZ2dnUVZ_sGdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 124863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Diarrhea-Mouth Bob wrote:
> "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
> news:635e5$43852eff$4e1057f$22829@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
>>Well, Big Al a.k.a. K4OKA from
>
> //////////FLUSHED//////////
>
>
>
>
>
>
Yet you keep responding to him.

# 2410. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 05:48:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132811309.691633.97610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 23 Nov 2005 03:53:43 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

Huge Snip

> And once again: With all your careers, activities and obligations,
> where do you find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?
>
>
> Well?

Frankie, PERHAPS if you had learned early in your life how to
prioritize your activities and your daily obligations-vs-preferences,
you MIGHT have found time to be the Marine you now fancy yourself as
having been.

Instead, you wound up as an also-ran trying to salve his bruised
ego at the expense of someone who DID learn how to do those things.

I pity you, really...

I managed to be able to do the things I want.

You never managed to do the things you need to.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2411. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 05:53:24 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132811604.902059.271860@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Wed, 23 Nov 2005 20:16:17 -0800, Frank Gilliland
> <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in
> <ajfao19bn8181tbqo1ui3tugckjn2iec5r@4ax.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >And that's something you're -proud- to admit? Think about it for a
> >sec, Dud..... do you really think that a ####bird PFC, after going
> >through two courts-martial and spending time in the brig, doesn't know
> >that the Marine Corps doesn't have MP's? Gawd you are dumb.
>
> Since Major Dud can't hold himself to the same standards that he
> imposes upon everyone else, I suppose that I will need to set the
> example. The preceding paragraph is hereby corrected as follows:
>
> >And that's something you're -proud- to admit? Think about it for a
> >sec, Dud..... do you really think that a ####bird PFC, after going
> >through two courts-martial and spending time in the brig, doesn't know
> >that the Marine Corps has MP's? Gawd you are dumb.
>
> Address the issues, Dud.

I understand "the issues" just fine, Frankie.

You made the stupid comments and I bloodied your nose with them.

You've tripped yourself up SEVERAL times now trying to salve your
ego and "make fun" of me, only to get it fed back to you.

You were useless when you were in the Corps, you're even less than
useless now.

The ONLY thing you've gotten right so far was categorizing
yourself as a ####bird.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2412. Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 06:12:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132812756.202646.234150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124878

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

> Address the issues, Steve

Already done.

> You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly
> deployed with the FMF.

Still lying and still not explaining from where you got that,
Frankie.

> You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col
> Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for
> him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON
> system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's,
> including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system,
> which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the
> early '80's).

JULIETT in helos. OV-10's, too.

As of early 1992 the KY-58's had not been installed in the -53
series helos.

Nice try, though.

> You didn't know the difference between a 'hitch', a 'cruise', and a
> 'float'.

You're the one with knowledge deficit, Frankie.

I even posted URL's for you to follow, but it seems you ignored
them.

> You mistakenly assumed the origination of the phrase "One Shot One
> Kill" was from the Army Sniper School; wrongfully presumed that it is
> a tactic taught to all Marine recruits; then proceeded to demonstrate
> your ignorance about basic combat tactics, the use of shotguns, and
> the very common 40mm grenade launchers (M-79 & M-203).

No mistake.

One shot, one kill.

> You claimed that "the only targets used to train Marine riflemen (and
> all recruits) [are] head shots and 'center mast', or chest shots", but

> didn't know that the standard round marksmanship-type targets are also
> used, and much more often than "dog" targets. In fact, most of rifle
> qualification is done with the round targets. But you didn't know that
> despite your claim to have "[taken] home an 'Rifle Expert' on each and
> every trip to the range".

And you continue to try an misrepresent what I said as somehthing
else.

What I said was 100% accurate.

> You didn't know that only the results of an Article 15 proceeding are
> recorded, not the entire proceedings; and you don't even know what
> page in the SRB they are recorded.

And a record IS kept.

> You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any
> off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually
> made by the CO, not HQMC.

Again, you're blatantly lying, Frankie.

> You didn't know that outside communications can be prohibited during a
> base lock-down or unit activation.

Even more mistruths.

I am willing to bet it's that deceitful mouth that got you busted.

> You defined a 'chit' as "A Naval term for a 'permission
> slip'", when in fact it means -any- piece of paper with something
> written on it.

Again...Incorrect.

> You didn't know that a dishonorable discharge can only be given as a
> result of a conviction in a General court-martial, and is usually
> given after a few years at Leavenworth and a reduction to Private.

Again deceitful.

> You didn't know that the Corps doesn't use cutting scores for
> promotions.

SURE they do! W H Y do you keep lying about this, Frankie?

> You misquoted your SIX alleged promotion certificates (a misquote that
> I later found on google verbatim).

I missed one word, Frankie...

So two points here...

First of all, by your own words YOU had to go find it on Google
yourself. And since the last time I stood infront of someone to hear

those words recited to me was 1988, I think one word is pretty
acceptable.

Secondly, when was YOUR last promotion, and how many of them DID
you manage to get?

> You didn't understand that "years and months in specialty" (box 11 on
> the DD-214) is not the same as time in service, yet claimed that you
> have -several- DD-214's.
>
> You claimed that time on the delayed entry program was considered to
> be time in reserve status but never was.

Sure it was.

> You stated that "My DD-214 says 'Honorable'...", yet after 1979 there
> is no box on the form to designate character of service. The DD-214 is
> only a "release or discharge from active duty", not a final discharge
> certificate. Only that latter will denote the character of service.

Sorry that yours doesn't say "Honorable", Frankie.

Mine does.

> You claimed to have served from 03 September, 1974 to 29 May, 1992.
> That's only 17 years and 9 months. Yet you also claim to be retired,
> which can only happen if you served 20 years.

Nope.

> You also claimed to have
> been discharged for medical reasons, having your discharge later
> upgraded (a claim which you have both denied and acknowledged).

You still don't have all the facts, Frankie...but that's pretty
clear to just about everyone except Markie by now.

> You didn't know that medical discharges cannot be upgraded, nor that time
> not served cannot be arbitrarily added to the end of an enlistment in
> order to obtain retirement benefits.

Still...Not all of the facts.

> And with all your careers, activities and obligations, where do you
> find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?

Like I said, Frankie...It's a shame you didn't learn how to
prioritize obligations-vs-preferences and learn some time management
skills...

You might have BEEN the Marine you fancy yourself as being now....

Steve, K4YZ

# 2413. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 06:13:01 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <48184$438559d0$4e0b7e0$23720@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 124877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:635e5$43852eff$4e1057f$22829@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>>
> Well, Big Al a.k.a. K4OKA from N.C. was the one to Go Away.
> I'm still here.
> In the words of Pork Butt , don't let the frequency hit in on the ass as
> you leave.
> BTW , I've got a "Big Radio".
> As always - As far as I am concerned , No Call Sign = No Credibility .
>
> Ace - WH2T
Typo correction

In the words of Pork Butt , don't let the frequency hit you on the ass as
you
leave.

# 2414. Last Chance For Brian to Get One The "Stop The Flames" Express

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 06:19:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132813187.136379.259040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124879

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> He made an agreement to be civil and couldn't last even 24 hours.

As it pertains to you, Brian, you've not proven otherwise.

But then one-way agreements seem to be the only one's you "agree"
to, and only then if it serves you.

Perhaps we'd be more accurate calling you "Diode".

Steve, K4YZ

# 2415. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 06:22:36 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132813356.647864.291050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Subj: Re: W4ASX Makes Technical Correction; K1MAN is Unfit to be a Ham
Radio Operator
Date: 11/23/2005 9:03:30 AM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bobmiami1
To: K1MAN14275

So you think fabricating false premises is fair game in the law? Is
this the quintessential advocate you've become? Shame on you, Glenn!

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

Subj: Re: W4ASX Makes Technical Correction; K1MAN is Unfit to be a Ham
Radio Operator
Date: 11/23/2005 6:48:22 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: K1MAN14275
To: Bobmiami1

I am so ashamed Bob!

Let them eat Crow!

73 and Happy Thanksgiving.

---
---

W4ASX Commentary

This ham, whose license has been set aside, does not deny fabricating
charges that he transmitted to the FCC, instead suggesting deceit is
fair game when it comes to the regulation of our hobby/service. Yet it
is this very character issue that is determinative of whether K1MAN.
Glenn Baxter's fall from grace will leave him without a license.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

## 2416. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 06:38:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132814323.013911.177620@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124881

Is that on your computer toddieboy?

Yeah and your point?? Yojimbo IMHO is in violation of federal law, by
access parts of computer without my permission.

Todd N9OGL
Demeted Otaku BBS Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

# 2417. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 06:38:57 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132814337.909650.294280@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124882

Is that on your computer toddieboy?

Yeah and your point?? Yojimbo IMHO is in violation of federal law, by
access parts of computer without my permission.

Todd N9OGL
Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

# 2418. Re: Markie Creating More Strawmen...Then Strikes His Own Matches...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 06:44:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132814653.448379.261470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124883

I think his point is Steve is your all blow smoke out your asses. but I guess it gives you all something to do, bitch and whine on a newsgroup about something worth not even bitching about

Todd N9OGL
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

# 2419. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 08:55:56 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <kmnao1t9qquve5fnbv3re0kcusrqjcai2i@4ax.com>
Source record: 124884

On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132812756.202646.234150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
>> Address the issues, Steve
>
> Already done.
>
>> You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly
>> deployed with the FMF.
>
> Still lying and still not explaining from where you got that,
>Frankie.

From this specific conversation (that you abruptly dropped):

==========

On 21 Aug 2005 20:33:22 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1124681602.313149.172620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> > because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
>> >who are in the Air Wing.
>>
>> Wrong again. I didn't say they were in the "Air Wing", although I did
>> know a few Marines that were stationed at Cherry Point (just a stone's
>> throw from Geiger -- we used to hang out at the Second Front area).
>
> You said they were in Avionics.
>
> So...How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have, Frank?

==========

To which I replied:

==========

>Quite a few. Back when I was active, the three batallions of the 8th
>regiment were rotated into the 22nd and 24th MAUs. I was deployed with
>the 24th MAU on The USS Nassau. This ship is an LHA -- basically it's
>a small aircraft carrier with a massive well deck. It can accomodate a

>lot of different aircraft including the Cobra, Huey, CH-46, CH-53,
>OV-10 and Harrier. Being a Marine in the avionics field you should
>have already known this. In fact, if you -were- USMC for 18 years it's
>more than likey that you would had served aboard an LHA or a similar
>amphibious assault ship. Either way you would have known of both their
>existence and their capabilities.

==========

You never would have asked that question if you had been in the Corps
because every Marine knows that FMF units are assembled from parts of
units that cover almost the entire spectrum of the Corps capabilities.
For example, when I was with 3/8 we were deployed as BLT (Batallion
Landing Team) 3/8, the infantry unit that was attached to the 24th MAU
for that float. The 24th MAU also consisted of components from tanks,
amtracks, artillery, etc, etc. The BLT that was attached to the 24th
MAU just prior to my deployment was BLT 1/8, on an expedition that
should strike a chord if you know your Marine Corps history.

>> You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col
>> Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for
>> him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON
>> system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's,
>> including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system,
>> which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the
>> early '80's).
>
> JULIETT in helos. OV-10's, too.
>
> As of early 1992 the KY-58's had not been installed in the -53
>series helos.
>
> Nice try, though.

The KY-58's were installed in aircraft in the early '80 so as to be
compatible with the ground forces which had already replaced the
KY-38's with the KY-57's. And since air and ground forces -must- be
able to talk to each other in order to function, the aircraft -must-
have had KY-58's. I also know this firsthand since I regularly rode
the CH-46 and CH-53 (first trip on a CH-53 was from Lejeune to Bragg
for urban combat training -- a long and bumpy trip; and with those
really small portholes for no visual reference..... well, let's just
say that the first canteen I emptied was to clean out my steel pot). I
also had to load some keys onto aircraft KY-58's while on float (part
of the job). They were there, Dud. You weren't.

>> You didn't know the difference between a 'hitch', a 'cruise', and a
>> 'float'.
>
> You're the one with knowledge deficit, Frankie.
>
> I even posted URL's for you to follow, but it seems you ignored

>them.

I didn't see any URL's. But if you want a definitive reference, why
don't you just take a look in the Marine Corps Manual (i.e, the green
one, although I'm sure the red one has the same info).

>> You mistakenly assumed the origination of the phrase "One Shot One
>> Kill" was from the Army Sniper School; wrongfully presumed that it is
>> a tactic taught to all Marine recruits; then proceeded to demonstrate
>> your ignorance about basic combat tactics, the use of shotguns, and
>> the very common 40mm grenade launchers (M-79 & M-203).
>
> No mistake.
>
> One shot, one kill.

Wasn't Billy Zane in that movie?

>> You claimed that "the only targets used to train Marine riflemen (and
>> all recruits) [are] head shots and 'center mast', or chest shots", but
>> didn't know that the standard round marksmanship-type targets are also
>> used, and much more often than "dog" targets. In fact, most of rifle
>> qualification is done with the round targets. But you didn't know that
>> despite your claim to have "[taken] home an 'Rifle Expert' on each and
>> every trip to the range".
>
> And you continue to try an misrepresent what I said as somehthing
>else.
>
> What I said was 100% accurate.

You said, "the ONLY targets used" (emphasis added). Your statement was
wrong. I can post pics of the rifle range from my boot-camp 'yearbook'
if you need further proof. And your claim is even more wrong for the
simple fact that human bodies are not the only targets in warfare.
Recruits are taught marksmanship, not just "head shots", and not "one
shot one kill".

>> You didn't know that only the results of an Article 15 proceeding are
>> recorded, not the entire proceedings; and you don't even know what
>> page in the SRB they are recorded.
>
> And a record IS kept.

Contrary to your original claim, no transcripts are made during an
Article 15 hearing. Only the results are recorded. And every Marine,
NJP or not, knows on which page of the SRB those results are recorded.
It's common knowledge in the Corps because it's discussed frequently.
The only way you wouldn't know this bit of info is if you were never
in the Corps. Maybe Hans can help you out here.....

>> You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any
>> off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually

>> made by the CO, not HQMC.
>
> Again, you're blatantly lying, Frankie.

Wrong. You -begged- me to cite an MCO that prohibits such activities, yet after I educated you on the issue you claimed that your CO granted you permission to work as an EMT. You have been caught making up your 'career' as you go, Dud.

>> You didn't know that outside communications can be prohibited during a
>> base lock-down or unit activation.
>
> Even more mistruths.
>
> I am willing to bet it's that deceitful mouth that got you busted.

Wrong again, Dud. When confronted with the scenario about not being able to make your shift as an EMT due to base lockdown or unit activation, you made the ignorant excuse that you could simply give them a phone call, which often times is -not- possible because of restricted outside communications during such situations. Again, you were caught improvising your imaginary 'career'.

>> You defined a 'chit' as "A Naval term for a 'permission
>> slip'", when in fact it means -any- piece of paper with something
>> written on it.
>
> Again...Incorrect.

Marine Corps Manual. Need the page number?

>> You didn't know that a dishonorable discharge can only be given as a
>> result of a conviction in a General court-martial, and is usually
>> given after a few years at Leavenworth and a reduction to Private.
>
> Again deceitful.

Wrong. I openly admitted that my initial discharge was a 'General under Honorable Conditions', yet you proclaimed in several posts that I received a "dishonorable" discharge. That was a demonstration of gross ignorance on your part, since the types of discharges are pounded into your head during boot camp. And they are never forgotten because Marines read about others getting such discharges all the time..... or are you now going to claim that you never read the same periodical that published those alleged stories about active duty Marines working as EMT's? Anyway, what you didn't know (because you were never a Marine) was that a "dishonorable" discharge is a specific type of discharge -- the worst, actually. It's -not- a term that is generally applied to any discharge that is less than honorable. You ignorantly referred to my discharge as "dishonorable", proving that you didn't know the types of discharges (which was apparent from the beginning since you didn't know the difference between a 'medical' and

an 'honorable', neither of which you received).

>> You didn't know that the Corps doesn't use cutting scores for
>> promotions.
>
> SURE they do! W H Y do you keep lying about this, Frankie?

Marine Corps Manual. Marines -pride- themselves on having a promotion system that's based on merit, not on cutting scores as used by the other military services.

>> You misquoted your SIX alleged promotion certificates (a misquote that
>> I later found on google verbatim).
>
> I missed one word, Frankie...
>
> So two points here...
>
> First of all, by your own words YOU had to go find it on Google
>yourself.

No, I just had to look at one of my five promotion certificates. I searched google to see if anyone had misquoted it in the same way you did. Someone did, which leads me to believe that your misquote was the result of a google search (or from some source other than your memory or your alleged promotion certificates).

> And since the last time I stood infront of someone to hear
>those words recited to me was 1988, I think one word is pretty
>acceptable.

I was discharged three years earlier and promoted fewer times, yet I knew your quote was incorrect. Odd, wouldn't you say? And what did you do with all your paperwork from the Corps? Did it mean so little to you that you threw it all away?

> Secondly, when was YOUR last promotion, and how many of them DID
>you manage to get?

My fifth and last promotion was 1 October 85, and authorized by Lt.Col. C. C. Riner III, CO of 2nd AAV. I can scan the promotion certificate if you like. And I was promoted five times in my four short years. So while I may refer to myself as a 'shitbird', I must have been doing -something- right!!!

>> You didn't understand that "years and months in specialty" (box 11 on
>> the DD-214) is not the same as time in service, yet claimed that you
>> have -several- DD-214's.

You skipped this one, Dud.

>> You claimed that time on the delayed entry program was considered to
>> be time in reserve status but never was.
>

> Sure it was.

I was on the delayed entry program myself. Signed the contract on
Friday, Feb. 13, 1981 (yeah, pretty stupid). My total obligation was
six years; four active and two inactive reserve. So if my time on
delayed entry counted as reserve time then my final discharge would
have been Feb. 13, 1987 (plus bad time). It wasn't. It was six years
after my -actual- entry date (plus bad time, of course). Again, you
are wrong.

>> You stated that "My DD-214 says 'Honorable'...", yet after 1979 there
>> is no box on the form to designate character of service. The DD-214 is
>> only a "release or discharge from active duty", not a final discharge
>> certificate. Only that latter will denote the character of service.
>
> Sorry that yours doesn't say "Honorable", Frankie.
>
> Mine does.

You didn't read that very carefully, Dud. The DD-214 after 1979
DOESN'T STATE CHARACTER OF SERVICE. And if you really have "several"
DD-214's as you claimed, you would have replied with "mine do", not
"mine does". Yet another discrepancy, Dud.

>> You claimed to have served from 03 September, 1974 to 29 May, 1992.
>> That's only 17 years and 9 months. Yet you also claim to be retired,
>> which can only happen if you served 20 years.
>
> Nope.

Yep. Maybe Hans can provide you with the MCO to refresh..... er,
educate you on the subject.

>> You also claimed to have
>> been discharged for medical reasons, having your discharge later
>> upgraded (a claim which you have both denied and acknowledged).
>
> You still don't have all the facts, Frankie...but that's pretty
>clear to just about everyone except Markie by now.

I was repeating -your- claims, Dud. If your claims are not factual
then you lied... but that's pretty clear to just about everyone by
now, no exceptions.

>> You didn't know that medical discharges cannot be upgraded, nor that time
>> not served cannot be arbitrarily added to the end of an enlistment in
>> order to obtain retirement benefits.
>
> Still...Not all of the facts.

If you call the fire department to put out a fire, but only -you- know
that the fire doesn't exist, you can't blame them for responding.
You've had seven years to provide the facts. All you have provided are

unsubstantiated and contradictory claims. Do you need -even more- time
to fabricate plausible details of your military 'career'?

>> And with all your careers, activities and obligations, where do you
>> find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?
>
> Like I said, Frankie...It's a shame you didn't learn how to
>prioritize obligations-vs-preferences and learn some time management
>skills...

Funny tho, your posting frequency dropped after I first made that
comment. Coincidence? I think not.

> You might have BEEN the Marine you fancy yourself as being now....
>
>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

Try again.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2420. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 09:01:59 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <630bo1lsh7e7gjubed9dp8f030i3q6qoso@4ax.com>
Source record: 124885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 23 Nov 2005 21:48:29 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132811309.691633.97610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> On 23 Nov 2005 03:53:43 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
> Huge Snip

Interesting..... you snipped almost all the relevant material of my
post. Why ignore the fact that you are a hypocrite, Dud?

>> And once again: With all your careers, activities and obligations,
>> where do you find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?
>>
>>
>> Well?
>
> Frankie, PERHAPS if you had learned early in your life how to
>prioritize your activities and your daily obligations-vs-preferences,
>you MIGHT have found time to be the Marine you now fancy yourself as
>having been.
>
> Instead, you wound up as an also-ran trying to salve his bruised
>ego at the expense of someone who DID learn how to do those things.
>
> I pity you, really...
>
> I managed to be able to do the things I want.

You may have been -able- but you never managed to accomplish all that
you claim. I, on the other hand, mananged to -actually do- one of the
things you wanted to do -- I was a Marine.

> You never managed to do the things you need to.
>
>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

So did you ever meet the Marine who's identity you stole?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2421. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 11:47:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132832822.643767.54500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124886

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

> > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>
> No.
>
> Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.

Yes, he did.

> He violated federal law in the process.

Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
proven
guilty, right?).

> He should have gone to prison a long time ago.

IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.

The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:

1) Corruption (no evidence of that)

2) Incompetence (?)

3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
was doing.

4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.

And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.

5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict, what he did would not have been an offense any more.

It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted, will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or tried.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2422. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 12:42:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132836154.732796.204680@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 23 Nov 2005 21:48:29 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132811309.691633.97610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 23 Nov 2005 03:53:43 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >
> > Huge Snip
>
> Interesting..... you snipped almost all the relevant material of my
> post. Why ignore the fact that you are a hypocrite, Dud?

No hypicrite, Liar Frank.

I only addressed the parts I quoted.

> >> And once again: With all your careers, activities and obligations,
> >> where do you find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?
> >>
> >>
> >> Well?
> >
> > Frankie, PERHAPS if you had learned early in your life how to
> >prioritize your activities and your daily obligations-vs-preferences,
> >you MIGHT have found time to be the Marine you now fancy yourself as
> >having been.
> >
> > Instead, you wound up as an also-ran trying to salve his bruised
> >ego at the expense of someone who DID learn how to do those things.
> >
> > I pity you, really...
> >
> > I managed to be able to do the things I want.

> You may have been -able- but you never managed to accomplish all that
> you claim. I, on the other hand, mananged to -actually do- one of the
> things you wanted to do -- I was a Marine.

No, you weren't.

Or you wouldn't have wouldn't have wound up court martialed twice.

> > You never managed to do the things you need to.
> >

> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> So did you ever meet the Marine who's identity you stole?

Did you ever slap your mommy and daddy for raising a coward and liar?

You should.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2423. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 13:07:40 UTC
From: Bob Downonit <Down@gag.net>
Message-ID: <2005112408074033169%Down@gagnet>
Source record: 124888

On 2005-11-22 16:49:51 -0500, "Dr CB" <drcb@drcbisbest.com> said:

>>
>> Requires a license The current fee for a new GMRS license is $80.
>>
>
>
> Why bother with a license?
>
>
Maybe to avoid getting a NAL and a HUGE ass fine?

--
PCs, like air-conditioners, are useless when you open Windows.

# 2424. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 13:28:20 UTC
From: Channel 58 SSB Club <dwx@cbdxclubchan.net>
Message-ID: <dm4f1o$8iv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Bob Downonit" <Down@gag.net> wrote in message
news:200511240807403316 9%Down@gagnet...
> On 2005-11-22 16:49:51 -0500, "Dr CB" <drcb@drcbisbest.com> said:
>
>>>
>>> Requires a license The current fee for a new GMRS license is $80.
>>>
>>
>>
>> Why bother with a license?
>>
>>
> Maybe to avoid getting a NAL and a HUGE ass fine?
>

Post a link to the last one issued.
(p.s. - there have never been any issued & never will be)

# 2425. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 13:35:02 UTC
From: Herb <Herb@netsrnicenow.net>
Message-ID: <dm4fh7$aob$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
news:48184$438559d0$4e0b7e0$23720@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>
> "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com> wrote in message
> news:635e5$43852eff$4e1057f$22829@DIALUPUSA.NET...
>>>

Hey "doc" how much did all those mail order "degrees" cost you
from all those unaccredited religious diploma mills you list on
qrz.com ?

# 2426. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 13:36:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132839371.910857.67480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124892

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132812756.202646.234150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >>
> >> Address the issues, Steve
> >>
> > Already done.
> >>
> >> You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly
> >> deployed with the FMF.
> >>
> > Still lying and still not explaining from where you got that,
> >Frankie.
>
> From this specific conversation (that you abruptly dropped):
>
> ====================================
>
> On 21 Aug 2005 20:33:22 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1124681602.313149.172620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> >> > because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
> >> >who are in the Air Wing.
> >>
> >> Wrong again. I didn't say they were in the "Air Wing", although I did
> >> know a few Marines that were stationed at Cherry Point (just a stone's
> >> throw from Geiger -- we used to hang out at the Second Front area).
> >>
> > You said they were in Avionics.
> >>
> > So...How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have, Frank?
>
> ====================================
>
> To which I replied:
>
> ====================================
>
> >Quite a few. Back when I was active, the three batallions of the 8th
> >regiment were rotated into the 22nd and 24th MAUs. I was deployed with

> >the 24th MAU on The USS Nassau. This ship is an LHA -- basically it's
> >a small aircraft carrier with a massive well deck. It can accomodate a
> >lot of different aircraft including the Cobra, Huey, CH-46, CH-53,
> >OV-10 and Harrier. Being a Marine in the avionics field you should
> >have already known this. In fact, if you -were- USMC for 18 years it's
> >more than likey that you would had served aboard an LHA or a similar
> >amphibious assault ship. Either way you would have known of both their
> >existence and their capabilities.
>
> ====================================
>
> You never would have asked that question if you had been in the Corps
> because every Marine knows that FMF units are assembled from parts of
> units that cover almost the entire spectrum of the Corps capabilities.

SNIP

No...

A Regimental Combat Team, BLT or MEU is "assembled" from those
units, Frankie.

YOUR unit had NO avionics personnel in it.

> For example, when I was with 3/8 we were deployed as BLT (Batallion
> Landing Team) 3/8, the infantry unit that was attached to the 24th MAU
> for that float. The 24th MAU also consisted of components from tanks,
> amtracks, artillery, etc, etc. The BLT that was attached to the 24th
> MAU just prior to my deployment was BLT 1/8, on an expedition that
> should strike a chord if you know your Marine Corps history.

How many Avionics Technicians were in YOUR GROUND UNIT, Frankie?

Better yet, how many AVIATION UNITS were YOU attached to?

> >> You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col
> >> Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for
> >> him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON
> >> system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's,
> >> including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system,
> >> which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the
> >> early '80's).
> >
> > JULIETT in helos. OV-10's, too.
> >
> > As of early 1992 the KY-58's had not been installed in the -53
> >series helos.
> >
> > Nice try, though.
>
> The KY-58's were installed in aircraft in the early '80...(SNIP)

(UNSNIP....They were there, Dud. You weren't.

Wrong...Again...

> >> You didn't know the difference between a 'hitch', a 'cruise', and a
> >> 'float'.
> >
> > You're the one with knowledge deficit, Frankie.
> >
> > I even posted URL's for you to follow, but it seems you ignored
> >them.
>
> I didn't see any URL's. But if you want a definitive reference, why
> don't you just take a look in the Marine Corps Manual (i.e, the green
> one, although I'm sure the red one has the same info).

And an overseas deployment is STILL refered to as a cruise and an
enlsitment is STILL refered to as a "hitch", no matter what you try to
amke from it, Frankie.

> >> You mistakenly assumed the origination of the phrase "One Shot One
> >> Kill" was from the Army Sniper School; wrongfully presumed that it is
> >> a tactic taught to all Marine recruits; then proceeded to demonstrate
> >> your ignorance about basic combat tactics, the use of shotguns, and
> >> the very common 40mm grenade launchers (M-79 & M-203).
> >
> > No mistake.
> >
> > One shot, one kill.
>
> Wasn't Billy Zane in that movie?

Don't you wish YOU were?

He was closer to being a Marine than you were, Frankie.

At least by the end of the movie, his character had learned
something about honor.

You had four years and never did.

> >> You claimed that "the only targets used to train Marine riflemen (and
> >> all recruits) [are] head shots and 'center mast', or chest shots", but
> >> didn't know that the standard round marksmanship-type targets are also
> >> used, and much more often than "dog" targets. In fact, most of rifle
> >> qualification is done with the round targets. But you didn't know that
> >> despite your claim to have "[taken] home an 'Rifle Expert' on each and
> >> every trip to the range".
> >
> > And you continue to try an misrepresent what I said as somehthing
> >else.
> >
> > What I said was 100% accurate.
>
> You said, "the ONLY targets used" (emphasis added). Your statement was
> wrong.

No, it was not.

> I can post pics of the rifle range from my boot-camp 'yearbook'
> if you need further proof.

Ahhhhhh yes...Just slightly less tacky than the average junior
highschool "yearbook", pack full of "stock" boot camp pictures,
interspersed with a few "activities" shots of out platoon, and rounded
out with our "Dress Blues" shots...The ones where they have several
white tops on a table along with the "coat" that was only the top half
and had a snap in the back...

Of course when I went through, most of the "stock" pics were from
the 60's...

> And your claim is even more wrong for the
> simple fact that human bodies are not the only targets in warfare.
> Recruits are taught marksmanship, not just "head shots", and not "one
> shot one kill".

Argue the semantics all you care to, Frankie.

You're still a liar and you're still a coward.

> >> You didn't know that only the results of an Article 15 proceeding are
> >> recorded, not the entire proceedings; and you don't even know what
> >> page in the SRB they are recorded.
> >
> > And a record IS kept.
>
> Contrary to your original claim, no transcripts are made during an
> Article 15 hearing. Only the results are recorded. And every Marine,
> NJP or not, knows on which page of the SRB those results are recorded.
> It's common knowledge in the Corps because it's discussed frequently.
> The only way you wouldn't know this bit of info is if you were never
> in the Corps. Maybe Hans can help you out here.....

Maybe.

But I sat in on many Office Hours. Records were kept, along with
charges, statements, any mitigating evidence, and the disposition.

Maybe they were a bit slacker in the ground side...

> >> You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any
> >> off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually
> >> made by the CO, not HQMC.
> >
> > Again, you're blatantly lying, Frankie.
>
> Wrong.

Not wrong.

Marines MAY be prohibited from taking certain off-duty employment,
and ARE prohibited from participating in criminal activities or
partisan politics wherein their role as a Marine may be inferred as
establishing a political preference.

> You -begged- me to cite an MCO that prohibits such activities,
> yet after I educated you on the issue you claimed that your CO granted
> you permission to work as an EMT. You have been caught making up your
> 'career' as you go, Steve.

Nope.

And you've STILL not quoted a Marine Corps order that prohibited
my working as an EMT.

Not one.

> >> You didn't know that outside communications can be prohibited during a
> >> base lock-down or unit activation.
> >
> > Even more mistruths.
> >
>
> Wrong again, Steve.

> When confronted with the scenario about not being
> able to make your shift as an EMT due to base lockdown or unit
> activation, you made the ignorant excuse that you could simply give
> them a phone call, which often times is -not- possible because of
> restricted outside communications during such situations. Again, you
> were caught improvising your imaginary 'career'.

I was in the Marine Corps a heck of a lot longer than you,
Frankie.

In those 18 years, not once was I prohibited from making "that
call".

Again, pretty slim picking on YOUR part, and STILL proactive
deceit from you...

> >> You defined a 'chit' as "A Naval term for a 'permission
> >> slip'", when in fact it means -any- piece of paper with something
> >> written on it.
> >
> > Again...Incorrect.
>
> Marine Corps Manual. Need the page number?

A "chit" is not ANY peice of paper, Frankie.

> >> You didn't know that a dishonorable discharge can only be given as a
> >> result of a conviction in a General court-martial, and is usually
> >> given after a few years at Leavenworth and a reduction to Private.
> >

> > Again deceitful.
>
> Wrong. I openly admitted that my initial discharge was a 'General
> under Honorable Conditions', yet you proclaimed in several posts that
> I received a "dishonorable" discharge.

It WAS dishonorable.

You lied. You cheated. You misbehaved.

And you got busted for it.

Twice.

That IS dishonorable.

I served over four times longer than you and didn't get a single
Office Hours.

THAT is Honorable.

> That was a demonstration of
> gross ignorance on your part, since the types of discharges are
> pounded into your head during boot camp. And they are never forgotten
> because Marines read about others getting such discharges all the
> time.....(SNIP)

Obvioulsy it did little to keep you out of trouble.

Or perhaps they didn't "pound" hard enough on you?

> (UNSNIP)....or are you now going to claim that you never read the same
> periodical that published those alleged stories about active duty
> Marines working as EMT's?

"Marines". "Leatherneck" before it.

> Anyway, what you didn't know (because you were never a Marine)

And once again another intentional lie by Frankie.

> was that a "dishonorable" discharge is a specific
> type of discharge -- the worst, actually. It's -not- a term that is
> generally applied to any discharge that is less than honorable. You
> ignorantly referred to my discharge as "dishonorable", proving that
> you didn't know the types of discharges (which was apparent from the
> beginning since you didn't know the difference between a 'medical' and
> an 'honorable', neither of which you received).

Suck it up, punk.

> >> You didn't know that the Corps doesn't use cutting scores for
> >> promotions.
> >
> > SURE they do! W H Y do you keep lying about this, Frankie?
>

> Marine Corps Manual. Marines -pride- themselves on having a promotion
> system that's based on merit, not on cutting scores as used by the
> other military services.

Uh huh...

And that MERIT is a composite of proficiency, performance and
conduct.

Commonly called "cutting scores".

> >> You misquoted your SIX alleged promotion certificates (a misquote that
> >> I later found on google verbatim).
> >
> > I missed one word, Frankie...
> >
> > So two points here...
> >
> > First of all, by your own words YOU had to go find it on Google
> >yourself.
>
> No, I just had to look at one of my five promotion certificates.

Five?

Was that one each up to Staff Sergeant?

Or a couple to the same rank over, which is more like it.

> I searched google to see if anyone had misquoted it in the same way you
> did. Someone did, which leads me to believe that your misquote was the
> result of a google search (or from some source other than your memory
> or your alleged promotion certificates).
>
> > And since the last time I stood infront of someone to hear
> >those words recited to me was 1988, I think one word is pretty
> >acceptable.
>
> I was discharged three years earlier and promoted fewer times, yet I
> knew your quote was incorrect. Odd, wouldn't you say? And what did you
> do with all your paperwork from the Corps? Did it mean so little to
> you that you threw it all away?

Nope. Right here. My bust for not "reviewing" it first.

> > Secondly, when was YOUR last promotion, and how many of them DID
> >you manage to get?
>
> My fifth and last promotion was 1 October 85, and authorized by
> Lt.Col. C. C. Riner III, CO of 2nd AAV. I can scan the promotion
> certificate if you like. And I was promoted five times in my four
> short years. So while I may refer to myself as a 'shitbird', I must
> have been doing -something- right!!!

No...You weren't.

If anyone other than the Commandant of the Marine Corps
"authorized" a promotion, then it was to a rank less than Staff
Sergeant.

That means you had to ride that ride twice at least once.

I only went up.

Too bad for you.

If you HAD "...been doing -something- right!!!..." You would have
had all one way promotions...not ups-and-downs!

> >> You didn't understand that "years and months in specialty" (box 11 on
> >> the DD-214) is not the same as time in service, yet claimed that you
> >> have -several- DD-214's.
> >
> You skipped this one, Steve.

Nope.

> >> You claimed that time on the delayed entry program was considered to
> >> be time in reserve status but never was.
> > >
> > > Sure it was.
> >
> I was on the delayed entry program myself. Signed the contract on
> Friday, Feb. 13, 1981 (yeah, pretty stupid).

Obvioulsy your SECOND error.

The first was electing to enlist when you hadn't made up your mind
to BE a Marine.

> My total obligation was
> six years; four active and two inactive reserve. So if my time on
> delayed entry counted as reserve time then my final discharge would
> have been Feb. 13, 1987 (plus bad time). It wasn't. It was six years
> after my -actual- entry date (plus bad time, of course). Again, you
> are wrong.

Well, Frnakie, all I can say is that somewhere between 27 April
1974 and 13 February 1981 something changed.

I got credit for the delayed entry time.

Sorry you didn't.

> >> You stated that "My DD-214 says 'Honorable'...", yet after 1979 there
> >> is no box on the form to designate character of service. The DD-214 is
> >> only a "release or discharge from active duty", not a final discharge
> >> certificate. Only that latter will denote the character of service.
> > >
> > > Sorry that yours doesn't say "Honorable", Frankie.

> >
> > Mine does.
>
> You didn't read that very carefully, Steve. The DD-214 after 1979
> DOESN'T STATE CHARACTER OF SERVICE. And if you really have "several"
> DD-214's as you claimed, you would have replied with "mine do", not
> "mine does". Yet another discrepancy, Steve.

Mine says Honorable.

Sorry yours doesn't, Frankie.

> >> You claimed to have served from 03 September, 1974 to 29 May, 1992.
> >> That's only 17 years and 9 months. Yet you also claim to be retired,
> >> which can only happen if you served 20 years.
> >
> > Nope.
>
> Yep. Maybe Hans can provide you with the MCO to refresh..... er,
> educate you on the subject.

It seems you're the one with detail deficits, Frankie!

> >> You also claimed to have
> >> been discharged for medical reasons, having your discharge later
> >> upgraded (a claim which you have both denied and acknowledged).
> >
> > You still don't have all the facts, Frankie...but that's pretty
> >clear to just about everyone except Markie by now.
>
> I was repeating -your- claims, Steve. If your claims are not factual
> then you lied... but that's pretty clear to just about everyone by
> now, no exceptions.

The only thing "clear" here, Frankie, is your cowardly attempts to
salve your wonded ego here at my expense.

> >> You didn't know that medical discharges cannot be upgraded, nor that time
> >> not served cannot be arbitrarily added to the end of an enlistment in
> >> order to obtain retirement benefits.
> >
> > Still...Not all of the facts.
>
> If you call the fire department to put out a fire, but only -you- know
> that the fire doesn't exist, you can't blame them for responding.
> You've had seven years to provide the facts. All you have provided are
> unsubstantiated and contradictory claims. Do you need -even more- time
> to fabricate plausible details of your military 'career'?

Fabricate WHAT, Frankie?

So far, all you've done is make stuff up.

> >> And with all your careers, activities and obligations, where do you
> >> find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?
> >
> > Like I said, Frankie...It's a shame you didn't learn how to
> >prioritize obligations-vs-preferences and learn some time management
> >skills...
>
> Funny tho, your posting frequency dropped after I first made that
> comment. Coincidence? I think not.

Nope.

Flu season. Five and six 12 hours shifts a week.

And yesterday, a funeral for a friend.

Sorry to burst your bubble, but regardless whether I reply to
every post you make, or only once a week, YOU are STILL a liar, a
coward, and disgraced ex-Marine.

> > You might have BEEN the Marine you fancy yourself as being now....
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Try again.

No need to, Frankie.

You're already "outted" for the liar you are, Frankie.

You just didn't know when to shut your mouth when you got out,
Frankie! It got you busted while you were in the Corps, it's proven
you to be the liar and coward I call you now.

Sucks to be you.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2427. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 13:36:34 UTC
From: Herb <Herb@netsrnicenow.net>
Message-ID: <dm4fh8$aob$1@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132813356.647864.291050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

## 2428. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 13:39:36 UTC
From: Herb <Herb@netsrnicenow.net>
Message-ID: <dm4fms$bfa$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124893

FCC & ARRL partners in the Culture of Corruption.

# 2429. Re: Markies spelling troubles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 13:39:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132839578.341068.126110@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124894

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 23 Nov 2005 03:37:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132745855.536005.151480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >Steveo wrote:
> >> Reckon why Markie's spelling improves in his demented sex personals?
> >>
> >> You be the judge:
> >>
> >> http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> >
> > That was several years ago in Illinois...Markie had one of the
> >neighborhood kids coming in and doing his typing for him (his
> >hillarious "typist pool")
>
> Yep, that's certainly "hilarious".

Snipped re-paste.

Guess we see who's "riding to the rescue" here, huh, Frankie?

You couldn't be a loyal Marine, so might as well be a "Fanatical
Feeble Fiver', eh?

Steve, K4YZ

# 2430. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 13:49:17 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <_cOdnWXcEes8VRjeRVn-iQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 124897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:dm3hpe$id4$1@news.hawaii.edu...
>>>And if you listen carefully during the closing theme song, you'll hear
>>>the violin section play -- --- .-. ... . (MORSE) repeatedly.
>
>> how does violin section do morse
>
> Are you serious??
>
> Let H = half-note, and Q = quarter-note:
>
> HH HHH QHQ QQQ Q
>
>
> Jeff KH6O
>

If one knows music notation, it can be expressed even more simply by the ratio of note lengths. For example, a dotted eighth relative to a sixteenth note has the same lenghth ratio as a dash to a dot. Other notations with the same length ratios are dotted quarters versus eighths and dotted halves versus quarters. So the letter C could be any of the three following notations.

Dotted eighth, sixteenth, dotted eighth, sixteenth
Dotted quarter, eighth, dotted quarter, eighth
Dotted half, quarter, dotted half, quarter

The dotted half and quarter would be more representative than a straight half and quarter since the ratio of dot to dash length is 3 to 1 rather than 2 to one.

Or you could use straight quarters with triplet eighths as another approach to get the 3 to one ratio.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2431. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 13:58:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132840695.592838.221660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 124896

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> >
> > No.
> >
> > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>
> Yes, he did.
>
> > He violated federal law in the process.
>
> Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
> for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
> proven
> guilty, right?).
>
> > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>
> IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.

Perhaps.

I think prison was more in order, but OK...take his license.

> The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
> wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
> there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>
> 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>
> 2) Incompetence (?)
>
> 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
> hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
> FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
> was doing.

OK..I can buy those.

> 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
> others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down

> their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
> accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.

Jim, if I physically reach in to a persons wallet and take their
money, that's theft.

Is it any LESS theft if I demand that they take it out and hand it
to me?

> And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
> they were not to divulge the contents of that exam?

Sure was when I tested, in Ohio, Atlanta and Long Beach, CA
offices all three. My High School science teacher who administered my
Novice read his part of the insructions which stated it was unlawful to
divulge the contents of the test.

I just don't know how many ways you can say "Don't discuss the
test", Jim!

> Was it clearly
> spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
> and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
> have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.

If they HAD gone after him, at least it would have set case
law...Or at the very least SHOULD have pushed the reg writers in
Washington to "get hot".

> 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
> prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
> question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
> waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
> what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>
> It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
> he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
> will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
> tried.

Our loss, then and ever since.

73

Steve, K4YZ

# 2432. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 13:58:34 UTC
From: FM Community Radio <lopower@lopowerfmsvc.org>
Message-ID: <dm4gqe$g2h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 124895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

The guys in the Pirate Radio groups will find the below
interesting:

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132832822.643767.54500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>>
>> No.
>>
>> Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>
> Yes, he did.
>
>> He violated federal law in the process.
>
> Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
> for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
> proven
> guilty, right?).
>
>> He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>
> IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>
> The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
> wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
> there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>
> 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>
> 2) Incompetence (?)
>
> 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
> hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
> FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
> was doing.
>
> 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask

> others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
> their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
> accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>
> And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
> they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
> spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
> and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
> have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>
> 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
> prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
> question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
> waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
> what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>
> It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
> he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
> will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
> tried.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

# 2433. Re: Bash tests published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 14:18:34 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <_Yjhf.4914$N45.626@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124898

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132786434.824544.24430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>> >
>> > How? Dick Bash did not see the actual exams except by taking them.
>>
>> Then he published them.
>
> And FCC did nothing about it.
> Some in the FCC wanted to prosecute,
> but the higher ups didn't allow it.
> Phil Kane has told about it first-hand -
> he was working in the office where Bash
> did his thing at the time.

The only thing that can be derive or concluded
from that is the probable fact that there was
disagreement within the FCC as to the ability to
pursue and win any case against Bash.

> Whether Bash broke the letter of the law or not isn't
> clear, but it *is* clear that he broke the spirit of the law.

I never met anyone convicted of breaking the spirit
of any law. The other issue that would be in play is
the legality of the law itself on constitutional grounds.

> If, back then, FCC had thought
> it was OK for people to see the actual exams,
> they would have been published
> (as they are now) rather than going
> through the additional work of making
> up study guides.

That's in your opinion anyway.

>> > > > > The Commercial license was still more difficult
>> > > > > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
>> > > > > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
>> > > > > modes in Commercial radio then.
>> >
>> > > > But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of

>> > > > those
>> > > > who *did* take both say the Extra written was "harder".
>> >
>> > > It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
>> > > for a commercial endeavor.
>> >
>> > Wasn't too hard for a 16 year old between 10th and 11th grade. In fact,
>> > I'd have gotten it more than a year earlier except for the 2 year
>> > waiting period.
>>
>> "The Man" still keeping you down?
>
> Not at all. Experience was part of the requirement back then. It was
> and is a good idea.

I really have no problem with an experience criteria
(e.g.a time interval between General and Extra).

Cheers and Happy Thanksgiving to all, I thank the
Lord for all the great and wonderful people and things
in my life.

Bill K2UNK

# 2434. Re: Bash test publishing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 14:24:11 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <f2khf.4916$N45.2555@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 124899

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132832822.643767.54500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K4YZ wrote:
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>>
>> No.
>>
>> Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>
> Yes, he did.
>
>> He violated federal law in the process.
>
> Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
> for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
> proven
> guilty, right?).
>
>> He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>
> IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>
> The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
> wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
> there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>
> 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>
> 2) Incompetence (?)
>
> 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
> hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
> FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
> was doing.
>
> 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
> others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
> their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
> accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>

> And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
> they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
> spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
> and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
> have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>
> 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
> prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
> question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
> waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
> what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>
> It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
> he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
> will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
> tried.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim,

I agree with all your comments and analysis.
There was a time you clearly believed Bash broke
the law, but you do seem to now recognize the
many possibilities that are or were potentially in
play back in the 60's. Nice write-up. You
should keep this text handy for every time the
Bash issue resurfaces in this newsgroup.
Heck, call it the FAQ on Bash :-) :-)

Cheersm
Bill K2UNK

# 2435. Re: Bash tests published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 14:30:07 UTC
From: FM Community Radio <lopower@lopowerfmsvc.org>
Message-ID: <dm4ilk$oau$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

Reposted for the guys in the Pirate Radio groups.

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:_Yjhf.4914$N45.626@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132786434.824544.24430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>>> >
>>> > How? Dick Bash did not see the actual exams except by taking them.
>>>
>>> Then he published them.
>>
>> And FCC did nothing about it.
>> Some in the FCC wanted to prosecute,
>> but the higher ups didn't allow it.
>> Phil Kane has told about it first-hand -
>> he was working in the office where Bash
>> did his thing at the time.
>
> The only thing that can be derive or concluded
> from that is the probable fact that there was
> disagreement within the FCC as to the ability to
> pursue and win any case against Bash.
>
>> Whether Bash broke the letter of the law or not isn't
>> clear, but it *is* clear that he broke the spirit of the law.
>
> I never met anyone convicted of breaking the spirit
> of any law. The other issue that would be in play is
> the legality of the law itself on constitutional grounds.
>
>> If, back then, FCC had thought
>> it was OK for people to see the actual exams,
>> they would have been published
>> (as they are now) rather than going
>> through the additional work of making
>> up study guides.
>

> That's in your opinion anyway.
>
>>> > > > > The Commercial license was still more difficult
>>> > > > > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
>>> > > > > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT
>>> > > > > more
>>> > > > > modes in Commercial radio then.
>>> >
>>> > > > But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of
>>> > > > those
>>> > > > who *did* take both say the Extra written was "harder".
>>> >
>>> > > It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
>>> > > for a commercial endeavor.
>>> >
>>> > Wasn't too hard for a 16 year old between 10th and 11th grade. In
>>> > fact,
>>> > I'd have gotten it more than a year earlier except for the 2 year
>>> > waiting period.
>>>
>>> "The Man" still keeping you down?
>>
>> Not at all. Experience was part of the requirement back then. It was
>> and is a good idea.
>
> I really have no problem with an experience criteria
> (e.g.a time interval between General and Extra).
>
> Cheers and Happy Thanksgiving to all, I thank the
> Lord for all the great and wonderful people and things
> in my life.
>
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

# 2436. Re: Bash test publishing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 14:32:52 UTC
From: FM Community Radio <lopower@lopowerfmsvc.org>
Message-ID: <dm4iqo$osb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

Reposted for the guys in the Pirate Radio groups:

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:f2khf.4916$N45.2555@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132832822.643767.54500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>>> > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>>>
>>> No.
>>>
>>> Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>>
>> Yes, he did.
>>
>>> He violated federal law in the process.
>>
>> Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
>> for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
>> proven
>> guilty, right?).
>>
>>> He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>>
>> IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>>
>> The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
>> wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
>> there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>>
>> 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>>
>> 2) Incompetence (?)
>>
>> 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
>> hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
>> FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
>> was doing.

>>
>> 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
>> others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
>> their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
>> accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>>
>> And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
>> they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
>> spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
>> and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
>> have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>>
>> 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
>> prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
>> question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
>> waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
>> what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>>
>> It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
>> he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
>> will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
>> tried.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim,
>
> I agree with all your comments and analysis.
> There was a time you clearly believed Bash broke
> the law, but you do seem to now recognize the
> many possibilities that are or were potentially in
> play back in the 60's. Nice write-up. You
> should keep this text handy for every time the
> Bash issue resurfaces in this newsgroup.
> Heck, call it the FAQ on Bash :-) :-)
>
> Cheersm
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

# 2437. Re: Bash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 14:33:11 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Hakhf.4994$wf.3778@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125002

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:1132840695.592838.221660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>
>> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>> >
>> > No.
>> >
>> > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>>
>> Yes, he did.
>>
>> > He violated federal law in the process.
>>
>> Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
>> for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
>> proven
>> guilty, right?).
>>
>> > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>>
>> IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>
> Perhaps.
>
> I think prison was more in order, but OK...take his license.
>
>> The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
>> wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
>> there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>>
>> 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>>
>> 2) Incompetence (?)
>>
>> 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
>> hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
>> FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
>> was doing.

>
> OK..I can buy those.
>
>> 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
>> others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
>> their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
>> accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>
> Jim, if I physically reach in to a persons wallet and take their
> money, that's theft.

Of course it is theft because the person no longer has
the money. If, on the other hand, you allow me to
look in your wallet and I see you have 53 dollars, is it
theft if I tell someone else I saw $53 dollars (one 20,
three 10s and three ones).

> Is it any LESS theft if I demand that they take it out and hand it
> to me?

This analogy is totally off the mark because it involves
a physical removal which is NOT what Bash did.

>> And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
>> they were not to divulge the contents of that exam?
>
> Sure was when I tested, in Ohio, Atlanta and Long Beach, CA
> offices all three. My High School science teacher who administered my
> Novice read his part of the insructions which stated it was unlawful to
> divulge the contents of the test.
>
> I just don't know how many ways you can say "Don't discuss the
> test", Jim!

The other legal question comes down to: is it legal to
prohibit post test discussion.

>> Was it clearly
>> spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
>> and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
>> have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>
> If they HAD gone after him, at least it would have set case
> law...Or at the very least SHOULD have pushed the reg writers in
> Washington to "get hot".

Too late. The answer will never be known now.

>> 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
>> prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
>> question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
>> waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
>> what he did would not have been an offense any more.

>>
>> It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
>> he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
>> will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
>> tried.
>
> Our loss, then and ever since.

A waste of tme to discuss. You can't go back and that's
the bottom line.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 2438. Re: Bash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 14:53:13 UTC
From: FM Community Radio <lopower@lopowerfmsvc.org>
Message-ID: <dm4k0t$u99$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

Reposted for the guys in the Pirate Radio groups:

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:Hakhf.4994$wf.3778@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1132840695.592838.221660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> K4YZ wrote:
>>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>
>>> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>>> >
>>> > No.
>>> >
>>> > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>>>
>>> Yes, he did.
>>>
>>> > He violated federal law in the process.
>>>
>>> Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
>>> for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
>>> proven
>>> guilty, right?).
>>>
>>> > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>>>
>>> IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>>
>> Perhaps.
>>
>> I think prison was more in order, but OK...take his license.
>>
>>> The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
>>> wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
>>> there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>>>
>>> 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>>>

>>> 2) Incompetence (?)
>>>
>>> 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
>>> hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
>>> FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
>>> was doing.
>>
>> OK..I can buy those.
>>
>>> 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
>>> others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
>>> their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
>>> accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>>
>> Jim, if I physically reach in to a persons wallet and take their
>> money, that's theft.
>
> Of course it is theft because the person no longer has
> the money. If, on the other hand, you allow me to
> look in your wallet and I see you have 53 dollars, is it
> theft if I tell someone else I saw $53 dollars (one 20,
> three 10s and three ones).
>
>> Is it any LESS theft if I demand that they take it out and hand it
>> to me?
>
> This analogy is totally off the mark because it involves
> a physical removal which is NOT what Bash did.
>
>>> And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
>>> they were not to divulge the contents of that exam?
>>
>> Sure was when I tested, in Ohio, Atlanta and Long Beach, CA
>> offices all three. My High School science teacher who administered my
>> Novice read his part of the insructions which stated it was unlawful to
>> divulge the contents of the test.
>>
>> I just don't know how many ways you can say "Don't discuss the
>> test", Jim!
>
> The other legal question comes down to: is it legal to
> prohibit post test discussion.
>
>>> Was it clearly
>>> spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
>>> and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
>>> have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>>
>> If they HAD gone after him, at least it would have set case
>> law...Or at the very least SHOULD have pushed the reg writers in

>> Washington to "get hot".
>
> Too late. The answer will never be known now.
>
>>> 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
>>> prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
>>> question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
>>> waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
>>> what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>>>
>>> It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
>>> he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
>>> will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
>>> tried.
>>
>> Our loss, then and ever since.
>
> A waste of tme to discuss. You can't go back and that's
> the bottom line.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

# 2439. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 15:09:30 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132844970.635111.154140@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125004

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
> > > > > > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > >>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
> > > > > > >>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > > > > >>>>>> an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > >>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > > >>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >>>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> > > > > > >>>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> > > > > > >>>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >>> Not true, Len.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >> ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis. Everyone realizes it. Why not
> > > > > > >> admit that it is so?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >Let's go through it, shall we? Pro-coders (one can only wonder if Len
> > > > > > >means those who favored morse testing, those who favored morse use or
> > > > > > >those who were simply proficient at morse code) made up their own
> > > > > > >regulations. It isn't explained how or if these "pro-coders" all became
> > > > > > >Extra Class ticket holders. Extra Class license holders can't obtain
> > > > > > >that license without passing the most difficult theory and regulatory
> > > > > > >written exam offered in U.S. amateur radio and not all of those with
> > > > > > >morse code skills became Extra Class licensees. Len's statement appears
> > > > > > >to have some gaping holes.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tsk, tsk, tsk. NO "holes," Heil. An abbreviated synopsis
> > > > > > is all.
> > > > >
> > > > > Big holes, Len.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
> > > > > > rate was 20 WPM.
> > > > >
> > > > > Unless a medical waiver was obtained, in which case the Extra
> > > > > could be had for a code test of as little as 5 wpm.

> > > > >
> > > > > The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring
> > > > > that
> > > > > also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test
> > > > > speed
> > > > > for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
> > > > >
> > > > That's what Len said.
> > >
> > > No, it isn't. He left out the part about the medical waivers, which
> > > became a reality in 1990.
>
> He also said "the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket.""
>
> which wasn't the case at all.

He sees it differently. I agree that a lot of old timers will not like
losing Morse Exam, probably for the reasons Len has cited.

> > I'm sure that people with disabilities really get under your skin.
>
> Nope - not at all.

Just the one's who get Fast-Code waivers.

> > > > > > Why? Because the older-timers influencing
> > > > > > the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
> > > > > > radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
> > > > >
> > > > > No, that's not true at all.
> > > >
> > > > It does have that appearance.
> > >
> > > How?
> > >
> > > The ARRL did not create the Extra class license in 1951. They did
> > > not lobby for it either.
>
> > > > Why don't hams working for the FCC have
> > > > to put away their licenses as to not incur a conflict of interest?
> > >
> > > What hams worked at FCC in 1951?
> > >
> > > > That would like having a big oil president and vice president holding
> > > > oil stocks.
> > >
> > > Do you think they don't?
> > >
> > > > > > > It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.

> > > > > > Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
> > > > > > set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > What about the thousands of others - like myself - who earned the
> > > > > > license because we wanted the privileges? In my case, that was
> > > > > > in 1970, at the age of 16.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You mom and dad provided you with three hots and a cot.
> > > > >
> > > > > So? That's what responsible parents do.
> > > > >
> > > > > I also had to go to school and make acceptable grades. Do all assigned
> > > > > chores at home and work (yes, I worked then). Plus all the usual
> > > > > activities of a kid my age back then.
> > > >
> > > > Or what? Let's say you brought home a "D" in math and science.
> > >
> > Math and science were two different subjects in my high school.

You don't say?

Let's
> see...
>
> In math:
>
> 9th grade: Algebra 1
> 10th grade: Geometry
> 11th grade: Algebra 2 and Trigonometry
> 12th grade: AP Calculus
>
> In science:
>
> 9th grade: Introductory Physics
> 10th grade: Chemistry
> 11th grade: Biology
> 12th grade: AP Physics
>
> Never brought home any "D" marks so I don't know what would have
> happened.
>
> I suspect that if I had, there would have been no ham radio until the
> marks improved.

But what if you were in the middle of preparing for another ham test
with Bash (or its equivalent) study guides?

> Of course I had a built-in advantage because I'd learned a lot of math
> and science for ham radio before I ever got to high school. I earned
> the Advanced in the summer between 8th and 9th grade, you see.

You had an advantage because you started your ham "career" in your
youth while supported by your family. Others had an advantage because
if they washed out of ditty bopper school in the military they became
cooks and MPs.

A tidal wave of Morse Operators left the service at the end of WWII.
It is plausible that some of them liked the idea of having a special
ticket just for high and higher speed code operators with spectrum
set-aside just for them. Some might even have found their way into the
federal government, and even into the FCC and put the concept of
Inventive Licensing into motion.

I wonder where all the Veteran ditty-boppers ended up?

> > > > > > >> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
> > > > > > >> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
> > > > > > >> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
> > > > > > >> and could only get a SECOND class.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
> > > > > > > >First Phone.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
> > > > > > > makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
> > > > > > > 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
> > > > > > > of that time.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Where?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners. They
> > > > > were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC). In fact,
> > > > > back then
> > > > > FCC required 2 years' experience as a General or higher license just to
> > > > > *try*
> > > > > the Extra test.
> > > > >
> > > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> > > >
> > > How? Dick Bash did not see the actual exams except by taking them.
> > >
> > Then he published them.
> >
> And FCC did nothing about it. Some in the FCC wanted to prosecute,
> but the higher ups didn't allow it. Phil Kane has told about it
> first-hand -
> he was working in the office where Bash did his thing at the time.
>
> Whether Bash broke the letter of the law or not isn't clear, but it
> *is*
> clear that he broke the spirit of the law. If, back then, FCC had
> thought
> it was OK for people to see the actual exams, they would have been

> published
> (as they are now) rather than going through the additional work of
> making
> up study guides.

The point is that you think Len was incapable of seeing an actual FCC
exam or study material published by Bash or other Publishing Houses.

> > > > > > The Commercial license was still more difficult
> > > > > > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
> > > > > > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
> > > > > > modes in Commercial radio then.
> > >
> > > > > > But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of those
> > > > > > who *did* take both say the Extra written was "harder".
> > >
> > > > > It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
> > > > > for a commercial endeavor.
> > >
> > > Wasn't too hard for a 16 year old between 10th and 11th grade. In fact,
> > > I'd have gotten it more than a year earlier except for the 2 year
> > > waiting period.
> >
> > "The Man" still keeping you down?
>
> Not at all. Experience was part of the requirement back then. It was
> and is
> a good idea.

How about "life" experience, such as an age requirement?

> > > > "A Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use." N2EY
>
> > > Brian Burke, you have written that quote here several times, and
> > > claimed I
> > > wrote it. But I did not write that sentence - you did. Check google and
> > > show us what I actually wrote on that subject.
> > >
> > > > > I agreed with you then and I agree with you now.
> > >
> > > You're only agreeing with something you wrote. Not what I wrote.
> >
> > In all fairness, I should recheck the quote.
>
> Yes, you should. And its context.

I've searched and cannot find it.

But just for a moment, let's disregard if you said it, if it is an
exact quote, or out of context.

Is the Morse Code Exam a barrier to Morse Code use?

bb

## 2440. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 15:17:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132845461.368335.216700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125005

K4YZ wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> > >
> > > No.
> > >
> > > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
> >
> > Yes, he did.
> >
> > > He violated federal law in the process.
> >
> > Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
> > for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
> > proven guilty, right?).
> >
> > > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
> >
> > IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>
> Perhaps.
>
> I think prison was more in order, but OK...take his license.

Prison costs money. Fines generate money.

> > The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
> > wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
> > there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
> >
> > 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
> >
> > 2) Incompetence (?)
> >
> > 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
> > hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
> > FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
> > was doing.
>
> OK..I can buy those.

Any one of those explains what happened, but #3 is the big one. Maybe
FCC
tried to put a case together but couldn't come up with the hard
evidence.

It's easy for laymen to play lawyer and say what should and should not
happen.
But the legal folks in charge have to deal with the real world and how
things
may turn out. They usually have the experience and knowledge to know
what
is a viable case and what isn't.

> > 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
> > others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
> > their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
> > accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>
> Jim, if I physically reach in to a persons wallet and take their
> money, that's theft.

If they don't want you to take it, yes, it is theft.
>
> Is it any LESS theft if I demand that they take it out and hand it
> to me?

Nope. Theft by force or threat of force vs. theft by stealth doesn't
matter
- it's still theft.

But the question arises as to who did the theft, if one actually
occurred.
Bash did not steal exams. He did not sneak into FCC offices, etc. All
he did was gather information and publish it. Whether that information
was a protected secret of some kind isn't clear.

It could be argued that the *real* theft, if any occurred, was done by
those who gave the info to Bash. Nobody had to talk to him. Nobody
had to take his money. People could have said "I'm not allowed to
tell you what's on the test" or "I don't remember".

For that matter, they could have been really sneaky and told him
wrong information and taken his money anyway. Then his books
would have been worse than useless.

But more than a few people talked to him, gave him the info he wanted,
and took his money. That's as bad or worse than anything he did, IMHO.
Because without them, he could not have succeeded.

> > And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
> > they were not to divulge the contents of that exam?
>
> Sure was when I tested, in Ohio, Atlanta and Long Beach, CA
> offices all three.

And that was when? Before or after Bash?

> My High School science teacher who administered my
> Novice read his part of the insructions which stated it was unlawful to
> divulge the contents of the test.

Ah, but that was a Novice test administered by a volunteer examiner,
not
an FCC office test!

In 1967, when I took the Novice at K3NYT's house, (Keystone Ave in
Upper
Darby, across from the 69th street terminal) the envelope that held the
written
exam was covered in specific instructions about how to administer the
test
and not to divulge anything. K3NYT wouldn't even look at it - he just
opened
the sealed envelope and gave me the papers, then when I was done put
them all in the return envelope and sealed it up.

In those days you could get a Novice, Tech or Conditional by mail. So
it
would have been a simple task for an unscrupulous person to make a copy
of the written exams for those licenses. But Advanced and Extra were
not
so easily obtained by mail.

> I just don't know how many ways you can say "Don't discuss the
> test", Jim!

When I went to the FCC office in 1968 (Tech/Advanced), 1970 (Extra),
and 1972 (Second 'Phone) I don't recall anybody saying anything about
keeping mum about the test contents.

> > Was it clearly
> > spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
> > and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
> > have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>
> If they HAD gone after him, at least it would have set case
> law...Or at the very least SHOULD have pushed the reg writers in
> Washington to "get hot".

Or it could have gone the other way and set a precedent that the
exams were not protected secrets at all, and killed any hope of
FCC ever having secret exams again.

> > 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
> > prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
> > question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
> > waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
> > what he did would not have been an offense any more.
> >

> > It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
> > he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
> > will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
> > tried.
>
> Our loss, then and ever since.
>
Agreed, but a moot point now. FCC isn't going back to secret tests in
the
foreseeable future. Our main possibility is to make the pools so large
that
it would be easier to simply learn the material than to memorize the
answers.

Anyone can submit questions to the QPC, so we have no complaint
unless we've submitted a bunch.

btw, I'll add a few more:

6) Lack of resources. FCC was strapped for enforcement resources in
the 1970s, due in large part to the cb mess, and going after Bash may
have been judged to be not worth the cost.

7) Embarrassment about the tests. FCC really had only a few versions
of each test. That was a big reason for the 30 day wait before
retesting.
Going after Bash would have simply publicized that fact even more.

73 es HT de Jim, N2EY

8)

## 2441. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 15:29:27 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132846167.217172.296880@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125006

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring
> > > that
> > > also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test
> > > speed
> > > for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
> >
> > That's what Len said. But when you say it, it just takes longer.
> > Congratulations.
>
> No, it wasn't, but that's OK. We know you were trying to "cover"
> Lennie's "six".

"Len's"

> > > > Why? Because the older-timers influencing
> > > > the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
> > > > radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
> > >
> > > No, that's not true at all.
> >
> > It does have that appearance.
>
> Some men in "drag" have a certain "appearance", however certain
> OTHER truths remain.
>
> So with your "argument".

What does sexuality have to do with this discussion?

> > Why don't hams working for the FCC have
> > to put away their licenses as to not incur a conflict of interest?
> > That would like having a big oil president and vice president holding
> > oil stocks.
>
> I am sorry.
>
> Was there a point here?
>
> Is there some evidence of a licensed Amateur trying to
> inappropriately influence the actions of the Commission? Or was that

> just a feigned pass...?!?!
>
> Some facts, please?

Your DD Form 214 would be a fact. Your assertions are not.

> > > > It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
> > > > Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
> > > > set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.
> > >
> > > What about the thousands of others - like myself - who earned the
> > > license because we wanted the privileges? In my case, that was
> > > in 1970, at the age of 16.
> >
> > You mom and dad provided you with three hots and a cot. Unless you
> > were raised in an orphanage where the Christians or the County provided
> > the three hots and a cot.
>
> Again...A point? (other than the poor grammar?)

But poor grammar was the point, Steve. It was a carefully layed traps
to bring out the "Net Cop" in you.

> > > > > >> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
> > > > > >> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
> > > > > >> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
> > > > > >> and could only get a SECOND class.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
> > > > > >First Phone.
> > > > >
> > > > > Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
> > > > > makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
> > > > > 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
> > > > > of that time.
> > > >
> > > > Where?
> > > >
> > > > Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners. They
> > > > were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC). In fact,
> > > > back then
> > > > FCC required 2 years' experience as a General or higher license just to
> > > > *try*
> > > > the Extra test.
> > >
> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> >
> > No.
> >
> > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
> >

> He violated federal law in the process. He should have gone to
> prison a long time ago.

You missed the point. Len was capable of seeing the Extra material of
the time.

> > > > The Commercial license was still more difficult
> > > > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
> > > > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
> > > > modes in Commercial radio then.
> > >
> > > But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of those
> > > who *did*
> > > take both say the Extra written was "harder".
> >
> > It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
> > for a commercial endeavor.
>
> Now, thanks to Dick Bash and a whole generation of Burger
> King'ers, we don't have to do either.

The ARRL is a Burger King'er?

> > "A Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use." N2EY
> >
> > I agreed with you then and I agree with you now.
>
> And I disagree with you now as then.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Of course you do. That's what defines you.

## 2442. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 15:37:10 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132846630.507680.37700@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125007

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> >
> > No.
> >
> > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>
> Yes, he did.
>
> > He violated federal law in the process.
>
> Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
> for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
> proven
> guilty, right?).
>
> > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>
> IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>
> The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
> wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
> there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>
> 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>
> 2) Incompetence (?)
>
> 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
> hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
> FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
> was doing.
>
> 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
> others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
> their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
> accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>
> And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that

> they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
> spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
> and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
> have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>
> 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
> prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
> question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
> waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
> what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>
> It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
> he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
> will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
> tried.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, by discussing if Bash broke the letter of the law or the spirit of the law, you just answered your own question of "Where?" Len might have seen the Extra material.

Thank you and Congrats.

bb

## 2443. Thanks-Giving 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 15:54:12 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132847652.165116.97390@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125008

I sincerely hope that everyone is able to spend time with their families today, and give thanks for being alive, having shelter and warmth, food, family and friends. As you give thanks, stop for a moment and ask who it is that you are giving thanks to. Is it the bank for making a mortgage loan to you? Columbia Gas for exploring for gas deposits and building the network of pipelines and pumping stations to get the gas to your home? Is it the Tyson or Cargill farmer who raised the turkey that is now on your table? Fetzer for making inexpensive Cab blanc and white zin to go with the turkey?

Who do you give thanks to? Just think about it - no reply necessary.

Happy Thanksgiving.

Brian

# 2444. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 16:04:50 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132848290.854957.57730@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Nov 22 2005 3:18 pm
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 18, 4:54 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Fri 18 Nov 2005 04:52
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Thurs 17 Nov 2005 16:22
> >> >> >> >K0HB wrote:
> >> >> >> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote in message
>
> >> >> >> >> My copy of MCO P10120.28G (Individual Clothing Regulations) dated 08 Jul 05
> >> >> >> >> says:
> >> >>
> >> >> >> >Steve was in the USMC on 08 JUL 05?
> >> >>
> >> >> >> According to Google dated 17 November 05, Robeson claimed USMC
> >> >> >> service from 1974 to 1992.
> >> >>
> >> >> >I'm so glad that Steve was in compliance with regulations that were 31
> >> >> >years in the future at the time he stood on the yellow footprints.
> >> >> >Steve always was out of step with the rest of society.
> >> >>
> >> >> He is a "man of many talents!" :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> At least he hasn't minced around in baby shoes.
> >> >>
> >> >His primary talent is lying.
> >> >
> >> Lying around the house, lying around the barracks, lying around the
> >> newsgroup...
> >>
> >Welp, his agreement to be civil didn't last even 24 hours.
>
> "Civil?" He was trying to be military...

They probably found him very trying.

> >Do you think he lied, or is he just incapable of being civil? Or both?
>
> Absolutely BOTH. A worse part is that he fails at both...

Maybe today will be a better day for Steve. It's Thanksgiving, after
all.

> >> >> >> There is a 13 year to 31 year GAP in there.
> >> >
> >> >> >He got his uniforms issued at the GAP?
> >> >
> >> >> Probably at Sergeant Grit or some other Army-Navy surplus store.
> >> >
> >> >"GI Joes."
> >>
> >> Kinda small, but prolly bigger than his Johnson...
> >
> >He has a Johnson? Wonder if it has "E.F." stenciled on it?
>
> Not enough room on it for initials too...

An emblem or a decal?

> >> >> Dudly is a Code Warrior. Others are just Code Worriers.
> >> >
> >> >But they serve in other ways.
> >>
> >> "Waiter, there's soup in my fly!" :-)
> >
> >Use a napkin when eating soup.
>
> Good thought...especiall if bean with bacon...(bacon is ham)

We've switched to turkey.

> >> >> >> These mighty warriors certainly know how to turn off any
> >> >> >> ham wannabes...which may be why Val Germann is still an
> >> >> >> unmodified Tech for so long?
> >> >
> >> >> >Poor Val. Such ambition.
> >> >
> >> >> Well, that's how it goes...sometimes reality boots ya in
> >> >> the ash.
> >> >
> >> >"There is no problem so large nor complex that it cannot be run away
> >> >from."
> >>
> >> Jimmie not like that one bit. If'n he see what he think is a
> >> promise many years ago, he keep after that person forever.
> >>
> >> Jimmie ought to go out to Misery and kick Val's butt for not
> >> learning code!
> >
> >Maybe Val hears code so poorly sent by the Missouri VE that he cannot
> >decode it?
>
> GOOD POINT! Carroll left a legacy of deliberate poorly-

> sent code? :-)
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

That was -his- claim.

I hope Val has an upgrade this year. I'll check in on him again on New Years day.

# 2445. Re: Last Chance For Brian to Get One The "Stop The Flames" Express

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 16:13:42 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132848822.927318.90850@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125010

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > He made an agreement to be civil and couldn't last even 24 hours.
>
> As it pertains to you, Brian, you've not proven otherwise.
>
> But then one-way agreements seem to be the only one's you "agree"
> to, and only then if it serves you.
>
> Perhaps we'd be more accurate calling you "Diode".
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Perhaps today you can extend your agreement to be civil to all on this group. No small type/font or disclaimers. Best of Luck and Happy Thanksgiving.

bb

# 2446. Re: Hams attempt to destroy GMRS!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 16:14:59 UTC
From: DrDeath <drdeath555usb@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11obpnubjj1msfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 125011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"Channel 58 SSB Club" <dwx@cbdxclubchan.net> wrote in message
news:dm4f1o$8iv$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Bob Downonit" <Down@gag.net> wrote in message
> news:200511240807403316 9%Down@gagnet...
>> On 2005-11-22 16:49:51 -0500, "Dr CB" <drcb@drcbisbest.com> said:
>>
>>>>
>>>> Requires a license The current fee for a new GMRS license is $80.
>>>>
>>>
>>>
>>> Why bother with a license?
>>>
>>>
>> Maybe to avoid getting a NAL and a HUGE ass fine?
>>
>
>
> Post a link to the last one issued.
> (p.s. - there have never been any issued & never will be)
>
>
>
>
>

We own that band. Pay a license fee? NEVER!
```

# 2447. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 16:29:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132849750.261703.182650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

nice coming from a freaking moron

Todd N9OGL
Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
Dedicated to Japanese Animation
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

## 2448. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 16:50:42 UTC
From: FM Community Radio <lopower@lopowerfmsvc.org>
Message-ID: <dm4qt6$vgh$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

Reposted for the guys in the Pirate Radio groups:

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132845461.368335.216700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> K4YZ wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> > > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>> > >
>> > > No.
>> > >
>> > > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>> >
>> > Yes, he did.
>> >
>> > > He violated federal law in the process.
>> >
>> > Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
>> > for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
>> > proven guilty, right?).
>> >
>> > > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>> >
>> > IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>>
>> Perhaps.
>>
>> I think prison was more in order, but OK...take his license.
>
> Prison costs money. Fines generate money.
>
>> > The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
>> > wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
>> > there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>> >
>> > 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>> >
>> > 2) Incompetence (?)
>> >

>> > 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
>> > hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
>> > FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
>> > was doing.
>>
>> OK..I can buy those.
>
> Any one of those explains what happened, but #3 is the big one. Maybe
> FCC
> tried to put a case together but couldn't come up with the hard
> evidence.
>
> It's easy for laymen to play lawyer and say what should and should not
> happen.
> But the legal folks in charge have to deal with the real world and how
> things
> may turn out. They usually have the experience and knowledge to know
> what
> is a viable case and what isn't.
>
>> > 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
>> > others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
>> > their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
>> > accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>>
>> Jim, if I physically reach in to a persons wallet and take their
>> money, that's theft.
>
> If they don't want you to take it, yes, it is theft.
>>
>> Is it any LESS theft if I demand that they take it out and hand it
>> to me?
>
> Nope. Theft by force or threat of force vs. theft by stealth doesn't
> matter
> - it's still theft.
>
> But the question arises as to who did the theft, if one actually
> occurred.
> Bash did not steal exams. He did not sneak into FCC offices, etc. All
> he did was gather information and publish it. Whether that information
> was a protected secret of some kind isn't clear.
>
> It could be argued that the *real* theft, if any occurred, was done by
> those who gave the info to Bash. Nobody had to talk to him. Nobody
> had to take his money. People could have said "I'm not allowed to
> tell you what's on the test" or "I don't remember".
>
> For that matter, they could have been really sneaky and told him
> wrong information and taken his money anyway. Then his books

> would have been worse than useless.
>
> But more than a few people talked to him, gave him the info he wanted,
> and took his money. That's as bad or worse than anything he did, IMHO.
> Because without them, he could not have succeeded.
>
>> > And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
>> > they were not to divulge the contents of that exam?
>>
>> Sure was when I tested, in Ohio, Atlanta and Long Beach, CA
>> offices all three.
>
> And that was when? Before or after Bash?
>
>> My High School science teacher who administered my
>> Novice read his part of the insructions which stated it was unlawful to
>> divulge the contents of the test.
>
> Ah, but that was a Novice test administered by a volunteer examiner,
> not
> an FCC office test!
>
> In 1967, when I took the Novice at K3NYT's house, (Keystone Ave in
> Upper
> Darby, across from the 69th street terminal) the envelope that held the
> written
> exam was covered in specific instructions about how to administer the
> test
> and not to divulge anything. K3NYT wouldn't even look at it - he just
> opened
> the sealed envelope and gave me the papers, then when I was done put
> them all in the return envelope and sealed it up.
>
> In those days you could get a Novice, Tech or Conditional by mail. So
> it
> would have been a simple task for an unscrupulous person to make a copy
> of the written exams for those licenses. But Advanced and Extra were
> not
> so easily obtained by mail.
>
>
>> I just don't know how many ways you can say "Don't discuss the
>> test", Jim!
>
> When I went to the FCC office in 1968 (Tech/Advanced), 1970 (Extra),
> and 1972 (Second 'Phone) I don't recall anybody saying anything about
> keeping mum about the test contents.
>
>> > Was it clearly
>> > spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret

>> > and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
>> > have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>>
>> If they HAD gone after him, at least it would have set case
>> law...Or at the very least SHOULD have pushed the reg writers in
>> Washington to "get hot".
>
> Or it could have gone the other way and set a precedent that the
> exams were not protected secrets at all, and killed any hope of
> FCC ever having secret exams again.
>
>> > 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
>> > prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
>> > question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
>> > waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
>> > what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>> >
>> > It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
>> > he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
>> > will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
>> > tried.
>>
>> Our loss, then and ever since.
>>
> Agreed, but a moot point now. FCC isn't going back to secret tests in
> the
> foreseeable future. Our main possibility is to make the pools so large
> that
> it would be easier to simply learn the material than to memorize the
> answers.
>
> Anyone can submit questions to the QPC, so we have no complaint
> unless we've submitted a bunch.
>
> btw, I'll add a few more:
>
> 6) Lack of resources. FCC was strapped for enforcement resources in
> the 1970s, due in large part to the cb mess, and going after Bash may
> have been judged to be not worth the cost.
>
> 7) Embarrassment about the tests. FCC really had only a few versions
> of each test. That was a big reason for the 30 day wait before
> retesting.
> Going after Bash would have simply publicized that fact even more.
>
> 73 es HT de Jim, N2EY
>
> 8)
>

## 2449. Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 16:59:42 UTC
From: William L Victor <democraps@suckdemocraps.net>
Message-ID: <dm4re1$29g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Why does the Democrat Party hate America?

# 2450. Re: Regulation-by-Bandwidth Petition Filed by ARRL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 17:19:10 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dm4sl6$o1i$1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 125015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:jA6hf.23$kV7.18@news02.roc.ny...
//snip// (simply to shorten the thread)

> > > I've put perfect copy on paper using a manual typewriter at 40 words
per
> > > minute. A number of minutes' worth. My mention of 40 or 50 words per
> > > minute was a reference to some of the wonder boys whose great exploits
> > > simply consist of 'cut and paste' on the Internet.
> >
> > If that is adiquate then whats wrong with cut and paste? Only an idiot
> would
> > sit there re-typing out something that could just as easily be cut and
> > pasted unless it was an original work of course.
> >
> > > I'm simply saying that a good operator can likely keep up with most
> folks
> > > that are trying to enter original content and not simply pass along a
> > > digital picture, or a 'cut-and-paste' from someone else.
> >
> > A good operator? Depends on your impression of a good operator. A good
> > operator to me is someone who uses the radio sensibly, stays legal and
> cares
> > about interference and deals with it if caused. Someone who goes on
about
> > ooh i can do this or i can do that trying to put themselves above other
> > operators in my opinion have a vanity problem. As far as pictures go id
> > rather use the internet as its a more appropriate media for that.
> >
> > > Then again, original content might be something you aren't familiar
> with.
> > >
> > >
> > > With due regard,
> > > Jim AA2QA
> >
> > Also with due regard and no disrespect intended. Just my 10p worth.
> > Graham
> >
> >
> > --
> > ---------------
> > Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

> >
> > 73's - Graham
> >
> >
> Hello, Graham
>
> I couldn't agree with you more ;)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>

Thanks for that Jim but be careful, they will be talking about us... lol.
Regards,
Graham

--
---
Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham

# 2451. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 17:25:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132853152.242829.28980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125016

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> > > > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> > >
> > > > No.
> > >
> > > > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
> >
> > Yes, he did.
> >
> > > > He violated federal law in the process.
> >
> > Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
> > for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
> > proven guilty, right?).
> >
> > > > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
> >
> > IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
> >
> > The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
> > wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
> > there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
> >
> > 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
> >
> > 2) Incompetence (?)
> >
> > 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
> > hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
> > FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
> > was doing.
> >
> > 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
> > others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
> > their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
> > accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
> >
> > And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that

> > they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
> > spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
> > and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
> > have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
> >
> > 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
> > prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
> > question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
> > waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
> > what he did would not have been an offense any more.
> >
> > It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
> > he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
> > will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
> > tried.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim, by discussing if Bash broke the letter of the law or the spirit of
> the law, you just answered your own question of "Where?" Len might have
> seen the Extra material.

Just the opposite.

Len claimed he saw the 1957 Extra test element (not the material - the
actual *test*). Bash didn't do his thing until the 1970s, more than a
decade later.

> Thank you and Congrats.

For what?

## 2452. Re: Bash tests published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 17:37:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132853844.785587.84750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125017

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132786434.824544.24430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> >> >
> >> > How? Dick Bash did not see the actual exams except by taking them.
> >>
> >> Then he published them.
> >
> > And FCC did nothing about it.
> > Some in the FCC wanted to prosecute,
> > but the higher ups didn't allow it.
> > Phil Kane has told about it first-hand -
> > he was working in the office where Bash
> > did his thing at the time.
>
> The only thing that can be derive or concluded
> from that is the probable fact that there was
> disagreement within the FCC as to the ability to
> pursue and win any case against Bash.

Or any of the other reasons. All it takes is one!

> > Whether Bash broke the letter of the law or not isn't
> > clear, but it *is* clear that he broke the spirit of the law.

> I never met anyone convicted of breaking the spirit
> of any law.

BINGO!!!

There's also "innocent until proven guilty".

> The other issue that would be in play is
> the legality of the law itself on constitutional grounds.

Possibly, but I find it hard to believe that the FCC would
have lost on those grounds. Doing so would set a
precedent that *no* license exam contents could be kept
out of the public view.

Still, FCC may have thought it better not to take that chance.

> > If, back then, FCC had thought
> > it was OK for people to see the actual exams,
> > they would have been published
> > (as they are now) rather than going
> > through the additional work of making
> > up study guides.
>
> That's in your opinion anyway.

It's also common sense. FCC made up study guides consisting
of essay questions that indicated the general areas of knowledge
that would be on the test. Those guides were published - ARRL
reproduced them in their License Manuals (they specifically
mention that fact in the Manual). Why would FCC go through
the trouble to make up those guides if it were OK for non-FCC
people to see the actual exam?

Still, unless there existed specific regulations about divulging
and publishing the exam contents, FCC's case agains Bash
might have been very weak.

> >> > > > > The Commercial license was still more difficult
> >> > > > > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
> >> > > > > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
> >> > > > > modes in Commercial radio then.
> >> >
> >> > > > But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of
> >> > > > those
> >> > > > who *did* take both say the Extra written was "harder".
> >> >
> >> > > It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
> >> > > for a commercial endeavor.
> >> >
> >> > Wasn't too hard for a 16 year old between 10th and 11th grade. In fact,
> >> > I'd have gotten it more than a year earlier except for the 2 year
> >> > waiting period.
> >>
> >> "The Man" still keeping you down?
> >
> > Not at all. Experience was part of the requirement back then. It was
> > and is a good idea.
>
> I really have no problem with an experience criteria
> (e.g.a time interval between General and Extra).

Nor I, but it would make more work for FCC. Right now
anyone can go from any license class or no license at all
to Extra in one exam session. An experience requirement
would mean that many hams would need at least two exam
sessions and two FCC paperwork cycles to get to Extra.
More admin work = not something FCC would like.
>

> Cheers and Happy Thanksgiving to all, I thank the
> Lord for all the great and wonderful people and things
> in my life.

Well said, Bill! I wish the same to all this fine day.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2453. Re: Bash test publishing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 17:41:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132854118.036298.21600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125018

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132832822.643767.54500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> >>
> >> No.
> >>
> >> Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
> >
> > Yes, he did.
> >
> >> He violated federal law in the process.
> >
> > Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
> > for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
> > proven
> > guilty, right?).
> >
> >> He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
> >
> > IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
> >
> > The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
> > wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
> > there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
> >
> > 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
> >
> > 2) Incompetence (?)
> >
> > 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
> > hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
> > FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
> > was doing.
> >
> > 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
> > others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
> > their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
> > accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.

> >
> > And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
> > they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
> > spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
> > and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
> > have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
> >
> > 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
> > prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
> > question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
> > waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
> > what he did would not have been an offense any more.
> >
> > It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
> > he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
> > will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
> > tried.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim,
>
> I agree with all your comments and analysis.
> There was a time you clearly believed Bash broke
> the law, but you do seem to now recognize the
> many possibilities that are or were potentially in
> play back in the 60's.

I still think he broke the law. But that's just my opinion
as a nonlawyer. It must be remembered that he was
never charged with anything, and that "innocent until
*proven* guilty" is a cornerstone of our judicial process.

> Nice write-up. You
> should keep this text handy for every time the
> Bash issue resurfaces in this newsgroup.
> Heck, call it the FAQ on Bash :-) :-)
>
I hereby authorize you to put all 8 reasons in a nice format
and post them whenever the Bash question arises, Bill. Here
or elsewhere. Just acknowledge the original source. Save
you a lot of typing!

73 es HT de Jim, N2EY

# 2454. Re: Bash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 17:51:00 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132854660.747361.183670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125019

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:1132840695.592838.221660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>
> >> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> >> >
> >> > No.
> >> >
> >> > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
> >>
> >> Yes, he did.
> >>
> >> > He violated federal law in the process.
> >>
> >> Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
> >> for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
> >> proven
> >> guilty, right?).
> >>
> >> > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
> >>
> >> IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
> >
> > Perhaps.
> >
> > I think prison was more in order, but OK...take his license.
> >
> >> The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
> >> wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
> >> there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
> >>
> >> 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
> >>
> >> 2) Incompetence (?)
> >>
> >> 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
> >> hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
> >> FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash

> >> was doing.
> >
> > OK..I can buy those.
> >
> >> 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
> >> others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
> >> their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
> >> accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
> >
> > Jim, if I physically reach in to a persons wallet and take their
> > money, that's theft.
>
> Of course it is theft because the person no longer has
> the money. If, on the other hand, you allow me to
> look in your wallet and I see you have 53 dollars, is it
> theft if I tell someone else I saw $53 dollars (one 20,
> three 10s and three ones).
>
> > Is it any LESS theft if I demand that they take it out and hand it
> > to me?
>
> This analogy is totally off the mark because it involves
> a physical removal which is NOT what Bash did.

So is the "show me the money" analogy. Here's why:

The alleged "theft" was of intellectual property, not a thing like
money. Try this analogy:

Last Friday I saw the new Harry Potter movie at the local theater.
(Excellent, btw). Suppose I had videotaped it while it was being
shown - wouldn't that be theft? After all, the theater still has the
film!

> >> And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
> >> they were not to divulge the contents of that exam?

It says right on the movie tickets that you're not to copy what's
shown.

> > Sure was when I tested, in Ohio, Atlanta and Long Beach, CA
> > offices all three. My High School science teacher who administered my
> > Novice read his part of the insructions which stated it was unlawful to
> > divulge the contents of the test.
> >
> > I just don't know how many ways you can say "Don't discuss the
> > test", Jim!
>
> The other legal question comes down to: is it legal to
> prohibit post test discussion.

I would say "yes", *if* it's clearly explained as a condition of
license
grant. If not, the situation is legally murky in this nonlawyer's
opinion.
>
> >> Was it clearly
> >> spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
> >> and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
> >> have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
> >
> > If they HAD gone after him, at least it would have set case
> > law...Or at the very least SHOULD have pushed the reg writers in
> > Washington to "get hot".
>
> Too late. The answer will never be known now.
>
> >> 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
> >> prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
> >> question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
> >> waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
> >> what he did would not have been an offense any more.
> >>
> >> It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
> >> he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
> >> will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
> >> tried.
> >
> > Our loss, then and ever since.
>
> A waste of tme to discuss.

I disagree! It's important to understand the history and what *really*
happened.

> You can't go back and that's
> the bottom line.

Actually we could "go back" to FCC exams - if FCC could somehow be
convinced that they were necessary. Good luck doing that one!

One more thought:

9) The FCC may have been aware of Bash's activities, and decided that
they did not really harm the ARS, even if they were technically
illegal. His
activities may have convinced them to make the pools public, which
incidentally put him out of business because then anyone could publish
them.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2455. Re: Bash tests published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 17:56:54 UTC
From: Unlicensed Community Radio <anon@arrlandfccjerks.net>
Message-ID: <dm4upc$ft8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

Reposted for the guys in the Pirate Radio groups.

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132853844.785587.84750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1132786434.824544.24430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>> >> >
>> >> > How? Dick Bash did not see the actual exams except by taking them.
>> >>
>> >> Then he published them.
>> >
>> > And FCC did nothing about it.
>> > Some in the FCC wanted to prosecute,
>> > but the higher ups didn't allow it.
>> > Phil Kane has told about it first-hand -
>> > he was working in the office where Bash
>> > did his thing at the time.
>>
>> The only thing that can be derive or concluded
>> from that is the probable fact that there was
>> disagreement within the FCC as to the ability to
>> pursue and win any case against Bash.
>
> Or any of the other reasons. All it takes is one!
>
>> > Whether Bash broke the letter of the law or not isn't
>> > clear, but it *is* clear that he broke the spirit of the law.
>
>> I never met anyone convicted of breaking the spirit
>> of any law.
>
> BINGO!!!
>
> There's also "innocent until proven guilty".
>
>> The other issue that would be in play is
>> the legality of the law itself on constitutional grounds.

>
> Possibly, but I find it hard to believe that the FCC would
> have lost on those grounds. Doing so would set a
> precedent that *no* license exam contents could be kept
> out of the public view.
>
> Still, FCC may have thought it better not to take that chance.
>
>> > If, back then, FCC had thought
>> > it was OK for people to see the actual exams,
>> > they would have been published
>> > (as they are now) rather than going
>> > through the additional work of making
>> > up study guides.
>>
>> That's in your opinion anyway.
>
> It's also common sense. FCC made up study guides consisting
> of essay questions that indicated the general areas of knowledge
> that would be on the test. Those guides were published - ARRL
> reproduced them in their License Manuals (they specifically
> mention that fact in the Manual). Why would FCC go through
> the trouble to make up those guides if it were OK for non-FCC
> people to see the actual exam?
>
> Still, unless there existed specific regulations about divulging
> and publishing the exam contents, FCC's case agains Bash
> might have been very weak.
>
>> >> > > > > The Commercial license was still more difficult
>> >> > > > > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
>> >> > > > > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT
>> >> > > > > more
>> >> > > > > modes in Commercial radio then.
>> >> >
>> >> > > > But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of
>> >> > > > those
>> >> > > > who *did* take both say the Extra written was "harder".
>> >> >
>> >> > > It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor
>> >> > > than
>> >> > > for a commercial endeavor.
>> >> >
>> >> > Wasn't too hard for a 16 year old between 10th and 11th grade. In
>> >> > fact,
>> >> > I'd have gotten it more than a year earlier except for the 2 year
>> >> > waiting period.
>> >>
>> >> "The Man" still keeping you down?
>> >

>> > Not at all. Experience was part of the requirement back then. It was
>> > and is a good idea.
>>
>> I really have no problem with an experience criteria
>> (e.g.a time interval between General and Extra).
>
> Nor I, but it would make more work for FCC. Right now
> anyone can go from any license class or no license at all
> to Extra in one exam session. An experience requirement
> would mean that many hams would need at least two exam
> sessions and two FCC paperwork cycles to get to Extra.
> More admin work = not something FCC would like.
>>
>> Cheers and Happy Thanksgiving to all, I thank the
>> Lord for all the great and wonderful people and things
>> in my life.
>
> Well said, Bill! I wish the same to all this fine day.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

# 2456. Re: Bash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 17:58:03 UTC
From: Unlicensed Community Radio <anon@arrlandfccjerks.net>
Message-ID: <dm4uri$g85$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate

Reposted for the guys in the Pirate Radio groups:

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132854660.747361.183670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1132840695.592838.221660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>> >> >
>> >> > No.
>> >> >
>> >> > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>> >>
>> >> Yes, he did.
>> >>
>> >> > He violated federal law in the process.
>> >>
>> >> Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
>> >> for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
>> >> proven
>> >> guilty, right?).
>> >>
>> >> > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>> >>
>> >> IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>> >
>> > Perhaps.
>> >
>> > I think prison was more in order, but OK...take his license.
>> >
>> >> The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
>> >> wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
>> >> there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>> >>
>> >> 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>> >>

>> >> 2) Incompetence (?)
>> >>
>> >> 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
>> >> hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
>> >> FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
>> >> was doing.
>> >
>> > OK..I can buy those.
>> >
>> >> 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
>> >> others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
>> >> their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
>> >> accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>> >
>> > Jim, if I physically reach in to a persons wallet and take their
>> > money, that's theft.
>>
>> Of course it is theft because the person no longer has
>> the money. If, on the other hand, you allow me to
>> look in your wallet and I see you have 53 dollars, is it
>> theft if I tell someone else I saw $53 dollars (one 20,
>> three 10s and three ones).
>>
>> > Is it any LESS theft if I demand that they take it out and hand it
>> > to me?
>>
>> This analogy is totally off the mark because it involves
>> a physical removal which is NOT what Bash did.
>
> So is the "show me the money" analogy. Here's why:
>
> The alleged "theft" was of intellectual property, not a thing like
> money. Try this analogy:
>
> Last Friday I saw the new Harry Potter movie at the local theater.
> (Excellent, btw). Suppose I had videotaped it while it was being
> shown - wouldn't that be theft? After all, the theater still has the
> film!
>
>> >> And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
>> >> they were not to divulge the contents of that exam?
>
> It says right on the movie tickets that you're not to copy what's
> shown.
>
>> > Sure was when I tested, in Ohio, Atlanta and Long Beach, CA
>> > offices all three. My High School science teacher who administered my
>> > Novice read his part of the insructions which stated it was unlawful to
>> > divulge the contents of the test.
>> >

>> > I just don't know how many ways you can say "Don't discuss the
>> > test", Jim!
>>
>> The other legal question comes down to: is it legal to
>> prohibit post test discussion.
>
> I would say "yes", *if* it's clearly explained as a condition of
> license
> grant. If not, the situation is legally murky in this nonlawyer's
> opinion.
>>
>> >> Was it clearly
>> >> spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
>> >> and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
>> >> have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>> >
>> > If they HAD gone after him, at least it would have set case
>> > law...Or at the very least SHOULD have pushed the reg writers in
>> > Washington to "get hot".
>>
>> Too late. The answer will never be known now.
>>
>> >> 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
>> >> prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to
>> >> public
>> >> question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
>> >> waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a
>> >> verdict,
>> >> what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>> >>
>> >> It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
>> >> he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been
>> >> convicted,
>> >> will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
>> >> tried.
>> >
>> > Our loss, then and ever since.
>>
>> A waste of tme to discuss.
>
> I disagree! It's important to understand the history and what *really*
> happened.
>
>> You can't go back and that's
>> the bottom line.
>
> Actually we could "go back" to FCC exams - if FCC could somehow be
> convinced that they were necessary. Good luck doing that one!
>
> One more thought:

>
> 9) The FCC may have been aware of Bash's activities, and decided that
> they did not really harm the ARS, even if they were technically
> illegal. His
> activities may have convinced them to make the pools public, which
> incidentally put him out of business because then anyone could publish
> them.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

# 2457. Re: Bash test publishing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 17:59:08 UTC
From: Unlicensed Community Radio <anon@arrlandfccjerks.net>
Message-ID: <dm4utg$gf6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate

Reposted for the guys in the Pirate Radio groups:

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132854118.036298.21600@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1132832822.643767.54500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >
>> >> > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>> >>
>> >> No.
>> >>
>> >> Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>> >
>> > Yes, he did.
>> >
>> >> He violated federal law in the process.
>> >
>> > Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
>> > for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
>> > proven
>> > guilty, right?).
>> >
>> >> He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>> >
>> > IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>> >
>> > The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
>> > wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
>> > there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>> >
>> > 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>> >
>> > 2) Incompetence (?)
>> >
>> > 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
>> > hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
>> > FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash

>> > was doing.
>> >
>> > 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
>> > others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
>> > their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
>> > accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>> >
>> > And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
>> > they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
>> > spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
>> > and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
>> > have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>> >
>> > 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
>> > prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
>> > question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
>> > waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
>> > what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>> >
>> > It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
>> > he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
>> > will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
>> > tried.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Jim,
>>
>> I agree with all your comments and analysis.
>> There was a time you clearly believed Bash broke
>> the law, but you do seem to now recognize the
>> many possibilities that are or were potentially in
>> play back in the 60's.
>
> I still think he broke the law. But that's just my opinion
> as a nonlawyer. It must be remembered that he was
> never charged with anything, and that "innocent until
> *proven* guilty" is a cornerstone of our judicial process.
>
>> Nice write-up. You
>> should keep this text handy for every time the
>> Bash issue resurfaces in this newsgroup.
>> Heck, call it the FAQ on Bash :-) :-)
>>
> I hereby authorize you to put all 8 reasons in a nice format
> and post them whenever the Bash question arises, Bill. Here
> or elsewhere. Just acknowledge the original source. Save
> you a lot of typing!
>
> 73 es HT de Jim, N2EY

>

# 2458. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 18:00:02 UTC
From: Unlicensed Community Radio <anon@arrlandfccjerks.net>
Message-ID: <dm4uv5$gkq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate

Reposted for the guys in the Pirate Radio groups:

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132853152.242829.28980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >
>> > > > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>> > > >
>> > > > No.
>> > > >
>> > > > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>> > >
>> > > Yes, he did.
>> > >
>> > > > He violated federal law in the process.
>> > >
>> > > Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
>> > > for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
>> > > proven guilty, right?).
>> > >
>> > > > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>> > >
>> > > IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>> > >
>> > > The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
>> > > wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
>> > > there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>> > >
>> > > 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>> > >
>> > > 2) Incompetence (?)
>> > >
>> > > 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
>> > > hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
>> > > FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
>> > > was doing.
>> > >
>> > > 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask

>> > others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
>> > their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
>> > accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>> >
>> > And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
>> > they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
>> > spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
>> > and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
>> > have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>> >
>> > 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
>> > prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
>> > question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
>> > waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
>> > what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>> >
>> > It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
>> > he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
>> > will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
>> > tried.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Jim, by discussing if Bash broke the letter of the law or the spirit of
>> the law, you just answered your own question of "Where?" Len might have
>> seen the Extra material.
>
> Just the opposite.
>
> Len claimed he saw the 1957 Extra test element (not the material - the
> actual *test*). Bash didn't do his thing until the 1970s, more than a
> decade later.
>
>> Thank you and Congrats.
>
> For what?
>

# 2459. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 18:09:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132855753.343595.57780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125025

```
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Wed 16 Nov 2005 19:09
> > > > > > > >>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > > > > > > >>>> From: "Bill Sohl" on Wed 16 Nov 2005 08:35
> > > > > > > >>>>> <N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > > > > > > >>>>>> an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > >>>>>>> N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > > > >>>>>>>> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >>>> Way back in time the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> > > > > > > >>>> "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> > > > > > > >>>> lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket."
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >>> Not true, Len.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >> ABSOLUTELY TRUE, Miccolis. Everyone realizes it. Why not
> > > > > > > >> admit that it is so?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Let's go through it, shall we? Pro-coders (one can only wonder if Len
> > > > > > > >means those who favored morse testing, those who favored morse use or
> > > > > > > >those who were simply proficient at morse code) made up their own
> > > > > > > >regulations. It isn't explained how or if these "pro-coders" all became
> > > > > > > >Extra Class ticket holders. Extra Class license holders can't obtain
> > > > > > > >that license without passing the most difficult theory and regulatory
> > > > > > > >written exam offered in U.S. amateur radio and not all of those with
> > > > > > > >morse code skills became Extra Class licensees. Len's statement appears
> > > > > > > >to have some gaping holes.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Tsk, tsk, tsk. NO "holes," Heil. An abbreviated synopsis
> > > > > > > is all.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Big holes, Len.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Before Restructuring took effect in 2000, the Extra code test
> > > > > > rate was 20 WPM.
> > > > >
> > > > > Unless a medical waiver was obtained, in which case the Extra
```

> > > > > > could be had for a code test of as little as 5 wpm.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The "modern" Extra class license was added in the 1951 restructuring
> > > > > > that
> > > > > > also added the Novice and Technician class licenses. The code test
> > > > > > speed
> > > > > > for the Extra was set at 20 wpm at that time.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's what Len said.
> > > > >
> > > > > No, it isn't. He left out the part about the medical waivers, which
> > > > > became a reality in 1990.
> > >
> > He also said "the pro-coders managed to set themselves up as
> > "extra" amateurs BECAUSE of their telegraphy skill, all through
> > lobbying to keep morse code as the "hot ticket.""
> >
> > which wasn't the case at all.
>
> He sees it differently.

He wasn't there, though. His opinion is not backed up by facts.

> I agree that a lot of old timers will not like
> losing Morse Exam, probably for the reasons Len has cited.
>
> > > I'm sure that people with disabilities really get under your skin.
> >
> > Nope - not at all.
>
> Just the one's who get Fast-Code waivers.

13 and 20 wpm are not Fast-Code.

> > > > > > > Why? Because the older-timers influencing
> > > > > > > the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
> > > > > > > radio because so many had been professional telegraphers.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > No, that's not true at all.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It does have that appearance.
> > > > >
> > > > > How?
> > > > >
> > > > > The ARRL did not create the Extra class license in 1951. They did
> > > > > not lobby for it either.

> > > > > > > It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
> > > > > > > Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
> > > > > > > set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > What about the thousands of others - like myself - who earned the

> > > > > > license because we wanted the privileges? In my case, that was
> > > > > > in 1970, at the age of 16.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You mom and dad provided you with three hots and a cot.
> > > > >
> > > > > So? That's what responsible parents do.
> > > > >
> > > > > I also had to go to school and make acceptable grades. Do all assigned
> > > > > chores at home and work (yes, I worked then). Plus all the usual
> > > > > activities of a kid my age back then.
> > > >
> > > > Or what? Let's say you brought home a "D" in math and science.
> > >
> > Math and science were two different subjects in my high school.
>
> You don't say?

You wrote as if they were one subject.

> > Let's see...
> >
> > In math:
> >
> > 9th grade: Algebra 1
> > 10th grade: Geometry
> > 11th grade: Algebra 2 and Trigonometry
> > 12th grade: AP Calculus
> >
> > In science:
> >
> > 9th grade: Introductory Physics
> > 10th grade: Chemistry
> > 11th grade: Biology
> > 12th grade: AP Physics
> >
> > Never brought home any "D" marks so I don't know what would have
> > happened.
> >
> > I suspect that if I had, there would have been no ham radio until the
> > marks improved.
>
> But what if you were in the middle of preparing for another ham test
> with Bash (or its equivalent) study guides?

Another non-event. I didn't hear of Bash books until long after I had
the
Extra. Nor any "equivalent".

> > Of course I had a built-in advantage because I'd learned a lot of math
> > and science for ham radio before I ever got to high school. I earned
> > the Advanced in the summer between 8th and 9th grade, you see.

>
> You had an advantage because you started your ham "career" in your
> youth while supported by your family.

Not really. Sure, I didn't have a fulltime job nor dependents to
support. But
I did have other responsibilities.

OTOH, I had the distinct disadvantage of not having the mobility,
money,
freedom of action or education that most adults have.

> Others had an advantage because
> if they washed out of ditty bopper school in the military they became
> cooks and MPs.

I wasn't one of those - I had already passed the Extra before entering
11th grade.
>
> A tidal wave of Morse Operators left the service at the end of WWII.

Was there an MOS of "Morse Operator"? Or were they called "Radioman" or
"Radio Operator"?

Besides, that conflicts with what Len keeps telling us. He constantly
denies that
Morse Code played any important role in US military communication after
the 1930s.

> It is plausible that some of them liked the idea of having a special
> ticket just for high and higher speed code operators with spectrum
> set-aside just for them. Some might even have found their way into the
> federal government, and even into the FCC and put the concept of
> Inventive Licensing into motion.

Wild speculation that is not backed up by the facts of what really
happened.

And recall that Len wrote:

"Why? Because the older-timers influencing
the NAAR lobbyists thought they were hot snit for amateur
radio because so many had been professional telegraphers."

and

"It was a way of keeping the old pro status past retirement.
Since they were already skilled in telegraphy, they got a free
set of perquisites in a HOBBY activity."

The Extra was created in 1951, not 1968. It was meant as a
replacement for the Advanced/Class A.

> I wonder where all the Veteran ditty-boppers ended up?

> > > > > > > >> Prove that the "old First Phone" examination was "less hard"
> > > > > > > > >> than the Amateur Extra exam. You never completed that last
> > > > > > > > >> test element on your alleged Commercial radio operator license
> > > > > > > > >> and could only get a SECOND class.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >Kindly prove that the old Amateur Extra was less difficult than the old
> > > > > > > > > >First Phone.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Heil, quit being the snotty lil kid trying to turn tables. That
> > > > > > > > > makes YOU look dumb. I took all the test elements for a First
> > > > > > > > > 'Phone 49 years ago. I've seen the test elements for an Extra
> > > > > > > > > of that time.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Where?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Back then those test elements were only given by FCC examiners. They
> > > > > > > > were not legally available to folks like you (outside of FCC). In fact,
> > > > > > > > back then
> > > > > > > > FCC required 2 years' experience as a General or higher license just to
> > > > > > > > *try*
> > > > > > > > the Extra test.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > How? Dick Bash did not see the actual exams except by taking them.
> > > > >
> > > > > Then he published them.
> > >
> > > And FCC did nothing about it. Some in the FCC wanted to prosecute,
> > > but the higher ups didn't allow it. Phil Kane has told about it
> > > first-hand -
> > > he was working in the office where Bash did his thing at the time.
> >
> > Whether Bash broke the letter of the law or not isn't clear, but it
> > *is*
> > clear that he broke the spirit of the law. If, back then, FCC had
> > thought
> > it was OK for people to see the actual exams, they would have been
> > published
> > (as they are now) rather than going through the additional work of
> > making
> > up study guides.
>
> The point is that you think Len was incapable of seeing an actual FCC
> exam or study material published by Bash or other Publishing Houses.

Incorrect.

Len claimed he saw the 1957 Extra written test. Not a Bash book or
other
study guide but the actual test. While not absolutely impossible, it is
highly improbable because non-FCC folks were not shown the test

unless they were taking it.

Bash did not do his thing until more than a decade after 1957.

> > > > > > > The Commercial license was still more difficult
> > > > > > > than the amateur...NOT because I took any, but because the
> > > > > > > Commercial license covered a LOT more EM territory, a LOT more
> > > > > > > modes in Commercial radio then.
> > > > >
> > > > > > But you don't really know because you didn't take both. Some of those
> > > > > > who *did* take both say the Extra written was "harder".
> > > > >
> > > > > It's important that you should work harder for a hobby endeavor than
> > > > > for a commercial endeavor.
> > > > >
> > > > Wasn't too hard for a 16 year old between 10th and 11th grade. In fact,
> > > > I'd have gotten it more than a year earlier except for the 2 year
> > > > waiting period.
> > >
> > "The Man" still keeping you down?
> >
> > Not at all. Experience was part of the requirement back then. It was
> > and is
> > a good idea.
>
> How about "life" experience, such as an age requirement?

Bad idea. No one has been able to show that the licensing of young hams
has *ever* caused problems in the amateur radio service. Len cannot
name
even one case where a ham licensee's youth was a factor in a rules
violation
or other problem.

> > > > > "A Morse Code Exam would be a barrier to Morse Code use." N2EY
> > >
> > > > Brian Burke, you have written that quote here several times, and
> > > > claimed I
> > > > wrote it. But I did not write that sentence - you did. Check google and
> > > > show us what I actually wrote on that subject.
> > > >
> > > > > I agreed with you then and I agree with you now.
> > > >
> > > > You're only agreeing with something you wrote. Not what I wrote.
> > >
> > In all fairness, I should recheck the quote.
> >
> > Yes, you should. And its context.
>
> I've searched and cannot find it.

Then why have you quoted me as writing it?

## 2460. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 18:17:53 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132856273.446982.178380@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125027

Neither is yours CornWhore

## 2461. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 18:27:31 UTC
From: Bruce Farley <afakeaddress1@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <nCnhf.23512$q%.7502@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 125028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Why....do you ask stupid questions?

William L Victor wrote:
> Why does the Democrat Party hate America?
>
>
>
>
>
>

## 2462. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 19:00:57 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <AY6dnZQN35TknRvenZ2dnUVZ_sKdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125024

N9OGL wrote:
> Is that on your computer toddieboy?
>
> Yeah and your point?? Yojimbo IMHO is in violation of federal law, by
> access parts of computer without my permission.
>
>
> Todd N9OGL
> Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
> http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php
>

Your HO isn't worth much.

## 2463. Re: Bash tests published

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 19:07:49 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <AY6dnZcN35SInxvenZ2dnUVZ_sKdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

Unlicensed Community Radio wrote:
> Reposted for the guys in the Pirate Radio groups.

I guess the guys in the pirate radio groups too stupid to read it here.

# 2464. Re: steveo wants me to be gay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 19:46:31 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132861591.093973.263780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125030
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

Landshark wrote:
> steveo wants me to be his gay lover. thats why he always attacks n8 &
> kc8. i tried to tell steveo just because i jacked off with him one time

WE JACKED OFF TWICE AND YOU LET ME SUCK YOUR COCK.

> doesn't mean i'm gay. now he thinks if he posts sick shit about kc8 &
> n8 i will be his lover.
>
> you have to understand steveo is very upset with these two fine people.
> n8 outted steveo for the coward he is. remember dayton? n8 was there
> waiting for steveo to carry out his threats. steveo proved to be the
> coward we all know him to be. now his only way to feel like a man is to
> post lies about n8 & kc8. two fine hams who have given so much to the
> amateur radio community.
>
> poor little steveo.....must suck to be you. oh, sorry i forgot.
> you do suck!

PLEASE SHARKIE, LET ME SUCK YOUR COCK! I WANT YOU INSIDE MY LOVE HOLE!!

## 2465. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 19:48:18 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132861698.053368.270130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast,rec.radio.cb

William L Victor wrote:
> Why does the Democrat Party hate America?

WHY DO YOU SWOLLOW CUM?

# 2466. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 19:54:29 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132862069.755243.7200@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

hey, care to join us for a mutual jacking session.
FM Community Radio wrote:
> The guys in the Pirate Radio groups will find the below
> interesting:
>
>
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132832822.643767.54500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> >>
> >> No.
> >>
> >> Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
> >
> > Yes, he did.
> >
> >> He violated federal law in the process.
> >
> > Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
> > for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
> > proven
> > guilty, right?).
> >
> >> He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
> >
> > IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
> >
> > The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
> > wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
> > there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
> >
> > 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
> >
> > 2) Incompetence (?)
> >
> > 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
> > hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.

> > FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
> > was doing.
> >
> > 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
> > others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
> > their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
> > accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
> >
> > And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
> > they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
> > spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
> > and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
> > have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
> >
> > 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
> > prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
> > question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
> > waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
> > what he did would not have been an offense any more.
> >
> > It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
> > he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
> > will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
> > tried.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

## 2467. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 20:15:01 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <KY6dncfiD_NJjBvenZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125029

N9OGL wrote:
> Neither is yours CornWhore
>

LOL at the kiddie porn pervert.

# 2468. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 21:18:14 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132867094.615453.206030@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think moron, I live on the top of this three story house, so
there.

Todd N9OGL

http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

# 2469. Re: Experiance interval for Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 22:33:38 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <6drhf.5020$N45.1389@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125034

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132853844.785587.84750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:

>> I really have no problem with an experience criteria
>> (e.g.a time interval between General and Extra).
>
> Nor I, but it would make more work for FCC. Right now
> anyone can go from any license class or no license at all
> to Extra in one exam session. An experience requirement
> would mean that many hams would need at least two exam
> sessions and two FCC paperwork cycles to get to Extra.
> More admin work = not something FCC would like.

Any idea what percent of people actually pass both
the General and the Extra in one session?

I suspect the number is relatively small.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 2470. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 22:37:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132871842.218870.197850@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Mon 21 Nov 2005 04:19
>
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 20, 3:36 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: K4YZ on Nov 19, 2:13 am
> >> >> >K0HB wrote:
> >> >> >> "K4YZ" <k...@aol.com> wrote
>
> >> >> >> > The KY-28, used on the OV-10A and D, AH1G and J, the UH-1N, the
> >> >> >> > CH53 A & D were refered to as "JULIETT'.
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > Steve, K4YZ
> >> >>
> >> >> >> Your memory on this issue is not accurate.
> >> >>
> >> >> >> Regardless of platform, user agency, or military department, crypto systems are
> >> >> >> named by NSA (not by the Navy, USMC, or whoever). The KY-8/KY-28/KY-38 family
> >> >> >> was named "NESTOR".
> >> >>
> >> >> > It could have been named "Rice Krispies", Hans, but in USMC
> >> >> >aircraft it was refered to as "JULIETT"
> >> >>
> >> >> There we have it, direct from CNO Romeo at breakfast.
> >> >>
> >> >I recall reference to "kick."
> >>
> >> "Kick?"
> >>
> >Yep. "Kick" something. Had to do with keying a crypto unit in a comm
> >van.
>
> Ach so! Gotcha.
>
> >> >> I am well familiar with the crypto suite installed in those airframes.
> >> >>
> >> > Me too....I spent enough time having to re-key them on a daily
> >> >basis.
> >> >
> >> Using morse code?

> >> >
> >> >dididahdahdidit.
> >>
> >> Right...we QUESTION him on many things. Dud no answer.
> >
> >That's his right. But there's a lot of baggage to drag around with
> >claims of seven hostile actions and he can't mention the particulars of
> >one of them. Some of the baggage includes not even believing him about
> >clathing issue. Hi!
>
> Wait...maybe it's all in a "missing baggage" room at some
> airport!

Probably a rubber baggage room...

> Dudly is big on flapping his wings about aviation. Airports are
> concerned with aviation. Many folks "lose baggage" at airports.
> It all kinds of hangs together, donut? :-)
>
> Dud still has missing baggage, though...

Lost in space.

> >> Interesting that nonebody had come in with U.S. amateur radio is
> >> expressly forbidden to use any cryptographic means to obscure
> >> the meaning of communications. Not to worry. Hans will be
> >> along shortly to quote chapter and verse from Part 97...
> >
> >Extra Dick/W0EX, RIP, had a work-around to that rule. He went on for
> >months about how to thwart those pesky No-Code Technicians trying to
> >decode his Morse enCoded transmissions with a 'puter.
>
> Yes. "Send poorly!" :-) Remember it well.
>
> Big boost for morsemen and their cries of sending good.
> Violation of The Amateur's Code #3!
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

RRAP is a violation of the amateur's code. The quicker we get over to
Mikes BBS the better.

## 2471. Re: Bash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 22:42:54 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <Olrhf.3237$aA2.94@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125036

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132854660.747361.183670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:1132840695.592838.221660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>> >> >
>> >> > No.
>> >> >
>> >> > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>> >>
>> >> Yes, he did.
>> >>
>> >> > He violated federal law in the process.
>> >>
>> >> Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
>> >> for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
>> >> proven
>> >> guilty, right?).
>> >>
>> >> > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>> >>
>> >> IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>> >
>> > Perhaps.
>> >
>> > I think prison was more in order, but OK...take his license.
>> >
>> >> The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
>> >> wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
>> >> there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
>> >>
>> >> 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>> >>
>> >> 2) Incompetence (?)
>> >>
>> >> 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not

>> >> hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
>> >> FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
>> >> was doing.
>> >
>> > OK..I can buy those.
>> >
>> >> 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
>> >> others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
>> >> their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
>> >> accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>> >
>> > Jim, if I physically reach in to a persons wallet and take their
>> > money, that's theft.
>>
>> Of course it is theft because the person no longer has
>> the money. If, on the other hand, you allow me to
>> look in your wallet and I see you have 53 dollars, is it
>> theft if I tell someone else I saw $53 dollars (one 20,
>> three 10s and three ones).
>>
>> > Is it any LESS theft if I demand that they take it out and hand it
>> > to me?
>>
>> This analogy is totally off the mark because it involves
>> a physical removal which is NOT what Bash did.
>
> So is the "show me the money" analogy. Here's why:
>
> The alleged "theft" was of intellectual property, not a thing like
> money. Try this analogy:
>
> Last Friday I saw the new Harry Potter movie at the local theater.
> (Excellent, btw). Suppose I had videotaped it while it was being
> shown - wouldn't that be theft? After all, the theater still has the
> film!

That's a matter of copyright violation. The federal government
is expressly prohibited from claiming copyright protection for
materials it generates.

>> >> And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
>> >> they were not to divulge the contents of that exam?
>
> It says right on the movie tickets that you're not to copy what's
> shown.

Doesn't apply to government documentation. You and I are free
to reproduce government documentation as much as we want.

>> > Sure was when I tested, in Ohio, Atlanta and Long Beach, CA
>> > offices all three. My High School science teacher who administered my

>> > Novice read his part of the insructions which stated it was unlawful to
>> > divulge the contents of the test.
>> >
>> > I just don't know how many ways you can say "Don't discuss the
>> > test", Jim!
>>
>> The other legal question comes down to: is it legal to
>> prohibit post test discussion.
>
> I would say "yes", *if* it's clearly explained as a condition of
> license
> grant. If not, the situation is legally murky in this nonlawyer's
> opinion.

I think it is murky on any basis.

>> >> Was it clearly
>> >> spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
>> >> and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
>> >> have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>> >
>> > If they HAD gone after him, at least it would have set case
>> > law...Or at the very least SHOULD have pushed the reg writers in
>> > Washington to "get hot".
>>
>> Too late. The answer will never be known now.
>>
>> >> 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
>> >> prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to
>> >> public
>> >> question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
>> >> waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a
>> >> verdict,
>> >> what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>> >>
>> >> It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
>> >> he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been
>> >> convicted,
>> >> will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
>> >> tried.
>> >
>> > Our loss, then and ever since.
>>
>> A waste of tme to discuss.
>
> I disagree! It's important to understand the history and what *really*
> happened.

But we'll never know what actually happened because there
is NO record of the decision process and internal analysis
the FCC might have done to determine it would not pursue

any legal action against Bash. Phil Kane has his own opinions,
but he's not the "higher ups" that made the final decision.

>> You can't go back and that's
>> the bottom line.
>
> Actually we could "go back" to FCC exams - if FCC could somehow be
> convinced that they were necessary. Good luck doing that one!

Given the liklyhood of that happening, I'll
stick with my opinion that you can't go back.

> One more thought:
>
> 9) The FCC may have been aware of Bash's activities, and decided that
> they did not really harm the ARS, even if they were technically
> illegal. His
> activities may have convinced them to make the pools public, which
> incidentally put him out of business because then anyone could publish
> them.

Interesting thought.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 2472. Re: Bash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-24 23:05:20 UTC
From: Unlicensed Community Radio <anon@arrlandfccjerks.net>
Message-ID: <dm5gri$tn9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate

Reposted for the interest of guys in the Pirate Radio Groups:

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:Olrhf.3237$aA2.94@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132854660.747361.183670@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>>> news:1132840695.592838.221660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> >
>>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> K4YZ wrote:
>>> >> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>>> >> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> > > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> > > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > No.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
>>> >>
>>> >> Yes, he did.
>>> >>
>>> >> > He violated federal law in the process.
>>> >>
>>> >> Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
>>> >> for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
>>> >> proven
>>> >> guilty, right?).
>>> >>
>>> >> > He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
>>> >>
>>> >> IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
>>> >
>>> > Perhaps.
>>> >
>>> > I think prison was more in order, but OK...take his license.
>>> >
>>> >> The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
>>> >> wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
>>> >> there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:

>>> >>
>>> >> 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
>>> >>
>>> >> 2) Incompetence (?)
>>> >>
>>> >> 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are
>>> >> not
>>> >> hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against
>>> >> himself.
>>> >> FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what
>>> >> Bash
>>> >> was doing.
>>> >
>>> > OK..I can buy those.
>>> >
>>> >> 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
>>> >> others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
>>> >> their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
>>> >> accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
>>> >
>>> > Jim, if I physically reach in to a persons wallet and take their
>>> > money, that's theft.
>>>
>>> Of course it is theft because the person no longer has
>>> the money. If, on the other hand, you allow me to
>>> look in your wallet and I see you have 53 dollars, is it
>>> theft if I tell someone else I saw $53 dollars (one 20,
>>> three 10s and three ones).
>>>
>>> > Is it any LESS theft if I demand that they take it out and hand it
>>> > to me?
>>>
>>> This analogy is totally off the mark because it involves
>>> a physical removal which is NOT what Bash did.
>>
>> So is the "show me the money" analogy. Here's why:
>>
>> The alleged "theft" was of intellectual property, not a thing like
>> money. Try this analogy:
>>
>> Last Friday I saw the new Harry Potter movie at the local theater.
>> (Excellent, btw). Suppose I had videotaped it while it was being
>> shown - wouldn't that be theft? After all, the theater still has the
>> film!
>
> That's a matter of copyright violation. The federal government
> is expressly prohibited from claiming copyright protection for
> materials it generates.
>
>>> >> And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that

>>> >> they were not to divulge the contents of that exam?
>>
>> It says right on the movie tickets that you're not to copy what's
>> shown.
>
> Doesn't apply to government documentation. You and I are free
> to reproduce government documentation as much as we want.
>
>>> > Sure was when I tested, in Ohio, Atlanta and Long Beach, CA
>>> > offices all three. My High School science teacher who administered my
>>> > Novice read his part of the insructions which stated it was unlawful
>>> > to
>>> > divulge the contents of the test.
>>> >
>>> > I just don't know how many ways you can say "Don't discuss the
>>> > test", Jim!
>>>
>>> The other legal question comes down to: is it legal to
>>> prohibit post test discussion.
>>
>> I would say "yes", *if* it's clearly explained as a condition of
>> license
>> grant. If not, the situation is legally murky in this nonlawyer's
>> opinion.
>
> I think it is murky on any basis.
>
>>> >> Was it clearly
>>> >> spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
>>> >> and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
>>> >> have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
>>> >
>>> > If they HAD gone after him, at least it would have set case
>>> > law...Or at the very least SHOULD have pushed the reg writers in
>>> > Washington to "get hot".
>>>
>>> Too late. The answer will never be known now.
>>>
>>> >> 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
>>> >> prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to
>>> >> public
>>> >> question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
>>> >> waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a
>>> >> verdict,
>>> >> what he did would not have been an offense any more.
>>> >>
>>> >> It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But
>>> >> whether
>>> >> he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been
>>> >> convicted,

>>> >> will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
>>> >> tried.
>>> >
>>> > Our loss, then and ever since.
>>>
>>> A waste of tme to discuss.
>>
>> I disagree! It's important to understand the history and what *really*
>> happened.
>
> But we'll never know what actually happened because there
> is NO record of the decision process and internal analysis
> the FCC might have done to determine it would not pursue
> any legal action against Bash. Phil Kane has his own opinions,
> but he's not the "higher ups" that made the final decision.
>
>>> You can't go back and that's
>>> the bottom line.
>>
>> Actually we could "go back" to FCC exams - if FCC could somehow be
>> convinced that they were necessary. Good luck doing that one!
>
> Given the liklyhood of that happening, I'll
> stick with my opinion that you can't go back.
>
>> One more thought:
>>
>> 9) The FCC may have been aware of Bash's activities, and decided that
>> they did not really harm the ARS, even if they were technically
>> illegal. His
>> activities may have convinced them to make the pools public, which
>> incidentally put him out of business because then anyone could publish
>> them.
>
> Interesting thought.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

# 2473. Re: Experiance interval for Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 00:49:45 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132879785.346830.50390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125038

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132853844.785587.84750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >> I really have no problem with an experience criteria
> >> (e.g.a time interval between General and Extra).
> >
> > Nor I, but it would make more work for FCC. Right now
> > anyone can go from any license class or no license at all
> > to Extra in one exam session. An experience requirement
> > would mean that many hams would need at least two exam
> > sessions and two FCC paperwork cycles to get to Extra.
> > More admin work = not something FCC would like.
>
> Any idea what percent of people actually pass both
> the General and the Extra in one session?

Probably a considerable number. The number of Generals is pretty stable
while the number of Extras just keeps growing.

Note too that for one VE fee you get one chance at every element you
haven't already passed. If someone goes to a VE session for General,
there's no harm or cost (except time) if they try the Extra while
they're at it. I've known more than a few hams who went to a VE session
intending on the General and who came home with an Extra.

Not a new thing, either. Way back in 1968, when I went to the FCC
office at 2nd & Chestnut to take the General, the examiner suggested
that I try the Advanced while I was there. No additional cost and since
I had the General in the bag, it would actually save him some work in
the future. A 14-year-old with any sense at all did not say "no" to The
Man, so I tried the Advanced written, and passed.
>
> I suspect the number is relatively small.
>
Check the AH0A site under "new licenses". While most hams start out as
Techs,
every month a small but not negligible number go straight to General or
Extra.

Regardless of the number, I doubt FCC would bring back the experience
requirement after 30 years without one. Particularly since they'd have
to
enforce it.

73 es HT de Jim, N2EY

# 2474. Re: Astro-net from the 60s (was: K1MAN ESSAY)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 01:56:21 UTC
From: Tom <noname@noprovider.nodomain>
Message-ID: <9buhf.7283$id.6018@trnddc04>
Source record: 125039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

You bet. They started out when some of the guys noticed brief point-source bright flashes
on the lunar surface. Used 80m to time whether the flashes were synchronized across
large observation distances. If they were not, then the flashes were likely meteors in
the earth's atmosphere; if they were synchronized, then the probablility that they were
associated with an event on the lunar surface was pretty high.

The guys had scopes setup in the backyard and 100-foot headphone/microphone cables
going back to the radio so they could observe and talk on the radio simultaneously.

They did reach a conclusion about the flashes....

-- Tom

"Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
news:dm3gng$hrl$1@news.hawaii.edu...
> "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>> To be honest, I never felt comfortable on 75 meters, nor did I feel
>> that I fit in for the most part. There were groups, however, in which
>> I enjoyed participating,
>
> Anyone remember the Astro-Net on 3885 kc from the 1960s? It was composed
> of group of amateur astronomers who nightly trained their telescopes on a
> particular celestial object and would then have round-table comments
> about that object. It was fascinating to eavesdrop on that net.
>
> 73, Jeff KH6O
> --
> Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
> Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

# 2475. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 04:12:50 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <7YmdnVuLTO5NHBvenZ2dnUVZ_tadnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I don't think

First truth you have spoken.

## 2476. Holiday Blues?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 04:19:04 UTC
From: Psychiatrist-To-Hams <Nuts@fruitsandnutshams.org>
Message-ID: <dm6382$b5e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Obsessed with k1man?
Body Odor Prolem?
Radio controls your life?

I & my staff can assist you with these ham syndromes.

Dr Hambone
office hours by appointment only

# 2477. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 05:24:25 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <NotLloyd@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <dm671m$qqf$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1132867094.615453.206030@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
I don't think moron, I live on the top of this three story house, so
there.

Todd N9OGL

http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

Makes no difference where you live, Todd. Your sick addiction to cartoon
kiddie porn speaks poorly of anyone. I hope for those around you that you
don't live near any playgrounds. You are sick, Todd. Sick.

So there.

## 2478. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 05:28:11 UTC
From: Not Lloyd <NotLloyd@Glendale.uz>
Message-ID: <dm678p$rto$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125043

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:KY6dncfiD_NJjBvenZ2dnUVZ_v6dnZ2d@gbronline.com...
N9OGL wrote:
> Neither is yours CornWhore
>

LOL at the kiddie porn pervert.
>

Have you seen Todd's photo? That splains it all, Lucy.

# 2479. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 06:28:06 UTC
From: Not Lloyd II <Anon@anon.ux>
Message-ID: <dm6ap4$aip$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:i5-dnVGgrN4fNRveRVn-tg@gbronline.com...
N9OGL wrote:
> I don't think moron, I live on the top of this three story house, so
> there.

Doesn't it get cold in the winter, being up there on top of the house?
How do you keep from rolling off the roof when you sleep?

>
Don't expect Todd to answer with any clarity. He's too busy fantasizing over
cartoon kids and make-believe worlds.

# 2480. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 06:37:38 UTC
From: Not Lloyd II <Anon@anon.ux>
Message-ID: <dm6bbj$cns$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote in message
news:yvudnW7l5rhojcRVn-rQ@gelded.not...
On Thu, 24 Nov 2005 20:12:50 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > I don't think
>
> First truth you have spoken.

If you look carefully at his photo, you can see his Tonka Toys on a
shelf to the right. You can also see the basement window near the top
of the wall.

Sitting in a basement and playing with Tonka Toys seems like something
that an almost-40 man should have outgrown by now.

.','

Soon now, Todd will upgrade to blow-up dolls. He's still searching for a
male blowup that looks like his hero, Woger. He needs to find one that is
Vaseline resistant and that can take a licking and keep on ticking.

The doll has to be silent, though. No "moaner" for him. He wouldn't want his
parents to hear him humping away and run the risk of waking them.

## 2481. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 07:02:03 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <i5-dnVGgrN4fNRveRVn-tg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N9OGL wrote:
> I don't think moron, I live on the top of this three story house, so
> there.

Doesn't it get cold in the winter, being up there on top of the house?
How do you keep from rolling off the roof when you sleep?

## 2482. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 08:26:29 UTC
From: Tiernan <s_emerson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <VUzhf.33803$6e1.24255@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 125047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Bruce Farley wrote:
> Why....do you ask stupid questions?
>
> William L Victor wrote:
>
>> Why does the Democrat Party hate America?
>>
Why post this question on these newsgroups? and you are dead wrong.
There are plenty of democrats going to work daily in green suits in Iraq.

## 2483. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 09:20:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132910413.222456.67080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125048

boo hoo, what are going to do about it.......NOTHING, because all the anime on my board is LEGAL!!!!!!! and there is nothing you can do about it!!!!

Todd N9OGL
Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

# 2484. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 10:36:50 UTC
From: Not Lloyd II <Anon@anon.ux>
Message-ID: <dm6pbf$586$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125049

Not a problem, Toad. We will simply ignore your kiddy porn site.
You aren't overly bright, are you???

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1132910413.222456.67080@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
boo hoo, what are going to do about it.......NOTHING, because all the
anime on my board is LEGAL!!!!!!! and there is nothing you can do about
it!!!!

Todd N9OGL
Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php

## 2485. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 12:13:20 UTC
From: Ken <LiarDemocraps@democreepzz.org>
Message-ID: <dm6v1f$s7q$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

"Tiernan" <s_emerson@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:VUzhf.33803$6e1.24255@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>> Why does the Democrat Party hate America?
>>>
> Why post this question on these newsgroups? and you are dead wrong. There
> are plenty of democrats going to work daily in green suits in Iraq.

That's true. They are known as the Al-Quaida.
Why post here? Yes, typical of you Democreeps, always trying
to control the free flow of information.

## 2486. He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 12:19:20 UTC
From: KLW <Democraps@leftwingnutsass.com>
Message-ID: <dm6vcd$tpc$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

He is the Doctor of Democracy, America's Truth Detector,
RUSH LIMBAUGH !!!!!!!!

Excellence in Broadcasting.

He IS equal time.

# 2487. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 13:06:24 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <5q1eo1h8olsf7iq26rc993fh0jpu75fl85@4ax.com>
Source record: 125052

```
On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132839371.910857.67480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

>
>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1132812756.202646.234150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> >> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >
>> >> Address the issues, Steve
>> >
>> > Already done.
>> >
>> >> You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly
>> >> deployed with the FMF.
>> >
>> > Still lying and still not explaining from where you got that,
>> >Frankie.
>>
>> From this specific conversation (that you abruptly dropped):
>>
>> ====================================
>>
>> On 21 Aug 2005 20:33:22 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1124681602.313149.172620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >> > because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
>> >> >who are in the Air Wing.
>> >>
>> >> Wrong again. I didn't say they were in the "Air Wing", although I did
>> >> know a few Marines that were stationed at Cherry Point (just a stone's
>> >> throw from Geiger -- we used to hang out at the Second Front area).
>> >
>> > You said they were in Avionics.
>> >
>> > So...How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have, Frank?
>>
>> ====================================
>>
>> To which I replied:
>>
```

>> ====================================
>>
>> >Quite a few. Back when I was active, the three batallions of the 8th
>> >regiment were rotated into the 22nd and 24th MAUs. I was deployed with
>> >the 24th MAU on The USS Nassau. This ship is an LHA -- basically it's
>> >a small aircraft carrier with a massive well deck. It can accomodate a
>> >lot of different aircraft including the Cobra, Huey, CH-46, CH-53,
>> >OV-10 and Harrier. Being a Marine in the avionics field you should
>> >have already known this. In fact, if you -were- USMC for 18 years it's
>> >more than likey that you would had served aboard an LHA or a similar
>> >amphibious assault ship. Either way you would have known of both their
>> >existence and their capabilities.
>>
>> ====================================
>>
>> You never would have asked that question if you had been in the Corps
>> because every Marine knows that FMF units are assembled from parts of
>> units that cover almost the entire spectrum of the Corps capabilities.
>
> SNIP
>
> No...
>
> A Regimental Combat Team, BLT or MEU is "assembled" from those
>units, Frankie.
>
> YOUR unit had NO avionics personnel in it.

Amazing. With google and the entire internet at your disposal, you
-still- don't know how the Marine Corps operates -- which really isn't
so amazing since you were never in the Corps. The amazing thing is
your determination to avoid the obvious conclusion that you are wrong.
So I guess I'll have to dumb -this- down as well.....

When the 24th MAU was formed (when I deployed with it) the Third
Batallion of the 8th Marine Regiment was temporarily detached from the
regiment (with me so far?) and attached to the 24th MAU as 'BLT 3/8',
a designation which distinguishes it as a deployed unit instead of a
unit standing in garrison. There were other units that were -also-
temporarily attached to the 24th MAU at the same time, including units
from the 2nd MAW. It's the assembly of those -diverse- units that
comprised the MAU.

BTW, here's the ship on which I was depoloyed (the largest of the
three ships in the group that carried the 24th MAU; the other two were
the Ponce and the Saginaw):

http://www.nassau.navy.mil

Make sure to click on "History" and look at the bottom pic. See all
the aircraft? If you can't, here's a better pic:

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/nassau01.jpg

See the CH-46 in the air? The CH-53's on the flight deck with the Harriers? If you look close you can see the Phalynx (nicknamed "R2D2" for obvious reasons) on the island above and centered between the two large radar domes (domes are near the base of the island). The R2D2 is an automatically controlled, six-barrel, 20mm gattling gun that rips out 3600 rounds/minute and has it's own radar system. Sounds real cool from the chow hall. Anyway, back to the subject.......

Do you see the space between the flight deck and the raised door to the well deck? That's the hangar deck, which runs almost the entire length of the ship. I walked through there just about every day since our tech shop was a cubby-hole located on the port side of the hangar deck and two decks down (one deck below the SNCO food locker, and right next to a 12" ballast pipe which makes a heck of a racket). That's also where the Comm Platoon stored the VINSON gear, so the shop was manned 24/7 by the techs (all seven of us including your's truly), as we were the only Marines on the ship with the security clearance to do so.

And just for shits and grins, here's a pic of the ship anchored in a fjord when we were in Norway in early '83:

http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/nassau12.jpg

See the CH-46's and CH-53's? Okay. So don't tell -me- that my unit didn't have any avionics personnel. The 24th MAU most certainly -DID- have avionics techs, and that was my unit while I was on float (not on "cruise"). We had quite a bit of contact with those techs due to the close proximity of our tech shop with the hangar deck -- we learned quite a bit about avionics, including those helmet-targeted 20mm guns on the Cobras. And they learned quite a bit about our fields (radio, teletype, wire-line systems, etc).

Now..... got any more ignorant remarks on the subject?

>> For example, when I was with 3/8 we were deployed as BLT (Batallion
>> Landing Team) 3/8, the infantry unit that was attached to the 24th MAU
>> for that float. The 24th MAU also consisted of components from tanks,
>> amtracks, artillery, etc, etc. The BLT that was attached to the 24th
>> MAU just prior to my deployment was BLT 1/8, on an expedition that
>> should strike a chord if you know your Marine Corps history.
>
> How many Avionics Technicians were in YOUR GROUND UNIT, Frankie?

Focus, Dud -- there aren't any doctors that live in my neighborhood but that doesn't mean I don't know any or know anything about them.

> Better yet, how many AVIATION UNITS were YOU attached to?

Just as many as you, Dud.

>> >> You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col
>> >> Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for

>> >> him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON
>> >> system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's,
>> >> including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system,
>> >> which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the
>> >> early '80's).
>> >
>> > JULIETT in helos. OV-10's, too.
>> >
>> > As of early 1992 the KY-58's had not been installed in the -53
>> >series helos.
>> >
>> > Nice try, though.
>>
>> The KY-58's were installed in aircraft in the early '80...(SNIP)
>
> (UNSNIP....They were there, Dud. You weren't.
>
> Wrong...Again...

http://www.jproc.ca/crypto/ky57.html
http://hereford.ampr.org/millist/m25.html
http://www.pacificsites.com/~brooke/KY38.shtml
http://www.jproc.ca/crypto/ky28.html

>> >> You didn't know the difference between a 'hitch', a 'cruise', and a
>> >> 'float'.
>> >
>> > You're the one with knowledge deficit, Frankie.
>> >
>> > I even posted URL's for you to follow, but it seems you ignored
>> >them.
>>
>> I didn't see any URL's. But if you want a definitive reference, why
>> don't you just take a look in the Marine Corps Manual (i.e, the green
>> one, although I'm sure the red one has the same info).
>
> And an overseas deployment is STILL refered to as a cruise and an
>enlsitment is STILL refered to as a "hitch", no matter what you try to
>amke from it, Frankie.

Oops, I forgot -- you never got a Marine Corps Manual in boot camp
because you were never in the Marines. Here you go, Dud:

http://www.1stbn1stmarines.org/hs/fs15dictionary.htm

>> >> You mistakenly assumed the origination of the phrase "One Shot One
>> >> Kill" was from the Army Sniper School; wrongfully presumed that it is
>> >> a tactic taught to all Marine recruits; then proceeded to demonstrate
>> >> your ignorance about basic combat tactics, the use of shotguns, and
>> >> the very common 40mm grenade launchers (M-79 & M-203).
>> >
>> > No mistake.

>> >
>> > One shot, one kill.
>>
>> Wasn't Billy Zane in that movie?
>
> Don't you wish YOU were?
>
> He was closer to being a Marine than you were, Frankie.
>
> At least by the end of the movie, his character had learned
>something about honor.
>
> You had four years and never did.

You remind me of that Bugs Bunny cartoon where he helps load wood on
the fire burning beneath the pot where he's about to get turned into
rabbit stew.

>> >> You claimed that "the only targets used to train Marine riflemen (and
>> >> all recruits) [are] head shots and 'center mast', or chest shots", but
>> >> didn't know that the standard round marksmanship-type targets are also
>> >> used, and much more often than "dog" targets. In fact, most of rifle
>> >> qualification is done with the round targets. But you didn't know that
>> >> despite your claim to have "[taken] home an 'Rifle Expert' on each and
>> >> every trip to the range".
>> >
>> > And you continue to try an misrepresent what I said as somehthing
>> >else.
>> >
>> > What I said was 100% accurate.
>>
>> You said, "the ONLY targets used" (emphasis added). Your statement was
>> wrong.
>
> No, it was not.
>
>> I can post pics of the rifle range from my boot-camp 'yearbook'
>> if you need further proof.
>
> Ahhhhhh yes...Just slightly less tacky than the average junior
>highschool "yearbook", pack full of "stock" boot camp pictures,
>interspersed with a few "activities" shots of out platoon, and rounded
>out with our "Dress Blues" shots...The ones where they have several
>white tops on a table along with the "coat" that was only the top half
>and had a snap in the back...
>
> Of course when I went through, most of the "stock" pics were from
>the 60's...

Doesn't matter since my scanner needs a new bulb. But I did find this
(scroll down to part 29):

http://www.grunt.com/forum/topic.asp?ARCHIVE=true&TOPIC_ID=29346

Wasn't much different in 1981 -- by then we had M16A1 rifles and rapid
fire was done from both 200 and 300 yards. That's about it.

>> And your claim is even more wrong for the
>> simple fact that human bodies are not the only targets in warfare.
>> Recruits are taught marksmanship, not just "head shots", and not "one
>> shot one kill".
>
> Argue the semantics all you care to, Frankie.
>
> You're still a liar and you're still a coward.

You're probably right, Dud..... I'm sure those grapes -are- sour!

>> >> You didn't know that only the results of an Article 15 proceeding are
>> >> recorded, not the entire proceedings; and you don't even know what
>> >> page in the SRB they are recorded.
>> >
>> > And a record IS kept.
>>
>> Contrary to your original claim, no transcripts are made during an
>> Article 15 hearing. Only the results are recorded. And every Marine,
>> NJP or not, knows on which page of the SRB those results are recorded.
>> It's common knowledge in the Corps because it's discussed frequently.
>> The only way you wouldn't know this bit of info is if you were never
>> in the Corps. Maybe Hans can help you out here.....
>
> Maybe.
>
> But I sat in on many Office Hours. Records were kept, along with
>charges, statements, any mitigating evidence, and the disposition.
>
> Maybe they were a bit slacker in the ground side...

If that was true then you would be familiar with the punishments that
are authorized from an Article 15 hearing, and firewatch -isn't- one
of them. Yet that's exactly what you previously claimed. You missed
the target again, Dud.

>> >> You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any
>> >> off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually
>> >> made by the CO, not HQMC.
>> >
>> > Again, you're blatantly lying, Frankie.
>>
>> Wrong.
>
> Not wrong.
>
> Marines MAY be prohibited from taking certain off-duty employment,

True. Where did I say any different?

>and ARE prohibited from participating in criminal activities or
>partisan politics wherein their role as a Marine may be inferred as
>establishing a political preference.

......uh, where did -that- come from?

>> You -begged- me to cite an MCO that prohibits such activities,
>> yet after I educated you on the issue you claimed that your CO granted
>> you permission to work as an EMT. You have been caught making up your
>> 'career' as you go, Steve.
>
> Nope.
>
> And you've STILL not quoted a Marine Corps order that prohibited
>my working as an EMT.
>
> Not one.

Still begging and still missing the point. Idiot.

>> >> You didn't know that outside communications can be prohibited during a
>> >> base lock-down or unit activation.
>> >
>> > Even more mistruths.
>> >
>>
>> Wrong again, Steve.
>
>> When confronted with the scenario about not being
>> able to make your shift as an EMT due to base lockdown or unit
>> activation, you made the ignorant excuse that you could simply give
>> them a phone call, which often times is -not- possible because of
>> restricted outside communications during such situations. Again, you
>> were caught improvising your imaginary 'career'.
>
> I was in the Marine Corps a heck of a lot longer than you,
>Frankie.
>
> In those 18 years, not once was I prohibited from making "that
>call".

Yet I was in for only four years and it happened to me on more than
one occasion. Three, in fact. And all in the 2-year span while I was
at Lejeune. Gee, what are the odds, Dud?

> Again, pretty slim picking on YOUR part, and STILL proactive
>deceit from you...

......<yawn>..... So where were you stationed when you claim to have
been active duty and living in Tennessee?

>> >> You defined a 'chit' as "A Naval term for a 'permission
>> >> slip'", when in fact it means -any- piece of paper with something
>> >> written on it.
>> >
>> > Again...Incorrect.
>>
>> Marine Corps Manual. Need the page number?
>
> A "chit" is not ANY peice of paper, Frankie.

http://www.goatlocker.org/trivia.htm

>> >> You didn't know that a dishonorable discharge can only be given as a
>> >> result of a conviction in a General court-martial, and is usually
>> >> given after a few years at Leavenworth and a reduction to Private.
>> >
>> > Again deceitful.
>>
>> Wrong. I openly admitted that my initial discharge was a 'General
>> under Honorable Conditions', yet you proclaimed in several posts that
>> I received a "dishonorable" discharge.
>
> It WAS dishonorable.
>
> You lied. You cheated. You misbehaved.
>
> And you got busted for it.
>
> Twice.
>
> That IS dishonorable.
>
> I served over four times longer than you and didn't get a single
>Office Hours.
>
> THAT is Honorable.

So you are claiming that you used the word 'dishonorable' only to
express your own personal opinion? That's about the lamest excuse I
have heard from you yet, Dud!!!!! If you -really- want to impersonate
a Marine at least you could bone up on the UCMJ before you start
running off your mouth!!!

>> That was a demonstration of
>> gross ignorance on your part, since the types of discharges are
>> pounded into your head during boot camp. And they are never forgotten
>> because Marines read about others getting such discharges all the
>> time.....(SNIP)
>
> Obvioulsy it did little to keep you out of trouble.
>
> Or perhaps they didn't "pound" hard enough on you?

>
>> (UNSNIP)....or are you now going to claim that you never read the same
>> periodical that published those alleged stories about active duty
>> Marines working as EMT's?
>
> "Marines". "Leatherneck" before it.
>
>> Anyway, what you didn't know (because you were never a Marine)
>
> And once again another intentional lie by Frankie.
>
>> was that a "dishonorable" discharge is a specific
>> type of discharge -- the worst, actually. It's -not- a term that is
>> generally applied to any discharge that is less than honorable. You
>> ignorantly referred to my discharge as "dishonorable", proving that
>> you didn't know the types of discharges (which was apparent from the
>> beginning since you didn't know the difference between a 'medical' and
>> an 'honorable', neither of which you received).
>
> Suck it up, punk.

Have you been drinking, Dud? Are you even reading any of this?

>> >> You didn't know that the Corps doesn't use cutting scores for
>> >> promotions.
>> >
>> > SURE they do! W H Y do you keep lying about this, Frankie?
>>
>> Marine Corps Manual. Marines -pride- themselves on having a promotion
>> system that's based on merit, not on cutting scores as used by the
>> other military services.
>
> Uh huh...
>
> And that MERIT is a composite of proficiency, performance and
>conduct.
>
> Commonly called "cutting scores".

Wrong. They are commonly called "pro" (proficiency) and "con"
(conduct) marks. And they are not definitive tests for promotion, just
things to be considered. I only knew one Marine who ever called them
"cutting scores", but that was probably because he did 3 years in the
Army before joining the Marines.

>> >> You misquoted your SIX alleged promotion certificates (a misquote that
>> >> I later found on google verbatim).
>> >
>> > I missed one word, Frankie...
>> >
>> > So two points here...

>> >
>> > First of all, by your own words YOU had to go find it on Google
>> >yourself.
>>
>> No, I just had to look at one of my five promotion certificates.
>
> Five?
>
> Was that one each up to Staff Sergeant?
>
> Or a couple to the same rank over, which is more like it.

Yep. Made LCpl twice, left as a PFC, and one month shy of being
eligible for LCpl for a third time, which I probably would have made
if I had extended for that West-Pac float (not "cruise") when they
asked. And it would have upped my conduct mark by at least the tenth
of a point needed to get my Honorable without the hassle. 20/20
hindsight.....

>> I searched google to see if anyone had misquoted it in the same way you
>> did. Someone did, which leads me to believe that your misquote was the
>> result of a google search (or from some source other than your memory
>> or your alleged promotion certificates).
>>
>> > And since the last time I stood infront of someone to hear
>> >those words recited to me was 1988, I think one word is pretty
>> >acceptable.
>>
>> I was discharged three years earlier and promoted fewer times, yet I
>> knew your quote was incorrect. Odd, wouldn't you say? And what did you
>> do with all your paperwork from the Corps? Did it mean so little to
>> you that you threw it all away?
>
> Nope. Right here. My bust for not "reviewing" it first.

Right where? And why "it" and not "them"? You have six of "them",
right?

>> > Secondly, when was YOUR last promotion, and how many of them DID
>> >you manage to get?
>>
>> My fifth and last promotion was 1 October 85, and authorized by
>> Lt.Col. C. C. Riner III, CO of 2nd AAV. I can scan the promotion
>> certificate if you like. And I was promoted five times in my four
>> short years. So while I may refer to myself as a 'shitbird', I must
>> have been doing -something- right!!!
>
> No...You weren't.

Well Dud, you're a little too late to have your personal opinion
recorded in my SRB. And BTW, it would have been recorded on page 11,
which is right next to page 12 where crimes and punishments are

recorded. But of course you knew that, sitting in on all those Office Hours, right? LOL!!!

> If anyone other than the Commandant of the Marine Corps
>"authorized" a promotion, then it was to a rank less than Staff
>Sergeant.
>
> That means you had to ride that ride twice at least once.
>
> I only went up.
>
> Too bad for you.

Even if you -had- been in the Marines I couldn't care less -how- often you were promoted. But if you had made Sergeant in 5 years but only promoted twice in the following 13 years then -you- must have been doing something -wrong-..... or else doing nothing at all!!!

> If you HAD "...been doing -something- right!!!..." You would have
>had all one way promotions...not ups-and-downs!

That's so illogical it's scary. "Doing something right" is not the same as "never doing something wrong", which I never claimed. On the contrary, I have openly admitted making mistakes. Just because a person does something wrong doesn't mean that -everything- he does is wrong. If that were the case I never would have been promoted after my first court-martial. But I was, so you are wrong.

>> >> You didn't understand that "years and months in specialty" (box 11 on
>> >> the DD-214) is not the same as time in service, yet claimed that you
>> >> have -several- DD-214's.
>>
>> You skipped this one, Steve.
>
> Nope.

You skipped it again. Are you waiting for me to quote your ignorance? Ok, here you go:

==========
On 27 Aug 2005 07:19:01 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in <1125152341.836490.109410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> He was in an expensive technical MOS (Ground Radar Repair).
>You've got to go out of your way to get the USMC to send you home as a
>PFC with 3 1/2 years of service..."####bird" was an understatement on
>Frankie's part.
>
> The rank of PFC and the less-than-four year tour lends one to
>believe that the reason he didn't publish the other 3/4ths of his -214
>was that somewhere on that form it said "LESS THAN HONORABLE", or one
>of those categories of discharge.

==========

".....a PFC with 3 1/2 years of service...", taken directly from my
DD-214 box 11. But that's not where your ignorance ended, Dud. Notice
where you suggested that "somewhere on that form it said 'LESS THAN
HONORABLE'", totally unaware that the newer (post '79) DD-214 doesn't
state character of service, even though you claim to have six of them
and been discharged in 1992.

You don't have a single DD-214 to your credit.

>> >> You claimed that time on the delayed entry program was considered to
>> >> be time in reserve status but never was.
>> >
>> > Sure it was.
>>
>> I was on the delayed entry program myself. Signed the contract on
>> Friday, Feb. 13, 1981 (yeah, pretty stupid).
>
> Obvioulsy your SECOND error.

"Obviously"

> The first was electing to enlist when you hadn't made up your mind
>to BE a Marine.

Really? Gee, and here I thought I had made up my mind when I was in my
early teens..... but I guess there's no point in refuting Major Dud,
Master Psychologist, Premier Soothsayer, Amateur radio operator and
Hollywood-educated Marine imposter.

>> My total obligation was
>> six years; four active and two inactive reserve. So if my time on
>> delayed entry counted as reserve time then my final discharge would
>> have been Feb. 13, 1987 (plus bad time). It wasn't. It was six years
>> after my -actual- entry date (plus bad time, of course). Again, you
>> are wrong.
>
> Well, Frnakie, all I can say is that somewhere between 27 April
>1974 and 13 February 1981 something changed.

Actually the change was in 1985. Regarless.....

> I got credit for the delayed entry time.
>
> Sorry you didn't.

Time served in the delayed entry program counts as inactive reserve
only for retirement benefits, and then only if you signed up before
1985. I didn't retire. You only served 17 years 9 months, so you
didn't retire either. You didn't get credit for time on delayed entry.

>> >> You stated that "My DD-214 says 'Honorable'...", yet after 1979 there
>> >> is no box on the form to designate character of service. The DD-214 is

>> >> only a "release or discharge from active duty", not a final discharge
>> >> certificate. Only that latter will denote the character of service.
>> >
>> > Sorry that yours doesn't say "Honorable", Frankie.
>> >
>> > Mine does.
>>
>> You didn't read that very carefully, Steve. The DD-214 after 1979
>> DOESN'T STATE CHARACTER OF SERVICE. And if you really have "several"
>> DD-214's as you claimed, you would have replied with "mine do", not
>> "mine does". Yet another discrepancy, Steve.
>
> Mine says Honorable.

Then you were discharged prior to 1980.

> Sorry yours doesn't, Frankie.

In what box does your alleged DD-214 say "honorable"?

>> >> You claimed to have served from 03 September, 1974 to 29 May, 1992.
>> >> That's only 17 years and 9 months. Yet you also claim to be retired,
>> >> which can only happen if you served 20 years.
>> >
>> > Nope.
>>
>> Yep. Maybe Hans can provide you with the MCO to refresh..... er,
>> educate you on the subject.
>
> It seems you're the one with detail deficits, Frankie!

Then how did you retire with less than 20 years?

>> >> You also claimed to have
>> >> been discharged for medical reasons, having your discharge later
>> >> upgraded (a claim which you have both denied and acknowledged).
>> >
>> > You still don't have all the facts, Frankie...but that's pretty
>> >clear to just about everyone except Markie by now.
>>
>> I was repeating -your- claims, Steve. If your claims are not factual
>> then you lied... but that's pretty clear to just about everyone by
>> now, no exceptions.
>
> The only thing "clear" here, Frankie, is your cowardly attempts to
>salve your wonded ego here at my expense.

"wounded"

>> >> You didn't know that medical discharges cannot be upgraded, nor that time
>> >> not served cannot be arbitrarily added to the end of an enlistment in
>> >> order to obtain retirement benefits.
>> >

>> > Still...Not all of the facts.
>>
>> If you call the fire department to put out a fire, but only -you- know
>> that the fire doesn't exist, you can't blame them for responding.
>> You've had seven years to provide the facts. All you have provided are
>> unsubstantiated and contradictory claims. Do you need -even more- time
>> to fabricate plausible details of your military 'career'?
>
> Fabricate WHAT, Frankie?

Oh, gee, I don't know..... maybe a credible reason why you managed to
get your medical discharge upgraded and retire with less than 20 years
of service?

> So far, all you've done is make stuff up.

So far, all -you've- done is make stuff up. I'm just exposing those
fabrications.

>> >> And with all your careers, activities and obligations, where do you
>> >> find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?
>> >
>> > Like I said, Frankie...It's a shame you didn't learn how to
>> >prioritize obligations-vs-preferences and learn some time management
>> >skills...
>>
>> Funny tho, your posting frequency dropped after I first made that
>> comment. Coincidence? I think not.
>
> Nope.
>
> Flu season. Five and six 12 hours shifts a week.

Uh-huh.

> And yesterday, a funeral for a friend.

Ok, whatever you say, Dud. At least I could find better things to do
on Thanksgiving.

> Sorry to burst your bubble, but regardless whether I reply to
>every post you make, or only once a week, YOU are STILL a liar, a
>coward, and disgraced ex-Marine.
>
>> > You might have BEEN the Marine you fancy yourself as being now....
>> >
>> >Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ
>>
>> Try again.
>
> No need to, Frankie.
>
> You're already "outted" for the liar you are, Frankie.

>
> You just didn't know when to shut your mouth when you got out,
>Frankie! It got you busted while you were in the Corps, it's proven
>you to be the liar and coward I call you now.
>
> Sucks to be you.
>
>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

Your personal opinions don't carry any weight, Dud. Wanna disgrace me?
Put me in my place? Then post your DD-214. Otherwise, keep blowing
bubbles.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2488. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 14:16:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132928207.700015.113840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125053

```
Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132839371.910857.67480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1132812756.202646.234150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> >
> >> >> Address the issues, Steve
> >> >
> >> > Already done.
> >> >
> >> >> You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly
> >> >> deployed with the FMF.
> >> >
> >> > Still lying and still not explaining from where you got that,
> >> >Frankie.
> >>
> >> From this specific conversation (that you abruptly dropped):
> >>
> >> ====================================
> >>
> >> On 21 Aug 2005 20:33:22 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1124681602.313149.172620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >> > because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
> >> >> >who are in the Air Wing.
> >> >>
> >> >> Wrong again. I didn't say they were in the "Air Wing", although I did
> >> >> know a few Marines that were stationed at Cherry Point (just a stone's
> >> >> throw from Geiger -- we used to hang out at the Second Front area).
> >> >
> >> > You said they were in Avionics.
> >> >
> >> > So...How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have, Frank?
> >>
> >> ====================================
> >>
> >> To which I replied:
> >>
> >> ====================================
```

> >>
> >> >Quite a few. Back when I was active, the three batallions of the 8th
> >> >regiment were rotated into the 22nd and 24th MAUs. I was deployed with
> >> >the 24th MAU on The USS Nassau. This ship is an LHA -- basically it's
> >> >a small aircraft carrier with a massive well deck. It can accomodate a
> >> >lot of different aircraft including the Cobra, Huey, CH-46, CH-53,
> >> >OV-10 and Harrier. Being a Marine in the avionics field you should
> >> >have already known this. In fact, if you -were- USMC for 18 years it's
> >> >more than likey that you would had served aboard an LHA or a similar
> >> >amphibious assault ship. Either way you would have known of both their
> >> >existence and their capabilities.
> >>
> >> ====================================
> >>
> >> You never would have asked that question if you had been in the Corps
> >> because every Marine knows that FMF units are assembled from parts of
> >> units that cover almost the entire spectrum of the Corps capabilities.
> >
> > SNIP
> >
> > No...
> >
> > A Regimental Combat Team, BLT or MEU is "assembled" from those
> >units, Frankie.
> >
> > YOUR unit had NO avionics personnel in it.
>
>
> Amazing. With google and the entire internet at your disposal, you
> -still- don't know how the Marine Corps operates -- which really isn't
> so amazing since you were never in the Corps.

Well, Frankie, I didn't need to go any farther than this to get
yet another lie out of you, so I know where the rest of the post is
going from there. Just like Lennie, you throw in enough to sound
convincing, yet the rest is fodder. A lot of cut-and-paste to fill in
holes from your own lack of knowledge.

> The amazing thing is
> your determination to avoid the obvious conclusion that you are wrong.
> So I guess I'll have to dumb -this- down as well.....

What's "dumbed down", Frankie, is your credibility and honesty,
supplemented by your repeated re-pasting of the same stuff over and
over.

I am sure the only people impressed are your other cohorts in the
Feeble Five, and they've all been proven, at one time or another, to be
chronic liars.

So...you're in familiar company.

Here's some simple facts.

(1) I was in the United States Marine Corps.

(2) I, unlike you, served honorably. I was promoted ONCE to each rank Private First Class through Gunnery Sergeant.

(3) I, unlike you, served without so much as an Office Hours for a bad haircut or an hour late to duty. I did so while still managing to pursue an off-duty education and favorite pursuits.

(4) You will never be able to say "I was a good Marine". I can. No, they'll never praise my name at Eighth and I, nor will my name adorn an obstacle at either of the MCRD's. but I did my job faithfully.

You didn't, and no amount of ego-salving in this forum will ever remove the scorn and humiliation you brought on yourself by your cowardice in uniform.

Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2489. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 14:18:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132928333.613946.295160@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125054
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> RRAP is a violation of the amateur's code. The quicker we get over to
> Mikes BBS the better.

Deceit and dishonesty are violations of The Amateur's Code.

If you'd stop both, you wouldn't have a problem...

Steve, K4YZ

# 2490. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 14:28:16 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132928896.438184.153330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125055

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > cuting back to a len remark some time ago
> > > > quote
> > > > We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> > > > ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
> > > > unquote
> > > >
> > > > I can attest that several folks have in fact worked or should I say
> > > > have been worked over by K4YZ they to a man they proclaim him a "papa
> > > > oscar serria"
> > >
> > No you can't.
> >
> wrong again i have therefore i can

No, you can't and no you havne't.

Not a single callsign.

A lie. Well, "several lies" since you said "several folks" made
these allegations.

> > Not a one.
> >
> > Provide some callsigns, Markie, otherwise you're just manufactuing
> > yet ANOTHER of your great many bits of deceit.
> >
> no way and subject them to YOU for further abuse

The ABUSE comes from you suggesting these people made some
"comment".

What we have here is a point blank lie, Colonel. Not that we're
not used to it from you or anything!

> no way

You mean "no way that I can make up calls that you'd not be able
to actually follow-up on and find out I was lying...AGAIN!

> I am much more polite than that

Oh? It's "polite" to put lies in other people's mouths, Markie?

> > > > but at least for my part I settle for him to stop with aids and
> > > > pedophilia crap I don't mind the "markie" bit so much. it is amoug the
> > > > least of Stevie many sins
> > >
> > > As one of the few Christians on RRAP that Mike, Dave, Jim and Hans
> > > absolutely won't make fun of, Steve will probably burn for his sins.
> >
> > Hey Brian...Why are you manufacturing yet another bit of deceit?
>
> what deceit?

I'd point it out to you, but you'd forget in 2 minutes and I'd
have to do it all over again.

> > Hans has roasted me rather thoroughly on occassions...Sometimes
> > rightfully so, others not to...
> >
> > Jim doesn't mid at all going toe-to-toe with me when he feels he's
> > got a point to make. Yet you never see him call me a name, not I him.
> > Hmmmmmmmm...Guess that pretty well throws some of the "can't
> > participate in civil debate" rants out the window....
> >
> > Not that they weren't out the window YEARS ago.....
> >
> > > I wonder how Mike's BBS is coming along?
> > >
> > > Is there anyone out there who can give him a hand??? Speed is of the
> > > >>>utmost<<< importance.
> > >
> > > "rape an old friend" says Tennessee Nurse, Steven J Robeson, LPN
> >
> > Nope. That's NOT what I said. If you're going to quote me, quote
> > me accurately, Brian.
>
> it is acurate more accurate than yourself

No, it's NOT "acurate", Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2491. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 14:44:40 UTC
From: Tiernan <s_emerson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <srFhf.24091$dO2.23966@newssvr29.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 125056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

KLW wrote:
> He is the Doctor of Democracy, America's Truth Detector,
> RUSH LIMBAUGH !!!!!!!!
>
> Excellence in Broadcasting.
>
> He IS equal time.
>
He is playing out the final years of his contract, getting help from the
aclu (AND) probably a little bored.

# 2492. Re: Last Chance For Brian to Get One The "Stop The Flames" Express

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 15:23:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132932217.157152.30920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125057

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > He made an agreement to be civil and couldn't last even 24 hours.
> >
> > As it pertains to you, Brian, you've not proven otherwise.
> >
> > But then one-way agreements seem to be the only one's you "agree"
> > to, and only then if it serves you.
> >
> > Perhaps we'd be more accurate calling you "Diode".
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Perhaps today you can extend your agreement to be civil to all on this
> group. No small type/font or disclaimers.

Perhaps you could too? No small type or disclaimers? No
conditions? No preconceptions? For that's all you've offered, Brian.

> Best of Luck and Happy Thanksgiving.

Luck for what? Having undermined several of your rants in the
last few days alone?

I didn't need luck for that...Just waited for you to do it for me.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2493. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 15:26:16 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132932376.655972.68110@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125058

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
> > > >
> > > > http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > Given the millions and millions and millions spent on communications
> > > infrastructure...
> > >
> > cut
> >
> > > " " "Meanwhile, ham radio operators proved that older technology can be
> > > the most reliable technology. Our EDA Editor (and ham) David Maliniak
> > > wrote an online column on the subject, pointing out that sometimes "old
> > > works when new doesn't." (Read it and add your comments at ED Online
> > > 11136.) During and after Katrina, hams running on generators (sometimes
> > > with makeshift antennas) worked throughout the hurricane zone to put
> > > emergency stations on the air. They guided rescuers to stranded victims
> > > and updated weather services via the Hurricane Watch Net." " "
> > >
> > > Wait a minute. The Guard says their equipment is antiquated and needs
> > > replaced, but the ARS service says that older technology may be what is
> > > needed.
> > >
> > > Obviously, they can -both- be right. When wide area vhf+ comm systems
> > > go down, they have to switch to simplex where they can, and HF/NVIS
> > > networks for the rest of the area.
> >
> > in point of fact they both can be right
> >
> > the virtue of Ham radio is we can forge links as we need to the
> > downside is by and large we have to every time, the abilty to use use
> > the resource is of value when "something that got overlooked shows up"
> >
> > problems with that senario are the ARS lack much abilty to forge stable
> > conections and must invent them continously this is hard for your govt
> > and other first responders to use so they gtry to go higher and higher
> > tech trying to not to need us
>
> The problem, Markie, is that "higher and higher tech" is EXACTLY

> why they have problems that DO need us!

"Mark"

Why can't they have both "higher and higher tech" and low tech?

> The current circumstances in southern Louisiana are clear proof.
> Once again the cellphone net, trunked and interlinked Public Service
> communications systems CRASHED under the weight of Katrina.

But the average American in ordinary emergencies get's along pretty
well on cell phones.

The average emergency responder gets along pretty well on "higher and
higher tech."

> > ham ego's also get in the way as witness the reaction saying that the
> > response of ham radio was less than it could have been while much
> > better then the Govt own response
>
> Oh yeah...Our ego's got in the way...yeah. Right. Uh huh. Sure.
> OK.

Did you go to LA as an emergency responder or a ham?

> > Yes I am dispointed in the response I saw and heard to Katrina and Rita
> > I am apaled by the response of LA and NO not thrilled with FEMA either
> > but as can be send they are dependant on the locals for infrastructure
>
> There is no way that any one state can truly "gear up" for the kind
> of disaster response that Katrina and Rita mandated...Not even
> California with it's sixth or eighth place GNP could withstand this
> kind of impact without calling in federal assistance.

Or volunteer assistance.

> Amateur Radio's BIGGEST contribution in this scenario is it's
> decentralized locating of assets. With thousands of licessees and
> stations spead out over the entire nation,

Why can't the State decentralize some of it's assets? There has to be
National Guard, County Airports, State Colleges, etc that can be used
to decentralize. The State gov't already owns all that real estate.

And another thing, what about mobility? Why did I see all of those
submerged yellow school busses in air photos? That's rolling stock.
There's no excuse for not driving those busses out. And there's no
excuse for not using those busses to evacuate people.

there's no way that anything
> short of complete nuclear annihalation, pandemic disease or
> apoctolyptic act of God could obliterate it.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Thanks.

## 2494. Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 16:12:58 UTC
From: Doug W <wein38@nospam.alvillage.net>
Message-ID: <3dcd44ski1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>
Source record: 125059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

http://www.masturbateforpeace.com/

# 2495. Re: Experiance interval for Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 16:32:44 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <M0Hhf.5405$wf.3558@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125060

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1132879785.346830.50390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1132853844.785587.84750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> I really have no problem with an experience criteria
>> >> (e.g.a time interval between General and Extra).
>> >
>> > Nor I, but it would make more work for FCC. Right now
>> > anyone can go from any license class or no license at all
>> > to Extra in one exam session. An experience requirement
>> > would mean that many hams would need at least two exam
>> > sessions and two FCC paperwork cycles to get to Extra.
>> > More admin work = not something FCC would like.
>>
>> Any idea what percent of people actually pass both
>> the General and the Extra in one session?
>
> Probably a considerable number. The number of Generals is pretty stable
> while the number of Extras just keeps growing.
>
> Note too that for one VE fee you get one chance at every element you
> haven't already passed. If someone goes to a VE session for General,
> there's no harm or cost (except time) if they try the Extra while
> they're at it. I've known more than a few hams who went to a VE session
> intending on the General and who came home with an Extra.
>
> Not a new thing, either. Way back in 1968, when I went to the FCC
> office at 2nd & Chestnut to take the General, the examiner suggested
> that I try the Advanced while I was there. No additional cost and since
> I had the General in the bag, it would actually save him some work in
> the future. A 14-year-old with any sense at all did not say "no" to The
> Man, so I tried the Advanced written, and passed.
>>
>> I suspect the number is relatively small.
>>
> Check the AH0A site under "new licenses".
> While most hams start out as Techs,
> every month a small but not negligible
> number go straight to General or Extra.

That's my question, how small is that number?
Also, the AH0A site doesn't truly indicate if

someone went immediately from Tech to Extra
at the same VE session so the ability to determine
how many did so via AH0A stats isn't accurate.

> Regardless of the number,
> I doubt FCC would bring back the experience
> requirement after 30 years without one.
> Particularly since they'd have to enforce it.

What's to enforce? All it comes down to is license issuing.

Seems all the FCC need do is not allow the upgrade unless
the applicant has 'N' years of elapsed time since getting their
General. The FCC database system could automatically
withhold issuing the Extra unless the time interval is elapsed.
It could even be automatic so the person might pass their
Extra at some point and the FCC system having been notified
of the person passing Extra would then be updated and at
the elapsed time interval, the FCC could then automatically
issue the Extra upgrade. Just some basic software application
reprograming as I see it.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 2496. Re: Experiance interval for Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 16:42:39 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <Woger@secwetboydevry.net>
Message-ID: <dm7eq4$vvf$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125061

```
"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:M0Hhf.5405$wf.3558@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net...
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132879785.346830.50390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>> news:1132853844.785587.84750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> >> I really have no problem with an experience criteria
>>> >> (e.g.a time interval between General and Extra).
>>> >
>>> > Nor I, but it would make more work for FCC. Right now
>>> > anyone can go from any license class or no license at all
>>> > to Extra in one exam session. An experience requirement
>>> > would mean that many hams would need at least two exam
>>> > sessions and two FCC paperwork cycles to get to Extra.
>>> > More admin work = not something FCC would like.
>>>
>>> Any idea what percent of people actually pass both
>>> the General and the Extra in one session?
>>
>> Probably a considerable number. The number of Generals is pretty stable
>> while the number of Extras just keeps growing.
>>
>> Note too that for one VE fee you get one chance at every element you
>> haven't already passed. If someone goes to a VE session for General,
>> there's no harm or cost (except time) if they try the Extra while
>> they're at it. I've known more than a few hams who went to a VE session
>> intending on the General and who came home with an Extra.
>>
>> Not a new thing, either. Way back in 1968, when I went to the FCC
>> office at 2nd & Chestnut to take the General, the examiner suggested
>> that I try the Advanced while I was there. No additional cost and since
>> I had the General in the bag, it would actually save him some work in
>> the future. A 14-year-old with any sense at all did not say "no" to The
>> Man, so I tried the Advanced written, and passed.
>>>
>>> I suspect the number is relatively small.
>>>
>> Check the AH0A site under "new licenses".
>> While most hams start out as Techs,
>> every month a small but not negligible
>> number go straight to General or Extra.
```

>
> That's my question, how small is that number?
> Also, the AH0A site doesn't truly indicate if
> someone went immediately from Tech to Extra
> at the same VE session so the ability to determine
> how many did so via AH0A stats isn't accurate.
>
>> Regardless of the number,
>> I doubt FCC would bring back the experience
>> requirement after 30 years without one.
>> Particularly since they'd have to enforce it.
>
> What's to enforce? All it comes down to is license issuing.
>
> Seems all the FCC need do is not allow the upgrade unless
> the applicant has 'N' years of elapsed time since getting their
> General. The FCC database system could automatically
> withhold issuing the Extra unless the time interval is elapsed.
> It could even be automatic so the person might pass their
> Extra at some point and the FCC system having been notified
> of the person passing Extra would then be updated and at
> the elapsed time interval, the FCC could then automatically
> issue the Extra upgrade. Just some basic software application
> reprograming as I see it.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>

That would not work, because of the rampant corruption in
the FCC & ARRL.

## 2497. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 16:49:17 UTC
From: Secwet Woger <Woger@secwetboydevry.net>
Message-ID: <dm7f6k$1se$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125062
Cross-posted to: ba.broadcast,rec.radio.amateur.policy

(group deleted, without permission, now restored)

"Tiernan" <s_emerson@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:mXzhf.33804$6e1.17945@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Robert wrote:
>> "chuck kennedy" <cjkennedy@gmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:1132864523.162799.56790@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>>>William L Victor wrote:
>>>
>>>>Why does the Democrat Party hate America?
>>>
>>>Why do idiots like this think that calling the Democratic Party the
>>>"Democrat Party" is such a clever insult?
>>>
>>>Maybe the idiot just repeats what s/he hears on Fox News Channel.
>>>
>>
>> Are we supposed to call the Republican party the Republicanic party?
>>
>> There are Democrats and Republicans
>>
>> Robert
>>
>>
> None of which are the topic of this ng. Its Bay Area (typing slowly
> now)broadcasting.....the profession, the audience, the hosts, the news
> guys, the newswomen, the television stations.
>
> Politics is for other NGs.
>
> Hey whose the best TV Anchor in the Bay Area?
>
> I like Tori Campbell and Pete W

Are you the resident Net Nazi?
and stop deleting groups, without the proper permission.

# 2498. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 17:05:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132938308.756656.57220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125063

Does anyone else but me notice that the harder Frankie tries to
salve his ego, the longer his cut-and-paste forays become...?!?!

Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132839371.910857.67480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

> > A Regimental Combat Team, BLT or MEU is "assembled" from those
> >units, Frankie.
> >
> > YOUR unit had NO avionics personnel in it.
>
> Amazing. With google and the entire internet at your disposal, you
> -still- don't know how the Marine Corps operates -- which really isn't
> so amazing since you were never in the Corps.

Congratulations. You didn't need to go more than ONE senetence
into the post before uttering yet another lie, Frankie.

Do your neighbors know you act this way? Your wife and kids?

> The amazing thing is
> your determination to avoid the obvious conclusion that you are wrong.
> So I guess I'll have to dumb -this- down as well.....

The "dumbing down" part was when you enlisted and thought the
Corps was going to change to fit you...Not the other way around.

> When the 24th MAU was formed...(SNIP TO...)

> (UNSNIP)...See the CH-46's and CH-53's? Okay. So don't tell -me- that my unit
> didn't have any avionics personnel.

YOUR unit didn't. There were truck units in every Marine Aircraft
Wing to which I was assigned, but that didn't make me a truck driver.

> Now..... got any more ignorant remarks on the subject?

Only the one's you continue to utter Frankie.

> >> For example, when I was with 3/8 we were deployed as BLT (Batallion
> >> Landing Team) 3/8, the infantry unit that was attached to the 24th MAU
> >> for that float. The 24th MAU also consisted of components from tanks,
> >> amtracks, artillery, etc, etc. The BLT that was attached to the 24th
> >> MAU just prior to my deployment was BLT 1/8, on an expedition that
> >> should strike a chord if you know your Marine Corps history.
> > >

> > How many Avionics Technicians were in YOUR GROUND UNIT, Frankie?
>
> Focus, Steve -- there aren't any doctors that live in my neighborhood
> but that doesn't mean I don't know any or know anything about them.

Focus, Frankie. Even if you were sleeping with a doctor, you still
wouldn't be one.

> > Better yet, how many AVIATION UNITS were YOU attached to?
>
> Just as many as you, Steve.

Not true, Frankie. Starting off with VMFA-333 (as a TME student)
and ending up with NAMTD-1032 as an Instructor. Three HMH's, one Group
staff, and I & I duty twice in the middle.

More snippage to yet more FrankieDeceit

> > And an overseas deployment is STILL refered to as a cruise and an
> >enlsitment is STILL refered to as a "hitch", no matter what you try to
> >amke from it, Frankie.
>
> Oops, I forgot -- you never got a Marine Corps Manual in boot camp
> because you were never in the Marines. Here you go, Dud:
>
> http://www.1stbn1stmarines.org/hs/fs15dictionary.htm

Very nice.

And Marines still refer to a deployment as a "cruise".

At least those of us who got to go on ships larger than LHA's.

And yes, they also call a "cruise" a "float".

> >> Wasn't Billy Zane in that movie?
> >
> > Don't you wish YOU were?
> >
> > He was closer to being a Marine than you were, Frankie.
> >
> > At least by the end of the movie, his character had learned
> >something about honor.
> >
> > You had four years and never did.
>
> You remind me of that Bugs Bunny cartoon where he helps load wood on
> the fire burning beneath the pot where he's about to get turned into
> rabbit stew.

I am sure a great many things remind you of cartoons, Frankie.

More snippage.

> > But I sat in on many Office Hours. Records were kept, along with
> >charges, statements, any mitigating evidence, and the disposition.
> >
> > Maybe they were a bit slacker in the ground side...
>
>
> If that was true then you would be familiar with the punishments that
> are authorized from an Article 15 hearing, and firewatch -isn't- one
> of them. Yet that's exactly what you previously claimed. You missed
> the target again, Steve

Nope.

I SAID that most "infractions" were dealt with by NCOIC's and
Sergeats Major by way of such "punishments" before they ever came to
"official" punishment.

(Sheeeesh)

> >> >> You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any
> >> >> off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually
> >> >> made by the CO, not HQMC.
> >> >
> >> > Again, you're blatantly lying, Frankie.
> >>
> >> Wrong.
> >
> > Not wrong.
> >
> > Marines MAY be prohibited from taking certain off-duty employment,
>
> True. Where did I say any different?

You've tried to suggest that ALL employment was such.

All you've done is make a fool out of yourself in trying to
suggest that by having a part-time job I was somehow violating a Marine
Corps order that you have yet to quote ot show that I was allegedly in
violation of.

> >and ARE prohibited from participating in criminal activities or
> >partisan politics wherein their role as a Marine may be inferred as
> >establishing a political preference.
>
> ......uh, where did -that- come from?

Uh...from the Department of Defense.

> > And you've STILL not quoted a Marine Corps order that prohibited
> >my working as an EMT.
> >
>
> Still begging and still missing the point. Idiot.

Yes, Frankie...You're an idiot...Now that you've come to grips
with it, you can move forward.

> >> When confronted with the scenario about not being
> >> able to make your shift as an EMT due to base lockdown or unit
> >> activation, you made the ignorant excuse that you could simply give
> >> them a phone call, which often times is -not- possible because of
> >> restricted outside communications during such situations. Again, you
> >> were caught improvising your imaginary 'career'.
> >
> > I was in the Marine Corps a heck of a lot longer than you,
> >Frankie.
> >
> > In those 18 years, not once was I prohibited from making "that
> >call".
>
> Yet I was in for only four years and it happened to me on more than
> one occasion. Three, in fact. And all in the 2-year span while I was
> at Lejeune. Gee, what are the odds, Steve?

In as much as I was never stationed there, I can't answer that
question.

Furthermore, you were never a SNCO. I was.

RHIP.

> > Again, pretty slim picking on YOUR part, and STILL proactive
> >deceit from you...
>
> ......<yawn>..... So where were you stationed when you claim to have
> been active duty and living in Tennessee?

The ONLY time I was "Active" and living in Tennssee was "A" School
in the 70's, and "Group Paced Instructor Course in 1989...All at
Millington, BTW.

If you're alleging anything different, it's only due to ignorance
and inattentiveness on YOUR part.

> > Suck it up, punk.
>
> Have you been drinking, Dud? Are you even reading any of this?

Are you?

> > And that MERIT is a composite of proficiency, performance and
> >conduct.
> >
> > Commonly called "cutting scores".
>
> Wrong. They are commonly called "pro" (proficiency) and "con"
> (conduct) marks. And they are not definitive tests for promotion, just
> things to be considered. I only knew one Marine who ever called them

> "cutting scores", but that was probably because he did 3 years in the
> Army before joining the Marines.

OK, Frankie.

Whatever you say. (snickersnicker guffawguffaw)

> > Was that one each up to Staff Sergeant?
> >
> > Or a couple to the same rank over, which is more like it.
>
> Yep. Made LCpl twice, left as a PFC, and one month shy of being
> eligible for LCpl for a third time, which I probably would have made
> if I had extended for that West-Pac float (not "cruise") when they
> asked. And it would have upped my conduct mark by at least the tenth
> of a point needed to get my Honorable without the hassle. 20/20
> hindsight.....

LANCE CORPORAL...?!?!

THIRD TIME...?!?!?!

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
!

AFTER THE NIGHT I HAD A WORK, I >>>NEEDED<<< A LAUGH LIKE THAT !
! ! ! !

BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> > You just didn't know when to shut your mouth when you got out,
> >Frankie! It got you busted while you were in the Corps, it's proven
> >you to be the liar and coward I call you now.
> >
> > Sucks to be you.
> >
> >Steve "Was A Marine Before, During And After Frankie's One Court Martial Riddled
Enlistment" Robeson, K4YZ
>
> Your personal opinions don't carry any weight, Steve.

Obvioulsy they do, Frankie.

Your cut-and-paste forays into salving your never-made-it-to-NCO
brusied ego are getting longer and longer.

More Silliness to cover up!

> Wanna disgrace me?

Oh Nooooooooooooooooooooooooooo, Frankie!

I could NEVER "disgrace" you the way YOU have done yourself!

> Put me in my place? Then post your DD-214. Otherwise, keep blowing
> bubbles.

Bubbles says I am doing just fine. She says you're a liar and
coward too, and she didn't need to see MY DD-214 to know it!

Steve, K4YZ

## 2499. Re: Holiday Blues?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 17:06:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1132938403.597442.48070@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Psychiatrist-To-Hams wrote:

> office hours by appointment only

Talk to Frankie of Silliland. He WISHES he had "office hours" once
upon a time.....

Steve, K4YZ

# 2500. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 17:36:17 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <joieo11iquv6tnl6v03n4o2j5slddt1ogi@4ax.com>
Source record: 125065

On 25 Nov 2005 06:16:47 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132928207.700015.113840@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

<snip whining, excuses, and general cop-out bullshit>

>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

Try again, Dud.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2501. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 17:41:30 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1132940489.956663.197410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

Brian, K3VR, traced a particularly offensive, pornographic post about me to Easley, South Carolina. The post consists of fabricated and odious charges.

Easley, South Caroline is the home of one Rich Whiten, ex-WB2OTK. When Brian confronted him, he did not deny it. So, we've unmsked this anonymous poster playing havoc on this thread and others.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

# 2502. Tennessee Nurse, Steven J Robeson, LPN, was: Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 17:46:28 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1132940787.973912.278950@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125067
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
>
> Did you ever slap your mommy and daddy for raising a coward and
> liar?
>
> You should.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you've gone over the edge again. Get help.

# 2503. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 18:14:06 UTC
From: Polly <Polly@pollybigmouthbob.com>
Message-ID: <dm7k5h$n0n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Morris" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1132940489.956663.197410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Brian, K3VR, traced a particularly offensive, pornographic post about
> me to Easley, South Carolina. The post consists of fabricated and
> odious charges.
>
> Easley, South Caroline is the home of one Rich Whiten, ex-WB2OTK. When
> Brian confronted him, he did not deny it. So, we've unmsked this
> anonymous poster playing havoc on this thread and others.
>
> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>

Oh my garshhhhh Bob, y'all got me.
ROTFLMAO!

# 2504. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 19:33:39 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <nvmdnaFHLOvkxBreRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125069

N9OGL wrote:
> boo hoo, what are going to do about it.......NOTHING, because all the
> anime on my board is LEGAL!!!!!!! and there is nothing you can do about
> it!!!!

So answer this toddieboy, if they made it legal to have pictures of real kiddie porn, would you have that on your site as well?

## 2505. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 19:35:39 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132947339.203051.298310@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Lardass Davies
> > I Wanna Know wrote:
> >
> >>I wanna know. Todd, when is your next scheduled 'show'? I wanna listen.
> >>
> >>I promise I won't jam you.
> >>
> >>Woger might, but I won't.
> >
> >
> > But you already get on 20 meters and jam, Lloyd Davies, N0VFP!
> >
>
> He musta learned from you 2test wogie.
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

That why you got 4 letters from the FCC about your jamming, you
illiterate imbecile?

# 2506. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 19:37:41 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132947461.491968.119390@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> >>
> >>>Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
> >>>character
> >>>
> >>
> >>Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
> >
> >
> > Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
> > hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955 and
> > was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet you
> > even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.
> >
>
> Where you been

Glad you care so much about Wiseman's whereabouts, he still wouldn't
let a nigger dick sucker like you or your mom get near him, he
wouldn't want your AIDS.

# 2507. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 19:39:45 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132947585.276146.270030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> >
> >>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >>
> >>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> >>>
> >>>>Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
> >>>>character
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>>Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
> >>
> >>Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
> >>hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955 and
> >>was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet you
> >>even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.
> >
> >
> > do you ever talk abotu radio in here Mr Slit?

"abotu"? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! You can't even talk in English,
Markie the kiddie fucker.

>
> 2test wogie

Commode Buzz Cornholer doesn't know about radio either, except that he
can get on the CB and solicit blowjobs like his mommy does down at the
truck stop.

## 2508. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 19:39:56 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <nvmdnaBHLOtvxxreRVn-gg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125070

Not Lloyd II wrote:
> Not a problem, Toad. We will simply ignore your kiddy porn site.
> You aren't overly bright, are you???

Bright? The almost 40 year old kid who likes kiddie porn and still
living with his parents isn't even glowing yet.

# 2509. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 19:40:23 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132947623.677001.129010@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Answering yourself, DUMBASS Davies?

# 2510. Re: Markie Morgan kb9rqz enjoyssex of all sort

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 19:43:07 UTC
From: whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <1132947787.580739.56880@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125075
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Always Lights Out in his empty malformed skull Davies whined:
>
> 2test

BWHAHAHAHA Tell us how you had to eat that Swanson TV dinner for thanksgiving, Lardass, because ytour own family didn't even want you around this Thanksgiving. Speaking of low-cholesterol, why don't you get on that yourself, you morbidly obese genetic reject retard? Better still just kill yourself, nobody would miss you.

## 2511. Hams Night Out!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 20:27:29 UTC
From: Pork Butt <porkbutt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <f1b8o1tfk21bfgkt2f8mld57k5vgdqhe0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 125078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bar door swings open; KB9RQZ walks in wearing his tightest leather
pants.
K4YZ: Hi KB9RQZ! How is it hanging!
KB9RQZ: Pretty good, check it out.
(KB9RQZ drops his pants, revealing his pink g-string)
K4YZ: Not bad big guy. I get to see more later, right?
KB9RQZ: You will have to ask KC8LDO, I promised him first crack at
it. Is that a new tattoo on our arm?
K4YZ: Yes, just got it last week.
(K4YZs rolls his sleeve the rest of the way up to reveal
a swastika)
KB9RQZ: Why did you get that?
K4YZ: I am tired of people thinking I am a wimp, call it
intimidation.
KB9RQZ: Did you stop buy and pick up the Videos for the get together
tonight?
K4YZ: I picked up N8WWMs favorite, deep anal slam.
KB9RQZ: Speaking of N8WWM, he was supposed to be here.
K4YZ: He called me earlier, he had to go to some kind of parole
hearing.
KB9RQZ: I told him not to involved with women, we could treat him
better than any women ever thought of doing.
K4YZ: Hey look, KC8LOD just walked in.
KB9RQZ: I just love it when he wears his bare midriff shirt.
KC8LDO: Hi guys, whats up?
K4YZ: Waiting for you and N8WWM.
KC8LDO: He was just driving in the parking lot when I came in.
N8WWM: Hi Guys, I finally made it.
KB9RQZ: How did your hearing go?
N8WWM: Bad, they actually expect you take care of your kids and
support them.
KC8LDO: See, we told you about women.
N8WWM: I know, I know. I'm done with them.
KB9RQZ: What's in the bag?
N8WWM: I was hungry, stopped of at Coney express and picked up a
"dog"
(N8WWM opens the bag and pulls out a foot long hot dog,
which falls on the floor and rolls under the table)
KB9RQZ: It's mine, I saw it first
(KB9RQZ and KC8LDO start wrestling for it, KC8LDO
trying to bite it, KB9RQZ trying to stick it up his
butt)
KC8LDO: NO, It's mine.

N8WWM: Here, cut it in half, then you will both be happy.
K4YZ: I can't believe you two, it's all covered with dirt.
KB9RQZ: Reminds me of the time N8WWM and I went to the beach.
N8WWM: Yeah, I remember that time, took me a week to heal up.
KC8LDO: N8WWM, what's the deal with that picture that Steve took of you? You looked terrible, did you just roll out of bed?
N8WWM: No, I was in the middle of something.
KB9RQZ: Middle of what?
N8WWM: Jamming a repeater. Guess that will teach them to make fun of me because of my no-code status.
K4YZ: Don't you ever learn? You have already been spanked for that.
N8WWM: Speaking of spanking, are we ready to leave yet? KB9RQZ's G-string is really turning me on.
KC8LDO: Think his is cute, check this out.
(Drops pants to reveal an "elephant trunk" G-string.
N8WWM: My God! Lets go, elephants do like nuts don't they?
(N8WWM Giggles and heads for the door)
KC8LDO, KB9RQZ and K4YZ follow him out the door.

## 2512. Re: Todd...next show?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 21:09:37 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <guGdnTHgEKdq8hrenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
> Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>
>>>Lardass Davies
>>>I Wanna Know wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>I wanna know. Todd, when is your next scheduled 'show'? I wanna listen.
>>>>
>>>>I promise I won't jam you.
>>>>
>>>>Woger might, but I won't.
>>>
>>>
>>>But you already get on 20 meters and jam, Lloyd Davies, N0VFP!
>>>
>>
>>He musta learned from you 2test wogie.
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>
> That why you got 4 letters from the FCC about your jamming, you
> illiterate imbecile?

What letters 2test? Lets see you post some proof.

You're the one that gets letters from the FCC for jamming.
LOLOLOLOLOLOLOL!!!!

## 2513. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 21:11:19 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <guGdnTDgEKfB7RrenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
>>>>>>character
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
>>>>
>>>>Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
>>>>hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955 and
>>>>was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet you
>>>>even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.
>>>
>>>
>>>do you ever talk abotu radio in here Mr Slit?
>
>
> "abotu"? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! You can't even talk in English,
> Markie the kiddie fucker.
>
>
>>2test wogie
>
>
> Commode Buzz Cornholer doesn't know about radio either, except that he
> can get on the CB and solicit blowjobs like his mommy does down at the
> truck stop.
>

Did you get caught up cleaning out those port-a-potties in time to have
some for thanksgiving dinner 2test?

## 2514. HF Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 21:45:46 UTC
From: "No Lic.Radio" <no_lic_radio@no_lic_radio.org>
Message-ID: <438789f5@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 125079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The HF intl club would like to invite everyone to qsy from the 27.555 (usb) to 6.988 (usb).

This does NOT replace 555 by any means. This is just a new option for night contacts. All are invited. The net will be Saturdays at 8:00pm EST.

As mentioned, ALL are invited for some pure radio fun!

73's and good DX,
Hal, HF1157c

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 2515. HF Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 21:52:20 UTC
From: "No Lic.Radio" <no_lic_radio@no_lic_radio.org>
Message-ID: <438789f8@news.usenetzone.com>
Source record: 125080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The HF intl club would like to invite everyone to qsy from the 27.555 (usb) to 6.988 (usb).

This does NOT replace 555 by any means. This is just a new option for night contacts. All are invited. The net will be Saturdays at 8:00pm EST.

As mentioned, ALL are invited for some pure radio fun!

73's and good DX,
Hal, HF1157c

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 2516. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 22:09:52 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1132956592.349386.252730@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125081

That's not it llodie, if you haven't been keeping up, these son of a bitches have, threaten to have me shut down, they posted links to area they aren't suppose to have access to and allowing childern by click on those links have access to adult material. Also by posting these links have allowed individuals have access to my computer forcing me to get a hold of the DOJ to help resolve this problem, So in respond your the one night "bright" because you apparently haven't keep up with what's going on.

Todd N9OGL

# 2517. Re: WHY I PLAY WITH MY CUNT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 23:03:16 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1132959796.428567.101000@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

What else is new...

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

## 2518. Re: Holiday Blues?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 23:20:42 UTC
From: jim <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <W_Mhf.7771$mM2.2079@fe09.lga>
Source record: 125083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:

> Psychiatrist-To-Hams wrote:
>
>
>>office hours by appointment only
>
>
> Talk to Frankie of Silliland. He WISHES he had "office hours" once
> upon a time.....
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
give it a rest already will ya. perfect example of a troll.

## 2519. Re: Holiday Blues?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-25 23:46:57 UTC
From: Iitoi <iitoi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <RnNhf.5538$wf.5054@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125084

"jim" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote

>> Steve, K4YZ
>>
> give it a rest already will ya. perfect example of a troll.

Actually it was an excellent (but not 'perfect') example of a lame and
ineffective troll ATTEMPT. About what you'd expect from the source.

--
Iitoi

# 2520. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 00:13:52 UTC
From: anon <anon@unkanonremailer.net>
Message-ID: <dm8981$n9u$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

<whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1132947585.276146.270030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>

Hey Wogie,
took ya two weeks to scrape enuf scratch together to
repair/replace your computer? Money tight Wog?
LOL! Curtains Boy, expert on RMS voltage and
negative SWR. hahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha

# 2521. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 00:26:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1132964771.439688.39610@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125086

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> In 1951 there was no Internet, no easy way to "talk" to the
> FCC except through legal outfits and lobbying organizations
> all using the "proper" format in their paperwork. Everything
> was surface mail if you couldn't afford special couriers.
> The League could afford a legal firm then and they filed
> nice legalese documents with the Commission. With a relative
> scarcity of correspondence incoming they could pay attention
> to the League then. The League enjoyed a high place on
> amateur regulation correspondence with the FCC then. Any
> individual writing longhand, without legal terms or in any
> "approved" format got chuckled at. Things were more
> "patrician" then.

Did you work for FCC in 1951, Len? Did you see FCC chuckling
at handwritten letters?
>
> Things are a bit different now. Internet access to ALL
> government is faster than overnight express mail. FCC has
> to accept ALL filings. By law.

It's always been that way, Len.

> The correspondence on hot-
> ticket Dockets is enormous compared to more than a half
> century ago.

Fun fact:

Back about 1964 - a bit more than a dozen years after 1951, and more
than 25 years before "the internet went public", the proposed changes
that would come to be known as "incentive licensing" caused FCC to
receive over 6000 comments. Back then the US amateur population was
less than half what it is today, and practically all of them went by US
mail.

Did the FCC "chuckle" over them?

# 2522. Re: a question for mr slit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 00:55:48 UTC
From: Not Lloyd II <Anon@anon.ux>
Message-ID: <dm8bm1$1p8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:guGdnTDgEKfB7RrenZ2dnUVZ_tKdnZ2d@gbronline.com...
whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
> Commode Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>an old friend wrote:
>>
>>>whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>>>
>>>
>>>>Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>>whinyshit_lloydie@yahoo.com AKA 2test wogie wrote:
>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>>Oh the irony, coming from the chickenshit who hides behind a TV
>>>>>>character
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>>Oh the irony, (IKYABWAI)
>>>>
>>>>Oh, the irony, from a whiny coward (that's you, Butt Cornholer) who
>>>>hides behind a 50's sci-fi TV character which was cancelled in 1955 and
>>>>was the last time his mother * didn't * suck a nigger's dick. I bet you
>>>>even follow in your mommy's footsteps on that score, Commode.
>>>
>>>
>>>do you ever talk abotu radio in here Mr Slit?
>
>
> "abotu"? BWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! You can't even talk in English,
> Markie the kiddie fucker.
>
>
>>2test wogie
>
>
> Commode Buzz Cornholer doesn't know about radio either, except that he
> can get on the CB and solicit blowjobs like his mommy does down at the
> truck stop.
>

Did you get caught up cleaning out those port-a-potties in time to have some for thanksgiving dinner 2test?

He sure did. He only ate the big chunks, though.

## 2523. Re: Holiday Blues?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 01:07:00 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132967220.590755.142830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Psychiatrist-To-Hams wrote:
> Obsessed with k1man?
> Body Odor Prolem?
> Radio controls your life?
>
>
> I & my staff can assist you with these ham syndromes.
>
>
> Dr Hambone
> office hours by appointment only

i have blue balls

wrap your mouth around my throbing member to cure it.

# 2524. Re: Happy Thanksgiving Everyone..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 01:14:02 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132967642.240506.301240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics

yep, vinnie the ninnie had too much to eat allright.....

now the silly faggot has a belly full of cum!

Vinnie S. wrote:
> On Thu, 24 Nov 2005 16:19:32 GMT, Lancer <lancer@rock..com> wrote:
>
> > Hope you all have a very nice holiday.
> >
> >I plan to eat to much and fall asleep half way through the football
> >games..!
>
>
> Ditto to all !!!
>
> Vinnie S.

# 2525. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 01:17:48 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132967868.944153.314140@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.POLITICS,rec.radio.cb

HEY.....

WOULD YOU PIRATE GUYS CARE TO JERK OFF WITH US?

WE BEAT OUR MEATS ON CHANNEL 38
FM Community Radio wrote:
> The guys in the Pirate Radio groups will find the below
> interesting:
>
>
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132832822.643767.54500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> >>
> >> No.
> >>
> >> Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
> >
> > Yes, he did.
> >
> >> He violated federal law in the process.
> >
> > Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
> > for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
> > proven
> > guilty, right?).
> >
> >> He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
> >
> > IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
> >
> > The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
> > wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
> > there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
> >
> > 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
> >
> > 2) Incompetence (?)

> >
> > 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
> > hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
> > FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
> > was doing.
> >
> > 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
> > others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
> > their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
> > accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
> >
> > And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
> > they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
> > spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
> > and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
> > have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
> >
> > 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
> > prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
> > question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
> > waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
> > what he did would not have been an offense any more.
> >
> > It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
> > he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
> > will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
> > tried.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

# 2526. Re: I slapped illegal keyclowns off ebay today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 01:22:16 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132968136.361783.166570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125091
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics

I HAD MAN ON MAN SEX WITH TWISTIE.
Scott in Baltimore wrote:
> The rest of us enjoyed Thanksgiving with our families.
>
> Hope you had a Happy Thanksgiving.

## 2527. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 02:02:44 UTC
From: Tree <roy547@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1132970564.935880.41410@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

And getting more spacey as time goes by. How many fingers do you see,
Rush?

KLW wrote:
> He is the Doctor of Democracy, America's Truth Detector,
> RUSH LIMBAUGH !!!!!!!!
>
> Excellence in Broadcasting.
>
> He IS equal time.

# 2528. Re: BgPL! Can you believe it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 02:47:28 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uoudndhEIocnURreRVn-vg@comcast.com>
Source record: 125093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From ARNewsline #1476:

THAT FINAL ITEM: THEY CALL IT BGPL

And finally this week, another player has entered the broadband access arena. A a San Diego, California company called Nethercomm is developing a way to use ultra wideband wireless signals to transmit data at broadband speeds through natural-gas pipes. Jim Linton, VK3PC, has the rather strange details:

--

The catch-cry of late comic actor Jimmy Durante was to say, "Everybody's trying to get into the act!" But today that's exactly how to describe the latest pipe-dream broadband delivery idea.

A United States company is proposing to use ultra wideband wireless signals to transmit data at broadband speeds. But here's the interesting part, the transmission medium will be the pipes that carry natural gas. The company claims its yet to be tested technology could offer 100 megabits per second to every home - more than enough to provide voice, video and high-speed Internet access.

Just like the promises made by BPL promoters that they can revolutionize the power industry, the same type of hype is likely to be associated with broadband over gas pipeline or BGPL.

I'm Jim Linton VK3PC for the Amateur Radio Newsline.

--

It all but boggles ones mind as to who will be next to try and get into the broadband access industry. Perhaps your local water company or sewerage authority? Only time will tell. More is on-line at

http://www.nethercomm.com/

(WIA)

# 2529. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 03:38:14 UTC
From: George Grapman <sfgeorge@paccbell.net>
Message-ID: <GMQhf.24926$Zv5.13125@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 125095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Tree wrote:
> And getting more spacey as time goes by. How many fingers do you see,
> Rush?
>
> KLW wrote:
>> He is the Doctor of Democracy, America's Truth Detector,
>> RUSH LIMBAUGH !!!!!!!!
>>
>> Excellence in Broadcasting.
>>
>> He IS equal time.
>
Recently the thrice divorced drug addicted draft dodger:

Said Clinton sent troops to Bosnia to atone for his lack of military
service.
Said he was the type of man Maureen Dowd needed to meet.
Called the Cindy Sheehan supporters "pot smokers".

--
To reply via e-mail please delete 1 c from paccbell

# 2530. Re: BgPL! Can you believe it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 03:43:12 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7rCdnVDAf_BXRBreRVn-rQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 125096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> Scott in Baltimore wrote:
>
>> From ARNewsline #1476:
>>
>> THAT FINAL ITEM: THEY CALL IT BGPL
>>
>> And finally this week, another player has entered the broadband access
>> arena. A a San Diego, California company called Nethercomm is
>> developing a
>> way to use ultra wideband wireless signals to transmit data at broadband
>> speeds through natural-gas pipes. Jim Linton, VK3PC, has the rather
>> strange details:
>>
>
> So just how will they the fifty feet of plastic gas pipe between the
> main line and my house to act as a conductor or wave guide?

This was floating around here about two months ago.
It is determined to be a hoax.

You're heard it debunked here first!

Still, somebody put some thought into that website even if it is BS!

## 2531. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 03:58:10 UTC
From: Do Mike <unk@soolumike.org>
Message-ID: <dm8mci$dqg$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

"George Grapman" <sfgeorge@paccbell.net> wrote in message
news:GMQhf.24926$Zv5.13125@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net...
> Tree wrote:
>> And getting more spacey as time goes by. How many fingers do you see,
>> Rush?
>>
>> KLW wrote:
>>> He is the Doctor of Democracy, America's Truth Detector,
>>> RUSH LIMBAUGH !!!!!!!!
>>>
>>> Excellence in Broadcasting.
>>>
>>> He IS equal time.
>>
> Recently the thrice divorced drug addicted draft dodger:
>
> Said Clinton sent troops to Bosnia to atone for his lack of military
> service.
> Said he was the type of man Maureen Dowd needed to meet.
> Called the Cindy Sheehan supporters "pot smokers".
>
> --
> To reply via e-mail please delete 1 c from paccbell

As long as Rush continues to get the ultra radical left wing
extremists upset, then he is doing a good job. LOL

## 2532. Re: BgPL! Can you believe it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 04:35:31 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-oCdnROspJ1YRRrenZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Scott in Baltimore wrote:
> From ARNewsline #1476:
>
> THAT FINAL ITEM: THEY CALL IT BGPL
>
> And finally this week, another player has entered the broadband access
> arena. A a San Diego, California company called Nethercomm is developing a
> way to use ultra wideband wireless signals to transmit data at broadband
> speeds through natural-gas pipes. Jim Linton, VK3PC, has the rather
> strange details:
>

So just how will they the fifty feet of plastic gas pipe between the
main line and my house to act as a conductor or wave guide?

## 2533. Re: BgPL! Can you believe it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 04:43:20 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <-oCdnQ2spJ0bRxrenZ2dnUVZ_tSdnZ2d@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Scott in Baltimore wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>> Scott in Baltimore wrote:
>>
>>> From ARNewsline #1476:
>>>
>>> THAT FINAL ITEM: THEY CALL IT BGPL
>>>
>>> And finally this week, another player has entered the broadband access
>>> arena. A a San Diego, California company called Nethercomm is
>>> developing a
>>> way to use ultra wideband wireless signals to transmit data at broadband
>>> speeds through natural-gas pipes. Jim Linton, VK3PC, has the rather
>>> strange details:
>>>
>>
>> So just how will they the fifty feet of plastic gas pipe between the
>> main line and my house to act as a conductor or wave guide?
>
>
> This was floating around here about two months ago.
> It is determined to be a hoax.
>
> You're heard it debunked here first!
>
> Still, somebody put some thought into that website even if it is BS!

Lot of trouble for a silly hoax, guess some people just don't have much
of a life.

# 2534. Re: BgPL! Can you believe it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 04:49:06 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <N5GdnQDhN5R_RhreRVn-gA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Scott in Baltimore wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>> Scott in Baltimore wrote:
>>
>>> From ARNewsline #1476:
>>>
>>> THAT FINAL ITEM: THEY CALL IT BGPL
>>>
>>> And finally this week, another player has entered the broadband access
>>> arena. A a San Diego, California company called Nethercomm is
>>> developing a
>>> way to use ultra wideband wireless signals to transmit data at broadband
>>> speeds through natural-gas pipes. Jim Linton, VK3PC, has the rather
>>> strange details:
>>>
>>
>> So just how will they the fifty feet of plastic gas pipe between the
>> main line and my house to act as a conductor or wave guide?
>
>
> This was floating around here about two months ago.
> It is determined to be a hoax.
>
> You're heard it debunked here first!
>
> Still, somebody put some thought into that website even if it is BS!

They must have a lot of folks fooled, a google search on Nethercomm gets
a lot of hits.

# 2535. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 05:06:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <2gkfo1lvcgakkelmiel7jsl67mivm9a0t9@4ax.com>
Source record: 125150

On 25 Nov 2005 09:05:08 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1132938308.756656.57220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>:

> Does anyone else but me notice that the harder Frankie tries to
>salve his ego, the longer his cut-and-paste forays become...?!?!
>
>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1132839371.910857.67480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
>> > A Regimental Combat Team, BLT or MEU is "assembled" from those
>> >units, Frankie.
>> >
>> > YOUR unit had NO avionics personnel in it.
>>
>> Amazing. With google and the entire internet at your disposal, you
>> -still- don't know how the Marine Corps operates -- which really isn't
>> so amazing since you were never in the Corps.
>
> Congratulations. You didn't need to go more than ONE senetence
>into the post before uttering yet another lie, Frankie.
>
> Do your neighbors know you act this way? Your wife and kids?
>
>> The amazing thing is
>> your determination to avoid the obvious conclusion that you are wrong.
>> So I guess I'll have to dumb -this- down as well.....
>
> The "dumbing down" part was when you enlisted and thought the
>Corps was going to change to fit you...Not the other way around.
>
>> When the 24th MAU was formed...(SNIP TO...)
>
>> (UNSNIP)...See the CH-46's and CH-53's? Okay. So don't tell -me- that my unit
>> didn't have any avionics personnel.
>
> YOUR unit didn't.

Wrong, and that's why you snipped the relevant statement: "The 24th
MAU most certainly -DID- have avionics techs, and that was my unit
while I was on float (not on 'cruise')."

> There were truck units in every Marine Aircraft
>Wing to which I was assigned, but that didn't make me a truck driver.

Where, in any of my posts, did I claim to be an avionics tech?

>> Now..... got any more ignorant remarks on the subject?
>
> Only the one's you continue to utter Frankie.
>
>> >> For example, when I was with 3/8 we were deployed as BLT (Batallion
>> >> Landing Team) 3/8, the infantry unit that was attached to the 24th MAU
>> >> for that float. The 24th MAU also consisted of components from tanks,
>> >> amtracks, artillery, etc, etc. The BLT that was attached to the 24th
>> >> MAU just prior to my deployment was BLT 1/8, on an expedition that
>> >> should strike a chord if you know your Marine Corps history.
>> >
>> > How many Avionics Technicians were in YOUR GROUND UNIT, Frankie?
>>
>> Focus, Dud -- there aren't any doctors that live in my neighborhood
>> but that doesn't mean I don't know any or know anything about them.
>
> Focus, Frankie. Even if you were sleeping with a doctor, you still
>wouldn't be one.

Once again, Dud: I never claimed to be an avionics tech. Don't you
have -ANY- critical thinking skills?

>> > Better yet, how many AVIATION UNITS were YOU attached to?
>>
>> Just as many as you, Dud.
>
> Not true, Frankie. Starting off with VMFA-333 (as a TME student)
>and ending up with NAMTD-1032 as an Instructor. Three HMH's, one Group
>staff, and I & I duty twice in the middle.

Three HMH's, yet you didn't know that avionics techs served in fleet
units. Bullshit.

> More snippage to yet more FrankieDeceit

More copping-out from Major Dud.

>> > And an overseas deployment is STILL refered to as a cruise and an
>> >enlsitment is STILL refered to as a "hitch", no matter what you try to
>> >amke from it, Frankie.
>>
>> Oops, I forgot -- you never got a Marine Corps Manual in boot camp
>> because you were never in the Marines. Here you go, Dud:
>>
>> http://www.1stbn1stmarines.org/hs/fs15dictionary.htm
>
> Very nice.
>
> And Marines still refer to a deployment as a "cruise".

"CRUISE: period of enlistment; tour of duty."
"FLOAT PHASE: sea deployment of a Marine unit."

> At least those of us who got to go on ships larger than LHA's.
>
> And yes, they also call a "cruise" a "float".

I don't know who "they" are, but -we- didn't.

>> >> Wasn't Billy Zane in that movie?
>> >
>> > Don't you wish YOU were?
>> >
>> > He was closer to being a Marine than you were, Frankie.
>> >
>> > At least by the end of the movie, his character had learned
>> >something about honor.
>> >
>> > You had four years and never did.
>>
>> You remind me of that Bugs Bunny cartoon where he helps load wood on
>> the fire burning beneath the pot where he's about to get turned into
>> rabbit stew.
>
> I am sure a great many things remind you of cartoons, Frankie.

Gee, that was clever.

> More snippage.

More copping-out.

>> > But I sat in on many Office Hours. Records were kept, along with
>> >charges, statements, any mitigating evidence, and the disposition.
>> >
>> > Maybe they were a bit slacker in the ground side...
>>
>>
>> If that was true then you would be familiar with the punishments that
>> are authorized from an Article 15 hearing, and firewatch -isn't- one
>> of them. Yet that's exactly what you previously claimed. You missed
>> the target again, Dud
>
> Nope.
>
> I SAID that most "infractions" were dealt with by NCOIC's and
>Sergeats Major by way of such "punishments" before they ever came to
>"official" punishment.
>
> (Sheeeesh)

"Sergeants"

And here is what you -did- say:

==========
On 29 Oct 2005 08:54:18 -0700, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

<1130601258.192850.303510@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
> Article 15 punishments are "scrapes". The Sergeant Major puts you
>on "firewatch" for a month for "scrapes". Your NCOIC has you field day
>the shop or stand extra duty for "scrapes".
==========

I suppose that's vague enough to be interpreted in whatever way that
supports your current opinion, so I'll plead 'no contest' to that one.
But it still doesn't explain why, after allegedly attending "several"
NJP hearings, you didn't know which SRB page is used to record those
punishments.

>> >> >> You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any
>> >> >> off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually
>> >> >> made by the CO, not HQMC.
>> >> >
>> >> > Again, you're blatantly lying, Frankie.
>> >>
>> >> Wrong.
>> >
>> > Not wrong.
>> >
>> > Marines MAY be prohibited from taking certain off-duty employment,
>>
>> True. Where did I say any different?
>
> You've tried to suggest that ALL employment was such.

No, I didn't:

==========
On 1 Nov 2005 22:53:47 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1130914427.674064.285970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:

<snip>
>> > Uhhhh...Looking for that reg wherein US Marines are not allowed to
>> >keep personal pursuits while on active duty...
>>
>> If you were on active duty then you would know that moonlighting is
>> -strongly- discouraged...(SNIP)
>
> Frankie, the question I asked over and over in the original post
>was WHAT MCO WAS VIOLATED...?!?!
>
>> In some units it's even prohibited by the
>> commanding officer because the responsibilities of an outside job
>> usually conflict with the responsibilities of the unit. But you didn't
>> know that because you were never active duty; i.e, more proof from
>> your own ignorant words.
>

> Of course I knew it.
>
> And I had the Commanding Officer's approval chit for off duty
>employment as was required by Marine Aircraft Group 16 policy at the
>time.
======================

> All you've done is make a fool out of yourself in trying to
>suggest that by having a part-time job I was somehow violating a Marine
>Corps order that you have yet to quote ot show that I was allegedly in
>violation of.

By your own admission, off-duty employment was regulated by your
-UNIT- and NOT an MCO. You don't even realize that you confirmed my
statement from the very beginning. Yet you continue to beg me to
provide an MCO that contradicts what you unwittingly admitted is true.
You really -are- an idiot, aren't you?

>> >and ARE prohibited from participating in criminal activities or
>> >partisan politics wherein their role as a Marine may be inferred as
>> >establishing a political preference.
>>
>> ......uh, where did -that- come from?
>
> Uh...from the Department of Defense.

I keep forgetting to dumb down the questions so you can understand
them, so I'll rephrase: Why did you suddenly decide to bring political
activities into the conversation?

>> > And you've STILL not quoted a Marine Corps order that prohibited
>> >my working as an EMT.
>> >
>>
>> Still begging and still missing the point. Idiot.
>
> Yes, Frankie...You're an idiot...Now that you've come to grips
>with it, you can move forward.
>
>> >> When confronted with the scenario about not being
>> >> able to make your shift as an EMT due to base lockdown or unit
>> >> activation, you made the ignorant excuse that you could simply give
>> >> them a phone call, which often times is -not- possible because of
>> >> restricted outside communications during such situations. Again, you
>> >> were caught improvising your imaginary 'career'.
>> >
>> > I was in the Marine Corps a heck of a lot longer than you,
>> >Frankie.
>> >
>> > In those 18 years, not once was I prohibited from making "that
>> >call".
>>

>> Yet I was in for only four years and it happened to me on more than
>> one occasion. Three, in fact. And all in the 2-year span while I was
>> at Lejeune. Gee, what are the odds, Dud?
>
> In as much as I was never stationed there, I can't answer that
>question.

So in your 'career' of 17 years 9 months -- as an avionics tech -- you
were never assigned to a base or air station with a unit that deployed
with the FMF? That's a crock.

> Furthermore, you were never a SNCO. I was.
>
> RHIP.

Get a clue, Dud -- if I wanted to be a lifer I would have re-upped.

>> > Again, pretty slim picking on YOUR part, and STILL proactive
>> >deceit from you...
>>
>> ......<yawn>..... So where were you stationed when you claim to have
>> been active duty and living in Tennessee?
>
> The ONLY time I was "Active" and living in Tennssee was "A" School
>in the 70's, and "Group Paced Instructor Course in 1989...All at
>Millington, BTW.
>
> If you're alleging anything different, it's only due to ignorance
>and inattentiveness on YOUR part.

Pardon me..... Arkansas. Tennessee, Arkansas, Missouri..... it's all
the same to me, just one big flat piece of dirt where hicks marry
their cousins and there aren't any Marine Corps bases within a days
drive. So where were you stationed when you claim to have been active
duty and living in Arkansas?

>> > Suck it up, punk.
>>
>> Have you been drinking, Dud? Are you even reading any of this?
>
> Are you?

Drinking? No. Reading? Yep, and I can see that you are spending more
time correcting your name than paying attention to the content of what
I have written.

>> > And that MERIT is a composite of proficiency, performance and
>> >conduct.
>> >
>> > Commonly called "cutting scores".
>>
>> Wrong. They are commonly called "pro" (proficiency) and "con"
>> (conduct) marks. And they are not definitive tests for promotion, just

>> things to be considered. I only knew one Marine who ever called them
>> "cutting scores", but that was probably because he did 3 years in the
>> Army before joining the Marines.
>
> OK, Frankie.
>
> Whatever you say. (snickersnicker guffawguffaw)

Evidently that crop duster did some damage to you, too. Has your
neurologist given you an EEG recently?

>> > Was that one each up to Staff Sergeant?
>> >
>> > Or a couple to the same rank over, which is more like it.
>>
>> Yep. Made LCpl twice, left as a PFC, and one month shy of being
>> eligible for LCpl for a third time, which I probably would have made
>> if I had extended for that West-Pac float (not "cruise") when they
>> asked. And it would have upped my conduct mark by at least the tenth
>> of a point needed to get my Honorable without the hassle. 20/20
>> hindsight.....
>
> LANCE CORPORAL...?!?!
>
> THIRD TIME...?!?!?!
>
>BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>!
>
> AFTER THE NIGHT I HAD A WORK, I >>>NEEDED<<< A LAUGH LIKE THAT !
>! ! ! !
>
>BBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

I guess I don't see the humor in what I said. Care to clarify?

>> > You just didn't know when to shut your mouth when you got out,
>> >Frankie! It got you busted while you were in the Corps, it's proven
>> >you to be the liar and coward I call you now.
>> >
>> > Sucks to be you.
>> >
>> >Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ
>>

>> Your personal opinions don't carry any weight, Dud.
>
> Obvioulsy they do, Frankie.
>
> Your cut-and-paste forays into salving your never-made-it-to-NCO
>brusied ego are getting longer and longer.

Oh, so you are presuming that my ambition was to make a career out of the Marines? That's pretty narrow-minded of you, Dud. A lot of people join the military not intending to make it their career, but just to satisfy a patriotic 'call to duty' (for lack of a better phrase). Almost every man on both sides of my family have been military all the way back to my Grandfather and his brother (first gen in USA):

http://freepages.military.rootsweb.com/~cacunithistories/31stbrigade.htm

I had a great-uncle that did some island-hopping as a Marine in WWII (and his travel ticket includes Iwo Jima), two uncles that did their stint in the Air Force (both in radio during the Korea years when Morse was required), another uncle in the Marines for 4 years, another uncle in the army for 12 years, my cousin did 6 years in the Coast Guard, and my Dad (a Viet-Nam vet) got a medical discharge from the Navy after 18-1/2 years (which is how I know that you couldn't have upgraded your medical discharge -- been there, done that).

But is my ego bruised? Hardly. Just like my family and many other people, I joined the Marines out of a sense of duty to my country. Like I said before, I wasn't the 'model Marine'; but if my service was half as bad as -you- are trying to make it sound then they would have kicked me out long before my cruise was up. When I had fulfilled my duty and had the option to re-enlist, I stayed true to my original plans by leaving the Marines, going to college, and moving on with my life.

Now you may have planned to be a lifer from the very start, which is all fine and dandy. If you -had- become a Marine then that might have been a good career for you. If you hate me because I didn't take the opportunity that you never had to become a career Marine, fine, I can deal with that. But for you to justify impersonating a Marine because it was your childhood dream does nothing but belittle the very thing you dreamed of being -- a Marine. Grow up, Dud.

> More Silliness to cover up!
>
>> Wanna disgrace me?
>
> Oh Noooooooooooooooooooooooooooo, Frankie!
>
> I could NEVER "disgrace" you the way YOU have done yourself!
>
>> Put me in my place? Then post your DD-214. Otherwise, keep blowing
>> bubbles.

>
> Bubbles says I am doing just fine. She says you're a liar and
>coward too, and she didn't need to see MY DD-214 to know it!

I'm not "Bubbles".

>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ

Are you enjoying this so far?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2536. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 06:23:58 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132986238.334480.252870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics

WHY ARE YOU SILLY ASS HAMMIE POO'S ENTERTAINING SILLILAND?
WE RAN HIS ASS FROM THE CB GROUP. THE ONLY USEFULL THING ABOUT
SILLILAND IS "HER" MOUTH.....# 1 CUM DEPOSIT BOX.
Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1132839371.910857.67480@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1132812756.202646.234150@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
> >> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >> >> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> >
> >> >> Address the issues, Steve
> >> >
> >> > Already done.
> >> >
> >> >> You didn't know that avionics techs (your alleged MOS) are regularly
> >> >> deployed with the FMF.
> >> >
> >> > Still lying and still not explaining from where you got that,
> >> >Frankie.
> >>
> >> From this specific conversation (that you abruptly dropped):
> >>
> >> ====================================
> >>
> >> On 21 Aug 2005 20:33:22 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >> <1124681602.313149.172620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >> > because he allegedly spoke with a couple of "buds"
> >> >> >who are in the Air Wing.
> >> >>
> >> >> Wrong again. I didn't say they were in the "Air Wing", although I did
> >> >> know a few Marines that were stationed at Cherry Point (just a stone's
> >> >> throw from Geiger -- we used to hang out at the Second Front area).
> >> >
> >> > You said they were in Avionics.
> >> >
> >> > So...How many Avionics Technicians do fleet units have, Frank?

> >>
> >> ====================================
> >>
> >> To which I replied:
> >>
> >> ====================================
> >>
> >> >Quite a few. Back when I was active, the three batallions of the 8th
> >> >regiment were rotated into the 22nd and 24th MAUs. I was deployed with
> >> >the 24th MAU on The USS Nassau. This ship is an LHA -- basically it's
> >> >a small aircraft carrier with a massive well deck. It can accomodate a
> >> >lot of different aircraft including the Cobra, Huey, CH-46, CH-53,
> >> >OV-10 and Harrier. Being a Marine in the avionics field you should
> >> >have already known this. In fact, if you -were- USMC for 18 years it's
> >> >more than likey that you would had served aboard an LHA or a similar
> >> >amphibious assault ship. Either way you would have known of both their
> >> >existence and their capabilities.
> >>
> >> ====================================
> >>
> >> You never would have asked that question if you had been in the Corps
> >> because every Marine knows that FMF units are assembled from parts of
> >> units that cover almost the entire spectrum of the Corps capabilities.
> >
> > SNIP
> >
> > No...
> >
> > A Regimental Combat Team, BLT or MEU is "assembled" from those
> >units, Frankie.
> >
> > YOUR unit had NO avionics personnel in it.
>
>
> Amazing. With google and the entire internet at your disposal, you
> -still- don't know how the Marine Corps operates -- which really isn't
> so amazing since you were never in the Corps. The amazing thing is
> your determination to avoid the obvious conclusion that you are wrong.
> So I guess I'll have to dumb -this- down as well.....
>
> When the 24th MAU was formed (when I deployed with it) the Third
> Batallion of the 8th Marine Regiment was temporarily detached from the
> regiment (with me so far?) and attached to the 24th MAU as 'BLT 3/8',
> a designation which distinguishes it as a deployed unit instead of a
> unit standing in garrison. There were other units that were -also-
> temporarily attached to the 24th MAU at the same time, including units
> from the 2nd MAW. It's the assembly of those -diverse- units that
> comprised the MAU.
>
> BTW, here's the ship on which I was depoloyed (the largest of the

> three ships in the group that carried the 24th MAU; the other two were
> the Ponce and the Saginaw):
>
> http://www.nassau.navy.mil
>
> Make sure to click on "History" and look at the bottom pic. See all
> the aircraft? If you can't, here's a better pic:
>
> http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/nassau01.jpg
>
> See the CH-46 in the air? The CH-53's on the flight deck with the
> Harriers? If you look close you can see the Phalynx (nicknamed "R2D2"
> for obvious reasons) on the island above and centered between the two
> large radar domes (domes are near the base of the island). The R2D2 is
> an automatically controlled, six-barrel, 20mm gattling gun that rips
> out 3600 rounds/minute and has it's own radar system. Sounds real cool
> from the chow hall. Anyway, back to the subject.......
>
> Do you see the space between the flight deck and the raised door to
> the well deck? That's the hangar deck, which runs almost the entire
> length of the ship. I walked through there just about every day since
> our tech shop was a cubby-hole located on the port side of the hangar
> deck and two decks down (one deck below the SNCO food locker, and
> right next to a 12" ballast pipe which makes a heck of a racket).
> That's also where the Comm Platoon stored the VINSON gear, so the shop
> was manned 24/7 by the techs (all seven of us including your's truly),
> as we were the only Marines on the ship with the security clearance to
> do so.
>
> And just for shits and grins, here's a pic of the ship anchored in a
> fjord when we were in Norway in early '83:
>
> http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/nassau12.jpg
>
> See the CH-46's and CH-53's? Okay. So don't tell -me- that my unit
> didn't have any avionics personnel. The 24th MAU most certainly -DID-
> have avionics techs, and that was my unit while I was on float (not on
> "cruise"). We had quite a bit of contact with those techs due to the
> close proximity of our tech shop with the hangar deck -- we learned
> quite a bit about avionics, including those helmet-targeted 20mm guns
> on the Cobras. And they learned quite a bit about our fields (radio,
> teletype, wire-line systems, etc).
>
> Now..... got any more ignorant remarks on the subject?
>
>
> >> For example, when I was with 3/8 we were deployed as BLT (Batallion
> >> Landing Team) 3/8, the infantry unit that was attached to the 24th MAU
> >> for that float. The 24th MAU also consisted of components from tanks,
> >> amtracks, artillery, etc, etc. The BLT that was attached to the 24th

> >> MAU just prior to my deployment was BLT 1/8, on an expedition that
> >> should strike a chord if you know your Marine Corps history.
> >
> > How many Avionics Technicians were in YOUR GROUND UNIT, Frankie?
>
>
> Focus, Dud -- there aren't any doctors that live in my neighborhood
> but that doesn't mean I don't know any or know anything about them.
>
>
> > Better yet, how many AVIATION UNITS were YOU attached to?
>
>
> Just as many as you, Dud.
>
>
> >> >> You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col
> >> >> Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for
> >> >> him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON
> >> >> system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's,
> >> >> including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system,
> >> >> which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the
> >> >> early '80's).
> >> >
> >> > JULIETT in helos. OV-10's, too.
> >> >
> >> > As of early 1992 the KY-58's had not been installed in the -53
> >> >series helos.
> >> >
> >> > Nice try, though.
> >>
> >> The KY-58's were installed in aircraft in the early '80...(SNIP)
> >
> > (UNSNIP....They were there, Dud. You weren't.
> >
> > Wrong...Again...
>
>
> http://www.jproc.ca/crypto/ky57.html
> http://hereford.ampr.org/millist/m25.html
> http://www.pacificsites.com/~brooke/KY38.shtml
> http://www.jproc.ca/crypto/ky28.html
>
>
> >> >> You didn't know the difference between a 'hitch', a 'cruise', and a
> >> >> 'float'.
> >> >
> >> > You're the one with knowledge deficit, Frankie.
> >> >
> >> > I even posted URL's for you to follow, but it seems you ignored

> >> >them.
> >>
> >> I didn't see any URL's. But if you want a definitive reference, why
> >> don't you just take a look in the Marine Corps Manual (i.e, the green
> >> one, although I'm sure the red one has the same info).
> >
> > And an overseas deployment is STILL refered to as a cruise and an
> >enlsitment is STILL refered to as a "hitch", no matter what you try to
> >amke from it, Frankie.
>
>
> Oops, I forgot -- you never got a Marine Corps Manual in boot camp
> because you were never in the Marines. Here you go, Dud:
>
> http://www.1stbn1stmarines.org/hs/fs15dictionary.htm
>
>
> >> >> You mistakenly assumed the origination of the phrase "One Shot One
> >> >> Kill" was from the Army Sniper School; wrongfully presumed that it is
> >> >> a tactic taught to all Marine recruits; then proceeded to demonstrate
> >> >> your ignorance about basic combat tactics, the use of shotguns, and
> >> >> the very common 40mm grenade launchers (M-79 & M-203).
> >> >
> >> > No mistake.
> >> >
> >> > One shot, one kill.
> >>
> >> Wasn't Billy Zane in that movie?
> >
> > Don't you wish YOU were?
> >
> > He was closer to being a Marine than you were, Frankie.
> >
> > At least by the end of the movie, his character had learned
> >something about honor.
> >
> > You had four years and never did.
>
>
> You remind me of that Bugs Bunny cartoon where he helps load wood on
> the fire burning beneath the pot where he's about to get turned into
> rabbit stew.
>
>
> >> >> You claimed that "the only targets used to train Marine riflemen (and
> >> >> all recruits) [are] head shots and 'center mast', or chest shots", but
> >> >> didn't know that the standard round marksmanship-type targets are also
> >> >> used, and much more often than "dog" targets. In fact, most of rifle
> >> >> qualification is done with the round targets. But you didn't know that
> >> >> despite your claim to have "[taken] home an 'Rifle Expert' on each and

> >> >> every trip to the range".
> >> >
> >> > And you continue to try an misrepresent what I said as somehthing
> >> >else.
> >> >
> >> > What I said was 100% accurate.
> >>
> >> You said, "the ONLY targets used" (emphasis added). Your statement was
> >> wrong.
> >
> > No, it was not.
> >
> >> I can post pics of the rifle range from my boot-camp 'yearbook'
> >> if you need further proof.
> >
> > Ahhhhhh yes...Just slightly less tacky than the average junior
> >highschool "yearbook", pack full of "stock" boot camp pictures,
> >interspersed with a few "activities" shots of out platoon, and rounded
> >out with our "Dress Blues" shots...The ones where they have several
> >white tops on a table along with the "coat" that was only the top half
> >and had a snap in the back...
> >
> > Of course when I went through, most of the "stock" pics were from
> >the 60's...
>
>
> Doesn't matter since my scanner needs a new bulb. But I did find this
> (scroll down to part 29):
>
> http://www.grunt.com/forum/topic.asp?ARCHIVE=true&TOPIC_ID=29346
>
> Wasn't much different in 1981 -- by then we had M16A1 rifles and rapid
> fire was done from both 200 and 300 yards. That's about it.
>
>
> >> And your claim is even more wrong for the
> >> simple fact that human bodies are not the only targets in warfare.
> >> Recruits are taught marksmanship, not just "head shots", and not "one
> >> shot one kill".
> >
> > Argue the semantics all you care to, Frankie.
> >
> > You're still a liar and you're still a coward.
>
>
> You're probably right, Dud..... I'm sure those grapes -are- sour!
>
>
> >> >> You didn't know that only the results of an Article 15 proceeding are
> >> >> recorded, not the entire proceedings; and you don't even know what

> >> >> page in the SRB they are recorded.
> >> >
> >> > And a record IS kept.
> >>
> >> Contrary to your original claim, no transcripts are made during an
> >> Article 15 hearing. Only the results are recorded. And every Marine,
> >> NJP or not, knows on which page of the SRB those results are recorded.
> >> It's common knowledge in the Corps because it's discussed frequently.
> >> The only way you wouldn't know this bit of info is if you were never
> >> in the Corps. Maybe Hans can help you out here.....
> >
> > Maybe.
> >
> > But I sat in on many Office Hours. Records were kept, along with
> >charges, statements, any mitigating evidence, and the disposition.
> >
> > Maybe they were a bit slacker in the ground side...
>
>
> If that was true then you would be familiar with the punishments that
> are authorized from an Article 15 hearing, and firewatch -isn't- one
> of them. Yet that's exactly what you previously claimed. You missed
> the target again, Dud.
>
>
> >> >> You didn't know that Marines are often prohibited from taking any
> >> >> off-duty employment, nor did you know that such a decision is usually
> >> >> made by the CO, not HQMC.
> >> >
> >> > Again, you're blatantly lying, Frankie.
> >>
> >> Wrong.
> >
> > Not wrong.
> >
> > Marines MAY be prohibited from taking certain off-duty employment,
>
>
> True. Where did I say any different?
>
>
> >and ARE prohibited from participating in criminal activities or
> >partisan politics wherein their role as a Marine may be inferred as
> >establishing a political preference.
>
>
> ......uh, where did -that- come from?
>
>
> >> You -begged- me to cite an MCO that prohibits such activities,

> >> yet after I educated you on the issue you claimed that your CO granted
> >> you permission to work as an EMT. You have been caught making up your
> >> 'career' as you go, Steve.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > And you've STILL not quoted a Marine Corps order that prohibited
> >my working as an EMT.
> >
> > Not one.
>
>
> Still begging and still missing the point. Idiot.
>
>
> >> >> You didn't know that outside communications can be prohibited during a
> >> >> base lock-down or unit activation.
> >> >
> >> > Even more mistruths.
> >> >
> >>
> >> Wrong again, Steve.
> >
> >> When confronted with the scenario about not being
> >> able to make your shift as an EMT due to base lockdown or unit
> >> activation, you made the ignorant excuse that you could simply give
> >> them a phone call, which often times is -not- possible because of
> >> restricted outside communications during such situations. Again, you
> >> were caught improvising your imaginary 'career'.
> >
> > I was in the Marine Corps a heck of a lot longer than you,
> >Frankie.
> >
> > In those 18 years, not once was I prohibited from making "that
> >call".
>
>
> Yet I was in for only four years and it happened to me on more than
> one occasion. Three, in fact. And all in the 2-year span while I was
> at Lejeune. Gee, what are the odds, Dud?
>
>
> > Again, pretty slim picking on YOUR part, and STILL proactive
> >deceit from you...
>
>
> ......<yawn>..... So where were you stationed when you claim to have
> been active duty and living in Tennessee?
>
>

> >> >> You defined a 'chit' as "A Naval term for a 'permission
> >> >> slip'", when in fact it means -any- piece of paper with something
> >> >> written on it.
> >> >
> >> > Again...Incorrect.
> >>
> >> Marine Corps Manual. Need the page number?
> >
> > A "chit" is not ANY peice of paper, Frankie.
>
>
> http://www.goatlocker.org/trivia.htm
>
>
> >> >> You didn't know that a dishonorable discharge can only be given as a
> >> >> result of a conviction in a General court-martial, and is usually
> >> >> given after a few years at Leavenworth and a reduction to Private.
> >> >
> >> > Again deceitful.
> >>
> >> Wrong. I openly admitted that my initial discharge was a 'General
> >> under Honorable Conditions', yet you proclaimed in several posts that
> >> I received a "dishonorable" discharge.
> >
> > It WAS dishonorable.
> >
> > You lied. You cheated. You misbehaved.
> >
> > And you got busted for it.
> >
> > Twice.
> >
> > That IS dishonorable.
> >
> > I served over four times longer than you and didn't get a single
> >Office Hours.
> >
> > THAT is Honorable.
>
>
> So you are claiming that you used the word 'dishonorable' only to
> express your own personal opinion? That's about the lamest excuse I
> have heard from you yet, Dud!!!!! If you -really- want to impersonate
> a Marine at least you could bone up on the UCMJ before you start
> running off your mouth!!!
>
>
> >> That was a demonstration of
> >> gross ignorance on your part, since the types of discharges are
> >> pounded into your head during boot camp. And they are never forgotten

> >> because Marines read about others getting such discharges all the
> >> time.....(SNIP)
> >
> > Obvioulsy it did little to keep you out of trouble.
> >
> > Or perhaps they didn't "pound" hard enough on you?
> >
> >> (UNSNIP)....or are you now going to claim that you never read the same
> >> periodical that published those alleged stories about active duty
> >> Marines working as EMT's?
> >
> > "Marines". "Leatherneck" before it.
> >
> >> Anyway, what you didn't know (because you were never a Marine)
> >
> > And once again another intentional lie by Frankie.
> >
> >> was that a "dishonorable" discharge is a specific
> >> type of discharge -- the worst, actually. It's -not- a term that is
> >> generally applied to any discharge that is less than honorable. You
> >> ignorantly referred to my discharge as "dishonorable", proving that
> >> you didn't know the types of discharges (which was apparent from the
> >> beginning since you didn't know the difference between a 'medical' and
> >> an 'honorable', neither of which you received).
> >
> > Suck it up, punk.
>
>
> Have you been drinking, Dud? Are you even reading any of this?
>
>
> >> >> You didn't know that the Corps doesn't use cutting scores for
> >> >> promotions.
> >> >
> >> > SURE they do! W H Y do you keep lying about this, Frankie?
> >>
> >> Marine Corps Manual. Marines -pride- themselves on having a promotion
> >> system that's based on merit, not on cutting scores as used by the
> >> other military services.
> >
> > Uh huh...
> >
> > And that MERIT is a composite of proficiency, performance and
> >conduct.
> >
> > Commonly called "cutting scores".
>
>
> Wrong. They are commonly called "pro" (proficiency) and "con"
> (conduct) marks. And they are not definitive tests for promotion, just

> things to be considered. I only knew one Marine who ever called them
> "cutting scores", but that was probably because he did 3 years in the
> Army before joining the Marines.
>
>
> >> >> You misquoted your SIX alleged promotion certificates (a misquote that
> >> >> I later found on google verbatim).
> >> >
> >> > I missed one word, Frankie...
> >> >
> >> > So two points here...
> >> >
> >> > First of all, by your own words YOU had to go find it on Google
> >> >yourself.
> >>
> >> No, I just had to look at one of my five promotion certificates.
> >
> > Five?
> >
> > Was that one each up to Staff Sergeant?
> >
> > Or a couple to the same rank over, which is more like it.
>
>
> Yep. Made LCpl twice, left as a PFC, and one month shy of being
> eligible for LCpl for a third time, which I probably would have made
> if I had extended for that West-Pac float (not "cruise") when they
> asked. And it would have upped my conduct mark by at least the tenth
> of a point needed to get my Honorable without the hassle. 20/20
> hindsight.....
>
>
> >> I searched google to see if anyone had misquoted it in the same way you
> >> did. Someone did, which leads me to believe that your misquote was the
> >> result of a google search (or from some source other than your memory
> >> or your alleged promotion certificates).
> >>
> >> > And since the last time I stood infront of someone to hear
> >> >those words recited to me was 1988, I think one word is pretty
> >> >acceptable.
> >>
> >> I was discharged three years earlier and promoted fewer times, yet I
> >> knew your quote was incorrect. Odd, wouldn't you say? And what did you
> >> do with all your paperwork from the Corps? Did it mean so little to
> >> you that you threw it all away?
> >
> > Nope. Right here. My bust for not "reviewing" it first.
>
>
> Right where? And why "it" and not "them"? You have six of "them",

> right?
>
>
> >> > Secondly, when was YOUR last promotion, and how many of them DID
> >> >you manage to get?
> >>
> >> My fifth and last promotion was 1 October 85, and authorized by
> >> Lt.Col. C. C. Riner III, CO of 2nd AAV. I can scan the promotion
> >> certificate if you like. And I was promoted five times in my four
> >> short years. So while I may refer to myself as a 'shitbird', I must
> >> have been doing -something- right!!!
> >
> > No...You weren't.
>
>
> Well Dud, you're a little too late to have your personal opinion
> recorded in my SRB. And BTW, it would have been recorded on page 11,
> which is right next to page 12 where crimes and punishments are
> recorded. But of course you knew that, sitting in on all those Office
> Hours, right? LOL!!!
>
>
> > If anyone other than the Commandant of the Marine Corps
> >"authorized" a promotion, then it was to a rank less than Staff
> >Sergeant.
> >
> > That means you had to ride that ride twice at least once.
> >
> > I only went up.
> >
> > Too bad for you.
>
>
> Even if you -had- been in the Marines I couldn't care less -how- often
> you were promoted. But if you had made Sergeant in 5 years but only
> promoted twice in the following 13 years then -you- must have been
> doing something -wrong-..... or else doing nothing at all!!!
>
>
> > If you HAD "...been doing -something- right!!!..." You would have
> >had all one way promotions...not ups-and-downs!
>
>
> That's so illogical it's scary. "Doing something right" is not the
> same as "never doing something wrong", which I never claimed. On the
> contrary, I have openly admitted making mistakes. Just because a
> person does something wrong doesn't mean that -everything- he does is
> wrong. If that were the case I never would have been promoted after my
> first court-martial. But I was, so you are wrong.
>

> 
> >> >> You didn't understand that "years and months in specialty" (box 11 on
> >> >> the DD-214) is not the same as time in service, yet claimed that you
> >> >> have -several- DD-214's.
> >>
> >> You skipped this one, Steve.
> >
> > Nope.
>
>
> You skipped it again. Are you waiting for me to quote your ignorance?
> Ok, here you go:
>
> ================
> On 27 Aug 2005 07:19:01 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1125152341.836490.109410@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> <snip>
> > He was in an expensive technical MOS (Ground Radar Repair).
> >You've got to go out of your way to get the USMC to send you home as a
> >PFC with 3 1/2 years of service..."####bird" was an understatement on
> >Frankie's part.
> >
> > The rank of PFC and the less-than-four year tour lends one to
> >believe that the reason he didn't publish the other 3/4ths of his -214
> >was that somewhere on that form it said "LESS THAN HONORABLE", or one
> >of those categories of discharge.
> ================
>
> ".....a PFC with 3 1/2 years of service...", taken directly from my
> DD-214 box 11. But that's not where your ignorance ended, Dud. Notice
> where you suggested that "somewhere on that form it said 'LESS THAN
> HONORABLE'", totally unaware that the newer (post '79) DD-214 doesn't
> state character of service, even though you claim to have six of them
> and been discharged in 1992.
>
> You don't have a single DD-214 to your credit.
>
>
> >> >> You claimed that time on the delayed entry program was considered to
> >> >> be time in reserve status but never was.
> >> >
> >> > Sure it was.
> >>
> >> I was on the delayed entry program myself. Signed the contract on
> >> Friday, Feb. 13, 1981 (yeah, pretty stupid).
> >
> > Obvioulsy your SECOND error.
>
>

> "Obviously"
>
>
> > The first was electing to enlist when you hadn't made up your mind
> >to BE a Marine.
>
>
> Really? Gee, and here I thought I had made up my mind when I was in my
> early teens..... but I guess there's no point in refuting Major Dud,
> Master Psychologist, Premier Soothsayer, Amateur radio operator and
> Hollywood-educated Marine imposter.
>
>
> >> My total obligation was
> >> six years; four active and two inactive reserve. So if my time on
> >> delayed entry counted as reserve time then my final discharge would
> >> have been Feb. 13, 1987 (plus bad time). It wasn't. It was six years
> >> after my -actual- entry date (plus bad time, of course). Again, you
> >> are wrong.
> >
> > Well, Frnakie, all I can say is that somewhere between 27 April
> >1974 and 13 February 1981 something changed.
>
>
> Actually the change was in 1985. Regarless.....
>
>
> > I got credit for the delayed entry time.
> >
> > Sorry you didn't.
>
>
> Time served in the delayed entry program counts as inactive reserve
> only for retirement benefits, and then only if you signed up before
> 1985. I didn't retire. You only served 17 years 9 months, so you
> didn't retire either. You didn't get credit for time on delayed entry.
>
>
> >> >> You stated that "My DD-214 says 'Honorable'...", yet after 1979 there
> >> >> is no box on the form to designate character of service. The DD-214 is
> >> >> only a "release or discharge from active duty", not a final discharge
> >> >> certificate. Only that latter will denote the character of service.
> >> >
> >> > Sorry that yours doesn't say "Honorable", Frankie.
> >> >
> >> > Mine does.
> >>
> >> You didn't read that very carefully, Steve. The DD-214 after 1979
> >> DOESN'T STATE CHARACTER OF SERVICE. And if you really have "several"
> >> DD-214's as you claimed, you would have replied with "mine do", not

> >> "mine does". Yet another discrepancy, Steve.
> >
> > Mine says Honorable.
>
>
> Then you were discharged prior to 1980.
>
>
> > Sorry yours doesn't, Frankie.
>
>
> In what box does your alleged DD-214 say "honorable"?
>
>
> >> >> You claimed to have served from 03 September, 1974 to 29 May, 1992.
> >> >> That's only 17 years and 9 months. Yet you also claim to be retired,
> >> >> which can only happen if you served 20 years.
> >> >
> >> > Nope.
> >>
> >> Yep. Maybe Hans can provide you with the MCO to refresh..... er,
> >> educate you on the subject.
> >
> > It seems you're the one with detail deficits, Frankie!
>
>
> Then how did you retire with less than 20 years?
>
>
> >> >> You also claimed to have
> >> >> been discharged for medical reasons, having your discharge later
> >> >> upgraded (a claim which you have both denied and acknowledged).
> >> >
> >> > You still don't have all the facts, Frankie...but that's pretty
> >> >clear to just about everyone except Markie by now.
> >>
> >> I was repeating -your- claims, Steve. If your claims are not factual
> >> then you lied... but that's pretty clear to just about everyone by
> >> now, no exceptions.
> >
> > The only thing "clear" here, Frankie, is your cowardly attempts to
> >salve your wonded ego here at my expense.
>
>
> "wounded"
>
>
> >> >> You didn't know that medical discharges cannot be upgraded, nor that time
> >> >> not served cannot be arbitrarily added to the end of an enlistment in
> >> >> order to obtain retirement benefits.

> >> >
> >> > Still...Not all of the facts.
> >>
> >> If you call the fire department to put out a fire, but only -you- know
> >> that the fire doesn't exist, you can't blame them for responding.
> >> You've had seven years to provide the facts. All you have provided are
> >> unsubstantiated and contradictory claims. Do you need -even more- time
> >> to fabricate plausible details of your military 'career'?
> >
> > Fabricate WHAT, Frankie?
>
>
> Oh, gee, I don't know..... maybe a credible reason why you managed to
> get your medical discharge upgraded and retire with less than 20 years
> of service?
>
>
> > So far, all you've done is make stuff up.
>
>
> So far, all -you've- done is make stuff up. I'm just exposing those
> fabrications.
>
>
> >> >> And with all your careers, activities and obligations, where do you
> >> >> find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?
> >> >
> >> > Like I said, Frankie...It's a shame you didn't learn how to
> >> >prioritize obligations-vs-preferences and learn some time management
> >> >skills...
> >>
> >> Funny tho, your posting frequency dropped after I first made that
> >> comment. Coincidence? I think not.
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Flu season. Five and six 12 hours shifts a week.
>
>
> Uh-huh.
>
>
> > And yesterday, a funeral for a friend.
>
>
> Ok, whatever you say, Dud. At least I could find better things to do
> on Thanksgiving.
>
>
> > Sorry to burst your bubble, but regardless whether I reply to

> >every post you make, or only once a week, YOU are STILL a liar, a
> >coward, and disgraced ex-Marine.
> >
> >> > You might have BEEN the Marine you fancy yourself as being now....
> >> >
> >> >Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ
> >>
> >> Try again.
> >
> > No need to, Frankie.
> >
> > You're already "outted" for the liar you are, Frankie.
> >
> > You just didn't know when to shut your mouth when you got out,
> >Frankie! It got you busted while you were in the Corps, it's proven
> >you to be the liar and coward I call you now.
> >
> > Sucks to be you.
> >
> >Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>
> Your personal opinions don't carry any weight, Dud. Wanna disgrace me?
> Put me in my place? Then post your DD-214. Otherwise, keep blowing
> bubbles.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2537. Re: Thanks-Giving 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 06:26:23 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132986383.084665.233550@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics

GO SUCK OFF SILLILAND, YOU NO SOCIAL SKILL MOTHERFUCKER!
hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> I sincerely hope that everyone is able to spend time with their
> families today, and give thanks for being alive, having shelter and
> warmth, food, family and friends. As you give thanks, stop for a
> moment and ask who it is that you are giving thanks to. Is it the bank
> for making a mortgage loan to you? Columbia Gas for exploring for gas
> deposits and building the network of pipelines and pumping stations to
> get the gas to your home? Is it the Tyson or Cargill farmer who raised
> the turkey that is now on your table? Fetzer for making inexpensive
> Cab blanc and white zin to go with the turkey?
>
> Who do you give thanks to? Just think about it - no reply necessary.
>
> Happy Thanksgiving.
>
> Brian

# 2538. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 06:31:05 UTC
From: Steveo <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1132986665.197348.219110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125154
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On 22 Nov 2005 12:08:30 -0800, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >first of all walt,fuck you and fuck your useless little shit country!
> >
> >i don't need no stinking "ticket" to talk where the fuck i want. i run
> >my collins where i want when i want and i drop the fucking mall on
> >limey trolls like you.
> >
> >i bet your mother loved fucking those nigger "royal subjects" that have
> >taken over your sick little island!
>
> Well, you can certainly see the type of people we're dealing with
> here.
>
> It seems to vindicate what I said earlier, doesn't it ....
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @despammed.com

SO YOU'RE SAYING YOUR MOM REALLY DID LOVE NIGGER DICK,HUH?

# 2539. Re: BgPL! Can you believe it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 07:12:47 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <MZCdncYimqcXYBreRVn-vg@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Scott in Baltimore wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>> Scott in Baltimore wrote:
>>
>>> From ARNewsline #1476:
>>>
>>> THAT FINAL ITEM: THEY CALL IT BGPL
>>>
>>> And finally this week, another player has entered the broadband access
>>> arena. A a San Diego, California company called Nethercomm is
>>> developing a
>>> way to use ultra wideband wireless signals to transmit data at broadband
>>> speeds through natural-gas pipes. Jim Linton, VK3PC, has the rather
>>> strange details:
>>>
>>
>> So just how will they the fifty feet of plastic gas pipe between the
>> main line and my house to act as a conductor or wave guide?
>
>
> This was floating around here about two months ago.
> It is determined to be a hoax.
>
> You're heard it debunked here first!
>
> Still, somebody put some thought into that website even if it is BS!

I suppose all one has to do is turn on the gas range and log on.

## 2540. Re: BgPL! Can you believe it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 10:03:23 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dm9brr$g6u$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 125155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:N5GdnQDhN5R_RhreRVn-gA@gbronline.com...
> Scott in Baltimore wrote:
> > Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> >
> >> Scott in Baltimore wrote:
> >>
> >>> From ARNewsline #1476:
> >>>
> >>> THAT FINAL ITEM: THEY CALL IT BGPL
> >>>
> >>> And finally this week, another player has entered the broadband access
> >>> arena. A a San Diego, California company called Nethercomm is
> >>> developing a
> >>> way to use ultra wideband wireless signals to transmit data at
broadband
> >>> speeds through natural-gas pipes. Jim Linton, VK3PC, has the rather
> >>> strange details:
> >>>
> >>
> >> So just how will they the fifty feet of plastic gas pipe between the
> >> main line and my house to act as a conductor or wave guide?
> >
> >
> > This was floating around here about two months ago.
> > It is determined to be a hoax.
> >
> > You're heard it debunked here first!
> >
> > Still, somebody put some thought into that website even if it is BS!
>
> They must have a lot of folks fooled, a google search on Nethercomm gets
> a lot of hits.

I would think many people would read this then go straight to a websearch to
see if its true. Then if there's a website out there they believe it. One
thing comes to mind, electronic signals down a gas pipe.. KERBOOM.....or
doesn't it work like that ;o)

Regards,
Graham

--
---

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham

# 2541. Re: WHY I PLAY WITH MY CUNT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 11:54:08 UTC
From: Bob Downonit <Down@gag.net>
Message-ID: <20051126065408779 23%Down@gagnet>
Source record: 125156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

On 2005-11-25 18:03:16 -0500, "Professor" <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> said:

> What else is new...
>
> Professor
> www.telstar-electronics.com

Hey if *I* had a cunt I'd play with it too! Umpteen times a day!

# 2542. FAQ - Is anyone interested in _REAL_ Ham Radio any more?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 12:35:33 UTC
From: Heinrich Hurts <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <1133008533.449590.8800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125157
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What is Ham Radio?

Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
are interested in the science of radio wave
propagation and who are also interested in the
way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
tradition of providing a source of engineers who
are born naturals.

Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
fascination with all things technical and gives
an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!

This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.

Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
are qualified to design, build and then
operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.

The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
the latter that communication with like-minded technically
motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
development grows with the years
and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
be learnt and applied.

The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,

competitions and fox-hunts.

-----OOOOO----

However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
desirable thing to have that there are large
numbers of people who wish to be thought of
as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
of the kind! Usually such people are a
variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
radios off the shelf and send them back to be
repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
they are free with rather silly personal insults;
they have not satisfied any technical qualification
and their licences prevent the use of
self-designed-and-built equipment.

These CB types engage in the competitive activities
with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.

No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!

-----ooooo-----

One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
technical pursuit.

A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are
sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.

-----ooooo-----

One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B

licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.

6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
of the imagination!

Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!

# 2543. Re: FAQ - Is anyone interested in _REAL_ Ham Radio any more?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 13:56:41 UTC
From: "Harold E. Johnson" <w4zcb@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <tQZhf.362704$084.3738@attbi_s22>
Source record: 125158
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

My GAWD! Bean, can't you just go away and put us all out of your misery?

Plonk one more name and time.

W4ZCB

"Heinrich Hurts" <aiyr.r.bean@lycos.co.uk> wrote in message
news:1133008533.449590.8800@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> What is Ham Radio?
>
> Ham Radio is a technical pursuit for those who
> are interested in the science of radio wave
> propagation and who are also interested in the
> way that their radios function. It has a long-standing
> tradition of providing a source of engineers who
> are born naturals.
>
> Ham Radio awakens in its aficionados a whole-life
> fascination with all things technical and gives
> an all-abiding curiosity to improve one's scientific
> knowledge. It's a great swimming pool, please dive in!
>
> This excitement causes a wish to share the experience
> with ones fellow man, and shows itself in the
> gentlemanly traditions of Ham Radio.
>
> Radio Hams are in a unique privileged position in that
> they can construct and operate their own equipment! No-one
> else has this privilege. Users, such as broadcasters,
> the po lice and armed farces, CBers and mobile phone
> users have to purchase ready-made gear. Manufacturers
> are not licensed to operate their gear. Radio Hams
> are qualified to design, build and then
> operate their own pieces of equipment. They do this
> with gusto, and also repair and modify their own
> equipment. This is a privilege well worth the effort
> to gain, and one to be jealously guarded.
>
> The excitement that drives a Radio Ham starts with
> relatively simple technologies at first, perhaps making
> his own Wimshurst machine and primary cells. Small pieces
> of test equipment follow, possibly multimeters and signal
> generators. Then comes receivers and transmitters. It is with
> the latter that communication with like-minded technically

> motivated people takes off. The scope for technical
> development grows with the years
> and now encompasses DSP and DDS. There is also a great deal
> of excitement in the areas of computer programming to
> be learnt and applied.
>
> The technical excitement motivates Radio Hams to compete
> with each other to determine who has designed and manufactured
> the best-quality station. This competitiveness is found in DXing,
> competitions and fox-hunts.
>
> -----OOOOO----
>
> However, beware! A Ham Radio licence is such a
> desirable thing to have that there are large
> numbers of people who wish to be thought of
> as Radio Hams when, in fact, they are nothing
> of the kind! Usually such people are a
> variation of the CB Radio hobbyist; they buy their
> radios off the shelf and send them back to be
> repaired; they are not interested in technical discussion
> and sneer at those who are; they have no idea how
> their radios work inside and have no wish to find out;
> they are free with rather silly personal insults;
> they have not satisfied any technical qualification
> and their licences prevent the use of
> self-designed-and-built equipment.
>
> These CB types engage in the competitive activities
> with their Cheque-Book-purchased off-the-shelf radios
> in a forlorn effort to prove that they are Radio Hams.
>
> No _REAL_ Radio Hams are deceived by such people!
>
> -----ooooo-----
>
> One infallible way to disambiguate the CB Radio Hobbyist
> from the _REAL_ Radio Ham is to solicit their view of the
> difference between CB Radio and Ham Radio. A Radio Ham will
> perceive Ham Radio to be a technical pursuit and will
> perceive CB Radio to be a social communications facility
> no different in essence to a land-line telephone or a
> GSM mobile in the hands of a 6-year-old. Thus a Radio Ham
> could also hold a CB licence safe in the knowledge that
> such a licence says no more about him than having a land-line
> telephone, whilst continuing to regard Ham Radio as a separate
> technical pursuit.
>
> A CB Radio hobbyist, on the other hand, sees no difference between
> a Ham Radio licence and a CB Radio licence. To him, they are

> sisters-under-the-skin. Wrongly, the CB Radio Hobbyist then
> tries to classify himself as the equal of the Radio
> Ham when, in fact, he is nothing of the kind. A sure sign of
> a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds, or has ever held, a licence
> issued under the gangrenous degeneration that is the
> M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme.
>
> -----ooooo-----
>
> One group of people who claim to be of the standard of
> Radio Hams but who are in reality nothing more than an
> apology for the failure of a CBer are those class B
> licensees who falsely proclaimed that they were against
> the use of a Morse Test to control access to the HF
> bands, until, that is, a test was introduced at their
> intellectual level, the intellectual level of 6-year-olds.
>
> 6 year-olds simply lack the mathematical tool kit to
> enable them to handle even the simplest algebraic manipulation
> for Ohm's Law and thus, the disgraceful Class Ber's in
> the aforementioned category are not Radio Hams by any stretch
> of the imagination!
>
> Remember - A sure sign of a CB Radio hobbyist is if he holds,
> or has ever held, a licence issued under the gangrenous
> degeneration that is the M3/CB Fools' Licence scheme!
>

# 2544. Re: FAQ - Is anyone interested in _REAL_ Ham Radio any more?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 16:56:03 UTC
From: "Harold E. Johnson" <w4zcb@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Ds0if.603919$xm3.137601@attbi_s21>
Source record: 125159
Cross-posted to: uk.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> (1) Please do not top post. It makes you look like a clueless newbie.
> The other main top-poster in this newsgroup is the very person that
> you seek to criticise!
>
> (2) Please do not quote more than 100 lines of text, just to add your
> own two-line comment. It adds insult to injury.
>
> 73 de G3NYY

Sorry Walt, the SOB got to me again.

W4ZCB

# 2545. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:06:03 UTC
From: Andrew <andrew@jkl.com>
Message-ID: <ZB0if.3261$7n6.2781@fe08.lga>
Source record: 125160
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On 22 Nov 2005 12:08:30 -0800, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> first of all walt,fuck you and fuck your useless little shit country!
>>
>> i don't need no stinking "ticket" to talk where the fuck i want. i
>> run my collins where i want when i want and i drop the fucking mall
>> on limey trolls like you.
>>
>> i bet your mother loved fucking those nigger "royal subjects" that
>> have taken over your sick little island!
>
> Well, you can certainly see the type of people we're dealing with
> here.
>
> It seems to vindicate what I said earlier, doesn't it ....
>
> 73 de G3NYY

Not really, When you said "This is what we get when we allow CBers into ham radio." perhaps one might think that was a bit condescending as well. I was a CBer in a previous life, and now almost 25 years later I've had both an FCC commercial class license as well as Amateur Extra here in the states, and have been an ARRL Division official in a past life. So I don't think CBers or no-coders (in and of themselves) have anything to do with the "quality" of individual hams.

How about everyone treating each other with respect, and ignoring the clowns that don't?

--
---
Regards -

- Andrew

# 2546. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:11:44 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051126121144.944$Mr@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125161
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Walt Davidson <g3nyy@despammed.com> wrote:
> On 22 Nov 2005 12:08:30 -0800, "Steveo" <steveo-hed@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >first of all walt,fuck you and fuck your useless little shit country!
> >
> >i don't need no stinking "ticket" to talk where the fuck i want. i run
> >my collins where i want when i want and i drop the fucking mall on
> >limey trolls like you.
> >
> >i bet your mother loved fucking those nigger "royal subjects" that have
> >taken over your sick little island!
>
> Well, you can certainly see the type of people we're dealing with
> here.
>
> It seems to vindicate what I said earlier, doesn't it ....
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
You're dealing with N8WWM Doug Adair, the felon no-code posting as Steveo.

## 2547. Re: Holiday Blues?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:22:19 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1133015874.167781.14500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

LOL...

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

# 2548. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:28:30 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133022767.742957.191830@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think so worm

# 2549. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:28:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133022768.489522.9290@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think so worm

## 2550. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:30:52 UTC
From: blitz logroll <sammydarbo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133021803.948753.313490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Rush is a DRUG ADDICT!! Wake Up!, He was downing fistfulls of Oxy and calling other people losers. He is a phony bastard. Hey Rush, why don't you pass out some of your "hillbilliy heroin"

## 2551. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:31:42 UTC
From: blitz logroll <sammydarbo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133021844.740301.280290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Rush is a DRUG ADDICT!! Wake Up!, He was downing fistfulls of Oxy and calling other people losers. He is a phony bastard. Hey Rush, why don't you pass out some of your "hillbilliy heroin"

# 2552. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:32:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133022708.845024.198270@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think so worm

# 2553. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:32:40 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133022768.900754.201990@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think so worm

## 2554. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:32:48 UTC
From: Tom <tomlemos@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133023950.494963.158210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125169
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

KLW wrote:
> He is the Doctor of Democracy, America's Truth Detector,
> RUSH LIMBAUGH !!!!!!!!
>
> Excellence in Broadcasting.
>
> He IS equal time.

He is just another entertainer on the radio.

## 2555. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:33:22 UTC
From: blitz logroll <sammydarbo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133022183.290078.44090@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

DRUGGIE LIMBAUGH, master of Oxycontin, also a lying piece of crap!!!
Hey Rush!, why don't you pass out some of your "hillbilly heroin"??,
you would be alot easier to listen to.

# 2556. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:33:41 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133022744.053054.45510@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think so worm

## 2557. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:33:50 UTC
From: Tom <tomlemos@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133026430.516852.313980@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

KLW wrote:
> He is the Doctor of Democracy, America's Truth Detector,
> RUSH LIMBAUGH !!!!!!!!
>
> Excellence in Broadcasting.
>
> He IS equal time.

He is just another entertainer on the radio.

# 2558. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:33:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133022843.157135.51180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125173
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think so worm

# 2559. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:34:08 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133022768.668172.107840@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125174
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think so worm

# 2560. Re: N9OGL BBS Cleared

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:34:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133022768.575775.107820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125175
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't think so worm

## 2561. Restevie contiunes his reign of lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:42:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133026949.869779.45260@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125176

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > N9OGL wrote:
> > > > >But your site violates the Terms and Conditions of your provider
> > > > >in that you are providing a site for the distribution of obscene
> > > > >material as it pertains to the representation of children participating
> > > > >in sex acts. So who cares a rat's kazoo about broadcasting your
> > > > >security issues?
> > > > >
> > > > Boo hoo stebie, if I'm in violation of the term and conditions so are
> > > > all those people I know who are using there service and emailing their
> > > > friends Porn.
> > > >
> > > For once, Toiddie, you got something absolutley right that I can't
> > > argue with.
> > >
> > meanin gthat you have been carring on about nothing as is normaly the
> > case you
> >
> "Meaning" "that" "carrying" "normally" ( you forgot 'for'
> )
>
> Nope.
>
> > the sever company doesn't see a problem and yet people are supposed to
> > dance to your tune\
>
> "server"
>
> It's not my "tune", Markie

sure is your tune
>
> > the server copany sees it people as compling with their ToS so you were
> > blowing ####
>
> "The" "company" "complying"
>
> So....You speak for the company now?

no they have spoken for themselves by accepting the state of affairs
cut the rest of the rant

# 2562. Re: WAS: Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him! NOW: The Whole World Is Laughing At Lennie Laughing At No One In Particular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:45:24 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133027124.709278.273590@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125178

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > show some decencyt and at least keep to attack thread titles down to
> > > a
> > > > couple of line in length
> > >
> > > I'll tell you what, Markie...
> > >
> > > I'll start doing what YOU want when you publish a sincere,
> > > unconditional apology and retraction for the seven years of lies about
> > > alleged stalking, threats, military service, etc, that you've polluted
> > > the NG with vis-a-vis me.
> >
> > you want to LIE for you now
>
> Nope.

yes you do want to lie
>
> Just break old habits and tell the truth without being backed into
> a corner for once.

I am telling the truth

you have threatened me

you stalk me

you harras me both on line and off

those are the facts Stevie

I will not lie for you
>
> > no way
>
> You've aleady been doing it for almost a decade.
>
> > > Deal?
> >

> > what has sexuality to do with radio
> >
> > answer the question coward
>
> Already have. Several times. You keep asking the question over
> and over.

another lie Stevie
>
> Seek help.

for What?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2563. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:46:05 UTC
From: Do Mike <unk@soolumike.org>
Message-ID: <dma6sr$pn4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125177
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

"Tom" <tomlemos@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133023950.494963.158210@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> KLW wrote:
>> He is the Doctor of Democracy, America's Truth Detector,
>> RUSH LIMBAUGH !!!!!!!!
>>
>> Excellence in Broadcasting.
>>
>> He IS equal time.
>
> He is just another entertainer on the radio.
>

Obviously, you don't listen very closely to Limbaugh. He has
affirmed many times over the years in his books, newsletter,
web site, on his old TV show, and on his radio show that he
*is* first and foremost an entertainer and that his job is to
attract and hold an audience, something he is very good at,
wouldn't you agree? While you are at it, how about giving
us an update on how successful Air America is?

## 2564. stevie the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:48:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133027281.547183.38960@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125180

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > what does sexuality have to do with radio ham or otherwise
>
> Filed to CD-RW.
>

answer the question
> Thanks, Markie.

for showing you a coward again NP
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2565. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:49:52 UTC
From: Do Mike <unk@soolumike.org>
Message-ID: <dma73u$qsh$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125179
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

"blitz logroll" <sammydarbo@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133021844.740301.280290@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> Rush is a DRUG ADDICT!! Wake Up!, He was downing fistfulls of Oxy and
> calling other people losers. He is a phony bastard. Hey Rush, why don't
> you pass out some of your "hillbilliy heroin"
>

Ok, now that you have had your little name-calling tantrum,
how about commenting on the substance of Limbaugh's
ideas? Nevermind, the only thing you left-wing extremist
wackos have to offer is name calling. Here, I will even
start you out with some of your favorites, bigot, racist,
homophobe, etc.

## 2566. stevie still the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:50:11 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133027411.292221.290390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125181

K4YZ wrote:
> Again, Markie "responds" to a post with material that was
> absolutely unrelated to the original thread:
>
> OK, Brian...Let's See How You Dodge This One
>> >
> > what has sexulaity to do with the radio
> >
> > answer the question you coward
> > flushing the rest
>
> First, you moronic putz, if you're going to WHINE about your
> deviant behaviour, at least learn how to spell it correctly.
>
> S E X U A L I T Y
>
> Secondly, if YOU don't want to discuss it, stop brining it up.

answer the question

why do you fous on My sex life

why do you focus on Todds and Brains sex life

why do you make near constant refernce to male ginital

answer the question coward
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2567. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:54:05 UTC
From: Do Mike <unk@soolumike.org>
Message-ID: <dma7bq$s03$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

"blitz logroll" <sammydarbo@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133022183.290078.44090@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> DRUGGIE LIMBAUGH, master of Oxycontin, also a lying piece of crap!!!
> Hey Rush!, why don't you pass out some of your "hillbilly heroin"??,
> you would be alot easier to listen to.
>

I notice a common trait of liberal-left extremists is to repeat
the same thing over & over. This is a favorite tactic of the
Democreeps and the liberal-left extremists. It is called
*The Big Lie* Clinton & Kerry use it often, as do their
friends in the liberal media.

.

## 2568. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:54:47 UTC
From: Do Mike <unk@soolumike.org>
Message-ID: <dma7d7$s8h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Cindy Sheehan has got to be the ugliest woman in America. Wouldn't you agree?

## 2569. stevie paranoid sees me behind everything

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 17:55:53 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133027753.063722.165900@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125184

K4YZ wrote:
> k4yz@hotmail.com didn't write:
>
> > I have been in error in making the implied claim that anyone sexuality
> > was relavant to Radio ARS or otherwise
>
> Poor forgery, Markie. Same lousy grammar although I see you took
> half-an-hour to get the spelling correct.
>
> You should have let your "wife" to write it for you...He's not
> much better, but probably would have gotten the punctuation correct.
> Only 20 words, but it's a start.
>

the title pretty uch says it all
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2570. stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:02:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133028169.174072.118210@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 23 Nov 2005 21:48:29 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1132811309.691633.97610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> >
> > > >
> > > >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > > >> On 23 Nov 2005 03:53:43 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > >
> > > > Huge Snip
> > >
> > > Interesting..... you snipped almost all the relevant material of my
> > > post. Why ignore the fact that you are a hypocrite, Dud?
> >
> > No hypicrite, Liar Frank.

you are a hypocrite BTW you blew that spelling too
>
> I only addressed the parts I quoted.

and you avoid the the issues
>
cut
> > You may have been -able- but you never managed to accomplish all that
> > you claim. I, on the other hand, mananged to -actually do- one of the
> > things you wanted to do -- I was a Marine.
> >
> > No, you weren't.
> >
> > Or you wouldn't have wouldn't have wound up court martialed twice.
> >
> > > You never managed to do the things you need to.
> > >
> > >Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > So did you ever meet the Marine who's identity you stole?
> >
> > Did you ever slap your mommy and daddy for raising a coward and
> > liar?
> >
> > You should.

now you advoctae elder abuse

stil wanting to know if you ever meet the Marine who id you have
stolen? or did you kill him to take it from him?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2571. Re: Experiance interval for Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:06:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133028389.039654.56550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125186

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132853844.785587.84750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >> I really have no problem with an experience criteria
> >> (e.g.a time interval between General and Extra).
> >
> > Nor I, but it would make more work for FCC. Right now
> > anyone can go from any license class or no license at all
> > to Extra in one exam session. An experience requirement
> > would mean that many hams would need at least two exam
> > sessions and two FCC paperwork cycles to get to Extra.
> > More admin work = not something FCC would like.
>
> Any idea what percent of people actually pass both
> the General and the Extra in one session?
>
> I suspect the number is relatively small.

I tried and did not but then I was fousing on the General CSSE at the
is time

indeed a fair number of people failed the Novice and tech tests when
taken together (a friend of manged to passed to tech and code test and
fail the novice written she was rather depressed)
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

# 2572. Re: A Practical Challenge For The Feeble Five...And A Bloody Nose For FoS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:06:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133027996.285591.40080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > On 23 Nov 2005 03:53:43 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
> Huge Snip
>
> > And once again: With all your careers, activities and obligations,
> > where do you find the time to post as much as you do on Usenet?
> >
> >
> > Well?
>
> Frankie, PERHAPS if you had learned early in your life how to
> prioritize your activities and your daily obligations-vs-preferences,
> you MIGHT have found time to be the Marine you now fancy yourself as
> having been.

stevie tlaking about priorities. that is worth a laugh

>
> Instead, you wound up as an also-ran trying to salve his bruised
> ego at the expense of someone who DID learn how to do those things.
>
> I pity you, really...
>
> I managed to be able to do the things I want.

so you claim
>
> You never managed to do the things you need to.

more of stevie projecting
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2573. Re: Experiance interval for Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:10:43 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133028643.288481.120020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125188

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Bill Sohl wrote:
cut
> Regardless of the number, I doubt FCC would bring back the experience
> requirement after 30 years without one. Particularly since they'd have
> to
> enforce it.

they would? my i think it is you that is dreaming

the FCC doesn't have to enforce anything, and like much of govt is
inreasingly taking that option
>
> 73 es HT de Jim, N2EY

## 2574. steveie contiues his compaign of lies and deceit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:15:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133028916.531216.136260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125189

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > He made an agreement to be civil and couldn't last even 24 hours.
>
> As it pertains to you, Brian, you've not proven otherwise.

Brain did not prove it youdid that for him
>
> But then one-way agreements seem to be the only one's you "agree"
> to, and only then if it serves you.

no Brain nor myself are stupid enough to "sign" on to an agree ment
that you are breaking before we even read about it
>
> Perhaps we'd be more accurate calling you "Diode".

more civl than some of your modes address

you have no standard of personal behavoir, you prove that daily

indeed You don't even understand the concept of one
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2575. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:19:42 UTC
From: Scott in Baltimore <sDcOoNtTtS_PuAnMiMtE_69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dma8vu$agk$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

From ARNewsline #1476:

ENFORCEMENT: WRL / GALAXY ELECTRONICS FOUNDER MEYERSON ASKS FCC NOT TO RENEW K1MAN'S LICENSE

Another well known ham has written to the FCC asking the agency not to renew the license of American Amateur Radio Association founder Glen Baxter, K1MAN. In a letter to FCC rules enforcer Riley Hollingsworth that's been posted in cyberspace, Leo I. Meyerson, W0GFQ, charges that Baxter has been distributing unsolicited commercial e-mail that uses his name to promote business interests. He goes on to say that his now public letter is intended to let the FCC and the public know that Baxter has no authorization to use his name, photo nor that of Meyerson's wife. Nor does he support any of Baxter's activities, business or otherwise.

For those of you new to the hobby, Leo Meyerson, W0GFQ, is a walking legend. He was the founder of World Radio Laboratories and Galaxy Electronics. His simple but effective Globe Chief and Globe Scout transmitters were the first station for many new hams in the 1940's, 50's and 60's. He was also among the first to bring high frequency transceivers to ham radio through his Galaxy product line.

Meyerson's letter goes on to say that he is aware that the FCC has issued a $21,000 Notice of Apparent Liability against Baxter's Amateur Radio station. He ends by asking the Commisson to not renew Baxter's license which has been set aside by the FCC.

Meyerson's letter to the FCC concerning Baxter's pending license renewal is the second such note this year. As previously reported, retired CBS Newsman Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD, wrote the FCC last June after Baxter allegedl;y refused to stop using 1996 era promotional material containing Cronkite's voice. (Via E-Mail)

# 2576. Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:19:42 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <dma8vu$agi$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur Radio operators in emergency communications during a televised "town meeting" program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The program featured public safety and volunteer organization officials from across Massachusetts among its audience.

Host and moderator Natalie Jacobson asked an increasingly-agitated Governor Romney questions about communications interoperability, and communication without commercial power. Romney was next asked by Jacobson, "...so does it come down to ham radio?..."

The Governor replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal with ham radio operators..."

Embarrassed public safety officials later tried to put in a good word for Amateur Radio. National Weather Service Warning Coordination Meteorologist Glenn Field was prepared to state the importance of Amateur Radio, when Salvation Army Colonel Fred Van Brunt was called upon. Van Brunt remarked about his organization's quest to improve its communications capabilities and how Amateur Radio has aided his organization. "The ham radio situation helps a great deal," he stated.

"I have already written and submitted a letter to the Governor's Office," wrote Eastern MA Section Emergency Coordinator Rob Macedo, KD1CY. "I have also written an email to Natalie Jacobson."

ARRL Section Manager Mike Neilsen, W1MPN, sent a section-wide email to all Eastern MA ARRL members describing the incident along with actions he and his staff were taking to mitigate the situation.

"[Romney's] attitude about us sets an unfortunate tone within the state's executive branch," wrote Neilsen. "As a former military officer, I see this as a failure in leadership. My immediate concern is our working relationship within the [Massachusetts Emergency Management Team] environment." Neilsen intended to address the Governor's comments as "an urgent matter" at a meeting on November 17 with Don Carlton from the Emergency Management Agency.

"I was very disturbed about what the Governor stated on the program," wrote Ron Wood, W1PLW, the section's Public Information Coordinator. "It does show that more work is needed by all hams in the section. It's a great idea to write letters explaining the good we do." Wood is attempting to schedule a meeting with the Governor's office so that EMA ARRL staffers might discuss the matter further.

Tom Kinahan, N1CPE wrote that Governor Romney's comment has made "a PR problem" for Kinahan in his role as MA State RACES Officer.

"I've got a roster of over 150 Amateur Radio operators that support local communities, and those of us that directly support the state government. There are at least double that in terms of people that are actually out there that I don't have formal paperwork on that support Amateur Radio emergency communications in some organized manner... The Governor has said that he 'certainly doesn't need to rely on ham radio' -- where does that leave the RACES program now?"

# 2577. stevie contues to avoid a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:21:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133029296.289243.78120@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125190

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > fake name wrote:
> > > > Hams attempt to destroy GMRS like all the other radio services they see!
> > cuting
> >
> > what has sexuality to with radio?
>
> Where in any of that thread were deviants being discussed, Markie?

that is most of the reply you are getting to all of your posts Stevie

why do you insist on making sexuality an issue here EVER
>
> Seek help.

for what?
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> BTW: Adding all of your retasked subject lines to the CD-RW...Thanks!

save all you like

it is your disk (iassume you did not steal it)

>
> Steve

# 2578. Re: Todd's newest web site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:22:26 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-2611051222260001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 125191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

In article <3dcd44ski1b6e.991075257@alvillage.net>, "Doug W"
<wein38@nospam.alvillage.net> wrote:

> http://www.masturbateforpeace.com/

It looks like more your style of a site!

## 2579. when will stevie fess up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:23:05 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133029385.276020.190630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125194

when will stevie stop lying in making the claim that sexuality is
related to Radio

# 2580. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:28:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133029726.901585.140090@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125195

Not Lloyd II wrote:
> Not a problem, Toad. We will simply ignore your kiddy porn site.
> You aren't overly bright, are you???
>

if only you were teling the truth

## 2581. Re: FAQ - Is anyone interested in _REAL_ Ham Radio any more?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:46:12 UTC
From: Spike <Aero.Spike@S&T.invalid>
Message-ID: <p4bho1t8j0qp14oi86o03vhshtv6sp4k2j@4ax.com>
Source record: 125196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Heinrich Hurts wrote:

>What is Ham Radio?
>
>Ham Radio is a technical pursuit...

This is the chappie that calculated the length of the day on this
planet was 23H20.

He's also the chappie that couldn't operate an FT101 because some
pages of the manual were missing.

I'd suggest one takes his ramblings with a large pinch of salt.
from
Aero Spike

Blair: "Removing Saddam....will be....a blessing to the Iraqi people"

## 2582. Stevie admits to stalking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:54:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133031242.562293.71410@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125197

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > what does sexuality have to do with radio ham or otherwise
>
> Filed to CD-RW.
>
> Thanks, Markie.
more of the Stevie double standard
Re: Another Markie Stalking Thread.

if I press Stevie it is stalking

if Stevie does it it is ok

answer the question coward

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2583. stevie is a coward afraid to answer a question turthfully

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 18:55:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133031350.808102.53210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125198

K4YZ wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 23 Nov 2005 03:37:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1132745855.536005.151480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> > >Steveo wrote:
> > >> Reckon why Markie's spelling improves in his demented sex personals?
> > >>
> > >> You be the judge:
> > >>
> > >> http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > >
> > > That was several years ago in Illinois...Markie had one of the
> > >neighborhood kids coming in and doing his typing for him (his
> > >hillarious "typist pool")
> >
> > Yep, that's certainly "hilarious".
>
> Snipped re-paste.
>
> Guess we see who's "riding to the rescue" here, huh, Frankie?
>
> You couldn't be a loyal Marine, so might as well be a "Fanatical
> Feeble Fiver', eh?

more of stevie avoid the question

Stevie can't help himself
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2584. stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 19:00:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133031647.678835.259030@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125199

what has radio to do sexuality

# 2585. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 19:03:03 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133031783.881729.105020@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125100

KØHB wrote:
> N0IMD wrote
>
> > I passed my Novice exam in November 1986 and I'm still considered a
> > newcomer by your OF buddies here.
>
> You're not even eligible for QCAO membership! <BSEG>

which ever gruop they are

but the caste syetm is one of the problemds with the ARS, and why what
ever the cost to our techial standard favor a one class liencense and
nothing in the acceable database to tell how long you have been
liencesed etc
>
> > And you ask what might be wrong with the ARS?

# 2586. Re: Image crawlers have already grabbed Todd's picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 19:25:32 UTC
From: toddaug555@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <toddaug555-2611051325320001@12.156.123.39>
Source record: 125101

In article <yvudnW7l5toddrKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not>, "yojimbo"
<thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote:

> Even though he removed his own photo from his web site, web crawlers
> had already grabbed Todd's photo and archived it at
> http://tinyurl.com/ce7bp so that the world doesn't lose that "snapshot
> moment" in which Todd could be seen in his basement with his fish tank
> and his collection of Tonka Toys. Web crawlers are truly wonderful
> technology.

It will not be there for much longer, I'm having it removed

Todd N9OGL
The VOICE of Amateur Radio
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/

# 2587. Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 20:06:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133035588.193491.176550@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125102
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > RRAP is a violation of the amateur's code. The quicker we get over to
> > > Mikes BBS the better.
>
> Deceit and dishonesty are violations of The Amateur's Code.
>
> If you'd stop both, you wouldn't have a problem...

when are you going to set the example
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2588. Re: Article in Electronic Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 20:09:00 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133035740.905772.237860@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125103

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> > > > > You may have to watch an online ad, but it's worth the wait.
> > > > >
> > > > > http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/ArticleID/11164/11164.html
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > >
> > > > Given the millions and millions and millions spent on communications
> > > > infrastructure...
> > > >
> > > cut
> > >
> > > " " "Meanwhile, ham radio operators proved that older technology can be
> > > the most reliable technology. Our EDA Editor (and ham) David Maliniak
> > > wrote an online column on the subject, pointing out that sometimes "old
> > > works when new doesn't." (Read it and add your comments at ED Online
> > > 11136.) During and after Katrina, hams running on generators (sometimes
> > > with makeshift antennas) worked throughout the hurricane zone to put
> > > emergency stations on the air. They guided rescuers to stranded victims
> > > and updated weather services via the Hurricane Watch Net." " "
> > >
> > > Wait a minute. The Guard says their equipment is antiquated and needs
> > > replaced, but the ARS service says that older technology may be what is
> > > needed.
> > >
> > > Obviously, they can -both- be right. When wide area vhf+ comm systems
> > > go down, they have to switch to simplex where they can, and HF/NVIS
> > > networks for the rest of the area.
> > >
> > in point of fact they both can be right
> >
> > the virtue of Ham radio is we can forge links as we need to the
> > downside is by and large we have to every time, the abilty to use use
> > the resource is of value when "something that got overlooked shows up"
> >
> > problems with that senario are the ARS lack much abilty to forge stable
> > conections and must invent them continously this is hard for your govt
> > and other first responders to use so they gtry to go higher and higher
> > tech trying to not to need us
> >

> > The problem, Markie, is that "higher and higher tech" is EXACTLY
> > why they have problems that DO need us!
>
> "Mark"
>
> Why can't they have both "higher and higher tech" and low tech?

they could but the low tech stuff means dealing with people like Steve

I simply can't blame them for not wanting to deal ham egos
cut

## 2589. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 20:14:39 UTC
From: Ciccio <francescod@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <Pm3if.173829$zb5.140281@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 125104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

"blitz logroll" <sammydarbo@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133021803.948753.313490@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Rush is a DRUG ADDICT!! Wake Up!, He was downing fistfulls of Oxy and
> calling other people losers. He is a phony bastard. Hey Rush, why don't
> you pass out some of your "hillbilliy heroin"

An actor/entertainer is not a phony. Grow up! Or do you, apparently a space cadet, believe that William Shatner is Captain Kirk?

Ciccio

# 2590. Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 20:48:03 UTC
From: policy-ham <hamop_62@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133038082.968393.258790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave

Electric lines and gas pipes will carry Internet to Illinois town
By Jon Van
Tribune staff reporter

November 26, 2005

PRINCETON, Ill. -- Starting in December this town of 7,500 will begin offering high-speed Internet service over the electrical lines that power the city.

It is among a handful of communities nationwide to plunge into a new technology called broadband over power lines, or BPL, that competes with Internet connections provided by telephone and cable TV operators. Combined with wireless technologies, broadband service delivered over power lines--and perhaps one day even through natural gas pipelines--raises the likelihood that going online anywhere at any time for very low cost will soon be a reality.

The Princeton service, which began testing this spring, is being watched by small communities across Illinois. One member of the Illinois Commerce Commission hopes other towns will experiment with BPL to spread Internet connectivity and drive down costs.

About 15 customers are served by Princeton's BPL test deployment, which demonstrates the service is robust and works well, said Jason Bird, superintendent of Princeton's municipal electric utility.

"From the utility's standpoint, this hasn't been difficult," he said. "The equipment is similar to what we work with every day."

Customers seem to like the service, especially the in-house portability BPL offers. A computer can move from one room to another and go online simply by plugging its modem into any electrical outlet in the house.

"I'm much more active on the Interent now because the speed is much better than with dial-up," said Leslie Lund, who began using BPL in March. "I don't get interference, even when my husband uses his power tools."

The electric line connections get their Internet signals from a 12-mile loop of fiber that Princeton installed last year as a means of attracting industrial development. After one factory left town in 2003 and the manager of another complained about the town's lack of advanced communications infrastructure, the city decided it needed fiber, said Mayor Keith Cain.

"We already had our own electric utility, so that gave us a real advantage," he said. Since the city installed fiber and started testing BPL the local cable and phone operators upgraded their systems and cut service rates, he said.

One downside to Princeton's BPL experience has been an inability to get enough equipment to begin the commercial rollout sooner, Cain said. The town's BPL vendor ran into financial difficulty and stopped producing equipment while it went into reorganization.

Under new ownership, the vendor now says it can ship the products needed for the rollout, said Steve Brust, vice president of Connecting Point Community Centers, the Internet service provider that manages Princeton's broadband service.

"The equipment works fine, but it's proprietary," Brust said. "There are a lot of companies in BPL right now, but there are no standards and no one company dominates the market."

Lack of standards is common with any new technology, said Raymond Blair, vice president for BPL initiatives for IBM Corp. Broadband technologies like Wi-Fi that are based on standards enjoy popularity because the equipment is interoperable and less expensive than proprietary systems.

At least three industry-based committees are working toward BPL standardization, Blair said. The emerging industry should benefit from their work within a year or two, he said.

"The best case for BPL right now lies in creating a smart electrical grid," Blair said. Utilities can spot trouble, read meters, improve efficiencies and generally boost reliability once they install fiber to monitor their grids, he said.

Once BPL standards are in place, equipment costs will drop, making a stronger economic case for offering high-speed Internet to residences, Blair said.

Robert Lieberman, a member of the Illinois Commerce Commission, said the state has $5 million it will award to projects intended to extend Internet connectivity.

There will be another $5 million available next year, and he hopes that some BPL projects will receive a portion of that Digital Divide infrastructure funding.

Also, Lieberman said, the ICC and state lawmakers need to provide incentives to electric utilities to install smart grid equipment that makes BPL to residential customers possible. Texas lawmakers recently adopted such incentives, and legislators in New York are considering doing so, he said.

Power lines aren't the Internet's only new avenue into homes. There's also interest in using natural gas pipelines.

Broadband in gas, or BiG, has been proven to work in concept, although field trials haven't yet been launched, said George West, a senior analyst with West Technology Research Solutions, a market research firm based in Mountain View, Calif.

BiG would rely upon ultra-wideband radio waves traveling through gas pipes to bring Internet to customers. The Federal Communications Commission approved ultra-wideband applications a few years ago but requires they operate at very low power to avoid interference with wireless phones and other appliances.

Pumping ultra-wideband signals along gas lines buried underground would shield them from interference, enabling them to operate at higher power, West said. "BiG has the potential to serve 18 million homes by 2010."

The ICC's Lieberman said he is intrigued by BiG.

"I'd love to see a project with People's Gas or Nicor to test this," he said. "My theory is the more pipes you have to bring the Internet to customers, the better for everyone."

# 2591. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 20:51:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133038268.029652.16560@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125106

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > > cuting back to a len remark some time ago
> > > > > quote
> > > > > We have NOT seen where any other radio amateur has
> > > > > ever "worked" him on the amateur bands.
> > > > > unquote
> > > > >
> > > > > I can attest that several folks have in fact worked or should I say
> > > > > have been worked over by K4YZ they to a man they proclaim him a "papa
> > > > > oscar serria"
> > >
> > > No you can't.
> >
> > wrong again i have therefore i can
>
> No, you can't and no you havne't.

I have attested that severla folks have said your are a POS
>
> Not a single callsign.

no callsgins provided
>
> A lie. Well, "several lies" since you said "several folks" made
> these allegations.

nope a simple truth

are you realy so nuts as to think everyone in the ARS aside from the
Five of us likes you?

>
> > > Not a one.
> > >
> > > Provide some callsigns, Markie, otherwise you're just manufactuing
> > > yet ANOTHER of your great many bits of deceit.
> >
> > no way and subject them to YOU for further abuse
>
> The ABUSE comes from you suggesting these people made some

> "comment".

nope wrong again

you are abusive
>
> What we have here is a point blank lie, Colonel. Not that we're
> not used to it from you or anything!

nope we have a statement I refuse to support as you wish since i do am
ethical enough to protect my sources

>
> > no way
>
> You mean "no way that I can make up calls that you'd not be able
> to actually follow-up on and find out I was lying...AGAIN!

nope

your are lying agian
>
> > I am much more polite than that
>
> Oh? It's "polite" to put lies in other people's mouths, Markie?

well you do that and claim you are polite

but I was making no such claim

>
> > > > > but at least for my part I settle for him to stop with aids and
> > > > > pedophilia crap I don't mind the "markie" bit so much. it is amoug the
> > > > > least of Stevie many sins
> > > >
> > > > As one of the few Christians on RRAP that Mike, Dave, Jim and Hans
> > > > absolutely won't make fun of, Steve will probably burn for his sins.
> > >
> > > Hey Brian...Why are you manufacturing yet another bit of deceit?
> >
> > what deceit?
>
> I'd point it out to you, but you'd forget in 2 minutes and I'd
> have to do it all over again.

no the problem is you have never poined out any deceit from brain just
places where he disagrees with you

cut

> > > > "rape an old friend" says Tennessee Nurse, Steven J Robeson, LPN
> > >
> > > Nope. That's NOT what I said. If you're going to quote me, quote
> > > me accurately, Brian.

> >
> > it is acurate more accurate than yourself
>
> No, it's NOT "acurate", Markie.

yes it is
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2592. Re: Experiance interval for Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 20:52:18 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133038337.973998.29260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125107

Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132879785.346830.50390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> news:1132853844.785587.84750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> >> I really have no problem with an experience criteria
> >> >> (e.g.a time interval between General and Extra).
> >> >
> >> > Nor I, but it would make more work for FCC. Right now
> >> > anyone can go from any license class or no license at all
> >> > to Extra in one exam session. An experience requirement
> >> > would mean that many hams would need at least two exam
> >> > sessions and two FCC paperwork cycles to get to Extra.
> >> > More admin work = not something FCC would like.
> >>
> >> Any idea what percent of people actually pass both
> >> the General and the Extra in one session?
> >
> > Probably a considerable number. The number of Generals is pretty stable
> > while the number of Extras just keeps growing.
> >
> > Note too that for one VE fee you get one chance at every element you
> > haven't already passed. If someone goes to a VE session for General,
> > there's no harm or cost (except time) if they try the Extra while
> > they're at it. I've known more than a few hams who went to a VE session
> > intending on the General and who came home with an Extra.
> >
> > Not a new thing, either. Way back in 1968, when I went to the FCC
> > office at 2nd & Chestnut to take the General, the examiner suggested
> > that I try the Advanced while I was there. No additional cost and since
> > I had the General in the bag, it would actually save him some work in
> > the future. A 14-year-old with any sense at all did not say "no" to The
> > Man, so I tried the Advanced written, and passed.
> >>
> >> I suspect the number is relatively small.
> >>
> > Check the AH0A site under "new licenses".
> > While most hams start out as Techs,
> > every month a small but not negligible
> > number go straight to General or Extra.
>
> That's my question, how small is that number?

> Also, the AH0A site doesn't truly indicate if
> someone went immediately from Tech to Extra
> at the same VE session so the ability to determine
> how many did so via AH0A stats isn't accurate

It's impossible to accurately determine *upgrades* from AH0A's
numbers. An upgrade is classed as a modification, same as an address
or name change.

But if you look at the number of new licenses, it's clear that at least
some
new hams bypass Tech and go straight for General or Extra. AH0A's
numbers only count as "new" licenses where the licensee was not
in the database at all during the previous month.

Of course some "new" licenses are actually "retread" hams, who let
their licenses lapse for whatever reason and now are back.

> > Regardless of the number,
> > I doubt FCC would bring back the experience
> > requirement after 30 years without one.
> > Particularly since they'd have to enforce it.
>
> What's to enforce? All it comes down to is license issuing.

> Seems all the FCC need do is not allow the upgrade unless
> the applicant has 'N' years of elapsed time since getting their
> General. The FCC database system could automatically
> withhold issuing the Extra unless the time interval is elapsed.
> It could even be automatic so the person might pass their
> Extra at some point and the FCC system having been notified
> of the person passing Extra would then be updated and at
> the elapsed time interval, the FCC could then automatically
> issue the Extra upgrade. Just some basic software application
> reprograming as I see it.

Actually the enforcement would fall upon the VEs anyway. They'd
be required to only give the Extra test to those who could show
a General or Advanced license that had been issued at least
X amount of time previously. Form 605 could be changed so
that you'd have to indicate the effective date of the General, etc.

So it really wouldn't be an FCC enforcement thing at all.

OTOH, it would increase FCC admin work slightly because they'd
have more upgrades to process.

The big hurdle would be selling FCC on the idea that an experience
requirement is needed, after 30 years without one. That selling job
would rival convincing them that a 5 wpm code test is still
needed.......;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

# 2593. Re: Experiance interval for Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 21:04:09 UTC
From: Chen <zero@chensrestzero.com>
Message-ID: <dmaig6$ec0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125108

FCC & ARRL partners in the Culture of Corruption

# 2594. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 21:06:16 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133039175.959090.78570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125109

From: Frank Gilliland on Fri, Nov 25 2005 5:06 am

>On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>> >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>>> >> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

>Amazing. With google and the entire internet at your disposal, you
>-still- don't know how the Marine Corps operates -- which really isn't
>so amazing since you were never in the Corps. The amazing thing is
>your determination to avoid the obvious conclusion that you are wrong.
>So I guess I'll have to dumb -this- down as well.....

Frank, I'm still of the opinion that Dudly was never IN the
Corps at all. Maybe, just maybe he was in the reserves for
a while as you say he might have been.

His technique on this newsgroup is simply to bluff his way
through, calling his challengers "wrong" and insulting their
person in order to misdirect the thread content. :-)

<snip>

>BTW, here's the ship on which I was depoloyed (the largest of the
>three ships in the group that carried the 24th MAU; the other two were
>the Ponce and the Saginaw):
>
>http://www.nassau.navy.mil

Interesting. The only ship I was on was the MSTS General M. M.
Patrick...one of the small troopships built at the close of the
Second World War. 33 days total, over to Japan in '53, back to
the States in '56. The 'Patrick' was dismantled some time after,
only the ship's bell surviving as part of a historical monument
in Arizona (never did figure that one out). Took some digging
to find out the facts on that ship and obtain a souvenir
picture of the whole ship from the air (difficult thing to do
when on-board). :-)

<snip>

>Now..... got any more ignorant remarks on the subject?

PLEASE! Don't ask! :-)

Dud has already made iggorant remarks on civilian avionics that
were standardized for the International Civil Aviation
Organization (ICAO) since '55. He can't even describe the
general principles of either TACAN (for military) or VOR (for
civilians) of very common aircraft radionavigation systems.

Dud couldn't describe anything but PR-sheet material for the
set-top box maker he said he worked for (for less than a half
year) as a purchasing agent (who "knew" what electronics
engineering was). :-)

>>> >> You didn't know about the VINSON system. To wit: "I know who Col
>>> >> Vinson was and I know where Ft Gordon is. Never served with him/for
>>> >> him/within 100 miles of him." Neither did you know that the VINSON
>>> >> system replaced almost all outdated crypto systems in the late '70's,
>>> >> including the old punch-pin monsters (KY-28/38 of the NESTOR system,
>>> >> which had been almost completely replaced by the VINSON system by the
>>> >> early '80's).
>>> >
>>> > JULIETT in helos. OV-10's, too.
>>> >
>>> > As of early 1992 the KY-58's had not been installed in the -53
>>> >series helos.
>>> >
>>> > Nice try, though.
>>>
>>> The KY-58's were installed in aircraft in the early '80...(SNIP)

Frank, his "Col Vinson" reply is a classic faux pas worthy of a
trophy of some sort! :-)

Dud was once more bluffing his way through, probably operating on
hearsay from some boatanchor hams who collect old military radios
and blabber about them as if they actually worked the stuff in
the military. They seem to use one another as "references" and tend
to get their "facts" a tad inaccurate at times. The ones which are
the most accurate are exemplified by Jerry Proc's crypto web pages.

Despite starting off in REAL radio some 52 years ago and having
to get into engineering of later models of military radios, I've
never had to bother with all the "NSA boxes." By the 1990s, the
COMSEC features were built into military radios. The SINCGARS
family (Dud despises those for some reason, probably because he
never played with one since they became operational in '89)
combined the crypto features into their frequency-hopping mode
control, thus causing the SINCGARS net setting control entry to
be called a "hop set" (familiar name used by all the branches).
Tsk, poor Dud would not accept the fact that Fort Gordon's Signal
School used a USMC-generated computer learning course on SINCGARS
and the Signal School praised the USMC version (they also used a
computer course generated by a civilian company). As of the end
of 2003 there were a QUARTER MILLION produced and operational
SINCGARS R/Ts in the U.S. military, mostly by ITT Fort Wayne, IN

(the old Magnavox factory).

It was fine by me that NSA handled the crypto and, before the NSA was organized, the U.S. military Army-Navy "rotor machines" system (never known to be compromised until CWO Walker came along and the USS Pueblo captured) before that. "Rotor machines" (teletypewriters with mods) bore the brunt of crypto comms from just before WW2 until after the Greek name types reached an end. I never got to see the old classic field unit, the M-209 Code Converter, in its metal flesh was seen at a traveling spy exhibit was at the Reagan Presidential Library in 2002.

>http://www.jproc.ca/crypto/ky57.html
>http://hereford.ampr.org/millist/m25.html
>http://www.pacificsites.com/~brooke/KY38.shtml
>http://www.jproc.ca/crypto/ky28.html

Interesting places. The NSA has a museum web page (!) but doesn't give many details on equipment. So does the Military Intelligence School at Fort Huachuca, AZ; good bios on the M.I. predecessor "names" there.

Another link worthy of reading on recent crypto history is Major Laura Heath's Master of Military History thesis on the John Walker spy ring and the failure of the USN to plug holes in the hull of its Fleet Broadcasting System crytpo security system. Major Heath is USA, already had a Masters from a university and was getting another at the Fort Leavenworth Command and General Staff School. Sailor types will get all upset on that thesis but it's an unfortunate fact of history. Heath's 2005 thesis is also found on the www.fas.org site.

>> And an overseas deployment is STILL refered to as a cruise and an
>>enlsitment is STILL refered to as a "hitch", no matter what you try to
>>amke from it, Frankie.
>
>Oops, I forgot -- you never got a Marine Corps Manual in boot camp
>because you were never in the Marines. Here you go, Dud:
>
>http://www.1stbn1stmarines.org/hs/fs15dictionary.htm

Thank you, Frank. Now I know more of the words you USMC types use...besides all the cussing, that is... :-)

<snip>

>> Argue the semantics all you care to, Frankie.
>>
>> You're still a liar and you're still a coward.
>
>You're probably right, Dud..... I'm sure those grapes -are- sour!

Dud is on a seven-year binge of sour whine. :-)

We can be assured that Dud's "replies" against his fantasies
are to declare the challengers to be: "LIAR," "coward,"
"PUTZ," "liar," "a disgrace," and/or a "Liar."

Such words are just part of the "mirror syndrome" where Dudly is
looking at a reflection himself in the screen and thinking it is
the other person. His continuing emphasis on "lying" is probably
because he does so much of it himself.

<snip>

>http://www.goatlocker.org/trivia.htm

Thank you, Frank, most interesting...:-)

<snip>

>So you are claiming that you used the word 'dishonorable' only to
>express your own personal opinion? That's about the lamest excuse I
>have heard from you yet, Dud!!!!! If you -really- want to impersonate
>a Marine at least you could bone up on the UCMJ before you start
>running off your mouth!!!

For the benefit of the civilians among the readers, "UCMJ"
is an acronym for Universal Code of Military Justice, the
common set of laws for all United States military branches.
The UCMJ has existed for over half a century.

<snip>

>".....a PFC with 3 1/2 years of service...", taken directly from my
>DD-214 box 11. But that's not where your ignorance ended, Dud. Notice
>where you suggested that "somewhere on that form it said 'LESS THAN
>HONORABLE'", totally unaware that the newer (post '79) DD-214 doesn't
>state character of service, even though you claim to have six of them
>and been discharged in 1992.
>
>You don't have a single DD-214 to your credit.

Again, for benefit of the civilians among the readers, "DD-214" is
a Department of Defense form filled out at the end of a continuous
period of military service as their RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY.
That form has been common to all military branches for over half a
century. Mine, issued in 1956 at Fort Sheridan, IL, has a box in
the upper left corner stating CONDITION OF RELEASE. A DD-214
cannot ever be a certificate of discharge from military service;
each military branch issues their own on termination of military
service.

A "Condition of Release" can contain a reference to a transfer
from one military branch to another, as was common when the USAF
was created out of former USA personnel back in the 1940s. There
are rare cases after that of transfers from one branch to another

but, for the vast majority of those who served, they got ONE
DD-214. "Multiple" DD-214 possession indicates either forgery or
the most rare cases of a person going into the service, serving
their whole time in one branch, then getting a discharge, going
into civilian life, then rejoining again. ONE DD-214 for one of
those "cycles" and only one per "cycle." Re-enlistment
established PRIOR to ending the contracted term of military
service does not result in a DD-214 issued for each "hitch."

<snip>

>>> If you call the fire department to put out a fire, but only -you- know
>>> that the fire doesn't exist, you can't blame them for responding.
>>> You've had seven years to provide the facts. All you have provided are
>>> unsubstantiated and contradictory claims. Do you need -even more- time
>>> to fabricate plausible details of your military 'career'?
>>
>> Fabricate WHAT, Frankie?
>
>Oh, gee, I don't know..... maybe a credible reason why you managed to
>get your medical discharge upgraded and retire with less than 20 years
>of service?

The needed REAL proof that is substantive is a copy of the DD-214.
All who served in the U.S. military got one. If a personal copy
is no longer available, one can be obtained from NARA in St. Louis.
The NARA website has been discussed in here before. They have a
request form on-line or one can download a surface mail copy.
That form MUST contain the requestor's Social Security number.
If the requestor had a military branch service number, that must
also be included in that form. NARA will not supply a copy without
the information supplied. Family members, next of kin, can request
a copy but MUST supply the required information. Anyone else
requesting a copy (other than approved agencies) is SOL.

A DD-214 is a single page form, standard letter size, copyable on
any xerographic or photographic copier. A copy from NARA costs
only a few dollars. Kinko's, Office Depot, Office Max chain stores
offer copying-to-digital-file service for a few dollars.

>> So far, all you've done is make stuff up.
>
>So far, all -you've- done is make stuff up. I'm just exposing those
>fabrications.

Dudly has been exposed so much his image comes out solid black.

It's truly amazing that Dud thinks he can get away with all his
outright LYING by call all his challengers for LIARS!

<snip>

>> You just didn't know when to shut your mouth when you got out,
>>Frankie! It got you busted while you were in the Corps, it's proven
>>you to be the liar and coward I call you now.
>>
>> Sucks to be you.
>>
>>Steve "wannabe-Marine" Robeson, K4YZ
>
>Your personal opinions don't carry any weight, Dud. Wanna disgrace me?
>Put me in my place? Then post your DD-214. Otherwise, keep blowing
>bubbles.

Major Dud figures that, with enough of his bubbles, everyone's sight will be so obstructed that they can't see his lack of a DD-214. Dud has had seven years to actually prove his military service by showing an official document verifying that but has NEVER done so. One single readable copy of a DD-214, about 300K file size, would do the trick.

Seven years and NOTHING. Not one single snapshot of him on active duty during his claimed 18 years of active time. Not one formal portrait of the mighty warrior in full dress uniform. NOTHING.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2595. Re: Image crawlers have already grabbed Todd's picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 21:19:43 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971AA57BB4716naoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125110

toddaug555@yahoo.com (N9OGL) wrote in news:toddaug555-2611051325320001@
12.156.123.39:

> In article <yvudnW7l5toddrKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not>, "yojimbo"
> <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote:
>
>> Even though he removed his own photo from his web site, web crawlers
>> had already grabbed Todd's photo and archived it at
>> http://tinyurl.com/ce7bp so that the world doesn't lose that
"snapshot
>> moment" in which Todd could be seen in his basement with his fish
tank
>> and his collection of Tonka Toys. Web crawlers are truly wonderful
>> technology.
>
> It will not be there for much longer, I'm having it removed
>
What about this copy?

http://tinypic.com/hunaee.jpg

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

## 2596. Re: Restevie contiunes his reign of lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 21:46:41 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5J4if.1538$Hk1.331@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125111

an_old_friend wrote nothing of note:

Who's Restevie?

Dave K8MN

# 2597. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 21:55:29 UTC
From: "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1133042129.559409.261760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

You see, news readers, we are seeing that K1MAN and supporter consider deceit and libel funny. I say that both are qualities disqualifying individuals from being ham radio operators.

Rich Whiten is already ex-WB2OTK; Glenn Baxter's renewal of K1MAN has been set aside. With one foot on a banana peel and the other in the grave, declaraing deceit legitimate in regulation is Baxter's deathknell.

Bob Sherin, W4ASX
Miami, Florida

# 2598. Re: Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 22:04:44 UTC
From: Walt Novinger <SWLnut@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0_4if.4963$q93.1412588@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 125116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave

off 2203?

--
Walt Novinger
Raleigh, NC USA
Kenwood R5000, Ten-Tec RX-320, RX-340
Sherwood SE-3 Mk III, Kiwa MAP, Timewave DSP-599zx, JPS ANC-4
"policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133038082.968393.258790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> Electric lines and gas pipes will carry Internet to Illinois town
> By Jon Van
> Tribune staff reporter
>
> November 26, 2005
>
> PRINCETON, Ill. -- Starting in December this town of 7,500 will begin
> offering high-speed Internet service over the electrical lines that
> power the city.
>
> It is among a handful of communities nationwide to plunge into a new
> technology called broadband over power lines, or BPL, that competes
> with Internet connections provided by telephone and cable TV operators.
> Combined with wireless technologies, broadband service delivered over
> power lines--and perhaps one day even through natural gas
> pipelines--raises the likelihood that going online anywhere at any time
> for very low cost will soon be a reality.
>
> The Princeton service, which began testing this spring, is being
> watched by small communities across Illinois. One member of the
> Illinois Commerce Commission hopes other towns will experiment with BPL
> to spread Internet connectivity and drive down costs.
>
> About 15 customers are served by Princeton's BPL test deployment, which
> demonstrates the service is robust and works well, said Jason Bird,
> superintendent of Princeton's municipal electric utility.
>
> "From the utility's standpoint, this hasn't been difficult," he said.
> "The equipment is similar to what we work with every day."
>
> Customers seem to like the service, especially the in-house portability
> BPL offers. A computer can move from one room to another and go online
> simply by plugging its modem into any electrical outlet in the house.
>

> "I'm much more active on the Interent now because the speed is much
> better than with dial-up," said Leslie Lund, who began using BPL in
> March. "I don't get interference, even when my husband uses his power
> tools."
>
> The electric line connections get their Internet signals from a 12-mile
> loop of fiber that Princeton installed last year as a means of
> attracting industrial development. After one factory left town in 2003
> and the manager of another complained about the town's lack of advanced
> communications infrastructure, the city decided it needed fiber, said
> Mayor Keith Cain.
>
> "We already had our own electric utility, so that gave us a real
> advantage," he said. Since the city installed fiber and started testing
> BPL the local cable and phone operators upgraded their systems and cut
> service rates, he said.
>
> One downside to Princeton's BPL experience has been an inability to get
> enough equipment to begin the commercial rollout sooner, Cain said. The
> town's BPL vendor ran into financial difficulty and stopped producing
> equipment while it went into reorganization.
>
> Under new ownership, the vendor now says it can ship the products
> needed for the rollout, said Steve Brust, vice president of Connecting
> Point Community Centers, the Internet service provider that manages
> Princeton's broadband service.
>
> "The equipment works fine, but it's proprietary," Brust said. "There
> are a lot of companies in BPL right now, but there are no standards and
> no one company dominates the market."
>
> Lack of standards is common with any new technology, said Raymond
> Blair, vice president for BPL initiatives for IBM Corp. Broadband
> technologies like Wi-Fi that are based on standards enjoy popularity
> because the equipment is interoperable and less expensive than
> proprietary systems.
>
> At least three industry-based committees are working toward BPL
> standardization, Blair said. The emerging industry should benefit from
> their work within a year or two, he said.
>
> "The best case for BPL right now lies in creating a smart electrical
> grid," Blair said. Utilities can spot trouble, read meters, improve
> efficiencies and generally boost reliability once they install fiber to
> monitor their grids, he said.
>
> Once BPL standards are in place, equipment costs will drop, making a
> stronger economic case for offering high-speed Internet to residences,
> Blair said.
>

> Robert Lieberman, a member of the Illinois Commerce Commission, said
> the state has $5 million it will award to projects intended to extend
> Internet connectivity.
>
> There will be another $5 million available next year, and he hopes that
> some BPL projects will receive a portion of that Digital Divide
> infrastructure funding.
>
> Also, Lieberman said, the ICC and state lawmakers need to provide
> incentives to electric utilities to install smart grid equipment that
> makes BPL to residential customers possible. Texas lawmakers recently
> adopted such incentives, and legislators in New York are considering
> doing so, he said.
>
> Power lines aren't the Internet's only new avenue into homes. There's
> also interest in using natural gas pipelines.
>
> Broadband in gas, or BiG, has been proven to work in concept, although
> field trials haven't yet been launched, said George West, a senior
> analyst with West Technology Research Solutions, a market research firm
> based in Mountain View, Calif.
>
> BiG would rely upon ultra-wideband radio waves traveling through gas
> pipes to bring Internet to customers. The Federal Communications
> Commission approved ultra-wideband applications a few years ago but
> requires they operate at very low power to avoid interference with
> wireless phones and other appliances.
>
> Pumping ultra-wideband signals along gas lines buried underground would
> shield them from interference, enabling them to operate at higher
> power, West said. "BiG has the potential to serve 18 million homes by
> 2010."
>
> The ICC's Lieberman said he is intrigued by BiG.
>
> "I'd love to see a project with People's Gas or Nicor to test this," he
> said. "My theory is the more pipes you have to bring the Internet to
> customers, the better for everyone."
>

# 2599. Re: Experiance interval for Extra

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 22:10:09 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <535if.5981$wf.4400@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125113

```
<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1133038337.973998.29260@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> news:1132879785.346830.50390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >> news:1132853844.785587.84750@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> >> I really have no problem with an experience criteria
>> >> >> (e.g.a time interval between General and Extra).
>> >> >
>> >> > Nor I, but it would make more work for FCC. Right now
>> >> > anyone can go from any license class or no license at all
>> >> > to Extra in one exam session. An experience requirement
>> >> > would mean that many hams would need at least two exam
>> >> > sessions and two FCC paperwork cycles to get to Extra.
>> >> > More admin work = not something FCC would like.
>> >>
>> >> Any idea what percent of people actually pass both
>> >> the General and the Extra in one session?
>> >
>> > Probably a considerable number. The number of Generals is pretty stable
>> > while the number of Extras just keeps growing.
>> >
>> > Note too that for one VE fee you get one chance at every element you
>> > haven't already passed. If someone goes to a VE session for General,
>> > there's no harm or cost (except time) if they try the Extra while
>> > they're at it. I've known more than a few hams who went to a VE session
>> > intending on the General and who came home with an Extra.
>> >
>> > Not a new thing, either. Way back in 1968, when I went to the FCC
>> > office at 2nd & Chestnut to take the General, the examiner suggested
>> > that I try the Advanced while I was there. No additional cost and since
>> > I had the General in the bag, it would actually save him some work in
>> > the future. A 14-year-old with any sense at all did not say "no" to The
>> > Man, so I tried the Advanced written, and passed.
>> >>
>> >> I suspect the number is relatively small.
>> >>
>> > Check the AH0A site under "new licenses".
>> > While most hams start out as Techs,
>> > every month a small but not negligible
>> > number go straight to General or Extra.
```

>>
>> That's my question, how small is that number?
>> Also, the AH0A site doesn't truly indicate if
>> someone went immediately from Tech to Extra
>> at the same VE session so the ability to determine
>> how many did so via AH0A stats isn't accurate
>
> It's impossible to accurately determine *upgrades* from AH0A's
> numbers. An upgrade is classed as a modification, same as an address
> or name change.
>
> But if you look at the number of new licenses, it's clear that at least
> some
> new hams bypass Tech and go straight for General or Extra. AH0A's
> numbers only count as "new" licenses where the licensee was not
> in the database at all during the previous month.
>
> Of course some "new" licenses are actually "retread" hams, who let
> their licenses lapse for whatever reason and now are back.
>
>> > Regardless of the number,
>> > I doubt FCC would bring back the experience
>> > requirement after 30 years without one.
>> > Particularly since they'd have to enforce it.
>>
>> What's to enforce? All it comes down to is license issuing.
>
>> Seems all the FCC need do is not allow the upgrade unless
>> the applicant has 'N' years of elapsed time since getting their
>> General. The FCC database system could automatically
>> withhold issuing the Extra unless the time interval is elapsed.
>> It could even be automatic so the person might pass their
>> Extra at some point and the FCC system having been notified
>> of the person passing Extra would then be updated and at
>> the elapsed time interval, the FCC could then automatically
>> issue the Extra upgrade. Just some basic software application
>> reprograming as I see it.
>
> Actually the enforcement would fall upon the VEs anyway. They'd
> be required to only give the Extra test to those who could show
> a General or Advanced license that had been issued at least
> X amount of time previously. Form 605 could be changed so
> that you'd have to indicate the effective date of the General, etc.

Why should an applicant be prohibited from taking and passing
the test? The time interval should be limiting the actual
license issuance...not serve as a roadblock to taking the
test at any time.

> So it really wouldn't be an FCC enforcement thing at all.
>

> OTOH, it would increase FCC admin work slightly because they'd
> have more upgrades to process.
>
> The big hurdle would be selling FCC on the idea that an experience
> requirement is needed, after 30 years without one. That selling job
> would rival convincing them that a 5 wpm code test is still
> needed.......;-)

We'll likly never know :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 2600. Re: Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 22:13:29 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <1133043209.892760.230830@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave

This is just great! Next they will be offering DSL through the sewer lines. But, then again, this would be redundant!

FC

# 2601. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 22:14:54 UTC
From: Nr281 <281@pomoohamltd.com>
Message-ID: <dmamkt$vr0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.cb

"Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1133042129.559409.261760@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> You see, news readers, ////////////FLUSH////////////

ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ

# 2602. Re: Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 22:31:22 UTC
From: Walt Novinger <SWLnut@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_m5if.4964$q93.1414344@twister.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 125119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave

Sorry...finger fumble. :)

--
Walt Novinger
Raleigh, NC USA
Kenwood R5000, Ten-Tec RX-320, RX-340
Sherwood SE-3 Mk III, Kiwa MAP, Timewave DSP-599zx, JPS ANC-4
"Walt Novinger" <SWLnut@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:0_4if.4963$q93.1412588@twister.southeast.rr.com...
> off 2203?
>
>
> --
> Walt Novinger
> Raleigh, NC USA
> Kenwood R5000, Ten-Tec RX-320, RX-340
> Sherwood SE-3 Mk III, Kiwa MAP, Timewave DSP-599zx, JPS ANC-4
> "policy-ham" <hamop_62@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1133038082.968393.258790@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>> Electric lines and gas pipes will carry Internet to Illinois town
>> By Jon Van
>> Tribune staff reporter
>>
>> November 26, 2005
>>
>> PRINCETON, Ill. -- Starting in December this town of 7,500 will begin
>> offering high-speed Internet service over the electrical lines that
>> power the city.
>>
>> It is among a handful of communities nationwide to plunge into a new
>> technology called broadband over power lines, or BPL, that competes
>> with Internet connections provided by telephone and cable TV operators.
>> Combined with wireless technologies, broadband service delivered over
>> power lines--and perhaps one day even through natural gas
>> pipelines--raises the likelihood that going online anywhere at any time
>> for very low cost will soon be a reality.
>>
>> The Princeton service, which began testing this spring, is being
>> watched by small communities across Illinois. One member of the
>> Illinois Commerce Commission hopes other towns will experiment with BPL
>> to spread Internet connectivity and drive down costs.
>>
>> About 15 customers are served by Princeton's BPL test deployment, which

>> demonstrates the service is robust and works well, said Jason Bird,
>> superintendent of Princeton's municipal electric utility.
>>
>> "From the utility's standpoint, this hasn't been difficult," he said.
>> "The equipment is similar to what we work with every day."
>>
>> Customers seem to like the service, especially the in-house portability
>> BPL offers. A computer can move from one room to another and go online
>> simply by plugging its modem into any electrical outlet in the house.
>>
>> "I'm much more active on the Interent now because the speed is much
>> better than with dial-up," said Leslie Lund, who began using BPL in
>> March. "I don't get interference, even when my husband uses his power
>> tools."
>>
>> The electric line connections get their Internet signals from a 12-mile
>> loop of fiber that Princeton installed last year as a means of
>> attracting industrial development. After one factory left town in 2003
>> and the manager of another complained about the town's lack of advanced
>> communications infrastructure, the city decided it needed fiber, said
>> Mayor Keith Cain.
>>
>> "We already had our own electric utility, so that gave us a real
>> advantage," he said. Since the city installed fiber and started testing
>> BPL the local cable and phone operators upgraded their systems and cut
>> service rates, he said.
>>
>> One downside to Princeton's BPL experience has been an inability to get
>> enough equipment to begin the commercial rollout sooner, Cain said. The
>> town's BPL vendor ran into financial difficulty and stopped producing
>> equipment while it went into reorganization.
>>
>> Under new ownership, the vendor now says it can ship the products
>> needed for the rollout, said Steve Brust, vice president of Connecting
>> Point Community Centers, the Internet service provider that manages
>> Princeton's broadband service.
>>
>> "The equipment works fine, but it's proprietary," Brust said. "There
>> are a lot of companies in BPL right now, but there are no standards and
>> no one company dominates the market."
>>
>> Lack of standards is common with any new technology, said Raymond
>> Blair, vice president for BPL initiatives for IBM Corp. Broadband
>> technologies like Wi-Fi that are based on standards enjoy popularity
>> because the equipment is interoperable and less expensive than
>> proprietary systems.
>>
>> At least three industry-based committees are working toward BPL
>> standardization, Blair said. The emerging industry should benefit from
>> their work within a year or two, he said.

>>
>> "The best case for BPL right now lies in creating a smart electrical
>> grid," Blair said. Utilities can spot trouble, read meters, improve
>> efficiencies and generally boost reliability once they install fiber to
>> monitor their grids, he said.
>>
>> Once BPL standards are in place, equipment costs will drop, making a
>> stronger economic case for offering high-speed Internet to residences,
>> Blair said.
>>
>> Robert Lieberman, a member of the Illinois Commerce Commission, said
>> the state has $5 million it will award to projects intended to extend
>> Internet connectivity.
>>
>> There will be another $5 million available next year, and he hopes that
>> some BPL projects will receive a portion of that Digital Divide
>> infrastructure funding.
>>
>> Also, Lieberman said, the ICC and state lawmakers need to provide
>> incentives to electric utilities to install smart grid equipment that
>> makes BPL to residential customers possible. Texas lawmakers recently
>> adopted such incentives, and legislators in New York are considering
>> doing so, he said.
>>
>> Power lines aren't the Internet's only new avenue into homes. There's
>> also interest in using natural gas pipelines.
>>
>> Broadband in gas, or BiG, has been proven to work in concept, although
>> field trials haven't yet been launched, said George West, a senior
>> analyst with West Technology Research Solutions, a market research firm
>> based in Mountain View, Calif.
>>
>> BiG would rely upon ultra-wideband radio waves traveling through gas
>> pipes to bring Internet to customers. The Federal Communications
>> Commission approved ultra-wideband applications a few years ago but
>> requires they operate at very low power to avoid interference with
>> wireless phones and other appliances.
>>
>> Pumping ultra-wideband signals along gas lines buried underground would
>> shield them from interference, enabling them to operate at higher
>> power, West said. "BiG has the potential to serve 18 million homes by
>> 2010."
>>
>> The ICC's Lieberman said he is intrigued by BiG.
>>
>> "I'd love to see a project with People's Gas or Nicor to test this," he
>> said. "My theory is the more pipes you have to bring the Internet to
>> customers, the better for everyone."
>>
>

>

# 2603. Re: Image crawlers have already grabbed Todd's picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 22:41:00 UTC
From: ramen noodles <oinkoinkoink@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <18fc4$4388f28d$d1cc7a6a$14021@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 125117

"not an old friend" <naof@toad.com> spooged on the brick wall
behind the bowling alley in message
news:Xns971AA57BB4716naoftoadcom@213.155.197.138...
> toddaug555@yahoo.com (N9OGL) wrote in news:toddaug555-2611051325320001@
> 12.156.123.39:
>
> > In article <yvudnW7l5toddrKho_jcRVn-rQ@gelded.not>, "yojimbo"
> > <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote:
> >
> >> Even though he removed his own photo from his web site, web crawlers
> >> had already grabbed Todd's photo and archived it at
> >> http://tinyurl.com/ce7bp so that the world doesn't lose that
> "snapshot
> >> moment" in which Todd could be seen in his basement with his fish
> tank
> >> and his collection of Tonka Toys. Web crawlers are truly wonderful
> >> technology.
> >
> > It will not be there for much longer, I'm having it removed
> >
> What about this copy?
>
> http://tinypic.com/hunaee.jpg

Here's another source of photos from a well-known 75M net QRM'er......

http://www.usenet-replayer.com/groups/alt.cocksucker.roger-wiseman.html

# 2604. Re: Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 22:59:21 UTC
From: ramen noodles <oinkoinkoink@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e7400$4388f6d2$d1cc7a6a$14207@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 125118
Cross-posted to: alt.anger,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.bizarre

Now here's somethijg some hams I know would LOVE to have!

"Howard Beale" <weirdlinks@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:I94if.19078$BZ5.8259@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> A woman in Vallejo, California found Christmas bulbs with swastikas on
them
> at a local Pier 1 Imports store. When she and Jews complained, the store
> declined to remove the offensive item from their shelves. SEE PHOTOS
>
> LINK: http://tinyurl.com/cwhog
> FULL LINK: http://abclocal.go.com/kgo/story?section=local&id=3661759
>
>

# 2605. Re: Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 23:12:20 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iqkw4kd.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 125120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 26 Nov 2005 12:48:03 -0800, policy-ham wrote:

>"I'm much more active on the Interent now because the speed is much
>better than with dial-up," said Leslie Lund, who began using BPL in
>March. "I don't get interference, even when my husband uses his power
>tools."

Fire up a full-power 1500W RTTY transmitter on 80 meters next door
and see if she can make the same statement.....

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

# 2606. Re: K1MAN ESSAY: E051028A - WELCOME AARA AND IARN - HAM RADIO WILL DIE WITHOUT THEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 23:24:35 UTC
From: Ed <anon@eddalnetm.net>
Message-ID: <dmaqne$h8e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Everyone invited to check into the International DAL Net,
Sunday, at 2 p.m. EST. 14342 khz

Net warm up tonight on 75 meters.

# 2607. Re: Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 23:31:05 UTC
From: gus <gas_dude@gasman.com>
Message-ID: <4388F038.345602B4@gasman.com>
Source record: 125122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't know about other areas but
here in NC, our gaslines are plastic.

Even the larger mains are all plastic
pipe.

BPL will loose money bigtime, and
the utilities that go online with it will
siphon money from other ratepayers
to pay for the starup and the shutdown of
bpl.

gus

## 2608. Re: stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 23:37:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133048244.802400.275520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125123

an old friend wrote:
> what has radio to do sexuality

Steve hasn't answered yet?

Good grief! I can't imagine a guy that tries to tie every post of
yours back to sexual preference wouldn't have an answer yet.

Maybe he's too busy retelling his seven hostile actions accounts.

## 2609. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 23:40:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133048453.301874.109530@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125124

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:

>Did you work for FCC in 1951, Len? Did you see FCC chuckling
>at handwritten letters?

In 1951 I was working at my first full-time job, nowhere
close to DC. Where were you? Still "chuckling" in the
zygote pool?

[ chuckle, chuckle ]

>> Things are a bit different now. Internet access to ALL
>> government is faster than overnight express mail. FCC has
>> to accept ALL filings. By law.
>
>It's always been that way, Len.

Not before 1934. :-)

[ chuckle, chuckle ]

>> The correspondence on hot-
>> ticket Dockets is enormous compared to more than a half
>> century ago.
>
>Fun fact:
>
>Back about 1964 - a bit more than a dozen years after 1951, and more
>than 25 years before "the internet went public", the proposed changes
>that would come to be known as "incentive licensing" caused FCC to
>receive over 6000 comments. Back then the US amateur population was
>less than half what it is today, and practically all of them went by US
>mail.
>
>Did the FCC "chuckle" over them?

Did you work for FCC in 1964, Jim-Jim? Did you see all those
"6000" comments?

[ chuckle, chuckle ]

In 1964 I was Chief Engineer at Birtcher Instruments Division
and had received my Army Honorable Discharge four years before
that. Where were you then?

[ chuckle, chuckle ]

Remember, Today is the tomorrow you worried about yesterday...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2610. Re: when will stevie fess up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 23:43:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133048633.808604.5500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125125

an_old_friend wrote:
> when will stevie stop lying in making the claim that sexuality is
> related to Radio

Mark, he may not be lying. None-the-less, he does have some explaining to do. Perhaps he could consult with the "Lamba" Amateur Radio Club (LARC) in order to come up with the answer to your question since he appears to have no clue as to why he continually tries to tie his responses to you to sexuality. Since he has no answer of his own perhaps a Quite LARC member could lend some assistance.

# 2611. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 23:47:47 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133048867.164899.87930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

FINALLY!!

THE TRUTH!

The public has known for a long time how useless ham radio is, not to
mention the low life types who becomes hams. Think I'll have to write a
few letters myself. most of the spectrum 50 mhz and above should be
turned over to commerical interests.

GOOD FOR YOU, GOVERNOR!!

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur Radio
> operators in emergency communications during a televised "town meeting"
> program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The program
> featured public safety and volunteer organization officials from across
> Massachusetts among its audience.
>
> Host and moderator Natalie Jacobson asked an increasingly-agitated
> Governor Romney questions about communications interoperability, and
> communication without commercial power. Romney was next asked by
> Jacobson, "...so does it come down to ham radio?..."
>
> The Governor replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal
> with ham radio operators..."
>
> Embarrassed public safety officials later tried to put in a good word
> for Amateur Radio. National Weather Service Warning Coordination
> Meteorologist Glenn Field was prepared to state the importance of
> Amateur Radio, when Salvation Army Colonel Fred Van Brunt was called
> upon. Van Brunt remarked about his organization's quest to improve its
> communications capabilities and how Amateur Radio has aided his
> organization. "The ham radio situation helps a great deal," he stated.
>
> "I have already written and submitted a letter to the Governor's
> Office," wrote Eastern MA Section Emergency Coordinator Rob Macedo,
> KD1CY. "I have also written an email to Natalie Jacobson."
>
> ARRL Section Manager Mike Neilsen, W1MPN, sent a section-wide email to
> all Eastern MA ARRL members describing the incident along with actions
> he and his staff were taking to mitigate the situation.
>
> "[Romney's] attitude about us sets an unfortunate tone within the

> state's executive branch," wrote Neilsen. "As a former military
> officer, I see this as a failure in leadership. My immediate concern is
> our working relationship within the [Massachusetts Emergency Management
> Team] environment." Neilsen intended to address the Governor's comments
> as "an urgent matter" at a meeting on November 17 with Don Carlton from
> the Emergency Management Agency.
>
> "I was very disturbed about what the Governor stated on the program,"
> wrote Ron Wood, W1PLW, the section's Public Information Coordinator.
> "It does show that more work is needed by all hams in the section. It's
> a great idea to write letters explaining the good we do." Wood is
> attempting to schedule a meeting with the Governor's office so that EMA
> ARRL staffers might discuss the matter further.
>
> Tom Kinahan, N1CPE wrote that Governor Romney's comment has made "a PR
> problem" for Kinahan in his role as MA State RACES Officer.
>
> "I've got a roster of over 150 Amateur Radio operators that support
> local communities, and those of us that directly support the state
> government. There are at least double that in terms of people that are
> actually out there that I don't have formal paperwork on that support
> Amateur Radio emergency communications in some organized manner... The
> Governor has said that he 'certainly doesn't need to rely on ham radio'
> -- where does that leave the RACES program now?"

# 2612. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-26 23:53:26 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133049206.399184.26410@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125127

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >Did you work for FCC in 1951, Len? Did you see FCC chuckling
> >at handwritten letters?
>
> In 1951 I was working at my first full-time job, nowhere
> close to DC. Where were you? Still "chuckling" in the
> zygote pool?
>
> [ chuckle, chuckle ]
>
>
> >> Things are a bit different now. Internet access to ALL
> >> government is faster than overnight express mail. FCC has
> >> to accept ALL filings. By law.
> >>
> >It's always been that way, Len.
>
> Not before 1934. :-)
>
> [ chuckle, chuckle ]
>
> >> The correspondence on hot-
> >> ticket Dockets is enormous compared to more than a half
> >> century ago.
> >>
> >Fun fact:
> >>
> >Back about 1964 - a bit more than a dozen years after 1951, and more
> >than 25 years before "the internet went public", the proposed changes
> >that would come to be known as "incentive licensing" caused FCC to
> >receive over 6000 comments. Back then the US amateur population was
> >less than half what it is today, and practically all of them went by US
> >mail.
> >>
> >Did the FCC "chuckle" over them?
>
> Did you work for FCC in 1964, Jim-Jim? Did you see all those
> "6000" comments?
>
> [ chuckle, chuckle ]

>
> In 1964 I was Chief Engineer at Birtcher Instruments Division
> and had received my Army Honorable Discharge four years before
> that. Where were you then?
>
> [ chuckle, chuckle ]
>
> Remember, Today is the tomorrow you worried about yesterday...
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

Jim has an Honorable discharge? I didn't even know that he served.

## 2613. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 00:11:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133050294.563948.107500@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125128

LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
>
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
> >Did you work for FCC in 1951, Len? Did you see FCC chuckling
> >at handwritten letters?
>
> In 1951 I was working at my first full-time job, nowhere
> close to DC.

So you don't really know what you're talking about when you
talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.
>
> >> Things are a bit different now. Internet access to ALL
> >> government is faster than overnight express mail. FCC has
> >> to accept ALL filings. By law.
> >
> >It's always been that way, Len.
>
> Not before 1934. :-)
>
> [ chuckle, chuckle ]
>
> >> The correspondence on hot-
> >> ticket Dockets is enormous compared to more than a half
> >> century ago.
> >
> >Fun fact:
> >
> >Back about 1964 - a bit more than a dozen years after 1951, and more
> >than 25 years before "the internet went public", the proposed changes
> >that would come to be known as "incentive licensing" caused FCC to
> >receive over 6000 comments. Back then the US amateur population was
> >less than half what it is today, and practically all of them went by US
> >mail.
> >
> >Did the FCC "chuckle" over them?
>
> Did you work for FCC in 1964, Jim-Jim? Did you see all those
> "6000" comments?

No - but they existed, nonetheless.

> [ chuckle, chuckle ]
>
> In 1964 I was Chief Engineer at Birtcher Instruments Division
> and had received my Army Honorable Discharge four years before
> that.

In other words, you had nothing to do with FCC then, either.

# 2614. Re: stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 00:23:02 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133050982.489136.207990@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125129

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 26, 3:37 pm

>an old friend wrote:

>> what has radio to do sexuality
>
>Steve hasn't answered yet?

Dudly has been too busy reading up on past issues of Soldier of
Fortune magazines. He did that out of frustration at not
"getting any" lately...?

>Good grief! I can't imagine a guy that tries to tie every post of
>yours back to sexual preference wouldn't have an answer yet.

Dud prolly got twisted trying to read up on solid-state theory
of heterojunction transistors...thought they were bipolar
heterosexuals...

>Maybe he's too busy retelling his seven hostile actions accounts.

DEFINITELY a factor here! :-)

Maybe he is negotiating with an agent to have his "several"
DD-214s published? [in "hard" cover, of course...]

He might have a musical orgasm on seeing the proofs for that
publishing.

Remember, always ask why the word abbreviation is so long...?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2615. Re: WAS: Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him! NOW: The Whole World Is Laughing At Lennie Laughing At No One In Particular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 00:28:40 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133051320.501503.148130@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125130

From: K4YZ <MajorDud@aol.com> on Nov 23, 3:52 pm

>an old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > an_old_friend wrote:

> Just break old habits and tell the truth without being backed into
>a corner for once.

Show us how it's done...publish your DD-214.

> You've aleady been doing it for almost a decade.

You've shown us how THAT is done for almost a decade. Bad
snow-job tactics, faker.

Almost a decade and you've NEVER provided any documented
proof of your mighty 18-year career in the USMC>. Not even
one single snapshot of Dud during his "active-duty" time.
NOTHING.

> Seek help.

You really should. Working in a hospital already gets you
close to REAL professional MDs who can help YOU.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2616. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 00:49:21 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133052561.944928.24040@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125131

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Nov 22 2005 8:12 pm
>
> > Hmmm...not a brand I recognize in this corner of the USA. The
> > "Newman's Own" line of food products may not be popular farther
> > east in the USA? I've tried "Newman's Own" Ranch dressing but
> > personally prefer the "Hidden Valley" brand for Ranch dressing.
>
> I had you pegged as a "Hidden Agenda" man.

Says "Sun-dried Tomato" from WV.

> >>> Morsemen can do anything in impunity...or is it "special
> >>> dispensation?" They have a "mass" of lies. If only they
> >>> would go to confessional and admit them...
> >> They have black mass and wrenchysnitch. Egg nog afterwards.
> >
> > Some have "hot buttered rum" in foursomes around a fire of
> > oak leaves and discuss Anderson PowerPole connectors.
>
> That may have been the topic in the cozy lodge or it may have been your
> tiny, old, dusty Johnson. That Anderson Powerpole bit may be a bit of
> self-aggrandizement on your part.

Everyone seems to have "thier" own opinion on the subject.

> > Appears to be a popular ceremony along the Atlantic states.
>
> What was it that your Nordic ancestors did--paint their faces blue and
> howl at the moon?

Or ate sardines for breakfast?

> See you in the CQ Worldwide DX CW 'test this weekend, Len or do you plan
> to stick with the Wordwide?
>
> Dave K8MN

Is there a CQ WWW this weekend? Never heard of it.

Maybe John Dorr could shed some light on your "assertion of fact."

# 2617. Re: WAS: Re: Here's A "Blast From The Past" Back In Markie's Lap! And He Wonders Why The Whole World Is Laughing At Him! NOW: The Whole World Is Laughing At Lennie Laughing At No One In Particular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 00:56:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133053007.532641.272470@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125132

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K4YZ <MajorDud@aol.com> on Nov 23, 3:52 pm
>
> >an old friend wrote:
> >> K4YZ wrote:
> >> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > Just break old habits and tell the truth without being backed into
> >a corner for once.
>
> Show us how it's done...publish your DD-214.

That's going to be difficult. Gotta have a real one to start with.

> > You've aleady been doing it for almost a decade.
>
> You've shown us how THAT is done for almost a decade. Bad
> snow-job tactics, faker.
>
> Almost a decade and you've NEVER provided any documented
> proof of your mighty 18-year career in the USMC>. Not even
> one single snapshot of Dud during his "active-duty" time.
> NOTHING.

NOTHING.

> > Seek help.
>
> You really should. Working in a hospital already gets you
> close to REAL professional MDs who can help YOU.
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

He could probably get it for free, assuming that he really is a
licensed health professional.

# 2618. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 00:58:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Sw7if.1192$YT3.750@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125133

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Frank Gilliland on Fri, Nov 25 2005 5:06 am
>
>> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>>>>> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

> His technique on this newsgroup is simply to bluff his way
> through, calling his challengers "wrong" and insulting their
> person in order to misdirect the thread content. :-)

Why, Leonard, you astound me. That's precisely what you've done here
for all these many years. :-) :-)

> Despite starting off in REAL radio some 52 years ago and having
> to get into engineering of later models of military radios, I've
> never had to bother with all the "NSA boxes."

We wouldn't want you to be "bothered", Len. I had to be "bothered" with
a number of them over the years". You never had to be "bothered" with
morse code either. That's two things which have gotten along pretty
well without your expertise.

> By the 1990s, the
> COMSEC features were built into military radios.

I see that you've already learned that "COMMSEC" is "COMSEC".

> It was fine by me that NSA handled the crypto...

I'll bet those folks are grateful that you didn't have any beef with
that. You could have become an advocate for allowing for greater
citizen participation.

> For the benefit of the civilians among the readers, "UCMJ"
> is an acronym for Universal Code of Military Justice, the
> common set of laws for all United States military branches.

*Sigh* Do you ever get anything right, Leonard. UCMJ stands for
"Uniform Code of Military Justice".

> Again, for benefit of the civilians among the readers, "DD-214" is
> a Department of Defense form filled out at the end of a continuous
> period of military service as their RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY.

That's twice with "for benefit of the civilians among the readers" stuff. Are you under the impression that you're in the military now? If you're going to attempt clarification for the civilians among the readers, the least you could do is get your ducks in a row.

Dave K8MN

# 2619. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:10:45 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <dmb12l$fmv$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur Radio
> operators in emergency communications during a televised "town meeting"
> program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The program
> featured public safety and volunteer organization officials from across
> Massachusetts among its audience.
>
> Host and moderator Natalie Jacobson asked an increasingly-agitated
> Governor Romney questions about communications interoperability, and
> communication without commercial power. Romney was next asked by
> Jacobson, "...so does it come down to ham radio?..."
>
> The Governor replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal
> with ham radio operators..."
>
++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Typical politico or either party.

Hasn't a clue how the states com systems works, get frustrated by the
hard
questions, and lashes out when he should has kept quite. Sadly he will
in all
probability not suffer for his stupidity.

He ought to be ran out of office on a rail.

This attitude is why I no longer participate in ARES, SkyWarn or any
other
activity that has ANY government connection.

PICON be damned.

I have spent enough nights looking for tornados, enough weekends trying
to
"interface" with various government agencies to deliver messages during
floods,
and enough cold days running MDs and nurses around when the snow/ice
shuts
the town down.

Terry

# 2620. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:10:46 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <dmb12m$fn2$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

On 26 Nov 2005 18:19:42 GMT, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

>Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur Radio
>operators in emergency communications during a televised "town meeting"
>program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The program
>featured public safety and volunteer organization officials from across
>Massachusetts among its audience.
>
>Host and moderator Natalie Jacobson asked an increasingly-agitated
>Governor Romney questions about communications interoperability, and
>communication without commercial power. Romney was next asked by
>Jacobson, "...so does it come down to ham radio?..."
>
>The Governor replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal
>with ham radio operators..."
>
>Embarrassed public safety officials later tried to put in a good word
>for Amateur Radio. National Weather Service Warning Coordination
>Meteorologist Glenn Field was prepared to state the importance of
>Amateur Radio, when Salvation Army Colonel Fred Van Brunt was called
>upon. Van Brunt remarked about his organization's quest to improve its
>communications capabilities and how Amateur Radio has aided his
>organization. "The ham radio situation helps a great deal," he stated.
>
>"I have already written and submitted a letter to the Governor's
>Office," wrote Eastern MA Section Emergency Coordinator Rob Macedo,
>KD1CY. "I have also written an email to Natalie Jacobson."
>
>ARRL Section Manager Mike Neilsen, W1MPN, sent a section-wide email to
>all Eastern MA ARRL members describing the incident along with actions
>he and his staff were taking to mitigate the situation.
>
>"[Romney's] attitude about us sets an unfortunate tone within the
>state's executive branch," wrote Neilsen. "As a former military
>officer, I see this as a failure in leadership. My immediate concern is
>our working relationship within the [Massachusetts Emergency Management
>Team] environment." Neilsen intended to address the Governor's comments
>as "an urgent matter" at a meeting on November 17 with Don Carlton from
>the Emergency Management Agency.
>
>"I was very disturbed about what the Governor stated on the program,"
>wrote Ron Wood, W1PLW, the section's Public Information Coordinator.

>"It does show that more work is needed by all hams in the section. It's
>a great idea to write letters explaining the good we do." Wood is
>attempting to schedule a meeting with the Governor's office so that EMA
>ARRL staffers might discuss the matter further.
>
>Tom Kinahan, N1CPE wrote that Governor Romney's comment has made "a PR
>problem" for Kinahan in his role as MA State RACES Officer.
>
>"I've got a roster of over 150 Amateur Radio operators that support
>local communities, and those of us that directly support the state
>government. There are at least double that in terms of people that are
>actually out there that I don't have formal paperwork on that support
>Amateur Radio emergency communications in some organized manner... The
>Governor has said that he 'certainly doesn't need to rely on ham radio'
>-- where does that leave the RACES program now?"
>
>
Every EOC I've ever visited has a RACES operator position in the
situation room.

http://www.races.net/

# 2621. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:10:46 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dmb12m$fn5$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

FINALLY!!

THE TRUTH!

The public has known for a long time how useless ham radio is, not to
mention the low life types who becomes hams. Think I'll have to write a
few letters myself. most of the spectrum 50 mhz and above should be
turned over to commerical interests.

GOOD FOR YOU, GOVERNOR!!

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur Radio
> operators in emergency communications during a televised "town meeting"
> program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The program
> featured public safety and volunteer organization officials from across
> Massachusetts among its audience.
>
> Host and moderator Natalie Jacobson asked an increasingly-agitated
> Governor Romney questions about communications interoperability, and
> communication without commercial power. Romney was next asked by
> Jacobson, "...so does it come down to ham radio?..."
>
> The Governor replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal
> with ham radio operators..."
>
> Embarrassed public safety officials later tried to put in a good word
> for Amateur Radio. National Weather Service Warning Coordination
> Meteorologist Glenn Field was prepared to state the importance of
> Amateur Radio, when Salvation Army Colonel Fred Van Brunt was called
> upon. Van Brunt remarked about his organization's quest to improve its
> communications capabilities and how Amateur Radio has aided his
> organization. "The ham radio situation helps a great deal," he stated.
>
> "I have already written and submitted a letter to the Governor's
> Office," wrote Eastern MA Section Emergency Coordinator Rob Macedo,
> KD1CY. "I have also written an email to Natalie Jacobson."
>
> ARRL Section Manager Mike Neilsen, W1MPN, sent a section-wide email to
> all Eastern MA ARRL members describing the incident along with actions
> he and his staff were taking to mitigate the situation.
>
> "[Romney's] attitude about us sets an unfortunate tone within the

> state's executive branch," wrote Neilsen. "As a former military
> officer, I see this as a failure in leadership. My immediate concern is
> our working relationship within the [Massachusetts Emergency Management
> Team] environment." Neilsen intended to address the Governor's comments
> as "an urgent matter" at a meeting on November 17 with Don Carlton from
> the Emergency Management Agency.
>
> "I was very disturbed about what the Governor stated on the program,"
> wrote Ron Wood, W1PLW, the section's Public Information Coordinator.
> "It does show that more work is needed by all hams in the section. It's
> a great idea to write letters explaining the good we do." Wood is
> attempting to schedule a meeting with the Governor's office so that EMA
> ARRL staffers might discuss the matter further.
>
> Tom Kinahan, N1CPE wrote that Governor Romney's comment has made "a PR
> problem" for Kinahan in his role as MA State RACES Officer.
>
> "I've got a roster of over 150 Amateur Radio operators that support
> local communities, and those of us that directly support the state
> government. There are at least double that in terms of people that are
> actually out there that I don't have formal paperwork on that support
> Amateur Radio emergency communications in some organized manner... The
> Governor has said that he 'certainly doesn't need to rely on ham radio'
> -- where does that leave the RACES program now?"

# 2622. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:10:49 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <dmb12p$fnb$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

Think about it: State: Massachusettes; Politician: Mitt Romney.
Doesn't that say it all? This isn't the first time his head (or
lard-ass Ted Kennedy's head for that matter) has been impacted up his
ass! Why do the people in this state keep electing these bottom
feeders?

FC

# 2623. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:22:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133054567.521173.88520@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125138

an old friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > N0IMD wrote
> >
> > > I passed my Novice exam in November 1986 and I'm still considered a
> > > newcomer by your OF buddies here.
> >
> > You're not even eligible for QCAO membership! <BSEG>
>
> which ever gruop they are
>
> but the caste syetm is one of the problemds with the ARS, and why what
> ever the cost to our techial standard favor a one class liencense and
> nothing in the acceable database to tell how long you have been
> liencesed etc
> >
> > > And you ask what might be wrong with the ARS?

One ARS, one amateur radio license. Get rid of the class system.

## 2624. Re: stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:24:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133054674.467667.94550@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125139

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > what has radio to do sexuality
>
> Steve hasn't answered yet?
>
> Good grief! I can't imagine a guy that tries to tie every post of
> yours back to sexual preference wouldn't have an answer yet.

no answers from stvie stevie is above that
>
> Maybe he's too busy retelling his seven hostile actions accounts.

## 2625. Re: when will stevie fess up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:29:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133054942.110781.292340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125140

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > when will stevie stop lying in making the claim that sexuality is
> > related to Radio
>
> Mark, he may not be lying.

He is lying since he lacks any reason if there there was one

the only Conection I can think is maybe the fact I have trouble seeing
the genders on the conectors the same way as everyone else is a
conection but it is thin

>None-the-less, he does have some explaining
> to do. Perhaps he could consult with the "Lamba" Amateur Radio Club
> (LARC) in order to come up with the answer to your question since he
> appears to have no clue as to why he continually tries to tie his
> responses to you to sexuality. Since he has no answer of his own
> perhaps a Quite LARC member could lend some assistance.

frankly I think the conection is buried in his sick mind

## 2626. stevie mental problems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:30:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133055017.641257.258220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125141

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: K4YZ <MajorDud@aol.com> on Nov 23, 3:52 pm
> >
> > >an old friend wrote:
> > >> K4YZ wrote:
> > >> > K4YZ wrote:
> > >> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > > Just break old habits and tell the truth without being backed into
> > > >a corner for once.
> >
> > > Show us how it's done...publish your DD-214.
>
> That's going to be difficult. Gotta have a real one to start with.

nah he can forge one and when he gets the format wrong claim that is
the right of doing a DD 214
>
> > > You've aleady been doing it for almost a decade.
> >
> > You've shown us how THAT is done for almost a decade. Bad
> > snow-job tactics, faker.
> >
> > Almost a decade and you've NEVER provided any documented
> > proof of your mighty 18-year career in the USMC>. Not even
> > one single snapshot of Dud during his "active-duty" time.
> > NOTHING.
>
> NOTHING.
>
> > > Seek help.
> >
> > You really should. Working in a hospital already gets you
> > close to REAL professional MDs who can help YOU.
> >
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org
>
> He could probably get it for free, assuming that he really is a
> licensed health professional.

# 2627. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:31:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133055087.609358.76060@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125142

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > N0IMD wrote
cut
> > but the caste syetm is one of the problemds with the ARS, and why what
> > ever the cost to our techial standard favor a one class liencense and
> > nothing in the acceable database to tell how long you have been
> > liencesed etc
> > >
> > > > And you ask what might be wrong with the ARS?
>
> One ARS, one amateur radio license. Get rid of the class system.

amen

# 2628. Re: Restevie contiunes his reign of lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:33:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133055218.725569.125630@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125143

```
Dazve contiues to show he is a caoward too
Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote nothing of note:
>
> Who's Restevie?
>
> Dave K8MN
```

# 2629. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:41:24 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133055684.627648.103530@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125144

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Frank Gilliland on Fri, Nov 25 2005 5:06 am
> >
> >> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >>> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >>>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >>>>> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
> > His technique on this newsgroup is simply to bluff his way
> > through, calling his challengers "wrong" and insulting their
> > person in order to misdirect the thread content. :-)
>
> Why, Leonard, you astound me. That's precisely what you've done here
> for all these many years. :-) :-)

projecting again
cutsnide remark
nothing left
> Dave K8MN

## 2630. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:47:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133056070.817971.125820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125145

From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> >Did you work for FCC in 1951, Len? Did you see FCC chuckling
>> >at handwritten letters?
>
>> In 1951 I was working at my first full-time job, nowhere
>> close to DC.
>
>So you don't really know what you're talking about when you
>talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.

Having seen some of the handwritten "comments" sent in on
the 2,272 filings in WT Docket 98-143 and ALL of the 3,795
filings in WT Docket 05-235, some are a hilarious barrel
of laffs! :-)

[ chuckle, chuckle ]

By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after the
twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest being
made on 5 August 2005! :-)

98-143 had an average of 206 filings per month while 05-235
had 949 per month. The percentage of written letter filings
on 98-143 was 10.4 while on 05-235 it was only 2.2 percent.

>> >> Things are a bit different now. Internet access to ALL
>> >> government is faster than overnight express mail. FCC has
>> >> to accept ALL filings. By law.
>
>> >It's always been that way, Len.
>
>> Not before 1934. :-)
>
>> [ chuckle, chuckle ]

What...no pointy remark to that? :-)

[ chuckle, chuckle ]

>> >Back about 1964 - a bit more than a dozen years after 1951, and more
>> >than 25 years before "the internet went public", the proposed changes
>> >that would come to be known as "incentive licensing" caused FCC to

>> >receive over 6000 comments. Back then the US amateur population was
>> >less than half what it is today, and practically all of them went by US
>> >mail.
>
>> >Did the FCC "chuckle" over them?
>
>> Did you work for FCC in 1964, Jim-Jim? Did you see all those
>> "6000" comments?
>
>No - but they existed, nonetheless.

Riiiiight...you went to the Reading Room at the FCC to "see"
them? Was a fairly easy access to documents before 11
September 2001.

Oh, right...the ARRL TOLD YOU! Or you channeled St. Hiram on
the subject and you got the number in a vision?

1964 is FORTY ONE YEARS AGO, old-timer. Two generations in time.
CWO Johnny Walker had already gotten his first spy payments from
the KGB. The Vietnam War was beginning to hot up again now that
the French had given up there. Communist China was busy with
their "cultural revolution." The beginning of the solid-state
era had begun. Teletype Corporation was busy starting marketing
for their 100 WPM teletypewriters. The first of the comm sats
had been lofted. The Cold War was still set on "simmer" with no
sign the flame had gone out. We got coast-to-coast TV, in color,
and some radio amateurs thought manual morse code marked
"excellence in radio!" :-)

[ chuckle, chuckle ]

>> In 1964 I was Chief Engineer at Birtcher Instruments Division
>> and had received my Army Honorable Discharge four years before
>> that.
>
>In other words, you had nothing to do with FCC then, either.

"Nothing?!?" Mais non!

Eight years prior to 1964 I'd already passed my First Phone test
and had been working at four broadcast stations (got the
signatures on the back of my First Phone license certificate).
Had already renewed that First Phone once...through the Long
Beach, CA, FCC Field Office (which was/is in the San Pedro
harbor area). I'd applied for, and gotten two CB licenses (no
test, never was a test for them). I'd already worked at a
southern California broadcast station on a part-time basis, got
that signature on the back of my first renewed First Phone
certificate. I was still subscribing for updates to the FCC
regulations (loose leaf format) from the U.S. GPO but that
would soon change to bound format, reprint every two years

(too many radio services already). I'd already used that First Phone for radio communications while a student pilot (given up due to cost of private flying vs other expenses), avoiding having to get a Restricted 3rd Class Phone (which required some letters of explanation from the Long Beach, CA, FCC Field Office to the instructors at Skyways that operated out of Van Nuys Airport...they didn't believe it). In my job of designing and engineering semiconductor test sets at Birtcher, all I had to do on "FCC matters" was making certain those test sets and their plug-ins didn't exceed incidental RF radiation limits (the very low-duty cycle plug-ins were found to cause RF oscillation at tester pulse edges, solved by using ferrite tubes as chokes on the test socket leads). A renewal of the CBs was coming up soon, those renewals, pro forma as they were, had to go to the FCC...and with notary public seals.

Electro-Optical Systems in Pasadena was busy hiring for their spacecraft work and I shift to there from Monterey Park, CA, in late 1964. Spacecraft fabrication in a clean room didn't involve any "FCC licenses." What RF work was needed took place under government radio regulations, not civil radio. FCC was not involved in government radio then...or now.

[ chuckle, chuckle ]

No, sweetums, I was NOT opining anything pro/con on morse code skill as the primus inter pares of amateur radio operating excellence nor had I any "incentives" for ham radio in 1964. Based on my "first job in radio" I already knew that morse code was a dead end in radio in 1964, 41 years ago. Why bother pursuing a dying technique back then?

[ chuckle, chuckle ]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2631. when will stevie come clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 01:48:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133056129.538149.177180@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125146

when stevie come clean and admit the the truth, that nobody sexuality
has any real bearing on the radio?

neveris my guess becuase Stevie is a coward

# 2632. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 02:06:16 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133057175.980362.189760@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125147
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

Landshark wrote:
> FINALLY!!
>
> THE TRUTH!
>
> The public has known for a long time how useless ham radio is, not to
> mention the low life types who becomes hams. Think I'll have to write a
> few letters myself. most of the spectrum 50 mhz and above should be
> turned over to commerical interests.
>
> GOOD FOR YOU, GOVERNOR!!
++++++++++++++++++++++++
Somewhere I have a link to a professional design magazine that details
the only comm links to work for 3 or 4 weeks after Katrina were the
ham's.

The NG, FEMA and Corp of Engineers were reduced to using runners
to move messages.

I will dig out the link and post it in the next several days.

Terry

# 2633. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 02:33:46 UTC
From: r2000swler@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133058826.855557.301330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125148
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

Landshark wrote:
> FINALLY!!
>
> THE TRUTH!
>
> The public has known for a long time how useless ham radio is, not to
> mention the low life types who becomes hams. Think I'll have to write a
> few letters myself. most of the spectrum 50 mhz and above should be
> turned over to commerical interests.
>
> GOOD FOR YOU, GOVERNOR!!
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
I don't normally cut and past entire atricles, but this one is
important.
It shows why Ham radio is far from "useless'.

http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/Index.cfm?ArticleID=11136

[Web Exclusive]
In Katrina's Wake, Ham Radio Triumphs
By David Maliniak, AD2A

David Maliniak
ED Online ID #11136
September 19, 2005

1) Monitor Your PC's CPU Core Temperature 664
2) Build A Smart Battery Charger Using A Single-Transistor Circuit
422
3) DC-AC inverter targets electroluminescent applications 248
4) What's All This Double-Clutching Stuff, Anyhow? 247
5) Setting The Standard For Hybrid Cars 179
ALL TOP 20 >>

A few months ago, NBC's Tonight Show staged a race between a pair of
ham-radio operators with Morse-code keys and a couple of kids with
text-messaging cellphones to see who could communicate faster. The hams
won hands down, proving, in the minds of some, that old technology
could hold its own against new. In recent days, ham radio was put to
the test again by Hurricane Katrina. This time, however, lives were at
stake.

In the world of design engineers and electronics in general, change is
essential. Designers work diligently to make the fruits of their labors

obsolete almost before they see daylight. The turnover in technology is sometimes like a flood, with old being washed away by new over and over. Often, the new beats the heck out of the old. But there are times when old isn't necessarily bad; in fact, sometimes old works when new doesn't. And then we're glad that old is still around, or at least we should be.

Wireless technology, while relatively new to many consumers, is of course not new at all. A few (very) old-timers remember the original "wireless" of radio. The revolution wrought by the pioneers of wireless changed the world then, and the technology behind that revolution has been re-invented and re-applied time and again. Its pre-eminent incarnation today is our near-ubiquitous wireless communications infrastructure, which has freed us from the shackles of landlines and made our mobile lifestyles possible. Technology truly is great stuff.

Until, of course, a monster hurricane comes along to render it nearly useless. Here we see a scenario in which a flood literally swept away the new. As Hurricane Katrina's fury hammered the Gulf states on August 29, the communications infrastructure took a devastating hit. Telephone service, including wireless, became at first intermittent and then unusable in many localities. Where there was phone service, 911 switchboards were often unreachable due to the massive volume of calls. The response of local authorities, now termed "confused" by deposed FEMA chief Michael Brown, wasn't helping much. The Gulf Coast was about to descend into darkness, chaos, and, worst of all for many, silence.

But proponents of the old were at the ready. The "old," in this case, is ham radio. In the eyes of the "man on the street," ham radio has a pretty stodgy reputation. Aren't hams still using Morse code? Don't some of them use radios with tubes, for goodness sake? What the "man in the street" probably doesn't know is that it was amateurs who advanced the radio arts early in the 20th century. Down through the decades, amateurs have embraced (and often driven) all of the innovations in wireless technology, up to and including all digital modes and the Internet. But many have stayed in touch with their roots, which is good old-fashioned analog HF operation. And while amateurs have a longstanding tradition as innovators and experimenters, they also have a mandate that comes with their licenses: to be ready, willing, and able to provide emergency communications whenever and wherever they're needed.

As Katrina bore down on the Gulf region, amateur radio operators, under the aegis of the American Radio Relay League's (ARRL's) Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES), prepared to swing into action with emergency networks that would run health-and-welfare traffic into and out of the disaster zone. As early as the Monday following the storm, hams throughout the hurricane zone were putting emergency stations on the air. In one instance, hams were instrumental in the rescue of 15 people clinging for life to a New Orleans rooftop. Meanwhile, in Alabama, amateur SKYWARN weather nets kept the National Weather Service apprised

of conditions throughout the state. In hard-hit sections of Mississippi, hams running off generators and with makeshift antennas were the only means of communication, getting word to out-of-state friends and relatives concerning their loved ones.

There were numerous other instances of hams helping those who were not simply inconvenienced by the storm, but whose lives were in imminent danger. Now that things have calmed down in the Gulf region, many of the emergency nets have stood down. But hams continue to serve the public in the many areas that are still without power or phone service.

As our nation collects itself in the aftermath of the Katrina disaster, President Bush has promised federal reviews of what went right and what went wrong. One of the findings of those inquiries should be that the federally-instituted Amateur Radio Service, which functions under the licensing authority of the FCC, stood tall when the country needed it.

Amateur radio currently faces various threats to its existence. Chief among those is the advent of broadband-over-powerline (BPL) technology, which, if broadly adopted, has the potential to cause widespread interference to HF communications, not just for amateurs but for other services that use the HF spectrum.

Amateurs and the ARRL have made a lot of noise about BPL, asserting that it could seriously hamper their efforts and those of relief agencies such as the Red Cross and Salvation Army, in the event of a disaster such as Katrina. It's rumored, though, that the same FCC commissioners who have given their blessing to BPL field trials will now take a much harder look at the technical issues concerning BPL and its interference potential in the HF spectrum. Let's face it: The federal government didn't handle the emergency in the Gulf very well; it'd be prudent for it not to sanction a technology that could impede one of the few things that actually worked.

Many readers of this newsletter are amateur radio enthusiasts. If you are, and if you haven't already done so, consider writing your congressman to express your concern about the future of the Amateur Radio Service, especially in light of its outstanding efforts in recent days. Remind your elected representatives that a vibrant and unimpeded Amateur service can and will be a lifesaver when disaster strikes. Also, consider how you yourself might help. What if a hurricane, tornado, or earthquake ravages your area? Are you prepared to get on the air without relying on the mains to handle emergency traffic? Get in touch with your local amateur-radio club and find out how you can pitch in.

Your cell phones and wireless routers are indeed great stuff, but so is a good old HF transceiver. We shouldn't always be in such a hurry to let the flood of new technology wash away the old. The geek down the block with all the antennas on his property could turn out to be your best friend someday. Because sometimes, old trumps new.

You can e-mail David Maliniak at dmaliniak@penton.com.
++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
There are a lot of comments that I didn't feel justified in reposting.

Terry

# 2634. Re: Restevie contiunes his reign of lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 02:39:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <G%8if.2534$A23.2331@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125149

an old friend wrote:
> Dazve contiues to show he is a caoward too

Well, shame on Dazve, whoever he is. Does he know this Restevie guy?
You say he's a ward of the CAO?

Dave K8MN

> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote nothing of note:
>>
>> Who's Restevie?
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>

# 2635. Re: Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 03:36:09 UTC
From: EasyRider <easyrider@shaw.ca>
Message-ID: <JQ9if.635685$tl2.598502@pd7tw3no>
Source record: 125200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

At that distance you don't even need a 100 watts to elimate their interent completely. The old saying if it causes interference it can be interfered with. I had a leaky cable company amp on the power in front of my rural house. And every time I keyed up on 2 meters everyone up and down the street lost their cable. And they did hear me clear as a bell too, turned out there wasn't anything any government agency would do ecept tell the complainers to call their cable provider. They had it fixed in hours after that. They have no protection from interference on a closed system and had they interferred with my 2 meter station they would have had to shut it down immediately as they are not to interfere outside of their system period.
My neightbour had one of those internal broadband system for distributing interent with in his house over the power lines. I caused him so much grief and it turned out the units where not legal in Canada or the USA. Turned out they were a cheap Chinese copy of a system that was approved but operated in near the 2.4 ghz on a very low level hardwired system. He called to file a complaint and the Industry Canada (Canada's form of the FCC) they wanted the number off the sticker on the back of it and then paid him a visit a couple of days later. I knew the inspectro so he stopped over for a visit and a coffee and told me all about what had happened. It pays to have friends in the right places, hi, hi.
I don't think this BPL is going to make it as the technology is not yet prefected to the point where they will not cause interference on very broad spectrul of the hf, vhf and uhf bands. Motorola has the means and the technology but their system works up in the giga hertz where the bandwidth can be used without interferrring with hardly anything or anyone. Why do you think it's been outlawed in Japan and Austrailia, specially Japan the most advance electronics country in the world. do you honestly think it will last in North Amercia in either country. Do you remember how much of the radio frequency spectrum is assigned to the military, well do you think they will tolerate any kind of interference at all? Not likely and they will make darn sure you don't have the ability to in the future as well.
And then there are the other countries of the globe, it is written that no country shall willingly or unwillingly cause interference with the radio service of another country. Also there is the hf radio broadcast spectrum that is very much a part of the 40 meter band, do you really think they will tolerate interference. It's the bottom line, if the USA and Canada adopt the idea that we can interfere with anyone else, I wonder how long our exportable products will be sold in those countries. Without exports where will our economies go, who's going to be able to afford to pay for an ISP who caused you to loose your job.

Sorry about the long windedness, but this is going to disappear, for one do you really think the airline industry will put up with an ISP interfering

with their comunications, not likely. And that's just one example, there are the police, fire and ambulance, the FBI, CIA, RCMP, FAA the list could go on and on.
Before long those that choose to turn it on will have it shut off more than it's on. And then it becomes a black hole for the investors.
If they can put internet 100 miles outside of Anchorage Alaska, or on Elsmer Island, which is 300 miles south of the North Pole where there are no hydro poles or wires, they can put it anywhere without interfering with anyone.

I'm gone

EasyRider

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.iqkw4kd.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net...
> On 26 Nov 2005 12:48:03 -0800, policy-ham wrote:
>
>>"I'm much more active on the Interent now because the speed is much
>>better than with dial-up," said Leslie Lund, who began using BPL in
>>March. "I don't get interference, even when my husband uses his power
>>tools."
>
> Fire up a full-power 1500W RTTY transmitter on 80 meters next door
> and see if she can make the same statement.....
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

# 2636. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 03:44:23 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133063063.287471.141140@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125201

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: Frank Gilliland on Fri, Nov 25 2005 5:06 am
> >
> >> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >>> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >>>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> >>>>> Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> >>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>
> > His technique on this newsgroup is simply to bluff his way
> > through, calling his challengers "wrong" and insulting their
> > person in order to misdirect the thread content. :-)
>
> Why, Leonard, you astound me. That's precisely what you've done here
> for all these many years. :-) :-)

With at least one exception... It's OK when Steve does it.

> > Despite starting off in REAL radio some 52 years ago and having
> > to get into engineering of later models of military radios, I've
> > never had to bother with all the "NSA boxes."
>
> We wouldn't want you to be "bothered", Len. I had to be "bothered" with
> a number of them over the years". You never had to be "bothered" with
> morse code either. That's two things which have gotten along pretty
> well without your expertise.

Hi, hi! MMMM.

Installing a modem card in a pc is more difficult than hooking up
crypto gear.

> > By the 1990s, the
> > COMSEC features were built into military radios.
>
> I see that you've already learned that "COMMSEC" is "COMSEC".

Communications Security

> > It was fine by me that NSA handled the crypto...
>
> I'll bet those folks are grateful that you didn't have any beef with
> that. You could have become an advocate for allowing for greater
> citizen participation.

PGP

> > For the benefit of the civilians among the readers, "UCMJ"
> > is an acronym for Universal Code of Military Justice, the
> > common set of laws for all United States military branches.
>
> *Sigh* Do you ever get anything right, Leonard. UCMJ stands for
> "Uniform Code of Military Justice".

Easy mistake. He's been hearing for decades how Code is Universal.

> > Again, for benefit of the civilians among the readers, "DD-214" is
> > a Department of Defense form filled out at the end of a continuous
> > period of military service as their RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY.
>
> That's twice with "for benefit of the civilians among the readers"
> stuff. Are you under the impression that you're in the military now?

Why would you think that? Len has been very specific wrt his dates of
service.

> If you're going to attempt clarification for the civilians among the
> readers, the least you could do is get your ducks in a row.
>
> Dave K8MN

Now Heil wants ducks to fall into formation? ;^)

I don't see how that will help the civilian reader. It would probably
lead to a false sense of insecurity wrt our armed services, and the GAO
would probably call it a waste of tax payer money to try to do so.

Given his controlling nature, it sounds more like something that Steve
would try, anyway.

## 2637. Re: stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 03:55:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <J6aif.1604$Hk1.306@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125202

an old friend wrote:

> what has radio to do sexuality

That depends upon how you are using the radio, Mark.

Dave K8MN

# 2638. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 03:56:12 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133063772.720630.181400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125203

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Frank Gilliland on Fri, Nov 25 2005 5:06 am
>>> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in

>> His technique on this newsgroup is simply to bluff his way
>> through, calling his challengers "wrong" and insulting their
>> person in order to misdirect the thread content. :-)
>
>Why, Leonard, you astound me. That's precisely what you've done here
>for all these many years. :-) :-)

Ha. Ha. NO. NO.

I do have the documentation to prove my side of arguments, some
of that shown on other websites (links given in here) plus
callsigns of personal references. The one I call a FAKE and
a disgrace to the United States Marine Corps is Major Dud,
aka "Steven J. Robeson."

Why are you defending a FAKE, Heil? Have you seen Dud's DD-214?

Did that make your Johnson bigger?

BTW, you ought to visit the E. F. Johnson website and see the
very little Johnsons they now make and sell (www.efji.com).
Much smaller than the old Viking Messenger CB transceiver.

>> Despite starting off in REAL radio some 52 years ago and having
>> to get into engineering of later models of military radios, I've
>> never had to bother with all the "NSA boxes."
>
>We wouldn't want you to be "bothered", Len.

On the contrary, you wish me the worst of everything. :-)

>I had to be "bothered" with a number of them over the years".

Wow! Yeah! Is this a prelude to some "Secret Agent Man"
stories?

Did you use crypto gear with your Vietnam in-country MARS
adventures? Have you written that up in "Signal?"

>> e 1990s, the
>> COMSEC features were built into military radios.
>
>I see that you've already learned that "COMMSEC" is "COMSEC".

Tsk, one typo and you are like one of the ruler-wielding nuns.

Spank away, Sister. Has it become a habit with you?

>> It was fine by me that NSA handled the crypto...
>
>I'll bet those folks are grateful that you didn't have any beef with
>that. You could have become an advocate for allowing for greater
>citizen participation.

Tsk, tsk. A not-nice thing to say, Secret Agent Man. I've had quite enough of security stuff to live with in my career and endured all of it for the good of the country. That includes AEC stuff requiring a "Q" clearance. I've followed each and every directive and have never faulted anything in secure/sensitive matters that could threaten the nation.

Here's a little clue-in, Secret Agent Man: Amateur radio is expressly FORBIDDEN from using any means tending to obscure the meaning of communications. In other words, NO crypto. Further, amateur radio is not, has never been any sort of service to the nation comparable with the military or certain functions of the government of the United States. Don't puff up your AMATEUR Extra ego too far with thoughts of self-aggrandizement for having once been given a security clearance in your employment.

Even further, your past employer (the Department of State) is a secretariat under the administration...and directly involved in foreign policy of the United States. That presidential cabinet function is ripe for CITIZEN commentary by citizens of the United States. You are NOT immune from a stray arrow shot across your brow for having been in "the foreign service." Gives you NO excuse to say you are "better" than ordinary citizens...some will say it makes you worse, but I won't go into that.

It is a puzzle why you wish to exclude citizens of the United States from entering amateur radio. You do that when you say (or imply) that "citizen participation" is a bad thing. Where do you think the newcomers come from, "the foreign service?" Why do you constantly try to block citizens of this country from talking to their government when they have no AMATEUR license?

>> For the benefit of the civilians among the readers, "UCMJ"
>> is an acronym for Universal Code of Military Justice, the
>> common set of laws for all United States military branches.
>

>*Sigh* Do you ever get anything right, Leonard. UCMJ stands for
>"Uniform Code of Military Justice".

UNIFORM Code of Military Justice. Yes, my slip.

Such slips might be due to not paying attention SOLELY to the
display screen while listening (and sometimes looking at) the
TV visible in the family room here from the office room. Maybe
it is because we are going to a party in Universal City on
Sunday? [there's houses and stores and everything there
besides Universal Studios]

Does Your Worship demand constant attention at all times?
One would think so. You do not equate "all branches
equally" with "universal?" Of course not, Herr Literalist.
The correct NAME is most important? Of course it is. To you,
so you can leverage some sort of smart-ass snark on those you
dislike.

The UCMJ hasn't applied to me or my actions in 45 years. It
has NEVER applied to Jimmy Noserve, Katapult Kelly, Kim or
Dee. The rest, all anony-mousies we just don't know about.
It may not have applied to Major Dud, either, since Dud
hasn't shown proof of his service or his mighty "seven
hostile actions."

>> Again, for benefit of the civilians among the readers, "DD-214" is
>> a Department of Defense form filled out at the end of a continuous
>> period of military service as their RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY.
>
>That's twice with "for benefit of the civilians among the readers"
>stuff. Are you under the impression that you're in the military now?

Not now...but I was for 8 years. I have the documentary evidence
to prove it. Dudly don't got any. You got any, mighty warrior
working MARS in a "country at war?"

>If you're going to attempt clarification for the civilians among the
>readers, the least you could do is get your ducks in a row.

Duck you, sweetums. What are you going to do? Step before
the UN and talk about Weapons of Morse Destruction? The boss
of "the foreign service" did something like that, then had the
courage to QUIT. Why don't you do that now and save yourself
all the anguish opposite opinions cause you?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2639. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 04:02:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133062197.012375.152100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125204

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
> >LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am

> >Amateur radio might be operating weak signal UHF SSB with a multi-mode,
> >multi-band rig. It might be operating 2m FM through a local repeater.
> >It might be ragchewing on 40m CW. One constant is that you aren't involved.
>
> I don't do any RF transmission in amateur bands, with the
> exception of those bands which are shared with other radio
> services.

That's a very good thing!

> Yet I am able to communicate worldwide without
> an amateur radio license or using morse code!

But not by direct radio contact.

> And 24/7
> without worrying about the ionospheric conditions! :-)

Telephone and internet. We can all do that, Len.

> >Why do you live in the past?
>
> Tsk, I don't.

You sure talk about it a lot, though.

> Jimmy Noserve loves the past, always
> bringing up little factoids of amateur radio history
> that happened before his time.

Gee, Len, you're always bringing up little factoids of
history that happened before *your* time. Are you the
only one allowed to do that?

> >I'm not dismissing a great big hobby area involving all of electronics.
> >I'm stating quite accurately that you aren't involved in amateur radio.
>
> So, in your mind electronics does NOT equate with "radio?"

The two are not the same.
>
> It does not equate with "amateur radio?"

No, they don't.

"Radio" is a subset of electrical engineering.

"Electronics" is a subset of electrical engineering.

Radio and electronics have some things in common, but they are not
identical, and one is not a subset of the other.

> Do you consider U.S. amateur radio to be a HOBBY?

And much more.
>
> >>> Yep, when something is simple enough, many folks will opt for it rather
> >>> than attempting that which is more difficult. Many never go beyond the
> >>> easiest license despite the limited privileges it offers.
> >>
> >> Such as long-time amateur radiotelegraphers who've never
> >> ventured behind the front panels of their radios in order
> >> to understand how they worked. :-)

Who would they be, Len?

> >Your clause doesn't address limited privileges. :-)
>
> I didn't have any "clause." I asked a question. Pay attention.

What question?
>
> >> Yes, I am familiar
> >> with those. Their "radio skill" never goes beyond their
> >> key, their ears, or the "official" jargon they've picked
> >> up from older days, those used by older "radio experts."
> >>
> >Do you know any radio telephonists who've never ventured beyond the
> >front panels of their equipment? Does their skill extend beyond their
> >microphones? Have they picked up any "official" jargon from older days?
> >Perhaps your rant was intended only as a slam against anyone who is both
> >a telegrapher and a radio amateur.
>
> I was addressing - specifically - who I addressed, not
> "radio telephonists." You are attempting to misdirect.
> The word you should have used is 'radiotelephony.'

Yet you know they exist.
>
> NPRM 05-143 is singularly about the telegraphy test. [that's
> what this "english teacher" of the thread title was commenting
> on] That NPRM has NOTHING to do with radiotelephony, radiodata,
> teletypewriter over radio, slow or fast-scan television,
> facsimile over radio. The amateur radio license tests have
> NO test elements for physically OPERATING any radio, are not
> required to have radio equipment AT a license exam site.

So? Why is that significant?

> The sole manual test for anything at any amateur license exam
> is about telegraphy, telegraphy as used on amateur radio (there
> is NO landline telegraphy tested), more technically,
> radiotelegraphy. As it is NOW, that is.

And that's a good thing.
>
> The written test elements are prepared, both questions and
> multiple-choice question answers, by the VEC QPC.

And approved by the FCC

> Those
> cover "radio theory" (actually electronics in general since
> there are no exclusive-to-amateur-radio circuits) and
> Commission regulations. While some questions pertain to
> "radio operating," there is no actual, hands-on, demonstratable
> ability to OPERATE any radio, let alone amateur radio. Some in
> here as well as in commentary on the NPRM misuse "operating"
> to refer almost exclusively to RADIOTELEGRAPHY.

Who would they be, Len?
>
> Am I saying that many radio amateurs don't know squat about
> radio theory? ABSOLUTELY.

Your opinion only. And as you have demonstrated, you are not
exactly unbiased in your opinions.

Many radio amateurs know much more about radio theory than
you, Len.

> I charge that based on MY life
> experience in answering, as politely as possible, questions
> of rather elementary level on radio theory.

Your politeness isn't exactly legendary, Len.

> I was answering
> questions, giving CORRECT answers, as a NON-amateur but also
> as a very professional radio-knowledgeable person. All too
> many of those questions from radio amateurs chronologically
> older than I was were so simplistic, so indicative of a basic
> understanding of radio and propagation principles that I
> would lump them as less than Novice class amateurs.

By what standard?

How did they pass their written tests if they're so ignorant? Did
they get a look at a 1957 Extra test?

> I could
> care less that they might be able to do 40 WPM radiotelegraphy

> with "perfect copy" any time. I could care less if they had
> earned every possible "radiosport" contest as amateurs. They
> were still deficient in a basic understanding of radio theory,
> deficient at an elementary level. In a radio activity that
> grants BOTH an operator and station license, it showed me
> that they couldn't possibly meet the technical regulations
> of amateur radio to match their lofty rank-status-privileges
> they were granted.

Yet FCC disagrees with you, Len.

You see, amateur radio is mainly about operating radios. Sure, some
technical knowledge is needed, and some of us do things like design
and build our own amateur radio stations (something you've never
done, btw.) But the license isn't for building - it's for operating.

Operating is what amateur radio is really all about. All types of
operating, with all sorts of modes and equipment. Technical stuff
is just a means to that end.

You just don't seem to understand that.
>
> >Then again, you aren't likely to know. You aren't a ham and you aren't
> >an ARRL member.
>
> I'm FAR LESS likely to be an ARRL/NAAR member than a licensed
> radio amateur...unless they do some drastic changes to their
> public policy.

It's very unlikely you'll ever be either, Len.

> The "National Association for Amateur Radio" (nee' ARRL) is
> the "club." Even so, their membership is only one of every
> five U.S. amateur radio licensees. Why aren't there more?

Some disagree with League policies
Some think membership costs too much.
Some are inactive
Some don't understand why a national organization is needed.

> The percentages of membership have never become greater than
> a quarter of all licensees.

Not true, Len.

btw, No-Code International's membership is less than 1% of US amateurs
even though there are no dues and NCI membership never expires.

> Your blatant problem is some weird self-righteous elitism
> wherein you claim that no one licensed can "know" anything
> about amateur radio.

Where is that claimed?

> >And? What percentage of radio amateurs filed? What percentage of the
> >general public filed?
>
> Ask Joe Speroni. Rightsell calls him the "unofficial
> statistician of amateur radio." What did Speroni do about
> that "English department" filing wherein the English
> teacher stated outright she had NO activity in amateur
> radio and was NOT going to get an amateur license. Speroni
> counted her for "support" of his "statistics."

That's because she was in support of continued Morse Code testing.

You have no activity in amateur radio and except for one outburst
almost
six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur
license.

So you and the English teacher have the same level of involvement.

> What of all
> those law students filing, 18 in all. None of them are
> licensees and none say they are going to get a license.

You're not a licensee and and except for one outburst almost
six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur
license.

> You love Rightsell, don't you? You get on my case because
> I filed a Reply to Comments of his "two-year-olds" filing.

Perhaps the FCC chuckles over your comments, Len.
>
>
>
> >>> You aren't wrapped very tight.
> >
> >> True, I am (at time of writing) sitting in shirtsleeves,
> >> the office window open, temperature gauge at the corner
> >> of the radio clock displaying 71.3 degrees F.

> >> "Profiles" work two ways, indeed in many ways. Yours
> >> can, and has been done (in part) several times.
> >
> >Was that the one you plagiarized from Jim's work?
>
> PARODY is perfectly acceptible.

You're spelling isn't.
>
> Tsk, tsk, you DO! See little gems of an accusatory nature
> such as I should have obtained an amateur radio license
> before accepting professional radio employment!

Who wrote that?

> >> Morse code is alive but unwell...

Actually it's quite well.
> >
> >See, this is what I mean when I say that you make frequent factual
> >errors. I invite you to tune your Icom receiver to the low ends of the
> >bands 160-10m this coming weekend.
>
> Why? I have no personal interest in morse code and no interest
> in amateur radio contesting. Invitation denied.

Afraid you'll be proven wrong?

> One can listen OUTSIDE the amateur radio bands and NOT hear
> much radiotelegraphy.

An amateur license permits the licensee to operate INSIDE the
amateur bands, not OUTSIDE.

> Hardly a beep to be heard...still lots
> of SSB and AM voice, data (TORs mostly), international
> broadcasting, standard time signals. Not much morse code.

Why is that important to what happens inside the amateur bands?

## 2640. Re: stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 04:06:45 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133064405.748897.319260@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125205

From: Dave Heil on Nov 26, 7:55 pm

>an old friend wrote:

>> what has radio to do sexuality
>
>That depends upon how you are using the radio, Mark.

Why do you ask? Did your Johnson grow bigger on hearing that?

How Valiant! :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2641. Re: stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 04:27:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0Baif.1616$Hk1.1463@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125206

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Dave Heil on Nov 26, 7:55 pm
>
> >an old friend wrote:
>
> >> what has radio to do sexuality
> >
> >That depends upon how you are using the radio, Mark.
>
> Why do you ask?

Ask? Where did I ask anything, Leonard?

> Did your Johnson grow bigger on hearing that?

How does an E.F. Johnson product grow, except in value?

> How Valiant! :-)

...sez Len's Messenger.

Dave K8MN

# 2642. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 04:38:37 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133066317.756253.102150@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

well thats just fine " r2000swler@hotmail.com
".....
sounds like you're making an effort to stop being a general pain in the
ass to all around who are forced to be around you.

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
> netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> > Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur Radio
> > operators in emergency communications during a televised "town meeting"
> > program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The program
> > featured public safety and volunteer organization officials from across
> > Massachusetts among its audience.
> >
> > Host and moderator Natalie Jacobson asked an increasingly-agitated
> > Governor Romney questions about communications interoperability, and
> > communication without commercial power. Romney was next asked by
> > Jacobson, "...so does it come down to ham radio?..."
> >
> > The Governor replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal
> > with ham radio operators..."
> >
> ++++++++++++++++++++++++++
> Typical politico or either party.
>
> Hasn't a clue how the states com systems works, get frustrated by the
> hard

> questions, and lashes out when he should has kept quite. Sadly he will
> in all
> probability not suffer for his stupidity.
>
> He ought to be ran out of office on a rail.
>
> This attitude is why I no longer participate in ARES, SkyWarn or any
> other
> activity that has ANY government connection.
>
> PICON be damned.
>
> I have spent enough nights looking for tornados, enough weekends trying
> to
> "interface" with various government agencies to deliver messages during
> floods,

> and enough cold days running MDs and nurses around when the snow/ice
> shuts
> the town down.
>
> Terry

# 2643. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 04:46:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gSaif.1263$YT3.1116@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125208

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

>
> You're not even eligible for QCAO membership! <BSEG>

which ever gruop they are

The QCAO (Quarter Century Appliance Operators) was founded
in the early 1950's by a small group of Amateur Radio operators
from the Pacific Northwest. They had been active in their hobby
for over 25 years, yet still lacked the basic knowledge of radio
electronics and had no idea of how their equipment worked.

They banded together to try and protect each others honor
and pride. At radio gatherings and club meetings in the 1950s
one was considered unworthy of the name Ham Radio Operator if
he or she couldn't not only name components, but know how to
solder them together and make a radio work, or fix a broken set!

When faced with insults and dreision, those few hardy
pioneers banded together and formed the First Chapter and
National Organization of the QCAO. This was known as the "Cold
Solder" Chapter. They even coined the now-famous club byword
"e pluribus ignoramae" which is Latin for "We don't have to know
how to solder, we just wanna talk on our radios."

No veterans of that first chapter are known to be active on
the air today. In the late 1950s and early '60s, with the
worldwide interest in science and space and technology, the QCAO
membership went underground.

It is with great pride and dignity that today in the 21st Century
the revived QCAO stands ready to rise from the ashes, and become
the standard of mediocrity it once proudly was. In honor of
those first pioneering members, QCAO hereby invites all eligible
applicants to step forward and join!

The benefits of QCAO include not only the pride of
membership. Think of the warm glow you will feel at club
meetings and gatherings showing off your new all-plastic
imprinted QCAO pocket protector! And that's not all! For your
minimal membership fee, you will also receive a handsome,
suitable-for-framing, certificate of honor, with hand-lettered
name and Charter Membership Number. Other QCAO memorabilia
will soon be available for members, including T-shirts, caps,
pins, etc. At this date charter membership numbers are still
available. Membership requires a 25 years (more or less)

interest in Amateur Radio, coupled with a basic ignorance of how radios work and how to repair them.

Think of meeting other QCAO members on the air! No more embarrassing pauses when someone in the QSO mentions an RF choke or a parasitic bleeder...Be able to exchange meaningful sharing, talk about real things, yes, even swap QCAO numbers with each other! And soon perhaps . . . a worldwide QCAO contest!

You no longer have to shrink to the back of the room at post-meeting sessions of your radio club. Just display your QCAO protector and others will be able to identify you immediately. Who knows? Perhaps one of the originals from that old QCAO Chapter is just waiting for you to find him. Join now! Remember "We don't have to know how to solder, we just wanna talk on our radios"! Don't let technoids embarrass you and kick jargon in your face. Stand up for what's right! Join QCAO!

"e pluribus ignoramae"

# 2644. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 04:47:30 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133066850.456751.201110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

David:

Are you really that stupid? You poor useless asswipe!

# 2645. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 04:57:39 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133067459.424528.24000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

fucking hams should just stick to blowing truckers in rest areas.
netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur Radio
> operators in emergency communications during a televised "town meeting"
> program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The program
> featured public safety and volunteer organization officials from across
> Massachusetts among its audience.
>
> Host and moderator Natalie Jacobson asked an increasingly-agitated
> Governor Romney questions about communications interoperability, and
> communication without commercial power. Romney was next asked by
> Jacobson, "...so does it come down to ham radio?..."
>
> The Governor replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal
> with ham radio operators..."
>
> Embarrassed public safety officials later tried to put in a good word
> for Amateur Radio. National Weather Service Warning Coordination
> Meteorologist Glenn Field was prepared to state the importance of
> Amateur Radio, when Salvation Army Colonel Fred Van Brunt was called
> upon. Van Brunt remarked about his organization's quest to improve its
> communications capabilities and how Amateur Radio has aided his
> organization. "The ham radio situation helps a great deal," he stated.
>
> "I have already written and submitted a letter to the Governor's
> Office," wrote Eastern MA Section Emergency Coordinator Rob Macedo,
> KD1CY. "I have also written an email to Natalie Jacobson."
>
> ARRL Section Manager Mike Neilsen, W1MPN, sent a section-wide email to
> all Eastern MA ARRL members describing the incident along with actions
> he and his staff were taking to mitigate the situation.
>
> "[Romney's] attitude about us sets an unfortunate tone within the
> state's executive branch," wrote Neilsen. "As a former military
> officer, I see this as a failure in leadership. My immediate concern is
> our working relationship within the [Massachusetts Emergency Management
> Team] environment." Neilsen intended to address the Governor's comments
> as "an urgent matter" at a meeting on November 17 with Don Carlton from
> the Emergency Management Agency.
>
> "I was very disturbed about what the Governor stated on the program,"
> wrote Ron Wood, W1PLW, the section's Public Information Coordinator.

> "It does show that more work is needed by all hams in the section. It's
> a great idea to write letters explaining the good we do." Wood is
> attempting to schedule a meeting with the Governor's office so that EMA
> ARRL staffers might discuss the matter further.
>
> Tom Kinahan, N1CPE wrote that Governor Romney's comment has made "a PR
> problem" for Kinahan in his role as MA State RACES Officer.
>
> "I've got a roster of over 150 Amateur Radio operators that support
> local communities, and those of us that directly support the state
> government. There are at least double that in terms of people that are
> actually out there that I don't have formal paperwork on that support
> Amateur Radio emergency communications in some organized manner... The
> Governor has said that he 'certainly doesn't need to rely on ham radio'
> -- where does that leave the RACES program now?"

# 2646. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 05:03:23 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133067803.201555.44580@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.politics

Scott in Baltimore wrote:
> From ARNewsline #1476:
>
> ENFORCEMENT: WRL / GALAXY ELECTRONICS FOUNDER MEYERSON ASKS FCC NOT TO
> RENEW K1MAN'S LICENSE
>
> Another well known ham has written to the FCC asking the agency not to
> renew the license of American Amateur Radio <SNIP THE HAMMIE-HOMO SHIT>

THAT MEYERSON SOUNDS LIKE A REAL DICK HAM, BUT I STILL LOVE MY GALAXY
99!

# 2647. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 05:05:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <B8bif.1628$Hk1.454@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125212

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
>>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am
>
>>> Amateur radio might be operating weak signal UHF SSB with a multi-mode,
>>> multi-band rig. It might be operating 2m FM through a local repeater.
>>> It might be ragchewing on 40m CW. One constant is that you aren't involved.

>> I don't do any RF transmission in amateur bands, with the
>> exception of those bands which are shared with other radio
>> services.
>
> That's a very good thing!

...and it is the only legal option available to Len at the moment.

>> Yet I am able to communicate worldwide without
>> an amateur radio license or using morse code!
>
> But not by direct radio contact.

He doesn't have to be "bothered" with direct radio contact.

>> And 24/7
>> without worrying about the ionospheric conditions! :-)
>
> Telephone and internet. We can all do that, Len.

Anybody with enough money to pay his monthly ISP or telephone bill can
get in on that action.

>>> Why do you live in the past?
>> Tsk, I don't.
>
> You sure talk about it a lot, though.

>> Jimmy Noserve loves the past, always
>> bringing up little factoids of amateur radio history
>> that happened before his time.
>
> Gee, Len, you're always bringing up little factoids of
> history that happened before *your* time. Are you the
> only one allowed to do that?

That's the way it works with Len. He does it but neither you nor I
should do it.

>>> I'm not dismissing a great big hobby area involving all of electronics.
>>> I'm stating quite accurately that you aren't involved in amateur radio.
>> So, in your mind electronics does NOT equate with "radio?"
>
> The two are not the same.

>> It does not equate with "amateur radio?"
>
> No, they don't.
>
> "Radio" is a subset of electrical engineering.
>
> "Electronics" is a subset of electrical engineering.
>
> Radio and electronics have some things in common, but they are not
> identical, and one is not a subset of the other.

Thanks for clearing that up for Len. I think he may have been confused.

>> Do you consider U.S. amateur radio to be a HOBBY?
>
> And much more.

>>>>> Yep, when something is simple enough, many folks will opt for it rather
>>>>> than attempting that which is more difficult. Many never go beyond the
>>>>> easiest license despite the limited privileges it offers.

>>>> Such as long-time amateur radiotelegraphers who've never
>>>> ventured behind the front panels of their radios in order
>>>> to understand how they worked. :-)
>
> Who would they be, Len?

Why, *any* long-time amateur radiotelegraphers, Jim. It doesn't apply
to radiotelephonists.

>>> Your clause doesn't address limited privileges. :-)

>> I didn't have any "clause." I asked a question. Pay attention.

> What question?

...and what sentence?

>>>> Yes, I am familiar
>>>> with those. Their "radio skill" never goes beyond their
>>>> key, their ears, or the "official" jargon they've picked
>>>> up from older days, those used by older "radio experts."

>>> Do you know any radio telephonists who've never ventured beyond the
>>> front panels of their equipment? Does their skill extend beyond their
>>> microphones? Have they picked up any "official" jargon from older days?

>>> Perhaps your rant was intended only as a slam against anyone who is both
>>> a telegrapher and a radio amateur.

>> I was addressing - specifically - who I addressed, not
>> "radio telephonists." You are attempting to misdirect.
>> The word you should have used is 'radiotelephony.'

Len was talking about radiotelegraphers. An operator who uses SSB or FM
or AM is a radiotelephonist. A radiotelegrapher uses radiotelegraphy.
A radiotelephonist uses radiotelephony. You'd think a PROFESSIONAL
writer would have figured that out.

> Yet you know they exist.

Sure, he knew.

>> NPRM 05-143 is singularly about the telegraphy test. [that's
>> what this "english teacher" of the thread title was commenting
>> on] That NPRM has NOTHING to do with radiotelephony, radiodata,
>> teletypewriter over radio, slow or fast-scan television,
>> facsimile over radio. The amateur radio license tests have
>> NO test elements for physically OPERATING any radio, are not
>> required to have radio equipment AT a license exam site.
>
> So? Why is that significant?
>
>> The sole manual test for anything at any amateur license exam
>> is about telegraphy, telegraphy as used on amateur radio (there
>> is NO landline telegraphy tested), more technically,
>> radiotelegraphy. As it is NOW, that is.
>
> And that's a good thing.

>> The written test elements are prepared, both questions and
>> multiple-choice question answers, by the VEC QPC.
>
> And approved by the FCC
>
>> Those
>> cover "radio theory" (actually electronics in general since
>> there are no exclusive-to-amateur-radio circuits) and
>> Commission regulations. While some questions pertain to
>> "radio operating," there is no actual, hands-on, demonstratable
>> ability to OPERATE any radio, let alone amateur radio. Some in
>> here as well as in commentary on the NPRM misuse "operating"
>> to refer almost exclusively to RADIOTELEGRAPHY.
>
> Who would they be, Len?

Len seems to pick his "facts" from thin air.

>> Am I saying that many radio amateurs don't know squat about
>> radio theory? ABSOLUTELY.

>
> Your opinion only. And as you have demonstrated, you are not
> exactly unbiased in your opinions.

...nor is he necessarily factually accurate.

> Many radio amateurs know much more about radio theory than
> you, Len.

Thousands of them have much more HF radio experience than Len.

>> I charge that based on MY life
>> experience in answering, as politely as possible, questions
>> of rather elementary level on radio theory.
>
> Your politeness isn't exactly legendary, Len.
>
>> I was answering
>> questions, giving CORRECT answers, as a NON-amateur but also
>> as a very professional radio-knowledgeable person. All too
>> many of those questions from radio amateurs chronologically
>> older than I was were so simplistic, so indicative of a basic
>> understanding of radio and propagation principles that I
>> would lump them as less than Novice class amateurs.
>
> By what standard?

...and how do we know that Len provided CORRECT answers.

> How did they pass their written tests if they're so ignorant? Did
> they get a look at a 1957 Extra test?

>> I could
>> care less that they might be able to do 40 WPM radiotelegraphy
>> with "perfect copy" any time. I could care less if they had
>> earned every possible "radiosport" contest as amateurs. They
>> were still deficient in a basic understanding of radio theory,
>> deficient at an elementary level. In a radio activity that
>> grants BOTH an operator and station license, it showed me
>> that they couldn't possibly meet the technical regulations
>> of amateur radio to match their lofty rank-status-privileges
>> they were granted.
>
> Yet FCC disagrees with you, Len.
>
> You see, amateur radio is mainly about operating radios. Sure, some
> technical knowledge is needed, and some of us do things like design
> and build our own amateur radio stations (something you've never
> done, btw.) But the license isn't for building - it's for operating.

> Operating is what amateur radio is really all about. All types of
> operating, with all sorts of modes and equipment. Technical stuff
> is just a means to that end.

> You just don't seem to understand that.

>>> Then again, you aren't likely to know. You aren't a ham and you aren't
>>> an ARRL member.

>> I'm FAR LESS likely to be an ARRL/NAAR member than a licensed
>> radio amateur...unless they do some drastic changes to their
>> public policy.
>
> It's very unlikely you'll ever be either, Len.

That saves him both effort and money.

>> The "National Association for Amateur Radio" (nee' ARRL) is
>> the "club." Even so, their membership is only one of every
>> five U.S. amateur radio licensees. Why aren't there more?

> Some disagree with League policies
> Some think membership costs too much.
> Some are inactive
> Some don't understand why a national organization is needed.

>> The percentages of membership have never become greater than
>> a quarter of all licensees.
>
> Not true, Len.

Len doesn't like to have his factual errors pointed out, Jim.

> btw, No-Code International's membership is less than 1% of US amateurs
> even though there are no dues and NCI membership never expires.

But NCI is supposedly a one issue organization. I've asked Len to point
to one general interest amateur radio organization in this country which
has more than a tiny fraction of the ARRL membership.

>> Your blatant problem is some weird self-righteous elitism
>> wherein you claim that no one licensed can "know" anything
>> about amateur radio.
>
> Where is that claimed?

I haver certainly never claimed that.

>
>>> And? What percentage of radio amateurs filed? What percentage of the
>>> general public filed?

>> Ask Joe Speroni. Rightsell calls him the "unofficial
>> statistician of amateur radio." What did Speroni do about
>> that "English department" filing wherein the English
>> teacher stated outright she had NO activity in amateur
>> radio and was NOT going to get an amateur license. Speroni
>> counted her for "support" of his "statistics."
>

> That's because she was in support of continued Morse Code testing.
>
> You have no activity in amateur radio and except for one outburst
> almost
> six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur
> license.
>
> So you and the English teacher have the same level of involvement.

Len was quick to point out the percentage of licensed radio amateurs who participated in comments and replies. I asked him a simple question about the perentage of U.S. citizens who participated and he tells me to ask Joe Speroni. That's very peculiar.

>> What of all
>> those law students filing, 18 in all. None of them are
>> licensees and none say they are going to get a license.
>
> You're not a licensee and and except for one outburst almost
> six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur
> license.
>
>> You love Rightsell, don't you? You get on my case because
>> I filed a Reply to Comments of his "two-year-olds" filing.
>
> Perhaps the FCC chuckles over your comments, Len.

>>>>> You aren't wrapped very tight.

>>>> True, I am (at time of writing) sitting in shirtsleeves,
>>>> the office window open, temperature gauge at the corner
>>>> of the radio clock displaying 71.3 degrees F.
>
>>>> "Profiles" work two ways, indeed in many ways. Yours
>>>> can, and has been done (in part) several times.

>>> Was that the one you plagiarized from Jim's work?

>> PARODY is perfectly acceptible.
>
> You're spelling isn't.

Shhhhh. PROFESSIONAL writers are very sensitive.

>> Tsk, tsk, you DO! See little gems of an accusatory nature
>> such as I should have obtained an amateur radio license
>> before accepting professional radio employment!

> Who wrote that?

There is what is written and there is what Len thinks has been written.

>>>> Morse code is alive but unwell...
>

> Actually it's quite well.

>>> See, this is what I mean when I say that you make frequent factual
>>> errors. I invite you to tune your Icom receiver to the low ends of the
>>> bands 160-10m this coming weekend.

>> Why? I have no personal interest in morse code and no interest
>> in amateur radio contesting. Invitation denied.
>
> Afraid you'll be proven wrong?

He *knows* he'd be proven wrong, if the Icom receiver is within its
specs as manufactured and he has more than a rain gutter to attach to
its antenna port. There's enough RF floating around Los Angeles County
this weekend to lift Len's receiver off the table.

>> One can listen OUTSIDE the amateur radio bands and NOT hear
>> much radiotelegraphy.

> An amateur license permits the licensee to operate INSIDE the
> amateur bands, not OUTSIDE.

>> Hardly a beep to be heard...still lots
>> of SSB and AM voice, data (TORs mostly), international
>> broadcasting, standard time signals. Not much morse code.
>
> Why is that important to what happens inside the amateur bands?

Len is driven by such things. He desperately needs to prove that morse
has no place in "modern" communications.

Dave K8MN

# 2648. Re: TRW Swap Meet, all stations....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 05:13:22 UTC
From: Landshark <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133068402.333930.227990@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.activism,rec.radio.amateur.policy

STEVEO SET UP HIS PORTABLE TOILET THERE. THATS HIS BLOW-JOB-MOBILE.
Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> Hello All:
>
> Left Hand Lane Larry and Insane Dwane are driving thier work vans to the
> TRW swap meet today. This will save everyone gas, and we will have a
> blast on the way down and back. But a few bucks towards thier gas will
> be appreciated.
>
> Pleanty of room in the back for all those big linears.......... Wyane's
> Van has a luggage rack on top for antennas and such!
>
> Breakfeast at the Denny's after the swap meet.
>
> 38LSB and 435 simplex are the frequencies.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

# 2649. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 06:02:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133071370.912841.207200@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125214

From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am

>> >Amateur radio might be operating weak signal UHF SSB with a multi-mode,
>> >multi-band rig. It might be operating 2m FM through a local repeater.
>> >It might be ragchewing on 40m CW. One constant is that you aren't involved.
>
>> I don't do any RF transmission in amateur bands, with the
>> exception of those bands which are shared with other radio
>> services.
>
>That's a very good thing!

Why? Are you morsemen so elitist you can't get along with others?

>> Yet I am able to communicate worldwide without
>> an amateur radio license or using morse code!
>
>But not by direct radio contact.

Most absolutely INCORRECT, Jim-Jim.

DIRECT from a maritime transceiver as a civilian. DIRECT from a
government radio transmitter. DIRECT as in laying on of hands,
moving controls, operating, all that stuff.

>> And 24/7
>> without worrying about the ionospheric conditions! :-)
>
>Telephone and internet. We can all do that, Len.

Then why do you fuss with morse and standards that are over
70 years old?

>> >Why do you live in the past?
>
>> Tsk, I don't.
>
>You sure talk about it a lot, though.

You were born before 1951? YOU talked much of it in previous post.

YOU have talked much about Reggie Fessenden and his carbon-mike-in-
the-antenna "AM voice transmission" of 1906 and (allegedly) 1900.

Are you 105 years old?!?

>> Jimmy Noserve loves the past, always
>> bringing up little factoids of amateur radio history
>> that happened before his time.
>
>Gee, Len, you're always bringing up little factoids of
>history that happened before *your* time.

When did MY "time" begin, Jimmy? My "first radio job" in HF comms
began in 1953. I was there then, did it, came back. Never used
any morse code then on three dozen transmitters, never had to.

>Are you the only one allowed to do that?

Tsk, you are getting disturbed. Calm down, just keep on bringing
up all those tidbits of "radio history" as you need to. Be mindful
of some critics, though. Not all of those are me. :-)

>"Radio" is a subset of electrical engineering.

Incorrect. It is a part of electronics, a technology discipline.

>"Electronics" is a subset of electrical engineering.

INCORRECT.

Electronics is one TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE of physics.

Didn't Dexter teach you the correct way to look at
physics...like everyone else does?

>Radio and electronics have some things in common, but they are not
>identical, and one is not a subset of the other.

Amateur radio definitions seldom jibe with the rest of the
world of electronics...and radio. :-)

>> Do you consider U.S. amateur radio to be a HOBBY?
>
>And much more.

And, of course, YOU do so much more... :-)

Have you defeated any enemies of Homeland Security with your
amateur morsemanship?

Have you saved any lives in the Gulf States with your amateur
morsemanship?

>> NPRM 05-143 is singularly about the telegraphy test. [that's
>> what this "english teacher" of the thread title was commenting
>> on] That NPRM has NOTHING to do with radiotelephony, radiodata,
>> teletypewriter over radio, slow or fast-scan television,
>> facsimile over radio. The amateur radio license tests have
>> NO test elements for physically OPERATING any radio, are not

>> required to have radio equipment AT a license exam site.
>
>So? Why is that significant?

Why do you consider yourself so "significant?" :-)

>> The sole manual test for anything at any amateur license exam
>> is about telegraphy, telegraphy as used on amateur radio (there
>> is NO landline telegraphy tested), more technically,
>> radiotelegraphy. As it is NOW, that is.
>
>And that's a good thing.

It is a "good thing" only to those that took that test and
passed it, thus fulfilling the "proper jump through hoops"
of "tradition." :-)

>> The written test elements are prepared, both questions and
>> multiple-choice question answers, by the VEC QPC.
>
>And approved by the FCC

Who else? :-)

YOU are NOT in the FCC.

>> Am I saying that many radio amateurs don't know squat about
>> radio theory? ABSOLUTELY.
>
>Your opinion only. And as you have demonstrated, you are not
>exactly unbiased in your opinions.

Yes, MY OPINION! :-) Do you think someone else is writing
all this? :-)

>Many radio amateurs know much more about radio theory than
>you, Len.

Why is that a factor in AMATEUR radio?

Other than your puerile little nyah-nyah, that is...

>> I charge that based on MY life
>> experience in answering, as politely as possible, questions
>> of rather elementary level on radio theory.
>
>Your politeness isn't exactly legendary, Len.

Tsk, your definition of "polite" seems to be everyone agreeing
with you and giving your gratuitous praise for whatever you do.

<shrug>

>How did they pass their written tests if they're so ignorant? Did
>they get a look at a 1957 Extra test?

Why is that important here...other than satisfying your nasty
little nyah-nyahs?

>Yet FCC disagrees with you, Len.

No, sweetums, YOU disagree with me. YOU are NOT the FCC.

>Operating is what amateur radio is really all about. All types of
>operating, with all sorts of modes and equipment.

INCORRECT. Modes and frequencies are specifically allocated
and given in Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. NOT "all types" as you
state. [tsk, tsk] NOT "all sorts of modes" since those are
limited. NOT "all sorts of equipment" either since there are
exceptions stated in Part 97. Look those up.

>Technical stuff is just a means to that end.

Unimportant? Hardly important? Irrelevant?

Then why do you permit the FCC to keep all those TECHNICAL
regulations?

>You just don't seem to understand that.

I just don't understand YOU, Jimmy.

>> The "National Association for Amateur Radio" (nee' ARRL) is
>> the "club." Even so, their membership is only one of every
>> five U.S. amateur radio licensees. Why aren't there more?
>
>Some disagree with League policies
>Some think membership costs too much.
>Some are inactive
>Some don't understand why a national organization is needed.

You have taken a Poll to confirm this? :-)

Jimmy boy, YOU are a League BELIEVER. You are so far into
bias on that that all you generate are square waves.

>btw, No-Code International's membership is less than 1% of US amateurs
>even though there are no dues and NCI membership never expires.

Highly irrelevant. NCI is NOT a "national association for amateur
radio." It exists for ONE purpose: Elimination of the code test
from amateur radio license examinations worldwide. That's it.

>You have no activity in amateur radio and except for one outburst almost
>six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur license.

"Outburst?!?" BWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Why, oh WHY must I show "an indication of interest?!?"

Who the fork are you to presume *I* MUST demonstrate to YOU
some kind of committment and dedication?!?!?

If your ego is THAT big, then you should go over to Coslo's BBS
since you will "reach the threashold of space" long before his
big balloon will...

>So you and the English teacher have the same level of involvement.

Nope. I am as involved as can be with my wife. None other.

As a bachelor I had an "involvement" with an English teacher,
a very nice one, in fact.

Try to think about marriage for YOU, Jimmy. It would make you
less of a one-track Believer.

>You're not a licensee and and except for one outburst almost
>six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur
>license.

Oh, oh, there goes that control-freak EGO again, Jimmy.

Work on that. It's bad socially.

>Perhaps the FCC chuckles over your comments, Len.

Irrelevant. Chances are they will take my comments seriously.

Doesn't matter, the PUBLIC has spoken to the FCC 3,794 times
through WT Docket 05-235.

>> Tsk, tsk, you DO! See little gems of an accusatory nature
>> such as I should have obtained an amateur radio license
>> before accepting professional radio employment!
>
>Who wrote that?

Dave Heil. Why aren't you paying ATTENTION to the flow? :-(

>> >See, this is what I mean when I say that you make frequent factual
>> >errors. I invite you to tune your Icom receiver to the low ends of the
>> >bands 160-10m this coming weekend.
>
>> Why? I have no personal interest in morse code and no interest
>> in amateur radio contesting. Invitation denied.
>
>Afraid you'll be proven wrong?

Tsk, there you go again with nasty attitude. An evangelical
Believer,
wet proselyte for a battery of morse gods, an acidic base.

Jimmy boy, I'm quite aware of the EM spectrum and who occupies what
"bands." Have been for a very long time...ever since getting my
"first job in radio." I know spectrum occupany OUTSIDE of the ham
bands on HF, on MF, on VHF, on UHF, and on up to 2.4 GHz. WHAT are
YOU going to tell ME? That contests are "popular?" I could find
that out by seeing the boosterism for that in print in CQ or QST.

Is contesting "operation" your main interest in amateur radio?
Are you more interested in awards, trophies, pretty certificates
than radio for radio's sake? It sure sounds like it since you
love getting praise, even from friends and neighbors. :-)

This Thursday and Friday I was involved in Thanksgiving in the
literal sense. Good friends got together, didn't talk at all
about amateur radio or morse code. Sunday is another nice
get-together with good people, and I don't expect any of the
talk will be about amateur radio or morse code or contests or
the beeping state of the radio art. No "contests" of any real
kind. Sunnuvagun!

Have fun in your amateur beeping contests. Those sound very,
Very, VERY important to you. Enjoy.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2650. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 06:06:25 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133071585.478288.42740@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125215

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Nov 26 2005 4:49 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Nov 22 2005 8:12 pm
>>
>> > Hmmm...not a brand I recognize in this corner of the USA. The
>> > "Newman's Own" line of food products may not be popular farther
>> > east in the USA? I've tried "Newman's Own" Ranch dressing but
>> > personally prefer the "Hidden Valley" brand for Ranch dressing.
>>
>> I had you pegged as a "Hidden Agenda" man.
>
>Says "Sun-dried Tomato" from WV.

Squash him before he spoils everything...

>> >>> Morsemen can do anything in impunity...or is it "special
>> >>> dispensation?" They have a "mass" of lies. If only they
>> >>> would go to confessional and admit them...
>> >> They have black mass and wrenchysnitch. Egg nog afterwards.
>> >
>> > Some have "hot buttered rum" in foursomes around a fire of
>> > oak leaves and discuss Anderson PowerPole connectors.
>>
>> That may have been the topic in the cozy lodge or it may have been your
>> tiny, old, dusty Johnson. That Anderson Powerpole bit may be a bit of
>> self-aggrandizement on your part.
>
>Everyone seems to have "thier" own opinion on the subject.

"Obvioulsy"

>> > Appears to be a popular ceremony along the Atlantic states.
>>
>> What was it that your Nordic ancestors did--paint their faces blue and
>> howl at the moon?
>
>Or ate sardines for breakfast?

Marinated herring is pretty good with rye bread, but kippers
became the IN thing for breakfast in jolly old UK. The British
Isles had the blue face paint thing. See the fictious film
biography of Scotsman William Wallce in "Braveheart."

>> See you in the CQ Worldwide DX CW 'test this weekend, Len or do you plan
>> to stick with the Wordwide?
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Is there a CQ WWW this weekend? Never heard of it.

:-)

>Maybe John Dorr could shed some light on your "assertion of fact."

Don't let the Dorr hit Davie's assertion on the way out...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2651. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 06:08:50 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133071730.109272.50560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125216

From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Nov 26 2005 7:44 pm

>Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: Frank Gilliland on Fri, Nov 25 2005 5:06 am
>> >> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >>>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> >>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> >>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in

>> > Despite starting off in REAL radio some 52 years ago and having
>> > to get into engineering of later models of military radios, I've
>> > never had to bother with all the "NSA boxes."
>>
>> We wouldn't want you to be "bothered", Len. I had to be "bothered" with
>> a number of them over the years". You never had to be "bothered" with
>> morse code either. That's two things which have gotten along pretty
>> well without your expertise.
>
>Hi, hi! MMMM.
>
>Installing a modem card in a pc is more difficult than hooking up
>crypto gear.

From the NESTOR series onwards...until the SINCGARS with
built-in COMSEC appeared which made crypto selection just
a rotary switch throw.

>> > By the 1990s, the
>> > COMSEC features were built into military radios.
>>
>> I see that you've already learned that "COMMSEC" is "COMSEC".
>
>Communications Security

Davie is all-seeing, all-knowing, but socially acts blind
and dumb. :-(

>> > It was fine by me that NSA handled the crypto...
>>
>> I'll bet those folks are grateful that you didn't have any beef with
>> that. You could have become an advocate for allowing for greater
>> citizen participation.
>

>PGP

That's beneath Davie's contempt. A citizen DES is worse.

>> > For the benefit of the civilians among the readers, "UCMJ"
>> > is an acronym for Universal Code of Military Justice, the
>> > common set of laws for all United States military branches.
>>
>> *Sigh* Do you ever get anything right, Leonard. UCMJ stands for
>> "Uniform Code of Military Justice".
>
>Easy mistake. He's been hearing for decades how Code is Universal.

The only "code" at the Universal out here is "obey the bosses
in the Black Tower!" :-) [Universal Studios joke...execs are
in the high office tower with black glass outside]

>> > Again, for benefit of the civilians among the readers, "DD-214" is
>> > a Department of Defense form filled out at the end of a continuous
>> > period of military service as their RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY.
>>
>> That's twice with "for benefit of the civilians among the readers"
>> stuff. Are you under the impression that you're in the military now?
>
>Why would you think that? Len has been very specific wrt his dates of
>service.

Davie looks for the slightest chink in anyone's armor to start
an Issue with them.

>> If you're going to attempt clarification for the civilians among the
>> readers, the least you could do is get your ducks in a row.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>Now Heil wants ducks to fall into formation? ;^)

Jahwhol! Day vill goose-step vile passing in review und der
Literalist vill do das gruss gott!

Squawk, zvie, drie, feur...

[ducks have a bad accent in German...]

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2652. Re: Todd continues to bloviate about the law

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 06:35:59 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133073359.870716.174670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125217

No, I wouldn't I'm into Japanese anime and only Japanese anime

## 2653. Re: Image crawlers have already grabbed Todd's picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 06:39:49 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133073589.367167.155980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125218

I'm have it removed too, those are copyrighted pictures. and YOU posting them on those sites is a violation of my copyrights

# 2654. Re: Image crawlers have already grabbed Todd's picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 07:23:04 UTC
From: Lanny Davis <RottenDemocrat@ou8it2.com>
Message-ID: <dmbmo4$gkk$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125219

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133073589.367167.155980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
I'm have it removed too, those are copyrighted pictures. and YOU
posting them on those sites is a violation of my copyrights

So hire a lawyer and sue him, Todd.

## 2655. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 08:04:42 UTC
From: Tiernan <s_emerson@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <11oiq4qn6s5kj4c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 125220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Ken wrote:
> "Tiernan" <s_emerson@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:VUzhf.33803$6e1.24255@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
>>>>Why does the Democrat Party hate America?
>>>>
>>
>>Why post this question on these newsgroups? and you are dead wrong. There
>>are plenty of democrats going to work daily in green suits in Iraq.
>
>
>
>
> That's true. They are known as the Al-Quaida.
> Why post here? Yes, typical of you Democreeps, always trying
> to control the free flow of information.
>
>
>
>
>
you jest....Patriot Act and embedded thats a Demo plot?

--
Dean
www.Audicy.net
www.DeanTiernan.com

# 2656. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 10:21:31 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dmc19o$qv6$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 125221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133058826.855557.301330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
>
> Landshark wrote:
> > FINALLY!!
> >
> > THE TRUTH!
> >
> > The public has known for a long time how useless ham radio is, not to
> > mention the low life types who becomes hams. Think I'll have to write a
> > few letters myself. most of the spectrum 50 mhz and above should be
> > turned over to commerical interests.
> >
> > GOOD FOR YOU, GOVERNOR!!
> ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
> I don't normally cut and past entire atricles, but this one is
> important.
> It shows why Ham radio is far from "useless'.

*That sort of statement from someone official simply puts me in mind that
the guys a blithering idiot.

> http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/Index.cfm?ArticleID=11136
>
> [Web Exclusive]
> In Katrina's Wake, Ham Radio Triumphs
> By David Maliniak, AD2A
>
> David Maliniak
> ED Online ID #11136
> September 19, 2005
>
> 1) Monitor Your PC's CPU Core Temperature 664
> 2) Build A Smart Battery Charger Using A Single-Transistor Circuit
> 422
> 3) DC-AC inverter targets electroluminescent applications 248
> 4) What's All This Double-Clutching Stuff, Anyhow? 247
> 5) Setting The Standard For Hybrid Cars 179
> ALL TOP 20 >>
>
>
>
> A few months ago, NBC's Tonight Show staged a race between a pair of

> ham-radio operators with Morse-code keys and a couple of kids with
> text-messaging cellphones to see who could communicate faster. The hams
> won hands down, proving, in the minds of some, that old technology
> could hold its own against new.

*What appears to have been forgotton here is only Full licenced Hams can read these messages compaired to everyone who can read a text. (apart from the blind of course) They won by a mere second.

In recent days, ham radio was put to
> the test again by Hurricane Katrina. This time, however, lives were at
> stake.
>
> In the world of design engineers and electronics in general, change is
> essential. Designers work diligently to make the fruits of their labors
> obsolete almost before they see daylight. The turnover in technology is
> sometimes like a flood, with old being washed away by new over and
> over. Often, the new beats the heck out of the old. But there are times
> when old isn't necessarily bad; in fact, sometimes old works when new
> doesn't. And then we're glad that old is still around, or at least we
> should be.

*But when the powers out so are the repeaters and also the mobile phones. CB radio is more practicable in situations like this as anyone can use them not just Amateurs.

> Wireless technology, while relatively new to many consumers, is of
> course not new at all. A few (very) old-timers remember the original
> "wireless" of radio. The revolution wrought by the pioneers of wireless
> changed the world then, and the technology behind that revolution has
> been re-invented and re-applied time and again. Its pre-eminent
> incarnation today is our near-ubiquitous wireless communications
> infrastructure, which has freed us from the shackles of landlines and
> made our mobile lifestyles possible. Technology truly is great stuff.

*It surely is..

> Until, of course, a monster hurricane comes along to render it nearly
> useless. Here we see a scenario in which a flood literally swept away
> the new. As Hurricane Katrina's fury hammered the Gulf states on August
> 29, the communications infrastructure took a devastating hit. Telephone
> service, including wireless, became at first intermittent and then
> unusable in many localities. Where there was phone service, 911
> switchboards were often unreachable due to the massive volume of calls.

*Yes, most call centres are scaled down to just be useful in normal situations due to trying to compete on a budget. As in most thing these days its money over everything else. The services always seem to come second. Especially in the National Health Center here in Blighty.

> The response of local authorities, now termed "confused" by deposed
> FEMA chief Michael Brown, wasn't helping much. The Gulf Coast was about
> to descend into darkness, chaos, and, worst of all for many, silence.

>
> But proponents of the old were at the ready. The "old," in this case,
> is ham radio. In the eyes of the "man on the street," ham radio has a
> pretty stodgy reputation. Aren't hams still using Morse code?

*Only the Full licenced ones, the M3 and intermediate arent. Or at least
arent to any helpful degree. Mind you they can "Talk" just like on CB so
isnt that just as useful? Why is everything morse orientated?

Don't
> some of them use radios with tubes, for goodness sake? What the "man in
> the street" probably doesn't know is that it was amateurs who advanced
> the radio arts early in the 20th century. Down through the decades,
> amateurs have embraced (and often driven) all of the innovations in
> wireless technology, up to and including all digital modes and the
> Internet. But many have stayed in touch with their roots, which is good
> old-fashioned analog HF operation. And while amateurs have a
> longstanding tradition as innovators and experimenters, they also have
> a mandate that comes with their licenses: to be ready, willing, and
> able to provide emergency communications whenever and wherever they're
> needed.

* Im sure your right...

> As Katrina bore down on the Gulf region, amateur radio operators, under
> the aegis of the American Radio Relay League's (ARRL's) Amateur Radio
> Emergency Service (ARES), prepared to swing into action with emergency
> networks that would run health-and-welfare traffic into and out of the
> disaster zone. As early as the Monday following the storm, hams
> throughout the hurricane zone were putting emergency stations on the
> air. In one instance, hams were instrumental in the rescue of 15 people
> clinging for life to a New Orleans rooftop. Meanwhile, in Alabama,
> amateur SKYWARN weather nets kept the National Weather Service apprised
> of conditions throughout the state. In hard-hit sections of
> Mississippi, hams running off generators and with makeshift antennas
> were the only means of communication, getting word to out-of-state
> friends and relatives concerning their loved ones.
>
> There were numerous other instances of hams helping those who were not
> simply inconvenienced by the storm, but whose lives were in imminent
> danger.

*As were most peoples who took the decision to stay when they had plenty of
time to evacuate. Were these people just of the same mind or were they
really hero's?

>Now that things have calmed down in the Gulf region, many of
> the emergency nets have stood down. But hams continue to serve the
> public in the many areas that are still without power or phone service.

How are they doing this? in what way? Who are they talking to?

> As our nation collects itself in the aftermath of the Katrina disaster,
> President Bush has promised federal reviews of what went right and what
> went wrong. One of the findings of those inquiries should be that the
> federally-instituted Amateur Radio Service, which functions under the
> licensing authority of the FCC, stood tall when the country needed it.
>
> Amateur radio currently faces various threats to its existence. Chief
> among those is the advent of broadband-over-powerline (BPL) technology,
> which, if broadly adopted, has the potential to cause widespread
> interference to HF communications, not just for amateurs but for other
> services that use the HF spectrum.

*Shocking isnt it. They kick up enough fuss when Amateur/CB causes
interference but its ok the other way round.

> Amateurs and the ARRL have made a lot of noise about BPL, asserting
> that it could seriously hamper their efforts and those of relief
> agencies such as the Red Cross and Salvation Army, in the event of a
> disaster such as Katrina. It's rumored, though, that the same FCC
> commissioners who have given their blessing to BPL field trials will
> now take a much harder look at the technical issues concerning BPL and
> its interference potential in the HF spectrum. Let's face it: The
> federal government didn't handle the emergency in the Gulf very well;
> it'd be prudent for it not to sanction a technology that could impede
> one of the few things that actually worked.
>
> Many readers of this newsletter are amateur radio enthusiasts. If you
> are, and if you haven't already done so, consider writing your
> congressman to express your concern about the future of the Amateur
> Radio Service, especially in light of its outstanding efforts in recent
> days. Remind your elected representatives that a vibrant and unimpeded
> Amateur service can and will be a lifesaver when disaster strikes.

*I remember the fight to try to get CB in the UK back in 81, the struggle we
had with politicians who were so blind as to see its benefits. In Amateur
case the facts are already proven so its just downright ignorant to the
hobby to dismiss it.

> Also, consider how you yourself might help. What if a hurricane,
> tornado, or earthquake ravages your area? Are you prepared to get on
> the air without relying on the mains to handle emergency traffic? Get
> in touch with your local amateur-radio club and find out how you can
> pitch in.

*I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the masses. More
accessible and cheaply available than Ham but im sure the both can work in
tandem.

> Your cell phones and wireless routers are indeed great stuff, but so is
> a good old HF transceiver. We shouldn't always be in such a hurry to
> let the flood of new technology wash away the old. The geek down the
> block with all the antennas on his property could turn out to be your
> best friend someday. Because sometimes, old trumps new.

*My arguement also for the continued usefulness of CB radio. Not a replacement for the mobile phone or internet but another communications option especially if the s_it hits the fan. Phones and the internet cant do what the CB does, and vice versa so why not embrace the three. Amateur doesnt need embracing as its there and its not for the general public. It use just needs accepting by the authorities a bit more even though its been proved time and time again.

> You can e-mail David Maliniak at dmaliniak@penton.com.
> ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
> There are a lot of comments that I didn't feel justified in reposting.
>
> Terry

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· _·_ ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

# 2657. blow the bejezzus out of aural fascisti, It's all part of a humid joke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 11:18:32 UTC
From: stretcher.bearer@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1133090312.055893.150670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125222

I barely scanned the posts. I decided to reply because Pirates and wooden legs are people too. Pirate radio free radio whatever, is your birthright and slap the FCC with a nice flaming cocktail
with a note attached (that will burn up) that apologizes for ever dogtrotting the American Church or god King ahhhh you know what I'm saying to hell with the ICC I liven-ear an airport and the shit gets loud, the more pirates and clandestine propaganda we have the less airplanes waking me up before nick Jr is finished. Let them fine away let them impregnate themselves with moral gas from an unsanitary kitchen, hope fully their families will melt in some strange flash of blue glowing goo..
the point is say what you want when you want how you want and fuck anyone who tryst to censor you, seriously, get a concealed weapons permit and then shoot the CFC Pope in the spine so their john Thermoses fail and their melted wives leave them for a socialist poolman and ruin their children for being the monstrosity's of beureaucratic family loving' may the Jolly Roger and the Red and black flags crap rancid poodle hair and mayo on the stars and stripes. may the bible get up and move to another country, may we party until we eat the green leftover Socratic police dog. ruin their day, tell them that God hates all enforcers of Caesars crap edicts. Leave a plate off Radio Pigs cake on the doorstep and ring the the bell. when they open punch them with an inflatable sex doll screaming "my Ba-bay, You son of a bitch the FCC allowed all these megajoules to rule over those electric diarrhoea machines and now my 11 year old daughter hooked up with Danny Red haired Bannsnatchaducci and is knocked up" Email your congressman with stupid tirades about The Second Coming and at 15%off 115.00 a Christian head(literally, like John the Batiste) gets you seats near the other stage playing the best of John Philip Sousa's pro-Merkin brass vomit. blame them for Ce;line Dion and American Idol and the fact that all television(except cartoons) is nothing more than draining films for how to be a more inattentive shopper.
What I'm saying is do what Tu will and fuck'em if they can't take a joke, for yea, they are the joke a joke!
and yes, Hail BOB and Connie, and may I in my lifetime see Jesus, Mohammad Buddha ahura mazda, lao tsu Al Proton pissed Prussians all neutral nations and a box of warm motor oil take turns beating the hell out of Pat Robertcash , Jerry " the steamed placental expulsion"Foulwell,the association of Christian boy loving chester the Reverend Molester, bad art, and , ascend from the clouds and slap 'em all repeatedly so it soon becomes a cable network people lose int-rest in, yet let the beatings continue in the gold lame set of Trinity Broadcasting and their Tots for term anally ill Croat pagans for ever end ever Admen

member, fuck up, steal, undermine society, pick fights with absolute nobody Pinks about why Madonna ital. exist, blame the poor strait-edge minicomputer and engage in pointless comedy, God's got better things to do than listen to televised marshmallows prophets of doom and how great things could be..so far, all the doomsayers have failed miserably to predict the end of time, so take nap I say, if you wake up, good, if you don't so what..
Don't forget too use violence against the police and help rid the world of injustice.
Le 'Humour Noir...the Lovecraft of the desolate present.
Steve.
p.s., if Army recruitment franchises still give free gifts, raid them and steal all the t-shirts mugs and pencils you can, do it naked, wit-ha pair of pantyhose on your head, and after portending to inspect the loot, refuse it, on the grounds of poor craftsmanship.
you can fuck off in life and still live, you won't die for ridiculing social bimetallism, you might get a Casio to Cuba though, but try and convince everyman to convert to some fake religion where Shaky Castro is the Chevy dirge.....love your DADA he loves you.
Sleep Research Labs l.l.c.s.d.25 and 3 quarters copyrighted because why should I? cash? if you use my stuff(yeah right) deride me and give me credit, oh and send me some pot, not in the mail though......I'll Be waiting on the street by that one place down there.

# 2658. Re: Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 11:50:14 UTC
From: stretcher.bearer@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1133092214.532188.232930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125223
Cross-posted to: alt.anger,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.bizarre

Simply put, The only good Fascist is a dead fascist, let her Christmas Nazi stuff sell, but a reminder to all those who think that fascim and Hitler were the shit,They lost, Remember, or, did that not happen like Vietnam and pogo-sticks. When I actually meet anon-exconvict Arayan(which, by the way is a word I believe in Sanskrit, since most Nazis are Dumb as a retarded two-headed cow, Sanskrit is the language that came from....GASP! India) I do believe they are dark skinned are they not?
Do Nazi's Like Italians? they should, The word Fascist comes from the Roman (remember decadent homosexual Rome)Fascias, if my Latin spelling is correct ,Which is a bundle of sticks, spears arrows etc. which in Anglo-Saxon slang is a faggot....One begins to wonder ....Prison, faggots, sticks, Celie's or Sally's? What are you trying to hide you diminutive Cowards and no big pick-up trucks do not make the penis more rigid. So, I reiterate all Nazis, unless I ever ever meet one who has a coherent well-formed thought that is original and not just plain stupid racist mucus will believe that 1. you must like losers
2.Youare all closet homosexuals
3.you are complete pussies, you do not intimidate and if I had my way and a .45
I would not hesitate to shoot you without guilt or regret. my name is Mr. Grey
I am an Anti-Fascist anarchist and I hope we may be one day issued Nazi hunting licences here in America. and I'm white. your Jemima will dry your tears sweety if this insults you or makes you mad, but come and see me and you won't have to worry. IN NO FLEXIBLE TERMS DO I NOT ADVOCATE THE MURDER OF FASCISTS. THEY SHOULD BE HUNG like Mussolini. I SAY this without any remorse, they should not be allowed to be buried with real humans. take them to the local landfill.

## 2659. Re: Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 12:22:48 UTC
From: stretcher.bearer@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1133094168.849041.202180@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

COINTELPRO< RICHARD NIXON REPUBLICAN, free flow of informationsir? thats classified, we can never discuss this. this post will self destruct...you get my drift you pantywearing babysitter fantisizing boner in church having mutant the party system died years before you were born, Ever heard of Tammaney hall? or DAly's Machine politics? those thing do not disappear overnight. VIVA la Muerte! long live Death true delivrance comes from the word of NO GOD OR MASTER keep looking up, mold GLORY is-aTurnin' Blackand red....GOD bless Those who wish to Smash the State Remeber sacco and Vanzetti.Oh, if like most of you gung-ho jugheads that was Anarchist terminology so tell your friends at the homeland ne Gestapo that McCarthy should be dug up because this nation is a plug in the world toilet, and the Janitor comes on duty in about five minutes.

## 2660. Re: Image crawlers have already grabbed Todd's picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 12:54:01 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <garv@elborate.com>
Message-ID: <dmca98$1m9$1@domitilla.aioe.org>
Source record: 125225

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133073589.367167.155980
@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> I'm have it removed too, those are copyrighted pictures. and YOU
> posting them on those sites is a violation of my copyrights
>
>

Bwahahahaha! It's going to be on a whole BUNCH of servers now!

http://i31.photobucket.com/albums/c373/antitoad/n9oglloser.jpg

Maybe that will help teach you to keep your punk mouth shut.

# 2661. In Austria Amateurs protest against DSL over powerlines.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 13:23:46 UTC
From: Jan Panteltje <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dmcc17$tef$1@news.datemas.de>
Source record: 125226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Sending DLS (Internet) over powerlines uses either
1.8 to 32 MHz
or
3 to 21 Mhz.
Of cause in the first case CB is also affected.

The signal strength was measured by the gov, and
found to be 111.639 times the allowed limit....
They had to reduce it a factor 111.639 to make even digital radio
reception (DRM (Digital Radio Mondial) ) possible.

New tests are running, here is an original article in German:
http://www.heise.de/newsticker/meldung/66673

As internet over powerlines is also considered in the US,
I think US amateurs and CB people should strongly protest
too.

These guys got the license to operate 'because it is cable,
and that is not regulated like radio'.
Of cause powerlines are not shielded, and high up there, the
perfect VERY long antennas....

;-) Maybe if the conditions are good you will be able to get free internet
from Austria in the US. ;-)

# 2662. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 13:24:30 UTC
From: D Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <isiif.97447$qk4.69426@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 125227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

The Magnum wrote:

>> A few months ago, NBC's Tonight Show staged a race between a pair of
>> ham-radio operators with Morse-code keys and a couple of kids with
>> text-messaging cellphones to see who could communicate faster. The hams
>> won hands down, proving, in the minds of some, that old technology
>> could hold its own against new.
>
> *What appears to have been forgotton here is only Full licenced Hams can
> read these messages compaired to everyone who can read a text. (apart from
> the blind of course) They won by a mere second.

Actually, any Boy Scout can read code. Hams have their own jargon,
for efficiency, but one need not be a fully licensed ham to read a code
message. One only need be informed. That can be anybody.

>
> In recent days, ham radio was put to
>> the test again by Hurricane Katrina. This time, however, lives were at
>> stake.
>>
>> In the world of design engineers and electronics in general, change is
>> essential. Designers work diligently to make the fruits of their labors
>> obsolete almost before they see daylight. The turnover in technology is
>> sometimes like a flood, with old being washed away by new over and
>> over. Often, the new beats the heck out of the old. But there are times
>> when old isn't necessarily bad; in fact, sometimes old works when new
>> doesn't. And then we're glad that old is still around, or at least we
>> should be.
>
> *But when the powers out so are the repeaters and also the mobile phones. CB
> radio is more practicable in situations like this as anyone can use them not
> just Amateurs.

While that may seem to be true, the chaos on the 11 meter band is
evidence of just how unworkable 11 meters would be in an emergency. Yes,
it would work, and yes, anyone would be able to use it. However, the
advantage hams have in an emergency is the discipline and understanding
of protocols to be able to get a message through crowded bands, without
creating any new interference. Most untrained operators, not all, but
most by far, would simply get on the radio and start talking regardless
of who is there first. This, coupled with CB's limited local range would
be more of an impediment than trained hams working limited allocations

in fewer numbers. In an emergency, the 11 meter band may simply not be sufficiently open to make CB a practical alternative to the amateur service.

Amateur operators would have a power advantage, as well, exceeding 200 times the CB user's power output.

Non hams do not understand the long standing traditions of the amateur net--a group, or network of hams working together to get messages from any part of the world to any other part of the world, either acting as phone relay stations or as traffic handlers relaying content. Those networks have existed since Maxim, and are already in place. They exist from coast to coast on all bands. CB does not have such a tradition. Primarily because long distance communication, by the rules of the charter of the service prohibit long distance. But realistically, CB operators are simply not organized into networks ready and willing to move traffic. Most REACT teams are as unable to get a message through a crowded band as any other operator. 5 watts when untrained users are screaming for assistance all at once is not sufficient to overcome the noise.

Further, ham operators are uniquely able, and qualified to improvise communications where non exist. There are bands throughout the spectra with propagation characteristics unique to needs of the moment. Hams know where and when these characteristics are available. CB users do not. Ham operators are legally permitted to improvise equipment, as well, often cobbling together equipment of many types, modifying as they go, to create the combination of hardware necessary to their needs. All they have to do is operate within the bands, and refrain from creating interference. Short of that, only the individual's technical limitations are a factor. CB users, however, frequently don't have the technical expertise to improvise. Even if they did, such improvisation on the 11 meter band is prohibited. Only type accepted hardware is permitted, and it may not be modified by the end user. Few CB operators are licensed to service their own radios. In an emergency, they'd be limited by the functionality and serviceability of the hardware at hand. Ham radio operators, on the other hand, would have no limitations except that they must operate within the bands, and the emissions must not create interference.

So, while it may seem true that CB is open to everyone and a far better option that a licensed service, reality begs to differ.

What's unfortunate, is that the CB mentality has begun to affect the amateur service, as well. The sense of community and public service has been eroded by those who don't know, nor do they care, about the public service aspect of amateur radio. And the responsibilities of being a part of the service.

>
>> Now that things have calmed down in the Gulf region, many of
>> the emergency nets have stood down. But hams continue to serve the
>> public in the many areas that are still without power or phone service.

>
> How are they doing this? in what way? Who are they talking to?

Emergency generators in private hands are still considered a rarity
by most. Not the case. I live in Suburban Chicago. Half of my neighbors
have generators. I have a 20,000 watt generator that I can kick in when
the power goes down--a trick I learned from my grandfather. Hams who
participated in DXpeditions, or field days often have generators to
power their rigs. As a second tier backup, I"ve got a 1000 watt inverter
that I can power with the battery on my car. With the heavy duty three
phase alternator I installed, I can keep that battery alive simply by
starting the car and letting it run for an hour or two every so often.

If one wants to, there are always methods of being prepared for
power outtage.

As for who they're talking to? Other hams. There are a lot of them
out there. And many in any given community.

>
>> Also, consider how you yourself might help. What if a hurricane,
>> tornado, or earthquake ravages your area? Are you prepared to get on
>> the air without relying on the mains to handle emergency traffic? Get
>> in touch with your local amateur-radio club and find out how you can
>> pitch in.
>
> *I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the masses.

Exactly my point. Broadcast is not the goal. Point to point
communication is. And that's where hams excel. Because they know how to
work together, not only to limit interference, but to move traffic.
They're organized. They're disciplined. They don't broadcast to the
masses. They have a plan, and it's already in place. You are but one
with a radio and an intent. So are hundreds of others in your region.
None of you have gotten together to create a strategy to handle
communications in an emergency. Chaos threatens.

A good analogy is the a football stadium. Organized individuals
could move a message around the stadium during a game. While those
'ready to broadcast to the masses,' would simply begin calling out to
whoever hears them. As they do when the ball nears the goal.
Organization would get an intelligible message through the noise. While
the unorganized would BE the noise.

# 2663. Re: Image crawlers have already grabbed Todd's picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 13:26:54 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133098014.503515.62610@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125228

That's a VIOLATION OF COPYRIGHT LAW and is also Slander.

# 2664. Re: Image crawlers have already grabbed Todd's picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 13:43:31 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971B5820796BFnaoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125229

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133098014.503515.62610
@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> That's a VIOLATION OF COPYRIGHT LAW and is also Slander.
>
>
You enjoy kiddie porn.

http://i31.photobucket.com/albums/c373/antitoad/n9oglloser.jpg

# 2665. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 15:07:35 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dmci26$h2p$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 125230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

"D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:isiif.97447$qk4.69426@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The Magnum wrote:
>
//snip//

> Actually, any Boy Scout can read code. Hams have their own jargon,
> for efficiency, but one need not be a fully licensed ham to read a code
> message. One only need be informed. That can be anybody.

Your trying to tell me all boyscouts know morse code?? are you sure?? so
youd keep boy scouts in these troubled areas would you... Only a few people
can understand morse.

//snip//

> > *But when the powers out so are the repeaters and also the mobile
phones. CB
> > radio is more practicable in situations like this as anyone can use them
not
> > just Amateurs.
>
>
>
> While that may seem to be true, the chaos on the 11 meter band is
> evidence of just how unworkable 11 meters would be in an emergency.

And Amateur Bands are all run by responsible people who never mess about and
theres never any "Chaos" on there is what you are saying now??

>Yes,
> it would work, and yes, anyone would be able to use it. However, the
> advantage hams have in an emergency is the discipline and understanding
> of protocols to be able to get a message through crowded bands, without
> creating any new interference. Most untrained operators

One sec. You are also simply going on about civilians... where do the police
come into it? Their radios are knocked out and they need communications.
They cant use amateur bands because they arent licenced.... they can use
CB's though cant they?

> not all, but
> most by far, would simply get on the radio and start talking regardless
> of who is there first. This, coupled with CB's limited local range would

> be more of an impediment than trained hams working limited allocations
> in fewer numbers. In an emergency, the 11 meter band may simply not be
> sufficiently open to make CB a practical alternative to the amateur
service.

I dont think you understood what i meant. I didnt mean use CB instead of
Amateur. I cant see why the both cant be used side by side.

> Amateur operators would have a power advantage, as well, exceeding
> 200 times the CB user's power output.

Dont forget were talking about local'ish communications here not wide
ranging communication. The information only needs to be put out to somewhere
with power and unaffected. Also power wise theres a good few set ups on the
CB band out there with plenty of power. You go on about legally but were
talking natural disaster times here and i doubt if everyone sits reading
rule books to see what they can do.

> Non hams do not understand the long standing traditions of the
> amateur net--a group, or network of hams working together to get
> messages from any part of the world to any other part of the world,
> either acting as phone relay stations or as traffic handlers relaying
> content. Those networks have existed since Maxim, and are already in
> place. They exist from coast to coast on all bands. CB does not have
> such a tradition.

Long distance communications again... missing the point again...

>Primarily because long distance communication, by the
> rules of the charter of the service prohibit long distance. But
> realistically, CB operators are simply not organized into networks ready
> and willing to move traffic. Most REACT teams are as unable to get a
> message through a crowded band as any other operator. 5 watts when
> untrained users are screaming for assistance all at once is not
> sufficient to overcome the noise.

Like i said, side by side not instead of. Dont forget a lot of these
screaming untrained users wont be able to use their sets due to homebase
power cuts and in NO case, flooded vehicals but it wouldnt take long to
organise some sort of communication set up via CB in these instances. It
only needs a few experienced CB operators to make it viable. There are some
clever organised CB groups out there which you overlook just as the
politician chappie in charge tried to brush off radio Amateurs... we dont
need their help... remember? Your doing exactly the same thing. The more
communications channels in an emergancy the better.

//snip//

CB users, however, frequently don't have the technical
> expertise to improvise. Even if they did, such improvisation on the 11
> meter band is prohibited. Only type accepted hardware is permitted, and
> it may not be modified by the end user. Few CB operators are licensed to
> service their own radios. In an emergency, they'd be limited by the

> functionality and serviceability of the hardware at hand. Ham radio
> operators, on the other hand, would have no limitations except that they
> must operate within the bands, and the emissions must not create
> interference.

You dont need adapted hardware. Were talking local communications here not
trying to get out round the world. The CB service is perfectly adiquate for
this.

> So, while it may seem true that CB is open to everyone and a far
> better option that a licensed service, reality begs to differ.
>
> What's unfortunate, is that the CB mentality has begun to affect the
> amateur service, as well. The sense of community and public service has
> been eroded by those who don't know, nor do they care, about the public
> service aspect of amateur radio. And the responsibilities of being a
> part of the service.

CB mentality? Cheeky bastard.

> >> Now that things have calmed down in the Gulf region, many of
> >> the emergency nets have stood down. But hams continue to serve the
> >> public in the many areas that are still without power or phone service.
> > >
> > > How are they doing this? in what way? Who are they talking to?
> >
> >
> >
> Emergency generators in private hands are still considered a rarity
> by most. Not the case. I live in Suburban Chicago. Half of my neighbors
> have generators. I have a 20,000 watt generator that I can kick in when
> the power goes down--a trick I learned from my grandfather. Hams who
> participated in DXpeditions, or field days often have generators to
> power their rigs. As a second tier backup, I"ve got a 1000 watt inverter
> that I can power with the battery on my car. With the heavy duty three
> phase alternator I installed, I can keep that battery alive simply by
> starting the car and letting it run for an hour or two every so often.
>
> If one wants to, there are always methods of being prepared for
> power outtage.
>
> As for who they're talking to? Other hams. There are a lot of them
> out there. And many in any given community.

And these Hams are passing the informatio to who? and to what end?

> >> Also, consider how you yourself might help. What if a hurricane,
> >> tornado, or earthquake ravages your area? Are you prepared to get on
> >> the air without relying on the mains to handle emergency traffic? Get
> >> in touch with your local amateur-radio club and find out how you can
> >> pitch in.
> > >

> > *I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the masses.
>
>
> Exactly my point. Broadcast is not the goal. Point to point
> communication is.

Pedantic reply there. You knew perfectly well what i meant which was to get information about and communicate to those in range (remember the repeaters being knocked out)

And that's where hams excel. Because they know how to
> work together, not only to limit interference, but to move traffic.
> They're organized. They're disciplined. They don't broadcast to the
> masses. They have a plan, and it's already in place.

Bollocks........ only the Hams who have been trained for such events have plans in place

You are but one
> with a radio and an intent. So are hundreds of others in your region.

Internet wouldnt be an issue... knocked out remember. As will have most of the incapable users of CB. Only the ones prepaired "with a plan" would be on air ready to organise. Or do you think anyone who isnt an Amateur couldnt organise anything. I know a few Amateurs who couldnt organise their breakfasts in the mornings never mind anything else.

> None of you have gotten together to create a strategy to handle
> communications in an emergency. Chaos threatens.

> A good analogy is the a football stadium. Organized individuals
> could move a message around the stadium during a game. While those
> 'ready to broadcast to the masses,' would simply begin calling out to
> whoever hears them. As they do when the ball nears the goal.
> Organization would get an intelligible message through the noise. While
> the unorganized would BE the noise.

Trumpet blowing for ones own service and nothing else. As far as perfect Hams go, you seem to think they are all angels and well organised. Well unless they have been recruited and actually trained for such events then they would be as useless as the next person. Not all Hams are as you imply.

You also seem to repeatedly go on about one or the other service. Like i said earlier and was not picked up on as your own trumpet was deafening you, both services could have run side by side perfectly fine. CB for the general organising of local issues and policing and Amateur for the longer distance more drafting in supplies side of things and keeping outsiders informed....

Regards,
Graham.

--
_·_· _··· _·_ ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham

# 2666. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 15:21:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133104915.399574.250630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125231

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Sat, Nov 26 2005 4:49 pm
> >Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com on Tues, Nov 22 2005 8:12 pm
> >>
> >> > Hmmm...not a brand I recognize in this corner of the USA. The
> >> > "Newman's Own" line of food products may not be popular farther
> >> > east in the USA? I've tried "Newman's Own" Ranch dressing but
> >> > personally prefer the "Hidden Valley" brand for Ranch dressing.
> >>
> >> I had you pegged as a "Hidden Agenda" man.
> >
> >Says "Sun-dried Tomato" from WV.
>
> Squash him before he spoils everything...

Too late. He's gone balsamic on us.

> >> >>> Morsemen can do anything in impunity...or is it "special
> >> >>> dispensation?" They have a "mass" of lies. If only they
> >> >>> would go to confessional and admit them...
> >> >> They have black mass and wrenchysnitch. Egg nog afterwards.
> >> >
> >> > Some have "hot buttered rum" in foursomes around a fire of
> >> > oak leaves and discuss Anderson PowerPole connectors.
> >>
> >> That may have been the topic in the cozy lodge or it may have been your
> >> tiny, old, dusty Johnson. That Anderson Powerpole bit may be a bit of
> >> self-aggrandizement on your part.
> >
> >Everyone seems to have "thier" own opinion on the subject.
>
> "Obvioulsy"

Anyway, probably a better solution than a twisted pair and a wire nut.
;^)

> >> > Appears to be a popular ceremony along the Atlantic states.
> >>
> >> What was it that your Nordic ancestors did--paint their faces blue and
> >> howl at the moon?
> >
> >Or ate sardines for breakfast?
>

> Marinated herring is pretty good with rye bread, but kippers
> became the IN thing for breakfast in jolly old UK. The British
> Isles had the blue face paint thing. See the fictious film
> biography of Scotsman William Wallce in "Braveheart."

That wasn't factual?

> >> See you in the CQ Worldwide DX CW 'test this weekend, Len or do you plan
> >> to stick with the Wordwide?
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >Is there a CQ WWW this weekend? Never heard of it.
>
> :-)
>
> >Maybe John Dorr could shed some light on your "assertion of fact."
>
> Don't let the Dorr hit Davie's assertion on the way out...
>
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

A free issue of World Radio magazine showed up in my mail last week.
One editorial had some interesting things to say about emergency comms
(or is that "coms?") being incompatible with a rtty contest.

# 2667. Re: blow the bejezzus out of aural fascisti, It's all part of a humid joke

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 15:53:25 UTC
From: Kookwatch 968549 <undermyhat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <951dd$4389e563$d1cc5677$26166@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 125233

To quote Big Al from 75 Meters:

"I think we got us a LIVE ONE boys..Ho-Ho! Ho-Ho!"

<stretcher.bearer@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133090312.055893.150670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> I barely scanned the posts. I decided to reply because Pirates and
> wooden legs are people too. Pirate radio free radio whatever, is your
> birthright and slap the FCC with a nice flaming cocktail
> with a note attached (that will burn up) that apologizes for ever
> dogtrotting the American Church or god King ahhhh you know what I'm
> saying to hell with the ICC I liven-ear an airport and the shit gets
> loud, the more pirates and clandestine propaganda we have the less
> airplanes waking me up before nick Jr is finished. Let them fine away
> let them impregnate themselves with moral gas from an unsanitary
> kitchen, hope fully their families will melt in some strange flash of
> blue glowing goo..
> the point is say what you want when you want how you want and fuck
> anyone who tryst to censor you, seriously, get a concealed weapons
> permit and then shoot the CFC Pope in the spine so their john Thermoses
> fail and their melted wives leave them for a socialist poolman and ruin
> their children for being the monstrosity's of beureaucratic family
> loving' may the Jolly Roger and the Red and black flags crap rancid
> poodle hair and mayo on the stars and stripes. may the bible get up and
> move to another country, may we party until we eat the green leftover
> Socratic police dog. ruin their day, tell them that God hates all
> enforcers of Caesars crap edicts. Leave a plate off Radio Pigs cake on
> the doorstep and ring the the bell. when they open punch them with an
> inflatable sex doll screaming "my Ba-bay, You son of a bitch the FCC
> allowed all these megajoules to rule over those electric diarrhoea
> machines and now my 11 year old daughter hooked up with Danny Red
> haired Bannsnatchaducci and is knocked up" Email your congressman with
> stupid tirades about The Second Coming and at 15%off 115.00 a Christian
> head(literally, like John the Batiste) gets you seats near the other
> stage playing the best of John Philip Sousa's pro-Merkin brass vomit.
> blame them for Ce;line Dion and American Idol and the fact that all
> television(except cartoons) is nothing more than draining films for how
> to be a more inattentive shopper.
> What I'm saying is do what Tu will and fuck'em if they can't take a
> joke, for yea, they are the joke a joke!
> and yes, Hail BOB and Connie, and may I in my lifetime see Jesus,
> Mohammad Buddha ahura mazda, lao tsu Al Proton pissed Prussians all
> neutral nations and a box of warm motor oil take turns beating the hell
> out of Pat Robertcash , Jerry " the steamed placental
> expulsion"Foulwell,the association of Christian boy loving chester the

> Reverend Molester, bad art, and , ascend from the clouds and slap 'em
> all repeatedly so it soon becomes a cable network people lose int-rest
> in, yet let the beatings continue in the gold lame set of Trinity
> Broadcasting and their Tots for term anally ill Croat pagans for ever
> end ever Admen
> member, fuck up, steal, undermine society, pick fights with absolute
> nobody Pinks about why Madonna ital. exist, blame the poor strait-edge
> minicomputer and engage in pointless comedy, God's got better things
> to do than listen to televised marshmallows prophets of doom and how
> great things could be..so far, all the doomsayers have failed miserably
> to predict the end of time, so take nap I say, if you wake up, good, if
> you don't so what..
> Don't forget too use violence against the police and help rid the world
> of injustice.
> Le 'Humour Noir...the Lovecraft of the desolate present.
> Steve.
> p.s., if Army recruitment franchises still give free gifts, raid them
> and steal all the t-shirts mugs and pencils you can, do it naked,
> wit-ha pair of pantyhose on your head, and after portending to inspect
> the loot, refuse it, on the grounds of poor craftsmanship.
> you can fuck off in life and still live, you won't die for ridiculing
> social bimetallism, you might get a Casio to Cuba though, but try and
> convince everyman to convert to some fake religion where Shaky Castro
> is the Chevy dirge.....love your DADA he loves you.
> Sleep Research Labs l.l.c.s.d.25 and 3 quarters copyrighted because why
> should I? cash? if you use my stuff(yeah right) deride me and give me
> credit, oh and send me some pot, not in the mail though......I'll Be
> waiting on the street by that one place down there.
>

## 2668. Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 15:55:54 UTC
From: K HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eGkif.1725$Hk1.1511@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125232

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

> "Multiple" DD-214 possession indicates either forgery or
> the most rare cases of a person going into the service, serving
> their whole time in one branch, then getting a discharge, going
> into civilian life, then rejoining again. ONE DD-214 for one of
> those "cycles" and only one per "cycle." Re-enlistment
> established PRIOR to ending the contracted term of military
> service does not result in a DD-214 issued for each "hitch."

Your half-vast research has nailed you again, Len. You got it flat wrong. Just pure and simple mistaken, Len.

Each ENLISTMENT results in a new DD214. I have one four, even though I had no breaks in service (did not "go into civilian life, then rejoin again"). Block 11c on the earlier ones, block 9c on later one are captioned "Reason and Authority". In each case (except the last one) that block contains the reason "To immediately reenlist."

In each case the reenlistment was "PRIOR to ending the contracted term" of the previous "hitch".

No "forgery".... no broken service.... no gun-decking..... no transfer to another service, yet I have four DD214's and two DD215's, all carrying the official embossed seal of NARA.

Sunuvagun.

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

## 2669. Andersonian half-vast research (again ...and again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 16:02:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MMkif.1727$Hk1.1497@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125234

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
> For the benefit of the civilians among the readers, "UCMJ"
> is an acronym for Universal Code of Military Justice.....
>

For the benefit of the Andersons among the readers, Len got that one wrong too.
"UCMJ" is the acronym for the "UNIFORM Code of Military Justice".

Sunuvagun!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 2670. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 16:10:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iUkif.2671$A23.1063@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125235

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote

> I have one four, even though .....

Belay that. Make it "I have four, even though ...."

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 2671. Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 16:29:50 UTC
From: Kookwatch 968549 <undermyhat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9271a$4389ed07$d1cc5677$26533@snip.allthenewsgroups.com>
Source record: 125236
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Here comes Digital TV !!

http://www.dtv.gov/

(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)

Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
will be soon!
Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)

http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete

"The target date for the end of the transition from
analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
That date may be extended, however, until most
homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
programming. At that point, broadcasting the
current ï¿½analogï¿½ channels will end and that spectrum
will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
on both their digital and analog channels."

DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.

Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/

Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/

# 2672. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 16:32:09 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1133109291_34995@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 125237

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:gSaif.1263$YT3.1116@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> >
> > You're not even eligible for QCAO membership! <BSEG>
>
> which ever gruop they are
>
>

OK, this is an interesting thread and this is a good post to join in on.

>
> The QCAO (Quarter Century Appliance Operators) was founded
> in the early 1950's by a small group of Amateur Radio operators
> from the Pacific Northwest. They had been active in their hobby
> for over 25 years, yet still lacked the basic knowledge of radio
> electronics and had no idea of how their equipment worked.
>

You speak for their mission, but is that what members of this organization would say of themselves? And did they even know that when they turned the radio on it was electrical energy that was helping it work--or did they even lack that knowledge of how their equipment worked? How deep was their ignorance and, at what point would one say they were "worthy" of being called an amateur radio operator (even though it seems that merely to be licensed by the FCC deems one as an amateur radio operator).

>
> They banded together to try and protect each others honor
> and pride.
>

What honor and pride? Are you saying they "bought into" that whole concept, or did they actually exclude themselves as honorable amateur radio operators simply because of what others may have thought of them?

>
> At radio gatherings and club meetings in the 1950s
> one was considered unworthy of the name Ham Radio Operator if
> he or she couldn't not only name components, but know how to
> solder them together and make a radio work, or fix a broken set!
>

Ah. It seems you've answered some of the first questions I had. However,
why are you limiting this sentiment to the 1950s? This sentiment exists
today in amateur radio.

>
> When faced with insults and dreision, those few hardy
> pioneers banded together and formed the First Chapter and
> National Organization of the QCAO. This was known as the "Cold
> Solder" Chapter. They even coined the now-famous club byword
> "e pluribus ignoramae" which is Latin for "We don't have to know
> how to solder, we just wanna talk on our radios."
>

Good for them. It sounds like a great opportunity to respectfully dig back
at any attempts from others to say one is disqualified even if they have met
the requirements of the licensing system.

>
> No veterans of that first chapter are known to be active on
> the air today. In the late 1950s and early '60s, with the
> worldwide interest in science and space and technology, the QCAO
> membership went underground.
>

One of my points exactly. If someone really is such an awful amateur radio
operator, they'll disappear from the airwaves soon enough (well, myself
excluded :o).

>
> It is with great pride and dignity that today in the 21st Century
> the revived QCAO stands ready to rise from the ashes, and become
> the standard of mediocrity it once proudly was. In honor of
> those first pioneering members, QCAO hereby invites all eligible
> applicants to step forward and join!
>

Are you a member of this newly grouped organization?

>
> The benefits of QCAO include not only the pride of
> membership. Think of the warm glow you will feel at club
> meetings and gatherings showing off your new all-plastic
> imprinted QCAO pocket protector! And that's not all! For your
> minimal membership fee, you will also receive a handsome,
> suitable-for-framing, certificate of honor, with hand-lettered
> name and Charter Membership Number. Other QCAO memorabilia
> will soon be available for members, including T-shirts, caps,
> pins, etc. At this date charter membership numbers are still
> available. Membership requires a 25 years (more or less)
> interest in Amateur Radio, coupled with a basic ignorance of how
> radios work and how to repair them.
>

Sounds like most of the amateur radio club organizations I've been witness to over the years. A few who know "a lot" about radios (if even they are so expert at it that they find it too far beneath them to join in the community of amateur radio and do some public service, etc.); with the rest of the membership being those who may not know all that much about radio but of whom many are quite willing to learn from the best, and of whom many become the support structure for the local amateur radio community and emergency services.

> Think of meeting other QCAO members on the air! No more
> embarrassing pauses when someone in the QSO mentions an RF choke
> or a parasitic bleeder...Be able to exchange meaningful sharing,
> talk about real things, yes, even swap QCAO numbers with each
> other! And soon perhaps . . . a worldwide QCAO contest!

Wow, ya mean no more pauses as others try to figure out the relevance of why someone just butted into the QSO with that kind of chatter? Cool.

> You no longer have to shrink to the back of the room at post-
> meeting sessions of your radio club. Just display your QCAO
> protector and others will be able to identify you immediately.
> Who knows? Perhaps one of the originals from that old QCAO
> Chapter is just waiting for you to find him. Join now! Remember
> "We don't have to know how to solder, we just wanna talk on our
> radios"! Don't let technoids embarrass you and kick jargon in
> your face. Stand up for what's right! Join QCAO!
>
> "e pluribus ignoramae"
>
>

I've found that most of those who are labeled "appliance operators" at club gatherings already know each other real well because they are the most involved in the amateur community. And, while the solderers have been busy yakking and impressing each other with all that stuff (truly important for the heritage, yes, but not necessary knowledge in today's world), those "appliance operators" have researched the internet for the best of the best, have saved their money up and equipped themselves for most emergency situations and are ready for public service with the touch of a few buttons and the attachment of a few connections. YMMV.

Kim W5TIT

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2673. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 16:50:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7tlif.2676$A23.2098@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125238

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
news:1133109291_34995@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:gSaif.1263$YT3.1116@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
>>
>> >
>> > You're not even eligible for QCAO membership! <BSEG>
>>
>> which ever gruop they are
>>
>>
>
> OK, this is an interesting thread and this is a good post to join in on.
>

Sorry, Kim, but I should have prefaced that QCAO post with this disclaimer:

[warning]
---
Humor-Impaired Induhviduals should depress the <Next> button now.
Management will not be responsible for mental trauma suffered by those
of Thin Skin who read the following humorous exaggeration of the
valued but non-technical members of our Amateur Radio Service who
"serve in other ways".
---
[/warning]

# 2674. Re: In Austria Amateurs protest against DSL over powerlines.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 17:20:30 UTC
From: Professor <briangriffey@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <1133112030.515540.119080@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

My feeling is that it isn't going to do much good to cry... the FCC and
majority of the public seem to like it... and the Hams and CBers have a
lousy lobby. Let's hope the levels are low enough to be tolerable.

Professor
www.telstar-electronics.com

Jan Panteltje wrote:
> Sending DLS (Internet) over powerlines uses either
> 1.8 to 32 MHz
> or
> 3 to 21 Mhz.
> Of cause in the first case CB is also affected.
>
> The signal strength was measured by the gov, and
> found to be 111.639 times the allowed limit....
> They had to reduce it a factor 111.639 to make even digital radio
> reception (DRM (Digital Radio Mondial) ) possible.
>
> New tests are running, here is an original article in German:
> http://www.heise.de/newsticker/meldung/66673
>
> As internet over powerlines is also considered in the US,
> I think US amateurs and CB people should strongly protest
> too.
>
> These guys got the license to operate 'because it is cable,
> and that is not regulated like radio'.
> Of cause powerlines are not shielded, and high up there, the
> perfect VERY long antennas....
>
> ;-) Maybe if the conditions are good you will be able to get free internet
> from Austria in the US. ;-)

# 2675. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 17:23:27 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <vpqjo193ibpqu9as2c12h7p3a9ir6gtu5u@4ax.com>
Source record: 125240
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
<undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Here comes Digital TV !!
>
>http://www.dtv.gov/
>
>(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>
>Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
>the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
>GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
>Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
>Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
>will be soon!
>Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
>service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
>will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>
>http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>
>"The target date for the end of the transition from
>analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
>That date may be extended, however, until most
>homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
>programming. At that point, broadcasting the
>current ï¿½analogï¿½ channels will end and that spectrum
>will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
>is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
>on both their digital and analog channels."
>
>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.
>
>Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this

>pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>
>Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
>consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
>go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>
>
Most people already have the necessary converter. It's called a cable
box. The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
Closer to that than $100.

# 2676. Re: In Austria Amateurs protest against DSL over powerlines.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:13:23 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <botjo1hp4ce03l5nsemukcl7gjafj26nt7@4ax.com>
Source record: 125241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 27 Nov 2005 09:20:30 -0800, "Professor"
<briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>My feeling is that it isn't going to do much good to cry... the FCC and
>majority of the public seem to like it... and the Hams and CBers have a
>lousy lobby. Let's hope the levels are low enough to be tolerable.
>
>Professor
>www.telstar-electronics.com
>
>
Part of Big Brother's campaign to control the airwaves completely.
They do not want us to be able to communicate without using their
infrastructure.

# 2677. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:17:45 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1133115465.109667.134460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125242
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

The Magnum wrote:
> r2000swler@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1133058826.855557.301330@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com...
> >
> > Landshark wrote:
> > > FINALLY!!
> > >
> > > THE TRUTH!
> > >
> > > The public has known for a long time how useless ham radio is, not to
> > > mention the low life types who becomes hams. Think I'll have to write a
> > > few letters myself. most of the spectrum 50 mhz and above should be
> > > turned over to commerical interests.
> > >
> > > GOOD FOR YOU, GOVERNOR!!
> > ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
> > I don't normally cut and past entire atricles, but this one is
> > important.
> > It shows why Ham radio is far from "useless'.
>
> *That sort of statement from someone official simply puts me in mind that
> the guys a blithering idiot.
>
> > http://www.elecdesign.com/Articles/Index.cfm?ArticleID=11136
> >
> > [Web Exclusive]
> > In Katrina's Wake, Ham Radio Triumphs
> > By David Maliniak, AD2A
> >
> > David Maliniak
> > ED Online ID #11136
> > September 19, 2005
> >
> > 1) Monitor Your PC's CPU Core Temperature 664
> > 2) Build A Smart Battery Charger Using A Single-Transistor Circuit
> > 422
> > 3) DC-AC inverter targets electroluminescent applications 248
> > 4) What's All This Double-Clutching Stuff, Anyhow? 247
> > 5) Setting The Standard For Hybrid Cars 179
> > ALL TOP 20 >>
> >
> >

> >
> > A few months ago, NBC's Tonight Show staged a race between a pair of
> > ham-radio operators with Morse-code keys and a couple of kids with
> > text-messaging cellphones to see who could communicate faster. The hams
> > won hands down, proving, in the minds of some, that old technology
> > could hold its own against new.
>
> *What appears to have been forgotton here is only Full licenced Hams can
> read these messages compaired to everyone who can read a text. (apart from
> the blind of course) They won by a mere second.
>
> In recent days, ham radio was put to
> > the test again by Hurricane Katrina. This time, however, lives were at
> > stake.
> >
> > In the world of design engineers and electronics in general, change is
> > essential. Designers work diligently to make the fruits of their labors
> > obsolete almost before they see daylight. The turnover in technology is
> > sometimes like a flood, with old being washed away by new over and
> > over. Often, the new beats the heck out of the old. But there are times
> > when old isn't necessarily bad; in fact, sometimes old works when new
> > doesn't. And then we're glad that old is still around, or at least we
> > should be.
>
> *But when the powers out so are the repeaters and also the mobile phones. CB
> radio is more practicable in situations like this as anyone can use them not
> just Amateurs.
>
> > Wireless technology, while relatively new to many consumers, is of
> > course not new at all. A few (very) old-timers remember the original
> > "wireless" of radio. The revolution wrought by the pioneers of wireless
> > changed the world then, and the technology behind that revolution has
> > been re-invented and re-applied time and again. Its pre-eminent
> > incarnation today is our near-ubiquitous wireless communications
> > infrastructure, which has freed us from the shackles of landlines and
> > made our mobile lifestyles possible. Technology truly is great stuff.
>
> *It surely is..
>
> > Until, of course, a monster hurricane comes along to render it nearly
> > useless. Here we see a scenario in which a flood literally swept away
> > the new. As Hurricane Katrina's fury hammered the Gulf states on August
> > 29, the communications infrastructure took a devastating hit. Telephone
> > service, including wireless, became at first intermittent and then
> > unusable in many localities. Where there was phone service, 911
> > switchboards were often unreachable due to the massive volume of calls.
>
> *Yes, most call centres are scaled down to just be useful in normal
> situations due to trying to compete on a budget. As in most thing these days
> its money over everything else. The services always seem to come second.

> Especially in the National Health Center here in Blighty.
>
> > The response of local authorities, now termed "confused" by deposed
> > FEMA chief Michael Brown, wasn't helping much. The Gulf Coast was about
> > to descend into darkness, chaos, and, worst of all for many, silence.
> >
> > But proponents of the old were at the ready. The "old," in this case,
> > is ham radio. In the eyes of the "man on the street," ham radio has a
> > pretty stodgy reputation. Aren't hams still using Morse code?
>
> *Only the Full licenced ones, the M3 and intermediate arent. Or at least
> arent to any helpful degree. Mind you they can "Talk" just like on CB so
> isnt that just as useful? Why is everything morse orientated?
>
> Don't
> > some of them use radios with tubes, for goodness sake? What the "man in
> > the street" probably doesn't know is that it was amateurs who advanced
> > the radio arts early in the 20th century. Down through the decades,
> > amateurs have embraced (and often driven) all of the innovations in
> > wireless technology, up to and including all digital modes and the
> > Internet. But many have stayed in touch with their roots, which is good
> > old-fashioned analog HF operation. And while amateurs have a
> > longstanding tradition as innovators and experimenters, they also have
> > a mandate that comes with their licenses: to be ready, willing, and
> > able to provide emergency communications whenever and wherever they're
> > needed.
>
> * Im sure your right...
>
> > As Katrina bore down on the Gulf region, amateur radio operators, under
> > the aegis of the American Radio Relay League's (ARRL's) Amateur Radio
> > Emergency Service (ARES), prepared to swing into action with emergency
> > networks that would run health-and-welfare traffic into and out of the
> > disaster zone. As early as the Monday following the storm, hams
> > throughout the hurricane zone were putting emergency stations on the
> > air. In one instance, hams were instrumental in the rescue of 15 people
> > clinging for life to a New Orleans rooftop. Meanwhile, in Alabama,
> > amateur SKYWARN weather nets kept the National Weather Service apprised
> > of conditions throughout the state. In hard-hit sections of
> > Mississippi, hams running off generators and with makeshift antennas
> > were the only means of communication, getting word to out-of-state
> > friends and relatives concerning their loved ones.
> >
> > There were numerous other instances of hams helping those who were not
> > simply inconvenienced by the storm, but whose lives were in imminent
> > danger.
>
> *As were most peoples who took the decision to stay when they had plenty of
> time to evacuate. Were these people just of the same mind or were they
> really hero's?

>
> >Now that things have calmed down in the Gulf region, many of
> > the emergency nets have stood down. But hams continue to serve the
> > public in the many areas that are still without power or phone service.
>
> How are they doing this? in what way? Who are they talking to?
>
> > As our nation collects itself in the aftermath of the Katrina disaster,
> > President Bush has promised federal reviews of what went right and what
> > went wrong. One of the findings of those inquiries should be that the
> > federally-instituted Amateur Radio Service, which functions under the
> > licensing authority of the FCC, stood tall when the country needed it.
> >
> > Amateur radio currently faces various threats to its existence. Chief
> > among those is the advent of broadband-over-powerline (BPL) technology,
> > which, if broadly adopted, has the potential to cause widespread
> > interference to HF communications, not just for amateurs but for other
> > services that use the HF spectrum.
>
> *Shocking isnt it. They kick up enough fuss when Amateur/CB causes
> interference but its ok the other way round.
>
> > Amateurs and the ARRL have made a lot of noise about BPL, asserting
> > that it could seriously hamper their efforts and those of relief
> > agencies such as the Red Cross and Salvation Army, in the event of a
> > disaster such as Katrina. It's rumored, though, that the same FCC
> > commissioners who have given their blessing to BPL field trials will
> > now take a much harder look at the technical issues concerning BPL and
> > its interference potential in the HF spectrum. Let's face it: The
> > federal government didn't handle the emergency in the Gulf very well;
> > it'd be prudent for it not to sanction a technology that could impede
> > one of the few things that actually worked.
> >
> > Many readers of this newsletter are amateur radio enthusiasts. If you
> > are, and if you haven't already done so, consider writing your
> > congressman to express your concern about the future of the Amateur
> > Radio Service, especially in light of its outstanding efforts in recent
> > days. Remind your elected representatives that a vibrant and unimpeded
> > Amateur service can and will be a lifesaver when disaster strikes.
>
> *I remember the fight to try to get CB in the UK back in 81, the struggle we
> had with politicians who were so blind as to see its benefits. In Amateur
> case the facts are already proven so its just downright ignorant to the
> hobby to dismiss it.
>
> > Also, consider how you yourself might help. What if a hurricane,
> > tornado, or earthquake ravages your area? Are you prepared to get on
> > the air without relying on the mains to handle emergency traffic? Get
> > in touch with your local amateur-radio club and find out how you can
> > pitch in.

> 
> *I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the masses. More
> accessible and cheaply available than Ham but im sure the both can work in
> tandem.
> 
> > Your cell phones and wireless routers are indeed great stuff, but so is
> > a good old HF transceiver. We shouldn't always be in such a hurry to
> > let the flood of new technology wash away the old. The geek down the
> > block with all the antennas on his property could turn out to be your
> > best friend someday. Because sometimes, old trumps new.
> 
> *My arguement also for the continued usefulness of CB radio. Not a
> replacement for the mobile phone or internet but another communications
> option especially if the s_it hits the fan. Phones and the internet cant do
> what the CB does, and vice versa so why not embrace the three. Amateur
> doesnt need embracing as its there and its not for the general public. It
> use just needs accepting by the authorities a bit more even though its been
> proved time and time again.
> 
> > You can e-mail David Maliniak at dmaliniak@penton.com.
> > ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
> > There are a lot of comments that I didn't feel justified in reposting.
> > 
> > Terry
> 
> Regards,
> Graham
> --
> _·_· _··· _·_ ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _
> 
> Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...
> 
> 73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

The mayor was only confirming what many of us in this hobby realize:
Ham radio operators have not served any useful purpose in emergency
communications for a long time. The professionals pretty much ignore
them. At most they talk to one-another in health and welfare nets and
if someone actually calls in with an emergency all hell breaks loose
because everyone wants to talk personally with the ham calling in.

Hams have this vision of themselves as the emergency communicators who
while huddled in a dark storm beaten shack will get through to the
outside world and save the day by using a car battery and some
jury-rigged cw setup. And the hams on the outside see themselves as
the unique link on the other end who will alert the authorities and
direct them to the site before anyone else can because they alone have
a connection with the emergency site. It's little more than mental
masturbation folks 'cause the real world doesn't work that way.

# 2678. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:21:15 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <r1ap53-la8.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 125243
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
> The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
> Closer to that than $100.

Oh, really? High-quality, flat-response tuner / IF strip / demodulator,
plus a scan convertor to convert from any of the gazillion digital
formats to NTSC, and RF modulator and power supply, all for $25 consumer
price?

--
If John McCain gets the 2008 Republican Presidential nomination,
my vote for President will be a write-in for Jiang Zemin.

# 2679. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:34:30 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <dmcu7m$fi6$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

Hello All:

I wonder what pissed off the Governor on Amateur Radio?

Jay in the Mojave

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur Radio
> operators in emergency communications during a televised "town meeting"
> program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The program
> featured public safety and volunteer organization officials from across
> Massachusetts among its audience.
>
> Host and moderator Natalie Jacobson asked an increasingly-agitated
> Governor Romney questions about communications interoperability, and
> communication without commercial power. Romney was next asked by
> Jacobson, "...so does it come down to ham radio?..."
>
> The Governor replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal
> with ham radio operators..."
>
> Embarrassed public safety officials later tried to put in a good word
> for Amateur Radio. National Weather Service Warning Coordination
> Meteorologist Glenn Field was prepared to state the importance of
> Amateur Radio, when Salvation Army Colonel Fred Van Brunt was called
> upon. Van Brunt remarked about his organization's quest to improve its
> communications capabilities and how Amateur Radio has aided his
> organization. "The ham radio situation helps a great deal," he stated.
>
> "I have already written and submitted a letter to the Governor's
> Office," wrote Eastern MA Section Emergency Coordinator Rob Macedo,
> KD1CY. "I have also written an email to Natalie Jacobson."
>
> ARRL Section Manager Mike Neilsen, W1MPN, sent a section-wide email to
> all Eastern MA ARRL members describing the incident along with actions
> he and his staff were taking to mitigate the situation.
>
> "[Romney's] attitude about us sets an unfortunate tone within the
> state's executive branch," wrote Neilsen. "As a former military
> officer, I see this as a failure in leadership. My immediate concern is
> our working relationship within the [Massachusetts Emergency Management
> Team] environment." Neilsen intended to address the Governor's comments
> as "an urgent matter" at a meeting on November 17 with Don Carlton from
> the Emergency Management Agency.

>
> "I was very disturbed about what the Governor stated on the program,"
> wrote Ron Wood, W1PLW, the section's Public Information Coordinator.
> "It does show that more work is needed by all hams in the section. It's
> a great idea to write letters explaining the good we do." Wood is
> attempting to schedule a meeting with the Governor's office so that EMA
> ARRL staffers might discuss the matter further.
>
> Tom Kinahan, N1CPE wrote that Governor Romney's comment has made "a PR
> problem" for Kinahan in his role as MA State RACES Officer.
>
> "I've got a roster of over 150 Amateur Radio operators that support
> local communities, and those of us that directly support the state
> government. There are at least double that in terms of people that are
> actually out there that I don't have formal paperwork on that support
> Amateur Radio emergency communications in some organized manner... The
> Governor has said that he 'certainly doesn't need to rely on ham radio'
> -- where does that leave the RACES program now?"
>
>

# 2680. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:34:31 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <dmcu7n$fif$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

quote:
Think about it: State: Massachusetts; Politician: Mitt Romney.
Doesn't that say it all?
end quote.

Mitt Romney is a Republican. IIRC, he moved to Massachusetts from Utah around the time of the last Winter Olympics, didn't he? MA has a habit of electing Republican governors. Sounds unlikely, but you can look it up.

# 2681. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:34:32 UTC
From: OregonMike <OregonMike@gmail.com>
Message-ID: <dmcu7o$fil$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.broadcasting

I'm thankful that that loser, K1MAN, is on the east coast and his
signals are easily ignored over here in micro$oft land.

# 2682. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:34:32 UTC
From: Carter-K8VT <k8vt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <dmcu7o$fir$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:

>>The Governor [Mitt Romney] replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal
>>with ham radio operators..."

> Hasn't a clue how the states com systems works, get frustrated by the
> hard
> questions, and lashes out when he should has kept quite. Sadly he will
> in all
> probability not suffer for his stupidity.
>
> He ought to be ran out of office on a rail.

It must be genetic...he is the son of former Michigan Governor George
Romney, who gained national notoriety when he said he was "brainwashed"
about the Viet Nam war.

# 2683. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:34:33 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dmcu7p$fit$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125250
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> Think about it: State: Massachusettes; Politician: Mitt Romney.
> Doesn't that say it all? This isn't the first time his head (or
> lard-ass Ted Kennedy's head for that matter) has been impacted up his
> ass! Why do the people in this state keep electing these bottom
> feeders?
>
> FC
>
>
The state is full of simple minded dummycrat liberals.

# 2684. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:34:34 UTC
From: Guy <nospam@nospam.nospam>
Message-ID: <dmcu7q$fj0$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

I tell these people, "Don't worry, relax..., the government will take care
of you."

<fcathell@msn.com> wrote in message news:dmb12p$fnb$1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> Think about it: State: Massachusettes; Politician: Mitt Romney.
> Doesn't that say it all? This isn't the first time his head (or
> lard-ass Ted Kennedy's head for that matter) has been impacted up his
> ass! Why do the people in this state keep electing these bottom
> feeders?
>
> FC
>
>

# 2685. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:34:35 UTC
From: Doug Smith W9WI <w9wi@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <dmcu7r$fjq$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur Radio
> operators in emergency communications during a televised "town meeting"
> program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The program
> featured public safety and volunteer organization officials from across
> Massachusetts among its audience.
>
> Host and moderator Natalie Jacobson asked an increasingly-agitated
> Governor Romney questions about communications interoperability, and
> communication without commercial power. Romney was next asked by
> Jacobson, "...so does it come down to ham radio?..."

One might suggest it's an encouraging sign that Ms. Jacobsen knew about
the role amateur radio can play in such things...
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

# 2686. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:37:23 UTC
From: Invader3K <beckermt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133116643.503962.255200@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125244
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

People talk like this whole DTV conversion is coming as a surprise.
It's been planned and in the news regularly for the last decade
(literally). This isn't some overnight switheroo that Congress is
trying to pull. There's been plenty of advance notice. If some people
choose to ignore the news and are surprised four years from now when
they can't watch regular TV (and that is only if they receive over the
air only, which applies to roughly less than 15% of the country), so be
it.

Personally I'm looking forward to the highly increased picture quality
and digital surround sound that DTV can provide. If some people want to
stick with their 1950s technology, so be it; but don't hold it against
those of us who want to move into the 21st century, thank you very
much. Many parts of the world like Japan and Western Europe have pretty
much moved to DTV already, and those countries haven't been engulfed in
mobs of angry people who can't watch TV anymore.

# 2687. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 18:45:14 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <lgvjo1p58n5128sl4n1t3aihl9q5m1cu25@4ax.com>
Source record: 125252
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 12:21:15 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:

>David wrote:
>> The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
>> Closer to that than $100.
>
>Oh, really? High-quality, flat-response tuner / IF strip / demodulator,
>plus a scan convertor to convert from any of the gazillion digital
>formats to NTSC, and RF modulator and power supply, all for $25 consumer
>price?

http://www.maxim-ic.com/quick_view2.cfm/qv_pk/3975

http://www.ati.com/products/nxt2000/features.html

http://www.st.com/stonline/press/news/year1997/p686p.htm

# 2688. Re: Astro-net from the 60s

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 19:16:18 UTC
From: Mike Andrews <mikea@mikea.ath.cx>
Message-ID: <dmd0m2$3m4$1@puck.litech.org>
Source record: 125253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors

And that conclusion was?

Gonna just leave us haning like that?

In rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors Tom <noname@noprovider.nodomain> wrote:
> You bet. They started out when some of the guys noticed brief point-source
> bright flashes
> on the lunar surface. Used 80m to time whether the flashes were synchronized
> across
> large observation distances. If they were not, then the flashes were likely
> meteors in
> the earth's atmosphere; if they were synchronized, then the probablility
> that they were
> associated with an event on the lunar surface was pretty high.

> The guys had scopes setup in the backyard and 100-foot headphone/microphone
> cables
> going back to the radio so they could observe and talk on the radio
> simultaneously.

> They did reach a conclusion about the flashes....

> "Jeffrey Herman" <jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu> wrote in message
> news:dm3gng$hrl$1@news.hawaii.edu...
>> "Bob Sherin, W4ASX" <Sherins@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>>> To be honest, I never felt comfortable on 75 meters, nor did I feel
>>> that I fit in for the most part. There were groups, however, in which
>>> I enjoyed participating,
>>
>> Anyone remember the Astro-Net on 3885 kc from the 1960s? It was composed
>> of group of amateur astronomers who nightly trained their telescopes on a
>> particular celestial object and would then have round-table comments
>> about that object. It was fascinating to eavesdrop on that net.
>>
>> 73, Jeff KH6O
>> --
>> Chief Petty Officer, U.S. Coast Guard
>> Mathematics Lecturer, University of Hawaii System

--
Mike Andrews, W5EGO
mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Tired old sysadmin

# 2689. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 19:43:46 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <jsep53-la8.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 125254
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Invader3K wrote:
> Personally I'm looking forward to the highly increased picture quality
> and digital surround sound that DTV can provide. If some people want to
> stick with their 1950s technology, so be it; but don't hold it against
> those of us who want to move into the 21st century, thank you very
> much. Many parts of the world like Japan and Western Europe have pretty
> much moved to DTV already, and those countries haven't been engulfed in
> mobs of angry people who can't watch TV anymore.

The problem isn't moving into the 21st Century, Mr. Futuristic Modern Dude.
We're already there. The problem is *removing* the existing broadcasts.

There'd be room for everyone if Congress wasn't so easily bought by
commercial interests bent on forcing the public to throw away literally
TRILLIONS OF DOLLARS worth of equipment.

I'm as far from a treehugger as one can get, but have you given any
thought whatever to the landfill problem when hundreds of millions of
television sets suddenly need landfill for disposal?

--
If John McCain gets the 2008 Republican Presidential nomination,
my vote for President will be a write-in for Jiang Zemin.

# 2690. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 19:48:55 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <86fp53-la8.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 125255
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

David wrote:
> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 12:21:15 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:
>>Oh, really? High-quality, flat-response tuner / IF strip / demodulator,
>>plus a scan convertor to convert from any of the gazillion digital
>>formats to NTSC, and RF modulator and power supply, all for $25 consumer
>>price?
>
> http://www.maxim-ic.com/quick_view2.cfm/qv_pk/3975

That's completely silly. No one could make *anything* for $25 if they
are forced to do it in runs of ten or fifteen at a time because the
suppliers don't have parts.

> http://www.ati.com/products/nxt2000/features.html

Vague.

> http://www.st.com/stonline/press/news/year1997/p686p.htm

Here you might have something... except the chip has to be about 25
cents to pass the rule of 10. Figure $8 in 10,000 qty, then your
set top box might make $100.

--
If John McCain gets the 2008 Republican Presidential nomination,
my vote for President will be a write-in for Jiang Zemin.

# 2691. Re: In Austria Amateurs protest against DSL over powerlines.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 20:32:44 UTC
From: Jan Panteltje <pNaonStpealmtje@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dmd55d$p7s$1@news.datemas.de>
Source record: 125256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On a sunny day (Sun, 27 Nov 2005 18:13:23 GMT) it happened David
<rickets@knac.com> wrote in <botjo1hp4ce03l5nsemukcl7gjafj26nt7@4ax.com>:

>On 27 Nov 2005 09:20:30 -0800, "Professor"
><briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>
>>My feeling is that it isn't going to do much good to cry... the FCC and
>>majority of the public seem to like it... and the Hams and CBers have a
>>lousy lobby. Let's hope the levels are low enough to be tolerable.
>>
>>Professor
>>www.telstar-electronics.com
>>
>>
>Part of Big Brother's campaign to control the airwaves completely.
>They do not want us to be able to communicate without using their
>infrastructure.
>
But if it interferes with DRM (digital radio), nobody can hear their brainwash :-)
So in that case they will have to act I'd think.

# 2692. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 20:38:57 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <ja6ko1hhsqtj04hm7v68gramhle4usoshl@4ax.com>
Source record: 125257
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 13:48:55 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:

>David wrote:
>> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 12:21:15 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:
>>>Oh, really? High-quality, flat-response tuner / IF strip / demodulator,
>>>plus a scan convertor to convert from any of the gazillion digital
>>>formats to NTSC, and RF modulator and power supply, all for $25 consumer
>>>price?
>>
>> http://www.maxim-ic.com/quick_view2.cfm/qv_pk/3975
>
>That's completely silly. No one could make *anything* for $25 if they
>are forced to do it in runs of ten or fifteen at a time because the
>suppliers don't have parts.
>
>> http://www.ati.com/products/nxt2000/features.html
>
>Vague.
>
>> http://www.st.com/stonline/press/news/year1997/p686p.htm
>
>Here you might have something... except the chip has to be about 25
>cents to pass the rule of 10. Figure $8 in 10,000 qty, then your
>set top box might make $100.

# 2693. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 21:03:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mapif.2725$A23.2588@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125260

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
>
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am
>
>>>> Amateur radio might be operating weak signal UHF SSB with a multi-mode,
>>>> multi-band rig. It might be operating 2m FM through a local repeater.
>>>> It might be ragchewing on 40m CW. One constant is that you aren't involved.
>>> I don't do any RF transmission in amateur bands, with the
>>> exception of those bands which are shared with other radio
>>> services.
>> That's a very good thing!
>
> Why? Are you morsemen so elitist you can't get along with others?

Where's my obligation to get along with you? You seemingly don't feel
any need to get along with me.

>>> Yet I am able to communicate worldwide without
>>> an amateur radio license or using morse code!

>> But not by direct radio contact.
>
> Most absolutely INCORRECT, Jim-Jim.

Naw, he's CORRECT, Len-Len.

> DIRECT from a maritime transceiver as a civilian.

You really need to work on sentence structure.

You can communicate worldwide from a maritime transceiver, Len?

> DIRECT from a
> government radio transmitter.

That's just a clause, Santa. Do you know where you can lay your mitts
on a government transmitter?

> DIRECT as in laying on of hands,
> moving controls, operating, all that stuff.

I get the feeling that your knowledge of radio operating might be a
little light. Are you of the opinion that operating a radio falls under

"all that stuff"?
>
>>> And 24/7
>>> without worrying about the ionospheric conditions! :-)

>> Telephone and internet. We can all do that, Len.
>
> Then why do you fuss with morse and standards that are over
> 70 years old?

Do you remember that amateur radio is done for the love of radio? The internet isn't amateur radio. A cellular telephone isn't amateur radio. If you'd like to spend your days on the internet, that's fine. If your thing is spending money to telephone folks via cellular phone, then have at it.

>
>>>> Why do you live in the past?

>>> Tsk, I don't.

>> You sure talk about it a lot, though.
>
> You were born before 1951? YOU talked much of it in previous post.

> YOU have talked much about Reggie Fessenden and his carbon-mike-in-
> the-antenna "AM voice transmission" of 1906 and (allegedly) 1900.
> Are you 105 years old?!?

You've written about Fessenden a number of times. Aren't you nearly thirty-five years shy of 105?

>>> Jimmy Noserve loves the past, always
>>> bringing up little factoids of amateur radio history
>>> that happened before his time.

>> Gee, Len, you're always bringing up little factoids of
>> history that happened before *your* time.

> When did MY "time" begin, Jimmy? My "first radio job" in HF comms
> began in 1953. I was there then, did it, came back. Never used
> any morse code then on three dozen transmitters, never had to.

...and because you never had to (in your time), no one should be using morse code in this enlightened day and age?

>> Are you the only one allowed to do that?

> Tsk, you are getting disturbed. Calm down, just keep on bringing
> up all those tidbits of "radio history" as you need to. Be mindful
> of some critics, though. Not all of those are me. :-)

You've brought up numerous bits of radio history, Len. Some of them were even correct.

>> "Radio" is a subset of electrical engineering.

> Incorrect. It is a part of electronics, a technology discipline.

>
>> "Electronics" is a subset of electrical engineering.

> INCORRECT.

> Electronics is one TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE of physics.

> Didn't Dexter teach you the correct way to look at
> physics...like everyone else does?

>> Radio and electronics have some things in common, but they are not
>> identical, and one is not a subset of the other.
>
> Amateur radio definitions seldom jibe with the rest of the
> world of electronics...and radio. :-)

They seem to track pretty well, Len. You, on the other hand, haven't
done very well in here with definitions.

>>> Do you consider U.S. amateur radio to be a HOBBY?

>> And much more.
>
> And, of course, YOU do so much more... :-)

Jim does much more in amateur radio than you do. I do much more in
amateur radio than you do. The guy who received his amateur radio
license last week does so much more in amateur radio than you do.

> Have you defeated any enemies of Homeland Security with your
> amateur morsemanship?

Has an opportunity arisen, Len?

> Have you saved any lives in the Gulf States with your amateur
> morsemanship?

Many radio amateurs did so. Jim is quite some distance from the scenes
of the recent hurricanes.

>>> NPRM 05-143 is singularly about the telegraphy test. [that's
>>> what this "english teacher" of the thread title was commenting
>>> on] That NPRM has NOTHING to do with radiotelephony, radiodata,
>>> teletypewriter over radio, slow or fast-scan television,
>>> facsimile over radio. The amateur radio license tests have
>>> NO test elements for physically OPERATING any radio, are not
>>> required to have radio equipment AT a license exam site.

>> So? Why is that significant?
>
> Why do you consider yourself so "significant?" :-)

That wasn't a good answer, Len. Can you attach any significance to what you've offered? Is it supposed to have meaning for us?

>>> The sole manual test for anything at any amateur license exam
>>> is about telegraphy, telegraphy as used on amateur radio (there
>>> is NO landline telegraphy tested), more technically,
>>> radiotelegraphy. As it is NOW, that is.

>> And that's a good thing.

> It is a "good thing" only to those that took that test and
> passed it, thus fulfilling the "proper jump through hoops"
> of "tradition." :-)

That sounds like sour grapes on your part, Leonard. Those of us who took and passed such an exam demonstrated that we'd reached a certain level of competence in what is a useful skill in amateur radio.

>>> The written test elements are prepared, both questions and
>>> multiple-choice question answers, by the VEC QPC.

>> And approved by the FCC

> Who else? :-)
>
> YOU are NOT in the FCC.

I didn't see any statement by Jim that he's the FCC.

>>> Am I saying that many radio amateurs don't know squat about
>>> radio theory? ABSOLUTELY.

>> Your opinion only. And as you have demonstrated, you are not
>> exactly unbiased in your opinions.
>
> Yes, MY OPINION! :-) Do you think someone else is writing
> all this? :-)

It would seem to be that you've not bothered to substantiate your opinion with fact. How many is many? How many radio amateurs have you encountered who are deficient. What percentage of all licensed radio amateurs do they represent?

>> Many radio amateurs know much more about radio theory than
>> you, Len.
>
> Why is that a factor in AMATEUR radio?

I'd think it'd be something to crow about. I think many folks would be pleased to know more about radio theory than a PROFESSIONAL.

>
>>> The "National Association for Amateur Radio" (nee' ARRL) is
>>> the "club." Even so, their membership is only one of every
>>> five U.S. amateur radio licensees. Why aren't there more?

>> Some disagree with League policies
>> Some think membership costs too much.
>> Some are inactive
>> Some don't understand why a national organization is needed.

> You have taken a Poll to confirm this? :-)

You can take it upon yourself to conduct one, Len. If you weren't prepared to accept Jim's answers, why'd you pose the question?

> Jimmy boy, YOU are a League BELIEVER. You are so far into
> bias on that that all you generate are square waves.

Well, Lennie boy, what is it that you believe in? Do you believe that the ARRL is an evil organization? You've leveled charges of dishonesty toward the League, but you never substantiated them.

>> btw, No-Code International's membership is less than 1% of US amateurs
>> even though there are no dues and NCI membership never expires.
>
> Highly irrelevant. NCI is NOT a "national association for amateur
> radio."

No, it isn't. Can you name any such organization except for the ARRL?

> It exists for ONE purpose: Elimination of the code test
> from amateur radio license examinations worldwide. That's it.

There seems to have been at least one exception to that stated purpose already.

>> You have no activity in amateur radio and except for one outburst almost
>> six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur license.

> "Outburst?!?" BWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I prefer to call it an episode of braggadocio. It has come back to haunt you repeatedly.

> Why, oh WHY must I show "an indication of interest?!?"

One reason could be that you'd be seen as something other than a sidewalk superintendent in amateur radio. If you have no interest, as you've alternately claimed, you are irrelevant to amateur radio and you become a kook who haunts an amateur radio newsgroup.

> Who the fork are you to presume *I* MUST demonstrate to YOU
> some kind of committment and dedication?!?!?

"Commitment", Len. Nobody forces you to show commitment or dedication. You needn't obtain or even attempt to obtain an amateur radio license. If you don't, you won't appear to be very credible. Your extensive rants will be marginalized. You'll be in the same boat as a certain English teacher.

> If your ego is THAT big, then you should go over to Coslo's BBS
> since you will "reach the threashold of space" long before his
> big balloon will...

Are you telling him to leave, Len?

>> So you and the English teacher have the same level of involvement.
>
> Nope. I am as involved as can be with my wife. None other.

...and you wife isn't amateur radio. ZIC/ZID.

> As a bachelor I had an "involvement" with an English teacher,
> a very nice one, in fact.

Sure, Leonard, and you're getting an "Extra right out of the box".

> Try to think about marriage for YOU, Jimmy. It would make you
> less of a one-track Believer.

When it was said that you have the same level of involvement as the English teacher, you said, "I am as involved as can be with my wife. None other". Here you seem to indicate that marriage makes one "less of a one-track Believer". You can't even agree with you.

>> You're not a licensee and and except for one outburst almost
>> six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur
>> license.

> Oh, oh, there goes that control-freak EGO again, Jimmy.

Nobody is controlling you, including yourself. You shot off your mouth when you made your boast six years ago. You haven't lived up to that billing.

> Work on that. It's bad socially.

If you think that's bad socially, you should be in the shoes of one who shoots off his yap, saying he's going to do something, but who doesn't follow through.

>> Perhaps the FCC chuckles over your comments, Len.

> Irrelevant. Chances are they will take my comments seriously.

I don't think you should make that assumption, Len.

> Doesn't matter, the PUBLIC has spoken to the FCC 3,794 times
> through WT Docket 05-235.

It matters. How many times were you "the public" in regard to 05-235? Of the members of the PUBLIC who spoke, how many (excluding you) didn't share your view?

>
>>> Tsk, tsk, you DO! See little gems of an accusatory nature
>>> such as I should have obtained an amateur radio license

>>> before accepting professional radio employment!
>> Who wrote that?
>
> Dave Heil. Why aren't you paying ATTENTION to the flow? :-(

You've made another factual error, Leonard. You seem to be the person
who needs to pay ATTENTION.

>>>> See, this is what I mean when I say that you make frequent factual
>>>> errors. I invite you to tune your Icom receiver to the low ends of the
>>>> bands 160-10m this coming weekend.

>>> Why? I have no personal interest in morse code and no interest
>>> in amateur radio contesting. Invitation denied.

>> Afraid you'll be proven wrong?
>
> Tsk, there you go again with nasty attitude. An evangelical
> Believer,
> wet proselyte for a battery of morse gods, an acidic base.

I offered a simple experiment. You declined. You shrunk from the
challenge.

> Jimmy boy, I'm quite aware of the EM spectrum and who occupies what
> "bands."

Well, Lennie boy, you'll find those radio amateur morse signals at the
low end of the bands marked "160, 80, 40, 20, 15 and 10 meters" this
weekend. They're there all the time but you'll find them in profusion
this weekend.

> Have been for a very long time...ever since getting my
> "first job in radio." I know spectrum occupany OUTSIDE of the ham
> bands on HF, on MF, on VHF, on UHF, and on up to 2.4 GHz. WHAT are
> YOU going to tell ME?

It'd be tough to reach you. You spend too much time with your
transmitter on and not enough time using the receiver.

> That contests are "popular?" I could find
> that out by seeing the boosterism for that in print in CQ or QST.

Was the intent to prove that contests are popular or was it to show that
morse code is alive and well in amateur radio?

> Is contesting "operation" your main interest in amateur radio?
> Are you more interested in awards, trophies, pretty certificates
> than radio for radio's sake? It sure sounds like it since you
> love getting praise, even from friends and neighbors. :-)

What would any of that be to you, Len? You aren't in amateur radio and
you wouldn't even turn on your receiver to find if the morse code is
alive and well.

> This Thursday and Friday I was involved in Thanksgiving in the
> literal sense. Good friends got together, didn't talk at all
> about amateur radio or morse code. Sunday is another nice
> get-together with good people, and I don't expect any of the
> talk will be about amateur radio or morse code or contests or
> the beeping state of the radio art. No "contests" of any real
> kind. Sunnuvagun!

I went to an apple festival this fall. No one there talked about amateur radio or morse code. Is that supposed to prove that morse code is dead? I didn't hear a single person there discussing NASA, Darwin or jazz either.

> Have fun in your amateur beeping contests. Those sound very,
> Very, VERY important to you. Enjoy.

Thanks for your good wishes. Those things are far more important to me than obtaining an amateur radio license seems to be for you. Your participation is not required.

Dave K8MN

# 2694. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 21:04:27 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051127160427.806$dn@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc> wrote:
> The Magnum wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > *I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the masses.
>
> Maybe that would work in the UK, but here in the U.S. all you would hear
> is 'squeek sqawk, ten fer thar good buddy, got che ears on thar ten fer,
> we're coming atcha from down here in the big swamp, squeek sqawk echo
> echo echo echo".
>
Says CB Corey, the CB commode.

http://img463.imageshack.us/img463/1352/commode2ga.jpg

## 2695. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 21:46:05 UTC
From: trycya@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1133127965.150796.217430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

have you ever talked to a ham? There is a reason behind the "hat"
(antenna). And the call sign well it identifies who we are.... we are
not queer, just a bit of an unusual breed.
Ham radio is fun and you get to meet some interesting characters on the
air waves. give it a try one of these times it isn't that hard to
study for the license.

MM & MP, '73
Tricia, KG6PNC

# 2696. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 21:48:45 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <KOpif.10946$KP1.252@bignews5.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 125264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

"Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133066850.456751.201110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> David:
>
> Are you really that stupid? You poor useless asswipe!

Pore feller, yer countenance is displaying a fine shade of green.

Amateur radio is only as helpful as the people in it, but there are PLENTY
of stories of assistance by hams in the recent storms including one where
the hams on scene in New Orleans LOANED HF equipment to the National Guard
because theirs wouldn't WORK!

All I see here is a high shade of envy and sour grapes towards hams who
traditionally lend a hand. Shame on you!

J
>

# 2697. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 21:57:57 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <662dnSLfrOjigxfeRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

The Magnum wrote:

>
>
> *I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the masses.

Maybe that would work in the UK, but here in the U.S. all you would hear is 'squeek sqawk, ten fer thar good buddy, got che ears on thar ten fer, we're coming atcha from down here in the big swamp, squeek sqawk echo echo echo echo".

# 2698. Re: Image crawlers have already grabbed Todd's picture

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 21:59:23 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <662dnV3frOhJgxfeRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125259

N9OGL wrote:
> That's a VIOLATION OF COPYRIGHT LAW and is also Slander.
>

So sue somebody, twit.

# 2699. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:01:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <H0qif.1888$Hk1.908@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125265

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>> From: Frank Gilliland on Fri, Nov 25 2005 5:06 am
>>>> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>
>
>>> His technique on this newsgroup is simply to bluff his way
>>> through, calling his challengers "wrong" and insulting their
>>> person in order to misdirect the thread content. :-)

>> Why, Leonard, you astound me. That's precisely what you've done here
>> for all these many years. :-) :-)
>
> Ha. Ha. NO. NO.

Ha, ha. Yes.

> I do have the documentation to prove my side of arguments, some
> of that shown on other websites (links given in here) plus
> callsigns of personal references.

You do? You have documentation which proves the allegations which
you've directed at Steve?

> The one I call a FAKE and
> a disgrace to the United States Marine Corps is Major Dud,
> aka "Steven J. Robeson."

Steve's never claimed to anyone named "Major Dud". Perhaps you're
thinking of "Colonel Mark Morgan". So you've called Steve Robeson a
FAKE and a disgrace *and* you have proof of some sort? I must have
missed seeing it.

> Why are you defending a FAKE, Heil?

I've seen nothing which indicates that Steve is a fake. Maybe you've
seen some FAKE evidence to the contrary.

> Have you seen Dud's DD-214?

Dud? There isn't anyone named "Dud". I've not seen Steve's DD-214's
and, more importantly, neither have you.

> Did that make your Johnson bigger?

Your asking about Steve's DD-214 might make my vintage transmitter grow?
Are you feeling alright?

> BTW, you ought to visit the E. F. Johnson website and see the
> very little Johnsons they now make and sell (www.efji.com).
> Much smaller than the old Viking Messenger CB transceiver.

I think you might be the first fellow I've encountered who desired a
smaller Johnson, Leonard.

>>> Despite starting off in REAL radio some 52 years ago and having
>>> to get into engineering of later models of military radios, I've
>>> never had to bother with all the "NSA boxes."

>> We wouldn't want you to be "bothered", Len.

> On the contrary, you wish me the worst of everything. :-)

Not at all. If you'd like a smaller Johnson, I'm all for it. :-) :-)

>> I had to be "bothered" with a number of them over the years".
>
> Wow! Yeah! Is this a prelude to some "Secret Agent Man"
> stories?

Though it appears to have bothered you from time to time, I've offered
very little about my work. Deal with it.

> Did you use crypto gear with your Vietnam in-country MARS
> adventures? Have you written that up in "Signal?"

As you know, I was never assigned to a MARS position in Vietnam.

>>> e 1990s, the
>>> COMSEC features were built into military radios.
>> I see that you've already learned that "COMMSEC" is "COMSEC".
>
> Tsk, one typo and you are like one of the ruler-wielding nuns.

One typo? Your stuff is rife with them and misspellings.

> Spank away, Sister. Has it become a habit with you?
>
>>> It was fine by me that NSA handled the crypto...

>> I'll bet those folks are grateful that you didn't have any beef with
>> that. You could have become an advocate for allowing for greater
>> citizen participation.
>
> Tsk, tsk. A not-nice thing to say, Secret Agent Man. I've
> had quite enough of security stuff to live with in my career

> and endured all of it for the good of the country.

Oh my, the things you've had to endure for your country! How ever did
you bear the terrible burden, Len?

> That
> includes AEC stuff requiring a "Q" clearance. I've followed
> each and every directive and have never faulted anything in
> secure/sensitive matters that could threaten the nation.

I'll rest easier tonight, knowing that you were careful with our secrets.

> Here's a little clue-in, Secret Agent Man: Amateur radio is
> expressly FORBIDDEN from using any means tending to obscure
> the meaning of communications. In other words, NO crypto.

Well, it's certainly a relief that I found out now, from you, before
implementing secure communications. :-) :-)

> Further, amateur radio is not, has never been any sort of
> service to the nation comparable with the military or certain
> functions of the government of the United States.

You're just full of commonly known information, Len. How ever do you
find the time to digest it all?

> Don't puff
> up your AMATEUR Extra ego too far with thoughts of self-
> aggrandizement for having once been given a security
> clearance in your employment.

Once? By the way, did you think that I used my AMATEUR Extra in my
employment?

> Even further, your past employer (the Department of State)
> is a secretariat under the administration...and directly
> involved in foreign policy of the United States.

Wowsers! All that time abroad and all of those postings, you'd think
someone would have told me about that "secretariat under the
administration" stuff. You say we were involved in the foreign policy
of the U.S. government? Well.

> That
> presidential cabinet function is ripe for CITIZEN
> commentary by citizens of the United States.

Well, if State ever starts issuing its own crypto material, I suggest
you implement a letter writing campaign and involve yourself.

> You are NOT
> immune from a stray arrow shot across your brow for having
> been in "the foreign service."

If I've ever received an arrow across the bow, Len, it has been one of your strays. Actually, you've shot many stray arrows for my having been in the Foreign Service.

> Gives you NO excuse to
> say you are "better" than ordinary citizens...

It is probably a good thing that I've not done so, huh?

> ...some will say
> it makes you worse, but I won't go into that.

I think the only folks who'd do such a thing would be left-wing crackpots.

> It is a puzzle why you wish to exclude citizens of the United
> States from entering amateur radio.

I've never wished nor attempted to exclude anyone who could overcome inertia and pass the required exams. You're surely not saying that anyone who desires an amateur radio license should be handed one.

> You do that when you say
> (or imply) that "citizen participation" is a bad thing.

...in issuing crypto material?

You've participated in commenting to your government. You even made insulting comments directed toward at least one individual who was also commenting to his government.

> Where do you think the newcomers come from, "the foreign
> service?"

Why, as a matter of fact, some do. I've participated in overseas exam sessions during which at least one Foreign Service employee, one Defense Attache Office member and one cleared American guard became radio amateurs.

> Why do you constantly try to block citizens of
> this country from talking to their government when they have
> no AMATEUR license?

How have I tried to block you from talking to your government, Len? Did you suggest that the government discount the views of Mr. Rightsell?

>>> For the benefit of the civilians among the readers, "UCMJ"
>>> is an acronym for Universal Code of Military Justice, the
>>> common set of laws for all United States military branches.

>> *Sigh* Do you ever get anything right, Leonard. UCMJ stands for
>> "Uniform Code of Military Justice".
>
> UNIFORM Code of Military Justice. Yes, my slip.

> Such slips might be due to not paying attention SOLELY to the
> display screen while listening (and sometimes looking at) the
> TV visible in the family room here from the office room. Maybe

> it is because we are going to a party in Universal City on
> Sunday? [there's houses and stores and everything there
> besides Universal Studios]

Ahhhh, you were thinking of the "Universal's Code of Movie Justice", huh?

> Does Your Worship demand constant attention at all times?
> One would think so.

I'd think you are the guy who requires attention. Are your frequent
factual errors a cry for attention?

> You do not equate "all branches
> equally" with "universal?" Of course not, Herr Literalist.

Of course not, Miss Stake. The word was "uniform" not "universal".

> The correct NAME is most important? Of course it is.

You've done a pretty good job of answering the question.

> To you,
> so you can leverage some sort of smart-ass snark on those you
> dislike.

You dislike having your factual errors pointed out.

> The UCMJ hasn't applied to me or my actions in 45 years.

So it is right behind amateur radio in that regard.

> It
> has NEVER applied to Jimmy Noserve, Katapult Kelly...

You're a churl, Leonard and you never learn.

> ...Kim or Dee.

> The rest, all anony-mousies we just don't know about.

So the rest of past and current posters are all anonymous?

> It may not have applied to Major Dud, either, since Dud
> hasn't shown proof of his service or his mighty "seven
> hostile actions."

How can a fictitious person supply proof. There is no Major Dud.
As to Steve Robeson, Frank the CBer said he found information that a
person with that name served in the USMC. Fancy that.

>>> Again, for benefit of the civilians among the readers, "DD-214" is
>>> a Department of Defense form filled out at the end of a continuous
>>> period of military service as their RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY.

>> That's twice with "for benefit of the civilians among the readers"
>> stuff. Are you under the impression that you're in the military now?

>
> Not now...but I was for 8 years. I have the documentary evidence
> to prove it.

But you're a civilian now? There aren't *any* active duty military
folks here that you know of? Why're you writing for the benefit of
civilians? After all, your military duty was further in the past than
anyone's here.

> Dudly don't got any.

There isn't any "Dudly". Did you mean Steve Robeson? You have proof
that he hasn't any documentary evidence? Please share it with us.

> You got any, mighty warrior
> working MARS in a "country at war?"

I have plenty. What's my obligation or motivation to share it with you?

>> If you're going to attempt clarification for the civilians among the
>> readers, the least you could do is get your ducks in a row.

> Duck you, sweetums. What are you going to do? Step before
> the UN and talk about Weapons of Morse Destruction? The boss
> of "the foreign service" did something like that, then had the
> courage to QUIT.

Ms. Rice resigned? I hadn't heard.

> Why don't you do that now and save yourself
> all the anguish opposite opinions cause you?

You seem like someone who is anguished, Len. From what would you have
me quit?

Dave K8MN

## 2700. Re: stevie is coward but so is Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:05:15 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133129115.664054.318780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125266

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > what has radio to do sexuality
>
> That depends upon how you are using the radio, Mark.

how does it depend on Usage?
>
> Dave K8MN

# 2701. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:05:23 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <662dnVzfrOigvRfeRVn-tA@gbronline.com>
Source record: 125262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

John S. wrote:
>
>
> The mayor was only confirming what many of us in this hobby realize:
> Ham radio operators have not served any useful purpose in emergency
> communications for a long time.

Nice try, however:

As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
By Andrea Kelly
ARIZONA DAILY STAR

When all else fails, it's ham radio to the rescue. Across Arizona, ham radio operators are helping sustain Hurricane Katrina relief efforts by helping storm-torn communities communicate with rescue workers and family across the country.

This is not a first. Ham radio operators come out of the woodwork during disasters around the world, even transmitting in Morse code if language barriers pop up, said Mike Swiader, president of the amateur Superstition Radio Club in Mesa.

Ham radio operators have contributed to emergency communication efforts since World War II, Swiader said, and were crucial in post-9/11 emergency communications, as well as during the Asian tsunami last holiday season.

Ham radios work when other communication systems are down because they're powered by solar energy, batteries or generators rather than the usual power sources.

The messages are passed from radio to radio until they get to the one they are directed to, so radios don't need to be near each other to receive signals, like regular AM/FM radio signals.

"We've been involved in passing traffic to and from the Louisiana and Mississippi area," said Tom Fagan, a leader of the Arizona ham radio operators organization. "Whatever needs to come in and go out, we're doing."

When Arizona's ham operators - there are about 16,000 of them - get messages from the hurricane area, they send them along to agencies like the American Red Cross and the Salvation Army in the Southeastern region of the country.

"We're the link," Fagan said.

Because communications within a disaster area are often jumbled, Swiader said, it's frequently easier for someone there to contact an operator in someplace like Arizona, who can then relay the message back to a recipient in the disaster area.

"Sometimes it's easier to be heard that way," he said.

More than 30 ham radio operators from Arizona have already headed toward the disaster area, hoping to assist relief efforts and give emergency workers a way to communicate with each other, as well as assisting searches for missing persons.

"They're moving in when they're allowed by American Red Cross and Salvation Army," said Ned Stearns, a Phoenix ham operator and vice director of the American Radio Relay League, the national organization for ham operators.

In fact, the ham operators plan to compile a list of people they can determine as missing, deceased, alive or unknown, based on information transmitted over the ham signals.

To find out about people in the area, visit www.satern.org and fill out the health and welfare information request for the person you are trying to find. This information will be sent through the Salvation Army emergency radio network.

More From The Huntsville Times | Subscribe To The Huntsville Times
Ham radio operators are helping from afar
Sunday, September 11, 2005
By DONNA FORK
For the Times dfork@knology.net

But sometimes, even the airwaves can be shut down

They also serve who listen and wait.

Huntsville amateur radio operator Douglas Hilton and other "hams" in North Alabama are helping victims of Hurricane Katrina by relaying messages between families, officials and each other.

Lately, Hilton's been spending most of his spare time in his "ham shack" listening to calls for assistance. The systems analyst for Intergraph Corp. is one of thousands of amateur radio operators who help people during emergencies.

Hilton is unusual in the ham radio world because he wears three hats: He is an amateur operator; a member of the Alabama State Defense Force, heading the Communications Platoon for North Alabama; and he's also a military communicator authorized to use the Military Affiliate Radio System.

His connection to the military (although he is a civilian) gives him access to additional radio frequencies most amateurs can't access. He has been a ham radio operator for 42 years; his father had him build a

crystal radio when he was 7 years old, and he got his ham license as a teenager. But he wasn't all that active until after the terrorist attacks of Sept. 11, 2001.

"That hit me right between the eyes," he recalled, and he began to get back into "hamming."

Now Hilton averages about 100 hours a month on the airwaves and takes countless courses and certifications. He noted that amateur radio operators are "amateurs only in that we're not paid." In return for service "the government gives us frequencies."

Hilton said the North Alabama ham community is large.

"The astronauts are all ham radio operators," he said, noting that astronaut Owen Garriott "was the first ham in space."

Hilton''s "ham shack" is not really a shack at all. It is located in a formal dining room - complete with crystal chandelier. It includes six radios and two computers.

"You don't need six, but don't tell my wife, please," Hilton said as his wife, Diane, laughed. She recently obtained her "technician class" license, which is the entry-level license for ham radio operators. The next is "general class." The highest, which Hilton has, is "amateur extra." Anyone can be an amateur radio operator, Hilton said. There is no age limit: Hilton knows a local ham who is 18 and another who is 89.

It doesn't cost much to be an operator either, he said. A beginner could purchase enough equipment to get started for about $300. Courses are free through one of the local ham radio clubs, of which there are several, including the Huntsville Amateur Radio Club (the Web address is www.harc.net).

In addition to six radios, Hilton has two computers: a laptop and a desktop. "The radios are hooked into the computer." With his setup, the Hiltons can talk to other hams as far away as Japan.

But for the past two weeks there hasn't been time to chat about matters such as which antennas they like the best. There are emergency frequencies to monitor. At the moment, a critical one is the Salvation Army's emergency frequency, Hilton said.

Hilton never knows if it will be a busy night or a quiet one. Recent solar flares have added to the uncertainty because they disrupt communications. Friday, during the late afternoon and early evening, it was unusually quiet.

"I'm a little surprised," he said Friday. "I can't believe how dead these bands are."

Solar flare

It could be a solar flare, or could be something else. "That's the problem, you never know," he said. The waiting, the listening, "it wears you out." But it's worth it when you hear a voice and "you might be the only person who can hear this guy."

On Thursday night, when Hilton was expecting problems, reception was fine. He was able to contact two operators in Louisiana. He also heard one Mississippi ham talking to another ham "so at least one is working there."

ecause of the Hurricane Katrina disaster, there are thousands of messages piling up, waiting to be delivered by ham radio operators: What will happen when all their radios start working again?

People like Hilton will be extremely busy. There are thousands of ham radio operators in Louisiana and Mississippi. When they all get back to the airwaves, it will be quite a challenge to sort out all the messages.

But meanwhile, as they waited for the deluge of messages, on Thursday night the Huntsvillians received a call for help from New Mexico.

Maura Campbell Kingston of Clovis, N.M., was trying to reach her aunt, 76-year-old Sister Joan Campbell, a nun, of Harvey, La. Kingston called the Salvation Army, which contacted a ham radio operator, who called Hilton.

Hilton tried Thursday to reach a radio operator in Louisiana to check on Campbell, but almost all of them were off the air. Finally on Friday night Hilton got through to "KG5YK," who is Robert A. Turner of Elm Grove, La.

When Turner's message came through, hams from all over the United States could be heard, eager to talk with him.

Helping 'ham brother'

The outpouring of sympathy could not be contained: Radio operators from Texas, Indiana and elsewhere all expressed their support for their "ham brother" in Louisiana.

"I hope this never happens again," said Turner of the Katrina disaster. "It's been a tough time. ... I'm looking for the right words." He thanked the other hams for their all their help.

"You'd do the same for us," the ham from Texas said. Others echoed his sentiments.

Turner gave Hilton information about when to call with his "health and welfare message" about Kingston's aunt. Hilton vowed to keep trying to deliver the message "for as long as it takes."

But that proved to be unnecessary.

Friday night, after calling her aunt repeatedly, Kingston finally reached her by phone. She was still at her home in Harvey.

"She was OK," Kingston said in a telephone interview Saturday. "Harvey stayed dry." But the area was without power and Campbell "was sitting in the dark with her flashlight."

Later, Kingston called Hilton to thank him. She said he told her: "I'm just doing it because I want to help."

Kingston was impressed.

"I believe that thing about Southern hospitality."

Thursday, September 15, 2005

Ham radio proves to be more than a hobby
By Jeannetta Edwards

Daily Times Leader

When Hurricane Katrina hit, telephone and cell phone communication hit an impasse because of downed lines and towers. But, amateur radio operators did not miss a beat or a transmission.

"Before and after the hurricane, this has proven to be the most reliable form of communication," said Randall O'Brien, a retired highway patrolman who has been involved with ham radio operation for 35 years.

"People thought when they got cell phones, they didn't need us anymore," said O'Brien, an advanced licensed operator, who often talks to missionaries in South and Central America.

According to Denver Baker, Clay County has more than 30 amateur radio operators, but only a small fraction are active.

"It's a wonderful hobby," said Baker, whose wife recently received her technician license.

The multi-faceted operation includes digital and satellite communication and is enjoyed by all age levels.

"This is a good hobby for seniors or for young people," said Baker.

By becoming a ham radio operator, which requires taking a test to obtain a license, people can communicate with others anywhere in the world.

"The classes for the test are free," said Baker, "and we help you with everything you need to know."

According to Baker, who has been enjoying this hobby for the last 10 years, the only investment required is the fee for the test and the purchase of equipment. A handheld transmitter cost around $100.

The self-policing group ensures that everyone adheres to the rules and regulations.

"We're called amateur radio operators, but there's nothing amateur about it," said O'Brien, who first became interested in electronic at age 13.

The group, which also belongs to the American Radio Relay League, meets monthly in West Point.

"We have a good bunch of hams in this area," said Baker. "We bring food at our meetings and have a good time."

"It's nice, especially for seniors," said Baker.

For information on getting involved in ham radio operation, call O'Brien at 494-2898 or Baker at 494-9096.

Thursday, September 8, 2005

Ham Radio Operators Offer Help

By Beth Hahn
Mountain View Telegraph
Since Hurricane Katrina hit New Orleans last week, several ears in the East Mountains and Estancia Valley have been tuned to amateur short-wave radios.
McIntosh resident Ed Brooks said local Ham radio operators are willing to relay messages from the Gulf Coast if needed.
"We guys here in the Estancia Valley are just listening," he said. "We haven't had any need for what we can offer."
Although they may not be needed yet, Brooks said local Ham radio operators are still listening in to conversations taking place in Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama.
During the interview with Brooks, his Ham radio was tuned to a conversation involving a Coast Guard helicopter that was rescuing a family from a rooftop in New Orleans with direction from another Ham radio operator.
Brooks said similar situations have been broadcast hundreds of times during the past week.
"It's been really spotty, but what we've been (hearing) is that it was good fortune that somebody down there had Ham equipment," he said.
Daryl Clutter, an Edgewood Ham operator and member of the Salvation Army's shortwave network, said he relayed a message from Ontario to U.S. Coast Guard officials in Washington, D.C., that originated in Louisiana.
"It was just the word 'help' spelled out two times," he said.
Like Brooks, Clutter said he has stayed close to his Ham radio since Aug. 29.
"There's been so much going on," he said. "Every day, something happens."
Clutter, a former truck driver who often delivered frozen food to the Gulf Coast, said radio traffic has slowed since the hurricane devastated the region.
"You just wonder who all you know, that used to be your customers down there, that are still alive or had the good sense to get out," he said.
As amateur radio operators, Clutter said, Ham enthusiasts practice for disasters "every day."
"There's only so many cell phone frequencies," he explained. "If the phone system goes down, there's no way ... (one company) could handle all the 60,000 or 70,000 phone calls."

Mountainair resident and Ham operator Bob Scupp said the Salvation Army network alone has handled more than 128,000 messages since Aug. 29.
Brooks said Ham operators have been relaying messages from residents to volunteers or emergency personnel. Since a large number of National Guard and military personnel have arrived in the area, Brooks said the messages have changed from pleas for help to questions about well-being.
Shelters for evacuees are beginning to utilize Ham radios to communicate with other shelters to help families find missing relatives, Brooks said.
Rescues are still going on.
"There's been instances where they're still finding people, trapped in houses, trapped in buildings or running out of oxygen," said Clutter. "It's just terrible what's going on down there."
Clutter and Brooks said they will not offer assistance unless someone requests contact in the Albuquerque area.
"We don't want to tie up the frequencies," Brooks said.
Clutter and Brooks said they will continue to listen to their Ham radios for messages or relay requests until communications are restored in the Gulf Coast.
"We live and breathe this," Clutter said.

Published: September 03, 2005 07:18 pm

Amateur radio operators provide vital communications support
By Teresa Atkerson
MCALESTER NEWS-CAPITAL (MCALESTER, Okla.)
MCALESTER, Okla. -

With more than 10,000 requests for help in finding loved ones, the amateur radio operators in Louisiana are extremely busy.

In fact, they are so busy, they have asked people to wait with their requests unless there is an extreme emergency.

Ralph Suter, with the Pittsburg County Amateur Radio Club, said they had about a half dozen requests for assistance in locating loved ones in the area devastated by Hurricane Katrina. ï¿½The Red Cross funneled the requests for health and welfare,ï¿½ he explained. Now, the national traffic system is backed up with the requests, he said, because there were so many.

There are extreme problems with communications in New Orleans at the present time. Suter explained VHF radio has to have line of sight while amateur radio operators can use lower frequencies that can bounce off or curve to get the message through. ï¿½A benefit of amateur radio is you can throw a piece of wire in a tree or up a flagpole. With 12 volts of power, you can operate on a local or even worldwide basis,ï¿½ he explained.

As an example, Suter said recently an amateur radio operator in Louisiana had some kind of problem that he couldnï¿½t get help with after the hurricane. He put out the message, it went through Washington state

to Texas and then into Louisiana where the right people were found to help him.

Amateur radio operators can also communicate via Morse Code, upload through television or computer or radio to satellite, Suter said. That gives them even more advantages in communication.

Jim Russell, president of the PCARC, said amateur radio has been around as long as there has been radio. Even in World War I, amateur radio operators helped with messages in Morse Code, which is something operators today still must learn as part of their licensing process.

ï¿½We look at it as a hobby,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½Itï¿½s a resource of individuals because they have an interest to develop the skills to operate radios in various modes.

ï¿½Itï¿½s a resource for trained communicators.ï¿½

There are forms that must be used when transmitting messages. ï¿½When it goes into the system at one point and comes out at the destination, it is exactly the same information, even with the misspelled words,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½Itï¿½s our responsibility when handling traffic that it comes out exactly as it comes in.ï¿½

Suter said many of the operators use their radios on a daily basis while others check weekly. When it comes to disasters, there is the Amateur Radio Emergency Service. ï¿½Itï¿½s not really an organization but it is organized on a national basis for community programs.

John Wright, another PCARC member, checks with the Oklahoma Sooner Net and with the Salvation Armyï¿½s network, SATERN.

After the Oklahoma City bombing in 1995 and 9/11, Russell said amateur radio was used extensively. It is also used during and after tornadoes and other natural disasters. Now, the group can work with the Automatic Position Reporting System to help more accurately report severe weather.

The group has an annual field day, which is a combination of a exercise and a contest. ï¿½We go out and set up radios under adverse conditions to simulate disaster response,ï¿½ Suter said. ï¿½In the past, weï¿½ve started with solar power, using a battery in a car or even a portable generator.

ï¿½The last time I kept count, we were able to make contacts in 47 states, the Philippines, some in South America and Puerto Rico in a 24-hour period.ï¿½

Suter pointed out that the word ï¿½amateurï¿½ is not what people interpret it as. ï¿½People interpret it to be an unprofessional group. But while itï¿½s made up of a lot of people for a hobby, itï¿½s not unorganized or unprofessional when dealing with disaster. We have capabilities that public agencies just donï¿½t have,ï¿½ he said.

Members also have to be licensed. The license testing can be done in McAlester now so those wishing to be licensed donï¿½t have to go to Dallas or Oklahoma City as in the past.

Teresa Atkerson writes for the McAlester (Okla.) News-Capital.

Old Technology Still Needed
(Page 1 of 2)

Sept. 8, 2005

Overwhelming Charity

Joe Carcia, station manager of the American Radio Relay League in Newington, Conn. helps with the disaster relief effort for Hurricane Katrina's victims along the Gulf Coast. (AP)

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

(CBS) There is a store in London dedicated to "appropriate technology." It mostly carries products designed to be used in developing countries where there is a weak communications infrastructure.

Sadly, thatï¿½s now the case for a large swath of the United States where Hurricane Katrina and its flood waters have wiped out cell phone towers, telephone switching stations, Internet routers and other ground-based communications systems. Even wireless Internet depends on nearby transmitters and receivers on the ground.

But amateur radio is working and, according to Allen Pitts of the Amateur Radio Relay League (ARRL), "ham" radio operators from throughout the country are answering the call to provide vital communications links. Satellite phones, a much newer technology, are also coming to the rescue.

Pitts acknowledges that cell phones and other technologies "work wonderfully when everything is going right," but "they are very vulnerable when everything is going wrong."

Just as with past hurricanes, earthquakes and on September 11th, the ground-based infrastructure, in many cases failed because vital parts of the system were damaged or overwhelmed during the emergency.

In other words, when you need them most, those cell phones are of no value.

Amateur radio, says Pitts, is not vulnerable to these problems "because each of the radio operators is a complete transmit and reception center unto themselves able to continue going and continue with nothing more than an electrical source, such as a battery or a generator, a radio and piece of wire for an antenna."

Listen to audio of Larry Magid's interview with ARRL's Allen Pitts.

While base-station radios are larger and require an electrical power source, there are also portable ham radios slightly larger than a pack of cigarettes with a range of about 20 miles, according to Pitts. Pitts says that the next sized radio, about the size of a paperback book, can communicate up to about 50 miles without a repeater while larger

systems, "about the size of a desktop computer" can communicate globally.

Amateur radio operators are in demand. When I checked the ARRLï¿½s Web site there was a call from the Salvation Army for "10, two-operator Amateur Radio teams for deployment in the U.S. Gulf Coast. Operators must hold at least a General class license. Teams should be fully self sufficient in terms of food, water and lodging and plan to remain in the disaster area for from one to two weeks."

Pitts said there was a "lady in Connecticut," who was listening on her amateur radio and happened to hear that there was a woman "who was trapped for four days without food and water." She was able to relay that message, by ham radio, into an operations center that dispatched the fire department. A day later she got a call from the trapped womanï¿½s mother, thanking her for her role in the rescue.

Ironically, this comes at a time when amateur radio is struggling for respect and, to some extent, survival. What was once a popular hobby has fallen into somewhat hard times for a variety of reasons, including the fact that the Internet, cheap long distance calling, cell phones and other technologies make the ability to use these two-way radios not quite as compelling as it once was.

Also, there is a bit of a rift between some members of the amateur radio community and some parts of the Internet industry over the use of power lines to transmit Internet signals. This technology, which could put power companies into competition with cable and phone companies for broadband service, has been criticized by some ham operators because of possible interference problems.

Despite the fact that their origins go back to the early 20th century, hams are hardly low-tech. There are now bridges between ham operators and other technologies including the Internet, cellular phone systems and Internet phones. In fact, long before the Internet became popular, ham operators were using their radio gear to transmit data along with voice and morse code.

Also coming to the rescue are satellite phones. These phones - which are much more expensive to buy and use than cell phones - rely on satellites rather than ground equipment to communicate with the phone network.

Because satellites are in space, theyï¿½re not vulnerable to conditions here on earth. Also, as long as you have a view of the sky, youï¿½re able to communicate. Iridium, which operates "66 low-earth orbiting (LEO), cross-linked satellites and 11 in-orbit spares," says it provides "complete coverage of the earth (including oceans, airways and Polar Regions)."

I carried an Iridium phone with me when I traveled to Peru a few years ago. It worked great when I was in the clear such as on a boat in the middle of the Amazon River but, because it requires a line of site view of the sky, it had problems in dense jungle and in cities with tall buildings.

Iridium spokesperson Liz DeCastro estimates that there are currently about 10,000 of Iridium's phones being used in the region with orders for about 6,000 more. The company sells through resellers so it doesnï¿½t have exact numbers. DeCastro says that "traffic in the region increased by 3,000 percent" since the storm hit and that the number of subscribers increased by 500 percent.

Satellite phones are a lot cheaper to use then when I went to Peru, but they still cost between $1 and $1.25 per minute to use, according to DeCastro. Thatï¿½s a lot more than domestic cell use, though often cheaper than using U.S. phones when roaming overseas. The phones themselves cost between $1,000 and $1,500 she says.

In addition to handling voice calls, satellite phones can also be used for messaging or data, albeit at modem rather than broadband speed.

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

Thatï¿½s why some news organizations keep manual typewriters around. You never know when that old technology may suddenly become the "appropriate technology."

NYNewsday.com
Amateur radio operators head to Gulf Coast

September 6, 2005, 1:00 AM EDT

NEWINGTON, Conn. -- Oscar Fuller only became an amateur radio operator about a year ago, but he knows how valuable his equipment can be in an emergency.

So even though he has never responded to a crisis, the American Relay Radio League member is planning to head to the hurricane-ravaged Gulf Coast and see if he can help establish an emergency communication network.

"This is a tragic set of circumstances," said Fuller, who recently retired from IBM. "If I can lend a hand, I want to."

Fuller and about 14 other members of the Newington-based amateur radio operator network have signed up to join the relief efforts for Hurricane Katrina. The amateur operators _ known as hams _ can often use their equipment to communicate in difficult circumstances.

Because the radio equipment operates on many frequencies, is self-contained and portable, it can often work when other communication networks, such as telephones, aren't working. It can never be blocked, which is why operators say it is good in disasters.

"When all else fails, ham radios work," said Betsy Doane of Shelton, who oversees the 2,500 members of the league in Connecticut.

David Patton, an official with the radio group, said as many as 750 volunteers may be needed. The Connecticut volunteers are going to help

provide communication support for more than 200 American Red Cross Shelters in Mississippi, Alabama and the Florida panhandle.

"There are limited resources to an overwhelming disaster," said Allen Pitts, public relations manager for the radio league. "So there is not a ham on every corner. The hams are put where they are needed most of all, in emergency traffic or for saving lives."

Dennis Motschenbacher of Colchester was among the first volunteers to say he would go. He spent Sunday shopping for supplies and getting ready for the 18-hour, 1,100 mile drive to Montgomery, Ala., where he will be dispatched to a shelter.

"Everything I need, I'm bringing," he said. "There won't be any Radio Shack to go to."

The 57-year-old has been a ham for more than 40 years. He has operated his radio from places as far as East Timor and the Balkans.

The hardest part will be "seeing people in this troubled state _ smelly, sick, depressed, angry. And no matter what any of us do, it won't be enough for all of them."

NBC
Headline News - Top Stories
Ham Radio Operators Pitch In To Hurricane Katrina Relief Effort General Interest
09/07/2005
With communications out all over New Orleans and the Gulf Coast, the professionals are turning to amateurs to be their ears to what's going on in the areas devastated by Hurricane Katrina.

Local operators say they've been in touch with people in and around New Orleans since the beginning of the ordeal.

Ed McLaughlin, of Kennewick, says in the days that followed the disaster, he was able to help a family in Medford, Ore., get an update on how their relatives were. He's provided similar contact for a family in Chicago.

The reason-- ham radio, which is able to get in places that have no other means for communication when land-based infrastructure fails.

Ham radio has been such a success during these recent days that FEMA and the Red Cross are now using it as their primary mode for communication until other modes of communication are restored.

News-Leader.com Springfield Mo.
Ham radio helps close communication gap

Nixa man relayed message from president to New Orleans' mayor.

Joe Hargis works on his amateur radio in his home in Nixa. Hargis helped rescue an elderly woman by notifying someone who could contact rescue

workers via radio.

By Sarah Overstreet
News-Leader

If you've been unsuccessfully trying to get information about someone living in Hurricane Katrina's region of havoc, here's an avenue you may not have considered: local ham radio operators.

While cell phones rely on towers and traditional telephones rely on intact land lines, ham radios transmit from radio to radio, bouncing waves off the ionosphere in the upper atmosphere far above the Earth's tantrums. They can run off batteries, generators and sometimes even the sun.

Two Ozarks amateur ham radio groups - the Nixa Amateur Radio Club and the Christian County ARES (Amateur Radio Emergency Services) - have been relaying messages from people all over the world to those whose communication has been cut off by Katrina.

David Beckler, a ham radio operator from Nixa, even relayed a message Tuesday from the director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency in Texas to New Orleans Mayor Ray Nagin.

"He had a message from President Bush to the mayor of the city of New Orleans," says Beckler, a New Orleans native who moved to Nixa six years ago.

Since the message was an emergency communication, the dispatch needed to go through the net control station on an emergency frequency, Beckler said. He knew it was important, because the ham operator had said, "break, break, break," which requires all other communications to yield and give that one priority.

"The net control station or other stations on this frequency could not hear this message," Beckler says. Beckler contacted the net control station, and people there asked him to contact the sending ham operator and relay the message back to that operator. "That's when he told me it was from President Bush."

Joe Hargis, another Nixa ham club member, also helped with an urgent message. "I was monitoring the SATERN network (Salvation Army Team Emergency Radio Network)," said Hargis, a retired elementary schoolteacher.

"A person called in with a piece of emergency traffic and the (receiving) person could not hear him. He said, 'Is there anyone on the frequency who can copy this?' I broke in and told him I could hear it fine."

Hargis relayed the message back to SATERN, and the operator there could hear Hargis well. "It was a 90-year-old woman and her elderly neighbors. They were afraid to leave their home because of the unrest in the neighborhood, things that were going on, and they needed assistance to be evacuated. They were running low on medicine, and one was running out of her oxygen supply."

Nixa ham club member Rod Kittleman says their members helped save the lives of a couple in New Orleans.

"A weak, disabled elderly couple were trapped in their attic. Their phone worked, but they couldn't get through to local authorities," the KADI radio program manager explains.

Too weak to break through their roof so emergency personnel could find them, the couple called their daughter in this area. She contacted the Nixa club, which relayed the message to a local ham operator who is licensed to operate on emergency frequencies.

"He got through to authorities in New Orleans over the radio, and they were rescued. (The ham operator) relayed the message back to their daughter. That's the reward we live for."

ARRL's Role In Rescue Offers Lessons For Future

Mark David
ED Online ID #11164
October 13, 2005

Investigations into the communications breakdowns in our national emergency response systems continue. Yet I'm struck by the contrast between the hue and cry for upgraded infrastructure solutions and the much quieter revelation that old-school ham radio provided the only trustworthy communication during Hurricane Katrina.

New Orleans emergency departments' radios were wiped out when broadcast towers lost backup power generators. Police and fire departments only had citizen-band radios, offering inadequate bandwidth. Emergency responders lacked coordinated frequencies.

The National Guard cited antiquated communications technology-as a contributor to its delayed response. Lt. Gen. Steven Blum told USA Today that there was a shortage of high-tech radios and satellite communications gear. "We were underequipped," Blum told USA Today. "We don't need tanks and attack helicopters... but we must have state-of-the-art radios and communications."

The Guard has historically gotten "handmedown" equipment from active-duty military. It now uses "Vietnam-era radios while it needs 37,000 newer radios," according to Guard budget briefings.

Meanwhile, ham radio operators proved that older technology can be the most reliable technology. Our EDA Editor (and ham) David Maliniak wrote an online column on the subject, pointing out that sometimes "old works when new doesn't." (Read it and add your comments at ED Online 11136.) During and after Katrina, hams running on generators (sometimes with makeshift antennas) worked throughout the hurricane zone to put emergency stations on the air. They guided rescuers to stranded victims and updated weather services via the Hurricane Watch Net.

Amateur radio was the primary means of contact with the outside world for many shelters. It's estimated that some 1000 amateur radio

volunteers helped serve the hurricane-ravaged communities and shelters, even providing communications for the Red Cross.

Still, the real lesson of the ham radio successes isn't that old sometimes trumps new. Upgraded, reliable hardware is vital for adequate emergency response. Amateur radio has continued to upgrade too. Hams use satellites, digital systems, cross-band repeaters, and more. As the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) puts it, the Morse code key may still be on the desk, but generally it's next to a modern system operable under extreme emergency conditions.

DISTRIBUTED NETWORKS

Katrina taught two key lessons. First, the Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) organization proved effective because hams don't depend on a centralized infrastructure. When cell towers, phone switching centers, or other central communicationsnetworks are down, hams aren't. Many operators have their own generators and are ready to fire them up to get on the air when there's no power. National disaster response plans must assume that the centralized communications infrastructure likely will be crippled, so the emergency system must include a distributed or "mesh" networking scheme.

Second, ARRL succeeded because operators subscribe to a mission that comes with their licenses-to be ready to provide emergency communications whenever and wherever they're needed. ARES has a well-conceived action plan coordinated through the Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES). ARES is part of the ARRL, and RACES is coordinated through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). But like the broacast system, the emergency plan is decentralized. Radio operators can work independently to serve their community as circumstances require.

A decentralized emergency plan requires deputized people who truly understand and care about their responsibilities. The best emergency response relies on distributed manpower, with first responders empowered to make decisions at the scene of the crisis.

It doesn't take a federal investigation to realize that the government's emergency-response debacle was caused by centralizing the decision-making with politically appointed bureaucrats who didn't have a personal mission or a true sense of ownership in ensuring preparedness. The fiasco with now-deposed FEMA leader Michael Brown exemplifies the folly of appointments based on cronyism, rather than the recruitment of people who have a passion, understanding, and commitment for the responsibilities they shoulder.

In this issue's cover story, Ron Schneiderman looks at government programs and the new technologies tackling our homeland security problems. But will the right people get those technologies? Too often, homeland security appointments and dollars are doled out according to political favoritism. As we saw in the recent emergency response,

technologies are only effective when managed by people-like the hams-who take their responsibilities to heart.

Hats off to all of you who care about the quality of the security and emergency communications technologies you're engineering. Let's hope they end up controlled by people who care just as much.

A few months ago, NBC's Tonight Show staged a race between a pair of ham-radio operators with Morse-code keys and a couple of kids with text-messaging cellphones to see who could communicate faster. The hams won hands down, proving, in the minds of some, that old technology could hold its own against new. In recent days, ham radio was put to the test again by Hurricane Katrina. This time, however, lives were at stake.

In the world of design engineers and electronics in general, change is essential. Designers work diligently to make the fruits of their labors obsolete almost before they see daylight. The turnover in technology is sometimes like a flood, with old being washed away by new over and over. Often, the new beats the heck out of the old. But there are times when old isn't necessarily bad; in fact, sometimes old works when new doesn't. And then we're glad that old is still around, or at least we should be.

Wireless technology, while relatively new to many consumers, is of course not new at all. A few (very) old-timers remember the original "wireless" of radio. The revolution wrought by the pioneers of wireless changed the world then, and the technology behind that revolution has been re-invented and re-applied time and again. Its pre-eminent incarnation today is our near-ubiquitous wireless communications infrastructure, which has freed us from the shackles of landlines and made our mobile lifestyles possible. Technology truly is great stuff.

Until, of course, a monster hurricane comes along to render it nearly useless. Here we see a scenario in which a flood literally swept away the new. As Hurricane Katrina's fury hammered the Gulf states on August 29, the communications infrastructure took a devastating hit. Telephone service, including wireless, became at first intermittent and then unusable in many localities. Where there was phone service, 911 switchboards were often unreachable due to the massive volume of calls. The response of local authorities, now termed "confused" by deposed FEMA chief Michael Brown, wasn't helping much. The Gulf Coast was about to descend into darkness, chaos, and, worst of all for many, silence.

But proponents of the old were at the ready. The "old," in this case, is ham radio. In the eyes of the "man on the street," ham radio has a pretty stodgy reputation. Aren't hams still using Morse code? Don't some of them use radios with tubes, for goodness sake? What the "man in the street" probably doesn't know is that it was amateurs who advanced the radio arts early in the 20th century. Down through the decades, amateurs have embraced (and often driven) all of the innovations in wireless technology, up to and including all digital modes and the Internet. But many have stayed in touch with their roots, which is good old-fashioned

analog HF operation. And while amateurs have a longstanding tradition as innovators and experimenters, they also have a mandate that comes with their licenses: to be ready, willing, and able to provide emergency communications whenever and wherever they're needed.

As Katrina bore down on the Gulf region, amateur radio operators, under the aegis of the American Radio Relay League's (ARRL's) Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES), prepared to swing into action with emergency networks that would run health-and-welfare traffic into and out of the disaster zone. As early as the Monday following the storm, hams throughout the hurricane zone were putting emergency stations on the air. In one instance, hams were instrumental in the rescue of 15 people clinging for life to a New Orleans rooftop. Meanwhile, in Alabama, amateur SKYWARN weather nets kept the National Weather Service apprised of conditions throughout the state. In hard-hit sections of Mississippi, hams running off generators and with makeshift antennas were the only means of communication, getting word to out-of-state friends and relatives concerning their loved ones.

There were numerous other instances of hams helping those who were not simply inconvenienced by the storm, but whose lives were in imminent danger. Now that things have calmed down in the Gulf region, many of the emergency nets have stood down. But hams continue to serve the public in the many areas that are still without power or phone service.

As our nation collects itself in the aftermath of the Katrina disaster, President Bush has promised federal reviews of what went right and what went wrong. One of the findings of those inquiries should be that the federally-instituted Amateur Radio Service, which functions under the licensing authority of the FCC, stood tall when the country needed it.

Amateur radio currently faces various threats to its existence. Chief among those is the advent of broadband-over-powerline (BPL) technology, which, if broadly adopted, has the potential to cause widespread interference to HF communications, not just for amateurs but for other services that use the HF spectrum.

Amateurs and the ARRL have made a lot of noise about BPL, asserting that it could seriously hamper their efforts and those of relief agencies such as the Red Cross and Salvation Army, in the event of a disaster such as Katrina. It's rumored, though, that the same FCC commissioners who have given their blessing to BPL field trials will now take a much harder look at the technical issues concerning BPL and its interference potential in the HF spectrum. Let's face it: The federal government didn't handle the emergency in the Gulf very well; it'd be prudent for it not to sanction a technology that could impede one of the few things that actually worked.

Many readers of this newsletter are amateur radio enthusiasts. If you are, and if you haven't already done so, consider writing your congressman to express your concern about the future of the Amateur Radio Service, especially in light of its outstanding efforts in recent

days. Remind your elected representatives that a vibrant and unimpeded Amateur service can and will be a lifesaver when disaster strikes. Also, consider how you yourself might help. What if a hurricane, tornado, or earthquake ravages your area? Are you prepared to get on the air without relying on the mains to handle emergency traffic? Get in touch with your local amateur-radio club and find out how you can pitch in.

Your cell phones and wireless routers are indeed great stuff, but so is a good old HF transceiver. We shouldn't always be in such a hurry to let the flood of new technology wash away the old. The geek down the block with all the antennas on his property could turn out to be your best friend someday. Because sometimes, old trumps new.

## 2702. wholesale endorement of theft and slander

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:09:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133129366.673045.174440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125267

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > That's a VIOLATION OF COPYRIGHT LAW and is also Slander.
> >
>
> So sue somebody, twit.

I suppose asking a canceled cartoon chacter to behave in even a semi
civilized manner is out of the question

# 2703. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:11:32 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dmdatr$ieq$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 125268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

"Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
news:662dnSLfrOjigxfeRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
> The Magnum wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > *I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the masses.
>
> Maybe that would work in the UK, but here in the U.S. all you would hear
> is 'squeek sqawk, ten fer thar good buddy, got che ears on thar ten fer,
> we're coming atcha from down here in the big swamp, squeek sqawk echo
> echo echo echo".

Lol... I know America has vast areas compared to the UK but don't you think Police could have been equipped with CB radios in NO? I remember hearing the police bands were knocked out but I don't know whether they could talk radio to radio (Like CB) or if they needed to talk via a base. Obviously police couldn't have been issued with Amateur Gear (because they would be illegal operators) but CB stuff they used to use years ago would have assisted them greatly in that respect. Most of the squeaking you refer to would be gone due to base stations being off and cars being flooded. It would have to have been an organised person who remained on the air unaffected. Most of the CB fraternity would have heeded the evacuation call and got out or.. as so many Amateurs like to think of us, robbing the shops and being all lawless like. It must, in reality, have been a very scary hellhole for a lot of people and I certainly hope I never go through it.
I think all in all, joking aside, CB could have had (if it didn't anyway) a great part to play alongside Amateur radio in the relief and Police co-ordination efforts.

Regards,
Graham

--
_·_· _··· _·_ ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

# 2704. Re: Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:13:07 UTC
From: m II <None@telus.ca>
Message-ID: <Tbqif.215946$ir4.211181@edtnps90>
Source record: 125269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

ramen noodles wrote:

> Now here's somethijg some hams I know would LOVE to have!
>
>> LINK: http://tinyurl.com/cwhog

Most likely made in communist China. Nothing like setting the Christmas tree on fire because of crap wires and bulbs. There's been a history of these factories counterfeiting the UL and CSA stickers.

Avoid this trash.

mike

# 2705. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:15:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133129753.997468.101210@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125270

KØHB wrote:
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:1133109291_34995@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> > "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:gSaif.1263$YT3.1116@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>
> >> >
> >> > You're not even eligible for QCAO membership! <BSEG>
> >>
> >> which ever gruop they are
> >>
> >>
> >
> > OK, this is an interesting thread and this is a good post to join in on.
> >
>
> Sorry, Kim, but I should have prefaced that QCAO post with this disclaimer:
>
> [warning]
>
---
> Humor-Impaired Induhviduals should depress the <Next> button now.
> Management will not be responsible for mental trauma suffered by those
> of Thin Skin who read the following humorous exaggeration of the
> valued but non-technical members of our Amateur Radio Service who
> "serve in other ways".
>
---
> [/warning]

> > Are you a member of this newly grouped organization?

Member? Hans is no mere member, he is an Occifer of the Ogrenization.

Position? Public Information Occifer (PIO).

# 2706. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:23:15 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <dmdbkj$2jc$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

r2000swler@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> PICON be damned.
>
> Terry

What is PICON?

# 2707. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:23:15 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <dmdbkj$2ki$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125274
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
> Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur Radio
> operators in emergency communications during a televised "town meeting"
> program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The program
> featured public safety and volunteer organization officials from across
> Massachusetts among its audience.
>
> Host and moderator Natalie Jacobson asked an increasingly-agitated
> Governor Romney questions about communications interoperability, and
> communication without commercial power. Romney was next asked by
> Jacobson, "...so does it come down to ham radio?..."
>
> The Governor replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal
> with ham radio operators..."
>
> Embarrassed public safety officials later tried to put in a good word
> for Amateur Radio. National Weather Service Warning Coordination
> Meteorologist Glenn Field was prepared to state the importance of
> Amateur Radio, when Salvation Army Colonel Fred Van Brunt was called
> upon. Van Brunt remarked about his organization's quest to improve its
> communications capabilities and how Amateur Radio has aided his
> organization. "The ham radio situation helps a great deal," he stated.
>
> "I have already written and submitted a letter to the Governor's
> Office," wrote Eastern MA Section Emergency Coordinator Rob Macedo,
> KD1CY. "I have also written an email to Natalie Jacobson."
>
> ARRL Section Manager Mike Neilsen, W1MPN, sent a section-wide email to
> all Eastern MA ARRL members describing the incident along with actions
> he and his staff were taking to mitigate the situation.
>
> "[Romney's] attitude about us sets an unfortunate tone within the
> state's executive branch," wrote Neilsen. "As a former military
> officer, I see this as a failure in leadership. My immediate concern is
> our working relationship within the [Massachusetts Emergency Management
> Team] environment." Neilsen intended to address the Governor's comments
> as "an urgent matter" at a meeting on November 17 with Don Carlton from
> the Emergency Management Agency.
>
> "I was very disturbed about what the Governor stated on the program,"
> wrote Ron Wood, W1PLW, the section's Public Information Coordinator.
> "It does show that more work is needed by all hams in the section. It's

> a great idea to write letters explaining the good we do." Wood is
> attempting to schedule a meeting with the Governor's office so that EMA
> ARRL staffers might discuss the matter further.
>
> Tom Kinahan, N1CPE wrote that Governor Romney's comment has made "a PR
> problem" for Kinahan in his role as MA State RACES Officer.
>
> "I've got a roster of over 150 Amateur Radio operators that support
> local communities, and those of us that directly support the state
> government. There are at least double that in terms of people that are
> actually out there that I don't have formal paperwork on that support
> Amateur Radio emergency communications in some organized manner... The
> Governor has said that he 'certainly doesn't need to rely on ham radio'
> -- where does that leave the RACES program now?"

The governor was only confirming what many of us in this hobby realize:

Ham radio operators have not served any useful purpose in emergency communications for a long time. The professionals pretty much ignore them. At most they talk to one-another in health and welfare nets and if someone actually calls in with an emergency all hell breaks loose because everyone wants to talk personally with the ham calling in.

Hams have this vision of themselves as the emergency communicators who while huddled in a dark storm beaten shack will get through to the outside world and save the day by using a car battery and some jury-rigged cw setup. And the hams on the outside see themselves as the unique link on the other end who will alert the authorities and direct them to the site before anyone else can because they alone have a connection with the emergency site. It's little more than mental masturbation folks 'cause the real world doesn't work that way.

# 2708. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:23:16 UTC
From: fcathell@msn.com
Message-ID: <dmdbkk$2lm$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

All you have to do is see the state that this is eminating from. Says
it all!

## 2709. the dastardly dou rides on and on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:26:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133130387.444432.234440@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125271

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: Frank Gilliland on Fri, Nov 25 2005 5:06 am
> >>>> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
> >>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
cut
>
> > I do have the documentation to prove my side of arguments, some
> > of that shown on other websites (links given in here) plus
> > callsigns of personal references.
>
> You do? You have documentation which proves the allegations which
> you've directed at Steve?

sure he does

I do as do you Dave

indeed By Stevies own standard Stevie has proven the alegations against
him

Stevie lies about almost everything perhaps including his name
>
> > The one I call a FAKE and
> > a disgrace to the United States Marine Corps is Major Dud,
> > aka "Steven J. Robeson."
>
> Steve's never claimed to anyone named "Major Dud".

gues you can't read english Dave Stevie is a Dud, and a major one at
that

sets his standard then refuses to meet them
> Perhaps you're
> thinking of "Colonel Mark Morgan". So you've called Steve Robeson a
> FAKE and a disgrace *and* you have proof of some sort? I must have
> missed seeing it.

nah you just choose not to read sine facts upset your attacks
>
> > Why are you defending a FAKE, Heil?

>
> I've seen nothing which indicates that Steve is a fake. Maybe you've
> seen some FAKE evidence to the contrary.

you have seen Nothing which of course is By Stevies own standards proof
the claims are faked

>
> > Have you seen Dud's DD-214?
>
> Dud? There isn't anyone named "Dud". I've not seen Steve's DD-214's
> and, more importantly, neither have you.

which By the Stevie standard is proof they don't exist
cuting sexual raving

>
> >> I had to be "bothered" with a number of them over the years".
> >
> > Wow! Yeah! Is this a prelude to some "Secret Agent Man"
> > stories?
>
> Though it appears to have bothered you from time to time, I've offered
> very little about my work. Deal with it.

offered enough to show you are a willing conspriator to crime
cut

> > Don't puff
> > up your AMATEUR Extra ego too far with thoughts of self-
> > aggrandizement for having once been given a security
> > clearance in your employment.
>
> Once? By the way, did you think that I used my AMATEUR Extra in my
> employment?

I suspect you have, in violation of course of the law but you have
shown you don't respect the law or even your "Christain" morality when
it suits although
cut

> > It is a puzzle why you wish to exclude citizens of the United
> > States from entering amateur radio.
>
> I've never wished nor attempted to exclude anyone who could overcome
> inertia and pass the required exams.
lying by misdierection and deceit, as bad as Stevie in that manner but
you do post less often
> You're surely not saying that
> anyone who desires an amateur radio license should be handed one.

more red herrings

IOW more Dave Lies
>
> > You do that when you say
> > (or imply) that "citizen participation" is a bad thing.
>
> ...in issuing crypto material?+

,more red heriing

so more dave Lies

cut

> > Does Your Worship demand constant attention at all times?
> > One would think so.
>
> I'd think you are the guy who requires attention.
a clear lie, dave never thinks he reacts

> Are your frequent
> factual errors a cry for attention?

Stevie does that sort of line better
cut

> > It
> > has NEVER applied to Jimmy Noserve, Katapult Kelly...
>
> You're a churl, Leonard and you never learn.

and you are shining example fo why we should go to one class of license
cut
>
> How can a fictitious person supply proof. There is no Major Dud.

another lie there is a Major dud here his name is Stevie

> As to Steve Robeson, Frank the CBer said he found information that a
> person with that name served in the USMC. Fancy that.

with ZERO proof that that person is in fact our own Major Dud
cut
> > Dudly don't got any.
>
> There isn't any "Dudly". Did you mean Steve Robeson? You have proof
> that he hasn't any documentary evidence? Please share it with us.
>
> > You got any, mighty warrior
> > working MARS in a "country at war?"
>
> I have plenty. What's my obligation or motivation to share it with you?

Your demands that Len justify himself hold to the same standard

Hypocrite
flushing the rest

# 2710. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:54:06 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <iOqif.25209$Zv5.23286@newssvr25.news.prodigy.net>
Source record: 125275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:KOpif.10946$KP1.252@bignews5.bellsouth.net...
>
> "Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1133066850.456751.201110@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> David:
>>
>> Are you really that stupid? You poor useless asswipe!
>
>
> Pore feller, yer countenance is displaying a fine shade of green.
>
> Amateur radio is only as helpful as the people in it, but there are PLENTY
> of stories of assistance by hams in the recent storms including one where
> the hams on scene in New Orleans LOANED HF equipment to the National Guard
> because theirs wouldn't WORK!
>
> All I see here is a high shade of envy and sour grapes towards hams who
> traditionally lend a hand. Shame on you!
>
> J

Hello Jerry,
Sorry to say, you have been taken in again by Rec.Radio.CB
favorite troll..... N8WWM Doug Adair. He's been lacking
attention there (notice the cross posts), so he's been stirring the
pot on other groups with mine and others screen nics.

So be aware of the headers.....

Landshark

--
That's funny. You managed to actually make the thug alter his outside
real world actions because of his incompetence in this ng. The internet
magnifies peoples' idiocy, as he shows.

# 2711. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 22:59:10 UTC
From: Jerry <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LQqif.74949$xK1.74547@bignews7.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 125276

"Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
news:1132179446.250242.3030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> ever notice the strange looking shit hams wear........like those queer
> looking vests and hats with callsigns???
>
> hams are the weirdest,queerest motherfuckers in the world!

OH? Would you have said that to Marlon Brando? General Curtis LeMay? Patty Loveless? Joe Walsh (guitarist for The Eagles & and. yes, I've talked to him!) How about Andy Devine? Walter Cronkite? Jerry Shatz (kid who played the spoiled rich kid on the Our Gang comedies and later sustained severe injuries during a kamakaze attack on the USS Sigsbee off Okinawa during WWII, Bill Leonard, former President of CBS News, George Pataki, Gov. of New York, Chet Atkins (SK), Larry Junstrom, Bass guitarist for rock group 38 Special, Al Kahn, founder of "Electro-Voice", Bill Reynolds, son of the founder of RJ Reynolds Tabacco Co, Lance Bass, INSYNC pop singer, Emory Gordy, husband of Patty Loveless and country music producer, Paul Tibbets, BrigGen, and pilot of Enola Gay of atomic bomb fame, Howard Hughes (aviator, KNOWN womanizer, and eccentric inventor), Stu Cook, Bass player for Credence Clearwater Revival, Dick Rutan, pilot and adventurer, John Huston, film director, Tex Beneke, lead tenor sax player for Glenn Miller and band leader, and a whole host of innovators, leaders in both civilian and military life. Hardly "wierd, queer or MF people" as your jealous and lowball statement fails miserably to suggest. Hams tend to be pretty successful and multi-talented people. They also tend to have MANY hobbies, and many times, if you find a ham you will find that they are pilots up to commercial and jet-rated, multi-engine, woodworkers, play a musical instrument, read extensively, are highly intelligent, hold high paying jobs, and *may* own one or more antique cars. They will also have additional interests such as railroads, and collecting various items. This is indicated in the list above and flatly debunks your lowball assertions about Amateur Radio where there is a cross section of the famous and not so famous who, I am sure, would knock your block off if you said such to their faces and some, I am certain, would be quite capable of doing so. Joe Walsh (The Eagles)is a pretty big fella, and I wouldn't want to say such a thing to him! Judging by your statements and your apparent affinity for CB radio, your envy is obvious.

J

## 2712. Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 23:05:38 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133132738.647881.30190@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125277

http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20051127/ap_on_re_us/student_newspaper_seized

# 2713. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 23:08:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133132914.937048.258860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125278

N9OGL wrote:
> http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20051127/ap_on_re_us/student_newspaper_seized

nah you are not being fair to Stevie. He is too lazy to do something
that

Stevie be standing in the back claping though

## 2714. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 23:14:08 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <dmdee0$sjn$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 125279
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
news:vpqjo193ibpqu9as2c12h7p3a9ir6gtu5u@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
> <undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>>Here comes Digital TV !!
>>
>>http://www.dtv.gov/
>>
>>(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
>> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
>> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>>
>>Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
>>the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
>>GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
>>Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
>>Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
>>will be soon!
>>Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
>>service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
>>will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>>
>>http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>>
>>"The target date for the end of the transition from
>>analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
>>That date may be extended, however, until most
>>homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
>>programming. At that point, broadcasting the
>>current "analog" channels will end and that spectrum
>>will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
>>is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
>>on both their digital and analog channels."
>>
>>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
>>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
>>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
>>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even

>>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.
>>
>>Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
>>pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>>
>>Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
>>consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
>>go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>>
>>
> Most people already have the necessary converter. It's called a cable
> box. The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
> Closer to that than $100.

Ever price one of those cable boxes? They probably don't cost much to build, but if you go to buy them, they're on the order of $300-$400, at least the addressable ones. The cheapies, that do no decoding still run into the $100+ range for one that doesn't break in a month or two.

# 2715. Re: Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 23:26:36 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <dmdf5a$snj$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 125280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"m II" <None@telus.ca> wrote in message
news:Tbqif.215946$ir4.211181@edtnps90...
> ramen noodles wrote:
>
>> Now here's somethijg some hams I know would LOVE to have!
>>
>>> LINK: http://tinyurl.com/cwhog
>
>
> Most likely made in communist China. Nothing like setting the Christmas
> tree on fire because of crap wires and bulbs. There's been a history of
> these factories counterfeiting the UL and CSA stickers.
>
> Avoid this trash.

So far as I can see, this is not an electric lamp, but a trim ornament, a
Christmas BALL, not BULB.

# 2716. Re: Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 23:37:29 UTC
From: Jim Shaffer <jmshaffer@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <5egko19iiabf1g3qhij5j9vcfs6hon7qs4@4ax.com>
Source record: 125281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 22:13:07 GMT, m II <None@telus.ca> wrote:

>ramen noodles wrote:
>
>> Now here's somethijg some hams I know would LOVE to have!
>>
>>> LINK: http://tinyurl.com/cwhog
>
>
>Most likely made in communist China. Nothing like setting the Christmas
>tree on fire because of crap wires and bulbs. There's been a history of
>these factories counterfeiting the UL and CSA stickers.
>
>Avoid this trash.

It's not even a light, it's just an ornament, and the swastikas aren't
the Nazi version. The article calls the ornament a "babushka", and
from what I can see of it in the picture it looks like the design of a
traditional Russian matryoshka doll. I don't think Russians would've
put swastikas on it on purpose. I have no idea whether any Russian
ethnic groups used swastika-like symbols.

# 2717. Re: Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 23:51:38 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <9Erif.8732$Mj.6113@fe04.lga>
Source record: 125282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jim Shaffer" <jmshaffer@alltel.net> wrote in message

> It's not even a light, it's just an ornament, and the swastikas aren't
> the Nazi version. The article calls the ornament a "babushka", and
> from what I can see of it in the picture it looks like the design of a
> traditional Russian matryoshka doll. I don't think Russians would've
> put swastikas on it on purpose. I have no idea whether any Russian
> ethnic groups used swastika-like symbols.
>
>

It's people like that with their jumping to conclusions and with their delusions that cause all the crap and the lawyers and media just eat it up. What a bunch of aholes. You watch! It'll be against the law to make any little swirl that might resemble a swastika in our schools or sell anything that remotely looks like a swastika and this hyper attention to a non issue will create another red flag for racism and hate. Oh Boy!!

BH

# 2718. Re: Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 23:52:34 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <a-SdnTNGYLpg2xfeRVn-ig@comcast.com>
Source record: 125283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Hill" <jonnysocko@jps.net> wrote in message
news:9Erif.8732$Mj.6113@fe04.lga...
>
> "Jim Shaffer" <jmshaffer@alltel.net> wrote in message
>
>> It's not even a light, it's just an ornament, and the swastikas aren't
>> the Nazi version. The article calls the ornament a "babushka", and
>> from what I can see of it in the picture it looks like the design of a
>> traditional Russian matryoshka doll. I don't think Russians would've
>> put swastikas on it on purpose. I have no idea whether any Russian
>> ethnic groups used swastika-like symbols.
>>
>>
>
> It's people like that with their jumping to conclusions and with their
> delusions that cause all the crap and the lawyers and media just eat it
> up. What a bunch of aholes. You watch! It'll be against the law to make
> any little swirl that might resemble a swastika in our schools or sell
> anything that remotely looks like a swastika and this hyper attention to a
> non issue will create another red flag for racism and hate. Oh Boy!!
>
> BH
>
>

The swastika is an ancient cruciform that had been used extensively before
it was perverted by the Nazis.

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

# 2719. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-27 23:55:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133135721.505018.53800@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125284

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am
> >
> >> >Amateur radio might be operating weak signal UHF SSB with a multi-mode,
> >> >multi-band rig. It might be operating 2m FM through a local repeater.
> >> >It might be ragchewing on 40m CW. One constant is that you aren't involved.
> > >
> >> I don't do any RF transmission in amateur bands, with the
> >> exception of those bands which are shared with other radio
> >> services.
> > >
> >That's a very good thing!
> >
> Why?

Because if you did "RF transmission in amateur bands" without the
proper
license, you could be breaking the law, Len. That would be a very bad
thing.

> Are you morsemen so elitist you can't get along with others?

I get along with all sorts of people, Len. Including many who disagree
with
me. You're the one that has the problem getting along with others if
they
don't agree with everything you say.

> >> Yet I am able to communicate worldwide without
> >> an amateur radio license or using morse code!
> > >
> >But not by direct radio contact.
> >
> Most absolutely INCORRECT, Jim-Jim.
> >
> DIRECT from a maritime transceiver as a civilian.

Worldwide? I don't think so.

> DIRECT from a government radio transmitter.

Are you authorized to do so?

> DIRECT as in laying on of hands,
> moving controls, operating, all that stuff.

Neither of those are *your* equipment, licensed to *you*, are they?

> >> And 24/7
> >> without worrying about the ionospheric conditions! :-)
> >
> >Telephone and internet. We can all do that, Len.
>
> Then why do you fuss with morse and standards that are over
> 70 years old?

The standards are a lot newer than "70 years old", Len.

As to why anyone would fuss with Morse Code in 2005, the
reasons are the same as why anyone would fuss with:

- cars that have manual transmissions instead of automatics
- sailboats instead of power boats
- Drawing and painting instead of photography
- Performing music instead of playing recordings
- Bicycles, running and walking for transport instead of motor vehicles
- Stairs instead of elevators
- Homemade food instead of packaged

And many more.

> >> >Why do you live in the past?
> >
> >> Tsk, I don't.
> >
> >You sure talk about it a lot, though.
>
> You were born before 1951?

No. Were you born before 1932? You sure talk about it a lot.

> YOU talked much of it in previous post.

Because I know something of those times and the creation of the
Extra class license.
>
> YOU have talked much about Reggie Fessenden and his carbon-mike-in-
> the-antenna "AM voice transmission" of 1906 and (allegedly) 1900.

That's right. Was any of my information incorrect?

> Are you 105 years old?!?

No - are you? Because you sure take issue about Fessenden's
accomplishments
even though they are before you were born.

> >> Jimmy Noserve loves the past, always
> >> bringing up little factoids of amateur radio history

> >> that happened before his time.
> >
> >Gee, Len, you're always bringing up little factoids of
> >history that happened before *your* time.
>
> When did MY "time" begin, Jimmy? My "first radio job" in HF comms
> began in 1953.

Yet you talk about 1951 and amateur radio, even though you had nothing
to do with amateur radio then.

> I was there then, did it, came back. Never used
> any morse code then on three dozen transmitters, never had to.

Because you were a transmitter technician, not a radio operator.

It's odd that you take pride in what you did *not* do...

> >Are you the only one allowed to do that?
>
> Tsk, you are getting disturbed.

Not me.

It sure does seem that you talk about things that happened long
before you were born, but get mad when others talk about things
that happened long before *they* were born. As if it's OK for
you to do but not others.

> Calm down, just keep on bringing
> up all those tidbits of "radio history" as you need to. Be mindful
> of some critics, though. Not all of those are me. :-)

Like who?

> >"Radio" is a subset of electrical engineering.
>
> Incorrect. It is a part of electronics, a technology discipline.

Nope. It's a separate subset. Electronics does not include things
like antennas. Radio does.

> >"Electronics" is a subset of electrical engineering.
>
> INCORRECT.

What parts of electronics are not covered by electrical engineering,
Len?

> Electronics is one TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE of physics.

No, it isn't.

Physics is a science. Electrical engineering is a form of engineering,
and electronics is a subset of that.

Do try to keep up, Len. Your mistakes (like the ones Hans pointed out
about DD-214s) are embarrassing.

> Didn't Dexter teach you the correct way to look at
> physics...like everyone else does?

Who is "Dexter", Len?

> >Radio and electronics have some things in common, but they are not
> >identical, and one is not a subset of the other.
>
> Amateur radio definitions seldom jibe with the rest of the
> world of electronics...and radio. :-)

Yours don't jive with anything - like your spelling. Shall we call you
"Vshah101"?

> >> Do you consider U.S. amateur radio to be a HOBBY?

> >And much more.

> And, of course, YOU do so much more... :-)

Yes, I do. You don't.

> >> NPRM 05-143 is singularly about the telegraphy test. [that's
> >> what this "english teacher" of the thread title was commenting
> >> on] That NPRM has NOTHING to do with radiotelephony, radiodata,
> >> teletypewriter over radio, slow or fast-scan television,
> >> facsimile over radio. The amateur radio license tests have
> >> NO test elements for physically OPERATING any radio, are not
> >> required to have radio equipment AT a license exam site.
> >
> >So? Why is that significant?
>
> Why do you consider yourself so "significant?" :-)

It's not about me, Len.

> >> The sole manual test for anything at any amateur license exam
> >> is about telegraphy, telegraphy as used on amateur radio (there
> >> is NO landline telegraphy tested), more technically,
> >> radiotelegraphy. As it is NOW, that is.
> >
> >And that's a good thing.
>
> It is a "good thing" only to those that took that test and
> passed it, thus fulfilling the "proper jump through hoops"
> of "tradition." :-)

Incorrect. There were comments to the FCC by people who had
not passed the Morse Code test which said it was a good thing
and should be retained. The English Teacher is one of them,
but not the only one.

If you actually read all of the comments, you'd know that.

When you make a sweeping general statement, and someone
proves an exception, the statement is shown to be false. That's
basic logic.

So your sweeping general statement:

"It is a "good thing" only to those that took that test and passed it"

has been proven to be untrue and invalid.

> >> The written test elements are prepared, both questions and
> >> multiple-choice question answers, by the VEC QPC.
> >
> >And approved by the FCC
>
> Who else? :-)
>
> YOU are NOT in the FCC.

Neither are you, Len.

> >> Am I saying that many radio amateurs don't know squat about
> >> radio theory? ABSOLUTELY.
> >
> >Your opinion only. And as you have demonstrated, you are not
> >exactly unbiased in your opinions.
>
> Yes, MY OPINION! :-) Do you think someone else is writing
> all this? :-)

Your bloviating is so voluminuous that there could be more than one
of you....;-)

> >Many radio amateurs know much more about radio theory than
> >you, Len.

> >> I charge that based on MY life
> >> experience in answering, as politely as possible, questions
> >> of rather elementary level on radio theory.
> >
> >Your politeness isn't exactly legendary, Len.
>
> Tsk, your definition of "polite" seems to be everyone agreeing
> with you and giving your gratuitous praise for whatever you do.

Nope. That's *your* definition.
>
> >How did they pass their written tests if they're so ignorant? Did
> >they get a look at a 1957 Extra test?
>
> Why is that important here...other than satisfying your nasty
> little nyah-nyahs?

> >Yet FCC disagrees with you, Len.
>
> No, sweetums, YOU disagree with me. YOU are NOT the FCC.

FCC hasn't revoked their licenses. Nor has FCC required widespread
retesting of amateurs. FCC considers those folks you disdain to be
qualified to operate amateur radio stations. FCC does not consider
*you* to be qualified to operate an amateur radio station, though.

> >Operating is what amateur radio is really all about. All types of
> >operating, with all sorts of modes and equipment.
>
> INCORRECT.

No, correct. The license is for operating, not for building.

> Modes and frequencies are specifically allocated
> and given in Part 97, Title 47 C.F.R. NOT "all types" as you
> state. [tsk, tsk] NOT "all sorts of modes" since those are
> limited. NOT "all sorts of equipment" either since there are
> exceptions stated in Part 97. Look those up.

Don't have to look them up, I know them.

> >Technical stuff is just a means to that end.
>
> Unimportant? Hardly important? Irrelevant?

No, just not of primary importance. A means to an end,
not the end in itself.

> Then why do you permit the FCC to keep all those TECHNICAL
> regulations?

Which ones? The technical regulations for amateur radio are very few,
very basic, and offer radio amateurs a lot of variety and freedom.

> >You just don't seem to understand that.
>
> I just don't understand YOU, Jimmy.

It's understandable that you'd not understand a superior intellect, Len
;-) ;-)

OTOH I understand you all too well. That's why you're so hostile to me.

> >> The "National Association for Amateur Radio" (nee' ARRL) is
> >> the "club." Even so, their membership is only one of every
> >> five U.S. amateur radio licensees. Why aren't there more?
> >
> >Some disagree with League policies
> >Some think membership costs too much.
> >Some are inactive
> >Some don't understand why a national organization is needed.
>

> You have taken a Poll to confirm this? :-)

Yes ;-)

> >btw, No-Code International's membership is less than 1% of US amateurs
> >even though there are no dues and NCI membership never expires.
>
> Highly irrelevant.

Completely relevant. Proves the point.

> NCI is NOT a "national association for amateur
> radio."

That's true - it's "International". Or perhaps "Internationale"?

> It exists for ONE purpose: Elimination of the code test
> from amateur radio license examinations worldwide. That's it.

Len, you're and NCI BELIEVER. You're so biased that you cannot
conduct enough to see what really happens.

At least twice, NCI has gotten involved in proposed FCC rules
changes that have *nothing* to do with Morse Code testing. Of
course they have every right to comment on such changes, but
doing so disproves the claim that NCI exists for ONE purpose.
>
> >You have no activity in amateur radio and except for one outburst almost
> >six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur license.
>
> "Outburst?!?" BWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Yes. Back in January of 2000, with your 'Extra out of the box' claim.

But it's still in the box.

> Why, oh WHY must I show "an indication of interest?!?"

You don't. Neither does the English Teacher you criticize so much.

> Who the fork are you to presume *I* MUST demonstrate to YOU
> some kind of committment and dedication?!?!?

Who do I have to be?

> If your ego is THAT big, then you should go over to Coslo's BBS
> since you will "reach the threashold of space" long before his
> big balloon will...

> >So you and the English teacher have the same level of involvement.
>
> Nope. I am as involved as can be with my wife. None other.

> As a bachelor I had an "involvement" with an English teacher,
> a very nice one, in fact.
>

> Try to think about marriage for YOU, Jimmy. It would make you
> less of a one-track Believer.

Len, for all you know, I could have more marriage experience than
you have.

Really burns you not to know more about my personal life, huh?
>
> >You're not a licensee and and except for one outburst almost
> >six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur
> >license.
>
> Oh, oh, there goes that control-freak EGO again, Jimmy.

Yes, Len, your control-freak ego sure does go off at times.
Telling everyone How It Should Be.
>
> Work on that. It's bad socially.

> >Perhaps the FCC chuckles over your comments, Len.
>
> Irrelevant. Chances are they will take my comments seriously.

Odds are they chuckle, if not guffaw.

> Doesn't matter, the PUBLIC has spoken to the FCC 3,794 times
> through WT Docket 05-235.

And most of the PUBLIC wants at least some Morse Code testing
to be retained.

> >> Tsk, tsk, you DO! See little gems of an accusatory nature
> >> such as I should have obtained an amateur radio license
> >> before accepting professional radio employment!
> >
> >Who wrote that?
>
> Dave Heil.

Where?

Show us the exact quote where he said you should have obtained
an amateur radio license before accepting professional radio
employment!

C'mon, show us the quote. Or maybe you can't, because it doesn't exist.

> >> >See, this is what I mean when I say that you make frequent factual
> >> >errors. I invite you to tune your Icom receiver to the low ends of the
> >> >bands 160-10m this coming weekend.
> >
> >> Why? I have no personal interest in morse code and no interest
> >> in amateur radio contesting. Invitation denied.
> >

> >Afraid you'll be proven wrong?
>
> Tsk, there you go again with nasty attitude.

IOW, yes, you're afraid.

> Jimmy boy, I'm quite aware of the EM spectrum and who occupies what
> "bands."

But obviously not what goes on in the amateur radio bands.

> Have been for a very long time...ever since getting my
> "first job in radio."

So you're old. Big deal. You want a merit badge?

> I know spectrum occupany OUTSIDE of the ham
> bands on HF, on MF, on VHF, on UHF, and on up to 2.4 GHz.

Obviously not.

> WHAT are YOU going to tell ME?

That Morse Code is alive and well in the amateur bands. As much
as that may bother you, it's true.

> That contests are "popular?" I could find
> that out by seeing the boosterism for that in print in CQ or QST.

> Is contesting "operation" your main interest in amateur radio?

One of my main interests in amateur radio. I have several. You don't
seem
to have any.

> Are you more interested in awards, trophies, pretty certificates
> than radio for radio's sake? It sure sounds like it since you
> love getting praise, even from friends and neighbors. :-)

Actually, I enjoy the competition, the operating, testing my skill and
equipment against others and my previous efforts. Awards, trophies
and certificates aren't why I contest.

> This Thursday and Friday I was involved in Thanksgiving in the
> literal sense.

☐ That's nice. What has it got to do with amateur radio?
☐
☐ > Good friends got together, didn't talk at all about amateur
radio
☐ or morse code.
☐
Considering your near-complete ignorance of Morse Code and
amateur radio, it's a good thing you didn't talk about those
subjects.

> Sunday is another nice
> get-together with good people, and I don't expect any of the
> talk will be about amateur radio or morse code or contests or
> the beeping state of the radio art.

That's good, considering that you're hardly a good role model.

> No "contests" of any real kind. Sunnuvagun!

Can't take the competition, huh?

This past weekend I hosted Thanksgiving for 12 people –
friends and family. I cooked a 21 pound turkey with homemade
stuffing, made homemade bread, did it up right. Others did the
vegetables and desserts.

Can you cook, Len?

# 2720. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 00:14:55 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971BC32C8AE3Anaoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125285

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133132738.647881.30190
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> http://tinypic.com/hvxzwg.jpg
>
Huh?

## 2721. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 00:22:47 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051127192247.489$gQ@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125286

"Jerry" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
Joe Walsh (The Eagles)is a
> pretty big fella, and I wouldn't want to say such a thing to him! Judging
> by your statements and your apparent affinity for CB radio, your envy is
> obvious.
>
> J
>
Wake up, fucknut. You're responding to N8WWM, your felon hero no-code -ham-.

http://n8wwm.4t.com
^^
I ain't afraid of him or Joe Walsh or Jerry Oxendine.

Were you born stupid, or did your momma beat you about the head as a child?

# 2722. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 00:23:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133137426.998152.254140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125287

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
> > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> >> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am
> > >
> > >> >Amateur radio might be operating weak signal UHF SSB with a multi-mode,
> > >> >multi-band rig. It might be operating 2m FM through a local repeater.
> > >> >It might be ragchewing on 40m CW. One constant is that you aren't involved.
> > >
> > >> I don't do any RF transmission in amateur bands, with the
> > >> exception of those bands which are shared with other radio
> > >> services.
> > >
> > >That's a very good thing!
> >
> > Why?
>
> Because if you did "RF transmission in amateur bands" without the
> proper
> license, you could be breaking the law, Len. That would be a very bad
> thing.

not to condone the full range of freebanding but isn't this sentment
going a bit far

generating RF in Ham Bands without a license should be relitively low
on the rangeof Good/Bad stuff

Murder is generaly very bad
cut> Worldwide? I don't think so.
>
> > DIRECT from a government radio transmitter.
>
> Are you authorized to do so?

why is it your place to ask?
cut.
> >
> > Then why do you fuss with morse and standards that are over
> > 70 years old?
>
> The standards are a lot newer than "70 years old", Len.

not realy
cut

## 2723. dave show himself a coward.....again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 00:25:21 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133137521.904033.305960@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125288

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dazve contiues to show he is a caoward too
>
> Well, shame on Dazve, whoever he is. Does he know this Restevie guy?
> You say he's a ward of the CAO?
>
>
more coward attacks have you nothing positive to say at all?

all you have is your filled speling cop shit

grow up

## 2724. answering a weaird bit of ranting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 00:29:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133137757.149101.20100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125289

Jerry wrote:
> "Steveo" <steveo-hed@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:1132179446.250242.3030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
> > ever notice the strange looking shit hams wear........like those queer
> > looking vests and hats with callsigns???
> >
> > hams are the weirdest,queerest motherfuckers in the world!
>
>
> OH? Would you have said that to Marlon Brando?

wierd sure
> General Curtis LeMay?
Lemay was a nut who tired to send the USA and much ofthe planet down
the path of nuclear night mare

>Patty
> Loveless?
don't know her
> Joe Walsh (guitarist for The Eagles & and. yes, I've talked to
> him!) How about Andy Devine?
likewise
> Walter Cronkite?
the man takes himself so seriously as a be a bit wierd

> Jerry Shatz (kid who played
> the spoiled rich kid on the Our Gang comedies and later sustained severe
> injuries during a kamakaze attack on the USS Sigsbee off Okinawa during
> WWII, Bill Leonard, former President of CBS News, George Pataki, Gov. of New
> York, Chet Atkins (SK), Larry Junstrom, Bass guitarist for rock group 38
> Special, Al Kahn, founder of "Electro-Voice", Bill Reynolds, son of the
> founder of RJ Reynolds Tabacco Co, Lance Bass, INSYNC pop singer, Emory
> Gordy, husband of Patty Loveless and country music producer, Paul Tibbets,
> BrigGen, and pilot of Enola Gay of atomic bomb fame, Howard Hughes (aviator,
> KNOWN womanizer, and eccentric inventor), Stu Cook, Bass player for Credence
> Clearwater Revival, Dick Rutan, pilot and adventurer, John Huston, film
> director, Tex Beneke, lead tenor sax player for Glenn Miller and band
> leader, and a whole host of innovators, leaders in both civilian and
> military life. Hardly "wierd, queer or MF people" as your jealous and
> lowball statement fails miserably to suggest. Hams tend to be pretty
> successful and multi-talented people. They also tend to have MANY hobbies,
> and many times, if you find a ham you will find that they are pilots up to
> commercial and jet-rated, multi-engine, woodworkers, play a musical
> instrument, read extensively, are highly intelligent, hold high paying jobs,
> and *may* own one or more antique cars. They will also have additional

> interests such as railroads, and collecting various items. This is
> indicated in the list above and flatly debunks your lowball assertions about
> Amateur Radio where there is a cross section of the famous and not so famous
> who, I am sure, would knock your block off if you said such to their faces
> and some, I am certain, would be quite capable of doing so. Joe Walsh (The
> Eagles)is a pretty big fella, and I wouldn't want to say such a thing to
> him! Judging by your statements and your apparent affinity for CB radio,
> your envy is obvious.

and your elitism is obvous as well
>
> J

# 2725. Re: dave show himself a coward.....again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 01:40:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Udtif.1933$Hk1.462@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125290

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Dazve contiues to show he is a caoward too
>> Well, shame on Dazve, whoever he is. Does he know this Restevie guy?
>> You say he's a ward of the CAO?
>>
>>
> more coward attacks have you nothing positive to say at all?

Please point to cowardice on my part.
Please point to an attack on my part.
Perhaps you have your own definitions (again).

> all you have is your filled speling cop shit

You do okay with the profanities but you misspelled "speling".

> grow up

I'm 6'3". How big do you think I'll be when I hit my full stride?

Dave K8MN

# 2726. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 01:47:18 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1133142250_35937@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 125291

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:7tlif.2676$A23.2098@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:1133109291_34995@spool6-east.superfeed.net...
> > "K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:gSaif.1263$YT3.1116@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net...
> >>
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote
> >>
> >> >
> >> > You're not even eligible for QCAO membership! <BSEG>
> >>
> >> which ever gruop they are
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > OK, this is an interesting thread and this is a good post to join in on.
> >
> >
> Sorry, Kim, but I should have prefaced that QCAO post with this
disclaimer:
>
> [warning]
> ----------
----------
> Humor-Impaired Induhviduals should depress the <Next> button now.
> Management will not be responsible for mental trauma suffered by those
> of Thin Skin who read the following humorous exaggeration of the
> valued but non-technical members of our Amateur Radio Service who
> "serve in other ways".
> ----------
----------
> [/warning]
>
>
>

That's all well and good, Hans. But, you brought up some important topics within your post. Are you not willing to discuss them outside the realm of "humor"? Others may be, hopefully. If not...come see come saw.

Kim W5TIT

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2727. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 01:50:40 UTC
From: "G.T. Tyson" <gtyson@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dmdnpg$neb$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125292
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

>
> The Governor replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal
> with ham radio operators..."

Perhaps to him, ham and CB operators are the same?

gtt

## 2728. 14100 ... beacons still there ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 02:33:06 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <438a6c5d.8408717@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 125293

are the beacons still active on 14100 ??

# 2729. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 02:37:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133145433.007797.93830@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125294

Dave Heil wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
> >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am

> > DIRECT as in laying on of hands,
> > moving controls, operating, all that stuff.
>
> I get the feeling that your knowledge of radio operating might be a
> little light. Are you of the opinion that operating a radio falls under
> "all that stuff"?

Len does not consider "operating skills" to be of much (if any) value.

> >> You sure talk about it a lot, though.
> >
> > You were born before 1951? YOU talked much of it in previous post.
>
> > YOU have talked much about Reggie Fessenden and his carbon-mike-in-
> > the-antenna "AM voice transmission" of 1906 and (allegedly) 1900.
> > Are you 105 years old?!?
>
> You've written about Fessenden a number of times. Aren't you nearly
> thirty-five years shy of 105?

All that noise is Len's diversion from the fact that Fessenden was
transmitting
understandable voice by radio in 1900, and by November 1906 had
reliable two-way
transatlantic *voice* communication working.

When Len doesn't like facts, he goes for the messenger.
>
> >>> Jimmy Noserve loves the past, always
> >>> bringing up little factoids of amateur radio history
> >>> that happened before his time.

See? Just like that.
>
> >> Gee, Len, you're always bringing up little factoids of
> >> history that happened before *your* time.
>
> > When did MY "time" begin, Jimmy? My "first radio job" in HF comms

> > began in 1953. I was there then, did it, came back. Never used
> > any morse code then on three dozen transmitters, never had to.
>
> ...and because you never had to (in your time), no one should be using
> morse code in this enlightened day and age?

That about sums it up.
> >> Radio and electronics have some things in common, but they are not
> >> identical, and one is not a subset of the other.
> >
> > Amateur radio definitions seldom jibe with the rest of the
> > world of electronics...and radio. :-)
>
> They seem to track pretty well, Len. You, on the other hand, haven't
> done very well in here with definitions.

"Usenet"...."UCMJ".....

> >>> The sole manual test for anything at any amateur license exam
> >>> is about telegraphy, telegraphy as used on amateur radio (there
> >>> is NO landline telegraphy tested), more technically,
> >>> radiotelegraphy. As it is NOW, that is.
>
> >> And that's a good thing.
>
> > It is a "good thing" only to those that took that test and
> > passed it, thus fulfilling the "proper jump through hoops"
> > of "tradition." :-)
>
> That sounds like sour grapes on your part, Leonard. Those of us who
> took and passed such an exam demonstrated that we'd reached a certain
> level of competence in what is a useful skill in amateur radio.

Like I said about Len not valuing operating skills....
>
> >>> The written test elements are prepared, both questions and
> >>> multiple-choice question answers, by the VEC QPC.
>
> >> And approved by the FCC
>
> > Who else? :-)
> >
> > YOU are NOT in the FCC.
>
> I didn't see any statement by Jim that he's the FCC.
>
> >>> Am I saying that many radio amateurs don't know squat about
> >>> radio theory? ABSOLUTELY.
>
> >> Your opinion only. And as you have demonstrated, you are not
> >> exactly unbiased in your opinions.

> >
> > Yes, MY OPINION! :-) Do you think someone else is writing
> > all this? :-)
>
> It would seem to be that you've not bothered to substantiate your
> opinion with fact. How many is many? How many radio amateurs have you
> encountered who are deficient. What percentage of all licensed radio
> amateurs do they represent?

Most important of all - what level would be adequate? And what has Len
done
to make hams reach that level? (Recommending an age requirement doesn't
cut it).
>
> >> Many radio amateurs know much more about radio theory than
> >> you, Len.
> >
> > Why is that a factor in AMATEUR radio?
>
> I'd think it'd be something to crow about. I think many folks would be
> pleased to know more about radio theory than a PROFESSIONAL.
>
> >
> >>> The "National Association for Amateur Radio" (nee' ARRL) is
> >>> the "club." Even so, their membership is only one of every
> >>> five U.S. amateur radio licensees. Why aren't there more?
>
> >> Some disagree with League policies
> >> Some think membership costs too much.
> >> Some are inactive
> >> Some don't understand why a national organization is needed.
>
> > You have taken a Poll to confirm this? :-)
>
> You can take it upon yourself to conduct one, Len. If you weren't
> prepared to accept Jim's answers, why'd you pose the question?
>
> > Jimmy boy, YOU are a League BELIEVER. You are so far into
> > bias on that that all you generate are square waves.
>
> Well, Lennie boy, what is it that you believe in? Do you believe that
> the ARRL is an evil organization? You've leveled charges of dishonesty
> toward the League, but you never substantiated them.

I'd almost forgotten that.
>
> >> btw, No-Code International's membership is less than 1% of US amateurs
> >> even though there are no dues and NCI membership never expires.
> >
> > Highly irrelevant. NCI is NOT a "national association for amateur
> > radio."

>
> No, it isn't. Can you name any such organization except for the ARRL?

> > It exists for ONE purpose: Elimination of the code test
> > from amateur radio license examinations worldwide. That's it.
>
> There seems to have been at least one exception to that stated purpose
> already.

Actually, at least two:

NCI opposed "weak signal" subbands on the 50, 144 and 432 MHz bands.
The
proposal was intended to create subbands where Morse Code, SSB, PSK31
and
other relatively-narrow-bandwidth signals would be free of QRM from FM
and other
wider-bandwidth signals. Had absolutely *nothing* to do with Morse Code
testing,
yet NCI opposed it.

NCI also supported an ARRL proposal that would have given automatic
free upgrades to a significant number of amateurs by waiving the
*written* tests for those upgrades. Again, had absolutely *nothing* to
do with Morse Code testing, yet NCI supported it.

> >> You have no activity in amateur radio and except for one outburst almost
> >> six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur license.
>
> > "Outburst?!?" BWAAAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> I prefer to call it an episode of braggadocio. It has come back to
> haunt you repeatedly.

Jan 19, 2000, as Lenof21 IIRC. (Len has had multiple screen names here
for some
unfathomable reason).
>
> > Why, oh WHY must I show "an indication of interest?!?"
>
> One reason could be that you'd be seen as something other than a
> sidewalk superintendent in amateur radio. If you have no interest, as
> you've alternately claimed, you are irrelevant to amateur radio and you
> become a kook who haunts an amateur radio newsgroup.
>
> > Who the fork are you to presume *I* MUST demonstrate to YOU
> > some kind of committment and dedication?!?!?
>
> "Commitment", Len. Nobody forces you to show commitment or dedication.
> You needn't obtain or even attempt to obtain an amateur radio license.
> If you don't, you won't appear to be very credible. Your extensive
> rants will be marginalized. You'll be in the same boat as a certain

> English teacher.

Actually the English teacher is more credible because she admits her
lack of involvement and interest.
>
> > If your ego is THAT big, then you should go over to Coslo's BBS
> > since you will "reach the threashold of space" long before his
> > big balloon will...
>
> Are you telling him to leave, Len?
>
> >> So you and the English teacher have the same level of involvement.
> >
> > Nope. I am as involved as can be with my wife. None other.
>
> ...and you wife isn't amateur radio. ZIC/ZID.
>
> > As a bachelor I had an "involvement" with an English teacher,
> > a very nice one, in fact.
>
> Sure, Leonard, and you're getting an "Extra right out of the box".
>
> > Try to think about marriage for YOU, Jimmy. It would make you
> > less of a one-track Believer.
>
> When it was said that you have the same level of involvement as the
> English teacher, you said, "I am as involved as can be with my wife.
> None other". Here you seem to indicate that marriage makes one "less of
> a one-track Believer". You can't even agree with you.
>
> >> You're not a licensee and and except for one outburst almost
> >> six years ago, there's no indication you'll ever get an amateur
> >> license.
>
> > Oh, oh, there goes that control-freak EGO again, Jimmy.
>
> Nobody is controlling you, including yourself. You shot off your mouth
> when you made your boast six years ago. You haven't lived up to that
> billing.

Suppose the FCC does drop Element 1 (which is really quite likely).

Does anyone think Len will become a ham, set up a station, and get on
the air?

> > Work on that. It's bad socially.
>
> If you think that's bad socially, you should be in the shoes of one who
> shoots off his yap, saying he's going to do something, but who doesn't
> follow through.
>

> >> Perhaps the FCC chuckles over your comments, Len.
>
> > Irrelevant. Chances are they will take my comments seriously.
>
> I don't think you should make that assumption, Len.
>
> > Doesn't matter, the PUBLIC has spoken to the FCC 3,794 times
> > through WT Docket 05-235.
>
> It matters. How many times were you "the public" in regard to 05-235?
> Of the members of the PUBLIC who spoke, how many (excluding you) didn't
> share your view?

> >>> Tsk, tsk, you DO! See little gems of an accusatory nature
> >>> such as I should have obtained an amateur radio license
> >>> before accepting professional radio employment!
> >> Who wrote that?
> >
> > Dave Heil. Why aren't you paying ATTENTION to the flow? :-(
>
> You've made another factual error, Leonard. You seem to be the person
> who needs to pay ATTENTION.
>
>
> >>>> See, this is what I mean when I say that you make frequent factual
> >>>> errors. I invite you to tune your Icom receiver to the low ends of the
> >>>> bands 160-10m this coming weekend.
>
> >>> Why? I have no personal interest in morse code and no interest
> >>> in amateur radio contesting. Invitation denied.
>
> >> Afraid you'll be proven wrong?
> >
> > Tsk, there you go again with nasty attitude. An evangelical
> > Believer,
> > wet proselyte for a battery of morse gods, an acidic base.
>
> I offered a simple experiment. You declined. You shrunk from the
> challenge.
>
> > Jimmy boy, I'm quite aware of the EM spectrum and who occupies what
> > "bands."
>
> Well, Lennie boy, you'll find those radio amateur morse signals at the
> low end of the bands marked "160, 80, 40, 20, 15 and 10 meters" this
> weekend. They're there all the time but you'll find them in profusion
> this weekend.

Len doesn't listen.

> > Have been for a very long time...ever since getting my
> > "first job in radio." I know spectrum occupany OUTSIDE of the ham
> > bands on HF, on MF, on VHF, on UHF, and on up to 2.4 GHz. WHAT are
> > YOU going to tell ME?
>
> It'd be tough to reach you. You spend too much time with your
> transmitter on and not enough time using the receiver.
>
> > That contests are "popular?" I could find
> > that out by seeing the boosterism for that in print in CQ or QST.
>
> Was the intent to prove that contests are popular or was it to show that
> morse code is alive and well in amateur radio?
>
> > Is contesting "operation" your main interest in amateur radio?
> > Are you more interested in awards, trophies, pretty certificates
> > than radio for radio's sake? It sure sounds like it since you
> > love getting praise, even from friends and neighbors. :-)
>
> What would any of that be to you, Len? You aren't in amateur radio and
> you wouldn't even turn on your receiver to find if the morse code is
> alive and well.
>
> > This Thursday and Friday I was involved in Thanksgiving in the
> > literal sense. Good friends got together, didn't talk at all
> > about amateur radio or morse code. Sunday is another nice
> > get-together with good people, and I don't expect any of the
> > talk will be about amateur radio or morse code or contests or
> > the beeping state of the radio art. No "contests" of any real
> > kind. Sunnuvagun!
>
> I went to an apple festival this fall. No one there talked about
> amateur radio or morse code. Is that supposed to prove that morse code
> is dead? I didn't hear a single person there discussing NASA, Darwin or
> jazz either.
>
> > Have fun in your amateur beeping contests. Those sound very,
> > Very, VERY important to you. Enjoy.
>
> Thanks for your good wishes. Those things are far more important to me
> than obtaining an amateur radio license seems to be for you. Your
> participation is not required.
>
Len's hobby is wasting time. Your time.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2730. Re: 14100 ... beacons still there ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 02:45:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lbuif.2821$A23.162@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125295

"zYYPK"
<class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net>
wrote in message news:438a6c5d.8408717@news.iol.ie...
>
>
> are the beacons still active on 14100 ??

There currently are 14 active beacons in the NCDXF/IARU system all on 14.1MHz,
and most have companion beacons on 18.11, 21.15, 24.93. and 28.2MHz. The 15th
station (VR2B) is rumored down due to a lightning strike.

IIRC you can find their schedule at the NCDXF web site.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2731. Re: dave show himself a coward.....again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 02:53:57 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133146436.946461.304340@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125296

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> Dazve contiues to show he is a caoward too
> >> Well, shame on Dazve, whoever he is. Does he know this Restevie guy?
> >> You say he's a ward of the CAO?
> >>
> >>
> > more coward attacks have you nothing positive to say at all?
>
> Please point to cowardice on my part.

your refuseal to address the issue is the cowardice

> Please point to an attack on my part.

your attacking ym speling and avoiding the issue is an attack
> Perhaps you have your own definitions (again).

nope just pointing out your cowardly avoidance

>
> > all you have is your filled speling cop shit
>
> You do okay with the profanities but you misspelled "speling".

so what?

only a coward like yourself focuses on such shit
>
> > grow up
>
> I'm 6'3". How big do you think I'll be when I hit my full stride?

you act about 5 or 6 yo grow up mentaly try catching up to half your
physcial age

deal with issues or be labled what you are a coward
>
>
> Dave K8MN

# 2732. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 02:55:28 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133146528.321561.66390@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125297

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
> > >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >>> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
> > >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >>>>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am
> >
> > > > DIRECT as in laying on of hands,
> > > > moving controls, operating, all that stuff.
> > >
> > > I get the feeling that your knowledge of radio operating might be a
> > > little light. Are you of the opinion that operating a radio falls under
> > > "all that stuff"?
> >
> > Len does not consider "operating skills" to be of much (if any) value.

a fair enough assesment of len views

but your point
cut

## 2733. Stevie and Dave contiue to show their cowardice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 03:01:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133146885.679900.183140@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125298

Both Stevie and Dave contiue to show they are cowards

when will either of them answer the question what does a personans
sexuality have to do with radio?

answer the question you cowards

# 2734. Re: Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 03:04:41 UTC
From: m II <None@telus.ca>
Message-ID: <dtuif.216024$ir4.148246@edtnps90>
Source record: 125299
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Brenda Ann wrote:
> "m II" <None@telus.ca> wrote in message
> news:Tbqif.215946$ir4.211181@edtnps90...
>> ramen noodles wrote:
>>
>>> Now here's somethijg some hams I know would LOVE to have!
>>>
>>>> LINK: http://tinyurl.com/cwhog
>>
>> Most likely made in communist China. Nothing like setting the Christmas
>> tree on fire because of crap wires and bulbs. There's been a history of
>> these factories counterfeiting the UL and CSA stickers.
>>
>> Avoid this trash.
>
> So far as I can see, this is not an electric lamp, but a trim ornament, a
> Christmas BALL, not BULB.

See what I mean? These bulbs are SO bad they had to sell them as
something else! That's what happens when the starving Tibetian slave
labour forgets to put the filaments in.

mike

## 2735. Stevie continues to refuse to answer a question shwoing Stevie is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 03:04:49 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133147089.737187.56130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125300

Stevie is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have do with radio?

the answer is nothing which is steve (and Dave) are afraid to answer it

## 2736. Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 03:06:05 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133147165.639423.61050@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125301

Dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have
do with radio?

the answer is nothing which is Dave (and Stevie) are afraid to answer it

## 2737. When will steve and Dave face the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 03:07:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133147264.432667.66750@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125303

Stevie and dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have do with radio?

the answer is nothing which is steve (and Dave) are afraid to answer it

## 2738. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 03:08:59 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133147339.522916.32650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

just trying to kill a thread

# 2739. Re: Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 03:09:06 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <atqdnWHqqMnG6RfenZ2dnUVZ_tWdnZ2d@comcast.com>
Source record: 125302
Cross-posted to: alt.anger,alt.tasteless,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave,talk.bizarre

stretcher.bearer@gmail.com wrote:
> Simply put, The only good Fascist is a dead fascist, let her Christmas
> Nazi stuff sell, but a reminder to all those who think that fascim and
> Hitler were the shit,They lost, Remember, or, did that not happen like
> Vietnam and pogo-sticks. When I actually meet anon-exconvict
> Arayan(which, by the way is a word I believe in Sanskrit, since most
> Nazis are Dumb as a retarded two-headed cow, Sanskrit is the language
> that came from....GASP! India) I do believe they are dark skinned are
> they not?
> Do Nazi's Like Italians? they should, The word Fascist comes from the
> Roman (remember decadent homosexual Rome)Fascias, if my Latin spelling
> is correct ,Which is a bundle of sticks, spears arrows etc. which in
> Anglo-Saxon slang is a faggot....One begins to wonder ....Prison,
> faggots, sticks, Celie's or Sally's? What are you trying to hide you
> diminutive Cowards and no big pick-up trucks do not make the penis
> more rigid. So, I reiterate all Nazis, unless I ever ever meet one
> who has a coherent well-formed thought that is original and not just
> plain stupid racist mucus will believe that 1. you must like losers
> 2.Youare all closet homosexuals
> 3.you are complete pussies, you do not intimidate and if I had my way
> and a .45
> I would not hesitate to shoot you without guilt or regret. my name is
> Mr. Grey
> I am an Anti-Fascist anarchist and I hope we may be one day issued
> Nazi hunting licences here in America. and I'm white. your Jemima
> will dry your tears sweety if this insults you or makes you mad, but
> come and see me and you won't have to worry. IN NO FLEXIBLE TERMS DO
> I NOT ADVOCATE THE MURDER OF FASCISTS. THEY SHOULD BE HUNG like
> Mussolini. I SAY this without any remorse, they should not be allowed
> to be buried with real humans. take them to the local landfill.

Ahhh... time to take a pill...or something.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

## 2740. stevie is coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 03:09:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133147378.515854.35100@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125305

Stevie is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have do with radio?

the answer is nothing which is steve (and Dave) are afraid to answer it

## 2741. dave is a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 03:10:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133147417.943492.66930@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125306

Stevie is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have do with radio?

the answer is nothing which is steve (and Dave) are afraid to answer it

## 2742. Dave = "Bubbles"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 03:36:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <3ptko11i33r4e88o6hojiugvp641sblecu@4ax.com>
Source record: 125307

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 22:01:11 GMT, "Bubbles" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <H0qif.1888$Hk1.908@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

<snip>
>As to Steve Robeson, Frank the CBer said he found information that a
>person with that name served in the USMC. Fancy that.

Fancy this:

I have found DoD records both a Steven J. Robeson (USMC, E-7) and a
Steven (no MI) Robeson (USCG, E-3).

There has been no evidence provided by -anyone- that proves K4YZ is
-either- of those two servicemen.

Absence of evidence is not proof. IOW, the fact that K4YZ refuses to
provide evidence of his military service is -not- proof that he
served.

The meager information that K4YZ -has- provided, wittingly or not,
about his claimed military service has either been contradictory or
completely wrong; and the number of those discrepancies continues to
grow almost every time he posts.

K4YZ has demonstrated that he is more than willing to provide proof to
defend and support his arguments when he is able to do so.

K4YZ refuses to provide definitive proof of his claimed military
service, opting instead to argue the issue for seven years, mostly by
making retaliatory attacks, in literally thousands of posts that must
have taken hundreds if not thousands of hours to write, and to the
detriment of any credibility he may have had in this or any other
Usenet group.

More succinctly, this is a circumstantial argument that leans HEAVILY
to the conclusion that K4YZ is lying about his claimed military
service. In fact, the argument is so strong that it casts doubt on the
sanity, motives, and/or credibility of anyone who defends him. Yet
that's what you do. And your only explanation is to say, "I've seen
nothing which indicates that Steve is a fake." Well, Dave, I think you
-can- seen what everyone else can see. The only question is why you
deny it. Care to answer that one? Or would you rather I find the
answer myself?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2743. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 03:52:40 UTC
From: DeWayne <k9kz@indy.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cavif.144690$tD4.67641@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com>
Source record: 125308
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

"Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133048867.164899.87930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>
> The public has known for a long time how useless ham radio is, not to
> mention the low life types who becomes hams. Think I'll have to write a
> few letters myself. most of the spectrum 50 mhz and above should be
> turned over to commerical interests.

Yes there are 'low life's' in ham radio, just like the rest of society.

# 2744. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 04:00:19 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133150419.320811.210300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125309

what's the matter, did they remove your other pictures??
i'll have that one removed too dickhead

## 2745. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 04:00:25 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133150425.512331.111950@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125310

what's the matter, did they remove your other pictures??
i'll have that one removed too dickhead

# 2746. Re: the dastardly dou rides on and on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 04:22:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PBvif.2850$A23.2542@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125311

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>>>>> From: Frank Gilliland on Fri, Nov 25 2005 5:06 am
>>>>>> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
>>>>>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
>>>>>>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
> cut
>>> I do have the documentation to prove my side of arguments, some
>>> of that shown on other websites (links given in here) plus
>>> callsigns of personal references.

>> You do? You have documentation which proves the allegations which
>> you've directed at Steve?
>
> sure he does

You've seen Len's proof that Steve never served in the USMC?

> I do as do you Dave

You have proof that Steve never served in the USMC? How'd you and Len
gain access?

As to my having such proof, I can assure you that I have none at all.

> indeed By Stevies own standard Stevie has proven the alegations against
> him

That's simply incorrect. Steve has never offered proof that he was not
in the USMC.

> Stevie lies about almost everything perhaps including his name

Let's see if I have this straight: Steve is the same Steve Robeson who
appears in the FCC database, but he is lying about his name? Has Steve
lied about being a nurse? Maybe he isn't even K4YZ? And the source for
this information is a guy who claims he was drafted into the Army, only
he wasn't; said the he was a Colonel in the Army, only he wasn't and
says he lies when he finds it convenient. I see.

>>> The one I call a FAKE and
>>> a disgrace to the United States Marine Corps is Major Dud,
>>> aka "Steven J. Robeson."

>> Steve's never claimed to anyone named "Major Dud".
>
> gues you can't read english Dave Stevie is a Dud, and a major one at
> that

I can read "English" just fine, Mark. Are you acquainted with capital
letters and punctuation?

> sets his standard then refuses to meet them

You set your own standards--very, very low.

>> Perhaps you're
>> thinking of "Colonel Mark Morgan". So you've called Steve Robeson a
>> FAKE and a disgrace *and* you have proof of some sort? I must have
>> missed seeing it.

> nah you just choose not to read sine facts upset your attacks

Sine facts?

I can't read material which has not been presented, Mark.

>>> Why are you defending a FAKE, Heil?

>> I've seen nothing which indicates that Steve is a fake. Maybe you've
>> seen some FAKE evidence to the contrary.

> you have seen Nothing which of course is By Stevies own standards proof
> the claims are faked

"Stevie's"

>>> Have you seen Dud's DD-214?

>> Dud? There isn't anyone named "Dud". I've not seen Steve's DD-214's
>> and, more importantly, neither have you.
>
> which By the Stevie standard is proof they don't exist

> cuting sexual raving

"cutting"

I'm reinserting it since I don't believe it to be fair to delete what
you perceive as Len's sexual ranting:

>>> Did that make your Johnson bigger?

>> Your asking about Steve's DD-214 might make my vintage transmitter
>> grow?

>>Are you feeling alright?

>>> BTW, you ought to visit the E. F. Johnson website and see the
>>> very little Johnsons they now make and sell (www.efji.com).
>>> Much smaller than the old Viking Messenger CB transceiver.

>> I think you might be the first fellow I've encountered who desired a
>> smaller Johnson, Leonard.

>>>> I had to be "bothered" with a number of them over the years".

>>> Wow! Yeah! Is this a prelude to some "Secret Agent Man"
>>> stories?

>> Though it appears to have bothered you from time to time, I've offered
>> very little about my work. Deal with it.

> offered enough to show you are a willing conspriator to crime

"conspirator"

I've shown no such thing ever, Colonel.

> cut
>
>>> Don't puff
>>> up your AMATEUR Extra ego too far with thoughts of self-
>>> aggrandizement for having once been given a security
>>> clearance in your employment.

>> Once? By the way, did you think that I used my AMATEUR Extra in my
>> employment?
>
> I suspect you have, in violation of course of the law but you have
> shown you don't respect the law or even your "Christain" morality when
> it suits although
> cut

What in the world are you babbling about, Mark? I've used my amateur
radio license in violation of the law?

>>> It is a puzzle why you wish to exclude citizens of the United
>>> States from entering amateur radio.

>> I've never wished nor attempted to exclude anyone who could overcome
>> inertia and pass the required exams.

> lying by misdierection and deceit, as bad as Stevie in that manner but
> you do post less often

"misdirection"

Ridiculous, Colonel. There was no misdirection or deceit.

>> You're surely not saying that
>> anyone who desires an amateur radio license should be handed one.
>
> more red herrings

That's incorrect.

> IOW more Dave Lies

Where is the lie, Colonel?

>>> You do that when you say
>>> (or imply) that "citizen participation" is a bad thing.

>> ...in issuing crypto material?+
>
> ,more red heriing

"herring" You actually got it right the first time you used it.

> so more dave Lies

> cut

I used a question. Where was the lie?

Dave K8MN

## 2747. Re: dave show himself a coward.....again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 04:42:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eVvif.1572$YT3.1102@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125312

an old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an old friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an old friend wrote:
>>>>> Dazve contiues to show he is a caoward too

>>>> Well, shame on Dazve, whoever he is. Does he know this Restevie guy?
>>>> You say he's a ward of the CAO?

>>> more coward attacks have you nothing positive to say at all?

>> Please point to cowardice on my part.
>
> your refuseal to address the issue is the cowardice

The issue? Just what is "the issue", Mark?

>> Please point to an attack on my part.

> your attacking ym speling and avoiding the issue is an attack

Ym speling? Ym speling is offul.

What is "the issue", Mark?

>> Perhaps you have your own definitions (again).

> nope just pointing out your cowardly avoidance

What is it that I'm supposedly avoiding, Mark?

>>> all you have is your filled speling cop shit

>> You do okay with the profanities but you misspelled "speling".
>
> so what?

So, you write gibberish.

> only a coward like yourself focuses on such shit

That's incorrect. The way you write is the way you look to others.
If you write like a mindless twit, you'll be perceived as a mindless twit.

>>> grow up

>> I'm 6'3". How big do you think I'll be when I hit my full stride?

> you act about 5 or 6 yo grow up mentaly try catching up to half your
> physcial age

Well now, Mark, you *write* like you're six or eight (mentaly). My physcial age and my mental age are quite similar. I never had difficulty passing exams. Have you had difficulty in passing any exams?

> deal with issues or be labled what you are a coward

Gosh, being labeled by you would really be a black mark, wouldn't it?

In your mind, Mark, what *are* the issues?

By the way, did you get around to researching the IQ material for which I posted all the urls? It is still your opinion that your IQ is higher than the woman who is currently the holder of the highest IQ tested?

Dave K8MN

# 2748. Re: Stevie and Dave contiue to show their cowardice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 04:52:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <62wif.2873$A23.706@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125313

an old friend wrote:
> Both Stevie and Dave contiue to show they are cowards
>
> when will either of them answer the question what does a personans
> sexuality have to do with radio?
>
> answer the question you cowards

You seem to be under the misconception that I'd assume that all of your recent childish posts to Steve were directed at me.

Well now, Mark, since you asked, I don't think that your sexuality matters much in amateur radio. If you weren't a ham, you'd still be a sexual pervert. Your revealing post to another newsgroup advertising your desire for a deviant sexual encounter would still exist. You'd be the same sick individual you were before it was brought to my attention. This particular problem you have--having folks in an amateur radio newsgroup know what kind of sick-o you are--was brought about by Mark Morgan. Now that anyone who reads this newsgroup knows about it, you'll likely have to deal with it.

You seem to revel in your new notoriety. Why else would you keep bringing it up over and over and over during the course of a single day and keeping drawing attention to it?

Dave K8MN

# 2749. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 04:55:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <N4wif.2879$A23.505@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125314

an old friend wrote:
> Dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have
> do with radio?
>
> the answer is nothing which is Dave (and Stevie) are afraid to answer it

I've provided you with an answer, Mark. I've never been afraid to answer the question which you put to Steve. You outed yourself through stupidity or carelessness. Now that you've done so, there's no putting that particular genie back in the bottle.

By your numerous posts, it appears that you are more than anxious to continue drawing attention to your bisexuality. I guess it pays to advertise.

Dave K8MN

## 2750. Frank = Babbles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 05:04:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Ydwif.1998$Hk1.1006@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125315

CB Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 22:01:11 GMT, "Bubbles" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> in <H0qif.1888$Hk1.908@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> <snip>
>> As to Steve Robeson, Frank the CBer said he found information that a
>> person with that name served in the USMC. Fancy that.

> K4YZ refuses to provide definitive proof of his claimed military
> service, opting instead to argue the issue for seven years, mostly by
> making retaliatory attacks, in literally thousands of posts that must
> have taken hundreds if not thousands of hours to write, and to the
> detriment of any credibility he may have had in this or any other
> Usenet group.

So, Steve refuses to provide proof. Well now, Frank, what the devil are
you going to do about it?

> More succinctly, this is a circumstantial argument that leans HEAVILY
> to the conclusion that K4YZ is lying about his claimed military
> service. In fact, the argument is so strong that it casts doubt on the
> sanity, motives, and/or credibility of anyone who defends him. Yet
> that's what you do. And your only explanation is to say, "I've seen
> nothing which indicates that Steve is a fake." Well, Dave, I think you
> -can- seen what everyone else can see.

I surely can, Frank. I see that same nothing that you see. I've seen
absolutely zip offered as proof of your assertions. I see hunches,
guesses, wild ideas and even some outright untruths.

> The only question is why you
> deny it. Care to answer that one? Or would you rather I find the
> answer myself?

Oh, if you'd like to play junior detective, Frank, go right ahead. Gee,
this is almost like one of those television shows, isn't it?

Dave K8MN

# 2751. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 05:09:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yiwif.2886$A23.2185@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125316

"Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote

>
> You speak for their mission, but is that what members of this organization
> would say of themselves?
>

There is no "mission". The group does not exist, except in the fertile
imagination of Danny "QRP is for Sissies" K7SS who first published this humor in
NCJ several years ago.

> What honor and pride?

Honor and pride among non-existant fictional characters in non-existant.

> Ah. It seems you've answered some of the first questions I had.

I've tried to.

> One of my points exactly. If someone really is such an awful amateur radio
> operator, they'll disappear from the airwaves soon enough

They didn't disappear. They never even existed.

> Are you a member of this newly grouped organization?

The organization does not exist.

> I've found that most of those who are labeled "appliance operators" at club
> gatherings already know each other real well because they are the most
> involved in the amateur community.

"involved in the amateur community" means what? Most of us are hobbiests,
loosely connected by common interests like contesting, DXing, experimenting,
repeater support clubs, public service interests, etc., etc. I derive great
enjoyment from my experimenting and contesting, and I have a half a wall of
"recognition" for various public service activities, but I don't consider myself
part of any "community" of amateur radio.

> And, while the solderers have been busy
> yakking and impressing each other with all that stuff (truly important for
> the heritage, yes, but not necessary knowledge in today's world),

Are you suggesting that technical curiousity and experimentation is no longer
needed? That everything has already been invented, so no new knowledge in
electronics/radio communications is needed?

> "appliance operators" have researched the internet for the best of the best,
> have saved their money up and equipped themselves for most emergency

> situations and are ready for public service with the touch of a few buttons
> and the attachment of a few connections.

I disagree. There is a small and very valuable cadre of dedicated hams in ARES, RACES, DMCS, AERO, SATERN, and similar public service/disaster recovery groups. By and large they are above average in their technical qualifications, and they take their roll very seriously.

Then there is a larger group of "fair weather volunteers" who are eager to grab their handheld and rush to provide public service communications for the marathon or the walkathon, but only so long as their 2 batteries hold up. They impress each other by the number of T-shirts they've gotten from the local chamber of commerce for these outings.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2752. Re: Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 06:10:16 UTC
From: "Michael A. Terrell" <mike.terrell@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <438A9F3F.71EC7038@earthlink.net>
Source record: 125317
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave

policy-ham wrote:
>
> Electric lines and gas pipes will carry Internet to Illinois town
> By Jon Van
> Tribune staff reporter
>
> November 26, 2005
>
> PRINCETON, Ill. -- Starting in December this town of 7,500 will begin
> offering high-speed Internet service over the electrical lines that
> power the city.
>
> It is among a handful of communities nationwide to plunge into a new
> technology called broadband over power lines, or BPL, that competes
> with Internet connections provided by telephone and cable TV operators.
> Combined with wireless technologies, broadband service delivered over
> power lines--and perhaps one day even through natural gas
> pipelines--raises the likelihood that going online anywhere at any time
> for very low cost will soon be a reality.
>
> The Princeton service, which began testing this spring, is being
> watched by small communities across Illinois. One member of the
> Illinois Commerce Commission hopes other towns will experiment with BPL
> to spread Internet connectivity and drive down costs.
>
> About 15 customers are served by Princeton's BPL test deployment, which
> demonstrates the service is robust and works well, said Jason Bird,
> superintendent of Princeton's municipal electric utility.
>
> "From the utility's standpoint, this hasn't been difficult," he said.
> "The equipment is similar to what we work with every day."
>
> Customers seem to like the service, especially the in-house portability
> BPL offers. A computer can move from one room to another and go online
> simply by plugging its modem into any electrical outlet in the house.
>
> "I'm much more active on the Interent now because the speed is much
> better than with dial-up," said Leslie Lund, who began using BPL in
> March. "I don't get interference, even when my husband uses his power
> tools."
>
> The electric line connections get their Internet signals from a 12-mile
> loop of fiber that Princeton installed last year as a means of

> attracting industrial development. After one factory left town in 2003
> and the manager of another complained about the town's lack of advanced
> communications infrastructure, the city decided it needed fiber, said
> Mayor Keith Cain.
>
> "We already had our own electric utility, so that gave us a real
> advantage," he said. Since the city installed fiber and started testing
> BPL the local cable and phone operators upgraded their systems and cut
> service rates, he said.
>
> One downside to Princeton's BPL experience has been an inability to get
> enough equipment to begin the commercial rollout sooner, Cain said. The
> town's BPL vendor ran into financial difficulty and stopped producing
> equipment while it went into reorganization.
>
> Under new ownership, the vendor now says it can ship the products
> needed for the rollout, said Steve Brust, vice president of Connecting
> Point Community Centers, the Internet service provider that manages
> Princeton's broadband service.
>
> "The equipment works fine, but it's proprietary," Brust said. "There
> are a lot of companies in BPL right now, but there are no standards and
> no one company dominates the market."
>
> Lack of standards is common with any new technology, said Raymond
> Blair, vice president for BPL initiatives for IBM Corp. Broadband
> technologies like Wi-Fi that are based on standards enjoy popularity
> because the equipment is interoperable and less expensive than
> proprietary systems.
>
> At least three industry-based committees are working toward BPL
> standardization, Blair said. The emerging industry should benefit from
> their work within a year or two, he said.
>
> "The best case for BPL right now lies in creating a smart electrical
> grid," Blair said. Utilities can spot trouble, read meters, improve
> efficiencies and generally boost reliability once they install fiber to
> monitor their grids, he said.
>
> Once BPL standards are in place, equipment costs will drop, making a
> stronger economic case for offering high-speed Internet to residences,
> Blair said.
>
> Robert Lieberman, a member of the Illinois Commerce Commission, said
> the state has $5 million it will award to projects intended to extend
> Internet connectivity.
>
> There will be another $5 million available next year, and he hopes that
> some BPL projects will receive a portion of that Digital Divide
> infrastructure funding.

> Also, Lieberman said, the ICC and state lawmakers need to provide
> incentives to electric utilities to install smart grid equipment that
> makes BPL to residential customers possible. Texas lawmakers recently
> adopted such incentives, and legislators in New York are considering
> doing so, he said.
>
> Power lines aren't the Internet's only new avenue into homes. There's
> also interest in using natural gas pipelines.
>
> Broadband in gas, or BiG, has been proven to work in concept, although
> field trials haven't yet been launched, said George West, a senior
> analyst with West Technology Research Solutions, a market research firm
> based in Mountain View, Calif.
>
> BiG would rely upon ultra-wideband radio waves traveling through gas
> pipes to bring Internet to customers. The Federal Communications
> Commission approved ultra-wideband applications a few years ago but
> requires they operate at very low power to avoid interference with
> wireless phones and other appliances.
>
> Pumping ultra-wideband signals along gas lines buried underground would
> shield them from interference, enabling them to operate at higher
> power, West said. "BiG has the potential to serve 18 million homes by
> 2010."
>
> The ICC's Lieberman said he is intrigued by BiG.
>
> "I'd love to see a project with People's Gas or Nicor to test this," he
> said. "My theory is the more pipes you have to bring the Internet to
> customers, the better for everyone."

BiG? That would be quite a trick around here. Everyone has to use
Propane because there is no underground distribution system.

I saw a recent news story where BPL was shut down in one city because
of technical problems were costing more than they could expect to
recover and that the system was being dismantled.
--
?

Michael A. Terrell
Central Florida

# 2753. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 08:11:56 UTC
From: Roger <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net>
Message-ID: <9helo11br4l0sglavk07iheulafthb831o@4ax.com>
Source record: 125318
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 12:21:15 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:

>David wrote:
>> The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
>> Closer to that than $100.
>
>Oh, really? High-quality, flat-response tuner / IF strip / demodulator,
>plus a scan convertor to convert from any of the gazillion digital
>formats to NTSC, and RF modulator and power supply, all for $25 consumer
>price?

5 Newsgroups?

and my set top box was free when I signed up. I get excellent picture
and sound and no interferrence between the ham stations (One in the
shop and one in the house). 1.8 through 440. Legal limit 1.8 through
30. 100 watts out on 50 MHz and 200 watts on 144 MHz.
I'm running an Alpha 76A in the house for HF and a Henry 2K-4 in the
shop.

Remember Digital is not necessiarlily HDTV, but they will be moving up
out of the VHF bands.

BTW, the computers and ham rigs are less than 3' apart and the network
cable runs with the coax cables for a ways.

I can run a 2-meter HT on the desk with the computers running although
if I get within about a foot of this one it will open the squelch.
Still I can set the HT next to the left side of the monitor and it
works fine.

Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
(N833R, S# CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
www.rogerhalstead.com

# 2754. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 08:34:04 UTC
From: Roger <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net>
Message-ID: <0lflo1lt65javtbknvdcdrag1dno9hal6q@4ax.com>
Source record: 125319
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 08:14:08 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
<brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:

>
>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>news:vpqjo193ibpqu9as2c12h7p3a9ir6gtu5u@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
>> <undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Here comes Digital TV !!
>>>
>>>http://www.dtv.gov/
>>>
>>>(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
>>> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
>>> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>>>
>>>Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
>>>the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
>>>GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
>>>Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
>>>Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
>>>will be soon!
>>>Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
>>>service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
>>>will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>>>
>>>http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>>>
>>>"The target date for the end of the transition from
>>>analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
>>>That date may be extended, however, until most
>>>homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
>>>programming. At that point, broadcasting the
>>>current "analog" channels will end and that spectrum
>>>will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
>>>is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
>>>on both their digital and analog channels."
>>>
>>>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
>>>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>>>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>>>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
```

>>>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>>>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
>>>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
>>>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.

Tain't nearly that bad. It depends on what bits are missing, but what
happens it the picture will start to break up if enough of the right
bits are missing.

You do need a good UHF antenna for local HDTV at present and probably
will for Digital as well. Rabbit ears definitely will not cut it. and
if you live near woods it's likely a small antenna on a roof mounted
tripod won't either. I get a reasonably good signal for 60 to 90 miles
and do copy one station quite well from nearly 100 miles (Traverse
City), but their digital antenna is out this direction so I'm not sure
just how far it is to the antenna.

>>>
>>>Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
>>>pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>>>
>>>Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
>>>consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
>>>go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>>>
>>>
>> Most people already have the necessary converter. It's called a cable
>> box. The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
>> Closer to that than $100.
>
>
>Ever price one of those cable boxes? They probably don't cost much to build,
>but if you go to buy them, they're on the order of $300-$400, at least the
>addressable ones. The cheapies, that do no decoding still run into the $100+
>range for one that doesn't break in a month or two.
>

Yup. Free.
Both my cable box and my digital satellite box were free with a years
subscription. Actually the same went for the cable modem at 3 Mbs
with free installation which meant running almost 200 feet of cable .
It's a 130 feet from the pole so that's 160 feet and there are 3 drops
in the house so I guess it's a tad more than 200 feet along with 3
splitters which are cheap.

I'll probably keep right on using the one old 37" analog set down in
the basement until it gives out. The tuner gave out long ago, but I
use it as a monitor with the VCR as the tuner.
>
Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
(N833R, S/N CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
www.rogerhalstead.com

# 2755. Re: Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 10:56:01 UTC
From: gsm@mendelson.com
Message-ID: <slrndolofb.bm1.gsm@cable.mendelson.com>
Source record: 125320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael A. Terrell wrote:

> I saw a recent news story where BPL was shut down in one city because
> of technical problems were costing more than they could expect to
> recover and that the system was being dismantled.

The problem is that Google invested $100,000,000 in BPL. With that kind of money it will suceed even if it fails. The only way to prevent it is to boycot Google and sites with "ads by Google" and let them and their advertisers know it.

Geoff.

--
Geoffrey S. Mendelson, Jerusalem, Israel gsm@mendelson.com N3OWJ/4X1GM
IL Voice: (07)-7424-1667 IL Fax: 972-2-648-1443 U.S. Voice: 1-215-821-1838
You should have boycotted Google while you could, now Google supported BPL is in action. Time is running out on worldwide radio communication.

# 2756. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 11:46:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133178382.335166.47720@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125321

KØHB wrote:

(asking about ways to attract newcomers to contesting):

Well, Hans, I'm not a newcomer, and I don't qualify for QCAO either. But
here are some ideas from what I remember as a newcomer:

1) More entry classes for the "little guy". This has already been done on FD,
and to a limited extent in SS. The problem is that the new-to-contests ham with
a midlevel 100 W transceiver, paper logs and G5RV is in the same category as
the big gun with multiple big beams, an IC-7800 and every automated doodad
conceivable.

2) Put the results back in QST - all the results. First time my call was in QST was
for SS scores. Why shouldn't the newcomers get the same thrill? Website is
*NOT* the same thing.

3) Perhaps the check could be changed from "year of birth" to "number of times
you've operated this contest", with a bonus for new folks. Oldtimers
couldn't adopt a low number because it would be easily detected. This
also helps the folks who may have held a license for years but who are
new to certain aspects of amateur radio.

4) More contests (!) but also more variety. The QRP folks do this with their sprints,
and there used to be 4 CD parties a year. For example:

- A "mini-SS" in late summer that lasts only 6-12 hours and you can work the same station on different bands
- Low-power (150 w max) contests
- Contest exchanges that include something that changes with each QSO. For example,
you might have a list of 10-12 items like op's birthday, name, grid square (on HF!)rig/key/mike/antenna/exact power in use, zip code, old callsign, etc. One item from the list would be exchanged with each QSO, and the item would change after each QSO. When the list ran out you'd start it again. For a real challenge, require a couple of items in each exchange.

- Single-band and couple-of-band contests to concentrate the action.

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 2757. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 12:25:06 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971C4AD30101naoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125322

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133150425.512331.111950
@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> what's the matter, did they remove your other pictures??
>
Actually it's YOUR picture, and no they didn't...see? hahaha

http://i31.photobucket.com/albums/c373/antitoad/n9oglloser.jpg
>
> i'll have that one removed too dickhead
>
You're trying to take away my FREE SPEECH????

The nerve of you! (you look like a little punk with fake facial hair)
hahaha

## 2758. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 12:27:04 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971C4B29668C6naoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125323

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133150419.320811.210300
@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com:

> what's the matter, did they remove your other pictures??
>
I thought we went through this already.

Actually it's YOUR picture, and no they didn't...see? hahaha

http://i31.photobucket.com/albums/c373/antitoad/n9oglloser.jpg
>
> i'll have that one removed too dickhead
>
You're trying to take away my FREE SPEECH????

The nerve of you! (you look like a little punk with fake facial hair)
hahaha

# 2759. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 12:28:43 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133180923.208484.6580@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125324

Free Speech doesn't protect slander or harassment

## 2760. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 12:30:47 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133181047.639331.50360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125325

I have notified Photobucket and if they do not remove it my lawyer will
be sending them and their service provider a DMCA take down notice

# 2761. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 12:39:06 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971C4D33744DCnaoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125326

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133181047.639331.50360
@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

> I have notified Photobucket and if they do not remove it my lawyer will
> be sending them and their service provider a DMCA take down notice
>
You posted it to the web, that makes it public domain toad.

What about all the other servers that will now carry it?

http://tinypic.com/hwh1dw.jpg

# 2762. Re: Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 12:58:33 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1133182713.627191.191440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave

IF BPL and BIG prove to be reliable conduits that can generate more
income than exenses then there is no reason they shgould not go ahead.
The plumbing is already in place so why nor use it.

policy-ham wrote:
> Electric lines and gas pipes will carry Internet to Illinois town
> By Jon Van
> Tribune staff reporter
>
> November 26, 2005
>
> PRINCETON, Ill. -- Starting in December this town of 7,500 will begin
> offering high-speed Internet service over the electrical lines that
> power the city.
>
> It is among a handful of communities nationwide to plunge into a new
> technology called broadband over power lines, or BPL, that competes
> with Internet connections provided by telephone and cable TV operators.
> Combined with wireless technologies, broadband service delivered over
> power lines--and perhaps one day even through natural gas
> pipelines--raises the likelihood that going online anywhere at any time
> for very low cost will soon be a reality.
>
> The Princeton service, which began testing this spring, is being
> watched by small communities across Illinois. One member of the
> Illinois Commerce Commission hopes other towns will experiment with BPL
> to spread Internet connectivity and drive down costs.
>
> About 15 customers are served by Princeton's BPL test deployment, which
> demonstrates the service is robust and works well, said Jason Bird,
> superintendent of Princeton's municipal electric utility.
>
> "From the utility's standpoint, this hasn't been difficult," he said.
> "The equipment is similar to what we work with every day."
>
> Customers seem to like the service, especially the in-house portability
> BPL offers. A computer can move from one room to another and go online
> simply by plugging its modem into any electrical outlet in the house.
>
> "I'm much more active on the Interent now because the speed is much
> better than with dial-up," said Leslie Lund, who began using BPL in
> March. "I don't get interference, even when my husband uses his power
> tools."

>
> The electric line connections get their Internet signals from a 12-mile
> loop of fiber that Princeton installed last year as a means of
> attracting industrial development. After one factory left town in 2003
> and the manager of another complained about the town's lack of advanced
> communications infrastructure, the city decided it needed fiber, said
> Mayor Keith Cain.
>
> "We already had our own electric utility, so that gave us a real
> advantage," he said. Since the city installed fiber and started testing
> BPL the local cable and phone operators upgraded their systems and cut
> service rates, he said.
>
> One downside to Princeton's BPL experience has been an inability to get
> enough equipment to begin the commercial rollout sooner, Cain said. The
> town's BPL vendor ran into financial difficulty and stopped producing
> equipment while it went into reorganization.
>
> Under new ownership, the vendor now says it can ship the products
> needed for the rollout, said Steve Brust, vice president of Connecting
> Point Community Centers, the Internet service provider that manages
> Princeton's broadband service.
>
> "The equipment works fine, but it's proprietary," Brust said. "There
> are a lot of companies in BPL right now, but there are no standards and
> no one company dominates the market."
>
> Lack of standards is common with any new technology, said Raymond
> Blair, vice president for BPL initiatives for IBM Corp. Broadband
> technologies like Wi-Fi that are based on standards enjoy popularity
> because the equipment is interoperable and less expensive than
> proprietary systems.
>
> At least three industry-based committees are working toward BPL
> standardization, Blair said. The emerging industry should benefit from
> their work within a year or two, he said.
>
> "The best case for BPL right now lies in creating a smart electrical
> grid," Blair said. Utilities can spot trouble, read meters, improve
> efficiencies and generally boost reliability once they install fiber to
> monitor their grids, he said.
>
> Once BPL standards are in place, equipment costs will drop, making a
> stronger economic case for offering high-speed Internet to residences,
> Blair said.
>
> Robert Lieberman, a member of the Illinois Commerce Commission, said
> the state has $5 million it will award to projects intended to extend
> Internet connectivity.
>

> There will be another $5 million available next year, and he hopes that
> some BPL projects will receive a portion of that Digital Divide
> infrastructure funding.
>
> Also, Lieberman said, the ICC and state lawmakers need to provide
> incentives to electric utilities to install smart grid equipment that
> makes BPL to residential customers possible. Texas lawmakers recently
> adopted such incentives, and legislators in New York are considering
> doing so, he said.
>
> Power lines aren't the Internet's only new avenue into homes. There's
> also interest in using natural gas pipelines.
>
> Broadband in gas, or BiG, has been proven to work in concept, although
> field trials haven't yet been launched, said George West, a senior
> analyst with West Technology Research Solutions, a market research firm
> based in Mountain View, Calif.
>
> BiG would rely upon ultra-wideband radio waves traveling through gas
> pipes to bring Internet to customers. The Federal Communications
> Commission approved ultra-wideband applications a few years ago but
> requires they operate at very low power to avoid interference with
> wireless phones and other appliances.
>
> Pumping ultra-wideband signals along gas lines buried underground would
> shield them from interference, enabling them to operate at higher
> power, West said. "BiG has the potential to serve 18 million homes by
> 2010."
>
> The ICC's Lieberman said he is intrigued by BiG.
>
> "I'd love to see a project with People's Gas or Nicor to test this," he
> said. "My theory is the more pipes you have to bring the Internet to
> customers, the better for everyone."

## 2763. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:01:46 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133182906.792111.204400@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125328

>You posted it to the web, that makes it public domain toad.

NO IT DON'T DUMBASS, I SUGGEST YOU READ SOME LAW

# 2764. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:18:28 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133183908.832052.179810@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125329

>You posted it to the web, that makes it public domain toad.

I suggest You read copyright law, you can start here for one

http://www.fplc.edu/tfield/copynet.htm

I you want I can post more sites, but they pretty much say the
samething

# 2765. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:21:25 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971C546003896naoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125332

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133183908.832052.179810
@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:

>>You posted it to the web, that makes it public domain toad.
>
> I suggest You read copyright law, you can start here for one
>
> http://www.fplc.edu/tfield/copynet.htm
>
>
> I you want I can post more sites, but they pretty much say the
> samething
>
>
Imagine what someone with a few photoshop skills could do with this!

http://tinypic.com/hwh1dw.jpg

Too funny! (I crack myself up at times)

# 2766. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:24:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133184267.825224.277420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125330

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have
> > do with radio?
> >
> > the answer is nothing which is Dave (and Stevie) are afraid to answer it
>
> I've provided you with an answer, Mark.

Liar you have prvided no answer

> I've never been afraid to
> answer the question which you put to Steve. You outed yourself through
> stupidity or carelessness.

more proof your your foolishness
why does anybody sexaulity have anything to do with radio

answer the question the question isn't about me

It is about Todd Brain and myself or anyone

you and stevie think accuses (correctly or not someone of being
homsexual has something to do with radio.

what does it have to do with radio

coward
> Now that you've done so, there's no putting
> that particular genie back in the bottle.
>
> By your numerous posts, it appears that you are more than anxious to
> continue drawing attention to your bisexuality. I guess it pays to
> advertise.

wropng again stupid I don't esp mind being known as Bisexaul what I
want to show is that you are a fool for caring whcih you prove again

you are afraid to admit what the truth is

there is relavance of ones sexuality to radio

you are just a plain old fashioned gay basher
>
> Dave K8MN

# 2767. Re: dave show himself a coward.....again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:25:36 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133184335.958563.241660@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125331

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an old friend wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> an old friend wrote:
> >>>>> Dazve contiues to show he is a caoward too
>
> >>>> Well, shame on Dazve, whoever he is. Does he know this Restevie guy?
> >>>> You say he's a ward of the CAO?
>
>
> >>> more coward attacks have you nothing positive to say at all?
>
> >> Please point to cowardice on my part.
> >>
> > your refuseal to address the issue is the cowardice
>
> The issue? Just what is "the issue", Mark?

then Dave cant read
flsuhing the rst of dave cowardly attack

# 2768. Re: Stevie and Dave contiue to show their cowardice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:27:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133184470.090845.289800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125333

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Both Stevie and Dave contiue to show they are cowards
> >
> > when will either of them answer the question what does a personans
> > sexuality have to do with radio?
> >
> > answer the question you cowards
>
> You seem to be under the misconception that I'd assume that all of your
> recent childish posts to Steve were directed at me.

No I addressed them you as well
>
> Well now, Mark, since you asked, I don't think that your sexuality
> matters much in amateur radio.

there ypou have it admiting that you are just involved in gay bashing
to cover the fact you lack any real issue

that all your posturing is that of a fake

## 2769. Re: the dastardly dou rides on and on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:34:19 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133184859.251607.239540@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125334

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >>>>> From: Frank Gilliland on Fri, Nov 25 2005 5:06 am
> >>>>>> On 24 Nov 2005 05:36:11 -0800, "Major Dud" <k...@aol.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 22:12:36 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
> >>>>>>>>> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> >>>>>>>>>> On 23 Nov 2005 04:47:35 -0800, <k...@aol.com> wrote in
> > > cut
> >>> I do have the documentation to prove my side of arguments, some
> >>> of that shown on other websites (links given in here) plus
> >>> callsigns of personal references.
>
> >> You do? You have documentation which proves the allegations which
> >> you've directed at Steve?
> >
> > > sure he does
>
> You've seen Len's proof that Steve never served in the USMC?

learn to read

Stevie has posted the proof himself

len has it. I have it. you have it. google has it.

Stevies claims anything unproven is a lie
Stevie refuses to prove his claim,

therfore by Stevie own rules stevie has admited to not serving in the
USMC
>
> > I do as do you Dave
>
> You have proof that Steve never served in the USMC? How'd you and Len
> gain access?

steve posted it himself
>
> As to my having such proof, I can assure you that I have none at all.

liar
>

> > indeed By Stevies own standard Stevie has proven the alegations against
> > him
>
> That's simply incorrect. Steve has never offered proof that he was not
> in the USMC.

yes he has BY HIS STANDARDS
>
> > Stevie lies about almost everything perhaps including his name
>
> Let's see if I have this straight: Steve is the same Steve Robeson who
> appears in the FCC database, but he is lying about his name?

no proof Stvie posting here IS the stevie in the FCC database
> Has Steve
> lied about being a nurse?
maybe

> Maybe he isn't even K4YZ?
maybe
> And the source for
> this information is a guy who claims he was drafted into the Army,

Lying agin I never made that claim any more than I ever claimed to
receive more than 200k per as a salery

you choose to assmue that from a turn of phrase
> only
> he wasn't; said the he was a Colonel in the Army, only he wasn't and
> says he lies when he finds it convenient. I see.

By the way the issue is Stevie lying,something he denies EVER doing
flushing the rst

## 2770. dastartly dou contiunes lie-athon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:36:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133184964.150433.106240@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125335

Dave Heil wrote:
> CB Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 22:01:11 GMT, "Bubbles" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
> > in <H0qif.1888$Hk1.908@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
> >
> > <snip>
> >> As to Steve Robeson, Frank the CBer said he found information that a
> >> person with that name served in the USMC. Fancy that.
>
> > K4YZ refuses to provide definitive proof of his claimed military
> > service, opting instead to argue the issue for seven years, mostly by
> > making retaliatory attacks, in literally thousands of posts that must
> > have taken hundreds if not thousands of hours to write, and to the
> > detriment of any credibility he may have had in this or any other
> > Usenet group.
>
> So, Steve refuses to provide proof. Well now, Frank, what the devil are
> you going to do about it?

call him a liar based on his standards and the evidence at hand
obviously

>
> > More succinctly, this is a circumstantial argument that leans HEAVILY
> > to the conclusion that K4YZ is lying about his claimed military
> > service. In fact, the argument is so strong that it casts doubt on the
> > sanity, motives, and/or credibility of anyone who defends him. Yet
> > that's what you do. And your only explanation is to say, "I've seen
> > nothing which indicates that Steve is a fake." Well, Dave, I think you
> > -can- seen what everyone else can see.
>
> I surely can, Frank. I see that same nothing that you see. I've seen
> absolutely zip offered as proof of your assertions. I see hunches,
> guesses, wild ideas and even some outright untruths.
>
> > The only question is why you
> > deny it. Care to answer that one? Or would you rather I find the
> > answer myself?
>
> Oh, if you'd like to play junior detective, Frank, go right ahead. Gee,
> this is almost like one of those television shows, isn't it?

and why do you contiue to protect an obviously fraud
>
> Dave K8MN

# 2771. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:42:53 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133185373.740696.129890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125336

not an old friend wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133183908.832052.179810
> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>
> >>You posted it to the web, that makes it public domain toad.
> >
> > I suggest You read copyright law, you can start here for one
> >
> > http://www.fplc.edu/tfield/copynet.htm
> >
> >
> > I you want I can post more sites, but they pretty much say the
> > samething
> >
> >
> Imagine what someone with a few photoshop skills could do with this!
>

>
> Too funny! (I crack myself up at times)

poor little fool

and why BTW do you wish to contribute to the dcline of the rule of law
in the US

## 2772. when will Dave Stop suporting Stevie in his gay bashing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:45:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133185547.096751.277430@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125337

when will dave do the right thing and stop supporting your gay bashing buddies tactics?

afriad to engage in real dabte? your bet you are all you have iyou and stvie is your gay bashing bullshit

# 2773. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:49:54 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971C59342F0F8naoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125338

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1133185373.740696.129890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

>
> not an old friend wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133183908.832052.179810
>> @o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >>You posted it to the web, that makes it public domain toad.
>> >
>> > I suggest You read copyright law, you can start here for one
>> >
>> > http://www.fplc.edu/tfield/copynet.htm
>> >
>> >
>> > I you want I can post more sites, but they pretty much say the
>> > samething
>> >
>> >
>> Imagine what someone with a few photoshop skills could do with this!
>>
>
>>
>> Too funny! (I crack myself up at times)
>
> http://tinypic.com/hwh1dw.jpg
>
> poor little fool
>
You really shouldn't call him that, even if that's how he appears.
>
> and why BTW do you wish to contribute to the dcline of the rule of law
> in the US
>
Nah, you can handle that along with the moral decline.

## 2774. Stevie the liar, as agreed to by Dave his buddy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:51:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133185905.753629.162300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125339

Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.

therefore Stevie is lying in claiming it does therfore Stevie has lied

and according to stevie if you lie about one thing you are lying about everything

therefore stevie is not a marine ( and never was) etc

## 2775. dave is liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 13:57:17 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133186237.386608.3120@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125340

Dave Heil wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > what has radio to do sexuality
>
> That depends upon how you are using the radio, Mark.

having admitted to the truth today that sexualy has nothing to do with
radio the above statement becomes a [rpvable and proven lie

therefore Dave is a LIAR again
>
> Dave K8MN

# 2776. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 14:30:18 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <_vEif.34127$6e1.17793@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 125341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

"DeWayne" <k9kz@indy.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cavif.144690$tD4.67641@tornado.ohiordc.rr.com...
>
> "Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1133048867.164899.87930@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com...
>>
>> The public has known for a long time how useless ham radio is, not to
>> mention the low life types who becomes hams. Think I'll have to write a
>> few letters myself. most of the spectrum 50 mhz and above should be
>> turned over to commerical interests.
>
> Yes there are 'low life's' in ham radio, just like the rest of society.

Hello,
Why yes there is, anyone that's normal would know that.
Unfortunately you were fooled by Rec.Radio.CB's resident
troll.............. N8WWM Doug Adair, just google him or
his favorite term of keyclown and you'll see why he was
court ordered for psychiatric help.

Landshark

--
That's funny. You managed to actually make the thug alter his outside
real world actions because of his incompetence in this ng. The internet
magnifies peoples' idiocy, as he shows.

# 2777. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 15:23:25 UTC
From: Richard Brown <rdbrow01@insightbb.com>
Message-ID: <NhFif.366716$084.91028@attbi_s22>
Source record: 125342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Guys like this are a dime a dozen, they should be ignored so that they can crawl back into their narrow way of thinking.

--
"I shall pass through this world but once... Any good therefore that I can do, or any kindness that I can show to any other human being, let me do it now.
Let me not defer or neglect it, for I shall not pass this way again"-

Author Unknown
"Steveo" <steveo-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1132621184.818827.4160@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>I got this site from a trucker I was sucking off.
>
> http://www.heretical.com/miscella/rcnoa.html
>

## 2778. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 15:26:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133191600.112603.263370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125343

not an old friend wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1133185373.740696.129890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
> > >
cut
> > and why BTW do you wish to contribute to the dcline of the rule of law
> > in the US
> >
> Nah, you can handle that along with the moral decline.

no I don't support moral decay or the undermning of the rule of law

you OTOH do

# 2779. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 15:36:09 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <JtFif.6655$wf.3125@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dmci26$h2p$1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> "D Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:isiif.97447$qk4.69426@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> The Magnum wrote:
>>
> //snip//
>
>> Actually, any Boy Scout can read code. Hams have their own jargon,
>> for efficiency, but one need not be a fully licensed ham to read a code
>> message. One only need be informed. That can be anybody.
>
> Your trying to tell me all boyscouts know morse code?? are you sure?? so
> youd keep boy scouts in these troubled areas would you... Only a few
> people
> can understand morse.

For the record. Morse code is not a boy scout requirement
at all and in my several years as a Boy Scout Leader (my son
went all the way to Eagle) I never met another scout leader or scout
who knew morse code.

Even in the boy scout Radio merit badge requirements,
morse code is an "ellective" requirement
which any scout may pick to do out of a list of several
Radio merit badge requirements.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 2780. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 15:45:03 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3CFif.6366$N45.4224@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

"The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dmdatr$ieq$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> news:662dnSLfrOjigxfeRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
>> The Magnum wrote:
>>
>> > *I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the masses.
>>
>> Maybe that would work in the UK, but here in the U.S. all you would hear
>> is 'squeek sqawk, ten fer thar good buddy, got che ears on thar ten fer,
>> we're coming atcha from down here in the big swamp, squeek sqawk echo
>> echo echo echo".
>
> Lol... I know America has vast areas compared to the UK but don't you
> think
> Police could have been equipped with CB radios in NO?

There was a time when "some" USA
police forces had CB Ch 9 monitoring
capability. I recall seeing highway signs stating that to
be the case, but its been a long time since I remember seeing
such signage. I doubt many of those still do today.

> I remember hearing the
> police bands were knocked out but I don't know whether they could talk
> radio
> to radio (Like CB) or if they needed to talk via a base.

It depends on the radio system being used. If it is
a repeater based system, odds are that rules out
direct car to car capability.

> Obviously police
> couldn't have been issued with Amateur Gear (because they would be illegal
> operators) but CB stuff they used to use years ago would have assisted
> them
> greatly in that respect. Most of the squeaking you refer to would be gone
> due to base stations being off and cars being flooded. It would have to
> have
> been an organised person who remained on the air unaffected. Most of the
> CB
> fraternity would have heeded the evacuation call and got out or.. as so

> many
> Amateurs like to think of us, robbing the shops and being all lawless
> like.
> It must, in reality, have been a very scary hellhole for a lot of people
> and
> I certainly hope I never go through it.
> I think all in all, joking aside, CB could have had (if it didn't anyway)
> a
> great part to play alongside Amateur radio in the relief and Police
> co-ordination efforts.

I don't recall even reading about any incident where CB was
used at all. That doesn't mean it didn't happen
somewhere, but it certaily appears that any CB activity
was minimal at best in comparison to the role that amateur
radio played.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 2781. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 15:55:58 UTC
From: Iitoi <iitoi@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iMFif.6370$N45.4972@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125346

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133184267.825224.277420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

>
> you are just a plain old fashioned gay basher
>

I never can work out why/how anyone would bash queers.

How could you bear to get close enough to one of them to actually bash it?

# 2782. More Markie Mularkie Muddling Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:11:51 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133194311.844012.252140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125347

raped an old friend wrote:
> Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.

"relevent"

> therefore Stevie is lying in claiming it does therfore Stevie has lied

Uhhhhhhhhhh...How does DAVE'S opinion make anything >>>I<<< have
said a "lie"...?!?!

> and according to stevie if you lie about one thing you are lying about
> everything

Where did I say THAT, Markie?

> therefore stevie is not a marine ( and never was) etc

Markie, with twisted and demented reasoning like that, it's no
wonder you're as sick and deviant as you are.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2783. Why Does Markie Make Demands? Why Does Markie Lie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:23:27 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133195007.251264.147820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125348

never was an old friend wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > Dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have
> > > do with radio?
> > >
> > > the answer is nothing which is Dave (and Stevie) are afraid to answer it
> >
> > I've provided you with an answer, Mark.
>
> Liar you have prvided no answer

You're the liar, Markie.

Have been all along.

That you don't LIKE the answer is one thing...To claim Dave
doesn't answer you IS the lie...

> > I've never been afraid to
> > answer the question which you put to Steve. You outed yourself through
> > stupidity or carelessness.
>
> more proof your your foolishness
> why does anybody sexaulity have anything to do with radio

What's 'sexauilty'...?!?!

> answer the question the question isn't about me

Obvioulsy it IS about you, Markie, since YOU keep bringing it up

> It is about Todd Brain and myself or anyone

Why do you include Brian in this?

> you and stevie think accuses (correctly or not someone of being
> homsexual has something to do with radio.

No....

YOU seem to think it has something to do with it, Markie, since
YOU keep brining it up.

> what does it have to do with radio

Was that a question?

> coward

Yes, you are.

> > Now that you've done so, there's no putting
> > that particular genie back in the bottle.
> >
> > By your numerous posts, it appears that you are more than anxious to
> > continue drawing attention to your bisexuality. I guess it pays to
> > advertise.
>
> wropng again stupid

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
! ! ! ! ! !

"wropng again stupid"

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

> I don't esp mind being known as Bisexaul

What's "bisexaul"...?!?!

> what I want to show is that you are a fool for caring whcih you prove again

The fool is the one who keeps asking 'what does sexaulty have to do
with radio'

> you are afraid to admit what the truth is
>
> there is relavance of ones sexuality to radio
>
> you are just a plain old fashioned gay basher

No, he's not.

However your post makes you a liar.

Ooooospppsssssss.........TOO LATE! You already DID that....

My bust!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2784. When Will Mark Morgan Seek Psychiatric Care for His Sex Addiction?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:26:28 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133195188.075971.80920@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125349

an old friend wrote:
> when stevie come clean and admit the the truth, that nobody sexuality
> has any real bearing on the radio?
>
> neveris my guess becuase Stevie is a coward

I haven't spent nights counting this up, Markie, but it the last 7 days, you've either initiated, changed the subject line of, or made one-line responses to posts about your deviance in at least 136 posts.

On the other hand, neither Dave nor I ahve initiated any such post.

Seek help.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2785. More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:31:14 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133195474.409231.176220@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125400

```
an old friend wrote:
> not an old friend wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > news:1133185373.740696.129890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
> >
> > >
> cut
> > > and why BTW do you wish to contribute to the dcline of the rule of law
> > > in the US
> > >
> > Nah, you can handle that along with the moral decline.
>
> no I don't support moral decay or the undermning of the rule of law

BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
```

## 2786. Stevie is coward and a liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:34:02 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133195642.126013.324160@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125401

K4YZ wrote:
> never was an old friend wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > Dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality have to have
> > > > do with radio?
> > > >
> > > > the answer is nothing which is Dave (and Stevie) are afraid to answer it
> > >
> > > I've provided you with an answer, Mark.
> >
> > Liar you have prvided no answer
>
> You're the liar, Markie.

not lying here
>
> Have been all along.
>
> That you don't LIKE the answer is one thing...To claim Dave
> doesn't answer you IS the lie...

it is not answer
>
> > > I've never been afraid to
> > > answer the question which you put to Steve. You outed yourself through
> > > stupidity or carelessness.
> >
> > more proof your your foolishness
> > why does anybody sexaulity have anything to do with radio
>
> What's 'sexauilty'...?!?!

coward
>
> > answer the question the question isn't about me
>
> Obvioulsy it IS about you, Markie, since YOU keep bringing it up
>
> > It is about Todd Brain and myself or anyone
>
> Why do you include Brian in this?
>
> > you and stevie think accuses (correctly or not someone of being
> > homsexual has something to do with radio.
>

> No....
>
> YOU seem to think it has something to do with it, Markie, since
> YOU keep brining it up.

liar you brought it in my case

I just ask why it is relavant

a quetion you are afraid to answer

you bring it with other

but you refuse to answer why it is relavnat
flushing the rest of stevie lie-athon

# 2787. Yet Another Feeble Five Back-Slapping Event

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:34:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133195663.667164.280610@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125402

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > what has radio to do sexuality
>
> Steve hasn't answered yet?
>
> Good grief! I can't imagine a guy that tries to tie every post of
> yours back to sexual preference wouldn't have an answer yet.

I'm not the one generating posts about "sexuality", Brain.

Your buddy in Chassell is.

> Maybe he's too busy retelling his seven hostile actions accounts.

At least I have them to tell, Brain.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2788. Stevie lies and avoids admit his bigotry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:36:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133195771.488252.310840@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125403

K4YZ wrote:
Re: More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan

stevie lies in title

> an old friend wrote:
flush

## 2789. stevie lies agian

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:37:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133195854.445448.292180@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125404

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > when stevie come clean and admit the the truth, that nobody sexuality
> > has any real bearing on the radio?
> >
> > neveris my guess becuase Stevie is a coward
>
> I haven't spent nights counting this up, Markie, but it the last 7
> days, you've either initiated, changed the subject line of, or made
> one-line responses to posts about your deviance in at least 136 posts.
>
> On the other hand, neither Dave nor I ahve initiated any such
> post.

liar

you posting such a thread the first day I showed up
>
> Seek help.

sekk it yourwelf for your pathological lieing
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2790. Stevie the pervert, stevie the coward, Stevie admit being demented

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:40:31 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133196030.977714.210070@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125405

K4YZ wrote:
> raped an old friend wrote:

> > Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.
>
> "relevent"
>
> > therefore Stevie is lying in claiming it does therfore Stevie has lied
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhh...How does DAVE'S opinion make anything >>>I<<< have
> said a "lie"...?!?!

by being a lie

it was lie before dave said and remians one now

>
> > and according to stevie if you lie about one thing you are lying about
> > everything
>
> Where did I say THAT, Markie?

several times a week
>
> > therefore stevie is not a marine ( and never was) etc
>
> Markie, with twisted and demented reasoning like that, it's no
> wonder you're as sick and deviant as you are.

just using your reasoning
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2791. Stevie contiues his cowardly attacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:45:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133196303.795710.283110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125406

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > what has radio to do sexuality
> >
> > Steve hasn't answered yet?
> >
> > Good grief! I can't imagine a guy that tries to tie every post of
> > yours back to sexual preference wouldn't have an answer yet.
>
> I'm not the one generating posts about "sexuality", Brain.

you are the one afraid to answer a simple question
>
> Your buddy in Chassell is.
>
> > Maybe he's too busy retelling his seven hostile actions accounts.
>
> At least I have them to tell, Brain.

so you can write fiction

you are still a coward, and a aproven liar by your words
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2792. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:51:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133196661.391646.15860@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125407

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > what has radio to do sexuality
> > >
> > > Steve hasn't answered yet?
> > >
> > > Good grief! I can't imagine a guy that tries to tie every post of
> > > yours back to sexual preference wouldn't have an answer yet.
> >
> > I'm not the one generating posts about "sexuality", Brain.
>
> you are the one afraid to answer a simple question

Nope.

It was answered a long time ago, Markie.

And re-answered, at least in part, in the very post you responded
to.

YOU are the one who keeps bringing sex up, Markie...

And with the possible exception of Toiddie, I don't think most
folks here care for your choices.

> > Your buddy in Chassell is.
> >
> > > Maybe he's too busy retelling his seven hostile actions accounts.
> >
> > At least I have them to tell, Brain.
>
> so you can write fiction

Nope.

> you are still a coward, and a aproven liar by your words

And you're still a functionally illiterate idiot, as proven by
YOURS!

Steve, K4YZ

## 2793. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:54:08 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133196848.200297.232580@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125408

stole from an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > raped an old friend wrote:
> > > Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.
> >
> > "relevent"
> >
> > > therefore Stevie is lying in claiming it does therfore Stevie has lied
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhh...How does DAVE'S opinion make anything >>>I<<< have
> > said a "lie"...?!?!
>
> by being a lie

You've not shown where anyone BUT you has uttered lies, Markie.

> it was lie before dave said and remians one now

Yes, Markie...Anything you said before Dave is a lie.

As a matter of fact, anything you say before ANYone is likely to be
a lie.

> > > and according to stevie if you lie about one thing you are lying about
> > > everything
> >
> > Where did I say THAT, Markie?
>
> several times a week

Obviously not.

> > > therefore stevie is not a marine ( and never was) etc
> >
> > Markie, with twisted and demented reasoning like that, it's no
> > wonder you're as sick and deviant as you are.
>
> just using your reasoning

You're not using ANYone's "reasoning", Markie, let alone mine.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2794. Stevie continues his cowardly lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:57:52 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133197072.747366.4110@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125409

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > what has radio to do sexuality
> > > >
> > > > Steve hasn't answered yet?
> > > >
> > > > Good grief! I can't imagine a guy that tries to tie every post of
> > > > yours back to sexual preference wouldn't have an answer yet.
> > >
> > > I'm not the one generating posts about "sexuality", Brain.
> >
> > you are the one afraid to answer a simple question
>
> Nope.
>
> It was answered a long time ago, Markie.
lier no naswer has ever been given by you or by Dave tilltoday where he
finaly admits it is not relavant

flsuh

## 2795. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 16:58:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133197080.966120.85370@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125410

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > when stevie come clean and admit the the truth, that nobody sexuality
> > > has any real bearing on the radio?
> > >
> > > neveris my guess becuase Stevie is a coward
> >
> > I haven't spent nights counting this up, Markie, but it the last 7
> > days, you've either initiated, changed the subject line of, or made
> > one-line responses to posts about your deviance in at least 136 posts.
> >
> > On the other hand, neither Dave nor I have initiated any such
> > post.
>
> liar

Yes, Markie...You're a LIAR! GOOD boy!

> you posting such a thread the first day I showed up

The "fist day (you) showed up" I just thought you were a
semi-illiterate idiot with no understanding of what you were ranting
about.

That was determined to be a fact.

SINCE then you've been proven to be a dishonest sexual predator,
probably with other dangerous latent preferences that civil people
don't care to be around.
-
> > Seek help.
>
> sekk it yourwelf for your pathological lieing

"Seek" "yourself" "lying"

What lying?

Steve, K4YZ

## 2796. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:00:53 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133197253.375663.81890@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125411

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > > what has radio to do sexuality
> > > > > >
> > > > > Steve hasn't answered yet?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Good grief! I can't imagine a guy that tries to tie every post of
> > > > > yours back to sexual preference wouldn't have an answer yet.
> > > > >
> > > > I'm not the one generating posts about "sexuality", Brain.
> > > >
> > > you are the one afraid to answer a simple question
> > >
> > Nope.
> > >
> > It was answered a long time ago, Markie.
> >
> lier no naswer has ever been given by you or by Dave tilltoday where he
> finaly admits it is not relavant

"Liar." "answer" "finally" "relevent"

Wait.

You say it's not been answered yet here you are admitting that
some answer HAS been given.

You're not only a liar, but you're a confused liar.

> flsuh

"flush"

What an idiot, Markie. Seek help.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2797. Stevie:the lie-athon continues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:01:29 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133197289.865841.287450@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125412

K4YZ wrote:
> stole from an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > raped an old friend wrote:
> > > > Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.
> > >
> > > "relevent"
> > >
> > > > therefore Stevie is lying in claiming it does therfore Stevie has lied
> > >
> > > Uhhhhhhhhhh...How does DAVE'S opinion make anything >>>I<<< have
> > > said a "lie"...?!?!
> >
> > by being a lie
>
> You've not shown where anyone BUT you has uttered lies, Markie.

I have shown you have lied many times
meaning you lied yet again flsuh

# 2798. More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:01:58 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133197318.240602.289360@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125413

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> Re: More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan
>
> stevie lies in title

Nope.

Right on the money.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2799. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:02:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133194196.826072.157030@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125414

Iitoi wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1133184267.825224.277420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> >
> > you are just a plain old fashioned gay basher
> >
>
> I never can work out why/how anyone would bash queers.
>
> How could you bear to get close enough to one of them to actually bash it?

you manage quite well

## 2800. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:03:11 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133197391.523663.267130@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125415

```
lied_to_an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > stole from an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > raped an old friend wrote:
> > > > > Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.
> > > >
> > > > "relevent"
> > > >
> > > > > therefore Stevie is lying in claiming it does therfore Stevie has lied
> > > >
> > > > Uhhhhhhhhhh...How does DAVE'S opinion make anything >>>I<<< have
> > > > said a "lie"...?!?!
> > >
> > > by being a lie
> >
> > You've not shown where anyone BUT you has uttered lies, Markie.
>
> I have shown you have lied many times
> meaning you lied yet again flsuh

No, you've not.

Steve, K4YZ
```

# 2801. Re: Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:05:42 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971C7A6721387naoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125416

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:1133191600.112603.263370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> not an old friend wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
>> news:1133185373.740696.129890@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>>
>> >
> cut
>> > and why BTW do you wish to contribute to the dcline of the rule of
law
>> > in the US
>> >
>> Nah, you can handle that along with the moral decline.
>
> no I don't support moral decay
>
I've read things posted by you that say you in fact DO support moral
decay, Mark Morgan. (see below)

http://tinyurl.com/82gql

## 2802. more lies to cover lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:05:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133197554.580615.304240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125417

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > Re: More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan
> >
> > stevie lies in title
>
> Nope.
>
> Right on the money.

nope absolute truth
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2803. the lie-athon just goes and on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:07:33 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133197653.128130.41050@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125418

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > > It was answered a long time ago, Markie.
> >
> > lier no naswer has ever been given by you or by Dave tilltoday where he
> > finaly admits it is not relavant
>
> "Liar." "answer" "finally" "relevent"
>
> Wait.
>
> You say it's not been answered yet here you are admitting that
> some answer HAS been given.

not by you

and no answer has been given in which sexuality is said to be conected
with radio

just the admision it is not
flush

## 2804. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:07:33 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133197653.636872.77210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125419

an old friend wrote:
> when will dave do the right thing and stop supporting your gay bashing
> buddies tactics?

I don't have any "gay buddies" to bash, and if I ahd any gay
buddies, I wouldn't bash them.

> afriad to engage in real dabte?

"Afraid" "debate"

With YOU...?!??!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!

> your bet you are all you have iyou and

WTF WAS THAT...?!?!

SOBER UP YA DEVIANT PUNK! DON'T SNORT AND TYPE!

> stvie is your gay bashing bull####

Markie, I bet if I showed your "stuff" to REAL gay men, THEY would
track you down and trounce you!

Steve, K4YZ

## 2805. Stevie lies on and on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:09:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133197742.194024.46330@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125420

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
cut
> >
> > liar
>
> Yes, Markie...You're a LIAR! GOOD boy!
no lies here you are lieing about not making an issue of sexuality

## 2806. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:09:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133197755.136015.236300@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125421

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > Re: More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan
> > >
> > > stevie lies in title
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Right on the money.
>
> nope absolute truth

So we agree that this is just MORE Markie Mularkie!

Thanks!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2807. Re: Dave is afraid of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:09:48 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971C7B18167AAnaoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125422

"Iitoi" <iitoi@earthlink.net> wrote in
news:iMFif.6370$N45.4972@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net:

>
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1133184267.825224.277420@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
>>
>> you are just a plain old fashioned gay basher
>>
>
> I never can work out why/how anyone would bash queers.
>
> How could you bear to get close enough to one of them to actually bash
> it?
>
It would appear from what I've read that Mark Morgan enjoys being gay
bashed. (or bashed by a gay) It gives him a deviant sexual thrill.

http://tinyurl.com/82gql

# 2808. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:11:37 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133197897.278485.91910@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125423

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> cut
> > > > It was answered a long time ago, Markie.
> > >
> > > lier no naswer has ever been given by you or by Dave tilltoday where he
> > > finaly admits it is not relavant
> >
> > "Liar." "answer" "finally" "relevent"
> >
> > Wait.
> >
> > You say it's not been answered yet here you are admitting that
> > some answer HAS been given.
>
> not by you

Sure it was!

And >>>I<<< have told you that it wouldn't >>BE<< a topic except
that YOU keep brining it up! These last five exchanges are ARCHIVED
PROOF that YOU are the one who keeps that ball rolling, Markie!

> and no answer has been given in which sexuality is said to be conected
> with radio
>
> just the admision it is not

More Markie Mularkie!

Steve, K4YZ

## 2809. the lie-athon go on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:12:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133197938.207739.94170@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125424

K4YZ wrote:
> lied_to_an_old_friend wrote:
there is one lie
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > stole from an old friend wrote:
there is number lie
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > raped an old friend wrote:
thier is number thre lie
> > > > > > Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "relevent"
> > > > > >
> > > > > > therefore Stevie is lying in claiming it does therfore Stevie has lied
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Uhhhhhhhhhh...How does DAVE'S opinion make anything >>>I<<< have
> > > > > > said a "lie"...?!?!
> > > > >
> > > > > by being a lie
> > > >
> > > You've not shown where anyone BUT you has uttered lies, Markie.
> >
> > I have shown you have lied many times
> > meaning you lied yet again flsuh
>
> No, you've not.

maiking the above a lie

4 lies one post
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2810. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:13:41 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133198021.453081.7370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125425

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> cut
> > >
> > > liar
> >
> > Yes, Markie...You're a LIAR! GOOD boy!
>
> no lies here you are lieing about not making an issue of sexuality

Uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh....No...

YOU are the one making an issue of it.

38 posts in the last 12 hours in which YOU bring it up.

I've been working back-to-back doubles until today and COULDN'T do
it if I ahd WANTED to ......

Steve, K4YZ

## 2811. lia-athon continued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:17:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133198246.830845.170390@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125426

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > cut
> > > > >
> > > > liar
> > >
> > > Yes, Markie...You're a LIAR! GOOD boy!
> >
> > no lies here you are lieing about not making an issue of sexuality
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh....No...
>
> YOU are the one making an issue of it.

liar I am asking why it is relavant a quetion you are too afarid to
answer
>
> 38 posts in the last 12 hours in which YOU bring it up.
>
> I've been working back-to-back doubles until today and COULDN'T do
> it if I ahd WANTED to ......

then answer the question coward
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2812. Stevie would rather lie than admit he has lied

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:19:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133198388.420594.121890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125427

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > cut
> > > > > > It was answered a long time ago, Markie.
> > > > >
> > > > > lier no naswer has ever been given by you or by Dave tilltoday where he
> > > > > finaly admits it is not relavant
> > > >
> > > > "Liar." "answer" "finally" "relevent"
> > > >
> > > > Wait.
> > > >
> > > > You say it's not been answered yet here you are admitting that
> > > > some answer HAS been given.
> > >
> > > not by you
> >
> > Sure it was!
> >
> > And >>>I<<< have told you that it wouldn't >>BE<< a topic except
> > that YOU keep brining it up! These last five exchanges are ARCHIVED
> > PROOF that YOU are the one who keeps that ball rolling, Markie!

you have archived proof you are a liar

you refuse to answer the question

you started it with gay pagan back in town

you lie
coward
flsuh

## 2813. new stevie lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:20:54 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133198454.881980.8590@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125428

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Re: More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan
> > > >
> > > > stevie lies in title
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Right on the money.
> >
> > nope absolute truth
>
> So we agree that this is just MORE Markie Mularkie!

another lie from the man hat has NO qualms about lying
>
> Thanks!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2814. stevie can't tel the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:24:46 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133198686.173878.104240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125429

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > when will dave do the right thing and stop supporting your gay bashing
> > buddies tactics?
>
> I don't have any "gay buddies" to bash, and if I ahd any gay
> buddies, I wouldn't bash them.
>
> > afriad to engage in real dabte?
>
> "Afraid" "debate"
>
> With YOU...?!??!

yes
with me
or anybody else
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!
>
> > your bet you are all you have iyou and
>
> WTF WAS THAT...?!?!
>
> SOBER UP YA DEVIANT PUNK! DON'T SNORT AND TYPE!
there you go making a sexal issue again

and I am not drunk there fore you have again
and the lieing drug reference
>
> > stvie is your gay bashing bull####
>
> Markie, I bet if I showed your "stuff" to REAL gay men, THEY would
> track you down and trounce you!

now you are advocating violence and lying as well
>
> Steve, K4YZ
```

## 2815. stevie must love lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:27:22 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133198841.959775.207650@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125430

after all it is all he seems to do

## 2816. can stevie tell the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:48:32 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133200111.990886.191550@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125431

good question

# 2817. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 17:48:42 UTC
From: FDR <_remove_spam_block_rzitka@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_pHif.36201$DL6.24839@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 125432
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"Roger" <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net> wrote in message
news:0lflo1lt65javtbknvdcdrag1dno9hal6q@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 08:14:08 +0900, "Brenda Ann"
> <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
>
>>
>>"David" <rickets@knac.com> wrote in message
>>news:vpqjo193ibpqu9as2c12h7p3a9ir6gtu5u@4ax.com...
>>> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
>>> <undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>Here comes Digital TV !!
>>>>
>>>>http://www.dtv.gov/
>>>>
>>>>(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
>>>> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
>>>> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>>>>
>>>>Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
>>>>the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
>>>>GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
>>>>Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
>>>>Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
>>>>will be soon!
>>>>Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
>>>>service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
>>>>will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>>>>
>>>>http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>>>>
>>>>"The target date for the end of the transition from
>>>>analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
>>>>That date may be extended, however, until most
>>>>homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
>>>>programming. At that point, broadcasting the
>>>>current "analog" channels will end and that spectrum
>>>>will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
>>>>is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
>>>>on both their digital and analog channels."
>>>>
>>>>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
```

>>>>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>>>>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>>>>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
>>>>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>>>>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
>>>>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
>>>>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.
>
> Tain't nearly that bad. It depends on what bits are missing, but what
> happens it the picture will start to break up if enough of the right
> bits are missing.
>
> You do need a good UHF antenna for local HDTV at present and probably
> will for Digital as well. Rabbit ears definitely will not cut it. and
> if you live near woods it's likely a small antenna on a roof mounted
> tripod won't either. I get a reasonably good signal for 60 to 90 miles
> and do copy one station quite well from nearly 100 miles (Traverse
> City), but their digital antenna is out this direction so I'm not sure
> just how far it is to the antenna.

I got a $20 plain-jane antenna and it works fine for HDTV.

>
>>>>
>>>>Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
>>>>pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>>>>
>>>>Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
>>>>consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
>>>>go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>>>>
>>>>
>>> Most people already have the necessary converter. It's called a cable
>>> box. The STBs for the rest of the peeps will most likely be ca $25.
>>> Closer to that than $100.
>>
>>
>>Ever price one of those cable boxes? They probably don't cost much to
>>build,
>>but if you go to buy them, they're on the order of $300-$400, at least the
>>addressable ones. The cheapies, that do no decoding still run into the
>>$100+
>>range for one that doesn't break in a month or two.
>>
>
> Yup. Free.
> Both my cable box and my digital satellite box were free with a years
> subscription. Actually the same went for the cable modem at 3 Mbs
> with free installation which meant running almost 200 feet of cable .
> It's a 130 feet from the pole so that's 160 feet and there are 3 drops
> in the house so I guess it's a tad more than 200 feet along with 3

> splitters which are cheap.
>
> I'll probably keep right on using the one old 37" analog set down in
> the basement until it gives out. The tuner gave out long ago, but I
> use it as a monitor with the VCR as the tuner.
>>
> Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
> (N833R, S# CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
> www.rogerhalstead.com

## 2818. the lie athon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 18:02:16 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133198098.674112.309620@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125433

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > when will dave do the right thing and stop supporting your gay bashing
> > buddies tactics?
>
> I don't have any "gay buddies" to bash, and if I ahd any gay
> buddies, I wouldn't bash them.

can't read english either
or spel the libe correctly
>
> > afriad to engage in real dabte?
>
> "Afraid" "debate"
>
> With YOU...?!??!
you are clearing afraid
you are a coward
all you are able to do a gay bash or pagan bash or play spelling cop
or lie about people

that accounts for 99 percent of your posting roughly

# 2819. Re: Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 18:06:43 UTC
From: "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com>
Message-ID: <1133201203.409089.206880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125435
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.shortwave

Michael Gardner wrote:
> In article <1133182713.627191.191440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>,
> "John S." <hjsjms@cs.com> wrote:
>
> > IF BPL and BIG prove to be reliable conduits that can generate more
> > income than exenses then there is no reason they shgould not go ahead.
> > The plumbing is already in place so why nor use it.
> >
> Because it will always be a pain in everyone's ass - it doesn't not work
> - it isn't ultimately fast enough to be interesting technology.
>
> The damn power companies are chasing fools gold - spending money on bpl
> that should be spent on fixing up their aging infrastructure. In the
> end, they will end up dragging fiber to the curb if not to the house or
> cable or wi-max - any number of technologies have better long term
> prospects.
> mgg
>
>
>
IF that is the case then BPL and BIG will fail. Although it is worth
noting that DSL service "doesn't always work" too. Distance from the
hub has a major impact on response time.

# 2820. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 18:07:27 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <cnhmo1t23948t4fikovc5829kkum2m0kpd@4ax.com>
Source record: 125434

On Fri, 25 Nov 2005 05:06:24 -0800, Frank Gilliland
<wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in
<5q1eo1h8olsf7iq26rc993fh0jpu75fl85@4ax.com>:

>http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/nassau01.jpg
.......
>http://www.icehouse.net/wirenut/nassau12.jpg

Whoops! Put them in the wrong directory. They are there now.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2821. Re: More Markie Mularkie Muddling Men's Minds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 18:10:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <qshmo1dguvpnd10iseh83bmrujert17nas@4ax.com>
Source record: 125436

On 28 Nov 2005 08:11:51 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
<1133194311.844012.252140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:

>
>raped an old friend wrote:
>> Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.
>
> "relevent"

"relevant"

Even as a spelling cop you are a dud, Dud.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2822. Re: weird ass hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 18:11:17 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <zKHif.46199$qw.33800@fed1read07>
Source record: 125437
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.arts.tv,rec.sport.pro-wrestling,us.military.army

What's weird is folks walking around today with brand labels, college labels, beer labels, music groups, etc on their shirts.
Why would anyone one want to be IDed with the Old Navy brand, KISS, or Coors Beer ??

I struck up a conversation with a chap that had Ohio State University on his sweat shirt -- turns out he never attended OSU - some one gave it to him !!

At least my shirt with my Ham callsign is ME.

Meet a lot of Hams that way too!

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

<trycya@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133127965.150796.217430@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> have you ever talked to a ham? There is a reason behind the "hat"
> (antenna). And the call sign well it identifies who we are.... we are
> not queer, just a bit of an unusual breed.
> Ham radio is fun and you get to meet some interesting characters on the
> air waves. give it a try one of these times it isn't that hard to
> study for the license.
>
> MM & MP, '73
> Tricia, KG6PNC
>

# 2823. Re: Electric Lines and Gas Pipes To Carry Internet To Princeton Illinois

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 18:17:30 UTC
From: bpl_guy <bpl_guy@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <438B49B9.D2766390@nospam.com>
Source record: 125438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

power companies would be better off running fiber
along the rights of way that already exist,

then wi-max it out or use the motorola system
to get it out to the users.

bpl companes will fail. slow transmision rates

how can they compete with broadband over cell ?

# 2824. Re: Frank = Babbles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 18:23:08 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <41imo1dv9cf255it1rhhk2vo2k6bignq3m@4ax.com>
Source record: 125439

On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 05:04:56 GMT, "Bubbles" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
in <Ydwif.1998$Hk1.1006@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>CB Frank Gilliland wrote:
>> On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 22:01:11 GMT, "Bubbles" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>> in <H0qif.1888$Hk1.908@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>>
>> <snip>
>>> As to Steve Robeson, Frank the CBer said he found information that a
>>> person with that name served in the USMC. Fancy that.

<major snip omitted..... fancy that>

>> K4YZ refuses to provide definitive proof of his claimed military
>> service, opting instead to argue the issue for seven years, mostly by
>> making retaliatory attacks, in literally thousands of posts that must
>> have taken hundreds if not thousands of hours to write, and to the
>> detriment of any credibility he may have had in this or any other
>> Usenet group.
>
>So, Steve refuses to provide proof. Well now, Frank, what the devil are
>you going to do about it?

What business is it of yours?

>> More succinctly, this is a circumstantial argument that leans HEAVILY
>> to the conclusion that K4YZ is lying about his claimed military
>> service. In fact, the argument is so strong that it casts doubt on the
>> sanity, motives, and/or credibility of anyone who defends him. Yet
>> that's what you do. And your only explanation is to say, "I've seen
>> nothing which indicates that Steve is a fake." Well, Dave, I think you
>> -can- seen what everyone else can see.
>
>I surely can, Frank. I see that same nothing that you see. I've seen
>absolutely zip offered as proof of your assertions. I see hunches,
>guesses, wild ideas and even some outright untruths.

Yep, I did a lot of prodding. That's how to find the tender spots. But
now that those tender spots have been found there won't be much
further speculation -- just persistent whining from Major Dud (and,
apparently, yourself).

>> The only question is why you
>> deny it. Care to answer that one? Or would you rather I find the
>> answer myself?
>

>Oh, if you'd like to play junior detective, Frank, go right ahead. Gee,
>this is almost like one of those television shows, isn't it?

I wasn't asking for your permission, Bubbles. If you don't want to
answer the question then that's your choice.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 2825. is Stevie an abused childhood liar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 18:24:40 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133202280.066634.246010@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125440

look at the eveidence

he will do anything to avoid admitng he has lied and made up stuff

a soimple explanation that fits the facts is the stevie lied and got
caught and brutaozed as a kid for lying

# 2826. Re: Retired Canadian Official Says UFO Coverup Is Real

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 18:41:26 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <mjvr53-ls9.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 125441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yojimbo wrote:
> One can't expect the truth from any American administration. Starting
> with Kennedy (Bay of Pigs) and with Johnson (Bay of Tonkin),
> presidents were regularly caught in lies, and two were impeached for
> lying (Nixon, Clinton).

Nixon was not impeached.

--
If John McCain gets the 2008 Republican Presidential nomination,
my vote for President will be a write-in for Jiang Zemin.

## 2827. when will Stevie learn to read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 18:57:05 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133204225.284334.68880@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125442

He obviously can't read siince he tries to answer a question : what
does anybodies sexuality have to do with radio with an attack on me

The question is what does ANYBODIES sexuality have to do with radio?

what does Mine?

what does Todd's have to do with radio?

what does BB's have to what does Hans' have to do radio? ( you have
accused him of pedophila and beastiality both)

what does anybody sexuality have to do with radio

and stop with the lies aboutmy bringin up my sexuality I have not done
I have asked what does anybodies have to do with radio

tell the truth if you can Stevie

but frankly i don't think you can

## 2828. more stevie like lies from an annymouse or is it stevie himself

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 19:04:10 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133204650.647981.69030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125443

not an old friend wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:1133191600.112603.263370@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
cut
> > no I don't support moral decay
> >
> I've read things posted by you that say you in fact DO support moral
> decay, Mark Morgan. (see below)

I have checked it

nothing there indicating I support moral decay or the sort of
lawlessness you are advocating
>

## 2829. box score on Stevie the cowardly liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 19:06:58 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133204818.111889.256700@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125444

I count about 34 lies today from stevie, and still Stevie refuses to
answer the question

what does anybody sexuality have to do with radio

## 2830. explainitory note

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 19:09:05 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133204945.590267.28570@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125445

Folsk I have decided to keep pressing Stevie for answer to why he brings up people sexuality as if it were relavant to radio until either I gte bored doing so (the most likely end) or stevie finaly admits the truth (don'thold your anyone well Dave if youd like to try holding yours till Stevie decides to come claen about anything please do)

## 2831. will stevie the coward ever come clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 19:13:04 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133205184.118522.257820@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125446

will Stevie ever admit the truth about his lies?
his threats?
his gay bashing?
his pagan bashing?
his deciet?
his fraud?
his forgeries?
his evasions?
his misdirections?
will stevie come clean about anything?

## 2832. Will dave apologize for his gaybashing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 19:14:55 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133205295.826889.292660@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125447

when will Dave apologize for his gay bashing his abusive of all moral decentcy indeed his failure to follow the teaching his claims are from God Himself

when will dave come claen on his moral failings?

## 2833. what disater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 19:16:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133205369.956261.291950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125448
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Kookwatch 968549 wrote:
> Here comes Digital TV !!
>
> http://www.dtv.gov/
>
> (....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>
> Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
> the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
> GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
> Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
> Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
> will be soon!
where is the disaster

# 2834. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 19:38:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133206690.325044.195160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:dmdatr$ieq$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >
> > "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
> > news:662dnSLfrOjigxfeRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
> >> The Magnum wrote:
> >>
> >> > *I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the masses.
> >>
> >> Maybe that would work in the UK, but here in the U.S. all you would hear
> >> is 'squeek sqawk, ten fer thar good buddy, got che ears on thar ten fer,
> >> we're coming atcha from down here in the big swamp, squeek sqawk echo
> >> echo echo echo".
> >
> > Lol... I know America has vast areas compared to the UK but don't you
> > think
> > Police could have been equipped with CB radios in NO?
>
> There was a time when "some" USA
> police forces had CB Ch 9 monitoring
> capability. I recall seeing highway signs stating that to
> be the case, but its been a long time since I remember seeing
> such signage. I doubt many of those still do today.

depends on where you are Bill
>
> > I remember hearing the
> > police bands were knocked out but I don't know whether they could talk
> > radio
> > to radio (Like CB) or if they needed to talk via a base.
>
> It depends on the radio system being used. If it is
> a repeater based system, odds are that rules out
> direct car to car capability.
>
> > Obviously police
> > couldn't have been issued with Amateur Gear (because they would be illegal
> > operators) but CB stuff they used to use years ago would have assisted
> > them
> > greatly in that respect. Most of the squeaking you refer to would be gone
> > due to base stations being off and cars being flooded. It would have to
```

> > have
> > been an organised person who remained on the air unaffected. Most of the
> > CB
> > fraternity would have heeded the evacuation call and got out or.. as so
> > many
> > Amateurs like to think of us, robbing the shops and being all lawless
> > like.
> > It must, in reality, have been a very scary hellhole for a lot of people
> > and
> > I certainly hope I never go through it.
> > I think all in all, joking aside, CB could have had (if it didn't anyway)
> > a
> > great part to play alongside Amateur radio in the relief and Police
> > co-ordination efforts.
>
> I don't recall even reading about any incident where CB was
> used at all. That doesn't mean it didn't happen
> somewhere, but it certaily appears that any CB activity
> was minimal at best in comparison to the role that amateur
> radio played.

reading about maybe not I saw hwat had to a Cb or Marine VHF unit in
use right alongside a ham unit (does any service besides CB and Marine
VHF have smal mobile units with channel 37 I don't think so which is
how I id the unit)
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

## 2835. why is stevie afraid of me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 19:50:23 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133207423.110388.267500@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125350

why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?

## 2836. why is stevie afraid of me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 19:50:23 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133207423.729277.69430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125351

why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?

# 2837. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 20:02:32 UTC
From: litoi <litoi.1z86tg@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <litoi.1z86tg@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 125353

K�HB Wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote
>
> "Multiple" DD-214 possession indicates either forgery or
> the most rare cases of a person going into the service, serving
> their whole time in one branch, then getting a discharge, going
> into civilian life, then rejoining again. ONE DD-214 for one of
> those "cycles" and only one per "cycle." Re-enlistment
> established PRIOR to ending the contracted term of military
> service does not result in a DD-214 issued for each "hitch."
>
> Your half-vast research has nailed you again, Len. You got it flat
> wrong. Just
> pure and simple mistaken, Len.
>
> Each ENLISTMENT results in a new DD214. I have one four, even though
> I had no
> breaks in service (did not "go into civilian life, then rejoin again").
> Block
> 11c on the earlier ones, block 9c on later one are captioned "Reason
> and
> Authority". In each case (except the last one) that block contains the
> reason
> "To immediately reenlist."
>
> In each case the reenlistment was "PRIOR to ending the contracted term"
> of the
> previous "hitch".
>
> No "forgery".... no broken service.... no gun-decking..... no
> transfer to
> another service, yet I have four DD214's and two DD215's, all carrying
> the
> official embossed seal of NARA.
>
> Sunuvagun.
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

More war stories for the Legion hall, Hans (or is it the VFW post?)????

But nice catch anyhow --- I'm sure it'll take Lenniepoo several days to spin his outright outlandish assertion about "only one DD214 per customer" into a VFW Lodge dissertation on ADA message traffic 50-odd years ago.

The Man in the Maze
QRU at Baboquivari Peak

--
Iitoi

## 2838. Re: why is stevie afraid of me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 20:45:23 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <D%Jif.6476$N45.4066@newsread1.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125352

He's afraid of you for the same reason I fear of you --- exposure to
gay-borne STD's.

--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

# 2839. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 21:25:39 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133213139.471560.203330@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125354

From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Nov 27, 7:21 am

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Sat, Nov 26 2005 4:49 pm
>> >Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > From: hot-ham-and-che...@hotmail.com on Tues, Nov 22 2005 8:12 pm

>> >> > Hmmm...not a brand I recognize in this corner of the USA. The
>> >> > "Newman's Own" line of food products may not be popular farther
>> >> > east in the USA? I've tried "Newman's Own" Ranch dressing but
>> >> > personally prefer the "Hidden Valley" brand for Ranch dressing.
>
>> >> I had you pegged as a "Hidden Agenda" man.
>
>> >Says "Sun-dried Tomato" from WV.
>
>> Squash him before he spoils everything...
>
>Too late. He's gone balsamic on us.

...forever sour and bitter... :-)

>> >> That may have been the topic in the cozy lodge or it may have been your
>> >> tiny, old, dusty Johnson. That Anderson Powerpole bit may be a bit of
>> >> self-aggrandizement on your part.
>
>> >Everyone seems to have "thier" own opinion on the subject.
>
>> "Obvioulsy"
>
>Anyway, probably a better solution than a twisted pair and a wire nut.
> ;^)

Tsk, Davie missed the discussion on Anderson PowerPole connectors
for mobile and portable radio installations that went on for
quite a while in rec.radio.amateur.homebrew. I think he should
have jumped right in and sprinkled his usual balsamic vinegar
in there...showing everyone his mighty radioness... :-)

>> Marinated herring is pretty good with rye bread, but kippers
>> became the IN thing for breakfast in jolly old UK. The British
>> Isles had the blue face paint thing. See the fictious film
>> biography of Scotsman William Wallace in "Braveheart."
>
>That wasn't factual?

Names and dates were correct. The rest is up to history buffs
of the northern British Isles...

Heil wishes to have all who disagree with him drawn and quartered
in the manner of William Wallace? [with the five remaining body
parts buried in far-distant locations?]

>> >Is there a CQ WWW this weekend? Never heard of it.
>
>> :-)
>
>> >Maybe John Dorr could shed some light on your "assertion of fact."
>
>> Don't let the Dorr hit Davie's assertion on the way out...
>
>A free issue of World Radio magazine showed up in my mail last week.
>One editorial had some interesting things to say about emergency comms
>(or is that "coms?") being incompatible with a rtty contest.

<shrug> Morse code MUST shine forever and ever just as it did
in 1912. Radio amateurs have always been first responders in
each and every emergency/disaster with their miraculous CW
rigs that managed to survive when the entire infrastructure of
commercial/professional communications failed.

Ergo, cellphones are no huckin good for anything. :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2840. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 21:27:47 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133213267.725103.303240@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125355

From: K0HB on Nov 27, 7:55 am

><LenAnder...@ieee.org> wrote

>Your half-vast research has nailed you again, Len. You got it flat wrong. Just
>pure and simple mistaken, Len.

Tsk, you are doing your Don Rickles impersonation again. Did you
get a DVD of "CPO Sharkey" and study his acting again?

I looked for, and obtained, BuPers 1900.8B (effective 10 May 05).
It is 102 pages long and describes what to do and where to put it
in a RELEASE FROM ACTIVE DUTY form DD-214. Just fire up your
search (and destroy?) computer and get your own.

I didn't "research" anything a few days ago, super chief. Since
my single DD-214 was obtained 49 years ago, I'd never done a lot
of "research" on it...although several government agencies have
since used that - and several more - documents to "research" me.
They did their own "research."

A DD-214 form is from the Department of Defense. That is used by
ALL the United States military. Knowing that you go semper-
fiddling for the NAVY more than anything, I looked for and got
the NAVY regulation regarding that form and what everything
is supposed to be on it and explanations of all the acronyms,
abbreviations, and special terms. PRODIGIOUS! Far-out! Super
detail and almost microscopic delineation of so many different
reasons to GET OUT OF ACTIVE DUTY! Wow!

Okay, to "research:" Getting out the mental fine-tooth comb, I see
where my general statement is partly wrong NOW! I ALSO see where
my general statement is partly RIGHT now! Am I going to argue
those things? No. It isn't worth it in regards to CIVIL radio
regulations. Doesn't apply one bit to CIVIL matters, except for
certain select civil service jobs...or running for political office.

>No "forgery".... no broken service.... no gun-decking..... no transfer to
>another service, yet I have four DD214's and two DD215's, all carrying the
>official embossed seal of NARA.

I don't give a fork how many DD-214 forms you have. I don't give
a fork if you had a CORRECTION TO DD-214 (that's what a DD-215 is
used for) multiple times. I don't give a fork if you served ON the
DD214 (USS Borie, sunk in 1943). I don't give a fork if you had
your forms signed by the Chief of Naval Operations or Dubya hisself.

The point is - for THIS venue - that Dudly the Imposter has NEVER made a single part of his alleged DD-214 visible to any of us. Frank Gilliland has made available a PART of his DD-214 visible to anyone who accessed the link he provided. I can send a digitized image of my single DD-214 or even hand-carry a copy to some stupor-patriot demanding proof. That includes my Honorable Discharge certificate issued in 1960. Fork them stupor-patriots.

Now, if you want to support an obvious liar, forger, identity-thief and general all-around snow-job dumbsnit like Dudly, go for it. Dudly DOES have an Amateur Extra license and he DID pass a 20 WPM code test. Whoopee. BIG credit to United States amateur radio! Role-model! A poster boy in a flight suit of the CIVIL Air Patrol!

Jimmie Noserve doesn't have any DD-214. He will never have one. He can never get one.

I got my single DD-214 49 years ago after serving three continuous years in an HF radio communications station of the U.S. Army. Did nothing but HF, VHF, UHF, microwave radio operation and maintenance as well as being a soldier whose primary mission was "to close with and destroy the enemy." Not one single DD-215 filed on that. Didn't
need one to be. My Honorable Discharge from the United States Army was effective in April, 1960.

Now, I've not been a "researcher" on the U.S. Navy Bureau of Personnel since 1960, one of those hell-bent on proper crossing of Ts and dotting of the right i (or the left i). My "research" has been in regard to electronics and doing my CIVILIAN job...which wound up having more to do with military electronics than any of the four years I spent on active duty during my single voluntary enlistment, an enlistment that began DURING a hot shooting war.

As far as United States government agency regulations on CIVILIAN radio, especially in regards to a HOBBY radio activity such as amateur radio, I can't see why in the hell you get so wrought up about USN/USMC regulations? None of that applies to CIVIL radio, does it?

No, I have to take that back. You are a manager in civilian life and you take amateur radio very, very seriously. You support and defend all amateur extras, especially those who can do morse code. In your outlook on this hobby activity, you get militant and demand discipline and dedication to this hobby "service." All must pass in review properly or be subject to some sort of verbal "captain's mast." You want to be in command. Of the USS Caine?

Temper fry.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2841. Re: Dave = "Bubbles"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 21:30:53 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133213453.019362.144030@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125356

From: Frank Gilliland on Sun, Nov 27 2005 7:36 pm

>On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 22:01:11 GMT, "Bubbles" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

><snip>
>>As to Steve Robeson, Frank the CBer said he found information that a
>>person with that name served in the USMC. Fancy that.
>
>Fancy this:
>
>I have found DoD records both a Steven J. Robeson (USMC, E-7) and a
>Steven (no MI) Robeson (USCG, E-3).
>
>There has been no evidence provided by -anyone- that proves K4YZ is
>-either- of those two servicemen.
>
>Absence of evidence is not proof. IOW, the fact that K4YZ refuses to
>provide evidence of his military service is -not- proof that he
>served.

True.

>The meager information that K4YZ -has- provided, wittingly or not,
>about his claimed military service has either been contradictory or
>completely wrong; and the number of those discrepancies continues to
>grow almost every time he posts.

True again.

>K4YZ has demonstrated that he is more than willing to provide proof to
>defend and support his arguments when he is able to do so.

He has not been able to "do so" for seven years in here.

Not one single image anywhere in public of any documents
proving his service claims.

Not one single image anywhere in public of him in uniform
during his alleged 18 year active-duty USMC service.

>K4YZ refuses to provide definitive proof of his claimed military
>service, opting instead to argue the issue for seven years, mostly by
>making retaliatory attacks, in literally thousands of posts that must
>have taken hundreds if not thousands of hours to write, and to the
>detriment of any credibility he may have had in this or any other
>Usenet group.

His lack of credibility is considered incredible.

>More succinctly, this is a circumstantial argument that leans HEAVILY
>to the conclusion that K4YZ is lying about his claimed military
>service. In fact, the argument is so strong that it casts doubt on the
>sanity, motives, and/or credibility of anyone who defends him. Yet
>that's what you do. And your only explanation is to say, "I've seen
>nothing which indicates that Steve is a fake." Well, Dave, I think you
>-can- seen what everyone else can see. The only question is why you
>deny it. Care to answer that one? Or would you rather I find the
>answer myself?

All extra morsemen seem to need to stick together. Perhaps by
some bizarre emotional crazy glue...an attachment stuck on by
once having passed a federal high-rate telegraphy test required
in a hobby radio activity.

Heil is arguing because he has a need to argue and to put others
down that once challenged him on anything. Seems like mild
sociopathy on his part. Maybe Heil has cashew nuts?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2842. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 21:35:38 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133213738.498763.129120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125357

From: "an old friend" on Sun, Nov 27 2005 6:55 pm

>N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> Dave Heil wrote:
>> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
>> > >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >>> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
>> > >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >>>>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am
>>
>> > > DIRECT as in laying on of hands,
>> > > moving controls, operating, all that stuff.
>> >
>> > I get the feeling that your knowledge of radio operating might be a
>> > little light. Are you of the opinion that operating a radio falls under
>> > "all that stuff"?
>>
>> Len does not consider "operating skills" to be of much (if any) value.
>
>a fair enough assesment of len views

I disagree, Mark. I do not consider a RADIOTELEGRAPHY TEST to be
any "operating skill" worthy of being part of an amateur radio
operator's license.

The FCC has had that viewpoint. They said so in public documents.

Stupor-patriot morsemen think morse code telegraphy skills are
all that is "operating skill."

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2843. Re: why is stevie afraid of me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 21:39:31 UTC
From: Mark Morgan <MM@pu.net>
Message-ID: <dmftlh$1dvp$1@usenet2.ath.cx>
Source record: 125359

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1133207423.729277.69430@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?
>
Hey, do you have a dial-up account to go with your direcpc internet
connection out of Maryland?

# 2844. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 21:39:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133213986.434571.62230@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125358

From: N..@AOL.COM on Nov 27, 3:55 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am

>Because if you did "RF transmission in amateur bands" without the proper
>license, you could be breaking the law, Len. That would be a very bad thing.

Feel free to attempt a citizens' arrest any time... :-)

>I get along with all sorts of people, Len. Including many who disagree with
>me.

Not absolutely true... :-)

>You're the one that has the problem getting along with others if they
>don't agree with everything you say.

Tsk, with Jimmy it's always the other guy's fault... :-)

>> DIRECT from a maritime transceiver as a civilian.
>
>Worldwide? I don't think so.

Depends on the ionosphere... :-)

>> DIRECT from a government radio transmitter.
>
>Are you authorized to do so?

Yes. :-)

>> DIRECT as in laying on of hands,
>> moving controls, operating, all that stuff.
>
>Neither of those are *your* equipment, licensed to *you*, are they?

No problem on whose radios those are..."direct operation" is just
doing as I said... :-)

>The standards are a lot newer than "70 years old", Len.

Name some.

The morse code test has been in amateur radio regulations
for 71 years. :-)

>As to why anyone would fuss with Morse Code in 2005, the
>reasons are the same as why anyone would fuss with:
>
>- cars that have manual transmissions instead of automatics

No problem to me...I learned to drive in a manual-transmission auto.
Didn't have to know morse code to drive...

>- sailboats instead of power boats

Sailing under the wind takes much less fuel than power boats...and
it is nice to do. didn't have to know morse code to sail.

>- Drawing and painting instead of photography

No problem to me...I did all three as a kid, still do. Didn't
have to know morse code to do any of those three.

>- Performing music instead of playing recordings

Tsk, how do you think recordings got to BE recordings? :-)

No morse code knowledge required in music.

>- Bicycles, running and walking for transport instead of motor vehicles

No problem to me. Last California DMV retest (and every one
before that) didn't require any morse code skill.

>- Stairs instead of elevators

How do you categorize escalators? :-)

No morse code knowledge required for stairs, escalators, or
elevators.

>- Homemade food instead of packaged

How do you categorize campfire cooking? :-)

Cooking doesn't require morse code knowledge.

>Because I know something of those times and the creation of the
>Extra class license.

Ah, but you weren't THERE in all those times... :-)

>> YOU have talked much about Reggie Fessenden and his carbon-mike-in-
>> the-antenna "AM voice transmission" of 1906 and (allegedly) 1900.
>
>That's right. Was any of my information incorrect?

Weren't you reading from your own lab notes when you described
all that happening 99 to 105 years ago? :-)

Show me ANY evidence that ANY AM transmitter since 1906 has
used amplitude modulation via a carbon microphone in series
with the antenna lead... :-)

>> I was there then, did it, came back. Never used
>> any morse code then on three dozen transmitters, never had to.
>
>Because you were a transmitter technician, not a radio operator.

That's not what the U.S. Army said. :-)

Wasn't a single morse code "operating" facility in that whole
large station, Jimmy.

>It's odd that you take pride in what you did *not* do...

You betcha...I took pride in NOT breaking any regulations or
Articles in the UCMJ. :-)

>It sure does seem that you talk about things that happened long
>before you were born, but get mad when others talk about things
>that happened long before *they* were born. As if it's OK for
>you to do but not others.

Tsk, I was 20 years old in 1953, Jimmy. Already been born
two decades prior. :-)

>> >"Radio" is a subset of electrical engineering.
>
>> Incorrect. It is a part of electronics, a technology discipline.
>
>Nope. It's a separate subset. Electronics does not include things
>like antennas. Radio does.

Are "antennas" a whole separate field of physics? :-)

>> >"Electronics" is a subset of electrical engineering.
>
>> INCORRECT.
>
>What parts of electronics are not covered by electrical engineering,
>Len?

Tsk, tsk, trying to get around your gaffe by bringing in
"engineering?" :-)

>> Electronics is one TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE of physics.
>
>No, it isn't.
>
>Physics is a science. Electrical engineering is a form of engineering,
>and electronics is a subset of that.

Sigh...you still haven't gotten the correct definitions... :-(

>Your mistakes (like the ones Hans pointed out
>about DD-214s) are embarrassing.

You are confused. I made NO mistake about DD-214s. I have one.
You don't have one, can never have one...

>> >Radio and electronics have some things in common, but they are not
>> >identical, and one is not a subset of the other.
>
>> Amateur radio definitions seldom jibe with the rest of the
>> world of electronics...and radio. :-)
>
>Yours don't jive with anything - like your spelling.

The word "jibe" (with a B, not a V) is perfectly correct in my
context. Look it up. :-)

>> >> NPRM 05-143 is singularly about the telegraphy test. [that's
>> >> what this "english teacher" of the thread title was commenting
>> >> on] That NPRM has NOTHING to do with radiotelephony, radiodata,
>> >> teletypewriter over radio, slow or fast-scan television,
>> >> facsimile over radio. The amateur radio license tests have
>> >> NO test elements for physically OPERATING any radio, are not
>> >> required to have radio equipment AT a license exam site.
>
>> >So? Why is that significant?
>
>> Why do you consider yourself so "significant?" :-)
>
>It's not about me, Len.

Tsk, everything you post in here is about YOU. :-)

>> >> The sole manual test for anything at any amateur license exam
>> >> is about telegraphy, telegraphy as used on amateur radio (there
>> >> is NO landline telegraphy tested), more technically,
>> >> radiotelegraphy. As it is NOW, that is.
>
>> >And that's a good thing.
>
>> It is a "good thing" only to those that took that test and
>> passed it, thus fulfilling the "proper jump through hoops"
>> of "tradition." :-)
>
>Incorrect. There were comments to the FCC by people who had
>not passed the Morse Code test which said it was a good thing
>and should be retained.

Sorry, Jimmy, they were outnumbered by those who wanted the TEST
gone. :-)

>If you actually read all of the comments, you'd know that.

Each and every filing from 15 July 2005 to 23 November 2005.
3,795 of them. :-)

>When you make a sweeping general statement, and someone
>proves an exception, the statement is shown to be false. That's
>basic logic.

No, Jimmy, all that proves is EXCEPTIONS. :-)

There's NO "logic" in your exceptions statements, only spite...

>> YOU are NOT in the FCC.
>
>Neither are you, Len.

YOU are NOT in the FCC. Never were. It doesn't look like you
will ever be in the FCC...

>> Tsk, your definition of "polite" seems to be everyone agreeing
>> with you and giving your gratuitous praise for whatever you do.
>
>Nope. That's *your* definition.

Tsk, another morseman with the "mirror syndrome" displayed.

Whatever will your friends and neighbors think? :-)

>FCC does not consider
>*you* to be qualified to operate an amateur radio station, though.

Wrong on two accounts. First of all, I already got a Commercial
Radiotelephone license 49 years ago so the FCC "qualified" me
(legally) to do so. Secondly, I've never tested for any amateur
radio license so the FCC cannot say I am either "qualified" or
"unqualified." Third, by law, to operate ON THE FREQUENCIES
allocated only to radio amateurs requires an amateur radio
license, neither "qualification" or "unqualification" but just
a condition of the regulations.

>> limited. NOT "all sorts of equipment" either since there are
>> exceptions stated in Part 97. Look those up.
>
>Don't have to look them up, I know them.

Try a dictionary...look up "jibe." :-)

>It's understandable that you'd not understand a superior intellect, Len

When one shows up, I'll be sure NOT to understand them.

>OTOH I understand you all too well. That's why you're so hostile to me.

"Hostile?" What you mean "hostile," white man?

Po' bebbe, y'all been trin' ta shoot down all them NCTAs fer
years and ya dint do it. Tsk, tsk. All dat HOSTILE in-tent
of yourn.

>> You have taken a Poll to confirm this? :-)
>
>Yes ;-)

Prove that.

>Len, you're and NCI BELIEVER. You're so biased that you cannot
>conduct enough to see what really happens.

Oh, my, you are doing the word-twisting thing today!

I was against the code test long before Bruce Perens put NCI
together. Pay attention.

I don't speak FOR NCI but you are going to try to MISDIRECT
the thread flow to make that a cause celebre'. Not buying
that.

>> Who the fork are you to presume *I* MUST demonstrate to YOU
>> some kind of committment and dedication?!?!?
>
>Who do I have to be?

A normal, logical person instead of the behavior you display here.

>> Try to think about marriage for YOU, Jimmy. It would make you
>> less of a one-track Believer.
>
>Len, for all you know, I could have more marriage experience than
>you have.

Judging by all the time you spend in here...I'd say you have NO
"experience" right now. :-) Or you don't really work for a
living (thereby getting more free time to surf the newsgroup).

You are already in the Dudly the Imposter category, saying so
many things yet not proving them.

>Yes, Len, your control-freak ego sure does go off at times.
>Telling everyone How It Should Be.

Tsk, more mirror gazing on your part, Jimmy.

All I'm doing - in between replying to some of the PCTA heckling -
is trying to get rid of the code test.

You've already taken that test, will never have to test for it
again unless you miss the last renewal date and expire that
license.

YOU are telling ALL NEWCOMERS to test for morse code. You've been
doing that for years. Why are you so hostile to newcomers?

>> >Afraid you'll be proven wrong?
>
>> Tsk, there you go again with nasty attitude.

>
>IOW, yes, you're afraid.

You are nuts.

>> Have been for a very long time...ever since getting my
>> "first job in radio."
>
>So you're old. Big deal. You want a merit badge?

At the end of my "first job in radio" I got a DD-214. You don't
have one. You will never have one of your own. You are too old.

>> WHAT are YOU going to tell ME?
>
>That Morse Code is alive and well in the amateur bands.

Wonderful for you, then. You will always have a morseman to play
with.

>> Is contesting "operation" your main interest in amateur radio?
>
>One of my main interests in amateur radio. I have several. You don't
>seem to have any.

"It must drive you nuts not knowing" what my interests are... :-)

>Considering your near-complete ignorance of Morse Code and
>amateur radio, it's a good thing you didn't talk about those
>subjects.

"Near-complete ignorance?!?"

<insert three lines of Dudly cackling in here>

>> Sunday is another nice
>> get-together with good people, and I don't expect any of the
>> talk will be about amateur radio or morse code or contests or
>> the beeping state of the radio art.
>
>That's good, considering that you're hardly a good role model.

"Hardly a good role model?!?"

Tsk, tsk, that is HOSTILITY, Jimmy. Why are you so hostile?

>> No "contests" of any real kind. Sunnuvagun!
>
>Can't take the competition, huh?

Try to understand that normal social behavior is NOT about
"competition." Normal, that is, not some HOSTILE type who
always has to sound more important than the group...such
behavior exemplified by the PCTA.

>This past weekend I hosted Thanksgiving for 12 people ?
>friends and family.

What has that to do with amateur radio?

>I cooked a 21 pound turkey with homemade
>stuffing, made homemade bread, did it up right. Others did the
>vegetables and desserts.

Do you want a BSA cooking merit badge for that?

>Can you cook, Len?

Of course I can...do you need lessons?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2845. Re: why is stevie afraid of me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 21:59:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133215183.057922.94830@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125360

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> He's afraid of you for the same reason I fear of you --- exposure to
> gay-borne STD's.
>
>
Stevie would only be en danger from if 2 thing were ture

one I had any STD
two if stevie wantedto have sex with me (something I suspect

as to yourself your are clearly paranoid

# 2846. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:01:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <F6Lif.3093$A23.1111@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125361

<LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote

>> "Multiple" DD-214 possession indicates either forgery or
>> the most rare cases of a person going into the service, serving
>> their whole time in one branch, then getting a discharge, going
>> into civilian life, then rejoining again. ONE DD-214 for one of
>> those "cycles" and only one per "cycle." Re-enlistment
>> established PRIOR to ending the contracted term of military
>> service does not result in a DD-214 issued for each "hitch."

> I ALSO see where my general statement is partly RIGHT now!

Sorry. No credit. Not even partial credit. When you go to some length to assert that multiple DD214's are "forgery" or "most rare", you get no partial credit from BUPERS (by the way, it's BUPERSINST 1900.8B, not BuPers 1900.8B) when their official instructions say exactly the opposite.

Good luck on this one now.

Beep beep
de CPO Sharkey

# 2847. Re: why is stevie afraid of me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:02:37 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971CACC4DC293naoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125362

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133215183.057922.94830
@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:

>
> Gr�mw�tch th� �nfl�pp�bl� wrote:
>> He's afraid of you for the same reason I fear of you --- exposure to
>> gay-borne STD's.
>>
>>
> Stevie would only be en danger from if 2 thing were ture
>
> one I had any STD
>
You've had them all? YAK!

# 2848. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:03:50 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133215430.106796.149020@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125363

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: "an old friend" on Sun, Nov 27 2005 6:55 pm
>
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> Dave Heil wrote:
> >> > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
> >> > >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > >>> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
> >> > >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > >>>>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am
> >> >
> >> > > > DIRECT as in laying on of hands,
> >> > > > moving controls, operating, all that stuff.
> >> > >
> >> > I get the feeling that your knowledge of radio operating might be a
> >> > little light. Are you of the opinion that operating a radio falls under
> >> > "all that stuff"?
> >>
> >> Len does not consider "operating skills" to be of much (if any) value.
> >
> >a fair enough assesment of len views
>
> I disagree, Mark. I do not consider a RADIOTELEGRAPHY TEST to be
> any "operating skill" worthy of being part of an amateur radio
> operator's license.

my apologies I was trying to simply agee on paper with Jim as a
Retorical tactic I was also accepting the screwed up procode difer that
says Cw test was the same as operating skill (just tryin some
hypothecials to see if Jim could get past the nonsense or if Jim is as
traped as Stevie and Dave
>
> The FCC has had that viewpoint. They said so in public documents.
>
> Stupor-patriot morsemen think morse code telegraphy skills are
> all that is "operating skill."
>
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 2849. Re: why is stevie afraid of me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:08:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133215715.162072.201530@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125364

not an old friend wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133215183.057922.94830
> @g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
>
> >
> > Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> >> He's afraid of you for the same reason I fear of you --- exposure to
> >> gay-borne STD's.
> >>
> >>
> > Stevie would only be en danger from if 2 thing were ture
> >
> > one I had any STD
> >
> You've had them all? YAK!

more lies for cowards

# 2850. Re: Retired Canadian Official Says UFO Coverup Is Real

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:10:48 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133215848.234240.174210@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

yojimbo wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 12:41:26 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:
> > yojimbo wrote:
> > > One can't expect the truth from any American administration. Starting
> > > with Kennedy (Bay of Pigs) and with Johnson (Bay of Tonkin),
> > > presidents were regularly caught in lies, and two were impeached for
> > > lying (Nixon, Clinton).
> >
> > Nixon was not impeached.
>
> Picking at nits instead of facing the underlying issues? Typical.

you lied plain and simple
>
> Nixon was about to be impeached, and he knew that he would be
> convicted if he were impeached.
maybe maybe not

>He resigned from office in disgrace
> and had to be pardoned by his lap dog, Ford.

maybe

>
> Clinton WAS impeached, beat the charge, but lost his right to practice
> law because he perjured himself.
>
> The underlying issue is dishonesty: It is a common thread running
> through all US presidencies. Look at Bush: He lied about weapons of
> mass distruction and had Colin Powell make a fool of himself in a
> speech before the U.N. Powell has said it was the low point of his
> career.
>
> And then there was Oliver North and his illegal arms for hostages
> deals during the Regan presidency. There is little doubt that Regan
> knew about it but had maintianed "plausible deniability."
>
> Now name some Canadian Prime Ministers who were similarly dishonest
> (Hell, you probably can't name the current P.M. without looking it up
> on the web.)

No I can't name him

Know why? becuase he does not matter in th world

# 2851. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:18:30 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133216310.770833.282860@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125366

KØHB wrote:
> <LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
> >> "Multiple" DD-214 possession indicates either forgery or
> >> the most rare cases of a person going into the service, serving
> >> their whole time in one branch, then getting a discharge, going
> >> into civilian life, then rejoining again. ONE DD-214 for one of
> >> those "cycles" and only one per "cycle." Re-enlistment
> >> established PRIOR to ending the contracted term of military
> >> service does not result in a DD-214 issued for each "hitch."
> >
> >
> > I ALSO see where my general statement is partly RIGHT now!
> >
> Sorry. No credit. Not even partial credit. When you go to some length to
> assert that multiple DD214's are "forgery" or "most rare", you get no partial
> credit from BUPERS (by the way, it's BUPERSINST 1900.8B, not BuPers 1900.8B)
> when their official instructions say exactly the opposite.
but each dd214 makes the preceeding basicaly obsolete so one realy has
one effetive dd214 perhaps 2 or three in rare cases

Civilian are not required to use terms only as provided for by the
Military (nor are military folks

but you all are making much ado about nothing (hardly new around here
>
> Good luck on this one now.
>
> Beep beep
> de CPO Sharkey

## 2852. stevie one use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:19:42 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133216382.829349.287020@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125367

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On 28 Nov 2005 08:11:51 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> <1133194311.844012.252140@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>
> >
> >raped an old friend wrote:
> >> Dave agrees that sexuality is not relavant to radio.
> >
> > "relevent"
>
>
> "relevant"
>
> Even as a spelling cop you are a dud, Dud.

stevie has exactly one use: as a bad example
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
> ----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 2853. why is stevie afraid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:21:20 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133216480.421051.51900@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125369

why is stevie so afriad of everyone that disgrees with?

# 2854. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:22:52 UTC
From: Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7b0no1dbdd6be7ck4bpoperis6ekpijcvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 125368
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
<undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:

[snip]

>
>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.

My situation exactly. While I'm at 2500' ASL and my local stations
are at 8800' and 4500', there are higher peaks between them and me. So
signal levels are down and reflections off local peaks (that are
line-of-sight to me and the transmitters) are as strong as those via
the obstructed path.

This is a technological disaster, which of course is what you get when
you put politicians in charge of science projects.

DTV, BPL, the morning after pill, all screwed up by politicians with
agendas.

## 2855. why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:24:40 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133216680.181353.186490@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125370

why is stevie so afraid of Todd?

why does he obsesse over Todd s actin try to bash him with lies

becuase stevie has nothing in himself

## 2856. why is stevie afraid of Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:25:39 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133216739.590832.308220@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125371

whymust stevie resort to trying to have brain comitted rather than deal
with him honestly and openly

# 2857. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:26:35 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133216795.225094.229700@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125372

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> >> >Did you work for FCC in 1951, Len? Did you see FCC chuckling
> >> >at handwritten letters?
> >
> >> In 1951 I was working at my first full-time job, nowhere
> >> close to DC.
> >
> >So you don't really know what you're talking about when you
> >talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.
>
> Having seen some of the handwritten "comments" sent in on
> the 2,272 filings in WT Docket 98-143 and ALL of the 3,795
> filings in WT Docket 05-235, some are a hilarious barrel
> of laffs! :-)
>
> [ chuckle, chuckle ]

So you really don't know what you're talking about when
you talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.

> By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after the
> twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest being
> made on 5 August 2005! :-)

Why does that matter?

> 98-143 had an average of 206 filings per month while 05-235
> had 949 per month. The percentage of written letter filings
> on 98-143 was 10.4 while on 05-235 it was only 2.2 percent.

IIRC you had to file on 98-143 by mail.....you couldn't get ECFS
to work for you back then.....

(snort...guffaw...)
>
> >> >Back about 1964 - a bit more than a dozen years after 1951, and more
> >> >than 25 years before "the internet went public", the proposed changes
> >> >that would come to be known as "incentive licensing" caused FCC to
> >> >receive over 6000 comments. Back then the US amateur population was
> >> >less than half what it is today, and practically all of them went by US
> >> >mail.

> >
> >> >Did the FCC "chuckle" over them?
> >
> >> Did you work for FCC in 1964, Jim-Jim? Did you see all those
> >> "6000" comments?
> >
> >No - but they existed, nonetheless.
>
> Riiiiight...you went to the Reading Room at the FCC to "see"
> them? Was a fairly easy access to documents before 11
> September 2001.
>
> Oh, right...the ARRL TOLD YOU! Or you channeled St. Hiram on
> the subject and you got the number in a vision?

FCC received over 6000 comments on the "incentive licensing" proposals,
Len. Without the internet. That's a fact.

> 1964 is FORTY ONE YEARS AGO, old-timer.

And only 13 years after 1951.

The fact is that even back then, with no internet and no ECFS,
there were over 6000 comments received by FCC on a proposal to
change the amateur radio license rules. Kinda deflates your rant about
ECFS and such, doesn't it?

> Two generations in time.
> CWO Johnny Walker had already gotten his first spy payments from
> the KGB.

Did he comment on the incentive licensing proposals?

> The Vietnam War was beginning to hot up again now that
> the French had given up there.

"hot up"?

> Communist China was busy with
> their "cultural revolution."

We see how well that worked out.

> The beginning of the solid-state era had begun.

The beginning had begun? Third graders write better than that, Len.

Besides, the transistor was invented in 1948.... (chuckle)

> Teletype Corporation was busy starting marketing
> for their 100 WPM teletypewriters.

Marketing to whom? How much did one cost? Do you think the
average ham could afford one?

> The first of the comm sats
> had been lofted.

"Lofted", huh? Fancy space talk?

OSCAR 1 had been launched in 1961.

> The Cold War was still set on "simmer" with no
> sign the flame had gone out. We got coast-to-coast TV, in color,
> and some radio amateurs thought manual morse code marked
> "excellence in radio!" :-)
>
> [ chuckle, chuckle ]

You weren't a ham then and you're not one now. Morse Code is one
form of excellence in radio, btw - then and now.

> >> In 1964 I was Chief Engineer at Birtcher Instruments Division
> >> and had received my Army Honorable Discharge four years before
> >> that.

Y'know, Len, you sure seem to have held a lot of different jobs at a
lot
of different companies over the years. Couldn't you get along with
people?

> >In other words, you had nothing to do with FCC then, either.
>
> "Nothing?!?" Mais non!

Nothing. You didn't work for FCC, didn't have anything to do with
FCC rules for the Amateur Radio Service.

> Eight years prior to 1964 I'd already passed my First Phone test
> and had been working at four broadcast stations (got the
> signatures on the back of my First Phone license certificate).

Four jobs in eight years? Or were there more?

> Had already renewed that First Phone once...through the Long
> Beach, CA, FCC Field Office (which was/is in the San Pedro
> harbor area). I'd applied for, and gotten two CB licenses (no
> test, never was a test for them).

Did FCC ever turn anybody down for a cb permit?

Are you still on cb, Len? Or did the changes in that service make
it unappealing to you?

> I'd already worked at a
> southern California broadcast station on a part-time basis, got
> that signature on the back of my first renewed First Phone
> certificate. I was still subscribing for updates to the FCC
> regulations (loose leaf format) from the U.S. GPO but that
> would soon change to bound format, reprint every two years
> (too many radio services already).

Too many radio services? Which ones would you have FCC abolish?

> I'd already used that First
> Phone for radio communications while a student pilot (given up
> due to cost of private flying vs other expenses), avoiding
> having to get a Restricted 3rd Class Phone (which required
> some letters of explanation from the Long Beach, CA, FCC Field
> Office to the instructors at Skyways that operated out of Van
> Nuys Airport...they didn't believe it).

Why not? Did they find it hard to believe you had any sort of FCC
license?

> In my job of designing
> and engineering semiconductor test sets at Birtcher, all I had
> to do on "FCC matters" was making certain those test sets and
> their plug-ins didn't exceed incidental RF radiation limits
> (the very low-duty cycle plug-ins were found to cause RF
> oscillation at tester pulse edges, solved by using ferrite
> tubes as chokes on the test socket leads). A renewal of the
> CBs was coming up soon, those renewals, pro forma as they were,
> had to go to the FCC...and with notary public seals.

I suppose FCC chuckled over those seals, huh?
>
> Electro-Optical Systems in Pasadena was busy hiring for their
> spacecraft work and I shift to there from Monterey Park, CA,
> in late 1964.

*Another* job?

> Spacecraft fabrication in a clean room didn't
> involve any "FCC licenses." What RF work was needed took
> place under government radio regulations, not civil radio.
> FCC was not involved in government radio then...or now.
>
> [ chuckle, chuckle ]
>
> No, sweetums, I was NOT opining anything pro/con on morse code
> skill as the primus inter pares of amateur radio operating
> excellence nor had I any "incentives" for ham radio in 1964.

Like I said - you had nothing to do with amateur radio policy
back then, nor with FCC's regulation of amateur radio...

> Based on my "first job in radio" I already knew that morse
> code was a dead end in radio in 1964, 41 years ago.

Well, you were wrong, Len. Because Morse Code is still alive and
well in radio today.

> Why bother pursuing a dying technique back then?

Morse Code wasn't "dying" back then and it isn't "dying" now - in
amateur radio, anyway.

How many techniques did you pursue back then which are long gone - dead - now? Does anybody use 100 wpm teletypewriters anymore? Do broadcast stations have FCC licensed engineers on duty while they're on the air anymore? Etc.

Your value system is very clear, Len - if something in radio took some of your time or effort but didn't pay back in dollars, you avoided it.

# 2858. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:36:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tDLif.1833$YT3.1301@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125373

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote

> but each dd214 makes the preceeding basicaly
> obsolete so one realy has one effetive dd214
> perhaps 2 or three in rare cases

Not true at all. Each DD214 covers one period of service and contains information (training courses completed, medals and decorations, ranks held, etc.) which is not repeated on subsequent DD214s. All 4 of mine are "effective", and there's nothing "rare" about that. Len got it wrong, and you've got it wrong. Maybe Len will loan you his copy of BUPERSINST 1900.8B. Ask him to look up SPD code "KFX", "HRA", and "HRB" for you.

Beep beep
CPO Sharkey

# 2859. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:42:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133217765.019100.251910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125374

N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
> > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>
> > >> >Did you work for FCC in 1951, Len? Did you see FCC chuckling
> > >> >at handwritten letters?
> > >
> > >> In 1951 I was working at my first full-time job, nowhere
> > >> close to DC.
> > >
> > >So you don't really know what you're talking about when you
> > >talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.
> >
> > Having seen some of the handwritten "comments" sent in on
> > the 2,272 filings in WT Docket 98-143 and ALL of the 3,795
> > filings in WT Docket 05-235, some are a hilarious barrel
> > of laffs! :-)
> >
> > [ chuckle, chuckle ]
>
> So you really don't know what you're talking about when
> you talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.

he can make the same assumetion you can
>
> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after the
> > twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest being
> > made on 5 August 2005! :-)
>
> Why does that matter?

becuase it isn't suposed to hapen at least if it does they are all
supose to have been mailed before the deadline

why does it seem you don't care about the rul of of law when it suits
you
cut

> > Oh, right...the ARRL TOLD YOU! Or you channeled St. Hiram on
> > the subject and you got the number in a vision?
>
> FCC received over 6000 comments on the "incentive licensing" proposals,

> Len. Without the internet. That's a fact.

indeed shwoing what a disaster the idea was

how the ARRL tired to kill the ars
cut

> > The beginning of the solid-state era had begun.
>
> The beginning had begun? Third graders write better than that, Len.

bad jimmie Stevie job is to play speling cop

cut

> You weren't a ham then and you're not one now. Morse Code is one
> form of excellence in radio, btw - then and now.

only in your opinion and that of others

IMO it has been one of the banes of the ARS for decades
cut
> > >In other words, you had nothing to do with FCC then, either.
> >
> > "Nothing?!?" Mais non!
>
> Nothing. You didn't work for FCC, didn't have anything to do with
> FCC rules for the Amateur Radio Service.

a flat out lie Jim he has had something to do with making the FCC rules
as has Myself Bil Sohl yourself and a couple of thousand others
cut
> > Had already renewed that First Phone once...through the Long
> > Beach, CA, FCC Field Office (which was/is in the San Pedro
> > harbor area). I'd applied for, and gotten two CB licenses (no
> > test, never was a test for them).
>
> Did FCC ever turn anybody down for a cb permit?
>
> Are you still on cb, Len?

why should he not be on CB

>Or did the changes in that service make
> it unappealing to you?

Cbers seem by and large politeir than hams with folks they disagree
with they can be a bit vulgar for my taste on the air, but there are 40
channels to choose from
cut

> > Spacecraft fabrication in a clean room didn't
> > involve any "FCC licenses." What RF work was needed took
> > place under government radio regulations, not civil radio.

> > FCC was not involved in government radio then...or now.
> >
> > [ chuckle, chuckle ]
> >
> > No, sweetums, I was NOT opining anything pro/con on morse code
> > skill as the primus inter pares of amateur radio operating
> > excellence nor had I any "incentives" for ham radio in 1964.
>
> Like I said - you had nothing to do with amateur radio policy
> back then, nor with FCC's regulation of amateur radio...

more lies Jim
>
> > Based on my "first job in radio" I already knew that morse
> > code was a dead end in radio in 1964, 41 years ago.
>
> Well, you were wrong, Len. Because Morse Code is still alive and
> well in radio today.
>
> > Why bother pursuing a dying technique back then?
>
> Morse Code wasn't "dying" back then and it isn't "dying" now - in
> amateur radio, anyway.

not what I hear
>
> How many techniques did you pursue back then which are
> long gone - dead - now? Does anybody use 100 wpm teletypewriters
> anymore? Do broadcast stations have FCC licensed engineers
> on duty while they're on the air anymore? Etc.
>
> Your value system is very clear, Len - if something in radio
> took some of your time or effort but didn't pay back in dollars,
> you avoided it.

if your statement is accurate (not comenting on that yea or nea) so
what

you value nothing without involing Morse Code

I think Money is better standard than Code knowledge

you can use money to feed yourself can't do that with morse

## 2860. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:51:38 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133218298.902782.187940@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125376

KØHB wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> > I have one four, even though .....
>
> Belay that. Make it "I have four, even though ...."
>
> Beep beep
> de Hans, K0HB

Until you drew attention to it, I just thought you were calling Navy
cadence.

# 2861. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:51:39 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <d22no1pg3rs0q0sl3feepcaqctce2meuqt@4ax.com>
Source record: 125375

On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 22:01:09 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <F6Lif.3093$A23.1111@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
><LenAnderson@ieee.org> wrote
>
>>> "Multiple" DD-214 possession indicates either forgery or
>>> the most rare cases of a person going into the service, serving
>>> their whole time in one branch, then getting a discharge, going
>>> into civilian life, then rejoining again. ONE DD-214 for one of
>>> those "cycles" and only one per "cycle." Re-enlistment
>>> established PRIOR to ending the contracted term of military
>>> service does not result in a DD-214 issued for each "hitch."
>
>
>> I ALSO see where my general statement is partly RIGHT now!
>
>Sorry. No credit. Not even partial credit. When you go to some length to
>assert that multiple DD214's are "forgery" or "most rare", you get no partial
>credit from BUPERS (by the way, it's BUPERSINST 1900.8B, not BuPers 1900.8B)
>when their official instructions say exactly the opposite.
>
>Good luck on this one now.
>
>Beep beep
>de CPO Sharkey

Well, there you have it -- proof that Major Dud -would- have several
DD-214's if his claims were true. Yet Dud didn't know what "years and
months in specialty" meant, nor did he know that after 1979 (and
possibly for some time -before- 1979) there is no box to indicate
character of service (i.e, "Honorable").

Thank you, Hans.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2862. Re: Retired Canadian Official Says UFO Coverup Is Real

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:57:12 UTC
From: clifto <clifto@clifto.com>
Message-ID: <8jes53-1ha.ln1@remote.clifto.com>
Source record: 125380
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

yojimbo wrote:
> The underlying issue is dishonesty: It is a common thread running
> through all US presidencies. Look at Bush: He lied about weapons of
> mass distruction

You liberal clowns seem to believe that repeating this outright lie
will make it true, or that honest people will allow you to repeat it
with impunity until the naive and weak of mind accept it.

You have been consistently and repeatedly unable to show a single lie
told by Bush about WMD. That you would continue to say he lied about
WMD makes you the worst kind of liar.

--
If John McCain gets the 2008 Republican Presidential nomination,
my vote for President will be a write-in for Jiang Zemin.

# 2863. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 22:59:31 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133218771.910674.284880@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125377

>> I ALSO see where my general statement is partly RIGHT now!
>
>Sorry. No credit. Not even partial credit. When you go to some length to
>assert that multiple DD214's are "forgery" or "most rare", you get no partial
>credit from BUPERS (by the way, it's BUPERSINST 1900.8B, not BuPers 1900.8B)
>when their official instructions say exactly the opposite.
>
>Good luck on this one now.

Dear Mr. Warmth,

Love your stand-up act but, frankly speaking, you hockeypuck,
you're losing it. We suggest you audition at Archie's Tavern
where the Elite Meet To Eat. Good luck on your future.

Sincerely,

"Fish" Walleye
Hahahs Casino
Las Vegas, NV

# 2864. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:03:04 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133218984.375638.35650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125378

Let's look at some facts

1. Steve Robberson stated that I was gay

FACT: Not true, if anything I'm the opposite, any women who knows me will tell you that I'm a womenizer and truth be known, I really don't hide that fact

2. Steve Robberson claimed he recieved threaten letters from me, thus having me removed from the Internet.

FACT: Mr Robberson has never shown me these letter that he claimed to have recieved from me, in fact the only ones I know of where the ones that were posted on here and weren't from me at all but someone using blogger.com email system, and faking my email address. (and them assholes at consolidated.com believed that son of bitch)

3. Steve Robberson claims that my father has AIDS

FACT: my father doesn't have AIDS and is in fact somewhat perfect heath, Steve doesn't know my father and he has never meet him and thus Mr. Robbersons claims are false if not slanderous.

Did I leave anything out????

Todd Daugherty N9OGL / B.A.P. (BAD ASS PIRATE!!!)

http://12.156.123.39/amateur/index.php Dimension Unbound BBS
http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/ The Voice of Amateur Radio
http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.htm N9OGL BBS
http://12.156.123.39/blablite22/index.php Demented Otaku Chatline

# 2865. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:05:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <y2Mif.1838$YT3.1664@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125379

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote in message news:d22no1pg3rs0q0sl3feepcaqctce2meuqt@4ax.com...

>..... after 1979 (and possibly for some time -before- 1979) there is no
> box to indicate character of service (i.e, "Honorable").
>
> Thank you, Hans.

Don't thank me so fast.

The current DD214 contains that information in Block 24. (Character of Service).

All 4 of mine also contain similar information but in different block numbers (apparently the form changes over time). In 1961, 1964, and 1973 it was in Block 13a, and in 1979 it was in block 9e. In all cases the block is titled "Character of Service".

The choices are:

1) HONORABLE
2) GENERAL (UNDER HONORABLE CONDITIONS)
3) UNDER OTHER THAN HONORABLE CONDITIONS
4) BAD CONDUCT
5) DISHONORABLE
6) UNCHARACTERIZED (Void or Entry Level Separation)

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 2866. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:16:49 UTC
From: Morris <Sherins@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1133219809.819551.206050@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

Peter Maus, Nice to see you surface again with a beautiful post.
Remember: The Basulto story?

Bob Sherin, W4ASX

## 2867. Shush everyone. Steve has something to tell...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:23:47 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133220227.336966.300810@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125383

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:

> > Maybe he's too busy retelling his seven hostile actions accounts.
>
> At least I have them to tell, Brain.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Then do tell, "or forever be known as a liar and coward."

# 2868. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:23:50 UTC
From: "D. Peter Maus" <DPeterMaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <akMif.187345$zb5.94696@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 125382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

Morris wrote:
> Peter Maus, Nice to see you surface again with a beautiful post.
> Remember: The Basulto story?
>

No, actually, I don't. But I"ve slept since then.

> Bob Sherin, W4ASX
>

# 2869. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:32:43 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133220763.234573.79320@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125384

Iitoi wrote:

> More war stories for the Legion hall, Hans (or is it the VFW
> post?)????
>
> The Man in the Maze
> QRU at Baboquivari Peak
>
>
> --
> Iitoi

Both the American Legion and the VFW use "Post." Being a member of
both is not unusual, if you qualify.

## 2870. Re: He Is

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:40:44 UTC
From: Tree <roy547@msn.com>
Message-ID: <1133221244.489024.249250@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,ba.broadcast

Sad he is such an innocent babe with no agenda.

# 2871. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:44:51 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133221491.135390.43410@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125386

N9OGL wrote:
> Let's look at some facts
>
> 1. Steve Robberson stated that I was gay
>
> FACT: Not true, if anything I'm the opposite, any women who knows me
> will tell you that I'm a womenizer and truth be known, I really don't
> hide that fact

indeed your rep is well known enough around taylorville to reach the
ears of peple I still know there

>
> 2. Steve Robberson claimed he recieved threaten letters from me, thus
> having me removed from the Internet.
>
> FACT: Mr Robberson has never shown me these letter that he claimed to
> have recieved from me, in fact the only ones I know of where the ones
> that were posted on here and weren't from me at all but someone using
> blogger.com email system, and faking my email address. (and them
> assholes at consolidated.com believed that son of bitch)

didn't he publish one showing the clearly faked header. I seem to
remeber
but anyway it show stevie is afraid to allow you to be around him for
some reason that he choose to attack you over a letter he knew was a
fake
>
> 3. Steve Robberson claims that my father has AIDS
>
> FACT: my father doesn't have AIDS and is in fact somewhat perfect
> heath, Steve doesn't know my father and he has never meet him and thus
> Mr. Robbersons claims are false if not slanderous.

thye are slanderous the only thing missing from a slader case is
damages
>
> Did I leave anything out????

claims your ultalight is illegal without stating any soruce of
authority for the claim

and claims you are a pedophile and that you are breaking FCC ( your
show)

also claimed within the last 24 hrs that you and I are lovers

it is hard to keep up with all of stevie lies
>
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL / B.A.P. (BAD ASS PIRATE!!!)
>
> http://12.156.123.39/amateur/index.php Dimension Unbound BBS
> http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
> http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
> http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/ The Voice of Amateur Radio
> http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.htm N9OGL BBS
> http://12.156.123.39/blablite22/index.php Demented Otaku Chatline

# 2872. Re: Shush everyone. Steve has something to tell...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:47:56 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133221676.841198.274330@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125387

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > > Maybe he's too busy retelling his seven hostile actions accounts.
> >
> > At least I have them to tell, Brain.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> Then do tell, "or forever be known as a liar and coward."

even teling them at this makes Stevie a liar since he has promised not
to tell

# 2873. Re: Retired Canadian Official Says UFO Coverup Is Real

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:48:43 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <tp5no11lr9u472i07crq17fh95q5gnj5q4@4ax.com>
Source record: 125388

On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 16:57:12 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:

>yojimbo wrote:
>> The underlying issue is dishonesty: It is a common thread running
>> through all US presidencies. Look at Bush: He lied about weapons of
>> mass distruction
>
>You liberal clowns seem to believe that repeating this outright lie
>will make it true, or that honest people will allow you to repeat it
>with impunity until the naive and weak of mind accept it.
>
>You have been consistently and repeatedly unable to show a single lie
>told by Bush about WMD. That you would continue to say he lied about
>WMD makes you the worst kind of liar.
Tell us something Bush has said that wasn't devious. Ever.

The guy is slime.

# 2874. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:49:46 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <8s5no1l0mukl05pnloemli2q21vu7ha52h@4ax.com>
Source record: 125389
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 15:22:52 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>My situation exactly. While I'm at 2500' ASL and my local stations
>are at 8800' and 4500', there are higher peaks between them and me. So
>signal levels are down and reflections off local peaks (that are
>line-of-sight to me and the transmitters) are as strong as those via
>the obstructed path.

>
So get cable.

# 2875. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:57:56 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <q16no1d5ngl3av3st370npbqhtfkfd65p3@4ax.com>
Source record: 125390

On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 23:05:02 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <y2Mif.1838$YT3.1664@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote in message
>news:d22no1pg3rs0q0sl3feepcaqctce2meuqt@4ax.com...
>
>>..... after 1979 (and possibly for some time -before- 1979) there is no
>> box to indicate character of service (i.e, "Honorable").
>>
>> Thank you, Hans.
>
>Don't thank me so fast.
>
>The current DD214 contains that information in Block 24. (Character of Service).

Yep. Right there at the bottom, clear as daylight to anyone who has
one to read. Again, thank you, Hans.

>All 4 of mine also contain similar information but in different block numbers
>(apparently the form changes over time). In 1961, 1964, and 1973 it was in
>Block 13a, and in 1979 it was in block 9e. In all cases the block is titled
>"Character of Service".
>
>The choices are:
>
> 1) HONORABLE
> 2) GENERAL (UNDER HONORABLE CONDITIONS)
> 3) UNDER OTHER THAN HONORABLE CONDITIONS
> 4) BAD CONDUCT
> 5) DISHONORABLE
> 6) UNCHARACTERIZED (Void or Entry Level Separation)
>
>Beep beep
>de Hans, K0HB

Since you are Major Dud's de-facto spokesman in this matter, care to
speculate why he didn't know the purpose of box 11?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2876. Re: Retired Canadian Official Says UFO Coverup Is Real

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-28 23:59:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133222348.026334.266260@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125391

David wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 16:57:12 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:
>
> >yojimbo wrote:
> >> The underlying issue is dishonesty: It is a common thread running
> >> through all US presidencies. Look at Bush: He lied about weapons of
> >> mass distruction
> > >
> > >You liberal clowns seem to believe that repeating this outright lie
> > >will make it true, or that honest people will allow you to repeat it
> > >with impunity until the naive and weak of mind accept it.
> > >
> > >You have been consistently and repeatedly unable to show a single lie
> > >told by Bush about WMD. That you would continue to say he lied about
> > >WMD makes you the worst kind of liar.
> > Tell us something Bush has said that wasn't devious. Ever.

that eveil doiers of 9/11 would tracked down

>
> The guy is slime.

ah you just don't like him or agree with him

too bad
I don't always agree with him myself but at least he leads

# 2877. Re: 14100 ... beacons still there ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 00:06:20 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <438b9a9a.2774360@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 125392

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:

> There currently are 14 active beacons in the NCDXF/IARU system all on 14.1MHz,
> and most have companion beacons on 18.11, 21.15, 24.93. and 28.2MHz. The 15th
> station (VR2B) is rumored down due to a lightning strike.
>
> IIRC you can find their schedule at the NCDXF web site.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

well then...

would those in the USA like to explain why I heard at least
one W4 blasting out over 14100 during last weekends contest ?

It was my understanding that anyone with intelligence was requested to
steer clear of 14100 in the hope of everyones benefit to observe which
way the propogation is going (including packet radio operators)

with the blatant and largely bull-in-china-shop behaviour of some
cw operators last weekend, i'm surprised that even 14100 was blasted to
bits let alone any other frequency where those with less than 10watts
werent given a chance to get a word in.

# 2878. Re: 14100 ... beacons still there ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 00:10:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <J%Mif.1854$YT3.1030@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125393

"zYYPK"
<class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net>
wrote

>
> would those in the USA like to explain why I heard at least
> one W4 blasting out over 14100 during last weekends contest ?
>

I suppose the best answer would come from that W4 you mention.

Duh??????

# 2879. Re: 14100 ... beacons still there ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 00:11:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133223105.493350.276000@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125394

zYYPK wrote:
> "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > There currently are 14 active beacons in the NCDXF/IARU system all on 14.1MHz,
> > and most have companion beacons on 18.11, 21.15, 24.93. and 28.2MHz. The 15th
> > station (VR2B) is rumored down due to a lightning strike.
> >
> > IIRC you can find their schedule at the NCDXF web site.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> well then...
>
> would those in the USA like to explain why I heard at least
> one W4 blasting out over 14100 during last weekends contest ?
>
> It was my understanding that anyone with intelligence was requested to
> steer clear of 14100 in the hope of everyones benefit to observe which
> way the propogation is going (including packet radio operators)
>
> with the blatant and largely bull-in-china-shop behaviour of some
> cw operators last weekend, i'm surprised that even 14100 was blasted to
> bits let alone any other frequency where those with less than 10watts
> werent given a chance to get a word in.

becuase the OT's have been lying for years about the superior moral
charcter of Cw ops

not surebut it does fit the bill

# 2880. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 00:13:58 UTC
From: R! <hobbob123@mailinator.com>
Message-ID: <1133223237.932842@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 125395
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Kookwatch 968549" <undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote in news:9271a
$4389ed07$d1cc5677$26533@snip.allthenewsgroups.com:

> Here comes Digital TV !!
>
> http://www.dtv.gov/
>
> (....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>
> Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
> the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
> GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
> Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
> Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it
> is, or
> will be soon!
> Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
> service commnications to go thru the roof,
> including cell phones which
> will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>
> http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>
> "The target date for the end of the transition from
> analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
> That date may be extended, however, until most
> homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
> programming. At that point, broadcasting the
> current &#65533;analog&#65533; channels will end and that spectrum
> will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
> is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
> on both their digital and analog channels."
>
> DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
> caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
> transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
> broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
> Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
> back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears
> then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even

> one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.
>
> Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
> pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>
> Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
> consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
> go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

I meed a good excuse to quit watchin' the "BOOB TUBE"
maybee this is it...

I us'd to repair TVee... Most people were satisfied with
a picture that looked like a pair of those 3D glasses when
the show wasn't in 3D...

If you done anything to make it better they complained it
jus' doesn't look rit'...

R!

# 2881. Re: 14100 ...NCDXF beacons still there ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 00:33:19 UTC
From: Caveat Lector <UALLBeware@9984reader.com>
Message-ID: <JkNif.47508$qw.30290@fed1read07>
Source record: 125396

I posted a quiz a while back re: avoiding 14.100 MHz
Few knew the answer -- one sed why not -- it is in the CW portion.

I have noted during a CW contest - contesters frequently think the frequency
is clear and jump on it.

In a nut shell -- many newbies and OT's as well -- don't have a clue as to
what the NCDXF/IARU Beacon system is.

FYI: See URL:
http://www.ncdxf.org/Beacon/intro.html

--
CL -- I doubt, therefore I might be !

> Someone wrote
> well then...
>
> would those in the USA like to explain why I heard at least
> one W4 blasting out over 14100 during last weekends contest ?
>
> It was my understanding that anyone with intelligence was requested to
> steer clear of 14100 in the hope of everyones benefit to observe which
> way the propogation is going (including packet radio operators)
>
> with the blatant and largely bull-in-china-shop behaviour of some
> cw operators last weekend, i'm surprised that even 14100 was blasted to
> bits let alone any other frequency where those with less than 10watts
> werent given a chance to get a word in.
>

# 2882. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 01:22:36 UTC
From: K�HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <w3Oif.3161$A23.3016@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125397

"Frank Gilliland" <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote

```
>
> Since you are Major Dud's de-facto spokesman in this matter, care to
> speculate why he didn't know the purpose of box 11?
>

I can hardly speak for myself, so I can't speculate. Depends on the vintage of
the DD214. On a current Navy one Block 11 would indicate the NECs held during
the enlistment ("NEC" is what you jarheads call an "MOS"). On my older editions
there is are other types of information in Block 11 (last duty station, location
if afloat unit, etc.).

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB
```

# 2883. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 01:46:46 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133228806.403772.154370@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125398

From: an old friend on Nov 28, 2:42 pm

>N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
>> > >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> > >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Fri, Nov 25 2005 4:26 pm
>> > >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>> > Having seen some of the handwritten "comments" sent in on
>> > the 2,272 filings in WT Docket 98-143 and ALL of the 3,795
>> > filings in WT Docket 05-235, some are a hilarious barrel
>> > of laffs! :-)
>
>> > [ chuckle, chuckle ]
>
>> So you really don't know what you're talking about when
>> you talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.
>
>he can make the same assumetion you can

Mark, there's something curious about morsemen. They are very
SERIOUS about their hobby and INTENSE on certain skills. Their
sense of humor is limited only to THEM "laughing" at those who
disagree on telegraphy testing.

BTW, there's 3,796 filings now, one was added on the 28th. :-)

>> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after the
>> > twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest being
>> > made on 5 August 2005! :-)
>
>> Why does that matter?
>
>becuase it isn't suposed to hapen at least if it does they are all
>supose to have been mailed before the deadline

The specific date periods on comments applies to the
Commission's activities on decision-making for a final
Memorandum Report and Order. That date period is determined
by statements made in the publishing of a docket/proceedure
in the Federal Register. Standard practice at the FCC.

In the case of publishing NPRM 05-143, the Commission was 6
calendar weeks LATE. NPRM 05-143 was opened to the public on
19 July 2005. Publishing in the Federal Register didn't

happen until 31 August 2005. The date period for comments
was not specifically stated in NPRM 05-143, was specifically
stated in the Federal Register on 31 August 2005.

The normal delay on public release to publishing is anywhere
from zero days to a week. A few have taken longer, but it
would be a VERY long search to find a docket/proceeding that
was delayed SIX WEEKS. In those SIX WEEKS DELAY the public
filed 52% of all comments filed.

The "public" may not be fully aware of the official comment
period beginning date. The Commission is fairly speedy on
getting proceedings published in the Federal Register. The
"public" does not consist of just attorneys and beaurocrats
handling law, so they would generally be unaware of that
delay. Such a long time was unexpected.

>why does it seem you don't care about the rul of of law when it suits
>you

Jimmy Noserve only cares about the preservation of morse code,
everything from "operating skill" to the license test. He
can't bear to give up any of that.

>> > Oh, right...the ARRL TOLD YOU! Or you channeled St. Hiram on
>> > the subject and you got the number in a vision?
>
>> FCC received over 6000 comments on the "incentive licensing" proposals,
>> Len. Without the internet. That's a fact.
>
>indeed shwoing what a disaster the idea was
>
>how the ARRL tired to kill the ars

Mark, Jimmy has NOT proven his "fact." The only way to determine
that "fact" is to visit the FCC Reading Room in DC and view all
the filings. Those old dockets and proceedings aren't on-line.

As to "disaster," that is subjective opinion. In the long run,
"incentive licensing" only served to harden the class
distinction among licensees. It got too cumbersome for the
future to the Commission, so they streamlined it via FCC 99-412.

The League lobbied for, and got "incentive licensing." Old-timers
of the League loved radiotelegraphy, following the "tradition"
established by its first president, St. Hiram. Old-timers
wanted to prove Their radiotelegraphy skill was the "highest"
attribute of amateurism. They got it, complete with rank-
status-privilege. Especially the privileges. They were better
than anyone...in their minds.

>> > The beginning of the solid-state era had begun.
>

>> The beginning had begun? Third graders write better than that, Len.
>
>bad jimmie Stevie job is to play speling cop

Sister Nun of the Above got into the act, spanking ruler at the
ready. She didn't hit anything, though.

Sister apparently has never used the word "jibe," thought I
was "jiving her." :-)

>> You weren't a ham then and you're not one now. Morse Code is one
>> form of excellence in radio, btw - then and now.
>
>only in your opinion and that of others

Up to mid-2000, the highest-rate telegraphy skill was
NECESSARY to achieve the "highest" class license.

>IMO it has been one of the banes of the ARS for decades

True enough.

But, look out, I can see Sister approaching with her ruler!
She is going to criticize use of the word "bane!" :-)

>> > >In other words, you had nothing to do with FCC then, either.
>
>> > "Nothing?!?" Mais non!
>
>> Nothing. You didn't work for FCC, didn't have anything to do with
>> FCC rules for the Amateur Radio Service.
>
>a flat out lie Jim he has had something to do with making the FCC rules
>as has Myself Bil Sohl yourself and a couple of thousand others

Jimmy is getting desperate on "having to do with" stuff. :-)

The FCC has had commentary periods for nearly all the major
issues affecting U.S. radio amateurs since its creation in
1934. [exceptions are federal orders to cease transmission
on Presidential orders and the "housekeeping" changes to
Parts of Title 47 which regarded legal clarification of some
regulations corrections]

The Constitution of the United States gives all its citizens
the Right to address their government...on anything. The
comment period of dockets and proceedings at the FCC is one
way to do that on specific radio regulatory issues.

Jimmy seems very territorial. He regards federal amateur radio
regulations as "private turf" which can ONLY be discussed by
licensed radio operators to their government. That is wrong.
The FCC must listen to ALL...including English teachers who
haven't the foggiest notion of what "radio" is, let alone

amateur radio (she had to research the subject through
WikiPedia). :-)

Both Bill Sohl and Carl Stevenson have appeared in-person
before the FCC in regards to the code-test/no-code-test
issue. That's about as close as ANY in here have been to
the regulation-decision-makers without actually working
there (as Phil Kane did).

The Staff and Commissioners at the FCC decide what is to be
changed and how to change radio regulations...DEPENDING on
input from the "public." [a "researching" of Parts 0 and 1
of Title 47 C.F.R. will explain that, also the Communicaitons
Act of 1934, a Law passed by Congress]

>> > Had already renewed that First Phone once...through the Long
>> > Beach, CA, FCC Field Office (which was/is in the San Pedro
>> > harbor area). I'd applied for, and gotten two CB licenses (no
>> > test, never was a test for them).
>
>> Did FCC ever turn anybody down for a cb permit?
>
>> Are you still on cb, Len?
>
>why should he not be on CB

Citizens Band Radio Service had "permits?" :-) Strange, my
forms said they were LICENSES. No tests at all required.

Were any "turned down?" I don't really know. I've heard of those
but never met anyone who was "turned down."

I opt NOT to bother with CB radio since it is not to my needs
in communicating anything by radio. The little two-way radio
terminal called a "cell phone" serves both me and my wife very
adequately in mobile communications needs.

My old Johnson Viking Messenger CB radio still works, is still
operating within FCC regulations. It is a relatively easy
task to connect it up to an antenna (mag-mount) in the car,
plug it into the car's 12 VDC system, and operate. If the
vibrator high-voltage supply will continue working, it is as
reliable as any old tube radio. [vibrator supplies were NEVER
considered reliable, but they were terribly cheap in consumer
grade tube equipments] Living within a mile of I-5 passing
through has shown that a few channels for CB are way too few
for the hundreds of thousands of CB users...years ago.

>Cbers seem by and large politeir than hams with folks they disagree
>with they can be a bit vulgar for my taste on the air, but there are 40
>channels to choose from

Irrelevant to Jimmy's remarks. All Jimmy wants to do is show
contempt for CB. Since he was living in 1958 when that service
(on the 27 MHz band) was created, he feels contemptuous of all
who have not taken a federal test to "qualify" for radio
transmission below 30 MHz. :-)

[I think he was born an amateur...:-) ]

CB communications are "Too vulgar?" I've heard much, much
greater vulgarity in the military service (which Jimmy was
never a part of nor will he ever be). I've heard greater
vulgarity on shop floors from union members. I've heard
greater vulgarity in the black sections of Los Angeles. I need
to brush up on my Spanish to find out if the language there in
the barrios is "too vulgar." :-)

>> Like I said - you had nothing to do with amateur radio policy
>> back then, nor with FCC's regulation of amateur radio...
>
>more lies Jim

Jimmy, who never worked IN the FCC (and will never do so),
thinks that just having an amateur license means he had
"something to do with amateur radio regulations." :-)

Jimmy is just being "vulgar." :-)

>> > Based on my "first job in radio" I already knew that morse
>> > code was a dead end in radio in 1964, 41 years ago.
>
>> Well, you were wrong, Len. Because Morse Code is still alive and
>> well in radio today.

Tsk, tsk, Jimmy's working receiver can't pick up anything but
the "low end" of the HF amateur bands...and he thinks that
radiotelegraphy is still a big mode in radio? Incredible!

>> > Why bother pursuing a dying technique back then?
>
>> Morse Code wasn't "dying" back then and it isn't "dying" now - in
>> amateur radio, anyway.
>
>not what I hear

You have to give Jimmy some slack, Mark. Since his receiver
can't pick up anything outside the "low end" of HF ham bands,
he thinks HF is still "alive with the sounds of morse code"
(as if Julie Andrews were singing it on top of a hill).

>> How many techniques did you pursue back then which are
>> long gone - dead - now? Does anybody use 100 wpm teletypewriters
>> anymore? Do broadcast stations have FCC licensed engineers
>> on duty while they're on the air anymore? Etc.

Actually, those electromechanical teletypewriters with 100 WPM throughput are still in use in a few places...but they are waaayyyyyy down in numbers. Teletype Corporation went defunct some years ago...they couldn't produce a product inexpensive enough to handle written communications needs. Even TDDs have dropped electromechanical teletypewriters in favor of smaller, easier to use solid-state terminals.

The requirements for licensed COMMERCIAL radio operators at radio broadcasting stations is down but I haven't checked to see if broadcasting regulations changed to allow ALL. An amateur radio license was NEVER a "qualification" to operate anything but an amateur radio on amateur frequencies.

Vacuum tube design and use in designs is almost kaput. The solid-state devices made most of them obsolete. Tubes remain only as very high-power transmitter final amplifiers, as wideband (one octave plus) amplifiers in microwaves, as magnetrons in microwave ovens, as assorted klystrons in microwave radios. CRTs are going bye-bye, replaced by solid-state displays in TV sets (to press a pissy point, "liquid-state" in LCD screens). A very few optical detection devices use multi-stage photomultipliers. NODs (Night Observation Devices) still depend on a special photodetector and photon multiplier tube set. Oh, and high-power radars still use pulsed maggies for those transmitters. Tubes are now used only as REPLACEMENTS...except by those who can't hack engineering of solid-state circuits...or long for days of yore, when they were born (or before).

>> Your value system is very clear, Len - if something in radio
>> took some of your time or effort but didn't pay back in dollars,
>> you avoided it.
>
>if your statement is accurate (not comenting on that yea or nea) so
>what you value nothing without involing Morse Code

Poor Jimmy is verging on a breakdown. He is picking up on the old socialist or communist sloganeering against evil, filthy capitalists who have obtained money the old fashioned way... they EARNED it! Jimmy sounds like he doesn't have much money.

Tsk, tsk. I entered electronics and radio in the vacuum tube era and learned how to design circuits using tubes. Had to put aside everything but the basics of those circuits in order to work with transistors, then ICs. Took lots of learning AND relearning to do all that and I did it on my own time. It was worth it in the knowledge acquired, the experience gained in making successful designs, eminently satisfactory to me. Lots and lots of new things were learned out of sheer interest in learning more about NEW areas, things that were NOT of personal monetary gain.

Jimmy can't shift out of his League-conditioned thinking about
morsemanship being the ultimate skill in radio. He doesn't
understand how it is to BEGIN in HF communications WITHOUT
any morse code mode needs. He must really resent others
who've entered the bigger world of radio communications without
being required in any way to be morsemen.

>you can use money to feed yourself can't do that with morse

One can waste a LOT of time looking for radiotelegraphy jobs!
Those are quite scarce! If Jimmy wasn't so old, he could join
the Army and be an "army of one" analyzing foreign morse code
radio intercepts (but I'll bet he would hate the Ft. Huachuca
M.I. school in the summertime).

I doubt there is one job opening in the entire USA that requires
any manual telegraphy (morse code) skills for wired
communications now. If he joined SAG or SEG he might get a part
in some western movie or TV show as an actor playing the part of
a telegrapher.

Well, Jimmy could go to sea if he got a Radiotelegraph (Commercial)
license. Problem is, he'd have to use SSB voice, one of the TORs
(Teletypewriter Over Radio), and VHF FM voice for most ship
masters. Jimmy wouldn't like that. He couldn't pop into the
galley and cook big turkeys at his whim.

Confusion say: Man with one-track mind often get train of
thought derailed.

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2884. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 01:49:20 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133228960.643387.242030@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125399

From: an old friend on Nov 28, 2:03 pm

>LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: "an old friend" on Sun, Nov 27 2005 6:55 pm
>> >N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> > LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
>> >> > >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > >>> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
>> >> > >>>> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > >>>>> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am

>> >> > > DIRECT as in laying on of hands,
>> >> > > moving controls, operating, all that stuff.
>
>> >> > I get the feeling that your knowledge of radio operating might be a
>> >> > little light. Are you of the opinion that operating a radio falls under
>> >> > "all that stuff"?
>
>> >> Len does not consider "operating skills" to be of much (if any) value.
>
>> >a fair enough assesment of len views
>
>> I disagree, Mark. I do not consider a RADIOTELEGRAPHY TEST to be
>> any "operating skill" worthy of being part of an amateur radio
>> operator's license.
>
>my apologies I was trying to simply agee on paper with Jim as a
>Retorical tactic I was also accepting the screwed up procode difer that
>says Cw test was the same as operating skill (just tryin some
>hypothecials to see if Jim could get past the nonsense or if Jim is as
>traped as Stevie and Dave

I understand your posting, Mark. I was, once again, trying to
make my position clear in this din of inequity.

Jimmie Noserve and Kernal Klunk love to argue for argument's
sake. They seem to be addicted to WIN arguments at all costs!
They frequently take quotes out of context and make disparaging
remarks on those as if they were stand-alone statements.

They MUST win. They are very sore losers, can't take pain.

They both use "operating skills" as if the ONLY kind of operation
of radio involves morse code. In every other radio service, there

is NO "operating skill" of the amateur variety involved. Klunk should know that but he is no longer in the furrin servuss, busy using his "operating skills" out of exotic countries such as Guinea-Bisseau. He probably misses BEING "rare DX."

>> The FCC has had that viewpoint. They said so in public documents.

As early as 1990, as in FCC 90-53. Anyone can see a copy of that at the NCI website. They said the same thing, although in slightly different works, in NPRM 05-143 released on 15 July 2005.

>> Stupor-patriot morsemen think morse code telegraphy skills are
>> all that is "operating skill."

That's how it is with them extra morsemen.

Failure to agree with them results in immediate dismissal under BUPERINST something or other.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2885. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 02:16:11 UTC
From: Andre <pequiste@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <edeno1h73cp0c5fjrihk69dvgd2t539d39@4ax.com>
Source record: 125450
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

at my eyes the piont is not entering 21'' century problem is RFI going
to 21 will cost healt...
My two cents

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 11:29:50 -0500, "Kookwatch 968549"
<undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Here comes Digital TV !!
>
>http://www.dtv.gov/
>
>(....otherwise known as the FCC's pending DISASTER when regular
> analog broadcasting goes off the air and suddenly millions upon
> millions of TV sets suddenly are "obsolete" and no longer work)
>
>Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
>the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
>GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
>Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
>Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
>will be soon!
>Not to mention also the fact that DTV will cause RFI to public
>service commnications to go thru the roof, including cell phones which
>will be useless anywhere near the transmitter. (this should be fun)
>
>http://www.dtv.gov/consumercorner.html#obsolete
>
>"The target date for the end of the transition from
>analog to digital television signals is December 31, 2008.
>That date may be extended, however, until most
>homes (85%) in an area are able to watch the DTV
>programming. At that point, broadcasting the
>current ï¿½analogï¿½ channels will end and that spectrum
>will be put to other uses. Until the transition to DTV
>is complete, television stations will continue broadcasting
>on both their digital and analog channels."
>
>DTV broadcast is HIGHLY prone to multipath distortion problems
>caused by shortcomings of the 8-channel vestigial sideband (8VSB)
>transmission standard, which has been mandated for use by all
>broadcasters in the United States by the Federal Communications
>Commission. In short, if you think it was hard to get TV reception
>back in the 60's and 70's before cable using a pair of rabbit ears

>then you ain't seen nothing yet! With DTV you get nothing if even
>one bit is missing from the broadcast stream. Black screen DOA.
>
>Finally, here is the URL of the people who pushed this
>pending disaster thru Congress: http://www.dtvcoalition.com/
>
>Other articles on how flawed DTV really is and how
>consumers are likely to react in anger when their sets
>go dark in late 2009: http://dtvfacts.com/
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

# 2886. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 02:22:59 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133230979.184224.85010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125451

From: K0HB on Nov 28, 5:22 pm

>"Frank Gilliland" <w¢nut@NOSPAM□house.net> wrote

>> Since you are Major Dud's de-facto spokesman in this matter, care to
>> speculate why he didn't know the purpose of box 11?
>
>I can hardly speak for myself, so I can't speculate.

WHAAAAT?!? Har! That hasn't stopped you before...

>Depends on the vintage of the DD214.

My DD-214 is from 1956. That was a very good year.

Er, shouldn't it be "DD-214" rather than 'DD214'?

We can't go against any BUPERINST in amateur radio. Everything
has to be "just so!"

>On a current Navy one Block 11 would indicate the NECs held during
>the enlistment ("NEC" is what you jarheads call an "MOS").

"Jarheads?" Why you call ARMY people for "Jarheads," swabbie?

Somebody ring your bell-bottoms?

Oh, my, lots of pent-up HOSTILITY from the midwest!

>On my older editions
>there is are other types of information in Block 11 (last duty station, location
>if afloat unit, etc.).

I didn't see any "block" to identify amateur radio license
callsigns in examples shown in BUPERINST 1900.8B. Are those
"blocks" hidden on the back?

Hang in there, super chief. Whatever I say, I'm sure you will
"correct" me even if I'm NOT wrong. Predictable. :-)

See ya on 1.4 GHz some time?

bit bit
LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 2887. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 02:29:10 UTC
From: Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <1133231350.701305.243810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125452
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"... all for $25 consumer price?"

DVD players for $17.88? Portable DVD players and even DVRs under $100?
Check. Routers for $5? Check. 20" color TV with remote, $79.95? Check.
Laptop for $400? Check.

Hardly seems possible, but the ads are in the Sunday paper every week.

# 2888. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 02:38:59 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <1133231939.884654.139850@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

>*What appears to have been forgotton here is only Full licenced Hams can
>read these messages compaired to everyone who can read a text.

In point to point communications, it doesn't matter. But in the real
world of emergency comms, voice is the usual mode, not CW.
IE: the fone hurricane nets, health and welfare nets, etc... Few
are CW. But anyone can copy them if they were. Well... If you know
code that is... I knew code well before I was a licensed ham.

>*But when the powers out so are the repeaters and also the mobile phones. CB
>radio is more practicable in situations like this as anyone can use them not
>just Amateurs.

Yea, but is there anyone on the CB bands I would want to talk to
to pass the traffic, etc? I kinda doubt it. CB might be ok for very
local
neighborhood type stuff. Lets them keep in contact. But as far as
actual
traffic, or health and welfare, CB would be nearly useless to me.
Not knocking CB, just telling it like it is. I would be on HF, and VHF
simplex. Also...The likelyhood of *all* the repeaters staying down for
long is probably fairly slim, unless the actual antenna towers are
down.
They can always bring a generator...You can also set up portable
repeaters. IE: ad nausium... I'll be talking everywhere. Local and
regional. CB wont. They will mostly be stuck in the hoods.

>How are they doing this? in what way? Who are they talking to?

Do they keep you locked in a closet? We do it in whatever way it
takes to get the job done. We are like Marines. We adapt, overcome.
Who do we talk to? Nearly anyone who is involved. From the
national weather service, to the coast guard, to local government
officials, to hospitals, etc, etc.
You talk about the CBer's. Where were the CB'ers in NO when the
people were stuck in attics with cell phones? The cell phones
were working for many people. They would call for help on their
phones, but there was no way to relay this to local police, etc...
Where were the CBers? They didn't seem to be capable of relaying
the calls. How were these calls being relayed to local NO officials?
I'll tell ya...The people that the cell phone users would call, would
eventually be routed to ham radios ops doing health and welfare
traffic to/from the area. Most of this was on HF. 40 meters in the

day, 75 meters at night. The 7.290 traffic net handled quite a bit.
Anyway, the traffic net people would get calls from relatives relaying
the cell phone calls from the attic stuck people. You would have
people in Houston, Dallas, Little Rock, etc, etc, etc, etc relaying
these messages *back* to NO to be relayed to the police, fire, etc.
I heard *many* of these attic stuck relays on HF. So sorry, but
your CB would be useful to the local is not really flushing. The
CBers either were flooded themselves, or fell down on the job.
The hams using *HF* were the only ones reliably relaying these
messages. And they were often 100's on miles away from NO.
I'm in Houston. So we also had our scare this year too. Believe me,
I'm prepared to handle just about anything, and have been, well before
any hurricanes hit the area. I have battery power here at home, and
don't need no stinkin power. Ditto for mobile, portable. I'm fully
self contained, power, radios, antennas. I can run off battery power
indefinately. I recharge off the trucks. I have portable towers, masts,

etc, etc, so I can set up at any remote location with 1st class
antennas. We practice this at field day every June...
I can set up antennas portable, better than some peoples home
antennas. IE: large yagi's, etc. I could act as the portable tower
for a temporary repeater. Anywhere they want to put it. I drag
it all on a motorcycle trailer.

>*I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the masses. More
>accessible and cheaply available than Ham but im sure the both can work in
>tandem.

There is a place for CB, no doubt. But I see CB as being good for
the local neighborhood talk line where poeple can keep track of the
people around them. It's pretty good for that. But handling actual
emergency traffic? In most cases CB would be fairly useless.
For many reasons. IE: the frequency is wrong for that use.
IE: only 5 watts legally... IE: the wrong people are on it, if
you are relaying traffic. Trust me. I have all of the currently
used devices. Cell phones, CB's, ham radios, shouting with my
lungs, etc. if I knew a hurricane was heading this way, I'd keep
my ham radios over all of them any day of the week. Way more
useful, with the wide spectrum coverage, antennas, radios, etc
I have. Here in Houston, ya wanna relay emergency traffic,
get on ham radio. Ya wanna talk to a truck driver looking for
grocers supply, get on a CB.. And I'm not bad mouthing CB.
Just stating the bleeding obvious. To me, CB is nearly useless.
In contrast, I can do nearly anything with the ham radios.
Including digi modes, fax, SSTV, etc...I can send documents,
weather charts, etc, over ham radio. And I can do it all with *one*
radio. My IC-706mk2g. Covers all bands, all modes. gen cov
receive. Heck, it'll even do CB if you clip a dyode.... My is still
locked though..Can you do all that with your CB? I doubt it
unless it's ham rig... :(
Good luck in the next emergency... I bet more will line up

behind me, than you, if they need to pass welfare traffic about
people stuck in flooded attics. We proved ourselves at that
job. We got *many* of them plucked out over those few days
after the storm. I heard many of them myself live, in living color.
Many on 7.290 and the linked health and welfare nets.
MK

# 2889. Re: The "newcomer quotient" --- a serious policy question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 03:54:50 UTC
From: Kim <mail@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <1133236299_40169@spool6-east.superfeed.net>
Source record: 125454

"K&#65533;HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:yiwif.2886$A23.2185@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net...
>
> "Kim" <mail@nomail.com> wrote
>
>
> > One of my points exactly. If someone really is such an awful amateur
radio
> > operator, they'll disappear from the airwaves soon enough
>
> They didn't disappear. They never even existed.
>

Well...you're still referring in terms of the fictional group of people you posted about. There is still the reality that "crappy" radio amateurs are generally ignored or otherwise discouraged from on-the-air participation, etc. So, after some time, they'll usually "disappear." That's why CW/or no CW requirement (if CW was ever used as a filtering mechanism as some claimed), the filtering mechanism is other radio amateurs.

> > I've found that most of those who are labeled "appliance operators" at
club
> > gatherings already know each other real well because they are the most
> > involved in the amateur community.
>
> "involved in the amateur community" means what? Most of us are hobbiests,
> loosely connected by common interests like contesting, DXing,
experimenting,
> repeater support clubs, public service interests, etc., etc. I derive
great
> enjoyment from my experimenting and contesting, and I have a half a wall
of
> "recognition" for various public service activities, but I don't consider
myself
> part of any "community" of amateur radio.
>

I would define amateur community as the whole population of all amateurs. You may not consider yourself a part of the whole, but you are (to me).

> >
> > And, while the solderers have been busy
> > yakking and impressing each other with all that stuff (truly important
for

> > the heritage, yes, but not necessary knowledge in today's world),
>
> Are you suggesting that technical curiousity and experimentation is no
longer
> needed? That everything has already been invented, so no new knowledge in
> electronics/radio communications is needed?
>

Absolutely not! I would think you know me better than that. You are either completely ignoring or completely missed the open paren/close paren part of my response. What is intended in that is that, some-not-all, grumpy old amateurs choose to spread the word that "all that stuff" is still as necessary as ever for radio operation. Which, IMNSHO, is untrue. One can walk into any store and pick up a radio and have it working inside of a few minutes these days. And, hallelujah for that. The "stuff" of amateur radio is still very, very important for a lot of reasons; not the least of which would be the heritage quotient, experimentation as you've so aptly pointed out, and for the real nitty-gritty science of the art of amateur radio. I DO, however, choose to recognize that there are those amateurs who just plain aren't interested in that aspect. And, I don't call them any less an amateur.

> >
> > "appliance operators" have researched the internet for the best of the
best,
> > have saved their money up and equipped themselves for most emergency
> > situations and are ready for public service with the touch of a few
buttons
> > and the attachment of a few connections.
>
> I disagree. There is a small and very valuable cadre of dedicated hams in
ARES,
> RACES, DMCS, AERO, SATERN, and similar public service/disaster recovery
groups.
> By and large they are above average in their technical qualifications, and
they
> take their roll very seriously.
>

MMmm, I would choose to disagree with your belief, and it would be interesting to find out which of us is closer to the truth on the matter. Oh wait! You said "small." OK, I'll go along with that. BUT, wouldn't you also give that others involved in public service take their role very seriously as well? I mean, really. I know some folks who've spent thousands on being prepared and being able to fully serve; and I know the "small" group you refer to. Do we place more value on the amount of money one has spent on preparedness or how "above average" they are in technical qualifications? I think that each in their own right are just as valuable--given that the propensity to volunteer is equitable.

>
> Then there is a larger group of "fair weather volunteers" who are eager to
grab
> their handheld and rush to provide public service communications for the
> marathon or the walkathon, but only so long as their 2 batteries hold up.
They
> impress each other by the number of T-shirts they've gotten from the local
> chamber of commerce for these outings.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

Absolutely. I agree totally with that.

Kim W5TIT
and, Hans, 73 to you too.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2890. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 04:16:32 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <irino1h6kve7bgmm27ianf8frs042tgf73@4ax.com>
Source record: 125455

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 01:22:36 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <w3Oif.3161$A23.3016@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
>
>>
>> Since you are Major Dud's de-facto spokesman in this matter, care to
>> speculate why he didn't know the purpose of box 11?
>>
>
>I can hardly speak for myself, so I can't speculate.

You dipped your own beak into this, Hans. Don't play dumb now.

> Depends on the vintage of
>the DD214. On a current Navy one Block 11 would indicate the NECs held during
>the enlistment ("NEC" is what you jarheads call an "MOS"). On my older editions
>there is are other types of information in Block 11 (last duty station, location
>if afloat unit, etc.).
>
>Beep beep
>de Hans, K0HB

The "vintage" is mine, form 1 JUL 79, which I scanned and posted
earlier. Box 11 of that edition indicates both the MOS and "years and
months in specialty". My own DD-214 indicates that my MOS was
"2841-GRNDRADREPMAN" (Ground RADIO Repairman), and denotes "03 years
06 months" for years and months in specialty. But right after Major
Dud saw my DD-214 he stated that "He was in an expensive technical MOS
(Ground Radar Repair). You've got to go out of your way to get the
USMC to send you home as a PFC with 3 1/2 years of service...".

Now if you look at the form you will see just to the right of box 11
is box 12, "Record of Service". Part c of that box indicates "Net
Active Service This Period", part d being "Total Prior Active
Service", part e is "Total Prior Inactive Service", etc.

So how do you suppose a reasonably intelligent person (smart enough to
be an avionics tech), who claims to have received several of these
forms (after previously claiming to have received only one), manage to
confuse "years and months in specialty" with total time in service? Do
you suppose he lost all those DD-214's -- despite the line at top dead
center which reads,

THIS IS AN IMPORTANT RECORD
SAFEGUARD IT

?????

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 2891. Re: Galaxy Electronics Founder Leo Meyerson Asks FCC To Deny K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 04:58:19 UTC
From: Al Klein <rukbat@invalid.net>
Message-ID: <eunno11l6af6k088mfg49sqehccnsmfbro@4ax.com>
Source record: 125456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.politics

On 26 Nov 2005 21:03:23 -0800, "Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>Scott in Baltimore wrote:
>> From ARNewsline #1476:
>>
>> ENFORCEMENT: WRL / GALAXY ELECTRONICS FOUNDER MEYERSON ASKS FCC NOT TO
>> RENEW K1MAN'S LICENSE
>>
>> Another well known ham has written to the FCC asking the agency not to
>> renew the license of American Amateur Radio <SNIP THE HAMMIE-HOMO SHIT>
>
>
>THAT MEYERSON SOUNDS LIKE A REAL DICK HAM, BUT I STILL LOVE MY GALAXY
>99!

Lost your caps-lock key, did you?

# 2892. Re: Retired Canadian Official Says UFO Coverup Is Real

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 05:31:58 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133242318.797531.246250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

yojimbo wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 12:41:26 -0600, clifto <clifto@clifto.com> wrote:
> > yojimbo wrote:
cut
> Now name some Canadian Prime Ministers who were similarly dishonest
> (Hell, you probably can't name the current P.M. without looking it up
> on the web.)

indeed I don't know who the pm is mow and it may be changing within the
week to caretaker govt or an election is not few months

# 2893. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 06:03:15 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dmgqv3$2cq$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
> fcathell@msn.com wrote:
>> Think about it: State: Massachusettes; Politician: Mitt Romney.
>> Doesn't that say it all? This isn't the first time his head (or
>> lard-ass Ted Kennedy's head for that matter) has been impacted up his
>> ass! Why do the people in this state keep electing these bottom
>> feeders?
>>
>> FC
>>
>>
> The state is full of simple minded dummycrat liberals.

Romney is a republican - you idiot!

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

# 2894. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 06:03:21 UTC
From: Jeff Mayner <jeffmayner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dmgqv9$2ir$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> Hello All:
>
> I wonder what pissed off the Governor on Amateur Radio?
>
> Jay in the Mojave

Mitt Romney is a tool. I have friends that live out there and they say both
Repubs and Dems do not like him. I think he was just in a bad mood 'cause he
knows he's on the way out. ;-)

>
>
> netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:
>> Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur
>> Radio operators in emergency communications during a televised "town
>> meeting" program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The
>> program featured public safety and volunteer organization officials
>> from across Massachusetts among its audience.
>>
>> Host and moderator Natalie Jacobson asked an increasingly-agitated
>> Governor Romney questions about communications interoperability, and
>> communication without commercial power. Romney was next asked by
>> Jacobson, "...so does it come down to ham radio?..."
>>
>> The Governor replied in a disgusted tone, "No, we don't need to deal
>> with ham radio operators..."
>>
>> Embarrassed public safety officials later tried to put in a good word
>> for Amateur Radio. National Weather Service Warning Coordination
>> Meteorologist Glenn Field was prepared to state the importance of
>> Amateur Radio, when Salvation Army Colonel Fred Van Brunt was called
>> upon. Van Brunt remarked about his organization's quest to improve
>> its communications capabilities and how Amateur Radio has aided his
>> organization. "The ham radio situation helps a great deal," he
>> stated.
>>
>> "I have already written and submitted a letter to the Governor's
>> Office," wrote Eastern MA Section Emergency Coordinator Rob Macedo,
>> KD1CY. "I have also written an email to Natalie Jacobson."
>>
>> ARRL Section Manager Mike Neilsen, W1MPN, sent a section-wide email
>> to all Eastern MA ARRL members describing the incident along with
>> actions he and his staff were taking to mitigate the situation.

>>
>> "[Romney's] attitude about us sets an unfortunate tone within the
>> state's executive branch," wrote Neilsen. "As a former military
>> officer, I see this as a failure in leadership. My immediate concern
>> is our working relationship within the [Massachusetts Emergency
>> Management Team] environment." Neilsen intended to address the
>> Governor's comments as "an urgent matter" at a meeting on November
>> 17 with Don Carlton from the Emergency Management Agency.
>>
>> "I was very disturbed about what the Governor stated on the program,"
>> wrote Ron Wood, W1PLW, the section's Public Information Coordinator.
>> "It does show that more work is needed by all hams in the section.
>> It's a great idea to write letters explaining the good we do." Wood
>> is attempting to schedule a meeting with the Governor's office so
>> that EMA ARRL staffers might discuss the matter further.
>>
>> Tom Kinahan, N1CPE wrote that Governor Romney's comment has made "a
>> PR problem" for Kinahan in his role as MA State RACES Officer.
>>
>> "I've got a roster of over 150 Amateur Radio operators that support
>> local communities, and those of us that directly support the state
>> government. There are at least double that in terms of people that
>> are actually out there that I don't have formal paperwork on that
>> support Amateur Radio emergency communications in some organized
>> manner... The Governor has said that he 'certainly doesn't need to
>> rely on ham radio' -- where does that leave the RACES program now?"

# 2895. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 06:03:25 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dmgqvd$2ja$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125466
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

John S. wrote:

>
>
> The governor was only confirming what many of us in this hobby realize:
>
> Ham radio operators have not served any useful purpose in emergency
> communications for a long time. The professionals pretty much ignore
> them. At most they talk to one-another in health and welfare nets and
> if someone actually calls in with an emergency all hell breaks loose
> because everyone wants to talk personally with the ham calling in.
>
> Hams have this vision of themselves as the emergency communicators who
> while huddled in a dark storm beaten shack will get through to the
> outside world and save the day by using a car battery and some
> jury-rigged cw setup. And the hams on the outside see themselves as
> the unique link on the other end who will alert the authorities and
> direct them to the site before anyone else can because they alone have
> a connection with the emergency site. It's little more than mental
> masturbation folks 'cause the real world doesn't work that way.

I guess you missed it the first time around. Try to pay attention.

As usual, ham radio operators help sustain wide-ranging relief efforts
By Andrea Kelly
ARIZONA DAILY STAR

When all else fails, it's ham radio to the rescue. Across Arizona, ham radio operators are helping sustain Hurricane Katrina relief efforts by helping storm-torn communities communicate with rescue workers and family across the country.

This is not a first. Ham radio operators come out of the woodwork during disasters around the world, even transmitting in Morse code if language barriers pop up, said Mike Swiader, president of the amateur Superstition Radio Club in Mesa.

Ham radio operators have contributed to emergency communication efforts since World War II, Swiader said, and were crucial in post-9/11 emergency communications, as well as during the Asian tsunami last holiday season.

Ham radios work when other communication systems are down because they're powered by solar energy, batteries or generators rather than the usual power sources.

The messages are passed from radio to radio until they get to the one they are directed to, so radios don't need to be near each other to receive signals, like regular AM/FM radio signals.

"We've been involved in passing traffic to and from the Louisiana and Mississippi area," said Tom Fagan, a leader of the Arizona ham radio operators organization. "Whatever needs to come in and go out, we're doing."

When Arizona's ham operators - there are about 16,000 of them - get messages from the hurricane area, they send them along to agencies like the American Red Cross and the Salvation Army in the Southeastern region of the country.

"We're the link," Fagan said.

Because communications within a disaster area are often jumbled, Swiader said, it's frequently easier for someone there to contact an operator in someplace like Arizona, who can then relay the message back to a recipient in the disaster area.

"Sometimes it's easier to be heard that way," he said.

More than 30 ham radio operators from Arizona have already headed toward the disaster area, hoping to assist relief efforts and give emergency workers a way to communicate with each other, as well as assisting searches for missing persons.

"They're moving in when they're allowed by American Red Cross and Salvation Army," said Ned Stearns, a Phoenix ham operator and vice director of the American Radio Relay League, the national organization for ham operators.

In fact, the ham operators plan to compile a list of people they can determine as missing, deceased, alive or unknown, based on information transmitted over the ham signals.

To find out about people in the area, visit www.satern.org and fill out the health and welfare information request for the person you are trying to find. This information will be sent through the Salvation Army emergency radio network.

More From The Huntsville Times | Subscribe To The Huntsville Times
Ham radio operators are helping from afar
Sunday, September 11, 2005
By DONNA FORK
For the Times dfork@knology.net

But sometimes, even the airwaves can be shut down

They also serve who listen and wait.

Huntsville amateur radio operator Douglas Hilton and other "hams" in North Alabama are helping victims of Hurricane Katrina by relaying messages between families, officials and each other.

Lately, Hilton's been spending most of his spare time in his "ham shack" listening to calls for assistance. The systems analyst for Intergraph Corp. is one of thousands of amateur radio operators who help people during emergencies.

Hilton is unusual in the ham radio world because he wears three hats: He is an amateur operator; a member of the Alabama State Defense Force, heading the Communications Platoon for North Alabama; and he's also a military communicator authorized to use the Military Affiliate Radio System.

His connection to the military (although he is a civilian) gives him access to additional radio frequencies most amateurs can't access. He has been a ham radio operator for 42 years; his father had him build a crystal radio when he was 7 years old, and he got his ham license as a teenager. But he wasn't all that active until after the terrorist attacks of Sept. 11, 2001.

"That hit me right between the eyes," he recalled, and he began to get back into "hamming."

Now Hilton averages about 100 hours a month on the airwaves and takes countless courses and certifications. He noted that amateur radio operators are "amateurs only in that we're not paid." In return for service "the government gives us frequencies."

Hilton said the North Alabama ham community is large.

"The astronauts are all ham radio operators," he said, noting that astronaut Owen Garriott "was the first ham in space."

Hilton''s "ham shack" is not really a shack at all. It is located in a formal dining room - complete with crystal chandelier. It includes six radios and two computers.

"You don't need six, but don't tell my wife, please," Hilton said as his wife, Diane, laughed. She recently obtained her "technician class" license, which is the entry-level license for ham radio operators. The next is "general class." The highest, which Hilton has, is "amateur extra." Anyone can be an amateur radio operator, Hilton said. There is no age limit: Hilton knows a local ham who is 18 and another who is 89.

It doesn't cost much to be an operator either, he said. A beginner could purchase enough equipment to get started for about $300. Courses are free through one of the local ham radio clubs, of which there are several, including the Huntsville Amateur Radio Club (the Web address is www.harc.net).

In addition to six radios, Hilton has two computers: a laptop and a desktop. "The radios are hooked into the computer." With his setup, the Hiltons can talk to other hams as far away as Japan.

But for the past two weeks there hasn't been time to chat about matters such as which antennas they like the best. There are emergency frequencies to monitor. At the moment, a critical one is the Salvation Army's emergency frequency, Hilton said.

Hilton never knows if it will be a busy night or a quiet one. Recent solar flares have added to the uncertainty because they disrupt communications. Friday, during the late afternoon and early evening, it was unusually quiet.

"I'm a little surprised," he said Friday. "I can't believe how dead these bands are."

Solar flare

It could be a solar flare, or could be something else. "That's the problem, you never know," he said. The waiting, the listening, "it wears you out." But it's worth it when you hear a voice and "you might be the only person who can hear this guy."

On Thursday night, when Hilton was expecting problems, reception was fine. He was able to contact two operators in Louisiana. He also heard one Mississippi ham talking to another ham "so at least one is working there."

ecause of the Hurricane Katrina disaster, there are thousands of messages piling up, waiting to be delivered by ham radio operators: What will happen when all their radios start working again?

People like Hilton will be extremely busy. There are thousands of ham radio operators in Louisiana and Mississippi. When they all get back to the airwaves, it will be quite a challenge to sort out all the messages.

But meanwhile, as they waited for the deluge of messages, on Thursday night the Huntsvillians received a call for help from New Mexico.

Maura Campbell Kingston of Clovis, N.M., was trying to reach her aunt, 76-year-old Sister Joan Campbell, a nun, of Harvey, La. Kingston called the Salvation Army, which contacted a ham radio operator, who called Hilton.

Hilton tried Thursday to reach a radio operator in Louisiana to check on Campbell, but almost all of them were off the air. Finally on Friday night Hilton got through to "KG5YK," who is Robert A. Turner of Elm Grove, La.

When Turner's message came through, hams from all over the United States could be heard, eager to talk with him.

Helping 'ham brother'

The outpouring of sympathy could not be contained: Radio operators from Texas, Indiana and elsewhere all expressed their support for their "ham brother" in Louisiana.

"I hope this never happens again," said Turner of the Katrina disaster. "It's been a tough time. ... I'm looking for the right words." He thanked the other hams for their all their help.

"You'd do the same for us," the ham from Texas said. Others echoed his sentiments.

Turner gave Hilton information about when to call with his "health and welfare message" about Kingston's aunt. Hilton vowed to keep trying to deliver the message "for as long as it takes."

But that proved to be unnecessary.

Friday night, after calling her aunt repeatedly, Kingston finally reached her by phone. She was still at her home in Harvey.

"She was OK," Kingston said in a telephone interview Saturday. "Harvey stayed dry." But the area was without power and Campbell "was sitting in the dark with her flashlight."

Later, Kingston called Hilton to thank him. She said he told her: "I'm just doing it because I want to help."

Kingston was impressed.

"I believe that thing about Southern hospitality."

Thursday, September 15, 2005

Ham radio proves to be more than a hobby
By Jeannetta Edwards

Daily Times Leader

When Hurricane Katrina hit, telephone and cell phone communication hit an impasse because of downed lines and towers. But, amateur radio operators did not miss a beat or a transmission.

"Before and after the hurricane, this has proven to be the most reliable form of communication," said Randall O'Brien, a retired highway patrolman who has been involved with ham radio operation for 35 years.

"People thought when they got cell phones, they didn't need us anymore," said O'Brien, an advanced licensed operator, who often talks to missionaries in South and Central America.

According to Denver Baker, Clay County has more than 30 amateur radio operators, but only a small fraction are active.

"It's a wonderful hobby," said Baker, whose wife recently received her technician license.

The multi-faceted operation includes digital and satellite communication and is enjoyed by all age levels.

"This is a good hobby for seniors or for young people," said Baker.

By becoming a ham radio operator, which requires taking a test to obtain a license, people can communicate with others anywhere in the world.

"The classes for the test are free," said Baker, "and we help you with everything you need to know."

According to Baker, who has been enjoying this hobby for the last 10 years, the only investment required is the fee for the test and the purchase of equipment. A handheld transmitter cost around $100.

The self-policing group ensures that everyone adheres to the rules and regulations.

"We're called amateur radio operators, but there's nothing amateur about it," said O'Brien, who first became interested in electronic at age 13.

The group, which also belongs to the American Radio Relay League, meets monthly in West Point.

"We have a good bunch of hams in this area," said Baker. "We bring food at our meetings and have a good time."

"It's nice, especially for seniors," said Baker.

For information on getting involved in ham radio operation, call O'Brien at 494-2898 or Baker at 494-9096.

Thursday, September 8, 2005

Ham Radio Operators Offer Help

By Beth Hahn
Mountain View Telegraph
Since Hurricane Katrina hit New Orleans last week, several ears in the East Mountains and Estancia Valley have been tuned to amateur short-wave radios.
McIntosh resident Ed Brooks said local Ham radio operators are willing to relay messages from the Gulf Coast if needed.
"We guys here in the Estancia Valley are just listening," he said. "We haven't had any need for what we can offer."
Although they may not be needed yet, Brooks said local Ham radio operators are still listening in to conversations taking place in Louisiana, Mississippi and Alabama.
During the interview with Brooks, his Ham radio was tuned to a conversation involving a Coast Guard helicopter that was rescuing a family from a rooftop in New Orleans with direction from another Ham radio operator.
Brooks said similar situations have been broadcast hundreds of times during the past week.
"It's been really spotty, but what we've been (hearing) is that it was good fortune that somebody down there had Ham equipment," he said.
Daryl Clutter, an Edgewood Ham operator and member of the Salvation Army's shortwave network, said he relayed a message from Ontario to U.S. Coast Guard officials in Washington, D.C., that originated in Louisiana.
"It was just the word 'help' spelled out two times," he said.
Like Brooks, Clutter said he has stayed close to his Ham radio since Aug. 29.
"There's been so much going on," he said. "Every day, something happens."
Clutter, a former truck driver who often delivered frozen food to

the Gulf Coast, said radio traffic has slowed since the hurricane devastated the region.
"You just wonder who all you know, that used to be your customers down there, that are still alive or had the good sense to get out," he said.
As amateur radio operators, Clutter said, Ham enthusiasts practice for disasters "every day."
"There's only so many cell phone frequencies," he explained. "If the phone system goes down, there's no way ... (one company) could handle all the 60,000 or 70,000 phone calls."
Mountainair resident and Ham operator Bob Scupp said the Salvation Army network alone has handled more than 128,000 messages since Aug. 29.
Brooks said Ham operators have been relaying messages from residents to volunteers or emergency personnel. Since a large number of National Guard and military personnel have arrived in the area, Brooks said the messages have changed from pleas for help to questions about well-being.
Shelters for evacuees are beginning to utilize Ham radios to communicate with other shelters to help families find missing relatives, Brooks said.
Rescues are still going on.
"There's been instances where they're still finding people, trapped in houses, trapped in buildings or running out of oxygen," said Clutter. "It's just terrible what's going on down there."
Clutter and Brooks said they will not offer assistance unless someone requests contact in the Albuquerque area.
"We don't want to tie up the frequencies," Brooks said.
Clutter and Brooks said they will continue to listen to their Ham radios for messages or relay requests until communications are restored in the Gulf Coast.
"We live and breathe this," Clutter said.

Published: September 03, 2005 07:18 pm

Amateur radio operators provide vital communications support
By Teresa Atkerson
MCALESTER NEWS-CAPITAL (MCALESTER, Okla.)
MCALESTER, Okla. -

With more than 10,000 requests for help in finding loved ones, the amateur radio operators in Louisiana are extremely busy.

In fact, they are so busy, they have asked people to wait with their requests unless there is an extreme emergency.

Ralph Suter, with the Pittsburg County Amateur Radio Club, said they had about a half dozen requests for assistance in locating loved ones in the area devastated by Hurricane Katrina. &#65533;The Red Cross funneled the requests for health and welfare,&#65533; he explained. Now, the national traffic system is backed up with the requests, he said, because there were so many.

There are extreme problems with communications in New Orleans at the present time. Suter explained VHF radio has to have line of sight while amateur radio operators can use lower frequencies that can bounce off or curve to get the message through. &#65533;A benefit of amateur radio is you can throw a piece of wire in a tree or up a flagpole. With 12 volts of power, you can operate on a local or even worldwide basis,&#65533; he explained.

As an example, Suter said recently an amateur radio operator in Louisiana had some kind of problem that he couldn&#65533;t get help with after the hurricane. He put out the message, it went through Washington state to Texas and then into Louisiana where the right people were found to help him.

Amateur radio operators can also communicate via Morse Code, upload through television or computer or radio to satellite, Suter said. That gives them even more advantages in communication.

Jim Russell, president of the PCARC, said amateur radio has been around as long as there has been radio. Even in World War I, amateur radio operators helped with messages in Morse Code, which is something operators today still must learn as part of their licensing process.

&#65533;We look at it as a hobby,&#65533; Suter said. &#65533;It&#65533;s a resource of individuals because they have an interest to develop the skills to operate radios in various modes.

&#65533;It&#65533;s a resource for trained communicators.&#65533;

There are forms that must be used when transmitting messages. &#65533;When it goes into the system at one point and comes out at the destination, it is exactly the same information, even with the misspelled words,&#65533; Suter said. &#65533;It&#65533;s our responsibility when handling traffic that it comes out exactly as it comes in.&#65533;

Suter said many of the operators use their radios on a daily basis while others check weekly. When it comes to disasters, there is the Amateur Radio Emergency Service. &#65533;It&#65533;s not really an organization but it is organized on a national basis for community programs.

John Wright, another PCARC member, checks with the Oklahoma Sooner Net and with the Salvation Army&#65533;s network, SATERN.

After the Oklahoma City bombing in 1995 and 9/11, Russell said amateur radio was used extensively. It is also used during and after tornadoes and other natural disasters. Now, the group can work with the Automatic Position Reporting System to help more accurately report severe weather.

The group has an annual field day, which is a combination of a exercise and a contest. &#65533;We go out and set up radios under adverse conditions to simulate disaster response,&#65533; Suter said. &#65533;In the past, we&#65533;ve started with solar power, using a battery in a car or even a portable generator.

&#65533;The last time I kept count, we were able to make contacts in 47 states, the Philippines, some in South America and Puerto Rico in a 24-hour period.&#65533;

Suter pointed out that the word "amateur" is not what people interpret it as. "People interpret it to be an unprofessional group. But while it's made up of a lot of people for a hobby, it's not unorganized or unprofessional when dealing with disaster. We have capabilities that public agencies just don't have," he said.

Members also have to be licensed. The license testing can be done in McAlester now so those wishing to be licensed don't have to go to Dallas or Oklahoma City as in the past.

Teresa Atkerson writes for the McAlester (Okla.) News-Capital.

Old Technology Still Needed
(Page 1 of 2)

Sept. 8, 2005

Overwhelming Charity

Joe Carcia, station manager of the American Radio Relay League in Newington, Conn. helps with the disaster relief effort for Hurricane Katrina's victims along the Gulf Coast. (AP)

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

(CBS) There is a store in London dedicated to "appropriate technology." It mostly carries products designed to be used in developing countries where there is a weak communications infrastructure.

Sadly, that's now the case for a large swath of the United States where Hurricane Katrina and its flood waters have wiped out cell phone towers, telephone switching stations, Internet routers and other ground-based communications systems. Even wireless Internet depends on nearby transmitters and receivers on the ground.

But amateur radio is working and, according to Allen Pitts of the Amateur Radio Relay League (ARRL), "ham" radio operators from throughout the country are answering the call to provide vital communications links. Satellite phones, a much newer technology, are also coming to the rescue.

Pitts acknowledges that cell phones and other technologies "work wonderfully when everything is going right," but "they are very vulnerable when everything is going wrong."

Just as with past hurricanes, earthquakes and on September 11th, the ground-based infrastructure, in many cases failed because vital parts of the system were damaged or overwhelmed during the emergency.

In other words, when you need them most, those cell phones are of no value.

Amateur radio, says Pitts, is not vulnerable to these problems "because each of the radio operators is a complete transmit and reception center

unto themselves able to continue going and continue with nothing more than an electrical source, such as a battery or a generator, a radio and piece of wire for an antenna."

Listen to audio of Larry Magid's interview with ARRL's Allen Pitts.

While base-station radios are larger and require an electrical power source, there are also portable ham radios slightly larger than a pack of cigarettes with a range of about 20 miles, according to Pitts. Pitts says that the next sized radio, about the size of a paperback book, can communicate up to about 50 miles without a repeater while larger systems, "about the size of a desktop computer" can communicate globally.

Amateur radio operators are in demand. When I checked the ARRL's Web site there was a call from the Salvation Army for "10, two-operator Amateur Radio teams for deployment in the U.S. Gulf Coast. Operators must hold at least a General class license. Teams should be fully self sufficient in terms of food, water and lodging and plan to remain in the disaster area for from one to two weeks."

Pitts said there was a "lady in Connecticut," who was listening on her amateur radio and happened to hear that there was a woman "who was trapped for four days without food and water." She was able to relay that message, by ham radio, into an operations center that dispatched the fire department. A day later she got a call from the trapped woman's mother, thanking her for her role in the rescue.

Ironically, this comes at a time when amateur radio is struggling for respect and, to some extent, survival. What was once a popular hobby has fallen into somewhat hard times for a variety of reasons, including the fact that the Internet, cheap long distance calling, cell phones and other technologies make the ability to use these two-way radios not quite as compelling as it once was.

Also, there is a bit of a rift between some members of the amateur radio community and some parts of the Internet industry over the use of power lines to transmit Internet signals. This technology, which could put power companies into competition with cable and phone companies for broadband service, has been criticized by some ham operators because of possible interference problems.

Despite the fact that their origins go back to the early 20th century, hams are hardly low-tech. There are now bridges between ham operators and other technologies including the Internet, cellular phone systems and Internet phones. In fact, long before the Internet became popular, ham operators were using their radio gear to transmit data along with voice and morse code.

Also coming to the rescue are satellite phones. These phones - which are much more expensive to buy and use than cell phones - rely on satellites rather than ground equipment to communicate with the phone network.

Because satellites are in space, they're not vulnerable to conditions here on earth. Also, as long as you have a view of the sky, you're able to communicate. Iridium, which operates "66 low-earth orbiting (LEO), cross-linked satellites and 11 in-orbit spares," says it provides "complete coverage of the earth (including oceans, airways and Polar Regions)."

I carried an Iridium phone with me when I traveled to Peru a few years ago. It worked great when I was in the clear such as on a boat in the middle of the Amazon River but, because it requires a line of site view of the sky, it had problems in dense jungle and in cities with tall buildings.

Iridium spokesperson Liz DeCastro estimates that there are currently about 10,000 of Iridium's phones being used in the region with orders for about 6,000 more. The company sells through resellers so it doesn't have exact numbers. DeCastro says that "traffic in the region increased by 3,000 percent" since the storm hit and that the number of subscribers increased by 500 percent.

Satellite phones are a lot cheaper to use then when I went to Peru, but they still cost between $1 and $1.25 per minute to use, according to DeCastro. That's a lot more than domestic cell use, though often cheaper than using U.S. phones when roaming overseas. The phones themselves cost between $1,000 and $1,500 she says.

In addition to handling voice calls, satellite phones can also be used for messaging or data, albeit at modem rather than broadband speed.

If anything, Katrina has taught us the value of redundancy. The best way to make sure that messages get through is to have standby systems that can take over when others fail.

That's why some news organizations keep manual typewriters around. You never know when that old technology may suddenly become the "appropriate technology."

NYNewsday.com
Amateur radio operators head to Gulf Coast

September 6, 2005, 1:00 AM EDT

NEWINGTON, Conn. -- Oscar Fuller only became an amateur radio operator about a year ago, but he knows how valuable his equipment can be in an emergency.

So even though he has never responded to a crisis, the American Relay Radio League member is planning to head to the hurricane-ravaged Gulf Coast and see if he can help establish an emergency communication network.

"This is a tragic set of circumstances," said Fuller, who recently retired from IBM. "If I can lend a hand, I want to."

Fuller and about 14 other members of the Newington-based amateur radio operator network have signed up to join the relief efforts for Hurricane Katrina. The amateur operators _ known as hams _ can often use their equipment to communicate in difficult circumstances.

Because the radio equipment operates on many frequencies, is self-contained and portable, it can often work when other communication networks, such as telephones, aren't working. It can never be blocked, which is why operators say it is good in disasters.

"When all else fails, ham radios work," said Betsy Doane of Shelton, who oversees the 2,500 members of the league in Connecticut.

David Patton, an official with the radio group, said as many as 750 volunteers may be needed. The Connecticut volunteers are going to help provide communication support for more than 200 American Red Cross Shelters in Mississippi, Alabama and the Florida panhandle.

"There are limited resources to an overwhelming disaster," said Allen Pitts, public relations manager for the radio league. "So there is not a ham on every corner. The hams are put where they are needed most of all, in emergency traffic or for saving lives."

Dennis Motschenbacher of Colchester was among the first volunteers to say he would go. He spent Sunday shopping for supplies and getting ready for the 18-hour, 1,100 mile drive to Montgomery, Ala., where he will be dispatched to a shelter.

"Everything I need, I'm bringing," he said. "There won't be any Radio Shack to go to."

The 57-year-old has been a ham for more than 40 years. He has operated his radio from places as far as East Timor and the Balkans.

The hardest part will be "seeing people in this troubled state _ smelly, sick, depressed, angry. And no matter what any of us do, it won't be enough for all of them."

NBC
Headline News - Top Stories
Ham Radio Operators Pitch In To Hurricane Katrina Relief Effort
General Interest
09/07/2005
With communications out all over New Orleans and the Gulf Coast, the professionals are turning to amateurs to be their ears to what's going on in the areas devastated by Hurricane Katrina.

Local operators say they've been in touch with people in and around New Orleans since the beginning of the ordeal.

Ed McLaughlin, of Kennewick, says in the days that followed the disaster, he was able to help a family in Medford, Ore., get an update on how their relatives were. He's provided similar contact for a family in Chicago.

The reason-- ham radio, which is able to get in places that have no other means for communication when land-based infrastructure fails.

Ham radio has been such a success during these recent days that FEMA and the Red Cross are now using it as their primary mode for communication until other modes of communication are restored.

News-Leader.com Springfield Mo.
Ham radio helps close communication gap

Nixa man relayed message from president to New Orleans' mayor.

Joe Hargis works on his amateur radio in his home in Nixa. Hargis helped rescue an elderly woman by notifying someone who could contact rescue workers via radio.

By Sarah Overstreet
News-Leader

If you've been unsuccessfully trying to get information about someone living in Hurricane Katrina's region of havoc, here's an avenue you may not have considered: local ham radio operators.

While cell phones rely on towers and traditional telephones rely on intact land lines, ham radios transmit from radio to radio, bouncing waves off the ionosphere in the upper atmosphere far above the Earth's tantrums. They can run off batteries, generators and sometimes even the sun.

Two Ozarks amateur ham radio groups - the Nixa Amateur Radio Club and the Christian County ARES (Amateur Radio Emergency Services) - have been relaying messages from people all over the world to those whose communication has been cut off by Katrina.

David Beckler, a ham radio operator from Nixa, even relayed a message Tuesday from the director of the Federal Emergency Management Agency in Texas to New Orleans Mayor Ray Nagin.

"He had a message from President Bush to the mayor of the city of New Orleans," says Beckler, a New Orleans native who moved to Nixa six years ago.

Since the message was an emergency communication, the dispatch needed to go through the net control station on an emergency frequency, Beckler said. He knew it was important, because the ham operator had said, "break, break, break," which requires all other communications to yield and give that one priority.

"The net control station or other stations on this frequency could not hear this message," Beckler says. Beckler contacted the net control station, and people there asked him to contact the sending ham operator and relay the message back to that operator. "That's when he told me it was from President Bush."

Joe Hargis, another Nixa ham club member, also helped with an urgent message. "I was monitoring the SATERN network (Salvation Army Team

Emergency Radio Network)," said Hargis, a retired elementary schoolteacher.

"A person called in with a piece of emergency traffic and the (receiving) person could not hear him. He said, 'Is there anyone on the frequency who can copy this?' I broke in and told him I could hear it fine."

Hargis relayed the message back to SATERN, and the operator there could hear Hargis well. "It was a 90-year-old woman and her elderly neighbors. They were afraid to leave their home because of the unrest in the neighborhood, things that were going on, and they needed assistance to be evacuated. They were running low on medicine, and one was running out of her oxygen supply."

Nixa ham club member Rod Kittleman says their members helped save the lives of a couple in New Orleans.

"A weak, disabled elderly couple were trapped in their attic. Their phone worked, but they couldn't get through to local authorities," the KADI radio program manager explains.

Too weak to break through their roof so emergency personnel could find them, the couple called their daughter in this area. She contacted the Nixa club, which relayed the message to a local ham operator who is licensed to operate on emergency frequencies.

"He got through to authorities in New Orleans over the radio, and they were rescued. (The ham operator) relayed the message back to their daughter. That's the reward we live for."

ARRL's Role In Rescue Offers Lessons For Future

Mark David
ED Online ID #11164
October 13, 2005

Investigations into the communications breakdowns in our national emergency response systems continue. Yet I'm struck by the contrast between the hue and cry for upgraded infrastructure solutions and the much quieter revelation that old-school ham radio provided the only trustworthy communication during Hurricane Katrina.

New Orleans emergency departments' radios were wiped out when broadcast towers lost backup power generators. Police and fire departments only had citizen-band radios, offering inadequate bandwidth. Emergency responders lacked coordinated frequencies.

The National Guard cited antiquated communications technology-as a contributor to its delayed response. Lt. Gen. Steven Blum told USA Today that there was a shortage of high-tech radios and satellite communications gear. "We were underequipped," Blum told USA Today. "We don't need tanks and attack helicopters... but we must have state-of-the-art radios and communications."

The Guard has historically gotten "handmedown" equipment from active-duty military. It now uses "Vietnam-era radios while it needs 37,000 newer radios," according to Guard budget briefings.

Meanwhile, ham radio operators proved that older technology can be the most reliable technology. Our EDA Editor (and ham) David Maliniak wrote an online column on the subject, pointing out that sometimes "old works when new doesn't." (Read it and add your comments at ED Online 11136.) During and after Katrina, hams running on generators (sometimes with makeshift antennas) worked throughout the hurricane zone to put emergency stations on the air. They guided rescuers to stranded victims and updated weather services via the Hurricane Watch Net.

Amateur radio was the primary means of contact with the outside world for many shelters. It's estimated that some 1000 amateur radio volunteers helped serve the hurricane-ravaged communities and shelters, even providing communications for the Red Cross.

Still, the real lesson of the ham radio successes isn't that old sometimes trumps new. Upgraded, reliable hardware is vital for adequate emergency response. Amateur radio has continued to upgrade too. Hams use satellites, digital systems, cross-band repeaters, and more. As the American Radio Relay League (ARRL) puts it, the Morse code key may still be on the desk, but generally it's next to a modern system operable under extreme emergency conditions.

DISTRIBUTED NETWORKS

Katrina taught two key lessons. First, the Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES) organization proved effective because hams don't depend on a centralized infrastructure. When cell towers, phone switching centers, or other central communicationsnetworks are down, hams aren't. Many operators have their own generators and are ready to fire them up to get on the air when there's no power. National disaster response plans must assume that the centralized communications infrastructure likely will be crippled, so the emergency system must include a distributed or "mesh" networking scheme.

Second, ARRL succeeded because operators subscribe to a mission that comes with their licenses-to be ready to provide emergency communications whenever and wherever they're needed. ARES has a well-conceived action plan coordinated through the Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service (RACES). ARES is part of the ARRL, and RACES is coordinated through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA). But like the broacast system, the emergency plan is decentralized. Radio operators can work independently to serve their community as circumstances require.

A decentralized emergency plan requires deputized people who truly understand and care about their responsibilities. The best emergency response relies on distributed manpower, with first responders empowered to make decisions at the scene of the crisis.

It doesn't take a federal investigation to realize that the government's emergency-response debacle was caused by centralizing the decision-making with politically appointed bureaucrats who didn't have a personal mission or a true sense of ownership in ensuring preparedness. The fiasco with now-deposed FEMA leader Michael Brown exemplifies the folly of appointments based on cronyism, rather than the recruitment of people who have a passion, understanding, and commitment for the responsibilities they shoulder.

In this issue's cover story, Ron Schneiderman looks at government programs and the new technologies tackling our homeland security problems. But will the right people get those technologies? Too often, homeland security appointments and dollars are doled out according to political favoritism. As we saw in the recent emergency response, technologies are only effective when managed by people-like the hams-who take their responsibilities to heart.

Hats off to all of you who care about the quality of the security and emergency communications technologies you're engineering. Let's hope they end up controlled by people who care just as much.

A few months ago, NBC's Tonight Show staged a race between a pair of ham-radio operators with Morse-code keys and a couple of kids with text-messaging cellphones to see who could communicate faster. The hams won hands down, proving, in the minds of some, that old technology could hold its own against new. In recent days, ham radio was put to the test again by Hurricane Katrina. This time, however, lives were at stake.

In the world of design engineers and electronics in general, change is essential. Designers work diligently to make the fruits of their labors obsolete almost before they see daylight. The turnover in technology is sometimes like a flood, with old being washed away by new over and over. Often, the new beats the heck out of the old. But there are times when old isn't necessarily bad; in fact, sometimes old works when new doesn't. And then we're glad that old is still around, or at least we should be.

Wireless technology, while relatively new to many consumers, is of course not new at all. A few (very) old-timers remember the original "wireless" of radio. The revolution wrought by the pioneers of wireless changed the world then, and the technology behind that revolution has been re-invented and re-applied time and again. Its pre-eminent incarnation today is our near-ubiquitous wireless communications infrastructure, which has freed us from the shackles of landlines and made our mobile lifestyles possible. Technology truly is great stuff.

Until, of course, a monster hurricane comes along to render it nearly useless. Here we see a scenario in which a flood literally swept away the new. As Hurricane Katrina's fury hammered the Gulf states on August 29, the communications infrastructure took a devastating hit. Telephone service, including wireless, became at first intermittent and then unusable in many localities. Where there was phone service, 911 switchboards were often unreachable due to the massive volume of calls.

The response of local authorities, now termed "confused" by deposed FEMA chief Michael Brown, wasn't helping much. The Gulf Coast was about to descend into darkness, chaos, and, worst of all for many, silence.

But proponents of the old were at the ready. The "old," in this case, is ham radio. In the eyes of the "man on the street," ham radio has a pretty stodgy reputation. Aren't hams still using Morse code? Don't some of them use radios with tubes, for goodness sake? What the "man in the street" probably doesn't know is that it was amateurs who advanced the radio arts early in the 20th century. Down through the decades, amateurs have embraced (and often driven) all of the innovations in wireless technology, up to and including all digital modes and the Internet. But many have stayed in touch with their roots, which is good old-fashioned analog HF operation. And while amateurs have a longstanding tradition as innovators and experimenters, they also have a mandate that comes with their licenses: to be ready, willing, and able to provide emergency communications whenever and wherever they're needed.

As Katrina bore down on the Gulf region, amateur radio operators, under the aegis of the American Radio Relay League's (ARRL's) Amateur Radio Emergency Service (ARES), prepared to swing into action with emergency networks that would run health-and-welfare traffic into and out of the disaster zone. As early as the Monday following the storm, hams throughout the hurricane zone were putting emergency stations on the air. In one instance, hams were instrumental in the rescue of 15 people clinging for life to a New Orleans rooftop. Meanwhile, in Alabama, amateur SKYWARN weather nets kept the National Weather Service apprised of conditions throughout the state. In hard-hit sections of Mississippi, hams running off generators and with makeshift antennas were the only means of communication, getting word to out-of-state friends and relatives concerning their loved ones.

There were numerous other instances of hams helping those who were not simply inconvenienced by the storm, but whose lives were in imminent danger. Now that things have calmed down in the Gulf region, many of the emergency nets have stood down. But hams continue to serve the public in the many areas that are still without power or phone service.

As our nation collects itself in the aftermath of the Katrina disaster, President Bush has promised federal reviews of what went right and what went wrong. One of the findings of those inquiries should be that the federally-instituted Amateur Radio Service, which functions under the licensing authority of the FCC, stood tall when the country needed it.

Amateur radio currently faces various threats to its existence. Chief among those is the advent of broadband-over-powerline (BPL) technology, which, if broadly adopted, has the potential to cause widespread interference to HF communications, not just for amateurs but for other services that use the HF spectrum.

Amateurs and the ARRL have made a lot of noise about BPL, asserting that it could seriously hamper their efforts and those of relief agencies

such as the Red Cross and Salvation Army, in the event of a disaster such as Katrina. It's rumored, though, that the same FCC commissioners who have given their blessing to BPL field trials will now take a much harder look at the technical issues concerning BPL and its interference potential in the HF spectrum. Let's face it: The federal government didn't handle the emergency in the Gulf very well; it'd be prudent for it not to sanction a technology that could impede one of the few things that actually worked.

Many readers of this newsletter are amateur radio enthusiasts. If you are, and if you haven't already done so, consider writing your congressman to express your concern about the future of the Amateur Radio Service, especially in light of its outstanding efforts in recent days. Remind your elected representatives that a vibrant and unimpeded Amateur service can and will be a lifesaver when disaster strikes. Also, consider how you yourself might help. What if a hurricane, tornado, or earthquake ravages your area? Are you prepared to get on the air without relying on the mains to handle emergency traffic? Get in touch with your local amateur-radio club and find out how you can pitch in.

Your cell phones and wireless routers are indeed great stuff, but so is a good old HF transceiver. We shouldn't always be in such a hurry to let the flood of new technology wash away the old. The geek down the block with all the antennas on his property could turn out to be your best friend someday. Because sometimes, old trumps new.

# 2896. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 06:03:28 UTC
From: Lancer
Message-ID: <dmgqvg$2m2$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

On 26 Nov 2005 18:19:42 GMT, netmanager2@yahoo.com wrote:

>Massachusetts Governor Mitt Romney dismissed the role of Amateur Radio
>operators in emergency communications during a televised "town meeting"
>program on WCVB's "When Disaster Strikes: Segment Two." The program
>featured public safety and volunteer organization officials from across
>Massachusetts among its audience.

Here is a link to the video if your interested.

http://www.thebostonchannel.com/video/5334306/detail.html

# 2897. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 06:03:29 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dmgqvh$2mc$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> All you have to do is see the state that this is eminating from. Says
> it all!

What state are you from asshole!

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

# 2898. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 06:03:31 UTC
From: Brian Denley <b.denley@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dmgqvj$2mi$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125464
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

fcathell@msn.com wrote:
> Think about it: State: Massachusettes; Politician: Mitt Romney.
> Doesn't that say it all? This isn't the first time his head (or
> lard-ass Ted Kennedy's head for that matter) has been impacted up his
> ass! Why do the people in this state keep electing these bottom
> feeders?
>
> FC

The guy is a republican - and a leading republican candidate for national office at that. He's not even from Massachusetts.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.comcast.net/~b.denley/index.html

# 2899. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 06:03:32 UTC
From: hhc314@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <dmgqvk$2o8$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125465
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

Cmdr Buzz Corey posted:

"The state is full of simple minded dummycrat liberals."

I live in Masachusetts, and worse still, Gov. Mitt Romney is a Republican. (Our Lt. Govenor, Kerry Healey is no mental giant either.) Both are children of the idle rich, and neither has any perception of the real world in which most American's live.

What is even more frightening to me, it appears obvious to everyone in MA that Romney is posturing himself to run for President, and Healey to run for Govenor. Brrrrr...What a chilling thought. I'm a long-time registered Republican, and quite frankly I seriously doubt that Romney and Healey combined would be qualified to run for the local position of Dog Catcher!

With respect to Ms. Jacobsen... For many years she was married to Chet Curtis, another local news anchor, a private pilot and I believe a ham radio operator as well. So it figures that she would have reacted to Romney's ill considered remarks dismissing the important role of amateur radio in disaster communications.

Harry C.

p.s., If the above predictions become reality, I will be re-registering as a Democrat and working my arse off to defeat both of these candidates.

## 2900. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 06:04:08 UTC
From: DTV_DuDE <No_DTV_4_Me@aol.com>
Message-ID: <438BEF53.7AE1753E@aol.com>
Source record: 125458
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Big problem is all the lawyers on the FCC board,

only two of the current comm. have any tech
degrees, all the rest are lawyers and political types.

DTV, BPL oh yeah baby, the wave of the future.

BPL nirvana of internet access

## 2901. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 06:04:30 UTC
From: DTV_DuDE <No_DTV_4_Me@aol.com>
Message-ID: <438BEF6D.C267D76B@nospam.com>
Source record: 125459
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Big problem is all the lawyers on the FCC board,

only two of the current comm. have any tech
degrees, all the rest are lawyers and political types.

DTV, BPL oh yeah baby, the wave of the future.

BPL nirvana of internet access

## 2902. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 06:28:02 UTC
From: sweston <sweston2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <11ont7gopl4i659@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 125467
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Ain't gonna happen anywhere near the deadline. All analog TVs both past and present will be able to be used easily and cheaply at least during our lifetime...

"Kookwatch 968549" <undermyhat@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:9271a$4389ed07$d1cc5677$26533@snip.allthenewsgroups.com...

> Can you just imagine what will happen the first weekend after
> the DTV shi+ hits the fan and Bubba can't watch his BALL
> GAMES or his NASCAR on his, now utterly useless TeeVee...?
> Unless of course he buys a $100 set-top converter box for every TV
> Want to talk about an excuse for rioting in the streets? Here it is, or
> will be soon!

## 2903. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 08:02:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133251339.585187.38000@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125468

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> >
> > > what does sexuality have to do with radio ham or otherwise
> >
> > Filed to CD-RW.
> >
> > Thanks, Markie.
> more of the Stevie double standard
> Re: Another Markie Stalking Thread.
>
> if I press Stevie it is stalking
>
> if Stevie does it it is ok
>
> answer the question coward

Done.

I've also added the 42 "stevie" subject lines that I've counted
today alone to the disk.

Fun reading for people who will have to make a determination on the
true basis for your "claims".....

Steve, K4YZ

# 2904. N0IMD Whines, Still Refuses To Answer To HIS "Double Standard"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 08:21:02 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133252462.341326.14300@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125469

hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > > K4YZ and N0IMD back and forth....Starting with me.....
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Jim Miccolis has NEVER called the putz anything BUT "Len" or
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > "Leonard" or any other abbreviation of his name that Lennie didn't
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > himself use, yet Lennie's pelted him for YEARS with NUMEROUS
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > diminutives. You have too, yet I have NEVER seen Jim refer to YOU
as
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > anything except "Brian", "Burke", or by your callsign.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > We'll start with you. Once you've reformed, we'll work with Jim.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > There's nothing to "work with" with Jim.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > He's already established a track record of NOT calling people by
diminutives.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > He doesn't do it to Lennie...he doesn't do it to Mark or you.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > So...What's YOUR excuse for doing it to him?
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > N0IMD
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Like I said, we'll start with you and when you've shown improvement for
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > some period of time, we can move on to Jim. Jim may take only a minute
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > or two, but I'm trying to be inclusive here.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > You keep suggesting that there's something to "move on to", Brian.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > I want to know what it is you think you have to "move on to" vis-a-vis
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > conducting yourself to YOUR standards in talking to/about Jim Miccolis.
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > What's YOUR excuse for using diminutive language towards Jim
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Miccolis when he's NEVER done it to you...?!?!
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > > > > Steve, you're blowing it. I prefer to keep my name and callsign off of

> > > > > > > the web. As you well know, my call is convertible to my address, and I
> > > > > > > don't want that plastered on the web, either.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then you shouldn't be here. Or do like Markie and get a PO box
> > > > > > or one of those surrogate mail delivery services.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Or is this just another "I Sure Hope My Family Won't Ever See How
> > > > > > I REALLY Behave" redirects?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > You've got 24 hours to apologize and promise not to do so again.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So I guess THIS is the "direct answer" to the "direct question"...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Why am I not surprised...?!?!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > > >
> > > > > Whatever made me think you'd behave yourself?
> > > >
> > > > Two things come to mind:
> > > >
> > > > (1) If you were REALLY that concerned, you would have done a
> > > > "Markie" and changed the subject line. This now makes the FIFTH
> > > > response with your name and call in it. Obviously it's NOT that big an
> > > > issue for you.
> > >
> > > Steve, I get to decide what is an issue for me, and I decided a long
> > > time ago.
> >
> > What did you decide a long time ago?
>
> Not to post my callsign to RRAP. Do you recall making a big deal about
> it at the time?

If you're that scared of any potential adverse outcomes from being
on the Internet, Brain, stop being on the Internet.

> > > It was also explained to you a long time ago. Yet you ignore my wishes
> > > because you're a jerk.
> >
> > I ignore your wishes because you ignore everyone elses, Brian.
>
> You wish me to call you Steve. Your wish is granted.

Don't worry about it.

> > You want what you want, and unless what someone else wants is only
> > that, too bad.
>
> Duh! You want what you want and disrespect eveyone who gets in your
> way.

No, I don't, but nice try, Brian.

> > > While I've got your attention, why did you change Mark's attribution?
> >
> > For the same reason you refuse to answer my question,
> > Brian...Because I want to.
>
> Not answering your questions is not libel. Accusing another of rape or
> calling them a rapist is.

Markie has already expressed thinly veiled "interest" in
sex-by-force.

> It is also dispicable. -You- are dispicable.

So says you, yet another documented and archived liar, Brain.

> > Now...if sandlot time is over, do we get an answer to the pending
> > question about YOUR lack of civility towards those who don't treat you
> > that way...?!?!
>
> My lack??? You prove yourself uncivil almost every time you post.
>
> Deal's off.

What deal?

You and Markie have significant misunderstandings of what
constitutes a "deal", wager, bet, agreement, or any other word that
insinuates a mutual understanding or intent to participate in
cooperative exchange, Brain.

It requires agreed upon language and parameters, and finally an
expression of intent to abide by that language and those parameters by
BOTH parties.

All you've done all week is whine.

And at the end of the day, Brain, you've STILL not answered why you
give others (OK...me...) a hard time about using diminutives, yet do it
yourself with people who have NEVER done it to you...Not even
remotely...

Steve, K4YZ

# 2905. Hmmmmmmmmmmmmmm.....Seems SOMEONE Has An Obsession Problem!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 08:30:40 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133253040.492950.244980@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125470

All of these are "new threads" or are subject line re-directs from
Mark Morgan in the last 24 hours.

These don't even include the ones directed against Dave Heil.

Thirty-seven, and that doesn't count one's I may have missed where
an author responded to a post with the original subject line that has
masked a Markie response.

A couple will seem like "dupes". Only in that Markie used the
same comments on different threads.

And Markie claims others are stalkers and are "wating to pounce"
on him! (Notice the multiple screen names to get around Google!)

Enjoy!

Steve, K4YZ

Re: Shush everyone. Steve has something to tell...

Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Re: why is stevie afraid

Re: why is stevie afraid of Brain

Stevie the liar, as agreed to by Dave his buddy

stevie one use

why is stevie afraid of me?

will stevie the coward ever come clean

explainitory note

box score on Stevie the cowardly liar

Sounds like something Steve and his buddies on here would do

when will Stevie learn to read

is Stevie an abused childhood liar?

when will Dave Stop suporting Stevie in his gay bashing

stevie can't tel the truth

can stevie tell the truth

stevie must love lying

when will stevie come clean

stevie lies agian

Stevie lies on and on

lia-athon continued

Dave is afraid of the truth

Stevie is coward and a liar

Stevie and Dave contiue to show their cowardice

stevie #####

When will steve and Dave face the truth

Stevie continues to refuse to answer a question shwoing Stevie is afraid of the truth

stevie is coward

stevie mental problems

when will stevie fess up

stevie is a coward

stevie is a coward afraid to answer a question turthfully

answer the question stevie the coward

stevie the coward

Stevie admits to stalking

stevie contues to avoid a simple question

steveie contiues his compaign of lies and deceit

stevie paranoid sees me behind everything

# 2906. Re: Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 09:53:34 UTC
From: "bradvk2qq@w6ir.com" <bradvk2qq@w6ir.com>
Message-ID: <1133258014.536830.96710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

No one seems to have noticed that they aren't swastikas as they face the wrong direction, therefore having a totally different meaning ie peace and goodwill. Hitler reversed the direction to mean "away from God".

BG

# 2907. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 11:38:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133264338.402530.91890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125472

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: N..@AOL.COM on Nov 27, 3:55 pm
>
> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> >> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am

> >I get along with all sorts of people, Len. Including many who disagree with
> >me.
>
> Not absolutely true... :-)

My statement (taken as a whole) is absolutely true, Len. ;-)
>
> >You're the one that has the problem getting along with others if they
> >don't agree with everything you say.
>
> Tsk, with Jimmy it's always the other guy's fault... :-)

No, Len. Just a simple statement of fact that you have a problem
getting along with people who don't agree with everything you say.

Your behaviour here proves it.

> >The standards are a lot newer than "70 years old", Len.
>
> Name some.

Iambic A and B - do you know what those are? The Morse Code
symbol for "@". Changes to standard ARRL message format,
redefinition of some prosigns and Q signals....the list goes on
and on.
>
> The morse code test has been in amateur radio regulations
> for 71 years. :-)

That's true ;-)

It's also been in the regulations for 72 years, and 73 years, and 74
years,

...

and it's been in the regulations for 93 years.

> >As to why anyone would fuss with Morse Code in 2005, the
> >reasons are the same as why anyone would fuss with:
> >
> >- cars that have manual transmissions instead of automatics
>
> No problem to me...I learned to drive in a manual-transmission auto.

Ancient history. ;-)

> Didn't have to know morse code to drive...

But isn't manual transmission a "dying" technology? Why would anyone
bother to learn it in 2005?

> >- sailboats instead of power boats
>
> Sailing under the wind takes much less fuel than power boats...

Morse Code takes less power than voice transmission.

> and it is nice to do.

Your opinion only.

Aren't the majority of boats in the USA power
boats? Even most sailboats above a certain size carry small
motors. Most power boats don't carry sails.

>didn't have to know morse code to sail.

Except for a few floating museum pieces, the US Navy stopped using
sail power about 100 years ago. There are almost no commercial uses
for sailboats in the USA - powerboats dominate all but "hobby" boating,
and power boats probably dominate hobby boating as well.
>
> >- Drawing and painting instead of photography
>
> No problem to me...I did all three as a kid, still do.

Still have your crayons, huh?

> Didn't
> have to know morse code to do any of those three.

> >- Performing music instead of playing recordings
>
> Tsk, how do you think recordings got to BE recordings? :-)
>
> No morse code knowledge required in music.
>
> >- Bicycles, running and walking for transport instead of motor vehicles
>
> No problem to me. Last California DMV retest (and every one
> before that) didn't require any morse code skill.
>

> >- Stairs instead of elevators
>
> How do you categorize escalators? :-)
>
> No morse code knowledge required for stairs, escalators, or
> elevators.
>
> >- Homemade food instead of packaged
>
> How do you categorize campfire cooking? :-)

Is that where your cooking winds up, Len?
>
> Cooking doesn't require morse code knowledge.

> >Because I know something of those times and the creation of the
> >Extra class license.
>
> Ah, but you weren't THERE in all those times... :-)

So? I still know more about the creation of the Extra class license
than you do.

> >> YOU have talked much about Reggie Fessenden and his carbon-mike-in-
> >> the-antenna "AM voice transmission" of 1906 and (allegedly) 1900.
> >
> >That's right. Was any of my information incorrect?
>
> Weren't you reading from your own lab notes when you described
> all that happening 99 to 105 years ago? :-)

Was any of my information incorrect?

> Show me ANY evidence that ANY AM transmitter since 1906 has
> used amplitude modulation via a carbon microphone in series
> with the antenna lead... :-)

Why?

> >> I was there then, did it, came back. Never used
> >> any morse code then on three dozen transmitters, never had to.
> >
>
> >It sure does seem that you talk about things that happened long
> >before you were born, but get mad when others talk about things
> >that happened long before *they* were born. As if it's OK for
> >you to do but not others.
>
> Tsk, I was 20 years old in 1953, Jimmy. Already been born
> two decades prior. :-)

Where were you in 1906?
>

> >> >"Radio" is a subset of electrical engineering.
> >
> >> Incorrect. It is a part of electronics, a technology discipline.
> >
> >Nope. It's a separate subset. Electronics does not include things
> >like antennas. Radio does.
>
> Are "antennas" a whole separate field of physics? :-)

Nope. They're a part of electrical engineering.
>
> >> >"Electronics" is a subset of electrical engineering.
> >
> >> INCORRECT.
> >
> >What parts of electronics are not covered by electrical engineering,
> >Len?
>
> Tsk, tsk, trying to get around your gaffe by bringing in
> "engineering?" :-)

What gaffe, Len? "Electronics" is a subset of electrical engineering.

> >> Electronics is one TECHNOLOGY DISCIPLINE of physics.
> >
> >No, it isn't.
> >
> >Physics is a science. Electrical engineering is a form of engineering,
> >and electronics is a subset of that.
>
> Sigh...you still haven't gotten the correct definitions... :-(

Well, Len, since you make up your definitions as you go along, you're
bound to have disagreements.
>
>
> >Your mistakes (like the ones Hans pointed out
> >about DD-214s) are embarrassing.
>
> You are confused. I made NO mistake about DD-214s.

Yes, you did. Also UCMJ, usenet, and many others. Buck
up and learn to live with your own imperfections, Len.

> >> >Radio and electronics have some things in common, but they are not
> >> >identical, and one is not a subset of the other.
> >
> >> Amateur radio definitions seldom jibe with the rest of the
> >> world of electronics...and radio. :-)
> >
> >Yours don't jive with anything - like your spelling.
>

> The word "jibe" (with a B, not a V) is perfectly correct in my
> context. Look it up. :-)

For someone who uses so many smileys, you're certainly
humor-impaired, Len.

>
>
> >> >> NPRM 05-143 is singularly about the telegraphy test. [that's
> >> >> what this "english teacher" of the thread title was commenting
> >> >> on] That NPRM has NOTHING to do with radiotelephony, radiodata,
> >> >> teletypewriter over radio, slow or fast-scan television,
> >> >> facsimile over radio. The amateur radio license tests have
> >> >> NO test elements for physically OPERATING any radio, are not
> >> >> required to have radio equipment AT a license exam site.
> >
> >> >So? Why is that significant?
> >
> >> Why do you consider yourself so "significant?" :-)
> >
> >It's not about me, Len.
>
> Tsk, everything you post in here is about YOU. :-)

INCORRECT

>
> >> >> The sole manual test for anything at any amateur license exam
> >> >> is about telegraphy, telegraphy as used on amateur radio (there
> >> >> is NO landline telegraphy tested), more technically,
> >> >> radiotelegraphy. As it is NOW, that is.
> >
> >> >And that's a good thing.
> >
> >> It is a "good thing" only to those that took that test and
> >> passed it, thus fulfilling the "proper jump through hoops"
> >> of "tradition." :-)
> >
> >Incorrect. There were comments to the FCC by people who had
> >not passed the Morse Code test which said it was a good thing
> >and should be retained.
>
> Sorry, Jimmy, they were outnumbered by those who wanted the TEST
> gone. :-)

Of course. But the exceptions prove your sweeping statement to be
false.

> >If you actually read all of the comments, you'd know that.
>
> Each and every filing from 15 July 2005 to 23 November 2005.
> 3,795 of them. :-)

So you claim, but the evidence says otherwise.
>
> >When you make a sweeping general statement, and someone
> >proves an exception, the statement is shown to be false. That's
> >basic logic.
>
> No, Jimmy, all that proves is EXCEPTIONS. :-)

In your illogical mind, I suppose.
>
> There's NO "logic" in your exceptions statements, only spite...

> >> YOU are NOT in the FCC.
> >
> >Neither are you, Len.
>
> YOU are NOT in the FCC. Never were. It doesn't look like you
> will ever be in the FCC...

The same is even more true of you, Len.

> >> Tsk, your definition of "polite" seems to be everyone agreeing
> >> with you and giving your gratuitous praise for whatever you do.
> >
> >Nope. That's *your* definition.
>
> Tsk, another morseman with the "mirror syndrome" displayed.

Yes, I hold up a mirror to your misbehaviour, and you don't like
what you see.
>
> >FCC does not consider
> >*you* to be qualified to operate an amateur radio station, though.
>
> Wrong on two accounts.

How so? Did you get an amateur radio license?

> First of all, I already got a Commercial
> Radiotelephone license 49 years ago so the FCC "qualified" me
> (legally) to do so.

Nope. Wrong. Incorrect.

Your Commercial license does not qualify you to operate an
amateur radio station. Legally, you are the same as a person
with no license at all when it comes to operating an amateur
radio station.

> Secondly, I've never tested for any amateur
> radio license

(that's good)

> so the FCC cannot say I am either "qualified" or
> "unqualified."

Incorrect again!

FCC considers every unlicensed person to be unqualified to operate
an amateur radio station. That's why they issue licenses - to identify
those who are qualified.

FCC says you're not qualified to operate an amateur radio station.

> Third, by law, to operate ON THE FREQUENCIES
> allocated only to radio amateurs requires an amateur radio
> license, neither "qualification" or "unqualification" but just
> a condition of the regulations.

Three wrongs in two counts!

The license is the qualification. By definition. FCC says you're
not qualified to operate an amateur radio station.
>
> >It's understandable that you'd not understand a superior intellect, Len
>
> When one shows up, I'll be sure NOT to understand them.

You prove that with every reply to me...

> >OTOH I understand you all too well. That's why you're so hostile to me.
>
> "Hostile?" What you mean "hostile," white man?

There you go. How do you know I'm "white"?

> Po' bebbe, y'all been trin' ta shoot down all them NCTAs fer
> years and ya dint do it. Tsk, tsk. All dat HOSTILE in-tent
> of yourn.

Gee, we'll have to add "racist" to Len's profile...

> >Len, you're and NCI BELIEVER. You're so biased that you cannot
> >conduct enough to see what really happens.
>
> Oh, my, you are doing the word-twisting thing today!

Just the facts.

> I was against the code test long before Bruce Perens put NCI
> together.

Prove it.

> I don't speak FOR NCI but you are going to try to MISDIRECT
> the thread flow to make that a cause celebre'. Not buying
> that.

Fact is, I proved you wrong.

> >> Try to think about marriage for YOU, Jimmy. It would make you
> >> less of a one-track Believer.
> >
> >Len, for all you know, I could have more marriage experience than
> >you have.
>
> Judging by all the time you spend in here...I'd say you have NO
> "experience" right now. :-) Or you don't really work for a
> living (thereby getting more free time to surf the newsgroup).

Ah yes, a desperate phishing expedition, as Len tries to extract
personal information. Doesn't work, Len.

> >Yes, Len, your control-freak ego sure does go off at times.
> >Telling everyone How It Should Be.
>
> Tsk, more mirror gazing on your part, Jimmy.
>
> All I'm doing - in between replying to some of the PCTA heckling -
> is trying to get rid of the code test.

INCORRECT

> You've already taken that test, will never have to test for it
> again unless you miss the last renewal date and expire that
> license.

Doesn't matter - I could pass it again easily. You can't even pass it
once.

> YOU are telling ALL NEWCOMERS to test for morse code. You've been
> doing that for years. Why are you so hostile to newcomers?

Telling someone the Morse Code test is a good thing isn't hostile, Len.
Except maybe to you, who sees any disagreement as hostile.

> >> >Afraid you'll be proven wrong?
> >
> >> Tsk, there you go again with nasty attitude.
> >
> >IOW, yes, you're afraid.
>
> You are nuts.

Not me. I'm not the one who's afraid to turn on a receiver and
listen to the low ends of the HF amateur bands....

> >> Have been for a very long time...ever since getting my
> >> "first job in radio."
> >
> >So you're old. Big deal. You want a merit badge?
>
> At the end of my "first job in radio" I got a DD-214. You don't
> have one.

How do you know, Len?

> >> WHAT are YOU going to tell ME?
> >
> >That Morse Code is alive and well in the amateur bands.
>
> Wonderful for you, then. You will always have a morseman to play
> with.

> >> Is contesting "operation" your main interest in amateur radio?
> >
> >One of my main interests in amateur radio. I have several. You don't
> >seem to have any.
>
> "It must drive you nuts not knowing" what my interests are... :-)

I know what they are, Len.
>
> >Considering your near-complete ignorance of Morse Code and
> >amateur radio, it's a good thing you didn't talk about those
> >subjects.
>
> "Near-complete ignorance?!?"

Yes.
>
> >> Sunday is another nice
> >> get-together with good people, and I don't expect any of the
> >> talk will be about amateur radio or morse code or contests or
> >> the beeping state of the radio art.
> >
> >That's good, considering that you're hardly a good role model.
>
> "Hardly a good role model?!?"

Yep.

> Tsk, tsk, that is HOSTILITY, Jimmy. Why are you so hostile?

I'm not the one calling people names, Len.

> >> No "contests" of any real kind. Sunnuvagun!
> >
> >Can't take the competition, huh?
>
> Try to understand that normal social behavior is NOT about
> "competition." Normal, that is, not some HOSTILE type who
> always has to sound more important than the group...such
> behavior exemplified by the PCTA.

Gee, Len, almost all of your postings here are your attempt to
sound smarter than the group.

# 2908. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 11:57:33 UTC
From: polak <oceny6@tlen.pl>
Message-ID: <dn3qq0$8os$3@nemesis.news.tpi.pl>
Source record: 126460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.pirate.radio,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

tdtzeztetererd
U&#65533;ytkownik "FM Community Radio" <lopower@lopowerfmsvc.org> napisa&#65533; w
wiadomo&#65533;ci news:dm4gqe$g2h$0@pita.alt.net...
> The guys in the Pirate Radio groups will find the below
> interesting:
>
>
>
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1132832822.643767.54500@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> > > LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >
> >> > Dick Bash disagreed with you then and he disagrees with you now.
> >>
> >> No.
> >>
> >> Dick Bash disagreed with the federal government.
> >
> > Yes, he did.
> >
> >> He violated federal law in the process.
> >
> > Maybe he did and maybe he didn't. He was never charged with anything
> > for his publishing activities, let alone convicted. (Innocent until
> > proven
> > guilty, right?).
> >
> >> He should have gone to prison a long time ago.
> >
> > IMHO a fine and license revocation would have been more appropriate.
> >
> > The fact is that FCC never went after him, despite folks like K2ASP
> > wanting to do so, because the folks at the top said no. Seems to me
> > there are several possible explanations for that lack of action:
> >
> > 1) Corruption (no evidence of that)
> >
> > 2) Incompetence (?)
> >

> > 3) Lack of hard evidence. IANAL, but IMHO the books themselves are not
> > hard evidence; and Bash would not have had to testify against himself.
> > FCC would have had to get someone with firsthand evidence of what Bash
> > was doing.
> >
> > 4) Unclear law. Bash didn't steal or copy the exams. He didn't ask
> > others to do so. All he did was ask people questions and write down
> > their answers. It could be argued that those who talked to Bash and
> > accepted the money were breaking the law, not Bash himself.
> >
> > And was it clearly spelled out to everyone who took an FCC exam that
> > they were not to divulge the contents of that exam? Was it clearly
> > spelled out in the regulations that the exams were to be kept secret
> > and what the penalties were for making them public? If not, FCC might
> > have lost a very embarrassing case had they gone after Bash.
> >
> > 5) Planning for the future. The folks at the top who did not allow
> > prosecution of Bash might have already been thinking of going to public
> > question pools when Bash did his thing. If so, it would have been a
> > waste of time to prosecute him, because by the time they got a verdict,
> > what he did would not have been an offense any more.
> >
> > It's clear he violated the *spirit* of the old exam rules. But whether
> > he violated the *letter* of those rules, and could have been convicted,
> > will probably never be certain because he won't ever be charged or
> > tried.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
>

## 2909. Re: why is stevie afraid of me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 12:22:43 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133266963.185094.69370@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125473

an Old friend wrote:
> why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?

BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

AFRAID OF >>>YOU<<<
!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!

BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Markie, THAT has to rate RIGHT UP THERE as one of the most IDIOTIC
suggestions you have EVER made, right behind being a drafted gay pagan
Colonel in the Army Chemical Corps that they won't let off of active
duty! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

SHEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEEESH!

Steve, K4YZ

## 2910. Why Markie Gets Made Fun Of So Easily

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 12:28:01 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133267281.522582.312580@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125474

an old friend wrote:
> not an old friend wrote:
> > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133215183.057922.94830
> > @g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > > Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> > >> He's afraid of you for the same reason I fear of you --- exposure to
> > >> gay-borne STD's.
> > >>
> > > > Stevie would only be en danger from if 2 thing were ture
> > > >
> > > > one I had any STD
> > > >
> > > You've had them all? YAK!
> >
> more lies for cowards

No...It's a reply that tries to respond to your posts in the same
possessives and plurals that you "write" in.

L E A R N E N G L I S H and people will stop making fun of you!

S T O P trying to OVER-WRITE to compensate for your functional
illiteracy and it won't be so apparent!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2911. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 14:14:16 UTC
From: Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <sbooo1padcolpo9nm8913e7j4q81i3gp3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 125475
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 23:49:46 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 15:22:52 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>My situation exactly. While I'm at 2500' ASL and my local stations
>>are at 8800' and 4500', there are higher peaks between them and me. So
>>signal levels are down and reflections off local peaks (that are
>>line-of-sight to me and the transmitters) are as strong as those via
>>the obstructed path.
>
>>
>So get cable.

You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?

# 2912. Re: Retired Canadian Official Says UFO Coverup Is Real

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 15:18:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ij_if.2431$Hk1.1292@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125476
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"yojimbo" <thomasp@snotmail.net> wrote

> Now name some Canadian Prime Ministers who were similarly dishonest
> (Hell, you probably can't name the current P.M. without looking it up
> on the web.)

It's so hard to keep track! Just yesterday a scandal forced a vote of
no-confidence that toppled Prime Minister Paul Martin's government. Kickbacks
and corruption did him in.

Sunuvagun!

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 2913. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 15:23:39 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <fjsoo1pt70hrk0sn9gs4nk2qkmu4vgr44p@4ax.com>
Source record: 125477
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

```
On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 07:14:16 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 23:49:46 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 15:22:52 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>
>>>My situation exactly. While I'm at 2500' ASL and my local stations
>>>are at 8800' and 4500', there are higher peaks between them and me. So
>>>signal levels are down and reflections off local peaks (that are
>>>line-of-sight to me and the transmitters) are as strong as those via
>>>the obstructed path.
>>
>>>
>>So get cable.
>
>You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
>chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?
>
Well then get DiSH.
```

# 2914. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 15:27:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jr_if.3303$A23.2361@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125478

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote

> The "vintage" is mine, form 1 JUL 79, which I scanned and posted
> earlier. Box 11 of that edition indicates both the MOS and "years and
> months in specialty".

I'll take your word for it, as I missed your post. None of mine (including one in 1979) mention anything about "years and months in specialty". Closest it comes to that is Block 5 (Date of Rank).

Beep beep
de Hans, K0HB

# 2915. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 15:34:01 UTC
From: CWB <cboone@nospam.earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Jx_if.25491$%i.1880@tornado.texas.rr.com>
Source record: 125479
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

Is this Jay Maynard, K5Zinc Commode???

"Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1132687944.948694.204390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!
>
> I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!
>
> I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!
>
> ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP IT
> UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

## 2916. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 15:36:58 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <uA_if.10261$aA2.6331@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125480

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133217765.019100.251910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm

(SNIP)

>> > Having seen some of the handwritten "comments" sent in on
>> > the 2,272 filings in WT Docket 98-143 and ALL of the 3,795
>> > filings in WT Docket 05-235, some are a hilarious barrel
>> > of laffs! :-)
>> >
>> > [ chuckle, chuckle ]
>>
>> So you really don't know what you're talking about when
>> you talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.
>
> he can make the same assumetion you can
>>
>> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after the
>> > twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest being
>> > made on 5 August 2005! :-)
>>
>> Why does that matter?
>
> becuase it isn't suposed to happen at least if it does they are all
> suposed to have been mailed before the deadline
>
> why does it seem you don't care about the
> rule of of law when it suits you

(SNIP)

>> FCC received over 6000 comments on the "incentive licensing" proposals,
>> Len. Without the internet. That's a fact.
>
> indeed shwoing what a disaster the idea was
>
> how the ARRL tired to kill the ars

THE only reason comment volume ( >6000 ) on incentive
licensing was so high is because every General and every
Advanced was going to LOSE privileges. Human nature
is such that when threatened with a lose, people speak up...
but if the changes don't truly alter their current status then

most don't care and say nothing.

(SNIP)

>> > Based on my "first job in radio" I already knew that morse
>> > code was a dead end in radio in 1964, 41 years ago.
>>
>> Well, you were wrong, Len.
>> Because Morse Code is still alive and
>> well in radio today.

It has a following in amateur radio, but that's
like saying that archery is not dead as a weapon
of choice because a group of people like and do it.

>> > Why bother pursuing a dying technique back then?
>>
>> Morse Code wasn't "dying" back then and it isn't "dying" now - in
>> amateur radio, anyway.
>
> not what I hear

Maybe not dying, but taking a smaller role as each year moves
forward. Are there absolute proofs that is so? No, but reality
on the ham bands seems to me to indicate so. Your mileage
may vary :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 2917. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 15:44:16 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <kH_if.10264$aA2.699@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

"an old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1133206690.325044.195160@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "The Magnum" <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:dmdatr$ieq$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
>> >
>> > "Cmdr Buzz Corey" <me@that.moc> wrote in message
>> > news:662dnSLfrOjigxfeRVn-tA@gbronline.com...
>> >> The Magnum wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > *I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the
>> >> > masses.
>> >>
>> >> Maybe that would work in the UK, but here in the U.S. all you would
>> >> hear
>> >> is 'squeek sqawk, ten fer thar good buddy, got che ears on thar ten
>> >> fer,
>> >> we're coming atcha from down here in the big swamp, squeek sqawk echo
>> >> echo echo echo".
>> >
>> > Lol... I know America has vast areas compared to the UK but don't you
>> > think
>> > Police could have been equipped with CB radios in NO?
>>
>> There was a time when "some" USA
>> police forces had CB Ch 9 monitoring
>> capability. I recall seeing highway signs stating that to
>> be the case, but its been a long time since I remember seeing
>> such signage. I doubt many of those still do today.
>
> depends on where you are Bill

Agree completely. My personal knowledge is
based on what I have seen throughout the
northeastern USA...which is no CB monitored
signage anymore.

>> > I remember hearing the
>> > police bands were knocked out but I don't know whether they could talk
>> > radio
>> > to radio (Like CB) or if they needed to talk via a base.
>>

>> It depends on the radio system being used. If it is
>> a repeater based system, odds are that rules out
>> direct car to car capability.
>>
>> > Obviously police
>> > couldn't have been issued with Amateur Gear (because they would be
>> > illegal
>> > operators) but CB stuff they used to use years ago would have assisted
>> > them
>> > greatly in that respect. Most of the squeaking you refer to would be
>> > gone
>> > due to base stations being off and cars being flooded. It would have to
>> > have
>> > been an organised person who remained on the air unaffected. Most of
>> > the
>> > CB
>> > fraternity would have heeded the evacuation call and got out or.. as so
>> > many
>> > Amateurs like to think of us, robbing the shops and being all lawless
>> > like.
>> > It must, in reality, have been a very scary hellhole for a lot of
>> > people
>> > and
>> > I certainly hope I never go through it.
>> > I think all in all, joking aside, CB could have had (if it didn't
>> > anyway)
>> > a
>> > great part to play alongside Amateur radio in the relief and Police
>> > co-ordination efforts.
>>
>> I don't recall even reading about any incident where CB was
>> used at all. That doesn't mean it didn't happen
>> somewhere, but it certaily appears that any CB activity
>> was minimal at best in comparison to the role that amateur
>> radio played.
>
> reading about maybe not I saw hwat had to a Cb or Marine VHF unit in
> use right alongside a ham unit (does any service besides CB and Marine
> VHF have smal mobile units with channel 37 I don't think so which is
> how I id the unit)

Given the cheap cost of a CB these days, I think that
any emergency comms center should have a CB radio.
In my home town we had a RACES set-up and had
several HF and VHF ham radios, scanners for
Monitoring local police/fire/etc
AND at least one CB radio.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

## 2918. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 15:46:42 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <CJ_if.10266$aA2.1726@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125482
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com> wrote in message
news:1133231350.701305.243810@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> "... all for $25 consumer price?"
>
> DVD players for $17.88? Portable DVD players and even DVRs under $100?
> Check. Routers for $5? Check. 20" color TV with remote, $79.95? Check.
> Laptop for $400? Check.
>
> Hardly seems possible, but the ads are in the Sunday paper every week.

The local WalMart had a 24" color on sale for $99. The 19" for
the same price included a built-in DVD/CD player.

Cheers and happy shopping
Bill K2UNK

# 2919. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 15:50:38 UTC
From: Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <f3uoo1do1b9175oo61mi6t035hmmkr2u6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 125483
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:23:39 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

[snip]
>>
>>You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
>>chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?
>>
>Well then get DiSH.

You must have missed the part where I said, "unlikely chance that I
will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV."

# 2920. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 16:04:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133280263.024497.231210@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125484

KØHB wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote
>
> > The "vintage" is mine, form 1 JUL 79, which I scanned and posted
> > earlier. Box 11 of that edition indicates both the MOS and "years and
> > months in specialty".
>
> I'll take your word for it, as I missed your post. None of mine (including one
> in 1979) mention anything about "years and months in specialty". Closest it
> comes to that is Block 5 (Date of Rank).

It wasn't anything, Hans.

Frankie the Twice Court Martialed Coward "mentions" something in
one of his Lenniesque, windy pontifications, and if you don't comment
on each and every line of it, he alleges you "don't know" what
something was.

So far, what I've gotten from his "stuff" is that he's twice court
martialed. He's spent time in the brig. He was pending his THIRD
"promotion" to Lance Corporal when he was discharged which would have
let he off the hook with his "Honorable" discharge.

He also alleges that if you don't use the exact same slang as he
or were ever in "his" MAU, you never served in a "real" Marine unit.
But then he ALSO claims that since I obviously had time to participate
in my choice of off-duty pursuits, I wasn't a "real" Marine either, at
most "just" a Reservist...Of course there's a whole lot of Reservists
in Iraq right now who'd take Frankie's potential to procreate and hand
them to him for such a suggestion that they weren't "real" Marines.

I'm not sure from under what rock Frankie of Silliland crawled,
but I am sure it was one that Markie peed on. Same stench.

73

Steve, K4YZ

## 2921. Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 16:19:23 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133281163.288873.82890@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:

> just trying to kill a thread

Ahhhhhhhhhhhh yes....The pivot man of the Feeble Five admitting to trying to interrupt other's Free Speech...

Who wudda thunk it? (other than anyone and everyone with an IQ over 5.....)

Steve, K4YZ

## 2922. Re: HF Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:04:35 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133283875.512438.289890@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

gay keyclownism, pure and simple...check out that wristflippers made up
callsign, LOL!

# 2923. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:08:06 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133284086.680918.270350@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics

Just like mopeythetic's mouth

# 2924. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:08:26 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133284106.255972.150250@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics

steve zero productions announces the greatest movie ever made about ww2. Starring an all keyclown cast, who play roles of American soldiers

that operated ham radios illegally before the war. The keyclowns portray their fathers and grandfathers as they fight their way through Europe to meet up with German keyclown operators and start "piece" negotiations. The truckstop and Venereal disease ward scenes will make you laugh out loud, as these keyclowns show the cost of fighting to meet with the German keyclowns. The scenes where the keyclowns capture enemy keyclowns at rifle point as they order "PANTS DOWN!" are also unforgettable!

Don't miss the epic production of "SAVING RYAN'S PRIVATES" Coming to a gay porn theater near you!

PRE RELEASE REVIEWS:

"mopeythetic shows his versatility when seducing the french!"
-Gene Sicksel, Women's Wear Monthly

"landshark is flamingly unforgettable as the keyclown German General"
-twitlips, Sporadic Waves

"The hospital scenes, with the wrist flipping injuries and the sore booties graphically portray the cost of keyclownism" -Scottiekins, NAMBLA WEEKLY

# 2925. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:10:35 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133284235.358010.149060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

steve zero productions announces the greatest movie ever made about ww2. Starring an all keyclown cast, who play roles of American soldiers

that operated ham radios illegally before the war. The keyclowns portray their fathers and grandfathers as they fight their way through Europe to meet up with German keyclown operators and start "piece" negotiations. The truckstop and Venereal disease ward scenes will make you laugh out loud, as these keyclowns show the cost of fighting to meet with the German keyclowns. The scenes where the keyclowns capture enemy keyclowns at rifle point as they order "PANTS DOWN!" are also unforgettable!

Don't miss the epic production of "SAVING RYAN'S PRIVATES" Coming to a gay porn theater near you!

PRE RELEASE REVIEWS:

"mopeythetic shows his versatility when seducing the french!"
-Gene Sicksel, Women's Wear Monthly

"landshark is flamingly unforgettable as the keyclown German General"
-twitlips, Sporadic Waves

"The hospital scenes, with the wrist flipping injuries and the sore booties graphically portray the cost of keyclownism" -Scottiekins, NAMBLA WEEKLY

# 2926. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:11:38 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133284298.886164.162120@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

steve zero productions announces the greatest movie ever made about ww2. Starring an all keyclown cast, who play roles of American soldiers

that operated ham radios illegally before the war. The keyclowns portray their fathers and grandfathers as they fight their way through Europe to meet up with German keyclown operators and start "piece" negotiations. The truckstop and Venereal disease ward scenes will make you laugh out loud, as these keyclowns show the cost of fighting to meet with the German keyclowns. The scenes where the keyclowns capture enemy keyclowns at rifle point as they order "PANTS DOWN!" are also unforgettable!

Don't miss the epic production of "SAVING RYAN'S PRIVATES" Coming to a gay porn theater near you!

PRE RELEASE REVIEWS:

"mopeythetic shows his versatility when seducing the french!"
-Gene Sicksel, Women's Wear Monthly

"landshark is flamingly unforgettable as the keyclown German General"
-twitlips, Sporadic Waves

"The hospital scenes, with the wrist flipping injuries and the sore booties graphically portray the cost of keyclownism" -Scottiekins, NAMBLA WEEKLY

# 2927. Re: Why KC8LDO harasses in cb ng's (Lelnad MAD)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:12:06 UTC
From: moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133284326.450795.310920@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

steve zero productions announces the greatest movie ever made about ww2. Starring an all keyclown cast, who play roles of American soldiers

that operated ham radios illegally before the war. The keyclowns portray their fathers and grandfathers as they fight their way through Europe to meet up with German keyclown operators and start "piece" negotiations. The truckstop and Venereal disease ward scenes will make you laugh out loud, as these keyclowns show the cost of fighting to meet with the German keyclowns. The scenes where the keyclowns capture enemy keyclowns at rifle point as they order "PANTS DOWN!" are also unforgettable!

Don't miss the epic production of "SAVING RYAN'S PRIVATES" Coming to a gay porn theater near you!

PRE RELEASE REVIEWS:

"mopeythetic shows his versatility when seducing the french!"
-Gene Sicksel, Women's Wear Monthly

"landshark is flamingly unforgettable as the keyclown German General"
-twitlips, Sporadic Waves

"The hospital scenes, with the wrist flipping injuries and the sore booties graphically portray the cost of keyclownism" -Scottiekins, NAMBLA WEEKLY

## 2928. new digital mode maybe MFSK amatuer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:24:50 UTC
From: HarryHydro <harryhydro@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133285090.621484.314650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125492

Hi Folks:
I tried decoding this
http://www.harryhydro.com/hamstuff/newmode1.wav 236k with Stream and
MixW but I thinks this MFSK is too wide. There were several stations
transmitting this around 14.106mHz. Recorded 11/29/2005. Does anyone
know what this is? The band folded moments later.
Here is a 1.3meg capture:
http://www.harryhydro.com/hamstuff/14_106.wav

Thanks!
Hydro

# 2929. Re: In Austria Amateurs protest against DSL over powerlines.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:25:52 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <764po1lmhug7gq42rr573rhd5g3m1gmhgq@4ax.com>
Source record: 125493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 27 Nov 2005 18:13:23 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>+<On 27 Nov 2005 09:20:30 -0800, "Professor"
>+<<briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>+<
>+<>My feeling is that it isn't going to do much good to cry... the FCC and
>+<>majority of the public seem to like it... and the Hams and CBers have a
>+<>lousy lobby. Let's hope the levels are low enough to be tolerable.
>+<>
>+<>Professor
>+<>www.telstar-electronics.com
>+<>
>+<>
>+<Part of Big Brother's campaign to control the airwaves completely.
>+<They do not want us to be able to communicate without using their
>+

**********

BWAAAAAHAAAHAAAA!

best laugh I have heard today.

james

# 2930. Re: In Austria Amateurs protest against DSL over powerlines.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:30:21 UTC
From: james <george@washington.edu>
Message-ID: <a84po1lm2na6u1scuu5urvq8k4aaklkei5@4ax.com>
Source record: 125494
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 27 Nov 2005 09:20:30 -0800, "Professor"
<briangriffey@sbcglobal.net> wrote:

>+<majority of the public seem to like it... and the Hams and CBers have a
>+<lousy lobby. Let's hope the levels are low enough to be tolerable.
>+<
>+<Professor
>+<www.telstar-electronics.com
*******

Hams have minimal and the CB lobby is next to nothing.

I personally can't see where power line internet will be a real
challenge to current copper phone lines and fiber. With Verizon
spending billions to string fiber to individuals homes, it wont be
long before the lawsu its begin and they will have to grant access to
these. Once that happens powerline DSL in the US is a foot note in
history.

james

# 2931. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:39:38 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <i24po15lev9u8upmp5a3jiim5d5il73ajh@4ax.com>
Source record: 125495

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:27:11 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <jr_if.3303$A23.2361@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
>
>> The "vintage" is mine, form 1 JUL 79, which I scanned and posted
>> earlier. Box 11 of that edition indicates both the MOS and "years and
>> months in specialty".
>
>I'll take your word for it, as I missed your post. None of mine (including one
>in 1979) mention anything about "years and months in specialty". Closest it
>comes to that is Block 5 (Date of Rank).
>
>Beep beep
>de Hans, K0HB

www.icehouse.net/dd214ex.jpg

And don't forget that Major Dud claims to have been discharged
(....er, "retired") in 1992, seven years -after- my discharge. Hey,
now there's something you can look up in your bupers manuals -- how
can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years (17 years 9
months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable' discharge -AND-
get retirement status?

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 2932. stevie conitue is his cowardly attacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:55:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133286909.984167.98560@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125496

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > not an old friend wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133215183.057922.94830
> > > @g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > > > Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> > > >> He's afraid of you for the same reason I fear of you --- exposure to
> > > >> gay-borne STD's.
> > > >>
> > > > Stevie would only be en danger from if 2 thing were ture
> > > >
> > > > one I had any STD
> > > >
> > > You've had them all? YAK!
> >
> > more lies for cowards
>
cuting stevie playing speling cop

iundeed a whole new thread just to attack my spelling while he conitues
to avoid the issue like the coward he is

## 2933. stevie makes antisemitic remark will the cowardly attacks never cease

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:57:26 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133287046.374450.158040@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!
> an old friend wrote:
>
> > just trying to kill a thread
>
> Ahhhhhhhhhhhhh yes....The pivot man of the Feeble Five admitting to
> trying to interrupt other's Free Speech...

another half lie
yes nothing wrong with trying to kill an OT discussion that is
offesesive by it very title

so what does stevie do about it

he decides to revive the anti semetic thread

making the next the only proper response

Stevie must be some kind of NAZI
>
> Who wudda thunk it? (other than anyone and everyone with an IQ
> over 5.....)
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2934. Why Markie Gets Made Fun Of So Easily

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:58:17 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133287096.994735.109130@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125499

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > not an old friend wrote:
> > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133215183.057922.94830
> > > > @g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > > > > Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> > > > >> He's afraid of you for the same reason I fear of you --- exposure to
> > > > >> gay-borne STD's.
> > > > >>
> > > > > Stevie would only be en danger from if 2 thing were ture
> > > > >
> > > > > one I had any STD
> > > > >
> > > > You've had them all? YAK!
> > >
> > > more lies for cowards
> >
> cuting stevie playing speling cop
>
> iundeed a whole new thread just to attack my spelling while he conitues
> to avoid the issue like the coward he is

It's not about the spelling, you ignorant twit.

It's about your near-complete lack of understanding of
grammatically correct English, both the comprehension of and the
application of, that makes you so vunerable.

You're a fool. And "responses" like this one prove it.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2935. stevie contiues being a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:58:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133287117.572315.160870@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125500

K4YZ wrote:
> All of these are "new threads" or are subject line re-directs from
> Mark Morgan in the last 24 hours.
stevie conties his attack threads just to avois a simple question

# 2936. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:59:01 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dmi4rj$bu1$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 125498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

//snip//

>. Also...The likelyhood of *all* the repeaters staying down for
> long is probably fairly slim, unless the actual antenna towers are
> down.
> They can always bring a generator...You can also set up portable
> repeaters. IE: ad nausium... I'll be talking everywhere. Local and
> regional. CB wont. They will mostly be stuck in the hoods.

Just the same as police/government communications then... so no need for the
Hams after all.....

> >How are they doing this? in what way? Who are they talking to?
>
> Do they keep you locked in a closet?

Not at all. My point was do Hams just talk to themselves or are they set up
in important communications centres or are they at home, and phone up
someone with the information passed to them?

We do it in whatever way it
> takes to get the job done. We are like Marines. We adapt, overcome.

That explains the friendly fire.....

> Who do we talk to? Nearly anyone who is involved. From the
> national weather service, to the coast guard, to local government
> officials, to hospitals, etc, etc.
> You talk about the CBer's. Where were the CB'ers in NO when the
> people were stuck in attics with cell phones? The cell phones
> were working for many people. They would call for help on their
> phones, but there was no way to relay this to local police, etc...
> Where were the CBers? They didn't seem to be capable of relaying
> the calls. How were these calls being relayed to local NO officials?
> I'll tell ya..

.//long winded waffle snip//

Complete dismissal just like the politician... pots and kettles.....

> >*I will be ready on my good old trusty CB to broadcast to the masses.
More
> >accessible and cheaply available than Ham but im sure the both can work
in
> >tandem.

>
> There is a place for CB, no doubt. But I see CB as being good for
> the local neighborhood talk line where poeple can keep track of the
> people around them. It's pretty good for that.

If you had read my posts that was what i was referring to. Both services (CB and Ham) working together not CB instead of Ham... CB's could have been issued to the police to help organise themselves. They were not allowed Amateur gear because they arent licenced Hams. Then CB traffic passed on to Hams for the more distance and point to point stuff. THAT was what I was trying to get over.

>But handling actual
> emergency traffic? In most cases CB would be fairly useless.
> For many reasons. IE: the f??

//More long winded waffle//

>I bet more will line up
> behind me, than you, if they need to pass welfare traffic about
> people stuck in flooded attics. We proved ourselves at that
> job. We got *many* of them plucked out over those few days
> after the storm. I heard many of them myself live, in living color.
> Many on 7.290 and the linked health and welfare nets.
> MK

Line up behind you.. oh right you seem to be in some sort of competition. Like I said before I wasn't posting about CB instead of Amateur, how many times do I need to point out what the post was about before it sinks in..... Now please put down that trumpet.....

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· _·_ ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

## 2937. Re: why is stevie afraid of me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 17:59:25 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133287165.569914.286920@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125501

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?
cut
> AFRAID OF >>>YOU<<<
obviously you are afard of me
answer the question coward

# 2938. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised Town Meeting... Oops!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:01:06 UTC
From: The Magnum <magnum@NOSPAMmag2781.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dmi4vg$683$1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 125502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.politics

> Given the cheap cost of a CB these days, I think that
> any emergency comms center should have a CB radio.
> In my home town we had a RACES set-up and had
> several HF and VHF ham radios, scanners for
> Monitoring local police/fire/etc
> AND at least one CB radio.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Exactly.................. why cant others get the point instead of turning it into a CB/Amateur battle. Both systems working side by side.

Regards,
Graham
--
_·_· _··· _·_ ·_ _·· ·· _ _ _

Radio is only a Hobby. Don't let it rule your life...

73's - Graham (www.open-channel.co.uk)

## 2939. stevie threatens people to avoid answering the question put to him

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:02:11 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133287331.123222.295740@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125503

K4YZ wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
cut

> > > > Steve, I get to decide what is an issue for me, and I decided a long
> > > > time ago.
> > >
> > > What did you decide a long time ago?
> >
> > Not to post my callsign to RRAP. Do you recall making a big deal about
> > it at the time?
>
> If you're that scared of any potential adverse outcomes from being
> on the Internet, Brain, stop being on the Internet.

more threats from Stevie
>
> > > > It was also explained to you a long time ago. Yet you ignore my wishes
> > > > because you're a jerk.
> > >
> > > I ignore your wishes because you ignore everyone elses, Brian.
> >
> > You wish me to call you Steve. Your wish is granted.
>
> Don't worry about it.

proof of another stevie lie
>
> > > You want what you want, and unless what someone else wants is only
> > > that, too bad.
> >
> > Duh! You want what you want and disrespect eveyone who gets in your
> > way.
>
> No, I don't, but nice try, Brian.

an new lie from stevie
>
> > > > While I've got your attention, why did you change Mark's attribution?
> > >

> > > For the same reason you refuse to answer my question,
> > > Brian...Because I want to.
> >
> > Not answering your questions is not libel. Accusing another of rape or
> > calling them a rapist is.
>
> Markie has already expressed thinly veiled "interest" in
> sex-by-force.

more lies from stevie
>
> > It is also dispicable. -You- are dispicable.
>
> So says you, yet another documented and archived liar, Brain.
>
> > > Now...if sandlot time is over, do we get an answer to the pending
> > > question about YOUR lack of civility towards those who don't treat you
> > > that way...?!?!
> >
> > My lack??? You prove yourself uncivil almost every time you post.
> >
> > Deal's off.
>
> What deal?
>
right you offer no deal you makes demands that other obey YOUR will
cut

# 2940. Re: Markie lies about stevie makes antisemitic remark will the cowardly attacks never cease

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:02:55 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133287375.144878.297550@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!
> > an old friend wrote:
> >
> > > just trying to kill a thread
> >
> > Ahhhhhhhhhhhhh yes....The pivot man of the Feeble Five admitting to
> > trying to interrupt other's Free Speech...
>
> another half lie

Nope.

Not at all.

You stated "just trying to kill a thread".

So my response was absolutely, positively 100% accurate.

> yes nothing wrong with trying to kill an OT discussion that is
> offesesive by it very title

YOU are offensive by your existence.

> so what does stevie do about it

I deceided to point out that you are attempting to practice
censorship, which is 100% accurate.

> he decides to revive the anti semetic thread

Nope.

> making the next the only proper response

Nope.

> Stevie must be some kind of NAZI

Nope.

> > Who wudda thunk it? (other than anyone and everyone with an IQ
> > over 5.....)

But they WILL agree that Mark C. Morgan of 17366 North River Road
in Chassell, MI, is practicing censorship.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2941. stevie conties to be a coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:03:04 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133287384.611507.118630@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125505

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an_old_friend wrote:
> > >
> > > > what does sexuality have to do with radio ham or otherwise
> > >
> > > Filed to CD-RW.
> > >
> > > Thanks, Markie.
> > more of the Stevie double standard
> > Re: Another Markie Stalking Thread.
> >
> > if I press Stevie it is stalking
> >
> > if Stevie does it it is ok
> >
> > answer the question coward
>
> Done.
>
> I've also added the 42 "stevie" subject lines that I've counted
> today alone to the disk.

coward

BULLY
>
> Fun reading for people who will have to make a determination on the
> true basis for your "claims".....
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2942. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:04:11 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <j06po15vakk3av0ho5lab2u2h6gt0f1hio@4ax.com>
Source record: 125542

```
----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

## 2943. stevie conties shiting all over the NG to avoid his issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:06:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133287587.208270.246170@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125506

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote
> >
> > > The "vintage" is mine, form 1 JUL 79, which I scanned and posted
> > > earlier. Box 11 of that edition indicates both the MOS and "years and
> > > months in specialty".
> >
> > I'll take your word for it, as I missed your post. None of mine (including one
> > in 1979) mention anything about "years and months in specialty". Closest it
> > comes to that is Block 5 (Date of Rank).
>
> It wasn't anything, Hans.
>
> Frankie the Twice Court Martialed Coward "mentions" something in
> one of his Lenniesque, windy pontifications, and if you don't comment
> on each and every line of it, he alleges you "don't know" what
> something was.
> cuting

nothing but attacks stevie no content just bullshit

# 2944. stevei contiues his efferts at intimadatio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:07:01 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <d46po1dq1ov2u3cpvb0ihpqtve188ibtpi@4ax.com>
Source record: 125544

```
On 29 Nov 2005 00:21:02 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
cut
>> > > Steve, I get to decide what is an issue for me, and I decided a long
>> > > time ago.
>> >
>> > What did you decide a long time ago?
>>
>> Not to post my callsign to RRAP. Do you recall making a big deal about
>> it at the time?
>
> If you're that scared of any potential adverse outcomes from being
>on the Internet, Brain, stop being on the Internet.
a clear confession to effort to cow and frighten off his foes

stevie is a coward

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account
```

# 2945. stevie spend another day contiing his atcks rather than answer a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:07:45 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133287665.659605.130200@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125507

why is Stevie afrad to answer the question? why does stevie insist on making sexaul attcak against his foes?

## 2946. Stevie scarecard of deceit and deception

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:08:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <r76po159c7lvkdh5poucepa26etfv3a1s9@4ax.com>
Source record: 125545

On 29 Nov 2005 11:11:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

stevie bullshit
>
>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org
>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>
>kb9rqz@hotmail.com
>
>konstans@hotmail.com
>
>konstans@yahoo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>stevie conties to be a coward
>
>stevie conties ####ing all over the NG to avoid his issues
>
>stevie threatens people to avoid answering the question put to him
>
>Re: why is stevie afraid of me?
>
>stevie makes antisemitic remark will the cowardly attacks never cease
>
>Re: hans continues his support of the NAZI Stevie
>
>no apology for lying about me again Stevie
>
>stevie refuses to grow up and stop his cowards attacks
>
>stevie paranoid sees me behind everything
>
>Re: stevie contues to avoid a simple question
>
>stevie lies somemore
>
>stevie spend another day contiing his atcks rather than answer a simple
>question
>

>Han continue to aid and abet Slander, gay bashing, and neoNAZI remarks
>by Steve
>
>Re: stevie is afraid of me? not likely, but true

all true all evaded by the master of msidirection stevie

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 2947. stevie lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:09:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <7a6po15jleke6o8dl136ao77ad35lnhrut@4ax.com>
Source record: 125546

On 29 Nov 2005 11:11:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> More Markie Mularkie.
>
>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org
>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>
>kb9rqz@hotmail.com
>
>konstans@hotmail.com
>
>konstans@yahoo.com

I don't post from there the address doesn't even exit

stevie lies again
>
>

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 2948. when will stevie fess up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:11:35 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <td6po1dcmrq8fdic4rdeppvot8ttav6ukl@4ax.com>
Source record: 125548

when will stevie stop his lie-athon

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 2949. stevie makes antisemitic remark will the cowardly attacks never cease

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:13:07 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133287987.146532.321900@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > >
cut
> You stated "just trying to kill a thread".
>
> So my response was absolutely, positively 100% accurate.

it is hlaf truth the tool of liar
>
> > yes nothing wrong with trying to kill an OT discussion that is
> > offesesive by it very title
cut
> > so what does stevie do about it
>
> I deceided to point out that you are attempting to practice
> censorship, which is 100% accurate.

another lie

you choose to support an make antisemticremark in you hate filled
diatribe

no censorship occoured by my hand

stevie lie
>
> > he decides to revive the anti semetic thread
>
> Nope.

yes you did it and contiue to
>
> > making the next the only proper response
>
> Nope.
>
> > Stevie must be some kind of NAZI
>
> Nope.

yea he is he will attack an entire people just to continue spewing his
hate for one man
>
> > > Who wudda thunk it? (other than anyone and everyone with an IQ
> > > over 5.....)
cuting your effort to stak me furth
you hate filled bag of cowardly bile

you try and publish my address so people wil do what you want to do but
are too afraid to

you are a Coward a BULLY, able to dissh otu abuse but you can't take it

# 2950. Re: why isn't stevie afraid of me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:13:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133288010.870405.197170@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125509

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?
> cut
> > AFRAID OF >>>YOU<<<...?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!?!?!?!

> obviously you are afard of me

BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Not in Michigan...Not in Illinois, Tennessee, or even your most
satisfying wet dream, Markie, would I EVER be "afraid" of a fat,
greasy, uneducated, irresponsible, dishonorable punk like you.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2951. stevie is afraid of me?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:17:32 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133288252.536234.8630@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125510

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?
> > cut
> > > AFRAID OF >>>YOU<<<...?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!?!?!?!
>
> > obviously you are afard of me
>
> BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>
> Not in Michigan...Not in Illinois, Tennessee, or even your most
> satisfying wet dream, Markie, would I EVER be "afraid" of a fat,
> greasy, uneducated, irresponsible, dishonorable punk like you.

more lies

you are afraid to answer a simple question

you lie about me constanly

you are afaird of me

I know why maybe you don't
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2952. Re: why is stevie afraid of Brain

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:18:16 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133288296.656788.209010@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125511

answer the question coward
an old friend wrote:
> whymust stevie resort to trying to have brain comitted rather than deal
> with him honestly and openly

# 2953. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:18:44 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133288324.647344.117380@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125512

answer the question coward
an old friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Let's look at some facts
> >
> > 1. Steve Robberson stated that I was gay
> >
> > FACT: Not true, if anything I'm the opposite, any women who knows me
> > will tell you that I'm a womenizer and truth be known, I really don't
> > hide that fact
>
> indeed your rep is well known enough around taylorville to reach the
> ears of peple I still know there
>
> >
> > 2. Steve Robberson claimed he recieved threaten letters from me, thus
> > having me removed from the Internet.
> >
> > FACT: Mr Robberson has never shown me these letter that he claimed to
> > have recieved from me, in fact the only ones I know of where the ones
> > that were posted on here and weren't from me at all but someone using
> > blogger.com email system, and faking my email address. (and them
> > assholes at consolidated.com believed that son of bitch)
>
> didn't he publish one showing the clearly faked header. I seem to
> remeber
> but anyway it show stevie is afraid to allow you to be around him for
> some reason that he choose to attack you over a letter he knew was a
> fake
> >
> > 3. Steve Robberson claims that my father has AIDS
> >
> > FACT: my father doesn't have AIDS and is in fact somewhat perfect
> > heath, Steve doesn't know my father and he has never meet him and thus
> > Mr. Robbersons claims are false if not slanderous.
>
> thye are slanderous the only thing missing from a slader case is
> damages
> >
> > Did I leave anything out????
>
> claims your ultalight is illegal without stating any soruce of
> authority for the claim
>

> and claims you are a pedophile and that you are breaking FCC ( your
> show)
>
> also claimed within the last 24 hrs that you and I are lovers
>
> it is hard to keep up with all of stevie lies
> >
> >
> > Todd Daugherty N9OGL / B.A.P. (BAD ASS PIRATE!!!)
> >
> > http://12.156.123.39/amateur/index.php Dimension Unbound BBS
> > http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
> > http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
> > http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/ The Voice of Amateur Radio
> > http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.htm N9OGL BBS
> > http://12.156.123.39/blablite22/index.php Demented Otaku Chatline

## 2954. Re: will stevie the coward ever come clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:19:08 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133288348.707299.157570@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125513

answer the question coward
an Old friend wrote:
> will Stevie ever admit the truth about his lies?
> his threats?
> his gay bashing?
> his pagan bashing?
> his deciet?
> his fraud?
> his forgeries?
> his evasions?
> his misdirections?
> will stevie come clean about anything?

## 2955. Re: is Stevie an abused childhood liar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:20:34 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133288434.068740.325060@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125514

answer the question coward
an_old_friend wrote:
> look at the eveidence
>
> he will do anything to avoid admitng he has lied and made up stuff
>
> a soimple explanation that fits the facts is the stevie lied and got
> caught and brutaozed as a kid for lying

# 2956. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:21:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <b%0jf.2042$YT3.1030@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125515

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote
>
> www.icehouse.net/dd214ex.jpg
>

My browser returns a "404 page not found" error for that URL.

> how can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years
> (17 years 9 months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable'
> discharge -AND- get retirement status?

My presumption (and it's only a guess) is that he was placed on the TDRL, which can "retire" medically disabled personnel with as few as eight years of active service. It's not a medical discharge, it's a medical retirement. There is no discharge which carries the name "Medical".

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2957. stevie refuses to grow up and stop his cowards attacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:25:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133288714.247958.225120@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125516

K4YZ wrote:
> Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > On 23 Nov 2005 03:37:35 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > <1132745855.536005.151480@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>:
> > >Steveo wrote:
> > >> Reckon why Markie's spelling improves in his demented sex personals?
> > >>
> > >> You be the judge:
> > >>
> > >> http://tinyurl.com/82gql
> > >
> > > That was several years ago in Illinois...Markie had one of the
> > >neighborhood kids coming in and doing his typing for him (his
> > >hillarious "typist pool")
> >
> > Yep, that's certainly "hilarious".
>
> Snipped re-paste.
>
> Guess we see who's "riding to the rescue" here, huh, Frankie?

stevie must attack atack attack attack

>
> You couldn't be a loyal Marine, so might as well be a "Fanatical
> Feeble Fiver', eh?

more of your shit
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2958. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:26:15 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <787po15i8muid3o3rstim3n8lt3oh57ipr@4ax.com>
Source record: 125517
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 08:50:38 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
wrote:

>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:23:39 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>[snip]
>>>
>>>You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
>>>chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?
>>>
>>Well then get DiSH.
>
>You must have missed the part where I said, "unlikely chance that I
>will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV."
>
>
W were talking about DTV, but the only TV worth watching is movies and
The Weather Channel. If TV is not important to you, quit whining
about the mountains.

# 2959. Re: when will dave and steve have the guts to answer a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:26:25 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133288785.318042.148930@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125518

still waiting On Stevie to answer the question

but then stevie is a fraid of the truth
an old friend wrote:
> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > been asking for 7 years never get an answer to the question
> > >
> > > what does a personas sexuality have to with Ham radio?
> >
> > I've always wondered that myself.
> >
> > Steve and Dave may have something to contribute to the ARS besides jabs.
>
> I suspect they do
>
> they ceratinly serve as bad examples

## 2960. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:27:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <n41jf.3362$A23.2563@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125519

"K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

> It wasn't anything, Hans.

It is a conversation I'm carrying on with Frank. I'll decide for myself if it's "anything". Thank you.

73, de Hans, K0HB

## 2961. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:29:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133288976.631354.36530@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125520

an Old friend wrote:
> will Stevie ever admit the truth about his lies?

What lies?

> his threats?

What threats?

> his gay bashing?

What gay bashing? Despite stating that you have sex with men, you claim you're not gay. So which one of your lies is the one you're using today?

> his pagan bashing?

What pagan bashing?

> his deciet?

"deceit"

What deceit?

> his fraud?

What fraud?

> his forgeries?

What forgeries?

> his evasions?

What evasions?

> his misdirections?

What misdirections?

> will stevie come clean about anything?

Come clean about what?

Something came to me in that response...And that is that no matter how many times in any one day YOU showered...no matter how many times you bathed, flossed, brushed, or otherwise engaged in hygenic practices, you'll still be a liar, and that will NEVER wash off, Markie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2962. hans continues his support of the NAZI Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:29:44 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133288984.196475.181940@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125521

KØHB wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote
> >
> > www.icehouse.net/dd214ex.jpg
> >
>
> My browser returns a "404 page not found" error for that URL.
>
> > how can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years
> > (17 years 9 months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable'
> > discharge -AND- get retirement status?
>
> My presumption (and it's only a guess) is that he was placed on the TDRL, which
> can "retire" medically disabled personnel with as few as eight years of active
> service. It's not a medical discharge, it's a medical retirement. There is no
> discharge which carries the name "Medical".

why do you continue to support and defend someon that can do nothing
but attack people based on improper attack

let him defend his own record your support legitzes his attack and his
clear cowardly and dishonable nature
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

## 2963. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:31:01 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133289061.544905.160190@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125522

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > not an old friend wrote:
> > > > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133215183.057922.94830
> > > > > @g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > > > > > Grümwîtch thë Ünflâppåblê wrote:
> > > > > >> He's afraid of you for the same reason I fear of you --- exposure to
> > > > > >> gay-borne STD's.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > > Stevie would only be en danger from if 2 thing were ture
> > > > > >
> > > > > > one I had any STD
> > > > > >
> > > > > You've had them all? YAK!
> > > >
> > > more lies for cowards
> > >
> > cuting stevie playing speling cop
> >
> > iundeed a whole new thread just to attack my spelling while he conitues
> > to avoid the issue like the coward he is
>
> It's not about the spelling, you ignorant twit.

then you have been lying for years
```

## 2964. stevie making threats again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:31:59 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133289119.712231.162420@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125523

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > not an old friend wrote:
> > > "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133215183.057922.94830
> > > @g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com:
> > > > Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> > > >> He's afraid of you for the same reason I fear of you --- exposure to
> > > >> gay-borne STD's.
> > > >>
> > > > Stevie would only be en danger from if 2 thing were ture
> > > >
> > > > one I had any STD
> > > >
> > > You've had them all? YAK!
> > >
> > more lies for cowards
>
> No...It's a reply that tries to respond to your posts in the same
> possessives and plurals that you "write" in.
>
> L E A R N E N G L I S H and people will stop making fun of you!
>
> S T O P trying to OVER-WRITE to compensate for your functional
> illiteracy and it won't be so apparent!

By the Stevie that was a threat since it carries an obvious of else

you need to grow up and learn to take the abuive you heap about
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2965. no apology for lying about me again Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:34:28 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133289268.085100.168230@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125524

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > Re: More Blatant Bull From Chassell, Michigan
> > > >
> > > > stevie lies in title
> > >
> > > Nope.
> > >
> > > Right on the money.
> >
> > nope absolute truth
>
> So we agree that this is just MORE Markie Mularkie!

you lied apologize for it you POS
>
> Thanks!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2966. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:35:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133289315.042923.196230@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125525

an_old_friend wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote
> > >
> > > www.icehouse.net/dd214ex.jpg
> > >
> >
> > My browser returns a "404 page not found" error for that URL.
> >
> > > how can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years
> > > (17 years 9 months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable'
> > > discharge -AND- get retirement status?
> >
> > My presumption (and it's only a guess) is that he was placed on the TDRL, which
> > can "retire" medically disabled personnel with as few as eight years of active
> > service. It's not a medical discharge, it's a medical retirement. There is no
> > discharge which carries the name "Medical".
>
> why do you continue to support and defend someon that can do nothing
> but attack people based on improper attack

Who's he "support and defend(ing)", Markie?

He hit the nail onn the head.

> let him defend his own record your support legitzes his attack and his
> clear cowardly and dishonable nature

OHHHHHHHHHH!

THAT WAS CUTE!

The Drafted Gay Pagan Colonel who is trapped on Active Duty talking
about "dishonable nature"... ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !!

Twit!

Steve, K4YZ

## 2967. Re: the lie athon goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:35:18 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133289318.335728.197830@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125526

answer the question coward
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > when will dave do the right thing and stop supporting your gay bashing
> > > buddies tactics?
> >
> > I don't have any "gay buddies" to bash, and if I ahd any gay
> > buddies, I wouldn't bash them.
>
> can't read english either
> or spel the libe correctly
> >
> > > afriad to engage in real dabte?
> >
> > "Afraid" "debate"
> >
> > With YOU...?!??!
> you are clearing afraid
> you are a coward
> all you are able to do a gay bash or pagan bash or play spelling cop
> or lie about people
>
> that accounts for 99 percent of your posting roughly

## 2968. Re: lia-athon continued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:35:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133289349.602787.36670@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125527

```
answer the question coward
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an_old_friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > cut
> > > > >
> > > > > liar
> > > > >
> > > > Yes, Markie...You're a LIAR! GOOD boy!
> > >
> > > no lies here you are lieing about not making an issue of sexuality
> >
> > Uhhhhhhhhhhhhhhhh....No...
> >
> > YOU are the one making an issue of it.
>
> liar I am asking why it is relavant a quetion you are too afarid to
> answer
> >
> > 38 posts in the last 12 hours in which YOU bring it up.
> >
> > I've been working back-to-back doubles until today and COULDN'T do
> > it if I ahd WANTED to ......
>
> then answer the question coward
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
```

## 2969. Re: When will steve and Dave face the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:36:35 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133289395.538263.54470@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125528

dave has faced facts when you you stevie
an old friend wrote:
> Stevie and dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality
> have to have do with radio?
>
> the answer is nothing which is steve (and Dave) are afraid to answer it

## 2970. can stevie deal with his dshonesty issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:37:51 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133289471.346570.254440@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
answer the question
an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > > RRAP is a violation of the amateur's code. The quicker we get over to
> > > Mikes BBS the better.
> >
> > Deceit and dishonesty are violations of The Amateur's Code.
> >
> > If you'd stop both, you wouldn't have a problem...
>

> when are you going to set the example
.
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
```

## 2971. Re: stevie contues to avoid a simple question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:38:26 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133289505.984345.163370@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125530

answer the question coward
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > fake name wrote:
> > > > > Hams attempt to destroy GMRS like all the other radio services they see!
> > > cuting
> > >
> > > what has sexuality to with radio?
> >
> > Where in any of that thread were deviants being discussed, Markie?
>
> that is most of the reply you are getting to all of your posts Stevie
>
> why do you insist on making sexuality an issue here EVER
> >
> > Seek help.
>
> for what?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > BTW: Adding all of your retasked subject lines to the CD-RW...Thanks!
>
> save all you like
>
> it is your disk (iassume you did not steal it)
>
> >
> > Steve

# 2972. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:39:23 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133289563.893912.6760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
why does do advocate elder abuse
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > Frank Gilliland wrote:
> > > On 23 Nov 2005 21:48:29 -0800, "Major Dud" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > <1132811309.691633.97610@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >Frankie of Silliland wrote:
> > > >> On 23 Nov 2005 03:53:43 -0800,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
> > > >
> > > > Huge Snip
> > >
> > > Interesting...... you snipped almost all the relevant material of my
> > > post. Why ignore the fact that you are a hypocrite, Dud?
> >
> > No hypicrite, Liar Frank.
>
> you are a hypocrite BTW you blew that spelling too
> >
> > I only addressed the parts I quoted.
>
> and you avoid the the issues
> >
> cut
> > > You may have been -able- but you never managed to accomplish all that
> > > you claim. I, on the other hand, mananged to -actually do- one of the
> > > things you wanted to do -- I was a Marine.
> >
> > No, you weren't.
> >
> > Or you wouldn't have wouldn't have wound up court martialed twice.
> >
> > > > You never managed to do the things you need to.
> > > >
> > > >Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > So did you ever meet the Marine who's identity you stole?
> >
> > Did you ever slap your mommy and daddy for raising a coward and
> > liar?
> >
> > You should.
```

>
> now you advoctae elder abuse
>
> stil wanting to know if you ever meet the Marine who id you have
> stolen? or did you kill him to take it from him?
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ

# 2973. Re: stevie paranoid sees me behind everything

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:39:49 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133289589.463762.180990@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125532

why are you so paranoid stevie
an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > k4yz@hotmail.com didn't write:
> >
> > > I have been in error in making the implied claim that anyone sexuality
> > > was relavant to Radio ARS or otherwise
> >
> > Poor forgery, Markie. Same lousy grammar although I see you took
> > half-an-hour to get the spelling correct.
> >
> > You should have let your "wife" to write it for you...He's not
> > much better, but probably would have gotten the punctuation correct.
> > Only 20 words, but it's a start.
> >
>
> the title pretty uch says it all
> > Steve, K4YZ

## 2974. stevie the coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:46:02 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133289962.154642.23820@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125533

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > will Stevie ever admit the truth about his lies?
>
> What lies?

all of them
>
> > his threats?
>
> What threats?

your threats
>
> > his gay bashing?
>
> What gay bashing? Despite stating that you have sex with men, you
> claim you're not gay. So which one of your lies is the one you're
> using today?

that is gay bashing stevie and OT and just plain proof of your mental
illness

and you racked another lie
>
> > his pagan bashing?
>
> What pagan bashing?

yours
>
> > his deciet?
>
> "deceit"
>
> What deceit?

yours
>
> > his fraud?
>
> What fraud?

yours
>
> > his forgeries?

>
> What forgeries?

your
>
> > his evasions?
>
> What evasions?

this whole post is an evasion stevie
>
> > his misdirections?
>
> What misdirections?
>
> > will stevie come clean about anything?
>
> Come clean about what?

you being liar
>
> Something came to me in that response...And that is that no matter
> how many times in any one day YOU showered...no matter how many times
> you bathed, flossed, brushed, or otherwise engaged in hygenic
> practices, you'll still be a liar, and that will NEVER wash off,

obvoiusly you must have been abused as a child you got caught lying and
abused for it

you are as liar

you can't tell the trut

one mintue it is that I an ilerate the the next I am liar
you just can't stand the fact I will not bow down to you

butyour diatribe makes it nothing I can will ever satify you

so the question stands (another of your eveasion) why should I try?
> Markie.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2975. when will Stevei give a TRUTHFULL answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:47:14 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ml7po1daoqd0mei4s4m0oodvf1cog02lmf@4ax.com>
Source record: 125560

why does Stevie think lying will let him escape?

he claims it is MY fault that somehow that Steve typed these posts
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/919cad7ac37
71709/56f926ee1eca6ad7?rnum=1&q=gay&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm
%2Fthread%2F919cad7ac3771709%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Dgay%26#doc_fefd7c03a9a2f243
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/5b5440e5517
2323a/02c61afdc3f2f425?rnum=1&q=gay&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm
%2Fthread%2F5b5440e55172323a%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Dgay%26#doc_2f45ebcf7c6fc025
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/5b5440e5517
2323a/02c61afdc3f2f425?rnum=1&q=gay&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm
%2Fthread%2F5b5440e55172323a%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Dgay%26#doc_919ac0e30c8cf07d
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/5b5440e5517
2323a/9337abb5da7e6f32?rnum=21&q=gay&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_fr
m%2Fthread%2F5b5440e55172323a%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Dgay%26#doc_2220385677e58979

answer the question

what does sexuality have to do with radio

BTW none of those post concern me at all

so how did I bring it up I was even in the NG for them?

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 2976. stevie lies somemore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:48:14 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133290094.277560.82380@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125534

K4YZ wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > KØHB wrote:
> > > "Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote
cut
> > why do you continue to support and defend someon that can do nothing
> > but attack people based on improper attack
>
> Who's he "support and defend(ing)", Markie?

you the antisemtic bigot
>
> He hit the nail onn the head.

nah you are not hurt
>
> > let him defend his own record your support legitzes his attack and his
> > clear cowardly and dishonable nature
>
> OHHHHHHHHHH!
>
> THAT WAS CUTE!
>
> The Drafted Gay Pagan Colonel who is trapped on Active Duty talking
> about "dishonable nature"... ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !!

stevie you just told 3 lies in one sentence
>
> Twit!

dishonabed liar sack of shit

between the 2 Id rather be a twit that than you
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2977. stevie refuses to fto tell the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:50:21 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <mm8po1p4e2j1mmsei7u2524je4vfmckof7@4ax.com>
Source record: 125562

On 29 Nov 2005 11:11:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> More Markie Mularkie.
>
>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org
>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>
>kb9rqz@hotmail.com
>
>konstans@hotmail.com
>
>konstans@yahoo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>stevie conties to be a coward
>
>stevie conties ####ing all over the NG to avoid his issues
>
>stevie threatens people to avoid answering the question put to him
>
>Re: why is stevie afraid of me?
>
>stevie makes antisemitic remark will the cowardly attacks never cease
>
>Re: hans continues his support of the NAZI Stevie
>
>no apology for lying about me again Stevie
>
>stevie refuses to grow up and stop his cowards attacks
>
>stevie paranoid sees me behind everything
>
>Re: stevie contues to avoid a simple question
>
>stevie lies somemore
>
>stevie spend another day contiing his atcks rather than answer a simple
>question
>

>Han continue to aid and abet Slander, gay bashing, and neoNAZI remarks
>by Steve
>
>Re: stevie is afraid of me? not likely, but true

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 2978. Re: why is Markie making assinine accusations of Stevie being afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:50:56 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133290256.933348.36310@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125535

an old friend wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > Let's look at some facts
> >
> > 1. Steve Robberson stated that I was gay
> >
> > FACT: Not true, if anything I'm the opposite, any women who knows me
> > will tell you that I'm a womenizer and truth be known, I really don't
> > hide that fact
>
> indeed your rep is well known enough around taylorville to reach the
> ears of peple I still know there

Oh yes!

Toiddie's "reputation" is well known around Taylorville, alright!

That's why the local ISP canned him, he can't get a job, and lives
in the basement of mommy and daddy's house!

> > Did I leave anything out????
>
> claims your ultalight is illegal without stating any soruce of
> authority for the claim

Uhhhhhh....Part 103 of the Federal Aviation Admisnistration's rules
and regulations are NOT "soruce of authority", Markie? Toiddie started
to spout off all the attribures of his "airplane", only to show a Team
HiMAX ultralight photo. He made the mistake of bragging about illegal
modifications to his ultralight.

His words.

Not mine.

> and claims you are a pedophile...(SNIP)

He is.

> (UNSNIP)...and that you are breaking FCC ( your show)

You really need to put ALL the words into your "sentences",
Markie.

> also claimed within the last 24 hrs that you and I are lovers
>
> it is hard to keep up with all of stevie lies

If there was even one to keep up with, Markie, I am sure you
couldn't do it.

> > Todd Daugherty N9OGL / B.A.P. (BAD ASS PIRATE!!!)
> >
> > http://12.156.123.39/amateur/index.php Dimension Unbound BBS
> > http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
> > http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
> > http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/ The Voice of Amateur Radio
> > http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.htm N9OGL BBS
> > http://12.156.123.39/blablite22/index.php Demented Otaku Chatline

Uhhh...BTW, Markie, there's Toiddie's own "proof" of his
predisposition to pedophilia.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2979. stevie lies somemore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:52:02 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <vn8po1hu6qiab59k6l6bbglk9beafafdja@4ax.com>
Source record: 125563

On 29 Nov 2005 11:24:25 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 29 Nov 2005 11:11:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> > More Markie Mularkie.
>> >
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@amsat.org
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@hotmail.com
>> >
>> >konstans@hotmail.com
>> >
>> >konstans@yahoo.com
>>
>> I don't post from there the address doesn't even exit
>>
>> stevie lies again
>
> Sure you do.
>
> Even the post you are responding to contains one of the addresses
>you claim you don't use!

becuase you lied and put it there
>
> Sheeeeeesh!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 2980. Re: hans continues to answer Franks questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:55:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8u1jf.2049$YT3.1053@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125536

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

> why do you continue to support and defend someon
> that can do nothing but attack ....

I wasn't "supporting" or "defending" anyone.

I was answering Franks question about how to get a medical discharge. ("how can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years (17 years 9 months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable' discharge -AND- get retirement status?")

Thank you for your interest in this matter, and feel free to call again if you have additional questions.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 2981. Re: why is Stevie afraid of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 18:57:45 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133290665.209865.249000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125537

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > N9OGL wrote:
> > > Let's look at some facts
> > >
> > > 1. Steve Robberson stated that I was gay
> > >
> > > FACT: Not true, if anything I'm the opposite, any women who knows me
> > > will tell you that I'm a womenizer and truth be known, I really don't
> > > hide that fact
> >
> > indeed your rep is well known enough around taylorville to reach the
> > ears of peple I still know there
>
> Oh yes!
>
> Toiddie's "reputation" is well known around Taylorville, alright!

and it is decent one
>
> That's why the local ISP canned him, he can't get a job, and lives
> in the basement of mommy and daddy's house!

more unsupported bullshit from the master of bullshit
>
> > > Did I leave anything out????
> >
> > claims your ultalight is illegal without stating any soruce of
> > authority for the claim
cuting Stevie claim that he has the power to speak for the FAA as well
as the FCC
> Not mine.
>
> > and claims you are a pedophile...(SNIP)
>
> He is.

more stvie lie
>
> > (UNSNIP)...and that you are breaking FCC ( your show)
>
> You really need to put ALL the words into your "sentences",
> Markie.

i did

so stevie lies again
>
> > also claimed within the last 24 hrs that you and I are lovers
> >
> > it is hard to keep up with all of stevie lies
>
> If there was even one to keep up with, Markie, I am sure you
> couldn't do it.

then you ar an idoit

but you have told 3 lie in the post being replied to

and failed to answer the question

why are you afraid of Todd
>
> > > Todd Daugherty N9OGL / B.A.P. (BAD ASS PIRATE!!!)
> > >
> > > http://12.156.123.39/amateur/index.php Dimension Unbound BBS
> > > http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
> > > http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
> > > http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/ The Voice of Amateur Radio
> > > http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.htm N9OGL BBS
> > > http://12.156.123.39/blablite22/index.php Demented Otaku Chatline
>
> Uhhh...BTW, Markie, there's Toiddie's own "proof" of his
> predisposition to pedophilia.

anoither lie

no proof exists at the locatoins cited

erely proof that Todd likessomething you don't. something I don't
either for that matter

but Stevie is not able to live and let live within the law

Steve think he is in the old west andhas the power to meet out what he
"thinks " is "justice"

stevie is nothing but a coward and a bully
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2982. Re: stevie is afraid of me? not likely

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:00:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133290830.344158.214220@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125538

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?
> > > cut
> > > > AFRAID OF >>>YOU<<<...?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!?!?!?!
> >
> > > obviously you are afard of me
> >
> > BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> >
> > Not in Michigan...Not in Illinois, Tennessee, or even your most
> > satisfying wet dream, Markie, would I EVER be "afraid" of a fat,
> > greasy, uneducated, irresponsible, dishonorable punk like you.
>
> more lies

Nope. I meant it word-for-word.

> you are afraid to answer a simple question

YOU are afraid to take others answers at face value then keep
demanding the "answer" over and over...

> you lie about me constanly

Nope.

I don't have to.

You prove each and every assertion I've made.

> you are afaird of me
>
> I know why maybe you don't

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
and given an STD.

You're unclean in more ways than one.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2983. Han continue to aid and abet Slander, gay bashing, and neoNAZI remarks by Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:02:52 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133290798.834143.253920@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125539

KØHB wrote:
> "an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote
>
> > why do you continue to support and defend someon
> > that can do nothing but attack ....
>
> I wasn't "supporting" or "defending" anyone.

nope you are supporting and efending Steve

you butted in taking the presure off Steve

when you choose to inesert yourself you accomlish the above

# 2984. stevie contiues his lie-athon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:03:10 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133288098.937159.108480@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125540

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > not an old friend wrote:
cut
> > > > more lies for cowards
> > >
> > cuting stevie playing speling cop
> >
> > iundeed a whole new thread just to attack my spelling while he conitues
> > to avoid the issue like the coward he is
>
> It's not about the spelling, you ignorant twit.

then you have een liying all along

answer the question it is a simple thing but you are too scared to do
it

answer the question whyyou have to make sexuality an issue in radio
cuting the rest
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2985. Re: stevie is afraid of me? not likely, but true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:04:39 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133291079.148805.224650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125541

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > > why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?
> > > > > cut
> > > > > > AFRAID OF >>>YOU<<<...?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!?!?!?!
> > > >
> > > > > obviously you are afard of me
> > > >
> > > > BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > >
> > > Not in Michigan...Not in Illinois, Tennessee, or even your most
> > > satisfying wet dream, Markie, would I EVER be "afraid" of a fat,
> > > greasy, uneducated, irresponsible, dishonorable punk like you.
> > >
> > more lies
> >
> Nope. I meant it word-for-word.

but you were lying
>
> > you are afraid to answer a simple question
> >
> YOU are afraid to take others answers at face value then keep
> demanding the "answer" over and over...

what answer?

the only answer offered was from Dave saying "it depends on the radio"

that is not answer
>
> > you lie about me constanly
> >
> Nope.

yes you do
>
> I don't have to.

so why do you lie about me?
>
> You prove each and every assertion I've made.

nope another lie
>
> > you are afaird of me
> >
> > I know why maybe you don't
cut
> Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
> and given an STD.

then you don't deserve your LPN license indeed i fidn your tactic of
delying my report by continuing to provide such juicy bit amusing
>
> You're unclean in more ways than one.

that remark proves my point you are afaird of me, and I know why even
if you don't
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2986. Markie's Score Card For 29 November 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:11:15 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133291475.463692.88460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125543

More Markie Mularkie.

kb9rqz@amsat.org

kb9rqz@yahoo.com

kb9rqz@hotmail.com

konstans@hotmail.com

konstans@yahoo.com

stevie conties to be a coward

stevie conties ####ing all over the NG to avoid his issues

stevie threatens people to avoid answering the question put to him

Re: why is stevie afraid of me?

stevie makes antisemitic remark will the cowardly attacks never cease

Re: hans continues his support of the NAZI Stevie

no apology for lying about me again Stevie

stevie refuses to grow up and stop his cowards attacks

stevie paranoid sees me behind everything

Re: stevie contues to avoid a simple question

stevie lies somemore

stevie spend another day contiing his atcks rather than answer a simple question

Han continue to aid and abet Slander, gay bashing, and neoNAZI remarks by Steve

Re: stevie is afraid of me? not likely, but true

## 2987. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:16:29 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133291789.540531.20090@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125547

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > > > why is the Mighty macho marine afair to answer simple question?
> > > > > > cut
> > > > > > > AFRAID OF >>>YOU<<<...?!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!?!?!?!
> > > >
> > > > > obviously you are afard of me
> > > >
> > > > BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > > >
> > > Not in Michigan...Not in Illinois, Tennessee, or even your most
> > > satisfying wet dream, Markie, would I EVER be "afraid" of a fat,
> > > greasy, uneducated, irresponsible, dishonorable punk like you.
> > >
> > more lies
> >
> Nope. I meant it word-for-word.
>
> but you were lying

Nope.

Not a word.

> > you are afraid to answer a simple question
> >
> YOU are afraid to take others answers at face value then keep
> demanding the "answer" over and over...
>
> what answer?
>
> the only answer offered was from Dave saying "it depends on the radio"
>
> that is not answer

That wasn't what I said.

WAKE UP AND PAY ATTENTION!

> > > you lie about me constanly
> >
> > Nope.
>
> yes you do

Nope.

> > I don't have to.
>
> so why do you lie about me?

I don't.

> > You prove each and every assertion I've made.
>
> nope another lie

Nope.

> > > you are afaird of me
> > >
> > > I know why maybe you don't
> cut
> > Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
> > and given an STD.
>
> then you don't deserve your LPN license indeed i fidn your tactic of
> delying my report by continuing to provide such juicy bit amusing

I don't "deserve" my nursing license because I would be concerend
about getting an STD from an openly gay, admittedly deviant isiot who
thinks teaching kids to lie is acceptable...?!?!?

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

> > You're unclean in more ways than one.
>
> that remark proves my point you are afaird of me, and I know why even
> if you don't

No....

It "means" that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.

Steve, K4YZ

## 2988. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:20:45 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133292045.253165.161310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125549

an old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> > > > > not an old friend wrote:
> cut
> > > > > more lies for cowards
> > > >
> > > cuting stevie playing speling cop
> > >
> > > iundeed a whole new thread just to attack my spelling while he conitues
> > > to avoid the issue like the coward he is
> >
> > It's not about the spelling, you ignorant twit.
>
> then you have een liying all along

No, I've not.

YOU refuse to accept the answers.

> answer the question it is a simple thing but you are too scared to do
> it

Done already.

> answer the question whyyou have to make sexuality an issue in radio

A L R E A D Y D O N E M A R K I E ! ! ! ! ! ! !

HERE'S MY ANSWER >>>YET AGAIN<<< MARKIE!

It wouldn't BE an "issue" if you'd stop bringing it up!

Steve, K4YZ

# 2989. Markie's Score Card For 29 November 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:24:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133292265.338526.269900@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125550

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 29 Nov 2005 11:11:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
> > More Markie Mularkie.
> >
> >
> >kb9rqz@amsat.org
> >
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com
> >
> >kb9rqz@hotmail.com
> >
> >konstans@hotmail.com
> >
> >konstans@yahoo.com
>
> I don't post from there the address doesn't even exit
>
> stevie lies again

Sure you do.

Even the post you are responding to contains one of the addresses
you claim you don't use!

Sheeeeeesh!

Steve, K4YZ

## 2990. stevie in denail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:25:01 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133292301.522639.171280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125551

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an old friend wrote:
cut
> > > > > Not in Michigan...Not in Illinois, Tennessee, or even your most
> > > > > satisfying wet dream, Markie, would I EVER be "afraid" of a fat,
> > > > > greasy, uneducated, irresponsible, dishonorable punk like you.
> > > >
> > > > more lies
> > >
> > > Nope. I meant it word-for-word.
> >
> > but you were lying
>
> Nope.
>
> Not a word.

your are lying
you fear me
>
> > > > you are afraid to answer a simple question
> > >
> > > YOU are afraid to take others answers at face value then keep
> > > demanding the "answer" over and over...
> >
> > what answer?
> >
> > the only answer offered was from Dave saying "it depends on the radio"
> >
> > that is not answer
>
> That wasn't what I said.

then answer the question
>
> WAKE UP AND PAY ATTENTION!

stop making threats and giving order bully
>
> > > > you lie about me constanly
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >

> > yes you do
>
> Nope.
>

yes you do
> > > I don't have to.
> >
> > so why do you lie about me?
>
> I don't.

another lie
>
> > > You prove each and every assertion I've made.
> >
> > nope another lie
>
> Nope.
>
fraid so more lie form stevie
> > > > you are afaird of me
> > > >
> > > > I know why maybe you don't
> > cut
> > > Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
> > > and given an STD.
> >
> > then you don't deserve your LPN license indeed i fidn your tactic of
> > delying my report by continuing to provide such juicy bit amusing
>
> I don't "deserve" my nursing license because I would be concerend
> about getting an STD from an openly gay, admittedly deviant isiot who
> thinks teaching kids to lie is acceptable...?!?!?

right because it shows that you don't understand how STD are passed

fruthe rthe staemtn contains 2 lie

the only way you can can an STD from me is involes at least meeting me

and likely having sex with me

which is clearly something you desire but can't admit to yourself

making you realy sick
cut
> > > You're unclean in more ways than one.
> >
> > that remark proves my point you are afaird of me, and I know why even
> > if you don't
>
> No....

>
> It "means" that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.

which means in turn you are afraid of me
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2991. stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:28:44 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133292524.780859.280010@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125552

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On 29 Nov 2005 11:11:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >
> > > More Markie Mularkie.
> > >
> > >
> > >kb9rqz@amsat.org
> > >
> > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com
> > >
> > >kb9rqz@hotmail.com
> > >
> > >konstans@hotmail.com
> > >
> > >konstans@yahoo.com
> >
> > I don't post from there the address doesn't even exit
> >
> > stevie lies again
>
> Sure you do.
>
> Even the post you are responding to contains one of the addresses
> you claim you don't use!

only becuase you put it there

try emailing there
>
> Sheeeeeesh!

why do you insit on lying stevie
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 2992. Re: stvei (STEVIE) makes acusations (ACCUSATIONS) of criintent (INTENT) to comit (COMMIT) a criminal act so call 911 stop whining on the net about it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:28:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <1vapo1d6glnhh40271d0kobno15u4jkieh@4ax.com>
Source record: 125571

```
K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> > cut
> >
> > > > you fear me
> > >
> > > Nope.
> >
> > obvoisly but that becomes clearbelow
>
> "obviously" "clear" "below"
>
> Nope.

yep
>
> > > > then answer the question
> > > > >
> > > > > WAKE UP AND PAY ATTENTION!
> > > >
> > > > stop making threats and giving order bully
> > cut
> > > In the last 3 days you've "published" over 70 "demands" (eg:
> > > 'giving order') to me in as many threads.
> >
> > I will acept your count
>
> You ahve no choice. Anyone who can make a scratch with a pencil
> knows it's true.

more lies

>
> > but your point is?
>
> The POINT is that you accuse others of being bullies and "giving
> orders", yet there YOU are, 70+ such demands in only three days.
```

Stevie ifyouwan to call it making demands so be it

I don't mind

the count my well rise to a seeral hunderd

>
> > cut
> > > > > > > > I don't have to.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > so why do you lie about me?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't.
> > > > >
> > > > > another lie
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > > >
> > > > I don't have to lie about you, Markie!
> >
> > why do you lie then?
>
> I don't.

you do lie
>
> YOUR posts prove it.

no they don't
cut a rep

> > > >>>WHAT<<< in the original post here indicates
> > > otherwise...?!?!??!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!
> >
> > the sugestion you can recieve an STD from me in Michigan while while
> > you are in TENN
>
> I see you "cut" the attributes, Markie!

more lies
>
> So allow me to "remind" you of what WAS said:
>
> QUOTE
>
> > > > > > Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
> > > > > > and given an STD.
> > > > >
> > > > > then you don't deserve your LPN license indeed i fidn your tactic of
> > > > > delying my report by continuing to provide such juicy bit amusing
> > > >
> > > > I don't "deserve" my nursing license because I would be concerend
> > > > about getting an STD from an openly gay, admittedly deviant isiot who

> > > thinks teaching kids to lie is acceptable...?!?!?
> >
> > right because it shows that you don't understand how STD are passed
>
> UNQUOTE
>
> Now, you're welcome, if yo can stand the humiliation, of trying to
> show where in that it says I don't understand how STD's are
> transmitted.
right thre below

Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
and given an STD.

it clearly shows you don't understand how STD are transmitted
>
> I stated, FACTUALLY, that my ONLY concern from YOU would to be
> assaulted by you and therein obtain an STD.

but you are stating alie
sine it is imposible for me to give you an STD

I would have to have one first

one MUST be infected by or other carry a Diase in order to spread it
>
> STD's are NOT "only" transmitted by mutually agreed-upon contact,
> Markie!

never said otherwise

and you are showing your paranoia
>
> > > > fruthe rthe staemtn contains 2 lie
> > >
> > > No, it does not.
> >
> > yes it does
>
> No, it does not.
>
> > I am not gay let alone openly gay...(SNIP)
>
> Sure you are.

nope a lie on your part
>
> You have admitted, even bragged, about having sex with men in this
> USENET forum.

which does not make me gay or homosexual

>
> The only way to be MORE open would be to buy airtime on CNN.
>
> > I am not a devaiant let alone an
> > admited one that is at least 2 lies
>
> Sure you are.
>
> Refer to the "seeking fem dom" post.

nothing devaint there

>
> So....
>
> Two claims of "lies" proven to be themselves mistruthful.

both claims you lied are facts
cut

> > > You ADMIT that a man that would WANT to have sex with you is
> > > really sick!
> >
> > more lies I said nothing of the sort
>
> Sure you did.

No I did not
>
> Scroll back through the posts, Markie.

it isn't there
>
> > I said you wnating to have sex with me and not being able to admit is
> > sick
>
> What would be sick is WANTING to have sex with you...but then here
> again we have YOU propetuating yet another "debate" about your deviant
> lifestyle...

more of your gay bashing something you claim you never do

piling on the lie you sack of sick
>
> > > And what does that say about YOU wanting to have sex with other
> > > men, Markie...?!?!
> > >
> > nothing at all since you made the statement up
>
> No I didn't.

yep you did
>
> > > (Gee, catching Markie in his written faux pas' is fun!)

> >
> > watching the stupidity of Stevie lies is depressing
>
> What lies?

the dozen or so in this exchange alone
cut
> > > No, it means that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.
> >
> > which means in turn you are afraid of me
>
> No, it means that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.

which mean you fear me
but you lie to youself

I never lie to myself
>
> Steve, K4YZ

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 2993. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:31:26 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <20bpo1lcokhirraukv49etbhfohqm16991@4ax.com>
Source record: 125553
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 18:21:59 GMT, "Kï¿½HB"
wrote in :

>
>"Frank Gilliland" wrote
>>
>> www.icehouse.net/dd214ex.jpg
>>
>
>My browser returns a "404 page not found" error for that URL.

My bad:

www.icehouse.net/wirenut/dd214ex.jpg

>> how can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years
>> (17 years 9 months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable'
>> discharge -AND- get retirement status?
>
>My presumption (and it's only a guess) is that he was placed on the TDRL, which
>can "retire" medically disabled personnel with as few as eight years of active
>service. It's not a medical discharge, it's a medical retirement. There is no
>discharge which carries the name "Medical".

And what under which discharge category does a medical discharge fall?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 2994. stevie lies again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:32:29 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133292749.090032.6210@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125554

K4YZ wrote:
> an old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
cut
> > answer the question whyyou have to make sexuality an issue in radio
>
> A L R E A D Y D O N E M A R K I E ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> HERE'S MY ANSWER >>>YET AGAIN<<< MARKIE!
>
> It wouldn't BE an "issue" if you'd stop bringing it up!

but I did not

nor did I call Todd gay

you brought it up

WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and N9OGL to
WED..?!?!
link to full text follows

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/415ffd26aa8
59187/6d282b556c2e5f93?rnum=1&q=gay&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm
%2Fthread%2F415ffd26aa859187%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Dgay%26#doc_6d282b556c2e5f93
>

so you lied agian

I did not bring it up YOU DID
why?

what relavance did it have

why did you call Todd gay

My neverbringin it up will not stop you bring accusing todd of being
gay or BB or anyone else

why do you lie about this point
> Steve, K4YZ

## 2995. Re: what disater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:35:15 UTC
From: George.E.Hollis@gmail.com
Message-ID: <1133292915.003165.13270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125555
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Can you say BPL??

## 2996. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:35:30 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133292930.364012.16290@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125556

```
an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an old friend wrote:
> cut
> > > > > > Not in Michigan...Not in Illinois, Tennessee, or even your most
> > > > > > satisfying wet dream, Markie, would I EVER be "afraid" of a fat,
> > > > > > greasy, uneducated, irresponsible, dishonorable punk like you.
> > > > >
> > > > > more lies
> > > >
> > > > Nope. I meant it word-for-word.
> > >
> > > but you were lying
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > Not a word.
>
> your are lying

Nope.

> you fear me

Nope.

> > > > > you are afraid to answer a simple question
> > > >
> > > > YOU are afraid to take others answers at face value then keep
> > > > demanding the "answer" over and over...
> > >
> > > what answer?
> > >
> > > the only answer offered was from Dave saying "it depends on the radio"
> > >
> > > that is not answer
> >
> > That wasn't what I said.
>
> then answer the question
> >
> > WAKE UP AND PAY ATTENTION!
>
```

> stop making threats and giving order bully

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

In the last 3 days you've "published" over 70 "demands" (eg:
'giving order') to me in as many threads.

> > > > > you lie about me constanly
> > > >
> > > > Nope.
> > >
> > > yes you do
> >
> > Nope.

> yes you do

Nope.

And this post proves AGAIN what I've been saying all along!

> > > > I don't have to.
> > >
> > > so why do you lie about me?
> >
> > I don't.
>
> another lie

Nope.

I don't have to lie about you, Markie!

YOU provide MORE THAN ENOUGH material to validate everything I
have ever said about you!

> > > > You prove each and every assertion I've made.
> > >
> > > nope another lie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> fraid so more lie form stevie

Nope.

> > > > > you are afaird of me
> > > > > >
> > > > > I know why maybe you don't
> > > cut
> > > > Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
> > > > and given an STD.

> > >
> > > then you don't deserve your LPN license indeed i fidn your tactic of
> > > delying my report by continuing to provide such juicy bit amusing
> >
> > I don't "deserve" my nursing license because I would be concerend
> > about getting an STD from an openly gay, admittedly deviant isiot who
> > thinks teaching kids to lie is acceptable...?!?!?
>
> right because it shows that you don't understand how STD are passed

FREAKIN' IDIOT!

Of COURSE I know how STD's are passed!

>>>WHAT<<< in the original post here indicates
otherwise...?!?!??!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!

> fruthe rthe staemtn contains 2 lie

No, it does not.

> the only way you can can an STD from me is involes at least meeting me

No...it involves you commiting an act of violence against me.

Revisit the word "assault" above, MArkie.

> and likely having sex with me

Again, only in YOUR dreams, Markie.

> which is clearly something you desire but can't admit to yourself

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !! ! ! !

> making you realy sick

So....

You ADMIT that a man that would WANT to have sex with you is
really sick!

And what does that say about YOU wanting to have sex with other
men, Markie...?!?!

(Gee, catching Markie in his written faux pas' is fun!)

> cut
> > > > You're unclean in more ways than one.
> > >
> > > that remark proves my point you are afaird of me, and I know why even
> > > if you don't
> >

> > No....
> >
> > It "means" that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.
>
> which means in turn you are afraid of me

No, it means that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.

Steve, K4YZ

# 2997. Markie's Score Card For 29 November 2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:42:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133293345.724025.213660@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125557

an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > On 29 Nov 2005 11:11:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > > More Markie Mularkie.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >kb9rqz@amsat.org
> > > >
> > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com
> > > >
> > > >kb9rqz@hotmail.com
> > > >
> > > >konstans@hotmail.com
> > > >
> > > >konstans@yahoo.com
> > >
> > > I don't post from there the address doesn't even exit
> > >
> > > stevie lies again
> >
> > Sure you do.
> >
> > Even the post you are responding to contains one of the addresses
> > you claim you don't use!
>
> only becuase you put it there

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

Markie, you get more and more rediculous by the minute!

> try emailing there
> >
> > Sheeeeeesh!
>
> why do you insit on lying stevie

Who's lying? (other than you, that is...)

So...

ALL of the posts by those authors are NOT you, Mark C.
Morgan...?!?!?

None of them?

Which addresses ARE valid, Markie?

What "nicks" are YOUR posts?

Steve, 4YZ

# 2998. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:43:55 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%b2jf.2060$YT3.987@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125558

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote

```
>
> And what under which discharge category does a medical discharge fall?
>

Depending on the "Character of Service" and length of service it could fall
under "Honorable", "General" (either category), or "Uncharacterized".

73, de Hans, K0HB
```

# 2999. stvei makes acusations of criintent to comit a criminal act so call 911 stop whining on the net about it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:45:39 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133293539.246378.222310@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125559

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > an old friend wrote:
cut

> > you fear me
>
> Nope.

obvoisly but that becomes clearbelow
>
cut
> > then answer the question
> > >
> > > WAKE UP AND PAY ATTENTION!
> >
> > stop making threats and giving order bully
cut
> In the last 3 days you've "published" over 70 "demands" (eg:
> 'giving order') to me in as many threads.

I will acept your count

but your point is?
cut
> > > > > I don't have to.
> > > > >
> > > > > so why do you lie about me?
> > > >
> > > I don't.
> >
> > another lie
>
> Nope.
>
> I don't have to lie about you, Markie!

why do you lie then?
>
> YOU provide MORE THAN ENOUGH material to validate everything I
> have ever said about you!

another lie
cut

> > > I don't "deserve" my nursing license because I would be concerend
> > > about getting an STD from an openly gay, admittedly deviant isiot who
> > > thinks teaching kids to lie is acceptable...?!?!?
> >
> > right because it shows that you don't understand how STD are passed
>
> FREAKIN' IDIOT!
>
> Of COURSE I know how STD's are passed!
>
> >>>WHAT<<< in the original post here indicates
> otherwise...?!?!??!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!

the sugestion you can recieve an STD from me in Michigan while while
you are in TENN
>
> > fruthe rthe staemtn contains 2 lie
>
> No, it does not.

yes it does

I am not gay let alone openly gay I am not a devaiant let alone an
admited one that is at least 2 lies
>
> > the only way you can can an STD from me is involes at least meeting me
>
> No...it involves you commiting an act of violence against me.

proof of your madness
>
> Revisit the word "assault" above, MArkie.
>
> > and likely having sex with me
>
> Again, only in YOUR dreams, Markie.

no that would be a nightmare Stevie
>
> > which is clearly something you desire but can't admit to yourself
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !! ! ! !
>
> > making you realy sick
>
> So....
>

> You ADMIT that a man that would WANT to have sex with you is
> really sick!

more lies I said nothing of the sort

I said you wnating to have sex with me and not being able to admit is
sick
>
> And what does that say about YOU wanting to have sex with other
> men, Markie...?!?!
>
nothing at all since you made the statement up
> (Gee, catching Markie in his written faux pas' is fun!)

watching the stupidity of Stevie lies is depressing
>
> > cut
> > > > > You're unclean in more ways than one.
> > > > >
> > > > that remark proves my point you are afaird of me, and I know why even
> > > > if you don't
> > > >
> > > No....
> > >
> > > It "means" that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.
> >
> > which means in turn you are afraid of me
>
> No, it means that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.
which means in turn you are afraid of me

>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 3000. stevie tell the truth for once in your life

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 19:54:22 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133294062.197383.109070@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125561

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> > > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > > > On 29 Nov 2005 11:11:15 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > More Markie Mularkie.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >kb9rqz@amsat.org
> > > > >
> > > > >kb9rqz@yahoo.com
> > > > >
> > > > >kb9rqz@hotmail.com
> > > > >
> > > > >konstans@hotmail.com
> > > > >
> > > > >konstans@yahoo.com
> > > >
> > > > I don't post from there the address doesn't even exit
> > > >
> > > > stevie lies again
> > >
> > > Sure you do.
> > >
> > > Even the post you are responding to contains one of the addresses
> > > you claim you don't use!
> >
> > only becuase you put it there
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Markie, you get more and more rediculous by the minute!

why is pointing out your LIE rediculous

>
> > try emailing there
> > >
> > > Sheeeeeesh!
> >
> > why do you insit on lying stevie
>
> Who's lying? (other than you, that is...)

you are
>
> So...
>
> ALL of the posts by those authors are NOT you, Mark C.
> Morgan...?!?!?

never said that either
>
> None of them?
>
> Which addresses ARE valid, Markie?

I told you which is invalid
>
> What "nicks" are YOUR posts?
>
> Steve, 4YZ

# 3001. hans conitues to aid and abet the Neo Nazi Nut Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 20:01:14 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133294474.542636.79350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125564

KØHB wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote
>
> >
> > And what under which discharge category does a medical discharge fall?
> >
>
> Depending on the "Character of Service" and length of service it could fall
> under "Honorable", "General" (either category), or "Uncharacterized".

let him find his own answers (stevie that is (

stop helping the neoNazi
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

## 3002. a promise to stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 20:03:12 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <srcpo15mjdnpucqi8ci25t1n1nls7rvkos@4ax.com>
Source record: 125573

stevie has expressed some conecrn that I might somehow give him an STD
(without having one myself that would be a good trick but..)

if I entour encounter you, and violence insues at least one of us will
likely end up dead. I will not assult to have sex with you, if I
assult you it would be to kill you outright

I hope that clears up any confusion you may suffer from

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3003. Re: what disater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 20:06:30 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133294790.495860.46930@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125565
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

George.E.Hollis@gmail.com wrote:
> Can you say BPL??

I can but that is not the subject of the thread

# 3004. Stevie claim to right to make demand himself but denies that other have the same right

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 20:06:43 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <01dpo15fg7ka9v90h1aofdr6ba38qon2u0@4ax.com>
Source record: 125574

On 29 Nov 2005 12:22:13 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an Old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an Old friend wrote:
cut
>> > > then answer the question
>> > > >
>> > > > WAKE UP AND PAY ATTENTION!
>> > >
>> > > stop making threats and giving order bully
>> cut
>> > In the last 3 days you've "published" over 70 "demands" (eg:
>> > 'giving order') to me in as many threads.

I agrred with Stevie though I thought the count much higher but the
exact numbe rdoes not matter
>>
>> I will acept your count
>
> You ahve no choice. Anyone who can make a scratch with a pencil
>knows it's true.

another stevie lie I don't have to accept his count

and he failed to spell the word have correctly BTW
>
>> but your point is?
>
> The POINT is that you accuse others of being bullies and "giving
>orders", yet there YOU are, 70+ such demands in only three days.
>
I have made no demand beyond those made by Stevie himself

and yet he whines if treated as he treats others

Stveie can stop this anytime

by answering the question what does ANYBODIES sexuality have to do
with radio

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download

http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3005. stevie continues to be in de nile (hopefull he can't swim)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 20:09:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <q8dpo19rc1aqo0sa442fi3stl0rcg951jq@4ax.com>
Source record: 125575

On 29 Nov 2005 12:22:13 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>an Old friend wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an Old friend wrote:
>> > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > an Old friend wrote:
>> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
>> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
cut
>> > > which means in turn you are afraid of me
>> >
>> > No, it means that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.
>>
>> which means in turn you are afraid of me
>
> No, it means that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.

it mean you fear me

you are too guttless to admit it

you are gay bashing fear filled hate monger
>
>Steve, K4YZ

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3006. Re: hans conitues to aid and abet the Neo Nazi Nut Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 20:12:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CC2jf.2070$YT3.1548@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125566

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
.

> let him find his own answers (stevie that is (

Steve didn't ask the question. Frank did. Try to follow along, or butt out if we're going too fast for you.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3007. Re: hans conitues to aid and abet the Neo Nazi Nut Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 20:17:49 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133295469.598518.301450@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125567

KØHB wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
> .
>
> > let him find his own answers (stevie that is (
>
>
> Steve didn't ask the question. Frank did. Try to follow along, or butt out if
> we're going too fast for you.

now you are even sounding like stevie

Franked asked the question of and about Stevie

I am following along

and you are aiding and abetting stevie and his efforts to spew neoNazi
, and other hate speech around the newsgruops

so foloow along or butt out if you can't or be prepared to be further
tarred with the truth about your posts

you are aiding Stevie in carring out his campaign of hate

simple facts live with em
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3008. Re: stvei (STEVIE) makes acusations (ACCUSATIONS) of criintent (INTENT) to comit (COMMIT) a criminal act so call 911 stop whining on the net about it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 20:22:13 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133295733.469899.175520@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125568

```
an Old friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
> > an Old friend wrote:
> > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > an Old friend wrote:
> > > > > K4YZ wrote:
> > > > > > an old friend wrote:
> cut
>
> > > you fear me
> >
> > Nope.
>
> obvoisly but that becomes clearbelow

"obviously" "clear" "below"

Nope.

> > > then answer the question
> > > >
> > > > WAKE UP AND PAY ATTENTION!
> > >
> > > stop making threats and giving order bully
> cut
> > In the last 3 days you've "published" over 70 "demands" (eg:
> > 'giving order') to me in as many threads.
>
> I will acept your count

You ahve no choice. Anyone who can make a scratch with a pencil
knows it's true.

> but your point is?

The POINT is that you accuse others of being bullies and "giving
orders", yet there YOU are, 70+ such demands in only three days.

> cut
> > > > > > I don't have to.
> > > > >
> > > > > so why do you lie about me?
> > > >
> > > > I don't.
> > >
```

> > > another lie
> >
> > Nope.
> >
> > I don't have to lie about you, Markie!
>
> why do you lie then?

I don't.

YOUR posts prove it.

> > YOU provide MORE THAN ENOUGH material to validate everything I
> > have ever said about you!
>
> another lie

Nope.

The absolute truth.

> cut
>
> > > > I don't "deserve" my nursing license because I would be concerend
> > > > about getting an STD from an openly gay, admittedly deviant isiot who
> > > > thinks teaching kids to lie is acceptable...?!?!?
> > >
> > > right because it shows that you don't understand how STD are passed
> >
> > FREAKIN' IDIOT!
> >
> > Of COURSE I know how STD's are passed!
> >
> > >>>WHAT<<< in the original post here indicates
> > otherwise...?!?!??!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!
>
> the sugestion you can recieve an STD from me in Michigan while while
> you are in TENN

I see you "cut" the attributes, Markie!

So allow me to "remind" you of what WAS said:

QUOTE

> > > > Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
> > > > and given an STD.
> > >
> > > then you don't deserve your LPN license indeed i fidn your tactic of
> > > delying my report by continuing to provide such juicy bit amusing
> >
> > I don't "deserve" my nursing license because I would be concerend
> > about getting an STD from an openly gay, admittedly deviant isiot who

> > thinks teaching kids to lie is acceptable...?!?!?
>
> right because it shows that you don't understand how STD are passed

UNQUOTE

Now, you're welcome, if yo can stand the humiliation, of trying to
show where in that it says I don't understand how STD's are
transmitted.

I stated, FACTUALLY, that my ONLY concern from YOU would to be
assaulted by you and therein obtain an STD.

STD's are NOT "only" transmitted by mutually agreed-upon contact,
Markie!

> > > fruthe rthe staemtn contains 2 lie
> >
> > No, it does not.
>
> yes it does

No, it does not.

> I am not gay let alone openly gay...(SNIP)

Sure you are.

You have admitted, even bragged, about having sex with men in this
USENET forum.

The only way to be MORE open would be to buy airtime on CNN.

> I am not a devaiant let alone an
> admited one that is at least 2 lies

Sure you are.

Refer to the "seeking fem dom" post.

So....

Two claims of "lies" proven to be themselves mistruthful.

> > > the only way you can can an STD from me is involes at least meeting me
> >
> > No...it involves you commiting an act of violence against me.
>
> proof of your madness

Nope.

> > Revisit the word "assault" above, Markie.
> >
> > > and likely having sex with me
> >

> > Again, only in YOUR dreams, Markie.
>
> no that would be a nightmare Stevie

It would be for YOU, Markie. I'd just have to fill out the
papaerwork.

> > > which is clearly something you desire but can't admit to yourself
> >
> > BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !! ! ! !
> >
> > > making you realy sick
> >
> > So....
> >
> > You ADMIT that a man that would WANT to have sex with you is
> > really sick!
>
> more lies I said nothing of the sort

Sure you did.

Scroll back through the posts, Markie.

> I said you wnating to have sex with me and not being able to admit is
> sick

What would be sick is WANTING to have sex with you...but then here
again we have YOU propetuating yet another "debate" about your deviant
lifestyle...

> > And what does that say about YOU wanting to have sex with other
> > men, Markie...?!?!
> >
> nothing at all since you made the statement up

No I didn't.

> > (Gee, catching Markie in his written faux pas' is fun!)
>
> watching the stupidity of Stevie lies is depressing

What lies?

> > > cut
> > > > > > You're unclean in more ways than one.
> > > > >
> > > > > that remark proves my point you are afaird of me, and I know why even
> > > > > if you don't
> > > >
> > > > No....

> > > >
> > > > It "means" that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.
> > >
> > > which means in turn you are afraid of me
> >
> > No, it means that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.
>
> which means in turn you are afraid of me

No, it means that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3009. Re: hans conitues to aid and abet the Neo Nazi Nut Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 20:32:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oV2jf.3395$A23.2533@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125569

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote

> Franked asked the question of and about Stevie

No he didn't ask the question of Steve. He asked the question of me. So I answered him. It seemed the polite thing to do. For further detail, go to http://tinyurl.com/25bd

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3010. Re: stvei (STEVIE) makes acusations (ACCUSATIONS) of criintent (INTENT) to comit (COMMIT) a criminal act so call 911 stop whining on the net about it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 20:34:52 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133296492.059893.112460@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125570

stevie lies adresed elsewhere

# 3011. Re: hans conitues to aid and abet the Neo Nazi Nut Steve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 21:05:43 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133298343.398077.68760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125572

KØHB wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>
> > Franked asked the question of and about Stevie
>
> No he didn't ask the question of Steve.

only after you butted in

stop aidning Stevie or face to consequences of your acts

nothing to serious in that somehwta dire sounding line

but you fail to accept your action have consequences

in your case being tarred as suporting a hate monger

it is your free choice chourse

but your decisions (as does everybodies but stveie it seems have
consequences

## 3012. Re: what disater

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 21:21:39 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DD3jf.2091$YT3.1878@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125576
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

<George.E.Hollis@gmail.com> wrote in message
news:1133292915.003165.13270@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> Can you say BPL??

Certainly: Brass Pounders League.

--

... Hank

http://home.earthlink.net/~horedson
http://home.earthlink.net/~w0rli

# 3013. Re: stvei (STEVIE) makes acusations (ACCUSATIONS) of criintent (INTENT) to comit (COMMIT) a criminal act so call 911 stop whining on the net about it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 21:25:34 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133299533.993440.278250@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125577

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:

> > > > > stop making threats and giving order bully
> > > cut
> > > > In the last 3 days you've "published" over 70 "demands" (eg:
> > > > 'giving order') to me in as many threads.
> > >
> > > I will acept your count
> >
> > You have no choice. Anyone who can make a scratch with a pencil
> > knows it's true.
>
> more lies

Why do you say that?

ESPECIALLY when you KNOW that it's archived and anyone can see your
silliness?

> > > but your point is?
> >
> > The POINT is that you accuse others of being bullies and "giving
> > orders", yet there YOU are, 70+ such demands in only three days.
>
> Stevie ifyouwan to call it making demands so be it

"if" "you" "want"

> I don't mind

I know...You NEVER mind demonstrating that you have a double
standard that you don't mind violating.

> the count my well rise to a seeral hunderd

"several" "hundred"

No doubt it will, since it seems you have nothing better to do
than create "attack threads" of your own.

> > > cut
> > > > > > > I don't have to.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > so why do you lie about me?
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > I don't.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > another lie
> > > > > >
> > > > > Nope.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I don't have to lie about you, Markie!
> > > > >
> > > > why do you lie then?
> > >
> > I don't.
>
> you do lie

Nope.

> > YOUR posts prove it.
>
> no they don't

Sure they do.

> cut a rep

"Cut" anything you like.

The FACTS remain that your own posts prove what an idiot you are.

> > > > > >>>WHAT<<< in the original post here indicates
> > > > > otherwise...?!?!??!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!??!
> > > > >
> > > > the sugestion you can recieve an STD from me in Michigan while while
> > > > you are in TENN
> > >
> > I see you "cut" the attributes, Markie!
>
> more lies

Nope.

Again, anyone can backscroll and see.

> > So allow me to "remind" you of what WAS said:
> >
> > QUOTE
> >
> > > > > > > Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
> > > > > > > and given an STD.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > then you don't deserve your LPN license indeed i fidn your tactic of
> > > > > > > delying my report by continuing to provide such juicy bit amusing
> > > > > >
> > > > > I don't "deserve" my nursing license because I would be concerend

> > > > about getting an STD from an openly gay, admittedly deviant isiot who
> > > > thinks teaching kids to lie is acceptable...?!?!?
> > >
> > > right because it shows that you don't understand how STD are passed
> >
> > UNQUOTE
> >
> > Now, you're welcome, if yo can stand the humiliation, of trying to
> > show where in that it says I don't understand how STD's are
> > transmitted.
> right thre below
>
> Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
> and given an STD.
>
> it clearly shows you don't understand how STD are transmitted

No, it does not.

> > I stated, FACTUALLY, that my ONLY concern from YOU would to be
> > assaulted by you and therein obtain an STD.
>
> but you are stating alie

No, I am not.

I am "stating" that my only concern with you would be the STD I'd
undoubtedly acquire after being assaulted by you.

> sine it is imposible for me to give you an STD

No, it's not impossible.

> I would have to have one first

You're a walking STD, Markie.

> one MUST be infected by or other carry a Diase in order to spread it

What's a "Diase" that someone has to carry, Markie?

Kinda like an Yves St Laurent or Gucci purse?

> > STD's are NOT "only" transmitted by mutually agreed-upon contact,
> > Markie!
>
> never said otherwise

Sure you di.

> and you are showing your paranoia

Nope.

YOU said I was "afard" of you.

I've made it clear that that is impossible.

> > > > > fruthe rthe staemtn contains 2 lie
> > > >
> > > > No, it does not.
> > >
> > > yes it does
> >
> > No, it does not.
> >
> > > I am not gay let alone openly gay...(SNIP)
> >
> > Sure you are.
>
> nope a lie on your part

Nope. Unless, of course, YOU were lying about having sex with
men...?!?!

> > You have admitted, even bragged, about having sex with men in this
> > USENET forum.
>
> which does not make me gay or homosexual

Sure it does.

> > The only way to be MORE open would be to buy airtime on CNN.
> >
> > > I am not a devaiant let alone an
> > > admited one that is at least 2 lies
> >
> > Sure you are.
> >
> > Refer to the "seeking fem dom" post.
>
> nothing devaint there

Sure there is.

> > So....
> >
> > Two claims of "lies" proven to be themselves mistruthful.
>
> both claims you lied are facts

Huh?

> > > > You ADMIT that a man that would WANT to have sex with you is
> > > > really sick!
> > >
> > > more lies I said nothing of the sort
> >
> > Sure you did.
>

> No I did not

SURE you did!

> > Scroll back through the posts, Markie.
>
> it isn't there

Sure it is!

> > > I said you wnating to have sex with me and not being able to admit is
> > > sick
> >
> > What would be sick is WANTING to have sex with you...but then here
> > again we have YOU propetuating yet another "debate" about your deviant
> > lifestyle...
>
> more of your gay bashing something you claim you never do

No, it's not...If ANYTHING it's an expression of pity for men who
ARE gay to have to be comingled with a dishonest, mistruthful twit like
you.

> piling on the lie you sack of sick

"...sack of sick..."

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

You can't even get your profanities out straight today, Markie!

> > > > And what does that say about YOU wanting to have sex with other
> > > > men, Markie...?!?!
> > > >
> > > nothing at all since you made the statement up
> >
> > No I didn't.
>
> yep you did

No, I didn't.

YOU said you have sex with men.

> > > > (Gee, catching Markie in his written faux pas' is fun!)
> > >
> > > watching the stupidity of Stevie lies is depressing
> >
> > What lies?
>
> the dozen or so in this exchange alone

Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...WHAT is a lie?

> cut
> > > > No, it means that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.
> > >
> > > which means in turn you are afraid of me
> >
> > No, it means that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.
>
> which mean you fear me

No, it means that I consider you "unclean" in more ways than one.

> but you lie to youself

Nope.

> I never lie to myself

Sure you do.

You just did it right there.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3014. Re: Mark threatens "consequences" --- should I be afraid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 21:34:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jP3jf.2569$Hk1.1765@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125578

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote

> stop aidning Stevie or face to consequences of your acts

First, I'm not "aidning" anyone, except to answer questions. (In this case, questions from Frank.)

Second, you are incapable of any "consequence" that would be of any concern to me, so save your promises like "if I
assult you it would be to kill you outright" for someone who takes them seriously. But first consult your lawyer.

73, de Hans, K0HB

# 3015. Re: Swastika Xmas Bulbs Selling Fast!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 21:45:16 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <KZ3jf.6554$7p7.4413@fe06.lga>
Source record: 125579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<bradvk2qq@w6ir.com> wrote in message
news:1133258014.536830.96710@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> No one seems to have noticed that they aren't swastikas as they face
> the wrong direction, therefore having a totally different meaning ie
> peace and goodwill. Hitler reversed the direction to mean "away from
> God".
>
> BG
>

Yes but does it really matter what direction they face? That is unless your
some hypersensitive nut that tries to find some secret meaning in
everything. The reason hate and racism exist is because we keep feeding it
just like this idiot that thought some evil Xmas ornament maker was trying
to sneak in some Nazi symbolism into our sacred capitalists holiday. LOL!!
How dare they!!!!!!!!!!!!!! GEEZZZZZZZ

BH

# 3016. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 21:49:56 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051129164956.850$u1@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125581
Cross-posted to:
alt.dogie.is.an.idiot,alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

"CWB" <cboone@nospam.earthlink.net> wrote:
> Is this Jay Maynard, K5Zinc Commode???
>
No, it's dogie adair.

>
>
> "Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1132687944.948694.204390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
> > I'M TIRED OF MY GOOD NAME BEING PUT INTO ALL THE FAGGOT SHIT!
> >
> > I AM NOT A FAGGOT!!!
> >
> > I AM SHARKIE, THE TOUGHEST RADIO DUDE THERE IS!!
> >
> > ANY OF YOU QUEERS WHO KEEP CALLING ME A FAG ARE ASKING FOR IT. KEEP IT
> > UP ASSHOLES,JUST KEEP IT UP!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >

# 3017. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 21:50:10 UTC
From: Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <n0ipo11hov237h9ke4bs7qmtrl3799gobu@4ax.com>
Source record: 125580
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 18:26:15 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 08:50:38 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
>wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:23:39 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>
>>[snip]
>>>>
>>>>You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
>>>>chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?
>>>>
>>>Well then get DiSH.
>>
>>You must have missed the part where I said, "unlikely chance that I
>>will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV."
>>
>>
>W were talking about DTV, but the only TV worth watching is movies and
>The Weather Channel. If TV is not important to you, quit whining
>about the mountains.

I'm not "whining" about the mountains, I'm pointing out that a bunch
of dumbshit politicians with dollar signs in their eyes made some
stupid decisions (pardon the redundancies) and are trying to foist
upon the public a technology that is both unnecessary and unworkable
for a large number of people.

When my government takes something away from me for no good purpose,
then I'm going to complain about it.

It's also curious that when I asked the chief engineer of a local VHF
TV station, who is a personal friend, why they didn't put a UHF
translator out my way he replied that they have wanted to for years
but the FCC would not grant a license. That spectrum is too valuable,
doncha know. So public interest isn't important, revenue is.

And I sure don't to pay big bucks to know what the weather is, when I
can walk outside and get an accurate picture, rather that some
wild-ass guess.

## 3018. The felon dogie shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 21:55:08 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051129165508.465$pg_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

> N8WWM@arrl.net wrote:

> Check out my fugly felon shack.

> http://n8wwm.4t.com

> Check out me and my swamp donkey felon of an old lady, crisco kathy lee
> too. She can get you a real deal discount on your tax return. (may be a
> bit illegal but who really cares?)

> http://tinyurl.com/9xqms

# 3019. Re: N8WWM's Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 21:58:48 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051129165848.381$TO_-_@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.politics,alt.dogie.is.an.idiot

"moparholic at hotmail dot com is a sissy" <keyklownzrnutjobs@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> Just like mopeythetic's mouth
>
These cross-posted gay lames with my email addy attached, are coming to you
courtesy of the fugly felon, N8WWM.

http://n8wwm.4t.com

# 3020. Re: why is Markie making assinine accusations of Stevie being afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 22:10:42 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133302242.311021.72730@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125584

>oh yes!
>Toiddie's "reputation" is well known around Taylorville, alright!
> That's why the local ISP canned him, he can't get a job, and lives
>in the basement of mommy and daddy's house

The ONLY REASON they canned me is because of those "FAKE" letters you sent them, including the one with the fake IP ADDRESS. There steve your lying, I live at the very top of the house on the fourth floor. (third if you don't count the basement) As for a "JOB" well first off I'm in college and secondly dip shit I own my own fucking business on the square here in taylorville, you fucking lying piece of shit.

>Uhhhhhh....Part 103 of the Federal Aviation Admisnistration's rules
>and regulations are NOT "soruce of authority", Markie? Toiddie started
>to spout off all the attribures of his "airplane", only to show a Team
>HiMAX ultralight photo. He made the mistake of bragging about illegal
>modifications to his ultralight.
>His words.
>Not mine.

Illegal modifications, well if that's the case then th FAA better go after vast majority of the Ultralight community because the vast majority of them are running "illegal ultralights". That was one of the main reasons the FAA created the sports license and why it only requires 20 hours of flying time and those that have had their hours in as a ultralight pilot is grandfathered into the sport license.

> and claims you are a pedophile...(SNIP)
> He is.

No steve my web site is an Anime site or for you fucking morons out there that short for ANIMATION, anime orginate from Japan, that's what i'm promoting and Not Pedophile. The Japanese Cartoons that childern see on TV are NOT what childern see in japan. The anime has been watered down but some stuff still gets through and you have to be a real anime fan to spot that stuff, like when the the characters pull down their eye lid and stick out their tongue that means fuck you. (watch some old episodes of pokemon and you see them do it). Another thing is when a guy is around a girl and is bushing and gets a bloody nose that means he thinking of perverted thoughts, I could tell you a lot more. and the language is toned down alot in japan the characters in anime's are known to say pissed, shit, and fuck once and a while. As for the board and the material on it, according to US Supreme court is legal and is protected under the first amendment. SEE http://straylight.law.cornell.edu/supct/html/00-795.ZS.html

>http://12.156.123.39/amateur/index.php Dimension Unbound BBS
> > http://12.156.123.39/cpg/index.php Demented Otaku BBS Gallery
> > http://12.156.123.39/azbb/azbb.php Demented Otaku BBS
> > http://n9oglvoice.blogspot.com/ The Voice of Amateur Radio
> > http://n9ogl.proboards50.com/index.htm N9OGL BBS
> > http://12.156.123.39/blablite22/index.php Demented Otaku Chatline
>Uhhh...BTW, Markie, there's Toiddie's own "proof" of his
>predisposition to pedophilia.
Not true Steve, The first one, Dimension Unbound is a series I wrote back in the 1980's and is a BBS to allow people to get information on the series and create their own "Fanfiction" The second one is the Demented Otaku BBS gallery, and yes there is adult material on it but ot is restricted to people over the age of 18. Even if you join the gallery you still don't hve access to the hentai until you can prove your over the age of 18. The third is the Demented Otaku BBS, there is no pictures on that board any more all have been removed to the gallery for two reasons the first Access control and the second (which was the important one) it was eaiser to upload photos, unlike the other one where really only I was anble to upload photo's. this way anyone can upload photo's
The next one of course is the the Voice of amateur radio and well, there is No pictures on there, just bitches and complaints about the ARRL and their little bitches the Fascist Communiation Commission. The next one is The N9OGL BBS which is all ham radio stuff, no pictures really there, I believe there is a picture of the zoo I made in sims2 but that's about it. The last one is the Demented Otaku Chatline, no pictures there either, that's a live chat board like IRC and is very sledom used. But hey steve keep your lying we all need a good laugh from a whinny little worm like you.

Todd N9OGL

# 3021. Re: why is Markie making assinine accusations of Stevie being afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 22:28:10 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133303290.319030.262230@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125585

One more point I forgot there in the gallery there is more NO
POROGRAPHIC MATERIAL then there is porograhic material.

Todd Daugherty N9OGL

# 3022. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 22:33:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <gjlpo1t5c6nnihg4hb5on88t6hje43o7ld@4ax.com>
Source record: 125588

On 29 Nov 2005 14:53:19 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:1133217765.019100.251910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
>>
>> (SNIP)
>>
>> >> > Having seen some of the handwritten "comments" sent in on
>> >> > the 2,272 filings in WT Docket 98-143 and ALL of the 3,795
>> >> > filings in WT Docket 05-235, some are a hilarious barrel
>> >> > of laffs! :-)
>> >> >
>> >> > [ chuckle, chuckle ]
>> >>
>> >> So you really don't know what you're talking about when
>> >> you talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.
>> >
>> > he can make the same assumetion you can
>> >>
>> >> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after the
>> >> > twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest being
>> >> > made on 5 August 2005! :-)
>> >>
>> >> Why does that matter?
>> >
>> > becuase it isn't suposed to happen at least if it does they are all
>> > suposed to have been mailed before the deadline
>> >
>> > why does it seem you don't care about the
>> > rule of of law when it suits you
>
>There's no violation of law if someone sends in a late comment.

sure is
>
>Missing the deadline simply means FCC isn't required to consider
>the comment.

it means the FCC SHOULD not and I think is legaly barred form
consdiering it
cutting the OT lecture

>> (SNIP)
>>
>> >> FCC received over 6000 comments on the "incentive licensing" proposals,
>> >> Len. Without the internet. That's a fact.
>> >
>> > indeed shwoing what a disaster the idea was
>
>Not at all. The comments were split about even, for and against.

yes totaly

which way the coment ran shows very little and was another OT coment
>> >
>> > how the ARRL tired to kill the ars
>>
>Shows how little you know of the subject.
>
cuting your lecture

the ARRL wanted to restrict the number that is recorded from many
sources

that the result was ripping the guts out of the ARS by killing the HS
clubs and making it rough to start one merely shows how out of touch
the ARRl was even then

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3023. Re: stevie conitue is his cowardly attacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 22:35:09 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <0qlpo11hggtovb4rr5f9m1ffdojq33hrl6@4ax.com>
Source record: 125589

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 23:25:01 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>an old friend wrote:
>
>> more lies for cowards
>> cuting stevie playing speling cop
>
>I doubt that Steve is any more or less cute after your work.

never said anything about stevie being cute ever

he isn't despite his claims I lust after his body
>
>> iundeed a whole new thread just to attack my spelling while he conitues
>> to avoid the issue like the coward he is
>
>"Iundeed"! Please "conitue" your butchery of the "lang".

I don't need your presmission to post but it is nice of your to admit
I have the right
>
>Who here has begun more recent threads than you?

no one and it will go one till Steve answeris than question
>
>Dave K8MN

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3024. more stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 22:47:35 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <avlpo1tvjrmnjoe5a3qtjrk676r4n2ma3p@4ax.com>
Source record: 125592

On 29 Nov 2005 13:25:34 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> K4YZ wrote:
>
>> > > > > stop making threats and giving order bully
>> > > cut
>> > > > In the last 3 days you've "published" over 70 "demands" (eg:
>> > > > 'giving order') to me in as many threads.
>> > >
>> > > I will acept your count
>> >
>> > You have no choice. Anyone who can make a scratch with a pencil
>> > knows it's true.
>>
>> more lies
>
> Why do you say that?

becuase you are lying
You stated I have no choice that statment was and remians a lie

Indeed I think your count was low even then
>
> ESPECIALLY when you KNOW that it's archived and anyone can see your
>silliness?

your silliness
you insisting I have to accept anything you say is silly
and a lie
>
>> > > but your point is?
>> >
>> > The POINT is that you accuse others of being bullies and "giving
>> > orders", yet there YOU are, 70+ such demands in only three days.
>>
>> Stevie ifyouwan to call it making demands so be it
>
> "if" "you" "want"
>
>> I don't mind
>
> I know...You NEVER mind demonstrating that you have a double
>standard that you don't mind violating.

not at all

I have never said you can't start as manythread as you want

I have asked why you start so many? which is not the same as saying
you can't do it

>
>> the count my well rise to a seeral hunderd
>
> "several" "hundred"
>
> No doubt it will, since it seems you have nothing better to do
>than create "attack threads" of your own.

currently right I have some time on my hands

>
>> > > cut
>> > > > > > > > > I don't have to.
>> > > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > > so why do you lie about me?
>> > > > > > >
>> > > > > > > I don't.
>> > > > > >
>> > > > > another lie
>> > > > >
>> > > > Nope.
>> > > >
>> > > > I don't have to lie about you, Markie!
>> > >
>> > > why do you lie then?
>> >
>> > I don't.
>>
>> you do lie
>
> Nope.

yes you do

your stament for example that you can get an STD from me is lie
>
>> > YOUR posts prove it.
>>
>> no they don't
>
> Sure they do.

they prove you are the liar

>
>> cut a rep
cuting somemore

>> > > > >>>WHAT<<< in the original post here indicates
>> > > > otherwise...?!?!??!?!?!?!?!?!?!?!???!
>> > >
>> > > the sugestion you can recieve an STD from me in Michigan while while
>> > > you are in TENN
>> >
>> > I see you "cut" the attributes, Markie!
>>
>> more lies
>
> Nope.
>
> Again, anyone can backscroll and see.

they will see what you typed which was your effort to lie
cut

>> right thre below
>>
>> Markie, the ONLY thing I'd ever "fear" from you is being assaulted
>> and given an STD.
>>
>> it clearly shows you don't understand how STD are transmitted
>
> No, it does not.

yes it does

>
>> > I stated, FACTUALLY, that my ONLY concern from YOU would to be
>> > assaulted by you and therein obtain an STD.
>>
>> but you are stating alie
>
> No, I am not.

yes you are lying

you are in no dnager of contracting an STD from me even if we have sex

therfore your statement is a lie
>
> I am "stating" that my only concern with you would be the STD I'd
>undoubtedly acquire after being assaulted by you.

meaning you are lying again

you can't get an STD from me even if we have sex

that you claim otherwise proves you don't know how STD's are
transmitted

>
>> sine it is imposible for me to give you an STD

>
> No, it's not impossible.

yes it is

>
>> I would have to have one first
>
> You're a walking STD, Markie.

no I am not

another lie

>
>> one MUST be infected by or other carry a Diase in order to spread it
>
> What's a "Diase" that someone has to carry, Markie?
>
> Kinda like an Yves St Laurent or Gucci purse?

more gay bashing
>
>> > STD's are NOT "only" transmitted by mutually agreed-upon contact,
>> > Markie!
>>
>> never said otherwise
>
> Sure you di.

never did
>
>> and you are showing your paranoia
>
> Nope.

yes you are paranoia and homophobia

>
> YOU said I was "afard" of you.
>
> I've made it clear that that is impossible.

you have only reinforced the claim

you are so afraid of me that you claim you can get an STD that does
not exist
cut
>> > Sure you are.
>>
>> nope a lie on your part
>
> Nope. Unless, of course, YOU were lying about having sex with
>men...?!?!

nope
>
>> > You have admitted, even bragged, about having sex with men in this
>> > USENET forum.
>>
>> which does not make me gay or homosexual
>
> Sure it does.

no it does not

learn english

>
>> > The only way to be MORE open would be to buy airtime on CNN.
>> >
>> > > I am not a devaiant let alone an
>> > > admited one that is at least 2 lies
>> >
>> > Sure you are.
>> >
>> > Refer to the "seeking fem dom" post.
>>
>> nothing devaint there
>
> Sure there is.

no there is not

>
>> > So....
>> >
>> > Two claims of "lies" proven to be themselves mistruthful.
>>
>> both claims you lied are facts
>
> Huh?

you lied twice it is fact

>
>> > > > You ADMIT that a man that would WANT to have sex with you is
>> > > > really sick!
>> > >
>> > > more lies I said nothing of the sort
>> >
>> > Sure you did.
>>
>> No I did not
>
> SURE you did!

why do you lie all the time?

>
>> > Scroll back through the posts, Markie.
>>
>> it isn't there
>
> Sure it is!

where?

>
>> > > I said you wnating to have sex with me and not being able to admit is
>> > > sick
>> >
>> > What would be sick is WANTING to have sex with you...but then here
>> > again we have YOU propetuating yet another "debate" about your deviant
>> > lifestyle...
>>
>> more of your gay bashing something you claim you never do
>
> No, it's not...If ANYTHING it's an expression of pity for men who
>ARE gay to have to be comingled with a dishonest, mistruthful twit like
>you.

no another stevie lie you are gaybashing

>
>> piling on the lie you sack of sick
>
> "...sack of sick..."

prefectly valid phrase stevie and no profanities

>
>BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> You can't even get your profanities out straight today, Markie!

so stevie lies agains
cut
>
>> > > > (Gee, catching Markie in his written faux pas' is fun!)
>> > >
>> > > watching the stupidity of Stevie lies is depressing
>> >
>> > What lies?
>>
>> the dozen or so in this exchange alone
>
> Uhhhhhhhhhhhh...WHAT is a lie?

that I have an STD

that is lie

therefore you are lying

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3025. hans continues his support of the NAZI Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 22:50:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ipmpo1hns8a88ioq9aco1ck3f81no060sq@4ax.com>
Source record: 125594

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > Kï¿½HB wrote:
> >> "Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
> >>> www.icehouse.net/dd214ex.jpg
> >>>
> >> My browser returns a "404 page not found" error for that URL.
> >>
> >>> how can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years
> >>> (17 years 9 months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable'
> >>> discharge -AND- get retirement status?
> >> My presumption (and it's only a guess) is that he was placed on the TDRL, which
> >> can "retire" medically disabled personnel with as few as eight years of active
> >> service. It's not a medical discharge, it's a medical retirement. There is no
> >> discharge which carries the name "Medical".
> >
> > why do you continue to support and defend someon that can do nothing
> > but attack people based on improper attack
> >
> > let him defend his own record your support legitzes his attack and his
> > clear cowardly and dishonable nature
> >> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Well, Mark, it appears that you're losing control of yourself.

why do you say that Dave?
>
> Dave K8MN

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3026. Re: An English Teacher

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 22:53:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133304799.855696.157360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125586

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:1133217765.019100.251910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
>
> (SNIP)
>
> >> > Having seen some of the handwritten "comments" sent in on
> >> > the 2,272 filings in WT Docket 98-143 and ALL of the 3,795
> >> > filings in WT Docket 05-235, some are a hilarious barrel
> >> > of laffs! :-)
> >> >
> >> > [ chuckle, chuckle ]
> >>
> >> So you really don't know what you're talking about when
> >> you talk about FCC "chuckling" over some comments.
> >
> > he can make the same assumetion you can
> >>
> >> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after the
> >> > twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest being
> >> > made on 5 August 2005! :-)
> >>
> >> Why does that matter?
> >
> > becuase it isn't suposed to happen at least if it does they are all
> > suposed to have been mailed before the deadline
> >
> > why does it seem you don't care about the
> > rule of of law when it suits you

There's no violation of law if someone sends in a late comment.

Missing the deadline simply means FCC isn't required to consider
the comment.

Analogy: When a government agency puts out a project proposal
and requests bids, there's always a deadline. Submitting a bid
after the deadline isn't a violation of any law, but the bid will
rarely
if ever be considered. (An exception might be made if there were
no bids or no responsive bids before the deadline).

Ham Radio analogy: Contests usually have deadlines for log
submissions. Sending in a late submission doesn't break the
contest rules, but a late log submission probably won't make
the writeup or qualify for any awards.
>
> (SNIP)
>
> >> FCC received over 6000 comments on the "incentive licensing" proposals,
> >> Len. Without the internet. That's a fact.
> >
> > indeed shwoing what a disaster the idea was

Not at all. The comments were split about even, for and against.
> >
> > how the ARRL tired to kill the ars
>
Shows how little you know of the subject.

The original 1963 ARRL proposal to FCC was simple: Return to the
pre-1953
rule that required a ham to have an Advanced or Extra to work 'phone on
the
ham bands between 2 and 25 MHz. Reopen the Advanced to new issues. No
other changes.

Existing Advanceds and Extras would have lost nothing. Existing
Generals and
Conditionals needed only to pass a written test to get the Advanced and
they'd
have full privileges again. Simple and effective.

That proposal generated other proposals - at least 10 of them got RM
numbers.
The complexity of incentive licensing comes from those other proposals.

> THE only reason comment volume ( >6000 ) on incentive
> licensing was so high is because every General and every
> Advanced was going to LOSE privileges.

That's not exactly the case, Bill, as seen above, but it's of
little consequence to your point. Regardless of which version
or proposal we look at, large numbers of hams stood to either
lose privileges or have the upgrade requirements for them raised.

> Human nature
> is such that when threatened with a lose, people speak up...
> but if the changes don't truly alter their current status then
> most don't care and say nothing.

Agreed - particularly when so many considered their license
privileges to be theirs for life.

But that was all beside my point.

What I was and am pointing out is that even back in 1964,
when there was no internet, no email, no ECFS and the
US ham population was less than 40% of what it is now,
FCC received 6000+ comments on a major ham radio
rules change proposal. Alternative proposals, too - without
word processors, websites, newsgroups or online organizations.

IOW, Len's contention that the internet has somehow changed
the ability of amateurs to contact FCC is not true. All ECFS
has really done is make it faster and more convenient. But
even in the bad old days thousands of hams were commenting
directly to FCC.
>
> (SNIP)
>
> >> > Based on my "first job in radio" I already knew that morse
> >> > code was a dead end in radio in 1964, 41 years ago.
> >>
> >> Well, you were wrong, Len.
> >> Because Morse Code is still alive and
> >> well in radio today.

For the price of a little study and a few tests, Len could have gotten
his ham license 41 years ago. But he wasn't willing to expend the
time or effort, and so he's missed out on 41 years of fun in amateur
radio. No wonder he's so bitter....

> It has a following in amateur radio, but that's
> like saying that archery is not dead as a weapon
> of choice because a group of people like and do it.

Yep - the archery analogy is a good one. Archery isn't
"dying" or a "dead end" just because guns exist. In fact,
IIRC, here in PA the use of archery for deer hunting is
on the rise.

> >> > Why bother pursuing a dying technique back then?
> >>
> >> Morse Code wasn't "dying" back then and it isn't "dying" now - in
> >> amateur radio, anyway.
> >
> > not what I hear

Gee, Mark, do you ever actually listen to the HF amateur bands?
Particularly the parts where amateurs commonly use Morse Code?
>
> Maybe not dying, but taking a smaller role as each year moves
> forward.

As there are more modes added, all of the existing ones are
used a little less on a percentage basis.

Back in 1964, a ham on HF had the following mode choices:

Morse Code/CW
SSB voice
AM voice
NFM voice (very rare)
60 wpm Baudot-encoded RTTY (no other variety allowed)
Fax (very rare)
SSTV (very rare)

And that was about it.

All those still exist today, plus a long list of newer modes
like PSK31, PACTOR, etc.

> Are there absolute proofs that is so? No, but reality
> on the ham bands seems to me to indicate so. Your mileage
> may vary :-)

which is a very different thing from a "dying technique".

73 de Jim, N2EY

# 3027. Re: Mark threatens "consequences" --- should I be afraid?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 22:54:09 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <2smpo19tpdarinug7tf1dva3dcd9813ri8@4ax.com>
Source record: 125595

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 21:34:07 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>
>"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>
>> stop aidning Stevie or face to consequences of your acts
>
>First, I'm not "aidning" anyone, except to answer questions. (In this case,
>questions from Frank.)
>
>Second, you are incapable of any "consequence" that would be of any concern to
>me, so save your promises like "if I
>assult you it would be to kill you outright" for someone who takes them
>seriously. But first consult your lawyer.

I have

be afraid if you like that is your discion as it is has been your
decision to support and aid SDtevie in the spreading of his hate

your choice you will suffer what ever befalls you

no one has suggest that any of these consequenes is anything more than
being label what you are a supporter of Stevie neoNazi attack

if the lbale does not bother then I would suggest you not worry about
it

but DO suit yourself
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3028. Re: stevie conitue is his cowardly attacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 23:25:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hr5jf.2603$Hk1.763@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125587

an old friend wrote:

> more lies for cowards
> cuting stevie playing speling cop

I doubt that Steve is any more or less cute after your work.

> iundeed a whole new thread just to attack my spelling while he conitues
> to avoid the issue like the coward he is

"Iundeed"! Please "conitue" your butchery of the "lang".

Who here has begun more recent threads than you?

Dave K8MN

# 3029. Re: hans continues his support of the NAZI Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 23:42:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pH5jf.2608$Hk1.1014@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125590

an_old_friend wrote:
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
>>> www.icehouse.net/dd214ex.jpg
>>>
>> My browser returns a "404 page not found" error for that URL.
>>
>>> how can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years
>>> (17 years 9 months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable'
>>> discharge -AND- get retirement status?
>> My presumption (and it's only a guess) is that he was placed on the TDRL, which
>> can "retire" medically disabled personnel with as few as eight years of active
>> service. It's not a medical discharge, it's a medical retirement. There is no
>> discharge which carries the name "Medical".
>
> why do you continue to support and defend someon that can do nothing
> but attack people based on improper attack
>
> let him defend his own record your support legitzes his attack and his
> clear cowardly and dishonable nature
>> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Well, Mark, it appears that you're losing control of yourself.

Dave K8MN

# 3030. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 23:44:16 UTC
From: David <rickets@knac.com>
Message-ID: <happo1tsa0jm8vs7vkv2g987ui4ms2vhl6@4ax.com>
Source record: 125591
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 14:50:10 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
wrote:

>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 18:26:15 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 08:50:38 -0700, Wes Stewart <n7ws*@*yahoo.com>
>>wrote:
>>
>>>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:23:39 GMT, David <rickets@knac.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>[snip]
>>>>>
>>>>>You want to run 15 miles of cable out to my place on the unlikely
>>>>>chance that I will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV?
>>>>>
>>>>Well then get DiSH.
>>>
>>>You must have missed the part where I said, "unlikely chance that I
>>>will pay $40-60 per month just to watch TV."
>>>
>>>
>>W were talking about DTV, but the only TV worth watching is movies and
>>The Weather Channel. If TV is not important to you, quit whining
>>about the mountains.
>
>I'm not "whining" about the mountains, I'm pointing out that a bunch
>of dumbshit politicians with dollar signs in their eyes made some
>stupid decisions (pardon the redundancies) and are trying to foist
>upon the public a technology that is both unnecessary and unworkable
>for a large number of people.
>
>When my government takes something away from me for no good purpose,
>then I'm going to complain about it.
>
>It's also curious that when I asked the chief engineer of a local VHF
>TV station, who is a personal friend, why they didn't put a UHF
>translator out my way he replied that they have wanted to for years
>but the FCC would not grant a license. That spectrum is too valuable,
>doncha know. So public interest isn't important, revenue is.
>
>And I sure don't to pay big bucks to know what the weather is, when I
>can walk outside and get an accurate picture, rather that some
>wild-ass guess.

>
Translators are granted on a ''Secondary'' status meaning that if a
higher priority use is sought for the channel the secondary user must
vacate. Otherwise they chug on for years.

## 3031. hans conitues his defense of the NeoNazi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 23:54:49 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133308489.789022.21900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125593

Mark threatens "consequences" --- should I be afraid?

I can't answer that
KØHB wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote
>
> > stop aidning Stevie or face to consequences of your acts
>
> First, I'm not "aidning" anyone, except to answer questions. (In this case,
> questions from Frank.)

yes you are
>
> Second, you are incapable of any "consequence" that would be of any concern to
> me, so save your promises like "if I
> assult you it would be to kill you outright" for someone who takes them
> seriously. But first consult your lawyer.

before stating that all action have consquences?

I don't think so
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

## 3032. Dave decide to support Stevie in his gay bashing quest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 23:55:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fhqpo19161dphh2l48h5nusj8an1mguu3h@4ax.com>
Source record: 125659

why does Dave insist on being so uncivilized and on telling lies?

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3033. stevie contiues his sexual obeseion across the newsgruop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-29 23:56:13 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <uiqpo1la326v6nbadcfh3bebfe1trti1u4@4ax.com>
Source record: 125660

Why does Stevie choose to contiue his effort to avpoid answering the
question put to him in an honest manner

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3034. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:00:37 UTC
From: Axle Jack <axlejack@REMOVE-TO-REPLYbigpond.com>
Message-ID: <FY5jf.7019$ea6.2832@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 125596
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

> How about everyone treating each other with respect, and ignoring the
clowns
> that don't?

I'm afraid that is oversimplifying things a bit to much for Walt.

Walt cannot see the difference between a newly licensed amateur, a CB user, a pirate operator or a person who deliberately jams legitimate stations. To Walt all these people are "CBers". Any rational debate on the subject of any of these groups will only build on his "riff raff CBers" philosophy.

Amateur radio is no place for bigotry.

Jack

## 3035. stevie continues his cowardly avoidance of the issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:08:33 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <3arpo19g8g90e7cjrldknui80afr9pr50s@4ax.com>
Source record: 125661

when will Stevie decide to answer the question of why he mujst make an
issue of everyone sexuality
I wonder how the sig wil go over
everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

---
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3036. Re: When will steve and Dave face the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:19:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Pe6jf.2126$YT3.19@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125597

an_old_friend wrote:
> dave has faced facts when you you stevie

> an old friend wrote:
>> Stevie and dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality
>> have to have do with radio?
>>
>> the answer is nothing which is steve (and Dave) are afraid to answer it

This makes two of your "When will steve and Dave face the truth" rants today, Colonel. You are still running my name in your crazy quest to have Steve discuss your sexuality.

After ascertaining that you were also directing the question to me, I gave you an answer. I'll give you a very similar response today: It really makes no difference whether you have an amateur radio license or not, Colonel. You'd still be a notorious liar and a shrill, illiterate, bisexual pervert. No radio amateur would have likely known about your sexual preferences had it not been for your misguided personals posting. That was about as revealing as could be.

Nothing compels me to hide my disgust at your sexual perversity, which goes much farther than just bisexuality. Nothing forces me to accept you as a moral being. I'm not forced to like you or to pretend that I believe that nothing is completely right or completely wrong.

You've lied about any number of things since your appearance in r.r.a.p, yet you are the first to call someone (many someones) a liar.

You've written about morality but you don't seem to know what it means.

You've whined about your "rights" but you seemingly accept no responsibilities for your actions or your inactions.

Of late, you are fouling this newsgroup as much as any of the visiting sociopaths.

You've had your question answered. I didn't really expect that you'd care for the answer.

It is obvious that your recent run of posts concerning your sexuality is a cry for attention. Well, you've certainly gotten it. You have the stage and the spotlight. Do you have anything coherent to say while you're in the limelight?

Dave K8MN

# 3037. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:20:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Hf6jf.2127$YT3.1002@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an_old_friend wrote:
> why does do advocate elder abuse

I don't know. Why does do?

Dave K8MN

# 3038. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:23:01 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133310181.531629.247760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125599
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > why does do advocate elder abuse
>
> I don't know.

hy did you post if you don't know anything about the subject?

# 3039. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:24:05 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051129192405.090$us@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > why does do advocate elder abuse
>
> I don't know. Why does do?
>
> Dave K8MN
>
Chasin' down a hoo doo dey. ($1 CCR)

# 3040. Re: stevie lies somemore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:29:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <ln6jf.2128$YT3.690@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125651

an_old_friend wrote:
> K4YZ wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:

> stevie you just told 3 lies in one sentence

>> Twit!
>
> dishonabed liar sack of shit

Dishonabed?

> between the 2 Id rather be a twit that than you

Well, it looks as if you've had your wish granted, Mark.

Dave K8MN

# 3041. Re: Rotten Egg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:29:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <5ispo1hr4380r9armlqmnninq6pnbr1p6v@4ax.com>
Source record: 125663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 01:20:54 GMT, "Grï¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½" <Grï¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> wrote:

>The next person on usenet to reply to any post from Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a
>rotten egg.

such a Childish game

let me see is it Stevie od Dave
>
>No exceptions, no excuses.
>
>Respectfully,
>Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3042. Re: Why Does Mark Morgan Have Multiple Personality Disorder? Why Can't One Of His "Personalities" Be An Educated Wise Man?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:33:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <inspo1pi9c482dkmg32l6gae9pbmedrr78@4ax.com>
Source record: 125664

On 20 Oct 2005 02:56:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>more_stupid_than_an_old_friend wrote:

stevi lie
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > an_old_friend_lied_about_just_about_everything wrote:
stevi lie
>> > > starting out with
>> > > "And this is different from any other law...HOW?"
>> > >
>> > > he follows up wit
>> >
>> > "with"
>> >
>> > > >k4yz
>> > > >> nobodys old friend
>> > > >> and as amateur they are subject to modifcation by us which proves they
>> > > >> are not laws
>> > > >
>> > > > Sure they are.
>> > >
>> > > how long will stevie try to maintian this stupid lie
>> >
>> > "maintain"
>> >
>> > It's not a lie.
>>
>> it is a lie
>
> Nope.
stevi lie
>
> And no matter how many times your "cut" or repeat THIS lie, will
>it be true, Markie.
stevi lie

>
>> > > why can't he just fees up
>>
>> cuting Stevie evasion
>
> "cutting", Markie...

>
> two "t's"
>
> Like the REST of the English speaking world.
>
>> > Sheeesh.
>> >
>> > > but then after about 90 he has not admited even to himself that he
>> > > lacks any evidence that I should be commited dispite claiming to sent
>> > > such to the state of michigan
>> >
>> > "committed" "Michigan"
>> >
>> > Dip and doddle
>> >
>> > dance and swerve
>> >
>> > Markie will get
>> >
>> > What he deserves
>> >
>> > Not today,
>> >
>> > nor even the morrow
>> >
>> > But it WILL happen,
>> >
>> > To his great sorrow.
stevi lie
>>
>> still holding onto that lie
>
> What lie?
stevi lie
>
>> of course Stevie is still lying about not making death threats after 7
>> years
>
> I didn't lie and I didn't threaten your life.
stevi lie
stevi lie
>
> And repeating THAT over and over does not make it true, Markie.
stevi lie
>
>Steve, K4YZ
7 lies one post
everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

---

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3043. Dave goes on the lie-path

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:34:03 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133310843.695011.274460@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125652

Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > dave has faced facts when you you stevie
>
> > an old friend wrote:
> >> Stevie and dave is afrad to answer the question what does sexuality
> >> have to have do with radio?
> >>
> >> the answer is nothing which is steve (and Dave) are afraid to answer it
>
> This makes two of your "When will steve and Dave face the truth" rants
> today, Colonel. You are still running my name in your crazy quest to
> have Steve discuss your sexuality.

so?

is there a limit on posting?
>
> After ascertaining that you were also directing the question to me, I
> gave you an answer.
yes you did I adknowleded that fact

> I'll give you a very similar response today: It
> really makes no difference whether you have an amateur radio license or
> not, Colonel. You'd still be a notorious liar and a shrill, illiterate,
> bisexual pervert.

why do you always focus on ME why is ok for stevie to Call tood and
brain homosexual

> No radio amateur would have likely known about your
> sexual preferences had it not been for your misguided personals posting.

now you are back to plain lying
they became an issue because stevie decided to make make it an issue

stveie posted the headline
WARNING! GAY PAGAN DRAFTEE IDIOT BACK IN TOWN! KB9RQZ and ...

http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/415ffd26aa859187/7c0ec0b7cd835135?lnk=st&q=gay&rnum=2#7c0ec0b7cd835135

nothing int here afrom a personals gruop

> That was about as revealing as could be.

>
> Nothing compels me to hide my disgust at your sexual perversity, which

> goes much farther than just bisexuality.

no one I know of has said you do

I am just trying to find out why Stevie thinks everybodies sexaul
orietaion is relavant to radio
> Nothing forces me to accept
> you as a moral being.

no one I know of has said you do

I am just trying to find out why Stevie thinks everybodies sexaul
orietaion is relavant to radio
> I'm not forced to like you or to pretend that I
> believe that nothing is completely right or completely wrong.

no one I know of has said you do

I am just trying to find out why Stevie thinks everybodies sexaul
orietaion is relavant to radio
>
> You've lied about any number of things since your appearance in r.r.a.p,

as have you

> yet you are the first to call someone (many someones) a liar.

not realy Stevie call anyone that disagrees with him a liar as do you

>
> You've written about morality but you don't seem to know what it means.

I know what it means

you don't even follow the teaching of your own GOD heal your own wound
before you attack others

>
> You've whined about your "rights" but you seemingly accept no
> responsibilities for your actions or your inactions.

where have I done this?

>
> Of late, you are fouling this newsgroup as much as any of the visiting
> sociopaths.

you are entitled to your opinion, mine is that it is you and Stevie
that foul the newsgruop

>
> You've had your question answered. I didn't really expect that you'd
> care for the answer.

you have never answered the question asked

what does anybodies (not mine but ANYBODIES) sexuality (any kind of
sexuality) have to do with radio

the clostes you come is sorta sayin g ti does

but that begs the question why do you (and for that matter Stevie)
choose to make an Issue out of Not merely with me but Todd BB len and
evn on occasion Hans

>
> It is obvious that your recent run of posts concerning your sexuality is
> a cry for attention. Well, you've certainly gotten it. You have the
> stage and the spotlight. Do you have anything coherent to say while
> you're in the limelight?

more of your evasive phycobable
>
> Dave K8MN

# 3044. Re: stevie lies somemore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:35:05 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133310905.151343.61340@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125653

```
Dave Heil wrote:
> an_old_friend wrote:
> > K4YZ wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>
> > stevie you just told 3 lies in one sentence
>
> >> Twit!
> >
> > dishonabed liar sack of shit
>
> Dishonabed?

playing speling cop again

>
> > between the 2 Id rather be a twit that than you
>
> Well, it looks as if you've had your wish granted, Mark.
>
> Dave K8MN
```

# 3045. Re: Reference An Old Friend KB9RQZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:35:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <dsspo1dms546q1ne01iea85andepo8e3jv@4ax.com>
Source record: 125665

On 25 Oct 2005 16:48:14 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kissingboys9ripsquickzipper@yahoo.com wrote:
>> On Tue, 25 Oct 2005 13:21:46 -0400, kb9rqz@yahoo.net wrote:
>>
>> >On Mon, 24 Oct 2005 01:13:51 GMT, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> ><w4nti@mindNOSPAMATALLspring.com> wrote:
>> >
>> >>Has anyone else noticed that the later it gets the more irrational and
>> >>wacked out his posts get?
>> >>
>> >>He is not only a fagot, but a drunk one to boot.
>> >>
>> >>Dan/W4NTI
>> >>
>> >I'm drunk on cum!
>>
>> such an honnor to have people so frightened of me that they forge
>> whole posts as being mine
>
> "honor"
>
> There you go with that "I Am The Center Of The Universe"
>megalomania thing again, Markie! And you accuse ME of this!
stevi lie
>
> No one, repeat NO ONE in this forum is "frightened" of you...
stevi lie
>
> Quite the contrary.
>
> We find you to be quite humorous...You're the deviant-jester of
>the "rec.radio" domain. You're the clueless idiot not watching where
>he's going and the NG is the banana peel.
stevi lie
4 lies this old post
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW

WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

---

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3046. Re: Lennie Reveals True Identity of "Dudly"....And It Is HE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:35:56 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <0uspo1dp61j58n7lv4l3huuiqtaqho478g@4ax.com>
Source record: 125666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 03:33:27 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Frankie of Silliland wrote:
>
> Whole post snipped except for this:
>
>> Hypocrite.
>
> Yes, you are.
stevi lie
>
> A lying, cowardly, deceiving, deceitful one at that.
stevi lie
>
> You swore an oath to uphold and defend the Constitution of the
>United States and to obey the orders of those place above you.
>
> You not only didn't do it, you were court martialed TWICE for it
>in ONE ENLISTMENT!
stevi lie
>
> Then you somehow think that bragging about it absolves you of any
>wrong doing.
stevi lie
>
> Frank Gilliland is a liar and a cheat.
stevi lie
>
>Steve, K4YZ
5 lies this post

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3047. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:36:32 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133310992.591680.64880@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

just trying to kill this hate filled thread

# 3048. Re: Brain has Stevie paid up on the bet he made a few years ago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:46:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <citpo11pfgmnm68ajmg49igijdqnd453mi@4ax.com>
Source record: 125667

On 3 Nov 2005 03:34:51 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 2 Nov 2005 08:48:39 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >Iitoi wrote:
>> >> K4YZ Wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for.....
>> >> >
>> >> > Secondly, if Y O U ........
>> >> >
>> >> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" ...........
>> >> >
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> Did I call that one right, or what!!!!!!!!
>> >
>> > Call what?
>> >
>> > I'm supposed to drop a C-Note in the mail for a wager that was
>> >never accepted or agreed upon...?!?!
>>
>> it was accepted
>
> No, it was not.
.stevi lie
>
>> Silence is assent that is one the priciples of English Common Law
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
>
> No, Markie, "silence" is NOT "assent" under ANY law in the United
>States, English or otherwise!
stevi lie
>
> A wager is a contract, and a contract requires both the advice and
>CONSENT of BOTH parites to be binding...
stevi lie
3 lies here

>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3049. Re: Brain and Markie Struggling Again.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:47:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <aktpo1hb4j50rnaem0909nlerhfehnrmrr@4ax.com>
Source record: 125668

On 3 Nov 2005 03:30:03 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 1 Nov 2005 07:58:19 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>
>> > First of all, no wager was ever "made". In order for a wager to
>> >take place, once person has to make it then the other accept it. Had
>> >Markie been honest (yeah...like THAT'S going to happen....) he'd have
>> >gone on to point out that you never "accepted" the wager...
>>
>> welching again
>
> Nope. Not now, not "again".
stevi lie
>
>> > Secondly, if Y O U were honest (again, about as unlikely as my
>> >Britney fantasies...) Y O U would have admitted that you never
>> >"accepted the wager. You ignored it.
>>
>> now you admit to adultry (in the christain not legal sense Stevie
>> being a married man
>
> WHAT...?!?!
>
> Sheesh! Talk about a stretch!
stevi lie
>
>> > Lastly, even if we HAD made a "wager" it would be a felony for you
>> >to receive the wager via an agent across state lines...Again, further
>> >demonstration of your propensity to violate the law. Not that that
>> >fact surprises anyone.
>>
>> you are the felon for solicting the bet accros State lines Stevie
>
> "soliciting" "accross"
>
> Nope. It's a misdemeanor at best, and since it was not accepted,
>no wager existed, Markie...
stevi lie

>
>Steve, K4YZ
3 lies 6 line

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

---

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3050. Re: "Re: found that post of stevie mocking pagaism (PAGANISM) and Hiram Maxwell (WHO...?!?!) my goodness the post he denies making

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:48:46 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <hmtpo118u14mo4kic5hga2769v62fj1oh0@4ax.com>
Source record: 125669

On 1 Nov 2005 10:21:09 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys old friend tried to make something out of nothing wrote:
stevi lie
>
> Huge snip.
>
> No "mocking" of PAGANISM.
stevi lie
>
> And WHO is "Hiram Maxwell"..?!?! Was he the guy who created
>"Maxwell House" coffee...?!?!
stevi lie
stevi lie
>
> You can't even spell the name of your "religion" correctly...yet
>you expect others to take you seriously about it...?!?!
stevi lie
>
> Sheesh!
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3051. Re: Stevie in personals group? Markie in sane?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:49:40 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <lntpo11i7kk1n0lbp38n029s6qgkgpkb6u@4ax.com>
Source record: 125670

```
On 1 Nov 2005 10:26:03 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 31 Oct 2005 06:44:04 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com wrote:
>> >> > an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> > > ERLPN K4YZ Sep 28 1998, 2:00 am hide options
>> >> > >
>> >> > > Newsgroups: alt.personal.ads
>> >> > > Was looking throught the Google archives for stuff amzing what you find
>> >> > > thought folks might enjoy the laugh
>> >> > >
>> >> > > From: erlpnk...@aol.com (ERLPN K4YZ) - Find messages by this author
>> >> > > Date: 1998/09/28
>> >> > > Subject: Re: WM sex fiend seeks WF seeks same for 20 yr orgy
>> >> > > Reply to Author | Forward | Print | Individual Message | Show original
>> >> > > | Report Abuse
>> >> >
>> >> > Yikes!
>> >> >
>> >> > > Well,
>> >> > >
>> >> > >
>> >> > > I am certainly NOT a WF, but that's got to be the most straight
>> >> > > forward,
>> >> > > no-holds barred personal I ever read!
>> >> > >
>> >> > >
>> >> > > SR
>> >> >
>> >> > Thought Steve was married and all that?
>> >>
>> >> so did I as I said I was looking the google archives searching the best
>> >> evidence to use against him and that post just jumped out at me
>> >
>> > Sorry to break your hearts, guys, but the hacking of that account
>> >was why it was dropped.
>>
>> of course you get to use that excuse
>
> No "excuse", Markie...Fact...
```

stevi lie>
>> But I just thought it was funny and thought the folks here would a
>> laugh
>
> What laugh? At you? Then you succeeded!
>
>> > Markie...if that's the BEST you can do to use something "against"
>> >me, then you're even LAMER than I gave you credit for.
>>
>> it is all you use against me to make some of your charges
>
> You ARE lame, Markie...In spades!
stevi lie
>
>> > However, re-reading your post, I have to ask...
>> >
>> > WHERE in that post did ANYone using my old screen name actually
>> >"participate" in the post other than to ask that question, as stupid as
>> >it was?
>> >
>> > Do you REALLY think that that's some sort of "evidence"...?!?!
>>
>> you think a post from a hacked account is evidence against others
>
>> > Youse guys are grabbing at some really, REALLY thin straws!
>>
>> I was pointing out something funny
>>
>> you are going all paranoid about it
>
> There's no "paranoia" to it, Markie! Theres's YOU trying to find
>ANYthing you can to get the heat off of YOUR stupidity...Ain't workin',
>Buckaroo!
stevi lie
stevi lie

5 lies
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3052. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:52:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CJ6jf.2136$YT3.1811@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>> why does do advocate elder abuse
>> I don't know.
>
> hy did you post if you don't know anything about the subject?

Hy did? When did he get here. Does he know?

You've gotten the attributions so screwed up that it looks as if you're
talking to yourself.

Dave K8MN

# 3053. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:54:53 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133312093.788767.156450@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125656
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>> why does do advocate elder abuse
> >> I don't know.
> >
> > hy did you post if you don't know anything about the subject?
>
> Hy did? When did he get here. Does he know?
>
> You've gotten the attributions so screwed up that it looks as if you're
> talking to yourself.

sorry if if you are too stupid to follow the thread

but why do you post on subject you admit you know nothing about?
>
> Dave K8MN

# 3054. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:56:01 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051129195601.386$VT@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>> why does do advocate elder abuse
> >> I don't know.
> >
> > hy did you post if you don't know anything about the subject?
>
> Hy did? When did he get here. Does he know?
>
Ain't that a Neil Young tune?
```

## 3055. Re: check this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 00:57:15 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133312235.032227.105130@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125658
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf

Axle Jack wrote:
> > How about everyone treating each other with respect, and ignoring the
> clowns
> > that don't?
>
> I'm afraid that is oversimplifying things a bit to much for Walt.
>
> Walt cannot see the difference between a newly licensed amateur, a CB user,
> a pirate operator or a person who deliberately jams legitimate stations. To
> Walt all these people are "CBers". Any rational debate on the subject of any
> of these groups will only build on his "riff raff CBers" philosophy.
>
> Amateur radio is no place for bigotry.
>

a lovely sentiment but far too few memeber of the ARS agree
> Jack

## 3056. Rotten Egg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 01:20:54 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <W77jf.7352$wf.6055@newsread3.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

The next person on usenet to reply to any post from Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a rotten egg.

No exceptions, no excuses.

Respectfully,
Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord, make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

## 3057. stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 01:57:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <um1qo1lhjf5pamfg8mdu08dlnghjmrl7m7@4ax.com>
Source record: 125671

On 20 Oct 2005 02:31:02 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
Asking For It".

>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >Jun 29 1998, 3:00 am
>> >rec.aviation.homebuilt
>> a post from Stevie
>> >> There are worse ways to die, you know..
>> >
>> >
>> >Living death...marriage
>> >Steve Robeson,LPN
>> >Amateur Call K4YZ
>> >Enjoying all facets of the Radio Communications Hobby Since 1962
>> >NCS TN SSB Net 3980Khz@2330 on Thursdays
>>
>> equating Marriage with living death what a hoot
>
> Uh huh.
>
> Me and every stand up comedian in the US, Markie. Better start
>"busting the chops" of David Letterman, Jay Leno, etc etc etc...
>
> Oh...And the Subject line?
>
> Well, it was about as truthful as anything YOU were saying.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3058. more lies from stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 01:57:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6o1qo1lblr8l97qideg0vpu7f5ji8l0v91@4ax.com>
Source record: 125672

On 20 Oct 2005 02:24:28 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

: Mark Morgan Accused Of Another Molestation
>
>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >Oct 26 1998, 4:00 am
>> >Re: Q: CW implies radio knowledge?
>> >Cecil,
>> >
>> >
>> > >>>NCI is an evil exterminator? Exactly what, besides the Morse code test,
>> >is NCI trying to exterminate?<<<
>> >
>> > You and I.
>> >
>> I realy got a hoot out of finding that one
>
> "really"
>
> You have too much time on your hands, Markie.
>
> Maybe that's why people keep accusing you of illegal things?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3059. Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on the net is child abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 01:58:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9p1qo1phkpnuo8ue4g8eq0c51b3m818uej@4ax.com>
Source record: 125673

On 10 Oct 2005 12:33:58 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>nobodys old friend wrote:
stveie some more
>> K4YZ wrote:
>> > Starting from the title:
>> >
>> > Re: an open Invite to those that fell that teling ones kids to lie on
>> > the net is child abuse
>> >
>> > "feel" "telling" "one's"
>> >
>> > You've only now chaged your "parameter" to "on the net".
>>
>> not really I have always said in the porper place, which is mostly on
>> the net
>
> "proper"
>
>> > However lying is lying.
>
> And that remains the same today. Nothing's changed.

yep nothing changes stevie contiues to lie
>
>> > nobodys old friend wrote:
stevie lies some more
>> > > to all those persons believ spouting the bull#### that teaching one
>> > > kids to lie on the net is child abuse I off ther following Numbers
>> >
>> > "believe" "one's" "offer" "the"
>> >
>> > > the Michigan Child abuse Hotline
>> > > 1-800-942-4357
>> > >
>> > > it is my understanding that the above number may only be usable the
>> > > residenet of Michigan
>> >
>> > "residents"
>> >
>> > You understand very little. That sentence had more holes in it
>> > than the Bismark.

>> >
>> > > so I also provide the following for the local office of the state
>> > > agentcy in question
>> > >
>> > > 1-906-353-4700
>> >
>> > "agency"
>> >
>> > > this will connect you with the Family Independence agency for Houghton
>> > > Baraga and Keweenaw Counties
>> > >
>> > > In some states is is a crime to falsely report child abuse, I do not
>> > > know wether it is or is not a crime in Michigan
>> >
>> > "whether" "it is", not "is is"
>> >
>> > To falsely report ANY act agaist children is a crime in all
>> > states, Mark. Including YOUR state of mind.
>>
>> no it is not Stevie In Indiania any report of child abuse can be legaly
>> can be made legaly
>
> "Legally"
>
> Re-read that sentence, you flaming idiot, and then you will
>understand why I laugh at you all the time!
>
> Mark...I said "To FALSELY (my emphasis) report ANY act against
>children is a crime in all states, Mark."
>
>> In Michigan is not a crime to falsely report elder abuse, as I checked
>> on when I had to deal with that false report
>
> Yes, Mark, it is a crime.
>
> You were either too stupid to understand what was being said, or
>you were lied to...
>
>> > > I also know that you can make an anouomous report if you like, as
>> > > someone did some time back claiming I was abusing my father. From that
>> > > incident I know the law requires abuse claims to be investagted within
>> > > 24 hours but that in practical term it sometimes takes a bit longer
>> >
>> > "anonymous"
>> >
>> > So NOW I know why they replied "we cannot comment on on-going
>> > investigations" and "your report will be passed to the officer involved
>> > in this case".
>> >
>> > Note I quote "...in this case".

>>
>> nothing specail they say that always see further comments below
>
> "special"
>
>> > Obviously there was NO case as far as I KNEW..Someone slipped and
>> > let the cat out of the bag and Mark obligingly verified it for me that
>> > I was NOT the FIRST to approach the authorities in Michigan!
>>
>> maybe not
>
> No "maybe" to it, Mark! Obviously you ARE the nutcase I believe
>you to be! Someone else has been there before me!
>
>> for daring to take public stands on issues over the years I have been
>> reported for many things
>
> If you think that the simple act of publically expressing an
>opinion got you to where youa re today, you're even more deluded than I
>surmised.
>
>> if you had asked I would have confirmed it for you
>
> I have asked. You've denied it before. But then you ALSO claimed
>to be a Colonel in the United States Army, too.....
>
>> those taking political stand in this "free" country have for years been
>> the targets of effort
>
> It's got nothing to do with your "political" views.
>
> It's got EVERY thing to do with your being a two-legged bag of
>fruit loops.
>
>> > > So if you think I am abusing some child report, as you should if you
>> > > truly believe that crap, otherwise I ask that you drop it.
>> >
>> > No one said you're "abusing some child report"...Hey, take that
>> > piece of paper out back, tape it to a tree, and plink at it all day
>> > long for all I care! Abuse all the reports you care to!
>> >
>> > > Of course I lack the power to enforce my wishes but I make them known
>> >
>> > You only lack the power to "enforce" your wishes when you do
>> > nothing to make them happen.
>>
>> more bull#### Stevie nothing I can realy do to stop your harrasment, at
>> least not without breaking the law
>
> "really"

>
> What harrassment?
>
> You mean making fun of your silliness and repeatedly proving you
>to be the fool that you are?
>
> THAT is a consequence of YOUR doings, Mark. Nothing I do or say
>here to or about you could happen without your tacit support.
>
>> > You have expressed a "wish" to be an HF-licensed Amateur...The
>> > ONLY thing holding you back is YOU!
>>
>> not at all My publicaly stated estimate says I will achive that goal on
>> or about 1 APR 2006
>
> "publically"
>
> My publically stated estimate says that no matter when or how you
>"upgrade" to HF in the Amateur Radio service, you'll still be a liar
>and a deviant.
>
>> > Mark...you were a pitiful little dweeb of a human BEFORE you made
>> > this "invitation", and now you look all the more idiotic and paranoid
>> > for it. Add that to your fractured, ill-conceived narrative and you
>> > look more and more like you're in need of confined, definitive care.
>>
>> well simply tried of the threat and bull####
>
> "tired"
>
> What threats?
>
> And if you're tired of the BS, stop promulgating it.
>
>> but again if you think I am abusing a child make a report. It will the
>> half dozen or so reports that I know about, let alone the ones that
>> never rose to a level that anyone bothered with
>
> This get's funnier by the minute!
>
>> > They will be much more impressed if you show up voluntarilly and
>> > ask for help, rather than have to drag you off kicking and screaming.
>>
>> show up and say "Stevie says I should report myself for child abuse
>> becuase I think kids should be taught to always lie in public forums on
>> the internet, and in certain other condictions as well"
>
> No.
>
> Show up and admit that you are a pathological liar, that you are a

>predator and a social services parasite.
>
>> being that stupid is about the only thing I could do that might get me
>> comitted
>
> Well, Mark, you ARE that stupid.
>
>> BTW I realy don't care about impressing figures of authority. I would
>> have thought you'd learned that by now
>
> "really"
>
> It's not about impressing anyone, Mark. It's about you seeking
>help.
>
>> > Do it now. Do it for your own good.
>>
>> try it yourself
>
> I don't need it. You do.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3060. Re: ...And Frankie of Silliland Chimes In...Twice Court Martialed Coward And Liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 02:00:38 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <hr1qo1lev79lg31eqltn1flgk8svjbuuc4@4ax.com>
Source record: 125674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 21 Oct 2005 09:21:39 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>Frankie of Silliland, Coward and Breaker of Sworn Military Oaths
>wrote:
>
>> On 21 Oct 2005 06:25:17 -0700,<k4yz@aol.com> wrote in
>> <1129901117.083159.42360@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>:
>>
>> >
>> >Frank "I Got Court Martialed Twice Because I'm A Loser" Silliland
>> >wrote:
>> >
>> >> That doesn't mean much coming from a person who impersonantes a
>> >> retired Marine Gunnery Sergeant.
>> >
>>
>> You are.
>
>Guess you don't MIND being called a liar in a public forum then,
>huh, Frankie...?!?!
always the flamer
>
>> What were those "seven hostile actions" you claimed to have
>> been in?
>
>None of your business, I guess, Frankie.

more of the coward
>
>I don't have to bow to the demands and taunts of liars, cowards
>and disgraced punks who lied to thier country.
>
>Frank Gilliland is all three.

always the flamer
>
>> > No doubt you don't persue that Amateur ticket because those two
>> >courts martials carry federal weight...
>>
>> "pursue" "courts-martial"
>
>Thank-you, but I see you didn't answer me.

always the flamer
>
>> >Sure would hate to see your name
>> >on one of those public actions from the FCC wherein they revert your
>> >application to "pending" status due to "character issues" after they
>> >find oout...And Frankie, they WILL find out.
>>
>> I have no problem telling anyone about my military career, including
>> to the FCC. The courts-martial weren't serious enough to revoke my
>> security clearance, so I doubt the FCC will have any problem with
>> them. But why are they such a thorn in -your- paw?
>
> Because while I was in the Marines, I came to have a significant
>dislike for malingerers and liars.

AS stevie lie? his claim that he was a marine?
>
> You're both.

more flame
>
>> And BTW..... "out"
>
> Thanks again. I'll be more careful.
>
>> > Those of us who Honorably served in the Marines don't have those
>> >concerns.
>>
>> No, we don't, and it's too bad that you can't include yourself in that
>> category.
>
> Sure WE can...YOU can't.
>
>> > Your twice-court-martialed-in-one-enlistment history proves you to
>>
>> Hardly. The first court-martial was the result of a bad decision that
>> I made and I paid for it.
>
> Gee, Frankie...I made some bad decisons too, but no one thought
>them bad enough to court martial me for it...Not even Office Hours...
>
>> And as I explained before, the second was
>> because I stood up to my superiors when they were wrong, an action for
>> which I was vindicated.
>
> In your own mind, perhaps.
>
>> How does that make me "a liar, a cheat and a coward", Dudly?
>
> Who's Dudly?
>
> As to the question in general, I already addressed that.

>
>> Now how did you get your medical discharge upgraded to an honorable
>> with full retirement when you claimed to have served only 18 years?
>
> I listened when they spoke and followed instructions. Pretty
>simple, actually.
>
> Kinda like following the instructions of my superiors, and when I
>thought they were wrong about something, using the proper channels to
>address them...Not taking matters into my own hands or going about it
>as I dang well pleased "because I was right"
>
> Had your BEEN the kind of Marine you now perceive yourself as
>having been YOU'D know THAT.
>
>> > You swore an oath you
>> >wouldn't keep and took the government's money in the process.
>>
>> Actually, they kept -my- money. I signed up on the GJ bonus program
>> and I held up my end of the deal, but they never paid up.
>
> No, you DIDN'T hold up your "end of the deal".
>
> You swore an oath to not only uphold and defend the Constitution of
>the United States, but to obey those placed in authority over you.
>
> YOU didn't do that.
>
> At LEAST twice.
>
>> > There's an "impersonator" here, you lying coward, but it's not me.
>> >
>> > It's you.
>
>> So why, after 18 alleged years as an avionics tech in the USMC, had
>> you never heard of the VINSON system?
>
> Poor try to re-direct and divert attention away from the central
>theme, that being that you're a liar, a cheat and a coward.
>
> Crude, ineffective, pretty lame, actually.
>
> Probably the same tactics you used to get out of being court
>martialed twice.
>
> It didn't work then...it didn't work now.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

---

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3061. yet more of stevie sexual prevertion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 02:05:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <d12qo1ldgenp4n1cg9gbqn85c53ig6q2p4@4ax.com>
Source record: 125676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 26 Oct 2005 04:02:07 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 25 Oct 2005 12:43:21 -0700, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >> On 25 Oct 2005 05:28:37 -0700, whinyslit_lloydie@yahoo.com wrote:
>> >
>> >> >Yeah, you'd probably enjoy getting your anus reamed out by Mr. Worf,
>> >> >too.
>> >>
>> >> wether Id have sex with an alien being is something I have never
>> >> bothered to considir I will consider it on the off chance I met one
>> >
>> > Everytime you get into bed with a human being you're having sex
>> >with a creature other than your own species, Markie...So what's new?
>>
>> another lie Stevie
>
> Oh...Are you NOW claiming that you are bigoted towards Earth
>people and will only sleep with your fellow aliens?

more lies more perversetion and nothing to do with radio at all
>
>> when are you going to stop
>
> Stop what?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3062. still more reminders of stevie the liar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 02:13:14 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <re2qo1dlkgt2mkml52r0b7ns5g492814ov@4ax.com>
Source record: 125677

On 2 Nov 2005 06:00:03 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>N9OGL wrote:
>> Oh just like when you got those emails from me, when in fact they were
>> not from but a hack job and you had me kick off of Consolidated.
>
> Even though the e mails may have been bogus, your other stuff here
>WASN'T...You STILL got what you deserved.
>
right and you likely as not sent the email you used in the first place
>> You
>> even admitted on here that those letters weren't from me....right steve
>> you @@@@ing lying piece of @@@@ I hope you rot in hell you @@@@ing
>> ####ard.
>
> Spoken like the collegeman you aren't, Toiddie.

more steveie being stupid
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3063. stilmore reminders of our resident piece of shit Stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 02:16:32 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <tg2qo191gck2smb3r1c0iafandatk9pf43@4ax.com>
Source record: 125678

On 2 Nov 2005 06:44:05 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amtas.com wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
>> > Oh just like when you got those emails from me, when in fact they were
>> > not from but a hack job and you had me kick off of Consolidated. You
>> > even admitted on here that those letters weren't from me....right steve
>> > you ####ing lying piece of #### I hope you rot in hell you ####ing
>> > ####ard.
>> >
>> > Todd N9OGL
>> > THE N9OGL SHOW
>> > 14.321.00 MHz
>> >
>> Quit your ####ing cussing god ######.
>
> Nice shot, Markie...You told him...
>
> And got both profanity and blasphemy in one line...How does that
>figure in to your arguments about respecting other's religions...?!?!

here we have stevie responding to foreged p[ost likely one he forge
himself
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3064. Stevie betrays his service oath

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 02:19:52 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <vj2qo1lo2sla6dr0kjqbmm7n6fks74hnp4@4ax.com>
Source record: 125680

On 31 Oct 2005 06:49:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:38:12 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
>> wrote:
>
> SNIP
>
>> >If you could only make some sense just once it might help. Did you get
>> >this stupid all by yourself or did you have help?
>
>> why are you defddning the Piece of ####
>
> "Why" "defending"
>
> He's not "defending" anyone. He said, and quite accurately, "If
>you could only make some sense just once it might help."
>
>> hopw can you say that these are the work of an honest man
>
> "How"
>
> Markie, YOU have NO BUSINESS suggesting the words of any other
>person are suspect based upon honesty. Not until you "come to Jesus"
>about your ADMITTED and bragged-over propensity for lying, at least.

Stveie violating the 1st amendment which he swore to uphold by
demanding other follow his religion
>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------------------------------------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3065. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 03:08:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mI8jf.3525$A23.2211@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125675

an Old friend wrote:
> Dave Heil wrote:
>> an Old friend wrote:
>>> Dave Heil wrote:
>>>> an_old_friend wrote:
>>>>> why does do advocate elder abuse
>>>> I don't know.
>>> hy did you post if you don't know anything about the subject?
>> Hy did? When did he get here. Does he know?
>>
>> You've gotten the attributions so screwed up that it looks as if you're
>> talking to yourself.
>
> sorry if if you are too stupid to follow the thread

I'm not the one who has trouble putting things into English and I'm not
the person who screwed up the attributions.

> but why do you post on subject you admit you know nothing about?

Why not write so that we all know what it supposed to be about?

Dave K8MN

## 3066. more stevie lies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 03:13:13 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <i26qo1hljf66d3lj9ullbmgnvv5galb4n5@4ax.com>
Source record: 125691

On 29 Nov 2005 19:53:52 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

>
>kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 31 Oct 2005 06:49:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
>> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>> >> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:38:12 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
>> >> wrote:
>> >
>> > SNIP
>> >
>> >> >If you could only make some sense just once it might help. Did you get
>> >> >this stupid all by yourself or did you have help?
>> >
>> >> why are you defddning the Piece of ####
>> >
>> > "Why" "defending"
>> >
>> > He's not "defending" anyone. He said, and quite accurately, "If
>> >you could only make some sense just once it might help."
>> >
>> >> hopw can you say that these are the work of an honest man
>> >
>> > "How"
>> >
>> > Markie, YOU have NO BUSINESS suggesting the words of any other
>> >person are suspect based upon honesty. Not until you "come to Jesus"
>> >about your ADMITTED and bragged-over propensity for lying, at least.
>>
>> Stveie violating the 1st amendment which he swore to uphold by
>> demanding other follow his religion
>
> You need to re-familiarize yourself with the Constitution of the
>United States and the Bill of Rights, Markie.

i am quite up on it
>
> Also, you've expsoed yourseolf as having never served in the Armed
>Forces, since if you'd had, you'd at least have a rough idea what was
>in that oath and know that your "argument" here was no where near being
>accurate.

not at all

you swoare to uphold the constitution of the USA

you don't do so

you betary the nation and your oath daily it seems
>
>> everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
>> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>>
>> STEVEN J ROBESON
>> 151 12TH AVE NW
>> WINCHESTER TN 37398
>> 931-967-6282
>
> Good luck, Markie.
>
> That number's been changed for over a year as I am sure you've
>found out by now.

then you are stupid of course

I have found out no such thing

>
>Steve, K4YZ

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3067. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 03:25:19 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133321119.490344.37050@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125679

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> an Old friend wrote:
> >>> Dave Heil wrote:
> >>>> an_old_friend wrote:
> >>>>> why does do advocate elder abuse
> >>>> I don't know.
> >>> hy did you post if you don't know anything about the subject?
> >> Hy did? When did he get here. Does he know?
> >>
> >> You've gotten the attributions so screwed up that it looks as if you're
> >> talking to yourself.
> >
> > sorry if if you are too stupid to follow the thread
>
> I'm not the one who has trouble putting things into English and I'm not
> the person who screwed up the attributions.

you seem to be both of those persons but then you are not honest enough
to admit it

>
> > but why do you post on subject you admit you know nothing about?
>
> Why not write so that we all know what it supposed to be about?

I asked you first

>
> Dave K8MN

# 3068. Re: please stop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 03:37:24 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <3j7qo1p9abt6qln3211om6palckhiunc47@4ax.com>
Source record: 125698

On 29 Nov 2005 20:32:12 -0800, k4yz@hotmail.com wrote:

>I admit it. I have lied I have. been stalking everyone please let it
>stop

too bad this is such a poor fake

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3069. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 03:37:52 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <k89jf.25962$q%.25742@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 125681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051129195601.386$VT@newsreader.com...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>> an Old friend wrote:
>> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >>> why does do advocate elder abuse
>> >> I don't know.
>> >
>> > hy did you post if you don't know anything about the subject?
>>
>> Hy did? When did he get here. Does he know?
>>
> Ain't that a Neil Young tune?

I'll check, but don't think so ;)

Landshark

--
That's funny. You managed to actually make the thug alter his outside
real world actions because of his incompetence in this ng. The internet
magnifies peoples' idiocy, as he shows.

# 3070. Re: FAGGOT SHIT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 03:37:52 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <k89jf.25961$q%.18751@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 125682
Cross-posted to: alt.politics.liberalism,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.politics.homosexuality,alt.journalism.gay-press

"CWB" <cboone@nospam.earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Jx_if.25491$%i.1880@tornado.texas.rr.com...
> Is this Jay Maynard, K5Zinc Commode???
>
> "Landshark" <landshark-hed@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1132687944.948694.204390@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...

Welcome to the world of N8WWM, Doug Adair. It is he that's using
my & others screen nic to troll and cause hate & discontent why else
would you see such a wide range of cross posts.

Landshark

--
The internet is fun but it's no substitute for books, people, nature,
or direct experiences. But you think that you can get everything you
need from your computer, you are a fool.

Frank Gililland

# 3071. Stevie continues hsi cowardly regusal to answer why he claims Tood's sexuality is relavant to radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 03:42:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fk7qo15g11pn8ku7bhp50v75rddjs211lt@4ax.com>
Source record: 125701

when wil you answer the question
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3072. when will Stevie explain how my posting in pesonal gruop makes calling BB gay ralvant to radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 03:49:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <6m7qo1hmght0j9105g2485g2s1hcvo3a6c@4ax.com>
Source record: 125704

that is stevie claim

unless he admits that he did not answer the question
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3073. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 03:52:22 UTC
From: Steveo <moparholic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <20051129225221.999$q0@newsreader.com>
Source record: 125683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:20051129195601.386$VT@newsreader.com...
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >> an Old friend wrote:
> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >> >> an_old_friend wrote:
> >> >>> why does do advocate elder abuse
> >> >> I don't know.
> >> >
> >> > hy did you post if you don't know anything about the subject?
> >>
> >> Hy did? When did he get here. Does he know?
> >>
> > Ain't that a Neil Young tune?
>
> I'll check, but don't think so ;)
>
> Landshark
>
Yea-but didn't he stiff you on a tip once with his sound system?

--
http://NewsReader.Com/

# 3074. when will stevie begin to deal with legacy of lies and shame

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 03:53:40 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133322820.029676.65670@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125684

likely never

remeimeber this viewer of Kiddy porn (self admited) is out there

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

## 3075. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 03:53:52 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133322832.024399.4540@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125685

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 31 Oct 2005 06:49:06 -0800, "K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:
> >kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On Sun, 30 Oct 2005 22:38:12 -0800, Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.ten>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> > SNIP
> >>
> >> >If you could only make some sense just once it might help. Did you get
> >> >this stupid all by yourself or did you have help?
> >>
> >> why are you defddning the Piece of ####
> >>
> > "Why" "defending"
> >>
> > He's not "defending" anyone. He said, and quite accurately, "If
> >you could only make some sense just once it might help."
> >>
> >> hopw can you say that these are the work of an honest man
> >>
> > "How"
> >>
> > Markie, YOU have NO BUSINESS suggesting the words of any other
> >person are suspect based upon honesty. Not until you "come to Jesus"
> >about your ADMITTED and bragged-over propensity for lying, at least.
>
> Stveie violating the 1st amendment which he swore to uphold by
> demanding other follow his religion

You need to re-familiarize yourself with the Constitution of the
United States and the Bill of Rights, Markie.

Also, you've expsoed yourseolf as having never served in the Armed
Forces, since if you'd had, you'd at least have a rough idea what was
in that oath and know that your "argument" here was no where near being
accurate.

> everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282

Good luck, Markie.

That number's been changed for over a year as I am sure you've found out by now.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3076. Re: 14100 ...NCDXF beacons still there ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:04:30 UTC
From: class-a-pkearn-zuuzpk@multi-band-cb-is-here---its-as-easy-as-they-say.br.eircom.ie.net
Message-ID: <438d237f.600365@news.iol.ie>
Source record: 125686

"Caveat Lector" <UALLBeware@9984reader.com> wrote:

> I posted a quiz a while back re: avoiding 14.100 MHz
> Few knew the answer -- one sed why not -- it is in the CW portion.

as suspected.

anything for a few extra points eh ? !!

same goes for the qrp spot frequency.

and the iaru response ?

non-contestors are encouraged to us 10-18-24 during contests !!!

what a load of horse-do-do.

i've suspected for a long time that rf contests were effectively an
"anatomy" measuring contest.

my observations last week of the allegedly more-clued-in cw operator left
me with the definite impression that there is a growing number who couldnt
care less.

IARU PSE NOTE.
ARRL PSE NOTE

i wonder if any of the contest rules actually ban operation on 14100 ?

if yes, which ones...
i think its time for some check-logs to be sent in.

# 3077. steve of shit but no answers just lies about giving them

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:06:10 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <ao7qo19tciee8h9ooo34m41v94ostbss25@4ax.com>
Source record: 125707

why does not stevie answer the question as you what the sexuality of
the posters has to do with radio
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3078. Mark C. Morgan Trying To Censor The Free Speech of Others...AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:06:36 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133323596.104405.202400@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

an Old friend wrote:
> just trying to kill this hate filled thread

# 3079. Re: stevie contiues (CONTINUES) his avoidance of Markie's sexual obeseion (obsession) across the newsgruop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:13:44 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133324024.534727.263300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125688

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> Why does Stevie choose to contiue his effort to avpoid answering the
> question put to him in an honest manner

The question, Markie, is why do YOU have a sexual obsession...?!?!

Your "question" has been answered several times over now, but you
keep fishing for a different answer.

So it seems the "obsession" is yours!

Steve, K4YZ

# 3080. Re: Rotten Egg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:17:47 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133324267.903662.272310@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 01:20:54 GMT, "Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê"
> <Grümwîtch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> wrote:
>
> >The next person on usenet to reply to any post from Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a
> >rotten egg.
>
> such a Childish game
>
> let me see is it Stevie od Dave

Nope.

Not me.

But seems YOU got sucked right in and turned out to be the rotten
egg!

> >No exceptions, no excuses.
> >
> >Respectfully,
> >Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte
>
> everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
> he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
>
> STEVEN J ROBESON
> 151 12TH AVE NW
> WINCHESTER TN 37398
> 931-967-6282

I wonder who's more likely to be considered "dangerously
unstable"....

Me...Or the guy who reposts the OTHER guy's address and
since-changed phone number in 20 different threads...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

# 3081. Re: Rotten Egg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:20:49 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133324449.364093.63640@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 01:20:54 GMT, "Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê"
> > <Grümwîtch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> wrote:
> >
> > >The next person on usenet to reply to any post from Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a
> > >rotten egg.
> >
> > such a Childish game
> >
> > let me see is it Stevie od Dave
>
> Nope.
>
> Not me.
>
> But seems YOU got sucked right in and turned out to be the rotten
> egg!

nope that is you guess you did not read the message

but then you can't read english very wel
>
> > >No exceptions, no excuses.
> > >
> > >Respectfully,
> > >Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte
> >
> > everyog should be advised that The following person has advocating the abuse of elders
> > he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable
> >
> > STEVEN J ROBESON
> > 151 12TH AVE NW
> > WINCHESTER TN 37398
> > 931-967-6282

# 3082. Mark Morgan Admits Spamming Group, Demands Answer, Get's It, Then Goes On Anyway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:26:20 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133324780.001018.195160@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125692

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 23:25:01 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> wrote:
>
> >an old friend wrote:
> >
> >> more lies for cowards
> >> cuting stevie playing speling cop
> >
> >I doubt that Steve is any more or less cute after your work.
>
> never said anything about stevie being cute ever

Sure you did.

Over and over.

> he isn't despite his claims I lust after his body

And there you go again, Markie.

Outright lies.

> >> iundeed a whole new thread just to attack my spelling while he conitues
> >> to avoid the issue like the coward he is
> >
> >"Iundeed"! Please "conitue" your butchery of the "lang".
>
> I don't need your presmission to post but it is nice of your to admit
> I have the right

Oh, it's not a matter of "rights", Markie.

It's a matter of laughing our buns off at you!

> >Who here has begun more recent threads than you?
>
> no one and it will go one till Steve answeris than question

Then two things are noteworthy at this poiint:

(1) I have "answered" that question, as late as today. Sorry you
didn't like the asnwer, but thems the breaks

(2) Your admission that you're intentionally spamming the group
says loads about who the "unstable" person is.

Steve, K4YZ

## 3083. please stop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:32:12 UTC
From: k4yz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133325132.904529.303670@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125693

I admit it. I have lied I have. been stalking everyone please let it
stop

# 3084. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:36:00 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.iqqv400.pminews@newsgroups.comcast.net>
Source record: 125700
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 06:04:08 GMT, DTV_DuDE wrote:

>Big problem is all the lawyers on the FCC board,
>
>only two of the current comm. have any tech
>degrees, all the rest are lawyers and political types.

All five of the Commissioners are political types - have to be in order to be appointed and confirmed to that position.

Two with technical degrees? In your dreams. The last "engineer Commissioner" was George Sterling during the late 1940s. In the 1980s some of us wanted to have the Comm Act changed to require at least one to have an engineering degree but we were shot doen by the political types.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
(A lawyer and engineer who
spent 28 years on the FCC staff)

## 3085. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:40:48 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133325648.634095.215780@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125694

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 23:25:01 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > wrote:
cuting steveie lies

my word nothing left

## 3086. stevle shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:41:50 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133325710.218463.271680@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K4YZ wrote:
Mark C. Morgan Trying To Censor The Free Speech of Others...AGAIN!
> an Old friend wrote:
> > just trying to kill this hate filled thread

stevie just can't tell the ruth about anything

# 3087. Re: why is Markie making assinine accusations of Stevie being afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:44:38 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133325878.788745.287060@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125696

N9OGL wrote:
> >oh yes!
> >Toiddie's "reputation" is well known around Taylorville, alright!
> > That's why the local ISP canned him, he can't get a job, and lives
> >in the basement of mommy and daddy's house
>
> The ONLY REASON they canned me is because of those "FAKE" letters you
> sent them, including the one with the fake IP ADDRESS.

Toiddie, they had MORE than enough of all the OTHER messages from
you to "make a case".

> There steve your lying, I live at the very top of the house on the fourth floor.

Suuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuure you are...

> (third if you don't count the basement) As for a "JOB" well first off I'm in
> college and secondly dip ##### I own my own #####ing business on the
> square here in taylorville, you ####ing lying piece of ####.

You're sober long enough to leave the basement?

> >Uhhhhhh....Part 103 of the Federal Aviation Admisnistration's rules
> >and regulations are NOT "soruce of authority", Markie? Toiddie started
> >to spout off all the attribures of his "airplane", only to show a Team
> >HiMAX ultralight photo. He made the mistake of bragging about illegal
> >modifications to his ultralight.
> >His words.
> >Not mine.
>
> Illegal modifications, well if that's the case then th FAA better go
> after vast majority of the Ultralight community because the vast
> majority of them are running "illegal ultralights".

No, the "vast majority" are not.

I am around a LOT of ultralights and only know of 2 that have
anything that might even be close to what you claim to ahve done...And
that was only because they were carrying an extra can of gas...But it
wasn't hooked to the fuel line system.

> That was one of the
> main reasons the FAA created the sports license and why it only
> requires 20 hours of flying time and those that have had their hours in
> as a ultralight pilot is grandfathered into the sport license.

One of the "main reasons" the FAA created the Sport Pilot category
was the efforts of the Experimental Aircraft Association.

> > and claims you are a pedophile...(SNIP)
> > He is.
>
> No steve my web site is an Anime site or for you ####ing morons out
> there that short for ANIMATION, anime orginate from Japan, that's what
> i'm promoting and Not Pedophile. The Japanese Cartoons that childern
> see on TV are NOT what childern see in japan. The anime has been
> watered down but some stuff still gets through and you have to be a
> real anime fan to spot that stuff, like when the the characters pull
> down their eye lid and stick out their tongue that means #### you.

Whoa...there's a REAL man!

> (watch some old episodes of pokemon and you see them do it). Another
> thing is when a guy is around a girl and is bushing and gets a bloody
> nose that means he thinking of perverted thoughts, I could tell you a
> lot more. and the language is toned down alot in japan the characters
> in anime's are known to say pissed, ####, and #### once and a while.

Well aren't we impressed? Animated child pornography that uses
profanity.

Snipped Toiddie's page links.

> The next one of course is the the Voice of amateur radio and well,
> there is No pictures on there, just bitches and complaints about the
> ARRL and their little bitches the Fascist Communiation Commission.

Here we go again....

Maybe you'd get that LPFM license if you'd submit TIMELY
applications written in pen, rather than crayon, Toiddie.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3088. End Of The Day~~Markie Continues Stalking Threads

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:45:46 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133325946.576240.316520@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125697

when will stevie begin to deal with legacy of lies and shame

Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

stilmore reminders of our resident piece of #### Stevie

yet more of stevie sexual prevertion

stevie continues his cowardly avoidance of the issues

more lies from stevie

stevie lies

Re: stevie ####

## 3089. stvei admits to his own staking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:50:36 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133326236.164232.128780@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125699

K4YZ wrote:
End Of The Day~~Markie Continues Stalking Threads

there you have by treatsing stevie as he treats other he calls it
stalking meaning he thinks he has been stalking all along
> when will stevie begin to deal with legacy of lies and shame
>
> Re: stevie advocates elder abuse
>
> stilmore reminders of our resident piece of ##### Stevie
>
> yet more of stevie sexual prevertion
>
> stevie continues his cowardly avoidance of the issues
>
> more lies from stevie
>
> stevie lies
>
> Re: stevie ####

# 3090. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:54:21 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133326461.158066.247570@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125702

K4YZ wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
> > >oh yes!
> > >Toiddie's "reputation" is well known around Taylorville, alright!
> > > That's why the local ISP canned him, he can't get a job, and lives
> > >in the basement of mommy and daddy's house
> >
> > The ONLY REASON they canned me is because of those "FAKE" letters you
> > sent them, including the one with the fake IP ADDRESS.
>
> Toiddie, they had MORE than enough of all the OTHER messages from
> you to "make a case".

more lies

>
> > There steve your lying, I live at the very top of the house on the fourth floor.
>
> Suuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuure you are...

indeed he does by what i hear

>
> > (third if you don't count the basement) As for a "JOB" well first off I'm in
> > college and secondly dip ##### I own my own #####ing business on the
> > square here in taylorville, you ####ing lying piece of ####.
>
> You're sober long enough to leave the basement?

more stevie lies

after all steve can't judge intoxicatoion without tests test he isn't
even able to legal preform
cut
> > Illegal modifications, well if that's the case then th FAA better go
> > after vast majority of the Ultralight community because the vast
> > majority of them are running "illegal ultralights".
>
> No, the "vast majority" are not.
stevie claims supernatural authority to know what all ultralights have

cut
> > That was one of the
> > main reasons the FAA created the sports license and why it only
> > requires 20 hours of flying time and those that have had their hours in
> > as a ultralight pilot is grandfathered into the sport license.

>
> One of the "main reasons" the FAA created the Sport Pilot category
> was the efforts of the Experimental Aircraft Association.
>
> > > and claims you are a pedophile...(SNIP)
> > > He is.
> >
> > No steve my web site is an Anime site or for you ####ing morons out
> > there that short for ANIMATION, anime orginate from Japan, that's what
> > i'm promoting and Not Pedophile. The Japanese Cartoons that childern
> > see on TV are NOT what childern see in japan. The anime has been
> > watered down but some stuff still gets through and you have to be a
> > real anime fan to spot that stuff, like when the the characters pull
> > down their eye lid and stick out their tongue that means #### you.
>
> Whoa...there's a REAL man!
>
> > (watch some old episodes of pokemon and you see them do it). Another
> > thing is when a guy is around a girl and is bushing and gets a bloody
> > nose that means he thinking of perverted thoughts, I could tell you a
> > lot more. and the language is toned down alot in japan the characters
> > in anime's are known to say pissed, ####, and #### once and a while.
>
> Well aren't we impressed? Animated child pornography that uses
> profanity.

and you admit to seeing kiddy porn

>
> Snipped Toiddie's page links.
>
> > The next one of course is the the Voice of amateur radio and well,
> > there is No pictures on there, just bitches and complaints about the
> > ARRL and their little bitches the Fascist Communiation Commission.
>
> Here we go again....
>
> Maybe you'd get that LPFM license if you'd submit TIMELY
> applications written in pen, rather than crayon, Toiddie.

more of you bullshit
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 3091. whycan't stevie say something coherantt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 04:59:37 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133326777.708719.37630@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125703

stvei claims his answer to the question is that if I stop bring it up
ino ones sexuality would be an issue

but then why was stevie cliaming todd is gay while I wasn't even the
newsgruop

# 3092. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:01:03 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133326863.292649.270910@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125705
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 06:04:08 GMT, DTV_DuDE wrote:
>
> >Big problem is all the lawyers on the FCC board,
> >
> >only two of the current comm. have any tech
> >degrees, all the rest are lawyers and political types.
>
> All five of the Commissioners are political types - have to be in
> order to be appointed and confirmed to that position.

ture enough
>
> Two with technical degrees? In your dreams. The last "engineer
> Commissioner" was George Sterling during the late 1940s. In the
> 1980s some of us wanted to have the Comm Act changed to require at
> least one to have an engineering degree but we were shot doen by the
> political types.

sounds like sour grapes to me Phil
>
> --
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> (A lawyer and engineer who
> spent 28 years on the FCC staff)

# 3093. stevie CONTINUES his evasions across the newsgruop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:13:34 UTC
From: an_old_friend <konstans@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1133327614.869745.298190@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125706

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > Why does Stevie choose to contiue his effort to avpoid answering the
> > question put to him in an honest manner
>
> The question, Markie, is why do YOU have a sexual obsession...?!?!

no that is not the question

stupid fool the question is why do you claim that Todd's sexuality is
relavant to radio

or bbb or len or mine
>
> Your "question" has been answered several times over now, but you
> keep fishing for a different answer.

more lies

your answer was not truthful YOU brought up my sexuality in your very
first post to me therefore it has nothing to due with my brining it up
>
> So it seems the "obsession" is yours!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

## 3094. Stevie likes kiddy porn?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:19:20 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133327960.529049.40020@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125708

why did stevie go to Todd BBS if he is so opposed to kiddy porn ? why didn't he just report the porn

is it becuase Stevie want to help tod gath stuff that Stevie considers kiddy porn (never mind the ruth of course but he thinks it is kiddy porn) and so feed his own needs for kiddy porn

## 3095. stevie is afriad of the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:22:13 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133328133.600867.72980@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125709

stevie shows his cowardly nature by refusing to answer the question of
why sexuality is relavant to radio

that this is ture is claim of Stevie for the past 7 years

but strangely he refuses to explain his reasons for believeing this

stevie is afraid of the truth for the truth will show him a liar again

## 3096. will stevie ever come clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:24:28 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133328268.479260.319650@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125710

not likely stevie has built this whole fantasy world on the idea that
Stevie never lies and that all liars are dammed in some fashion, so
stavie can't admit he is liar and therfore dammed

poor stevie

## 3097. why is Stevie afaird of BB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:27:17 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133328437.763640.83650@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125711

why does stevie need to lie about bb's sexual orientaion?

## 3098. why does Stevie need to lie about other people

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:28:33 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133328513.477618.59570@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125712

ecuase he is so worthless himself

## 3099. why does Dave aid and abet steve's despictable behavoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:30:15 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133328615.185257.63780@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125713

why does dave who claim to be christaion support and aid stevie in
engaging in despictable behavoir?

## 3100. when will stevie decide to end this game?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:35:01 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133328901.035665.115380@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125714

Stevie can end this series of attack post by answering the following questions truthfully and senibly

what relavance does sexulaity have to radio ? (not y sexuality but any sexuality)

why does stevie make sexualiy based attack threads

why does stevie lie about people's sexuality

all he has to do answer these question and the barrage of posts will stop

## 3101. Re: is Stevie an abused childhood liar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:39:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133329149.758879.27770@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125715

```
aanswer the question coward
an_old_friend wrote:
> look at the eveidence
>
> he will do anything to avoid admitng he has lied and made up stuff
>
> a soimple explanation that fits the facts is the stevie lied and got
> caught and brutaozed as a kid for lying
```

# 3102. Re: when will Markie decide to end this game? When Will He Stop Spamming the NG For Even Yet MORE Deviant Gratification?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:50:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133329819.081363.327280@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125716

an Old friend wrote:
> Stevie can end this series of attack post by answering the following
> questions truthfully and senibly

That's already been done (truthfully). What was "senibly" supposed
to be in English?

> what relavance does sexulaity have to radio ? (not y sexuality but any
> sexuality)

Answered.

> why does stevie make sexualiy based attack threads

As ofd right now, you're the onw with 97 threads or resposnes to
threads with the words "sex" or "sxuality" (or some other abortion of
those wrods)

> why does stevie lie about people's sexuality

I haven't.

> all he has to do answer these question and the barrage of posts will
> stop

If you'd read, Markie, you'd have seen my answer THREE TIMES
today.

But you've been so anxious to keep this assinine behaviour going
that you've either ignored it, or simply don't like it.

In either case, YOU are the one responsible for spamming the NG.

Just one more reason to laugh at you....As if we NEEDED one more!

Steve, K4YZ

# 3103. Re: why does Dave aid and abet steve's despictable behavoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 05:51:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <v5bjf.2208$YT3.54@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125717

an Old friend wrote:
> why does dave who claim to be christaion support and aid stevie in
> engaging in despictable behavoir?

Well, Mark, if ever there was to be proof that you are mentally coming unraveled, your lengthy series of spam posts should do the trick.

Dave K8MN

# 3104. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 06:56:43 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <f7hqo1hfsqu252hrkg90714k2nckarfi87@4ax.com>
Source record: 125718

On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 19:43:55 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <%b2jf.2060$YT3.987@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
>
>>
>> And what under which discharge category does a medical discharge fall?
>>
>
>Depending on the "Character of Service" and length of service it could fall
>under "Honorable", "General" (either category), or "Uncharacterized".
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB

Another presumption? like the TDRL presumption? Why not presume that
he got a hardship discharge? or a separation due to inability to meet
the requirements for promotion (after all, he claims to have been
promoted to Sergeant within 5 years, meaning he was only promoted
twice in the following 13 years -- not exactly a stellar record of
achievement)?

Anyway, now that you have seen my DD-214, why do you think K4YZ, after
claiming to have nearly 18 years active duty and several DD-214's,
made the stupendous blunder of confusing "years and months in
specialty" with time in service? And when confronted with the false
claim that his DD-214 did not have a box for character of service, why
do you think he failed to provide even the form's box number as proof
that I was wrong? And why do you suppose he changed his story on the
number of DD-214's he has aquired?

Feel free to speculate.

BTW, why do you suppose -HE- is unwilling to explain these things, and
instead relying upon you and others to counter his critics with
opinionated presumptions and facts that he is unwilling to find for
himself? Ya know, I've seen a few "documentaries" (using the word
loosely) on the Kennedy assassination. Many of them seek to dispell
conspiracy theories by demonstrating possible but unlikely scenarios
of how the Warren Commission conclusions could be correct. Yet no
matter how many ways they prove that there -could- have been a lone
gunman, such scenarios can never be proof that there -was- a lone
gunman. In fact, if LHO went to trial, the prosecution would have had
the burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt -- NOT merely
proving that there was a -possibility- of guilt. Catch my drift?

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

## 3105. when will stevie admit he has lied to NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 07:19:20 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <bhkqo158pgpvjbu9e515te7qkoo7o59hcd@4ax.com>
Source record: 125726

when will stevie admit
his title
Mark Morgan Caught On Tape Molesting 8 Year Old...Claims "He Was
Asking For It".
was a lie?
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3106. when will stevie explain his lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 07:43:17 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <7ulqo1p6jbh38fetc1q39gtsdl9n3pkuoo@4ax.com>
Source record: 125731

stevie made this and claims I was the one who brought my
sexualoreintation
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/415ffd26aa859187/7c0ec0b7cd835135?lnk=st&q=gay&rnum=2#7c0ec0b7cd835135
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

---

Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3107. when will stvei expalin this lie from his past

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 07:44:30 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <e1mqo15utrik3ea4re41rh17uasca9qehp@4ax.com>
Source record: 125732

stevie claims I brought it on myself
so explain this post from keyboard in 1999
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_thread/thread/ffa025c98fb52e2c/9944b4e9f77a8430?lnk=st&q=gay&rnum=5#9944b4e9f77a8430
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3108. and try explaining this stevie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 07:51:23 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <uamqo1piirub1943q4kuv3sc1p1nvtorf3@4ax.com>
Source record: 125733

here is post from
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/browse_frm/thread/f25b4ffb19d0658/6c849b9fc7e8cac0?lnk=st&q=gay&rnum=77#6c849b9fc7e8cac0

the operatant paragraph is at the end
> Oh Noooooooooooooooo Todd...I can't be any higher than tenth
>place. In no certain order there's you, Brian Burke N0IMD, Lennie
>nderson, "Fritz Wuehler", "Mel A. Nomah", Any one of the numerous
>manifestation of Lloyd and Roger (one pont each), K1MAN, and the
>Drafted Gay Pagan Chemical Corps Officer Formerly Known As Markie.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

how did i bring up mys exuality I was not even posting in the
Newsgruop

why don't you stop your lie in claiming I am the one who brings my
sexuality

you were doing it when I wasn't even here

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3109. when will Steie to alow this jihad to end

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:09:33 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <fenqo198r2827ldv4vlm126cg5cdp6gqjo@4ax.com>
Source record: 125734

you have it in your hands Stevie answer fully and truthfull one the
attackspost and I'll stop posting them

but you can't do it can you?

don't have an answer that does not show you up as a liar, something it
seems like youd rather die than admit (and if youd rather die feel fee
to comtit suicide at anytime don't let me stop you)
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3110. just exaclty how did I bring up sexality for you to respond to in this post?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:14:29 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <5knqo1dcum6rrci4luh4m7rfuhtml9bt06@4ax.com>
Source record: 125735

back in March of this year when I was around you posted
http://groups.google.com/group/rec.radio.amateur.policy/tree/browse_frm/thread/3dd4fa2a4d2688ca/18c5159b8577e7cd?rnum=11&q=aids&_done=%2Fgroup%2Frec.radio.amateur.policy%2Fbrowse_frm%2Fthread%2F3dd4fa2a4d2688ca%3Ftvc%3D1%26q%3Daids%26#doc_e09f3c7f5f9c7b10
now tell us how did I bring up sexuality in that thread I never posted
in it at all nor did I post in the ng at till several months later

when are you goign to drop the bad lie of claiming I cause you to
bring up sexuality?
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

---
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3111. Re: when will Markie decide to end this game? easy as I said when you answer the question coward

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:17:57 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133338677.323105.68760@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125719

K4YZ wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > Stevie can end this series of attack post by answering the following
> > questions truthfully and senibly
>
> That's already been done (truthfully). What was "senibly" supposed
> to be in English?
>
> > what relavance does sexulaity have to radio ? (not y sexuality but any
> > sexuality)
>
> Answered.

no it hasn't been\

STOP LYING
>
> > why does stevie make sexualiy based attack threads
>
> As ofd right now, you're the onw with 97 threads or resposnes to
> threads with the words "sex" or "sxuality" (or some other abortion of
> those wrods)

that isn't the question

why do you make sexualy oreinted attack thread
>
> > why does stevie lie about people's sexuality
>
> I haven't.

yes you have
about mine, brains and todd's

why do you lie like this
>
> > all he has to do answer these question and the barrage of posts will
> > stop
>
> If you'd read, Markie, you'd have seen my answer THREE TIMES
> today.

I have read your wordsbut they don't answer the question
>
> But you've been so anxious to keep this assinine behaviour going

> that you've either ignored it, or simply don't like it.

more lies from stvie

>
> In either case, YOU are the one responsible for spamming the NG.

more lies

I am not spaming the NG

you are lying and avoiding a simple question

what does Todd sexuality have to do with Radio

what does Brains have to do with radio what does mine have to do with
radio

why are you aftraid to answer the question?
>
> Just one more reason to laugh at you....As if we NEEDED one more!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

# 3112. Re: why does Dave aid and abet steve's despictable behavoir

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:18:46 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133338726.226705.127820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125720

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > why does dave who claim to be christaion support and aid stevie in
> > engaging in despictable behavoir?
>
> Well, Mark, if ever there was to be proof that you are mentally coming
> unraveled, your lengthy series of spam posts should do the trick.

BUUUZZZ avoiding the issue agin

why do you support stevie despictable behavoir
>
>
> Dave K8MN

## 3113. you knwo it is remarkaly liberating feeling to be on the attack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:19:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <9vnqo15jskig7lkjqdbjd64trfcc5mivuv@4ax.com>
Source record: 125736

I can begin to see waht stevie gets out his renless attacks on hear it
is queite satifing to see stevie bascialy unable to control the agenda
or post effectively

it might be wise for you try to escape this routine before I grow to
used to it. you may have pushed me to point of creating a monster
using your own tactics right back at you

In my case I can get itnot becuase I am switch and can be Dom or sub
as the occasion arises

and as a Dom I can e sadist so I am enjoying this
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3114. when will stevie stop lying about answering the question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:20:53 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133338853.259916.132350@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125721

why must stevie lie about his words

why must stevie continue to lie and falselyclaim he has answered a question when has not?

## 3115. when will stevie take responiblity and own up to his actions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:22:48 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133338968.555954.7330@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125722

why does stevie deny that he make an issue of sexuality instaed of the
lame claim his victum bring his attacks on themselves

I am honest I am flaming Stevie proud of it

stevie is dishonest and refuses to admit what he has been doing for
years online all archived in google

stevie is a coward

## 3116. why does Stevie balme his victums for his actions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:24:17 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133339057.300750.139020@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125723

why do you stevie?

why is it Todd fault you call him gay and say his dad has aids?

why?

## 3117. well good nitght folks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:26:37 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <5eoqo1lsekidsi3g4aohenurdn51e4o444@4ax.com>
Source record: 125737

we will see if stevie decides that he wants to come clean about any of
his lies by noon or so

i oubt it which will mean more flwoing of posts recounting stevie lies
diverstions and deceit

BTW I hae no qualms about repeating myself so their is lot fo materail
for me to use

ttfn

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3118. why does Stevie hold a duoble standard and expect to get away with it?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:27:09 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133339229.517307.256880@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125724

stevie you can stop this anytime you like
(as can I of course)

do you think you above the standards you lay down for others?

why are you empowered to lie and say Brain is a homosexaul

why are you alowed to say Todd is

and then claim your victum deserve it

why are you allowed to lie freely? and yet no one else is (except your
buddy dave)

## 3119. when will dave call Stevie to accoutn for his lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:28:32 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133339312.697337.259650@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125725

when Dave?

why is stevie allowed by you to lie freely?

## 3120. why does Stevie advocate elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:33:53 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133339633.304201.132490@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125727

why did steve advocate the physical abuse of Franks paranets

why does stevie have no honnor?

## 3121. Why is Stevie afraid to reveal his reasons for his gay bashing attacks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:35:10 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133339710.724243.69650@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125728

very likely becuase they show him as a petty litle man a coward but we
all know that so you can come clean

## 3122. why does Stevie fear calling someone Bisexaul?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:41:54 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133340114.824802.179300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125729

why can't you tell the truth about my sexual orientation?

## 3123. when wil dave explain his doulbe standard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 08:44:28 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133340268.452240.130080@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125730

you complain I don't cal other to account for their deeds becuase they
are my side

why don't you call stevie to account for his misdeeds?

# 3124. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 09:46:15 UTC
From: Roger <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net>
Message-ID: <g3tqo1trejtn69t8dc76egitn4a2e0cjop@4ax.com>
Source record: 125738
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 28 Nov 2005 18:29:10 -0800, Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com wrote:

>"... all for $25 consumer price?"
>
>DVD players for $17.88? Portable DVD players and even DVRs under $100?
>Check. Routers for $5? Check. 20" color TV with remote, $79.95? Check.
>Laptop for $400? Check.

About 4 months ago I paid about $75 for a dual layer DVD dual layer
drive that does about every flavour of DVD and CD, read and write.

Roger Halstead (K8RI & ARRL life member)
(N833R, S# CD-2 Worlds oldest Debonair)
www.rogerhalstead.com
>
>Hardly seems possible, but the ads are in the Sunday paper every week.

## 3125. Re: Here comes the Digital TV disaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 10:07:01 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <dmjte2$8lp$1@news2.kornet.net>
Source record: 125739
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Roger" <Delete-Invallid.stuff.groups@tm.net> wrote in message
news:g3tqo1trejtn69t8dc76egitn4a2e0cjop@4ax.com...
> On 28 Nov 2005 18:29:10 -0800, Kim_Jong_Il@volcanomail.com wrote:
>
>>"... all for $25 consumer price?"
>>
>>DVD players for $17.88? Portable DVD players and even DVRs under $100?
>>Check. Routers for $5? Check. 20" color TV with remote, $79.95? Check.
>>Laptop for $400? Check.
>
> About 4 months ago I paid about $75 for a dual layer DVD dual layer
> drive that does about every flavour of DVD and CD, read and write.

And at this moment you can get one (16x DVD+/- R/RW DL Pioneer DVR-110) from
Meritline for $47... simply bloody amazing..

## 3126. What Law is Broken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 12:31:17 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133353877.519457.226540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125740

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> On 29 Nov 2005 14:53:19 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> news:1133217765.019100.251910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >> > From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 4:11 pm
> >>
> >> (SNIP)
> >>
> >> >> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after the
> >> >> > twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest being
> >> >> > made on 5 August 2005! :-)
> >> >>
> >> >> Why does that matter?
> >> >
> >> > becuase it isn't suposed to happen at least if it does they are all
> >> > suposed to have been mailed before the deadline
> >> >
> >> > why does it seem you don't care about the
> >> > rule of of law when it suits you
> >
> >There's no violation of law if someone sends in a late comment.
>
> sure is

There's a violation of law if someone sends in a late comment??

OK, I'll bite:

What law is violated if someone sends in a comment after the
deadline?

> >Missing the deadline simply means FCC isn't required to consider
> >the comment.
>
> it means the FCC SHOULD not and I think is legaly barred form
> consdiering it

That's not the same as breaking a law.

> >> (SNIP)
> >>
> >> >> FCC received over 6000 comments on the "incentive licensing" proposals,

> >> >> Len. Without the internet. That's a fact.
> >> >
> >> > indeed shwoing what a disaster the idea was
> >
> >Not at all. The comments were split about even, for and against.
>
> yes totaly
>
> which way the coment ran shows very little and was another OT coment

Not at all. It shows the incentive licensing concept had lots of
support and lots of opposition - 40+ years ago.

> >> > how the ARRL tired to kill the ars
> >>
> >Shows how little you know of the subject.
> >
> cuting your lecture

Because it proves you're wrong.

> the ARRL wanted to restrict the number that is recorded from many
> sources

Show me one.
>
> that the result was ripping the guts out of the ARS by killing the HS
> clubs and making it rough to start one merely shows how out of touch
> the ARRl was even then

How did incentive licensing affect highscool radio clubs?

# 3127. Re: What Law is Broken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 13:35:50 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <WUhjf.10738$aA2.5838@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125741

<N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:1133353877.519457.226540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
>> On 29 Nov 2005 14:53:19 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >Bill Sohl wrote:
>> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >> news:1133217765.019100.251910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
>> >> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> >>
>> >> (SNIP)
>> >>
>> >> >> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after
>> >> >> > the twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest
>> >> >> > being
>> >> >> > made on 5 August 2005! :-)
>> >> >>
>> >> >> Why does that matter?
>> >> >
>> >> > becuase it isn't suposed to happen at least if it does they are all
>> >> > suposed to have been mailed before the deadline
>> >> > why does it seem you don't care about the
>> >> > rule of of law when it suits you
>> >>
>> >There's no violation of law if someone sends in a late comment.
>>
>> sure is
>
> There's a violation of law if someone sends in a late comment??
> What law is violated if someone sends in a comment after the
> deadline?

Jim is right. Anyone can send the FCC comments, messages,
etc at anytime. The sending or submission of a comment outside
the comment period is NOT a violation of the law. The only
violation of law that could be in play then is IF and only IF the
FCC accepted and integrated the comment into the FCC
proceedings...BUT, even then I suspect if anyone complained
that the courts would take a less than hard-line stand regarding
post deadline comments.

>> >Missing the deadline simply means FCC isn't required to consider
>> >the comment.
>>
>> it means the FCC SHOULD not and I think is legaly barred form

>> considering it
>
> That's not the same as breaking a law.

Of course the FCC could read a post deadline comment and
consider it in their braod internal review process and never
make reference to it in a follow-up R&O. Who outside
the FCC would even know?

>> >> (SNIP)

>> the result was ripping the guts out of the ARS by killing the HS
>> clubs and making it rough to start one merely shows how
>> out of touch the ARRl was even then
>
> How did incentive licensing affect highschool radio clubs?

I'm curious about that conclusion/result too.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

# 3128. Re: why is Markie making assinine accusations of Stevie being afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 13:39:39 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971E5775A9DAAnaoftoadcom@213.155.197.138>
Source record: 125746

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133303290.319030.262230
@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:

> One more point I forgot there in the gallery there is more NO
> POROGRAPHIC MATERIAL then there is porograhic material.
>
>
> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>
>
This is fairly n9-dumbographic.

http://tinypic.com/i20k9e.jpg

## 3129. toad test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 14:27:40 UTC
From: not an old friend <naof@toad.com>
Message-ID: <Xns971E615D32EDBnaoftoadcom@66.150.105.47>
Source record: 125742

http://tinypic.com/i20k9e.jpg

# 3130. Re: stevie advocates elder abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 14:39:15 UTC
From: Landshark <s3buell@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <nQijf.34479$6e1.828@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 125743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:20051129225221.999$q0@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <s3buell@sbcglobal.net> wrote:
>> "Steveo" <moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:20051129195601.386$VT@newsreader.com...
>> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>> >> an Old friend wrote:
>> >> > Dave Heil wrote:
>> >> >> an_old_friend wrote:
>> >> >>> why does do advocate elder abuse
>> >> >> I don't know.
>> >> >
>> >> > hy did you post if you don't know anything about the subject?
>> >>
>> >> Hy did? When did he get here. Does he know?
>> >>
>> > Ain't that a Neil Young tune?
>>
>> I'll check, but don't think so ;)
>>
>> Landshark
>>
> Yea-but didn't he stiff you on a tip once with his sound system?

Prick! (Both of you ;) )

Landshark

--
Kindness is the language which the deaf
can hear and the blind can see.

# 3131. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 14:47:41 UTC
From: K�HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hYijf.2854$Hk1.181@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125744

"Frank Gilliland" <w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net> wrote

> In fact, if LHO went to trial, the prosecution would have had
> the burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt -- NOT merely
> proving that there was a -possibility- of guilt. Catch my drift?

Do ya think Steve was on the grassy knoll? You could be right, I suppose. Ask Mark.

You can now commence misinterpreting what you think I just said and attacking that misinterpretation.

Go.

## 3132. Re: Mark is still confused

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 15:26:40 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <Qwjjf.10773$aA2.4801@newsread2.news.atl.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125745

"an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:1133340114.824802.179300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...

:
: why can't you tell the truth about my sexual orientation?
:

That'd be your job, not ours.

Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte
--
"I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
- Voltaire

# 3133. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 16:25:36 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <4pjro1p07lcuj5bdgsovgcc9051cmu07p7@4ax.com>
Source record: 125747

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 14:47:41 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <hYijf.2854$Hk1.181@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
>
>> In fact, if LHO went to trial, the prosecution would have had
>> the burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt -- NOT merely
>> proving that there was a -possibility- of guilt. Catch my drift?
>
>Do ya think Steve was on the grassy knoll? You could be right, I suppose. Ask
>Mark.
>
>You can now commence misinterpreting what you think I just said and attacking
>that misinterpretation.
>
>Go.

What did you say that was open for misinterpretation? In fact, what
did you say at all, other than a couple unqualified presumptions that
supported only the -possibility- that K4YZ's claim -might- be true?

You jumped into this discussion as the military legal expert. Are you
now opting out with a presumption about my anticipated response? And
here I was expecting to engage in the second intelligent and coherent
discussion I would have had in this newsgroup -- the first was with
Len about Morse code.

----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3134. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 16:40:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jCkjf.3715$A23.454@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125748

"Frank Gilliland" <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net> wrote

>
> In fact, what did you say at all, other than a couple unqualified
> presumptions that supported only the -possibility- that K4YZ's
> claim -might- be true?
>

That's pretty much it. Pointing out some possibilities that you might not have considered. Nothing more, nothing less.

>
> You jumped into this discussion as the military legal expert.
>

Not at all. I'm not a lawyer and I don't play one on TV.

>
> And here I was expecting to engage in the second intelligent
> and coherent discussion I would have had in this newsgroup
>

Sorry that I must disappoint you. This newsgroup is not about intelligent or coherent discussions. Mark doesn't allow that.

With kindest personal regards,

de Hans, K0HB

## 3135. Re: What Law is Broken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 16:58:33 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133369913.696771.235200@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125749

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
> <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:1133353877.519457.226540@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> > kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> >> On 29 Nov 2005 14:53:19 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >> >> "an old friend" <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> >> news:1133217765.019100.251910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >> >> > N2EY@AOL.COM wrote:
> >> >> >> LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> (SNIP)
> >> >>
> >> >> >> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after
> >> >> >> > the twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest
> >> >> >> > being
> >> >> >> > made on 5 August 2005! :-)
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> Why does that matter?
> >> >> >
> >> >> > becuase it isn't suposed to happen at least if it does they are all
> >> >> > suposed to have been mailed before the deadline
> >> >> > why does it seem you don't care about the
> >> >> > rule of of law when it suits you
> >> >
> >> >There's no violation of law if someone sends in a late comment.
> >>
> >> sure is
> >
> > There's a violation of law if someone sends in a late comment??
> > What law is violated if someone sends in a comment after the
> > deadline?
>
> Jim is right. Anyone can send the FCC comments, messages,
> etc at anytime. The sending or submission of a comment outside
> the comment period is NOT a violation of the law.

strawman

never said the sending of a late coment is crime

I said for the FCC to consider to violate the concept of the rule of
law
```

it flouts the intent of the craetion fot he legal framwork that is
supposed to guide these proceedings

It is part of the road to chaos

>The only
> violation of law that could be in play then is IF and only IF the
> FCC accepted and integrated the comment into the FCC
> proceedings...BUT, even then I suspect if anyone complained
> that the courts would take a less than hard-line stand regarding
> post deadline comments.
>
> >> >Missing the deadline simply means FCC isn't required to consider
> >> >the comment.
> >>
> >> it means the FCC SHOULD not and I think is legaly barred form
> >> considering it
> > >
> > > That's not the same as breaking a law.
> >
> Of course the FCC could read a post deadline comment and
> consider it in their braod internal review process and never
> make reference to it in a follow-up R&O. Who outside
> the FCC would even know?
>
> >> >> (SNIP)
>
> >> the result was ripping the guts out of the ARS by killing the HS
> >> clubs and making it rough to start one merely shows how
> >> out of touch the ARRl was even then
> > >
> > > How did incentive licensing affect highschool radio clubs?
> >
> I'm curious about that conclusion/result too.

obvious realy

something killed them about the same time

also the restriction of HF that result make them obviously unattractive
even today the presense of the morse code test has made the efforts of
a gruop of teachers to gte a new club off the ground (with uqipement
left the schoool by a late ham ) the kids simply aren't interested
Morse Code as entry requirement

>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

## 3136. the annymuose is confused

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 17:00:51 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133370051.198441.73620@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125600

Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê wrote:
> "an Old friend" <kb9rqz@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:1133340114.824802.179300@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
>
> :
> : why can't you tell the truth about my sexual orientation?
> :
>
> That'd be your job, not ours.

but I do that

I am bisexual

Stevie lies and say I am Homosexual

Further Stevie lies and Say Todd is homesexaul

It is not my job to speak the truth in the posts of other

each poster is supposed to be truthfull wehre possible

Stevie chooses instead to lie
>
> Barnabus Grumwitch Overbyte
> --
> "I have never made but one prayer to God, a very short one: "O Lord,
> make my enemies ridiculous." And God granted it."
> - Voltaire

## 3137. hans trolls along

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 17:02:34 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133370154.132350.246830@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125601

KØHB wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote
>
> > In fact, if LHO went to trial, the prosecution would have had
> > the burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt -- NOT merely
> > proving that there was a -possibility- of guilt. Catch my drift?
>
> Do ya think Steve was on the grassy knoll? You could be right, I suppose. Ask
> Mark.
>
> You can now commence misinterpreting what you think I just said and attacking
> that misinterpretation.

more of your bullshit
>
> Go.

# 3138. Re: Frankie OF Silliland Keeps The Lies And Deceit Right On Coming! No Doubt All That Deceit Was What Got Him Court Martialed (Twice!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 17:08:22 UTC
From: Iitoi <Iitoi.1zbw5l@news.radiobanter.com>
Message-ID: <Iitoi.1zbw5l@news.radiobanter.com>
Source record: 125628

K�HB Wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" w�renut@NOSPAM�cehouse.net wrote
>
> In fact, if LHO went to trial, the prosecution would have had
> the burden of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt -- NOT merely
> proving that there was a -possibility- of guilt. Catch my drift?
>
> Do ya think Steve was on the grassy knoll? You could be right, I
> suppose.
> Ask Mark.
>
> You can now commence misinterpreting what you think I just said and
> attacking that misinterpretation.
>
> Go.

That's a good one for fellows down at the Legion Post, Hans?

The Man in the Maze
QRU from Baboquivari Peak

--
Iitoi

## 3139. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 17:08:33 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133370513.597409.260980@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125602

not an old friend wrote:
> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133303290.319030.262230
> @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>
> > One more point I forgot there in the gallery there is more NO
> > POROGRAPHIC MATERIAL then there is porograhic material.
> >
> >
> > Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> >
> >
> This is fairly n9-dumbographic.
>
cut

why does Stevie hide behind attacks rather than deabte the issues?

becuase he is afraid todd will run circles round him

he knows I will that is why Steve have always enaged in smear tactics

## 3140. was the late N8DOS a child abuser?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 17:14:54 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133370894.362109.69670@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125603

the evidence at hand suggests that sorry conclusion

we have the yearsof abusive behavoir by by K4YZ a classic hallmark of
the abused child

and why/how did N8DOS abuse his child? the logic of it and the
eveidence of our eyes is that The boy that would grow in K4YZ must have
lied and been abused for it in an effort to stop it

not knowing the extent to which the young steve was lying we can only
guess how much it took to get steve to point where the thought of lie
was enough to send him into a rage. , given the angstroms thin skin
Steve now has

it may not have even seemd like abuse, but sadly it was too much for
the unstable mind of the child that is steve

too bad very sad

## 3141. One of Akasha's lurker's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 17:24:23 UTC
From: "an Old friend (Mark Morgan)" <"an Old friend"@msn.com>
Message-ID: <munro1d9bqq35dfc22ond8n3rcgs54o450@4ax.com>
Source record: 125604

http://tinyurl.com/9hthd

Hi there
I may be one of the people Akasha has
reffered, but then again I am
not. One I am a switch, not a sub.Second, I have
lurked because till
recently (couple of days ago) I lacked the real
power to easily post.
As a switch I known, will always know abit
about both sides of the
equation. I have felt the hunger to control and
dominate someone. (male
or female) I felt that desire to do anything to
please (even things I
might not done all on my own) Pesonaly the fact,
that I have felt that
deep gnawing hunger that comes from time to time,
only serves to
intensify the desire please, and fill that need
for some one that I
admire, and expand's what I will do. I have felt,
esp lately desire to
let go and stop having to decide do I this or that
and lose myself
within the maze that I find submission to be, the
pain only serves to
strenghen that maze (to a point) and deepen the
release from an aver
more complicated world, into a world where nothing
exists but the will
of my Master(s)and/or Mistress(es).

Mark Morgan

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3142. Re: One of Akasha's lurker's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 17:43:55 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133372635.262915.142010@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125605

an Old friend (Mark Morgan) wrote:
> http://tinyurl.com/9hthd
>
cuting the repitition

not bad for a fraud that has to sign someone else;s name to something,
even the annymouses are above that

but again why is my or anybody else sex life realvant to radio

in finding that gem how ever you admit to looking in Alt.sex.femdom

and what does that say about your self for doing so

that you are so afraid of me you will do anything. even something you
deem preverted in order to attack me

Coward

making the true author likely to be Dave or Stevie

## 3143. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 17:45:13 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133372713.457968.194390@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125606

trying to kill another OT thread

little doubt Stevie will lie and claim I am censoring this thread as he tries to revive his handiwork

## 3144. just how many of the posters to RRAP are sexualy insecure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 17:47:35 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133372855.055154.65780@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125607

most of them it seems

Stevi and Dave fly into a rage and the annymouses and fraud obsess on it endlessly

maybe the sexual obsession of hams is part of the reason the decline in the ARS?

# 3145. hans can't take the heat perhapshe should leave kitchen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:01:39 UTC
From: an Old friend <kb9rqz@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <1133370319.993777.20570@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125608

KØHB wrote:
> "Frank Gilliland" <wirenut@NOSPAMicehouse.net> wrote
>
> >
> > In fact, what did you say at all, other than a couple unqualified
> > presumptions that supported only the -possibility- that K4YZ's
> > claim -might- be true?
> >
>
> That's pretty much it. Pointing out some possibilities that you might not have
> considered. Nothing more, nothing less.

nah you were choose to aid and abet Stevie in lies by providing him
cover
>
> >
> > You jumped into this discussion as the military legal expert.
> >
>
> Not at all. I'm not a lawyer and I don't play one on TV.
>
> >
> > And here I was expecting to engage in the second intelligent
> > and coherent discussion I would have had in this newsgroup
> >
>
> Sorry that I must disappoint you. This newsgroup is not about intelligent or
> coherent discussions. Mark doesn't allow that.

you can't get it right Hans Stev and Dave don't allow it

they insisted on making it about who sleeps with whom I am just asking
they choose this descision

a question they area fraid to answer

you have aided them both over the by jumping in and trying to cover for
them
>
> With kindest personal regards,
>
> de Hans, K0HB

## 3146. Re: when will dave call Stevie to accoutn for his lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:18:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Q1mjf.2888$Hk1.262@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125609

an Old friend wrote:
> when Dave?
>
> why is stevie allowed by you to lie freely?

Allowed by me? As if your other recent posts aren't evidence enough,
this last demonstrates that you're out of your gourd. If you don't have
mental issues, nobody does.

Dave K8MN

## 3147. when will dave admit to his lying ways

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:18:26 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <85rro11bi46mqnfl79ei22qfelgcdl24tn@4ax.com>
Source record: 125620

when will dave admit he lied in sying I said he was reuired to view me
as moral or for his lie that I claimed a 200K income, or any of hois
many and documented les

somehwere around the 4th of never most likely
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3148. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:23:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <k6mjf.2890$Hk1.1818@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125610

an Old friend wrote:
> not an old friend wrote:
>> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133303290.319030.262230
>> @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
>>
>>> One more point I forgot there in the gallery there is more NO
>>> POROGRAPHIC MATERIAL then there is porograhic material.
>>>
>>>
>>> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
>>>
>>>
>> This is fairly n9-dumbographic.
>>
> cut
>
> why does Stevie hide behind attacks rather than deabte the issues?
>
> becuase he is afraid todd will run circles round him

Todd can't even run circles around himself. He's almost as big a twit
as you.

Dave K8MN

## 3149. why does Steviue hide behind the annymouses and Dave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:23:43 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <1frro1tjrahifarfj2tugtukr20qnom892@4ax.com>
Source record: 125622

why doesn't stevie be a man and face his own music?

becuase his father sadly failed to raise him right, prehaps the job
was beyond his father's abilties but but clearly he failed and fialed
badly

everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3150. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:24:47 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dmkqpf$dnl$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125611
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

```
Brian Denley wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>fcathell@msn.com wrote:
>>
>>>Think about it: State: Massachusettes; Politician: Mitt Romney.
>>>Doesn't that say it all? This isn't the first time his head (or
>>>lard-ass Ted Kennedy's head for that matter) has been impacted up his
>>>ass! Why do the people in this state keep electing these bottom
>>>feeders?
>>>
>>>FC
>>>
>>>
>>
>>The state is full of simple minded dummycrat liberals.
>
>
> Romney is a republican - you idiot!
>

And the state is still full of simple minded dummycrat liberals.
```

# 3151. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:24:48 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dmkqpg$dnp$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125612
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

```
Brian Denley wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>fcathell@msn.com wrote:
>>
>>>Think about it: State: Massachusettes; Politician: Mitt Romney.
>>>Doesn't that say it all? This isn't the first time his head (or
>>>lard-ass Ted Kennedy's head for that matter) has been impacted up his
>>>ass! Why do the people in this state keep electing these bottom
>>>feeders?
>>>
>>>FC
>>>
>>>
>>
>>The state is full of simple minded dummycrat liberals.
>
>
> Romney is a republican - you idiot!
>

It's still full of simple minded dummycrat liberals.
```

# 3152. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:24:51 UTC
From: Cmdr Buzz Corey <me@that.moc>
Message-ID: <dmkqpj$dr0$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

```
Brian Denley wrote:
> Cmdr Buzz Corey wrote:
>
>>fcathell@msn.com wrote:
>>
>>>Think about it: State: Massachusettes; Politician: Mitt Romney.
>>>Doesn't that say it all? This isn't the first time his head (or
>>>lard-ass Ted Kennedy's head for that matter) has been impacted up his
>>>ass! Why do the people in this state keep electing these bottom
>>>feeders?
>>>
>>>FC
>>>
>>>
>>
>>The state is full of simple minded dummycrat liberals.
>
>
> Romney is a republican - you idiot!
>

Yet the state is full of simple minded dummycrat liberasl.
```

# 3153. Re: Massachusetts Politician "Disses" Amateur Radio In Televised

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:24:52 UTC
From: Dee Flint <deeflint01@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <dmkqpk$dr8$1@xuxa.iecc.com>
Source record: 125614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.broadcasting

<hhc314@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:dmgqvk$2o8$1@xuxa.iecc.com...
> Cmdr Buzz Corey posted:
>
> "The state is full of simple minded dummycrat liberals."
>
> I live in Masachusetts, and worse still, Gov. Mitt Romney is a
> Republican. (Our Lt. Govenor, Kerry Healey is no mental giant either.)
> Both are children of the idle rich, and neither has any perception of
> the real world in which most American's live.
>
> What is even more frightening to me, it appears obvious to everyone in
> MA that Romney is posturing himself to run for President, and Healey to
> run for Govenor. Brrrrr...What a chilling thought. I'm a long-time
> registered Republican, and quite frankly I seriously doubt that Romney
> and Healey combined would be qualified to run for the local position of
> Dog Catcher!
>
> With respect to Ms. Jacobsen... For many years she was married to Chet
> Curtis, another local news anchor, a private pilot and I believe a ham
> radio operator as well. So it figures that she would have reacted to
> Romney's ill considered remarks dismissing the important role of
> amateur radio in disaster communications.
>
> Harry C.
>
> p.s., If the above predictions become reality, I will be re-registering
> as a Democrat and working my arse off to defeat both of these
> candidates.
>

That's the wrong reason to change parties. First of all, look at your values and compare them to the values & policies of the various parties. Join that party whatever it may be and whoever may be the leaders. Then if you don't like the leaders, work your arse off to get different leaders within the party. What good does it do you to joint a party which may be diametrically opposed to your points of view !?

Dee D. Flint, N8UZE

## 3154. why does dos the Stevie written Bio of N8DOS read like a lie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:25:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <phrro1lcfrfqmtc9evgeam2j2pno7edtql@4ax.com>
Source record: 125624

lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father

claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3155. did N8DOS teach stevie to lie about military matter or is stvei relately the made up lies of his father as his own

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:28:18 UTC
From: kb9rqz@amsat.org
Message-ID: <elrro1hhoat08fkfqrgrvnr0bldrd1f761@4ax.com>
Source record: 125625

new theory stevie get his knowledge of the military from his dad, who
was lying his ass off stevie is just in this theory repeated daddies
lies which is why he is shocked they don't work

his anger come therefore from learning his father was a big a fraud as
he is

it is a theory. is it true? maybe but not even stevie knows for sure
most likely
everyone should be advised that The following person
has been advocating the abuse of elders

he may also be making flase reports of abusing other in order to attak and cow his foes
he also shows signs of being dangerously unstable

STEVEN J ROBESON
151 12TH AVE NW
WINCHESTER TN 37398
931-967-6282

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3156. Re: toad test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 18:57:11 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133377031.362528.304110@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125615

And your point steve???

# 3157. Re: why is Markie making assinine accusations of Stevie being afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 19:02:35 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133377355.096584.231910@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125616

>This is fairly n9-dumbographic.
>http://tinypic.com/i20k9e.jpg

Dumbographic?? at lease i don't hide behind a italy newsgroup mailer
like a cry baby chicken shit. what's the matter did i post a picture of
you little sister hehehe

# 3158. Re: when will dave call Stevie to accoutn for his lying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 19:15:37 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133378137.413989.264800@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125617

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > when Dave?
> >
> > why is stevie allowed by you to lie freely?
>
> Allowed by me?
aloowed and encouraged

>As if your other recent posts aren't evidence enough,
> this last demonstrates that you're out of your gourd.

wrong again

you take me to task for not critizing my allies

and yet you refuse to do likewise

hypocrite
>If you don't have
> mental issues, nobody does.

more lies from you Dave

you are amoral and a a soicopath

you think one set of rules applie sot you and another to ervyone else

when will you take stevie to task for his lies

don't evade the question

don't contiue with your cowardice
>
> Dave K8MN

# 3159. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 19:16:47 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133378207.144519.58040@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125618

Dave Heil wrote:
> an Old friend wrote:
> > not an old friend wrote:
> >> "N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in news:1133303290.319030.262230
> >> @z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com:
> >>
> >>> One more point I forgot there in the gallery there is more NO
> >>> POROGRAPHIC MATERIAL then there is porograhic material.
> >>>
> >>>
> >>> Todd Daugherty N9OGL
> >>>
> >>>
> >> This is fairly n9-dumbographic.
> >>
> > cut
> >
> > why does Stevie hide behind attacks rather than deabte the issues?
> >
> > becuase he is afraid todd will run circles round him
>
> Todd can't even run circles around himself. He's almost as big a twit
> as you.

more of your support for Stevie imoral behavoir

when will you Obey the word of the lord you lciam to follow?
>
> Dave K8MN

## 3160. Dave support and advocates Lying, for anything he considers a good cause

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 19:21:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133378503.824776.302100@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125619

interesting I do as well, but unlike Dave i admit ti

Dave and Stevie are far less honest than I an admited liar

that would be funny if it were not so sad

## 3161. stevie shit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 19:26:27 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133378786.979979.46080@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125621

monsieur sandman wrote:
> On 30 Nov 2005 09:45:13 -0800, an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > trying to kill another OT thread
> >
>
> You don't have that kind of power.

sure do anyone can try

and only coward play supid interent games of redierection little
frightened boy

# 3162. Re: why is Markie making assinine accusations of Stevie being afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 19:30:13 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133379012.969819.90400@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125623

>Toiddie, they had MORE than enough of all the OTHER messages from
>you to "make a case".

Oh really what's that?? post from here??? well Congress pass a law a few years ago in which the internet service provider was not responsible for the actions of it users on the internet, In fact the only action they were really allowed to take was if the material resided on THEIR computer, this of course ws up held by the US court of Appeal. And I'm sure Stebie that you showed them your abusive behavior in the threads.....No, you wouldn't of had the balls to do that now would you?? Because if they would of see your abusive behavior then I sure they wouldn't of did what they did, I am still going to talk to a lawyer to see if I can file suit against Consolidated.

>Suuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuuure you are...

Tell what stebie unless you can prove that i'm not on the top floor of my house and according to you a basement dweller, my opinion to you is shut the hell up!

> Illegal modifications, well if that's the case then th FAA better go
> after vast majority of the Ultralight community because the vast
> majority of them are running "illegal ultralights".
>No, the "vast majority" are not.

WRONG STEBIE, I've been around ultralights since 1999 and people that have them and yes the vast majority are running a little more gas in their tanks and or carrying gas with them for those LONG distance flights.

> One of the "main reasons" the FAA created the Sport Pilot category
>was the efforts of the Experimental Aircraft Association.
Bullshit, the EAA was only one group that supported it USUA, ASC, and hell even AOPA even supported it, it wasn't just the fucking EAA

>Whoa...there's a REAL man!

Don't know what that's about??

> Well aren't we impressed? Animated child pornography that uses
>profanity.

I wasn't talking about child pronographic, I was talking about Japanese animation in general, apparently you don't fucking know what the difference is.

>Here we go again....
>Maybe you'd get that LPFM license if you'd submit TIMELY

>applications written in pen, rather than crayon, Toiddie.

They are Fascist nd you their little CUNT boy, and BTW steve Unless you can prove that I wrote it in crayon, my suggestion to you is put up or shut up, the fat of the matter was the FCC didn't consider my application or my waiver because it wasn't filed during a filing window, unfortunately waivers can be filed at anytime, the problem is the FCC isn't doing their job by consider the waiver or not, in fact the only time the FCC considers a waiver is after the federal court tells them to do so. But hey that's ok, I'll run my little show on the amateur band what are they out you going to about it??? NOTHING because CUNT BOY (that's you) and his bitchwhore masters The Fascist Communication Commission don't have the fucking BALLS to do anything LALALAHEHEEHELALALA

TODD N9OGL/ B.A.P. (BAD ASS PIRATE!!!!)
THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

# 3163. Re: why is Markie making assinine accusations of Stevie being afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 20:05:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tCnjf.2922$Hk1.797@newsread1.news.pas.earthlink.net>
Source record: 125626

N9OGL wrote:

> TODD N9OGL/ B.A.P. (BAD ASS PIRATE!!!!)
> THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO

Todd, you are bad in the same way that Michael Jackson is bad, i.e. not
at all.

You aren't bad and you aren't the voice of amateur radio.

You appear to be more like Pee Wee Herman but with foul language.

Dave K8MN

## 3164. Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 21:04:51 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133384691.634329.281530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

>I'm talking to Wiseman, right?
>(http://img498.imageshack.us/img498/2972/normaltodd10dt.jpg)

No, wiseman would probably have a little more common sense not to post copyrighted material on a newsgroup after told to stop. I've told you and your little friend along with photobucket and imageshack stop posting my copyrighted picture on the internet without my permission, but you don't seem to want to listen

Todd

# 3165. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 21:09:46 UTC
From: "Dr.Ace" <NoSpam@BedfordSucks.com>
Message-ID: <50600$438e14d1$4fc4326$25618@DIALUPUSA.NET>
Source record: 125629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133384691.634329.281530@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com...
> >I'm talking to Wiseman, right?
>>(http://img498.imageshack.us/img498/2972/normaltodd10dt.jpg)
>
> No, wiseman would probably have a little more common sense not to post
> copyrighted material on a newsgroup after told to stop. I've told you
> and your little friend along with photobucket and imageshack stop
> posting my copyrighted picture on the internet without my permission,
> but you don't seem to want to listen
>
> Todd
>

Don't you just hate it when that happens.
LOL . Ace - WH2T

# 3166. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 21:15:56 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133385356.062721.206520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

>Don't you just hate it when that happens.
>LOL . Ace - WH2T

How about I steal some pictures off your website??

## 3167. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 21:20:43 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133385643.255302.10240@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

N9OGL wrote:
> >Don't you just hate it when that happens.
> >LOL . Ace - WH2T
>
> How about I steal some pictures off your website??

just think about it Todd these poor clowns are so afriad of you that
they must go to these lengths to try and bring you down to their level

# 3168. Re: why is Stevie afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 21:22:38 UTC
From: an old friend <kb9rqz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133385758.339850.40640@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125632

Dave Heil wrote:
> N9OGL wrote:
>
> > TODD N9OGL/ B.A.P. (BAD ASS PIRATE!!!!)
> > THE VOICE OF AMATEUR RADIO
>
> Todd, you are bad in the same way that Michael Jackson is bad, i.e. not
> at all.
>
> You aren't bad and you aren't the voice of amateur radio.

I will take some isue Todd claim to be THE voicem but a voice yes he is

You dave lack the moral fiber to face that fact
>
> You appear to be more like Pee Wee Herman but with foul language.

interesting coming from such a foul mouthed person as yourself
>
> Dave K8MN

# 3169. I've topped and bottmed with a guy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 21:55:52 UTC
From: an Old friend <"an Old friend"@msn.com>
Message-ID: <tu7so1pbdsb3gcebg30nt4cb2ka4e9u7jf@4ax.com>
Source record: 125634

http://tinyurl.com/crl7z

33 yo Bi SWM-switch seeks dominant(or switch
female) to experence what
hasn't done, submit to a woman. I've toped and
bottmed with guy, and
topped gals, woould like to try bottoming to a
woman. Not trying to
"Top from the bottom", and not ex sp picky to
exactly what fetishes are
used. (No scat is an absolute limit) WS, CBT,
feminzation (if it amuses
you, it is nether required nor restricted), oral
worship, anal. This
list isn't complete, (and You might want to try
something I haven't
thought of.

KB9RQZ
MARK C MORGAN

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3170. Pay Attention, Markie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 21:56:25 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133387785.413313.121100@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125633

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> you have it in your hands Stevie answer fully and truthfull one the
> attackspost and I'll stop posting them

The question's been answered, several times now.

"IF YOU DON'T WANT YOUR 'SEXUALTY' OR DEVIANCE DISCUSSED, DON'T
BRING IT UP!

If you don't like the answer, it's too bad.

Now, you can ether apologize to the ENTIRE NG with a sincere and
effective apology and stop this assinine behaviour, or there ARE legal
avenues open to stop you.

Stop now or else.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3171. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:03:01 UTC
From: an Old friend <"an Old friend"@msn.com>
Message-ID: <2a8so15b7bt5o6u16o6hs05bpac07sl12o@4ax.com>
Source record: 125637

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >I'm talking to Wiseman, right?
> >(http://img498.imageshack.us/img498/2972/normaltodd10dt.jpg)
>
> No, wiseman would probably have a little more common sense not to post
> copyrighted material on a newsgroup after told to stop. I've told you
> and your little friend along with photobucket and imageshack stop
> posting my copyrighted picture on the internet without my permission,
> but you don't seem to want to listen
>
> Todd
>
http://tinypic.com/i20k9e.jpg

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3172. More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:03:19 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133388199.203703.26950@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125635

kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
>
> claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?

Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
derranged behaviour for days, now, and now create an absolute lie that
even Brian and Lennie know to be false.

Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
gone?

Steve, K4YZ

# 3173. I like toilet service and anal worship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:06:10 UTC
From: an Old friend <"an Old friend"@msn.com>
Message-ID: <if8so1hs6e773jc58a34gqfke8ishpdvsk@4ax.com>
Source record: 125638

I seek a Dominate male to explore the submissve
side. I am 32 yo aBi WM,
and I am interested in trying many (most?) form
of service at least twice.
I am interested in any/all of the following: GS
Anal worship, whipping, toliet serivce
. You would have to take control of my cock,
since it does seem to have a mind of its
own during sex.

_____
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

## 3174. Mark Morgan Censorship

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:06:26 UTC
From: K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com>
Message-ID: <1133388386.273422.34130@g49g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125636

an old friend wrote:
> trying to kill another OT thread
>
> little doubt Stevie will lie and claim I am censoring this thread as he
> tries to revive his handiwork

It's no lie.

It's not up to you (or anyone else) to decide what's "OT" or not
and to "kill" the thread.

Anything else is censorship.

Steve, K4YZ

# 3175. Re: What Law is Broken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:11:26 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133388686.010658.218470@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125640

From: Bill Sohl on Nov 30, 5:35 am

><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
>>> On 29 Nov 2005 14:53:19 -0800, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >Bill Sohl wrote:
>>> >> "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>> >> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
>>> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

>>> >> >> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after
>>> >> >> > the twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest
>>> >> >> > being made on 5 August 2005! :-)
>
>>> >> >> Why does that matter?

It serves to indicate that there are some (allegedly "qualified")
radio amateurs who cannot keep up with basic law and regulations.

FCC 99-412 R&O (Memorandum Report and Order) was issued in late
December 1999 establishing the "Restructuring" of U. S. radio
amateur regulations to take effect in mid-2000. Comments on
Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Docket 98-143 are superfluous
to both the public and the Commission AFTER an R&O has established
the NEW regulations. There can be no question that "comments"
made in August 2005 on any issue that was settled 5 years prior
are superfluous and irrelevant to the Docket subject.

>>> >> > becuase it isn't suposed to happen at least if it does they are all
>>> >> > suposed to have been mailed before the deadline
>>> >> > why does it seem you don't care about the
>>> >> > rule of of law when it suits you
>
>>> >There's no violation of law if someone sends in a late comment.
>
>>> sure is
>
>> There's a violation of law if someone sends in a late comment??
>> What law is violated if someone sends in a comment after the
>> deadline?
>
>Jim is right.

No, only disingenuous. Phil Kane ought to jump in here and
explain the process on proceedings, "sunschine laws" and the
like. Some Dockets visible on the ECFS have rather bold red

notices included about what the Commission staff can CONSIDER
insofar as reaching a decision on any Docket subject. That is
law insofar as the Commission has decided for itself.

>Anyone can send the FCC comments, messages, etc at anytime.

True, but only because the Commission leaves the ECFS and
Secretary's incoming correspondence desk OPEN for several
reasons: Viewing by the public as an archive, most convenient
to the public unable to access the FCC Reading Room thousands
of miles away; supplemental information on the Docket subject
such as opening up a new Petition for change or revision by
the Commission of some part of a Docket subject at a later
date.

>The sending or submission of a comment outside
>the comment period is NOT a violation of the law.

The one "charging" this "violation" first was Miccolis. There
is NO explicit "law" or regulation forbidding such filing after
a comment deadline date. However, as explained above, the
Commission staff is obliged to act on ITS OWN REGULATIONS
which have stated the "official" dates of comment filings.

The use of specific comment period dates serves as a convenience
for both the public and Commission. The Commission is required
to regulate many civil radio services and as a result has a
large number of tasks required by both Congressional Law and
its own regulations. Comment periods cannot be open-ended and
have any reasonable conclusion.

>The only
>violation of law that could be in play then is IF and only IF the
>FCC accepted and integrated the comment into the FCC
>proceedings...BUT, even then I suspect if anyone complained
>that the courts would take a less than hard-line stand regarding
>post deadline comments.

See Part 0, Title 47 C.F.R. See Communications Act of 1934.
See Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Comment period statements by the Commission are not "illegal"
but PROCEDURAL. Since the Commission has stated a Notice of
PROPOSED Rulemaking, it must - at some point - make a DECISION
on this proposed rulemaking. Ergo, it establishes a deadline
for comments from the public to give the Commission sufficient
time to reach a decision on this proposed rulemaking. That is
reasonable and logical for the Commission's purpose and task.

>>> >Missing the deadline simply means FCC isn't required to consider
>>> >the comment.
>
>>> it means the FCC SHOULD not and I think is legaly barred form

>>> considering it
>
>> That's not the same as breaking a law.

There is NO SPECIFIC LAW in regards to comment date periods
being stated by the Commission. There IS its own regulation
(see Part 0) and the "sunshine law" precedents in regards to
what the Commission allows ITSELF to "see."

>Of course the FCC could read a post deadline comment and
>consider it in their braod internal review process and never
>make reference to it in a follow-up R&O. Who outside
>the FCC would even know?

The "barracks lawyers" who will endlessly "debate" some
decision/action by any agency, long past any reasonable
time of argument. :-)

There are some in here who "know" what the FCC is doing
even though they've never worked there.

>>> the result was ripping the guts out of the ARS by killing the HS
>>> clubs and making it rough to start one merely shows how
>>> out of touch the ARRl was even then
>
>> How did incentive licensing affect highschool radio clubs?
>
>I'm curious about that conclusion/result too.

There are over 9.700 club licenses in the FCC database right
now. Maybe they all graduated high school? :-)

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3176. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:12:53 UTC
From: Frank Gilliland <w&#65533;renut@NOSPAM&#65533;cehouse.net>
Message-ID: <u78so1h37aucpo5q0n18l0i0fpjd6rve41@4ax.com>
Source record: 125639

On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 16:40:47 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
wrote in <jCkjf.3715$A23.454@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:

>
>"Frank Gilliland" <wï¿½renut@NOSPAMï¿½cehouse.net> wrote
>
>>
>> In fact, what did you say at all, other than a couple unqualified
>> presumptions that supported only the -possibility- that K4YZ's
>> claim -might- be true?
>>
>
>That's pretty much it. Pointing out some possibilities that you might not have
>considered. Nothing more, nothing less.

Thanks, but I had already considered those possibilities. And BTW,
permanent retirement benefits are available through the PDRL program,
not the TDRL program.

>>
>> You jumped into this discussion as the military legal expert.
>>
>
>Not at all. I'm not a lawyer and I don't play one on TV.

You cited legal references. What's the difference?

>>
>> And here I was expecting to engage in the second intelligent
>> and coherent discussion I would have had in this newsgroup
>>
>
>Sorry that I must disappoint you. This newsgroup is not about intelligent or
>coherent discussions.

So it seems.

> Mark doesn't allow that.

I don't relinquish control of my intelligence to anyone. If you do
then maybe it's better that way.

>With kindest personal regards,
>
>de Hans, K0HB

If you ever feel like swimming against the current by having an intelligent conversation then feel free to stick your beak into the thread again at any time.

Toodles.

-----== Posted via Newsfeeds.Com - Unlimited-Unrestricted-Secure Usenet News==----
http://www.newsfeeds.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World! 120,000+ Newsgroups
----= East and West-Coast Server Farms - Total Privacy via Encryption =----

# 3177. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:14:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133388841.629711.136700@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125641

From: Frank Gilliland on Tues, Nov 29 2005 10:56 pm

>On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 19:43:55 GMT, "K□B" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
>>"Frank Gilliland" <w¢nut@NOSPAM□house.net> wrote

<snip>

>Anyway, now that you have seen my DD-214, why do you think K4YZ, after
>claiming to have nearly 18 years active duty and several DD-214's,
>made the stupendous blunder of confusing "years and months in
>specialty" with time in service? And when confronted with the false
>claim that his DD-214 did not have a box for character of service, why
>do you think he failed to provide even the form's box number as proof
>that I was wrong? And why do you suppose he changed his story on the
>number of DD-214's he has aquired?
>
>Feel free to speculate.

Frank, Hans will do the "Mission Impossible" thing here, he will
suddenly (upon confrontation) claim "the secretary will disavow
his actions" or something like that. Then his "tape" will self-
destruct and he sails off into the sunset without a care in the
world as if he never said anything... :-)

Dudly hasn't posted a damn thing to prove his alleged service.
Of course, if one hasn't served, then they have no proof.

>BTW, why do you suppose -HE- is unwilling to explain these things, and
>instead relying upon you and others to counter his critics with
>opinionated presumptions and facts that he is unwilling to find for
>himself?

It's a form of "good old boy network" unit cohesiveness. :-)

Amateur extras think they have to hang together. That beats
the lonliness of hanging separately... :-(

The comparison to Lee Harvey Oswald is apropo. Oswald was a
Marine. Oswald assassinated JFK...as well as a Dallas PD
Officer. The problem is that Oswald DID have a Marine record.
We don't know if there IS a Marine record for Dudly. Dudly
tries to verbally "assassinate" anyone who speaks against him.
The difference is that Oswald hit his target. Dudly has not.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

## 3178. why is Markie making assinine accusations of Stevie being afrad of Todd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:16:26 UTC
From: an Old friend <"an Old friend"@msn.com>
Message-ID: <du8so1tqo57hcbak7nunebhh07rui6dc8h@4ax.com>
Source record: 125644

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote:
> I am still going to talk to a
> lawyer to see if I can file suit against Consolidated.
>
Show him this..

http://img498.imageshack.us/img498/2972/normaltodd10dt.jpg

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3179. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:17:15 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133389035.653534.126900@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125642

From: "K0HB" on Tues, Nov 29 2005 10:55 am

"an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> wrote

>> why do you continue to support and defend someon
>> that can do nothing but attack ....
>
>I wasn't "supporting" or "defending" anyone.

Tsk, you were, both overtly and implicitly.

>I was answering Franks question about how to get a medical discharge. ("how can
>someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years (17 years 9 months) yet have
>it converted to both an 'honorable' discharge -AND- get retirement status?")

Wasn't a detailed "answer." Did it or did it not apply to Dudly
the Imposter (aka "Steven J. Robeson")?

Frank Gilliland is specific in WHO he is talking about. YOU are
NOT.

>Thank you for your interest in this matter, and feel free to call again if you
>have additional questions.

Nice form-letter boilerplate phrasing. Not applicable here.

All that is needed for REAL PROOF is to have Dudly make available
HIS documents. He has NOT done that.

All you've done lately is to quote from some USN Bureau of
Personnel instructions. YOU CANNOT confirm that Dudly was
ever IN either the USN or the USMC. BUPERINST 1900.8B does
NOT pertain to amateur radio.

Do you understand these questions that have been asked? If not,
an attorney may be appointed to advise and represent you...

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3180. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:19:35 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133389175.519434.176430@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125643

From: "K4YZ" <MajorDud@aol.com> on Tues, Nov 29 2005 8:04 am

>K0HB wrote:
>> "Frank Gilliland" <w[illegible]nut@NOSPAM□house.net> wrote
>>
>> > The "vintage" is mine, form 1 JUL 79, which I scanned and posted
>> > earlier. Box 11 of that edition indicates both the MOS and "years and
>> > months in specialty".
>>
>> I'll take your word for it, as I missed your post. None of mine (including one
>> in 1979) mention anything about "years and months in specialty". Closest it
>> comes to that is Block 5 (Date of Rank).
>
> It wasn't anything, Hans.

Dudly speaks some truth. Dudly isn't anything at all. NO proof
by documents visible to others, NOTHING.

> He also alleges that if you don't use the exact same slang as he
>or were ever in "his" MAU, you never served in a "real" Marine unit.

Dudly, PROVE - by documentary evidence - that you were ever
in ANY real Marine unit.

>But then he ALSO claims that since I obviously had time to participate
>in my choice of off-duty pursuits, I wasn't a "real" Marine either, at
>most "just" a Reservist...Of course there's a whole lot of Reservists
>in Iraq right now who'd take Frankie's potential to procreate and hand
>them to him for such a suggestion that they weren't "real" Marines.

Dudly is NOT in Iraq "right now." Dudly was NEVER in Iraq.

Dudly hasn't proved he was ever in ANY Marine unit.

> I'm not sure from under what rock Frankie of Silliland crawled,
>but I am sure it was one that Markie peed on. Same stench.

Dudly should cease cursing and present documentary PROOF of
his alleged Marine service.

None of us have seen any. That is probably due to there NOT
being any such proof.

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3181. an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com> comes clean.....finally!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:20:45 UTC
From: an Old friend <"an Old friend"@msn.com>
Message-ID: <la9so1t17itjctjtfrapvcgb56h17bg9g7@4ax.com>
Source record: 125645

an_old_friend" <konstans@hotmail.com>
> I admit it. I have lied I have. been stalking everyone please let it
> stop
my birthday is the 21 of feb 9i'll be 34 then)
i live near Springfield IL, and 33 white
unmarried, Bisexual, switch
(tend to be a a bit more sub than Dom) who has
experenced both sub and
Dom with other Males, but has only been Dom with
women, and would really
like to try Femdom. Employed but not flush enough
to make a ProDom my
first choice. i will not deal with solid waste
but i think every thing
thing else is possible (i hedge because as soon as
i think I have heard
it all someone seems to come up with something
new) Things i
diffinently like are body worship, hot wax,
bondage, spanking. i have
done and enoyed with people really into them
watersports, lite
bloodlicking (i knew a girl into Vampires ok?)
these are things i likely
to enjoy if they trun someone on, but fall flat if
the other party(s)
aren't real into them, in that vein i will
consider and may well get
into something i if it really turns you on.

----------
Usenet Zone Free Binaries Usenet Server
More than 140,000 groups
Unlimited download
http://www.usenetzone.com to open account

# 3182. Re: Copyright Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:29:29 UTC
From: walph wotten <anon@anon.up>
Message-ID: <dml8vi$pu4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 125646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.lawyers

"N9OGL" <toddaug555@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:1133385356.062721.206520@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com...
>Don't you just hate it when that happens.
>LOL . Ace - WH2T

How about I steal some pictures off your website??

//////////////

There's no cartoon/kiddie porn there, so why would you be interested?

# 3183. Re: Windy Anderson's 11/14 Reply to Comments

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:30:01 UTC
From: LenAnderson@ieee.org
Message-ID: <1133389801.364573.260770@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125647

From: N2EY@AOL.COM on Tues, Nov 29 2005 3:38 am

>LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
>> From: N..@AOL.COM on Nov 27, 3:55 pm
>> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> From: N...@AOL.COM on Nov 26, 8:02 pm
>> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> From: Dave Heil on Mon 21 Nov 2005 09:41
>> >> >> >LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:
>> >> >> >> From: Dave Heil on Nov 20, 9:25 am

>> >I get along with all sorts of people, Len. Including many who disagree with
>> >me.
>>
>> Not absolutely true... :-)
>
>My statement (taken as a whole) is absolutely true, Len. ;-)

No, not "absolute." You become upset and combative to any
remark not accepting morse code as the finest mode in radio.

>> >You're the one that has the problem getting along with others if they
>> >don't agree with everything you say.
>>
>> Tsk, with Jimmy it's always the other guy's fault... :-)
>
>No, Len. Just a simple statement of fact that you have a problem
>getting along with people who don't agree with everything you say.

Tsk, tsk..."always the other guy's fault.

>> The morse code test has been in amateur radio regulations
>> for 71 years. :-)
>
>That's true ;-)
>
>It's also been in the regulations for 72 years, and 73 years, and 74
>years,

Not longer than 71 years in the regulations of the FCC. It
was created in 1934.

>> >As to why anyone would fuss with Morse Code in 2005, the
>> >reasons are the same as why anyone would fuss with:
>> >
>> >- cars that have manual transmissions instead of automatics
>>

>> No problem to me...I learned to drive in a manual-transmission auto.
>
>Ancient history. ;-)

You have no valid comparison to morse code. Try not to venture
into areas where you have no competence.

When my wife and I got our new 2005 Chevy Malibu in June, we both
had to learn part of its transmission control, very different in
it's "low" setting from previous Chevrolets with automatic
transmission. That automatic transmission allows manual gear
changing. The automatic transmission on our older 1992 Chevy
Cavalier Wagon allowed manual gear changing. The automatic
transmission on our even older 1982 Chevy Berlinetta Camaro
(as well as my old '70 Camaro and '67 Camaro) allowed manual
gear changing.

>> Didn't have to know morse code to drive...
>
>But isn't manual transmission a "dying" technology? Why would anyone
>bother to learn it in 2005?

Manual transmission is not favored in many states due to emission
limits, by law, not by the fact that manual transmissions are a
decided inconvenience.

Truck-tractors have manual transmissions. Most cars equipped with
automatic transmissions can also do manual gear changing; they
just don't have any clutch.

>> >- sailboats instead of power boats
>>
>> Sailing under the wind takes much less fuel than power boats...
>
>Morse Code takes less power than voice transmission.

There is NO federal requirement to learn morse code in order
to pilot a sailing vessel.

Obviously you've never been on a water vessel that had "sound-
powered" telecommunications sets. No DC or AC power needed to
operate them. There is no equivalent for telegraphy.

>Except for a few floating museum pieces, the US Navy stopped using
>sail power about 100 years ago.

Go to the docking area at the U.S. Naval Academy or the U.S. Coast
Guard Academy. Are those "tall ships" illusions? No, they are
real.

No morse code skill is needed to pilot a sail or power boat.

>There are almost no commercial uses
>for sailboats in the USA - powerboats dominate all but "hobby" boating,

>and power boats probably dominate hobby boating as well.

That is an absolute? Very well, we will put you down as a
claimed "Master of Marine Craft."

There are NO commercial uses for morse code skill in the USA
except for the companies selling morse code practice material.

>> >- Drawing and painting instead of photography
>>
>> No problem to me...I did all three as a kid, still do.
>
>Still have your crayons, huh?

Ha. Ha. You would be a hit at the Art Center School of Design
in the Pasadena area of Greater Los Angeles. Pasadena forensics
could practice on what was left of you after saying that. When
I went to Art Center it was in the city of Los Angeles, on 3rd
Street, somewhat near CBS City and the Pan-Pacific Auditorium.

Do you need lessons in art, illustration, or photography? I can
give you them and show how it is done by actual examples. My
photographs and illustrations have been published in national
magazines. I can work with nearly all media in art and
illustration: pencil, pen, (yes) crayon (but of a type that
isn't sold to children), chalk, ink on scratchboard, Ben Day
screen illustration board, oils, watercolors, caesin paints,
brush or air-brush (my Paasche air-brush compressor still
works although I preferred the CO2 bottle pressure system
common in commercial practice). I've given up "canvas" for
painting in preference for the finer linen media.

Tell us how morse code skill is used in art or illustration or
photography?

>> Didn't have to know morse code to do any of those three.

Still don't.

>> >- Homemade food instead of packaged
>>
>> How do you categorize campfire cooking? :-)
>
>Is that where your cooking winds up, Len?

Only when camping, Jimmy, and then into the interior of fellow
campers.

Try not to "get along so well with others" in your writing.
It looks hostile and argumentative.

>> Cooking doesn't require morse code knowledge.

Tsk, it still doesn't.

>> Show me ANY evidence that ANY AM transmitter since 1906 has
>> used amplitude modulation via a carbon microphone in series
>> with the antenna lead... :-)
>
>Why?

It would further prove the efficacy of "morse code efficiency"
to all others. You have a need to be "superior." Demonstrate
that with more of your little factoids.

>> Tsk, tsk, trying to get around your gaffe by bringing in
>> "engineering?" :-)
>
>What gaffe, Len? "Electronics" is a subset of electrical engineering.

Electronics is a part of Physics. Part of Electronics is SCIENCE.
What isn't science is technology. Application of electronic
technology is done in electrical and electronic engineering.

>> You are confused. I made NO mistake about DD-214s.
>
>Yes, you did. Also UCMJ, usenet, and many others. Buck
>up and learn to live with your own imperfections, Len.

You have never, ever been subject to the Uniform Code of
Military Justice. You have never had a DD-214 issued to
you. You will never have a DD-214 issued to you. You
cannot ever understand the actual implications of the UCMJ
other than some casual thing that applies only to others.

>For someone who uses so many smileys, you're certainly
>humor-impaired, Len.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. More combative hostility and a decided LACK of
ability to get along with others on your part.

>> >If you actually read all of the comments, you'd know that.
>>
>> Each and every filing from 15 July 2005 to 23 November 2005.
>> 3,795 of them. :-)
>
>So you claim, but the evidence says otherwise.

The evidence is the filings on WT Docket 05-235. All 3,796 of
them from 15 July 2005 to 25 November 2005. Note that one
more has been added in the ECFS.

There is NO "evidence" at www.ah0a.org except in the highly-
biased opinion of a long-time morseman...one whose Petitions
before the Commission have been DENIED.

>> >When you make a sweeping general statement, and someone
>> >proves an exception, the statement is shown to be false. That's
>> >basic logic.
>>

>> No, Jimmy, all that proves is EXCEPTIONS. :-)
>
>In your illogical mind, I suppose.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. More combative hostility and a decided LACK of
ability to get along with others on your part.

>Your Commercial license does not qualify you to operate an
>amateur radio station.

Your amateur radio operator's license does NOT "qualify" you
to operate any commercial radio station, radionavigation
station, space-communications station, radiosonde station,
radar of any kind, television transmitter, aircraft
transmitter, maritime vessel transmitter, land mobile radio
service transmitter, or microwave radio relay station.
Mine does.

>Legally, you are the same as a person
>with no license at all when it comes to operating an amateur
>radio station.

Do you wish to take me to civil court? Federal court?

Why all the hostility and combative behavior on your part
over NPRM 05-143?

>> Secondly, I've never tested for any amateur radio license
>
>(that's good)

Tsk, tsk, tsk. More combative hostility and a decided LACK of
ability to get along with others on your part.

>> so the FCC cannot say I am either "qualified" or "unqualified."
>
>Incorrect again!

You do not understand the difference between "qualified" and
AUTHORIZED.

>FCC considers every unlicensed person to be unqualified to operate
>an amateur radio station. That's why they issue licenses - to identify
>those who are qualified.

Wrong. The FCC issues licenses as part of their overall civil
radio regulatory task.

The FCC was never chartered by LAW to be an academic or skill-
achievement agency. They AUTHORIZE license holders to operate
and transmit RF energy according to the regulations pertaining
to the type and kind of radio service they are AUTHORIZED in.

>FCC says you're not qualified to operate an amateur radio station.

The FCC has "said" no such thing to me. They've never once
written to me that I am "unqualified" in anything...

>The license is the qualification.

It is an AUTHORIZATION. It is a PERMISSION. It is a GRANT.

>By definition. FCC says you're
>not qualified to operate an amateur radio station.

No, I am not permitted - by regulation - to transmit RF energy
exceeding incidental RF radiation limits on allocated amateur-
only frequencies without possessing an amateur radio license
grant.

The military of the United States and the federal government of
the United States (other than the FCC) have QUALIFIED me to
operate radio transmitters according to military/government
regulations. Experience in actual successful transmission of
RF energy has furthered that qualification.

>> Oh, my, you are doing the word-twisting thing today!
>
>Just the facts.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. More combative hostility and a decided LACK of
ability to get along with others on your part.

Some of your facts are mistaken. That's just the way it is.

>> I was against the code test long before Bruce Perens put NCI
>> together.
>
>Prove it.

Go to the FCC Reading Room and look up correspondence to them
prior to the earliest ECFS-available date. That is an un-
alterable third-party reference.

I did not keep ALL correspondence I've done in the last four
decades. I cannot digitize and present what I no longer have.
The FCC Reading Room keeps records intact, archived.

>> I don't speak FOR NCI but you are going to try to MISDIRECT
>> the thread flow to make that a cause celebre'. Not buying
>> that.
>
>Fact is, I proved you wrong.

Incorrect. I've pointed out your mistakes. You refuse to
accept them. That's just the way you are.

>> You've already taken that test, will never have to test for it
>> again unless you miss the last renewal date and expire that
>> license.
>

>Doesn't matter - I could pass it again easily. You can't even pass it
>once.

More hostility and combativeness. Tsk, NOT "getting along with
others" on your part.

I have never taken any amateur radio license test, therefore I
neither "passed" nor "failed" it. That only proves the PAST.

You stated what I allegedly "could not do" in the future.
You are not prescient, cannot tell the future. Ergo, your
remark is simply one of hostility and combatativeness.

>Telling someone the Morse Code test is a good thing isn't hostile, Len.

Sorry, it IS hostile when you presume your opinion to be an
absolute. It is only your opinion. You frequently try to make
your opinions as absolutes. That is wrong.

>Not me. I'm not the one who's afraid to turn on a receiver and
>listen to the low ends of the HF amateur bands....

Your implication of "cowardice" is misplaced. First, I was
not at any HF receiver during most of the Thanksgiving Day
holiday weekend. Second, I've already "turned on and listened"
to all parts of the MF and HF spectrum...many times...even
looked at it with a spectrum analyzer.

>> At the end of my "first job in radio" I got a DD-214. You don't
>> have one.
>
>How do you know, Len?

You've never served in the armed forces of the United States.
Had you done so, you would have received a DD-214 as a release
from active duty. You've said you did not serve, ergo you
cannot have a DD-214. You will never have a DD-214.

>> "It must drive you nuts not knowing" what my interests are... :-)
>
>I know what they are, Len.

Incorrect again. All you "know" is what I've written in here.
I've not written about all of my "interests" or "what I've
done" or "what I do."

>> >Considering your near-complete ignorance of Morse Code and
>> >amateur radio, it's a good thing you didn't talk about those
>> >subjects.
>>
>> "Near-complete ignorance?!?"
>
>Yes.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. More combative hostility and a decided LACK of
ability to get along with others on your part.

>> >That's good, considering that you're hardly a good role model.
>>
>> "Hardly a good role model?!?"
>
>Yep.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. More combative hostility and a decided LACK of
ability to get along with others on your part.

>> Tsk, tsk, that is HOSTILITY, Jimmy. Why are you so hostile?
>
>I'm not the one calling people names, Len.

True, you are just hostile and combative. The ones who call
others "names" in here is Dudly the Imposter and a few other
anonymous posters.

>> >Can't take the competition, huh?
>>
>> Try to understand that normal social behavior is NOT about
>> "competition." Normal, that is, not some HOSTILE type who
>> always has to sound more important than the group...such
>> behavior exemplified by the PCTA.
>
>Gee, Len, almost all of your postings here are your attempt to
>sound smarter than the group.

Tsk, subjective opinion on your part. Can't take competition?

LenAnderson@ieee.org

# 3184. Reminds me of Steve...Re: More Markie Mularkie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 22:56:54 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133391414.080640.115500@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125648

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > lets see at qrz stevie goes on about his father
> >
> > claiming he was in the USN and the army but fist in the USN but while
> > he was in navy according to steve in RRAP his father served under Mac
> > Arthor ion the ground in Korea (while serving in the Navy?
>
> Markie, you've been spamming the group with your assinine,
> derranged behaviour for days, now,

Kind of reminds me of Steve's incessant postings and new threads.

> and now create an absolute lie that
> even Brian and Lennie know to be false.

You might want to check with Len and Brian first before speaking for
them.

> Do you not know that whateve bit of credibility you had left is
> gone?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

For merely behaving as you have?

# 3185. Re: Rotten Egg

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:11:36 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133392296.507980.299280@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

K4YZ wrote:
> kb9rqz@amsat.org wrote:
> > On Wed, 30 Nov 2005 01:20:54 GMT, "Grümwîtch thë Ünflãppåblê"
> > <Grümwîtch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> wrote:
> >
> > >The next person on usenet to reply to any post from Mark Morgan KB9RQZ is a
> > >rotten egg.
> >
> > such a Childish game
> >
> > let me see is it Stevie od Dave
>
> Nope.
>
> Not me.
>
> But seems YOU got sucked right in and turned out to be the rotten
> egg!

Hi! Hi, hi, hi!

# 3186. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:14:39 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392479.020031.279210@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125750

violation of what dip shit??

# 3187. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:17:53 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133392673.683891.287380@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125751

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Mon, 28 Nov 2005 23:05:02 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote in <y2Mif.1838$YT3.1664@newsread3.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> >
> >"Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote in message
> >news:d22no1pg3rs0q0sl3feepcaqctce2meuqt@4ax.com...
> >
> >>..... after 1979 (and possibly for some time -before- 1979) there is no
> >> box to indicate character of service (i.e, "Honorable").
> >>
> >> Thank you, Hans.
> >
> >Don't thank me so fast.
> >
> >The current DD214 contains that information in Block 24. (Character of Service).
>
>
> Yep. Right there at the bottom, clear as daylight to anyone who has
> one to read. Again, thank you, Hans.
>
>
> >All 4 of mine also contain similar information but in different block numbers
> >(apparently the form changes over time). In 1961, 1964, and 1973 it was in
> >Block 13a, and in 1979 it was in block 9e. In all cases the block is titled
> >"Character of Service".
> >
> >The choices are:
> >
> > 1) HONORABLE
> > 2) GENERAL (UNDER HONORABLE CONDITIONS)
> > 3) UNDER OTHER THAN HONORABLE CONDITIONS
> > 4) BAD CONDUCT
> > 5) DISHONORABLE
> > 6) UNCHARACTERIZED (Void or Entry Level Separation)
> >
> >Beep beep
> >de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> Since you are Major Dud's de-facto spokesman in this matter, care to
> speculate why he didn't know the purpose of box 11?

Unreasonable Fear?

# 3188. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:31 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392891.564920.321680@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125752

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3189. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392892.684727.215570@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125753

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3190. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392892.175096.54030@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125754

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3191. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392892.795978.321810@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125755

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3192. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:32 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392892.926183.321820@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125756

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3193. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392893.117687.273600@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125757

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3194. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392893.320183.295820@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125758

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3195. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392893.784781.171260@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125759

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3196. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392893.766444.171240@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125760

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3197. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:33 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392893.880987.321870@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125762

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3198. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392894.161084.117970@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125761

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3199. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392894.412042.117980@o13g2000cwo.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125763

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3200. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392894.492931.321910@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125764

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3201. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392894.735054.171320@f14g2000cwb.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125765

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3202. Re: TOAD Violation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:34 UTC
From: N9OGL <toddaug555@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <1133392894.896604.273760@g44g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125766

YOUR THE ONE VIOLATING COPYRIGHT LAW ASSHOLE

# 3203. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:21:55 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133392915.647195.322690@g47g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125767

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: K0HB on Nov 28, 5:22 pm
>
> >"Frank Gilliland" <w€nut@NOSPAM□house.net> wrote
>
> >> Since you are Major Dud's de-facto spokesman in this matter, care to
> >> speculate why he didn't know the purpose of box 11?
> >
> >I can hardly speak for myself, so I can't speculate.
>
> WHAAAAT?!? Har! That hasn't stopped you before...
>
> >Depends on the vintage of the DD214.
>
> My DD-214 is from 1956. That was a very good year.
>
> Er, shouldn't it be "DD-214" rather than 'DD214'?
>
> We can't go against any BUPERINST in amateur radio. Everything
> has to be "just so!"

I understand that there are dashes in the phone number "911."

> >On a current Navy one Block 11 would indicate the NECs held during
> >the enlistment ("NEC" is what you jarheads call an "MOS").
>
> "Jarheads?" Why you call ARMY people for "Jarheads," swabbie?
>
> Somebody ring your bell-bottoms?
>
> Oh, my, lots of pent-up HOSTILITY from the midwest!
>
> >On my older editions
> >there is are other types of information in Block 11 (last duty station, location
> >if afloat unit, etc.).
>
> I didn't see any "block" to identify amateur radio license
> callsigns in examples shown in BUPERINST 1900.8B. Are those
> "blocks" hidden on the back?
>
> Hang in there, super chief. Whatever I say, I'm sure you will
> "correct" me even if I'm NOT wrong. Predictable. :-)
>
> See ya on 1.4 GHz some time?

>
> bit bit
> LenAnderson@ieee.org

What mode?

# 3204. Re: What Law is Broken?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:33:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <1133393583.701503.241000@g14g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125768

LenAnderson@ieee.org wrote:
> From: Bill Sohl on Nov 30, 5:35 am
> ><N...@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >> kb9...@amsat.org wrote:
> >>> On 29 Nov 2005 14:53:19 -0800, N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>> >> "an old friend" <kb9...@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>> >> > N...@AOL.COM wrote:
> >>> >> >> LenAnder...@ieee.org wrote:

> >>> >> >> > By the way, Docket 98-143 had 303 ADDITIONAL filings after
> >>> >> >> > the twice-revised final end date of 15 Jan 05, the latest
> >>> >> >> > being made on 5 August 2005! :-)
> >
> >>> >> >> Why does that matter?
>
> It serves to indicate that there are some (allegedly "qualified")
> radio amateurs who cannot keep up with basic law and regulations.

Where in Part 97 does it say that anyone cannot comment outside
the deadline dates?

You've pointed out how many comments FCC received *before* the
official date....

> FCC 99-412 R&O (Memorandum Report and Order) was issued in late
> December 1999 establishing the "Restructuring" of U. S. radio
> amateur regulations to take effect in mid-2000. Comments on
> Wireless Telecommunications Bureau Docket 98-143 are superfluous
> to both the public and the Commission AFTER an R&O has established
> the NEW regulations. There can be no question that "comments"
> made in August 2005 on any issue that was settled 5 years prior
> are superfluous and irrelevant to the Docket subject.

Of course! But that's not the issue.

> >>> >> > becuase it isn't suposed to happen at least if it does they are all
> >>> >> > suposed to have been mailed before the deadline
> >>> >> > why does it seem you don't care about the
> >>> >> > rule of of law when it suits you
> >
> >>> >There's no violation of law if someone sends in a late comment.
> >
> >>> sure is
> >
> >> There's a violation of law if someone sends in a late comment??

> >> What law is violated if someone sends in a comment after the
> >> deadline?
> >
> >Jim is right.
>
> No, only disingenuous.

No, I'm right.

> Phil Kane ought to jump in here and
> explain the process on proceedings, "sunschine laws" and the
> like. Some Dockets visible on the ECFS have rather bold red
> notices included about what the Commission staff can CONSIDER
> insofar as reaching a decision on any Docket subject. That is
> law insofar as the Commission has decided for itself.

Not the point.

I wrote:

"There's no violation of law if someone sends in a late comment."

And Mark replied:

"sure is"

Is there a violation of law if someone sends in a late comment?

> >Anyone can send the FCC comments, messages, etc at anytime.
>
> True, but only because the Commission leaves the ECFS and
> Secretary's incoming correspondence desk OPEN for several
> reasons: Viewing by the public as an archive, most convenient
> to the public unable to access the FCC Reading Room thousands
> of miles away; supplemental information on the Docket subject
> such as opening up a new Petition for change or revision by
> the Commission of some part of a Docket subject at a later
> date.

Of course. But that's not the point. Mark is saying the law is
violated if someone sends in a late comment.

> >The sending or submission of a comment outside
> >the comment period is NOT a violation of the law.
>
> The one "charging" this "violation" first was Miccolis.

Nope. Not me.

Mark Morgan made that claim, not me.

Here, take another look:

Mark wrote:

"becuase it isn't suposed to happen at least if it does they are all
supposed to have been mailed before the deadline
why does it seem you don't care about the
rule of of law when it suits you"

and I wrote:

"There's no violation of law if someone sends in a late comment."

to which Mark replied:

"sure is"

Now, who made the claim? Sure wasn't anybody named "Miccolis"

> There
> is NO explicit "law" or regulation forbidding such filing after
> a comment deadline date.

Mark says there is. I say there isn't.

> However, as explained above, the
> Commission staff is obliged to act on ITS OWN REGULATIONS
> which have stated the "official" dates of comment filings.

And a whole bunch of nocodetest folks ignored those rules and
sent in comments before the official date....

> The use of specific comment period dates serves as a convenience
> for both the public and Commission. The Commission is required
> to regulate many civil radio services and as a result has a
> large number of tasks required by both Congressional Law and
> its own regulations. Comment periods cannot be open-ended and
> have any reasonable conclusion.

Not the point at all.

> >The only
> >violation of law that could be in play then is IF and only IF the
> >FCC accepted and integrated the comment into the FCC
> >proceedings...BUT, even then I suspect if anyone complained
> >that the courts would take a less than hard-line stand regarding
> >post deadline comments.
>
> See Part 0, Title 47 C.F.R. See Communications Act of 1934.
> See Telecommunications Act of 1996.
>
> Comment period statements by the Commission are not "illegal"
> but PROCEDURAL. Since the Commission has stated a Notice of
> PROPOSED Rulemaking, it must - at some point - make a DECISION
> on this proposed rulemaking. Ergo, it establishes a deadline
> for comments from the public to give the Commission sufficient
> time to reach a decision on this proposed rulemaking. That is
> reasonable and logical for the Commission's purpose and task.

Also obvious. And beside the point completely.

> >>> >Missing the deadline simply means FCC isn't required to consider
> >>> >the comment.
> >
> >>> it means the FCC SHOULD not and I think is legaly barred form
> >>> considering it
> >
> >> That's not the same as breaking a law.
>
> There is NO SPECIFIC LAW in regards to comment date periods
> being stated by the Commission. There IS its own regulation
> (see Part 0) and the "sunshine law" precedents in regards to
> what the Commission allows ITSELF to "see."

Tell Mark about it.

> >Of course the FCC could read a post deadline comment and
> >consider it in their braod internal review process and never
> >make reference to it in a follow-up R&O. Who outside
> >the FCC would even know?
>
> The "barracks lawyers" who will endlessly "debate" some
> decision/action by any agency, long past any reasonable
> time of argument. :-)
>
> There are some in here who "know" what the FCC is doing
> even though they've never worked there.

Talking about yourself again....

> >>> the result was ripping the guts out of the ARS by killing the HS
> >>> clubs and making it rough to start one merely shows how
> >>> out of touch the ARRl was even then
> >
> >> How did incentive licensing affect highschool radio clubs?
> >
> >I'm curious about that conclusion/result too.
>
> There are over 9.700 club licenses in the FCC database right
> now. Maybe they all graduated high school? :-)
>

# 3205. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:34:22 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133393662.003382.325000@g43g2000cwa.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125769

K4YZ wrote:
> KØHB wrote:
> > "Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote
> >
> > > The "vintage" is mine, form 1 JUL 79, which I scanned and posted
> > > earlier. Box 11 of that edition indicates both the MOS and "years and
> > > months in specialty".
> >
> > I'll take your word for it, as I missed your post. None of mine (including one
> > in 1979) mention anything about "years and months in specialty". Closest it
> > comes to that is Block 5 (Date of Rank).
>
> It wasn't anything, Hans.

Your date of rank wasn't anything? or your character of service wasn't
anything?

> Frankie the Twice Court Martialed Coward "mentions" something in
> one of his Lenniesque, windy pontifications, and if you don't comment
> on each and every line of it, he alleges you "don't know" what
> something was.

"...Or forever be known as a Liar and Coward???"

Gosh, that's terrible. It's just terrible.

> So far, what I've gotten from his "stuff" is that he's twice court
> martialed. He's spent time in the brig. He was pending his THIRD
> "promotion" to Lance Corporal when he was discharged which would have
> let he off the hook with his "Honorable" discharge.

"Honourable"

> He also alleges that if you don't use the exact same slang as he
> or were ever in "his" MAU, you never served in a "real" Marine unit.
> But then he ALSO claims that since I obviously had time to participate
> in my choice of off-duty pursuits, I wasn't a "real" Marine either, at
> most "just" a Reservist...Of course there's a whole lot of Reservists
> in Iraq right now who'd take Frankie's potential to procreate and hand
> them to him for such a suggestion that they weren't "real" Marines.

It's just awful when others tell lies about you, isn't it?

> I'm not sure from under what rock Frankie of Silliland crawled,
> but I am sure it was one that Markie peed on. Same stench.
>
> 73

>
> Steve, K4YZ

It must really suck to get larger doses of the treatment that you like
to dish out.

Best of Luck getting Frank off of your pathetic, lying self.

# 3206. Re: Andersonian half-vast research (again)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2005-11-30 23:48:24 UTC
From: hot-ham-and-cheese@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1133394504.702014.113580@z14g2000cwz.googlegroups.com>
Source record: 125770

Frank Gilliland wrote:
> On Tue, 29 Nov 2005 15:27:11 GMT, "KØHB" <groupk0hb@earthlink.net>
> wrote in <jr_if.3303$A23.2361@newsread2.news.pas.earthlink.net>:
>
> >
> >"Frank Gilliland" <wïrenut@NOSPAMïcehouse.net> wrote
> >
> >> The "vintage" is mine, form 1 JUL 79, which I scanned and posted
> >> earlier. Box 11 of that edition indicates both the MOS and "years and
> >> months in specialty".
> >
> >I'll take your word for it, as I missed your post. None of mine (including one
> >in 1979) mention anything about "years and months in specialty". Closest it
> >comes to that is Block 5 (Date of Rank).
> >
> >Beep beep
> >de Hans, K0HB
>
>
> www.icehouse.net/dd214ex.jpg
>
> And don't forget that Major Dud claims to have been discharged
> (....er, "retired") in 1992, seven years -after- my discharge. Hey,
> now there's something you can look up in your bupers manuals -- how
> can someone get a medical discharge short of 20 years (17 years 9
> months) yet have it converted to both an 'honorable' discharge -AND-
> get retirement status?

Bush (1st) gave the order to drawdown the services, and later Clinton
implemented it.

It was called "The Peace Dividend."

One method was the early retirement, I think it was minus 2% for each
year retired early. Critical career fields were exempted from the
offer. RIFfed officers were given a severance package.

But 1992 is one year too early for Steve's retirement to have been part
of the drawdown. Bush left office in January 1993, shortly after
visiting us in Somalia.

Outside of inadequate troop strength, what has America to show for The
Peace Dividend?